Exhibit No. ___ T (WHW-6T)
Docket No. UT-090842
Witness: William H. Weinman

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Joint Application of

DOCKET NO. UT-090842

Frontier Communications, Inc. and Verizon Communications, Inc.

For approval of Transfer of Control

TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

OF

WILLIAM H. WEINMAN

STAFF

OF

THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

December 24, 2009

1	Q.	What is the purpose of this testimony?
2	A.	My testimony describes and supports the settlement agreement between Commission
3		Staff and the Joint Applicants, Verizon Communications, Inc. (Verizon) and Frontier
4		Communications Corporation (Frontier). That settlement resolves all disputed issues
5		between Staff and the Joint Applicants in this proceeding.
6		
7	Q.	Does this agreement include any other parties besides Staff and the Joint
8		Applicants?
9	A.	No, it does not. Public Counsel and the Department of Defense and other Federal
10		Executive Agencies have not joined in the settlement. I am not certain whether the
11		International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers or the Broadband Communications
12		Association of Washington will take any position with respect to the settlement. The
13		Joint Applicants have reached separate agreements with all of the various Competitive
14		Local Exchange Carrier (CLEC) interveners. Staff believes that those CLEC agreements
15		are consistent with the agreement between Staff and the Joint Applicants, and that the
16		conditions set out in those agreements should also be adopted by the Commission.
17		
. 18	Q.	In your prefiled direct testimony, did you recommend that the Commission deny the
19		transfer of control of Verizon Northwest, Inc. (Verizon NW) from Verizon to
20		Frontier?
21	A.	Yes. In my direct testimony, filed on November 3, 2009, I recommended the
22		Commission deny the transfer of control from Verizon to Frontier. My recommendation,
23		which has since changed, was based on my conclusion that the Joint Applicants had not

1		provided enough information in their direct testimony and in response to data requests
2		from Staff and Public Counsel to meet the burden of showing that the proposed
3		transaction would be consistent with the public interest and would result in no harm to
4		Washington consumers.
5		The additional information the Joint Applicants subsequently provided in their
6		rebuttal testimony, together with the conditions that the Joint Applicants have agreed to
7		in their settlement with Staff, provide the necessary assurance that the transaction is
8		consistent with the public interest and will result in no harm to Washington consumers.
9		Therefore, I now recommend that the proposed transaction be approved, subject to the
10		conditions outlined in the settlement agreement
11		
12	Q.	Would you give a brief explanation of the change in Staff's position?
13	A.	Staff had two primary areas of concern with the proposed transaction. Those were
14		financing issues outlined in my direct testimony and the transition of operations support
15		systems (OSS) described in Mr. Robert Williamson's direct testimony.
16		The Joint Applicants, Staff, and other parties have discussed the OSS issues
17		throughout the pendency of the case, and the Joint Applicants have given workshops
18		addressing the migration of OSS from Verizon to Frontier. Verizon has made a
19		considerable effort to define and scrutinize the replication of its computer systems and
20		the migration of those systems to Frontier.

Staff and the Joint Applicants have also discussed the financial issues. As with

the OSS, we have come to agreement to resolve the financial issues.

23

21

1	Q.	How is the settlement structured?
2	A.	Attachment 1 to the settlement agreement sets out 35 separate conditions that Staff and
3		the Joint Applicant are asking the Commission to adopt as its conditions of approval of
4		the transaction, along with the conditions contained in the separate CLEC settlement
5		agreements. There are six broad categories in the settlement. They are as follows:
6		■ Financial Conditions – 1 through 12
7		■ DSL/Broadband Deployment – 13 through 18
8		■ Retail service Quality – 19 through 22
9	•	■ Retail Service Rates – 23 through 26
10		■ Operations Support Systems – 27 through 31
11		■ Washington Telephone Assistance Program (WTAP) – 32 through 35
12		
13		I. FINANCIAL CONDITIONS
14		
15	Q.	On page 3 of your direct testimony, you provided Staff's primary recommendation
16		of denial and then you stated that "there may not be any way for Frontier to provide
17		benefits to Washington customers that offset the financial harm and operational
18		risks." Why do you now recommend approval subject to the conditions that Staff
19		has negotiated with the Joint Applicants?
20	A.	In their response to Staff and Public Counsel's testimony, the Joint Applicant witnesses'
21		rebuttal testimony presents compelling evidence that Frontier will have the financial

fitness to complete the transaction ar	nd to integrate Company oper	ations without falling
into financial distress.		

Frontier rebuttal witnesses Mr. McCarthy and Mr. Whitehouse have provided detail in response to and that addresses the issues raised in Staff's testimony.. The pro forma leverage ratio (Net Debet ÷ Earnings Before Interest Taxes Depreciation and Amortization of the combined Frontier entity will compare favorably to other Rural Local Exchange Carriers (RLEC). With the synergy savings included in the pro forma data, Frontier expects to have a leverage ratio of 2.2, which is equal to the "investment grade" performance of CenturyTel, Inc. (pre merger year ended 2008). Assuming Frontier does not receive expected synergy savings, it will still perform better than the other comparable RLECs, with the exception of CenturyTel.¹

A.

Q. Your testimony stated the lower debt ratings of Frontier's debt versus Verizon's debt will cause harm to the Washington customers. Has your concern been addressed?

Yes. That concern was and is associated with customer rates and ratekmaking. That is why the settlement agreement has a provision that "investment grade debt and equity" will be used to determine the cost of capital for revenue requirement purposes when the Company files results of operations along with an alternative form of regulation petition to the Commission. This filing will take place within five years from the date of close of the proposed transaction. This provision eliminates the cost of capital issue.

¹ Rebuttal Testimony of David R. Whitehouse, p. 19.

1	Q.	You have criticized Frontier for not defining the interest rate of the \$5.5 billion debt
. 2		needed to be issued with this transaction. Has the rebuttal testimony removed this
3		issue?
4	A.	The issue has been removed because Staff has concluded that the Company will retain
5		strong cash flows even if debt is issued at 9.5 percent. Thus, Staff believes Frontier's
6		ability to issue the debt is no longer an issue.
7		
8	Q.	You have testified to other issues such as a lack of response to data requests and the
9		risk factors expressed in the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Form S-4
10		filing. Has the additional information present in the Applicants' rebuttal testimony
11		and otherwise gathered by Staff eliminated those concerns you had in your direct
12		testimony?
13	A.	With regards to the data requests, Staff is satisfied that the Joint Applicants have
14		provided relevant information that addresses the concerns expressed in my direct
15		testimony. Staff would not have entered into this settlement agreement if those issues
16		were still outstanding.
17		As for the S-4 filing, the SEC requires full disclosure of all risks attendant to
18		merger transactions from all companies. The risks disclosure has pertinent information
19		that Staff needs in order to perform a thorough review of a merger filing. The primary
20		Staff issues were financing and replication of Verizon's OSS for Frontier. Those issues
21		have been resolved with the settlement agreement.

1	Q.	Pleas	se give a brief description of financial conditions contained in the settlement
2		agre	ement.
3	A.	The s	settlement contains the following financial conditions:
4		1.	Frontier will file quarterly reports listing intercompany receivables and payables
5			balances and activity between Frontier and Frontier NW. Dividends declared by
6			Frontier NW to the parent will also be included in this report.
7		2.	Frontier Northwest (Frontier NW – the name to be given the local operating
8			company now known as Verizon Northwest) will file results of operations, an
9			alternative form or regulation (AFOR) proposal and cost of capital will be based
10			upon "investment grade" debt and equity.
11		3.	Frontier will provide bi-annual reports of synergy savings.
12		4.	Frontier will not seek to recover branding and transition costs from the
13			Washington customers.
14		5.	Frontier will not encumber Frontier NW assets.
15		6.	Frontier will furnish Staff with post-transaction financial data.
16		7.	The Company will report the annual expenditures between Verizon and Frontier
17			for transitional services (such as Verizon provision of OSS maintenance services
18	:		on behalf of Frontier).
19		8.	Frontier will comply with affiliated interest transaction filings under WAC 480-
20			120-375.

1		9.	The Joint Applicants will notify the Commission of any material change to	the
2			transaction terms and conditions between the approval of the merger and the	e close
3			date.	
4		10.	Frontier NW will maintain its books and records to enable the Company to	report
5			Washington-specific financial data.	
6		11.	Frontier NW will hold retail and wholesale customers harmless for increase	s in
7			overall management costs that result from the transaction.	
8		12.	Frontier will submit a multi-year strategic plan identifying the expected rem	naining
9			life and replacement of Washington host and remote central offices.	
10				
11			II. DSL/BROADBAND DEPLOYMENT	
12				
13	Q.	Woul	ld you explain the settlement of the broadband deployment portion of the)
14		settle	ement agreement?	
15	A.	Staff	and Frontier have reached an agreement for increased the deployment of broa	dband
16		throug	ighout the state, including in currently unserved and underserved wire centers.	The
17		Comp	pany will reach 50 percent availability in the unserved and underserved wire c	enters
18		by the	e end of 2011 and will increase the availability to 85 percent by the end of 201	14.
19		The o	other wire centers will increase broadband availability to 90 percent by the end	d of
20		2014.	•	
21			This portion of the settlement agreement requires a significant financial	
22		comm	nitment from Frontier. Within 30 days of the close of the transaction, Frontier	will
	ТЕСТ	'IMONIV	OF WILLIAM H. WEINMAN Exhibit No. T. (WE	HW-6T)

		deposit in an escrow account \$40 million dollars for the DSL deployment. The Company
2		can petition the Commission quarterly for reimbursement of broadband equipment place
3		into service during the preceding quarter. The \$40 million dollar estimate is based upon
4		Frontier's cost estimate but the settlement agreement requires that the percentages of
5		availability will be met even if the Company's deployment costs exceed \$40 million.
6		The definition of broadband services with respect to the \$40 million commitment
7		specifically excludes fiber to the home construction commitments Frontier has with local
8		franchising authorities.
9		
10	Q.	Would you briefly explain each condition?
11	A.	The DSL/Broadband deployment conditions are as follows:
12		1. Frontier will fund a \$40 million dollar escrow account for broadband deployment
13		2. If Frontier NW determines that it is technically infeasible to fulfill one or more of
13 14		2. If Frontier NW determines that it is technically infeasible to fulfill one or more of the objectives in conditions 15 through 18, it will submit a detailed report to the
14		the objectives in conditions 15 through 18, it will submit a detailed report to the
14 15		the objectives in conditions 15 through 18, it will submit a detailed report to the Commission describing the problems and propose a plan that provides at least a
14 15 16		the objectives in conditions 15 through 18, it will submit a detailed report to the Commission describing the problems and propose a plan that provides at least a similar level of public benefit.
14151617		the objectives in conditions 15 through 18, it will submit a detailed report to the Commission describing the problems and propose a plan that provides at least a similar level of public benefit. 3. Frontier NW must deploy broadband service in not less than 95 percent of the

service it deploys.

1		5.	Frontier NW must submit an initial plan for broadband deployment within 90
2			days of the transaction closing date.
3		6.	Frontier NW must make stand-alone DSL available to consumers for 12 months
4			after the closing of the transaction
5	٠		
6	Q.	Other	than the fact that it is a negotiated amount, is there anything that the
7		Comr	nission should be aware of with regard to the 95% wire center deployment and
8		the ov	verall broadband availability commitment level?
9	A.	The b	roadband commitments included in the settlement represent a significant expansion
10		of bro	adband service availability in Verizon's service territory. At this time, Verizon has
11		not de	eployed broadband services in several wire centers. Frontier is committing to
12		expan	ad broadband availability to 95% of the wire centers in the Settlement. Frontier is
13		also c	ommitting to make broadband service available to almost 90% of the households in
14		its ser	vice territory over time. With this commitment customers in Washington that
15		currer	ntly do not have access to broadband service will have a broadband service option
16		from 1	Frontier. In addition, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) approved
17	•	the Co	enturyTel, Inc. and Embarq, Inc. merger with the condition that the applicants must
18		provid	de 100 percent broadband capability to their customers. The final 10 percent of the
19		broad	band service deployment could be offered using alternative technologies such as

satellite broadband service. Staff believes that the FCC may require a similar broadband

deployment for this transaction.

20

21

YYY	TARDED A TT	CEDYMOR	OTTAT TEXT
III.	KETAIL	SERVICE	OUALITY

~	
7)	

3	Q.	The retail service quality sections contain four conditions (19 through 22). Please	ase
4		briefly explain these conditions.	

The retail service quality provisions provide assurance that the local exchange

Company's basic service quality metrics will not deteriorate following the transaction.

Specifically, Frontier NW will adopt Verizon's Service Performance Guarantee (SPG)

standards and has agreed to increase the amount for the residential missed commitment

credit from \$25.00 to \$35.00 for missed appointments. Frontier will also provide an out

of service credit of \$5.00 for service outages lasting more than two days.

Frontier has also agreed to a service quality program, based on six service metrics, which would distribute customer credits each month up to an annual amount of \$100,000 (\$100,000/12) for each missed metric. This provision also contains an escalator providing an additional credit of \$100,000 for the second year of a missed metric and another \$200,000 escalator if a metric is missed in all three years. The Company will also provide an annual report card of these metrics to their customers and to the Commission.

The Company has also agreed to the imputation associated with the spin-off of Verizon's yellow page business in Docket UT-06177.

20

21

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. Does Staff have any wholesale quality of service conditions?

A. Staff has reviewed the CLEC settlement agreements reached with all of the CLECs that have intervened in this proceeding. Staff's issues were addressed in those settlement

1		agree	ments eliminating the need for wholesale quality of service conditions in this
2		agree	ment.
3			
4		•	IV. RETAIL SERVICE RATES
5			
6	Q.	Woul	ld you briefly discuss the retail service rate conditions?
7	A.	Cond	itions pertaining to retail service rates are contained in conditions 23 through 26 of
8		the se	ettlement agreement. They are as follows:
9		1.	Frontier NW may not increase its residential flat and measured rate for a period of
10			three years. The Company may petition the Commission to seek a rate increase if
11			such an increase is made necessary by exogenous factors.
12	,	2.	The Company will continue to provide "grandfathered" services to existing
13			Verizon customers for at least six months from the transaction close date.
14		3.	Frontier must waive the Primary Interexchange Carrier non-recurring charge for
15	•		any transitioned Verizon NW intrastate long distance customer requesting a
16			change to another long distance service provider for a period of 90 days after the
17			transaction close date.
18		4.	Frontier will continue to offer bundled services for a minimum of 12 months
19			following the date of close.
20	•		

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q.	When Staff's direct testimony was filed, was the OSS replication an issue with Staff?
A.	As I indicated earlier in this testimony, financing and OSS were the primary concerns of
	Staff. Staff was concerned with the ability of the Joint Applicants to replicate the
	existing Verizon systems and successfully transition those systems to Frontier. Staff is
	satisfied that the replication and conversion of Verizon systems to Frontier does not carry
	the risk of the Hawaiian Tel conversion to the Carlyle Group or the conversion of the
	New England properties to FairPoint. Both of the previous divestitures led to disastrous
	consequences because those companies chose to develop their own new and unproven
	systems and undertook cut over from the Verizon OSS systems into their new OSS
	systems too quickly. The outcomes of those companys' decisions has led to bankruptcy
	filings by both companies. Staff's review of the replication was undertaken with a
	certain amount of skepticism due to the history of the previous Verizon divestitures. This
	issue was not resolved until after rebuttal testimony was filed by the Joint Applicants.

Verizon has spent time ensuring that the parties understand the replication process and it is willing to commit resources to engage a neutral third party consultant to review the Washington replication results. Verizon has also agreed to provide Staff with performance data produced during the 60 day pre-closing operation of the replicated systems. Verizon will provide 60 days of retail service quality reports to Commission Staff at least five days prior to close date. The report will contain the following metrics:

- a. Installation Commitments Percent of Commitment met
- b. Network Trouble Troubles per 100 access lines

1		c. Repair – Percent of Out-of-Service troubles per 100 access lines
2		d. Billing Error complaints
3		The settlement agreement affirms that Frontier may not proceed with closing the
4		proposed transaction until it has validated that the OSS are fully functional and
5		operational, and Verizon must also complete system testing and issue a report to the
6		Commission validating that the OSS replicated systems are operational.
7		Within three years of closing, the settlement agreement also requires Frontier NW
8		to submit any transition plans to move any Verizon support system element to Frontier's
9		legacy systems. The settlement agreement also requires advanced notification of changes
10		to transition wholesale services.
11		
12	Q.	Would you describe the OSS conditions by settlement number?
12 13	Q. A.	Would you describe the OSS conditions by settlement number? The settlement OSS conditions are as follows:
		·
13		The settlement OSS conditions are as follows:
13 14		The settlement OSS conditions are as follows: 1. Verizon must replicate the existing Verizon OSS for both retail and wholesale
13 14 15		The settlement OSS conditions are as follows: 1. Verizon must replicate the existing Verizon OSS for both retail and wholesale operations. Verizon must put into production the replicated systems at least 60
13 14 15 16 17		 Verizon must replicate the existing Verizon OSS for both retail and wholesale operations. Verizon must put into production the replicated systems at least 60 days prior to the transaction close date. Verizon will engage a neutral third party reviewer acceptable to Verizon and Commission Staff.
13 14 15 16 17		 The settlement OSS conditions are as follows: Verizon must replicate the existing Verizon OSS for both retail and wholesale operations. Verizon must put into production the replicated systems at least 60 days prior to the transaction close date. Verizon will engage a neutral third party reviewer acceptable to Verizon and Commission Staff. The Joint Applicants may not proceed with closing until each company has
13 14 15 16 17		 Verizon must replicate the existing Verizon OSS for both retail and wholesale operations. Verizon must put into production the replicated systems at least 60 days prior to the transaction close date. Verizon will engage a neutral third party reviewer acceptable to Verizon and Commission Staff.
13 14 15 16 17		 The settlement OSS conditions are as follows: Verizon must replicate the existing Verizon OSS for both retail and wholesale operations. Verizon must put into production the replicated systems at least 60 days prior to the transaction close date. Verizon will engage a neutral third party reviewer acceptable to Verizon and Commission Staff. The Joint Applicants may not proceed with closing until each company has

1		4.	Frontier will give notice at least 180 days before it transitions any replicated OSS
2			systems supporting wholesale operations.
3		5.	Frontier NW must maintain functionality performance and e-bonding at a level
4	ę		that is at least equal to Verizon's current performance.
5			
6			VI. WTAP
7			
8	Q.	Has	Frontier NW agreed to WTAP conditions in the settlement agreement?
9	A.	Yes.	The settlement agreement conditions numbers 32 through 35 apply to WTAP issues
10		raise	d by Ms. Stillwell's direct testimony.
11		1.	Frontier NW will provide a one-time \$75 credit to any WTAP-qualified customer
12			that fails to receive the appropriate discount or credit in the first possible billing
13			cycle after a verified application.
14		2.	Frontier NW will make monthly reports containing agreed upon WTAP data to
15			Commission Staff.
16		3.	Frontier NW will provide clear scripts to its customer service and sales
17			representatives so that customers are aware that WTAP rates are not available on
18			bundled services.
19		4.	Frontier NW will verify customer eligibility for WTAP by a three-way call to
20			DSHS during the agency's business hours.
21			

VII	PHRI	IC I	NTER	TST

\neg	

3	Q.	Is Staff convinced that this merger is in the public interest and should be approved
4		by the Commission?

5 A. Yes. With the settlement conditions reached with the Joint Applicants, Staff recommends
6 the Commission approve the transfer of control from Verizon to Frontier.

A.

Q. Would you briefly summarize why you conclude the proposed transfer is in the public interest?

The basic question becomes who will do the better job of serving the Washington customers—a local exchange company that is part of a Verizon organization, or one that is part of a Frontier organization. This question involves predictions about the future and is not one that can be definitively determined on this or any other record. The change occurring within this industry guarantees that a company that is not willing to bring new services to its customers will experience a steady or accelerated decline in its customer base. The newly formed Frontier organization may not have as favorable a financial rating as the Verizon companies but the settlement agreement shields customers from any rate impact due to that difference. Verizon NW is currently part of a larger, more diversified company, but it is a company that lacks focus on its smaller rural wire centers. Frontier makes a strong case that it has a better rural strategic plan and is willing to invest in landline operations, including broadband expansion, when compared with the relatively low priority that these objectives have, or are likely to have, within a Verizon organization. The financial conditions to which Frontier has agreed will help to ensure

A.	Yes, it does.
Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
	for the Washington customers and should be approved by the Commission.
	For the reasons discussed in this testimony, the settlement is in the public interest
	is focused on providing service to rural customers.
	that the Company does have capable management, understands the RLEC business, and
	control to Frontier is in the public interest. Frontier has provided testimony illustrating
	commitments through the settlement agreement that has convinced Staff the transfer of
	Frontier has furnished additional information and has made a number of
	applicable or are subject to safeguards negotiated to resolve the issue.
	such as financing and OSS conversions have been addressed and are either no longer
	deployment. Staff is satisfied that the primary concerns expressed in direct testimony
	conversion processes, and making a significant financial commitment to broadband
	providing additional information to Staff, providing additional details regarding the
	Staff believes the Company has resolved the issues raised in its direct testimony by
	the promise of increased investment in broadband will be realized in Washington.
	that Washington consumers do not suffer negative rate or service quality impacts and that