BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND

TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

A-130355

| In the Matter of |) | |
|--|---|------------------------------|
| |) | COMMENTS OF THE INDUSTRIAL |
| Rulemaking to Consider Possible Correction |) | CUSTOMERS OF NORTHWEST |
| and Changes in Rules in WAC 480-07, |) | UTILITIES REGARDING PROPOSED |
| Relating to Procedural Rules |) | CHANGES TO WAC§ 480-07-100 – |
| |) | WAC § 480-07-180 |
| |) | |

I. INTRODUCTION

On November 14, 2013, The Washington Utilities and

Transportation Commission (the "Commission" or "WUTC") served notice that
comments regarding proposed revisions to Part One of Washington

Administrative Code Chapter 480-07. The Industrial Customers of Northwest

Utilities ("ICNU") appreciates the opportunity to participate in the ongoing series
of workshops scheduled by the Commission submits these Comments regarding
certain of Staff's proposed revisions.

II. COMMENTS

ICNU is generally supportive of the edits proposed by Staff in WAC Sections 480-07-100 through 480-07-180. INCU's Comments focus on two sections within Part 1 of the chapter, but ICNU reserves the right to make take a later position on the rules not mentioned herein in response to comments filed by other parties or the Commission.

PAGE 1 – ICNU COMMENTS

DAVISON VAN CLEVE, P.C. 333 S.W. Taylor, Suite 400 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: (503) 241-7242 1. Waivers of Commission Rules: WAC § 480-07-110

ICNU agrees with Staff that clarification of the rule that permits

the Commission to grant waivers of its rules is important. ICNU is particularly

concerned that the current rule does not promote predictability or consistency, and

as such, may be insufficient to guarantee due process to parties that request

waivers of Commission rules, or to parties whose rights or interests may be

impaired if a waiver requested by another party is granted.

ICNU supports Staff's recommendations to WAC § 480-07-

110(1), which eliminates ambiguous language could undermine application of the

rule. Staff's deletion of the last sentence of the existing rule in this subsection

will provide greater certainty for participants in adjudications, by clarifying the

proper process for the Commission and parties to follow when a waiver is

requested, or when the Commission considers granting a waiver on its own

motion.

In order to promote predictability and due process, ICNU

recommends that Staff's suggested language in Section 480-07-110(2)(c) be

modified. As proposed, Staff's recommendation removes the "Standard for

Consideration" and describes these standards as "Factors." This proposal

confuses two important concepts, because while "Factors for Consideration" may

more appropriately describe the considerations in the paragraph, due process

requires a transparent standard for review, against which factors may be weighed.

PAGE 2 – ICNU COMMENTS

DAVISON VAN CLEVE, P.C. 333 S.W. Taylor, Suite 400 Portland, OR 97204

In order to clarify that the public interest standard remains the standard of review

that must be met in order to grant a waiver of the rules, ICNU recommends the

following language in Section 480-07-110(2)(c):

(c) Standard for consideration of Review. The standard for consideration review for a waiver of a commission rule is the public

interest standard. <u>In determining whether to grant an exemption, f</u>Factors the commission may consider include whether application of the rule

would imposes an undue hardship on the requesting person, of a degree or a kind different from hardships imposed on other similarly situated persons, and whether the effect of applying the rule to the requesting

person would be contrary to the underlying purposes of the rule.

If a standard of review is removed, the rule would appear to allow

the Commission to grant a waiver if any factor was present to any degree,

regardless of whether or not the waiver would be in the public interest, or other

offsetting factors. The Commission's statutory duty is to regulate in the public

interest. RCW § 80.01.040. The rules adopted by the Commission must be

proper, just, and reasonable. RCW § 80.04. Therefore, waivers of such rules

should only be granted when doing so is in the public interest. Retaining an

explicit public interest standard in addition to listing potential factors for the

Commission to weigh against this standard will ensure due process, an appearance

of fairness, and regulation in the public interest.

Likewise, the Commission should not eliminate Section 480-07-

110(2)(d) regarding orders resolving petitions for waivers. Subsection (d) states:

(d) Disposition. The commission will enter an order

granting or denying the petition, or setting it for hearing.

PAGE 3 – ICNU COMMENTS

DAVISON VAN CLEVE, P.C. 333 S.W. Taylor, Suite 400 Portland, OR 97204

Requests for waivers of Commission rules are petitions. WAC § 480-07-

730(1)(b)(i). Like any other petition, parties requesting or opposing a petition for

a waiver must be afforded the right to receive and understand the disposition of

the request. Waivers often have just as significant an effect upon the rights of

parties in Commission proceedings as do the resolutions of motions or petitions,

so the Commission should not remove the rule calling for an order granting or

denying the petition, so that parties will understand the Commission's disposition

of the request and create a full record in the case. If the Commission wishes to

clarify that some minor petitions for waivers of the rule may be suitable for oral

disposition by the presiding judge at a hearing, followed by memorialization in an

order following a hearing, such language may be appropriate, but not necessary,

to add to the current rule. In any case, subsection (d) should not be eliminated.

2. Electronic Service and Filing: WAC § 480-07-145

ICNU supports Staff's proposed changes to WAC § 480-07-145.

Permitting electronic filing, in addition to creating a presumption that electronic

service is acceptable, will greatly reduce the administrative burden created by

rate cases and other proceedings on the Commission, Staff, and the Parties. ICNU

notes that WAC § 480-07-145(2)(a) retains a 5:00 p.m. deadline for electronic

submissions. Given that orders in many proceedings create a 2:00 p.m. deadline

for filings with the Commission, ICNU recommends that the Commission

PAGE 4 – ICNU COMMENTS

DAVISON VAN CLEVE, P.C. 333 S.W. Taylor, Suite 400 Portland, OR 97204

considers selecting a single time for filing deadlines that could be used in most circumstances.

III. CONCLUSION

ICNU appreciates the effort exerted by Staff to streamline and

clarify rules within Part 1 of WAC Chapter 480-07. ICNU respectfully urges the

Commission to adopt the Staff's proposed rules regarding electronic filing, as

well as the modifications to Staff revisions of WAC § 480-07-110 presented in

these comments.

Dated this 20th day of December, 2013.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVISON VAN CLEVE, P.C.

/s/ Joshua D. Weber

Joshua D. Weber

333 S.W. Taylor, Suite 400

Portland, Oregon 97204

(503) 241-7242 phone

(503) 241-8160 facsimile

idw@dvclaw.com

Of Attorneys for Industrial Customers

of Northwest Utilities

PAGE 5 – ICNU COMMENTS

DAVISON VAN CLEVE, P.C. 333 S.W. Taylor, Suite 400 Portland, OR 97204