EXHIBIT NO. ___(CES-16)
DOCKET NO. UE-060266/UG-060267
2006 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE
WITNESS: CALVIN E. SHIRLEY

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,

Docket No. UE-060266 Docket No. UG-060267

Respondent.

SEVENTH EXHIBIT (NONCONFIDENTIAL) TO THE PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF CALVIN E. SHIRLEY ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Docket Nos. UE-060266 & UG-060267 Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s 2006 General Rate Case

NWEC DATA REQUEST NO. 026

NWEC DATA REQUEST NO. 026:

Electric Energy Efficiency

Please calculate the total Incentive the Company would receive if it achieved:

- a. 115% of the proposed baseline target
- b. 116% of the proposed baseline target
- c. 130% of the proposed baseline target
- d. 131% of the proposed baseline target

Please provide the detail of each calculation.

Response:

The calculations below represent the hypothetical total incentive Puget Sound Energy, Inc. ("PSE") would receive for achieving savings greater than the proposed baseline target, all else held equal. PSE used a baseline target of 16.5 aMW and program costs of \$29,449,500 to calculate the following incentive levels:

- a) Savings of 115% of the proposed baseline target translate into 19 aMW of savings and have an incentive of \$2,944,950. Please see Table 1 Hypothetical Incentive Scenarios below for a detailed calculation.
- b) Savings of 116% of the proposed baseline target translate into 19.1 aMW of savings and have an incentive of \$4,417,425. Please see Table 1 Hypothetical Incentive Scenarios below for a detailed calculation.
- c) Savings of 130% of the proposed baseline target translate into 21.5 aMW of savings and have an incentive of \$4,417,425. Please see Table 1 Hypothetical Incentive Scenarios below for a detailed calculation.
- d) Savings of 131% of the proposed baseline target translate into 21.6 aMW of savings and have an incentive of \$5,889,900. Please see Table 1 Hypothetical Incentive Scenarios below for a detailed calculation.

PSE's Response to NWEC Data Request No. 026

Date of Response: May 11, 2006

Person who Prepared the Response: Zac Yanez

Witness Knowledgeable About the Response: Calvin E. Shirley

| | Table 1 Hypothetical Incentive Scenarios | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---|--|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1 | Achieved Savings (aMW) | 19.0 | 19.1 | 21.5 | 21.6 |
| 2 | Baseline Target (aMW) | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 |
| 3 | Achieved as % of Baseline Target Row 1 / Row 2 | 115% | 116% | 130% | 131% |
| 4 | Threshold Band from Exh (CES-4) Based on Calculated Value in Row 3 | С | В | В | А |
| 5 | Total Electric Rider Program Expenses | \$29,449,500 | \$29,449,500 | \$29,449,500 | \$29,449,500 |
| 6 | Incentive Factor from Exh (CES-4) | 10% | 15% | 15% | 20% |
| 7 | Amount of Incentive (after-tax dollars) Row 5 X Row 6 | \$ 2,944,950 | \$ 4,417,425 | \$ 4,417,425 | \$ 5,889,900 |