## Docket Nos. UE-170485 and UG-170486 (Consolidated) - Vol. II

## Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission v. Avista Corporation

November 8, 2017



COURT REPORTING AND LEGAL VIDEO

206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 <u>www.buellrealtime.com</u> email: <u>info@buellrealtime.com</u>



Page 24		Page 26
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON	1	SPOKANE, WASHINGTON; WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2017
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	2	6:00 p.m.
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND )DOCKET NOS. UE-170485	3	
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, )and UG-170486	4	
) Complainant, )	5	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Good evening. It is November 8,
)		017, and this is a meeting of the Washington Utilities
vs. )	<u>د</u>	nd Transportation Commission. And we are here tonight
) AVISTA CORPORATION, d/b/a )		n Spokane to take public comments on a rate increase roceeding that is before the UTC at this time in dockets
AVISTA UTILITIES, )	r r	JE-170485 and UG-170486.
)	11	On May 26, 2017, Avista filed with the Commission a
Respondent. )		equest to increase increase its electric and natural
/		as rates. Avista proposed a three-year rate plan for
	-	lectric and natural gas service with rate increases
VOLUME II		oing into effect on May 1st of each year of the rate
PUBLIC COMMENTS HEARING	-	lan. They propose to increase the monthly basic charge
November 8, 2017	17 to	\$10 for both electric and natural gas services in the
6:00 p.m 7:45 p.m. 4001 North Cook Street	18 fi	rst year of the rate plan, and if approved, these
Spokane, Washington	19 ir	ncreases will impact your bills as follows:
	20	In 2018, the average monthly bill for customers
	21 k	ilowatt hours would be \$95.14; in 2019, it would be
	22 \$	97.67; and then finally in 2020, \$100.28. This are
		ncreases of in 2018, 12 percent; followed by an
REPORTED BY:		ncrease of 2.4 percent in 2019; and 2020, 2.5 percent.
RACHAEL L. HALL, CCR NO. 3265	25	For natural gas, the proposed increases affecting an
Dago 25		Dago 27
Page 25		Page 27
2 WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION		average monthly bill for customers using 65 therm for
2 WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: 3	2	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020,
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: 3 DAVID DANNER, Chairman	2 3	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: 3 DAVID DANNER, Chairman 4 ANN RENDAHL, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner	2 3 4	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: DAVID DANNER, Chairman 4 ANN RENDAHL, Commissioner	2 3 4 5	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: 3 3 4 5 5 5 6 7 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	2 3 4 5 6	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan capital investments, a generation for facilities equipped
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: DAVID DANNER, Chairman ANN RENDAHL, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner ANDREW ROBERTS, Regulatory Analyst Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250	2 3 4 5 6 7	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan capital investments, a generation for facilities equipped with assistive performance, growth and capital
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: 3 3 4 ANN RENDAHL, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner 5 ANDREW ROBERTS, Regulatory Analyst Washington Utilities and 6 Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW 7 P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan capital investments, a generation for facilities equipped with assistive performance, growth and capital investments and operating expenses that outpace revenues
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: DAVID DANNER, Chairman ANN RENDAHL, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner SANDREW ROBERTS, Regulatory Analyst Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1186	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan capital investments, a generation for facilities equipped with assistive performance, growth and capital
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: DAVID DANNER, Chairman ANN RENDAHL, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner SANDREW ROBERTS, Regulatory Analyst Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1186 9 FOR AVISTA UTILITIES: 11 DAVID J. MEYER	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan capital investments, a generation for facilities equipped with assistive performance, growth and capital investments and operating expenses that outpace revenues and increases in the cost of purchased electricity on the
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan capital investments, a generation for facilities equipped with assistive performance, growth and capital investments and operating expenses that outpace revenues and increases in the cost of purchased electricity on the market, among other things.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: DAVID DANNER, Chairman ANN RENDAHL, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner SANDREW ROBERTS, Regulatory Analyst Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 3 360.664.1186 FOR AVISTA UTILITIES: DAVID J. MEYER VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan capital investments, a generation for facilities equipped with assistive performance, growth and capital investments and operating expenses that outpace revenues and increases in the cost of purchased electricity on the market, among other things. So the Utilities and Transportation Commission
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: 3 5 6 7 7 8 7 8 9 9 10 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan capital investments, a generation for facilities equipped with assistive performance, growth and capital investments and operating expenses that outpace revenues and increases in the cost of purchased electricity on the market, among other things. So the Utilities and Transportation Commission generally deals with rate increases in an adjudicative
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: DAVID DANNER, Chairman ANN RENDAHL, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner S ANDREW ROBERTS, Regulatory Analyst Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 3 360.664.1186 9 FOR AVISTA UTILITIES: 11 DAVID J. MEYER VP and Chief Counsel for 12 Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation 13 P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 14 Spokane, WA 99220-3727 509.495.4316	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan capital investments, a generation for facilities equipped with assistive performance, growth and capital investments and operating expenses that outpace revenues and increases in the cost of purchased electricity on the market, among other things. So the Utilities and Transportation Commission generally deals with rate increases in an adjudicative proceeding. That means the three of us sit as judges in these proceedings, and we take cases and hear the cases in compliance with the Administrative Procedures Act,
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: DAVID DANNER, Chairman ANN RENDAHL, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner ANDREW ROBERTS, Regulatory Analyst Washington Utilities and ANDREW ROBERTS, Regulatory Analyst Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 3 030.664.1186 9 10 FOR AVISTA UTILITIES: 11 DAVID J. MEYER VP and Chief Counsel for 12 Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation 13 P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 14 Spokane, WA 99220-3727 509.495.4316 15 david.meyer@avistacorp.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan capital investments, a generation for facilities equipped with assistive performance, growth and capital investments and operating expenses that outpace revenues and increases in the cost of purchased electricity on the market, among other things. So the Utilities and Transportation Commission generally deals with rate increases in an adjudicative proceeding. That means the three of us sit as judges in these proceedings, and we take cases and hear the cases in compliance with the Administrative Procedures Act, which means we have several parties before the
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: DAVID DANNER, Chairman ANN RENDAHL, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner SANDREW ROBERTS, Regulatory Analyst Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 3 360.664.1186 FOR AVISTA UTILITIES: DAVID J. MEYER VP and Chief Counsel for P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 Sog.495.4316 FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan capital investments, a generation for facilities equipped with assistive performance, growth and capital investments and operating expenses that outpace revenues and increases in the cost of purchased electricity on the market, among other things. So the Utilities and Transportation Commission generally deals with rate increases in an adjudicative proceeding. That means the three of us sit as judges in these proceedings, and we take cases and hear the cases in compliance with the Administrative Procedures Act, which means we have several parties before the Commission.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: DAVID DANNER, Chairman ANN RENDAHL, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner SANDREW ROBERTS, Regulatory Analyst Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 3 360.664.1186 9 10 FOR AVISTA UTILITIES: 11 DAVID J. MEYER VP and Chief Counsel for 12 Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation 13 P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 14 Spokane, WA 99220-3727 509.495.4316 FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: 17 CHRISTOPHER CASEY	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan capital investments, a generation for facilities equipped with assistive performance, growth and capital investments and operating expenses that outpace revenues and increases in the cost of purchased electricity on the market, among other things. So the Utilities and Transportation Commission generally deals with rate increases in an adjudicative proceeding. That means the three of us sit as judges in these proceedings, and we take cases and hear the cases in compliance with the Administrative Procedures Act, which means we have several parties before the Commission. The company, Avista, obviously, is a party. Our
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: DAVID DANNER, Chairman ANN RENDAHL, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner SANDREW ROBERTS, Regulatory Analyst Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 3 360.664.1186 9 FOR AVISTA UTILITIES: DAVID J. MEYER VP and Chief Counsel for 2 Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation 3 P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 509.495.4316 5 david.meyer@avistacorp.com FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: 7 CHRISTOPHER CASEY Assistant Attorney General	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan capital investments, a generation for facilities equipped with assistive performance, growth and capital investments and operating expenses that outpace revenues and increases in the cost of purchased electricity on the market, among other things. So the Utilities and Transportation Commission generally deals with rate increases in an adjudicative proceeding. That means the three of us sit as judges in these proceedings, and we take cases and hear the cases in compliance with the Administrative Procedures Act, which means we have several parties before the Commission. The company, Avista, obviously, is a party. Our Commission staff is a separate party, and then we have
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: DAVID DANNER, Chairman ANN RENDAHL, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner SANDREW ROBERTS, Regulatory Analyst Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 3 360.664.1186 9 FOR AVISTA UTILITIES: 1 DAVID J. MEYER VP and Chief Counsel for 2 Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation 13 P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 14 Spokane, WA 99220-3727 509.495.4316 5 david.meyer@avistacorp.com FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: 7 CHRISTOPHER CASEY 18 Assistant Attorney General Public Counsel Section 9 Office of the Attorney General	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan capital investments, a generation for facilities equipped with assistive performance, growth and capital investments and operating expenses that outpace revenues and increases in the cost of purchased electricity on the market, among other things. So the Utilities and Transportation Commission generally deals with rate increases in an adjudicative proceeding. That means the three of us sit as judges in these proceedings, and we take cases and hear the cases in compliance with the Administrative Procedures Act, which means we have several parties before the Commission. The company, Avista, obviously, is a party. Our Commission staff is a separate party, and then we have the intervenors, such as environmental groups, industrial
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: AND RENDAHL, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner SANDREW ROBERTS, Regulatory Analyst Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 3 360.664.1186 FOR AVISTA UTILITIES: DAVID J. MEYER VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation PO. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 Sog.495.4316 FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: CHRISTOPHER CASEY Assistant Attorney General Public Counsel Section POINT Counsel Section POINT Counsel Section PUBLIC COUNSEL: PUBLIC COUNSEL: OUTILIANS SUBJECT SUBJE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan capital investments, a generation for facilities equipped with assistive performance, growth and capital investments and operating expenses that outpace revenues and increases in the cost of purchased electricity on the market, among other things. So the Utilities and Transportation Commission generally deals with rate increases in an adjudicative proceeding. That means the three of us sit as judges in these proceedings, and we take cases and hear the cases in compliance with the Administrative Procedures Act, which means we have several parties before the Commission. The company, Avista, obviously, is a party. Our Commission staff is a separate party, and then we have the intervenors, such as environmental groups, industrial customers, consumer advocates and low-income advocates.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: DAVID DANNER, Chairman ANN RENDAHL, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner SANDREW ROBERTS, Regulatory Analyst Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 8 360.664.1186 9 FOR AVISTA UTILITIES: 1 DAVID J. MEYER VP and Chief Counsel for 2 Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation 13 P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 14 Spokane, WA 99220-3727 509.495.4316 FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: 7 CHRISTOPHER CASEY 18 Assistant Attorney General Public Counsel Section 19 Office of the Attorney General 800 Fifth Avenue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan capital investments, a generation for facilities equipped with assistive performance, growth and capital investments and operating expenses that outpace revenues and increases in the cost of purchased electricity on the market, among other things. So the Utilities and Transportation Commission generally deals with rate increases in an adjudicative proceeding. That means the three of us sit as judges in these proceedings, and we take cases and hear the cases in compliance with the Administrative Procedures Act, which means we have several parties before the Commission. The company, Avista, obviously, is a party. Our Commission staff is a separate party, and then we have the intervenors, such as environmental groups, industrial customers, consumer advocates and low-income advocates. The case is generally a trial, a multi-day trial
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: DAVID DANNER, Chairman ANN RENDAHL, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner SANDREW ROBERTS, Regulatory Analyst Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 3 360.664.1186 FOR AVISTA UTILITIES: DAVID J. MEYER VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 509.495.4316 FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: CHRISTOPHER CASEY Assistant Attorney General Public Counsel Section Public Counsel Section Suite 2000, TB-14 Seattle, Washington 98104 20 Suite 2000, TB-14 Seattle, Washington 98104 21 2004	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan capital investments, a generation for facilities equipped with assistive performance, growth and capital investments and operating expenses that outpace revenues and increases in the cost of purchased electricity on the market, among other things. So the Utilities and Transportation Commission generally deals with rate increases in an adjudicative proceeding. That means the three of us sit as judges in these proceedings, and we take cases and hear the cases in compliance with the Administrative Procedures Act, which means we have several parties before the Commission. The company, Avista, obviously, is a party. Our Commission staff is a separate party, and then we have the intervenors, such as environmental groups, industrial customers, consumer advocates and low-income advocates. The case is generally a trial, a multi-day trial that we hold in Olympia, Washington, and we hear
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: DAVID DANNER, Chairman ANN RENDAHL, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner SANDREW ROBERTS, Regulatory Analyst Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 3 360.664.1186 9 10 FOR AVISTA UTILITIES: 11 DAVID J. MEYER VP and Chief Counsel for 12 Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation 13 P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 14 Spokane, WA 99220-3727 509.495.4316 15 david.meyer@avistacorp.com 16 FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: 17 CHRISTOPHER CASEY 18 Assistant Attorney General Public Counsel Section 19 Office of the Attorney General 800 Fifth Avenue 20 Suite 2000, TB-14 Seattle, Washington 98104 21 206.464.6595 22	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan capital investments, a generation for facilities equipped with assistive performance, growth and capital investments and operating expenses that outpace revenues and increases in the cost of purchased electricity on the market, among other things. So the Utilities and Transportation Commission generally deals with rate increases in an adjudicative proceeding. That means the three of us sit as judges in these proceedings, and we take cases and hear the cases in compliance with the Administrative Procedures Act, which means we have several parties before the Commission. The company, Avista, obviously, is a party. Our Commission staff is a separate party, and then we have the intervenors, such as environmental groups, industrial customers, consumer advocates and low-income advocates. The case is generally a trial, a multi-day trial that we hold in Olympia, Washington, and we hear testimony from witnesses for all the parties and we make
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS: DAVID DANNER, Chairman ANN RENDAHL, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner ANDREW ROBERTS, Regulatory Analyst Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 3 360.664.1186 9 FOR AVISTA UTILITIES: DAVID J. MEYER VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 4 Spokane, WA 99220-3727 509.495.4316 FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: CHRISTOPHER CASEY 18 Assistant Attorney General Public Counsel Section 19 Office of the Attorney General 800 Fifth Avenue 20 Suite 2000, TB-14 Seattle, Washington 98104 21 206.464.6595 22	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	2018 would be \$61.39; 2019, \$63.92; and finally in 2020, \$66.53. Those are increases in 2018 of 5.4 percent; in 2019, 2.6 percent; and in 2020, 2.7 percent. So Avista states the increases are needed for plan capital investments, a generation for facilities equipped with assistive performance, growth and capital investments and operating expenses that outpace revenues and increases in the cost of purchased electricity on the market, among other things. So the Utilities and Transportation Commission generally deals with rate increases in an adjudicative proceeding. That means the three of us sit as judges in these proceedings, and we take cases and hear the cases in compliance with the Administrative Procedures Act, which means we have several parties before the Commission. The company, Avista, obviously, is a party. Our Commission staff is a separate party, and then we have the intervenors, such as environmental groups, industrial customers, consumer advocates and low-income advocates. The case is generally a trial, a multi-day trial that we hold in Olympia, Washington, and we hear

1 (Pages 24 to 27)

1         is that we want to hear from the public. We need to hear your was on how this request affects you, how you lead about, and that in ease the infin. This is part of the adjudcation. We have a court reporter here with us, so if your going to commission is part of the adjudcation. We have a court protect here with us, so if your going to commission staff.         If the same reasons that have board your your failer year.           10         Wo also have representatives of the my your detery and slowly so that she can here you.         A second reasons that have board your your failer year.           11         Where is - ch, day. There's our attroney general - the the organy hard kills, and this is about to parametarises of the public Coursel with the company hard half is and that's assistint for him. We also have a representative of the Public Coursel with the company hard half is and the same to have the regular budget.         If the Automy General Armikka Byant. soil you have quasitors for him. We also have a negresentatives from the company hard that is about the paramethyse of the same received a power cost rate adjustments, are paid by representing the company. And twent stee to non- the have a negroused in the we have the surcharges, these power cost rate adjustments, are paid by whe directs afterwards. They can wisk with you if you have about her introduce - ther of the company.           12         We also have a negresentative of Massi we wath representing the company. And twent stee to a direct your questions are, well be around.           24         Word files a faterwards. They can wisk with you if you have about to introduce - ther of advist with well and on wisk the commissioner advises and metabout.           25         We also have an the mouthy biling. <th></th> <th>Page 28</th> <th></th> <th>Page 30</th>		Page 28		Page 30
2         your verse on how this request affects you, how you feel about a, and that is near the register affects you, how you feel what you're thinking. It does inform our decision.         are the same reasons that have been given year after year.           3         are the same reasons that have been given year after year.           4         Asecond reason given was online payment system. It seems to me that this should be a cost efficiency, not a reason to raise reason. Another reason given was online payment system. It seems to me that this should be a cost efficiency, not a reason to raise reason. A there is an extreme of the direct year.           9         We also have representatives of Cormission staft.           9         We also have representatives of Cormission staft.           10         the direct year.           11         the direct year.           12         the direct year.           13         of the Attorney General's office, and that's Assistant the company here that I see. So I actually - why don't you include the representatives of Askas that are to measoning about the weather of the shifting.           14         Attorney General's office, and that's Assistant the company here that I see. So I actually - why don't you include the representative of near and members of the Commission. Im David Meyer, and I'm alswayer representing the company. And wort these up to much time, but I do want to include us: the torparts, here years in adjustments, are paid by how firects afterwards. They can wiskt with you I'you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, welib e around.           14 <th>1</th> <th>is that we want to hear from the public. We need to hear</th> <th>1</th> <th>infrastructure and dam renovations. The problem, these</th>	1	is that we want to hear from the public. We need to hear	1	infrastructure and dam renovations. The problem, these
about i, and that is news that, really, we want to know         after year.           whet you're thinking. I boos inform or decision.         A second reason given was online payment system. It is shout on the south reason given was online payment system. It is sout you're gaing to comment tonight, please speak         A second reason given was online payment system. It is sout you're gaing to comment tonight, please speak           0         Wa also have representatives of Commission staff.         Fear and this is also in the billing materials that we get from Avista: foderal reforming. It seems to me that this is hould be a cost efficiency, not a reason. Take we have ment that is a company there we have a comment tonight. Seems to me that this is hould be a cost efficiency, not a reason. Take we have ment the company here is a - oh, okay. There's our attorney general from the company. Avista we presentative of the Public Coursel unit of the Atomory General Armikk Bayart, so if you have questions for the hous come har the second gas and electricity as a reason. Take we have not nee that the company have questions for the company. Avista we presentative of the Public Coursel unit the the company have questions for the company. Avista we have not nee payment from the request of a gas and electricity as a reason. Take we have an here to methor this to take the comments of a data containes to a sequence of a same determing the weather or the shifting cost of gas and electricity as a reason. Take weather or the shifting cost of gas and electricity as a reason. Take weather or the shifting cost of gas and electricity as a reason. Take weather an onerge second is how and here and a sequence of a second electricity as a reason. Take weather and nearge and mexima.           10         MK. MEYEFF. Thank vou. A many to the company.         The take and merge		•		-
4         A second reason given was online payment system. It           5         So that sity was are here storght. This is part of           6         the adjudcation. We have account reporter here with us,           7         so if you're going to comment tonight, please speak           7         wo also have representatives of Commission staff.           9         We also have representatives of Commission staff.           10         The eligitist is the state of the state state and factored into their reason given in that           11         the Attorney General's office, and that's Assistant         10           12         The attorney General's office, and that's Assistant         10           13         of the Attorney General's office, and that's Assistant         10           14         Attorney General's office, and there's constromes from         10           15         for him. We also have a number of representatives of a value meany which is totally           16         the commission. It David Meyer, and I'm al lawyer           17         type: ritroduce in the reposition of david sultime, and           18         by ratepyers and are approved in both Washington and           19         We also have others in attendance here from the company.           10         who directs afterwards. They con visit with you           11         who directs a				
<ul> <li>So that's why we are here tonight. This is part of the the accurre proceed here with us, so if you're going to comment tonight, please speak clearly and slowly so that she can hear you.</li> <li>We also have representatives of Cormission staff.</li> <li>Where is</li></ul>	4	-		-
6       the adjudication. We have a court reporter here with us,       7       soft you're going to comment toright, please speak         7       soft you're going to comment toright, please speak       7         9       We also have representatives of Commission staff.         9       We also have representatives of Commission staff.         11       the UTC's Assistant Attorney General Critic Cause jis here.         12       The Attorney General Critic Cause jis here.         13       of the Attorney General Attorney General Critic Cause jis here.         14       the Compass table of the Staff.         15       for him. We also have a number of representatives of Nut have aguisation that a staff.         16       the compass have a number of representatives of Nut have aguisation to the company.         17       you introduce the representative of Nut have up to much         18       MR. MYEYE: Thank you, Chairman Damer and members         19       MR. MYEYE: Thank you, Chairman Damer and members         10       mime, but I do want to introduce the or individuals: Dennis         13       the commission. Im David Mayer, and I'm also agains         14       do wind trests afterwards. They can visit with you I you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever         15       the commission and bill bear source for service present the company.         16	5			
7       soli youre going to comment toright, please speak       article, and this is also in the illing materials that         8       clearly and solvy solut table can have you.       article, and this is also in the illing materials that         9       We also have representatives of Commission staff.       9         10       Where is - oh, okay. There's our atorney general -       11         11       the UTCS Assistant Attorney General Chris Casey is here.       11         12       We also have arepresentative of the Public Coursel unit       11         13       of the Attorney General Armikka Byant, sol i you have questions       11         14       Attorney General Armikka Byant, sol i you have questions       12         15       for him. We also have an unber of representatives of Avista who are here       13         16       the company. hand that, and members       16         17       representing the company. And I won't take up to much       18         18       suchage, service, Transki you, Transman Danner and members       10         19       Wermilion, who is the president of Avista Valitilies, and       22         10       Wermilion, who is the president of Avista Valitilies, and       22         11       Transki you, are wide president of the company.       23         12       Werotista fietrwards. They can w	6		6	
<ul> <li>clearly and slowly so that she can hear you.</li> <li>We also have representatives of Cornnission staff.</li> <li>Where is - ch, okay. There's our attorney general -</li> <li>the UTC's Assistant Attorney General Chris Casey is here.</li> <li>We also have a representative of the Public Counsel unit.</li> <li>of the Attorney General Chris Casey is here.</li> <li>Attorney General Chris Casey is here.</li> <li>the company here that I see. So I actually why don't</li> <li>you introduce the representatives from</li> <li>the company here that I see. So I actually why don't</li> <li>you introduce the representatives of Avisa who are here</li> <li>tonight. It will be a resource for sue.</li> <li>MR KYER: Thank you. Chairmen Denner and members</li> <li>of the Commission. I'm David Meyer, and I'm a lawyer</li> <li>representing the company. And I worl take up to much</li> <li>time, but I do want to introduce two individuals: Dennis</li> <li>Vermillion, who is the presentative do Avista Utilities, and</li> <li>Jason Thackston, a senior Vice-president of the company.</li> <li>We also have others in attendance here from the company.</li> <li>We also have others in attendance here from the company.</li> <li>We also have others in attendance here from the company.</li> <li>We also have others in attendance here from the company.</li> <li>We also have others in attendance here from the company.</li> <li>We also have others in attendance here from the company.</li> <li>We also have others in attendance here from the company.</li> <li>We also have others in attendance here from the company.</li> <li>We also have others in attendance here from the company.</li> <li>We also have others in attendance here from the company.</li> <li>We also have others in attendance here from the company.</li> <li>We also have others in attendance here from the company.</li> <li>Charl May Like and and raise your ha</li></ul>	7		7	
9       We also have representatives of Commission staff.       9       the LTC's Assistant Attorney General Chins Casey is here.       9         10       Where is – oh, okey. There's our attorney general –       10       11         11       the UTC's Assistant Attorney General Chins Casey is here.       11         12       of the Attorney General Attorney General Softice, and that's Assistant       12         13       of the Attorney General Attoney General Attonexe Mtorey General Attorne	8		8	
11       the UFCs Assistant Attorney General cost, and I         12       thick its should be planned and factored into their regular budget.         13       of the Attorney General Attorney General Soffice, and that's Assistant         14       Attorney General Attorney General Soffice, and that's Assistant         15       for hattorney General Attorney General Soffice, and that's Assistant         16       for him. We also have a number of representatives from         17       you introduce the representatives of Aksta who are here         18       toring the a resource for sure.         19       MR. MEYER: Thank you, Chairman Danner and members         20       of the Cormission. The David Meyer, and Tma lawyer         21       representing the company. And I won't take up too much         22       time, buil I do want to introduce two individuals: Dennis         23       time, buil I do want to introduce the intergence in the company.         24       Jason Thackston, a senior vice-president of vice company.         25       Page 29         26       Page 29         27       popole who can't pay. So how can customers in davidant in the monthy bill.         28       Cormissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for proceed, and energy price fluctual, and I = have a graph here that I di this of proceed, and energy price fluctuad, three year request. If m curious, how can havist a ho	9	We also have representatives of Commission staff.	9	
12       We also have a representative of the Public Counsel unit       12       regular budget.         13       of the Attorney General Amikka Bryant, sof you have questions       13       The Parennially, Avista will include in its request         14       Attorney General Amikka Bryant, sof you have questions       14       13         15       for him. We also have a number of representatives from       15       cost of gas and electricity as a reason. Yet Avista has         16       the company here that I see. So I actually – why dwith       16       cost of gas and electricity as a reason. Yet Avista has         17       you introduce the representatives of Avista who are here       17       separate from the rate increase request, and these         18       surcharges, these power cost rate adjustment which is totally       separate from the rate increase request, and these         19       MR MEYER: Thank you, Charman Danner and members       10       by ratepayers and are approved in bott Wassimigon and         20       time, but I do want to introduce two individuals: Dennis       22       Avista also asks the customers for donalison to         21       vbermillion, who is the president of Avista Utilies, and       23       think it's a great idee, however, Avista has UTC approval         23       Vermillion, who is the greater of the company.       24       to the ratepayers, and this is a low-income monthy billing.	10		10	
12       We also have a representative of the Public Counsel unit       12       Parennially, Avista will include in its request         13       of the Attorney General Arnikka Bryart, sof you have questions       13       Parennially, Avista will include in its request         14       Attorney General Arnikka Bryart, sof you have questions       14       13       Parennially, Avista will include in its request         15       for him. We also have a number of representatives from       15       cost of gas and electricity as a reason. Yet Avista has         16       the company here that Isse. So I actually – why dwint       16       cost of gas and electricity as a reason. Yet Avista has         17       you introduce the representatives of Avista who are here       17       separate from the real increase request, and these         18       surcharges, these power cost rate adjustment which is totally       by ratepayers and are approved in both Washington and         19       MR MEYER: Thank you, Chriaman Danner and members       10       by ratepayers and are approved in both Washington and         20       tianko the commission. The David Mayer, and Im a lawyer       20       Lakob the UTC approval         21       time, but I do want to introduce two individuals: Dennis       22       Avista also asks the customers for donations to         23       Vermillion, who is the president of Avista Utilititites, and       23       t	11	the UTC's Assistant Attorney General Chris Casey is here.	11	think it should be planned and factored into their
14       Attorney General Armikka Bryant, so if you have questions       14       changes their reaconing about the weather or the shifting         15       for him. We also have a number of representatives from       15       cost of gas and electricity as a reason. Yet Avista has         16       the company here that I see. So I actually why don't       you introduce the representatives of Avista who are here       17         17       you introduce the representatives of Avista who are here       17       separate from the rate increase request, and these         18       MR: MEYER: Thank you, Chairman Danner and members       19       by ratepayers and are approved in both Washington and         19       MR: MEYER: Thank you, Chairman Danner and members       10       Likab by the UTG and included in our monthly billing.         20       time, but I do want to introduce two individuals: Dennis       20       Likab by the UTG and included in the monthly bill         21       types and the president of Avista Utilities, and       21       think it's a great idea: however, Avista has But C2 approval         22       We also have others in attendance here from the company.       22       bow-income customers' relief. Don't get me wrong, I         23       We also have others in attendance here from the company.       23       to the ratepayers, and this is a low-income methy bill         24       base of avis awe you who dinects afterwards. They can	12	We also have a representative of the Public Counsel unit	12	-
14       Attorney General Armikka Bryant, so if you have questions       14       changes their reaconing about the weather or the shifting         15       for him. We also have a number of representatives from       15       cost of gas and electricity as a reason. Yet Avista has         16       the company here that I see. So I actually why don't       you introduce the representatives of Avista who are here       17         17       you introduce the representatives of Avista who are here       17       separate from the rate increase request, and these         18       MR: MEYER: Thank you, Chairman Danner and members       19       by ratepayers and are approved in both Washington and         19       MR: MEYER: Thank you, Chairman Danner and members       10       Likab by the UTG and included in our monthly billing.         20       time, but I do want to introduce two individuals: Dennis       20       Likab by the UTG and included in the monthly bill         21       types and the president of Avista Utilities, and       21       think it's a great idea: however, Avista has But C2 approval         22       We also have others in attendance here from the company.       22       bow-income customers' relief. Don't get me wrong, I         23       We also have others in attendance here from the company.       23       to the ratepayers, and this is a low-income methy bill         24       base of avis awe you who dinects afterwards. They can	13	of the Attorney General's office, and that's Assistant	13	Perennially, Avista will include in its request
16       the company here that I see. So I actually why don't       16       received a power cost rate adjustment which is totally         17       you introduce the representatives of Avista who are here       17       separate from the rate increase request, and these         18       tonight. It will be aresource for sure.       18       surpresenting the company. And I won't take up to much         19       MR. MEYER: Thank you, Chairman Danner and members       19       by ratepagers and are approved in both Washington and         20       time, but I do want to introduce two individuals: Dennis       20       Laka Dason Thackston, a senior vice-president of the company.         21       Wermilion, who is the president of Avista Wilb qui I you       Asson Thackston, a senior vice-president of the company.       21       Think it's a great idea: however, Avista has UTC approval         22       We also have others in attendance here from the company.       23       think it's a great idea: however, Avista has UTC approval         23       who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you       10       10       people who can't pay. So how can customers who         2       your questions are, well be around.       10       10       people who can't pay. So how can customers who         2       your questions are, well be around.       10       10       10       10         3       commissioner J	14	Attorney General Armikka Bryant, so if you have questions	14	
17       you introduce the representatives of Avista who are here       17       separate from the rate increase request, and these         18       MR MEYER. Thank you, Chairman Danner and members       18       surcharges, these power cost rate adjustments, are paid         20       of the Commission. I'm David Meyer, and I'm a lawyer       19         21       ime, but I do want to introduce two individuals: Dennis       20         23       Vermillion, who is the president of the company.       21         24       Jason Thackston, Chairman Danner and members       22         23       Vermillion, who is the president of the company.       23         24       Jason Thackston, Seident of the company.       24         25       We also have others in attendance here from the company.       25         26       Page 29       Page 21         27       who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you       1       generously contribute, apart from their regular billing,         36       Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left,       2       Page 23         37       Dave Danner, chair of the Commisston, and with me is       2       percent, but I find         38       Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left,       2       And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how         39	15	for him. We also have a number of representatives from	15	cost of gas and electricity as a reason. Yet Avista has
18       tonight. It will be a resource for sure.       18       surcharges, these power cost rate adjustments, are paid by ratepagers and are approved in both Washington and subtements, are paid by ratepagers and are approved in both Washington and subtements, are paid by ratepagers and are approved in both Washington and subtements, are paid by ratepagers and are approved in both Washington and subtements, are paid by ratepagers and are approved in both Washington and subtements, are paid by ratepagers and are approved in both Washington and subtements, are paid by ratepagers and areases and rease approved in both Washington and subtements, are paid by reading and and were approved in both Washington and subtements, are paid by ratepagers and areases at a percense tore subtements and tharease areaphenes in a suborincome monthy realize thath	16	the company here that I see. So I actually why don't	16	received a power cost rate adjustment which is totally
19       MR. MEYER: Thank you, Chairman Danner and members       19       by ratepayers and are approved in both Washington and         20       of the Commission. I'm David Meyer, and I'm a lawyer       20         21       representing the company. And I won't take up to much       20       Avista also asks the customers for donations to         22       time, but I do want to introduce two individuals: Dennis       20       Ithink it's a great idea: however, Avista has UTC approval         23       Vermillion, who is the president of the company.       20       Ithink it's a great idea: however, Avista has UTC approval         24       Jason Thackston, a senior vice-president of the company.       21       think it's a great idea: however, Avista has UTC approval         25       We also have others in attendance here from the company.       23       to the ratepayers, and this is a low-income rate help for         26       Page 29       Page 31         27       who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you       1       generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthy         26       CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.       5       And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how         3       Zor questions are, we'll be around.       7       Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as         3       Commissioner Ann Rendahl. B	17	you introduce the representatives of Avista who are here	17	
20       of the Commission. I'm David Meyer, and I'm a lawyer       20       Idaho by the UTC and included in our monthly billing.         21       time, but I do want to introduce two individuals: Dennis       20       Avista also asks the customers for Ide.         23       Vermillion, who is the president of Avista Utilities, and       23       23         24       Jason Thackston, a senior vice-president of the company.       24       10w-income customers field.       Don't get me wrong, I         25       We also have others in attendance here from the company.       24       think it's a great idea; however, Avista has UTC approval         26       Page 29       Page 31         27       who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you       1       people who can't pay. So how can customers who         28       Page 29       Page 31         29       realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly         4       Thank you very much. Thank you.       1         4       Thank you very much. Thank you.       1         7       Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is       7         8       Commissioner An Rendah. Before we get started, for       9         9       Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find         10       those of you who plan to make public comments toright, I	18	tonight. It will be a resource for sure.	18	surcharges, these power cost rate adjustments, are paid
21       representing the company. And I won't take up too much time, but I do want to introduce two individuals: Dennis 3       21       Avista also asks the customers for donations to low-income customers relief. Don't get me wrong, I         23       Vermillion, who is the president of the company.       23         24       Jason Thackston, a senior vice-president of the company.       24         25       We also have others in attendance here from the company.       24         26       Page 29       Page 31         1       who directs afterwards. They can visit with you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, well be around.       1       people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill?         3       Dave Danner, chair of the Commission - An Rendahl. Before we get started, for those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I which is cost of ling increase. It has - the red line is electric and the green line is gas. And the only reason, and will me is commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I which is cost of ling increase. It has - the red line is electric and the green line is gas. And the only reason, is ours, it will show you that it has the COLA, which is cost of ling increase. It has - the red line is electric and the green line is gas. And the only reason, by the way, when you look at that chart there's zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they still exist.	19	MR. MEYER: Thank you, Chairman Danner and members	19	by ratepayers and are approved in both Washington and
22       time, but I do want to introduce two individuals: Dennis       22       time, but I do want to introduce two individuals: Dennis         23       Vermillion, who is the president of Avista Utilities, and       23         24       Jason Thackston, a senior vice-president of the company.       24         25       We also have others in attendance here from the company.       24         26       Page 29       Page 31         27       who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you       1         28       your questions are, well be around.       1         29       Thank you very much. Thank you.       1         20       CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.       5         30       Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for       7         70       those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, 1       10         11       will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will       11         12       swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.       12         13       Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and       11         14       nothing but the truth?       12         13       Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and       11         14       nothing but the truth?       12      <	20	of the Commission. I'm David Meyer, and I'm a lawyer	20	Idaho by the UTC and included in our monthly billing.
23       Vermillion, who is the president of Avista Utilities, and       23       think it's a great idea; however, Avista has UTC approval         24       Jason Thackston, a senior vice-president of the company.       24       24         25       We also have others in attendance here from the company.       25       24         26       Page 29       Page 31         27       who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you       1       people who can't pay. So how can customers who         28       your questions are, we'll be around.       1         3       your questions are, we'll be around.       1         4       Thank you very much. Thank you.       1         5       CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.       5         6       All right. So let me introduce – first of all. I'm       6         7       Dave Danner, chair of the Commission and with me is       7         8       Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for       9         11       will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will       11         12       swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.       12         13       Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and       13         14       othing but the tuth?       14       14       14	21	representing the company. And I won't take up too much	21	Avista also asks the customers for donations to
24       Jason Thackston, a senior vice-president of the company.       24       for LIRAP, L-I-R-A-P, fees included in the monthly bill to the ratepayers, and this is a low-income rate help for         25       We also have others in attendance here from the company.       24       for LIRAP, L-I-R-A-P, fees included in the monthly bill to the ratepayers, and this is a low-income rate help for         26       Page 29       Page 31         27       who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around.       1         26       CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you.       1         27       CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.       3         28       Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for the commission and with me is       7         29       Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for the gou to to stand and raise your hand and we will       10         29       swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.       10         20       CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.       11         21       Margaret Herzog, will you please come forward. Good       12         22       Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information,       13         23       Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information,       14         24       Margaret Herzog. Ill was stated that Axist	22	time, but I do want to introduce two individuals: Dennis	22	low-income customers' relief. Don't get me wrong, I
25       We also have others in attendance here from the company       25       to the ratepayers, and this is a low-income rate help for         Page 29         1       who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you       1       people who can't pay. So how can customers who         2       maxe billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever       2       realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly         3       your questions are, we'll be around.       3       realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly         4       Thank you very much. Thank you.       4       Thank you very much. Thank you.       4         5       CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.       5       And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find         10       those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I       10       this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd         11       will need you to stand and raise your hand and weiwill       11       like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a         12       Swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.       12       mothing but the truth?         13       Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?	23	Vermillion, who is the president of Avista Utilities, and	23	think it's a great idea; however, Avista has UTC approval
Page 29       Page 31         1       who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you       1       people who can't pay. So how can customers who       2         2       have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever       2       realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly         3       Thank you very much. Thank you.       1       people who can't pay. So how can customers who         4       Thank you very much. Thank you.       1       realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly         5       CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.       3       And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how         6       All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm       6       advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that         7       Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is       7       weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that         9       Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for       10       this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd         11       will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will       11       11       like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a         13       Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?       12       chart form because that 'he yoi' he you' he you look at that chart there's zeros in 201	24	Jason Thackston, a senior vice-president of the company.	24	for LIRAP, L-I-R-A-P, fees included in the monthly bill
1who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around.1people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill?3your questions are, we'll be around.3realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill?4Thank you very much. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer. 6All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm 7Man ow to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a chart form because it's if anybody else wants one, pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA, while start at the top of the list.16CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started. (All swear affirmatively.)1016CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started. we will start at the top of the list.1119Margaret Herzog. I live in Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information, mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a1224Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information, mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a12	25	We also have others in attendance here from the company	25	to the ratepayers, and this is a low-income rate help for
1who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around.1people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill?3your questions are, we'll be around.3realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill?4Thank you very much. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer. 6All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm 7Man ow to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a chart form because it's if anybody else wants one, pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA, while start at the top of the list.16CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started. (All swear affirmatively.)1016CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started. we will start at the top of the list.1119Margaret Herzog. I live in Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information, mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a1224Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information, mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a12		Doco 20		
2have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around.2generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly realize that the ibil?1Mar of the Commission, and with me is to mes of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I us wear you in because that's this kind of proceeding. I I which is cost of living increase. It has the red line is electric and the green line is gas.		Page 29		Page 31
3your questions are, we'll be around.3realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly4Thank you very much. Thank you.4realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly5CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.5And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how6All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm6can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as7Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is7weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in8Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for9Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find10those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I10this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd11will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will11like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a12swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.12chart form because it's if anybody else wants one,13Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and13pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA,14nothing but the truth?14which is cost of living increase. It has the red line15(All swear affirmatively.)15is electric and the green line is gas. And the only16CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.1717We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So1718we will start at the top of the list.1819Margaret Herzog, will	1		1	_
4Thank you very much. Thank you.4relief in their bill?5CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.5And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how6All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm6can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as7Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is7weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in8Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left,8advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that9Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for9Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find10those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I10this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd11will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will11like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a12swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.12chart form because it's if anybody else wants one,13Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and13pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA,14nothing but the truth?14which is cost of living increase. It has the red line16CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.16reason, by the way, when you look at that chart there's17We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So17zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested18we will start at the top of the list.18increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they <tr< td=""><th></th><td>who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you</td><th></th><td>people who can't pay. So how can customers who</td></tr<>		who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you		people who can't pay. So how can customers who
5CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.5And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how6All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm6can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as7Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is7weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in8Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left,8advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that9Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for9Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find10those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I10this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd11will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will11like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a12swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.12chart form because it's if anybody else wants one,13Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and13pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA,14nothing but the truth?14which is cost of living increase. It has the red line15(All swear affirmatively.)15is electric and the green line is gas. And the only16CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.17zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested17We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So17zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested18we will start at the top of the list.18increases for insufficient reasons, and I t	2	who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever	2	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing,
6All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm6can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as7Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is7weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in8Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left,8advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that9Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for9Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find10those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I10this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd11will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will11like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a12swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.12chart form because it's if anybody else wants one,13Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and13pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA,14nothing but the truth?15is electric and the green line is gas. And the only16CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.16reason, by the way, when you look at that chart there's17We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So17zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested18we will start at the top of the list.1812Washington State merger law requires oh, I should20evening.20Washington State merger ray request when we are also being21MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog. I live in21back up a minute here and say, I'm a	2 3	who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around.	2 3	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly
7Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left, 97weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that9Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for 109Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find10those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I 1110this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd11will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding. 1212chart form because it's if anybody else wants one, pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA, which is cost of living increase. It has the red line13Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?14which is cost of living increase. It has the red line15(All swear affirmatively.)15is electric and the green line is gas. And the only16CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.16reason, by the way, when you look at that chart there's17We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So17zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested18we will start at the top of the list.18increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they19Margaret Herzog, will you please come forward. Good19still exist.20Wshington State merger law requires oh, I should21MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog. I live in21back up a minute here and say, I'm amused that they're2	2 3 4	who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around. Thank you very much. Thank you.	2 3 4	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill?
8Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left, 98advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that9Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for 109Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find10those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I 1110this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd11will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will 1210this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd12swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding. 1312chart form because it's if anybody else wants one, pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA, which is cost of living increase. It has the red line14nothing but the truth?14which is cost of living increase. It has the red line15(All swear affirmatively.)15is electric and the green line is gas. And the only reason, by the way, when you look at that chart there's16CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started. 1717zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested us increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they19Margaret Herzog, will you please come forward. Good 2019still exist.20Washington State merger law requires oh, I should21MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog. I live in 2220Washington State merger aw requises oh, I should23Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information, 2424Ontario, Canada mid 2018. That's this coming year and	2 3 4 5	who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around. Thank you very much. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.	2 3 4 5	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill? And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how
9Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I uill need you to stand and raise your hand and we will swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.9Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a chart form because it's if anybody else wants one, pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA, which is cost of living increase. It has the red line is electric and the green line is gas. And the only reason, by the way, when you look at that chart there's Zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they still exist.10MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog. I live in Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information, at mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a2023Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information, at mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a24	2 3 4 5 6	who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around. Thank you very much. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer. All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm	2 3 4 5 6	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill? And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as
11will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will11like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a chart form because it's if anybody else wants one,12swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.12chart form because it's if anybody else wants one,13Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and13pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA,14nothing but the truth?14which is cost of living increase. It has the red line15(All swear affirmatively.)16CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.1617We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So17zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested18we will start at the top of the list.18increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they19Margaret Herzog, will you please come forward. Good19still exist.20evening.20Washington State merger law requires oh, I should21MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog. I live in21back up a minute here and say, I'm amused that they're23Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information,23told that they will be purchased by Hydro One from24mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a24Ontario, Canada mid 2018. That's this coming year and	2 3 4 5 6 7	who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around. Thank you very much. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer. All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is	2 3 4 5 6 7	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill? And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in
12swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.12chart form because it's if anybody else wants one,13Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and13pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA,14nothing but the truth?14which is cost of living increase. It has the red line15(All swear affirmatively.)15is electric and the green line is gas. And the only16CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.16reason, by the way, when you look at that chart there's17We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So17zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested18we will start at the top of the list.18increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they19Margaret Herzog, will you please come forward. Good19still exist.20evening.20Washington State merger law requires oh, I should21MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog. I live in21back up a minute here and say, I'm amused that they're23Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information,23told that they will be purchased by Hydro One from24mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a24Ontario, Canada mid 2018. That's this coming year and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around. Thank you very much. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer. All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill? And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that
13Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?13pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA, which is cost of living increase. It has the red line is electric and the green line is gas. And the only16CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.16reason, by the way, when you look at that chart there's17We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So we will start at the top of the list.17zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they19Margaret Herzog, will you please come forward. Good evening.19still exist.20evening.20Washington State merger law requires oh, I should21MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog. I live in Spokame. I am an Avista customer. In the Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information, reason, was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a24Ontario, Canada mid 2018. That's this coming year and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around. Thank you very much. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer. All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left, Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill? And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find
14nothing but the truth?14which is cost of living increase. It has the red line15(All swear affirmatively.)15is electric and the green line is gas. And the only16CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.16reason, by the way, when you look at that chart there's17We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So17zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested18we will start at the top of the list.18increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they19Margaret Herzog, will you please come forward. Good19still exist.20evening.20Washington State merger law requires oh, I should21MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog. I live in21back up a minute here and say, I'm amused that they're23Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information,23told that they will be purchased by Hydro One from24mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a24Ontario, Canada mid 2018. That's this coming year and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around. Thank you very much. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer. All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left, Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill? And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd
15(All swear affirmatively.)15is electric and the green line is gas. And the only16CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.16reason, by the way, when you look at that chart there's17We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So17zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested18we will start at the top of the list.18increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they19Margaret Herzog, will you please come forward. Good19still exist.20evening.20Washington State merger law requires oh, I should21MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog. I live in21back up a minute here and say, I'm amused that they're22Spokane. I am an Avista customer. In the22asking for a three-year request when we are also being23Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information,23told that they will be purchased by Hydro One from24mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a24Ontario, Canada mid 2018. That's this coming year and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around. Thank you very much. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer. All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left, Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill? And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a
16CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.16reason, by the way, when you look at that chart there's17We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So17zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested18we will start at the top of the list.18increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they19Margaret Herzog, will you please come forward. Good19still exist.20evening.20Washington State merger law requires oh, I should21MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog. I live in21back up a minute here and say, I'm amused that they're22Spokane. I am an Avista customer. In the22asking for a three-year request when we are also being23Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information,23told that they will be purchased by Hydro One from24mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a24Ontario, Canada mid 2018. That's this coming year and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around. Thank you very much. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer. All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left, Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill? And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a chart form because it's if anybody else wants one, pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA,
17We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So17zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested18we will start at the top of the list.18increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they19Margaret Herzog, will you please come forward. Good19still exist.20evening.20Washington State merger law requires oh, I should21MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog. I live in21back up a minute here and say, I'm amused that they're22Spokane. I am an Avista customer. In the22asking for a three-year request when we are also being23Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information,23told that they will be purchased by Hydro One from24mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a24Ontario, Canada mid 2018. That's this coming year and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around. Thank you very much. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer. All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left, Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill? And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a chart form because it's if anybody else wants one, pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA,
18we will start at the top of the list.18increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they19Margaret Herzog, will you please come forward. Good19still exist.20evening.20Washington State merger law requires oh, I should21MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog. I live in21back up a minute here and say, I'm amused that they're22Spokane. I am an Avista customer. In the22asking for a three-year request when we are also being23Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information,23told that they will be purchased by Hydro One from24mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a24Ontario, Canada mid 2018. That's this coming year and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around. Thank you very much. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer. All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left, Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill? And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a chart form because it's if anybody else wants one, pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA, which is cost of living increase. It has the red line
19Margaret Herzog, will you please come forward. Good19still exist.20evening.20Washington State merger law requires oh, I should21MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog. I live in21back up a minute here and say, I'm amused that they're22Spokane. I am an Avista customer. In the22asking for a three-year request when we are also being23Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information,23told that they will be purchased by Hydro One from24mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a24Ontario, Canada mid 2018. That's this coming year and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around. Thank you very much. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer. All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left, Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? (All swear affirmatively.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill? And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a chart form because it's if anybody else wants one, pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA, which is cost of living increase. It has the red line is electric and the green line is gas. And the only
20evening.20Washington State merger law requires oh, I should21MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog. I live in21back up a minute here and say, I'm amused that they're22Spokane. I am an Avista customer. In the22asking for a three-year request when we are also being23Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information,23told that they will be purchased by Hydro One from24mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a24Ontario, Canada mid 2018. That's this coming year and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around.</li> <li>Thank you very much. Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.</li> <li>All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm</li> <li>Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is</li> <li>Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left,</li> <li>Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for</li> <li>those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I</li> <li>will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will</li> <li>swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.</li> <li>Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and</li> <li>nothing but the truth?</li> <li>(All swear affirmatively.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.</li> <li>We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill? And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a chart form because it's if anybody else wants one, pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA, which is cost of living increase. It has the red line is electric and the green line is gas. And the only reason, by the way, when you look at that chart there's zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested
21MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog. I live in21back up a minute here and say, I'm amused that they're22Spokane. I am an Avista customer. In the22asking for a three-year request when we are also being23Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information,23told that they will be purchased by Hydro One from24mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a24Ontario, Canada mid 2018. That's this coming year and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around.</li> <li>Thank you very much. Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.</li> <li>All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm</li> <li>Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is</li> <li>Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left,</li> <li>Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for</li> <li>those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I</li> <li>will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will</li> <li>swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.</li> <li>Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and</li> <li>nothing but the truth?</li> <li>(All swear affirmatively.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.</li> <li>We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So</li> <li>we will start at the top of the list.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill? And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a chart form because it's if anybody else wants one, pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA, which is cost of living increase. It has the red line is electric and the green line is gas. And the only reason, by the way, when you look at that chart there's zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they
22Spokane. I am an Avista customer. In the22asking for a three-year request when we are also being23Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information,23told that they will be purchased by Hydro One from24mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a24Ontario, Canada mid 2018. That's this coming year and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around.</li> <li>Thank you very much. Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.</li> <li>All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm</li> <li>Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is</li> <li>Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left,</li> <li>Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for</li> <li>those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I</li> <li>will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will</li> <li>swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.</li> <li>Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and</li> <li>nothing but the truth?</li> <li>(All swear affirmatively.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.</li> <li>We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So</li> <li>we will start at the top of the list.</li> <li>Margaret Herzog, will you please come forward. Good</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill? And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a chart form because it's if anybody else wants one, pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA, which is cost of living increase. It has the red line is electric and the green line is gas. And the only reason, by the way, when you look at that chart there's zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they still exist.
23Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information, mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a23told that they will be purchased by Hydro One from Ontario, Canada mid 2018. That's this coming year and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around.</li> <li>Thank you very much. Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.</li> <li>All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm</li> <li>Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is</li> <li>Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left,</li> <li>Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for</li> <li>those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I</li> <li>will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will</li> <li>swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.</li> <li>Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and</li> <li>nothing but the truth?</li> <li>(All swear affirmatively.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.</li> <li>We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So</li> <li>we will start at the top of the list.</li> <li>Margaret Herzog, will you please come forward. Good evening.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill? And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a chart form because it's if anybody else wants one, pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA, which is cost of living increase. It has the red line is electric and the green line is gas. And the only reason, by the way, when you look at that chart there's zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they still exist.
24 mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a 24 Ontario, Canada mid 2018. That's this coming year and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around.</li> <li>Thank you very much. Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.</li> <li>All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm</li> <li>Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is</li> <li>Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left,</li> <li>Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for</li> <li>those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I</li> <li>will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will</li> <li>swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.</li> <li>Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and</li> <li>nothing but the truth?</li> <li>(All swear affirmatively.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.</li> <li>We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So</li> <li>we will start at the top of the list.</li> <li>Margaret Herzog, will you please come forward. Good</li> <li>evening.</li> <li>MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog. I live in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill? And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a chart form because it's if anybody else wants one, pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA, which is cost of living increase. It has the red line is electric and the green line is gas. And the only reason, by the way, when you look at that chart there's zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they still exist. Washington State merger law requires oh, I should back up a minute here and say, I'm amused that they're
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around.</li> <li>Thank you very much. Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.</li> <li>All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm</li> <li>Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is</li> <li>Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left,</li> <li>Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for</li> <li>those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I</li> <li>will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will</li> <li>swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.</li> <li>Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and</li> <li>nothing but the truth?</li> <li>(All swear affirmatively.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.</li> <li>We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So</li> <li>we will start at the top of the list.</li> <li>Margaret Herzog, will you please come forward. Good</li> <li>evening.</li> <li>MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog. I live in</li> <li>Spokane. I am an Avista customer. In the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill? And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a chart form because it's if anybody else wants one, pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA, which is cost of living increase. It has the red line is electric and the green line is gas. And the only reason, by the way, when you look at that chart there's zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they still exist. Washington State merger law requires oh, I should back up a minute here and say, I'm amused that they're asking for a three-year request when we are also being
three-year rate plan, and the reasons given were aging 25 yet they want a three-year request.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around.</li> <li>Thank you very much. Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.</li> <li>All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm</li> <li>Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is</li> <li>Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left,</li> <li>Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for</li> <li>those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I</li> <li>will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will</li> <li>swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.</li> <li>Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and</li> <li>nothing but the truth?</li> <li>(All swear affirmatively.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.</li> <li>We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So</li> <li>we will start at the top of the list.</li> <li>Margaret Herzog, will you please come forward. Good</li> <li>evening.</li> <li>MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog. I live in</li> <li>Spokane. I am an Avista customer. In the</li> <li>Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill? And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a chart form because it's if anybody else wants one, pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA, which is cost of living increase. It has the red line is electric and the green line is gas. And the only reason, by the way, when you look at that chart there's zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they still exist. Washington State merger law requires oh, I should back up a minute here and say, I'm amused that they're asking for a three-year request when we are also being told that they will be purchased by Hydro One from
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>who directs afterwards. They can visit with you if you have billing issues or assistance issues, or whatever your questions are, we'll be around.</li> <li>Thank you very much. Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.</li> <li>All right. So let me introduce first of all, I'm</li> <li>Dave Danner, chair of the Commission, and with me is</li> <li>Commissioner Jay Balasbas on my right, and on my left,</li> <li>Commissioner Ann Rendahl. Before we get started, for</li> <li>those of you who plan to make public comments tonight, I</li> <li>will need you to stand and raise your hand and we will</li> <li>swear you in because that's this kind of proceeding.</li> <li>Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and</li> <li>nothing but the truth?</li> <li>(All swear affirmatively.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So let's get started.</li> <li>We have a number of people signed in tonight. Okay. So</li> <li>we will start at the top of the list.</li> <li>Margaret Herzog, will you please come forward. Good</li> <li>evening.</li> <li>MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog. I live in</li> <li>Spokane. I am an Avista customer. In the</li> <li>Spokesman-Review, as where we get our information, mostly, it was stated that Avista, indeed, wanted a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	people who can't pay. So how can customers who generously contribute, apart from their regular billing, realize that they have already paid a low-income monthly relief in their bill? And now to the three-year request. I'm curious, how can Avista know that the mitigating factors, such as weather and energy price fluctuation, three years in advance? Mr. Scott Morrison in a statement said that Avista tries to keep rates at a 2 percent, but I find this not factual, and I I have a graph here that I'd like to share with you. I did it in graph form and a chart form because it's if anybody else wants one, pass it around. It will show you that it has the COLA, which is cost of living increase. It has the red line is electric and the green line is gas. And the only reason, by the way, when you look at that chart there's zeros in 2017, is because UTC denied the requested increases for insufficient reasons, and I think they still exist. Washington State merger law requires oh, I should back up a minute here and say, I'm amused that they're asking for a three-year request when we are also being told that they will be purchased by Hydro One from Ontario, Canada mid 2018. That's this coming year and

2 (Pages 28 to 31)

	Page 32		Page 34
1	Washington State merger law requires that:	1	it appears to me that this would be regulations without
2	"Ratepayers" this is a quotation "need to be	2	representation, very similar to the Tea Party taxation
3	receiving a benefit from the merger to go forward."	3	I'm talking about the Boston Tea Party taxation without
4	And every bit of the articles that I read, the	4	representation. The merger, if approved, is said to go
5	research that I did, affirms that the stockholders will	5	into effect mid 2018, that's this coming year.
6	receive \$53 per share in profit. The information about	6	Apparently, the stockholders get to vote on the merger
7	ratepayers says that by the year 2020, and this was	7	but there isn't even an advisory vote given to the
8	mentioned in the introduction, the ratepayers' electric	8	ratepayers. It seems to me that the advantage is all
9	bills will increase to \$100 a month, natural gas bills to	9	Hydro One and the stock payers. And for the ratepayer,
10	\$65 a month. And, oddly enough, in my research Hydro One	10	there's only pillaging and looting.
11	ratepayers, according to the Fair Hydro Plan announced in	11	Please deny the three-year rate request, and insofar
12	Ontario, will see a 25 percent reduction in their	12	as the UTC is involved, please study the real winner in
13	electric bill by 2020.	13	the Hydro One/Avista sale because I don't think it's the
14	I have some questions regarding this. Is Hydro One	14	ratepayer. Thank you very much for listening. I feel
15	subject to Washington UTC for future rate change	15	that I represent many, many people that I speak with on
16	requests, or are requests only authorized by Ontario	16	almost a daily basis. They can't be here because they
17	government, which, incidentally, owns the Ontario	17	work, because they're elderly, because they're
18	government owns over half of Hydro One's stocks. Does	18	handicapped and can't make it. So please give it
19	Hydro One have governors over Avista's subsidiaries? And	19	consideration. Thank you very much.
20	on that paper that I passed out, there's a list of	20	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much for coming out
21	subsidiaries. It just happened to be in the public	21	this evening. I want to reiterate that we are going to
22	information.	22	have a separate hearing with regard to Hydro One. That
23	To what advantage is this to Avista ratepayers?	23	will be in the months to come, and there also will be a
24	Avista lists 17 businesses in which Avista has 85 to 100	24	public hearing on that proceeding. So thank you.
25	percent stockholder control. Were any of the profits of	25	Mack Cain, do you want to speak this morning this
1	Page 33 these companies used to benefit the ratepayer? The	1	Page 35 evening?
2	advertising for the merger totes the payment of Avista's	2	MR. CAIN: Good evening, Madam, Chairman and
3	1.9 billion-dollar debt by this merger. But in my	3	Commissioner. First, I would like to sincerely thank the
4	research, do the Avista rate payers then assume the	4	members of the Washington State Utilities and
5	2.6 billion-dollar debt of Hydro One because we will	5	Transportation Commission and to the members of the
6	become Hydro One?	6	Washington State Attorney General's office for allowing
7	CHAIRMAN DANNER: So I'm going to interrupt you for	7	me to present this short presentation to you this
8	just a second. First of all, when you said you have	8	evening. That is, indeed, an honor for me to do that.
9	questions, I want to make sure that you understand all	9	I want to make it very clear, and I, as a customer
10	questions come before us, we will receive them as	10	of Avista, have no complaint of the service provided by
11	rhetorical questions because we're not going to	11	Avista Utilities, nor with their current prices and rate
12	MS. HERZOG: I understand that.	12	structure. They provide a very essential service with an
13	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Those are questions that I would	13	outstanding reliability and dependability history. I
I		14	commend each and every one of the employees, nor am I
14	take up with the company or the Public Counsel. The		
14 15	take up with the company or the Public Counsel. The Hydro Ope proceeding is a separate docket that we will be		
15	Hydro One proceeding is a separate docket that we will be	15	challenging the capabilities nor the performance of the
15 16	Hydro One proceeding is a separate docket that we will be hearing soon. I will accept this testimony as it relates		challenging the capabilities nor the performance of the current CEO of Avista Utilities.
15 16 17	Hydro One proceeding is a separate docket that we will be hearing soon. I will accept this testimony as it relates to the current case. I just wanted to clarify that.	15 16 17	challenging the capabilities nor the performance of the current CEO of Avista Utilities. What I do have a problem with is their current
15 16 17 18	Hydro One proceeding is a separate docket that we will be hearing soon. I will accept this testimony as it relates to the current case. I just wanted to clarify that. MS. HERZOG: I understand.	15 16 17 18	challenging the capabilities nor the performance of the current CEO of Avista Utilities. What I do have a problem with is their current excessive and totally irresponsible wage and compensation
15 16 17 18 19	Hydro One proceeding is a separate docket that we will be hearing soon. I will accept this testimony as it relates to the current case. I just wanted to clarify that. MS. HERZOG: I understand. CHAIRMAN DANNER: We're not about to make any	15 16 17 18 19	challenging the capabilities nor the performance of the current CEO of Avista Utilities. What I do have a problem with is their current excessive and totally irresponsible wage and compensation packet for the current chief executive officer. This one
15 16 17 18 19 20	Hydro One proceeding is a separate docket that we will be hearing soon. I will accept this testimony as it relates to the current case. I just wanted to clarify that. MS. HERZOG: I understand. CHAIRMAN DANNER: We're not about to make any decisions regarding that proceeding.	15 16 17 18 19 20	challenging the capabilities nor the performance of the current CEO of Avista Utilities. What I do have a problem with is their current excessive and totally irresponsible wage and compensation packet for the current chief executive officer. This one employee was paid 5.5 million in 2014, 3.6 million in
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Hydro One proceeding is a separate docket that we will be hearing soon. I will accept this testimony as it relates to the current case. I just wanted to clarify that. MS. HERZOG: I understand. CHAIRMAN DANNER: We're not about to make any decisions regarding that proceeding. MS. HERZOG: Thank you. Since Hydro One this	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	challenging the capabilities nor the performance of the current CEO of Avista Utilities. What I do have a problem with is their current excessive and totally irresponsible wage and compensation packet for the current chief executive officer. This one employee was paid 5.5 million in 2014, 3.6 million in 2015, 4.5 million in 2016. And I understand his expected
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Hydro One proceeding is a separate docket that we will be hearing soon. I will accept this testimony as it relates to the current case. I just wanted to clarify that. MS. HERZOG: I understand. CHAIRMAN DANNER: We're not about to make any decisions regarding that proceeding. MS. HERZOG: Thank you. Since Hydro One this is another question is a foreign entity, because we	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	challenging the capabilities nor the performance of the current CEO of Avista Utilities. What I do have a problem with is their current excessive and totally irresponsible wage and compensation packet for the current chief executive officer. This one employee was paid 5.5 million in 2014, 3.6 million in 2015, 4.5 million in 2016. And I understand his expected compensation package of 2017 will exceed \$5 million.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Hydro One proceeding is a separate docket that we will be hearing soon. I will accept this testimony as it relates to the current case. I just wanted to clarify that. MS. HERZOG: I understand. CHAIRMAN DANNER: We're not about to make any decisions regarding that proceeding. MS. HERZOG: Thank you. Since Hydro One this is another question is a foreign entity, because we are customers will they obligate U.S. customers to comply	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	challenging the capabilities nor the performance of the current CEO of Avista Utilities. What I do have a problem with is their current excessive and totally irresponsible wage and compensation packet for the current chief executive officer. This one employee was paid 5.5 million in 2014, 3.6 million in 2015, 4.5 million in 2016. And I understand his expected compensation package of 2017 will exceed \$5 million. This is not new. Avista has been paying this absurd
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Hydro One proceeding is a separate docket that we will be hearing soon. I will accept this testimony as it relates to the current case. I just wanted to clarify that. MS. HERZOG: I understand. CHAIRMAN DANNER: We're not about to make any decisions regarding that proceeding. MS. HERZOG: Thank you. Since Hydro One this is another question is a foreign entity, because we are customers will they obligate U.S. customers to comply with Canadian power, electricity, gas regulations? And	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	challenging the capabilities nor the performance of the current CEO of Avista Utilities. What I do have a problem with is their current excessive and totally irresponsible wage and compensation packet for the current chief executive officer. This one employee was paid 5.5 million in 2014, 3.6 million in 2015, 4.5 million in 2016. And I understand his expected compensation package of 2017 will exceed \$5 million. This is not new. Avista has been paying this absurd amount for many years. I have been told he has an
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Hydro One proceeding is a separate docket that we will be hearing soon. I will accept this testimony as it relates to the current case. I just wanted to clarify that. MS. HERZOG: I understand. CHAIRMAN DANNER: We're not about to make any decisions regarding that proceeding. MS. HERZOG: Thank you. Since Hydro One this is another question is a foreign entity, because we are customers will they obligate U.S. customers to comply	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	challenging the capabilities nor the performance of the current CEO of Avista Utilities. What I do have a problem with is their current excessive and totally irresponsible wage and compensation packet for the current chief executive officer. This one employee was paid 5.5 million in 2014, 3.6 million in 2015, 4.5 million in 2016. And I understand his expected compensation package of 2017 will exceed \$5 million. This is not new. Avista has been paying this absurd

3 (Pages 32 to 35)

	Page 36		Page 38
1	for Avista. Let us compare this one individual's salary	1	committee for a copy of the job description and to
2	with another highly responsible position: The President	2	explain the extreme and unique skills and capability
3	of the United States of America.	3	required of Avista. That would justify such an obscene
4	Our President receives an annual salary of \$400,000.	4	and the extremely inflated annual compensation. The
5	Avista pays their CEO more than 13 times the annual	5	external communications manager responded that Avista
6	salary of our President of the United States. That bears	6	selects and retains an independent compensation
7	repeating. Avista pays one employee, their CEO, more	7	consultant, that is an individual separate from the
8	than 13 times the salary of the President of the United	8	company itself to support the oversight of Avista's
9	States.	9	executive compensation programs. That manager said to me
10	Now, let us compare the responsibilities of those	10	that Avista pays some customers some outsider to
11	two senior executives. Our President is responsible for	11	determine the pay and compensation for their executives
12	the international relations and trade agreements with 218	12	with little or no regard to the hardships imposed on
13	nations. Our national securities, the economic	13	their 770,000 customers.
14	stability, the health and welfare, the transportation,	14	Now, I'm sure that Avista will respond with a
15	the education, the infrastructure, the defense, the	15	statement that their CEO is not paid with revenue
16	deployment and overall well-being of almost 400 million	16	received from their 770,000 customers who they are
17	citizens. That is 400 million. The CEO of Avista is	17	leveraging additional rate increases onto. They will
18	responsible for the daily operations of about 1,500	18	probably justify with a statement that their CEO was paid
19	employees. A reasonable and just compensation package	19	from investors, or investors of Avista stock who have
20	for Avista CEO should be in the range of \$300,000,	20	purchased Avista stock. Avista depends upon investors
21	certainly not \$5.5 million annually.	21	for proper funding, the funding that's needed for
22	On the 26th of May 2016, Avista requested a power	22	computer service shows, transformers, giant switching
23	cost rate adjustment from the Washington Utilities and	23	devices, poles, towers, thousands of miles of
24	Transportation Commission, an increase of between 2.97	24 25	high-voltage lines, personnel wages, insurance, medical
25	percent and 3.1 percent, which was expected to provide	25	and thousands of other items necessary to provide the
	Page 37		Page 39
1	approximately \$15 million per year in additional revenue	1	excellent service that Avista is famous for.
2	and wants to begin September 1st of 2017. Every one of	2	Our local newspaper, the Spokesman-Review reported
3	Avista's 770,000 customers would have then paid that	3	on Thursday, 11 May of 2017, a return on investment of
4	increase, yet Avista's compensation plan was to give the	4	
-		1 7	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year,
5	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase,	5	
5 6			Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year,
	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase,	5	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year, to be 3 percent. So what does this mean when we say
6	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase, 5.5 million one-third to one individual that is borne by 770,000 customers. That request was not approved thanks to the Washington State Utilities and	5 6	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year, to be 3 percent. So what does this mean when we say return on investment by Avista's Avista stock of 3 percent? It means that Avista is paying their CEO the equivalent of about what 10,000 investors would be
6 7	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase, 5.5 million one-third to one individual that is borne by 770,000 customers. That request was not approved thanks to the Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission.	5 6 7	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year, to be 3 percent. So what does this mean when we say return on investment by Avista's Avista stock of 3 percent? It means that Avista is paying their CEO the
6 7 8	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase, 5.5 million one-third to one individual that is borne by 770,000 customers. That request was not approved thanks to the Washington State Utilities and	5 6 7 8	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year, to be 3 percent. So what does this mean when we say return on investment by Avista's Avista stock of 3 percent? It means that Avista is paying their CEO the equivalent of about what 10,000 investors would be
6 7 8 9 10 11	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase, 5.5 million one-third to one individual that is borne by 770,000 customers. That request was not approved thanks to the Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission. Now, as the Honorable Chairman, Mr. Danner, explained to us a few minutes ago, the new, current rate	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year, to be 3 percent. So what does this mean when we say return on investment by Avista's Avista stock of 3 percent? It means that Avista is paying their CEO the equivalent of about what 10,000 investors would be investing if each individual invested an approximately \$18,000 for one year and return of 3 percent, the total of all those 10,000 investors, it would take 10,000 to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase, 5.5 million one-third to one individual that is borne by 770,000 customers. That request was not approved thanks to the Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission. Now, as the Honorable Chairman, Mr. Danner, explained to us a few minutes ago, the new, current rate which was filed on 13th of May, 2017 and it's a new	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year, to be 3 percent. So what does this mean when we say return on investment by Avista's Avista stock of 3 percent? It means that Avista is paying their CEO the equivalent of about what 10,000 investors would be investing if each individual invested an approximately \$18,000 for one year and return of 3 percent, the total of all those 10,000 investors, it would take 10,000 to equal the salary of one employee of Avista Utilities.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase, 5.5 million one-third to one individual that is borne by 770,000 customers. That request was not approved thanks to the Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission. Now, as the Honorable Chairman, Mr. Danner, explained to us a few minutes ago, the new, current rate which was filed on 13th of May, 2017 and it's a new request by Avista to include those additional rate	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year, to be 3 percent. So what does this mean when we say return on investment by Avista's Avista stock of 3 percent? It means that Avista is paying their CEO the equivalent of about what 10,000 investors would be investing if each individual invested an approximately \$18,000 for one year and return of 3 percent, the total of all those 10,000 investors, it would take 10,000 to equal the salary of one employee of Avista Utilities. Let me break down for you the results of that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase, 5.5 million one-third to one individual that is borne by 770,000 customers. That request was not approved thanks to the Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission. Now, as the Honorable Chairman, Mr. Danner, explained to us a few minutes ago, the new, current rate which was filed on 13th of May, 2017 and it's a new request by Avista to include those additional rate increases for both gasoline, or natural gas and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year, to be 3 percent. So what does this mean when we say return on investment by Avista's Avista stock of 3 percent? It means that Avista is paying their CEO the equivalent of about what 10,000 investors would be investing if each individual invested an approximately \$18,000 for one year and return of 3 percent, the total of all those 10,000 investors, it would take 10,000 to equal the salary of one employee of Avista Utilities. Let me break down for you the results of that unreasonable annual compensation packet of 5.5. Assuming
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase, 5.5 million one-third to one individual that is borne by 770,000 customers. That request was not approved thanks to the Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission. Now, as the Honorable Chairman, Mr. Danner, explained to us a few minutes ago, the new, current rate which was filed on 13th of May, 2017 and it's a new request by Avista to include those additional rate increases for both gasoline, or natural gas and electricity to be limit beginning 2018, 1st of May,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year, to be 3 percent. So what does this mean when we say return on investment by Avista's Avista stock of 3 percent? It means that Avista is paying their CEO the equivalent of about what 10,000 investors would be investing if each individual invested an approximately \$18,000 for one year and return of 3 percent, the total of all those 10,000 investors, it would take 10,000 to equal the salary of one employee of Avista Utilities. Let me break down for you the results of that unreasonable annual compensation packet of 5.5. Assuming that the CEO receives a two-week vacation annually, he
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase, 5.5 million one-third to one individual that is borne by 770,000 customers. That request was not approved thanks to the Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission. Now, as the Honorable Chairman, Mr. Danner, explained to us a few minutes ago, the new, current rate which was filed on 13th of May, 2017 and it's a new request by Avista to include those additional rate increases for both gasoline, or natural gas and electricity to be limit beginning 2018, 1st of May, 2018, and the 1st of May, 2019, and 1st of May in 2020.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year, to be 3 percent. So what does this mean when we say return on investment by Avista's Avista stock of 3 percent? It means that Avista is paying their CEO the equivalent of about what 10,000 investors would be investing if each individual invested an approximately \$18,000 for one year and return of 3 percent, the total of all those 10,000 investors, it would take 10,000 to equal the salary of one employee of Avista Utilities. Let me break down for you the results of that unreasonable annual compensation packet of 5.5. Assuming that the CEO receives a two-week vacation annually, he works 50 weeks per year, five workdays per week, that's
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase, 5.5 million one-third to one individual that is borne by 770,000 customers. That request was not approved thanks to the Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission. Now, as the Honorable Chairman, Mr. Danner, explained to us a few minutes ago, the new, current rate which was filed on 13th of May, 2017 and it's a new request by Avista to include those additional rate increases for both gasoline, or natural gas and electricity to be limit beginning 2018, 1st of May, 2018, and the 1st of May, 2019, and 1st of May in 2020. Now, for those individuals that are not familiar, this is	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year, to be 3 percent. So what does this mean when we say return on investment by Avista's Avista stock of 3 percent? It means that Avista is paying their CEO the equivalent of about what 10,000 investors would be investing if each individual invested an approximately \$18,000 for one year and return of 3 percent, the total of all those 10,000 investors, it would take 10,000 to equal the salary of one employee of Avista Utilities. Let me break down for you the results of that unreasonable annual compensation packet of 5.5. Assuming that the CEO receives a two-week vacation annually, he works 50 weeks per year, five workdays per week, that's 250 workdays per year. When we divide the annual salary
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase, 5.5 million one-third to one individual that is borne by 770,000 customers. That request was not approved thanks to the Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission. Now, as the Honorable Chairman, Mr. Danner, explained to us a few minutes ago, the new, current rate which was filed on 13th of May, 2017 and it's a new request by Avista to include those additional rate increases for both gasoline, or natural gas and electricity to be limit beginning 2018, 1st of May, 2018, and the 1st of May, 2019, and 1st of May in 2020. Now, for those individuals that are not familiar, this is not just one year, this is the continuing on, so the rate	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year, to be 3 percent. So what does this mean when we say return on investment by Avista's Avista stock of 3 percent? It means that Avista is paying their CEO the equivalent of about what 10,000 investors would be investing if each individual invested an approximately \$18,000 for one year and return of 3 percent, the total of all those 10,000 investors, it would take 10,000 to equal the salary of one employee of Avista Utilities. Let me break down for you the results of that unreasonable annual compensation packet of 5.5. Assuming that the CEO receives a two-week vacation annually, he works 50 weeks per year, five workdays per week, that's 250 workdays per year. When we divide the annual salary by the annual workdays, we will see that Avista Utilities
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase, 5.5 million one-third to one individual that is borne by 770,000 customers. That request was not approved thanks to the Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission. Now, as the Honorable Chairman, Mr. Danner, explained to us a few minutes ago, the new, current rate which was filed on 13th of May, 2017 and it's a new request by Avista to include those additional rate increases for both gasoline, or natural gas and electricity to be limit beginning 2018, 1st of May, 2018, and the 1st of May, 2019, and 1st of May in 2020. Now, for those individuals that are not familiar, this is not just one year, this is the continuing on, so the rate increase for 2018 continues on into the future and an	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year, to be 3 percent. So what does this mean when we say return on investment by Avista's Avista stock of 3 percent? It means that Avista is paying their CEO the equivalent of about what 10,000 investors would be investing if each individual invested an approximately \$18,000 for one year and return of 3 percent, the total of all those 10,000 investors, it would take 10,000 to equal the salary of one employee of Avista Utilities. Let me break down for you the results of that unreasonable annual compensation packet of 5.5. Assuming that the CEO receives a two-week vacation annually, he works 50 weeks per year, five workdays per week, that's 250 workdays per year. When we divide the annual salary by the annual workdays, we will see that Avista Utilities pays their CEO \$22,000 per day. I will say that one more
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase, 5.5 million one-third to one individual that is borne by 770,000 customers. That request was not approved thanks to the Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission. Now, as the Honorable Chairman, Mr. Danner, explained to us a few minutes ago, the new, current rate which was filed on 13th of May, 2017 and it's a new request by Avista to include those additional rate increases for both gasoline, or natural gas and electricity to be limit beginning 2018, 1st of May, 2018, and the 1st of May, 2019, and 1st of May in 2020. Now, for those individuals that are not familiar, this is not just one year, this is the continuing on, so the rate increase for 2018 continues on into the future and an additional rate increase of 2019 and an additional rate	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year, to be 3 percent. So what does this mean when we say return on investment by Avista's Avista stock of 3 percent? It means that Avista is paying their CEO the equivalent of about what 10,000 investors would be investing if each individual invested an approximately \$18,000 for one year and return of 3 percent, the total of all those 10,000 investors, it would take 10,000 to equal the salary of one employee of Avista Utilities. Let me break down for you the results of that unreasonable annual compensation packet of 5.5. Assuming that the CEO receives a two-week vacation annually, he works 50 weeks per year, five workdays per week, that's 250 workdays per year. When we divide the annual salary by the annual workdays, we will see that Avista Utilities pays their CEO \$22,000 per day. I will say that one more time. One man working eight hours receives \$22,000.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase, 5.5 million one-third to one individual that is borne by 770,000 customers. That request was not approved thanks to the Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission. Now, as the Honorable Chairman, Mr. Danner, explained to us a few minutes ago, the new, current rate which was filed on 13th of May, 2017 and it's a new request by Avista to include those additional rate increases for both gasoline, or natural gas and electricity to be limit beginning 2018, 1st of May, 2018, and the 1st of May, 2019, and 1st of May in 2020. Now, for those individuals that are not familiar, this is not just one year, this is the continuing on, so the rate increase for 2018 continues on into the future and an additional rate increase of 2019 and an additional rate increase for 2020.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year, to be 3 percent. So what does this mean when we say return on investment by Avista's Avista stock of 3 percent? It means that Avista is paying their CEO the equivalent of about what 10,000 investors would be investing if each individual invested an approximately \$18,000 for one year and return of 3 percent, the total of all those 10,000 investors, it would take 10,000 to equal the salary of one employee of Avista Utilities. Let me break down for you the results of that unreasonable annual compensation packet of 5.5. Assuming that the CEO receives a two-week vacation annually, he works 50 weeks per year, five workdays per week, that's 250 workdays per year. When we divide the annual salary by the annual workdays, we will see that Avista Utilities pays their CEO \$22,000 per day. I will say that one more time. One man working eight hours receives \$22,000. Now, I would like you to consider this. I believe
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase, 5.5 million one-third to one individual that is borne by 770,000 customers. That request was not approved thanks to the Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission. Now, as the Honorable Chairman, Mr. Danner, explained to us a few minutes ago, the new, current rate which was filed on 13th of May, 2017 and it's a new request by Avista to include those additional rate increases for both gasoline, or natural gas and electricity to be limit beginning 2018, 1st of May, 2018, and the 1st of May, 2019, and 1st of May in 2020. Now, for those individuals that are not familiar, this is not just one year, this is the continuing on, so the rate increase for 2018 continues on into the future and an additional rate increase of 2019 and an additional rate increase for 2020. On the 13th of May, 2017, I mailed a letter to the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year, to be 3 percent. So what does this mean when we say return on investment by Avista's Avista stock of 3 percent? It means that Avista is paying their CEO the equivalent of about what 10,000 investors would be investing if each individual invested an approximately \$18,000 for one year and return of 3 percent, the total of all those 10,000 investors, it would take 10,000 to equal the salary of one employee of Avista Utilities. Let me break down for you the results of that unreasonable annual compensation packet of 5.5. Assuming that the CEO receives a two-week vacation annually, he works 50 weeks per year, five workdays per week, that's 250 workdays per year. When we divide the annual salary by the annual workdays, we will see that Avista Utilities pays their CEO \$22,000 per day. I will say that one more time. One man working eight hours receives \$22,000. Now, I would like you to consider this. I believe that at least one third of those 770,000 customers of
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase, 5.5 million one-third to one individual that is borne by 770,000 customers. That request was not approved thanks to the Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission. Now, as the Honorable Chairman, Mr. Danner, explained to us a few minutes ago, the new, current rate which was filed on 13th of May, 2017 and it's a new request by Avista to include those additional rate increases for both gasoline, or natural gas and electricity to be limit beginning 2018, 1st of May, 2018, and the 1st of May, 2019, and 1st of May in 2020. Now, for those individuals that are not familiar, this is not just one year, this is the continuing on, so the rate increase for 2018 continues on into the future and an additional rate increase of 2019 and an additional rate increase for 2020. On the 13th of May, 2017, I mailed a letter to the four current members of the Avista Utilities compensation	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year, to be 3 percent. So what does this mean when we say return on investment by Avista's Avista stock of 3 percent? It means that Avista is paying their CEO the equivalent of about what 10,000 investors would be investing if each individual invested an approximately \$18,000 for one year and return of 3 percent, the total of all those 10,000 investors, it would take 10,000 to equal the salary of one employee of Avista Utilities. Let me break down for you the results of that unreasonable annual compensation packet of 5.5. Assuming that the CEO receives a two-week vacation annually, he works 50 weeks per year, five workdays per week, that's 250 workdays per year. When we divide the annual salary by the annual workdays, we will see that Avista Utilities pays their CEO \$22,000 per day. I will say that one more time. One man working eight hours receives \$22,000. Now, I would like you to consider this. I believe that at least one third of those 770,000 customers of Avista most likely receives not more than the \$22,000
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase, 5.5 million one-third to one individual that is borne by 770,000 customers. That request was not approved thanks to the Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission. Now, as the Honorable Chairman, Mr. Danner, explained to us a few minutes ago, the new, current rate which was filed on 13th of May, 2017 and it's a new request by Avista to include those additional rate increases for both gasoline, or natural gas and electricity to be limit beginning 2018, 1st of May, 2018, and the 1st of May, 2019, and 1st of May in 2020. Now, for those individuals that are not familiar, this is not just one year, this is the continuing on, so the rate increase for 2018 continues on into the future and an additional rate increase of 2019 and an additional rate increase for 2020. On the 13th of May, 2017, I mailed a letter to the four current members of the Avista Utilities compensation and organization committee. That is the managing group	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year, to be 3 percent. So what does this mean when we say return on investment by Avista's Avista stock of 3 percent? It means that Avista is paying their CEO the equivalent of about what 10,000 investors would be investing if each individual invested an approximately \$18,000 for one year and return of 3 percent, the total of all those 10,000 investors, it would take 10,000 to equal the salary of one employee of Avista Utilities. Let me break down for you the results of that unreasonable annual compensation packet of 5.5. Assuming that the CEO receives a two-week vacation annually, he works 50 weeks per year, five workdays per week, that's 250 workdays per year. When we divide the annual salary by the annual workdays, we will see that Avista Utilities pays their CEO \$22,000 per day. I will say that one more time. One man working eight hours receives \$22,000. Now, I would like you to consider this. I believe that at least one third of those 770,000 customers of Avista most likely receives in one day. They work a full
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	equivalent that is one-third of the expected increase, 5.5 million one-third to one individual that is borne by 770,000 customers. That request was not approved thanks to the Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission. Now, as the Honorable Chairman, Mr. Danner, explained to us a few minutes ago, the new, current rate which was filed on 13th of May, 2017 and it's a new request by Avista to include those additional rate increases for both gasoline, or natural gas and electricity to be limit beginning 2018, 1st of May, 2018, and the 1st of May, 2019, and 1st of May in 2020. Now, for those individuals that are not familiar, this is not just one year, this is the continuing on, so the rate increase for 2018 continues on into the future and an additional rate increase of 2019 and an additional rate increase for 2020. On the 13th of May, 2017, I mailed a letter to the four current members of the Avista Utilities compensation	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Avista Utilities for the past 365 days, that is one year, to be 3 percent. So what does this mean when we say return on investment by Avista's Avista stock of 3 percent? It means that Avista is paying their CEO the equivalent of about what 10,000 investors would be investing if each individual invested an approximately \$18,000 for one year and return of 3 percent, the total of all those 10,000 investors, it would take 10,000 to equal the salary of one employee of Avista Utilities. Let me break down for you the results of that unreasonable annual compensation packet of 5.5. Assuming that the CEO receives a two-week vacation annually, he works 50 weeks per year, five workdays per week, that's 250 workdays per year. When we divide the annual salary by the annual workdays, we will see that Avista Utilities pays their CEO \$22,000 per day. I will say that one more time. One man working eight hours receives \$22,000. Now, I would like you to consider this. I believe that at least one third of those 770,000 customers of Avista most likely receives not more than the \$22,000

4 (Pages 36 to 39)

Page 40       Page 42         1       buy groceries and milk for the baby, et cetera. They work a full year, probably ont much more than what the CEO receives in one day.       1       (inaudible) of poerty. In a subset of our work, in 2016, SNAP provided energy assistance to the 23,607         2       Work a full year, probably ont much more than what the CEO receives in one day.       3       (inaudible) of poerty. In a subset of our work, in 2016, SNAP provided energy assistance to the 23,607         4       Now, it gets even more interesting.       CHAIRMAN DANNER: So we're going to have to wrap this up.       4       that was through a mix of public private and ratepayer         6       CHAIRMAN DANNER: So we're going to have to wrap this up.       6       hope today is to update you on several collaborative and innovative efforts to share some thought about the mailty-ear rate increase and also to close with an expression of gratitude.         10       pays its CEO \$2,750 per hour, one hour's work, \$2,750.       10       So this past heating season, which for us is October         11       Once again, Avista pays their CEO \$2,750 per hour.       11       of 2016 to September of 2017, is very busy, and our energy assistance programs continue to evolve and change every year. I'm grateful to the SNAP team, they have every year. I'm grateful to the SNAP team, they have every year. I'm grateful to the SNAP team, they have every year. I'm grateful to the SNAP team, they have every year. I'm grateful to the SNAP team, they have every year or this adjout two weeks ago, and we made close to 6,000         12       the ir		- 10		- 10
2       work a full year, probably not much more than what the       2       2016, SNAP provided energy assistance to the 23,607         3       CEO receives in one day.       1       Iowincome households which is a duplicated number, and         4       Now, it gets even more interesting.       1       Iowincome households which is a duplicated number, and         5       CHAIRMAN DANNER: So we're going to have to wrap       5       dollars, a fairly substantial increase over 2015. My         6       this up.       10       pays its CEO S2,750 per hour, one hour's works, \$2,750.       10         10       pays its CEO \$2,750 per hour,       11       5       So this past heating season, which for us is October         11       Once again, Avista pays their CEO \$2,750 per hour.       11       So this past heating season, which for us is October         12       Members of the Utilities and Transportation Commission,       12       every year. I'm grateful to the SNAP team, they have         14       to you, one man receiving \$2,750 salary? When many of       14       really out disaprove those additional annual rate         15       the rexecutives under control. If Avista can afford to       10       pay one employee \$5.5 million annually, they certainly do         16       at night, trying to keep their house additional annual rate       16       at metw serve dof their exequives do the sownere and seve work with <td></td> <td>Page 40</td> <th></th> <td>Page 42</td>		Page 40		Page 42
3       CEO receives in one day.       3       Iow-income households which is a duplicated number, and that was through a mix of public private and ratepayer dollars, a fairly substantial increase over 2015. My hope today is to update you on several collaborative and innovative efforts to share some thought about the multi-year rate increase and also to close with an expression of gratitude.         7       MR. CAIN: I have two more paragraphs in all       7         8       respect. What about his hourly wage? If he's making       8         9       \$22,000 per day, this will be shocking to you. Avista       9         10       pays its CEO \$2,750 per hour, one hour's work, \$2,750.       10       So this past heating season, which for us is October         11       Once again, Avista pays their CEO \$2,750 per hour.       11       of 2016 to September of 2017, is very busy, and our         12       Members of the Utilities and Transportation Commission,       12       really pushed hard in the couple of years to try and find         13       does that sound fair to you? Does that really sound fair       13       new ways to engage customers and grow the number of         14       try oyou, one man receiving \$2,750 salary? When many of       14       new ways to engage customers and grow the number of         15       the? 70,000 customers are striving, cuting the heat back       15       here executives under control. If Avista can afford to         20       their executives under	1	buy groceries and milk for the baby, et cetera. They	1	(inaudible) of poverty. In a subset of our work, in
4       Now, it gets even more interesting.       4       that was through a mix of public private and ratepayer         5       CHARMAN DANNER: So we're going to have to wrap       5       dollars, a fairly substantial increase over 2015. My         6       this up.       6       how, it gets even more interesting.       5         7       MR. CAIN: I have two more paragraphs in all       7       for espect. What about his hourly wage? If he's making       8         9       \$22,000 per day, this will be shocking to you. Avista       9       expression of gratitude.       So this past heating season, which for us is October         10       pays its CEO \$2,750 per hour, one hour's work, \$2,750.       10       So this past heating season, which for us is October         11       Once again, Avista pays their CEO \$2,750 per hour.       11       of 2016 to September of 2017, is very busy, and our         12       Members of the Utilities and Transportation Commission,       12       every year. I'm grateful to the SNAP team, they have         14       to you, ore man receiving \$2,750 salary? When many of       14       14       new ways to engage customers and grow the number of         15       the 770,000 customers are striving, cutting the heat back       16       people that we serve. In an effort to continually         16       at night, trying to keep their house warm and buy food.       16	2	work a full year, probably not much more than what the	2	2016, SNAP provided energy assistance to the 23,607
5       CHAIRMAN DANNER: So we're going to have to wrap this up.       5       dollars, a fairly substantial increase over 2015. My hope today is to update you on several collaborative and innovative efforts to share some thought about the multi-year rate increase and also to close with an expression of gratitude.         7       MR. CAIN: I have two more paragraphs in all respect. What about his hourly wage? If he's making 9       7         9       \$22,000 per day, this will be shocking to you. Avista 10       9         10       pays its CEO \$2,750 per hour, one hour's work, \$2,750.       10         11       Once again, Avista pays their CEO \$2,750 per hour, one man receiving \$2,750 salary? When many of 14       10         14       to you, one man receiving \$2,750 salary? When many of 15       11       0 cheage additional annual rate 16       11         16       at night, trying to keep their house warm and buy food. 16       16       16       16         16       utilities gets their compensation schedules for all of 17       17       17       10         19       Utilities gets their compensation schedules for all of 12       10       14       Avista an multiple partners to do five primary things 14         20       their executives under control. If Avista can afford to 22       20       16       21       And to the board of directors of Avista, it is hard 24       21       And to the board of directors of Avista, it is hard 25       24 <td>3</td> <td>CEO receives in one day.</td> <th>3</th> <td>low-income households which is a duplicated number, and</td>	3	CEO receives in one day.	3	low-income households which is a duplicated number, and
6       this up.       6       hope today is to update you on several collaborative and invoative efforts to share some thought about the multi-year rate increase and also to close with an expression of gratitude.         7       MR CAIN: I have two more paragraphs in all respect. What about his hourly wage? If he's making \$\$22,000 per day, this will be shocking to you. Avista pays its CEO \$2,750 per hour, one hour's work, \$2,750.       10       none today is to share some thought about the multi-year rate increase and also to close with an expression of gratitude.         10       pays its CEO \$2,750 per hour, one hour's work, \$2,750.       10       So this past heating season, which for us is October of 2017, is very busy, and our energy assistance programs continue to evolve and change every year. I'm grateful to the SNAP team, they have really pushed hard in the couple of years to try and find the 770,000 customers are striving, cutting the heat back increases proposed for 2018, 2019 and 2020 until Avista increases proposed for 2018, 2019 and 2020 until Avista is last year season.         10       their executives under control. If Avista can afford to pay one employee \$5.5 million annually, they certainly do rot need a rate increase to be borne from each of their avour use under stand that you would pay some consultant for me to understand that you would pay some consultant       1       appointments for energy assistance in just over eight days, so the need remains strong.         24       And to the board of directors of Avista, it is hard she you would agree that it's insanity when you as believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you as believe that you would agree that it's insaniny when you as believe that you would agree that it's insaniny wh	4	Now, it gets even more interesting.	4	that was through a mix of public private and ratepayer
7       MR. CAIN: I have two more paragraphs in all       7       innovative efforts to share some thought about the multi-year rate increase and also to close with an expression of gratitude.         9       \$22,000 per day, this will be shocking to you. Avista       9       expression of gratitude.         10       pays its CEO \$2,750 per hour, one hour's work, \$2,750.       10       So this past heating season, which for us is October         11       Once again, Avista pays their CEO \$2,750 per hour.       10       2016 to September of 2017, is very busy, and our         12       Members of the Utilities and Transportation Commission,       12       energy assistance programs continue to evolve and change         13       does that sound fair to you? Does that really sound fair       13       every year. I'm grateful to the SNAP team, they have         14       to you, one man receiving \$2,750 salary? When many of       14       really pushed hard in the couple of years to try and find         15       the 770,000 customers are striving, cutting the heat back       16       new ways to engage customers and effort to continually         19       Utilities gets their compensation schedules for all of       14       visita's annual walk-in day where together in just one         21       pay one employee \$5.5 million annually, they certainly do       21       Avista's annual walk-in day where together in just one         23       770,000 customers. <td>5</td> <td>CHAIRMAN DANNER: So we're going to have to wrap</td> <th>5</th> <td>dollars, a fairly substantial increase over 2015. My</td>	5	CHAIRMAN DANNER: So we're going to have to wrap	5	dollars, a fairly substantial increase over 2015. My
8       respect. What about his hourly wage? If he's making       9       multi-year rate increase and also to close with an         9       \$22,000 per day, this will be shocking to you. Avista       9       expression of gratitude.         10       pays its CEO \$2,750 per hour, one hour's work, \$2,750.       10       So this past heating season, which for us is October         11       Once again, Avista pays their CEO \$2,750 per hour.       10       So this past heating season, which for us is October         12       Members of the Utilities and Transportation Commission,       12       energy assistance programs continue to evolve and change         14       to you, one man receiving \$2,750 sealary? When many of       14       really pushed hard in the couple of years to try and find         15       the 770,000 customers are striving, cutting the heat back       15       new ways to engage customers and grow the number of         16       at night, trying to keep their house warm and buy food.       16       people that we serve. In an effort to continually         19       Utilities gets their compensation schedules for all of       18       Avista and multiple partners to do five primary things         19       utilities and that you would pay some consultant       10       21       Avista and multiple partners to do five primary things         21       pay one employee \$5.5 million annually, they certainly do       21	6	•	6	hope today is to update you on several collaborative and
9       \$22,000 per day, this will be shocking to you. Avista       9       expression of gratitude.         10       pays its CEO \$2,750 per hour, one hour's work, \$2,750.       10       So this past heating season, which for us is October         11       Once again, Avista pays their CEO \$2,750 per hour.       11       of 2016 to September of 2017, is very busy, and our         12       Members of the Utilities and Transportation Commission,       12       energy assistance programs continue to evolve and change         13       does that sound fair to you? Does that really sound fair       14       to you, one man receiving \$2,750 salary? When many of         14       to you, one man receiving \$2,750 salary? When many of       14       realty pushed hard in the couple of years to try and find         16       at night, trying to keep their house warm and buy food.       16       people that we serve. In an effort to continually         17       I beg you to disapprove those additional annual rate       17       improve our effectiveness and efficiency, we work with         20       their executives under control. If Avista can afford to       20       50 the first of which was to successfully complete         21       pay one employee \$5.5 million annually, they certainly do       21       Avista's annual walk-in day where together in just one         22       not need a rate increase to be borne from each of their       22	7	· - · ·	7	innovative efforts to share some thought about the
10pays its CEO \$2,750 per hour, one hour's work, \$2,750.10So this past heating season, which for us is October of 2016 to September of 2017, is very busy, and our12Members of the Utilities and Transportation Commission, does that sound fair to you? Does that really sound fair to you, one man receiving \$2,750 salary? When many of 1511So this past heating season, which for us is October of 2016 to September of 2017, is very busy, and our energy assistance programs continue to evolve and change every year. I'm grateful to the SNAP team, they have really pushed hard in the couple of years to try and find new ways to engage customers and grow the number of people that we serve. In an effort to continually improve our effectiveness and efficiency, we work with Avista and multiple partners to do five primary things this last year season.10Their executives under control. If Avista can afford to 202011on need a rate increase to be borne from each of their 212023not need a rate increase to be borne from each of their 222124And to the board of directors of Avista, it is hard 252425for me to understand that you would pay some consultant2524for ma outside firm to advise you to pay one individual 2124from an outside firm to advise you to pay one individual 2126\$2,750 per hour, and even more difficult for me to 3243believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you 433believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you 434can easily obtain a highly qualified, exp	8		8	multi-year rate increase and also to close with an
11Once again, Avista pays their CEO \$2,750 per hour.12Members of the Utilities and Transportation Commission,13does that sound fair to you? Does that really sound fair14to you, one man receiving \$2,750 salary? When many of15the 770,000 customers are striving, cutting the heat back16at night, trying to keep their house warm and buy food.17I beg you to disapprove those additional annual rate18increases proposed for 2018, 2019 and 2020 until Avista19Utilities gets their compensation schedules for all of20their executives under control. If Avista can afford to21pay one employee \$5.5 million annually, they certainly do22not need a rate increase to be borne from each of their23770,000 customers.24And to the board of directors of Avista, it is hard25for me to understand that you would pay some consultant26\$2,750 per hour, and even more difficult for me to28\$2,750 per hour, and even more difficult for me to3believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you4can easily obtain a highly qualified, experienced,5dedicated professional CEO to perform your required				
12Members of the Utilities and Transportation Commission, 1312energy assistance programs continue to evolve and change every year. I'm grateful to the SNAP team, they have really pushed hard in the couple of years to try and find new ways to engage customers and grow the number of people that we serve. In an effort to continually improve our effectiveness and efficiency, we work with Avista and multiple partners to do five primary things this last year season.14to you, one man receiving \$2,750 salary? When many of at night, trying to keep their house warm and buy food. I beg you to disapprove those additional annual rate increases proposed for 2018, 2019 and 2020 until Avista 1914new ways to engage customers and grow the number of people that we serve. In an effort to continually improve our effectiveness and efficiency, we work with Avista and multiple partners to do five primary things this last year season.19Utilities gets their compensation schedules for all of 20191021pay one employee \$5.5 million annually, they certainly do 22 not need a rate increase to be borne from each of their 770,000 customers.20So the first of which was to successfully complete day, we served 670 households with energy assistance.23770,000 customers.23This appointment day or this actual service day was held before we opened our appointment line, which just24And to the board of directors of Avista, it is hard 2524Page 4125for me to understand that you would pay some consultant1appointments for energy assistance in just over eight days, so the need remains strong.2scondly, we shifted to running LIRAP ye				
13does that sound fair to you? Does that really sound fair13every year. I'm grateful to the SNAP team, they have14to you, one man receiving \$2,750 salary? When many of14really pushed hard in the couple of years to try and find15the 770,000 customers are striving, cutting the heat back15new ways to engage customers and grow the number of16at night, trying to keep their house warm and buy food.16people that we serve. In an effort to continually17I beg you to disapprove those additional annual rate17improve our effectiveness and efficiency, we work with18increases proposed for 2018, 2019 and 2020 until Avista18Avista and multiple partners to do five primary things19Utilities gets their compensation schedules for all of191120their executives under control. If Avista can afford to20So the first of which was to successfully complete21pay one employee \$5.5 million annually, they certainly do21Avista's annual walk-in day where together in just one23770,000 customers.23This appointment day or this actual service day was24And to the board of directors of Avista, it is hard24held before we opened our appointment line, which just25for me to understand that you would pay some consultant1appointments for energy assistance in just over eight2\$2,750 per hour, and even more difficult for me to2Secondly, we shifted to running LIRAP year-round.3believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you3Secondly,				
14to you, one man receiving \$2,750 salary? When many of the 770,000 customers are striving, cutting the heat back14really pushed hard in the couple of years to try and find new ways to engage customers and grow the number of people that we serve. In an effort to continually improve our effectiveness and efficiency, we work with16at night, trying to keep their house warm and buy food.16people that we serve. In an effort to continually improve our effectiveness and efficiency, we work with18increases proposed for 2018, 2019 and 2020 until Avista18Avista and multiple partners to do five primary things19Utilities gets their compensation schedules for all of 2019this last year season.20their executives under control. If Avista can afford to 2120So the first of which was to successfully complete21pay one employee \$5.5 million annually, they certainly do 22not need a rate increase to be borne from each of their 23770,000 customers.237770,000 customers.23This appointment day or this actual service day was held before we opened our appointment line, which just happened about two weeks ago, and we made close to 6,00024And to the board of directors of Avista, it is hard 2525page 411from an outside firm to advise you to pay one individual 21appointments for energy assistance in just over eight days, so the need remains strong.3believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you 41appointments for energy assistance in just over eight days, so the need remains strong.3believe that you wou				
15the 770,000 customers are striving, cutting the heat back at night, trying to keep their house warm and buy food.15new ways to engage customers and grow the number of people that we serve. In an effort to continually17I beg you to disapprove those additional annual rate17improve our effectiveness and efficiency, we work with18increases proposed for 2018, 2019 and 2020 until Avista18Avista and multiple partners to do five primary things19Utilities gets their compensation schedules for all of19this last year season.20their executives under control. If Avista can afford to20So the first of which was to successfully complete21pay one employee \$5.5 million annually, they certainly do21Avista's annual walk-in day where together in just one23770,000 customers.23This appointment day or this actual service day was24And to the board of directors of Avista, it is hard24held before we opened our appointment line, which just25for me to understand that you would pay some consultant1appointments for energy assistance in just over eight2\$2,750 per hour, and even more difficult for me to2Secondly, we shifted to running LIRAP year-round.3believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you3Secondly, we shifted to running LIRAP year-round.4can easily obtain a highly qualified, experienced,5and in the summer take a break. We now run it				
16at night, trying to keep their house warm and buy food.16people that we serve. In an effort to continually17I beg you to disapprove those additional annual rate17improve our effectiveness and efficiency, we work with18increases proposed for 2018, 2019 and 2020 until Avista18Avista and multiple partners to do five primary things19Utilities gets their compensation schedules for all of19this last year season.20their executives under control. If Avista can afford to20So the first of which was to successfully complete21pay one employee \$5.5 million annually, they certainly do21Avista's annual walk-in day where together in just one23770,000 customers.23This appointment day or this actual service day was24And to the board of directors of Avista, it is hard24held before we opened our appointment line, which just25for me to understand that you would pay some consultant1appointments for energy assistance in just over eight2\$2,750 per hour, and even more difficult for me to3Secondly, we shifted to running LIRAP year-round.3believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you3Secondly, we shifted to running LIRAP year-round.4can easily obtain a highly qualified, experienced,5we used to just run LIRAP for a good portion of the year5dedicated professional CEO to perform your required5and in the summer take a break. We now run it				
17I beg you to disapprove those additional annual rate17improve our effectiveness and efficiency, we work with18increases proposed for 2018, 2019 and 2020 until Avista1819Utilities gets their compensation schedules for all of1920their executives under control. If Avista can afford to2021pay one employee \$5.5 million annually, they certainly do2022not need a rate increase to be borne from each of their2223770,000 customers.2324And to the board of directors of Avista, it is hard2425for me to understand that you would pay some consultant2526from an outside firm to advise you to pay one individual12\$2,750 per hour, and even more difficult for me to23believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you34can easily obtain a highly qualified, experienced,35dedicated professional CEO to perform your required5				
18       increases proposed for 2018, 2019 and 2020 until Avista       18       Avista and multiple partners to do five primary things         19       Utilities gets their compensation schedules for all of       19       19       So the first of which was to successfully complete         20       their executives under control. If Avista can afford to       20       So the first of which was to successfully complete         21       pay one employee \$5.5 million annually, they certainly do       21       Avista's annual walk-in day where together in just one         23       770,000 customers.       23       This appointment day or this actual service day was         24       And to the board of directors of Avista, it is hard       24       held before we opened our appointment line, which just         25       for me to understand that you would pay some consultant       25       happened about two weeks ago, and we made close to 6,000         2       \$2,750 per hour, and even more difficult for me to       3       believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you       3         3       believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you       3       Secondly, we shifted to running LIRAP year-round.         4       dedicated professional CEO to perform your required       5       and in the summer take a break. We now run it				
19Utilities gets their compensation schedules for all of their executives under control. If Avista can afford to pay one employee \$5.5 million annually, they certainly do not need a rate increase to be borne from each of their 2319this last year season. So the first of which was to successfully complete Avista's annual walk-in day where together in just one day, we served 670 households with energy assistance.23770,000 customers.23This appointment day or this actual service day was held before we opened our appointment line, which just happened about two weeks ago, and we made close to 6,00024And to the board of directors of Avista, it is hard 2524held before we opened our appointment line, which just happened about two weeks ago, and we made close to 6,000Page 411from an outside firm to advise you to pay one individual 212\$2,750 per hour, and even more difficult for me to 313believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you 434can easily obtain a highly qualified, experienced, 545dedicated professional CEO to perform your required5				
20their executives under control. If Avista can afford to20So the first of which was to successfully complete21pay one employee \$5.5 million annually, they certainly do21Avista's annual walk-in day where together in just one22not need a rate increase to be borne from each of their22Avista's annual walk-in day where together in just one23770,000 customers.23This appointment day or this actual service day was24And to the board of directors of Avista, it is hard24held before we opened our appointment line, which just25for me to understand that you would pay some consultant25happened about two weeks ago, and we made close to 6,000Page 411from an outside firm to advise you to pay one individual1appointments for energy assistance in just over eight2\$2,750 per hour, and even more difficult for me to3Secondly, we shifted to running LIRAP year-round.3believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you3Secondly, we subtred to just run LIRAP for a good portion of the year4can easily obtain a highly qualified, experienced,4We used to just run LIRAP for a good portion of the year5dedicated professional CEO to perform your required5and in the summer take a break. We now run it				
21pay one employee \$5.5 million annually, they certainly do not need a rate increase to be borne from each of their 2321Avista's annual walk-in day where together in just one day, we served 670 households with energy assistance. This appointment day or this actual service day was held before we opened our appointment line, which just happened about two weeks ago, and we made close to 6,00024And to the board of directors of Avista, it is hard for me to understand that you would pay some consultant25This appointment day or this actual service day was held before we opened our appointment line, which just happened about two weeks ago, and we made close to 6,000Page 411from an outside firm to advise you to pay one individual \$2,750 per hour, and even more difficult for me to believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you 41appointments for energy assistance in just over eight days, so the need remains strong.3believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you 43Secondly, we shifted to running LIRAP year-round. We used to just run LIRAP for a good portion of the year and in the summer take a break. We now run it		5		-
22       not need a rate increase to be borne from each of their       22       day, we served 670 households with energy assistance.         23       770,000 customers.       23         24       And to the board of directors of Avista, it is hard       24         25       for me to understand that you would pay some consultant       25         Page 41         1       from an outside firm to advise you to pay one individual         2       \$2,750 per hour, and even more difficult for me to         3       believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you         4       can easily obtain a highly qualified, experienced,         5       dedicated professional CEO to perform your required				
23       770,000 customers.       23       This appointment day or this actual service day was held before we opened our appointment line, which just happened about two weeks ago, and we made close to 6,000         24       And to the board of directors of Avista, it is hard for me to understand that you would pay some consultant       23         25       for me to understand that you would pay some consultant       25         Page 41         2       from an outside firm to advise you to pay one individual         2       \$2,750 per hour, and even more difficult for me to         3       believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you         4       can easily obtain a highly qualified, experienced,         5       dedicated professional CEO to perform your required				
24       And to the board of directors of Avista, it is hard       24       held before we opened our appointment line, which just         25       for me to understand that you would pay some consultant       24       held before we opened our appointment line, which just         26       for me to understand that you would pay some consultant       24       held before we opened our appointment line, which just         27       Page 41       25       held before we opened our appointment line, which just         28       Page 41       25       held before we opened our appointment line, which just         29       Page 41       25       held before we opened our appointment line, which just         29       Page 41       26       held before we opened our appointment line, which just         20       for me to understand that you would pay some consultant       25       held before we opened our appointment line, which just         20       for ma outside firm to advise you to pay one individual       1       appointments for energy assistance in just over eight         2       \$2,750 per hour, and even more difficult for me to       2       days, so the need remains strong.         3       believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you       3       Secondly, we shifted to running LIRAP year-round.         4       can easily obtain a highly qualified, experienced,       4				
25       for me to understand that you would pay some consultant       25       happened about two weeks ago, and we made close to 6,000         Page 41         1       from an outside firm to advise you to pay one individual       1       appointments for energy assistance in just over eight         2       \$2,750 per hour, and even more difficult for me to       2       Secondly, we shifted to running LIRAP year-round.         3       believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you       3       Secondly, we shifted to running LIRAP year-round.         4       can easily obtain a highly qualified, experienced,       4       We used to just run LIRAP for a good portion of the year and in the summer take a break. We now run it				
Page 41       Page 43         1       from an outside firm to advise you to pay one individual       1       appointments for energy assistance in just over eight         2       \$2,750 per hour, and even more difficult for me to       2       days, so the need remains strong.         3       believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you       3       Secondly, we shifted to running LIRAP year-round.         4       can easily obtain a highly qualified, experienced,       4       We used to just run LIRAP for a good portion of the year         5       dedicated professional CEO to perform your required       5       and in the summer take a break. We now run it				
1from an outside firm to advise you to pay one individual1appointments for energy assistance in just over eight2\$2,750 per hour, and even more difficult for me to2days, so the need remains strong.3believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you3Secondly, we shifted to running LIRAP year-round.4can easily obtain a highly qualified, experienced,4We used to just run LIRAP for a good portion of the year5dedicated professional CEO to perform your required5and in the summer take a break. We now run it	20	for the to understand that you would pay some consultant	25	happened about two weeks ago, and we made close to 0,000
1from an outside firm to advise you to pay one individual1appointments for energy assistance in just over eight2\$2,750 per hour, and even more difficult for me to2days, so the need remains strong.3believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you3Secondly, we shifted to running LIRAP year-round.4can easily obtain a highly qualified, experienced,4We used to just run LIRAP for a good portion of the year5dedicated professional CEO to perform your required5and in the summer take a break. We now run it		Page 41		Page 43
2\$2,750 per hour, and even more difficult for me to2days, so the need remains strong.3believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you3Secondly, we shifted to running LIRAP year-round.4can easily obtain a highly qualified, experienced,4We used to just run LIRAP for a good portion of the year5dedicated professional CEO to perform your required5and in the summer take a break. We now run it	1		1	
3believe that you would agree that it's insanity when you3Secondly, we shifted to running LIRAP year-round.4can easily obtain a highly qualified, experienced,4We used to just run LIRAP for a good portion of the year5dedicated professional CEO to perform your required5and in the summer take a break. We now run it				
4can easily obtain a highly qualified, experienced, dedicated professional CEO to perform your required4We used to just run LIRAP for a good portion of the year and in the summer take a break. We now run it				
5 dedicated professional CEO to perform your required 5 and in the summer take a break. We now run it				
7 or \$300,000 annual salary. 7 Thirdly, we continue to expand outreach. So to				•
8 If anyone would like to have a copy of this 8 mental health care workers, to affordable apartment				
9 presentation, please see me again. Honorable members of 9 complexes, working with the homeless coalition, working				
10 the Washington Commission and Attorney General's office, 10 with ALTC, our aging and long-term partners, to help				
11 I thank you for the opportunity of speaking before you 11 spread the news about this important resource.				
12 tonight. 12 Number four, you have been planning on holding	12			
13 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you. If you look to our 13 conservation education classes in September before energy	13	-		
14 court reporter or to Mr. Roberts. 14 even starts, and that helps clients get an early kind of	14	court reporter or to Mr. Roberts.	14	
15 All right. Julie HoneKamp, would you step forward? 15 access to energy appointments, so we're always trying to	15	All right. Julie HoneKamp, would you step forward?	15	
16 MS. HONEKAMP: Good evening, Chairman Danner, 16 educate, along with getting assistance.	16		16	
17 Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas. My name 17 Then, lastly, and you may well know, several years	17	Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas. My name	17	
18 is Julie HoneKamp, and I serve as the CEO at the Spokane 18 back you endorsed the effort to run a new pilot for	18	is Julie HoneKamp, and I serve as the CEO at the Spokane	18	
19 Neighborhood Action Partners, better known as SNAP. 19 Iow-income and senior rate customers, disabled customers	19	Neighborhood Action Partners, better known as SNAP.	19	
20 For over 50 years, SNAP has served as the Spokane 20 on fixed income, and we were able to serve an additional	20	-	20	
area community action agency and the primary nonprofit 21 800 customers in Spokane, Stevens, Lincoln and Ferry	21	area community action agency and the primary nonprofit	21	
22 energy assistance provider of Spokane County. 22 County. A third-party evaluator, Evergreen Economics,	22	energy assistance provider of Spokane County.	22	
23 In 2016, SNAP served over 47,000 unduplicated 23 shared that on average participating electric customers	23		23	
24 low-income individuals through nearly 30 programs aimed 24 decreased their annual electricity bill by 26 percent, or	24	low-income individuals through nearly 30 programs aimed	24	
at either stabilizing low-income households or equipping 25 \$309 less than their pre-pilot cost. And for natural	25	at either stabilizing low-income households or equipping	25	

5 (Pages 40 to 43)

	Page 44		Page 46
1	gas, they saved only \$354 a year or reduced 38 percent of	1	And while SNAP's mission and Avista's may be
2	their cost for their pre-pilot natural gas cost. So that	2	different, they are very complementary, and we both share
3	pilot also opened up a new avenue for us to serve	3	joint vision for a robust, healthy community. And I do
4	disabled households in a little higher income range.	4	have to say, as much as moments like this present an
5	Very effective pilot.	5	opportunity to sometimes disagree, I do appreciate their
6	In addition to working with more resources and	6	partnership and their willingness to come forward and
7	Avista, SNAP has also come together to work on a new	7	keep working and innovating to serve our community.
8	pilot that will hopefully launch in fall of 2018, the	8	So, lastly, thank you for being here and coming to
9	percentage of income payment plan and our arrearage	9	the community center and for striving to set fair, just,
10	management plan pilot that are also scheduled to get	10	reasonable and sufficient rates. Thank you.
11	running.	11	CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.
12	These continued service delivery improvements and	12	Yolanda Lovato.
13	innovation efforts highlight the strength of our	13	MS. LOVATO: Good evening. I'm Yolanda Lovato. I'm
14	partnership, and their willingness, the company's	14	the planning and resource rep in the Aging and Long-Term
15	willingness to explore new service delivery methods, so	15	Care of Eastern Washington, and we are here to represent
16	there's much to be grateful for, but I do remain	16	the older adults and people living with disabilities
17	concerned about the impact of the proposed multi-year	17	living in Spokane, Ferry, Pend Oreille, Stevens and
18	increase.	18	Whitman County. We are an agency that touches a lot of
19	So according to the Spokane community indicator	19	them, about approximately 40,000 people in our service
20	website which is maintained by Eastern Washington	20	area, and we a representative council that is composed of
21	University, 63,000 households I'm sorry residents	21	volunteers who live in our communities. This committee
22	live below the federal poverty line in Spokane County.	22	is called our Planning and Management Council, and I'm
23 24	So that's 13.2 percent of our community lives below the poverty line. That compares to Washington State where	23	here to speak on behalf of the council as we bring forth some concerns that the council has identified.
24	11.3 percent of the total population live below the	24	The Planning and Management Council believe that
23			
	Page 45		Page 47
1	Page 45 federal poverty line.	1	Page 47 given the general rate increase, settlement increases
1 2		1 2	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually
	federal poverty line.		given the general rate increase, settlement increases
2 3 4	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of	2 3 4	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and
2 3 4 5	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With	2 3 4 5	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission
2 3 4 5 6	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With income increases in the single digits, it's difficult to	2 3 4 5 6	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission considers the Avista general rate increase, we ask you to
2 3 4 5 6 7	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With income increases in the single digits, it's difficult to accommodate a proposed electric increase for the initial	2 3 4 5 6 7	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission considers the Avista general rate increase, we ask you to consider the following:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With income increases in the single digits, it's difficult to accommodate a proposed electric increase for the initial year of 12 percent for electric and 5.4 percent for gas.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission considers the Avista general rate increase, we ask you to consider the following: Washington's 2016 elder, economic and security rate,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With income increases in the single digits, it's difficult to accommodate a proposed electric increase for the initial year of 12 percent for electric and 5.4 percent for gas. While wealthy in community spirit, Spokane lags	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission considers the Avista general rate increase, we ask you to consider the following: Washington's 2016 elder, economic and security rate, that is the percent of older retired seniors living in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With income increases in the single digits, it's difficult to accommodate a proposed electric increase for the initial year of 12 percent for electric and 5.4 percent for gas. While wealthy in community spirit, Spokane lags economically behind any of our west side colleagues, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission considers the Avista general rate increase, we ask you to consider the following: Washington's 2016 elder, economic and security rate, that is the percent of older retired seniors living in their own home whose incomes fall below the local elder,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With income increases in the single digits, it's difficult to accommodate a proposed electric increase for the initial year of 12 percent for electric and 5.4 percent for gas. While wealthy in community spirit, Spokane lags economically behind any of our west side colleagues, and our low-income customers obviously face difficult choices	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission considers the Avista general rate increase, we ask you to consider the following: Washington's 2016 elder, economic and security rate, that is the percent of older retired seniors living in their own home whose incomes fall below the local elder, economics and security index. This information you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With income increases in the single digits, it's difficult to accommodate a proposed electric increase for the initial year of 12 percent for electric and 5.4 percent for gas. While wealthy in community spirit, Spokane lags economically behind any of our west side colleagues, and our low-income customers obviously face difficult choices about how to stretch already limited resources.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission considers the Avista general rate increase, we ask you to consider the following: Washington's 2016 elder, economic and security rate, that is the percent of older retired seniors living in their own home whose incomes fall below the local elder, economics and security index. This information you're asking about, 48 percent of older adults are living alone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With income increases in the single digits, it's difficult to accommodate a proposed electric increase for the initial year of 12 percent for electric and 5.4 percent for gas. While wealthy in community spirit, Spokane lags economically behind any of our west side colleagues, and our low-income customers obviously face difficult choices about how to stretch already limited resources. And while I can appreciate the need to maintain a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission considers the Avista general rate increase, we ask you to consider the following: Washington's 2016 elder, economic and security rate, that is the percent of older retired seniors living in their own home whose incomes fall below the local elder, economics and security index. This information you're asking about, 48 percent of older adults are living alone and lack incomes that they will need to meet their needs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With income increases in the single digits, it's difficult to accommodate a proposed electric increase for the initial year of 12 percent for electric and 5.4 percent for gas. While wealthy in community spirit, Spokane lags economically behind any of our west side colleagues, and our low-income customers obviously face difficult choices about how to stretch already limited resources. And while I can appreciate the need to maintain a strong structure and liability, I really do urge you to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission considers the Avista general rate increase, we ask you to consider the following: Washington's 2016 elder, economic and security rate, that is the percent of older retired seniors living in their own home whose incomes fall below the local elder, economics and security index. This information you're asking about, 48 percent of older adults are living alone and lack incomes that they will need to meet their needs as they age and remain living in their own homes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With income increases in the single digits, it's difficult to accommodate a proposed electric increase for the initial year of 12 percent for electric and 5.4 percent for gas. While wealthy in community spirit, Spokane lags economically behind any of our west side colleagues, and our low-income customers obviously face difficult choices about how to stretch already limited resources. And while I can appreciate the need to maintain a strong structure and liability, I really do urge you to maintain a low monthly fixed charge. Fixed charges are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission considers the Avista general rate increase, we ask you to consider the following: Washington's 2016 elder, economic and security rate, that is the percent of older retired seniors living in their own home whose incomes fall below the local elder, economics and security index. This information you're asking about, 48 percent of older adults are living alone and lack incomes that they will need to meet their needs as they age and remain living in their own homes. Secondly, the state's economic and security rate for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With income increases in the single digits, it's difficult to accommodate a proposed electric increase for the initial year of 12 percent for electric and 5.4 percent for gas. While wealthy in community spirit, Spokane lags economically behind any of our west side colleagues, and our low-income customers obviously face difficult choices about how to stretch already limited resources. And while I can appreciate the need to maintain a strong structure and liability, I really do urge you to maintain a low monthly fixed charge. Fixed charges are not something low-income households can conserve their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission considers the Avista general rate increase, we ask you to consider the following: Washington's 2016 elder, economic and security rate, that is the percent of older retired seniors living in their own home whose incomes fall below the local elder, economics and security index. This information you're asking about, 48 percent of older adults are living alone and lack incomes that they will need to meet their needs as they age and remain living in their own homes. Secondly, the state's economic and security rate for senior couples is about 23 percent. This rate stands in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With income increases in the single digits, it's difficult to accommodate a proposed electric increase for the initial year of 12 percent for electric and 5.4 percent for gas. While wealthy in community spirit, Spokane lags economically behind any of our west side colleagues, and our low-income customers obviously face difficult choices about how to stretch already limited resources. And while I can appreciate the need to maintain a strong structure and liability, I really do urge you to maintain a low monthly fixed charge. Fixed charges are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission considers the Avista general rate increase, we ask you to consider the following: Washington's 2016 elder, economic and security rate, that is the percent of older retired seniors living in their own home whose incomes fall below the local elder, economics and security index. This information you're asking about, 48 percent of older adults are living alone and lack incomes that they will need to meet their needs as they age and remain living in their own homes. Secondly, the state's economic and security rate for senior couples is about 23 percent. This rate stands in contrast to the modest 4.2 poverty rate for senior
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With income increases in the single digits, it's difficult to accommodate a proposed electric increase for the initial year of 12 percent for electric and 5.4 percent for gas. While wealthy in community spirit, Spokane lags economically behind any of our west side colleagues, and our low-income customers obviously face difficult choices about how to stretch already limited resources. And while I can appreciate the need to maintain a strong structure and liability, I really do urge you to maintain a low monthly fixed charge. Fixed charges are not something low-income households can conserve their way out of, they can't cut their bill for that fixed charge.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission considers the Avista general rate increase, we ask you to consider the following: Washington's 2016 elder, economic and security rate, that is the percent of older retired seniors living in their own home whose incomes fall below the local elder, economics and security index. This information you're asking about, 48 percent of older adults are living alone and lack incomes that they will need to meet their needs as they age and remain living in their own homes. Secondly, the state's economic and security rate for senior couples is about 23 percent. This rate stands in contrast to the modest 4.2 poverty rate for senior couples. So we see a huge disparity in older adults who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With income increases in the single digits, it's difficult to accommodate a proposed electric increase for the initial year of 12 percent for electric and 5.4 percent for gas. While wealthy in community spirit, Spokane lags economically behind any of our west side colleagues, and our low-income customers obviously face difficult choices about how to stretch already limited resources. And while I can appreciate the need to maintain a strong structure and liability, I really do urge you to maintain a low monthly fixed charge. Fixed charges are not something low-income households can conserve their way out of, they can't cut their bill for that fixed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission considers the Avista general rate increase, we ask you to consider the following: Washington's 2016 elder, economic and security rate, that is the percent of older retired seniors living in their own home whose incomes fall below the local elder, economics and security index. This information you're asking about, 48 percent of older adults are living alone and lack incomes that they will need to meet their needs as they age and remain living in their own homes. Secondly, the state's economic and security rate for senior couples is about 23 percent. This rate stands in contrast to the modest 4.2 poverty rate for senior couples. So we see a huge disparity in older adults who are living in poverty and older adults who are having
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With income increases in the single digits, it's difficult to accommodate a proposed electric increase for the initial year of 12 percent for electric and 5.4 percent for gas. While wealthy in community spirit, Spokane lags economically behind any of our west side colleagues, and our low-income customers obviously face difficult choices about how to stretch already limited resources. And while I can appreciate the need to maintain a strong structure and liability, I really do urge you to maintain a low monthly fixed charge. Fixed charges are not something low-income households can conserve their way out of, they can't cut their bill for that fixed charge. So I want to close by expressing gratitude. There's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission considers the Avista general rate increase, we ask you to consider the following: Washington's 2016 elder, economic and security rate, that is the percent of older retired seniors living in their own home whose incomes fall below the local elder, economics and security index. This information you're asking about, 48 percent of older adults are living alone and lack incomes that they will need to meet their needs as they age and remain living in their own homes. Secondly, the state's economic and security rate for senior couples is about 23 percent. This rate stands in contrast to the modest 4.2 poverty rate for senior couples. So we see a huge disparity in older adults who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With income increases in the single digits, it's difficult to accommodate a proposed electric increase for the initial year of 12 percent for electric and 5.4 percent for gas. While wealthy in community spirit, Spokane lags economically behind any of our west side colleagues, and our low-income customers obviously face difficult choices about how to stretch already limited resources. And while I can appreciate the need to maintain a strong structure and liability, I really do urge you to maintain a low monthly fixed charge. Fixed charges are not something low-income households can conserve their way out of, they can't cut their bill for that fixed charge. So I want to close by expressing gratitude. There's not enough good news in this world. So gratitude to you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission considers the Avista general rate increase, we ask you to consider the following: Washington's 2016 elder, economic and security rate, that is the percent of older retired seniors living in their own home whose incomes fall below the local elder, economics and security index. This information you're asking about, 48 percent of older adults are living alone and lack incomes that they will need to meet their needs as they age and remain living in their own homes. Secondly, the state's economic and security rate for senior couples is about 23 percent. This rate stands in contrast to the modest 4.2 poverty rate for senior couples. So we see a huge disparity in older adults who are living in poverty and older adults who are having difficult with income security. These older adults are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With income increases in the single digits, it's difficult to accommodate a proposed electric increase for the initial year of 12 percent for electric and 5.4 percent for gas. While wealthy in community spirit, Spokane lags economically behind any of our west side colleagues, and our low-income customers obviously face difficult choices about how to stretch already limited resources. And while I can appreciate the need to maintain a strong structure and liability, I really do urge you to maintain a low monthly fixed charge. Fixed charges are not something low-income households can conserve their way out of, they can't cut their bill for that fixed charge. So I want to close by expressing gratitude. There's not enough good news in this world. So gratitude to you all for approving a multi-year funding plan a number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission considers the Avista general rate increase, we ask you to consider the following: Washington's 2016 elder, economic and security rate, that is the percent of older retired seniors living in their own home whose incomes fall below the local elder, economics and security index. This information you're asking about, 48 percent of older adults are living alone and lack incomes that they will need to meet their needs as they age and remain living in their own homes. Secondly, the state's economic and security rate for senior couples is about 23 percent. This rate stands in contrast to the modest 4.2 poverty rate for senior couples. So we see a huge disparity in older adults who are living in poverty and older adults who are having difficult with income security. These older adults are more likely to go without one or more basic needs and are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With income increases in the single digits, it's difficult to accommodate a proposed electric increase for the initial year of 12 percent for electric and 5.4 percent for gas. While wealthy in community spirit, Spokane lags economically behind any of our west side colleagues, and our low-income customers obviously face difficult choices about how to stretch already limited resources. And while I can appreciate the need to maintain a strong structure and liability, I really do urge you to maintain a low monthly fixed charge. Fixed charges are not something low-income households can conserve their way out of, they can't cut their bill for that fixed charge. So I want to close by expressing gratitude. There's not enough good news in this world. So gratitude to you all for approving a multi-year funding plan a number of years ago. That has allowed us to stay focused on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission considers the Avista general rate increase, we ask you to consider the following: Washington's 2016 elder, economic and security rate, that is the percent of older retired seniors living in their own home whose incomes fall below the local elder, economics and security index. This information you're asking about, 48 percent of older adults are living alone and lack incomes that they will need to meet their needs as they age and remain living in their own homes. Secondly, the state's economic and security rate for senior couples is about 23 percent. This rate stands in contrast to the modest 4.2 poverty rate for senior couples. So we see a huge disparity in older adults who are living in poverty and older adults who are having difficult with income security. These older adults are more likely to go without one or more basic needs and are less likely to weather unforeseen expenses.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	federal poverty line. Additionally, the median household income in Spokane County was \$53,000 a year in 2016 versus \$67,000 a year for Washington State as a whole. The vast majority of SNAP's clients earn less than \$12,000 a year. With income increases in the single digits, it's difficult to accommodate a proposed electric increase for the initial year of 12 percent for electric and 5.4 percent for gas. While wealthy in community spirit, Spokane lags economically behind any of our west side colleagues, and our low-income customers obviously face difficult choices about how to stretch already limited resources. And while I can appreciate the need to maintain a strong structure and liability, I really do urge you to maintain a low monthly fixed charge. Fixed charges are not something low-income households can conserve their way out of, they can't cut their bill for that fixed charge. So I want to close by expressing gratitude. There's not enough good news in this world. So gratitude to you all for approving a multi-year funding plan a number of years ago. That has allowed us to stay focused on the innovation and service delivery instead of planning a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	given the general rate increase, settlement increases granted to Avista for electric and gas nearly annually since 2006, and with looming budget cuts and cuts to social services, that we should see no electrical and natural gas increases granted. As the Commission considers the Avista general rate increase, we ask you to consider the following: Washington's 2016 elder, economic and security rate, that is the percent of older retired seniors living in their own home whose incomes fall below the local elder, economics and security index. This information you're asking about, 48 percent of older adults are living alone and lack incomes that they will need to meet their needs as they age and remain living in their own homes. Secondly, the state's economic and security rate for senior couples is about 23 percent. This rate stands in contrast to the modest 4.2 poverty rate for senior couples. So we see a huge disparity in older adults who are living in poverty and older adults who are having difficult with income security. These older adults are more likely to go without one or more basic needs and are less likely to weather unforeseen expenses. Income for older adults in our region does not keep

<sup>6 (</sup>Pages 44 to 47)

	Page 48		Page 50
1	a .3 percent increase in social security, and any rate	1	out of the ponds, it's monitored by shallow wells which,
2	increase is offset by the lack of increases in social	2	when they become contaminated, are turned into pump-back
3	security.	3	wells and new monitoring wells are then drilled below
4	We know that many of the clients that we work with	4	them in the gradient.
5	are low income and must manage both chronic health	5	As of tonight the number of these wells is
6	conditions and other problems and/or live with	6	approaching approximately 1,000, and the cost of these
7	disabilities and sometimes both. We know that an	7	wells, the drilling of them and the operation of them and
8	increase in residential rates for heat and light impacts	8	the sampling of them is currently being passed on to your
9	this populace in a way that doesn't impact other	9	ratepayers. Thank you.
10	populations, and we know that these kinds of decisions	10	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much. I can't read
11	have an impact on whether people choose to fill	11	your handwriting. Looks like it's Bob Henlin. Is that
12	prescriptions or meet their heating needs. So we'd ask	12	right?
13	you to consider this population as we look at this	13	MR. HERITAGE: I'm here. I live on Chattaroy in
14	request and that we really look at the impact it will	14	Chattaroy.
15	have, not in only 2018, but in 2019 and 2020 as this age	15	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah. How do you spell your last
16	demographic continues to emerge.	16	name, please?
17	Thank you.	17	MR. HERITAGE: Heritage.
18	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much. We	18	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Heritage. All right. Thank you,
19	appreciate you coming out tonight.	19	sir. Go ahead.
20	Okay. Kathy LeTellier?	20	MR. HERITAGE: The rates that they're trying to
21	MS. LE TELLIER: I'm going to pass because she	21	force through with you people, how many meals for the
22	expressed my concerns.	22	seniors that live on social security we only get
23	CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.	23	I'm 81 years old. I have a 1 percent raise in my social
24 25	Brad Sauer?	24 25	security. That doesn't buy anything. They want ten.
25	MR. SAUER: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is	25	You add them both together, it's atrocious as far as I'm
	Page 49		
	Fage 49		Page 51
1	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course,	1	Page 51 concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my
1 2		1 2	
	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course,	1	concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my
2	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a	2	concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and
2 3	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which	2 3 4 5	concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here. So there is one other thing here. I have a second
2 3 4 5 6	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which Avista is a part owner. I would also add that our state	2 3 4 5 6	concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here. So there is one other thing here. I have a second meter on my garage, and they tell me that the meter is
2 3 4 5 6 7	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which Avista is a part owner. I would also add that our state attorney general has stated that this area is a potential	2 3 4 5 6 7	concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here. So there is one other thing here. I have a second meter on my garage, and they tell me that the meter is considered to be a commercial meter because it's a second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which Avista is a part owner. I would also add that our state attorney general has stated that this area is a potential Superfund site. Given that the nature and extent of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here. So there is one other thing here. I have a second meter on my garage, and they tell me that the meter is considered to be a commercial meter because it's a second meter on the property. And I'm paying \$18 a month for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which Avista is a part owner. I would also add that our state attorney general has stated that this area is a potential Superfund site. Given that the nature and extent of problems are still being determined as noted in their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here. So there is one other thing here. I have a second meter on my garage, and they tell me that the meter is considered to be a commercial meter because it's a second meter on the property. And I'm paying \$18 a month for that one, one on the house and one on the gas meter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which Avista is a part owner. I would also add that our state attorney general has stated that this area is a potential Superfund site. Given that the nature and extent of problems are still being determined as noted in their Colstrip wastewater facility closure plan from our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here. So there is one other thing here. I have a second meter on my garage, and they tell me that the meter is considered to be a commercial meter because it's a second meter on the property. And I'm paying \$18 a month for that one, one on the house and one on the gas meter. That's one heck of a lot of money. And I just don't
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which Avista is a part owner. I would also add that our state attorney general has stated that this area is a potential Superfund site. Given that the nature and extent of problems are still being determined as noted in their Colstrip wastewater facility closure plan from our Montana DQ in 2017, I ask you, the Washington UTC, to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here. So there is one other thing here. I have a second meter on my garage, and they tell me that the meter is considered to be a commercial meter because it's a second meter on the property. And I'm paying \$18 a month for that one, one on the house and one on the gas meter. That's one heck of a lot of money. And I just don't understand why a meter on a garage should be considered a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which Avista is a part owner. I would also add that our state attorney general has stated that this area is a potential Superfund site. Given that the nature and extent of problems are still being determined as noted in their Colstrip wastewater facility closure plan from our Montana DQ in 2017, I ask you, the Washington UTC, to require Avista to set aside funds to address future	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here. So there is one other thing here. I have a second meter on my garage, and they tell me that the meter is considered to be a commercial meter because it's a second meter on the property. And I'm paying \$18 a month for that one, one on the house and one on the gas meter. That's one heck of a lot of money. And I just don't understand why a meter on a garage should be considered a commercial meter, and I pay more for that electricity in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which Avista is a part owner. I would also add that our state attorney general has stated that this area is a potential Superfund site. Given that the nature and extent of problems are still being determined as noted in their Colstrip wastewater facility closure plan from our Montana DQ in 2017, I ask you, the Washington UTC, to require Avista to set aside funds to address future problems at the Colstrip site, particularly as these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here. So there is one other thing here. I have a second meter on my garage, and they tell me that the meter is considered to be a commercial meter because it's a second meter on the property. And I'm paying \$18 a month for that one, one on the house and one on the gas meter. That's one heck of a lot of money. And I just don't understand why a meter on a garage should be considered a commercial meter, and I pay more for that electricity in that. I'm going to have it cut off is what I'm going to
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which Avista is a part owner. I would also add that our state attorney general has stated that this area is a potential Superfund site. Given that the nature and extent of problems are still being determined as noted in their Colstrip wastewater facility closure plan from our Montana DQ in 2017, I ask you, the Washington UTC, to require Avista to set aside funds to address future problems at the Colstrip site, particularly as these plants face change.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here. So there is one other thing here. I have a second meter on my garage, and they tell me that the meter is considered to be a commercial meter because it's a second meter on the property. And I'm paying \$18 a month for that one, one on the house and one on the gas meter. That's one heck of a lot of money. And I just don't understand why a meter on a garage should be considered a commercial meter, and I pay more for that electricity in that. I'm going to have it cut off is what I'm going to do, but they just they're greedy is all I can say.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which Avista is a part owner. I would also add that our state attorney general has stated that this area is a potential Superfund site. Given that the nature and extent of problems are still being determined as noted in their Colstrip wastewater facility closure plan from our Montana DQ in 2017, I ask you, the Washington UTC, to require Avista to set aside funds to address future problems at the Colstrip site, particularly as these plants face change. An example of a problem as per the Montana	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here. So there is one other thing here. I have a second meter on my garage, and they tell me that the meter is considered to be a commercial meter because it's a second meter on the property. And I'm paying \$18 a month for that one, one on the house and one on the gas meter. That's one heck of a lot of money. And I just don't understand why a meter on a garage should be considered a commercial meter, and I pay more for that electricity in that. I'm going to have it cut off is what I'm going to do, but they just they're greedy is all I can say. And I wish that he would go find a hole to crawl in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which Avista is a part owner. I would also add that our state attorney general has stated that this area is a potential Superfund site. Given that the nature and extent of problems are still being determined as noted in their Colstrip wastewater facility closure plan from our Montana DQ in 2017, I ask you, the Washington UTC, to require Avista to set aside funds to address future problems at the Colstrip site, particularly as these plants face change. An example of a problem as per the Montana Department of Environmental Quality in their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here. So there is one other thing here. I have a second meter on my garage, and they tell me that the meter is considered to be a commercial meter because it's a second meter on the property. And I'm paying \$18 a month for that one, one on the house and one on the gas meter. That's one heck of a lot of money. And I just don't understand why a meter on a garage should be considered a commercial meter, and I pay more for that electricity in that. I'm going to have it cut off is what I'm going to do, but they just they're greedy is all I can say. And I wish that he would go find a hole to crawl in it crawl in it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which Avista is a part owner. I would also add that our state attorney general has stated that this area is a potential Superfund site. Given that the nature and extent of problems are still being determined as noted in their Colstrip wastewater facility closure plan from our Montana DQ in 2017, I ask you, the Washington UTC, to require Avista to set aside funds to address future problems at the Colstrip site, particularly as these plants face change. An example of a problem as per the Montana Department of Environmental Quality in their administrative order of consent, which was begun in 2010,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here. So there is one other thing here. I have a second meter on my garage, and they tell me that the meter is considered to be a commercial meter because it's a second meter on the property. And I'm paying \$18 a month for that one, one on the house and one on the gas meter. That's one heck of a lot of money. And I just don't understand why a meter on a garage should be considered a commercial meter, and I pay more for that electricity in that. I'm going to have it cut off is what I'm going to do, but they just they're greedy is all I can say. And I wish that he would go find a hole to crawl in it crawl in it. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which Avista is a part owner. I would also add that our state attorney general has stated that this area is a potential Superfund site. Given that the nature and extent of problems are still being determined as noted in their Colstrip wastewater facility closure plan from our Montana DQ in 2017, I ask you, the Washington UTC, to require Avista to set aside funds to address future problems at the Colstrip site, particularly as these plants face change. An example of a problem as per the Montana Department of Environmental Quality in their administrative order of consent, which was begun in 2010, states that contaminated water from the coal ash settling	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here. So there is one other thing here. I have a second meter on my garage, and they tell me that the meter is considered to be a commercial meter because it's a second meter on the property. And I'm paying \$18 a month for that one, one on the house and one on the gas meter. That's one heck of a lot of money. And I just don't understand why a meter on a garage should be considered a commercial meter, and I pay more for that electricity in that. I'm going to have it cut off is what I'm going to do, but they just they're greedy is all I can say. And I wish that he would go find a hole to crawl in it crawl in it. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, sir. MR. HERITAGE: You're welcome.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which Avista is a part owner. I would also add that our state attorney general has stated that this area is a potential Superfund site. Given that the nature and extent of problems are still being determined as noted in their Colstrip wastewater facility closure plan from our Montana DQ in 2017, I ask you, the Washington UTC, to require Avista to set aside funds to address future problems at the Colstrip site, particularly as these plants face change. An example of a problem as per the Montana Department of Environmental Quality in their administrative order of consent, which was begun in 2010, states that contaminated water from the coal ash settling ponds has leaked into the adjacent groundwaters, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here. So there is one other thing here. I have a second meter on my garage, and they tell me that the meter is considered to be a commercial meter because it's a second meter on the property. And I'm paying \$18 a month for that one, one on the house and one on the gas meter. That's one heck of a lot of money. And I just don't understand why a meter on a garage should be considered a commercial meter, and I pay more for that electricity in that. I'm going to have it cut off is what I'm going to do, but they just they're greedy is all I can say. And I wish that he would go find a hole to crawl in it crawl in it. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, sir. MR. HERITAGE: You're welcome. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Bill Gillis, come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which Avista is a part owner. I would also add that our state attorney general has stated that this area is a potential Superfund site. Given that the nature and extent of problems are still being determined as noted in their Colstrip wastewater facility closure plan from our Montana DQ in 2017, I ask you, the Washington UTC, to require Avista to set aside funds to address future problems at the Colstrip site, particularly as these plants face change. An example of a problem as per the Montana Department of Environmental Quality in their administrative order of consent, which was begun in 2010, states that contaminated water from the coal ash settling ponds has leaked into the adjacent groundwaters, the aquifers and the extent of that contamination in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here.</li> <li>So there is one other thing here. I have a second meter on my garage, and they tell me that the meter is considered to be a commercial meter because it's a second meter on the property. And I'm paying \$18 a month for that one, one on the house and one on the gas meter. That's one heck of a lot of money. And I just don't understand why a meter on a garage should be considered a commercial meter, and I pay more for that electricity in that. I'm going to have it cut off is what I'm going to do, but they just they're greedy is all I can say.</li> <li>And I wish that he would go find a hole to crawl in it crawl in it.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, sir.</li> <li>MR. HERITAGE: You're welcome.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Bill Gillis, come forward. Good evening, Mr. Gillis.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which Avista is a part owner. I would also add that our state attorney general has stated that this area is a potential Superfund site. Given that the nature and extent of problems are still being determined as noted in their Colstrip wastewater facility closure plan from our Montana DQ in 2017, I ask you, the Washington UTC, to require Avista to set aside funds to address future problems at the Colstrip site, particularly as these plants face change. An example of a problem as per the Montana Department of Environmental Quality in their administrative order of consent, which was begun in 2010, states that contaminated water from the coal ash settling ponds has leaked into the adjacent groundwaters, the aquifers and the extent of that contamination in that document is noted to be one mile downgrading from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here. So there is one other thing here. I have a second meter on my garage, and they tell me that the meter is considered to be a commercial meter because it's a second meter on the property. And I'm paying \$18 a month for that one, one on the house and one on the gas meter. That's one heck of a lot of money. And I just don't understand why a meter on a garage should be considered a commercial meter, and I pay more for that electricity in that. I'm going to have it cut off is what I'm going to do, but they just they're greedy is all I can say. And I wish that he would go find a hole to crawl in it crawl in it. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, sir. MR. HERITAGE: You're welcome. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Bill Gillis, come forward. Good evening, Mr. Gillis. MR. GILLIS: Good evening. Commissioners, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which Avista is a part owner. I would also add that our state attorney general has stated that this area is a potential Superfund site. Given that the nature and extent of problems are still being determined as noted in their Colstrip wastewater facility closure plan from our Montana DQ in 2017, I ask you, the Washington UTC, to require Avista to set aside funds to address future problems at the Colstrip site, particularly as these plants face change. An example of a problem as per the Montana Department of Environmental Quality in their administrative order of consent, which was begun in 2010, states that contaminated water from the coal ash settling ponds has leaked into the adjacent groundwaters, the aquifers and the extent of that contamination in that document is noted to be one mile downgrading from the existing ash ponds. These contaminated waters contain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here.</li> <li>So there is one other thing here. I have a second meter on my garage, and they tell me that the meter is considered to be a commercial meter because it's a second meter on the property. And I'm paying \$18 a month for that one, one on the house and one on the gas meter. That's one heck of a lot of money. And I just don't understand why a meter on a garage should be considered a commercial meter, and I pay more for that electricity in that. I'm going to have it cut off is what I'm going to do, but they just they're greedy is all I can say.</li> <li>And I wish that he would go find a hole to crawl in it crawl in it.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, sir.</li> <li>MR. HERITAGE: You're welcome.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Bill Gillis, come forward. Good evening, Mr. Gillis.</li> <li>MR. GILLIS: Good evening. Commissioners, I appreciate you coming to Spokane. I thought since you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which Avista is a part owner. I would also add that our state attorney general has stated that this area is a potential Superfund site. Given that the nature and extent of problems are still being determined as noted in their Colstrip wastewater facility closure plan from our Montana DQ in 2017, I ask you, the Washington UTC, to require Avista to set aside funds to address future problems at the Colstrip site, particularly as these plants face change. An example of a problem as per the Montana Department of Environmental Quality in their administrative order of consent, which was begun in 2010, states that contaminated water from the coal ash settling ponds has leaked into the adjacent groundwaters, the aquifers and the extent of that contamination in that document is noted to be one mile downgrading from the existing ash ponds. These contaminated waters contain heavy metals. The amount of water noted to be leaking in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here.</li> <li>So there is one other thing here. I have a second meter on my garage, and they tell me that the meter is considered to be a commercial meter because it's a second meter on the property. And I'm paying \$18 a month for that one, one on the house and one on the gas meter. That's one heck of a lot of money. And I just don't understand why a meter on a garage should be considered a commercial meter, and I pay more for that electricity in that. I'm going to have it cut off is what I'm going to do, but they just they're greedy is all I can say. And I wish that he would go find a hole to crawl in it crawl in it.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, sir.</li> <li>MR. HERITAGE: You're welcome.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Bill Gillis, come forward. Good evening, Mr. Gillis.</li> <li>MR. GILLIS: Good evening. Commissioners, I appreciate you coming to Spokane. I thought since you made the trip, I want to try to say something useful. I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Brad Sauer. I'm a rancher in Rosebud County. Of course, I'm here for Montana and Colstrip, Montana. I'm here to provide comment on your rate case as it regards to in Colstrip, Montana power plants, which provide a significant amount of power for your state and of which Avista is a part owner. I would also add that our state attorney general has stated that this area is a potential Superfund site. Given that the nature and extent of problems are still being determined as noted in their Colstrip wastewater facility closure plan from our Montana DQ in 2017, I ask you, the Washington UTC, to require Avista to set aside funds to address future problems at the Colstrip site, particularly as these plants face change. An example of a problem as per the Montana Department of Environmental Quality in their administrative order of consent, which was begun in 2010, states that contaminated water from the coal ash settling ponds has leaked into the adjacent groundwaters, the aquifers and the extent of that contamination in that document is noted to be one mile downgrading from the existing ash ponds. These contaminated waters contain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>concerned. I'm having a hell of a time trying to pay my electric and gas bill as it is. He sits in a house and he doesn't really care about it, so long as he gets his overpaid check that shouldn't be here.</li> <li>So there is one other thing here. I have a second meter on my garage, and they tell me that the meter is considered to be a commercial meter because it's a second meter on the property. And I'm paying \$18 a month for that one, one on the house and one on the gas meter. That's one heck of a lot of money. And I just don't understand why a meter on a garage should be considered a commercial meter, and I pay more for that electricity in that. I'm going to have it cut off is what I'm going to do, but they just they're greedy is all I can say.</li> <li>And I wish that he would go find a hole to crawl in it crawl in it.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, sir.</li> <li>MR. HERITAGE: You're welcome.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Bill Gillis, come forward. Good evening, Mr. Gillis.</li> <li>MR. GILLIS: Good evening. Commissioners, I appreciate you coming to Spokane. I thought since you</li> </ul>

7 (Pages 48 to 51)

	Dogo 50		Doco E4
_	Page 52		Page 54
1	really talked about it in every case, but a couple of	1	and that there may be a lot of reasons for that. I mean,
2	reasons why this particular one interests me but I was	2	I just skimmed stuff.
3	going to say that my neighbors that spoke before me, I'm	3	But it's something that particularly again in the
4	pretty impressed with the level of detail into the target	4	context of moving into a newly newly formed company
5	resource systems. I mean, as somebody who is just a	5	with new structure strikes me as kind of do
6	shareholder or customer, it's complex stuff. That's	6	you really do you need that information? Is that an
7	partly why they I don't know if I would say a whole	7	important piece of information to consider when you are
8	lot, just general resource.	8	faced with a challenge of figuring out if this is a
9	But I think this particular rate gives me one of	9	benefit to the customers? And if it's not a part of this
10	things I did want to say for the record is that I don't	10	rate case, the merger may be completed kind of thing. So
11	think it's an important area of the recent rate case	11	it's something that again, I don't know what the whole
12	because of the framing context that we're in right now,	12	context is, but it's something that just jumped out to me
13	one being the real possibility that you'll be looking at	13	and said as a customer, you know, I really think that
14	a merger this spring, and the second is just kind of	14	that's something that maybe you should consider given,
15	broad trends of the industry to the technology change,	15	this rate increase.
16	the shift of generation, the net zero movement, the	16	I guess the other reason for that is that you heard
17	limited customer interest in choice.	17	quite a bit of testimony about the Colstrip in the prior
18	All these things are changing the industry, and I	18	proceedings this afternoon. And Colstrip, historically,
19	don't think you can separate all that from what you're	19	has been one where our prudence has been a major concern,
20	doing here in the rate case. But at the same time, I	20	and I think it's a concern to a lot of us in Spokane. So
21	know you've got to make a decision and have a	21	if you have an option, that's one that I would advocate
22	responsibility to respond to the company's petition and	22	to consider as part of this rate increase case.
23	find new ways. You can't solve it all, but I think	23	The other big picture, the backward changes that are
24	that what I want to say for the record is I think the	24	moving towards consumer choices in generation. I mean,
25	context is important for you to consider as you work	25	it's just fascinating, it really is. It makes your job
	Page 53		Page 55
1	Page 53	1	Page 55
1 2	through this these new decisions.	1	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this
2	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you	2	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd
2 3	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that,	2 3	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers,
2	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because	2	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look
2 3 4	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to	2 3 4	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage
2 3 4 5	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to be used in by the newly formed company in the merge	2 3 4 5	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage technologies, shift of generation.
2 3 4 5 6	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to be used in by the newly formed company in the merge situation. And having the right baseline is important,	2 3 4 5 6	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage technologies, shift of generation. And you're hearing from customers in the Avista area
2 3 4 5 6 7	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to be used in by the newly formed company in the merge situation. And having the right baseline is important, so if partly, it means taking your time to get it	2 3 4 5 6 7	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage technologies, shift of generation. And you're hearing from customers in the Avista area saying, yeah, I would love to go choose my generation. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to be used in by the newly formed company in the merge situation. And having the right baseline is important, so if partly, it means taking your time to get it right. If the company has a strong case, they really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage technologies, shift of generation. And you're hearing from customers in the Avista area saying, yeah, I would love to go choose my generation. I don't want to buy those. And so that there's a movement,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to be used in by the newly formed company in the merge situation. And having the right baseline is important, so if partly, it means taking your time to get it right. If the company has a strong case, they really would be harmed if you don't raise rates right away,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage technologies, shift of generation. And you're hearing from customers in the Avista area saying, yeah, I would love to go choose my generation. I don't want to buy those. And so that there's a movement, I think, that there's a real possibility that competition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to be used in by the newly formed company in the merge situation. And having the right baseline is important, so if partly, it means taking your time to get it right. If the company has a strong case, they really would be harmed if you don't raise rates right away, then, yeah, you got to do that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage technologies, shift of generation. And you're hearing from customers in the Avista area saying, yeah, I would love to go choose my generation. I don't want to buy those. And so that there's a movement,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to be used in by the newly formed company in the merge situation. And having the right baseline is important, so if partly, it means taking your time to get it right. If the company has a strong case, they really would be harmed if you don't raise rates right away, then, yeah, you got to do that. The point was made earlier that three years is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage technologies, shift of generation. And you're hearing from customers in the Avista area saying, yeah, I would love to go choose my generation. I don't want to buy those. And so that there's a movement, I think, that there's a real possibility that competition is coming our way in the at least in the generation choice. And how does that affect the rate case? Well,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to be used in by the newly formed company in the merge situation. And having the right baseline is important, so if partly, it means taking your time to get it right. If the company has a strong case, they really would be harmed if you don't raise rates right away, then, yeah, you got to do that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage technologies, shift of generation. And you're hearing from customers in the Avista area saying, yeah, I would love to go choose my generation. I don't want to buy those. And so that there's a movement, I think, that there's a real possibility that competition is coming our way in the at least in the generation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to be used in by the newly formed company in the merge situation. And having the right baseline is important, so if partly, it means taking your time to get it right. If the company has a strong case, they really would be harmed if you don't raise rates right away, then, yeah, you got to do that. The point was made earlier that three years is a long time, and I agree. I mean, I don't know if you have another option, but if there's a way that you can if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage technologies, shift of generation. And you're hearing from customers in the Avista area saying, yeah, I would love to go choose my generation. I don't want to buy those. And so that there's a movement, I think, that there's a real possibility that competition is coming our way in the at least in the generation choice. And how does that affect the rate case? Well, in a couple of ways.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to be used in by the newly formed company in the merge situation. And having the right baseline is important, so if partly, it means taking your time to get it right. If the company has a strong case, they really would be harmed if you don't raise rates right away, then, yeah, you got to do that. The point was made earlier that three years is a long time, and I agree. I mean, I don't know if you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage technologies, shift of generation. And you're hearing from customers in the Avista area saying, yeah, I would love to go choose my generation. I don't want to buy those. And so that there's a movement, I think, that there's a real possibility that competition is coming our way in the at least in the generation choice. And how does that affect the rate case? Well, in a couple of ways. One is it reminds me a lot of the telecommunications
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to be used in by the newly formed company in the merge situation. And having the right baseline is important, so if partly, it means taking your time to get it right. If the company has a strong case, they really would be harmed if you don't raise rates right away, then, yeah, you got to do that. The point was made earlier that three years is a long time, and I agree. I mean, I don't know if you have another option, but if there's a way that you can if you have to provide a remedy quickly, to do it for a shorter time frame, I think it kind of makes sense given	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage technologies, shift of generation. And you're hearing from customers in the Avista area saying, yeah, I would love to go choose my generation. I don't want to buy those. And so that there's a movement, I think, that there's a real possibility that competition is coming our way in the at least in the generation choice. And how does that affect the rate case? Well, in a couple of ways. One is it reminds me a lot of the telecommunications industry in the '90s. It has the same feel to it kind of. It is that well, we're looking at with when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to be used in by the newly formed company in the merge situation. And having the right baseline is important, so if partly, it means taking your time to get it right. If the company has a strong case, they really would be harmed if you don't raise rates right away, then, yeah, you got to do that. The point was made earlier that three years is a long time, and I agree. I mean, I don't know if you have another option, but if there's a way that you can if you have to provide a remedy quickly, to do it for a shorter time frame, I think it kind of makes sense given the context is something to consider. Again, I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage technologies, shift of generation. And you're hearing from customers in the Avista area saying, yeah, I would love to go choose my generation. I don't want to buy those. And so that there's a movement, I think, that there's a real possibility that competition is coming our way in the at least in the generation choice. And how does that affect the rate case? Well, in a couple of ways. One is it reminds me a lot of the telecommunications industry in the '90s. It has the same feel to it kind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to be used in by the newly formed company in the merge situation. And having the right baseline is important, so if partly, it means taking your time to get it right. If the company has a strong case, they really would be harmed if you don't raise rates right away, then, yeah, you got to do that. The point was made earlier that three years is a long time, and I agree. I mean, I don't know if you have another option, but if there's a way that you can if you have to provide a remedy quickly, to do it for a shorter time frame, I think it kind of makes sense given the context is something to consider. Again, I don't have the big picture. It's just kind of rough thoughts.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage technologies, shift of generation. And you're hearing from customers in the Avista area saying, yeah, I would love to go choose my generation. I don't want to buy those. And so that there's a movement, I think, that there's a real possibility that competition is coming our way in the at least in the generation choice. And how does that affect the rate case? Well, in a couple of ways. One is it reminds me a lot of the telecommunications industry in the '90s. It has the same feel to it kind of. It is that well, we're looking at with when companies, a monopoly of the companies are faced with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to be used in by the newly formed company in the merge situation. And having the right baseline is important, so if partly, it means taking your time to get it right. If the company has a strong case, they really would be harmed if you don't raise rates right away, then, yeah, you got to do that. The point was made earlier that three years is a long time, and I agree. I mean, I don't know if you have another option, but if there's a way that you can if you have to provide a remedy quickly, to do it for a shorter time frame, I think it kind of makes sense given the context is something to consider. Again, I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage technologies, shift of generation. And you're hearing from customers in the Avista area saying, yeah, I would love to go choose my generation. I don't want to buy those. And so that there's a movement, I think, that there's a real possibility that competition is coming our way in the at least in the generation choice. And how does that affect the rate case? Well, in a couple of ways. One is it reminds me a lot of the telecommunications industry in the '90s. It has the same feel to it kind of. It is that well, we're looking at with when companies, a monopoly of the companies are faced with the prospect of the competition, a couple of reactions you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to be used in by the newly formed company in the merge situation. And having the right baseline is important, so if partly, it means taking your time to get it right. If the company has a strong case, they really would be harmed if you don't raise rates right away, then, yeah, you got to do that. The point was made earlier that three years is a long time, and I agree. I mean, I don't know if you have another option, but if there's a way that you can if you have to provide a remedy quickly, to do it for a shorter time frame, I think it kind of makes sense given the context is something to consider. Again, I don't have the big picture. It's just kind of rough thoughts. The other thing, I spent a little time just skimming	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage technologies, shift of generation. And you're hearing from customers in the Avista area saying, yeah, I would love to go choose my generation. I don't want to buy those. And so that there's a movement, I think, that there's a real possibility that competition is coming our way in the at least in the generation choice. And how does that affect the rate case? Well, in a couple of ways. One is it reminds me a lot of the telecommunications industry in the '90s. It has the same feel to it kind of. It is that well, we're looking at with when companies, a monopoly of the companies are faced with the prospect of the competition, a couple of reactions you're going to see from them is called telecom. It's one thing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to be used in by the newly formed company in the merge situation. And having the right baseline is important, so if partly, it means taking your time to get it right. If the company has a strong case, they really would be harmed if you don't raise rates right away, then, yeah, you got to do that. The point was made earlier that three years is a long time, and I agree. I mean, I don't know if you have another option, but if there's a way that you can if you have to provide a remedy quickly, to do it for a shorter time frame, I think it kind of makes sense given the context is something to consider. Again, I don't have the big picture. It's just kind of rough thoughts. The other thing, I spent a little time just skimming some of the testimony last night, and the only thing that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage technologies, shift of generation. And you're hearing from customers in the Avista area saying, yeah, I would love to go choose my generation. I don't want to buy those. And so that there's a movement, I think, that there's a real possibility that competition is coming our way in the at least in the generation choice. And how does that affect the rate case? Well, in a couple of ways. One is it reminds me a lot of the telecommunications industry in the '90s. It has the same feel to it kind of. It is that well, we're looking at with when companies, a monopoly of the companies are faced with the prospect of the competition, a couple of reactions you're going to see from them is called telecom. It's one thing of looking at the merger opportunities. If you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to be used in by the newly formed company in the merge situation. And having the right baseline is important, so if partly, it means taking your time to get it right. If the company has a strong case, they really would be harmed if you don't raise rates right away, then, yeah, you got to do that. The point was made earlier that three years is a long time, and I agree. I mean, I don't know if you have another option, but if there's a way that you can if you have to provide a remedy quickly, to do it for a shorter time frame, I think it kind of makes sense given the context is something to consider. Again, I don't have the big picture. It's just kind of rough thoughts. The other thing, I spent a little time just skimming some of the testimony last night, and the only thing that jumped out at me and surprised me is that I think it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage technologies, shift of generation. And you're hearing from customers in the Avista area saying, yeah, I would love to go choose my generation. I don't want to buy those. And so that there's a movement, I think, that there's a real possibility that competition is coming our way in the at least in the generation choice. And how does that affect the rate case? Well, in a couple of ways. One is it reminds me a lot of the telecommunications industry in the '90s. It has the same feel to it kind of. It is that well, we're looking at with when companies, a monopoly of the companies are faced with the prospect of the competition, a couple of reactions you're going to see from them is called telecom. It's one thing of looking at the merger opportunities. If you have legacy assets, in this case it's generation that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to be used in by the newly formed company in the merge situation. And having the right baseline is important, so if partly, it means taking your time to get it right. If the company has a strong case, they really would be harmed if you don't raise rates right away, then, yeah, you got to do that. The point was made earlier that three years is a long time, and I agree. I mean, I don't know if you have another option, but if there's a way that you can if you have to provide a remedy quickly, to do it for a shorter time frame, I think it kind of makes sense given the context is something to consider. Again, I don't have the big picture. It's just kind of rough thoughts. The other thing, I spent a little time just skimming some of the testimony last night, and the only thing that jumped out at me and surprised me is that I think it was one of your staff, Hancock, I think is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage technologies, shift of generation. And you're hearing from customers in the Avista area saying, yeah, I would love to go choose my generation. I don't want to buy those. And so that there's a movement, I think, that there's a real possibility that competition is coming our way in the at least in the generation choice. And how does that affect the rate case? Well, in a couple of ways. One is it reminds me a lot of the telecommunications industry in the '90s. It has the same feel to it kind of. It is that well, we're looking at with when companies, a monopoly of the companies are faced with the prospect of the competition, a couple of reactions you're going to see from them is called telecom. It's one thing of looking at the merger opportunities. If you have legacy assets, in this case it's generation that is threatened by the competition, then you are going to want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	through this these new decisions. Specifically with respect to the reality that you may be considering a merger this coming year is that, one, it's really important you get this right because you're talking about the rate structure that's going to be used in by the newly formed company in the merge situation. And having the right baseline is important, so if partly, it means taking your time to get it right. If the company has a strong case, they really would be harmed if you don't raise rates right away, then, yeah, you got to do that. The point was made earlier that three years is a long time, and I agree. I mean, I don't know if you have another option, but if there's a way that you can if you have to provide a remedy quickly, to do it for a shorter time frame, I think it kind of makes sense given the context is something to consider. Again, I don't have the big picture. It's just kind of rough thoughts. The other thing, I spent a little time just skimming some of the testimony last night, and the only thing that jumped out at me and surprised me is that I think it was one of your staff, Hancock, I think is that right he had mentioned that there was a statement in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	so hard, and at the same time, I think it impacts this rate case, but at the same time I don't know what you'd do about that. I mean, I don't have a lot of answers, but I do have, I guess some thoughts, I mean, as I look at it, but the combination of the battery storage technologies, shift of generation. And you're hearing from customers in the Avista area saying, yeah, I would love to go choose my generation. I don't want to buy those. And so that there's a movement, I think, that there's a real possibility that competition is coming our way in the at least in the generation choice. And how does that affect the rate case? Well, in a couple of ways. One is it reminds me a lot of the telecommunications industry in the '90s. It has the same feel to it kind of. It is that well, we're looking at with when companies, a monopoly of the companies are faced with the prospect of the competition, a couple of reactions you're going to see from them is called telecom. It's one thing of looking at the merger opportunities. If you have legacy assets, in this case it's generation that is threatened by the competition, then you are going to want more cap to your customers to spread it obviously because

8 (Pages 52 to 55)

	Page 56		Page 58
1	Washington.	1	The other is that I mentioned before is that if
2	And then the other thing that happened in telecom,	2	there's a way to include purchasing agent review as a
3	and I think that this does apply to the rate case, is	3	part of this rate case, I think the context deserves it.
4	that a well-managed company that is responsible to their	4	I also think that the public testimony you receive from
5	shareholders is going to be looking for ways to	5	people concerned about the Colstrip deserves that. So
6	front-load the costs to the legacy assets. So as your	6	that's something I guess I recommend that you consider.
7	staff does their evaluation and you ask your questions	7	And third thing I'd recommend is just the timeline.
8	and so forth, I think that's I don't have the answer	8	Three years seems like a long time to me. I mean, I
9	as to how you do it, like, how you operationalize that,	9	totally understand the efficiency of traditional
10	but as an economist and somebody who's sat on telecom	10	not the regulatory planning involved and all this
11	proceedings, I know what happens.	11	stuff, but because of the context of a merger coming up,
12	I think when you look under the hood, it's probably	12	new faces, new culture, all these things, I think three
13	somewhere in the cost of cost of capital, there's	13	years is too long. And I mean, my first preference
14	problems with negotiation structures, but it's way beyond	14	would be to just take more time and really work this
15	my pay grade to figure it out, but you have the staff	15	through, but I don't know how that really works, but if
16	that do those things. You have the opportunity to ask	16	the company can prove damages, they don't get this relief
17	questions of the stakeholders, and that's one thing I	17	in January, then I guess that's the way it is. If they
18	think that's really important because it's just it's	18	can prove damages, I think more time is good. But the
19	part of this context that we're in right now.	19	alternative, I think, is just shorten the length of the
20	And I understand I mean, just per your website,	20	remedy and come back to it. Those are my thoughts, for
21	that you've other dockets going on that focus on the	21	what it's worth.
22	issues, but as a Avista ratepayer and me and my neighbors	22	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much for coming out
23	are paying these costs, so it's important you look at	23	tonight.
24	and it kind of goes back to is there a way of taking your	24	Antoine Velone, are you here?
25	time I don't know but if not, these are coming down	25	MR. VELONE: My name is Antoine Velone, and I am a
	Page 57		Page 59
1		1	
1 2	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level	1 2	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and
2	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my	2	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that
2 3	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had.	2 3	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know,
2 3 4	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out	2 3 4	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean,
2 3 4 5	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out at me, like, you know, to suggest maybe you can consider	2 3 4 5	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know, utilities. You know, like, a lot of people are on social
2 3 4 5 6	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out at me, like, you know, to suggest maybe you can consider in your in your in this case. One without	2 3 4 5 6	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know, utilities. You know, like, a lot of people are on social security, you know. I mean, you know, and you look at
2 3 4 5 6 7	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out at me, like, you know, to suggest maybe you can consider in your in your in this case. One without trying to solve all the problems at once, I guess, one	2 3 4 5 6 7	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know, utilities. You know, like, a lot of people are on social security, you know. I mean, you know, and you look at the jobs, I mean, who do you blame for minimum wages?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out at me, like, you know, to suggest maybe you can consider in your in your in this case. One without trying to solve all the problems at once, I guess, one is I think the principles that you state in your response	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know, utilities. You know, like, a lot of people are on social security, you know. I mean, you know, and you look at the jobs, I mean, who do you blame for minimum wages? Who do you blame for the minimum wage paying jobs in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out at me, like, you know, to suggest maybe you can consider in your in your in this case. One without trying to solve all the problems at once, I guess, one is I think the principles that you state in your response to the company in this rate case are important. I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know, utilities. You know, like, a lot of people are on social security, you know. I mean, you know, and you look at the jobs, I mean, who do you blame for minimum wages? Who do you blame for the minimum wage paying jobs in Spokane. You know, like with coal, we should be looking
2 3 6 7 8 9 10	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out at me, like, you know, to suggest maybe you can consider in your in your in this case. One without trying to solve all the problems at once, I guess, one is I think the principles that you state in your response to the company in this rate case are important. I don't know that you've always got the principles in order, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know, utilities. You know, like, a lot of people are on social security, you know. I mean, you know, and you look at the jobs, I mean, who do you blame for minimum wages? Who do you blame for the minimum wage paying jobs in Spokane. You know, like with coal, we should be looking at where you can save energy, you know. And it seems
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out at me, like, you know, to suggest maybe you can consider in your in your in this case. One without trying to solve all the problems at once, I guess, one is I think the principles that you state in your response to the company in this rate case are important. I don't know that you've always got the principles in order, but I think in this case you should. And one really important principle I think to state very clearly is that the company bears the responsibility	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know, utilities. You know, like, a lot of people are on social security, you know. I mean, you know, and you look at the jobs, I mean, who do you blame for minimum wages? Who do you blame for the minimum wage paying jobs in Spokane. You know, like with coal, we should be looking at where you can save energy, you know. And it seems like we're all looking at the stockholders and investors
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out at me, like, you know, to suggest maybe you can consider in your in your in this case. One without trying to solve all the problems at once, I guess, one is I think the principles that you state in your response to the company in this rate case are important. I don't know that you've always got the principles in order, but I think in this case you should. And one really important principle I think to state	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know, utilities. You know, like, a lot of people are on social security, you know. I mean, you know, and you look at the jobs, I mean, who do you blame for minimum wages? Who do you blame for the minimum wage paying jobs in Spokane. You know, like with coal, we should be looking at where you can save energy, you know. And it seems like we're all looking at the stockholders and investors and who is going to make money instead of looking at the
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out at me, like, you know, to suggest maybe you can consider in your in your in this case. One without trying to solve all the problems at once, I guess, one is I think the principles that you state in your response to the company in this rate case are important. I don't know that you've always got the principles in order, but I think in this case you should. And one really important principle I think to state very clearly is that the company bears the responsibility	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know, utilities. You know, like, a lot of people are on social security, you know. I mean, you know, and you look at the jobs, I mean, who do you blame for minimum wages? Who do you blame for the minimum wage paying jobs in Spokane. You know, like with coal, we should be looking at where you can save energy, you know. And it seems like we're all looking at the stockholders and investors and who is going to make money instead of looking at the people and the public as a community, you know, the
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out at me, like, you know, to suggest maybe you can consider in your in your in this case. One without trying to solve all the problems at once, I guess, one is I think the principles that you state in your response to the company in this rate case are important. I don't know that you've always got the principles in order, but I think in this case you should. And one really important principle I think to state very clearly is that the company bears the responsibility for the risk of legacy assets. There's a more eloquent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know, utilities. You know, like, a lot of people are on social security, you know. I mean, you know, and you look at the jobs, I mean, who do you blame for minimum wages? Who do you blame for the minimum wage paying jobs in Spokane. You know, like with coal, we should be looking at where you can save energy, you know. And it seems like we're all looking at the stockholders and investors and who is going to make money instead of looking at the people and the public as a community, you know, the corporation, you know, for people to survive. You know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out at me, like, you know, to suggest maybe you can consider in your in your in this case. One without trying to solve all the problems at once, I guess, one is I think the principles that you state in your response to the company in this rate case are important. I don't know that you've always got the principles in order, but I think in this case you should. And one really important principle I think to state very clearly is that the company bears the responsibility for the risk of legacy assets. There's a more eloquent way of saying that, but beyond it's looking we're looking here at a situation where there's legacy assets where the potential of competition can change the value	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know, utilities. You know, like, a lot of people are on social security, you know. I mean, you know, and you look at the jobs, I mean, who do you blame for minimum wages? Who do you blame for the minimum wage paying jobs in Spokane. You know, like with coal, we should be looking at where you can save energy, you know. And it seems like we're all looking at the stockholders and investors and who is going to make money instead of looking at the people and the public as a community, you know, the corporation, you know, for people to survive. You know, and if you don't have a paying job, then what happens,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out at me, like, you know, to suggest maybe you can consider in your in your in this case. One without trying to solve all the problems at once, I guess, one is I think the principles that you state in your response to the company in this rate case are important. I don't know that you've always got the principles in order, but I think in this case you should. And one really important principle I think to state very clearly is that the company bears the responsibility for the risk of legacy assets. There's a more eloquent way of saying that, but beyond it's looking we're looking here at a situation where there's legacy assets	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know, utilities. You know, like, a lot of people are on social security, you know. I mean, you know, and you look at the jobs, I mean, who do you blame for minimum wages? Who do you blame for the minimum wage paying jobs in Spokane. You know, like with coal, we should be looking at where you can save energy, you know. And it seems like we're all looking at the stockholders and investors and who is going to make money instead of looking at the people and the public as a community, you know, the corporation, you know, for people to survive. You know, and if you don't have a paying job, then what happens, you know. I mean, you can get somebody, and then somebody at the same time is the CEO, for example, like this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out at me, like, you know, to suggest maybe you can consider in your in your in this case. One without trying to solve all the problems at once, I guess, one is I think the principles that you state in your response to the company in this rate case are important. I don't know that you've always got the principles in order, but I think in this case you should. And one really important principle I think to state very clearly is that the company bears the responsibility for the risk of legacy assets. There's a more eloquent way of saying that, but beyond it's looking we're looking here at a situation where there's legacy assets where the potential of competition can change the value	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know, utilities. You know, like, a lot of people are on social security, you know. I mean, you know, and you look at the jobs, I mean, who do you blame for minimum wages? Who do you blame for the minimum wage paying jobs in Spokane. You know, like with coal, we should be looking at where you can save energy, you know. And it seems like we're all looking at the stockholders and investors and who is going to make money instead of looking at the people and the public as a community, you know, the corporation, you know, for people to survive. You know, and if you don't have a paying job, then what happens, you know. I mean, you can get somebody, and then somebody at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out at me, like, you know, to suggest maybe you can consider in your in your in this case. One without trying to solve all the problems at once, I guess, one is I think the principles that you state in your response to the company in this rate case are important. I don't know that you've always got the principles in order, but I think in this case you should. And one really important principle I think to state very clearly is that the company bears the responsibility for the risk of legacy assets. There's a more eloquent way of saying that, but beyond it's looking we're looking here at a situation where there's legacy assets where the potential of competition can change the value of those, and we as rate payers should not be paying them. That's really kind of in other words, that's something that if the company purchases assets, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know, utilities. You know, like, a lot of people are on social security, you know. I mean, you know, and you look at the jobs, I mean, who do you blame for minimum wages? Who do you blame for the minimum wage paying jobs in Spokane. You know, like with coal, we should be looking at where you can save energy, you know. And it seems like we're all looking at the stockholders and investors and who is going to make money instead of looking at the people and the public as a community, you know, the corporation, you know, for people to survive. You know, and if you don't have a paying job, then what happens, you know. I mean, you can get somebody, and then somebody at the same time is the CEO, for example, like this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out at me, like, you know, to suggest maybe you can consider in your in your in this case. One without trying to solve all the problems at once, I guess, one is I think the principles that you state in your response to the company in this rate case are important. I don't know that you've always got the principles in order, but I think in this case you should. And one really important principle I think to state very clearly is that the company bears the responsibility for the risk of legacy assets. There's a more eloquent way of saying that, but beyond it's looking we're looking here at a situation where there's legacy assets where the potential of competition can change the value of those, and we as rate payers should not be paying them. That's really kind of in other words, that's something that if the company purchases assets, it's theirs to manage, and I think it's really important that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know, utilities. You know, like, a lot of people are on social security, you know. I mean, you know, and you look at the jobs, I mean, who do you blame for minimum wages? Who do you blame for the minimum wage paying jobs in Spokane. You know, like with coal, we should be looking at where you can save energy, you know. And it seems like we're all looking at the stockholders and investors and who is going to make money instead of looking at the people and the public as a community, you know, the corporation, you know, for people to survive. You know, and if you don't have a paying job, then what happens, you know. I mean, you can get somebody, and then somebody at the same time is the CEO, for example, like this gentleman said, is sitting up there with his paid account and he has nothing to worry about. You know, I'm pretty sure he can take a cut in pay, you know. I mean, to work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out at me, like, you know, to suggest maybe you can consider in your in your in this case. One without trying to solve all the problems at once, I guess, one is I think the principles that you state in your response to the company in this rate case are important. I don't know that you've always got the principles in order, but I think in this case you should. And one really important principle I think to state very clearly is that the company bears the responsibility for the risk of legacy assets. There's a more eloquent way of saying that, but beyond it's looking we're looking here at a situation where there's legacy assets where the potential of competition can change the value of those, and we as rate payers should not be paying them. That's really kind of in other words, that's something that if the company purchases assets, it's theirs to manage, and I think it's really important that the Commission take the position the principal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know, utilities. You know, like, a lot of people are on social security, you know. I mean, you know, and you look at the jobs, I mean, who do you blame for minimum wages? Who do you blame for the minimum wage paying jobs in Spokane. You know, like with coal, we should be looking at where you can save energy, you know. And it seems like we're all looking at the stockholders and investors and who is going to make money instead of looking at the people and the public as a community, you know, the corporation, you know, for people to survive. You know, and if you don't have a paying job, then what happens, you know. I mean, you can get somebody, and then somebody at the same time is the CEO, for example, like this gentleman said, is sitting up there with his paid account and he has nothing to worry about. You know, I'm pretty sure he can take a cut in pay, you know. I mean, to work with us, to work with the people, to work with everybody
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out at me, like, you know, to suggest maybe you can consider in your in your in this case. One without trying to solve all the problems at once, I guess, one is I think the principles that you state in your response to the company in this rate case are important. I don't know that you've always got the principles in order, but I think in this case you should. And one really important principle I think to state very clearly is that the company bears the responsibility for the risk of legacy assets. There's a more eloquent way of saying that, but beyond it's looking we're looking here at a situation where there's legacy assets where the potential of competition can change the value of those, and we as rate payers should not be paying them. That's really kind of in other words, that's something that if the company purchases assets, it's theirs to manage, and I think it's really important that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know, utilities. You know, like, a lot of people are on social security, you know. I mean, you know, and you look at the jobs, I mean, who do you blame for minimum wages? Who do you blame for the minimum wage paying jobs in Spokane. You know, like with coal, we should be looking at where you can save energy, you know. And it seems like we're all looking at the stockholders and investors and who is going to make money instead of looking at the people and the public as a community, you know, the corporation, you know, for people to survive. You know, and if you don't have a paying job, then what happens, you know. I mean, you can get somebody, and then somebody at the same time is the CEO, for example, like this gentleman said, is sitting up there with his paid account and he has nothing to worry about. You know, I'm pretty sure he can take a cut in pay, you know. I mean, to work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out at me, like, you know, to suggest maybe you can consider in your in your in this case. One without trying to solve all the problems at once, I guess, one is I think the principles that you state in your response to the company in this rate case are important. I don't know that you've always got the principles in order, but I think in this case you should. And one really important principle I think to state very clearly is that the company bears the responsibility for the risk of legacy assets. There's a more eloquent way of saying that, but beyond it's looking we're looking here at a situation where there's legacy assets where the potential of competition can change the value of those, and we as rate payers should not be paying them. That's really kind of in other words, that's something that if the company purchases assets, it's theirs to manage, and I think it's really important that the Commission take the position the principal position that as it receives and more information becomes available, that these costs are the responsibility of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know, utilities. You know, like, a lot of people are on social security, you know. I mean, you know, and you look at the jobs, I mean, who do you blame for minimum wages? Who do you blame for the minimum wage paying jobs in Spokane. You know, like with coal, we should be looking at where you can save energy, you know. And it seems like we're all looking at the stockholders and investors and who is going to make money instead of looking at the people and the public as a community, you know, the corporation, you know, for people to survive. You know, and if you don't have a paying job, then what happens, you know. I mean, you can get somebody, and then somebody at the same time is the CEO, for example, like this gentleman said, is sitting up there with his paid account and he has nothing to worry about. You know, I'm pretty sure he can take a cut in pay, you know. I mean, to work with us, to work with the people, to work with everybody so we can be a strong community all together. Maybe next time you do, just be considerate, or if you make an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the road. So those are some, I guess for the high-level observations, I don't have kind of the details some of my neighbors had. There's three kind of things that, I guess, jump out at me, like, you know, to suggest maybe you can consider in your in your in this case. One without trying to solve all the problems at once, I guess, one is I think the principles that you state in your response to the company in this rate case are important. I don't know that you've always got the principles in order, but I think in this case you should. And one really important principle I think to state very clearly is that the company bears the responsibility for the risk of legacy assets. There's a more eloquent way of saying that, but beyond it's looking we're looking here at a situation where there's legacy assets where the potential of competition can change the value of those, and we as rate payers should not be paying them. That's really kind of in other words, that's something that if the company purchases assets, it's theirs to manage, and I think it's really important that the Commission take the position the principal position that as it receives and more information becomes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	concerned citizen. And maybe what I've listened to and I've talked to my people, you know, among my peers, that maybe we should be a little bit more considerate, I mean, in making decisions on raising rates on, you know, utilities. You know, like, a lot of people are on social security, you know. I mean, you know, and you look at the jobs, I mean, who do you blame for minimum wages? Who do you blame for the minimum wage paying jobs in Spokane. You know, like with coal, we should be looking at where you can save energy, you know. And it seems like we're all looking at the stockholders and investors and who is going to make money instead of looking at the people and the public as a community, you know, the corporation, you know, for people to survive. You know, and if you don't have a paying job, then what happens, you know. I mean, you can get somebody, and then somebody at the same time is the CEO, for example, like this gentleman said, is sitting up there with his paid account and he has nothing to worry about. You know, I'm pretty sure he can take a cut in pay, you know. I mean, to work with us, to work with the people, to work with everybody so we can be a strong community all together. Maybe next

9 (Pages 56 to 59)

	Page 60		Page 62
1	coal to Spokane and whoever that is going to be, like,	1	decade, we must reverse it.
2	oil, let them foot the bill if there are going to be any	2	We're speeding like Thelma and Louise at the edge of
3	spills, not the taxpayers.	3	the cliff, and that cliff and runaway climate change and
4	I mean, we don't have enough money to begin with. I	4	the positive feedback view of unstoppable warming that
5	mean, the taxpayers, you know, a lot of them are working	5	will be fed by diluted pools that are diluted of
6	on minimum wage. I mean, I just like I just want to	6	reflective ice and snow, by methane released from falling
7	say that, to be a little bit more considerate, okay?	7	permafrost and from deep disruption in global air and
8	Thank you.	8	water circulation. So if we don't hit the brakes hard
9	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much.	9	now, we will take away the privileges of security,
10	Rebecca MacMullan.	10	prosperity, health and stability from generations to
11	MS. MAC MULLAN: Hello. Thank you for coming to	11	come.
12	Spokane and giving us an opportunity to comment on	12	When I saw the rate increase from Avista for next
13	Avista's rate increases. I'm an Avista rate payer and I	13	year, I thought it was a typo. Surely they meant 2.6 and
14	am deeply concerned about climate change. I'm concerned	14	not 12.6. To ask for a such a steep increase without
15	what anthropogenic climate change will bring to political	15	making a concrete commitment to reducing the lines on
16	instability, dangerous weather patterns and shortages of	16	fossil fuels is emblematic of the abhorous and hubris
17	food and clean water. So I'm here to ask that any	17	that has already pushed our biosphere to the brink.
18	increases in our electricity rates be traded for a	18	Now, personally, I've already traded the kitchen
19	concrete commitment from Avista to end coal-by-wire by	19	remodel that I really want for 30 made-in-Washington
20	2025.	20	solar panels that I believe in. So I'm willing to pay
21	Two months ago in Spokane, we suffered several days	21	the price for a sustainable future, but I'm not willing
22	of hazardous and fairly hazardous air quality due to	22	to pay for outdated harmful coal, fire and electricity,
23	gargantuan wildfires in British Columbia, Montana and	23	and I shouldn't have to pay for an aging coal plant,
24	Oregon. So for people with respiratory conditions, there	24	legacy asset that will need millions of dollars in
25	was literally nowhere to run. And at the same time, I	25	updates just to meet federal and state requirements.
1	Page 61 was trying to protect my kids from the highest level of	1	Page 63 Washington State is to leave fossil fuels in the
2	air pollution recorded in this county. My father was	2	ground and lead the way to a clean and prosperous future.
3	under mandatory evacuation orders in Florida from	3	So please use your authority to insist that coal, fire
4	Hurricane Irma. And even that concern was soon dwarfed	4	and power be eliminated from our power supply.
5	by Hurricane Maria.	5	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much.
6	Now, my husband grew up in Santorsa, Puerto Rico.	6	Stephen Chanter, are you here?
7	And Puerto Ricans, they deal with the occasional	7	MR. CHANTER: Good evening. I would like to thank
8	hurricanes the same way we deal with wind storms or ice	8	you for the opportunity to speak. I've been listening.
9 10	storms. I mean, they're dangerous and destructive, but	9	Avista wants \$89.8 million in the next three years. Like
11	we batten down the hatches and get through it, but Maria	10 11	her, I was also surprised when I saw the flier. Then I got sitting down and got to thinking about it. I said
12	was a completely different beast. There are places in Puerto Rico still 20 percent	12	to myself, well, wait a minute. Hold on. Avista is a
13		13	
14	of the island doesn't have clean water. So in 2017, cholera is a serious public health threat in the	14	publicly traded stock on the stock exchange. As a publicly traded stock, it is believed that its revenues
15	United in U.S. soil for U.S. citizens. That's	15	or capital from that stock is what's used to purchase
16	unbelievable. And that horror continued. That's 42	16	replacement and/or upgrades, equipment, thereby giving
17	people died in raging wildfires in California. These are	17	the shareholders a larger sum of return in the form of
18	signs of dire trouble ahead and it's long past time that	18	larger dividends in the future. That's, basically, how
19	we change our course. We've understood the heat-trapping	19	stock works. This also tends to drive the stock up in
20	properties of carbon dioxide gases before the Civil War,	20	price as well.
21	but since 1970s, scientists have expressed concern that	21	Now, as of January 25th of this year, Avista stock
22	human emissions of such greenhouse gases could destruct	22	closed at \$39.18. As of November 1st of this year,
23	the ecological balance of the planet. But it is in this	23	Avista stock closed at \$52.24. That means the stock
24	decade that we have incontrovertible proof about the	24	increased itself \$13.06 in just nine months. That's a
25	neurological anthropogenic climate change, and in this	25	3.33 percent increase just for the stock. Okay. I'm not
	5 1 5	1	, , ,

10 (Pages 60 to 63)

	Page 64		Page 66
1	even going to go to the dividends.	1	of \$5.3 billion, and they expect to close it next August,
2	One thing I do have a problem with and maybe they	2	according to the paper. The Avista board of directors
3	can clarify to me, I was recently reading in Idaho,	3	will retain a fair amount of the local autonomy, and it
4	Avista asked for a 7.09 percent increase for 2018 and a	4	will be a wholly owned subsidiary.
5	4.2 percent in 2019 with a 25 cent increase for the	5	Hydro One says that it will give a credit rate worth
6	monthly service, bringing the minimum service up to \$6	6	\$31 million over the next ten years. This was proposed
7	for basic charge.	7	by Avista in order to make the deal go through, which
8	The Idaho Public Utilities Commission just settled	8	means residential customers will receive about \$4.32
9	the first of this month with Avista. They didn't get it.	9	credit each year.
10	They got a 5.6 increase for 2018 and a 2.3 for 2019, but	10	Now, in their flier, they said the increase was
11	they did get their 25 cents increase for the monthly	11	10.63 \$10.63. We're going to get a \$4.32 credit to
12	service charge, which brings it up to \$6 for a basic	12	subset the balance. Okay.
13	charge. The reason I'm stating those numbers is because	13	Just a little bit more, and I'll let you all go. I
14	of this:	14	was there at one of these meetings about four and a half
15	Avista has asked for 12 percent for us in Washington	15	years ago when Avista wanted an increase. It seems like
16	in 2018, 2.4 percent in 2019 and 2.5 in 2020, with a	16	they want one of those almost every year. Normally I
17	dollar 50 increase for basic service up to \$10 for the	17	forego them, but like that young lady, when I opened my
18	state of Washington. My question is: Why is Washington	18	bill and saw I thought it was a typo, too. No, it
19	State being asked to pay 12 percent increase when Avista	19	wasn't.
20	only asked Idaho to pay 7.9 in their asking? Why was	20	Anyway, four and a half years ago I came, and I
21	Avista asking Washington payers to pay a higher basic	21	think I met you and talked with you the last time. It
22	surcharge than Idaho? We currently pay \$8.50. Idaho	22	was then that they had given I mentioned that
23	pays \$5.75.	23	they had mentioned they had given \$3,500 to two minor
24	Okay. Now, according to the Avista fliers,	24	political primary state candidates running in Idaho
25	residential customers using only 938 kilowatts per month,	25	through PAC committees. I was able to find out what was
	Page 65		Page 67
1	as you said, would increase \$10.63 or 12.6 percent more	1	given in the gubernatorial races, senate races and
2	per month, increasing their bill to \$95.14. Understand,	2	house or even presidential candidates of their choice
3	Avista is asking not for what we have but for	3	for the northwest. But if they can feel that they can
4	\$89.8 million more from their customers. Also because of	4	give money to PAC committees in such large amounts,
5	Idaho and maybe they will explain it to me to me	5	
-			because this was a minor preliminary primary, then I feel
6	it's the same company. Yes, it does cross state lines.	6	because this was a minor preliminary primary, then I feel any political donations made by this company and passed
6 7	it's the same company. Yes, it does cross state lines. Well, I understand it crosses Montana and Oregon, too.		
		6	any political donations made by this company and passed
7	Well, I understand it crosses Montana and Oregon, too.	6 7	any political donations made by this company and passed on, even knowingly or unknowingly to Avista customers due
7 8	Well, I understand it crosses Montana and Oregon, too. Avista's asking an increase difference for aging	6 7	any political donations made by this company and passed on, even knowingly or unknowingly to Avista customers due to rate increases this should be an area the
7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Well, I understand it crosses Montana and Oregon, too.</li> <li>Avista's asking an increase difference for aging infrastructure, but once again, it's the same company.</li> <li>If I was to buy stock, I don't say I want stock in</li> <li>Washington Avista or Idaho Avista. It's Avista. So</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9	any political donations made by this company and passed on, even knowingly or unknowingly to Avista customers due to rate increases this should be an area the Commission might want to look into to see what's going on. I don't know. I don't have complete control of their budget, even though I am a retired accountant.
7 8 9 10	Well, I understand it crosses Montana and Oregon, too. Avista's asking an increase difference for aging infrastructure, but once again, it's the same company. If I was to buy stock, I don't say I want stock in	6 7 8 9 10	any political donations made by this company and passed on, even knowingly or unknowingly to Avista customers due to rate increases this should be an area the Commission might want to look into to see what's going on. I don't know. I don't have complete control of
7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Well, I understand it crosses Montana and Oregon, too.</li> <li>Avista's asking an increase difference for aging infrastructure, but once again, it's the same company.</li> <li>If I was to buy stock, I don't say I want stock in</li> <li>Washington Avista or Idaho Avista. It's Avista. So</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11	any political donations made by this company and passed on, even knowingly or unknowingly to Avista customers due to rate increases this should be an area the Commission might want to look into to see what's going on. I don't know. I don't have complete control of their budget, even though I am a retired accountant.
7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Well, I understand it crosses Montana and Oregon, too.</li> <li>Avista's asking an increase difference for aging infrastructure, but once again, it's the same company.</li> <li>If I was to buy stock, I don't say I want stock in</li> <li>Washington Avista or Idaho Avista. It's Avista. So there shouldn't be such a disparity in rate increases.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	any political donations made by this company and passed on, even knowingly or unknowingly to Avista customers due to rate increases this should be an area the Commission might want to look into to see what's going on. I don't know. I don't have complete control of their budget, even though I am a retired accountant. But understand, Avista has a duty to perform, and
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Well, I understand it crosses Montana and Oregon, too.</li> <li>Avista's asking an increase difference for aging infrastructure, but once again, it's the same company.</li> <li>If I was to buy stock, I don't say I want stock in</li> <li>Washington Avista or Idaho Avista. It's Avista. So there shouldn't be such a disparity in rate increases.</li> <li>It's one company. I'm sure the company buys its parts</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	any political donations made by this company and passed on, even knowingly or unknowingly to Avista customers due to rate increases this should be an area the Commission might want to look into to see what's going on. I don't know. I don't have complete control of their budget, even though I am a retired accountant. But understand, Avista has a duty to perform, and they do it very well, with the growing energy needs, they
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Well, I understand it crosses Montana and Oregon, too.</li> <li>Avista's asking an increase difference for aging infrastructure, but once again, it's the same company.</li> <li>If I was to buy stock, I don't say I want stock in</li> <li>Washington Avista or Idaho Avista. It's Avista. So there shouldn't be such a disparity in rate increases.</li> <li>It's one company. I'm sure the company buys its parts for all the different states from the same companies they</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	any political donations made by this company and passed on, even knowingly or unknowingly to Avista customers due to rate increases this should be an area the Commission might want to look into to see what's going on. I don't know. I don't have complete control of their budget, even though I am a retired accountant. But understand, Avista has a duty to perform, and they do it very well, with the growing energy needs, they do promote energy efficiency. I agree with that. I know
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Well, I understand it crosses Montana and Oregon, too.</li> <li>Avista's asking an increase difference for aging infrastructure, but once again, it's the same company.</li> <li>If I was to buy stock, I don't say I want stock in</li> <li>Washington Avista or Idaho Avista. It's Avista. So there shouldn't be such a disparity in rate increases.</li> <li>It's one company. I'm sure the company buys its parts for all the different states from the same companies they do business with, I'm sure. And I'm sure they pay the</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	any political donations made by this company and passed on, even knowingly or unknowingly to Avista customers due to rate increases this should be an area the Commission might want to look into to see what's going on. I don't know. I don't have complete control of their budget, even though I am a retired accountant. But understand, Avista has a duty to perform, and they do it very well, with the growing energy needs, they do promote energy efficiency. I agree with that. I know that they string new lines and subdivisions into new
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Well, I understand it crosses Montana and Oregon, too.</li> <li>Avista's asking an increase difference for aging infrastructure, but once again, it's the same company.</li> <li>If I was to buy stock, I don't say I want stock in</li> <li>Washington Avista or Idaho Avista. It's Avista. So there shouldn't be such a disparity in rate increases.</li> <li>It's one company. I'm sure the company buys its parts for all the different states from the same companies they do business with, I'm sure. And I'm sure they pay the same basic rates to their employees seeing how we're only 40 miles from Idaho.</li> <li>So I'm asking, why is Avista asking Washington, for</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	any political donations made by this company and passed on, even knowingly or unknowingly to Avista customers due to rate increases this should be an area the Commission might want to look into to see what's going on. I don't know. I don't have complete control of their budget, even though I am a retired accountant. But understand, Avista has a duty to perform, and they do it very well, with the growing energy needs, they do promote energy efficiency. I agree with that. I know that they string new lines and subdivisions into new homes and new businesses all the time, okay. However,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Well, I understand it crosses Montana and Oregon, too.</li> <li>Avista's asking an increase difference for aging infrastructure, but once again, it's the same company.</li> <li>If I was to buy stock, I don't say I want stock in</li> <li>Washington Avista or Idaho Avista. It's Avista. So there shouldn't be such a disparity in rate increases.</li> <li>It's one company. I'm sure the company buys its parts for all the different states from the same companies they do business with, I'm sure. And I'm sure they pay the same basic rates to their employees seeing how we're only 40 miles from Idaho.</li> <li>So I'm asking, why is Avista asking Washington, for us to pay almost 30 percent more? Hopefully, you folks</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	any political donations made by this company and passed on, even knowingly or unknowingly to Avista customers due to rate increases this should be an area the Commission might want to look into to see what's going on. I don't know. I don't have complete control of their budget, even though I am a retired accountant. But understand, Avista has a duty to perform, and they do it very well, with the growing energy needs, they do promote energy efficiency. I agree with that. I know that they string new lines and subdivisions into new homes and new businesses all the time, okay. However, they get paid when they string into those subdivisions, those houses and those businesses, when they do that. Okay. So that's that's a wash.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Well, I understand it crosses Montana and Oregon, too.</li> <li>Avista's asking an increase difference for aging infrastructure, but once again, it's the same company.</li> <li>If I was to buy stock, I don't say I want stock in</li> <li>Washington Avista or Idaho Avista. It's Avista. So there shouldn't be such a disparity in rate increases.</li> <li>It's one company. I'm sure the company buys its parts for all the different states from the same companies they do business with, I'm sure. And I'm sure they pay the same basic rates to their employees seeing how we're only 40 miles from Idaho.</li> <li>So I'm asking, why is Avista asking Washington, for us to pay almost 30 percent more? Hopefully, you folks sitting there come to an agreement with Avista on a much</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	any political donations made by this company and passed on, even knowingly or unknowingly to Avista customers due to rate increases this should be an area the Commission might want to look into to see what's going on. I don't know. I don't have complete control of their budget, even though I am a retired accountant. But understand, Avista has a duty to perform, and they do it very well, with the growing energy needs, they do promote energy efficiency. I agree with that. I know that they string new lines and subdivisions into new homes and new businesses all the time, okay. However, they get paid when they string into those subdivisions, those houses and those businesses, when they do that. Okay. So that's that's a wash. I've gone me, personally, for example, I've gone
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Well, I understand it crosses Montana and Oregon, too.</li> <li>Avista's asking an increase difference for aging infrastructure, but once again, it's the same company.</li> <li>If I was to buy stock, I don't say I want stock in</li> <li>Washington Avista or Idaho Avista. It's Avista. So there shouldn't be such a disparity in rate increases.</li> <li>It's one company. I'm sure the company buys its parts for all the different states from the same companies they do business with, I'm sure. And I'm sure they pay the same basic rates to their employees seeing how we're only 40 miles from Idaho.</li> <li>So I'm asking, why is Avista asking Washington, for us to pay almost 30 percent more? Hopefully, you folks sitting there come to an agreement with Avista on a much lower rate. I'm hoping.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	any political donations made by this company and passed on, even knowingly or unknowingly to Avista customers due to rate increases this should be an area the Commission might want to look into to see what's going on. I don't know. I don't have complete control of their budget, even though I am a retired accountant. But understand, Avista has a duty to perform, and they do it very well, with the growing energy needs, they do promote energy efficiency. I agree with that. I know that they string new lines and subdivisions into new homes and new businesses all the time, okay. However, they get paid when they string into those subdivisions, those houses and those businesses, when they do that. Okay. So that's that's a wash. I've gone me, personally, for example, I've gone to great pains to make my home more efficient. In the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Well, I understand it crosses Montana and Oregon, too.</li> <li>Avista's asking an increase difference for aging infrastructure, but once again, it's the same company.</li> <li>If I was to buy stock, I don't say I want stock in</li> <li>Washington Avista or Idaho Avista. It's Avista. So there shouldn't be such a disparity in rate increases.</li> <li>It's one company. I'm sure the company buys its parts for all the different states from the same companies they do business with, I'm sure. And I'm sure they pay the same basic rates to their employees seeing how we're only 40 miles from Idaho.</li> <li>So I'm asking, why is Avista asking Washington, for us to pay almost 30 percent more? Hopefully, you folks sitting there come to an agreement with Avista on a much lower rate. I'm hoping.</li> <li>But then to make matters more confusing, in today's</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	any political donations made by this company and passed on, even knowingly or unknowingly to Avista customers due to rate increases this should be an area the Commission might want to look into to see what's going on. I don't know. I don't have complete control of their budget, even though I am a retired accountant. But understand, Avista has a duty to perform, and they do it very well, with the growing energy needs, they do promote energy efficiency. I agree with that. I know that they string new lines and subdivisions into new homes and new businesses all the time, okay. However, they get paid when they string into those subdivisions, those houses and those businesses, when they do that. Okay. So that's that's a wash. I've gone me, personally, for example, I've gone to great pains to make my home more efficient. In the last three years of caring for my children, I've replaced
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Well, I understand it crosses Montana and Oregon, too.</li> <li>Avista's asking an increase difference for aging infrastructure, but once again, it's the same company.</li> <li>If I was to buy stock, I don't say I want stock in</li> <li>Washington Avista or Idaho Avista. It's Avista. So there shouldn't be such a disparity in rate increases.</li> <li>It's one company. I'm sure the company buys its parts for all the different states from the same companies they do business with, I'm sure. And I'm sure they pay the same basic rates to their employees seeing how we're only 40 miles from Idaho.</li> <li>So I'm asking, why is Avista asking Washington, for us to pay almost 30 percent more? Hopefully, you folks sitting there come to an agreement with Avista on a much lower rate. I'm hoping.</li> <li>But then to make matters more confusing, in today's paper, there's an article Avista is going to be purchased</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	any political donations made by this company and passed on, even knowingly or unknowingly to Avista customers due to rate increases this should be an area the Commission might want to look into to see what's going on. I don't know. I don't have complete control of their budget, even though I am a retired accountant. But understand, Avista has a duty to perform, and they do it very well, with the growing energy needs, they do promote energy efficiency. I agree with that. I know that they string new lines and subdivisions into new homes and new businesses all the time, okay. However, they get paid when they string into those subdivisions, those houses and those businesses, when they do that. Okay. So that's that's a wash. I've gone me, personally, for example, I've gone to great pains to make my home more efficient. In the last three years of caring for my children, I've replaced all the windows in my home with new efficiency ones,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Well, I understand it crosses Montana and Oregon, too.</li> <li>Avista's asking an increase difference for aging infrastructure, but once again, it's the same company.</li> <li>If I was to buy stock, I don't say I want stock in</li> <li>Washington Avista or Idaho Avista. It's Avista. So there shouldn't be such a disparity in rate increases.</li> <li>It's one company. I'm sure the company buys its parts for all the different states from the same companies they do business with, I'm sure. And I'm sure they pay the same basic rates to their employees seeing how we're only 40 miles from Idaho.</li> <li>So I'm asking, why is Avista asking Washington, for us to pay almost 30 percent more? Hopefully, you folks sitting there come to an agreement with Avista on a much lower rate. I'm hoping.</li> <li>But then to make matters more confusing, in today's paper, there's an article Avista is going to be purchased by a Canadian company they mentioned it before</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	any political donations made by this company and passed on, even knowingly or unknowingly to Avista customers due to rate increases this should be an area the Commission might want to look into to see what's going on. I don't know. I don't have complete control of their budget, even though I am a retired accountant. But understand, Avista has a duty to perform, and they do it very well, with the growing energy needs, they do promote energy efficiency. I agree with that. I know that they string new lines and subdivisions into new homes and new businesses all the time, okay. However, they get paid when they string into those subdivisions, those houses and those businesses, when they do that. Okay. So that's that's a wash. I've gone me, personally, for example, I've gone to great pains to make my home more efficient. In the last three years of caring for my children, I've replaced all the windows in my home with new efficiency ones, added more insulation in the roof, 4 inches, replaced my
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Well, I understand it crosses Montana and Oregon, too.</li> <li>Avista's asking an increase difference for aging infrastructure, but once again, it's the same company.</li> <li>If I was to buy stock, I don't say I want stock in</li> <li>Washington Avista or Idaho Avista. It's Avista. So there shouldn't be such a disparity in rate increases.</li> <li>It's one company. I'm sure the company buys its parts for all the different states from the same companies they do business with, I'm sure. And I'm sure they pay the same basic rates to their employees seeing how we're only 40 miles from Idaho.</li> <li>So I'm asking, why is Avista asking Washington, for us to pay almost 30 percent more? Hopefully, you folks sitting there come to an agreement with Avista on a much lower rate. I'm hoping.</li> <li>But then to make matters more confusing, in today's paper, there's an article Avista is going to be purchased</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	any political donations made by this company and passed on, even knowingly or unknowingly to Avista customers due to rate increases this should be an area the Commission might want to look into to see what's going on. I don't know. I don't have complete control of their budget, even though I am a retired accountant. But understand, Avista has a duty to perform, and they do it very well, with the growing energy needs, they do promote energy efficiency. I agree with that. I know that they string new lines and subdivisions into new homes and new businesses all the time, okay. However, they get paid when they string into those subdivisions, those houses and those businesses, when they do that. Okay. So that's that's a wash. I've gone me, personally, for example, I've gone to great pains to make my home more efficient. In the last three years of caring for my children, I've replaced all the windows in my home with new efficiency ones,

11 (Pages 64 to 67)

	Page 68		Page 70
1	rate increases, I haven't seen a reduction in my little	1	body of water, but Colstrip, because it's so dry out
2	2,300 square foot home in my electric bill for my wife	2	there, it's unique. It's a desert, essentially, close to
3	and I. Go figure. I don't know why. Okay.	3	11 inches of rain a year, so they don't have a body of
4	As far as people go, I wanted to give you this	4	water that they can discharge their wastewaters, so they
5	personal bit. I'm a volunteer tax aid coordinator for	5	have this closed loop system.
6	the city of Medical Lake. The tax aid is part of the	6	And what they do is to ensure it remains a closed
7	AARP program that works closely with the IRS to help	7	loop, when that toxic waste gets into the groundwater and
8	people file their personal income taxes for free, usually	8	the plume grows, as you know, they put in a monitoring
9	dealing with lower and middle income families. I have	9	well. When that monitoring well shows the pollution,
10	been offering my free services to give help to the public	10	they convert that monitoring well back to a pump-back
11	for their personal income tax for 20 years. Most are	11	well and they put that water back in the central pond.
12	seniors. Most are on fixed incomes. Most make between	12	They try to accelerate their aeration, their evaporation
13	15 to 29,000 a year, period.	13	of that toxic waste. So what's happened is that
14	Society leaves an aging community that must make a	14	monitoring well shows pollution, they turn it into a
15	choice between paying their utilities bills, paying for	15	pump-back well and then they move the monitoring well for
16	food and paying for medicine. Those are the three basics	16	the wrap.
17	they have to do. Everyone knows you've got to pay	17	Now, as Mr. Sauer reported, they've done that almost
18	utilities bills. You can't sit in the dark. So they	18	a thousand times, and they're still called as being in
19	either don't buy food or don't buy medicine. Wow.	19	compliance with closed loop. You know, I don't call that
20	That's scary. With everything going up, a 12 percent	20	compliance. I call that moving a goalpost. And that is
21	increase is just something that seniors cannot afford,	21	a massive liability for ratepayers and it's growing.
22	period.	22	That isn't even what I wanted to talk about.
23	The people need you. They need the Public Utilities	23	I would like to talk about expenditures. It's
24	Commission, okay? You are our watchdog against greed and	24	becoming clear now that Colstrip 3 and 4 is going to
25	poverty for the poor, okay. That is because there's	25	close. When we weren't prepared for the inevitability of
	Page 69		Page 71
1	individuals, or as a group even, we can't shop around and	1	1 and 2, we had to deal with now, okay, what you do with
2	purchase energy from someone else. We can't. Avista has	2	accelerated debt. We're facing that issue with 3 and 4.
3	a captive audience, and they know it. Avista seems to be	3	That's going to close much sooner than we anticipate and,
4	a very well run observation to some extent. Stocks have	4	once again, we won't be prepared, but that's going to
5	increased this year, dividends are up, and the sale of	5	show up for expenditures for you. And what I strongly
6	the company, \$5 billion, 300,000,000, who's going to get	6	recommend that you look at closely from here forward is
7	that? Everything looks good for them, yet every time	7	any expense you get related to Colstrip, even if it's,
8	they need money, it's the customers who always have to	8	quote/unquote, maintenance.
9	suck it up and bear it because we don't get a choice.	9	What we found, what our attorneys have found in
10	So we look to you to help keep the rates down. So	10	participating in rate cases across the country, that
11	for that, I thank you.	11	there's a bunch of stuff that's shoved into maintenance
12	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much, Mr. Chanter.	12	that's not maintenance. In fact, in our lawsuit on the
13	All right. Doug Howell.	13	new-source review, which we settled so we never got the
14	MR. HOWELL: Thank you so much for being here	14	opportunity to prove we were right, we settled on that,
15	tonight. You all should be acknowledged for your	15	but that led to the closure of 1 and 2, expenses were
16	endurance. Hopefully, it won't go past 8:00 o'clock	16	shoved in maintenance that we asserted were not.
17	tonight.	17	So I strongly recommend that you give intense
18	I was going to talk about expenditures, but I think	18	scrutiny to any expenses that you see that are called
19	there was a point that was made earlier, and the	19	maintenance. And even at some point, maintenance itself
20	magnitude of that that that point was missed. When	20	becomes a life-extending measure, and you need to watch
21	Brad Sauer talked about these coal ash ponds and now, how	21	very carefully that we're not sinking in money that's
22	they are converting these monitoring wells to pump-back	22	going to push that plant out beyond 2025, because, again,
23	wells, I'd like to give another interpretation of what	23	that will be another area that rate payers take an
24	that means.	24	unnecessary hit.
25	Most coal plants discharge their wastewater into a	25	There's another piece I want to talk about is

## 12 (Pages 68 to 71)

	Page 72		Page 74
1	that is what drives these decisions that gets you to	1	If you have that national average of 3 percent of
2	these prudency determinations. And Avista has been using	2	leakage rate at the gas plants, you are already worse
3	this model that they call the efficiency frontier. And	3	than a coal plant even before you build that gas plant.
4	you've heard it's really in some ways one way to	4	Coal is gas is becoming the new coal. Gas is our next
5	describe it is a balance between least cost and least	5	big climate fight, and I hope you are prepared.
6	risk. My concern with that model, I think it is	6	CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.
7	extremely short term in its economic view. If we were to	7	Okay. David Camp.
8	really look at capital expenditures over decades, then we	8	MR. CAMP: Thank you again for having us here, for
9	would come up with a much different result on what's	9	coming and seeing us here, hearing us out.
10	least cost and least risk. And if we were thinking long	10	As an Avista ratepayer, I'd like to echo the
11	term, renewables are going to be investments, like new	11	comments made by Rebecca MacMullan, that if we're going
12	gas plants, almost all the time. So I would like you to	12	to see an increase in rates, there really must be a link
13	be really concerned about how you think of that time	13	to a firm commitment to exit the Colstrip disaster. The
14	frame on the efficiency frontier when you're comparing	14	Colstrip plant was simply a bad investment. If we can
15	these costs to these risks, and let me give you an	15	get out of that and facilitate that through the rate
16	example.	16	increase, then fine.
17	There must have been some type of model like that	17	The second part of that, though, is we need to
18	that was used when they authorized the expenditures in	18	protect low-income rate payers, because in this area the
19	the early '80s for the coal ash ponds, and here is some	19	low-income rate payers are truly low income, and they
20	of the thinking. Well, the energy firm said to the	20	simply can't absorb a rate increase like the one that's
21	Colstrip owners, let's do this clay-lined ash ponds	21	been proposed. So if we can get Avista to move out of
22	because it's cheap, and the likelihood of it leaking is	22	the Colstrip problem while also protecting low-income
23	small, but if it does leak, let's set aside \$2 million to	23	ratepayers and being granted their rate increase, I think
24	pay for the drinking water for the town of Colstrip in	24	it will work out for everyone. So I really have nothing
25	case it gets corrupted. It got corrupted. It leaked.	25	more to say. I think that encapsulates it.
	Page 73		Page 75
1	They were sued the civil penalty alone was 25 million,	1	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much.
2	and now the price tag is probably well over a billion	2	Okay. Jean Kindem, are you here?
3	dollars to clean up that mess. At some point, when they	3	MS. KINDEM: Thank you very much for allowing us to
4	made that decision, they used something very much like a	4	have an opportunity to voice our opinion. I am a
5	efficiency frontier, least costs, least risk calculation.	5	volunteer on the Planning and Management Council for the
6	So please don't get sucked into a shortsighted view	6	Aging and Long-Term Care. One of our emphasis is to keep
7	of how that model can work. At this point of time in the	7	people in their own homes rather than into nursing homes
8	age of climate change, if they say a gas plant lasts 35	8	or other assisted-living facilities.
9	years, that gets us most of the way to our goal of 2050.	9	Thirteen percent of the American population in this
10	I really encourage you to be thinking about all	10	area lives below the poverty line, and we are looking
11	investments in a much shorter time frame. We just can't	11	at if you have done any reading at all an age wave,
12	afford to do it any other way.	12	where a lot of us are going to live longer and we're
13	While we're talking about it, I just want to plant	13	going to need services longer. And the cost of utilities
	one more seed. What we're looking at in the future of	14	for some of the providers who are taking in these aging
14		1	
14 15	-	15	people and allowing them to have somewhat of a life, it's
	all resources that you're going to have to do a prudency	15 16	people and allowing them to have somewhat of a life, it's costing them a great deal of money when you increase the
15	-		
15 16	all resources that you're going to have to do a prudency determination on is likely going to be gas plants, and we	16	costing them a great deal of money when you increase the
15 16 17	all resources that you're going to have to do a prudency determination on is likely going to be gas plants, and we are jut beginning to learn of what the climate impacts	16 17	costing them a great deal of money when you increase the cost of their utilities.
15 16 17 18	all resources that you're going to have to do a prudency determination on is likely going to be gas plants, and we are jut beginning to learn of what the climate impacts are of those gas plants. And what the literature tells	16 17 18	costing them a great deal of money when you increase the cost of their utilities. So what I would like to remind you is that, along
15 16 17 18 19	all resources that you're going to have to do a prudency determination on is likely going to be gas plants, and we are jut beginning to learn of what the climate impacts are of those gas plants. And what the literature tells us, which we have now reviewed exhaustively of every	16 17 18 19	costing them a great deal of money when you increase the cost of their utilities. So what I would like to remind you is that, along with the 13 percent that is below the poverty line, we
15 16 17 18 19 20	all resources that you're going to have to do a prudency determination on is likely going to be gas plants, and we are jut beginning to learn of what the climate impacts are of those gas plants. And what the literature tells us, which we have now reviewed exhaustively of every government, every academic and every industry report that	16 17 18 19 20	costing them a great deal of money when you increase the cost of their utilities. So what I would like to remind you is that, along with the 13 percent that is below the poverty line, we also have people who are handicapped, who have mental
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	all resources that you're going to have to do a prudency determination on is likely going to be gas plants, and we are jut beginning to learn of what the climate impacts are of those gas plants. And what the literature tells us, which we have now reviewed exhaustively of every government, every academic and every industry report that has been provided we have reviewed, and the average we	16 17 18 19 20 21	costing them a great deal of money when you increase the cost of their utilities. So what I would like to remind you is that, along with the 13 percent that is below the poverty line, we also have people who are handicapped, who have mental health issues and who are living on just a bare minimum
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	all resources that you're going to have to do a prudency determination on is likely going to be gas plants, and we are jut beginning to learn of what the climate impacts are of those gas plants. And what the literature tells us, which we have now reviewed exhaustively of every government, every academic and every industry report that has been provided we have reviewed, and the average we see is a 3 percent leakage rate of the upstream methane.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	costing them a great deal of money when you increase the cost of their utilities. So what I would like to remind you is that, along with the 13 percent that is below the poverty line, we also have people who are handicapped, who have mental health issues and who are living on just a bare minimum amount of money. And we are very proud to be providers

13 (Pages 72 to 75)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

	Page 76		Page 78
1	poverty level is higher, and we need some assistance when	1	about the asthma and the air pollution.
2	it comes to you, the utilities. Thank you for allowing	2	I want to say on another note, I'm aware that Avista
3	me to speak.	3	does buy some electricity from the Palouse wind farm
4	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much for coming out	4	south of Spokane. I've signed up to use that, but Avista
5	tonight.	5	treats it as an extra for which I end up paying more in
6	Pauline Druffel, are you here?	6	order to use what I consider to be a more ethical form of
7	MS. DRUFFEL: Yes.	7	producing electricity. I would like them to consider
8	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Please come forward. Thank you.	8	this wind power as the national part of the mix along
9	Ms. Druffel, you have not been sworn in. You arrived	9	with hydroelectric power. So that's my comments.
10	after we did our group swearing in; is that correct?	10	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much. Appreciate
11	MS. DRUFFEL: Yes.	11	it.
12	CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Would you please raise	12	Elizabeth Williams?
13	your hand.	13	MS. WILLIAMS: Again, thank you for coming to
14	Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and	14	Spokane so we can give our views on this. I'm one who
15	nothing but the truth?	15	recycles or composts everything I possibly can. As an
16	MS. DRUFFEL: I do.	16	Avista ratepayer in the north end of Spokane County, it
17	CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.	17	upset me greatly to find out Avista Utilities fuels 20
18	Proceed.	18	percent of its power from Colstrip. I'm here to ask
19	MS. DRUFFEL: Thank you. And thank you for coming	19	Avista Utilities to divest in the Colstrip mine in
20	to Spokane to hear our perspective about Avista's	20	Montana. As a Avista Utilities customer, I do not want
21	proposed rate increases. I was going to speak this	21	the energy I use coming from dirty coal anymore.
22	afternoon, but then there wasn't time. Well, I gave it	22	Investment in clean energy is extremely important to me.
23	up, but because	23	In the Census Business Patterns report in 2014,
24	CHAIRMAN DANNER: You know, we are still accepting	24	there were 76,572 people employed nationwide in the coal
25	written comments, so if you do want to comment in	25	industry. Compare that 76,000 or so jobs to the Arby's
	Page 77		Page 79
1	Page 77 writing, just let me know.	1	Page 79 fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000
1 2		1 2	2
	writing, just let me know.		fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000
2	writing, just let me know. MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes.	2	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to
2 3	writing, just let me know. MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes. I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's	2 3	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially
2 3 4	writing, just let me know. MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes. I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's plan to continue to get electricity from the Colstrip power plant in Montana for 20 more years. I don't see that Avista is planning to pull out of their our	2 3 4	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially with the multiple possibilities for clean energy jobs.
2 3 4 5	writing, just let me know. MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes. I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's plan to continue to get electricity from the Colstrip power plant in Montana for 20 more years. I don't see that Avista is planning to pull out of their our ownership of that plant, nor that they are directing	2 3 4 5	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially with the multiple possibilities for clean energy jobs. Steven Inskeep on today's NPR Morning Edition reported even China is consolidating mines and aggressively cutting back production for environmental
2 3 4 5 6	writing, just let me know. MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes. I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's plan to continue to get electricity from the Colstrip power plant in Montana for 20 more years. I don't see that Avista is planning to pull out of their our ownership of that plant, nor that they are directing resources to clean up the damage done to the local people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially with the multiple possibilities for clean energy jobs. Steven Inskeep on today's NPR Morning Edition reported even China is consolidating mines and
2 3 4 5 6 7	writing, just let me know. MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes. I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's plan to continue to get electricity from the Colstrip power plant in Montana for 20 more years. I don't see that Avista is planning to pull out of their our ownership of that plant, nor that they are directing resources to clean up the damage done to the local people because of the plant. I object to raised rates just to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially with the multiple possibilities for clean energy jobs. Steven Inskeep on today's NPR Morning Edition reported even China is consolidating mines and aggressively cutting back production for environmental reasons. We here in Spokane can do better. I would hope you would protect those in poverty from rate increases,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	writing, just let me know. MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes. I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's plan to continue to get electricity from the Colstrip power plant in Montana for 20 more years. I don't see that Avista is planning to pull out of their our ownership of that plant, nor that they are directing resources to clean up the damage done to the local people because of the plant. I object to raised rates just to continue doing the same disastrous harm to our planet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially with the multiple possibilities for clean energy jobs. Steven Inskeep on today's NPR Morning Edition reported even China is consolidating mines and aggressively cutting back production for environmental reasons. We here in Spokane can do better. I would hope you would protect those in poverty from rate increases, as we've heard people speak tonight, and how important
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	writing, just let me know. MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes. I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's plan to continue to get electricity from the Colstrip power plant in Montana for 20 more years. I don't see that Avista is planning to pull out of their our ownership of that plant, nor that they are directing resources to clean up the damage done to the local people because of the plant. I object to raised rates just to continue doing the same disastrous harm to our planet. I could imagine an ethical value of raising rates so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially with the multiple possibilities for clean energy jobs. Steven Inskeep on today's NPR Morning Edition reported even China is consolidating mines and aggressively cutting back production for environmental reasons. We here in Spokane can do better. I would hope you would protect those in poverty from rate increases, as we've heard people speak tonight, and how important that is, though, personally, I would rather not pay
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	writing, just let me know. MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes. I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's plan to continue to get electricity from the Colstrip power plant in Montana for 20 more years. I don't see that Avista is planning to pull out of their our ownership of that plant, nor that they are directing resources to clean up the damage done to the local people because of the plant. I object to raised rates just to continue doing the same disastrous harm to our planet. I could imagine an ethical value of raising rates so as to prepare to pull out of Colstrip and get electricity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially with the multiple possibilities for clean energy jobs. Steven Inskeep on today's NPR Morning Edition reported even China is consolidating mines and aggressively cutting back production for environmental reasons. We here in Spokane can do better. I would hope you would protect those in poverty from rate increases, as we've heard people speak tonight, and how important that is, though, personally, I would rather not pay Avista any more than I already do. I would rather have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	writing, just let me know. MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes. I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's plan to continue to get electricity from the Colstrip power plant in Montana for 20 more years. I don't see that Avista is planning to pull out of their our ownership of that plant, nor that they are directing resources to clean up the damage done to the local people because of the plant. I object to raised rates just to continue doing the same disastrous harm to our planet. I could imagine an ethical value of raising rates so as to prepare to pull out of Colstrip and get electricity from other sources, renewable sustainable resources, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially with the multiple possibilities for clean energy jobs. Steven Inskeep on today's NPR Morning Edition reported even China is consolidating mines and aggressively cutting back production for environmental reasons. We here in Spokane can do better. I would hope you would protect those in poverty from rate increases, as we've heard people speak tonight, and how important that is, though, personally, I would rather not pay Avista any more than I already do. I would rather have a slight increase in my bill to fund clean energy than to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	writing, just let me know. MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes. I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's plan to continue to get electricity from the Colstrip power plant in Montana for 20 more years. I don't see that Avista is planning to pull out of their our ownership of that plant, nor that they are directing resources to clean up the damage done to the local people because of the plant. I object to raised rates just to continue doing the same disastrous harm to our planet. I could imagine an ethical value of raising rates so as to prepare to pull out of Colstrip and get electricity from other sources, renewable sustainable resources, but I think it is unethical to know that Colstrip's way of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially with the multiple possibilities for clean energy jobs. Steven Inskeep on today's NPR Morning Edition reported even China is consolidating mines and aggressively cutting back production for environmental reasons. We here in Spokane can do better. I would hope you would protect those in poverty from rate increases, as we've heard people speak tonight, and how important that is, though, personally, I would rather not pay Avista any more than I already do. I would rather have a slight increase in my bill to fund clean energy than to have Avista get 20 percent of its power from Colstrip and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	writing, just let me know. MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes. I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's plan to continue to get electricity from the Colstrip power plant in Montana for 20 more years. I don't see that Avista is planning to pull out of their our ownership of that plant, nor that they are directing resources to clean up the damage done to the local people because of the plant. I object to raised rates just to continue doing the same disastrous harm to our planet. I could imagine an ethical value of raising rates so as to prepare to pull out of Colstrip and get electricity from other sources, renewable sustainable resources, but I think it is unethical to know that Colstrip's way of producing electricity is harming the planet and to not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially with the multiple possibilities for clean energy jobs. Steven Inskeep on today's NPR Morning Edition reported even China is consolidating mines and aggressively cutting back production for environmental reasons. We here in Spokane can do better. I would hope you would protect those in poverty from rate increases, as we've heard people speak tonight, and how important that is, though, personally, I would rather not pay Avista any more than I already do. I would rather have a slight increase in my bill to fund clean energy than to have Avista get 20 percent of its power from Colstrip and have anything to do with environmental contamination that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	writing, just let me know. MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes. I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's plan to continue to get electricity from the Colstrip power plant in Montana for 20 more years. I don't see that Avista is planning to pull out of their our ownership of that plant, nor that they are directing resources to clean up the damage done to the local people because of the plant. I object to raised rates just to continue doing the same disastrous harm to our planet. I could imagine an ethical value of raising rates so as to prepare to pull out of Colstrip and get electricity from other sources, renewable sustainable resources, but I think it is unethical to know that Colstrip's way of producing electricity is harming the planet and to not try to ameliorate that damage. Other owners of Colstrip	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially with the multiple possibilities for clean energy jobs. Steven Inskeep on today's NPR Morning Edition reported even China is consolidating mines and aggressively cutting back production for environmental reasons. We here in Spokane can do better. I would hope you would protect those in poverty from rate increases, as we've heard people speak tonight, and how important that is, though, personally, I would rather not pay Avista any more than I already do. I would rather have a slight increase in my bill to fund clean energy than to have Avista get 20 percent of its power from Colstrip and have anything to do with environmental contamination that the coal mine is causing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	writing, just let me know. MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes. I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's plan to continue to get electricity from the Colstrip power plant in Montana for 20 more years. I don't see that Avista is planning to pull out of their our ownership of that plant, nor that they are directing resources to clean up the damage done to the local people because of the plant. I object to raised rates just to continue doing the same disastrous harm to our planet. I could imagine an ethical value of raising rates so as to prepare to pull out of Colstrip and get electricity from other sources, renewable sustainable resources, but I think it is unethical to know that Colstrip's way of producing electricity is harming the planet and to not try to ameliorate that damage. Other owners of Colstrip are talking about pulling out their investment of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially with the multiple possibilities for clean energy jobs. Steven Inskeep on today's NPR Morning Edition reported even China is consolidating mines and aggressively cutting back production for environmental reasons. We here in Spokane can do better. I would hope you would protect those in poverty from rate increases, as we've heard people speak tonight, and how important that is, though, personally, I would rather not pay Avista any more than I already do. I would rather have a slight increase in my bill to fund clean energy than to have Avista get 20 percent of its power from Colstrip and have anything to do with environmental contamination that the coal mine is causing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	writing, just let me know. MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes. I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's plan to continue to get electricity from the Colstrip power plant in Montana for 20 more years. I don't see that Avista is planning to pull out of their our ownership of that plant, nor that they are directing resources to clean up the damage done to the local people because of the plant. I object to raised rates just to continue doing the same disastrous harm to our planet. I could imagine an ethical value of raising rates so as to prepare to pull out of Colstrip and get electricity from other sources, renewable sustainable resources, but I think it is unethical to know that Colstrip's way of producing electricity is harming the planet and to not try to ameliorate that damage. Other owners of Colstrip are talking about pulling out their investment of Colstrip, but Avista is talking about continuing their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially with the multiple possibilities for clean energy jobs. Steven Inskeep on today's NPR Morning Edition reported even China is consolidating mines and aggressively cutting back production for environmental reasons. We here in Spokane can do better. I would hope you would protect those in poverty from rate increases, as we've heard people speak tonight, and how important that is, though, personally, I would rather not pay Avista any more than I already do. I would rather have a slight increase in my bill to fund clean energy than to have Avista get 20 percent of its power from Colstrip and have anything to do with environmental contamination that the coal mine is causing. Finally, with the approaching holiday season, we are reminded that there's a reason that for over 800 years,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	writing, just let me know. MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes. I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's plan to continue to get electricity from the Colstrip power plant in Montana for 20 more years. I don't see that Avista is planning to pull out of their our ownership of that plant, nor that they are directing resources to clean up the damage done to the local people because of the plant. I object to raised rates just to continue doing the same disastrous harm to our planet. I could imagine an ethical value of raising rates so as to prepare to pull out of Colstrip and get electricity from other sources, renewable sustainable resources, but I think it is unethical to know that Colstrip's way of producing electricity is harming the planet and to not try to ameliorate that damage. Other owners of Colstrip are talking about pulling out their investment of Colstrip, but Avista is talking about continuing their investment for 20 more years.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially with the multiple possibilities for clean energy jobs. Steven Inskeep on today's NPR Morning Edition reported even China is consolidating mines and aggressively cutting back production for environmental reasons. We here in Spokane can do better. I would hope you would protect those in poverty from rate increases, as we've heard people speak tonight, and how important that is, though, personally, I would rather not pay Avista any more than I already do. I would rather have a slight increase in my bill to fund clean energy than to have Avista get 20 percent of its power from Colstrip and have anything to do with environmental contamination that the coal mine is causing. Finally, with the approaching holiday season, we are reminded that there's a reason that for over 800 years, getting a lump of coal for Christmas has not been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>writing, just let me know.</li> <li>MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes.</li> <li>I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's plan to continue to get electricity from the Colstrip power plant in Montana for 20 more years. I don't see that Avista is planning to pull out of their our ownership of that plant, nor that they are directing resources to clean up the damage done to the local people because of the plant. I object to raised rates just to continue doing the same disastrous harm to our planet.</li> <li>I could imagine an ethical value of raising rates so as to prepare to pull out of Colstrip and get electricity from other sources, renewable sustainable resources, but I think it is unethical to know that Colstrip's way of producing electricity is harming the planet and to not try to ameliorate that damage. Other owners of Colstrip are talking about pulling out their investment of Colstrip, but Avista is talking about continuing their investment for 20 more years.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially with the multiple possibilities for clean energy jobs. Steven Inskeep on today's NPR Morning Edition reported even China is consolidating mines and aggressively cutting back production for environmental reasons. We here in Spokane can do better. I would hope you would protect those in poverty from rate increases, as we've heard people speak tonight, and how important that is, though, personally, I would rather not pay Avista any more than I already do. I would rather have a slight increase in my bill to fund clean energy than to have Avista get 20 percent of its power from Colstrip and have anything to do with environmental contamination that the coal mine is causing. Finally, with the approaching holiday season, we are reminded that there's a reason that for over 800 years, getting a lump of coal for Christmas has not been considered a good thing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>writing, just let me know.</li> <li>MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes.</li> <li>I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's plan to continue to get electricity from the Colstrip power plant in Montana for 20 more years. I don't see that Avista is planning to pull out of their our ownership of that plant, nor that they are directing resources to clean up the damage done to the local people because of the plant. I object to raised rates just to continue doing the same disastrous harm to our planet.</li> <li>I could imagine an ethical value of raising rates so as to prepare to pull out of Colstrip and get electricity from other sources, renewable sustainable resources, but I think it is unethical to know that Colstrip's way of producing electricity is harming the planet and to not try to ameliorate that damage. Other owners of Colstrip are talking about pulling out their investment of Colstrip, but Avista is talking about continuing their investment for 20 more years.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially with the multiple possibilities for clean energy jobs. Steven Inskeep on today's NPR Morning Edition reported even China is consolidating mines and aggressively cutting back production for environmental reasons. We here in Spokane can do better. I would hope you would protect those in poverty from rate increases, as we've heard people speak tonight, and how important that is, though, personally, I would rather not pay Avista any more than I already do. I would rather have a slight increase in my bill to fund clean energy than to have Avista get 20 percent of its power from Colstrip and have anything to do with environmental contamination that the coal mine is causing. Finally, with the approaching holiday season, we are reminded that there's a reason that for over 800 years, getting a lump of coal for Christmas has not been considered a good thing. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>writing, just let me know.</li> <li>MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes.</li> <li>I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's plan to continue to get electricity from the Colstrip power plant in Montana for 20 more years. I don't see that Avista is planning to pull out of their our ownership of that plant, nor that they are directing resources to clean up the damage done to the local people because of the plant. I object to raised rates just to continue doing the same disastrous harm to our planet.</li> <li>I could imagine an ethical value of raising rates so as to prepare to pull out of Colstrip and get electricity from other sources, renewable sustainable resources, but I think it is unethical to know that Colstrip's way of producing electricity is harming the planet and to not try to ameliorate that damage. Other owners of Colstrip are talking about pulling out their investment of Colstrip, but Avista is talking about continuing their investment for 20 more years.</li> <li>I fear that as ratepayers to Avista, we are going to be the ones paying for the damage that has been done by Colstrip, and we were just talking about the coal ash</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially with the multiple possibilities for clean energy jobs. Steven Inskeep on today's NPR Morning Edition reported even China is consolidating mines and aggressively cutting back production for environmental reasons. We here in Spokane can do better. I would hope you would protect those in poverty from rate increases, as we've heard people speak tonight, and how important that is, though, personally, I would rather not pay Avista any more than I already do. I would rather have a slight increase in my bill to fund clean energy than to have Avista get 20 percent of its power from Colstrip and have anything to do with environmental contamination that the coal mine is causing. Finally, with the approaching holiday season, we are reminded that there's a reason that for over 800 years, getting a lump of coal for Christmas has not been considered a good thing. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much. All right. Jace Bylenga, are you here? Come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>writing, just let me know.</li> <li>MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes.</li> <li>I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's plan to continue to get electricity from the Colstrip power plant in Montana for 20 more years. I don't see that Avista is planning to pull out of their our ownership of that plant, nor that they are directing resources to clean up the damage done to the local people because of the plant. I object to raised rates just to continue doing the same disastrous harm to our planet.</li> <li>I could imagine an ethical value of raising rates so as to prepare to pull out of Colstrip and get electricity from other sources, renewable sustainable resources, but I think it is unethical to know that Colstrip's way of producing electricity is harming the planet and to not try to ameliorate that damage. Other owners of Colstrip are talking about pulling out their investment of Colstrip, but Avista is talking about continuing their investment for 20 more years.</li> <li>I fear that as ratepayers to Avista, we are going to be the ones paying for the damage that has been done by Colstrip, and we were just talking about the coal ash ponds as one specific that I'm also aware of and see that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially with the multiple possibilities for clean energy jobs. Steven Inskeep on today's NPR Morning Edition reported even China is consolidating mines and aggressively cutting back production for environmental reasons. We here in Spokane can do better. I would hope you would protect those in poverty from rate increases, as we've heard people speak tonight, and how important that is, though, personally, I would rather not pay Avista any more than I already do. I would rather have a slight increase in my bill to fund clean energy than to have Avista get 20 percent of its power from Colstrip and have anything to do with environmental contamination that the coal mine is causing. Finally, with the approaching holiday season, we are reminded that there's a reason that for over 800 years, getting a lump of coal for Christmas has not been considered a good thing. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much. All right. Jace Bylenga, are you here? Come forward.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>writing, just let me know.</li> <li>MS. DRUFFEL: I do know. Yes.</li> <li>I want to talk about my concerns related to Avista's plan to continue to get electricity from the Colstrip power plant in Montana for 20 more years. I don't see that Avista is planning to pull out of their our ownership of that plant, nor that they are directing resources to clean up the damage done to the local people because of the plant. I object to raised rates just to continue doing the same disastrous harm to our planet.</li> <li>I could imagine an ethical value of raising rates so as to prepare to pull out of Colstrip and get electricity from other sources, renewable sustainable resources, but I think it is unethical to know that Colstrip's way of producing electricity is harming the planet and to not try to ameliorate that damage. Other owners of Colstrip are talking about pulling out their investment of Colstrip, but Avista is talking about continuing their investment for 20 more years.</li> <li>I fear that as ratepayers to Avista, we are going to be the ones paying for the damage that has been done by Colstrip, and we were just talking about the coal ash</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	fast-food company which employed approximately 80,000 persons. Phasing out the coal industry is not going to have a huge impact on our nation's economy, especially with the multiple possibilities for clean energy jobs. Steven Inskeep on today's NPR Morning Edition reported even China is consolidating mines and aggressively cutting back production for environmental reasons. We here in Spokane can do better. I would hope you would protect those in poverty from rate increases, as we've heard people speak tonight, and how important that is, though, personally, I would rather not pay Avista any more than I already do. I would rather have a slight increase in my bill to fund clean energy than to have Avista get 20 percent of its power from Colstrip and have anything to do with environmental contamination that the coal mine is causing. Finally, with the approaching holiday season, we are reminded that there's a reason that for over 800 years, getting a lump of coal for Christmas has not been considered a good thing. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much. All right. Jace Bylenga, are you here? Come

14 (Pages 76 to 79)

	Page 80		Page 82
1	tell the truth.	1	over there, they don't make a lot of money, so but
2	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Stand up. All right. Raise your	2	keeping this coal plant running continually is it's
3	hand.	3	just going to make more and more costs for future
4	Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and	4	generations, whether it be cleaning up the toxic
5	nothing but the truth?	5	contamination at Culstrip or whether it's converted
6	MR. BYLENGA: 1 do.	6	climate change impacts from our health costs, wildfires
7	CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Proceed.	7	or, you know, the lithium effects. So thank you for your
8	MR. BYLENGA: Thank you, Commissioners for coming up	8	time and appreciate it.
9	to Spokane again. Really appreciate it. My name is	9	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you.
10	Jace Bylenga, and I would like to talk on behalf of some	10	Brian Henning, are you still here? Come forward.
11	kids I know across the street at Shaw Middle School.	11	MR. HENNING: Good evening. My name is Dr. Brian
12	I was an AmeriCorps Avista volunteer for a couple of	12	Henning. I'm a Avista rate payer, a resident of Spokane
13	years and did some after-school programming with those	13	and professor of philosophy in environmental studies at
14	kids, and this is one of the poorest neighborhoods in the	14	Gonzaga University. I want to thank you for coming over
15	state, and one other poorest neighborhoods that I've	15	to the east side of the state and hearing the concerns of
16	worked in also is the west central community on the other	16	Avista ratepayers. You really do have an impressive
17	side of town. And as we were doing environmental	17	fortitude today. Thank you for sticking it out.
18	educational programming with these kids, a lot of the	18	I would just like to just briefly address whether
19	reasons there was a need for that was there wasn't a lot	19	Avista is providing electricity at the lowest reasonable
20	of extra time for extracurricular stuff that was, you	20	cost and whether its request of rate increase should be
21	know, maybe talking about environmental issues.	21	approved. Doing this, I would like to introduce the
22	And so just there being a deficit in the amount of	22	admittedly wonky idea of negative extranalities. This
23	education to low-income populations, I think, is going to	23	inelegant and euphemistic term is how economists describe
24	potentially result in those folks maybe not knowing much	24	a cost associated with an activity or product that is not
25	about climate change or what it means to burn coal and	25	reflected in the price.
	Page 81		Page 83
1	Page 81 things like that. But, however, these folks want to be	1	Page 83 Classic example of negative extranalities is
1 2		1 2	
	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be		Classic example of negative extranalities is
2	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best	2	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could
2 3	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in	2 3	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the
2 3 4	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there.	2 3 4	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does
2 3 4 5	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there. I understand that Avista has not publicly indicated	2 3 4 5 6 7	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does not. Included is health costs associated with smoking
2 3 4 5 6	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there.	2 3 4 5 6	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does not. Included is health costs associated with smoking the product. These health costs are real and significant
2 3 5 7 8 9	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there. I understand that Avista has not publicly indicated whether or not they set have begun setting aside money for clean-up costs at the Colstrip plant, and, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does not. Included is health costs associated with smoking the product. These health costs are real and significant but they are borne not only by the smoker but by everyone else as well in the form of higher insurance premiums, lost work productivity and so on. These are what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there. I understand that Avista has not publicly indicated whether or not they set have begun setting aside money	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does not. Included is health costs associated with smoking the product. These health costs are real and significant but they are borne not only by the smoker but by everyone else as well in the form of higher insurance premiums,
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there. I understand that Avista has not publicly indicated whether or not they set have begun setting aside money for clean-up costs at the Colstrip plant, and, you know, looking at the calculations from Puget Sound Energy, they set aside some money, and so if you slice and dice that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does not. Included is health costs associated with smoking the product. These health costs are real and significant but they are borne not only by the smoker but by everyone else as well in the form of higher insurance premiums, lost work productivity and so on. These are what the economists call negative extranalities or costs associated with the product not reflected in the price.
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there. I understand that Avista has not publicly indicated whether or not they set have begun setting aside money for clean-up costs at the Colstrip plant, and, you know, looking at the calculations from Puget Sound Energy, they set aside some money, and so if you slice and dice that, it looks like Avista would be on the hook for at least	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does not. Included is health costs associated with smoking the product. These health costs are real and significant but they are borne not only by the smoker but by everyone else as well in the form of higher insurance premiums, lost work productivity and so on. These are what the economists call negative extranalities or costs associated with the product not reflected in the price. The problem economists tell us is that negative
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there. I understand that Avista has not publicly indicated whether or not they set have begun setting aside money for clean-up costs at the Colstrip plant, and, you know, looking at the calculations from Puget Sound Energy, they set aside some money, and so if you slice and dice that, it looks like Avista would be on the hook for at least \$100 million in clean-up costs and about \$6 million in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does not. Included is health costs associated with smoking the product. These health costs are real and significant but they are borne not only by the smoker but by everyone else as well in the form of higher insurance premiums, lost work productivity and so on. These are what the economists call negative extranalities or costs associated with the product not reflected in the price. The problem economists tell us is that negative extranalities distort the marketplace. They move capital
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there. I understand that Avista has not publicly indicated whether or not they set have begun setting aside money for clean-up costs at the Colstrip plant, and, you know, looking at the calculations from Puget Sound Energy, they set aside some money, and so if you slice and dice that, it looks like Avista would be on the hook for at least \$100 million in clean-up costs and about \$6 million in economic transition funds for the community of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does not. Included is health costs associated with smoking the product. These health costs are real and significant but they are borne not only by the smoker but by everyone else as well in the form of higher insurance premiums, lost work productivity and so on. These are what the economists call negative extranalities or costs associated with the product not reflected in the price. The problem economists tell us is that negative extranalities distort the marketplace. They move capital towards products that only appear to be less expensive
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there. I understand that Avista has not publicly indicated whether or not they set have begun setting aside money for clean-up costs at the Colstrip plant, and, you know, looking at the calculations from Puget Sound Energy, they set aside some money, and so if you slice and dice that, it looks like Avista would be on the hook for at least \$100 million in clean-up costs and about \$6 million in economic transition funds for the community of the Colstrip. So they need to start saving that money and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does not. Included is health costs associated with smoking the product. These health costs are real and significant but they are borne not only by the smoker but by everyone else as well in the form of higher insurance premiums, lost work productivity and so on. These are what the economists call negative extranalities or costs associated with the product not reflected in the price. The problem economists tell us is that negative extranalities distort the marketplace. They move capital
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there. I understand that Avista has not publicly indicated whether or not they set have begun setting aside money for clean-up costs at the Colstrip plant, and, you know, looking at the calculations from Puget Sound Energy, they set aside some money, and so if you slice and dice that, it looks like Avista would be on the hook for at least \$100 million in clean-up costs and about \$6 million in economic transition funds for the community of the Colstrip. So they need to start saving that money and being fiscally responsible in terms of getting ready for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does not. Included is health costs associated with smoking the product. These health costs are real and significant but they are borne not only by the smoker but by everyone else as well in the form of higher insurance premiums, lost work productivity and so on. These are what the economists call negative extranalities or costs associated with the product not reflected in the price. The problem economists tell us is that negative extranalities distort the marketplace. They move capital towards products that only appear to be less expensive but, in fact, are actually more expensive if all the effects are included.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there. I understand that Avista has not publicly indicated whether or not they set have begun setting aside money for clean-up costs at the Colstrip plant, and, you know, looking at the calculations from Puget Sound Energy, they set aside some money, and so if you slice and dice that, it looks like Avista would be on the hook for at least \$100 million in clean-up costs and about \$6 million in economic transition funds for the community of the Colstrip. So they need to start saving that money and being fiscally responsible in terms of getting ready for these clean-up costs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does not. Included is health costs associated with smoking the product. These health costs are real and significant but they are borne not only by the smoker but by everyone else as well in the form of higher insurance premiums, lost work productivity and so on. These are what the economists call negative extranalities or costs associated with the product not reflected in the price. The problem economists tell us is that negative extranalities distort the marketplace. They move capital towards products that only appear to be less expensive but, in fact, are actually more expensive if all the effects are included. Let me give another very brief example: Let's say
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there. I understand that Avista has not publicly indicated whether or not they set have begun setting aside money for clean-up costs at the Colstrip plant, and, you know, looking at the calculations from Puget Sound Energy, they set aside some money, and so if you slice and dice that, it looks like Avista would be on the hook for at least \$100 million in clean-up costs and about \$6 million in economic transition funds for the community of the Colstrip. So they need to start saving that money and being fiscally responsible in terms of getting ready for these clean-up costs. I would love to see it if if, you know, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does not. Included is health costs associated with smoking the product. These health costs are real and significant but they are borne not only by the smoker but by everyone else as well in the form of higher insurance premiums, lost work productivity and so on. These are what the economists call negative extranalities or costs associated with the product not reflected in the price. The problem economists tell us is that negative extranalities distort the marketplace. They move capital towards products that only appear to be less expensive but, in fact, are actually more expensive if all the effects are included. Let me give another very brief example: Let's say that I'm a local restaurant owner, and that I generate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there. I understand that Avista has not publicly indicated whether or not they set have begun setting aside money for clean-up costs at the Colstrip plant, and, you know, looking at the calculations from Puget Sound Energy, they set aside some money, and so if you slice and dice that, it looks like Avista would be on the hook for at least \$100 million in clean-up costs and about \$6 million in economic transition funds for the community of the Colstrip. So they need to start saving that money and being fiscally responsible in terms of getting ready for these clean-up costs. I would love to see it if if, you know, the low-income communities wouldn't have to bear the burden	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does not. Included is health costs associated with smoking the product. These health costs are real and significant but they are borne not only by the smoker but by everyone else as well in the form of higher insurance premiums, lost work productivity and so on. These are what the economists call negative extranalities or costs associated with the product not reflected in the price. The problem economists tell us is that negative extranalities distort the marketplace. They move capital towards products that only appear to be less expensive but, in fact, are actually more expensive if all the effects are included. Let me give another very brief example: Let's say that I'm a local restaurant owner, and that I generate various forms of waste. I can't exactly dump that waste
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there. I understand that Avista has not publicly indicated whether or not they set have begun setting aside money for clean-up costs at the Colstrip plant, and, you know, looking at the calculations from Puget Sound Energy, they set aside some money, and so if you slice and dice that, it looks like Avista would be on the hook for at least \$100 million in clean-up costs and about \$6 million in economic transition funds for the community of the Colstrip. So they need to start saving that money and being fiscally responsible in terms of getting ready for these clean-up costs. I would love to see it if if, you know, the low-income communities wouldn't have to bear the burden of those low-income costs, but I know we have to figure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does not. Included is health costs associated with smoking the product. These health costs are real and significant but they are borne not only by the smoker but by everyone else as well in the form of higher insurance premiums, lost work productivity and so on. These are what the economists call negative extranalities or costs associated with the product not reflected in the price. The problem economists tell us is that negative extranalities distort the marketplace. They move capital towards products that only appear to be less expensive but, in fact, are actually more expensive if all the effects are included. Let me give another very brief example: Let's say that I'm a local restaurant owner, and that I generate various forms of waste. I can't exactly dump that waste in the curb or in the street. I have to pay a company to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there. I understand that Avista has not publicly indicated whether or not they set have begun setting aside money for clean-up costs at the Colstrip plant, and, you know, looking at the calculations from Puget Sound Energy, they set aside some money, and so if you slice and dice that, it looks like Avista would be on the hook for at least \$100 million in clean-up costs and about \$6 million in economic transition funds for the community of the Colstrip. So they need to start saving that money and being fiscally responsible in terms of getting ready for these clean-up costs. I would love to see it if if, you know, the low-income communities wouldn't have to bear the burden of those low-income costs, but I know we have to figure out how to do it fairly. It would be great if the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does not. Included is health costs associated with smoking the product. These health costs are real and significant but they are borne not only by the smoker but by everyone else as well in the form of higher insurance premiums, lost work productivity and so on. These are what the economists call negative extranalities or costs associated with the product not reflected in the price. The problem economists tell us is that negative extranalities distort the marketplace. They move capital towards products that only appear to be less expensive but, in fact, are actually more expensive if all the effects are included. Let me give another very brief example: Let's say that I'm a local restaurant owner, and that I generate various forms of waste. I can't exactly dump that waste in the curb or in the street. I have to pay a company to haul it away, and this is reflected in the price as I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there. I understand that Avista has not publicly indicated whether or not they set have begun setting aside money for clean-up costs at the Colstrip plant, and, you know, looking at the calculations from Puget Sound Energy, they set aside some money, and so if you slice and dice that, it looks like Avista would be on the hook for at least \$100 million in clean-up costs and about \$6 million in economic transition funds for the community of the Colstrip. So they need to start saving that money and being fiscally responsible in terms of getting ready for these clean-up costs. I would love to see it if if, you know, the low-income communities wouldn't have to bear the burden of those low-income costs, but I know we have to figure out how to do it fairly. It would be great if the shareholders wanted to take all of those costs, or maybe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does not. Included is health costs associated with smoking the product. These health costs are real and significant but they are borne not only by the smoker but by everyone else as well in the form of higher insurance premiums, lost work productivity and so on. These are what the economists call negative extranalities or costs associated with the product not reflected in the price. The problem economists tell us is that negative extranalities distort the marketplace. They move capital towards products that only appear to be less expensive but, in fact, are actually more expensive if all the effects are included. Let me give another very brief example: Let's say that I'm a local restaurant owner, and that I generate various forms of waste. I can't exactly dump that waste in the curb or in the street. I have to pay a company to haul it away, and this is reflected in the price as I charge my customers. But this is, in fact, what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there. I understand that Avista has not publicly indicated whether or not they set have begun setting aside money for clean-up costs at the Colstrip plant, and, you know, looking at the calculations from Puget Sound Energy, they set aside some money, and so if you slice and dice that, it looks like Avista would be on the hook for at least \$100 million in clean-up costs and about \$6 million in economic transition funds for the community of the Colstrip. So they need to start saving that money and being fiscally responsible in terms of getting ready for these clean-up costs. I would love to see it if if, you know, the low-income communities wouldn't have to bear the burden of those low-income costs, but I know we have to figure out how to do it fairly. It would be great if the shareholders wanted to take all of those costs, or maybe we could you know, Avista could figure out how to, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does not. Included is health costs associated with smoking the product. These health costs are real and significant but they are borne not only by the smoker but by everyone else as well in the form of higher insurance premiums, lost work productivity and so on. These are what the economists call negative extranalities or costs associated with the product not reflected in the price. The problem economists tell us is that negative extranalities distort the marketplace. They move capital towards products that only appear to be less expensive but, in fact, are actually more expensive if all the effects are included. Let me give another very brief example: Let's say that I'm a local restaurant owner, and that I generate various forms of waste. I can't exactly dump that waste in the curb or in the street. I have to pay a company to haul it away, and this is reflected in the price as I charge my customers. But this is, in fact, what utilities and fossil fuel companies are doing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there. I understand that Avista has not publicly indicated whether or not they set have begun setting aside money for clean-up costs at the Colstrip plant, and, you know, looking at the calculations from Puget Sound Energy, they set aside some money, and so if you slice and dice that, it looks like Avista would be on the hook for at least \$100 million in clean-up costs and about \$6 million in economic transition funds for the community of the Colstrip. So they need to start saving that money and being fiscally responsible in terms of getting ready for these clean-up costs. I would love to see it if if, you know, the low-income communities wouldn't have to bear the burden of those low-income costs, but I know we have to figure out how to do it fairly. It would be great if the shareholders wanted to take all of those costs, or maybe we could you know, Avista could figure out how to, you know, magically make the the cleanup cheaper. I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does not. Included is health costs associated with smoking the product. These health costs are real and significant but they are borne not only by the smoker but by everyone else as well in the form of higher insurance premiums, lost work productivity and so on. These are what the economists call negative extranalities or costs associated with the product not reflected in the price. The problem economists tell us is that negative extranalities distort the marketplace. They move capital towards products that only appear to be less expensive but, in fact, are actually more expensive if all the effects are included. Let me give another very brief example: Let's say that I'm a local restaurant owner, and that I generate various forms of waste. I can't exactly dump that waste in the curb or in the street. I have to pay a company to haul it away, and this is reflected in the price as I charge my customers. But this is, in fact, what utilities and fossil fuel companies are doing. Electricity for fossil fuels such as coal and natural gas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	things like that. But, however, these folks want to be responsible stewards of the planet, if they know the best ways to do that. And I don't want them to shoulder with the potential impacts from climate changes through potential costs associated with cleaning up the mess in Colstrip, Montana for the coal plant out there. I understand that Avista has not publicly indicated whether or not they set have begun setting aside money for clean-up costs at the Colstrip plant, and, you know, looking at the calculations from Puget Sound Energy, they set aside some money, and so if you slice and dice that, it looks like Avista would be on the hook for at least \$100 million in clean-up costs and about \$6 million in economic transition funds for the community of the Colstrip. So they need to start saving that money and being fiscally responsible in terms of getting ready for these clean-up costs. I would love to see it if if, you know, the low-income communities wouldn't have to bear the burden of those low-income costs, but I know we have to figure out how to do it fairly. It would be great if the shareholders wanted to take all of those costs, or maybe we could you know, Avista could figure out how to, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Classic example of negative extranalities is cigarettes. The price of a package of cigarettes could reflect the cost of growing, manufacturing, selling the product and the intended profit, but the price often does not. Included is health costs associated with smoking the product. These health costs are real and significant but they are borne not only by the smoker but by everyone else as well in the form of higher insurance premiums, lost work productivity and so on. These are what the economists call negative extranalities or costs associated with the product not reflected in the price. The problem economists tell us is that negative extranalities distort the marketplace. They move capital towards products that only appear to be less expensive but, in fact, are actually more expensive if all the effects are included. Let me give another very brief example: Let's say that I'm a local restaurant owner, and that I generate various forms of waste. I can't exactly dump that waste in the curb or in the street. I have to pay a company to haul it away, and this is reflected in the price as I charge my customers. But this is, in fact, what utilities and fossil fuel companies are doing.

15 (Pages 80 to 83)

		1	
	Page 84		Page 86
1	the waste products of their business, such as carbon	1	thank you for coming out. I guess you didn't have to,
2	dioxide and methane, into the atmosphere for free, at	2	but thank you for coming over to our side of the state to
3	least for a little while longer.	3	do your job. Awesome. I know everybody loves Avista. I
4	How does this relate to Avista's rate case? The	4	got a bag with the food giveaway. It had the Avista logo
5	problem with Avista's rate case is based on the distorted	5	on it. Who doesn't love a free bag, right? They do
6	marketplace in which the negative extranalities	6	great work. We all love them.
7	associated with this product are not sufficiently	7	\$22,000 a year. I didn't even make that a year
8	reflected in the price paid for a kilowatt hour of	8	working at the Spokane Housing Authority implementing
9	electricity or a therm of natural gas.	9	giving vouchers to low-income homeless people. About
10	What are the negative extranalities associated with	10	22,000 a day. Okay. I bet you can tell, I'm not one of
11	Avista's fuel mix? Since approximately half of its fuel	11	the 1 percent. I know it. Now I'm retired, I get social
12	mix comes from fossil fuels, the negative extranalities	12	security. Get 700 a month. I got a letter. My check is
13	include air and water pollution that cause mortality and	13	going up \$2. \$250 a month to heat my house.
14	morbidity rates. The greenhouse gases that contribute to	14	Now, I know SNAP. Thank you SNAP. Without SNAP, I
15	climate change that destroy our forest, increasing	15	don't think I would be alive today. With that energy
16	drought, damaging our crops, causing species extinction,	16	assistance, LIHEAP, low-income housing energy assistance
17	warming seas and ocean acidification that harms the	17	program, LIHEAP are awesome, but we can't as a society
18	shellfish in our oceans causing rising seas and	18	rely on customers and charity. We want Avista to step up
19	inundating our coastlines, creating larger, more	19	and do right. What do I have to do? I have a house,
20	destructive storms destroying entire cities.	20	low-income house that was built back in the '20s. No
21	All of these phenomena are associated with very	21	insulation. You know, upstairs, I just hang rugs over
22	really identifiable, quantifiable costs. They are real	22	the door. I have blankets over my windows, and when it
23	costs and they are borne by all of us. It's a grave	23	gets really cold, I just close out my laundry room and
24	injustice to these costs that are disproportionately	24	pray that my washer don't freeze. We need we love
25	borne by the poorest people in our community and in the	25	Avista, right? They give help to people, they even
	Page 85		Page 87
1		1	
1 2	world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're	1	Page 87 put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric
	world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us.		put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric
2	world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us. The problem I submit is that these costs are not	2	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they
2 3	world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us. The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when	2 3	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric
2 3 4	world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us. The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when we reflect on whether our utility is delivering	2 3 4	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help.
2 3 4 5	world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us. The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when	2 3 4 5	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help. I'm not going to get a brand-new furnace in a house
2 3 4 5 6	world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us. The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when we reflect on whether our utility is delivering electricity at the lowest reasonable costs, the only responsible answer is no. We must have the courage to	2 3 4 5 6	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help.
2 3 4 5 6 7	world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us. The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when we reflect on whether our utility is delivering electricity at the lowest reasonable costs, the only	2 3 4 5 6 7	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help. I'm not going to get a brand-new furnace in a house instead of baseboard heat. The only option I have is to turn it up and turn it down.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us. The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when we reflect on whether our utility is delivering electricity at the lowest reasonable costs, the only responsible answer is no. We must have the courage to consider the full cost of generating electricity from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help. I'm not going to get a brand-new furnace in a house instead of baseboard heat. The only option I have is to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us. The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when we reflect on whether our utility is delivering electricity at the lowest reasonable costs, the only responsible answer is no. We must have the courage to consider the full cost of generating electricity from fossil fuels. I encourage you to take a more holistic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help. I'm not going to get a brand-new furnace in a house instead of baseboard heat. The only option I have is to turn it up and turn it down. What do renters do? We have these thinly made poor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us. The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when we reflect on whether our utility is delivering electricity at the lowest reasonable costs, the only responsible answer is no. We must have the courage to consider the full cost of generating electricity from fossil fuels. I encourage you to take a more holistic approach and examine all of the costs associated with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help. I'm not going to get a brand-new furnace in a house instead of baseboard heat. The only option I have is to turn it up and turn it down. What do renters do? We have these thinly made poor houses, and I saw it firsthand when I worked at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us. The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when we reflect on whether our utility is delivering electricity at the lowest reasonable costs, the only responsible answer is no. We must have the courage to consider the full cost of generating electricity from fossil fuels. I encourage you to take a more holistic approach and examine all of the costs associated with electricity generation. If we do this, you will find we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help. I'm not going to get a brand-new furnace in a house instead of baseboard heat. The only option I have is to turn it up and turn it down. What do renters do? We have these thinly made poor houses, and I saw it firsthand when I worked at the housing authority. I feel like I'm speaking to thousands
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us. The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when we reflect on whether our utility is delivering electricity at the lowest reasonable costs, the only responsible answer is no. We must have the courage to consider the full cost of generating electricity from fossil fuels. I encourage you to take a more holistic approach and examine all of the costs associated with electricity generation. If we do this, you will find we truly cannot afford electricity generated from fossil	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help. I'm not going to get a brand-new furnace in a house instead of baseboard heat. The only option I have is to turn it up and turn it down. What do renters do? We have these thinly made poor houses, and I saw it firsthand when I worked at the housing authority. I feel like I'm speaking to thousands of seniors. I want to know if Avista raises their rate,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us. The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when we reflect on whether our utility is delivering electricity at the lowest reasonable costs, the only responsible answer is no. We must have the courage to consider the full cost of generating electricity from fossil fuels. I encourage you to take a more holistic approach and examine all of the costs associated with electricity generation. If we do this, you will find we truly cannot afford electricity generated from fossil fuels.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help. I'm not going to get a brand-new furnace in a house instead of baseboard heat. The only option I have is to turn it up and turn it down. What do renters do? We have these thinly made poor houses, and I saw it firsthand when I worked at the housing authority. I feel like I'm speaking to thousands of seniors. I want to know if Avista raises their rate, how many seniors are going to turn off their respirator,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us. The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when we reflect on whether our utility is delivering electricity at the lowest reasonable costs, the only responsible answer is no. We must have the courage to consider the full cost of generating electricity from fossil fuels. I encourage you to take a more holistic approach and examine all of the costs associated with electricity generation. If we do this, you will find we truly cannot afford electricity generated from fossil fuels. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help. I'm not going to get a brand-new furnace in a house instead of baseboard heat. The only option I have is to turn it up and turn it down. What do renters do? We have these thinly made poor houses, and I saw it firsthand when I worked at the housing authority. I feel like I'm speaking to thousands of seniors. I want to know if Avista raises their rate, how many seniors are going to turn off their respirator, turn off their oxygen machine? Are they going to take a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us. The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when we reflect on whether our utility is delivering electricity at the lowest reasonable costs, the only responsible answer is no. We must have the courage to consider the full cost of generating electricity from fossil fuels. I encourage you to take a more holistic approach and examine all of the costs associated with electricity generation. If we do this, you will find we truly cannot afford electricity generated from fossil fuels. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much, Doctor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help. I'm not going to get a brand-new furnace in a house instead of baseboard heat. The only option I have is to turn it up and turn it down. What do renters do? We have these thinly made poor houses, and I saw it firsthand when I worked at the housing authority. I feel like I'm speaking to thousands of seniors. I want to know if Avista raises their rate, how many seniors are going to turn off their respirator, turn off their oxygen machine? Are they going to take a bath like I do in a cold bathroom because I can't afford
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us.</li> <li>The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when we reflect on whether our utility is delivering electricity at the lowest reasonable costs, the only responsible answer is no. We must have the courage to consider the full cost of generating electricity from fossil fuels. I encourage you to take a more holistic approach and examine all of the costs associated with electricity generation. If we do this, you will find we truly cannot afford electricity generated from fossil fuels.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much, Doctor. Okay. Bonnie Roberts, are you here? Ms. Roberts, I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help. I'm not going to get a brand-new furnace in a house instead of baseboard heat. The only option I have is to turn it up and turn it down. What do renters do? We have these thinly made poor houses, and I saw it firsthand when I worked at the housing authority. I feel like I'm speaking to thousands of seniors. I want to know if Avista raises their rate, how many seniors are going to turn off their respirator, turn off their oxygen machine? Are they going to take a bath like I do in a cold bathroom because I can't afford to heat the whole house. This is real, people, this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us.</li> <li>The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when we reflect on whether our utility is delivering electricity at the lowest reasonable costs, the only responsible answer is no. We must have the courage to consider the full cost of generating electricity from fossil fuels. I encourage you to take a more holistic approach and examine all of the costs associated with electricity generation. If we do this, you will find we truly cannot afford electricity generated from fossil fuels.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much, Doctor. Okay. Bonnie Roberts, are you here? Ms. Roberts, I need to swear you in as well.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help. I'm not going to get a brand-new furnace in a house instead of baseboard heat. The only option I have is to turn it up and turn it down. What do renters do? We have these thinly made poor houses, and I saw it firsthand when I worked at the housing authority. I feel like I'm speaking to thousands of seniors. I want to know if Avista raises their rate, how many seniors are going to turn off their respirator, turn off their oxygen machine? Are they going to take a bath like I do in a cold bathroom because I can't afford to heat the whole house. This is real, people, this is real. And I think Avista is real nice to give me a bag
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us.</li> <li>The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when we reflect on whether our utility is delivering electricity at the lowest reasonable costs, the only responsible answer is no. We must have the courage to consider the full cost of generating electricity from fossil fuels. I encourage you to take a more holistic approach and examine all of the costs associated with electricity generation. If we do this, you will find we truly cannot afford electricity generated from fossil fuels.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much, Doctor. Okay. Bonnie Roberts, are you here? Ms. Roberts, I need to swear you in as well.</li> <li>MS. ROBERTS: I will assume the position.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help. I'm not going to get a brand-new furnace in a house instead of baseboard heat. The only option I have is to turn it up and turn it down. What do renters do? We have these thinly made poor houses, and I saw it firsthand when I worked at the housing authority. I feel like I'm speaking to thousands of seniors. I want to know if Avista raises their rate, how many seniors are going to turn off their respirator, turn off their oxygen machine? Are they going to take a bath like I do in a cold bathroom because I can't afford to heat the whole house. This is real, people, this is real. And I think Avista is real nice to give me a bag with a logo, but I want you to lower my rate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us.</li> <li>The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when we reflect on whether our utility is delivering electricity at the lowest reasonable costs, the only responsible answer is no. We must have the courage to consider the full cost of generating electricity from fossil fuels. I encourage you to take a more holistic approach and examine all of the costs associated with electricity generation. If we do this, you will find we truly cannot afford electricity generated from fossil fuels.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much, Doctor. Okay. Bonnie Roberts, are you here? Ms. Roberts, I need to swear you in as well.</li> <li>MS. ROBERTS: I will assume the position.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you swear to tell the truth,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help. I'm not going to get a brand-new furnace in a house instead of baseboard heat. The only option I have is to turn it up and turn it down. What do renters do? We have these thinly made poor houses, and I saw it firsthand when I worked at the housing authority. I feel like I'm speaking to thousands of seniors. I want to know if Avista raises their rate, how many seniors are going to turn off their respirator, turn off their oxygen machine? Are they going to take a bath like I do in a cold bathroom because I can't afford to heat the whole house. This is real, people, this is real. And I think Avista is real nice to give me a bag with a logo, but I want you to lower my rate. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us.</li> <li>The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when we reflect on whether our utility is delivering electricity at the lowest reasonable costs, the only responsible answer is no. We must have the courage to consider the full cost of generating electricity from fossil fuels. I encourage you to take a more holistic approach and examine all of the costs associated with electricity generation. If we do this, you will find we truly cannot afford electricity generated from fossil fuels.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much, Doctor. Okay. Bonnie Roberts, are you here? Ms. Roberts, I need to swear you in as well.</li> <li>MS. ROBERTS: I will assume the position. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help. I'm not going to get a brand-new furnace in a house instead of baseboard heat. The only option I have is to turn it up and turn it down. What do renters do? We have these thinly made poor houses, and I saw it firsthand when I worked at the housing authority. I feel like I'm speaking to thousands of seniors. I want to know if Avista raises their rate, how many seniors are going to turn off their respirator, turn off their oxygen machine? Are they going to take a bath like I do in a cold bathroom because I can't afford to heat the whole house. This is real, people, this is real. And I think Avista is real nice to give me a bag with a logo, but I want you to lower my rate. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Ms. Roberts.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us.</li> <li>The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when we reflect on whether our utility is delivering electricity at the lowest reasonable costs, the only responsible answer is no. We must have the courage to consider the full cost of generating electricity from fossil fuels. I encourage you to take a more holistic approach and examine all of the costs associated with electricity generation. If we do this, you will find we truly cannot afford electricity generated from fossil fuels.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much, Doctor. Okay. Bonnie Roberts, are you here? Ms. Roberts, I need to swear you in as well.</li> <li>MS. ROBERTS: I will assume the position.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?</li> <li>MS. ROBERTS: I do so swear.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help. I'm not going to get a brand-new furnace in a house instead of baseboard heat. The only option I have is to turn it up and turn it down. What do renters do? We have these thinly made poor houses, and I saw it firsthand when I worked at the housing authority. I feel like I'm speaking to thousands of seniors. I want to know if Avista raises their rate, how many seniors are going to turn off their respirator, turn off their oxygen machine? Are they going to take a bath like I do in a cold bathroom because I can't afford to heat the whole house. This is real, people, this is real. And I think Avista is real nice to give me a bag with a logo, but I want you to lower my rate. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Ms. Roberts. That according to my sign-in sheet, that brings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us.</li> <li>The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when we reflect on whether our utility is delivering electricity at the lowest reasonable costs, the only responsible answer is no. We must have the courage to consider the full cost of generating electricity from fossil fuels. I encourage you to take a more holistic approach and examine all of the costs associated with electricity generation. If we do this, you will find we truly cannot afford electricity generated from fossil fuels.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much, Doctor. Okay. Bonnie Roberts, are you here? Ms. Roberts, I need to swear you in as well.</li> <li>MS. ROBERTS: I will assume the position.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?</li> <li>MS. ROBERTS: I do so swear.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much. Proceed.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help. I'm not going to get a brand-new furnace in a house instead of baseboard heat. The only option I have is to turn it up and turn it down. What do renters do? We have these thinly made poor houses, and I saw it firsthand when I worked at the housing authority. I feel like I'm speaking to thousands of seniors. I want to know if Avista raises their rate, how many seniors are going to turn off their respirator, turn off their oxygen machine? Are they going to take a bath like I do in a cold bathroom because I can't afford to heat the whole house. This is real, people, this is real. And I think Avista is real nice to give me a bag with a logo, but I want you to lower my rate. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Ms. Roberts. That according to my sign-in sheet, that brings us to the end of the evening. Is there anybody in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>world. And all of these costs are very real, and they're borne by all of us.</li> <li>The problem I submit is that these costs are not reflected in the prices we pay in our utility. So when we reflect on whether our utility is delivering electricity at the lowest reasonable costs, the only responsible answer is no. We must have the courage to consider the full cost of generating electricity from fossil fuels. I encourage you to take a more holistic approach and examine all of the costs associated with electricity generation. If we do this, you will find we truly cannot afford electricity generated from fossil fuels.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much, Doctor.</li> <li>Okay. Bonnie Roberts, are you here? Ms. Roberts, I need to swear you in as well.</li> <li>MS. ROBERTS: I will assume the position.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?</li> <li>MS. ROBERTS: I do so swear.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much. Proceed.</li> <li>MS. ROBERTS: I thank everybody tonight. I had a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	put replenish their furnace, get it up to heating. I don't have forced-air heat. All I have is an electric baseboard heat. And when you don't own your home, they just help the landlord. So the low-income renters, seniors like me have nowhere to go. We don't get help. I'm not going to get a brand-new furnace in a house instead of baseboard heat. The only option I have is to turn it up and turn it down. What do renters do? We have these thinly made poor houses, and I saw it firsthand when I worked at the housing authority. I feel like I'm speaking to thousands of seniors. I want to know if Avista raises their rate, how many seniors are going to turn off their respirator, turn off their oxygen machine? Are they going to take a bath like I do in a cold bathroom because I can't afford to heat the whole house. This is real, people, this is real. And I think Avista is real nice to give me a bag with a logo, but I want you to lower my rate. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Ms. Roberts. That according to my sign-in sheet, that brings us to the end of the evening. Is there anybody in the room who has a comment to make and not signed up on the

16 (Pages 84 to 87)

	Page 88
1	thank everybody for the opportunity to hear your comments
2	tonight. I really have appreciated everybody coming out.
3	I appreciate everybody preparing comments. I thought
4	that we heard a lot of useful information.
5	So if you have a desire to expand on your remarks or
6	to provide written testimony or for people you know that
7	wanted to come out tonight and couldn't come out tonight,
8 9	I would ask you to consider submitting comments in writing, and you can do that by e-mail at
10	comments@utc.wa.gov. You can also mail them at the
11	Washington UTC at PO Box 47250, Olympia, Washington
12	98584. You can also call the UTC, if you like, by
13	calling 1(888) 333-WUTC.
14	So that's the end of the evening. Thank you again
15	for coming out, and we will be moving ahead with this
16	rate case. We will be looking over the testimony. We
17	will be having our hearings, and we will be coming out
18	with an order in due course. So thank you very much. We
19	are now adjourned.
20 21	(Proceedings concluded at 7:45 p.m.)
21 22	
22	
24	
25	
	Page 89
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON ) ) ss.
	COUNTY OF SPOKANE )
4 5	
6	I, RACHAEL L. HALL, do hereby certify that
7	pursuant to the Rules of Civil Procedure, that I
8	transcribed the oral proceedings in the foregoing matter;
9	and that the foregoing transcript pages constitute a
10	full, true and correct record of such oral proceedings
	and of the whole thereof.
11	
12	Witness my hand this 21st day of November, 2017
	Witness my hand this 21st day of November, 2017
12 13 14 15	Witness my hand this 21st day of November, 2017
12 13 14	Witness my hand this 21st day of November, 2017
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Witness my hand this 21st day of November, 2017
12 13 14 15 16 17	Witness my hand this 21st day of November, 2017
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	RACHAEL LOUISE HALL
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	RACHAEL LOUISE HALL
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	RACHAEL LOUISE HALL
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	RACHAEL LOUISE HALL

17 (Pages 88 to 89)

				Page 90
A	advantage 32:23	<b>ALTC</b> 43:10	78:10 80:9 82:8	assisted-living 75:8
<b>AARP</b> 68:7	34:8	alternative 58:19	88:3	assistive 27:7
abhorous 62:16	advertising 33:2	ameliorate 77:16	appreciated 88:2	associated 81:5
<b>able</b> 43:20 66:25	advise 41:1	America 36:3	approach 85:10	82:24 83:5,11
<b>absorb</b> 74:20	advisory 34:7	American 75:9	approaching 50:6	84:7,10,21 85:10
<b>absurd</b> 35:23	advocate 54:21	AmeriCorps 80:12	79:17	<b>assume</b> 33:4 85:18
<b>academic</b> 73:20	advocates 27:21,21	amount 35:24 49:5	approval 30:23	Assuming 39:14
accelerate 70:12	aeration 70:12	49:23 66:3 75:22	approved 26:18	asthma 78:1
accelerated 71:2	Affairs 25:12	80:22	30:19 34:4 37:7	atmosphere 84:2
accept 33:16	affect 55:12	amounts 67:4	82:21	atrocious 50:25
accepting 76:24	affirmatively 29:15	amused 31:21	approving 45:21	attendance 28:25
access 43:15	affirms 32:5	Analyst 25:5	approximately 37:1	attorney 25:18,19
accommodate 45:7	<b>afford</b> 40:20 68:21	and/or 48:6 63:16	39:9 46:19 49:24	28:10,11,13,14
account 59:19	73:12 85:12 87:15	ANDREW 25:5	50:6 79:1 84:11	35:6 41:10 49:7
75:24	affordable 43:8	<b>Ann</b> 25:4 29:9	aquifers 49:20	attorneys 71:9
accountant 67:11	after-school 80:13	announced 32:11	Arby's 78:25	audience 69:3
acidification 84:17	afternoon 54:18	<b>annual</b> 36:4,5 38:4	<b>area</b> 41:21 46:20	<b>August</b> 66:1
acknowledged	76:22 77:25	39:14,17,18 40:17	47:25 49:7 52:11	authority 63:3 86:8
69:15	age 47:14 48:15	41:7 42:21 43:24	55:7 67:8 71:23	87:11
Act 27:15	73:8 75:11	annually 36:21	74:18 75:10 77:24	authorized 32:16
action 41:19,21	agency 41:21 46:18	39:15 40:21 47:2	<b>Armikka</b> 28:14	72:18
activity 82:24	agent 58:2	answer 56:8 85:7	arrearage 44:9	autonomy 66:3
actual 42:23	aggressively 79:7	answers 55:3	arrived 76:9	available 57:24
add 49:6 50:25	aging 29:25 43:10	anthropogenic	article 30:7 65:23	Ave 25:13
added 67:24,25	46:14 62:23 65:8	60:15 61:25	articles 32:4	avenue 25:19 44:3
<b>addition</b> 44:6	67:25 68:14 75:6	anticipate 71:3	<b>ash</b> 49:18,22 69:21	45:24
additional 37:1,13	75:14	Antoine 58:24,25	72:19,21 77:22	average 26:20 27:1
37:20,20 38:17	ago 37:11 42:25	<b>anybody</b> 31:12	aside 49:12 72:23	43:23 73:21 74:1
40:17 43:20	45:22 60:21 66:15	87:22	81:8,11	Avista 24:7,8 25:10
Additionally 45:2	66:20	<b>anymore</b> 78:21	asked 37:25 64:4	25:12 26:11,13
address 49:12	agree 41:3 53:13	Anyway 66:20	64:15,19,20	27:5,18 28:17,23
82:18	67:14	apart 31:2	asking 31:22 47:12	29:22,24 30:8,13
adjacent 49:19	agreement 59:25	apartment 43:8	64:20,21 65:3,8	30:15,21,23 31:6
adjourned 88:19	65:20	Apparently 34:6	65:18,18	31:9 32:23,24,24
adjudication 28:6	agreements 36:12	appear 83:14,25	<b>asks</b> 30:21	33:4 35:10,11,16
adjudicative 27:12	<b>ahead</b> 50:19 61:18	APPEARANCES	asserted 71:16	35:23 36:1,5,7,17
adjustment 30:16	88:15	25:1	<b>asset</b> 62:24	36:20,22 37:13,23
36:23	<b>aid</b> 68:5,6	appears 34:1	assets 55:21 56:6	38:3,5,10,14,19
adjustments 30:18	aimed 41:24	<b>apply</b> 56:3	57:14,16,20	38:20,20 39:1,4,6
administrative	<b>air</b> 60:22 61:2 62:7	appointment 42:23	assistance 29:2	39:7,12,18,23
27:15 49:17	77:25 78:1 84:13	42:24	41:22 42:2,12,22	40:9,11,18,20,24
admittedly 82:22	<b>alive</b> 86:15	appointments 43:1	43:1,16 76:1	42:18 44:7 47:2,6
adults 46:16 47:12	<b>allowed</b> 45:22	43:15	86:16,16	49:6,12 55:7
47:18,19,20,23,24	<b>allowing</b> 35:6 75:3	appreciate 45:13	Assistant 25:18	56:22 60:13,19
advance 31:8	75:15 76:2	46:5 48:19 51:22	28:11,13	62:12 63:9,12,21
	-	-	-	-

	I	I	I	
63:23 64:4,9,15	bathroom 87:15	<b>body</b> 70:1,3	calculation 73:5	central 70:11 80:16
64:19,21,24 65:3	<b>batten</b> 61:10	<b>Bonnie</b> 85:16	calculations 81:10	<b>cents</b> 64:11
65:11,11,11,18,20	battery 55:5	<b>borne</b> 37:6 40:22	California 61:17	<b>CEO</b> 35:16 36:5,7
65:23,25 66:2,7	<b>bear</b> 69:9 81:19	83:7 84:23,25	call 70:19,20 72:3	36:17,20 37:25
66:15 67:7,12	bears 36:6 57:13	85:2	83:10 88:12	38:15,18 39:7,15
69:2,3 72:2 74:10	<b>beast</b> 61:11	Boston 34:3	called 46:22 55:19	39:19,24 40:3,10
74:21 77:6,18,20	becoming 70:24	Box 25:7,13 88:11	70:18 71:18	40:11 41:5,18
78:2,4,16,17,19	74:4	Brad 48:24 49:1	calling 88:13	59:18
78:20 79:12,14	<b>beg</b> 40:17	69:21	Camp 74:7,8	certainly 36:21
80:12 81:7,12,23	beginning 37:15	brakes 62:8	Canada 31:24	40:21
82:12,16,19 86:3	73:17	brand-new 87:6	Canadian 33:24	certify 89:5
86:4,18,25 87:12	<b>begun</b> 49:17 81:8	break 39:13 43:5	65:24	cetera 40:1
87:17	<b>behalf</b> 46:23 80:10	Brian 82:10,11	candidates 66:24	chair 29:7
Avista's 32:19 33:2	<b>believe</b> 39:21 41:3	brief 83:17	67:2	<b>Chairman</b> 25:2,3
37:3,4 38:8 39:6	46:25 62:20	briefly 82:18	cap 55:23	26:5 28:19 29:5
42:21 46:1 60:13	<b>believed</b> 63:14	bring 46:23 60:15	capabilities 35:15	29:16 33:7,13,19
65:8 76:20 77:3	<b>benefit</b> 32:3 33:1	bringing 64:6	capability 38:2	34:20 35:2 37:10
84:4,5,11	54:9	brings 64:12 87:21	<b>capital</b> 27:6,7	40:5 41:13,16
aware 30:9 77:23	<b>best</b> 81:2	<b>brink</b> 62:17	56:13 63:15 72:8	46:11 48:18,23
78:2	<b>bet</b> 86:10	<b>British</b> 60:23	83:13	50:10,15,18 51:17
<b>awesome</b> 86:3,17	<b>better</b> 41:19 79:8	broad 52:15	captive 69:3	51:19 58:22 60:9
	beyond 56:14 57:15	<b>Bryant</b> 28:14	<b>carbon</b> 61:20 73:25	63:5 69:12 74:6
B	71:22	<b>budget</b> 30:12 47:3	84:1	75:1 76:4,8,12,17
<b>baby</b> 40:1	<b>big</b> 53:18 54:23	67:11	care 43:8 46:15	76:24 78:10 79:21
back 31:21 40:15	74:5	<b>build</b> 74:3	51:3 75:6	80:2,7 82:9 85:15
43:18 56:24 58:20	<b>bill</b> 26:20 27:1	<b>built</b> 86:20	carefully 71:21	85:19,22 87:20
70:10,11 79:7	30:24 31:4 32:13	<b>bunch</b> 71:11	<b>cares</b> 55:24	challenge 54:8
86:20	43:24 45:17 51:2	burden 81:19	caring 67:22	challenging 35:15
backward 54:23	51:19 60:2 65:2	<b>burn</b> 80:25	case 27:22 33:17	<b>change</b> 32:15 42:12
<b>bad</b> 74:14	66:18 68:2 79:13	business 65:15	49:3 52:1,11,20	49:14 52:15 57:17
<b>bag</b> 86:4,5 87:17	<b>billing</b> 29:2 30:7,20	78:23 84:1	53:9 54:10,22	60:14,15 61:19,25
<b>balance</b> 61:23	31:2	businesses 32:24	55:2,12,21 56:3	62:3 73:8 80:25
66:12 72:5	<b>billion</b> 66:1 69:6	67:16,18	57:6,9,11 58:3	82:6 84:15
<b>Balasbas</b> 25:4 29:8	73:2	<b>busy</b> 42:11	72:25 84:4,5	changes 30:14
41:17	billion-dollar 33:3	<b>buy</b> 40:1,16 50:24	88:16	54:23 81:4
<b>bare</b> 75:21	33:5	55:9 65:10 68:19	cases 27:14,14	changing 52:18
baseboard 87:3,7	bills 26:19 32:9,9	68:19 78:3	71:10	<b>Chanter</b> 63:6,7
<b>based</b> 84:5	68:15,18	buying 65:25	Casey 25:17 28:11	69:12
baseline 53:7	biosphere 62:17	<b>buys</b> 65:13	<b>cause</b> 84:13	charge 26:16 45:15
<b>basic</b> 26:16 47:21	<b>bit</b> 32:4 54:17 59:3	<b>Bylenga</b> 79:22,24	causing 79:16	45:18 64:7,12,13
64:7,12,17,21	60:7 66:13 68:5	80:6,8,10	84:16,18	83:22
65:16	<b>blame</b> 59:7,8		<b>CCR</b> 24:24	charges 45:15
basically 63:18	blankets 86:22	<u> </u>	<b>Census</b> 78:23	<b>charity</b> 86:18
<b>basics</b> 68:16	<b>board</b> 40:24 66:2	<b>C</b> 89:1,1	<b>cent</b> 64:5	<b>chart</b> 31:12,16
<b>basis</b> 34:16	<b>Bob</b> 50:11	<b>Cain</b> 34:25 35:2	center 46:9	<b>Chattaroy</b> 50:13,14
<b>bath</b> 87:15		40:7		
	1	1	<u> </u>	1

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

<b></b>				1 496 52
<b>cheap</b> 72:22	<b>close</b> 42:8,25 45:19	80:8 82:14 86:1,2	83:23	48:22 77:3 82:15
cheaper 81:24	66:1 70:2,25 71:3	88:2,15,17	company 27:18	<b>concluded</b> 88:20
check 51:4 86:12	86:23	<b>commend</b> 35:14	28:16,21,24,25	concrete 60:19
chief 25:11 35:19	<b>closed</b> 63:22,23	<b>comment</b> 28:7 49:3	30:9 33:14 38:8	62:15
children 67:22	70:5,6,19	60:12 76:25 87:23	53:6,9 54:4 56:4	<b>conditions</b> 48:6
<b>China</b> 79:6	<b>closely</b> 68:7 71:6	87:24	57:9,13,20,25	60:24
<b>choice</b> 52:17 55:12	<b>closure</b> 49:10 71:15	<b>comments</b> 24:14	58:16 59:25 65:6	confusing 65:22
67:2 68:15 69:9	coal 49:18 59:9	26:8 29:10 74:11		<b>consent</b> 49:17
			65:9,13,13,24	conservation 43:13
choices 45:11 54:24	60:1 62:22,23	76:25 78:9 88:1,3	67:6 69:6 79:1	
<b>cholera</b> 61:14	63:3 69:21,25	88:8	83:20	<b>conserve</b> 45:16
<b>choose</b> 48:11 55:8	72:19 74:3,4,4	comments@utc	<b>company's</b> 44:14	<b>consider</b> 39:21 47:7
<b>Chris</b> 28:11	77:22 78:21,24	88:10	52:22	48:13 52:25 53:17
Christmas 79:19	79:2,16,19 80:25	commercial 51:7	<b>compare</b> 36:1,10	54:7,14,22 57:5
CHRISTOPHER	81:6,25 82:2	51:12	78:25	58:6 78:6,7 85:8
25:17	83:24	Commission 24:2,4	compares 44:24	88:8
chronic 48:5	coal-by-wire 60:19	25:2,6 26:7,11	comparing 72:14	considerate 59:3,24
cigarettes 83:2,2	coalition 43:9	27:11,17,19 28:9	compensation	60:7
circulation 62:8	coastlines 84:19	28:20 29:7 35:5	35:18,22 36:19	consideration
<b>cities</b> 84:20	<b>COLA</b> 31:13	36:24 37:9 40:12	37:4,23 38:4,6,9	34:19
citizen 59:1	<b>cold</b> 86:23 87:15	41:10 47:5 57:22	38:11 39:14 40:19	considered 51:7,11
<b>citizens</b> 36:17	collaborative 42:6	64:8 67:9 68:24	41:6	79:20
61:15	colleagues 45:10	75:24	competition 55:10	considering 53:3
<b>city</b> 68:6	<b>Colstrip</b> 49:2,4,10	<b>Commissioner</b> 25:4	55:18,22,24 57:17	considers 47:6
<b>civil</b> 61:20 73:1	49:13 54:17,18	25:4 29:8,9 35:3	Complainant 24:5	consolidating 79:6
89:6	58:5 70:1,24 71:7	41:17,17	complaint 35:10	constitute 89:8
clarify 33:17 64:3	72:21,24 74:13,14	Commissioners	complementary	consultant 38:7
<b>classes</b> 43:13	74:22 77:4,12,16	25:2 48:25 51:21	46:2	40:25
Classic 83:1	77:18,22 78:18,19	79:24 80:8	complete 42:20	consumer 27:21
clay-lined 72:21	79:14 81:6,9,15	commitment 60:19	67:10	54:24
<b>clean</b> 60:17 61:13	Colstrip's 77:14	62:15 74:13	completed 54:10	contain 49:22
63:2 73:3 77:8	Columbia 60:23	committee 37:24	completely 61:11	contaminated
78:22 79:4,13	combination 55:5	38:1 46:21	complex 52:6	49:18,22 50:2
<b>clean-up</b> 81:9,13	come 29:19 33:10	committees 66:25	complexes 43:9	contamination
81:17	34:23 44:7 46:6	67:4	compliance 27:15	49:20 79:15 82:5
cleaning 81:5 82:4	51:19 58:20 62:11	communications	70:19,20	context 52:12,25
cleanup 81:24	65:20 72:9 76:8	38:5	<b>comply</b> 33:23	53:17 54:4,12
<b>clear</b> 35:9 70:24	79:22 82:10 88:7	communities 46:21	composed 46:20	56:19 58:3,11
clearly 28:8 57:13	88:7	81:19	composts 78:15	continually 42:16
clients 43:14 45:5	<b>comes</b> 76:2 84:12	community 41:21	computer 38:22	82:2
48:4	coming 31:24 34:5	44:19,23 45:9	concern 54:19,20	<b>continue</b> 42:12
<b>cliff</b> 62:3,3 85:24	34:20 46:8 48:19	46:3,7,9 59:13,23	61:4,21 72:6	43:7 77:4,10
climate 60:14,15	51:22 53:3 55:11	68:14 80:16 81:14	concerned 44:17	<b>continued</b> 44:12
61:25 62:3 73:8	56:25 58:11,22	84:25	51:1 58:5 59:1	61:16
73:17 74:5 80:25	60:11 74:9 76:4	companies 33:1	60:14,14 72:13	continues 37:19
81:4 82:6 84:15	76:19 78:13,21	55:17,17,25 65:14	<b>concerns</b> 46:24	48:16
01.1 02.0 01.13	/0.12 /0.13,21	00.17,17,20 00.17		10,10
	l	l	l	I

		-		
continuing 37:18	88:18	damages 58:16,18	<b>defense</b> 36:15	dioxide 61:20 73:25
77:18	<b>court</b> 28:6 41:14	damaging 84:16	deficit 80:22	84:2
<b>contrast</b> 47:17	crawl 51:15,16	dangerous 60:16	definitely 51:24	<b>dire</b> 61:18
contribute 31:2	created 45:24	61:9	delivering 85:5	directing 77:7
84:14	creating 84:19	Danner 25:3 26:5	delivery 44:12,15	directors 40:24
<b>control</b> 32:25 40:20	credit 66:5,9,11	28:19 29:5,7,16	45:23	66:2
67:10	crops 84:16	33:7,13,19 34:20	demographic 48:16	<b>directs</b> 29:1
<b>convert</b> 70:10	<b>cross</b> 65:6	37:10 40:5 41:13	<b>denied</b> 31:17	dirty 78:21
converted 82:5	crosses 65:7	41:16 46:11 48:18	<b>Dennis</b> 28:22	disabilities 46:16
converting 69:22	<b>CRR</b> 89:20	48:23 50:10,15,18	deny 34:11	48:7
<b>Cook</b> 24:17	<b>CSR</b> 89:20	51:17,19 58:22	Department 49:16	<b>disabled</b> 43:19 44:4
coordinator 68:5		60:9 63:5 69:12	dependability	<b>disagree</b> 46:5
copy 38:1 41:8	Culstrip 82:5 culture 58:12	74:6 75:1 76:4,8	35:13	disapprove 40:17
1.		,	· -	disaster 74:13
<b>corporation</b> 24:7 25:12 59:14	<b>curb</b> 83:20	76:12,17,24 78:10	depends 38:20	
	<b>curious</b> 31:5	79:21 80:2,7 82:9	<b>deployment</b> 36:16	disastrous 77:10
<b>correct</b> 76:10 89:9	<b>current</b> 33:17	85:15,19,22 87:20	<b>describe</b> 72:5 82:23	discharge 69:25
<b>corrupted</b> 72:25,25	35:11,16,17,19	dark 68:18	description 38:1	70:4
<b>cost</b> 27:9 30:5,10	37:11,23	<b>Dave</b> 29:7	<b>desert</b> 70:2	disparity 47:18
30:15,16,18 31:14	currently 50:8	<b>David</b> 25:3,11	deserves 58:3,5	65:12
36:23 43:25 44:2	64:22	28:20 74:7	<b>desire</b> 88:5	disproportionately
44:2 50:6 56:13	customer 29:22	david.meyer@av	destroy 84:15	84:24
56:13 72:5,10	35:9 52:6,17	25:15	destroying 84:20	disruption 62:7
75:13,17 82:20,24	54:13 78:20	<b>day</b> 39:19,24 40:3,9	destruct 61:22	distort 83:13
83:3 85:8	customers 26:20	42:21,22,23,23	destructive 61:9	distorted 84:5
costing 75:16	27:1,21 30:21	49:25 86:10 89:12	84:20	<b>divest</b> 78:19
<b>costs</b> 56:6,23 57:24	31:1 33:23,23	<b>days</b> 39:4 43:2	detail 52:4	<b>divide</b> 39:17
72:15 73:5 81:5,9	37:3,7 38:10,13	60:21	details 57:2	dividends 63:18
81:13,17,20,22	38:16 39:22 40:15	<b>deal</b> 61:7,8 66:7	determination	64:1 69:5
82:3,6 83:5,6,10	40:23 42:15 43:19	71:1 75:16	73:16	docket 24:4 33:15
84:22,23,24 85:1	43:19,21,23 45:11	dealing 68:9	determinations	dockets 26:9 56:21
85:3,6,10	54:9 55:7,23	<b>deals</b> 27:12	72:2	<b>Doctor</b> 85:15
<b>council</b> 46:20,22,23	64:25 65:4 66:8	<b>debt</b> 33:3,5 71:2	determine 38:11	<b>document</b> 49:21,24
46:24,25 75:5	67:7 69:8 83:22	<b>decade</b> 61:24 62:1	determined 49:9	<b>doing</b> 52:20 77:10
<b>Counsel</b> 25:11,16	86:18	decades 72:8	determines 37:25	80:17 82:21 83:23
25:18 28:12 33:14	customers' 30:22	decision 27:25 28:4	<b>devices</b> 38:23	<b>dollar</b> 64:17
<b>country</b> 71:10	<b>cut</b> 45:17 51:13	52:21 73:4	dice 81:11	dollars 42:5 62:24
<b>county</b> 41:22 43:22	59:21	decision-making	<b>died</b> 61:17	73:3
44:22 45:3 46:18	<b>cuts</b> 47:3,3	27:25	difference 65:8	donations 30:21
49:1 61:2 78:16	cutting 40:15 79:7	decisions 33:20	different 46:2	67:6
89:3		48:10 53:1 59:4	61:11 65:14 72:9	<b>door</b> 86:22
<b>couple</b> 42:14 52:1		72:1	75:25	<b>Doug</b> 69:13
55:13,18 80:12	<b>d/b/a</b> 24:7	decreased 43:24	difficult 41:2 45:6	downgrading 49:21
<b>couples</b> 47:16,18	daily 34:16 36:18	dedicated 41:5	45:11 47:20	<b>DQ</b> 49:11
courage 85:7	<b>dam</b> 30:1	<b>deep</b> 62:7	<b>digits</b> 45:6	<b>Dr</b> 82:11
<b>course</b> 49:1 61:19	damage 77:8,16,21	deeply 60:14	diluted 62:5,5	drilled 50:3
	77:24			
	1	1	1	

				1 age 54
drilling 50:7	effective 44:5	42:2,12,22 43:1	83:1,17	72:7 78:22
drinking 72:24	effectiveness 42:17	43:13,15 59:10	exceed 35:22	
drive 25:6 63:19	effects 82:7 83:16	67:13,14 69:2	excellent 35:25	F
drives 72:1	efficiency 30:5	72:20 78:21,22	39:1	<b>F</b> 89:1
drought 84:16	42:17 58:9 67:14	79:4,13 81:10	excessive 35:18	face 45:11 49:14
<b>Druffel</b> 76:6,7,9,11	67:23 72:3,14	86:15,16	exchange 63:13	faced 54:8 55:17
76:16,19 77:2	73:5	engage 42:15	executive 35:19	faces 58:12
dry 70:1	<b>efficient</b> 67:21	ensure 70:6	38:9	facilitate 74:15
due 60:22 67:7	effort 42:16 43:18	entire 84:20	executives 36:11	facilities 27:6 75:8
88:18	efforts 42:7 44:13	entity 33:22	38:11 40:20	facility 49:10
dump 83:19,25	eight 39:20 43:1	environmental	<b>exhaustively</b> 73:19	facing 71:2
duplicated 42:3	either 41:25 68:19	27:20 49:16 79:7	exist 31:19	fact 71:12 83:15,22
duties 41:6	elder 47:8,10	79:15 80:17,21	existing 49:22	<b>factored</b> 30:11
duty 67:12	-		existing 49.22 exit 74:13	factors 31:6
dwarfed 61:4	<b>elderly</b> 34:17 47:24 <b>electric</b> 26:12,14,17	82:13 85:24	expand 43:7 88:5	<b>factual</b> 31:10
uwarreu 01:4		equal 39:12	-	<b>fair</b> 32:11 40:13,13
Ε	31:15 32:8,13	equipment 63:16	<b>expect</b> 66:1 <b>expected</b> 30:10	46:9 66:3
E 25:13 89:1,1	43:23 45:7,8 47:2	equipped 27:6	-	fairly 42:5 60:22
<b>e-mail</b> 88:9	51:2 68:2 87:2 electrical 47:4	equipping 41:25	35:21 36:25 37:5	81:21
earlier 53:12 69:19		equivalent 37:5 39:8	<b>expenditures</b> 69:18	<b>fall</b> 44:8 47:10
77:25	electricity 27:9		70:23 71:5 72:8 72:18	<b>falling</b> 62:6
early 43:14 72:19	30:15 33:24 37:15	especially 79:3		<b>familiar</b> 37:17
earn 45:5	43:24 51:12 60:18	essential 35:12	expense 71:7	families 68:9
easily 41:4	62:22 77:4,12,15	essentially 70:2	expenses 27:8	famous 39:1
east 82:15	78:3,7 82:19	et 40:1	47:22 71:15,18	far 50:25 68:4
Eastern 44:20	83:24 84:9 85:6,8	<b>ethical</b> 77:11 78:6	<b>expensive</b> 83:14,15	farm 78:3
46:15	85:11,12	euphemistic 82:23	83:25	fascinating 54:25
<b>echo</b> 74:10	eliminated 63:4	evacuation 61:3	experienced 41:4	fast-food 79:1
ecological 61:23	Elizabeth 78:12	evaluation 56:7	<b>explain</b> 38:2 65:5	<b>father</b> 61:2
economic 36:13	eloquent 57:14	<b>evaluator</b> 43:22	explained 37:11	<b>fear</b> 77:20
47:8,15 72:7	emblematic 62:16	evaporation 70:12	<b>explore</b> 44:15	fed 62:5
81:14 85:24	<b>emerge</b> 48:16	<b>evening</b> 26:5 29:20	expressed 48:22	federal 30:8 44:22
economically 45:10	emissions 61:22	34:21 35:1,2,8	61:21	45:1 62:25
economics 43:22	emphasis 75:6	41:16 46:13 48:25	expressing 45:19	<b>feedback</b> 62:4
47:11	employed 78:24	51:20,21 63:7	expression 42:9	feel 28:2 34:14
economist 56:10	79:1	82:11 87:22 88:14	extent 49:8,20 69:4	55:15 67:3,5
economists 82:23	employee 35:20	Evergreen 25:6	external 38:5	87:11
83:10,12	36:7 39:12 40:21	43:22	extinction 84:16	<b>fees</b> 30:24
,	employees 35:14	everybody 59:22	<b>extra</b> 78:5 80:20	<b>Ferry</b> 43:21 46:17
economy 79:3	36:19 65:16	85:23 86:3 88:1,2	extracurricular	Fifth 25:19
<b>edge</b> 62:2 <b>Edition</b> 79:5	encapsulates 74:25	88:3	80:20	
educate 43:16	encourage 73:10	evolve 42:12	extranalities 82:22	<b>fight</b> 74:5
educate 43:16 education 36:15	85:9	exactly 83:19	83:1,10,13 84:6	<b>figure</b> 56:15 68:3
43:13 80:23	endorsed 43:18	<b>examine</b> 85:10	84:10,12	81:20,23
	endurance 69:16	example 49:15	extreme 38:2	figuring 54:8
	01 - 11 0-			
<b>educational</b> 80:18 <b>effect</b> 26:15 34:5	<b>energy</b> 31:7 41:22	59:18 67:20 72:16	extremely 38:4	<b>file</b> 68:8 <b>filed</b> 26:11 37:12

Tage 55	1	1	1	1
<b>fill</b> 48:11	41:15 46:6 51:20	gasoline 37:14	40:5 48:21 51:13	ground 63:2
finally 26:22 27:2	71:6 76:8 79:23	general 25:18,19	51:13 52:3 53:5	groundwater 70:7
79:17	82:10	28:10,11,14 47:1	55:19,22 56:5,21	groundwaters
find 31:9 42:14	fossil 62:16 63:1	47:6 49:7 52:8	59:12 60:1,2 64:1	49:19
51:15 52:23 66:25	83:23,24 84:12	General's 28:13	65:23 66:11 67:9	group 37:24 69:1
78:17 85:11	85:9,12	35:6 41:10	68:20 69:6,18	76:10
<b>fine</b> 74:16	found 71:9,9	generally 27:12,22	70:24 71:3,4,22	groups 27:20
fire 62:22 63:3	four 37:23 43:12	generate 83:18	72:11 73:15,16	grow 42:15
firm 41:1 72:20	66:14,20	generated 85:12	74:11 75:12,13	growing 67:13
74:13	frame 53:16 72:14	generating 85:8	76:21 77:20 79:2	70:21 83:3
first 26:18 29:6	73:11	generation 27:6	80:23 82:3 86:13	grows 70:8
33:8 35:3 42:20	framing 52:12	52:16 54:24 55:6	87:6,13,14,25,25	growth 27:7
58:13 64:9	free 68:8,10 84:2	55:8,11,21 85:11	Gonzaga 82:14	gubernatorial 67:1
firsthand 87:10	86:5	generations 62:10	good 26:5 29:19	guess 54:16 55:4
fiscally 81:16	<b>freeze</b> 86:24	82:4	35:2 41:16 43:4	57:1,4,7 58:6,17
<b>five</b> 39:16 42:18	front-load 56:6	generously 31:2	45:20 46:13 48:25	86:1
fixed 43:20 45:15	frontier 72:3,14	gentleman 59:19	51:20,21 58:18	
45:15,17 68:12	73:5	getting 43:16 79:19	63:7 69:7 79:20	H
flier 63:10 66:10	fuel 83:23 84:11,11	81:16	82:11	half 32:18 66:14,20
<b>fliers</b> 64:24	<b>fuels</b> 62:16 63:1	giant 38:22	government 32:17	84:11
Florida 61:3	78:17 83:24 84:12	<b>Gillis</b> 51:19,20,21	32:18 73:20	hall 24:24 79:25
fluctuation 31:7	85:9,13	give 34:18 37:4	Governmental	89:5,19
<b>focus</b> 56:21	<b>full</b> 39:24 40:2 85:8	66:5 67:4 68:4,10	25:12	Hancock 53:22
focused 45:22	89:9	69:23 71:17 72:15	governors 32:19	hand 29:11 76:13
folks 65:19 80:24	<b>fund</b> 79:13	78:14 83:17 86:25	grade 56:15	80:3 89:12
81:1	funding 38:21,21	87:17	gradient 50:4	handicapped 34:18
followed 26:23	45:21,25	giveaway 86:4	granted 47:2,5	75:20
following 47:7	<b>funds</b> 49:12 81:14	given 29:25 30:2,4	74:23	handwriting 50:11
<b>follows</b> 26:19	<b>furnace</b> 87:1,6	30:6 34:7 47:1	graph 31:10,11	hang 86:21
food 40:16 60:17	<b>future</b> 32:15 37:19	49:8 53:16 54:14	grateful 42:13	happened 32:21
68:16,19 86:4	49:12 62:21 63:2	66:22,23 67:1	44:16	42:25 56:2 70:13
foot 60:2 68:2	63:18 73:14 82:3	gives 52:9	gratitude 42:9	happening 55:25
force 50:21		giving 60:12 63:16	45:19,20	happens 56:11
forced-air 87:2	G	86:9	grave 84:23	59:15
forego 66:17	gallons 49:24,25	global 62:7 73:23	great 30:23 45:24	hard 40:24 42:14
foregoing 89:7,8	garage 51:6,11	73:24	67:21 75:16 81:21	55:1 62:8 81:25
foreign 33:22	gargantuan 60:23	<b>go</b> 32:3 34:4 47:21	86:6	hardships 38:12
forest 84:15	gas 26:13,14,17,25	50:19 51:15 55:8	greatly 78:17	<b>harm</b> 77:10
form 31:11,12	30:15 31:15 32:9	64:1 66:7,13 68:3	greed 68:24	harmed 53:10
63:17 78:6 83:8	33:24 37:14 44:1	68:4 69:16 87:5	greedy 51:14	harmful 62:22
formed 53:6 54:4	44:2 45:8 47:2,5	goal 73:9	green 31:15	harming 77:15
forms 83:19	51:2,9 72:12 73:8	goalpost 70:20	greenhouse 61:22	harms 84:17
forth 46:23 56:8	73:16,18 74:2,3,4	goarpost 70.20 goes 56:24	84:14	hatches 61:10
<b>fortitude</b> 82:17	74:4 83:24 84:9	going 26:15 28:7	grew 61:6	haul 83:21
forward 29:19 32:3	gases 61:20,22	33:7,11 34:21	groceries 40:1	hazardous 60:22,22
101 watu 27.17 32.3	84:14	55.7,11 54.21	510001103 40.1	health 36:14 43:8
		l	l	

				Faye 90
48:5 61:14 62:10	holding 43:12	33:5,6,15,21 34:9	<b>increase</b> 26:8,12,12	infrastructure 30:1
75:21 82:6 83:5,6	hole 51:15	34:13,22 65:25,25	26:16,24 30:17	36:15 65:9
healthy 46:3	holiday 79:17	66:5	31:14 32:9 36:24	<b>initial</b> 45:7
hear 27:14,23 28:1	holistic 85:9	hydroelectric 78:9	37:4,5,19,20,21	injustice 84:24
28:1,8 76:20 88:1	home 47:10 67:21		40:22 42:5,8	innovating 46:7
heard 54:16 72:4	67:23 68:2 87:3	I	44:18 45:7 47:1,6	innovation 44:13
79:10 88:4	homeless 43:9 86:9	ice 61:8 62:6	48:1,2,8 54:15,22	45:23
hearing 24:14	homes 47:14 67:16	<b>Idaho</b> 30:20 64:3,8	62:12,14 63:25	innovative 42:7
33:16 34:22,24	75:7,7	64:20,22,22 65:5	64:4,5,10,11,17	insanity 41:3
55:7 74:9 82:15	<b>HoneKamp</b> 41:15	65:11,17 66:24	64:19 65:1,8	insist 63:3
hearings 88:17	41:16,18	idea 30:23 82:22	66:10,15 68:21	Inskeep 79:5
heat 40:15 48:8	honor 35:8	identifiable 84:22	74:12,16,20,23	insofar 34:11
67:25 86:13 87:2	Honorable 37:10	identified 46:24	75:16 79:13 82:20	instability 60:16
87:3,7,16	41:9	<b>II</b> 24:13	increased 63:24	insufficient 31:18
heat-trapping	hood 56:12	imagine 77:11	69:5	insulation 67:24
61:19	hook 81:12	impact 26:19 44:17	<b>increases</b> 26:14,19	86:21
heating 42:10	hope 42:6 74:5 79:8	48:9,11,14 79:3	26:23,25 27:3,5,9	insurance 38:24
48:12 67:25 87:1	hopefully 44:8	impacts 48:8 55:1	27:12 31:18 37:14	83:8
heavy 49:23	65:19 69:16	73:17 81:4 82:6	38:17 40:18 45:6	intended 83:4
heck 51:10	hoping 65:21	implementing 86:8	47:1,5,24 48:2	intense 71:17
held 42:24	<b>horror</b> 61:16	important 27:25	60:13,18 65:12	interest 52:17
hell 51:1	hour 40:10,11 41:2	43:11 52:11,25	67:8 68:1 76:21	interesting 40:4
<b>Hello</b> 60:11 79:24	41:6 84:8	53:4,7 54:7 56:18	79:9	interests 52:2
help 30:25 43:10	hour's 40:10	56:23 57:9,12,21	increasing 65:2	international 36:12
68:7,10 69:10	hourly 40:8	78:22 79:10	84:15	interpretation
86:25 87:4,5	hours 26:21 39:20	imposed 38:12	independent 38:6	69:23
helps 43:14	house 39:25 40:16	impressed 52:4	<b>index</b> 47:11	interrupt 33:7
<b>Henlin 5</b> 0:11	51:2,9 67:2 86:13	impressive 82:16	indicated 81:7	intervenors 27:20
Henning 82:10,11	86:19,20 87:6,16	improve 42:17	indicator 44:19	<b>introduce</b> 28:17,22
82:12	household 45:2	improvements	individual 37:6	29:6 82:21
Heritage 50:13,17	households 41:25	44:12	38:7 39:9 41:1	introduction 32:8
50:17,18,20 51:18	42:3,22 44:4,21	inaudible 42:1	individual's 36:1	inundating 84:19
Herzog 29:19,21,21	45:16	inches 67:24 70:3	individuals 28:22	invested 39:9
33:12,18,21	houses 67:18 87:10	incidentally 32:17	37:17 41:24 69:1	investing 39:9
high-level 57:1	housing 86:8,16	<b>include</b> 30:13 37:13	industrial 27:20	investment 39:3,6
high-voltage 38:24	87:11	58:2 84:13	industry 52:15,18	74:14 77:17,19
higher 44:4 64:21	Howell 69:13,14	included 30:20,24	55:15 73:20 78:25	78:22
76:1 83:8	hubris 62:16	83:5,16	79:2	investments 27:6,8
highest 61:1	huge 47:18 79:3	income 43:20 44:4	inelegant 82:23	72:11 73:11
highlight 44:13	human 61:22	44:9 45:2,6 47:20	inevitability 70:25	investors 38:19,19
highly 36:2 41:4	<b>Hurricane</b> 61:4,5	47:23 48:5 68:8,9	inflated 38:4	38:20 39:8,11
historically 54:18	hurricanes 61:8	68:11 74:19	inform 28:4	59:11
history 35:13	husband 61:6	incomes 47:10,13	information 29:23	involved 34:12
hit 62:8 71:24	<b>Hydro</b> 31:23 32:10	68:12	32:6,22 47:11	58:10
hold 27:23 63:12	32:11,14,18,19	incontrovertible	54:6,7 57:23 88:4	<b>Irma</b> 61:4
		61:24	2.1.0,7 27.20 00.1	
			Į	

i ugo or				
irresponsible 35:18	<b>kinds</b> 48:10	leaking 49:23 72:22	84:3	74:19
<b>IRS</b> 68:7	kitchen 62:18	leaks 49:25	live 29:21 44:22,25	low-income 27:21
<b>island</b> 61:13	know 28:3 31:6	<b>learn</b> 73:17	46:21 48:6 50:13	30:22,25 31:3
issue 71:2	43:17 48:4,7,10	<b>leave</b> 63:1	50:22 75:12	41:24,25 42:3
issues 29:2,2 56:22	51:24 52:7,21	<b>leaves</b> 68:14	lives 44:23 75:10	43:19 45:11,16
75:21 80:21	53:13,25 54:11,13	led 71:15	living 31:14 46:16	74:18,19,22 80:23
items 38:25	55:2 56:11,25	left 29:8	46:17 47:9,12,14	81:19,20 86:9,16
	57:5,10 58:15	legacy 55:21 56:6	47:19 75:21	86:20 87:4
J	59:2,4,5,6,6,9,10	57:14,16 62:24	local 39:2 47:10	lower 65:21 68:9
<b>J</b> 25:11	59:13,14,14,16,20	length 58:19	66:3 77:8 83:18	87:18
Jace 79:22 80:10	59:21 60:5 67:10	<b>let's</b> 29:16 72:21,23	logo 86:4 87:18	lowest 82:19 85:6
<b>January</b> 58:17	67:14 68:3 69:3	83:17	long 51:3 53:13	lump 79:19
63:21	70:8,19 73:25	LeTellier 48:20	58:8,13 61:18	
<b>Jason</b> 28:24	76:24 77:1,2,14	letter 37:22 86:12	72:10	M
<b>Jay</b> 25:4 29:8	80:11,21 81:2,9	level 52:4 61:1 76:1	<b>long-term</b> 43:10	MAC 60:11
<b>Jean</b> 75:2	81:18,20,23,24,25	leveraging 38:17	46:14 75:6	machine 87:14
<b>job</b> 35:25 38:1	82:7 86:3,11,14	liability 45:14	longer 75:12,13	Mack 34:25
54:25 59:15 86:3	86:21 87:12 88:6	70:21	84:3	<b>MacMullan</b> 60:10
<b>jobs</b> 59:7,8 78:25	knowing 80:24	life 75:15	look 31:16 41:13	74:11
79:4	knowingly 67:7	life-extending	48:13,14 55:4	<b>Madam</b> 35:2
<b>joint</b> 46:3	<b>known</b> 41:19	71:20	56:12,23 59:6	made-in-Washin
judges 27:13	knows 68:17	light 48:8	67:9 69:10 71:6	62:19
<b>Julie</b> 41:15,18		<b>LIHEAP</b> 86:16,17	72:8	magically 81:24
<b>jump</b> 57:4	L	likelihood 72:22	looking 52:13	magnitude 69:20
jumped 53:21	L 24:24 89:5	<b>limit</b> 37:15	55:16,20 56:5	mail 88:10
54:12	<b>L-I-R-A-P</b> 30:24	limited 45:12 52:17	57:15,16 59:9,11	mailed 37:22
justify 38:3,18	lack 47:13 48:2	Lincoln 43:21	59:12 73:14 75:10	maintain 45:13,15
<b>jut</b> 73:17	<b>lady</b> 66:17	<b>line</b> 31:14,15 42:24	81:10 88:16	maintained 44:20
	lags 45:9	44:22,24 45:1	looks 50:11 69:7	maintenance 71:8
K	<b>Lake</b> 68:6	75:10,19	81:12	71:11,12,16,19,19
Kathy 48:20	landlord 87:4	lines 38:24 62:15	looming 47:3	major 54:19 77:24
keep 31:9 39:25	<b>large</b> 67:4	65:6 67:15	loop 70:5,7,19	majority 45:4
40:16 46:7 47:23	larger 63:17,18	link 74:12	<b>looting</b> 34:10	making 40:8 59:4
69:10 75:6	84:19	LIRAP 30:24 43:3	lost 83:9	62:15
keeping 82:2	lastly 43:17 46:8	43:4 45:25	lot 46:18 51:10	man 39:20 40:14
kicked 53:24	lasts 73:8	list 29:18 32:20	52:8 54:1,20 55:3	manage 48:5 57:21
<b>kids</b> 61:1 80:11,14	launch 44:8	listened 59:1	55:14 59:5 60:5	management 44:10
80:18	<b>laundry</b> 86:23	<b>listening</b> 34:14 63:8	75:12 80:18,19	46:22,25 75:5
kilowatt 26:21 84:8	law 31:20 32:1	<b>lists</b> 32:24	82:1 88:4	manager 38:5,9
<b>kilowatts</b> 64:25	<b>lawsuit</b> 71:12	literally 60:25	Louise 62:2 89:19	managing 37:24
<b>kind</b> 29:12 43:14	<b>lawyer</b> 28:20	literature 73:18	<b>Lovato</b> 46:12,13,13	mandatory 61:3
52:14 53:16,18	<b>LE</b> 48:21	<b>lithium</b> 82:7	love 55:8 81:18	manufacturing
54:5,10 55:15	<b>lead</b> 63:2	little 38:12 44:4	86:5,6,24	83:3
56:24 57:2,4,19	<b>leak</b> 72:23	53:19,25 59:3	<b>loves</b> 86:3	Margaret 29:19,21
59:25 Vindom 75:2.2	leakage 73:22 74:2	60:7 66:13 68:1	<b>low</b> 45:15 48:5	<b>Maria</b> 61:5,10
<b>Kindem</b> 75:2,3	leaked 49:19 72:25			<b>market</b> 27:10
	I	I	1	1

				Page 98
marketplace 83:13	methods 44:15	month 32:9,10 51:8	54:6 62:24 68:23	November 24:15
84:6	Meyer 25:11 28:19	64:9,25 65:2	68:23 69:8 71:20	26:1,5 63:22
<b>massive</b> 70:21	28:20 29:5	86:12,13	74:17 75:13 76:1	89:12
materials 30:7	microphone 51:25	monthly 26:16,20	80:19 81:15 85:17	NPR 79:5
matter 89:7	mid 31:24 34:5	27:1 30:20,24	86:24	number 28:15
matters 65:22	middle 68:9 80:11	31:3 45:15 64:6	needed 27:5 38:21	29:17 42:3,15
meals 50:21	<b>mile</b> 49:21	64:11	needs 47:13,21	43:12 45:21 50:5
mean 39:5 52:5	miles 38:23 65:17	months 34:23	48:12 67:13	numbers 64:13
53:13 54:1,24	<b>milk</b> 40:1	60:21 63:24	negative 82:22 83:1	nursing 75:7
55:3,4 56:20 58:8	million 35:20,20,21	morbidity 84:14	83:10,12 84:6,10	
58:13 59:3,6,7,17	35:22 36:16,17,21	morning 34:25	84:12	0
59:21 60:4,5,6	37:1,6 40:21 63:9	79:5	negotiation 56:14	o'clock 69:16
61:9	65:4 66:6 72:23	Morrison 31:8	Neighborhood	<b>object</b> 77:9
means 27:13,16	73:1 81:13,13	mortality 84:13	41:19	obligate 33:23
39:7 53:8 63:23	<b>millions</b> 62:24	<b>move</b> 70:15 74:21	neighborhoods	obscene 38:3
66:8 69:24 80:25	mine 78:19 79:16	83:13	80:14,15	observation 69:4
meant 62:13	mines 79:6	movement 52:16	neighbors 52:3	observations 57:2
measure 71:20	<b>minimum</b> 59:7,8	55:9	56:22 57:3	obtain 41:4
median 45:2	60:6 64:6 75:21	moving 54:4,24	net 52:16	obviously 27:18
medical 38:24 68:6	minor 66:23 67:5	70:20 88:15	neurological 61:25	45:11 55:23
85:25	minute 31:21 49:24	MSC-27 25:13	<b>never</b> 71:13	occasional 61:7
<b>medicine</b> 68:16,19	63:12	MULLAN 60:11	new 35:23 37:11,12	occur 30:10
meet 47:13 48:12	minutes 37:11	multi-day 27:22	42:15 43:18 44:3	ocean 84:17
62:25	missed 69:20	multi-year 42:8	44:7,15 50:3	oceans 84:18
meeting 26:6	mission 25:13 46:1	44:17 45:21,25	52:23 53:1 54:5	<b>October</b> 42:10
meetings 66:14	mitigating 31:6	multiple 42:18 79:4	58:12,12 67:15,15	oddly 32:10
members 28:19	mix 42:4 78:8 84:11		67:16,23 72:11	offering 68:10
35:4,5 37:23	84:12	N	74:4	office 25:19 28:13
40:12 41:9 57:25	<b>model</b> 72:3,6,17	name 41:17 48:25	new-source 71:13	35:6 41:10
mental 43:8 75:20	73:7	50:16 58:25 80:9	newby 51:25	officer 35:19
mentioned 32:8	<b>modest</b> 47:17	82:11	newly 53:6 54:4,4	<b>offset</b> 48:2
53:23 58:1 65:24	moments 46:4	nation's 79:3	news 28:3 43:11	<b>oh</b> 28:10 31:20
66:22,23	money 51:10 59:12	national 36:13 74:1	45:20	<b>oil</b> 60:2
merge 53:6	60:4 67:4 69:8	78:8	newspaper 39:2	okay 28:10 29:17
merger 31:20 32:1	71:21 75:16,22	nations 36:13	nice 87:17	48:20 60:7 63:25
32:3 33:2,3 34:4,6	81:8,11,15 82:1	nationwide 78:24	night 40:16 53:20	64:24 66:12 67:16
52:14 53:3 54:10	monitored 50:1	natural 26:12,14,17	nine 63:24	67:19 68:3,24,25
55:20 58:11	monitoring 50:3	26:25 32:9 37:14	nonprofit 41:21	71:1 74:7 75:2
mess 73:3 81:5	69:22 70:8,9,10	43:25 44:2 47:5	Normally 66:16	85:16 86:10 87:25
met 66:21	70:14,15	83:24 84:9	north 24:17 78:16	<b>old</b> 50:23
metals 49:23	monopoly 55:17	nature 49:8	<b>northwest</b> 67:3	<b>older</b> 46:16 47:9,12
meter 51:6,6,7,8,9	<b>Montana</b> 49:2,2,4	nearly 41:24 47:2	<b>NOS</b> 24:4	47:18,19,20,23
51:11,12	49:11,15 60:23	necessary 38:25	note 78:2	Olympia 25:7
<b>methane</b> 62:6	65:7 77:5 78:20	need 28:1 29:11	noted 49:9,21,23	27:23 88:11
73:22,24 84:2	81:6	30:10 32:2 40:22	Notes 85:24	once 40:11 57:7
, <i>J.22,2</i> + 0 <b>+</b> .2	01.0	43:2 45:13 47:13		65:9 71:4
	l		l	I

<b>One's</b> 32:18	<b>p.m</b> 24:16,16 26:2	40:21,25 41:1	86:11	34:11,12,18 41:9
one-third 37:5,6	88:20	51:1,12 56:15	percentage 44:9	50:16 63:3 73:6
<b>One/Avista</b> 34:13	<b>P.O</b> 25:7,13	59:21 62:20,22,23	Perennially 30:13	76:8,12
ones 67:23 77:21	<b>PAC</b> 66:25 67:4	64:19,20,21,22	<b>perform</b> 41:5 67:12	<b>plume</b> 70:8
online 30:4	pace 47:24	65:15,19 68:17	performance 27:7	<b>PO</b> 88:11
<b>Ontario</b> 31:24	package 35:22	72:24 79:11 83:20	35:15,25	point 53:12 69:19
32:12,16,17	36:19 41:6 83:2	85:4	period 68:13,22	69:20 71:19 73:3
opened 42:24 44:3	packet 35:19 39:14	payer 60:13 82:12	permafrost 62:7	73:7
66:17	pages 89:8	payers 33:4 34:9	personal 68:5,8,11	poles 38:23
operating 27:8	paid 30:18 31:3	57:18 64:21 71:23	personally 62:18	political 60:15
operation 50:7	35:20 37:3,25	74:18,19	67:20 79:11	66:24 67:6
operationalize 56:9	38:15,18 59:19	paying 35:23 39:7	personnel 38:24	pollution 61:2 70:9
operations 36:18	67:17 84:8	51:8 56:23 57:18	persons 79:2	70:14 78:1 84:13
opinion 75:4	pains 67:21	59:8,15 68:15,15	perspective 76:20	<b>pond</b> 70:11
opportunities	Palouse 78:3	68:16 77:21 78:5	petition 52:22	<b>ponds</b> 49:19,22
55:20	panels 62:20	payment 30:4 33:2	Phasing 79:2	50:1 69:21 72:19
opportunity 41:11	paper 32:20 65:23	44:9	phenomena 84:21	72:21 77:23
46:5 56:16 60:12	66:2	<b>pays</b> 36:5,7 38:10	philosophy 82:13	<b>pools</b> 62:5
63:8 71:14 75:4	paragraphs 40:7	39:19 40:10,11	<b>picture</b> 53:18 54:23	<b>poor</b> 68:25 87:9
88:1	<b>Park</b> 25:6	64:23	piece 54:7 71:25	poorest 80:14,15
<b>option</b> 53:14 54:21	part 27:25 28:5	<b>peers</b> 59:2	pillaging 34:10	84:25
87:7	49:6 54:9,22	penalty 73:1	<b>pilot</b> 43:18 44:3,5,8	populace 48:9
<b>oral</b> 89:7,9	56:19 58:3 68:6	<b>Pend</b> 46:17	44:10	population 44:25
order 49:17 57:10	74:17 75:25 78:8	people 29:17 31:1	place 81:25	48:13 75:9,23
66:7 78:6 88:18	participating 43:23	34:15 42:16 46:16	places 61:12	populations 48:10
orders 61:3	71:10	46:19 48:11 50:21	<b>plan</b> 26:13,16,18	80:23
<b>Oregon</b> 60:24 65:7	particular 52:2,9	58:5 59:2,5,13,14	27:5 29:10,25	portion 43:4
<b>Oreille</b> 46:17	particularly 49:13	59:22 60:24 61:17	32:11 33:25 37:4	<b>position</b> 36:2 57:22
organization 37:24	54:3	68:4,8,23 75:7,15	44:9,10 45:21,25	57:23 85:18
outdated 62:22	parties 27:16,24	75:20 77:8 78:24	49:10 77:4	positive 62:4
outpace 27:8	partly 52:7 53:8	79:10 81:25 84:25	planet 61:23 77:10	possibilities 79:4
outreach 43:7	partners 41:19	86:9,25 87:16	77:15 81:2	possibility 52:13
outside 41:1	42:18 43:10	88:6	planned 30:11	55:10
outsider 38:10	partnership 44:14	percent 26:23,24	<b>planning</b> 43:12	possibly 78:15
outstanding 35:13	46:6	26:24 27:3,4,4	45:23 46:14,22,25	potential 49:7
overall 36:16	parts 65:13	31:9 32:12,25	58:10 75:5 77:6	57:17 73:23,24
overpaid 51:4	party 27:18,19 34:2	36:25,25 39:5,7	plans 65:25	81:4,5
oversight 38:8	34:3	39:10 43:24 44:1	<b>plant</b> 62:23 71:22	potentially 80:24
<b>owned</b> 66:4 <b>owner</b> 49:6 83:18	pass 31:13 48:21	44:23,25 45:8,8	73:8,13 74:3,3,14	<b>poverty</b> 42:1 44:22 44:24 45:1 47:17
owners 72:21 77:16	<b>passed</b> 32:20 50:8 67:6	47:9,12,16 48:1 50:23 61:12 63:25	77:5,7,9 81:6,9 82:2	47:19 68:25 75:10
				75:19 76:1 79:9
ownership 77:7 owns 32:17,18	<b>patterns</b> 60:16 78:23	64:4,5,15,16,19 65:1,19 68:20	<b>plants</b> 49:4,14 69:25 72:12 73:16	<b>power</b> 30:16,18
owns 52:17,18 oxygen 87:14	<b>Pauline</b> 76:6	73:22 74:1 75:9	73:18 74:2	33:24 36:22 49:4
<b>UAYSCII</b> 0/.14	pay 31:1 38:11	75:19 78:18 79:14	<b>please</b> 28:7 29:19	49:5 63:4,4 77:5
Р	<b>Pay</b> 51.1 50.11	13.17 10.10 17.14	prease 20.7 27.17	+7.5 05.4,4 77.5
	l		I	l

				T age 100
78:8,9,18 79:14	27:13 29:12 33:15	42:2 73:21	quite 54:17	32:23 34:8 50:9
powerful 73:25	33:20 34:24	provider 41:22	quotation 32:2	70:21 74:23 77:20
pray 86:24	proceedings 27:14	providers 75:14,22	quote/unquote	82:16
pre-pilot 43:25	54:18 56:11 88:20	providing 82:19	71:8	ratepayers' 32:8
44:2	89:7.9	prudence 54:19	/1.0	rates 26:13 30:6
preference 58:13	producing 77:15	prudency 53:24	R	31:9 46:10 48:8
preliminary 67:5	78:7	72:2 73:15	<b>R</b> 89:1	50:20 53:10 59:4
- •		<b>public</b> 24:14 25:16	races 67:1,1	60:18 65:16 69:10
premiums 83:8	<b>product</b> 82:24 83:4	25:18 26:8 28:1	<b>RACHAEL</b> 24:24	
prepare 77:12	83:6,11 84:7		89:5,19	74:12 77:9,11
<b>prepared</b> 70:25	production 79:7	28:12 29:10 32:21	<b>raging</b> 61:17	84:14
71:4 74:5	productivity 83:9	33:14 34:24 42:4	rain 70:3	reactions 55:18
preparing 88:3	products 83:14	57:25 58:4 59:13	raise 29:11 30:6	read 32:4 50:10
prescriptions 48:12	84:1	61:14 64:8 68:10		reading 64:3 75:11
present 35:7 46:4	professional 41:5	68:23	50:23 53:10 76:12	ready 81:16
presentation 35:7	professor 82:13	<b>publicly</b> 63:13,14	80:2	real 34:12 52:13
41:9	profit 32:6 83:4	81:7	raised 77:9	55:10 83:6 84:22
president 28:23	<b>profits</b> 32:25	Puerto 61:6,7,12	raises 87:12	85:1 87:16,17,17
36:2,4,6,8,11	program 68:7	Puget 81:10	raising 59:4 77:11	reality 53:2
presidential 67:2	86:17	<b>pull</b> 77:6,12	rancher 49:1	realize 31:3
pretty 33:25 52:4	programming	<b>pulling</b> 77:17	range 36:20 44:4	really 28:3 40:13
59:20	80:13,18	<b>pump</b> 67:25	<b>rate</b> 26:8,13,14,15	42:14 45:14 48:14
price 31:7 62:21	programs 38:9	pump-back 50:2	26:18 27:12 29:25	51:3 52:1 53:4,9
63:20 73:2 82:25	41:24 42:12	69:22 70:10,15	30:16,17,18,25	54:6,13,25 56:18
83:2,4,11,21 84:8	<b>promote</b> 67:14	purchase 63:15	32:15 33:4 34:11	57:12,19,21 58:14
prices 35:11 85:4	proof 61:24	69:2	35:11 36:23 37:11	58:15 62:19 72:4
primary 41:21	proper 38:21	purchased 27:9	37:13,18,20,20	72:8,13 73:10
42:18 66:24 67:5	properties 61:20	31:23 38:20 65:23	38:17 40:17,22	74:12,24 80:9
principal 57:22	property 51:8	purchases 57:20	42:8 43:19 47:1,6	82:16 84:22 86:23
principle 57:12	<b>propose</b> 26:16	purchasing 58:2	47:8,15,16,17,24	88:2
principles 57:8,10	proposed 26:13,25	pursuant 89:6	48:1 49:3 52:9,11	<b>reason</b> 30:4,6,6,15
prior 54:17	40:18 44:17 45:7	push 71:22	52:20 53:5 54:10	31:16 54:16 64:13
private 42:4	66:6 74:21 76:21	<b>pushed</b> 42:14 62:17	54:15,22 55:2,12	79:18
privileges 62:9	prospect 55:18	put 70:8,11 87:1	56:3 57:9,18 58:3	reasonable 36:19
probably 38:18	prosperity 62:10	<b>put</b> / 0.0,11 0/.1	60:13,13 62:12	46:10 82:19 85:6
40:2 56:12 73:2	prosperous 63:2	Q	65:12,21 66:5	reasoning 30:14
<b>problem</b> 30:1 35:17	protect 61:1 74:18	qualified 41:4	67:8 68:1 71:10	reasons 29:25 30:2
49:15 64:2 74:22	79:9	quality 49:16 60:22	71:23 73:22 74:2	31:18 52:2 54:1
83:12 84:5 85:3	protecting 74:22	quantifiable 84:22	74:15,18,19,20,23	79:8 80:19
<b>problems</b> 48:6 49:9	proud 75:22	question 33:22	76:21 79:9 82:12	<b>Rebecca</b> 60:10
49:13 56:14 57:7	prove 58:16,18	64:18	82:20 84:4,5	74:11
<b>Procedure</b> 89:6	71:14	questions 28:14	87:12,18 88:16	<b>receive</b> 32:6 33:10
Procedures 27:15	<b>provide</b> 35:12	29:3 32:14 33:9	ratepayer 33:1 34:9	58:4 66:8
Proceed 76:18 80:7	36:25 38:25 49:3	33:10,11,13 56:7	34:14 42:4 56:22	<b>received</b> 30:16
		56:17	74:10 78:16	
85:22	49:4 53:15 88:6	quick 85:24	ratepayers 30:19	38:16
proceeding 26:9	provided 35:10	quick 85.24 quickly 53:15	30:25 32:2,7,11	<b>receives</b> 36:4 39:15
		Yuichiy JJ.1J	50.25 52.2,7,11	

39:20,23,24 40:3	<b>remedy</b> 53:15	64:25 66:8	52:12 53:4,7,9,10	schedules 40:19
57:23	58:20	residents 44:21	53:23 56:19 69:13	School 80:11
receiving 32:3	remind 75:18	resource 28:18	71:14 74:6 76:12	scientists 61:21
40:14	reminded 79:18	43:11 45:24 46:14	76:17 79:22 80:2	Scott 31:8
recommend 58:6,7	<b>reminds</b> 55:14	52:5,8	80:7 86:5,19,25	scrutiny 71:18
71:6,17	<b>remodel</b> 62:19	resources 44:6	87:25	seas 84:17,18
record 35:25 52:10	<b>Rendahl</b> 25:4 29:9	45:12 73:15 77:8	rising 84:18	season 42:10,19
52:24 89:9	41:17	77:13	<b>risk</b> 57:14 72:6,10	79:17
recorded 61:2	renewable 77:13	respect 40:8 53:2	73:5	Seattle 25:20
recycles 78:15	renewables 72:11	respirator 87:13	<b>risks</b> 72:15	second 30:4 33:8
red 31:14	renovations 30:1	respiratory 60:24	road 53:24 57:1	51:5,7 52:14
reduced 44:1	<b>renters</b> 87:4,9	respond 38:14	<b>Roberts</b> 25:5 41:14	74:17
reducing 62:15	<b>rep</b> 46:14	52:22	85:16,16,18,21,23	Secondly 43:3
reduction 32:12	repeating 36:7	responded 38:5	87:20	47:15
68:1	<b>replaced</b> 67:22,24	<b>Respondent</b> 24:9	robust 46:3	Section 25:18
reflect 83:3 85:5	replacement 63:16	response 57:8	<b>roof</b> 67:24	securities 36:13
reflected 82:25	replenish 87:1	responsibilities	room 86:23 87:23	security 47:8,11,15
83:11,21 84:8	report 73:20 78:23	36:10	Rosebud 49:1	47:20,25 48:1,3
85:4	reported 24:24	responsibility	rough 53:18	50:22,24 59:6
reflective 62:6	39:2 70:17 79:6	52:22 57:13,24	<b>rugs</b> 86:21	62:9 86:12
regard 34:22 38:12	reporter 28:6 41:14	responsible 36:2,11	<b>Rules</b> 89:6	see 28:16 32:12
regarding 32:14	represent 34:15	36:18 56:4 81:2	<b>run</b> 30:9 43:4,5,18	39:18 41:9 47:4
33:20	46:15	81:16 85:7	60:25 69:4	47:18 55:19 67:9
regards 49:3	representation	restaurant 83:18	runaway 62:3	71:18 73:22 74:12
<b>region</b> 47:23	34:2,4	result 72:9 80:24	<b>running</b> 43:3 44:11	77:5,23 81:18
<b>regular</b> 30:12 31:2	representative	results 39:13	66:24 82:2	<b>seed</b> 73:14
regulate 55:25	28:12 46:20	<b>retain</b> 66:3		seeing 65:16 74:9
regulations 33:24	representatives	retains 38:6	S	seen 47:25 68:1
34:1	28:9,15,17	retired 47:9 67:11	salary 36:1,4,6,8	selects 38:6
regulatory 25:5,12	representing 28:21	86:11	37:25 39:12,17	selling 83:3
58:10	request 26:12 28:2	return 39:3,6,10	40:14 41:7	<b>senate</b> 67:1
reiterate 34:21	30:13,17 31:5,22	63:17	sale 34:13 69:5	senior 28:24 36:11
relate 84:4	31:25 34:11 37:7	revenue 37:1 38:15	sampling 50:8	43:19 47:16,17
related 71:7 77:3	37:13 48:14 82:20	revenues 27:8	Santorsa 61:6	seniors 47:9 50:22
<b>relates</b> 33:16	requested 31:17	63:14	<b>sat</b> 56:10	68:12,21 87:5,12
relations 36:12	36:22	reverse 62:1	Sauer 48:24,25	87:13
released 62:6	requests 32:16,16	review 53:24 58:2	49:1 69:21 70:17	<b>sense</b> 53:16
reliability 35:13	require 49:12	71:13	save 59:10	separate 27:19
relicensing 30:8,10	required 38:3 41:5	reviewed 73:19,21	saved 44:1	30:17 33:15 34:22
relief 30:22 31:4	requirements 62:25	rhetorical 33:11	saving 81:15	38:7 52:19
58:16	requires 31:20 32:1	<b>Ricans</b> 61:7	saw 62:12 63:10	September 37:2
rely 47:25 86:18	research 32:5,10	<b>Rico</b> 61:6,12	66:18 87:10	42:11 43:13
<b>remain</b> 44:16 47:14	33:4	right 29:6,8,16	saying 55:8 57:15	serious 61:14
<b>remains</b> 43:2 70:6	resident 82:12	41:15 46:11 48:23	says 32:7 66:5	serve 41:18 42:16
remarks 88:5	residential 48:8	50:12,18 51:19	scary 68:20	43:20 44:3 46:7
			scheduled 44:10	
				•

				1 490 102
somed 41.20.22	signed 20.17 78.4	sorry 44:21	started 29:9,16	53:9 59:23
served 41:20,23 42:22	<b>signed</b> 29:17 78:4 87:23	v	starts 43:14	
		<b>sound</b> 40:13,13 81:10		strongly 71:5,17
service 26:14 35:10	significant 49:5		state 31:20 32:1	<b>structure</b> 35:12
35:12 38:22 39:1	83:6	sources 77:13	35:4,6 37:8 44:24	45:14 53:5 54:5
42:23 44:12,15	signs 61:18	south 25:6 78:4	45:4 49:5,6 57:8	structures 56:14
45:23 46:19 64:6	similar 34:2	speak 28:7 34:15	57:12 62:25 63:1	studies 82:13
64:6,12,17	<b>simply</b> 74:14,20	34:25 46:23 63:8	64:18,19 65:6	<b>study</b> 34:12
services 26:17 47:4	sincerely 35:3	76:3,21 79:10	66:24 75:25 80:15	<b>stuff</b> 52:6 54:2
68:10 75:13,23	<b>single</b> 45:6	speakers 85:25	82:15 86:2 89:2	58:11 71:11 80:20
<b>set</b> 46:9 49:12	sinking 71:21	speaking 41:11	state's 47:15	subdivisions 67:15
72:23 81:8,11	sir 50:19 51:17	87:11	stated 29:24 49:7	67:17
setting 81:8	<b>sit</b> 27:13 68:18	<b>species</b> 84:16	statement 31:8	<b>subject</b> 32:15
settled 64:8 71:13	<b>site</b> 49:8,13	specific 77:23	38:15,18 53:23	<b>submit</b> 85:3
71:14	sits 51:2	Specifically 53:2	states 27:5 36:3,6,9	submitting 88:8
settlement 47:1	sitting 59:19 63:11	speeding 62:2	49:18 65:14	subset 42:1 66:12
settling 49:18	65:20	<b>spell</b> 50:15	stating 64:13	subsidiaries 32:19
shallow 50:1	situation 53:7	spent 53:19	stay 45:22	32:21
<b>share</b> 31:11 32:6	57:16	<b>spills</b> 60:3	steep 62:14	subsidiary 66:4
42:7 46:2	skills 38:2	spirit 45:9	step 41:15 86:18	substantial 42:5
<b>shared</b> 43:23	skimmed 54:2	Spokane 24:18	Stephen 63:6	succeed 51:24
shareholder 52:6	skimming 53:19	25:14 26:1,8	Steven 79:5	successfully 42:20
shareholders 56:5	slice 81:11	29:22 41:18,20,22	Stevens 43:21	suck 69:9
63:17 81:22	<b>slight</b> 79:13	43:21 44:19,22	46:17	sucked 73:6
Shaw 80:11	slowly 28:8	45:2,9 46:17	stewards 81:2	sued 73:1
<b>sheet</b> 87:21,24	small 72:23	51:22 54:20 59:9	sticking 82:17	suffered 60:21
shellfish 84:18	smoker 83:7	60:1,12,21 76:20	stiff 33:25	sufficient 46:10
shift 52:16 55:6	smoking 83:5	78:4,14,16 79:8	stock 34:9 38:19,20	sufficiently 84:7
<b>shifted</b> 43:3	<b>SNAP</b> 41:19,20,23	80:9 82:12 86:8	39:6 63:13,13,14	suggest 57:5
shifting 30:14	42:2,13 44:7	89:3	63:15,19,19,21,23	Suite 25:20
shocking 40:9	86:14,14,14	spoke 52:3	63:23,25 65:10,10	sum 63:17
<b>shop</b> 69:1	<b>SNAP's</b> 45:5 46:1	Spokesman-Revi	stockholder 32:25	summer 43:5
shop 09:1 short 35:7 72:7	snow 62:6	29:23 39:2	stockholders 32:5	Superfund 49:8
shortages 60:16	<b>social</b> 47:4,25 48:1	spread 43:11 55:23	34:6 59:11	supply 63:4 77:24
shorten 58:19	48:2 50:22,23	spring 52:14	stocks 32:18 69:4	support 38:8
<b>shorter</b> 53:16 73:11	59:5 86:11	square 68:2	storage 55:5	support 38.8 surcharge 64:22
shortsighted 73:6	society 68:14 86:17	square 00.2 ss 89:3	storms 61:8,9 84:20	surcharges 30:18
shoulder 81:3	soil 61:15	<b>stability</b> 36:14	street 24:17 80:11	sure 28:18 33:9
<b>shoved</b> 71:11,16	<b>solar</b> 62:20	45:24 62:10	83:20	38:14 59:21 65:13
show 31:13 71:5	solve 52:23 57:7	stabilizing 41:25	strength 44:13	65:15,15
shows 38:22 70:9		staff 27:19 28:9	<b>stretch</b> 45:12	,
70:14	<b>somebody</b> 52:5 56:10 59:17,17	53:22 56:7,15	strikes 54:5	<b>Surely</b> 62:13 <b>surprised</b> 53:21,25
<b>side</b> 45:10 51:25	77:25	stakeholders 56:17		63:10
			string 67:15,17	
75:25 80:17 82:15	somewhat 75:15	stand 29:11 80:2	striving 39:25	survive 59:14
86:2	<b>soon</b> 33:16 61:4	stands 47:16	40:15 46:9	<b>sustainable</b> 62:21
<b>sign-in</b> 87:21	<b>sooner</b> 71:3	start 29:18 81:15	strong 43:2 45:14	77:13
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		

<b>SW</b> 25:6	<b>tells</b> 73:18	66:21 69:18 72:6	28:18 29:10,17	tune 65:25
swear 29:12,13,15	ten 50:24 66:6	72:13 74:23,25	41:12 48:19 50:5	turn 70:14 87:8,8
76:14 79:25 80:4	tends 63:19	77:14 80:23 86:15	58:23 69:15,17	87:13,14
85:17,19,21	term 72:7,11 82:23	87:17	76:5 79:10 85:23	turned 50:2
swearing 76:10	terms 81:16	thinking 28:4 63:11	87:24 88:2,7,7	<b>two</b> 28:22 36:11
swearing-in 79:25	testimony 27:24	72:10,20 73:10	top 29:18	40:7 42:25 60:21
switching 38:22	33:16 53:20 54:17	thinly 87:9	total 39:10 44:25	66:23
<b>sworn</b> 76:9	58:4 88:6,16	third 39:22 58:7	totally 30:16 35:18	two-week 39:15
system 30:4 67:25	Thackston 28:24	third-party 43:22	58:9	<b>type</b> 72:17
70:5	thank 28:19 29:4,4	Thirdly 43:7	totes 33:2	<b>typo</b> 62:13 66:18
systems 52:5	29:5 33:21 34:14	Thirteen 75:9	touches 46:18	
	34:19,20,24 35:3	thought 42:7 51:22	towers 38:23	U
T	41:11,13 45:24	62:13 66:18 88:3	town 72:24 80:17	<b>U.S</b> 33:23 61:15,15
<b>T</b> 89:1,1	46:8,10,11 48:17	thoughts 53:18	toxic 70:7,13 82:4	<b>UE-170485</b> 24:4
tag 73:2	48:18,23 50:9,10	55:4 58:20	trade 36:12	26:10
take 26:8 27:14	50:18 51:17 58:22	thousand 70:18	traded 60:18 62:18	<b>UG-170486</b> 24:4
28:21 33:14 39:11	60:8,9,11 63:5,7	thousands 38:23,25	63:13,14	26:10
43:5 57:22 58:14	69:11,12,14 74:6	87:11	traditional 58:9	unbelievable 61:16
59:21 62:9 71:23	74:8 75:1,3 76:2,4	<b>threat</b> 61:14	transcribed 89:7	understand 33:9,12
75:24 81:22 85:9	76:8,17,19,19	threatened 55:22	transcript 89:8	33:18 35:21 40:25
87:14	78:10,13 79:21	<b>three</b> 27:13 31:7	transformers 38:22	51:11 56:20 58:9
talk 69:18 70:22,23	80:8 82:7,9,14,17	53:12,25 57:4	transition 81:14	65:2,7 67:12 81:7
71:25 77:3 80:10	85:14,15,22,23,25	58:8,12 63:9	translates 49:25	understood 61:19
talked 52:1 59:2	86:1,2,14 87:19	67:22 68:16	transportation	unduplicated 41:23
66:21 69:21 77:25	87:20 88:1,14,18	three-year 26:13	24:2,4 25:2,6 26:7	unethical 77:14
talking 34:3 53:5	<b>thanks</b> 37:8	29:25 31:5,22,25	27:11 35:5 36:14	unforeseen 47:22
73:13 77:17,18,22	<b>theirs</b> 57:21	34:11	36:24 37:9 40:12	<b>unique</b> 38:2 70:2
80:21	<b>Thelma</b> 62:2	Thursday 39:3	transporting 59:25	unit 28:12
target 52:4	thereof 89:10	<b>time</b> 26:9 28:22	treats 78:5	<b>United</b> 36:3,6,8
tax 68:5,6,11	therm 27:1 84:9	39:20 51:1 52:20	trends 52:15	61:15
<b>taxation</b> 34:2,3	<b>thing</b> 51:5 53:19,20	53:8,13,16,19	<b>trial</b> 27:22,22	University 44:21
taxes 68:8	54:10 55:19 56:2	55:1,2 56:25 58:8	<b>tries</b> 31:9	82:14
<b>taxpayers</b> 60:3,5	56:17 58:7 64:2	58:14,18 59:18,24	<b>trip</b> 51:23	unknowingly 67:7
<b>TB-14</b> 25:20	79:20	60:25 61:18 66:21	trouble 61:18	unnecessary 71:24
<b>Tea</b> 34:2,3	things 27:10 42:18	67:16 69:7 72:12	<b>true</b> 89:9	unreasonable
team 42:13	52:10,18 56:16	72:13 73:7,11	truly 74:19 85:12	39:14
technologies 55:6	57:4 58:12 81:1	76:22 80:20 82:8	<b>truth</b> 29:13,13,14	unstoppable 62:4
technology 52:15	<b>think</b> 30:11,23	timeline 58:7	76:14,14,15 80:1	update 42:6
<b>telecom</b> 55:19 56:2	31:18 34:13 52:9	times 36:5,8 70:18	80:4,4,5 85:19,20	updates 62:25
56:10	52:11,19,23,24	73:25	85:20	<b>upgrades</b> 63:16
telecommunicati	53:16,21,22 54:13	today 42:6 82:17	<b>try</b> 42:14 51:23	<b>upset</b> 78:17
55:14	54:20 55:1,10	86:15	70:12 77:16	upstairs 86:21
<b>tell</b> 29:13 51:6	56:3,8,12,18 57:8	today's 65:22 79:5	trying 39:25 40:16	<b>upstream</b> 73:22
76:14 80:1,4	57:11,12,21 58:3	told 31:23 35:24	43:15 50:20 51:1	<b>urge</b> 45:14
83:12 85:19 86:10 <b>TELLIED</b> 48:21	58:4,12,18,19	tonight 26:7 28:5,7	57:7 61:1	<b>use</b> 63:3 73:23,24
<b>TELLIER</b> 48:21				78:4,6,21
1				

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

				1 490 101
useful 51:23 88:4	59:8 60:6	watch 71:20	<b>wife</b> 68:2	Y
usually 68:8	wages 38:24 59:7	watchdog 68:24	wildfires 60:23	yeah 50:15 53:11
<b>UTC</b> 26:9 30:20,23	wait 63:12	water 49:18,23	61:17 82:6	55:8
31:17 32:15 34:12	walk-in 42:21	60:17 61:13 62:8	Williams 78:12,13	year 26:15,18 30:2
49:11 88:11,12	want 28:1,3,22	70:1,4,11 72:24	willing 62:20,21	30:2,3 31:24 32:7
<b>UTC's</b> 28:11	31:25 33:9 34:21	77:24 84:13	willingness 44:14	34:5 37:1,18 39:4
<b>utilities</b> 24:2,4,8	34:25 35:9 45:19	waters 49:22	44:15 46:6	39:10,16,17,25
25:2,5,10 26:6	50:24 51:23 52:10	wave 75:11	wind 61:8 78:3,8	40:2 42:13,19
27:11 28:23 35:4	52:24 55:9,22	way 31:16 45:17	windows 67:23	43:4 44:1 45:3,3,5
35:11,16 36:23	60:6 62:19 65:10	48:9 53:14 55:11	86:22	45:8 53:3 62:13
37:8,23 39:4,12	66:16 67:9 71:25	56:14,24 57:15	winner 34:12	
39:18 40:12,19	73:13 76:25 77:3	58:2,17 61:8 63:2	wish 51:15	63:21,22 66:9,16 68:13 69:5 70:3
59:5 64:8 68:15	78:2,20 81:1,3	72:4 73:9,12	Witness 89:12	
68:18,23 75:13,17	82:14 86:18 87:12	77:14	witnesses 27:24	86:7,7
75:24 76:2 78:17	87:18,25	ways 42:15 52:23	wonderful 35:25	<b>year-round</b> 43:3,6
78:19,20 83:23	wanted 29:24 33:17	55:13 56:5 72:4	wonky 82:22	years 31:7 35:24
utility 85:4,5	66:15 68:4 70:22	81:3	words 57:19	41:20 42:14 43:17
unity 05.4,5	81:22 88:7	we'll 29:3	work 34:17 39:24	45:22 50:23 53:12
V	wants 31:12 37:2	we're 33:11,19 40:5	40:2,10 42:1,17	53:25 58:8,13
vacation 39:15	63:9	43:15 52:12 55:16	44:7 48:4 52:25	63:9 66:6,15,20
<b>value</b> 57:17 77:11	<b>War</b> 61:20	56:19 57:15 59:11	58:14 59:21,22,22	67:22 68:11 73:9
various 83:19	warm 39:25 40:16	62:2 65:16 66:11	73:7 74:24 83:9	77:5,19 79:18
vast 45:4	warming 62:4	71:2,21 73:13,14	86:6	80:13
<b>Velone</b> 58:24,25,25	73:23 84:17	74:11 75:12	workdays 39:16,17	<b>Yolanda</b> 46:12,13
<b>Vermillion</b> 28:23	wash 67:19	<b>we've</b> 61:19 79:10	39:18	<b>young</b> 66:17
version 85:24	washer 86:24	we ve 01.19 79.10 wealthy 45:9	worked 80:16	Z
versus 45:3		weather 30:14 31:7	87:10	<b>zero</b> 52:16
vice-president	Washington 24:1,4		workers 43:8	
28:24	24:18 25:2,5,7,20	47:22 60:16		<b>zeros</b> 31:17
view 62:4 72:7 73:6	26:1,6 27:23	website 44:20	working 39:20 43:9	0
views 28:2 78:14	30:19 31:20 32:1	56:20	43:9 44:6 46:7	
vision 46:3	32:15 35:4,6	WEDNESDAY	60:5 86:8	1
<b>visit</b> 29:1	36:23 37:8 41:10	26:1	works 39:16 58:15	<b>1</b> 50:23 71:1,15
<b>voice</b> 75:4	44:20,24 45:4	week 39:16	63:19 68:7	86:11
<b>VOLUME</b> 24:13	46:15 49:11 56:1	weeks 39:16 42:25	world 45:20 85:1	<b>1(888)</b> 88:13
<b>volunteer</b> 68:5 75:5	63:1 64:15,18,18	welcome 51:18	worry 59:20	<b>1,000</b> 50:6
80:12	64:21 65:11,18	welfare 36:14	worse 74:2	<b>1,500</b> 36:18
<b>volunteers</b> 46:21	88:11,11 89:2	well-being 36:16	worth 58:21 66:5	<b>1.9</b> 33:3
<b>vote</b> 34:6,7	Washington's 47:8	well-managed 56:4	wouldn't 81:19	<b>10</b> 26:17 64:17
vouchers 86:9	wasn't 66:19 76:22	wells 50:1,3,3,5,7	<b>Wow</b> 68:19	<b>10,000</b> 39:8,11,11
<b>VP</b> 25:11	80:19	69:22,23	wrap 40:5 70:16	<b>10.63</b> 65:1 66:11,11
	waste 70:7,13	weren't 70:25	writing 77:1 88:9	<b>100</b> 32:9,24 81:13
<b>vs</b> 24:6	83:19,19 84:1	west 45:10 75:25	written 76:25 88:6	<b>100</b> <i>32.9,24 81.13</i> <b>100-year</b> 73:23
W	wastewater 49:10	80:16	wrong 30:22	<b>100-year</b> 73.23 <b>100.28</b> 26:22
	69:25	<b>Whitman</b> 46:18	X	<b>100.28</b> 20.22 <b>11</b> 39:3 70:3
WA 75.14				
WA 25:14 wage 35:18 40:8	wastewaters 70:4	<b>wholly</b> 66:4		<b>11.3</b> 44:25

<b>12</b> 26:23 45:8 64:15	42:11 49:11 61:13	<b>309</b> 43:25	<b>61.39</b> 27:2	
64:19 68:20	42.11 49.11 01.13 89:12	<b>31</b> 66:6	<b>63,000</b> 44:21	
<b>12,000</b> 45:5	<b>2018</b> 26:20,23 27:2	<b>3265</b> 24:24 89:20	<b>63.92</b> 27:2	
<b>12.6</b> 62:14 65:1	27:3 31:24 34:5	<b>333-WUTC</b> 88:13	<b>65</b> 27:1 32:10	
<b>13</b> 36:5,8 75:19	37:15,16,19 40:18		<b>66.53</b> 27:3	
<b>13</b> 30.3,8 73.19 <b>13.06</b> 63:24	44:8 48:15 64:4	<b>354</b> 44:1	<b>67,000</b> 45:3	
<b>13.0</b> 05.24 <b>13.2</b> 44:23	64:10,16	<b>360.664.1186</b> 25:8	<b>670</b> 42:22	
<b>13.2</b> 44.25 <b>1300</b> 25:6	<b>2019</b> 26:21,24 27:2	<b>365</b> 39:4	07042.22	
<b>13th</b> 37:12,22	2019 20.21,24 27.2 27:4 37:16,20	<b>3727</b> 25:13	7	
<b>13th</b> 37.12,22 <b>1411</b> 25:13	40:18 48:15 64:5	<b>38</b> 44:1	<b>7.09</b> 64:4	
<b>15</b> 37:1 68:13	64:10,16	<b>39.18</b> 63:22	<b>7.9</b> 64:20	
<b>150</b> 41:6	<b>2020</b> 26:22,24 27:2	<b>39.10</b> 03.22	<b>7:45</b> 24:16 88:20	
<b>17</b> 32:24	2020 20.22,24 27.2 27:4 32:7,13	4	<b>700</b> 86:12	
<b>17</b> 52:24 <b>18</b> 51:8	37:16,21 40:18	<b>4</b> 67:24 70:24 71:2	<b>76,000</b> 78:25	
<b>18</b> ,000 39:10	48:15 64:16	<b>4.2</b> 47:17 64:5	<b>76,572</b> 78:24	
<b>1970s</b> 61:21	<b>2025</b> 60:20 71:22	<b>4.32</b> 66:8,11	<b>770,000</b> 37:3,7	
<b>1970s</b> 61:21 <b>1st</b> 26:15 37:2,15	<b>2025</b> 80:20 71:22 <b>2050</b> 73:9	<b>4.5</b> 35:21	38:13,16 39:22	
37:16,16 63:22	<b>2030</b> 73.9 <b>206.464.6595</b> 25:21	<b>40</b> 65:17	40:15,23	
57.10,10 05.22	<b>200.404.0395</b> 25.21 <b>20s</b> 86:20	<b>40,000</b> 46:19		
2	<b>208</b> 36:20 <b>218</b> 36:12	<b>400</b> 36:16,17	8	
<b>2</b> 31:9 71:1,15	<b>218</b> 50.12 <b>21st</b> 89:12	<b>400,000</b> 36:4 49:25	<b>8</b> 24:15 26:1,5	
72:23 86:13	<b>21st</b> 89.12 <b>22,000</b> 39:19,20,23	<b>4001</b> 24:17	<b>8.50</b> 64:22	
<b>2,300</b> 68:2	40:9 86:7,10	<b>42</b> 61:16	<b>8:00</b> 69:16	
<b>2,750</b> 40:10,10,11	<b>23</b> 47:16	<b>47,000</b> 41:23	<b>80,000</b> 79:1	
40:14 41:2	<b>23,607</b> 42:2	<b>47250</b> 25:7 88:11	800 25:19 43:21	
<b>2.3</b> 64:10	<b>25</b> 32:12 64:5,11	<b>48</b> 47:12	79:18	
<b>2.4</b> 26:24 64:16	73:1		<b>80s</b> 72:19	
<b>2.5</b> 26:24 64:16	<b>250</b> 39:17 86:13	5	<b>81</b> 50:23	
<b>2.6</b> 27:4 33:5 62:13	<b>25th</b> 63:21	<b>5</b> 35:22 69:6	<b>85</b> 32:24	
<b>2.7</b> 27:4	<b>26</b> 26:11 43:24	<b>5.3</b> 66:1	<b>87</b> 73:25	
<b>2.97</b> 36:24	<b>26</b> 20:11 43:24 <b>26th</b> 36:22	<b>5.4</b> 27:3 45:8	<b>89.8</b> 63:9 65:4	
<b>20</b> 61:12 68:11 77:5		<b>5.5</b> 35:20 36:21		
77:19 78:17 79:14	<b>29,000</b> 68:13	37:6 39:14 40:21	9	
<b>20-year</b> 33:25	<b></b>	<b>5.6</b> 64:10	<b>90s</b> 55:15	
73:24	3	<b>5.75</b> 64:23	<b>938</b> 64:25	
2000 25:20	<b>3</b> 39:5,6,10 48:1	<b>50</b> 39:16 41:20	<b>95.14</b> 26:21 65:2	
<b>2006</b> 47:3	70:24 71:2 73:22	64:17	<b>97.67</b> 26:22	
<b>2010</b> 49:17	74:1	<b>509.495.4316</b> 25:14	<b>98104</b> 25:20	
<b>2014</b> 35:20 78:23	<b>3,500</b> 66:23	<b>52.24</b> 63:23	<b>98504</b> 25:7	
2015 35:21 42:5	<b>3.1</b> 36:25	<b>53</b> 32:6	<b>98584</b> 88:12	
<b>2016</b> 35:21 36:22	<b>3.33</b> 63:25	<b>53,000</b> 45:3	<b>99220-3727</b> 25:14	
41:23 42:2,11	<b>3.6</b> 35:20			
45:3 47:8	<b>30</b> 41:24 62:19	6		
<b>2017</b> 24:15 26:1,6	65:19	<b>6</b> 64:6,12 81:13		
26:11 31:17 35:22	<b>300,000</b> 36:20 41:7	<b>6,000</b> 42:25		
37:2,12,22 39:3	<b>300,000,000</b> 69:6	<b>6:00</b> 24:16 26:2		
		l	ll	