Page 1 of 8

Avista UE-011595 Exhibit 17

Commission scenarios

ERM Example: Prior to Zero Balance in Deferral Account

Scenario 1: Consistent High Power Costs

Assume: Calendar 2003, beginning balance of \$100M

					WA	90%	Derived	
	System	System	System	66.29%	Cumulative	Cumulative	Monthly	
Months	BASE Power Cost	ACTUAL Power Cost	Difference	WA Allocation	Difference	WA Deferral	Deferral entry	
Jan	9.1	18.0	8.9	5.9	5.9	-	-	
Feb	7.3	14.0	6.7	4.4	10.3	1.2	1.2	
Mar	7.6	14.0	6.4	4.2	14.5	5.0	3.8	
Apr	3.5	7.0	3.5	2.3	16.8	7.0	2.1	
May	(0.3)	5.0	5.3	3.5	20.3	10.2	3.2	
June	(4.4)	4.0	8.4	5.6	25.9	15.2	5.0	
July	1.4	4.0	2.6	1.7	27.6	16.7	1.5	
Aug	8.0	16.0	8.0	5.3	32.9	21.5	4.8	
Sep	7.1	14.0	6.9	4.6	37.5	25.7	4.1	
Oct	9.0	18.0	9.0	6.0	43.5	31.1	5.4	
Nov	9.3	18.0	8.7	5.8	49.3	36.3	5.2	
Dec	8.2	16.0	7.8	5.2	54.5	41.0	4.7	
Total	65.8	148.0	82.2	54.5		41.0	41.0	
Beginnin	ig Balance						100.0	
Proposed Sch. 93 Surcharge Revenue								
End of Y	ear Balance						112.8	
	,							

(excluding carrying charges)

Page 2 of 8

. . . .

- - - /

Avista UE-011595 Exhibit 17

Commission scenarios

ERM Example: Prior to Zero Balance in Deferral Account

Scenario 2: Inconsistent Power Costs

Assume: Calendar 2003, beginning balance of \$100M

					WA	90%	Derived	
	System	System	System	66.29%	Cumulative	Cumulative	Monthly	
Months	BASE Power Cost	ACTUAL Power Cost	Difference	WA Allocation	Difference	WA Deferral	Deferral entry	
Jan	9.1	12.0	2.9	1.9	1.9	-	-	
Feb	7.3	6.0	(1.3)	(0.9)	1.0	-	-	
Mar	7.6	7.0	(0.6)	(0.4)	0.6	-	-	
Apr	3.5	4.0	0.5	0.3	0.9	-	-	
May	(0.3)	(1.0)	(0.7)	(0.5)	0.4	-	-	
June	(4.4)	(3.0)	1.4	0.9	1.3	-	-	
July	1.4	1.0	(0.4)	(0.3)	1.0	-	-	
Aug	8.0	5.0	(3.0)	(2.0)	(1.0)	-	-	
Sep	7.1	7.0	(0.1)	(0.1)	(1.1)	-	-	
Oct	9.0	6.0	(3.0)	(2.0)	(3.1)	-	-	
Nov	9.3	10.0	0.7	0.5	(2.6)	-	-	
Dec	8.2	8.0	(0.2)	(0.1)	(2.7)	-	-	
Total	65.8	62.0	(3.8)	(2.7)		-	-	
Beginning Balance								
Proposed Sch. 93 Surcharge Revenue								
End of Year Balance								

(excluding carrying charges)

Page 3 of 8

Avista UE-011595 Exhibit 17

Commission scenarios

ERM Example: Prior to Zero Balance in Deferral Account

Scenario 3: Low Power Costs

Assume: Calendar 2003, beginning balance of \$100M

					WA	90%	Derived
	System	System	System	66.29%	Cumulative	Cumulative	Monthly
Months	BASE Power Cost	ACTUAL Power Cost	Difference	WA Allocation	Difference	WA Deferral	Deferral entry
Jan	9.1	6.0	(3.1)	(2.1)	(2.1)	-	-
Feb	7.3	5.0	(2.3)	(1.5)	(3.6)	-	-
Mar	7.6	5.0	(2.6)	(1.7)	(5.3)	-	-
Apr	3.5	1.0	(2.5)	(1.7)	(7.0)	-	-
May	(0.3)	(3.0)	(2.7)	(1.8)	(8.8)	-	-
June	(4.4)	(6.0)	(1.6)	(1.1)	(9.9)	(0.8)	(0.8)
July	1.4	2.0	0.6	0.4	(9.5)	(0.5)	0.4
Aug	8.0	6.0	(2.0)	(1.3)	(10.8)	(1.6)	(1.2)
Sep	7.1	4.0	(3.1)	(2.1)	(12.9)	(3.5)	(1.9)
Oct	9.0	6.0	(3.0)	(2.0)	(14.9)	(5.3)	(1.8)
Nov	9.3	5.0	(4.3)	(2.9)	(17.8)	(7.9)	(2.6)
Dec	8.2	5.0	(3.2)	(2.1)	(19.9)	(9.8)	(1.9)
Total	65.8	36.0	(29.8)	(19.9)		(9.8)	(9.8)
Beginnin	ig Balance						100.0
Proposed Sch. 93 Surcharge Revenue							
End of Y	ear Balance						62.0

(excluding carrying charges)

Page 4 of 8

Avista UE-011595 Exhibit 17

Commission scenarios

ERM Example: Prior to Zero Balance in Deferral Account

Scenario 4: VERY HIGH Power Costs

Assume: Calendar 2003, beginning balance of \$100M

					WA	90%	Derived
	System	System	System	66.29%	Cumulative	Cumulative	Monthly
Months	BASE Power Cost	ACTUAL Power Cost	Difference	WA Allocation	Difference	WA Deferral	Deferral entry
Jan	9.1	20.0	10.9	7.2	7.2	-	-
Feb	7.3	18.0	10.7	7.1	14.3	4.8	4.8
Mar	7.6	16.0	8.4	5.6	19.9	9.8	5.0
Apr	3.5	6.0	2.5	1.7	21.6	11.3	1.5
May	(0.3)	5.0	5.3	3.5	25.1	14.5	3.2
June	(4.4)	6.0	10.4	6.9	32.0	20.7	6.2
July	1.4	6.0	4.6	3.0	35.0	23.4	2.7
Aug	8.0	12.0	4.0	2.7	37.7	25.8	2.4
Sep	7.1	15.0	7.9	5.2	42.9	30.5	4.7
Oct	9.0	20.0	11.0	7.3	50.2	37.1	6.6
Nov	9.3	25.0	15.7	10.4	60.6	46.4	9.4
Dec	8.2	25.0	16.8	11.1	71.7	56.4	10.0
Total	65.8	174.0	108.2	71.7		56.4	56.4
Beginnin	ig Balance						100.0
Proposed Sch. 93 Surcharge Revenue							
End of Y	ear Balance						128.2

(excluding carrying charges)

Page 5 of 8

. . . .

Avista UE-011595 Exhibit 17

Commission scenarios

ERM Example: AFTER Schedule 93 is Closed

Scenario 5: Consistent High Power Costs

Assume: Calendar 2005, beginning balance of \$0M

					WA	90%	Derived	
	System	System	System	66.29%	Cumulative	Cumulative	Monthly	
Months	BASE Power Cost	ACTUAL Power Cost	Difference	WA Allocation	Difference	WA Deferral	Deferral entry	
Jan	9.1	18.0	8.9	5.9	5.9	-	-	
Feb	7.3	14.0	6.7	4.4	10.3	1.2	1.2	
Mar	7.6	14.0	6.4	4.2	14.5	5.0	3.8	
Apr	3.5	7.0	3.5	2.3	16.8	7.0	2.1	
May	(0.3)	5.0	5.3	3.5	20.3	10.2	3.2	
June	(4.4)	4.0	8.4	5.6	25.9	15.2	5.0	
July	1.4	4.0	2.6	1.7	27.6	16.7	1.5	
Aug	8.0	16.0	8.0	5.3	32.9	21.5	4.8	
Sep	7.1	14.0	6.9	4.6	37.5	25.7	4.1	
Oct	9.0	18.0	9.0	6.0	43.5	31.1	5.4	*1
Nov	9.3	18.0	8.7	5.8	49.3	36.3	5.2	
Dec	8.2	16.0	7.8	5.2	54.5	41.0	4.7	
Total	65.8	148.0	82.2	54.5		41.0	41.0	
Beginnin	ig Balance						-	
Propose	d Surcharge Revenu	e					-	
End of Y	ear Balance						41.0	

*1 At end of October the threshhold of \$27.8M is surpassed and a surcharge would be requested during November. The surcharge could be granted after the 90 day review period, i.e. after the beginning of the year.

Page 6 of 8

Avista UE-011595 Exhibit 17

Commission scenarios

ERM Example: AFTER Schedule 93 is Closed

Scenario 6: Inconsistent Power Costs

Assume: Calendar 2005, beginning balance of \$0M

					WA	90%	Derived
	System	System	System	66.29%	Cumulative	Cumulative	Monthly
Months	BASE Power Cost	ACTUAL Power Cost	Difference	WA Allocation	Difference	WA Deferral	Deferral entry
Jan	9.1	12.0	2.9	1.9	1.9	-	-
Feb	7.3	6.0	(1.3)	(0.9)	1.0	-	-
Mar	7.6	7.0	(0.6)	(0.4)	0.6	-	-
Apr	3.5	4.0	0.5	0.3	0.9	-	-
May	(0.3)	(1.0)	(0.7)	(0.5)	0.4	-	-
June	(4.4)	(3.0)	1.4	0.9	1.3	-	-
July	1.4	1.0	(0.4)	(0.3)	1.0	-	-
Aug	8.0	5.0	(3.0)	(2.0)	(1.0)	-	-
Sep	7.1	7.0	(0.1)	(0.1)	(1.1)	-	-
Oct	9.0	6.0	(3.0)	(2.0)	(3.1)	-	-
Nov	9.3	10.0	0.7	0.5	(2.6)	-	-
Dec	8.2	8.0	(0.2)	(0.1)	(2.7)	-	-
Total	65.8	62.0	(3.8)	(2.7)		-	-

Beginning Balance Proposed Surcharge Revenue

End of Year Balance

shaded areas are same three columns as hand written copy

-

-

Page 7 of 8

Avista UE-011595 Exhibit 17

Commission scenarios

ERM Example: AFTER Schedule 93 is Closed

Scenario 7: Low Power Costs

Assume: Calendar 2005, beginning balance of \$0M

					WA	90%	Derived
	System	System	System	66.29%	Cumulative	Cumulative	Monthly
Months	BASE Power Cost	ACTUAL Power Cost	Difference	WA Allocation	Difference	WA Deferral	Deferral entry
Jan	9.1	6.0	(3.1)	(2.1)	(2.1)	-	-
Feb	7.3	5.0	(2.3)	(1.5)	(3.6)	-	-
Mar	7.6	5.0	(2.6)	(1.7)	(5.3)	-	-
Apr	3.5	1.0	(2.5)	(1.7)	(7.0)	-	-
May	(0.3)	(3.0)	(2.7)	(1.8)	(8.8)	-	-
June	(4.4)	(6.0)	(1.6)	(1.1)	(9.9)	(0.8)	(0.8)
July	1.4	2.0	0.6	0.4	(9.5)	(0.5)	0.4
Aug	8.0	6.0	(2.0)	(1.3)	(10.8)	(1.6)	(1.2)
Sep	7.1	4.0	(3.1)	(2.1)	(12.9)	(3.5)	(1.9)
Oct	9.0	6.0	(3.0)	(2.0)	(14.9)	(5.3)	(1.8)
Nov	9.3	5.0	(4.3)	(2.9)	(17.8)	(7.9)	(2.6)
Dec	8.2	5.0	(3.2)	(2.1)	(19.9)	(9.8)	(1.9)
Total	65.8	36.0	(29.8)	(19.9)		(9.8)	(9.8)

Beginning Balance Proposed Surcharge Revenue

End of Year Balance

*2 Balance does not exceed threshhold of minus \$27.8M. Avista makes no filing to reduce rates.

shaded areas are same three columns as hand written copy

(9.8) *2

Page 8 of 8

. . . .

Avista UE-011595 Exhibit 17

Commission scenarios

ERM Example: AFTER Schedule 93 is Closed

Scenario 8: VERY HIGH Power Costs

Assume: Calendar 2005, beginning balance of \$0M

					WA	90%	Derived	
	System	System	System	66.29%	Cumulative	Cumulative	Monthly	
Months	BASE Power Cost	ACTUAL Power Cost	Difference	WA Allocation	Difference	WA Deferral	Deferral entry	
Jan	9.1	20.0	10.9	7.2	7.2	-	-	
Feb	7.3	18.0	10.7	7.1	14.3	4.8	4.8	
Mar	7.6	16.0	8.4	5.6	19.9	9.8	5.0	
Apr	3.5	6.0	2.5	1.7	21.6	11.3	1.5	
May	(0.3)	5.0	5.3	3.5	25.1	14.5	3.2	
June	(4.4)	6.0	10.4	6.9	32.0	20.7	6.2	
July	1.4	6.0	4.6	3.0	35.0	23.4	2.7	
Aug	8.0	12.0	4.0	2.7	37.7	25.8	2.4	
Sep	7.1	15.0	7.9	5.2	42.9	30.5	4.7	*3
Oct	9.0	20.0	11.0	7.3	50.2	37.1	6.6	
Nov	9.3	25.0	15.7	10.4	60.6	46.4	9.4	
Dec	8.2	25.0	16.8	11.1	71.7	56.4	10.0	
Total	65.8	174.0	108.2	71.7		56.4	56.4	
-	g Balance						-	
Propose	d Surcharge Revenu	е					-	
End of Y	ear Balance						56.4	

*3 At end of September the threshhold of \$27.8M is surpassed and a surcharge would be requested during October. The surcharge could be granted after the 90 day review period, i.e. after the beginning of the year.