

Received Records Management Nov 12, 2024

November 11, 2024

State of Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 621 Woodland Square Loop S.E. Lacey, Washington 98503

RE: UTC Docket TR-240783 –Petition to Modify Warning Devices at Thurston Avenue East (US DOT 807857V)

Dear Utilities and Transportation Commission:

This letter serves to confirm that Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) does not support the petition for the following reasons:

As mentioned to the City of Olympia in email correspondence dated 5/24/2024 (attached on separate page), a Diagnostic Meeting was requested and a preliminary engineering reimbursement agreement was requested, along with instructions to submit a formal inquiry into Union Pacific Railroad's Contact Center for Public Project. None of these actions were taken by the City of Olympia.

Moreover, the MUTCD Section 8A.05 was referenced in this correspondence, which requires an Engineering Study be performed when determining an appropriate traffic control system.

Very truly yours,

Amber Stoffels

Amber L. Stoffels Manager I Industry and Public Projects, Engineering

cc: Nick Petersen, UPRR Contractor (npetersen@benesch.com)

Petersen, Nicholas

From:	Petersen, Nicholas
Sent:	Friday, May 24, 2024 8:19 AM
To:	mmoore@ci.olympia.wa.us
Cc:	Stefan Krastev; Amber Stoffels
Subject:	807853T Olympia Avenue Northeast & 807857V Thurston Avenue Northeast
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

Mr. Moore

Hello and good morning!

I have been corresponding with Stefan regarding the City of Olympia's request to switch both of the referenced crossings from Yield to Stop signs.

When this occurs, an Engineering Study (Diagnostic Meeting) is required with all stakeholders. Please reference the MUTCD Section 8A.05. This references a Federal Guideline from the USDOT/Federal Highway Administration.

r	The appropriate traffic control system to be used at a grade crossing shall be determined based on a engineering study conducted by a Diagnostic Team involving the highway agency with jurisdiction, the gulatory agency with statutory authority (if applicable), and the railroad company and/or transit agency s applicable).
C	ption:
02 CI	The regulatory agency with statutory authority (if applicable) may approve the grade crossing traffic ontrol system.
G	uidance:
ti d tr a	Among the factors that should be considered in the determination by a Diagnostic Team of which traffic ontrol devices would be appropriate to install at a grade crossing are road geometrics, stopping sight distance, earing sight distance, the proximity of nearby roadway intersections (including the traffic control devices at e intersections), adjacent driveways, traffic volume across the grade crossing, extent of queuing upstream or ownstream from the grade crossing, train volume, pedestrian and bicycle volumes, operation of passenger ains, presence of nearby passenger station stops, maximum allowable train speeds, variable train speeds, ccelerating and decelerating trains, multiple tracks, high-speed train operation, number of school buses or traddous material haul vehicles, and the crash history at or near the location.
C	ption:
	The engineering study may include the Highway-Rail Intersection (HRI) components of the National telligent Transportation Systems (ITS) architecture, which is a USDOT accepted method for linking the ghway, vehicles, and traffic management systems with rail operations and wayside equipment.
S	apport:
05 A	More detail on Highway-Rail Intersection components is available from the USDOT's Federal Railroad dministration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE, Washington, DC 20590, or www.fra.dot.gov.

With that said, and given the proposed work, the following need to be completed to advance the City's project.

- Submit a formal inquiry request via UPRR's Contact Center portal for Public Projects. Link here.
- A preliminary engineering/ reimbursement agreement for the general estimated amount of \$30,000.
 - i. The rationale of this stems from Union Pacific's Public Project Manual (screenshot below).

	TYPICAL				COMPLEX				
PROJECT TYPE	Prelim Eng Design Phase	+	C&M/Project	<agreement Type TOTAL</agreement 	Prelim Eng Design Phase	•	C&M/Project Construction Phase	<agreement Type TOTAL</agreement 	EX
		+	Construction Phase						
Railroad Warning Devices	\$25,000	+	\$30,000	\$55,000	\$50,000	÷	\$75,000	\$125,000	Typical – Stand Railroad Warnin changes Complex – Sigr Interconnect, Ro Multiple agencie
Railroad Crossing Surface	\$10,000	+	\$15,000	\$25,000	\$15,000	•	\$15,000	\$30,000	Typical – Standa Railroad track ar surface Complex – Reg Order Required
General Maintenance At Grade Crossing Roadway Work	\$5,000	+	\$10,000	\$15,000	\$10,000	+	\$10,000	\$20,000	Typical – Gener of roadway work Complex – Reg Order Required
General Maintenance Bridge Roadway Work	\$25,000	+	\$25,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	÷	\$50,000	\$100,000	Typical – Barrier renewal Complex – Deci Railroad Inner G
Grade Separation (RR Under)	\$25,000	+	\$50,000	\$75,000	\$200,000	•	\$200,000	\$400,000	Typical – Spans Grade Separatio Complex – Cros construction pha inner
Grade Separation (RR Over)	\$75,000	+	\$125,000	\$200,000	\$450,000	÷	\$400,000	\$850,000	Typical – Single track work Complex – Cros construction pha
									Typical – Levee industrial tracks

Table 11.1 Management and Design Review Costs

Please let me know if you have any other questions.

Sincerely,

Nick Petersen 402-672-1463

Nick Petersen Public Project Representative

npetersen@benesch.com direct: 402-475-6618 mobile: 402-672-1463





The information contained in this message may be privileged, confidential, protected from disclosure or subject to copyright/patent protection. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.