Verizon Northwest Inc. ("Verizon NW") submits this Preliminary Residential Late Payment Charge Report ("LPC Report") with its tariff filing Advice No. 3252. The report is a preliminary version of the final LPC Report due to be filed on August 15, 2007. Specifically, the preliminary LPC Report ("Preliminary Report") provides data from January through May 31, 2007; it will be updated to include June 2007 data, which is not currently available, when the LPC Report is submitted in final form on August 15, 2007.

This Preliminary Report also reproduces 2004 and 2005 data from the March 8, 2006 LPC Report, and 2006 data from the February 15, 2007 LPC Report. The purpose of the Reports is to allow parties and the Commission to monitor the results of implementation of the residential late payment charge authorized by the Commission in Order No. 15, and clarified by Order No. 17, in Docket UT-040788.

The Preliminary Report shows that from the implementation date of the residential Late Payment Charge through the first five months of 2007, the LPC is succeeding in its goal of appropriately motivating residential customers to pay their bills on a timely basis. Moreover, with regard to the two issues of interest to the Commission (see paragraph 28 of Order No. 15 in Docket No. UT-040788: (i) the data confirm that implementation of the charge has reduced the number of late payments (including significant reductions in late payment charges assessed on customers eligible for the Washington Telephone Assistance Program) and (ii) its implementation has no adverse effect on the disconnection rate because a customer's basic local service is not disconnected for nonpayment of the LPC.

Highlights

Revenue

Overall LPC Assessment Levels

The overall amount of overdue residential service payments has dropped by thirty percent since June 2005.

- From June 2005 through December 2005, the proportion of residential customer lines assessed the LPC to total customer lines dropped from ***** to *****. (Page 4, Col K, Lines 144-150).
- By the end of 2006, the proportion of residential customer lines assessed the LPC to total customer lines had dropped to *****. (Page 4, Col. K, Lines 151-162).
- In May 2007 the proportion of residential customer lines being assessed the LPC to total customer lines had dropped to *****. (Page 4, Col. K, Lines 163-167).

Disconnects

Verizon NW does not disconnect local service for nonpayment of the LPC. Thus, the LPC has no impact on disconnection levels.

WTAP Assessment Levels

The amount of overdue WTAP service payments has dropped by thirty percent since June 2005.

- From June 2005 through December 2005, the proportion of residential WTAP customer lines assessed the LPC to total WTAP customer lines dropped from ***** to *****. (Page 4, Col J, Lines 144-150).
- By the end of 2006, the proportion of residential WTAP customer lines assessed the LPC to total WTAP customer lines had dropped to *****. (Page 4, Col J, Lines 151-162).
- In May 2007, the proportion of residential WTAP customer lines assessed the LPC to total WTAP customer lines had dropped to *****. (Page 4, Col J, Lines 163-167).

Report Roadmap

Verizon NW provides a guide below that shows the location of each data item¹ in the report.

Estimated LPC units (Jan '04 – May '05)

Non-WTAPWTAPCombined	Page 1 Page 2 Page 3	Col. D Col. D Col. D	Lns. 1-17 Lns. 43-59 Lns. 85-101
Actual LPC units (Jun '05 – May '07)			
• Non-WTAP	Page 1	Col. D	Lns. 18-41
• WTAP	Page 2	Col. D	Lns. 60-83
• Combined	Page 3	Col. D	Lns. 102-125
Actual LPC Revenue (Jun '05 – Dec '06)			
• Non-WTAP	Page 1	Col. F	Lns. 18-41
• WTAP	Page 2	Col. F	Lns. 60-83
Combined	Page 3	Col. F	Lns. 102-125

¹ Verizon NW agreed to these data items in its June 3, 2005 letter to Ms. Carole Washburn.

Estimated % of customers assessed LPC (Jan '04 – May '05)					
Non-WTAP	Page 4	Col. F	Lns. 127-143		
• WTAP	Page 4	Col. G	Lns. 127-143		
Combined	Page 4	Col. H	Lns. 127-143		
Actual % of customers Charged an LPC (Jun '05 – May '07)					
Non-WTAP	Page 4	Col. I	Lns. 144-167		
• WTAP	Page 4	Col. J	Lns. 144-167		
Combined	Page 4	Col. K	Lns. 144-167		
Average Monthly LPC Accounts by Period	d See Boxes on Page 3				
Total LPC Revenue (Jun '05 – May '07)	See Boxes on Page 3				
Disconnects (Jan '04 – May '07)					
Combined	Page 5	Col. C	Lns. 1-41		
• WTAP	Page 5	Col. F	Lns. 1-41		
Average Monthly Disconnects by Period	See Boxes on Page 5				
Distribution Data 30/60/90 Days					
Non-WTAP	Page 1	Col. G/H/I	Lns. 1-41		
• WTAP	Page 2	Col. G/H/I	Lns. 43-83		
• Combined	Page 3	Col. G/H/I	Lns. 85-125		
Customer Accounts Charged the \$2.50 Late	e Fee (per mon	th)			
• Non-WTAP	Page 6,7	Col. D	Lns. 18-41		
• WTAP	Page 8	Col. D	Lns. 60-83		
• Combined	Page 9	Col. D	Lns. 102-125		
Total Dollar Amount Applied to the \$2.50 Late Fee (per month)					
• Non-WTAP	Page 6,7	Col. F	Lns. 18-41		
• WTAP	Page 8	Col. F	Lns. 60-83		
• Combined	Page 9	Col. F	Lns. 102-125		
Customer Accounts Charged the 1.5% Late Fee (per month)					
• Non-WTAP	Page 10	Col. D	Lns. 144-167		
• WTAP	Page 11	Col. D	Lns. 186-209		
Combined	Page 12	Col. D	Lns. 228-251		

 Total Dollar Amount Applied to the 1.5% I Non-WTAP WTAP Combined 	Late Fee (per m Page 10 Page 11 Page 12	oonth) Col. F Col. F Col. F	Lns. 144-167 Lns. 186-209 Lns. 228-251	
 Avg. Dollar Amount Applied per Customer Non-WTAP WTAP Combined 	r – 1.5% Late F Page 10 Page 11 Page 12	Fee (per month) Col. M Col. M Col. M	Lns. 144-167 Lns. 186-209 Lns. 228-251	
Avg. Monthly Accounts Charged a \$2.50 LPCSee Boxes on Page 13				
Avg. Monthly Accounts Charged a 1.5% LPC		See Boxes on Page 13		
Avg. Charge per Account for 1.5% LPC	See E	loxes on Page 1	3	