Exhibit No. BGM-12 Dockets UE-160228/UG-160229 Witness: Bradley G. Mullins

BEFORE THE

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UITILITIES AND) DOCKETS UE-160228 and
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION) UG-160229 (Consolidated)
)
Complainant,)
)
v.)
)
AVISTA CORPORATION d/b/a)
AVISTA UTILITIES)
)
Respondent.)
AVISTA CORPORATION d/b/a AVISTA UTILITIES)))))

EXHIBIT NO. BGM-12

UPDATED NATURAL GAS ATTRITION ALLOWANCE MODEL

September 19, 2016

WASHINGTON NATURAL GAS ATTRITION ALLOWANCE STUDY

Calculation of Attrition Allowance Revenue Requirement Test Period: Twelve Months Ending December 31, 2015 (000's of Dollars)

Line No.	Description	(a) Attrition Allowance Balances	(b) Revenue Growth Factor	(c) Attrition Allowance Study Results
1	2017 Rate Base	\$ 279,455	1.01800	\$ 274,514
2	Proposed Rate of Return			7.25%
3	Net Operating Income Requirement			\$ 19,902
4	2017 Net Operating Income (at 2016 rates)	\$ 21,529	1.01800	\$ 21,148
5	2017 Rate of Return (at 2016 rates)			7.70%
6	2017 Net Operating Income Deficiency			\$ (1,246)
7	Gross-up Conversion Factor			0.62000
8	2017 Attrition Allowance Revenue Deficiency			\$ (2,010)
9	2017 Total General Business Revenues (at 2016 rates)			\$ 88,474
10	Attrition Allowance 2017 Revenue Requirement			\$ 86,464
11	Percent Base Revenue Requirement Change (vs. 2016)			-2.27%
12	2017 Total Present Billed Revenue			\$ 158,581
13	Percentage Billed Revenue Increase			-1.27%

Cost of Capital

Washington - Natural Gas System Twelve Months Ending December 31, 2015

pital Structure			_
Component	Capital Structure	Cost	Weighted
Component	Structure	Cost	Cost
Total Debt	51.50%	5.51%	2.84%
Common	48.50%	9.10%	4.41%
Total	100.00%	- =	7.25%

Revenue Conversion Factor

Washington -Natural Gas System
Twelve Months Ending December 31, 2015

Line		
No.	Description	Factor
1	Revenues	1.000000
	Expense:	
2	Uncollectibles	0.005855
3	Commission Fees	0.002000
4	Washington Excise Tax	0.038294
5	Total Expense	0.046150
6	Net Operating Income Before FIT	0.953850
7	Federal Income Tax @ 35%	0.333848
8	REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR	0.620003

2017 NATURAL GAS ATTRITION ALLOWANCE REVENUE REQUIREMENT CALCULATION

	\$000s		Establish A	ttrition Base			Escal	Results		
Line No.	DESCRIPTION	12ME 12.2015 AMA Commission Basis Report Totals	Regulatory Amorts & Misc Adjs	Pro Forma Revenue Normalization Adjustment	12ME 12.2015 AMA Escalation Base	Escalation Rate	Escalation Amount [E] *[F]=[G]	Trended 2017 Non-Energy Cost [E]+[G]=[H]	(plus) Revenue Growth	2017 Results [H]+[I] = [K]
		[A]	[B]	[C]	[E]	[F]	[G]	[H]	[I]	[K]
1	REVENUES									
2	Total General Business	\$ 149,865	\$ -	\$ (65,808)	\$ 84,057	1.90%		\$ 84,057	\$ 1,597	\$ 85,654
3	Total Transportation	4,054	-	362	4,416	-0.10%		4,416	(4)	4,412
4	Other Revenues	7,129	-	(6,914)	215	0.00%		215	-	215
5	Total Gas Revenues	161,048	-	(72,360)	88,688		-	88,688	1,592	90,280
6 7	EXPENSES Production Expenses									
8	City Gate Purchases	79.634		(79,634)						
9	Purchased Gas Expense	840	-	(79,034)	841	1.92%	16	857		857
10	Net Nat Gas Storage Trans	840	-	1	041	1.9270	10	657		657
11	Total Production	80,474	-	(79,633)	841		16	857	_	857
12	Underground Storage									
13	Operating Expenses	857	_	_	857	2.61%	22	879		879
14	Depreciation/Amortization	439	-	-	439	3.76%	17	456		456
15	Taxes	196	-	-	196	0.00%	-	196		196
16	Total Underground Storage	1,492	-	-	1,492		39	1,531	-	1,531
17	Distribution									
18	Operating Expenses	12,315	-	-	12,315	7.85%	967	13,282		13,282
19	Depreciation/Amortization	9,088	-	-	9,088	6.67%	606	9,694		9,694
20	Taxes	8,554	-	(2,506)	6,048	6.14%	371	6,419	61	6,480
21	Total Distribution	29,957	-	(2,506)	27,451		1,944	29,395	61	29,456
22	Customer Accounting	6,632	-	(383)		5.54%	346	6,595		6,604
23	Customer Service & Information	837	-	-	837	2.04%	17	854		854
24	Sales Expenses	-	-	-	-		-	-		-
25	Administrative & General			-						
26	Operating Expenses*	14,007	(275)	(131)	13,601	3.86%	525	14,126	3	14,129
27	Depreciation/Amortization	5,649	-	-	5,649	8.72%	493	6,142		6,142
28	Regulatory Amortizations	(2,087)	\$ 2,626	-	539	·	-	539		539
29	Taxes		-	-				-		
30	Total Admin. & General	17,569	2,351	(131)			1,018	20,807	3	20,810
31	Total Gas Expense	136,961	2,351	(82,653)	56,659		3,380	60,039	73	60,112
32	OPERATING INCOME BEFORE FIT	24,087	(2,351)	10,293	32,029		(3,380)	28,649	1,519	30,168

*Note: Includes adjustment to reflect 50%/50% sharing of director fees based on Company's initial filing

2017 NATURAL GAS ATTRITION ALLOWANCE REVENUE REQUIREMENT CALCULATION

	\$000s		Establish A	ttrition Base			Escal	ate Non-Energ	y Cost	Results
<u>ine</u> No.	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	12ME 12.2015 AMA Commission Basis Report Totals	Regulatory Amorts & Misc Adjs	Pro Forma Revenue Normalization Adjustment	12ME 12.2015 AMA Escalation Base	Escalation Rate	Escalation Amount [E] *[F]=[G]	Trended 2017 Non-Energy Cost [E]+[G]=[H]	(plus) Revenue Growth	2017 Results [H]+[I] = [K]
		[A]	[B]	[C]	[E]	[F]	[G]	[H]	[I]	[K]
	EDERAL INCOME TAX									
	Current Accrual	\$ (456)	\$ (1,503)	\$ 3,622	\$ 1,663		\$ (1,183)		\$ 532	\$ 1,011
	Debt Interest	(37)	33	-	(4)		(186)	` '		(190)
	Deferred FIT	7,838	-	-	7,838			7,838		7,838
37 A	Amort ITC	(20)	-	-	(20)			(20)		(20)
38 N	NET OPERATING INCOME	16,762	(880)	6,671	22,552		(2,011)	20,541	988	21,529
39 R	ATE BASE									
40 P	LANT IN SERVICE									
41	Underground Storage	25,720	-	-	25,720	0.59%	152	25,872		25,872
42	Distribution Plant	360,612	-		360,612	6.17%	22,250	382,862		382,862
43	General Plant	75,514	-	-	75,514	9.93%	7,499	83,013		83,013
44 T	otal Plant in Service	461,846	-	-	461,846		29,900	491,746		491,746
45 A	ACCUMULATED DEPR/AMORT									
46	Underground Storage	(9,906)	-	-	(9,906)	0.59%	(58)	(9,964)		(9,964
47	Distribution Plant	(121,623)	-		(121,623)	6.17%	(7,504)	(129,127)		(129,127
48	General Plant	(20,741)	-	-	(20,741)	9.93%	(2,060)	(22,801)		(22,801
49 T	Total Accumulated Depr/Amort	(152,270)	-	-	(152,270)		(9,622)	(161,892)		(161,892
50 N	NET PLANT	309,576	-	-	309,576		20,278	329,854		329,854
51 D	DEFERRED TAXES	(64,929)	-		(64,929)	16.03%	(10,408)	(75,337)		(75,337
52 N	Vet Plant After DFIT	244,647	-	-	244,647		9,870	254,517		254,517
53 G	GAS INVENTORY	12,740	-	-	12,740	0.00%	-	12,740		12,740
54 G	GAIN ON SALE OF BUILDING	-	-	-	-	0.00%	-	-		-
55 O	OTHER	(485)	-	-	(485)	0.00%	-	(485)		(485
	VORKING CAPITAL	16,069	\$ (3,386)	-	12,683	0.00%	-	12,683		12,683
	cess: Plant Not Used and Useful COTAL RATE BASE	272,971	(3,386)	-	269,585		9,870	279,455		279,455
59 R	ATE OF RETURN	6.14%								7.70%
60 A	ATTRITION ALLOWANCE REVENUE RE	QUIREMENT								
	roposed Rate of Return									7.25%
	Net Operating Income Requirement									\$ 20,260
	Net Operating Income per Above									21,529
	Net Operating Income Deficiency									(1,268
	Conversion Factor									0.62000
	Revenue Requirement									(2,046
	Revenue Growth Factor									1.018000
	Attrition Allowance Revenue Requirement									(2,010

Cost / Rate Base Category: Purchased Gas Expense


Selected trend period highlighted green and displayed as green dots in figure

Narrative

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Purchased Gas Expense Remove Gas Cost	(1,915) 2,078	988 (756)	1,177 (916)	1,186 (901)	369 -	651 -	653 -	792 -	(9,103) 9,886	803	800	14 967	130 798	891	779	840
Total Purchased Gas Expense	163	232	261	285	369	651	653	792	783	803	800	981	928	891	779	840

Statistics (Over Highlighted Period)

Amounts reflected in the purchased gas expense category of cost have remained relatively flat since 2007. While the data does not indicate a strong upward trend in recent years, my model uses the Company's escalation period of 2007 to 2015 for this category of cost.



Cost / Rate Base Category: Underground Storage Operating Expense

Selected trend period highlighted green and displayed as green dots in figure


	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Underground Storage Operating Expense	312	322	357	342	381	450	492	451	436	403	380	585	712	820	893	857
Total Underground Storage Operating Expense	312	322	357	342	381	450	492	451	436	403	380	585	712	820	893	857

Statistics (Over Highlighted Period)	_
Slope of Best-Fit Line	11
R-Squared of Best Fit	0.40246
Annual Growth Rate (% of 2015)	1.30%
2-year Growth Rate	2.61%

\$ Millions

Narrative

The underground storage operating expense category of cost was relatively flat over the period 2000 through 2009. Then, beginning in 2010, this category of cost began to increase, only to level-off again between 2013 and 2015. The large increase in 2010 may be related to a reversionary interest held by Avista in the Jackson Prairie gas storage facility. The increases around the 2010 time-frame may also be related to some non-recurring investments in the Jackson Prairie storage facility to increase the capacity of the storage facility in 2010. Because, the increases that occurred between 2010 and 2013 appears to be related to non-recurring events, I viewed it to be more appropriate to exclude the impact of that period from the trend calculation. Accordingly, my model uses the historical trend that occurred over the period 2000 through 2009, which result in an assumption that this category of cost will remain relatively flat in future periods. Certainly, the most recent three years indicates that the Company has been controlling this expenses and that it should be able to further control this expense in the future period.



Cost / Rate Base Category: <u>Underground Storage Depreciation and Amortization</u>


Narrative

Selected trend period highlighted green and displayed as green dots in figure

_	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Underground Storage Depreciation and Amor	314	314	297	309	309	310	312	310	276	393	348	395	438	380	402	439
Total Underground Storage Depreciation and Amortization	314	314	297	309	309	310	312	310	276	393	348	395	438	380	402	439

Statistics (Over Highlighted Period)	
Slope of Best-Fit Line	8
R-Squared of Best Fit	0.31021
Annual Growth Rate (% of 2015)	1.88%
2-year Growth Rate	3.76%

Over the period 2000 through 2008, this category of cost remained relatively flat, declining slightly. Beginning in 2009, however, the cost data begins to show a slightly different pattern, indicating a slight upward trend. While the pattern of this category of cost is not very well defined in the recent period, my model uses the linear trend over the period 2009 through 2015 for this category of cost.



\$ Millions

Cost / Rate Base Category:

Underground Storage Taxes Other Than Income Taxes

Selected trend period highlighted green and displayed as green dots in figure

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Underground Storage Taxes	111	108	120	118	120	115	122	95	113	121	116	19	17	158	184	196
Total Underground Storage Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	111	108	120	118	120	115	122		113	121	116	19	17	158	184	196

Statistics (Over Highlighted Period)

\$ Millions

 Slope of Best-Fit Line
 0


 R-Squared of Best Fit
 0.00214

 Annual Growth Rate (% of 2015)
 0.06%

 2-year Growth Rate
 0.00%

Narrative

This category of cost was irregular in the recent historical period. While it remained flat from 2000 through 2010, the expense dropped to nearly zero in 2011 and 2012, only to increase to even greater levels through 2015. As no discernable trend can be derived from the recent cost data, my model assumes no trend for this category of cost, which is consistent with the trend experienced from 2000 to 2010.



Cost / Rate Base Category: <u>Distribution Operating Expenses</u>


Selected trend period highlighted green and displayed as green dots in figure

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Distribution Operating Expenses	3,956	4,655	5,482	5,762	5,958	6,084	6,359	6,467	6,123	7,700	7,696	8,854	9,511	10,820	10,704	12,315
Total Distribution Operating Expenses	3,956	4,655	5,482	5,762	5,958	6,084	6,359	6,467	6,123	7,700	7,696	8,854	9,511	10,820	10,704	12,315

Statistics (Over Highlighted Period)									
Slope of Best-Fit Line	483								
R-Squared of Best Fit	0.91544								
Annual Growth Rate (% of 2015)	3.92%								
2-vear Growth Rate	7.85%								

Narrative

The category of cost related to distribution operating expenses has grown at a fairly steady rate over the historical period. The Company's proposed escalation period of 2007 through 2015 is not preferable for this category of cost, however, because the category of cost experienced a decline between 2006 and 2008. If the 2007 through 2015 period is to be used, the trend calculation will be starting at a low point in the longer term trend, and thus, potentially producing an escalation rate that is too high, relative to the long term trend. Accordingly, my model uses the entire historical period to calculate the escalation rate for this category of cost.



Cost / Rate Base Category: <u>Distribution Depreciation Expense</u>


Selected trend period highlighted green and displayed as green dots in figure

Narrative

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Distribution Depreciation Expense	4,184	4,390	4,496	4,707	4,902	5,088	5,369	5,605	5,673	6,064	6,367	6,649	6,978	7,925	8,513	9,088
Total Distribution Depreciation Expense	4,184	4,390	4,496	4,707	4,902	5,088	5,369	5,605	5,673	6,064	6,367	6,649	6,978	7,925	8,513	9,088

Statistics (Over Highlighted Period)									
Slope of Best-Fit Line	303								
R-Squared of Best Fit	0.92964								
Annual Growth Rate (% of 2015)	3.33%								
2-year Growth Rate	6.67%								

Distribution depreciation expense experienced historical growth patterns similar to that of distribution operations expense and have grown at a fairly steady rate over the historical period. For the same reason that the entire historical period was used for distribution operations expense, and for consistency purposes, my model uses the entire historical period to calculate the escalation rate for this category of cost.



Cost / Rate Base Category: Distribution Taxes Other Than Income Taxes

Selected trend period highlighted green and displayed as green dots in figure


_	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Distribution Taxes Other Than Income Taxes Less Gas Cost Taxes	4,919 (2,304)	7,315 (4,287)	8,070 (4,425)	7,205 (3,899)	8,213 (4,592)	8,573 (5,240)	9,457 (6,014)	9,844 (6,261)	8,941 (5,917)	8,746 (4,858)	7,223 (3,171)	8,051 (3,374)	7,825 (3,003)	8,116 (3,083)	8,719 (3,380)	8,554 (3,197)
Total Distribution Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	2,615	3,028	3,645	3,306	3,621	3,333	3,443	3,583	3,024	3,888	4,052	4,677	4,822	5,033	5,339	5,357

Statistics (Over Highlighted Period)								
Slope of Best-Fit Line	164							
R-Squared of Best Fit	0.81754							
Annual Growth Rate (% of 2015)	3.07%							
2-year Growth Rate	6.14%							

Statistics (Over Highlighted Period)

Narrative

Distribution taxes other than income taxes experienced historical growth patterns similar to that of distribution operations and depreciations expenses. However, the pattern of growth has been less steady compared to those other distribution-related categories of costs, varying more from the historical trend lines. For the same reason that the entire historical period was used for distribution operations expense, and for consistency purposes, my model uses the entire historical period to calculate the escalation rate for this category of cost. One point of interest related to this category of cost is that if a 2007 to 2015 escalation period is used, the trend line will be unnecessarily influenced by a decrease in this category of cost that occurred in 2008, as noted with distribution operations expense, starting the trend calculation in 2007 means that the trend line will be starting at a low point and will not necessarily be indicative of the longer term trend expected for this category of cost.



Cost / Rate Base Category: <u>Customer Accounting and Sales</u>

Selected trend period highlighted green and displayed as green dots in figure

Narrative


	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Customer Accounting and Sales Less: DSM, Decoupling and Gas Cost	3,878 (352)	3,812 (662)	4,483 (687)	4,613 (608)	4,764 (702)	4,569 (818)	4,721 (920)	5,003 (982)	5,134 (935)	6,083 (789)	5,339 (540)	5,743 (574)	5,799 (496)	6,273 (471)	6,108 (517)	6,632 (489)
Total Customer Accounting and Sales	3,526	3,150	3,796	4,005	4,062	3,751	3,801	4,021	4,199	5,294	4,799	5,169	5,303	5,802	5,591	6,143

Slope of Best-Fit Line 170 R-Squared of Best Fit 0.69136 Annual Growth Rate (% of 2015) 2.77% 2-year Growth Rate 5.54%

Statistics (Over Highlighted Period)

\$ Millions

While this category of cost has grown over the historical period, the rate of growth appears to have leveled-off over the period 2009 through 2015. Accordingly, my model uses an escalation period of 2009 through 2015 for this category of cost.



Cost / Rate Base Category: Customer Service & Information


Selected trend period highlighted green and displayed as green dots in figure

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Customer Service & Information Less: DSM Expense	507	1,513 (949)	2,252 (1,696)	2,747 (2,029)	480 -	3,523 (2,842)	1,061 -	4,658 (3,835)	5,169 (4,381)	7,609 (6,777)	9,505 (8,416)	9,777 (8,953)	6,955 (5,942)	983	865	837
Total Customer Service & Information	507	564	556	718	480	681	1,061	823	788	832	1,089	824	1,013	983	865	837

Statistics (Over Highlighted Period) Slope of Best-Fit Line 9 R-Squared of Best Fit 0.04917 Annual Growth Rate (% of 2015) 1.02% 2-year Growth Rate 2.04%

Narrative

This category of cost has varied widely over the historical period, and a clear trend is not necessarily present in the historical data. In addition, from the period 2006 through 2015, this category of cost has not increased, and, in fact, has declined very slightly. Because the data does not indicate a clear trend, my model uses the Company's proposed escalation period 2007 through 2015, although this category of cost is probably better modeled using no escalation.



Cost / Rate Base Category: Administrative and General Operations Expense


Selected trend period highlighted green and displayed as green dots in figure

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
A&G Operations Expense Less DSM and Gas Costs	8,004 (120)	7,578 (226)	9,399 (235)	9,827 (208)	8,911 (240)	9,196 (280)	8,393 (314)	8,901 (335)	9,706 (319)	9,770 (269)	11,383 (185)	11,585 (196)	13,419 (169)	11,862 (161)	12,777 (177)	14,007 (167)
Total Administrative and General Operations Expense	7,884	7,352	9,164	9,619	8,671	8,916	8,079	8,566	9,387	9,501	11,198	11,389	13,250	11,701	12,600	13,840

Statistics (Over Highlighted Period)									
Slope of Best-Fit Line	267								
R-Squared of Best Fit	0.14118								
Annual Growth Rate (% of 2015)	1.93%								
2-year Growth Rate	3.86%								

Narrative

While the category of cost related to administrative and general operations expense has experienced growth in the long term, over the past four years ,2012 through 2015, it has been flat, increasing only slightly. This is an indication that the Company has been controlling this expense and is capable of doing so in the future. It is also an indication that the long-term historical trend may not be the best indication of future growth in this category of cost. Accordingly, my model uses the period 2012 through 2015 to determine the escalation factor applicable to this category of cost.



Cost / Rate Base Category: Administrative and General Depreciation Expense


Selected trend period highlighted green and displayed as green dots in figure

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
A&G Depreciation Less: Decoupling Amort.	1,027	1,020	1,275	1,363	1,180	1,343	1,282	1,498 (85)	1,803 (432)	1,999 (710)	2,412 (494)	2,734 (494)	3,276 (183)	3,868	4,389	5,649
Total Administrative and General Depreciation Expense	1,027	1,020	1,275	1,363	1,180	1,343	1,282	1,413	1,371	1,289	1,918	2,240	3,093	3,868	4,389	5,649

Statistics (Over Highlighted Period)								
Slope of Best-Fit Line	246							
R-Squared of Best Fit	0.70428							
Annual Growth Rate (% of 2015)	4.36%							
2-year Growth Rate	8.72%							

Narrative

As noted for electric services, the degree of escalation in this category of cost is somewhat concerning, particularly because the Company maintains more control over the deployment of capital on general plant than for other categories of plant. In addition, since this sort of plant is typically a common cost, it is not clear how changing allocation factors are influencing the amount of cost allocated to Washington natural gas services over the historical period. This is an important consideration, as this category of cost appears to grow at a much greater rate for natural gas services than for electric services. This indicates that there may be some other unknown factors influencing the trend for natural gas services. For purposes of my model, I have used the entire historical period to calculate the escalation factor for this category of cost. While I remain concerned that growth in this category of cost is not well supported, I viewed the escalation over the long term historical period to produce a more reasonable result than the Company's proposed escalation period.



Cost / Rate Base Category: <u>Undgerground Storage Net Plant</u>


Selected trend period highlighted green and displayed as green dots in figure

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Gross Plant Depreciation Reserve	13,695 (6,192)	13,533 (6,220)	13,439 (6,115)	13,712 (6,495)	13,632 (6,659)	13,708 (6,924)	13,854 (7,249)	13,758 (7,427)	15,260 (7,581)	21,798 (7,807)	20,047 (7,912)	22,008 (8,286)	24,365 (8,677)	24,711 (9,088)	25,235 (9,521)	25,720 (9,906)
Total Undgerground Storage Net Plant	7,503	7,313	7,324	7,217	6,973	6,784	6,605	6,331	7,679	13,991	12,135	13,722	15,688	15,623	15,714	15,814

Statistics (Over Highlighted Period)							
Slope of Best-Fit Line	47						
R-Squared of Best Fit	0.58238						
Annual Growth Rate (% of 2015)	0.30%						
2-year Growth Rate	0.59%						

Narrative

The category of plant related to underground storage net plant remained relatively flat over the period 2000 through 2008. Then, in 2009, this category of plant experienced a sharp increase. Subsequent to 2009, this category of plant, returned to the historical flat pattern. In fact, over there period 2012 through 2015, the net balances applicable to this category of plant changed very little. Accordingly, my model uses the period 2012 through 2015 as the escalation period for this category of plant.



Cost / Rate Base Category: Distribution Net Plant

Selected trend period highlighted green and displayed as green dots in figure


	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Gross Plant Depreciation Reserve	169,436 (47,423)	178,943 (51,645)	185,314 (55,845)	191,970 (60,239)	200,079 (64,817)	208,396 (69,428)	219,287 (74,019)	229,417 (77,997)	240,778 (81,405)	255,976 (84,021)	269,469 (89,620)	281,279 (97,489)	296,152 (102,678)	313,469 (108,662)	337,894 (114,795)	360,612 (121,623)
Total Distribution Net Plant	122,013	127,298	129,469	131,731	135,262	138,968	145,268	151,420	159,373	171,955	179,849	183,790	193,474	204,807	223,099	238,989

Slope of Best-Fit Line	7,377
R-Squared of Best Fit	0.94062
Annual Growth Rate (% of 2015)	3.09%
2-year Growth Rate	6.17%

Statistics (Over Highlighted Period)

Narrative

Similar to distribution related expenses, this net plant associated with distribution increase at a steady rate over the historical period. Accordingly, my model used the entire historical period for this category of cost.



Cost / Rate Base Category: General Net Plant


Selected trend period highlighted green and displayed as green dots in figure

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Gross Plant Depreciation Reserve	14,347 (5,661)	15,060 (5,695)	15,368 (6,442)	16,112 (7,446)	16,499 (6,984)	17,878 (7,208)	20,791 (7,230)	21,708 (7,136)	24,256 (8,309)	27,747 (8,882)	33,401 (10,722)	38,971 (10,926)	44,809 (12,186)	52,223 (14,724)	59,169 (17,429)	75,514 (20,741)
		, ,			, , ,	, , ,	, ,	,	, . ,	, ,	, , ,	,				, , ,
Total General Net Plant	8,686	9,365	8,926	8,666	9,515	10,670	13,561	14,572	15,947	18,865	22,679	28,045	32,623	37,499	41,740	54,773

Statistics (Over Highlighted Period)								
Slope of Best-Fit Line	2,719							
R-Squared of Best Fit	0.84346							
Annual Growth Rate (% of 2015)	4.96%							
2-year Growth Rate	9.93%							

Narrative

As noted for administrative and general depreciation expense, the growth in the gneral net plant category is concerning because it is a category of investment over which the Company typically has more control. In addition, because this is a common cost, it is also unknown how changing allocation factors have influenced the trend over time. For purposes of my model, I have used the entire historical period to calculate the escalation factor for this category of cost. While I remain concerned that growth in this category of cost is not well supported, I viewed the escalation over the long term historical period to produce a more reasonable result than the Company's proposed escalation period.



Cost / Rate Base Category: Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes

Selected trend period highlighted green and displayed as green dots in figure

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	13,317	14,476	15,979	22,570	26,800	23,805	24,645	26,823	28,945	31,005	36,762	42,004	46,498	50,170	54,652	64,929
Total Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	13,317	14,476	15,979	22,570	26,800	23,805	24,645	26,823	28,945	31,005	36,762	42,004	46,498	50,170	54,652	64,929

Statistics (Over Highlighted Period)								
Slope of Best-Fit Line	5,204							
R-Squared of Best Fit	0.97895							
Annual Growth Rate (% of 2015)	8.02%							
2-year Growth Rate	16.03%							

Narrative

Because of the availability of bonus and accelerated depreciation for tax purposes, my expectation was that deferred income tax balances would grow at rate in excess of the rate of growth applicable to net plant. Upon review of the data, it appears that the rate of growth in this category of cost over the long term has generally aligned with the rate of growth applicable to other plant categories. However, in recent years, the growth in this category of cost appears to have increased. Accordingly, my model uses the period 2009 through 2015 to establish the escalation rate for this category of cost.

