Docket No. TP-220513 - Vol. V WUTC v. Puget Sound Pilots April 6, 2023



206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 <u>www.buellrealtime.com</u>

email: <u>info@buellrealtime.com</u>



Page 367			Page 369
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION	1	EXAMINATION	
COMMISSION	2	EXAMINATION	PAGE
	3	Philip Essex	TAGE
) WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND)	4	Cross by Mr. Block	372
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)	5	Redirect by Mr. Brickenstein	
)	6		385
Complainant,) Docket No. TP-220513	1	Sean McCarthy	000
)	7	Cross by Ms. DeLappe	388
V.)	8	Redirect by Mr. Haglund	412
PUGET SOUND PILOTS,)	9	Bruce McNeil	
)	10	Cross by Ms. DeLappe	428
Respondents.)	11	Redirect by Mr. Haglund	440
	12	David Lough	
VIDEOCONFERENCE EVIDENTIARY HEARING - VOLUME V	13	Cross by Ms. DeLappe	450
Pages 367-667 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MICHAEL HOWARD	14	Redirect by Mr. Haglund	510
ABINING HATTIVE BIT OF GODGE WIND IN LET HOW AND	15	Cross by Mr. Callaghan	510
April 6, 2023	16	Redirect by Mr. Haglund	515
9:05 a.m.	17	Kathy Metcalf	
(All proficiences and configurations of a side and formation)	18	Cross by Mr. Haglund	518
(All participants appearing via videoconference.)	19	Redirect by Ms. DeLappe	544
	20	Michael Moore	544
	21		EE1
	1	Cross by Mr. Haglund	551
	22	Redirect by Ms. DeLappe	659
	23	Cross by Mr. Callaghan	663
DATE TAKEN: April 6, 2023	24		
REPORTED BY: CARISA KITSELMAN, RPR, CCR 2018	25		
Page 368			Page 370
1 APPEARANCES	1	* * * *	
2 FOR PUGET SOUND PILOTS: (via Zoom)	2	JUDGE HOWARD: Back on the	rocord It's
3 MICHAEL HAGLUND ERIC BRICKENSTEIN	3		
4 Haglund Kelly LLP		9:05 a.m. We're starting our second	
2177 Southwest Broadway 5 Portland, Oregon 97201	4	hearing in docket TP-220513. We're	going to resume
503.225.0777	5	with PSP's witnesses in a moment.	
6 haglund@hk-law.com ebrickenstein@hk-law.com	6		
	1	Could we have appearances sho	ort appearances
7	7	from the parties before we begin?	ort appearances
	7 8	from the parties before we begin? Turn first to PSP.	
FOR COMMISSION STAFF: (via Zoom) 8 NASH CALLAGHAN	7	from the parties before we begin?	
7 FOR COMMISSION STAFF: (via Zoom) 8	7 8	from the parties before we begin? Turn first to PSP. MR. HAGLUND: Mike Haglund, and my colleague, Eric Brickenstein, v	Haglund Kelly, who will be
FOR COMMISSION STAFF: (via Zoom) NASH CALLAGHAN Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504	7 8 9	from the parties before we begin? Turn first to PSP. MR. HAGLUND: Mike Haglund,	Haglund Kelly, who will be
FOR COMMISSION STAFF: (via Zoom) NASH CALLAGHAN Sistant Attorney General P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.915.4521	7 8 9 10	from the parties before we begin? Turn first to PSP. MR. HAGLUND: Mike Haglund, and my colleague, Eric Brickenstein, v	Haglund Kelly, who will be
FOR COMMISSION STAFF: (via Zoom) NASH CALLAGHAN NASH CALLAGHAN Ssistant Attorney General P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.915.4521 nash.callaghan@utc.wa.gov FOR PACIFIC MERCHANT SHIPPING ASSOCIATION: (via Zoom)	7 8 9 10 11	from the parties before we begin? Turn first to PSP. MR. HAGLUND: Mike Haglund, and my colleague, Eric Brickenstein, v covering Mr. Essex, on behalf of Puge	Haglund Kelly, who will be
FOR COMMISSION STAFF: (via Zoom) NASH CALLAGHAN Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.915.4521 nash.callaghan@utc.wa.gov	7 8 9 10 11 12	from the parties before we begin? Turn first to PSP. MR. HAGLUND: Mike Haglund, and my colleague, Eric Brickenstein, v covering Mr. Essex, on behalf of Puge JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could I hear from Staff?	Haglund Kelly, who will be at Sound Pilots.
7 FOR COMMISSION STAFF: (via Zoom) 8 NASH CALLAGHAN 9 Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 47250 10 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.915.4521 11 nash.callaghan@utc.wa.gov FOR PACIFIC MERCHANT SHIPPING ASSOCIATION: (via Zoom) 13 MICHELLE DELAPPE Fox Rothschild LLP 14 1001 Fourth Avenue	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	from the parties before we begin? Turn first to PSP. MR. HAGLUND: Mike Haglund, and my colleague, Eric Brickenstein, v covering Mr. Essex, on behalf of Puge JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could I hear from Staff? MR. CALLAGHAN: Nash Callage	Haglund Kelly, who will be at Sound Pilots. han, assistant
7 FOR COMMISSION STAFF: (via Zoom) 8 NASH CALLAGHAN 9 Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 47250 10 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.915, 4521 11 nash.callaghan@utc.wa.gov 12 FOR PACIFIC MERCHANT SHIPPING ASSOCIATION: (via Zoom) 13 MICHELLE DeLAPPE Fox Rothschild LLP 14 1001 Fourth Avenue Suite 4400	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from the parties before we begin? Turn first to PSP. MR. HAGLUND: Mike Haglund, and my colleague, Eric Brickenstein, v covering Mr. Essex, on behalf of Puge JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could I hear from Staff? MR. CALLAGHAN: Nash Callag attorney general on behalf of Commis	Haglund Kelly, who will be et Sound Pilots. han, assistant sion Staff.
7 FOR COMMISSION STAFF: (via Zoom) 8 NASH CALLAGHAN 9 Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 47250 10 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.915.4521 11 nash.callaghan@utc.wa.gov FOR PACIFIC MERCHANT SHIPPING ASSOCIATION: (via Zoom) 13 MICHELLE DeLAPPE Fox Rothschild LLP 14 1001 Fourth Avenue Suite 4400 15 Seattle, Washington 98154 206.389.1668	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from the parties before we begin? Turn first to PSP. MR. HAGLUND: Mike Haglund, and my colleague, Eric Brickenstein, v covering Mr. Essex, on behalf of Puge JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could I hear from Staff? MR. CALLAGHAN: Nash Callag attorney general on behalf of Commis JUDGE HOWARD: And could I	Haglund Kelly, who will be et Sound Pilots. han, assistant sion Staff. hear from PMSA?
7 FOR COMMISSION STAFF: (via Zoom) 8 NASH CALLAGHAN 9 Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 47250 10 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.915.4521 11 nash.callaghan@utc.wa.gov 12 FOR PACIFIC MERCHANT SHIPPING ASSOCIATION: (via Zoom) 13 MICHELLE DeLAPPE Fox Rothschild LLP 14 1001 Fourth Avenue Suite 4400 15 Seattle, Washington 98154 206.389.1668 16 mdelappe@foxrothschild.com	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	from the parties before we begin? Turn first to PSP. MR. HAGLUND: Mike Haglund, and my colleague, Eric Brickenstein, v covering Mr. Essex, on behalf of Puge JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could I hear from Staff? MR. CALLAGHAN: Nash Callag attorney general on behalf of Commis JUDGE HOWARD: And could I MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLap	Haglund Kelly, who will be et Sound Pilots. han, assistant sion Staff. hear from PMSA?
7 FOR COMMISSION STAFF: (via Zoom) 8 NASH CALLAGHAN 9 Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 47250 10 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.915.4521 11 nash.callaghan@utc.wa.gov FOR PACIFIC MERCHANT SHIPPING ASSOCIATION: (via Zoom) MICHELLE DeLAPPE Fox Rothschild LLP 1001 Fourth Avenue Suite 4400 15 Seattle, Washington 98154 206.389.1668 mdelappe@foxrothschild.com FOR TOTE: (via Zoom) 8 STEVEN W. BLOCK	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	from the parties before we begin? Turn first to PSP. MR. HAGLUND: Mike Haglund, and my colleague, Eric Brickenstein, v covering Mr. Essex, on behalf of Puge JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could I hear from Staff? MR. CALLAGHAN: Nash Callag attorney general on behalf of Commis JUDGE HOWARD: And could I MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLap PMSA. Thank you.	Haglund Kelly, who will be et Sound Pilots. han, assistant sion Staff. hear from PMSA? pe on behalf of
FOR COMMISSION STAFF: (via Zoom) NASH CALLAGHAN Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.915.4521 nash.callaghan@utc.wa.gov FOR PACIFIC MERCHANT SHIPPING ASSOCIATION: (via Zoom) MICHELLE DeLAPPE Fox Rothschild LLP 14 1001 Fourth Avenue Suite 4400 Seattle, Washington 98154 206.389.1668 mdelappe@foxrothschild.com FOR TOTE: (via Zoom) STEVEN W. BLOCK Lane Powell	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from the parties before we begin? Turn first to PSP. MR. HAGLUND: Mike Haglund, and my colleague, Eric Brickenstein, v covering Mr. Essex, on behalf of Puge JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could I hear from Staff? MR. CALLAGHAN: Nash Callag attorney general on behalf of Commis JUDGE HOWARD: And could I MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLap PMSA. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.	Haglund Kelly, who will be et Sound Pilots. han, assistant sion Staff. hear from PMSA? pe on behalf of
7 FOR COMMISSION STAFF: (via Zoom) 8 NASH CALLAGHAN 9 Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 47250 10 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.915.4521 nash.callaghan@utc.wa.gov FOR PACIFIC MERCHANT SHIPPING ASSOCIATION: (via Zoom) MICHELLE Det.APPE Fox Rothschild LLP 1001 Fourth Avenue Suite 4400 15 Seattle, Washington 98154 206.389.1668 mdelappe@foxrothschild.com FOR TOTE: (via Zoom) 8 STEVEN W. BLOCK Lane Powell 19 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 4200	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	from the parties before we begin? Turn first to PSP. MR. HAGLUND: Mike Haglund, and my colleague, Eric Brickenstein, v covering Mr. Essex, on behalf of Puge JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could I hear from Staff? MR. CALLAGHAN: Nash Callag attorney general on behalf of Commis JUDGE HOWARD: And could I MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLap PMSA. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. from TOTE?	Haglund Kelly, who will be at Sound Pilots. han, assistant usion Staff. hear from PMSA? pe on behalf of And could I hear
FOR COMMISSION STAFF: (via Zoom) Recommediate NASH CALLAGHAN	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from the parties before we begin? Turn first to PSP. MR. HAGLUND: Mike Haglund, and my colleague, Eric Brickenstein, v covering Mr. Essex, on behalf of Puge JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could I hear from Staff? MR. CALLAGHAN: Nash Callag attorney general on behalf of Commis JUDGE HOWARD: And could I MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLap PMSA. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. from TOTE? MR. BLOCK: Steve Block on be	Haglund Kelly, who will be at Sound Pilots. han, assistant usion Staff. hear from PMSA? pe on behalf of And could I hear whalf of TOTE.
7 FOR COMMISSION STAFF: (via Zoom) 8	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	from the parties before we begin? Turn first to PSP. MR. HAGLUND: Mike Haglund, and my colleague, Eric Brickenstein, v covering Mr. Essex, on behalf of Puge JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could I hear from Staff? MR. CALLAGHAN: Nash Callag attorney general on behalf of Commis JUDGE HOWARD: And could I MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLap PMSA. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. from TOTE?	Haglund Kelly, who will be at Sound Pilots. han, assistant usion Staff. hear from PMSA? pe on behalf of And could I hear whalf of TOTE.
FOR COMMISSION STAFF: (via Zoom) Recommediate NASH CALLAGHAN	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from the parties before we begin? Turn first to PSP. MR. HAGLUND: Mike Haglund, and my colleague, Eric Brickenstein, v covering Mr. Essex, on behalf of Puge JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could I hear from Staff? MR. CALLAGHAN: Nash Callag attorney general on behalf of Commis JUDGE HOWARD: And could I MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLap PMSA. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. from TOTE? MR. BLOCK: Steve Block on be	Haglund Kelly, who will be et Sound Pilots. han, assistant usion Staff. hear from PMSA? pe on behalf of And could I hear chalf of TOTE. ank you.
FOR COMMISSION STAFF: (via Zoom) NASH CALLAGHAN Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.915.4521 nash.callaghan@utc.wa.gov FOR PACIFIC MERCHANT SHIPPING ASSOCIATION: (via Zoom) MICHELLE DeLAPPE Fox Rothschild LLP 1001 Fourth Avenue Suite 4400 Seattle, Washington 98154 206.389.1668 mdelappe@foxrothschild.com FOR TOTE: (via Zoom) STEVEN W. BLOCK Lane Powell 19 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 4200 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.223.7718 blocks@lanepowell.com ALSO PRESENT: (via Zoom) ALSO PRESENT: (via Zoom) CHAIR DANNIER COMMISSIONER RENDAHL	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from the parties before we begin? Turn first to PSP. MR. HAGLUND: Mike Haglund, and my colleague, Eric Brickenstein, v covering Mr. Essex, on behalf of Puge JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could I hear from Staff? MR. CALLAGHAN: Nash Callag attorney general on behalf of Commis JUDGE HOWARD: And could I MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLap PMSA. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. from TOTE? MR. BLOCK: Steve Block on be JUDGE HOWARD: All right. The	Haglund Kelly, who will be at Sound Pilots. han, assistant usion Staff. hear from PMSA? pe on behalf of And could I hear shalf of TOTE. ank you.
FOR COMMISSION STAFF: (via Zoom) NASH CALLAGHAN Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.915.4521 nash.callaghan@utc.wa.gov FOR PACIFIC MERCHANT SHIPPING ASSOCIATION: (via Zoom) MICHELLE DeLAPPE Fox Rothschild LLP 1001 Fourth Avenue Suite 4400 Seattle, Washington 98154 206.389.1668 mdelappe@foxrothschild.com FOR TOTE: (via Zoom) STEVEN W. BLOCK Lane Powell 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 4200 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.223.7718 blocks@lanepowell.com ALSO PRESENT: (via Zoom) CHAIR DANNER	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from the parties before we begin? Turn first to PSP. MR. HAGLUND: Mike Haglund, and my colleague, Eric Brickenstein, v covering Mr. Essex, on behalf of Puge JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could I hear from Staff? MR. CALLAGHAN: Nash Callag attorney general on behalf of Commis JUDGE HOWARD: And could I MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLap PMSA. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. from TOTE? MR. BLOCK: Steve Block on be JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Th So I see Mr. Essex has his video fe	Haglund Kelly, who will be et Sound Pilots. han, assistant usion Staff. hear from PMSA? pe on behalf of And could I hear chalf of TOTE. ank you.

	Page 371		Page 373
1	THE WITNESS: Yes, I can, Your Honor.	1	vessels; right?
2	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Great. If you	2	A. No, sir, I don't.
3	would please raise your right hand, I'll swear you	3	Q. Okay. So anything that you might say or believe or
4	in.	4	testify about regarding vessel operation
5	* * * * *	5	considerations, such as the risk of piloting, all of
6	Philip Essex, having been first duly sworn, was	6	that kind of thing, comes from other people who have
	examined and testified as	7	told you what impacts certain circumstances might
7	follows:	8	have.
8	THE WITNESS: I do.	9	Am I right?
9	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Mr. Haglund,	10	A. That is correct.
10	could you please introduce the witness?	11	Q. Okay. So you give opinions in your testimony about
11	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Good morning, Mr. Essex.	12	the measurements of vessel size by either the GRT
12 13	Could you please briefly describe your	13	methodology or the GT ITC, or domestic versus
14	profession and experience? THE WITNESS: I currently serve as a tonnage	14	international tonnage assessments, as a consideration
15	consultant for clients nationwide.	15	of the risk of pilotage; right?
16	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: And can you describe,	16	A. I gave testimony relative to how vessels are measured
17	just for me briefly, what that entails and what your	17	and how GT ITC is a more accurate indication of the
18	employment history has been?	18	overall size of a vessel. How those numbers are
19	THE WITNESS: Yes. I've been involved in	19	applied varies from application to application.
20	the tonnage activity for 41 years. I began my career	20	Q. Yes. But you also you assert in your testimony
21	at ABS, where I learned my craft. I went on to run	21	that these are considerations that should be taken
22	the North American Measurement Divisions for both Det	22	into account when determining the level of risk a
23	Norske Veritas and Germanischer Lloyd, two other	23	vessel might pose for piloting; am I right?
24	class societies also delegated this activity by Coast	24	A. Yes.
25	Guard. And I've run my own tonnage consulting	25	Q. Okay. But you don't consider such things as the
			Page 374
1	business for the past 13 years.	1	maneuverability of a vessel, or the handling, other
2	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you.	2	vessel characteristics other than its tonnage, in
3	And did you submit initial and rebuttal	3	determining the risk of piloting a given vessel such
4	testimony in this rate case?	4	as TOTE's.
5	THE WITNESS: I did.	5	Am I right?
6	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: And is that testimony	6	A. That is correct.
7	true and correct to the best of your knowledge?	7	Q. Okay. So you're not here to say, and you don't say,
8	THE WITNESS: It is.	8	that TOTE's vessels, these two vessels that we're
9	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex.	9	here about today, are more risky, less risky, or as
10	And I'll tender the witness for cross.	10	risky as other vessels that PSP might service.
11	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. TOTE indicated	11	Am I right?
12	cross. And you may proceed.	12	A. No. There are other people who are more qualified to
13	MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor.	13	discuss that.
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION	14	Q. So my statement is correct; right?
15	BY MR. BLOCK:	15	A. Yes, sir.
16	Q. Good morning, Mr. Essex. Thank you for being here	16	Q. And you also don't have any background in how
17	this morning with us. May I ask you some questions	17	pilotage rates should be set or calculated. That's
18	further to the testimony you submitted in writing?	18	not your speciality.
19	A. Sure, Counselor.	19	Am I right?
20	Q. Okay. And I represent TOTE.	20	A. That's correct.
21	Do you understand that?	21	Q. Your testimony is simply that the GT ITC approach to
22	A. Yes, sir.	22	measuring tonnage better represents vessel size than
23	Q. Okay. So, Mr. Essex, you're not a pilot; right?	23	the GRT approach.
24	A. That is correct.	24	Am I right?
25	Q. You don't have any experience in actually operating	25	A. Absolutely.

Page 375 Page 377 1 Q. Okay. I would like to just read some testimony to 1 A. I don't believe it was mine. 2 2 Q. Yes. Would it surprise you to learn that it came you and just so it's in your mind. I'm just going to 3 3 ask you if you agree with this or stand by it. Okay? from Captain Klapperich? "GT ITC provides a more accurate and standardized 4 4 A. That's quite possible. I did read some documentation 5 5 measure of a vessel's size by including and from other individuals. 6 calculating the total volume of its external 6 Q. Okay. So you don't totally agree with 7 7 dimensions, including super structures, voids, fuel Captain Klapperich on this point; am I right? and water tanks and other volumetric structures. GRT 8 A. I wouldn't say I disagree, Counselor. I think his 8 9 9 on the other hand, is subject to exclusions from the capsulation of GT ITC was correct. I believe there 10 total volume calculation, only measuring those spaces 10 were some slight inaccuracies relative to what 11 which carry cargo, while also providing opportunities 11 characterizes GRT and NRT, or net reg tonnage under 12 in ship design to exclude cargo spaces." 12 the U.S. regulatory system. Is that accurate? Q. All right. Thank you. 13 13 So I'm going to move on. I don't have a lot for 14 A. The first part of what you said is accurate. I would 14 you here, and time is an issue. So I just want to 15 take some exception to the second part. 15 16 Q. Which part would you take exception to, sir? 16 proceed. 17 A. Okay. Briefly, as you stated in the testimony you 17 You talk about three assumptions that you make in quoted, GT ITC or international tonnage is based on 18 giving the opinions. And I'll just -- I'm not going 18 19 the molded volume of the vessel, both the hull and 19 to read them verbatim. The first one is: My first 20 20 assumption is that an appropriate metric for the super structure. And that total volume is then calculating pilotage rates is a metric that supports 21 cranked through a formula to provide the new gross 21 2.2 tonnage. When those rules were developed in 1969 in 22 rates that are fair, just, and reasonable. I don't 23 23 London, the idea when they came up with the formula think anybody would dispute that. 24 was to come up with tonnages that, in the 24 The second one is that fair, just, and reasonable 25 international picture, would be similar to the 2.5 rates should give considerable weight to the relative Page 376 Page 378 tonnages under the older national rules. The older 1 difficulty and risk of piloting a particular ship; 1 2 2 national rules are all based on what we call the right? Do you recall that as your second assumption? 3 3 Moorsom system. U.S., British, et cetera. And there A. If you're quoting from my testimony, sir, I will say 4 4 are variations within those systems. yes. 5 5 In the case of the U.S., we went a step beyond Q. Okay. 6 most national entities and there are exclusions 6 JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Block, could we get a 7 beyond the norm that, if done correctly, certain 7 page and an exhibit cite? 8 8 spaces can be exempt or excluded from gross tonnage. MR. BLOCK: It's --And that was the case in the -- of the two TOTE 9 9 JUDGE HOWARD: Page 13 with his --10 10 MR. BLOCK: Page 14. Page 14 of the vessels Q. Okay. Well, do you agree with the clause that GRT 11 11 original testimony. 12 only measures those spaces which carry cargo? 12 JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. 13 13 A. No. GRT is supposed to represent the overall size of BY MR. BLOCK: 14 Q. But you would not be aware of all of the 14 the vessel, less certain spaces that are exemptible. characteristics of a vessel that play into the 15 Examples of exemptions would be public water closets, 15 16 water ballasts, wheelhouse, machinery spaces. 16 difficulty and risk of piloting a particular ship; am 17 Q. Okav. 17 I right? 18 A. From GRT, you subtract other spaces to get to net 18 A. That is correct. 19 tonnage. That's the number that's supposed to 19 Q. All right. The third assumption you make is that the 20 represent the cargo-carrying capability of the vessel 20 relative risk and difficulty of piloting a vessel

bears a strong causal relationship to that vessel's

size. That's your third point -- your third

A. Could you tell me where that was in the written

testimony, sir? I just want to refer to it.

assumption; right?

21

22

23

24

25

in cubic feet.

Q. It did?

A. It did sound familiar.

Q. All right. Do you recall ever hearing or reading the

testimony that I just read to you?

21

22

23

24

25

Page 379 Page 381 1 1 Q. It's on page 14. decks, just by the nature of the cargo they are 2 2 A. Okay. designed to carry. You can't stack automobiles or 3 Q. And there's some additional points, you know, that 3 trailers or tractors or things on wheels that have to you raise after that, but that's the synopsis of your 4 4 go on tracks side by side; am I right? 5 assumption; right? 5 MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, I'm going 6 A. In general, from my perspective as a layperson and 6 to -- I'm going to object. Mr. Essex's testimony is 7 7 not a pilot, I would agree that the larger the limited to opinions regarding add measurement and vessel, the greater degree of difficulty. 8 tonnage calculations, and this is well beyond the 8 9 Q. Okay. But you used the word "size"; right? 9 scope. I would also point that Mr. Block is past 10 A. Well, size, in this context, I would use the word 10 time. JUDGE HOWARD: I do wonder if this is beyond 11 "volume." 11 12 Q. Okay. Do you use the word "size" and "volume" 12 the scope of the witness's testimony and expertise, 13 synonymously? 13 Mr. Block. 14 A. They can be synonymous, depending upon how much you 14 MR. BLOCK: Your Honor, he's addressing, you 15 want to parse it. 15 know, vessel size and not defining size to include Q. Okay. Well, let's see. By size or volume, whichever 16 what we believe is the more important aspect of size, 16 17 term -- word you might use in this quoted text or 17 and how that might impact the safety of the vessel. 18 otherwise, you mean the length, width, height of a 18 MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, he's offered 19 vessel measured in feet or meters; right? 19 an opinion regarding the difference between GRT and 20 20 A. Yes, in cubic dimensions. That's correct. GT ITC calculation. He's offered no opinions with 21 Q. But you don't consider weight an aspect of size or 21 the cargo or the type of cargo that TOTE's ships 22 volume; is that right? 22 carry or anything related to that. 23 23 A. Not when it comes to measurement, regardless of the JUDGE HOWARD: I don't believe we've 24 measurement system used. 24 established foundation of this witness on how cargo 25 Q. Okay. And so you have no opinion about how a 25 is managed on TOTE ships. But I would encourage you Page 380 Page 382 vessel's weight impacts the risk of piloting it? 1 to -- to wrap up your cross in a minute or two here. 1 2 2 MR. BLOCK: I'm almost done. 3 Q. Did you hear or -- or -- I don't think you attended 3 BY MR. BLOCK: Captain Klapperich's testimony yesterday, did you? Q. To sum up that testimony, I just have one other 4 4 5 A. No, sir. 5 little topic to get to for you. Your testimony is 6 Q. Okay. Are you aware that TOTE's vessels are much 6 limited to the length, width, and height of the 7 lighter than most vessels PSP operates or services? 7 vessel as a factor to be considered in its size. And 8 8 A. I've heard comments like that in passing, but nothing you believe that bears on the risk involved in 9 9 operating that vessel based on what other -- other 10 Q. But you don't have any --10 people have told you. 11 Am I right? 11 A. I'm sorry, sir. You're talking physical weight now; 12 12 A. I think it would be fair to say, sir, that I've 13 Q. I'm talking about the weight of the vessel when it's 13 reviewed the tonnage calculations, both ITC and the operating loaded with cargo. 14 14 regulatory. I've pointed out why there is such a 15 A. Okay. 15 discrepancy in the numbers. And in my opinion, in 16 Q. Would you agree that TOTE's vessels are lighter than 16 the case of these two vessels, why GT ITC is a far the typical vessel PSP operates? 17 17 better indicator of their size than the GRT figures. 18 A. I would only know that from other testimony, sir. I 18 Q. Okay. You mentioned in your testimony the notion 19 don't have firsthand knowledge. 19 that tonnage openings are what you call gimmicks used 20 Q. Are you familiar with the vessel design of the Orca 20 to artificially reduce a GRT. 21 class vessels? 21 Do you recall that testimony? 22 22 A. The two vessels in question, in general, I am. 23 Q. In general you are? These are Ro-Ro vessels; right? 23 Q. Are you certain that the openings on the Orca class 24 24 vessels are tonnage openings? 25 25 Q. And they have a good deal of open space in the cargo A. From what I have seen in the photographs of the

	Page 383		Page 385
1	vessel and in my site discussions with the measurer	1	note that you had gone past time, but it sounds like
2	who measured the vessel when it was initially	2	you're wrapping up. So I'll
3	measured, who's the former head of the Coast Guard	3	MR. BLOCK: I have no further questions.
4	tonnage survey branch and a professional acquaintance	4	Thank you, Mr. Essex.
5	of mine, I was able to confirm that tonnage openings	5	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Counselor.
6	were the way that the upper two cargo decks were, in	6	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Any redirect?
7	essence, over 95 percent excluded from the gross	7	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Yeah, I just have one
8	tonnage measurement, as depicted on the exhibit we	8	quick question for Mr. Essex.
9	submitted from the calculation, page 9.	9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
10	Q. Aren't tonnage openings typically much bigger than	10	BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN:
11	the openings we see on these vessels?	11	Q. Mr. Essex, which ships are required to have a GT ITC
12	A. No, sir. The minimum	12	add measurement?
13	Q. Aren't they usually	13	A. Any vessel over
14	A requirement I'm sorry. The minimum requirement	14	MR. BLOCK: Objection. Beyond the scope.
15	in the 46 CFR Part 69, which is the tonnage regs, is	15	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, this pertains
16	that you have an opening of a minimum dimension of	16	directly to his testimony regarding GRT and GT ITC.
17	4-foot by 5-foot. They can be larger. They're not	17	This is fundamental to his testimony.
18	required to be larger.	18	JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to allow the
19	Q. Okay. Wouldn't they usually have or necessarily have	19	question. I don't think that this is truly a subject
20	a cover that could be put on them?	20	in great controversy. Go ahead.
21	A. They can have a cover put on them, but that is not a	21	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
22	requirement.	22	Any vessel over 79 feet in overall length in
23	Q. Okay. Do you know of any function of these openings	23	the U.S. since 1992 has been required to get a
24	in the Orca class vessels?	24	convention measurement, or GT ITC, for registration
25	A. Tonnage openings, in general, don't have a function	25	purposes. They have the option of an additional
	Page 384		Page 386
1	Page 384	1	Page 386
1	per se, except to permit the space that progresses	1 2	measurement, under the old new national system, what
2	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not	2	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get
2	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross	2 3	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory
2 3 4	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage.	2 3 4	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities.
2 3 4 5	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard	2 3 4 5	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex.
2 3 4 5 6	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard requirement that would require openings like this for	2 3 4 5 6	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex. Nothing further.
2 3 4 5	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard requirement that would require openings like this for ventilation purposes and to access moorage wenches,	2 3 4 5	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex. Nothing further. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard requirement that would require openings like this for ventilation purposes and to access moorage wenches, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex. Nothing further. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness?
2 3 4 5 6 7	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard requirement that would require openings like this for ventilation purposes and to access moorage wenches, right? A. No, sir. That is correct. But there's nothing that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex. Nothing further. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Not from me, Your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard requirement that would require openings like this for ventilation purposes and to access moorage wenches, right? A. No, sir. That is correct. But there's nothing that precludes an opening to be used for that purpose. A	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex. Nothing further. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Not from me, Your Honor. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard requirement that would require openings like this for ventilation purposes and to access moorage wenches, right? A. No, sir. That is correct. But there's nothing that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex. Nothing further. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Not from me, Your Honor. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: Nor from me, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard requirement that would require openings like this for ventilation purposes and to access moorage wenches, right? A. No, sir. That is correct. But there's nothing that precludes an opening to be used for that purpose. A tonnage opening is strictly the opening in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex. Nothing further. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Not from me, Your Honor. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard requirement that would require openings like this for ventilation purposes and to access moorage wenches, right? A. No, sir. That is correct. But there's nothing that precludes an opening to be used for that purpose. A tonnage opening is strictly the opening in the bulkhead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex. Nothing further. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Not from me, Your Honor. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: Nor from me, Your Honor. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard requirement that would require openings like this for ventilation purposes and to access moorage wenches, right? A. No, sir. That is correct. But there's nothing that precludes an opening to be used for that purpose. A tonnage opening is strictly the opening in the bulkhead. For example, you can have closure plates, as you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex. Nothing further. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Not from me, Your Honor. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: Nor from me, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No. Thanks, Your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard requirement that would require openings like this for ventilation purposes and to access moorage wenches, right? A. No, sir. That is correct. But there's nothing that precludes an opening to be used for that purpose. A tonnage opening is strictly the opening in the bulkhead. For example, you can have closure plates, as you referred to, and that's permissible. And that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex. Nothing further. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Not from me, Your Honor. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: Nor from me, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No. Thanks, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard requirement that would require openings like this for ventilation purposes and to access moorage wenches, right? A. No, sir. That is correct. But there's nothing that precludes an opening to be used for that purpose. A tonnage opening is strictly the opening in the bulkhead. For example, you can have closure plates, as you referred to, and that's permissible. And that is often done in the case of passenger vessels, where we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex. Nothing further. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Not from me, Your Honor. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: Nor from me, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No. Thanks, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Mr. Essex, thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard requirement that would require openings like this for ventilation purposes and to access moorage wenches, right? A. No, sir. That is correct. But there's nothing that precludes an opening to be used for that purpose. A tonnage opening is strictly the opening in the bulkhead. For example, you can have closure plates, as you referred to, and that's permissible. And that is often done in the case of passenger vessels, where we use tonnage openings to get complete deckhouses out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex. Nothing further. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Not from me, Your Honor. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: Nor from me, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No. Thanks, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Mr. Essex, thank you for your testimony today. You are excused from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard requirement that would require openings like this for ventilation purposes and to access moorage wenches, right? A. No, sir. That is correct. But there's nothing that precludes an opening to be used for that purpose. A tonnage opening is strictly the opening in the bulkhead. For example, you can have closure plates, as you referred to, and that's permissible. And that is often done in the case of passenger vessels, where we use tonnage openings to get complete deckhouses out of tonnage in order to reduce their gross.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex. Nothing further. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Not from me, Your Honor. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: Nor from me, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No. Thanks, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Mr. Essex, thank you for your testimony today. You are excused from the remainder of the hearing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard requirement that would require openings like this for ventilation purposes and to access moorage wenches, right? A. No, sir. That is correct. But there's nothing that precludes an opening to be used for that purpose. A tonnage opening is strictly the opening in the bulkhead. For example, you can have closure plates, as you referred to, and that's permissible. And that is often done in the case of passenger vessels, where we use tonnage openings to get complete deckhouses out of tonnage in order to reduce their gross. Q. Okay. And you didn't investigate that with NASSCO,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex. Nothing further. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Not from me, Your Honor. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: Nor from me, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No. Thanks, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Mr. Essex, thank you for your testimony today. You are excused from the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard requirement that would require openings like this for ventilation purposes and to access moorage wenches, right? A. No, sir. That is correct. But there's nothing that precludes an opening to be used for that purpose. A tonnage opening is strictly the opening in the bulkhead. For example, you can have closure plates, as you referred to, and that's permissible. And that is often done in the case of passenger vessels, where we use tonnage openings to get complete deckhouses out of tonnage in order to reduce their gross. Q. Okay. And you didn't investigate that with NASSCO, the construction shipyard of this vessel, did you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex. Nothing further. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Not from me, Your Honor. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: Nor from me, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No. Thanks, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Mr. Essex, thank you for your testimony today. You are excused from the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: With that, our next witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard requirement that would require openings like this for ventilation purposes and to access moorage wenches, right? A. No, sir. That is correct. But there's nothing that precludes an opening to be used for that purpose. A tonnage opening is strictly the opening in the bulkhead. For example, you can have closure plates, as you referred to, and that's permissible. And that is often done in the case of passenger vessels, where we use tonnage openings to get complete deckhouses out of tonnage in order to reduce their gross. Q. Okay. And you didn't investigate that with NASSCO, the construction shipyard of this vessel, did you? A. No, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex. Nothing further. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Not from me, Your Honor. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: Nor from me, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No. Thanks, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Mr. Essex, thank you for your testimony today. You are excused from the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: With that, our next witness is PSP's witness McCarthy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard requirement that would require openings like this for ventilation purposes and to access moorage wenches, right? A. No, sir. That is correct. But there's nothing that precludes an opening to be used for that purpose. A tonnage opening is strictly the opening in the bulkhead. For example, you can have closure plates, as you referred to, and that's permissible. And that is often done in the case of passenger vessels, where we use tonnage openings to get complete deckhouses out of tonnage in order to reduce their gross. Q. Okay. And you didn't investigate that with NASSCO, the construction shipyard of this vessel, did you? A. No, sir. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, if I may MR. BLOCK: Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex. Nothing further. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Not from me, Your Honor. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: Nor from me, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No. Thanks, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Mr. Essex, thank you for your testimony today. You are excused from the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: With that, our next witness is PSP's witness McCarthy. Can you hear and see me all right? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. If you would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard requirement that would require openings like this for ventilation purposes and to access moorage wenches, right? A. No, sir. That is correct. But there's nothing that precludes an opening to be used for that purpose. A tonnage opening is strictly the opening in the bulkhead. For example, you can have closure plates, as you referred to, and that's permissible. And that is often done in the case of passenger vessels, where we use tonnage openings to get complete deckhouses out of tonnage in order to reduce their gross. Q. Okay. And you didn't investigate that with NASSCO, the construction shipyard of this vessel, did you? A. No, sir. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, if I may MR. BLOCK: Mr MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: What?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex. Nothing further. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Not from me, Your Honor. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: Nor from me, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No. Thanks, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Mr. Essex, thank you for your testimony today. You are excused from the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: With that, our next witness is PSP's witness McCarthy. Can you hear and see me all right? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. If you would please raise your right hand sorry, go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	per se, except to permit the space that progresses from them to be considered weather-tight, not watertight, and therefore excludable from gross tonnage. Q. So you don't know anything about a Coast Guard requirement that would require openings like this for ventilation purposes and to access moorage wenches, right? A. No, sir. That is correct. But there's nothing that precludes an opening to be used for that purpose. A tonnage opening is strictly the opening in the bulkhead. For example, you can have closure plates, as you referred to, and that's permissible. And that is often done in the case of passenger vessels, where we use tonnage openings to get complete deckhouses out of tonnage in order to reduce their gross. Q. Okay. And you didn't investigate that with NASSCO, the construction shipyard of this vessel, did you? A. No, sir. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, if I may MR. BLOCK: Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	measurement, under the old new national system, what we now call here GRT. But if that allows them to get a lesser tonnage, that gives them other regulatory opportunities. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Essex. Nothing further. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Not from me, Your Honor. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: Nor from me, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No. Thanks, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Mr. Essex, thank you for your testimony today. You are excused from the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: With that, our next witness is PSP's witness McCarthy. Can you hear and see me all right? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. If you would

JUDGE HOWARD: Oh, okay. I'm not sure what your particular setup is there. THE WITNESS: Nov I got you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit page 4. A lim looking at the Licensed Defense Loss of Income 15 please start by turning to Exhibit page 4. And do you see there the coverage and policy of PSP. Tell make you very much. And that is - if you could please sturn to page 9, ach shibit page 4. A lim looking at the Licensed Defense Loss of Income 15 please turn to page 9, ach bilt page 4. A lim looking at the Licensed Defense Loss of Income 15 please turn to page 9, ach bilt page 4. A lim looking at the Licensed Defense Loss of Income 15 please turn to page 4, axhibit page 4. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms JUDGE HOWARD: All right. If you could please turn to page 10 please turn now back to SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms JUDGE HOWARD: All right. If you could please turn to you out propage would have to see there the coverage amounts and terms your particular setup is there. 2			
your particular setup is there. THE WITNESS: Now I got you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. If you could please raise your right hand and I will swear you in. Sean McCartry, having been first duly swom, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor. Mr. HAGLUND: All your been in the marine insurance brokerage business? THE WITNESS: I who wore you been in the marine insurance brokerage business? THE WITNESS: I who wore your years. Mr. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written testimony, rebuttal testimony, in this case? THE WITNESS: I till and a counter to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I till and a counter to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I till and a counter to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I till and a counter to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I till and a counter to cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I till and the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I till and the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I till and the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you wery much, Your Mr. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you wery much, Your Mr. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you wery much, Your Mr. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written to the best of your knowledge? Mr. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you wery much, Your Mr. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written to the work your were work of the wor		Page 387	Page 389
JUGGE HOWARD: All right. If you could be please raise your right hand and I will swear you in. Sean McCarthy, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: The WITNESS: I do. JUGGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. Haglund, could you please introduce the witness? Mr. Haglund, could you please introduce the witness? Mr. Haglund, could you please introduce the witness? Mr. Haglund. Could you please introduce the witness? Mr. Haglund. Could you please introduce the witness? Mr. Haglund. Did you prepare written insurance brokerage business? The writness for over 30 years. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? The writness is row this burban & Bates agencies. Mr. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written testimony, rebuttal testimony, in this case? The writness is for some and termine insurance. Provided to you after that amount is determined; correct? Page 388 Page 388 R. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated a cross for this witness. And you may proceed. A Find own page at the Licensed DefenseLoss of Income page 4, exhibit page 4. A Find olong at the Licensed DefenseLoss of Income page 4, exhibit page 4. A Ckay. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms	1	JUDGE HOWARD: Oh, okay. I'm not sure what	we don't have any deputy pilots on that. So, yes,
JUDGE HOWARD: All right. If you could please raise your right hand and I will swear you in. Sean McCarthy, having been first duly swom, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I lid. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I lid. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I lid. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I lid. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I lid. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I lid. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I lid. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I lid. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I lid. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I lid. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I lid. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I lid. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I lid. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I lid. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I lid. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I lid. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I lid. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I lid. Mr. HAGLUND: We will be a lide of l		• •	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1
please raise your right hand and I will swear you in. Sean McCarthy, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: The WITNESS: Ido. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. Haglund, could you please introduce the witness? Mr. Haglund). And you see there the overage amounts and terms Page 388 MR. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written testimory, rebutal testimory, in this case? THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 MR. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written testimory, rebutal testimory, in this case? THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 And MR. HAGLUND: Itender the witness for cross-evamination. MR. HAGLUND: Itender the witness for Cross-evamination. And MR. HAGLUND: Itender the witness for Cross-evamination. Page 388 And MR. HAGLUND: Itender the witness for Cross-evamination. Page 388 And MR. HAGLUND: Itender the witness for Cross-evamination. Page 388 And MR. HAGLUND: Itender the witness for Cross-evamination. Page 388 And MR. HAGLUND: Itender the witness for Cross-evamination. Page 388 And MR. HAGLUND: Itender the witness for Cross-evamination. Page 388 And MR. HAGLUND: Itender the witness for Cross-evamination. Page 388 And MR. HAGLUND: Itender the witness for Cross-evamination. Page 388 And MR. HAGLUND: Itender the witness for Cross-evamination. Page 388 And MR. HAGLUND: Itender the witness for Cross-evamination. Page 388 And MR. HAGLUND: Itender the witness for Cross-evamination. Page 388 And MR. HAGLUND: Itender the witness for Cross-evamination. Page 388 And MR. HAGLUND: Itender the witness for Cross-evamination. Page 388 And MR. HAGLUND: Itender the witness for Cross-evamination. And MR. HAGLUND: And Joss it			P
Sean McCarthy, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: The WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. Haglund, could you please introduce the witness? MR. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor. MR. McCarthy, how long have you been in the marine insurance brokerage business? THE WITNESS: I been in the marine insurance brokerage business? THE WITNESS: I been in the marine insurance brokerage business? MR. HAGLUND: And is all true and accurate to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I fild. MR. HAGLUND: I trender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you way much, Your CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your A Legicul Liability policy. Q. Ves. Thank you very much, A Mell. A I mi looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income page 4, exhibit page 4. A Nokey. A No, they're not. A. No, they're not. Q. And so they're not changed just for that individual? A. No, they're not.			· ·
Sean McCarthy, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 1		please raise your right hand and I will swear you in.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor. Mr. HAGLUND: Now long have you been in the insurance brokerage business for over 30 years. Mr. HAGLUND: Mr. Who and plave you been in the insurance brokerage business? Mr. HAGLUND: Who had have you been in the marine insurance brokerage business? THE WITNESS: I've been in the marine insurance brokerage business for over 30 years. Mr. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written testimony, in this case? THE WITNESS: I'did. Mr. HAGLUND: And is it true and accurate to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 MR. HAGLUND: And is it true and accurate to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 MR. HAGLUND: I trender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. Mr. HAGLUND: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. Mr. HAGLUND: All right. PMSA indicated grown and the provided to you after that amount is determined; correct? A Yes. Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, page of the would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income provided to you after that amount is determined; correct? A Yes. Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income provided to you after that amount is determined; correct? A Yes. Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding when you're there. A Hello. A Hello. Q. Wes. Thank you very much. A I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income page 4, exhibit page 4. A New, A New, A Well, it would have been January, I believe. Q. And so for this policy became effective on		Casa MacCantha	in the face in peece acrees and of members, contest
follows: Page 388	/		7.11 1.1141.15 55.1.551.1
THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. Haglund, could you please introduce the winess? Mr. McCarthy, how long have you been in the marine insurance brokerage business? THE WITNESS: I've been in the marine insurance brokerage business? THE WITNESS: I've been in the marine insurance brokerage business? THE WITNESS: I'm with Durham & Bates Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I'm with Durham & Bates Mr. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written testimony, rebuttal testimony, in this case? THE WITNESS: It idid. Mr. HAGLUND: All right. PMSA indicated the best of your knowledge? Mr. HAGLUND: All right. PMSA indicated Mr. HAGLUND: All right. PMSA indicated Mr. DelAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Mr. DelaPers: The witness of income G. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A Hello. Mr. Haglund, oou be there the coverage amounts and terms Mr. Haglund, out you ase there the coverage amounts and terms Mr. Haglund, out you ase there the coverage amounts and terms A I'vou could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. Mr. Haglund, out you responses to PMSA data requests. And if you turn to page 6, you'll see data request No. 594. Let me know if you're there. A I'vou out you responded that, quote, 'On an annual basis, PSP provides an estimate of its anticipated near licomope prilot for that year, which is then the basis for the level of lost income insurance'? A. Yes. 20. The determination that – of that estimate, of \$320,000, that's entirely internal to PSP and then provided to you after that amount is determined; correct? Page 390 A. Yes. 2 Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of saccord? A. Yes. 2 Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. Yes. Thank you could please turn to the page 4, exhib	Ω		
JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. Haglund, could you please introduce the witness? Mr. HAGCarthy, how long have you been in the marine insurance brokerage business? THE WITNESS: I've been in the marine insurance brokerage business for over 30 years. MR. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I'm with Durham & Bates agencies. MR. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written testimony, rebuttal testimony, in this case? THE WITNESS: I'did. MR. HAGLUND: And si it true and accurate to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 MR. HAGLUND: And is it true and accurate to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 MR. HAGLUND: All right. PMSA indicated cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. God morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. Q. Yes, Thank you very much. A. Hello looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Q. Yes, Thank you very much. A. Ckay. Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms Judge Leaves to find that is the 2023/2024 general liability page 4, exhibit page 4. A. Nello, we try to use of that month? A. Ckay. Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms A. When the latest policy became effective on			7 1 to, to
MR. HagLuND: Yes, Your Honor. The WITNESS: I we been in the marine insurance brokerage business? The WITNESS: I we been in the marine insurance brokerage business for over 30 years. MR. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? MR. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? MR. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written MR. HAGLUND: And is it rue and accurate to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your MR. BPY MS. DeLAPPE: MS. DeLAPPE: Mag Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit MR. Haglu mother is the winness condition of the cross-examination. MR. HAGLUND: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your MR. HAGLUND: Bly ou prepare written MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for cross-examination. Page 388 Page 388 Page 390 A. Yes. Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. Yes. Thank you very much. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. And that is - if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. Okay. Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms When the latest policy became effective on			
MR. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor. Mr. McCarthy, how long have you been in the marine insurance brokerage business? THE WITNESS: I've been in the marine insurance brokerage business for over 30 years. MR. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I'm with Durham & Bates agencies. MR. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written testimony, rebuttal testimony, in this case? THE WITNESS: I'did. MR. HAGLUND: And is it true and accurate to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 MR. HAGLUND: And is it true and accurate to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 MR. HAGLUND: Itender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MR. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy, A. Hello. Q. I'you could please start by turning to Exhibit policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. Yep. Q. Yes anticipated me prijol for that quote, "On an annual basis, PSP provides an estimate of its articipaten per jilot for that year, which is then the basis for the level of lost income insurance"? A. Yes. Q. The determination that – of that estimate, of \$320,000, that's entirely internal to PSP and then provided to you after that amount is determined; correct? Page 388 Page 390 A. Yes. Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. Yes, Thank you very much. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. And that is – if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. Ckay. Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms When the latest policy became effective on			
Mr. McCarthy, how long have you been in the marine insurance brokerage business? THE WITNESS: I've been in the marine insurance brokerage business for over 30 years. Mr. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? Mr. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written testimony, rebuttal testimony, in this case? THE WITNESS: I'm with Durham & Bates Mr. HAGLUND: And is it true and accurate to Mr. HAGLUND: And is it true and accurate to Mr. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for Cross-examination. Page 388 Mr. MAGLUND: I tender the witness for Cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated Cross for this witness. And you may proceed. Mr. DeLAPPE: Mr	12	•	
marine insurance brokerage business? THE WITNESS: I've been in the marine insurance brokerage business? MR. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I'm with Durham & Bates agencies. MR. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written testimony, rebutal testimony, in this case? THE WITNESS: I'did. MR. HAGLUND: And is it true and accurate to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 MR. HAGLUND: And is it true and accurate to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you way proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Delap MS. DeLAPPE: Delap Ms. McCarthy. Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. Q. Yes. Thank you very much. A. Hello. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy, PSP. Tell me when you're there. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. And that is - if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. Kep. Cord sagencies. AR. HAGLUND: And you way proceed. Sagencies. AR. HAGLUND: And is it true and accurate to income of stantical manual basis, PSP provides an estimate of its anticipated net income per pilot for that of its anticipated net income per pilot for that of its anticipated net income per pilot for that of its anticipated net income per pilot for that of its anticipated net income per pilot for that of its anticipated net income per pilot for that of its anticipated net income per pilot for that of its anticipate and network is an architecture. A. Yes. Q. The determination that - of that estimate of that mount is determined; Sago, beta free insurance"? A. Yes. Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of sago, what is the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of income? A. Yes. Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of sago, what is the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of income?	13		
insurance brokerage business for over 30 years. MR. HAGLUND: And you're with what company? THE WITNESS: I'm with Durham & Bates agencies. MR. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written testimony, rebutal testimate of fisance. Page 388 Page 390 A. Yes. Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of saccoreactive rebutal reputal testimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about	14		
MR. HAGLUND: And is it true and accurate to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for cross-evamination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: A Helio. MY. Helio. MY. Helio. MY. Holoking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Gvil Legal Liability policy. And that is — if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A Kes. C unter, Winh is then the basis, PSP provides an estimate of its anticipated net income per pilot for that year, which is then the basis, pSP provides an estimate of its anticipated net income per pilot for that year, which is then the basis for the level of lost income insurance." A. Yes. Q. The determination that — of that estimate, of \$320,000, that's entirely internal to PSP and then provided to you after that amount is determined; correct? A. Yes. Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Gvil Legal Liability policy. And that is — if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. A Key. A. And that is — if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. And by our see there the coverage amounts and terms A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. When the latest policy became effective on	15	THE WITNESS: I've been in the marine	
THE WITNESS: I'm with Durham & Bates 19 agencies. MR. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written 10 testimony, rebuttal testimony, in this case? 11 the WITNESS: I did. 12 THE WITNESS: I did. 13 MR. HAGLUND: And is it true and accurate to the best of your knowledge? 14 the best of your knowledge? 15 THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 Page 388 Page 390 MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for the best of your knowledge? 16 MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for cross-examination. 17 JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated droots for this witness. And you may proceed. 18 MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your 19 G. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. 10 A. Hello. 11 Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit policy. 12 MR. THAGLUND: I tender the witness for cross-for this witness. And you may proceed. 19 A. Yes. Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of s320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit policy. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Q. Can you give me the name of that month? A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Q. Thank you. If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4. When the latest policy became effective on	16	insurance brokerage business for over 30 years.	
agencies. MR. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written testimony, rebutlal testimony, in this case? THE WITNESS: I did. MR. HAGLUND: And is it true and accurate to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 Page 388 Page 390 MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 Page 390 MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your thone. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. A. Hello. A. Hello. C. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit policy. SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. And that is if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. Okay. Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 19 year, which is then the basis for the level of lost income insurance"? A. Yes. Q. The determination that of that estimate, of \$320,000, that's entirely internal to PSP and then provided to you after that amount is determined; correct? A. Yes. Q. The determination that of that estimate, of \$320,000, that's entirely internal to PSP and then provided to you after that amount is determined; correct? A. Yes. Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. Q. Can you give me the name of that month? A.	17		
MR. HAGLUND: Did you prepare written testimony, rebuttal testimony, in this case? THE WITNESS: I did. MR. HAGLUND: And is it true and accurate to MR. HAGLUND: And is it true and accurate to MR. HAGLUND: And is it true and accurate to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 Page 390 MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 Page 390 MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for Cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated Cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DELAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. A. Hello. C. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. And that is — if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. Kyes. C. The determination that — of that estimate, of \$320,000, that's entirely internal to PSP and then provided to you after that amount is determined; correct? A. Yes. C. The determination that — of that estimate, of \$320,000, that's entirely internal to PSP and then provided to you after that amount is determined; correct? A. Yes. C. The determination that — of that estimate, of \$320,000, that's entirely internal to PSP and then provided to you after that amount is determined; correct? A. Yes. C. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of income approposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. C. Can	18	THE WITNESS: I'm with Durham & Bates	
testimony, rebutal testimony, in this case? THE WITNESS: I did. MR. HAGLUND: And is it true and accurate to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 Page 390 MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello.		•	
THE WITNESS: I did. THE WITNESS: A dithen amount is determined; correct? THE WITNESS: I did. THE WITNESS: A sad then amount is determined; correct? THE WITNESS: A sad then amount is determined; correct? THE WITNESS: A sad then amount is determined; correct? THE WITNESS: A sad then amount is determined; correct? THE WITNESS: A sad then amount is determined; correct? THE WITNESS: A sad then amount is determined; correct? THE WITNESS: A sad then amount is determined; correct? THE WITNESS: A sad then amount is determined; correct? The WITNESS: A sad then amount is determined; correct? The Witness and accurate the witness and then provided to you after the amount is determined; correct? The Witness and accurate the provided to you after the accurate to incorrect? The			
MR. HAGLUND: And is it true and accurate to the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 Page 390 MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: A. Hello. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: A. Hello. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: A. Hello. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: BY MS. De			
the best of your knowledge? THE WITNESS: It is. Page 388 Page 390 MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income MS. Delappe: CROSS-EXAMINATION Delappe: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. CROSS-EXAMINATION Delappe: CROSS			· ·
Page 388 Page 390 MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated 3320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your 64 Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION 7 With an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit 10 Could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income 14 renewal date. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. 15 Q. Yes. Thank you very much. 16 Q. Yes. Thank you very much. 17 And that is if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 25 When the latest policy became effective on 15 When the latest policy became effective on 16 A. When the latest policy became effective on 17 When the latest policy became effective on 17 When the latest policy became effective on 18 Page 4, exhibit page 4. 19 When the latest policy became effective on 19 A. When the latest policy became effective on 19 A. When the latest policy became effective on 19 A. When the latest policy became effective on 19 A. When the latest policy became effective on 19 A. When the latest policy became effective on 19 A. When the latest policy became effective on 19 A. When the latest policy became effective on 19 A. When the latest policy became effective on 19 A. When the latest policy became effective on 19 A. When the latest policy became effective on 19 A. When the latest policy became effective on 19 A. When the latest policy became effective on 19 A. When the latest policy became effective on 19 A. When the latest policy became effective on 19 A. When the latest policy became effective on 19 A. When the latest policy became effective on 19 A. When the latest policy becam			
Page 388 Page 390 MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated 3 \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION 5 With an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Li Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit 2 SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. 13 A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income 14 Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. 15 Q. Can you give me the name of that month? A. Okay. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 20 When the latest policy became effective on worken for the actival Page 4. When the latest policy became effective on when the datest policy became effective on the cross-examination. A. Yes. 2 Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates, of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates, of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates, of income? A. Well, it would be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. 10 Can you give me the name of that month? A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income 14 renewal date. 15 Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. 16 A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. 17 Q. Thank you. 18 page 4, exhibit page 4. When the latest policy became effective on 19 Page 4. When the latest policy became effective on 19 Page 4. When the latest policy became effective on 19 Page 4. When the latest policy became		•	
1 MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for cross-examination. 2 Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? 4 cross for this witness. And you may proceed. 5 MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your 6 Honor. 6 Honor. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MS. DeLAPPE: 9 Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. 10 A. Hello. 11 Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit 12 SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. 14 A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income 15 Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. 16 Q. Yes. 17 And that is if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. 18 A. Okay. 19 Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 20 When the latest policy became effective on	23	THE WITHESS. It is.	25 Conect:
2 cross-examination. 3 JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated 4 cross for this witness. And you may proceed. 5 MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your 6 Honor. 6 BY MS. DeLAPPE: 9 Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. 10 A. Hello. 11 Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit 2 SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability 12 policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. 13 A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income 15 Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. 16 Q. Yes. Thank you very much. 17 And that is if you could please turn to 18 page 4, exhibit page 4. 20 Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 2 Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of 3 \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would 4 you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of 3 income? 4 Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out 4 with an initial request for information, updates of 6 Days our renewals about 60 days out 7 with an initial request for information, updates of loss of income amounts, 9 pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, 9 pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, 10 could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. 11 Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? 12 A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income 13 A. U'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income 14 renewal date. 15 Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. 16 A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. 17 Q. Thank you. 18 If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, 19 page 4. 19 When the latest policy became effective on		Page 388	Page 390
3 JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated 4 cross for this witness. And you may proceed. 5 MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your 6 Honor. 6 Honor. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. DeLAPPE: 9 Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. 10 A. Hello. 11 Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit 2 SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability 3 policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. 14 A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income 15 Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. 16 Q. Yes. Thank you very much. 17 And that is if you could please turn to 18 page 4, exhibit page 4. 20 Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 2 SM-15X, and do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 2 SM-15X, and that is if you could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4. 20 Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 2 SM-15X, and do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 3 \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? 4 you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? 6 A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. 11 Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? 12 La You of the probably a month before the actual renewal date. 13 A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. 14 A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. 15 Q. Thank you. 16 You could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4. 18 When the latest policy became effective on	1	MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for	1 A Yes
3 JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated 4 cross for this witness. And you may proceed. 5 MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your 6 Honor. 6 Honor. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. DeLAPPE: 9 Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. 10 A. Hello. 11 Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit 2 SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability 3 policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. 14 A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income 15 Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. 16 Q. Yes. Thank you very much. 17 And that is if you could please turn to 18 page 4, exhibit page 4. 20 Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 2 SM-15X, and do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 2 SM-15X, and that is if you could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4. 20 Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 2 SM-15X, and do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 3 \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? 4 you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? 6 A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. 11 Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? 12 La You of the probably a month before the actual renewal date. 13 A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. 14 A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. 15 Q. Thank you. 16 You could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4. 18 When the latest policy became effective on			
4 cross for this witness. And you may proceed. 5 MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your 6 Honor. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MS. DeLAPPE: 9 Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. 10 A. Hello. 11 Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit 12 SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability 13 policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. 14 A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income 15 Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. 16 Q. Yes. Thank you very much. 17 And that is if you could please turn to 18 page 4, exhibit page 4. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 4 Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out 5 income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out 6 with an initial request for information, updates of 7 pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, 8 any proposed change that they would like to see. It 9 could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. 11 Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have 12 heard from PSP with that estimate? 13 A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual 14 renewal date. 15 Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. 16 Q. Yes. Thank you very much. 17 And that is if you could please turn to 18 page 4, exhibit page 4. 19 A. Okay. 19 When the latest policy became effective on		cross-examination.	
Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income pilots chedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. And that is if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. Okay. Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. A. Hello. Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. Q. Can you give me the name of that month? A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Q. Thank you. If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4. When the latest policy became effective on	2		2 Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of
The control of the co	2	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated	Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would
BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Q. Yes. Thank you very much. And that is if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. Okay. BY MS. DeLAPPE: pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. Q. Can you give me the name of that month? A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Q. Thank you. If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4. When the latest policy became effective on	2 3 4	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed.	Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of
9 Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. 10 A. Hello. 11 Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit 12 SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability 13 policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. 14 A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income 15 Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. 16 Q. Yes. Thank you very much. 17 And that is if you could please turn to 18 page 4, exhibit page 4. 19 A. Okay. 19 Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 10 could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. 11 Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? 12 heard from PSP with that estimate? 13 A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. 14 Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. 15 Q. Can you give me the name of that month? 16 A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. 17 Q. Thank you. 18 If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4. 19 Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 20 When the latest policy became effective on	2 3 4 5	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your	Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income?
A. Hello. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Q. Yes. Thank you very much. And that is if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. Okay. Q. Hello. 10 could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. 11 Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. 12 Q. Can you give me the name of that month? A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. 13 A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. 14 Q. Thank you. 15 If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4. 18 Page 4. 19 Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 10 When the latest policy became effective on	2 3 4 5 6	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor.	 Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out
Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Q. Yes. Thank you very much. And that is if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. Okay. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit 12 Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. Q. Can you give me the name of that month? A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Q. Thank you. If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4. When the latest policy became effective on	2 3 4 5 6 7	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION	 Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of
12 SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability 13 policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. 14 A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income 15 Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. 16 Q. Yes. Thank you very much. 17 And that is if you could please turn to 18 page 4, exhibit page 4. 19 A. Okay. 10 Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 11 heard from PSP with that estimate? 12 heard from PSP with that estimate? 13 A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. 14 renewal date. 15 Q. Can you give me the name of that month? 16 A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. 17 Q. Thank you. 18 If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4. 20 When the latest policy became effective on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE:	Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts,
policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Q. Yes. Thank you very much. And that is if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. Q. Can you give me the name of that month? A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Q. Thank you. If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4. Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms When the latest policy became effective on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy.	Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It
A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Q. Yes. Thank you very much. And that is if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. Okay. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income 14 renewal date. Q. Can you give me the name of that month? A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. 17 Q. Thank you. 18 If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4. 20 Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 20 When the latest policy became effective on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit	Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks.
Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Q. Yes. Thank you very much. And that is if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. Okay. Q. Can you give me the name of that month? A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January of Liability policy. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. A. Well, it would have been January of Liability policy. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Pilots Civil Legal Lia	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit	Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate?
A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. And that is if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. Okay. A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Thank you. If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4. Well, it would have been January, I believe. When the latest policy became effective on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there.	Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate?
And that is if you could please turn to 18 page 4, exhibit page 4. 19 A. Okay. 19 Q. Thank you. 18 If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, 19 page 4. 20 Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 17 Under the latest policy became effective on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income	Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date.
page 4, exhibit page 4. 18 If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, 19 A. Okay. 19 Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 18 If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, 19 page 4. 20 When the latest policy became effective on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy.	Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. Q. Can you give me the name of that month?
19 A. Okay. 19 page 4. 20 Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 19 page 4. 20 When the latest policy became effective on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Q. Yes. Thank you very much.	Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. Q. Can you give me the name of that month? A. Well, it would have been January, I believe.
Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms 20 When the latest policy became effective on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Q. Yes. Thank you very much. And that is if you could please turn to	Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. Q. Can you give me the name of that month? A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Q. Thank you.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Q. Yes. Thank you very much. And that is if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4.	Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. Q. Can you give me the name of that month? A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Q. Thank you. If you could please turn now back to SM-15X,
21 tor each member of PSP? 1 21 February 28, 2023, how many individual PSP pilots	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Q. Yes. Thank you very much. And that is if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. Okay.	Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. Q. Can you give me the name of that month? A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Q. Thank you. If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Q. Yes. Thank you very much. And that is if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. Okay. Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms	Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. Q. Can you give me the name of that month? A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Q. Thank you. If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4. When the latest policy became effective on
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Q. Yes. Thank you very much. And that is if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. Okay. Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms for each member of PSP?	Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. Q. Can you give me the name of that month? A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Q. Thank you. If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4. When the latest policy became effective on February 28, 2023, how many individual PSP pilots
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Q. Yes. Thank you very much. And that is if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. Okay. Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms for each member of PSP? A. Yes.	Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. Q. Can you give me the name of that month? A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Q. Thank you. If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4. When the latest policy became effective on February 28, 2023, how many individual PSP pilots were covered?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Q. Yes. Thank you very much. And that is if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. Okay. Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms for each member of PSP? A. Yes. Q. Each of those coverage amounts and terms are the same	Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. Q. Can you give me the name of that month? A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Q. Thank you. If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4. When the latest policy became effective on February 28, 2023, how many individual PSP pilots were covered? A. I believe that says 53.
25 A. I believe so, yes. It's it's small. But I think 25 Now I'm going to turn to your testimony, SM-01T.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA indicated cross for this witness. And you may proceed. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. McCarthy. A. Hello. Q. If you could please start by turning to Exhibit SM-15X, and that is the 2023/2024 general liability policy for PSP. Tell me when you're there. A. I'm looking at the Licensed Defense/Loss of Income Pilots Civil Legal Liability policy. Q. Yes. Thank you very much. And that is if you could please turn to page 4, exhibit page 4. A. Okay. Q. And do you see there the coverage amounts and terms for each member of PSP? A. Yes. Q. Each of those coverage amounts and terms are the same for each member of PSP; correct?	Q. So for the 2023/2024 fiscal year estimate of \$320,000, approximately when, like, what date, would you have heard from PSP regarding that estimate of income? A. Well, we try to begin our renewals about 60 days out with an initial request for information, updates of pilot schedules, updates of loss of income amounts, any proposed change that they would like to see. It could be anywhere from two weeks to four weeks. Q. And so for this policy date, when would you have heard from PSP with that estimate? A. I would estimate probably a month before the actual renewal date. Q. Can you give me the name of that month? A. Well, it would have been January, I believe. Q. Thank you. If you could please turn now back to SM-15X, page 4. When the latest policy became effective on February 28, 2023, how many individual PSP pilots were covered? A. I believe that says 53. Q. Thank you.

Page 391 Page 393 1 If you could please turn to page 9 of your testimony. 1 deepwater wreck removal"; right? 2 2 Are you there? A. Correct. 3 Q. At -- let's talk about these three factors. A. Yep. 3 4 Q. Thank you. Your testimony discussed growth in vessel 4 First, bigger vessels mean more complexity. In 5 size as creating more risk for the maritime pilot; 5 other words, as vessels get larger, the potential 6 correct? 6 post incident claims get more costly because the 7 7 A. Yes. scope of potential post incident costs is more Q. And so it's your testimony here that these risks are, 8 complex than from an incident involving smaller 8 9 quote, "Reflected in the aggregate size of maritime 9 vessels. 10 casualty claims in 2020, 2021, 2022"? 10 Would you agree? 11 A. Yes. That's information that I get from other 11 A. Yes. 12 12 Q. And would you agree that an evaluation of the risks sources in the industry. of post incident costs isn't -- is different from the 13 Q. And you cite here two reports to support that 13 statement at line 15. A number of -- that -- saying evaluation of the risks of navigation that exist 14 14 15 that a number of factors are influencing this prior to an incident? 15 increasing claims cost trend; correct? 16 A. I'm not sure I understand your question. 16 17 A. Yeah. Yes. 17 Q. So when I'm -- when you're talking there about the 18 Q. And so those two reports are "Best's Market Segment 18 complexity of post incident costs, would you agree 19 Report 2022," and "Aon Protection and Indemnity" 19 that that does not involve the risks of navigation 20 2022? 20 prior to the incident? 21 A. Yes. 21 A. Again, I'm not sure where you're trying to go. The Q. And those two reports were attached to your testimony 22 22 complexity -as Exhibits SM-06 and SM-07? 23 23 Q. I'm just asking a question. You don't have to think 24 A. I assume so. 24 about where I'm going. 25 Q. Do you want to flip back to the exhibit list that's 25 Just answer the question, please. Page 392 Page 394 1 at --1 A. Well, your question is not clear to me. The 2 2 A. No. I mean, if you say they were attached, then they complexities of post -- if you would like to talk 3 3 were attached. about the complexities of post accident costs, that 4 4 Q. In reliance on the Best's Market Report at Exhibit is costs to remove wrecks are higher. The pollution 5 5 SB-06, you stated in your testimony that there are costs are higher around the world. That's been 6 three factors driving upward pressure on claims 6 demonstrated. Those are -- what they're talking 7 costs; correct? 7 about is the complexity of post accident cost is 8 8 A. Best stated that. I just provided that information. specific to -- to the casualty and managing a 9 Q. Great. And so I'm just looking at page 9. What 9 casualty. That's what they're talking about. Not 10 you -- what you stated as far as -- that there are 10 anything associated to pre -- to operational risks three factors in your reliance on Best's Market 11 11 prior to the casualty. 12 Report. That's line 16 through 22. 12 Q. Perfect. Thank you. 13 So this is not a risk on pilotage risks or 13 A. It says a number of factors are influencing this 14 14 claim, the increasing claim cost trends. And then navigation risk? 15 15 according to Best. I'm not sure where -- so Best --A. I'm not -- it is an exposure to pilots, in the event 16 16 their position is that there are three factors of a casualty, that the risks are greater. So I'm 17 driving the significant increases and lawsuits that 17 not sure how you split that hair. 18 have been impacting the P&I clubs over the last 18 Q. Well, I think you just did it by saying "operational 19 three -- over the last three years. 19 risks." It's not an operational risk. So I'll move 20 Q. Let me just read at line 21 from your testimony. 20 on to the --21 "Those factors, which continue to place upward 21 A. It is --2.2 pressure on claims costs, include the increasing size 22 Q. Mr. McCarthy, I'll move on to the second factor. 23 of vessels, which adds complexity when they suffer a 23 You stated, "Technological advances allowing 24 deepwater wreck removal." 2.4 severe incident, an upward trend in ship owner 25 25 That is also not a factor focused on operational liability limits, and technological advances allowing

Page 395 Page 397 1 1 risk. The same can be said for increases in club risks; correct? 2 2 A. No. That is a casualty risk. deductibles. It's not an operational risk of the Q. And the third factor, "An upward trend in ship owner 3 3 pilot operating? liability limits," is also not an operational risk; 4 4 A. Again, deductible increases are specific to 5 5 correct? operations. They're not casualty expenses. And 6 A. Correct. 6 that's the -- the post casualty expenses, and 7 7 Q. Other factors discussed in the Best report are trends increases in post casualty expenses after the loss. 8 Deductible increases happen at the renewal and take 8 with positive impacts on claims costs; correct? 9 9 A. I don't have that report in front of me. If you into account a number of different factors, and 10 10 would bring that up, I can -losses and exposures being probably two of the 11 Q. Actually, if you could please turn to SM-12X, again. 11 biggest ones. 12 And this time, turn to page 10. This, again, is your 12 Q. Great. And I'm -- I'm just going to keep following the list of factors that are -- on page 10 of SM-12X. 13 responses to our -- our data requests. So this is 13 14 PMSA data request No. 588. 14 So moving up that list. "Investment in loss prevention," that doesn't 15 A. Okay. 15 16 Q. And do you see here the quote from the Best report 16 involve operational risks; right? MR. HAGLUND: Which page were you referring 17 that you agreed with, that -- that it says, "Factors 17 such as the fall in the age profile of vessels, 18 18 to so he can refer to that? 19 19 MS. DeLAPPE: SM-12X at page 10. technological advances in navigation, investment in 20 20 loss prevention, and increases in club deductibles THE WITNESS: Loss prevention -- to your 21 continue to have a positive impact on claims costs." 21 point, loss prevention absolutely involves 22 And you said "admit"; right? 22 operational risk. It is completely focused on 23 23 A. Right. minimizing operational risks. 24 Q. So the Best report says general inflationary -- the 24 BY MS. DeLAPPE: 25 Best report also -- if you turn to the next page, 25 Q. So investment in loss prevention, you would say Page 396 Page 398 excuse me -- says that general inflationary pressures 1 that's a -- that's something that falls in the 1 2 can also be an influencing factor in pushing up 2 operational risk side of things? claims costs? 3 3 A. Absolutely. 4 Q. And how about a fall in the age profile of vessels. 4 A. I'm waiting on that. 5 5 Q. Do you see that at SM-12X, page 11? That means, on average, vessels are newer now; right? 6 A. We're not there yet. 6 7 Q. It's just the next page. Are you there? 7 Q. And older vessels are being phased out; right? 8 8 A. Social -- "The social inflation has also been A. In -- the general trend, I would say yes. They --9 mentioned by some clubs is an influencing factor and 9 they are not the same age, tonnage, operating today 10 pushing up claims costs"? 10 that there were 15 or 20 years ago. 11 11 Q. Correct. Q. So the age of the vessel is a risk factor that 12 A. Yes. 12 potentially impacts pilotage risks or navigation 13 risk; right? 13 Q. Of these cost mitigating factors, you would not 14 14 consider increases in club deductibles to be a factor A. Yes. Q. And how about technological advances in navigation. 15 involving pilotage risks or operational risks, as you 15 16 put it? 16 That also potentially impacts pilotage risks or 17 A. Say that again. 17 navigation risk; right? 18 Q. So increases in clubs -- in club deductibles is not 18 A. That's what this says. I'm an insurance broker. I'm 19 an operational risk; right? 19 not an expert when it comes to the technology and 20 A. Are you talking for ship owners, that their 20 21 deductible increases from their P&I clubs are not 21 Q. Okay. And it doesn't actually say that that is a 22 being driven by pilot risk? Is that the question? 22 navigation risk. But it seems reasonable to infer 23 Q. No. We were talking earlier -- and you established 23 that; right? 24 24 that when we talked, for example, about post incident A. Again, I'm not an expert when it comes to the 25 25 costs, for example, that that's not an operational technology that the pilots are using on the ships and

Page 399 Page 401 1 1 how that impacts navigation. Let's move now to your testimony on page 13. So 2 2 Q. Right. And I'm not asking you to be an expert on that's SM-01T, page 13. 3 3 And there you had some testimony regarding 4 But I'm just noting that Best's Market Segment 4 Washington's financial responsibility law that was 5 Report that you cited talks about that technological 5 passed in 2022; right? 6 advances in navigation is having a positive impact on 6 A. Yes. 7 7 claims costs and that --Q. Can you please identify the statute, the RCW citation 8 8 that your opinion is about? 9 Q. -- that seems to be --9 A. I don't have that in front of me. 10 A. That's what I put. 10 Q. You don't -- you don't know what that statute is that 11 Q. Okay. So out of all of these eight factors cited by 11 you were writing about? 12 Best, both the cost contributing factors and the cost 12 A. I don't have the statute in front of me, 13 mitigating factors, very few of those actually impact 13 specifically. It's not referenced in that. 14 the operational risks. 14 Q. Well, if I tell you that the statute that you are 15 Would you agree with that? referring to in your testimony is RCW 88-40-020, 15 A. No, I don't think I would. 16 would that sound right to you? 16 17 Q. So let's go through which ones you just said. 17 A. Yes. 18 So a fallen vessel age does; right? 18 Q. Your testimony includes your opinion regarding the 19 19 levels of financial responsibility for oceangoing 20 Q. And then you're not sure about technological advances 20 vessels calling in the Puget Sound; right? 21 in navigation, but perhaps. 21 Q. Is it your opinion that the State limited the 22 A. That's an operation -- that falls on the operational 2.2 23 23 financial responsibility for oil tankers in the Puget side. 24 Q. Okay. Great. That's all I was asking before. 24 Sound to \$1 billion? 25 And then you said also investment in loss 25 A. I don't know that I would say that they limited it. Page 400 Page 402 prevention; right? 1 1 I think they've made that the minimum that they want 2 2 A. Yes. That would be on the operational side. to see. I don't think it's capped at a billion 3 3 Q. Okay. And according to Best's report, these dollars, but I'm not -- I'm not an attorney. We just 4 4 navigational risks, these ones, are actually place the insurance to comply with the -- what's 5 5 mitigating factors that reduce claims costs; right? required. 6 A. No. I'm not sure they have a positive impact. If 6 Q. So in the question that you received on that page, 7 you have a casualty, these don't necessarily reduce 7 the -- PSP's attorney included a lot of information 8 8 claims costs. So there's two separate -- there's two in that question for you for -- it says, "For oil 9 ways you can look at it. From an insurance 9 tankers, that level of financial responsibility was 10 increased to 1 billion." 1.0 perspective, when we're looking at this, there is the 11 But do you have any idea at all about whether 11 overall exposure, which is what Best is getting to. 12 The overall exposure for the worldwide shipping 12 that is a limit or a minimum? 13 13 market across multiple classes of vessels has A. That is the minimum required amount. 14 improved based on these factors. 14 Q. Are you familiar --15 But if -- as we've seen, when the casualties 15 A. If there's anything -- sorry, go ahead. occur, the amount of those claims are significantly 16 Q. I didn't mean to step on your lines there. Go ahead. 16 17 higher. So it's --17 A. I -- I don't believe that there's anything that 18 Q. Mr. McCarthy, if you can listen again to my question. 18 limits a significant spill to a billion dollars. 19 And it's driven off of the language that should be 19 Q. Do you know whether there's any limit at all for 20 right in front of you. 20 liability for damages from an oil spill? 21 "Has a positive impact on claims costs." 21 A. I do not. 22 22 Q. Are you familiar with Chapter 90.56, RCW, 23 Q. Are you -- are you in agreement with that? 23 establishing the liabilities of parties that spill 24 24 oil? A. Yes. 25 Q. Thank you. That's all I'm asking. 25 MR. HAGLUND: Objection, Your Honor. I

	Page 403		Page 405
1	think this calls for legal conclusions. He's said	1	that question.
2	he's an insurance provider, not a lawyer.	2	BY MS. DeLAPPE:
3	MS. DeLAPPE: I am asking if he's familiar	3	Q. I'd like to move to SM-01T, page 7. And if you could
4	with it.	4	look at line 10. So in your testimony regarding the
5	THE WITNESS: Not off the top of my head,	5	effectiveness of statutory liability limits in
6	no.	6	Washington and Oregon, you testified that
7	JUDGE HOWARD: Well, let's sorry,	7	historically you have found them effective. But,
8 9	Mr. McCarthy. Let's just give me a moment to rule on the objection.	8 9	quote, "The situation has changed" significant in the
10	•	10	last "significantly in the last several years." A. Well, I think the term "effective" is that they have
11	I will allow the question because the testimony does make an assertion about whether there's a legal	11	not been challenged; that they they have operated
12	obligation to pay the costs.	12	to provide the effect of of limiting pilots'
13	Please proceed. Sorry.	13	liability.
14	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you.	14	Q. And you see there at line around line 10, it says,
15	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	15	in your experience, how effective they are. And then
16	Q. Mr. McCarthy, I'll move on to a related question.	16	you said, "I would have said these limits were very
17	So you're not aware of anything where Washington	17	effective. However, the situation has changed
18	law imposes any limit on oil spill liability?	18	significantly in the past several years."
19	A. I'm not familiar with that area, no.	19	A. That is correct.
20	Q. Do you happen to know from your line of work that	20	Q. So on line 17, on that same page, you testify, "In
21	requirements to demonstrate certificates of financial	21	the last three years, there have been two cases, one
22	responsibility and P&I club coverage or	22	in federal court in Oregon and the other in federal
23	self-insurance for vessels are supplementary and	23	court in Washington, in which the underwriters for
24	complimentary to vessel liabilities under the strict	24	the ship owner have sued the pilot and sought to
25	liability statutes? Do you do you know that?	25	avoid the statutory liability limit by alleging that
	,		, and a second of the second o
	Page 404		Page 406
1	A. I I do not know that. What I know is that our	1	acts of what many would consider to be ordinary
2	clients, if they're an oil tanker and they need a	2	negligence are actually gross negligence or willful
3	billion dollars worth of coverage, which we're able	3	misconduct"; right?
4	to comply with through a P&I club entry, they also	4	A. Yes.
5	have certificates of financial responsibility that	5	Q. So in your description of the Oregon case involving
6	need to be complied with. And there's various means	6	M/V Mumbai at page 8, line 12, you said, "Although
7	of doing that. But how that relates to strict	7	the Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots found pilot error
8	reliability, I don't know.	8	due to ordinary negligence, suspended the pilot's
9	Q. From your line of work, do you see that there's	9	license for 90 days, and required additional
10	any for the clients who have certificates of	10	training, the vessel's underwriters, in defending the
11	financial responsibility where they indicate P&I	11	damages case brought by the Port of Kalama, impleaded
12	coverage, do you see that they have less need for	12	the pilot into the case by way of third-party
13	liability like, that they are expecting less	13	complaint, alleging that the pilot's actions
14	liability coverage needs?	14	constitute gross negligence and willful misconduct";
15	A. Can you ask that again. I'm not sure what your	15	right?
16	what your question is.	16	A. Okay. Can you wait a second? The document
17	Q. So do you understand that the that the P&I	17	disappeared.
18	coverage is just supplementary and complimentary to	18	Can you tell us what page we're talking about
19	the vessel liabilities that are there for other	19	because it went blank?
20	reasons?	20	Q. Page 8, line 12. If the conjurers could make sure
21	MR. HAGLUND: Objection. Vague. And	21	that the documents are in front of him.
22	impossible to understand.	22	MR. HAGLUND: Ms. DeLappe, would you we
23	JUDGE HOWARD: Perhaps we can clarify that	23	have a it's being displayed on a screen which is
24	question.	24	very much quicker than paging through his testimony
	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I will withdraw	25	and exhibits.
25	Web Bob W L. Thank you. I will ward an	23	

	Page 407		Page 409
-		_	
1	Do you have an objection to putting it on the	1	MR. HAGLUND: Objection. Calls for a legal
2 3	screen so you can see what he's seeing as well?	2 3	question.
	MS. DeLAPPE: I would like to actually just	4	JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question,
4	say, Mr if I may, Mr. McCarthy.	5	because this is an exhibit provided in his testimony
5 6	BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Do you agree that the court in M/V Mumbai found that	6	and he's testifying about the effects of this decision on insurance rates.
7	there was a split in authority and it was a hard	7	
8	legal question, especially given the dearth of case	8	THE WITNESS: It looks like they're confirming what the statute actually says; that the
9	law on these statutory provisions?	9	limitation applies to gross negligence, it does not
10	A. I don't know that I'm in a position to comment on	10	apply to willful misconduct.
11	that. That feels like that's a legal question.	11	BY MS. DeLAPPE:
12	Q. Do you recall data requests on that topic?	12	Q. Wouldn't you agree that the liability limits in
13	A. I'm	13	Oregon were upheld and remain effective?
14	Q. Let me ask you. Did you read the M/V Mumbai decision	14	A. That's not my understanding of what the court found.
15	that you submitted as Exhibit SM-04?	15	Q. Have Oregon's liability limits ever extended to acts
16	A. Yes, I did.	16	by a pilot that could be characterized as willful
17	Q. If you looked at that decision at page 7, you could	17	misconduct?
18	verify that the court said, quote that there was,	18	A. Well, my understanding is that was the avenue that
19	quote, "a split in authority" and that it was a hard	19	they were pursuing. But it was never never went
20	legal question, quote, "especially given the dearth	20	to trial.
21	of case law in these statutory provisions"?	21	Q. Let's turn to page 11 of this decision. The
22	A. I accept that that's what the court said.	22	conclusion.
23	Q. The pilot in that case was Captain Boyce; right?	23	Do you see there that it says, "Because this
24	A. Yes.	24	court cannot say as a matter of law that Boyce's
25	Q. And that's B-O-Y-C-E.	25	action did not rise to the level of willful
	Page 408		Page 410
1	Page 408 A. Yep.	1	Page 410 misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was
1 2		1 2	
	A. Yep.		misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was
2	A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5	2	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was
2	 A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order. Are you there? A. I believe so, yes. 	2 3	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right? A. That is my understanding.
2 3 4	A. Yep.Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order.Are you there?	2 3 4	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right?
2 3 4 5	 A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order.	2 3 4 5 6 7	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right? A. That is my understanding. Q. So in fact, later, the parties settled this case; right?
2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order. Are you there? A. I believe so, yes. Q. Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right? A. That is my understanding. Q. So in fact, later, the parties settled this case;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order. Are you there? A. I believe so, yes. Q. Thank you. In the second paragraph, it reads, quote, "Boyce argues that O.R.S. Section 776.540 automatically limits a pilot's liability to the \$250 security, 	2 3 4 5 6 7	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right? A. That is my understanding. Q. So in fact, later, the parties settled this case; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order. Are you there? A. I believe so, yes. Q. Thank you. In the second paragraph, it reads, quote, "Boyce argues that O.R.S. Section 776.540 automatically limits a pilot's liability to the \$250 security, except as to a single type of wrongdoing: Willful 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right? A. That is my understanding. Q. So in fact, later, the parties settled this case; right? A. My understanding is they continue to pursue willful misconduct to break the limitation, and it was on that basis that it was determined to settle.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order. Are you there? A. I believe so, yes. Q. Thank you. In the second paragraph, it reads, quote, "Boyce argues that O.R.S. Section 776.540 automatically limits a pilot's liability to the \$250 security, except as to a single type of wrongdoing: Willful misconduct. Put another way, Boyce argues that there 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right? A. That is my understanding. Q. So in fact, later, the parties settled this case; right? A. My understanding is they continue to pursue willful misconduct to break the limitation, and it was on that basis that it was determined to settle. Q. And if you can turn to Exhibit SM-12X, at page 23,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order. Are you there? A. I believe so, yes. Q. Thank you. In the second paragraph, it reads, quote, "Boyce argues that O.R.S. Section 776.540 automatically limits a pilot's liability to the \$250 security, except as to a single type of wrongdoing: Willful misconduct. Put another way, Boyce argues that there is a difference between gross negligence and the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right? A. That is my understanding. Q. So in fact, later, the parties settled this case; right? A. My understanding is they continue to pursue willful misconduct to break the limitation, and it was on that basis that it was determined to settle. Q. And if you can turn to Exhibit SM-12X, at page 23, and that's PMSA data request 601. And if you see on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right? A. That is my understanding. Q. So in fact, later, the parties settled this case; right? A. My understanding is they continue to pursue willful misconduct to break the limitation, and it was on that basis that it was determined to settle. Q. And if you can turn to Exhibit SM-12X, at page 23, and that's PMSA data request 601. And if you see on that page, if you're with me, you admitted that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order. Are you there? A. I believe so, yes. Q. Thank you. In the second paragraph, it reads, quote, "Boyce argues that O.R.S. Section 776.540 automatically limits a pilot's liability to the \$250 security, except as to a single type of wrongdoing: Willful misconduct. Put another way, Boyce argues that there is a difference between gross negligence and the palpably more serious willful misconduct." So looking further at this order, if you can turn 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right? A. That is my understanding. Q. So in fact, later, the parties settled this case; right? A. My understanding is they continue to pursue willful misconduct to break the limitation, and it was on that basis that it was determined to settle. Q. And if you can turn to Exhibit SM-12X, at page 23, and that's PMSA data request 601. And if you see on that page, if you're with me, you admitted that the case was dismissed by an order entered on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order. Are you there? A. I believe so, yes. Q. Thank you. In the second paragraph, it reads, quote, "Boyce argues that O.R.S. Section 776.540 automatically limits a pilot's liability to the \$250 security, except as to a single type of wrongdoing: Willful misconduct. Put another way, Boyce argues that there is a difference between gross negligence and the palpably more serious willful misconduct." So looking further at this order, if you can turn to page 9. And the second line from the top, where 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right? A. That is my understanding. Q. So in fact, later, the parties settled this case; right? A. My understanding is they continue to pursue willful misconduct to break the limitation, and it was on that basis that it was determined to settle. Q. And if you can turn to Exhibit SM-12X, at page 23, and that's PMSA data request 601. And if you see on that page, if you're with me, you admitted that the case was dismissed by an order entered on September 16, 2021, as a result of the settlement of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order. Are you there? A. I believe so, yes. Q. Thank you. In the second paragraph, it reads, quote, "Boyce argues that O.R.S. Section 776.540 automatically limits a pilot's liability to the \$250 security, except as to a single type of wrongdoing: Willful misconduct. Put another way, Boyce argues that there is a difference between gross negligence and the palpably more serious willful misconduct." So looking further at this order, if you can turn to page 9. And the second line from the top, where it reads, "Thus, this court finds, interpreting under 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right? A. That is my understanding. Q. So in fact, later, the parties settled this case; right? A. My understanding is they continue to pursue willful misconduct to break the limitation, and it was on that basis that it was determined to settle. Q. And if you can turn to Exhibit SM-12X, at page 23, and that's PMSA data request 601. And if you see on that page, if you're with me, you admitted that the case was dismissed by an order entered on September 16, 2021, as a result of the settlement of the parties?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order. Are you there? A. I believe so, yes. Q. Thank you. In the second paragraph, it reads, quote, "Boyce argues that O.R.S. Section 776.540 automatically limits a pilot's liability to the \$250 security, except as to a single type of wrongdoing: Willful misconduct. Put another way, Boyce argues that there is a difference between gross negligence and the palpably more serious willful misconduct." So looking further at this order, if you can turn to page 9. And the second line from the top, where it reads, "Thus, this court finds, interpreting under admiralty and Oregon law and looking at the text and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right? A. That is my understanding. Q. So in fact, later, the parties settled this case; right? A. My understanding is they continue to pursue willful misconduct to break the limitation, and it was on that basis that it was determined to settle. Q. And if you can turn to Exhibit SM-12X, at page 23, and that's PMSA data request 601. And if you see on that page, if you're with me, you admitted that the case was dismissed by an order entered on September 16, 2021, as a result of the settlement of the parties? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order. Are you there? A. I believe so, yes. Q. Thank you. In the second paragraph, it reads, quote, "Boyce argues that O.R.S. Section 776.540 automatically limits a pilot's liability to the \$250 security, except as to a single type of wrongdoing: Willful misconduct. Put another way, Boyce argues that there is a difference between gross negligence and the palpably more serious willful misconduct." So looking further at this order, if you can turn to page 9. And the second line from the top, where it reads, "Thus, this court finds, interpreting under admiralty and Oregon law and looking at the text and context of the statute, that O.R.S. 776.540 allows 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right? A. That is my understanding. Q. So in fact, later, the parties settled this case; right? A. My understanding is they continue to pursue willful misconduct to break the limitation, and it was on that basis that it was determined to settle. Q. And if you can turn to Exhibit SM-12X, at page 23, and that's PMSA data request 601. And if you see on that page, if you're with me, you admitted that the case was dismissed by an order entered on September 16, 2021, as a result of the settlement of the parties? A. Yes. Q. So as a result of that settlement, the pilot did not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order. Are you there? A. I believe so, yes. Q. Thank you. In the second paragraph, it reads, quote, "Boyce argues that O.R.S. Section 776.540 automatically limits a pilot's liability to the \$250 security, except as to a single type of wrongdoing: Willful misconduct. Put another way, Boyce argues that there is a difference between gross negligence and the palpably more serious willful misconduct." So looking further at this order, if you can turn to page 9. And the second line from the top, where it reads, "Thus, this court finds, interpreting under admiralty and Oregon law and looking at the text and context of the statute, that O.R.S. 776.540 allows for recovery up to \$250 for any damages flowing from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right? A. That is my understanding. Q. So in fact, later, the parties settled this case; right? A. My understanding is they continue to pursue willful misconduct to break the limitation, and it was on that basis that it was determined to settle. Q. And if you can turn to Exhibit SM-12X, at page 23, and that's PMSA data request 601. And if you see on that page, if you're with me, you admitted that the case was dismissed by an order entered on September 16, 2021, as a result of the settlement of the parties? A. Yes. Q. So as a result of that settlement, the pilot did not have to face any further claim of willful misconduct,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order. Are you there? A. I believe so, yes. Q. Thank you. In the second paragraph, it reads, quote, "Boyce argues that O.R.S. Section 776.540 automatically limits a pilot's liability to the \$250 security, except as to a single type of wrongdoing: Willful misconduct. Put another way, Boyce argues that there is a difference between gross negligence and the palpably more serious willful misconduct." So looking further at this order, if you can turn to page 9. And the second line from the top, where it reads, "Thus, this court finds, interpreting under admiralty and Oregon law and looking at the text and context of the statute, that O.R.S. 776.540 allows for recovery up to \$250 for any damages flowing from a proven act of gross negligence and does not limit 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right? A. That is my understanding. Q. So in fact, later, the parties settled this case; right? A. My understanding is they continue to pursue willful misconduct to break the limitation, and it was on that basis that it was determined to settle. Q. And if you can turn to Exhibit SM-12X, at page 23, and that's PMSA data request 601. And if you see on that page, if you're with me, you admitted that the case was dismissed by an order entered on September 16, 2021, as a result of the settlement of the parties? A. Yes. Q. So as a result of that settlement, the pilot did not have to face any further claim of willful misconduct, did he?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order. Are you there? A. I believe so, yes. Q. Thank you. In the second paragraph, it reads, quote, "Boyce argues that O.R.S. Section 776.540 automatically limits a pilot's liability to the \$250 security, except as to a single type of wrongdoing: Willful misconduct. Put another way, Boyce argues that there is a difference between gross negligence and the palpably more serious willful misconduct." So looking further at this order, if you can turn to page 9. And the second line from the top, where it reads, "Thus, this court finds, interpreting under admiralty and Oregon law and looking at the text and context of the statute, that O.R.S. 776.540 allows for recovery up to \$250 for any damages flowing from a proven act of gross negligence and does not limit damages flowing from an act of willful misconduct"; 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right? A. That is my understanding. Q. So in fact, later, the parties settled this case; right? A. My understanding is they continue to pursue willful misconduct to break the limitation, and it was on that basis that it was determined to settle. Q. And if you can turn to Exhibit SM-12X, at page 23, and that's PMSA data request 601. And if you see on that page, if you're with me, you admitted that the case was dismissed by an order entered on September 16, 2021, as a result of the settlement of the parties? A. Yes. Q. So as a result of that settlement, the pilot did not have to face any further claim of willful misconduct, did he? A. I don't believe so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order. Are you there? A. I believe so, yes. Q. Thank you. In the second paragraph, it reads, quote, "Boyce argues that O.R.S. Section 776.540 automatically limits a pilot's liability to the \$250 security, except as to a single type of wrongdoing: Willful misconduct. Put another way, Boyce argues that there is a difference between gross negligence and the palpably more serious willful misconduct." So looking further at this order, if you can turn to page 9. And the second line from the top, where it reads, "Thus, this court finds, interpreting under admiralty and Oregon law and looking at the text and context of the statute, that O.R.S. 776.540 allows for recovery up to \$250 for any damages flowing from a proven act of gross negligence and does not limit damages flowing from an act of willful misconduct"; right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right? A. That is my understanding. Q. So in fact, later, the parties settled this case; right? A. My understanding is they continue to pursue willful misconduct to break the limitation, and it was on that basis that it was determined to settle. Q. And if you can turn to Exhibit SM-12X, at page 23, and that's PMSA data request 601. And if you see on that page, if you're with me, you admitted that the case was dismissed by an order entered on September 16, 2021, as a result of the settlement of the parties? A. Yes. Q. So as a result of that settlement, the pilot did not have to face any further claim of willful misconduct, did he? A. I don't believe so. Q. And he also did not ultimately have to pay the \$250
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order. Are you there? A. I believe so, yes. Q. Thank you. In the second paragraph, it reads, quote, "Boyce argues that O.R.S. Section 776.540 automatically limits a pilot's liability to the \$250 security, except as to a single type of wrongdoing: Willful misconduct. Put another way, Boyce argues that there is a difference between gross negligence and the palpably more serious willful misconduct." So looking further at this order, if you can turn to page 9. And the second line from the top, where it reads, "Thus, this court finds, interpreting under admiralty and Oregon law and looking at the text and context of the statute, that O.R.S. 776.540 allows for recovery up to \$250 for any damages flowing from a proven act of gross negligence and does not limit damages flowing from an act of willful misconduct"; right? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right? A. That is my understanding. Q. So in fact, later, the parties settled this case; right? A. My understanding is they continue to pursue willful misconduct to break the limitation, and it was on that basis that it was determined to settle. Q. And if you can turn to Exhibit SM-12X, at page 23, and that's PMSA data request 601. And if you see on that page, if you're with me, you admitted that the case was dismissed by an order entered on September 16, 2021, as a result of the settlement of the parties? A. Yes. Q. So as a result of that settlement, the pilot did not have to face any further claim of willful misconduct, did he? A. I don't believe so. Q. And he also did not ultimately have to pay the \$250 within the Oregon liability limit for ordinary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yep. Q. If you can turn to Exhibit SM-04, and turn to page 5 of that order. Are you there? A. I believe so, yes. Q. Thank you. In the second paragraph, it reads, quote, "Boyce argues that O.R.S. Section 776.540 automatically limits a pilot's liability to the \$250 security, except as to a single type of wrongdoing: Willful misconduct. Put another way, Boyce argues that there is a difference between gross negligence and the palpably more serious willful misconduct." So looking further at this order, if you can turn to page 9. And the second line from the top, where it reads, "Thus, this court finds, interpreting under admiralty and Oregon law and looking at the text and context of the statute, that O.R.S. 776.540 allows for recovery up to \$250 for any damages flowing from a proven act of gross negligence and does not limit damages flowing from an act of willful misconduct"; right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	misconduct, the motion for summary judgment was denied." And as I think you're saying, since it was denied in this order, the order did not conclude the litigation; right? A. That is my understanding. Q. So in fact, later, the parties settled this case; right? A. My understanding is they continue to pursue willful misconduct to break the limitation, and it was on that basis that it was determined to settle. Q. And if you can turn to Exhibit SM-12X, at page 23, and that's PMSA data request 601. And if you see on that page, if you're with me, you admitted that the case was dismissed by an order entered on September 16, 2021, as a result of the settlement of the parties? A. Yes. Q. So as a result of that settlement, the pilot did not have to face any further claim of willful misconduct, did he? A. I don't believe so. Q. And he also did not ultimately have to pay the \$250

	Page 411		Page 413
1	\$250 bond that they post was somehow part of that	1	questions.
2	amount.	2	If we could go to another part of that summary
3	Q. If you could please turn to SM-18X, page 8 of that	3	judgment decision from 2021, the fall of 2021, and go
4	exhibit. And that is a docket from that case. And	4	to SM-04 at page 10.
5	if you look there, you don't see anything about	5	And if we look at the last full sentence of the
6	that and as you see, Mr. Haglund was involved in	6	partial paragraph at the top there and if you
7	this case you don't see anything about his the	7	could
8	pilot having had to pay any anything; right?	8	MR. HAGLUND: Mr. Crandall, if you could
9	A. Well, I'd say that the pilot had to pay a substantial	9	display this so that all can see what we're calling
10	amount because of the amount of the settlement, but I	10	out from that opinion.
11	don't see the \$250 referenced in there.	11	Those three last three lines of that first
12	Q. So the in the SM the M/V Mumbai case resolved	12	partial paragraph.
13	in 2021.	13	So, actually, you need to get me up to the
14	The underwriter already included the additional	14	other line above that as well.
15	risks of the litigation by the time of the effective	15	BY MR. HAGLUND:
16	date of the PSP policy we were looking at for	16	Q. Here you see language used by the federal judge in
17	2022/2023; right?	17	Oregon that is providing a definition of willful
18	A. This was a separate policy. So there's different	18	misconduct. And do you recall, Mr. McCarthy, given
19	factors that are involved when it comes to	19	your involvement in this case, that the concern was
20	underwriting.	20	that the definition of willful misconduct could
21	Q. So for a policy that's for 2022/2023, would	21	also
22	underwriters have already incorporated any additional	22	MS. DeLAPPE: I would object to counsel
23	risks of litigation represented by this case that had	23	explaining to the witness what the concern was. And
24	settled in 2021?	24	ask that he ask what Mr. McCarthy knows.
25	A. Yes.	25	JUDGE HOWARD: I am going to grant the
	Page 412		Page 414
1	Q. So the rates in 2023/2024 at SM-15X are nearly the	1	objection. It seemed that we were suggesting an
2	same as the prior year. So were those risks of the	2	answer.
3	defense costs already built into that premium for the	3	BY MR. HAGLUND:
4	prior year then?	1 4	O Olean Milestle manuscriptored Ma Ma Contlant of the
5		4	Q. Okay. What's your understand, Mr. McCarthy, of the
	A. The group that's sustained the loss, their premiums	5	concern about going into trial after this summary
6	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim.	5 6	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down?
6 7	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim. Other pilot groups were impacted by the perceived	5 6 7	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down? A. Well, I think there's several. The biggest is that
	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim. Other pilot groups were impacted by the perceived change in exposure of P&I clubs trying to break	5 6 7 8	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down? A. Well, I think there's several. The biggest is that the policy that we have is extremely broad, but the
7 8 9	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim. Other pilot groups were impacted by the perceived change in exposure of P&I clubs trying to break limitation becoming now a standard operating	5 6 7	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down? A. Well, I think there's several. The biggest is that the policy that we have is extremely broad, but the exclusions that are in it do not include gross
7 8 9 10	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim. Other pilot groups were impacted by the perceived change in exposure of P&I clubs trying to break limitation becoming now a standard operating procedure, which now creates additional exposure to	5 6 7 8 9	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down? A. Well, I think there's several. The biggest is that the policy that we have is extremely broad, but the exclusions that are in it do not include gross negligence. But they do include willful, wanton, or
7 8 9 10 11	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim. Other pilot groups were impacted by the perceived change in exposure of P&I clubs trying to break limitation becoming now a standard operating procedure, which now creates additional exposure to the groups and the underwriters.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down? A. Well, I think there's several. The biggest is that the policy that we have is extremely broad, but the exclusions that are in it do not include gross negligence. But they do include willful, wanton, or intentional acts, but with some exceptions for
7 8 9 10 11	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim. Other pilot groups were impacted by the perceived change in exposure of P&I clubs trying to break limitation becoming now a standard operating procedure, which now creates additional exposure to the groups and the underwriters. Q. Thank you, Mr. McCarthy.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down? A. Well, I think there's several. The biggest is that the policy that we have is extremely broad, but the exclusions that are in it do not include gross negligence. But they do include willful, wanton, or intentional acts, but with some exceptions for intentional acts made under emergency circumstances.
7 8 9 10 11 12	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim. Other pilot groups were impacted by the perceived change in exposure of P&I clubs trying to break limitation becoming now a standard operating procedure, which now creates additional exposure to the groups and the underwriters. Q. Thank you, Mr. McCarthy. So these at SM-15X, page 1, those are already	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down? A. Well, I think there's several. The biggest is that the policy that we have is extremely broad, but the exclusions that are in it do not include gross negligence. But they do include willful, wanton, or intentional acts, but with some exceptions for intentional acts made under emergency circumstances. And if the finding of willful misconduct was was
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim. Other pilot groups were impacted by the perceived change in exposure of P&I clubs trying to break limitation becoming now a standard operating procedure, which now creates additional exposure to the groups and the underwriters. Q. Thank you, Mr. McCarthy. So these at SM-15X, page 1, those are already incorporated into these premiums, right, those	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down? A. Well, I think there's several. The biggest is that the policy that we have is extremely broad, but the exclusions that are in it do not include gross negligence. But they do include willful, wanton, or intentional acts, but with some exceptions for intentional acts made under emergency circumstances. And if the finding of willful misconduct was was upheld, the pilot wouldn't have insurance. And it
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim. Other pilot groups were impacted by the perceived change in exposure of P&I clubs trying to break limitation becoming now a standard operating procedure, which now creates additional exposure to the groups and the underwriters. Q. Thank you, Mr. McCarthy. So these at SM-15X, page 1, those are already incorporated into these premiums, right, those additional risks?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down? A. Well, I think there's several. The biggest is that the policy that we have is extremely broad, but the exclusions that are in it do not include gross negligence. But they do include willful, wanton, or intentional acts, but with some exceptions for intentional acts made under emergency circumstances. And if the finding of willful misconduct was was upheld, the pilot wouldn't have insurance. And it would create, effectively, case law where
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim. Other pilot groups were impacted by the perceived change in exposure of P&I clubs trying to break limitation becoming now a standard operating procedure, which now creates additional exposure to the groups and the underwriters. Q. Thank you, Mr. McCarthy. So these at SM-15X, page 1, those are already incorporated into these premiums, right, those additional risks? A. Yes. For	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down? A. Well, I think there's several. The biggest is that the policy that we have is extremely broad, but the exclusions that are in it do not include gross negligence. But they do include willful, wanton, or intentional acts, but with some exceptions for intentional acts made under emergency circumstances. And if the finding of willful misconduct was was upheld, the pilot wouldn't have insurance. And it would create, effectively, case law where recklessness became the new standard for willful
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim. Other pilot groups were impacted by the perceived change in exposure of P&I clubs trying to break limitation becoming now a standard operating procedure, which now creates additional exposure to the groups and the underwriters. Q. Thank you, Mr. McCarthy. So these at SM-15X, page 1, those are already incorporated into these premiums, right, those additional risks? A. Yes. For Q. Thank you.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down? A. Well, I think there's several. The biggest is that the policy that we have is extremely broad, but the exclusions that are in it do not include gross negligence. But they do include willful, wanton, or intentional acts, but with some exceptions for intentional acts made under emergency circumstances. And if the finding of willful misconduct was was upheld, the pilot wouldn't have insurance. And it would create, effectively, case law where recklessness became the new standard for willful misconduct, which then created exposures, not just
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim. Other pilot groups were impacted by the perceived change in exposure of P&I clubs trying to break limitation becoming now a standard operating procedure, which now creates additional exposure to the groups and the underwriters. Q. Thank you, Mr. McCarthy. So these at SM-15X, page 1, those are already incorporated into these premiums, right, those additional risks? A. Yes. For Q. Thank you. A. For that renewal.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down? A. Well, I think there's several. The biggest is that the policy that we have is extremely broad, but the exclusions that are in it do not include gross negligence. But they do include willful, wanton, or intentional acts, but with some exceptions for intentional acts made under emergency circumstances. And if the finding of willful misconduct was was upheld, the pilot wouldn't have insurance. And it would create, effectively, case law where recklessness became the new standard for willful misconduct, which then created exposures, not just for this group, but for multiple pilot groups that we
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim. Other pilot groups were impacted by the perceived change in exposure of P&I clubs trying to break limitation becoming now a standard operating procedure, which now creates additional exposure to the groups and the underwriters. Q. Thank you, Mr. McCarthy. So these at SM-15X, page 1, those are already incorporated into these premiums, right, those additional risks? A. Yes. For Q. Thank you. A. For that renewal. MS. DeLAPPE: I have no further questions.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down? A. Well, I think there's several. The biggest is that the policy that we have is extremely broad, but the exclusions that are in it do not include gross negligence. But they do include willful, wanton, or intentional acts, but with some exceptions for intentional acts made under emergency circumstances. And if the finding of willful misconduct was was upheld, the pilot wouldn't have insurance. And it would create, effectively, case law where recklessness became the new standard for willful misconduct, which then created exposures, not just for this group, but for multiple pilot groups that we represent.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim. Other pilot groups were impacted by the perceived change in exposure of P&I clubs trying to break limitation becoming now a standard operating procedure, which now creates additional exposure to the groups and the underwriters. Q. Thank you, Mr. McCarthy. So these at SM-15X, page 1, those are already incorporated into these premiums, right, those additional risks? A. Yes. For Q. Thank you. A. For that renewal. MS. DeLAPPE: I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: Any redirect?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down? A. Well, I think there's several. The biggest is that the policy that we have is extremely broad, but the exclusions that are in it do not include gross negligence. But they do include willful, wanton, or intentional acts, but with some exceptions for intentional acts made under emergency circumstances. And if the finding of willful misconduct was was upheld, the pilot wouldn't have insurance. And it would create, effectively, case law where recklessness became the new standard for willful misconduct, which then created exposures, not just for this group, but for multiple pilot groups that we represent. Q. Thank you. And you mentioned that the group involved
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim. Other pilot groups were impacted by the perceived change in exposure of P&I clubs trying to break limitation becoming now a standard operating procedure, which now creates additional exposure to the groups and the underwriters. Q. Thank you, Mr. McCarthy. So these at SM-15X, page 1, those are already incorporated into these premiums, right, those additional risks? A. Yes. For Q. Thank you. A. For that renewal. MS. DeLAPPE: I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: Any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down? A. Well, I think there's several. The biggest is that the policy that we have is extremely broad, but the exclusions that are in it do not include gross negligence. But they do include willful, wanton, or intentional acts, but with some exceptions for intentional acts made under emergency circumstances. And if the finding of willful misconduct was was upheld, the pilot wouldn't have insurance. And it would create, effectively, case law where recklessness became the new standard for willful misconduct, which then created exposures, not just for this group, but for multiple pilot groups that we represent. Q. Thank you. And you mentioned that the group involved saw a significant increase in its policy as a result
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim. Other pilot groups were impacted by the perceived change in exposure of P&I clubs trying to break limitation becoming now a standard operating procedure, which now creates additional exposure to the groups and the underwriters. Q. Thank you, Mr. McCarthy. So these at SM-15X, page 1, those are already incorporated into these premiums, right, those additional risks? A. Yes. For Q. Thank you. A. For that renewal. MS. DeLAPPE: I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: Any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down? A. Well, I think there's several. The biggest is that the policy that we have is extremely broad, but the exclusions that are in it do not include gross negligence. But they do include willful, wanton, or intentional acts, but with some exceptions for intentional acts made under emergency circumstances. And if the finding of willful misconduct was was upheld, the pilot wouldn't have insurance. And it would create, effectively, case law where recklessness became the new standard for willful misconduct, which then created exposures, not just for this group, but for multiple pilot groups that we represent. Q. Thank you. And you mentioned that the group involved saw a significant increase in its policy as a result of the result in this case, the settlement.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim. Other pilot groups were impacted by the perceived change in exposure of P&I clubs trying to break limitation becoming now a standard operating procedure, which now creates additional exposure to the groups and the underwriters. Q. Thank you, Mr. McCarthy. So these at SM-15X, page 1, those are already incorporated into these premiums, right, those additional risks? A. Yes. For Q. Thank you. A. For that renewal. MS. DeLAPPE: I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: Any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down? A. Well, I think there's several. The biggest is that the policy that we have is extremely broad, but the exclusions that are in it do not include gross negligence. But they do include willful, wanton, or intentional acts, but with some exceptions for intentional acts made under emergency circumstances. And if the finding of willful misconduct was was upheld, the pilot wouldn't have insurance. And it would create, effectively, case law where recklessness became the new standard for willful misconduct, which then created exposures, not just for this group, but for multiple pilot groups that we represent. Q. Thank you. And you mentioned that the group involved saw a significant increase in its policy as a result of the result in this case, the settlement. And Captain Boyce, what pilot group was he a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim. Other pilot groups were impacted by the perceived change in exposure of P&I clubs trying to break limitation becoming now a standard operating procedure, which now creates additional exposure to the groups and the underwriters. Q. Thank you, Mr. McCarthy. So these at SM-15X, page 1, those are already incorporated into these premiums, right, those additional risks? A. Yes. For Q. Thank you. A. For that renewal. MS. DeLAPPE: I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: Any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Mr. McCarthy, I want to stick with this Mumbai case	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down? A. Well, I think there's several. The biggest is that the policy that we have is extremely broad, but the exclusions that are in it do not include gross negligence. But they do include willful, wanton, or intentional acts, but with some exceptions for intentional acts made under emergency circumstances. And if the finding of willful misconduct was was upheld, the pilot wouldn't have insurance. And it would create, effectively, case law where recklessness became the new standard for willful misconduct, which then created exposures, not just for this group, but for multiple pilot groups that we represent. Q. Thank you. And you mentioned that the group involved saw a significant increase in its policy as a result of the result in this case, the settlement. And Captain Boyce, what pilot group was he a member of?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	were impacted dramatically by the size of that claim. Other pilot groups were impacted by the perceived change in exposure of P&I clubs trying to break limitation becoming now a standard operating procedure, which now creates additional exposure to the groups and the underwriters. Q. Thank you, Mr. McCarthy. So these at SM-15X, page 1, those are already incorporated into these premiums, right, those additional risks? A. Yes. For Q. Thank you. A. For that renewal. MS. DeLAPPE: I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: Any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	concern about going into trial after this summary judgment decision had come down? A. Well, I think there's several. The biggest is that the policy that we have is extremely broad, but the exclusions that are in it do not include gross negligence. But they do include willful, wanton, or intentional acts, but with some exceptions for intentional acts made under emergency circumstances. And if the finding of willful misconduct was was upheld, the pilot wouldn't have insurance. And it would create, effectively, case law where recklessness became the new standard for willful misconduct, which then created exposures, not just for this group, but for multiple pilot groups that we represent. Q. Thank you. And you mentioned that the group involved saw a significant increase in its policy as a result of the result in this case, the settlement. And Captain Boyce, what pilot group was he a

Page 415 Page 417 1 Q. So when you refer to "this group" seeing a 1 for the Columbia River Pilots, are -- Columbia River 2 2 significant increase, were you referring to the Bar Pilots, are you not? Columbia River Pilots? 3 3 A. Yes. A. Correct. 4 4 Q. What do they maintain for this year, their license 5 Q. And you mentioned also that all pilot groups that you 5 defense and lost income insurance -- or lost income 6 do work for or serve as the insurance -- marine 6 insurance coverage at? 7 7 insurance broker for saw increases in their costs. A. Technically, I'm not supposed to be disclosing. But 8 8 If we could put up Exhibit MM-81X. we've provided that information. 9 9 Is -- is this exhibit something that you prepared Q. I think it's referenced in Captain Jordan's 10 in response to a PMSA data request? 10 testimony, so if you can --11 A. Yes. 11 A. Okay. They're over 500,000. I don't have it off the 12 Q. And what -- what are we seeing on this recap sheet 12 top of my head. Q. For 2023? 13 that you prepared? 13 14 A. Well, what you're seeing is both the impact of a very 14 A. Yes. 15 hard insurance market, where rate increases are going 15 Q. Okay. Now, if you -- you were asked about -up. At the same time, you're also seeing an impact 16 JUDGE HOWARD: I was concerned that that was 16 17 in -- an increase in the perceived exposure as it 17 a bit of counsel testifying there. So let's be relates to pilot liability, because these renewals 18 18 careful about that. 19 19 MR. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor. both looked at the Boyce case and the Levant case. 20 20 BY MR. HAGLUND: And the point being, that's a snapshot. That doesn't 21 mean that the increases have stopped. 21 Q. You were asked about the effect of technology on 22 Q. And when you refer to the Levant case, which case is 22 pilotage risk. Looking at it from a big picture 23 23 that? standpoint, Mr. McCarthy, how would you -- what are 24 A. That's the Puget Sound case where they're actually --24 your observations about the effect of increases in 25 break limitation as well. 25 technology aboard ships being piloted on pilotage Page 416 Page 418 Q. And that's pending in federal court in Seattle at 1 risk? 1 2 this time? 2 A. That -- it gets a little bit beyond my area of 3 3 A. Correct. expertise in terms of the various pilots and the 4 4 Q. And if you look at the progression of rates on a per nature of the navigation. So I'm not sure that I can 5 pilot basis, which show on the second to last line 5 necessarily speak to that unless you're talking 6 there, what would you observe about the trend over 6 about from an insurance perspective. You know, we 7 the last five years? 7 know that pilot groups utilize portable pilot units 8 8 A. It's just been a straight upward trajectory. rather than relying on vessel technology for Q. And is this reflective of exposures that pilots have 9 9 navigation. Things of that nature have evolved over 10 during their work as pilots? 10 the last 10 or 15 years. 11 Q. Let me ask the question a little differently. 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Now, you were asked -- let's turn now -- or let me 12 You were -- you acknowledge that there's more 13 tonnage on the world's oceans today than there was 10 13 ask one other question. 14 14 You mentioned -- or you were asked in your to 15 years ago? 15 prior -- in the cross about loss of income insurance. 15 A. Right. And we see a decline in that over this six-year time 16 Q. From an insurance loss perspective, is that newer 16 17 frame. 17 tonnage generating lower levels of claims? 18 Why was the amount reduced based -- based upon 18 A. Not necessarily. I think -- while they -- incidents 19 what you know of your discussions with the Puget 19 may be down, the size of claims has increased 20 Sound Pilots, why was the amount reduced? 20 significantly. Just inflation, the costs -- the 21 A. Because of the last rate hearing. There was a 21 ability to -- you know, whatever it costs to repair a 22 22 significant drop in the income, and that's what they dock today is significantly higher than it was even 23 asked us -- they asked us to lower that to 321,000 in 23 five years ago. Pollution spills around the world. 24 24 More jurisdictions are taking much closer to a U.S. 2021/2022. 25 25 Q. And you are the insurance -- marine insurance broker approach to liability and cleanup and fines and

Page 419 Page 421 1 1 penalties and things of that nature. secure a surety bond, if they have a major casualty 2 2 Q. Are you familiar with the Golden Ray casualty off the and were to abandon the vessel, say a single shell 3 coast of Georgia? 3 corporation owning a single vessel were to abandon 4 4 A. Just from what we read in the news and the insurance the ship because of the size of the loss, would the 5 5 surety bond have to pay the federal -- federally publications. 6 Q. Do you have any observations about the effect of 6 required limit? 7 7 technology as it pertained to that casualty? A. Yes. 8 8 Q. And under the -- how is the -- you mentioned the P&I A. Well, it didn't seem to really work. My 9 9 understanding was there was a vessel that had just clubs have refused to provide these sorts of surety 10 come out of dry dock and basically flipped over in 10 bond guarantees. 11 calm seas, which should not happen. And my 11 Could you briefly describe what is required in 12 12 order for a P&I club to pay an insurance claim of one understanding from what I read is it was a ballast 13 water issue that affected and caused that. 13 its members? 14 Q. Now, you were also asked about Washington's financial 14 A. Well, P&I clubs operate slightly different from 15 responsibility laws as they pertain to oil spills. 15 normal insurance in that they are on a -- what's And I want to ask you some questions about that area. 16 known as a pay-to-be-paid basis in a pure indemnity 16 17 When it comes to a vessel -- let's say an oil 17 system, where the individual member -- the individual 18 tanker or any foreign flag ship that's coming into 18 ship owner must first pay the loss and then be 19 Puget Sound or U.S. waters, is there a federal 19 reimbursed by their club, be indemnified by the club. 20 20 requirement regarding a certificate of financial In a situation where a vessel owner goes bankrupt 21 responsibility? 21 or just walks away from a claim and does not pay in 22 A. Yes, there is. 22 the first instance, the P&I clubs are not going to 23 23 Q. And what's your understanding about how a vessel step in and cover those claims on a first-party 24 obtains that certificate in order to be sailing in 24 basis. 25 the U.S. waters? 25 Q. So based on your background and experience in the Page 420 Page 422 A. So for any vessel over 300-gross tons that carries 1 marine insurance business over 30 years, do you --1 2 2 fuel. So you could have tugs, you could have fishing are you able to describe that portion of the foreign 3 3 vessels, they need to have a federal COFR, flag fleet that carries some risk, based on your 4 4 certificate of financial responsibility. Most of experience of potential abandonment of the vessel, in 5 5 those vessels will get that through insurance, the event of a catastrophic loss like an oil spill? 6 whether it's Water Quality Insurance or Safe Harbor. 6 A. When I look at it, the exposure is going to be 7 And they provide them both the insurance and the 7 primarily bulkers, vessels, bulk ships, trampers, 8 8 guarantee to the Coast Guard. that are owned in kind of a single shell corporation. 9 For Blue Water ships, their pollution insurance 9 And it basically -- each vessel, they might have ten 10 is primarily through P&I clubs. The P&I clubs refuse 10 vessels a ship owner, whether in Greece or other to act as a guarantor, and they have done that going 11 11 places -- the joke is always Greek ship owners -- but 12 back to when OPA 90 and the federal COFRs came into 12 in the event of a significant casualty, they just 13 13 play. They said we are not a guarantor because that take a razor blade, scrape the name of the company 14 is not the structure of how our system works. So 14 off the window, and off they go. That would be the 15 they had to create a different scheme, if you will. 15 exposure, where there was a ship owner, single entry. Some vessel owners may still buy insurance. It 16 There's no other assets associated with that company. 16 17 gets to be very expensive because you're buying 17 And the owner just decides to walk away from the 18 insurance that you already have through your P&I 18 19 club. So there are facilities, Shoreline is the one 19 Q. Now, Washington passed a statute requiring vessels 20 that comes to mind, where they offer surety. Which 20 of -- carrying certain levels of fuel or oil to put is basically, they put up a guarantee, you pay money, 21 21 up certificates of --2.2 much less than insurance. They put up the guarantee 22 MS. DeLAPPE: I will object to counsel 23 and the guarantee satisfies the federal Coast 23 instructing the witness about what statutes 24 24 Guard -- the federal COFR requirement. Washington has adopted based on his prior testimony, 25 25 Q. So these vessels that elect to use Shoreline to and just ask that counsel ask him what he knows.

Page 423 Page 425 1 1 are willing to consider writing insurance for pilot MR. HAGLUND: Okay. I'll rephrase the 2 2 question. groups? 3 3 BY MR. HAGLUND: MS. DeLAPPE: I would object that this is 4 Q. Hypothetically, I want you to assume that Washington 4 beyond the scope of my cross. 5 5 law requiring certificates of financial JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to grant that. I 6 responsibility allows a vessel with P&I club 6 don't believe the cross was getting into national 7 7 insurance meeting the required amount to have an availability of insurance for pilots. exemption from posting a certificate of financial 8 MR. HAGLUND: Okay. That's all I have, Your 8 9 9 responsibility with a surety. Honor. I've concluded my redirect. 10 10 Given that assumption, is there -- what's your JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any 11 observation about the risk in the event the vessel 11 questions from the bench for this witness? 12 was abandoned? 12 CHAIR DANNER: No, Your Honor. 13 A. Well -- and I don't know exactly what those -- the 13 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No, Your Honor. 14 limits are for bulk carriers versus oil tankers. I 14 COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No. Your Honor. 15 mean, I would be surprised if there's a single shell 15 JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you all. oil tanker company out there. But the risk would 16 Mr. McCarthy, thank you for your testimony. 16 17 17 You are excused from the remainder of the hearing. still be the same. 18 18 I mean, just because the clubs can evidence a We are going to move next to McNeil. 19 19 MS. DeLAPPE: Your Honor, I do have a billion dollars in coverage, doesn't guarantee that 20 20 there's a billion dollar insurance there, unless question, just as far as our scheduling. I am 21 there is a ship -- standing in front of that and 21 perfectly fine with proceeding with McNeil and then 22 taking on the obligations to pay in the first 2.2 Wood and then Lough, as we discussed earlier. But I 23 23 instance and then be indemnified. do note that seems to put us at a late lunch, which 24 Q. Have you personally observed the P&I clubs enforcing 24 is absolutely fine with me. I just wanted to make 25 the pay-to-be-paid requirement? 2.5 sure that that will be all right with everyone else, Page 424 Page 426 A. Yes. On a regular basis. Where, I mean, it's 1 1 since we do want to make sure that Lough's testimony 2 2 almost -- in some cases, it's our client basically is not broken up. Thank you. 3 3 cuts a check, photocopies that shows that that JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. I -- I -- I also want 4 4 check is -- has been sent, and then the clubs will to be mindful that, although we are willing to stay 5 5 then put the money in their account to reimburse late today, that we don't embark on a long journey 6 them. But it creates a very clear paper trail of our 6 starting after lunch that will lead -- keep us here 7 client, our vessel owner paying in the first 7 late into the evening. So I -- I think --8 8 instance. MR. HAGLUND: Your Honor, I -- we're going 9 Q. Because the clubs absolute -- they insist that that 9 to -- we were going to call two 15-minute witnesses 10 payment be made before they reimburse? 10 and then Mr. Lough. I know she's -- Ms. DeLappe has 11 A. Yes. 11 an hour and a half for Mr. Lough. We have a group 12 Q. Okay. Under their indemnity policy program? 12 here where the lunch comes in during the lunch hour. 13 13 A. Yes. I guess I have issues with not taking a half-hour 14 Q. Okay. When it comes to -- how many pilot groups on 14 lunch break sometime during the noon time frame. 15 15 the West Coast do you provide the marine insurance JUDGE HOWARD: I would -- I would like to 16 16 brokerage services for? take a reasonable lunch break. But I -- I'm going to 17 A. Coos Bay, Columbia River Bar, Columbia River, Puget 17 consider this as we maybe turn to McNeil first. But 18 Sound, and SEAPA. Five. 18 go ahead. Q. And SEAPA is Southeast Alaska Pilots? 19 19 MS. DeLAPPE: I think that this will just 20 A. Yes. 20 put us at lunch at 12:40 if my timing estimates are 21 Q. And when it comes to -- if a pilot somewhere in the 21 correct. 2.2 Unites States has a significant incident or -- or you 22 JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Is that agreeable 23 have situations where limitations on liability are 23 to you, Mr. Haglund? 24 24 being challenged, is -- what's your experience with MR. HAGLUND: Well, the only concern is I 25 25 respect to the size of the group of underwriters who think the lunch that would be coming in at noon here

	Page 427		Page 429
1	is going to get rather cold by then. But we can live	1	I believe you'll recognize that this is a letter that
2	with that.	2	you wrote on February 17, 2023, to the Internal
3	JUDGE HOWARD: What would you say to just	3	Revenue Service?
4	taking Lough am I saying that correctly,	4	A. This is to the Department of Labor.
5	David Lough first?	5	Q. BJM-05?
6	MR. HAGLUND: We could what we could do	6	A. I'm sorry. You're correct. That is to the IRS.
7	is I was hoping Mr. McNeil could get on as he has	7	Q. I'm glad we're on the same letter then. Great.
8	a 1 p.m. plane to catch back to Virginia. We could	8	So you submitted this with your rebuttal
9	push Mr. Wood to after Lough.	9	testimony; right?
10	JUDGE HOWARD: Is that agreeable?	10	A. I did.
11 12	MS. DeLAPPE: Absolutely.	11	Q. And if you can turn to page 2 of this exhibit, you'll
13	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's proceed with McNeil for now. I'm going to keep mulling this	12	see a list there where you attached well, starting
14	over. And I'm just going to be mindful of not	13	on page 1, you attached five exhibits to this letter
15	trying to avoid being here until a very late hour	14	to the Internal Revenue Service?
16	tonight. All right.	15	A. That those are exhibits that I will attach to that
17	Mr. McNeil, can you hear and see me all right?	16	letter when I submit the letter.
18	THE WITNESS: I can. Yes.	17	Q. So the letter is dated February 17, 2023, but you
19	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Would you please	18	have not mailed it yet?
20	raise your right hand, and I'll swear you in.	19	A. I have not.
21	* * * * *	20	Q. So when you mail this letter, are you planning on
22	Bruce McNeil, having been first duly sworn, was	21	updating the date on the first page?
	examined and testified as	22	A. Yes. The current date of the mailing of the letter.
23	follows:	23	Q. So Exhibit D on that letter says, "A copy of the
24	THE WITNESS: I do.	24	Puget Sound Pilots Association Multiple Employer
25	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Mr. Haglund,	25	Defined Benefit Pension Plan."
	Page 428		Page 430
1	would you please introduce the witness.	1	Does that document exist?
2	MR. HAGLUND: Mr. McNeil, did you prepare	2	A. It does not.
3	written testimony, both original and oral rebuttal	3	Q. If you and so also Exhibit E, "A copy of the Puget
4	testimony in this case?	4	Sound Pilots Association Trust Agreement."
5	THE WITNESS: I did.	5	Does that document exist?
6	MR. HAGLUND: And is it true and correct to	6	A. It does not.
7	the best of your knowledge?	7	Q. Did you state anywhere in your testimony that these
8	THE WITNESS: It is.	8	letters were not letters that you were actually
9	MR. HAGLUND: And how many years have you	9	that you had sent?
10	been practicing as a pension law specialist?	10	A. These letters will be sent at the time that there's
11	THE WITNESS: About 40 years.	11	approval of that defined benefit multiple employer
12	MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for	12	plan.
13	Cross.	13	Q. Do you
14	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PMSA, you may	14	A. And then I can draft the plan, draft the trust, and
15	proceed.	15	submit both along with this letter.
16	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you, Your Honor.	16	Q. So do you recognize that when you submit an exhibit
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION	17	that has a specific date well before the testimony
18 19	BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning or good afternoon. Your times in	18 19	date, it doesn't say insert date here? It's just
20	you're in Seattle now; right?	20	February 17, 2023, that that might lead one to think that this letter had actually been sent that day?
21	A. I am. Yes.	21	A. Well, it would be exactly the letter that I will send
22	Q. All right. Thank you.	22	on the date I send it.
		23	MR. HAGLUND: Your Honor, I'm going to
23	A TEAU WELEDEDING DO VES		
23 24	A. Yeah. We're behind. But, yes. Q. Well. good morning. Mr. McNeil.		
23 24 25	Q. Well, good morning, Mr. McNeil. If you could please turn to Exhibit BJM-05. And	24	object to this line of questioning because Mr. McNeil, in his rebuttal testimony, page 3, stated

	Page 431		Page 433
1	that both letters were drafts of letters to be	1	A. Yes.
2	were drafts.	2	Q. On that page, line 4, could you just confirm that you
3	MS. DeLAPPE: And that is what I'm asking	3	testified that PSP could establish a plan, a plan
4	Mr. McNeil, is if he made it clear.	4	with benefits substantially identical to its current
5	THE WITNESS: Well, I did in my rebuttal	5	farebox plan?
6	testimony. I said I think I made it pretty clear.	6	A. Correct.
7	MS. DeLAPPE: Okay. I think we are waiting	7	Q. And the current farebox plan provides a retiree a
8	for a ruling on that objection.	8	benefit equal to 1.5 percent of the retired pilot's
9	JUDGE HOWARD: I'll allow the question.	9	retirement base per year of service; is that right?
10	It's up to counsel to explore this at this point.	10	A. Correct.
11	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you.	11	Q. So in the plan you submitted to the you plan to
12	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	12	submit an identical letter to for the document
13	Q. And I believe Mr. Haglund has helped you in providing	13	the letter that we looked at at the beginning,
14	the answer for you, Mr. McNeil.	14	BJM-05.
15	So if we could please turn to discussing the	15	And for the parallel letter that you were
16	trust.	16	preparing to send to the Department of Labor, if I
17	Have you discussed the creation of the trust with	17	could have you turn to that, BJM-06.
18	stakeholders in the pension workshop process or in	18	A. Yes.
19	any other context?	19	Q. If you could turn to page 4 of that letter, and let
20	A. It may have come up during the earlier discussions we	20	me know when you're there.
21	had with the mediator.	21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And you're not sure?	22	Q. So do you see there that it says "1.864 percent of
23	A. I cannot recall how deeply we got into this topic of	23	the retired pilot's retirement base"?
24	the actual planned document and the actual trust	24	A. Yes.
25	agreement.	25	Q. So you're actually proposing a different percentage
	Page 432		Page 434
1	Q. And when you are referring to "the mediator," are you	1	than is in the current farebox plan?
2	referring to the mediation in which you were present,	2	A. The testimony was I could produce a plan that
3	personally?	3	provides that essentially identical benefits on the
4	A. Yes.	4	plan. And if you apply the taxable provisions to
5	Q. When was that mediation?	5	that plan under 401(a)(17), the compensation limit,
6	A. That occurred maybe October, November of 2022.	6	that's adjusted every year and it is now currently
7	Q. November of 2022.	7	\$330,000, which would be not equal to the current pay
8	So there was no point at which you've disclosed	8	of the pilots.
9	anything about the pilot Puget Sound Pilots		
		9	So to adjust for that, to create the
10	Association forming a trust as part of the pension	10	substantially same benefit of \$150,750, you adjust
11	Association forming a trust as part of the pension before then?	10 11	substantially same benefit of \$150,750, you adjust the accrual factor from 1.5, which has been in play
11 12	Association forming a trust as part of the pension before then? A. Well, a trust does have to be formed under	10 11 12	substantially same benefit of \$150,750, you adjust the accrual factor from 1.5, which has been in play for a long time, to 1.864. That produces exactly the
11 12 13	Association forming a trust as part of the pension before then? A. Well, a trust does have to be formed under Section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code to be	10 11 12 13	substantially same benefit of \$150,750, you adjust the accrual factor from 1.5, which has been in play for a long time, to 1.864. That produces exactly the same benefit.
11 12 13 14	Association forming a trust as part of the pension before then? A. Well, a trust does have to be formed under Section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code to be used related to qualified defined benefit pension	10 11 12 13 14	substantially same benefit of \$150,750, you adjust the accrual factor from 1.5, which has been in play for a long time, to 1.864. That produces exactly the same benefit. Q. So that you're saying that the 1.5 percent under
11 12 13 14 15	Association forming a trust as part of the pension before then? A. Well, a trust does have to be formed under Section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code to be used related to qualified defined benefit pension plan under 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, both	10 11 12 13 14 15	substantially same benefit of \$150,750, you adjust the accrual factor from 1.5, which has been in play for a long time, to 1.864. That produces exactly the same benefit. Q. So that you're saying that the 1.5 percent under the current farebox plan will exactly match, at all
11 12 13 14 15	Association forming a trust as part of the pension before then? A. Well, a trust does have to be formed under Section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code to be used related to qualified defined benefit pension plan under 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, both together. So when I make the	10 11 12 13 14 15	substantially same benefit of \$150,750, you adjust the accrual factor from 1.5, which has been in play for a long time, to 1.864. That produces exactly the same benefit. Q. So that you're saying that the 1.5 percent under the current farebox plan will exactly match, at all times, the 1.864 percent under your proposed plan?
11 12 13 14 15 16	Association forming a trust as part of the pension before then? A. Well, a trust does have to be formed under Section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code to be used related to qualified defined benefit pension plan under 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, both together. So when I make the Q. Mr. McNeil, could	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	substantially same benefit of \$150,750, you adjust the accrual factor from 1.5, which has been in play for a long time, to 1.864. That produces exactly the same benefit. Q. So that you're saying that the 1.5 percent under the current farebox plan will exactly match, at all times, the 1.864 percent under your proposed plan? A. The accrual factor would be by the base pay of the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Association forming a trust as part of the pension before then? A. Well, a trust does have to be formed under Section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code to be used related to qualified defined benefit pension plan under 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, both together. So when I make the Q. Mr. McNeil, could A there's only one other way it could be done, and	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	substantially same benefit of \$150,750, you adjust the accrual factor from 1.5, which has been in play for a long time, to 1.864. That produces exactly the same benefit. Q. So that you're saying that the 1.5 percent under the current farebox plan will exactly match, at all times, the 1.864 percent under your proposed plan? A. The accrual factor would be by the base pay of the pilot, times years of service, which is the formula
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Association forming a trust as part of the pension before then? A. Well, a trust does have to be formed under Section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code to be used related to qualified defined benefit pension plan under 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, both together. So when I make the Q. Mr. McNeil, could A there's only one other way it could be done, and that's with a trust agreement to hold the assets for	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	substantially same benefit of \$150,750, you adjust the accrual factor from 1.5, which has been in play for a long time, to 1.864. That produces exactly the same benefit. Q. So that you're saying that the 1.5 percent under the current farebox plan will exactly match, at all times, the 1.864 percent under your proposed plan? A. The accrual factor would be by the base pay of the pilot, times years of service, which is the formula currently in place for the farebox plan. Base pay
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Association forming a trust as part of the pension before then? A. Well, a trust does have to be formed under Section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code to be used related to qualified defined benefit pension plan under 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, both together. So when I make the Q. Mr. McNeil, could A there's only one other way it could be done, and that's with a trust agreement to hold the assets for the plan document. The plan document just describes	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	substantially same benefit of \$150,750, you adjust the accrual factor from 1.5, which has been in play for a long time, to 1.864. That produces exactly the same benefit. Q. So that you're saying that the 1.5 percent under the current farebox plan will exactly match, at all times, the 1.864 percent under your proposed plan? A. The accrual factor would be by the base pay of the pilot, times years of service, which is the formula currently in place for the farebox plan. Base pay times 1.5 percent times years of service. I would
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Association forming a trust as part of the pension before then? A. Well, a trust does have to be formed under Section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code to be used related to qualified defined benefit pension plan under 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, both together. So when I make the Q. Mr. McNeil, could A there's only one other way it could be done, and that's with a trust agreement to hold the assets for the plan document. The plan document just describes the terms of the benefits provided under that plan.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	substantially same benefit of \$150,750, you adjust the accrual factor from 1.5, which has been in play for a long time, to 1.864. That produces exactly the same benefit. Q. So that you're saying that the 1.5 percent under the current farebox plan will exactly match, at all times, the 1.864 percent under your proposed plan? A. The accrual factor would be by the base pay of the pilot, times years of service, which is the formula currently in place for the farebox plan. Base pay times 1.5 percent times years of service. I would just change the accrual factor slightly to
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Association forming a trust as part of the pension before then? A. Well, a trust does have to be formed under Section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code to be used related to qualified defined benefit pension plan under 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, both together. So when I make the Q. Mr. McNeil, could A there's only one other way it could be done, and that's with a trust agreement to hold the assets for the plan document. The plan document just describes the terms of the benefits provided under that plan. And the trust agreement is used to hold the assets to	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	substantially same benefit of \$150,750, you adjust the accrual factor from 1.5, which has been in play for a long time, to 1.864. That produces exactly the same benefit. Q. So that you're saying that the 1.5 percent under the current farebox plan will exactly match, at all times, the 1.864 percent under your proposed plan? A. The accrual factor would be by the base pay of the pilot, times years of service, which is the formula currently in place for the farebox plan. Base pay times 1.5 percent times years of service. I would just change the accrual factor slightly to 1.864 percent and produce the same benefit. It's
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Association forming a trust as part of the pension before then? A. Well, a trust does have to be formed under Section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code to be used related to qualified defined benefit pension plan under 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, both together. So when I make the Q. Mr. McNeil, could A there's only one other way it could be done, and that's with a trust agreement to hold the assets for the plan document. The plan document just describes the terms of the benefits provided under that plan. And the trust agreement is used to hold the assets to fulfill the promises under that plan document.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	substantially same benefit of \$150,750, you adjust the accrual factor from 1.5, which has been in play for a long time, to 1.864. That produces exactly the same benefit. Q. So that you're saying that the 1.5 percent under the current farebox plan will exactly match, at all times, the 1.864 percent under your proposed plan? A. The accrual factor would be by the base pay of the pilot, times years of service, which is the formula currently in place for the farebox plan. Base pay times 1.5 percent times years of service. I would just change the accrual factor slightly to 1.864 percent and produce the same benefit. It's what is being paid right now out of the farebox plan.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Association forming a trust as part of the pension before then? A. Well, a trust does have to be formed under Section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code to be used related to qualified defined benefit pension plan under 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, both together. So when I make the Q. Mr. McNeil, could A there's only one other way it could be done, and that's with a trust agreement to hold the assets for the plan document. The plan document just describes the terms of the benefits provided under that plan. And the trust agreement is used to hold the assets to fulfill the promises under that plan document. Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit BJM-01T, that's your	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	substantially same benefit of \$150,750, you adjust the accrual factor from 1.5, which has been in play for a long time, to 1.864. That produces exactly the same benefit. Q. So that you're saying that the 1.5 percent under the current farebox plan will exactly match, at all times, the 1.864 percent under your proposed plan? A. The accrual factor would be by the base pay of the pilot, times years of service, which is the formula currently in place for the farebox plan. Base pay times 1.5 percent times years of service. I would just change the accrual factor slightly to 1.864 percent and produce the same benefit. It's what is being paid right now out of the farebox plan. Q. Mr. McNeil, in your original testimony, did you
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Association forming a trust as part of the pension before then? A. Well, a trust does have to be formed under Section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code to be used related to qualified defined benefit pension plan under 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, both together. So when I make the Q. Mr. McNeil, could A there's only one other way it could be done, and that's with a trust agreement to hold the assets for the plan document. The plan document just describes the terms of the benefits provided under that plan. And the trust agreement is used to hold the assets to fulfill the promises under that plan document.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	substantially same benefit of \$150,750, you adjust the accrual factor from 1.5, which has been in play for a long time, to 1.864. That produces exactly the same benefit. Q. So that you're saying that the 1.5 percent under the current farebox plan will exactly match, at all times, the 1.864 percent under your proposed plan? A. The accrual factor would be by the base pay of the pilot, times years of service, which is the formula currently in place for the farebox plan. Base pay times 1.5 percent times years of service. I would just change the accrual factor slightly to 1.864 percent and produce the same benefit. It's what is being paid right now out of the farebox plan.

Page 435 Page 437 1 Section 401(a)(17)? 1 A. I did. 2 2 A. I did in my rebuttal testimony. Q. And you did not provide any response before then, did Q. Excuse me, I am referring to your original testimony. 3 you? 3 4 4 A. I did not. A. There is a response there. It says, "PSP objects to 5 Q. Okay. And do you recall that after your original 5 providing any legal opinions on the grounds of 6 testimony, that PMSA specifically asked you about 6 attorney/client privilege, which was never waived." 7 7 this? Q. So you think that an objection is a response. You're 8 a lawyer, aren't you, Mr. McNeil? 8 A. I do not recall. 9 Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit CN-4, and let me 9 A. Yes, I am. Licensed in six different jurisdictions. 10 10 know when you're there. Q. Thank you. I'm in five. 11 A. Oh, I read his -- his testimony. He does raise the 11 So let's turn to page 25. 12 limitations under the 401(a) of the Internal Revenue 12 A. Almost. 13 13 Q. And do you see here that this is also asking about Q. Excuse me. This is not -- Exhibit CN-4 is not that same code section and we get the same type of 14 14 15 15 testimony. response? Do you see that those are PMSA data requests to 16 16 A. Yes. you? 17 17 Q. And if you turn to page 48, do you see here that this 18 MR. HAGLUND: Which page? 18 is asking about that same code section and that we 19 BY MS. DeLAPPE: 19 get the same, quote, "response"? 20 20 Q. And to Mr. Wood. A. Correct. 21 If you look at page 1 of the exhibit, do you see 21 Q. And if you turn to page 66, same thing; right? that these are about pilot retirement? They're data 22 22 A. The -- yes. Yes. 23 requests that were propounded by PMSA on the topic of 23 Q. So turning back to your letter at BJM-06, the letter 24 data -- pilot retirement. 24 to the Department of Revenue -- I mean, excuse me, 25 Do you see that? 25 the Department of Labor, page 4. Page 436 Page 438 A. I do. 1 Here you provide the very information that PMSA 1 2 2 was asking about under this -- the limits for this Q. And if you could turn, for example, to page 15, do 3 you see here that there is a request to admit the 3 code section; correct? defined benefit plan, subject to that same code 4 4 A. I -- I at least mention 401(a)(17), yes. I went into 5 section, limits compensation that may be taken into 5 further detail on 415(b), the limit on benefits that 6 account in determining plan benefits? 6 can be paid, which is 265,000, and this compensation 7 A. I see that. 7 limit in the rebuttal testimony of 330,000 currently Q. And do you see that there was no response provided? 8 8 in 2023. Q. And so, Mr. McNeil, just look at this page 4. You 9 A. I see that there was a response. "PSP objects on the 9 10 same basis as set forth in response to data request 10 stated here that the pilots -- the benefit would be 472." 11 limited by Section 401(a)(17) of the code; correct? 11 12 12 A. Not the benefit. As I said, it's the compensation Q. Yeah. Thank you. 13 Did you ever see this request? Was this ever 13 limit. 14 presented to you? 14 Q. Yes. 15 A. I did see this. 15 A. Not the benefit limit. They are two different 16 Q. Can you please --16 17 A. And I did respond to it in my testimony, too. 17 Q. It's been a while since I took my ERISA class, but Q. Did you respond to it when the request was provided 18 18 thank you. A. Yeah. 19 to you? 19 20 A. Subsequent to the request. 20 Q. Let's, then, turn to -- in your initial testimony, 21 Q. So you see here on page 1 of this exhibit that the 21 your original testimony, you -- it's BJM-01T, if you 2.2 request was provided on January 6, 2023? 22 would like to look at the page, it's page 5. You 23 23 testified that recently issued final regulations had A. Yes. 24 Q. And you provided a response in your rebuttal 24 opened up the opportunity to pursue a defined benefit 25 testimony? 2.5 multiple employer plan for PSP?

Page 439 Page 441 1 1 BY MR. HAGLUND: A. Correct. 2 2 Q. Mr. McNeil, could you explain what you learned about Q. And you did not cite the regulations in your original 3 testimony or provide them as an example -- exhibit, 3 the -- could you relate for the Commissioners the 4 did you? 4 information you wish to relay just a few moments ago 5 A. I did not. 5 regarding this topic? 6 Q. If you could please turn to BJM-9X, are these the 6 A. Yes. I spoke with Francis Dean. Right at the bottom 7 7 regulations you were referring to? of the page: For further information contact. And I 8 8 did. I called her because I had testified before the 9 Q. And would you agree with me that the commentators --9 Department of Labor on at least two occasions. I do 10 actually, if you can just turn to page 5 of this 10 know the Department of Labor. I had sought advisory 11 exhibit. I'll quote the commentators -- the various 11 opinions before. And to give an advisory opinion, 12 commentators had mentioned, quote, "Life, disability, 12 you have to be narrow in focus, and that's what she 13 and defined benefit pension plans in particular after 13 told me. thoughtful review of these comments, however, the 14 14 So that is why the letter is written the way it 15 final rule is limited to defined contribution plans"; 15 is, requesting two opinions: One that the Puget right? Is that --16 Sound Pilot Association is a bona fide association 16 17 A. That is correct. 17 that can sponsor an employee benefit plan under 18 Q. In your letter to the Department of Labor, you do not 18 Section 3(5) of ERISA, and based upon the unique 19 request an opinion on whether a defined benefit 19 nature of the association and the regulations issued 20 multiple employer plan would be permitted under this 20 under these final regulations in 2019, it would -- it 21 final rule; correct? 21 would fit perfectly -- with -- within the guidance --22 A. I talk -- I talked to the author of these 22 association that could sponsor an employee benefit 23 23 regulations, Francis Dean. And she advised that the plan -- multiple employer -- or benefit plan; and 24 letter would be -- an advisory opinion could be 24 that the pilot could form LLCs and be a single 25 issued --25 self-employed participating employer, a working Page 440 Page 442 1 Q. May I just state, Mr. McNeil, that that's not 1 employer, and fit uniquely within these regulations answering my question, and you will have an 2 2 to be that worker/employer and satisfy the 3 3 opportunity on redirect. requirements under Section 401(c) of the Internal 4 4 I am asking whether the letter asks for an Revenue Code to be participants in a tax-qualified 5 5 opinion about whether the final regulations cover plan under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue 6 what you're asking for. 6 Code. 7 MR. HAGLUND: Your Honor, I have to object 7 Q. Now, with respect to your rebuttal testimony, if we 8 8 to Ms. DeLappe interrupting the witness in a -- in can go to BJM-04T at page 3. Are you displaying it 9 the middle of an answer because she interprets it as 9 for everybody? 10 10 If we could call out that first Q and A there. not completely responsive. He was making a very 11 11 appropriate explanation of what he'd done. You're asked the question, "In your opinion, is 12 MS. DeLAPPE: It was just a question about 12 there any legal impediments to a smooth transition of 13 13 PSP's existing pay-as-you-go defined benefit plan to what's in the letter. JUDGE HOWARD: She was asking about the 14 14 an ERISA-qualified, multiple employer defined benefit 15 contents of the letter. From what I heard, the 15 pension plan that provides exactly the same 16 retirement benefit to retirees as PSP's existing 16 answer was not about what the letter was requesting 17 or stating. She could have moved to strike as 17 unfunded pension plan?" 18 nonresponsive. And that was essentially what she was 18 And your answer is there, "no." 19 doing. 19 A. No. 20 MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. 20 Q. And you refer in the answer to the need to obtain to 21 I see that my time has expired. No further 21 two determination letters, one from IRS and one from 2.2 2.2 the Department of Labor. 23 JUDGE HOWARD: Any redirect? 23 You state at the end that you're confident that 24 24 MR. HAGLUND: Yes. both would be issued. 25 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2.5 Could you please explain why you believe that to

	Page 443		Page 445
1	be true.	1	Honor. Thanks.
2	MS. DeLAPPE: Objection. Outside of the	2	JUDGE HOWARD: Please proceed.
3	scope of cross. I did not ask for why anything	3	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: I want to follow up on
4	like this.	4	this line that you both counsel have sort of gone
5	JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to grant the	5	at here.
6	objection.	6	On the determination letters from the IRS and
7	BY MR. HAGLUND:	7	from the from labor, and those are at BJM-05 and
8	Q. If you let me ask you this.	8	06 in your rebuttal testimony, how long will those
9	If we scroll down to the next Q and A, the	9	opinions take to turn around in both places, once
10	question asks "Have you prepared drafts?" And you	10	you've submitted a complete letter and application?
11	were asked questions about those drafts.	11	THE WITNESS: The IRS letter could take a
12	Why the letter's only in draft form?	12	little longer than the Department of Labor letter.
13	A. Well, we need to get through this process, and then	13	It depends. The IRS has a priority status. If
14	to draft the plan document to provide for the benefit	14	you're terminating a plan, that letter has priority
15	formula that I have outlined of base pay times	15	status over a tax-qualified plan letter.
16	1.864 percent times years of service. Those that	16	But a tax-qualified plan letter for an initial
17	formula does have to be in the final draft of the	17	qualification of that plan has a priority status that
18	multiple employer pension plan. So to get to that	18	may be a little lower than the determination, but is
19	draft, we have to get certain approvals.	19	very high. So hopefully get the plan drafted, submit
20	But I am very confident that once that plan has	20	the letter, and hopefully in less than a year, we get
21	been drafted, the letter can be sent to the Internal	21	a favorable determination from the Internal Revenue
22		22	_
23	Revenue Service for a request for a determination letter on the tax qualified status of that plan.	23	Service. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: How about the
24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	24	
25	I spoke to a friend of mine, Roger Keenly	25	Department of Labor?
23	[phonetic], with the Office of Chief Counsel with the	25	THE WITNESS: That might be faster, because
	Page 444		Page 446
1	Internal Revenue Service. He did not have any	1	the Department of Labor issued those final
2	reservations about a defined benefit pension plan	2	regulations in 2019. Francis Dean seemed to think
3	that could be drafted satisfy the requirements the	3	that seeking advisory opinions about these multiple
4	applicable requirements under Section 401(a) of the	4	employer pension plans would be at a high priority
5	Internal Revenue Code and get a favorable IRS	5	for the department because the department does and
6	determination letter.	6	congress does want to encourage these multiple
7	The IRS and I used to work there too at	7	employer pension plans because they permit small
8	the employee plans technical and actuary division.	8	employers and here we have small employers,
9	And with the Internal Revenue Service the goal is to	9	one-person employers, with each of the pilots to
10	make sure all these plans are tax qualified for the	10	form a multiple employer plan and then submit for
11	benefit of the participating employees.	11	opinions so the Department of Labor can issue those
12	So we routinely drafted we saw drafts of	12	opinions and guidance. So that works from the
13	plans, issued tax-qualified letters, and then those	13	Department of Labor's point of view too. They are
14	plans can be adopted by employers.	14	then in a position to issue guidance on particular
15	Q. Is it fair to say it would be premature to send these	15	issues.
16	letters before the UTC Commissioners have made a	16	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So is it possible
17	decision on the pension transition issue?	17	you mentioned advisory opinions. Are those based
18	A. It is absolutely premature because these these	18	on just an advisory opinion, that means a
19	plans have to have the requisite information to get a	19	non-binding opinion. That doesn't mean a provisional
20	determination letter.	20	opinion. My question would be: Can you get a
21	MR. HAGLUND: No further questions.	21	provisional opinion from the IRS or the Department of
22	JUDGE HOWARD: Do we have any questions from	22	Labor on on the determination on these plans?
23	the bench for this witness?	23	THE WITNESS: Well, the advisory opinion
24	CHAIR DANNER: No, Your Honor.	24	would apply exactly to this particular these
25	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: I have questions, Your	25	particular questions for this particular plan. And
-			, and the same same particular and

	Page 447		Page 449
1	that is what you want. You want an advisory opinion	1	are excused from the remainder of the hearing. And
2	exactly on your fact.	2	we will take a ten-minute break. We will rejoin here
3	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I guess my	3	at 11:04. And see you all back then. We are off the
4	question, then, is: You stated you thought it would	4	record.
5	be premature to apply now, before the plans are	5	(A break was taken from
6	approved or before the UTC applies it. Is that		10:54 a.m. to 11:05 a.m.)
7	necessarily the case? Can I ask the IRS, for	6	·
8	example, whether it will approve a plan and go in	7	JUDGE HOWARD: Let's be back on the record.
9	order to save the time, save the year, right, is it	8	We're resuming after our break. Our next witness is
10	possible to provisionally ask for the approval of	9	David Lough.
11	this plan?	10	Am I saying your last name correctly?
12	THE WITNESS: The it doesn't really work	11	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
13	that way, not in my experience with the Internal	12	JUDGE HOWARD: And you're able to see and
14		13	hear me all right?
15	Revenue Service. They need to issue rulings on exactly what they will issue rulings on. Not and	14	THE WITNESS: I am.
16	it's not hypotheticals. It's not provisional.	15 16	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. If you would please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in.
17	Because unless it's in fine print and written down,	17	piease raise your right riand, ni swear you in.
18	the IRS is just is not going to be able to opine	18	David Lough, having been first duly sworn, was
19	on something that might be a moving target. The IRS	= 0	examined and testified as
20		19	follows:
21	exactly wants what that plan will look like.	20	THE WITNESS: I do.
22	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Is that the same with	21	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Mr. Haglund,
	the Department of Labor in your experience?	22	would you please introduce the witness?
23	THE WITNESS: In my experience, it is,	23	MR. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor.
24	because the Department of Labor is not a big fan of	24	Mr. Lough, how long have you been involved in
25	issuing hypothetical answers to hypothetical	25	the executive compensation consulting work?
	Page 448		Page 450
1	questions. But if we have a real question with real	1	THE WITNESS: More than 40 years.
2	facts and that's redundant, I know but they	2	MR. HAGLUND: And did you prepare original
3	they will issue an advisory opinion. And that's what	3	and rebuttal testimony in this case?
4	you want, an advisory opinion when you have exactly	4	THE WITNESS: I did.
5	the facts that we have.	5	MR. HAGLUND: And is it accurate to the best
6	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: But because of your	6	of your knowledge?
7	relationship in both as you state in your	7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	testimony, page 3 of BJM-04T, your relationship with	8	MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for
9	individuals at the Department of Labor and the IRS,	9	cross-examination.
10	effectively you have what you believe are you are	10	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. PMSA, you may
11	confident in in your friends or your	11	proceed.
12	acquaintances, or your folks within that you have	12	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you, Your Honor.
12 13	acquaintances, or your folks within that you have your relationships, opinions about this. You've got	12 13	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION
	·		• •
13	your relationships, opinions about this. You've got	13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
13 14	your relationships, opinions about this. You've got sort of a provisional opinion, if you will	13 14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE:
13 14 15	your relationships, opinions about this. You've got sort of a provisional opinion, if you will THE WITNESS: Yes.	13 14 15	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. Lough.
13 14 15 16	your relationships, opinions about this. You've got sort of a provisional opinion, if you will THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: informal. Okay.	13 14 15 16	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. Lough. A. Good morning.
13 14 15 16 17	your relationships, opinions about this. You've got sort of a provisional opinion, if you will THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: informal. Okay. THE WITNESS: That I can do, and that I did	13 14 15 16 17	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. Lough. A. Good morning. Q. Looking specifically at the evaluation of individual
13 14 15 16 17	your relationships, opinions about this. You've got sort of a provisional opinion, if you will THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: informal. Okay. THE WITNESS: That I can do, and that I did do.	13 14 15 16 17 18	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. Lough. A. Good morning. Q. Looking specifically at the evaluation of individual maritime pilot workloads, you have never previously
13 14 15 16 17 18	your relationships, opinions about this. You've got sort of a provisional opinion, if you will THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: informal. Okay. THE WITNESS: That I can do, and that I did do. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. Thank you.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. Lough. A. Good morning. Q. Looking specifically at the evaluation of individual maritime pilot workloads, you have never previously done that; is that right?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	your relationships, opinions about this. You've got sort of a provisional opinion, if you will THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: informal. Okay. THE WITNESS: That I can do, and that I did do. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. Thank you. Nothing further. Thank you, Your Honor.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. Lough. A. Good morning. Q. Looking specifically at the evaluation of individual maritime pilot workloads, you have never previously done that; is that right? A. It is correct. The information that we are providing
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	your relationships, opinions about this. You've got sort of a provisional opinion, if you will THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: informal. Okay. THE WITNESS: That I can do, and that I did do. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. Thank you. Nothing further. Thank you, Your Honor. MR. HAGLUND: Your Honor, could we have a	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. Lough. A. Good morning. Q. Looking specifically at the evaluation of individual maritime pilot workloads, you have never previously done that; is that right? A. It is correct. The information that we are providing for the Commission you might look at as a prevailing
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	your relationships, opinions about this. You've got sort of a provisional opinion, if you will THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: informal. Okay. THE WITNESS: That I can do, and that I did do. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. Thank you. Nothing further. Thank you, Your Honor. MR. HAGLUND: Your Honor, could we have a brief restroom break?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. Lough. A. Good morning. Q. Looking specifically at the evaluation of individual maritime pilot workloads, you have never previously done that; is that right? A. It is correct. The information that we are providing for the Commission you might look at as a prevailing rate or a going rate of pay for pilot roles across
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	your relationships, opinions about this. You've got sort of a provisional opinion, if you will THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: informal. Okay. THE WITNESS: That I can do, and that I did do. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. Thank you. Nothing further. Thank you, Your Honor. MR. HAGLUND: Your Honor, could we have a brief restroom break? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. I was about to take a	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Mr. Lough. A. Good morning. Q. Looking specifically at the evaluation of individual maritime pilot workloads, you have never previously done that; is that right? A. It is correct. The information that we are providing for the Commission you might look at as a prevailing rate or a going rate of pay for pilot roles across the country, as a reference point for making

Page 451 Page 453 1 And then you've never evaluated individual pilot 1 Q. If you can please turn to Exhibit DL-02. 2 skill sets; correct? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And that is -- it's entitled "Biographical Notes." 3 A. I have not. We're looking at a --Q. I think that's --4 It's basically a summary of your professional 4 5 A. -- a prevailing rate across the nation to provide to 5 experience? 6 A. Yes. 6 the Commissioners as reference for making their 7 7 decisions. Q. Could you point there to where you say in your 8 Q. Thank you. 8 biographical notes anything about prior projects in 9 And you've never evaluated individual pilot 9 the maritime industry that you worked on? 10 10 education and training; correct? A. This is a representation of the industries I have 11 A. I have not. 11 served. It is not an all-inconclusive list. And 12 Q. And you've never evaluated the gender or ethnic 12 that list continues to grow. diversity of any pilot organization; correct? 13 13 Q. And so for purposes of this case in your biographical 14 notes that you provided, you didn't provide all of 14 A. No. 15 Q. And have you ever previously evaluated the rates of the relevant experience that you have for the 15 compensation of pilots in other jurisdictions outside 16 maritime industry? 16 17 of the Puget Sound prior to this engagement? 17 A. That is correct. 18 Q. Okay. So you've approached the development of the --18 A. No. 19 Q. So this is your first time working on a project in 19 your testimony here. Can we say at least with 20 the maritime industry? 20 limited knowledge of preexisting knowledge of how 21 21 A. It is. I've worked across a diversity of industries, maritime pilots generally are compensated? 22 2.2 as you can imagine, from educational districts to A. Yes. I was required to gain that knowledge during 23 23 high technology and everything in between. the course of the assignment, which began over a year 24 For-profit, non-profit, publically-traded companies, 24 ago, I believe. And through my interactions with 2.5 privately-held companies. 25 PSP, with counsel, and other individuals I've met Page 452 Page 454 Q. And, Mr. Lough, I have a very limited time. So 1 providing testimony, I have learned along the way 1 2 2 unless you're going to provide a caveat that's various aspects of the operation of the pilot 3 3 specifically responsive, I would ask that we just industry. Particularly relevant was the ability to 4 stick to the answers to my questions, please. 4 discuss the nature of the work with individual 5 5 Is there anything that you wanted to say, that pilots. Those are my --6 you actually have previous knowledge or experience in 6 Q. And so, Mr. Lough, if you can confine your answers to 7 the maritime industry? 7 not narrate beyond what I ask, I would appreciate it. 8 8 A. I do. So specifically, you have no prior knowledge of 9 9 Q. You have previous experience with -- so you said to how -- how the Puget Sounds Pilots are compensated; 10 me this is your first time working on a project in 10 correct? the maritime industry? 11 11 A. Prior knowledge. No more than one would impress. 12 A. I did not. I said it was the first time working with 12 Q. Did you have -- before the PSP engaged you, did you 13 13 have prior knowledge of how Puget Sound Pilots were a pilot group and --14 14 compensated? Q. My question actually --15 A. -- analyzing data of a pilot group. It is part of 15 A. No. Q. Thank you. 16 the maritime industry. I have provided services to 16 17 the maritime industry. 17 And so what you learned in the preparation of Q. Thank you. I think the transcript will reflect what 18 18 your testimony from -- for this case, is it in your 19 my question was, that this is your first time working 19 testimony and based on the exhibits to your 2.0 on a project in the maritime industry. And if I 20 21 could just make sure that I got my answer. 21 A. I'm sorry. I don't understand the question. 2.2 22 Q. So what you learned -- you were telling us that you 23 Q. So you have worked on a project in the maritime 23 had learned things in preparation for your testimony 24 industry previously? 24 in this case. 25 25 Is that all reflected in your testimony and in A. Yes.

	Page 455		Page 457
1	the exhibits to your testimony?	1	So back to general questions about your
2	A. I did not document in my testimony all I've learned	2	experience.
3	to provide a background and perspective for reviewing	3	The second part of my question, do you consider
4	information from other pilot groups.	4	the individual relationship the person who is
5	Q. So what additional documents would reflect what you	5	receiving the compensation, do you consider their
6	learned for your analysis in this case, specifically	6	relationship to that business structure?
7	for this case?	7	A. For clarification, you mean, for instance, if I'm
8	A. There are additional documents that I prepared to	8	looking at the chief financial officer of an
9	capture all of the knowledge and all of the	9	organization, do I look at chief financial officer
10	background and all of the perspective that I have	10	position in the context of the whole organization, is
11	gained in preparing my testimony about Puget Sound	11	that and the answer to that would be, yes.
12	Pilots.	12	THE REPORTER: I still have moments he's
13	Q. Let's turn to some of the just some of the general	13	cutting out for me. I can hear everyone else fine.
14	experience that you have as a compensation	14	THE WITNESS: We don't sense any technical
15	consultant.	15	issue on this side.
16	So when you're evaluating compensation generally,	16	MR. HAGLUND: It must be an internet issue.
17	do you consider a company's business structure and	17	Because we're not having any problem hearing anybody.
18	the individual's relationship to that business	18	And Mr. Lough has increased the volume, despite his
19	structure?	19	sore throat.
20	A. It's a two-part question. Certainly, I consider the	20	THE REPORTER: Ms. DeLappe, did you have the
21	business structure, the business strategy, the	21	issue again?
22	competitive markets, if they exist, the situation	22	MS. DeLAPPE: I did. I don't seem to have
23	within which the organization operates, and on and	23	it as much, however, with Mr. Haglund, who I believe
24	on, to gain a perspective of the organization in the	24 25	is on the same connection.
25	industry or the sector in which it resides.	25	Is that your experience?
	Page 456		Page 458
1	The second part of your question I didn't	1	THE WITNESS: I believe we're using the same
2	understand. You said "the individual."	2	microphone; is that correct?
3	Q. And I don't know if the court reporter is having the	3	MR. HAGLUND: That is correct.
4	same problem I'm having, but your microphone seems to	4	MS. DeLAPPE: Shall I muddle on?
5	be cutting in and out. Is there anything that can be	5	JUDGE HOWARD: I would suggest we continue.
6	done on your side about that, if it can be moved	6	I mean, if the court reporter is able to make a
7	closer to you or if you can raise your voice?	7	record. I'm able to understand the witness. I
8	A. I apologize in terms of my voice. I wound up with a	8	did he was cutting out earlier. I think it's a
9	surprise sore throat this morning, and I will do my	9	little bit better now. And I'm hopeful that this
10	best to give clear answers.	10	internet issue goes away shortly.
11	Q. I can see that the court reporter is struggling. Me	11	MS. DeLAPPE: Okay. I will resume.
12	too.	12	MR. HAGLUND: Your Honor, if it helps, you
13	A. [Audio distortion] speak up. My voice does fail from	13	just cut out on our side.
14	time to time. I'm doing the best	14	MS. DeLAPPE: Not for me.
15 16	Q. It sounds like it's the microphone. JUDGE HOWARD: I'm hoping if it's an	15	THE REPORTER: Not for me either. MS. DeLAPPE: I wonder if it might be wise
17		16 17	
18	internet issue, it will abate soon. Let's keep an	18	to establish a phone connection so that we don't have problems with the transcript to as a as an
19	eye on it. MR. HAGLUND: We're checking all our	19	alternate
20	connections right now, Your Honor.	20	JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Haglund, could your IT
21	JUDGE HOWARD: All right.	21	support call in with an audio line to the the
22	THE WITNESS: Is this any improvement?	22	information for the hearing also provides a call-in
23	MS. DeLAPPE: Yes. I think so.	23	number, and sometimes we use that as an audio backup.
24	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	24	And we mute our microphones and we call in.
25	Q. Okay. We'll give this a try then.	25	MR. HAGLUND: I'll consult with Mr. Crandall
		1	

Page 459 Page 461 1 1 And a partner might even have no income if the and we -- yeah, he says that can be done. So 2 2 we'll -- do you want to -- we'll let him -- he's business has only losses; correct -- net losses? 3 checking out that number, and he's now about to call 3 A. That is certainly possible. 4 on his phone. 4 Q. I'd like to run a hypothetical by you to illustrate 5 JUDGE HOWARD: We can be off the record for 5 the equity partnership compensation issue. 6 a moment. 6 If you can please assume that there are two 7 (A break was taken from 7 partnerships, where they both have identical 11:19 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) 8 partnership agreements that determine the amount that 8 9 each partner receives in equity and cash, and that 9 JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on 10 that is an equal share of net income. 10 the record. We're back on the record after a short 11 If Business A, let's say, has 50 partners, and 11 technical interruption. Please proceed. 12 Business B has 100 partners, but both businesses earn 12 MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. 13 the same dollar amount of gross revenues, would you 13 BY MS. DeLAPPE: 14 agree that the per partner gross revenue for Business Q. So, Mr. Lough, would you -- in general terms, in 14 15 B is half of what it would be for business -- per 15 evaluating compensation, would you consider whether 16 partner for Business A? 16 someone has equity as a partner in a partnership in 17 A. The gross revenues are equivalent, and you have twice 17 addition to being a worker? 18 as many partners in one as the other, yes, there will 18 A. "Equity," meaning ownership and stock-based equity? 19 be half as much per partner gross revenue where the 19 Q. Any type of ownership interest, isn't that what 20 gross per partners is higher. Income is a different 20 equity would mean? 21 A. It can mean various things depending on what we're story. 21 22 Q. Thank you. 2.2 talking about. Yes, I would say we certainly 23 consider the ownership position and the income Actual partner compensation, as I think you were 23 24 derived from such a position. 24 saying it just now, would -- could vary greatly 25 Q. And generally, would you agree that it is reasonable 25 between Business A and Business B based on the Page 460 Page 462 for someone who is a partner in a partnership to 1 partnership agreements; right? 1 2 2 expect additional compensation when that business is A. Absolutely. more profitable? 3 Q. So --3 4 4 A. The true answer is not necessarily. We would expect A. Particularly in the short term, if I may follow up. 5 higher contributions to their partnership accounts. 5 You know, in the long poll, if you're continuing to 6 Now, income is the distribution of cash from those 6 be unprofitable, then your income will decline. You 7 accounts. It depends on how the partnership 7 can only borrow so much to fund income at a 8 8 agreement is written and how distributions of cash partnership. And eventually that will happen. But 9 are determined from the partnership. 9 on a year-to-year basis, it can be kept much more 10 Q. And so when I say the word "compensation," it sounds 10 consistent from one year to the next, in spite of 11 like you're hearing cash distributions. 11 fluctuations of revenue. 12 But that's not how it necessarily works; right? 12 Q. So if a partnership adds more new partners faster 13 13 A. Well, not necessarily how it works. In other words, than it increases its revenues, then the per partner 14 14 a partnership account can accumulate or lose money. gross revenues will decrease; right? 15 15 Income can remain constant by drawing upon that A. Yes. 16 Q. The same holds true if this scenario occurs because 16 partnership account. 17 Q. So is it reasonable for a partner in a partnership to 17 the partners all agree to add more partners in order 18 expect lower compensation when the partnership is 18 to work less per partner; right? 19 less profitable and I'm --19 A. Yes. We are talking gross revenue, not income. But, 20 A. The distribution of income may not decline --20 21 Q. And I'm --21 Q. Perfect. So let's now turn to a hypothetical for 2.2 22 independent contractors. So I would like you to A. -- under such circumstances. Over the long poll, 23 that's not sustainable, is it? And income would 23 assume that two businesses, each run by a sole 24 24 eventually go down. proprietor who works as an independent contractor, 25 25 Q. Thank you. both charge -- where both charge equal rates per job

Page 463 Page 465 1 and each has equal expenses per job and each 1 right. We're going to try. 2 2 independent contractor retains all the profits. Q. Okay. 3 3 If Independent Contractor A does 100 jobs and A. Here we go. 4 Independent Contractor B does 50 jobs, would you 4 Q. So merging these two hypotheticals, would you agree 5 agree that Contractor B would earn less than 5 that if an independent contractor/sole proprietor Contractor A who is doing twice as much work? 6 6 took on a new business party at equal equity, 50/50 7 7 A. And I need to ask -- I apologize. Did you say the ownership, but the business did the same amount of 8 price per job is equal between the two? 8 work and produced the same level of gross revenue and 9 Q. Is equal. 9 had the same expenses as before, that the revenue of 10 10 A. Yes. That is a mathematical calculation. Yes, the former independent contractor would be reduced? 11 absolutely. 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And if an independent contractor/sole proprietor adds 12 Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit DL-6, which is your table entitled "Pilot Group Income and Benefits." 13 more new expenses faster than revenues, then that 13 sole proprietor will see their take-home compensation 14 14 A. Yes. 15 after expenses decrease; right? 15 Q. And under the current tariff, the current net income A. Yes. You've defined a simple case. Sole proprietor, 16 in that table you have is based on 52 pilots; right? 16 17 we only have one person involved. And income will 17 A. For Puget Sound Pilots. 18 18 Q. Correct. fluctuate directly with revenue and expenses. 19 Q. If -- if I --19 A. Yes. 20 20 MS. DeLAPPE: Your Honor, if I may just ask Q. And, also in that table, you point out that PSP fell 21 21 whether PSP has turned off the internet line and is short of the UTC's targeted net income per pilot for 22 2021 of approximately \$400,000, with an actual DNI in 22 using only the phone line, because I think we're 23 2021 of \$295,000? 23 still having the cutting out. 24 JUDGE HOWARD: It sounds -- it sounds like 24 A. Yes. 25 the audio is coming through the internet. 25 Q. Table DL-6 also includes a column titled "Number of Page 464 Page 466 1 MS. DeLAPPE: Yes. 1 Pilots." 2 2 JUDGE HOWARD: Are we using the line? A. Yes. 3 3 THE WITNESS: We are using the line. Q. Okay. And that's what you were looking at when you 4 MR. HAGLUND: We both are -- both are -- we 4 said 52? A. Yes. 5 have not done anything to -- we haven't touched the 5 6 phone since it was placed in front of him. 6 Q. And you included the column "Number of Pilots" 7 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. I'm just wondering if 7 because the number of pilots is an important factor 8 8 he's being picked up instead by a computer when calculating the net income of a pilot group? 9 microphone. 9 A. Not in all cases, simply because sometimes the 10 10 Is it a conference line that's in front of you information that we received was per pilot and did 11 11 all that you can all rely on for the audio? not rely on obtaining the information of number of 12 pilots to calculate the per pilot income. 12 MR. HAGLUND: Well, my concern is it's 13 across the table. Whether or not -- the distance 13 In other cases, where the information was 14 across the table, whether I would be picked up by the 14 provided as a total income for the -- the pilot 15 phone right next to him, I don't know. 15 group, then there was the need to divide by the 16 16 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Then let's -- let's number of pilots. We, of course, tried to ensure 17 give it another --17 that the number of pilots that were counted matched MS. DeLAPPE: And I would just say, like, if 18 18 the time frame of the income reported. 19 all of the microphones are off, except for the 19 Q. And so you would say, based on the information that 2.0 telephone, it should resolve the problem. But that's 20 you received, because of the data you received, the 21 as far as my technical abilities go. 21 number of pilots was a relevant factor; right? 22 22 BY MS. DeLAPPE: A. In some cases. In others not. I don't know offhand 23 Q. So moving back then to the hypothetical. 23 the number to which that would apply. Again, 24 24 A. I'm sorry. One minute, please. We're adjusting the sometimes the source documents provided income per 25 2.5 microphone now. I appreciate your patience. All pilot, in which case we did not need to utilize a --

	Page 467		Page 469
1	information about the number of pilots in the	1	A. I can't quite say that because I don't know the
2	organization.	2	timing of the addition of and you indicated it was
3	Q. Are you familiar with well, for PSP, I'll just	3	four pilots.
4	say, was it important to put down the number of	4	Q. Have you had a chance to review the pro forma and
5	pilots or is that information extraneous?	5	statement of operations by Weldon Burton regarding
6	A. It's not extraneous. We wanted to demonstrate the	6	proposed PSP expenses?
7	distribution of the size of each operation to	7	A. No.
8	indicate that, with the exception of Grays Harbor	8	Q. So if you could turn to Exhibit WTB-05. And look at
9	Pilots, we generally have large pilot groups here.	9	the tab "Results of Operations."
10	Q. So you would agree	10	A. We're trying to find that.
11	A. As is Puget Sound Pilots.	11	Q. This is a little frustrating because I sent the list.
12	Q. So you would agree that the number of pilots for	12	A. Here we go.
13	Puget Sound Pilots in this table, the number of	13	Q. Okay.
14	pilots was a relevant factor?	14	MR. HAGLUND: Mr. Crandall is a lot faster
15	A. In calculating DNI?	15	than paging through the notebooks.
16	Q. It's a relevant factor for your table?	16	MS. DeLAPPE: Yes. And this is a
17 18	A. It's relevant to give perspective as to where it	17	spreadsheet.
18 19	falls and how it lines up against the other pilot	18 19	THE WITNESS: Yes. And the spreadsheet just
20	pilot groups in terms of number of pilots, yes. Q. So you haven't included the number of pilots in this	20	came up. MR. HAGLUND: It's very large.
21	table because it's extraneous? It's relevant?	21	THE WITNESS: It has about 150 tabs on it.
22	A. It's relevant for perspective in terms of looking at	22	"Results of Operations" we just found.
23	the pilot groups.	23	BY MS. DeLAPPE:
24	Q. So we can agree it's relevant? I mean, I don't know	24	Q. Thank you.
25	why you keep	25	A. But it's all months, ending December 31, 2021.
	Page 468		Page 470
1	A. Yes.	1	Q. So this is the first time you've ever looked at this
2	Q. Okay. Thank you.	2	spreadsheet?
3	A. It wasn't was that number exactly relevant in the	3	A. I'm making sure. Yes.
4	calculation of DNI?	4	Q. Okay. Are you aware that PSP is proposing to
5 6	Q. My question actually wasn't about relevant for, but	5 6	increase its expenses in this general rate case from \$16 million to \$19.1 million?
7	we're just agreeing that it's relevant. A. Okay.	7	A. That seems to be what this indicates.
8	Q. Are you familiar with a PSP filing that projects	8	MR. HAGLUND: Your Honor, I'm going to
9	total partnership of 56 pilots?	9	object to this line of questioning as outside the
10	A. I am not.	10	scope of Mr. Lough's direct or rebuttal testimony.
11	Q. So you're not aware that PSP is looking to add an	11	He's not seen these documents or opined on them in
12	extra four new partners to its business to have 56	12	any fashion.
13	A. I was aware that there was I'm sorry.	13	JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the questions so
14	Q. Go ahead.	14	far. So far they've been focused on his awareness.
15	A. I was aware that the number of pilots was to	15	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you.
16	increase. And that is the plan.	16	BY MS. DeLAPPE:
17	Q. Okay.	17	Q. Mr. Lough, if you could please turn to Exhibit
18	A. I did not know the exact number.	18	DL-30X. And those are PMSA's data requests that you
19	Q. And so that means that with four new partners to its	19	may recognize. And I'm looking specifically at
20	business, PSP will need to share its revenues among	20	page 4, which has data request 764.
21	56 individuals instead of 52; correct?	21	A. Yes.
22	A. Yes.	22	Q. So my question for you is: If PSP is adding more
23	Q. And it's looking to add these new partners at a time	23	partners and it's increasing expenses faster than its
24	when PSP's net income per pilot is already below its	24	revenues, using simple math, would you agree that
25	targets?	25	PSP's individual pilots should expect to earn less

Page 471 Page 473 1 than they do now? 1 A. Yes. 2 2 Q. Okay. Would you agree that the opposite math also A. Should expect to earn less. I -- to tell you the works; that with a fixed number of vessel hours, if 3 truth, I don't know sufficient amounts about the 3 4 financial structure or how income is distributed to 4 the number of pilots decreases, the average revenue 5 5 per pilot would increase? answer your question. I would say, generally, what you're proposing, 6 6 A. Yes. 7 7 presented as an exercise in simple math, is true. Q. So again, simple math, under an hourly tariff, when 8 Q. Thank you. 8 pilots work more, they earn more? 9 Do you also agree that a per hour earned by PSP 9 A. Yes. 10 for each hour of piloting service provided to a 10 Q. Thank you. Let's move --11 vessel customer is a component of the current tariff 11 A. As a group. 12 and of the tariff proposed by PSP? 12 Q. -- on -- yes -- to the next area I'd like to ask you 13 A. I don't know that specifically. I would say I assume 13 questions about. 14 so. It makes sense to me. 14 Once you were engaged by PSP, you had to get up 15 Q. If one were to assume that and assume a fixed number 15 to speed on pilot compensation to provide your of vessel customers, would you agree that there are 16 opinions and testimony for this case, as you 16 17 also a fixed number of vessel hours charged for pilot 17 mentioned earlier; right? 18 services provided to those vessels? A. Yes. 18 19 19 Q. So I'd like to talk to you about the specific MR. HAGLUND: Objection. Vague and 20 20 exhibits and data in your testimony. confusing. 21 MS. DeLAPPE: Let me restate. 21 All of the data, if you go back to DL-6 and that BY MS. DeLAPPE: 22 22 table, all of the data that underlies that table was Q. If you were to assume a fixed number of vessel 23 23 provided to you by PSP, I think you testified 24 customers, would you agree that there are also a 24 earlier; is that right? 25 fixed number of vessel hours charged for pilot 25 A. All the data that's provided in the net income table Page 472 Page 474 1 services provided to those vessels? 1 was provided by PSP in the sense that PSP or counsel 2 2 located documents. Whether they be rate orders, A. In testimony I've heard, I think it really depends on 3 3 the vessel, doesn't it? Same number of vessels. financial statements. Each of those numbers is 4 4 Hmm. I think perhaps we should say the same number specifically documented and cited. They provided the 5 of vessels with the same mix of vessels of different 5 documents. 6 types. And the number of hours, when you add it all 6 Q. Correct. Yes. That's what I was meaning to ask, 7 up, then increases or decreases proportionally. 7 that they provided the documents that you used to 8 8 Q. As a matter of just general simple math, would you compile the data for this table. 9 agree that under an hourly tariff, when pilots work 9 A. Yes. And they were official documents. They weren't 10 10 documents prepared by PSP to give me the information. less, they earn less? A. I would have to agree with that generally. I -- I 11 They were simply copies of documents. 11 12 believe it would be more useful if I had specific 12 Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit DL-29X. And turn to 13 13 page 2. This is PMSA's data request No. 246. And examples of the transitions from one situation to the 14 next that you're alluding to or trying to describe. 14 you'll see there that we asked, "Have you acquired 15 15 We're talking generally. the financial statements or public rate orders from 16 any other sources?" And you responded, "No"? 16 Q. Very generally. 17 A. And we're also talking in a manner, for instance --17 A. I did. 18 or I'm not familiar with the differences among ships 18 Q. Great. So you did no independent research on the 19 and the number of hours they might take to give a --19 pilot groups listed in Table DL-6? 20 an intelligent answer to the question. But you're 20 A. I did some independent research. If I may give you 21 trying to make it generally assume this, generally 21 an example. I looked to find, for instance, in LA 22 22 assume that. Okay. Pilots, the LA pilot group, whether or not -- since 23 Q. Just applying simple math; right? It's just under an 23 they are employed by the city and they are not a 24 hourly tariff. When pilots work less, they earn 24 pilot group, per se, the pilots are employed by the 25 2.5 less. city, I independently searched to see if there was

Page 475 Page 477 1 one or more job descriptions that applied to those 1 total. 2 2 Q. And that is going beyond -pilots in order to verify that the way the city had 3 structured the roles was, indeed, that of a pilot. 3 A. It is not a diverse group. Q. Mr. Lough, if you could turn to DL-29X again, page 3 Q. Yeah. Beyond what I'm asking, but thank you. 4 4 5 5 this time, data request No. 247. Now with respect to workload, your calculations 6 6 of comparable pilotage grounds do not contain any A. Yes. 7 7 Q. And there we asked, "Did you attempt to acquire data workload factors, do they? relevant to your evaluation from any of the 36 other 8 A. They do not. 8 9 9 Q. And the projections in Exhibit DL-6 for 2023, they pilots on the APA's pilot group list"; right? 10 A. That was attempted. Absolutely. 10 don't include or account for workload factors either? 11 Q. And you said in response, you said, "It is my 11 A. The reason I'm hesitating is there are examples where 12 understanding that PSP counsel and PSP leadership 12 ship traffic usually in a minor -- either assumed 13 have continued to monitor developments involving 13 actual ship -- assumed ship traffic increases might 14 maritime pilot compensation in the United States in 14 affect the result of a projection. Q. Do you -- do you -- did you yourself calculate that 15 their effort to secure all publically available 15 16 financial statements or rate orders." 16 effect for any of these projections? 17 A. Yes. 17 A Yes Q. That was your answer? 18 Q. So if we look at the projected net income column --18 19 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. So you did no independent research to find 20 Q. You -- you analyzed workload factors in those information from the other pilot groups in the other calculations in coming up with those numbers? 21 21 22 pilotage grounds, right, other than what PSP provided 22 A. No. To the extent that workload -- that traffic 23 23 to you? affects workload -- it may or may not, I guess for a 24 A. Correct. It is my understanding that they provided 24 pilot -- that was -- may have been incorporated into 25 information on every pilot group for which they could 25 some of the calculations. It had a relatively minor Page 476 Page 478 1 discern if there is publically available information 1 effect. 2 2 and none were excluded except for that reason, if Q. All right. And if you can look at Exhibit DL-29X at 3 data request 244, which is -- let me pull that up. 3 they couldn't --4 Yeah. So that's the first page. 4 Q. Mr. Lough -- oh, excuse me. 5 5 A. If they couldn't locate it, if they couldn't identify A. Yes. 6 it. But every best effort was made, as I understand 6 Q. And you see there, for No. 2 there, subpart 2, we 7 it, to locate information for every pilot group. 7 asked, "Do the projections for 2023 net income 8 8 Q. My question is not about the efforts that they made. include an evaluation of the number of assignments or 9 9 It was specific to your independent research. And I other workload factors per pilot?" And if you could 10 think we've established that you relied on what you 10 look at your response there. 11 were provided by PSP? 11 A. Yes. 12 A. Oh, absolutely. Yes. Yes. 12 Q. Nice clean "No." That's correct, isn't it? 13 13 Q. Thank you. A. Yes. And when I answered the question, I did not Just quickly, regarding diversity, you didn't 14 14 interpret an evaluation of the number of assignments receive any demographic information or diversity 15 15 to pertain to the idea of a general increase in profiles for any of these pilot groups, did you? 16 16 traffic. 17 A. That is correct. 17 Q. Okay. 18 Q. And you didn't develop any yourself, independently? 18 A. If that's your definition of "workload." 19 A. No. 19 Q. Are you saying -- I'm sorry. This -- we keep 20 Q. So in the context of Exhibit DL-6, you don't know 20 stepping on each other's lines. It is inadvertent. 21 whether any of these pilot groups are more diverse 21 Are you saying your answer here is -- it is still 2.2 than PSP? 22 correct; right? You stand by your answer on this 23 A. I do not. I do know that the -- generally, the pilot 23 page? 24 24 population is not a very diverse population across A. Yes. 25 25 Q. Now, a few minutes ago in your testimony, you agreed the country. I believe it's around 1,700 pilots

Page 479 Page 481 1 that if the pilots work more or work less, that 1 but I think you said that they are different lists; 2 2 actually is a factor in compensation. right? 3 Do you recall that part of your testimony? 3 A. They have common pilot groups, but they are different 4 4 lists in the sense that there's particularly ones on 5 Q. So without pilot workload data across these various 5 this list that are not included in compensation 6 pilotage grounds, you can't truly compare them; 6 analysis. 7 7 right? Q. So they're not the same lists of -- on your list, 8 you'll agree with me you have 12 pilot groups; right? 8 A. I don't think that's true. Again, what we're trying 9 9 to do is establish a prevailing rate. The Commission A. Yes. And there are 14 on this one. 10 is challenged with establishing a distributable net 10 Q. And they're not all the same pilot groups; right? 11 income, which in most other organizations seems to be 11 A. 16. Correct. 12 referred to as target net income. That is affected 12 Q. Thank you. 13 by an assumed rate of traffic. It is calibrated 13 Sometimes seeming a little harder than it needs 14 through the tariff. 14 to be. But thank you. 15 Now, actual workload, if you will, or actual 15 I take it you don't know why PSP did not provide 16 volume of traffic, will affect the actual income, 16 this list, Exhibit IC-14, to you for your work? 17 perhaps having a greater or lesser than DNI. 17 A. Why they -- I'm sorry. Make sure I understand. Why Q. So I guess what I'm getting to is, you are aware that 18 they did not provide it? 18 19 what the pilots are -- the PSP pilots are actually 19 Q. Right. 20 being compensated for is for the amount of work they 20 A. I didn't know they had a motive of not providing it. 21 21 They did not provide it. That is true. 22 A. I do understand that there is DNI, that there is an 22 Q. And you don't know why? 23 23 assumption built into that DNI of how much tariff A. I don't know why they didn't. 24 income will be generated. 24 Q. Okay. Do you -- do you know why PSP omitted pilot 25 Q. But you didn't look at actual workload that goes into 25 workload data for -- that they have here in IC-14, Page 480 Page 482 that, did you? 1 for pilotage districts like San Francisco, where, as 1 2 2 A. I did not. shown in your DL-6, they had financial data? Q. Based on what you've testified today, am I correct in 3 3 A. I was not asked to evaluate workload. And in that understanding that you did not know that PSP produced 4 4 sense, information -- you asked if San Francisco was 5 5 a chart of pilotage grounds to demonstrate comparable provided in terms of workload. No, I do not have 6 workload data in other testimony in this case? 6 that information. 7 A. I am aware that there was other testimony in this 7 Q. Did you evaluate the level of training and education 8 8 case related to workload. that's needed to get a pilot's license? 9 Q. So if you turn to Exhibit IC-14 -- and let me know 9 A. For Puget Sound Pilots or for other groups or for 10 when you're there. It's a spreadsheet. 10 both? 11 Q. Anything. 11 12 Q. Have you seen this before? 12 A. No. 13 13 Q. Did you evaluate the PSP equity and ownership A. I think I did, but I think it was by accident. I did 14 14 structure? not see it before. Q. All right. So PSP did not provide this workload data 15 15 A. Evaluate it? 16 to you when you were putting together your table for 16 Q. Did you look at it at all in your work? 17 DL-6? 17 A. I did not read the partnership agreement or the 18 A. They did not provide it to me for the purposes of 18 documents related to the organization. 19 analyzing compensation. That is correct. 19 Q. And so you didn't look at the cost of pilot buy-ins? 20 Q. And when you look at Exhibit IC-14 and compare it 20 A. I'm sorry. You cut out there for a second. 21 with your table, DL-6, would you agree that they're 21 Q. You didn't look at the cost of pilot buy-ins? 2.2 different lists of pilotage grounds there? 22 23 A. Yes. There's some in common -- are different. Not 23 Q. Did you include a value for PSP earned time off in 24 24 your evaluation of PSP income and in comparison with included, yes. 25 other pilotage grounds? 25 Q. You cut out a little different -- a little bit there,

Page 483 Page 485 1 1 A. No. is actually earning? 2 2 Q. Looking, again, at Table DL-6, I'd like to ask about A. This is where we are using the target net income. We 3 3 your -- your listing of Puget Sound Pilots. don't know what they actually earned. 4 4 So as you show here -- and we've discussed --Q. Thank you. 5 5 just because the UTC has authorized a target net I'd like to also ask you about some of the 6 income level for PSP, doesn't mean that's what the 6 numbers that you're using to populate this table for 7 7 pilots actually earn; correct? the jurisdictions where you do have actual pilot 8 8 A. Correct. income information. 9 9 Q. So that's why your pilot -- we talked about the cell So if you look there where -- for New Orleans, 10 that shows there at the bottom, 2021 DNI and 2021 10 NOBRA; right? At 2021, you show a net income of 11 actual is different? 11 565,518; right? 12 A. Yes. 12 A. For 2020. Q. And that's a decline of about 25 percent; correct? 13 13 Q. 2021; right? 14 A. Yes. A decline of \$100,000 divided by 400,000 is 25 14 A. Yes. I apologize. Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit DL-9, your Exhibit 15 15 16 Q. So for your table here, for other jurisdictions, your 16 DL-9, page 3. And would you agree that this is a 17 table is mixing target net income from various rate 17 listing of individual NOBRA pilot's earnings? orders with actual net income -- the actual income 18 18 A. Yes. 19 19 Q. How much did Pilot No. 7 earn in 2021? figures across the various pilot groups; right? 20 20 A. Yes. And I think -- you know, to provide the A. \$210.952.36. Q. And how much did Pilot No. 23 earn in 2021? 21 Commission with the best information on a basis, if 21 22 you will, or a reference for setting DNI, ideally 2.2 A. \$180.348.71. 23 23 what we have -- would have is current equivalent of Q. And No. 24? 24 DNI target net income, for all other pilot groups. 24 A. \$169,931.86. 25 That would be the most solid reference. 25 Q. Do you agree that there's no uniform income Page 484 Page 486 When we did not have that information because it 1 distribution for NOBRA pilots in 2021? 1 2 2 wasn't available as far as PSP or counsel could A. I'm sorry, no uniform? 3 Q. There's no uniform income distribution for these 3 ascertain, we used actual net income as a proxy for 4 or attempt to indicate targeted net income. It's the 4 pilots in 2021. 5 best information we have. I was asked to provide a 5 A. "Uniform," meaning they did not all earn exactly the 6 projection for each of these organizations for 2023. 6 same? 7 Why 2023? That's the time frame that the Commission 7 Q. Correct. 8 8 is being asked. A. They did not all earn exactly the same. 9 Q. And, Mr. Lough, I think you're getting beyond, 9 Q. And the numbers actually vary widely from person to 10 because I did not ask about your projections. 10 person; right? If you could look at just the part where you say 11 11 A. They do. 12 for PSP, you -- you are very clear one is 2021 12 Q. Do you agree that there is a large variety in the 13 what -- the DNI, the state target. And the other is 13 earnings of NOBRA pilots then? actual. 14 14 A. Yes. 15 You don't show, for these other pilot groups in 15 Q. Is it possible that some of those pilots, NOBRA 16 this table, which ones are actual or which ones are 16 pilots, make significantly more than the target net 17 targets from a rate order; correct? 17 income because they're doing overtime work? 18 A. It's all -- it is all documented in the testimony, 18 Let's look, for example, at Pilot No. 112. How 19 pilot group by pilot group. 19 much is that pilot earning? 20 Q. Okay. So your table, it just didn't make those notes 20 A. \$619,723.72. 21 for any of the rest of the pilot groups in the table? 21 Q. That's more than the others that you were referring 2.2 2.2 A. For any of the -- that's correct. It does not appear to; right? 23 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. And when you list net income, how can you be sure you 24 Q. Is it possible that that's because of overtime work? 25 know what any specific pilot of any of these groups 2.5 A. The entire differential I doubt would be due to

Page 487 Page 489 1 1 MR. HAGLUND: Could you repeat the question? overtime work. 2 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, please. Could you repeat Q. Do you know? 3 A. I don't think we would attribute the entire 3 the question? Yes. My table does show \$549,998 for 2019, the year that the -- of the rate order. 4 differential to overtime work. 4 5 Q. Do you have any basis for drawing any conclusion 5 BY MS. DeLAPPE: 6 whatsoever about that? 6 Q. And it is possible that they could have made 7 7 A. About explaining the differential? 25 percent less than that in actual income; right? 8 8 Q. Correct. A. In 2019? 9 9 A. That those, less the \$400,000 a year, would be either Q. Right. 10 part-time or new hires that were hired during the 10 A. It's possible that 2019, chances were pretty good 11 year. 11 that they may have made more, from what I can see for 12 12 those pilot groups, since economic activity was Q. So it's possible that those ones, the part-time ones 13 or the ones earning -- that started for a partial 13 normal to good in 2019. 14 year, that they earn -- that they worked less and 14 Q. And that, you would agree, is one factor that goes 15 therefore were paid less? 15 into the income; right? 16 A. Yes. They worked a lesser portion of the year. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And then the ones that were paid more were possibly 17 Q. Not -- not the only factor? because they worked more? A. No. It is not. 18 18 19 A. Worked more and then paid some overtime for that, 19 Q. Okay. So, Mr. Lough, if -- for example, in -- you 20 20 have in other parts of the chart, as you explained, yes. 21 Q. Thank you. 21 used actual income and not rate orders. 22 Is the -- turning back to your table, you talk 22 For example, you included what the San Francisco 23 23 about the Crescent River Pilots net income. And Bar Pilots actually made in 2021; right? 24 you've provided with your testimony a rate order at 24 A. Yes. 25 Exhibit DL-07. 2.5 Q. And that's 328,154? Page 488 Page 490 1 Is the Crescent River Pilots' net income from a 1 A. Yes. 2 rate order what the pilots actually make there in 2 Q. And what did you include for the income in your 2023 2021? 3 3 projection for the San Francisco Bar Pilots? 4 A. It is not. It's their target net income for 2021. 4 A. This was a challenging one, because all we had was Q. So we don't know what the Crescent River Pilots 5 5 actual net income for each of those four years. And 6 really made; correct? 6 we had no target net income or anything along those 7 A. Correct. 7 lines. This was the information. 8 Q. So like PSP, their actual income could be lower than 8 And the challenge was to take that information their target income? 9 9 and best project as we can for 2023. And in order to 10 10 accomplish that, particularly since 2020 and 2021 A. Yes. And it could be higher. Q. Would you say the same for Port Everglades Pilots? 11 were economic down years because of COVID, we felt 11 12 And you have their rate order at exhibit --12 that including 2018 and 2019 in looking forward, the A. Yes. It's a rate order. Yes. I had to check if it 13 13 look-forward calculation would be appropriate. 14 was a rate order. Yes. 14 Economic activity in 2018 and 2019 was similar to 15 Q. So like PSP, is it possible that their actual income, 15 2023, what -net income, was 25 percent lower than their target 16 Q. So Mr. Lough --16 17 net income? 17 A. -- 2023 --18 MR. HAGLUND: Objection. No time frame 18 Q. I just want to bring you back to what my question 19 given. Are you speaking of a specific --19 was. And maybe it would be better if I just tell you 20 MS. DeLAPPE: 2021. Always. Thank you. 20 the answer, and you can agree with me or disagree 21 THE WITNESS: Their target net income in 21 with me. 2.2 2021 --2.2 What did you include for San Francisco Bar Pilots 23 BY MS. DeLAPPE: 23 income in your 2023 projection, and so that --Q. Excuse me. If I may correct myself. The -- your 24 2.4 A. An average of the four years, 2018 through 2021, 25 table at DL-6 shows it for 2019. So that's my focus. 25 assuming no increase, like inflation increase or

Page 491 Page 493 1 1 Counsel? activity increase, that would produce a higher number 2 2 for 2023. It was a conservative approach. A very THE WITNESS: It's page 15 starting, and 3 3 conservative approach. then it carries over to 16. I see where you are. 4 4 Q. So just to clarify, the last data you had was BY MS. DeLAPPE: 5 5 328,154. And you projected for 2023, 443,923; Q. Great. I'll just quote from line 4 on page 16. 6 6 "Using the same methodology for NOBRA, Lake Charles, 7 7 A. Correct. The average of the four. and Associated Branch Pilots, I increased the 2019 8 Q. Turning to the LA Pilots in the chart. 8 income level of \$434,712 by 2.5 percent for 2021 and 9 9 2022, skipping 2020 due to Covid-19 traffic impacts"; Would you please now turn to Exhibit DL-19. 10 10 And that is a -- a chart with a bunch of names. correct? 11 Would you agree that this exhibit includes actual 11 A. Yes. 12 pilot income from LA Pilots from 2020, 2019, 2018, 12 Q. So could you look, please, back at DL-6, and look at 13 2017, and all the way back to 2011? 13 your entry for the Lake Charles Pilots. 14 A. Yes. 14 In your testimony, you said that you were using 15 the same methodology for the Los Angeles Pilots that 15 Q. And would you also agree that the latest data that you have in that exhibit is for 2020? 16 you used for Lake Charles Pilots; correct? 16 17 17 A. Yes. The latest data in the exhibit is for 2020, A. Yes. 18 Q. And that methodology excluded 2020, due to COVID-19 18 19 Q. And that the data for 2020 produces an average net 19 impacts? 20 income for LA Harbor Pilots of 308,168; is that 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. But in Table DL-6, you included 2020 for Lake Charles 21 correct? 22 22 A. I do not know that. Pilots; right? 23 23 Q. Can you quickly calculate that? A. The information is from 2020, correct. 24 A. I cannot. It's not in the spreadsheet. It's in a 24 Q. So you didn't follow that methodology for the Los 25 PDF. 25 Angeles Pilots, did you? Page 492 Page 494 Q. In your table, how did you end up projecting -- well, A. The Los Angeles Pilots started in 2019. 1 1 2 2 let's just say, assuming that that is true, that the Q. So do you agree that if you followed the methodology 3 3 for Lake Charles Pilots in Table DL-6 that you would average is 308,000, roughly, for 2020, you, in your 4 have included the 2020 data for the LA Harbor Pilots? 4 table at DL-6, projected for 2023, 456,719; correct? 5 5 A. Excuse me. The only data from the table that was A. Yes. 6 included in the calculation to make sure the 6 Q. And would you agree that the 2020 data in Exhibit 7 calculation was comparable was full-time data. And 7 DL-19 showed lower income -- net income for the LA 8 Harbor Pilots for 2020? 8 half of the pilots listed are part-time. We're 9 interested in annual full-time rate, annual full-time 9 A. No. As I mentioned --10 rate in a year for which the pilot was employed for 10 Q. I realize you did not -- you had the caveat about the full year. That's what we're interested in. 11 part-time. So I understand. We'll move on. 11 12 So it is a subset of this entire list that 12 If you -- let's look at the San Francisco Bar 13 Pilots on your Table for DL-6. 13 provides that information. It is those that are 14 You included 2020 for them also; right? 14 marked in the far right column FT or full-time. So 15 no PT, part-time, items were included. 15 A. Yes. Q. Mr. Lough, could you turn to D -- your original 16 Q. So you haven't been consistent, would you agree, in 16 17 testimony, DL-01T at page 16. And let me know when 17 applying the rule to exclude 2020 pilot income due to 18 you're there. 18 COVID in your evaluation across the pilotage grounds; A. I'm there. 19 19 right? 20 Q. So let's look here at your explanation of how you 20 A. We excluded 2020 income -- excuse me, in the 21 made your projection of 2023 net income for Los 21 calculation, a go-forward calculation of applying an 2.2 22 Angeles pilots. inflation factor, which was not applied in 2020 23 A. Oh, I'm not there. Page --23 because it was a year in which economic activity 24 24 Q. Line 4. slowed down and reversed. 25 25 MR. HAGLUND: Which page did you mention, Q. Would you agree that 2021 was also a year that was

Page 495 Page 497 1 impacted by COVID? 1 A. Yes. 2 2 Q. Could you please turn to DL-29X, and that is a PMSA A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that in this current case that PSP has 3 3 data request, and specifically to page 18, our data raised the ongoing effect of COVID all the way 4 request No. 277. And you were asked --4 5 through mid 2022 as an issue? 5 A. Yes. 6 A. I am not aware of that. 6 Q. -- to explain the basis for your treatment of COVID 7 7 impacts in the preparation of your comparison of that Q. Did you ever look at the PSP's petition for interim relief that was filed at the same time as its filing 8 8 9 in this case? 9 Do you see that your response to that question, 10 10 A. No. that you said --11 Q. So in that filing, it's DL-32X is the exhibit, and I 11 A. Yes. 12 would like to direct your attention to page 3 where 12 Q. -- "In my opinion, a COVID-impacted year like 2020 13 you'll see a Footnote 1. And I'll go ahead and just 13 should be considered an outlier"? 14 quote that to you. 14 A. Mm-hmm. 15 Q. Does your testimony explain why some COVID year It says, "The Commission's significant 15 overestimate of vessel traffic was foreseeable, given 16 data -- years data should be excluded but others 16 17 the ongoing, and at the time Order 9 was issued, 17 should be included? highly acute effects of the COVID-19 pandemic. 18 A. I think each pilot group, depending on the 18 19 However, the Commission expressly refused to factor 19 information -- the information we were supplied, was, 20 the effects of the pandemic into PSP's tariff." 20 if you will, curated, individually curated to develop 21 21 So this filing was made in mid 2022, and it said a projection for 2023. 22 Q. Mr. Lough, I asked whether your testimony explained. 22 that the effects of the pandemic were ongoing. 23 23 Would you agree? So maybe you could point me to the part of the 24 A. I don't see where it states the effects of the 24 testimony, if there's some part that explained, why 25 pandemic are ongoing. 25 sometimes it uses it and sometimes it doesn't. Page 496 Page 498 1 Q. It's in that footnote. Do you see the word 1 A. Any explanation would be in the paragraph of 2 2 "ongoing"? explanation for each of the pilot groups. So why we 3 3 A. Frankly, I don't. But it may be -- I do not see the include it or excluded any numbers. 4 4 word "ongoing," if I'm looking at the right footnote. Q. Just a moment. 5 5 Footnote No. 1. Oh, I see. Yes. Yes, I do see it. If you can please turn to your testimony -- I 6 Q. Would you agree that the effects of COVID-19 on 6 have a couple of additional questions from your 7 vessel traffic was ongoing in 2021 and through the 7 original testimony about the pool of workers that 8 first half of 2022? 8 prospective pilots are drawn from, including the statistics that you cite from the Bureau of Labor 9 A. Yes. 9 10 Q. Are you familiar with PSP's pro formas in this case 10 Statistics. using a test year of 2021? 11 So on page 5 of your testimony, you point out 11 MR. HAGLUND: Objection. Vague. Are you 12 that there are -- I'll let you get there. Starting 12 13 13 referring to financial pro formas? at line 7. 14 Approximately 33,500 captains, mates, and pilots 14 MS. DeLAPPE: I believe my question was nationwide. And that their median wages are 81,460 15 clear, and we've already passed that question now. 15 16 according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics. 16 Now on to a new question. 17 JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question. 17 Q. And I'll just refer to them as BLS from now on. 18 You might need to restate it. 18 19 BY MS. DeLAPPE: 19 So in those captains, mates, and pilots, your 2.0 Q. Are you familiar with the fact that PSP, in this 20 testimony is that the wages paid to them -- and that 21 case, is using a test year of 2021 for its filings? 21 includes tugboat captains, ferry boat captains, 22 22 anyone who is at the command or supervises operations 23 Q. Okay. And so we'll move on, then, from that. 23 of ships and water vessels; right? 24 So just -- would you agree that 2021 was a 24 A. Yes. I believe that is the definition of the 25 25 COVID-impacted year like 2020? category.

Page 499 Page 501 1 Q. Okay. So your testimony is that wages paid to 1 derived from the BLS data. 2 2 Q. Could you turn to DL-29X, page 4. And you'll see tugboat captains are particularly relevant because that that's PMSA data request 250. 3 the tugboat industry is one of the occupational 3 feeder pipelines from which PSP pilots are drawn; 4 4 A. Yes. 5 5 Q. And you see there that that -- that request is about 6 A. That was not the point of this answer. 6 providing the BLS statistics that I'm talking about 7 7 Q. So I'm at page 5, line 23. for -- that would show the Seattle area? 8 8 A. Oh, I see what you're saying. Yes. Mm-hmm. A. I don't see any reference to the Seattle area. 9 9 Q. Okay. So your estimate for the annual pay for some Q. Excuse me. I'm trying to find my Exhibit 29X. 10 of these towboat captains was approximately 158,000 10 Great. 11 for 2022? 11 So there's a -- we asked that you submit as an exhibit the May 2021 bureau -- BLS information for 12 A. I don't see that. 12 Category 53, 5021. Okay. Agreed. It doesn't say 13 Q. It's at line 7. 13 14 MR. HAGLUND: Page 5? Or 6? 14 Seattle there. 15 MS. DeLAPPE: It should be page 6. Sorry. 15 But would you note that it said -- you said there THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 that it would be filed -- you would be filing it with 16 17 BY MS. DeLAPPE: 17 your rebuttal or cross answering testimony on Q. And if we move forward to page 9 of your testimony, 18 March 10th? 18 19 when you compared to a captain on a tugboat, ferry, 19 A. Yes. 20 20 Q. Did you file that? or oceangoing vessel, if you look down at line 7, 21 that there was a justifiable, quote, "substantial 21 A. I cannot verify one way or the other at the moment. Q. I think you'll find that you did not. 22 compensation premium for licensed maritime pilots 22 23 over vessel captains otherwise employed in the U.S. 23 And, Mr. Lough, if you could also look at the 24 merchant marine." 24 next page of 29X, where it says page 5, data request 25 That was part of your testimony; right? 25 251. Page 500 Page 502 A. Mm-hmm. 1 You also didn't -- you did not review the 1 2 Washington State rates of pay for captains, mates, 2 Q. You quantified a little further down on that page, 3 3 line 16, that compensation premium to be anywhere and pilots when you were making claims about the --4 4 between 150,000 to over \$400,000; right? this region's pay rates compared to the rest of the 5 5 A. Yes. country; correct? 6 Q. And that pay differential was based on your review of 6 A. Correct. 7 the BLS data? 7 Q. Okay. So PMSA provided, at Exhibit MM-33, the BLS 8 8 A. It was based on the review of the BLS data compared data. If you can please turn to that. Let me know 9 to the kinds of pay rates we're seeing for pilots, 9 when you're there. 10 10 A. I'm on Exhibit MM-33. yes. Q. And if you look at your testimony on page 2, line 9, 11 Q. Great. Page 1. Looking at that page, the mean 11 you testified, quote, "It is important to note that I 12 annual wage for the 33,490 captains, mates, and 12 13 pilots for May 2021 was 98,330, as you reported in 13 took into account that across the full spectrum of 14 industries and job specialties, including those 14 your testimony; correct? 15 associated with maritime transportation, the Seattle 15 A. Yes. region's pay rates are among the highest in the 16 Q. And on page 2, the state with the highest employment 16 17 country"; right? 17 in this job category was Louisiana; right? 18 A. Yes. 18 19 Q. And that we exceed other parts of the country by --19 Q. And they had seven -- over 7,000 people employed in 20 by about 10 percent; right? 20 that area; right? 2.1 A. Yes. It was, at that time. Yes. 21 A. 7,140. 22 Q. Okay. But you didn't provide the actual BLS 2.2 Q. With an annual mean wage in Louisiana of 119,620? 23 statistics that you were relying on for those claims, 23 24 2.4 did you? Q. If you turn to page 9 of this exhibit, please, would 25 25 you agree that only 1,250 people are so employed in A. The particular differential of 10 percent was not

Page 503 Page 505 Washington? 1 1 Mr. Lough. 2 2 A. Yes. One could not infer from the data in this 3 Q. And that their annual mean wage in Washington was 3 exhibit, MM-33, that Seattle mariners pay exceeds the 4 4 \$97.000? national average by 10 percent; correct? 5 5 A. The number that -- there is no Seattle number on this A. Yes. 6 Q. So the Louisiana mean wages for workers in this pool 6 7 7 of potential pilot trainees are about \$23,000 higher Q. If you can turn to the last page, page 9 -- or than in Washington? 8 8 page 8, excuse me. 9 9 A. I'm not looking at both numbers. But I believe that Do you see there, "Information for 10 was the differential. 10 Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue? 11 Q. And if you can do the mental math, was that about --11 A. I do. 12 would you agree with me that's about 22 percent 12 Q. Have you looked at these BLS statistics ever before? 13 higher for the Louisiana potential pilot trainees 13 A. I have not seen these statistics. 14 than in Washington? 14 Q. But you cited the national ones in your testimony? 15 A. Yes. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. If you could turn, please, to page 4. 16 Q. Would you agree now with me that these statistics do 17 Do you see there the list of states that pay the 17 not support a claim that Seattle area mariners exceed most, they're five states, Louisiana, Mississippi, 18 the national average in pay by 10 percent? 18 19 Illinois, Texas, and California as top paying states, 19 A. These statistics do not support that. 20 20 at the top of the page? Q. That's all I'm asking. Thank you. 21 A. Yes. 21 Did you research the relative sizes of the 22 Q. And on page 6, you see there the chart in the middle 22 national and regional pools of applicants for Puget 23 23 of page, "Top paying metropolitan areas"? Sound Pilot trainee positions? 24 24 A. No. 25 Q. Seattle, Tacoma, Bellevue is not on that list of top 25 Q. And yet you formed an opinion that the national Page 504 Page 506 1 ten metropolitan areas for pay? 1 median net income figure is necessary to achieve 2 2 because otherwise PSP will suffer from a lack of top A. You mean by top ten, the top -- the top paying. 3 3 flight mariner recruits? There's a mix of jobs within this category that are a 4 spectrum of types of jobs. It really depends on, not 4 A. Yes. 5 only the prevailing wage, but also the types of jobs 5 Q. And in your opinion, this is the minimal that 6 that are included in the category. 6 national net -- median net income figure is the 7 It's very possible that Seattle has a different 7 minimal level of income that the UTC should approve 8 8 as DNI for the Puget Sound Pilots to remain mix. And to tell you the truth, within any nationally competitive? 9 particular category within that mix supplied, we 9 10 expect that Seattle would generally be a higher rate. 10 A. I believe that Puget Sound Pilots should be Q. You'll agree with me, however, that 11 nationally competitive with other pilot 11 12 Seattle/Bellevue -- Tacoma/Bellevue is not on that 12 organizations. list of the title of the table, "Top Paying 13 Q. If you could turn to your testimony, your original 13 14 testimony, DL-01T at page 18, line 16. 14 Metropolitan Areas For Captains, Mates, and Pilots of And do you see there that your opinion was that 15 Water Vessels"? 15 16 A. It does not appear on this list. 16 the national median net income figure was the minimal 17 Q. So the BLS statistics do not support a claim that 17 level of income the UTC should approve as DNI for the 18 Seattle's compensation for mariners exceeded the 18 **Puget Sound Pilots?** 19 national average by 10 percent; correct? 19 A. Yes. 20 A. I do not know that BLS statistics, the ones you 20 Q. So in your opinion, \$543,055 is the minimal level of 21 provided, demonstrate that Seattle was not among the 21 income necessary for PSP to be nationally competitive 2.2 22 for top flight mariner recruits? highest pay because of the mix of -- of jobs that are 23 included in the sample -- captains, mates, pilots of 23 A. I believe that is a number that, at that point in 24 24 water vessels -- versus the others. time, accurately reflected the going rate or the 25 25 Q. So if you could listen very carefully to my question, prevailing rate of pay paid for pilots across pilot

	Page 507		Page 509
1	groups nationwide, adjusted for Seattle rates of pay.	1	A. Mm-hmm. Yes.
2	Q. And would you agree with me that you did not analyze	2	Q. Okay.
3	Washington's pilot training program or licensing to	3	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further
4	reach that conclusion?	4	questions.
5	A. I did not analyze I'm sorry, one more time. No, I	5	MR. HAGLUND: I was just going to bring up
6	did not analyze those programs.	6	the time limit. So good timing.
7	Q. Okay. But you rely on your analysis of the size and	7	Your Honor, could we take a brief lunch break?
8	quality of the pilot trainee applicant pool?	8	I think I will have even shorter redirect if we have
9	A. The analysis does not rely on the size or quality of	9	a break.
10	the trainee applicant pool.	10	JUDGE HOWARD: Sorry, go ahead.
11	Q. So you performed no analysis either to test your	11	MS. DeLAPPE: I would prefer if we could
12	hypothesis that about a pilot group operating	12	just wrap up with Mr. Lough before lunch.
13	under a national net income, that they would suffer	13	JUDGE HOWARD: I think it would be best to
14	from a lack of recruits?	14	at least conclude the this redirect, and Staff has
15	A. I'm not sure I understand the question.	15	a has a comparatively very short cross of
16	Q. Did you perform some analysis to test what your	16	Mr. Lough.
17	opinion that a pilot group operating under a	17	So I'd like to I'd like to finish this
18	nation that's under the national net income level	18	redirect, take a lunch, and then have Staff's cross.
19	would suffer from a lack of recruits?	19	So if you would please proceed with the
20	A. I believe that if Puget Sound Pilots does not offer a	20	redirect for this round of cross.
21	rate of pay aligned with the prevailing nationwide	21	THE WITNESS: I'm not able. I'm not able to
22	rate that their ability to recruit will be	22	remain that long.
23	compromised.	23	MR. HAGLUND: Well, Your Honor, I'm just
24	Q. So if you could please turn to Exhibit 29X, page 26.	24	hearing from Mr. Lough that we probably should just
25	And that's PMSA data request 285. Let me know if	25	plow straight through, including Staff. He has a
	Page 508		Page 510
1	you're there. I'll just read it.	1	commitment I was unaware of. I'll be done in just a
2	"Further regarding your testimony at" I'll	2	few minutes and Staff can proceed, if that is okay
3	skip the citation "Please admit that you did not	3	with you?
4	perform any analysis in preparation of your testimony	4	JUDGE HOWARD: That's fine. Yes. Let's
5	to test your allegation that a pilot group with a net	5	just proceed then.
6	income below a median national net income figure	6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
7	results in a lack of top flight mariner recruits."	7	BY MR. HAGLUND:
8	And your response?	8	Q. If we could go back to that MM-33 exhibit, which was
9	A. Admit. Yes.	9	the statistics regarding captains, mates, and pilots.
10	Q. "Admit." Thank you.	10	Mr. Lough, you were trying to explain that let
11	And in fact, you simply based your opinion on	11	me ask it this way.
12	discussions with PSP and the information PSP provided	12	Could the could the number could the
13	you; correct?	13	relative numbers in the three positions, captains
14	A. No. I did not develop that opinion in discussions	14	mates, pilots, in the given state affect the average
15	with PSP.	15	income for that combined category?
16	Q. If you could please turn back to one page in	16	A. Yes.
17	Exhibit DL-29X, page 25, in response to data request	17	Q. So do you think one can draw any conclusions
18	283, and read your response, please. I'll read it	18	comparing the state averages for those three these
19 20	aloud. "This conclusion was based upon my discussions	19 20	three different positions as to pilots alone? A. No.
21	with PSP leadership, my investigation to locations of	21	
22	maritime academies throughout the United States, and	22	Q. With respect to the no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Mr. Callaghan.
23	my review of pertinent discussions in the rate orders	23	MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
24	that are attached as exhibits to my testimony."	24	CROSS-EXAMINATION
25	Is that correct?	25	BY MR. CALLAGHAN:
-			5 .5

Page 511 Page 513 1 1 Q. That's quite an increase; wouldn't you agree? Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Lough. 2 2 A. Good afternoon. A. It is. It's not unprecedented in terms of what other 3 Q. Do you have a copy of your rebuttal testimony with 3 pilot groups have done. 4 Q. You would agree it's --4 you? 5 5 A. The --A. Yes. 6 Q. Could you turn to page 7? 6 Q. -- a significant increase? 7 7 A. Yes. A. Oh, significant increase? Absolutely. 8 Q. All right. So you state there, "My testimony 8 Q. And beginning on page 1 of your rebuttal testimony 9 9 provides an accurate, credible, justifiable, and and following into page 2, you discuss whether you 10 10 defensible portrayal of the current median rate of think that Staff's DNI proposal is fair, just, 11 about \$560,000; correct? 11 reasonable, and sufficient; is that right? 12 A. 574,287, yes. 12 A. Yes. Q. So just to be clear, didn't you file a corrected Q. Okay. Now, when you're using those words in your 13 13 figure on Tuesday of this week? 14 14 rebuttal testimony, are you intending them to have MR. HAGLUND: Objection, Your Honor. You just their plain, everyday dictionary meaning? Or do 15 15 16 16 you mean them in the sense -- the specific meaning struck that. 17 JUDGE HOWARD: That is correct, Mr. Haglund. 17 that the Commission uses in rate cases? 18 18 MR. CALLAGHAN: All right. A. I was attempting to use them in the context that the 19 19 Commission uses them in consideration of rate cases. BY MR. CALLAGHAN: 20 Q. So 574; correct? 20 Q. Okay. So in your rebuttal testimony on page 2, you state that "PMSA's position is not just." 21 A. Yes. 21 Q. All right. And on page 2 of your rebuttal testimony, 22 22 So in that instance, you're using the word "just" you state that, quote, "Experiences and observations 23 23 in the sense that the Commission uses that word in 24 of employers across all sectors indicate that a rate 24 rate cases. 25 at or above the mid point median of rates among 25 A. I'm attempting to do so. Page 512 Page 514 comparable organizations will be considered fair and 1 Q. Okay. So I want to discuss a little bit your 1 2 respectful treatment." 2 statement about a rate at or above the -- the median Is that accurate? 3 3 point. 4 A. Yes. 4 So you've stated that you're actually 5 Q. All right. So is your recommendation to the 5 recommending a range. 6 Commission to increase PSP's DNI to at least 574K? 6 And the lower end of that range is 500,000; 7 A. I think the Commission should consider a range of 7 correct? 8 8 possibilities within which 574,000 should be A. Yes. 9 9 Q. Okay. So if agencies that set rates for pilotage 10 Q. So do you have a specific recommendation, a specific 10 groups across the country, if they all decided that DNI number that you recommend? 11 they were going to set those rates at the median, if 11 12 A. Yes, based on information that I have learned since 12 they were below it, what would that do to rates over 13 13 this rebuttal was filed -- it's a moving target. the long term? 14 We're always getting new information. And we're 14 A. If all aligned with the median? 15 trying to project a number. The range that I would 15 Q. If they were -- if the -- if the agencies were 16 16 looking at the groups that were under the median and suggest at a low end that the Commission should 17 consider would be 500,000. And the range that I 17 decided, we're going to set them at or above the 18 would suggest as a high end would be 600,000. 18 median, what would happen? 19 Q. So in your opinion, 500,000 would still be considered 19 A. Then I suspect the median itself would -- would 20 fair and reasonable treatment; is that right? 20 increase the following year. 21 A. I think it is within a range that would be considered 21 Q. Okay. And isn't the main purpose of rate setting to 2.2 22 avoid a situation where customers are forced to pay fair and reasonable treatment. 23 Q. And the currently authorized DNI for PSP is around 23 monopoly prices? 24 \$410,000, isn't it? 24 A. I don't think that's monopoly in what you described 25 25 A. Yes. in the sense of collusion.

	Page 515		Page 517
1	MR. CALLAGHAN: Okay. No further questions,	1	
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	availability, we are going to turn to two PMSA
2	Your Honor. Thank you.	2	witnesses who are only available today. That's
3	JUDGE HOWARD: Any redirect following that	3	Kathy Metcalf and Captain Moore.
4	cross?	4	Before we turn to the examination of them, I
5	MR. HAGLUND: Yes.	5	wanted to briefly note for the record that, this
6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	6	morning, I had a conversation with the parties off
7	BY MR. HAGLUND:	7	the record about continuing on Friday. Right now the
8	Q. In how would you describe the factors you think	8	plan is to continue the examination of witnesses as
9	the Commission should consider in exercising its	9	necessary, starting at 9:00 a.m. tomorrow, Friday.
10	discretion to select a particular level of DNI for	10	Commissioner Rendahl will be briefly stepping
11	the Puget Sound Pilots in this rate case?	11 12	away from the hearing in midmorning to attend an
12	A. I think the Commission should consider what is fair.		appointment. But she will return, as I understand,
13	And fair includes the result that is aligned with the	13 14	and she will review the record and the transcript for
14	rates of comparable employers of comparable		the portion she misses. And the parties indicated no
15	positions. The purposes of the analysis that we	15 16	objection to that.
16	performed is to provide an indication, a range, of	17	So with that, Ms. Metcalf, can you see and hear
17	what that should be. And the Commission should	18	me all right?
18	consider that range in making its final decision.	19	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I can. Thank you for your floribility
19	Should it be in the middle of the range?	20	for your flexibility. JUDGE HOWARD: No. Thank you.
20	Perhaps. There are employers and organizations that	21	If you would please raise your right hand, I
21	target or aim their rates of pay higher than the	22	will swear you in.
22	middle in order to ensure the ability to attract and	23	* * * * * *
23	retain the top talent in the candidate pool.	24	Kathy Metcalf, having been first duly sworn, was
24	So that is what I would like the Commission to	24	examined and testified as
25	consider, is that range of possibilities.	25	follows:
23	consider, is that range or possibilities.		ionowe.
	Page 516		Page 518
1	Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you	1	THE WITNESS: I do.
2	Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups?	1 2	
	Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups?A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers"		THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness.
2 3 4	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. 	2	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe,
2 3 4 5	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. 	2 3	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any 	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? 	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could please state your full name.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nothing here, Your 	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nothing here, Your Honor. Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Kathy Metcalf.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nothing here, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I don't have any. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Kathy Metcalf. MS. DeLAPPE: And who is your employer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nothing here, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I don't have any. Thank you, Your Honor. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Kathy Metcalf. MS. DeLAPPE: And who is your employer? THE WITNESS: I am the president and CEO of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nothing here, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I don't have any. Thank you, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: None from me. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Kathy Metcalf. MS. DeLAPPE: And who is your employer? THE WITNESS: I am the president and CEO of the Chamber of Shipping of America.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nothing here, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I don't have any. Thank you, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: None from me. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Hearing none. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Kathy Metcalf. MS. DeLAPPE: And who is your employer? THE WITNESS: I am the president and CEO of the Chamber of Shipping of America. MS. DeLAPPE: As you know, your pre-filed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nothing here, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I don't have any. Thank you, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: None from me. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Hearing none. Mr. Lough, thank you for your testimony. You are 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Kathy Metcalf. MS. DeLAPPE: And who is your employer? THE WITNESS: I am the president and CEO of the Chamber of Shipping of America. MS. DeLAPPE: As you know, your pre-filed testimony and exhibits have already been accepted into evidence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nothing here, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I don't have any. Thank you, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: None from me. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Hearing none. Mr. Lough, thank you for your testimony. You are excused from the remainder of the hearing. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Kathy Metcalf. MS. DeLAPPE: And who is your employer? THE WITNESS: I am the president and CEO of the Chamber of Shipping of America. MS. DeLAPPE: As you know, your pre-filed testimony and exhibits have already been accepted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nothing here, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I don't have any. Thank you, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: None from me. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Hearing none. Mr. Lough, thank you for your testimony. You are excused from the remainder of the hearing. We will break for lunch. We will take a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Kathy Metcalf. MS. DeLAPPE: And who is your employer? THE WITNESS: I am the president and CEO of the Chamber of Shipping of America. MS. DeLAPPE: As you know, your pre-filed testimony and exhibits have already been accepted into evidence. Are you now adopting those under oath?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nothing here, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I don't have any. Thank you, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: None from me. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Hearing none. Mr. Lough, thank you for your testimony. You are excused from the remainder of the hearing. We will break for lunch. We will take aapproximately 45-minute lunch break. Sorry? Was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Kathy Metcalf. MS. DeLAPPE: And who is your employer? THE WITNESS: I am the president and CEO of the Chamber of Shipping of America. MS. DeLAPPE: As you know, your pre-filed testimony and exhibits have already been accepted into evidence. Are you now adopting those under oath? THE WITNESS: I am. MS. DeLAPPE: I tender the witness.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nothing here, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I don't have any. Thank you, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: None from me. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Hearing none. Mr. Lough, thank you for your testimony. You are excused from the remainder of the hearing. We will break for lunch. We will take a approximately 45-minute lunch break. Sorry? Was someone trying to raise a point or a concern? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Kathy Metcalf. MS. DeLAPPE: And who is your employer? THE WITNESS: I am the president and CEO of the Chamber of Shipping of America. MS. DeLAPPE: As you know, your pre-filed testimony and exhibits have already been accepted into evidence. Are you now adopting those under oath? THE WITNESS: I am. MS. DeLAPPE: I tender the witness. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PSP indicated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nothing here, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I don't have any. Thank you, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: None from me. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Hearing none. Mr. Lough, thank you for your testimony. You are excused from the remainder of the hearing. We will break for lunch. We will take a approximately 45-minute lunch break. Sorry? Was someone trying to raise a point or a concern? We'll take approximately a 45-minute lunch 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Kathy Metcalf. MS. DeLAPPE: And who is your employer? THE WITNESS: I am the president and CEO of the Chamber of Shipping of America. MS. DeLAPPE: As you know, your pre-filed testimony and exhibits have already been accepted into evidence. Are you now adopting those under oath? THE WITNESS: I am. MS. DeLAPPE: I tender the witness. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PSP indicated cross, and you may proceed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nothing here, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I don't have any. Thank you, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: None from me. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Hearing none. Mr. Lough, thank you for your testimony. You are excused from the remainder of the hearing. We will break for lunch. We will take a approximately 45-minute lunch break. Sorry? Was someone trying to raise a point or a concern? We'll take approximately a 45-minute lunch break. We will rejoin here at 1:40. I will see you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Kathy Metcalf. MS. DeLAPPE: And who is your employer? THE WITNESS: I am the president and CEO of the Chamber of Shipping of America. MS. DeLAPPE: As you know, your pre-filed testimony and exhibits have already been accepted into evidence. Are you now adopting those under oath? THE WITNESS: I am. MS. DeLAPPE: I tender the witness. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PSP indicated cross, and you may proceed. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nothing here, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I don't have any. Thank you, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: None from me. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Hearing none. Mr. Lough, thank you for your testimony. You are excused from the remainder of the hearing. We will break for lunch. We will take a approximately 45-minute lunch break. Sorry? Was someone trying to raise a point or a concern? We'll take approximately a 45-minute lunch break. We will rejoin here at 1:40. I will see you all back then. We are off the record. Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Kathy Metcalf. MS. DeLAPPE: And who is your employer? THE WITNESS: I am the president and CEO of the Chamber of Shipping of America. MS. DeLAPPE: As you know, your pre-filed testimony and exhibits have already been accepted into evidence. Are you now adopting those under oath? THE WITNESS: I am. MS. DeLAPPE: I tender the witness. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PSP indicated cross, and you may proceed. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nothing here, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I don't have any. Thank you, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: None from me. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Hearing none. Mr. Lough, thank you for your testimony. You are excused from the remainder of the hearing. We will break for lunch. We will take a approximately 45-minute lunch break. Sorry? Was someone trying to raise a point or a concern? We'll take approximately a 45-minute lunch break. We will rejoin here at 1:40. I will see you all back then. We are off the record. Thank you. (A break was taken from 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Kathy Metcalf. MS. DeLAPPE: And who is your employer? THE WITNESS: I am the president and CEO of the Chamber of Shipping of America. MS. DeLAPPE: As you know, your pre-filed testimony and exhibits have already been accepted into evidence. Are you now adopting those under oath? THE WITNESS: I am. MS. DeLAPPE: I tender the witness. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PSP indicated cross, and you may proceed. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nothing here, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I don't have any. Thank you, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: None from me. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Hearing none. Mr. Lough, thank you for your testimony. You are excused from the remainder of the hearing. We will break for lunch. We will take a approximately 45-minute lunch break. Sorry? Was someone trying to raise a point or a concern? We'll take approximately a 45-minute lunch break. We will rejoin here at 1:40. I will see you all back then. We are off the record. Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Kathy Metcalf. MS. DeLAPPE: And who is your employer? THE WITNESS: I am the president and CEO of the Chamber of Shipping of America. MS. DeLAPPE: As you know, your pre-filed testimony and exhibits have already been accepted into evidence. Are you now adopting those under oath? THE WITNESS: I am. MS. DeLAPPE: I tender the witness. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PSP indicated cross, and you may proceed. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Ms. Metcalf, I'm Mike Haglund, representing Puget
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nothing here, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I don't have any. Thank you, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: None from me. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Hearing none. Mr. Lough, thank you for your testimony. You are excused from the remainder of the hearing. We will break for lunch. We will take a approximately 45-minute lunch break. Sorry? Was someone trying to raise a point or a concern? We'll take approximately a 45-minute lunch break. We will rejoin here at 1:40. I will see you all back then. We are off the record. Thank you. (A break was taken from 12:57 p.m. to 1:43 p.m.) 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Kathy Metcalf. MS. DeLAPPE: And who is your employer? THE WITNESS: I am the president and CEO of the Chamber of Shipping of America. MS. DeLAPPE: As you know, your pre-filed testimony and exhibits have already been accepted into evidence. Are you now adopting those under oath? THE WITNESS: I am. MS. DeLAPPE: I tender the witness. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PSP indicated cross, and you may proceed. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Ms. Metcalf, I'm Mike Haglund, representing Puget Sound Pilots. Is it fair to say that your the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And when you use the term "employers," are you referring to pilot groups? A. In this case, yes. I was using the term "employers" to refer to beyond the pilot group sector. But, yes. MR. HAGLUND: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nothing here, Your Honor. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I don't have any. Thank you, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: None from me. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Hearing none. Mr. Lough, thank you for your testimony. You are excused from the remainder of the hearing. We will break for lunch. We will take a approximately 45-minute lunch break. Sorry? Was someone trying to raise a point or a concern? We'll take approximately a 45-minute lunch break. We will rejoin here at 1:40. I will see you all back then. We are off the record. Thank you. (A break was taken from 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, would you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Ms. Metcalf, if you could please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Kathy Metcalf. MS. DeLAPPE: And who is your employer? THE WITNESS: I am the president and CEO of the Chamber of Shipping of America. MS. DeLAPPE: As you know, your pre-filed testimony and exhibits have already been accepted into evidence. Are you now adopting those under oath? THE WITNESS: I am. MS. DeLAPPE: I tender the witness. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. PSP indicated cross, and you may proceed. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Ms. Metcalf, I'm Mike Haglund, representing Puget

Page 519 Page 521 1 operating vessels throughout the world? 1 Q. Sure. Go ahead. 2 2 A. We really didn't need to get involved in this. But A. That is correct, both U.S. and foreign flag. 3 Q. And would it be fair to say that the vast majority of 3 the one thing that hit me square in the face with 4 the flag -- of the ships operated by your Chamber of 4 Mr. Costanzo's testimony, which I'm sure you'll get 5 5 Shipping members are foreign flag vessels? to, was that the picture he was painting was that it 6 6 was a significant number. And I felt obligated to A. They're at least 50 percent, perhaps a little bit 7 7 more. But we have significant U.S. flag vessel let the Commissioners know what is the real world out 8 8 owners and operators as well. there. Yes, there are always outliers -- higher 9 Q. And is your shipping -- my apologies. I didn't 9 performers, lower performers, and average 10 10 realize I wasn't on the video. performers -- so we cannot ever eliminate the risk of 11 A. I've seen you before, but nice to see you again. 11 that single-hull concern that you've just eliminated. 12 Q. Thank you. Same here. 12 We try. But there will always be --Q. Understood. 13 Now, in your testimony, you state that the 13 14 process or the practice of trying to -- on page 7 of 14 A. -- those outliers. Thank you for letting me expand. 15 your testimony, if we could go to KJM-1T, page 7. 15 Q. Sure. And you've been in the maritime world for a And if we go to the guestion and answer at the 16 long period of time, going back to -- going to Kings 16 bottom of the page, you can look at the question 17 Point U.S. Merchant Academy; right? 17 there, it's "Do you agree that the vessels that call 18 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 on Puget Sound can evade their legal responsibilities 19 Q. And you got a law degree and have been involved in 20 through the use of foreign flags?" 20 the maritime industry throughout a long career; And you say, "The vast majority of vessels cannot 21 21 correct? and will not evade their legal responsibilities." 2.2 22 A. Yes, sir. 23 23 And you go on to note, in the middle there, that Q. And would you agree that the compulsory pilotage 24 "risk management is not an illegal activity." 24 system administered by 24 states in the United States 2.5 But to -- a little more specific, let me ask you 25 and by the Coast Guard in the Great Lakes is the --Page 520 Page 522 1 some questions. 1 is an extraordinarily important frontline of defense You say, "The vast majority of vessels cannot and 2 2 against the potential risk for those outlier vessels 3 3 will not evade their legal responsibilities." But, that may come into Puget Sound or Delaware or New 4 4 Ms. Metcalf, would you acknowledge that there is a York or New Orleans with a variety of serious issues 5 5 portion of the foreign flag fleet flying the seas of that could lead to a casualty? 6 the world that are owned by individuals, each ship in 6 A. The role of pilots all over the United States is --7 a single shell corporation, where history shows there 7 is not debatable. It is a critical element in 8 8 is some risk that those owners would strive to evade maintaining the safety and environmental responsibility of any vessel, regardless of flag, 9 their legal responsibilities in the event of a major 9 10 casualty? 10 operating in U.S. waters, yes. 11 A. There is some risk. Yes, sir. 11 Q. And would you agree that it's the pilotage system in 12 Q. Okay. And that risk would include the potential for 12 a given state waterway where compulsory pilotage is 13 a foreign flag vessel that suffered a significant 13 required that is the comprehensive first line of 14 casualty, including an oil spill, there would be 14 defense against a problem, because the law in those 15 some -- and where that vessel was owned by a single 15 states requires that every move a -- a foreign flag 16 shell corporation and registered under a flag of 16 vessel makes in those state waterways must be 17 convenience -- Liberia, Monrovia, Marshall Islands, 17 under -- must be directed by a state license? 18 one of those or another flag of convenience, say 18 A. No, in one respect. That one respect is, to me, the 19 Panama -- there is risk that, in a major casualty, 19 first line of defense, assuring vessels are compliant 20 that owner of the vessel in a single shell 20 and operating safely and environmentally responsibly, 21 corporation could abandon the ship and there would 21 if they have an ethical and committed ship owner, 2.2 not be any assets to -- or oil spill clean up and 22 manager, and charterer that implements and strives to 23 other losses associated with that casualty? 23 exceed the requirements implemented by the IMO and 24 24 A. That is a possibility. If I may, sir, to expand just port states as well. 25 a little bit? 2.5 The second line of defense, where those vessels

Page 523 Page 525 1 1 that we might try, Your Honor, is the -- the -- the do not meet that standard, which is the standard we 2 2 all should be striving for, is the pilot that picks microphone was on the other side of my computer. Is 3 3 up that vessel at the pilot station. it better now? Or --4 Q. And the -- the pilots -- let's take the example of 4 JUDGE HOWARD: Possibly, yes. I'm not 5 Puget Sound. 5 certain if it's a bandwidth issue or a microphone 6 For 100 percent of the foreign flag and domestic 6 issue 7 7 carriers that are required to take a pilot that come MR. HAGLUND: Well, I'd make this 8 to Puget Sound and enter Puget Sound in the vicinity, 8 suggestion -- well, I -- I'll defer to Your Honor. 9 they enter in the vicinity of Port Angeles, where the 9 You have more experience with these situations. Do 1.0 pilot station is located. 10 you want us to go ahead and phone in now, or do you 11 Are you familiar with that fact? 11 want to try for a few more minutes with the 12 12 microphone much closer to me? A. Yes, sir, I am. 13 Q. And 100 percent of those foreign flag vessels that 13 JUDGE HOWARD: This -- this sounds like 14 are legally required to have a pilot, the pilot 14 it -- like it may work. This sounds like it may work 15 boards the ship on an incoming voyage to Puget Sound 15 maybe. Let's try this. in the vicinity of Port Angeles off of the pilot 16 MR. HAGLUND: Okay. All right. Thank you. 16 17 station: correct? 17 BY MR. HAGLUND: 18 18 Q. So, Ms. Metcalf, just to make sure that the record is A. That's correct. 19 Q. And when it -- and it's the pilot who must -- while 19 clear here. 20 20 We're in agreement that, of the vessels calling he or she is aboard, go through the master pilot 21 Puget Sound, they -- approximately 14 percent will exchange to learn whether there are any issues of 21 concern, to -- to familiarize themselves with the --22 2.2 actually be inspected by the Coast Guard at some 23 23 with the equipment, the propulsion system, and the point during the course of their stay in the Puget 24 ship's capability before beginning the impound 24 Sound? 25 transit to a dock located somewhere in Puget Sound. 25 A. That -- that is to the best of my knowledge, sir, Page 524 Page 526 1 Is that all correct? 1 what the port state control report reflects. 2 A. Yes, sir. 2 Q. And with respect to the port state control Coast 3 3 Q. And if one were to compare the 100 percent Guard checklist, are you familiar with that document? 4 requirement of a maritime pilot, a Puget Sound pilot 4 A. To some degree, yes, sir. Q. And as to that -- let me find the exhibit number. 5 on every foreign flag ship entering Puget Sound to 5 6 the number of -- or to the percentage of those same 6 While we locate that document, let me ask you this. 7 vessels, in the neighborhood of 7,000 inbound and 7 Would you agree that the -- KJM-4, please. 8 8 outbound transits per year, if we were to compare the A. While they're bringing that up, I want to thank you 100 percent requirement that pilots be of [audio 9 9 for pushing it up. I had indicated I didn't have any 10 distortion] all those ships, is it fair to say that 10 conjurers in my home office here to circle through 14 percent or fewer of those vessels will actually be 11 11 these. So I've tried to download as much as I can 12 inspected by the Coast Guard? 12 without covering myself up in papers. 13 13 A. During their visit in Puget Sound, I think that's a Q. Sure. Sure. 14 safe number, based upon the port state control data 14 So -- and I think we -- if we could go to page 1. 15 15 that was provided actually in one of my exhibits, in No, that's the annual report. I'm looking for the checklist. We'll -- we'll get back to this in a 16 the port state control report. The vessel could have 16 17 been inspected fairly recently at a previous U.S. 17 minute. 18 port of call. But, yes, that is correct for the 18 Now, in your testimony, there were -- you 19 vessels calling in Puget Sound. 19 disagreed with Mr. Costanzo -- with some of the 20 JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Haglund, I'm sorry to 20 testimony in Mitchell -- Captain Mitchell Stoller and interrupt. But I might suggest using a phone line 2.1 21 Charles Costanzo's testimony that the risks of 2.2 22 to -- to call in for your audio because occasionally pilotage were persistent and growing, in part due to 23 we are missing a word. It's not every question, but 23 the ever increasing size of ships in the world's 24 2.4 occasionally we are missing a word from your end. fleet. 25 2.5 MR. HAGLUND: Okay. We will -- one thing Do you recall that?

Page 527 Page 529 1 A. I do. 1 A. That is correct. 2 2 Q. And in your testimony, you acknowledged that, indeed, Q. And the purpose of the voyage data recorder is 3 ship sizes are continuing to grow, as they have for 3 similar to that of the black box on an airplane? 4 centuries? 4 A. That is correct. A. Correct. 5 5 Q. And does the VDR record oral communications on the 6 Q. And -- but you -- you state in your testimony that 6 bridge of the ship? 7 7 A. The new VDRs, I believe, are capturing that. The old you don't consider the risks associated with pilotage 8 to be persistent and growing, but changing. 8 VDRs captured data sets from principal pieces of 9 Do you recall that? 9 navigational equipment, but I believe they do capture 10 10 A. I do. audio. And in some cases, in port state control 11 Q. And you emphasized port state control, improved 11 exams, I understand that the inspector is actually --12 navigational technology as two items that were 12 in some cases, actually look to see what the stored 13 13 reducing the increasing risk associated with larger information looked like, rather than just looking at 14 ships. 14 the box. 15 Do you recall that? 15 Q. And here for No. 54, "Examine Voyage Data Recorder," 16 would you agree that what's happening here, in terms 16 A. I do. 17 Q. Okay. So we now -- back to the port state control 17 of checking this box by the Coast Guard inspector, is 18 issue. A part of that is the inspections that the 18 to verify that there is such a device on board and 19 Coast Guard performs while the ship is in port; is 19 that it has a certificate of compliance? 20 that right? 20 A. Yes, sir, I would. 21 21 A. Yes. Q. If we could scroll -- go back to the body of this Q. And are you familiar with this checklist, which is 22 22 page and look at 55. 23 23 Exhibit CPC-25? This relates to checking to -- it requires the 24 A. I -- I am not intimately familiar, but I have 24 inspector to "Examine the Automatic Identification 25 reviewed it in the past. Yes, sir. 25 System" or IAS[sic], and says -- there's a bullet Page 528 Page 530 Q. Okay. If we can go to page 6. 1 there that says "Witness Operation." 1 2 2 Here, this is early in this document. And would What's your understanding about what this 3 entails? 3 it be fair to say that the "Security Examination" 4 there and "Verify Security Training and Records," 4 A. My understanding, that this particular item would be 5 that -- and "Examine the Mooring System/Equipment," 5 obviously to physically see the equipment and to 6 that those are going to be -- that you've got a 6 determine if there had been an AIS feed on the 7 checklist here with boxes to check, and the 7 vessel, the vessel's prior operation into the berth. 8 8 requirement for the Coast Guard inspector is to spend Q. Are you familiar with the fact that a -- the bridge the time to observe either these records or logs or crew on a foreign flag vessel that's subject to the 9 9 10 the pieces of equipment aboard the ship? 10 SOLAS requirements has the ability to turn off the 11 AIS machine or device? 11 A. Yes. Correct. Q. We can go to page 12. Here, for example, is 12 12 A. Yes, I do. And that was a result of some security 13 13 certain -- checking to see that certain emergency concerns in other parts of the world, where ships 14 14 procedures are in place. And that would be a matter were not secure and broadcasting their location, 15 of asking the ship to be able to review those 15 particularly in Gulf of Guinea and the Horn of notebooks that would -- typically notebooks, that 16 16 Africa, with some of the piracy. 17 would contain these sorts of ship procedures, this 17 But, yes, that should never be done unless there 18 one applying to emergency procedures and cyber. 18 are very definite security reasons presented before 19 Is that a fair statement? 19 the captain and detailed in the log book. 20 A. Yes. sir. 20 Q. So there are some exemptions for the use of AIS in Q. And if we could go to page 15. 2.1 21 areas where that system could endanger the vessel and 2.2 22 her crew, like the Horn of Africa, where the piracy If we look at 54, Ms. Metcalf, the -- the voyage 23 data recorder, is that a requirement of all 23 issues are significant; is that correct? 24 2.4 oceangoing cargo vessels pursuant to SOLAS at this A. Yes. But -- and -- the answer is yes. But I 25 25 point in time? think -- I'm not trying to guess where you're going

Page 531 Page 533 1 1 corporation. I do not agree in the piece of it that to. That those exemptions do not cover the vessel 2 2 that was covered in some of the testimony presented suggests the purpose of flags of convenience --3 3 by PSP, on the vessel that had had problems in Puget which, by the way, that term more or less went out 4 Sound and decided to depart Puget Sound and turn its 4 20 years ago in the maritime profession. It's called 5 own AIS off. That is not covered under the 5 an open registry. We can call it whatever you like. 6 exemptions. 6 But the piece that suggests that you can evade your 7 7 Q. Okay. You're showing your legal background here. legal regulatory statutory obligations simply does 8 You're anticipating where I was going. Let me 8 not take into account the ever increasing robustness 9 9 represent to you that in the record in this case, of flag state and port state controlled programs and 10 that it was actually an issue involving a foreign 10 the incredible amount of work that's been done in the 11 flag ship that was going into the Columbia River, 11 last 20 years at IMO, including a flag state model 12 encountered a number of issues, and was ordered to 12 audit program where other IMO countries actually go 13 steam south to get repairs in the San Francisco Bay 13 into a country and audit their implementation and Area, and turned its AIS off and did not do as 14 14 enforcement as a flag state. 15 ordered by the Coast Guard. That's a summary of what 15 I -- I can't disagree with most of the -- the testimony by a Captain Jordan of the Columbia River 16 conclusions in here about the ability to create a 16 17 Bar Pilots in this proceeding relates. 17 corporate structure that allows for risk management 18 I take it from your comment, Ms. Metcalf, that 18 over a shipping company with a single hull. But I do 19 that sort of action by a foreign flag ship would not 19 disagree with provisions about ability to re -- to 20 20 be covered by any exemption, and, in fact, is just an disregard safety and environmental regulations. 21 absolutely wrong thing for that ship to have done. 21 Q. But you acknowledged earlier in your testimony this 22 A. There's no question that it was the wrong thing for 22 afternoon that it is possible and it does happen for 23 23 that ship to have done. And I don't know if we want a foreign flag ship owner who has organized their 24 to get too technical on nautical terms. But that 24 fleet into single-shell corporations to abandon the 25 brings to mind "hang them from the highest yardarm." 25 ship following a major casualty? Page 532 Page 534 1 1 That is absolutely not the purpose of the AIS system, A. It is possible. Yes, sir. 2 2 under that situation. Q. And your -- you've been in this industry a long time. 3 3 Q. Let me turn back to some issues that relate to the There's no question, is there, Ms. Metcalf, that the risk associated with certain practices of portions of 4 4 P&I club, protection and indemnity club, insurance 5 5 the world's fleet of oceangoing cargo vessels. And policies that ship owners purchase from P&I clubs are 6 you note in your -- I referenced earlier that you 6 indemnity policies with an absolute requirement that 7 noted in your testimony that risk management is not 7 the insured first pay for the given loss before 8 8 becoming entitled to indemnity reimbursement from the an illegal activity. And, of course, it's not. But would you agree that Professor Vuillemey's 9 9 P&I club insurance company; correct? 10 article is correct in documenting a very substantial 10 A. With all due respect, sir, I am not an expert in increase in the use of flag of convenience registries 11 11 maritime insurance. And certainly not the details of over the last 20 years? 12 12 various types of coverage. It is my understanding MS. DeLAPPE: Could counsel please state 13 13 that that is -- your statement is correct about the 14 14 what exhibit he's referring to? way P&I clubs -- but I am not the expert to discuss 15 MR. HAGLUND: I'm referring to --15 maritime insurance. 16 Q. Okay. A slightly different topic -- and let me just 16 THE WITNESS: CPC-17, I believe, sir. 17 BY MR. HAGLUND: 17 find out if you're knowledgeable about this -- is 18 Q. You're right. Thank you. 18 that the federal government, following the Exxon 19 You -- go ahead. 19 Valdez oil spill, congress passed and the president 20 A. This isn't the lawyer in me. I just like to try and 20 signed into law the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, known

21

22

23

24

25

in shorthand as OPA 90.

A. Yes, sir. Generally.

You're familiar with that statute?

Q. And it began a system where vessels calling in U.S.

waters, depending upon whether they're an oil tanker

21

22

23

24

25

let me talk about.

do my homework so I'm more valuable to the people

that are listening to what you've been kind enough to

I agree with a great deal of that. Particularly

with the corporate structuring, the single-hull

Page 535 Page 537 1 1 or a non-tank vessel, there are certain levels of they approach safety and environmental compliance, 2 2 financial responsibility that must be posted for that would be a safe general comment for me to make. those vessels to enter U.S. waters. 3 Q. Now, would you acknowledge that, as ships become more 3 4 You're familiar with those requirements stemming technologically advanced, that there are risks that 4 5 5 from OPA 90? come with that that did not exist with -- before that 6 technology was in place? 6 A. I'm familiar with those requirements in general. 7 7 Q. Okay. And are you aware of the fact that when a --And let me give you an example. 8 when those certificates of financial responsibility 8 Are you familiar with the capsizing of the Ro-Ro 9 9 ship Golden Ray off the coast of Georgia? are issued, they're issued by a surety that is 10 10 guaranteeing the amount of the -- of the required A. Yes, sir. 11 level of -- of financial responsibility, which is 11 Q. Are you familiar with what happened there? hundreds of millions of dollars in the case of an oil 12 A. Yes, sir. She turned turtle. She was -- she was 12 13 improperly loaded. It was a stability issue. Which 13 tanker, that there's an insurance company standing 14 I might add, any time a vessel is loaded improperly 14 behind that legal obligation reflected by that amount required by OPA 90. 15 to that extent -- and I know she was a large vessel, 15 Are you familiar with that system? 16 but any vessel, whatever size, is going to do that 16 17 17 with poor stability. A. Well, I was generally familiar. But I got more 18 18 Q. And is it fair to say that that was a relatively new familiar this morning with one of your witnesses that 19 19 ship with a computerized stability system to arrange you had put on. Which -- it was a good day; I 20 2.0 the ballast in a way that was designed to enable the learned something new. So, yes, sir. Q. Okay. You have no reason to disagree with the 21 ship to sail safely? 21 22 A. Well, I'm old enough, as you can see from my less 22 information related by Sean McCarthy this morning? 23 23 A. Well, relative to the surety and the COFR issue, yes, than brown hair, to suggest that I approach 24 sir. I don't have any objections. 24 technology development with somewhat of an old 2.5 Q. Okay. So let me ask you this, when it comes to 25 timer's view. But I still think that developing Page 536 Page 538 1 Washington State, as a matter of policy, which, in 1 technology only becomes a tool if the humans that use 2 2022, passed legislation requiring certain sized 2 it use it properly. And in my opinion -- I have not 3 3 vessels to post a certificate of -- of financial read the full accident report on the Golden Ray. But 4 4 responsibility of \$1 billion, to the extent there is clearly the summaries that I've read, the human piece 5 risk associated with the potential for a single-shell 5 of the stability and ballasting system didn't work 6 corporation to evade that by abandoning the vessel, 6 quite as well as the technology did -- was supposed 7 would your organization be supportive of requiring a 7 8 8 federal parallel in Washington State for certificates Q. And in fact, in that particular incident, a member of of financial responsibility where a surety bond is 9 9 the crew inputted substantially incorrect figures 10 10 into the computerized ballast stability system. And required? A. My organization has no position on that issue. But I 11 that was found in the NTSB accident report, that's an 11 12 12 exhibit in this case, to be the cause of the loss. think, in general, our members would support anything 13 Are you familiar with that? 13 that would ensure responsible parties are held to pay 14 for the damage that they've caused. And in that 14 A. Yes. But I am not familiar -- I'm not comfortable 15 15 respect -- of course, I -- I have to brag a little saying new technology increased risk. New technology 16 16 bit. We've got the -- the top of the line member should be reducing the risk if it's used properly 17 companies that we don't have to really deal with them 17 with the human interface. But I would agree 18 disappearing and running off into the -- to the 18 precisely with what you said, the human inputted the 19 sunset. 19 wrong information. 20 But I think it's only fair that there be 20 So, yes, in this case, technology -- well, 21 provisions made to be sure there's not an ability to 21 there's nothing to say that the human doing it by 2.2 22 evade the need to clean up a mess that your vessel hand the old way wouldn't have resulted in the same 23 23 made. error.

Were you aware that that particular incident has

2.4

25

So I don't have an official position from my

members on this. But I think, knowing the way that

24

25

Q. Fair enough.

Page 539

become — I think it's now the single largest vessel casualty in U.S. history, with a total casualty cost of over \$800 million at this point, much of it associated with the extraordinarily expensive removal cost to remove her from Georgia waters?

A. I wasn't aware it exceeded, say, the Exxon Valdez.
 But given today's value of the dollar, I can only -I can only expect that to be true. I have no reasons
to doubt your statement.

And I will add, as a tip of my hat to a body of the marine transportation system that I respect greatly, which is pilots, I understand it could have been much worse if the pilot hadn't taken the action that he did. So in that respect, congratulations to the pilot that was on board. I think he was a fellow alumni, but that doesn't matter.

Q. A fellow alumni of Kings Point?

- A. Yeah, I'm not sure. I know he was an alumni of one of our sister maritime academies. And God bless him for doing what he did to try and mitigate what could have been even worse.
- Q. And do you recall that what the pilot did was react extremely quickly, as he was experiencing the keeling over of the vessel, he threw the rudder hard over and put extra power to the -- to the propulsion system to

Page 541

Page 542

A. I've not read the NTSB -- the full NTSB report. I will -- I will tell you that the owner of that vessel is one of our member companies. And I will tell you how hard that incident hit, not only the families of the crews, but the people that manage that company who are very dedicated to safety and health.

From what I understood, the way the weather patterns were working at the time or a few hours before that, is that the captain had tried to make a decision to place himself on the safe side of the storm, and the storm did a fish hook. The storm actually changed directions in an unusual way. It fish hooked him back into the dangerous side of the storm. Now, that is not from the NTSB record. That is just from what I understand from reading, actually, general media that described it.

But if the NTSB found that to be the case, then, yeah. But I cannot imagine any captain, particularly a U.S. flag captain, doing something like that for economic purposes. Clearly -- clearly you don't do much for economic purposes if the vessel is not only late but never gets there at all.

Q. Well, the NTSB report is in the record. It speaks for itself. And it did point to economic pressures as a contributing cause to that -- the loss of that

Page 540

get her out of the channel before she, as you put it, turned turtle and capsized?

A. Yes, sir.

2.2

- Q. Now, would you acknowledge, Ms. Metcalf, that economic pressures and considerations can sometimes influence the captain or bridge crew on an oceangoing vessel to take actions that are unsafe?
- A. My experience is absolutely not. But if you review some of the casualty experiences, if you're going to be enlightened by the full story, obviously in some cases it has. It should not ever do that.
- Q. Well, let me just use an example that I'm sure you have reviewed. And one of the exhibits in the case that was identified for you to know, it might come up in our discussions this afternoon, was the accident report into the complete loss of the TOTE vessel El Faro in October of 2015, and the loss, I think, of 34 lives. When the captain allowed commercial considerations of trying to maintain the time frame for the voyage and went through a hurricane rather than around it, and it was so severe that it caused the ship to capsize and sink with all lives lost.

Would you concur that that situation involving the El Faro is an example of what should never happen? He should have steamed around the storm? ship. So I'll leave it there.

But on this same topic, let me ask you this: In the record, there is a — in Captain Carlson's testimony, there is reference to a — to an e-mail exchange in which the owner of a container ship was very unhappy that the pilots were unwilling to bring a ship in at, I think it was a 50-foot draft, because of not having enough information about the tow to a berth having been reconstructed with accurate soundings of exactly where it lay, relative to the — to the — to the river — or to the gauge.

And would you agree, Ms. Metcalf, as a -- as an absolute principle of safety, that a pilot, a State-licensed pilot, like every one of the Puget Sounds Pilots, cannot and should not allow economic pressures to affect how they do their job as a pilot?

A. I completely agree with that, sir.

- Q. And would you agree that safety is absolutely the first concern of the maritime pilot and the informing principle of compulsory pilotage systems throughout the United States?
- A. Could you repeat that? I'm sorry. I thought you were going -- please, go ahead. I'm sorry.
- Q. It's okay. No problem.

Would you agree that safety is the overriding and

44 (Pages 539 to 542)

Page 543 Page 545 1 1 informing principle of how a pilot should be which it should be, some of the ports -- the port 2 2 deploying their navigation skills, directing a states are going to -- are going to get you, as well 3 3 vessel's navigation during every pilotage assignment they should. 4 that they partake in? 4 The -- the key here is that -- the quote -- I 5 A. I think that should be the number one priority of 5 always have to get my dad, God rest his soul, in. To 6 anybody aboard that floating object, especially the 6 quote my dad, we have ten basic commandments and 7 7 pilot. I mean, that's why -- that's why companies millions of law for people that would seek to disobey 8 hate pilots, for their unique local knowledge and 8 the ten basic ones. And unfortunately, that's what 9 9 ability to see things that perhaps the ship's crew any industry in the world is like. 10 can't. But the team between the master and the 10 But I disagree with that, because when I look at 11 bridge and the pilot is absolutely critical. And 11 the number of articles that were written and studies 12 safety has got to be the number one priority. 12 that were written, what I'm missing in those is the 13 Q. Would you acknowledge that the years 2020, 2021, and 13 people part of it. It's very easy to -- not very 2022 were very severe loss years for the 14 14 easy. There's very smart people that do this and do 15 International Group of P&I Clubs, the 13 clubs who it quite well -- but to examine just a bunch of 15 insure 90 percent of the world's tonnage? 16 numbers and not actually talk to the people in the 16 17 A. Sir, I don't have data on that. Again, I'm not in 17 work environment, you don't get a real comprehension. 18 the insurance universe. And I don't have any 18 I mean -- and I understand why it's not in some of 19 information on what the P&I clubs' profits and losses 19 these documents. But go out and talk with someone on 20 were during that period. It's not something I 20 a ship. 21 Are there people that have problems? Yes. As I normally track. 21 22 mentioned to PSP's counsel, there are outliers high 22 MR. HAGLUND: Well, I really appreciate your 23 23 time, Ms. Metcalf, and those are all my questions. and low. And there are a lot of folks in the 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. It's a 24 average. But the general sentiment of those of us 25 pleasure to meet you. 2.5 that worked at sea and that now try and help people Page 544 Page 546 1 JUDGE HOWARD: Any redirect? 1 at sea stay compliant and safe and not MS. DeLAPPE: Yes. Thank you. 2 2 environmentally destructive, is we are totally 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 3 committed, absolutely committed, to making sure these 4 BY MS. DeLAPPE: 4 laws are enforced, and in cases for vessels that 5 Q. Ms. Metcalf, I have a couple questions for you. 5 don't meet those requirements, appropriate action 6 Counsel for PSP asked you about an article, 6 taken. Because when one fails, we all fail. Good 7 Exhibit CPC-17, that you kindly found for us. You 7 news never makes the front page. So it's in all of 8 said that you disagree with some of the conclusions 8 our best interest to continue to improve and minimize 9 in that article about the ability to evade safety and 9 the number of those cases that make the front page. 10 environment responsibilities. 10 Q. And right now, were you referring to any articles or Could you explain your disagreement? 11 documents specifically in the record about -- when 11 12 12 you're talking about articles that are out of touch A. Yes, ma'am. In my review of all the documents that 13 13 have been submitted, including principal testimonies, with the reality that people in the industry are 14 I was surprised at the lack of information about flag 14 actually dealing with on the ground, so to speak? 15 15 state and port state control programs and the current A. Well, I -- I didn't review -- I mean, this morning I 16 got -- PSP's counsel was kind enough to provide a 16 status of those programs. Twenty years ago, with 17 some of the less robust, as they would call, flags of 17 list this morning of all the exhibits that I might be 18 convenience, now called open registries, there was a 18 called to -- to reflect on. I didn't get a chance to 19 possibility that that could happen. But with the 19 go through them, but I noticed in a number of cases, 2.0 more robust flag state control programs, more robust 20 there were a lot of journal -- or articles for 21 port state control programs -- and I'm talking about 21 various publications. I believe Mr. Costanzo, as one 22 22 of his exhibits was -- was an article -- I think I've all over the world, not just in the United States --23 23 got it right here. Hakai Magazine. But to get a and the implementation of the mandatory IMO model 24 24 audit program, it becomes very, very difficult to full picture of what's really going on anywhere, you 25 25 evade. Because if your flag state is not enforcing, can't just sit in your office and use statistics.

	Page 547		Page 549
1	Statistics tell you what was. Statistics will never	1	particular industry.
2	tell you what is and what can be.	2	CHAIR DANNER: Thank you.
3	Q. And so there you're referring to Exhibit CPC-18?	3	THE WITNESS: Turn me over if you see me on
4	A. Yeah. That's the Hakai that is one example. Yes.	4	the beach upsidedown. Thank you, sir.
5	Q. Okay.	5	CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you.
6	A. Yes, sir yes, ma'am.	6	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you for your testimony,
7	Q. Thank you. You were also asked about your testimony	7	Ms. Metcalf. And you are excused from the remainder
8	on page 7 of Exhibit KJM-1T. If you could turn to	8	of the hearing.
9	that page, please.	9	All right. Our next witness is PMSA's
10	A. I've got it right here. Right here.	10	Captain Moore.
11	Q. Without any conjurers?	11	MR. BLOCK: Before we commence, Your
12	A. No conjurers.	12	•
13	Q. At the bottom of that page, you see at line 23 you	13	Honor Steve Block here for TOTE. I was wondering
14		14	whether I might ask Mr. Haglund whether he intends to
	wrote, "Vessels" you testified, "Vessels are		cross-examine Mr. Moore, Captain Moore, about topics
15	required by law to carry insurance in accordance with international and national laws and, but for a few	15 16	he put in his testimony regarding the TOTE issues.
16	•	1	JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Haglund, would you like
17 18	exceptional cases, have covered the expenses	17	to respond?
	associated with a marine casualty. For example, they	18	MR. HAGLUND: Sure. Given the time
19	do not shelter or reduce their legal responsibilities	19	constraints, my plan was not to. So I don't so
20	by placing the burden on the public, as alleged in	20	Steve, I don't think I will I'll commit to you I'm
21	Mr. Costanzo's testimony."	21	not going to ask him questions about the TOTE issue.
22	In your cross-examination just now, you said that	22	MR. BLOCK: Okay. Thank you so much,
23	you had learned some more things from Sean McCarthy's	23	Mr. Haglund. I appreciate that. Okay.
24	testimony. Is there anything that you learned that	24	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Captain Moore,
25	has changed your view of your testimony there?	25	you can see and hear me all right, I imagine. Do you
	Daga F40		
	Page 548		Page 550
1	A. No, ma'am, there's not.	1	Page 550 need to unmute?
1 2		1 2	_
	A. No, ma'am, there's not.		need to unmute?
2	A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony?	2	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in.
2	A. No, ma'am, there's not.Q. You continue to stand by that testimony?A. I do.	2 3 4 5	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll
2 3 4	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in 	2 3 4 5 6	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in.
2 3 4 5	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in light of your cross-examination? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in.
2 3 4 5 6	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in light of your cross-examination? A. No. I just I just thank you for being gentle with 	2 3 4 5 6	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Michael Moore, having been first duly
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in light of your cross-examination? A. No. I just I just thank you for being gentle with an old mariner and even less experienced lawyer. And 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Michael Moore, having been first duly sworn, was examined and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in light of your cross-examination? A. No. I just I just thank you for being gentle with an old mariner and even less experienced lawyer. And I hope I've tried to answer your questions fully, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Michael Moore, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in light of your cross-examination? A. No. I just I just thank you for being gentle with an old mariner and even less experienced lawyer. And I hope I've tried to answer your questions fully, fairly, and to the benefit of the Commissioners. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Michael Moore, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in light of your cross-examination? A. No. I just I just thank you for being gentle with an old mariner and even less experienced lawyer. And I hope I've tried to answer your questions fully, fairly, and to the benefit of the Commissioners. Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Michael Moore, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Great. Thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in light of your cross-examination? A. No. I just I just thank you for being gentle with an old mariner and even less experienced lawyer. And I hope I've tried to answer your questions fully, fairly, and to the benefit of the Commissioners. Thank you. MS. Delappe: Thank you, Ms. Metcalf. I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Michael Moore, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Great. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in light of your cross-examination? A. No. I just I just thank you for being gentle with an old mariner and even less experienced lawyer. And I hope I've tried to answer your questions fully, fairly, and to the benefit of the Commissioners. Thank you. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you, Ms. Metcalf. I have no further questions. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Michael Moore, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Great. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, could you please introduce the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in light of your cross-examination? A. No. I just I just thank you for being gentle with an old mariner and even less experienced lawyer. And I hope I've tried to answer your questions fully, fairly, and to the benefit of the Commissioners. Thank you. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you, Ms. Metcalf. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Michael Moore, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Great. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, could you please introduce the witness.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in light of your cross-examination? A. No. I just I just thank you for being gentle with an old mariner and even less experienced lawyer. And I hope I've tried to answer your questions fully, fairly, and to the benefit of the Commissioners. Thank you. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you, Ms. Metcalf. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Michael Moore, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Great. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, could you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Mr. Moore, could you please
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in light of your cross-examination? A. No. I just I just thank you for being gentle with an old mariner and even less experienced lawyer. And I hope I've tried to answer your questions fully, fairly, and to the benefit of the Commissioners. Thank you. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you, Ms. Metcalf. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: None here, Your Honor. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Michael Moore, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Great. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, could you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Mr. Moore, could you please state your full name.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in light of your cross-examination? A. No. I just I just thank you for being gentle with an old mariner and even less experienced lawyer. And I hope I've tried to answer your questions fully, fairly, and to the benefit of the Commissioners. Thank you. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you, Ms. Metcalf. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: None here, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: None, Your Honor. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Michael Moore, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Great. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, could you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Mr. Moore, could you please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Michael Moore.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in light of your cross-examination? A. No. I just I just thank you for being gentle with an old mariner and even less experienced lawyer. And I hope I've tried to answer your questions fully, fairly, and to the benefit of the Commissioners. Thank you. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you, Ms. Metcalf. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: None here, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: None, Your Honor. But thank you for your testimony. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Michael Moore, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Great. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, could you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Mr. Moore, could you please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Michael Moore. MS. DeLAPPE: And what is your position and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in light of your cross-examination? A. No. I just I just thank you for being gentle with an old mariner and even less experienced lawyer. And I hope I've tried to answer your questions fully, fairly, and to the benefit of the Commissioners. Thank you. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you, Ms. Metcalf. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: None here, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: None, Your Honor. But thank you for your testimony. CHAIR DANNER: Only wanting to know if 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Michael Moore, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Great. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, could you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Mr. Moore, could you please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Michael Moore. MS. DeLAPPE: And what is your position and employment?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in light of your cross-examination? A. No. I just I just thank you for being gentle with an old mariner and even less experienced lawyer. And I hope I've tried to answer your questions fully, fairly, and to the benefit of the Commissioners. Thank you. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you, Ms. Metcalf. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: None here, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: None, Your Honor. But thank you for your testimony. CHAIR DANNER: Only wanting to know if "turning turtle" is a phrase that is used wildly in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Michael Moore, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Great. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, could you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Mr. Moore, could you please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Michael Moore. MS. DeLAPPE: And what is your position and employment? THE WITNESS: I'm a vice president at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in light of your cross-examination? A. No. I just I just thank you for being gentle with an old mariner and even less experienced lawyer. And I hope I've tried to answer your questions fully, fairly, and to the benefit of the Commissioners. Thank you. MS. Delappe: Thank you, Ms. Metcalf. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: None here, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: None, Your Honor. But thank you for your testimony. CHAIR DANNER: Only wanting to know if "turning turtle" is a phrase that is used wildly in the industry as I've never heard it before. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Michael Moore, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Great. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, could you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Mr. Moore, could you please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Michael Moore. MS. DeLAPPE: And what is your position and employment? THE WITNESS: I'm a vice president at Pacific Merchant Shipping Association.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in light of your cross-examination? A. No. I just I just thank you for being gentle with an old mariner and even less experienced lawyer. And I hope I've tried to answer your questions fully, fairly, and to the benefit of the Commissioners. Thank you. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you, Ms. Metcalf. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: None here, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: None, Your Honor. But thank you for your testimony. CHAIR DANNER: Only wanting to know if "turning turtle" is a phrase that is used wildly in the industry as I've never heard it before. THE WITNESS: Mr. Commissioner, it's it's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ****** Captain Michael Moore, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Great. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, could you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Mr. Moore, could you please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Michael Moore. MS. DeLAPPE: And what is your position and employment? THE WITNESS: I'm a vice president at Pacific Merchant Shipping Association. MS. DeLAPPE: And you know that your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in light of your cross-examination? A. No. I just I just thank you for being gentle with an old mariner and even less experienced lawyer. And I hope I've tried to answer your questions fully, fairly, and to the benefit of the Commissioners. Thank you. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you, Ms. Metcalf. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: None here, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: None, Your Honor. But thank you for your testimony. CHAIR DANNER: Only wanting to know if "turning turtle" is a phrase that is used wildly in the industry as I've never heard it before. THE WITNESS: Mr. Commissioner, it's it's one of those things you see on the beach every day, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Michael Moore, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Great. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, could you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Mr. Moore, could you please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Michael Moore. MS. DeLAPPE: And what is your position and employment? THE WITNESS: I'm a vice president at Pacific Merchant Shipping Association.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. No, ma'am, there's not. Q. You continue to stand by that testimony? A. I do. Q. And would you like to add anything else to it, in light of your cross-examination? A. No. I just I just thank you for being gentle with an old mariner and even less experienced lawyer. And I hope I've tried to answer your questions fully, fairly, and to the benefit of the Commissioners. Thank you. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you, Ms. Metcalf. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any questions from the bench for this witness? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: None here, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: None, Your Honor. But thank you for your testimony. CHAIR DANNER: Only wanting to know if "turning turtle" is a phrase that is used wildly in the industry as I've never heard it before. THE WITNESS: Mr. Commissioner, it's it's one of those things you see on the beach every day, of course. And unfortunately, it's not just the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	need to unmute? THE WITNESS: Yes, I can see you. JUDGE HOWARD: Great. I wanted to make sure I could hear your affirmation after I swear you in. If you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Michael Moore, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Great. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe, could you please introduce the witness. MS. DeLAPPE: Mr. Moore, could you please state your full name. THE WITNESS: Michael Moore. MS. DeLAPPE: And what is your position and employment? THE WITNESS: I'm a vice president at Pacific Merchant Shipping Association. MS. DeLAPPE: And you know that your pre-filed testimony and exhibits have already been

Page 551 Page 553 1 THE WITNESS: I am. 1 correct, five bullet items? 2 MS. DeLAPPE: I tender the witness. 2 A. Yes. 3 JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. PSP, 3 Q. Okay. So first, you list consideration of day-to-day 4 you may proceed. 4 work by pilotage district and area. 5 MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. 5 And by that, Captain, do you mean that one must 6 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 6 have detailed data regarding the three components of 7 7 BY MR. HAGLUND: pilot work, including prep time, travel time, and Q. Captain Moore, is it correct that PMSA has hired no 8 8 assignment time? 9 9 shipping economist in connection with this rate case? A. I believe there are many more factors involved in 10 A. That is correct. 10 comparing work besides those three factors. Q. And you're not an economist, are you? 11 11 Q. Okay. What are those? 12 A. No, I'm not an economist. 12 A. The complexity of the grounds. The -- the piloting 13 Q. And it's true, is it not, that PMSA has hired no 13 challenges as -- as one could take a look at various 14 compensation expert in this case? 14 training programs around -- around the country in 15 A. We have not hired a compensation expert. 15 different pilot groups, they are tailored to the 16 Q. And it's also true you've hired no fatigue risk or 16 pilot ground. For example, Long Beach has up to 17 sleep medicine expert; correct? 17 3,000 training assignments to be fully qualified. A. We have not. 18 And here it takes about 20 years to do that. That 18 19 Q. Okay. Now, in your -- I want to start with questions 19 doesn't mean the training program here isn't 20 20 about DNI and pilot group comparability. absolutely suited for our pilotage district. I 21 So is it fair to say that in your testimony you 21 believe it is. They're just different. 22 take the position that use of comparable pilot group 22 So when you take a look at the kind of work 23 23 income, as assembled by Mr. Lough for -- from other they're doing, I think you've got to take those kind 24 pilotage grounds, is -- in your opinion, it's not an 24 of things into account. 30 assignments a month in 25 appropriate metric for determining the DNI for the 25 Long Beach this last February. It was under nine Page 552 Page 554 Puget Sound Pilots; is that right? 1 1 assignments here. Longer assignments here, five 2 2 A. That is correct. hours. Shorter assignments there, two hours. Those 3 Q. And if we could go to a page from your testimony, 3 are all different factors that you have to consider MM-I -- 1T at page 190? 4 4 when you're comparing work of one pilot ground to 5 5 A. Okay. another. 6 Q. And in -- on this page of your testimony, you note 6 Q. And the second bullet you have here is a financial 7 that Mr. Lough's testimony does not address a number 7 audit for each district, such that UTC Staff can 8 8 of factors that -- I want to ask you about them. verify the comparability of the proffered districts. 9 But is it fair to say that this testimony is 9 Now isn't it true, Captain Moore, that the bulk 10 stating that one must have the data responsive to 10 of the pilotage grounds in the United States -each of these five factors we'll talk about in a 11 there's some 50 of them -- or 50 pilot groups in the 11 12 minute in order to have the body of information 12 United States -- but that a majority, a sizable 13 13 majority of those groups do not make their -- they're necessary to compare one pilot group to the next for 14 purposes of determining net income? 14 not required to file any sort of public audit or 15 15 A. My testimony is not limited to those five factors. otherwise make their financial performance known to 16 third parties. 16 There are five bullets there. My testimony is about 17 the fact that there are a number of relevant factors 17 You know that, don't you? 18 when comparing pilot grounds, whether you're going to 18 A. Well, it -- my experience, we've -- we've identified 19 talk about safety or training, or in this case, 19 over 60 pilot groups, and we agree with the comment 20 compensation of the pilots. 20 that most of the time their financials are 21 So my only correction there would be that it's 21 self-described as opaque and not available, unless 2.2 not just five factors. There are a number of factors 22 they go through a public hearing of some kind at 23 that could be very relevant when you're trying to do 23 different frequencies, in which case certain 24 24 comparability. documents might be filed. 25 25 Q. Okay. And let's -- you've identified five here, Q. And the -- the State of Washington, specifically the

	Page 555		Page 557
1		1	
1 2	UTC, has no authority to require pilot groups in other states to produce their financial information,	1 2	that like the back of your hand. I think those kind of skills and the training programs are different for
3	does it?	3	a reason, and they should be.
4	A. I would not think so. To the extent that the UTC	4	Q. So let's go to the fifth bullet.
5	Staff, for example, make an analysis, would like to	5	A. Okay.
6	access such information, they could reach out and	6	Q. This one is, "Consideration of information from
7	attempt to do so. But I don't believe there would be	7	pilotage districts excluded from the list of
8	any authority or jurisdiction to compel it.	8	comparable districts provided by PSP in its filing."
9	Q. Okay. That's right. And you the third bullet is	9	Now, you are well aware that there is a that
10	an audit of pilotage district conditions, features,	10	the number of State-licensed pilot regulators in the
11	or variables that would assist in the determination	11	U.S. that require regular filings of audits or
12	of whether average pilot income is comparable.	12	financial disclosures showing what pilots are making
13	And you would contend that that should be done	13	in a given year is a very small percentage of the 50
14	for all districts in the United States?	14	to 60 pilotage grounds that exist in the United
15	A. Well, I believe if you're comparing compensation on	15	States.
16	pilot grounds, one would have to know the differences	16	You're aware of that; correct?
17	in the challenges, the risks, the training program.	17	A. I have not looked 65 pilot grounds we had
18	If a training program takes three years, another	18	identified years and years ago. Since that time, I
19	training program takes, say, eight months, perhaps	19	have not done a side-by-side comparison of all of
20	for example, the Columbia River Bar is a much shorter	20	those pilotage grounds. But the information was
21	training program. They have a much more focused area	21	inconsistent and offered at different frequencies, if
22	that they have to provide their services in. That is	22	you will. And, of course, I'm also considering
23	an important consideration when you're taking a look	23	non-state pilot grounds like Los Angeles, Long Beach,
24	at what skill sets are you expected to master before	24	Port Hueneme, San Diego in that mix, as well.
25	you're able to pilot. So that gets into the skill	25	Q. Now the if the you're aware that there are 24
	Page 556		Page 558
1	set being the service being offered and the	1	coastal states with pilot boards or pilot regulators
2	compensation, a fair and reasonable compensation	2	of one type or another; correct?
3	associated with that skill set.	3	A. I certainly read that. I have not done an inventory
4	Q. So let's go to the next bullet, the fourth. This one	4	myself. I have no reason to not believe it's not 24.
5	is consideration of differences in the types of	5 6	It's been documented in several things I've read.
6 7	piloting in each district, including bar pilotage, river pilotage, harbor pilotage, and the New Orleans	7	Q. And then the Great Lakes, which is seven other states, is pilotage there is under the
8	Delta pilots.	8	jurisdiction of the U.S. Coast Guard, with a fairly
9	Is it your position that a bar pilotage ground	9	high level Coast Guard officer serving as the
10	cannot be comparable in terms of the nature of the	10	director of Great Lakes pilotage; correct?
11	pilots' job to a river pilotage ground?	11	A. I'm aware of that, and there are three pilotage
12	A. Well, I think there are differences there that ought	12	districts there and that officer serves the same role
13	to be understood. If if one attempts to normalize	13	for all three of those Great Lakes pilotage
14	the pilot skills necessary to provide pilotage	14	districts.
15	service in each ground, you would have to take a look	15	Q. Right. Now, isn't it fair to say, Captain Moore,
16	at those various factors. In the case of bar	16	that there is no U.S. state regulator of pilot groups
17	pilotage, perhaps it's the boarding area is	17	in the United States? We're talking 24 states,
18	significantly different. And the transit and reading	18	there's not a single one of those states of that has
19	the conditions, the waterway conditions would be	19	ever had access to all of the information that you
20	different than, say, a river pilot going up river and	20	list here as necessary to do a comparison of pilot
21	having to know where the sand bar has been shifted.	21	group incomes?
22	So there are different elements to that.	22	A. I don't really know what they've had access to over
23	And I think the beauty of the pilotage system is	23	time. And I don't really know for example,
24	that it's focused on local knowledge and really	24	let's if we assume that each of those states have
25	understanding that in your pilotage district; knowing	25	a singular pilotage board, which I'm not really sure

Page 559 Page 561 1 1 with 24 different regulators -- and in Texas, it's that that is absolutely true, but if they did, to 2 2 the counties that govern pilotage by wherever the what extent they have inventoried other state pilot 3 port is, whatever county that port is in has 3 grounds or to what extent they have considered 4 jurisdiction over the rate setting for that -- for 4 non-state pilot grounds. And, again, to wit, LA, 5 Long Beach, San Diego, Port Hueneme, Great Lakes are 5 Houston, for example. It's not a unified statewide 6 examples of that. 6 board of pilotage, it's actually the county. 7 7 Q. But did you review all of the exhibits to David So given the decentralized state-by-state 8 Lough's testimony that included a total of --8 character of the state pilotage system in the United 9 9 States, there is no pilotage rate order in the last including the three Great Lakes groups listed as a 10 single item on the table, included 15 pilot groups, 10 20 years that has assembled the kind of data you 11 including Puget Sound Pilots? 11 contend is necessary for the UTC to do its job. 12 A. I can't recall how many groups, but I -- did I look 12 Are you able to identify any rate order that's done what you suggest is necessary to reach a fair, 13 at his testimony and look at his exhibits? I did. I 13 just, and reasonable conclusion? 14 don't recall how many groups exactly he had listed. 14 15 15 Q. Okay. But did you see, in any of the multiple rate A. I can say that I have no knowledge of all of their 16 orders that were a part of the collection of exhibits 16 efforts. I also would note that -- you talked about 17 supporting his net income table, the kind of 17 California being a coastal state. Again, the only comprehensive data that you're suggesting is 18 18 state pilotage there is in the San Francisco Bay 19 absolutely necessary in order for a pilot group 19 Area. I do understand that Texas has numerous 20 20 regulator, like the UTC, to be able to reach a pilotage boards. I know Long Beach sets their pilot 21 conclusion on what's comparable income for Puget 21 rates through the Long Beach Port Commission. LA 22 22 Sound compared elsewhere? does it through the mayor's office in a contract 23 23 A. My assessment, in looking at that data, was that negotiation. 24 there were several areas that were not normalized. 24 So there are different ways that compensation is 25 Los Angeles pilots, A, are not a state pilotage 25 determined. Page 560 Page 562 1 group; B, they are required to contribute 7 percent 1 I do not know the efforts on each -- each of 2 2 those states about -- around trying to get comparable of their income to retirement and four percent to 3 3 medical, which was not articulated in his summary. financial data for the purposes of setting rates in 4 4 And so I can give you many examples where, if you're their state. 5 going to normalize and compare, you have to do apples 5 I have read where some states say it's not really 6 to apples. What's happening with benefits, what's 6 important that we know what compensation is in other 7 happening with contributions, drawing retirement. 7 states. What's important is we have a fair and 8 8 And like we talked about before, what is your reasonable rate for our state and our pilotage 9 workload like and what is the risk in the services 9 grounds that provides the service, attracts, retains, 10 10 and funds the necessary expenses to provide that you're offering. All of those things have to be 11 service. But I'm not aware of how much effort has 11 considered. been involved in that. 12 12 But I think you're talking over here about mostly Q. Now, in your rebuttal testimony, you characterize 13 13 the financial information on those reports. Again, I 14 have concerns that there are not apples-to-apples 14 PSP's comparable pilot income information as, quote, 15 15 comparisons, even in his exhibits. You know, "Nebulous cherry-picked comparable ports analysis," 16 16 closed quote. different years, 2019 compared to 2020. LA federal 17 pilot has to contribute to its own benefits, not 17 Do you stand by that testimony? 18 articulated. Those are the kind of things, red 18 19 flags, that would be thrown up by me. If I was 19 Q. Okay. However, you had eight months to look at all 20 trying to do a comparison, those things would have to 20 the exhibits that support David Lough's analysis in 21 be reconciled in order to normalize the data for 21 his table. It was represented in PSP's filing 22 22 that -- that what was attached to Mr. Lough's comparison purposes. 23 Q. Captain Moore, given the nature of the state pilotage 23 testimony was 100 percent of the public rate orders 24 system, which, except for the Great Lakes, is 24 24 from a state pilotage Commission or board, plus the 25 25 individual states, the very nature of that system publicly available audits or financial disclosures

Page 563 Page 565 1 required of pilot groups throughout the United 1 derailed on a little point like this. 2 2 States. And there was one found in rebuttal, and I want to move to this point. 3 3 there was an update from the Coast Guard that was A. Okay. added. PMSA, in its response testimony, identified 4 Q. You -- you've made it clear you think a lot more 4 5 no other order or audit that contained information 5 information is necessary to properly compare Puget 6 that pilots were earning less elsewhere. 6 Sound on a comparable basis to other groups. 7 7 Did you? Is that a fair statement? 8 8 That's correct, is it not? A. I'm sorry. Could you say that one more time? 9 9 A. I did not spend time looking at all those pilotage Q. You've -- you've said today that a lot more 10 10 districts to see what was available or not. I did information is needed and a lot more information must 11 not do that. I do note that you have a list here --11 be reconciled in order to properly compare Puget 12 12 Sound to other pilotage grounds in terms of net Mr. Lough has a list here of different years, 2019, 13 13 2017, 2021, 2020, and did not reconcile all those income; correct? 14 14 factors I just mentioned in terms of benefits, A. In terms of a lot of factor, including -- including 15 15 retirement, medical, and so forth. that factor. By the way, this picture on page 197 16 I didn't see any reason for me or PMSA to go 16 and 196 is where I was referring to there are many 17 17 factors and using pictures might help, but you looking at -- and, again, I've identified 65 pilotage 18 18 districts, maybe some of those you didn't really look can't -- you can't compare grounds exclusively based 19 19 at because they're not state pilotage districts. And on pictures. So that's on page 196. Q. I understand. I don't think that's a viable means of 20 20 did not look at all those to see who has published 21 21 what information when. comparison. 22 So let me ask this. 2.2 I do know, in the past, in trying to compare, 23 23 there are a number of factors that have not been Despite what you've said this afternoon and 24 reconciled and normalized for adequate comparison. I 24 relate in your testimony, and in multiple places, 25 still believe that today. 25 about all this information that's necessary to look Page 564 Page 566 1 Q. Now, you basically contend that it's not possible to 1 at comparable pilot income, isn't it true, 2 compare PSP to other pilotage grounds without all of 2 Captain Moore, that the PMSA-supported legislation in 3 3 the five categories of information, plus more that 2022, just last year, in California, that was signed 4 4 into law September 30 of 2022 that requires the apparently -- I'm not going to go further on this. 5 5 But you want at least the five bulleted items we've California Board of Pilot Commissioners to consider, 6 talked about here in the last several minutes; 6 at minimum, I'm quoting, "evidence of compensation 7 correct? 7 and benefits in other state-regulated pilotage 8 8 A. Can you point me to where I said it was impossible? associations." And I'll put up that statute so we can look at the language in this recently-enacted 9 Do you have that? I think I know where you might be 9 10 10 talking about. 11 11 Q. Well, I -- let me just -- I don't want to take the But first you -- PMSA supported this legislation; 12 time to go find that. 12 correct? 13 Let me just represent to you that you 13 A. It's my understanding. I was not directly involved 14 characterized it as not being possible to compare 14 in that. It's my understanding that there were a lot without all of this other information. Exactly --15 15 of factors, a lot of issues in the negotiations of 16 16 that legislation, as we all know how legislation A. I think --Q. -- it was at page -- if we could go to MM, at 90, I 17 17 proceeds and bill language and back and forth and 18 think it's at page 90. 18 negotiations and compromises involved in that. But I 19 A. Thank you. I'll be right there. Just give me a 19 was not party to it. So I cannot articulate all of 20 second. Page 90. 20 the issues and compromises that were involved in 21 Q. No. That's -- that's an incorrect citation. 21 those discussions. 22 A. I think you might be referring to pictures. And I 22 But I would say it sounds very similar to what 23 23 we've done with the Puget Sound Pilots in past years was saying it was not possible to compare pilot 24 24 grounds based exclusively on comparing pictures. since I've been here.

Q. Well, let's put this language up on the screen. It's

Q. Pictures. Well, I'm not -- I don't want to get

25

25

Page 567 Page 569 1 1 MM-88X, page 10. And if you could highlight and blow testimony in this case, has he? I haven't actually 2 2 up the first -- the Subsection B through 2. Yeah. heard him refer to an exhibit. 3 3 Yes. Right there. JUDGE HOWARD: The testimony of the business 4 manager of the -- I believe it was the San Francisco 4 So this -- let me represent to you as part of the 5 California statute that PMSA, San Francisco Bar 5 pilots. 6 Pilots, and the Board of Pilot Commissioners in 6 MR. HAGLUND: Yes. Anne McIntyre made that 7 7 California all jointly supported before the representation in her testimony. 8 California legislature. It was passed and signed 8 MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. It's a little hard 9 9 into law last September. And it makes clear that, in to follow when counsel isn't referring to specific 10 determining target net income per pilot, this is a 10 exhibits and pages. I withdraw my objection. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. 11 section governing how the board is supposed to 11 12 address pilot income, all of the following factors 12 THE WITNESS: I am aware of the briefings to 13 shall be considered. 13 our board of directors and membership that Staff --14 Number one is the professional skills, 14 PMSA Staff in the Bay Area engaged in conversations experience, difficulty, risk, lifestyle, commitment, with the San Francisco Pilots. I -- and I believe 15 15 16 16 with the Board of Pilot Commission at some level, 17 And then number two says, "Evidence of 17 either directly or through Staff, as they went compensation for comparable maritime professions, 18 through these negotiations. And I'm -- I just don't 18 19 including individuals and other state-regulated 19 know the details and what they discussed and what they didn't. 20 pilotage associations, at a minimum considering 20 21 evidence of compensation and benefits." 21 And I don't know how this is going to -- this 22 2.2 And if we scroll down, nowhere in this statute is is 2022, you say. So I don't know how this is going 23 23 there a requirement that the Board of Pilot to be necessarily implemented. It talks about 24 Commissioners and the administrative law judge 24 comparable maritime professions, and it talks about 25 hearing model that they have for -- set out in this 25 skill sets and so forth -- skills and experience Page 568 Page 570 new statute, do they have the kind of requirement to 1 1 required. So it will be interesting to see how it is 2 2 get all the information that you have listed in those actually implemented. I have seen attempts in other five bullets we talked about earlier. 3 3 places attempting to compare that didn't really So my question for you is this. Do you have any 4 4 result in any meaningful nexus to the decision of the 5 5 familiarity with the California statute your tariff or the compensation. So I guess we'll have to 6 organization supported? 6 see how this proceeds. 7 A. Not directly. Am I aware that the --7 BY MR. HAGLUND: 8 8 Q. Okay. Q. But as the statute sits here, you see that the board 9 A. Am I aware that there was some discussions, yes. But 9 is required to, at a minimum, consider evidence of 10 10 compensation and benefits of other state-regulated I'm not aware of the details, no. 11 Q. Okay. So the testimony of Captain McIntyre in this pilotage associations. 11 12 record, a current -- former Columbia River --12 Do you see that? 13 Columbia River Pilot and now the business manager of 13 A. I do see that. I do see that. 14 the San Francisco Bar Pilots who has testimony in 14 Q. Okay. Thank you. 15 Now, is it true that one of your PMSA members is 15 this record in which she represented that it was a 16 16 joint effort of PMSA, San Francisco Bar Pilots, and Blue Water, the steamship agency? 17 17 the Board of Pilot Commissioners to get this statute A. That's an interesting question because I do not 18 passed last year, you have no reason to dispute that 18 follow -- and I'm not -- personally have a role in 19 representation, do you? 19 checking all of our membership -- membership. They 2.0 MS. DeLAPPE: Objection. Assuming facts not 20 were a member -- as an agency, they were a member 21 in evidence 21 years and years ago. I have not checked 2.2 JUDGE HOWARD: Could you clarify, 22 the list. I have no reason to believe they would not 23 Ms. DeLappe? I believe Mr. Haglund did refer to 23 be. Some agents are, some agents aren't. And it 24 24 testimony from that particular witness. kind of goes back and forth with a number of factors. 25 25 MS. DeLAPPE: He has not referred to But I don't know that they're no longer a member.

Page 571 Page 573 Q. So they could well be a member, is what you're 1 1 that made 370,000, or even LA, Long Beach. 2 2 saying? BY MR. HAGLUND: 3 Q. So you haven't read the rebuttal testimony of Captain A. Yes, they could well be a member, yes. 3 4 Q. And, in fact, don't you know one of their top Dan Jordan or Captain Jeremy Neilson filed on 4 5 executives, a man named John Coyle? 5 March 3rd in this case? 6 A. I have spoken with John Coyle, I don't know, four or 6 A. I recall their names. I don't recall the details of 7 7 five times in his 20 -- 2008. I think I first maybe what they spoke about. I haven't tracked Columbia 8 8 River very much since 2010, when they had the talked to him in 2008 or '9, and maybe three or four 9 9 times since then. original order that presumably still carries some 10 Q. And if Blue Water actually shows up on your website 10 weight. But I'm not aware of that. 11 as a member, that wouldn't surprise you? 11 Q. Would you agree, Captain Moore, that throughout 12 12 the -- let's say over the last ten years, that there Q. Okay. So were you aware that in 2020/2021, that 13 13 have been multiple pilotage grounds throughout the Mr. Coyle, who has been the president of the Board of 14 14 United States where settlements are reached between 15 the Columbia River Steamship Operators Association, 15 the industry and the pilot group, and they jointly which is the trade association representing the 16 propose an increase in rates to fund a level of pilot 16 17 shipping industry on the Columbia River, that he, 17 net income that has been agreed upon by the typical 18 with the assistance of executive director 18 combatants in a pilot rate case? 19 Kate Mickelson, was successful in negotiating pilot 19 A. I'm definitely aware when I first got involved here, 20 20 rate settlements with all three pilot groups in it was when the self-correcting formula was still in 21 Oregon that ultimately have the Columbia River Bar 21 place, and rates went up and rates went down. There Pilots and the Columbia River Pilots projected to 22 22 was a targeted net income. And the industry agreed 23 23 earn over \$500,000 in 2023? to pursue that. So I'm aware of more here than I am 24 Were you aware of the settlements worked out 24 other places. But I have detailed knowledge of what 25 between the CRSOA and those three pilot groups in the 2.5 that was all about. I don't have detailed knowledge Page 572 Page 574 1 second half of 2020 and first half of 2021? 1 of the other grounds. 2 A. I'm not aware of the --2 Q. Okay. Would you agree as a matter of common sense 3 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: Your Honor, at this point, principle that a pilot group regulator presented by a 4 proposed settlement can treat that as a factor in 4 I'm going to have to object as to relevance. We're 5 5 here to determine the proper rates for PSP and evaluating -- in fulfilling their duty to determine 6 whether their proposal is fair, just, reasonable, and 6 that the proposed -- jointly proposed new rates are, 7 sufficient. I'm not seeing how this question gets at 7 in fact, fair, just, and reasonable? 8 8 information that makes that more or less probable. Would you agree with the principle that the 9 JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question. I 9 existence of the settlement is evidence that supports 10 10 a finding of fair, just, and reasonable rates? would not want to go much further into this 11 11 particular topic, perhaps. But I will allow the A. Let me make sure I understand your question properly. 12 So if industry and pilots met separately, apart 12 question. 13 13 THE WITNESS: No. I was not involved in any from the regulator, and landed on an agreement to 14 14 conversations about that. I'm aware that Mr. Coyle's proceed, or an agreement to make a recommendation to 15 agency gets involved with range ships in the Columbia 15 the regulatory body, would that be an indication of River. I know that Kate Mickelson is the executive 16 fair, just, and reasonable? Is that -- is that the 16 17 director of the Columbia River Steamship Operator 17 auestion? 18 Association. Neither one of them spoke to me about 18 Q. Yes. There are multiple pilot state -- pilot group 19 this, checked in with me, asked me what I thought. 19 regulators that have so stated that the fact this is 20 And I'm not aware about this projection over 500,000 20 a settlement proposal, with the back and forth that 2.1 and whether it includes pilots paying for their own 21 goes into negotiations between parties that have 2.2 retirement, pilots paying for their own medical. I 22 adverse interests, is a factor to be given some 23 have no idea how to compare that projection and who 23 serious consideration in the evaluative process of 24 24 determining whether those rates are fair, just, and made that projection, and how that would be 25 25 reasonable. comparable in any way to Puget Sound or San Francisco

2.5

part.

Page 575

Do you agree that's a -- a reasonable approach in that setting?

2.2

A. I would agree a regulatory agency would welcome an agreement from the parties to come in. How they would view that under their lens of responsibility to determine fair, just, and reasonable, I don't know. But I would think that they would see that pretty favorably if the industry and pilots could do that.

And we've done that a couple times here since I've been here. We've had joint presentations, and the Board of Pilotage Commission, which was not the UTC at the time, was very much receptive of that. They didn't have to be, but they were. So I can only assume that they saw it as fair.

- Q. Okay. So would you agree that diversification of the Puget Sound Pilots workforce is an important policy objective of both the BPC and the UTC?
- A. I'm really happy you asked this question. Because I think there's a lot of commingling of the definition of the word "diversity." And I think diversity of experience, i.e. tug master, Washington State ferry master, deep draft vessel master, military, and so forth, is important to throw into the mix. And they all have to come with their own expertise into the lens of the training program here to fine tune what

profession, in this case pilotage, to attract highly qualified candidates to apply to take an exam -- to take an exam, if one passes, to take a similar test, if one passes, to successfully complete a training program that's very comprehensive and which requires giving up benefits and maybe taking a pay cut during the whole training program. So that whole package is involved in I think being a -- in the attraction

Page 577

Page 578

So part of it is income, for sure. And part of it's workload, part of it's how much time you have off. All of those things come in. Where I want to live. I think all of those come into play. And we've never had an opening where we didn't have a highly qualified candidate either on a list or in training or in -- and unfortunately, in some cases, suing to try to get on the list. So I think it's pretty evident that it's a very attractive profession.

Q. My question was whether compensation is an insignificant factor.

Is that PMSA's position?

- A. It is not -- it's part of those many factors. It's not --
- Q. Okay.

Page 576

they bring to the table, to make sure that they can provide that service.

The other part of the diversity is I think you're getting into the DEI realm of things, which is a whole other aspect that maritime is struggling with in terms of getting folks in at the bottom of the pyramid, in high schools and maritime institutions and so forth, into training programs so that a more diverse population has access to, is aware of, and has a chance to succeed in going up that pipeline or up the pyramid.

I would say those are two different things. One is experience in how you move ships and the other one is more of the DEI diversity. And I'm not sure which one you were referring to.

 Q. Well, let me ask a different -- slightly different question.

Is it PMSA's position in this case that pilot compensation is not a significant factor in a pilot group's efforts to diversify itself? That sure seems to be the suggestion of your expert, Ms. Nalty?

A. I think, in general, our position has been very clear over the years, that there needs to be enough attractiveness, workload and compensation, and the area in which you want to live and perform your A. It's not a standalone factor. I think it's part of the whole picture for sure.

the whole picture for sure.
 Q. Okay. Now, it's absolutely true in this case that - reading your testimony, that PMSA is proposing to the
 UTC that the DNI approved for the second year of the
 tariff in the -- in Order 09, that that rounding it

tariff in the -- in Order 09, that that rounding it to -- skipping the hundreds of dollars but -- which is currently \$410,000, which PSP has not come close to earning in the last two years. But your proposal as an organization is that there be a 15 percent reduction in that DNI of \$410,000 to \$346,000; correct?

A. That's not correct. And I can explain.
The --

Q. Okay.

A. -- actual distributed net income was 295,000, not 410,000. The actual distributed net income is based upon workload, management of expenses, and number of pilots. And so it turns out that the actual net income was 295. And what we're proposing is applying the formula agreed to -- or implemented by the Commission based on UTC Staff, and it is higher than -- it's 346, 357, and 368. And that's much higher than the 295.

Q. You would agree, wouldn't you, that the Commission,

53 (Pages 575 to 578)

Page 579 Page 581 1 when it adopted a 410,000 DNI for the second year of 1 Yes. I -- I read that. I've seen that picture. 2 2 the tariff, was anticipating that the revenue And I -- I have been down there. I have had 3 3 requirement was going to be generated by the tariff assignments in that area when I was a lieutenant. So 4 4 and that the pilots would make that \$410,000. I'm pretty familiar with it. 5 You'd agree with that, wouldn't you? 5 Q. And are you -- do you agree with the policy rationale 6 A. No, I would not. I would not agree that's the 6 of the Coast Guard to cite this training school in a 7 7 position. I think the position is -- my location that experiences some of the most extreme 8 weather and wave action in the United States? 8 understanding in reading UTC Staff language and UTC, 9 9 that the rates provide the opportunity to earn that A. Yes. I would agree with that. Although I would say 10 10 revenue, it was over 36 million in year two, and that Unimak Pass up in Alaska gets short shrifted here. 11 distributed net income. But there's no guarantee. 11 And there are very significant ocean conditions 12 12 It depends on workload, number of pilots, and there, as well. 13 Q. Now I want to give you a hypothetical, Captain Moore. 13 management of expenses. And so there was no If a new U.S. president came into office and decided 14 guarantee of that. That was a target, if you will, 14 15 that Coast Guard personnel are overpaid compared to 15 based on the test year that Puget Sound Pilots 16 actually submitted in the first rate case. 16 the war fighting services -- Army, Navy, Air Force --17 Q. Captain Moore, if the UTC follows your -- adopts your 17 and immediately ordered a 15 percent cut in the wages proposal and decides that the DNI it established of 18 for all Coast Guard personnel and extended the 18 19 \$410,000 in the second tariff year, which is 19 minimum time to qualify for a full pension from 20 to 20 20 continuing to apply until such time as they change it 25 years, do you think that that would have a 21 21 in the next order, is reduced by 15 percent to negative impact on the morale of Coast Guard 22 personnel, including rescue swimmers and motor 2.2 \$346,000, do you seriously contend that that decision 23 23 would have no negative impact on PSP pilot corps lifeboat operators? 24 morale? 24 A. Certainly it's a hypothetical, and it did not include 25 A. I don't have a really good way to evaluate that. 25 that are they going to make more than they did last Page 580 Page 582 I've seen -- I've seen the income levels go up and 1 year, and I think --1 2 2 down since I've been here. And during the tariff --Q. A 15 percent cut is a reduction in their wages. 3 3 during the COVID year, which is an anomaly, down more Assume a 15 percent cut was ordered. 4 4 to 204,000, then the 295, then to whatever it's going A. Yeah, and your --5 5 to be in 2022. And the way this formula is set up, Q. Did that have a negative --6 there are incentives for efficiency and average 6 A. In your hypothetical? In your hypothetical, it would 7 assignment level, management of expenses to increase 7 be. But it's different than in the situation here. 8 8 that. And if the PSP does those things, that that Q. Okay. Now, in your testimony at page 70 -- or at formula will increase the total distributed net 9 9 page 90, you say that the state pilotage ground is 10 income which, therefore, would increase the 10 becoming more attractive to candidates, not less. 11 And I'd like to put on the screen the -- a 11 distributed net income per pilot. 12 So I think the incentives are in the right place. 12 demonstrative exhibit with a table that was in your 13 13 testimony. We're just applying the formula here that UTC Staff 14 14 developed and the Commission used in the Order 09. A. Okay. Page 90. Q. You'll be able to see this on our screen in a moment. 15 Q. Okay. Let's turn to another --15 16 A. I'm not applying inflation. There's an inflation 16 A. Okay. Okay. Q. And in your testimony regarding this topic, where you 17 component in there. 17 Q. Let's turn to a slightly different topic. Are you were talking about the pilotage ground in Puget Sound 18 18 19 19 familiar with the Coast Guard's National Motor becoming more attractive to candidates, not less --20 Lifeboat School at Cape Disappointment, Washington? 20 A. We're just trying to unfreeze you here. 21 A. I am familiar with it. 21 MR. HAGLUND: Okay. I don't know what's 2.2 Q. And if we pull up MM-102X, did you happen to see the 22 happened. I don't see any --23 New York Times article about that training school 23 MS. DeLAPPE: It's on his side. 24 24 very recently? THE WITNESS: Okay. I can hear you just 25 25 A. Just -- give me a second to call it up here. fine.

Page 583 Page 585 1 1 MR. HAGLUND: Okay. late January '21, as I recall. 2 JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Haglund, we see the 2 Q. And the test occurred on April 5th, according to the 3 3 diagram I believe you're trying to share. BPC annual report for 2021, April 5th of 2021. That MR. HAGLUND: Okay. Well, it -- for some 4 was some four months following the issuance of the 4 5 reason, I've lost my video, but we'll proceed without 5 6 it for the time being. 6 And when we look at the number of qualified test 7 7 BY MR. HAGLUND: takers who actually took the test in 2021, it's a 8 pretty significant decline below those who took the 8 Q. What is on the screen, Captain Moore, is a table --9 9 and now it looks like I'm back -- that was contained test who were qualified to do so in 2018. 10 10 in your testimony at, I believe, page 90. And it's a You see a drop from 71.4 percent in 2018 to 11 recap of data in bar chart form regarding BPC pilot 11 59 percent -- 59.6 percent in 2021. And then when 12 12 you look at who passed, it was a high passage rate, exams. 13 13 You're familiar with this chart, and this is 80 percent of the 20 tested in 2018 passed, 16. And in 2021, only 50 percent of the 22 who took the test 14 actually the format that the BPC uses for this data; 14 15 passed. 15 correct? 16 16 Wouldn't you agree that that shows a significant A. That is -- yes, that's correct. 17 Q. And on the right, you added to your testimony on this 17 problem in terms of the number of qualified -- highly page the data from the 2021 exam; correct? 18 qualified candidates who actually took the test and 18 19 19 that likely -- well, I'll stop with that question. I A. That's correct, yes. 20 20 Q. And in your testimony you state, quote, "Evidence have another one right after that. 21 21 suggests the 2020 tariff decision made Puget Wouldn't you agree that this data shows that a 22 22 Sound even more attractive to potential pilot much lower percentage of those who took the test were 23 trainees because 25 percent more of them submitted 23 highly qualified candidates because 50 percent 24 applications in 2021 than in 2018." 24 failed? 25 Do you recall that testimony? 25 A. So I would not agree with that assertion. I'll tell Page 584 Page 586 A. I do recall taking a look at how many applicants that 1 1 you why. 2 2 weren't qualified and making a statement that it's If you're going to look at statistically 3 3 obviously attractive, otherwise wouldn't have that significant information, you really need to not 4 4 many applicants. cherry-pick the last two exams. You look at 2008, 5 Q. Okay. So let's go down -- and what we've done is 5 2012, 2016, 2018, 2021. Secondly, you have to take a 6 we've taken the data, the numbers of individuals, 6 look at what changes were there in the exam. 7 applicants. And I wanted to ask you some questions. 7 Thirdly, the third party that establishes the exam 8 8 So this is the test data underlying your bar cut line, you need to understand the process of the chart in your testimony for the 2018 pilot trainee 9 9 exam cut line. And then fourth, I would posit that 10 exam and the 2021 exam. And you're right that there 10 if every applicant who is qualified to take the exam were more applicants in 2020 for the 2021 test, 40. 11 11 passes, that maybe the exam and simulator process is And three of those were determined to be unqualified. 12 12 not tough enough. We want high standards, and it 13 13 So you end up with 37 candidates qualified to take should be difficult to get through the exam and 14 the test. And the test is two part. 14 simulator. And conversely, I would say we want the 15 15 There's a written test and a simulator test highest standards there to get them into the training 16 separately; correct? 16 program. And then you want a very comprehensive, 17 A. The exam, and then those that passed the exam are 17 high standard training program or they do not get 18 authorized to take the simulator evaluation. Two 18 licensed. 19 steps. 19 And in the past, you've had people that have 20 Q. Two steps. And the Order 09 that you referred to as 20 not -- have gotten into the training program that did 21 making things more attractive came out on 21 not succeed that ended up suing. They wanted to get 2.2 22 November 25th of 2020. in. But in reality, when you take a look at it, not 23 Do you recall that it was late November of 2020 23 everyone is going to pass the exam simulator and 24 2.4 when the order was issued? training program because they're high-standard-based 25 25 A. Thanksgiving time, and then it got implemented in programs.

Page 587 Page 589 1 1 Q. Captain Moore, one of the major themes I take from evaluation and look at the entire picture. 2 2 your testimony is that it's most important for the And in that case we have a 1996, 2005 exams, it's 3 3 Commission to look at what's happened in the last few important -- and it is asterisks there -- that 4 4 years, what's happened since the last -- since the federal pilotage endorsement for the area was not 5 5 last rate order. And what's happened since the required starting in 2008, which allowed more 6 last rate order. And what's happened since the 6 candidates -- you can see by the applicants there --7 7 last -- what's happened since the last rate order is more candidates to qualify to take the exam, as 8 8 opposed to trying to sort of beg for rides to get a precipitous drop in the number -- in the percentage 9 9 of people qualified who took the test, and a their 12 trips in for each of the charts they had to 10 precipitous drop in the percentage who passed. 10 11 Doesn't that indicate there's something seriously 11 So that's a big significant difference, 2008. 12 wrong, as it's most likely that the drop in test 12 And I would say as you go forward here, we need to 13 takers was a function of the poor result in Order 09 13 understand better what the exam or simulator process 14 for Puget Sound Pilots? 14 is. At the end of the day, I think the answer is, do 15 15 MS. DeLAPPE: I would object to this style you have -- do you have qualified people on a list ready to train in order to fill any open spot, and 16 of questioning, of first stating an opinion, and then 16 17 not checking whether the opinion is -- has any 17 that has always been the case. veracity at all with the opinion -- in the opinion of 18 Q. Captain, were two of the candidates who departed 18 19 the witness. And then going on to asking further 19 were -- left for -- rather than train in Puget Sound, 20 questions. 20 left for Grays Harbor because of a much improved MR. HAGLUND: I'm entitled --21 economic situation there, including a raise in the 21 22 2.2 JUDGE HOWARD: I think -- I'm going to -base salary to \$350,000? 23 23 unless the question is -- a particular question is You were aware of that, were you not? 24 difficult for the witness to answer because it's 24 A. You posit a nexus that says because of the raised 25 unclear, I this Mr. Haglund is entitled to pose a 25 salary, as if it's the only factor. If you talked to Page 588 Page 590 1 1 statement and see if the witness agrees with it. Captain D'Angelo out there, he lives in Grays Harbor, 2 2 But since I am talking now, I do want to -he likes his lifestyle out there. So there are other 3 3 Mr. Haglund, after you're done with this topic, I factors. Real complicating factor there is they do 4 4 think it would be a good time to take a break. But I not have enough work for two pilots. And they --5 5 don't want to interrupt you in mid topic. "they" being the port -- have a basket full of 6 MR. HAGLUND: Okay. 6 charges that they deal with to make their selves --7 MS. DeLAPPE: If we can keep it to one 7 themselves competitive. And they have to make sure 8 8 statement at a time, I think that would be a little that they have more than one pilot. And Captain D'Angelo, although he could do almost all their work 9 9 10 BY MR. HAGLUND: 10 because they don't have that much, you can't rely on Q. Captain Moore, isn't it true that of the 11 11 11 just one pilot. He could be sick, has vacation, and 12 candidates who passed in 2021, three of them departed 12 so forth. So they chose what they did out there, and 13 for other pilotage grounds, leaving only eight 13 they're hopeful that they get enough -- can attract 14 candidates for Puget Sound on the ranked list? 14 enough business -- competitiveness to be able to have 15 15 A. I've not tracked all of them. We have had candidates actually between two and three pilots' worth of work, 16 in the past leave -- not leave the list, but apply at 16 which would be a drastically different situation. 17 the same time, for example, to San Francisco. And 17 MR. HAGLUND: Your Honor, I'll stop there 18 when an opening for training came up, two candidates 18 for the break and re -- I have a little bit more on 19 19 that I can recall in my time here chose there. this, but I'm sensitive to your request. And so we 2.0 But it's also been the reverse. We have also 20 can take the break now. 21 been able to attract licensed pilot -- state-licensed 21 JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. I 2.2 22 appreciate it. Let's take a brief five-minute break. pilot in Alaska, a couple of them to -- to become --23 to take the exam here and train here as well. 23 And let's say we rejoin here at 3:48. We are off the 24 24 So I think if you're talking numbers like that, record. 25 25 you have to think about statistically significant (A break was taken from

Page 591 Page 593 1 1 the training program as to always exhaust the list, 2 2 JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on which they have done, to their credit, without 3 3 the record. We're just returning after a short break somebody being on the list and then having to retake and resuming with Captain Moore's cross-examination. 4 4 the exam. To always exhaust the list and keep the 5 BY MR. HAGLUND: 5 snake moving, the pipeline moving, in order to 6 Q. So, Captain Moore, were you aware that the last 6 provide licensed pilots at the rate needed, which is 7 7 Alaska pilot to transfer into Puget Sound was in about two and a half per year on average. 8 8 Q. Let's go to Exhibit MM-87X. Do you have it? Is it 9 9 A. I believe so. I think he was a witness in the last on your screen? 10 10 case. A. I think it's from you. You just blew it up, didn't 11 Q. So we haven't had a transfer from Alaska in 15 years 11 12 12 Q. Yes, we did. then; correct? 13 A. Okay. 13 A. I think you had an Alaska marine highway master, 14 Q. So this is a letter that was submitted into the 14 which has to have first class pilotage. I don't 15 record through the public comment process from one of 15 think you're including him in that mix. So you have 16 Washington State ferry masters and also Alaska marine 16 those 11 successful 2021 test takers who is now a 17 highway masters serving southeast Alaska inside --17 licensed Grays Harbor pilot, Captain Ryan Leo. And 18 he states very plainly in the last -- in the letter 18 inside passage that came down and became licensed. 19 In fact, he was president of PSP for a while. 19 that he wanted to go to Puget Sound but decided, for 20 20 Q. Going back to the chart we had on the screen, primarily economic reasons, to go to Grays Harbor. 21 21 which -- I wanted to know just the -- show the whole And in the last paragraph he says, "I believe that 22 Puget Sound Pilots will continue to have difficulty 22 thing. I wanted to look at the data. Looking at 23 23 that 2021 test data, you would acknowledge, attracting professional mariners and retaining 24 Captain Moore, would you not, that the one-third 24 pilotage talent as long as the compensation and 25 reduction in the number of passing applicants, 16 in 25 benefits for the district are below the national Page 592 Page 594 1 18, an 80 percent pass rate, down to 11 in 2021, 1 average, particularly given the high cost of living 2 2 50 percent of the 22 who took the test, is going to in the Puget Sound area." 3 Do you have any reason to doubt the -- this 3 necessitate a 2024 exam, because there aren't enough 4 4 trainees in the pipeline? And they've already statement by Captain Leo? 5 5 announced that test will be coming in 2021, A. I see a little bit of conflict between his 6 correct -- 2024, I'm sorry. 6 paragraph 2 and paragraph 4. In paragraph 2, he 7 A. 2024. I think the complete answer there is the Board 7 talks about compensation and benefits, training 8 8 of Pilots Commission changed the frequency of the program was shorter, and there are work/life benefits at Grays Harbor. So it seems to me he just listed 9 exams after candidates were sitting on a list for ten 9 10 or 11 years, back in the '90s and early 2000s. So 10 compensation and benefits, number one. Two, the 11 11 they made it the maximum time you could be on a list training program is shorter, which I talked about 12 is four years. So by definition, they're -- they're 12 earlier. Long Beach, for example, 3,000 training 13 13 not going to be able to give an exam farther apart trips versus somewhere around 350 here. And their 14 than that because they had exhausted the list. 14 work/life benefits. And I mentioned Captain D'Angelo 15 So if you have an eight-year time frame, 2016 to 15 who's been out there a long time. He likes the 16 work/life mix out there. So I'm not going to say to 16 '24, one could expect, at an absolute minimum, if you 17 were right on the money to the day, three exams, one 17 each his own, but there are certain fits that are 18 in 2016, one in '20, one in 2024, versus four exams, 18 better for candidates than others. 19 2016, 2018, 2021, and 2024 -- and it remains to be 19 Q. But that's -- what you have just related is not what 20 seen if they're going to stick with the plan in 20 is said in this last paragraph the letter, is it? 2.1 2024 -- based on current workload, they may very well 21 A. No. It says it in paragraph 2 of the same letter. 2.2 do that. But that would be four years in eight years 22 Q. Now, Captain Moore, the Columbia River Bar pilotage 23 where the minimum would have been three exams. And 23 ground and that of the Columbia River are quite 24 2.4 there's no way we should expect the BPC to be so different. One is a shorter run across a dangerous 25 25 perfect in when they give an exam and administering bar, the other is a much lengthier,

Page 595 Page 597 1 1 challenging 600-foot wide channel, multiple ports, the -- in Oregon, that the Columbia River Bar Pilots 2 2 six, seven, eight hours per assignment time. Those and the Columbia River Pilots, with dramatically different grounds but deploying -- both deploying 3 3 are grounds that are guite different in their configuration, in the nature of the pilotage 4 pilot skill, should be paid similarly, that there 4 5 assignments; correct? 5 should -- the term that both jurisdictions use is 6 A. Yes. 6 income parity between Columbia River Pilots and the 7 7 Q. And -- but wouldn't you agree --Columbia River Bar Pilots. A. With the exception they're both in Oregon, of course. 8 Do you think that's a bad policy? 8 9 9 And under the same board of pilotage commissions. So MS. DeLAPPE: Objection. Assuming facts not 10 10 I would say they have some similarities with respect in evidence. Is this just a hypothetical or is there 11 to that. But the grounds are different. 11 evidence --12 Q. And the -- wouldn't you agree that the -- that pilots 12 MR. HAGLUND: It's not -- it's not a 13 have a skill set when they reach that pinnacle of 13 hypothetical. It's set out in one of the rate orders 14 their merchant mariner career where they're experts 14 that's an exhibit to David Lough's testimony. 15 JUDGE HOWARD: I'll allow the question. 15 in ship handling or of various types, from the 16 various feeder opportunities that there are varies, 16 THE WITNESS: Well, they're under the same 17 oceangoing vessels, towboats, et cetera, fish boats, 17 Board of Pilotage Commission. I guess they go to qualify to become a pilot, that all pilots bring a 18 18 through the same -- you would know, you were down 19 relatively similar skill set to the training to 19 there. I don't know which process they go through by 20 20 become a pilot on a particular ground. And those which they get a -- an order. 21 same pilots interact with each other at Grenoble, 21 Like I said, I was pretty familiar with the 2.2 France for a week of manned-model training programs 22 2010 order where they set a target net income and a 23 23 at five-year intervals throughout their career. target gross income. And I'm pretty familiar that, 24 They're all doing the same work with different 24 unless things have changed, they have to pay for 2.5 local conditions, are they not? 2.5 their own medical and their retirement and so forth. Page 596 Page 598 A. I don't agree they bring the same skill sets to the 1 So I don't know if it's exactly the same in the bar 1 2 2 mix. I think a Washington State ferry master has a versus the river with respect to all that. I can 3 3 set of skills that's different than an oceangoing tug only imagine that the number of assignments and the 4 4 master, which is different than a cargo ship calling type of assignments and the length of time on a 5 5 on various ports. I think they bring very valuable vessel is different for the bar than it is for the 6 different skills into the mix. And the training 6 river. And so I guess the Board of Pilot Commission, 7 program at each ground is tailored -- is tailored to 7 I can only assume they've taken all of these factors 8 8 use those skills that they come in with, but to make into consideration when they set rates for pilotage 9 sure they're developing skills tailored for that 9 districts in Oregon. I don't know that much about 10 10 ground. Coos Bay. I know the port there, but I don't know 11 11 So I don't think they come in with the same what they're doing in Coos Bay in that regard at all. skills. Do they all know navigation, yes. Do they 12 BY MR. HAGLUND: 12 13 Q. So you -- you would acknowledge that parity may be an 13 all know rules of the road, yes. Do they have to 14 appropriate policy for a pilot group regulator in a 14 have some training in other safety issues, stability 15 and so forth, yes. 15 given state to adopt; is that fair? But they come in with different experiences. It 16 A. I don't know if I'd look at it that way. I think 16 17 goes back to the diversity of experience question. 17 when you look at comparability somewhere, like LA and 18 It's healthy to have that diversity in experience. 18 Long Beach, really, if you fly over, it looks like 19 19 But they've got to go through the training lens in one complex. It's got a lot of comparisons. There 20 that particular pilot ground to fine tune their 20 are some differences. Long Beach handles tankers. 21 skills to fit that service. 21 LA typically doesn't do much of that. But they have 22 Q. The Columbia River -- as a matter of policy, the 22 the same waters and so forth. And likewise here, I 23 State of Oregon's pilotage board and the Louisiana 23 think one could start making an argument that us and 24 24 Pilotage Fee Commission in Louisiana, two examples, the B.C. pilots, maybe like Great Lakes, in 25 25 have both decided that, as a matter of policy, that transboundary waters, have similar weather and

Page 599 Page 601 1 waterways and so forth. With the exception that B.C. 1 that was in place for the life of this MOU; correct? 2 2 pilots also handle Prince Rupert, 450 miles to the A. Give me a second to read it. You're on page 3? It's 3 3 north and as an inside passage with cruise ships. a little blurry on yours. We're calling it up here Other than that, I can't think of districts that 4 so I can read it. Page 4. 4 5 are that comparable, really, to do these kind of 5 MR. HAGLUND: This is page 3. And now it's 6 things. It's very complicated. But those are two 6 been blown up. 7 7 examples where possibly -- you know, possibly that --THE WITNESS: I can see most of the 8 Q. Okay. I would like --8 paragraph. The pictures are cutting off some of it. 9 9 A. -- comparison could be made. I don't know how they But I can see it talks about the consumer price 10 do it in Oregon and -- for the court reporter, I 10 index. Yes. I'm aware that was one of the many 11 don't want to -- am I talking too fast for the court 11 factors that were involved in the self-correcting 12 12 reporter? formula. And, again, there were negotiations and Q. Let me turn to another topic: tariff funding for the 13 13 compromises on both sides to -- to get to that 14 PSP pension. 14 formula, from what I was told, because I wasn't 15 15 Would you acknowledge, Captain Moore, that in there. 16 2001, the PSSOA, Puget Sound Steamship Operators 16 BY MR. HAGLUND: 17 Association, the predecessor to the PMSA, and the 17 Q. And in -- let's go to Exhibit 91X, and we can make Puget Sound Pilots made a joint proposal to the Board 18 this a little shorter. 18 19 of Pilotage Commissioners that the PSP retirement 19 This is an excerpt -- or the first page of the 20 20 minutes of the CPC meeting in May of 2001. And if program's annual accrual rate be increased from you look at the highlighted area, if you could blow 21 1.25 percent to 1.5 percent for each year of service? 21 22 22 That was a joint proposal? that up, please. 23 A. Well, to be clear, I was not there. I was captain of 23 And you see that the presentation to the Board of 24 the port of the Coast Guard at the time. I have 24 Pilotage Commissioners is to increase the accrual 25 read -- I have read a document to that extent. And 25 rate for the pension from 1.25 to 1.5 for each year Page 600 Page 602 1 as was explained to me by those involved, there was a 1 of service. And that was presented by the three 2 2 number of factors that went into -- went into parties, PSSOA plus Polar Tankers plus PSP. 3 3 discussion and compromises on both the pilot side and And it was approved by the Board of Pilotage 4 4 industry side to come to the self-correcting formula Commissioners at that meeting, was it not? 5 5 agreement it did. If I recall right, that was the A. Well, I think just to be in context here --6 beginning of the second five-year term of a 6 Q. Could you -- Captain Moore, could you please just 7 self-correcting formula, which had many, many, many 7 answer my question? 8 8 factors besides retirement. MS. DeLAPPE: Objection. He is trying to 9 It had workload and target net income and so 9 answer the question. If you could please allow him 10 10 to talk about the context since you are blowing up forth. only a portion of the page. And he has the full page Q. Okay. Captain Moore, let me put an exhibit on the 11 11 12 12 ahead of -- in front of him. 13 If you could put up the -- it's Exhibit 99 -- or 13 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 14 14 MM-90X. I see your blown-up version there with bullets 15 If we can go to --15 there, the six bullets there. And I -- again, I know 16 16 there are many factors involved. And I note also A. I see it. I see it. 17 Q. Okay. And this is -- you've seen this before, you --17 there's a friendly amendment from Commissioner Norman 18 you were --18 who stated the motion, in order to specify that the 19 19 A. I have seen that before. I've seen that -- those bulleted items listed above are factors upon which 20 logos and that front page, for sure. 20 the proposed new tariff is based and that the board 21 Q. Yeah. This is the MOU 2001. If we could scroll 21 intends to adopt only the 1.32 percent tariff 2.2 through to I think the third page. 22 increase. And that was consistent with what I saw at 23 Here we actually see use of an annual tariff 23 the Board of Pilotage Commission. They made an 24 adjustment. This is a COLA that was agreed upon 24 overall tariff adjustment. They did not make 25 25 that -- for the Seattle/Tacoma/Bremerton area CPI specific line item adjustments. And my experience

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

25

Page 603

Page 605

there, it was all taken and put in one big package and then a tariff decision was made. And that's what that friendly amendment from Commissioner Norman, which was adopted, said right below those bullets that you're showing.

MR. HAGLUND: Could you go back to the full page, please, Mr. Crandall?

THE WITNESS: We were warned by the chair, during my time there, Chair Dudley, that not doing the line items, you're going to make your case, you will make a presentation, and ultimately there were motions made, didn't succeed, finally did succeed. And he'd make it real clear, that same thing, that we're making an overall tariff adjustment, not a line-item-by-line-item adjustment. And so that's very consistent with what Commissioner Norman -amendment was that was accepted.

BY MR. HAGLUND:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. Isn't it true, Captain Moore, that the MOU makes it very clear that the increase in accrual rate to 1.5 percent and the total cost of the PSP pension is to be an operating expense of PSP that is to be funded by the tariff?

Isn't that plain from the MOU?

A. Okay. So I think it's really important to describe

you can't terminate and go back and say this is one element we don't want to terminate. It's part of an overall agreement. You can't cherry-pick one item out of 20 and say, no, no, we want to keep this one and terminate the rest. The letter is clear. They terminated the agreement in whole. Unfortunately, because I think the self-correcting formula had a lot

JUDGE HOWARD: Captain Moore, let's -- I think we're a bit beyond the question posed. Let's wait for the next question.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. HAGLUND:

Q. You say in your testimony that all it would take is a, quote, "vote of the pilots," unquote, to change the terms of the retirement plan.

Are you serious in your suggestion that two-thirds -- to reduce benefits, it would take a two-thirds vote of both the existing working pilots and all the existing retirees to change any component of this legally binding pension plan?

Are you serious in your suggestion that there could ever be such a successful vote when this is promised to every pilot when they walk in the door upon licensure?

Page 604

Page 606

the MOU as an agreement between two private parties, and it was not obligatory on the State's behalf, the State regulatory agency, to accept any of it. It was simply to get to a joint proposal. It was simply to arrive at a joint proposal to the Commission. And so that was also made clear by the chair. We're not mandated to follow any of what you guys bring in here. If you bring in a joint proposal, we will fully consider it. But it is our decision, not yours. So there was no binding agreement there.

We made it real clear there are a lot of different factors in the mix, not just one. And so there are compromises that were involved in this whole process and that -- what you're asking about is articulated right there in the minutes and is part of the MOU. But it's just one of other factors. You cannot take it in isolation. In my view, it takes it out of context and distorts the entirety of the MOU.

- Q. But Captain Moore, you weren't even there for -- you were not a part of PSSOA or even PMSA in 2001. You were still captain of the port, were you not?
- A. I was. But I was there when Mr. Tabler sent us a letter terminating this agreement. And I was in this job. And it was this agreement, this MOU from 2001. And that discussion -- I just had, that took place,

- A. That notion came to me from a retired pilot, that they could vote to increase, decrease. They could even vote to terminate Puget Sound Pilots as an association and form another one. And they were concerned about that. And so the notion of voting --
- Q. Captain Moore --
- A. -- was brought to us. It was brought to us. I did not come up with that. It was brought to us by a retired pilot. All we have to do is vote. We could make it 1.75, we can make it 2, or they could do something else with it. It's a vote -- it's a bylaw -- it's how the pilots choose to distribute their revenues amongst themselves. It wasn't -- it wasn't anything that we're party to. It's the pilots get to control that in their bylaws.
- Q. You have a copy of the plan. You've seen it. It has a two-thirds voting requirement, does it not?
- A. That's my recollection. And I --
- 19 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 20 A. I can't recall -- I'm sorry. I can't recall if it 21 includes retirees or not. I think maybe it does. I 22 don't know.
 - Q. Okay. Now, would you -- would you agree, Captain Moore, that the annual pension expense for PSP is a known and measurable expense on an annual

Page 607 Page 609 1 1 basis? It's easy to calculate. It's a known anywhere else in California. But I do believe it 2 2 expense. just applies to San Francisco and Suisan Bay, or 3 3 Would you agree? however that's described. I think that's the place 4 4 A. It is definitely listed in the annual -- the it applies to. 5 5 financials of PSP when they turn them in. When they Q. Yeah. And PMSA has never gone to the legislature to 6 6 try and defund the San Francisco Bar Pilots pension, turn in the audited financials, we then know --7 7 Q. My question -has it? 8 8 A. I don't know what discussions have taken place about A. On the prior year. 9 Q. My question -- you know how the formula is 9 how to fund that and if there's been transition 10 determined. 10 discussions around that. I don't know if that's been 11 My question for you is: It's a -- it's known 11 part of the, you know, discussions with BPC -- BOPC, that PSP has a pension plan. It's known that there 12 I think they call it -- and the bar pilots there. 12 13 was a -- a joint proposal to set the annual accrual 13 I'm not aware of any discussions about that. rate at 1.5 percent in 2001. It's easy to predict 14 14 Q. Okay. Could we go to MM-94X? the costs because you know who is already retired. 15 15 This is a table drawn from information in the --It's a fixed amount per pilot per year. And if you 16 let me make sure it's up on the screen. 16 17 have new retirees, it's easy to calculate. Would you 17 This is a table that shows the 17 pilot groups in 18 agree that it's fairly simple math, on an annual 18 the country that all have pay-as-you-go pension 19 basis, to project the likely cost of the pension 19 plan? 20 20 And it shows that in terms of annual accrual 21 rate, PSP is 13th of the 17, San Francisco Bar Pilots 21 A. It is their retirement program. That's what they 22 call it. And they know better than we do who is 2.2 are No. 10 at 1.84. And then you see accrual rates 23 23 going to retire, who is planning on retiring. If you that go all the way up to 2.5 percent for the Port 24 had perfect knowledge about who was going to 24 **Everglades Pilots.** 2.5 retire -- and, of course, you've got to look at the 2.5 You would acknowledge, would you not, Captain Page 608 Page 610 1 other end of that scale, who is no longer collecting. 1 Moore, that there are a significant number of major 2 2 U.S. pilot groups with precisely this type of pension If you have that both ends known, then it's a math 3 calculation. 3 plan; correct? 4 But that is a private retirement plan. Something 4 A. I am -- I'm looking at your list. I'm much more 5 we've talked about for a long time about what's going 5 familiar with all of the pilot grounds in the 6 to be the end result of this unfunded plan. And 6 Pacific. I see, for example, Los Angeles on here at 7 hopefully we'll be able to have some productive 7 2.16 percent, and it does not mention that they 8 8 discussions about that in the future and try to contribute 7 percent of their income each year. So there are some differences here, but I really don't 9 figure that one out. 9 10 Q. Captain Moore, PMSA has long experience with the 10 know Miami and New Orleans as well as I know San 11 pay-as-you-go pension plan benefitting the San 11 Diego, Port Hueneme, LA, Long Beach. I only know two 12 Francisco Bar Pilots; correct? 12 out here that have this, and that's San Francisco and 13 13 A. I don't have direct information on that. It's the Puget Sound. And there's 12 others that do not on 14 only other pilots ground on the West Coast or the 14 the Pacific. And I know the Pacific much better. 15 Pacific that I know of that has some kind of 15 That's where I spent my entire year. So I know the 16 multiplier times number of years of service. I don't 16 coast and Alaska fairly well. Not like I would -- I 17 know anyone else who really has that -- that formula. 17 don't know the East Coast and Gulf Coast nearly like 18 So --18 I do here. 19 Q. Now I'd like to shift to some questions about Grays 19 Q. It's for the --20 A. That's what I know. That's what I know. 20 Harbor. 2.1 Q. For the San Francisco bar, it's actually a matter of 21 You were aware, were you not, Captain Moore, that 2.2 statute. The 1.84 percent and the way the plan is 22 the Port of Grays Harbor sought a rate increase in 23 supposed to be administered and funded is actually in 23 late 2019 that included a new pension surcharge on 24 24 statute; correct? ship tonnage to fund the two percent per year PERS 25 25 A. From my understanding, the statute doesn't apply defined benefit plan for the Grays Harbor Pilots.

Page 611 Page 613 1 1 You were aware of that, were you not? And now let's go to Exhibit MM-87X -- or I'm 2 2 A. I'm not recalling that specific. But I do know that sorry, 86X. 3 3 they are public employees. And I do talk to the And here is an e-mail from you to the UTC 4 regarding the Port of Grays Harbor's tariff request. 4 executive director and his staff out there, from time 5 to time, when they are going to adjust rates or they 5 And it has the date stamp showing that you sent it to have a training program issue. I don't recall the 6 6 the UTC. And if we blow up your comment down below, 7 7 exact -- I don't recall exactly that number. But I even though this was a substantial increase in the 8 knew they're in the public employment retirement 8 tariff, PMSA did not voice any concerns or any kind 9 9 system which is a public retirement. of opposition; correct? 10 10 Q. Okay. So let's look at Exhibit MM-83X and if we can A. I have to state the paragraph -- if you read the 11 scroll to the second page. Keep going. Another 11 entire paragraph, it provides full context for the 12 page. There it is. Okay. 12 position that they do not have enough work for two Here's the tariff that Port of Grays Harbor 13 13 pilots. They either have to pay for it out of Port submitted to the UTC in December 2019. And it's got 14 14 of Grays Harbor revenue or adjust the pilotage a special new item, "pension charge." 15 15 charge. And so that entire paragraph does, in fact, 16 Do you see that? 16 describe our position very well. And it's only, you 17 A. I do see it. 17 know, five sentences or six sentences. And it 18 Q. You oppose a pension surcharge in this rate case; 18 describes the unique situation at Grays Harbor where 19 19 they had to disband their pilot association and go to 20 20 A. In -- in the Grays Harbor? a public employee situation so they could at least Q. No. In -- in PSP's case, this pending case --21 21 have pilots as they continue to strive to gain ship 22 22 A. Yes. traffic there, which is not enough to support the 23 23 Q. -- you oppose any sort of automatic adjustor to fund pilotage cost. So they have a very unique challenge 24 the pension, either on a pay-as-you-go or defined --24 there. And that's what I articulated in that 25 fully-funded defined benefit plan basis; correct? 25 paragraph. Page 612 Page 614 1 A. We did oppose that -- I did oppose that in my 1 JUDGE HOWARD: Captain Moore, I wasn't -- I 2 2 testimony. I'm looking at the Grays Harbor one, and was not hearing a direct answer to Mr. Haglund's 3 3 you're talking about a pension charge there; correct? question. 4 4 Q. Right. And it's fair to say that you did not make THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, 5 5 any opposition to this new charge when this was -please? 6 when this tariff was submitted, did you? 6 BY MR. HAGLUND: 7 A. I'd have to clarify that there are two different 7 Q. It's true that you did not offer any opposition to 8 8 things going on out there. One is retirement under a the 15 percent increase in rates; correct? system where they did not have enough pilotage to A. I offered options. I did not offer direct 9 9 10 10 keep an association out there and the conversion to a opposition. I offered the notion of their options 11 11 public employee retirement system. And I am not and how to pay for it, either out of Port of Grays 12 12 familiar whether this pension charge is trying to Harbor revenues or if they adjust the tariff. And I 13 13 retire the debt on the pilots that dissolve their articulated that in that paragraph. So there's no 14 association or they are directly attributed to the 14 opposition, but there is background and options about 15 15 current pilots that are in the public employee how to proceed. 16 retirement system for Washington State. I don't know 16 Q. And, Captain Moore, let me ask you this, the income 17 17 which one that is. information that is presented in David Lough's table Q. Let's go -- okay. Let's go to MM-85X. This is just 18 18 shows that with the benefit -- that Grays Harbor 19 for your information, Captain Moore. This is a copy 19 Pilots have a base salary of \$350,000. They've got a 20 of the UTC Staff evaluation that ultimately reviewed 20 COLA set out for the next ten years in the contract.

And they receive opportunities for what is called

Grays Harbor Pilots earned -- it's either '20 or

2021, over \$450,000.

incremental duty pay and gain sharing, such that the

Do you think it's fair, just, and reasonable for

this tariff request. And I think it was a 15 percent

increase, and I guess that's what it shows on page 1.

referenced" in the second to last paragraph. And it

was ultimately approved.

And there's a note that there was no -- "no comments

21

2.2

23

24

25

21

22

23

24

25

2.5

Page 615

the Puget Sound Pilots, which -- with much more traffic, a significantly larger workload, to be paid less than the Grays Harbor Pilots as you, on behalf of the PMSA, proposed to drop it to \$346,000 of DNI per PSP pilot?

1 2

2.2

- A. What comes into play to answer that question is attract and retain. And they have a completely different dynamic on an attract and retain. I do not see an attract and retain problem in Puget Sound. So either you have enough revenue to attract and retain and pay expenses here, or -- or you don't. And so that is a different situation than Grays Harbor, who could go down to 20 vessel arrivals in a whole year and have a one-fifth of a pilot's worth of work and have to come up with a way to have a pilot. It's a very tough situation for them, and it's very apples and oranges, in my view, to try and compare that to a district with 7,000 assignments -- 7,442 assignments.
- Q. So, Captain Moore, is it your testimony that Captain Sandy Bendixen, when she says in her testimony that with PSP's compensation at the levels it's been, she could not, in good faith, ask any female candidate to consider Puget Sound.

Do you think she's just making that up; that it's not a true statement that she believes in her heart?

Isn't that evidence that there's a problem with the compensation and benefits at Puget Sound Pilots?

Page 617

A. No. I don't think you can take a standalone issue.

Captain Julian took the exam here, did not pass the exam; chose to train down there. Articulated that was a shorter training program, and articulated that he liked being able to go to work and come back from work close to where his work is, namely in Astoria. So I think he articulated a couple of different issues there. The fact that he tried -- that's fine. The fact that he tried to take an exam here and was not successful the first time. It's a learning experience, and probably prepared him to take an exam down there. But he also articulated other factors.

If you're going to look at diversity, again, statistically significant, the bar does not have 56 pilots, et cetera. So it's a very -- it's a small group. If they change by one, it's like Captain Bendixen coming to the Puget Sound Pilots and immediately you go from zero percent women to two percent.

Q. So you do not accept as true the very plain statements by Captains Bendixen, Wodehouse, and Julian that the level of compensation and benefits being paid to Puget Sound Pilots is so low that it is

Page 616

A. What she believes and why she believes it, that's really up to her. We're going to have to look at evidence. Is the evidence indicating that you cannot attract qualified candidates, and there's a qualification list -- you cannot attract qualified candidates to take an exam, to pass an exam, to go through a simulator and to enter the training program and train. And that would be factual and evidence.

What somebody feels, I don't know what she feels and why she feels it. I don't know why she chose Puget Sound Pilots to train in when she could have gone to Louisiana or Houston or somewhere else that people like to talk about. She obviously has her own decision-making to make, and that's her belief. And that's fine. She can have that. She can have that view. Until I see evidence of it, I don't subscribe to that.

Q. Let's talk a little about the evidence. Did you read the testimony of Kaha'i Wodehouse and Christian Julian, two diverse candidates. Captain Julian is of African descent, and Kaha'i Wodehouse is a native Hawaiian. They make the Columbia River Bar Pilots' percentage of underrepresented communities the highest on the West Coast. Both decided against Puget Sound and went to the bar.

Page 618

a problem for recruitment of top flight diverse candidates.

You -- you reject those statements as false; is that correct?

A. I have not seen evidence to support that. I've not seen a definition of top flight recruit candidates.

What I do see is candidates that can qualify on these very high standards and can take an exam and a simulator test and enter the training program.

That's the data that is important here.

And so, until you see evidence that there's a problem, people can have conjecture and forecast and try to -- and look in a crystal ball to see what's going to happen. But it hasn't happened. And in my time here, I've heard from Puget Sound Pilots about a mass exit that's going to happen. If we don't make more money, this is going to happen, that's going to happen, we can't attract anybody. I've been hearing that since 2006. I have not ever seen it happen.

So forgive me for not really buying into that. But I have been told that many, many times since 2006, and it has not happened.

Q. Let's turn to another topic.

Do you agree, Captain Moore, with the conclusion in the cost/benefit analysis of marine pilotage, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 619

entire marine pilotage system in Canada, that pilotage, quote, "Is the strongest single safety measure that can be employed to reduce the risk of maritime accidents, reducing that risk by a factor of at least 44 times."

Do you agree with that conclusion?

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Well, that would require me knowing and assessing all the data. I come from a math background and I like to see the data on that. I understand there are other factors involved in a marine safety system. We happen to have what I consider a really top notch marine safety system here. And pilotage is extremely important in that. But it is not the first line of defense. It is not the only line of defense. It is -- you know, you have to take into consideration construction standards -- I've denied entry when I was captain of the port before you ever get to the pilot ground. I required a tug offshore before you ever get to the pilot ground. And I denied entry because there are indications that a vessel was not safe. And so there are other factors involved before you even get to a pilotage ground.

When you get to the pilotage ground, pilots are critically important. So is a vessel traffic system. So are traffic separation lanes. And so there's a

First, is it your position that the continually

Page 621

Page 622

growing size of the world's fleet in every vessel category, every vessel type, which calls Puget Sound in significant numbers, does not present additional pilotage risks?

A. There are a number of factors that get involved in risk. Risk is probability times consequence. You have to look at all the factors. Vessel size is one. Vessel design is another. Newer vessels are typically less risky than older vessels. We have the most rapid renewal in the container sector and bulk sector worldwide that I've ever seen. The data would back that up. Vessels getting larger. Tank vessels used to be larger in the '70s, to be frank. And they have a limit and a cap on the size of tankers that can call here. So tankers are not involved in this mix here for us. The grain ships here are pretty much the same size and are not growing in what they're calling the Puget Sound. It's the container ships and cruise ships that are larger. And it also is the container ships and cruise ships that are the newest. So you take a look at protectively located fuel tanks, better fuel delivery systems, changing to cleaner fuels which flow better to the engine, alternative fuels, like TOTE is using LNG now. There

Page 620

lot of factors that get involved. But there are a lot of factors involved before a -- a vessel even gets here. That's what port state control is all about is to keep substandard vessels out, and frankly, to examine them pretty much once a year or more, depending whether it's in LA, Long Beach or here, and to make sure that they're in compliance with federal and international standards.

All those things matter. It doesn't mean pilots are not important, and it doesn't mean port state control is not important. They're all important. If you're talking about a pilot in Canada in a serious situation where only -- you got ten seconds to make a choice between A and B outcomes, the pilot is right there. And you want them to be trained, and you want them to know what to do.

- Q. Okay. Given your -- you're pretty clear in your testimony that you don't believe there have been any significant or material changes in vessel risks or risks to pilots since the last rate case concluded in late 2020; is that right? That's a yes or no.
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. So I just want to hit some quick bullet points to address that topic.

are a lot of things happening as the fleets renew.

And so vessel size is a factor, and whether you're sitting low in the water, like a tanker, which is limited to 125,000 dead weight tons, since the Coast Guard put that rule in place 40 years ago, or it's got a sail area. You take a look at all of those factors, and size is part of that. But it is not the only part. It's like pilotage is really an important part of the marine safety system, but it's not the only part.

Q. Captain Moore, I'm trying to ask a series of questions which ask you to respond yes or no, whether it's an increasing risk factor or not.

Wouldn't you acknowledge that the increasing size of the ships, as documented extensively in shipping economist Ken Eriksen's testimony, that the greater the sail effect of a larger vessel, the greater the challenge in certain conditions?

Would you agree with that or not?

- A. I would agree with that and other factors. That's all I will say. I would agree with that.
 - Q. And would you agree that the recent news that the --I forget whether it's MSC or which container carrier it is, but there's recent information that came up at the last pilot board meeting that the owner of a

Page 623 Page 625 1 23,000 TEU container vessel is inquiring about making 1 testimony. 2 2 a call here this spring in Puget Sound. The largest JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to grant that. I 3 sized container ship previously was the -- I believe 3 am concerned that we're referring to a number of 4 it was 18,000 TEU, or was it -- I think -- actually I 4 facts not in evidence before posing a question. If 5 think it's 13,000 TEU, Benjamin Franklin. 5 we can be --6 And wouldn't you agree that a 23,000 -- it was 6 MR. HAGLUND: Well --7 7 18,000, Captain Carlson is correcting me -- but that JUDGE HOWARD: -- referring to an exhibit or significant increase in total TEU capacity coming 8 8 asking it -- at least asking the witness, breaking it 9 9 here likely this year, 5,000 more TEUs than the down, asking is the witness familiar with something 10 previous record, creates additional risk to those 10 and then posing a question. 11 assignments, and additional training, significant 11 BY MR. HAGLUND: 12 work by the pilots to figure out how are we going to 12 Q. Sure. Did you -- it was identified for you. Did you look at Exhibit MM-112X? That's a news 13 manage this behemoth in our constricted waterways. 13 14 That's an increasing risk, is it not? 14 article about this oil project. 15 A. It is an increasing risk. And identifying -- I like 15 A. Oh, a Wall Street Journal article? 16 the pilots taking a look at what kind of tugs do you 16 Q. Right. 17 need and so forth. It was a CMACGM Benjamin 17 A. Okay. I'm looking at it. I didn't read the entire 18 article. I saw an announcement and a headline. So 18 Franklin. It was 18,000 tons. It was several years 19 19 ago, and it was a test run to see how it could be I'm much more familiar with Alaska production over 20 20 handled. That's appropriate. To go to a simulator, the last 30 years than I am with this. 21 to do the test runs, to evaluate how many tugs are 21 Q. Okay. Well, if -- if -- let me just ask you a 22 2.2 necessary, do all the booms have to be up on the hypothetical. 23 container, all those factors are mitigating factors. 23 A. Okay. 24 And that's -- that's part of the reason MSC contacted 24 Q. If -- if, within the next five years, there's going 25 the pilots, to figure out what mitigating factors are 25 to be a 35 percent increase in the number of oil Page 624 Page 626 1 tankers coming to Puget Sound, would you agree that's 1 there, can you handle a vessel this size, what do we 2 2 need to do on the tug side of the -- tug package. an increased risk for the pilotage district? 3 3 That's a good discuss -- that is a very good A. It's increased traffic. I -- I have seen tanker --4 4 discussion to have and in place way before a vessel tanker traffic go down, but if you're going to go 5 5 down to the bottom of tanker traffic and then build gets here. 6 Q. Captain, please stick to my question. 6 back up, it's going to be more transits, for sure. 7 I asked if that was an increasing risk and you 7 Q. My question, Captain Moore, is if you see a one-third 8 8 or more increase in the number of tankers from last said yes. And so let me go to the next one. 9 9 year associated with this dramatic increase in oil 10 Q. Did you observe in the last couple of weeks that the 10 production in Alaska, wouldn't you agree that more Biden administration approved the Willow oil drilling 11 oil tankers coming to Puget Sound is an increase in 11 project in Alaska, which will produce 180,000 barrels 12 12 pilotage risk? 13 per day of crude oil that will increase the current 13 A. If you compare it to last year and you're going to level of crude production in Alaska by over a third, 14 increase, you will have more transits and more risks. 14 15 almost 40 percent. 15 Probability --Does not the fact that once that new project 16 Q. Okay. Thanks. 16 17 comes online will result in a significant increase in 17 So if we could pull up Exhibit MM-109X. 18 the number of oil tankers coming to Puget Sound for 18 Captain Moore, this was another exhibit 19 19 refinement of that crude -- isn't that a risk we can identified for you to review or have available for 2.0 see on the horizon that will be growing with 20 questioning this afternoon. 21 significantly increased traffic in the second highest 21 Wouldn't you agree that the high stacking of vessel category in terms of total transits in 2022, 22 turbine -- wind turbine parts such that it blocks the 22 23 second only to containers? 23 visibility line of sight from the bridge to be able 24 24 to see forward of the bow is a new development that MS. DeLAPPE: I would object to all of the 25 has led to, in this instance, a joint letter from the 25 facts not in evidence and outside the scope of

Page 627 Page 629 1 Puget Sound Pilots, Columbia River Pilots, and San 1 matter to my cross. 2 Francisco Bar Pilots to the Coast Guard identifying 2 JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow that question. the problem and trying to make sure that certain 3 3 THE WITNESS: It's fair to say that we're SOLAS regulations are going to be enforced? Isn't 4 4 having a discussion about efficiency. I know that 5 5 measure. There are other measures, and we'll continue to have that discussion, I'm sure, at the 6 6 MS. DeLAPPE: Object to the foundation. 7 7 BY MR. HAGLUND: Board of Pilot Commission. I've submitted many 8 Q. -- a new development? 8 letters and data as well. But I am familiar with his 9 9 MS. DeLAPPE: Objection to foundation. metric there, the one you just mentioned. I think 10 MR. HAGLUND: This is an admitted -- this is 10 I've seen those numbers, 122 and 128. 11 in the record. There's no foundation issue. 11 BY MR. HAGLUND: MS. DeLAPPE: There's no evidence in the 12 Q. And you have no reason to dispute their accuracy, do 12 13 record that Captain Moore knows anything about this 13 14 exhibit. 14 A. I think there's other elements to that that ought to 15 15 JUDGE HOWARD: Let's first establish the be considered. But those specific metrics are what 16 they are. But there are other elements associated 16 witness's awareness of this exhibit and this issue. 17 17 THE WITNESS: I'm kind of --18 Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, this is a series of yes-or-no 18 MS. DeLAPPE: Wait for a question. 19 19 BY MR. HAGLUND: Would you acknowledge that the operating rule 20 Q. Do you have any familiarity -- do you have any 20 familiarity with this issue? 21 change to allow on-watch pilots to be dispatched to 21 an assignment following a meeting, provided both can 22 22 A. I've heard there's an issue in the Columbia River. I 23 don't know anything about a vessel coming in here 23 be accomplished within 13 hours, improve the on-watch 24 with this situation. 24 efficiency of PSP? 2.5 Q. Okay. 25 A. Yes. I'm familiar with that. I've heard of that --Page 628 Page 630 1 A. I'm not aware of that. Q. Okay. 1 2 2 Q. Okay. I'll move on. A. -- Board of Pilotage Commission. I think he's 3 3 I want to ask you some questions about PSP's articulated that. 4 Q. Okay. Would you acknowledge that the rule enabling 4 workload and efficiency improvements. As you heard 5 from Captain Carl -- did you observe Captain 5 the combination of an assignment and a repositioning 6 Carlson's testimony yesterday? 6 from Port Angeles to Seattle improved PSP's on-watch 7 A. I did not. 7 efficiency, as well? 8 8 Q. Okay. Then I'll represent to you that -- and it's A. I don't have the data to understand the construct. That makes sense. I don't have the data in front of 9 also in his written testimony. But Captain Carlson, 9 10 in his rebuttal testimony, presents data showing that 10 me the option by the PSP of the seven different 11 Q. Okay. But it -- if you could --11 efficiency measures that it implemented over a period 12 A. Combine something -- if you can combine something. 12 13 Q. Yeah. It's -- these should be easy yeses. 13 of a year and a quarter, 2021 and the first quarter 14 14 of 2022, improved PSP's on-watch efficiency by an A. Yes. 15 average of approximately 6 percent from 122.3 15 Q. So let me continue. assignments to 128.9 assignments. 16 Would you acknowledge that allowing a pilot to be 16 17 Do you have any reason to question that 17 immediately dispatched following a cancellation, provided this can be accomplished within the 13-hour 18 improvement in efficiency, comparing 2019 to 2022, 18 years with similar levels of traffic? 19 19 work/rest period allowed, also improved on-watch 20 MS. DeLAPPE: I would object that 2022 is 20 efficiency? A. Yes. If you can --2.1 outside the scope of anything in Captain Morris's 21 2.2 testimony, I believe, as 2021 was the test year. 22 Q. Okay. 23 MR. HAGLUND: He takes major shots at the 23 A. -- tell me what the baseline is. You're asking me to 24 2.4 efficiency and management of PSP. Whether we're say it improved. But from when -- six years ago? 25 25 using 2022 data that wasn't in his direct should not Five years ago? Three? You've got to have a

Page 631 Page 633 1 1 Pilot administrative pilot, Captain Dan Jordan, that baseline. 2 2 Q. Well, no. These are -- I'm only going through some all three of those groups have callback levels 3 3 of the efficiency measures that were adopted in 2021 substantially below five percent? and the first quarter of 2022. You heard about all 4 Did you observe that? 4 5 5 their implementation at the monthly BPC meetings. A. I did observe that, yes. 6 I'm only asking you to acknowledge that those 6 Q. And did you observe in the exhibit filed with 7 7 changes, in that time frame, enabled PSP to do more Captain Carlson's rebuttal testimony that the B.C. 8 assignments per month by virtue of these efficiency 8 Coast Pilots are under three percent for callbacks? rule measures. It's a very simple set of questions. 9 9 A. I -- I did call up there to check on that. And 10 10 The next one is: Would you acknowledge that the they -- they -- they sent me the data. 11 rule change allowing both a transit assignment and a 11 Q. Okay. harbor shift to be performed by a pilot, provided 12 12 A. Which also includes that 60 percent of them are on both could be accomplished within the 13-hour time 13 13 watch every day. So there are other factors here 14 frame, improved on-watch efficiency at PSP? 14 that we are in discussion with BPC about. It's another common sense "yes," isn't it? 15 15 Q. So my question for you, Captain Moore, don't you --16 given your commitment over years to appropriate 16 A. Yes. Q. Okay. I won't go through the others. I will move to 17 work/rest rules in a transportation critical position 17 some other questions. 18 like pilot, that the UTC must take into appropriate 18 19 In your testimony you claim that the -- that 19 consideration Dr. Czeisler's opinions on the risk Dr. Czeisler's testimony in which he concludes that 20 20 posed by excessive levels of callbacks? 21 callback levels in excess of five percent of total 21 A. I think there are other factors besides that. I do assignments per month, or year, is unsafe -- his 22 22 strongly support work/rest rules that make sense and 23 23 conclusion that that's an unsafe set of conditions to minimize fatigue-caused accidents. And we haven't 24 for PSP. You say in your testimony that his opinion 24 had one here in the entire history I've been here. 2.5 on this topic is, quote, "largely irrelevant." 25 which is great. And we're improving those rules, Page 632 Page 634 1 Now, isn't it true, Captain Moore, that you have 1 starting in 2015, which is great. That's a safety --2 2 been an advocate of safety rules of all kinds it's a safety enhancement, a continuous improvement. 3 throughout your career? 3 But it also goes hand in glove with being available 4 4 A. Yes. That's true. And I've helped develop them as when you're on duty. And that is one of our main 5 5 concerns. 6 Q. And isn't it true that you stated in the last rate 6 Q. But, Captain Moore, isn't it true -- I want an answer 7 case, in testimony, that you believed strongly in the 7 to my question. 8 8 importance of adequate work/rest rules in a A. Okay. Q. Do you acknowledge that the UTC should consider 9 transportation critical position like pilots, where 9 10 vigilance is necessary in executing that job on the 10 Dr. Czeisler's opinions on the issue of callbacks? bridge of a ship? 11 11 A. I think the Board of Pilotage Commissioners, I like 12 A. Absolutely. 12 the bifurcation where they handle safety and 13 13 Q. Okay. And when -- wouldn't you agree, then, that the licensing and rest rules and the Commission sets fair 14 Commission must take into consideration in making 14 and reasonable rates. And obviously, if the Board of 15 certain decisions in this rate case the importance of 15 Pilotage Commission does A, B, and C that has moving callbacks down to the five percent level or 16 relevancy to the UTC, that UTC would pay attention to 16 17 below? 17 that. But I don't think UTC should be the expert on 18 A. Could you just say that again? Do I think it's 18 whether Dr. Czeisler is the end all, be all on pilot 19 important? What did you ask? 19 safety and bridge and on-watch availability and all 20 Q. Well, let me -- let me give you a little bit of 20 those kind of things that get involved in this or 21 background first. 21 not. I think it's the Board of Pilotage Commission 2.2 Did you observe in reading the testimony of -- of 2.2 as the safety lead in the bifurcation of duties. 23 business manager for the San Francisco Bar Pilots, 23 Q. Okay. Captain Moore, we're not asking the UTC to go 24 24 Captain Anne McIntyre; Columbia River Pilot beyond its jurisdiction. But let me give you the 25 25 president, Captain Neilson; and Columbia River Bar main example where I think there is an intersection

Page 635 Page 637 1 between Dr. Czeisler's testimony and what the UTC 1 to the maritime work tradition of equal amounts of 2 2 should give consideration to. time on and time off. 3 In order for the BPC to deal appropriately with 3 And let me -- I want to ask a series of questions the excessive callback level, it may be necessary to 4 4 regarding that. 5 increase the number of authorized pilots above the 5 Number one, you do acknowledge -- and I want to 6 currently authorized 56 FTE. 6 see if you will confirm it here in your oral 7 And in -- if that is ultimately the decision of 7 testimony -- that a PSP pilot works -- is on duty the BPC months down the road, and PSP has only been 8 177.65 days each year. You acknowledge that in your 8 9 9 funded for 56 pilots, it will require another rate testimony. 10 case for the funding of the additional pilots to 10 Do you stand by it? 11 occur. 11 A. I stand by the math analysis of the schedule they 12 Wouldn't you agree that the UTC should give 12 gave us producing 177.65. And I believe I 13 serious consideration to an automatic adjustor that 13 incorporated their watch schedule in as one of my increases the tariff for new licensees, decreases it 14 14 exhibits so you can take a look at that. 15 for retirees, so as to create a mechanism that 15 Q. And on top of that 177.65 days -- this testimony is enables the BPC to carry out its number of pilots 16 in the record, but I want to see if you will 16 17 function setting process without having to have PSP 17 acknowledge it -- there is an additional three days run back to the UTC for another rate case on that 18 18 of peak period work during cruise season using the 19 particular issue? 19 acronym PPW, which increases that 177.65 to 180.65. 20 Why not reduce the number of times we have to be 20 Do you agree with that math and that fact? 21 doing battle like this, Captain Moore? 21 A. If that happens, I agree with the math. It did 22 A. Well, if you want me to comment, that was a pretty 22 not -- it wasn't in the watch schedule we asked for 23 in the DR. And so it wasn't part of the math 23 long comment. So I can comment on it. UTC's 24 approach uses an average assignment level, which is 24 analysis. But if there is another document that 25 for rate setting purposes. And shifting and -- doing 25 says, yes, we threw another three days of duties in Page 636 Page 638 an automatic adjustor would just shift a lot of the 1 there separate from the watch schedule they gave, 1 2 2 rate case burden back to BPC. Because every number then you would add that on. 3 Q. That, Captain Moore, is actually in the operating 3 of pilots decision, every retirement, every licensing 4 4 decision then becomes a rate case there. rules. The PPW obligation is right there in the 5 5 And so the average assignment level is a operating rules, which you had as part of this 6 formulaic deal. There are incentives built in there. 6 discovery in the case. 7 It's articulated in Staff comments at the last 7 But let me move to the additional elements or 8 components of the average PSP pilots annual work 8 hearing, UTC Staff comments. And so it's not meant 9 to set the number of pilots, but they used an 9 10 average the UTC Staff and the Commission adopted, an 10 First, as you know, the pilots have a policy that 11 50 percent of the training that is done each year 11 average assignment level for the purposes of rate 12 must occur during a pilot's off-watch cycles. 12 setting. 13 And the average for 2022 was five point something 13 I -- I assume that if it changes drastically, 14 14 there will be some revisiting from the -- you know, days of training time by -- spread -- that's the 15 from you guys on going back to the UTC. We'll see 15 average per pilot. That gets you to 185.6 or 8 days. what happens here, over at the BPC when we get a look 16 And then the other two components are -- there's 16 17 at all the data, like how many pilots are actually on 17 also a policy to try to schedule pilots around 18 watch. And we found as few as 11 out of 50 on watch. 18 meetings, where half of those meetings are occurring 19 So we have concerns. We'll see how it plays out at 19 off watch. And you're readily aware, are you not, 20 the BPC. But I think that really ought to reside 20 that they report how many off-watch meetings occurred 21 with them 21 and training cycles occurred at every BPC meeting; 22 Q. Okay. Let's turn to a topic you just raised, and 22 23 that's the level of work of the PSP pilots. 23 A. In recent times they've been listing that. They have 24 24 Now, there are places in your testimony where you not listed how many pilots are on watch and actually 25 25 suggest that the Puget Sound Pilots are not adhering available, which has been our request. But they do

Page 639 Page 641 1 1 And then if we move down to 2022, just this last list meetings, off-watch and on-watch, over the last, 2 2 I don't know, year and a half or so. Two years. year, when the bulk of the efficiency measures were in place by the end of the year, but one of the most 3 Q. And in 2022, the average number of meeting days 3 performed per pilot off watch, was five, which gets 4 significant ones, the rolling start, implemented at 4 5 5 the end of March -- so it's got a partial year effect you to above 190. 6 And then the last component is the -- how many 6 here, we see a 6 -- roughly 6 percent increase in 7 7 callbacks did you work, net of comp days taken. And that average on-watch assignment per pilot. And we 8 see a reduction in the level of callback jobs from 8 that's another six days per pilot? 9 9 So if those numbers are all correct, wouldn't you the nearly 20 percent to nearly 17 percent. But it's 10 agree, Captain Moore, that the Puget Sound Pilots are 10 still far higher than any other pilot group on the 11 working at a level that is in excess of equal amounts 11 West Coast and well above the five percent strongly 12 12 recommended as a safety parameter or limit that of time on, time off? 13 A. I think we're commingling meetings and training with 13 Dr. Czeisler recommends. 14 on-duty and available for assignment. 14 Now, you acknowledged earlier in your testimony that this demonstrated that you have no reason to 15 If you do 133 assignments and you're on duty 177 15 or 180.65 days, that needs to be a consideration. 16 question this increase in efficiency based upon the 16 17 Our concern is how many pilots that are scheduled 17 data displayed in this table. And I want to ask you this. You have said, in 18 18 for watch are actually available for assignment. And 19 19 your most recent comments, that -- that there's all we strongly believe that it's far less than half of 20 20 this other stuff that needs to be looked at by the the pilots available every day, which is something 21 that they have put forward -- PSP has put forward, 21 BPC, and you don't think that pilots are as -- are as 22 available as they need to be on watch. But here is 2.2 that they have half the pilots available. If they 23 had actually had half the pilots available for 23 my question, Captain Moore. 24 assignment every day, we wouldn't be seeing all these 24 PMSA has submitted no data in this case to 2.5 callbacks. And that's just an issue we're going to 25 explain and document its position that PSP, as an Page 640 Page 642 1 1 organization, is poorly managed and inefficient; have to play out at BPC. Q. Okay. But we're in the middle of a rate case that's 2 2 correct? 3 headed for a briefing and decision in the very near 3 Can you point us to the data that supports your 4 future. 4 contentions. 5 A. Okay. 5 A. So, yes, I can. The data comes from the pilots. It 6 Q. Let's put up IC-13. 6 is -- it is submitted to the Board of Pilotage 7 7 Commission. The Board of Pilot Commission staff puts A. Okav. 8 Q. This is the kind of data that PSP has submitted in 8 together reports, in addition to activity reports 9 this case. And this covers four years: 2019, 2020, 9 that I see that the pilots turn in. The biggest 10 2021 and 2022. 10 thing lacking is the number of pilots on watch and And you see a very robust granular set of data 11 available. It isn't 25, it isn't 26, it isn't 27. 11 that enables one to derive relevant averages very 12 It doesn't work. 12 13 precisely. And this is the exhibit that documents 13 In February of this year, just a couple months 14 the increase in on-watch productivity. 14 ago, they averaged 8.6 assignments per pilot. And if 15 If you go up to the top for 2019, that's actually 15 you take a look at how many pilots there are, 53, you the first line. And in 2019, the average on-watch 16 16 would think with 53 pilots you could handle 8.6 17 productivity per PSP pilot -- so this is during their 17 assignments per pilot, per month, and not have all 18 on watch, 15 days on, 13 days off duty cycles, and 18 the delays. And so the evidence we provided -- I 19 then we see a figure for the year of 122.28? 19 have a chart that shows what happened to delays as we 2.0 And we also see the assignments per month right 20 moved through 2021. And you'll see, before 2021, 21 below the first line. We see the callback jobs per 21 there are an average of 2.98 delays a month at a month that represent a very substantial -- represent 2.2 22 handful of hours, five or six hours. At that point, 23 19 -- for 2019, they were almost 20 percent of all 23 about the same time things were changing at PSP, we 24 jobs were performed by pilots during their off-watch 24 see this big increase in delays. And we're trying to 2.5 25 cycles. understand why. And we believe part of that reason,

Page 643 Page 645 1 part of that answer is a number of pilots on watch, 1 off-watch, getting PSP pilots to approximately 190 2 2 days per year, that is over half of the year, is it scheduled to be on watch and available. 3 3 The watch schedule they provided shows some days not? 4 4 they have 35 scheduled for watch, and some days they A. 190 is more than -- yeah. There it is. 5 5 have 25 because they overlap on change days. We also Q. Okay. 6 believe it's less than that. And we've noticed, even 6 A. Okay. Page 68, and I'll answer your question. Yes, 7 7 in the documents that Dr. Czeisler is involved with 190 is more than half the year. Again, we're 8 8 in the fatigue study, they had two days where they commingling on watch available for assignment with 9 9 only had 11 pilots on watch and available. We think meetings and so on. 10 that's inefficient, when you have over 50 pilots and 10 Q. You have --11 only have 11 -- as little and as few as 11 on watch 11 A. By the way, we acknowledge training, training, 12 and available for assignment. 12 training. Absolutely. But we also acknowledge 13 So that's -- that's really the main efficiency 13 there's a lot of days you're on watch, you're not 14 issue we have. All of this data is very helpful in 14 moving -- you're not -- you're not conducting an painting a picture. We think that is a core, key 15 15 assignment. metric, a key performance indicator is how many 16 Q. Well, in --16 17 pilots you have actually on watch and available. And 17 A. That's -- that's logical. That makes sense. that gets into the watch standing and the overlapping 18 Q. Well, that happens when a pilot has three nighttime 18 19 on the -- on does it really take 24 hours to go from 19 assignments in a row. 20 20 A. Yes. -- to overlap a watch. Can you do that in less time. Q. And the statute and the regulation require that they 21 Can you make more pilots that are on watch, not the 21 22 have 24 hours off because of the incredibly 2.2 off-watch, on watch available for assignment. 23 23 And that can drastically, in our view, reduce challenging type of schedule that a maritime pilot in 24 delays and callbacks. And that's why we put that 24 this part of the world has, where over 50 percent of 25 table in there to show what happened with delays 25 the assignments are at night. They're taken in Page 644 Page 646 here. Why can't we get a complete answer on the 1 rotation. And they're highly unpredictable as to 1 2 2 start and stop times. That's just a feature of the question about how many pilots do you have available 3 3 when you have more pilots supposedly on watch than system, isn't it, that there will be days when they 4 you have assignments in a day? February had 16 4 don't have an assignment? THE WITNESS: Yeah --5 assignments a day on average. You have 52 pilots and 5 6 we have all these delays. 6 JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to jump in. I'm 7 So it doesn't make sense to us. And hopefully we 7 not sure -- it is unclear to me what is the exact 8 8 can get to an answer about that one. question following that. And there was -- there were Q. But Captain Moore, I have just a couple questions on 9 9 some factual statements and then there was a question 10 10 this remaining topic. about the relationship between them. One is you -- PMSA -- you have a lot of talk 11 11 Could you -- you need to break it down. I'm --12 here. But PMSA has not put into the record any sort 12 MR. HAGLUND: Okay. 13 13 of detailed report supported by detailed data JUDGE HOWARD: I'm not saying that in 14 covering even one calendar year to back up the 14 response to a lot of the characterizations of this 15 statements you have just made. 15 Table IC-13, I believe it was. I think it's a common 16 There's no such exhibit in your record, is there? 16 sense statement for me to observe that 17 A. There is. The delay chart is in my record. Give me 17 characterizations by counsel during the hearing are 18 a second and we'll find the page, and I'll point you 18 not evidence for the Commission to rely on later. So 19 to it. 19 I'm not necessarily saying that every time. But I 20 Q. While she's looking for it, we'll return when -- I'm 20 did want to say it just now because that question was 21 running out of time shortly. 21 unclear to me. 2.2 The second -- the other question is this. 2.2 MR. HAGLUND: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 23 With the number of on-duty days, with the PPW 23 BY MR. HAGLUND: 24 24 three days at 180.65, plus the days of training Q. So what page did you say the delay --25 25 off-watch, callbacks off-watch, and meetings A. 68.

Page 647 Page 649 Q. So MM -to BPC, you've discussed it with the BPC, but it's 1 1 2 2 A. Figure E in MM-1T. not -- the -- the history you just related, the data 3 Q. We're getting that MM-1T, page 68. Can you get 3 that would enable one to analyze what moved when/why, hasn't been submitted by PMSA in the record of this 4 4 the -- just show the figure, blow it up for me. 5 Now, Captain Moore, isn't it true that the new 5 case, has it? 6 rest rules required by the -- their enactment into 6 A. We don't have that -- by the way, I'm sorry. I gave 7 7 statute came into place on March 19th, in the middle you the wrong figure. Figure E. It's Figure G, two 8 pages later. And after all these rest issues were --8 of this graph? 9 9 MS. DeLAPPE: Objection. Vague as to year. rest rules were put in place or rest policies were 10 10 Oh, March 2019. Okay. Thank you. Sorry. I was put in place, you can still see the spike in all of 11 11 the delays in '21 and '22. wrong. 12 MR. HAGLUND: Actually, I think it was 12 So if you go to Figure G on page 70 -- and we're 13 January 2019. I think I misspoke. 13 just taking -- just to be clear, we're taking that 14 THE WITNESS: I think I get your --14 data from the PSP activity reports. We don't have 15 15 BY MR. HAGLUND: this data. We're just taking what was submitted --16 Q. Isn't it true that the rest rules changed by statute 16 there you go. 17 in January of 2019? 17 We're just taking what was submitted by PSP to 18 18 A. So I've looked at this pretty carefully. 2015, 2018, the BPC. And really, for the record, we're not 19 November 2018. PSP policy going to eight hours rest, 19 recommending that PSP have to submit all sorts of 20 20 extraneous data to the BPC. We -- I'm on record then going to ten hours rest, then having statutes. 21 21 So I think it's important to understand the several times asking for key performance indicators 2.2 difference between the statute, the RCW, the WAC, a 22 on efficiency and to land on them. And if they're 23 PSP policy, and a BPC policy. And you look at the 23 key performance indicators, what frequency they 24 actual implementation dates. And there's a number of 24 should be reported in, monthly or annually or what 25 them, the 13 hours, the three-and-out rule in 2015, 25 have you, so that the BPC can make an informed Page 648 Page 650 1 1 decision about number of pilots. That's been our going to ten hours rest, et cetera. 2 2 So if you're just asking about the RCW, I'm not continuing recommendation. 3 3 going to say it wasn't important, because it codified And so all we're doing is taking data that's 4 what was going on. But the real implementation dates 4 already there and expressing our concern. We look at 5 would be instructive, the 2015 and 2018 and so on, 5 this and say, why is this happening? When you have 6 when they actually implemented the policies of ten 6 efficiency measures that went in place, it got worse. 7 hours of rest following an assignment or 13 hours 7 It doesn't make sense to us. That's why we're asking 8 8 total max, started defining what an assignment was. the question. 9 And three-and-out rule was in 2015, as I recall. 9 Q. Well, and you don't -- this graph doesn't take into 10 10 account some of the traffic, some of the supply chain So I don't know if you're just asking about the 11 issues, and more container ships than could be 11 RCW only, or when it actually was implemented. 12 Q. I was -- wasn't there also, an addition -- in January 12 accommodated, not only in LA Long Beach, but also in 13 Puget Sound, and multiple delays in container ships 13 of '19, an addition to the rest rule going from eight 14 to ten hours? 14 having to wait days for berths, and increasing the number of anchorage and other moves made by that 15 There was also the 13-hour maximum work period 15 16 for multiple assignments. That also reduced pilot 16 category. 17 availability, did it not? 17 There's more to this picture, wouldn't you agree? 18 A. Yes. I just am not clear if you're talking about the 18 A. I'm very familiar with the anchorage. I've been 19 policy when it was implemented or the RCW action --19 managing the anchorages with our members all the way 20 RCW -- or the WAC action by rule making. But all of 20 to China. So I'm very familiar with what you're 21 those things, from 2015 to 2019, were implemented. 21 talking about there. It still comes under the 2.2 22 And it's instructive to look at the dates of category of assignments. And either you have an 23 23 implementation. That's why we produced this chart to arrival or departure or you have a shift. So this is 24 24 try and figure out what's going on. within the context of looking at how many

assignments, how many ship arrivals, how many ship

25

25

Q. Right. But you produced this chart, you've sent it

Docket No. TP-220513 - Vol. V - 4/6/2023 Page 651 Page 653 1 departures, how many shifts. And those are on the 1 A. I just didn't acknowledge from what baseline. And so 2 2 pilot activity reports in conjunction with the marine by -- by themselves, as you described them, it should 3 3 exchange, which provides monthly data on ship increase efficiency. But when we compare baselines, 4 4 arrivals in the mix. Very aware of the anchorage we have concerns. And that's probably the 5 5 6 6 Q. Okay. And then secondly, assuming the data that was So, yes, you are absolutely right. I completely 7 7 agree. All assignments and the type of assignments presented to you in the questions where the average 8 PSP pilot is working approximately 190 days of the 8 needs to be considered here, and we have done that. 9 9 And, again, in February, the lowest number of year, there is no issue with the level of their work 10 10 assignments I've seen in the 20 years I've been effort, given the maritime tradition of equal amounts 11 looking at assignments here, and still we have 11 of time on and time off. 12 12 Would you agree with that? delays. And we've had so many months in the past 13 where there's zero delays. 13 A. I would say that you have to define work. On duty, 14 And so we just don't understand, if you're adding 14 on watch, available, actually conducting assignment, 15 15 efficiencies in and doing all these things, then why actually piloting, training and manned-model 16 would you have the lowest month assignment level ever 16 training, those are different things, I think. And 17 and still have an issue? So we're just asking 17 so on duty, we would love it if half of the pilot 18 corps was actually on duty and available for watch 18 legitimate questions, and hopefully we'll get to key 19 performance indicators and make some progress on 19 every day. I think that would be a big step in the 20 20 direction of safe, reliable, timely service. that. Q. Okay. So a couple more questions on this topic. 21 21 Q. But let's take a firefighter. One, the part of '21 that you're speaking to also 22 Do you consider them not on duty and at work on a 22 23 23 was affected by COVID. That hit a number of Puget day when they put out no fires and spend the day at 24 Sound pilots; correct? 24 the fire station? 25 A. Yes. And there -- we asked -- okay. Just to answer 25 A. If they're at the pilot station, they're on duty. If Page 652 Page 654 the question, yes, we didn't know the number. I did 1 they're putting out a fire, they're putting out a 1 2 2 talk to BPC about that when it was reported seven or fire. If they're training, they're training. 3 3 eight had COVID, was it all in the same time, was it Q. And with PSP pilots, with a schedule where they've 4 4 spaced out, how many are not fit for duty. got to be able to react quickly to an unpredictable 5 5 Q. Okay. schedule when they're on duty for their 15 days, 6 A. It seems to me not fit for duty for COVID or not fit 6 they're at work on duty, are they not? 7 for duty for other reasons is still not fit for duty, 7 A. They're on duty and on call. I've been at golf and that's what we asked the BPC for. 8 8 tournaments where they're on call and ready to get called, and they'll go do their assignment. 9 Q. Okay. Okay. So let me -- let me make sure that the 9 10 Commissioners can understand this part of the case in 10 Honestly, it's just the way it is. That's just 11 11 a proper context. part of the function of being a pilot in this watch 12 You're in agreement with PSP that how work/rest 12 system. If you're on duty, you've got to be 13 rules should be developed, how many pilots should be 13 available and rested and safe and be ready to go. 14 authorized to be licensed, is a matter for the BPC; 14 I think that's a little bit different than how right? 15 15 many assignments you're performing. I do see when 16 A. It is. 16 you have only 30 -- 25 on watch and not all of them

are actually available for assignment, that that's a

as low as 25. And that's just scheduled for watch.

A. Happy to be proven wrong. But we don't think that's

the case. So therefore there's opportunities for

believe it's a lot less than that.

improvement is all we're saying.

It doesn't show how many are actually available. We

problem. The whole watch schedule shows up to 35 and

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. Both of them.

right?

in on-watch efficiency.

And the -- what I heard you say this afternoon

were two items that are highly relevant, I think, to

the UTC. One is that the efficiency measures that

PSP adopted in response to Order 09 have yielded,

based on the data presented, a significance increase

And you acknowledged that earlier this afternoon;

Page 655 Page 657 1 Q. Okay. And ultimately, there has been a -- so the UTC 1 categories. 2 2 Would you acknowledge that if what I've related is completely up to date on how this topic is being 3 is accurate, that the numbers should change in this 3 addressed by the Board of Pilotage Commissioners. 4 4 There was a very recent meeting that you attended figure of yours, Figure I? 5 5 just last month where the -- both the pilots and A. I think our asterisk is accurate. I am really glad 6 other stakeholders along with the BPC are working on 6 you brought this up. I think we have a lot of data 7 7 developing key metrics or key performance indicators, that swirls around, not including the president or 8 KPIs, and there will be a continuing dialogue on 8 including the president, and then not taking into 9 9 these topics. account not fit for duty. And then this other 10 But would you agree that the BPC is pursuing, 10 category of when a pilot keeps their license and 11 appropriately, the differing points of view that 11 burns comp days versus turns in their license and 12 exist with respect to these -- some of these 12 burns comp days. I'm glad you brought this up. 13 work/rest and on-duty versus off-duty issues that 13 I would like to think we can all just do it the 14 have developed? 14 same way every time so there's not an apple and an 15 A. I am pleased they're taking it up. And I acknowledge 15 orange issue there, for sure. So I'm happy to go that after a long, long time of monthly submission 16 either way, right? I mean, as long as it's done the 16 17 recommending they take up key performance indicators 17 same way, you don't include the president not doing 18 for efficiency, that they have finally done that. 18 assignments and you totally account for not fit for 19 And I know that Chair Kahn has mentioned, we want to 19 duty and those keeping their license but really 20 20 get all the data and so forth. So I'm glad we're retired, not going to ever pilot again, versus those 21 starting to -- starting to dig into that. If we do 21 that turn in a license and burn. So we ought to be 22 22 it right, I think it will make things easier on consistent with that. I acknowledge that. It would 23 23 reporting and it would lead to some efficiency be great to have a consistent protocol there. 24 improvements. Those are the two things I think it 24 Q. Okay. All I can say is the president isn't accounted for. That's right out of the BPC annual reports as 25 will do. 25 Page 656 Page 658 1 1 the president --MR. HAGLUND: Let me look at my notes, but I 2 2 A. For sure. We see data all the time that has him in think I'm almost at my time limit, Your Honor. Let 3 3 me just double-check my notes. Actually, one last or has him not in. It would be great to be 4 question. 4 consistent is all. 5 5 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Okay. 6 Q. Could you go to MM-1T, page 77? 6 A. I apologize to the reporter again. I'm going a 7 7 little fast there. 8 8 Q. And this is a figure in which you are contending that MR. HAGLUND: Okay. That concludes my 9 9 the average active pilot did only 116 on-duty jobs cross-examination, Your Honor. 10 and 17 callback jobs in 2021, for a total of 133 10 JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. assignments per year. 11 11 Before we jump into redirect, I -- I am 12 But when one looks at the lower figure where 12 informed that Commissioner Rendahl does need to sign you've got the asterisk, you excluded partial year 13 13 off at 5:40. And she will, of course, review the 14 14 pilots, pilots who were either retired in the middle record, the transcript following this. 15 of the year, were burning comp days, or were 15 So is everyone fine to continue for the moment 16 medically unfit for duty. And if those are taken 16 with the redirect? 17 into account, as PSP does in its statistics, the 52 17 MR. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor. MS. DeLAPPE: Certainly. The only question 18 that's on that -- in that column for number of pilots 18 19 19 just below the 55 should actually be 48.14. And the I have is if Your Honor would like to have

Commissioner Rendahl ask any questions she might have

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I appreciate that. I

JUDGE HOWARD: That is -- that is a fair

do not have questions. It's been a very complete

of Captain Moore while she's here.

20

21

22

23

24

25

point.

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

on-duty jobs then goes to 125.91, not the 116 that

And one can look at IC-13, the exhibit we were

just looking at previously, to document what the full

year really looked like if you properly accounted for

you contend occurred in 2021.

the partial-year pilots in those different

testimory, THE WITNESS: I appreciate that. MS. Del.APPE: Thank you. I'll proceed, than, with Your Honor's permission. I have just a few— JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead. Know we all want to wrap up. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. Del.APPE: I think I can be bired since I know we all want to wrap up. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. Del.APPE: Chaptain Moore, on the figure that you were just talking about, Figure I, just wanted to confirm, you did not exclude all part-time pilots, just pilots with zero job and using comp days? A res. Exactly what the saterisk says. C, Great. If we can turn, then, to your testimony at page 90. And you remember that the region assays asking you about a series of bullet points that were comparability factors that were concerns? A res. I remember that. C, Q. Who was — who originated — what was the source for — in your testimony, for that list? A rese scane from UTC Staff, last time. C, O. Know as — who originated — what was the source for — in your testimony, for that list? A rese came from UTC Staff, last time. C, Q. Who was — who originated — what was the source for — in your testimony, for that list? A rese came from UTC Staff, last time. C, Q. Who was — who originated — what was the source for — in your testimony, for that list? A rese came from UTC Staff, last time. C, Q. What has a cultive requires kind of the data, from any piace. A rese came from UTC Staff, last time. C, O. Knak, you. And you were also asked whether there were data standards? A rese came from UTC Staff, last time. C, A residency of the puget Soundflive of the data in from any piace. C, Thank you. And is there — you were asked about Exhibit mMH-102X, which was the New York Times article about the US. Coast Guard that could meet those data standards? A resecue of the count of the data in from any piace. C, A resecue of the count of the data in from any piace. C, A resecue of the count of the data in from any piace. C, A resecue of the count of the data in the puge Soundflive of the count of the data in the puge Soundflive		Page 659		Page 661
THE WITNESS: I appreciate that. MS. DeLAPPE: Thanky you. If proceed, then, with Your Honor's permission. I have just a few— JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead. MS. DeLAPPE: I think I can be brief since I know we all want to wrap up. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: I think I can be brief since I know we all want to wrap up. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: I think I can be brief since I congrating about, Figure that you were just tailing about, Figure I, just wanted to confirm, you did not exclude all part-time pilots, just pilots tailing about, Figure I, just wanted to confirm, you add not exclude all part-time pilots, just pilots A Yes. Exactly what the asterisk says. C. Great. If we can turn, then, to your testimony at page 190. And you remember that Mr. Haglund was asking you about a series of builet points that were concerns? A Yes. I remember that. C. Q. What was — who originated — what was the source for — in your testimony, for that list? C. Q. Who was — who originated — what was the source for — in your testimony, for that list? C. Q. Okay. Thank you. And is there — you were asked whether there were Page 660 any — if there's any way that we can get all of the data, from any place. Is there a pilotage ground meets those standards? A Those comparability data, all of that data, from any place. Is there a pilotage ground that could meet those data standards? A Those comparability data, all of that data, from any place. Is there a pilotage ground meets those standards? A Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit MM-102X, which was the New York Times article about the U.S. Coast Guard with their treacherous classroom in Washington. Is that are a – does it present conditions like has the present conditions like was present conditions. And it can — it can lead to what they ware years are close together and its very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vased to wash they proceed to compare the process of the	1	testimony.	1	particularly in those kind of conditions.
4 then, with Your Honor's permission. I have just a few — UJDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead. 5 MS. DeLAPPE: I think I can be brief since I know we all want to wrap up. 6 MS. DeLAPPE: I think I can be brief since I know we all want to wrap up. 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: I think I can be brief since I know we all want to wrap up. 8 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: I think I can be brief since I know we all want to wrap up. 9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: I talking about, Figure I, just wanted to confirm, you did not exclude all part-time pilots, just pilots with zero job and using comp days? 15 A. Yas. Exactly what the asterisk says. 16 Q. Graet, if we can turn, then, to your testimony at page 190. And you remember that Mr. Haglund was astries of buildre pilots that were comparability factors that were concerns? 19 A. Yes. I remember that. 21 Q. Who was — who originated — what was the source for in your testimony, for that list? 22 A. Those came from UTC Staff, last time. 23 A. That's a videa day ou about a series of buildre pilots that were comparability factors that were concerns? 24 A. That's maked you as series of uplet sound flive the videa of the can be desired outcome in washing our about a series of buildre pilots that were comparability factors that were concerns? 24 A. That's maked you as series of videa for the conditions and the conditions about estimony that those measures have improved officiency of the puge Sound Pilots or that they could improve fidicioncy? Logically, they would. But the whole piloture requires taking a look at a time frame and what the actual results are. So taked whether there were standards? A. That's my indested you are series of Dullet permitted to confirm, were good more and the conditions and the conditions and the conditions and the conditions are desired outcome in Washington. Page 660 Page 661 And I the Puge Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards? A. That's my indested you are and what the series of the conditions and the conditions and the co			1	
then, with Your Honor's permission. I have just a few — few — More and the provided of the great below — More and the provided of the great belossing size have is that Puget Sound is wide. deep., and fairly well protected. And that's a very good thing. We ought to have pilotage and great VTS and groat Coast Guard to keep it sale. Q. Captain Moore, on the figure that you were just talking about, Figure I, just warned to confirm, you did not exclude all part-time pilots, just pilots with zero job and using comp days? A. Yes. Exactly what the asterisk says. Q. Oreat. If we can turn, then, to your testimony at page 190. And you remember that Mr. Hagland was asking you about a series of bullet points that were concerns? A. Yes. I remember that. Q. Oreat. If we can turn, then, to your testimony at page 190. And you remember that Mr. Hagland was asking you about a series of bullet points that were concerns? A. Yes. I remember that. Q. Oreat. If we can turn, then, to your testimony at page 190. And you remember that Mr. Hagland was asking you about a series of bullet points with at were concerns? A. Yes. I remember that. Q. Oreat. If we can turn, then, to your testimony at page 190. And you remember that Wr. Hagland was asking you about a series of puestions about difficiency ground that they could all they series of the puget Sound Pilots or that they could in move of flicinery grains. Individually, as Mr. Hagland asked me the questions, would each of these individual things improve efficiency? Logically, they would. But the whole picture requires taking a look at time frame and what the actual results are. So the desirioun years whether there were wree that they are pushing the puget Sound? A. Those comparability data, all of that data, from any place. Is there a pilotage ground that could meet those data standards? A. Those comparability data, all of that data, from any place. Is there a pilotage ground the could meet those data standards? A. Those and formed the puget Sound Pilots could result in a	3		3	
5 JUGGE HOWARD: Please go ahead. 6 1 NS. DeLAPPE: I think I can be brief since I 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4		4	
Suppose the continuence of severy treacherous and its and the picture. Its area and sairly well protected. And that's a very good thing. We ought to have plage and great VTS and great Coast Guard to keep it safe.	5		5	
## NS. DeLAPPE: I think I can be brief since I 8 know we all want to wrap up. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 8 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	6	JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead.	6	
REDIRECT EXAMINATION REDIRECT EXAMINATION 9 Q. Om: Hagturd asked you a series of questions about efficiency measures. 10 11 12 13 14 15 14 15 15 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 19 18 18	7		7	
BY MS. DeLAPPE: 10 Q. Captain Moore, on the figure that you were just talking about, Figure I, just wanted to confirm, you did not exclude all part-time pilots, just pilots with zero job and using comp days? 15 A. Yes. Exactly what the asterisk says. 16 Q. Great. If we can turn, then, to your testimony at page 190. And you remember that Mr. Haglund was asking you about a series of bullet points that were comparability factors that were concerns? 18 Q. Who was – who originated – what was the source for – in your testimony, for that list? 20 A. Those came from UTC Staff, last time. 21 Q. Okay. Thank you. 22 And is there – you were asked whether there were 23 And is there – you were asked whether there were 24 any – if there's any way that we can get all of those comparability data, all of that data, from any place. 25 Is the a pilotage ground that could meet those data standards? 26 A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards. 27 And you were also asked about Exhibit Mashington. 28 Q. Chay think was the New York Times article about the U.S. Coast Guard with their treacherous classroom in washington. 29 Is that area – does it present conditions like 20 Q. And what do you mean by that? 21 A. No, it does not. 22 Let Mashington. And does not. 23 And what do you mean by that? 24 A. No, it does not. 25 And what do you mean by that? 26 A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can – it can lead to what they runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can – it can lead to what they cell steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's very very treacherous and it's – that picture. It's eye treacherous and i	8	know we all want to wrap up.	8	great Coast Guard to keep it safe.
1.1 Q. Captain Moore, on the figure that you were just talking about, Figure I, just wanted to confirm, you did not exclude all part-time pilots, just pilots with zero job and using comp days? 1.4 A. Fee, Exactly what the asterisk says. 1.5 A. Yes. Exactly what the asterisk says. 1.6 Q. Great. If we can turn, then, to your testimony at page 190. And you remember that Mr. Haglund was asking you about a series of bullet points that were comparability factors that were concerns? 1.9 A. Yes. I remember that. 1.0 Q. Who was – who originated – what was the source for in your testimony, for that list? 1.0 Q. Who was – who originated – what was the source for in your testimony, for that list? 1.0 Q. Okay. Thank you. 1.1 A. Those came from UTC Staff, last time. 1.2 A. Those came from UTC Staff, last time. 1.3 A. Thask you were asked whether there were serious in suggesting that a vote by the pilots could result in a change in the retirement plan by vote? 1.1 In the Puget Sound? 1.2 In the real pilotage ground meets those data standards? 2.3 A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those data standards? 2.4 A. The Puget Sound? 2.5 Is that area – does it present conditions like puget Sound? 2.6 A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can – it can lead to what the caster swell as the save as a close together and it's even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that a picture. It's very treacherous and it's – transfer pilotage of ifficiency gains. Individually, as Mr. Haglund asked me the questions, would each of those individual things improve efficiency? Logically, they would. But the whole picture requires taking a look at a time frame and what the actual results as So the desired outcome is to have more efficient pilotage with pilots doing assignments as much as possible on-watch, safely, while rested. And that's the thing we're pushing in the vertice of the use actual results as on the efficiency gains. Individually, as	9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	9	Q. Mr. Haglund asked you a series of questions about
talking about, Figure I, just wanted to confirm, you did not exclude all part-time pilots, just pilots with zero job and using comp days? A. Yes. Exactly what the asterisk says. G. Great. If we can turn, then, to your testimony at page 190. And you remember that Mr. Haglund was asking you about a series of builet points that were concerns? A. Yes. I remember that. Q. Who was — who originated — what was the source for — in your testimony, for that list? A. Those came from UTC Staff, last time. A. Those came from UTC Staff, last time. A. Those came from UTC Staff, last time. And is there—you were asked whether there were Page 660 any—if there's any way that we can get all of those comparability data, all of that data, from any place. Is there a pilotage ground that could meet those data standards? A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards. Q. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit MM-102X, which was the New York Times article about the U.S. Coast Guard with their treacherous classroom in Washington. A. No, it does not. A. No,	10	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	10	efficiency measures.
did not exclude all part-time pilots, just pilots with zero job and using comp days? A Yes. Exactly what the asterisk says. G Great. If we can turn, then, to your testimony at page 190. And you remember that Mr. Haglund was asking you about a series of bullet points that were comparability factors that were concerns? A Yes. I remember that. Q. Who was – who originated – what was the source for – in your testimony, for that list? A. Those came from UTC Staff, last time. Q. Okay. Thank you. And is there – you were asked whether there were Page 660 any – if there's any way that we can get all of those comparability data, all of that data, from any place. Is there a pilotage ground that could meet those data standards? A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards. Q. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit MM-102X, which was the New York Times article about the U.S. Coast Guard with their treacherous classroon in in Washington. Is that area – does it present conditions like Plaget Sound? A. No, it does not. Q. And what do you mean by that? A. No, it does not. Q. And what do you mean by that? A. No, it does not. Q. And what do you mean by that? A. No, it does not. A. No w	11	Q. Captain Moore, on the figure that you were just	11	Do you remember that?
14 testimony that those measures have improved 15 A. Yes. Exactly what the asterisk says. 15 G. Great. If we can turn, then, to your testimony at page 190. And you remember that Mr. Haglund was 18 asking you about a series of bullet points that were comparability factors that were concerns? 19 comparability factors that were concerns? 19 would each of those individual things improve 20 A. Yhose came from UTC Staff, last time. 21 A. Those came from UTC Staff, last time. 22 A. Those came from UTC Staff, last time. 23 asking you about a series of bullet points that were comparability factors that were concerns? 19 would each of those individual things improve 21 efficiency? Logically, they would. But the whole picture requires taking a look at a time frame and what the actual results are. So the desired outcome 24 assignments as much as possible on-watch, safely, while rested. And that's the thing we're pushing 25 while rested. And that's the thing we're pushing 26 assignments as much as possible on-watch, safely, while rested. And that's the thing we're pushing 26 assignments as much as possible on-watch, safely, while rested. And that's the thing we're pushing 26 assignments as much as possible on-watch, safely, while rested. And that's the thing we're pushing 27 assignments as much as possible on-watch, safely, while rested. And that's the thing we're pushing 28 assignments as much as possible on-watch, safely, while rested. And that's the thing we're pushing 28 assignments as much as possible on-watch, safely, while rested. And that's the thing we're pushing 29 assignments as much as possible on-watch, safely, while rested. And that's the thing we're pushing 29 assignments as much as possible on-watch is a standards? 20 and the safely whether you were serious in suggesting that a vote by the pilots could result in a change in the retirement plan at PSP. 20 and the part of the pilotage group basides Puget Sound? 20	12	talking about, Figure I, just wanted to confirm, you	12	A. I do.
A Yes. Exactly what the asterisk says. G. Great. If we can turn, then, to your testimony at page 190. And you remember that Mr. Haglund was asking you about a series of bullet points that were comparability factors that were concerns? A Yes. I remember that. Q. Who was - who originated what was the source for - in your testimony, for that list? A. Those came from UTC Staff, last time. Q. Okay. Thank you. And is there - you were asked whether there were Page 660 page 660 page 661 any - if there's any way that we can get all of those comparability data, all of that data, from any place. Is there a pilotage ground that could meet those dat standards? A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards. Q. Thank you. And you were also asked about the U.S. Coast Guard with their treacherous classroom in Washington. Is that area - does it present conditions like with the U.S. Coast Guard with their treacherous classroom in washington. Is that area - does it present conditions like with conditional different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can - it can lead to what they can get all of the conditions like they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that fucture. It's very treacherous and it's why it gets labeled a number of different is a full of the fast why it gets labeled a number of different is a full of the fast why it gets labeled a number of different is a full of the fast why it gets labeled a number of different is a full of the fast why it gets labeled a number of different is a full of the fast why it gets labeled a number of different is a full of the fast why it gets labeled a number of different is a full of the fast why it gets labeled a number of different is a full of the fast why it gets labeled a number of different is a full of the fast why it gets labeled a number of different is a full of the fast why it gets labeled a number of different is a full of the fa	13	did not exclude all part-time pilots, just pilots	13	Q. And I just wanted to clarify, were you was it your
C. Great. If we can turn, then, to your testimony at page 190. And you remember that Mr. Haglund was asking you about a series of bullet points that were comparability factors that were concerns? A. Yes. I remember that. C. Who was — who originated — what was the source for — in your testimony, for that list? A. Those came from UTC Staff, last time. And is there — you were asked whether there were. Page 660 Page 662 Page 662 Page 662 Page 662 A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground that could meet those data standards? A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards. C. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit may be standards. C. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit may be standards. C. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit may be standards. C. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit may be standards. C. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit may be standards. C. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit may be standards. C. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit may be standards. C. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit may be standards. C. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit may be standards. C. Thank you are also asked about Exhibit may be standards. C. Thank you are also asked about Exhibit may be standards. C. And what do you mean by that? A. No, it does not. C. And what do you mean by that? A. No, it does not. C. And what do you mean by that? A. No, well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that jecture. It's very treacherous and it's — it hat's why it gets labeled a number of different in that jecture. It's ver	14	with zero job and using comp days?	14	testimony that those measures have improved
page 190. And you remember that Mr. Haglund was asking you about a series of bullet points that were comparability factors that were concerns? A. Yes. I remember that. Q. Who was - who originated what was the source for in your testimony, for that list? A. Those came from UTC Staff, last time. Q. Okay. Thank you. And is there you were asked whether there were Page 660 any if there's any way that we can get all of those comparability data, all of that data, from any place. Is there a pilotage ground that could meet those data standards? A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards? Q. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit MM-102X, which was the New York Times article about the U.S. Coast Guard with their treacherous classroom in Washington. Is that area does it present conditions like Puget Sound? A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and whose Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that picture. It's very treacherous and it's that's why it gets labeled a number of different to that whose of that's why it gets labeled a number of different to that whose of that's why it gets labeled a number of different to that whose of that's why it gets labeled a number of different to that what whose of coast for coast of captain Moore. I	15	A. Yes. Exactly what the asterisk says.	15	efficiency of the Puget Sound Pilots or that they
asking you about a series of bullet points that were comparability factors that were concerns? A. Yes. I remember that. Q. Who was who originated what was the source for in your testimony, for that list? A. Those came from UTC Staff, last time. Q. Okay. Thank you. Page 660 any if there's any way that we can get all of those comparability data, all of that data, from any place. Is there a pilotage ground that could meet those data standards? A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards? A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those is that you. And you were also asked about Exhibit MM-102X, which was the New York Times article about the U.S. Coast Guard with their treacherous classroom in Washington. Is that are a does it present conditions like Puget Sound? A. No, it does not. Q. Ad what do you mean by that? A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different third of wind and wind the was Cap and the was sea close together and it's were that's why it gets labeled a number of different In that picture. It's very treacherous and it's what is why it gets labeled a number of different In that yield and have encorents? Individually, as Mr. Haglund asked me the questions, would each of those individual things improve efficiency! Logically, they would. But the whole picture requires taking a look at a time frame and what the actual results are. So the desired outcome is to have what the actual results are. So the desired outcome is to have what the actual results are. So the desired outcome is to have what the actual results are. So the desired outcome is to have what the actual results are. So the desired outcome is to have what the actual results are. So the desired outcome is to have the dust of the dust of hair to have the picture requires taking a look at a time frame and what the actual results are. So the desired outcome is to have the picture requires taking a look at a time frame and what the actual results are. So the des	16		16	could improve?
comparability factors that were concerns? A. Yes. I remember that. Q. Who was who originated what was the source for in your testimony, for that list? A. Those came from UTC Staff, last time. Q. Okay. Thank you. And is there you were asked whether there were Page 660 any if there's any way that we can get all of those comparability data, all of that data, from any place. Is there a pilotage ground that could meet those data standards? A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards. Q. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit MM-102X, which was the New York Times article about the U.S. Coast Guard with their treacherous classroom in Washington. Is that area does it present conditions like Puget Sound? A. No, it does not. A. No, it does not	17	page 190. And you remember that Mr. Haglund was	17	A. In total, we're not seeing efficiency gains.
A. Yes, I remember that. Q. Who was — who originated — what was the source for — in your testimony, for that list? A. Those came from UTC Staff, last time. Q. Okay. Thank you. And is there — you were asked whether there were Page 660 Page 660 Page 660 any — if there's any way that we can get all of those comparability data, all of that data, from any place. Is there a pilotage ground that could meet those data standards? A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards. Q. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit MM-102X, which was the New York Times article about the U.S. Coast Guard with their treacherous classroom in Washington. Is that are — does it present conditions like Puget Sound? A. No, it does not. Q. And what do you mean by that? A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different with data work and the waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous for say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that picture. It's very treacherous and it's — that's why it gets labeled a number of different A. Well, it's exported that who was the source of issues. You have river they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's — that's why it gets labeled a number of different A. Well, it's exported that the whole what the whole what they whole what they whole what they whole what they whole what the whole what the whole what the whole what the whole what they call fails a confluence of issues. You have river trunoff meeting swells and different wind of wind and wind conditions. And it can — it can lead to what they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's — wery teacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that picture. It's very treacherous and it's — it hat's why it gets labeled a number of different wind so indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moor	18		18	Individually, as Mr. Haglund asked me the questions,
Q. Who was — who originated — what was the source for — in your testimony, for that list? A. Those came from UTC Staff, last time. Q. Okay. Thank you. And is there — you were asked whether there were Page 660 page 660 page 660 any — if there's any way that we can get all of those comparability data, all of that data, from any place. Is there a pilotage ground that could meet those data standards? A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards. A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards. A. The Puget Sound with their treacherous classroom in Washington. Is that area — does it present conditions like Puget Sound? A. No, it does not. A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can — it can lead to what they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous and it's — very, very treacherous and it's — very the subted and under the follows that's why it gets labeled a number of different to that swhy it gets labeled a number of different to that why it gets labeled a number of different to the follows the subte of the subte of coss for Captain Moore, I and calcular the actual results are. So the desired outcome is to have more efficient pilotage with pilots doing assignments as much as possible on-watch, safely, while rested. And that's the thing we're pushing Page 660 what the actual rescular eactual restance assignments as much as possible on-watch, safely, while rested. And that's the thing we're pushing Page 662 with the BPC to try to get at that. A. And just one last question then. You were also asked whether you were serious in suggesting that a vote by the pilots could result in a change in the retirement plan at PSP. A. That's my understanding is it's — Q. Wait for my question. A. Captain Moore, are there any examples that you know of any pilotage groups making a chained in the irretirement plan? A. You mean another pilotage	19		19	would each of those individual things improve
for — in your testimony, for that list? A. Those came from UTC Staff, last time. Q. Okay. Thank you. And is there — you were asked whether there were Page 660 page 660 page 660 any — if there's any way that we can get all of those comparability data, all of that data, from any place. Is there a pilotage ground that could meet those data standards? A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards. Q. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit MM-102X, which was the New York Times article about the U.S. Coast Guard with their treacherous classroom in Washington. Is that area — does it present conditions like Page 660 A. No, it does not. Q. And what do you mean by that? A. No, it does not. Q. And what do you mean by that? A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can — it can lead to what they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous of its — even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that picture. It's very treacherous and it's — even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that swhy it gets labeled a number of different in dictage in the citizent of cross for Captain Moore. I	20			
A. Those came from UTC Staff, last time. Q. Okay. Thank you. And is there you were asked whether there were Page 660 page 662 pa	21	<u>=</u>		•
24 assignments as much as possible on-watch, safely, while rested. And that's the thing we're pushing Page 660 page 662 with the BPC to try to get at that. Q. And just one last question then. You were also asked whether you were serious in suggesting that a vote by the pilots could result in a change in the retirement plan at PSP. A. That's my understanding is it's Q. Wait for my question. A. Okay. I'm waiting. Q. Captain Moore, are there any examples that you know of any pilotage groups making a change like that to their retirement plan by vote? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. I'm not aware of that. Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan? A. I really don't know what they I'm not aware of it. Q. Okay. A. I cally don't know. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further questions. A. I puget Sound? A. I really don't know. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further questions. A. I pugetons. A. I pugetons as much as possible on-watch, safely, which was the pushing. A. I pugetons as much as goustion ther. A. With the BPC to try to get at that. A. A. A. I pugetons as the peworth plan by one also asked whether you were serious in suggesting that a vote by the pilots could result in a change in the retirement plan at PSP. A. That's my understanding is it's A. Vowall for my question. A. Okay. I'm waiting. A. I'm not aware of that. A. I'm not aware of that. A. I'm not aware				
Page 660 Page 660 Page 662 any if there's any way that we can get all of those comparability data, all of that data, from any place. Is there a pilotage ground that could meet those data standards? A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards. C. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit MM-102X, which was the New York Times article about the U.S. Coast Guard with their treacherous classroom in Washington. Is that area does it present conditions like Page 662 with the BPC to try to get at that. Q. And just one last question then. You were also asked whether you were serious in suggesting that a vote by the pilots could result in a change in the retirement plan at PSP. A. That's my understanding is it's Q. Wait for my question. A. Okay. I'm waiting. Q. Captain Moore, are there any examples that you know of any pilotage groups making a change like that to their retirement plan by vote? A. I'm not aware of that. Q. Are you aware at all of any vote like that by the Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan? A. I'really don't know what they I'm not aware of it. Q. Okay. A. I don't know. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I				
Page 660 any if there's any way that we can get all of those comparability data, all of that data, from any place. Is there a pilotage ground that could meet those data standards? A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards. Q. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit MM-102X, which was the New York Times article about in Washington. Is that area does it present conditions like Puget Sound? A. No, it does not. Q. And what do you mean by that? A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can it can lead to what they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that picture. It's very treacherous and it's that is why it gets labeled a number of different in the can be taken and the waves are close together and it's that is why it gets labeled a number of different in the can be at all of any by ote. In the pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. I really don't know what they I'm not aware of it. With the BPC to try to get at that. Q. And just one last question then. You were also asked whether you were serious in suggesting that a vote by the pilots could result in a change in the retirement plan at PSP. A. That's my understanding is it's Q. Wait for my question. A. Okay. I'm waiting. Q. Wait for my question. A. Okay. I'm waiting. Q. Wait for my question. A. Okay. I'm waiting. Q. Captain Moore, are there any examples that you know of any pilotage groups making a change like that to their retirement plan by vote? A. I'm not aware of that. Q. Are you aware at all of any vote like that by the columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan? A. I really don't know what they I'm not aware of it. M. I'm can all the waves are close together and it's Q. Okay. A. I don't know. M. Joel APPE: Thank you. No further que			1	
any — if there's any way that we can get all of those comparability data, all of that data, from any place. Is there a pilotage ground that could meet those data standards? A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards. Q. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit MM-102X, which was the New York Times article about in Washington. Is that area — does it present conditions like Puget Sound? A. No, it does not. Q. And what do you mean by that? A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can — it can lead to what they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that's why it gets labeled a number of different A. Well, it's very treacherous and it's — 24 whether you were serious in suggesting that a vote by the pilots could result in a change in the retirement plan at PSP. A. That's my understanding is it's — Q. Wait for my question. A. Chay. I'm waiting. Q. Captain Moore, are there any examples that you know of any pilotage groups making a change like that to their retirement plan by vote? A. I'm not aware of that. Q. Are you aware at all of any vote like that by the Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan? A. I really don't know what they — I'm not aware of it. Q. Okay. A. I don't know. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I	25	And is there you were asked whether there were	25	while rested. And that's the thing we're pushing
those comparability data, all of that data, from any place. Is there a pilotage ground that could meet those data standards? A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards. Q. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit MM-102X, which was the New York Times article about in Washington. Is that area – does it present conditions like Puget Sound? A. No, it does not. Q. And what do you mean by that? A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can it can lead to what they call steep waves. So you have a very deep to they call steep waves. So you have a very deep to they call steep waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous and it's that's my understanding is it's Q. Wait for my question. A. Okay. I'm waiting. Q. Captain Moore, are there any examples that you know of any pilotage groups making a change like that to their retirement plan by vote? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. I'm not aware of that. Q. Are you aware at all of any vote like that by the Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan? A. I really don't know what they I'm not aware of it. Q. Okay. A. I don't know. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I		Page 660		Page 662
Starter a pilotage ground that could meet those data standards? 5	1		1	
the pilots could result in a change in the retirement plan at PSP. A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards. Q. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit MM-102X, which was the New York Times article about the U.S. Coast Guard with their treacherous classroom in Washington. Is that area – does it present conditions like Puget Sound? A. No, it does not. Q. And what do you mean by that? A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can it can lead to what they call steep waves. So you have a very deep to very, very treacherous and it's even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in the pilots could result in a change in the retirement plan at PSP. A. That's my understanding is it's Q. Wait for my question. A. Okay. I'm waiting. Q. Captain Moore, are there any examples that you know of any pilotage groups making a change like that to their retirement plan by vote? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. I'm not aware of that. Q. Are you aware at all of any vote like that by the Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan? A. I really don't know what they I'm not aware of it. A. I don't know. A. I don't know. A. I don't know. A. I don't know. A. I don't know. No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I	2	those comparability data, all of that data, from any	2	
data standards? A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards. A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards. A. That's my understanding is it's Q. Wait for my question. A. Okay. I'm waiting. A. Okay. I'm waiting. A. Okay. I'm waiting. C. Captain Moore, are there any examples that you know of any pilotage groups making a change like that to their retirement plan by vote? Is that area does it present conditions like Puget Sound? A. No, it does not. Q. And what do you mean by that? A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can it can lead to what they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that's why it gets labeled a number of different blant PSP. A. That's my understanding is it's Q. Wait for my question. A. Okay. I'm waiting. A. Ocaptain Moore, are there any examples that you know of any pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. I'm not aware at all of any vote like that by the Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan? A. I really don't know what they I'm not aware of it. Q. Okay. M. I don't know. M. I don't kno	3	•	3	
A. The Puget Sound Pilotage ground meets those standards. Q. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit MM-102X, which was the New York Times article about the U.S. Coast Guard with their treacherous classroom in Washington. Is that area – does it present conditions like Puget Sound? A. No, it does not. Q. And what do you mean by that? A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can — it can lead to what they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that picture. It's very treacherous and it's — 24 A. That's my understanding is it's — Q. Wait for my question. A. Chay. I'm waiting. Q. Captain Moore, are there any examples that you know of any pilotage groups making a change like that to their retirement plan by vote? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. I'm not aware of that. C. Are you aware at all of any vote like that by the Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan? A. I really don't know what they — I'm not aware of it. A. I don't know. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I	4			
standards. Q. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit MM-102X, which was the New York Times article about the U.S. Coast Guard with their treacherous classroom in Washington. Is that area – does it present conditions like Puget Sound? A. No, it does not. Q. And what do you mean by that? A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can it can lead to what they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous classroom 7 Q. Wait for my question. A. Okay. I'm waiting. Q. Captain Moore, are there any examples that you know of any pilotage groups making a change like that to their retirement plan by vote? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? Q. Correct. A. I'm not aware of that. Q. Are you aware at all of any vote like that by the Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan? A. I really don't know what they I'm not aware of it. Yery, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that picture. It's very treacherous and it's 24 that's why it gets labeled a number of different A. Okay. I'm waiting. A. Okay. I'm waiting. A. Okay. A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. I'm not aware of that. Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan? A. I really don't know what they I'm not aware of it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I	5			•
8 Q. Thank you. And you were also asked about Exhibit 9 MM-102X, which was the New York Times article about 10 the U.S. Coast Guard with their treacherous classroom 11 in Washington. 12 Is that area – does it present conditions like 13 Puget Sound? 14 A. No, it does not. 15 Q. And what do you mean by that? 16 A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river 17 runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and 18 wind conditions. And it can it can lead to what 19 trough and the waves are close together and it's 20 Very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or 21 even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in 22 that's why it gets labeled a number of different 24 A. Okay. I'm waiting. 9 Q. Captain Moore, are there any examples that you know of any pilotage groups making a change like that to their retirement plan by vote? 10 A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? 12 A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? 13 Q. Correct. 14 A. I'm not aware of that. 15 Q. Are you aware at all of any vote like that by the 16 Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan? 18 A. Okay. I'm waiting. 9 Q. Captain Moore, are there any examples that you know of any pilotage groups making a change like that to their retirement plan by vote? 12 A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? 6 A. I'm not aware of that. 15 Q. Are you aware at all of any vote like that by the 16 Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan? 18 A. I really don't know what they I'm not aware of it. 19 Q. Okay. 19 Q. Okay. 19 A. I don't know. 10 Q. Okay. 11 A. I'm not aware of that. 20 Q. Okay. 21 Very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that picture. It's very treacherous and it's 22 UDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I				•
9 MM-102X, which was the New York Times article about the U.S. Coast Guard with their treacherous classroom in Washington. 12 Is that area – does it present conditions like 13 Puget Sound? 14 A. No, it does not. 15 Q. And what do you mean by that? 16 A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can it can lead to what they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that's why it gets labeled a number of different wind conditions. In that picture. It's very treacherous and it's that's why it gets labeled a number of different wind conditions. In that picture. It's very treacherous and it's that's why it gets labeled a number of different wind conditions. In that picture. It's very treacherous and it's that's why it gets labeled a number of different wind conditions are there any examples that you know of any pilotage groups making a change like that to their retirement plan by vote? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. I'm not aware of that. Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan? A. I really don't know what they I'm not aware of it. Q. Okay. A. I don't know. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I				* *
the U.S. Coast Guard with their treacherous classroom in Washington. Is that area does it present conditions like Puget Sound? A. No, it does not. Q. And what do you mean by that? A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can it can lead to what they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that is why it gets labeled a number of different wind conditions. In that picture. It's very treacherous and it's that is why it gets labeled a number of different wind conditions. In that picture. It's very treacherous and it's that is why it gets labeled a number of different wind conditions. In that picture. It's very treacherous and it's that is why it gets labeled a number of different wind conditions in that picture. It's very treacherous of tifferent wind conditions in that picture is the unit of their retirement plan by vote? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. I'm not aware of that. C. Are you aware at all of any vote like that by the Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan? A. I really don't know what they I'm not aware of it. Q. Okay. A. I don't know. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I	8			,
their retirement plan by vote? Is that area does it present conditions like Puget Sound? A. No, it does not. Q. And what do you mean by that? A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can it can lead to what they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that is why it gets labeled a number of different to the conditions. In the plan by vote? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. I'm not aware of that. Q. Are you aware at all of any vote like that by the Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan by vote? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. I'm not aware of that. Q. Are you aware at all of any vote like that by the Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan by vote? A. I'm not aware of that. A. I'm not aware of that. Pught Substitute that by the Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan by vote? A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? A. I'm not aware of that. Q. Are you aware at all of any vote like that by the Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan by the columbia plan substitute plan s				
12 Is that area – does it present conditions like 13 Puget Sound? 14 A. No, it does not. 15 Q. And what do you mean by that? 16 A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river 17 runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and 18 wind conditions. And it can it can lead to what 19 they call steep waves. So you have a very deep 20 trough and the waves are close together and it's 21 very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or 22 even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in 23 that picture. It's very treacherous and it's 24 that's why it gets labeled a number of different 12 A. You mean another pilotage group besides Puget Sound? 13 Q. Correct. 14 A. I'm not aware of that. 15 Q. Are you aware at all of any vote like that by the 16 Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan? 18 A. I really don't know what they I'm not aware of it. 19 Q. Okay. 20 A. I don't know. 21 MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further questions. 22 JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I				
Puget Sound? A. No, it does not. Q. And what do you mean by that? A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can it can lead to what they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that picture. It's very treacherous and it's that's why it gets labeled a number of different that of the conditions. 13 Q. Correct. 14 A. I'm not aware of that. 9 Q. Are you aware at all of any vote like that by the Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan? 18 A. I really don't know what they I'm not aware of it. 19 Q. Okay. A. I don't know. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I		•		
A. No, it does not. Q. And what do you mean by that? A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can it can lead to what they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that picture. It's very treacherous and it's that's why it gets labeled a number of different to the conditions. A. I'm not aware of that. Q. Are you aware at all of any vote like that by the Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan? A. I really don't know what they I'm not aware of it. Q. Okay. A. I don't know. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I				
Q. And what do you mean by that? A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can it can lead to what they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that picture. It's very treacherous and it's that's why it gets labeled a number of different to the confluence of issues. You have river the Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan? A. I really don't know what they I'm not aware of it. Q. Okay. A. I don't know. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I		_		
A. Well, it's a confluence of issues. You have river runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can it can lead to what they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that picture. It's very treacherous and it's that's why it gets labeled a number of different 16 Columbia River Pilots to change their retirement plan? A. I really don't know what they I'm not aware of it. Q. Okay. A. I don't know. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I		•		
runoff meeting swells and different kind of wind and wind conditions. And it can it can lead to what they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that picture. It's very treacherous and it's that's why it gets labeled a number of different plan? A. I really don't know what they I'm not aware of it. Q. Okay. A. I don't know. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I				
wind conditions. And it can it can lead to what they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that picture. It's very treacherous and it's that's why it gets labeled a number of different 18 A. I really don't know what they I'm not aware of it. 9 C. Okay. A. I don't know. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I		•		
they call steep waves. So you have a very deep trough and the waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that picture. It's very treacherous and it's that's why it gets labeled a number of different 19 Q. Okay. A. I don't know. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I		3		•
trough and the waves are close together and it's very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that picture. It's very treacherous and it's that's why it gets labeled a number of different 20 A. I don't know. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I				
very, very treacherous for, say, a fishing vessel or even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that picture. It's very treacherous and it's that's why it gets labeled a number of different MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I				•
even these Coast Guard rescue personnel right here in that picture. It's very treacherous and it's that's why it gets labeled a number of different 22 questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I				
that picture. It's very treacherous and it's that's why it gets labeled a number of different JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff also indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I				
24 that's why it gets labeled a number of different 24 indicated five minutes of cross for Captain Moore. I		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	25	ways. But it's a treacherous, dangerous area.	25	think normally I would suggest let's take a break.

	Page 663		Page 665
1	but if it's five minutes, let's just bite the bullet.	1	Do you remember that?
2	Mr. Callaghan.	2	A. I do remember that.
3	MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. I	3	Q. All right. Did you review the Commission's Order 09
4	promise I will be brief.	4	from the last rate case?
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION	5	A. I most certainly did, yes.
6	BY MR. CALLAGHAN:	6	Q. Okay. In that order, did the Commission require PSP
7	Q. Good evening, Captain Moore.	7	to make a plan to transition to a fully-funded
8	A. Good evening.	8	defined benefit plan?
9	Q. Do you have a copy of your cross answering testimony	9	A. Yes, they did.
10	with you?	10	Q. All right. And in Order 09, didn't the Commission
11	A. I do.	11	agree with Staff's assessment that PSP's
12	Q. Could you turn to page 3?	12	pay-as-you-go plan was fiscally unsound and
13	A. Page 3.	13	vulnerable to changing economic conditions?
14	Q. Starting on page 3, through a series of Q and As, you	14	A. Yes, I definitely recall that.
15	say that PMSA agrees with Staff's position that PSP	15	MR. CALLAGHAN: Okay. No further questions,
16	has not adequately supported its request for an	16	Your Honor.
17	increase in rates; is that right?	17	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Any redirect?
18	A. That's correct.	18	MS. DeLAPPE: No redirect, Your Honor.
19	Q. Now, your cross answering testimony was filed	19	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Any questions
20	simultaneously with PSP's rebuttal testimony; right?	20	from the bench for Captain Moore?
21	A. I think that's I think that's right.	21	CHAIR DANNER: No questions. Thank you.
22	Q. Okay.	22	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No. Thank you, Your
23	A. I believe so.	23	Honor.
24	Q. Okay. So have you had the opportunity to read any of	24	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Well,
25	the rebuttal testimony from PSP?	25	Captain Moore, thank you for your testimony. I
	Page 664		
	rage 004		Page 666
1	A. Yes.	1	appreciate your staying late after the normal
1 2	A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed	2	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible
	A. Yes.	2 3	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner.
2	A. Yes.Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion?A. No, it has not.	2 3 4	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for
2	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't 	2 3 4 5	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm
2 3 4	A. Yes.Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion?A. No, it has not.	2 3 4 5 6	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it.
2 3 4 5	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't 	2 3 4 5 6 7	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Not a problem. I'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't adequately supported its request for an increase in rates; correct? A. That's correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Not a problem. I'll see everyone back online at 9 a.m. tomorrow, and we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't adequately supported its request for an increase in rates; correct? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And later on in your cross answering 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Not a problem. I'll see everyone back online at 9 a.m. tomorrow, and we will finish with the witnesses as we've discussed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't adequately supported its request for an increase in rates; correct? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And later on in your cross answering testimony, you discuss the difficulties that PMSA had 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Not a problem. I'll see everyone back online at 9 a.m. tomorrow, and we will finish with the witnesses as we've discussed. We are off the record. Thanks, everyone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't adequately supported its request for an increase in rates; correct? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And later on in your cross answering testimony, you discuss the difficulties that PMSA had during the discovery process in this case; is that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Not a problem. I'll see everyone back online at 9 a.m. tomorrow, and we will finish with the witnesses as we've discussed. We are off the record. Thanks, everyone. (The hearing concluded at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't adequately supported its request for an increase in rates; correct? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And later on in your cross answering testimony, you discuss the difficulties that PMSA had during the discovery process in this case; is that right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Not a problem. I'll see everyone back online at 9 a.m. tomorrow, and we will finish with the witnesses as we've discussed. We are off the record. Thanks, everyone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't adequately supported its request for an increase in rates; correct? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And later on in your cross answering testimony, you discuss the difficulties that PMSA had during the discovery process in this case; is that right? A. Yes. We did mention that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Not a problem. I'll see everyone back online at 9 a.m. tomorrow, and we will finish with the witnesses as we've discussed. We are off the record. Thanks, everyone. (The hearing concluded at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't adequately supported its request for an increase in rates; correct? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And later on in your cross answering testimony, you discuss the difficulties that PMSA had during the discovery process in this case; is that right? A. Yes. We did mention that. Q. All right. And is it fair to say that that was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Not a problem. I'll see everyone back online at 9 a.m. tomorrow, and we will finish with the witnesses as we've discussed. We are off the record. Thanks, everyone. (The hearing concluded at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't adequately supported its request for an increase in rates; correct? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And later on in your cross answering testimony, you discuss the difficulties that PMSA had during the discovery process in this case; is that right? A. Yes. We did mention that. Q. All right. And is it fair to say that that was consistent with Staff's testimony on the same issue? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Not a problem. I'll see everyone back online at 9 a.m. tomorrow, and we will finish with the witnesses as we've discussed. We are off the record. Thanks, everyone. (The hearing concluded at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't adequately supported its request for an increase in rates; correct? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And later on in your cross answering testimony, you discuss the difficulties that PMSA had during the discovery process in this case; is that right? A. Yes. We did mention that. Q. All right. And is it fair to say that that was consistent with Staff's testimony on the same issue? A. Yes. Yes, that is consistent. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Not a problem. I'll see everyone back online at 9 a.m. tomorrow, and we will finish with the witnesses as we've discussed. We are off the record. Thanks, everyone. (The hearing concluded at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't adequately supported its request for an increase in rates; correct? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And later on in your cross answering testimony, you discuss the difficulties that PMSA had during the discovery process in this case; is that right? A. Yes. We did mention that. Q. All right. And is it fair to say that that was consistent with Staff's testimony on the same issue? A. Yes. Yes, that is consistent. Q. All right. Is it fair to say that is it your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Not a problem. I'll see everyone back online at 9 a.m. tomorrow, and we will finish with the witnesses as we've discussed. We are off the record. Thanks, everyone. (The hearing concluded at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't adequately supported its request for an increase in rates; correct? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And later on in your cross answering testimony, you discuss the difficulties that PMSA had during the discovery process in this case; is that right? A. Yes. We did mention that. Q. All right. And is it fair to say that that was consistent with Staff's testimony on the same issue? A. Yes. Yes, that is consistent. Q. All right. Is it fair to say that is it your opinion that the lack of evidence from PSP was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Not a problem. I'll see everyone back online at 9 a.m. tomorrow, and we will finish with the witnesses as we've discussed. We are off the record. Thanks, everyone. (The hearing concluded at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't adequately supported its request for an increase in rates; correct? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And later on in your cross answering testimony, you discuss the difficulties that PMSA had during the discovery process in this case; is that right? A. Yes. We did mention that. Q. All right. And is it fair to say that that was consistent with Staff's testimony on the same issue? A. Yes. Yes, that is consistent. Q. All right. Is it fair to say that is it your opinion that the lack of evidence from PSP was exacerbated by the fact that they did not fully 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Not a problem. I'll see everyone back online at 9 a.m. tomorrow, and we will finish with the witnesses as we've discussed. We are off the record. Thanks, everyone. (The hearing concluded at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't adequately supported its request for an increase in rates; correct? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And later on in your cross answering testimony, you discuss the difficulties that PMSA had during the discovery process in this case; is that right? A. Yes. We did mention that. Q. All right. And is it fair to say that that was consistent with Staff's testimony on the same issue? A. Yes. Yes, that is consistent. Q. All right. Is it fair to say that is it your opinion that the lack of evidence from PSP was exacerbated by the fact that they did not fully answer data requests from PMSA and Staff? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Not a problem. I'll see everyone back online at 9 a.m. tomorrow, and we will finish with the witnesses as we've discussed. We are off the record. Thanks, everyone. (The hearing concluded at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't adequately supported its request for an increase in rates; correct? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And later on in your cross answering testimony, you discuss the difficulties that PMSA had during the discovery process in this case; is that right? A. Yes. We did mention that. Q. All right. And is it fair to say that that was consistent with Staff's testimony on the same issue? A. Yes. Yes, that is consistent. Q. All right. Is it fair to say that is it your opinion that the lack of evidence from PSP was exacerbated by the fact that they did not fully answer data requests from PMSA and Staff? A. I think that does lead to a less than robust record. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Not a problem. I'll see everyone back online at 9 a.m. tomorrow, and we will finish with the witnesses as we've discussed. We are off the record. Thanks, everyone. (The hearing concluded at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't adequately supported its request for an increase in rates; correct? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And later on in your cross answering testimony, you discuss the difficulties that PMSA had during the discovery process in this case; is that right? A. Yes. We did mention that. Q. All right. And is it fair to say that that was consistent with Staff's testimony on the same issue? A. Yes. Yes, that is consistent. Q. All right. Is it fair to say that is it your opinion that the lack of evidence from PSP was exacerbated by the fact that they did not fully answer data requests from PMSA and Staff? A. I think that does lead to a less than robust record. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Not a problem. I'll see everyone back online at 9 a.m. tomorrow, and we will finish with the witnesses as we've discussed. We are off the record. Thanks, everyone. (The hearing concluded at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't adequately supported its request for an increase in rates; correct? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And later on in your cross answering testimony, you discuss the difficulties that PMSA had during the discovery process in this case; is that right? A. Yes. We did mention that. Q. All right. And is it fair to say that that was consistent with Staff's testimony on the same issue? A. Yes. Yes, that is consistent. Q. All right. Is it fair to say that is it your opinion that the lack of evidence from PSP was exacerbated by the fact that they did not fully answer data requests from PMSA and Staff? A. I think that does lead to a less than robust record. Yes. Q. All right. So counsel for PSP asked you about 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Not a problem. I'll see everyone back online at 9 a.m. tomorrow, and we will finish with the witnesses as we've discussed. We are off the record. Thanks, everyone. (The hearing concluded at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't adequately supported its request for an increase in rates; correct? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And later on in your cross answering testimony, you discuss the difficulties that PMSA had during the discovery process in this case; is that right? A. Yes. We did mention that. Q. All right. And is it fair to say that that was consistent with Staff's testimony on the same issue? A. Yes. Yes, that is consistent. Q. All right. Is it fair to say that is it your opinion that the lack of evidence from PSP was exacerbated by the fact that they did not fully answer data requests from PMSA and Staff? A. I think that does lead to a less than robust record. Yes. Q. All right. So counsel for PSP asked you about whether other pilot groups across the country had a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Not a problem. I'll see everyone back online at 9 a.m. tomorrow, and we will finish with the witnesses as we've discussed. We are off the record. Thanks, everyone. (The hearing concluded at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. Has anything you've read from that testimony changed your opinion? A. No, it has not. Q. Okay. So PMSA's position is still that PSP hasn't adequately supported its request for an increase in rates; correct? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And later on in your cross answering testimony, you discuss the difficulties that PMSA had during the discovery process in this case; is that right? A. Yes. We did mention that. Q. All right. And is it fair to say that that was consistent with Staff's testimony on the same issue? A. Yes. Yes, that is consistent. Q. All right. Is it fair to say that is it your opinion that the lack of evidence from PSP was exacerbated by the fact that they did not fully answer data requests from PMSA and Staff? A. I think that does lead to a less than robust record. Yes. Q. All right. So counsel for PSP asked you about 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	appreciate your staying late after the normal business hours. And counsel, as well, being flexible and getting this case to continue in a timely manner. THE WITNESS: Thank you. And thank you for accommodating me today instead of tomorrow, as I'm flying out. I really appreciate it. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Not a problem. I'll see everyone back online at 9 a.m. tomorrow, and we will finish with the witnesses as we've discussed. We are off the record. Thanks, everyone. (The hearing concluded at

	Page 667	
1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON	
4	COUNTY OF KITSAP	
5	,	
6	I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court	
7	Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby	
8	certify that the foregoing transcript of the	
9	videoconference evidentiary hearing, on APRIL 6, 2023,	
10	is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill	
11 12	and ability.	
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my	
14	hand and seal this 18th day of April, 2023.	
15		
16	- A	
17	HOTO	
18		
19	Capica Hita Anna	
	CARISA KITSELMAN, RPR, CCR #2018	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	578:3 632:12	accurately 506:24	484:3,14,16 485:7	adjustments
a.m 367:14 370:3	645:12 651:6	achieve 506:1	488:8,15 489:7,21	602:25
	661:3	acknowledge	490:5 491:11	adjustor 611:23
449:5,5 459:7,7 517:9 666:8	academies 508:22	418:12 520:4	500:22 578:16,17	635:13 636:1
abandon 421:2,3	539:19	537:3 540:4	578:19 647:24	administered
520:21 533:24	Academy 521:17	543:13 591:23	661:22	521:24 608:23
abandoned 423:12	accept 407:22	598:13 599:15	actuary 444:8	administering
abandoning 536:6	604:3 617:22	609:25 622:14	acute 495:18	592:25
abandonment	accepted 518:12	629:20 630:4,16	add 381:7 385:12	administration
422:4	550:24 603:17	631:6,10 634:9	462:17 468:11,23	624:11
abate 456:17	access 384:7 555:6	637:5,8,17 645:11	472:6 537:14	administrative
abilities 464:21	558:19,22 576:9	645:12 653:1	539:10 548:4	367:11 567:24
ability 418:21	accident 389:4	655:15 657:2,22	638:2	633:1
454:3 507:22	394:3,7 480:13	acknowledged	added 563:4 583:17	admiralty 408:17
515:22 530:10	538:3,11 540:15	527:2 533:21	adding 470:22	admit 395:22 436:3
533:16,19 536:21	accidents 619:4	641:14 652:24	651:14	508:3,9,10
543:9 544:9	633:23	acquaintance	addition 459:17	admitted 410:13
667:11	accommodated	383:4	469:2 642:8	627:10
able 383:5 404:3	650:12	acquaintances	648:12,13	adopt 598:15
422:2 447:18	accommodating	448:12	additional 379:3	602:21
449:12 458:6,7	666:5	acquire 475:7	385:25 406:9	adopted 422:24
509:21,21 528:15	accomplish 490:10	acquired 474:14	411:14,22 412:10	444:14 579:1
555:25 559:20	accomplished	acronym 637:19	412:15 455:5,8	603:4 631:3
561:12 582:15	629:23 630:18	act 408:20,21	460:2 498:6 621:4	636:10 652:21
588:21 590:14	631:13	420:11 534:20	623:10,11 635:10	adopting 518:14
592:13 608:7	account 373:22	action 409:25	637:17 638:7	550:25
617:7 626:23	397:9 424:5 436:6	531:19 539:13	address 552:7	adopts 579:17
654:4	460:14,16 477:10	546:5 581:8	567:12 620:25	advanced 537:4
aboard 417:25	500:13 533:8	648:19,20	addressed 655:3	advances 392:25
523:20 528:10	553:24 650:10	actions 406:13	addressing 381:14	394:23 395:19
543:6	656:17 657:9,18	540:7	adds 392:23 462:12	398:15 399:6,20
ABS 371:21	accounted 656:24	active 656:9	463:12	adverse 574:22
absolute 424:9	657:24	activity 371:20,24	adequate 563:24	advised 439:23
534:6 542:13	accounts 460:5,7	489:12 490:14	632:8	advisory 439:24
592:16	accrual 434:11,17	491:1 494:23	adequately 663:16	441:10,11 446:3
absolutely 374:25	434:21 599:20	519:24 532:8	664:6	446:17,18,23
397:21 398:3	601:24 603:20	642:8 649:14	adhering 636:25	447:1 448:3,4 advocate 632:2
425:24 427:11	607:13 609:20,22 accumulate 460:14	651:2	adjust 434:9,10	advocated 408:25
444:18 462:2		acts 406:1 409:15	611:5 613:14	
463:11 475:10	accuracy 629:12 accurate 373:17	414:11,12 518:24 actual 390:13	614:12 adjusted 434:6	affect 477:14 479:16 510:14
476:12 513:7	375:4,13,14	431:24,24 461:23	507:1	542:16
531:21 532:1	387:23 450:5	465:22 477:13	adjusting 464:24	affirmation 550:4
540:8 542:18	511:9 512:3 542:9	479:15,15,16,25	adjustment 600:24	Africa 530:16,22
543:11 546:3	657:3,5 667:10	483:11,18,18	602:24 603:14,15	Africa 530.10,22 African 616:21
553:20 559:1,19	057.5,5 007.10	+03.11,10,10	002.24 003.14,13	Allican 010.21
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

afternoon 428:19	554:19 573:11	531:5,14 532:1	389:2 390:8 471:3	548:8 587:24
511:1,2 533:22	574:2,8 575:1,3	Alaska 424:19	637:1 639:11	589:14 592:7
540:15 565:23	575:15 578:25	581:10 588:22	653:10	602:7,9 614:2
626:20 652:18,24	579:5,6 581:5,9	591:7,11,13,16,17	analysis 455:6	615:6 634:6 643:1
age 395:18 398:4,9	585:16,21,25	610:16 624:12,14	481:6 507:7,9,11	644:1,8 645:6
398:11 399:18	595:7,12 596:1	625:19 626:10	507:16 508:4	651:25 664:20
agencies 387:19	606:23 607:3,18	aligned 507:21	515:15 555:5	answered 450:25
514:9,15	618:24 619:6	514:14 515:13	562:15,20 618:25	478:13
agency 570:16,20	622:19,20,21,22	all-inconclusive	637:11,24	answering 440:2
572:15 575:3	623:6 626:1,10,21	453:11	analyze 507:2,5,6	501:17 663:9,19
604:3	632:13 635:12	allegation 508:5	649:3	664:9
agents 570:23,23	637:20,21 639:10	alleged 547:20	analyzed 477:20	answers 447:25
aggregate 391:9	650:17 651:7	alleging 405:25	analyzing 452:15	452:4 454:6
ago 398:10 418:14	653:12 655:10	406:13	480:19	456:10
418:23 441:4	665:11	allow 385:18	anchorage 650:15	anticipated 389:18
453:24 478:25	agreeable 426:22	403:10 409:3	650:18 651:4	anticipating 531:8
533:4 544:16	427:10	431:9 470:13	anchorages 650:19	579:2
557:18 570:21	agreed 395:17	496:17 542:15	Angeles 492:22	anybody 377:23
622:5 623:19	478:25 501:13	572:9,11 597:15	493:15,25 494:1	457:17 543:6
630:24,25 642:14	573:17,22 578:21	602:9 629:2,21	523:9,16 557:23	618:18
agree 375:3 376:11	600:24	allowed 540:18	559:25 610:6	Aon 391:19
377:6 379:7	agreeing 468:6	589:5 630:19	630:6	APA's 475:9
380:16 393:10,12	agreement 400:23	allowing 392:25	Anne 569:6 632:24	apart 574:12
393:18 399:15	430:4 431:25	394:23 630:16	announced 592:5	592:13
407:6 409:12	432:19,22 460:8	631:11	announcement	apologies 519:9
439:9 459:25	482:17 525:20	allows 386:2 408:18	625:18	apologize 456:8
461:14 462:17	574:13,14 575:4	423:6 533:17	annual 389:17	463:7 485:14
463:5 465:4	600:5 604:1,10,23	alluding 472:14	492:9,9 499:9	658:6
467:10,12,24	604:24 605:3,6	aloud 508:19	502:12,22 503:3	apparently 564:4
470:24 471:9,16	652:12	alternate 458:19	526:15 585:3	appear 484:22
471:24 472:9,11	agreements 461:8	alternative 621:25	599:20 600:23	504:16
473:2 480:21	462:1	alumni 539:16,17	606:24,25 607:4	appearances 370:6
481:8 485:16,25	agrees 588:1	539:18	607:13,18 609:20	370:6
486:12 489:14	663:15	amendment 602:17	638:8 657:25	appearing 367:16
490:20 491:11,15	ahead 385:20	603:3,17	annually 649:24	apple 657:14
494:2,6,16,25	386:24 402:15,16	America 518:10	anomaly 580:3	apples 560:5,6
495:23 496:6,24	426:18 468:14	American 371:22	answer 393:25	615:16
502:25 503:12	495:13 509:10	amount 389:24	414:2 431:14	apples-to-apples
504:11 505:16	521:1 525:10	400:16 402:13	440:9,16 442:18	560:14
507:2 513:1,4	532:19 542:23	411:2,10,10	442:20 452:21	applicable 444:4
519:18 521:23	602:12 659:6	416:18,20 423:7	457:11 460:4	applicant 507:8,10
522:11 526:7	aim 515:21	461:8,13 465:7	471:5 472:20	586:10
529:16 532:9,24	Air 581:16	479:20 533:10	475:18 478:21,22	applicants 505:22
533:1 538:17	airplane 529:3	535:10,14 607:16	490:20 499:6	584:1,4,7,11
542:12,17,18,25	AIS 530:6,11,20	amounts 388:20,23	519:16 530:24	589:6 591:25

application 373:19	516:17,19 525:21	478:7 482:3,4	653:14 654:9,17	492:2 568:20
373:19 445:10	628:15 645:1	484:5,8 497:4,22	assignments 478:8	597:9 653:6
applications 583:24	653:8	501:11 544:6	478:14 553:17,24	assumption 377:20
applied 373:19	April 367:13,24	547:7 572:19	554:1,1,2 581:3	378:2,19,23 379:5
475:1 494:22	585:2,3 667:9,13	575:18 624:7	595:5 598:3,4	423:10 479:23
applies 409:9 447:6	area 403:19 418:2	637:22 651:25	615:18,18 623:11	assumptions
609:2,4	419:16 473:12	652:8 659:25	628:16,16 631:8	377:17
apply 409:10 434:4	501:7,8 502:20	660:8 661:9,18	631:22 639:15	assuring 522:19
446:24 447:5	505:17 531:14	662:2 664:23	640:20 642:14,17	asterisk 656:13
466:23 577:2	553:4 555:21	asking 393:23	644:4,5 645:19,25	657:5 659:15
579:20 588:16	556:17 561:19	399:2,24 400:25	648:16 650:22,25	asterisks 589:3
608:25	569:14 576:25	403:3 431:3	651:7,7,10,11	Astoria 617:8
applying 472:23	581:3 589:4 594:2	437:13,18 438:2	654:15 656:11	attach 429:15
494:17,21 528:18	600:25 601:21	440:4,6,14 477:4	657:18 661:24	attached 391:22
578:20 580:13,16	622:6 660:12,25	505:20 528:15	assist 555:11	392:2,3 429:12,13
appointment	areas 503:23 504:1	587:19 604:14	assistance 571:18	508:24 562:22
517:12	504:14 530:21	625:8,8,9 630:23	assistant 368:9	attempt 475:7
appreciate 454:7	559:24	631:6 634:23	370:14	484:4 555:7
464:25 543:22	argues 408:8,11	648:2,10 649:21	associated 394:10	attempted 475:10
549:23 590:22	argument 598:23	650:7 651:17	422:16 493:7	attempting 513:18
658:24 659:2	Army 581:16	659:18	500:15 520:23	513:25 570:3
666:1,6	arrange 537:19	asks 440:4 443:10	527:7,13 532:4	attempts 556:13
approach 374:21	arrival 650:23	aspect 379:21	536:5 539:4	570:2
374:23 418:25	arrivals 615:13	381:16 576:5	547:18 556:3	attend 517:11
491:2,3 537:1,23	650:25 651:4	aspects 454:2	626:9 629:16	attended 380:3
575:1 635:24	arrive 604:5	assembled 551:23	association 368:12	655:4
approached 453:18	article 532:10	561:10	429:24 430:4	attention 495:12
appropriate 377:20	544:6,9 546:22	assert 373:20	432:10 441:16,16	634:16
440:11 490:13	580:23 625:14,15	assertion 403:11	441:19,22 518:25	attorney 368:9
546:5 551:25	625:18 660:9	585:25	550:21 571:15,16	370:15 402:3,7
598:14 623:20	articles 545:11	assessing 619:7	572:18 599:17	attorney/client
633:16,18	546:10,12,20	assessment 559:23	606:4 612:10,14	437:6
appropriately	articulate 566:19	665:11	613:19	attract 515:22
635:3 655:11	articulated 560:3	assessments 373:14	associations 566:8	577:1 588:21
approval 430:11	560:18 604:15	assets 422:16	567:20 570:11	590:13 615:7,8,9
447:10	613:24 614:13	432:19,22 520:22	assume 391:24	615:10 616:4,5
approvals 443:19	617:5,6,9,14	assignment 453:23	423:4 461:6	618:18
approve 447:8	630:3 636:7	543:3 553:8 580:7	462:23 471:13,15	attracting 593:23
506:7,17	artificially 382:20	595:2 629:22	471:15,23 472:21	attraction 577:8
approved 447:6	ascertain 484:3	630:5 631:11	472:22 558:24	attractive 577:18
578:5 602:3	asked 416:12,14,23	635:24 636:5,11	575:14 582:3	582:10,19 583:22
612:25 624:11	416:23 417:15,21	639:14,18,24	598:7 636:13	584:3,21
approximately	419:14 435:6	641:7 643:12,22	assumed 477:12,13	attractiveness
390:3 465:22	442:11 443:11	645:8,15 646:4	479:13	576:24
498:14 499:10	474:14 475:7	648:7,8 651:16	assuming 490:25	attracts 562:9
				<u> </u>

			1	
attribute 487:3	545:24 555:12	459:9,10 464:23	based 375:18 376:2	453:23 534:24
attributed 612:14	580:6 593:7 594:1	473:21 487:22	382:9 400:14	beginning 433:13
audio 456:13	628:15 635:24	490:18 491:13	416:18,18 421:25	513:8 523:24
458:21,23 463:25	636:5,10,11 638:8	493:12 508:16	422:3,24 441:18	600:6
464:11 524:9,22	638:13,15 639:3	510:8 516:21	446:17 454:19	behalf 370:11,15,17
529:10	640:16 641:7	521:16 526:16	461:25 465:16	370:21 604:2
audit 533:12,13	642:21 644:5	527:17 529:21	466:19 480:3	615:3
544:24 554:7,14	653:7 656:9	532:3 541:13	500:6,8 508:11,20	behemoth 623:13
555:10 563:5	averaged 642:14	557:1 566:17	512:12 524:14	belief 616:14
audited 607:6	averages 510:18	570:24 574:20	564:24 565:18	believe 373:3 377:1
audits 557:11	640:12	583:9 591:2,20	578:17,22 579:15	377:9 381:16,23
562:25	avoid 405:25	592:10 596:17	592:21 602:20	382:8 388:25
author 439:22	427:15 514:22	603:6 605:1 617:7	641:16 652:22	390:16,23 402:17
authority 407:7,19	aware 378:14 380:6	621:13 626:6	baseline 630:23	408:5 410:21
555:1,8	403:17 468:11,13	635:18 636:2,15	631:1 653:1	425:6 429:1
authorized 483:5	468:15 470:4	644:14 666:8	baselines 653:3	431:13 442:25
512:23 584:18	479:18 480:7	background 374:16	basic 545:6,8	448:10 453:24
635:5,6 652:14	495:3,6 535:7	421:25 455:3,10	basically 419:10	457:23 458:1
automatic 529:24	538:25 539:6	531:7 614:14	420:21 422:9	472:12 476:25
611:23 635:13	557:9,16,25	619:8 632:21	424:2 453:4 564:1	496:14 498:24
636:1	558:11 562:11	backup 458:23	basis 389:17,19	503:9 506:10,23
automatically	568:7,9,10 569:12	bad 597:8	410:10 416:5	507:20 529:7,9
408:8	571:13,24 572:2	ball 618:13	421:16,24 424:1	532:16 546:21
automobiles 381:2	572:14,20 573:10	ballast 419:12	436:10 462:9	553:9,21 555:7,15
availability 425:7	573:19,23 576:9	537:20 538:10	483:21 487:5	558:4 563:25
517:1 634:19	589:23 591:6	ballasting 538:5	497:6 565:6 607:1	568:23 569:4,15
648:17	601:10 609:13	ballasts 376:16	607:19 611:25	570:22 583:3,10
available 475:15	610:21 611:1	bandwidth 525:5	basket 590:5	591:9 593:21
476:1 484:2 517:2	628:1 638:19	bankrupt 421:20	Bates 387:18	609:1 620:19
554:21 562:25	651:4 662:14,15	bar 417:2 424:17	battle 635:21	623:3 628:22
563:10 626:19	662:18	489:23 490:3,22	Bay 424:17 531:13	637:12 639:19
634:3 638:25	awareness 470:14	494:12 531:17	561:18 569:14	642:25 643:6
639:14,18,20,22	627:16	555:20 556:6,9,16	598:10,11 609:2	646:15 654:21
639:23 641:22	B	556:21 567:5	beach 548:22 549:4	663:23
642:11 643:2,9,12		568:14,16 571:21	553:16,25 557:23	believed 632:7
643:17,22 644:2	B 461:12,15,25	583:11 584:8	559:5 561:20,21	believes 615:25
645:8 653:14,18	463:4,5 560:1	594:22,25 597:1,7	573:1 594:12	616:1,1
654:13,17,20	567:2 620:14	598:1,5 608:12,21	598:18,20 610:11	Bellevue 503:25
avenue 368:14,19	634:15 B-O-Y-C-E 407:25	609:6,12,21	620:6 650:12	bench 386:8 425:11
409:18	B.C 598:24 599:1	616:22,25 617:16	bears 378:21 382:8	444:23 516:7
average 398:5	633:7	627:2 632:23,25	beauty 556:23	548:14 665:20
473:4 490:24	back 370:2 390:18	barrels 624:12	becoming 412:9	Bendixen 615:20
491:7,19 492:3	391:25 420:12	base 433:9,23	534:8 582:10,19	617:19,23
504:19 505:4,18	427:8 437:23	434:17,19 443:15	beg 589:8	benefit 429:25
510:14 521:9	449:3,7 457:1	589:22 614:19	began 371:20	430:11 432:14
	++ 7.5,1 + 51.1			

433:8 434:10,13	516:4 605:10	370:21,21 372:13	bond 411:1 421:1,5	384:21,23,25
434:22 436:4	634:24	370:21,21 372:13	421:10 536:9	385:7,10,15 386:5
438:10,12,15,24	Biden 624:11	378:13 381:9,13	book 530:19	bridge 529:6 530:8
439:13,19 441:17	bifurcation 634:12	381:14 382:2,3	booms 623:22	540:6 543:11
441:22,23 442:13	634:22	384:22,24 385:3	BOPC 609:11	626:23 632:11
442:14,16 443:14	big 417:22 447:24	385:14 549:11,12	borrow 462:7	634:19
444:2,11 548:9	589:11 603:1	549:22	bottom 441:6	brief 448:22 509:7
610:25 611:25	642:24 653:19	blocks 626:22	483:10 519:17	590:22 659:7
614:18 665:8	bigger 383:10	blocks@lanepow	547:13 576:6	663:4
benefits 432:21	393:4	368:21	626:5	briefing 640:3
433:4 434:3 436:6	biggest 397:11	blow 567:1 601:21	bow 626:24	briefings 569:12
438:5 465:13	414:7 642:9	613:6 647:4	box 368:9 529:3,14	briefly 371:12,17
560:6,17 563:14	bill 566:17	blowing 602:10	529:17	375:17 421:11
566:7 567:21	billion 401:24	blown 601:6	boxes 528:7	517:5,10
570:10 577:6	402:2,10,18 404:3	blown-up 602:14	Boyce 407:23 408:7	bring 395:10
593:25 594:7,8,10	423:19,20 536:4	BLS 498:18 500:7	408:11 414:23	490:18 509:5
594:14 605:18	binding 604:10	500:8,22 501:1,6	415:19	542:6 576:1
617:2,24	605:21	501:12 502:7	Boyce's 409:24	595:18 596:1,5
benefitting 608:11	biographical 453:3	504:17,20 505:12	BPC 575:17 583:11	604:7,8
Benjamin 623:5,17	453:8,13	Blue 420:9 570:16	583:14 585:3	bringing 526:8
berth 530:7 542:9	bit 417:17 418:2	571:10	592:24 609:11	brings 531:25
berths 650:14	458:9 480:25	blurry 601:3	631:5 633:14	British 376:3
best 372:7 387:24	514:1 519:6	board 406:7 529:18	635:3,8,16 636:2	broad 414:8
392:8,15,15 395:7	520:25 536:16	539:15 558:25	636:16,20 638:21	broadcasting
395:16,24,25	590:18 594:5	561:6 562:24	640:1 641:21	530:14
399:12 400:11	605:10 632:20	566:5 567:6,11,23	647:23 649:1,1,18	Broadway 368:4
428:7 450:5	654:14	568:17 569:13,16	649:20,25 652:2,8	broken 426:2
456:10,14 476:6	bite 663:1	570:8 571:14	652:14 655:6,10	broker 398:18
483:21 484:5	BJM-01T 432:24	575:11 592:7	657:25 662:1	415:7 416:25
490:9 509:13	438:21	595:9 596:23	brag 536:15	brokerage 387:14
525:25 546:8	BJM-04T 442:8	597:17 598:6	branch 383:4 493:7	387:16 424:16
667:10	448:8	599:18 601:23	break 410:9 412:8	brought 406:11
Best's 391:18 392:4	BJM-05 428:25	602:3,20,23	415:25 426:14,16	606:7,7,8 657:6
392:11 399:4	429:5 433:14	622:25 629:7	448:22,24 449:2,5	657:12
400:3	445:7	630:2 634:11,14	449:8 459:7 509:7	brown 537:23
better 374:22	BJM-06 433:17	634:21 642:6,7	509:9 516:16,17	Bruce 369:9 427:22
382:17 458:9	437:23	655:3	516:20,22 588:4	build 626:5
490:19 525:3	BJM-9X 439:6	boarding 556:17	590:18,20,22,25	built 412:3 479:23
548:25 589:13	black 529:3	boards 523:15	591:3 646:11	636:6
594:18 607:22	blade 422:13	558:1 561:20	662:25	bulk 422:7 423:14
610:14 621:23,24	blank 406:19	boat 498:21	breaking 625:8	554:9 621:11
beyond 376:5,7	bless 539:19	boats 595:17	Brickenstein 368:3	641:2
381:8,11 385:14	blessings 661:5	body 529:21 539:10	369:5 370:10	bulkers 422:7
418:2 425:4 454:7	blew 593:10	552:12 574:15	371:11,16 372:2,6	bulkhead 384:12
477:2,4 484:9	Block 368:18 369:4	bona 441:16	372:9 381:5,18	bullet 529:25 553:1

				1 age 070
554:6 555:9 556:4	467:15	525:20 534:24	594:4,14,22	Carl 628:5
557:4 620:24	calculation 375:10	596:4 601:3	599:15,23 600:11	Carlson 623:7
659:18 663:1	381:20 383:9	621:19	602:6 603:19	628:9
bulleted 564:5	463:10 468:4	calls 403:1 409:1	604:19,21 605:9	Carlson's 542:3
602:19	490:13 492:6,7	621:3	606:6,24 608:10	628:6 633:7
bullets 552:16	494:21,21 608:3	calm 419:11	609:25 610:21	carrier 622:23
568:3 602:14,15	calculations 381:8	Canada 619:1	612:19 614:1,16	carriers 423:14
603:4	382:13 477:5,21	620:12	615:19,19 616:20	523:7
bunch 491:10	477:25	cancellation 630:17	617:4,19 618:24	carries 420:1 422:3
545:15	calendar 644:14	candidate 515:23	619:17 622:11	493:3 573:9
burden 547:20	calibrated 479:13	577:15 615:22	623:7 624:6 626:7	carry 375:11
636:2	California 503:19	candidates 577:2	626:18 627:13	376:12 381:2,22
bureau 498:9,16	561:17 566:3,5	582:10,19 584:13	628:5,5,9,21	547:15 635:16
501:12	567:5,7,8 568:5	585:18,23 588:12	632:1,24,25 633:1	carrying 422:20
burn 657:21	609:1	588:14,15,18	633:7,15 634:6,23	case 372:4 376:5,9
burning 656:15	call 376:2 382:19	589:6,7,18 592:9	635:21 638:3	382:16 384:15
burns 657:11,12	386:2 426:9	594:18 616:4,6,20	639:10 641:23	387:21 406:5,11
Burton 469:5	442:10 458:21,24	618:2,6,7	644:9 647:5	406:12 407:8,21
business 372:1	459:3 519:18	cap 621:15	658:21 659:11	407:23 410:6,14
387:14,16 422:1	524:18,22 533:5	capability 376:20	662:9,24 663:7	411:4,7,12,23
455:17,18,21,21	544:17 580:25	523:24	665:20,25	412:24 413:19
457:6 460:2 461:2	607:22 609:12	capacity 623:8	captains 498:14,19	414:15,22 415:19
461:11,12,14,15	621:16 623:2	Cape 580:20	498:21,21 499:2	415:19,22,22,24
461:16,25,25	633:9 654:7,8	capped 402:2	499:10,23 502:2	428:4 447:7 450:3
465:6,7 468:12,20	660:19	capsize 540:22	502:12 504:14,23	453:13 454:18,24
568:13 569:3	call-in 458:22	capsized 540:2	510:9,13 617:23	455:6,7 463:16
590:14 632:23	Callaghan 368:8	capsizing 537:8	capture 455:9	466:25 470:5
666:2	369:15,23 370:14	capsulation 377:9	529:9	473:16 480:6,8
businesses 461:12	370:14 510:22,23	captain 377:3,7	captured 529:8	495:3,9 496:10,21
462:23	510:25 511:18,19	380:4 407:23	capturing 529:7	515:11 516:3
buy 420:16	515:1 572:3 663:2	414:23 417:9	career 371:20	531:9 535:12
buy-ins 482:19,21	663:3,6 665:15	499:19 517:3	521:20 595:14,23	538:12,20 540:13
buying 420:17	callback 631:21	526:20 530:19	632:3	541:17 551:9,14
618:20	633:2 635:4	531:16 540:6,18	careful 417:18	552:19 554:23
bylaw 606:12	640:21 641:8	541:9,18,19 542:3	carefully 504:25	556:16 569:1
bylaws 606:15	656:10	549:10,14,24	647:18	573:5,18 576:18
	callbacks 632:16	550:8 551:8 553:5	cargo 375:11,12	577:1 578:3
-	633:8,20 634:10	554:9 558:15	376:12 380:14,25	579:16 589:2,17
C 368:1 634:15	639:7,25 643:24	560:23 566:2	381:1,21,21,24	591:10 603:10
667:1,1 calculate 466:12	644:25	568:11 573:3,4,11	383:6 528:24	611:18,21,21
477:15 491:23	called 441:8 533:4	579:17 581:13	532:5 596:4	620:21 632:7,15
607:1,17	544:18 546:18	583:8 587:1	cargo-carrying	635:10,18 636:2,4
calculated 374:17	614:21 654:9	588:11 589:18	376:20	638:6 640:2,9
calculating 375:6	calling 401:20	590:1,8 591:4,6	Carisa 367:25	641:24 649:5
377:21 466:8	413:9 524:19	591:24 593:17	667:6,19	652:10 654:24

664:11 665:4	532:4 535:1 536:2	629:21 631:11	633:9	502:3
666:3	554:23 594:17	643:5 657:3 662:4	checked 570:21	clarification 457:7
cases 405:21 424:2	622:18 627:3	662:10,16	572:19	clarify 404:23
466:9,13,22	632:15	changed 389:8	checking 456:19	491:4 568:22
513:17,19,24	certainly 455:20	405:8,17 541:12	459:3 528:13	612:7 661:13
529:10,12 540:11	459:22 461:3	547:25 592:8	529:17,23 570:19	class 371:24 380:21
546:4,9,19 547:17	534:11 558:3	597:24 647:16	587:17	382:23 383:24
577:16	581:24 658:18	664:2	checklist 526:3,16	438:17 591:14
cash 460:6,8,11	665:5	changes 586:6	527:22 528:7	classes 400:13
461:9	certificate 419:20	620:20 631:7	cherry-pick 586:4	classroom 660:10
casualties 400:15	419:24 420:4	636:13	605:3	clause 376:11
casualty 391:10	423:8 529:19	changing 527:8	cherry-picked	clean 478:12
394:8,9,11,16	536:3	621:23 642:23	562:15	520:22 536:22
395:2 397:5,6,7	certificates 403:21	665:13	chief 443:25 457:8	cleaner 621:24
400:7 419:2,7	404:5,10 422:21	channel 540:1	457:9	cleanup 418:25
421:1 422:12	423:5 535:8 536:8	595:1	China 650:20	clear 394:1 424:6
520:10,14,19,23	Certified 667:6	Chapter 402:22	choice 620:14	431:4,6 456:10
522:5 533:25	certify 667:8	character 561:8	choose 606:12	484:12 496:15
539:2,2 540:9	cetera 376:3 567:16	characteristics	chose 588:19	511:13 525:19
547:18	595:17 617:17	374:2 378:15	590:12 616:10	565:4 567:9
catastrophic 422:5	648:1	characterizations	617:5	576:22 599:23
catch 427:8	CFR 383:15	646:14,17	Christian 616:20	603:13,20 604:6
categories 564:3	chain 650:10	characterize	circle 526:10	604:11 605:5
657:1	chair 368:23	562:13	circumstances	620:18 648:18
category 498:25	386:11 425:12	characterized	373:7 414:12	649:13
501:13 502:17	444:24 516:12	409:16 564:14	460:22	clearly 538:4
504:3,6,9 510:15	548:18 549:2,5	characterizes	citation 401:7	541:20,20
621:3 624:22	603:8,9 604:6	377:11	508:3 564:21	client 424:2,7
650:16,22 657:10	655:19 665:21	charge 462:25,25	cite 378:7 391:13	clients 371:15
causal 378:21	challenge 490:8	611:15 612:3,5,12	439:2 498:9 581:6	404:2,10
cause 538:12	613:23 622:18	613:15	cited 399:5,11	close 578:8 617:8
541:25	challenged 405:11	charged 471:17,25	474:4 505:14	660:20
caused 419:13	424:24 479:10	charges 590:6	city 474:23,25	closed 562:16
536:14 540:21	challenges 553:13	Charles 493:6,13	475:2	closer 418:24 456:7
caveat 452:2	555:17	493:16,21 494:3	Civil 388:15	525:12
494:10	challenging 490:4	526:21	claim 392:14,14	closets 376:15
CCR 367:25	595:1 645:23	chart 480:5 489:20	410:19 412:6	closure 384:13
667:19	Chamber 518:10	491:8,10 503:22	421:12,21 504:17	club 395:20 396:14
cell 483:9	519:4	583:11,13 584:9	505:17 631:19	396:18 397:1
centuries 527:4	chance 469:4	591:20 642:19	claims 391:10,16	403:22 404:4
CEO 518:9	546:18 576:10	644:17 648:23,25	392:6,22 393:6	420:19 421:12,19
certain 373:7 376:7	chances 489:10	charterer 522:22	395:8,21 396:3,10	421:19 423:6
376:14 382:23	change 390:9 412:8	charts 589:9	399:7 400:5,8,16	534:4,4,9
422:20 443:19	434:21 579:20	check 424:3,4	400:21 418:17,19	clubs 392:18 396:9
525:5 528:13,13	605:15,20 617:18	488:13 528:7	421:23 500:23	396:18,21 412:8
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				1 age 070
420.10 10 421.0	531:16 555:20	635:23	566.5 567.6 24	aammana 170.6
420:10,10 421:9 421:14,22 423:18	568:12,13 571:15	commentators	566:5 567:6,24 568:17 599:19	compare 479:6 480:20 524:3,8
r .	,			•
423:24 424:4,9	571:17,21,22	439:9,11,12	601:24 602:4	552:13 560:5
534:5,14 543:15	572:15,17 573:7	comments 380:8	634:11 652:10	563:22 564:2,14
543:15	594:22,23 596:22	439:14 612:23	655:3	564:23 565:5,11
clubs' 543:19	597:1,2,6,7	636:7,8 641:19	commissions 595:9	565:18 570:3
CMACGM 623:17	616:22 627:1,22	commercial 540:18	commit 549:20	572:23 615:17
CN-4 435:9,14	632:24,25 662:16	commingling	commitment 510:1	626:13 653:3
coast 371:24 383:3	column 465:25	575:19 639:13	567:15 633:16	compared 499:19
384:5 419:3 420:8	466:6 477:18	645:8	committed 522:21	500:8 502:4
420:23 424:15	492:14 656:18	Commission 367:1	546:3,3	559:22 560:16
521:25 524:12	combatants 573:18	367:3 368:7	common 480:23	581:15
525:22 526:2	combination 630:5	370:15 450:21	481:3 574:2	comparing 510:18
527:19 528:8	combine 630:12,12	479:9 483:21	631:15 646:15	552:18 553:10
529:17 531:15	combined 510:15	484:7 495:19	communications	554:4 555:15
537:9 558:8,9	come 375:24 414:6	512:6,7,16 513:17	529:5	564:24 628:18
563:3 580:19	419:10 431:20	513:19,23 515:9	communities	comparison 482:24
581:6,15,18,21	522:3 523:7 537:5	515:12,17,24	616:23	497:7 557:19
599:24 608:14	540:14 575:4,24	561:21 562:24	comp 639:7 656:15	558:20 560:20,22
610:16,17,17	577:12,13 578:8	569:16 575:11	657:11,12 659:14	563:24 565:21
616:24 622:5	596:8,11,16 600:4	578:22,25 580:14	companies 451:24	599:9
627:2 633:8	606:8 615:15	587:3 592:8	451:25 518:25	comparisons
641:11 660:10,22	617:7 619:8	596:24 597:17	536:17 541:3	560:15 598:19
661:8	comes 373:6 379:23	598:6 602:23	543:7	compel 555:8
coastal 558:1	398:19,24 411:19	604:5 629:7 630:2	company 387:17	compensated
561:17	419:17 420:20	632:14 634:13,15	422:13,16 423:16	453:21 454:9,14
code 432:13,15	424:14,21 426:12	634:21 636:10	518:25 533:18	479:20
434:25 435:13	535:25 615:6	642:7,7 646:18	534:9 535:13	compensation
436:4 437:14,18	624:17 642:5	665:6,10	541:5	434:5 436:5 438:6
438:3,11 442:4,6	650:21	Commission's	company's 455:17	438:12 449:25
444:5	comfortable 538:14	495:15 665:3	company 5 455.17	451:16 455:14,16
codified 648:3	coming 419:18	Commissioner	551:20 552:24	457:5 459:15
COFR 420:3,24	426:25 463:25	368:23,24 386:9	554:8 598:17	460:2,10,18 461:5
535:23	477:21 592:5	386:13 425:13,14	659:19 660:2	461:23 463:14
COFRs 420:12	617:19 623:8	444:25 445:3,23	comparable 477:6	473:15 475:14
COLA 600:24	624:18 626:1,11	446:16 447:3,21	480:5 492:7 512:1	479:2 480:19
614:20	627:23	448:6,16,19 516:8	515:14,14 551:22	481:5 499:22
cold 427:1	command 498:22	516:10 517:10	555:12 556:10	500:3 504:18
		548:15,16,21		551:14,15 552:20
colleague 370:10	commandments	, ,	557:8 559:21	,
collecting 608:1	545:6	602:17 603:3,16	562:2,14,15 565:6	555:15 556:2,2
collection 559:16	commence 549:11	658:12,20,24	566:1 567:18	561:24 562:6
collusion 514:25	comment 407:10	665:22	569:24 572:25	566:6 567:18,21
Columbia 414:25	531:18 537:2	Commissioners	599:5	570:5,10 576:19
415:3 417:1,1	554:19 593:15	441:3 444:16	comparatively	576:24 577:20
424:17,17 531:11	613:6 635:22,23	451:6 521:7 548:9	509:15	593:24 594:7,10

				<u> </u>
615:21 617:2,24	566:18,20 600:3	configuration	considerations	457:10 476:20
competitive 455:22	601:13 604:13	595:4	373:5,21 540:5,19	513:18 602:5,10
506:9,11,21 590:7	compulsory 521:23	confine 454:6	considered 382:7	604:18 613:11
competitiveness	522:12 542:20	confirm 383:5	384:2 497:13	650:24 652:11
590:14	computer 464:8	433:2 637:6	512:1,19,21 559:3	continually 621:1
compile 474:8	525:2	659:12	560:11 567:13	continue 392:21
Complainant 367:4	computerized	confirming 409:8	629:15 651:8	395:21 410:8
complaint 406:13	537:19 538:10	conflict 594:5	considering 557:22	458:5 517:8 546:8
complete 384:16	concern 413:19,23	confluence 660:16	567:20	548:2 593:22
445:10 540:16	414:5 426:24	confusing 471:20	consistent 462:10	613:21 629:6
577:4 592:7 644:1	464:12 516:18	congratulations	494:16 602:22	630:15 658:15
658:25	521:11 523:22	539:14	603:16 657:22,23	666:3
completely 397:22	542:19 639:17	congress 446:6	658:4 664:15,16	continued 475:13
440:10 542:17	650:4	534:19	constant 460:15	continues 453:12
615:7 651:6 655:2	concerned 417:16	conjecture 618:12	constitute 406:14	continuing 462:5
complex 393:8	606:5 625:3	conjunction 651:2	constraints 549:19	517:7 527:3
598:19	concerns 530:13	conjurers 406:20	constricted 623:13	579:20 650:2
complexities 394:2	560:14 613:8	526:10 547:11,12	construct 630:8	655:8
394:3	634:5 636:19	connection 457:24	construction	continuous 634:2
complexity 392:23	653:4 659:19	458:17 551:9	384:19 619:16	contract 561:22
393:4,18,22 394:7	conclude 410:3	connections 456:20	consult 458:25	614:20
553:12	509:14	consequence 621:7	consultant 371:15	contractor 462:24
compliance 529:19	concluded 425:9	conservative 491:2	455:15	463:2,3,4,5,6
537:1 620:7	620:21 666:11	491:3	consulting 371:25	465:10
compliant 522:19	concludes 631:20	consider 373:25	449:25	contractor/sole
546:1	658:8	379:21 396:14	consumer 601:9	463:12 465:5
complicated 599:6	conclusion 409:22	406:1 425:1	contact 441:7	contractors 462:22
complicating 590:3	487:5 507:4	426:17 455:17,20	contacted 623:24	contribute 560:1
complied 404:6	508:20 559:21	457:3,5 459:15,23	contain 477:6	560:17 610:8
complimentary	561:14 618:24	512:7,17 515:9,12	528:17	contributing
403:24 404:18	619:6 631:23	515:18,25 527:7	contained 563:5	399:12 541:25
comply 402:4 404:4		554:3 566:5 570:9	583:9	contribution
component 471:11	510:17 533:16	604:9 615:23	container 542:5	439:15
580:17 605:20	544:8	619:11 634:9	621:11,19,21	contributions
639:6	concur 540:23	653:22	622:23 623:1,3,23	460:5 560:7
components 553:6	conditions 555:10	considerable	650:11,13	control 524:14,16
638:8,16	556:19,19 581:11	377:25	containers 624:23	526:1,2 527:11,17
comprehension	595:25 622:18	consideration	contend 555:13	529:10 544:15,20
545:17	631:23 660:12,18	373:14 513:19	561:11 564:1	544:21 606:15
comprehensive	661:1 665:13	553:3 555:23	579:22 656:21	620:3,11
522:13 559:18	conducting 645:14	556:5 557:6	contending 656:8	controlled 533:9
577:5 586:16	653:14	574:23 598:8	contentions 642:4	controversy 385:20
compromised	conference 464:10	619:15 632:14	contents 440:15	convenience 520:17
507:23	confident 442:23	633:19 635:2,13	context 379:10	520:18 532:11
compromises	443:20 448:11	639:16	408:18 431:19	533:2 544:18
	-	=	-	=

		_		
convention 385:24	483:7,8,13 484:17	costly 393:6	456:3,11 458:6	credit 593:2
conversation 517:6	484:22 486:7	costs 392:7,22	599:10,11 667:6	Crescent 487:23
conversations	487:8 488:6,7,24	393:7,13,18 394:3	cover 383:20,21	488:1,5
569:14 572:14	491:6,7,21 492:4	394:4,5 395:8,21	421:23 440:5	crew 530:9,22
conversely 586:14	493:10,16,23	396:3,10,25 399:7	531:1	538:9 540:6 543:9
conversion 612:10	502:5,6,14 504:19	400:5,8,21 403:12	coverage 388:20,23	crews 541:5
Coos 424:17 598:10	505:4 508:13,25	412:3 415:7	389:15 403:22	critical 522:7
598:11	511:11,17,20	418:20,21 607:15	404:3,12,14,18	543:11 632:9
copies 474:11	514:7 519:2	counsel 413:22	417:6 423:19	633:17
copy 429:23 430:3	521:21 523:17,18	417:17 422:22,25	534:12	critically 619:24
511:3 606:16	524:1,18 527:5	431:10 443:25	covered 390:22	cross 369:4,7,10,13
612:19 663:9	528:11 529:1,4	445:4 453:25	531:2,5,20 547:17	369:15,18,21,23
core 643:15	530:23 532:10	474:1 475:12	covering 370:11	372:10,12 382:1
corporate 532:25	534:9,13 551:8,10	484:2 493:1	526:12 644:14	388:4 416:15
533:17	551:17 552:2	532:13 544:6	covers 640:9	425:4,6 428:13
corporation 421:3	553:1 557:16	545:22 546:16	COVID 490:11	443:3 501:17
422:8 520:7,16,21	558:2,10 563:8	569:9 646:17	494:18 495:1,4	509:15,18,20
533:1 536:6	564:7 565:13	664:23 666:2	497:6,15 580:3	515:4 518:18
corporations	566:12 578:12,13	Counselor 372:19	651:23 652:3,6	629:1 662:24
533:24	583:15,16,18,19	377:8 385:5	Covid-19 493:9,18	663:9,19 664:9
corps 579:23	584:16 591:12	counted 466:17	495:18 496:6	cross-examination
653:18	592:6 595:5 601:1	counties 561:2	COVID-impacted	372:14 388:2,7
correct 372:7,24	608:12,24 610:3	countries 533:12	496:25 497:12	428:17 450:9,13
373:10 374:6,14	611:19,25 612:3	country 450:23	Coyle 571:5,6,14	510:24 518:20
374:20 377:9	613:9 614:8 618:4	476:25 500:17,19	Coyle's 572:14	547:22 548:5
378:18 379:20	620:23 639:9	502:5 514:10	CPC 601:20	551:6 591:4 658:9
380:12 384:9	642:2 651:24	533:13 553:14	CPC-17 532:16	663:5
388:24 389:6,7,25	662:13 663:18	609:18 664:24	544:7	cross-examine
391:6,16 392:7	664:7,8	county 561:3,6	CPC-18 547:3	549:14 CDSOA 571:25
393:2 395:1,5,6,8	corrected 511:13	667:4	CPC-25 527:23	CRSOA 571:25
396:11 405:19	correcting 623:7	couple 498:6 544:5 575:9 588:22	CPI 600:25 craft 371:21	crude 624:13,14,19
408:23 410:24 415:4 416:3	correction 552:21 correctly 376:7	617:9 624:10	Crandall 413:8	cruise 599:3 621:20 621:21 637:18
426:21 428:6	427:4 449:10	642:13 644:9	458:25 469:14	crystal 618:13
429:6 433:6,10	cost 391:16 392:14	651:21	603:7	cubic 376:21
437:20 438:3,11	394:7 396:13	course 453:23	cranked 375:21	379:20
439:1,17,21	399:12,12 482:19	466:16 525:23	create 414:15	curated 497:20,20
450:20 451:2,10	482:21 539:2,5	532:8 536:15	420:15 434:9	current 429:22
451:13 453:17	594:1 603:21	548:23 557:22	533:16 635:15	433:4,7 434:1,7
454:10 458:2,3	607:19 613:23	595:8 607:25	created 414:17	434:15 465:15,15
461:2 465:18	cost/benefit 618:25	658:13	creates 412:10	471:11 483:23
468:21 474:6	Costanzo 526:19	court 405:22,23	424:6 623:10	495:3 511:10
475:24 476:17	546:21	407:6,18,22	creating 391:5	544:15 568:12
478:12,22 480:3	Costanzo's 521:4	408:16,24 409:14	creation 431:17	592:21 612:15
480:19 481:11	526:21 547:21	409:24 416:1	credible 511:9	624:13
	l		l	l

	I	I	I	I
currently 371:14	473:20,21,22,25	589:14 592:17	616:14	498:24 575:19
434:6,19 438:7	474:8,13 475:5,7	624:13 633:13	decisions 450:24	592:12 618:6
512:23 578:8	478:3 479:5 480:6	639:20,24 644:4,5	451:7 632:15	defund 609:6
635:6	480:15 481:25	653:19,23,23	deckhouses 384:16	degree 379:8
customer 471:11	482:2 491:4,15,17	667:13	decks 381:1 383:6	521:19 526:4
customers 471:16	491:19 492:5,7	day-to-day 553:3	decline 416:16	DEI 576:4,14
471:24 514:22	494:4,6 497:3,3	days 390:6 406:9	460:20 462:6	DeLAPPE 368:13
cut 458:13 480:25	497:16,16 500:7,8	637:8,15,17,25	483:13,14 585:8	369:7,10,13,19,22
482:20 577:6	501:1,3,24 502:8	638:14,15 639:3,7	decrease 462:14	370:17,17 388:5,8
581:17 582:2,3	505:2 507:25	639:8,16 640:18	463:15 606:2	397:19,24 403:3
586:8,9	508:17 524:14	640:18 643:3,4,5	decreases 472:7	403:14,15 404:25
cuts 424:3	528:23 529:2,8,15	643:8 644:23,24	473:4 635:14	405:2 406:22
cutting 456:5	543:17 552:10	644:24 645:2,13	dedicated 541:6	407:3,5 409:11
457:13 458:8	553:6 559:18,23	646:3 650:14	deductible 396:21	412:19 413:22
463:23 601:8	560:21 561:10	653:8 654:5	397:4,8	422:22 425:3,19
cyber 528:18	562:3 583:11,14	656:15 657:11,12	deductibles 395:20	426:10,19 427:11
cycles 638:12,21	583:18 584:6,8	659:14	396:14,18 397:2	428:16,18 431:3,7
640:18,25	585:21 591:22,23	dead 622:4	deep 575:22 660:19	431:11,12 435:19
Czeisler 634:18	618:10 619:8,9	deal 380:25 532:24	661:5	440:8,12,20 443:2
641:13 643:7	621:12 628:10,25	536:17 590:6	deeply 431:23	450:12,14 456:23
Czeisler's 631:20	629:8 630:8,9	635:3 636:6	deepwater 393:1	456:24 457:20,22
633:19 634:10	633:10 636:17	dealing 546:14	394:24	458:4,11,14,16
635:1	640:8,11 641:17	Dean 439:23 441:6	defending 406:10	459:12,13 463:20
	641:24 642:3,5	446:2	defense 412:3	464:1,18,22
D	643:14 644:13	dearth 407:8,20	417:5 522:1,14,19	469:16,23 470:15
D 429:23 492:16	649:2,14,15,20	debatable 522:7	522:25 619:14,14	470:16 471:21,22
D'Angelo 590:1,9	650:3 651:3	debt 612:13	Defense/Loss	488:20,23 489:5
594:14	652:22 653:6	December 469:25	388:14	493:4 496:14,19
dad 545:5,6	655:20 657:6	611:14	defensible 511:10	499:15,17 509:3
damage 536:14	658:2 660:2,2,5	decentralized 561:7	defer 525:8	509:11 518:2,4,8
damages 402:20	664:20	decided 514:10,17	define 653:13	518:11,16 532:13
406:11 408:19,21	date 367:24 390:3	531:4 581:14	defined 429:25	544:2,4 548:11
Dan 573:4 633:1	390:11,14 411:16	593:19 596:25	430:11 432:14	550:13,15,18,22
dangerous 541:13	429:21,22 430:17	616:24	436:4 438:24	551:2 568:20,23
594:24 660:25	430:18,18,22	decides 422:17	439:13,15,19	568:25 569:8
DANNER 368:23	613:5 655:2	579:18	442:13,14 444:2	582:23 587:15
386:11 425:12	dated 429:17	decision 407:14,17	463:16 610:25	588:7 597:9 602:8
444:24 516:12	dates 647:24 648:4	409:6,21 413:3	611:24,25 665:8	624:24 627:6,9,12
548:18 549:2,5	648:22	414:6 444:17	defining 381:15	627:18 628:20
665:21	David 369:12 427:5	515:18 541:10	648:8	647:9 658:18
data 389:11,12	449:9,18 559:7	570:4 579:22	definite 530:18	659:3,7,10 662:21
395:13,14 407:12	562:20 597:14	583:21 603:2	definitely 573:19	665:18
410:12 415:10	614:17	604:9 635:7 636:3	607:4 665:14	Delaware 522:3
435:16,22,24	day 370:3 430:20	636:4 640:3 650:1	definition 413:17	delay 644:17
436:10 452:15	535:19 548:22	decision-making	413:20 478:18	646:24
466:20 470:18,20				
	ı	I	ı	ı

delays 642:18,19,21	describe 371:12,16	497:20 508:14	612:7 615:8,12	disappearing
642:24 643:24,25	421:11 422:2	632:4	617:9 628:11	536:18
644:6 649:11	472:14 515:8	developed 375:22	653:16 654:14	Disappointment
650:13 651:12,13	603:25 613:16	580:14 652:13	656:25 660:17,24	580:20
delegated 371:24	described 514:24	655:14	661:2,3,4	disband 613:19
delivery 621:23	541:16 609:3	developing 537:25	differential 486:25	discern 476:1
Delta 556:8	653:2	596:9 655:7	487:4,7 500:6,25	disclosed 432:8
demographic	describes 432:20	development	503:10	disclosed 432.8
476:15	613:18	453:18 537:24	differently 418:11	disclosing 417.7
demonstrate	description 406:5	626:24 627:8	differing 655:11	562:25
403:21 467:6	description 400.3	developments	difficult 544:24	discovery 638:6
480:5 504:21	design 375:12	475:13	586:13 587:24	664:11
demonstrated	380:20 621:9	device 529:18	difficulties 664:10	discrepancy 382:15
394:6 641:15	designed 381:2	530:11	difficulty 378:1,16	discretion 515:10
demonstrative	537:20	diagram 583:3	378:20 379:8	discuss 374:13
582:12	desired 661:22	dialogue 655:8	567:15 593:22	434:25 454:4
denied 410:2,3	despite 457:18	dictionary 513:15	dig 655:21	513:9 514:1
619:16,19	565:23		dimension 383:16	534:14 624:3
depart 531:4	365:23 destructive 546:2	Diego 557:24 559:5 610:11	dimension 383:16 dimensions 375:7	534:14 624:3 664:10
_				
departed 588:12 589:18	Det 371:22	difference 381:19	379:20	discussed 391:4
	detail 438:5	408:12 589:11	direct 470:10	395:7 425:22
department 429:4	detailed 530:19	647:22 653:5	495:12 608:13	431:17 483:4
433:16 437:24,25	553:6 573:24,25	differences 472:18	614:2,9 628:25	569:19 649:1
439:18 441:9,10	644:13,13	555:16 556:5,12	directed 522:17	666:9
442:22 445:12,24	details 534:11	598:20 610:9	directing 543:2	discussing 431:15
446:1,5,5,11,13	568:10 569:19	different 393:13	direction 653:20	discussion 600:3
446:21 447:22,24	573:6	397:9 411:18	directions 541:12	604:25 624:4
448:9	determination	420:15 421:14	directly 385:16	629:4,6 633:14
departure 650:23	389:22 442:21	433:25 437:9	463:18 566:13	discussions 383:1
departures 651:1	443:22 444:6,20	438:15 461:20	568:7 569:17	416:19 431:20
depending 379:14	445:6,18,21	472:5 480:22,23	612:14	508:12,14,20,23
459:21 497:18	446:22 555:11	480:25 481:1,3	director 558:10	540:15 566:21
534:25 620:6	determine 461:8	483:11 504:7	571:18 572:17	568:9 608:8 609:8
depends 445:13	530:6 572:5 574:5	510:19 534:16	611:4	609:10,11,13
460:7 472:2 504:4	575:6	553:15,21 554:3	directors 569:13	dismissed 410:14
579:12	determined 389:16	554:23 556:18,20	disability 439:12	disobey 545:7
depicted 383:8	389:24 410:10	556:22 557:2,21	disagree 377:8	dispatched 629:21
deploying 543:2	460:9 561:25	560:16 561:1,24	490:20 533:15,19	630:17
597:3,3	584:12 607:10	563:12 576:12,16	535:21 544:8	display 413:9
deputy 389:1	determining	576:16 580:18	545:10	displayed 406:23
derailed 565:1	373:22 374:3	582:7 590:16	disagreed 526:19	641:17
derive 640:12	436:6 551:25	594:24 595:3,11	disagreement	displaying 442:8
derived 459:24	552:14 567:10	595:24 596:3,4,6	544:11	dispute 377:23
501:1	574:24	596:16 597:3	disappeared	568:18 629:12
descent 616:21	develop 476:18	598:5 604:12	406:17	disregard 533:20
		•	•	•

dissolve 612:13	DL-29X 474:12	482:18 544:12	drastically 590:16	earn 461:12 463:5
distance 464:13	475:4 478:2 497:2	545:19 546:11	636:13 643:23	470:25 471:2
distortion 456:13	501:2 508:17	554:24 640:13	draw 510:17	472:10,24 473:8
524:10	DL-30X 470:18	643:7	drawing 460:15	483:7 485:19,21
distorts 604:18	DL-32X 495:11	doing 404:7 440:19	487:5 560:7	486:5,8 487:14
distributable	DL-6 465:12,25	456:14 463:6	drawn 498:8 499:4	571:23 579:9
479:10	473:21 474:19	486:17 538:21	609:15	earned 471:9
distribute 606:12	476:20 477:9	539:20 541:19	drilling 624:11	482:23 485:3
distributed 471:4	480:17,21 482:2	553:23 595:24	driven 396:22	614:23
578:16,17 579:11	483:2 488:25	598:11 603:9	400:19	earning 485:1
580:9,11	492:4 493:12,21	635:21,25 650:3	driving 392:6,17	486:19 487:13
distribution 460:6	494:3,13 497:8	651:15 657:17	drop 416:22 585:10	563:6 578:9
460:20 467:7	DL-9 485:15,16	661:23	587:8,10,12 615:4	earnings 485:17
486:1,3	DNI 465:22 467:15	dollar 423:20	dry 419:10	486:13
distributions 460:8	468:4 479:17,22	461:13 539:7	Dudley 603:9	easier 588:9 655:22
460:11	479:23 483:10,22	dollars 402:3,18	due 406:8 486:25	East 610:17
district 553:4,20	483:24 484:13	404:3 423:19	493:9,18 494:17	easy 545:13,14
554:7 555:10	506:8,17 512:6,11	535:12 578:7	526:22 534:10	607:1,14,17
556:6,25 593:25	512:23 513:10	domestic 373:13	duly 371:6 387:7	630:13
615:18 626:2	515:10 551:20,25	523:6	427:22 449:18	ebrickenstein@h
districts 451:22	578:5,11 579:1,18	door 605:24	517:24 550:8	368:6
482:1 554:8	615:4	double-check 656:3	Durham 387:18	economic 489:12
555:14 557:7,8	dock 418:22 419:10	doubt 486:25 539:9	duties 634:22	490:11,14 494:23
558:12,14 563:10	523:25	594:3	637:25	540:5 541:20,21
563:18,19 598:9	docket 367:4 370:4	DOUMIT 368:24	duty 574:5 614:22	541:24 542:15
599:4	411:4	386:13 425:14	634:4 637:7	589:21 593:20
diverse 476:21,24	document 406:16	444:25 445:3,23	639:15 640:18	665:13
477:3 576:9	430:1,5 431:24	446:16 447:3,21	652:4,6,7,7	economist 551:9,11
616:20 618:1	432:20,20,23	448:6,16,19 516:8	653:13,17,18,22	551:12 622:16
diversification	433:12 443:14	548:15 665:22	653:25 654:5,6,7	education 451:10
575:15	455:2 526:3,6	download 526:11	654:12 656:16	482:7
diversify 576:20	528:2 599:25	Dr 631:20 633:19	657:9,19	educational 451:22
diversity 451:13,21	637:24 641:25	634:10,18 635:1	dynamic 615:8	effect 405:12
476:14,15 575:20	656:23	637:23 641:13		417:21,24 419:6
575:20 576:3,14	documentation	643:7		477:16 478:1
596:17,18 617:15	377:4	draft 430:14,14	E 368:1,1 430:3	495:4 622:17
divide 466:15	documented 474:4	443:12,14,17,19	647:2 649:7 667:1	641:5
divided 483:14	484:18 558:5	542:7 575:22	667:1	effective 390:20
division 444:8	622:15	drafted 443:21	e-mail 542:4 613:3 earlier 396:23	405:7,10,15,17
Divisions 371:22	documenting	444:3,12 445:19	425:22 431:20	409:13 411:15
DL-01T 492:17	532:10	drafts 431:1,2	458:8 473:17,24	effectively 414:15
506:14	documents 406:21	443:10,11 444:12	532:6 533:21	448:10
DL-02 453:1	455:5,8 466:24	dramatic 626:9	568:3 594:12	effectiveness 405:5
DL-07 487:25	470:11 474:2,5,7	dramatically 412:6	641:14 652:24	effects 409:5
DL-19 491:9 494:7	474:9,10,11	597:2	early 528:2 592:10	495:18,20,22,24
			Carry 520.2 592.10	

				<u> </u>
496:6	474:24 492:10	enlightened 540:10	441:18	482:24 494:18
efficiencies 651:15	499:23 502:19,25	ensure 466:16	ERISA-qualified	584:18 589:1
efficiency 580:6	619:3	515:22 536:13	442:14	612:20
628:4,12,14,18,24	employee 441:17	entails 371:17	error 406:7 538:23	evaluative 574:23
629:4,24 630:7,20	441:22 444:8	530:3	especially 407:8,20	evening 426:7
631:3,8,14 641:2	612:11,15 613:20	enter 523:8,9 535:3	543:6	663:7,8
641:16 643:13	employees 444:11	616:7 618:9	essence 383:7	event 394:15 422:5
649:22 650:6	611:3	entered 410:14	essentially 434:3	422:12 423:11
652:20,23 653:3	employer 429:24	entering 524:5	440:18	520:9
655:18,23 661:10	430:11 438:25	entire 486:25 487:3	Essex 369:3 370:11	eventually 460:24
661:15,17,20	439:20 441:23,25	492:12 589:1	370:23 371:6,11	462:8
efficient 661:23	442:1,14 443:18	610:15 613:11,15	372:9,16,23 385:4	Everglades 488:11
effort 475:15 476:6	446:4,7,10 518:8	619:1 625:17	385:8,11 386:5,15	609:24
562:11 568:16	employers 444:14	633:24	Essex's 381:6	everybody 442:9
653:10	446:8,8,9 511:24	entirely 389:23	establish 433:3	everyday 513:15
efforts 476:8	515:14,20 516:1,3	entirety 604:18	458:17 479:9	evidence 423:18
561:16 562:1	employment	entities 376:6	627:15	518:13 550:24
576:20	371:18 502:16	entitled 453:3	established 381:24	566:6 567:17,21
eight 399:11 555:19	550:19 611:8	465:13 534:8	396:23 476:10	568:21 570:9
562:19 588:13	enable 537:20	587:21,25	579:18	574:9 583:20
592:22 595:2	649:3	entry 404:4 422:15	establishes 586:7	597:10,11 616:3,3
647:19 648:13	enabled 631:7	493:13 619:16,19	establishing 402:23	616:8,16,18 617:1
652:3	enables 635:16	environment	479:10	618:5,11 624:25
eight-year 592:15	640:12	544:10 545:17	estimate 389:17,22	625:4 627:12
either 373:12	enabling 630:4	environmental	390:2,4,12,13	642:18 646:18
458:15 477:10,12	enactment 647:6	522:8 533:20	499:9	664:18
487:9 507:11	encountered	537:1	estimates 426:20	evident 577:18
528:9 569:17	531:12	environmentally	et 376:3 567:16	evidentiary 367:9
577:15 611:24	encourage 381:25	522:20 546:2	595:17 617:17	667:9
613:13 614:11,23	446:6	equal 433:8 434:7	648:1	evolved 418:9
615:10 650:22	endanger 530:21	461:10 462:25	ethical 522:21	exacerbated 664:19
656:14 657:16	ended 586:21	463:1,8,9 465:6	ethnic 451:12	exact 468:18 611:7
El 540:16,24	endorsement 589:4	637:1 639:11	evade 519:19,22	646:7
elect 420:25	ends 608:2	653:10	520:3,8 533:6	exactly 423:13
element 522:7	enforced 546:4	equipment 523:23	536:6,22 544:9,25	430:21 434:12,15
605:2	627:4	528:10 529:9	evaluate 482:3,7,13	442:15 446:24
elements 556:22	enforcement	530:5	482:15 579:25	447:2,15,20 448:4
629:14,16 638:7	533:14	equity 459:16,18	623:21	468:3 486:5,8
eliminate 521:10	enforcing 423:24	459:18,20 461:5,9	evaluated 451:1,9	542:10 559:14
eliminated 521:11	544:25	465:6 482:13	451:12,15	564:15 598:1
embark 426:5	engaged 454:12	equivalent 461:17	evaluating 455:16	611:7 659:15
emergency 414:12	473:14 569:14	483:23	459:15 574:5	exam 577:2,3
528:13,18	engagement 451:17	Eric 368:3 370:10	evaluation 393:12	583:18 584:10,10
emphasized 527:11	engine 621:24	Eriksen's 622:16	393:14 450:17	584:17,17 586:6,7
employed 474:23	enhancement 634:2	ERISA 438:17	475:8 478:8,14	586:9,10,11,13,23
	-	=	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

588:23 589:7,13	595:8 599:1	exhibit 378:7 383:8	537:5 557:14	551:14,15,17
592:3,13,25 593:4	exceptional 547:17	388:11,18 391:25	655:12	576:21 634:17
616:6,6 617:4,5	exceptions 414:11	392:4 407:15	existence 574:9	expertise 381:12
617:11,13 618:8	excerpt 601:19	408:2 409:4	existing 442:13,16	418:3 575:24
examination 369:1	excess 631:21	410:11 411:4	605:19,20	experts 595:14
369:2 385:9	639:11	415:8,9 428:25	exit 618:16	expired 440:21
412:22 440:25	excessive 633:20	429:11,23 430:3	expand 520:24	explain 441:2
510:6 515:6 517:4	635:4	430:16 432:24	521:14	442:25 497:6,15
517:8 528:3 544:3	exchange 523:21	435:9,14,21	expect 460:2,4,18	510:10 544:11
659:9	542:5 651:3	436:21 439:3,11	470:25 471:2	578:13 641:25
examine 528:5	excludable 384:3	453:1 465:12	504:10 539:8	explained 489:20
529:15,24 545:15	exclude 375:12	469:8 470:17	592:16,24	497:22,24 600:1
620:5	494:17 659:13	474:12 476:20	expected 555:24	explaining 413:23
examined 371:6	excluded 376:8	477:9 478:2 480:9	expecting 404:13	487:7
387:7 427:22	383:7 476:2	480:20 481:16	expense 603:22	explanation 440:11
449:18 517:24	493:18 494:20	485:15,15 487:25	606:24,25 607:2	492:20 498:1,2
550:8	497:16 498:3	488:12 491:9,11	expenses 397:5,6,7	explore 431:10
example 384:13	557:7 656:13	491:16,17 494:6	463:1,13,15,18	exposure 394:15
396:24,25 436:2	exclusions 375:9	495:11 501:9,12	465:9 469:6 470:5	400:11,12 412:8
439:3 447:8	376:6 414:9	502:7,10,24 505:3	470:23 547:17	412:10 415:17
474:21 486:18	exclusively 564:24	507:24 508:17	562:10 578:18	422:6,15
489:19,22 523:4	565:18	510:8 526:5	579:13 580:7	exposures 397:10
528:12 537:7	excuse 396:1 435:3	527:23 532:14	615:11	414:17 416:9
540:12,24 547:4	435:14 437:24	538:12 544:7	expensive 420:17	expressing 650:4
547:18 553:16	476:4 488:24	547:3,8 569:2	539:4	expressly 495:19
555:5,20 558:23	492:5 494:20	582:12 593:8	experience 371:13	extended 409:15
561:5 588:17	501:9 505:8	597:14 600:11,13	372:25 405:15	581:18
594:12 610:6	excused 386:16	601:17 611:10	421:25 422:4	extensively 622:15
634:25	425:17 449:1	613:1 625:7,13	424:24 447:13,22	extent 477:22 536:4
examples 376:15	516:15 549:7	626:17,18 627:14	447:23 452:6,9	537:15 555:4
472:13 477:11	executing 632:10	627:16 633:6	453:5,15 455:14	559:2,3 599:25
559:6 560:4	executive 449:25	640:13 644:16	457:2,25 525:9	external 375:6
596:24 599:7	571:18 572:16	656:22 660:8	540:8 554:18	extra 468:12
662:9	611:4	exhibits 391:23	567:15 569:25	539:25
exams 529:11	executives 571:5	406:25 429:13,15	575:21 576:13	extraneous 467:5,6
583:12 586:4	exempt 376:8	454:19 455:1	596:17,18 602:25	467:21 649:20
589:2 592:9,17,18	exemptible 376:14	473:20 508:24	608:10 617:13	extraordinarily
592:23	exemption 423:8	518:12 524:15	experienced 548:7	522:1 539:4
exceed 500:19	531:20	540:13 546:17,22	experiences 511:23	extreme 581:7
505:17 522:23	exemptions 376:15	550:23 559:7,13	540:9 581:7	extremely 414:8
exceeded 504:18	530:20 531:1,6	559:16 560:15	596:16	539:23 619:12
539:6	exercise 471:7	562:20 569:10	experiencing	Exxon 534:18
exceeds 505:3	exercising 515:9	637:14	539:23	539:6
exception 375:15	exhaust 593:1,4	exist 393:14 430:1	expert 398:19,24	eye 456:18
375:16 467:8	exhausted 592:14	430:5 455:22	399:2 534:10,14	
				F
	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	1

				1 age 000
F 667:1	facts 448:2,5	610:5 612:12	feeder 499:4 595:16	403:21 404:5,11
face 410:19 521:3	568:20 597:9	625:9,19 629:8,25	feels 407:11 616:9,9	419:14,20 420:4
facilities 420:19	624:25 625:4	650:18,20	616:10	423:5,8 457:8,9
fact 410:6 447:2	factual 616:8 646:9	familiarity 568:5	feet 376:21 379:19	471:4 474:3,15
496:20 508:11	fail 456:13 546:6	627:20,21	385:22	475:16 482:2
523:11 530:8	failed 585:24	familiarize 523:22	fell 465:20	496:13 535:2,8,11
531:20 535:7	fails 546:6	families 541:4	fellow 539:15,17	536:3,9 554:6,15
538:8 552:17	fair 377:22,24	fan 447:24	felt 490:11 521:6	555:2 557:12
571:4 574:7,19	382:12 444:15	far 382:16 392:10	female 615:22	560:13 562:3,25
591:19 613:15	512:1,20,22	425:20 464:21	ferry 498:21 499:19	financials 554:20
617:10,11 624:16	513:10 515:12,13	470:14,14 484:2	575:21 591:16	607:5,6
637:20 664:19	518:23 519:3	492:14 639:19	596:2	find 469:10 474:21
factor 382:7 394:22	524:10 528:3,19	641:10	fewer 524:11	475:20 501:9,22
394:25 395:3	536:20 537:18	farebox 433:5,7	fide 441:16	526:5 534:17
396:2,9,14 398:11	538:24 551:21	434:1,15,19,23	fifth 368:19 557:4	564:12 644:18
434:11,17,21	552:9 556:2	Faro 540:17,24	fighting 581:16	finding 414:13
466:7,21 467:14	558:15 561:13	farther 592:13	figure 506:1,6,16	574:10
467:16 479:2	562:7 565:7 572:6	fashion 470:12	508:6 511:14	finds 408:16
489:14,17 494:22	574:7,10,16,24	fast 599:11 658:7	608:9 623:12,25	fine 425:21,24
495:19 565:14,15	575:6,14 598:15	faster 445:25	640:19 647:2,4	447:17 457:13
574:4,22 576:19	612:4 614:25	462:12 463:13	648:24 649:7,7,7	510:4 575:25
577:21 578:1	629:3 634:13	469:14 470:23	649:12 656:8,12	582:25 596:20
589:25 590:3	658:22 664:14,17	fatigue 551:16	657:4,4 659:11,12	616:15 617:10
619:4 622:2,13	fairly 524:17 548:9	643:8	figures 382:17	658:15
factors 391:15	558:8 607:18	fatigue-caused	483:19 538:9	fines 418:25
392:6,11,13,16,21	610:16 661:6	633:23	file 501:20 511:13	finish 509:17 666:9
393:3 395:7,17	faith 615:22	favorable 444:5	554:14	fire 653:24 654:1,2
396:13 397:9,13	fall 395:18 398:4	445:21	filed 495:8 501:16	firefighter 653:21
399:11,12,13	413:3	favorably 575:8	512:13 554:24	fires 653:23
400:5,14 411:19	fallen 399:18	feature 646:2	573:4 633:6	first 370:8 371:6
477:7,10,20 478:9	falls 398:1 399:22	features 555:10	663:19	375:14 377:19,19
515:8 552:8,11,15	467:18	February 390:21	filing 468:8 495:8	387:7 393:4
552:17,22,22	false 618:3	429:2,17 430:19	495:11,21 501:16	413:11 421:18,22
553:9,10 554:3	familiar 376:24	553:25 642:13	557:8 562:21	423:22 424:7
556:16 563:14,23	380:20 402:14,22	644:4 651:9	filings 496:21	426:17 427:5,22
565:17 566:15	403:3,19 419:2	federal 405:22,22	557:11	429:21 442:10
567:12 570:24	467:3 468:8	413:16 416:1	fill 589:16	449:18 451:19
577:23 590:3	472:18 496:10,20	419:19 420:3,12	final 438:23 439:15	452:10,12,19
598:7 600:2,8	523:11 526:3	420:23,24 421:5	439:21 440:5	470:1 478:4 496:8
601:11 602:16,19	527:22,24 530:8	534:18 536:8	441:20 443:17	517:24 522:13,19
604:12,16 617:14	534:22 535:4,6,16	560:16 589:4	446:1 515:18	534:7 542:19
619:10,21 620:1,2	535:17,18 537:8	620:8	finally 603:12	550:8 553:3
621:6,8 622:7,20	537:11 538:13,14	federally 421:5	655:18	566:11 567:2
623:23,23,25	580:19,21 581:4	Fee 596:24	financial 401:4,19	571:7 572:1
633:13,21 659:19	583:13 597:21,23	feed 370:23 530:6	401:23 402:9	573:19 579:16
	,			
	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	I

Page 684

				1 agc 00+
587:16 591:14	544:25	Force 581:16	407:6 409:14	401:9,12 406:21
601:19 617:12	flags 519:20 533:2	forced 514:22	469:22 538:11	423:21 464:6,10
619:13 621:1	544:17 560:19	forecast 618:12	541:17 544:7	546:7,9 600:20
627:15 628:13	fleet 422:3 520:5	foregoing 667:8	563:2 636:18	602:12 630:9
631:4 632:21	526:24 532:5	foreign 419:18	foundation 381:24	frontline 522:1
638:10 640:16,21	533:24 621:2	422:2 519:2,5,20	627:6,9,11	frustrating 469:11
first-party 421:23	fleets 622:1	520:5,13 522:15	four 390:10 468:12	FT 492:14
firsthand 380:19	flexibility 517:19	523:6,13 524:5	468:19 469:3	FTE 635:6
fiscal 390:2	flexible 666:2	530:9 531:10,19	490:5,24 491:7	fuel 375:7 420:2
fiscally 665:12	flight 506:3,22	533:23	560:2 571:6,8	422:20 621:23,23
fish 541:11,13	508:7 618:1,6	foreseeable 495:16	585:4 592:12,18	fuels 621:24,25
595:17	flip 391:25	forget 622:23	592:22 640:9	fulfill 432:23
fishing 420:2	flipped 419:10	forgive 618:20	fourth 368:14	fulfilling 574:5
660:21	floating 543:6	form 441:24 443:12	556:4 586:9	full 413:5 492:11
fit 441:21 442:1	flow 621:24	446:10 583:11	Fox 368:13	500:13 518:5
596:21 652:4,6,6	flowing 408:19,21	606:4	frame 416:17	538:3 540:10
652:7 657:9,18	fluctuate 463:18	forma 469:4	426:14 466:18	538:3 540:10 541:1 546:24
652:7 657:9,18 fits 594:17				
five 416:7 418:23	fluctuations 462:11 fly 598:18	formas 496:10,13 format 583:14	484:7 488:18 540:19 592:15	550:16 581:19 590:5 602:11
424:18 429:13		formed 432:12		
	flying 520:5 666:6		631:7,14 661:21	603:6 613:11
437:10 503:18	focus 441:12	505:25	France 595:22	656:23
552:11,15,16,22	488:25	former 383:3	Francis 439:23	full-time 492:7,9,9
552:25 553:1	focused 394:25	465:10 568:12	441:6 446:2	492:14
554:1 564:3,5	397:22 470:14	forming 432:10	Francisco 482:1,4	fully 548:8 553:17
568:3 571:7	555:21 556:24	formula 375:21,23	489:22 490:3,22	604:9 664:19
613:17 625:24	folks 448:12 545:23	434:18 443:15,17	494:12 531:13	fully-funded
630:25 631:21	576:6	573:20 578:21	561:18 567:5	611:25 665:7
632:16 633:3	follow 445:3 462:4	580:5,9,13 600:4	568:14,16 569:4	function 383:23,25
638:13 639:4	493:24 569:9	600:7 601:12,14	569:15 572:25	587:13 635:17
641:11 642:22	570:18 604:7	605:7 607:9	588:17 608:12,21	654:11
662:24 663:1	followed 494:2	608:17	609:2,6,21 610:12	fund 462:7 573:16
five-minute 590:22	following 397:12	formulaic 636:6	627:2 632:23	609:9 610:24
five-year 595:23	513:9 514:20	forth 436:10	frank 621:14	611:23
600:6	515:3 533:25	563:15 566:17	Franklin 623:5,18	fundamental
fixed 471:15,17,23	534:18 567:12	569:25 570:24	frankly 496:3 620:5	385:17
471:25 473:3	585:4 629:22	574:20 575:23	frequencies 554:23	funded 603:23
607:16	630:17 646:8	576:8 590:12	557:21	608:23 635:9
flag 419:18 422:3	648:7 658:14	596:15 597:25	frequency 592:8	funding 599:13
519:2,4,5,7 520:5	follows 371:7 387:8	598:22 599:1	649:23	635:10
520:13,16,18	427:23 449:19	600:10 623:17	Friday 517:7,9	funds 562:10
522:9,15 523:6,13	517:25 550:9	655:20	friend 443:24	further 372:18
524:5 530:9	579:17	forward 490:12	friendly 602:17	385:3 386:6
531:11,19 532:11	footnote 495:13	499:18 589:12	603:3	408:14 410:19
533:9,11,14,23	496:1,4,5	626:24 639:21,21	friends 448:11	412:19 438:5
541:19 544:14,20	For-profit 451:24	found 405:7 406:7	front 395:9 400:20	440:21 441:7
	1	•	•	•

Page 685

				1 age 000
444:21 448:20	576:4,6 621:13	533:12 542:23	530:25 531:8,11	graph 647:8 650:9
500:2 508:2 509:3	645:1 647:3 666:3	545:19 546:19	537:16 540:9	Grays 467:8 589:20
510:21 515:1	gimmicks 382:19	552:3 554:22	542:23 545:2,2	590:1 593:17,20
516:5 548:12	give 373:11 377:25	556:4 557:4	546:24 549:21	594:9 610:19,22
564:4 572:10	390:15 403:8	563:16 564:4,12	552:18 556:20	610:25 611:13,20
587:19 662:21	441:11 456:10,25	564:17 572:10	560:5 564:4	612:2 613:4,14,18
665:15	464:17 467:17	580:1 584:5	569:21,22 572:4	614:11,18,23
future 608:8 640:4	472:19 474:10,20	589:12 593:8,19	576:10 579:3	615:3,12
	537:7 560:4	593:20 596:19	580:4 581:25	great 371:2 385:20
G	564:19 580:25	597:17,19 600:15	586:2,23 587:19	392:9 397:12
G 649:7,12	581:13 592:13,25	601:17 603:6	587:22 591:20	399:24 429:7
gain 453:22 455:24	601:2 632:20	605:1 609:14,23	592:2,13,20	474:18 493:5
613:21 614:22	634:24 635:2,12	612:18,18 613:1	594:16 603:10	501:10 502:11
gained 455:11	644:17	613:19 615:13	607:23,24 608:5	521:25 532:24
gains 661:17	given 374:3 407:8	616:6 617:7,20	611:5,11 612:8	550:3,11 558:6,10
gauge 542:11	407:20 413:18	623:20 624:8	616:2 617:15	558:13 559:5,9
gender 451:12	423:10 488:19	626:4,4 631:17	618:14,16,17,17	560:24 598:24
general 368:9	495:16 510:14	634:23 640:15	623:12 625:2,24	633:25 634:1
370:15 379:6	522:12 534:7	643:19 649:12,16	626:4,6,13 627:4	657:23 658:3
380:22,23 383:25	539:7 549:18	654:9,13 656:6	631:2 636:15	659:16 661:4,7,8
388:12 395:24	557:13 560:23	657:15 659:6	639:25 646:6	greater 379:8
396:1 398:8	561:7 574:22	go-forward 494:21	647:19,20 648:1,3	394:16 479:17
455:13 457:1	594:1 598:15	goal 444:9	648:4,13,24	622:16,17
459:14 470:5	620:18 633:16	God 539:19 545:5	657:20 658:6	greatly 461:24
472:8 478:15	653:10	goes 421:20 458:10	Golden 419:2 537:9	539:12
535:6 536:12	gives 386:3	479:25 489:14	538:3	Greece 422:10
537:2 541:16	giving 377:18 577:6	570:24 574:21	golf 654:7	Greek 422:11
545:24 576:22	glad 429:7 655:20	596:17 634:3	good 371:11 372:16	Grenoble 595:21
generally 453:21	657:5,12	656:20	380:25 388:9	gross 375:21 376:8
455:16 459:25	glove 634:3	going 370:4 375:2	428:19,19,24	383:7 384:3,17
467:9 471:6	go 381:4 385:20	377:14,18 381:5,6	450:15,16 489:10	406:2,14 408:12
472:11,15,16,21	386:24 393:21	384:25 385:18	489:13 509:6	408:20 409:9
472:21 476:23	399:17 402:15,16	390:25 393:24	511:1,2 535:19	410:24 414:9
504:10 534:23	413:2,3 422:14	397:12 413:25	546:6 579:25	461:13,14,17,19
535:17	426:18 442:8	414:5 415:15	588:4 615:22	461:20 462:14,19
generated 479:24	447:8 460:24	420:11 421:22	624:3,3 661:6	465:8 597:23
579:3	464:21 465:3	422:6 425:5,18	663:7,8	ground 546:14
generating 418:17	468:14 469:12	426:8,9,16 427:1	gotten 586:20	553:16 554:4
gentle 548:6	473:21 495:13	427:13,14 430:23	govern 561:2	556:9,11,15 582:9
Georgia 419:3	509:10 510:8	443:5 447:18	governing 567:11	582:18 594:23
537:9 539:5	519:15,16,23	450:22 452:2	government 534:18	595:20 596:7,10
Germanischer	521:1 523:20	465:1 470:8 477:2	grain 621:17	596:20 608:14
371:23	525:10 526:14	506:24 509:5	grant 413:25 425:5	619:18,19,22,23
getting 400:11	528:1,12,21	514:11,17 517:1	443:5 625:2	660:4,6
425:6 479:18	529:21 532:19	521:16,16 528:6	granular 640:11	grounds 437:5
484:9 512:14				
	1		1	1

				1 age 000
475:22 477:6	609:17 610:2	446:12,14	603:6,18 605:13	642:19 643:25
479:6 480:5,22	633:2 662:10	Guinea 530:15	614:6 625:6,11	happening 529:16
482:25 494:18	664:24	Gulf 530:15 610:17	627:7,10,19	560:6,7 622:1
551:24 552:18	grow 453:12 527:3	guys 604:7 636:15	628:23 629:11	650:5
553:12 554:10	growing 526:22		646:12,22,23	happens 636:16
555:16 557:14,17	527:8 621:2,18	H	647:12,15 656:1,5	637:21 645:18
557:20,23 559:3,4	624:20	Haglund 368:3,4	658:8,17 659:17	happy 575:18
562:9 564:2,24	growth 391:4	369:8,11,14,16,18	661:9,18	654:23 657:15
565:12,18 573:13	GRT 373:12	369:21 370:9,9,9	Haglund's 614:2	harbor 420:6 467:8
574:1 588:13	374:23 375:8	371:9 387:11,12	haglund@hk-la	491:20 494:4,8
595:3,11 597:3	376:11,13,18	387:17,20,23	368:6	556:7 589:20
610:5	377:11 381:19	388:1 397:17	hair 394:17 537:23	590:1 593:17,20
group 412:5 414:18	382:17,20 385:16	402:25 404:21	Hakai 546:23 547:4	594:9 610:20,22
414:20,23 415:1	386:2	406:22 409:1	half 426:11 461:15	610:25 611:13,20
424:25 426:11	GT 373:13,17	411:6 412:21,23	461:19 492:8	612:2 613:14,18
452:13,15 465:13	374:21 375:4,18	413:8,15 414:3	496:8 572:1,1	614:12,18,23
466:8,15 473:11	377:9 381:20	417:19,20 423:1,3	593:7 638:18	615:3,12 631:12
474:22,24 475:9	382:16 385:11,16	425:8 426:8,23,24	639:2,19,22,23	Harbor's 613:4
475:25 476:7	385:24	427:6,25 428:2,6	645:2,7 653:17	hard 407:7,19
477:3 484:19,19	guarantee 420:8,21	428:9,12 430:23	half-hour 426:13	415:15 539:24
497:18 507:12,17	420:22,23 423:19	431:13 435:18	hand 371:3 375:9	541:4 569:8
508:5 516:4	579:11,14	440:7,24 441:1	386:24 387:5	harder 481:13
543:15 551:20,22	guaranteeing	443:7 444:21	427:20 449:16	hat 539:10
552:13 558:21	535:10	448:21 449:21,23	517:21 538:22	hate 543:8
559:19 560:1	guarantees 421:10	450:2,5,8 456:19	550:5 557:1 634:3	Hawaiian 616:22
573:15 574:3,18	guarantor 420:11	457:16,23 458:3	667:13	head 383:3 403:5
598:14 617:18	420:13	458:12,20,25	handful 642:22	417:12
641:10 662:12	Guard 371:25	464:4,12 469:14	handle 599:2 624:1	headed 640:3
group's 576:20	383:3 384:5 420:8	469:20 470:8	634:12 642:16	headline 625:18
groups 412:7,11	420:24 521:25	471:19 488:18	handled 623:20	health 541:6
414:18 415:5	524:12 525:22	489:1 492:25	handles 598:20	healthy 596:18
418:7 424:14	526:3 527:19	496:12 499:14	handling 374:1	hear 370:13,16,19
425:2 455:4 467:9	528:8 529:17	509:5,23 510:7	595:15	370:25 380:3
467:19,23 474:19	531:15 558:8,9	511:15,17 515:5,7	hang 531:25	386:21 427:17
475:21 476:16,21	563:3 581:6,15,18	516:5 518:19,21	happen 397:8	449:13 457:13
481:3,8,10 482:9	581:21 599:24	518:22 524:20,25	403:20 419:11	517:16 549:25
483:19,24 484:15	622:5 627:2	525:7,16,17	462:8 514:18	550:4 582:24
484:21,25 489:12	660:10,22 661:8	532:15,17 543:22	533:22 540:25	heard 380:8 390:4
498:2 507:1 513:3	Guard's 580:19	549:13,16,18,23	544:19 548:25	390:12 440:15
514:10,16 516:2	guess 426:13 447:3	551:5,7 568:23	580:22 618:14,16	472:2 548:20
553:15 554:11,13	477:23 479:18	569:6 570:7 573:2	618:17,18,19	569:2 618:15
554:19 555:1	530:25 570:5	582:21 583:1,2,4	619:11	627:22 628:4
558:16 559:9,10	597:17 598:6	583:7 587:21,25	happened 537:11	629:25 631:4
559:12,14 563:1	612:22	588:3,6,10 590:17	582:22 587:3,4,5	652:18
565:6 571:20,25	guidance 441:21	591:5 597:12	587:6,7 618:14,22	hearing 367:9
		598:12 601:5,16		
	-	-	-	-

				1 age 007
370:4 376:22	577:1,15 585:17	hooked 541:13	463:24 464:2,7,16	481:16,25
386:17 416:21	585:23 646:1	hope 548:8	470:13 496:17	idea 375:23 402:11
425:17 449:1	652:19	hopeful 458:9	509:10,13 510:4	478:15 572:23
457:17 458:22	highway 591:13,17	590:13	510:22 511:17	ideally 483:22
460:11 509:24	hired 487:10 551:8	hopefully 445:19	515:3 516:6,13,24	identical 433:4,12
		445:20 608:7		
516:13,15 517:11	551:13,15,16		517:20 518:2,17	434:3 461:7 Identification
549:8 554:22	hires 487:10	644:7 651:18	524:20 525:4,13	
567:25 614:2	historically 405:7	hoping 427:7	544:1 548:13	529:24
618:18 636:8	history 371:18	456:16	549:6,16,24 550:3	identified 540:14
646:17 666:11	520:7 539:2	horizon 624:20	550:11 551:3	552:25 554:18
667:9	633:24 649:2	Horn 530:15,22	568:22 569:3,11	557:18 563:4,17
heart 615:25	hit 521:3 541:4	hour 426:11,12	572:9 583:2	625:12 626:19
height 379:18	620:24 651:23	427:15 471:9,10	587:22 590:21	identify 401:7
382:6	Hmm 472:4	hourly 472:9,24	591:2 597:15	476:5 561:12
held 536:13	hold 432:19,22	473:7	605:9 614:1 625:2	identifying 623:15
Hello 388:10	holds 462:16	hours 471:17,25	625:7 627:15	627:2
help 545:25 565:17	home 526:10	472:6,19 473:3	629:2 646:6,13	illegal 519:24 532:8
helped 431:13	homework 532:21	541:8 554:2,2	658:10,22 659:6	Illinois 503:19
632:4	Honestly 654:10	595:2 629:23	662:23 665:17,19	illustrate 461:4
helpful 643:14	Honor 371:1	642:22,22 643:19	665:24 666:7	imagine 451:22
helps 458:12	372:13 381:5,14	645:22 647:19,20	Hueneme 557:24	541:18 549:25
hereunto 667:12	381:18 384:21	647:25 648:1,7,7	559:5 610:11	598:3
hesitating 477:11	385:15 386:10,11	648:14 666:2	hull 375:19 533:18	immediately
high 445:19 446:4	386:14,18 387:12	Houston 561:5	human 538:4,17,18	581:17 617:20
451:23 512:18	388:6 402:25	616:12	538:21	630:17
545:22 558:9	412:21 417:19	HOWARD 367:11	humans 538:1	IMO 522:23 533:11
576:7 585:12	425:9,12,13,14,19	370:2,12,16,19,22	hundreds 535:12	533:12 544:23
586:12,17 594:1	426:8 428:16	371:2,9 372:11	578:7	impact 381:17
618:8 626:21	430:23 440:7	378:6,9,12 381:11	hurricane 540:20	395:21 399:6,13
high-standard-b	444:24 445:1	381:23 385:6,18	hypothesis 507:12	400:6,21 415:14
586:24	448:20,21 449:23	386:7,15,19,23	hypothetical	415:16 579:23
higher 394:4,5	450:12 456:20	387:1,4,10 388:3	447:25,25 461:4	581:21
400:17 418:22	458:12 463:20	403:7 404:23	462:21 464:23	impacted 412:6,7
460:5 461:20	470:8 509:7,23	409:3 412:20	581:13,24 582:6,6	495:1
488:10 491:1	510:23 511:15	413:25 417:16	597:10,13 625:22	impacting 392:18
503:7,13 504:10	515:2 516:9,11	425:5,10,15 426:3	Hypothetically	impacts 373:7
515:21 521:8	518:19 525:1,8	426:15,22 427:3	423:4	380:1 395:8
578:22,24 641:10	548:15,16 549:12	427:10,12,19,25	hypotheticals	398:12,16 399:1
highest 500:16	572:3 590:17	428:14 431:9	447:16 465:4	493:9,19 497:7
502:16 504:22	605:12 646:22	440:14,23 443:5		impediments
531:25 586:15	656:2 658:9,17,19	444:22 445:2	I	442:12
616:24 624:21	663:3 665:16,18	448:23 449:7,12	i.e 575:21	impleaded 406:11
highlight 567:1	665:23	449:15,21 450:10	IAS[sic 529:25	implementation
highlighted 601:21	Honor's 659:4	456:16,21 458:5	IC-13 640:6 646:15	533:13 544:23
highly 495:18	hook 541:11	458:20 459:5,9	656:22	631:5 647:24
			IC-14 480:9,20	002.0017.21
			l	1

				1 agc 000
648:4,23	incentives 580:6,12	466:8,12,14,18,24	602:22 603:20	indicate 404:11
implemented	636:6	468:24 471:4	606:2 610:22	467:8 484:4
522:23 569:23	incident 389:3	473:25 477:18	612:22 613:7	511:24 587:11
570:2 578:21	392:24 393:6,7,8	478:7 479:11,12	614:8 623:8	indicated 372:11
584:25 628:12	393:13,15,18,20	479:16,24 482:24	624:13,17 625:25	388:3 469:2
641:4 648:6,11,19	396:24 424:22	483:6,17,18,18,24	626:8,9,11,14	517:14 518:17
648:21	538:8,25 541:4	484:3,4,24 485:2	635:5 640:14	526:9 662:24
implements 522:22	incidents 418:18	485:8,10,25 486:3	641:6,16 642:24	indicates 470:7
importance 632:8	include 381:15	486:17 487:23	652:22 653:3	indicating 616:3
632:15	392:22 414:9,10	488:1,4,8,9,15,16	663:17 664:6	indication 373:17
important 381:16	477:10 478:8	488:17,21 489:7	increased 402:10	515:16 574:15
466:7 467:4	482:23 490:2,22	489:15,21 490:2,5	418:19 457:18	indications 619:20
500:12 522:1	498:3 520:12	490:6,23 491:12	493:7 538:15	indicator 382:17
555:23 562:6,7	581:24 657:17	490:0,23 491:12	599:20 624:21	643:16
1				
575:16,23 587:2 589:3 603:25	included 402:7 410:25 411:14	493:8 494:7,7,17 494:20 506:1,6,7	626:2,3 increases 389:5	indicators 649:21 649:23 651:19
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
618:10 619:13,24	466:6 467:20	506:16,17,21	392:17 395:20	655:7,17 individual 389:3,8
620:10,11,11	480:24 481:5	507:13,18 508:6,6	396:14,18,21	,
622:9 632:19	489:22 492:6,15	510:15 551:23	397:1,4,7,8 415:7	390:21 421:17,17
647:21 648:3	493:21 494:4,14	552:14 555:12	415:15,21 417:24	450:17 451:1,9
imposed 389:6	497:17 504:6,23	559:17,21 560:2	462:13 472:7	454:4 456:2 457:4
imposes 403:18	512:9 559:8,10	562:14 565:13	477:13 635:14	470:25 485:17
impossible 404:22	610:23	566:1 567:10,12	637:19	560:25 661:19
564:8	includes 401:18	573:17,22 577:10	increasing 391:16	individual's 455:18
impound 523:24	465:25 491:11	578:16,17,20	392:14,22 470:23	individually 497:20
impress 454:11	498:21 515:13	579:11 580:1,10	526:23 527:13	661:18
improperly 537:13	572:21 606:21	580:11 597:6,22	533:8 622:13,14	individuals 377:5
537:14	633:12	597:23 600:9	623:14,15 624:7	448:9 453:25
improve 546:8	including 375:5,7	610:8 614:16	650:14	468:21 520:6
629:23 661:16,19	490:12 498:8	incomes 558:21	incredible 533:10	567:19 584:6
improved 400:14	500:14 509:25	incoming 523:15	incredibly 645:22	industries 451:21
527:11 589:20	520:14 533:11	inconsistent 557:21	incremental 614:22	453:10 500:14
628:14 630:6,19	544:13 553:7	incorporated	indemnified 421:19	industry 391:12
630:24 631:14	556:6 559:9,11	411:22 412:14	423:23	451:20 452:7,11
661:14	565:14,14 567:19	477:24 637:13	indemnity 391:19	452:16,17,20,24
improvement	581:22 589:21	incorrect 538:9	421:16 424:12	453:9,16 454:3
456:22 628:18	591:15 657:7,8	564:21	534:4,6,8	455:25 499:3
634:2 654:25	income 388:14	increase 414:21	independent	521:20 534:2
improvements	389:18,20 390:5,8	415:2,17 468:16	462:22,24 463:2,3	545:9 546:13
628:4 655:24	416:15,22 417:5,5	470:5 473:5	463:4,12 465:5,10	548:20 549:1
improving 633:25	459:23 460:6,15	478:15 490:25,25	474:18,20 475:20	571:17 573:15,22
inaccuracies	460:20,23 461:1	491:1 512:6 513:1	476:9	574:12 575:8
377:10	461:10,20 462:6,7	513:6,7 514:20	independently	600:4
inadvertent 478:20	462:19 463:17	532:11 573:16	474:25 476:18	inefficient 642:1
inbound 524:7	465:13,15,21	580:7,9,10 601:24	index 601:10	643:10
	ı	ı	I	1

infer 398:22 505:2	inquiring 623:1	interest 459:19	involve 393:19	664:15
inflation 396:8	insert 430:18	516:25 546:8	397:16	issued 438:23
418:20 490:25	inside 591:17,18	interested 492:9,11	involved 371:19	439:25 441:19
494:22 580:16,16	599:3	interesting 570:1	382:8 389:4 411:6	442:24 444:13
inflationary 395:24	insignificant	570:17	411:19 414:20	446:1 495:17
396:1	577:21	interests 574:22	449:24 463:17	535:9,9 584:24
influence 540:6	insist 424:9	interface 538:17	521:2,19 553:9	issues 426:13
influencing 391:15	inspected 524:12	interim 495:7	562:12 566:13,18	446:15 522:4
392:13 396:2,9	524:17 525:22	internal 389:23	566:20 572:13,15	523:21 530:23
informal 448:16	inspections 527:18	429:2,14 432:13	573:19 577:8	531:12 532:3
information 390:7	inspections 327.18	432:15 434:25	600:1 601:11	549:15 566:15,20
	_		602:16 604:13	596:14 617:10
391:11 392:8	529:11,17,24	435:12 442:3,5		
402:7 417:8 438:1	instance 421:22	443:21 444:1,5,9	619:10,21 620:1,2	649:8 650:11
441:4,7 444:19	423:23 424:8	445:21 447:13	621:6,16 634:20	655:13 660:16
450:20 455:4	457:7 472:17	international	643:7	issuing 447:25
458:22 466:10,11	474:21 513:22	373:14 375:18,25	involvement	ITC 373:13,17
466:13,19 467:1,5	626:25	543:15 547:16	413:19	374:21 375:4,18
474:10 475:21,25	institutions 576:7	620:8	involves 397:21	377:9 381:20
476:1,7,15 482:4	instructing 422:23	internet 456:17	involving 393:8	382:13,16 385:11
482:6 483:21	instructive 648:5	457:16 458:10	396:15 406:5	385:16,24
484:1,5 485:8	648:22	463:21,25	475:13 531:10	item 530:4 559:10
490:7,8 492:13	insurance 387:14	interpret 478:14	540:23	602:25 605:3
493:23 497:19,19	387:16 389:20	interpretation	irrelevant 631:25	611:15
501:12 505:9	398:18 400:9	408:25	IRS 429:6 442:21	items 492:15
508:12 512:12,14	402:4 403:2 409:6	interpreting 408:16	444:5,7 445:6,11	527:12 553:1
529:13 535:22	414:14 415:6,7,15	interprets 440:9	445:13 446:21	564:5 602:19
538:19 542:8	416:15,25,25	interrupt 524:21	447:7,18,19 448:9	603:10 652:19
543:19 544:14	417:5,6 418:6,16	588:5	Islands 520:17	- J
552:12 555:2,6	419:4 420:5,6,7,9	interrupting 440:8	isolation 604:17	
557:6,20 558:19	420:16,18,22	interruption	issuance 585:4	January 390:16
560:13 562:14	421:12,15 422:1	459:11	issue 377:15 419:13	436:22 585:1
563:5,21 564:3,15	423:7,20 424:15	intersection 634:25	444:17 446:11,14	647:13,17 648:12
565:5,10,10,25	425:1,7 534:4,9	intervals 595:23	447:14,15 448:3	Jeremy 573:4
568:2 572:8 586:3	534:11,15 535:13	intimately 527:24	456:17 457:15,16	job 462:25 463:1,8
608:13 609:15	543:18 547:15	introduce 371:10	457:21 458:10	475:1 500:14
612:19 614:17	insure 543:16	387:11 428:1	461:5 495:5 525:5	502:17 542:16
622:24	insured 534:7	449:22 518:3	525:6 527:18	556:11 561:11
informed 649:25	intelligent 472:20	550:13	531:10 535:23	604:24 632:10
658:12	intending 513:14	inventoried 559:2	536:11 537:13	659:14
informing 542:19	intends 549:13	inventory 558:3	549:21 611:6	jobs 463:3,4 504:3
543:1	602:21	investigate 384:18	617:3 627:11,16	504:4,5,22 640:21
initial 372:3 390:7	intentional 414:11	investigation	627:21,22 634:10	640:24 641:8
438:20 445:16	414:12	508:21	635:19 639:25	656:9,10,20
initially 383:2	interact 595:21	investment 395:19	643:14 651:5,17	John 571:5,6
inputted 538:9,18	interactions 453:24	397:15,25 399:25	653:9 657:15	joint 568:16 575:10
				599:18,22 604:4,5
	1	<u> </u>	1	I

604:8 607:13	597:15 605:9	532:22 546:16	521:7 531:23	606:25 607:1,11
626:25	614:1 625:2,7	553:22,23 554:22	537:15 539:18	607:12 608:2
jointly 567:7	627:15 629:2	557:1 559:17	540:14 548:18	knows 413:24
573:15 574:6	646:6,13 658:10	560:18 561:10	550:22 554:17	422:25 627:13
joke 422:11	658:22 659:6	568:1 570:24	555:16 556:21	KPIs 655:8
Jordan 531:16	662:23 665:17,19	599:5 608:15	558:22,23 560:15	
573:4 633:1	665:24 666:7	613:8 623:16	561:20 562:1,6	L
Jordan's 417:9	judgment 410:1	627:17 634:20	563:22 564:9	LA 474:21,22
journal 546:20	413:3 414:6	640:8 660:17	566:16 569:19,21	491:8,12,20 494:4
625:15	Julian 616:20,21	661:1	569:22 570:25	494:7 559:4
journey 426:5	617:4,24	kindly 544:7	571:4,6 572:16	560:16 561:21
judge 367:11 370:2	jump 646:6 658:11	kinds 500:9 632:2	575:6 582:21	573:1 598:17,21
370:12,16,19,22	jurisdiction 555:8	Kings 521:16	591:21 596:12,13	610:11 620:6
371:2,9 372:11	558:8 561:4	539:17	597:18,19 598:1,9	650:12
378:6,9,12 381:11	634:24	KITSAP 667:4	598:10,10,16	labeled 660:24
381:23 385:6,18	jurisdictions	Kitselman 367:25	599:7,9 602:15	labor 429:4 433:16
386:7,15,19,23	418:24 437:9	667:6,19	606:22 607:6,9,15	437:25 439:18
387:1,4,10 388:3	451:16 483:16	KJM-1T 519:15	607:22 608:15,17	441:9,10 442:22
403:7 404:23	485:7 597:5	547:8	608:20,20 609:8	445:7,12,24 446:1
409:3 412:20	justifiable 499:21	KJM-4 526:7	609:10,11 610:10	446:11,22 447:22
413:16,25 417:16	511:9	Klapperich 377:3,7	610:10,11,14,15	447:24 448:9
425:5,10,15 426:3		Klapperich's 380:4	610:17 611:2	498:9,16
426:15,22 427:3	K	knew 611:8	612:16 613:17	Labor's 446:13
427:10,12,19,25	Kaha'i 616:19,21	know 379:3 380:18	616:9,10 619:15	lack 506:2 507:14
428:14 431:9	Kahn 655:19	381:15 383:23	620:16 627:23	507:19 508:7
440:14,23 443:5	Kalama 406:11	384:5 389:13	629:4 636:14	544:14 664:18
444:22 445:2	Kate 571:19 572:16	401:10,25 402:19	638:10 639:2	lacking 642:10
448:23 449:7,12	Kathy 369:17	403:20,25 404:1,1	648:10 652:1	Lake 493:6,13,16
449:15,21 450:10	517:3,24 518:7	404:8 407:10	655:19 659:8	493:21 494:3
456:16,21 458:5	keeling 539:23	410:25 416:19	662:9,18,20	Lakes 521:25 558:6
458:20 459:5,9	Keenly 443:24	418:6,7,21 423:13	knowing 536:25	558:10,13 559:5,9
463:24 464:2,7,16	keep 397:12 426:6	426:10 433:20	556:25 619:7	560:24 598:24
470:13 496:17	427:13 456:17	435:10 441:10	knowledge 372:7	land 649:22
509:10,13 510:4	467:25 478:19	448:2 456:3 462:5	380:19 387:24	landed 574:13
510:22 511:17	588:7 593:4 605:4	464:15 466:22	428:7 450:6 452:6	Lane 368:18
515:3 516:6,13,24	611:11 612:10	467:24 468:18	453:20,20,22	lanes 619:25
517:20 518:2,17	620:4 661:8	469:1 471:3,13	454:8,11,13 455:9	language 400:19
524:20 525:4,13	keeping 657:19	476:20,23 480:4,9	525:25 543:8	413:16 566:9,17
544:1 548:13	keeps 657:10	481:15,20,22,23	556:24 561:15	566:25 579:8
549:6,16,24 550:3	Kelly 368:4 370:9 Ken 622:16	481:24 483:20	573:24,25 607:24	large 467:9 469:20
550:11 551:3		484:25 485:3	667:10	486:12 537:15
567:24 568:22	kept 462:9 key 545:4 643:15	487:2 488:5	knowledgeable	largely 631:25
569:3,11 572:9	643:16 649:21,23	491:22 492:17	534:17	larger 379:7 383:17 383:18 393:5
583:2 587:22	651:18 655:7,7,17	502:8 504:20	known 421:16	527:13 615:2
590:21 591:2	kind 373:6 422:8	507:25 518:11	534:20 554:15	621:13,14,20
	MIIIU 373.0 422.0			041.13,14,40

				raye 091
622:17	437:5 442:12	letter 429:1,7,13,16	405:13,25 408:9	limiting 405:12
largest 539:1 623:2	519:19,22 520:3,9	429:16,17,20,22	409:12,15 410:23	limits 392:25 395:4
late 425:23 426:5,7	531:7 533:7	429:10,17,20,22	415:18 418:25	402:18 405:5,16
427:15 541:22	535:14 547:19	430:21 433:12,13	424:23	408:9 409:12,15
		,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
584:23 585:1	legally 523:14	433:15,19 437:23	Liberia 520:17	423:14 434:25
610:23 620:22	605:21	437:23 439:18,24	license 406:9 417:4	436:5 438:2,16
666:1	legislation 536:2	440:4,13,15,16	482:8 522:17	line 391:14 392:12
latest 390:20	566:2,11,16,16	441:14 443:21,23	657:10,11,19,21	392:20 403:20
491:15,17	legislature 567:8	444:6,20 445:10	licensed 388:14	404:9 405:4,14,14
law 367:11 401:4	609:5	445:11,12,14,15	437:9 499:22	405:20 406:6,20
403:18 407:9,21	legitimate 651:18	445:16,20 593:14	586:18 588:21	408:15 413:14
408:17,25 409:24	length 379:18	593:18 594:20,21	591:18 593:6,17	416:5 430:24
414:15 423:5	382:6 385:22	604:23 605:5	652:14	433:2 445:4
428:10 521:19	598:4	626:25	licensees 635:14	458:21 463:21,22
522:14 534:20	lengthier 594:25	letter's 443:12	licensing 507:3	464:2,3,10 470:9
545:7 547:15	lens 575:5,25	letters 430:8,8,10	634:13 636:3	492:24 493:5
566:4,10 567:9,24	596:19	431:1,1 442:21	licensure 605:25	498:13 499:7,13
laws 419:15 546:4	Leo 593:17 594:4	444:13,16 445:6	lieutenant 581:3	499:20 500:3,11
547:16	lesser 386:3 479:17	629:8	life 439:12 601:1	506:14 522:13,19
lawsuits 392:17	487:16	letting 521:14	lifeboat 580:20	522:25 524:21
lawyer 403:2 437:8	let's 379:16 393:3	Levant 415:19,22	581:23	536:16 547:13
532:20 548:7	399:17 401:1	level 373:22 389:19	lifestyle 567:15	586:8,9 602:25
lay 542:10	403:7,8 409:21	402:9 409:25	590:2	603:10 619:13,14
layperson 379:6	416:12 417:17	465:8 482:7 483:6	light 548:5	626:23 640:16,21
lead 426:6 430:19	419:17 427:12	493:8 506:7,17,20	lighter 380:7,16	line-item-by-line
522:5 634:22	437:11 438:20	507:18 515:10	liked 617:7	603:15
655:23 660:18	449:7 455:13	535:11 558:9	likes 590:2 594:15	lines 402:16 413:11
664:21	456:17 459:9	569:16 573:16	likewise 598:22	467:18 478:20
leadership 475:12	461:11 462:21	580:7 617:24	limit 402:12,19	490:7
508:21	464:16,16 473:10	624:14 632:16	403:18 405:25	list 391:25 397:13
learn 377:2 523:21	486:18 492:2,20	635:4,24 636:5,11	408:20 410:23	397:14 429:12
learned 371:21	494:12 510:4	636:23 639:11	421:6 434:5 438:5	
441:2 454:1,17,22	523:4 525:15	641:8 651:16	438:7,13,15 509:6	475:9 481:5,7,16
454:23 455:2,6	552:25 556:4	653:9	621:15 641:12	484:24 492:12
512:12 535:20	557:4 558:24	levels 401:19	656:2	503:17,25 504:13
547:23,24	566:25 573:12	418:17 422:20	limitation 409:9	504:16 546:17
· /				
learning 617:12	580:15,18 584:5	535:1 580:1	410:9 412:9	553:3 557:7
leave 542:1 588:16	590:22,23 591:2	615:21 628:19	415:25	558:20 563:11,12
588:16	593:8 601:17	631:21 633:2,20	limitations 424:23	570:22 577:15,17
leaving 588:13	605:9,10 611:10	liabilities 402:23	435:12	588:14,16 589:15
led 626:25	612:18,18 613:1	403:24 404:19	limited 381:7 382:6	592:9,11,14 593:1
left 589:19,20	616:18 618:23	liability 388:12,15	401:22,25 438:11	593:3,4 610:4
legal 388:15 403:1	627:15 636:22	392:25 395:4	439:15 452:1	616:5 639:1
403:11 407:8,11	640:6 653:21	402:20 403:18,25	453:20 552:15	659:22
407:20 409:1	662:25 663:1	404:13,14 405:5	622:4	listed 474:19 492:8
	-	•	•	•

Page 692

				1 age 002
559:9,14 568:2	logical 645:17	598:16,17 601:21	421:4,18 422:5,18	654:19
594:9 602:19	Logically 661:20	607:25 611:10	534:7 538:12	lower 416:23
607:4 638:24	logos 600:20	616:2 617:15	540:16,17 541:25	418:17 445:18
listen 400:18	logs 528:9	618:13 621:8,22	543:14	460:18 488:8,16
504:25	London 375:23	622:6 623:16	losses 397:10 461:2	494:7 514:6 521:9
listening 532:22	long 387:13 426:5	625:13 636:16	461:2 520:23	585:22 656:12
listing 483:3 485:17	434:12 445:8	637:14 642:15	543:19	lowest 651:9,16
638:23	449:24 460:22	647:23 648:22	lost 389:19 417:5,5	lunch 425:23 426:6
lists 480:22 481:1,4	462:5 509:22	650:4 656:1,22	540:22 583:5	426:12,12,14,16
481:7	514:13 521:16,20	661:21	lot 377:14 402:7	426:20,25 509:7
litigation 410:4	534:2 553:16,25	look-forward	469:14 545:23	509:12,18 516:16
411:15,23	557:23 559:5	490:13		,
little 382:5 418:2			546:20 565:4,9,10	516:17,19,25
	561:20,21 573:1	looked 407:17	565:14 566:14,15	M
418:11 445:12,18	593:24 594:12,15	415:19 433:13	575:19 598:19	M/V 406:6 407:6
458:9 469:11	598:18,20 608:5	470:1 474:21	604:11 605:7	407:14 411:12
480:25,25 481:13	608:10 610:11	505:12 529:13	620:1,2 622:1	ma'am 544:12
500:2 514:1 519:6	620:6 635:23	557:17 641:20	636:1 644:11	547:6 548:1
519:25 520:25	650:12 655:16,16	647:18 656:24	645:13 646:14	machine 530:11
536:15 565:1	657:16	looking 388:14	654:21 657:6	machinery 376:16
569:8 588:8	longer 445:12	392:9 400:10	Lough 369:12	Magazine 546:23
590:18 594:5	554:1 570:25	408:14,17 411:16	425:22 426:10,11	mail 429:20
601:3,18 616:18	608:1	417:22 450:17	427:4,5,9 449:9	mailed 429:18
632:20 643:11	look 400:9 405:4	451:3 457:8 466:3	449:18,24 450:15	mailing 429:22
654:14 658:7	411:5 413:5 416:4	467:22 468:11,23	452:1 454:6	main 514:21 634:4
live 427:1 576:25	422:6 435:21	470:19 483:2	457:18 459:14	634:25 643:13
577:13	438:9,22 447:20	490:12 496:4	470:17 475:4	maintain 417:4
lives 540:18,22	450:21 457:9	502:11 503:9	476:4 484:9	540:19
590:1	469:8 477:18	514:16 526:15	489:19 490:16	maintaining 522:8
living 594:1	478:2,10 479:25	529:13 559:23	492:16 497:22	major 421:1 520:9
LLCs 441:24	480:20 482:16,19	563:9,17 591:22	501:23 505:1	520:19 533:25
Lloyd 371:23	482:21 484:11	610:4 612:2	509:12,16,24	587:1 610:1
LLP 368:4,13	485:9 486:18	625:17 644:20	510:10 511:1	628:23
LNG 621:25	492:20 493:12,12	650:24 651:11	516:14 551:23	majority 519:3,21
loaded 380:14	494:12 495:7	656:23	563:12	520:2 554:12,13
537:13,14	499:20 500:11	looks 409:7 583:9	Lough's 426:1	making 440:10
local 543:8 556:24	501:23 519:17	598:18 656:12	470:10 552:7	0
595:25	528:22 529:12,22	Los 492:21 493:15	559:8 562:20,22	450:23 451:6 470:3 502:3
locate 476:5,7	545:10 553:13,22	493:24 494:1	597:14 614:17	515:18 546:3
526:6	555:23 556:15	557:23 559:25	Louisiana 502:17	
located 474:2	559:12,13 562:19	610:6	502:22 503:6,13	557:12 584:2,21 598:23 603:14
523:10,25 621:22	563:18,20 565:25	lose 460:14	503:18 596:23,24	
location 530:14	566:9 584:1 585:6	loss 390:8 395:20	616:12	615:24 623:1
581:7	585:12 586:2,4,6	397:7,15,20,21,25	love 653:17	632:14 648:20
locations 508:21	586:22 587:3	399:25 412:5	low 512:16 545:23	662:10
log 530:19	589:1 591:22	416:15 418:16	617:25 622:3	man 571:5
				manage 541:5

623:13	533:4 534:11,15	407:4 412:12,24	381:7 383:8	421:13 519:5
managed 381:25	539:19 542:19	413:18,24 414:4	385:12,24 386:1	536:12,25 570:15
642:1	567:18 569:24	417:23 425:16	measurements	650:19
management	576:5,7 619:4	535:22	373:12	membership
519:24 532:7	637:1 645:23	McCarthy's 547:23	measurer 383:1	569:13 570:19,19
533:17 578:18	653:10	McIntyre 568:11	measures 376:12	mental 503:11
579:13 580:7	marked 492:14	569:6 632:24	628:12 629:5	mention 438:4
628:24	market 391:18	McNeil 369:9	631:3,9 641:2	492:25 610:7
manager 522:22	392:4,11 399:4	425:18,21 426:17	650:6 652:20	664:13
568:13 569:4	400:13 415:15	427:7,13,17,22	661:10,14	mentioned 382:18
632:23	markets 455:22	428:2,24 430:25	measuring 374:22	396:9 414:20
managing 394:8	Marshall 520:17	431:4,14 432:17	375:10	415:5 416:14
650:19	mass 618:16	434:24 437:8	mechanism 635:15	421:8 439:12
mandated 604:7	master 523:20	438:9 440:1 441:2	media 541:16	446:17 473:17
mandatory 544:23	543:10 555:24	448:25	median 498:15	494:9 545:22
maneuverability	575:21,22,22	mdelappe@foxro	506:1,6,16 508:6	563:14 594:14
374:1	591:13 596:2,4	368:16	511:10,25 514:2	629:9 655:19
manned-model	masters 591:16,17	mean 379:18 392:2	514:11,14,16,18	merchant 368:12
595:22 653:15	match 434:15	393:4 402:16	514:19	499:24 521:17
manner 472:17	matched 466:17	415:21 423:15,18	mediation 432:2,5	550:21 595:14
666:3	material 620:20	424:1 437:24	mediator 431:21	merging 465:4
March 501:18	mates 498:14,19	446:19 457:7	432:1	merit 605:8
573:5 641:5 647:7	502:2,12 504:14	458:6 459:20,21	medical 560:3	mess 536:22
647:10	504:23 510:9,14	467:24 483:6	563:15 572:22	met 453:25 574:12
marine 387:14,15	math 470:24 471:7	502:11,22 503:3,6	597:25	Metcalf 369:17
415:6 416:25	472:8,23 473:2,7	504:2 513:16	medically 656:16	517:3,16,24 518:4
422:1 424:15	503:11 607:18	543:7 545:18	medicine 551:17	518:7,22 520:4
499:24 539:11	608:2 619:8	546:15 553:5,19	meet 523:1 543:25	525:18 528:22
547:18 591:13,16	637:11,20,21,23	620:9,10 657:16	546:5 660:4	531:18 534:3
618:25 619:1,10	mathematical	660:15 662:12	meeting 423:7	540:4 542:12
619:12 622:9	463:10	meaning 459:18	601:20 602:4	543:23 544:5
651:2	matter 409:24	474:6 486:5	622:25 629:22	548:11 549:7
mariner 506:3,22	472:8 528:14	513:15,16	638:21 639:3	meters 379:19
508:7 548:7	536:1 539:16	meaningful 570:4	655:4 660:17	methodology
595:14	574:2 596:22,25	means 398:5 404:6	meetings 631:5	373:13 493:6,15
mariners 504:18	608:21 620:9	446:18 468:19	638:18,18,20	493:18,24 494:2
505:3,17 593:23	629:1 652:14	565:20	639:1,13 644:25	metric 377:20,21
maritime 391:5,9	max 648:8	meant 636:8	645:9	551:25 629:9
406:7 450:18	maximum 592:11	measurable 606:25	meets 660:6	643:16
451:20 452:7,11	648:15	measure 375:5	member 388:21,24	metrics 629:15
452:16,17,20,23	mayor's 561:22	619:3 629:5	414:24,25 421:17	655:7
453:9,16,21	McCarthy 369:6	measured 373:16	536:16 538:8	metropolitan
475:14 499:22	386:20 387:7,13	379:19 383:2,3	541:3 570:20,20	503:23 504:1,14
500:15 508:22	388:9 394:22	measurement	570:25 571:1,3,11	Miami 610:10
521:15,20 524:4	400:18 403:8,16	371:22 379:23,24	members 389:6	Michael 367:11
	1	<u> </u>	1	1

	1	ı	1	
368:3 369:20	412:25 464:24	MM-94X 609:14	604:19 605:9	649:3
550:8,17	526:17 552:12	Mm-hmm 497:14	606:6,24 608:10	moves 650:15
Michelle 368:13	minutes 478:25	499:8 500:1 509:1	610:1,21 612:19	moving 397:14
370:17	510:2 525:11	MM-I 552:4	614:1,16 615:19	447:19 464:23
Mickelson 571:19	564:6 601:20	model 533:11	618:24 622:11	512:13 593:5,5
572:16	604:15 662:24	544:23 567:25	626:7,18 627:13	632:16 645:14
microphone 456:4	663:1	molded 375:19	632:1 633:15	MSC 622:23
456:15 458:2	misconduct 406:3	moment 370:5	634:6,23 635:21	623:24
464:9,25 525:2,5	406:14 408:11,13	403:8 459:6 498:4	638:3 639:10	muddle 458:4
525:12	408:21 409:10,17	501:21 582:15	641:23 644:9	mulling 427:13
microphones	410:1,9,19 413:18	658:15	647:5 658:21	multiple 400:13
458:24 464:19	413:20 414:13,17	moments 441:4	659:11 662:9,24	414:18 429:24
mid 495:5,21	misses 517:14	457:12	663:7 665:20,25	430:11 438:25
511:25 588:5	missing 524:23,24	money 420:21	Moore's 591:4	439:20 441:23
middle 440:9	545:12	424:5 460:14	Mooring 528:5	442:14 443:18
503:22 515:19,22	Mississippi 503:18	592:17 618:17	Moorsom 376:3	446:3,6,10 559:15
519:23 640:2	misspoke 647:13	monitor 475:13	morale 579:24	565:24 573:13
647:7 656:14	Mitchell 526:20,20	monopoly 514:23	581:21	574:18 595:1
midmorning	mitigate 539:20	514:24	morning 371:11	648:16 650:13
517:11	mitigating 396:13	Monrovia 520:17	372:16,17 388:9	multiplier 608:16
Mike 370:9 518:22	399:13 400:5	month 390:13,15	428:19,24 450:15	Mumbai 406:6
miles 599:2	623:23,25	553:24 631:8,22	450:16 456:9	407:6,14 411:12
military 575:22	mix 472:5 504:3,8,9	640:20,22 642:17	517:6 535:18,22	412:24
million 470:6,6	504:22 557:24	642:21 651:16	546:15,17	mute 458:24
539:3 579:10	575:23 591:15	655:5	Morris's 628:21	
millions 535:12	594:16 596:2,6	monthly 631:5	motion 410:1	N
545:7	604:12 621:17	649:24 651:3	602:18	N 368:1
mind 375:2 420:20	651:4	655:16	motions 603:12	Nalty 576:21
531:25	mixing 483:17	months 469:25	motive 481:20	name 390:15
mindful 426:4	MM 564:17 647:1	555:19 562:19	motor 580:19	422:13 449:10
427:14	MM-102X 580:22	585:4 635:8	581:22	518:5,6 550:16
mine 377:1 383:5	660:9	642:13 651:12	MOU 600:21 601:1	named 571:5
443:24	MM-109X 626:17	moorage 384:7	603:19,24 604:1	names 491:10
minimal 506:5,7,16	MM-112X 625:13	Moore 369:20	604:16,18,24	573:6
506:20	MM-1T 647:2,3	517:3 549:10,14	move 377:14	narrate 454:7
minimize 546:8	656:6	549:14,24 550:8	394:19,22 401:1	narrow 441:12
633:23	MM-33 502:7,10	550:15,17 551:8	403:16 405:3	Nash 368:8 370:14
minimizing 397:23	505:3 510:8	554:9 558:15	425:18 473:10	nash.callaghan@
minimum 383:12	MM-81X 415:8	560:23 566:2	494:11 496:23	368:11
383:14,16 402:1	MM-83X 611:10	573:11 579:17	499:18 522:15	NASSCO 384:18
402:12,13 566:6	MM-85X 612:18	581:13 583:8	565:2 576:13	nation 451:5
567:20 570:9	MM-87X 593:8	587:1 588:11	628:2 631:17	507:18
581:19 592:16,23	613:1	591:6,24 594:22	638:7 641:1	national 376:1,2,6
minor 477:12,25	MM-88X 567:1	599:15 600:11	moved 440:17	386:1 425:6
minute 382:1	MM-90X 600:14	602:6 603:19	456:6 642:20	504:19 505:4,14
				505:18,22,25

			_	<u> </u>
506:6,16 507:13	496:18 521:2	578:16,17,19	non-tank 535:1	467:12,13,19,20
507:18 508:6	536:22 550:1	579:11 580:9,11	nonresponsive	468:3,15,18
547:16 580:19	586:3,8 589:12	597:22 600:9	440:18	471:15,17,23,25
593:25	623:17 624:2	639:7	noon 426:14,25	472:3,4,6,19
nationally 506:9,11	641:22 646:11	never 409:19,19	norm 376:7	473:3,4 478:8,14
506:21	658:12	437:6 450:18	normal 421:15	491:1 505:5,5
nationwide 371:15	needed 482:8	451:1,9,12 530:17	489:13 666:1	506:23 510:12
498:15 507:1,21	565:10 593:6	540:24 541:22	normalize 556:13	512:11,15 521:6
native 616:22	needs 404:14	546:7 547:1	560:5,21	524:6,14 526:5
nature 381:1 418:4	481:13 576:23	548:20 577:14	normalized 559:24	531:12 543:5,12
418:9 419:1	639:16 641:20	609:5	563:24	545:11 546:9,19
441:19 454:4	651:8	new 375:21 386:1	normally 543:21	552:7,17,22
556:10 560:23,25	negative 579:23	414:16 462:12	662:25	557:10 563:23
595:4	581:21 582:5	463:13 465:6	Norman 602:17	567:14,17 570:24
nautical 531:24	negligence 406:2,2	468:12,19,23	603:3,16	578:18 579:12
navigation 393:14	406:8,14 408:12	485:9 487:10	Norske 371:23	585:6,17 587:8
393:19 394:14	408:20 409:9	496:16 512:14	north 371:22 599:3	591:25 594:10
395:19 398:12,15	410:24,24 414:10	522:3,4 529:7	notch 619:11	598:3 600:2
398:17,22 399:1,6	negotiating 571:19	535:20 537:18	note 385:1 425:23	608:16 610:1
399:21 418:4,9	negotiation 561:23	538:15,15 556:7	500:12 501:15	611:7 621:6
543:2,3 596:12	negotiations	568:1 574:6	517:5 519:23	624:18 625:3,25
navigational 400:4	566:15,18 569:18	580:23 581:14	532:6 552:6	626:8 635:5,16,20
527:12 529:9	574:21 601:12	602:20 607:17	561:16 563:11	636:2,9 637:5
Navy 581:16	neighborhood	610:10,23 611:15	602:16 612:23	639:3 642:10
near 640:3	524:7	612:5 624:16	notebooks 469:15	643:1 644:23
nearly 412:1	Neilson 573:4	626:24 627:8	528:16,16	647:24 650:1,15
610:17 641:9,9	632:25	635:14 647:5	noted 532:7	651:9,23 652:1
Nebulous 562:15	Neither 572:18	660:9	notes 453:3,8,14	656:18 660:24
necessarily 383:19	net 376:18 377:11	newer 398:5 418:16	484:20 656:1,3	numbers 373:18
400:7 418:5,18	389:18 461:2,10	621:9	noticed 546:19	382:15 474:3
447:7 460:4,12,13	465:15,21 466:8	newest 621:22	643:6	477:21 485:6
569:23 646:19	468:24 473:25	news 419:4 546:7	noting 399:4	486:9 498:3 503:9
necessary 506:1,21	477:18 478:7	622:22 625:13	notion 382:18	510:13 545:16
517:9 552:13	479:10,12 483:5	nexus 570:4 589:24	606:1,5 614:10	584:6 588:24
556:14 558:20	483:17,18,24	nice 478:12 519:11	November 432:6,7	621:4 629:10
559:19 561:11,13	484:3,4,24 485:2	night 645:25	584:22,23 647:19	639:9 657:3
562:10 565:5,25	485:10 486:16	nighttime 645:18	NRT 377:11	numerous 561:19
623:22 632:10	487:23 488:1,4,16	nine 553:25	NTSB 538:11 541:1	0
635:4	488:17,21 490:5,6	NOBRA 485:10,17	541:1,14,17,23	O.R.S 408:8,18
necessitate 592:3	491:19 492:21	486:1,13,15 493:6	number 376:19	oath 518:14 550:25
need 404:2,6,12	494:7 506:1,6,6	non-binding	391:14,15 392:13	object 381:6 413:22
413:13 420:3	506:16 507:13,18	446:19	397:9 458:23	422:22 425:3
442:20 443:13	508:5,6 552:14	non-profit 451:24	459:3 465:25	430:24 440:7
447:14 463:7	559:17 565:12	non-state 557:23	466:6,7,11,16,17	470:9 543:6 572:4
466:15,25 468:20	567:10 573:17,22	559:4	466:21,23 467:1,4	587:15 624:24
				307.13 02 7 .2 7

627:6 628:20	occurs 462:16	375:1,3,17 376:11	600:11,17 603:25	484:16,16 487:12
objection 385:14	ocean 581:11	376:17 377:6	605:12 606:19,23	487:12,13,17
402:25 403:9	oceangoing 401:19	378:5 379:2,9,12	609:14 611:10,12	504:20 505:14
404:21 407:1	499:20 528:24	379:16,25 380:6	612:18 620:18,24	545:8 641:4
409:1 414:1 431:8	532:5 540:6	380:15 382:18	624:9 625:17,21	ongoing 495:4,17
437:7 443:2,6	595:17 596:3	383:19,23 384:18	625:23 626:16	495:22,25 496:2,4
471:19 488:18	oceans 418:13	387:1 388:19	627:25 628:2,8	496:7
496:12 511:15	October 432:6	389:10 395:15	629:18 630:1,4,11	online 624:17 666:8
517:15 568:20	540:17	398:21 399:11,24	630:22 631:17	OPA 420:12 534:21
569:10 597:9	off-duty 655:13	400:3 406:16	632:13 633:11	535:5,15
602:8 627:9 647:9	off-watch 638:12	414:4 417:11,15	634:8,23 636:22	opaque 554:21
objections 535:24	638:20 639:1	423:1 424:12,14	640:2,5,7 645:5,6	open 380:25 533:5
objective 575:17	640:24 643:22	425:8 431:7 435:5	646:12,22 647:10	544:18 589:16
objects 436:9 437:4	644:25,25 645:1	447:3 448:16,19	651:21,25 652:5,9	opened 438:24
obligated 521:6	offer 420:20 507:20	453:18 456:25	652:9 653:6	opening 383:16
obligation 403:12	614:7,9	458:11 464:7,16	654:22 655:1	384:10,11,11
535:14 638:4	offered 381:18,20	465:2 466:3 468:2	657:24 658:5,8	577:14 588:18
obligations 423:22	556:1 557:21	468:7,17 469:13	659:24 662:8,19	openings 382:19,23
533:7	614:9,10	470:4 472:22	663:22,24 664:5	382:24 383:5,10
obligatory 604:2	offering 560:10	473:2 478:17	665:6,15	383:11,23,25
observation 423:11	offhand 466:22	481:24 484:20	old 386:1 529:7	384:6,16
observations	office 443:25	489:19 496:23	537:22,24 538:22	operate 421:14
417:24 419:6	526:10 546:25	499:1,9 500:22	548:7	operated 405:11
511:23	561:22 581:14	501:13 502:7	older 376:1,1 398:7	519:4
observe 416:6	officer 457:8,9	507:7 509:2 510:2	621:10	operates 380:7,17
528:9 624:10	558:9,12	513:13,20 514:1,9	Olympia 368:10	455:23
628:5 632:22	official 474:9	514:21 515:1	omitted 481:24	operating 372:25
633:4,5,6 646:16	536:24	520:12 524:25	on-duty 639:14	380:14 382:9
observed 423:24	offshore 619:18	525:16 527:17	644:23 655:13	397:3 398:9 412:9
obtain 442:20	oh 384:25 387:1	528:1 531:7	656:9,20	507:12,17 519:1
obtaining 466:11	435:11 476:4,12	534:16 535:7,21	on-watch 628:14	522:10,20 603:22
obtains 419:24	492:23 496:5	535:25 542:24	629:21,23 630:6	629:20 638:3,5
obviously 530:5	499:8 513:7	547:5 549:22,23	630:19 631:14	operation 373:4
540:10 584:3	625:15 647:10	551:19 552:5,25	634:19 639:1	399:22 454:2
616:13 634:14	oil 401:23 402:8,20	553:3,11 555:9	640:14,16 641:7	467:7 530:1,7
occasionally 524:22	402:24 403:18	557:5 559:15	652:23 661:24	operational 394:10
524:24	404:2 419:15,17	562:19 565:3	once 443:20 445:9	394:18,19,25
occasions 441:9	422:5,20 423:14	568:8,11 570:14	473:14 620:5	395:4 396:15,19
occupational 499:3	423:16 520:14,22	571:13 574:2	624:16	396:25 397:2,16
occur 400:16	534:19,20,25	575:15 577:25	one-fifth 615:14	397:22,23 398:2
635:11 638:12	535:12 624:11,13	578:3,15 580:15	one-person 446:9	399:14,22 400:2
occurred 432:6	624:18 625:14,25	582:8,14,16,16,21	one-third 591:24	operations 397:5
585:2 638:20,21	626:9,11	582:24 583:1,4	626:7	469:5,9,22 498:22
656:21	okay 372:20,23	584:5 588:6	ones 397:11 399:17	Operator 572:17
occurring 638:18	373:3,11,25 374:7	593:13 599:8	400:4 481:4	operators 519:8
	-			

	1			
571:15 581:23	oranges 615:17	578:10 642:1	owner 392:24	429:11,13,21
599:16	Orca 380:20	organizations	395:3 405:24	430:25 432:25
opine 447:18	382:23 383:24	479:11 484:6	421:18,20 422:10	433:2,19 435:18
opined 470:11	order 384:17 408:3	506:12 512:1	422:15,17 424:7	435:21 436:2,21
opinion 379:25	408:14 410:3,3,14	515:20	520:20 522:21	437:11,17,21,25
381:19 382:15	419:24 421:12	organized 533:23	533:23 541:2	438:9,22,22
401:8,18,22	447:9 462:17	original 378:11	542:5 622:25	439:10 441:7
413:10 439:19,24	475:2 484:17	428:3 434:24	owners 396:20	442:8 448:8
440:5 441:11	487:24 488:2,12	435:3,5 438:21	420:16 422:11	470:20 474:13
442:11 446:18,19	488:13,14 489:4	439:2 450:2	519:8 520:8 534:5	475:4 478:4,23
446:20,21,23	490:9 495:17	492:16 498:7	ownership 459:18	485:16 492:17,23
447:1 448:3,4,14	515:22 552:12	506:13 573:9	459:19,23 465:7	492:25 493:2,5
497:12 505:25	559:19 560:21	originated 659:21	482:13	495:12 497:3
506:5,15,20	561:9,12 563:5	Orleans 485:9	owning 421:3	498:11 499:7,14
507:17 508:11,14	565:11 573:9	522:4 556:7		499:15,18 500:2
512:19 538:2	578:6 579:21	610:10	P	500:11 501:2,24
551:24 587:16,17	580:14 584:20,24	other's 478:20	P 368:1,1	501:24 502:11,11
587:18,18 631:24	585:5 587:5,6,7	ought 556:12	P&I 392:18 396:21	502:16,24 503:16
664:3,18	587:13 589:16	629:14 636:20	403:22 404:4,11	503:20,22,23
opinions 373:11	593:5 597:20,22	657:21 661:7	404:17 412:8	505:6,7,7,8
377:18 381:7,20	602:18 635:3	outbound 524:8	420:10,10,18	506:14 507:24
437:5 441:11,15	652:21 665:3,6,10	outcome 661:22	421:8,12,14,22	508:16,17 511:6
445:9 446:3,11,12	ordered 531:12,15	outcomes 620:14	423:6,24 534:4,5	511:22 513:8,9,20
446:17 448:13	581:17 582:3	outlier 497:13	534:9,14 543:15	519:14,15,17
473:16 633:19	orders 474:2,15	522:2	543:19	526:14 528:1,12
634:10	475:16 483:18	outliers 521:8,14	p.m 427:8 516:22	528:21 529:22
opportunities	489:21 508:23	545:22	516:22,25 666:11	546:7,9 547:8,9
375:11 386:4	559:16 562:23	outlined 443:15	P.O 368:9	547:13 552:3,4,6
595:16 614:21	597:13	outside 443:2	Pacific 368:12	564:17,18,20
654:24	ordinary 406:1,8	451:16 470:9	550:21 608:15	565:15,19 567:1
opportunity 438:24	410:23	624:25 628:21	610:6,14,14	582:8,9,14 583:10
440:3 579:9	Oregon 368:5	overall 373:18	package 577:7	583:18 600:20,22
663:24	405:6,22 406:5,7	376:13 385:22	603:1 624:2	601:2,4,5,19
oppose 611:18,23	408:17 409:13	400:11,12 602:24	page 369:2 378:7,9	602:11,11 603:7
612:1,1	410:23 413:17	603:14 605:3	378:10,10 379:1	611:11,12 612:22
opposed 589:8	571:21 595:8	overestimate	383:9 388:18,18	644:18 645:6
opposite 473:2	597:1 598:9	495:16	389:12 390:19	646:24 647:3
opposition 612:5	599:10	overlap 643:5,20	391:1 392:9	649:12 656:6
613:9 614:7,10,14	Oregon's 409:15	overlapping 643:18	395:12,25 396:5,7	659:17 663:12,13
option 385:25	596:23	overpaid 581:15	397:13,17,19	663:14
628:11	organization	overriding 542:25	401:1,2 402:6	pages 367:10
options 614:9,10,14	451:13 455:23,24	overtime 486:17,24	405:3,20 406:6,18	569:10 649:8
oral 428:3 529:5	457:9,10 467:2	487:1,4,19	406:20 407:17	paging 406:24
637:6	482:18 518:24	owned 422:8 520:6	408:2,15 409:21	469:15
orange 657:15	536:7,11 568:6	520:15	410:11,13 411:3	paid 434:23 438:6
			412:13 413:4	
	ı	1	1	<u> </u>

				1 490 000
197.15 17 10	nortolio 542.4	626:22	novement 424.10	602.21 607.14
487:15,17,19 498:20 499:1	partake 543:4		payment 424:10 PDF 491:25	603:21 607:14 608:22 609:23
506:25 597:4	partial 413:6,12 487:13 641:5	party 465:6 566:19		
· ·		586:7 606:14	peak 637:18	610:7,8,24 612:21
615:2 617:25	656:13	pass 581:10 586:23	penalties 419:1	614:8 617:20,21
painting 521:5	partial-year 656:25	592:1 616:6 617:4	pending 416:1	624:15 625:25
643:15	participants 367:16	passage 585:12	611:21	628:15 631:21
palpably 408:13	442:4	591:18 599:3	pension 428:10	632:16 633:3,8,12
Panama 520:19	participating	passed 401:5	429:25 431:18	638:11 640:23
pandemic 495:18	441:25 444:11	422:19 496:15	432:10,14 439:13	641:6,9,9,11
495:20,22,25	particular 378:1,16	534:19 536:2	442:15,17 443:18	645:24
paper 424:6	387:2 439:13	567:8 568:18	444:2,17 446:4,7	percentage 433:25
papers 526:12	446:14,24,25,25	584:17 585:12,13	581:19 599:14	524:6 557:13
paragraph 408:7	500:25 504:9	585:15 587:10	601:25 603:21	585:22 587:8,10
413:6,12 498:1	515:10 530:4	588:12	605:21 606:24	616:23
593:21 594:6,6,6	538:8,25 549:1	passenger 384:15	607:12,19 608:11	perfect 394:12
594:20,21 601:8	568:24 572:11	passes 577:3,4	609:6,18 610:2,23	462:21 592:25
612:24 613:10,11	587:23 595:20	586:11	611:15,18,24	607:24
613:15,25 614:13	596:20 635:19	passing 380:8	612:3,12 664:25	perfectly 425:21
parallel 433:15	particularly 454:3	591:25	people 373:6	441:21
536:8	462:4 481:4	patience 464:25	374:12 382:10	perform 507:16
parameter 641:12	490:10 499:2	patterns 541:8	502:19,25 532:21	508:4 576:25
parity 597:6 598:13	530:15 532:24	pay 403:12 410:22	541:5 545:7,13,14	performance
parse 379:15	541:18 594:1	411:8,9 420:21	545:16,21,25	554:15 643:16
part 375:14,15,16	661:1	421:5,12,18,21	546:13 586:19	649:21,23 651:19
383:15 411:1	parties 370:7	423:22 434:7,17	587:9 589:15	655:7,17
413:2 432:10	402:23 410:6,16	434:19 443:15	616:13 618:12	performed 507:11
452:15 456:1	517:6,14 536:13	450:22 499:9	perceived 412:7	515:16 631:12
457:3 479:3	554:16 574:21	500:6,9,16 502:2	415:17	639:4 640:24
484:11 497:23,24	575:4 602:2 604:1	502:4 503:17	percent 383:7	performers 521:9,9
499:25 526:22	partner 459:16	504:1,22 505:3,18	433:8,22 434:14	521:10
527:18 545:13	460:1,17 461:1,9	506:25 507:1,21	434:16,20,22	performing 654:15
559:16 567:4	461:14,16,19,23	514:22 515:21	443:16 483:13,15	performs 527:19
576:3 577:9,10,10	462:13,18	534:7 536:13	488:16 489:7	period 521:16
577:11,23 578:1	partners 461:11,12	577:6 597:24	493:8 500:20,25	543:20 628:12
584:14 604:15,20	461:18,20 462:12	613:13 614:11,22	503:12 504:19	630:19 637:18
605:2 609:11	462:17,17 468:12	615:11 634:16	505:4,18 519:6	648:15
622:7,8,9,10	468:19,23 470:23	pay-as-you-go	523:6,13 524:3,9	permissible 384:14
623:24 637:23	partnership 459:16	442:13 608:11	524:11 525:21	permission 659:4
638:5 642:25	460:1,5,7,9,14,16	609:18 611:24	543:16 560:1,2	permit 384:1 446:7
643:1 645:24	460:17,18 461:5,8	664:25 665:12	562:23 578:10	permitted 439:20
651:22 652:10	462:1,8,12 468:9	pay-to-be-paid	579:21 581:17	PERS 610:24
654:11	482:17	421:16 423:25	582:2,3 583:23	persistent 526:22
part-time 487:10			585:10,11,11,13	527:8
1 -	partnerships 461:7	paying 424:7		
487:12 492:8,15	parts 489:20	503:19,23 504:2	585:14,23 592:1,2	person 457:4
494:11 659:13	500:19 530:13	504:13 572:21,22	599:21,21 602:21	463:17 486:9,10

				1 age 000
personally 423:24	410:18 411:8,9	559:10,19 560:17	526:22 527:7	429:24 430:4
432:3 570:18	412:7 414:14,18	561:20 562:14	542:20 543:3	432:9 434:8
personnel 581:15	414:23 415:5,18	563:1 564:23	551:24 553:4,20	438:10 446:9
581:18,22 660:22	416:5 418:7,7	566:1,5 567:6,10	554:10 555:10	451:16 453:21
perspective 379:6	424:14,21 425:1	567:12,23 568:13	556:6,7,7,9,11,14	454:5,9,13 455:12
400:10 418:6,16	432:9 434:18	568:17 569:16	556:17,23,25	465:16,17 466:1,6
455:3,10,24	435:22,24 441:16	571:19,20,25	557:7,14,20 558:7	466:7,12,16,17,21
467:17,22	441:24 450:18,22	573:15,16,18	558:10,11,13,25	467:1,5,9,11,12
pertain 419:15	451:1,9,13 452:13	574:3,18,18	559:25 560:23	467:13,14,19,20
478:15	452:15 454:2	576:18,19 579:23	561:2,6,8,9,18,20	468:9,15 469:3
pertained 419:7	455:4 465:13,21	580:11 583:11,22	562:8,24 563:9,17	470:25 472:9,24
pertains 385:15	466:8,10,12,14,25	584:9 588:21,22	563:19 564:2	473:4,8 474:22,24
pertinent 508:23	467:9,18,19,23	590:8,11 591:7	565:12 566:7	475:2,9 476:25
petition 495:7	468:24 471:17,25	593:17 595:18,20	567:20 570:11	479:1,19,19 482:9
phased 398:7	473:5,15 474:19	596:20 597:4	573:13 575:11	483:3,7 486:1,4
Philip 369:3 371:6	474:22,24 475:3,9	598:6,14 600:3	577:1 582:9,18	486:13,15,16
phone 458:17 459:4	475:14,21,25	605:24 606:1,9	588:13 589:4	487:23 488:2,5,11
463:22 464:6,15	476:7,16,21,23	607:16 609:17	591:14 593:24	489:23 490:3,22
524:21 525:10	477:24 478:9	610:2,5 613:19	594:22 595:4,9	491:8,12,20 492:8
phonetic 443:25	479:5 481:3,8,10	615:5,15 619:18	596:23,24 597:17	492:22 493:7,13
photocopies 424:3	481:24 482:19,21	619:19 620:12,14	598:8 599:19	493:15,16,22,25
photographs	483:9,19,24	622:25 629:7	601:24 602:3,23	494:1,3,4,8,13
382:25	484:15,19,19,21	630:16 631:12	612:9 613:14,23	498:8,14,19 499:4
phrase 548:19	484:25 485:7,19	632:24 633:1,1,18	618:25 619:1,2,12	499:22 500:9
physical 380:11	485:21 486:18,19	634:18 637:7	619:22,23 621:5	502:3,13 504:14
physically 530:5	489:12 491:12	638:15 639:4,8	622:8 626:2,12	504:23 506:8,10
picked 464:8,14	492:10 494:17	640:17 641:7,10	630:2 634:11,15	506:18,25 507:20
picks 523:2	497:18 498:2	642:7,14,17	634:21 642:6	510:9,14,19
picture 375:25	503:7,13 505:23	645:18,23 648:16	655:3 660:4,6	515:11 518:23
417:22 521:5	506:11,25 507:3,8	651:2 653:8,17,25	661:7,23 662:10	522:6 523:4 524:9
546:24 565:15	507:12,17 508:5	654:11 656:9	662:12	531:17 539:12
578:2 581:1 589:1	513:3 516:2,4	657:10,20 664:24	piloted 417:25	542:6,15 543:8
643:15 650:17	523:2,3,7,10,14	pilot's 406:8,13	piloting 373:5,23	552:1,20 556:8
660:23 661:21	523:14,16,19,20	408:9 433:8,23	374:3 378:1,16,20	557:12 559:11,25
pictures 564:22,24	524:4,4 539:13,15	482:8 485:17	380:1 398:20	563:6 566:23
564:25 565:17,19	539:22 542:13,14	615:14 638:12	471:10 553:12	567:6 568:14,16
601:8	542:16,19 543:1,7	pilotage 373:15	556:6 653:15	569:5,15 571:22
piece 533:1,6 538:4	543:11 551:20,22	374:17 377:21	pilots 367:6 368:2	571:22 572:21,22
pieces 528:10 529:8	552:13,18 553:7	394:13 396:15	370:11 388:15	574:12 575:8,16
pilot 372:23 379:7	553:15,16 554:4	398:12,16 417:22	389:1 390:21	578:19 579:4,12
389:3,18 390:8	554:11,19 555:1	417:25 475:22	394:15 398:25	579:15 587:14
391:5 396:22	555:12,16,25	477:6 479:6 480:5	406:7 414:25	590:4 592:8 593:6
397:3 405:24	556:14,20 557:10	480:22 482:1,25	415:3 416:9,10,20	593:22 595:12,18
406:7,12 407:23	557:17,23 558:1,1	494:18 514:9	417:1,2 418:3	595:21 597:1,2,6
408:24 409:16	558:16,20 559:2,4	521:23 522:11,12	424:19 425:7	597:7 598:24
		•	•	

	Ì			l
599:2,18 605:15	placed 464:6	391:1 393:25	641:24 644:11,12	pools 505:22
605:19 606:3,12	places 422:11 445:9	395:11 401:7	649:4 663:15	poor 537:17 587:13
606:14 608:12,14	565:24 570:3	403:13 411:3	664:10,20	poorly 642:1
609:6,12,21,24	573:24 636:24	427:19 428:1,25	PMSA's 470:18	populate 485:6
610:25 612:13,15	placing 547:20	431:15 432:24	474:13 513:21	population 476:24
613:13,21 614:19	plain 513:15	435:9 436:16	549:9 576:18	476:24 576:9
614:23 615:1,3	603:24 617:22	439:6 442:25	577:22 664:5	port 406:11 488:11
616:11 617:2,17	plainly 593:18	445:2 449:16,22	PMSA-supported	522:24 523:9,16
617:19,25 618:15	plan 429:25 430:12	452:4 453:1	566:2	524:14,16,18
619:23 620:9,21	430:14 432:15,20	459:11 461:6	point 377:7 378:22	526:1,2 527:11,17
623:12,16,25	432:20,21,23	464:24 465:12	381:9 397:21	527:19 529:10
627:1,1,2 629:21	433:3,3,5,7,11,11	470:17 474:12	415:20 431:10	533:9 544:15,21
632:9,23 633:8	434:1,2,4,5,15,16	485:15 489:2	432:8 446:13	545:1 557:24
635:5,9,10,16	434:19,23 436:4,6	491:9 493:12	450:23 453:7	559:5 561:3,3,21
636:3,9,17,23,25	438:25 439:20	497:2 498:5 502:8	465:20 497:23	590:5 598:10
638:8,10,17,24	441:17,23,23	502:24 503:16	498:11 499:6	599:24 604:21
639:10,17,20,22	442:5,13,15,17	507:24 508:3,16	506:23 511:25	609:23 610:11,22
639:23 640:24	443:14,18,20,23	508:18 509:19	514:3 516:18	611:13 613:4,13
641:21 642:5,9,10	444:2 445:14,15	517:21 518:3,5	521:17 525:23	614:11 619:17
642:15,16 643:1,9	445:16,17,19	526:7 532:13	528:25 539:3,17	620:3,10 630:6
643:10,17,21	446:10,25 447:8	542:23 547:9	541:24 564:8	portable 418:7
644:2,3,5 645:1	447:11,20 468:16	550:5,13,15	565:1,2 572:3	portion 422:2
650:1 651:24	517:8 549:19	601:22 602:6,9	638:13 642:3,22	487:16 517:14
652:13 654:3	592:20 605:16,21	603:7 614:5 624:6	644:18 658:23	520:5 602:11
655:5 656:14,14	606:16 607:12,20	659:6	pointed 382:14	portions 532:4
656:18,25 659:13	608:4,6,11,22	pleased 655:15	points 379:3 620:24	Portland 368:5
659:13 661:15,23	610:3,25 611:25	pleasure 543:25	655:11 659:18	portrayal 511:10
662:4,16	662:5,11,17 665:7	plow 509:25	Polar 602:2	ports 545:1 562:15
pilots' 405:12 488:1	665:8,12	plus 562:24 564:3	policies 534:5,6	595:1 596:5
556:11 590:15	plane 427:8	602:2,2 644:24	648:6 649:9	pose 373:23 587:25
616:23	planned 431:24	PMSA 370:16,18	policy 388:13,15	posed 605:10
pinnacle 595:13	planning 429:20	388:3 389:11	389:15 390:11,20	633:20
pipeline 576:10	607:23	395:14 410:12	411:16,18,21	posing 625:4,10
592:4 593:5	plans 439:13,15	415:10 428:14	414:8,21 424:12	posit 586:9 589:24
pipelines 499:4	444:8,10,13,14,19	435:6,16,23 438:1	536:1 575:16	position 392:16
piracy 530:16,22	446:4,7,22 447:5	450:10 497:2	581:5 596:22,25	407:10 446:14
place 392:21 402:4	609:19	501:3 502:7	597:8 598:14	457:10 459:23,24
434:19 528:14	plates 384:13	507:25 517:1	638:10,17 647:19	513:21 536:11,24
537:6 541:10	play 378:15 420:13	551:8,13 563:4,16	647:23,23 648:19	550:18 551:22
573:21 580:12	434:11 577:13	566:11 567:5	poll 460:22 462:5	556:9 576:18,22
601:1 604:25	615:6 640:1	568:16 569:14	pollution 394:4	577:22 579:7,7
609:3,8 622:5	plays 636:19	570:15 578:4	418:23 420:9	613:12,16 621:1
624:4 641:3 647:7	please 371:3,10,12	599:17 604:20	534:20	632:9 633:17
649:9,10 650:6	386:24 387:5,11	608:10 609:5	pool 498:7 503:6	641:25 663:15
660:3	388:11,17 390:18	613:8 615:4	507:8,10 515:23	664:5
	,		,	
	l		l	I

positions 505:23	predecessor 599:17	pretty 431:6 489:10	probably 390:13	productivity
510:13,19 515:15	predict 607:14	575:7 577:18	397:10 509:24	640:14,17
positive 395:8,21	preexisting 453:20	581:4 585:8	617:13 653:4	profession 371:13
399:6 400:6,21	prefer 509:11	597:21,23 620:5	problem 456:4	533:4 577:1,19
possibilities 512:8	premature 444:15	620:18 621:17	457:17 464:20	professional 383:4
515:25	444:18 447:5	635:22 647:18	522:14 542:24	453:4 567:14
possibility 520:24	premium 412:3	prevailing 450:21	585:17 615:9	593:23
544:19	499:22 500:3	451:5 479:9 504:5	617:1 618:1,12	professions 567:18
possible 377:4	premiums 389:5	506:25 507:21	627:3 654:18	569:24
446:16 447:10	412:5,14	prevention 395:20	666:7	Professor 532:9
461:3 486:15,24	prep 553:7	397:15,20,21,25	problems 458:18	proffered 554:8
487:12 488:15	preparation 454:17	400:1	531:3 545:21	profile 395:18
489:6,10 504:7	454:23 497:7	previous 452:6,9	procedure 412:10	398:4
533:22 534:1	508:4	524:17 623:10	procedures 528:14	profiles 476:16
564:1,14,23	prepare 387:20	previously 450:18	528:17,18	profitable 460:3,19
661:24	428:2 450:2	451:15 452:24	proceed 372:12	profits 463:2
possibly 487:17	prepared 415:9,13	623:3 656:23	377:16 388:4	543:19
525:4 599:7,7	443:10 455:8	price 463:8 601:9	403:13 427:12	program 424:12
post 393:6,7,13,18	474:10 617:13	prices 514:23	428:15 445:2	507:3 533:12
394:2,3,7 396:24	preparing 433:16	primarily 420:10	450:11 459:11	544:24 553:19
397:6,7 411:1	455:11	422:7 593:20	509:19 510:2,5	555:17,18,19,21
536:3	present 368:22	Prince 599:2	518:18 551:4	575:25 577:5,7
posted 535:2	432:2 621:4	principal 529:8	574:14 583:5	586:16,17,20,24
posting 423:8	660:12	544:13	614:15 659:3	593:1 594:8,11
potential 393:5,7	presentation	principle 542:13,20	proceeding 425:21	596:7 607:21
422:4 503:7,13	601:23 603:11	543:1 574:3,8	531:17	611:6 616:7 617:6
520:12 522:2	presentations	print 447:17	proceeds 566:17	618:9 664:25
536:5 583:22	575:10	prior 393:15,20	570:6	program's 599:20
potentially 398:12	presented 436:14	394:11 412:2,4	process 431:18	programs 507:6
398:16	471:7 530:18	416:15 422:24	443:13 519:14	533:9 544:15,16
Powell 368:18	531:2 574:3 602:1	451:17 453:8	574:23 586:8,11	544:20,21 553:14
power 539:25	614:17 652:22	454:8,11,13 530:7	589:13 593:15	557:2 576:8
PPW 637:19 638:4	653:7	607:8	597:19 604:14	586:25 595:22
644:23	presents 628:10	priority 445:13,14	635:17 664:11	progress 651:19
practice 519:14	president 518:9,24	445:17 446:4	produce 434:2,22	progresses 384:1
practices 532:4	534:19 550:20	543:5,12	491:1 555:2	progression 416:4
practicing 428:10	571:14 581:14	private 604:1 608:4	624:12	project 451:19
pre 394:10	591:19 632:25	privately-held	produced 465:8	452:10,20,23
pre-filed 518:11 550:23	657:7,8,17,24	451:25	480:4 648:23,25	490:9 512:15
	658:1	privilege 437:6	produces 434:12	607:19 624:12,16
precipitous 587:8 587:10	pressure 392:6,22	pro 469:4 496:10 496:13	491:19	625:14
	pressures 396:1 540:5 541:24		producing 637:12 production 624:14	projected 477:18 491:5 492:4
precisely 538:18 610:2 640:13	540:5 541:24	probability 621:7 626:15	625:19 626:10	491:5 492:4 571:22
precludes 384:10	presumably 573:9	probable 572:8	productive 608:7	projecting 492:1
			l	l

Page 702

projection 477:14 proven 408:20 446:21 447:16 649:17,19 652:12 524:13,19 525:2 484:6 490:3,23 492:21 497:21 654:23 448:14 652:21 653:8 525:23 531:3,4 492:21 497:21 405:12 408:24 447:10 662:5 663:15,25 559:11,21 565:5 572:20,23,24 420:7 421:9 provisions 407:9,21 662:5 663:15,25 559:11,21 565:5 477:16 478:7 424:15 437:2 434:4 533:19 PSP's 370:5 386:20 572:25 575:16 484:10 438:1 439:3 536:21 402:7 442:13,16 579:15 582:18 projects 453:8 443:14 451:5 proxy 484:3 468:24 470:25 583:21 587:14 468:8 452:2 453:14 PSP 370:8 374:10 495:7,20 496:10 588:14 589:19 promise 663:4 455:3 473:15 380:7,17 388:13 512:6 545:22 591:7 593:19,22 promises 432:23 481:18,21 483:20 389:17,23 390:4 611:21 615:21 606:3 610:13 proper 572:5 484:5 500:22 390:12,21 411:16 628:3,14 630:6 615:1,9,23 616: 652:11 515:16 546:16 433:3 436:9 437:4
484:6 490:3,23 654:23 448:14 652:21 653:8 525:23 531:3,4 492:21 497:21 497:21 405:12 408:24 447:10 662:5 663:15,25 559:11,21 565:5 572:20,23,24 420:7 421:9 420:7 421:9 434:4 533:19 664:5,18,23 665:6 565:11 566:23 484:10 438:1 439:3 536:21 402:7 442:13,16 579:15 582:18 468:8 443:14 451:5 452:2 453:14 455:3 473:15 480:15,18 481:15 480:15,18 481:15 480:15,18 481:15 480:15,18 481:15 380:7,17 388:13 512:6 545:22 591:7 593:19,22 promised 605:24 480:15,18 481:15 389:17,23 390:4 611:21 615:21 606:3 610:13 proper 572:5 484:5 500:22 390:12,21 411:16 628:3,14 630:6 615:1,9,23 616: 652:11 515:16 546:16 433:3 436:9 437:4 663:20 665:11 616:25 617:2,19 properly 538:2,16 555:22 556:14 438:25 453:25 PSSOA 599:16 617:25 618:15
492:21 497:21 provide 375:21 provisionally 654:3 656:17 542:14 552:1 572:20,23,24 405:12 408:24 447:10 662:5 663:15,25 559:11,21 565:5 projections 477:9 420:7 421:9 provisions 407:9,21 664:5,18,23 665:6 565:11 566:23 477:16 478:7 424:15 437:2 434:4 533:19 PSP's 370:5 386:20 572:25 575:16 484:10 438:1 439:3 536:21 402:7 442:13,16 579:15 582:18 projects 453:8 443:14 451:5 proxy 484:3 468:24 470:25 583:21 587:14 468:8 452:2 453:14 PSP 370:8 374:10 495:7,20 496:10 588:14 589:19 promise 663:4 455:3 473:15 380:7,17 388:13 512:6 545:22 591:7 593:19,22 promises 432:23 481:18,21 483:20 389:17,23 390:4 611:21 615:21 606:3 610:13 proper 572:5 484:5 500:22 390:12,21 411:16 628:3,14 630:6 615:1,9,23 616: 652:11 515:16 546:16 433:3 436:9 437:4 663:20 665:11 616:25 617:2,19 properly 538:2,16 555:22 556:14 438:25 453:25 PSSOA 599:16
572:20,23,24 405:12 408:24 447:10 662:5 663:15,25 559:11,21 565:5 projections 477:9 420:7 421:9 provisions 407:9,21 664:5,18,23 665:6 565:11 566:23 477:16 478:7 424:15 437:2 434:4 533:19 PSP's 370:5 386:20 572:25 575:16 484:10 438:1 439:3 536:21 402:7 442:13,16 579:15 582:18 projects 453:8 443:14 451:5 proxy 484:3 468:24 470:25 583:21 587:14 468:8 452:2 453:14 PSP 370:8 374:10 495:7,20 496:10 588:14 589:19 promise 663:4 455:3 473:15 380:7,17 388:13 512:6 545:22 591:7 593:19,22 promises 432:23 481:18,21 483:20 389:17,23 390:4 611:21 615:21 606:3 610:13 proper 572:5 484:5 500:22 390:12,21 411:16 628:3,14 630:6 615:1,9,23 616: 652:11 515:16 546:16 433:3 436:9 437:4 663:20 665:11 616:25 617:2,19 properly 538:2,16 555:22 556:14 438:25 453:25 PSOA 599:16 617:25 618:15
projections 477:9 420:7 421:9 provisions 407:9,21 664:5,18,23 665:6 565:11 566:23 477:16 478:7 424:15 437:2 434:4 533:19 PSP's 370:5 386:20 572:25 575:16 484:10 438:1 439:3 536:21 402:7 442:13,16 579:15 582:18 projects 453:8 443:14 451:5 proxy 484:3 468:24 470:25 583:21 587:14 468:8 452:2 453:14 PSP 370:8 374:10 495:7,20 496:10 588:14 589:19 promise 663:4 455:3 473:15 380:7,17 388:13 512:6 545:22 591:7 593:19,22 promises 432:23 481:18,21 483:20 389:17,23 390:4 611:21 615:21 606:3 610:13 proper 572:5 484:5 500:22 390:12,21 411:16 628:3,14 630:6 615:1,9,23 616: 652:11 515:16 546:16 433:3 436:9 437:4 663:20 665:11 616:25 617:2,19 properly 538:2,16 555:22 556:14 438:25 453:25 PSOA 599:16 617:25 618:15
477:16 478:7 424:15 437:2 434:4 533:19 PSP's 370:5 386:20 572:25 575:16 484:10 438:1 439:3 536:21 402:7 442:13,16 579:15 582:18 projects 453:8 443:14 451:5 proxy 484:3 468:24 470:25 583:21 587:14 468:8 452:2 453:14 PSP 370:8 374:10 495:7,20 496:10 588:14 589:19 promise 663:4 455:3 473:15 380:7,17 388:13 512:6 545:22 591:7 593:19,22 promises 432:23 481:18,21 483:20 389:17,23 390:4 611:21 615:21 606:3 610:13 proper 572:5 484:5 500:22 390:12,21 411:16 628:3,14 630:6 615:1,9,23 616: 652:11 515:16 546:16 433:3 436:9 437:4 663:20 665:11 616:25 617:2,19 properly 538:2,16 555:22 556:14 438:25 453:25 PSSOA 599:16 617:25 618:15
484:10 438:1 439:3 536:21 402:7 442:13,16 579:15 582:18 projects 453:8 443:14 451:5 proxy 484:3 468:24 470:25 583:21 587:14 468:8 452:2 453:14 PSP 370:8 374:10 495:7,20 496:10 588:14 589:19 promise 663:4 455:3 473:15 380:7,17 388:13 512:6 545:22 591:7 593:19,22 promises 432:23 480:15,18 481:15 388:21,24 389:6 546:16 562:14,21 594:2 599:16,18 proper 572:5 484:5 500:22 390:12,21 411:16 628:3,14 630:6 615:1,9,23 616: 652:11 515:16 546:16 433:3 436:9 437:4 663:20 665:11 616:25 617:2,19 properly 538:2,16 555:22 556:14 438:25 453:25 PSSOA 599:16 617:25 618:15
projects 453:8 443:14 451:5 proxy 484:3 468:24 470:25 583:21 587:14 468:8 452:2 453:14 PSP 370:8 374:10 495:7,20 496:10 588:14 589:19 promise 663:4 455:3 473:15 380:7,17 388:13 512:6 545:22 591:7 593:19,22 promises 432:23 481:18,21 483:20 389:17,23 390:4 611:21 615:21 606:3 610:13 proper 572:5 484:5 500:22 390:12,21 411:16 628:3,14 630:6 615:1,9,23 616: 652:11 515:16 546:16 433:3 436:9 437:4 663:20 665:11 616:25 617:2,19 properly 538:2,16 555:22 556:14 438:25 453:25 PSSOA 599:16 617:25 618:15
468:8 452:2 453:14 PSP 370:8 374:10 495:7,20 496:10 588:14 589:19 promise 663:4 455:3 473:15 380:7,17 388:13 512:6 545:22 591:7 593:19,22 promises 432:23 481:18,21 483:20 389:17,23 390:4 611:21 615:21 606:3 610:13 proper 572:5 484:5 500:22 390:12,21 411:16 628:3,14 630:6 615:1,9,23 616: 652:11 515:16 546:16 433:3 436:9 437:4 663:20 665:11 616:25 617:2,19 properly 538:2,16 555:22 556:14 438:25 453:25 PSSOA 599:16 617:25 618:15
promise 663:4 455:3 473:15 380:7,17 388:13 512:6 545:22 591:7 593:19,22 promised 605:24 480:15,18 481:15 388:21,24 389:6 546:16 562:14,21 594:2 599:16,18 promises 432:23 481:18,21 483:20 389:17,23 390:4 611:21 615:21 606:3 610:13 proper 572:5 484:5 500:22 390:12,21 411:16 628:3,14 630:6 615:1,9,23 616: 652:11 515:16 546:16 433:3 436:9 437:4 663:20 665:11 616:25 617:2,19 properly 538:2,16 555:22 556:14 438:25 453:25 PSSOA 599:16 617:25 618:15
promised 605:24 480:15,18 481:15 388:21,24 389:6 546:16 562:14,21 594:2 599:16,18 promises 432:23 481:18,21 483:20 389:17,23 390:4 611:21 615:21 606:3 610:13 proper 572:5 484:5 500:22 390:12,21 411:16 628:3,14 630:6 615:1,9,23 616: 652:11 515:16 546:16 433:3 436:9 437:4 663:20 665:11 616:25 617:2,19 properly 538:2,16 555:22 556:14 438:25 453:25 PSSOA 599:16 617:25 618:15
promises 432:23 481:18,21 483:20 389:17,23 390:4 611:21 615:21 606:3 610:13 proper 572:5 484:5 500:22 390:12,21 411:16 628:3,14 630:6 615:1,9,23 616: 652:11 515:16 546:16 433:3 436:9 437:4 663:20 665:11 616:25 617:2,19 properly 538:2,16 555:22 556:14 438:25 453:25 PSSOA 599:16 617:25 618:15
proper 572:5 484:5 500:22 390:12,21 411:16 628:3,14 630:6 615:1,9,23 616: 652:11 515:16 546:16 433:3 436:9 437:4 663:20 665:11 616:25 617:2,19 properly 538:2,16 555:22 556:14 438:25 453:25 PSSOA 599:16 617:25 618:15
652:11 515:16 546:16 433:3 436:9 437:4 663:20 665:11 616:25 617:2,19 properly 538:2,16 555:22 556:14 438:25 453:25 PSSOA 599:16 617:25 618:15
properly 538:2,16
1
656:24 579:9 593:6 465:20 467:3 PT 492:15 624:18 626:1,11
proportionally provided 389:24 468:8,11,20 469:6 public 376:15 627:1 636:25
472:7 392:8 409:4 417:8 470:4,22 471:9,12 474:15 547:20 639:10 650:13
proposal 513:10 432:21 436:8,18 473:14,23 474:1,1 554:14,22 562:23 651:23 660:6,13
572:6 574:20 436:22,24 452:16 474:10 475:12,12 593:15 611:3,8,9 661:2,3,4,5,15
578:9 579:18
599:18,22 604:4,5 471:10,18 472:1 479:19 480:4,15 publically 475:15 pull 478:3 580:22
604:8 607:13
propose 573:16 474:7 475:22,24 482:23,24 483:6 publically-traded purchase 534:5
proposed 390:9 476:11 482:5 484:2,12 488:8,15 451:24 pure 421:16
434:16 469:6 487:24 502:7 495:3 496:20 publications 419:5 purpose 384:10
471:12 574:4,6,6 504:21 508:12 499:4 506:2,21 546:21 514:21 529:2
602:20 615:4 524:15 557:8 508:12,12,15,21 publicly 562:25 532:1 533:2
proposing 433:25 629:22 630:18 512:23 518:17 published 563:20 purposes 384:7
470:4 471:6 578:4 631:12 642:18 531:3 544:6 551:3 Puget 367:6 368:2 385:25 453:13
578:20 643:3 557:8 564:2 572:5 370:11 401:20,23 480:18 515:15
propounded provider 403:2 578:8 579:23 415:24 416:19 541:20,21 552:1
435:23 provides 375:4 580:8 591:19 419:19 424:17 560:22 562:3
proprietor 462:24 389:17 433:7 599:14,19 602:2 429:24 430:3 635:25 636:11
463:12,14,16 434:3 442:15 603:21,22 606:25 432:9 441:15 pursuant 528:24
465:5 458:22 492:13 607:5,12 609:21 451:17 454:9,13 pursue 410:8
propulsion 523:23 511:9 562:9 615:5 628:11,24 455:11 465:17 438:24 573:23
539:25 613:11 651:3 629:24 631:7,14 467:11,13 482:9 pursuing 409:19
prospective 498:8 providing 375:11 631:24 635:8,17 483:3 505:22 655:10
protected 661:6 413:17 431:13 636:23 637:7 506:8,10,18 push 427:9
protection 391:19 437:5 450:20 638:8 639:21 507:20 515:11 pushing 396:2,10
534:4 454:1 481:20 640:8,17 641:25 518:22 519:19 526:9 661:25
protectively 621:22 501:6 642:23 645:1 522:3 523:5,8,8 put 383:20,21
protocol 657:23 provisional 446:19 647:19,23 649:14 523:15,25 524:4,5 396:16 399:10
F

408:11 415:8	443:10 446:20	543:23 544:5	512:21 514:5,6	634:14 663:17
420:21,22 422:20	447:4 448:1	548:8,12,14	515:16,18,19,25	664:7
424:5 425:23	450:25 452:14,19	549:21 551:19	572:15	rationale 581:5
426:20 467:4	454:21 455:20	584:7 587:20	ranked 588:14	Ray 419:2 537:9
535:19 539:25	456:1 457:3 468:5	610:19 622:12	rapid 621:11	538:3
540:1 549:15	470:22 471:5	628:3 629:19	rate 372:4 415:15	razor 422:13
566:8,25 582:11	472:20 476:8	631:9,18 637:3	416:21 450:22,22	RCW 401:7,15
600:11,13 603:1	478:13 489:1,3	644:9 651:18,21	451:5 470:5 474:2	402:22 647:22
622:5 639:21,21	490:18 496:14,15	653:7 658:20,25	474:15 475:16	648:2,11,19,20
640:6 643:24	496:16,17 497:9	661:9,18 662:22	479:9,13 483:17	reach 507:4 555:6
644:12 649:9,10	504:25 507:15	665:15,19,21	484:17 487:24	559:20 561:13
653:23	519:16,17 524:23	quick 385:8 620:24	488:2,12,13,14	595:13
puts 642:7	531:22 534:3	quicker 406:24	489:4,21 492:9,10	reached 573:14
putting 407:1	568:4 570:17	quickly 476:14	504:10 506:24,25	react 539:22 654:4
480:16 654:1,1	572:7,9,12 574:11	491:23 539:23	507:21,22 508:23	read 375:1 376:23
pyramid 576:7,11	574:17 575:18	654:4	511:10,24 513:17	377:4,19 392:20
	576:17 577:20	quite 377:4 469:1	513:19,24 514:2	407:14 419:4,12
Q	585:19 587:23,23	513:1 538:6	514:21 515:11	435:11 482:17
qualification	596:17 597:15	545:15 594:23	551:9 559:15	508:1,18,18 538:3
445:17 616:5	602:7,9 605:10,11	595:3	561:4,9,12 562:8	538:4 541:1 558:3
qualified 374:12	607:7,9,11 614:3	quote 389:17 391:9	562:23 571:20	558:5 562:5 573:3
432:14 443:23	614:4 615:6 624:6	395:16 405:8	573:18 579:16	581:1 599:25,25
444:10 553:17	625:4,10 626:7	407:18,19,20	585:12 587:5,6,7	601:2,4 613:10
577:2,15 584:2,13	627:18 628:17	408:7 437:19	592:1 593:6	616:18 625:17
585:6,9,17,18,23	629:2 633:15	439:11,12 493:5	597:13 599:20	663:24 664:2
586:10 587:9	634:7 641:16,23	495:14 499:21	601:25 603:20	readily 638:19
589:15 616:4,5	644:2,22 645:6	500:12 511:23	607:14 609:21	reading 376:22
qualify 581:19	646:8,9,20 650:8	545:4,6 562:14,16	610:22 611:18	541:15 556:18
589:7 595:18	652:1 656:4	583:20 605:15	620:21 632:6,15	578:4 579:8
618:7	658:18 662:2,7	619:2 631:25	635:9,18,25 636:2	632:22
quality 420:6 507:8	questioning 430:24	quoted 375:18	636:4,11 640:2	reads 408:7,16
507:9	470:9 587:16	379:17	665:4	ready 589:16 654:8
quantified 500:2	626:20	quoting 378:3	rates 374:17 377:21	654:13
quarter 628:13,13	questions 372:17	566:6	377:22,25 389:6	real 448:1,1 521:7
631:4	385:3 386:8	R	409:6 412:1 416:4	545:17 590:3
question 380:22	412:19 413:1		451:15 462:25	603:13 604:11
385:8,19 393:16	419:16 425:11	R 368:1 667:1	500:9,16 502:2,4	648:4
393:23,25 394:1 396:22 400:18	440:22 443:11	raise 371:3 379:4	507:1 511:25	reality 546:13
	444:21,22,25	386:24 387:5	514:9,11,12	586:22
402:6,8 403:10,16	446:25 448:1	427:20 435:11	515:14,21 561:21	realize 494:10
404:16,24 405:1	452:4 457:1	449:16 456:7	562:3 572:5	519:10
407:8,11,20 409:2 409:3 416:13	470:13 473:13	516:18 517:21 550:5 589:21	573:16,21,21	really 419:8 447:12
418:11 423:2	498:6 509:4	raised 495:4 589:24	574:6,10,24 579:9	472:2 488:6 504:4
418:11 423:2 425:20 431:9	510:21 515:1	636:22	598:8 609:22	521:2 536:17
440:2,12 442:11	516:5,7 520:1	range 512:7,15,17	611:5 614:8	543:22 546:24
++U.4,14 +44.11		1ange 314.1,13,11		
L				

				1 age 104
556:24 558:22,23	633:7 663:20,25	449:7 458:7 459:5	redundant 448:2	613:4 637:4
558:25 562:5	recall 376:22 378:2	459:10,10 516:21	refer 378:25 397:18	regardless 379:23
563:18 570:3	382:21 407:12	517:5,7,13 525:18	415:1,22 442:20	522:9
575:18 579:25	413:18 431:23	529:5 531:9	498:18 516:4	region's 500:16
586:3 598:18	435:5,8 479:3	541:14,23 542:3	568:23 569:2	502:4
599:5 603:25	526:25 527:9,15	546:11 568:12,15	reference 450:23	regional 505:22
608:17 610:9	539:22 559:12,14	590:24 591:3	451:6 483:22,25	registered 520:16
616:2 618:20	573:6,6 583:25	593:15 623:10	501:8 542:4	registration 385:24
619:11 622:8	584:1,23 585:1	627:11,13 637:16	referenced 401:13	registries 532:11
636:20 643:13,19	588:19 600:5	644:12,16,17	411:11 417:9	544:18
649:18 656:24	606:20,20 611:6,7	649:4,18,20	532:6 612:24	registry 533:5
657:5,19 662:18	648:9 665:14	658:14 664:21	referred 384:14	regs 383:15
666:6	recalling 611:2	666:10	479:12 568:25	regular 424:1
realm 576:4	O	recorder 528:23	584:20	557:11
reason 476:2	recap 415:12 583:11	529:2,15	referring 397:17	regulation 645:21
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	401:15 415:2	\mathbf{c}
477:11 535:21 557:3 558:4	receive 476:15 614:21	records 528:4,9		regulations 438:23
563:16 568:18	received 402:6	recovery 408:19 recruit 507:22	432:1,2 435:3 439:7 486:21	439:2,7,23 440:5 441:19,20 442:1
				441:19,20 442:1
570:22 583:5	466:10,20,20	618:6 recruitment 618:1	496:13 516:2	
594:3 623:24	receives 461:9		532:14,15 546:10	627:4
628:17 629:12	receiving 457:5	recruits 506:3,22	547:3 564:22	regulator 558:16
641:15 642:25	recently-enacted	507:14,19 508:7	565:16 569:9	559:20 574:3,13
reasonable 377:22	566:9	red 560:18	576:15 625:3,7	598:14
377:24 398:22	receptive 575:12	redirect 369:5,8,11	refinement 624:19	regulators 557:10
426:16 459:25	recklessness 414:16	369:14,16,19,22	reflect 452:18	558:1 561:1
460:17 512:20,22	recognize 429:1	385:6,9 412:20,22	455:5 546:18	574:19
513:11 556:2	430:16 470:19	425:9 440:3,23,25	reflected 389:5	regulatory 377:12
561:14 562:8	recollection 606:18	509:8,14,18,20	391:9 454:25	382:14 386:3
572:6 574:7,10,16	recommend 512:11	510:6 515:3,6	506:24 535:14	533:7 574:15
574:25 575:1,6	recommendation	544:1,3 658:11,16	reflective 416:9	575:3 604:3
614:25 634:14	512:5,10 574:14	659:9 665:17,18	reflects 526:1	reimburse 424:5,10
reasons 404:20	650:2	reduce 382:20	refuse 420:10	reimbursed 421:19
530:18 539:8	recommended	384:17 400:5,7	refused 421:9	reimbursement
593:20 652:7	641:12	547:19 605:18	495:19	534:8
rebuttal 372:3	recommending	619:3 635:20	reg 377:11	reject 618:3
387:21 428:3	514:5 649:19	643:23	regard 598:11	rejoin 449:2 516:20
429:8 430:25	655:17	reduced 416:18,20	regarding 373:4	590:23
431:5 435:2	recommends	465:10 579:21	381:7,19 385:16	relate 441:3 532:3
436:24 438:7	641:13	648:16	389:15 390:4	565:24
442:7 445:8 450:3	reconcile 563:13	reducing 527:13	401:3,18 405:4	related 381:22
470:10 501:17	reconciled 560:21	538:16 619:4	419:20 441:5	403:16 432:14
511:3,22 512:13	563:24 565:11	reduction 578:11	469:5 476:14	480:8 482:18
513:8,14,20	reconstructed	582:2 591:25	508:2 510:9	535:22 594:19
562:13 563:2	542:9	641:8	549:15 553:6	649:2 657:2
573:3 628:10	record 370:2 449:4	reductions 389:5	582:17 583:11	relates 404:7
	•	1	1	1

415:18 529:23	394:24 539:4	453:10 568:19	524:4,9 528:8,23	responses 389:11
531:17	remove 394:4 539:5	569:7	534:6 567:23	395:13
relationship 378:21	Rendahl 368:23	represented 411:23	568:1 579:3	responsibilities
448:7,8 455:18	386:9 425:13	562:21 568:15	606:17	519:19,22 520:3,9
457:4,6 646:10	516:10 517:10	representing	requirements	544:10 547:19
relationships	548:16 658:12,20	518:22 571:16	403:21 442:3	responsibility
448:13	658:24	represents 374:22	444:3,4 522:23	401:4,19,23 402:9
relative 373:16	renew 622:1	request 389:13	530:10 535:4,6	403:22 404:5,11
377:10,25 378:20	renewal 390:14	390:7 395:14	546:5	419:15,21 420:4
505:21 510:13	397:8 412:18	410:12 415:10	requires 522:15	423:6,9 522:9
535:23 542:10	621:11	436:3,10,13,18,20	529:23 566:4	535:2,8,11 536:4
relatively 477:25	renewals 390:6	436:22 439:19	577:5 661:21	536:9 575:5
537:18 595:19	415:18	443:22 470:20	requiring 422:19	responsible 536:13
relay 441:4	repair 418:21	474:13 475:5	423:5 536:2,7	responsibly 522:20
relevance 572:4	repairs 531:13	478:3 497:3,4	requisite 444:19	responsive 440:10
relevancy 634:16	repeat 489:1,2	501:3,5,24 507:25	rescue 581:22	452:3 552:10
relevant 453:15	542:22 614:4	508:17 590:19	660:22	rest 484:21 502:4
454:3 466:21	rephrase 423:1	612:21 613:4	research 474:18,20	545:5 605:5
467:14,16,17,21	report 391:19	638:25 663:16	475:20 476:9	634:13 647:6,16
467:22,24 468:3,5	392:4,12 395:7,9	664:6	505:21	647:19,20 648:1,7
468:6 475:8 499:2	395:16,24,25	requesting 440:16	reservations 444:2	648:13 649:8,9,9
552:17,23 640:12	399:5 400:3	441:15	reside 636:20	restate 471:21
652:19	524:16 526:1,15	requests 389:11	resides 455:25	496:18
reliability 404:8	538:3,11 540:16	395:13 407:12	resolve 464:20	rested 654:13
reliable 653:20	541:1,23 585:3	435:16,23 470:18	resolved 411:12	661:25
reliance 392:4,11	638:20 644:13	664:20	respect 424:25	restroom 448:22
relied 476:10	reported 367:25	require 384:6 555:1	442:7 477:5	result 410:15,18
relief 495:8	466:18 502:13	557:11 619:7	510:21 522:18,18	414:21,22 477:14
rely 464:11 466:11	649:24 652:2	635:9 645:21	526:2 534:10	515:13 530:12
507:7,9 590:10	reporter 456:3,11	665:6	536:15 539:11,14	570:4 587:13
646:18	457:12,20 458:6	required 383:18	595:10 598:2	608:6 624:17
relying 418:8	458:15 599:10,12	385:11,23 402:5	655:12	662:4
500:23	658:6 667:7	402:13 406:9	respectful 512:2	resulted 538:22
remain 409:13	reporting 655:23	421:6,11 423:7	respond 436:17,18	results 469:9,22
460:15 506:8	reports 391:13,18	453:22 522:13	549:17 622:12	508:7 661:22
509:22	391:22 560:13	523:7,14 535:10	responded 389:16	resume 370:4
remainder 386:17	642:8,8 649:14	535:15 536:10	474:16	458:11
425:17 449:1	651:2 657:25	547:15 554:14	Respondents 367:7	resuming 449:8
516:15 549:7	repositioning 630:5	560:1 563:1 570:1	response 415:10	516:24 591:4
remaining 644:10	represent 372:20	570:9 589:5	436:8,9,10,24	retain 515:23 615:7
remains 592:19	376:13,20 414:19	619:18 647:6	437:2,4,7,15,19	615:8,9,10
remember 659:17	531:9 564:13	requirement	475:11 478:10	retaining 593:23
659:20 661:11	567:4 628:8	383:14,14,22	497:9 508:8,17,18	retains 463:2 562:9
665:1,2	640:22,22	384:6 419:20	563:4 646:14	retake 593:3
removal 393:1	representation	420:24 423:25	652:21	retire 607:23,25

				1 age 700
612:13	665:3	483:19 485:10,11	519:24 520:8,11	robustness 533:8
retired 433:8,23	reviewed 382:13	485:13 486:10,22	520:12,19 521:10	Roger 443:24
606:1,9 607:15	527:25 540:13	489:7,9,15,23	522:2 527:13	role 522:6 558:12
656:14 657:20	612:20	492:14 493:22	532:4,7 533:17	570:18
retiree 433:7	reviewing 455:3	494:14,19 496:4	536:5 538:15,16	roles 450:22 475:3
retirees 442:16	revisiting 636:14	498:23 499:5,25	551:16 560:9	rolling 641:4
605:20 606:21	rides 589:8	500:4,17,20	567:15 619:3,4	rotation 646:1
607:17 635:15	right 370:22,25	502:17,20 510:22	621:7,7 622:13	Rothschild 368:13
retirement 433:9	371:2,3 372:11,23	511:8,18,22 512:5	623:10,14,15	roughly 492:3
433:23 435:22,24	371.2,3 372.11,23	511.8,18,22 512.5	624:7,19 626:2,12	641:6
442:16 560:2,7		512.20 513.11	633:19	round 509:20
	374:5,11,14,19,24	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
563:15 572:22	376:22 377:7,13	517:21 518:17	risks 391:8 393:12	rounding 578:6
597:25 599:19	378:2,12,17,19,23	521:17 525:16	393:14,19 394:10	routinely 444:12
600:8 605:16	379:5,9,19,22	527:20 532:18	394:13,16,19	row 645:19
607:21 608:4	380:23 381:4	546:10,23 547:10	395:1 396:15,15	RPR 367:25 667:19
611:8,9 612:8,11	382:11 384:8	547:10 548:13	397:16,23 398:12	rudder 539:24
612:16 636:3	386:7,15,21,23,24	549:5,9,24,25	398:16 399:14	rule 403:8 439:15
662:4,11,16	387:4,5,10 388:3	550:5,11 551:3	400:4 411:15,23	439:21 494:17
retiring 607:23	393:1 395:22,23	552:1 555:9	412:2,15 526:21	622:5 629:20
return 517:12	396:19 397:16	558:15 564:19	527:7 537:4	630:4 631:9,11
644:20	398:5,7,13,17,23	567:3 580:12	555:17 620:20,21	647:25 648:9,13
returning 591:3	399:2,18,19 400:1	583:17 584:10	621:5 626:14	648:20
revenue 429:3,14	400:5,20 401:5,16	585:20 590:21	risky 374:9,9,10	rules 375:22 376:1
432:13,15 434:25	401:20 406:3,15	591:2 592:17	621:10	376:2 596:13
435:12 437:24	407:23 408:22	600:5 603:4	river 414:25 415:3	632:2,8 633:17,22
442:4,5 443:22	410:4,7 411:8,17	604:15 612:4	417:1,1 424:17,17	633:25 634:13
444:1,5,9 445:21	412:14 418:15	620:14,22 625:16	487:23 488:1,5	638:4,5 647:6,16
447:14 461:14,19	425:10,15,25	638:4,22 640:20	531:11,16 542:11	649:9 652:13
462:11,19 463:18	426:22 427:12,16	648:25 651:6	555:20 556:7,11	ruling 431:8
465:8,9 473:4	427:17,19,20,25	652:15,25 655:22	556:20,20 568:12	rulings 447:14,15
579:2,10 613:14	428:14,20,22	657:16,25 658:10	568:13 571:15,17	run 371:21,25
615:10	429:9 433:9	660:22 662:23	571:21,22 572:16	461:4 462:23
revenues 461:13,17	434:23 437:21	663:17,20,21	572:17 573:8	594:24 623:19
462:13,14 463:13	439:16 441:6	664:9,12,14,17,23	594:22,23 596:22	635:18
468:20 470:24	447:9 449:13,15	665:3,10,17,19,24	597:1,2,6,7 598:2	running 536:18
606:13 614:12	449:16,21 450:19	rise 409:25	598:6 616:22	644:21
reverse 588:20	456:20,21 459:9	risk 373:5,15,22	627:1,22 632:24	runoff 660:17
reversed 494:24	460:12 462:1,14	374:3 378:1,16,20	632:25 660:16	runs 623:21
review 439:14	462:18 463:15	380:1 382:8 391:5	662:16	Rupert 599:2
469:4 500:6,8	464:15 465:1,16	394:13,14,19	Ro-Ro 380:23	Ryan 593:17
502:1 508:23	466:21 472:23	395:2,4 396:19,22	537:8	
517:13 528:15	473:17,24 475:9	397:1,2,22 398:2	road 596:13 635:8	S
540:8 544:12	475:22 478:2,22	398:11,13,17,22	robust 544:17,20	S 368:1
546:15 559:7	479:7,21 480:15	417:22 418:1	544:20 640:11	safe 420:6 524:14
626:19 658:13	481:2,8,10,19	422:3 423:11,16	664:21	537:2 541:10
020.17 030.13	101.2,0,10,17	122.5 (25.11,10	301.21	546:1 619:21
	l			l

Page 707

				1 age 707
653:20 654:13	says 390:23 392:13	535:22 547:23	security 408:9	626:7,24 636:15
661:8	395:17,24 396:1	SEAPA 424:18,19	528:3,4 530:12,18	636:19 637:6,16
safely 522:20	398:18 402:8	searched 474:25	see 370:23 379:16	640:11,19,20,21
537:21 661:24	405:14 409:8,23	seas 419:11 520:5	383:11 386:21,25	641:6,8 642:9,20
safety 381:17 522:8	429:23 433:22	season 637:18	388:20 389:12	642:24 649:10
533:20 537:1	437:4 459:1	Seattle 368:15,20	390:9 395:16	654:15 658:2
541:6 542:13,18	495:15 501:24	416:1 428:20	396:5 402:2 404:9	666:8
542:25 543:12	529:25 530:1	500:15 501:7,8,14	404:12 405:14	seeing 407:2 415:1
544:9 552:19	567:17 589:24	503:25 504:7,10	407:2 409:23	415:12,14,16
596:14 619:2,10	593:21 594:21	504:21 505:3,5,17	410:12 411:5,6,7	500:9 572:7
619:12 622:9	615:20 637:25	507:1 630:6	411:11 413:9,16	639:24 661:17
632:2 634:1,2,12	659:15	Seattle's 504:18	416:16 427:17	seek 545:7
634:19,22 641:12	SB-06 392:5	Seattle-Tacoma	429:12 433:22	seeking 446:3
sail 537:21 622:6	scale 608:1	505:10	435:16,21,25	seen 382:25 400:15
622:17	scenario 462:16	Seattle/Bellevue	436:3,7,8,9,13,15	470:11 480:12
sailing 419:24	schedule 637:11,13	504:12	436:21 437:13,17	505:13 519:11
salary 589:22,25	637:22 638:1,9,17	Seattle/Tacoma/	440:21 449:3,12	570:2 580:1,1
614:19	643:3 645:23	600:25	456:11 463:14	581:1 592:20
sample 504:23	654:3,5,18	second 370:3	474:14,25 478:6	600:17,19,19
San 482:1,4 489:22	scheduled 639:17	375:15 377:24	480:14 489:11	606:16 618:5,6,19
490:3,22 494:12	643:2,4 654:19	378:2 394:22	493:3 495:13,24	621:12 626:3
531:13 557:24	schedules 390:8	406:16 408:7,15	496:1,3,5,5 497:9	629:10 651:10
559:5 561:18	scheduling 425:20	416:5 456:1 457:3	499:8,12 501:2,5	Segment 391:18
567:5 568:14,16	scheme 420:15	482:20 522:25	501:8 503:17,22	399:4
569:4,15 572:25	school 580:20,23	554:6 564:20	505:9 506:15	select 515:10
588:17 608:11,21	581:6	572:1 578:5 579:1	516:20 517:16	self-correcting
609:2,6,21 610:10	schools 576:7	579:19 580:25	519:11 528:13	573:20 600:4,7
610:12 627:1	scope 381:9,12	600:6 601:2	529:12 530:5	601:11 605:7
632:23	385:14 393:7	611:11 612:24	537:22 543:9	self-described
sand 556:21	425:4 443:3	624:21,23 644:18	547:13 548:22	554:21
Sandy 615:20	470:10 624:25	644:22	549:3,25 550:2	self-employed
satisfies 420:23	628:21	secondly 586:5	559:15 563:10,16	441:25
satisfy 442:2 444:3	scrape 422:13	653:6	563:20 570:1,6,8	self-insurance
save 447:9,9	screen 406:23	seconds 620:13	570:12,13,13	403:23
saw 414:21 415:7	407:2 566:25	section 408:8	575:7 580:22	selves 590:6
444:12 575:14	582:11,15 583:8	432:13 435:1	582:15,22 583:2	send 430:21,22
602:22 625:18	591:20 593:9	436:5 437:14,18	585:10 588:1	433:16 444:15
saying 391:14	600:12 609:16	438:3,11 441:18	589:6 594:5	sense 457:14
394:18 410:2	scroll 443:9 529:21	442:3,5 444:4	600:16,16,23	471:14 474:1
427:4 434:14	567:22 600:21	567:11	601:7,9,23 602:14	481:4 482:4
449:10 461:24	611:11	sector 455:25 516:4	609:22 610:6	513:16,23 514:25
478:19,21 499:8	se 384:1 474:24	621:11,12	611:16,17 615:9	574:2 630:9
538:15 564:23	sea 545:25 546:1	sectors 511:24	616:16 618:7,11	631:15 633:22
571:2 646:13,19	seal 667:13	secure 421:1	618:13 619:9	644:7 645:17
654:25	Sean 369:6 387:7	475:15 530:14	623:19 624:20	646:16 650:7
	<u> </u>	Į	1	ı

sensitive 590:19	serving 558:9	shifts 651:1	591:3	513:6,7 519:7
sent 424:4 430:9,10	591:17	ship 375:12 378:1	shorter 509:8 554:2	520:13 521:6
430:20 443:21	set 374:17 436:10	378:16 392:24	555:20 594:8,11	530:23 576:19
469:11 604:22	514:9,11,17 556:1	395:3 396:20	594:24 601:18	581:11 585:8,16
613:5 633:10	556:3 567:25	405:24 419:18	617:6	586:3 588:25
648:25	580:5 595:13,19	421:4,18 422:10	shorthand 534:21	589:11 610:1
sentence 413:5	596:3 597:13,22	422:11,15 423:21	shortly 458:10	617:16 620:20
sentences 613:17	598:8 607:13	477:12,13,13	644:21	621:4 623:8,11
613:17	614:20 631:9,23	520:6,21 522:21	shots 628:23	624:17 641:4
sentiment 545:24	636:9 640:11	523:15 524:5	show 416:5 483:4	significantly
separate 400:8	667:12	527:3,19 528:10	484:15 485:10	400:16 405:9,18
411:18 638:1	sets 451:2 529:8	528:15,17 529:6	489:3 501:7	418:20,22 486:16
separately 574:12	555:24 561:20	531:11,19,21,23	591:21 643:25	556:18 615:2
584:16	569:25 596:1	533:23,25 534:5	647:4 654:20	624:21
separation 619:25	634:13	537:9,19,21	showed 494:7	similar 375:25
September 410:15	setting 483:22	540:22 542:1,5,7	showing 531:7	490:14 529:3
566:4 567:9	514:21 561:4	545:20 595:15	557:12 603:5	566:22 577:3
series 622:11	562:3 575:2	596:4 610:24	613:5 628:10	595:19 598:25
629:18 637:3	635:17,25 636:12	613:21 623:3	shown 482:2	628:19
659:18 661:9	settle 410:10	632:11 650:25,25	shows 424:3 483:10	similarities 595:10
663:14	settled 410:6	651:3	488:25 520:7	similarly 597:4
serious 408:13	411:24	ship's 523:24 543:9	571:10 585:16,21	simple 463:16
522:4 574:23	settlement 410:15	shipping 368:12	609:17,20 612:22	470:24 471:7
605:17,22 620:12	410:18,25 411:10	400:12 518:10	614:18 642:19	472:8,23 473:7
635:13 662:3	414:22 574:4,9,20	519:5,9 533:18	643:3 654:18	607:18 631:9
seriously 579:22	settlements 571:20	550:21 551:9	shrifted 581:10	simply 374:21
587:11	571:24 573:14	571:17 622:15	sick 590:11	466:9 474:11
serve 371:14 415:6	setup 387:2	ships 381:21,25	side 381:4,4 398:2	508:11 533:7
served 453:11	seven 502:19 558:6	385:11 398:25	399:23 400:2	604:4,4
serves 558:12	595:2 628:11	417:25 420:9	456:6 457:15	simulator 584:15
service 374:10	652:2	422:7 472:18	458:13 525:2	584:18 586:11,14
429:3,14 433:9	severe 392:24	498:23 519:4	541:10,13 582:23	586:23 589:13
434:18,20 443:16	540:21 543:14	524:10 526:23	600:3,4 624:2	616:7 618:9
443:22 444:1,9	share 461:10	527:14 530:13	side-by-side 557:19	623:20
445:22 447:14	468:20 583:3	537:3 572:15	sides 601:13	simultaneously
471:10 556:1,15	sharing 614:22	576:13 599:3	sight 626:23	663:20
562:9,11 576:2	sheet 415:12	621:17,20,20,21	sign 658:12	single 408:10 421:2
596:21 599:21	shell 421:2 422:8	621:21 622:15	signed 534:20	421:3 422:8,15
602:1 608:16	423:15 520:7,16	650:11,13	566:3 567:8	423:15 441:24
653:20	520:20	shipyard 384:19	significance 652:22	520:7,15,20
services 380:7	shelter 547:19	Shoreline 420:19	significant 392:17	533:18 539:1
424:16 452:16	shift 610:19 631:12	420:25	402:18 405:8	558:18 559:10
471:18 472:1	636:1 650:23	short 370:6 459:10	414:21 415:2	619:2
555:22 560:9	shifted 556:21	462:4 465:21	416:22 422:12	single-hull 521:11
581:16	shifting 635:25	509:15 581:10	424:22 495:15	532:25
	1	1	1	1

Page 709

			_	
single-shell 533:24	381:15,15,16	smaller 393:8	432:9 441:16	space 380:25 384:1
536:5	382:7,17 391:5,9	smart 545:14	451:17 454:13	spaced 652:4
singular 558:25	392:22 412:6	smooth 442:12	455:11 465:17	spaces 375:10,12
sink 540:22	418:19 421:4	snake 593:5	467:11,13 482:9	376:8,12,14,16,18
sir 372:22 373:2	424:25 467:7	snapshot 415:20	483:3 505:23	speak 418:5 456:13
374:15 375:16	507:7,9 526:23	social 396:8,8	506:8,10,18	546:14
378:3,25 380:5,11	537:16 621:2,8,15	societies 371:24	507:20 515:11	speaking 488:19
380:18 382:12	621:18 622:2,7,14	SOLAS 528:24	518:23 519:19	651:22
383:12 384:9,20	624:1	530:10 627:4	522:3 523:5,8,8	speaks 541:23
449:11 517:18	sized 536:2 623:3	sole 462:23 463:14	523:15,25 524:4,5	special 611:15
520:11,24 521:18	sizes 505:21 527:3	463:16	524:13,19 525:21	specialist 428:10
521:22 523:12	skill 451:2 555:24	solid 483:25	525:24 531:4,4	speciality 374:18
524:2 525:25	555:25 556:3	somebody 593:3	552:1 559:11,22	specialties 500:14
526:4 527:25	569:25 595:13,19	616:9	565:6,12 566:23	specific 380:9
528:20 529:20	596:1 597:4	somewhat 537:24	572:25 575:16	394:8 397:4
532:16 534:1,10	667:10	soon 456:17	579:15 582:18	430:17 472:12
534:23 535:20,24	skills 543:2 556:14	sore 456:9 457:19	583:22 587:14	473:19 476:9
537:10,12 540:3	557:2 567:14	sorry 380:11	588:14 589:19	484:25 488:19
542:17 543:17,24	569:25 596:3,6,8	383:14 386:24	591:7 593:19,22	512:10,10 513:16
547:6 549:4	596:9,12,21	402:15 403:7,13	594:2 599:16,18	519:25 569:9
sister 539:19	skip 508:3	429:6 454:21	606:3 610:13	602:25 611:2
sit 546:25	skipping 493:9	464:24 468:13	615:1,9,23 616:11	629:15
site 383:1	578:7	478:19 481:17	616:25 617:2,19	specifically 401:13
sits 570:8	sleep 551:17	482:20 486:2	617:25 618:15	435:6 450:17
sitting 592:9 622:3	slight 377:10	499:15 507:5	621:3,19 623:2	452:3 454:8 455:6
situation 405:8,17	slightly 421:14	509:10 516:17	624:18 626:1,11	470:19 471:13
421:20 455:22	434:21 534:16	524:20 542:22,23	627:1 636:25	474:4 497:3
472:13 514:22	576:16 580:18	565:8 592:6	639:10 650:13	546:11 554:25
532:2 540:23	slowed 494:24	606:20 613:2	651:24 660:6,13	specify 602:18
582:7 589:21	SM 411:12	647:10 649:6	661:2,3,4,5,15	spectrum 500:13
590:16 613:18,20	SM-01T 390:25	sort 445:4 448:14	662:12	504:4
615:12,16 620:13	401:2 405:3	531:19 554:14	soundings 542:10	speed 473:15
627:24	SM-04 407:15	589:8 611:23	sounds 385:1 454:9	spend 528:8 563:9
situations 424:23	408:2 413:4	644:12	456:15 460:10	653:23
525:9	SM-06 391:23	sorts 421:9 528:17	463:24,24 525:13	spent 610:15
six 437:9 595:2	SM-07 391:23	649:19	525:14 542:15	spike 649:10
602:15 613:17	SM-12X 389:10	sought 405:24	566:22	spill 402:18,20,23
630:24 639:8	395:11 396:5	441:10 610:22	source 466:24	403:18 422:5
642:22	397:13,19 410:11	soul 545:5	659:21	520:14,22 534:19
six-year 416:16	SM-15X 388:12	sound 367:6 368:2	sources 391:12	spills 418:23 419:15
sizable 554:12	390:18 412:1,13	370:11 376:24	474:16	spite 462:10
size 373:12,18	SM-18X 411:3	401:16,20,24	south 531:13	split 394:17 407:7
374:22 375:5	small 388:25 446:7	415:24 416:20	southeast 424:19	407:19
376:13 378:22	446:8 557:13	419:19 424:18	591:17	spoke 441:6 443:24
379:9,10,12,16,21	617:17	429:24 430:4	Southwest 368:4	572:18 573:7

				1 490 7 10
spoken 571:6	start 388:11 551:19	430:25 438:10	401:14 408:18	Street 625:15
sponsor 441:17,22	598:23 641:4	447:4 514:4	409:8 422:19	strict 403:24 404:7
spot 589:16	646:2	574:19 602:18	534:22 566:8	strictly 384:11
spread 638:14	started 487:13	632:6	567:5,22 568:1,5	strike 440:17
spreadsheet 469:17	494:1 648:8	statement 374:14	568:17 570:8	strive 520:8 613:21
469:18 470:2	starting 370:3	391:14 469:5	608:22,24,25	strives 522:22
480:10 491:24	426:6 429:12	514:2 528:19	645:21 647:7,16	striving 523:2
spring 623:2	493:2 498:12	534:13 539:9	647:22	strong 378:21
square 521:3	517:9 589:5 634:1	565:7 584:2 588:1	statutes 403:25	strongest 619:2
stability 537:13,17	655:21,21 663:14	588:8 594:4	422:23 647:20	strongly 632:7
537:19 538:5,10	state 401:22 430:7	615:25 646:16	statutory 405:5,25	633:22 639:19
596:14	440:1 442:23	statements 474:3	407:9,21 533:7	641:11
stack 381:2	448:7 484:13	474:15 475:16	stay 426:4 525:23	struck 511:16
stack 361.2	502:2,16 510:14	617:23 618:3	546:1	structure 375:20
staff 368:7 370:13	510:18 511:8,23	644:15 646:9	staying 666:1	420:14 455:17,19
370:15 509:14,25	513:21 518:5	states 424:22	staying 000.1 steam 531:13	455:21 457:6
510:2 554:7 555:5	519:13 522:12,16	475:14 495:24	steam 531.13	471:4 482:14
569:13,14,17	522:17 524:14,16	503:17,18,19	steamed 540.25	533:17
578:22 579:8	526:1,2 527:6,11	508:22 521:24,24	571:15 572:17	structured 475:3
580:13 611:4	527:17 529:10	522:6,15,24	599:16	structures 375:7,8
612:20 636:7,8,10	532:13 533:9,9,11	542:21 544:22	steep 660:19	structuring 532:25
642:7 659:23	533:14 536:1,8	545:2 554:10,12	stemming 535:4	struggling 456:11
662:23 664:20	544:15,15,20,21	555:2,14 557:15	step 376:5 402:16	576:5
Staff's 509:18	544:25 550:16	558:1,7,17,17,18	421:23 653:19	studies 545:11
513:10 663:15	554:25 558:16	558:24 560:25	stepping 478:20	study 643:8
664:15 665:11	559:2,25 560:23	561:9 562:2,5,7	517:10	stuff 641:20
stakeholders	561:8,17,18 562:4	563:2 573:14	steps 584:19,20	style 587:15
431:18 655:6	562:8,24 563:19	581:8 593:18	Steve 370:21	subject 375:9
stamp 613:5	574:18 575:21	statewide 561:5	549:12,20	385:19 436:4
stand 375:3 478:22	582:9 583:20	stating 440:17	STEVEN 368:18	530:9
548:2 562:17	591:16 596:2,23	552:10 587:16	stick 412:24 452:4	submission 655:16
637:10,11	598:15 604:3	station 523:3,10,17	592:20 624:6	submit 372:3
standalone 578:1	612:16 613:10	653:24,25	stock-based 459:18	429:16 430:15,16
617:3	620:3,10 667:3,7	statistically 586:2	Stoller 526:20	433:12 445:19
standard 412:9	State's 604:2	588:25 617:16	stop 585:19 590:17	446:10 501:11
414:16 523:1,1	state-by-state	statistics 498:9,10	646:2	649:19
586:17	561:7	498:16 500:23	stopped 415:21	submitted 372:18
standardized 375:4	state-licensed	501:6 504:17,20	stored 529:12	383:9 407:15
standards 586:12	542:14 557:10	505:12,13,16,19	storm 540:25	429:8 433:11
586:15 618:8	588:21	510:9 546:25	541:11,11,11,14	445:10 544:13
619:16 620:8	state-regulated	547:1,1 656:17	story 461:21	579:16 583:23
660:5,7	566:7 567:19	status 443:23	540:10	593:14 611:14
standing 423:21	570:10	445:13,15,17	straight 416:8	612:6 629:7 640:8
535:13 643:18	stated 375:17 392:5	544:16	509:25	641:24 642:6
standpoint 417:23	392:8,10 394:23	statute 401:7,10,12	strategy 455:21	649:4,15,17
			-	
	1	I	ı	1

]	<u> </u>		
subpart 478:6	531:15 560:3	576:20 577:10	619:1,10,12,24	577:2,3,3 584:13
subscribe 616:16	sunset 536:19	578:2 590:7 596:9	622:9 646:3	584:18 586:5,10
Subsection 567:2	super 375:7,20	600:20 609:16	654:12	586:22 587:1
subsequent 389:4	supervises 498:22	620:7 625:12	System/Equipment	588:4,23 589:7
436:20	supplementary	626:6 627:3 629:6	528:5	590:20,22 604:17
subset 492:12	403:23 404:18	646:7 652:9	systems 376:4	605:14,18 616:6
substandard 620:4	supplied 497:19	657:15 658:2	542:20 621:23	617:3,11,13 618:8
substantial 411:9	504:9	surety 420:20		619:15 621:22
499:21 532:10	supply 650:10	421:1,5,9 423:9	T	622:6 632:14
613:7 640:22	support 391:13	535:9,23 536:9	T 667:1,1	633:18 637:14
substantially 433:4	458:21 504:17	surprise 377:2	tab 469:9	642:15 643:19
434:10 538:9	505:17,19 536:12	456:9 571:11	table 464:13,14	650:9 653:21
633:3	562:20 613:22	surprised 423:15	465:13,16,20,25	655:17 662:25
subtract 376:18	618:5 633:22	544:14	467:13,16,21	take-home 463:14
succeed 576:10	supported 566:11	survey 383:4	473:22,22,25	taken 367:24
586:21 603:12,12	567:7 568:6	suspect 514:19	474:8,19 480:16	373:21 436:5
successful 571:19	644:13 663:16	suspended 406:8	480:21 483:2,16	449:5 459:7
593:16 605:23	664:6	sustainable 460:23	483:17 484:16,20	516:22 539:13
617:12	supporting 559:17	sustained 412:5	484:21 485:6	546:6 584:6
successfully 577:4	supportive 536:7	swear 371:3 387:5	487:22 488:25	590:25 598:7
sued 405:24	supports 377:21	427:20 449:16	489:3 492:1,4,5	603:1 609:8 639:7
suffer 392:23 506:2	574:9 642:3	517:22 550:4,6	493:21 494:3,13	645:25 656:16
507:13,19	supposed 376:13	swells 660:17	497:8 504:13	takers 585:7 587:13
suffered 520:13	376:19 417:7	swimmers 581:22	559:10,17 562:21	593:16
sufficient 471:3	538:6 567:11	swirls 657:7	576:1 582:12	takes 553:18
513:11 572:7	608:23	sworn 371:6 387:7	583:8 609:15,17	555:18,19 604:17
suggest 458:5	supposedly 644:3	427:22 449:18	614:17 641:17	628:23
512:16,18 524:21	surcharge 610:23	517:24 550:8	643:25 646:15	talent 515:23
537:23 561:13	611:18	synonymous	Tabler 604:22	593:24
636:25 662:25	sure 372:19 387:1	379:14	tabs 469:21	talk 377:17 393:3
suggesting 414:1	392:15 393:16,21	synonymously	Tacoma 503:25	394:2 439:22
559:18 662:3	394:17 399:20	379:13	Tacoma/Bellevue	473:19 487:22
suggestion 525:8	400:6 404:15	synopsis 379:4	504:12	532:23 545:16,19
576:21 605:17,22	406:20 418:4	system 376:3	tailored 553:15	552:11,19 602:10
suggests 533:2,6	425:25 426:1	377:12 379:24	596:7,7,9	611:3 616:13,18
583:21	431:22 444:10	386:1 420:14	take 375:15,16	644:11 652:2
suing 577:17	452:21 470:3	421:17 521:24	397:8 422:13	talked 396:24
586:21	481:17 484:24	522:11 523:23	426:16 445:9,11	439:22 483:9
Suisan 609:2	492:6 507:15	529:25 530:21	448:23 449:2	560:8 561:16
Suite 368:14,19	521:1,4,15 525:18	532:1 534:24	472:19 481:15	564:6 568:3 571:8
suited 553:20	526:13,13 536:21	535:16 537:19	490:8 509:7,18	589:25 594:11
sum 382:4	539:18 540:12	538:5,10 539:11	516:16,19 523:4,7	608:5
summaries 538:4	546:3 549:18	539:25 556:23	531:18 533:8	talking 380:11,13
summary 410:1	550:3 558:25	560:24,25 561:8	540:7 551:22	393:17 394:6,9
413:2 414:5 453:4	574:11 576:1,14	611:9 612:9,11,16	553:13,22,23	396:20,23 406:18
			556:15 564:11	
	•	•	•	•

				1 490 7 12
418:5 459:22	603:23 611:13	516:1,3 533:3	380:18 381:6,12	562:17,23 563:4
462:19 472:15,17	612:6,21 613:4,8	597:5 600:6	382:4,5,18,21	565:24 568:11,14
501:6 544:21	614:12 635:14	terminate 605:1,2,5	385:16,17 386:16	568:24 569:1,3,7
546:12 558:17	tax 443:23 444:10	606:3	387:21,21 390:25	573:3 578:4 582:8
560:12 564:10	tax-qualified 442:4	terminated 605:6	391:1,4,8,22	582:13,17 583:10
582:18 588:2,24	444:13 445:15,16	terminating 445:14	392:5,20 401:1,3	583:17,20,25
599:11 612:3	taxable 434:4	604:23	401:15,18 403:10	584:9 587:2
620:12 648:18	team 543:10	terms 388:20,23	405:4 406:24	597:14 605:14
650:21 659:12	technical 444:8	418:3 432:21	409:4 417:10	612:2 615:19,20
talks 399:5 569:23	457:14 459:11	456:8 459:14	422:24 425:16	616:19 620:19
569:24 594:7	464:21 531:24	467:19,22 482:5	426:1 428:3,4	622:16 625:1
601:9		513:2 529:16	429:9 430:7,17,25	
Tank 621:13	Technically 417:7		, ,	628:6,9,10,22
	technological	531:24 556:10	431:6 432:25	631:19,20,24
tanker 404:2	392:25 394:23	563:14 565:12,14	434:2,24 435:2,3	632:7,22 633:7
419:18 423:16	395:19 398:15	576:6 585:17	435:6,11,15	635:1 636:24
534:25 535:13	399:5,20	605:16 609:20	436:17,25 438:7	637:7,9,15 641:14
622:3 626:3,4,5	technologically	624:22	438:20,21 439:3	659:1,16,22
tankers 401:23	537:4	test 496:11,21,22	442:7 445:8 448:8	661:14 663:9,19
402:9 423:14	technology 398:19	507:11,16 508:5	448:25 450:3	663:20,25 664:2
598:20 602:2	398:25 417:21,25	577:3 579:15	453:19 454:1,18	664:10,15 665:25
621:15,16 624:18	418:8 419:7	584:8,11,14,14,15	454:19,20,23,25	TEU 623:1,4,5,8
626:1,8,11	451:23 527:12	584:15 585:2,6,7	455:1,2,11 470:10	TEUs 623:9
tanks 375:8 621:23	537:6,24 538:1,6	585:9,14,18,22	472:2 473:16,20	Texas 503:19 561:1
target 447:19	538:15,15,20	587:9,12 591:23	478:25 479:3	561:19
479:12 483:5,17	telephone 464:20	592:2,5 593:16	480:6,7 484:18	text 379:17 408:17
483:24 484:13	tell 378:24 388:13	618:9 623:19,21	487:24 492:17	thank 370:12,18,19
485:2 486:16	401:14 406:18	628:22	493:14 497:15,22	370:22 371:9
488:4,9,16,21	471:2 490:19	tested 585:13	497:24 498:5,7,11	372:2,9,13,16
490:6 512:13	504:8 541:2,3	testified 371:6	498:20 499:1,18	377:13 378:12
515:21 567:10	547:1,2 585:25	387:7 405:6	499:25 500:11	384:23 385:4,5,6
579:14 597:22,23	630:23	427:22 433:3	501:17 502:14	385:21 386:5,10
600:9	telling 454:22	438:23 441:8	505:14 506:13,14	386:12,15,18
targeted 465:21	ten 422:9 504:1,2	449:18 473:23	508:2,4,24 511:3	387:10 388:5,16
484:4 573:22	545:6,8 573:12	480:3 500:12	511:8,22 513:8,14	390:17,24 391:4
targets 468:25	592:9 614:20	517:24 547:14	513:20 516:14	394:12 400:25
484:17	620:13 647:20	550:9	518:12 519:13,15	403:14 404:25
tariff 465:15	648:1,6,14	testify 373:4 405:20	521:4 526:18,20	408:6 412:12,17
471:11,12 472:9	ten-minute 448:24	testifying 409:5	526:21 527:2,6	414:20 425:15,16
472:24 473:7	449:2	417:17	531:2,16 532:7	426:2 428:16,22
479:14,23 495:20	tender 372:10	testimonies 544:13	533:21 542:4	431:11 436:12
570:5 578:6 579:2	388:1 428:12	testimony 372:4,6	547:7,21,24,25	437:10 438:18
579:3,19 580:2	450:8 518:16	372:18 373:11,16	548:2,17 549:6,15	440:20 448:19,20
583:21 599:13	551:2	373:20 374:21	550:23 551:21	448:25 450:10,12
600:23 602:20,21	term 379:17 405:10	375:1,17 376:23	552:3,6,7,9,15,16	450:25 451:8
602:24 603:2,14	462:4 514:13	378:3,11,25 380:4	559:8,13 562:13	452:18 454:16
	ı	1		I

				1 age 7 10
459:12 460:25	577:12 580:8	587:22 588:4,8,24	644:24 645:18	655:16 656:2
461:22 468:2	584:21 597:24	588:25 589:14	three-and-out	657:14 658:2
469:24 470:15	599:6 612:8 620:9	591:9,13,15 592:7	647:25 648:9	659:23 661:21
471:8 473:10	622:1 634:20	593:10 596:2,5,11	threw 539:24	timely 653:20
476:13 477:4	642:23 648:21	597:8 598:16,23	637:25	666:3
481:12,14 485:4	651:15 653:16	599:4 600:22	throat 456:9	timer's 537:25
487:21 488:20	655:22,24 661:19	602:5 603:25	457:19	times 428:19
505:20 508:10	think 377:8,23	605:7,10 606:21	throw 575:23	434:16,18,20,20
509:3 510:23	380:3 382:12	609:3,12 612:21	thrown 560:19	443:15,16 571:7,9
515:2 516:9,11,14	385:19 388:25	614:25 615:24	time 377:15 381:10	575:9 580:23
516:21 517:18,20	393:23 394:18	617:3,9 623:4,5	385:1 395:12	608:16 618:21
518:2,19 519:12	399:16 402:1,2	629:9,14 630:2	411:15 415:16	619:5 621:7
521:14 525:16	403:1 405:10	632:18 633:21	416:2,16 426:14	635:20 638:23
526:8 532:18	410:2 414:7 417:9	634:11,17,21,25	430:10 434:12	646:2 649:21
543:24 544:2	410:2 414:7 417:9	636:20 639:13	440:21 447:9	660:9
543:24 544:2	426:25 430:19	641:21 642:16	451:19 452:1,10	timing 426:20
, ,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	469:2 509:6
548:17 549:2,4,5 549:6,22 550:11	431:6,7 437:7 446:2 450:25	643:9,15 646:15 647:12,13,14,21	452:12,19 456:14 456:14 466:18	tip 539:10
*		652:19 653:16,19		title 504:13
551:3,5 564:19	451:4 452:18 456:23 458:8	· ·	468:23 470:1 475:5 482:23	titled 465:25
569:8,11 570:14		654:14,23 655:22 655:24 656:2		
590:21 602:13	461:23 463:22		484:7 488:18	today 374:9 386:16
606:19 629:18	472:2,4 473:23	657:5,6,13 659:7	495:8,17 500:21	398:9 418:13,22
646:22 647:10	476:10 479:8	661:4 662:25	506:24 507:5	426:5 480:3 517:2
658:10 659:3,24	480:13,13 481:1	663:21,21 664:21	509:6 521:16	563:25 565:9
660:8 662:21	483:20 484:9	third 378:19,22,22	528:9,25 534:2	666:5
663:3 665:21,22	487:3 497:18	395:3 554:16	537:14 540:19	today's 539:7
665:25 666:4,4	501:22 509:8,13	555:9 586:7	541:8 543:23	told 373:7 382:10
Thanks 386:13	510:17 512:7,21	600:22 624:14	549:18 553:7,7,8	441:13 601:14
445:1 626:16	513:10 514:24	third-party 406:12	554:20 557:18	618:21
666:10	515:8,12 524:13	Thirdly 586:7	558:23 563:9	tomorrow 517:9
Thanksgiving	526:14 530:25	thought 447:4	564:12 565:8	666:5,8
584:25	536:12,20,25	542:22 572:19	575:12 577:11	tonight 427:16
themes 587:1	537:25 539:1,15	thoughtful 439:14	579:20 581:19	tonnage 371:14,20
thing 373:6 437:21	540:17 542:7	three 377:17 392:6	583:6 584:25	371:25 373:14
521:3 524:25	543:5 546:22	392:11,16,19,19	588:4,8,17,19	374:2,22 375:18
531:21,22 591:22	549:20 553:23	393:3 405:21	592:11,15 594:15	375:22 376:8,19
603:13 642:10	555:4 556:12,23	413:11,11 510:13	595:2 598:4	377:11 381:8
661:7,25	557:1 560:12	510:18,19 553:6	599:24 603:9	382:13,19,24
things 373:25 381:3	564:9,16,18,22	553:10 555:18	608:5 611:4,5	383:4,5,8,10,15
398:2 418:9 419:1	565:4,20 571:7	558:11,13 559:9	617:12 618:15	383:25 384:4,11
454:23 459:21	575:7,19,20 576:3	571:8,20,25	631:7,13 637:2,2	384:16,17 386:3
543:9 547:23	576:22 577:8,13	584:12 588:12	638:14 639:12,12	398:9 418:13,17
548:22 553:24	577:17 578:1	590:15 592:17,23	642:23 643:20	543:16 610:24
558:5 560:10,18	579:7 580:12	602:1 630:25	644:21 646:19	tonnages 375:24
560:20 576:4,12	581:20 582:1	633:2,8 637:17,25	652:3 653:11,11	376:1
		•	•	•

tons 420:1 622:4 623:18 tow 542:8 towboat 499:10 638:21 639:13 499:25 39:8 488:121 499:25 39:8 399:13 390:18,25 390:18,25 390:18,25 390:18,25 390:18,25 390:18,25 390:18,25 390:18,25 390:18,25 390:18,25 390:19,20,23,25 390:2,2,213 506:2,2,2,13 506:2,2,2,13 506:2,2,2,13 506:2,2,2,13 506:2,2,2,13 506:2,2,2,13 506:16 571:4 618:1.6 619:11 637:15 640:15 tracks 381:4 tractors 381:3 tracks 381:4 591:23 435:23 400:15 571:4 618:1.6 619:11 637:15 640:15 571:4 618:1.6 571:16 658:14 667:8 tractors 381:3 transcript 452:18 431:23 435:23 441:5 534:16 534:10 478:16 479:13,16 534:10 478:16 479:13,16 534:10 478:16 479:13,16 534:10 530:12 563:25 63:22 644:10 651:21 655:2 619:24,25 631:25 636:22 644:10 651:21 655:2 619:24,25 631:25 636:22 644:10 651:21 655:2 619:24,25 631:25 636:22 644:10 651:21 655:2 619:24,25 631:21 648:8 580:9 603:21 trailer 620:16 trailers 381:3 transits 524:8 656:10 661:17 trailer 620:16 trail	Г				1 age 7 14
623:18 tool538:1 tool538:1 tool538:1 tool538:1 tool538:1 towboat 499:10 tool638:1 fool638:1 fool	tons 420:1 622:4	tow 542:8	623:11 638:11.14	479:8 481:21	turn 370:8 388:17
tool 538:1 towboats 595:17 644:24 645:11,11 551:13,16 554:9 391:1 395:11,12 top 403:5 408:15 TP-220513 367:4 645:12 653:15,16 559:1 566:1 395:25 408:2,2,14 503:19,20,23,25 track 543:21 track			,		
top 403:5 408:15 TP-220513 367:4 645:12 653:15,16 559:1 566:1 395:25 408:2,2,14 413:6 417:12 370.4 654:2,2 570:15 578:3 409:21 410:11 503:19,20,32,55 tracked 573:7 trampers 422:7 614:7 615:25 426:17 428:25 504:2,2,2,13 tracked 573:7 trampers 422:7 614:7 615:25 426:17 428:25 515:23 536:16 tracks 381:4 tracks 381:4 598:25 634:6 647:5,16 432:24,25 433:17 619:11 637:15 571:16 trade 518:25 571:16 458:18 517:13 667:10 433:19 435:9 619:12 431:23 435:23 tradition 637:1 transfer 591:7,11 transfer 591:7,11 431:16,17,24 439:0,10 445:9 441:5 534:16 traffic 477:12,13,22 556:18 631:11 trush 47:13 504:8 452:14 455:13 580:18 582:17 493:9 495:16 496:7 613:22 566:18 631:11 trush 47:13 504:8 462:12 475:4 588:3, 5 599:13 661:20 662:3,45 628:19 650:10 665:7 525:1,11,15 489:8 490:17 641:10 651:21 628:19 650:10 trailers 381:3 567:1,3 500:15<					*
\$\frac{417:12}{503:19;20;23;25} \$\frac{54:21}{track 543:21} \$\frac{654:2,2}{track 543:21} \$\frac{654:2,2}{track 543:21} \$\frac{654:2,2}{track 543:21} \$\frac{654:2,2}{trampers 422:7} \$614:7 615:25 \$429:11 431:15 \$58:25 \$58:15 \$63:16 477:5,16 \$617:22 632:1,4,6 \$429:11 431:15 \$629:11 637:15 \$649:15 \$407:15 \$649:15 \$416:55	· ·		· ·	,	•
503:19,20,23,25 track 543:21 trajectory 416:8 588:11 603:19 411:3 416:12 504:2,2,2,13 506:2,22 508:7 588:15 trampers 422:7 614:7 615:25 429:11 431:15 515:23 536:16 tracks 381:4 598:25 634:6 647:5,16 422:24,25 433:17 571:4 618:1,6 tractors 381:3 tractors 381:3 transcript 452:18 667:10 433:19 435:9 401:5 571:16 558:14 667:8 truly 385:19 479:6 436:24,27:11,17 431:23 435:23 tradition 637:1 transfer 591:7,11 transfer 591:7,11 431:16,17,24 439:6,10 445:9 441:5 534:16 traffic 477:12,13,22 556:18 631:11 trunt 471:3 504:8 458:14 455:13 580:18 582:17 493:9 495:16 493:9 495:16 444:17 609:9 465:1 521:12 474:12,12 475:4 588:25 636:22 615:2 619:24,25 624:21 626:3,45 665:7 525:1,11,15 480:9 485:15 641:10 651:21 628:19 650:10 trail 588:23 589:16 589:19 616:8,11 571:3 500:15 638:17 648:24 507:24 508:16 655:2 trail 588:23 589:16 665:10<	_		,		
504:2,2,2,13 tracked 573:7 trampers 422:7 614:7 615:25 426:17 428:25 506:2,22 508:7 588:15 tracks 381:4 598:25 634:6 647:5,16 429:11 431:15 571:4 618:1,6 619:11 637:15 tractors 381:3 tractors 381:3 transcript 452:18 667:10 433:19 435:9 609:11 637:15 tradition 637:1 tradition 637:1 trasser 591:7,11 truly 385:19 479:6 436:2 437:11,17 437:21 48:20 40:15 trating 653:10 transfer 591:7,11 truly 385:19 479:6 436:2 437:11,17 437:21 48:20 431:23 435:23 traffic 477:12,13,22 556:18 631:11 transfer 591:7,11 truly 385:19 479:6 436:2 437:11,17 437:21 438:20 588:3,5 599:13 496:7 613:22 transition 442:12 truth 471:3 504:8 466:8 470:17 466:8 470:17 474:12,12 475:4 466:12 465:12 474:12,12 475:4 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 481:14 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:			,		
506:2,22 508:7 588:15 transboundary 617:22 632:1,4,6 429:11 431:15 515:23 536:16 tracks 381:3 tractors 381:3 transcript 452:18 634:6 647:5,16 432:24,25 433:17 619:11 637:15 trade 518:25 458:18 517:13 667:10 433:19 435:9 640:15 571:16 tradition 637:1 transfer 591:7,11 truly 385:19 479:6 436:2 437:11,17 431:23 435:23 de53:10 tranfic 477:12,13,22 556:18 631:11 trunsit 523:25 432:10,12,19,22 453:1 455:13 588:3,5 599:13 496:7 613:22 655:18 631:11 transition 442:12 try 390:6 456:25 469:8 470:17 644:10 651:21 628:19 650:10 628:19 650:10 628:19 650:10 624:22 626:6,14 638:17 477:1 539:2 559:8 589:19 616:8,11 539:11 632:9 662:12 626:3,45 624:22 626:6,14 663:17 648:24 507:24 508:16 580:9 603:21 traines 505:23 trainee 505:23 trainee 505:23 treat 574:4 532:3 547:8 532:3 547:8 532:3 547:8 532:3 547:8 606:21,23,25 560:20 56:22 560:20 56:22 560:20 56:22 560:20 56:22			•		
515:23 536:16 tracks 381:4 598:25 634:6 647:5,16 432:24,25 433:17 571:4 618:1,6 tractors 381:3 transcript 452:18 667:10 433:19 435:9 619:11 637:15 571:16 658:14 667:8 trust 430:4,14 437:21 438:20 40:15 431:23 435:23 traffic 477:12,13,22 556:18 631:11 trust 430:4,14 437:21 438:20 441:5 534:16 traffic 477:12,13,22 556:18 631:11 transit 523:25 432:10,12,19,22 453:1 455:13 580:18 582:17 493:9 495:16 493:9 495:16 444:17 609:9 466:15 521:12 474:12,12 475:4 588:3,5 599:13 496:7 613:22 665:7 525:1,11,15 480:9 485:15 613:25 636:22 615:2 619:24,25 665:10 transition 472:13 532:20 539:20 491:9 492:16 655:2 444:17 609:9 465:1 521:12 474:12,12 475:4 644:10 651:21 628:19 650:10 trail 424:6 trail 424:6 transits 524:8 545:25 577:17 497:2 498:5 501:2 450:13 62:22 617:5 589:19 616:8,11 663:17 477:1 539:2 59:8 539:11 632:			_		
571:4 618:1,6 tractors 381:3 transcript 452:18 667:10 433:19 435:9 619:11 637:15 640:15 571:16 658:14 667:8 truly 385:19 479:6 436:2 437:11,17 609:382:5 407:12 431:23 435:23 441:5 534:16 tradition 637:1 transfe 591:7,11 trust 430:4,14 437:21 438:20 441:5 534:16 478:16 479:13,16 478:16 479:13,16 478:16 479:13,16 478:16 479:13,16 478:16 479:13,16 448:17 609:9 465:1 52:112 478:12 475:13 460:21 465:12 478:12 475:4 480:9 485:15 480:9 485	*		_		
619:11 637:15 trade 518:25 458:18 517:13 truly 385:19 479:6 436:2 437:11,17 topic 382:5 407:12 tradition 637:1 tradition 637:1 transit 523:25 trust 430:4,14 437:21 438:20 431:23 435:23 traffic 477:12,13,22 traffic 477:12,13,22 transit 523:25 432:10,12,19,22 453:1 455:13 truth 471:3 504:8 466:11 455:13 truth 471:3 504:8 466:12 1455:13 truth 471:3 504:8 462:21 465:12 478:16 479:13,16 478:16 479:13,16 493:9 495:16 493:9 495:16 493:9 495:16 466:17 609:9 465:1 521:12 474:12,12 475:4 480:9 485:15 469:8 470:17 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 478:14 455:13 480:9 485:15 466:12 476:12 478:16 467:12 478:16 479:13,16 44:17 609:9 465:1 521:12 474:12,12 475:4 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 480:9					′
640:15 571:16 658:14 667:8 trust 430:4,14 437:21 438:20 topic 382:5 407:12 tradition 637:1 transfer 591:7,11 transit 523:25 431:16,17,24 439:6,10 445:9 431:23 435:23 traffic 477:12,13,22 556:18 631:11 trust 430:4,14 437:21 438:20 439:6,10 445:9 542:2 572:11 478:16 479:13,16 transit 523:25 556:18 631:11 truth 471:3 504:8 462:21 465:12 457:4 45:13 580:18 582:17 493:9 495:16 444:17 609:9 465:1 521:12 474:12,12 475:4 489:9 485:15 618:23 620:25 615:2 619:24,25 615:2 619:24,25 transitions 472:13 532:20 539:20 491:9 492:16 644:10 651:21 628:19 650:10 trailsts 524:8 624:22 626:6,14 608:8 609:6 502:8,24 503:16 655:2 trail 424:6 trailers 381:3 trains 58:23 589:16 623:17 539:1 539:1 477:1 539:2 559:8 589:19 616:8,11 633:17 trying 393:21 412:8 530:10 531:4 466:14 468:9 traine 620:16 traine 60:13 traine 50:23 660:21,23,25 50:9 510:9 510:0 533:13 547:15 469:10			_		
topic 382:5 407:12 431:23 435:23 tradition 637:1 653:10 transfer 591:7,11 transit 523:25 431:16,17,24 432:10,12,19,22 439:6,10 445:9 453:1 455:13 441:5 534:16 542:2 572:11 478:16 479:13,16 478:16 479:13,16 transit 523:25 556:18 631:11 truth 471:3 504:8 462:21 465:12 462:1 465:12 478:12,12 475:4 588:3,5 599:13 618:23 620:25 631:25 636:22 624:21 626:3,4,5 644:10 651:21 628:19 650:10 665:7 628:19 650:10 transition 442:12 444:17 609:9 455:1 521:12 474:12,12 475:4 480:9 485:15 479:2 498:5 501:2 665:7 644:10 651:21 655:2 644:10 651:21 628:19 650:10 trail 244:6 transits 524:8 624:22 626:6,14 transportation 654:25 577:17 608:8 609:6 608:8 609:6 608:8 609:6 608:8 609:6 608:8 609:6 608:8 609:6 508:8,24 503:16 508:7 506:13 505:7 506:13 505:7 506:13 477:1 539:2 559:8 580:9 603:21 trained 620:16 trainee 505:23 631:21 648:8 656:10 661:17 totally 377:6 546:2 657:18 TOTE 368:17 370:20,21 372:11 370:20,21 372:11 370:20,21 372:11 370:20,376:9 552:19 553:14,17 381:25 540:16 555:19,21 557:2 575:25 576:8 tread 391:16 509:24 395:3 398:8 416:6 599:24 395:3 398:8 416:6 583:3 589:8 602:8 463:21 549:19 472:14,21 479:8 540:24 549:3 540:24 549:3				_	
431:23 435:23 653:10 transit 523:25 432:10,12,19,22 453:1 455:13 441:5 534:16 traffic 477:12,13,22 556:18 631:11 truth 471:3 504:8 462:21 465:12 542:2 572:11 478:16 479:13,16 478:16 479:13,16 transition 442:12 try 390:6 456:25 469:8 470:17 588:3,5 599:13 496:7 613:22 665:7 525:1,11,15 480:9 485:15 480:9 485:15 618:23 620:25 615:2 619:24,25 transitions 472:13 532:20 539:20 491:9 492:16 631:25 636:22 624:21 626:3,4,5 624:22 626:6,14 608:8 609:6 502:8,24 503:16 655:2 trail 424:6 transportation 367:1,3 500:15 638:17 68:24 505:7 506:13 topics 549:14 655:9 train 588:23 589:16 539:11 632:9 662:1 505:7 506:13 466:14 468:9 589:19 616:8,11 633:17 trying 393:21 412:8 500:10 531:4 477:1 539:2 559:8 617:5 trainee 505:23 660:21,23,25 501:9 510:10 580:15,18 599:13 656:10 661:17 trainee 505:73 treat 574:4 treat 574:4 512:15 516:18 607				,	
441:5 533:16 traffic 477:12,13,22 556:18 631:11 truth 471:3 504:8 462:21 465:12 542:2 572:11 478:16 479:13,16 444:17 609:9 465:1 521:12 469:8 470:17 580:18 582:17 493:9 495:16 444:17 609:9 465:1 521:12 474:12,12 475:4 588:3,5 599:13 496:7 613:22 665:7 525:1,11,15 480:9 485:15 618:23 620:25 615:2 619:24,25 transitions 472:13 532:20 539:20 491:9 492:16 631:25 636:22 624:21 626:3,4,5 transits 524:8 545:25 577:17 497:2 498:5 501:2 644:10 651:21 628:19 650:10 624:22 626:6,14 608:8 609:6 502:8,24 503:16 655:2 trail 424:6 transportation 615:17 618:13 505:7 506:13 total 375:6,10,20 tale 65:9 466:14 168:9 589:19 616:8,11 633:17 trigg 393:21 412:8 507:24 508:16 580:9 603:21 trainee 505:23 660:21,23,25 trainee 503:7 427:15 469:10 532:3 547:8 655:10 661:17 trainee 503:7,13 treath 574:4 512:5 516:18 607:5,6 618:23 507:18	_			* *	· ·
542:2 572:11 478:16 479:13,16 transition 442:12 try 390:6 456:25 469:8 470:17 580:18 582:17 493:9 495:16 444:17 609:9 465:1 521:12 474:12,12 475:4 588:3,5 599:13 496:7 613:22 665:7 525:1,11,15 480:9 485:15 618:23 620:25 615:2 619:24,25 transitions 472:13 532:20 539:20 491:9 492:16 631:25 636:22 624:21 626:3,4,5 624:22 626:6,14 608:8 609:6 502:8,24 503:16 644:10 651:21 628:19 650:10 624:22 626:6,14 608:8 609:6 502:8,24 503:16 655:2 trail 424:6 transportation 615:17 618:13 505:7 506:13 topics 549:14 655:9 trail 588:23 589:16 539:11 632:9 662:1 507:24 508:16 466:14 468:9 589:19 616:8,11 633:17 trying 393:21 412:8 507:24 508:16 580:9 603:21 trainee 505:23 trainee 505:23 427:15 469:10 532:3 547:8 631:21 648:8 507:8,10 584:9 trainees 503:7,13 treat 574:4 512:15 516:18 607:5,6 618:23 557:18 training 406:10 trend 391:16				, , ,	
580:18 582:17 493:9 495:16 444:17 609:9 465:1 521:12 474:12,12 475:4 588:3,5 599:13 496:7 613:22 665:7 525:1,11,15 480:9 485:15 618:23 620:25 615:2 619:24,25 transitons 472:13 532:20 539:20 491:9 492:16 631:25 636:22 624:21 626:3,4,5 624:22 626:6,14 545:25 577:17 497:2 498:5 501:2 644:10 651:21 628:19 650:10 trail 424:6 transportation 615:17 618:13 505:7 506:13 topics 549:14 655:9 trail 424:6 transportation 615:17 648:24 507:24 508:16 466:14 468:9 589:19 616:8,11 633:17 trying 393:21 412:8 530:10 531:4 477:1 539:2 559:8 617:5 trained 505:23 660:21,23,25 501:9 510:10 532:3 547:8 631:21 648:8 507:8,10 584:9 treat 574:4 512:15 516:18 607:5,6 618:23 656:10 661:17 trainees 503:7,13 treatment 497:6 519:14 530:25 636:22 642:9 totally 377:6 546:2 583:23 592:4 training 406:10 trend 391:16 560:20 562:2 663:12 TOTE 368:17		, ,			
588:3,5 599:13 496:7 613:22 665:7 525:1,11,15 480:9 485:15 618:23 620:25 615:2 619:24,25 transitions 472:13 532:20 539:20 491:9 492:16 631:25 636:22 624:21 626:3,4,5 628:19 650:10 624:22 626:6,14 608:8 609:6 502:8,24 503:16 655:2 trail 424:6 transportation 615:17 618:13 505:7 506:13 topics 549:14 655:9 train 588:23 589:16 539:11 632:9 662:1 507:24 508:16 466:14 468:9 589:19 616:8,11 633:17 trying 393:21 412:8 530:10 531:4 477:1 539:2 559:8 617:5 trained 620:16 treacherous 660:10 472:14,21 479:8 548:24 549:3 631:21 648:8 507:8,10 584:9 treat 574:4 512:15 516:18 607:5,6 618:23 656:10 661:17 training 406:10 trend 391:16 560:20 562:2 663:12 TOTE 368:17 451:10 482:7 392:24 395:3 563:22 582:20 truned 370:23 370:20,21 372:11 507:3 528:4 398:8 416:6 583:3 589:8602:8 663:12 Totally 37:6 540:2 555:19,5155:17,18 <t< td=""><td></td><td>,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		,			
618:23 620:25 615:2 619:24,25 transitions 472:13 532:20 539:20 491:9 492:16 631:25 636:22 624:21 626:3,4,5 628:19 650:10 624:22 626:6,14 608:8 609:6 502:8,24 503:16 655:2 trail 424:6 transportation 615:17 618:13 505:7 506:13 topics 549:14 655:9 train 588:23 589:16 589:19 616:8,11 633:17 623:17 648:24 507:24 508:16 466:14 468:9 589:19 616:8,11 633:17 trying 393:21 412:8 530:10 531:4 580:9 603:21 trained 620:16 treacherous 660:10 472:14,21 479:8 548:24 549:3 655:10 661:17 trainees 505:23 660:21,23,25 501:9 510:10 580:15,18 599:13 657:18 training 406:10 treat 574:4 512:15 516:18 607:5,6 618:23 570:20,21 372:11 507:3 528:4 392:24 395:3 563:22 582:20 575:21 659:16 370:20,21 372:11 507:3 528:4 398:8 416:6 583:3 589:8 602:8 463:21 531:14 370:20,21 372:11 555:19,21 557:2 575:25 576:8 trial 409:20 414:5 Tuesday 51:14 389:10 437:23			444:17 609:9		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
631:25 636:22 624:21 626:3,4,5 transits 524:8 545:25 577:17 497:2 498:5 501:2 644:10 651:21 628:19 650:10 624:22 626:6,14 608:8 609:6 502:8,24 503:16 655:2 trail 424:6 transportation 367:1,3 500:15 638:17 648:24 507:24 508:16 total 375:6,10,20 train 588:23 589:16 589:19 616:8,11 539:11 632:9 662:1 511:6 517:1,4 466:14 468:9 589:19 616:8,11 633:17 trying 393:21 412:8 530:10 531:4 580:9 603:21 trained 620:16 tracherous 660:10 472:14,21 479:8 548:24 549:3 623:8 624:22 trainee 505:23 660:21,23,25 501:9 510:10 580:15,18 599:13 656:10 661:17 trainees 503:7,13 treat 574:4 512:15 516:18 607:5,6 618:23 657:18 training 406:10 trend 391:16 560:20 562:2 657:21 659:16 TOTE 368:17 451:10 482:7 398:8 416:6 583:25 589:8 602:8 463:21 531:14 370:20,21 372:11 507:3 528:4 398:8 416:6 583:3 589:8 602:8 463:21 531:14 395:7 trial 409:20 41	*		665:7	7 7	480:9 485:15
644:10 651:21 628:19 650:10 624:22 626:6,14 608:8 609:6 502:8,24 503:16 655:2 trail 424:6 transportation 367:1,3 500:15 638:17 648:24 507:24 508:16 total 375:6,10,20 train 588:23 589:16 539:11 632:9 662:1 511:6 517:1,4 466:14 468:9 589:19 616:8,11 633:17 trying 393:21 412:8 530:10 531:4 477:1 539:2 559:8 617:5 travel 553:7 427:15 469:10 532:3 547:8 580:9 603:21 trained 620:16 treacherous 660:10 472:14,21 479:8 548:24 549:3 631:21 648:8 507:8,10 584:9 treat 574:4 512:15 516:18 607:5,6 618:23 656:10 661:17 trainees 503:7,13 treatment 497:6 519:14 530:25 636:22 642:9 totally 377:6 546:2 583:23 592:4 trend 391:16 560:20 562:2 663:12 TOTE 368:17 451:10 482:7 392:24 395:3 563:22 582:20 633:12 370:20,21 372:11 507:3 528:4 398:8 416:6 583:3 589:8 602:8 463:21 531:14 372:20 376:9 552:19 553:14,17 395:7 427:3	618:23 620:25	615:2 619:24,25		532:20 539:20	491:9 492:16
655:2 trail 424:6 transportation 615:17 618:13 505:7 506:13 topics 549:14 655:9 trailers 381:3 367:1,3 500:15 638:17 648:24 507:24 508:16 total 375:6,10,20 train 588:23 589:16 539:11 632:9 662:1 511:6 517:1,4 466:14 468:9 589:19 616:8,11 633:17 trying 393:21 412:8 530:10 531:4 580:9 603:21 trained 620:16 treacherous 660:10 472:14,21 479:8 548:24 549:3 631:21 648:8 507:8,10 584:9 treat 574:4 512:15 516:18 607:5,6 618:23 656:10 661:17 trainees 503:7,13 treatment 497:6 519:14 530:25 636:22 642:9 totally 377:6 546:2 583:23 592:4 512:2,20,22 540:19 552:23 657:21 659:16 657:18 training 406:10 trend 391:16 560:20 562:2 663:12 TOTE 368:17 552:19 553:14,17 398:8 416:6 583:3 589:8 602:8 463:21 531:14 372:20 376:9 552:19 555:17,18 395:7 627:3 642:24 Tuesday 511:14 389:10 437:23 549:12,15,21 555:19,21 557:2 576:8 <t< td=""><td>631:25 636:22</td><td>624:21 626:3,4,5</td><td>transits 524:8</td><td>545:25 577:17</td><td>497:2 498:5 501:2</td></t<>	631:25 636:22	624:21 626:3,4,5	transits 524:8	545:25 577:17	497:2 498:5 501:2
topics 549:14 655:9 trailers 381:3 367:1,3 500:15 638:17 648:24 507:24 508:16 total 375:6,10,20 train 588:23 589:16 539:11 632:9 662:1 511:6 517:1,4 466:14 468:9 589:19 616:8,11 633:17 trying 393:21 412:8 530:10 531:4 580:9 603:21 trained 620:16 trained 620:16 treacherous 660:10 472:14,21 479:8 548:24 549:3 631:21 648:8 507:8,10 584:9 treat 574:4 512:15 516:18 607:5,6 618:23 656:10 661:17 trainees 503:7,13 treatment 497:6 519:14 530:25 636:22 642:9 totally 377:6 546:2 583:23 592:4 tread 574:4 519:14 530:25 657:21 659:16 657:18 training 406:10 trend 391:16 560:20 562:2 560:20 562:2 turned 370:23 370:20,21 372:11 507:3 528:4 392:24 395:3 583:3 589:8 602:8 463:21 531:14 372:20 376:9 552:19 553:14,17 395:7 627:3 642:24 turning 388:11 549:12,15,21 555:19,21 557:2 575:25 576:8 trial 409:20 414:5 Tuesday 51:14 389:10 437:23 <th< td=""><td>644:10 651:21</td><td>628:19 650:10</td><td>624:22 626:6,14</td><td>608:8 609:6</td><td>502:8,24 503:16</td></th<>	644:10 651:21	628:19 650:10	624:22 626:6,14	608:8 609:6	502:8,24 503:16
total 375:6,10,20 train 588:23 589:16 539:11 632:9 662:1 511:6 517:1,4 466:14 468:9 589:19 616:8,11 633:17 trying 393:21 412:8 530:10 531:4 580:9 603:21 trained 620:16 treacherous 660:10 472:14,21 479:8 548:24 549:3 623:8 624:22 trainee 505:23 660:21,23,25 501:9 510:10 580:15,18 599:13 631:21 648:8 507:8,10 584:9 treat 574:4 512:15 516:18 607:5,6 618:23 656:10 661:17 trainees 503:7,13 treatment 497:6 519:14 530:25 636:22 642:9 657:18 training 406:10 trend 391:16 560:20 562:2 663:12 TOTE 368:17 451:10 482:7 392:24 395:3 563:22 582:20 turned 370:23 370:20,21 372:11 507:3 528:4 398:8 416:6 583:3 589:8 602:8 463:21 531:14 372:20 376:9 552:19 555:17,18 395:7 627:3 642:24 turning 388:11 549:12,15,21 555:19,21 557:2 trial 409:20 414:5 tug 575:21 596:3 487:22 491:8 TOTE's 374:4,8 577:4,7,16 580:23 541:9 548:8 619:18 624:2,2<	655:2	trail 424:6	transportation	615:17 618:13	505:7 506:13
466:14 468:9 589:19 616:8,11 633:17 trying 393:21 412:8 530:10 531:4 477:1 539:2 559:8 617:5 travel 553:7 427:15 469:10 532:3 547:8 580:9 603:21 trained 620:16 treacherous 660:10 472:14,21 479:8 548:24 549:3 623:8 624:22 trainee 505:23 660:21,23,25 501:9 510:10 580:15,18 599:13 631:21 648:8 507:8,10 584:9 treat 574:4 512:15 516:18 607:5,6 618:23 656:10 661:17 trainees 503:7,13 treatment 497:6 519:14 530:25 636:22 642:9 657:18 training 406:10 trend 391:16 560:20 562:2 663:12 TOTE 368:17 451:10 482:7 392:24 395:3 563:22 582:20 turned 370:23 370:20,21 372:11 507:3 528:4 398:8 416:6 583:3 589:8 602:8 463:21 531:14 372:20 376:9 552:19 553:14,17 395:7 627:3 642:24 turning 388:11 549:12,15,21 555:19,21 557:2 trial 409:20 414:5 Tuesday 51:14 389:10 437:23 621:25 575:25 576:8 tried 466:16 526:11 tug 575:21 596:3	topics 549:14 655:9	trailers 381:3	367:1,3 500:15	638:17 648:24	507:24 508:16
477:1 539:2 559:8 617:5 travel 553:7 427:15 469:10 532:3 547:8 580:9 603:21 trained 620:16 treacherous 660:10 472:14,21 479:8 548:24 549:3 623:8 624:22 trainee 505:23 660:21,23,25 501:9 510:10 580:15,18 599:13 631:21 648:8 507:8,10 584:9 treat 574:4 512:15 516:18 607:5,6 618:23 656:10 661:17 trainees 503:7,13 treatment 497:6 519:14 530:25 636:22 642:9 totally 377:6 546:2 583:23 592:4 512:2,20,22 540:19 552:23 657:21 659:16 657:18 training 406:10 trend 391:16 560:20 562:2 663:12 TOTE 368:17 451:10 482:7 392:24 395:3 563:22 582:20 turned 370:23 370:20,21 372:11 507:3 528:4 398:8 416:6 583:3 589:8 602:8 463:21 531:14 372:20 376:9 552:19 553:14,17 395:7 627:3 642:24 turning 388:11 549:12,15,21 555:19,21 557:2 trial 409:20 414:5 Tuesday 511:14 389:10 437:23 427:14,21 479:8 577:4,7,16 580:23 541:9 548:8 619:18 624:2,2	total 375:6,10,20	train 588:23 589:16	539:11 632:9	662:1	511:6 517:1,4
477:1 539:2 559:8 617:5 travel 553:7 427:15 469:10 532:3 547:8 580:9 603:21 trained 620:16 treacherous 660:10 472:14,21 479:8 548:24 549:3 623:8 624:22 trainee 505:23 660:21,23,25 501:9 510:10 580:15,18 599:13 631:21 648:8 507:8,10 584:9 treat 574:4 512:15 516:18 607:5,6 618:23 656:10 661:17 trainees 503:7,13 treatment 497:6 519:14 530:25 636:22 642:9 totally 377:6 546:2 583:23 592:4 512:2,20,22 540:19 552:23 657:21 659:16 657:18 training 406:10 trend 391:16 560:20 562:2 663:12 TOTE 368:17 451:10 482:7 392:24 395:3 563:22 582:20 turned 370:23 370:20,21 372:11 507:3 528:4 398:8 416:6 583:3 589:8 602:8 463:21 531:14 372:20 376:9 552:19 553:14,17 395:7 627:3 642:24 turning 388:11 549:12,15,21 555:19,21 557:2 trial 409:20 414:5 Tuesday 511:14 389:10 437:23 427:14,21 479:8 577:4,7,16 580:23 541:9 548:8 619:18 624:2,2	466:14 468:9	589:19 616:8,11	633:17	trying 393:21 412:8	530:10 531:4
580:9 603:21 trained 620:16 treacherous 660:10 472:14,21 479:8 548:24 549:3 623:8 624:22 trainee 505:23 660:21,23,25 501:9 510:10 580:15,18 599:13 631:21 648:8 507:8,10 584:9 treat 574:4 512:15 516:18 607:5,6 618:23 656:10 661:17 trainees 503:7,13 treatment 497:6 519:14 530:25 636:22 642:9 totally 377:6 546:2 583:23 592:4 512:2,20,22 540:19 552:23 657:21 659:16 657:18 training 406:10 trend 391:16 560:20 562:2 663:12 TOTE 368:17 451:10 482:7 392:24 395:3 563:22 582:20 turned 370:23 370:20,21 372:11 507:3 528:4 398:8 416:6 583:3 589:8 602:8 463:21 531:14 372:20 376:9 552:19 553:14,17 395:7 627:3 642:24 turning 388:11 549:12,15,21 555:19,21 557:2 trial 409:20 414:5 Tuesday 511:14 389:10 437:23 621:25 575:25 576:8 tried 466:16 526:11 541:9 548:8 619:18 624:2,2 548:19	477:1 539:2 559:8		travel 553:7		532:3 547:8
623:8 624:22 trainee 505:23 660:21,23,25 501:9 510:10 580:15,18 599:13 631:21 648:8 507:8,10 584:9 treat 574:4 512:15 516:18 607:5,6 618:23 656:10 661:17 trainees 503:7,13 treatment 497:6 519:14 530:25 636:22 642:9 totally 377:6 546:2 583:23 592:4 512:2,20,22 540:19 552:23 657:21 659:16 657:18 training 406:10 trend 391:16 560:20 562:2 663:12 TOTE 368:17 451:10 482:7 392:24 395:3 563:22 582:20 turned 370:23 370:20,21 372:11 507:3 528:4 398:8 416:6 583:3 589:8 602:8 463:21 531:14 372:20 376:9 552:19 553:14,17 trends 392:14 612:12 622:11 537:12 540:2 381:25 540:16 553:19 555:17,18 395:7 627:3 642:24 turning 388:11 549:12,15,21 555:19,21 557:2 trial 409:20 414:5 Tuesday 511:14 389:10 437:23 621:25 575:25 576:8 tried 466:16 526:11 tug 575:21 596:3 487:22 491:8 TOTE's 374:4,8 577:4,7,16 580:23 541:9 548:8 619:18 624:2,2 <td>580:9 603:21</td> <td>trained 620:16</td> <td>treacherous 660:10</td> <td>472:14,21 479:8</td> <td>548:24 549:3</td>	580:9 603:21	trained 620:16	treacherous 660:10	472:14,21 479:8	548:24 549:3
631:21 648:8 507:8,10 584:9 treat 574:4 512:15 516:18 607:5,6 618:23 656:10 661:17 trainees 503:7,13 treatment 497:6 519:14 530:25 636:22 642:9 totally 377:6 546:2 583:23 592:4 512:2,20,22 540:19 552:23 657:21 659:16 657:18 training 406:10 trend 391:16 560:20 562:2 663:12 TOTE 368:17 451:10 482:7 392:24 395:3 563:22 582:20 turned 370:23 370:20,21 372:11 507:3 528:4 398:8 416:6 583:3 589:8 602:8 463:21 531:14 372:20 376:9 552:19 553:14,17 395:7 627:3 642:24 turning 388:11 549:12,15,21 555:19,21 557:2 trial 409:20 414:5 Tuesday 511:14 389:10 437:23 621:25 575:25 576:8 tried 466:16 526:11 541:9 548:8 619:18 624:2,2 548:19	623:8 624:22	trainee 505:23	660:21,23,25	,	580:15,18 599:13
656:10 661:17 trainees 503:7,13 treatment 497:6 519:14 530:25 636:22 642:9 totally 377:6 546:2 583:23 592:4 training 406:10 trend 391:16 560:20 562:2 657:21 659:16 TOTE 368:17 451:10 482:7 392:24 395:3 563:22 582:20 turned 370:23 370:20,21 372:11 507:3 528:4 398:8 416:6 583:3 589:8 602:8 463:21 531:14 372:20 376:9 552:19 553:14,17 trends 392:14 612:12 622:11 537:12 540:2 381:25 540:16 553:19 555:17,18 395:7 627:3 642:24 turning 388:11 549:12,15,21 555:19,21 557:2 trial 409:20 414:5 Tuesday 511:14 389:10 437:23 621:25 575:25 576:8 tried 466:16 526:11 tug 575:21 596:3 487:22 491:8 TOTE's 374:4,8 577:4,7,16 580:23 541:9 548:8 619:18 624:2,2 548:19		507:8.10 584:9	' '		'
totally 377:6 546:2 583:23 592:4 512:2,20,22 540:19 552:23 657:21 659:16 657:18 training 406:10 trend 391:16 560:20 562:2 663:12 TOTE 368:17 451:10 482:7 392:24 395:3 563:22 582:20 turned 370:23 370:20,21 372:11 507:3 528:4 398:8 416:6 583:3 589:8 602:8 463:21 531:14 372:20 376:9 552:19 553:14,17 trends 392:14 612:12 622:11 537:12 540:2 381:25 540:16 553:19 555:17,18 395:7 627:3 642:24 turning 388:11 549:12,15,21 555:19,21 557:2 trial 409:20 414:5 Tuesday 511:14 389:10 437:23 621:25 575:25 576:8 tried 466:16 526:11 tug 575:21 596:3 487:22 491:8 TOTE's 374:4,8 577:4,7,16 580:23 541:9 548:8 619:18 624:2,2 548:19		*			,
657:18 training 406:10 trend 391:16 560:20 562:2 663:12 TOTE 368:17 451:10 482:7 392:24 395:3 563:22 582:20 turned 370:23 370:20,21 372:11 507:3 528:4 398:8 416:6 583:3 589:8 602:8 463:21 531:14 372:20 376:9 552:19 553:14,17 trends 392:14 612:12 622:11 537:12 540:2 381:25 540:16 553:19 555:17,18 395:7 627:3 642:24 turning 388:11 549:12,15,21 555:19,21 557:2 trial 409:20 414:5 Tuesday 511:14 389:10 437:23 621:25 575:25 576:8 tried 466:16 526:11 tug 575:21 596:3 487:22 491:8 TOTE's 374:4,8 577:4,7,16 580:23 541:9 548:8 619:18 624:2,2 548:19		,			
TOTE 368:17 451:10 482:7 392:24 395:3 563:22 582:20 turned 370:23 370:20,21 372:11 507:3 528:4 398:8 416:6 583:3 589:8 602:8 463:21 531:14 372:20 376:9 552:19 553:14,17 trends 392:14 612:12 622:11 537:12 540:2 381:25 540:16 553:19 555:17,18 395:7 627:3 642:24 turning 388:11 549:12,15,21 555:19,21 557:2 trial 409:20 414:5 Tuesday 511:14 389:10 437:23 621:25 575:25 576:8 tried 466:16 526:11 tug 575:21 596:3 487:22 491:8 TOTE's 374:4,8 577:4,7,16 580:23 541:9 548:8 619:18 624:2,2 548:19					
370:20,21 372:11 507:3 528:4 398:8 416:6 583:3 589:8 602:8 463:21 531:14 372:20 376:9 552:19 553:14,17 trends 392:14 612:12 622:11 537:12 540:2 381:25 540:16 553:19 555:17,18 395:7 627:3 642:24 turning 388:11 549:12,15,21 555:19,21 557:2 trial 409:20 414:5 Tuesday 511:14 389:10 437:23 621:25 575:25 576:8 tried 466:16 526:11 tug 575:21 596:3 487:22 491:8 TOTE's 374:4,8 577:4,7,16 580:23 541:9 548:8 619:18 624:2,2 548:19		O			
372:20 376:9 552:19 553:14,17 trends 392:14 612:12 622:11 537:12 540:2 381:25 540:16 553:19 555:17,18 395:7 627:3 642:24 turning 388:11 549:12,15,21 555:19,21 557:2 trial 409:20 414:5 Tuesday 511:14 389:10 437:23 621:25 575:25 576:8 tried 466:16 526:11 tug 575:21 596:3 487:22 491:8 TOTE's 374:4,8 577:4,7,16 580:23 541:9 548:8 619:18 624:2,2 548:19					
381:25 540:16 553:19 555:17,18 395:7 627:3 642:24 turning 388:11 549:12,15,21 555:19,21 557:2 trial 409:20 414:5 Tuesday 511:14 389:10 437:23 621:25 575:25 576:8 tried 466:16 526:11 tug 575:21 596:3 487:22 491:8 TOTE's 374:4,8 577:4,7,16 580:23 541:9 548:8 619:18 624:2,2 548:19	'				
549:12,15,21 555:19,21 557:2 trial 409:20 414:5 Tuesday 511:14 389:10 437:23 621:25 575:25 576:8 tried 466:16 526:11 tug 575:21 596:3 487:22 491:8 TOTE's 374:4,8 577:4,7,16 580:23 541:9 548:8 619:18 624:2,2 548:19		,			
621:25 576:8 tried 466:16 526:11 tug 575:21 596:3 487:22 491:8 TOTE's 374:4,8 577:4,7,16 580:23 541:9 548:8 619:18 624:2,2 548:19		,			<u> </u>
TOTE's 374:4,8	, ,	· ·			
				C	
700.0.10 .701.41 .701.0 .700.1.7.17 V17.10.11 LUZDUAL\$70.41 LUID3.770.17	′			· /	
touch 546:12 586:20,24 588:18 trips 589:9 594:13 499:2,3,19 657:11	′	· ·		U	
touched 464:5 593:1 594:7,11,12 trough 660:20 tugs 420:2 623:16 turtle 537:12 540:2		*	-		
tough 586:12 595:19,22 596:6 true 372:7 387:23 623:21 tultue 357.12 340.2			0	_	
615:16 596:14,19 611:6 428:6 443:1 460:4 tune 575:25 596:20 turtles 548:24	S				'
tournaments 654:8 616:7 617:6 618:9 462:16 471:7 turbine 626:22,22 Twenty 544:16		*			
tournaments 0.54.8 010.7 017.0 018.9 402.10 471.7 turbine 0.20.22,22 1 wenty 544:10	1001 Haments 034:8	010.7 017.0 018.9	402.10 4/1./	turbine 020:22,22	1 wenty 344:10

twice 461:17 463:6	419:19,25 499:23	405:23 406:10	updates 390:7,8	Vague 404:21
two 371:23 374:8	518:25 519:2,7	411:22 412:11	updating 429:21	471:19 496:12
376:9 380:22	521:17 522:10	424:25	upheld 409:13	647:9
382:1,16 383:6	524:17 534:24	underwriting	414:14	Valdez 534:19
390:10 391:13,18	535:3 539:2	411:20	upper 383:6	539:6
391:22 397:10	541:19 557:11	unfit 656:16	upsidedown 549:4	valuable 532:21
400:8,8 405:21	558:8,16 581:14	unfortunately	upward 392:6,21	596:5
412:25 426:9	610:2 660:10	545:8 548:23	392:24 395:3	value 482:23 539:7
438:15 441:9,15	ultimately 408:24	577:16 605:6	416:8	variables 555:11
442:21 461:6	410:22 571:21	unfreeze 582:20	use 379:10,12,17	variations 376:4
462:23 463:8	603:11 612:20,25	unfunded 442:17	384:16 420:25	varies 373:19
465:4 517:1	635:7 655:1	608:6	458:23 513:18	595:16
527:12 554:2	unaware 510:1	unhappy 542:6	516:1 519:20	variety 486:12
567:17 576:12	unclear 587:25	unified 561:5	530:20 532:11	522:4
578:9 579:10	646:7,21	uniform 485:25	538:1,2 540:12	various 404:6
584:14,18,20	underlies 473:22	486:2,3,5	546:25 551:22	418:3 439:11
586:4 588:18	underlying 584:8	Unimak 581:10	596:8 597:5	454:2 459:21
589:18 590:4,15	underrepresented	unique 441:18	600:23	479:5 483:17,19
593:7 594:10	616:23	543:8 613:18,23	useful 472:12	534:12 546:21
596:24 599:6	understand 372:21	uniquely 442:1	uses 497:25 513:17	553:13 556:16
604:1 610:11,24	393:16 404:17,22	United 475:14	513:19,23 583:14	595:15,16 596:5
612:7 613:12	414:4 454:21	508:22 521:24	635:24	vary 461:24 486:9
616:20 617:20	456:2 458:7 476:6	522:6 542:21	usually 383:13,19	vast 519:3,21 520:2
638:16 639:2	479:22 481:17	544:22 554:10,12	477:12	VDR 529:5
643:8 649:7	494:11 507:15	555:14 557:14	UTC 444:16 447:6	VDRs 529:7,8
652:19 655:24	517:12 529:11	558:17 561:8	483:5 506:7,17	ventilation 384:7
two-part 455:20	539:12 541:15	563:1 573:14	554:7 555:1,4	veracity 587:18
two-thirds 605:18	545:18 561:19	581:8	559:20 561:11	verbatim 377:19
605:19 606:17	565:20 574:11	Unites 424:22	575:12,17 578:5	verify 407:18 475:2
type 381:21 408:10	586:8 589:13	units 418:7	578:22 579:8,8,17	501:21 528:4
437:14 459:19	619:9 630:8	universe 543:18	580:13 611:14	529:18 554:8
558:2 598:4 610:2	642:25 647:21	unmute 550:1	612:20 613:3,6	Veritas 371:23
621:3 645:23	651:14 652:10	unprecedented	633:18 634:9,16	version 602:14
651:7	understanding	513:2	634:16,17,23	versus 373:13
types 472:6 504:4,5	409:14,18 410:5,8	unpredictable	635:1,12,18 636:8	423:14 504:24
534:12 556:5	419:9,12,23	646:1 654:4	636:10,15 652:20	592:18 594:13
595:15	475:12,24 480:4	unprofitable 462:6	655:1 659:23	598:2 655:13
typical 380:17	530:2,4 534:12	unqualified 584:12	UTC's 465:21	657:11,20
573:17	556:25 566:13,14	unquote 605:15	635:23	vessel 373:4,12,18
typically 383:10	579:8 608:25	unsafe 540:7	UTILITIES 367:1	373:23 374:1,2,3
528:16 598:21	662:6	631:22,23	367:3	374:22 375:19
621:10	understood 521:13	unsound 665:12	utilize 418:7 466:25	376:14,20 378:15
	541:7 556:13	unusual 541:12	₹7	378:20 379:8,19
<u>U</u>	underwriter 411:14	unwilling 542:6	V	380:13,17,20
U.S 376:3,5 377:12	underwriters	update 563:3	v 367:5,9	381:15,17 382:7,9
385:23 418:24			vacation 590:11	
	•	•	•	•

				<u> </u>
383:1,2 384:19	523:13 524:7,11	WAC 647:22	wanting 548:18	watertight 384:3
385:13,22 391:4	524:19 525:20	648:20	wanton 414:10	waterway 522:12
398:11 399:18	528:24 532:5	wage 389:2 502:12	wants 447:20	556:19
403:24 404:19	534:24 535:3	502:22 503:3	war 581:16	waterways 522:16
418:8 419:9,17,23	536:3 546:4	504:5	warned 603:8	599:1 623:13
420:1,16 421:2,3	547:14,14 595:17	wages 389:15,15	Washington 367:1	wave 581:8
421:20 422:4,9	620:4 621:9,10,13	498:15,20 499:1	367:3 368:10,15	waves 660:19,20
423:6,11 424:7	621:13	503:6 581:17	368:20 403:17	way 383:6 406:12
471:11,16,17,23	viable 565:20	582:2	405:6,23 422:19	408:11 432:18
471:25 472:3	vice 550:20	wait 406:16 605:11	422:24 423:4	441:14 447:13
473:3 495:16	vicinity 523:8,9,16	627:18 650:14	502:2 503:1,3,8	454:1 475:2
496:7 499:20,23	video 370:23	662:7	503:14 536:1,8	491:13 495:4
519:7 520:13,15	519:10 583:5	waiting 396:4	554:25 575:21	501:21 510:11
520:20 522:9,16	videoconference	431:7 662:8	580:20 591:16	533:3 534:14
523:3 524:16	367:9,16 667:9	waived 437:6	596:2 612:16	536:25 537:20
530:7,9,21 531:1	view 446:13 537:25	walk 422:17 605:24	660:11 667:3,7	538:22 541:7,12
531:3 535:1 536:6	547:25 575:5	walks 421:21	Washington's	565:15 572:25
536:22 537:14,15	604:17 615:17	Wall 625:15	401:4 419:14	579:25 580:5
537:16 539:1,24	616:16 643:23	want 377:15 378:25	507:3	592:24 598:16
540:7,16 541:2,21	655:11	379:15 391:25	wasn't 468:3,5	608:22 609:23
575:22 598:5	vigilance 632:10	402:1 412:24	484:2 519:10	615:15 624:4
615:13 619:20,24	Virginia 427:8	419:16 423:4	539:6 601:14	645:11 649:6
620:2,20 621:2,3	virtue 631:8	426:1,3 445:3	606:13,14 614:1	650:19 654:10
621:8,9 622:2,17	visibility 626:23	446:6 447:1,1	628:25 637:22,23	657:14,16,17
623:1 624:1,4,22	visit 524:13	448:4 459:2	648:3,12	660:1
627:23 660:21	voice 456:7,8,13	490:18 514:1	watch 633:13	ways 400:9 561:24
vessel's 375:5	613:8	525:10,11 526:8	636:18,18 637:13	660:25
378:21 380:1	voids 375:7	531:23 551:19	637:22 638:1,19	we'll 456:25 459:2
406:10 530:7	volume 367:9 375:6	552:8 564:5,11,25	638:24 639:4,18	459:2 494:11
543:3	375:10,19,20	565:2 572:10	640:18 641:22	496:23 516:19
vessels 373:1,16	379:11,12,16,22	576:25 577:12	642:10 643:1,2,3	526:16,16 552:11
374:8,8,10 376:10	457:18 479:16	581:13 586:12,14	643:4,9,11,17,18	570:5 583:5 608:7
380:6,7,16,21,22	volumetric 375:8	586:16 588:2,5	643:20,21,22	629:5 636:15,19
380:23 382:16,24	vote 605:15,19,23	599:11 605:2,4	644:3 645:8,13	644:18,20 651:18
383:11,24 384:15	606:2,3,9,11	620:15,15,16,24	653:14,18 654:11	we're 370:3,4 374:8
392:23 393:4,5,9	662:3,11,15	628:3 634:6	654:16,18,19	396:6 400:10
395:18 398:4,5,7	voting 606:5,17	635:22 637:3,5,16	water 375:8 376:15	404:3 406:18
400:13 401:20	voyage 523:15	641:18 646:20	376:16 419:13	413:9 426:8
403:23 420:3,5,25	528:22 529:2,15	655:19 659:8	420:6,9 498:23	428:23 429:7
422:7,10,19	540:20	wanted 425:24	504:15,24 570:16	449:8 451:3
471:18 472:1,3,5	VTS 661:7	452:5 467:6 517:5	571:10 622:3	456:19 457:17
472:5 498:23	Vuillemey's 532:9	550:3 584:7	waters 419:19,25	458:1 459:10,21
504:15,24 519:1,5	vulnerable 665:13	586:21 591:21,22	522:10 534:25	463:22 464:24
519:18,21 520:2		593:19 659:12	535:3 539:5	465:1 468:6
522:2,19,25	W 260.10	661:13	598:22,25	469:10 472:15,17
	W 368:18			
	•	•	•	•

479:8 492:8,11	438:4 533:3	422:23 425:11	word 379:9,10,12	605:19 639:11
500:9 512:14,14	540:20 569:17	427:18,24 428:1,5	379:17 460:10	653:8 655:6
514:17 525:20	573:21,21 600:2,2	428:8,11,12 431:5	496:1,4 513:22,23	workload 477:5,7
558:17 572:4	616:25 650:6	440:8 444:23	524:23,24 575:20	477:10,20,22,23
578:20 580:13	weren't 474:9	445:11,25 446:23	words 393:5 460:13	478:9,18 479:5,15
582:20 591:3	584:2 604:19	447:12,23 448:15	513:13	479:25 480:6,8,15
601:3 603:14	West 424:15	448:17 449:8,11	work 403:20 404:9	481:25 482:3,5
604:6 605:10	608:14 616:24	449:14,20,22	415:6 416:10	560:9 576:24
606:14 616:2	641:11	450:1,4,7,8	419:8 444:7	577:11 578:18
625:3 628:24	whatsoever 487:6	456:22 457:14	447:12 449:25	579:12 592:21
629:3 633:25	wheelhouse 376:16	458:1,7 464:3	454:4 462:18	600:9 615:2 628:4
634:23 639:13,25	wheels 381:3	469:18,21 488:21	463:6 465:8 472:9	workloads 450:18
640:2 642:24	when/why 649:3	489:2 493:2	472:24 473:8	works 420:14
645:7 647:3	WHEREOF	499:16 509:21	479:1,1,20 481:16	446:12 460:12,13
649:12,13,15,17	667:12	516:7,25 517:18	482:16 486:17,24	462:24 473:3
649:18 650:3,7	whichever 379:16	518:1,3,6,9,15,16	487:1,4 525:14,14	637:7
651:17 654:25	wide 595:1 661:5	530:1 532:16	533:10 538:5	workshop 431:18
655:20 661:17,25	widely 486:9	543:24 548:14,21	545:17 548:25	world 394:5 418:23
we've 381:23	width 379:18 382:6	549:3,9 550:2,10	553:4,7,10,22	519:1 520:6 521:7
400:15 417:8	wildly 548:19	550:14,17,20	554:4 590:4,9,15	521:15 530:13
476:10 483:4	willful 406:2,14	551:1,2 568:24	595:24 613:12	544:22 545:9
496:15 536:16	408:10,13,21	569:12 572:13	615:14 617:7,8,8	645:24
554:18,18 564:5	409:10,16,25	582:24 587:19,24	623:12 636:23	world's 418:13
566:23 575:9,10	410:8,19 413:17	588:1 591:9	637:1,18 638:8	526:23 532:5
577:14 584:5,6	413:20 414:10,13	597:16 601:7	639:7 642:12	543:16 621:2
608:5 643:6	414:16	602:13 603:8	648:15 653:9,13	worldwide 400:12
651:12 666:9	willing 425:1 426:4	605:12 614:4	653:22 654:6	621:12
weather 541:7	Willow 624:11	625:8,9 627:17	work/life 594:8,14	worse 539:13,21
581:8 598:25	wind 626:22 660:17	629:3 646:5	594:16	650:6
weather-tight	660:18	647:14 659:2	work/rest 630:19	worth 404:3 590:15
384:2	window 422:14	666:4 667:12	632:8 633:17,22	615:14
website 571:10	wise 458:16	witness's 381:12	652:12 655:13	wouldn't 377:8
week 511:14	wish 441:4	627:16	worked 451:21	383:19 409:12
595:22	wit 559:4	witnesses 370:5	452:23 453:9	414:14 513:1
weeks 390:10,10	withdraw 404:25	426:9 517:2,8	487:14,16,18,19	538:22 571:11
624:10	569:10	535:18 666:9	545:25 571:24	578:25 579:5
weight 377:25	witness 371:1,8,10	Wodehouse 616:19	worker 459:17	584:3 585:16,21
379:21 380:1,11	371:14,19 372:5,8	616:21 617:23	worker/employer	595:7,12 622:14
380:13 573:10	372:10 381:24	women 617:20	442:2	623:6 626:10,21
622:4	385:5,21 386:8,18	wonder 381:11	workers 498:7	632:13 635:12
welcome 575:3	386:19,20,22,25	458:16	503:6	639:9,24 650:17
Weldon 469:5	387:3,9,11,15,18	wondering 464:7	workforce 575:16	wound 456:8
wenches 384:7	387:22,25 388:1,4	549:12	working 441:25	wrap 382:1 509:12
went 371:21 376:5	397:20 403:5	Wood 425:22 427:9	451:19 452:10,12	659:8
406:19 409:19	409:7 413:23	435:20	452:19 541:8	wrapping 385:2
<u> </u>				

wreck 393:1 394:24	599:21 601:25	York 522:4 580:23	523:6,13 524:3,9	579:21 581:17
wrecks 394:4	607:8,16 610:8,15	660:9	562:23	582:2,3 591:11
write 589:10	610:24 615:13		100,000 483:14	612:21 614:8
writing 372:18	620:5 623:9 626:9	Z	1001 368:14	640:18 654:5
401:11 425:1	626:13 628:13,22	zero 617:20 651:13	10th 501:18	15-minute 426:9
written 378:24	631:22 637:8	659:14	11 396:5 409:21	150 469:21
387:20 428:3	638:11 639:2	Zoom 368:2,7,12	588:11 592:1,10	150,000 500:4
441:14 447:17	640:19 641:2,3,5	368:17,22	593:16 636:18	150,750 434:10
460:8 545:11,12	642:13 644:14		643:9,11,11	158,000 499:10
584:15 628:9	645:2,2,7 647:9	0	11:04 449:3	16 392:12 410:15
wrong 531:21,22	653:9 656:11,13	06 445:8	11:05 449:5	470:6 481:11
538:19 587:12	656:15,24	09 578:6 580:14	11:19 459:7	492:17 493:3,5
647:11 649:7	year-to-year 462:9	584:20 587:13	11:20 459:7	500:3 506:14
654:23	years 371:20 372:1	652:21 665:3,10	112 486:18	585:13 591:25
wrongdoing 408:10	387:16 392:19	1	116 656:9,20	644:4
wrote 429:2 547:14	398:10 405:9,18	1 401:24 402:10	119,620 502:22	169,931.86 485:24
WTB-05 469:8	405:21 416:7	412:13 427:8	12 406:6,20 481:8	17 405:20 429:2,17
	418:10,14,23	429:13 435:21	528:12 589:9	430:19 609:17,21
X	422:1 428:9,11	436:21 495:13	610:13	641:9 656:10
Y	434:18,20 443:16	496:5 502:11	12:40 426:20	177 639:15
	450:1 490:5,11,24	513:8 526:14	12:57 516:22	177.65 637:8,12,15
yardarm 531:25 yeah 385:7 391:17	497:16 532:12	536:4 612:22	122 629:10	637:19
428:23 436:12	533:4,11 543:13	1,250 502:25	122.28 640:19	18 497:3 506:14
438:19 459:1	543:14 544:16	1,700 476:25	122.3 628:15	592:1
477:4 478:4	553:18 555:18	1.25 599:21 601:25	125,000 622:4	18,000 623:4,7,18
539:18 541:18	557:18,18 560:16	1.32 602:21	125.91 656:20	180,000 624:12
547:4 567:2 582:4	561:10 563:12	1.5 433:8 434:11,14	128 629:10	180,348.71 485:22
600:21 609:5	566:23 570:21,21	434:20 599:21	128.9 628:16	180.65 637:19
630:13 645:4	570:21 573:12	601:25 603:21	13 372:1 378:9	639:16 644:24
646:5	576:23 578:9	607:14	401:1,2 543:15	185.6 638:15
vear 389:19 390:2	581:20 587:4	1.75 606:10	629:23 640:18	18th 667:13
412:2,4 417:4	591:11 592:10,12	1.84 608:22 609:22	647:25 648:7	19 640:23 648:13
433:9 434:6	592:22,22 608:16	1.864 433:22	13-hour 630:18	19.1 470:6
445:20 447:9	614:20 622:5	434:12,16,22	631:13 648:15	190 552:4 639:5
453:23 462:10	623:18 625:20,24	443:16	13,000 623:5	645:1,4,7 653:8
487:9,11,14,16	628:19 630:24,25	1:40 516:20	133 639:15 656:10	659:17
489:4 492:10,11	633:16 639:2	1:43 516:22,24	13th 609:21	196 565:16,19
494:23,25 496:11	640:9 651:10	10 395:12 397:13	14 378:10,10 379:1	1969 375:22
496:21,25 497:12	Yep 389:14 391:3	397:19 405:4,14	481:9 524:11	197 565:15
497:15 514:20	408:1	413:4 418:10,13	525:21 14 20 368:10	1990 534:20 1992 385:23
524:8 557:13	yes-or-no 629:18 yeses 630:13	500:20,25 504:19	1420 368:19 15 391:14 398:10	1992 383:23 1996 589:2
566:3 568:18	yesterday 380:4	505:4,18 567:1	418:10,14 436:2	1940 389:2 19th 647:7
578:5 579:1,10,15	628:6	609:22	493:2 528:21	17th 047.7 1T 552:4
579:19 580:3	yielded 652:21	10:54 449:5	559:10 578:10	
582:1 593:7	yiciaca 032.21	100 461:12 463:3	337.10 370.10	2
		l		

2 429:11 474:13	611:14 628:18	628:14,18,20,25	489:7 508:17	33,500 498:14
478:6,6 500:11	640:9,15,16,23	631:4 638:13	581:20 583:23	330,000 434:7
502:16 511:22	647:10,13,17	639:3 640:10	642:11 643:5	438:7
513:9,20 567:2	648:21	641:1	654:16,19	34 540:17
594:6,6,21 606:10	2020 391:10 485:12	2022/2023 411:17	250 408:9,19	346 578:23
2.16 610:7	490:10 491:12,16	411:21	410:22 411:1,11	346,000 578:11
2.5 493:8 609:23	491:17,19 492:3	2023 367:13,24	501:3	579:22 615:4
2.98 642:21	493:9,18,21,23	390:21 417:13	251 501:25	35 625:25 643:4
20 398:10 532:12	494:4,6,8,14,17	429:2,17 430:19	25th 584:22	654:18
533:4,11 553:18	494:20,22 496:25	436:22 438:8	26 507:24 642:11	350 594:13
561:10 571:7	497:12 543:13	477:9 478:7 484:6	265,000 438:6	350,000 589:22
581:19 585:13	560:16 563:13	484:7 490:2,9,15	27 642:11	614:19
592:18 605:4	572:1 583:21	490:17,23 491:2,5	277 497:4	357 578:23
614:23 615:13	584:11,22,23	492:4,21 497:21	28 390:21	36 475:8 579:10
640:23 641:9	620:22 640:9	571:23 667:9,13	283 508:18	360.915.4521
651:10	2020/2021 571:13	2023/2024 388:12	285 507:25	368:10
2000s 592:10	2021 391:10 410:15	390:2 412:1	295 578:20,24	367-667 367:10
2001 599:16 600:21	411:13,24 413:3,3	2024 592:3,6,7,18	580:4	368 578:23
601:20 604:20,24	465:22,23 469:25	592:19,21	295,000 465:23	37 584:13
607:14	483:10,10 484:12	204,000 580:4	578:16	370,000 573:1
2005 589:2	485:10,13,19,21	206.223.7718	29X 501:9,24	372 369:4
2006 618:19,22	486:1,4 488:3,4	368:20	507:24	385 369:5
2008 571:7,8 586:4	488:20,22 489:23	206.389.1668		388 369:7
589:5,11 591:8	490:10,24 493:8	368:15	3	3rd 573:5
2010 573:8 597:22	494:25 496:7,11	21 392:20 585:1	3 430:25 442:8	
2011 491:13	496:21,24 501:12	649:11 651:22	448:8 475:4	4
2012 586:5	502:13 543:13	210,952.36 485:20	485:16 495:12	4 388:18,18 390:19
2015 540:17 634:1	563:13 572:1	2177 368:4	601:2,5 663:12,13	433:2,19 437:25
647:18,25 648:5,9	583:18,24 584:10	22 392:12 503:12	663:14	438:9 470:20
648:21	584:11 585:3,3,7	585:14 592:2	3(5) 441:18	492:24 493:5
2016 586:5 592:15	585:11,14 586:5	649:11	3,000 553:17	501:2 503:16
592:18,19	588:12 591:23	23 410:11 485:21	594:12	594:6 601:4
2017 491:13 563:13	592:1,5,19 593:16	499:7 547:13	3:48 590:23	4-foot 383:17
2018 367:25 490:12	614:24 628:13,22	23,000 503:7 623:1	30 387:16 422:1	40 428:11 450:1
490:14,24 491:12	631:3 640:10	623:6	553:24 566:4	584:11 622:5
583:24 584:9	642:20,20 656:10	24 485:23 521:24	625:20 654:16	624:15
585:9,10,13 586:5	656:21	557:25 558:4,17	300-gross 420:1	400,000 465:22
592:19 647:18,19	2021/2022 416:24	560:24 561:1	308,000 492:3	483:14 487:9
648:5 667:19	2022 391:10,19,20	592:16 643:19	308,168 491:20	500:4
2019 441:20 446:2	401:5 432:6,7	645:22	31 469:25	401(a) 432:15
488:25 489:4,8,10	493:9 495:5,21	244 478:3	320,000 389:16,23	435:12 442:5
489:13 490:12,14	496:8 499:11	246 474:13	390:3	444:4 401(a)(17) 424:5
491:12 493:7	536:2 543:14	247 475:5	321,000 416:23	401(a)(17) 434:5
494:1 560:16	566:3,4 569:22	25 437:11 483:13	328,154 489:25 491:5	435:1 438:4,11 401(c) 442:3
563:12 610:23	580:5 624:22	483:14 488:16	33,490 502:12	401 (c) 442:3 41 371:20
			33,470 302.12	71 3/1.20
L				

				1 age 720
410,000 512:24	510 369:14,15	69 383:15	95 383:7	
578:8,11,17 579:1	515 369:16		97,000 503:4	
579:4,19	518 369:18	7	97201 368:5	
412 369:8	52 465:16 466:4	7 405:3 407:17	98,330 502:13	
415(b) 438:5	468:21 644:5	485:19 498:13	98101 368:20	
4200 368:19	656:17	499:13,20 511:6	98154 368:15	
428 369:10	53 390:23 501:13	519:14,15 547:8	98504 368:10	
434,712 493:8	642:15,16	560:1 610:8	99 600:13	
44 619:5	54 528:22 529:15	7,000 502:19 524:7	<i>77</i> 000.13	
440 369:11	543,055 506:20	615:18		
4400 368:14	544 369:19	7,140 502:21		
443,923 491:5	549,998 489:3	7,442 615:18		
45-minute 516:17	55 529:22 656:19	70 582:8 649:12		
516:19	551 369:21	70s 621:14		
450 369:13 599:2	56 468:9,12,21	71.4 585:10		
450,000 614:24	617:16 635:6,9	764 470:20		
456,719 492:4	560,000 511:11	77 656:6		
46 383:15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	776.540 408:8,18		
40 383:13 472 436:11	565,518 485:11 574 511:20	79 385:22		
47250 368:9 48 437:17	574,000 512:8	8		
48.14 656:19	574,287 511:12	8 406:6,20 411:3		
40.14 030:19	574K 512:6 584 389:13	505:8 638:15		
5	588 395:14	8.6 642:14,16		
5 408:2 432:25	59 585:11	80 585:13 592:1		
438:22 439:10	59.6 585:11	800 539:3		
498:11 499:7,14		81,460 498:15		
501:24	5th 585:2,3	86X 613:2		
5-foot 383:17	6	88-40-020 401:15		
5,000 623:9	6 367:13,24 389:12			
5:40 658:13	436:22 499:14,15	9		
5:44 666:11	503:22 528:1	9 383:9 391:1 392:9		
50 461:11 463:4	628:15 641:6,6	408:15 495:17		
519:6 554:11,11	667:9	499:18 500:11		
557:13 585:14,23	60 390:6 554:19	502:24 505:7		
592:2 636:18	557:14 633:12	571:8 666:8		
638:11 643:10	600-foot 595:1	9:00 517:9		
645:24	600,000 512:18	9:05 367:14 370:3		
50-foot 542:7	601 410:12	90 406:9 420:12		
50/50 465:6	619,723.72 486:20	534:21 535:5,15		
500,000 417:11	65 557:17 563:17	543:16 564:17,18		
512:17,19 514:6	659 369:22	564:20 582:9,14		
571:23 572:20	66 437:21	583:10		
501(a) 432:13	663 369:23	90.56 402:22		
5021 501:13	68 645:6 646:25	90s 592:10		
503.225.0777 368:5		91X 601:17		
	647:3	7124 001.17		