

**EXHIBIT NO. \_\_\_(RAM-19)  
DOCKET NO. UE-060266/UG-060267  
2006 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE  
WITNESS: ROGER A. MORIN**

**BEFORE THE  
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND  
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,**

**Complainant,**

**v.**

**PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,**

**Respondent.**

**Docket No. UE-060266  
Docket No. UG-060267**

**FOURTH EXHIBIT (NONCONFIDENTIAL) TO THE  
PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF  
ROGER A. MORIN  
ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.**

**AUGUST 23, 2006**

DOCKET NOS. UE-040641 & UG-040640  
Direct Testimony of Stephen G. Hill  
Exhibit \_\_\_\_ SGH-1T

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES & TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WUTC v. PUGET SOUND ENERGY INC.

DOCKET NOS. UE-040641 & UG-040640

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF STEPHEN G. HILL (SGH-1T)

ON BEHALF OF

PUBLIC COUNSEL

SEPTEMBER 23, 2004

1 According to Ibbotson Associates<sup>22</sup> the average market return over the past 77 years has  
2 ranged from 10.4% to 12.4%. Moreover there exists recent research on the subject of  
3 market risk premiums that indicate the Ibbotson Associates data may be somewhat  
4 overstated. For example, Siegel (Siegel, J., Stocks for the Long Run, 1994, Irwin,  
5 Chicago IL, p. 20) shows that over the very long-term history (reaching back into the  
6 1800s), a more normal risk premium between stocks and bonds is 2% to 3%. Fama  
7 (Fama, E. and French, K., “The Equity Premium,” *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. LVII,  
8 No, 2, April 2002, pp. 637-659) found a representative risk premium to range from 2.5%  
9 to 4.3%, again well below the Ibbotson estimates. Also, Dimson, et al, (Dimson, March  
10 and Staunton, Triumph of the Optimists, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ,  
11 Oxford England, 2002) found that even the return data in the Siegel publication is  
12 questioned as being too high, due to “survivor bias.” That phenomenon, according to  
13 Dimson, exaggerates historical stock returns by one to two percentage points.

14 In sum, Dr. Cicchetti has again based his return estimate for the risk premium  
15 portion of the CAPM on stock price growth rates—a very volatile series that can lead to  
16 extreme distortions of investor expectations especially when short-term time periods are  
17 studied. The result of that flaw is a market risk premium estimate (12.91%<sup>23</sup>) that is  
18 *double* the highest Ibboston long-term historical estimate of 6.60%<sup>24</sup>, which, according to  
19 recent academic research, may also overstate the actual investor-expected return premium  
20 for investing in stocks rather than bonds. Simply substituting Ibbotson’s long-term  
21 arithmetic market risk premium of 6.60% for Dr. Cicchetti’s selected 12.91% in the

---

<sup>22</sup> Stocks, Bonds, Bills and Inflation: Valuation Edition, 2004 Yearbook, p. 28.

<sup>23</sup> Cicchetti Direct, p. 40: 17.8% market return less 4.89% risk-free rate = 12.91%.

<sup>24</sup> See Exhibit \_\_\_\_ (SGH-12) attached to this testimony. 6.60%= arithmetic return differential between stocks and long-term Treasury bonds over the past 77 years.