

**Exhibit No. KHB-6C
Dockets UE-090704 and UG-090705
Witness: Kathryn H. Breda
REDACTED VERSION**

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,**

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,

Respondent.

DOCKET UE-090704

DOCKET UG-090705

EXHIBIT TO TESTIMONY OF

KATHRYN H. BREDA

**STAFF OF
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

*Comparison of Staff Versus Company Adjustment 10.03 Power Costs
– Operations and Maintenance*

November 17, 2009

CONFIDENTIAL PER PROTECTIVE ORDER

Puget Sound Energy
Docket UE-090704/UG-090705
Adjustment 10.03 Power Costs - Operations and Maintenance Expense Only
For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2008

Comparison of Staff Versus Company Adjustment 10.03 Power Costs - Operations and Maintenance Only

	Company Adjustment	Staff Adjustment	Difference
Test Year Results			
Thermal Facilities			
Company Proposed Accounting			
Staff five year Normalization			
Total Thermal Facilities			
New Facilities with partial in test year			
Company Budget			
Staff Annualization			
Total New Facilities			
Company Budget for Baker/Snoqualmie, Colstrip, Freddy 1, Vestas			
Other Adjustment			
Rate Year Amount			

Ln No	Plant Facility (a)	Test Year January to December 2008 (b)	Company			Staff			Difference Between Staff and Company (l)	
			New Acctg for Major Maint (c)	Budget Through Rate Year (b) (d)	Other Adjustments (e)	Total Adjustments (f)	Rate Year April 2010 to March 2011 (g)	Normalization or Annualization (h)		Other Adjustments (i)
A. Production O&M Summary Comparison of Mr. Mills' Adjustment Papers to Staff's Adjustments										
1	Colstrip 1/2									
	PSE based this adju									
2	Colstrip 3/4									
	The reason is the sp									
3	Colstrip									
	This is the amount i									
	adjustments for pov									
4	Encogen									
	The Company adju									
5	Lower Baker									
	This equals actual t									
6	Upper Baker &									
	The test year colum									
	their new license. S									
	Company provided)									
7	Electron									
	This equals test year									

Puget Sound Energy
Docket UE-090704/UG-090705
Adjustment 10.03 Power Costs - Operations and Maintenance Expense Only
For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2008

Comparison of Staff Versus Company Adjustment 10.03 Power Costs - Operations and Maintenance Expense Only

Ln No	Plant Facility (a)	Test Year January to December 2008 (b)	Company				Staff			Difference Between Staff and Company (l)			
			New Acctg for Major Maint. (c)	Budget Through Rate Year (b) (d)	Other Adjustments (e)	Total Adjustments (f)	Rate Year April 2010 to March 2011 (g)	Normalization or Annualization (h)	Other Adjustments (i)		Total Adjustments (j)	Rate Year April 2010 to March 2011 (k)	
8	Shoqualmie												
	The test year color related to their new 2009 but in respon												
9	White River												
10	The Company has												
	Freddy 1												
11	The Company adju												
	Crystal												
	Both the Company												
12	Goldendale												
	The Company adju												
13	Mint Farm												
	The Company adju												
	included annualize presentation.												
14	Whitehorn												
15	The Company adju												
	Frederickson												
16	The Company adju												
	Fredonia 1-4												
	The Company adju												
17	Sumas												
	The Company adju												
	included average n												
18	Other												
	This includes other												
Ln No	Plant Facility (a)												
19	Hopkins Ridge + Expansion												
	The Company inclt agreement amount												

