Docket No. TP-220513 - Vol. IV

WUTC v. Puget Sound Pilots

April 5, 2023



COURT REPORTING AND LEGAL VIDEO

206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 <u>www.buellrealtime.com</u> email: info@buellrealtime.com



Docket No. TP-220513 - Vol. IV - 4/5/2023

Page 91			Page 93
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION	1	INDEX-PROCEEDINGS	3
COMMISSION	2	PA	
	3	Opening statement by Mr. Haglund	108
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND)	4	Opening statement by Mr. Callaghan	(waived) 120
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)	5	Opening statement by Ms. DeLappe	120
) Complainant,) Docket No. TP-220513	6	Opening statement by Mr. Block	124
)	7	EXAMINATION	
v. ()	8		GE
) PUGET SOUND PILOTS,)	9	Captain Eric Klapperich	
	10	Cross by Ms. DeLappe	136
Respondents.)	11	Redirect by Mr. Haglund	163
VIDEOCONFERENCE EVIDENTIARY HEARING - VOLUME IV	12 13	Captain Sandy Bendixen	474
Pages 91-366	14	Cross by Ms. DeLappe Redirect by Mr. Haglund	171 192
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MICHAEL HOWARD	15	Charles Costanzo	192
April 5, 2023	16	Cross by Ms. DeLappe	196
9:10 a.m.	17	Redirect by Mr. Haglund	216
(All participants apported via videoconference)	18	Cross by Mr. Callaghan	220
(All participants appeared via videoconference.)	19	Redirect by Mr. Haglund	229
	20	Cross by Mr. Block	232
	21	Redirect by Mr. Haglund	258
	22	Philip Morrell	
	23	Cross by Mr. Brickenstein	268
DATE TAKEN: April 5, 2023	24	Redirect by Mr. Block	286
REPORTED BY: CARISA KITSELMAN, RPR, CCR 2018	25		
Page 92			Page 94
	1	Captain Eric Loftfield	
2 FOR PUGET SOUND PILOTS: (via Zoom) 3 MICHAEL HAGLUND	2	Cross by Mr. Brickenstein	291
ERIC BRICKENSTEIN 4 Haglund Kelly LLP	3	Redirect by Mr. Block	302
2177 Southwest Broadway	4	Captain Mitchell Stoller	
503.225.0777	5	Cross by Ms. DeLappe	306
6 haglund@hk-law.com ebrickenstein@hk-law.com	6	Redirect by Mr. Haglund	311
7 FOR COMMISSION STAFF: (via Zoom)	7	Captain Ivan Carlson	242
8	8	Cross by Ms. DeLappe	316
9 NASH CALLAGHAN 9 Assistant Attorney General	9	Redirect by Mr. Haglund	342
P.O. Box 47250 10 Olympia, Washington 98504	10	Cross by Mr. Callaghan	350
360.915.4521	11 12	Redirect by Mr. Haglund EXHIBITS	362
11 nash.callaghan@utc.wa.gov 12 FOR PACIFIC MERCHANT SHIPPING ASSOCIATION: (via Zoom)	13	EVUIDI 2	PAGE
13 MICHELLE DeLAPPE Fox Rothschild LLP	14	Prefiled exhibits admitted	106
14 1001 Fourth Avenue	15		100
Suite 4400 15 Seattle, Washington 98154	16		
206.389.1668 16 mdelappe@foxrothschild.com	17		
17 FOR TOTE: (via Zoom) 18 STEVEN W. BLOCK	18		
Lane Powell	19		
19 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 4200	20		
20 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.223.7718	21		
21 blocks@lanepowell.com	22		
22 ALSO PRESENT: (via Zoom) 23 CHAIR DANNER	23		
COMMISSIONER RENDAHL 24 COMMISSIONER DOUMIT	24		
25 *****	25		
	1		

1 (Pages 91 to 94)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

	Page 95		Page 97
1	* * * *	1	Eugster v. City of Spokane and Snedigar v. Hodderson,
2	(Court reporter joins at	2	I agree with PMSA that these data requests show some
	9:10 a.m.)	3	probability of infringing its first amendment
3		4	associational rights. Requesting membership lists
4	JUDGE HOWARD: I'm not rejecting SM-15X or	5	and organizational financials has a presumed chilling
5	SM-16X either because these were properly submitted	6	effect. PSP has not articulated a compelling need
6	as cross exhibits.	7	for any of this information, and its requests instead
7	Next we have PMSA's motion to strike testimony	8	appear to be overly broad. It has not demonstrated
8	from Wood and McNeil. I am denying this motion due	9	that its requests go to the heart of the matter or is
9	to a failure to meet and confer as required by WAC	10	crucial to its case, as discussed by the court in
10	480-07-425(1)(a). In Washington, the duty to meet	11	Snedigar.
11	and confer is frequently seen as a jurisdictional	12	I also want to observe that PSP did not serve
12 13	requirement before the courts will consider such	13	its first data request on PMSA until very late in the
14	motions. Commission rules incorporate essentially	14	case, in early March. This is going to be relevant
15	the same requirement. I want to observe, however, that I have not	15	to some of my rulings in a minute.
16	seen any real justification for these assertions of	16	Finally, we have well, not finally, next we
17	privilege. McNeil's opinion was provided in	17	have PSP's emergency motion in limine. This is
18	testimony. And Wood is not an attorney. I've	18	concerned with a memorandum prepared by PSP witness
19	considered striking the testimony from these two	19	Walter S. Tabler on March 2, 2021. I have carefully
20	witnesses by PMSA's awareness of this issue and its	20	considered this issue and I take it very seriously.
21	failure to meet and confer militated against that.	21	I've read all of the materials submitted by the
22	If I do see such an issue occur again, I may	22	parties, including the declarations of Tabler and
23	very well strike testimony.	23	Carlson, which were not submitted with PSP's motion.
24	Next we have PMSA's motion to strike	24	Given the significance of the issues of privilege and
25	non-rebuttal testimony. This motion is granted in	25	the limited time frame, I'm considering all these
	Page 96		Page 98
1 2 3	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought	1 2 3	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its
2	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any	2	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera.
2 3	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit	2 3	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude
2 3 4	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit SB-9T, page 7, line 20, page 8, line 10; and Captain	2 3 4	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to
2 3 4 5	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit	2 3 4 5	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to attorney/client privilege, but that it is still
2 3 4 5 6	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit SB-9T, page 7, line 20, page 8, line 10; and Captain Ivan Carlson, Exhibit IC-8T, page 19, line 21 through	2 3 4 5 6	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to
2 3 4 5 6 7	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit SB-9T, page 7, line 20, page 8, line 10; and Captain Ivan Carlson, Exhibit IC-8T, page 19, line 21 through page 20, line 6; and Michael Titone I may not be	2 3 4 5 6 7	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to attorney/client privilege, but that it is still opinion work product and should still be protected
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit SB-9T, page 7, line 20, page 8, line 10; and Captain Ivan Carlson, Exhibit IC-8T, page 19, line 21 through page 20, line 6; and Michael Titone I may not be saying the last name correctly Exhibit MJT-1T at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to attorney/client privilege, but that it is still opinion work product and should still be protected from disclosure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit SB-9T, page 7, line 20, page 8, line 10; and Captain Ivan Carlson, Exhibit IC-8T, page 19, line 21 through page 20, line 6; and Michael Titone I may not be saying the last name correctly Exhibit MJT-1T at page 9, line 16 through 25.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to attorney/client privilege, but that it is still opinion work product and should still be protected from disclosure. A party wishing to assert a privilege may not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit SB-9T, page 7, line 20, page 8, line 10; and Captain Ivan Carlson, Exhibit IC-8T, page 19, line 21 through page 20, line 6; and Michael Titone I may not be saying the last name correctly Exhibit MJT-1T at page 9, line 16 through 25. I am striking Diamond Exhibit CLD-4T page 2,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to attorney/client privilege, but that it is still opinion work product and should still be protected from disclosure. A party wishing to assert a privilege may not simply keep quiet about the information it believes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit SB-9T, page 7, line 20, page 8, line 10; and Captain Ivan Carlson, Exhibit IC-8T, page 19, line 21 through page 20, line 6; and Michael Titone I may not be saying the last name correctly Exhibit MJT-1T at page 9, line 16 through 25. I am striking Diamond Exhibit CLD-4T page 2, line 19 through page 4, line 14 as representing new	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to attorney/client privilege, but that it is still opinion work product and should still be protected from disclosure. A party wishing to assert a privilege may not simply keep quiet about the information it believes is protected from discovery. That is Cedell v.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit SB-9T, page 7, line 20, page 8, line 10; and Captain Ivan Carlson, Exhibit IC-8T, page 19, line 21 through page 20, line 6; and Michael Titone I may not be saying the last name correctly Exhibit MJT-1T at page 9, line 16 through 25. I am striking Diamond Exhibit CLD-4T page 2, line 19 through page 4, line 14 as representing new testimony distinguishing the St. Lawrence Seaway that does not address any responsive testimony. I am declining to strike other testimony from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to attorney/client privilege, but that it is still opinion work product and should still be protected from disclosure. A party wishing to assert a privilege may not simply keep quiet about the information it believes is protected from discovery. That is Cedell v. Farmers Insurance Company of Washington, 176 Wn. 2d
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit SB-9T, page 7, line 20, page 8, line 10; and Captain Ivan Carlson, Exhibit IC-8T, page 19, line 21 through page 20, line 6; and Michael Titone I may not be saying the last name correctly Exhibit MJT-1T at page 9, line 16 through 25. I am striking Diamond Exhibit CLD-4T page 2, line 19 through page 4, line 14 as representing new testimony distinguishing the St. Lawrence Seaway that does not address any responsive testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to attorney/client privilege, but that it is still opinion work product and should still be protected from disclosure. A party wishing to assert a privilege may not simply keep quiet about the information it believes is protected from discovery. That is Cedell v. Farmers Insurance Company of Washington, 176 Wn. 2d 686. The belief of a client as to an attorney/client
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit SB-9T, page 7, line 20, page 8, line 10; and Captain Ivan Carlson, Exhibit IC-8T, page 19, line 21 through page 20, line 6; and Michael Titone I may not be saying the last name correctly Exhibit MJT-1T at page 9, line 16 through 25. I am striking Diamond Exhibit CLD-4T page 2, line 19 through page 4, line 14 as representing new testimony distinguishing the St. Lawrence Seaway that does not address any responsive testimony. I am declining to strike other testimony from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to attorney/client privilege, but that it is still opinion work product and should still be protected from disclosure. A party wishing to assert a privilege may not simply keep quiet about the information it believes is protected from discovery. That is Cedell v. Farmers Insurance Company of Washington, 176 Wn. 2d 686. The belief of a client as to an attorney/client relationship will control only if it is reasonably
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit SB-9T, page 7, line 20, page 8, line 10; and Captain Ivan Carlson, Exhibit IC-8T, page 19, line 21 through page 20, line 6; and Michael Titone I may not be saying the last name correctly Exhibit MJT-1T at page 9, line 16 through 25. I am striking Diamond Exhibit CLD-4T page 2, line 19 through page 4, line 14 as representing new testimony distinguishing the St. Lawrence Seaway that does not address any responsive testimony. I am declining to strike other testimony from PSP witnesses, including Diamond, Costanzo, Johnson, and Jordan, who provide rebuttal testimony on other overarching issues in the case, such as the standards	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to attorney/client privilege, but that it is still opinion work product and should still be protected from disclosure. A party wishing to assert a privilege may not simply keep quiet about the information it believes is protected from discovery. That is Cedell v. Farmers Insurance Company of Washington, 176 Wn. 2d 686. The belief of a client as to an attorney/client relationship will control only if it is reasonably informed based on attending circumstances, including
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit SB-9T, page 7, line 20, page 8, line 10; and Captain Ivan Carlson, Exhibit IC-8T, page 19, line 21 through page 20, line 6; and Michael Titone I may not be saying the last name correctly Exhibit MJT-1T at page 9, line 16 through 25. I am striking Diamond Exhibit CLD-4T page 2, line 19 through page 4, line 14 as representing new testimony distinguishing the St. Lawrence Seaway that does not address any responsive testimony. I am declining to strike other testimony from PSP witnesses, including Diamond, Costanzo, Johnson, and Jordan, who provide rebuttal testimony on other overarching issues in the case, such as the standards for state versus federal pilot licenses, the best	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to attorney/client privilege, but that it is still opinion work product and should still be protected from disclosure. A party wishing to assert a privilege may not simply keep quiet about the information it believes is protected from discovery. That is Cedell v. Farmers Insurance Company of Washington, 176 Wn. 2d 686. The belief of a client as to an attorney/client relationship will control only if it is reasonably informed based on attending circumstances, including the attorneys' words or actions, State v. Hansen,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit SB-9T, page 7, line 20, page 8, line 10; and Captain Ivan Carlson, Exhibit IC-8T, page 19, line 21 through page 20, line 6; and Michael Titone I may not be saying the last name correctly Exhibit MJT-1T at page 9, line 16 through 25. I am striking Diamond Exhibit CLD-4T page 2, line 19 through page 4, line 14 as representing new testimony distinguishing the St. Lawrence Seaway that does not address any responsive testimony. I am declining to strike other testimony from PSP witnesses, including Diamond, Costanzo, Johnson, and Jordan, who provide rebuttal testimony on other overarching issues in the case, such as the standards for state versus federal pilot licenses, the best available protection standard, and other issues.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to attorney/client privilege, but that it is still opinion work product and should still be protected from disclosure. A party wishing to assert a privilege may not simply keep quiet about the information it believes is protected from discovery. That is Cedell v. Farmers Insurance Company of Washington, 176 Wn. 2d 686. The belief of a client as to an attorney/client relationship will control only if it is reasonably informed based on attending circumstances, including the attorneys' words or actions, State v. Hansen, 122 Wn. 2d 712. The relationship can be implied from the parties' conduct, Bohn v. Cody, 11 Wn. 2d 357. The issue is reasonableness of the client's belief at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit SB-9T, page 7, line 20, page 8, line 10; and Captain Ivan Carlson, Exhibit IC-8T, page 19, line 21 through page 20, line 6; and Michael Titone I may not be saying the last name correctly Exhibit MJT-1T at page 9, line 16 through 25. I am striking Diamond Exhibit CLD-4T page 2, line 19 through page 4, line 14 as representing new testimony distinguishing the St. Lawrence Seaway that does not address any responsive testimony. I am declining to strike other testimony from PSP witnesses, including Diamond, Costanzo, Johnson, and Jordan, who provide rebuttal testimony on other overarching issues in the case, such as the standards for state versus federal pilot licenses, the best available protection standard, and other issues. These are I would consider these proper subjects	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to attorney/client privilege, but that it is still opinion work product and should still be protected from disclosure. A party wishing to assert a privilege may not simply keep quiet about the information it believes is protected from discovery. That is Cedell v. Farmers Insurance Company of Washington, 176 Wn. 2d 686. The belief of a client as to an attorney/client relationship will control only if it is reasonably informed based on attending circumstances, including the attorneys' words or actions, State v. Hansen, 122 Wn. 2d 712. The relationship can be implied from the parties' conduct, Bohn v. Cody, 11 Wn. 2d 357. The issue is reasonableness of the client's belief at the time of the events in question, again, Bohn v
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit SB-9T, page 7, line 20, page 8, line 10; and Captain Ivan Carlson, Exhibit IC-8T, page 19, line 21 through page 20, line 6; and Michael Titone I may not be saying the last name correctly Exhibit MJT-1T at page 9, line 16 through 25. I am striking Diamond Exhibit CLD-4T page 2, line 19 through page 4, line 14 as representing new testimony distinguishing the St. Lawrence Seaway that does not address any responsive testimony. I am declining to strike other testimony from PSP witnesses, including Diamond, Costanzo, Johnson, and Jordan, who provide rebuttal testimony on other overarching issues in the case, such as the standards for state versus federal pilot licenses, the best available protection standard, and other issues. These are I would consider these proper subjects for rebuttal testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to attorney/client privilege, but that it is still opinion work product and should still be protected from disclosure. A party wishing to assert a privilege may not simply keep quiet about the information it believes is protected from discovery. That is Cedell v. Farmers Insurance Company of Washington, 176 Wn. 2d 686. The belief of a client as to an attorney/client relationship will control only if it is reasonably informed based on attending circumstances, including the attorneys' words or actions, State v. Hansen, 122 Wn. 2d 712. The relationship can be implied from the parties' conduct, Bohn v. Cody, 11 Wn. 2d 357. The issue is reasonableness of the client's belief at the time of the events in question, again, Bohn v Cody. Although Tabler was formerly PSP's general
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit SB-9T, page 7, line 20, page 8, line 10; and Captain Ivan Carlson, Exhibit IC-8T, page 19, line 21 through page 20, line 6; and Michael Titone I may not be saying the last name correctly Exhibit MJT-1T at page 9, line 16 through 25. I am striking Diamond Exhibit CLD-4T page 2, line 19 through page 4, line 14 as representing new testimony distinguishing the St. Lawrence Seaway that does not address any responsive testimony. I am declining to strike other testimony from PSP witnesses, including Diamond, Costanzo, Johnson, and Jordan, who provide rebuttal testimony on other overarching issues in the case, such as the standards for state versus federal pilot licenses, the best available protection standard, and other issues. These are I would consider these proper subjects for rebuttal testimony. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to attorney/client privilege, but that it is still opinion work product and should still be protected from disclosure. A party wishing to assert a privilege may not simply keep quiet about the information it believes is protected from discovery. That is Cedell v. Farmers Insurance Company of Washington, 176 Wn. 2d 686. The belief of a client as to an attorney/client relationship will control only if it is reasonably informed based on attending circumstances, including the attorneys' words or actions, State v. Hansen, 122 Wn. 2d 712. The relationship can be implied from the parties' conduct, Bohn v. Cody, 11 Wn. 2d 357. The issue is reasonableness of the client's belief at the time of the events in question, again, Bohn v Cody. Although Tabler was formerly PSP's general counsel, PSP has repeatedly characterized Tabler as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit SB-9T, page 7, line 20, page 8, line 10; and Captain Ivan Carlson, Exhibit IC-8T, page 19, line 21 through page 20, line 6; and Michael Titone I may not be saying the last name correctly Exhibit MJT-1T at page 9, line 16 through 25. I am striking Diamond Exhibit CLD-4T page 2, line 19 through page 4, line 14 as representing new testimony distinguishing the St. Lawrence Seaway that does not address any responsive testimony. I am declining to strike other testimony from PSP witnesses, including Diamond, Costanzo, Johnson, and Jordan, who provide rebuttal testimony on other overarching issues in the case, such as the standards for state versus federal pilot licenses, the best available protection standard, and other issues. These are I would consider these proper subjects for rebuttal testimony. Next we have PSP's motion to compel discovery. I'm granting PSP's motion for leave to reply in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to attorney/client privilege, but that it is still opinion work product and should still be protected from disclosure. A party wishing to assert a privilege may not simply keep quiet about the information it believes is protected from discovery. That is Cedell v. Farmers Insurance Company of Washington, 176 Wn. 2d 686. The belief of a client as to an attorney/client relationship will control only if it is reasonably informed based on attending circumstances, including the attorneys' words or actions, State v. Hansen, 122 Wn. 2d 712. The relationship can be implied from the parties' conduct, Bohn v. Cody, 11 Wn. 2d 357. The issue is reasonableness of the client's belief at the time of the events in question, again, Bohn v Cody. Although Tabler was formerly PSP's general counsel, PSP has repeatedly characterized Tabler as a consultant and has not included his work in its list
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit SB-9T, page 7, line 20, page 8, line 10; and Captain Ivan Carlson, Exhibit IC-8T, page 19, line 21 through page 20, line 6; and Michael Titone I may not be saying the last name correctly Exhibit MJT-1T at page 9, line 16 through 25. I am striking Diamond Exhibit CLD-4T page 2, line 19 through page 4, line 14 as representing new testimony distinguishing the St. Lawrence Seaway that does not address any responsive testimony. I am declining to strike other testimony from PSP witnesses, including Diamond, Costanzo, Johnson, and Jordan, who provide rebuttal testimony on other overarching issues in the case, such as the standards for state versus federal pilot licenses, the best available protection standard, and other issues. These are I would consider these proper subjects for rebuttal testimony. Next we have PSP's motion to compel discovery. I'm granting PSP's motion for leave to reply in support of this motion, and I've considered PSP's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to attorney/client privilege, but that it is still opinion work product and should still be protected from disclosure. A party wishing to assert a privilege may not simply keep quiet about the information it believes is protected from discovery. That is Cedell v. Farmers Insurance Company of Washington, 176 Wn. 2d 686. The belief of a client as to an attorney/client relationship will control only if it is reasonably informed based on attending circumstances, including the attorneys' words or actions, State v. Hansen, 122 Wn. 2d 712. The relationship can be implied from the parties' conduct, Bohn v. Cody, 11 Wn. 2d 357. The issue is reasonableness of the client's belief at the time of the events in question, again, Bohn v Cody. Although Tabler was formerly PSP's general counsel, PSP has repeatedly characterized Tabler as a consultant and has not included his work in its list of legal expenses.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	part. I am striking portions of PSP's testimony that reflect new proposals that should have been brought in the direct case and do not respond to any responsive testimony. This includes Bendixen Exhibit SB-9T, page 7, line 20, page 8, line 10; and Captain Ivan Carlson, Exhibit IC-8T, page 19, line 21 through page 20, line 6; and Michael Titone I may not be saying the last name correctly Exhibit MJT-1T at page 9, line 16 through 25. I am striking Diamond Exhibit CLD-4T page 2, line 19 through page 4, line 14 as representing new testimony distinguishing the St. Lawrence Seaway that does not address any responsive testimony. I am declining to strike other testimony from PSP witnesses, including Diamond, Costanzo, Johnson, and Jordan, who provide rebuttal testimony on other overarching issues in the case, such as the standards for state versus federal pilot licenses, the best available protection standard, and other issues. These are I would consider these proper subjects for rebuttal testimony. Next we have PSP's motion to compel discovery. I'm granting PSP's motion for leave to reply in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	materials, including the declarations. I have also, of course, considered PMSA's response in all of its exhibits. I have reviewed Tabler's memo in camera. After reviewing all these materials, I conclude that this memorandum is not subject to attorney/client privilege, but that it is still opinion work product and should still be protected from disclosure. A party wishing to assert a privilege may not simply keep quiet about the information it believes is protected from discovery. That is Cedell v. Farmers Insurance Company of Washington, 176 Wn. 2d 686. The belief of a client as to an attorney/client relationship will control only if it is reasonably informed based on attending circumstances, including the attorneys' words or actions, State v. Hansen, 122 Wn. 2d 712. The relationship can be implied from the parties' conduct, Bohn v. Cody, 11 Wn. 2d 357. The issue is reasonableness of the client's belief at the time of the events in question, again, Bohn v Cody. Although Tabler was formerly PSP's general counsel, PSP has repeatedly characterized Tabler as a consultant and has not included his work in its list

	Page 99		Page 101
1	objected not on the basis of attorney/client	1	Walt Tabler as a witness for PSP to elicit testimony
2	privilege, but on the basis of a self-critical	2	from Walt Tabler on this issue because I've already
3	analysis privilege, which is a concept that I have	3	considered his declaration.
4	found to be discussed by federal courts but is	4	I want to as I conclude my ruling on this
5	unlikely to apply in this context. This is shown in	5	particular motion, I want to ask counsel for PMSA,
6	Exhibit B to PMSA's response, the objection to the	6	is is this memo in any of the cross exhibits
7	data requests. When asked to list attorney fees for	7	submitted so far? I don't know if I've seen it.
8	the last five years, PSP did not include Tabler's	8	MS. DeLAPPE: Your Honor, we refrained from
9	fees, Exhibit C to PMSA's response.	9	filing or submitted it with anyone until your ruling.
10	PSP also provided a summary of Tabler's	10	So, no.
11	consulting work without asserting attorney/client	11	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. I
12	privilege, and that's in Exhibit E to PMSA's	12	just wanted to confirm that.
13	response. PSP did not assert attorney/client	13	MR. HAGLUND: Your Honor, there is one
14	privilege until it realized that PMSA had the memo at	14	there is a data request that quoted from that
15	issue in its possession, Exhibit G to PMSA's	15	memorandum that I believe has been submitted by
16	response.	16	PSP or PMSA as a cross-examination exhibit. And
17	PSP's after-the-fact assertions of	17	that would need to be removed.
18	attorney/client privilege and attorney/client	18	JUDGE HOWARD: Do you have the exhibit
19	relationship are contrary to its actions at the time	19	number handy for that?
20	the memo was prepared and its earlier discovery	20	MR. HAGLUND: I don't have it right at my
21	responses.	21	fingertips. IC-18X.
22	However, I am finding this to be opinion work	22	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Is there which
23	product under Civil Rule 26(b)(4), which includes	23	specific data request is at issue?
24	work product by consultants. A strong public policy	24	MR. HAGLUND: It was 658. It has four
25	favors shielding genuine work product from discovery.	25	quotes from that memorandum.
	Page 100		Page 102
1	That's Upjohn Company v. United States, 449 U.S. 383.	1	JUDGE HOWARD: Just a moment. I'm going to
2	Even if a party shows substantial need, the court	2	pull it up.
3	shall protect against disclosure of mental	3	MS. DeLAPPE: If I may, Your Honor.
4	impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal theories	4	I would suggest that these excerpts are not
5	of an attorney or other representative of a party.	5	they do not actually state anything that would be
6	Leahy v. State Farm Mutual Auto Insurance Company,	6	subject to the work product protections because there
7	3 Wn.App 2d 613.	7	is nothing there that is about future litigation
8	After reviewing the memo in camera, I'm finding	8	strategies. Just for your consideration as you're
9	that it is replete with Tabler's various opinions and	9	looking at the exhibit.
10	theories. Tabler is specifically opining on	10	MR. HAGLUND: Your Honor, given the ruling
11	arguments PMSA may make in litigation in the future	11	you've made, we see no basis whatsoever for excerpts
12	and future litigation strategies. It is clear that	12	from that work-product-protected memo to be subject
13	this memo was prepared in anticipation of litigation.	13	to potential questioning and quoting in this
14	Even if PMSA had substantial need of any facts	14	proceeding.
15	referenced in this document, which I have not	15	JUDGE HOWARD: I am going to agree on PSP
16	which I have not seen, a strong public policy weighs	16	agree with PSP on this issue. I'm going to reject
17	against disclosing opinion work product, and this	17	specifically page 25 of Exhibit IC-18X. And we will
18	memo was replete with opinion work product.	18	otherwise discuss the admission of the remainder of
19 20	I therefore order that PMSA is precluded from	19 20	this exhibit in a few moments.
20 21	introducing, relying on, or referring to the March 2, 2021, memorandum prepared by Walt Tabler during this	20	All right. Last, we have PSP's motion for
21	proceeding.	21	leave to file corrected testimony from David Lough. This was filed yesterday afternoon.
22	Finally, I note that PSP has submitted a notice	22	I see we have the court reporter joining us.
23	of intent to call Walt Tabler as a witness, if	24	Can you hear me?
25	necessary. I am denying any request to call	25	THE REPORTER: Yes. Can you hear me?

3 (Pages 99 to 102)

	Page 103		Page 105
1	JUDGE HOWARD: We can continue recording for	1	objections.
2	the time being, Ryan. We'll do belt and suspenders.	2	I would turn first to PSP.
3	All right. So regarding PSP's motion for leave	3	MR. HAGLUND: On behalf of PSP, we do not
4	to file corrected testimony from David Lough, I am	4	have objections to the pre-filed testimony of PMSA
5	denying this motion and these exhibits are not	5	witnesses, Staff witnesses, or TOTE Maritime
6	accepted. There is no showing by PSP in its motion	6	witnesses, or an witnesses, or rone manufic witnesses and would stipulate to its admission as
7	that justifies waiting until the afternoon before the	7	submitted in original and rebuttal forms, subject, of
8	hearing to file supplemental testimony from its	8	course, to your rulings previously that dealt with an
9	primary expert on compensation, which is the primary	9	important issue.
10	cost driver of the revenue requirement for the	10	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.
11	organization. There's only a very general reference	11	Could I hear from Staff?
12	to Lough discovering errors as he prepared for cross.	12	MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	Under the circumstances and given the timing of	13	Staff does not have any additional objections
14	this motion, that is simply not enough. Submitting	14	and would stipulate to the admission of the other
15	changes the day before the hearing, absent special	15	pre-filed testimony and exhibits.
16	circumstances, makes PSP a moving target and is	16	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.
17	obviously prejudicial to the other parties. This is	17	Could I hear from PMSA?
18	not the first time that supplemental testimony has	18	MS. DeLAPPE: PMSA likewise stipulates.
19	been submitted and changed PSP's position in this	19	Thank you.
20	case. I want to note as well that Lough's corrected	20	JUDGE HOWARD: Could I hear from TOTE?
21	testimony is again misnumbered. But this is going to	21	MR. BLOCK: Your Honor, TOTE has no
22	be a nonissue because it's rejected.	22	objection with objections and would so stipulate
23	If these unauthorized filings and improper	23	as well.
24	questionable assertions of privilege continue in	24	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Thank
25	future proceedings, I will likely consider striking	25	you all. That was that was surprisingly brief.
	Page 104		Page 106
1	testimony, as I should.	1	In that, given the parties' stipulation and
1 2	testimony, as I should. All right. Let's turn to the admission of	1 2	
	-		In that, given the parties' stipulation and
2	All right. Let's turn to the admission of	2	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the
2 3	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to	2 3	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the
2 3 4	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week,	2 3 4	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my
2 3 4 5	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week, I believe, I circulated an exhibit list that included	2 3 4 5	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my rulings so far today. So that means only page 25 of
2 3 4 5 6	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week, I believe, I circulated an exhibit list that included filings up to and including the parties' cross	2 3 4 5 6	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my rulings so far today. So that means only page 25 of IC-18X is rejected. The supplemental testimony from
2 3 4 5 6 7	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week, I believe, I circulated an exhibit list that included filings up to and including the parties' cross exhibits filed on March 29, 2023. As I have	2 3 4 5 6 7	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my rulings so far today. So that means only page 25 of IC-18X is rejected. The supplemental testimony from Bendixen, SB-11T through SB-14, is rejected.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week, I believe, I circulated an exhibit list that included filings up to and including the parties' cross exhibits filed on March 29, 2023. As I have indicated, I rejected PSP's supplemental testimony	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my rulings so far today. So that means only page 25 of IC-18X is rejected. The supplemental testimony from Bendixen, SB-11T through SB-14, is rejected. The MB sorry. Give me one moment. JJN-6T
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week, I believe, I circulated an exhibit list that included filings up to and including the parties' cross exhibits filed on March 29, 2023. As I have indicated, I rejected PSP's supplemental testimony filed on March 24th. I've also stricken certain lines and pages of rebuttal testimony. I have considered Staff's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my rulings so far today. So that means only page 25 of IC-18X is rejected. The supplemental testimony from Bendixen, SB-11T through SB-14, is rejected. The MB sorry. Give me one moment. JJN-6T and JJN-7 are rejected.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week, I believe, I circulated an exhibit list that included filings up to and including the parties' cross exhibits filed on March 29, 2023. As I have indicated, I rejected PSP's supplemental testimony filed on March 24th. I've also stricken certain lines and pages of rebuttal testimony. I have considered Staff's objection to the errata sheet for Burton's testimony,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my rulings so far today. So that means only page 25 of IC-18X is rejected. The supplemental testimony from Bendixen, SB-11T through SB-14, is rejected. The MB sorry. Give me one moment. JJN-6T and JJN-7 are rejected. (Prefiled exhibits admitted.) MR. HAGLUND: Did you say 16 and 7, Your Honor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week, I believe, I circulated an exhibit list that included filings up to and including the parties' cross exhibits filed on March 29, 2023. As I have indicated, I rejected PSP's supplemental testimony filed on March 24th. I've also stricken certain lines and pages of rebuttal testimony. I have considered Staff's objection to the errata sheet for Burton's testimony, WTB-05, which was filed on March 31st. I observe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my rulings so far today. So that means only page 25 of IC-18X is rejected. The supplemental testimony from Bendixen, SB-11T through SB-14, is rejected. The MB sorry. Give me one moment. JJN-6T and JJN-7 are rejected. (Prefiled exhibits admitted.) MR. HAGLUND: Did you say 16 and 7, Your Honor? JUDGE HOWARD: 6T and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week, I believe, I circulated an exhibit list that included filings up to and including the parties' cross exhibits filed on March 29, 2023. As I have indicated, I rejected PSP's supplemental testimony filed on March 24th. I've also stricken certain lines and pages of rebuttal testimony. I have considered Staff's objection to the errata sheet for Burton's testimony, WTB-05, which was filed on March 31st. I observe that these are relatively minor but substantive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my rulings so far today. So that means only page 25 of IC-18X is rejected. The supplemental testimony from Bendixen, SB-11T through SB-14, is rejected. The MB sorry. Give me one moment. JJN-6T and JJN-7 are rejected. (Prefiled exhibits admitted.) MR. HAGLUND: Did you say 16 and 7, Your Honor? JUDGE HOWARD: 6T and MR. HAGLUND: 6T. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week, I believe, I circulated an exhibit list that included filings up to and including the parties' cross exhibits filed on March 29, 2023. As I have indicated, I rejected PSP's supplemental testimony filed on March 24th. I've also stricken certain lines and pages of rebuttal testimony. I have considered Staff's objection to the errata sheet for Burton's testimony, WTB-05, which was filed on March 31st. I observe that these are relatively minor but substantive corrections. I will grant PSP an exemption from WAC	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my rulings so far today. So that means only page 25 of IC-18X is rejected. The supplemental testimony from Bendixen, SB-11T through SB-14, is rejected. The MB sorry. Give me one moment. JJN-6T and JJN-7 are rejected. (Prefiled exhibits admitted.) MR. HAGLUND: Did you say 16 and 7, Your Honor? JUDGE HOWARD: 6T and MR. HAGLUND: 6T. Okay. JUDGE HOWARD: And JJN-7. Sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week, I believe, I circulated an exhibit list that included filings up to and including the parties' cross exhibits filed on March 29, 2023. As I have indicated, I rejected PSP's supplemental testimony filed on March 24th. I've also stricken certain lines and pages of rebuttal testimony. I have considered Staff's objection to the errata sheet for Burton's testimony, WTB-05, which was filed on March 31st. I observe that these are relatively minor but substantive corrections. I will grant PSP an exemption from WAC 480-07-460(1)(a) and accept this particular errata	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my rulings so far today. So that means only page 25 of IC-18X is rejected. The supplemental testimony from Bendixen, SB-11T through SB-14, is rejected. The MB sorry. Give me one moment. JJN-6T and JJN-7 are rejected. (Prefiled exhibits admitted.) MR. HAGLUND: Did you say 16 and 7, Your Honor? JUDGE HOWARD: 6T and MR. HAGLUND: 6T. Okay. JUDGE HOWARD: And JJN-7. Sorry. And and as I indicated, McCarthy SM-8T
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week, I believe, I circulated an exhibit list that included filings up to and including the parties' cross exhibits filed on March 29, 2023. As I have indicated, I rejected PSP's supplemental testimony filed on March 24th. I've also stricken certain lines and pages of rebuttal testimony. I have considered Staff's objection to the errata sheet for Burton's testimony, WTB-05, which was filed on March 31st. I observe that these are relatively minor but substantive corrections. I will grant PSP an exemption from WAC 480-07-460(1)(a) and accept this particular errata sheet. In the interest of accuracy, I don't feel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my rulings so far today. So that means only page 25 of IC-18X is rejected. The supplemental testimony from Bendixen, SB-11T through SB-14, is rejected. The MB sorry. Give me one moment. JJN-6T and JJN-7 are rejected. (Prefiled exhibits admitted.) MR. HAGLUND: Did you say 16 and 7, Your Honor? JUDGE HOWARD: 6T and MR. HAGLUND: 6T. Okay. JUDGE HOWARD: And JJN-7. Sorry. And and as I indicated, McCarthy SM-8T through 11 are rejected. And I believe, setting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week, I believe, I circulated an exhibit list that included filings up to and including the parties' cross exhibits filed on March 29, 2023. As I have indicated, I rejected PSP's supplemental testimony filed on March 24th. I've also stricken certain lines and pages of rebuttal testimony. I have considered Staff's objection to the errata sheet for Burton's testimony, WTB-05, which was filed on March 31st. I observe that these are relatively minor but substantive corrections. I will grant PSP an exemption from WAC 480-07-460(1)(a) and accept this particular errata sheet. In the interest of accuracy, I don't feel that this particular change causes prejudice to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my rulings so far today. So that means only page 25 of IC-18X is rejected. The supplemental testimony from Bendixen, SB-11T through SB-14, is rejected. The MB sorry. Give me one moment. JJN-6T and JJN-7 are rejected. (Prefiled exhibits admitted.) MR. HAGLUND: Did you say 16 and 7, Your Honor? JUDGE HOWARD: 6T and MR. HAGLUND: 6T. Okay. JUDGE HOWARD: And JJN-7. Sorry. And and as I indicated, McCarthy SM-8T through 11 are rejected. And I believe, setting aside the specific lines and pages I rejected on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week, I believe, I circulated an exhibit list that included filings up to and including the parties' cross exhibits filed on March 29, 2023. As I have indicated, I rejected PSP's supplemental testimony filed on March 24th. I've also stricken certain lines and pages of rebuttal testimony. I have considered Staff's objection to the errata sheet for Burton's testimony, WTB-05, which was filed on March 31st. I observe that these are relatively minor but substantive corrections. I will grant PSP an exemption from WAC 480-07-460(1)(a) and accept this particular errata sheet. In the interest of accuracy, I don't feel that this particular change causes prejudice to the other parties, but I would advise PSP, for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my rulings so far today. So that means only page 25 of IC-18X is rejected. The supplemental testimony from Bendixen, SB-11T through SB-14, is rejected. The MB sorry. Give me one moment. JJN-6T and JJN-7 are rejected. (Prefiled exhibits admitted.) MR. HAGLUND: Did you say 16 and 7, Your Honor? JUDGE HOWARD: 6T and MR. HAGLUND: 6T. Okay. JUDGE HOWARD: And JJN-7. Sorry. And and as I indicated, McCarthy SM-8T through 11 are rejected. And I believe, setting aside the specific lines and pages I rejected on given PMSA's motion to strike non-rebuttal testimony,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week, I believe, I circulated an exhibit list that included filings up to and including the parties' cross exhibits filed on March 29, 2023. As I have indicated, I rejected PSP's supplemental testimony filed on March 24th. I've also stricken certain lines and pages of rebuttal testimony. I have considered Staff's objection to the errata sheet for Burton's testimony, WTB-05, which was filed on March 31st. I observe that these are relatively minor but substantive corrections. I will grant PSP an exemption from WAC 480-07-460(1)(a) and accept this particular errata sheet. In the interest of accuracy, I don't feel that this particular change causes prejudice to the other parties, but I would advise PSP, for the future, of the need to seek leave for substantive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my rulings so far today. So that means only page 25 of IC-18X is rejected. The supplemental testimony from Bendixen, SB-11T through SB-14, is rejected. The MB sorry. Give me one moment. JJN-6T and JJN-7 are rejected. (Prefiled exhibits admitted.) MR. HAGLUND: Did you say 16 and 7, Your Honor? JUDGE HOWARD: 6T and MR. HAGLUND: 6T. Okay. JUDGE HOWARD: And JJN-7. Sorry. And and as I indicated, McCarthy SM-8T through 11 are rejected. And I believe, setting aside the specific lines and pages I rejected on given PMSA's motion to strike non-rebuttal testimony, I believe that is it and everything else is in place.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week, I believe, I circulated an exhibit list that included filings up to and including the parties' cross exhibits filed on March 29, 2023. As I have indicated, I rejected PSP's supplemental testimony filed on March 24th. I've also stricken certain lines and pages of rebuttal testimony. I have considered Staff's objection to the errata sheet for Burton's testimony, WTB-05, which was filed on March 31st. I observe that these are relatively minor but substantive corrections. I will grant PSP an exemption from WAC 480-07-460(1)(a) and accept this particular errata sheet. In the interest of accuracy, I don't feel that this particular change causes prejudice to the other parties, but I would advise PSP, for the future, of the need to seek leave for substantive corrections and being mindful of timing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my rulings so far today. So that means only page 25 of IC-18X is rejected. The supplemental testimony from Bendixen, SB-11T through SB-14, is rejected. The MB sorry. Give me one moment. JJN-6T and JJN-7 are rejected. (Prefiled exhibits admitted.) MR. HAGLUND: Did you say 16 and 7, Your Honor? JUDGE HOWARD: 6T and MR. HAGLUND: 6T. Okay. JUDGE HOWARD: And JJN-7. Sorry. And and as I indicated, McCarthy SM-8T through 11 are rejected. And I believe, setting aside the specific lines and pages I rejected on given PMSA's motion to strike non-rebuttal testimony, I believe that is it and everything else is in place. And I will provide a copy of the exhibit list to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week, I believe, I circulated an exhibit list that included filings up to and including the parties' cross exhibits filed on March 29, 2023. As I have indicated, I rejected PSP's supplemental testimony filed on March 24th. I've also stricken certain lines and pages of rebuttal testimony. I have considered Staff's objection to the errata sheet for Burton's testimony, WTB-05, which was filed on March 31st. I observe that these are relatively minor but substantive corrections. I will grant PSP an exemption from WAC 480-07-460(1)(a) and accept this particular errata sheet. In the interest of accuracy, I don't feel that this particular change causes prejudice to the other parties, but I would advise PSP, for the future, of the need to seek leave for substantive corrections and being mindful of timing. I'm going to ask the parties for their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my rulings so far today. So that means only page 25 of IC-18X is rejected. The supplemental testimony from Bendixen, SB-11T through SB-14, is rejected. The MB sorry. Give me one moment. JJN-6T and JJN-7 are rejected. (Prefiled exhibits admitted.) MR. HAGLUND: Did you say 16 and 7, Your Honor? JUDGE HOWARD: 6T and MR. HAGLUND: 6T. Okay. JUDGE HOWARD: And JJN-7. Sorry. And and as I indicated, McCarthy SM-8T through 11 are rejected. And I believe, setting aside the specific lines and pages I rejected on given PMSA's motion to strike non-rebuttal testimony, I believe that is it and everything else is in place. And I will provide a copy of the exhibit list to the court reporter after the hearing so that it can be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week, I believe, I circulated an exhibit list that included filings up to and including the parties' cross exhibits filed on March 29, 2023. As I have indicated, I rejected PSP's supplemental testimony filed on March 24th. I've also stricken certain lines and pages of rebuttal testimony. I have considered Staff's objection to the errata sheet for Burton's testimony, WTB-05, which was filed on March 31st. I observe that these are relatively minor but substantive corrections. I will grant PSP an exemption from WAC 480-07-460(1)(a) and accept this particular errata sheet. In the interest of accuracy, I don't feel that this particular change causes prejudice to the other parties, but I would advise PSP, for the future, of the need to seek leave for substantive corrections and being mindful of timing. I'm going to ask the parties for their positions on the admissibility of the evidence and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my rulings so far today. So that means only page 25 of IC-18X is rejected. The supplemental testimony from Bendixen, SB-11T through SB-14, is rejected. The MB sorry. Give me one moment. JJN-6T and JJN-7 are rejected. (Prefiled exhibits admitted.) MR. HAGLUND: Did you say 16 and 7, Your Honor? JUDGE HOWARD: 6T and MR. HAGLUND: 6T. Okay. JUDGE HOWARD: And JJN-7. Sorry. And and as I indicated, McCarthy SM-8T through 11 are rejected. And I believe, setting aside the specific lines and pages I rejected on given PMSA's motion to strike non-rebuttal testimony, I believe that is it and everything else is in place. And I will provide a copy of the exhibit list to the court reporter after the hearing so that it can be made part of the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week, I believe, I circulated an exhibit list that included filings up to and including the parties' cross exhibits filed on March 29, 2023. As I have indicated, I rejected PSP's supplemental testimony filed on March 24th. I've also stricken certain lines and pages of rebuttal testimony. I have considered Staff's objection to the errata sheet for Burton's testimony, WTB-05, which was filed on March 31st. I observe that these are relatively minor but substantive corrections. I will grant PSP an exemption from WAC 480-07-460(1)(a) and accept this particular errata sheet. In the interest of accuracy, I don't feel that this particular change causes prejudice to the other parties, but I would advise PSP, for the future, of the need to seek leave for substantive corrections and being mindful of timing. I'm going to ask the parties for their positions on the admissibility of the evidence and whether they stipulate to the admission of pre-filed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my rulings so far today. So that means only page 25 of IC-18X is rejected. The supplemental testimony from Bendixen, SB-11T through SB-14, is rejected. The MB sorry. Give me one moment. JJN-6T and JJN-7 are rejected. (Prefiled exhibits admitted.) MR. HAGLUND: Did you say 16 and 7, Your Honor? JUDGE HOWARD: 6T and MR. HAGLUND: 6T. Okay. JUDGE HOWARD: And JJN-7. Sorry. And and as I indicated, McCarthy SM-8T through 11 are rejected. And I believe, setting aside the specific lines and pages I rejected on given PMSA's motion to strike non-rebuttal testimony, I believe that is it and everything else is in place. And I will provide a copy of the exhibit list to the court reporter after the hearing so that it can be made part of the record. I believe the Commissioners will be joining us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	All right. Let's turn to the admission of pre-filed testimony and exhibits. In my e-mail to the parties, I an e-mail to the parties last week, I believe, I circulated an exhibit list that included filings up to and including the parties' cross exhibits filed on March 29, 2023. As I have indicated, I rejected PSP's supplemental testimony filed on March 24th. I've also stricken certain lines and pages of rebuttal testimony. I have considered Staff's objection to the errata sheet for Burton's testimony, WTB-05, which was filed on March 31st. I observe that these are relatively minor but substantive corrections. I will grant PSP an exemption from WAC 480-07-460(1)(a) and accept this particular errata sheet. In the interest of accuracy, I don't feel that this particular change causes prejudice to the other parties, but I would advise PSP, for the future, of the need to seek leave for substantive corrections and being mindful of timing. I'm going to ask the parties for their positions on the admissibility of the evidence and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	In that, given the parties' stipulation and lack of objections, I am going to deem all the pre-filed testimony and exhibits admitted with the exception of what I have already indicated in my rulings so far today. So that means only page 25 of IC-18X is rejected. The supplemental testimony from Bendixen, SB-11T through SB-14, is rejected. The MB sorry. Give me one moment. JJN-6T and JJN-7 are rejected. (Prefiled exhibits admitted.) MR. HAGLUND: Did you say 16 and 7, Your Honor? JUDGE HOWARD: 6T and MR. HAGLUND: 6T. Okay. JUDGE HOWARD: And JJN-7. Sorry. And and as I indicated, McCarthy SM-8T through 11 are rejected. And I believe, setting aside the specific lines and pages I rejected on given PMSA's motion to strike non-rebuttal testimony, I believe that is it and everything else is in place. And I will provide a copy of the exhibit list to the court reporter after the hearing so that it can be made part of the record.

	D		D
	Page 107		Page 109
1	see. Do we have the Commissioners with us already?	1	second pilotage rate case before this Commission, and
2	I believe they are going to be joining us in just a	2	the first with a robust and comprehensive record on
3	minute here.	3	the part of the proponent, Puget Sound Pilots. What
4	Let's go off the record for a moment. I am	4	is remarkable in this second pilotage case is that
5	going to send the Commissioners a message to let them	5	PMSA has doubled down on all of the same arguments
6	know that we are ready. When they join us, we'll	6	that it advanced in 2019/'20, which largely prevailed
7	give brief appearances for the Commissioners and then	7	against a fairly skimpy record put together by PSP
8	we will start with opening statements.	8	and a legal team with no prior pilotage experience.
9	(A break was taken from	9	What's different in this case and should
	9:27 a.m. to 9:35 a.m.)	10	generate different results is the breadth and the
10		11	strength of PSP's evidence, which is designed to
11	JUDGE HOWARD: As I indicated, the	12	address six issues. Those six are as follows.
12	Commissioners have joined us for the virtual hearing.	13	First, the legal standard that should govern
13	Let's have the parties give brief appearances	14	the rate-setting process that funds Washington's
14	for the Commissioners before we turn to opening	15	compulsory pilotage system; second, that a nationally
15	statements. I would turn first to PSP.	16	competitive level of pay and benefits is necessary to
16	MR. HAGLUND: Michael Haglund of Haglund	17	attract top quality pilot trainees to Puget Sound
17	Kelly and my colleague, Eric Brickenstein, on behalf	18	Pilots and to advance both Washington's and PSP's
18	of the Puget Sound Pilots.	19	diversity, equity, and inclusion objectives; third,
19	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.	20	the PSP pension is a clearly reasonable, known, and
20	And could we hear from Staff?	21	measurable cost that should be funded in the tariff,
21	MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor.	22	
22	Nash Callaghan, assistant attorney general, on behalf	23	either on its existing pay-as-you-go system basis or
23	of Commission Staff. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.	23	preferably transition to a fully-funded defined
24 25	I'll turn next to PMSA.	24	benefit plan; fourth, the risks of pilotage,
2.5	The turn next to PMSA.	25	particularly larger and flag of convenience vessels
	Dama 100		Dama 110
	Page 108		Page 110
1	MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning.	1	are persistent and growing, and the excessive level
2	Michelle DeLappe on behalf of Pacific Merchant	2	of callback jobs performed by PSP during their
3	Shipping Association.	3	off-watch duty cycle is un is increasing those
4	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.	4	risks; fifth, pilotage rates are paid by the ship
5	And could we hear from TOTE Maritime Alaska,	5	served, 90 percent of which are foreign flag vessels,
б	LLC, or TOTE?	6	by pilots who are primarily servants of the public,
7	MR. BLOCK: Good morning, Commissioners.	7	and PSP's evidence on shipping economics shows that
8	Steven Block of Lane Powell appearing for TOTE	8	these rates are insignificant within the context of a
9	Maritime.	9	voyage's port costs and even a doubling or tripling
10	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.	10	of PSP's pilotage rates, according to shipping
11	And I have I will inform the Commissioners	11	economist Ken Eriksen, would not affect the volume of
12	that the exhibits have been all the exhibits have	12	ships calling Puget Sound; and sixth, a rate design
13	been admitted into evidence with the exception of	13	that includes multiple automatic adjustors to the
14	page 25 of Exhibit IC-18X. And Exhibits SB-11T	14	tariff is the key to a regulatory future for pilotage
15	through SB-14; exhibits JJN-6T and JJN-7. And I	15	in Washington where the time intervals between
16	have by separate e-mail to the Commissioners, I	16	contested general rate cases are five or more years
17	have already indicated to them which lines and pages	17	and not the existing 18-month pattern.
18	of testimony I have struck based on PMSA's motion.	18	Notably, PSP has filed original and rebuttal
19	So with those exceptions, all the exhibits are	19	testimony, now all in the record, totaling over 18
20	admitted.	20	over 800 pages from 26 witnesses. PMSA is presenting
21	So let's begin with opening statements limited	21	four testifying witnesses and elected not to engage a
22	to 15 minutes. And I would turn first to PSP.	22	witness on any of the following issues: a shipping
23	OPENING STATEMENT	23	economist, a compensation expert, or a fatigue risk
24	MR. HAGLUND: Thank you, Your Honor.	24	expert.
25	Commissioners and Your Honor, this is only the	25	I will now briefly describe the evidence that
			-

5 (Pages 107 to 110)

	Page 111		Page 113
1	addresses these six issues.	1	PSP's comparability evidence as insufficient, that
2	First, the standard for pilotage rate setting	2	that should not be the situation on this record,
3	in Washington must be applied with an eye toward the	3	which includes a workload comparison that includes 12
4	casualty prevention environmental protection function	4	different pilot groups, plus 100 percent of the
5	of the system. PSP believes that the Commission's	5	publicly available pilot income information, where
6	Order 06, issued earlier this year, where the	6	it's filed publicly, or rate orders that have been
7	Commission stated that the fair, just, reasonable and	7	issued by regulators like yourself in the last five
8	sufficient standard must be applied in light of other	8	years.
9	statutes, quote, "such as RCW 88.16.005, which	9	It's worth emphasizing that no other pilotage
10	emphasizes the importance of pilotage and the	10	regulator in the United States agrees with
11	protection of the natural environment," closed quote,	11	Captain Moore that the extraordinarily detailed
12	is a significantly different standard than the	12	comparisons he advocates are necessary. Instead
13	utility service model approach that applies in the	13	these regulators, many on the West Coast, regularly,
14	prior case.	14	and often pursuant to statute or regulation,
15	PSP's executive director, Charles Costanzo, who	15	evaluate evaluate pilot income in their own
16	has significant prior general counsel experience in	16	jurisdictions with an assessment of the net income
17	the maritime industry, explains in his testimony why	17	earned elsewhere.
18	PSP believes that Order 06 can be interpreted as	18	Indeed, the PMSA just last year supported
19	consistent with the best achievable protection	19	legislation in California enacted last fall that
20	standard applicable to the Department of Ecology and	20	specifically requires and in in connection with,
21	which PSP advocates should be construed as applying	21	and I quote, "In determining target net income per
22	in this case.	22	pilot, three factors," one of which and I'll quote
23	When it comes to the importance of spill	23	it is "evidence of compensation of comparable
24 25	prevention and the nation-leading reputation of this	24 25	maritime professions, including individuals in other
20	State's Department of Ecology, I have firsthand	20	state-regulated pilotage associations, at a minimum
	Page 112		Page 114
1	knowledge, having served as lead counsel for	1	considering evidence of the compensation and
2	Washington as a special assistant attorney general in	2	benefits."
3	Washington's largest ever oil spill, the Nestucca oil	3	PSP's compensation expert, David Lough, has
4	spill that occurred in December of 1988. That case	4	assembled data from 13 pilot groups comprising
5	was litigated in Oregon, where the vessel owner	5	42 percent of all licensed maritime pilots in the
6	sought to reduce its liability for that quarter	6	U.S., an elite maritime workforce, pinnacle of the
7	million gallon spill to a small fraction of the more	7	profession for a merchant mariner, of just over 1,200
8	than \$15 million that was ultimately recovered for	8	individuals. He applied applies the location pay
9	Washington.	9	differential factor and determines that the median
10	I also was retained to assist DOE in briefing	10	level of pilot income for these groups to be
10 11	before the Ninth Circuit and the U.S. Supreme Court	11	level of pilot income for these groups to be approximately \$574,000.
10 11 12	before the Ninth Circuit and the U.S. Supreme Court in connection with defending its oil spill and tug	11 12	level of pilot income for these groups to be approximately \$574,000. PSP at present, based upon audited financials,
10 11 12 13	before the Ninth Circuit and the U.S. Supreme Court in connection with defending its oil spill and tug escort and other regulations in litigation commenced	11 12 13	level of pilot income for these groups to be approximately \$574,000. PSP at present, based upon audited financials, is the lowest paid pilot group among the 13 in
10 11 12 13 14	before the Ninth Circuit and the U.S. Supreme Court in connection with defending its oil spill and tug escort and other regulations in litigation commenced by INTERTANKO, the oil tanker trade association that	11 12 13 14	level of pilot income for these groups to be approximately \$574,000. PSP at present, based upon audited financials, is the lowest paid pilot group among the 13 in Mr. Lough's table. We would emphasize that while
10 11 12 13 14 15	before the Ninth Circuit and the U.S. Supreme Court in connection with defending its oil spill and tug escort and other regulations in litigation commenced by INTERTANKO, the oil tanker trade association that prosecuted those cases. And I bring over 40 years of	11 12 13 14 15	level of pilot income for these groups to be approximately \$574,000. PSP at present, based upon audited financials, is the lowest paid pilot group among the 13 in Mr. Lough's table. We would emphasize that while Mr. Lough derives the median from publicly available
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	before the Ninth Circuit and the U.S. Supreme Court in connection with defending its oil spill and tug escort and other regulations in litigation commenced by INTERTANKO, the oil tanker trade association that prosecuted those cases. And I bring over 40 years of experience representing pilot groups in Oregon and	11 12 13 14 15 16	level of pilot income for these groups to be approximately \$574,000. PSP at present, based upon audited financials, is the lowest paid pilot group among the 13 in Mr. Lough's table. We would emphasize that while Mr. Lough derives the median from publicly available financials and rate orders, this Commission has wide
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	before the Ninth Circuit and the U.S. Supreme Court in connection with defending its oil spill and tug escort and other regulations in litigation commenced by INTERTANKO, the oil tanker trade association that prosecuted those cases. And I bring over 40 years of experience representing pilot groups in Oregon and Alaska to this case.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	level of pilot income for these groups to be approximately \$574,000. PSP at present, based upon audited financials, is the lowest paid pilot group among the 13 in Mr. Lough's table. We would emphasize that while Mr. Lough derives the median from publicly available financials and rate orders, this Commission has wide decision-making space in addressing where DNI
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	before the Ninth Circuit and the U.S. Supreme Court in connection with defending its oil spill and tug escort and other regulations in litigation commenced by INTERTANKO, the oil tanker trade association that prosecuted those cases. And I bring over 40 years of experience representing pilot groups in Oregon and Alaska to this case. As to nationally competitive pay and benefits,	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	level of pilot income for these groups to be approximately \$574,000. PSP at present, based upon audited financials, is the lowest paid pilot group among the 13 in Mr. Lough's table. We would emphasize that while Mr. Lough derives the median from publicly available financials and rate orders, this Commission has wide decision-making space in addressing where DNI which is your term, it's identical to the target net
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	before the Ninth Circuit and the U.S. Supreme Court in connection with defending its oil spill and tug escort and other regulations in litigation commenced by INTERTANKO, the oil tanker trade association that prosecuted those cases. And I bring over 40 years of experience representing pilot groups in Oregon and Alaska to this case. As to nationally competitive pay and benefits, according to PMSA's Captain Moore, it's impossible to	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	level of pilot income for these groups to be approximately \$574,000. PSP at present, based upon audited financials, is the lowest paid pilot group among the 13 in Mr. Lough's table. We would emphasize that while Mr. Lough derives the median from publicly available financials and rate orders, this Commission has wide decision-making space in addressing where DNI which is your term, it's identical to the target net income used most everywhere else where to set
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	before the Ninth Circuit and the U.S. Supreme Court in connection with defending its oil spill and tug escort and other regulations in litigation commenced by INTERTANKO, the oil tanker trade association that prosecuted those cases. And I bring over 40 years of experience representing pilot groups in Oregon and Alaska to this case. As to nationally competitive pay and benefits, according to PMSA's Captain Moore, it's impossible to compare the the Puget Sound pilotage ground to any	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	level of pilot income for these groups to be approximately \$574,000. PSP at present, based upon audited financials, is the lowest paid pilot group among the 13 in Mr. Lough's table. We would emphasize that while Mr. Lough derives the median from publicly available financials and rate orders, this Commission has wide decision-making space in addressing where DNI which is your term, it's identical to the target net income used most everywhere else where to set that. You could decide to set it at the top end of
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	before the Ninth Circuit and the U.S. Supreme Court in connection with defending its oil spill and tug escort and other regulations in litigation commenced by INTERTANKO, the oil tanker trade association that prosecuted those cases. And I bring over 40 years of experience representing pilot groups in Oregon and Alaska to this case. As to nationally competitive pay and benefits, according to PMSA's Captain Moore, it's impossible to compare the the Puget Sound pilotage ground to any other in the U.S. without an incredible array of	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	level of pilot income for these groups to be approximately \$574,000. PSP at present, based upon audited financials, is the lowest paid pilot group among the 13 in Mr. Lough's table. We would emphasize that while Mr. Lough derives the median from publicly available financials and rate orders, this Commission has wide decision-making space in addressing where DNI which is your term, it's identical to the target net income used most everywhere else where to set that. You could decide to set it at the top end of the table of publicly available information you're
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	before the Ninth Circuit and the U.S. Supreme Court in connection with defending its oil spill and tug escort and other regulations in litigation commenced by INTERTANKO, the oil tanker trade association that prosecuted those cases. And I bring over 40 years of experience representing pilot groups in Oregon and Alaska to this case. As to nationally competitive pay and benefits, according to PMSA's Captain Moore, it's impossible to compare the the Puget Sound pilotage ground to any other in the U.S. without an incredible array of statistics regarding the particulars of the ground	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	level of pilot income for these groups to be approximately \$574,000. PSP at present, based upon audited financials, is the lowest paid pilot group among the 13 in Mr. Lough's table. We would emphasize that while Mr. Lough derives the median from publicly available financials and rate orders, this Commission has wide decision-making space in addressing where DNI which is your term, it's identical to the target net income used most everywhere else where to set that. You could decide to set it at the top end of the table of publicly available information you're provided in this case. You could establish it at the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	before the Ninth Circuit and the U.S. Supreme Court in connection with defending its oil spill and tug escort and other regulations in litigation commenced by INTERTANKO, the oil tanker trade association that prosecuted those cases. And I bring over 40 years of experience representing pilot groups in Oregon and Alaska to this case. As to nationally competitive pay and benefits, according to PMSA's Captain Moore, it's impossible to compare the the Puget Sound pilotage ground to any other in the U.S. without an incredible array of statistics regarding the particulars of the ground and the workload and characteristics of the the	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	level of pilot income for these groups to be approximately \$574,000. PSP at present, based upon audited financials, is the lowest paid pilot group among the 13 in Mr. Lough's table. We would emphasize that while Mr. Lough derives the median from publicly available financials and rate orders, this Commission has wide decision-making space in addressing where DNI which is your term, it's identical to the target net income used most everywhere else where to set that. You could decide to set it at the top end of the table of publicly available information you're provided in this case. You could establish it at the median or higher than the median. You could also
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	before the Ninth Circuit and the U.S. Supreme Court in connection with defending its oil spill and tug escort and other regulations in litigation commenced by INTERTANKO, the oil tanker trade association that prosecuted those cases. And I bring over 40 years of experience representing pilot groups in Oregon and Alaska to this case. As to nationally competitive pay and benefits, according to PMSA's Captain Moore, it's impossible to compare the the Puget Sound pilotage ground to any other in the U.S. without an incredible array of statistics regarding the particulars of the ground	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	level of pilot income for these groups to be approximately \$574,000. PSP at present, based upon audited financials, is the lowest paid pilot group among the 13 in Mr. Lough's table. We would emphasize that while Mr. Lough derives the median from publicly available financials and rate orders, this Commission has wide decision-making space in addressing where DNI which is your term, it's identical to the target net income used most everywhere else where to set that. You could decide to set it at the top end of the table of publicly available information you're provided in this case. You could establish it at the

6 (Pages 111 to 114)

	Page 115		Page 117
1	pilots are going to earn well above \$500,000 in 2023.	1	down a significant factor beyond that with tug
2	To PSP, it's all about landing on a nationally	2	escorts, which Washington requires for all oil
3	competitive level of pay and benefits.	3	tankers.
4	Regarding the pension, the third issue, the	4	There's no question that pilots are the front
5	holding I think it's sufficient to say in my brief	5	line of protection.
6	remarks here that the holding of the Washington	6	When it comes to callbacks, which occur when
7	Supreme Court in 1943 in the Pacific Telephone and	7	a when there's a lack of a rested pilot on watch
8	Telegraph case, that rationale should apply here and	8	that necessitates calling an off-watch pilot to
9	result in a determination by the Commission that the	9	perform a job, PSP's levels are extremely high,
10	cost of PSP's pension, which was approximately	10	averaging 18 to 20 percent in recent years and
11	\$6 million in 2022 in terms of payments to retirees,	11	12 percent in the COVID low-traffic years.
12	must be covered in the tariff. This is because,	12	We have an expert witness, Dr. Charles
13	consistent with that Supreme Court case, the existing	13	Czeisler, the renowned the most well-regarded and
14	plan providing a 1.5 percent annual accrual rate was	14	renowned sleep medicine expert in the United States,
15	reasonable when adopted in 2001, with the support of	15	longtime chair of the department of sleep medicine at
16	industry, through PMSA's predecessor the PSSOA, the	16	Harvard Medical School. He will be available for
17	Puget Sound Steamship Operators Association and a	17	questions from the Commissioners. PMSA is not asking
18	unanimous vote by the board of pilotage Commissioners	18	him any cross. He was prepared to present some
19	to include that increase in accrual rate from	19	illustrations that would help illustrate his hundred
20	1.25 percent to 1.5 percent per year and include the	20	pages of testimony.
21	entire cost of the of the pension program in the	21	If you could put the first one up,
22	tariff.	22	Mr. Crandall.
23	As to pilotage risks, which we contend in in	23	This is one that would help explain and bring
24	considerable evidence is are persistent and	24	to, I think, further clarity your Dr. Czeisler's
25	growing, multiple witnesses from both sides will	25	testimony. This is one showing the callbacks. The
	Page 116		Page 118
1	address this issue. But a few quick points I'd like		
		1	next illustration shows the incredible challenging
2	to make here.	1 2	next illustration shows the incredible challenging if you can go to number two incredible challenging
2	to make here.		if you can go to number two incredible challenging
	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and	2	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to
3	to make here.	2 3	if you can go to number two incredible challenging
3 4	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained	2 3 4	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is
3 4 5	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained flag of convenience ship because a pilot is legally	2 3 4 5	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is effectively working, given the nature of their
3 4 5 6	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained flag of convenience ship because a pilot is legally required to be aboard and direct her every move. In	2 3 4 5 6	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is effectively working, given the nature of their unpredictable schedule, with most over 50 percent
3 4 5 6 7	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained flag of convenience ship because a pilot is legally required to be aboard and direct her every move. In contrast, the Coast Guard inspects, does not operate,	2 3 4 5 6 7	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is effectively working, given the nature of their unpredictable schedule, with most over 50 percent of the jobs occurring at night.
3 4 5 6 7 8	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained flag of convenience ship because a pilot is legally required to be aboard and direct her every move. In contrast, the Coast Guard inspects, does not operate, only approximately 14 percent of the ships that come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is effectively working, given the nature of their unpredictable schedule, with most over 50 percent of the jobs occurring at night. Dr. Czeisler will be present when when we
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained flag of convenience ship because a pilot is legally required to be aboard and direct her every move. In contrast, the Coast Guard inspects, does not operate, only approximately 14 percent of the ships that come to Puget Sound; second, as ships grow larger, which has been happening for centuries and continues to this day, pilotage risks increase, as our witnesses	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is effectively working, given the nature of their unpredictable schedule, with most over 50 percent of the jobs occurring at night. Dr. Czeisler will be present when when we present him. He is not scheduled for cross, but we
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained flag of convenience ship because a pilot is legally required to be aboard and direct her every move. In contrast, the Coast Guard inspects, does not operate, only approximately 14 percent of the ships that come to Puget Sound; second, as ships grow larger, which has been happening for centuries and continues to this day, pilotage risks increase, as our witnesses explained; and, third, an excellent study from Canada	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is effectively working, given the nature of their unpredictable schedule, with most over 50 percent of the jobs occurring at night. Dr. Czeisler will be present when when we present him. He is not scheduled for cross, but we are hopeful that the Commissioners will have an interest in asking Dr. Czeisler to explain these illustrations.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained flag of convenience ship because a pilot is legally required to be aboard and direct her every move. In contrast, the Coast Guard inspects, does not operate, only approximately 14 percent of the ships that come to Puget Sound; second, as ships grow larger, which has been happening for centuries and continues to this day, pilotage risks increase, as our witnesses	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is effectively working, given the nature of their unpredictable schedule, with most over 50 percent of the jobs occurring at night. Dr. Czeisler will be present when when we present him. He is not scheduled for cross, but we are hopeful that the Commissioners will have an interest in asking Dr. Czeisler to explain these illustrations. And finally, PSP's shipping economist,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained flag of convenience ship because a pilot is legally required to be aboard and direct her every move. In contrast, the Coast Guard inspects, does not operate, only approximately 14 percent of the ships that come to Puget Sound; second, as ships grow larger, which has been happening for centuries and continues to this day, pilotage risks increase, as our witnesses explained; and, third, an excellent study from Canada reached the following conclusion: Pilotage and I'm quoting, pilotage is the single I'm sorry,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is effectively working, given the nature of their unpredictable schedule, with most over 50 percent of the jobs occurring at night. Dr. Czeisler will be present when when we present him. He is not scheduled for cross, but we are hopeful that the Commissioners will have an interest in asking Dr. Czeisler to explain these illustrations. And finally, PSP's shipping economist, Ken Eriksen, a senior vice president with the firm
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained flag of convenience ship because a pilot is legally required to be aboard and direct her every move. In contrast, the Coast Guard inspects, does not operate, only approximately 14 percent of the ships that come to Puget Sound; second, as ships grow larger, which has been happening for centuries and continues to this day, pilotage risks increase, as our witnesses explained; and, third, an excellent study from Canada reached the following conclusion: Pilotage and I'm quoting, pilotage is the single I'm sorry, "pilotage is the strongest single safety measure that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is effectively working, given the nature of their unpredictable schedule, with most over 50 percent of the jobs occurring at night. Dr. Czeisler will be present when when we present him. He is not scheduled for cross, but we are hopeful that the Commissioners will have an interest in asking Dr. Czeisler to explain these illustrations. And finally, PSP's shipping economist, Ken Eriksen, a senior vice president with the firm that is this country's leading assembler, assessor,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained flag of convenience ship because a pilot is legally required to be aboard and direct her every move. In contrast, the Coast Guard inspects, does not operate, only approximately 14 percent of the ships that come to Puget Sound; second, as ships grow larger, which has been happening for centuries and continues to this day, pilotage risks increase, as our witnesses explained; and, third, an excellent study from Canada reached the following conclusion: Pilotage and I'm quoting, pilotage is the single I'm sorry, "pilotage is the strongest single safety measure that can be employed to reduce the risk of maritime	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is effectively working, given the nature of their unpredictable schedule, with most over 50 percent of the jobs occurring at night. Dr. Czeisler will be present when when we present him. He is not scheduled for cross, but we are hopeful that the Commissioners will have an interest in asking Dr. Czeisler to explain these illustrations. And finally, PSP's shipping economist, Ken Eriksen, a senior vice president with the firm that is this country's leading assembler, assessor, and consulting firm regarding U.S. and international
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained flag of convenience ship because a pilot is legally required to be aboard and direct her every move. In contrast, the Coast Guard inspects, does not operate, only approximately 14 percent of the ships that come to Puget Sound; second, as ships grow larger, which has been happening for centuries and continues to this day, pilotage risks increase, as our witnesses explained; and, third, an excellent study from Canada reached the following conclusion: Pilotage and I'm quoting, pilotage is the single I'm sorry, "pilotage is the strongest single safety measure that can be employed to reduce the risk of maritime accidents. It reduces the accident risk by a factor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is effectively working, given the nature of their unpredictable schedule, with most over 50 percent of the jobs occurring at night. Dr. Czeisler will be present when when we present him. He is not scheduled for cross, but we are hopeful that the Commissioners will have an interest in asking Dr. Czeisler to explain these illustrations. And finally, PSP's shipping economist, Ken Eriksen, a senior vice president with the firm that is this country's leading assembler, assessor, and consulting firm regarding U.S. and international shipping, makes clear that PSP's proposed rates
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained flag of convenience ship because a pilot is legally required to be aboard and direct her every move. In contrast, the Coast Guard inspects, does not operate, only approximately 14 percent of the ships that come to Puget Sound; second, as ships grow larger, which has been happening for centuries and continues to this day, pilotage risks increase, as our witnesses explained; and, third, an excellent study from Canada reached the following conclusion: Pilotage and I'm quoting, pilotage is the single I'm sorry, "pilotage is the strongest single safety measure that can be employed to reduce the risk of maritime accidents. It reduces the accident risk by a factor of at least 44 times."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is effectively working, given the nature of their unpredictable schedule, with most over 50 percent of the jobs occurring at night. Dr. Czeisler will be present when when we present him. He is not scheduled for cross, but we are hopeful that the Commissioners will have an interest in asking Dr. Czeisler to explain these illustrations. And finally, PSP's shipping economist, Ken Eriksen, a senior vice president with the firm that is this country's leading assembler, assessor, and consulting firm regarding U.S. and international shipping, makes clear that PSP's proposed rates rate increase, even if increased by this Commission
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained flag of convenience ship because a pilot is legally required to be aboard and direct her every move. In contrast, the Coast Guard inspects, does not operate, only approximately 14 percent of the ships that come to Puget Sound; second, as ships grow larger, which has been happening for centuries and continues to this day, pilotage risks increase, as our witnesses explained; and, third, an excellent study from Canada reached the following conclusion: Pilotage and I'm quoting, pilotage is the single I'm sorry, "pilotage is the strongest single safety measure that can be employed to reduce the risk of maritime accidents. It reduces the accident risk by a factor of at least 44 times." And, Mr. Crandall, if you could put up the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is effectively working, given the nature of their unpredictable schedule, with most over 50 percent of the jobs occurring at night. Dr. Czeisler will be present when when we present him. He is not scheduled for cross, but we are hopeful that the Commissioners will have an interest in asking Dr. Czeisler to explain these illustrations. And finally, PSP's shipping economist, Ken Eriksen, a senior vice president with the firm that is this country's leading assembler, assessor, and consulting firm regarding U.S. and international shipping, makes clear that PSP's proposed rates rate increase, even if increased by this Commission to transition the pension to pull funding, will not
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained flag of convenience ship because a pilot is legally required to be aboard and direct her every move. In contrast, the Coast Guard inspects, does not operate, only approximately 14 percent of the ships that come to Puget Sound; second, as ships grow larger, which has been happening for centuries and continues to this day, pilotage risks increase, as our witnesses explained; and, third, an excellent study from Canada reached the following conclusion: Pilotage and I'm quoting, pilotage is the single I'm sorry, "pilotage is the strongest single safety measure that can be employed to reduce the risk of maritime accidents. It reduces the accident risk by a factor of at least 44 times." And, Mr. Crandall, if you could put up the exhibit from Mr. Eriksen's testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is effectively working, given the nature of their unpredictable schedule, with most over 50 percent of the jobs occurring at night. Dr. Czeisler will be present when when we present him. He is not scheduled for cross, but we are hopeful that the Commissioners will have an interest in asking Dr. Czeisler to explain these illustrations. And finally, PSP's shipping economist, Ken Eriksen, a senior vice president with the firm that is this country's leading assembler, assessor, and consulting firm regarding U.S. and international shipping, makes clear that PSP's proposed rates rate increase, even if increased by this Commission to transition the pension to pull funding, will not affect the number of ship calls. The his
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained flag of convenience ship because a pilot is legally required to be aboard and direct her every move. In contrast, the Coast Guard inspects, does not operate, only approximately 14 percent of the ships that come to Puget Sound; second, as ships grow larger, which has been happening for centuries and continues to this day, pilotage risks increase, as our witnesses explained; and, third, an excellent study from Canada reached the following conclusion: Pilotage and I'm quoting, pilotage is the single I'm sorry, "pilotage is the strongest single safety measure that can be employed to reduce the risk of maritime accidents. It reduces the accident risk by a factor of at least 44 times." And, Mr. Crandall, if you could put up the exhibit from Mr. Eriksen's testimony. This chart is from that Canadian cost benefit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is effectively working, given the nature of their unpredictable schedule, with most over 50 percent of the jobs occurring at night. Dr. Czeisler will be present when when we present him. He is not scheduled for cross, but we are hopeful that the Commissioners will have an interest in asking Dr. Czeisler to explain these illustrations. And finally, PSP's shipping economist, Ken Eriksen, a senior vice president with the firm that is this country's leading assembler, assessor, and consulting firm regarding U.S. and international shipping, makes clear that PSP's proposed rates rate increase, even if increased by this Commission to transition the pension to pull funding, will not affect the number of ship calls. The his testimony shows that the PSP's proposed rates will be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained flag of convenience ship because a pilot is legally required to be aboard and direct her every move. In contrast, the Coast Guard inspects, does not operate, only approximately 14 percent of the ships that come to Puget Sound; second, as ships grow larger, which has been happening for centuries and continues to this day, pilotage risks increase, as our witnesses explained; and, third, an excellent study from Canada reached the following conclusion: Pilotage and I'm quoting, pilotage is the single I'm sorry, "pilotage is the strongest single safety measure that can be employed to reduce the risk of maritime accidents. It reduces the accident risk by a factor of at least 44 times." And, Mr. Crandall, if you could put up the exhibit from Mr. Eriksen's testimony. This chart is from that Canadian cost benefit analysis. It shows that, with pilots, you get close	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is effectively working, given the nature of their unpredictable schedule, with most over 50 percent of the jobs occurring at night. Dr. Czeisler will be present when when we present him. He is not scheduled for cross, but we are hopeful that the Commissioners will have an interest in asking Dr. Czeisler to explain these illustrations. And finally, PSP's shipping economist, Ken Eriksen, a senior vice president with the firm that is this country's leading assembler, assessor, and consulting firm regarding U.S. and international shipping, makes clear that PSP's proposed rates rate increase, even if increased by this Commission to transition the pension to pull funding, will not affect the number of ship calls. The his testimony shows that the PSP's proposed rates will be largely even if increased, will be largely below
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained flag of convenience ship because a pilot is legally required to be aboard and direct her every move. In contrast, the Coast Guard inspects, does not operate, only approximately 14 percent of the ships that come to Puget Sound; second, as ships grow larger, which has been happening for centuries and continues to this day, pilotage risks increase, as our witnesses explained; and, third, an excellent study from Canada reached the following conclusion: Pilotage and I'm quoting, pilotage is the single I'm sorry, "pilotage is the strongest single safety measure that can be employed to reduce the risk of maritime accidents. It reduces the accident risk by a factor of at least 44 times." And, Mr. Crandall, if you could put up the exhibit from Mr. Eriksen's testimony. This chart is from that Canadian cost benefit analysis. It shows that, with pilots, you get close to an accident-free environment with pilots only	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is effectively working, given the nature of their unpredictable schedule, with most over 50 percent of the jobs occurring at night. Dr. Czeisler will be present when when we present him. He is not scheduled for cross, but we are hopeful that the Commissioners will have an interest in asking Dr. Czeisler to explain these illustrations. And finally, PSP's shipping economist, Ken Eriksen, a senior vice president with the firm that is this country's leading assembler, assessor, and consulting firm regarding U.S. and international shipping, makes clear that PSP's proposed rates rate increase, even if increased by this Commission to transition the pension to pull funding, will not affect the number of ship calls. The his testimony shows that the PSP's proposed rates will be largely even if increased, will be largely below those of other West Coast ports and that the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained flag of convenience ship because a pilot is legally required to be aboard and direct her every move. In contrast, the Coast Guard inspects, does not operate, only approximately 14 percent of the ships that come to Puget Sound; second, as ships grow larger, which has been happening for centuries and continues to this day, pilotage risks increase, as our witnesses explained; and, third, an excellent study from Canada reached the following conclusion: Pilotage and I'm quoting, pilotage is the single I'm sorry, "pilotage is the strongest single safety measure that can be employed to reduce the risk of maritime accidents. It reduces the accident risk by a factor of at least 44 times." And, Mr. Crandall, if you could put up the exhibit from Mr. Eriksen's testimony. This chart is from that Canadian cost benefit analysis. It shows that, with pilots, you get close to an accident-free environment with pilots only driving the the risk of accident down to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is effectively working, given the nature of their unpredictable schedule, with most over 50 percent of the jobs occurring at night. Dr. Czeisler will be present when when we present him. He is not scheduled for cross, but we are hopeful that the Commissioners will have an interest in asking Dr. Czeisler to explain these illustrations. And finally, PSP's shipping economist, Ken Eriksen, a senior vice president with the firm that is this country's leading assembler, assessor, and consulting firm regarding U.S. and international shipping, makes clear that PSP's proposed rates rate increase, even if increased by this Commission to transition the pension to pull funding, will not affect the number of ship calls. The his testimony shows that the PSP's proposed rates will be largely even if increased, will be largely below those of other West Coast ports and that the volatility of PSP's diverse mix of ship traffic
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to make here. First, pilots are Puget Sound's first and primary line of defense against a poorly maintained flag of convenience ship because a pilot is legally required to be aboard and direct her every move. In contrast, the Coast Guard inspects, does not operate, only approximately 14 percent of the ships that come to Puget Sound; second, as ships grow larger, which has been happening for centuries and continues to this day, pilotage risks increase, as our witnesses explained; and, third, an excellent study from Canada reached the following conclusion: Pilotage and I'm quoting, pilotage is the single I'm sorry, "pilotage is the strongest single safety measure that can be employed to reduce the risk of maritime accidents. It reduces the accident risk by a factor of at least 44 times." And, Mr. Crandall, if you could put up the exhibit from Mr. Eriksen's testimony. This chart is from that Canadian cost benefit analysis. It shows that, with pilots, you get close to an accident-free environment with pilots only	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	if you can go to number two incredible challenging job that a pilot has in terms of using time zones to show how many different time zones a pilot is effectively working, given the nature of their unpredictable schedule, with most over 50 percent of the jobs occurring at night. Dr. Czeisler will be present when when we present him. He is not scheduled for cross, but we are hopeful that the Commissioners will have an interest in asking Dr. Czeisler to explain these illustrations. And finally, PSP's shipping economist, Ken Eriksen, a senior vice president with the firm that is this country's leading assembler, assessor, and consulting firm regarding U.S. and international shipping, makes clear that PSP's proposed rates rate increase, even if increased by this Commission to transition the pension to pull funding, will not affect the number of ship calls. The his testimony shows that the PSP's proposed rates will be largely even if increased, will be largely below those of other West Coast ports and that the

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

7 (Pages 115 to 118)

	Page 119		Page 121
1	adjustor to ensure that the tariff annually is	1	They have just a handful of employees, low
2	collecting the revenue requirement, not more, not	2	capitalization, few assets, very few moving parts.
3	less, but adjusted as necessary with volatility in	3	I would also disagree with what my friend at
4	traffic.	4	the bar, Mr. Haglund, has stated regarding the record
5	Thank you for your attention.	5	here. Certainly PSP's submission is extensive. We
6	MS. DeLAPPE: Your Honor?	6	agree with that. However, the evidence is even less
7	JUDGE HOWARD: Yes.	7	compelling than in the prior rate case.
8	MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe for PMSA.	8	I will add, too, that the regulatory
9	The last slide that Mr. Haglund presented is, to my	9	environment for pilotage is straightforward and
10	understanding, not part of the record. And I would	10	stable. It has been this way for years. As I
11	ask that it be struck from this record.	11	represent, of course, the customers of pilots who
12	JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Haglund, is that already	12	rely on the State Board of Pilotage Commissioners to
13	in testimony?	13	ensure the provision of safe pilots. We believe that
14	MR. HAGLUND: No. It was going to be a	14	the BPC does a fundamentally sound job of training,
15	demonstrative exhibit used by Dr. Czeisler to	15	licensing, and disciplining its licensees. The BPC
16	illustrate his testimony. We were not going to seek	16	addresses various incidents and accidents as needed.
17	to add it to the record. It was just a demonstrative	17	Despite being an exceptionally small state agency,
18	exhibit, which is commonly used in rate cases and	18	BPC competently and consistently handles the
19	trial proceedings in state and federal courts.	19	licensing tasks before it.
20	MS. DeLAPPE: If I may clarify, it's	20	As ratepayers, we ask that you take a very
21	actually I meant the last two slides. And I would	21	simple and direct approach to the application of the
22	state that they are not they're more than	22	formulas and methodologies established by the
23	demonstrative. Thank you.	23	Commission in the initial rate case. Likewise, we
24	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. I'll note PMSA's	24	appreciate the recommendations made in the case by
25	objection. I will allow them as demonstrative	25	UTC Staff. We found in the prior rate case that
	Page 120		Page 122
1	exhibits.	1	Staff recommended the creation of a very
2	Let's turn next to Staff for any opening.	2	comprehensive formula and, within that formula,
3	OPENING STATEMENT	3	recommended a fair set of modest and defensible rate
4	MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. In	4	increases. In this rate case, we feel the same way.
5	the interest of time, Staff is going to waive opening	5	Staff recommendations are consistent with the
6	statement today. Thank you.	6	principles of fairness established in the prior rate
7	JUDGE HOWARD: I would turn next to PMSA.	7	case.
8	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you, Your Honor.	8	The only two issues not resolved in the prior
2	Good morning, Commissioners. I will try to	9	rate case that are unfortunately still outstanding
10	endeavor to be very brief.	10	are, first of all, that the Commission ordered PSP to
11 12	OPENING STATEMENT MS. DeLAPPE: One reason that I can be brief	11	work with stakeholders, including PMSA, to effectuate
		12	a collaborative workshop process to create our
13 14	is that this Commission has already addressed and	13 14	recommendations regarding pilot retirement for
15	resolved nearly all of the issues in the initial rate	15	consideration during this rate process. As Order 03 in this case has already recognized, PSP did not
16	case concluded two years ago. Not much has changed since then, neither in the costs nor in the nature of	16	
17		17	comply with those instructions and the issue remains
18	the job of piloting, nor in the business model and finances of the State's pilotage monopoly in Puget	18	outstanding. And second, the other outstanding issue is regarding whether domestic vessels should be
19	Sound, nor are those factors regarding the Puget	19	assessed tonnage on their domestic gross registered
20	Sound's pilots operating in capital footprint very	20	tonnage or international tonnage as if they were
20	complex. Unlike many of the entities that are before	20	foreign flagged vessels. We support TOTE's approach
21	this Commission, there's this is just a small	22	to maintaining the longstanding historic practice,
23	company with many well-compensated working owners who	23	and that PSP has not established a good reason to
24	are independent contractors to customers and working	24	depart from those traditional calculations.
25	in an unincorporated association with one another.	25	For the rest of the issues that the last case
1			

8 (Pages 119 to 122)

	Page 123		Page 125
1	did not that the last case did resolve, most of	1	you're going to hear different references to domestic
2	the existing framework established by the Commission	2	or international or IGT, international growth
3	should simply be retained.	3	tonnage, and GT ITC, which stands for gross tonnage
4	So we would ask the Commission to stay the	4	international tonnage convention, those terms are
5	course, set a reasonable framework for the	5	interchangeable. Essentially, there are two
6	consideration of costs for the provision of pilotage	6	different methodologies. One is a domestic or GRT,
7	services, and set a rate which is compensatory for	7	gross registered tonnage, assessment of the a
8	the pilots that run the business of providing that	8	vessel's tonnage, and the other one is an
9	service. That framework positioned things well for a	9	international one.
10	more streamlined petition from PSP in this	10	Okay. The new tariff that the Commission
11	rate-making case with a simple application of the	11	approved on November 11, 2020, in its Order No. 9,
12	facts to the formula factors in a relatively easy	12	provides at Item 300, the tonnage charge shall be
13	administrative burden for ratepayers and Staff.	13	based on the vessel's international gross tonnage.
14	Unfortunately we're now seeing the relitigation	14	And that is without exception or qualification.
15	of nearly all of the foundational issues that the	15	Now, these two vessels are what we call
16	Commission already dealt with in the last rate case.	16	roll-on/roll-off vessels, Ro-Ros. And they are
17	That's been a tremendous burden on ratepayers and on	17	different than the typical vessel that PSP services.
18	Staff and on the Commission, I'm sure. It was	18	Most vessels are container cargo vessels. They
19	entirely avoidable, however we respect that it is	19	can be stacked, packed, you know, container to
20	PSP's right, as the monopoly service provider, to	20	container, stacked one on top of the other on all
21	present its claims to the Commission. And, of	21	decks of the storage holds. But Ro-Ros are
22	course, it's our responsibility on behalf of the	22	different. They transport automobiles. And you
23	ratepayers to address those claims. We look forward	23	can't stack automobiles one on top of the other. And
24	to a productive hearing and believe the evidence	24	they have to have these tracks in the cargo holds
25	presented during it will show that the Commission's	25	that allow the automobiles to be loaded onto and
1	Page 124 basic framework in the initial case continues to make		Page 126
-	basic framework in the initial case continues to make	1	off loaded off the vessels. They're also
2	sense.	1 2	off loaded off the vessels. They're also different, these two vessels, in, again, that they
2 3			-
	sense.	2	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they
3	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate.	2 3	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise
3 4	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT	2 3 4	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic
3 4 5 6 7	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic tonnage rate to calculate a pilotage service fee to
3 4 5 6	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Steve Block of Lane Powell. I'll be	2 3 4 5 6	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic tonnage rate to calculate a pilotage service fee to the international one, increased pilotage fees for
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Steve Block of Lane Powell. I'll be appearing here for TOTE. And I'll be brief here in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic tonnage rate to calculate a pilotage service fee to the international one, increased pilotage fees for these two vessels increased from a by \$378,411
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Steve Block of Lane Powell. I'll be appearing here for TOTE. And I'll be brief here in this opening statement, as will be the testimony	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic tonnage rate to calculate a pilotage service fee to the international one, increased pilotage fees for these two vessels increased from a by \$378,411 the first year of the new tariff and \$383,825 the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Steve Block of Lane Powell. I'll be appearing here for TOTE. And I'll be brief here in this opening statement, as will be the testimony presented related to TOTE's intervention in this GRC.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic tonnage rate to calculate a pilotage service fee to the international one, increased pilotage fees for these two vessels increased from a by \$378,411 the first year of the new tariff and \$383,825 the second year these are projected for a total
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Steve Block of Lane Powell. I'll be appearing here for TOTE. And I'll be brief here in this opening statement, as will be the testimony presented related to TOTE's intervention in this GRC. Now, the reason for that is that the Commission	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic tonnage rate to calculate a pilotage service fee to the international one, increased pilotage fees for these two vessels increased from a by \$378,411 the first year of the new tariff and \$383,825 the second year these are projected for a total \$762,237 in higher pilotage fees than TOTE would have
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Steve Block of Lane Powell. I'll be appearing here for TOTE. And I'll be brief here in this opening statement, as will be the testimony presented related to TOTE's intervention in this GRC. Now, the reason for that is that the Commission really has ruled already on most of the pertinent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic tonnage rate to calculate a pilotage service fee to the international one, increased pilotage fees for these two vessels increased from a by \$378,411 the first year of the new tariff and \$383,825 the second year these are projected for a total \$762,237 in higher pilotage fees than TOTE would have expected to pay under the old approach.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Steve Block of Lane Powell. I'll be appearing here for TOTE. And I'll be brief here in this opening statement, as will be the testimony presented related to TOTE's intervention in this GRC. Now, the reason for that is that the Commission really has ruled already on most of the pertinent issues and there's very little factual dispute to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic tonnage rate to calculate a pilotage service fee to the international one, increased pilotage fees for these two vessels increased from a by \$378,411 the first year of the new tariff and \$383,825 the second year these are projected for a total \$762,237 in higher pilotage fees than TOTE would have expected to pay under the old approach. Current invoicing to date actually as of a
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Steve Block of Lane Powell. I'll be appearing here for TOTE. And I'll be brief here in this opening statement, as will be the testimony presented related to TOTE's intervention in this GRC. Now, the reason for that is that the Commission really has ruled already on most of the pertinent issues and there's very little factual dispute to address here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic tonnage rate to calculate a pilotage service fee to the international one, increased pilotage fees for these two vessels increased from a by \$378,411 the first year of the new tariff and \$383,825 the second year these are projected for a total \$762,237 in higher pilotage fees than TOTE would have expected to pay under the old approach. Current invoicing to date actually as of a week or so ago is \$719,255.28 more, based on this
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Steve Block of Lane Powell. I'll be appearing here for TOTE. And I'll be brief here in this opening statement, as will be the testimony presented related to TOTE's intervention in this GRC. Now, the reason for that is that the Commission really has ruled already on most of the pertinent issues and there's very little factual dispute to address here. TOTE's intervention, as the Commissioners are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic tonnage rate to calculate a pilotage service fee to the international one, increased pilotage fees for these two vessels increased from a by \$378,411 the first year of the new tariff and \$383,825 the second year these are projected for a total \$762,237 in higher pilotage fees than TOTE would have expected to pay under the old approach. Current invoicing to date actually as of a week or so ago is \$719,255.28 more, based on this new approach to calculating pilotage services.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Steve Block of Lane Powell. I'll be appearing here for TOTE. And I'll be brief here in this opening statement, as will be the testimony presented related to TOTE's intervention in this GRC. Now, the reason for that is that the Commission really has ruled already on most of the pertinent issues and there's very little factual dispute to address here. TOTE's intervention, as the Commissioners are aware, addresses PSP's change in its methodology of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic tonnage rate to calculate a pilotage service fee to the international one, increased pilotage fees for these two vessels increased from a by \$378,411 the first year of the new tariff and \$383,825 the second year these are projected for a total \$762,237 in higher pilotage fees than TOTE would have expected to pay under the old approach. Current invoicing to date actually as of a week or so ago is \$719,255.28 more, based on this new approach to calculating pilotage services. That's some 56.9 percent higher under the new
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Steve Block of Lane Powell. I'll be appearing here for TOTE. And I'll be brief here in this opening statement, as will be the testimony presented related to TOTE's intervention in this GRC. Now, the reason for that is that the Commission really has ruled already on most of the pertinent issues and there's very little factual dispute to address here. TOTE's intervention, as the Commissioners are aware, addresses PSP's change in its methodology of calculating pilotage rates for two of TOTE's vessels,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic tonnage rate to calculate a pilotage service fee to the international one, increased pilotage fees for these two vessels increased from a by \$378,411 the first year of the new tariff and \$383,825 the second year these are projected for a total \$762,237 in higher pilotage fees than TOTE would have expected to pay under the old approach. Current invoicing to date actually as of a week or so ago is \$719,255.28 more, based on this new approach to calculating pilotage services. That's some 56.9 percent higher under the new methodology.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Steve Block of Lane Powell. I'll be appearing here for TOTE. And I'll be brief here in this opening statement, as will be the testimony presented related to TOTE's intervention in this GRC. Now, the reason for that is that the Commission really has ruled already on most of the pertinent issues and there's very little factual dispute to address here. TOTE's intervention, as the Commissioners are aware, addresses PSP's change in its methodology of calculating pilotage rates for two of TOTE's vessels, those are the Midnight Sun and the North Star.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic tonnage rate to calculate a pilotage service fee to the international one, increased pilotage fees for these two vessels increased from a by \$378,411 the first year of the new tariff and \$383,825 the second year these are projected for a total \$762,237 in higher pilotage fees than TOTE would have expected to pay under the old approach. Current invoicing to date actually as of a week or so ago is \$719,255.28 more, based on this new approach to calculating pilotage services. That's some 56.9 percent higher under the new methodology. On August 26, 2021, TOTE petitioned the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Steve Block of Lane Powell. I'll be appearing here for TOTE. And I'll be brief here in this opening statement, as will be the testimony presented related to TOTE's intervention in this GRC. Now, the reason for that is that the Commission really has ruled already on most of the pertinent issues and there's very little factual dispute to address here. TOTE's intervention, as the Commissioners are aware, addresses PSP's change in its methodology of calculating pilotage rates for two of TOTE's vessels, those are the Midnight Sun and the North Star. Originally, for some 20 years, these coastwise	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic tonnage rate to calculate a pilotage service fee to the international one, increased pilotage fees for these two vessels increased from a by \$378,411 the first year of the new tariff and \$383,825 the second year these are projected for a total \$762,237 in higher pilotage fees than TOTE would have expected to pay under the old approach. Current invoicing to date actually as of a week or so ago is \$719,255.28 more, based on this new approach to calculating pilotage services. That's some 56.9 percent higher under the new methodology. On August 26, 2021, TOTE petitioned the Commission for amendment recission or correction of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Steve Block of Lane Powell. I'll be appearing here for TOTE. And I'll be brief here in this opening statement, as will be the testimony presented related to TOTE's intervention in this GRC. Now, the reason for that is that the Commission really has ruled already on most of the pertinent issues and there's very little factual dispute to address here. TOTE's intervention, as the Commissioners are aware, addresses PSP's change in its methodology of calculating pilotage rates for two of TOTE's vessels, those are the Midnight Sun and the North Star. Originally, for some 20 years, these coastwise vessels were calculated based on their domestic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic tonnage rate to calculate a pilotage service fee to the international one, increased pilotage fees for these two vessels increased from a by \$378,411 the first year of the new tariff and \$383,825 the second year these are projected for a total \$762,237 in higher pilotage fees than TOTE would have expected to pay under the old approach. Current invoicing to date actually as of a week or so ago is \$719,255.28 more, based on this new approach to calculating pilotage services. That's some 56.9 percent higher under the new methodology. On August 26, 2021, TOTE petitioned the Commission for amendment recission or correction of Order No. 9 under WAC 480-07-875. And we ask the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Steve Block of Lane Powell. I'll be appearing here for TOTE. And I'll be brief here in this opening statement, as will be the testimony presented related to TOTE's intervention in this GRC. Now, the reason for that is that the Commission really has ruled already on most of the pertinent issues and there's very little factual dispute to address here. TOTE's intervention, as the Commissioners are aware, addresses PSP's change in its methodology of calculating pilotage rates for two of TOTE's vessels, those are the Midnight Sun and the North Star. Originally, for some 20 years, these coastwise vessels were calculated based on their domestic tonnage, their domestic rates.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic tonnage rate to calculate a pilotage service fee to the international one, increased pilotage fees for these two vessels increased from a by \$378,411 the first year of the new tariff and \$383,825 the second year these are projected for a total \$762,237 in higher pilotage fees than TOTE would have expected to pay under the old approach. Current invoicing to date actually as of a week or so ago is \$719,255.28 more, based on this new approach to calculating pilotage services. That's some 56.9 percent higher under the new methodology. On August 26, 2021, TOTE petitioned the Commission for amendment recission or correction of Order No. 9 under WAC 480-07-875. And we ask the Commission to amend Item 300 of the tariff so that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Steve Block of Lane Powell. I'll be appearing here for TOTE. And I'll be brief here in this opening statement, as will be the testimony presented related to TOTE's intervention in this GRC. Now, the reason for that is that the Commission really has ruled already on most of the pertinent issues and there's very little factual dispute to address here. TOTE's intervention, as the Commissioners are aware, addresses PSP's change in its methodology of calculating pilotage rates for two of TOTE's vessels, those are the Midnight Sun and the North Star. Originally, for some 20 years, these coastwise vessels were calculated based on their domestic tonnage, their domestic rates. Beginning after the conclusion of the 2020 rate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic tonnage rate to calculate a pilotage service fee to the international one, increased pilotage fees for these two vessels increased from a by \$378,411 the first year of the new tariff and \$383,825 the second year these are projected for a total \$762,237 in higher pilotage fees than TOTE would have expected to pay under the old approach. Current invoicing to date actually as of a week or so ago is \$719,255.28 more, based on this new approach to calculating pilotage services. That's some 56.9 percent higher under the new methodology. On August 26, 2021, TOTE petitioned the Commission for amendment recission or correction of Order No. 9 under WAC 480-07-875. And we ask the Commission to amend Item 300 of the tariff so that coastwise vessels continue to be and in the future
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Steve Block of Lane Powell. I'll be appearing here for TOTE. And I'll be brief here in this opening statement, as will be the testimony presented related to TOTE's intervention in this GRC. Now, the reason for that is that the Commission really has ruled already on most of the pertinent issues and there's very little factual dispute to address here. TOTE's intervention, as the Commissioners are aware, addresses PSP's change in its methodology of calculating pilotage rates for two of TOTE's vessels, those are the Midnight Sun and the North Star. Originally, for some 20 years, these coastwise vessels were calculated based on their domestic tonnage, their domestic rates. Beginning after the conclusion of the 2020 rate case, PSP's 2020 rate case, PSP started calculating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic tonnage rate to calculate a pilotage service fee to the international one, increased pilotage fees for these two vessels increased from a by \$378,411 the first year of the new tariff and \$383,825 the second year these are projected for a total \$762,237 in higher pilotage fees than TOTE would have expected to pay under the old approach. Current invoicing to date actually as of a week or so ago is \$719,255.28 more, based on this new approach to calculating pilotage services. That's some 56.9 percent higher under the new methodology. On August 26, 2021, TOTE petitioned the Commission for amendment recission or correction of Order No. 9 under WAC 480-07-875. And we ask the Commission to amend Item 300 of the tariff so that coastwise vessels continue to be and in the future are rated based on their domestic tonnage. Again,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sense. Thank you for the opportunity to participate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I turn next to TOTE. OPENING STATEMENT MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Steve Block of Lane Powell. I'll be appearing here for TOTE. And I'll be brief here in this opening statement, as will be the testimony presented related to TOTE's intervention in this GRC. Now, the reason for that is that the Commission really has ruled already on most of the pertinent issues and there's very little factual dispute to address here. TOTE's intervention, as the Commissioners are aware, addresses PSP's change in its methodology of calculating pilotage rates for two of TOTE's vessels, those are the Midnight Sun and the North Star. Originally, for some 20 years, these coastwise vessels were calculated based on their domestic tonnage, their domestic rates. Beginning after the conclusion of the 2020 rate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	different, these two vessels, in, again, that they operate exclusively in what we call the coastwise trade, domestic transportation, transportation between points in the United States. By changing its methodology from the domestic tonnage rate to calculate a pilotage service fee to the international one, increased pilotage fees for these two vessels increased from a by \$378,411 the first year of the new tariff and \$383,825 the second year these are projected for a total \$762,237 in higher pilotage fees than TOTE would have expected to pay under the old approach. Current invoicing to date actually as of a week or so ago is \$719,255.28 more, based on this new approach to calculating pilotage services. That's some 56.9 percent higher under the new methodology. On August 26, 2021, TOTE petitioned the Commission for amendment recission or correction of Order No. 9 under WAC 480-07-875. And we ask the Commission to amend Item 300 of the tariff so that coastwise vessels continue to be and in the future

9 (Pages 123 to 126)

	Page 127		Page 129
1	which the IGT, the international rate, would be	1	other vessels. So they should be treated identical.
2	necessary and proper to use. Instead, the gross	2	Well, as I say, the Commission agreed with TOTE
3	registered tonnage, GRT for coast wise shipping	3	on most points. In its Order No. 12 granting TOTE's
4	should be should continue to be used as it has	4	petition, dated February 2, '22, the Commission ruled
5	been by PSP's services since 2003.	5	PSP provided exhibits and work papers that used its
6	TOTE argued in its petition that PSP's	6	previous methodology for calculating tonnage rates
7	worksheets that it had submitted in support of its	7	for the two TOTE vessels at issue. The parties, and
8	attempts to raise rates in its tariff during the last	8	ultimately the Commission, relied on the incorrect
9	GRC for the test year showed rates for TOTE's two	9	information presented in the exhibits and work papers
10	vessels to continue to be based on GRT. It showed no	10	to evaluate PSP's proposed rate design. We find that
11	rate increase, no significant increase in the rate	11	PSP's failure to identify its tariff change related
12	assessment.	12	to tonnage calculations, coupled with the calculation
13	We argued that the economic impact, as has been	13	error in its exhibits and work papers, substantially
14	realized, is tremendous. It creates just the, quote,	14	interfered with the Commission's ability to evaluate
15	"rate shock" that the Commission intended to avoid.	15	the PSP's proposed rate design. The commissioner
16	TOTE understandably did not raise an issue	16	I'm sorry, the Commission did not consider the issue
17	about this tariff provision in the 2020 GRC because	17	of gross tonnage rate calculation methodology in
18	it saw no impact. All we did was look at the	18	Order No. 9, let alone the significant increase in
19	worksheets and saw that our rates would remain the	19	rates for the two TOTE vessels at issue, both of
20	same based on the same calculation methodology.	20	which produced an effect the Commission did not
21	PSP put in an opposition to our petition. And	21	contemplate.
22	it wrote in its opposition the following: The BPC,	22	These factors constitute sufficient grounds to
23	the Board of pilotage Commissioners, pilotage tariff	23	amend Order 9. The order, Order 9, defers amendment,
24	published in WAC 363-116-300 applied a tonnage charge	24	actual amendment to the tariff language until this
25	based upon a vessel's gross tons. While the term	25	GRC because the Commission wanted to hear evidence
	Page 128		Page 130
1	"gross tonnage" is commonly used to refer to IGT, the	1	and argument about two points. The first one is the
2	BPC did not define gross tons. Instead, the tariff	2	risk of piloting these two vessels as compared to the
3	provided that if a vessel is required to hold a	3	more typical vessels that PSP services; and, two, the
4	certificate of its IGT, then tonnage shall be	4	rate shock that results from these drastically
5	shall apply based upon IGT. As a consequence of the	5	increased rates that arise from the new methodology,
6	ambiguity created by that statement, PSP agreed to	6	which the Commission suggests disregards the concept
7	invoice TOTE based upon its GRT. That was PSP's	7	of gradualism.
8	position in response to TOTE's petition.	8	The evidence will show and the testimony and
9	What ambiguity? There is no ambiguity. TOTE	9	the evidence will show that these two vessels, TOTE's
10	is not required to have what's called an	10	two vessels, are indeed less risky, less burdensome,
11	international tonnage certificate. It doesn't have	11	less difficult to operate than the typical vessel
12	to have one because it doesn't operate international	12	that cargo vessel that PSP services. And this is
13	trade. Actually, the position PSP takes at this	13	for reasons directly related to how tonnage is
14	juncture has changed a little bit. We see it now	14	calculated by the international versus the domestic
15	saying that the process over the last 20 years of	15	approaches, and for other reasons as well.
16	calculating rates on domestic tonnage is a, quote,	16	PSP apparently will make no case about the rate
17	"Historical mistake."	17	shock and gradualism. There's no evidence that we
18	In response to TOTE's petition, PSP argued,	18	see in the record in this proceeding addressing that
	well, the tariff says what it says. End of story.	19	point that the Commission wanted additional argument
19	No reason to treat vessels differently if they are of	20	presentation about. In our view, that reason
19 20			that's reason enough right there for the Commission
	a certain metric as measured by tonnage, number one.	21	
20		21	to ultimately agree with us.
20 21	a certain metric as measured by tonnage, number one.		
20 21 22	a certain metric as measured by tonnage, number one. Number two, TOTE had its chance in the 2020 GRC	22	to ultimately agree with us.

10 (Pages 127 to 130)

	Page 131		Page 133
1	that, one, the funds that the Commission directed PSP	1	him.
2	to hold in a regulatory liability account, which PSP	2	So the order of presentation has to be read in
3	has confirmed it is indeed holding, be released to	3	light of these footnotes. It's a bit of a mess.
4	TOTE because they've always been improper. In other	4	MR. BLOCK: Thank you.
5	words, PSP has collected these hundreds of thousands	5	And one other question. There is one TOTE
6	of dollars in higher pilotage fees. It has got them	6	witness, Atalie Dubs, who no other party has
7	in the bank. And they should now be released to	7	requested to cross-examine. Do I need to get her
8	TOTE. Why? Because they should never have been paid	8	here for the purpose of just affirming that her
9	by TOTE to PSP in the first place.	9	written testimony is adopted and on the record? Or
10	Two, that Item 300 be amended to address all	10	if the Commissioners don't have questions for her, do
11	vessels PSP might service, including strictly	11	we need her to appear at all? She is standing by. I
12	coastwise vessels, which may or may not have an ITC,	12	just need to tell her.
13	an international tonnage certificate, such that	13	JUDGE HOWARD: I it is normally my
14	strictly coastwise vessels be assessed their pilotage	14	practice to ask, if no parties indicated cross,
15	fees based on their domestic tonnage rates.	15	whether there are any bench questions for this
16	And lastly, to the extent any future rate	16	witness. I think I will confer with the
17	increases for these two vessels are allowed and	17	Commissioners and see if there are any bench
18	authorized by the Commission, that they be gradual	18	questions for this witness. I'm not aware of any at
19	and not create the rate shock that TOTE has	19	this time. But I will I will let you know
20	experienced.	20	following our first break.
21	I thank you very much for an opportunity to	21	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I don't believe we
22	participate in this and look forward to presenting	22	have any. I can say that right now.
23	TOTE's case to you. Thank you.	23	CHAIR DANNER: Judge Howard, I have no
24	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.	24	questions for that witness.
25	Let's turn to the cross-examination of	25	JUDGE HOWARD: In that event, Atalie Dubs
	Page 132		Page 134
1	witnesses.	1	would be excused from attending.
2		1 ±	
	CHILL TILLET WITNESS IS PSP'S WITNESS CANTAIN	2	•
	Our first witness is PSP's witness, Captain Fric Klapperich PSP and TOTE Lwill note have	2	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you.
3	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have	3	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can
3 4	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's		MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and
3 4 5	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage	3 4	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can
3 4	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage issues and the TOTE issue occur this afternoon and	3 4 5	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and I'll swear you in.
3 4 5 6	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage issues and the TOTE issue occur this afternoon and have the TOTE witnesses immediately follow that	3 4 5 6	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and I'll swear you in. THE WITNESS: Yeah.
3 4 5 6 7 8	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage issues and the TOTE issue occur this afternoon and have the TOTE witnesses immediately follow that examination.	3 4 5 6 7	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and I'll swear you in. THE WITNESS: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And I would invite any witness, if I am saying your name incorrectly, please let me know the correct way to
3 4 5 6 7	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage issues and the TOTE issue occur this afternoon and have the TOTE witnesses immediately follow that examination. So we will call Captain Klapperich for	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and I'll swear you in. THE WITNESS: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And I would invite any witness, if I am saying your name incorrectly, please let me know the correct way to say it. And if I overlook someone's title as a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage issues and the TOTE issue occur this afternoon and have the TOTE witnesses immediately follow that examination. So we will call Captain Klapperich for non-vessel tonnage issues.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and I'll swear you in. THE WITNESS: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And I would invite any witness, if I am saying your name incorrectly, please let me know the correct way to say it. And if I overlook someone's title as a captain, please let me know as well.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage issues and the TOTE issue occur this afternoon and have the TOTE witnesses immediately follow that examination. So we will call Captain Klapperich for non-vessel tonnage issues. Is someone raising a question?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and I'll swear you in. THE WITNESS: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And I would invite any witness, if I am saying your name incorrectly, please let me know the correct way to say it. And if I overlook someone's title as a captain, please let me know as well. Please raise your right hand and I'll swear you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage issues and the TOTE issue occur this afternoon and have the TOTE witnesses immediately follow that examination. So we will call Captain Klapperich for non-vessel tonnage issues. Is someone raising a question? MR. BLOCK: Yes, Your Honor. May I ask a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and I'll swear you in. THE WITNESS: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And I would invite any witness, if I am saying your name incorrectly, please let me know the correct way to say it. And if I overlook someone's title as a captain, please let me know as well. Please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage issues and the TOTE issue occur this afternoon and have the TOTE witnesses immediately follow that examination. So we will call Captain Klapperich for non-vessel tonnage issues. Is someone raising a question?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and I'll swear you in. THE WITNESS: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And I would invite any witness, if I am saying your name incorrectly, please let me know the correct way to say it. And if I overlook someone's title as a captain, please let me know as well. Please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage issues and the TOTE issue occur this afternoon and have the TOTE witnesses immediately follow that examination. So we will call Captain Klapperich for non-vessel tonnage issues. Is someone raising a question? MR. BLOCK: Yes, Your Honor. May I ask a question about the witness order?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and I'll swear you in. THE WITNESS: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And I would invite any witness, if I am saying your name incorrectly, please let me know the correct way to say it. And if I overlook someone's title as a captain, please let me know as well. Please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ****** CAPTAIN ERIC KLAPPERICH, having been first duly
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage issues and the TOTE issue occur this afternoon and have the TOTE witnesses immediately follow that examination. So we will call Captain Klapperich for non-vessel tonnage issues. Is someone raising a question? MR. BLOCK: Yes, Your Honor. May I ask a question about the witness order? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and I'll swear you in. THE WITNESS: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And I would invite any witness, if I am saying your name incorrectly, please let me know the correct way to say it. And if I overlook someone's title as a captain, please let me know as well. Please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ****** CAPTAIN ERIC KLAPPERICH, having been first duly sworn, was examined and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage issues and the TOTE issue occur this afternoon and have the TOTE witnesses immediately follow that examination. So we will call Captain Klapperich for non-vessel tonnage issues. Is someone raising a question? MR. BLOCK: Yes, Your Honor. May I ask a question about the witness order? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. MR. BLOCK: Thank you. As I understand it,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and I'll swear you in. THE WITNESS: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And I would invite any witness, if I am saying your name incorrectly, please let me know the correct way to say it. And if I overlook someone's title as a captain, please let me know as well. Please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ****** CAPTAIN ERIC KLAPPERICH, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage issues and the TOTE issue occur this afternoon and have the TOTE witnesses immediately follow that examination. So we will call Captain Klapperich for non-vessel tonnage issues. Is someone raising a question? MR. BLOCK: Yes, Your Honor. May I ask a question about the witness order? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. MR. BLOCK: Thank you. As I understand it, Captain Klapperich will go first. It looks like, in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and I'll swear you in. THE WITNESS: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And I would invite any witness, if I am saying your name incorrectly, please let me know the correct way to say it. And if I overlook someone's title as a captain, please let me know as well. Please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ****** CAPTAIN ERIC KLAPPERICH, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage issues and the TOTE issue occur this afternoon and have the TOTE witnesses immediately follow that examination. So we will call Captain Klapperich for non-vessel tonnage issues. Is someone raising a question? MR. BLOCK: Yes, Your Honor. May I ask a question about the witness order? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. MR. BLOCK: Thank you. As I understand it, Captain Klapperich will go first. It looks like, in the table you sent us, that TOTE's two witnesses will	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and I'll swear you in. THE WITNESS: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And I would invite any witness, if I am saying your name incorrectly, please let me know the correct way to say it. And if I overlook someone's title as a captain, please let me know as well. Please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ****** CAPTAIN ERIC KLAPPERICH, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Mr. Haglund,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage issues and the TOTE issue occur this afternoon and have the TOTE witnesses immediately follow that examination. So we will call Captain Klapperich for non-vessel tonnage issues. Is someone raising a question? MR. BLOCK: Yes, Your Honor. May I ask a question about the witness order? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. MR. BLOCK: Thank you. As I understand it, Captain Klapperich will go first. It looks like, in the table you sent us, that TOTE's two witnesses will follow him.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and I'll swear you in. THE WITNESS: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And I would invite any witness, if I am saying your name incorrectly, please let me know the correct way to say it. And if I overlook someone's title as a captain, please let me know as well. Please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ****** CAPTAIN ERIC KLAPPERICH, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage issues and the TOTE issue occur this afternoon and have the TOTE witnesses immediately follow that examination. So we will call Captain Klapperich for non-vessel tonnage issues. Is someone raising a question? MR. BLOCK: Yes, Your Honor. May I ask a question about the witness order? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. MR. BLOCK: Thank you. As I understand it, Captain Klapperich will go first. It looks like, in the table you sent us, that TOTE's two witnesses will follow him. Is that not what you intended to should we	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and I'll swear you in. THE WITNESS: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And I would invite any witness, if I am saying your name incorrectly, please let me know the correct way to say it. And if I overlook someone's title as a captain, please let me know as well. Please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ****** CAPTAIN ERIC KLAPPERICH, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Mr. Haglund, would you please introduce the witness and tender him
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage issues and the TOTE issue occur this afternoon and have the TOTE witnesses immediately follow that examination. So we will call Captain Klapperich for non-vessel tonnage issues. Is someone raising a question? MR. BLOCK: Yes, Your Honor. May I ask a question about the witness order? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. MR. BLOCK: Thank you. As I understand it, Captain Klapperich will go first. It looks like, in the table you sent us, that TOTE's two witnesses will follow him. Is that not what you intended to should we still expect him to go tonight this afternoon? JUDGE HOWARD: Yeah. TOTE's two witnesses would follow Captain Klapperich this afternoon, when	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and I'll swear you in. THE WITNESS: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And I would invite any witness, if I am saying your name incorrectly, please let me know the correct way to say it. And if I overlook someone's title as a captain, please let me know as well. Please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ****** CAPTAIN ERIC KLAPPERICH, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Mr. Haglund, would you please introduce the witness and tender him for cross-examination.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage issues and the TOTE issue occur this afternoon and have the TOTE witnesses immediately follow that examination. So we will call Captain Klapperich for non-vessel tonnage issues. Is someone raising a question? MR. BLOCK: Yes, Your Honor. May I ask a question about the witness order? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. MR. BLOCK: Thank you. As I understand it, Captain Klapperich will go first. It looks like, in the table you sent us, that TOTE's two witnesses will follow him. Is that not what you intended to should we still expect him to go tonight this afternoon? JUDGE HOWARD: Yeah. TOTE's two witnesses would follow Captain Klapperich this afternoon, when he is recalled to be crossed on the vessel tonnage	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and I'll swear you in. THE WITNESS: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And I would invite any witness, if I am saying your name incorrectly, please let me know the correct way to say it. And if I overlook someone's title as a captain, please let me know as well. Please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** CAPTAIN ERIC KLAPPERICH, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Mr. Haglund, would you please introduce the witness and tender him for cross-examination. MR. HAGLUND: Yes. And I'll I wanted to point out, Your Honor, that for the TOTE related questions of Captain Klapperich, my colleague,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage issues and the TOTE issue occur this afternoon and have the TOTE witnesses immediately follow that examination. So we will call Captain Klapperich for non-vessel tonnage issues. Is someone raising a question? MR. BLOCK: Yes, Your Honor. May I ask a question about the witness order? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. MR. BLOCK: Thank you. As I understand it, Captain Klapperich will go first. It looks like, in the table you sent us, that TOTE's two witnesses will follow him. Is that not what you intended to should we still expect him to go tonight this afternoon? JUDGE HOWARD: Yeah. TOTE's two witnesses would follow Captain Klapperich this afternoon, when he is recalled to be crossed on the vessel tonnage issue. So we'll bring him back after lunch for the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and I'll swear you in. THE WITNESS: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And I would invite any witness, if I am saying your name incorrectly, please let me know the correct way to say it. And if I overlook someone's title as a captain, please let me know as well. Please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** CAPTAIN ERIC KLAPPERICH, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Mr. Haglund, would you please introduce the witness and tender him for cross-examination. MR. HAGLUND: Yes. And I'll I wanted to point out, Your Honor, that for the TOTE related questions of Captain Klapperich, my colleague, Mr. Brickenstein, will handle any redirect.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Eric Klapperich. PSP and TOTE, I will note, have proposed having the portion of Captain Klapperich's cross-examination that was focused on vessel tonnage issues and the TOTE issue occur this afternoon and have the TOTE witnesses immediately follow that examination. So we will call Captain Klapperich for non-vessel tonnage issues. Is someone raising a question? MR. BLOCK: Yes, Your Honor. May I ask a question about the witness order? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. MR. BLOCK: Thank you. As I understand it, Captain Klapperich will go first. It looks like, in the table you sent us, that TOTE's two witnesses will follow him. Is that not what you intended to should we still expect him to go tonight this afternoon? JUDGE HOWARD: Yeah. TOTE's two witnesses would follow Captain Klapperich this afternoon, when he is recalled to be crossed on the vessel tonnage	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Can Captain Eric Klapperich turn on his video feed and I'll swear you in. THE WITNESS: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And I would invite any witness, if I am saying your name incorrectly, please let me know the correct way to say it. And if I overlook someone's title as a captain, please let me know as well. Please raise your right hand and I'll swear you in. ***** CAPTAIN ERIC KLAPPERICH, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Mr. Haglund, would you please introduce the witness and tender him for cross-examination. MR. HAGLUND: Yes. And I'll I wanted to point out, Your Honor, that for the TOTE related questions of Captain Klapperich, my colleague,

11 (Pages 131 to 134)

	Page 135	Page 13	37
1	original and rebuttal testimony in this proceeding?	1 JUDGE HOWARD: Yes, I believe so, I would	d
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.	2 ask that PSP hold off on sharing exhibits and just	
3	MR. HAGLUND: Is it true and correct to the	3 allow Ms. DeLappe to conduct her cross, unless sh	ne
4	best of your knowledge?	4 requests that.	
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.	5 MS. DeLAPPE: I appreciate it. Thank you.	
б	MR. HAGLUND: We tender the witness for	6 Kind of makes everything change on my screen.	
7	cross-examination, and I will allow Mr. Brickenstein	7 BY MS. DeLAPPE:	
8	to take my seat.	8 Q. All right. So when PMSA asked you,	
9	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.	9 Captain Klapperich, for the basis for that statemer	nt,
10	So, Mr. Haglund, just to clarify.	10 you said that this is evidenced by the almost total	
11	Mr. Brickenstein is handling all of the redirect and	11 lack of casualties involving vessels under pilotage	
12	the examination of this witness?	12 on the Puget Sound pilotage district; correct?	
13	MR. HAGLUND: No. I may have gotten	13 A. Where is that? I'm sorry.	
14	confused, Your Honor. We're this is not the TOTE	14 Q. If you would like to look at Exhibit ECK-14X,	
15	related issue. I was confused by your footnote. So	15 page 10, and your response on that page.	
16	I will handle the redirect of Captain Klapperich. I	16 A. Can you pull that up, actually?	
17	am not shifting my seat.	17 Q. Captain Klapperich, isn't that	
18	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. All right.	18 Isn't that basically what you were just saying	
19	All right. PMSA indicated cross for this	19 earlier, that it's evidenced by your record, by PSP'	s
20	witness and you may proceed. I would ask that PMSA	20 record?	
21	reserve any questions on vessel tonnage issues for	A. What is evidenced?	
22	this afternoon, after lunch.	22 Q. The consistently error-free performance.	
23	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. And just to	23 A. Yes.	
24	clarify, PMSA will have no questions on that topic.	Q. Okay. I think we're on the same page.	
25	If that makes things easier.	25 If you could then please turn to Exhibit IC-17X?	
	Page 136	Page 13	38
1			38
1 2	Page 136 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION	1 A. Yep.	38
	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you.	1 A. Yep.	38
2	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. 	
2 3	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE:	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. 	
2 3 4	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Captain Klapperich.	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. Q. Do you see there a response from Captain Carlson th 	
2 3 4 5	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Captain Klapperich. A. Morning.	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. Q. Do you see there a response from Captain Carlson th PSP, since at least 1999, has had no significant oil spills that have occurred in the Puget Sound pilotage district involving an allision, collision, or 	
2 3 4 5 6	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Captain Klapperich. A. Morning. Q. You testified in Exhibit ECK-1T, that Puget Sound Pilots provide consistently error-free performance in their pilotage service; correct?	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. Q. Do you see there a response from Captain Carlson th PSP, since at least 1999, has had no significant oil spills that have occurred in the Puget Sound pilotage district involving an allision, collision, or grounding of a foreign flag vessel while under 	
2 3 4 5 6 7	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Captain Klapperich. A. Morning. Q. You testified in Exhibit ECK-1T, that Puget Sound Pilots provide consistently error-free performance in	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. Q. Do you see there a response from Captain Carlson th PSP, since at least 1999, has had no significant oil spills that have occurred in the Puget Sound pilotage district involving an allision, collision, or 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Captain Klapperich. A. Morning. Q. You testified in Exhibit ECK-1T, that Puget Sound Pilots provide consistently error-free performance in their pilotage service; correct?	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. Q. Do you see there a response from Captain Carlson th PSP, since at least 1999, has had no significant oil spills that have occurred in the Puget Sound pilotage district involving an allision, collision, or grounding of a foreign flag vessel while under pilotage by a PSP pilot? A. Yeah. I have that. 	at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Captain Klapperich. A. Morning. Q. You testified in Exhibit ECK-1T, that Puget Sound Pilots provide consistently error-free performance in their pilotage service; correct? A. Puget Sound Pilots's record speaks for itself, yes. Q. Captain Klapperich, could you turn to page 40 of your initial testimony?	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. Q. Do you see there a response from Captain Carlson th PSP, since at least 1999, has had no significant oil spills that have occurred in the Puget Sound pilotage district involving an allision, collision, or grounding of a foreign flag vessel while under pilotage by a PSP pilot? A. Yeah. I have that. Q. Would you say that your assessment of an almost tot 	at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Captain Klapperich. A. Morning. Q. You testified in Exhibit ECK-1T, that Puget Sound Pilots provide consistently error-free performance in their pilotage service; correct? A. Puget Sound Pilots's record speaks for itself, yes. Q. Captain Klapperich, could you turn to page 40 of your initial testimony? A. Yep. 	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. Q. Do you see there a response from Captain Carlson th PSP, since at least 1999, has had no significant oil spills that have occurred in the Puget Sound pilotage district involving an allision, collision, or grounding of a foreign flag vessel while under pilotage by a PSP pilot? A. Yeah. I have that. Q. Would you say that your assessment of an almost tot lack of casualties is consistent with 	at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Captain Klapperich. A. Morning. Q. You testified in Exhibit ECK-1T, that Puget Sound Pilots provide consistently error-free performance in their pilotage service; correct? A. Puget Sound Pilots's record speaks for itself, yes. Q. Captain Klapperich, could you turn to page 40 of your initial testimony? A. Yep. Q. And do you see there at line starting at line 4, 	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. Q. Do you see there a response from Captain Carlson th PSP, since at least 1999, has had no significant oil spills that have occurred in the Puget Sound pilotage district involving an allision, collision, or grounding of a foreign flag vessel while under pilotage by a PSP pilot? A. Yeah. I have that. Q. Would you say that your assessment of an almost tot lack of casualties is consistent with Captain Carlson's statement? 	at
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Captain Klapperich. A. Morning. Q. You testified in Exhibit ECK-1T, that Puget Sound Pilots provide consistently error-free performance in their pilotage service; correct? A. Puget Sound Pilots's record speaks for itself, yes. Q. Captain Klapperich, could you turn to page 40 of your initial testimony? A. Yep. Q. And do you see there at line starting at line 4, the sentence in which you said it provides 	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. Q. Do you see there a response from Captain Carlson th PSP, since at least 1999, has had no significant oil spills that have occurred in the Puget Sound pilotage district involving an allision, collision, or grounding of a foreign flag vessel while under pilotage by a PSP pilot? A. Yeah. I have that. Q. Would you say that your assessment of an almost tot lack of casualties is consistent with Captain Carlson's statement? A. Yes. 	at
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Captain Klapperich. A. Morning. Q. You testified in Exhibit ECK-1T, that Puget Sound Pilots provide consistently error-free performance in their pilotage service; correct? A. Puget Sound Pilots's record speaks for itself, yes. Q. Captain Klapperich, could you turn to page 40 of your initial testimony? A. Yep. Q. And do you see there at line starting at line 4, the sentence in which you said it provides consistently error-free performance? Just so I make 	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. Q. Do you see there a response from Captain Carlson th PSP, since at least 1999, has had no significant oil spills that have occurred in the Puget Sound pilotage district involving an allision, collision, or grounding of a foreign flag vessel while under pilotage by a PSP pilot? A. Yeah. I have that. Q. Would you say that your assessment of an almost tot lack of casualties is consistent with Captain Carlson's statement? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. Of course you personally have not been at 	at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Captain Klapperich. A. Morning. Q. You testified in Exhibit ECK-1T, that Puget Sound Pilots provide consistently error-free performance in their pilotage service; correct? A. Puget Sound Pilots's record speaks for itself, yes. Q. Captain Klapperich, could you turn to page 40 of your initial testimony? A. Yep. Q. And do you see there at line starting at line 4, the sentence in which you said it provides consistently error-free performance? Just so I make sure that we are in agreement. 	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. Q. Do you see there a response from Captain Carlson th PSP, since at least 1999, has had no significant oil spills that have occurred in the Puget Sound pilotage district involving an allision, collision, or grounding of a foreign flag vessel while under pilotage by a PSP pilot? A. Yeah. I have that. Q. Would you say that your assessment of an almost tot lack of casualties is consistent with Captain Carlson's statement? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. Of course you personally have not been a PSP since 1999; correct? 	at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Captain Klapperich. A. Morning. Q. You testified in Exhibit ECK-1T, that Puget Sound Pilots provide consistently error-free performance in their pilotage service; correct? A. Puget Sound Pilots's record speaks for itself, yes. Q. Captain Klapperich, could you turn to page 40 of your initial testimony? A. Yep. Q. And do you see there at line starting at line 4, the sentence in which you said it provides consistently error-free performance? Just so I make sure that we are in agreement. A. Yes. It's in my testimony that we at PSP pilots 	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. Q. Do you see there a response from Captain Carlson th PSP, since at least 1999, has had no significant oil spills that have occurred in the Puget Sound pilotage district involving an allision, collision, or grounding of a foreign flag vessel while under pilotage by a PSP pilot? A. Yeah. I have that. Q. Would you say that your assessment of an almost tot lack of casualties is consistent with Captain Carlson's statement? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. Of course you personally have not been a PSP since 1999; correct? A. I have not. 	at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Captain Klapperich. A. Morning. Q. You testified in Exhibit ECK-1T, that Puget Sound Pilots provide consistently error-free performance in their pilotage service; correct? A. Puget Sound Pilots's record speaks for itself, yes. Q. Captain Klapperich, could you turn to page 40 of your initial testimony? A. Yep. Q. And do you see there at line starting at line 4, the sentence in which you said it provides consistently error-free performance? Just so I make sure that we are in agreement. A. Yes. It's in my testimony that we at PSP pilots consistently error-free performance of enables 	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. Q. Do you see there a response from Captain Carlson th PSP, since at least 1999, has had no significant oil spills that have occurred in the Puget Sound pilotage district involving an allision, collision, or grounding of a foreign flag vessel while under pilotage by a PSP pilot? A. Yeah. I have that. Q. Would you say that your assessment of an almost tot lack of casualties is consistent with Captain Carlson's statement? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. Of course you personally have not been a PSP since 1999; correct? A. I have not. Q. You started your association with PSP with the 2005 	at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Captain Klapperich. A. Morning. Q. You testified in Exhibit ECK-1T, that Puget Sound Pilots provide consistently error-free performance in their pilotage service; correct? A. Puget Sound Pilots's record speaks for itself, yes. Q. Captain Klapperich, could you turn to page 40 of your initial testimony? A. Yep. Q. And do you see there at line starting at line 4, the sentence in which you said it provides consistently error-free performance? Just so I make sure that we are in agreement. A. Yes. It's in my testimony that we at PSP pilots consistently error-free performance of enables commerce to function at our district's economically 	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. Q. Do you see there a response from Captain Carlson th PSP, since at least 1999, has had no significant oil spills that have occurred in the Puget Sound pilotage district involving an allision, collision, or grounding of a foreign flag vessel while under pilotage by a PSP pilot? A. Yeah. I have that. Q. Would you say that your assessment of an almost tot lack of casualties is consistent with Captain Carlson's statement? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. Of course you personally have not been a PSP since 1999; correct? A. I have not. Q. You started your association with PSP with the 2005 exam? 	at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Captain Klapperich. A. Morning. Q. You testified in Exhibit ECK-1T, that Puget Sound Pilots provide consistently error-free performance in their pilotage service; correct? A. Puget Sound Pilots's record speaks for itself, yes. Q. Captain Klapperich, could you turn to page 40 of your initial testimony? A. Yep. Q. And do you see there at line starting at line 4, the sentence in which you said it provides consistently error-free performance? Just so I make sure that we are in agreement. A. Yes. It's in my testimony that we at PSP pilots consistently error-free performance of enables commerce to function at our district's economically vital container terminals, while simultaneously 	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. Q. Do you see there a response from Captain Carlson th PSP, since at least 1999, has had no significant oil spills that have occurred in the Puget Sound pilotage district involving an allision, collision, or grounding of a foreign flag vessel while under pilotage by a PSP pilot? A. Yeah. I have that. Q. Would you say that your assessment of an almost tot lack of casualties is consistent with Captain Carlson's statement? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. Of course you personally have not been a PSP since 1999; correct? A. I have not. Q. You started your association with PSP with the 2005 exam? A. Correct. 	at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Captain Klapperich. A. Morning. Q. You testified in Exhibit ECK-1T, that Puget Sound Pilots provide consistently error-free performance in their pilotage service; correct? A. Puget Sound Pilots's record speaks for itself, yes. Q. Captain Klapperich, could you turn to page 40 of your initial testimony? A. Yep. Q. And do you see there at line starting at line 4, the sentence in which you said it provides consistently error-free performance? Just so I make sure that we are in agreement. A. Yes. It's in my testimony that we at PSP pilots consistently error-free performance of enables commerce to function at our district's economically vital container terminals, while simultaneously protecting the cultural heritage and natural 	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. Q. Do you see there a response from Captain Carlson th PSP, since at least 1999, has had no significant oil spills that have occurred in the Puget Sound pilotage district involving an allision, collision, or grounding of a foreign flag vessel while under pilotage by a PSP pilot? A. Yeah. I have that. Q. Would you say that your assessment of an almost tot lack of casualties is consistent with Captain Carlson's statement? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. Of course you personally have not been a PSP since 1999; correct? A. I have not. Q. You started your association with PSP with the 2005 exam? A. Correct. Q. And then you became licensed in 2008? 	at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Captain Klapperich. A. Morning. Q. You testified in Exhibit ECK-1T, that Puget Sound Pilots provide consistently error-free performance in their pilotage service; correct? A. Puget Sound Pilots's record speaks for itself, yes. Q. Captain Klapperich, could you turn to page 40 of your initial testimony? A. Yep. Q. And do you see there at line starting at line 4, the sentence in which you said it provides consistently error-free performance? Just so I make sure that we are in agreement. A. Yes. It's in my testimony that we at PSP pilots consistently error-free performance of enables commerce to function at our district's economically vital container terminals, while simultaneously protecting the cultural heritage and natural resources that share the waterway. 	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. Q. Do you see there a response from Captain Carlson th PSP, since at least 1999, has had no significant oil spills that have occurred in the Puget Sound pilotage district involving an allision, collision, or grounding of a foreign flag vessel while under pilotage by a PSP pilot? A. Yeah. I have that. Q. Would you say that your assessment of an almost tot lack of casualties is consistent with Captain Carlson's statement? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. Of course you personally have not been a PSP since 1999; correct? A. I have not. Q. You started your association with PSP with the 2005 exam? A. Correct. Q. And then you became licensed in 2008? A. Correct. 	at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Captain Klapperich. A. Morning. Q. You testified in Exhibit ECK-1T, that Puget Sound Pilots provide consistently error-free performance in their pilotage service; correct? A. Puget Sound Pilots's record speaks for itself, yes. Q. Captain Klapperich, could you turn to page 40 of your initial testimony? A. Yep. Q. And do you see there at line starting at line 4, the sentence in which you said it provides consistently error-free performance? Just so I make sure that we are in agreement. A. Yes. It's in my testimony that we at PSP pilots consistently error-free performance of enables commerce to function at our district's economically vital container terminals, while simultaneously protecting the cultural heritage and natural resources that share the waterway. Q. Thank you. 	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. Q. Do you see there a response from Captain Carlson th PSP, since at least 1999, has had no significant oil spills that have occurred in the Puget Sound pilotage district involving an allision, collision, or grounding of a foreign flag vessel while under pilotage by a PSP pilot? A. Yeah. I have that. Q. Would you say that your assessment of an almost tot lack of casualties is consistent with Captain Carlson's statement? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. Of course you personally have not been a PSP since 1999; correct? A. I have not. Q. You started your association with PSP with the 2005 exam? A. Correct. Q. And then you became licensed in 2008? A. Correct. Q. Since you have for the period since you have been 	at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good morning, Captain Klapperich. A. Morning. Q. You testified in Exhibit ECK-1T, that Puget Sound Pilots provide consistently error-free performance in their pilotage service; correct? A. Puget Sound Pilots's record speaks for itself, yes. Q. Captain Klapperich, could you turn to page 40 of your initial testimony? A. Yep. Q. And do you see there at line starting at line 4, the sentence in which you said it provides consistently error-free performance? Just so I make sure that we are in agreement. A. Yes. It's in my testimony that we at PSP pilots consistently error-free performance of enables commerce to function at our district's economically vital container terminals, while simultaneously protecting the cultural heritage and natural resources that share the waterway. 	 A. Yep. Q. And please turn to page 5 of that exhibit. A. Okay. Q. Do you see there a response from Captain Carlson th PSP, since at least 1999, has had no significant oil spills that have occurred in the Puget Sound pilotage district involving an allision, collision, or grounding of a foreign flag vessel while under pilotage by a PSP pilot? A. Yeah. I have that. Q. Would you say that your assessment of an almost tot lack of casualties is consistent with Captain Carlson's statement? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. Of course you personally have not been a PSP since 1999; correct? A. I have not. Q. You started your association with PSP with the 2005 exam? A. Correct. Q. And then you became licensed in 2008? A. Correct. 	at

12 (Pages 135 to 138)

Page 139		Page 1
an oil spill; correct?	1	A. No.
A. Correct.	2	Q are testifying to these facts yourself? I'm just
Q. Let's turn then to Exhibit SM-12X. And when you get	3	asking you to look at what he wrote; right?
there, if you can please turn to page 31.	4	A. Okay. Yes.
And I will represent to you that these are PMSA	5	Q. And you see what he wrote?
data requests that were presented to Mr. McCarthy.	6	A. Yes.
A. Yes.	7	Q. And is it can you just tell me whether that is
Q. So on this page and on the following two pages,	8	consistent with your assessment of an almost total
basically, Mr. McCarthy responds that no insurance	9	lack of casualties?
claims have been paid as a result of a PSP-involved	10	A. I don't I don't know what his testimony is saying
vessel collision or grounding or an oil spill,	11	with I don't have the records. I don't have
resulting from anything any incident; correct?	12	claims. I don't have anything in front of me.
A. I I don't know. If you could I don't know	13	Q. So, Captain Klapperich, all I'm asking is if there
that. I don't know what I don't have that answer.	14	are no claims for insurance coverage for any of the
Correct.	15	types of incidents
Q. So	16	A. That I'm aware of.
A. Could you ask the question again?	17	Q is that consistent with and I'm not asking you
Q. Are you at Exhibit SM-12X?	18	if that is true, but assuming that Sean McCarthy
A. Yeah.	19	answered correctly here, is that consistent with you
Q. And do you see on page 1 that the witness is	20	testimony?
Sean McCarthy?	21	A. My testimony is yes. It's consistent with our
A. Yeah. I don't know Sean what Sean McCarthy has	22	record.
paid.	23	Q. Thank you.
Q. You don't know who Sean McCarthy is?	24	If I could also refer you, then, to Captain
A. No. I know who Sean McCarthy is.	25	Mitch Stoller's I will just represent to you,
Page 140	1	Page 1
Q. Do you see that he is the responder, along with Puget Sound Pilots, to these requests?	1 2	because I'm not asking you about the fact that we asked Captain Mitch Stoller, but in Exhibit MSS-20
A. Yes.	3	at page 20, when asked about whether Puget Sou
Q. And if you turn to page 31 of this exhibit.	4	Pilots's current casualty prevention capability is
A. Yes. That's where I'm at. The data request 609 is	5	substandard, he said no.
what I have.	6	The question for you, Captain Klapperich, is
Q. Great. And so you can see it says, "Of all claims	7	whether you agree.
paid in the last ten years, please identify all		A. That's his testimony.
	8	Q. Do you agree that Puget Sound Pilots's current
claime haid as a result of a Pugot Sound Bilot	2	
claims paid as a result of a Puget Sound Pilot	10	
collision."	10 11	
collision." Do you see that	11	A. I agree our current casualty prevention capability is
collision." Do you see that A. Yes.	11 12	A. I agree our current casualty prevention capability is at a national level.
collision." Do you see that A. Yes. Q question?	11 12 13	 A. I agree our current casualty prevention capability is at a national level. Q. Thank you.
 collision." Do you see that A. Yes. Q question? A. Yes. And I see the response as "none." 	11 12 13 14	 A. I agree our current casualty prevention capability is at a national level. Q. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Ms. DeLappe, just to clarity
 collision." Do you see that A. Yes. Q question? A. Yes. And I see the response as "none." Q. None. Okay. 	11 12 13 14 15	 A. I agree our current casualty prevention capability is at a national level. Q. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Ms. DeLappe, just to clari was that page 18 of MSS-20X?
 collision." Do you see that A. Yes. Q question? A. Yes. And I see the response as "none." Q. None. Okay. And then similarly on the next page, "No claims 	11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I agree our current casualty prevention capability is at a national level. Q. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Ms. DeLappe, just to clari was that page 18 of MSS-20X? MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. Yes.
 collision." Do you see that A. Yes. Q question? A. Yes. And I see the response as "none." Q. None. Okay. And then similarly on the next page, "No claims were paid" on "as a result of a Puget Sound pilot 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Ms. DeLappe, just to clari was that page 18 of MSS-20X? MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. Yes. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.
 collision." Do you see that A. Yes. Q question? A. Yes. And I see the response as "none." Q. None. Okay. And then similarly on the next page, "No claims were paid" on "as a result of a Puget Sound pilot grounding"; correct? 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I agree our current casualty prevention capability is at a national level. Q. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Ms. DeLappe, just to clari was that page 18 of MSS-20X? MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. Yes. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. BY MS. DeLAPPE:
 collision." Do you see that A. Yes. Q question? A. Yes. And I see the response as "none." Q. None. Okay. And then similarly on the next page, "No claims were paid" on "as a result of a Puget Sound pilot grounding"; correct? A. If that's his testimony, yes. It says none. 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I agree our current casualty prevention capability is at a national level. Q. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Ms. DeLappe, just to clarit was that page 18 of MSS-20X? MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. Yes. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. So in your opinion, Captain Klapperich, would you
 collision." Do you see that A. Yes. Q question? A. Yes. And I see the response as "none." Q. None. Okay. And then similarly on the next page, "No claims were paid" on "as a result of a Puget Sound pilot grounding"; correct? A. If that's his testimony, yes. It says none. Q. Are you skeptical of whether his the veracity of 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I agree our current casualty prevention capability is at a national level. Q. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Ms. DeLappe, just to clarit was that page 18 of MSS-20X? MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. Yes. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. So in your opinion, Captain Klapperich, would you agree that having zero oil spills resulting from
 collision." Do you see that A. Yes. Q question? A. Yes. And I see the response as "none." Q. None. Okay. And then similarly on the next page, "No claims were paid" on "as a result of a Puget Sound pilot grounding"; correct? A. If that's his testimony, yes. It says none. Q. Are you skeptical of whether his the veracity of his testimony, Captain Klapperich? 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I agree our current casualty prevention capability is at a national level. Q. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Ms. DeLappe, just to clari was that page 18 of MSS-20X? MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. Yes. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. So in your opinion, Captain Klapperich, would you agree that having zero oil spills resulting from allisions, collisions, or groundings for a period of
 collision." Do you see that A. Yes. Q question? A. Yes. And I see the response as "none." Q. None. Okay. And then similarly on the next page, "No claims were paid" on "as a result of a Puget Sound pilot grounding"; correct? A. If that's his testimony, yes. It says none. Q. Are you skeptical of whether his the veracity of his testimony, Captain Klapperich? A. I don't have claims in front of me. And I'm relying 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I agree our current casualty prevention capability is at a national level. Q. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Ms. DeLappe, just to clarid was that page 18 of MSS-20X? MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. Yes. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. So in your opinion, Captain Klapperich, would you agree that having zero oil spills resulting from allisions, collisions, or groundings for a period of over two decades is an exceptional record of safe
 collision." Do you see that A. Yes. Q question? A. Yes. And I see the response as "none." Q. None. Okay. And then similarly on the next page, "No claims were paid" on "as a result of a Puget Sound pilot grounding"; correct? A. If that's his testimony, yes. It says none. Q. Are you skeptical of whether his the veracity of his testimony, Captain Klapperich? A. I don't have claims in front of me. And I'm relying on his testimony. I don't have 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I agree our current casualty prevention capability is at a national level. Q. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Ms. DeLappe, just to clarid was that page 18 of MSS-20X? MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. Yes. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. So in your opinion, Captain Klapperich, would you agree that having zero oil spills resulting from allisions, collisions, or groundings for a period of over two decades is an exceptional record of safe vessel operations?
 collision." Do you see that A. Yes. Q question? A. Yes. And I see the response as "none." Q. None. Okay. And then similarly on the next page, "No claims were paid" on "as a result of a Puget Sound pilot grounding"; correct? A. If that's his testimony, yes. It says none. Q. Are you skeptical of whether his the veracity of his testimony, Captain Klapperich? A. I don't have claims in front of me. And I'm relying 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I agree our current casualty prevention capability is at a national level. Q. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Ms. DeLappe, just to clari was that page 18 of MSS-20X? MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. Yes. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. So in your opinion, Captain Klapperich, would you agree that having zero oil spills resulting from allisions, collisions, or groundings for a period of over two decades is an exceptional record of safe

13 (Pages 139 to 142)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

	Page 143		Page 145
1	collisions, or groundings for over a decade for Puget	1	A. I did say the process. Yes. It's a process.
2	Sound Pilots?	2	Q. I almost read verbatim. I just changed the first
3	MR. HAGLUND: Objection. Do you you're	3	person to the second person; correct? Those were
4	not referencing an oil spill in those questions, is	4	your words?
5	it are you asking him for allisions, collisions in	5	A. Yes.
6	the last 20 years?	6	Q. Thank you.
7	MS. DeLAPPE: Correct.	7	In other words, so to paraphrase that, every
8	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	8	Puget Sound pilot must go through a training program,
9	Q. The the second question is asking just that we've	9	and because of that, each pilot possesses the elite
10	established there's there have been no allisions,	10	skills necessary to ensure the best possible
11	collisions, or groundings for the last ten years;	11	protection of the Puget Sound waterways; right?
12	correct?	12	A. In addition it's a process and begins with
13	A. That's not true.	13	attracting national candidates from a diverse
14	Q. So you believe that there have been PSP-piloted	14	maritime background. And, in addition, they go
15	allisions, collisions, and groundings in the last	15	through training, test taking and training to become
16	decade?	16	pilots. It's a process.
17	A. If I'm not mistaken. I don't know the dates. But I	17	Q. So when we look at your opinion on on page 22,
18	think we've had a an allision in the last ten	18	would you agree that your opinion there is that each
19	years.	19	current Puget Sound pilot has been trained to an
20	Q. Okay. But still, even if it's just one in the last	20	elite level?
21	ten years, would you agree that that is an	21	A. Again, it's a process. And that's what I said in
22 23	exceptional safety record?	22	there. And the process I'm trusting the process
23	A. I just let it speak for itself. JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to jump in and I'm	24	and Q. Captain Klapperich, you are not responding to my
25	going to say that Ms. DeLappe is entitled to an	25	question. I will repeat it.
23			
	Page 144		Page 146
1	answer to that question.	1	It is your opinion, correct, that each current
2	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you.	2	Puget Sound pilot has been trained to an elite level?
3	THE WITNESS: Could you repeat yourself,	3	A. I don't I don't pilot with them when they're on a
4	please? Or your question. I'm sorry.	4	loaded tanker in Guemes Channel. I can't I'm
5	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	5	trusting the process that they go through, training,
6	Q. Captain Klapperich, I just wanted you to say whether	6	and our record speaks for itself. They are elite
7	you believe that that is an exceptional safety	7	mariners. They learn the skills through training and
8	record.	8	evaluation and everything, and they are I don't
9	A. PSP has a good safety record.	9	pilot with them to assess what level or anything. I
10	Q. Okay. Thank you.	10	let the record speak for itself.
11	I'd like to turn to your testimony, again,	11	Q. And, Captain Klapperich, so when you say "them," can
12	Exhibit ECK-01T, and this time at page 22.	12	l just clarify, you're talking about your fellow
13	A. Yeah.	13	licensed pilots, that you're not sure whether they're
14	Q. And this is I'm going to be asking you a few	14	actually trained have been trained to an elite
15	questions about your opinion regarding the training	15	level?
16	of PSP pilots	16	A. I'm it's a process. And I'm trusting the process.
17	A. Okay.	17	Yes. They are elite mariners.
18 19	Q that have created this impeccable track record.	18 19	Q. Your fellow pilots are elite mariners, they have been trained to an elite level; correct?
20	On this page starting at line 5, you testify that in your opinion the process to become a Puget Sound	20	A. Yes. They possess the the skills, yes.
	pilot is absolutely necessary to ensure that every	20	Q. And is it your opinion that by training each of these
21			
21 22		22	DUDIS TO AN EUTE JEVEL THE NEST INSSING
22	one of PSP's members possesses the elite skill,	22	pilots to an elite level, the best possible protection of the Puget Sound waterways is ensured?
22 23	one of PSP's members possesses the elite skill, knowledge, and judgment that are required to ensure	23	protection of the Puget Sound waterways is ensured?
22 23 24	one of PSP's members possesses the elite skill, knowledge, and judgment that are required to ensure the best possible protection of our district's	1	protection of the Puget Sound waterways is ensured?A. Well, PSP is going to continue to strive to attract
22 23	one of PSP's members possesses the elite skill, knowledge, and judgment that are required to ensure	23 24	protection of the Puget Sound waterways is ensured?

14 (Pages 143 to 146)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

	Page 147	Page 149
1	Q. Captain Klapperich, I will again repeat my question.	1 And so in your experience, which is now 14 years
2	This would be a lot easier if you would focus on	2 at PSP, do you know of any circumstances where
3	answering what I'm actually asking.	³ individuals made it through the exam process and made
4	Is it your opinion that by training each current	4 it to the trainee list, but those potential trainee
5	Puget Sound pilot to an elite level, the best	5 candidates were not the most highly-skilled
б	possible protection of the Puget Sound is ensured?	6 candidates?
7	A. Yes.	7 A. I I don't no. I do not know that.
8	Q. Thank you.	8 Q. And so in your experience, if a trainee has made it
9	If we can then look to Exhibit ECK-14X.	9 through the Puget Sound pilot exam process, they are
10	A. I don't think I have that one, the 14X.	10 the most highly skilled of the candidates; correct?
11	Oh, I have it right here. Yes, I have it,	11 A. If they made it through the training program,
12	Ms. DeLappe.	12 they're could you say that again, please?
13	Q. Thank you.	13 Q. Then they are the most highly skilled of the
14	So if you could turn to page 6, please.	14 candidates, if they've made it through the exam
15	A. I think I have the right one.	15 process.
16	Q. And you should see that these are PMSA data requests.	16 A. Yes. I would.
17	MR. HAGLUND: Is that data request 558,	Q. So similarly, regarding potential pilots who are past
18	Ms. DeLappe?	18 the exam and in the training process, after
19	MS. DeLAPPE: Correct. Thank you.	19 completing the training process, that each Puget
20	MR. HAGLUND: Do you have that, Captain?	20 Sound pilot possesses the elite skills necessary to
21	THE WITNESS: I do right here. I have it	21 ensure the best possible protection of the Puget
22	here.	22 Sound; correct?
23	MR. HAGLUND: Do you need a copy?	A. I think that that's you're asking me if I know if
24	THE WITNESS: Nope. I have it right here.	24 I've trained them; is that correct?
25	I have it.	25 Q. No. That is not. Thank you for clarifying.
	Page 148	Page 150
1	BY MS DeLAPPE:	1 I'm just asking whether it is your testimony that
2	Q. So when you were asked to describe the basis for this	2 after completing that training process, each Puget
3	same opinion that you've just testified to, you can	3 Sound pilot possesses the elite skills necessary to
4	see your response there at the bottom of the page?	4 ensure the best possible protection of the Puget
5	A. Yeah.	5 Sound?
6	Q. You said, "Between their time as a trainee, through	6 A. I am trusting the process.
7	becoming an unlimited pilot and beyond, pilots	7 Q. So in your experience, now 14 years with
8	continue to acquire knowledge and skills required to	8 A. Yeah.
9	provide the best achievable protection for the Puget	9 Q PSP, do you know of any circumstances where
10	Sound"?	10 individuals made it through the entire training
11	A. Yes.	11 process and became licensed, but somehow did not
12	Q. And then you go on to talk about the training;	12 possess the skills necessary to pilot?
13	correct?	13 A. I don't know that.
14	A. Yes.	14 Q. And after in your experience, have you seen any
15	Q. And and at the end, you said the PSP's track	15 erosion in the skills of the pilots that have
16	record speaks for itself and that you decline to	16 completed training?
17	critique individual PSP pilots; correct?	17 A. No.
18	A. Yeah.	18 Q. Or have the licensing standards that the BPC creates
19	Q. Thank you.	19 and enforces, have they become anything less than
20	So I recognize from your that answer and your	20 they were previously?
	other testimony, that you are reluctant to critique	21 A. I would say the erosion is at the number of people
2	enter toothing, that you are related to bridge	
21 22	other pilots. But for the purpose of	2.2 that actually take our test
22	other pilots. But for the purpose of cross-examination. I'd like to ask you a few	22 that actually take our test 23 Q. Captain Klapperich?
22 23	cross-examination, I'd like to ask you a few	23 Q. Captain Klapperich?
22		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

15 (Pages 147 to 150)

		-	
	Page 151		
1	would like you to answer my question, which is about	1	A. It's local, yes. I
2	the licensing standards.	2	Q. Okay. So if we
3	Have those standards eroded in any way?	3	Ecology has sta
4	A. Are you talking about the BPC's standards?	4	to you that this
5	Q. Correct.	5	page 20, "Wash
6	A. I don't I don't think so.	6	rates in the nation
7	Q. Thank you.	7	safety" protecti
8	So in your experience, have the exams, the	8	comprehensive
9	trainee exams, become easier?	9	response progr
10	A. I	10	Do you have a
11	MR. HAGLUND: Object	11	A. Again, it's Char
12	THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I'm not	12	Mr. Costanzo's t
13	taking the trainee exams.	13	Q. Captain Klappe
14	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	14	reason to disag
15	Q. So in your experience, there is nothing that you	15	A. I can't are you
16	would say that would	16	Q. I'm asking whe
17	A. I don't know.	17	that would indic
18	Q. Thank you.	18	A. I'm having a ha
19	A. I don't know that.	19	Mr. Costanzo's t
20	Q. And is there anything about the pilot training	20	Q. I am not asking
21	program that has become easier, to the best of your	21	asking about yo
22	knowledge?	22	fact that you ca
23	A. I don't know that either.	23	that you have no
24	Q. Moving on, then, to my next topic.	24	Is that fair?
25	If you could turn to to make this a little	25	A. That's I have
	Page 152		
1	simpler, you don't have to turn to it. I will just	1	with Charlie's testi
2	reference that I am reading from Captain I mean	2	Q. Thank you.
3	Executive Director Costanzo's testimony, and that's	3	Turning then to
4	Exhibit CPC-01T, page 3.	4	experience of sta
5	I would just like to know whether you agree with	5	And do you ha
6	the opinion that "The State of Washington has been	6	would pilot at all
7	the most aggressive state regulator in the U.S. in	7	individual vessel
8	areas of spill prevention and response."	8	A. I'm not I'm sorr
9	A. That's that's just that's Charlie's testimony.	9	question.
10	That's	10	Q. In your experier
11	Q. Do you agree with his testimony?	11	that there is any
12	A. I I haven't read it. I don't know it. I don't	12	would purposefu
13	have an opinion about it.	13	vessel based on
14	Q. So you have no opinion about whether the State of	14	PSP invoice?
15	Washington is the most aggressive state regulator on	15	A. I think you're I
16	oil spill prevention?	16	like, a tariff design
17	A. I I've never done a comparison.	17	Q. That's not my q
18	Q. Do you have no opinions, then, regarding oil spill	18	lt's a I am as
19	response programs in the nation?	19	just to illustrate t
20	A. I haven't studied oil spill response programs in the	20	Let's say there
21	nation.	21	Puget Sound and
22	Q. But you have discussed best available protection for	22	are met by a pilot
23	the Puget Sound waterways, is that not	23	of Seattle. The fi
24	A. Yes.	24	pilotage based or
25	Q involving oil spills?	25	\$13,500 for the sa

It's our Washington state. e look at what the Department of tated -- and I'll, again, just represent is in Mr. Costanzo's testimony at hington has one of the lowest oil spill ion because we have such a strong tion -- prevention net, one of the most e spill prevention, preparedness, and rams in the nation and world." any reason to disagree with that? rlie's testimony -- it's testimony. perich, I'm asking, do you have any gree with that? ou asking me to compare it? Or... ether there is anything that you know of cate that that is incorrect. ard time speaking on behalf of testimony.

Q. I am not asking you to speak on his behalf. I am asking about your own knowledge. And I will take the fact that you cannot think of anything as an answer that you have no reason to disagree.

A. That's -- I have -- I don't have a reason to disagree

Page 154

Page 153

1	with Charlie's testimony.
2	Q. Thank you.
3	Turning then to I would like to look at the
4	experience of state and federally licensed pilots.
5	And do you have any reason to believe that PSP
6	would pilot at all differently based on how much an
7	individual vessel pays for its pilotage service?
8	A. I'm not I'm sorry. I don't understand your
9	question.
10	Q. In your experience as a member of PSP, do you believe
11	that there is any situation where any member of PSP
12	would purposefully provide an unsafe service to a
13	vessel based on how much that vessel is paying on its
14	PSP invoice?
15	A. I think you're I can only answer on behalf of,
16	like, a tariff design or something.
17	Q. That's not my question.
18	It's a I am asking if if a specific vessel,
19	just to illustrate this.
20	Let's say there are two vessels that call on the
21	Puget Sound and one is and, you know, both of them
22	are met by a pilot at Port Angeles to go to the Port
23	of Seattle. The first vessel pays \$10,000 for
24	pilotage based on the tariff. The second vessel pays
25	\$13,500 for the same pilotage assignment.

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

	Page 155		Page 157
1	Would the pilot on the first assignment that pays	1	Q. Yes.
2	\$10,000 to PSP owe the same duties, standards of	2	A. 07. I would agree with that, yes.
3	care, attention to safety, and level of service as	3	Q. Okay. And in fact, so that study was based off of
4	the pilot on the second assignment where PSP receives	4	offshore deepwater wave buoys; correct?
5	more?	5	A. Yes, yes.
б	A. Yes.	6	Q. And those buoys are located about 400 kilometers west
7	Q. Thank you. I just want to turn quickly to one last	7	of the mouth of the Columbia River?
8	topic regarding climate change.	8	A. Yes. Well, I thought there was one further north,
9	In your testimony, would you agree that you did	9	but, yes, they're offshore.
10	make some bring some opinions about climate	10	Q. If we look at ECK-07, page 2, you can see where it
11	change; correct?	11	says on that page that the buoys are located about
12	A. I did, yes.	12	400 kilometers west.
13	Q. And so if we turn to page 35 of your testimony, we'll	13	A. Yes.
		1	
14	see that you stated, starting at line 9 I'll just	14	Q. I understand you don't necessarily have that
15	read that.	15	memorized.
16	"Assuming that the scientific forecast regarding	16	A. Right. But I know what you're talking about, yes.
17	the effects of climate change on the Puget Sound area	17	Q. So neither of those buoys are in the Puget Sound
18	is accurate, there is no question that increased	18	pilotage district; correct?
19	frequency and intensity of severe weather events will	19	A. Correct.
20	make piloting on the district's exposed waterways	20	Q. And they're not really even close; right?
21	even more challenging"; correct?	21	A. Correct.
22	A. Yes.	22	Q. Let's turn then to ECK-08, the University of
23	Q. And for this opinion you relied on two studies;	23	Washington study.
24	right?	24	A. Okay.
25	A. I read I went through two studies and submitted	25	Q. And if you could please turn well, let's go back
	Page 156		Page 158
1	them.	1	to page 35 of your testimony.
2	Q. And you cited you cited those studies	2	You you discuss that University of Washington
3	A. Yes.	3	study at line 6 on page 35. And you say that the
4	Q in your testimony?	4	
-		1	specifically the University of Washington report
5	A. Yes.	5	explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound
5 6	A. Yes. Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07	1	
	Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07 A. Yeah.	5	explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound
6	Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07	5 6	explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound are highly likely to experience increasingly intense
6 7	Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07 A. Yeah.	5 6 7	explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound are highly likely to experience increasingly intense weather events as climate change progresses; right?
6 7 8	 Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07 A. Yeah. Q that's the Ruggiero study? 	5 6 7 8	explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound are highly likely to experience increasingly intense weather events as climate change progresses; right? A. Yep.
6 7 8 9	 Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07 A. Yeah. Q that's the Ruggiero study? A. Yeah. 	5 6 7 8 9	 explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound are highly likely to experience increasingly intense weather events as climate change progresses; right? A. Yep. Q. But you don't offer any specific citation or
6 7 8 9 10	 Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07 A. Yeah. Q that's the Ruggiero study? A. Yeah. Q. I'm not sure if I'm pronouncing that correctly. 	5 6 7 8 9 10	 explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound are highly likely to experience increasingly intense weather events as climate change progresses; right? A. Yep. Q. But you don't offer any specific citation or reference to support that, just the whole study;
6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07 A. Yeah. Q that's the Ruggiero study? A. Yeah. Q. I'm not sure if I'm pronouncing that correctly. R-U-G-G-I-E-R-O. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound are highly likely to experience increasingly intense weather events as climate change progresses; right? A. Yep. Q. But you don't offer any specific citation or reference to support that, just the whole study; right?
6 7 9 10 11 12	 Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07 A. Yeah. Q that's the Ruggiero study? A. Yeah. Q. I'm not sure if I'm pronouncing that correctly. R-U-G-G-I-E-R-O. A. Yes. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound are highly likely to experience increasingly intense weather events as climate change progresses; right? A. Yep. Q. But you don't offer any specific citation or reference to support that, just the whole study; right? A. I took some things from the whole study.
6 7 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07 A. Yeah. Q that's the Ruggiero study? A. Yeah. Q. I'm not sure if I'm pronouncing that correctly. R-U-G-G-I-E-R-O. A. Yes. Q. Entitled "Increasing Wave Heights and Extreme Value 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound are highly likely to experience increasingly intense weather events as climate change progresses; right? A. Yep. Q. But you don't offer any specific citation or reference to support that, just the whole study; right? A. I took some things from the whole study. Q. Let's go, then, to that study.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07 A. Yeah. Q that's the Ruggiero study? A. Yeah. Q. I'm not sure if I'm pronouncing that correctly. R-U-G-G-I-E-R-O. A. Yes. Q. Entitled "Increasing Wave Heights and Extreme Value Projections." A. Yes. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound are highly likely to experience increasingly intense weather events as climate change progresses; right? A. Yep. Q. But you don't offer any specific citation or reference to support that, just the whole study; right? A. I took some things from the whole study. Q. Let's go, then, to that study. If you could turn to ECK-08 at page 72. Let me know when you're there.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07 A. Yeah. Q that's the Ruggiero study? A. Yeah. Q. I'm not sure if I'm pronouncing that correctly. R-U-G-G-I-E-R-O. A. Yes. Q. Entitled "Increasing Wave Heights and Extreme Value Projections." A. Yes. Q. And then the second study was from University of 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound are highly likely to experience increasingly intense weather events as climate change progresses; right? A. Yep. Q. But you don't offer any specific citation or reference to support that, just the whole study; right? A. I took some things from the whole study. Q. Let's go, then, to that study. If you could turn to ECK-08 at page 72. Let me know when you're there. A. I didn't print that whole study off. We have it
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07 A. Yeah. Q that's the Ruggiero study? A. Yeah. Q. I'm not sure if I'm pronouncing that correctly. R-U-G-G-I-E-R-O. A. Yes. Q. Entitled "Increasing Wave Heights and Extreme Value Projections." A. Yes. Q. And then the second study was from University of Washington, Exhibit ECK-08, "Climate" 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound are highly likely to experience increasingly intense weather events as climate change progresses; right? A. Yep. Q. But you don't offer any specific citation or reference to support that, just the whole study; right? A. I took some things from the whole study. Q. Let's go, then, to that study. If you could turn to ECK-08 at page 72. Let me know when you're there. A. I didn't print that whole study off. We have it right here. Hang on a second.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07 A. Yeah. Q that's the Ruggiero study? A. Yeah. Q. I'm not sure if I'm pronouncing that correctly. R-U-G-G-I-E-R-O. A. Yes. Q. Entitled "Increasing Wave Heights and Extreme Value Projections." A. Yes. Q. And then the second study was from University of Washington, Exhibit ECK-08, "Climate" A. Yeah. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound are highly likely to experience increasingly intense weather events as climate change progresses; right? A. Yep. Q. But you don't offer any specific citation or reference to support that, just the whole study; right? A. I took some things from the whole study. Q. Let's go, then, to that study. If you could turn to ECK-08 at page 72. Let me know when you're there. A. I didn't print that whole study off. We have it right here. Hang on a second. Q. Glad you have help. So page 72, please.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07 A. Yeah. Q that's the Ruggiero study? A. Yeah. Q. I'm not sure if I'm pronouncing that correctly. R-U-G-G-I-E-R-O. A. Yes. Q. Entitled "Increasing Wave Heights and Extreme Value Projections." A. Yes. Q. And then the second study was from University of Washington, Exhibit ECK-08, "Climate" A. Yeah. Q "Change in Puget Sound." 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound are highly likely to experience increasingly intense weather events as climate change progresses; right? A. Yep. Q. But you don't offer any specific citation or reference to support that, just the whole study; right? A. I took some things from the whole study. Q. Let's go, then, to that study. If you could turn to ECK-08 at page 72. Let me know when you're there. A. I didn't print that whole study off. We have it right here. Hang on a second. Q. Glad you have help. So page 72, please. A. Yeah. Yep.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07 A. Yeah. Q that's the Ruggiero study? A. Yeah. Q. I'm not sure if I'm pronouncing that correctly. R-U-G-G-I-E-R-O. A. Yes. Q. Entitled "Increasing Wave Heights and Extreme Value Projections." A. Yes. Q. And then the second study was from University of Washington, Exhibit ECK-08, "Climate" A. Yeah. Q "Change in Puget Sound." A. Yes. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound are highly likely to experience increasingly intense weather events as climate change progresses; right? A. Yep. Q. But you don't offer any specific citation or reference to support that, just the whole study; right? A. I took some things from the whole study. Q. Let's go, then, to that study. If you could turn to ECK-08 at page 72. Let me know when you're there. A. I didn't print that whole study off. We have it right here. Hang on a second. Q. Glad you have help. So page 72, please. A. Yeah. Yep. Q. And if you're there, please look down the page to the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07 A. Yeah. Q that's the Ruggiero study? A. Yeah. Q. I'm not sure if I'm pronouncing that correctly. R-U-G-G-I-E-R-O. A. Yes. Q. Entitled "Increasing Wave Heights and Extreme Value Projections." A. Yes. Q. And then the second study was from University of Washington, Exhibit ECK-08, "Climate" A. Yeah. Q "Change in Puget Sound." A. Yes. Q. Thank you. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound are highly likely to experience increasingly intense weather events as climate change progresses; right? A. Yep. Q. But you don't offer any specific citation or reference to support that, just the whole study; right? A. I took some things from the whole study. Q. Let's go, then, to that study. If you could turn to ECK-08 at page 72. Let me know when you're there. A. I didn't print that whole study off. We have it right here. Hang on a second. Q. Glad you have help. So page 72, please. A. Yeah. Yep. Q. And if you're there, please look down the page to the second observed heading.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07 A. Yeah. Q that's the Ruggiero study? A. Yeah. Q. I'm not sure if I'm pronouncing that correctly. R-U-G-G-I-E-R-O. A. Yes. Q. Entitled "Increasing Wave Heights and Extreme Value Projections." A. Yes. Q. And then the second study was from University of Washington, Exhibit ECK-08, "Climate" A. Yeah. Q "Change in Puget Sound." A. Yes. Q. Thank you. So turning first to the Ruggiero study, would you 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound are highly likely to experience increasingly intense weather events as climate change progresses; right? A. Yep. Q. But you don't offer any specific citation or reference to support that, just the whole study; right? A. I took some things from the whole study. Q. Let's go, then, to that study. If you could turn to ECK-08 at page 72. Let me know when you're there. A. I didn't print that whole study off. We have it right here. Hang on a second. Q. Glad you have help. So page 72, please. A. Yeah. Yep. Q. And if you're there, please look down the page to the second observed heading. A. Yeah. Yep.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07 A. Yeah. Q that's the Ruggiero study? A. Yeah. Q. I'm not sure if I'm pronouncing that correctly. R-U-G-G-I-E-R-O. A. Yes. Q. Entitled "Increasing Wave Heights and Extreme Value Projections." A. Yes. Q. And then the second study was from University of Washington, Exhibit ECK-08, "Climate" A. Yeah. Q "Change in Puget Sound." A. Yes. Q. Thank you. So turning first to the Ruggiero study, would you agree that there is no mention of the Puget Sound in 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound are highly likely to experience increasingly intense weather events as climate change progresses; right? A. Yep. Q. But you don't offer any specific citation or reference to support that, just the whole study; right? A. I took some things from the whole study. Q. Let's go, then, to that study. If you could turn to ECK-08 at page 72. Let me know when you're there. A. I didn't print that whole study off. We have it right here. Hang on a second. Q. Glad you have help. So page 72, please. A. Yeah. Yep. Q. And if you're there, please look down the page to the second observed heading. A. Yeah. Yep. Q. And do you see there that it says, in bold, at the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So the first study, Exhibit ECK-07 A. Yeah. Q	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 explains that the Pacific Northwest and Puget Sound are highly likely to experience increasingly intense weather events as climate change progresses; right? A. Yep. Q. But you don't offer any specific citation or reference to support that, just the whole study; right? A. I took some things from the whole study. Q. Let's go, then, to that study. If you could turn to ECK-08 at page 72. Let me know when you're there. A. I didn't print that whole study off. We have it right here. Hang on a second. Q. Glad you have help. So page 72, please. A. Yeah. Yep. Q. And if you're there, please look down the page to the second observed heading. A. Yeah. Yep.

	Page 159	Page 16
1	in wave heights."	1 A there
2	Do you see that?	2 Q in the Ruggiero study?
3	A. Yep.	3 A. There actually is an outline showing where the buoys
4	Q. And then the bullet points after that, there are	4 are, and it encapsulates Puget Sound.
5	three bullet points I'd like to direct your attention	5 Q. And those buoys are 400 kilometers west of
6	to.	6 A. Yes.
7	A. Yep.	7 Q Columbia River; correct?
8	Q. So it goes onto the next page.	8 A. Yes. Yeah. Well, I'm going by where the buoys are
9	And I'll just read, for time sake, the italicized	9 on the picture. And the outline shows Puget Sound
10	part.	10 encapsulated, if you will, or surrounded by a dotted
11	"There is no evidence of a long-term trend in	11 line. And I'm assuming weather moves that way
12	storm surge." And then on the next bullet point, "It	12 towards Puget Sound from the buoys and that's why
13	is not known how waves within Puget Sound will change	13 they have captured Puget Sound in the document
14	in the future." And in the third one, "Observed	14 Q. So you're referring, Captain Klapperich, to page 2,
15	trends in wind speed are ambiguous."	15 the fact that there is a map on that page that shows
16	Would you agree that the the University of	16 where the two buoys are?
17	Washington study does not make any scientific	17 A. Yeah. The two buoys are there. And I'm just I
18	forecast regarding the effects of climate change on	18 took into consideration that outline was what was
19	wave action in the Puget Sound?	19 included. That's all.
20	A. I was under the impression with the outline of the	20 Q. And so you
21	map in the other study, it had an outline of areas	21 A. Puget Sound
22	affected that daily weather patterns were not	22 Q. And Captain Klapperich, you're not a climate
23	significantly increasing over the years.	23 scientist; correct?
24	However, the the storm was a small change of	24 A. I am not.
25	increase and intensity. But the what the buoys	Q. Okay. So your reliance on this is on this picture?
	Dogo 160	Dame 16
	Page 100	Page 10
1	Page 160	Page 16
1	were also registering were	1 That's why you relied on this as saying something
2	were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking	1That's why you relied on this as saying something2about the Puget Sound?
2 3	were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting	 That's why you relied on this as saying something about the Puget Sound? A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and
2 3 4	were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my	 That's why you relied on this as saying something about the Puget Sound? A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and they considered the area of Puget Sound as well.
2 3 4 5	were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the	 That's why you relied on this as saying something about the Puget Sound? A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and they considered the area of Puget Sound as well. Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text
2 3 4	were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the University of Washington study about the Puget Sound	 That's why you relied on this as saying something about the Puget Sound? A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and they considered the area of Puget Sound as well. Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text where they said they considered the Puget Sound?
2 3 4 5 6	were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the University of Washington study about the Puget Sound does not make any scientific forecast regarding the	 That's why you relied on this as saying something about the Puget Sound? A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and they considered the area of Puget Sound as well. Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text where they said they considered the Puget Sound? A. No. It says the Pacific Northwest.
2 3 4 5 6 7	were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the University of Washington study about the Puget Sound does not make any scientific forecast regarding the effects of climate change on wave action in the Puget	 That's why you relied on this as saying something about the Puget Sound? A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and they considered the area of Puget Sound as well. Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text where they said they considered the Puget Sound? A. No. It says the Pacific Northwest. Q. It doesn't say the Puget Sound, does it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the University of Washington study about the Puget Sound does not make any scientific forecast regarding the effects of climate change on wave action in the Puget Sound?	 That's why you relied on this as saying something about the Puget Sound? A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and they considered the area of Puget Sound as well. Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text where they said they considered the Puget Sound? A. No. It says the Pacific Northwest. Q. It doesn't say the Puget Sound, does it? A. Well, it does on the picture. It includes it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the University of Washington study about the Puget Sound does not make any scientific forecast regarding the effects of climate change on wave action in the Puget	 That's why you relied on this as saying something about the Puget Sound? A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and they considered the area of Puget Sound as well. Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text where they said they considered the Puget Sound? A. No. It says the Pacific Northwest. Q. It doesn't say the Puget Sound, does it? A. Well, it does on the picture. It includes it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the University of Washington study about the Puget Sound does not make any scientific forecast regarding the effects of climate change on wave action in the Puget Sound? A. On wave action, yes. 	 That's why you relied on this as saying something about the Puget Sound? A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and they considered the area of Puget Sound as well. Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text where they said they considered the Puget Sound? A. No. It says the Pacific Northwest. Q. It doesn't say the Puget Sound, does it? A. Well, it does on the picture. It includes it. Q. It doesn't say Puget Sound, does it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the University of Washington study about the Puget Sound does not make any scientific forecast regarding the effects of climate change on wave action in the Puget Sound? A. On wave action, yes. Q. And while it's certainly possible that climate change 	 That's why you relied on this as saying something about the Puget Sound? A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and they considered the area of Puget Sound as well. Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text where they said they considered the Puget Sound? A. No. It says the Pacific Northwest. Q. It doesn't say the Puget Sound, does it? A. Well, it does on the picture. It includes it. Q. It doesn't say Puget Sound, does it? A. Not that I'm aware of.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the University of Washington study about the Puget Sound does not make any scientific forecast regarding the effects of climate change on wave action in the Puget Sound? A. On wave action, yes. Q. And while it's certainly possible that climate change may ultimately change conditions on the Puget Sound, 	 That's why you relied on this as saying something about the Puget Sound? A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and they considered the area of Puget Sound as well. Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text where they said they considered the Puget Sound? A. No. It says the Pacific Northwest. Q. It doesn't say the Puget Sound, does it? A. Well, it does on the picture. It includes it. Q. It doesn't say Puget Sound, does it? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. And the University of Washington study is specific to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the University of Washington study about the Puget Sound does not make any scientific forecast regarding the effects of climate change on wave action in the Puget Sound? A. On wave action, yes. Q. And while it's certainly possible that climate change may ultimately change conditions on the Puget Sound, would you agree that on the basis of these two 	 That's why you relied on this as saying something about the Puget Sound? A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and they considered the area of Puget Sound as well. Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text where they said they considered the Puget Sound? A. No. It says the Pacific Northwest. Q. It doesn't say the Puget Sound, does it? A. Well, it does on the picture. It includes it. Q. It doesn't say Puget Sound, does it? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. And the University of Washington study is specific to the Puget Sound; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the University of Washington study about the Puget Sound does not make any scientific forecast regarding the effects of climate change on wave action in the Puget Sound? A. On wave action, yes. Q. And while it's certainly possible that climate change may ultimately change conditions on the Puget Sound, would you agree that on the basis of these two studies, there's no clear scientific basis at this 	 That's why you relied on this as saying something about the Puget Sound? A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and they considered the area of Puget Sound as well. Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text where they said they considered the Puget Sound? A. No. It says the Pacific Northwest. Q. It doesn't say the Puget Sound, does it? A. Well, it does on the picture. It includes it. Q. It doesn't say Puget Sound, does it? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. And the University of Washington study is specific to the Puget Sound; correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the University of Washington study about the Puget Sound does not make any scientific forecast regarding the effects of climate change on wave action in the Puget Sound? A. On wave action, yes. Q. And while it's certainly possible that climate change may ultimately change conditions on the Puget Sound, would you agree that on the basis of these two studies, there's no clear scientific basis at this time for making a conclusion that the future 	 That's why you relied on this as saying something about the Puget Sound? A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and they considered the area of Puget Sound as well. Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text where they said they considered the Puget Sound? A. No. It says the Pacific Northwest. Q. It doesn't say the Puget Sound, does it? A. Well, it does on the picture. It includes it. Q. It doesn't say Puget Sound, does it? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. And the University of Washington study is specific to the Puget Sound; correct? A. Yes. Q. And then we already have discussed what was observed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the University of Washington study about the Puget Sound does not make any scientific forecast regarding the effects of climate change on wave action in the Puget Sound? A. On wave action, yes. Q. And while it's certainly possible that climate change may ultimately change conditions on the Puget Sound, would you agree that on the basis of these two studies, there's no clear scientific basis at this time for making a conclusion that the future effects of climate change in the Puget Sound? 	 That's why you relied on this as saying something about the Puget Sound? A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and they considered the area of Puget Sound as well. Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text where they said they considered the Puget Sound? A. No. It says the Pacific Northwest. Q. It doesn't say the Puget Sound, does it? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. And the University of Washington study is specific to the Puget Sound; correct? A. Yes. Q. And then we already have discussed what was observed in the Puget in the Puget Sound study, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the University of Washington study about the Puget Sound does not make any scientific forecast regarding the effects of climate change on wave action in the Puget Sound? A. On wave action, yes. Q. And while it's certainly possible that climate change may ultimately change conditions on the Puget Sound, would you agree that on the basis of these two studies, there's no clear scientific basis at this time for making a conclusion that the future effects of climate change in the Puget Sound? A. I don't agree with that. 	 That's why you relied on this as saying something about the Puget Sound? A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and they considered the area of Puget Sound as well. Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text where they said they considered the Puget Sound? A. No. It says the Pacific Northwest. Q. It doesn't say the Puget Sound, does it? A. Well, it does on the picture. It includes it. Q. And the University of Washington study is specific to the Puget Sound; correct? A. Yes. Q. And then we already have discussed what was observed in the Puget in the Puget Sound study, the University of Washington study; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the University of Washington study about the Puget Sound does not make any scientific forecast regarding the effects of climate change on wave action in the Puget Sound? A. On wave action, yes. Q. And while it's certainly possible that climate change may ultimately change conditions on the Puget Sound, would you agree that on the basis of these two studies, there's no clear scientific basis at this time for making a conclusion that the future effects of climate change in the Puget Sound? A. I don't agree with that. Q. Then please point me to the part of the we've 	 That's why you relied on this as saying something about the Puget Sound? A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and they considered the area of Puget Sound as well. Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text where they said they considered the Puget Sound? A. No. It says the Pacific Northwest. Q. It doesn't say the Puget Sound, does it? A. Well, it does on the picture. It includes it. Q. And the University of Washington study is specific to the Puget Sound; correct? A. Yes. Q. And then we already have discussed what was observed in the Puget in the Puget Sound study, the University of Washington study; correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the University of Washington study about the Puget Sound does not make any scientific forecast regarding the effects of climate change on wave action in the Puget Sound? A. On wave action, yes. Q. And while it's certainly possible that climate change may ultimately change conditions on the Puget Sound, would you agree that on the basis of these two studies, there's no clear scientific basis at this time for making a conclusion that the future effects of climate change in the Puget Sound? A. I don't agree with that. Q. Then please point me to the part of the we've already established the Ruggiero study has nothing specific to the Puget Sound; correct? A. I don't I don't agree with that. 	1That's why you relied on this as saying something2about the Puget Sound?3A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and4they considered the area of Puget Sound as well.5Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text6where they said they considered the Puget Sound?7A. No. It says the Pacific Northwest.8Q. It doesn't say the Puget Sound, does it?9A. Well, it does on the picture. It includes it.10Q. It doesn't say Puget Sound, does it?11A. Not that I'm aware of.12Q. And the University of Washington study is specific to13the Puget Sound; correct?14A. Yes.15Q. And then we already have discussed what was observed16in the Puget in the Puget Sound study, the17University of Washington study; correct?18A. Yes.19MS. DeLAPPE: I have no further questions.20JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.21Do we have any redirect?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the University of Washington study about the Puget Sound does not make any scientific forecast regarding the effects of climate change on wave action in the Puget Sound? A. On wave action, yes. Q. And while it's certainly possible that climate change may ultimately change conditions on the Puget Sound, would you agree that on the basis of these two studies, there's no clear scientific basis at this time for making a conclusion that the future effects of climate change in the Puget Sound? A. I don't agree with that. Q. Then please point me to the part of the we've already established the Ruggiero study has nothing specific to the Puget Sound; correct? A. I don't I don't agree with that. Q. So 	1 That's why you relied on this as saying something 2 about the Puget Sound? 3 A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and 4 they considered the area of Puget Sound as well. 5 Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text 6 where they said they considered the Puget Sound? 7 A. No. It says the Pacific Northwest. 8 Q. It doesn't say the Puget Sound, does it? 9 A. Well, it does on the picture. It includes it. 10 Q. It doesn't say Puget Sound, does it? 11 A. Not that I'm aware of. 12 Q. And the University of Washington study is specific to 13 the Puget Sound; correct? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. And then we already have discussed what was observed 16 in the Puget in the Puget Sound study, the 17 University of Washington study; correct? 18 A. Yes. 19 MS. DeLAPPE: I have no further questions. 10 JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. 21 Do we have any redirect? 22 MR. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the University of Washington study about the Puget Sound does not make any scientific forecast regarding the effects of climate change on wave action in the Puget Sound? A. On wave action, yes. Q. And while it's certainly possible that climate change may ultimately change conditions on the Puget Sound, would you agree that on the basis of these two studies, there's no clear scientific basis at this time for making a conclusion that the future effects of climate change in the Puget Sound? A. I don't agree with that. Q. Then please point me to the part of the we've already established the Ruggiero study has nothing specific to the Puget Sound; correct? A. I don't I don't agree with that. Q. So A. If you go to 	1That's why you relied on this as saying something2about the Puget Sound?3A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and4they considered the area of Puget Sound as well.5Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text6where they said they considered the Puget Sound?7A. No. It says the Pacific Northwest.8Q. It doesn't say the Puget Sound, does it?9A. Well, it does on the picture. It includes it.10Q. It doesn't say Puget Sound, does it?11A. Not that I'm aware of.12Q. And the University of Washington study is specific to13the Puget Sound; correct?14A. Yes.15Q. And then we already have discussed what was observed16in the Puget in the Puget Sound study, the17University of Washington study; correct?18A. Yes.19MS. DeLAPPE: I have no further questions.20JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.21Do we have any redirect?22MR. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor.23Mr. Crandall, could you put on the screen that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the University of Washington study about the Puget Sound does not make any scientific forecast regarding the effects of climate change on wave action in the Puget Sound? A. On wave action, yes. Q. And while it's certainly possible that climate change may ultimately change conditions on the Puget Sound, would you agree that on the basis of these two studies, there's no clear scientific basis at this time for making a conclusion that the future effects of climate change in the Puget Sound? A. I don't agree with that. Q. Then please point me to the part of the we've already established the Ruggiero study has nothing specific to the Puget Sound; correct? A. I don't I don't agree with that. Q. So A. If you go to Q you already testified earlier that there's no 	1That's why you relied on this as saying something2about the Puget Sound?3A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and4they considered the area of Puget Sound as well.5Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text6where they said they considered the Puget Sound?7A. No. It says the Pacific Northwest.8Q. It doesn't say the Puget Sound, does it?9A. Well, it does on the picture. It includes it.10Q. It doesn't say Puget Sound, does it?11A. Not that I'm aware of.12Q. And the University of Washington study is specific to13the Puget Sound; correct?14A. Yes.15Q. And then we already have discussed what was observed16in the Puget in the Puget Sound study, the17University of Washington study; correct?18A. Yes.19MS. DeLAPPE: I have no further questions.20JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.21Do we have any redirect?22MR. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor.23Mr. Crandall, could you put on the screen that24page that Captain Klapperich was just discussing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 were also registering were Q. Captain Klapperich, I understand that you are talking about the Ruggiero study. My question I'm waiting for you to get to the part where you answer my question, which is: Would you agree that the University of Washington study about the Puget Sound does not make any scientific forecast regarding the effects of climate change on wave action in the Puget Sound? A. On wave action, yes. Q. And while it's certainly possible that climate change may ultimately change conditions on the Puget Sound, would you agree that on the basis of these two studies, there's no clear scientific basis at this time for making a conclusion that the future effects of climate change in the Puget Sound? A. I don't agree with that. Q. Then please point me to the part of the we've already established the Ruggiero study has nothing specific to the Puget Sound; correct? A. I don't I don't agree with that. Q. So A. If you go to 	1That's why you relied on this as saying something2about the Puget Sound?3A. I am relying on this area that the buoys were in, and4they considered the area of Puget Sound as well.5Q. Are you can you point to that part of the text6where they said they considered the Puget Sound?7A. No. It says the Pacific Northwest.8Q. It doesn't say the Puget Sound, does it?9A. Well, it does on the picture. It includes it.10Q. It doesn't say Puget Sound, does it?11A. Not that I'm aware of.12Q. And the University of Washington study is specific to13the Puget Sound; correct?14A. Yes.15Q. And then we already have discussed what was observed16in the Puget in the Puget Sound study, the17University of Washington study; correct?18A. Yes.19MS. DeLAPPE: I have no further questions.20JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.21Do we have any redirect?22MR. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor.23Mr. Crandall, could you put on the screen that

18 (Pages 159 to 162)

	Page 163		Page 165
1	THE WITNESS: 07.	1	BY MR. HAGLUND:
2	MR. HAGLUND: 07. And could you pull out	2	Q. In your day-to-day work as a pilot, how would you
3	the upper part, including the caption for that map	3	characterize the significance of potentially severe
4	that is on page 2 of this study.	4	weather in connection with a pilotage assignment?
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	5	A. In terms of priorities, it's a high priority. I
6	BY MR. HAGLUND:	6	mean, you have to consider it for a lot of job prep.
7	Q. In your experience, Captain Klapperich, would you	7	And it's just a priority of every job, especially
8	expect ocean conditions, as registered by the two	8	during our winter months.
9	buoys that are west of Oregon and Southwest	9	Q. Could you so that you can make sure that this is
10	Washington, not terribly far from Puget Sound, that	10	understood in context by the Commissioners, could you
11	those buoy readings would be indicative of whether	11	just give an example of the type of preparation you
12	that would impact Puget Sound?	12	do for an assignment where you know stormy conditions
13	A. Yes, including the outline and how severe weather	13	are likely?
14	patterns and a lot of weather patterns move in a	14	What exactly do you do in connection with your
15	northeasterly fashion.	15	preparation?
16	Q. And did you take this map as indicating that the buoy	16	A. So for example, transit from Port Angeles pilot
17	data from those two buoys should be taken into	17	station to Tacoma is 88 miles. And the weather can
18	consideration in terms of potential increases in	18	be significantly different in Tacoma than it is at
19	in storm intensity within the zone that includes your	19	Port Angeles. So when you prepare and do your voyage
20	Puget Sound waterways?	20	planning before you get the assignment, you will
21	A. Yes.	21	you will research and do what you can to find out
22	Q. And it was on this basis, with this map and the	22	what the weather might be in five hours from Port
23 24	discussion of – in the paper itself regarding increased storm intensity arising from climate change	23 24	Angeles, and that way you can prepare a number of
24	that led you to make the statements you did in your	25	tugboats or possibly even if you need to go to anchor, depending on your assignment.
23	that led you to make the statements you did in your		anchor, depending on your assignment.
	Page 164		Page 166
1	testimony regarding climate change as a factor?	1	But weather is a high priority, and it weighs a
2	A. Yes.	2	lot on every assignment.
3	Q. And do you know, from just your observations in the	3	Q. Now turning to some of the other areas of your
4	last several years, that storm intensity is	4	cross-examination.
5	increasing and it's attributable in large measure to	5	First, are you familiar with the allision
6	climate change?	6	involving the motor vessel Levant at the Petrogas
7	A. In in my time as a pilot for 15 years, I feel like	7	chemical plant in March of 2020 that occurred while
8	weather is more severe than what is predicted.	8	that vessel was under pilotage?
9	That's just my personal experience.	9	A. I'm aware of it.
10	Q. And is that a risk factor that you have to take into	10	Q. And that was a major allision that caused millions of
11	account when you're preparing to perform jobs that,	11	dollars of damage; is that correct?
12	in preparation for the assignment, require you to	12	A. Yes, I believe so.
13	examine the weather conditions, et cetera, that	13	Q. To your knowledge, is the pilot who was on that
14	relate	14	vessel a defendant in federal litigation in Seattle
15	MS. DeLAPPE: Objection. Outside of scope.	15	arising out of
16	l asked nothing about that topic.	16	MS. DeLAPPE: Objection to the leading
17	JUDGE HOWARD: I I'm going to allow the	17	questions, please. This is his own witness.
18	question.	18	JUDGE HOWARD: I I'm going to that
19 20	THE WITNESS: Weather is a major	19	question was was problematic. I'll allow that
I ∠∪	consideration. We go through more than one weather	20 21	particular question, but I would want to be careful
	pattorn or can go through more then one weether		of being too leading with redirect.
21	pattern, or can go through more than one weather		BY MR HAGILIND.
21 22	pattern in a single transit. And we have to know, to	22	BY MR. HAGLUND: Q You can go abead and answer
21 22 23	pattern in a single transit. And we have to know, to the best of our ability, what we will be approaching	22 23	Q. You can go ahead and answer.
21 22 23 24	pattern in a single transit. And we have to know, to the best of our ability, what we will be approaching or dealing with in our waterway transits in our	22 23 24	Q. You can go ahead and answer.A. Just repeat the question.
21 22 23	pattern in a single transit. And we have to know, to the best of our ability, what we will be approaching	22 23	Q. You can go ahead and answer.

19 (Pages 163 to 166)

	Page 167		Page 169
1	involving that casualty?	1	brought up, it seems like it shouldn't be
2	A. Yes. I'm aware of it. Yes.	2	extraordinarily narrow in its
3	Q. Now, in your opinion, Captain Klapperich, is there a	3	MS. DeLAPPE: It wasn't about
4	relationship between the quality of trainees and	4	JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to allow the
5	PSP's ability to maintain its excellent safety	5	question.
6	record?	6	THE WITNESS: Could you repeat it, Mike?
7	A. Yes.	7	BY MR. HAGLUND:
8	Q. Are you concerned that low pay of the pilot corps	8	Q. In your years as a pilot, have you observed
9	will affect the quality of the trainees coming to	9	situations where trainees have either quit or washed
10	Puget Sound?	10	out by virtue of termination by the BPC?
11	A. Yes.	11	A. Yes.
12	MS. DeLAPPE: And I would just lodge an	12	MR. HAGLUND: No further questions.
13	ongoing objection to all of the leading questions by	13	MS. DeLAPPE: I do have a few questions for
14	PSP's counsel and PSP's witness.	14	redirect, Your Honor.
15	BY MR. HAGLUND:	15	JUDGE HOWARD: Well, we we normally limit
16	Q. In your opinion, Captain Klapperich, can a training	16	cross to one round for these witnesses.
17	program turn a substandard candidate into an elite	17	MS. DeLAPPE: If I may just bring up one
18	pilot?	18	question.
19	A. I don't think so.	19	MR. HAGLUND: Your Honor Your Honor, I
20	Q. Once a trainee is licensed after a lengthy training	20	haven't seen recross allowed in federal court, state
21	period, are the is that trainee sufficiently	21	court, or in rate cases.
22	trained to handle all sizes of ships?	22	So I if you open the door now, I don't know
23	A. No.	23	why it wouldn't be permitted for PSP's counsel as
24	Q. So does training continue during the early years of a	24	well.
25	pilot's career with Puget Sound Pilots?	25	JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to decline to allow
25		25	
	Page 168		Page 170
1	5	1	2
1 2	A. Training continues throughout our careers.	1 2	a second round of cross.
	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early 		2
2	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? 	2	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will
2 3	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is 	2 3	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the
2 3 4	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is actually manned model training, where we go to elite 	2 3 4	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue.
2 3 4 5	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is 	2 3 4 5 6 7	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue. Our next you know, I believe it might be
2 3 4 5 6	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is actually manned model training, where we go to elite training facilities in Europe or Australia. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue. Our next you know, I believe it might be appropriate for us to take a ten-minute break, and we will then move to Captain Bendixen, who will be our next witness.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is actually manned model training, where we go to elite training facilities in Europe or Australia. In addition, trainees and newly licensed pilots 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue. Our next you know, I believe it might be appropriate for us to take a ten-minute break, and we will then move to Captain Bendixen, who will be our next witness. So I will we'll all rejoin here at 11:20.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is actually manned model training, where we go to elite training facilities in Europe or Australia. In addition, trainees and newly licensed pilots have and every year they have to do their 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue. Our next you know, I believe it might be appropriate for us to take a ten-minute break, and we will then move to Captain Bendixen, who will be our next witness. So I will we'll all rejoin here at 11:20. We are off the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is actually manned model training, where we go to elite training facilities in Europe or Australia. In addition, trainees and newly licensed pilots have and every year they have to do their upgrade upgrade trips, which moves them on. They 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue. Our next you know, I believe it might be appropriate for us to take a ten-minute break, and we will then move to Captain Bendixen, who will be our next witness. So I will we'll all rejoin here at 11:20. We are off the record. (A break was taken from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is actually manned model training, where we go to elite training facilities in Europe or Australia. In addition, trainees and newly licensed pilots have and every year they have to do their upgrade upgrade trips, which moves them on. They have a certain amount of upgrade trips to do before 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue. Our next you know, I believe it might be appropriate for us to take a ten-minute break, and we will then move to Captain Bendixen, who will be our next witness. So I will we'll all rejoin here at 11:20. We are off the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is actually manned model training, where we go to elite training facilities in Europe or Australia. In addition, trainees and newly licensed pilots have and every year they have to do their upgrade upgrade trips, which moves them on. They have a certain amount of upgrade trips to do before their next level of piloting. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue. Our next you know, I believe it might be appropriate for us to take a ten-minute break, and we will then move to Captain Bendixen, who will be our next witness. So I will we'll all rejoin here at 11:20. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:09 a.m. to 11:21 a.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is actually manned model training, where we go to elite training facilities in Europe or Australia. In addition, trainees and newly licensed pilots have and every year they have to do their upgrade upgrade trips, which moves them on. They have a certain amount of upgrade trips to do before their next level of piloting. In addition, we do simulator training which is in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue. Our next you know, I believe it might be appropriate for us to take a ten-minute break, and we will then move to Captain Bendixen, who will be our next witness. So I will we'll all rejoin here at 11:20. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:09 a.m. to 11:21 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is actually manned model training, where we go to elite training facilities in Europe or Australia. In addition, trainees and newly licensed pilots have and every year they have to do their upgrade upgrade trips, which moves them on. They have a certain amount of upgrade trips to do before their next level of piloting. In addition, we do simulator training which is in front of a computer and screen down at MITAGS. The 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue. Our next you know, I believe it might be appropriate for us to take a ten-minute break, and we will then move to Captain Bendixen, who will be our next witness. So I will we'll all rejoin here at 11:20. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:09 a.m. to 11:21 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. Returning after our break. It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is actually manned model training, where we go to elite training facilities in Europe or Australia. In addition, trainees and newly licensed pilots have and every year they have to do their upgrade upgrade trips, which moves them on. They have a certain amount of upgrade trips to do before their next level of piloting. In addition, we do simulator training which is in front of a computer and screen down at MITAGS. The 300 or sorry, 270-degree bridge. We do some 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue. Our next you know, I believe it might be appropriate for us to take a ten-minute break, and we will then move to Captain Bendixen, who will be our next witness. So I will we'll all rejoin here at 11:20. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:09 a.m. to 11:21 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. Returning after our break. It's 11:20 a.m. Let's turn to our next witness, PSP's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is actually manned model training, where we go to elite training facilities in Europe or Australia. In addition, trainees and newly licensed pilots have and every year they have to do their upgrade upgrade trips, which moves them on. They have a certain amount of upgrade trips to do before their next level of piloting. In addition, we do simulator training which is in front of a computer and screen down at MITAGS. The 300 or sorry, 270-degree bridge. We do some escort team training for pilots as soon as we can get 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue. Our next you know, I believe it might be appropriate for us to take a ten-minute break, and we will then move to Captain Bendixen, who will be our next witness. So I will we'll all rejoin here at 11:20. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:09 a.m. to 11:21 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. Returning after our break. It's 11:20 a.m. Let's turn to our next witness, PSP's witness, Captain Bendixen. I see you have your video
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is actually manned model training, where we go to elite training facilities in Europe or Australia. In addition, trainees and newly licensed pilots have and every year they have to do their upgrade upgrade trips, which moves them on. They have a certain amount of upgrade trips to do before their next level of piloting. In addition, we do simulator training which is in front of a computer and screen down at MITAGS. The 300 or sorry, 270-degree bridge. We do some escort team training for pilots as soon as we can get them in there and they're licensed, and we do that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue. Our next you know, I believe it might be appropriate for us to take a ten-minute break, and we will then move to Captain Bendixen, who will be our next witness. So I will we'll all rejoin here at 11:20. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:09 a.m. to 11:21 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. Returning after our break. It's 11:20 a.m. Let's turn to our next witness, PSP's witness, Captain Bendixen. I see you have your video feed on. If you could raise your right hand, I'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is actually manned model training, where we go to elite training facilities in Europe or Australia. In addition, trainees and newly licensed pilots have and every year they have to do their upgrade upgrade trips, which moves them on. They have a certain amount of upgrade trips to do before their next level of piloting. In addition, we do simulator training which is in front of a computer and screen down at MITAGS. The 300 or sorry, 270-degree bridge. We do some escort team training for pilots as soon as we can get them in there and they're licensed, and we do that training throughout our careers. But it's it's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue. Our next you know, I believe it might be appropriate for us to take a ten-minute break, and we will then move to Captain Bendixen, who will be our next witness. So I will we'll all rejoin here at 11:20. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:09 a.m. to 11:21 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. Returning after our break. It's 11:20 a.m. Let's turn to our next witness, PSP's witness, Captain Bendixen. I see you have your video feed on. If you could raise your right hand, I'll swear you in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is actually manned model training, where we go to elite training facilities in Europe or Australia. In addition, trainees and newly licensed pilots have and every year they have to do their upgrade upgrade trips, which moves them on. They have a certain amount of upgrade trips to do before their next level of piloting. In addition, we do simulator training which is in front of a computer and screen down at MITAGS. The 300 or sorry, 270-degree bridge. We do some escort team training for pilots as soon as we can get them in there and they're licensed, and we do that training throughout our careers. But it's it's ongoing. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue. Our next you know, I believe it might be appropriate for us to take a ten-minute break, and we will then move to Captain Bendixen, who will be our next witness. So I will we'll all rejoin here at 11:20. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:09 a.m. to 11:21 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. Returning after our break. It's 11:20 a.m. Let's turn to our next witness, PSP's witness, Captain Bendixen. I see you have your video feed on. If you could raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is actually manned model training, where we go to elite training facilities in Europe or Australia. In addition, trainees and newly licensed pilots have and every year they have to do their upgrade upgrade trips, which moves them on. They have a certain amount of upgrade trips to do before their next level of piloting. In addition, we do simulator training which is in front of a computer and screen down at MITAGS. The 300 or sorry, 270-degree bridge. We do some escort team training for pilots as soon as we can get them in there and they're licensed, and we do that training throughout our careers. But it's it's ongoing. Q. Now, in your years as a pilot, have you observed that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue. Our next you know, I believe it might be appropriate for us to take a ten-minute break, and we will then move to Captain Bendixen, who will be our next witness. So I will we'll all rejoin here at 11:20. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:09 a.m. to 11:21 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. Returning after our break. It's 11:20 a.m. Let's turn to our next witness, PSP's witness, Captain Bendixen. I see you have your video feed on. If you could raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear THE WITNESS: Am I muted?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is actually manned model training, where we go to elite training facilities in Europe or Australia. In addition, trainees and newly licensed pilots have and every year they have to do their upgrade upgrade trips, which moves them on. They have a certain amount of upgrade trips to do before their next level of piloting. In addition, we do simulator training which is in front of a computer and screen down at MITAGS. The 300 or sorry, 270-degree bridge. We do some escort team training for pilots as soon as we can get them in there and they're licensed, and we do that training throughout our careers. But it's it's ongoing. Q. Now, in your years as a pilot, have you observed that there are trainees who either quit or are terminated 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue. Our next you know, I believe it might be appropriate for us to take a ten-minute break, and we will then move to Captain Bendixen, who will be our next witness. So I will we'll all rejoin here at 11:20. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:09 a.m. to 11:21 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. Returning after our break. It's 11:20 a.m. Let's turn to our next witness, PSP's witness, Captain Bendixen. I see you have your video feed on. If you could raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is actually manned model training, where we go to elite training facilities in Europe or Australia. In addition, trainees and newly licensed pilots have and every year they have to do their upgrade upgrade trips, which moves them on. They have a certain amount of upgrade trips to do before their next level of piloting. In addition, we do simulator training which is in front of a computer and screen down at MITAGS. The 300 or sorry, 270-degree bridge. We do some escort team training for pilots as soon as we can get them in there and they're licensed, and we do that training throughout our careers. But it's it's ongoing. Q. Now, in your years as a pilot, have you observed that there are trainees who either quit or are terminated by the BPC? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue. Our next you know, I believe it might be appropriate for us to take a ten-minute break, and we will then move to Captain Bendixen, who will be our next witness. So I will we'll all rejoin here at 11:20. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:09 a.m. to 11:21 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. Returning after our break. It's 11:20 a.m. Let's turn to our next witness, PSP's witness, Captain Bendixen. I see you have your video feed on. If you could raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear THE WITNESS: Am I muted? JUDGE HOWARD: Sorry. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is actually manned model training, where we go to elite training facilities in Europe or Australia. In addition, trainees and newly licensed pilots have and every year they have to do their upgrade upgrade trips, which moves them on. They have a certain amount of upgrade trips to do before their next level of piloting. In addition, we do simulator training which is in front of a computer and screen down at MITAGS. The 300 or sorry, 270-degree bridge. We do some escort team training for pilots as soon as we can get them in there and they're licensed, and we do that training throughout our careers. But it's it's ongoing. Q. Now, in your years as a pilot, have you observed that there are trainees who either quit or are terminated by the BPC? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue. Our next you know, I believe it might be appropriate for us to take a ten-minute break, and we will then move to Captain Bendixen, who will be our next witness. So I will we'll all rejoin here at 11:20. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:09 a.m. to 11:21 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. Returning after our break. It's 11:20 a.m. Let's turn to our next witness, PSP's witness, Captain Bendixen. I see you have your video feed on. If you could raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear THE WITNESS: Am I muted? JUDGE HOWARD: Sorry. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Am I muted.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Training continues throughout our careers. Q. What's the nature of the training regimen in early in your career? A. You have what they call simulation training, which is actually manned model training, where we go to elite training facilities in Europe or Australia. In addition, trainees and newly licensed pilots have and every year they have to do their upgrade upgrade trips, which moves them on. They have a certain amount of upgrade trips to do before their next level of piloting. In addition, we do simulator training which is in front of a computer and screen down at MITAGS. The 300 or sorry, 270-degree bridge. We do some escort team training for pilots as soon as we can get them in there and they're licensed, and we do that training throughout our careers. But it's it's ongoing. O. Now, in your years as a pilot, have you observed that there are trainees who either quit or are terminated by the BPC? MS. DeLAPPE: I would like to object that that is outside totally outside the scope of my 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 a second round of cross. Thank you, Mr Captain Klapperich. We will be seeing you again after our lunch break for the cross-examination on the vessel tonnage issue. Our next you know, I believe it might be appropriate for us to take a ten-minute break, and we will then move to Captain Bendixen, who will be our next witness. So I will we'll all rejoin here at 11:20. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:09 a.m. to 11:21 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. Returning after our break. It's 11:20 a.m. Let's turn to our next witness, PSP's witness, Captain Bendixen. I see you have your video feed on. If you could raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear THE WITNESS: Am I muted? JUDGE HOWARD: Sorry. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Am I muted. JUDGE HOWARD: No, I can hear you.

20 (Pages 167 to 170)

	Page 171		Page 173
1	* * * * *	1	sea time requirements, age, things like that would be
2	Captain Sandy Bendixen, having been first duly	2	a "yes" for qualified to take the exam.
	sworn, was examined and	3	And I wouldn't say that they're all qualified
3	testified as follows:	4	candidates, but qualified to enter the first gate
4	THE WITNESS: I do.	5	or the second gate. The first one would be 21 years,
5	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.	6	sea time, 1600-ton master for a minimum of two years,
6	Mr. Haglund, could you please introduce the	7	and some other ones.
7	witness and tender the witness for examination.	8	Q. Thank you for that clarification.
8	MR. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor.	9	So after of any particular exam, does the BPC
9	Captain Bendixen, did you prepare original and	10	allow individuals who did not pass the exam to be
10	rebuttal testimony in this case?	11	added to the list of potential trainees?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.	12	A. There's a protest process.
12	MR. HAGLUND: Is it true and accurate to the	13	Is that what you're referring to?
13	best of your knowledge?	14	Q. No. Just, generally, if you if a if somebody
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.	15	sits for the exam and does not pass the exam, whether
15	MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for	16	through the culmination of a protest period or
16	cross-examination.	17	otherwise, does that person who failed the exam get
17	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.	18	to then be added to nevertheless to the list of
18	PMSA may proceed with your cross.	19	potential trainees?
19 20	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE:	20	A. I can only speak to my experience that I have now.
20 21	Q. Good morning, Captain Bendixen. You're a member of	21	And I actually haven't been the chair for a piloted
22	the Board of Pilotage Commissioners; correct?	22	examination process. So I can't really answer that
23	A. Yes. The Washington State Board of Pilotage	23	question.
24	Commission.	24	Q. So
25	Q. And are you the chair of the training and examination	25	A. I'm
	Page 172		Page 174
-	Page 172		Page 174
1	committee?	1	Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your
2	committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes.	2	Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply
2 3	committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee?	2 3	Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen
2 3 4	committee?A. The training evaluation committee, yes.Q. Training and evaluation committee?A. Yes.	2 3 4	Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added
2 3 4 5	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training 	2 3 4 5	Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees?
2 3 4 5 6	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training process? 	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees? A. After the protest process has been completed and
2 3 4 5 6 7	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training process? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees? A. After the protest process has been completed and reviewed, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training process? A. Yes. Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about pilot 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees? A. After the protest process has been completed and reviewed, no. Q. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training process? A. Yes. Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about pilot safety and training under the BPC exam training and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees? A. After the protest process has been completed and reviewed, no. Q. Thank you. When the BPC reviews the qualifications of a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training process? A. Yes. Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about pilot safety and training under the BPC exam training and licensing processes. Okay? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees? A. After the protest process has been completed and reviewed, no. Q. Thank you. When the BPC reviews the qualifications of a trainee in the training program, does the BPC offer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training process? A. Yes. Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about pilot safety and training under the BPC exam training and licensing processes. Okay? A. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees? A. After the protest process has been completed and reviewed, no. Q. Thank you. When the BPC reviews the qualifications of a trainee in the training program, does the BPC offer state licenses to individuals who fail to pass the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training process? A. Yes. Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about pilot safety and training under the BPC exam training and licensing processes. Okay? A. Okay. Q. So when BPC when the BPC administers an exam, are 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees? A. After the protest process has been completed and reviewed, no. Q. Thank you. When the BPC reviews the qualifications of a trainee in the training program, does the BPC offer state licenses to individuals who fail to pass the observation, training, and evaluation phases of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training process? A. Yes. Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about pilot safety and training under the BPC exam training and licensing processes. Okay? A. Okay. Q. So when BPC when the BPC administers an exam, are unqualified individuals allowed to sit for the exam? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees? A. After the protest process has been completed and reviewed, no. Q. Thank you. When the BPC reviews the qualifications of a trainee in the training program, does the BPC offer state licenses to individuals who fail to pass the observation, training, and evaluation phases of the program?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training process? A. Yes. Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about pilot safety and training under the BPC exam training and licensing processes. Okay? A. Okay. Q. So when BPC when the BPC administers an exam, are unqualified individuals allowed to sit for the exam? A. I can answer that question. I think it's important 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees? A. After the protest process has been completed and reviewed, no. Q. Thank you. When the BPC reviews the qualifications of a trainee in the training program, does the BPC offer state licenses to individuals who fail to pass the observation, training, and evaluation phases of the program? A. So there is potential to do that, because the State
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training process? A. Yes. Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about pilot safety and training under the BPC exam training and licensing processes. Okay? A. Okay. Q. So when BPC when the BPC administers an exam, are unqualified individuals allowed to sit for the exam? A. I can answer that question. I think it's important to understand, though, what a qualified candidate is. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees? A. After the protest process has been completed and reviewed, no. Q. Thank you. When the BPC reviews the qualifications of a trainee in the training program, does the BPC offer state licenses to individuals who fail to pass the observation, training, and evaluation phases of the program? A. So there is potential to do that, because the State training program is one of the multiple requirements
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training process? A. Yes. Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about pilot safety and training under the BPC exam training and licensing processes. Okay? A. Okay. Q. So when BPC when the BPC administers an exam, are unqualified individuals allowed to sit for the exam? A. I can answer that question. I think it's important to understand, though, what a qualified candidate is. A qualified candidate to me, looking at the 2018 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees? A. After the protest process has been completed and reviewed, no. Q. Thank you. When the BPC reviews the qualifications of a trainee in the training program, does the BPC offer state licenses to individuals who fail to pass the observation, training, and evaluation phases of the program? A. So there is potential to do that, because the State training program is one of the multiple requirements for licensure. So there's other requirements that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training process? A. Yes. Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about pilot safety and training under the BPC exam training and licensing processes. Okay? A. Okay. Q. So when BPC when the BPC administers an exam, are unqualified individuals allowed to sit for the exam? A. I can answer that question. I think it's important to understand, though, what a qualified candidate is. A qualified candidate to me, looking at the 2018 annual report for the BPC which defines it as a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees? A. After the protest process has been completed and reviewed, no. Q. Thank you. When the BPC reviews the qualifications of a trainee in the training program, does the BPC offer state licenses to individuals who fail to pass the observation, training, and evaluation phases of the program? A. So there is potential to do that, because the State training program is one of the multiple requirements for licensure. So there's other requirements that is outside the State's jurisdiction. But it is required
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training process? A. Yes. Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about pilot safety and training under the BPC exam training and licensing processes. Okay? A. Okay. Q. So when BPC when the BPC administers an exam, are unqualified individuals allowed to sit for the exam? A. I can answer that question. I think it's important to understand, though, what a qualified candidate is. A qualified candidate to me, looking at the 2018 annual report for the BPC which defines it as a multistep process for becoming qualified 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees? A. After the protest process has been completed and reviewed, no. Q. Thank you. When the BPC reviews the qualifications of a trainee in the training program, does the BPC offer state licenses to individuals who fail to pass the observation, training, and evaluation phases of the program? A. So there is potential to do that, because the State training program is one of the multiple requirements for licensure. So there's other requirements that is outside the State's jurisdiction. But it is required within the WAC.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training process? A. Yes. Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about pilot safety and training under the BPC exam training and licensing processes. Okay? A. Okay. Q. So when BPC when the BPC administers an exam, are unqualified individuals allowed to sit for the exam? A. I can answer that question. I think it's important to understand, though, what a qualified candidate is. A qualified candidate to me, looking at the 2018 annual report for the BPC which defines it as a multistep process for becoming qualified qualification, multistep qualification process. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees? A. After the protest process has been completed and reviewed, no. Q. Thank you. When the BPC reviews the qualifications of a trainee in the training program, does the BPC offer state licenses to individuals who fail to pass the observation, training, and evaluation phases of the program? A. So there is potential to do that, because the State training program is one of the multiple requirements for licensure. So there's other requirements that is outside the State's jurisdiction. But it is required within the WAC. Q. So are you saying that sometimes the BPC awards a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training process? A. Yes. Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about pilot safety and training under the BPC exam training and licensing processes. Okay? A. Okay. Q. So when BPC when the BPC administers an exam, are unqualified individuals allowed to sit for the exam? A. I can answer that question. I think it's important to understand, though, what a qualified candidate is. A qualified candidate to me, looking at the 2018 annual report for the BPC which defines it as a multistep process for becoming qualified qualification, multistep qualification process. So the it's a series of many gates to go 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees? A. After the protest process has been completed and reviewed, no. Q. Thank you. When the BPC reviews the qualifications of a trainee in the training program, does the BPC offer state licenses to individuals who fail to pass the observation, training, and evaluation phases of the program? A. So there is potential to do that, because the State training program is one of the multiple requirements for licensure. So there's other requirements that is outside the State's jurisdiction. But it is required within the WAC. Q. So are you saying that sometimes the BPC awards a license to somebody who failed the who failed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training process? A. Yes. Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about pilot safety and training under the BPC exam training and licensing processes. Okay? A. Okay. Q. So when BPC when the BPC administers an exam, are unqualified individuals allowed to sit for the exam? A. I can answer that question. I think it's important to understand, though, what a qualified candidate is. A qualified candidate to me, looking at the 2018 annual report for the BPC which defines it as a multistep process for becoming qualified qualification, multistep qualification process. So the it's a series of many gates to go through to be a qualified pilotage training 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees? A. After the protest process has been completed and reviewed, no. Q. Thank you. When the BPC reviews the qualifications of a trainee in the training program, does the BPC offer state licenses to individuals who fail to pass the observation, training, and evaluation phases of the program? A. So there is potential to do that, because the State training program is one of the multiple requirements for licensure. So there's other requirements that is outside the State's jurisdiction. But it is required within the WAC. Q. So are you saying that sometimes the BPC awards a license to somebody who failed the who failed to pass the observation training and evaluation phases
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training process? A. Yes. Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about pilot safety and training under the BPC exam training and licensing processes. Okay? A. Okay. Q. So when BPC when the BPC administers an exam, are unqualified individuals allowed to sit for the exam? A. I can answer that question. I think it's important to understand, though, what a qualified candidate is. A qualified candidate to me, looking at the 2018 annual report for the BPC which defines it as a multistep process for becoming qualified qualification, multistep qualification process. So the it's a series of many gates to go through to be a qualified pilotage training candidate. The first one being a U.S. citizen 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees? A. After the protest process has been completed and reviewed, no. Q. Thank you. When the BPC reviews the qualifications of a trainee in the training program, does the BPC offer state licenses to individuals who fail to pass the observation, training, and evaluation phases of the program? A. So there is potential to do that, because the State training program is one of the multiple requirements for licensure. So there's other requirements that is outside the State's jurisdiction. But it is required within the WAC. Q. So are you saying that sometimes the BPC awards a license to somebody who failed the who failed to pass the observation training and evaluation phases of the program?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training process? A. Yes. Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about pilot safety and training under the BPC exam training and licensing processes. Okay? A. Okay. Q. So when BPC when the BPC administers an exam, are unqualified individuals allowed to sit for the exam? A. I can answer that question. I think it's important to understand, though, what a qualified candidate is. A qualified candidate to me, looking at the 2018 annual report for the BPC which defines it as a multistep process for becoming qualified qualification, multistep qualification process. So the it's a series of many gates to go through to be a qualified pilotage training candidate. The first one being a U.S. citizen would be the first gate that you would go through. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees? A. After the protest process has been completed and reviewed, no. Q. Thank you. When the BPC reviews the qualifications of a trainee in the training program, does the BPC offer state licenses to individuals who fail to pass the observation, training, and evaluation phases of the program? A. So there is potential to do that, because the State training program is one of the multiple requirements for licensure. So there's other requirements that is outside the State's jurisdiction. But it is required within the WAC. Q. So are you saying that sometimes the BPC awards a license to somebody who failed the who failed to pass the observation training and evaluation phases of the program? A. So there's no observation failing training program.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 committee? A. The training evaluation committee, yes. Q. Training and evaluation committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you're deeply involved in the training process? A. Yes. Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about pilot safety and training under the BPC exam training and licensing processes. Okay? A. Okay. Q. So when BPC when the BPC administers an exam, are unqualified individuals allowed to sit for the exam? A. I can answer that question. I think it's important to understand, though, what a qualified candidate is. A qualified candidate to me, looking at the 2018 annual report for the BPC which defines it as a multistep process for becoming qualified qualification, multistep qualification process. So the it's a series of many gates to go through to be a qualified pilotage training candidate. The first one being a U.S. citizen 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So, Captain Bendixen, based to the best of your knowledge, based on your experience being deeply involved in the training process, have you ever seen the BPC allow someone who failed the exam to be added to the list of potential trainees? A. After the protest process has been completed and reviewed, no. Q. Thank you. When the BPC reviews the qualifications of a trainee in the training program, does the BPC offer state licenses to individuals who fail to pass the observation, training, and evaluation phases of the program? A. So there is potential to do that, because the State training program is one of the multiple requirements for licensure. So there's other requirements that is outside the State's jurisdiction. But it is required within the WAC. Q. So are you saying that sometimes the BPC awards a license to somebody who failed the who failed to pass the observation training and evaluation phases of the program?

21 (Pages 171 to 174)

	Page 175	Page 177
1	defined in the WAC what would cause for somebody to	1 meeting bare minimum requirements. It is frankly
2	be removed. So I would have to reference the WAC to	2 disturbing to me that PMSA appears to believe that
3	see but that's all been publicly available, and I	3 this is where the bar is or should be set when it
4	just don't have that with me right here. So I can't	4 comes to resourcing a pilotage system that is the
5	really answer that without but there is avenues to	5 frontline of defense, protecting Puget Sound from a
6	be able to do those things. And I also would have to	6 catastrophic oil spill or other major maritime
7	consult with the BPC attorney general in all those	7 casualty."
8	matters.	8 Did I read that correctly?
9	Q. So, Captain Bendixen, in your experience at the BPC,	9 A. That is what I wrote.
10	does it offer state licenses to individuals who fail	10 Q. And the final sentence of your response on that page,
11	to earn their federal first class pilot endorsement?	11 you said, "As a Puget Sound pilot and member of the
12	A. It absolutely would if they cannot achieve their	12 BPC, I will never be satisfied"
13	federal first class pilot endorsement.	13 A. Can you hold on one second? I'm trying to follow
14	Q. So it would deny a license, I don't I think you're	14 along. And I don't see on the that page.
15	saying	15 Q. I'm sorry. On the next page.
16	A. That's required. In order to work, you have to have	16 A. Okay.
17	your federal pilot's license.	Q. The very final sentence thank you of your
18	Q. Okay.	18 response.
19	A. Now, I think it could be pending. Because of COVID,	19 A. Okay. Can you start again?
20	we had extreme challenges that our trainees have and	20 Q. Certainly.
21	our pilots have for license renewals. And for the 25	21 You said, "As a Puget Sound pilot and member of
22	charts they have to draw, they're making them go to	22 the BPC, I will never be satisfied with adequacy when
23	50 separate appointments at the examination center at	23 it comes" I think it should say to "my
24	the federal building. And so that process was	24 professional responsibilities, the constituency of
25	COVID restrictions, but they have not been able to	25 PSP's membership, and the level of protection we
	Page 176	Page 178
1	-	
1	they may have passed a training program, but they are	 provide to our state's waters"; correct? A. That is what I wrote.
2 3	not have a pilot license in hand. They're voted pending licensure because of the challenges at the	3 Q. Great. The BPC the BPC has minimum criteria for
4		
	federal level still that exists today with COVID ramifications	4 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just
5	ramifications.	 4 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just 5 discussed earlier; right?
5 6	ramifications. Q. And so the BPC still won't finalize an award of a	 4 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just 5 discussed earlier; right? 6 A. That is correct. They have minimum sea time
5 6 7	ramifications. Q. And so the BPC still won't finalize an award of a state license until a pilot has their federal first	 4 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just 5 discussed earlier; right? 6 A. That is correct. They have minimum sea time 7 qualification requirements. So, yes, that is
5 6	ramifications. Q. And so the BPC still won't finalize an award of a state license until a pilot has their federal first class pilot endorsement.	 4 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just 5 discussed earlier; right? 6 A. That is correct. They have minimum sea time 7 qualification requirements. So, yes, that is 8 correct.
5 6 7 8	ramifications. Q. And so the BPC still won't finalize an award of a state license until a pilot has their federal first class pilot endorsement. That's what I'm hearing; is that right?	 4 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just 5 discussed earlier; right? 6 A. That is correct. They have minimum sea time 7 qualification requirements. So, yes, that is 8 correct. 9 Q. And as a BPC commissioner, you wouldn't require
5 6 7 8 9	 ramifications. Q. And so the BPC still won't finalize an award of a state license until a pilot has their federal first class pilot endorsement. That's what I'm hearing; is that right? A. Yes. That's correct. They cannot move a ship with a 	 4 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just 5 discussed earlier; right? 6 A. That is correct. They have minimum sea time 7 qualification requirements. So, yes, that is 8 correct. 9 Q. And as a BPC commissioner, you wouldn't require
5 6 7 8 9 10	ramifications. Q. And so the BPC still won't finalize an award of a state license until a pilot has their federal first class pilot endorsement. That's what I'm hearing; is that right?	 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just discussed earlier; right? A. That is correct. They have minimum sea time qualification requirements. So, yes, that is correct. Q. And as a BPC commissioner, you wouldn't require something more than those criteria to be able to sit
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 ramifications. Q. And so the BPC still won't finalize an award of a state license until a pilot has their federal first class pilot endorsement. That's what I'm hearing; is that right? A. Yes. That's correct. They cannot move a ship with a pilot license until they meet that's in the WAC. Q. Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit SB-15X, page 2 	 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just discussed earlier; right? A. That is correct. They have minimum sea time qualification requirements. So, yes, that is correct. Q. And as a BPC commissioner, you wouldn't require something more than those criteria to be able to sit for an exam; correct?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 ramifications. Q. And so the BPC still won't finalize an award of a state license until a pilot has their federal first class pilot endorsement. That's what I'm hearing; is that right? A. Yes. That's correct. They cannot move a ship with a pilot license until they meet that's in the WAC. Q. Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit SB-15X, page 2 A. Excuse me. I've got a big book in front of me. 	 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just discussed earlier; right? A. That is correct. They have minimum sea time qualification requirements. So, yes, that is correct. Q. And as a BPC commissioner, you wouldn't require something more than those criteria to be able to sit for an exam; correct? A. I would not have a choice. That is in state law. Q. And the BPC has regulations; right? You referred
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 ramifications. Q. And so the BPC still won't finalize an award of a state license until a pilot has their federal first class pilot endorsement. That's what I'm hearing; is that right? A. Yes. That's correct. They cannot move a ship with a pilot license until they meet that's in the WAC. Q. Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit SB-15X, page 2 	 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just discussed earlier; right? A. That is correct. They have minimum sea time qualification requirements. So, yes, that is correct. Q. And as a BPC commissioner, you wouldn't require something more than those criteria to be able to sit for an exam; correct? A. I would not have a choice. That is in state law. Q. And the BPC has regulations; right? You referred
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 ramifications. Q. And so the BPC still won't finalize an award of a state license until a pilot has their federal first class pilot endorsement. That's what I'm hearing; is that right? A. Yes. That's correct. They cannot move a ship with a pilot license until they meet that's in the WAC. Q. Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit SB-15X, page 2 A. Excuse me. I've got a big book in front of me. Can you give me a second? SB, which one was it 	 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just discussed earlier; right? A. That is correct. They have minimum sea time qualification requirements. So, yes, that is correct. Q. And as a BPC commissioner, you wouldn't require something more than those criteria to be able to sit for an exam; correct? A. I would not have a choice. That is in state law. Q. And the BPC has regulations; right? You referred earlier to the WAC; correct?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 ramifications. Q. And so the BPC still won't finalize an award of a state license until a pilot has their federal first class pilot endorsement. That's what I'm hearing; is that right? A. Yes. That's correct. They cannot move a ship with a pilot license until they meet that's in the WAC. Q. Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit SB-15X, page 2 A. Excuse me. I've got a big book in front of me. Can you give me a second? SB, which one was it now? Q. 15, one, five, X. 	 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just discussed earlier; right? A. That is correct. They have minimum sea time qualification requirements. So, yes, that is correct. Q. And as a BPC commissioner, you wouldn't require something more than those criteria to be able to sit for an exam; correct? A. I would not have a choice. That is in state law. Q. And the BPC has regulations; right? You referred earlier to the WAC; correct? A. Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 ramifications. Q. And so the BPC still won't finalize an award of a state license until a pilot has their federal first class pilot endorsement. That's what I'm hearing; is that right? A. Yes. That's correct. They cannot move a ship with a pilot license until they meet that's in the WAC. Q. Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit SB-15X, page 2 A. Excuse me. I've got a big book in front of me. Can you give me a second? SB, which one was it now? 	 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just discussed earlier; right? A. That is correct. They have minimum sea time qualification requirements. So, yes, that is correct. Q. And as a BPC commissioner, you wouldn't require something more than those criteria to be able to sit for an exam; correct? A. I would not have a choice. That is in state law. Q. And the BPC has regulations; right? You referred earlier to the WAC; correct? A. Yes. Q. That require the BPC to set a minimum passing or cut
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 ramifications. Q. And so the BPC still won't finalize an award of a state license until a pilot has their federal first class pilot endorsement. That's what I'm hearing; is that right? A. Yes. That's correct. They cannot move a ship with a pilot license until they meet that's in the WAC. Q. Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit SB-15X, page 2 A. Excuse me. I've got a big book in front of me. Can you give me a second? SB, which one was it now? Q. 15, one, five, X. A. Okay. I got it now. 	 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just discussed earlier; right? A. That is correct. They have minimum sea time qualification requirements. So, yes, that is correct. Q. And as a BPC commissioner, you wouldn't require something more than those criteria to be able to sit for an exam; correct? A. I would not have a choice. That is in state law. Q. And the BPC has regulations; right? You referred earlier to the WAC; correct? A. Yes. Q. That require the BPC to set a minimum passing or cut score for the exam; right?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 ramifications. Q. And so the BPC still won't finalize an award of a state license until a pilot has their federal first class pilot endorsement. That's what I'm hearing; is that right? A. Yes. That's correct. They cannot move a ship with a pilot license until they meet that's in the WAC. Q. Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit SB-15X, page 2 A. Excuse me. I've got a big book in front of me. Can you give me a second? SB, which one was it now? Q. 15, one, five, X. A. Okay. I got it now. Q. Thank you. 	 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just discussed earlier; right? A. That is correct. They have minimum sea time qualification requirements. So, yes, that is correct. Q. And as a BPC commissioner, you wouldn't require something more than those criteria to be able to sit for an exam; correct? A. I would not have a choice. That is in state law. Q. And the BPC has regulations; right? You referred earlier to the WAC; correct? A. Yes. Q. That require the BPC to set a minimum passing or cut score for the exam; right? A. Yeah. That's a long process, and actually the BPC
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 ramifications. Q. And so the BPC still won't finalize an award of a state license until a pilot has their federal first class pilot endorsement. That's what I'm hearing; is that right? A. Yes. That's correct. They cannot move a ship with a pilot license until they meet that's in the WAC. Q. Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit SB-15X, page 2 A. Excuse me. I've got a big book in front of me. Can you give me a second? SB, which one was it now? Q. 15, one, five, X. A. Okay. I got it now. Q. Thank you. And if you can turn to page 2, you should see 	 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just discussed earlier; right? A. That is correct. They have minimum sea time qualification requirements. So, yes, that is correct. Q. And as a BPC commissioner, you wouldn't require something more than those criteria to be able to sit for an exam; correct? A. I would not have a choice. That is in state law. Q. And the BPC has regulations; right? You referred earlier to the WAC; correct? A. Yes. Q. That require the BPC to set a minimum passing or cut score for the exam; right? A. Yeah. That's a long process, and actually the BPC doesn't actually set that cut score. That's with
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 ramifications. Q. And so the BPC still won't finalize an award of a state license until a pilot has their federal first class pilot endorsement. That's what I'm hearing; is that right? A. Yes. That's correct. They cannot move a ship with a pilot license until they meet that's in the WAC. Q. Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit SB-15X, page 2 A. Excuse me. I've got a big book in front of me. Can you give me a second? SB, which one was it now? Q. 15, one, five, X. A. Okay. I got it now. Q. Thank you. And if you can turn to page 2, you should see there your response to PMSA data request 192. If you could look down to the third paragraph of your response, and I will quote you here. 	 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just discussed earlier; right? A. That is correct. They have minimum sea time qualification requirements. So, yes, that is correct. Q. And as a BPC commissioner, you wouldn't require something more than those criteria to be able to sit for an exam; correct? A. I would not have a choice. That is in state law. Q. And the BPC has regulations; right? You referred earlier to the WAC; correct? A. Yes. Q. That require the BPC to set a minimum passing or cut score for the exam; right? A. Yeah. That's a long process, and actually the BPC doesn't actually set that cut score. That's with their contractor. So that is outside of the realm of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 ramifications. Q. And so the BPC still won't finalize an award of a state license until a pilot has their federal first class pilot endorsement. That's what I'm hearing; is that right? A. Yes. That's correct. They cannot move a ship with a pilot license until they meet that's in the WAC. Q. Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit SB-15X, page 2 A. Excuse me. I've got a big book in front of me. Can you give me a second? SB, which one was it now? Q. 15, one, five, X. A. Okay. I got it now. Q. Thank you. And if you can turn to page 2, you should see there your response to PMSA data request 192. If you could look down to the third paragraph of your response, and I will quote you here. "At a more basic level, I am compelled to respond 	 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just discussed earlier; right? A. That is correct. They have minimum sea time qualification requirements. So, yes, that is correct. Q. And as a BPC commissioner, you wouldn't require something more than those criteria to be able to sit for an exam; correct? A. I would not have a choice. That is in state law. Q. And the BPC has regulations; right? You referred earlier to the WAC; correct? A. Yes. Q. That require the BPC to set a minimum passing or cut score for the exam; right? A. Yeah. That's a long process, and actually the BPC doesn't actually set that cut score. That's with their contractor. So that is outside of the realm of the BPC. Q. So are you familiar with WAC 363-116-076? A. Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 ramifications. Q. And so the BPC still won't finalize an award of a state license until a pilot has their federal first class pilot endorsement. That's what I'm hearing; is that right? A. Yes. That's correct. They cannot move a ship with a pilot license until they meet that's in the WAC. Q. Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit SB-15X, page 2 A. Excuse me. I've got a big book in front of me. Can you give me a second? SB, which one was it now? Q. 15, one, five, X. A. Okay. I got it now. Q. Thank you. And if you can turn to page 2, you should see there your response to PMSA data request 192. If you could look down to the third paragraph of your response, and I will quote you here. "At a more basic level, I am compelled to respond to PMSA's emphasis in this data request and several 	 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just discussed earlier; right? A. That is correct. They have minimum sea time qualification requirements. So, yes, that is correct. Q. And as a BPC commissioner, you wouldn't require something more than those criteria to be able to sit for an exam; correct? A. I would not have a choice. That is in state law. Q. And the BPC has regulations; right? You referred earlier to the WAC; correct? A. Yes. Q. That require the BPC to set a minimum passing or cut score for the exam; right? A. Yeah. That's a long process, and actually the BPC doesn't actually set that cut score. That's with their contractor. So that is outside of the realm of the BPC. Q. So are you familiar with WAC 363-116-076? A. Yes. Q. And that does establish that there needs to be a cut
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 ramifications. Q. And so the BPC still won't finalize an award of a state license until a pilot has their federal first class pilot endorsement. That's what I'm hearing; is that right? A. Yes. That's correct. They cannot move a ship with a pilot license until they meet that's in the WAC. Q. Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit SB-15X, page 2 A. Excuse me. I've got a big book in front of me. Can you give me a second? SB, which one was it now? Q. 15, one, five, X. A. Okay. I got it now. Q. Thank you. And if you can turn to page 2, you should see there your response to PMSA data request 192. If you could look down to the third paragraph of your response, and I will quote you here. "At a more basic level, I am compelled to respond 	 being able to sit for the pilotage exam, as you just discussed earlier; right? A. That is correct. They have minimum sea time qualification requirements. So, yes, that is correct. Q. And as a BPC commissioner, you wouldn't require something more than those criteria to be able to sit for an exam; correct? A. I would not have a choice. That is in state law. Q. And the BPC has regulations; right? You referred earlier to the WAC; correct? A. Yes. Q. That require the BPC to set a minimum passing or cut score for the exam; right? A. Yeah. That's a long process, and actually the BPC doesn't actually set that cut score. That's with their contractor. So that is outside of the realm of the BPC. Q. So are you familiar with WAC 363-116-076? A. Yes.

22 (Pages 175 to 178)

	Page 179	Page 1	.81
1	A. Yes. And what's so great about that cut score, it's	1 to pass on to the navigational phase?	
2	a process, right? So it's a multi-process step to	2 A. Absolutely. If it's a U.S. flag vessel, they would	
3	qualification. And it's very much in the maritime	3 have to have federal pilotage on their license. It	
4	industry, we use error chain trapping for excellence.	4 also depends on the weather conditions, the type of	
5	And that is multiple levels of error chain	5 vessel, the size, things like that. There's a lot	
б	Q. Captain	6 that goes into whether they a trainee is allowed	
7	A so it gets to the top.	7 to take the con on any job.	
8	Q Bendixen, I didn't actually ask all of that. And	8 Q. So if a trainee meets those qualifications and the	
9	I do have very limited time. So I apologize for	9 minimum score, you wouldn't deny them access to th	ie
10	stepping in your lines there. But I just wanted to	10 next phase in the training program; right?	
11	establish your awareness	11 A. If they have had yes, they could be denied if they	
12	MR. HAGLUND: Excuse me. Your Honor, I want	12 had a breach of the contract, the training agreement	
13	to object to Ms. DeLappe interrupting a witness in	13 that they filed. And we have done that in since	
14	the middle of an answer. If she wants to admonish	14 I've been chair of the the TEC.	
15	the witness after getting the answer, that's one	15 So, yes, we would.	
16	thing. But she should not be interrupting a witness	16 Q. But you wouldn't be introducing any additional	
17	in the middle of her statement.	17 criteria beyond that?	
18	JUDGE HOWARD: I would agree, generally.	18 A. We could potentially. It's a contract. So upon two	
19	But I don't believe that there was necessarily a	19 mutual parties of agreement, that has happened in the	
20	question posed there. So I am not going to take	20 past. And you could.	
21	issue.	21 Q. But the BPC would not be making subjective decisio	ons
22	Ms. DeLappe, you may proceed.	about its about the conning quizzes and what score	Э
23	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. And I do apologize	23 needs to be applied; right?	
24	for interrupting. If we had more time, I would be	A. No. It would have to be a breach of it would be a	
25	happy to talk about that.	25 training program agreement.	
	- 100		
	Page 180	Page 1	.82
1	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	1 Q. Thank you. Let's turn to Exhibit SB-19X.	
2	Q. But, Captain Bendixen, I just wanted to go back to		
-		2 Do you have that?	
3	that regulation and just you are aware that it	3 A. Please stand by.	
4	that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In 	nto
4 5	that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." 	ito
4 5 6	that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? 	nto
4 5 6 7	that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or cut score in conformance with everything else; right?	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? A. Mm-hmm. 	nto
4 5 6 7 8	 that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or cut score in conformance with everything else; right? A. I have to take your word. I don't have that WAC in 	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? A. Mm-hmm. Q. It has a photo of you there on page 1. 	nto
4 5 6 7 8 9	 that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or cut score in conformance with everything else; right? A. I have to take your word. I don't have that WAC in front of me. So if you read it properly, I would 	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? A. Mm-hmm. Q. It has a photo of you there on page 1. A. Yes. 	nto
4 5 7 8 9 10	 that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or cut score in conformance with everything else; right? A. I have to take your word. I don't have that WAC in front of me. So if you read it properly, I would agree with you. 	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? A. Mm-hmm. Q. It has a photo of you there on page 1. A. Yes. Q. Great. And you - you can see that this article is 	
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or cut score in conformance with everything else; right? A. I have to take your word. I don't have that WAC in front of me. So if you read it properly, I would agree with you. Q. If a candidate completes the examination process and 	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? A. Mm-hmm. Q. It has a photo of you there on page 1. A. Yes. Q. Great. And you you can see that this article is posted on the Northwest Seaport Alliance website. 	
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or cut score in conformance with everything else; right? A. I have to take your word. I don't have that WAC in front of me. So if you read it properly, I would agree with you. Q. If a candidate completes the examination process and exceeds that minimum score, you wouldn't deny them 	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? A. Mm-hmm. Q. It has a photo of you there on page 1. A. Yes. Q. Great. And you you can see that this article is posted on the Northwest Seaport Alliance website. A. Yes. Based on the link on the bottom of the exhibit. 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or cut score in conformance with everything else; right? A. I have to take your word. I don't have that WAC in front of me. So if you read it properly, I would agree with you. Q. If a candidate completes the examination process and exceeds that minimum score, you wouldn't deny them access to the BPC training waitlist; right? 	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? A. Mm-hmm. Q. It has a photo of you there on page 1. A. Yes. Q. Great. And you you can see that this article is posted on the Northwest Seaport Alliance website. A. Yes. Based on the link on the bottom of the exhibit. Q. And are you aware that it's also on the BPC website 	
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or cut score in conformance with everything else; right? A. I have to take your word. I don't have that WAC in front of me. So if you read it properly, I would agree with you. Q. If a candidate completes the examination process and exceeds that minimum score, you wouldn't deny them access to the BPC training waitlist; right? A. To the training waitlist, no. 	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? A. Mm-hmm. Q. It has a photo of you there on page 1. A. Yes. Q. Great. And you you can see that this article is posted on the Northwest Seaport Alliance website. A. Yes. Based on the link on the bottom of the exhibit. Q. And are you aware that it's also on the BPC website A. Yes. I have seen it there. 	ite?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or cut score in conformance with everything else; right? A. I have to take your word. I don't have that WAC in front of me. So if you read it properly, I would agree with you. Q. If a candidate completes the examination process and exceeds that minimum score, you wouldn't deny them access to the BPC training waitlist; right? A. To the training waitlist, no. Q. Okay. Now, the BPC's regulations also require the 	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? A. Mm-hmm. Q. It has a photo of you there on page 1. A. Yes. Q. Great. And you you can see that this article is posted on the Northwest Seaport Alliance website. A. Yes. Based on the link on the bottom of the exhibit. Q. And are you aware that it's also on the BPC websit A. Yes. I have seen it there. Q. And the BPC 2021 annual report also included a link 	ite?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or cut score in conformance with everything else; right? A. I have to take your word. I don't have that WAC in front of me. So if you read it properly, I would agree with you. Q. If a candidate completes the examination process and exceeds that minimum score, you wouldn't deny them access to the BPC training waitlist; right? A. To the training waitlist, no. Q. Okay. Now, the BPC's regulations also require the trainees to pass conning quizzes during the training 	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? A. Mm-hmm. Q. It has a photo of you there on page 1. A. Yes. Q. Great. And you you can see that this article is posted on the Northwest Seaport Alliance website. A. Yes. Based on the link on the bottom of the exhibit. Q. And are you aware that it's also on the BPC websit A. Yes. I have seen it there. Q. And the BPC 2021 annual report also included a lint to it; right? 	ite?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or cut score in conformance with everything else; right? A. I have to take your word. I don't have that WAC in front of me. So if you read it properly, I would agree with you. Q. If a candidate completes the examination process and exceeds that minimum score, you wouldn't deny them access to the BPC training waitlist; right? A. To the training waitlist, no. Q. Okay. Now, the BPC's regulations also require the trainees to pass conning quizzes during the training program; right? 	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? A. Mm-hmm. Q. It has a photo of you there on page 1. A. Yes. Q. Great. And you – you can see that this article is posted on the Northwest Seaport Alliance website. A. Yes. Based on the link on the bottom of the exhibit. Q. And are you aware that it's also on the BPC websit A. Yes. I have seen it there. Q. And the BPC 2021 annual report also included a lint to it; right? A. I'll take your word for it. 	ite?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or cut score in conformance with everything else; right? A. I have to take your word. I don't have that WAC in front of me. So if you read it properly, I would agree with you. Q. If a candidate completes the examination process and exceeds that minimum score, you wouldn't deny them access to the BPC training waitlist; right? A. To the training waitlist, no. Q. Okay. Now, the BPC's regulations also require the trainees to pass conning quizzes during the training program; right? A. Yes. 	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? A. Mm-hmm. Q. It has a photo of you there on page 1. A. Yes. Q. Great. And you you can see that this article is posted on the Northwest Seaport Alliance website. A. Yes. Based on the link on the bottom of the exhibit. Q. And are you aware that it's also on the BPC websit A. Yes. I have seen it there. Q. And the BPC 2021 annual report also included a lint to it; right? A. I'll take your word for it. Q. Okay. Is all the information in this article 	ite?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or cut score in conformance with everything else; right? A. I have to take your word. I don't have that WAC in front of me. So if you read it properly, I would agree with you. Q. If a candidate completes the examination process and exceeds that minimum score, you wouldn't deny them access to the BPC training waitlist; right? A. To the training waitlist, no. Q. Okay. Now, the BPC's regulations also require the trainees to pass conning quizzes during the training program; right? A. Yes. Q. And that's in order to then access the phase of the 	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? A. Mm-hmm. Q. It has a photo of you there on page 1. A. Yes. Q. Great. And you you can see that this article is posted on the Northwest Seaport Alliance website. A. Yes. Based on the link on the bottom of the exhibit. Q. And are you aware that it's also on the BPC websit A. Yes. I have seen it there. Q. And the BPC 2021 annual report also included a lint to it; right? A. I'll take your word for it. Q. Okay. Is all the information in this article accurate to your knowledge? 	ite?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or cut score in conformance with everything else; right? A. I have to take your word. I don't have that WAC in front of me. So if you read it properly, I would agree with you. Q. If a candidate completes the examination process and exceeds that minimum score, you wouldn't deny them access to the BPC training waitlist; right? A. To the training waitlist, no. Q. Okay. Now, the BPC's regulations also require the trainees to pass conning quizzes during the training program; right? A. Yes. Q. And that's in order to then access the phase of the training program where they begin to take over 	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? A. Mm-hmm. Q. It has a photo of you there on page 1. A. Yes. Q. Great. And you you can see that this article is posted on the Northwest Seaport Alliance website. A. Yes. Based on the link on the bottom of the exhibit. Q. And are you aware that it's also on the BPC websit A. Yes. I have seen it there. Q. And the BPC 2021 annual report also included a lint to it; right? A. I'll take your word for it. Q. Okay. Is all the information in this article accurate to your knowledge? A. The stuff about me, I can't recall exactly how much 	ite? nk
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or cut score in conformance with everything else; right? A. I have to take your word. I don't have that WAC in front of me. So if you read it properly, I would agree with you. Q. If a candidate completes the examination process and exceeds that minimum score, you wouldn't deny them access to the BPC training waitlist; right? A. To the training waitlist, no. Q. Okay. Now, the BPC's regulations also require the trainees to pass conning quizzes during the training program; right? A. Yes. Q. And that's in order to then access the phase of the training program where they begin to take over navigational duties on the bridge of a ship? 	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? A. Mm-hmm. Q. It has a photo of you there on page 1. A. Yes. Q. Great. And you you can see that this article is posted on the Northwest Seaport Alliance website. A. Yes. Based on the link on the bottom of the exhibit. Q. And are you aware that it's also on the BPC websit A. Yes. I have seen it there. Q. And the BPC 2021 annual report also included a lint to it; right? A. I'll take your word for it. Q. Okay. Is all the information in this article accurate to your knowledge? A. The stuff about me, I can't recall exactly how much my exam fee was or the information that was provided 	ite? nk
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that regulation and just - you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or cut score in conformance with everything else; right? A. I have to take your word. I don't have that WAC in front of me. So if you read it properly, I would agree with you. Q. If a candidate completes the examination process and exceeds that minimum score, you wouldn't deny them access to the BPC training waitlist; right? A. To the training waitlist, no. Q. Okay. Now, the BPC's regulations also require the trainees to pass conning quizzes during the training program; right? A. Yes. Q. And that's in order to then access the phase of the training program where they begin to take over navigational duties on the bridge of a ship? A. Yes. They have to pass those with 80 percent. 	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? A. Mm-hmm. Q. It has a photo of you there on page 1. A. Yes. Q. Great. And you you can see that this article is posted on the Northwest Seaport Alliance website. A. Yes. Based on the link on the bottom of the exhibit. Q. And are you aware that it's also on the BPC websit A. Yes. I have seen it there. Q. And the BPC 2021 annual report also included a lint to it; right? A. I'll take your word for it. Q. Okay. Is all the information in this article accurate to your knowledge? A. The stuff about me, I can't recall exactly how much my exam fee was or the information that was provided by the chair, the commission, and other items. 	ite? nk
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or cut score in conformance with everything else; right? A. I have to take your word. I don't have that WAC in front of me. So if you read it properly, I would agree with you. Q. If a candidate completes the examination process and exceeds that minimum score, you wouldn't deny them access to the BPC training waitlist; right? A. To the training waitlist, no. Q. Okay. Now, the BPC's regulations also require the trainees to pass conning quizzes during the training program; right? A. Yes. Q. And that's in order to then access the phase of the training program where they begin to take over navigational duties on the bridge of a ship? A. Yes. They have to pass those with 80 percent. Q. And if a trainee meets that minimum score, 80 or 	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? A. Mm-hmm. Q. It has a photo of you there on page 1. A. Yes. Q. Great. And you you can see that this article is posted on the Northwest Seaport Alliance website. A. Yes. Based on the link on the bottom of the exhibit. Q. And are you aware that it's also on the BPC websit A. Yes. I have seen it there. Q. And the BPC 2021 annual report also included a lint to it; right? A. I'll take your word for it. Q. Okay. Is all the information in this article accurate to your knowledge? A. The stuff about me, I can't recall exactly how much my exam fee was or the information that was provided by the chair, the commission, and other items. Q. Let's turn to 	ite? nk
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or cut score in conformance with everything else; right? A. I have to take your word. I don't have that WAC in front of me. So if you read it properly, I would agree with you. Q. If a candidate completes the examination process and exceeds that minimum score, you wouldn't deny them access to the BPC training waitlist; right? A. To the training waitlist, no. Q. Okay. Now, the BPC's regulations also require the trainees to pass conning quizzes during the training program; right? A. Yes. Q. And that's in order to then access the phase of the training program where they begin to take over navigational duties on the bridge of a ship? A. Yes. They have to pass those with 80 percent. Q. And if a trainee meets that minimum score, 80 or 85 percent, depending on the type of quiz, I believe, 	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? A. Mm-hmm. Q. It has a photo of you there on page 1. A. Yes. Q. Great. And you you can see that this article is posted on the Northwest Seaport Alliance website. A. Yes. Based on the link on the bottom of the exhibit. Q. And are you aware that it's also on the BPC websit A. Yes. I have seen it there. Q. And the BPC 2021 annual report also included a lint to it; right? A. I'll take your word for it. Q. Okay. Is all the information in this article accurate to your knowledge? A. The stuff about me, I can't recall exactly how much my exam fee was or the information that was provided by the chair, the commission, and other items. Q. Let's turn to A. I make sure not to post about myself either, and 	ite? nk
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that regulation and just you are aware that it says that the Board, in consultation with its designated contracting entity, will develop the written examination and set the minimum passing or cut score in conformance with everything else; right? A. I have to take your word. I don't have that WAC in front of me. So if you read it properly, I would agree with you. Q. If a candidate completes the examination process and exceeds that minimum score, you wouldn't deny them access to the BPC training waitlist; right? A. To the training waitlist, no. Q. Okay. Now, the BPC's regulations also require the trainees to pass conning quizzes during the training program; right? A. Yes. Q. And that's in order to then access the phase of the training program where they begin to take over navigational duties on the bridge of a ship? A. Yes. They have to pass those with 80 percent. Q. And if a trainee meets that minimum score, 80 or 	 A. Please stand by. Q. And that's an article called "Navigating a Dream In Reality." Are you familiar with this article? A. Mm-hmm. Q. It has a photo of you there on page 1. A. Yes. Q. Great. And you you can see that this article is posted on the Northwest Seaport Alliance website. A. Yes. Based on the link on the bottom of the exhibit. Q. And are you aware that it's also on the BPC websit A. Yes. I have seen it there. Q. And the BPC 2021 annual report also included a lint to it; right? A. I'll take your word for it. Q. Okay. Is all the information in this article accurate to your knowledge? A. The stuff about me, I can't recall exactly how much my exam fee was or the information that was provided by the chair, the commission, and other items. Q. Let's turn to 	ite? nk

23 (Pages 179 to 182)

	Page 183		Page 185
1	Q. I'm sorry. I didn't hear the last part?	1 your rest rules for fatigue mai	nagement.
2	A. There's people giving comments about me. And I would	2 I have anchored a ship bed	-
3	take them to be true, but I can't form an opinion on	3 tired. I have done what I coul	
4	it.	4 provisions for emergencies of	
5	Q. Okay. Fair enough.	5 vessel where you have no che	-
6	On page 2, you see the photo there and the text	6 if you have cargo breaking fre	
7	underneath that photo. And I'll just read that text.	7 get home to your family. So	
8	It says, "While most spend about six months per	8 can, even if you've worked all	
9	year at sea, Bendixen turbo-charged her career by	9 Q. During that time, those th	-
10	spending 10 to 11 months per year at sea for nearly a	.0 you, Captain Bendixen, able	. .
11	decade. 'I loved it,' she said."	1 safely?	
12	Do you see that?	A. I performed my duties as sat	fely as I possibly could
13	A. Yes.	3 with the skill level I had at that	
14	Q. Did you get paid more for spending the extra months	4 occasion. I actually will say th	•
15	at sea than than you would have if you had just	5 back in the years of experience	
16	spent six months at sea?	6 done a lot better job and bee	
17	A. So the norm that's a challenging question, because	.7 crew could have been a lot sa	-
18	I was doing different assignments when I was sailing	Q. Did you ever put your vess	
19	at sea. Some I was an ice pilot. So I took ships	.9 risk?	
20	to Antarctica, had extra experience. I necessarily	A. I, again, in the split second d	lecisions, did the best
21	wasn't always sailing as the rank as captain. I	that I could with the knowledg	e I had and the
22	also was build superintendent. I was doing all	resources I had at that same	particular moment. I
23	different things. So in that particular instance,	acted the best that I could.	
24	not always. Though most of the time, pay was not	Going back, I could have d	lone things safer,
25	comparable because I was in different facets and	maneuvered or handled the v	essel differently.
	Page 184		Page 186
1	avenues. So that's a really hard connection to make.	1 For example, when I was trying	g to maneuver the
2	Q. Let me clarify my question, if I may.	2 ship to the dock because we didr	n't have pilots in
3	So if you were sailing 10 to 11 months a year,	3 Thule, Greenland, I didn't have the	he skills that I
4	would it be fair to say that's four to five months	4 have today and the resources to	understand the wind
5	more than most, according to that quote?	5 calculations, how to properly dree	dge the anchor. I
6	A. Yes, that's fair to say.	6 hadn't had the manned model ex	perience. I hadn't
7	Q. And then you weren't doing those extra four to five	7 had, you know, 312 jobs in the p	-
8	months for, like, free service; right?	8 program, and you know, going or	n five years as a
9	A. No. But oftentimes it was for less.	9 pilot.	
10	Q. And did you ever, during those decades nearly a	0 So, yeah, everybody you kn	ow, it's like a new
11	decade, did you ever violate fatigue rules during	1 surgeon. Are you safe for a patie	-
12	that time?	2 you know, is it different in day 20	
13	A. That's a really interesting component. I started my	3 day of a court case, are you bette	er as a first lawyer
14	career in kind of the height of the starting of STCW	4 or a seasoned lawyer?	
15	rest rule rest rules and fatigue rules and how	5 Q. And	
16	that worked. And I would say that that's that's a	6 A. So, yeah.	
17	very challenging question and would require a lot of	7 Q Captain Bendixen, it sounds	
18	time. I'm happy to go into it. But fatigue rules	8 that the pilotage training has re	-
	that came into effect were hard to understand. The	9 you have the highest qualificat	tions now; is that
19			
20	24-hour clock, people thought it was meant on a	0 correct?	
20 21	calendar day. And so that changed and it was, no,	1 A. Right now, as of today, tomorrow	
20 21 22	calendar day. And so that changed and it was, no, it's a revolving any 24-hour period. And it	A. Right now, as of today, tomorrow better and will be better because	
20 21 22 23	calendar day. And so that changed and it was, no, it's a revolving any 24-hour period. And it became so complex that actually shipping like ABS,	 A. Right now, as of today, tomorrow better and will be better because on every job. 	I learned something
20 21 22 23 24	calendar day. And so that changed and it was, no, it's a revolving any 24-hour period. And it became so complex that actually shipping like ABS, the American Bureau of Shipping, and all these	 A. Right now, as of today, tomorrow better and will be better because on every job. Q. And you never had any incide 	I learned something
20 21 22 23	calendar day. And so that changed and it was, no, it's a revolving any 24-hour period. And it became so complex that actually shipping like ABS,	 A. Right now, as of today, tomorrow better and will be better because on every job. 	I learned something

24 (Pages 183 to 186)

	Page 187		Page 189
1	nearly a decade where you said you loved it; is that	1	Q. Thank you. And you said, "I firmly believe that the
2	right?	2	more our pilot group reflects the diversity of our
3	A. I had instances that I got over my head and I needed	3	Puget Sound community, the greater the level of PSP's
4	help from the captain. As a junior officer, I called	4	accident prevention capability"; right?
5	for help. But that's the difference now. I don't	5	A. Yes, I wrote that.
б	get to call anybody for help. I'm alone on the	6	Q. And in diversity there, you are referring to career
7	bridge as the only pilot there.	7	background, maritime work experience, sailing
8	Q. Captain Bendixen, do you do callback jobs as a Puget	8	experience, and gender and racial background;
9	Sound pilot?	9	correct?
10	A. I do.	10	A. Yes. And also sexual orientation and the other
11	Q. Do you ever knowingly take a callback when you are	11	underserved populations in the maritime industry that
12	fatigued or potentially unsafe?	12	haven't been primarily identified. I don't want to
13	A. I never knowingly take it take a callback job.	13	leave them out.
14	I'm actually not allowed to in the WAC, I believe.	14	Q. And so could you please turn to Exhibit CPC-32X, and
15	Although don't quote me. I don't have the WAC in front of me.	15	specifically page 3.
16 17	front of me.	16 17	A. That one stand by.
18	However, it's a lot I have a	18	MR. HAGLUND: Which number did you give? MS. DeLAPPE: CPC-32X.
18	two-and-a-half-year-old daughter at home. I have a lot going on. And I'm not solely at sea, dedicated	19	
20	to piloting. And if I didn't, when I got COVID, I	20	This is why I provided to counsel all of the exhibits.
21	would have had no way to cover my shift work. I	21	THE WITNESS: You know what it is, though.
22	don't have any sick leave or anything like that. And	22	I have a book of them. Like, when you for me, I'm
23	we were more stringent then	23	not a lawyer. I don't read these. I don't
24	Q. When	24	understand them all. So if you can just give me the
25	A in Washington. So I was out for ten days. If I	25	title, that would be helpful.
	5 100		
	Page 188		Page 190
1	had a second day a lid had managed		
	had no comp days, I'd be trapped.	1	BY MS. DeLAPPE:
2	Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have	2	Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent
2 3	Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were	2 3	Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo.
2 3 4	Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe?	2 3 4	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if
2 3 4 5	Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe?A. To the best of my ability, yeah.	2 3 4 5	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if that would be helpful.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe? A. To the best of my ability, yeah. Q. And have you ever been dispatched by PSP to a job in 	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if that would be helpful. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe? A. To the best of my ability, yeah. Q. And have you ever been dispatched by PSP to a job in a manner that required you to violate the rest rules? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if that would be helpful. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard THE WITNESS: Can you share it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe? A. To the best of my ability, yeah. Q. And have you ever been dispatched by PSP to a job in a manner that required you to violate the rest rules? A. Not to my knowledge. It's the rest rules are very 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if that would be helpful. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard THE WITNESS: Can you share it? MR. HAGLUND: Ms. DeLappe, would you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe? A. To the best of my ability, yeah. Q. And have you ever been dispatched by PSP to a job in a manner that required you to violate the rest rules? A. Not to my knowledge. It's the rest rules are very challenging and complicated. And I rely on our 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if that would be helpful. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard THE WITNESS: Can you share it? MR. HAGLUND: Ms. DeLappe, would you have any objection to our our screen tech person
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe? A. To the best of my ability, yeah. Q. And have you ever been dispatched by PSP to a job in a manner that required you to violate the rest rules? A. Not to my knowledge. It's the rest rules are very challenging and complicated. And I rely on our dispatching system to kind of be the fallback check 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if that would be helpful. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard THE WITNESS: Can you share it? MR. HAGLUND: Ms. DeLappe, would you have any objection to our our screen tech person putting it on the screen?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe? A. To the best of my ability, yeah. Q. And have you ever been dispatched by PSP to a job in a manner that required you to violate the rest rules? A. Not to my knowledge. It's the rest rules are very challenging and complicated. And I rely on our dispatching system to kind of be the fallback check with that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if that would be helpful. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard THE WITNESS: Can you share it? MR. HAGLUND: Ms. DeLappe, would you have any objection to our our screen tech person putting it on the screen? MS. DeLAPPE: No, I would not for this one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe? A. To the best of my ability, yeah. Q. And have you ever been dispatched by PSP to a job in a manner that required you to violate the rest rules? A. Not to my knowledge. It's the rest rules are very challenging and complicated. And I rely on our dispatching system to kind of be the fallback check with that. Q. Let's talk briefly about diversity. That was another 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if that would be helpful. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard THE WITNESS: Can you share it? MR. HAGLUND: Ms. DeLappe, would you have any objection to our our screen tech person putting it on the screen? MS. DeLAPPE: No, I would not for this one. Thank you. I appreciate it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe? A. To the best of my ability, yeah. Q. And have you ever been dispatched by PSP to a job in a manner that required you to violate the rest rules? A. Not to my knowledge. It's the rest rules are very challenging and complicated. And I rely on our dispatching system to kind of be the fallback check with that. Q. Let's talk briefly about diversity. That was another topic in your testimony; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if that would be helpful. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard THE WITNESS: Can you share it? MR. HAGLUND: Ms. DeLappe, would you have any objection to our our screen tech person putting it on the screen? MS. DeLAPPE: No, I would not for this one. Thank you. I appreciate it. BY MS. DeLAPPE:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe? A. To the best of my ability, yeah. Q. And have you ever been dispatched by PSP to a job in a manner that required you to violate the rest rules? A. Not to my knowledge. It's the rest rules are very challenging and complicated. And I rely on our dispatching system to kind of be the fallback check with that. Q. Let's talk briefly about diversity. That was another topic in your testimony; correct? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if that would be helpful. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard THE WITNESS: Can you share it? MR. HAGLUND: Ms. DeLappe, would you have any objection to our our screen tech person putting it on the screen? MS. DeLAPPE: No, I would not for this one. Thank you. I appreciate it. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. So I'm trying to go to page 3. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe? A. To the best of my ability, yeah. Q. And have you ever been dispatched by PSP to a job in a manner that required you to violate the rest rules? A. Not to my knowledge. It's the rest rules are very challenging and complicated. And I rely on our dispatching system to kind of be the fallback check with that. Q. Let's talk briefly about diversity. That was another topic in your testimony; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if that would be helpful. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard THE WITNESS: Can you share it? MR. HAGLUND: Ms. DeLappe, would you have any objection to our our screen tech person putting it on the screen? MS. DeLAPPE: No, I would not for this one. Thank you. I appreciate it. BY MS. DeLAPPE:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe? A. To the best of my ability, yeah. Q. And have you ever been dispatched by PSP to a job in a manner that required you to violate the rest rules? A. Not to my knowledge. It's the rest rules are very challenging and complicated. And I rely on our dispatching system to kind of be the fallback check with that. Q. Let's talk briefly about diversity. That was another topic in your testimony; correct? A. Yes. Q. And in your testimony, at page 4 of your testimony, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if that would be helpful. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard THE WITNESS: Can you share it? MR. HAGLUND: Ms. DeLappe, would you have any objection to our our screen tech person putting it on the screen? MS. DeLAPPE: No, I would not for this one. Thank you. I appreciate it. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. So I'm trying to go to page 3. Thank you. So, Captain Bendixen, do you see here that Mr. Costanzo provided some diversity data regarding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe? A. To the best of my ability, yeah. Q. And have you ever been dispatched by PSP to a job in a manner that required you to violate the rest rules? A. Not to my knowledge. It's the rest rules are very challenging and complicated. And I rely on our dispatching system to kind of be the fallback check with that. Q. Let's talk briefly about diversity. That was another topic in your testimony; correct? A. Yes. Q. And in your testimony, at page 4 of your testimony, that's Exhibit SB-01T, page 4. And I'm just going to read to you a quote. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if that would be helpful. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard THE WITNESS: Can you share it? MR. HAGLUND: Ms. DeLappe, would you have any objection to our our screen tech person putting it on the screen? MS. DeLAPPE: No, I would not for this one. Thank you. I appreciate it. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. So I'm trying to go to page 3. Thank you. So, Captain Bendixen, do you see here that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe? A. To the best of my ability, yeah. Q. And have you ever been dispatched by PSP to a job in a manner that required you to violate the rest rules? A. Not to my knowledge. It's the rest rules are very challenging and complicated. And I rely on our dispatching system to kind of be the fallback check with that. Q. Let's talk briefly about diversity. That was another topic in your testimony; correct? A. Yes. Q. And in your testimony, at page 4 of your testimony, that's Exhibit SB-01T, page 4. And I'm just going to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if that would be helpful. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard THE WITNESS: Can you share it? MR. HAGLUND: Ms. DeLappe, would you have any objection to our our screen tech person putting it on the screen? MS. DeLAPPE: No, I would not for this one. Thank you. I appreciate it. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. So I'm trying to go to page 3. Thank you. So, Captain Bendixen, do you see here that Mr. Costanzo provided some diversity data regarding the Puget Sound Pilots in this table?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe? A. To the best of my ability, yeah. Q. And have you ever been dispatched by PSP to a job in a manner that required you to violate the rest rules? A. Not to my knowledge. It's the rest rules are very challenging and complicated. And I rely on our dispatching system to kind of be the fallback check with that. Q. Let's talk briefly about diversity. That was another topic in your testimony; correct? A. Yes. Q. And in your testimony, at page 4 of your testimony, that's Exhibit SB-01T, page 4. And I'm just going to read to you a quote. A. Wait, wait. Page 4. I think I found that one. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if that would be helpful. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard THE WITNESS: Can you share it? MR. HAGLUND: Ms. DeLappe, would you have any objection to our our screen tech person putting it on the screen? MS. DeLAPPE: No, I would not for this one. Thank you. I appreciate it. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. So I'm trying to go to page 3. Thank you. So, Captain Bendixen, do you see here that Mr. Costanzo provided some diversity data regarding the Puget Sound Pilots in this table? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe? A. To the best of my ability, yeah. Q. And have you ever been dispatched by PSP to a job in a manner that required you to violate the rest rules? A. Not to my knowledge. It's the rest rules are very challenging and complicated. And I rely on our dispatching system to kind of be the fallback check with that. Q. Let's talk briefly about diversity. That was another topic in your testimony; correct? A. Yes. Q. And in your testimony, at page 4 of your testimony, that's Exhibit SB-01T, page 4. And I'm just going to read to you a quote. A. Wait, wait, wait. Page 4. I think I found that one. Q. Line 11. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if that would be helpful. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard THE WITNESS: Can you share it? MR. HAGLUND: Ms. DeLappe, would you have any objection to our our screen tech person putting it on the screen? MS. DeLAPPE: No, I would not for this one. Thank you. I appreciate it. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. So I'm trying to go to page 3. Thank you. So, Captain Bendixen, do you see here that Mr. Costanzo provided some diversity data regarding the Puget Sound Pilots in this table? A. That's correct. Q. Looking at this chart, would you agree that PSP has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe? A. To the best of my ability, yeah. Q. And have you ever been dispatched by PSP to a job in a manner that required you to violate the rest rules? A. Not to my knowledge. It's the rest rules are very challenging and complicated. And I rely on our dispatching system to kind of be the fallback check with that. Q. Let's talk briefly about diversity. That was another topic in your testimony; correct? A. Yes. Q. And in your testimony, at page 4 of your testimony, that's Exhibit SB-01T, page 4. And I'm just going to read to you a quote. A. Wait, wait. Page 4. I think I found that one. Q. Line 11. A. Wait. I don't have these all at my fingertips. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if that would be helpful. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard THE WITNESS: Can you share it? MR. HAGLUND: Ms. DeLappe, would you have any objection to our our screen tech person putting it on the screen? MS. DeLAPPE: No, I would not for this one. Thank you. I appreciate it. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. So I'm trying to go to page 3. Thank you. So, Captain Bendixen, do you see here that Mr. Costanzo provided some diversity data regarding the Puget Sound Pilots in this table? A. That's correct. Q. Looking at this chart, would you agree that PSP has almost no diversity for gender, race, and ethnicity?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe? A. To the best of my ability, yeah. Q. And have you ever been dispatched by PSP to a job in a manner that required you to violate the rest rules? A. Not to my knowledge. It's the rest rules are very challenging and complicated. And I rely on our dispatching system to kind of be the fallback check with that. Q. Let's talk briefly about diversity. That was another topic in your testimony; correct? A. Yes. Q. And in your testimony, at page 4 of your testimony, that's Exhibit SB-01T, page 4. And I'm just going to read to you a quote. A. Wait, wait, wait. Page 4. I think I found that one. Q. Line 11. A. Wait. I don't have these all at my fingertips. Okay. Now which line? Q. Line 11 on page 4, you say, "I firmly" A. Can you pause for a second? I just want to read what 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if that would be helpful. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard THE WITNESS: Can you share it? MR. HAGLUND: Ms. DeLappe, would you have any objection to our our screen tech person putting it on the screen? MS. DeLAPPE: No, I would not for this one. Thank you. I appreciate it. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. So I'm trying to go to page 3. Thank you. So, Captain Bendixen, do you see here that Mr. Costanzo provided some diversity data regarding the Puget Sound Pilots in this table? A. That's correct. Q. Looking at this chart, would you agree that PSP has almost no diversity for gender, race, and ethnicity? A. I would agree. I'm the only female, two percent, which matches of unlimited masters in the United States. Women. So I would agree in the gender
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So going back to my question, it sounds like you have never knowingly taken a callback when you were fatigued or potentially unsafe? A. To the best of my ability, yeah. Q. And have you ever been dispatched by PSP to a job in a manner that required you to violate the rest rules? A. Not to my knowledge. It's the rest rules are very challenging and complicated. And I rely on our dispatching system to kind of be the fallback check with that. Q. Let's talk briefly about diversity. That was another topic in your testimony; correct? A. Yes. Q. And in your testimony, at page 4 of your testimony, that's Exhibit SB-01T, page 4. And I'm just going to read to you a quote. A. Wait, wait. Page 4. I think I found that one. Q. Line 11. A. Wait. I don't have these all at my fingertips. Okay. Now which line? Q. Line 11 on page 4, you say, "I firmly" 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Certainly. These are data requests that PMSA sent for Mr. Costanzo. And there is I could share this exhibit if that would be helpful. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard THE WITNESS: Can you share it? MR. HAGLUND: Ms. DeLappe, would you have any objection to our our screen tech person putting it on the screen? MS. DeLAPPE: No, I would not for this one. Thank you. I appreciate it. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. So I'm trying to go to page 3. Thank you. So, Captain Bendixen, do you see here that Mr. Costanzo provided some diversity data regarding the Puget Sound Pilots in this table? A. That's correct. Q. Looking at this chart, would you agree that PSP has almost no diversity for gender, race, and ethnicity? A. I would agree. I'm the only female, two percent, which matches of unlimited masters in the United

25 (Pages 187 to 190)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

	Page 191		Page 193
1	professional backgrounds represented here?	1	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Do we
2	A. You know, I'd like to see more diversity in that.	2	have any questions from the bench for
3	But there is some diversity there.	3	Captain Bendixen?
4	Q. There is no data like this in this case about the	4	CHAIR DANNER: None from me, Your Honor.
5	diversity and demographics of other pilot groups, is	5	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No, Your Honor.
6	there?	6	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: None for me. Thank
7	A. I haven't read the whole I I can't answer that.	7	you.
8	If you say so, then I'm going to have to take your	8	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. I would like to
9	word for it. Otherwise I can't comment.	9	thank you for your testimony, Captain Bendixen. You
10	Q. Okay. It just sounds like you're not aware of any.	10	are excused from the remainder of the hearing.
11	A. Again, I haven't read that whole thing. It's a lot.	11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
12	Q. In your testimony, however, you referred to	12	JUDGE HOWARD: So we're almost at noon here.
13	David Lough's comparison of PSP compensation with	13	I'm going to suggest that we take a we rejoin here
14	other pilot groups; right?	14	at 12:40 p.m. And I'm going to suggest that we pick
15	A. Yes. I do believe that I referred to David Lough.	15	up with Costanzo when we rejoin, and then we move on
16	Q. And you believe that higher levels of compensation	16	to the vessel tonnage issues.
17	would attract more diverse pilot candidates to PSP?	17	Is that agreeable to the parties?
18	A. I do.	18	MR. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor. I wanted to
19	Q. And you believe this would improve safety?	19	point out one thing. I forgot to mention earlier
20	A. I do. Wholeheartedly.	20	that Sean McCarthy had a scheduling conflict that
21	Q. But you do not have diversity data like this for	21	prevents him from being here today. So we he'll
22	other pilot groups that Mr. Lough studied?	22	be here tomorrow morning. So you would just after
23	A. Do you? I don't know.	23	Mr. Costanzo, it would move to Captain Stoller and
24	Q. I'm the one who gets to ask the questions,	24	Captain Carlson, et cetera.
25	Captain Bendixen.	25	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. We will call him
	Page 192		Page 194
1	Page 192 You don't have diversity data for the other pilot	1	Page 194 tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem.
1 2		2	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you.
	You don't have diversity data for the other pilot	2 3	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the
2	You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you?	2 3 4	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence
2 3	You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions.	2 3 4 5	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that
2 3 4 5 6	You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further	2 3 4 5 6	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7	You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect?	2 3 4 5 6 7	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. And then Captain Lough.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes. Just a few minutes, Your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. And then Captain Lough. MR. BLOCK: Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes. Just a few minutes, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. And then Captain Lough. MR. BLOCK: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: I do expect that those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes. Just a few minutes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. And then Captain Lough. MR. BLOCK: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: I do expect that those witnesses' crosses are going to occur slightly after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes. Just a few minutes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. And then Captain Lough. MR. BLOCK: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: I do expect that those witnesses' crosses are going to occur slightly after 1 p.m., because we're going to try to fit in Costanzo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes. Just a few minutes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. There's a PMSA witness who let me just ask this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. And then Captain Lough. MR. BLOCK: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: I do expect that those witnesses' crosses are going to occur slightly after 1 p.m., because we're going to try to fit in Costanzo right after we come back from lunch. So it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes. Just a few minutes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. There's a PMSA witness who let me just ask this. I think it's a cross-examination. I don't think it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. And then Captain Lough. MR. BLOCK: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: I do expect that those witnesses' crosses are going to occur slightly after 1 p.m., because we're going to try to fit in Costanzo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes. Just a few minutes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. There's a PMSA witness who let me just ask this. I think it's a cross-examination. I don't think it's in the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. And then Captain Lough. MR. BLOCK: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: I do expect that those witnesses' crosses are going to occur slightly after 1 p.m., because we're going to try to fit in Costanzo right after we come back from lunch. So it's probably going to be more like 1:10 or 1:15.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes. Just a few minutes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. There's a PMSA witness who let me just ask this. I think it's a cross-examination. I don't think it's in the record. But to the extent there's a PMSA witness who will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. And then Captain Lough. MR. BLOCK: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: I do expect that those witnesses' crosses are going to occur slightly after 1 p.m., because we're going to try to fit in Costanzo right after we come back from lunch. So it's probably going to be more like 1:10 or 1:15. MR. BLOCK: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes. Just a few minutes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. There's a PMSA witness who let me just ask this. I think it's a cross-examination. I don't think it's in the record. But to the extent there's a PMSA witness who will say that a more diverse group of pilots would or a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. And then Captain Lough. MR. BLOCK: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: I do expect that those witnesses' crosses are going to occur slightly after 1 p.m., because we're going to try to fit in Costanzo right after we come back from lunch. So it's probably going to be more like 1:10 or 1:15. MR. BLOCK: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. I'll see you all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes. Just a few minutes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. There's a PMSA witness who let me just ask this. I think it's a cross-examination. I don't think it's in the record. But to the extent there's a PMSA witness who will say that a more diverse group of pilots would or a more diverse workforce outperforms a nondiverse	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. And then Captain Lough. MR. BLOCK: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: I do expect that those witnesses' crosses are going to occur slightly after 1 p.m., because we're going to try to fit in Costanzo right after we come back from lunch. So it's probably going to be more like 1:10 or 1:15. MR. BLOCK: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. I'll see you all back here at 12:40. We are off the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes. Just a few minutes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. There's a PMSA witness who let me just ask this. I think it's a cross-examination. I don't think it's in the record. But to the extent there's a PMSA witness who will say that a more diverse group of pilots would or a more diverse workforce outperforms a nondiverse workforce in terms of productivity, would you agree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. And then Captain Lough. MR. BLOCK: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: I do expect that those witnesses' crosses are going to occur slightly after 1 p.m., because we're going to try to fit in Costanzo right after we come back from lunch. So it's probably going to be more like 1:10 or 1:15. MR. BLOCK: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. I'll see you all back here at 12:40. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:56 a.m. to 12:41 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes. Just a few minutes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. There's a PMSA witness who let me just ask this. I think it's a cross-examination. I don't think it's in the record. But to the extent there's a PMSA witness who will say that a more diverse group of pilots would or a more diverse workforce outperforms a nondiverse workforce in terms of productivity, would you agree with that statement?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. And then Captain Lough. MR. BLOCK: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: I do expect that those witnesses' crosses are going to occur slightly after 1 p.m., because we're going to try to fit in Costanzo right after we come back from lunch. So it's probably going to be more like 1:10 or 1:15. MR. BLOCK: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. I'll see you all back here at 12:40. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:56 a.m. to 12:41 p.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: Let's be back on the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes. Just a few minutes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. There's a PMSA witness who let me just ask this. I think it's a cross-examination. I don't think it's in the record. But to the extent there's a PMSA witness who will say that a more diverse group of pilots would or a more diverse workforce outperforms a nondiverse workforce in terms of productivity, would you agree with that statement? A. I believe I would. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. And then Captain Lough. MR. BLOCK: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: I do expect that those witnesses' crosses are going to occur slightly after 1 p.m., because we're going to try to fit in Costanzo right after we come back from lunch. So it's probably going to be more like 1:10 or 1:15. MR. BLOCK: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. I'll see you all back here at 12:40. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:56 a.m. to 12:41 p.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: Let's be back on the record. It's 12:41 p.m. We're returning after lunch.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes. Just a few minutes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. There's a PMSA witness who let me just ask this. I think it's a cross-examination. I don't think it's in the record. But to the extent there's a PMSA witness who will say that a more diverse group of pilots would or a more diverse workforce outperforms a nondiverse workforce in terms of productivity, would you agree with that statement? A. I believe I would. Q. And likewise, is it your belief that a more diverse 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. And then Captain Lough. MR. BLOCK: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: I do expect that those witnesses' crosses are going to occur slightly after 1 p.m., because we're going to try to fit in Costanzo right after we come back from lunch. So it's probably going to be more like 1:10 or 1:15. MR. BLOCK: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. I'll see you all back here at 12:40. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:56 a.m. to 12:41 p.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: Let's be back on the record. It's 12:41 p.m. We're returning after lunch. Our next witness is PSP's witness,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes. Just a few minutes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. There's a PMSA witness who let me just ask this. I think it's a cross-examination. I don't think it's in the record. But to the extent there's a PMSA witness who will say that a more diverse group of pilots would or a more diverse workforce outperforms a nondiverse workforce in terms of productivity, would you agree with that statement? A. I believe I would. Q. And likewise, is it your belief that a more diverse PSP pilot core will improve the accident prevention 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. And then Captain Lough. MR. BLOCK: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: I do expect that those witnesses' crosses are going to occur slightly after 1 p.m., because we're going to try to fit in Costanzo right after we come back from lunch. So it's probably going to be more like 1:10 or 1:15. MR. BLOCK: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. I'll see you all back here at 12:40. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:56 a.m. to 12:41 p.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: Let's be back on the record. It's 12:41 p.m. We're returning after lunch. Our next witness is PSP's witness, Charles Costanzo. I see you have your video feed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes. Just a few minutes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. There's a PMSA witness who let me just ask this. I think it's a cross-examination. I don't think it's in the record. But to the extent there's a PMSA witness who will say that a more diverse group of pilots would or a more diverse workforce outperforms a nondiverse workforce in terms of productivity, would you agree with that statement? A. I believe I would. Q. And likewise, is it your belief that a more diverse PSP pilot core will improve the accident prevention capability of PSP? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. And then Captain Lough. MR. BLOCK: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: I do expect that those witnesses' crosses are going to occur slightly after 1 p.m., because we're going to try to fit in Costanzo right after we come back from lunch. So it's probably going to be more like 1:10 or 1:15. MR. BLOCK: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. I'll see you all back here at 12:40. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:56 a.m. to 12:41 p.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: Let's be back on the record. It's 12:41 p.m. We're returning after lunch. Our next witness is PSP's witness, Charles Costanzo. I see you have your video feed turned on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes. Just a few minutes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. There's a PMSA witness who let me just ask this. I think it's a cross-examination. I don't think it's in the record. But to the extent there's a PMSA witness who will say that a more diverse group of pilots would or a more diverse workforce outperforms a nondiverse workforce in terms of productivity, would you agree with that statement? A. I believe I would. Q. And likewise, is it your belief that a more diverse PSP pilot core will improve the accident prevention capability of PSP? A. Absolutely. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. And then Captain Lough. MR. BLOCK: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: I do expect that those witnesses' crosses are going to occur slightly after 1 p.m., because we're going to try to fit in Costanzo right after we come back from lunch. So it's probably going to be more like 1:10 or 1:15. MR. BLOCK: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. I'll see you all back here at 12:40. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:56 a.m. to 12:41 p.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: Let's be back on the record. It's 12:41 p.m. We're returning after lunch. Our next witness is PSP's witness, Charles Costanzo. I see you have your video feed turned on. Could you please raise your right hand and I'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 You don't have diversity data for the other pilot groups Mr. Lough studied, do you? A. Me personally, no. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect? MR. HAGLUND: Yes. Just a few minutes, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. There's a PMSA witness who let me just ask this. I think it's a cross-examination. I don't think it's in the record. But to the extent there's a PMSA witness who will say that a more diverse group of pilots would or a more diverse workforce outperforms a nondiverse workforce in terms of productivity, would you agree with that statement? A. I believe I would. Q. And likewise, is it your belief that a more diverse PSP pilot core will improve the accident prevention capability of PSP? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	tomorrow and we can adjust. It should be no problem. MR. HAGLUND: Thank you. MR. BLOCK: Judge, if I can ask the question, at 1:00, we'll start with recommence with Captain Klapperich. Immediately following that will be TOTE's witness, Phil Morrell; is that right? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. And then Captain Lough. MR. BLOCK: Yeah. JUDGE HOWARD: I do expect that those witnesses' crosses are going to occur slightly after 1 p.m., because we're going to try to fit in Costanzo right after we come back from lunch. So it's probably going to be more like 1:10 or 1:15. MR. BLOCK: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. I'll see you all back here at 12:40. We are off the record. (A break was taken from 11:56 a.m. to 12:41 p.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: Let's be back on the record. It's 12:41 p.m. We're returning after lunch. Our next witness is PSP's witness, Charles Costanzo. I see you have your video feed turned on.

26 (Pages 191 to 194)

	Page 195	Page	e 197
1	* * * * *	1 on page 2?	
2	Charles Costanzo, having been first duly sworn, was	2 A. We're talking about the February 22, 2021, letter?	
	examined and testified as	3 Q. No. CPC-37X should be your public comment	
3	follows:	4 A. Northwest Port Clean Air Strategy.	
4	JUDGE HOWARD: I'm sorry. Your audio was	5 Q to the port commission regarding north yes.	
5	not coming through for a moment.	6 Northwest Port's Clean Air Strategy.	
6	I'm still not hearing your audio. I believe	7 So on page 2 of	
7	before yes. We'll wait for some technical	8 A. Yeah. I I agree with that. I stand by these	
8	assistance.	9 comments, sure.	
9	MR. HAGLUND: It should work now.	10 Q. Thank you.	
10	THE WITNESS: I do.	11 If you could turn to	
11	JUDGE HOWARD: You do. All right.	12 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. DeLappe, I	iust
12	THE WITNESS: I sure do.	 wanted to clarify, is that 37X or 36X? 	juot
13	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.	14 MS. DeLAPPE: 37X. Thank you.	
14	All right. Mr. Haglund, could you please	15 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank y	
15	introduce the witness?	16 BY MS. DeLAPPE:	00.
16	MR. HAGLUND: Yes.	17 Q. And then 38X is a letter dated February 22, 2021,	
17	Mr. Costanzo, what's your position with PSP?	18 with the letterhead the American Waterways Open	
18	THE WITNESS: I'm the executive director of	19 correct?	aloi 5,
19	Puget Sound Pilots.		
20	MR. HAGLUND: Did you prepare original and	A. Yeah. Yep. That's a	
21	rebuttal testimony in this case?	Q. And that's a letter that you wrote to the I'm	
22	THE WITNESS: I did.	22 getting a little feedback. Is there I'll	
23	MR. HAGLUND: Is it true and accurate to the	23 continue.	
24	best of your knowledge?	24 That's your letter to the California Department	
25	THE WITNESS: It is.	25 of Fish & Wildlife?	
	Page 196	Page	e 198
1	MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for	1 A. In my capacity as the American Waterways Operato	ors GC
2	cross-examination.	2 and VP, yes.	
3	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And	3 Q. So if you look at that letter, pages 1 and 2, do yo	u
4	PMSA may proceed with their cross.	4 stand by each of the claims that you made in that	
5	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you.	5 this letter also? And I can take some examples if	
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION	6 that would be helpful.	
7	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	7 A. I do. I do agree with them, yes.	
8	Q. And good afternoon, Mr. Costanzo.	8 Q. So just to confirm, for example, you say that	
9	A. Good afternoon, Ms. DeLappe.	9 "Non-tank vessels do not represent an increase ir	n the
10	Q. Your testimony includes discussion of vessel safety,	10 risk of an oil spill, so it is inappropriate to	
11	including criticism of foreign flag vessel	11 increase the burden of fees on non-tank vessels f	or
12	operations. So I'm going to ask you a few questions	12 oil spill risks."	
13	related to that.	13 You stand by that?	
14	If you could please turn to Exhibit CPC-37X, and	14 A. Yeah. And I want to clarify that too; that the fee	
15	also if you could have handy Exhibit CPC-38X.	 we're talking about here is specifically regarding 	
16	And those are letters?	16 well, let's just say that the the fee that we're	
17	A. Go ahead.	 talking about in the increase, it's not nonzero. 	
18	Q. Thank you. So those are letters that you wrote.	18 That because the spills volumes weren't increasing in	.
10 19	-	19 California, because the types of vessels transiting	I
	CPC-37X is your letter to the Northwest Seaport		
20	Alliance on January 5th, 2021; right?	6 6	
21	A. This is in my capacity in my previous job at the	21 a a baseline level rather than an increase.	
22	American Waterways Operators.	22 Q. And so on the next page you have a list of	
23	Q. And looking here at page 2	23 significant improvements that have occurred that	,
24	A. Okay.	24 quote, "Drive the risks of marine oil spills down."	
25	Q. Do you agree with all the claims that you made here	25 You stand by that also?	

27 (Pages 195 to 198)

	Page 199		Page 201
1	A. Yeah. Absolutely. Absolutely. I stand by the fact	1	response?
2	that that oil spill risk is driven down by a	2	A. Yeah. It's an objection.
3	variety of elements of the safety safety marine	3	Q. And do you believe that your opinions on maritime
4	safety regime.	4	safety are a waste of time?
5	Q. And then in the second paragraph there on that same	5	A. That my opinions on maritime safety are a waste of
6	page, you agree with your claim that maritime spill	6	time? No, I don't believe that.
7	prevention and response is extensively regulated by	7	Q. Let's turn to
8	the U.S. Coast Guard?	8	A. This isn't my opinion. This isn't asking for my
9	A. Among other agencies, yes. OSPR being another one of	9	opinion on maritime safety. It's just asking for
10	them, in California.	10	whether the Coast Guard regulates maritime spill
11	Q. If you could please turn now to CPC-33X.	11	prevention in response and to an extensive degree.
12	A. Okay. Let's talk about what that is.	12	And the answer is, yes, they do.
13	What's that?	13	Q. Let's turn to your your CV, Exhibit CPC-02.
14	Q. So these are PMSA data requests.	14	A. Okey-doke.
15	A. Okay.	15	Q. So, Mr. Costanzo, you have never represented or
16	Q. And if you could go ahead and go to the end, pages 36	16	advocated for foreign the sector that would
17	and 37, you'll see they are PMSA Data Request	17	comprise foreign flag vessels in the maritime
18	No. 741?	18	industry?
19	A. Okay. Yeah. These are to me.	19	A. No. I have just been exclusively engaged in and
20	Q. And do you recognize that the list of issue	20	representing U.S. flag vessels in the in the
21	admissions that were requested of you, A through U,	21	tugboat and barge industry and in my capacity as the
22	are all taken from those two public comment letters	22	American National Waterways Operators, if that's what
23	that we just looked at?	23	you're referring to.
24	A. Yeah. I'd say that	24	Q. And so your CV also didn't have any work experience
25	CHAIR DANNER: Excuse me. I'm sorry.	25	with foreign flag vessel insurance claims,
	Page 200		Page 202
-			
1	What Ms. DeLappe, what are the page numbers that	1	underwriting, vessel ownership issues, or protection
2	we're looking at here?	2	indemnity club issues. Is that because
3	MS. DeLAPPE: Pages 36 and 37, please.	3	A. Well, not exactly.
4	CHAIR DANNER: Thank you so much.	4	Q you have work experience
5	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. BY MS. DeLAPPE:	5	A. You see, in that portion from 2007 to 2008, as an
6		6	associate attorney at Twomey, Hoppe & Gallanty, we did, in fact, involve engage in some cases that
7 8	Q. So those look familiar. They're from your letters;	8	
° 9	right?	9	dealt with insurance and claims in a maritime space
	A. I'd like to I'd like to compare them one to one.	1	involving foreign flag carriers. Q. So what was your role in that?
10 11	I mean, there are a lot of them here. They run A through A through T. So but I wouldn't be able	10 11	A. It was an associate attorney. It was a first job out
12	to say that they're directly taken from that. Don't	12	of law school. It was document review, things like
13	know. I would have to look at them.	13	that.
14	Q. All right. Can you look at L as an example? Do you	14	Q. So you got your that was your very first associate
15	see that it says "Admit that the U.S. Coast Guard	15	job as an attorney that you got
16	already extensively regulate" and it should have	16	A. Correct.
17	an S there.	17	Q some exposure to that issue?
18	A. Yeah. American Spill Prevention and Response.	18	A. Correct.
19	Absolutely. Yeah.	19	Q. Can I just ask a question of curiosity. How did you
20	Q. Yeah. Right. So you can see that that one at least	20	have law offices of attorney Charles P. Costanzo
21	is familiar to you from the letter that we just	21	while you didn't have a your law degree finished
22	looked at; correct?	22	or your license as an attorney?
23	A. It's familiar to me as a concept it's true, but as	23	A. That that's a that's my father.
24	far as letter to letter, I don't know.	24	Q. Oh.
24		1	
24 25	Q. Anyways, could you look on the next page at your	25	A. Actually, I worked with my dad from 2003 to 2006.

28 (Pages 199 to 202)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

	Page 203		Page 205
1	Q. Thank you.	1	testimony regarding the best availability the best
2	A. I was an attorney while he was I was a paralegal	2	available protection standard, if you'll recall.
3	while he was winding up his practice.	3	A. I think it's best achievable protection. But, yeah.
4	Q. Thank you. That satisfies that curiosity.	4	Q. So you didn't cite that statute, that chapter, at all
5	A. There you go.	5	in your discussion about liabilities in the Puget
6	Q. Can you look now at CPC-22T. That's your rebuttal	6	Sound, did you?
7	testimony, please.	7	A. I don't know. If you say I didn't, then perhaps I
8	A. 22T. You bet.	8	didn't. But I know that I did discuss that
9	Q. And specifically I'd like to go to page 22 there.	9	extensive that bill extensively.
10	I'm calling it 22T. I think there was a numbering	10	Q. Let's look at Exhibit CPC-39X.
11	issue. But it's your rebuttal testimony.	11	A. 39X. Now, what is that?
12	A. Okay.	12	Q. So you'll see there that that is Chapter 90.56, RCW.
13	MR. HAGLUND: What page did you ask?	13	A. Okay.
14	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	14	Q. And I would ask you to go to page 25 of that exhibit.
15	Q. Let me know when you're there, please.	15 16	A. Okay. Yep. Here we go. We're getting they're
16 17	A. 22T. What was the page again?Q. Page 22.	17	getting me to the page here. Q. So and I would direct your attention specifically
18	And if you look about halfway down the page, do	18	to RCW 90.56.370 on that page.
10 19	you see there that you said you had an opinion	19	A. Yeah.
20	that there's a liability, quote, "loophole" for P&I	20	Q. Let me know when you're there.
21	club members such that if, quote, "An at-fault ship	21	A. "Shall be strictly liable, without regard to the
22	owner abandons its vessel following a major casualty	22	fault, for the damages, persons, property, public or
23	or oil spill on the Puget Sound, Washington's	23	private caused by such entry."
24	financial responsibility requirement would be	24	Q. Thank you.
25	essentially meaningless."	25	And so this statute imposes strict liability for
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	Page 204		Design 200
			Page 206
1	Is that	1	a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any
2	Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would	2	a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right?
2 3	Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of	2 3	a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable."
2 3 4	Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to	2 3 4	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." Q. And there's no liability and limitation based on the
2 3 4 5	Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not	2 3 4 5	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." Q. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility,
2 3 4 5 6	Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not seek not pay out anything and essentially not be	2 3 4 5 6	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." Q. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility, is there?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not seek not pay out anything and essentially not be entitled to indemnity thereafter.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." Q. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility, is there? A. Well, that's not well, I mean, there's nothing in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not seek not pay out anything and essentially not be entitled to indemnity thereafter. Q. And so if we turn 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." Q. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility, is there? A. Well, that's not well, I mean, there's nothing in here. But that's not saying that the damages that
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	 Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not seek not pay out anything and essentially not be entitled to indemnity thereafter. Q. And so if we turn A. That's how I understand this law to work. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." Q. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility, is there? A. Well, that's not well, I mean, there's nothing in here. But that's not saying that the damages that the amounts that are recoverable, that the vessel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not seek not pay out anything and essentially not be entitled to indemnity thereafter. Q. And so if we turn A. That's how I understand this law to work. Q. And so if we turn two pages later, you have a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." Q. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility, is there? A. Well, that's not well, I mean, there's nothing in here. But that's not saying that the damages that the amounts that are recoverable, that the vessel itself or the owner of the vessel can't somehow limit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not seek not pay out anything and essentially not be entitled to indemnity thereafter. Q. And so if we turn A. That's how I understand this law to work. Q. And so if we turn two pages later, you have a hypothetical there where a ship owner can, quote, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." Q. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility, is there? A. Well, that's not well, I mean, there's nothing in here. But that's not saying that the damages that the amounts that are recoverable, that the vessel itself or the owner of the vessel can't somehow limit its its liability. There are all kinds of means
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not seek not pay out anything and essentially not be entitled to indemnity thereafter. Q. And so if we turn A. That's how I understand this law to work. Q. And so if we turn two pages later, you have a hypothetical there where a ship owner can, quote, "Walk away from its liabilities," end quote, and a, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." Q. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility, is there? A. Well, that's not well, I mean, there's nothing in here. But that's not saying that the damages that the amounts that are recoverable, that the vessel itself or the owner of the vessel can't somehow limit its its liability. There are all kinds of means to limit their liability. I mean, just because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not seek not pay out anything and essentially not be entitled to indemnity thereafter. Q. And so if we turn A. That's how I understand this law to work. Q. And so if we turn two pages later, you have a hypothetical there where a ship owner can, quote, "Walk away from its liabilities," end quote, and a, quote, "P&I club will almost certainly deny 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." G. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility, is there? A. Well, that's not well, I mean, there's nothing in here. But that's not saying that the damages that the amounts that are recoverable, that the vessel itself or the owner of the vessel can't somehow limit its its liability. There are all kinds of means to limit their liability. I mean, just because there's no limitation here doesn't mean that it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not seek not pay out anything and essentially not be entitled to indemnity thereafter. Q. And so if we turn A. That's how I understand this law to work. Q. And so if we turn two pages later, you have a hypothetical there where a ship owner can, quote, "Walk away from its liabilities," end quote, and a, quote, "P&I club will almost certainly deny coverage." 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." G. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility, is there? A. Well, that's not well, I mean, there's nothing in here. But that's not saying that the damages that the amounts that are recoverable, that the vessel itself or the owner of the vessel can't somehow limit its its liability. There are all kinds of means to limit their liability. I mean, just because there's no limitation here doesn't mean that it's that it's therefore unlimited.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not seek not pay out anything and essentially not be entitled to indemnity thereafter. Q. And so if we turn A. That's how I understand this law to work. Q. And so if we turn two pages later, you have a hypothetical there where a ship owner can, quote, "Walk away from its liabilities," end quote, and a, quote, "P&I club will almost certainly deny coverage." Is that that's your opinion? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." Q. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility, is there? A. Well, that's not well, I mean, there's nothing in here. But that's not saying that the damages that the amounts that are recoverable, that the vessel itself or the owner of the vessel can't somehow limit its its liability. There are all kinds of means to limit their liability. I mean, just because there's no limitation here doesn't mean that it's that it's therefore unlimited. Q. Is there does that would you agree that under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not seek not pay out anything and essentially not be entitled to indemnity thereafter. Q. And so if we turn A. That's how I understand this law to work. Q. And so if we turn two pages later, you have a hypothetical there where a ship owner can, quote, "Walk away from its liabilities," end quote, and a, quote, "P&I club will almost certainly deny coverage." Is that that's your opinion? A. Well, if the insurer hasn't paid anything out and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." Q. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility, is there? A. Well, that's not well, I mean, there's nothing in here. But that's not saying that the damages that the amounts that are recoverable, that the vessel itself or the owner of the vessel can't somehow limit its its liability. There are all kinds of means to limit their liability. I mean, just because there's no limitation here doesn't mean that it's that it's therefore unlimited. Q. Is there does that would you agree that under this statute, the liability continues to exist even
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not seek not pay out anything and essentially not be entitled to indemnity thereafter. Q. And so if we turn A. That's how I understand this law to work. Q. And so if we turn two pages later, you have a hypothetical there where a ship owner can, quote, "Walk away from its liabilities," end quote, and a, quote, "P&I club will almost certainly deny coverage." Is that that's your opinion? A. Well, if the insurer hasn't paid anything out and it's an indemnity if the insured hasn't paid 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." Q. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility, is there? A. Well, that's not well, I mean, there's nothing in here. But that's not saying that the damages that the amounts that are recoverable, that the vessel itself or the owner of the vessel can't somehow limit its its liability. There are all kinds of means to limit their liability. I mean, just because there's no limitation here doesn't mean that it's that it's therefore unlimited. Q. Is there does that would you agree that under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not seek not pay out anything and essentially not be entitled to indemnity thereafter. Q. And so if we turn A. That's how I understand this law to work. Q. And so if we turn two pages later, you have a hypothetical there where a ship owner can, quote, "Walk away from its liabilities," end quote, and a, quote, "P&I club will almost certainly deny coverage." Is that that's your opinion? A. Well, if the insurer hasn't paid anything out and it's an indemnity if the insured hasn't paid anything out and it's an indemnity policy, then the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." Q. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility, is there? A. Well, that's not well, I mean, there's nothing in here. But that's not saying that the damages that the amounts that are recoverable, that the vessel itself or the owner of the vessel can't somehow limit its its liability. There are all kinds of means to limit their liability. I mean, just because there's no limitation here doesn't mean that it's that it's therefore unlimited. Q. Is there does that would you agree that under this statute, the liability continues to exist even if a vessel owner physically abandons the statute I mean the vessel?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not seek not pay out anything and essentially not be entitled to indemnity thereafter. Q. And so if we turn A. That's how I understand this law to work. Q. And so if we turn two pages later, you have a hypothetical there where a ship owner can, quote, "Walk away from its liabilities," end quote, and a, quote, "P&I club will almost certainly deny coverage." Is that that's your opinion? A. Well, if the insurer hasn't paid anything out and it's an indemnity if the insured hasn't paid anything out and it's an indemnity policy, then the P&I club isn't going to reimburse the insured if they 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." Q. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility, is there? A. Well, that's not well, I mean, there's nothing in here. But that's not saying that the damages that the amounts that are recoverable, that the vessel itself or the owner of the vessel can't somehow limit its its liability. There are all kinds of means to limit their liability. I mean, just because there's no limitation here doesn't mean that it's that it's therefore unlimited. Q. Is there does that would you agree that under this statute, the liability continues to exist even if a vessel owner physically abandons the statute I mean the vessel?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not seek not pay out anything and essentially not be entitled to indemnity thereafter. Q. And so if we turn A. That's how I understand this law to work. Q. And so if we turn two pages later, you have a hypothetical there where a ship owner can, quote, "Walk away from its liabilities," end quote, and a, quote, "P&I club will almost certainly deny coverage." Is that that's your opinion? A. Well, if the insurer hasn't paid anything out and it's an indemnity if the insured hasn't paid anything out and it's an indemnity policy, then the P&I club isn't going to reimburse the insured if they haven't paid anything out on an indemnity claim. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." Q. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility, is there? A. Well, that's not well, I mean, there's nothing in here. But that's not saying that the damages that the amounts that are recoverable, that the vessel itself or the owner of the vessel can't somehow limit its its liability. There are all kinds of means to limit their liability. I mean, just because there's no limitation here doesn't mean that it's that it's therefore unlimited. Q. Is there does that would you agree that under this statute, the liability continues to exist even if a vessel owner physically abandons the statute I mean the vessel? A. To the extent that you can find the responsible party and and successfully satisfy a judgment against
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not seek not pay out anything and essentially not be entitled to indemnity thereafter. Q. And so if we turn A. That's how I understand this law to work. Q. And so if we turn two pages later, you have a hypothetical there where a ship owner can, quote, "Walk away from its liabilities," end quote, and a, quote, "P&I club will almost certainly deny coverage." Is that that's your opinion? A. Well, if the insurer hasn't paid anything out and it's an indemnity if the insured hasn't paid anything out and it's an indemnity policy, then the P&I club isn't going to reimburse the insured if they 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." Q. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility, is there? A. Well, that's not well, I mean, there's nothing in here. But that's not saying that the damages that the amounts that are recoverable, that the vessel itself or the owner of the vessel can't somehow limit its its liability. There are all kinds of means to limit their liability. I mean, just because there's no limitation here doesn't mean that it's that it's therefore unlimited. Q. Is there does that would you agree that under this statute, the liability continues to exist even if a vessel owner physically abandons the statute I mean the vessel?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not seek not pay out anything and essentially not be entitled to indemnity thereafter. Q. And so if we turn A. That's how I understand this law to work. Q. And so if we turn two pages later, you have a hypothetical there where a ship owner can, quote, "Walk away from its liabilities," end quote, and a, quote, "P&I club will almost certainly deny coverage." Is that that's your opinion? A. Well, if the insurer hasn't paid anything out and it's an indemnity if the insured hasn't paid anything out and it's an indemnity policy, then the P&I club isn't going to reimburse the insured if they haven't paid anything out on an indemnity claim. Q. So let's look at we can look are you familiar 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." Q. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility, is there? A. Well, that's not well, I mean, there's nothing in here. But that's not saying that the damages that the amounts that are recoverable, that the vessel itself or the owner of the vessel can't somehow limit its its liability. There are all kinds of means to limit their liability. I mean, just because there's no limitation here doesn't mean that it's that it's therefore unlimited. Q. Is there does that would you agree that under this statute, the liability continues to exist even if a vessel? A. To the extent that you can find the responsible party and and successfully satisfy a judgment against that party, I suppose. But that's the challenge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not seek not pay out anything and essentially not be entitled to indemnity thereafter. Q. And so if we turn A. That's how I understand this law to work. Q. And so if we turn two pages later, you have a hypothetical there where a ship owner can, quote, "Walk away from its liabilities," end quote, and a, quote, "P&I club will almost certainly deny coverage." Is that that's your opinion? A. Well, if the insurer hasn't paid anything out and it's an indemnity if the insured hasn't paid anything out and it's an indemnity policy, then the P&I club isn't going to reimburse the insured if they haven't paid anything out on an indemnity claim. Q. So let's look at we can look are you familiar with RCW Chapter 90.56? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." Q. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility, is there? A. Well, that's not well, I mean, there's nothing in here. But that's not saying that the damages that the amounts that are recoverable, that the vessel itself or the owner of the vessel can't somehow limit its its liability. There are all kinds of means to limit their liability. I mean, just because there's no limitation here doesn't mean that it's that it's therefore unlimited. Q. Is there does that would you agree that under this statute, the liability continues to exist even if a vessel? A. To the extent that you can find the responsible party and and successfully satisfy a judgment against that party, I suppose. But that's the challenge. The challenge is not necessarily the fixing of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Is that A. Yeah. This this provision in in the law would allow a vessel owner or operator who is a member of an international P&I mutual organization to essentially decline abandon a vessel and not seek not pay out anything and essentially not be entitled to indemnity thereafter. Q. And so if we turn A. That's how I understand this law to work. Q. And so if we turn two pages later, you have a hypothetical there where a ship owner can, quote, "Walk away from its liabilities," end quote, and a, quote, "P&I club will almost certainly deny coverage." Is that that's your opinion? A. Well, if the insurer hasn't paid anything out and it's an indemnity if the insured hasn't paid anything out and it's an indemnity policy, then the P&I club isn't going to reimburse the insured if they haven't paid anything out on an indemnity claim. Q. So let's look at we can look are you familiar with RCW Chapter 90.56? A. I think so. Is that the oil spill the oil spill 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 a vessel that spills oil into the Puget Sound for any reason whatsoever; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. I mean, "strictly liable." Q. And there's no liability and limitation based on the size of the certificate of financial responsibility, is there? A. Well, that's not well, I mean, there's nothing in here. But that's not saying that the damages that the amounts that are recoverable, that the vessel itself or the owner of the vessel can't somehow limit its its liability. There are all kinds of means to limit their liability. I mean, just because there's no limitation here doesn't mean that it's that it's therefore unlimited. Q. Is there does that would you agree that under this statute, the liability continues to exist even if a vessel owner physically abandons the statute I mean the vessel? A. To the extent that you can find the responsible party and and successfully satisfy a judgment against that party, I suppose. But that's the challenge. The challenge is not necessarily the fixing of liability, but the actual collecting of a judgment

29 (Pages 203 to 206)

	Page 207		Page 209
1	Q. And, Mr	1	Q. And specifically page pages 25 and 26.
2	A by this process.	2	A. Okay.
3	Q. And, Mr. Costanzo, I'm specifically asking you about	3	Q. And these are PMSA data requests. It's a DR726,
4	legal liability because you have opined in your	4	specifically the one I'm looking at.
5	testimony that there is a legal loophole; right? And	5	A. Mm-hmm.
6	I am just directing you to the fact that this statute	6	Q. And it asks you to clarify how your testimony about
7	does not have any limitations, legally; is that	7	financial responsibility requirements related to
8	correct?	8	actual vessel liabilities from an oil spill under the
9	A. Well, if you are a member of the P&I club, then you	9	statute we were just looking at; right?
10	aren't covered by that COFR expansion bill; right?	10	A. Mm-hmm.
11	You're exempted from that; right?	11	Q. And you see that there is, again, that same objection
12	Q. So, Mr. Costanzo, where in this strict liability	12	that says that this is a waste of time.
13	statute that you have in front of you right now does	13	A. Yeah.
14	it have any limitation on the liability if you're a	14	Q. Now, given the fact that you have not presented any
15	member of a P&I club?	15	information about this statute in your testimony
16	Can you point me to the to the section of the	16	regarding oil spill liability, do you think that it
17	statute? I'm I'm not seeing it.	17	might not be a waste of your time to consider your
18	A. Well, is there anything that talks about any	18	opinion on that matter for this case?
19	limitation at all?	19	A. Could you repeat the question?
20	Q. You're asking me?	20	Q. Given our time, I would like to move on to the Port
21	A. Well, are there any well, I don't see any language	21	State Control issue that you also testified about.
22	affecting limitation of at all.	22	A. Okay.
23	Q. Great.	23	Q. In your testimony you you talked about the
24	A. It just it	24	administration of Port State Control functions by the
25	Q. Thank you.	25	U.S. Coast Guard; correct?
1	Page 208 A just says liability.	1	Page 210 A. Correct.
2	Q. And there's no limitation on the strict liability	2	Q. You have never, yourself, conducted a Port State
3	statute that you can see?	3	Control exam, have you?
4	A. Right. The limitation exists elsewhere in statute	4	A. No.
5	and the code is read together as a whole.	5	Q. You've never supervised one; right?
6	Q. So you're saying that other statutes would trump this	6	A. No. But I don't think that is that is a necessary
7	strict liability statute?	7	qualification to determine what a port
8	A. I don't know about the word "trump," but certainly	8	Q. My question is not about what your opinion is. It's
9	inform.	9	about your experience. And I think I got the answer.
10	Q. You don't have any legal authority to cite for your	10	No.
11	position on that, do you?	11	You have never worked as a crew
12	A. Well, the the Washington statute on certificate of	12	JUDGE HOWARD: It sounds like someone is not
13	financial responsibility.	13	muted who should be muted. So maybe check check
14	Q. Right. But that statute does not say the strict	14	your or what have you.
15	liability statute does not apply to those who are	15	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you.
16	covered by P&I club membership; right?	16	BY MS. DeLAPPE:
17	A. It doesn't say anything about the the degree to	17	Q. You have never worked as crew on a vessel subject to
18	which they can cabin their liability, no.	18	a Port State Control exam, Mr. Costanzo; right?
19	Q. It doesn't say anything about this statute not	19	A. No.
20	applying to members of P&I club, does it?	20	Q. So you have no direct experience with the
21	A. Specifically, no. But it's elsewhere.	21	administration of of that type of an exam?
22	Q. Let's turn to	22	A. Well, I have certainly had direct experience with
23	A. The limitations are contained elsewhere.	23	vessels that are that potentially could undergo an
24	Q. Let's turn now to CPC-33X, please.	24	exam.
25	A. Sure.	25	Q. That does not mean that you've had experience of the

30 (Pages 207 to 210)

	Page 211		Page 213
1	administration of the exam firsthand, have you?	1	So if you just scroll through the next several
2	A. As, like, a member of the Coast Guard conducting the	2	pages, let me know when you reach one that is
3	exam?	3	document review. And I will posit to you, to help
4	Q. Or even being present during one.	4	speed things along, that the only one you'll find is
5	A. Does being telephonically present count?	5	No. 84, "Examine fire control plan." But everything
6	Q. No.	6	else I I'm not seeing any documentation review.
7	A. Then no.	7	Are you? And you are familiar with this
8	Q. So in your rebuttal testimony at CPC-22T, which we	8	checklist you submitted; right?
9	were looking at earlier, on page 17, you say there	9	A. Well, when you go when you go through and say
10	let's see. You say that the bottom line, I'm quoting	10	you're looking at a fire extinguisher, for example,
11	you here, as line 6, the bottom line do I have the	11	to verify an operable fire extinguisher, you're
12	right page here? Okay.	12	looking at the fire extinguisher; right? But you're
13	"The bottom line is that Port State Control,	13	also looking at a tag. You're not actually shooting
14	while important, reviews the documentation of a ship	14	the fire extinguisher. You're looking at a tag on it
15	at dock"; right?	15 16	to see whether it's timely so that's actually a
16 17	 A. Generally that's true. Q. And then on page 15 of your rebuttal testimony, line 	17	document review, rather than an equipment review. So that's like the distinction that I think I'm trying
18	23, you said, "The inspections themselves generally	18	to get to there.
19	take about three to five hours to complete, and the	19	Q. So, Mr. Costanzo, you see that, in this list, I've
20	inspections seek to balance the Coast Guard marine	20	directed you to start at No. 48 and to scroll through
21	safety mission against its commerce mission"; is that	21	to No. 116. And you're telling me that all of these
22	right?	22	have the Coast Guard checking tags, for example,
23	A. Yeah. Among other missions that the Coast Guard has.	23	when
24	Yeah.	24	A. No. The one I just told you was the fire
25	Q. So if you could turn to Exhibit CPC-25. And those	25	extinguisher.
	Page 212		Page 214
1	that's your exhibit that you submitted with your	1	MR. HAGLUND: Objection. Objection. Asking
2	rebuttal. That's a checklist.	2	a question that requires the witness to scroll
3	A. Mm-hmm.	3	through multiple pages and not give the witness time
4 5	Q. Do you have that in front of you?A. Not right at the moment. But it's being conjured for	4	to do it if you wish him to actually be able to answer the question is improper.
6	me as we speak.	6	MS. DeLAPPE: Mr. Costanzo already told me
7	Q. Thank you to the conjurers.	7	that he is familiar with this exhibit that he himself
8	When you get there, I'd ask that you turn to	8	submitted with his testimony.
9	page 14 of the exhibit.	9	JUDGE HOWARD: I'll allow the question.
10	A. Okay.	10	BY MS. DeLAPPE:
11	Q. And you are familiar with this checklist that you	11	Q. Mr. Costanzo, I'll just take as another example, if
12	submitted with your testimony as being the checklist	12	you could look at "Examine switch boards," No. 105,
13	that is used generally for Port State Control	13	under "Electrical Systems."
14	examinations?	14	Would you agree with me that that is another
15	A. Okay. Yeah.	15	physical exam?
16	Q. Okay. So if you turn to page 14 and start at No. 48	16	A. Well, I don't exactly know what goes into
17	where it says exam "Examine echo-sounding device."	17	verification of the circuit directory. But I think
18	Are you with me?	18	that's a document.
19	A. "Verify presence of echo sounding device or other	19	Q. Okay. You don't know, though?
20	electronic means."	20	A. I'm just sure that they're not actually going into
21	Q. And can you just tell me, is that a review of	21	the electronic system and, like, running, you know,
22	documentation? Or is it a physical examination?	22	charges from one point of the board to the other.
23	A. It's a physical examination. It's a looking to see	23	Q. So when it says "Examine condition of equipment for
24	if a a piece of equipment is there.	24	electrical hazards," that's a physical examination;
25		1	
25	Q. Thank you.	25	correct?

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 215		Page 217
1	A. Probably a cursory one. But, yeah.	1	is U.S. flag and that of the foreign flag, flag of
2	Q. Mr. Costanzo, of all of these items that we've talked	2	convenience fleet?
3	about, none of them are balanced against a commercial	3	A. Yeah. The U.S the U.S. flag in terms at the
4	interest, are they, on this checklist?	4	time, this was within several years of subchapter M,
5	A. Generally speaking, the Coast Guard has to balance	5	which was a regulation that required tugboats and
6	its its total of its missions, whether it's on	6	barges to be inspected class of vessels, necessitated
7	this checklist or not.	7	redundant propulsion, redundant steering, double
8	Q. My question is: Are any of the items on this	8	hulls so and the crewing the crewing rules are
9	checklist about commercial interest?	9	different. And so, yeah, we were talking about a
10	A. The whole premise of this checklist	10	different segment of the industry with different
11	Q. That's not my question, though.	11	with different standards.
12	I'm pointing I want you to tell me which item	12	Q. Now, with respect to the questions that you got
13	on the checklist you where you're seeing a	13	regarding a couple of data requests that requested
14	commercial interest.	14	admissions A through late in the alphabet, how many
15	A. The entire safety integrity of the ship actually	15	data requests did PSP receive from PMSA during the
16	informs its commercial efficacy as a conveyance of	16	course of this case?
17	goods and cargo.	17	A. 750, I believe.
18	Q. Mr. Costanzo, do pilots perform the physical	18	Q. And in connection with the one that Ms. DeLappe said
19	examination tasks on this checklist?	19	was related to this letter you authored on behalf of
20	A. No.	20	AWO in February of 2021, was there any reference to
21	Q. Would it be fair to say that pilotage is not a	21	that letter anywhere in that DR No. 741 such that you
22	substitute for the Port State Control examination by	22	could have known it was referencing statements made
23	the U.S. Coast Guard?	23	in your letter?
24	A. That's fair to say.	24	A. No.
25	Q. I'd like to now turn I see I'm I've run out of	25	Q. Okay. Now, you were asked quite a few questions
20			
	Page 216		Page 218
1	time.	1	about the strict liability provision under the
2	No more questions. Thank you.	2	Washington code regarding oil spills in any
3	A. Okey-doke.	3	Washington waters. And you acknowledge that it was a
4	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Any	4	strict liability statute.
5	redirect?	5	But I want to pose a hypothetical to you.
6	MR. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor.	6	If a foreign flag ship were to have an oil spill,
7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	7	abandon the ship, and not pay any money to the State
8	BY MR. HAGLUND:	8	to clean it up, and it is housed in a single shell
9	Q. Mr. Costanzo, if we could bring up CPC-38X. This is	9	corporation and the owner of that ship is a member of
10	your February 2021 letter on behalf of American	10	a P&I club that is an exempt is a member of a P&I
11	Waterways Operators, where you were vice president	11	club that is used, with the Department of Ecology, to
12	and general counsel for the Pacific region.	12	be exempted from the certificate of financial
13	Does this letter, which, at page 2, notes that	13	responsibility, are the victims of the oil spill
14	significant improvements in vessel equipment,	14	going to recover anything from that ship owner in
15	inspection, regulations, standards of care, crew	15	that hypothetical?
16	training, and safety management advancements have	16	A. No.
17	continued to drive risk down, does this letter speak	17	Q. If, on the other hand, a certificate of financial
18	in any way to foreign flag vessels calling anywhere	18	responsibility from a surety insurance company had
19	in the United States?	19	been issued to the tune of the \$1 billion required
20	A. No, absolutely not. Speaking on behalf of the	20	for certain size vessels under that statute, would
	tugboat and barge industry in the U.S.	21	the victims make a recovery up to the amount of that
21		1	a allow from that in a man as a man and 2
21 22	Q. In your experience, is there a significant difference	22	policy from that insurance company?
	Q. In your experience, is there a significant difference between the level of crewing, navigational equipment,	22 23	A. It would indeed.
22 23 24			A. It would indeed.Q. And so the loophole could you explain to the
22 23	between the level of crewing, navigational equipment,	23	A. It would indeed.

32 (Pages 215 to 218)

	Page 219		Page 221
1	distinguishes liability policies that everybody knows	1	A. I'm looking at it.
2	a lot about because they've got homeowners and car	2	Q. All right. In your rebuttal testimony, you provide
3	insurance, and an indemnity policy which is unique to	3	your opinion on the proper legal standard in this
4	the P&I club environment?	4	case; correct?
5	A. Yeah. The threat the indemnity coupled with	5	A. I do.
6	the abandonment allows the owner to essentially step	6	Q. And on page 2, line 19 of your testimony, you state
7	away before the P&I club responsibility or the P&I		"PSP's position is that the Commission should adopt
8	indemnification kicks in.	8	best achievable protection as the appropriate
9	And so it's it's allowing that abandonment	9	standard to guide the rate-setting process in a
10	it's allowing the circumstances for that abandonment	10	pilotage case."
11	to to occur.	11	Is that accurate?
12	Q. And that was explained on one of the pages that	12	A. That's actually yeah. That's that's how I
13	Ms. DeLappe referenced where you cite a case for the	13	feel, yes.
14	fact that that's a the payment by the ship owner	14	Q. All right. On page 2, at the top of page 2 of your
15	is a precondition to the P&I club having to pay?	15	rebuttal testimony, you have a section title that
16	A. Yeah. That was the Weeks [phonetic]case, I believe.	16	states, "The Commission's Order 06 recognizes a
10	Q. So if they abandon the vessel, as has happened around	17	states, The Commission's Order to recognizes a standard that, properly applied, is consistent with
18	the world, the P&I club has no obligation to step in	18	the best achievable protection standard."
19	and will not?	19	ls that correct?
20	A. Right. And the controlling jurisdiction has no	20	A. That's right.
20	recourse.	21	Q. Mr. Costanzo, have you read Order 06 in its entirety?
22	Q. And do you also reference in your testimony, that	22	A. Yes. Yes. I have.
23	U.S. courts, including one in Oregon just in the last	23	Q. And does your rebuttal testimony accurately
24	couple of years, have refused to pierce the corporate	24	characterize the ruling in Order 06?
25	veil of a single shell corporation in a situation	25	A. Well, I think the important piece of Order 06 is that
25	ven of a single shell colporation in a situation		
	Page 220		Page 222
1	where two Greek brothers had 10 or 15 ships all in a	1	it provides
2	single controlled	2	Q. I'm sorry, Mr. Costanzo. I'm going to stop you.
3	A. Looked like common control, but was nonetheless	3	Does your rebuttal testimony accurately
4	unpierceable because it was in a single ship shell.	4	characterize the ruling in Order 06?
5	Q. And that related to a vessel abandoned in Brazil?	5	A. The rebuttal testimony speaks to my opinion as to
6	A. Correct.	6	what the standard should be. It's different from
7	Q. Crew not paid, damages not paid?	7	what is said in Order 06, which is that the
8	A. Owner walked away.	8	determination of the standard of fair, reasonable,
9	Q. And you were asked some questions about the port	9	and sufficient involves an exercise of judgment in
10	controlled checklist.	10	light of the specific facts of each rate case. And
11	To your knowledge, is much of that examination by	11	so I think that there is room within Order 06 to
12	the Coast Guard simply looking at engineering logs	12	apply fairly the best achievable protection standard,
13	related to the items of equipment on that checklist?	13	as I laid out, in a way that is fair, just,
14	A. I believe so, yes.	14	reasonable, and sufficient for the provision of
15	MR. HAGLUND: No further questions.	15	pilotage services.
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	16	Q. Let me ask it this way, Mr. Costanzo.
17	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Staff indicated	17	Is every statement you make in your rebuttal
18	cross for this witness as well.	18	testimony about Order 06 accurate?
19	Mr. Callaghan.	19	A. Is every statement I make about Order 06 accurate?
20	MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor.	20	I would be curious to know if you think that
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION	21	there's anything that's inaccurate.
22	BY MR. CALLAGHAN:	22	Q. Mr. Costanzo, you're the witness here; correct?
23	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Costanzo.	23	A. Correct.
24	Do you have a copy of your rebuttal testimony	24	Q. You you were a practicing attorney for many years?
25	with you?	25	A. Not for many years, no.

33 (Pages 219 to 222)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

2. All right. Are you aware that you don't get to ask mequestions? 1 correct? 3. A. I. dim't ask the question. 2 correct? 4. Divert ask the question. 3 correct? 4. Divert ask the question. 3 correct? 4. Divert ask the question. 4 Junce and the race only with working the fact is it takes about the application in light of the fact. 7. Question. Junce and the race only is a really key that's a really key that's a really key price. 0 9. Divert Order 65 say that it's only resolving the some ansign model must be quote. Through 8 of your rebuttal testimory, it states that you believe the astrong model must be informed by Order 66 is that case? 0. Disent Order 65 say that it's a basically divert be that that as astrong model must be informed by Order 67? 11. rate-making model must be informed by Order 66? 1 1 1 12. A. Yes, 1 do. 1 1 1 1 13. stonge at the best achievable protection as that the aprice conselshul in premement in light of the case? 1 1 1 13. A. Yes, 1 do. 1 1 1 1 1 1 14. Text-making model must be informed by Order 67? 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Page 223		Page 225	
2 me questions? A. I duidh' go that far. I wouldh' go that far. I wouldh'go that far. I wouldh' go	1		1		
3 A. I didn't ask the question. 50 - and the reason with would'ng to that far is it 4 COMMISSIONER RENDALL: Excuse me, Your 4 5 Honor. I think there's somebody speaking who is not on mute. 7 7 JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. I think Dan Jordan, you may need to mute your phone or your computer for now. 7 8 W.K. Costanco, on page 3, lines 7 through 8 of your realweating model must be, quote, "Informed" - rate-making model must be informed by Order 06 in this case; is that right? 9 A. Yes, I do: 10 11 Considerations. And I don't think that that's - that's no unusual either. The outper source in a streamaking model must be informed by Order 06? 11			1		
4 COMMISSIONER REINALL: Excuse me, Your Floor. Think there's somebody speaking who is not on mute. It als: about the application in link, is a really key — that's a meally key pleas. 7 JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. I think Da Jordan, you may need to mut you prohe or your computer for now. 9 Charles and the application in link, is a really key — that's a meally key pleas. 9 DV MC. Costance, on page 3, lines 7 through 8 of your 9 A. Yoa, It's limited in its score. But it's hastadly signified comments and it is a through 8 of your 11 returnsking model musts be informed by core of is nite case; is that right? A. Yes, I do. The's not unusual either. The UTC's report to the sources on scifer the degree to which the Commission has sources study implemented the plotage act. And I think that if we're looking at the bast achievable protection as that to basive. 10 O, And does Order 06 state anything about the question in joint of their statutes. 10 Feeder were similar in the commission has sources study implemented the plotage act. And I think that if we're looking at the shore portage to a write were simalise in the commission has sources study implemented the plotage act. And I think that if we're looking at the shore portage to a write sources that is the the commission has sources study implemented the plotage act. And I think that if we're looking at the shore portage to a write were simalise in the commission has sources atdy with the commission has sources atdy were to make the degree to write the specific facts of the case, as well as the 11 12 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>1</th><th></th></t<>			1		
 Honor. I think there's somebody speaking who is not on mute. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. I think Dan Jordan, you may need to mute your phone or your computer for now. BY MR. CALLGHAN: C. Mr. Costanzo, on page 3, lines 7 through 6 of your really key lines. That J. Tanki, as a really key – that's a really key by BSPs motion, though? A. Mr. Costanzo, on page 3, lines 7 through 6 of your really key lines. The JTCs report to the legistature hand a very similarin June 21, had a very similarin June 21		•	1		
6 on mute. 6 really key piece. 7 JUGE HOWARD: Yes. I think Dan Jordan, you may need to mute your phone or your computer for now. 9 9 A. Wr. Costanzo, on page 3, lines 7 through 8 of your rebuttal testimony, it states that you believe the tarrate-making model must be upter. [Informed" - sorry. The rate-making model must be upter. [Informed" - sorry. The rate-making model must be upter. [Informed" - sorry. The rate-making model must be upter. [Informed" - age 3 of your robuttal testimory, that the surrate-making model must be upter protection 10 A. Yes. I do. 11 11 12 11 12 13 Iginal the Commission the opportunity to make turber - considerations. And I don't thick that if we're is hast right? 13 13 13 Iginal the Commission the opportunity to make turber - consideration. And I don't the davers similar - in June '21, had a very similar kind of advisement. Commission the dagrees to which the Commission the dagrees to which the Commission the solvemat. Commission the dagrees to which the Commission the solvemat. Commission the solvemat. 18 A. Yes. I doe NOL you explain that statement in - and page 3 of your relativisation uptot with the commission the solvemat. 12 13 Is that 's data' within the Commission to statule at Order 06 state that the best achievable protection standard has policed general rate case must be appleid in light of the rate-making data there. 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 16 16 16 16			1		
7 JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. I think Dan Jordan, you 7 Q. Desent Order 06 sequer proton (hough? 8 may need to mute your phone or your computer for now. 8 specific question raised by PSP* motion, though? 9 N. Costanzo, on page 3, lines 7 through 6 your 0 A. Yeah. It's limited in its scope. But it's basically giving the Commission the poportunity to make further 10 a. Yes, I do. 11 considerations. And I don't think that fa's - 11 rate-making model must be informed by 12 that not unusual either. 13 12 A. Yes, I do. 14 very similar - in bur C2 seport to the legislatur head a very similar - in bur C2 seport to the 13 a fays, I do. 14 very similar - in bur C2 seport to the legislatur head a very similar - in bur C2 seport to the 14 a very similar - in bur C2 seport to the legislatur head a very similar - in bur C2 seport to the legislatur head a very similar - in bur C2 seport to the 16 A. No, it does not, I don't bilewe. 0 does of your rebutal testimory, that the 16 rate-making model must be informed by Order 06? a. Yes. Order 06 set a stature and the statures. 17 a very similar - in bur testimory. legislatur head a very similar - in bur testimory. </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>1</th> <th></th>			1		
8 may need to mute your phone or your computer for now. 8 specific question raised by PSP's motion, though? 9 BY MR, CALLACHAN 9 A. Yesh, It's immed in its scope. But it's basically giving the Commission the opportunity to make further - considerations. And I don't think that that that's not unusual either. The UTC's report to the 11 11 11 rate-making model must be informed by our status and the scope. But it's basically independent the lest achievable protection is a state and order 0 is that as esseries is that right? 12 14 very similar kind of advisement. Commission 1 12 A. Yes, I do. 15 16 A. Ares, I do. 16 16 A. Yes, I do. 16 16 17 19 18			1		
9 BY MR, CALLAGHAN: 9 A. Yesh. It's limited in tis scope. But it's basically 10 Q. Mr, Costanzo, on page 3, lines 7 through 8 of your 10 giving the Commission the opportunity to make further 11 rebuttal testimory, it states that you believe the rate-making model must be informed by 10 giving the Commission the opportunity to make further 12 constant has on this case; is that right? 11		-	1		
10 Q. Mr. Costanzo, on page 3, lines 7 through 8 of your 10 giving the Commission the opportunity to make further 11 retermaking model must be, quote, "Informed"- 11 considerations. And J don't think that that's - 13 extermaking model must be, quote, "Informed"- 12 that's not unusual either. The UTC's report to the 14 Order 06 in this case; is that right? 14 that's not unusual either. The UTC's report to the 15 A. Yes, I do. 15 recommends that the parties consider the degree to 16 Q. And does Order 06 state anything about the question 16 which the Cormission has successfully implemented the 16 Q. All right. So could you explain that statement in - 10 of what impact the best achievable protection 17 on page 3 of your rebuilt testimony, that the 10 extension the case of state anything about the question 18 best achievable protection and response int within the Cormission's purview to look 11 the cleant the state and Order 20 A. Yes. Order 06 very cleary talks about the 11 11 the cleant the state and Drive to look 21 rate-setting standard in a piloted general rate case 11 invoid only aguuaby have an indinecint the cormission to consider <th></th> <th></th> <th>1</th> <th></th>			1		
11 rebutal testimory, it states that you believe the 11 considerations. And I don't think that that's 12 rate-making model must be informed by 12 that's not unusual either. The UTC's report to the 13 sorry. The rate-making model must be informed by 14 very similar kind of advisement. Conmission 14 Very similar kind of advisement. Conmission recommeds that the parties consider the degree to 14 very similar kind of advisement. Conmission recommeds that the parties consider the degree to 15 0. And does Order 06 state anything about the question 16 which the Cormission has successfully implemented the 16 0. An light. So could you explain that statement in - on page 3 of your rebutal testimory, that the 11 it's clearly within the Cormission's purview to look 27 A. Yes. Order 06 very clearly taks about the 23 standard here. 11 it's clearly within the best achievable 28 rate-making model must be informed by Order 06? 24 C. Doesn't Order 06 state that the best achievable 29 rate-setting standard in a piloted general rate case 11 impact on rate making? 21 rate-setting standard in a piloted general rate case 12 A. Direct or indirect. <			1		
12 rate-making model must be informed '- 12 that's not unsual either. The UTC's report to the 13 sorry. The rate-making model must be informed by 13 legislature had a very similar - in June '21, had a 15 A. Yes, 1 do. 14 very similar kind of advisement. Commission as uccessfully implemented the degree to 16 Q. And does Order 06 state anything about the question of what impact the best achievable protection as the call spill 15 17 or hyad is a on this case? 16 went with the Commission has uccessfully implemented the degree to 18 standard has on this case? 18 best achievable protection and response standard in statute and Order 19 A. No, it does not, 1 don't believe. 19 prevention and response standard in statute and Order 21 on page 3 of your rebuttal testimony, that the 16 if's clearly within the Commission's purview to look 23 A. Yes. Order 06 vary clearly talks about the 23 standard hare. 26 24 involvement of excelse of judgment in light of the 24 c. Doesen't Order 06 state that it be stachievable 25 specific facts of the case, as well as the 25 impact on rate making? 2 1 rate-setting standard in			1		
13 sorry. The Tate-making model must be informed by 13 legislature had a very similar - in June '21, had a 14 Order 06 in this case; is that right? 14 very similar kind of advisement. Commission 16 Q. And does Order 06 state anything about the question of what impact the best achievable protection 16 14 very similar kind of advisement. Commission 17 of what impact the best achievable protection 16 which the Commission has successfully implemented the plictage act. And I think that f were looking at best achievable protection as the oil spill 19 A. No, it does not, I don't believe. 19 prevention and response standard in statute and Order 06 asys, consider this in light of other statutes. 16 which the Commission's purview to look 21 rate-setting standard in a ploted general rate case 11 impact on rate making? 22 rate-setting standard in a ploted general rate case 11 impact on rate making? 2 rate-setting allow of the ratutes. Which 2 2 rate-setting allows the convision to consider 1 impact on rate making? 2 rate-setting standard in a ploted general rate case 1 impact on rate making? 3 A. Dreaching allo			1		
14 Order 06 in this case; is that right? 14 very similar kind of advisement. Commission 15 A. Yes, I do. 15 recommends that the parties consider the degree to 16 Q. And does Order 06 state anything about the question 16 wink the Commission has successfully implemented the 16 Q. And does Order 06 state anything about the question 16 wink the Commission has successfully implemented the 17 proge 3 of your rebutal testimony, that the 17 prevention and response standard in statute and Order 20 Q. All right. So could you explain that statement in - 00 63 say, consider when informing the rate-making 21 or page 3 of your rebutal testimony, that the 15 if's clearly within the Commission's purview to look 22 rate-making model must be informed by Order 06? 24 0. Doesn't Order 06 state that the best achievable 25 specific facts of the case, as well as the 25 protection standard would arguably have an indirect 26 mass that, since the pilotage act describes the 1 impact on rate making? 3 rate-setting standard in a piloted general rate case 1 impact on rate making? 3 mass that, since describes the 1 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>1</th><th>•</th></td<>			1	•	
15 A. Yes, 1do. 15 recommends that the parties consider the degree 10 16 Q. And does Order 06 state anything about the question 16 which the Commission has successfully implemented the 17 of what impact the best achievable protection 16 which the Commission has successfully implemented the 18 standard has on this case? 18 best achievable protection as the oil spill 19 A. No, it does not, it door the lives. 16 best achievable protection as the oil spill 21 on page 3 of your rebuttal testimony, that the 18 best achievable prevention and responsion's purview to look 22 at there statutes who informed by Order 06? at there statutes who informing by order 06? at there statutes who indirect 23 A. Yes. Order 06 very clearly talks about the specific facts of the case, as well as the 25 Desent Order 06 state that the best achievable 24 rate-setting standard in a piloted general rate case 1 impact on rate making? A. Is that - is that specifically in the - in the 3 means that, since the pilotage act describes the 3 Q. No. I'm asking does - does Order 06 state that it would on y arguably have an indirect 3 mearine protection and navigation, that Order 06 musts <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>1</th><th></th></td<>			1		
16 Q. And does Order 06 state anything about the question of what impact the best achievable protection 16 which the Commission has successfully implemented the plictage act. And I think that if were looking at 11 astandar has on this case? 16 which the Commission has successfully implemented the plictage act. And I think that if were looking at 12 A. No, it does not, I don't believe. 19 prevention and response standard in a statute and Order 20 Q. All right. So could you explain that statement in – on page 3 of your rebuttal testimony, that the rate-making model must be informed by Order 06? 16 which the Commission's purview to look at other statutes, when informing the rate-making standard has on the exercise of judgment in light of the specific facts of the case, as well as the 20 Doesn't Order 06 state that the best achievable protection standard would arguably have an indirect Page 224 Page 224 <td cols<="" th=""><th></th><th></th><th>1</th><th></th></td>	<th></th> <th></th> <th>1</th> <th></th>			1	
17 of what impact the best achievable protection standard has on this case? 17 pilotage act. And I think that if we're looking at best achievable protection as the oil spill 18 A. No. It does not, I don't believe. 18 20 Q. All right. So could you explain that statement in - on page 3 of your rebuttal testimony, that the 27 18 best achievable protection as the oil spill 28 A. Yes. Order 06 very clearly talks about the 28 20 06 says, consider this in light of other statutes, 29 it's clearly within the Commission's purview to look 20 29 A. Yes. Order 06 very clearly talks about the 25 20 20 23 29 reate-setting standard in a piloted general rate case 29 mass that, since the pilotage act describes the 30 16 19 reate-setting standard in a piloted general rate case 30 16 17 24 20 Descrit Order 06 state that it would arguably have an indirect. 26 27 21 rate-setting standard in a piloted general rate case 31 4. Direct or indirect. 3 4. Direct or indirect. 32 mass that, since the pilotage act describes the 32 importance of pilotage, the protection or the natural 33 6. No. It masking desc- does Order 06 state that it 34 70 34 be - that Order 0			1		
18 standard has on this case? 18 best achievable protection as the oil spill 19 A. No, it does not, I don't believe. 19 prevention and response standard in statute and Order 20 Q. All right. So could you explain that statement in - on page 3 of your rebuttal testimony, that the 19 prevention and response standard in statute and Order 21 on page 3 of your rebuttal testimony, that the rate-making model must be informing the rate-making 23 23 A. Yes. Order 06 very clearly talks about the involvement of exercise of judgment in light of the specific facts of the case, as well as the 23 standard here. 24 rate-setting standard in a piloted general rate case must be applied in light of other statutes. Which means that, since the pilotage, the protection of the natural environment in light of what pilots do, which is marine protection and navigation, that Order 06 must environment in light of what pilots do, which is making? A. Is that - is that specifically in the - in the order? I don't therember, I will move on. A. Is that - is that specifically in the - in the order? I don't treember, I will move on. A. No. It wasn't - weit wasn't under consideration. Best achievable protection wasn't making available to our pilotage system. A. No. It wasn't - weit wasn't under consideration. Best achievable protection standard during the test year in this rate case, regardless of the outcome of this order of anal ydiscussion in this care is, guote, "Appropria			1		
19 A. No, it does not, I don't believe. 19 prevention and response standard in statute and Order 20 A. Iright. So could you explain that statement in – 0 06 says, consider this in light of ther statutes, 21 on page 3 of your rebuttal testimony, that the 20 06 says, consider this in light of ther statutes, 22 A. Yes. Order 06 very clearly talks about the 20 at other statutes when informing the rate-making 23 A. Yes. Order 06 very clearly talks about the 20 standard here. 24 involvement of exercise of judgment in light of the 20 standard here. 25 specific facts of the case, as well as the 20 Doesn't Order 06 state that the best achievable 25 must be applied in light of other statutes. 1 impact on rate making? 2 must be applied in light of other statutes. 1 would only arguably have an indirect impact on rate 3 environment in light of what pitols do, which is making? A. Is that - is that specifically in the in the 4 importance of pilotage, the protection or consider 9 A. Is that - is that specifically in the in the 5 environment in light of what pilots do, which is making? A. Is tha	18		18		
20 Q. All right. So could you explain that statement in 20 06 says, consider this in light of other statutes, 21 on page 3 of your rebuttal testimony, that the 21 it's clearly within the Commission's purview to look 21 at other statutes when informed by Order 06? 22 at other statutes when informing the rate-making 23 A. Yes. Order 06 very clearly talks about the 23 at other statutes when informing the rate-making 24 involvement of exercise of judgment in light of the 24 Doesn't Order 06 state that the best achievable 25 specific facts of the case, as well as the 24 Doesn't Order 06 state that the best achievable 26 mast is papelied in light of other statutes. Which 24 A. Direct or indirect. 3 means that, since the pilotage, the protection of the natural 4 would only arguably have an indirect impact on rate 5 environment in light of what pilots do, which is 5 aking? A. Is that - is that specifically in the - in the 7 order 06 basically allows the Commission to consider 8 A. Is shat - is that specifically in the - in the 9 the standard that we have for marine oil spill 9 A. Do you have the order in front of you?	19	A. No, it does not, I don't believe.	19		
21 on page 3 of your rebuttal testimony, that the 21 it's clearly within the Commission's purview to look 22 A. Yes. Order 06 very clearly talks about the at other statutes when informing the rate-making 23 A. Yes. Order 06 very clearly talks about the involvement of exercise of judgment in light of the 24 involvement of exercise of judgment in light of the 23 25 specific facts of the case, as well as the 24 Page 224 Page 226 1 rate-setting standard in a piloted general rate case 1 must be applied in light of other statutes. Which a making aco describes the 6 a marine protection and navigation, that Order 06 must 6 A. Is that is that specifically in the in the 0 order 06 basically allows the Commission to consider 9 the standard that we have for marine oil spill	20	Q. All right. So could you explain that statement in	20		
22 rate-making model must be informed by Order 06? 22 at other statutes when informing the rate-making 23 A. Yes. Order 06 vercise of judgment in light of the 23 standard here. 24 involvement of evercise of judgment in light of the 24 Q. Doesn't Order 06 state that the best achievable 25 specific facts of the case, as well as the 24 Q. Doesn't Order 06 state that the best achievable 26 must be applied in light of other statutes. Which 24 A. Direct or indirect. 3 means that, since the pitotege act describes the 3 Q. No. I'm asking does - does Order 06 state that it 4 importance of pitotage, the protection of the natural 4 would only arguably have an indirect impact on rate 5 marine protection and navigation, that Order 06 must 6 A. Is that is that specifically in the in the 7 be that Order 06 abest achievable protection 7 A. Is that is that specifically in the in the 9 the standard that we have for marine oil spil 9 A. Do you have the order in front of me. 10 prevention and response in our state in and appy 10 Q. So did PSP incur costs related to compliance with the 11 it to the sufficiency of	21		21		
24 involvement of exercise of judgment in light of the specific facts of the case, as well as the 24 Q. Doesn't Order 06 state that the best achievable protection standard would arguably have an indirect Page 224 Page 224 Page 224 1 rate-setting standard in a piloted general rate case 1 rate-setting standard in a piloted general rate case 1 rate-setting standard in a piloted general rate case 1 impact on rate making? 2 must be applied in light of other statutes. Which 3 Ox 10 6 impact on rate making? A. Direct or indirect. 3 Ox 10 ^o of the restures 6 information and navigation, that Order 06 must 6 Order 06 basically allows the Commission to consider 9 the standard that we have for marine oil spill 9 the standard has on this case is, quote, 1 Devention and response in our state in and apply <	22		22		
25 specific facts of the case, as well as the 25 protection standard would arguably have an indirect Page 224 Page 224 Page 226 1 rate-setting standard in a piloted general rate case 1 impact on rate making? 2 must be applied in light of other statutes. Which 3 A. Direct or indirect. 3 means that, since the pilotage act describes the 3 Q. No. I'm asking does – does Order 06 state that it 4 importance of pilotage, the protection of the natural 4 Would only arguably have an indirect impact on rate 5 environment in light of what pilots do, which is 5 A. Is that is that specifically in the in the 7 be that Order 06 and best achievable protection or 4 6 A. Is that is that specifically in the in the 9 the standard that we have for marine oil spill 9 A. Do you have the order in front of you? 10 prevention and response in our state in and apply 10 Q. So did PSP incur costs related to compliance with the 11 it to the sufficiency of the resources that we're 11 10 Q. So did PSP incur - it wasn't under 12 protection standard has on this case is, quote, 12 year in this rate case,	23	A. Yes. Order 06 very clearly talks about the	23	standard here.	
Page 224 Page 226 1 rate-setting standard in a piloted general rate case 1 impact on rate making? 2 must be applied in light of other statutes. Which 3 A. Direct or indirect. 3 means that, since the pilotage at describes the 3 Q. No. I'm asking does - does Order 06 state that it 4 importance of pilotage, the protection of the natural 4 would only arguably have an indirect impact on rate 5 environment in light of what pilots do, which is 6 A. Is that - is that specifically in the in the 6 marine protection and navigation, that Order 06 must 6 A. Is that is that specifically in the in the 7 order 06 basically allows the Commission to consider 9 A. Is that is that specifically in the in the 9 the standard that we have for marine oil spill 9 A. Do you have the order in front of you? 10 prevention and response in our state in and apply 10 C. So did PSP incur costs related to compliance with the 11 tit to the sufficiency of the resources that we're 11 best achievable protection standard during the test 12 making available to our pilotage system. 12 A. No. It wasn't - we - it wasn't under	24	involvement of exercise of judgment in light of the	24	Q. Doesn't Order 06 state that the best achievable	
1 rate-setting standard in a piloted general rate case 1 impact on rate making? 2 must be applied in light of other statutes. Which 3 means that, since the pilotage act describes the 3 Q. No. I'm asking does - does Order 06 state that it 3 means that, since the pilotage act describes the 3 Q. No. I'm asking does - does Order 06 state that it 4 importance of pilotage, the protection of the natural 4 would only arguably have an indirect impact on rate 5 environment in light of what pilots do, which is 6 A. Is that is that specifically in the in the 7 be that Order 06 and best achievable protection 7 order? I don't have the order in front of me. 8 Order 06 basically allows the Commission to consider 9 A. Is that is that specifically in the in the 9 the standard that we have for marine oil spill 9 A. Do you have the order in front of you? 10 prevention and response in our state in and apply 10 So did PSP incur costs related to compliance with the 11 it to the sufficiency of the resources that we're 11 best achievable protection standard during the test 12 making available to our pilotage system. 12 A. No. It wasn't	25	specific facts of the case, as well as the	25	protection standard would arguably have an indirect	
1 rate-setting standard in a piloted general rate case 1 impact on rate making? 2 must be applied in light of other statutes. Which 3 means that, since the pilotage act describes the 3 Q. No. I'm asking does - does Order 06 state that it 3 means that, since the pilotage act describes the 3 Q. No. I'm asking does - does Order 06 state that it 4 importance of pilotage, the protection of the natural 4 would only arguably have an indirect impact on rate 5 environment in light of what pilots do, which is 6 A. Is that is that specifically in the in the 7 be that Order 06 and best achievable protection 7 order? I don't have the order in front of me. 8 Order 06 basically allows the Commission to consider 9 A. Is that is that specifically in the in the 9 the standard that we have for marine oil spill 9 A. Do you have the order in front of you? 10 prevention and response in our state in and apply 10 So did PSP incur costs related to compliance with the 11 it to the sufficiency of the resources that we're 11 best achievable protection standard during the test 12 making available to our pilotage system. 12 A. No. It wasn't					
2must be applied in light of other statutes. Which2A. Direct or indirect.3means that, since the pilotage act describes the3Q. No. I'm asking does does Order 06 state that it4importance of pilotage, the protection of the natural4would only arguably have an indirect impact on rate5environment in light of what pilots do, which is5G. No. I'm asking does does Order 06 state that it6marine protection and navigation, that Order 06 must6A. Is that is that specifically in the in the7be that Order 06 and best achievable protection7order? I don't have the order in front of me.8Order 06 basically allows the Commission to consider8Q. If you don't remember, I will move on.9the standard that we have for marine oil spill9A. Do you have the order in front of you?10prevention and response in our state in and apply10C. So did PSP incur costs related to compliance with the11it to the sufficiency of the resources that we're12year in this rate case?13Q. Mr. Costanzo, do you recall whether the order states13A. No. It wasn't we it wasn't under14that the question of what impact the best achievable14consideration. Best achievable protection wasn't15protection standard has on this case is, quote,17C. All right. Did PSP incur did PSP propose a pro16"Appropriately reserved for the Commissioners17C. All right. Did PSP incur did PSP propose a pro18this rate case, reg		Page 224		Page 226	
3means that, since the pilotage act describes the importance of pilotage, the protection of the natural environment in light of what pilots do, which is environment in light of what pilots do, which is environment in light of what pilots do, which is the standard that we have for marine oil spill3Q. No. I'm asking does does Order 06 state that it would only arguably have an indirect impact on rate making?7be that Order 06 and best achievable protection be that Order 06 basically allows the Commission to consider the standard that we have for marine oil spill6A. Is that is that specifically in the in the order? I don't have the order in front of me.9the standard that we have for marine oil spill9A. Do you have the order in front of you?10prevention and response in our state in and apply10Q. So did PSP incur costs related to compliance with the best achievable protection standard during the test year in this rate case?13Q. Mr. Costanzo, do you recall whether the order states13A. No. It wasn't we it wasn't under really a we were providing pilotage services. It wasn't a cost not accounted for expenses.17themselves who are charged with the final decision in this rate case, regardless of the outcome of this order and any discussion in this order, these issues19associated with the need to comply with the best associated with the need to comply	1	rate-setting standard in a piloted general rate case	1	impact on rate making?	
4importance of pilotage, the protection of the natural environment in light of what pilots do, which is4would only arguably have an indirect impact on rate making?5environment in light of what pilots do, which is5making?6marine protection and navigation, that Order 06 must6A. Is that is that specifically in the in the order? I don't have the order in front of me.7be that Order 06 and best achievable protection the standard that we have for marine oil spill9A. Do you have the order in front of me.9the standard that we have for marine oil spill9A. Do you have the order in front of you?10prevention and response in our state in and apply10Q. So did PSP incur costs related to compliance with the best achievable protection standard during the test11it to the sufficiency of the resources that we're making available to our pilotage system.11best achievable protection standard during the test12making available to our pilotage system.12year in this rate case?1313Q. Mr. Costanzo, do you recall whether the order states13A. No. It wasn't - we it wasn't under 	2	must be applied in light of other statutes. Which	2	A. Direct or indirect.	
5environment in light of what pilots do, which is5making?6marine protection and navigation, that Order 06 must6A. Is that is that specifically in the in the7be that Order 06 and best achievable protection7order? I don't have the order in front of me.8Order 06 basically allows the Commission to consider8Q. If you don't remember, I will move on.9the standard that we have for marine oil spill9A. Do you have the order in front of you?10prevention and response in our state in and apply10Q. So did PSP incur costs related to compliance with the11it to the sufficiency of the resources that we're11best achievable protection standard during the test12making available to our pilotage system.12year in this rate case?13Q. Mr. Costanzo, do you recall whether the order states13A. No. It wasn't we it wasn't under14that the question of what impact the best achievable14consideration. Best achievable protection wasn't15protection standard has on this case is, quote,15really a we were providing pilotage services. It16"Appropriately reserved for the Commissioners16wasn't a cost not accounted for expenses.17themselves who are charged with the final decision in17Q. All right. Did PSP incur did PSP propose a pro18this rate case, regardless of theo utcome of this18forma adjustment related to comply with the best20cannot be resolved and addressed today."20	3	means that, since the pilotage act describes the	3	Q. No. I'm asking does does Order 06 state that it	
6marine protection and navigation, that Order 06 must6A. Is that is that specifically in the in the order? I don't have the order in front of me.7be that Order 06 and best achievable protection 87order? I don't have the order in front of me.8Order 06 basically allows the Commission to consider 98Q. If you don't remember, I will move on.9the standard that we have for marine oil spill9A. Do you have the order in front of you?10prevention and response in our state in and apply9A. Do you have the order in front of you?11it to the sufficiency of the resources that we're11best achievable protection standard during the test12making available to our pilotage system.12year in this rate case?13Q. Mr. Costanzo, do you recall whether the order states13A. No. It wasn't we it wasn't under14that the question of what impact the best achievable14consideration. Best achievable protection wasn't15protection standard has on this case is, quote,15really a we were providing pilotage services. It16"Appropriately reserved for the Commissioners16wasn't a cost not accounted for expenses.17themselves who are charged with the final decision in17Q. All right. Did PSP incur - did PSP propose a pro18this rate case, regardless of the outcome of this18forma adjustment related to costs that it incurred19order and any discussion in this order, these issues19associated with the need to compl	4	importance of pilotage, the protection of the natural	4	would only arguably have an indirect impact on rate	
7be that Order 06 and best achievable protection7order? I don't have the order in front of me.8Order 06 basically allows the Commission to consider9the standard that we have for marine oil spill9A. Do you have the order in front of you?10prevention and response in our state in and apply10Q. So did PSP incur costs related to compliance with the11it to the sufficiency of the resources that we're11best achievable protection standard during the test12making available to our pilotage system.12year in this rate case?13Q. Mr. Costanzo, do you recall whether the order states13A. No. It wasn't we it wasn't under14that the question of what impact the best achievable14consideration. Best achievable protection wasn't15protection standard has on this case is, quote,15really a we were providing pilotage services. It16"Appropriately reserved for the Commissioners16wasn't a cost not accounted for expenses.17themselves who are charged with the final decision in18forma adjustment related to costs that it incurred18this rate case, regardless of the outcome of this18forma adjustment related to comply with the best20cannot be resolved and addressed today."20All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you21Do you remember that part of Order 06?21A. No.22A. Yes.22Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you23Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set	5	environment in light of what pilots do, which is	5	making?	
8Order 06 basically allows the Commission to consider8Q. If you don't remember, I will move on.9the standard that we have for marine oil spill9A. Do you have the order in front of you?10prevention and response in our state in and apply10Q. So did PSP incur costs related to compliance with the11it to the sufficiency of the resources that we're11best achievable protection standard during the test12making available to our pilotage system.12year in this rate case?13Q. Mr. Costanzo, do you recall whether the order states13A. No. It wasn't we it wasn't under14that the question of what impact the best achievable14consideration. Best achievable protection wasn't15protection standard has on this case is, quote,15really a we were providing pilotage services. It16"Appropriately reserved for the Commissioners16wasn't a cost not accounted for expenses.17themselves who are charged with the final decision in17Q. All right. Did PSP incur did PSP propose a pro18this rate case, regardless of the outcome of this18forma adjustment related to comply with the best20cannot be resolved and addressed today."20achievable protection standard?21Do you remember that part of Order 06?21A. No.22A. Yes.22Q. Okay. Is there any portion of PSP's overall revenue23Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you23requirement proposal that is attributable to<	6		6	A. Is that is that specifically in the in the	
9the standard that we have for marine oil spill9A. Do you have the order in front of you?10prevention and response in our state in and apply10Q. So did PSP incur costs related to compliance with the11it to the sufficiency of the resources that we're11best achievable protection standard during the test12making available to our pilotage system.12year in this rate case?13Q. Mr. Costanzo, do you recall whether the order states13A. No. It wasn't we it wasn't under14that the question of what impact the best achievable14consideration. Best achievable protection wasn't15protection standard has on this case is, quote,15really a we were providing pilotage services. It16"Appropriately reserved for the Commissioners16wasn't a cost not accounted for expenses.17themselves who are charged with the final decision in17Q. All right. Did PSP incur did PSP propose a pro18this rate case, regardless of the outcome of this18forma adjustment related to costs that it incurred19order and any discussion in this order, these issues19associated with the need to comply with the best20cannot be resolved and addressed today."20A. No.21Do you remember that part of Order 06?21A. No.22A. Yes.22Q. Okay. Is there any portion of PSP's overall revenue23Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you23requirement proposal that is attributable to compliance with the	7	be that Order 06 and best achievable protection	7	order? I don't have the order in front of me.	
10prevention and response in our state in and apply10Q. So did PSP incur costs related to compliance with the11it to the sufficiency of the resources that we're11best achievable protection standard during the test12making available to our pilotage system.12year in this rate case?13Q. Mr. Costanzo, do you recall whether the order states13A. No. It wasn't we it wasn't under14that the question of what impact the best achievable14consideration. Best achievable protection wasn't15protection standard has on this case is, quote,15really a we were providing pilotage services. It16"Appropriately reserved for the Commissioners16wasn't a cost not accounted for expenses.17themselves who are charged with the final decision in17Q. All right. Did PSP incur did PSP propose a pro18this rate case, regardless of the outcome of this18forma adjustment related to costs that it incurred19order and any discussion in this order, these issues19associated with the need to comply with the best20cannot be resolved and addressed today."20A. No.21Do you remember that part of Order 06?21A. No.22A. Yes.22Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you2323Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you24know, make a ruling on how the best achievable2424know, make a ruling on how the best achievable24compliance with the best achievable protecti	8	Order 06 basically allows the Commission to consider	8	Q. If you don't remember, I will move on.	
11it to the sufficiency of the resources that we're11best achievable protection standard during the test12making available to our pilotage system.12year in this rate case?13Q. Mr. Costanzo, do you recall whether the order states13A. No. It wasn't we it wasn't under14that the question of what impact the best achievable14consideration. Best achievable protection wasn't15protection standard has on this case is, quote,15really a we were providing pilotage services. It16"Appropriately reserved for the Commissioners16wasn't a cost not accounted for expenses.17themselves who are charged with the final decision in17Q. All right. Did PSP incur did PSP propose a pro18this rate case, regardless of the outcome of this18forma adjustment related to costs that it incurred19order and any discussion in this order, these issues19associated with the need to comply with the best20cannot be resolved and addressed today."20achievable protection standard?21Do you remember that part of Order 06?21A. No.22A. Yes.22Q. Okay. Is there any portion of PSP's overall revenue23Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you2324know, make a ruling on how the best achievable24		•	9		
12making available to our pilotage system.12year in this rate case?13Q. Mr. Costanzo, do you recall whether the order states13A. No. It wasn't we it wasn't under14that the question of what impact the best achievable14consideration. Best achievable protection wasn't15protection standard has on this case is, quote,15really a we were providing pilotage services. It16"Appropriately reserved for the Commissioners16wasn't a cost not accounted for expenses.17themselves who are charged with the final decision in17Q. All right. Did PSP incur did PSP propose a pro18this rate case, regardless of the outcome of this18forma adjustment related to costs that it incurred19order and any discussion in this order, these issues19associated with the need to comply with the best20cannot be resolved and addressed today."20achievable protection standard?21Do you remember that part of Order 06?21A. No.22A. Yes.22Q. Okay. Is there any portion of PSP's overall revenue23Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you23requirement proposal that is attributable to24know, make a ruling on how the best achievable24compliance with the best achievable protection			1	-	
13Q. Mr. Costanzo, do you recall whether the order states that the question of what impact the best achievable protection standard has on this case is, quote, importantly reserved for the Commissioners13A. No. It wasn't we it wasn't under consideration. Best achievable protection wasn't really a we were providing pilotage services. It wasn't a cost not accounted for expenses.17themselves who are charged with the final decision in this rate case, regardless of the outcome of this order and any discussion in this order, these issues cannot be resolved and addressed today."17Q. All right. Did PSP incur did PSP propose a pro forma adjustment related to costs that it incurred associated with the need to comply with the best achievable protection standard?20cannot be resolved and addressed today."20A. No.21Do you remember that part of Order 06? Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you know, make a ruling on how the best achievable21A. No.23Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you know, make a ruling on how the best achievable2424		,	1		
14that the question of what impact the best achievable protection standard has on this case is, quote, "Appropriately reserved for the Commissioners themselves who are charged with the final decision in this rate case, regardless of the outcome of this order and any discussion in this order, these issues cannot be resolved and addressed today."14consideration. Best achievable protection wasn't really a we were providing pilotage services. It wasn't a cost not accounted for expenses.17themselves who are charged with the final decision in this rate case, regardless of the outcome of this order and any discussion in this order, these issues cannot be resolved and addressed today."17Q. All right. Did PSP incur did PSP propose a pro forma adjustment related to costs that it incurred associated with the need to comply with the best achievable protection standard?21Do you remember that part of Order 06?21A. No.22A. Yes.22Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you know, make a ruling on how the best achievable2324know, make a ruling on how the best achievable24compliance with the best achievable protection			1		
15protection standard has on this case is, quote,15really a we were providing pilotage services. It16"Appropriately reserved for the Commissioners16wasn't a cost not accounted for expenses.17themselves who are charged with the final decision in17Q. All right. Did PSP incur did PSP propose a pro18this rate case, regardless of the outcome of this18forma adjustment related to costs that it incurred19order and any discussion in this order, these issues19associated with the need to comply with the best20cannot be resolved and addressed today."20achievable protection standard?21Do you remember that part of Order 06?21A. No.22A. Yes.22Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you2323Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you23requirement proposal that is attributable to24know, make a ruling on how the best achievable24compliance with the best achievable protection			1		
16"Appropriately reserved for the Commissioners16wasn't a cost not accounted for expenses.17themselves who are charged with the final decision in17Q. All right. Did PSP incur did PSP propose a pro18this rate case, regardless of the outcome of this17Q. All right. Did PSP incur did PSP propose a pro19order and any discussion in this order, these issues19associated with the need to costs that it incurred20cannot be resolved and addressed today."20achievable protection standard?21Do you remember that part of Order 06?21A. No.22A. Yes.22Q. Okay. Is there any portion of PSP's overall revenue23Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you23requirement proposal that is attributable to24know, make a ruling on how the best achievable24compliance with the best achievable protection			1		
17themselves who are charged with the final decision in this rate case, regardless of the outcome of this order and any discussion in this order, these issues cannot be resolved and addressed today."17Q. All right. Did PSP incur did PSP propose a pro forma adjustment related to costs that it incurred associated with the need to comply with the best achievable protection standard?20cannot be resolved and addressed today."20achievable protection standard?21Do you remember that part of Order 06?21A. No.22A. Yes.22Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you2324know, make a ruling on how the best achievable24compliance with the best achievable protection		-	1		
18this rate case, regardless of the outcome of this18forma adjustment related to costs that it incurred19order and any discussion in this order, these issues19associated with the need to comply with the best20cannot be resolved and addressed today."20achievable protection standard?21Do you remember that part of Order 06?21A. No.22A. Yes.22Q. Okay. Is there any portion of PSP's overall revenue23Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you23requirement proposal that is attributable to24know, make a ruling on how the best achievable24compliance with the best achievable protection			1		
19order and any discussion in this order, these issues19associated with the need to comply with the best20cannot be resolved and addressed today."20achievable protection standard?21Do you remember that part of Order 06?21A. No.22A. Yes.22Q. Okay. Is there any portion of PSP's overall revenue23Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you23requirement proposal that is attributable to24know, make a ruling on how the best achievable24compliance with the best achievable protection		-	1		
20cannot be resolved and addressed today."20achievable protection standard?21Do you remember that part of Order 06?21A. No.22A. Yes.22Q. Okay. Is there any portion of PSP's overall revenue23Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you23requirement proposal that is attributable to24know, make a ruling on how the best achievable24compliance with the best achievable protection			1	-	
21Do you remember that part of Order 06?21A. No.22A. Yes.22Q. Okay. Is there any portion of PSP's overall revenue23Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you23requirement proposal that is attributable to24know, make a ruling on how the best achievable24compliance with the best achievable protection		•	1		
22A. Yes.22Q. Okay. Is there any portion of PSP's overall revenue23Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you23requirement proposal that is attributable to24know, make a ruling on how the best achievable24compliance with the best achievable protection		-	1	-	
23Q. All right. So Order 06 does not set out to, you23requirement proposal that is attributable to24know, make a ruling on how the best achievable24compliance with the best achievable protection			1		
24know, make a ruling on how the best achievable24compliance with the best achievable protection			1		
		-	1		
		_	1		
	_ 5	F. C. Shon olanda a cholad bo inipaoling the oddo,			

34 (Pages 223 to 226)

	Page 227		Page 229
1	A. The revenue proposal is indeed made in light of	1	MR. HAGLUND: Yes. A few questions, Your
2	made with consideration of best achievable protection	2	Honor.
3	standards because we believe that best achievable	3	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
4	protection necessitates the alignment of compensation	4	BY MR. HAGLUND:
5	and benefits of the pilotage system with a national	5	Q. Mr. Costanzo, when it comes to costs to PSP for
6	average. And that, therefore, our pro formas	6	compliance with the best achievable protection
7	represent our our proposal represents PSP at what	7	standard, are there training costs being incurred now
8	we believe is an appropriate national average	8	that are related to enhancing pilot capability in
9	standard. And we believe that that aligns with best	9	connection with tug escorts?
10	achievable protections.	10	A. Absolutely. I mean, the thing about our training
11	So the answer is yes.	11	costs is that we try to exceed the regulatory
12	Q. So but can you give me a number of how much the	12	standards and regulatory minimums on training costs.
13	cost of compliance with the best achievable	13	So the answer is yes.
14	protection standard has cost PSP?	14	Q. What's the nature of the training that is being
15	A. Retrospectively?	15	undergone by PSP pilots related to new escort tug
16	Q. Yes.	16	tethering requirements?
17	A. No.	17	A. That, I'm not sure if it's bridge that's not the
18	Q. All right. Moving on.	18	bridge resource management piece. But I'm not
19	In your rebuttal testimony, you testify about the	19	exactly sure what the specific training regime is.
20	pension plan mediation process; correct?	20	Q. Does it involve
21	A. I do.	21	MR. CALLAGHAN: Your Honor, I'm going to
22	Q. Could you turn to page 31 of your rebuttal testimony	22	object. This is outside of my cross-examination.
23	and let me know when you're there.	23	JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the
24	A. There.	24	objection. I believe Mr. Haglund is acting asking
25	Q. All right. Starting on line 9 of your rebuttal	25	about trainings related to the best achievable
	Page 228		Page 230
1	testimony, you state "With hindsight, it was very	1	protection standard.
2	unrealistic for the UTC to expect that there was any	2	BY MR. HAGLUND:
3	serious potential to achieve a mediated settlement on		
4		3	Q. To your knowledge, is there simulator training
т	an issue where the parties have been so far apart for	3	Q. To your knowledge, is there simulator training related to new escort tug tethering requirements?
5	an issue where the parties have been so far apart for so long."		
	· · ·	4	related to new escort tug tethering requirements?
5	so long."	4 5	related to new escort tug tethering requirements? A. Mm-hmm.
5 6	so long." Is that an accurate reading?	4 5 6	related to new escort tug tethering requirements? A. Mm-hmm. Q. Is that a "yes"?
5 6 7	so long." Is that an accurate reading? A. Indeed.	4 5 6 7	related to new escort tug tethering requirements?A. Mm-hmm.Q. Is that a "yes"?A. Mm-hmm. Yes.
5 6 7 8	so long." Is that an accurate reading? A. Indeed. Q. Did PSP raise any objections to the mediated	4 5 6 7 8	related to new escort tug tethering requirements? A. Mm-hmm. Q. Is that a "yes"? A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Now back to the discussion you had with Mr. Callaghan
5 6 7 8 9	so long." Is that an accurate reading? A. Indeed. Q. Did PSP raise any objections to the mediated settlement conference that the Commission ordered in	4 5 6 7 8 9	 related to new escort tug tethering requirements? A. Mm-hmm. Q. Is that a "yes"? A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Now back to the discussion you had with Mr. Callaghan regarding BAP or best achievable protection.
5 6 7 8 9 10	so long." Is that an accurate reading? A. Indeed. Q. Did PSP raise any objections to the mediated settlement conference that the Commission ordered in Order 3 of this docket?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 related to new escort tug tethering requirements? A. Mm-hmm. Q. Is that a "yes"? A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Now back to the discussion you had with Mr. Callaghan regarding BAP or best achievable protection. You quoted the standard just fair, just,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 so long." Is that an accurate reading? A. Indeed. Q. Did PSP raise any objections to the mediated settlement conference that the Commission ordered in Order 3 of this docket? A. When? At all? No, I don't think we did. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 related to new escort tug tethering requirements? A. Mm-hmm. Q. Is that a "yes"? A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Now back to the discussion you had with Mr. Callaghan regarding BAP or best achievable protection. You quoted the standard just fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient for the provision of pilotage. What, in your opinion, is the significance of the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 so long." Is that an accurate reading? A. Indeed. Q. Did PSP raise any objections to the mediated settlement conference that the Commission ordered in Order 3 of this docket? A. When? At all? No, I don't think we did. Q. All right. In Order 09 of PSP's last rate case, 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 related to new escort tug tethering requirements? A. Mm-hmm. Q. Is that a "yes"? A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Now back to the discussion you had with Mr. Callaghan regarding BAP or best achievable protection. You quoted the standard just fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient for the provision of pilotage.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 so long." Is that an accurate reading? A. Indeed. Q. Did PSP raise any objections to the mediated settlement conference that the Commission ordered in Order 3 of this docket? A. When? At all? No, I don't think we did. Q. All right. In Order 09 of PSP's last rate case, Order 09 required PSP to initiate discussions on the 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 related to new escort tug tethering requirements? A. Mm-hmm. Q. Is that a "yes"? A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Now back to the discussion you had with Mr. Callaghan regarding BAP or best achievable protection. You quoted the standard just fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient for the provision of pilotage. What, in your opinion, is the significance of the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 so long." Is that an accurate reading? A. Indeed. Q. Did PSP raise any objections to the mediated settlement conference that the Commission ordered in Order 3 of this docket? A. When? At all? No, I don't think we did. Q. All right. In Order 09 of PSP's last rate case, Order 09 required PSP to initiate discussions on the retirement plans through workshops facilitated by a	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 related to new escort tug tethering requirements? A. Mm-hmm. Q. Is that a "yes"? A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Now back to the discussion you had with Mr. Callaghan regarding BAP or best achievable protection. You quoted the standard just fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient for the provision of pilotage. What, in your opinion, is the significance of the word "sufficient" when it comes to your discussion of the reconciliation of that standard with BAP in your testimony?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 so long." Is that an accurate reading? A. Indeed. Q. Did PSP raise any objections to the mediated settlement conference that the Commission ordered in Order 3 of this docket? A. When? At all? No, I don't think we did. Q. All right. In Order 09 of PSP's last rate case, Order 09 required PSP to initiate discussions on the retirement plans through workshops facilitated by a mutually acceptable third party; correct? A. Correct. Q. Did PSP object or otherwise seek any kind of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 related to new escort tug tethering requirements? A. Mm-hmm. Q. Is that a "yes"? A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Now back to the discussion you had with Mr. Callaghan regarding BAP or best achievable protection. You quoted the standard just fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient for the provision of pilotage. What, in your opinion, is the significance of the word "sufficient" when it comes to your discussion of the reconciliation of that standard with BAP in your testimony? A. I think it's absolutely critical that we look at
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 so long." Is that an accurate reading? A. Indeed. Q. Did PSP raise any objections to the mediated settlement conference that the Commission ordered in Order 3 of this docket? A. When? At all? No, I don't think we did. Q. All right. In Order 09 of PSP's last rate case, Order 09 required PSP to initiate discussions on the retirement plans through workshops facilitated by a mutually acceptable third party; correct? A. Correct.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 related to new escort tug tethering requirements? A. Mm-hmm. Q. Is that a "yes"? A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Now back to the discussion you had with Mr. Callaghan regarding BAP or best achievable protection. You quoted the standard just fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient for the provision of pilotage. What, in your opinion, is the significance of the word "sufficient" when it comes to your discussion of the reconciliation of that standard with BAP in your testimony?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 so long." Is that an accurate reading? A. Indeed. Q. Did PSP raise any objections to the mediated settlement conference that the Commission ordered in Order 3 of this docket? A. When? At all? No, I don't think we did. Q. All right. In Order 09 of PSP's last rate case, Order 09 required PSP to initiate discussions on the retirement plans through workshops facilitated by a mutually acceptable third party; correct? A. Correct. Q. Did PSP object or otherwise seek any kind of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 related to new escort tug tethering requirements? A. Mm-hmm. Q. Is that a "yes"? A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Now back to the discussion you had with Mr. Callaghan regarding BAP or best achievable protection. You quoted the standard just fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient for the provision of pilotage. What, in your opinion, is the significance of the word "sufficient" when it comes to your discussion of the reconciliation of that standard with BAP in your testimony? A. I think it's absolutely critical that we look at
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 so long." Is that an accurate reading? A. Indeed. Q. Did PSP raise any objections to the mediated settlement conference that the Commission ordered in Order 3 of this docket? A. When? At all? No, I don't think we did. Q. All right. In Order 09 of PSP's last rate case, Order 09 required PSP to initiate discussions on the retirement plans through workshops facilitated by a mutually acceptable third party; correct? A. Correct. Q. Did PSP object or otherwise seek any kind of reconsideration of this requirement in Order 09? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 related to new escort tug tethering requirements? A. Mm-hmm. Q. Is that a "yes"? A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Now back to the discussion you had with Mr. Callaghan regarding BAP or best achievable protection. You quoted the standard just fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient for the provision of pilotage. What, in your opinion, is the significance of the word "sufficient" when it comes to your discussion of the reconciliation of that standard with BAP in your testimony? A. I think it's absolutely critical that we look at sufficiency to be sufficient to attract the best
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 so long." Is that an accurate reading? A. Indeed. Q. Did PSP raise any objections to the mediated settlement conference that the Commission ordered in Order 3 of this docket? A. When? At all? No, I don't think we did. Q. All right. In Order 09 of PSP's last rate case, Order 09 required PSP to initiate discussions on the retirement plans through workshops facilitated by a mutually acceptable third party; correct? A. Correct. Q. Did PSP object or otherwise seek any kind of reconsideration of this requirement in Order 09? A. Not to my knowledge, no. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 related to new escort tug tethering requirements? A. Mm-hmm. Q. Is that a "yes"? A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Now back to the discussion you had with Mr. Callaghan regarding BAP or best achievable protection. You quoted the standard just fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient for the provision of pilotage. What, in your opinion, is the significance of the word "sufficient" when it comes to your discussion of the reconciliation of that standard with BAP in your testimony? A. I think it's absolutely critical that we look at sufficient to continue to improve, sufficient to elevate the standards of performance and that is
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 so long." Is that an accurate reading? A. Indeed. Q. Did PSP raise any objections to the mediated settlement conference that the Commission ordered in Order 3 of this docket? A. When? At all? No, I don't think we did. Q. All right. In Order 09 of PSP's last rate case, Order 09 required PSP to initiate discussions on the retirement plans through workshops facilitated by a mutually acceptable third party; correct? A. Correct. Q. Did PSP object or otherwise seek any kind of reconsideration of this requirement in Order 09? A. Not to my knowledge, no. Q. And did PSP comply with this requirement prior to 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 related to new escort tug tethering requirements? A. Mm-hmm. Q. Is that a "yes"? A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Now back to the discussion you had with Mr. Callaghan regarding BAP or best achievable protection. You quoted the standard just fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient for the provision of pilotage. What, in your opinion, is the significance of the word "sufficient" when it comes to your discussion of the reconciliation of that standard with BAP in your testimony? A. I think it's absolutely critical that we look at sufficient to continue to improve, sufficient to elevate the standards of priormance and that is where best achievable protection and the standard
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 so long." Is that an accurate reading? A. Indeed. Q. Did PSP raise any objections to the mediated settlement conference that the Commission ordered in Order 3 of this docket? A. When? At all? No, I don't think we did. Q. All right. In Order 09 of PSP's last rate case, Order 09 required PSP to initiate discussions on the retirement plans through workshops facilitated by a mutually acceptable third party; correct? A. Correct. Q. Did PSP object or otherwise seek any kind of reconsideration of this requirement in Order 09? A. Not to my knowledge, no. Q. And did PSP comply with this requirement prior to filing the current rate case? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 related to new escort tug tethering requirements? A. Mm-hmm. Q. Is that a "yes"? A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Now back to the discussion you had with Mr. Callaghan regarding BAP or best achievable protection. You quoted the standard just fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient for the provision of pilotage. What, in your opinion, is the significance of the word "sufficient" when it comes to your discussion of the reconciliation of that standard with BAP in your testimony? A. I think it's absolutely critical that we look at sufficient to continue to improve, sufficient to elevate the standards of performance and that is
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 so long." Is that an accurate reading? A. Indeed. Q. Did PSP raise any objections to the mediated settlement conference that the Commission ordered in Order 3 of this docket? A. When? At all? No, I don't think we did. Q. All right. In Order 09 of PSP's last rate case, Order 09 required PSP to initiate discussions on the retirement plans through workshops facilitated by a mutually acceptable third party; correct? A. Correct. Q. Did PSP object or otherwise seek any kind of reconsideration of this requirement in Order 09? A. Not to my knowledge, no. Q. And did PSP comply with this requirement prior to filing the current rate case? A. We tried. But we we did not, no. MR. CALLAGHAN: No further questions, Your Honor. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 related to new escort tug tethering requirements? A. Mm-hmm. Q. Is that a "yes"? A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Now back to the discussion you had with Mr. Callaghan regarding BAP or best achievable protection. You quoted the standard just fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient for the provision of pilotage. What, in your opinion, is the significance of the word "sufficient" when it comes to your discussion of the reconciliation of that standard with BAP in your testimony? A. I think it's absolutely critical that we look at sufficient to continue to improve, sufficient to elevate the standards of training, sufficient to elevate the standards of performance and that is where best achievable protection and the standard that's being applied here by the Commission intersect. And that's what demands the alignment
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 so long." Is that an accurate reading? A. Indeed. Q. Did PSP raise any objections to the mediated settlement conference that the Commission ordered in Order 3 of this docket? A. When? At all? No, I don't think we did. Q. All right. In Order 09 of PSP's last rate case, Order 09 required PSP to initiate discussions on the retirement plans through workshops facilitated by a mutually acceptable third party; correct? A. Correct. Q. Did PSP object or otherwise seek any kind of reconsideration of this requirement in Order 09? A. Not to my knowledge, no. Q. And did PSP comply with this requirement prior to filing the current rate case? A. We tried. But we we did not, no. MR. CALLAGHAN: No further questions, Your 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 related to new escort tug tethering requirements? A. Mm-hmm. Q. Is that a "yes"? A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Now back to the discussion you had with Mr. Callaghan regarding BAP or best achievable protection. You quoted the standard just fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient for the provision of pilotage. What, in your opinion, is the significance of the word "sufficient" when it comes to your discussion of the reconciliation of that standard with BAP in your testimony? A. I think it's absolutely critical that we look at sufficient to elevate the standards of training, sufficient to elevate the standards of performance and that is where best achievable protection and the standard that's being applied here by the Commission

35 (Pages 227 to 230)

	Page 231		Page 233
1	Q. And in Order 6, and I'm quoting it here, the order	1	You're aware of that?
2	noted that it actually rejected a concern that PSP	2	A. Yes.
3	had expressed in its motion that the standard could	3	Q. Okay. So, Captain Klapperich, you are an experienced
4	be construed from the other from the prior rate	4	pilot yourself; right?
5	case to be a minimally adequate standard. And the	5	A. 15 years.
6	order makes clear that PSP should not be concerned	6	Q. I would call that experienced. Would you?
7	about that because the standard must be applied in	7	A. I am experienced, yes.
8	light of other statutes it went on to quote, such as	8	Q. Okay. All right.
9	RCW 88.16.005, and I continue quoting, "which	9	I assume you're aware that one of the topics that
10	emphasize the importance of pilotage and the	10	the Commission asked TOTE and PSP to address in this
11	protection of the natural environment," closed quote.	11	proceeding is whether TOTE's vessels are risky or how
12		12	
	Is that is it that part of Order 06 that you	13	does the risk compare in piloting them as compared to
13	were referring to?	14	other vessels that PSP typically services. You're aware of that?
14	A. Absolutely.		
15	MR. HAGLUND: No further questions.	15	A. I don't I'm sorry. I don't remember the
16	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you,	16	Commission specifying that. Maybe that's on me. Is
17	Mr. Costanzo, for your testimony.	17	that what you're saying, the Commission
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	18	Q. Okay.
19	JUDGE HOWARD: You are excused from the	19	A spelled that out?
20	remainder of the hearing.	20	Q. You are giving testimony as to the comparative risk
21	So as I indicated, we are going to recall	21	associated with TOTE's two vessels at issue, that's
22	Captain Klapperich am I saying his last name	22	the Midnight Sun and the North Star, as compared to
23	right? Klapperich?	23	other vessels that PSP typically services.
24	MR. HAGLUND: Klapperich, yes, Your Honor.	24	Am I right there?
25	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. We're going to recall	25	A. Yes.
	Page 232		Page 234
1	captain for the vessel tonnage issue for TOTE's	1	Q. And your testimony answers that question, in your
2	cross, and then we will have the testimony from the	2	opinion, as to the levels of risk that are associated
3	two TOTE witnesses, Philip Morrell, Captain	3	with these two varieties of vessels. And I
4	Loftfield.	4	understand from your testimony you're specifically
5	I would just like to remind the witnesses to	5	familiar with TOTE's vessels, Midnight Sun and North
6	focus on the question as posed during the cross. And	6	Star; right?
7	focus on answering the particular question at issue.	7	A. I've been on them a few times, yes.
8	And, Captain Klapperich, I I'll give you a moment.	8	Q. A few times?
9	THE WITNESS: All right. Sorry about that.	9	A. Yeah. I mean, I don't know how regular it is.
10	JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. I would remind you that	10	Q. Okay. Enough to have a working familiarity with
11	you remain under oath from this morning.	11	their maneuverability and other aspects of piloting
12	THE WITNESS: Okay.	12	them; right?
13	JUDGE HOWARD: And TOTE indicated cross.	13	A. Yes.
14	And, Mr. Block, you may proceed.	14	Q. Okay. All right. And these are what we call Ro-Ro
15	MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor.	15	vessels; right?
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION	16	A. Yes.
17	BY MR. BLOCK:	17	Q. Is it roll-on/roll-off?
18	Q. Captain Klapperich, do you hear me okay?	18	A. Yes.
19	A. I do hear you.	19	Q. And they're designed to transport automobiles; right?
20	Q. Okay. Good afternoon. Thank you for coming back to	20	A. I think, along with a lot of other things.
21	testify for us.	21	Q. All right. But the primary purpose of a Ro-Ro vessel
22	As you are aware, I'm an attorney representing	22	is to transport cargos consisting of automobiles; am
23	TOTE in this proceeding with regard to the tonnage	23	I right?
24	issues that have given rise to pilotage fees TOTE	24	A. Not all of it. I mean, there's trailers, trucks.
25	disputes.	25	There's other things stacked on there; right?
		1	

36 (Pages 231 to 234)

	Page 235		Page 237
1	Q. Okay. All right.	1	all in the holds of its cargo; right?
2	A. Lumber. House goods.	2	A. If it's full, yes.
3	Q. Would you say that the primary purpose, the primary	3	Q. And the bulk carrier will have cargo that is just
4	design of a Ro-Ro vessel is to transport cargos of	4	loaded into the holds of the vessel of the cargo
5	automobiles?	5	holds of the vessel, again with minimal, if any,
6	A. In addition to truck trailers, a lot of them.	6	space that's wasted to the extent that it needs to
7	Q. Okay. I'm not saying in addition to.	7	carry a maximum load; right?
8	The question is the primary purpose I know	8	A. Correct.
9	that there are other varieties of cargo.	9	Q. But a Ro-Ro vessel doesn't work like that. You can't
10	Is the primary purpose the transport of cargoes	10	stack, be they automobiles or trailers or tractors or
11	consisting of automobiles?	11	anything, just one on top of the other without space
12	A. I don't know that. I really don't know that. I	12	being left in between them.
13	don't know the primary purpose of a Ro-Ro besides	13	Am I right?
14	things roll on and things roll off. That's what I	14	A. Yes. It's not bulk. Yes.
15	actually	15	Q. Yes. Okay.
16	Q. Okay. All right. Well, in any event, these are not	16	There's going to have to be space between the
17	container ships; right? They're not ships that are	17	cargo of a Ro-Ro, just based on the the
18	designed to hold ocean shipping containers; right?	18	characteristics of that cargo; right?
19	A. Right.	19	A. Some. Some space.
20	Q. And the more typical variety of vessel that PSP	20	Q. A lot wouldn't you say a lot of space?
21	services are container ships; right?	21	A. Well, I see some space very little space sometimes
22	A. I'm sorry. You'd have to I don't know what	22	between the cargo.
23	"typical" means. We handle a lot of container ships.	23	Q. But what about on the top of the car before the track
24	We handle a lot of tankers. We handle a lot of car	24	above it, isn't there a good deal of space up there
25	ships. We handle a lot of bulkers.	25	as well?
	Page 236		Page 238
1	Q. Would you say that the majority of ships that PSP	1	A. I don't know the amounts. I really don't. How high
2	services carry ocean shipping containers?	2	the ceiling is.
3	A. I don't know the numbers. We have a	3	Q. Okay. All right. Let's leave it with that.
4	Q. So you	4	I want to move I have a limited amount of time
5	A. We have a diverse calling of vessels. I mean		
6	-	5	so I want to get through my outline.
	Q. Okay. All right.	6	so I want to get through my outline. Isn't it true, Captain Klapperich, that there are
7	Q. Okay. All right. You don't know what the typical cargo of a vessel	6 7	so I want to get through my outline. Isn't it true, Captain Klapperich, that there are many vessel characteristics and operational features
7 8	Q. Okay. All right. You don't know what the typical cargo of a vessel that PSP services is.	6 7 8	so I want to get through my outline. Isn't it true, Captain Klapperich, that there are many vessel characteristics and operational features that will dictate the how difficult or risky they
7 8 9	Q. Okay. All right. You don't know what the typical cargo of a vessel that PSP services is. Do I have that right?	6 7 8 9	so I want to get through my outline. Isn't it true, Captain Klapperich, that there are many vessel characteristics and operational features that will dictate the how difficult or risky they are to pilot? Each vessel has different
7 8 9 10	 Q. Okay. All right. You don't know what the typical cargo of a vessel that PSP services is. Do I have that right? A. Define "typical." 	6 7 8 9 10	so I want to get through my outline. Isn't it true, Captain Klapperich, that there are many vessel characteristics and operational features that will dictate the how difficult or risky they are to pilot? Each vessel has different characteristics that go into the difficulty of
7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay. All right. You don't know what the typical cargo of a vessel that PSP services is. Do I have that right? A. Define "typical." Q. More often than not. 	6 7 8 9 10 11	so I want to get through my outline. Isn't it true, Captain Klapperich, that there are many vessel characteristics and operational features that will dictate the how difficult or risky they are to pilot? Each vessel has different characteristics that go into the difficulty of gauging the risk of their piloting; is that right?
7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Okay. All right. You don't know what the typical cargo of a vessel that PSP services is. Do I have that right? A. Define "typical." Q. More often than not. A. It might be 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	so I want to get through my outline. Isn't it true, Captain Klapperich, that there are many vessel characteristics and operational features that will dictate the how difficult or risky they are to pilot? Each vessel has different characteristics that go into the difficulty of gauging the risk of their piloting; is that right? A. Yeah.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Okay. All right. You don't know what the typical cargo of a vessel that PSP services is. Do I have that right? A. Define "typical." Q. More often than not. A. It might be Q. Representing a 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 so I want to get through my outline. Isn't it true, Captain Klapperich, that there are many vessel characteristics and operational features that will dictate the how difficult or risky they are to pilot? Each vessel has different characteristics that go into the difficulty of gauging the risk of their piloting; is that right? A. Yeah. Q. All right. And wouldn't you agree that
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Okay. All right. You don't know what the typical cargo of a vessel that PSP services is. Do I have that right? A. Define "typical." Q. More often than not. A. It might be Q. Representing a A. It might be 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 so I want to get through my outline. Isn't it true, Captain Klapperich, that there are many vessel characteristics and operational features that will dictate the how difficult or risky they are to pilot? Each vessel has different characteristics that go into the difficulty of gauging the risk of their piloting; is that right? A. Yeah. Q. All right. And wouldn't you agree that maneuverability is a key factor in the risk of
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Okay. All right. You don't know what the typical cargo of a vessel that PSP services is. Do I have that right? A. Define "typical." Q. More often than not. A. It might be Q. Representing a A. It might be Q. Representing the largest sample of? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 so I want to get through my outline. Isn't it true, Captain Klapperich, that there are many vessel characteristics and operational features that will dictate the how difficult or risky they are to pilot? Each vessel has different characteristics that go into the difficulty of gauging the risk of their piloting; is that right? A. Yeah. Q. All right. And wouldn't you agree that maneuverability is a key factor in the risk of piloting?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Okay. All right. You don't know what the typical cargo of a vessel that PSP services is. Do I have that right? A. Define "typical." Q. More often than not. A. It might be Q. Representing a A. It might be Q. Representing the largest sample of? A. It might be a container ship. It might be a bulker. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 so I want to get through my outline. Isn't it true, Captain Klapperich, that there are many vessel characteristics and operational features that will dictate the how difficult or risky they are to pilot? Each vessel has different characteristics that go into the difficulty of gauging the risk of their piloting; is that right? A. Yeah. Q. All right. And wouldn't you agree that maneuverability is a key factor in the risk of piloting? A. Maneuverability adds, I would say, for sure.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Okay. All right. You don't know what the typical cargo of a vessel that PSP services is. Do I have that right? A. Define "typical." Q. More often than not. A. It might be Q. Representing a A. It might be Q. Representing the largest sample of? A. It might be a container ship. It might be a bulker. It might be a tanker. I don't know the specific 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 so I want to get through my outline. Isn't it true, Captain Klapperich, that there are many vessel characteristics and operational features that will dictate the how difficult or risky they are to pilot? Each vessel has different characteristics that go into the difficulty of gauging the risk of their piloting; is that right? A. Yeah. Q. All right. And wouldn't you agree that maneuverability is a key factor in the risk of piloting? A. Maneuverability adds, I would say, for sure. Q. Is it a key factor?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Okay. All right. You don't know what the typical cargo of a vessel that PSP services is. Do I have that right? A. Define "typical." Q. More often than not. A. It might be Q. Representing a A. It might be Q. Representing the largest sample of? A. It might be a container ship. It might be a bulker. It might be a tanker. I don't know the specific numbers. We do a lot of container ships, but we do a 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 so I want to get through my outline. Isn't it true, Captain Klapperich, that there are many vessel characteristics and operational features that will dictate the how difficult or risky they are to pilot? Each vessel has different characteristics that go into the difficulty of gauging the risk of their piloting; is that right? A. Yeah. Q. All right. And wouldn't you agree that maneuverability is a key factor in the risk of piloting? A. Maneuverability adds, I would say, for sure. Q. Is it a key factor? A. Yeah.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Okay. All right. You don't know what the typical cargo of a vessel that PSP services is. Do I have that right? A. Define "typical." Q. More often than not. A. It might be Q. Representing a A. It might be Q. Representing the largest sample of? A. It might be a container ship. It might be a bulker. It might be a tanker. I don't know the specific numbers. We do a lot of container ships, but we do a lot of other ships as well. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 so I want to get through my outline. Isn't it true, Captain Klapperich, that there are many vessel characteristics and operational features that will dictate the how difficult or risky they are to pilot? Each vessel has different characteristics that go into the difficulty of gauging the risk of their piloting; is that right? A. Yeah. Q. All right. And wouldn't you agree that maneuverability is a key factor in the risk of piloting? A. Maneuverability adds, I would say, for sure. Q. Is it a key factor? A. Yeah. Q. It's an important factor; right?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Okay. All right. You don't know what the typical cargo of a vessel that PSP services is. Do I have that right? A. Define "typical." Q. More often than not. A. It might be Q. Representing a A. It might be Q. Representing the largest sample of? A. It might be a container ship. It might be a bulker. It might be a tanker. I don't know the specific numbers. We do a lot of container ships, but we do a lot of other ships as well. Q. All right. Well, containers can be stacked and 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 so I want to get through my outline. Isn't it true, Captain Klapperich, that there are many vessel characteristics and operational features that will dictate the how difficult or risky they are to pilot? Each vessel has different characteristics that go into the difficulty of gauging the risk of their piloting; is that right? A. Yeah. Q. All right. And wouldn't you agree that maneuverability is a key factor in the risk of piloting? A. Maneuverability adds, I would say, for sure. Q. Is it a key factor? A. Yeah. Q. It's an important factor; right?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Okay. All right. You don't know what the typical cargo of a vessel that PSP services is. Do I have that right? A. Define "typical." Q. More often than not. A. It might be Q. Representing a A. It might be Q. Representing the largest sample of? A. It might be a container ship. It might be a bulker. It might be a tanker. I don't know the specific numbers. We do a lot of container ships, but we do a lot of other ships as well. Q. All right. Well, containers can be stacked and packed into the holds of a vessel so that there's 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 so I want to get through my outline. Isn't it true, Captain Klapperich, that there are many vessel characteristics and operational features that will dictate the how difficult or risky they are to pilot? Each vessel has different characteristics that go into the difficulty of gauging the risk of their piloting; is that right? A. Yeah. Q. All right. And wouldn't you agree that maneuverability is a key factor in the risk of piloting? A. Maneuverability adds, I would say, for sure. Q. Is it a key factor? A. Yeah. Q. It's an important factor; right? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. All right.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Okay. All right. You don't know what the typical cargo of a vessel that PSP services is. Do I have that right? A. Define "typical." Q. More often than not. A. It might be Q. Representing a A. It might be Q. Representing the largest sample of? A. It might be a container ship. It might be a bulker. It might be a tanker. I don't know the specific numbers. We do a lot of container ships, but we do a lot of other ships as well. Q. All right. Well, containers can be stacked and packed into the holds of a vessel so that there's minimal space between them, right, to maximize 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 so I want to get through my outline. Isn't it true, Captain Klapperich, that there are many vessel characteristics and operational features that will dictate the how difficult or risky they are to pilot? Each vessel has different characteristics that go into the difficulty of gauging the risk of their piloting; is that right? A. Yeah. Q. All right. And wouldn't you agree that maneuverability is a key factor in the risk of piloting? A. Maneuverability adds, I would say, for sure. Q. Is it a key factor? A. Yeah. Q. It's an important factor; right? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. All right. One of the factors in the risk and difficulty of
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. All right. You don't know what the typical cargo of a vessel that PSP services is. Do I have that right? A. Define "typical." Q. More often than not. A. It might be Q. Representing a A. It might be Q. Representing the largest sample of? A. It might be a container ship. It might be a bulker. It might be a tanker. I don't know the specific numbers. We do a lot of container ships, but we do a lot of other ships as well. Q. All right. Well, containers can be stacked and packed into the holds of a vessel so that there's 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 so I want to get through my outline. Isn't it true, Captain Klapperich, that there are many vessel characteristics and operational features that will dictate the how difficult or risky they are to pilot? Each vessel has different characteristics that go into the difficulty of gauging the risk of their piloting; is that right? A. Yeah. Q. All right. And wouldn't you agree that maneuverability is a key factor in the risk of piloting? A. Maneuverability adds, I would say, for sure. Q. Is it a key factor? A. Yeah. Q. It's an important factor; right? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. All right.

37 (Pages 235 to 238)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 239	Page 241
1	is "The bottom line is that larger ships are more	1 Q. Okay. Yeah. So when you talk about what the vessel
2	difficult to pilot and present greater risk."	2 draws or its draft, it's the amount of water it
3	Do you stand by that?	3 displaces when it's in the water; right?
4	A. Yes.	4 A. Part of that, yeah. I think its weight and it
5	Q. And by "larger," you mean larger in length, width,	5 displaces and it has a draft.
6	and height; right?	6 Q. Okay. The heavier the vessel, the deeper the draft
7	A. I mean in length and yeah. All of it.	7 is going to be; right?
8	Q. Length, width, and height measured in	8 A. I would assume.
9	A. Of the vessel.	9 Q. Well, wouldn't you know that?
10	Q measured in yes, of the vessel measured in	10 A. Yeah.
11	feet or meters; right?	11 Q. I mean, it stands to reason, if you got a you
12	A. Yep.	12 know, two vessels, both are 300 feet long, and you
13	Q. Okay. All right.	13load one fully with heavy cargo and the other one is
14	I read in your testimony and I'll just read it	14 empty, the one with the heavy cargo is going to go
15	back to you, ask if you stand by this "Fair and	15 deeper into the water; right?
16	reasonable pilotage rates should and do take into	16 A. Yes.
17	account the relative difficulty of piloting a	Q. So the heavier the vessel, the deeper the draft, as a
18	particular ship, which in turn correlates closely to	18 general matter; right?
19	the ship's size. Because GT ITC, which is the	19 A. Yes.
20	international tonnage standard, more accurately	20 Q. Isn't a vessel's draft one of the characteristics
21	captures the size of a ship's structures, it is a	21 that go into how difficult and risky it is to pilot
22	better proxy for the difficulty and risk of piloting	22 it?
23	a ship than other measurements, such as domestic	A. Draft is taken into consideration.
24	gross registered tonnage or GRT, and therefore is the	Q. Yeah. You put it into the context of us laymen, how
25	more appropriate metric to calculate pilotage rates	25 we might visualize this if I'm driving a station
	5 040	
	Page 240	Page 242
1	for all ships, irrespective of whether they are	1 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store
2	for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce."	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot
	for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that?	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make
2 3 4	for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes.	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with
2 3 4 5	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? 	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of
2 3 4 5 6	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. 	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of
2 3 4 5 6 7	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. Q. Okay. The clause "because GT ITC more accurately 	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of difference, but very little of parking a station
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. Q. Okay. The clause "because GT ITC more accurately captures the size of a ship's structures," what 	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of difference, but very little of parking a station wagon in a grocery store parking space, one that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. Q. Okay. The clause "because GT ITC more accurately captures the size of a ship's structures," what you're referring to there is its hull and perhaps any 	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of difference, but very little of parking a station wagon in a grocery store parking space, one that's empty and one that's loaded; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. Q. Okay. The clause "because GT ITC more accurately captures the size of a ship's structures," what you're referring to there is its hull and perhaps any housing on top, any fixtures to it, the dimensions of 	1wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store2parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot3parking space and no problem, and it will make4little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with5concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of6risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of7difference, but very little of parking a station8wagon in a grocery store parking space, one that's9empty and one that's loaded; right?10Wouldn't you agree?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. Q. Okay. The clause "because GT ITC more accurately captures the size of a ship's structures," what you're referring to there is its hull and perhaps any housing on top, any fixtures to it, the dimensions of the vessel itself; right? 	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of difference, but very little of parking a station wagon in a grocery store parking space, one that's empty and one that's loaded; right? Wouldn't you agree? A. I'm I'm not following you. You're saying a loaded
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. Q. Okay. The clause "because GT ITC more accurately captures the size of a ship's structures," what you're referring to there is its hull and perhaps any housing on top, any fixtures to it, the dimensions of the vessel itself; right? A. The GT ITC measurements of the complete volume of the 	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of difference, but very little of parking a station wagon in a grocery store parking space, one that's empty and one that's loaded; right? Wouldn't you agree? A. I'm I'm not following you. You're saying a loaded station wagon compared to an empty station wagon are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. Q. Okay. The clause "because GT ITC more accurately captures the size of a ship's structures," what you're referring to there is its hull and perhaps any housing on top, any fixtures to it, the dimensions of the vessel itself; right? A. The GT ITC measurements of the complete volume of the ship. 	1wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store2parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot3parking space and no problem, and it will make4little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with5concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of6risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of7difference, but very little of parking a station8wagon in a grocery store parking space, one that's9empty and one that's loaded; right?10Wouldn't you agree?11A. I'm I'm not following you. You're saying a loaded12station wagon compared to an empty station wagon are13similar in risk.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. Q. Okay. The clause "because GT ITC more accurately captures the size of a ship's structures," what you're referring to there is its hull and perhaps any housing on top, any fixtures to it, the dimensions of the vessel itself; right? A. The GT ITC measurements of the complete volume of the ship. Q. Okay. But I'm speaking specifically to the clause 	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of difference, but very little of parking a station wagon in a grocery store parking space, one that's empty and one that's loaded; right? Wouldn't you agree? A. I'm I'm not following you. You're saying a loaded station wagon compared to an empty station wagon are similar in risk. Q. To park it in the parking space.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. Q. Okay. The clause "because GT ITC more accurately captures the size of a ship's structures," what you're referring to there is its hull and perhaps any housing on top, any fixtures to it, the dimensions of the vessel itself; right? A. The GT ITC measurements of the complete volume of the ship. Q. Okay. But I'm speaking specifically to the clause the ship's the size of a ship's structures. 	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of difference, but very little of parking a station wagon in a grocery store parking space, one that's empty and one that's loaded; right? Wouldn't you agree? A. I'm I'm not following you. You're saying a loaded station wagon compared to an empty station wagon are similar in risk. Q. To park it in the parking space. A. I disagree. I can't I can't draw a similar
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. Q. Okay. The clause "because GT ITC more accurately captures the size of a ship's structures," what you're referring to there is its hull and perhaps any housing on top, any fixtures to it, the dimensions of the vessel itself; right? A. The GT ITC measurements of the complete volume of the ship. Q. Okay. But I'm speaking specifically to the clause the ship's the size of a ship's structures. The ship's structures are the what I just 	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of difference, but very little of parking a station wagon in a grocery store parking space, one that's empty and one that's loaded; right? Wouldn't you agree? A. I'm I'm not following you. You're saying a loaded station wagon compared to an empty station wagon are similar in risk. Q. To park it in the parking space. A. I disagree. I can't I can't draw a similar analogy to handling a ship to handling a car.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. Q. Okay. The clause "because GT ITC more accurately captures the size of a ship's structures," what you're referring to there is its hull and perhaps any housing on top, any fixtures to it, the dimensions of the vessel itself; right? A. The GT ITC measurements of the complete volume of the ship. Q. Okay. But I'm speaking specifically to the clause the ship's - the size of a ship's structures. The ship's structures are the what I just described, the hull and the housing and any additions 	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of difference, but very little of parking a station wagon in a grocery store parking space, one that's empty and one that's loaded; right? Wouldn't you agree? A. I'm I'm not following you. You're saying a loaded station wagon compared to an empty station wagon are similar in risk. Q. To park it in the parking space. A. I disagree. I can't I can't draw a similar analogy to handling a ship to handling a car. Q. Okay. I wouldn't do that either. I'm not asking you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. Q. Okay. The clause "because GT ITC more accurately captures the size of a ship's structures," what you're referring to there is its hull and perhaps any housing on top, any fixtures to it, the dimensions of the vessel itself; right? A. The GT ITC measurements of the complete volume of the ship. Q. Okay. But I'm speaking specifically to the clause the ship's structures are the what I just described, the hull and the housing and any additions to the deck, things of that nature; right? 	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of difference, but very little of parking a station wagon in a grocery store parking space, one that's empty and one that's loaded; right? Wouldn't you agree? A. I'm I'm not following you. You're saying a loaded station wagon compared to an empty station wagon are similar in risk. Q. To park it in the parking space. A. I disagree. I can't I can't draw a similar analogy to handling a ship to handling a car. Q. Okay. I wouldn't do that either. I'm not asking you to do that right now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. Q. Okay. The clause "because GT ITC more accurately captures the size of a ship's structures," what you're referring to there is its hull and perhaps any housing on top, any fixtures to it, the dimensions of the vessel itself; right? A. The GT ITC measurements of the complete volume of the ship. Q. Okay. But I'm speaking specifically to the clause the ship's the size of a ship's structures. The ship's structures are the what I just described, the hull and the housing and any additions to the deck, things of that nature; right? A. Yes. And 	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of difference, but very little of parking a station wagon in a grocery store parking space, one that's empty and one that's loaded; right? Wouldn't you agree? A. I'm I'm not following you. You're saying a loaded station wagon compared to an empty station wagon are similar in risk. Q. To park it in the parking space. A. I disagree. I can't I can't draw a similar analogy to handling a ship to handling a car. Q. Okay. I wouldn't do that either. I'm not asking you to do that right now. But my question is: Isn't it true that you can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. Q. Okay. The clause "because GT ITC more accurately captures the size of a ship's structures," what you're referring to there is its hull and perhaps any housing on top, any fixtures to it, the dimensions of the vessel itself; right? A. The GT ITC measurements of the complete volume of the ship. Q. Okay. But I'm speaking specifically to the clause the ship's the size of a ship's structures. The ship's structures are the what I just described, the hull and the housing and any additions to the deck, things of that nature; right? A. Yes. And Q. Okay. 	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of difference, but very little of parking a station wagon in a grocery store parking space, one that's empty and one that's loaded; right? Wouldn't you agree? A. I'm I'm not following you. You're saying a loaded station wagon compared to an empty station wagon are similar in risk. Q. To park it in the parking space. A. I disagree. I can't I can't draw a similar analogy to handling a ship to handling a car. Q. Okay. I wouldn't do that either. I'm not asking you to do that right now. But my question is: Isn't it true that you can park a station wagon with the same level of risk,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. Q. Okay. The clause "because GT ITC more accurately captures the size of a ship's structures," what you're referring to there is its hull and perhaps any housing on top, any fixtures to it, the dimensions of the vessel itself; right? A. The GT ITC measurements of the complete volume of the ship. Q. Okay. But I'm speaking specifically to the clause the ship's the size of a ship's structures. The ship's structures are the what I just described, the hull and the housing and any additions to the deck, things of that nature; right? A. Yes. And Q. Okay. A. Sideshow. 	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of difference, but very little of parking a station wagon in a grocery store parking space, one that's empty and one that's loaded; right? Wouldn't you agree? A. I'm I'm not following you. You're saying a loaded station wagon compared to an empty station wagon are similar in risk. Q. To park it in the parking space. A. I disagree. I can't I can't draw a similar analogy to handling a ship to handling a car. Q. Okay. I wouldn't do that either. I'm not asking you to do that right now. But my question is: Isn't it true that you can park a station wagon with the same level of risk, whether or not it's empty or full?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. Q. Okay. The clause "because GT ITC more accurately captures the size of a ship's structures," what you're referring to there is its hull and perhaps any housing on top, any fixtures to it, the dimensions of the vessel itself; right? A. The GT ITC measurements of the complete volume of the ship. Q. Okay. But I'm speaking specifically to the clause the ship's the size of a ship's structures. The ship's structures are the what I just described, the hull and the housing and any additions to the deck, things of that nature; right? A. Yes. And Q. Okay. Sideshow. That's true. 	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of difference, but very little of parking a station wagon in a grocery store parking space, one that's empty and one that's loaded; right? Wouldn't you agree? A. I'm I'm not following you. You're saying a loaded station wagon compared to an empty station wagon are similar in risk. Q. To park it in the parking space. A. I disagree. I can't I can't draw a similar analogy to handling a ship to handling a car. Q. Okay. I wouldn't do that either. I'm not asking you to do that right now. But my question is: Isn't it true that you can park a station wagon with the same level of risk, whether or not it's empty or full? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. Q. Okay. The clause "because GT ITC more accurately captures the size of a ship's structures," what you're referring to there is its hull and perhaps any housing on top, any fixtures to it, the dimensions of the vessel itself; right? A. The GT ITC measurements of the complete volume of the ship. Q. Okay. But I'm speaking specifically to the clause the ship's the size of a ship's structures. The ship's structures are the what I just described, the hull and the housing and any additions to the deck, things of that nature; right? A. Yes. And Q. Okay. Sideshow. That's true. What is a vessel's draft? 	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of difference, but very little of parking a station wagon in a grocery store parking space, one that's empty and one that's loaded; right? Wouldn't you agree? A. I'm I'm not following you. You're saying a loaded station wagon compared to an empty station wagon are similar in risk. Q. To park it in the parking space. A. I disagree. I can't I can't draw a similar analogy to handling a ship to handling a car. Q. Okay. I wouldn't do that either. I'm not asking you to do that right now. But my question is: Isn't it true that you can park a station wagon with the same level of risk, whether or not it's empty or full? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But that's not an analogy for a vessel because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. Q. Okay. The clause "because GT ITC more accurately captures the size of a ship's structures," what you're referring to there is its hull and perhaps any housing on top, any fixtures to it, the dimensions of the vessel itself; right? A. The GT ITC measurements of the complete volume of the ship. Q. Okay. But I'm speaking specifically to the clause the ship's - the size of a ship's structures. The ship's structures are the what I just described, the hull and the housing and any additions to the deck, things of that nature; right? A. Yes. And Q. Okay. Sideshow. That's true. What is a vessel's draft? A. It's the parts of the vessel that are below the 	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of difference, but very little of parking a station wagon in a grocery store parking space, one that's empty and one that's loaded; right? Wouldn't you agree? A. I'm I'm not following you. You're saying a loaded station wagon compared to an empty station wagon are similar in risk. Q. To park it in the parking space. A. I disagree. I can't I can't draw a similar analogy to handling a ship to handling a car. Q. Okay. I wouldn't do that either. I'm not asking you to do that right now. But my question is: Isn't it true that you can park a station wagon with the same level of risk, whether or not it's empty or full? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But that's not an analogy for a vessel because vessels aren't station wagons; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 for all ships, irrespective of whether they are engaged in international or domestic commerce." Do you stand by that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you write that passage yourself? A. Yes. Q. Okay. The clause "because GT ITC more accurately captures the size of a ship's structures," what you're referring to there is its hull and perhaps any housing on top, any fixtures to it, the dimensions of the vessel itself; right? A. The GT ITC measurements of the complete volume of the ship. Q. Okay. But I'm speaking specifically to the clause the ship's the size of a ship's structures. The ship's structures are the what I just described, the hull and the housing and any additions to the deck, things of that nature; right? A. Yes. And Q. Okay. Sideshow. That's true. What is a vessel's draft? 	 wagon and I want to park it in the grocery store parking lot, I can pull it into that parking lot parking space and no problem, and it will make little, if any, difference whether it's loaded with concrete blocks; right? It will be the same level of risk, more or less. Might be a little bit of difference, but very little of parking a station wagon in a grocery store parking space, one that's empty and one that's loaded; right? Wouldn't you agree? A. I'm I'm not following you. You're saying a loaded station wagon compared to an empty station wagon are similar in risk. Q. To park it in the parking space. A. I disagree. I can't I can't draw a similar analogy to handling a ship to handling a car. Q. Okay. I wouldn't do that either. I'm not asking you to do that right now. But my question is: Isn't it true that you can park a station wagon with the same level of risk, whether or not it's empty or full? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But that's not an analogy for a vessel because

38 (Pages 239 to 242)

	Page 243		Page 245
1	Q. Vessels don't have wheels that can be very precisely	1	transport cargo.
2	controlled. They don't have brakes. You know, you	2	Am I right?
3	have a lot more to consider. And a heavier vessel,	3	A. I think it's a they use the IGT or the GT ITC
4	one that is deeper in the water, is going to be more	4	as a volumetric measurement. So they
5	riskier to moor and to guide within a port	5	volumetrically, how much tonnage can that whole ship
6	facility, things of that nature.	6	use or carry.
7	Am I right?	7	Q. And then what is the domestic measure?
8	A. Potentially, yes.	8	A. Spaces of cargo.
9	Q. Well, wouldn't you agree? I mean, generally. There	9	Q. Okay.
10	might be some exception to that.	10	A. Spaces that will carry cargo.
11	But as a general matter, wouldn't you agree with	11	Q. And so I'm sorry?
12	me?	12	A. I think it's the domestic is the spaces that carry
13	A. No. Isn't there, like, horsepower and all of that	13	cargo.
14	stuff involved; right?	14	Q. Yeah. Okay.
15	Q. As a general matter, a heavier vessel, one that is	15	So, you know, as you are aware, the domestic
16	laden with cargo and deeper into the water, is more	16	calculation of tonnage yields a smaller number for
17	difficult to maneuver and moor, and therefore more	17	these TOTE vessels than does the international one;
18	risky than one that has got a lighter draft and is	18	right?
19	not as heavy.	19	A. Yes.
20	Am I correct?	20	Q. And would you agree that because the variety of
21	A. I don't know about that. I really don't. I mean,	21	cargo, how closely packed in it can be on a Ro-Ro
22	sometimes I have an easier time with slow speeds on	22	vessel, because it is lighter than a tanker or a bulk
23	other ships than I do with a light ship in the wind.	23	cargo vessel or a container vessel that has
24	Q. So let's see.	24	containers packed in very tightly, perhaps has up to
25	Now, are you saying I'm talking about as a	25	six containers high on the deck, wouldn't you agree
	Page 244		Page 246
1	general matter. Obviously, there could be any if	1	that it makes sense that there would be a lighter
2	you're mooring a light vessel in the middle of a	2	measurement under the domestic calculation than the
3	hurricane, that's going to be harder than mooring a	3	international because there's so much less
	really heavy one in still water. I'm aware there's		international because there's so much less
4	really neavy one in still water. This water there s	4	cargo-carrying capacity?
4 5	probably some other considerations.	4 5	
			cargo-carrying capacity?
5	probably some other considerations.	5	cargo-carrying capacity? A. I think it would require or I would need to know
5 6	probably some other considerations. But as a general matter, the heavier vessel with	5 6	cargo-carrying capacity?A. I think it would require or I would need to know what was being carried.
5 6 7	probably some other considerations. But as a general matter, the heavier vessel with a deeper draft is more difficult and risky to pilot	5 6 7	 cargo-carrying capacity? A. I think it would require or I would need to know what was being carried. Q. Well, let's say
5 6 7 8	probably some other considerations. But as a general matter, the heavier vessel with a deeper draft is more difficult and risky to pilot than the lighter draft one is, all else being equal.	5 6 7 8	 cargo-carrying capacity? A. I think it would require or I would need to know what was being carried. Q. Well, let's say A compared to a bunch of empties, compared to a
5 6 7 8 9	 probably some other considerations. But as a general matter, the heavier vessel with a deeper draft is more difficult and risky to pilot than the lighter draft one is, all else being equal. Do you agree with me? A. Okay. Q. You do? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 cargo-carrying capacity? A. I think it would require or I would need to know what was being carried. Q. Well, let's say A compared to a bunch of empties, compared to a bunch of loaded. I mean, I don't know what the cargo
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	probably some other considerations. But as a general matter, the heavier vessel with a deeper draft is more difficult and risky to pilot than the lighter draft one is, all else being equal. Do you agree with me? A. Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10	 cargo-carrying capacity? A. I think it would require or I would need to know what was being carried. Q. Well, let's say A compared to a bunch of empties, compared to a bunch of loaded. I mean, I don't know what the cargo is.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 probably some other considerations. But as a general matter, the heavier vessel with a deeper draft is more difficult and risky to pilot than the lighter draft one is, all else being equal. Do you agree with me? A. Okay. Q. You do? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 cargo-carrying capacity? A. I think it would require or I would need to know what was being carried. Q. Well, let's say A compared to a bunch of empties, compared to a bunch of loaded. I mean, I don't know what the cargo is. Q. But let's assume that they are automobiles as
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 probably some other considerations. But as a general matter, the heavier vessel with a deeper draft is more difficult and risky to pilot than the lighter draft one is, all else being equal. Do you agree with me? A. Okay. Q. You do? A. Sure. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 cargo-carrying capacity? A. I think it would require or I would need to know what was being carried. Q. Well, let's say A compared to a bunch of empties, compared to a bunch of loaded. I mean, I don't know what the cargo is. Q. But let's assume that they are automobiles as compared to the average cargo of weight that is contained in an ocean shipping container. A. Okay.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 probably some other considerations. But as a general matter, the heavier vessel with a deeper draft is more difficult and risky to pilot than the lighter draft one is, all else being equal. Do you agree with me? A. Okay. Q. You do? A. Sure. Q. Okay. All right. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 cargo-carrying capacity? A. I think it would require or I would need to know what was being carried. Q. Well, let's say A compared to a bunch of empties, compared to a bunch of loaded. I mean, I don't know what the cargo is. Q. But let's assume that they are automobiles as compared to the average cargo of weight that is contained in an ocean shipping container.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 probably some other considerations. But as a general matter, the heavier vessel with a deeper draft is more difficult and risky to pilot than the lighter draft one is, all else being equal. Do you agree with me? A. Okay. Q. You do? A. Sure. Q. Okay. All right. When comparing we use different acronyms, IGT, international, GRT, domestic. When you compare these tonnage assessment, the methodologies, the different 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 cargo-carrying capacity? A. I think it would require or I would need to know what was being carried. Q. Well, let's say A compared to a bunch of empties, compared to a bunch of loaded. I mean, I don't know what the cargo is. Q. But let's assume that they are automobiles as compared to the average cargo of weight that is contained in an ocean shipping container. A. Okay. Q. Let's assume that one vessel is packed, container to container, all the way to the ceiling, like we
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 probably some other considerations. But as a general matter, the heavier vessel with a deeper draft is more difficult and risky to pilot than the lighter draft one is, all else being equal. Do you agree with me? A. Okay. Q. You do? A. Sure. Q. Okay. All right. When comparing we use different acronyms, IGT, international, GRT, domestic. When you compare these tonnage assessment, the methodologies, the different metrics that go into the weight calculations, we're 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 cargo-carrying capacity? A. I think it would require or I would need to know what was being carried. Q. Well, let's say A compared to a bunch of empties, compared to a bunch of loaded. I mean, I don't know what the cargo is. Q. But let's assume that they are automobiles as compared to the average cargo of weight that is contained in an ocean shipping container. A. Okay. Q. Let's assume that one vessel is packed, container to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 probably some other considerations. But as a general matter, the heavier vessel with a deeper draft is more difficult and risky to pilot than the lighter draft one is, all else being equal. Do you agree with me? A. Okay. Q. You do? A. Sure. Q. Okay. All right. When comparing we use different acronyms, IGT, international, GRT, domestic. When you compare these tonnage assessment, the methodologies, the different metrics that go into the weight calculations, we're measuring tonnage capacity; right? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 cargo-carrying capacity? A. I think it would require or I would need to know what was being carried. Q. Well, let's say A compared to a bunch of empties, compared to a bunch of loaded. I mean, I don't know what the cargo is. Q. But let's assume that they are automobiles as compared to the average cargo of weight that is contained in an ocean shipping container. A. Okay. Q. Let's assume that one vessel is packed, container to container, all the way to the ceiling, like we typically see, and then some on the deck. All right. And then you have the Ro-Ro vessel of the same
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 probably some other considerations. But as a general matter, the heavier vessel with a deeper draft is more difficult and risky to pilot than the lighter draft one is, all else being equal. Do you agree with me? A. Okay. Q. You do? A. Sure. Q. Okay. All right. When comparing we use different acronyms, IGT, international, GRT, domestic. When you compare these tonnage assessment, the methodologies, the different metrics that go into the weight calculations, we're measuring tonnage capacity; right? A. I think as a volume of the complete vessel. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 cargo-carrying capacity? A. I think it would require or I would need to know what was being carried. Q. Well, let's say A compared to a bunch of empties, compared to a bunch of loaded. I mean, I don't know what the cargo is. Q. But let's assume that they are automobiles as compared to the average cargo of weight that is contained in an ocean shipping container. A. Okay. Q. Let's assume that one vessel is packed, container to container, all the way to the ceiling, like we typically see, and then some on the deck. All right. And then you have the Ro-Ro vessel of the same length, same width, but it's got cars in it with
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 probably some other considerations. But as a general matter, the heavier vessel with a deeper draft is more difficult and risky to pilot than the lighter draft one is, all else being equal. Do you agree with me? A. Okay. Q. You do? A. Sure. Q. Okay. All right. When comparing we use different acronyms, IGT, international, GRT, domestic. When you compare these tonnage assessment, the methodologies, the different metrics that go into the weight calculations, we're measuring tonnage capacity; right? A. I think as a volume of the complete vessel. Q. Well, wait a minute. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 cargo-carrying capacity? A. I think it would require or I would need to know what was being carried. Q. Well, let's say A compared to a bunch of empties, compared to a bunch of loaded. I mean, I don't know what the cargo is. Q. But let's assume that they are automobiles as compared to the average cargo of weight that is contained in an ocean shipping container. A. Okay. Q. Let's assume that one vessel is packed, container to container, all the way to the ceiling, like we typically see, and then some on the deck. All right. And then you have the Ro-Ro vessel of the same length, same width, but it's got cars in it with spaces in front of, behind, on top of, below each
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 probably some other considerations. But as a general matter, the heavier vessel with a deeper draft is more difficult and risky to pilot than the lighter draft one is, all else being equal. Do you agree with me? A. Okay. Q. You do? A. Sure. Q. Okay. All right. When comparing we use different acronyms, IGT, international, GRT, domestic. When you compare these tonnage assessment, the methodologies, the different metrics that go into the weight calculations, we're measuring tonnage capacity; right? A. I think as a volume of the complete vessel. Q. Well, wait a minute. I mean, tonnage is a measure of weight; right? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 cargo-carrying capacity? A. I think it would require or I would need to know what was being carried. Q. Well, let's say A compared to a bunch of empties, compared to a bunch of loaded. I mean, I don't know what the cargo is. Q. But let's assume that they are automobiles as compared to the average cargo of weight that is contained in an ocean shipping container. A. Okay. Q. Let's assume that one vessel is packed, container to container, all the way to the ceiling, like we typically see, and then some on the deck. All right. And then you have the Ro-Ro vessel of the same length, same width, but it's got cars in it with spaces in front of, behind, on top of, below each automobile so it cannot be packed to the same extent
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 probably some other considerations. But as a general matter, the heavier vessel with a deeper draft is more difficult and risky to pilot than the lighter draft one is, all else being equal. Do you agree with me? A. Okay. Q. You do? A. Sure. Q. Okay. All right. When comparing we use different acronyms, IGT, international, GRT, domestic. When you compare these tonnage assessment, the methodologies, the different metrics that go into the weight calculations, we're measuring tonnage capacity; right? A. I think as a volume of the complete vessel. Q. Well, wait a minute. I mean, tonnage is a measure of weight; right? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 cargo-carrying capacity? A. I think it would require or I would need to know what was being carried. Q. Well, let's say A compared to a bunch of empties, compared to a bunch of loaded. I mean, I don't know what the cargo is. Q. But let's assume that they are automobiles as compared to the average cargo of weight that is contained in an ocean shipping container. A. Okay. Q. Let's assume that one vessel is packed, container to container, all the way to the ceiling, like we typically see, and then some on the deck. All right. And then you have the Ro-Ro vessel of the same length, same width, but it's got cars in it with spaces in front of, behind, on top of, below each automobile so it cannot be packed to the same extent that a container vessel is. Or certainly not to the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 probably some other considerations. But as a general matter, the heavier vessel with a deeper draft is more difficult and risky to pilot than the lighter draft one is, all else being equal. Do you agree with me? A. Okay. Q. You do? A. Sure. Q. Okay. All right. When comparing we use different acronyms, IGT, international, GRT, domestic. When you compare these tonnage assessment, the methodologies, the different metrics that go into the weight calculations, we're measuring tonnage capacity; right? A. I think as a volume of the complete vessel. Q. Well, wait a minute. I mean, tonnage is a measure of weight; right? A. Yeah. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 cargo-carrying capacity? A. I think it would require or I would need to know what was being carried. Q. Well, let's say A compared to a bunch of empties, compared to a bunch of loaded. I mean, I don't know what the cargo is. Q. But let's assume that they are automobiles as compared to the average cargo of weight that is contained in an ocean shipping container. A. Okay. Q. Let's assume that one vessel is packed, container to container, all the way to the ceiling, like we typically see, and then some on the deck. All right. And then you have the Ro-Ro vessel of the same length, same width, but it's got cars in it with spaces in front of, behind, on top of, below each automobile so it cannot be packed to the same extent that a container vessel is. Or certainly not to the extent of a tanker or a bulk vessel. All right. You
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 probably some other considerations. But as a general matter, the heavier vessel with a deeper draft is more difficult and risky to pilot than the lighter draft one is, all else being equal. Do you agree with me? A. Okay. Q. You do? A. Sure. Q. Okay. All right. When comparing we use different acronyms, IGT, international, GRT, domestic. When you compare these tonnage assessment, the methodologies, the different metrics that go into the weight calculations, we're measuring tonnage capacity; right? A. I think as a volume of the complete vessel. Q. Well, wait a minute. I mean, tonnage is a measure of weight; right? A. Yeah. Q. And tonnage and when you talk about the level of a of the amount of a vessel's tonnage, you're 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 cargo-carrying capacity? A. I think it would require or I would need to know what was being carried. Q. Well, let's say A compared to a bunch of empties, compared to a bunch of loaded. I mean, I don't know what the cargo is. Q. But let's assume that they are automobiles as compared to the average cargo of weight that is contained in an ocean shipping container. A. Okay. Q. Let's assume that one vessel is packed, container to container, all the way to the ceiling, like we typically see, and then some on the deck. All right. And then you have the Ro-Ro vessel of the same length, same width, but it's got cars in it with spaces in front of, behind, on top of, below each automobile so it cannot be packed to the same extent that a container vessel is. Or certainly not to the extent of a tanker or a bulk vessel. All right. You got those two.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 probably some other considerations. But as a general matter, the heavier vessel with a deeper draft is more difficult and risky to pilot than the lighter draft one is, all else being equal. Do you agree with me? A. Okay. Q. You do? A. Sure. Q. Okay. All right. When comparing we use different acronyms, IGT, international, GRT, domestic. When you compare these tonnage assessment, the methodologies, the different metrics that go into the weight calculations, we're measuring tonnage capacity; right? A. I think as a volume of the complete vessel. Q. Well, wait a minute. I mean, tonnage is a measure of weight; right? A. Yeah. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 cargo-carrying capacity? A. I think it would require or I would need to know what was being carried. Q. Well, let's say A compared to a bunch of empties, compared to a bunch of loaded. I mean, I don't know what the cargo is. Q. But let's assume that they are automobiles as compared to the average cargo of weight that is contained in an ocean shipping container. A. Okay. Q. Let's assume that one vessel is packed, container to container, all the way to the ceiling, like we typically see, and then some on the deck. All right. And then you have the Ro-Ro vessel of the same length, same width, but it's got cars in it with spaces in front of, behind, on top of, below each automobile so it cannot be packed to the same extent that a container vessel is. Or certainly not to the extent of a tanker or a bulk vessel. All right. You

39 (Pages 243 to 246)

	Page 247		Page 249
1	arrangement, domestic calculation, that the tonnage	1	we've described, would still be calculated in the
2	would be lower?	2	tonnage?
3	A. Well, I I would I don't like the analogy of	3	A. I thought you were just saying that the draft was
4	only cars compared to loaded containers. You guys	4	only calculated by GRT.
5	TOTE does load other things than cars that are heavy.	5	Q. No. I'm not talking about the draft here.
6	Q. Wouldn't you agree that these two TOTE vessels	6	We're talking about what domestic tonnage is
7	typically are much lighter in the volume of cargo	7	assessed to a vessel. The Ro-Ros don't get assessed
8	that they are transporting than vessels of equal	8	on these decks that have open air spaces to
9	size, that is equal length and width that PSP	9	accommodate automobile or Ro-Ro type cargos; right?
10	typically services?	10	A. I'm trying to I'm not a tonnage expert.
11	A. Lighter, yes.	11	Q. Oh, okay. All right.
12	Q. They are lighter?	12	A. I know that the GRT, the domestic tonnage is based on
13	A. Yeah.	13	a volumetric measurement of cargo-carrying space.
14	Q. They're much lighter; right?	14	Q. All right. Well, let's see.
15	A. Depending on the cargoes.	15	I'm reading from your testimony again.
16	Q. Okay. If they're lighter, they're going to have	16	"Generally speaking, GT ITC is generally based on the
17	lower draft, shallower draft; right?	17	molded volume of all enclosed spaces of the ship,
18	A. Equal vessels, possibly, yeah.	18	whereas GRT or net tonnage is based on the molded
19	Q. And if they are lighter draft, that goes into the	19	body of only cargo-carrying spaces of the ship, but
20	level of riskiness. That's one of the considerations	20	various rules allow for the exclusion of space that
21	of of how risky they are to pilot; right?	21	is actually used for cargo."
22	A. Could you repeat? Because I'm thinking of I'm	22	Do you stand by that?
23	sorry. I'm thinking of the weight of the cargo and	23	A. Yes.
24	all that stuff.	23	Q. Okay. And these Orca class vessels have a good deal
25	Q. I'm thinking of the weight of the loaded vessel.	25	of cargo or deck space that isn't filled with
23			
	Page 248		Page 250
1	A. Yes.	1	cargo because of the nature of cargo that they carry.
2	Q. A Ro-Ro vessel, such as TOTE's two vessels, loaded	2	Am I right?
3	with their automobiles, or whatever they typically	3	A. Correct. Yes.
4	carry that is lighter than the same length vessel	4	Q. There's been discussion about the open sides of the
5	that is carrying loaded containers or oil or bulk	5	hulls. I don't know if you've seen that. It didn't
6	cargo that is heavier, and therefore goes deeper into	6	necessarily come from you.
7	the water.	7	But I was going to ask you, are you aware that
8	A. Yes.	8	those because you piloted these vessels, are you
9	Q. Those are the comparisons.	9	aware that those open sides serve the purpose of
10	A. Okay.	10	ventilation on the decks that contain automobiles?
11	Q. Those Ro-Ro vessels are going to be lighter and have	11	A. No, I'm not aware of that. I don't know their
12	lowered – a smaller amount of draft; right?	12	function. I don't know their function. Sorry.
13	A. Yes.	13	Q. You don't know their function. Okay. All right.
14	Q. And that is a consideration as to how risky they are	14	On a container ship, containers could be stacked
15	to pilot; right?	15	on the top deck; right?
16	A. The draft is, yes.	16	A. The main deck, yes.
17	Q. Yes. And that is reflected in the domestic	17	Q. The main deck, Yeah.
18	measurement of a cargo space, that is what goes into	18	A. I think it's called the main deck.
19	the tonnage calculation, which doesn't include these	19	Q. The main deck. All right.
20	open air spaces.	20	You see containers stacked on the very top deck,
21	Am I right?	21	the main deck; right?
22	A. It goes into the GT ITC as well.	22	A. Yeah.
23	Q. It goes into the the cargo are you saying that	23	Q. And they can be stacked up to six containers high;
24	if a Ro-Ro vessel is measured under a the	24	right?
25	international standard, the decks that are open, as	25	A. I don't know the count.
	,		

40 (Pages 247 to 250)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 251		Page 253
1		1	
1	Q. You don't know the count?		as a PSP pilot, have to wait for the availability of
2	A. Yeah. Less. More. Up to. Q. Have you ever encountered a problem because of	2	a tug in order to complete moorage; right? A. Yes.
3 4	containers being so high on the top deck of a vessel	3	A. res. Q. And that's really the only complicating factor, isn't
4 5	that they block your view when you're trying to pilot	5	it?
5 6	the vessel?	6	A. No, not at all. You can
7	A. Personally, no.	7	Q. What's another complicating factor?
8	Q. Have you heard of that?	8	A. Well, you can dock with a bow thruster. You can
0 9	-	9	
9 10	A. I know there's IMO or I think they're IMO	10	depart with a bow thruster. You can steer the ship going backwards with a bow thruster. You can turn it
11	regulations, there has to be a line of sight it's	11	5 5
12	a regulation out in front of the vessel. So they	12	its own length with a bow thruster. Q. A tug couldn't do all that?
13	only stack so high as the closer they get to the	13	
14	wheelhouse; right? Q. Okay. All right. I'm running out of time,	14	A. A tug is an assist boat. Yeah, it would assist you.
14	Captain Klapperich, so I want to race through the	15	But if you're comparing vessel to vessel, in some
16	little bit of my outline that I've got here.	16	instances, an Orca class vessel might be handier.
	Earlier you agreed that multiple aspects of a	17	But in other instances, a single-screw vessel with a
17 18	vessel might impact how risky it is to pilot. One of	18	bow thruster will be handier. Q. I will okay. I'm going to back off because I'm
19	those might be the length and width and height and	19	
20	another one might be the draft.	20	running out of time. But I want to conclude here, you know, with the
20	You know, I assume you read Captain Loftfield's	20	concept of the riskiness of the pilotage of a vessel,
21	testimony; right?	22	which I'll represent to you that is one of the things
22		23	the Commission was interested in hearing about and
23	A. I have, yes. Q. And he lists any number of vessel features of these	23	you have given testimony about.
24	Ro-Ro vessels that he believes renders them more	24	Would you agree that more maneuverable, more
23		25	would you agree that more maneuverable, more
		<u> </u>	
	Page 252		Page 254
1	Page 252 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less	1	Page 254 agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to
1 2	_	1 2	
	maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less		agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to
2	maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have	2	agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications,
2 3	maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more	2 3	agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics?
2 3 4	maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right?	2 3 4	agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics? A. I think it's a vague question. I really do.
2 3 4 5	 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5	agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics?A. I think it's a vague question. I really do.Q. Is it a vague question?
2 3 4 5 6	 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right? A. Yes. Q. The one you pointed to specifically was the absence 	2 3 4 5 6	 agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics? A. I think it's a vague question. I really do. Q. Is it a vague question? Do you think there is any significance in how
2 3 4 5 6 7	 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right? A. Yes. Q. The one you pointed to specifically was the absence of bow thrusters on the Ro-Ro vessels. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics? A. I think it's a vague question. I really do. Q. Is it a vague question? Do you think there is any significance in how risky it is to pilot a vessel as to the level of its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right? A. Yes. Q. The one you pointed to specifically was the absence of bow thrusters on the Ro-Ro vessels. Do you recall that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics? A. I think it's a vague question. I really do. Q. Is it a vague question? Do you think there is any significance in how risky it is to pilot a vessel as to the level of its maneuverability and handling?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right? A. Yes. Q. The one you pointed to specifically was the absence of bow thrusters on the Ro-Ro vessels. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics? A. I think it's a vague question. I really do. Q. Is it a vague question? Do you think there is any significance in how risky it is to pilot a vessel as to the level of its maneuverability and handling? A. Could you repeat it again, please? The question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right? A. Yes. Q. The one you pointed to specifically was the absence of bow thrusters on the Ro-Ro vessels. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. Q. A bow thruster is used in the very end of the process 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics? A. I think it's a vague question. I really do. Q. Is it a vague question? Do you think there is any significance in how risky it is to pilot a vessel as to the level of its maneuverability and handling? A. Could you repeat it again, please? The question. Q. If you're trying to assess the level of risk or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right? A. Yes. Q. The one you pointed to specifically was the absence of bow thrusters on the Ro-Ro vessels. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. Q. A bow thruster is used in the very end of the process of mooring a vessel, right, when it's going very 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics? A. I think it's a vague question. I really do. Q. Is it a vague question? Do you think there is any significance in how risky it is to pilot a vessel as to the level of its maneuverability and handling? A. Could you repeat it again, please? The question. Q. If you're trying to assess the level of risk or difficulty in piloting a vessel, wouldn't a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right? A. Yes. Q. The one you pointed to specifically was the absence of bow thrusters on the Ro-Ro vessels. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. Q. A bow thruster is used in the very end of the process of mooring a vessel, right, when it's going very slow, to complete the the dock; right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics? A. I think it's a vague question. I really do. Q. Is it a vague question? Do you think there is any significance in how risky it is to pilot a vessel as to the level of its maneuverability and handling? A. Could you repeat it again, please? The question. Q. If you're trying to assess the level of risk or difficulty in piloting a vessel, wouldn't a significant consideration be how maneuverable it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right? A. Yes. Q. The one you pointed to specifically was the absence of bow thrusters on the Ro-Ro vessels. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. Q. A bow thruster is used in the very end of the process of mooring a vessel, right, when it's going very slow, to complete the the dock; right? A. It's used in docking and it's also used in emergency 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics? A. I think it's a vague question. I really do. Q. Is it a vague question? Do you think there is any significance in how risky it is to pilot a vessel as to the level of its maneuverability and handling? A. Could you repeat it again, please? The question. Q. If you're trying to assess the level of risk or difficulty in piloting a vessel, wouldn't a significant consideration be how maneuverable it is and how easily it handles?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right? A. Yes. Q. The one you pointed to specifically was the absence of bow thrusters on the Ro-Ro vessels. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. Q. A bow thruster is used in the very end of the process of mooring a vessel, right, when it's going very slow, to complete the the dock; right? A. It's used in docking and it's also used in emergency situations and it's also used in anchoring. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics? A. I think it's a vague question. I really do. Q. Is it a vague question? Do you think there is any significance in how risky it is to pilot a vessel as to the level of its maneuverability and handling? A. Could you repeat it again, please? The question. Q. If you're trying to assess the level of risk or difficulty in piloting a vessel, wouldn't a significant consideration be how maneuverable it is and how easily it handles? A. Sure. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right? A. Yes. Q. The one you pointed to specifically was the absence of bow thrusters on the Ro-Ro vessels. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. Q. A bow thruster is used in the very end of the process of mooring a vessel, right, when it's going very slow, to complete the the dock; right? A. It's used in docking and it's also used in emergency situations and it's also used in anchoring. Q. And the Ro-Ro vessels don't have them; right? A. TOTE's do not. Q. TOTE's do not. TOTE's vessels don't have them. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics? A. I think it's a vague question. I really do. Q. Is it a vague question? Do you think there is any significance in how risky it is to pilot a vessel as to the level of its maneuverability and handling? A. Could you repeat it again, please? The question. Q. If you're trying to assess the level of risk or difficulty in piloting a vessel, wouldn't a significant consideration be how maneuverable it is and how easily it handles? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Well, I'm just reading your testimony, Captain Klapperich. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right? A. Yes. Q. The one you pointed to specifically was the absence of bow thrusters on the Ro-Ro vessels. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. Q. A bow thruster is used in the very end of the process of mooring a vessel, right, when it's going very slow, to complete the the dock; right? A. It's used in docking and it's also used in emergency situations and it's also used in anchoring. Q. And the Ro-Ro vessels don't have them; right? A. TOTE's do not. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics? A. I think it's a vague question. I really do. Q. Is it a vague question? Do you think there is any significance in how risky it is to pilot a vessel as to the level of its maneuverability and handling? A. Could you repeat it again, please? The question. Q. If you're trying to assess the level of risk or difficulty in piloting a vessel, wouldn't a significant consideration be how maneuverable it is and how easily it handles? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Well, I'm just reading your testimony, Captain Klapperich. A. Yes. Q. And you said you believe it would be totally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right? A. Yes. Q. The one you pointed to specifically was the absence of bow thrusters on the Ro-Ro vessels. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. Q. A bow thruster is used in the very end of the process of mooring a vessel, right, when it's going very slow, to complete the the dock; right? A. It's used in docking and it's also used in emergency situations and it's also used in anchoring. Q. And the Ro-Ro vessels don't have them; right? A. TOTE's do not. Q. TOTE's do not. TOTE's vessels don't have them. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics? A. I think it's a vague question. I really do. Q. Is it a vague question? Do you think there is any significance in how risky it is to pilot a vessel as to the level of its maneuverability and handling? A. Could you repeat it again, please? The question. Q. If you're trying to assess the level of risk or difficulty in piloting a vessel, wouldn't a significant consideration be how maneuverable it is and how easily it handles? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Well, I'm just reading your testimony, Captain Klapperich. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right? A. Yes. Q. The one you pointed to specifically was the absence of bow thrusters on the Ro-Ro vessels. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. Q. A bow thruster is used in the very end of the process of mooring a vessel, right, when it's going very slow, to complete the the dock; right? A. It's used in docking and it's also used in emergency situations and it's also used in anchoring. Q. And the Ro-Ro vessels don't have them; right? A. TOTE's do not. Q. TOTE's do not. TOTE's vessels don't have them. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics? A. I think it's a vague question. I really do. Q. Is it a vague question? Do you think there is any significance in how risky it is to pilot a vessel as to the level of its maneuverability and handling? A. Could you repeat it again, please? The question. Q. If you're trying to assess the level of risk or difficulty in piloting a vessel, wouldn't a significant consideration be how maneuverable it is and how easily it handles? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Well, I'm just reading your testimony, Captain Klapperich. A. Yes. Q. And you said you believe it would be totally inappropriate to consider the specific ship handling or maneuverability characteristics of TOTE's Orca
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right? A. Yes. Q. The one you pointed to specifically was the absence of bow thrusters on the Ro-Ro vessels. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. Q. A bow thruster is used in the very end of the process of mooring a vessel, right, when it's going very slow, to complete the the dock; right? A. It's used in docking and it's also used in emergency situations and it's also used in anchoring. Q. And the Ro-Ro vessels don't have them; right? A. TOTE's do not. TOTE's vessels don't have them. Okay. A. Yeah. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics? A. I think it's a vague question. I really do. Q. Is it a vague question? Do you think there is any significance in how risky it is to pilot a vessel as to the level of its maneuverability and handling? A. Could you repeat it again, please? The question. Q. If you're trying to assess the level of risk or difficulty in piloting a vessel, wouldn't a significant consideration be how maneuverable it is and how easily it handles? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Well, I'm just reading your testimony, Captain Klapperich. A. Yes. Q. And you said you believe it would be totally inappropriate to consider the specific ship handling or maneuverability characteristics of TOTE's Orca class vessels in determining pilotage rates.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right? A. Yes. Q. The one you pointed to specifically was the absence of bow thrusters on the Ro-Ro vessels. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. Q. A bow thruster is used in the very end of the process of mooring a vessel, right, when it's going very slow, to complete the the dock; right? A. It's used in docking and it's also used in emergency situations and it's also used in anchoring. Q. And the Ro-Ro vessels don't have them; right? A. TOTE's do not. TOTE's vessels don't have them. Okay. A. Yeah. Q. So what does that mean? I mean, doesn't that mean 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics? A. I think it's a vague question. I really do. Q. Is it a vague question? Do you think there is any significance in how risky it is to pilot a vessel as to the level of its maneuverability and handling? A. Could you repeat it again, please? The question. Q. If you're trying to assess the level of risk or difficulty in piloting a vessel, wouldn't a significant consideration be how maneuverable it is and how easily it handles? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Well, I'm just reading your testimony, Captain Klapperich. A. Yes. Q. And you said you believe it would be totally inappropriate to consider the specific ship handling or maneuverability characteristics of TOTE's Orca
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right? A. Yes. Q. The one you pointed to specifically was the absence of bow thrusters on the Ro-Ro vessels. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. Q. A bow thruster is used in the very end of the process of mooring a vessel, right, when it's going very slow, to complete the the dock; right? A. It's used in docking and it's also used in emergency situations and it's also used in anchoring. Q. And the Ro-Ro vessels don't have them; right? A. TOTE's do not. Q. TOTE's do not. TOTE's vessels don't have them. Okay. A. Yeah. Q. So what does that mean? I mean, doesn't that mean the only consequence of that is that if a if the precision of a bow thruster is not available, we have to use a tug; right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics? A. I think it's a vague question. I really do. Q. Is it a vague question? Do you think there is any significance in how risky it is to pilot a vessel as to the level of its maneuverability and handling? A. Could you repeat it again, please? The question. Q. If you're trying to assess the level of risk or difficulty in piloting a vessel, wouldn't a significant consideration be how maneuverable it is and how easily it handles? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Well, I'm just reading your testimony, Captain Klapperich. A. Yes. Q. And you said you believe it would be totally inappropriate to consider the specific ship handling or maneuverability characteristics of TOTE's Orca class vessels in determining pilotage rates. Do you not stand by that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right? A. Yes. Q. The one you pointed to specifically was the absence of bow thrusters on the Ro-Ro vessels. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. Q. A bow thruster is used in the very end of the process of mooring a vessel, right, when it's going very slow, to complete the the dock; right? A. It's used in docking and it's also used in emergency situations and it's also used in anchoring. Q. And the Ro-Ro vessels don't have them; right? A. TOTE's do not. Q. TOTE's do not. TOTE's vessels don't have them. Okay. A. Yeah. Q. So what does that mean? I mean, doesn't that mean the only consequence of that is that if a if the precision of a bow thruster is not available, we have to use a tug; right? A. TOTE does, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics? A. I think it's a vague question. I really do. Q. Is it a vague question? Do you think there is any significance in how risky it is to pilot a vessel as to the level of its maneuverability and handling? A. Could you repeat it again, please? The question. Q. If you're trying to assess the level of risk or difficulty in piloting a vessel, wouldn't a significant consideration be how maneuverable it is and how easily it handles? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Well, I'm just reading your testimony, Captain Klapperich. A. Yes. Q. And you said you believe it would be totally inappropriate to consider the specific ship handling or maneuverability characteristics of TOTE's Orca class vessels in determining pilotage rates. Do you not stand by that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 maneuverable, more agile, easier to pilot, less risky. And your response was, gee, all vessels have different characteristics that could render them more or less risky; right? A. Yes. Q. The one you pointed to specifically was the absence of bow thrusters on the Ro-Ro vessels. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. Q. A bow thruster is used in the very end of the process of mooring a vessel, right, when it's going very slow, to complete the the dock; right? A. It's used in docking and it's also used in emergency situations and it's also used in anchoring. Q. And the Ro-Ro vessels don't have them; right? A. TOTE's do not. Q. TOTE's do not. TOTE's vessels don't have them. Okay. A. Yeah. Q. So what does that mean? I mean, doesn't that mean the only consequence of that is that if a if the precision of a bow thruster is not available, we have to use a tug; right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 agile, more easily handled vessels are less risky to pilot than ones that don't have those qualifications, those characteristics? A. I think it's a vague question. I really do. Q. Is it a vague question? Do you think there is any significance in how risky it is to pilot a vessel as to the level of its maneuverability and handling? A. Could you repeat it again, please? The question. Q. If you're trying to assess the level of risk or difficulty in piloting a vessel, wouldn't a significant consideration be how maneuverable it is and how easily it handles? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Well, I'm just reading your testimony, Captain Klapperich. A. Yes. Q. And you said you believe it would be totally inappropriate to consider the specific ship handling or maneuverability characteristics of TOTE's Orca class vessels in determining pilotage rates. Do you not stand by that?

41 (Pages 251 to 254)

	Page 255		Page 257
1	Q. That's on your rebuttal testimony I can pull it up	1	A. Yes.
2	here.	2	Q. Okay. Did you research the history of that?
3	A. Oh. I have it also.	3	A. I researched it's been going on before I was a
4	Q. Rebuttal testimony, page 4, line 10.	4	pilot.
5	A. Okay.	5	Q. But did you research with people perhaps that had
6	Q. It reads, "It would be totally inappropriate to	6	been there longer or any historical records, things
7	consider the specific ship handling or	7	like that, as to why PSP, beginning 20 odd years
8	maneuverability characteristics of TOTE's Orca class	8	about 20 years ago, charged pilotage fees based on
9	vessels in determining pilotage rates."	9	domestic tonnage of these vessels?
10	A. Yes. I stand behind what I said. Yes.	10	A. I called a few retired pilots.
11	Q. Well, aren't you saying that it would be	11	Q. What did they say?
12	inappropriate to consider how risky it is to pilot a	12	A. They didn't have an answer.
13	vessel in determining its pilotage rates?	13	Q. Do you know why it was continued over the course of
14	A. What I'm saying is it would be inappropriate to	14	20 years?
15	consider rates just based on maneuverability,	15	A. I don't know why.
16	handling characteristics of a ship. We take into	16	Q. No one had any idea about that either?
17	consideration the overall size of the ship.	17	MR. HAGLUND: Objection. Asked and
18	Q. Well, you didn't say it would be totally	18	answered.
19	inappropriate to consider only the specific ship	19	MR. BLOCK: That's a different question.
20	handling. Someone might agree with that.	20	BY MR. BLOCK:
21	But you're saying it would be inappropriate to	21	Q. No one had any idea about why it was continued, not
22	consider the specific ship handling or	22	just why it was instigated?
23	maneuverability characteristics of these vessels A. Yes.	23 24	 I honestly do not know why it was started or has continued.
24 25	A. res. Q in considering pilotage rates.	25	Q. And no one you asked about it had any idea either; am
20	a. – in considening photage rates.	25	a. And no one you asked about it had any idea ether, and
	Page 256		Page 258
1	A. Yeah. We don't we're not creating we're not	1	I right?
2	creating a tariff based on we haven't created a	2	A. Correct.
3	tariff based on ship handling characteristics. If	3	Q. Okay. Well, if you don't know the whys and
4	a if a twin-screw vessel loses their engine, what	4	wherefores of why it was instigated and continued
5	do we do with the maneuvering characteristics of it?	5	over the course of 20 years, how can you say it was
6	Q. I'm not quite sure I understand this.	6	unjustified?
7	Are you saying that it is inappropriate to	7	A. Because I my opinion and my testimony is that TOTE
8	consider how risky it is to pilot a vessel in	8	paid a GRT rate and everybody else paid a GT ITC
9	determining pilotage rates?	9	rate. And we're piloting the whole ship, that's what
10	MR. HAGLUND: I'm going to object, Your	10	we base our tariff off of is the GT ITC.
11	Honor. I think this is cumulative. It's been asked	11	MR. BLOCK: Nothing further, Your Honor.
12	and answered. And he's past his time.	12	Thank you, Captain Klapperich.
13	JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Block, I would encourage	13	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Any redirect?
14	you to to finish your cross. I believe this was	14	MR. HAGLUND: Yes.
15	asked and answered.	15	
16	MR. BLOCK: Okay. All right. Okay. I just	16	BY MR. HAGLUND:
17	have one last question to get into.	17	Q. If we could call up Exhibit IC-15,
18	BY MR. BLOCK:	18	Captain Klapperich. I want to show you some quick
19 20	Q. Captain Klapperich, one of the things you write is	19	statistics that are in the record regarding the
20 21	"The disparate treatment of TOTE's maritime" "TOTE Maritime's vessels that provailed until our	20 21	nature – the diversity of your vessels traffic in Burget Sound I fixed could blow up the middle
21	Maritime's vessels that prevailed until our association's most recent rate case is the product of	21	Puget Sound. If you could blow up the middle category of data. The third one down. If you
22	historical practice that was not justified and	22	could the second one down, which shows total
24	created a substantial undeserved windfall to TOTE."	24	assignments by vessel class.
		25	Can you see that, Captain Klapperich?
25		L 2.3	Gall YOU SEE LIAL GADIAILI KIADDELLUY
25	Do you stand by that?	2.5	Can you see that, Captain Mappenen?

42 (Pages 255 to 258)

	Page 259	Page 261
1	A. Yes.	1 of the United States was a Ro-Ro ship off of
2	Q. And this if you look at the 2022 year, this is	2 Brunswick, Georgia that capsized in 2019?
3	going to display by vessel type the total number of	3 A. No.
4	assignments last year. And you've got about eight	4 Q. We have another witness who will be able to testify
5	different categories.	5 about that Ro-Ro.
6	Can you see it there on the screen?	6 Do TOTE's ships draw water, have a large sail
7	A. Of vessels?	7 area, and carry containers, in your experience?
8	Q. Yes.	8 A. Yes.
9	A. Across the I think I'm on the right page.	9 Q. You've seen containers carried by TOTE's ships?
10	Q. So on the first column there for bulkers, 2022, there	10 A. I'm not sure "container" is the right word.
11	are 1267 assignments.	11 Q. But have you seen what ordinary people would call
12	A. I'm not sure I'm seeing the whole sheet.	12 containers that ride on trucks once they're delivered
13	Q. Is he he boxed that	13 on
14	A. I see bulkers oh, yeah, there we go. I got it.	14 A. A container could be loaded on a TOTE ship onto a
15	Yeah.	15 chassis towed by a truck, yes.
16	Q. So now you're oriented?	16 Q. And you see all sorts of equipment other than cars on
17	A. Yes.	17Ro-Ros that TOTE runs
18	Q. And if we go two to the right for the containers, you	18 A. Yes.
19	got car carriers almost 500, then containers 2120.	19 Q. in the times that you've been aboard those ships?
20	That's the single biggest well, actually that's	20 A. Yes. A lot of different
21	second to tankers on the far right	21 JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to jump in and
22	A. Yeah.	22 remind that more open-ended questions are appropriate
23	Q. So the suggestion that containers are your largest	23 for redirect and more persuasive for the finders of
24	single category of assignment was not the case in	24 fact.
25	2022?	25 MR. HAGLUND: Thank you, Your Honor.
	Page 260	Page 262
1	Page 260 A. Correct.	Page 262 1 BY MR. HAGLUND:
1 2		
	A. Correct.	1 BY MR. HAGLUND:
2	A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a
2 3	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as
2 3 4	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. A. Yes. Q. That's basically the TOTE assignments, is it not? A. Yes. 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as powerful as a tugboat. They're most effective going
2 3 4 5	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. A. Yes. Q. That's basically the TOTE assignments, is it not? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this would it be fair to say that this 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as powerful as a tugboat. They're most effective going alongside or coming away from the berm with parallel
2 3 4 5 6	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. A. Yes. Q. That's basically the TOTE assignments, is it not? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this would it be fair to say that this displays quite a level of diversity of assignments 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as powerful as a tugboat. They're most effective going alongside or coming away from the berm with parallel movement. And they're super effective when you are
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. A. Yes. Q. That's basically the TOTE assignments, is it not? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this would it be fair to say that this displays quite a level of diversity of assignments A. Yes. And vessels calling, yes. 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as powerful as a tugboat. They're most effective going alongside or coming away from the berm with parallel movement. And they're super effective when you are backing a ship astern, moving it. They steer the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. A. Yes. Q. That's basically the TOTE assignments, is it not? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this would it be fair to say that this displays quite a level of diversity of assignments A. Yes. And vessels calling, yes. Q. Okay. In terms of vessel types, diversity of vessel 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as powerful as a tugboat. They're most effective going alongside or coming away from the berm with parallel movement. And they're super effective when you are backing a ship astern, moving it. They steer the ship. They're there for steering a ship going
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. A. Yes. Q. That's basically the TOTE assignments, is it not? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this would it be fair to say that this displays quite a level of diversity of assignments A. Yes. And vessels calling, yes. Q. Okay. In terms of vessel types, diversity of vessel types is a major feature. 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as powerful as a tugboat. They're most effective going alongside or coming away from the berm with parallel movement. And they're super effective when you are backing a ship astern, moving it. They steer the ship. They're there for steering a ship going astern.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. A. Yes. Q. That's basically the TOTE assignments, is it not? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this would it be fair to say that this displays quite a level of diversity of assignments A. Yes. And vessels calling, yes. Q. Okay. In terms of vessel types, diversity of vessel types is a major feature. So I want to ask you some questions about the 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as powerful as a tugboat. They're most effective going alongside or coming away from the berm with parallel movement. And they're super effective when you are backing a ship astern, moving it. They steer the ship. They're there for steering a ship going astern. Q. In connection with the TOTE vessels that are running
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. A. Yes. Q. That's basically the TOTE assignments, is it not? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this would it be fair to say that this displays quite a level of diversity of assignments A. Yes. And vessels calling, yes. Q. Okay. In terms of vessel types, diversity of vessel types is a major feature. So I want to ask you some questions about the TOTE ships in terms of their there was an emphasis 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as powerful as a tugboat. They're most effective going alongside or coming away from the berm with parallel movement. And they're super effective when you are backing a ship astern, moving it. They steer the ship. They're there for steering a ship going astern. Q. In connection with the TOTE vessels that are running twice a week into and out of Puget Sound in an Alaska
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. A. Yes. Q. That's basically the TOTE assignments, is it not? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this would it be fair to say that this displays quite a level of diversity of assignments A. Yes. And vessels calling, yes. Q. Okay. In terms of vessel types, diversity of vessel types is a major feature. So I want to ask you some questions about the TOTE ships in terms of their there was an emphasis near the end of your questioning on lighter cargos. 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as powerful as a tugboat. They're most effective going alongside or coming away from the berm with parallel movement. And they're super effective when you are backing a ship astern, moving it. They steer the ship. They're there for steering a ship going astern. Q. In connection with the TOTE vessels that are running twice a week into and out of Puget Sound in an Alaska trade lane, is there any necessity to for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. A. Yes. Q. That's basically the TOTE assignments, is it not? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this would it be fair to say that this displays quite a level of diversity of assignments A. Yes. And vessels calling, yes. Q. Okay. In terms of vessel types, diversity of vessel types is a major feature. So I want to ask you some questions about the TOTE ships in terms of their there was an emphasis near the end of your questioning on lighter cargos. Do the TOTE ships have a large sail area? 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as powerful as a tugboat. They're most effective going alongside or coming away from the berm with parallel movement. And they're super effective when you are backing a ship astern, moving it. They steer the ship. They're there for steering a ship going astern. Q. In connection with the TOTE vessels that are running twice a week into and out of Puget Sound in an Alaska trade lane, is there any necessity to for the vessels to move stern first?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. A. Yes. Q. That's basically the TOTE assignments, is it not? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this would it be fair to say that this displays quite a level of diversity of assignments A. Yes. And vessels calling, yes. Q. Okay. In terms of vessel types, diversity of vessel types is a major feature. So I want to ask you some questions about the TOTE ships in terms of their there was an emphasis near the end of your questioning on lighter cargos. Do the TOTE ships have a large sail area? A. I in my opinion, yes. 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as powerful as a tugboat. They're most effective going alongside or coming away from the berm with parallel movement. And they're super effective when you are backing a ship astern, moving it. They steer the ship. They're there for steering a ship going astern. Q. In connection with the TOTE vessels that are running twice a week into and out of Puget Sound in an Alaska trade lane, is there any necessity to for the vessels to move stern first? A. Every transit southbound to Tacoma requires the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. A. Yes. Q. That's basically the TOTE assignments, is it not? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this would it be fair to say that this displays quite a level of diversity of assignments A. Yes. And vessels calling, yes. Q. Okay. In terms of vessel types, diversity of vessel types is a major feature. So I want to ask you some questions about the TOTE ships in terms of their there was an emphasis near the end of your questioning on lighter cargos. Do the TOTE ships have a large sail area? A. I in my opinion, yes. Q. Meaning the side shell is 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as powerful as a tugboat. They're most effective going alongside or coming away from the berm with parallel movement. And they're super effective when you are backing a ship astern, moving it. They steer the ship. They're there for steering a ship going astern. Q. In connection with the TOTE vessels that are running twice a week into and out of Puget Sound in an Alaska trade lane, is there any necessity to for the vessels to move stern first? A. Every transit southbound to Tacoma requires the vessel to transit for approximately one mile astern.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. A. Yes. Q. That's basically the TOTE assignments, is it not? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this would it be fair to say that this displays quite a level of diversity of assignments A. Yes. And vessels calling, yes. Q. Okay. In terms of vessel types, diversity of vessel types is a major feature. So I want to ask you some questions about the TOTE ships in terms of their there was an emphasis near the end of your questioning on lighter cargos. Do the TOTE ships have a large sail area? A. I in my opinion, yes. Q. Meaning the side shell is A. Rises up above the deck line, yes. 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as powerful as a tugboat. They're most effective going alongside or coming away from the berm with parallel movement. And they're super effective when you are backing a ship astern, moving it. They steer the ship. They're there for steering a ship going astern. Q. In connection with the TOTE vessels that are running twice a week into and out of Puget Sound in an Alaska trade lane, is there any necessity to for the vessels to move stern first? A. Every transit southbound to Tacoma requires the vessel to transit for approximately one mile astern. Q. How would you characterize the challenge of piloting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. A. Yes. Q. That's basically the TOTE assignments, is it not? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this would it be fair to say that this displays quite a level of diversity of assignments A. Yes. And vessels calling, yes. Q. Okay. In terms of vessel types, diversity of vessel types is a major feature. So I want to ask you some questions about the TOTE ships in terms of their there was an emphasis near the end of your questioning on lighter cargos. Do the TOTE ships have a large sail area? A. I in my opinion, yes. Q. Meaning the side shell is A. Rises up above the deck line, yes. Q. And if they're drawing less water, lighter in cargo 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as powerful as a tugboat. They're most effective going alongside or coming away from the berm with parallel movement. And they're super effective when you are backing a ship astern, moving it. They steer the ship. They're there for steering a ship going astern. Q. In connection with the TOTE vessels that are running twice a week into and out of Puget Sound in an Alaska trade lane, is there any necessity to for the vessels to move stern first? A. Every transit southbound to Tacoma requires the vessel to transit for approximately one mile astern. Q. How would you characterize the challenge of piloting a TOTE vessel forward versus astern?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. A. Yes. Q. That's basically the TOTE assignments, is it not? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this would it be fair to say that this displays quite a level of diversity of assignments A. Yes. And vessels calling, yes. Q. Okay. In terms of vessel types, diversity of vessel types is a major feature. So I want to ask you some questions about the TOTE ships in terms of their there was an emphasis near the end of your questioning on lighter cargos. Do the TOTE ships have a large sail area? A. I in my opinion, yes. Q. And if they're drawing less water, lighter in cargo weight, they're going to be more exposed to wind 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as powerful as a tugboat. They're most effective going alongside or coming away from the berm with parallel movement. And they're super effective when you are backing a ship astern, moving it. They steer the ship. They're there for steering a ship going astern. Q. In connection with the TOTE vessels that are running twice a week into and out of Puget Sound in an Alaska trade lane, is there any necessity to for the vessels to move stern first? A. Every transit southbound to Tacoma requires the vessel to transit for approximately one mile astern. Q. How would you characterize the challenge of piloting a TOTE vessel forward versus astern? A. Well, forward is maneuverable because it points
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. A. Yes. Q. That's basically the TOTE assignments, is it not? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this would it be fair to say that this displays quite a level of diversity of assignments A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this would it be fair to say that this displays quite a level of diversity of assignments A. Yes. And vessels calling, yes. Q. Okay. In terms of vessel types, diversity of vessel types is a major feature. So I want to ask you some questions about the TOTE ships in terms of their there was an emphasis near the end of your questioning on lighter cargos. Do the TOTE ships have a large sail area? A. I in my opinion, yes. Q. Meaning the side shell is A. Rises up above the deck line, yes. Q. And if they're drawing less water, lighter in cargo weight, they're going to be more exposed to wind A. Yes. 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as powerful as a tugboat. They're most effective going alongside or coming away from the berm with parallel movement. And they're super effective when you are backing a ship astern, moving it. They steer the ship. They're there for steering a ship going astern. Q. In connection with the TOTE vessels that are running twice a week into and out of Puget Sound in an Alaska trade lane, is there any necessity to for the vessels to move stern first? A. Every transit southbound to Tacoma requires the vessel to transit for approximately one mile astern. Q. How would you characterize the challenge of piloting a TOTE vessel forward versus astern? A. Well, forward is maneuverable because it points forward and you can take advantage of their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. A. Yes. Q. That's basically the TOTE assignments, is it not? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this would it be fair to say that this displays quite a level of diversity of assignments A. Yes. And vessels calling, yes. Q. Okay. In terms of vessel types, diversity of vessel types is a major feature. So I want to ask you some questions about the TOTE ships in terms of their there was an emphasis near the end of your questioning on lighter cargos. Do the TOTE ships have a large sail area? A. I in my opinion, yes. Q. And if they're drawing less water, lighter in cargo weight, they're going to be more exposed to wind A. Yes. Q. and wessels that are deeper draft? 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as powerful as a tugboat. They're most effective going alongside or coming away from the berm with parallel movement. And they're super effective when you are backing a ship astern, moving it. They steer the ship. They're there for steering a ship going astern. Q. In connection with the TOTE vessels that are running twice a week into and out of Puget Sound in an Alaska trade lane, is there any necessity to for the vessels to move stern first? A. Every transit southbound to Tacoma requires the vessel to transit for approximately one mile astern. Q. How would you characterize the challenge of piloting a TOTE vessel forward versus astern? A. Well, forward is maneuverable because it points forward and you can take advantage of their propulsion system.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. A. Yes. Q. That's basically the TOTE assignments, is it not? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this would it be fair to say that this displays quite a level of diversity of assignments A. Yes. And vessels calling, yes. Q. Okay. In terms of vessel types, diversity of vessel types is a major feature. So I want to ask you some questions about the TOTE ships in terms of their there was an emphasis near the end of your questioning on lighter cargos. Do the TOTE ships have a large sail area? A. I in my opinion, yes. Q. Meaning the side shell is A. Rises up above the deck line, yes. Q. And if they're going to be more exposed to wind A. Yes. Q than vessels that are deeper draft? A. Yes. 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as powerful as a tugboat. They're most effective going alongside or coming away from the berm with parallel movement. And they're super effective when you are backing a ship astern, moving it. They steer the ship. They're there for steering a ship going astern. Q. In connection with the TOTE vessels that are running twice a week into and out of Puget Sound in an Alaska trade lane, is there any necessity to for the vessels to move stern first? A. Every transit southbound to Tacoma requires the vessel to transit for approximately one mile astern. Q. How would you characterize the challenge of piloting a TOTE vessel forward versus astern? A. Well, forward is maneuverable because it points forward and you can take advantage of their propulsion system. However going astern, TOTE's vessels are not very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Correct. Q. First was tankers. Second was containers. We have a category for Ro-Ro, that's the second from the right. A. Yes. Q. That's basically the TOTE assignments, is it not? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this would it be fair to say that this displays quite a level of diversity of assignments A. Yes. And vessels calling, yes. Q. Okay. In terms of vessel types, diversity of vessel types is a major feature. So I want to ask you some questions about the TOTE ships in terms of their there was an emphasis near the end of your questioning on lighter cargos. Do the TOTE ships have a large sail area? A. I in my opinion, yes. Q. And if they're drawing less water, lighter in cargo weight, they're going to be more exposed to wind A. Yes. Q. and wessels that are deeper draft? 	 BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Under what circumstances, Captain Klapperich, does a bow thruster assist you in managing a challenging assignment? A. Bow thrusters are an additional tool. They can be as powerful as a tugboat. They're most effective going alongside or coming away from the berm with parallel movement. And they're super effective when you are backing a ship astern, moving it. They steer the ship. They're there for steering a ship going astern. Q. In connection with the TOTE vessels that are running twice a week into and out of Puget Sound in an Alaska trade lane, is there any necessity to for the vessels to move stern first? A. Every transit southbound to Tacoma requires the vessel to transit for approximately one mile astern. Q. How would you characterize the challenge of piloting a TOTE vessel forward versus astern? A. Well, forward is maneuverable because it points forward and you can take advantage of their propulsion system.

43 (Pages 259 to 262)

	Page 263		Page 265
1	direction.	1	if I'm accurate, Mr. Commissioner, that everybody is
2	Q. What would be your comment on the nature of the risks	2	billed on GT ITC. We feel that's a fair and accurate
3	in a TOTE pilotage assignment in the event of a loss	3	measurement of the ship's overall safety and risk, if
4	of propulsion?	4	you will. And TOTE is the only customer that is
5	A. One engine? One propeller or two?	5	billed GRT or domestic tonnage.
6	Q. Well, why don't you cover both.	6	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. Can you tell me
7	First the loss of a single screw and, second,	7	the others, just to your knowledge, others who are
8	both propellers.	8	operating in coast wide trades that are billed GT ICT
9	A. Well, depending on the situation, one propeller, TOTE	9	[sic]?
10	still has the ability to maneuver. But like a	10	THE WITNESS: What companies they are?
11	single-screw vessel, at that point, with no bow	11	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Yes.
12	thruster. In the event of losing propulsion on both	12	THE WITNESS: Horizon. Sorry. They've been
13	engines, now the TOTE vessel is just a 65,000-gross	13	purchased by Madsen. It's Madsen, Polar Tanker
14	ton vessel without propulsion.	14	Company, Alaska Tanker Company.
15	Q. Subject to wind and	15	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Are they are the
16	A. Oh, subject to all the elements, and requiring a tow,	16	Polar and Alaska, it sounds like, by virtue of their
17	just like any vessel without power.	17	names, are tankers; is that right?
18	MR. HAGLUND: No further questions.	18	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
19	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.	19	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And the first one,
20	Do we have any questions from the bench for	20	Madsen, what kind of a ship is that?
21	this witness?	21	THE WITNESS: Madsen are container service.
22	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Yes, Your Honor. I	22	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Containers. Okay.
23	have a few questions, please.	23	Last question.
24	JUDGE HOWARD: Please proceed.	24	Does PSP provide piloting services for ships
25	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Thanks.	25	that have not been listed in Lloyd's registry of
	Page 264		Page 266
1	Page 264 Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where	1	Page 266 ships? Do you know?
1 2		1 2	
	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where		ships? Do you know?
2	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided	2	ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry
2 3	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was	2 3	ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a
2 3 4	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep.	2 3 4	ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know.
2 3 4 5	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And it was quoted	2 3 4 5	ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I think I
2 3 4 5 6	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep.	2 3 4 5 6	ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I think I think no further questions from me, Your Honor. Thank you, Captain. THE WITNESS: Yep.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And it was quoted	2 3 4 5 6 7	ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I think I think no further questions from me, Your Honor. Thank you, Captain. THE WITNESS: Yep. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And it was quoted line 15, where you stated, "The disparate treatment of TOTE, as a historical practice, it was not justified and created a substantial undeserved	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I think I think no further questions from me, Your Honor. Thank you, Captain. THE WITNESS: Yep. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Captain Klapperich for your testimony. You are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And it was quoted line 15, where you stated, "The disparate treatment of TOTE, as a historical practice, it was not justified and created a substantial undeserved windfall to TOTE."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I think I think no further questions from me, Your Honor. Thank you, Captain. THE WITNESS: Yep. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Captain Klapperich for your testimony. You are excused for the remainder of the hearing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And it was quoted line 15, where you stated, "The disparate treatment of TOTE, as a historical practice, it was not justified and created a substantial undeserved windfall to TOTE." You testified you didn't know the historical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I think I think no further questions from me, Your Honor. Thank you, Captain. THE WITNESS: Yep. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Captain Klapperich for your testimony. You are excused for the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And it was quoted line 15, where you stated, "The disparate treatment of TOTE, as a historical practice, it was not justified and created a substantial undeserved windfall to TOTE." You testified you didn't know the historical reason for the disparate rate. But I have a few	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I think I think no further questions from me, Your Honor. Thank you, Captain. THE WITNESS: Yep. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Captain Klapperich for your testimony. You are excused for the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: And I believe I neglected to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And it was quoted line 15, where you stated, "The disparate treatment of TOTE, as a historical practice, it was not justified and created a substantial undeserved windfall to TOTE." You testified you didn't know the historical reason for the disparate rate. But I have a few other questions along those lines that I'm going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I think I think no further questions from me, Your Honor. Thank you, Captain. THE WITNESS: Yep. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Captain Klapperich for your testimony. You are excused for the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: And I believe I neglected to ask if there were any questions from the bench for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And it was quoted line 15, where you stated, "The disparate treatment of TOTE, as a historical practice, it was not justified and created a substantial undeserved windfall to TOTE." You testified you didn't know the historical reason for the disparate rate. But I have a few other questions along those lines that I'm going to propose to you. You may not know the answer, but I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I think I think no further questions from me, Your Honor. Thank you, Captain. THE WITNESS: Yep. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Captain Klapperich for your testimony. You are excused for the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: And I believe I neglected to ask if there were any questions from the bench for PSP witness, Costanzo.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And it was quoted line 15, where you stated, "The disparate treatment of TOTE, as a historical practice, it was not justified and created a substantial undeserved windfall to TOTE." You testified you didn't know the historical reason for the disparate rate. But I have a few other questions along those lines that I'm going to propose to you. You may not know the answer, but I'm going to pose them because I'd like to try to find	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I think I think no further questions from me, Your Honor. Thank you, Captain. THE WITNESS: Yep. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Captain Klapperich for your testimony. You are excused for the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: And I believe I neglected to ask if there were any questions from the bench for PSP witness, Costanzo. Were there any questions from the bench for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And it was quoted line 15, where you stated, "The disparate treatment of TOTE, as a historical practice, it was not justified and created a substantial undeserved windfall to TOTE." You testified you didn't know the historical reason for the disparate rate. But I have a few other questions along those lines that I'm going to propose to you. You may not know the answer, but I'm going to pose them because I'd like to try to find out a little more about the distinction.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I think I think no further questions from me, Your Honor. Thank you, Captain. THE WITNESS: Yep. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Captain Klapperich for your testimony. You are excused for the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: And I believe I neglected to ask if there were any questions from the bench for PSP witness, Costanzo. Were there any questions from the bench for Costanzo?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And it was quoted line 15, where you stated, "The disparate treatment of TOTE, as a historical practice, it was not justified and created a substantial undeserved windfall to TOTE." You testified you didn't know the historical reason for the disparate rate. But I have a few other questions along those lines that I'm going to propose to you. You may not know the answer, but I'm going to pose them because I'd like to try to find out a little more about the distinction. Is TOTE, to your knowledge, the only PSP rate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I think I think no further questions from me, Your Honor. Thank you, Captain. THE WITNESS: Yep. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Captain Klapperich for your testimony. You are excused for the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: And I believe I neglected to ask if there were any questions from the bench for PSP witness, Costanzo. Were there any questions from the bench for Costanzo? CHAIR DANNER: Thank you, Your Honor. I had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And it was quoted line 15, where you stated, "The disparate treatment of TOTE, as a historical practice, it was not justified and created a substantial undeserved windfall to TOTE." You testified you didn't know the historical reason for the disparate rate. But I have a few other questions along those lines that I'm going to propose to you. You may not know the answer, but I'm going to pose them because I'd like to try to find out a little more about the distinction. Is TOTE, to your knowledge, the only PSP rate pair in recent years that has operated exclusively in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I think I think no further questions from me, Your Honor. Thank you, Captain. THE WITNESS: Yep. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Captain Klapperich for your testimony. You are excused for the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: And I believe I neglected to ask if there were any questions from the bench for PSP witness, Costanzo. Were there any questions from the bench for Costanzo? CHAIR DANNER: Thank you, Your Honor. I had no questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And it was quoted line 15, where you stated, "The disparate treatment of TOTE, as a historical practice, it was not justified and created a substantial undeserved windfall to TOTE." You testified you didn't know the historical reason for the disparate rate. But I have a few other questions along those lines that I'm going to propose to you. You may not know the answer, but I'm going to pose them because I'd like to try to find out a little more about the distinction. Is TOTE, to your knowledge, the only PSP rate pair in recent years that has operated exclusively in coast wide trade?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I think I think no further questions from me, Your Honor. Thank you, Captain. THE WITNESS: Yep. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Captain Klapperich for your testimony. You are excused for the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: And I believe I neglected to ask if there were any questions from the bench for PSP witness, Costanzo. Were there any questions from the bench for Costanzo? CHAIR DANNER: Thank you, Your Honor. I had no questions. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And it was quoted line 15, where you stated, "The disparate treatment of TOTE, as a historical practice, it was not justified and created a substantial undeserved windfall to TOTE." You testified you didn't know the historical reason for the disparate rate. But I have a few other questions along those lines that I'm going to propose to you. You may not know the answer, but I'm going to pose them because I'd like to try to find out a little more about the distinction. Is TOTE, to your knowledge, the only PSP rate pair in recent years that has operated exclusively in coast wide trade? THE WITNESS: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I think I think no further questions from me, Your Honor. Thank you, Captain. THE WITNESS: Yep. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Captain Klapperich for your testimony. You are excused for the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: And I believe I neglected to ask if there were any questions from the bench for PSP witness, Costanzo. Were there any questions from the bench for Costanzo? CHAIR DANNER: Thank you, Your Honor. I had no questions. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have no questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And it was quoted line 15, where you stated, "The disparate treatment of TOTE, as a historical practice, it was not justified and created a substantial undeserved windfall to TOTE." You testified you didn't know the historical reason for the disparate rate. But I have a few other questions along those lines that I'm going to propose to you. You may not know the answer, but I'm going to pose them because I'd like to try to find out a little more about the distinction. Is TOTE, to your knowledge, the only PSP rate pair in recent years that has operated exclusively in coast wide trade? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So do you know how the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I think I think no further questions from me, Your Honor. Thank you, Captain. THE WITNESS: Yep. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Captain Klapperich for your testimony. You are excused for the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: And I believe I neglected to ask if there were any questions from the bench for PSP witness, Costanzo. Were there any questions from the bench for costanzo? CHAIR DANNER: Thank you, Your Honor. I had no questions. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No, Your Honor. Thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And it was quoted line 15, where you stated, "The disparate treatment of TOTE, as a historical practice, it was not justified and created a substantial undeserved windfall to TOTE." You testified you didn't know the historical reason for the disparate rate. But I have a few other questions along those lines that I'm going to propose to you. You may not know the answer, but I'm going to pose them because I'd like to try to find out a little more about the distinction. Is TOTE, to your knowledge, the only PSP rate pair in recent years that has operated exclusively in coast wide trade? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So do you know how the others who who operate in coast wide trade were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I think I think no further questions from me, Your Honor. Thank you, Captain. THE WITNESS: Yep. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Captain Klapperich for your testimony. You are excused for the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: And I believe I neglected to ask if there were any questions from the bench for PSP witness, Costanzo. Were there any questions from the bench for costanzo? CHAIR DANNER: Thank you, Your Honor. I had no questions. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have no questions. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And it was quoted line 15, where you stated, "The disparate treatment of TOTE, as a historical practice, it was not justified and created a substantial undeserved windfall to TOTE." You testified you didn't know the historical reason for the disparate rate. But I have a few other questions along those lines that I'm going to propose to you. You may not know the answer, but I'm going to pose them because I'd like to try to find out a little more about the distinction. Is TOTE, to your knowledge, the only PSP rate pair in recent years that has operated exclusively in coast wide trade? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So do you know how the others who who operate in coast wide trade were billed?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I think I think no further questions from me, Your Honor. Thank you, Captain. THE WITNESS: Yep. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Captain Klapperich for your testimony. You are excused for the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: And I believe I neglected to ask if there were any questions from the bench for PSP witness, Costanzo. Were there any questions from the bench for Costanzo? CHAIR DANNER: Thank you, Your Honor. I had no questions. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have no questions. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Captain Klapperich, sort of following up where Mr. Block left off, the last quote that he provided from your testimony for the record, that was Exhibit ECK-1T at page 51. You can tell me when you're there. THE WITNESS: Yep. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And it was quoted line 15, where you stated, "The disparate treatment of TOTE, as a historical practice, it was not justified and created a substantial undeserved windfall to TOTE." You testified you didn't know the historical reason for the disparate rate. But I have a few other questions along those lines that I'm going to propose to you. You may not know the answer, but I'm going to pose them because I'd like to try to find out a little more about the distinction. Is TOTE, to your knowledge, the only PSP rate pair in recent years that has operated exclusively in coast wide trade? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So do you know how the others who who operate in coast wide trade were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 ships? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how the registry works. I'm pretty sure every ship we pilot is in a Lloyd's registry. But I'm not you know. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. I think I think no further questions from me, Your Honor. Thank you, Captain. THE WITNESS: Yep. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Captain Klapperich for your testimony. You are excused for the remainder of the hearing. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: And I believe I neglected to ask if there were any questions from the bench for PSP witness, Costanzo. Were there any questions from the bench for costanzo? CHAIR DANNER: Thank you, Your Honor. I had no questions. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have no questions. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

44 (Pages 263 to 266)

	Page 267	Page 269
1	with the vessel tonnage issue, is TOTE's witness,	1 Q. Okay. Before we really get going, I want to ask you
2	Philip Morrell. If Mr. Morrell could turn on his	2 a clarifying question, because I thought I heard
3	video feed and I'll swear you in.	³ Mr. Block suggest that gross tonnage, whether gross
4	All right. Can you hear me all right? You may	4 registered tonnage under the domestic system which
5	need to unmute yourself.	5 I'll refer to as GRT or under the international
6	THE WITNESS: Okay. How is that? Does that	6 system which I'll call GT ITC. I just want to
7	work?	7 clear up, I thought I heard some suggestion that
8	JUDGE HOWARD: Good. If you can please	8 those were weight measurements, but they're actually
9	raise your right hand, I'll swear you in.	 9 volumetric measurements; correct?
10	****	10 A. Correct.
11	Philip Morrell, having been first duly sworn, was	11 Q. Neither
	examined and testified as	
12	follows:	
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
14	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Mr. Block, would	14 JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the
15	you please introduce the witness.	15 objection.
16	MR. BLOCK: Yes, Your Honor.	16 You may proceed.
17	Good afternoon, Mr. Morrell. Did you could	17 BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN:
18	you please state your name for us, your full name?	18 Q. So just to clarify following the objection, neither
19	THE WITNESS: Philip, P-H-I-L-I-P.	19 GRT nor GT ITC is predicated on weight or the density
20	M-O-R-R-E-L-L, Morrell is my last name.	20 of cargo or anything of that nature; correct?
21	MR. BLOCK: And where are you employed?	21 A. Correct.
22	THE WITNESS: TOTE Services, LLC.	22 Q. Okay. You testify you state in your testimony
23	MR. BLOCK: Okay. Did you submit written	23 you characterize the TOTE ships as, quote/unquote,
24	testimony in this proceeding that you issued under	small. And I want to talk about that for a moment.
25	oath?	25 Just to be clear, the TOTE ships, the Midnight
	Page 268	Page 270
1	THE WITNESS: Yes.	1 Sun and the North Star, they're sister vessels;
2	MR. BLOCK: Do you adopt and submit that	2 correct? They're exactly the same size?
3	testimony here?	3 A. Yes.
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.	4 Q. And those vessels are 839 feet long; is that true?
5	MR. BLOCK: Okay. I tender the witness for	5 A. Correct.
6	examination cross-examination.	6 Q. And they carry more than 664,000 gallons of fuel; is
7	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.	7 that right?
8	PSP indicated cross for this witness.	8 A. Yes.
9	You may proceed.	9 Q. I want to show you a quick video just so we can gauge
10	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.	10 the size of these ships.
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION	11 MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Could you please pull up
12	BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN:	12 ECK-11?
13	Q. Mr. Morrell, my name is Eric Brickenstein. I'm one	13 (Video played.)
14	of the attorneys for Puget Sound Pilots. I just want	14 BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN:
15	to start with some introductory questions.	15 Q. Were you able to see that video, Mr. Morrell?
16	Your career has been entirely on the shore side	16 A. Yes.
17	of the maritime industry; is that correct?	Q. And just to confirm, that's a Puget Sound pilot in
18	A. Yes.	18fact, I'll represent to you that that's Captain
	O Cover dept have any mariners are depticle, have	19 Klapperich climbing up the pilot ladder in the side
19	Q. So you don't have any mariners credentials, have	
20	never been a pilot?	20 shell of a TOTE ship; is that right?
20 21	never been a pilot? A. Correct.	A. Yes. It looks that way.
20	never been a pilot? A. Correct. Q. And so you have no personal knowledge or experience	 A. Yes. It looks that way. Q. Okay. Could you please pull up Exhibit IC-15?
20 21 22 23	never been a pilot?A. Correct.Q. And so you have no personal knowledge or experience regarding the relative difficulty or risk of piloting	 A. Yes. It looks that way. Q. Okay. Could you please pull up Exhibit IC-15? And if you can scroll up just a little bit,
20 21 22	never been a pilot?A. Correct.Q. And so you have no personal knowledge or experience regarding the relative difficulty or risk of piloting different vessels of different types or sizes?	 A. Yes. It looks that way. Q. Okay. Could you please pull up Exhibit IC-15? And if you can scroll up just a little bit, please.
20 21 22 23	never been a pilot?A. Correct.Q. And so you have no personal knowledge or experience regarding the relative difficulty or risk of piloting	 A. Yes. It looks that way. Q. Okay. Could you please pull up Exhibit IC-15? And if you can scroll up just a little bit,

45 (Pages 267 to 270)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 271		Page 273
1	row, second main row, it says, "average GT per	1	Q. Sir, could we pull up it's in the demonstrative
2	assignment."	2	exhibit folder, WAC 363-116-082.
3	A. Mm-hmm.	3	Limitations on new pilots, do you see that,
4	Q. And then the years are listed 2016 through 2022?	4	Mr. Morrell?
5	A. Mm-hmm.	5	A. Mm-hmm.
6	Q. And if you just move across the different classes of	6	Q. And if you could just scroll down a little bit, and
7	ship, it says on the right side, it says "Average	7	you can see all the different license years and their
8	GT per assignment" and on left as well. If you	8	restrictions? That's fine. Thank you.
9	scroll across the types of ships, it says bulker, car	9	So do you see there in the left column and
10	carrier, container, et cetera. And then you get down	10	take a moment to familiarize yourself if you need.
11	to Ro-Ro. And beginning in 2016, it's 59,445 GT all	11	But license year four is permitted to pilot a
12	the way down to 61,849 GT ITC is the average size of	12	non-tank ship of up to 70,000 GT ITC.
13	a Ro-Ro ship; right?	13	Do you see that?
14	A. Well, that's what it says here.	14	A. Mm-hmm.
15	Q. Okay. Assuming that's correct, the TOTE ships have a	15	Q. And a level year three cannot pilot a 65,000-ton GT
16	GT ITC of 65,314; is that true?	16	ITC ship. They can only go up to 60,000; correct?
17	A. Yeah. But ours aren't pure Ro-Ro car carrier type	17	A. Mm-hmm.
18	ships that you're indicating here. Ours are trailer	18	Q. Is that a "yes"?
19	ships.	19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Yeah. And that's actually an interesting point.	20	Q. And conversely, if you look at license year one, a
21	Because I thought during Mr. Block's questioning, he	21	brand-new pilot in their first year of experience can
22	was indicating that the TOTE ships were primarily	22	pilot a ship up to 38,000 GT ITC.
23	carrying cars. But in fact, car carrier is its own	23	Do you see that?
24	category. And the Ro-Ro ships down in the right are	24	A. Yes.
25	distinguished precisely because the TOTE ships don't	25	Q. Now, the reason that only more seasoned and
	Page 272		Page 274
1	primarily carry cars. They carry tractor-tailers; is	1	experienced pilots are permitted to pilot ships as
2	that right?	2	large as 65,000 GT ITC like the TOTE ships, is
3	A. Anything on wheels.	3	because larger ships, the BPC has determined, present
4	Q. You previously served we can take the exhibit	4	a comparatively greater degree and difficulty of
5	down. Thanks.	5	pilotage and risk.
6	You previously served on the Washington Board of	6	Wouldn't you agree?
7	Pilotage Commissioners; is that right?	7	MR. BLOCK: Objection, Your Honor. This is
8	A. Yes.	8	way outside of the scope of anything Mr. Morrell
9	Q. Okay. And so you understand, don't you, that the	9	testified to in his direct testimony.
10	Washington Administrative Code actually requires	10	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, Mr. Morrell
11	pilots to take level up ships excuse me,	11	testified to the relative risk presented by vessels
12	level-up trips in their licensure and achieve greater	12	and argued that TOTE ought to be charged on its GRT
13	levels of licensure based on their years of	13	as opposed to GT ITC on that basis.
14 15	experience?	14	And the position that TOTE is taking is that it
15 16	A. Yes. O Okay And one of the factors is never pilots aren't	15 16	should be assessed pilotage based on a tonnage level,
17	Q. Okay. And one of the factors is newer pilots aren't permitted to pilot certain larger ships; correct?	17	that if if the licensure level required was predicated on GRT, a first-year pilot would be able
18	The more experience you have, the bigger the ship you	18	to. So it's directly relevant to his testimony.
19	can pilot; right?	19	MR. BLOCK: That's way outside of the point
20	A. That's my understanding.	20	that Mr. Morrell any point that Mr. Morrell got
21	Q. All right. And in fact, a ship greater than 60,000	20	into in his direct testimony. He had no testimony
22	GT ITC, requires a year-four pilot at minimum.	22	regarding qualifications of pilots.
	Is that true?	23	JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question. I
23			
23 24	A. I'm not exactly certain where the break line is.	24	do note that in Mr. Morrell's testimony, he's
23 24 25	A. I'm not exactly certain where the break line is. But	24 25	do note that in Mr. Morrell's testimony, he's commenting on the relative size of the TOTE ships as

46 (Pages 271 to 274)

	Page 275		Page 277
1	justifying the invoicing based on GRT. I do imagine,	1	primary driver, about 33,000 tons, of the discrepancy
2	though, that any more questions along these lines	2	between the TOTE ships GRT and their GT ITC, is based
3	would be better posed to Captain Loftfield.	3	on the exclusion of the ship's main and second decks
4	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.	4	from the GRT calculation?
5	Let me just restate that last question, though,	5	MR. BLOCK: Objection. Outside the scope.
6	Mr. Morrell.	6	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, he's
7	BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN:	7	testifying about the difference in the tonnage
8	Q. The reason that only more seasoned pilots are in	8	calculations and arguing that that GT ITC
9	your experience as a former BPC commissioner, the	9	shouldn't be applied. This is directly relevant to
10	reason this rule exists is to ensure that experienced	10	his testimony.
11	pilots pilot larger, riskier ships; correct?	11	JUDGE HOWARD: Can you point to
12	A. Could be. I I'm not certain on that, to be honest	12	Mr. Morrell's testimony where he is discussing his
13	with you.	13	familiarity
14	Q. Now, I just want to be clear.	14	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Sure.
15	TOTE ships' GRT, their gross registered tonnage	15	JUDGE HOWARD: his familiarity with these
16	is 35,825; is that right?	16	calculations.
17	A. Correct.	17	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, he argues
18	Q. And so if this rule were assessing the relative risk	18	that the vessels are smaller based on their carrying
19	of a ship based on its GRT, a first-year pilot would	19	capacity in terms of TEU units. And he also states
20	be allowed to pilot the TOTE ship; right?	20	that the exclusion in the GRT system is for space not
21	A. Mm-hmm. Yep.	21	carrying cargo or exempted space. And I can pull up
22	Q. But instead the BPC has determined that you need to	22	the precise page and line if you give me just a
23	be at least in your fourth year of licensure.	23	second.
24	MR. BLOCK: Objection. Again, outside the	24	JUDGE HOWARD: I see the testimony in
25	scope. This is calling for legal conclusions, as	25	question. I'll allow the question.
	Page 276		Page 278
1	well.	1	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	JUDGE HOWARD: I think that particular	2	BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN:
3	question was asked and answered.	3	Q. Do you need me to restate the question, Mr. Morrell?
4	BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN:	4	A. Yeah. I'm not quite sure what your question was.
5	Q. Can we please pull up PE-8T and go to page 2.	5	Q. Sure.
6	Mr. Morrell, have you reviewed, in the course of	6	There's a discrepancy of more than 30,000 tons
7	preparing for this hearing, Mr. Phil Essex's	7	between the GT ITC and the GRT calculations.
8	testimony in this rate case?	8	Mr. Essex has testified that the explanation for that
9	A. I believe I have.	9	differential is that under the GRT calculation, the
10	Q. Okay. And on page 2, between lines 12 and 20, I'd	10	main and second decks are excluded from the total and
11	like to read you an excerpt from Mr. Morrell's [sic]	11	under the GT ITC, they are not.
12	testimony. It says, quoting, "I have reviewed the	12	Would you agree with that?
13	ABS regulatory tonnage calculation for the Orca class	13	A. They're excluded. They're open deck. Yeah.
14	ships prepared by D. W. Goebel in September 2001.	14	Q. Okay. I'd like to show you an image rendering of the
15	Mr. Goebel correctly exempts as," quote/unquote,	15	TOTE ships that I think will help explain why this
16	"open space," "17,953 tons on the Orca class vessel's	16	space is excluded from their GRT calculation.
17	main deck and an additional 15,050 tons on the second	17	Could we pull up PE-1T and go to page 16.
18	deck. The aggregate total of these spaces over	18	Are you able to see that image, Mr. Morrell?
19	33,000 tons translates to over 3.3 million cubic	19	A. Yes.
20	feet."	20	Q. And do you see the openings that are circled in blue
21	Skipping ahead a little bit. "Looking at the	21	right there?
22	in-board profile of the vessels for a graphic	22	A. Yes.
23	depiction, it's clear that these two decks comprise	23	Q. When you say those decks are open space, isn't the
24	approximately half the vessel's hull."	24	reason that they're considered open space for GRT
25	Wouldn't you agree with Mr. Essex that the	25	calculation purposes the existence of those openings
		-	

47 (Pages 275 to 278)

	Page 279		Page 281
1	in the rear on the stern?	1	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, I'll
2	MR. BLOCK: Objection. Outside the scope.	2	represent to you that it was part of a stipulation
3	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, it goes to	3	filed by the parties in paragraph 10 on March 22nd.
4	the same point I was making before. He has stated	4	It's a stipulated fact.
5	that the reason these spaces are excluded from the	5	JUDGE HOWARD: I believe the question was
6	GRT calculation is because they're open space. And I	6	whether the witness was aware of that. So I will
7	want to show what that open space means and why it's	7	allow the question. You might re
8	considered that under the GRT system.	8	THE WITNESS: I'm not fully aware of all
9	JUDGE HOWARD: I'll allow the question.	9	who all the customers are. So I can't say that
10	THE WITNESS: These are operational	10	convincingly. I can't sit here and say, yes, I know,
11	openings. They're for natural ventilation and for	11	without beyond a reasonable doubt that's true or
12	loading and discharging cargo. That's what this is.	12	not. So I'm not I don't know.
13	BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN:	13	BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN:
14	Q. Thank you, Mr. Morrell.	14	Q. Okay. Wouldn't you agree that using the same metric
15	But I just want to be clear, those two decks that	15	to charge pilotage to all ships is critical to
16	are within the side shell of the ship that are	16	avoiding price discrimination and favoritism?
17	subject to those openings and comprise more than half	17	MR. BLOCK: Objection. Outside the scope.
18	the hull, none of that gets counted in the ship's	18	JUDGE HOWARD: I believe now now I'm
19	GRT; isn't that right?	19	going to agree with Mr. Block.
20	A. I wouldn't say none of it.	20	BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN:
21	Q. The large majority, more than 33,000 tons?	21 22	Q. Okay. Could we go ahead and pull up PE-1 at page 11,
22 23	A. Could be. Q. Okay. Mr. Morrell, those two decks are used for	22	please.
23 24	carrying cargo, are they not?	23	Mr. Morrell, in your testimony, you compared the size of the TOTE ships based on their TEU carrying
25	A. Yes.	25	capacity to container ships; correct?
23	A. 100.	23	
	D		
	Page 280		Page 282
1	Page 280 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's	1	Page 282 A. I gave an approximate number, yes.
1 2	Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21.	2	A. I gave an approximate number, yes.Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of
2 3	Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's	2 3	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony?
2 3 4	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. 	2 3 4	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm.
2 3 4 5	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. Mr. Morrell, isn't it true that PSP assesses 	2 3 4 5	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm. Q. And do you see that that's a rendering of the size of
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. Mr. Morrell, isn't it true that PSP assesses tonnage pursuant to its tariff to all ships, whether 	2 3 4 5 6	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm. Q. And do you see that that's a rendering of the size of the Midnight Sun. And the numbers are a little bit
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. Mr. Morrell, isn't it true that PSP assesses tonnage pursuant to its tariff to all ships, whether engaged in coastwise or foreign shipping, based on 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm. Q. And do you see that that's a rendering of the size of the Midnight Sun. And the numbers are a little bit blurry, but it shows the GT ITC, the GRT, the length,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. Mr. Morrell, isn't it true that PSP assesses tonnage pursuant to its tariff to all ships, whether engaged in coastwise or foreign shipping, based on their GT ITC? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm. Q. And do you see that that's a rendering of the size of the Midnight Sun. And the numbers are a little bit blurry, but it shows the GT ITC, the GRT, the length, the width. And it's compared against another Ro-Ro
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. Mr. Morrell, isn't it true that PSP assesses tonnage pursuant to its tariff to all ships, whether engaged in coastwise or foreign shipping, based on their GT ITC? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Lack of foundation. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm. Q. And do you see that that's a rendering of the size of the Midnight Sun. And the numbers are a little bit blurry, but it shows the GT ITC, the GRT, the length, the width. And it's compared against another Ro-Ro ship, the Myra Seaways?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. Mr. Morrell, isn't it true that PSP assesses tonnage pursuant to its tariff to all ships, whether engaged in coastwise or foreign shipping, based on their GT ITC? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Lack of foundation. BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm. Q. And do you see that that's a rendering of the size of the Midnight Sun. And the numbers are a little bit blurry, but it shows the GT ITC, the GRT, the length, the width. And it's compared against another Ro-Ro ship, the Myra Seaways? A. I see that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. Mr. Morrell, isn't it true that PSP assesses tonnage pursuant to its tariff to all ships, whether engaged in coastwise or foreign shipping, based on their GT ITC? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Lack of foundation. BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Q. Mr. Morrell, have you read PSP's tariff? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm. Q. And do you see that that's a rendering of the size of the Midnight Sun. And the numbers are a little bit blurry, but it shows the GT ITC, the GRT, the length, the width. And it's compared against another Ro-Ro ship, the Myra Seaways? A. I see that. Q. Wouldn't you agree that in the interest of an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. Mr. Morrell, isn't it true that PSP assesses tonnage pursuant to its tariff to all ships, whether engaged in coastwise or foreign shipping, based on their GT ITC? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Lack of foundation. BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Q. Mr. Morrell, have you read PSP's tariff? A. Not completely, no. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm. Q. And do you see that that's a rendering of the size of the Midnight Sun. And the numbers are a little bit blurry, but it shows the GT ITC, the GRT, the length, the width. And it's compared against another Ro-Ro ship, the Myra Seaways? A. I see that. Q. Wouldn't you agree that in the interest of an apples-to-apples comparison, it's appropriate to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. Mr. Morrell, isn't it true that PSP assesses tonnage pursuant to its tariff to all ships, whether engaged in coastwise or foreign shipping, based on their GT ITC? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Lack of foundation. BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Q. Mr. Morrell, have you read PSP's tariff? A. Not completely, no. Q. Do you agree or disagree that all ships are assessed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm. Q. And do you see that that's a rendering of the size of the Midnight Sun. And the numbers are a little bit blurry, but it shows the GT ITC, the GRT, the length, the width. And it's compared against another Ro-Ro ship, the Myra Seaways? A. I see that. Q. Wouldn't you agree that in the interest of an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. Mr. Morrell, isn't it true that PSP assesses tonnage pursuant to its tariff to all ships, whether engaged in coastwise or foreign shipping, based on their GT ITC? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Lack of foundation. BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Q. Mr. Morrell, have you read PSP's tariff? A. Not completely, no. Q. Do you agree or disagree that all ships are assessed a tonnage piloted charge based on GT ITC? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm. Q. And do you see that that's a rendering of the size of the Midnight Sun. And the numbers are a little bit blurry, but it shows the GT ITC, the GRT, the length, the width. And it's compared against another Ro-Ro ship, the Myra Seaways? A. I see that. Q. Wouldn't you agree that in the interest of an apples-to-apples comparison, it's appropriate to compare TOTE's Ro-Ro ship to the dimensions of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. Mr. Morrell, isn't it true that PSP assesses tonnage pursuant to its tariff to all ships, whether engaged in coastwise or foreign shipping, based on their GT ITC? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Lack of foundation. BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Q. Mr. Morrell, have you read PSP's tariff? A. Not completely, no. Q. Do you agree or disagree that all ships are assessed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm. Q. And do you see that that's a rendering of the size of the Midnight Sun. And the numbers are a little bit blurry, but it shows the GT ITC, the GRT, the length, the width. And it's compared against another Ro-Ro ship, the Myra Seaways? A. I see that. Q. Wouldn't you agree that in the interest of an apples-to-apples comparison, it's appropriate to compare TOTE's Ro-Ro ship to the dimensions of another Ro-Ro ship?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. Mr. Morrell, isn't it true that PSP assesses tonnage pursuant to its tariff to all ships, whether engaged in coastwise or foreign shipping, based on their GT ITC? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Lack of foundation. BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Q. Mr. Morrell, have you read PSP's tariff? A. Not completely, no. Q. Do you agree or disagree that all ships are assessed a tonnage piloted charge based on GT ITC? A. I know they have a tariff. I'm not 100 percent 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm. Q. And do you see that that's a rendering of the size of the Midnight Sun. And the numbers are a little bit blurry, but it shows the GT ITC, the GRT, the length, the width. And it's compared against another Ro-Ro ship, the Myra Seaways? A. I see that. Q. Wouldn't you agree that in the interest of an apples-to-apples comparison, it's appropriate to compare TOTE's Ro-Ro ship to the dimensions of another Ro-Ro ship? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. Mr. Morrell, isn't it true that PSP assesses tonnage pursuant to its tariff to all ships, whether engaged in coastwise or foreign shipping, based on their GT ITC? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Lack of foundation. BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Q. Mr. Morrell, have you read PSP's tariff? A. Not completely, no. Q. Do you agree or disagree that all ships are assessed a tonnage piloted charge based on GT ITC? A. I know they have a tariff. I'm not 100 percent familiar with the tariff, though. Q. Are you aware that TOTE or would you agree that TOTE is the only ship owner out of all of PSP's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm. Q. And do you see that that's a rendering of the size of the Midnight Sun. And the numbers are a little bit blurry, but it shows the GT ITC, the GRT, the length, the width. And it's compared against another Ro-Ro ship, the Myra Seaways? A. I see that. Q. Wouldn't you agree that in the interest of an apples-to-apples comparison, it's appropriate to compare TOTE's Ro-Ro ship to the dimensions of another Ro-Ro ship? A. No. Q. Okay. If the Myra Seaways pays pilotage based on its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. Mr. Morrell, isn't it true that PSP assesses tonnage pursuant to its tariff to all ships, whether engaged in coastwise or foreign shipping, based on their GT ITC? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Lack of foundation. BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Q. Mr. Morrell, have you read PSP's tariff? A. Not completely, no. Q. Do you agree or disagree that all ships are assessed a tonnage piloted charge based on GT ITC? A. I know they have a tariff. I'm not 100 percent familiar with the tariff, though. Q. Are you aware that TOTE or would you agree that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm. Q. And do you see that that's a rendering of the size of the Midnight Sun. And the numbers are a little bit blurry, but it shows the GT ITC, the GRT, the length, the width. And it's compared against another Ro-Ro ship, the Myra Seaways? A. I see that. Q. Wouldn't you agree that in the interest of an apples-to-apples comparison, it's appropriate to compare TOTE's Ro-Ro ship to the dimensions of another Ro-Ro ship? A. No. Q. Okay. If the Myra Seaways pays pilotage based on its GT ITC and the Midnight Sun pays based on its GRT,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. Mr. Morrell, isn't it true that PSP assesses tonnage pursuant to its tariff to all ships, whether engaged in coastwise or foreign shipping, based on their GT ITC? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Lack of foundation. BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Q. Mr. Morrell, have you read PSP's tariff? A. Not completely, no. Q. Do you agree or disagree that all ships are assessed a tonnage piloted charge based on GT ITC? A. I know they have a tariff. I'm not 100 percent familiar with the tariff, though. Q. Are you aware that TOTE or would you agree that TOTE is the only ship owner out of all of PSP's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm. Q. And do you see that that's a rendering of the size of the Midnight Sun. And the numbers are a little bit blurry, but it shows the GT ITC, the GRT, the length, the width. And it's compared against another Ro-Ro ship, the Myra Seaways? A. I see that. Q. Wouldn't you agree that in the interest of an apples-to-apples comparison, it's appropriate to compare TOTE's Ro-Ro ship to the dimensions of another Ro-Ro ship? A. No. Q. Okay. If the Myra Seaways pays pilotage based on its GT ITC and the Midnight Sun pays based on its GRT, which is roughly comparable, wouldn't you agree that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. Mr. Morrell, isn't it true that PSP assesses tonnage pursuant to its tariff to all ships, whether engaged in coastwise or foreign shipping, based on their GT ITC? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Lack of foundation. BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Q. Mr. Morrell, have you read PSP's tariff? A. Not completely, no. Q. Do you agree or disagree that all ships are assessed a tonnage piloted charge based on GT ITC? A. I know they have a tariff. I'm not 100 percent familiar with the tariff, though. Q. Are you aware that TOTE or would you agree that TOTE is the only ship owner out of all of PSP's customers, including domestic tankers and foreign 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm. Q. And do you see that that's a rendering of the size of the Midnight Sun. And the numbers are a little bit blurry, but it shows the GT ITC, the GRT, the length, the width. And it's compared against another Ro-Ro ship, the Myra Seaways? A. I see that. Q. Wouldn't you agree that in the interest of an apples-to-apples comparison, it's appropriate to compare TOTE's Ro-Ro ship to the dimensions of another Ro-Ro ship? A. No. Q. Okay. If the Myra Seaways pays pilotage based on its GT ITC and the Midnight Sun pays based on its GRT, which is roughly comparable, wouldn't you agree that two ships of very different sizes are paying very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. Mr. Morrell, isn't it true that PSP assesses tonnage pursuant to its tariff to all ships, whether engaged in coastwise or foreign shipping, based on their GT ITC? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Lack of foundation. BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Q. Mr. Morrell, have you read PSP's tariff? A. Not completely, no. Q. Do you agree or disagree that all ships are assessed a tonnage piloted charge based on GT ITC? A. I know they have a tariff. I'm not 100 percent familiar with the tariff, though. Q. Are you aware that TOTE or would you agree that TOTE is the only ship owner out of all of PSP's customers, including domestic tankers and foreign container ships alike, to object to paying pilotage based on GT ITC? MR. BLOCK: Judge, Mr. Morrell hasn't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm. Q. And do you see that that's a rendering of the size of the Midnight Sun. And the numbers are a little bit blurry, but it shows the GT ITC, the GRT, the length, the width. And it's compared against another Ro-Ro ship, the Myra Seaways? A. I see that. Q. Wouldn't you agree that in the interest of an apples-to-apples comparison, it's appropriate to compare TOTE's Ro-Ro ship to the dimensions of another Ro-Ro ship? A. No. Q. Okay. If the Myra Seaways pays pilotage based on its GT ITC and the Midnight Sun pays based on its GT ITC and the Midnight Sun pays based on its GRT, which is roughly comparable, wouldn't you agree that two ships of very different sizes are paying very different pilotage rates? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Outside of the scope. Lack of foundation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. Mr. Morrell, isn't it true that PSP assesses tonnage pursuant to its tariff to all ships, whether engaged in coastwise or foreign shipping, based on their GT ITC? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Lack of foundation. BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Q. Mr. Morrell, have you read PSP's tariff? A. Not completely, no. Q. Do you agree or disagree that all ships are assessed a tonnage piloted charge based on GT ITC? A. I know they have a tariff. I'm not 100 percent familiar with the tariff, though. Q. Are you aware that TOTE or would you agree that TOTE is the only ship owner out of all of PSP's customers, including domestic tankers and foreign container ships alike, to object to paying pilotage based on GT ITC? MR. BLOCK: Judge, Mr. Morrell hasn't surveyed all of PSP's customers to come up with his 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm. Q. And do you see that that's a rendering of the size of the Midnight Sun. And the numbers are a little bit blurry, but it shows the GT ITC, the GRT, the length, the width. And it's compared against another Ro-Ro ship, the Myra Seaways? A. I see that. Q. Wouldn't you agree that in the interest of an apples-to-apples comparison, it's appropriate to compare TOTE's Ro-Ro ship to the dimensions of another Ro-Ro ship? A. No. Q. Okay. If the Myra Seaways pays pilotage based on its GT ITC and the Midnight Sun pays based on its GRT, which is roughly comparable, wouldn't you agree that two ships of very different sizes are paying very different pilotage rates? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Outside of the scope. Lack of foundation. JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to allow the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. I'd like to read you another excerpt from Mr. Essex's testimony. And if we can go to 8T page 2, line 21. 08T, page 2. Never mind. We don't need it. Let's move on. Mr. Morrell, isn't it true that PSP assesses tonnage pursuant to its tariff to all ships, whether engaged in coastwise or foreign shipping, based on their GT ITC? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Lack of foundation. BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Q. Mr. Morrell, have you read PSP's tariff? A. Not completely, no. Q. Do you agree or disagree that all ships are assessed a tonnage piloted charge based on GT ITC? A. I know they have a tariff. I'm not 100 percent familiar with the tariff, though. Q. Are you aware that TOTE or would you agree that TOTE is the only ship owner out of all of PSP's customers, including domestic tankers and foreign container ships alike, to object to paying pilotage based on GT ITC? MR. BLOCK: Judge, Mr. Morrell hasn't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I gave an approximate number, yes. Q. Okay. Can you see the image there at the bottom of page 11 of Mr. Essex's testimony? A. Mm-hmm. Q. And do you see that that's a rendering of the size of the Midnight Sun. And the numbers are a little bit blurry, but it shows the GT ITC, the GRT, the length, the width. And it's compared against another Ro-Ro ship, the Myra Seaways? A. I see that. Q. Wouldn't you agree that in the interest of an apples-to-apples comparison, it's appropriate to compare TOTE's Ro-Ro ship to the dimensions of another Ro-Ro ship? A. No. Q. Okay. If the Myra Seaways pays pilotage based on its GT ITC and the Midnight Sun pays based on its GT ITC and the Midnight Sun pays based on its GRT, which is roughly comparable, wouldn't you agree that two ships of very different sizes are paying very different pilotage rates? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Outside of the scope. Lack of foundation.

48 (Pages 279 to 282)

Pa	age 283 Page	285
1 have to repeat the question. I'm not quite sure I	1 regular basis. We go to dry dock every three ye	ars.
2 understood exactly what you're trying to get at her		
3 BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN:	3 the navigable waterways like we are with Puget	
4 Q. Sure.	4 Also, some other circumstances that are relevan	
5 Do you think it's fair or reasonable that these	e 5 you know, going to our shipyard and coming out	of a
6 two ships of very different sizes ought to pay t	the 6 shipyard. So pilotage is probably a good idea at	
7 same tonnage charge for pilotage, which is the	e case 7 that time.	
8 under TOTE's proposal that it pay GRT while a	all other 8 BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN:	
9 ships pay based on GT ITC?	9 Q. Sure.	
10 A. I think the ships are completely different. So I	10 Can we just go ahead and pull up Morrell 04	X.
don't think you can compare one against the othe		then
12 That's a Ro-Ro ship and we're a trailer ship. So	12 return to the top. Go back to the top.	
13 things are a the design, the structure, it's	13Mr. Morrell, would you agree that these are	
14 completely different. So it's hard for me to answer	-	e
15 that question.	15Authority, the BC Pilots, BC Coast Pilots?	
16 Q. Mr. Morrell, you've characterized the TOTE sh		
being engaged exclusively in domestic comme		n.
18 they do sail under registry from time to time; is	, ,	
19 that true?	19MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, it's an	
20 A. Only to go to dry dock.	20 exhibit that goes to the same issues we've been	
21 Q. And that's in British Columbia; correct?	21 discussing.	
A. Yeah. But it's a non-revenue voyage.	22 JUDGE HOWARD: This exhibit has been	
Q. Sure. But actually, that brings up an interes		n this
24 point.	24 topic.	
25 When you are engaged in coastwise transits	²⁵ I'm going to allow the question.	
	age 284 Page	286
 between Puget Sound and the port of Alask not unlike ship sailing under registry, you required to carry a Puget Sound pilot; correct A. That's correct. We're not required. Q. You're not subject to compulsory pilotage A. No. Q. That's a voluntary choice that TOTE is male A. Yes. Q. Okay. But that's not the case when you go dock in British Columbia; right? In that inst because you're sailing on a foreign voyage, required to take both a Puget Sound pilot a the district and then a BC pilot when you er pilotage district; correct? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Outside the so MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, it's to the rate shock issue. It demonstrates that 	ka, TOTE's1MR. BRICKENSTEIN: And, Mr. Crandall you just highlight this row where it says length, beam, max beam, deepest draft.act?3beam, max beam, deepest draft.act?3beam, max beam, deepest draft.act?3beam, max beam, deepest draft.act.4Can you see that, Mr. Morrell?act.6Q. And if you look at the fifth column over, it's labeled GRT, but that's not really accurate beam that 65,314 number there, that's the TOTE ship international tonnage.act.10That's the GT ITC; correct?by you're11A. It appears to be.asyou exit12Q. Okay. So in other words, when TOTE ships I coast pilots, they pay pilotage based on the T ships GT ITC?cope.15A. It appears that way.relevant16MR. BRICKENSTEIN: I have no further questions for this witness.	, could cause os nire BC
 between Puget Sound and the port of Alask not unlike ship sailing under registry, you required to carry a Puget Sound pilot; corred A. That's correct. We're not required. Q. You're not subject to compulsory pilotage A. No. Q. That's a voluntary choice that TOTE is mal A. Yes. Q. Okay. But that's not the case when you ged dock in British Columbia; right? In that inst because you're sailing on a foreign voyage, required to take both a Puget Sound pilot at the district and then a BC pilot when you er pilotage district; correct? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Outside the so MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, it's in to the rate shock issue. It demonstrates that is, in fact, paying pilotage based on GT ITC or 	ka, TOTE's1MR. BRICKENSTEIN: And, Mr. Crandall you just highlight this row where it says length, beam, max beam, deepest draft.ect?3beam, max beam, deepest draft.aCan you see that, Mr. Morrell?b?5A. Mm-hmm.6Q. And if you look at the fifth column over, it's labeled GRT, but that's not really accurate bead that 65,314 number there, that's the TOTE ship international tonnage.tance,10That's the GT ITC; correct?you're11A. It appears to be.us you exit12Q. Okay. So in other words, when TOTE ships I accurate back, they pay pilotage based on the T ships GT ITC?cope.15A. It appears that way.relevant16MR. BRICKENSTEIN: I have no further questions for this witness.tance18MR. BLOCK: So that	, could cause os nire BC
 between Puget Sound and the port of Alask not unlike ship sailing under registry, you required to carry a Puget Sound pilot; correct A. That's correct. We're not required. Q. You're not subject to compulsory pilotage A. No. Q. That's a voluntary choice that TOTE is mal A. Yes. Q. Okay. But that's not the case when you get dock in British Columbia; right? In that inst because you're sailing on a foreign voyage, required to take both a Puget Sound pilot at the district and then a BC pilot when you er pilotage district; correct? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Outside the so MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, it's it to the rate shock issue. It demonstrates that is, in fact, paying pilotage based on GT ITC of fairly regular basis. 	ka, TOTE's1MR. BRICKENSTEIN: And, Mr. Crandall you just highlight this row where it says length, beam, max beam, deepest draft.ect?3beam, max beam, deepest draft.aCan you see that, Mr. Morrell?b?5A. Mm-hmm.6Q. And if you look at the fifth column over, it's labeled GRT, but that's not really accurate bead that 65,314 number there, that's the TOTE ship international tonnage.tance,10That's the GT ITC; correct?you're11A. It appears to be.us you exit12Q. Okay. So in other words, when TOTE ships I a hips GT ITC?tanter that13Coast pilots, they pay pilotage based on the T ships GT ITC?cope.15A. It appears that way.relevant16MR. BRICKENSTEIN: I have no further questions for this witness.tana18MR. BLOCK: So that 19yUDGE HOWARD: Any redirect?	, could cause os nire BC
 between Puget Sound and the port of Alask not unlike ship sailing under registry, you required to carry a Puget Sound pilot; corred A. That's correct. We're not required. Q. You're not subject to compulsory pilotage A. No. Q. That's a voluntary choice that TOTE is mal A. Yes. Q. Okay. But that's not the case when you go dock in British Columbia; right? In that inst because you're sailing on a foreign voyage, required to take both a Puget Sound pilot at the district and then a BC pilot when you er pilotage district; correct? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Outside the so MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, it's to to the rate shock issue. It demonstrates that is, in fact, paying pilotage based on GT ITC o fairly regular basis. MR. BLOCK: The question is not releval 	ka, TOTE's1MR. BRICKENSTEIN: And, Mr. Crandall you just highlight this row where it says length, beam, max beam, deepest draft.ect?3beam, max beam, deepest draft.act4Can you see that, Mr. Morrell?er?5A. Mm-hmm.6Q. And if you look at the fifth column over, it's labeled GRT, but that's not really accurate bea that 65,314 number there, that's the TOTE ship international tonnage.tance,10That's the GT ITC; correct?you're11A. It appears to be.us you exit12Q. Okay. So in other words, when TOTE ships I Coast pilots, they pay pilotage based on the T ships GT ITC?tope.15A. It appears that way.relevant16MR. BRICKENSTEIN: I have no further questions for this witness.on a18MR. BLOCK: So that 19unce.20MR. BLOCK: I have a little redirect, Your	, could cause os nire BC
 between Puget Sound and the port of Alask not unlike ship sailing under registry, you required to carry a Puget Sound pilot; corred A. That's correct. We're not required. Q. You're not subject to compulsory pilotage A. No. Q. That's a voluntary choice that TOTE is mal A. Yes. Q. Okay. But that's not the case when you go dock in British Columbia; right? In that inst because you're sailing on a foreign voyage, required to take both a Puget Sound pilot at the district and then a BC pilot when you er pilotage district; correct? MR. BLOCK: Objection. Outside the so MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, it's to the rate shock issue. It demonstrates that is, in fact, paying pilotage based on GT ITC o fairly regular basis. MR. BLOCK: The question is not releva It's whether it's within the scope of the direct. 	ka, TOTE's1MR. BRICKENSTEIN: And, Mr. Crandall you just highlight this row where it says length, beam, max beam, deepest draft.ect?3beam, max beam, deepest draft.action4Can you see that, Mr. Morrell?ect?5A. Mm-hmm.ect?5A. Mm-hmm.6Q. And if you look at the fifth column over, it's labeled GRT, but that's not really accurate bed that 65,314 number there, that's the TOTE ships international tonnage.king?7Iabeled GRT, but that's not really accurate bed that 65,314 number there, that's the TOTE ships international tonnage.o to dry9international tonnage.tance,10That's the GT ITC; correct?, you're11A. It appears to be.us you exit12Q. Okay. So in other words, when TOTE ships I Coast pilots, they pay pilotage based on the T ships GT ITC?tope.15A. It appears that way.relevant16MR. BRICKENSTEIN: I have no further questions for this witness.on a18MR. BLOCK: So that JUDGE HOWARD: Any redirect?ance.20MR. BLOCK: I have a little redirect, Your 21	, could cause os nire BC
1 between Puget Sound and the port of Alask 2 not unlike ship sailing under registry, you 3 required to carry a Puget Sound pilot; corred 4 A. That's correct. We're not required. 5 Q. You're not subject to compulsory pilotage 6 A. No. 7 Q. That's a voluntary choice that TOTE is mall 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Okay. But that's not the case when you got 10 dock in British Columbia; right? In that inst 11 because you're sailing on a foreign voyage, 12 required to take both a Puget Sound pilot at 13 the district and then a BC pilot when you er 14 pilotage district; correct? 15 MR. BLOCK: Objection. Outside the so 16 MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, it's for 17 to the rate shock issue. It demonstrates that 18 is, in fact, paying pilotage based on GT ITC or 19 fairly regular basis. 20 MR. BLOCK: The question is not releva 21 It's whether it's within the scope of the direct. 22 JUDGE HOWARD: The witness gave tor	ka, TOTE's1MR. BRICKENSTEIN: And, Mr. Crandall you just highlight this row where it says length, beam, max beam, deepest draft.ect?3beam, max beam, deepest draft.aCan you see that, Mr. Morrell?er?5A. Mm-hmm.6Q. And if you look at the fifth column over, it's labeled GRT, but that's not really accurate bed that 65,314 number there, that's the TOTE ships international tonnage.o to dry9international tonnage.tance,10That's the GT ITC; correct?, you're11A. It appears to be.us you exit12Q. Okay. So in other words, when TOTE ships I Coast pilots, they pay pilotage based on the T ships GT ITC?cope.15A. It appears that way.relevant16MR. BRICKENSTEIN: I have no further questions for this witness.on a18MR. BLOCK: So that JUDGE HOWARD: Any redirect?ance.20MR. BLOCK: I have a little redirect, Your 21etstimony22REDIRECT EXAMINATION	, could cause os nire BC
1between Puget Sound and the port of Alask not unlike ship sailing under registry, you required to carry a Puget Sound pilot; corred A. That's correct. We're not required.3Q. You're not subject to compulsory pilotage A. No.4A. That's a voluntary choice that TOTE is mall A. Yes.9Q. Okay. But that's not the case when you go dock in British Columbia; right? In that inst because you're sailing on a foreign voyage, required to take both a Puget Sound pilot at the district and then a BC pilot when you er pilotage district; correct?15MR. BLOCK: Objection. Outside the so MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, it's I to the rate shock issue. It demonstrates that is, in fact, paying pilotage based on GT ITC o fairly regular basis.20MR. BLOCK: The question is not releva It's whether it's within the scope of the direct. JUDGE HOWARD: The witness gave to about the propriety of the change in invoicing.	ka, TOTE's1MR. BRICKENSTEIN: And, Mr. Crandall you just highlight this row where it says length, beam, max beam, deepest draft.ect?3beam, max beam, deepest draft.aCan you see that, Mr. Morrell?er?5A. Mm-hmm.6Q. And if you look at the fifth column over, it's labeled GRT, but that's not really accurate bea that 65,314 number there, that's the TOTE ship international tonnage.o to dry9international tonnage.tance,10That's the GT ITC; correct?, you're11A. It appears to be.Is you exit12Q. Okay. So in other words, when TOTE ships I Coast pilots, they pay pilotage based on the T ships GT ITC?cope.15A. It appears that way.relevant16MR. BRICKENSTEIN: I have no further questions for this witness.in a18MR. BLOCK: So that JUDGE HOWARD: Any redirect?ance.20MR. BLOCK: I have a little redirect, Your Honor.21Honor.23BY MR. BLOCK:	, could ause os nire BC OTE
1between Puget Sound and the port of Alask not unlike ship sailing under registry, you required to carry a Puget Sound pilot; corred A. That's correct. We're not required.3Q. You're not subject to compulsory pilotage A. No.4A. That's a voluntary choice that TOTE is male A. Yes.9Q. Okay. But that's not the case when you go dock in British Columbia; right? In that inst because you're sailing on a foreign voyage, required to take both a Puget Sound pilot a the district; correct?15MR. BLOCK: Objection. Outside the so MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, it's it to the rate shock issue. It demonstrates that is, in fact, paying pilotage based on GT ITC o 	ka, TOTE's1MR. BRICKENSTEIN: And, Mr. Crandall you just highlight this row where it says length, beam, max beam, deepest draft.ect?3beam, max beam, deepest draft.4Can you see that, Mr. Morrell?5A. Mm-hmm.6Q. And if you look at the fifth column over, it's labeled GRT, but that's not really accurate bed that 65,314 number there, that's the TOTE ship international tonnage.6Q. And if you look at the fifth column over, it's labeled GRT, but that's not really accurate bed that 65,314 number there, that's the TOTE ship international tonnage.7Iabeled GRT, but that's not really accurate bed that 65,314 number there, that's the TOTE ship international tonnage.9international tonnage.11A. It appears to be.12Q. Okay. So in other words, when TOTE ships I coast pilots, they pay pilotage based on the T ships GT ITC?14ships GT ITC?15A. It appears that way.16MR. BRICKENSTEIN: I have no further questions for this witness.17questions for this witness.18MR. BLOCK: So that JUDGE HOWARD: Any redirect?19JUDGE HOWARD: Any redirect?20MR. BLOCK: I have a little redirect, Your Honor.21Honor.22REDIRECT EXAMINATION23BY MR. BLOCK: Q. First of all, in those voyages to British Colum	, could ause os hire BC OTE

	Page 287		Page 289
1	they not?	1	Q. Would it be fair to say it's cargo carrying capacity?
2	A. Yes, they are.	2	A. No.
3	Q. So would that impact whether or not the international	3	MR. BLOCK: Okay. All right. I have no
4	rate should be applied in that instance as compared	4	further questions. Thank you.
5	to domestic voyages, which are the subject of TOTE's	5	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any
6	intervention in this GRC?	6	questions from the bench for this witness?
7	A. I believe so.	7	CHAIR DANNER: I have no questions, Your
8	Q. Does TOTE feel it has a right to engage PSP pilots	8	Honor.
9	even though it does so voluntarily?	9	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No, Your Honor. Thank
10	A. Do we I'm sorry. I don't I'm not sure I	10 11	
11	understand.	12	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I have none. JUDGE HOWARD: Hearing none, Mr. Morrell,
12	Q. You explained to Mr. Brickenstein that TOTE isn't	13	thank you for your testimony. You are excused from
13	under an obligation to use PSP pilots, but it does so	14	the remainder of the hearing.
14	voluntarily; right?	15	THE WITNESS: Okay.
15	A. Correct. Yes.	16	JUDGE HOWARD: I believe right now it is
16	Q. All right. But does TOTE understand that it has a	17	2:49. It would be a good time to take maybe a
17	right to use PSP's pilots if it wants to?	18	ten-minute break and rejoin at 3 p.m., and we will
18	A. We yeah. We can use them if we want to. We also	19	resume with Captain Loftfield from TOTE. All right.
19	have some masters that have their federal pilot	20	We are off the record.
20	license for Puget Sound. But we choose to we've	21	(A break was taken from
21	been using Puget Sound Pilot for over 45 years. And		2:49 p.m. to 3:02 p.m.)
22	so we continue to use them. But we know we have	22	
23	options.	23	JUDGE HOWARD: Let's be back on the record.
24	Q. Okay. Mr. Morrell, did you do any research or were	24	Our next witness is Captain Eric Loftfield.
25	you asked to give any opinion as to about what how	25	Captain Loftfield, could you please raise your right
	Page 288		Page 290
1		1	
1 2	Page 288 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's?	1 2	Page 290 hand and I'll swear you in.
	to charges PSP were charging for vessels other		hand and I'll swear you in. ****** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly
2	to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's?	2 3	hand and I'll swear you in. * * * * * * Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined
2 3	to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No.	2	hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
2 3 4	 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No. Q. Did you do any research about that to determine, you know, information that might go into testimony related to that topic? 	2 3 4	hand and I'll swear you in. * * * * * * Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined
2 3 4 5	 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No. Q. Did you do any research about that to determine, you know, information that might go into testimony 	2 3 4 5	hand and I'll swear you in. ****** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6	 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No. Q. Did you do any research about that to determine, you know, information that might go into testimony related to that topic? A. Well, I took a look at the spreadsheet, the data sheet they had. And I noticed that they had the 	2 3 4	hand and I'll swear you in. ****** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No. Q. Did you do any research about that to determine, you know, information that might go into testimony related to that topic? A. Well, I took a look at the spreadsheet, the data sheet they had. And I noticed that they had the normal, 35,000 gross, the domestic tonnage, and the 	2 3 4 5 6	hand and I'll swear you in. ****** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No. Q. Did you do any research about that to determine, you know, information that might go into testimony related to that topic? A. Well, I took a look at the spreadsheet, the data sheet they had. And I noticed that they had the normal, 35,000 gross, the domestic tonnage, and the calculation in the spreadsheet. And that was as per 	2 3 4 5 6 7	hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No. Q. Did you do any research about that to determine, you know, information that might go into testimony related to that topic? A. Well, I took a look at the spreadsheet, the data sheet they had. And I noticed that they had the normal, 35,000 gross, the domestic tonnage, and the calculation in the spreadsheet. And that was as per our normal understanding with Puget Sound Pilots. So 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. Block, would you please introduce the witness. MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No. Q. Did you do any research about that to determine, you know, information that might go into testimony related to that topic? A. Well, I took a look at the spreadsheet, the data sheet they had. And I noticed that they had the normal, 35,000 gross, the domestic tonnage, and the calculation in the spreadsheet. And that was as per our normal understanding with Puget Sound Pilots. So there was no further review or research for me to do 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. Block, would you please introduce the witness. MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes. Captain Loftfield, would you please state your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No. Q. Did you do any research about that to determine, you know, information that might go into testimony related to that topic? A. Well, I took a look at the spreadsheet, the data sheet they had. And I noticed that they had the normal, 35,000 gross, the domestic tonnage, and the calculation in the spreadsheet. And that was as per our normal understanding with Puget Sound Pilots. So there was no further review or research for me to do because the number was consistent with what we've 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. Block, would you please introduce the witness. MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes. Captain Loftfield, would you please state your full name for us?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No. Q. Did you do any research about that to determine, you know, information that might go into testimony related to that topic? A. Well, I took a look at the spreadsheet, the data sheet they had. And I noticed that they had the normal, 35,000 gross, the domestic tonnage, and the calculation in the spreadsheet. And that was as per our normal understanding with Puget Sound Pilots. So there was no further review or research for me to do because the number was consistent with what we've been paying for 20 years for these vessels. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. Block, would you please introduce the witness. MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes. Captain Loftfield, would you please state your full name for us? THE WITNESS: Eric Bradford Loftfield.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No. Q. Did you do any research about that to determine, you know, information that might go into testimony related to that topic? A. Well, I took a look at the spreadsheet, the data sheet they had. And I noticed that they had the normal, 35,000 gross, the domestic tonnage, and the calculation in the spreadsheet. And that was as per our normal understanding with Puget Sound Pilots. So there was no further review or research for me to do because the number was consistent with what we've been paying for 20 years for these vessels. Q. Okay. Ud like to revisit one of the earlier 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. Block, would you please introduce the witness. MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes. Captain Loftfield, would you please state your full name for us? THE WITNESS: Eric Bradford Loftfield. MR. BLOCK: Okay. And where are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No. Q. Did you do any research about that to determine, you know, information that might go into testimony related to that topic? A. Well, I took a look at the spreadsheet, the data sheet they had. And I noticed that they had the normal, 35,000 gross, the domestic tonnage, and the calculation in the spreadsheet. And that was as per our normal understanding with Puget Sound Pilots. So there was no further review or research for me to do because the number was consistent with what we've been paying for 20 years for these vessels. Q. Okay. Okay. I'd like to revisit one of the earlier questions you were asked. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. Block, would you please introduce the witness. MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes. Captain Loftfield, would you please state your full name for us? THE WITNESS: Eric Bradford Loftfield. MR. BLOCK: Okay. And where are you employed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No. Did you do any research about that to determine, you know, information that might go into testimony related to that topic? A. Well, I took a look at the spreadsheet, the data sheet they had. And I noticed that they had the normal, 35,000 gross, the domestic tonnage, and the calculation in the spreadsheet. And that was as per our normal understanding with Puget Sound Pilots. So there was no further review or research for me to do because the number was consistent with what we've been paying for 20 years for these vessels. Q. Okay. Okay. I'd like to revisit one of the earlier questions you were asked. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. Block, would you please introduce the witness. MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes. Captain Loftfield, would you please state your full name for us? THE WITNESS: Eric Bradford Loftfield. MR. BLOCK: Okay. And where are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No. Q. Did you do any research about that to determine, you know, information that might go into testimony related to that topic? A. Well, I took a look at the spreadsheet, the data sheet they had. And I noticed that they had the normal, 35,000 gross, the domestic tonnage, and the calculation in the spreadsheet. And that was as per our normal understanding with Puget Sound Pilots. So there was no further review or research for me to do because the number was consistent with what we've been paying for 20 years for these vessels. Q. Okay. Okay. I'd like to revisit one of the earlier questions you were asked. Tonnage, when it is used as a metric to determine the size of a vessel for purposes of calculating 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. Block, would you please introduce the witness. MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes. Captain Loftfield, would you please state your full name for us? THE WITNESS: Eric Bradford Loftfield. MR. BLOCK: Okay. And where are you employed? THE WITNESS: I am employed through Topsail, Incorporated, which is a corporation I formed for piloting purposes. And it's located in Anchorage,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No. Q. Did you do any research about that to determine, you know, information that might go into testimony related to that topic? A. Well, I took a look at the spreadsheet, the data sheet they had. And I noticed that they had the normal, 35,000 gross, the domestic tonnage, and the calculation in the spreadsheet. And that was as per our normal understanding with Puget Sound Pilots. So there was no further review or research for me to do because the number was consistent with what we've been paying for 20 years for these vessels. Q. Okay. Okay. I'd like to revisit one of the earlier questions you were asked. Tonnage, when it is used as a metric to determine the size of a vessel for purposes of calculating pilotage charges, is at heart of the of TOTE's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. Block, would you please introduce the witness. MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes. Captain Loftfield, would you please state your full name for us? THE WITNESS: Eric Bradford Loftfield. MR. BLOCK: Okay. And where are you employed? THE WITNESS: I am employed through Topsail, Incorporated, which is a corporation I formed for piloting purposes. And it's located in Anchorage, Alaska. And I am subcontracted to TOTE, and I do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No. Q. Did you do any research about that to determine, you know, information that might go into testimony related to that topic? A. Well, I took a look at the spreadsheet, the data sheet they had. And I noticed that they had the normal, 35,000 gross, the domestic tonnage, and the calculation in the spreadsheet. And that was as per our normal understanding with Puget Sound Pilots. So there was no further review or research for me to do because the number was consistent with what we've been paying for 20 years for these vessels. Q. Okay. Okay. I'd like to revisit one of the earlier questions you were asked. Tonnage, when it is used as a metric to determine the size of a vessel for purposes of calculating pilotage charges, is at heart of the of TOTE's position here. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. Block, would you please introduce the witness. MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes. Captain Loftfield, would you please state your full name for us? THE WITNESS: Eric Bradford Loftfield. MR. BLOCK: Okay. And where are you employed? THE WITNESS: I am employed through Topsail, Incorporated, which is a corporation I formed for piloting purposes. And it's located in Anchorage, Alaska. And I am subcontracted to TOTE, and I do their pilotage pilotage in Alaska.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No. Q. Did you do any research about that to determine, you know, information that might go into testimony related to that topic? A. Well, I took a look at the spreadsheet, the data sheet they had. And I noticed that they had the normal, 35,000 gross, the domestic tonnage, and the calculation in the spreadsheet. And that was as per our normal understanding with Puget Sound Pilots. So there was no further review or research for me to do because the number was consistent with what we've been paying for 20 years for these vessels. Q. Okay. Okay. I'd like to revisit one of the earlier questions you were asked. Tonnage, when it is used as a metric to determine the size of a vessel for purposes of calculating pilotage charges, is at heart of the of TOTE's position here. Wouldn't you agree? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. Block, would you please introduce the witness. MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes. Captain Loftfield, would you please state your full name for us? THE WITNESS: Eric Bradford Loftfield. MR. BLOCK: Okay. And where are you employed? THE WITNESS: I am employed through Topsail, Incorporated, which is a corporation I formed for piloting purposes. And it's located in Anchorage, Alaska. And I am subcontracted to TOTE, and I do their pilotage pilotage in Alaska. MR. BLOCK: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No. Q. Did you do any research about that to determine, you know, information that might go into testimony related to that topic? A. Well, I took a look at the spreadsheet, the data sheet they had. And I noticed that they had the normal, 35,000 gross, the domestic tonnage, and the calculation in the spreadsheet. And that was as per our normal understanding with Puget Sound Pilots. So there was no further review or research for me to do because the number was consistent with what we've been paying for 20 years for these vessels. Q. Okay. Okay. I'd like to revisit one of the earlier questions you were asked. Tonnage, when it is used as a metric to determine the size of a vessel for purposes of calculating pilotage charges, is at heart of the of TOTE's position here. Wouldn't you agree? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. Block, would you please introduce the witness. MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes. Captain Loftfield, would you please state your full name for us? THE WITNESS: Eric Bradford Loftfield. MR. BLOCK: Okay. And where are you employed? THE WITNESS: I am employed through Topsail, Incorporated, which is a corporation I formed for piloting purposes. And it's located in Anchorage, Alaska. And I am subcontracted to TOTE, and I do their pilotage pilotage in Alaska. MR. BLOCK: Okay. Thank you. Did you submit written testimony that for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No. O. Did you do any research about that to determine, you know, information that might go into testimony related to that topic? A. Well, I took a look at the spreadsheet, the data sheet they had. And I noticed that they had the normal, 35,000 gross, the domestic tonnage, and the calculation in the spreadsheet. And that was as per our normal understanding with Puget Sound Pilots. So there was no further review or research for me to do because the number was consistent with what we've been paying for 20 years for these vessels. Q. Okay. Okay. I'd like to revisit one of the earlier questions you were asked. Tonnage, when it is used as a metric to determine the size of a vessel for purposes of calculating pilotage charges, is at heart of the of TOTE's position here. Wouldn't you agree? A. Yes. Q. Okay. What is tonnage a measurement of, be it under 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. Block, would you please introduce the witness. MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes. Captain Loftfield, would you please state your full name for us? THE WITNESS: Eric Bradford Loftfield. MR. BLOCK: Okay. And where are you employed? THE WITNESS: I am employed through Topsail, Incorporated, which is a corporation I formed for piloting purposes. And it's located in Anchorage, Alaska. And I am subcontracted to TOTE, and I do their pilotage pilotage in Alaska. MR. BLOCK: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 to charges PSP were charging for vessels other than TOTE's? A. No. Q. Did you do any research about that to determine, you know, information that might go into testimony related to that topic? A. Well, I took a look at the spreadsheet, the data sheet they had. And I noticed that they had the normal, 35,000 gross, the domestic tonnage, and the calculation in the spreadsheet. And that was as per our normal understanding with Puget Sound Pilots. So there was no further review or research for me to do because the number was consistent with what we've been paying for 20 years for these vessels. Q. Okay. Okay. I'd like to revisit one of the earlier questions you were asked. Tonnage, when it is used as a metric to determine the size of a vessel for purposes of calculating pilotage charges, is at heart of the of TOTE's position here. Wouldn't you agree? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	hand and I'll swear you in. ***** Captain Eric Loftfield, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Mr. Block, would you please introduce the witness. MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes. Captain Loftfield, would you please state your full name for us? THE WITNESS: Eric Bradford Loftfield. MR. BLOCK: Okay. And where are you employed? THE WITNESS: I am employed through Topsail, Incorporated, which is a corporation I formed for piloting purposes. And it's located in Anchorage, Alaska. And I am subcontracted to TOTE, and I do their pilotage pilotage in Alaska. MR. BLOCK: Okay. Thank you. Did you submit written testimony that for purposes of this proceeding?

50 (Pages 287 to 290)

	Page 291		Page 293
1	testimony true and correct to the best of your	1	Q. Captain Loftfield, I'll just re-ask it.
2	knowledge?	2	Wouldn't you agree that these ships vary widely
3	THE WITNESS: Yes.	3	in terms of their size, configurations, and handling
4	MR. BLOCK: Okay. Thank you.	4	characteristics that are unique to each ship?
5	I tender the witness to PSP for	5	A. Yes.
6	cross-examination.	6	Q. Okay. So wouldn't you acknowledge, then, that making
7	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And	7	generalized comparisons between TOTE ships and a
8	PSP, you may proceed.	8	hypothetical, quote/unquote, "typical vessel" is not
9	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.	9	really a valid exercise?
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION	10	A. No, I wouldn't. I would say that I could compare the
11	BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN:	11	vessel that I work on, the Orca class vessel, to any
12	Q. Captain Loftfield, you state that the purpose of your	12	other vessel that you have.
13	testimony is to compare the risk and burden of	13	Q. Right. But you've attempted to draw comparisons, and
		14	you've said that the TOTE ships ought to be charged
14	piloting TOTE ships to I'm quoting page 1 of your	1	
15 16	testimony "vessels for which Puget Sound Pilots	15 16	pilotage ought to be the only ships charged pilotage based on their GRT on grounds that they're
	typically provide pilotage services." Is that a fair characterization of the purpose of	17	
17		1	better handling and less risky than a, quote/unquote, "typical vessel."
18	your testimony?	18 19	
19 20	A. Yes.	20	But what does that mean? A container ship
	Q. And you'd agree, wouldn't you, that Puget Sound	20	1,200-foot container ship or a 200-foot yacht; right?
21	Pilots provide pilotage services to hundreds, if not	1	A. The Orca class vessels are head and shoulders above
22	thousands, of different vessels per year; is that	22	almost all other vessels in their handling
23	true?	23	characteristics.
24	A. I don't know the number, but it's probably between	24	Q. We'll talk about that in another moment.
25	100 and a thousand, yes.	25	But you state in your testimony that you've been
1 2	Page 292 Q. Okay. Can we pull back up IC-15, please. And you would agree, while Mr. Crandall's pulling	1 2	Page 294 piloting TOTE ships into and out of the port of Alaska since 1998. Is that when you joined TOTE
3	that up, Captain Loftfield, that the ships that PSP	3	through your through your own company?
4	pilots, they're a range of different types of	4	A. That would be correct.
5	vessels, from yachts to bulkers to container ships,	5	Q. Okay. Actually, let me let me break for just a
6	passenger vessels, Ro-Ros, et cetera; right?	6	second before I continue with that line of
7	A. That's correct.	7	questioning.
8	Q. Okay. And wouldn't you also agree that these ships	8	As a you have a federal pilot's license;
9	vary widely in terms of their size, their	9	correct?
10 11	configurations, their handling characteristics that are unique to each ship?	10 11	A. Yes. O Do you hold any state pilot's license?
12		12	Q. Do you hold any state pilot's license? A. No.
13	A. Certainly. MR. BLOCK: Objection, Your Honor. This is	13	Q. Okay. So just to be clear, as a matter of law,
14	getting into, again, lack of foundation. And beyond	14	Captain Loftfield, you're not permitted to pilot any
15	the scope of Captain Loftfield's testimony.	15	foreign flag vessels; is that true?
16	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Your Honor, the subject	16	A. That's I am not permitted to pilot, is that what
17	of his testimony is the claim that the TOTE ships	17	you're saying?
18	ought to be comparable to a, quote/unquote, "typical	18	Q. That's exactly what I'm asking.
19	vessel" that PSP pilots. And the purpose of this	19	A. Okay. I mean, my license is for more than just
20	line of questioning is to establish that that's an	20	piloting. My license would allow me to be a ship's
21	artificial construct.	21	master on a foreign flag vessel. But a vessel that
22	JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to allow the	22	was required
23	question.	23	Q. No, it would not.
24	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you.	24	A. A vessel a vessel that was required to have a
25	BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN:	25	state pilot by law would have to have a state pilot,
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

51 (Pages 291 to 294)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 295		Page 297
1	not a federal pilot only.	1	JUDGE HOWARD: I'm sorry. I just I feel
2	Q. Right. And that's pilotage the reason state	2	like there is someone who needs to mute their line.
3	pilot's licenses are required is because there are	3	Ryan or Courtney, could you look into people who need
4	certain skills and training and education that goes	4	to be muted, please? Sorry about that,
5	into obtaining a state pilot's license that you don't	5	Mr. Brickenstein. Please proceed.
б	have just simply by having a master's license; right?	6	MR. BRICKENSTEIN: No. Thank you, Your
7	A. No. I have all the skills that a state pilot has. I	7	Honor. I appreciate that.
8	do not have the state license because I have not sat	8	BY MR. BRICKENSTEIN:
9	for the state exam.	9	Q. I just want to confirm, Captain Loftfield, because
10	Q. Okay. So in the 25 years since 1998 that you've been	10	while I appreciate your answer, it wasn't really
11	with TOTE, has that been has your employment	11	directed at my question.
12	exclusively been with TOTE?	12	The subject matter of your testimony is the
13	A. As a pilot, I've exclusively worked for TOTE. Yes.	13	supposed comparability between the TOTE ships and
14	Q. Okay. So fair to say, then, for the past 25 years,	14	various ships that PSP pilots.
15	quarter century, you have not piloted any ship other	15	And what I'm saying is and I'm asking if
16	than TOTE ships; is that true?	16	you're agreeing with me, that over the past quarter
17	A. Any large commercial ships. I have only piloted the	17	century, you don't have experience piloting any ship
18	TOTE ships.	18	other than TOTE ships and therefore can't make a
19	Q. Okay.	19	comparison to any vessel, let alone the,
20	A. Yeah.	20	quote/unquote, "typical vessel" that Puget Sound
21	Q. You've never held a Washington State pilot's license;	21	Pilots are piloting on a day-to-day basis.
22	right?	22	Isn't that true?
23	A. No.	23	A. No, it's not true. It is true that I have not
24	Q. You've never been a member of the Puget Sound Pilots;	24	piloted any other ships. However in the last
25	right?	25	25 years. However, I have come in and out of Puget
	Page 296		Page 298
1	A. No.	1	Sound on a regular basis. I have been up on the
2	Q. So wouldn't you acknowledge that, apart from the TOTE	2	bridge and seen the Puget Sound piloting that they're
3	ships, you have no personal knowledge of the		
		3	doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come
4	individual ships or their characteristics that PSP	3 4	
4 5			doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come
	individual ships or their characteristics that PSP	4	doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also
5	individual ships or their characteristics that PSP pilots on a day-to-day basis?	4 5	doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also know a lot about as the ship's master, I've been
5 6	individual ships or their characteristics that PSP pilots on a day-to-day basis? A. Every ship that I've been master of I've had to	4 5 6	doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also know a lot about as the ship's master, I've been in and out of Puget Sound. And the fact is that
5 6 7	individual ships or their characteristics that PSP pilots on a day-to-day basis?A. Every ship that I've been master of I've had to supervise whatever pilot, be it a state pilot, a	4 5 6 7	doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also know a lot about as the ship's master, I've been in and out of Puget Sound. And the fact is that other than the part of the statement where you say I have not piloted any other ship, the rest of it I have to disagree with.
5 6 7 8	 individual ships or their characteristics that PSP pilots on a day-to-day basis? A. Every ship that I've been master of I've had to supervise whatever pilot, be it a state pilot, a federal pilot, a pilot in a foreign country. I have 	4 5 6 7 8	doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also know a lot about as the ship's master, I've been in and out of Puget Sound. And the fact is that other than the part of the statement where you say I have not piloted any other ship, the rest of it I
5 6 7 8 9	 individual ships or their characteristics that PSP pilots on a day-to-day basis? A. Every ship that I've been master of I've had to supervise whatever pilot, be it a state pilot, a federal pilot, a pilot in a foreign country. I have the ultimate responsibility as the ship's master, and 	4 5 6 7 8 9	doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also know a lot about as the ship's master, I've been in and out of Puget Sound. And the fact is that other than the part of the statement where you say I have not piloted any other ship, the rest of it I have to disagree with.
5 6 7 8 9 10	 individual ships or their characteristics that PSP pilots on a day-to-day basis? A. Every ship that I've been master of I've had to supervise whatever pilot, be it a state pilot, a federal pilot, a pilot in a foreign country. I have the ultimate responsibility as the ship's master, and I have to supervise and keep a really close eye on 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also know a lot about as the ship's master, I've been in and out of Puget Sound. And the fact is that other than the part of the statement where you say I have not piloted any other ship, the rest of it I have to disagree with. Q. Okay. Thank you. When you I want to ask you a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 individual ships or their characteristics that PSP pilots on a day-to-day basis? A. Every ship that I've been master of I've had to supervise whatever pilot, be it a state pilot, a federal pilot, a pilot in a foreign country. I have the ultimate responsibility as the ship's master, and I have to supervise and keep a really close eye on what the pilots are doing and take the ship away from 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also know a lot about as the ship's master, I've been in and out of Puget Sound. And the fact is that other than the part of the statement where you say I have not piloted any other ship, the rest of it I have to disagree with. Q. Okay. Thank you. When you I want to ask you a quick question about the port of Alaska, which is
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 individual ships or their characteristics that PSP pilots on a day-to-day basis? A. Every ship that I've been master of I've had to supervise whatever pilot, be it a state pilot, a federal pilot, a pilot in a foreign country. I have the ultimate responsibility as the ship's master, and I have to supervise and keep a really close eye on what the pilots are doing and take the ship away from them, if necessary. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also know a lot about as the ship's master, I've been in and out of Puget Sound. And the fact is that other than the part of the statement where you say I have not piloted any other ship, the rest of it I have to disagree with. Q. Okay. Thank you. When you I want to ask you a quick question about the port of Alaska, which is where you pilot the TOTE ships; correct? A. That would be the Port of Anchorage. That is not the port of Alaska.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 individual ships or their characteristics that PSP pilots on a day-to-day basis? A. Every ship that I've been master of I've had to supervise whatever pilot, be it a state pilot, a federal pilot, a pilot in a foreign country. I have the ultimate responsibility as the ship's master, and I have to supervise and keep a really close eye on what the pilots are doing and take the ship away from them, if necessary. So I have plenty of experience on the pilotage where I was the person responsible in a large assortment of ports 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also know a lot about as the ship's master, I've been in and out of Puget Sound. And the fact is that other than the part of the statement where you say I have not piloted any other ship, the rest of it I have to disagree with. Q. Okay. Thank you. When you I want to ask you a quick question about the port of Alaska, which is where you pilot the TOTE ships; correct? A. That would be the Port of Anchorage. That is not the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 individual ships or their characteristics that PSP pilots on a day-to-day basis? A. Every ship that I've been master of I've had to supervise whatever pilot, be it a state pilot, a federal pilot, a pilot in a foreign country. I have the ultimate responsibility as the ship's master, and I have to supervise and keep a really close eye on what the pilots are doing and take the ship away from them, if necessary. So I have plenty of experience on the pilotage where I was the person responsible in a large assortment of vessels and a large assortment of ports and places around the world. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also know a lot about as the ship's master, I've been in and out of Puget Sound. And the fact is that other than the part of the statement where you say I have not piloted any other ship, the rest of it I have to disagree with. Q. Okay. Thank you. When you I want to ask you a quick question about the port of Alaska, which is where you pilot the TOTE ships; correct? A. That would be the Port of Anchorage. That is not the port of Alaska.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 individual ships or their characteristics that PSP pilots on a day-to-day basis? A. Every ship that I've been master of I've had to supervise whatever pilot, be it a state pilot, a federal pilot, a pilot in a foreign country. I have the ultimate responsibility as the ship's master, and I have to supervise and keep a really close eye on what the pilots are doing and take the ship away from them, if necessary. So I have plenty of experience on the pilotage where I was the person responsible in a large assortment of vessels and a large assortment of ports and places around the world. Q. Thank you, Captain Loftfield. And I appreciate that. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also know a lot about as the ship's master, I've been in and out of Puget Sound. And the fact is that other than the part of the statement where you say I have not piloted any other ship, the rest of it I have to disagree with. Q. Okay. Thank you. When you I want to ask you a quick question about the port of Alaska, which is where you pilot the TOTE ships; correct? A. That would be the Port of Anchorage. That is not the port of Alaska. Q. Thanks for the clarification. When you A. There are many ports in Alaska.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 individual ships or their characteristics that PSP pilots on a day-to-day basis? A. Every ship that I've been master of I've had to supervise whatever pilot, be it a state pilot, a federal pilot, a pilot in a foreign country. I have the ultimate responsibility as the ship's master, and I have to supervise and keep a really close eye on what the pilots are doing and take the ship away from them, if necessary. So I have plenty of experience on the pilotage where I was the person responsible in a large assortment of vessels and a large assortment of ports and places around the world. Q. Thank you, Captain Loftfield. And I appreciate that. But it is a little bit different from what I was 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also know a lot about as the ship's master, I've been in and out of Puget Sound. And the fact is that other than the part of the statement where you say I have not piloted any other ship, the rest of it I have to disagree with. Q. Okay. Thank you. When you I want to ask you a quick question about the port of Alaska, which is where you pilot the TOTE ships; correct? A. That would be the Port of Anchorage. That is not the port of Alaska. Q. Thanks for the clarification. When you A. There are many ports in Alaska. Q. Okay. And when you approach the Port of Anchorage
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 individual ships or their characteristics that PSP pilots on a day-to-day basis? A. Every ship that I've been master of I've had to supervise whatever pilot, be it a state pilot, a federal pilot, a pilot in a foreign country. I have the ultimate responsibility as the ship's master, and I have to supervise and keep a really close eye on what the pilots are doing and take the ship away from them, if necessary. So I have plenty of experience on the pilotage where I was the person responsible in a large assortment of vessels and a large assortment of ports and places around the world. Q. Thank you, Captain Loftfield. And I appreciate that. But it is a little bit different from what I was asking. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also know a lot about as the ship's master, I've been in and out of Puget Sound. And the fact is that other than the part of the statement where you say I have not piloted any other ship, the rest of it I have to disagree with. Q. Okay. Thank you. When you I want to ask you a quick question about the port of Alaska, which is where you pilot the TOTE ships; correct? A. That would be the Port of Anchorage. That is not the port of Alaska. Q. Thanks for the clarification. When you A. There are many ports in Alaska. Q. Okay. And when you approach the Port of Anchorage aboard the TOTE ships, are you traveling forward or
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 individual ships or their characteristics that PSP pilots on a day-to-day basis? A. Every ship that I've been master of I've had to supervise whatever pilot, be it a state pilot, a federal pilot, a pilot in a foreign country. I have the ultimate responsibility as the ship's master, and I have to supervise and keep a really close eye on what the pilots are doing and take the ship away from them, if necessary. So I have plenty of experience on the pilotage where I was the person responsible in a large assortment of vessels and a large assortment of ports and places around the world. Q. Thank you, Captain Loftfield. And I appreciate that. But it is a little bit different from what I was asking. What I'm saying is, for the past quarter century, 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also know a lot about as the ship's master, I've been in and out of Puget Sound. And the fact is that other than the part of the statement where you say I have not piloted any other ship, the rest of it I have to disagree with. Q. Okay. Thank you. When you I want to ask you a quick question about the port of Alaska, which is where you pilot the TOTE ships; correct? A. That would be the Port of Anchorage. That is not the port of Alaska. Q. Thanks for the clarification. When you A. There are many ports in Alaska. Q. Okay. And when you approach the Port of Anchorage aboard the TOTE ships, are you traveling forward or backwards, bow first or stern first?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 individual ships or their characteristics that PSP pilots on a day-to-day basis? A. Every ship that I've been master of I've had to supervise whatever pilot, be it a state pilot, a federal pilot, a pilot in a foreign country. I have the ultimate responsibility as the ship's master, and I have to supervise and keep a really close eye on what the pilots are doing and take the ship away from them, if necessary. So I have plenty of experience on the pilotage where I was the person responsible in a large assortment of vessels and a large assortment of ports and places around the world. Q. Thank you, Captain Loftfield. And I appreciate that. But it is a little bit different from what I was asking. What I'm saying is, for the past quarter century, you've only been aboard the TOTE ships. So you don't 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also know a lot about as the ship's master, I've been in and out of Puget Sound. And the fact is that other than the part of the statement where you say I have not piloted any other ship, the rest of it I have to disagree with. Q. Okay. Thank you. When you I want to ask you a quick question about the port of Alaska, which is where you pilot the TOTE ships; correct? A. That would be the Port of Anchorage. That is not the port of Alaska. Q. Thanks for the clarification. When you A. There are many ports in Alaska. Q. Okay. And when you approach the Port of Anchorage aboard the TOTE ships, are you traveling forward or backwards, bow first or stern first? A. Well, for the most part, we're trying to come in
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 individual ships or their characteristics that PSP pilots on a day-to-day basis? A. Every ship that I've been master of I've had to supervise whatever pilot, be it a state pilot, a federal pilot, a pilot in a foreign country. I have the ultimate responsibility as the ship's master, and I have to supervise and keep a really close eye on what the pilots are doing and take the ship away from them, if necessary. So I have plenty of experience on the pilotage where I was the person responsible in a large assortment of vessels and a large assortment of ports and places around the world. Q. Thank you, Captain Loftfield. And I appreciate that. But it is a little bit different from what I was asking. What I'm saying is, for the past quarter century, you've only been aboard the TOTE ships. So you don't really have any knowledge of what ships PSP is 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also know a lot about as the ship's master, I've been in and out of Puget Sound. And the fact is that other than the part of the statement where you say I have not piloted any other ship, the rest of it I have to disagree with. Q. Okay. Thank you. When you I want to ask you a quick question about the port of Alaska, which is where you pilot the TOTE ships; correct? A. That would be the Port of Anchorage. That is not the port of Alaska. Q. Thanks for the clarification. When you A. There are many ports in Alaska. Q. Okay. And when you approach the Port of Anchorage aboard the TOTE ships, are you traveling forward or backwards, bow first or stern first? A. Well, for the most part, we're trying to come in going forward. But because there is such great tide
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 individual ships or their characteristics that PSP pilots on a day-to-day basis? A. Every ship that I've been master of I've had to supervise whatever pilot, be it a state pilot, a federal pilot, a pilot in a foreign country. I have the ultimate responsibility as the ship's master, and I have to supervise and keep a really close eye on what the pilots are doing and take the ship away from them, if necessary. So I have plenty of experience on the pilotage where I was the person responsible in a large assortment of vessels and a large assortment of ports and places around the world. Q. Thank you, Captain Loftfield. And I appreciate that. But it is a little bit different from what I was asking. What I'm saying is, for the past quarter century, you've only been aboard the TOTE ships. So you don't really have any knowledge of what ships PSP is piloting specific ships PSP is piloting on a 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also know a lot about as the ship's master, I've been in and out of Puget Sound. And the fact is that other than the part of the statement where you say I have not piloted any other ship, the rest of it I have to disagree with. Q. Okay. Thank you. When you I want to ask you a quick question about the port of Alaska, which is where you pilot the TOTE ships; correct? A. That would be the Port of Anchorage. That is not the port of Alaska. Q. Thanks for the clarification. When you A. There are many ports in Alaska. Q. Okay. And when you approach the Port of Anchorage aboard the TOTE ships, are you traveling forward or backwards, bow first or stern first? A. Well, for the most part, we're trying to come in going forward. But because there is such great tide and so much current in the port of Alaska, that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 individual ships or their characteristics that PSP pilots on a day-to-day basis? A. Every ship that I've been master of I've had to supervise whatever pilot, be it a state pilot, a federal pilot, a pilot in a foreign country. I have the ultimate responsibility as the ship's master, and I have to supervise and keep a really close eye on what the pilots are doing and take the ship away from them, if necessary. So I have plenty of experience on the pilotage where I was the person responsible in a large assortment of vessels and a large assortment of ports and places around the world. Q. Thank you, Captain Loftfield. And I appreciate that. But it is a little bit different from what I was asking. What I'm saying is, for the past quarter century, you've only been aboard the TOTE ships. So you don't really have any knowledge of what ships PSP is piloting specific ships PSP is piloting on a day-to-day basis, let alone 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also know a lot about as the ship's master, I've been in and out of Puget Sound. And the fact is that other than the part of the statement where you say I have not piloted any other ship, the rest of it I have to disagree with. Q. Okay. Thank you. When you I want to ask you a quick question about the port of Alaska, which is where you pilot the TOTE ships; correct? A. That would be the Port of Anchorage. That is not the port of Alaska. Q. Thanks for the clarification. When you A. There are many ports in Alaska. Q. Okay. And when you approach the Port of Anchorage aboard the TOTE ships, are you traveling forward or backwards, bow first or stern first? A. Well, for the most part, we're trying to come in going forward. But because there is such great tide and so much current in the port of Alaska, that would that means we always have to face into the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 individual ships or their characteristics that PSP pilots on a day-to-day basis? A. Every ship that I've been master of I've had to supervise whatever pilot, be it a state pilot, a federal pilot, a pilot in a foreign country. I have the ultimate responsibility as the ship's master, and I have to supervise and keep a really close eye on what the pilots are doing and take the ship away from them, if necessary. So I have plenty of experience on the pilotage where I was the person responsible in a large assortment of vessels and a large assortment of ports and places around the world. Q. Thank you, Captain Loftfield. And I appreciate that. But it is a little bit different from what I was asking. What I'm saying is, for the past quarter century, you've only been aboard the TOTE ships. So you don't really have any knowledge of what ships PSP is piloting specific ships PSP is piloting on a 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 doing. I know all of the types of traffic that come in and out. I talk to a lot of the pilots. I also know a lot about as the ship's master, I've been in and out of Puget Sound. And the fact is that other than the part of the statement where you say I have not piloted any other ship, the rest of it I have to disagree with. Q. Okay. Thank you. When you I want to ask you a quick question about the port of Alaska, which is where you pilot the TOTE ships; correct? A. That would be the Port of Anchorage. That is not the port of Alaska. Q. Thanks for the clarification. When you A. There are many ports in Alaska. Q. Okay. And when you approach the Port of Anchorage aboard the TOTE ships, are you traveling forward or backwards, bow first or stern first? A. Well, for the most part, we're trying to come in going forward. But because there is such great tide and so much current in the port of Alaska, that

52 (Pages 295 to 298)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 299	Page 301
1	side up there.	1 Q. Do you agree with him?
2	Q. Right.	2 A. Of course I agree with him. They don't have bow
3	A. We do have to go we do have to use astern as we're	3 thrusters. The decision was made when the ships were
4	approaching the dock.	4 built to to not put bow thrusters in, in part
5	Q. You do approach the dock astern?	 because of how hard they are to maintain and keep
6	A. Astern through the water. In other words, the fact	6 reliable. And that it's safer to go with the tugs
7	is that we're backing into a current. We can be. We	7 than to try to trust a bow thruster in a in a
8	try to avoid it because it you know, we'd like to	8 tricky situation.
9	avoid it, but we can't always avoid it.	9 Q. Captain Loftfield, it's true, isn't it, that pilots,
10	Q. You're aware that when the TOTE ships come into port	10 when you're piloting a ship, you're piloting the
11	in Puget Sound, they they dock in the Blair	11 entire ship above and below the waterline, not just
12	Waterway and that Puget Sound pilots have to navigate	12 the cargo carrying spaces; right?
13	the ships traveling astern for approximately one	13 A. Yes.
14	mile; correct?	14 Q. And during your career, you've you've sailed both
15	A. That's correct. Although I don't think it's	15 domestically and on international commercial voyages;
16	mostly I think it's mostly less than a mile. But	16 true?
17	anyway, it doesn't really matter. We back into the	17 A. Yes.
18	waterway. Yes.	18 Q. Now, at the risk of maybe stating the obvious but
19	Q. And there's no comparable transit or maneuver to that	19 I bring it up because TOTE's raised it as an issue.
20	in the Port of Anchorage, is there? You're not	20 A vessel's physical size doesn't change depending on
21	traveling astern for a mile or close to a mile, are	21 whether it's sailing on a domestic or a foreign
22	you?	22 voyage, does it?
23	A. If we're trying to back if we're trying to stem a	23 A. No.
24	current that's on the stern, we would be backing, and	24 Q. Okay. And similarly, a vessel's risk profile, its
25	even though we wouldn't move over to ground, we would	25 maneuverability or the difficulty of piloting a
	Page 300	Page 302
1	be moving through the water. And it could be	1 particular vessel, none of that's determined based on
2		
	depending on the strength of the current, it could be	2 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is
3	a mile through the water. Yeah.	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it?
4	a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No.
4 5	a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the
4 5 6	a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that having a bow thruster would be a critical aid to	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the moment, that pilotage rates, or at least the tonnage
4 5 6 7	a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that having a bow thruster would be a critical aid to maneuverability while traveling astern?	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the moment, that pilotage rates, or at least the tonnage component, is a function of the vessel's size as a
4 5 7 8	 a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that having a bow thruster would be a critical aid to maneuverability while traveling astern? A. Yes and no. And the reason I say that is because a 	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the moment, that pilotage rates, or at least the tonnage component, is a function of the vessel's size as a proxy for the difficulty and risk of piloting a
4 5 7 8 9	 a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that having a bow thruster would be a critical aid to maneuverability while traveling astern? A. Yes and no. And the reason I say that is because a tug can do whatever the bow thruster can do. And the 	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the moment, that pilotage rates, or at least the tonnage component, is a function of the vessel's size as a proxy for the difficulty and risk of piloting a particular ship.
4 5 7 8 9 10	 a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that having a bow thruster would be a critical aid to maneuverability while traveling astern? A. Yes and no. And the reason I say that is because a tug can do whatever the bow thruster can do. And the ships I've had with bow thrusters, the bow thruster 	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the moment, that pilotage rates, or at least the tonnage component, is a function of the vessel's size as a proxy for the difficulty and risk of piloting a particular ship. Wouldn't you agree that reducing rates to a ship,
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that having a bow thruster would be a critical aid to maneuverability while traveling astern? A. Yes and no. And the reason I say that is because a tug can do whatever the bow thruster can do. And the ships I've had with bow thrusters, the bow thruster doesn't always respond when you want it. And in that 	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the moment, that pilotage rates, or at least the tonnage component, is a function of the vessel's size as a proxy for the difficulty and risk of piloting a particular ship. Wouldn't you agree that reducing rates to a ship, pilotage rates to a ship just because it's sailing on
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that having a bow thruster would be a critical aid to maneuverability while traveling astern? A. Yes and no. And the reason I say that is because a tug can do whatever the bow thruster can do. And the ships I've had with bow thrusters, the bow thruster doesn't always respond when you want it. And in that case, you're going to have to get ahold of a tug 	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the moment, that pilotage rates, or at least the tonnage component, is a function of the vessel's size as a proxy for the difficulty and risk of piloting a particular ship. Wouldn't you agree that reducing rates to a ship, pilotage rates to a ship just because it's sailing on a domestic rather than foreign voyage is
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that having a bow thruster would be a critical aid to maneuverability while traveling astern? A. Yes and no. And the reason I say that is because a tug can do whatever the bow thruster can do. And the ships I've had with bow thrusters, the bow thruster doesn't always respond when you want it. And in that case, you're going to have to get ahold of a tug anyway. So although the bow thruster would make 	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the moment, that pilotage rates, or at least the tonnage component, is a function of the vessel's size as a proxy for the difficulty and risk of piloting a particular ship. Wouldn't you agree that reducing rates to a ship, pilotage rates to a ship just because it's sailing on a domestic rather than foreign voyage is discriminatory?
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that having a bow thruster would be a critical aid to maneuverability while traveling astern? A. Yes and no. And the reason I say that is because a tug can do whatever the bow thruster can do. And the ships I've had with bow thrusters, the bow thruster doesn't always respond when you want it. And in that case, you're going to have to get ahold of a tug anyway. So although the bow thruster would make things easier, the dependability of bow thrusters, 	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the moment, that pilotage rates, or at least the tonnage component, is a function of the vessel's size as a proxy for the difficulty and risk of piloting a particular ship. Wouldn't you agree that reducing rates to a ship, pilotage rates to a ship just because it's sailing on a domestic rather than foreign voyage is discriminatory? MR. BLOCK: Object. That's outside of his
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that having a bow thruster would be a critical aid to maneuverability while traveling astern? A. Yes and no. And the reason I say that is because a tug can do whatever the bow thruster can do. And the ships I've had with bow thrusters, the bow thruster doesn't always respond when you want it. And in that case, you're going to have to get ahold of a tug anyway. So although the bow thruster would make things easier, the dependability of bow thrusters, particularly in the waters up in Cook Inlet where 	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the moment, that pilotage rates, or at least the tonnage component, is a function of the vessel's size as a proxy for the difficulty and risk of piloting a particular ship. Wouldn't you agree that reducing rates to a ship, pilotage rates to a ship just because it's sailing on a domestic rather than foreign voyage is discriminatory? MR. BLOCK: Object. That's outside of his scope. Lack of foundation.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that having a bow thruster would be a critical aid to maneuverability while traveling astern? A. Yes and no. And the reason I say that is because a tug can do whatever the bow thruster can do. And the ships I've had with bow thrusters, the bow thruster doesn't always respond when you want it. And in that case, you're going to have to get ahold of a tug anyway. So although the bow thruster would make things easier, the dependability of bow thrusters, particularly in the waters up in Cook Inlet where it's really silty and there's ice for a large part of 	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the moment, that pilotage rates, or at least the tonnage component, is a function of the vessel's size as a proxy for the difficulty and risk of piloting a particular ship. Wouldn't you agree that reducing rates to a ship, pilotage rates to a ship just because it's sailing on a domestic rather than foreign voyage is discriminatory? MR. BLOCK: Object. That's outside of his scope. Lack of foundation. JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that having a bow thruster would be a critical aid to maneuverability while traveling astern? A. Yes and no. And the reason I say that is because a tug can do whatever the bow thruster can do. And the ships I've had with bow thrusters, the bow thruster doesn't always respond when you want it. And in that case, you're going to have to get ahold of a tug anyway. So although the bow thruster would make things easier, the dependability of bow thrusters, particularly in the waters up in Cook Inlet where it's really silty and there's ice for a large part of the year, the bow thruster would not be effective as 	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the moment, that pilotage rates, or at least the tonnage component, is a function of the vessel's size as a proxy for the difficulty and risk of piloting a particular ship. Wouldn't you agree that reducing rates to a ship, pilotage rates to a ship just because it's sailing on a domestic rather than foreign voyage is discriminatory? MR. BLOCK: Object. That's outside of his scope. Lack of foundation. JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection because I don't believe that tariff design and issues
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that having a bow thruster would be a critical aid to maneuverability while traveling astern? A. Yes and no. And the reason I say that is because a tug can do whatever the bow thruster can do. And the ships I've had with bow thrusters, the bow thruster doesn't always respond when you want it. And in that case, you're going to have to get ahold of a tug anyway. So although the bow thruster would make things easier, the dependability of bow thrusters, particularly in the waters up in Cook Inlet where it's really silty and there's ice for a large part of the year, the bow thruster would not be effective as a tug. A tug would be a much more effective tool. 	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the moment, that pilotage rates, or at least the tonnage component, is a function of the vessel's size as a proxy for the difficulty and risk of piloting a particular ship. Wouldn't you agree that reducing rates to a ship, pilotage rates to a ship just because it's sailing on a domestic rather than foreign voyage is discriminatory? MR. BLOCK: Object. That's outside of his scope. Lack of foundation. JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection because I don't believe that tariff design and issues along those lines were a part of Captain Loftfield's
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that having a bow thruster would be a critical aid to maneuverability while traveling astern? A. Yes and no. And the reason I say that is because a tug can do whatever the bow thruster can do. And the ships I've had with bow thrusters, the bow thruster doesn't always respond when you want it. And in that case, you're going to have to get ahold of a tug anyway. So although the bow thruster would make things easier, the dependability of bow thrusters, particularly in the waters up in Cook Inlet where it's really silty and there's ice for a large part of the year, the bow thruster would not be effective as a tug. A tug would be a much more effective tool. Q. How about in Puget Sound? I mean, a bow thruster is 	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the moment, that pilotage rates, or at least the tonnage component, is a function of the vessel's size as a proxy for the difficulty and risk of piloting a particular ship. Wouldn't you agree that reducing rates to a ship, pilotage rates to a ship just because it's sailing on a domestic rather than foreign voyage is discriminatory? MR. BLOCK: Object. That's outside of his scope. Lack of foundation. JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection because I don't believe that tariff design and issues along those lines were a part of Captain Loftfield's testimony.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that having a bow thruster would be a critical aid to maneuverability while traveling astern? A. Yes and no. And the reason I say that is because a tug can do whatever the bow thruster can do. And the ships I've had with bow thrusters, the bow thruster doesn't always respond when you want it. And in that case, you're going to have to get ahold of a tug anyway. So although the bow thruster would make things easier, the dependability of bow thrusters, particularly in the waters up in Cook Inlet where it's really silty and there's ice for a large part of the year, the bow thruster would not be effective as a tug. A tug would be a much more effective tool. Q. How about in Puget Sound? I mean, a bow thruster is very helpful to Puget Sound pilots navigating astern 	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the moment, that pilotage rates, or at least the tonnage component, is a function of the vessel's size as a proxy for the difficulty and risk of piloting a particular ship. Wouldn't you agree that reducing rates to a ship, pilotage rates to a ship just because it's sailing on a domestic rather than foreign voyage is discriminatory? MR. BLOCK: Object. That's outside of his scope. Lack of foundation. JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection because I don't believe that tariff design and issues along those lines were a part of Captain Loftfield's testimony. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that having a bow thruster would be a critical aid to maneuverability while traveling astern? A. Yes and no. And the reason I say that is because a tug can do whatever the bow thruster can do. And the ships I've had with bow thrusters, the bow thruster doesn't always respond when you want it. And in that case, you're going to have to get ahold of a tug anyway. So although the bow thruster would make things easier, the dependability of bow thrusters, particularly in the waters up in Cook Inlet where it's really silty and there's ice for a large part of the year, the bow thruster would not be effective tool. Q. How about in Puget Sound? I mean, a bow thruster is very helpful to Puget Sound pilots navigating astern in constricted waterways, isn't it? 	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the moment, that pilotage rates, or at least the tonnage component, is a function of the vessel's size as a proxy for the difficulty and risk of piloting a particular ship. Wouldn't you agree that reducing rates to a ship, pilotage rates to a ship just because it's sailing on a domestic rather than foreign voyage is discriminatory? MR. BLOCK: Object. That's outside of his scope. Lack of foundation. JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection because I don't believe that tariff design and issues along those lines were a part of Captain Loftfield's testimony. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor. And I don't have any further questions.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that having a bow thruster would be a critical aid to maneuverability while traveling astern? A. Yes and no. And the reason I say that is because a tug can do whatever the bow thruster can do. And the ships I've had with bow thrusters, the bow thruster doesn't always respond when you want it. And in that case, you're going to have to get ahold of a tug anyway. So although the bow thruster would make things easier, the dependability of bow thrusters, particularly in the waters up in Cook Inlet where it's really silty and there's ice for a large part of the year, the bow thruster would not be effective tool. Q. How about in Puget Sound? I mean, a bow thruster is very helpful to Puget Sound pilots navigating astern in constricted waterways, isn't it? A. It is. If it's working properly. 	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the moment, that pilotage rates, or at least the tonnage component, is a function of the vessel's size as a proxy for the difficulty and risk of piloting a particular ship. Wouldn't you agree that reducing rates to a ship, pilotage rates to a ship just because it's sailing on a domestic rather than foreign voyage is discriminatory? MR. BLOCK: Object. That's outside of his scope. Lack of foundation. JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection because I don't believe that tariff design and issues along those lines were a part of Captain Loftfield's testimony. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor. And I don't have any further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that having a bow thruster would be a critical aid to maneuverability while traveling astern? A. Yes and no. And the reason I say that is because a tug can do whatever the bow thruster can do. And the ships I've had with bow thrusters, the bow thruster doesn't always respond when you want it. And in that case, you're going to have to get ahold of a tug anyway. So although the bow thruster would make things easier, the dependability of bow thrusters, particularly in the waters up in Cook Inlet where it's really silty and there's ice for a large part of the year, the bow thruster would not be effective as a tug. A tug would be a much more effective tool. Q. How about in Puget Sound? I mean, a bow thruster is very helpful to Puget Sound pilots navigating astern in constricted waterways, isn't it? A. It is. If it's working properly. Q. And I think Captain Klapperich testified to this. 	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the moment, that pilotage rates, or at least the tonnage component, is a function of the vessel's size as a proxy for the difficulty and risk of piloting a particular ship. Wouldn't you agree that reducing rates to a ship, pilotage rates to a ship just because it's sailing on a domestic rather than foreign voyage is discriminatory? MR. BLOCK: Object. That's outside of his scope. Lack of foundation. JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection because I don't believe that tariff design and issues along those lines were a part of Captain Loftfield's testimony. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor. And I don't have any further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any redirect?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 a mile through the water. Yeah. Q. Okay. So based on your experience doing that, I mean, wouldn't you agree with Captain Klapperich that having a bow thruster would be a critical aid to maneuverability while traveling astern? A. Yes and no. And the reason I say that is because a tug can do whatever the bow thruster can do. And the ships I've had with bow thrusters, the bow thruster doesn't always respond when you want it. And in that case, you're going to have to get ahold of a tug anyway. So although the bow thruster would make things easier, the dependability of bow thrusters, particularly in the waters up in Cook Inlet where it's really silty and there's ice for a large part of the year, the bow thruster would not be effective tool. Q. How about in Puget Sound? I mean, a bow thruster is very helpful to Puget Sound pilots navigating astern in constricted waterways, isn't it? A. It is. If it's working properly. 	 whether the voyage is domestic or international, is it? A. No. Q. Okay. So I want you to assume for me, for the moment, that pilotage rates, or at least the tonnage component, is a function of the vessel's size as a proxy for the difficulty and risk of piloting a particular ship. Wouldn't you agree that reducing rates to a ship, pilotage rates to a ship just because it's sailing on a domestic rather than foreign voyage is discriminatory? MR. BLOCK: Object. That's outside of his scope. Lack of foundation. JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection because I don't believe that tariff design and issues along those lines were a part of Captain Loftfield's testimony. MR. BRICKENSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor. And I don't have any further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any

53 (Pages 299 to 302)

	Page 303		Page 305
1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	1	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.
2	BY MR. BLOCK:	2	Mr. Haglund, would you please introduce the
3	Q. Captain Loftfield, you described your experience	3	witness.
4	coming on frequent voyages coming into and out of	4	MR. HAGLUND: Yes.
5	the Puget Sound region on a variety of vessels.	5	Captain Stoller, could you briefly describe
б	Did you mean to say that those were on a variety	6	your maritime experience?
7	of vessels, some of which might be owned by TOTE and	7	THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm Captain
8	some of which in fact, many of which, were not	8	Mitchell Stoller. I work for the maritime academy.
9	owned by TOTE?	9	I graduated valedictorian 1975. At 20 years old, I
10	A. Other than the TOTE vessels, I have been in and out	10	got a third mate's unlimited license, went to work
11	of Puget Sound a fair number of times. And they	11	for Exxon Shipping Company U.S.A. I was there
12	you know, on non-TOTE vessels, yeah.	12	approximately two years. Became a second mate
13	Q. "A fair number of times," meaning that you have	13	second mate, at 22 years old. Got one year of sea
14	experience on non-Ro-Ro vessels, some of which	14	time. Became the youngest chief mate in modern
15	A. Right.	15	history at 24 years old.
16	Q owned by TOTE, some of which not?	16	So from 24 years old, as a chief mate, to then
17	A. Yeah.	17	I was appointed permanent master and sailed as a
18	Q. On many occasions throughout your career?	18	master at 29 years old. Youngest captain at Exxon
19	A. Well, not as frequently as I've been in and out on	19	since World War II. I was there until I was
20	TOTE vessels. I mean, probably over 90 percent of my	20	approximately 32, 33 years old. Became an LA Harbor
21	trips in and out of Puget Sound have been on TOTE	21	pilot approximately two and a half years.
22	vessels.	22	After that, I've been a maritime consultant for
23	MR. BLOCK: All right. Thank you.	23	shipping companies. I've written safety manuals for
24	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	24	Arco Marine, West Coast Shipping, State of Washington
25	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any	25	procedures. I've been on three separate boards
	Page 304		Page 306
1	questions from the bench for this witness?	1	approved through the White House, the Navigation
2	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No, Your Honor.	2	Safety Advisory Council appointed by Federico Peña.
3	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: No, Your Honor. Thank	3	Twice I was on the Towing Safety Advisory Council,
4	you.	4	appointed by two separate directors of Homeland
5	CHAIR DANNER: No, Your Honor. Thank you.	5	Security. I've done many separate safety projects
6	JUDGE HOWARD: All right.	6	around my curriculum vitae.
7	Captain Loftfield, thank you for your	7	MR. HAGLUND: Did you prepare both original
8 9	testimony. You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you.	8	testimony and rebuttal testimony in this rate
10	JUDGE HOWARD: Our next witness on the order	9	proceeding?
11	of presentation would be Sean McCarthy. But I	10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	believe we conferred about this and McCarthy is only	11	MR. HAGLUND: Is it true and accurate to the
13	available tomorrow, not today.	12	best of your knowledge?
14	So we would be proceeding next with	13	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
15	Mitchell Stoller.	14	MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for
16	MR. HAGLUND: That's right.	15	cross-examination.
17	Mitchell, do you want to move to the witness	16	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.
18	chair?	17	PMSA, you may proceed.
19	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Mr. Stoller, if	18	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	you could please raise your right hand and I'll swear	19	
21	you in.	20 21	BY MS. DeLAPPE: O. Good afternoon Cantain Stoller
22		21	Q. Good afternoon, Captain Stoller. A. Good afternoon.
23	Captain Mitchell Stoller, having been first duly	1	
	sworn was avamined and	1 22	() (Could you please turn to your testimony
24	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:	23	Q. Could you please turn to your testimony, Exhibit MSS-01T, and specifically to page 6.
24 25	sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes.	23 24 25	 Q. Could you please turn to your testimony, Exhibit MSS-01T, and specifically to page 6. While you're doing that, just as a general

54 (Pages 303 to 306)

	Page 307		Page 309
1	matter, you testified on vessel risks in the pilotage	1	A. Yes.
2	space?	2	Q. Okay. The P&I clubs cover the claims arising from
3	A. Oh, yes. I've done risk assessment cases for	3	all of these incidents; correct?
4	approximately 30 years. I've been a guest speaker at	4	A. They cover the claims? How do you want me
5	the	5	Q. Isn't that why it's in the report, these are costs
6	Q. Mr. Stoller, that's a little beyond what I was	6	that they actually paid out?
7	asking, and I do have very limited time with you	7	A. I assume they did. I don't see that on that page, if
8	today.	8	they they were the ones that paid it out.
9	A. I thought you wanted my background in risk	9	Q. And I'm just referring to this report, based on your
10	assessment.	10	own submission of it and your professed familiarity
11	Q. That is not no. So try to listen carefully to the	11	with this.
12	question, please.	12	But you're not sure that the P&I clubs actually
13	If you look on page 6 at line 3, you pointed to a	13	reported paying these claims?
14	2020 report by The International Group of P&I Clubs	14	A. I believe they did.
15	as, quote, one of the most significant reports	15	Q. Thank you.
16	addressing this topic; correct?	16	Let's turn to page 15 of the report.
17	A. Yes.	17	I should say page 15 of the exhibit. It's
18	Q. And if you look a little further down to line 16, you	18	actually page 29 of the report that I'm looking at.
19	testified that the report found that there were 1,046	19	So it's on the right-hand side.
20	incidents that resulted in liabilities in excess of	20	And do you see there that it says, the title of
21	1.82 billion during 20 years; correct?	21	the page, "Incidents by Country and Port"?
22	A. Yes.	22	A. Yes.
23	Q. What makes this report so significant? If I could	23	Q. I direct you to look at Table 8, "Incidents by Port."
24	just have a brief answer on that.	24	Puget Sound is not on the list; correct?
25	A. It's significant, with the advent of larger ships and	25	A. I believe that's correct.
	Page 308		Page 310
1	all this equipment on board, that incidents still	1	Q. Would you agree that that is because Puget Sound has
2	happen and they're growing.	2	had fewer than three significant incidents in the
3	Q. Does this report also have credibility because the	3	last 20 years?
4	P&I club sources are reliable sources for this type	4	A. 20 years from now? From today?
5	of data?	5	Q. Excuse me. This report Captain Stoller, this
6	A. It has credibility for what's in the report.	6	report covers 20 years, as stated in your own
7	Q. Thank you. If you could turn to the report itself,	7	testimony; correct?
8	Exhibit MSS-03, and I would ask you to turn to	8	A. Yes.
9	page 5. And you'll see on that page Table 1.	9	Q. Thank you. And so that means that the Puget Sound
10	A. Okay.	10	has had fewer than three significant incidents in the
11	Q. Are you there?	11	20 years covered by this report; correct?
12	A. Yes.	12	A. Correct.
13	Q. Great.	13	Q. Okay. Do you see on the "Incidents by Port," New
14	So this table shows the cost of vessel incidents	14	Orleans?
15	that P&I clubs covered from 1999 to through 2018;	15	A. If you can point it out, please.
16	right?	16	Q. It's about its No. 4 down on the "Incidents by
17	A. Yes.	17	Port" table. It's the fourth one down.
18	Q. Do you see in this table that the single incident	18	A. Thank you. I see that. Yes.
19	with the highest cost of vessels worldwide was over	19	Q. Okay. And that's because it had 20 incidents?
20	\$300 million in 2007?	20	A. Yes.
21	Let me amend my answer slightly.	21	Q. And do you see, also, Mississippi River is high on
22	In 2007, you see that the total cost was 300	22	the list, just a few farther down, with 11
23	million, a little over 300 million. And you happen	23	incidents?
20		24	A. Yes.
2.4	LO KNOW LINAL LINAL IS A SINCIPE INCIDENT INAL OCCUPTED	2.4	
24 25	to know that that is a single incident that occurred in 2007?	25	Q. Now, according to other witnesses in this case, many

55 (Pages 307 to 310)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 311		Page 313
1	of the highest paid pilots in the country are in New	1	less room to navigate, less time to react to an
2	Orleans and on the Mississippi River.	2	error. You have personal watercraft in the
3	Are you able to conclude anything from this table	3	waterways. You have fishing vessels in the channels.
4	that would establish correlation between pilot pay	4	You have fatigue of the crew. You have helms that
5	and the number of incidents in any specific pilotage	5	may oversteer, you have helms that may be a poor
6	ground?	6	steerer. You have the helms that may miss an order.
7	A. The correlation between pay and incidents, no.	7	You have engines and bells that might not be executed
8	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I have no further	8	properly. You can have engine failure. You can have
9	questions.	9	rudder failure. You can have rudders that are
10	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	10	sluggish, radar failure, a variable pitch propeller
11	BY MR. HAGLUND:	11	go out, gyro error, rate of turn indicator not
12	Q. So staying with this International Group of P&I Clubs	12	working, sail effect of the vessel, anchor let go
13	report, could we return to page 5. And if you could,	13	underway, microclimates, hail storm, wind gusts,
14	blow up Table 1.	14	sudden squall, fog, tides, currents, total electrical
15	Captain Stoller, if you look at the year that	15	failure of the vessel, out failure going out,
16	Ms. DeLappe was questioning you about, which is 2007,	16	possible fire in the vessel, human error.
17	there's a the second column shows number of	17	Q. So just to make sure the record is clear, when you
18	incidents.	18	use the term "sail effect," what does that refer to?
19	And what's the what is the number in that	19	A. Sail effect is the wind on the side shell of the
20	column?	20	ship, which is the distance from the water up the
20	A. 57.	20	surface to the side of the vessel, and affects the
21	Q. It's not number it's not one; correct?	22	
23	A. Correct.	23	vessel. Q. And the larger the ship, the greater the sail effect?
23	Q. And do they does this table use the total cost and	24	A. Yes. It would be the exposure to the hull.
25	the number of incidents to derive an average cost per	25	And also, I may not have said that, when I was a
25	the number of moldents to derive an average cost per	25	And also, thiay hot have said that, when t was a
	Page 312		Page 314
1	Page 312 incident in each year?	1	Page 314 pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container
1 2		1 2	2
	incident in each year?		pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container
2	incident in each year? A. Yes.	2	pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not
2 3	incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident	2 3	pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger.
2 3 4	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? 	2 3 4	pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the
2 3 4 5	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? A. Over \$5 million. 	2 3 4 5	pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the propeller, they're harder to handle.
2 3 4 5 6	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? A. Over \$5 million. Q. Okay. Now, is it fair to say that during your career 	2 3 4 5 6	 pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the propeller, they're harder to handle. Q. So as the rudders on these larger ships have gotten
2 3 4 5 6 7	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? A. Over \$5 million. Q. Okay. Now, is it fair to say that during your career you sailed as a captain into ports on all coasts of 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the propeller, they're harder to handle. Q. So as the rudders on these larger ships have gotten smaller, it reduces their maneuverability?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? A. Over \$5 million. Q. Okay. Now, is it fair to say that during your career you sailed as a captain into ports on all coasts of the United States, West Coast, Gulf Coast, East 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the propeller, they're harder to handle. Q. So as the rudders on these larger ships have gotten smaller, it reduces their maneuverability? A. Effectiveness of the vessel. And since you have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? A. Over \$5 million. Q. Okay. Now, is it fair to say that during your career you sailed as a captain into ports on all coasts of the United States, West Coast, Gulf Coast, East Coast? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the propeller, they're harder to handle. Q. So as the rudders on these larger ships have gotten smaller, it reduces their maneuverability? A. Effectiveness of the vessel. And since you have a larger vessel and you have a vessel coming into a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? A. Over \$5 million. Q. Okay. Now, is it fair to say that during your career you sailed as a captain into ports on all coasts of the United States, West Coast, Gulf Coast, East Coast? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the propeller, they're harder to handle. Q. So as the rudders on these larger ships have gotten smaller, it reduces their maneuverability? A. Effectiveness of the vessel. And since you have a larger vessel and you have a vessel coming into a dock with one vessel on each side of other docks, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? A. Over \$5 million. Q. Okay. Now, is it fair to say that during your career you sailed as a captain into ports on all coasts of the United States, West Coast, Gulf Coast, East Coast? A. Yes. Q. And could you - you were asked questions about this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the propeller, they're harder to handle. Q. So as the rudders on these larger ships have gotten smaller, it reduces their maneuverability? A. Effectiveness of the vessel. And since you have a larger vessel and you have a vessel coming into a dock with one vessel on each side of other docks, you have a narrowness of the water you can use for that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? A. Over \$5 million. Q. Okay. Now, is it fair to say that during your career you sailed as a captain into ports on all coasts of the United States, West Coast, Gulf Coast, East Coast? A. Yes. Q. And could you you were asked questions about this report that you contend shows that the risks of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the propeller, they're harder to handle. Q. So as the rudders on these larger ships have gotten smaller, it reduces their maneuverability? A. Effectiveness of the vessel. And since you have a larger vessel and you have a vessel coming into a dock with one vessel on each side of other docks, you have a narrowness of the water you can use for that vessel. So you have less time to react to any one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? A. Over \$5 million. Q. Okay. Now, is it fair to say that during your career you sailed as a captain into ports on all coasts of the United States, West Coast, Gulf Coast, East Coast? A. Yes. Q. And could you you were asked questions about this report that you contend shows that the risks of pilotage are persistent and growing. And I would 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the propeller, they're harder to handle. Q. So as the rudders on these larger ships have gotten smaller, it reduces their maneuverability? A. Effectiveness of the vessel. And since you have a larger vessel and you have a vessel coming into a dock with one vessel on each side of other docks, you have a narrowness of the water you can use for that vessel. So you have less time to react to any one of these things that can go wrong in the human error
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? A. Over \$5 million. Q. Okay. Now, is it fair to say that during your career you sailed as a captain into ports on all coasts of the United States, West Coast, Gulf Coast, East Coast? A. Yes. Q. And could you you were asked questions about this report that you contend shows that the risks of pilotage are persistent and growing. And I would like to ask you some follow-up questions related to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the propeller, they're harder to handle. Q. So as the rudders on these larger ships have gotten smaller, it reduces their maneuverability? A. Effectiveness of the vessel. And since you have a larger vessel and you have a vessel coming into a dock with one vessel on each side of other docks, you have a narrowness of the water you can use for that vessel. So you have less time to react to any one of these things that can go wrong in the human error chain. The vessel can get out of the channel. A
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? A. Over \$5 million. Q. Okay. Now, is it fair to say that during your career you sailed as a captain into ports on all coasts of the United States, West Coast, Gulf Coast, East Coast? A. Yes. Q. And could you you were asked questions about this report that you contend shows that the risks of pilotage are persistent and growing. And I would like to ask you some follow-up questions related to that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the propeller, they're harder to handle. Q. So as the rudders on these larger ships have gotten smaller, it reduces their maneuverability? A. Effectiveness of the vessel. And since you have a larger vessel and you have a vessel coming into a dock with one vessel on each side of other docks, you have a narrowness of the water you can use for that vessel. So you have less time to react to any one of these things that can go wrong in the human error chain. The vessel can get out of the channel. A vessel gets out of the channel, the Army Corp of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? A. Over \$5 million. Q. Okay. Now, is it fair to say that during your career you sailed as a captain into ports on all coasts of the United States, West Coast, Gulf Coast, East Coast? A. Yes. Q. And could you you were asked questions about this report that you contend shows that the risks of pilotage are persistent and growing. And I would like to ask you some follow-up questions related to that. What would you how would you describe, based 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the propeller, they're harder to handle. Q. So as the rudders on these larger ships have gotten smaller, it reduces their maneuverability? A. Effectiveness of the vessel. And since you have a larger vessel and you have a vessel coming into a dock with one vessel on each side of other docks, you have a narrowness of the water you can use for that vessel. So you have less time to react to any one of these things that can go wrong in the human error chain. The vessel can get out of the channel. A vessel gets out of the channel, the Army Corp of Engineers doesn't guarantee what's outside the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? A. Over \$5 million. Q. Okay. Now, is it fair to say that during your career you sailed as a captain into ports on all coasts of the United States, West Coast, Gulf Coast, East Coast? A. Yes. Q. And could you you were asked questions about this report that you contend shows that the risks of pilotage are persistent and growing. And I would like to ask you some follow-up questions related to that. What would you how would you describe, based on your experience as a pilot, the list of factors 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the propeller, they're harder to handle. Q. So as the rudders on these larger ships have gotten smaller, it reduces their maneuverability? A. Effectiveness of the vessel. And since you have a larger vessel and you have a vessel coming into a dock with one vessel on each side of other docks, you have a narrowness of the water you can use for that vessel. So you have less time to react to any one of these things that can go wrong in the human error chain. The vessel can get out of the channel. A vessel gets out of the channel, the Army Corp of Engineers doesn't guarantee what's outside the channel as far as depth and existence of rocks or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? A. Over \$5 million. Q. Okay. Now, is it fair to say that during your career you sailed as a captain into ports on all coasts of the United States, West Coast, Gulf Coast, East Coast? A. Yes. Q. And could you – you were asked questions about this report that you contend shows that the risks of pilotage are persistent and growing. And I would like to ask you some follow-up questions related to that. What would you – how would you describe, based on your experience as a pilot, the list of factors that create potential risk during a pilotage 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the propeller, they're harder to handle. Q. So as the rudders on these larger ships have gotten smaller, it reduces their maneuverability? A. Effectiveness of the vessel. And since you have a larger vessel and you have a vessel coming into a dock with one vessel on each side of other docks, you have a narrowness of the water you can use for that vessel. So you have less time to react to any one of these things that can go wrong in the human error chain. The vessel can get out of the channel. A vessel gets out of the channel, the Army Corp of Engineers doesn't guarantee what's outside the channel as far as depth and existence of rocks or anchors.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? A. Over \$5 million. Q. Okay. Now, is it fair to say that during your career you sailed as a captain into ports on all coasts of the United States, West Coast, Gulf Coast, East Coast? A. Yes. Q. And could you you were asked questions about this report that you contend shows that the risks of pilotage are persistent and growing. And I would like to ask you some follow-up questions related to that. What would you how would you describe, based on your experience as a pilot, the list of factors that create potential risk during a pilotage assignment? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the propeller, they're harder to handle. Q. So as the rudders on these larger ships have gotten smaller, it reduces their maneuverability? A. Effectiveness of the vessel. And since you have a larger vessel and you have a vessel coming into a dock with one vessel on each side of other docks, you have a narrowness of the water you can use for that vessel. So you have less time to react to any one of these things that can go wrong in the human error chain. The vessel can get out of the channel. A vessel gets out of the channel, the Army Corp of Engineers doesn't guarantee what's outside the channel as far as depth and existence of rocks or anchors.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? A. Over \$5 million. Q. Okay. Now, is it fair to say that during your career you sailed as a captain into ports on all coasts of the United States, West Coast, Gulf Coast, East Coast? A. Yes. Q. And could you you were asked questions about this report that you contend shows that the risks of pilotage are persistent and growing. And I would like to ask you some follow-up questions related to that. What would you how would you describe, based on your experience as a pilot, the list of factors that create potential risk during a pilotage assignment? A. May I articulate what they are? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the propeller, they're harder to handle. Q. So as the rudders on these larger ships have gotten smaller, it reduces their maneuverability? A. Effectiveness of the vessel. And since you have a larger vessel and you have a vessel coming into a dock with one vessel on each side of other docks, you have a narrowness of the water you can use for that vessel. So you have less time to react to any one of these things that can go wrong in the human error chain. The vessel can get out of the channel. A vessel gets out of the channel, the Army Corp of Engineers doesn't guarantee what's outside the channel as far as depth and existence of rocks or anchors. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard, I hesitate to interrupt anybody. But I'm really struggling to see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? A. Over \$5 million. Q. Okay. Now, is it fair to say that during your career you sailed as a captain into ports on all coasts of the United States, West Coast, Gulf Coast, East Coast? A. Yes. Q. And could you you were asked questions about this report that you contend shows that the risks of pilotage are persistent and growing. And I would like to ask you some follow-up questions related to that. What would you how would you describe, based on your experience as a pilot, the list of factors that create potential risk during a pilotage assignment? A. May I articulate what they are? Q. Sure. That's my question. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the propeller, they're harder to handle. Q. So as the rudders on these larger ships have gotten smaller, it reduces their maneuverability? A. Effectiveness of the vessel. And since you have a larger vessel and you have a vessel coming into a dock with one vessel on each side of other docks, you have a narrowness of the water you can use for that vessel. So you have less time to react to any one of these things that can go wrong in the human error chain. The vessel can get out of the channel. A vessel gets out of the channel, the Army Corp of Engineers doesn't guarantee what's outside the channel as far as depth and existence of rocks or anchors. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard, I hesitate to interrupt anybody. But I'm really struggling to see how this is within the scope of my cross-examination
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? A. Over \$5 million. Q. Okay. Now, is it fair to say that during your career you sailed as a captain into ports on all coasts of the United States, West Coast, Gulf Coast, East Coast? A. Yes. Q. And could you you were asked questions about this report that you contend shows that the risks of pilotage are persistent and growing. And I would like to ask you some follow-up questions related to that. What would you how would you describe, based on your experience as a pilot, the list of factors that create potential risk during a pilotage assignment? A. May I articulate what they are? Q. Sure. That's my question. A. You have several. You have vessels not following the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the propeller, they're harder to handle. Q. So as the rudders on these larger ships have gotten smaller, it reduces their maneuverability? A. Effectiveness of the vessel. And since you have a larger vessel and you have a vessel coming into a dock with one vessel on each side of other docks, you have a narrowness of the water you can use for that vessel. So you have less time to react to any one of these things that can go wrong in the human error chain. The vessel can get out of the channel. A vessel gets out of the channel, the Army Corp of Engineers doesn't guarantee what's outside the channel as far as depth and existence of rocks or anchors. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard, I hesitate to interrupt anybody. But I'm really struggling to see how this is within the scope of my cross-examination topics. At all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 incident in each year? A. Yes. Q. And in 2007, what was the average cost per incident among the 57 that made up over \$300 million? A. Over \$5 million. Q. Okay. Now, is it fair to say that during your career you sailed as a captain into ports on all coasts of the United States, West Coast, Gulf Coast, East Coast? A. Yes. Q. And could you you were asked questions about this report that you contend shows that the risks of pilotage are persistent and growing. And I would like to ask you some follow-up questions related to that. What would you how would you describe, based on your experience as a pilot, the list of factors that create potential risk during a pilotage assignment? A. May I articulate what they are? Q. Sure. That's my question. A. You have several. You have vessels not following the rules of the road. You have larger ships. Channels 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 pilot, the ships may be 8-, 900-feet-long container ships. Now they're 12-, 1300. The rudders are not getting bigger. The propeller's not getting bigger. So the water going through the thrusts of the propeller, they're harder to handle. Q. So as the rudders on these larger ships have gotten smaller, it reduces their maneuverability? A. Effectiveness of the vessel. And since you have a larger vessel and you have a vessel coming into a dock with one vessel on each side of other docks, you have a narrowness of the water you can use for that vessel. So you have less time to react to any one of these things that can go wrong in the human error chain. The vessel can get out of the channel. A vessel gets out of the channel, the Army Corp of Engineers doesn't guarantee what's outside the channel as far as depth and existence of rocks or anchors. MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard, I hesitate to interrupt anybody. But I'm really struggling to see how this is within the scope of my cross-examination topics. At all.

56 (Pages 311 to 314)

	Page 315		Page 317
1	club report rather than the mechanics.	1	A. Okay. What page?
2	MR. HAGLUND: Understood, Your Honor. I'll	2	Q. It's WTB-18X and the page number is 65. And
3	conclude. No further questions.	3	specifically, I would like to look at paragraph 200,
4	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.	4	where you will see a summary of some of your
5	Do we have any questions from the bench for		
6	this witness?	5	testimony that you gave to the Commission in 2019.
7	CHAIR DANNER: No, Your Honor.	6	Let me know when you're there, please.
8	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No, Your Honor.	7	A. I'm there.
		8	Q. Okay. I'm just going to quote right now.
9	JUDGE HOWARD: Captain Stoller, thank you	9	"Additionally, Captain Carlson argues that the
10	for your testimony. You are excused.	10	mandatory rest period exacerbates the demand for
11	Our next witness is Captain Carlson.	11	callbacks and results in increased cumulative pilot
12	All right. Captain Carlson, if you would	12	fatigue from ongoing interruptions of respite time."
13	please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in.	13	"Captain Carlson asserts that accepting"
14	* * * * *	14	callbacks "accepting a callback assignment will
15	Captain Ivan Carlson, having been first duly sworn,	15	disrupt a pilot's off-duty time by nearly two days
	was examined and testified as	16	
16	follows:		due to factors such as proper rest prior to accepting
17	THE WITNESS: I do.	17	the assignment, average assignment time, and the
18	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.	18	mandatory ten-hour rest period after the assignment
19	Mr. Haglund, would you please introduce the	19	is completed."
20	witness.	20	And if you can turn back now to page 18 in the
21	MR. HAGLUND: Captain Carlson, what's your	21	same document, paragraph 47. And I'll just say it
22	position with Puget Sound Pilots?	22	says that "The act," referring to the pilotage act,
23	THE WITNESS: I'm the president of Puget	23	"also provides for mandatory rest periods for
24	Sound Pilots at this time.	24	pilots." And it describes some of those rules.
25	MR. HAGLUND: And how long have you been	25	And if you see at the bottom of page 18, the
	v		
	Page 316		Page 318
1	licensed?	1	footnotes, 65 through 67 for that paragraph, that
2	THE WITNESS: 17 years, about.	2	cites a statute, RCW 88-16-103.
3	MR. HAGLUND: Did you prepare original and	3	So finally the question.
4	rebuttal testimony in this rate case?	4	You are familiar with this statute, aren't you?
5	THE WITNESS: I did.	5	A. Yes.
6	MR. HAGLUND: Is it true and accurate to the	6	Q. And it was adopted in 2019?
			-
7	best of your knowledge?	7	
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.	8	Q. Could you please now turn to Exhibit IC-26X. And
9	MR. HAGLUND: I tender the witness for	9	those are slides the title of the slides is
10	cross.	10	"Presentation in Support of WAC 363-116-065"?
11	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Ms. DeLappe, you	11	A. Yes.
12	may proceed.	12	Q. And that's a presentation that PSP made to the BPC in
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION	13	July of 2019; correct?
14	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And if I could just ask, Captain Carlson, that you	15	Q. And so if you can go to page 4 of the exhibit.
16	speak up a little bit. I saw that the court reporter	16	And could you just confirm for me, PSP adopted an
17	was struggling a little.	17	eight-hour rest rule and the three-and-out rest rule
18	Good afternoon.	18	in 2015; correct?
19	A. Hello.	19	A. Yes.
	Q. I'd like to start by picking up where we left off	20	
20			Q. Thank you.
21	from the prior rate case.	21	And on the next page, in 2018, the BPC adopted
22	So if you could please turn to Exhibit WTB-18X,	22	policies that are the same as what the legislature
23	which is the final order in the prior rate case.	23	adopted in that statute in 2019; correct?
24	A. Order 09?	24	A. In 28 excuse me, what year are you saying the BPC
		1 05	
25	Q. Yes. Order 09.	25	adopted the policies that are in the statute?

57 (Pages 315 to 318)

	Page 319		Page 321
1	Q. Do you do you see the first bullet point on	1	three-and-out and it impacted cancellations when
2	page 5? It says "2018 BPC Policies"	2	those rules took effect in April of 2021.
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. So the BPC annual report there says the new rule
4	Q "2019 legislation"?	4	codified these rest rules.
5	A. Yes.	5	A. In April of 2021.
6	Q. And then it has the ten-hour minimum rest rule, the	6	Q. And those rest rules, as I've just discussed in your
7	13-hour limit on multiple harbor shifts, and the	7	previous testimony a few minutes ago, there were some
8	three-and-out rule; correct?	8	rest rules by PSP policy dating back to 2015,
9	A. Yes. This occurred in October, near the end of	9	admittedly some not the same exact ones, right,
10	October in 2018.	10	but somewhat the same, somewhat similar; would you
11	Q. In 2018. Thank you. Make sure not to drop your	11	agree with me on that?
12	voice for the transcript. Thank you.	12	A. Somewhat similar. Yes.
13	Let's turn now then to Exhibit JR-06, please.	13	Q. Okay. So by the time the BPC codified the statute in
14	And that is the BPC annual report for 2021.	14	this rule, PSP had been implementing the safety rules
15	And if you can turn to page 8 of that exhibit.	15	in its operations for at least two years; right?
16	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. DeLappe, could	16	A. With some differences.
17	you tell me, JR, whose testimony is this, please?	17	Q. Essentially similar, could we agree on that?
18	MS. DeLAPPE: Jordan Royer.	18	A. Well, there were differences. I'm not sure we can or
19	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you.	19	not. But we did have a three-and-out rule and we did
20	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you.	20	have 13-hour multiple harbor shifts. And we did have
21	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	21	a ten-hour rest period. We can agree on that.
22	Q. And, Captain Carlson, are you there?	22	Q. Thank you. That's great.
23	A. Yes.	23	Let's look next at your testimony, if you can
24	Q. Thank you.	24	turn to your rebuttal testimony, Exhibit IC-08T. And
25	So on page 8 of the 2021 BPC report, it provides	25	specifically if you can turn to page 5.
	Page 320		Page 322
1	a summary of regulations that were adopted during the	1	A. Okay.
2	year; right?	2	Q. If you can go down to line 18, your testimony here is
3	A. Yes.	3	about several factors that could have contributed to
4	Q. And one of those it describes is the new rule for WAC	4	the noted increase in delays.
5	363-116-081. Quote, "This new rule codified that	5	And you're talking there about what period?
6	pilots have a mandatory rest period of at least ten	6	A. 2021 versus 2019, which is a table that PMSA produced
7	hours, with the opportunity for eight hours of	7	at the top of the page.
8	uninterrupted sleep, after the completion of an	8	Q. So right below that you say, I'll quote you, "I would
9	assignment. In addition, this rule defines multiple	9	also highlight significant regulation changes in WAC
10	assignments within a harbor area and codifies and	10	363-116-081 that occurred in early 2021. In 2021,
11	defines the existing practice of Puget Sound Pilots	11	rest rules were modified to require a pilot to obtain
12	to receive a mandatory rest period after three	12	ten hours of rest following a canceled assignment."
13	consecutive night assignments." Right?	13	"Prior to 2021, a pilot could be dispatched for
14	A. Yes. But to clarify, it had an effective date of	14	assignment" "for assignment immediately" after
15	4/19 it was adopted, but with an effective date of	15	"following a cancellation."
16	4/19/21	16	I'm doing a bad job reading. I'm sorry.
17	Q. But you provided testimony to this Commission, the	17	"Additionally in early 2021, stricter rules were
18	UTC, advising about these new rest rule factors in	18	enacted governing the dispatching of a pilot to three
19	the prior rate case. And those were adopted in 2018	19	consecutive night assignments. These changes reduced
20	and 2019; correct?	20	pilot availability for fatigue management reasons and
21	A. I provided testimony the rest rules had changed,	21	were enacted by rule or law."
22	yes. And that there is a significant difference	22	More or less what you said, no?
23	between the RCW and WAC in that in that how the	23	A. Yes.
24	rules were written around the RCW didn't take effect	24	Q. In other words, one of the reasons delays increased
	until April of 2019. This this impacted the	25	significantly is because pilot availability suffered
25		25	significantly is because phot availability suffered

58 (Pages 319 to 322)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 323		Page 325
1	since 2021?	1	points.
2	A. Pilot availability has suffered since 2015.	2	On the one hand you're saying if the system has
3	Q. But here in your testimony that we reviewed a moment	3	the same number of working pilots and the regulatory
4	ago on page 5, line 18, you said that the increase in	4	change is basically codifying an existing law that
5	delays that you were focused on was 2021 compared to	5	had already been on the books since 2019, how are
6	2019.	6	these the primary contributing factors to increase in
7	Did I mishear that?	7	delays that you're talking about here?
8	A. No. But did you ask me if pilot delays have existed	8	A. Well, first of all, look at the table at the top of
9	since in 2021 and or I mean, if pilot shortage	9	20 the top of that page. And that's including the
10	was in place in 2021, and I clarified that it's	10	president in 2021. That I mean, this is our
11	been we've been short a pilot since 2015.	11	problem is the playing fast and loose with the
12	Q. I think the question perhaps was not phrased as well	12	numbers. We didn't have 49.6 working pilots. We had
13	as it could have been. The focus of my question was	13	48.6.
14	meant to be on the reasons delays increased	14	So they aren't the same.
15	significantly. And as you are testifying about	15	Q. Captain Carlson, could you turn to the next page?
16	since and so pilot availability is what you're	16	A. Yes.
17	pointing to; correct?	17	Q. Page 6. And you identify there another primary
18	A. Yes.	18	contributing factor there, starting at line 3.
19	Q. And we're talking about the increase, on that page,	19	And that is that a primary contributing factor to
20 21	between 2019 and 2021? A. Yes.	20 21	the increase in delays was a change in how PSP logs delays?
22	Q. All right. We're on the same page now.	22	A. Yes.
23	And was it significant regulation changes, those	23	Q. And so you said, also, "For many years prior to 2021,
24	are your words, that reduced pilot availability it	24	PSP dispatchers exercised discretion in how delays
25	was those changes that reduced pilot availability	25	were reported in our system. Generally, the
	Page 324		Page 326
1	by by I mean, I guess by how much are you	1	dispatchers would log a delay only if it caused a
2	saying that they reduced did those changes reduce	2	major impact on vessel scheduling, and this
3	pilot availability from 2019 to 2021?	3	determination was left to the discretion of the
4	A. Okay. Maybe I can say this, that in 2021 there were	4	individual dispatcher. Delays occurred in 2019 and
5	217 vessels that canceled. In 2019, there were 120.	5	earlier that were not recorded by our dispatchers
6	So that's, like, an 80 percent difference. And now	6	early in 2021. "After I became president, I requested that our
7 8	in 2019, pilots could still go right to work after a	7	dispatch team change their recording practice to log
o 9	cancellation under certain circumstances. In 2021, they could not. It required an immediate ten-hour	8	each and every delay, regardless of its impact on
10	reset. So that had a significant impact.	10	vessel scheduling. This more expansive recording
11	In 2019, a three-and-out meant a three-and-out	11	practice certainly contributed to the increase in
12	period began at at travel time, not at call time.	12	delays."
13	In 2021 in April in 2021, when the Commission	13	So was your testimony that delays occurred in
14	adopted or set the WAC, the three-and-out period	14	2019, earlier, that were not recorded?
15	began at call time, which was a two-hour difference	15	A. It is. I can tell you how I discovered they weren't
16	in time. Now we were able to because we reduced	16	being reported if you'd like.
17	the call time on nighttime assignments, that had a	17	Q. Do you have any documentation of about that
18	positive impact. But nevertheless, the	18	discovery?
19	three-and-outs were significant in 2021.	19	A. No, I do not. Other than my word and the word of
20	Q. Captain Carlson, could you please look at the bottom	20	other dispatchers.
21	of page 5, at line 19, where you say, "I would first	21	Q. Do you have can you tell us what the dispatcher
22	state my opinion that the system suffered from the	22	practice was between 2019 and 2020? I feel like
23	same shortage of pilots in 2019 and 2021."	23	we're missing a couple years here.
24	A. That is true.	24	A. I can't tell you exactly what their practice was
25	Q. So I'm having some trouble reconciling these two	25	because I wasn't president. It was only while I was

59 (Pages 323 to 326)

	Page 327	Page 329
1	in the office as president that a dispatcher said to	1 lack of recognition of the importance of an accurate
2	me, "You know, Ivan, we don't record all delays."	2 accounting of delays."
3	And then I said, "Well, do that."	3 A. Yes.
4	Q. So and Captain Carlson, you weren't president in	4 Q. Do you do you agree that's why the delays were
5	20 before 2019, but you testified about what	5 omitted?
6	occurred before up until 2019 or before 2019;	6 A. I think that's probably why most of them were
7	correct?	7 omitted, yes. I'm not sure if others I'm not sure
8	A. As far as the rest rules you mean, shortage of	8 if that encapsulates all of the reasons. I think it
9	pilots? Or what are you	9 does. But I want to I'm not sure.
10	Q. So I'm looking at your testimony on page 6. And you	10 Q. Do you think that there was involved in that lack of
11	said that what I just read to you, "delays	11 recognition of the importance, that there was some
12	occurred in 2019 and earlier that were not recorded	12 indifference to the need for accurate information
13	by our dispatchers." And then we jump to 2021 in	13 with respect to delays?
14	your testimony.	14 A. No. I think most of the delays are negotiated with
15	How is it that you know what happened in 2019 and	15 the customers, with the agents. And so if one of our
16	earlier, but you don't know what happened in those	16 dispatcher calls an agent and says, hey, we're not
17	two missing years?	17 going to be able to make that 1400 job, we can make
18	A. In 2020? Well, 2021 was a one-off and I don't think	18 it 1500. And the agent says, okay. In the past,
19	there was many delays. So it wasn't quite the issue	19 that wasn't recorded, but now they're recorded.
20	that it would have been in '19 or that it was in '21	20 So they just didn't realize they if the
21	or that it is in '22.	agent says, yeah, no problem, they didn't think it
22	Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit BV-3X. And that's	22 was that big of a deal. But now they know.
23	for Brett Valentine. So that's the some discovery	Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit IC-29X.
24	responses of PSP dispatcher Valentine.	24 A. IC-29.
25	A. Yes.	Q. And this is the Puget Sound pilotage district
1 2 3	Page 328 Q. And please turn to page 20. Are you there? A. I am.	Page 330 1 activity report that you'll you'll see a series of 2 monthly activity reports. 3 A. Right.
4	Q. Okay. Do you see his response there? He says, "In	4 Q. PSP files these with the BPC every month; right?
5	2019 and 2020, there was no PSP policy or standard	5 A. Yes.
6	practice to record all ship delays. That changed in	6 Q. And then the BPC provides these to its Commissioners
7	2021, per oral instructions from PSP president,	7 and to the public and stakeholders; right?
8	Captain Ivan Carlson."	8 A. We provide them to the Commissioners, to the
9	Do you agree that there was no PSP or standard	9 Commission, they distribute them.
10	practice for recording ship delays in 2019 or 2020?	10 Q. And they distribute them to the public and to
11	A. I agree that there was no specific instructions. And	11 stakeholders; right?
12	now you make me I haven't even I should	12 A. Yeah. I think they just put it on their website.
13	probably formalize my instructions in a document.	13 But, yeah.
14	But anyway, yes. I agree.	14 Q. So if you look at what it has here on this first
15	Q. Okay. So if we asked for any document that had a	15 page, that's for December 2019; right?
16	policy or a standard for PSP now for before 2021, we	16 A. Yes.
17	wouldn't find that?	17 Q. If you look at the top half of the page, do you see
18	A. Yes.	18 where it says, "Assignments delayed due to
19	Q. And your change in how delays were logged was oral	19 unavailable rested pilot," and it says No. 5; right?
20	only?	20 A. I do.
21	A. Yes. With each of the dispatchers.	21 Q. And total delay time, 11.5 hours.
22	Q. Could you look on the next page, page 21. It's a	22 A. I see it.
23	PMSA Data Request 680 and Dispatcher Valentine's	23 Q. According to your testimony and the response of
24	response there.	24 Mr. Valentine, should we presume that these numbers
25	La aqua "Theos deleve were emitted simply due to	25 are not accurate?
25	He says, "These delays were omitted simply due to	25 are not accurate?

60 (Pages 327 to 330)

	Page 331		Page 333
1	A. It's hard for me to know. I don't think if they	1	A. Page 7. Okay.
2	didn't record them at the time, they're gone.	2	Q. Now
3	They're not recorded anymore. I mean, if they	3	A. Excuse me, Ms. DeLappe. Are you referring to the
4	weren't on record, they're not they're not on	4	document page 7 or are you referring to the upper
5	record.	5	right-hand labeling page?
б	Q. So PSP did not recognize the importance of an	6	Q. The upper right-hand label. Thank you. Exhibit
7	accurate accounting of its activities and submissions	7	page 7. Good clarification.
8	to the State?	8	If you look at line 14.
9	A. Yeah. Yeah. They negotiated with the agents. The	9	A. Okay.
10	agents said no problem. So it was yeah.	10	Q. And I actually should it must be actually in the
11	Q. But you don't actually know what the reasons were	11	document. Yes, you are right. It's the document
12	behind which ones were recorded and which ones were	12	page 7, exhibit page 9.
13	not in each instance, do you?	13	So if you see there at line 14 you testified,
14	A. No.	14	quote, "Delays awaiting pilots have not been common
15	Q. Can you turn to page 3. You can see that there's a	15	historically because of our heavy reliance on
16	report for January 2019.	16	callbacks. In fact, in recent years, PSP completed
17	A. Yes.	17	over 99.9 percent of vessel movements on time.
18	Q. And so we should also presume that these could be	18	"Unfortunately, starting in 2018, we have had an
19	inaccurate?	19	insufficient number of active working pilots due to a
20 21	A. They could be.	20	variety of circumstances and were simply unable to
	Q. And your answer would be the same for all of the	21	match our previous success rate of moving ships at
22 23	reports in 2019? A. Yes.	22	their requested order time." Can and if you look now, let's see, page
23	A. Tes. Q. So we also have exhibits for 2017 and 2018. Those	24	the next page at line 10. You testified that PSP had
25	are IC-27X and IC-28X. Should we also presume you	25	70 delays in 2018. And you also quantified the
2.5		2.5	To delays in 2010. And you also quantined the
	Page 332		Page 334
1	don't have to pull them up. Should we just presume	1	delays in 2019 through September 30th of the year as
2	that they would not be reliable for those two	2	72; right?
3	factors?	3	A. That's correct.
4	A. The delays?	4	Q. So if you could, then, please turn to Exhibit 21X.
5	Q. The same two things we're looking at, the assignments	5	Those are UTC Staff data requests to PSP. And on
6	delayed due to unavailable rested pilot and the total	6	pages 1 and 2 of that document, do you see that UTC
7	delay time.	7	Staff asked you to demonstrate the basis for your
8	A. Yes. I would assume that they may not be 100 percent	8	claims regarding the number of delays experienced in
9	accurate.	9	2018, 2019? And just to clarify, as you can see from
10	Q. Does the PSP president review these reports before	10	the date prepared, this was all in the context of the
11	they are submitted to the BPC every month?	11	prior rate case.
12	A. Yes.	12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Could you please turn to	13	Q. And you responded ship delay logs, basically; right?
14	A. Excuse me.	14	A. Yes.
15	Q Exhibit IC-25X?	15	Q. All right. And if we scroll down or flip pages to
16	A. Can I back up that answer? I, as president, review	16	starting with page 3, are those the ship delay logs
17	them every month. I'm not sure what was done before	17	that you were referring to?
18	me. But I, as president, since I took over in	18	A. Yes.
19	January of '21, do it every month.	19	Q. Given your earlier testimony, can you assure anyone
20	Q. Thank you.	20	here of the accuracy of these ship delay logs?
21	If you could please turn to Exhibit IC-25X. This	21	A. No.
22	is your testimony from the prior rate case. So you	22	Q. Do you know, then, whether these logs included the
23	can see there it's from 2019.	23	same information as PSP reported to the BPC in their
24	And if you can scroll to or I guess flip pages	24	monthly activity reports for 2018 and 2019?
25	to page 7.	25	A. Excuse me. I misstated something. I can verify that

61 (Pages 331 to 334)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 335		Page 337
1	those delays that are logged in there are accurate.	1	Would you agree, based on what's on those pages,
2	I can't verify that there weren't other delays.	2	that the point PSP is making in those pages is that
3	Q. Understood.	3	reducing delays is interconnected with and a coequal
4	And do you know whether these logs would match	4	goal with managing fatigue and managing callbacks?
5	the numbers that were provided in the monthly	5	A. It is reducing delays is important. Managing
6	activity reports to the BPC for 2018 and 2019?	6	callbacks is important. Safety through fatigue
7	A. I they most likely did. I I can't I can't	7	management as one of those one of the drivers in
8	do the math right now; right? But I'm assuming they	8	safety is probably most important. So I don't agree
9	did.	9	that they're coequal. But I do agree that we do need
10	Q. Would it be fair to say that your prior testimony in	10	to manage callbacks, and then, therefore, also reduce
11	the prior rate case, that PSP's historic service	11	delays, which more pilots will help us do that.
12	reliability of 99.9 percent was also likely	12	Q. So on that page 11, where it has an image of a
13	unreliable?	13	kind of Mobius triangle; right?
14	A. Yes.	14	So you have manage fatigue, manage callbacks and
15	Q. If you could please turn back to the Order 09 in the	15	reduce delays. You're saying there's no
16	last rate case. That's Exhibit WTB-18X. And going	16	interconnection between those three goals?
17	back to page 65. This time, paragraph 199. And I	17	A. No. I didn't say that. I said they're
18	will read from that if you're ready.	18	Q. I'm checking
19	A. Yeah. Go ahead.	19	A they're not coequal.
20	Q. "PSP witness Captain Carlson testifies that PSP is	20	Q. They're not coequal.
21	obligated to move ships on time and without delay	21	So given the focus, however, at some level, as
22	when possible, stating that, historically, PSP's	22	shown in these slides on delays, fatigue, and
23	on-time vessel movement rate has been over	23	callback issues in PSP's advocacy at the UTC and at
24	99.9 percent. According to Captain Carlson, however,	24	the BPC, do you think it's reasonable to accept that
25	the number of active working pilots has been	25	PSP did not keep track of delayed duties simply due
	Page 336		Page 338
1	insufficient to meet PSP's previous on-time rate	1	to a lack of recognition of the importance of an
2	since 2018, resulting in PSP's increased reliance on	2	accurate accounting of delays?
3	the practice of calling back off-duty pilots to	3	A. Yeah. That's that's correct.
4	perform assignments."	4	Q. You think that's reasonable, though?
5	If you could turn to page 73 of this same exhibit	5	A. I will say nobody in leadership knew necessarily that
6	and look at paragraph 226. And I will quote here.	6	
		0	the dispatchers were not reporting negotiated delays
7	Commendably, FSF has innited vessel delays	7	the dispatchers were not reporting negotiated delays of an hour or two or whatever with the agents.
7 8	"Commendably, PSP has limited vessel delays despite average demand level of staffing.		of an hour or two or whatever with the agents.
	despite average demand level of staffing.	7	of an hour or two or whatever with the agents. That we don't ask them what every phone call
8	despite average demand level of staffing. Captain Carlson testifies that in recent years, PSP	7 8	of an hour or two or whatever with the agents. That we don't ask them what every phone call they they were on was about. We just trust them
8 9	despite average demand level of staffing.	7 8 9	of an hour or two or whatever with the agents. That we don't ask them what every phone call they they were on was about. We just trust them to do their job. If an agent says, yeah, no problem,
8 9 10	despite average demand level of staffing. Captain Carlson testifies that in recent years, PSP has completed 99.9 of vessel movements on time. PMSA witness Captain Moore likewise testifies that the	7 8 9 10	of an hour or two or whatever with the agents. That we don't ask them what every phone call they they were on was about. We just trust them
8 9 10 11	despite average demand level of staffing. Captain Carlson testifies that in recent years, PSP has completed 99.9 of vessel movements on time. PMSA witness Captain Moore likewise testifies that the vessel delays are infrequent and represent less than	7 8 9 10 11	of an hour or two or whatever with the agents. That we don't ask them what every phone call they they were on was about. We just trust them to do their job. If an agent says, yeah, no problem, well, then you think it's no problem.
8 9 10 11 12	despite average demand level of staffing. Captain Carlson testifies that in recent years, PSP has completed 99.9 of vessel movements on time. PMSA witness Captain Moore likewise testifies that the	7 8 9 10 11 12	of an hour or two or whatever with the agents. That we don't ask them what every phone call they they were on was about. We just trust them to do their job. If an agent says, yeah, no problem, well, then you think it's no problem. But we're now Q. Captain Carlson
8 9 10 11 12 13	despite average demand level of staffing. Captain Carlson testifies that in recent years, PSP has completed 99.9 of vessel movements on time. PMSA witness Captain Moore likewise testifies that the vessel delays are infrequent and represent less than one percent of vessel movements."	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of an hour or two or whatever with the agents. That we don't ask them what every phone call they they were on was about. We just trust them to do their job. If an agent says, yeah, no problem, well, then you think it's no problem. But we're now
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	despite average demand level of staffing. Captain Carlson testifies that in recent years, PSP has completed 99.9 of vessel movements on time. PMSA witness Captain Moore likewise testifies that the vessel delays are infrequent and represent less than one percent of vessel movements." So from these two paragraphs that we just looked	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of an hour or two or whatever with the agents. That we don't ask them what every phone call they they were on was about. We just trust them to do their job. If an agent says, yeah, no problem, well, then you think it's no problem. But we're now Q. Captain Carlson A recording them nonetheless.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	despite average demand level of staffing. Captain Carlson testifies that in recent years, PSP has completed 99.9 of vessel movements on time. PMSA witness Captain Moore likewise testifies that the vessel delays are infrequent and represent less than one percent of vessel movements." So from these two paragraphs that we just looked at, do you agree that the UTC final order relied on	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of an hour or two or whatever with the agents. That we don't ask them what every phone call they they were on was about. We just trust them to do their job. If an agent says, yeah, no problem, well, then you think it's no problem. But we're now Q. Captain Carlson A recording them nonetheless. Q. So, Captain Carlson, are you familiar I can point
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	despite average demand level of staffing. Captain Carlson testifies that in recent years, PSP has completed 99.9 of vessel movements on time. PMSA witness Captain Moore likewise testifies that the vessel delays are infrequent and represent less than one percent of vessel movements." So from these two paragraphs that we just looked at, do you agree that the UTC final order relied on the representations that you made to evaluate PSP's	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of an hour or two or whatever with the agents. That we don't ask them what every phone call they they were on was about. We just trust them to do their job. If an agent says, yeah, no problem, well, then you think it's no problem. But we're now Q. Captain Carlson A recording them nonetheless. Q. So, Captain Carlson, are you familiar I can point you to PSP the tariff, the proposed tariff at
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	despite average demand level of staffing. Captain Carlson testifies that in recent years, PSP has completed 99.9 of vessel movements on time. PMSA witness Captain Moore likewise testifies that the vessel delays are infrequent and represent less than one percent of vessel movements." So from these two paragraphs that we just looked at, do you agree that the UTC final order relied on the representations that you made to evaluate PSP's ability to provide reliable vessel service?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of an hour or two or whatever with the agents. That we don't ask them what every phone call they they were on was about. We just trust them to do their job. If an agent says, yeah, no problem, well, then you think it's no problem. But we're now Q. Captain Carlson A recording them nonetheless. Q. So, Captain Carlson, are you familiar I can point you to PSP the tariff, the proposed tariff at Appendix B of PSP's filing. You may already be aware
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 despite average demand level of staffing. Captain Carlson testifies that in recent years, PSP has completed 99.9 of vessel movements on time. PMSA witness Captain Moore likewise testifies that the vessel delays are infrequent and represent less than one percent of vessel movements." So from these two paragraphs that we just looked at, do you agree that the UTC final order relied on the representations that you made to evaluate PSP's ability to provide reliable vessel service? A. I am not sure what they I don't know that they 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 of an hour or two or whatever with the agents. That we don't ask them what every phone call they they were on was about. We just trust them to do their job. If an agent says, yeah, no problem, well, then you think it's no problem. But we're now Q. Captain Carlson A recording them nonetheless. Q. So, Captain Carlson, are you familiar I can point you to PSP the tariff, the proposed tariff at Appendix B of PSP's filing. You may already be aware of Item 360, "Delay, Detention, Standby, and Other,"
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 despite average demand level of staffing. Captain Carlson testifies that in recent years, PSP has completed 99.9 of vessel movements on time. PMSA witness Captain Moore likewise testifies that the vessel delays are infrequent and represent less than one percent of vessel movements." So from these two paragraphs that we just looked at, do you agree that the UTC final order relied on the representations that you made to evaluate PSP's ability to provide reliable vessel service? A. I am not sure what they I don't know that they relied on these two statements. They made these two 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 of an hour or two or whatever with the agents. That we don't ask them what every phone call they they were on was about. We just trust them to do their job. If an agent says, yeah, no problem, well, then you think it's no problem. But we're now Q. Captain Carlson A recording them nonetheless. Q. So, Captain Carlson, are you familiar I can point you to PSP the tariff, the proposed tariff at Appendix B of PSP's filing. You may already be aware of Item 360, "Delay, Detention, Standby, and Other," which is at page 13.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 despite average demand level of staffing. Captain Carlson testifies that in recent years, PSP has completed 99.9 of vessel movements on time. PMSA witness Captain Moore likewise testifies that the vessel delays are infrequent and represent less than one percent of vessel movements." So from these two paragraphs that we just looked at, do you agree that the UTC final order relied on the representations that you made to evaluate PSP's ability to provide reliable vessel service? A. I am not sure what they I don't know that they relied on these two statements. They made these two statements. But I'm not sure if they made any 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 of an hour or two or whatever with the agents. That we don't ask them what every phone call they they were on was about. We just trust them to do their job. If an agent says, yeah, no problem, well, then you think it's no problem. But we're now Q. Captain Carlson A recording them nonetheless. Q. So, Captain Carlson, are you familiar I can point you to PSP the tariff, the proposed tariff at Appendix B of PSP's filing. You may already be aware of Item 360, "Delay, Detention, Standby, and Other," which is at page 13. A. Yes. I'm aware of the delay and detention.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 despite average demand level of staffing. Captain Carlson testifies that in recent years, PSP has completed 99.9 of vessel movements on time. PMSA witness Captain Moore likewise testifies that the vessel delays are infrequent and represent less than one percent of vessel movements." So from these two paragraphs that we just looked at, do you agree that the UTC final order relied on the representations that you made to evaluate PSP's ability to provide reliable vessel service? A. I am not sure what they I don't know that they relied on these two statements. They made these two statements. But I'm not sure if they made any decisions based on these two statements. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 of an hour or two or whatever with the agents. That we don't ask them what every phone call they they were on was about. We just trust them to do their job. If an agent says, yeah, no problem, well, then you think it's no problem. But we're now Q. Captain Carlson A recording them nonetheless. Q. So, Captain Carlson, are you familiar I can point you to PSP the tariff, the proposed tariff at Appendix B of PSP's filing. You may already be aware of Item 360, "Delay, Detention, Standby, and Other," which is at page 13. A. Yes. I'm aware of the delay and detention. Q. Do you agree that PSP charges multiple types of delay
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 despite average demand level of staffing. Captain Carlson testifies that in recent years, PSP has completed 99.9 of vessel movements on time. PMSA witness Captain Moore likewise testifies that the vessel delays are infrequent and represent less than one percent of vessel movements." So from these two paragraphs that we just looked at, do you agree that the UTC final order relied on the representations that you made to evaluate PSP's ability to provide reliable vessel service? A. I am not sure what they I don't know that they relied on these two statements. They made these two statements. But I'm not sure if they made any decisions based on these two statements. Q. Let's go ahead and look back at the presentation that 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 of an hour or two or whatever with the agents. That we don't ask them what every phone call they they were on was about. We just trust them to do their job. If an agent says, yeah, no problem, well, then you think it's no problem. But we're now Q. Captain Carlson A recording them nonetheless. Q. So, Captain Carlson, are you familiar I can point you to PSP the tariff, the proposed tariff at Appendix B of PSP's filing. You may already be aware of Item 360, "Delay, Detention, Standby, and Other," which is at page 13. A. Yes. I'm aware of the delay and detention. Q. Do you agree that PSP charges multiple types of delay charges at a rate which is proposed to be \$270.05 per
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 despite average demand level of staffing. Captain Carlson testifies that in recent years, PSP has completed 99.9 of vessel movements on time. PMSA witness Captain Moore likewise testifies that the vessel delays are infrequent and represent less than one percent of vessel movements." So from these two paragraphs that we just looked at, do you agree that the UTC final order relied on the representations that you made to evaluate PSP's ability to provide reliable vessel service? A. I am not sure what they I don't know that they relied on these two statements. They made these two statements. But I'm not sure if they made any decisions based on these two statements. Q. Let's go ahead and look back at the presentation that we were looking at before, Exhibit IC-26X. And 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 of an hour or two or whatever with the agents. That we don't ask them what every phone call they they were on was about. We just trust them to do their job. If an agent says, yeah, no problem, well, then you think it's no problem. But we're now Q. Captain Carlson A recording them nonetheless. Q. So, Captain Carlson, are you familiar I can point you to PSP the tariff, the proposed tariff at Appendix B of PSP's filing. You may already be aware of Item 360, "Delay, Detention, Standby, and Other," which is at page 13. A. Yes. I'm aware of the delay and detention. Q. Do you agree that PSP charges multiple types of delay charges at a rate which is proposed to be \$270.05 per hour?

62 (Pages 335 to 338)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 339		Page 341
1	charges associated with vessel delays when invoiced	1	Q. And turn to page 23, that's the special purpose
2	by PSP are accurate if you aren't able to assure	2	financial statements for Puget Sound Pilots?
3	regulators that your vessel delay data has been	3	A. Yeah.
4	reliable and trustworthy?	4	Q. And on page 23, if you look a couple couple lines
5	A. Because we log the times and the times are that's	5	down, No. 2, you see the number of pilots there,
6	a that's logged. The delay time is logged by the	6	working pilot roster at 52.9 pilots?
7	pilot. The ordered time, the departure time, and the	7	A. Yeah. Ms. DeLappe, and are you aware that the
8	completion time is all logged by the pilot. You have	8	working pilot roster includes pilots that no longer
9	that data. And if the if the departure time	9	hold a license but are burning comp days?
10	exceeds one hour from the order time, then there's	10	Q. And I will just say, Captain Carlson, you will have
11	going to be a delay charge.	11	an opportunity to talk more on redirect. I only have
12	Q. Captain Carlson, could you turn back I'm going to	12	one more two more minutes with you.
13	switch topics now.	13	-
13	-	14	A. Okay. O So would you agree that your number of nilote that
	If you could turn to your rebuttal testimony,		Q. So would you agree that your number of pilots that
15	Exhibit IC-08T, page 13. On that page, you have a	15	you were using for calculations for workload in Table
16 17	chart for that's labeled "Productivity"; right?	16	IC-14 is so that's the one where you have 48.33.
17	A. Yes.	17	That's about nine percent lower than the number of
18	Q. Looking at your data for 2021, because that's the	18	pilots the accountant is using, 52.9, for purposes of
19	test year here, you listed the number of, quote,	19	distributing revenues?
20	"fit-for-duty pilots per year," including president,	20	A. I am aware of that. Yes.
21	as 49.14; correct?	21	Q. Okay. So one last question, then, I have about PSP's
22	A. Yes.	22	management fatigue at the time of pilot dispatch.
23	Q. If you can turn to Exhibit IC-14.	23	Would PSP ever knowingly dispatch pilots to jobs
24	Do you have that in front of you?	24	in violation of the State's rest rules or any other
25	A. He's pulling that up right now.	25	safety regulations?
	Page 340		Page 342
1	Q. Okay.	1	A. No.
2	A. Okay. We have it.	2	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. With that, I will
3	Q. Thank you. If you can look at line 17 on that. It's	3	rest. Thank you. No further questions.
4	a spreadsheet; right?	4	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Is there
5	A. Yes.	5	redirect?
6	Q. So there you have Puget Sound Pilots for 2021 and you	6	MR. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor.
7	list the number of pilots?	7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
8	A. Yes.	8	BY MR. HAGLUND:
9	Q. That number is 48.33; right?	9	Q. Captain Carlson, could you turn to that table in
10	A. It says 48 point oh, go to 2019. I'm sorry. He	10	do you have the notebooks that I could
11	went to we're on '22. Oh, no, I'm sorry. You	11	A. Well, this is my personal here. Here. Do you want
12	want '21; right?	12	this one?
13	Q. Yes. For 2021.	13	Q. So, Captain Carlson
14	A. 2021, yeah. Okay.	14	MR. HAGLUND: And, Mr. Crandall, could you
15	Q. Thank you. So there you have an in cell D17, you	15	make sure this can be displayed on the screen for the
16	have the number of pilots listed as 48.33?	16	commissioners and all others?
17	A. Yes.	17	BY MR. HAGLUND:
18	Q. And are you aware that Mr. Lough, in his comparable	18	Q. So if you could go to the you were asked about the
19	reports table which I'll just represent for you,	19	productivity this is IC-08T. And the productivity
20	that's on Exhibit DL-6 that he's listing the	20	table you were asked about is on page 13.
21	number of Puget Sound Pilots for 2021 as 52?	21	Can you pull that up? That's on page 12. This
22	A. I see that as insignificant. It's two different	22	is on page 13.
23	it's I see it as insignificant.	23	And on this table, Captain Carlson, what were you
24	Q. And if you can turn now to Exhibit JJN-02.	24	trying to display here in your testimony?
25	A. Okay.	25	A. What I wanted the Commissioners to see was a couple
-	- ~/-		

63 (Pages 339 to 342)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 343		Page 345
1	of things here. The comp time job ratio. But I also	1	the scope of the cross.
2	wanted them to see that the average on-watch	2	MR. HAGLUND: Well, she specifically asked
3	assignments which is key to improving efficiency,	3	about that table on page 13 regarding productivity
4	the average on-watch assignments have increased	4	and it's all linked to this. I only have a few more
5	substantially in 2022 versus 2019. And those are due	5	guestions and one other table to cover, and then I'll
6	to a lot of the efficiency measures we implemented as	6	be moving on. I just need two or three minutes, Your
7	a result of Order 09.	7	Honor, on a related topic that flows into the table
8	Q. And if we look at that average on-watch efficiency,	8	she asked about.
9	is it fair to say that that's documenting for the	9	JUDGE HOWARD: To the extent it's related to
10	number of fit-for-duty pilots in that year, how many	10	that table, I'll I'll see where it goes.
11	assignments each pilot, on average, performed?	11	MR. HAGLUND: Okay.
12	A. Yes. Now and this is that's the average	12	BY MR. HAGLUND:
13	fit-for-duty pilots per year. So, yes.	13	Q. So question, if you recall, Captain Carlson, was just
14	Q. And do you know approximately how much the on-watch	14	what's the time frame that the adoption of these
15	efficiency of PSP after implementing the various	15	different efficiency measures unfolded over?
16	efficiency measures increased between 2019 and 2022?	16	A. Well, it appears about one year from start to finish.
17	A. About six and a half assignments per pilot, on	17	Q. And it began in the first one being March of 2021?
18	average.	18	A. Yeah.
19	Q. And as a percentage level of increase, what is that?	19	Q. Less than three months after the Order 09 was issued?
20	Do you recall?	20	A. Yes. And I would say it's not we're not finished.
21	A. I think it's a little over five percent. Maybe six.	21	But start to this point right here.
22	I forget.	22	Q. Okay. Now if we could go to page 10, there's one
23	Q. Okay. And then if you go to page 12, there's a table	23	other table I wanted to ask you about.
24	there that relates to this same issue.	23	This table, what does it document?
24	A. Yes.	24	A. So of the efficiency measures, it shows how many
25	A. 103.	25	A. So of the enciency measures, it shows now many
	Page 344		Page 346
1	Q. And what were you trying to communicate, Captain	1	times we were able to use them. The key element
2	Carlson, with this table?	2	one of the most significant elements is the combining
3	A. I wanted the Commissioners to see we took their order	3	of harbor shifts with inter-port assignments.
4	serious, Order 09. And these are the measures we	4	Because what that means, when you see that total of
5	implemented. The the support is in blue for these	5	130 and this began in April, so like I say, we're
6	measures. And I wanted them to see the timeline,	6	not done. But 130 assignments times combining those
7	also.	7	harbor ships in an inter-port job would have taken
8	Q. And the blue bar to the right, what is being	8	260 pilots. But instead, it took 130 pilots. That's
9	communicated there?	9	significant.
10	A. The percentage that the ballot passed by.	10	And then the others as well. Reducing the
11	Q. So all of these changes in work rules, dispatch	11	three-and-out, that's huge. Three-and-outs kill us.
12	efficiencies, went out to a vote of the pilots as	12	And so that's really important. And they're all
13	required by your bylaws?	13	important anyway.
14	A. Yes.	14	Q. Now, Captain Carlson, let me just turn to another but
15	Q. And could you just for a sense of the timing,	15	related topic that relates to workload.
16	could you give the Commission the time range from the	16	Did you prepare a table that shows compares
17	earliest efficiency rule adopted to the last one?	17	your workload to that of other pilot groups on
18	MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard, I, again,	18	page 15 of this your rebuttal testimony?
19	apologize for bringing an objection, but I don't see	19	A. I did.
20	how this is related to my cross at all.	20	Q. And if we can pull that up. Same document. And just
	-	20	
21	JUDGE HOWARD: I'm sharing that concern. I		very briefly, what does it show relative to the Puget
22 23	think that the cross was primarily focused on the	22	Sound Pilots compared to the I think it's another
	fatigue rules and dispatch and reporting of delays.		12 groups to which you assembled data and compared
24	I I'm worried if we go too far afield into	24	the workload of the Puget Sound Pilots. Which,
25	different efficiency measures, that that is outside	25	first, when you are comparing workload, what are the
		1	

64 (Pages 343 to 346)

	Page 347		Page 349
1	components that are well known to to be the	1	course of the year was not raised in cross.
2	elements or ingredients of a pilot's workday?	2	BY MR. HAGLUND:
3	MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Howard, objection. Just	3	Q. The topic of callbacks was raised. And
4	because we looked at something for the purpose of	4	Captain Carlson, do you consider the level of
5	looking at the number of pilots, it doesn't open the	5	callbacks that PSP experienced in 2022 to be
6	door to all of this additional stuff that's	6	excessive?
7	completely unrelated to the topics I was discussing	7	A. Yes.
8	in cross.	8	Q. And what's your understanding about where callbacks
9	JUDGE HOWARD: I I'm going to grant the	9	should be to have a well-managed pilotage system?
10	objection. I think, at this point, we are beyond the	10	A. Below five percent. In B.C., it's below two and a
11	scope. We're talking about comparability to other	11	half.
12	districts, which was not raised in cross.	12	Q. And when it comes to the number of callbacks that the
13	MR. HAGLUND: Okay. Fair enough.	13	average PSP pilot performed in 2022, that are net of
14	BY MR. HAGLUND:	14	taking comp days in that year, what is that average
15	Q. There were questions about the shortage of pilots,	15	number for 2022?
16	the number of working pilots. Related question I	16	A. Six and a half.
17	wanted to ask you, Captain Carlson, is: For the	17	Q. And the five percent figure, what's the basis for
18	benefit of the Commission, could you describe the	18	your position that that is where the system should be
19	components of the PSP annual work schedule that show	19	going to in terms of callback jobs by off-watch
20	the average PSP pilot is working more than equal	20	pilots?
21	amounts of time on, time off?	21	A. It's my understanding that nowhere in the United
22	A. Yes, we are. In PMSA's testimony they said 177.65.	22	States do pilots get called back as much as they do
23	You have to add in those three PPWAs, which takes you	23	in Puget Sound. Dr. Czeisler recommended below five
24	to 180.65, then the net callback days pilots work and	24	percent. He's a nationally recognized fatigue
25	the off-watch meetings and the off-watch training.	25	expert, and that's a good enough reason for me.
	Page 348		Page 350
-			
1	And I prepared some numbers in my notes to recount		MR. HAGLUND: No further questions, Your
2	those numbers if you want them.	2	Honor.
3	Q. So for do you have the for 2022, the you	3	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Staff also indicated cross.
4	have the 177.65 on duty days for on-watch cycles; correct?	5	MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
5 6	A. Yes.	6	JUDGE HOWARD: You may proceed.
7	A. Tes. MS. DeLAPPE: And objection. I did not have	7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	any cross about the number of days.	8	BY MR. CALLAGHAN:
9	MR. HAGLUND: Your Honor, it will just take	9	Q. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson.
10	two more minutes. And this is related to the	10	A. Hi.
11	assignment issues that she raised in discussing	11	Q. Do you have a copy of your rebuttal testimony with
12	tables. I'm almost finished with my redirect.	12	you? That's IC-08 08T.
13	JUDGE HOWARD: 1 I think that this is	13	A. Hang on just a sec.
14	not not the exact topic that she was crossing on.	14	MR. HAGLUND: It can be put up on the screen
15	I think, at this point, we're talking about schedules	15	too.
16	in general and hours worked per year and shifts, and	16	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
17	this was not the subject of cross.	17	MR. HAGLUND: Do you have a page?
18	MR. HAGLUND: So you're sustaining the	18	THE WITNESS: Yeah. What page?
19	objection?	19	BY MR. CALLAGHAN:
20	JUDGE HOWARD: I am.	20	Q. Could you turn to page 24 of your rebuttal testimony
21	MR. HAGLUND: Okay.	21	and let me know when you're there.
22	JUDGE HOWARD: I don't want to draw too	22	A. Okay.
23	strict of lines here, because I recognize some of	23	Q. All right. In this part of your testimony, you
24	these issues do overlap to a degree. But I do feel	24	discuss the American Great Lakes Sports Association
25	that talking about shifts and hours worked over the	25	versus Schultz case; is that right?
	-		· • •

65 (Pages 347 to 350)

Page 351

1	Fage 551		Fage 555
	A. Yes.	1	post hearing brief, but I forget if it was discussed
2	Q. All right. For the sake of convenience, I'm just	2	in the order.
3	going to refer to this case going forward as the	3	Q. Okay. Do you have a copy of Exhibit WTB-18X with
4	Great Lakes case.	4	you?
5	In this quote you include from the Great Lakes	5	A. We're getting it pulled up now.
6	case, it states that the pilots in that case lost	6	What page?
7	22 percent of their members over the span of seven	7	Q. So if you could turn to this is exhibit page 51,
8	years, doesn't it?	8	of the order it's page 46.
9	A. Yes.	9	A. Okay.
10	Q. And did you read this case in full?	10	Q. And I'm looking at paragraph 151.
11	A. No.	11	If you could review paragraph 151 and let me know
12	Q. No. Okay.	12	when you're finished.
13	Do you know whether or not that decrease was a	13	A. Okay.
14	net decrease?	14	Q. All right. So in the previous rate case, the
15	A. I think it was a net decrease. You know, keep in	15	Commission did not find the comparison between the
16	mind, Mr how do I say your name? Well, anyway,	16	Great Lakes case and PSP at the time to be a
17		17	persuasive comparison, did they?
18	I'm Nash, is that okay? Q. Yes.	18	A. It appears not.
19		19	Q. All right. And in there's been about two and a
20	MR. HAGLUND: It's Callaghan.	20	half years since that decision was entered; isn't
20	BY MR. CALLAGHAN:	20	that right?
21	Q. Callaghan. A. Callaghan. Keep in mind that I'm at meetings	21	A. Yes. I yeah.
23		23	Q. Okay. So is it PSP's position that there's been such
23	nationally with these presidents of these associations of the Great Lakes. I hear about it all	23	a large change in the last two and a half years that
24	the time. So I I'm not sure if it was a net, but	25	the Great Lakes case is now comparable with PSP's
20		23	the Great Lakes case is now comparable with FSF 3
	Page 352		Page 354
1	I think it was.	1	current situation?
2	Q. Okay. Has there been a net decrease of pilots in PSP	2	A. Yes. It's significant because we are not earning the
3	since the Commission set rates in the last rate case?	3	DNI. And morale is is in the tank, and partly
4	A. No. But there hasn't been an increase to the number	-	
_		4	because of the animosity with the industry. I mean.
5		4	because of the animosity with the industry. I mean, work more, work more. No get I mean, industry
5	of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the	5	work more, work more. No get I mean, industry
	of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most		work more, work more. No get I mean, industry just suggested we have we receive a DNI that's
б	of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most likely moving. This particular pilot won't let me	5 6	work more, work more. No get I mean, industry
6 7	of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most likely moving. This particular pilot won't let me say where because it's well, he won't let me say	5 6 7	work more, work more. No get I mean, industry just suggested we have we receive a DNI that's 15 percent below what the Commission set the DNI at last for 2022.
6 7 8	of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most likely moving. This particular pilot won't let me say where because it's well, he won't let me say where. But we have five pilots that are wanting to	5 6 7 8	work more, work more. No get I mean, industry just suggested we have we receive a DNI that's 15 percent below what the Commission set the DNI at last for 2022. So, yeah. There's a look, I am not you go
6 7 8 9	of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most likely moving. This particular pilot won't let me say where because it's well, he won't let me say where. But we have five pilots that are wanting to go to other districts. One is going to Florida this	5 6 7 8 9	work more, work more. No get I mean, industry just suggested we have we receive a DNI that's 15 percent below what the Commission set the DNI at last for 2022. So, yeah. There's a look, I am not you go ahead. I'm going too far.
6 7 8 9 10	of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most likely moving. This particular pilot won't let me say where because it's well, he won't let me say where. But we have five pilots that are wanting to go to other districts. One is going to Florida this fall to look at the different groups down there to	5 6 7 8 9 10	 work more, work more. No get I mean, industry just suggested we have we receive a DNI that's 15 percent below what the Commission set the DNI at last for 2022. So, yeah. There's a look, I am not you go ahead. I'm going too far. Q. I'm just asking in terms of the comparison between
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most likely moving. This particular pilot won't let me say where because it's well, he won't let me say where. But we have five pilots that are wanting to go to other districts. One is going to Florida this fall to look at the different groups down there to decide where he's going to test.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 work more, work more. No get I mean, industry just suggested we have we receive a DNI that's 15 percent below what the Commission set the DNI at last for 2022. So, yeah. There's a look, I am not you go ahead. I'm going too far. Q. I'm just asking in terms of the comparison between net decrease in pilots in the Great Lakes case versus
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most likely moving. This particular pilot won't let me say where because it's well, he won't let me say where. But we have five pilots that are wanting to go to other districts. One is going to Florida this fall to look at the different groups down there to decide where he's going to test. Q. So, Captain Carlson, those are what you're	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 work more, work more. No get I mean, industry just suggested we have we receive a DNI that's 15 percent below what the Commission set the DNI at last for 2022. So, yeah. There's a look, I am not you go ahead. I'm going too far. Q. I'm just asking in terms of the comparison between net decrease in pilots in the Great Lakes case versus PSP's current position.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most likely moving. This particular pilot won't let me say where because it's well, he won't let me say where. But we have five pilots that are wanting to go to other districts. One is going to Florida this fall to look at the different groups down there to decide where he's going to test. Q. So, Captain Carlson, those are what you're discussing is something that might happen in the 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 work more, work more. No get I mean, industry just suggested we have we receive a DNI that's 15 percent below what the Commission set the DNI at last for 2022. So, yeah. There's a look, I am not you go ahead. I'm going too far. Q. I'm just asking in terms of the comparison between net decrease in pilots in the Great Lakes case versus PSP's current position. MR. HAGLUND: Objection. I didn't hear a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most likely moving. This particular pilot won't let me say where because it's well, he won't let me say where. But we have five pilots that are wanting to go to other districts. One is going to Florida this fall to look at the different groups down there to decide where he's going to test. Q. So, Captain Carlson, those are what you're discussing is something that might happen in the future; correct? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 work more, work more. No get I mean, industry just suggested we have we receive a DNI that's 15 percent below what the Commission set the DNI at last for 2022. So, yeah. There's a look, I am not you go ahead. I'm going too far. Q. I'm just asking in terms of the comparison between net decrease in pilots in the Great Lakes case versus PSP's current position. MR. HAGLUND: Objection. I didn't hear a question there.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most likely moving. This particular pilot won't let me say where because it's well, he won't let me say where. But we have five pilots that are wanting to go to other districts. One is going to Florida this fall to look at the different groups down there to decide where he's going to test. Q. So, Captain Carlson, those are what you're discussing is something that might happen in the future; correct? A. One of them is a definite, and one of them is a 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 work more, work more. No get I mean, industry just suggested we have we receive a DNI that's 15 percent below what the Commission set the DNI at last for 2022. So, yeah. There's a look, I am not you go ahead. I'm going too far. Q. I'm just asking in terms of the comparison between net decrease in pilots in the Great Lakes case versus PSP's current position. MR. HAGLUND: Objection. I didn't hear a question there. BY MR. CALLAGHAN:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most likely moving. This particular pilot won't let me say where because it's well, he won't let me say where. But we have five pilots that are wanting to go to other districts. One is going to Florida this fall to look at the different groups down there to decide where he's going to test. Q. So, Captain Carlson, those are what you're discussing is something that might happen in the future; correct? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 work more, work more. No get I mean, industry just suggested we have we receive a DNI that's 15 percent below what the Commission set the DNI at last for 2022. So, yeah. There's a look, I am not you go ahead. I'm going too far. Q. I'm just asking in terms of the comparison between net decrease in pilots in the Great Lakes case versus PSP's current position. MR. HAGLUND: Objection. I didn't hear a question there. BY MR. CALLAGHAN: Q. So I'm asking whether PSP's position is that, in
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most likely moving. This particular pilot won't let me say where because it's well, he won't let me say where. But we have five pilots that are wanting to go to other districts. One is going to Florida this fall to look at the different groups down there to decide where he's going to test. Q. So, Captain Carlson, those are what you're discussing is something that might happen in the future; correct? A. One of them is a definite, and one of them is a might. And then the other three are also might. You are correct. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 work more, work more. No get I mean, industry just suggested we have we receive a DNI that's 15 percent below what the Commission set the DNI at last for 2022. So, yeah. There's a look, I am not you go ahead. I'm going too far. Q. I'm just asking in terms of the comparison between net decrease in pilots in the Great Lakes case versus PSP's current position. MR. HAGLUND: Objection. I didn't hear a question there. BY MR. CALLAGHAN: Q. So I'm asking whether PSP's position is that, in terms of comparing a net decrease in the Great Lakes
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most likely moving. This particular pilot won't let me say where because it's well, he won't let me say where. But we have five pilots that are wanting to go to other districts. One is going to Florida this fall to look at the different groups down there to decide where he's going to test. Q. So, Captain Carlson, those are what you're discussing is something that might happen in the future; correct? A. One of them is a definite, and one of them is a might. And then the other three are also might. You are correct. Q. Okay. Did you read the Commission's final order in 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 work more, work more. No get I mean, industry just suggested we have we receive a DNI that's 15 percent below what the Commission set the DNI at last for 2022. So, yeah. There's a look, I am not you go ahead. I'm going too far. Q. I'm just asking in terms of the comparison between net decrease in pilots in the Great Lakes case versus PSP's current position. MR. HAGLUND: Objection. I didn't hear a question there. BY MR. CALLAGHAN: Q. So I'm asking whether PSP's position is that, in terms of comparing a net decrease in the Great Lakes case to what PSP is experiencing now, is your
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most likely moving. This particular pilot won't let me say where because it's well, he won't let me say where. But we have five pilots that are wanting to go to other districts. One is going to Florida this fall to look at the different groups down there to decide where he's going to test. Q. So, Captain Carlson, those are what you're discussing is something that might happen in the future; correct? A. One of them is a definite, and one of them is a might. And then the other three are also might. You are correct. Q. Okay. Did you read the Commission's final order in the last pilotage case, Order 09? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 work more, work more. No get I mean, industry just suggested we have we receive a DNI that's 15 percent below what the Commission set the DNI at last for 2022. So, yeah. There's a look, I am not you go ahead. I'm going too far. Q. I'm just asking in terms of the comparison between net decrease in pilots in the Great Lakes case versus PSP's current position. MR. HAGLUND: Objection. I didn't hear a question there. BY MR. CALLAGHAN: Q. So I'm asking whether PSP's position is that, in terms of comparing a net decrease in the Great Lakes case to what PSP is experiencing now, is your argument that now these two cases are comparable?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most likely moving. This particular pilot won't let me say where because it's well, he won't let me say where. But we have five pilots that are wanting to go to other districts. One is going to Florida this fall to look at the different groups down there to decide where he's going to test. Q. So, Captain Carlson, those are what you're discussing is something that might happen in the future; correct? A. One of them is a definite, and one of them is a might. And then the other three are also might. You are correct. Q. Okay. Did you read the Commission's final order in the last pilotage case, Order 09? A. Yes. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 work more, work more. No get I mean, industry just suggested we have we receive a DNI that's 15 percent below what the Commission set the DNI at last for 2022. So, yeah. There's a look, I am not you go ahead. I'm going too far. Q. I'm just asking in terms of the comparison between net decrease in pilots in the Great Lakes case versus PSP's current position. MR. HAGLUND: Objection. I didn't hear a question there. BY MR. CALLAGHAN: Q. So I'm asking whether PSP's position is that, in terms of comparing a net decrease in the Great Lakes case to what PSP is experiencing now, is your argument that now these two cases are comparable? A. It is. And the reason it is. It is comparable.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most likely moving. This particular pilot won't let me say where because it's well, he won't let me say where. But we have five pilots that are wanting to go to other districts. One is going to Florida this fall to look at the different groups down there to decide where he's going to test. Q. So, Captain Carlson, those are what you're discussing is something that might happen in the future; correct? A. One of them is a definite, and one of them is a might. And then the other three are also might. You are correct. Q. Okay. Did you read the Commission's final order in the last pilotage case, Order 09? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall whether or not the Great Lakes case 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 work more, work more. No get I mean, industry just suggested we have we receive a DNI that's 15 percent below what the Commission set the DNI at last for 2022. So, yeah. There's a look, I am not you go ahead. I'm going too far. Q. I'm just asking in terms of the comparison between net decrease in pilots in the Great Lakes case versus PSP's current position. MR. HAGLUND: Objection. I didn't hear a question there. BY MR. CALLAGHAN: Q. So I'm asking whether PSP's position is that, in terms of comparing a net decrease in the Great Lakes case to what PSP is experiencing now, is your argument that now these two cases are comparable? A. It is. And the reason it is. It is comparable. We know that these pilots are going. There's no
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most likely moving. This particular pilot won't let me say where because it's well, he won't let me say where. But we have five pilots that are wanting to go to other districts. One is going to Florida this fall to look at the different groups down there to decide where he's going to test. Q. So, Captain Carlson, those are what you're discussing is something that might happen in the future; correct? A. One of them is a definite, and one of them is a might. And then the other three are also might. You are correct. Q. Okay. Did you read the Commission's final order in the last pilotage case, Order 09? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall whether or not the Great Lakes case was discussed in that order? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 work more, work more. No get I mean, industry just suggested we have we receive a DNI that's 15 percent below what the Commission set the DNI at last for 2022. So, yeah. There's a look, I am not you go ahead. I'm going too far. Q. I'm just asking in terms of the comparison between net decrease in pilots in the Great Lakes case versus PSP's current position. MR. HAGLUND: Objection. I didn't hear a question there. BY MR. CALLAGHAN: Q. So I'm asking whether PSP's position is that, in terms of comparing a net decrease in the Great Lakes case to what PSP is experiencing now, is your argument that now these two cases are comparable? A. It is. And the reason it is. It is comparable. We know that these pilots are going. There's no question that one of them is leaving for sure. We
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most likely moving. This particular pilot won't let me say where because it's well, he won't let me say where. But we have five pilots that are wanting to go to other districts. One is going to Florida this fall to look at the different groups down there to decide where he's going to test. Q. So, Captain Carlson, those are what you're discussing is something that might happen in the future; correct? A. One of them is a definite, and one of them is a might. And then the other three are also might. You are correct. Q. Okay. Did you read the Commission's final order in the last pilotage case, Order 09? A. Yes. A. And do you recall whether or not the Great Lakes case was discussed in that order? A. I think it was a little bit. I'm not quite sure. 1 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 work more, work more. No get I mean, industry just suggested we have we receive a DNI that's 15 percent below what the Commission set the DNI at last for 2022. So, yeah. There's a look, I am not you go ahead. I'm going too far. Q. I'm just asking in terms of the comparison between net decrease in pilots in the Great Lakes case versus PSP's current position. MR. HAGLUND: Objection. I didn't hear a question there. BY MR. CALLAGHAN: Q. So I'm asking whether PSP's position is that, in terms of comparing a net decrease in the Great Lakes case to what PSP is experiencing now, is your argument that now these two cases are comparable? A. It is. And the reason it is. It is comparable. We know that these pilots are going. There's no question that one of them is leaving for sure. We have a pilot that is hanging on to see the results of
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 of 56 that's authorized. And there are pilots on the list on a pilot list somewhere else. And most likely moving. This particular pilot won't let me say where because it's well, he won't let me say where. But we have five pilots that are wanting to go to other districts. One is going to Florida this fall to look at the different groups down there to decide where he's going to test. Q. So, Captain Carlson, those are what you're discussing is something that might happen in the future; correct? A. One of them is a definite, and one of them is a might. And then the other three are also might. You are correct. Q. Okay. Did you read the Commission's final order in the last pilotage case, Order 09? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall whether or not the Great Lakes case was discussed in that order? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 work more, work more. No get I mean, industry just suggested we have we receive a DNI that's 15 percent below what the Commission set the DNI at last for 2022. So, yeah. There's a look, I am not you go ahead. I'm going too far. Q. I'm just asking in terms of the comparison between net decrease in pilots in the Great Lakes case versus PSP's current position. MR. HAGLUND: Objection. I didn't hear a question there. BY MR. CALLAGHAN: Q. So I'm asking whether PSP's position is that, in terms of comparing a net decrease in the Great Lakes case to what PSP is experiencing now, is your argument that now these two cases are comparable? A. It is. And the reason it is. It is comparable. We know that these pilots are going. There's no question that one of them is leaving for sure. We

66 (Pages 351 to 354)

Page 353

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 355		Page 357
1	he's hanging on to see the results of this rate case.	1	where there was an automatic adjuster. There was a
2	He said he'll go. And we have and that wasn't one	2	number of pilots, a COLA, and a number of adjustors
3	of the five that I mentioned.	3	there. PMSA opted we had attempted to
4	So, yeah, we do know.	4	renegotiate, to negotiate a new MOU period beginning
5	Q. All right. But in the Great Lakes case, they had	5	2006. PMSA wasn't interested. So we terminated. We
6	already experienced a net decrease of 22 percent;	6	didn't actually terminate. We let the MOUs the
7	correct?	7	five-year period expire and then we didn't renew it.
8	A. That's correct. But we don't want to wait until	8	There was some talk about adjustors, and we did
9	already lost the pilot. We'd like to be preemptive.	9	have a period in 2015 where we came to the table with
10	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right. No further	10	a joint proposal for a tariff. We differed on the
11	questions, Your Honor.	11	amount. But a tariff structure that made adjustments
12	JUDGE HOWARD: Any redirect?	12	to the pilot vote fee, which and reduced tonnage
13	MR. HAGLUND: None.	13	charges and LOA charges.
14	JUDGE HOWARD: Do we have any questions from	14	So we have been able to negotiate with them.
15	the bench for Captain Carlson?	15	But not so much in a long time.
16	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Yes, I have a couple	16	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So not since 2015 it
17	questions, Your Honor.	17	sounds like. So why are you saying when that you
18	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Please proceed.	18	might be able to catalyze discussions again based on
19	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Captain Carlson,	19	the adoption of tariff adjustors now? What gives you
20	referring to your rebuttal testimony, which you just	20	confidence you'll be able to get to the table with
21	had I think in hand, Exhibit 5C-08T at page 18, lines	21	them going forward?
22	19 through 23. Are you there?	22	THE WITNESS: Well, this here these
23	MR. HAGLUND: We just need another moment.	23	adjustors that we're discussing here are auto
24	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. 18.	24	adjustors for the pilot boats and capital costs,
25	It's on the automatic tariff adjustors.	25	which we removed. So our hope moving forward,
	Page 356		Page 358
1	THE WITNESS: Okay.	1	long-term hope, is that we have auto adjustors on
2	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And in those lines,		·····g································
-		2	some things and that once they've been established
3	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of	2 3	
			some things and that once they've been established
3	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of	3	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and
3 4	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and	3 4	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and
3 4 5	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and maintenance costs related to PSP's pilot station in	3 4 5	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and the capital cost as well. It just eliminates a lot of issues. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So basically this
3 4 5 6	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and maintenance costs related to PSP's pilot station in Port Angeles and its two pilot boats.	3 4 5 6	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and the capital cost as well. It just eliminates a lot of issues. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So basically this time it's your hope that this would occur, then,
3 4 5 6 7	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and maintenance costs related to PSP's pilot station in	3 4 5 6 7	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and the capital cost as well. It just eliminates a lot of issues. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So basically this time it's your hope that this would occur, then, these discussions in the future?
3 4 5 6 7 8	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and maintenance costs related to PSP's pilot station in Port Angeles and its two pilot boats. And my question is whether you can clarify for the record whether there have been any prior	3 4 5 6 7 8	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and the capital cost as well. It just eliminates a lot of issues. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So basically this time it's your hope that this would occur, then,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and maintenance costs related to PSP's pilot station in Port Angeles and its two pilot boats. And my question is whether you can clarify for the record whether there have been any prior discussions or engagement with PMSA in developing	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and the capital cost as well. It just eliminates a lot of issues. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So basically this time it's your hope that this would occur, then, these discussions in the future?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and maintenance costs related to PSP's pilot station in Port Angeles and its two pilot boats. And my question is whether you can clarify for the record whether there have been any prior discussions or engagement with PMSA in developing tariff adjustors?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and the capital cost as well. It just eliminates a lot of issues. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So basically this time it's your hope that this would occur, then, these discussions in the future? THE WITNESS: Yeah. This here is speaking about the pilot boats in the capital, and we're moving it. Yeah.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and maintenance costs related to PSP's pilot station in Port Angeles and its two pilot boats. And my question is whether you can clarify for the record whether there have been any prior discussions or engagement with PMSA in developing tariff adjustors? THE WITNESS: Well, when you say "prior,"	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and the capital cost as well. It just eliminates a lot of issues. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So basically this time it's your hope that this would occur, then, these discussions in the future? THE WITNESS: Yeah. This here is speaking about the pilot boats in the capital, and we're moving it. Yeah. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Sure. Let's we
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and maintenance costs related to PSP's pilot station in Port Angeles and its two pilot boats. And my question is whether you can clarify for the record whether there have been any prior discussions or engagement with PMSA in developing tariff adjustors?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and the capital cost as well. It just eliminates a lot of issues. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So basically this time it's your hope that this would occur, then, these discussions in the future? THE WITNESS: Yeah. This here is speaking about the pilot boats in the capital, and we're moving it. Yeah.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and maintenance costs related to PSP's pilot station in Port Angeles and its two pilot boats. And my question is whether you can clarify for the record whether there have been any prior discussions or engagement with PMSA in developing tariff adjustors? THE WITNESS: Well, when you say "prior,"	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and the capital cost as well. It just eliminates a lot of issues. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So basically this time it's your hope that this would occur, then, these discussions in the future? THE WITNESS: Yeah. This here is speaking about the pilot boats in the capital, and we're moving it. Yeah. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Sure. Let's we visited this with Mr. Costanzo, but let's talk about retirement plan a little bit with you as well. I'm
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and maintenance costs related to PSP's pilot station in Port Angeles and its two pilot boats. And my question is whether you can clarify for the record whether there have been any prior discussions or engagement with PMSA in developing tariff adjustors? THE WITNESS: Well, when you say "prior," how far back should we go? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: I mean, have you well, as far back as I mean, have in any at	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and the capital cost as well. It just eliminates a lot of issues. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So basically this time it's your hope that this would occur, then, these discussions in the future? THE WITNESS: Yeah. This here is speaking about the pilot boats in the capital, and we're moving it. Yeah. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Sure. Let's we visited this with Mr. Costanzo, but let's talk about retirement plan a little bit with you as well. I'm referring to Final Order No. 9, which you said you've
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and maintenance costs related to PSP's pilot station in Port Angeles and its two pilot boats. And my question is whether you can clarify for the record whether there have been any prior discussions or engagement with PMSA in developing tariff adjustors? THE WITNESS: Well, when you say "prior," how far back should we go? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: I mean, have you well, as far back as I mean, have in any at any point, we'll just start there. And to your	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and the capital cost as well. It just eliminates a lot of issues. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So basically this time it's your hope that this would occur, then, these discussions in the future? THE WITNESS: Yeah. This here is speaking about the pilot boats in the capital, and we're moving it. Yeah. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Sure. Let's we visited this with Mr. Costanzo, but let's talk about retirement plan a little bit with you as well. I'm referring to Final Order No. 9, which you said you've read. That's in docket the last case docket,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and maintenance costs related to PSP's pilot station in Port Angeles and its two pilot boats. And my question is whether you can clarify for the record whether there have been any prior discussions or engagement with PMSA in developing tariff adjustors? THE WITNESS: Well, when you say "prior," how far back should we go? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: I mean, have you well, as far back as I mean, have in any at any point, we'll just start there. And to your knowledge, have you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and the capital cost as well. It just eliminates a lot of issues. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So basically this time it's your hope that this would occur, then, these discussions in the future? THE WITNESS: Yeah. This here is speaking about the pilot boats in the capital, and we're moving it. Yeah. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Sure. Let's we visited this with Mr. Costanzo, but let's talk about retirement plan a little bit with you as well. I'm referring to Final Order No. 9, which you said you've read. That's in docket the last case docket, TP-190976. And look at paragraphs 191 through 193.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and maintenance costs related to PSP's pilot station in Port Angeles and its two pilot boats. And my question is whether you can clarify for the record whether there have been any prior discussions or engagement with PMSA in developing tariff adjustors? THE WITNESS: Well, when you say "prior," how far back should we go? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: I mean, have you well, as far back as I mean, have in any at any point, we'll just start there. And to your knowledge, have you THE WITNESS: Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and the capital cost as well. It just eliminates a lot of issues. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So basically this time it's your hope that this would occur, then, these discussions in the future? THE WITNESS: Yeah. This here is speaking about the pilot boats in the capital, and we're moving it. Yeah. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Sure. Let's we visited this with Mr. Costanzo, but let's talk about retirement plan a little bit with you as well. I'm referring to Final Order No. 9, which you said you've read. That's in docket the last case docket, TP-190976. And look at paragraphs 191 through 193. The Commission ordered PSP to initiate
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and maintenance costs related to PSP's pilot station in Port Angeles and its two pilot boats. And my question is whether you can clarify for the record whether there have been any prior discussions or engagement with PMSA in developing tariff adjustors? THE WITNESS: Well, when you say "prior," how far back should we go? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: I mean, have you well, as far back as I mean, have in any at any point, we'll just start there. And to your knowledge, have you THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: had prior	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and the capital cost as well. It just eliminates a lot of issues. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So basically this time it's your hope that this would occur, then, these discussions in the future? THE WITNESS: Yeah. This here is speaking about the pilot boats in the capital, and we're moving it. Yeah. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Sure. Let's we visited this with Mr. Costanzo, but let's talk about retirement plan a little bit with you as well. I'm referring to Final Order No. 9, which you said you've read. That's in docket the last case docket, TP-190976. And look at paragraphs 191 through 193. The Commission ordered PSP to initiate discussions for the purposes of developing a plan to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and maintenance costs related to PSP's pilot station in Port Angeles and its two pilot boats. And my question is whether you can clarify for the record whether there have been any prior discussions or engagement with PMSA in developing tariff adjustors? THE WITNESS: Well, when you say "prior," how far back should we go? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: I mean, have you well, as far back as I mean, have in any at any point, we'll just start there. And to your knowledge, have you THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: had prior discussions. And if so, what came out of those	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and the capital cost as well. It just eliminates a lot of issues. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So basically this time it's your hope that this would occur, then, these discussions in the future? THE WITNESS: Yeah. This here is speaking about the pilot boats in the capital, and we're moving it. Yeah. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Sure. Let's we visited this with Mr. Costanzo, but let's talk about retirement plan a little bit with you as well. I'm referring to Final Order No. 9, which you said you've read. That's in docket the last case docket, TP-190976. And look at paragraphs 191 through 193. The Commission ordered PSP to initiate discussions for the purposes of developing a plan to transition to a fully-funded defined benefit
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and maintenance costs related to PSP's pilot station in Port Angeles and its two pilot boats. And my question is whether you can clarify for the record whether there have been any prior discussions or engagement with PMSA in developing tariff adjustors? THE WITNESS: Well, when you say "prior," how far back should we go? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: I mean, have you well, as far back as I mean, have in any at any point, we'll just start there. And to your knowledge, have you THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: had prior discussions. And if so, what came out of those conversations? Maybe just give me the general time	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and the capital cost as well. It just eliminates a lot of issues. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So basically this time it's your hope that this would occur, then, these discussions in the future? THE WITNESS: Yeah. This here is speaking about the pilot boats in the capital, and we're moving it. Yeah. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Sure. Let's we visited this with Mr. Costanzo, but let's talk about retirement plan a little bit with you as well. I'm referring to Final Order No. 9, which you said you've read. That's in docket the last case docket, TP-190976. And look at paragraphs 191 through 193. The Commission ordered PSP to initiate discussions for the purposes of developing a plan to transition to a fully-funded defined benefit retirement plan.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and maintenance costs related to PSP's pilot station in Port Angeles and its two pilot boats. And my question is whether you can clarify for the record whether there have been any prior discussions or engagement with PMSA in developing tariff adjustors? THE WITNESS: Well, when you say "prior," how far back should we go? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: I mean, have you well, as far back as I mean, have in any at any point, we'll just start there. And to your knowledge, have you THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: had prior discussions. And if so, what came out of those conversations? Maybe just give me the general time frame for when those occurred and if anything has	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and the capital cost as well. It just eliminates a lot of issues. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So basically this time it's your hope that this would occur, then, these discussions in the future? THE WITNESS: Yeah. This here is speaking about the pilot boats in the capital, and we're moving it. Yeah. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Sure. Let's we visited this with Mr. Costanzo, but let's talk about retirement plan a little bit with you as well. I'm referring to Final Order No. 9, which you said you've read. That's in docket the last case docket, TP-190976. And look at paragraphs 191 through 193. The Commission ordered PSP to initiate discussions for the purposes of developing a plan to transition to a fully-funded defined benefit retirement plan. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and maintenance costs related to PSP's pilot station in Port Angeles and its two pilot boats. And my question is whether you can clarify for the record whether there have been any prior discussions or engagement with PMSA in developing tariff adjustors? THE WITNESS: Well, when you say "prior," how far back should we go? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: I mean, have you well, as far back as I mean, have in any at any point, we'll just start there. And to your knowledge, have you THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: had prior discussions. And if so, what came out of those conversations? Maybe just give me the general time frame for when those occurred and if anything has happened recently.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and the capital cost as well. It just eliminates a lot of issues. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So basically this time it's your hope that this would occur, then, these discussions in the future? THE WITNESS: Yeah. This here is speaking about the pilot boats in the capital, and we're moving it. Yeah. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Sure. Let's we visited this with Mr. Costanzo, but let's talk about retirement plan a little bit with you as well. I'm referring to Final Order No. 9, which you said you've read. That's in docket the last case docket, TP-190976. And look at paragraphs 191 through 193. The Commission ordered PSP to initiate discussions for the purposes of developing a plan to transition to a fully-funded defined benefit retirement plan. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry, Commissioner. I was trying to get our
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you state that PSP believes that the adoption of automatic tariff adjustors will set the stage for potential negotiations with PMSA to develop tariff adjustors that would be used to address capital and maintenance costs related to PSP's pilot station in Port Angeles and its two pilot boats. And my question is whether you can clarify for the record whether there have been any prior discussions or engagement with PMSA in developing tariff adjustors? THE WITNESS: Well, when you say "prior," how far back should we go? COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: I mean, have you well, as far back as I mean, have in any at any point, we'll just start there. And to your knowledge, have you THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: had prior discussions. And if so, what came out of those conversations? Maybe just give me the general time frame for when those occurred and if anything has	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	some things and that once they've been established and anchored in, then maybe we can go to PMSA and look for auto adjustors on the pilot boat expense and the capital cost as well. It just eliminates a lot of issues. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So basically this time it's your hope that this would occur, then, these discussions in the future? THE WITNESS: Yeah. This here is speaking about the pilot boats in the capital, and we're moving it. Yeah. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Sure. Let's we visited this with Mr. Costanzo, but let's talk about retirement plan a little bit with you as well. I'm referring to Final Order No. 9, which you said you've read. That's in docket the last case docket, TP-190976. And look at paragraphs 191 through 193. The Commission ordered PSP to initiate discussions for the purposes of developing a plan to transition to a fully-funded defined benefit retirement plan. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry,

67 (Pages 355 to 358)

	Dama 250		
	Page 359		Page 361
1	I'll wait until you get there. I apologize.	1	further, Your Honor. Thank you. Thank you, Captain.
2	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
3	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: 191.	3	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Your Honor, I have a
4	THE WITNESS: Paragraph 191?	4	follow-up to that.
5	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Yeah. And 192. Yeah.	5	JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead.
6	MR. HAGLUND: What page is that on?	6	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So, Captain Carlson,
7	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Page 58 of the order.	7	referring to that same part of the testimony in the
8	MR. HAGLUND: Thank you.	8	final order, the final order required that PSP engage
9	THE WITNESS: Yes. I've got it.	9	a mutually acceptable third-party actuary.
10	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. And you recall	10	That wasn't actually done, was it?
11	that the Commission ordered in that order, ordered	11	THE WITNESS: Well, excuse me, Commissioner
12	PSP to initiate discussions with PMSA for the purpose	12	Rendahl. But it but it also said "or mediator,"
13	of developing a plan to transition to a fully-funded	13	right?
14	defined benefit retirement plan?	14	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Well, but it wasn't a
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.	15	mutually acceptable person, which was the intent of
16	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Is that correct?	16	the order.
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.	17	THE WITNESS: We attempted to have a
18	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And then I'm looking	18	mediator. And and they were they rejected it.
19	at the initial testimony of Captain Moore, which is	19	And then PMSA Mike Jacob contacted our attorney
20	at if you want to go there, it's at MM-1T at	20	and he said we don't need to go this formal route.
21	page 254, lines 1 through 17.	21	We can just do it informal. And that's, then, what
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.	22	we did. And I think this is backed up by e-mail, but
23	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: And essentially, PMSA	23	I'm not sure.
24	alleges that PSP did not engage a mutually acceptable	24	And so in fact, yeah. It was in an e-mail.
25	third-party actuary as directed by Order 09 in the	25	We we had received word from PMSA, Mike Jacob,
	Page 360		Page 362
1	Page 360 last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true?	1	Page 362 that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we
1 2	-	1 2	-
	last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true?		that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we
2	last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not	2	that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we
2 3	last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order.	2 3	that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and
2 3 4	last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true.	2 3 4	that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where
2 3 4 5	last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true. But at a minimum, we had a mutually-accepted mediator	2 3 4 5	that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where we tried, then going the route that we did.
2 3 4 5 6	last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true. But at a minimum, we had a mutually-accepted mediator that really, I think, is going to say we're he may	2 3 4 5 6	that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where we tried, then going the route that we did. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That was never
2 3 4 5 6 7	last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true. But at a minimum, we had a mutually-accepted mediator that really, I think, is going to say we're he may not declare an impasse. I'm not sure. But at a	2 3 4 5 6 7	that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where we tried, then going the route that we did. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That was never communicated to the Commission at the time, about
2 3 4 5 6 7	last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true. But at a minimum, we had a mutually-accepted mediator that really, I think, is going to say we're he may not declare an impasse. I'm not sure. But at a minimum, I don't think the prospects are very good for a mutual agreement. My experience in the whole process is PMSA is not really interested in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where we tried, then going the route that we did. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That was never communicated to the Commission at the time, about doing something different than the Commission had directed; correct? THE WITNESS: I maybe not. I'm not sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true. But at a minimum, we had a mutually-accepted mediator that really, I think, is going to say we're he may not declare an impasse. I'm not sure. But at a minimum, I don't think the prospects are very good for a mutual agreement. My experience in the whole	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where we tried, then going the route that we did. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That was never communicated to the Commission at the time, about doing something different than the Commission had directed; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true. But at a minimum, we had a mutually-accepted mediator that really, I think, is going to say we're he may not declare an impasse. I'm not sure. But at a minimum, I don't think the prospects are very good for a mutual agreement. My experience in the whole process is PMSA is not really interested in a fully-funded defined benefit plan. That's my that's my impression.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where we tried, then going the route that we did. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That was never communicated to the Commission at the time, about doing something different than the Commission had directed; correct? THE WITNESS: I maybe not. I'm not sure. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. I have no other questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true. But at a minimum, we had a mutually-accepted mediator that really, I think, is going to say we're he may not declare an impasse. I'm not sure. But at a minimum, I don't think the prospects are very good for a mutual agreement. My experience in the whole process is PMSA is not really interested in a fully-funded defined benefit plan. That's my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where we tried, then going the route that we did. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That was never communicated to the Commission at the time, about doing something different than the Commission had directed; correct? THE WITNESS: I maybe not. I'm not sure. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true. But at a minimum, we had a mutually-accepted mediator that really, I think, is going to say we're he may not declare an impasse. I'm not sure. But at a minimum, I don't think the prospects are very good for a mutual agreement. My experience in the whole process is PMSA is not really interested in a fully-funded defined benefit plan. That's my that's my impression. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: All right. So just based on your impression and opinion and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where we tried, then going the route that we did. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That was never communicated to the Commission at the time, about doing something different than the Commission had directed; correct? THE WITNESS: I maybe not. I'm not sure. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. I have no other questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. HAGLUND: I had a quick redirect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true. But at a minimum, we had a mutually-accepted mediator that really, I think, is going to say we're he may not declare an impasse. I'm not sure. But at a minimum, I don't think the prospects are very good for a mutual agreement. My experience in the whole process is PMSA is not really interested in a fully-funded defined benefit plan. That's my that's my impression. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: All right. So just based on your impression and opinion and the adjustors, you have hope that there will be some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where we tried, then going the route that we did. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That was never communicated to the Commission at the time, about doing something different than the Commission had directed; correct? THE WITNESS: I maybe not. I'm not sure. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. I have no other questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. HAGLUND: I had a quick redirect question, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true. But at a minimum, we had a mutually-accepted mediator that really, I think, is going to say we're he may not declare an impasse. I'm not sure. But at a minimum, I don't think the prospects are very good for a mutual agreement. My experience in the whole process is PMSA is not really interested in a fully-funded defined benefit plan. That's my that's my impression. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: All right. So just based on your impression and opinion and the adjustors, you have hope that there will be some discussions. But on this point going forward, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where we tried, then going the route that we did. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That was never communicated to the Commission at the time, about doing something different than the Commission had directed; correct? THE WITNESS: I maybe not. I'm not sure. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. I have no other questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. HAGLUND: I had a quick redirect question, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true. But at a minimum, we had a mutually-accepted mediator that really, I think, is going to say we're he may not declare an impasse. I'm not sure. But at a minimum, I don't think the prospects are very good for a mutual agreement. My experience in the whole process is PMSA is not really interested in a fully-funded defined benefit plan. That's my that's my impression. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: All right. So just based on your impression and opinion and the adjustors, you have hope that there will be some discussions. But on this point going forward, you think it would be futile, essentially, to have an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where we tried, then going the route that we did. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That was never communicated to the Commission at the time, about doing something different than the Commission had directed; correct? THE WITNESS: I maybe not. I'm not sure. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. I have no other questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. HAGLUND: I had a quick redirect question, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead. REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true. But at a minimum, we had a mutually-accepted mediator that really, I think, is going to say we're he may not declare an impasse. I'm not sure. But at a minimum, I don't think the prospects are very good for a mutual agreement. My experience in the whole process is PMSA is not really interested in a fully-funded defined benefit plan. That's my that's my impression. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: All right. So just based on your impression and opinion and the adjustors, you have hope that there will be some discussions. But on this point going forward, you think it would be futile, essentially, to have an order that asks you the two sides to get together	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where we tried, then going the route that we did. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That was never communicated to the Commission at the time, about doing something different than the Commission had directed; correct? THE WITNESS: I maybe not. I'm not sure. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. I have no other questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. HAGLUND: I had a quick redirect question, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true. But at a minimum, we had a mutually-accepted mediator that really, I think, is going to say we're he may not declare an impasse. I'm not sure. But at a minimum, I don't think the prospects are very good for a mutual agreement. My experience in the whole process is PMSA is not really interested in a fully-funded defined benefit plan. That's my that's my impression. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: All right. So just based on your impression and opinion and the adjustors, you have hope that there will be some discussions. But on this point going forward, you think it would be futile, essentially, to have an order that asks you the two sides to get together on the defined benefit plan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where we tried, then going the route that we did. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That was never communicated to the Commission at the time, about doing something different than the Commission had directed; correct? THE WITNESS: 1 maybe not. I'm not sure. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. I have no other questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. HAGLUND: I had a quick redirect question, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Captain Carlson, you were asked some questions about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true. But at a minimum, we had a mutually-accepted mediator that really, I think, is going to say we're he may not declare an impasse. I'm not sure. But at a minimum, I don't think the prospects are very good for a mutual agreement. My experience in the whole process is PMSA is not really interested in a fully-funded defined benefit plan. That's my that's my impression. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: All right. So just based on your impression and opinion and the adjustors, you have hope that there will be some discussions. But on this point going forward, you think it would be futile, essentially, to have an order that asks you the two sides to get together on the defined benefit plan. Is that your how you feel? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where we tried, then going the route that we did. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That was never communicated to the Commission at the time, about doing something different than the Commission had directed; correct? THE WITNESS: I maybe not. I'm not sure. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. I have no other questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. HAGLUND: I had a quick redirect question, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Captain Carlson, you were asked some questions about automatic adjustors.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true. But at a minimum, we had a mutually-accepted mediator that really, I think, is going to say we're he may not declare an impasse. I'm not sure. But at a minimum, I don't think the prospects are very good for a mutual agreement. My experience in the whole process is PMSA is not really interested in a fully-funded defined benefit plan. That's my that's my impression. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: All right. So just based on your impression and opinion and the adjustors, you have hope that there will be some discussions. But on this point going forward, you think it would be futile, essentially, to have an order that asks you the two sides to get together on the defined benefit plan. Is that your how you feel? THE WITNESS: I feel like unless yeah. I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where we tried, then going the route that we did. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That was never communicated to the Commission at the time, about doing something different than the Commission had directed; correct? THE WITNESS: I maybe not. I'm not sure. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. I have no other questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. HAGLUND: I had a quick redirect question, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Captain Carlson, you were asked some questions about automatic adjustors. Given your involvement with pilot organizations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true. But at a minimum, we had a mutually-accepted mediator that really, I think, is going to say we're he may not declare an impasse. I'm not sure. But at a minimum, I don't think the prospects are very good for a mutual agreement. My experience in the whole process is PMSA is not really interested in a fully-funded defined benefit plan. That's my that's my impression. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: All right. So just based on your impression and opinion and the adjustors, you have hope that there will be some discussions. But on this point going forward, you think it would be futile, essentially, to have an order that asks you the two sides to get together on the defined benefit plan. Is that your how you feel? THE WITNESS: I feel like unless yeah. I don't believe they're they're acting in good 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where we tried, then going the route that we did. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That was never communicated to the Commission at the time, about doing something different than the Commission had directed; correct? THE WITNESS: I maybe not. I'm not sure. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. I have no other questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. HAGLUND: I had a quick redirect question, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Captain Carlson, you were asked some questions about automatic adjustors. Given your involvement with pilot organizations around the country, have you observed that when it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true. But at a minimum, we had a mutually-accepted mediator that really, I think, is going to say we're he may not declare an impasse. I'm not sure. But at a minimum, I don't think the prospects are very good for a mutual agreement. My experience in the whole process is PMSA is not really interested in a fully-funded defined benefit plan. That's my that's my impression. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: All right. So just based on your impression and opinion and the adjustors, you have hope that there will be some discussions. But on this point going forward, you think it would be futile, essentially, to have an order that asks you the two sides to get together on the defined benefit plan. Is that your how you feel? THE WITNESS: I feel like unless yeah. I don't believe they're they're acting in good faith. I mean, I don't know how to say it nice, but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where we tried, then going the route that we did. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That was never communicated to the Commission at the time, about doing something different than the Commission had directed; correct? THE WITNESS: I maybe not. I'm not sure. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. I have no other questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. HAGLUND: I had a quick redirect question, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Captain Carlson, you were asked some questions about automatic adjustors. Given your involvement with pilot organizations around the country, have you observed that when it comes to capital cost items, industry and pilot group
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true. But at a minimum, we had a mutually-accepted mediator that really, I think, is going to say we're he may not declare an impasse. I'm not sure. But at a minimum, I don't think the prospects are very good for a mutual agreement. My experience in the whole process is PMSA is not really interested in a fully-funded defined benefit plan. That's my that's my impression. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: All right. So just based on your impression and opinion and the adjustors, you have hope that there will be some discussions. But on this point going forward, you think it would be futile, essentially, to have an order that asks you the two sides to get together on the defined benefit plan. Is that your how you feel? THE WITNESS: I feel like unless yeah. I don't believe they're they're acting in good faith. I mean, I don't know how to say it nice, but I don't believe they're acting in good faith.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where we tried, then going the route that we did. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That was never communicated to the Commission at the time, about doing something different than the Commission had directed; correct? THE WITNESS: 1 maybe not. I'm not sure. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. I have no other questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. HAGLUND: I had a quick redirect question, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: BY MR. HAGLUND: Given your involvement with pilot organizations around the country, have you observed that when it comes to capital cost items, industry and pilot group leadership are often able to work things out?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 last case. And I would ask to you: Is that true? And if it is true, please explain why PSP did not comply with that portion of the order. THE WITNESS: I would say it's not true. But at a minimum, we had a mutually-accepted mediator that really, I think, is going to say we're he may not declare an impasse. I'm not sure. But at a minimum, I don't think the prospects are very good for a mutual agreement. My experience in the whole process is PMSA is not really interested in a fully-funded defined benefit plan. That's my that's my impression. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: All right. So just based on your impression and opinion and the adjustors, you have hope that there will be some discussions. But on this point going forward, you think it would be futile, essentially, to have an order that asks you the two sides to get together on the defined benefit plan. Is that your how you feel? THE WITNESS: I feel like unless yeah. I don't believe they're they're acting in good faith. I mean, I don't know how to say it nice, but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that we didn't need to go a formal route. When we had proposed a mediator, they rejected it. So we said, okay. And then we get this e-mail and that's so it was a mutual situation where where we tried, then going the route that we did. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That was never communicated to the Commission at the time, about doing something different than the Commission had directed; correct? THE WITNESS: I maybe not. I'm not sure. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. I have no other questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. HAGLUND: I had a quick redirect question, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAGLUND: Q. Captain Carlson, you were asked some questions about automatic adjustors. Given your involvement with pilot organizations around the country, have you observed that when it comes to capital cost items, industry and pilot group

68 (Pages 359 to 362)

	Page 363		Page 365
1	Q. Okay. Cost of pilot boats, et cetera?	1	calling Phil Essex first, on the 6th.
2	A. Yes.	2	MS. DeLAPPE: Yes.
3	MR. HAGLUND: Okay. No further questions.	3	JUDGE HOWARD: So possibly McCarthy would be
4	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.	4	after that. We can certainly discuss that in the
5	So we are almost at 5:00. I think we will	5	morning.
6	conclude our hearing for today in a moment. As I	6	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you.
7	indicated in an e-mail to the parties well, first,	7	JUDGE HOWARD: Is there anything further we
8	I should say, Captain Carlson, that would conclude	8	should address today?
9	your examination and thank you for your testimony. I	9	MR. HAGLUND: Not from PSP.
10	will you are excused from the remainder of the	10	MR. CALLAGHAN: Nothing from Staff, Your
11	hearing. I won't keep you in the hot seat while I	11	Honor.
12	finish.	12 13	MR. BLOCK: Nothing from TOTE.
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	14	MS. DeLAPPE: Nothing from PMSA. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you all. I'll see you
14	JUDGE HOWARD: So as I indicated in an	15	all back here tomorrow morning at 9 a.m. We are off
15	e-mail to the parties, I plan on beginning the	16	the record.
16	hearing at 9 a.m. tomorrow.	17	(The hearing concluded at
17	Are there any questions or concerns from the		5:00 p.m.)
18	parties about logistics of the hearing or things of	18	
19	that nature? I'm imagining we're going to have to	19	
20	continue on to Friday. And we can discuss that more	20	
21	tomorrow.	21	
22	Is there anything we should discuss now?	22	
23	MR. HAGLUND: Yes, Your Honor. For planning	23	
24	purposes, I wanted to learn Dr. Czeisler has been	24	
25	monitoring today's meeting, and I wanted to learn	25	
	Page 364		Page 366
1	whether the Commissioners were going to have any	1	CERTIFICATE
2	questions or requests that Dr. Czeisler explain his	2	
3	illustrations, which he could do early tomorrow I	3	
4		1	STATE OF WASHINGTON
	believe, if not now.	4	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF KITSAP
5	believe, if not now. JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any		
5 6		4	
	JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any	4 5	COUNTY OF KITSAP
6	JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler?	4 5 6	COUNTY OF KITSAP I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the
6 7	JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I do not, Your Honor.	4 5 6 7	COUNTY OF KITSAP I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the videoconference evidentiary hearing on APRIL 5, 2023, is
6 7 8	JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I do not, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nor do I, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: Yeah. I don't either, Your Honor. Thank you.	4 5 6 7 8	COUNTY OF KITSAP I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the
6 7 8 9	JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I do not, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nor do I, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: Yeah. I don't either, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. It does not appear	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COUNTY OF KITSAP I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the videoconference evidentiary hearing on APRIL 5, 2023, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
6 7 8 9 10	JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I do not, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nor do I, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: Yeah. I don't either, Your Honor. Thank you.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COUNTY OF KITSAP I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the videoconference evidentiary hearing on APRIL 5, 2023, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
6 7 8 9 10 11	JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I do not, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nor do I, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: Yeah. I don't either, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. It does not appear	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COUNTY OF KITSAP I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the videoconference evidentiary hearing on APRIL 5, 2023, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I do not, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nor do I, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: Yeah. I don't either, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. It does not appear that there are any planned questions for	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COUNTY OF KITSAP I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the videoconference evidentiary hearing on APRIL 5, 2023, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I do not, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nor do I, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: Yeah. I don't either, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. It does not appear that there are any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler. MR. HAGLUND: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COUNTY OF KITSAP I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the videoconference evidentiary hearing on APRIL 5, 2023, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I do not, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nor do I, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: Yeah. I don't either, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. It does not appear that there are any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler. MR. HAGLUND: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And tomorrow, I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the videoconference evidentiary hearing on APRIL 5, 2023, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 18th day of April, 2023.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I do not, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nor do I, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: Yeah. I don't either, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. It does not appear that there are any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler. MR. HAGLUND: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And tomorrow, I believe we will pick up with our first witness,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the videoconference evidentiary hearing on APRIL 5, 2023, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 18th day of April, 2023.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I do not, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nor do I, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: Yeah. I don't either, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. It does not appear that there are any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler. MR. HAGLUND: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And tomorrow, I believe we will pick up with our first witness, likely being Phil Essex. And then we will continue	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the videoconference evidentiary hearing on APRIL 5, 2023, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 18th day of April, 2023.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I do not, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nor do I, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: Yeah. I don't either, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. It does not appear that there are any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler. MR. HAGLUND: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And tomorrow, I believe we will pick up with our first witness, likely being Phil Essex. And then we will continue down the order of presentation with Brett Valentine	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the videoconference evidentiary hearing on APRIL 5, 2023, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 18th day of April, 2023.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I do not, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nor do I, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: Yeah. I don't either, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. It does not appear that there are any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler. MR. HAGLUND: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And tomorrow, I believe we will pick up with our first witness, likely being Phil Essex. And then we will continue down the order of presentation with Brett Valentine and go from there.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the videoconference evidentiary hearing on APRIL 5, 2023, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 18th day of April, 2023.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I do not, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nor do I, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: Yeah. I don't either, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. It does not appear that there are any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler. MR. HAGLUND: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And tomorrow, I believe we will pick up with our first witness, likely being Phil Essex. And then we will continue down the order of presentation with Brett Valentine and go from there. MS. DELAPPE: And, Your Honor, would	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the videoconference evidentiary hearing on APRIL 5, 2023, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 18th day of April, 2023.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I do not, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nor do I, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: Yeah. I don't either, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. It does not appear that there are any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler. MR. HAGLUND: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And tomorrow, I believe we will pick up with our first witness, likely being Phil Essex. And then we will continue down the order of presentation with Brett Valentine and go from there. MS. DeLAPPE: And, Your Honor, would Sean McCarthy be placed back in order, since we	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the videoconference evidentiary hearing on APRIL 5, 2023, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 18th day of April, 2023.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I do not, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nor do I, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: Yeah. I don't either, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. It does not appear that there are any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler. MR. HAGLUND: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And tomorrow, I believe we will pick up with our first witness, likely being Phil Essex. And then we will continue down the order of presentation with Brett Valentine and go from there. MS. DeLAPPE: And, Your Honor, would Sean McCarthy be placed back in order, since we skipped over him, I believe?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the videoconference evidentiary hearing on APRIL 5, 2023, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 18th day of April, 2023.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I do not, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nor do I, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: Yeah. I don't either, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. It does not appear that there are any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler. MR. HAGLUND: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And tomorrow, I believe we will pick up with our first witness, likely being Phil Essex. And then we will continue down the order of presentation with Brett Valentine and go from there. MS. DeLAPPE: And, Your Honor, would Sean McCarthy be placed back in order, since we skipped over him, I believe? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. That's right. We will	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the videoconference evidentiary hearing on APRIL 5, 2023, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 18th day of April, 2023.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE HOWARD: Do the Commissioners have any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I do not, Your Honor. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nor do I, Your Honor. CHAIR DANNER: Yeah. I don't either, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. It does not appear that there are any planned questions for Dr. Czeisler. MR. HAGLUND: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And tomorrow, I believe we will pick up with our first witness, likely being Phil Essex. And then we will continue down the order of presentation with Brett Valentine and go from there. MS. DeLAPPE: And, Your Honor, would Sean McCarthy be placed back in order, since we skipped over him, I believe?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the videoconference evidentiary hearing on APRIL 5, 2023, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 18th day of April, 2023.

69 (Pages 363 to 366)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 ugo 001
	accepting 317:13	293:6 296:2	130:18 307:16	advanced 109:6
A	317:14,16	acquire 148:8	adds 238:16	advancements
a.m 91:14 95:2	access 180:13,19	acronyms 244:14	adequacy 176:25	216:16
107:9,9 170:11,11	181:9	act 204:24 224:3	177:22	advantage 262:21
170:15 194:17	accident 116:17,24	225:17 317:22,22	adequate 231:5	advantage 202.21 advent 307:25
363:16 365:15	189:4 192:22	acted 185:23	adjust 194:1	advise 104:19
abandon 204:5	accident-free	acting 229:24	adjusted 119:3	advise 104.19 advisement 225:14
218:7 219:17	116:23	360:22,24	v	
abandoned 220:5	accidents 116:17	action 159:19 160:8	adjuster 357:1	advising 320:18
abandonment			adjustment 226:18	Advisory 306:2,3
219:6,9,10	121:16	160:10	adjustments	advocacy 337:23
abandons 203:22	accommodate	actions 98:16 99:19	357:11	advocated 201:16
206:17	249:9	active 333:19	adjustor 119:1	advocates 111:21
ability 129:14	account 131:2	335:25	adjustors 110:13	113:12
164:23 167:5	164:11 239:17	activities 331:7	355:25 356:4,6,12	affect 110:11
188:5 206:24	accountant 341:18	activity 330:1,2	357:2,8,19,23,24	118:20 167:9
263:10 336:17	accounted 226:16	334:24 335:6	358:1,4 360:15	affirming 133:8
366:11	accounting 329:2	actual 129:24	362:20	afield 344:24
able 175:6,25 178:4	331:7 338:2	206:23 209:8	administers 172:12	after-the-fact 99:17
178:10 180:25	accrual 115:14,19	actuary 359:25	administration	afternoon 102:22
185:10 200:11	accuracy 104:17	361:9	209:24 210:21	103:7 132:6,20,22
214:4 261:4	334:20	add 119:17 121:8	211:1	135:22 196:8,9
270:15 274:17	accurate 155:18	347:23	administrative	220:23 232:20
278:18 311:3	171:12 182:19	added 173:11,18	91:11 123:13	267:17 306:21,22
324:16 329:17	195:23 221:11	174:4	272:10	316:18 350:9
339:2 346:1	222:18,19 228:6	addition 145:12,14	admissibility	age 173:1
357:14,18,20	265:1,2 286:7	168:7,12 235:6,7	104:23	agencies 199:9
362:24	306:11 316:6	320:9	admission 102:18	agency 121:17
aboard 116:6	329:1,12 330:25	additional 105:13	104:2,24 105:6,14	agent 329:16,18,21
261:19 296:21	331:7 332:9 335:1	130:19,25 180:25	admissions 199:21	338:10
298:19	338:2 339:2	181:16 262:5	217:14	agents 329:15
ABS 184:23 276:13	366:10	276:17 347:6	Admit 200:15	331:9,10 338:7
absence 252:6	accurately 221:23	Additionally 317:9	admitted 94:14	aggregate 276:18
absent 103:15	222:3 239:20	322:17	106:3,10 108:13	aggressive 152:7,15
absolutely 144:21	240:7	additions 240:17	108:20 285:23	agile 252:1 254:1
175:12 181:2	achievable 111:19	address 96:13	admittedly 321:9	ago 120:15 126:15
192:24 199:1,1	148:9 205:3 221:8	109:12 116:1	admonish 179:14	257:8 321:7 323:4
200:19 216:20	221:18 222:12	123:23 124:15	adopt 221:7 268:2	agree 97:2 102:15
229:10 230:17	223:17 224:7,14	131:10 233:10	adopted 115:15	102:16 121:6
231:14	224:24 225:18,24	356:6 364:25	133:9 318:6,16,21	130:22 140:24
academy 305:8	226:11,14,20,24	365:8	318:23,25 320:1	142:7,9,11,20
accept 104:16	227:2,3,10,13	addressed 120:13	320:15,19 324:14	143:21 145:18
337:24	229:6,25 230:9,22	224:20	344:17	152:5,11 155:9
acceptable 228:15	achieve 175:12	addresses 111:1	adoption 345:14	156:23 157:2
359:24 361:9,15	228:3 272:12	121:16 124:17	356:3 357:19	159:16 160:5,13
accepted 103:6	acknowledge 218:3	addressing 114:17	advance 109:18	160:17,21 179:18
accepted 103.0				
		l	l	

Page 368

				<u> </u>
180:10 190:19,21	298:11,14,17,23	218:21 238:4	answers 234:1	175:23
190:23 192:18	alignment 227:4	241:2 244:24	Antarctica 183:20	appreciate 121:24
196:25 197:8	230:24	248:12 357:11	anticipation 100:13	137:5 190:12
198:7 199:6	aligns 227:9	amounts 206:9	anybody 187:6	296:17 297:7,10
206:15 214:14	alike 280:20	238:1 347:21	314:20	364:15
238:13,23 242:10	alleges 359:24	analogy 242:16,23	anymore 331:3	approach 111:13
243:9,11 244:9	Alliance 182:11	247:3	anyway 299:17	121:21 122:21
245:20,25 247:6	196:20	analysis 99:3	300:13 328:14	126:13,16 298:18
253:25 255:20	allision 138:7,25	116:22	346:13 351:16	299:5
274:6 276:25	143:18 166:5,10	anchor 165:25	Anyways 200:25	approaches 130:15
278:12 280:13,17	allisions 142:21,25	186:5 313:12	apart 228:4 296:2	164:25
281:14,19 282:11	143:5,10,15	Anchorage 290:18	apologize 179:9,23	approaching
282:18 285:13	allow 119:25	298:13,18 299:20	344:19 359:1	164:23 299:4
288:21 291:20	125:25 135:7	anchored 185:2	apparently 130:16	appropriate 170:6
292:2,8 293:2	137:3 164:17	358:3	appear 97:8 133:11	221:8 227:8
300:5 301:1,2	166:19 169:4,25	anchoring 252:14	364:11	239:25 261:22
302:10 310:1	173:10 174:4	anchors 314:18	appearances 107:7	282:12
314:23 321:11,17	204:3 214:9	Angeles 154:22	107:13	Appropriately
321:21 328:9,11	249:20 274:23	165:16,19,23	appeared 91:16	224:16
328:14 329:4	277:25 279:9	356:8	appearing 108:8	approved 125:11
336:15 337:1,8,9	281:7 282:23	animosity 354:4	124:9	306:1
338:21 341:14	284:24 285:25	annual 115:14	appears 177:2	approximate 282:1
agreeable 193:17	292:22 294:20	172:17 182:15	286:11,15 345:16	approximately
agreed 128:6 129:2	allowed 131:17	319:14 321:3	353:18	114:11 115:10
251:17	169:20 172:13	347:19	Appendix 338:17	116:8 262:17
agreeing 297:16	181:6 187:14	annually 119:1	apples-to-apples	276:24 299:13
agreement 136:16	275:20 285:23	answer 139:14	282:12	305:12,20,21
181:12,19,25	allowing 219:9,10	144:1 148:20	applicable 111:20	307:4 343:14
360:9	allows 219:6 224:8	151:1 153:22	application 121:21	April 91:13,24
agrees 113:10	alongside 262:7	154:15 160:4	123:11 225:4	320:25 321:2,5
ahead 166:23	alphabet 217:14	166:23 172:14	applied 111:3,8	324:13 346:5
170:21 196:17	ambiguity 128:6,9	173:22 175:5	114:8 127:24	366:9,13
199:16 276:21	128:9	179:14,15 191:7	181:23 221:17	Arco 305:24
281:21 285:10	ambiguous 159:15	201:12 210:9	224:2 230:23	area 155:17 162:3,4
335:19 336:22	amend 126:22	214:5 227:11	231:7 277:9 287:4	260:15 261:7
354:10 358:25	129:23 308:21	229:13 257:12	applies 111:13	320:10
361:5 362:16	amended 131:10	264:15 283:14	114:8	areas 152:8 159:21
ahold 300:12	amendment 97:3	297:10 307:24	apply 99:5 115:8	166:3
aid 300:6	126:20 129:23,24	308:21 331:21	128:5 208:15	arguably 225:25
air 197:4,6 248:20	American 184:24	332:16	222:12 224:10	226:4
249:8	196:22 197:18	answered 141:19	applying 111:21	argued 127:6,13
Alaska 108:5	198:1 200:18	256:12,15 257:18	208:20	128:18 274:12
112:17 262:13	201:22 216:10	276:3	appointed 305:17	argues 277:17
265:14,16 284:1	350:24	answering 147:3	306:2,4	317:9
290:19,20 294:2	amount 168:10	232:7	appointments	arguing 277:8
L				

				1 4.90 000
argument 130:1,19	asserting 99:11	138:18 350:24	attracting 145:13	averaging 117:10
354:20	assertions 95:16	association's	attributable 164:5	avoid 127:15 299:8
arguments 100:11	99:17 103:24	256:22	226:23	299:9,9
109:5 130:24	asserts 317:13	associational 97:4	audio 195:4,6	avoidable 123:19
arising 163:24	assess 146:9 254:10	associations 113:25	audited 114:12	avoiding 281:16
166:15 309:2	assessed 122:19	351:24	August 126:19	awaiting 333:14
Army 314:15	131:14 249:7,7	assortment 296:15	Australia 168:6	award 176:6
arrangement 247:1	274:15 280:13	296:15	authored 217:19	awards 174:19
array 112:21	assesses 280:5	assume 233:9 241:8	authority 208:10	aware 124:17
article 182:4,6,10	assessing 275:18	246:11,15 251:21	285:15	133:18 141:16
182:18	assessment 113:16	302:5 309:7 332:8	authorized 131:18	162:11 166:9,25
articulate 312:20	125:7 127:12	assuming 141:18	352:5	167:2 180:3
articulated 97:6	138:11 141:8	155:16 161:11	auto 100:6 357:23	182:13 191:10
artificial 292:21	244:16 307:3,10	271:15 335:8	358:1,4	223:1 232:22
aside 106:18	assessor 118:15	assurance 338:25	automatic 110:13	233:1,9,14 244:4
asked 99:7 137:8	assets 121:2	assure 334:19	118:25 355:25	245:15 250:7,9,11
140:24 142:2,3	assignment 154:25	339:2	356:4 357:1	280:17 281:6,8
148:2 164:16	155:1,4 164:12	astern 262:9,11,17	362:20	299:10 338:17,20
217:25 220:9	165:4,12,20,25	262:19,23 299:3,5	automobile 246:21	340:18 341:7,20
233:10 256:11,15	166:2 259:24	299:6,13,21 300:7	249:9	awareness 95:20
257:17,25 276:3	262:4 263:3 271:2	300:20	automobiles 125:22	179:11
287:25 288:16	271:8 312:19	at-fault 203:21	125:23,25 234:19	AWO 217:20
312:11 328:15	317:14,17,17,18	Atalie 133:6,25	234:22 235:5,11	
334:7 342:18,20	320:9 322:12,14	attempted 293:13	237:10 246:11	B
345:2,8 362:19	322:14 348:11	357:3 361:17	248:3 250:10	B 99:6 338:17
asking 117:17	assignments 183:18	attempts 127:8	availability 205:1	B.C 349:10
118:11 141:3,13	258:24 259:4,11	attending 98:15	253:1 322:20,25	back 132:24 157:25
141:17 142:1	260:5,8 320:10,13	134:1	323:2,16,24,25	170:13 180:2
143:5,9 144:14	322:19 324:17	attention 119:5	324:3	185:15,24 188:2
147:3 149:23	330:18 332:5	155:3 159:5	available 96:19	194:12,16,19
150:1 153:13,15	336:4 343:3,4,11	205:17	113:5 114:15,21	230:8 232:20
153:16,20,21	343:17 346:3,6	attorney 92:9 95:18	117:16 152:22	239:15 253:18
154:18 201:8,9	assist 112:10	99:7 100:5 107:22	175:3 205:2	285:12 289:23
207:3,20 214:1	253:13,13 262:3	112:2 175:7 202:6	224:12 252:22	292:1 299:17,23
226:3 229:24	assistance 195:8	202:11,15,20,22	304:13	317:20 321:8
242:17 294:18	assistant 92:9	203:2 222:24	Avenue 92:14,19	332:16 335:15,17
296:19 297:15	107:22 112:2	232:22 361:19	avenues 175:5	336:3,22 339:12
307:7 354:11,17	associate 202:6,11	attorney/client	184:1	349:22 356:14,16
asks 209:6 360:18	202:14	98:6,13 99:1,11	average 227:6,8	364:22 365:15
aspects 234:11	associated 138:24	99:13,18,18	230:25 246:12	backed 361:22
251:17	226:19 233:21	attorneys 268:14	271:1,7,12 311:25	background 145:14
assembled 114:4	234:2 339:1	attorneys' 98:16	312:3 317:17	189:7,8 307:9
346:23	association 92:12	attract 109:17	336:8 343:2,4,8	backgrounds 191:1
assembler 118:15	108:3 112:14	146:24 191:17	343:11,12,18	backing 262:9
assert 98:9 99:13	115:17 120:25	230:18	347:20 349:13,14	299:7,24
				backwards 253:10
	1	1		1

				Ŭ
298:20	109:22 137:9	304:12 309:14,25	230:22 291:1	285:17 286:18,20
bad 322:16	148:2 160:13,14	314:24 360:22,24	306:12 316:7	286:23 289:3
balance 211:20	163:22 274:13	364:4,17,23	366:10	290:8,10,14,21,25
215:5	284:19 285:1	believes 98:10	bet 203:8	291:4 292:13
balanced 215:3	296:5,24 297:21	111:5,18 251:25	better 185:16	302:14,24 303:2
ballot 344:10	298:1 334:7	356:3	186:13,22,22	303:23 365:12
bank 131:7	349:17	bells 313:7	239:22 275:3	Block's 271:21
BAP 230:9,15	BC 284:13 285:15	belt 103:2	293:17	blocks 242:5
bar 114:25 121:4	285:15 286:12	bench 133:15,17	beyond 117:1 148:7	blocks@lanepow
177:3 344:8	beam 286:3,3	193:2 263:20	181:17 269:12	92:21
bare 177:1	becoming 148:7	266:14,16 289:6	281:11 285:18	blow 258:21 311:14
barge 201:21	172:18	304:1 315:5	292:14 307:6	blue 278:20 344:5,8
216:21	began 324:12,15	355:15	347:10	blurry 282:7
barges 217:6	345:17 346:5	Bendixen 93:12	big 176:13 329:22	board 115:18
base 258:10	beginning 124:23	96:4 106:7 170:7	bigger 272:18	121:12 127:23
based 98:15 108:18	257:7 271:11	170:16 171:2,9,21	314:3,3	171:22,23 180:4
114:12 124:21,25	357:4 363:15	174:1 175:9 179:8	biggest 259:20	214:22 272:6
125:13 126:15,24	begins 145:12	180:2 183:9	bill 205:9 207:10	308:1
127:10,20,25	behalf 105:3	185:10 186:17	billed 264:24 265:2	boards 214:12
128:5,7 130:24	107:17,22 108:2	187:8 190:15	265:5,8	305:25
131:15 154:6,13	123:22 153:18,20	191:25 193:3,9	billion 218:19	boat 253:13 358:4
154:24 157:3	154:15 216:10,20	benefit 109:24	307:21	boats 356:8 357:24
174:1,2 182:12	217:19	116:21 347:18	bit 128:14 133:3	358:11 363:1
206:4 237:17	belief 98:13,19	358:21 359:14	174:25 242:6	body 249:19
249:12,16,18	192:21	360:11,19	251:16 270:23	Bohn 98:18,20
255:15 256:2,3	believe 101:15	benefits 109:16	273:6 276:21	bold 158:23
257:8 272:13	104:5 106:17,20	112:18 114:2	282:6 296:18	book 176:13 189:22
274:15 275:1,19	106:24 107:2	115:3 227:5	316:16 352:24	books 325:5
277:2,18 280:7,14	121:13 123:24	berm 262:7	358:15	bottom 148:4
280:21 281:24	133:21 137:1	best 96:18 111:19	Blair 299:11	182:12 211:10,11
282:16,17 283:9	143:14 144:7	135:4 144:24	block 92:18 93:6,20	211:13 239:1
284:18 286:13	154:5,10 166:12	145:10 146:22,25	93:24 94:3 105:21	282:2 317:25
293:16 300:4	170:5 177:2	146:25 147:5	108:7,8 124:7,8	324:20
302:1 309:9	179:19 180:24	148:9 149:21	132:12,15 133:4	bow 252:7,10,22
312:16 336:21	187:14 189:1	150:4 151:21	134:2 194:3,8,14	253:8,9,10,11,17
337:1 357:18	191:15,16,19	152:22 164:23	232:14,15,17	262:3,5,25 263:11
360:14	192:20 195:6	171:13 174:1	251:5 256:13,16	298:20 300:6,9,10
baseline 198:21	201:3,6 217:17	185:20,23 188:5	256:18 257:19,20	300:10,13,14,17
basic 124:1 176:23	219:16 220:14	195:24 205:1,1,3	258:11 264:2	300:19,24 301:2,4
basically 137:18	223:11,19 227:3,8	221:8,18 222:12	267:14,16,21,23	301:7
139:9 224:8 225:9	227:9 229:24	223:17 224:7,14	268:2,5 269:3,12	Box 92:9
260:5 312:25	254:18 256:14	224:24 225:18,24	274:7,19 275:24	boxed 259:13
325:4 334:13	266:13 276:9	226:11,14,19,24	277:5 279:2 280:9	BPC 121:14,15,18
358:7	281:5,18 287:7	227:2,3,9,13	280:22 281:17,19	127:22 128:2
basis 99:1,2 102:11	289:16 302:17	229:6,25 230:9,18	282:21 284:15,20	150:18 168:21
		•		

				. «ge er i
169:10 172:9,12	180:21 187:7	130:10	105:12 107:21,22	236:23 244:18,25
172:12,17 173:9	229:17,18 298:2	Bureau 184:24	120:4 220:19,20	246:4 277:19
174:4,9,10,19	brief 105:25 107:7	burning 341:9	220:22 223:9	281:25 289:1
175:7,9 176:6	107:13 115:5	354:25	228:23 229:21	capital 120:20
177:12,22 178:3,3	120:10,12 124:9	Burton's 104:12	230:8 350:5,8,19	356:6 357:24
178:9,13,16,18,21	307:24 353:1	business 120:17	351:19,20,21,22	358:5,11 362:23
180:13 181:21	briefing 112:10	123:8	354:16 355:10	capitalization
182:13,15 274:3	briefly 110:25	BV-3X 327:22	365:10	121:2
275:9,22 318:12	188:12 305:5	bylaws 344:13	callback 110:2	capsized 261:2
318:21,24 319:2	346:21		187:8,11,13 188:3	capsizing 260:25
319:14,25 321:3	bring 112:15	C	317:14 337:23	captain 93:9,12
321:13 330:4,6	117:23 132:24	C 92:1 99:9 366:1,1	347:24 349:19	94:1,4,7 96:5
332:11 334:23	155:10 169:17	cabin 208:18	callbacks 117:6,25	112:19 113:11
335:6 337:24	216:9 301:19	calculate 126:7	317:11,14 333:16	132:2,4,9,16,22
BPC's 151:4	bringing 344:19	239:25	337:4,6,10,14	134:4,11,15,23,25
180:15	brings 283:23	calculated 124:21	349:3,5,8,12	135:16 136:4,10
Bradford 290:13	British 283:21	130:14 249:1,4	called 128:10 182:4	137:9,17 138:4,13
brakes 243:2	284:10 286:24	calculating 124:18	187:4 250:18	140:21 141:13,24
brand-new 273:21	broad 97:8	124:24 126:16	257:10 349:22	142:2,6,19 144:6
Brazil 220:5	Broadway 92:4	128:16 129:6	calling 110:12	145:24 146:11
breach 181:12,24	brothers 220:1	288:18	117:8 203:10	147:1,20 150:23
breadth 109:10	brought 96:2 169:1	calculation 127:20	216:18 236:5	152:2 153:13
break 107:9 133:20	Brunswick 261:2	129:12,17 245:16	260:9 275:25	160:2 161:14,22
170:3,6,11,14	build 183:22	246:2 247:1	336:3 365:1	162:24 163:7
194:17 272:24	building 175:24	248:19 276:13	calls 118:20 329:16	167:3,16 170:2,7
289:18,21 294:5	built 301:4	277:4 278:9,16,25	camera 98:3 100:8	170:16 171:2,9,21
breaking 185:6	bulk 237:3,14	279:6 288:10	Canada 116:12	174:1 175:9 179:6
Brett 327:23	245:22 246:23	calculations 122:24	Canadian 116:21	180:2 183:21
364:19	248:5	129:12 186:5	canceled 322:12	185:10 186:17
Brickenstein 92:3	bulker 236:16	244:17 277:8,16	324:5	187:4,8 190:15
93:23 94:2 107:17	271:9	278:7 341:15	cancellation 322:15	191:25 193:3,9,23
134:24 135:7,11	bulkers 235:25	calendar 184:21	324:8	193:24 194:5,7
268:10,12,13	259:10,14 292:5	California 113:19	cancellations 321:1	231:22 232:1,3,8
269:17 270:11,14	bullet 159:4,5,12	197:24 198:19,20	candidate 167:17	232:18 233:3
274:10 275:4,7	319:1	199:10	172:15,16,22	238:6 251:15,21
276:4 277:6,14,17	bunch 246:8,9	call 100:24,25	180:11	254:16 256:19
278:1,2 279:3,13	buoy 163:11,16	125:15 126:3	candidates 145:13	258:12,18,25
280:10 281:1,13	buoys 157:4,6,11	132:9 154:20	146:25 149:5,6,10	262:2 264:1 266:7
281:20 283:3	157:17 159:25	168:4 187:6	149:14 150:25	266:10 270:18
284:16 285:8,19	161:3,5,8,12,16	193:25 233:6	173:4 191:17	275:3 289:19,24
286:1,16 287:12	161:17 162:3	234:14 258:17	capability 142:4,10	289:25 290:3,11
291:9,11 292:16	163:9,17	261:11 269:6	142:11 189:4	291:12 292:3,15
292:24,25 296:25	burden 123:13,17	324:12,15,17	192:23 229:8	293:1 294:14
297:5,6,8 302:20	198:11 291:13	338:8 Callaghan 02:8	capacity 196:21	296:17 297:9
bridge 168:14	burdensome	Callaghan 92:8 93:4,18 94:10	198:1 201:21	300:5,23 301:9
		73.4,10 74:10		

Page	372

				ÿ]
302:18 303:3	247:7,23 248:6,18	301:12	catalyze 357:18	199:25 200:4
304:7,23 305:5,7	248:23 249:21,25	cars 246:19 247:4,5	catastrophic 177:6	266:18 289:7
305:18 306:21	250:1,1 260:19	261:16 271:23	categories 259:5	304:5,18 315:7
310:5 311:15	269:20 277:21	272:1	category 258:22	364:9
312:7 315:9,11,12	279:12,24 289:1	case 96:3,17,25	259:24 260:3	challenge 206:21
315:15,21 316:15	301:12	97:10,14 103:20	271:24	206:22 262:18
317:9,13 319:22	cargo-carrying	109:1,4,9 111:14	cause 175:1	challenges 175:20
324:20 325:15	246:4 249:13,19	111:22 112:4,17	caused 166:10	176:3
327:4 328:8	cargoes 235:10	112:25 114:22	205:23 326:1	challenging 118:1,2
335:20,24 336:9	247:15	115:8,13 120:15	causes 104:18	155:21 183:17
336:11 338:13,15	cargos 234:22	121:7,23,24,25	CCR 91:25 366:17	184:17 188:9
339:12 341:10	235:4 249:9	122:4,7,9,15,25	Cedell 98:11	262:3
342:9,13,23 344:1	260:14	123:1,11,16 124:1	ceiling 238:2	chance 128:22
345:13 346:14	Carisa 91:25 366:6	124:24,24 130:16	246:16	change 104:18
347:17 349:4	366:17	131:23 171:10	cell 340:15	124:17 129:11
350:9 352:13	Carlson 94:7 96:6	186:13 191:4	center 175:23	137:6 155:8,11,17
355:15,19 359:19	97:23 138:4	195:21 209:18	centuries 116:10	156:19 158:7,24
361:1,6 362:19	193:24 315:11,12	217:16 219:13	century 295:15	159:13,18,24
363:8	315:15,21 316:15	221:4,10 222:10	296:20 297:17	160:8,11,12,16
caption 163:3	317:9,13 319:22	223:14,18,25	certain 104:10	163:24 164:1,6
captured 161:13	324:20 325:15	224:1,15,18,25	128:21 168:10	284:23 301:20
captures 239:21	327:4 328:8	226:12 228:12,21	218:20 272:17,24	325:4,20 326:8
240:8	335:20,24 336:9	231:5 256:22	275:12 295:4	328:19 353:24
car 219:2 235:24	338:13,15 339:12	259:24 276:8	324:8	changed 103:19
237:23 242:16	341:10 342:9,13	283:7 284:9	certainly 121:5	120:15 128:14
259:19 271:9,17	342:23 344:2	300:12 310:25	132:14 160:11	145:2 184:21
271:23	345:13 346:14	316:4,21,23	177:20 190:2	320:21 328:6
care 155:3 216:15	347:17 349:4	320:19 332:22	204:13 208:8	changes 103:15
career 167:25	350:9 352:13	334:11 335:11,16	210:22 246:22	158:25 322:9,19
168:3 183:9	355:15,19 361:6	350:25 351:3,4,6	292:12 326:11	323:23,25 324:2
184:14 189:6	362:19 363:8	351:6,10 352:3,20	365:4	344:11
268:16 301:14	Carlson's 138:13	352:22 353:14,16	certificate 128:4,11	changing 126:6
303:18 312:6	carried 246:6 261:9	353:25 354:12,19	131:13 206:5	198:20
careers 168:1,17	carrier 237:3	354:25 355:1,5	208:12 218:12,17	channel 146:4
careful 166:20	271:10,17,23	358:17 360:1	Certified 366:6	314:14,15,17
carefully 97:19	carriers 202:9	cases 110:16	certify 366:8	channels 312:23
307:11	259:19	112:15 119:18	cetera 164:13	313:3
cargo 125:18,24	carry 236:2 237:7	169:21 202:7	193:24 271:10	chapter 204:22
130:12 185:6	245:6,10,12 248:4	307:3 354:20	292:6 363:1	205:4,12
215:17 235:9	250:1 261:7 270:6	casualties 137:11	chain 179:4,5	characteristics
236:7 237:1,3,4	272:1,1 284:3	138:12 141:9	314:14	112:23 237:18
237:17,18,22	carrying 236:23	casualty 111:4	chair 92:23 117:15	238:7,10 241:20
241:13,14 243:16	248:5 271:23	142:4,10,11 167:1	133:23 171:25	252:3 254:3,20
245:1,8,10,13,21	277:18,21 279:24	177:7 203:22	173:21 181:14	255:8,16,23 256:3
245:23 246:9,12	281:24 289:1	260:25	182:22 193:4	256:5 292:10

				Tage 575
293:4,23 296:4	circuit 112:11	classes 271:6	220:12 264:20,23	230:14 349:12
characterization	214:17	clause 240:7,14	265:8 285:15	362:23
291:17	circulated 104:5	CLD-4T 96:10	286:13 305:24	coming 167:9 195:5
characterize 165:3	circumstances	clean 197:4,6 218:8	312:8,8,9	232:20 262:7
221:24 222:4	98:15 103:13,16	clear 100:12 118:17	coasts 312:7	285:5 298:25
262:18 269:23	149:2 150:9	160:14 231:6	coastwise 124:20	303:4,4 314:9
characterized	219:10 262:2	269:7,25 275:14	126:3,23 131:12	commenced 112:13
98:22 283:16	285:4 324:8	276:23 279:15	131:14 280:7	Commendably
charge 125:12	333:20	294:13 313:17	283:25	336:7
127:24 280:14	citation 158:9	clearance 312:25	code 208:5 218:2	comment 191:9
281:15 283:7	cite 205:4 208:10	clearly 109:20	272:10	197:3 199:22
339:11	219:13	174:25 223:23	codified 320:5	263:2
charged 224:17	cited 156:2,2	225:21	321:4,13	commenting
257:8 274:12	204:25	client 98:13	codifies 320:10	274:25
293:14,15	cites 318:2	client's 98:19		
,			codifying 325:4	comments 183:2
charges 214:22	citizen 172:22	climate 155:8,10,17	Cody 98:18,21	197:9
288:1,19 338:21	City 97:1	156:17 158:7	coequal 337:3,9,19	commerce 136:19
338:22 339:1	Civil 99:23	159:18 160:8,11	337:20	211:21 240:2
357:13,13	claim 199:6 204:20	160:16 161:22	COFR 207:10	283:17
charging 288:1	292:17	163:24 164:1,6	COLA 357:2	commercial 215:3
Charles 93:15	claims 123:21,23	climbing 270:19	collaborative	215:9,14,16
111:15 117:12	139:10 140:7,9,16	clock 184:20	122:12	295:17 301:15
194:22 195:2	140:22 141:12,14	close 116:22 157:20	colleague 107:17	commission 91:1,3
202:20	196:25 198:4	296:10 299:21	134:23	92:7 95:13 107:23
Charlie's 152:9	201:25 202:8	closed 111:11	collected 131:5	109:1 111:7
153:11 154:1	309:2,4,13 334:8	231:11	collecting 119:2	112:25 114:16
chart 116:21	clarification 173:8	closely 239:18	206:23	115:9 118:18
190:19 339:16	298:15 333:7	245:21	collision 138:7,25	120:13,22 121:23
charts 175:22	clarified 323:10	closer 251:12	139:11 140:10	122:10 123:2,4,16
chassis 261:15	clarify 119:20	club 202:2 203:21	collisions 142:21	123:18,21 124:12
check 188:10	135:10,24 142:14	204:13,19 207:9	143:1,5,11,15	125:10 126:20,22
210:13,13	146:12 184:2	207:15 208:16,20	Columbia 114:25	127:15 129:2,4,8
checking 213:22	197:13 198:14	218:10,11 219:4,7	157:7 161:7	129:16,20,25
337:18	209:6 269:18	219:15,18 308:4	283:21 284:10	130:6,19,21,23
checklist 212:2,11	302:24 320:14	315:1	286:24	131:1,18 171:24
212:12 213:8	334:9 356:9	clubs 307:14	column 259:10	182:22 197:5
215:4,7,9,10,13	clarifying 149:25	308:15 309:2,12	273:9 286:6	221:7 224:8
215:19 220:10,13	269:2	311:12	311:17,20	225:10,14,16
chemical 166:7	clarity 117:24	coast 113:13	combining 346:2,6	228:9 230:23
chief 305:14,16	class 175:11,13	114:24 116:7	come 116:8 194:12	233:10,16,17
chilling 97:5	176:8 217:6	118:23 127:3	250:6 280:23	253:23 317:5
choice 178:12	249:24 253:15	199:8 200:15	297:25 298:3,21	320:17 324:13
185:5 284:7	254:21 255:8	201:10 209:25	299:10	330:9 344:16
choose 287:20	258:24 276:13,16	211:2,20,23	comes 111:23 117:6	347:18 352:3
circled 278:20	293:11,21	213:22 215:5,23	177:4,23 229:5	353:15 354:7
		,		
	I	I	I	I

	1	1	1	
358:19 359:11	communicated	113:12 248:9	comprehensive	confirm 101:12
362:7,8	344:9 362:7	293:7,13	109:2 122:2 153:8	198:8 270:17
Commission's	community 189:3	compel 96:22	comprise 201:17	297:9 318:16
111:5 123:25	comp 188:1 341:9	compelled 176:23	276:23 279:17	confirmed 131:3
129:14 221:16	343:1 349:14	compelling 97:6	comprising 114:4	conflict 193:20
225:21 352:19	354:25	121:7	compulsory 109:15	conformance 180:7
commissioner	companies 184:25	compensation	284:5	confused 135:14,15
92:23,24 129:15	265:10 305:23	103:9 110:23	computer 168:13	conjured 212:5
133:21 178:9	company 98:12	113:23 114:1,3	223:8	conjurers 212:7
193:5,6 197:12,15	100:1,6 120:23	191:13,16 227:4	computerized	connection 112:12
223:4 263:22,25	218:18,22 265:14	compensatory	184:25	113:20 165:4,14
264:7,22 265:1,6	265:14 294:3	123:7	con 181:7	184:1 217:18
265:11,15,19,22	305:11	competently	concept 99:3 130:6	229:9 262:12
266:5,20,22 275:9	comparability	121:18	200:23 253:21	conning 180:16
289:9,11 304:2,3	113:1 297:13	competitive 109:16	concern 231:2	181:22
315:8 319:16,19	347:11	112:18 115:3	344:21	consecutive 320:13
355:16,19,24	comparable 113:23	Complainant 91:4	concerned 97:18	322:19
356:2,15,20	183:25 282:18	complete 211:19	167:8 231:6	consequence 128:5
357:16 358:7,13	292:18 299:19	240:12 244:19	concerns 363:17	252:21
358:24,25 359:3,5	340:18 353:25	252:12 253:2	conclude 98:4	consider 95:12
359:7,10,16,18,23	354:20,21	completed 150:16	101:4 253:20	96:20 103:25
360:13,25 361:3,6	comparative	174:6 317:19	311:3 315:3 363:6	129:16 165:6
361:11,14 362:6	233:20	333:16 336:10	363:8	209:17 224:8
362:11 364:7,8	comparatively	completely 280:12	concluded 120:15	225:15,20 243:3
commissioners	274:4	283:10,14 347:7	365:17	254:19 255:7,12
106:24 107:1,5,7	compare 112:20	completes 180:11	conclusion 116:13	255:15,19,22
107:12,14 108:7	153:15 200:9	completing 149:19	124:23 160:15	256:8 349:4
108:11,16,25	233:12 244:15	150:2	conclusions 100:4	considerable
115:18 117:17	282:13 283:11	completion 320:8	275:25	115:24
118:10 120:9	291:13 293:10	339:8	concrete 242:5	consideration
121:12 124:16	compared 130:2	complex 120:21	condition 214:23	102:8 122:14
127:23 133:10,17	233:12,22 242:12	184:23	conditions 160:12	123:6 161:18
165:10 171:22	246:8,8,12 247:4	compliance 226:10	163:8 164:13	163:18 164:20
218:25 224:16	281:23 282:8	226:24 227:13	165:12 181:4	226:14 227:2
272:7 330:6,8	287:4 323:5	229:6	conduct 98:18	241:23 248:14
342:16,25 344:3	346:22,23	complicated 188:9	137:3	254:12 255:17
364:1,5	compares 346:16	complicating 253:4	conducted 210:2	considerations
committee 172:1,2	comparing 244:14	253:7	conducting 211:2	225:11 244:5
172:3	253:14 262:24	comply 122:16	confer 95:9,11,21	247:20
common 220:3	346:25 354:18	226:19 228:20	133:16	considered 95:19
333:14	comparison 113:3	360:3	conference 228:9	96:24 97:20 98:2
commonly 119:18	152:17 191:13	component 184:13	conferred 304:12	101:3 104:11
128:1	282:12 297:19	302:7	confidence 357:20	162:4,6 278:24
communicate	353:15,17 354:11	components 347:1	configurations	279:8
344:1	comparisons	347:19	292:10 293:3	considering 97:25
L				

				0
114:1 255:25	260:2 261:7,9,12	convention 125:4	269:9,10,20,21	220:23 221:21
consistent 111:19	265:22	conversations	270:2,5 271:15	222:2,16,22
115:13 122:5	contemplate	356:22	272:17 273:16	223:10 224:13
138:12 141:8,17	129:21	conversely 273:20	275:11,17 281:25	229:5 231:17
141:19,21 221:17	contend 115:23	conveyance 215:16	283:21 284:3,4,14	266:15,17 358:14
288:13	312:12	convincingly	286:10 287:15	Costanzo's 152:3
consistently 121:18	contested 110:16	281:10	291:1 292:7 294:4	153:4,12,19
136:7,15,18	context 99:5 110:8	Cook 300:15	294:9 298:12	costs 110:9 120:16
137:22	165:10 188:24	copy 106:21 147:23	299:14,15 307:16	123:6 226:10,18
consisting 234:22	241:24 334:10	220:24 350:11	307:21 309:3,24	229:5,7,11,12
235:11	continue 103:1,24	353:3	309:25 310:7,11	309:5 356:7
constituency	126:23 127:4,10	core 192:22	310:12 311:22,23	357:24
177:24	146:24 148:8	Corp 314:15	318:13,18,23	Council 306:2,3
constitute 129:22	167:24 185:5	corporate 219:24	319:8 320:20	counsel 98:22
constricted 300:21	197:23 230:19	corporation 218:9	323:17 327:7	101:5 111:16
construct 292:21	231:9 287:22	219:25 290:17	334:3 338:3	112:1 167:14
construed 111:21	294:6 363:20	corps 167:8	339:21 348:5	169:23 189:19
231:4	364:18	correct 134:9 135:3	352:15,18 355:7,8	216:12
consult 175:7	continued 216:17	136:8 137:12	359:16 362:9	count 211:5 250:25
consultant 98:23	257:13,21,24	138:16,20,22	corrected 102:21	251:1
305:22	257:15,21,21	139:1,2,12,15	103:4,20	counted 279:18
consultants 99:24	continues 116:10	140:18 143:7,12	correction 126:20	country 296:8
consultation 180:4	124:1 168:1	144:25 145:3	corrections 104:15	309:21 311:1
consulting 99:11	206:16	146:1,19 147:19	104:21	362:22
118:16	continuing 266:25	148:13,17 149:10	correctly 96:8	country's 118:15
contacted 361:19	contract 181:12,18	149:22,24 151:5	141:19 156:10	COUNTY 366:4
contain 250:10	contracting 180:5	155:11,21 157:4	177:8 276:15	couple 217:13
contained 208:23	contractor 178:20	157:18,19,21	correlates 239:18	219:24 326:23
246:13	contractors 120:24	160:20,25 161:7	correlation 311:4,7	341:4,4 342:25
container 125:18	contrary 99:19	161:23 162:13,17	cost 103:10 109:21	355:16
125:19,20 136:20	contrast 116:7	166:11 171:22	115:10,21 116:21	coupled 129:12
235:17,21,23	contributed 322:3	176:10 178:1,6,8	226:16 227:13,14	219:5
236:16,18 245:23	326:11	178:11,14 186:20	308:14,19,22	course 98:2 105:8
246:13,15,16,22	contributing 325:6	188:13 189:9	311:24,25 312:3	121:11 123:5,22
250:14 261:10,14	325:18,19	190:18 197:19	358:5 362:23	138:15 217:16
262:24 265:21	control 98:14	200:22 202:16,18	363:1	236:25 257:13
271:10 280:20	209:21,24 210:3	207:8 209:25	Costanzo 93:15	258:5 276:6 301:2
281:25 292:5	210:18 211:13	210:1 214:25	96:15 111:15	349:1
293:19,20 314:1	212:13 213:5	220:6 221:4,19	190:3,16 193:15	court 95:2 97:10
containers 235:18	215:22 220:3	222:22,23 225:1	193:23 194:11,22	100:2 102:23
236:2,20 245:24	controlled 220:2,10	227:20 228:15,16	195:2,17 196:8	106:22 112:11
245:25 247:4	243:2	236:24 237:8	201:15 202:20	115:7,13 169:20
248:5 250:14,20	controlling 219:20	243:20 250:3	207:3,12 210:18	169:21 186:13
250:23 251:4	convenience 109:25	258:2 260:1	213:19 214:6,11	316:16 366:6
259:18,19,23	116:5 217:2 351:2	268:17,21,25	215:2,18 216:9	Courtney 297:3
	I	I	I	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

				0
courts 95:12 99:4	crewing 216:23	cumulative 256:11	147:16,17 163:17	decisions 181:21
119:19 219:23	217:8,8	317:11	176:20,24 190:2	185:20 336:21
cover 187:21 263:6	criteria 178:3,10	curiosity 202:19	190:16 191:4,21	deck 240:18 245:25
309:2,4 345:5	181:17	203:4	192:1 199:14,17	246:17 249:25
coverage 141:14	critical 230:17	curious 222:20	209:3 217:13,15	250:15,16,17,18
204:14	281:15 300:6	current 126:14	258:22 288:7	250:19,20,21
covered 115:12	criticism 196:11	142:4,9,11 145:19	308:5 328:23	251:4 260:18
207:10 208:16	critique 148:17,21	146:1 147:4	334:5 339:3,9,18	276:17,18 278:13
308:15 310:11	cross 93:10,13,16	228:21 298:23,25	346:23	decks 125:21
covers 310:6	93:18,20,23 94:2	299:7,24 300:2	date 91:24 126:14	248:25 249:8
COVID 117:11	94:5,8,10 95:6	354:1,13	320:14,15 334:10	250:10 276:23
175:19,25 176:4	101:6 103:12	currents 313:14	dated 129:4 197:17	277:3 278:10,23
187:20	104:6 117:18	curriculum 306:6	dates 143:17	279:15,23
CPC-01T 152:4	118:9 133:14	cursory 215:1	dating 321:8	declaration 101:3
CPC-02 201:13	135:19 137:3	customer 265:4	daughter 187:18	declarations 97:22
CPC-22T 203:6	169:16 170:1	customers 120:24	David 102:21 103:4	98:1
211:8	171:18 196:4	121:11 280:19,23	114:3 191:13,15	declare 360:7
CPC-25 211:25	220:18 232:2,6,13	281:9 329:15	day 103:15 116:11	decline 148:16
CPC-32X 189:14	256:14 268:8	cut 178:16,19,24	184:21 185:8	169:25 204:5
189:18	314:24 316:10	179:1 180:7	186:11,12,13	declining 96:14
CPC-33X 199:11	344:20,22 345:1	CV 201:13,24	366:13	decrease 351:13,14
208:24	347:8,12 348:8,17	cycle 110:3	day-to-day 165:2	351:15 352:2
CPC-37X 196:14	349:1 350:4	cycles 348:4	296:5,24 297:21	354:12,18 355:6
196:19 197:3	cross-examination	Čzeisler 117:13	days 187:25 188:1	dedicated 187:19
CPC-38X 196:15	101:16 131:25	118:8,11 119:15	317:15 341:9	deem 106:2
216:9	132:5 134:20	349:23 363:24	347:24 348:4,8	deeper 241:6,15,17
CPC-39X 205:10	135:7 136:2	364:2,6,13	349:14 354:25	243:4,16 244:7
Crandall 116:19	148:23 166:4	Czeisler's 117:24	deal 237:24 249:24	248:6 260:22
117:22 162:23	168:24 170:4		329:22	312:24
286:1 342:14	171:16,19 192:13	D	dealing 164:24	deepest 286:3
Crandall's 292:2	196:2,6 220:21	D 276:14	dealt 105:8 123:16	deeply 172:5 174:2
create 122:12	229:22 232:16	D17 340:15	202:8	deepwater 157:4
131:19 312:18	268:6,11 291:6,10	dad 202:25	decade 143:1,16	defendant 166:14
created 128:6	306:15,19 314:21	daily 159:22	183:11 184:11	defending 112:12
144:18 256:2,24	316:13 350:7	damage 166:11	185:9 187:1	defense 116:4
264:10	cross-examine	damages 205:22	decades 142:22	177:5
creates 127:14	133:7	206:8 220:7	184:10	defensible 122:3
150:18	crossed 132:23	Dan 223:7	December 112:4	defers 129:23
creating 256:1,2	crosses 194:10	DANNER 92:23	330:15	define 128:2 236:10
creation 122:1	crossing 348:14	133:23 193:4	decide 114:20	defined 109:23
credentials 268:19	crucial 97:10	199:25 200:4	352:12	175:1 358:21
credibility 308:3,6	cubic 276:19	266:18 289:7	decision 224:17	359:14 360:11,19
crew 185:2,17	288:25	304:5 315:7 364:9	301:3 353:20	defines 172:17
210:11,17 216:15	culmination 173:16	data 97:2,13 98:25	decision-making	320:9,11
220:7 313:4	cultural 136:21	99:7 101:14,23	114:17	definite 352:16
		114:4 139:6 140:5		
	1	I	1	1

				Ŭ
degree 201:11	325:20,21,24	depiction 276:23	324:6,15	direction 263:1
202:21 208:17	326:4,12,13 327:2	depth 314:17	differences 321:16	directly 130:13
225:15 274:4	327:11,19 328:6	derive 311:25	321:18	200:12 274:18
348:24	328:10,19,25	derives 114:15	different 109:9,10	277:9
DeLAPPE 92:13	329:2,4,13,14	describe 110:25	111:12 113:4	director 111:15
93:5,10,13,16	332:4 333:14,25	148:2 305:5	118:4 125:1,6,17	152:3 195:18
94:5,8 101:8	334:1,8 335:1,2	312:16 347:18	125:22 126:2	directors 306:4
102:3 105:18	336:7,12 337:3,5	described 240:17	165:18 183:18,23	directory 214:17
108:1,2 119:6,8,8	337:11,15,22	249:1 303:3	183:25 186:12	disagree 121:3
119:20 120:8,12	338:2,6 339:1	describes 224:3	217:9,10,10,11	153:10,14,23,25
135:23 136:3,24	344:23	317:24 320:4	222:6 238:9	242:15 280:13
137:3,5,7 142:14	delivered 261:12	design 110:12	244:14,16 252:3	298:9
142:16,18 143:7,8	demand 317:10	129:10,15 154:16	257:19 259:5	discharging 279:12
143:25 144:2,5	336:8	235:4 283:13	261:20 268:24,24	disciplining 121:15
147:12,18,19	demands 230:24	302:17	271:6 273:7	disclosing 100:17
148:1 151:14	demographics	designated 180:5	282:19,20 283:6	disclosure 98:8
162:19 164:15	191:5	designed 109:11	283:10,14 291:22	100:3
166:16 167:12	demonstrate 334:7	234:19 235:18	292:4 296:18	discovered 326:15
168:22 169:3,13	demonstrated 97:8	despite 121:17	340:22 344:25	discovering 103:12
169:17 171:20	demonstrates	336:8	345:15 352:11	discovery 96:22
179:13,22,23	284:17	detailed 113:11	362:8	98:11 99:20,25
180:1 189:18	demonstrative	detention 338:18	differential 114:9	326:18 327:23
190:1,6,8,11,13	119:15,17,23,25	338:20	278:9	discrepancy 277:1
192:4 196:5,7,9	273:1	determination	differently 128:20	278:6
197:12,14,16	denied 181:11	115:9 222:8 326:3	154:6 185:25	discretion 325:24
200:1,3,5,6	density 269:19	determine 210:7	difficult 130:11	326:3
203:14 210:15,16	deny 175:14 180:12	288:4,17	206:25 238:8	discrimination
214:6,10 217:18	181:9 204:13	determined 274:3	239:2 241:21	281:16
219:13 306:18,20	229:23 269:14	275:22 302:1	243:17 244:7	discriminatory
311:8,16 314:19	denying 95:8	determines 114:9	difficulty 238:10,22	302:13
316:11,14 319:16	100:25 103:5	determining	239:17,22 254:11	discuss 102:18
319:18,20,21	depart 122:24	113:21 254:21	268:23 274:4	158:2 205:8
333:3 341:7 342:2	253:9	255:9,13 256:9	301:25 302:8	350:24 363:20,22
344:18 347:3	department 111:20	develop 180:5	dimensions 240:10	364:25 365:4
348:7 364:21	111:25 117:15	356:5	282:13	discussed 97:10
365:2,6,13	153:2 197:24	developed 184:25	direct 96:3 116:6	99:4 152:22
delay 326:1,9	218:11	developing 356:11	121:21 159:5	162:15 178:5
330:21 332:7	departure 339:7,9	358:20 359:13	205:17 210:20,22	321:6 352:23
334:13,16,20	dependability	device 212:17,19	226:2 274:9,21	353:1
335:21 338:18,20	300:14	Diamond 96:10,15	280:24 284:21	discussing 162:24
338:21 339:3,6,11	depending 165:25	dictate 238:8	309:23	277:12 285:21
delayed 330:18	180:24 247:15	differed 357:10	directed 131:1	347:7 348:11
332:6 337:25	263:9 300:2	difference 187:5	213:20 297:11	352:14 357:23
delays 322:4,24	301:20	216:22 242:4,7	359:25 362:9	discussion 163:23
323:5,8,14 325:7	depends 181:4	277:7 320:22	directing 207:6	196:10 205:5

				9
224:19 230:8,14	districts 347:12	126:4,6,24 128:16	242:15 261:6	326:6 364:3
250:4	352:10	130:14 131:15	293:13 348:22	earn 115:1 175:11
discussions 228:13	disturbing 177:2	239:23 240:2	drawing 260:19	earned 113:17
356:11,21 357:18	diverse 118:24	244:15 245:7,12	draws 241:2	earning 354:2
358:9,20 359:12	145:13 191:17	245:15 246:2,25	Dream 182:4	easier 135:25 147:2
360:16	192:16,17,21	247:1 248:17	dredge 186:5	151:9,21 243:22
disparate 256:20	236:5	249:6,12 257:9	drive 198:24	252:1 264:25
264:8,13	diversity 109:19	265:5 269:4	216:17	300:14
dispatch 326:8	188:12 189:2,6	280:19 283:17	driven 199:2	easily 254:1,13
341:22,23 344:11	190:16,20,24,25	287:5 288:9,24	driver 103:10 277:1	East 312:8
344:23	191:2,3,5,21	301:21 302:2,12	drivers 337:7	easy 123:12
dispatched 188:6	192:1 258:20	domestically	driving 116:24	ebrickenstein@h
322:13	260:8,10	301:15	241:25	92:6
dispatcher 326:4	DL-6 340:20	door 169:22 347:6	drop 319:11	echo 212:19
326:21 327:1,24	DNI 114:17 354:3,6	dotted 161:10	dry 283:20 284:9	echo-sounding
328:23 329:16	354:7	double 217:7	285:1	212:17
dispatchers 325:24	dock 186:2 211:15	doubled 109:5	Dubs 133:6,25	ECK 162:25
326:1,5,20 327:13	252:12 253:8	doubling 110:9	due 95:8 317:16	ECK-01T 144:12
328:21 338:6	283:20 284:10	doubt 281:11	328:25 330:18	ECK-07 156:6
dispatching 188:10	285:1 298:25	DOUMIT 92:24	332:6 333:19	157:10
322:18	299:4,5,11 314:10	193:5 263:22,25	337:25 343:5	ECK-08 156:17
displaces 241:3,5	docket 91:4 228:10	264:7,22 265:6,11	duly 134:15 171:2	157:22 158:14
display 259:3	358:17,17	265:15,19,22	195:2 267:11	ECK-11 270:12
342:24	docking 252:13	266:5,22 289:9	290:3 304:23	ECK-14X 137:14
displayed 342:15	docks 314:10	304:3 355:16,19	315:15	147:9
displays 260:8	document 100:15	355:24 356:2,15	duties 155:2 180:21	ECK-1T 136:6
dispute 124:14	161:13 202:12	356:20 357:16	185:10,12 337:25	264:4
disputes 232:25	213:3,16 214:18	358:7,13,25 359:3	duty 95:10 110:3	Ecology 111:20,25
disregards 130:6	317:21 328:13,15	359:5,7,10,16,18	348:4	153:3 218:11
disrupt 317:15	333:4,11,11 334:6	359:23 360:13,25		economic 127:13
distance 313:20	345:24 346:20	364:8	E	economically
distinction 213:17	documentation	Dr 117:12,24 118:8	E 92:1,1 99:12	136:19
264:17	211:14 212:22	118:11 119:15	366:1,1	economics 110:7
distinguished	213:6 326:17	217:21 349:23	e-mail 104:3,4	economist 110:11
271:25	documenting 343:9	363:24 364:2,6,13	108:16 361:22,24	110:23 118:13
distinguishes 219:1	DOE 112:10	DR726 209:3	362:3 363:7,15	education 295:4
distinguishing	doing 183:18,22	draft 240:23 241:2	earlier 99:20 111:6	effect 97:6 129:20
96:12	184:7 296:11	241:5,6,17,20,23	137:19 160:24	184:19 313:12,18
distribute 330:9,10	298:3 300:4	243:18 244:7,8	178:5,14 193:19	313:19,23 320:24
distributing 341:19	306:25 322:16	247:17,17,19	211:9 251:17	321:2
district 137:12	362:8	248:12,16 249:3,5	269:13 288:15	effective 262:6,8
138:7 157:18	dollars 131:6	251:20 260:22	326:5,14 327:12	300:17,18 320:14
284:13,14 329:25	166:11	286:3	327:16 334:19	320:15
district's 136:19	domestic 122:18,19	drastically 130:4	earliest 344:17	effectively 118:5
144:24 155:20	124:21,22 125:1,6	draw 175:22	early 97:14 167:24	Effectiveness 314:8
			168:2 322:10,17	
	1	1	1	1

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

effects 155:17 employees 121:1 301:11 204:5,6 219:6 182:20 202:3 159:18 160:8,16 employment entirely 123:19 321:17 359:23 214:16 229:19 efficiency 33:5,68 24:91.2,21 Essex 276:25 278:8 283:2 294:18 additional statistics 10:21 obtaines 24:12 entirely 123:19 364:18 305:1 282:3 addit 32:5 enables 136:18 155:13 204:7 282:3 144:99:14.18 172:9 addit 32:5 enables 136:18 155:13 204:7 282:3 178:24 179:11 173:10.15,15,17 eight 259:4 320:7 ad2:18,21 entirely 123:24 entry 205:23 178:24 179:11 173:10.15,15,17 fifteney 35:10 9:22 161:10 111:11 116:23 entry 205:23 178:24 179:11 173:10.15,15,17 257:16,25 280:25 enclosed 249:17 environment 239:27 21:14 21:13:123:12 21:33:12 elected 110:21 endeavor 120:10 edmav 120:10 247:18 347:20 363:1 133:4 175:11,3 176:25 elected 110:21 endeavor 120:10 edmav 120:10 247:18 347:20 363					9
159:18 160:8,16employment 295:11entirely 123:19 268:16321:17 359:23 360:17214:16 229:19 270:2 272:24efficacy 215:16emptrs 24:18 emptrs 24:18entirely 221:21 entitely 221:21360:17 360:17270:2 272:24efficiencis 344:12emptrs 24:18 emptrs 24:18entirely 221:21 entitely 123:20366:18 365:1364:18 365:1 326:24364:18 365:1 326:24364:18 365:1 326:2434:15,16 34:417enabels 136:18 enabels 136:18156:13 204:7 entry 205:23282:3 178:24 179:11173:10,15,15,17 178:224 179:11173:10,15,15,17 173:10,15,15,17eight-hour 318:17 eight-hour 318:17 encospulates 161:4121:9 219:4:24:5 219:19 219:4:24:5122:62:3 123:2 22:22 210:31,18,21,24178:25 182:21 111:4151:23 168:20 257:16,25 280:25 encourage 256:13 electrical 21:41:13,24 endeavor 120:10 214:13,24equip 244:8 247:8,9 equal 244:13 193:24132:8 135:12 examination 93:7313:14 electrical 21:20 electrical 21:21 engage 110:21 electrical 21:22enforces 150:19 216:14,23 20:13 26:16 308:1 ervaluate 113:15,15 215:19,22 216:7132:8 135:12 215:19,22 216:7 217:72.226:16 306:1 elevate 230:20,21 elixi 14:6 144:22 elevate 230:20,21 elixi 14:6 144:22 engage 200:19134:4,15 268:13 200:14 22:20:21 172:23 217:12 23:13 200:14 22:22 200:7 289:24 290:31,32 287:8 359:24ervato 160:15,21 ervato 150:15,21 ervato 150:15,21 ervato 150:15,21 210:112 22:22 203:1ervato 133:1	effects 155:17	employees 121:1	301:11	204:5.6.219:6	182:20 202:3
effectuate 122:11 295:11 268:16 360:17 270:2 272:24 efficency 343:16 emptis 246:8 entirety 221:21 Essex 276:25 278:8 283:2 294:18 efficiency 343:3.68 242:9.12.21 entirety 221:21 Essex 276:25 278:8 283:2 294:18 343:15,16 34:17 enables 136:18 156:13 204:7 282:23 149:9,14,18 172:9 eight 500:318:17 encageulates 161:4 111:11 116:23 establish 114:22 178:24 179:11 173:10,15,15,17 eight 500:318:17 encagsulates 161:4 121:9 219:4 224:5 122:6,23 123:2 210:3,18,21,24 151:23 168:20 encourage 256:13 111:4 113:10 160:19 211:1, 321:17 225:12 242:17 enclosed 249:17 environmental 358:2 cuaraniation 93:7 235:10,25 280:25 encourage 256:13 111:4 13:16 214:23 363:1 163:5 171:7,25 electrical 214:13,24 endorsement equipment 21:2:24 ethicity 190:20 13:24 173:22 175:23 313:14 175:11,13 176:18 213:16 214:23 20:16 308:1 122:175:23 315:1		1 0		-	
efficacy 215:16 empty 24:14 entirety 221:21 Essex 276:25 278:8 283:2 294:18 efficiency 34:12 entite 120:21 364:18 305:1 326:24 343:15,16 344:17 enables 136:18 156:13 204:7 282:3 149:9,14,18 172:9 344:25 345:15,2 enactel 113:19 entity 180:5 establish 114:22 173:10,15,15,17 eight 259:4 320:7 322:18,21 enty 205:23 178:24 179:11 173:10,15,15,17 eight 259:4 320:7 322:18,21 enty 205:23 178:24 179:11 173:10,15,15,17 eight 259:4 320:7 322:18,21 enty 205:23 178:24 179:11 173:10,15,15,17 eight 259:4 320:7 329:28 231:11 143:10 160:19 211:1,3 212:17 257:16,25 280:25 encourage 256:13 enuevromental 358:2 214:15 295:9 estect 110:21 endowr 120:10 247:18 347:20 363:1 163:5 171:7,25 electrical 214:13,24 iffsith 308:1 222:23 32:99:4 Erge 23:39:99:41 Evaluate 113:15,15 125:19,22 216:7 electrical 214:13,24 engage 100:21 261:14:23 202:17		1 0			
efficiencies 344:12 empty 241:14 entities 120:21 364:18 365:1 326:24 efficiency 343:3,6,8 242:9,1,2,21 entitled 143:25 Essex's 276:7 280:1 exam 138:19 149:3 343:15,16 344:17 enables 136:18 156:13 204:7 282:3 178:24 179:11 173:10,15,15,17 eight-hour 318:17 encapsulated entry 205:23 178:24 179:11 173:10,15,15,17 eight-hour 318:17 encapsulated environment 292:20 311:4 173:10,15,15,17 eight-hour 318:17 encapsulates 161:4 121:9 219:4 224:5 122:6,23 123:2 210:3,18,21,24 169:9 18:24 329:8 231:11 143:10 160:19 214:15 295:9 ectral 21:4:13,24 encourage 256:13 equid 244:8 247:20 363:1 163:5 171:7,25 elected 110:21 endorsement 216:14,23 220:13 Eugrep 71: 123:24 133:14 175:11,13 176:8 213:16 214:23 217:10 292:6 216:14,23 220:13 Eugrep 71: 125:10,13 36:6 212:22,23 214:24 214:21 engage 100:21 245:25 202:7 equity 109:19 129:10,13 32:15 215:19,222					
efficiency 343:3,6,8 242:9,12,21 entitled 143:25 Essex's 276:7 280:1 exam 138:19 149:3 343:15,16 344:17 enables 136:18 156:13 204:7 282:3 149:9,14,18 172:9 eight 259:4 320:7 322:18,21 entry 205:23 178:24 179:11 173:10,15,15,17 eight 259:4 320:7 322:18,21 entry 205:23 178:24 179:11 173:10,15,15,17 eight 259:4 320:7 322:18,21 entry 205:23 178:24 179:11 173:10,15,15,17 eight 259:4 320:7 acapsulates 161:4 121:9 219:4 224:5 122:6,23 123:2 210:3,18,21,24 199:9 182:24 329:8 encolosed 249:17 at11:4 et 164:13 193:24 examination 93:7 257:16,25 280:25 encolose 249:17 encolose 249:17 encolosed 249:17 at11:4 et 164:13 193:24 examination 93:7 261:10:21 encolose 249:17 encolose 249:17 equipment 21:2:44 at5:15 27:17.25 111:10 35:12 121:11,3 22:17:2 31:11:10 <		-	v		
343:15,16 344:17 enabels 136:18 156:13 204:7 282:3 149:9,14,18 172:9 344:25 345:15,25 enacted 113:19 entity 180:5 establish 114:22 173:21,15,17 eight 259:430:7 322:18,21 entry 205:23 178:24 179:11 173:10,15,15,17 eight 259:430:7 322:18,21 entry 205:23 178:24 179:11 178:12,15,17 151:23 168:20 encapsulates 161:4 121:9 219:4 224:5 122:6,23 123:22 210:3,18,21,24 257:16,25 280:25 encountered 251:3 111:4 etalisihed 120:20 211:13, 312:17 364:9 encourage 256:13 equipment 212:24 ethicity 190:20 133:8 133:8 electronic 212:20 enforces 150:19 216:16 308:1 evaluate 113:15,15 215:19,22 216:7 element 366:1 126:25 202:7 equity 109:19 129:10,11,4 33:61 220:11 229:3 235:15 268:6 263:16 346:2 361:8 107:17 132:3 172:2,3 174:12,21 286:22 30:1 347:2 engaged 10:21 249:24 290:3,13 235:16 263:3,12 exatinations 261:10 101: 283:17,25		1.0			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	e i	, ,			
eight 259:4 320:7 322:18,21 entry 205:23 178:24 179:11 173:10,15,15,17 eight 509:22 161:10 111:11 116:23 292:20 311:4 178:24 179:11 178:25 18:221 151:23 168:20 encoursage 161:4 121:9 219:4 224:5 122:6,23 123:2 178:25 18:221 178:25 18:221 178:25 18:221 178:25 18:25:1 225:12 242:17 encoursage 256:13 enuinet 21:223 et14:13 193:24	,				
eight-hour 318:17 either 95:5 109:22 encapsulated 161:10 environment 111:11 116:23 292:20 311:4 established 121:22 174:4 178:4,11,17 151:23 168:20 encapsulates 161:4 129:219:4 224:5 122:6,23 123:2 210:3,18,21,24 159:9 182:24 329:8 231:11 143:10 160:19 211:1,3 212:17 257:16,25 280:25 encourage 256:13 encourage 256:13 environmental equipment 212:24 358:2 214:15 295:9 elected 110:21 encourage 256:13 endeavor 120:10 247:18 347:20 363:1 163:5 171:7,25 electronic 212:20 enforces 150:19 216:14,23 220:13 Europe 168:6 212:22,23 214:24 214:12 engage 110:21 261:16 308:1 evaluation 146:8 258:15 202:7 element 346:1 126:25 202:7 equipti 109:19 129:10,14 36:16 220:11 229:3 element 399:3 287:8 359:24 Eric 92:3 93:9.9.41 evaluation 146:8 258:15 268:6 263:16 346:2 361:8 107:17 132:3 172:2,3 174:12,21 286:22 303:1 elevate 230:20,21 240:2 280:7 289:24 290:3,13 235:16 263:3,12 362:17 363:9 eliminates 358			•		
either 95:5 109:22 161:10 111:11 116:23 established 121:22 178:25 182:21 151:23 168:20 encapsulates 161:4 121:9 219:4 224:5 122:6,23 123:2 210:3,18,21,24 169:9 182:24 329:8 231:11 143:10 160:19 211:1,3 212:17 255:12 242:17 enclosed 249:17 encivonmental 338:2 214:15 295:9 257:16,25 280:25 encourage 256:13 equal 244:8 247:8,9 271:10 292:6 132:8 135:12 elected 110:21 endorsement equipment 212:24 ethnicity 190:20 173:22 175:23 313:14 175:11,13 176:8 213:16 214:23 Eugster 97:1 180:6,11 192:10 electronic 212:20 enforces 150:19 216:14,23 20:13 Europe 168:5 215:19,22 216:7 element 346:1 126:25 202:7 equity 109:19 129:10,14 336:16 220:11 229:3 24:21 engage 210:19 134:4,15 268:13 ervatuate 113:15,15 215:19,22,23:11 element 346:1 126:25 202:7 289:24 290:3,13 235:16 263:3,12 362:17 363:9 24:11 engage 210:19 134:4,15 268:13 erven	8	,	•		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0	-			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-		,	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $,
364:9encourage 256:13 endeavor 120:10equal 244:8 247:8,9 247:18 347:20271:10 292:6132:8 135:12electroi 212:10endorsement and static247:18 347:20363:1163:5 171:7,25electroi 212:20endorsement endorsente213:16 214:23Eugster 97:1180:6,11 192:10electronic 212:20enforces 150:19216:14,23 220:13Europe 168:6212:22,23 214:24214:21engage 110:21261:16 308:1evaluate 113:15,15215:19,22 216:7element 346:1126:25 202:7equity 109:19129:10,14 336:16220:11 229:3263:16 346:2361:8107:17 123:3172:2,3 174:12,21286:22 303:1347:2engaged 201:19134:4,15 268:13event 133:25311:10 342:7elevate 230:20,21240:2 280:7289:24 290:3,13235:16 263:3,12362:17 363:9elit 114:6 144:22engagement 356:11Eriksen 110:11event 98:20examine 164:13145:9,20 146:2,6313:8eroded 151:3219:1 258:8 265:1212:17 213:5146:14,17,18,19engineering 220:12erosin 150:15,21evidence 104:23214:12,23146:22 147:5Engineers 314:16eror 129:13 179:4110:7,25 113:1,23171:2 195:2146:14,17,18,19enginee 263:13177:5 313:2,11,16114:1 15:24304:23 315:15emergency 97:17ensure 119:1eror 129:13 179:4110:7,25 113:1,23171:2 195:2167:17 168:5313:7179:5 313:2,11,16114:1 15:24304:23 315:15emergency 97:17ensure 119:1<					
elected 110:21 electrical 214:13,24 313:14endeavor 120:10 endorsement 175:11,13 176:8247:18 347:20 equipment 212:24 213:16 214:23363:1 ethnicity 190:20 Eugster 97:1163:5 171:7,25 173:22 175:23313:14175:11,13 176:8 215:11,220216:14,23 220:13 216:14,23 220:13 261:16 308:1216:22,23 214:24 	,				
electrical 214:13,24 313:14endorsement 175:11,13 176:8equipment 212:24 213:16 214:23ethnicity 190:20 Eugster 97:1173:22 175:23 180:6,11 192:10electronic 212:20 214:21enforces 150:19 engage 110:21216:14,23 220:13 261:16 308:1Europe 168:6 evaluate 113:15,15212:22,23 214:24 evaluate 113:15,15element 346:1 element 346:1126:25 202:7 287:8 359:24equity 109:19 Eric 92:3 93:9 94:1 eric 92:3 93:9 94:1Europe 168:6 evaluation 146:8 258:15 268:6212:22,23 214:24 evaluate 113:15,15263:16 346:2 263:16 346:2361:8 angeed 201:19107:17 132:3 134:4,15 268:13 289:24 290:3,13T72:2,3 174:12,21 events 98:20286:22 303:1 events 98:20elemates 358:5 eliminates 358:5 eliminates 358:5 engineering 220:12 t46:22 147:5engineering 220:12 erosion 150:15,21 ergineers 263:13erodel 151:3 error-free 136:7,15219:1 258:8 265:1 212:17 213:5212:17 213:5 evaluation 146:8146:14,17,18,19 146:22 147:5engineers 20:12 engineers 263:13error 109:13,179:4 118:14110:7,25 113:1,23 110:7,25 113:1,23171:2 195:2 121:12 142:23167:17 168:5 252:13313:7 121:13 144:21,23136:18 137:22 136:18 137:22130:25 158:24 139:11304:23 315:15 example 165:11,16 130:25 158:24304:23 315:15 200:14 213:10,22 200:14 213:10,22 200:14 213:10,22260:13 201:13147:6 186:18 150:4 275:10200:14 213:10,22 evidenced 137:10214:11 214:11 214:11emphasize 111:10 emphasize 111:10inter 173:4 284:13 enter 135:20escort 112:13 escort 112:13<		8	-		
313:14175:11,13 176:8213:16 214:23Eugster 97:1180:6,11 192:10214:21enforces 150:19216:14,23 220:13210:14,23 220:13212:22,23 214:24214:21engage 110:21261:16 308:1212:12,2,23 214:24element 346:1126:25 202:7equity 109:19287:8 359:24261:16 308:1263:16 346:2361:8107:17 132:3172:2,3 174:12,21286:22 303:1347:2engaged 201:19134:4,15 268:13235:16 263:3,12286:22 303:1elevate 230:20,21240:2 280:7289:24 290:3,13235:16 263:3,12362:17 363:9elicit 101:1283:17,25Eriksen 110:11118:14155:19 158:7examinationseliminates 358:5engagement 356:11118:14155:19 158:7212:17 213:5146:14,17,18,19engineering 220:12eroide 151:3219:1 258:8 265:1212:17 213:5146:22 147:5Engineers 314:16error 129:13 179:4110:7,25 113:1,23171:2 195:2146:22 147:5anancing 229:8endice 156:7,15129:25 130:8,9,17304:23 315:15emergencies 185:4enhancing 229:8errors 103:12121:6 123:24304:23 315:15emergencies 185:4145:10 149:21136:18 137:22130:25 158:24304:23 315:15emphasize 114:14ensured 146:23168:15 229:15137:19,21200:14 213:10,22260:13147:6 186:18230:4137:19,21214:11emphasize 111:10147:6 186:18230:4236:9example 151:8,9,13emphasize 111:10147:6 186				·	· · · · · ·
electronic 212:20 214:21enforces 150:19 engage 110:21216:14,23 220:13 261:16 308:1Europe 168:6 evaluate 113:15,15212:2,23 214:24 215:19,22 216:7element 346:1 263:16 346:2126:25 202:7 361:8equity 109:19 126:25 202:7evaluate 113:15,15 129:10,14 336:16220:11 229:3 220:12 220:3263:16 346:2 347:2 elevate 230:20,21 elicit 101:1 eliminates 358:5 eliminates 358:5 eliminates 358:5 eliminates 358:5 146:21 41.7,18,19 146:22 147:5engaged 201:19 283:17,25134:4,15 268:13 289:24 290:3,13 289:24 290:3,13 289:24 290:3,13 235:16 263:3,12 235:16 263:3,12 219:1 258:8 265:1 219:1 258:8 265:1 212:14examinations 212:14 exemplex 188:0 erosin 150:15,21 erosin 150:12 130:25 158:24 130:25 158:24 136:18 137:22 130:25 158:24 136:11 121:13 144:21,23 136:18 137:22 130:25 158:24 136:11 121:13 144:21,23 136:18 137:22 130:25 158:24 136:11 121:12 136:18 137:10 214:11 example 165:11,16 erosin 117:2 229:9 366:9 erosect 117:12 229:9 366:9 erosect 117:2 229:9 366:9 erosect					
214:21engage 110:21261:16 308:1evaluate 113:15,15215:19,22 216:7element 346:1126:25 202:7equity 109:19129:10,14 336:16220:11 229:3elements 199:3287:8 359:24Eric 92:3 93:9 94:1129:10,14 336:16220:11 229:3347:2engaged 201:19134:4,15 268:13event 133:25311:10 342:7elevate 230:20,21240:2 280:7289:24 290:3,13event 133:25311:10 342:7elicit 101:1283:17,25Eriksen 110:11event 98:20examinationseliminates 358:5engagement 356:11118:14155:19 158:7212:14elite 114:6 144:22engine 256:4 263:5Eriksen's 116:20eroded 151:3219:1 258:8 265:1212:17 213:5146:14,17,18,19engineering 220:12erosion 150:15,21eroiden 150:15,21erita 104:12,16108:13 109:11examine 164:13149:20 150:3engines 233:16a13:7179:5 313:2,11,16114:1 115:24267:11 290:3emergencies 185:4ensure 119:1121:13 144:21,23136:18 137:22130:25 158:24304:23 315:15emergency 97:17ensure 119:1136:18 137:22130:25 158:24186:1 198:8252:13121:13 144:21,23168:15 229:15137:19,21example 165:11,16252:13147:6 186:18230:4erors 103:12159:11200:14 213:10,22260:13150:4 275:10escort 117:2 229:9366:9exceed 229:11emphasize 111:10enter 173:4 284:13escort 117:2 229:9366:9exceed 229:11eridi		,		8	,
element 346:1 elements 199:3126:25 202:7 287:8 359:24equity 109:19 Eric 92:3 93:9 94:1129:10,14 336:16 evaluation 146:8220:11 229:3 287:8 359:24263:16 346:2 347:2361:8107:17 132:3172:2,3 174:12,21286:22 303:1347:2 elevate 230:20,21 elicit 101:1engaged 201:19 289:24 280:7, 289:24 290:3,13172:2,3 174:12,21286:22 303:128:17,25 eliminates 358:5 eliminates 358:5 eliminates 358:5engagement 356:11 engagement 356:11 145:9,20 146:2,6 146:14,17,18,19133:14155:19 158:7 erosion 150:15,21 erosion 150:15,22 erosion 150:15,22 erosion 150:15,22 erosion 150:15,22 erosion 150:15,22 110:12:12 1111:12 20:14:12 20:14:12:12 20:14:12:12 20:14:12:12 20:14:12:12 20:14:12:12 20:14:12:13 20:14:13 20:14:12:13 20:14:13 20:14:11 erosica 130:12 21:13:144:21,23 21:10 21:13:144:21,23 21:10 21:13:144:21,23 21:13:144:21,23 21:13:144:21,23 21:13:144:21,23 21:13:144:21,23 21:13:144:21,23 21:13:14:12:13 20:14:11 20:14:11 20:14:11 20:14:11 20:14:11 20:14:11 20:14:11 20:14:11 <b< th=""><td></td><td></td><td>,</td><td>-</td><td>,</td></b<>			,	-	,
elements 199:3 263:16 346:2 347:2287:8 359:24 361:8Eric 92:3 93:9 94:1 107:17 132:3 107:17 132:3 134:4,15 268:13 289:24 290:3,13evaluation 146:8 172:2,3 174:12,21 286:22 303:1 286:22 303:1elevate 230:20,21 elicit 101:1240:2 280:7 289:24 290:3,13289:24 290:3,13 289:24 290:3,13235:16 263:3,12 289:24 290:3,13362:17 363:9 240:2 280:7 362:17 363:9elicit 101:1 eliminates 358:5engagement 356:11 engineering 220:12 146:24 44:24118:14 eroded 151:3 eroded 151:3155:19 158:7 219:1 258:8 265:1 219:1 258:8 265:1212:17 213:5 212:17 213:5146:14,17,18,19 149:20 150:3 167:17 168:5enginee 263:13 engines 263:13error 129:13 179:4 179:5 313:2,11,16108:13 109:11 110:7,25 113:1,23 171:2 195:2examined 134:15 171:2 195:2252:13121:13 144:21,23 150:4 275:10136:18 137:22 error 103:12130:25 158:24 159:11304:23 315:15 example 165:11,16260:13150:4 275:10 150:4 275:10168:15 229:15 230:4130:25 158:24 186:1 198:8186:1 198:8 200:14 213:10,22260:13150:4 275:10 147:6 186:18 231:10147:6 186:18 147:6 186:18 230:4230:4 230:4example 165:11,16 137:19,21 examples 198:5emphasize 111:10enter 173:4 284:13 enter 353:20 emphasizing 113:9enterd 353:20 entered 353:20escorts 117:2 229:9 escerts 117:2 229:9 366:9339:10		00		,	,
263:16 346:2 347:2361:8 engaged 201:19107:17 132:3 134:4,15 268:13 289:24 290:3,13172:2,3 174:12,21 event 133:25286:22 303:1 311:10 342:7elevate 230:20,21 elicit 101:1240:2 280:7 289:24 290:3,13289:24 290:3,13 289:24 290:3,13235:16 263:3,12 event 98:20362:17 363:9 examinationseliminates 358:5 eliminates 358:5engagement 356:11 engine 256:4 263:5Eriksen 110:11 18:14235:19 158:7 everybody 186:10 219:1 258:8 265:1212:14elite 114:6 144:22 145:9,20 146:2,6a13:8 engineering 220:12 engines 263:13eroded 151:3 erot 129:13 179:4219:1 258:8 265:1 108:13 109:11212:17 213:5146:14,17,18,19 149:20 150:3 emergencies 185:4engines 263:13 ensure 119:1error 129:13 179:4 a14:13110:7,25 113:1,23 121:13 144:21,23171:2 195:2 a04:23 315:15emergency 97:17 252:13ensure 119:1 121:13 144:21,23136:18 137:22 a04:23 315:15130:25 158:24 a04:23 315:15emphasis 176:24 260:13145:10 149:21 150:4 275:10error 103:12 a03:12evidenced 137:10 a04:23 15:12200:14 213:10,22 a04:23 315:15emphasize 111:10 emphasizes 111:10 emphasizes 111:10enter 173:4 284:13 enter 133:20escorts 117:2 229:9 a66:9a66:9 exacerbates 317:10 a36:19exceed 229:11 exacerbates 317:10 a39:10			1 0	,	
347:2engaged 201:19134:4,15 268:13 289:24 290:3,13event 133:25311:10 342:7elevate 230:20,21240:2 280:7289:24 290:3,13235:16 263:3,12362:17 363:9elicit 101:1283:17,25Eriksen 110:11events 98:20examinationseliminates 358:5engagement 356:11118:14155:19 158:7212:14elite 114:6 144:22angine 256:4 263:5Eriksen's 116:20everybody 186:10examine 164:13145:9,20 146:2,6313:8eroded 151:3219:1 258:8 265:1212:17 213:5146:14,17,18,19engineers 314:16errosion 150:15,21evidence 104:23214:12,23146:22 147:5Engineers 314:16error 129:13 179:4108:13 109:11examined 134:15149:20 150:3engines 263:13179:5 313:2,11,16114:1 115:24267:11 290:3167:17 168:5313:7179:5 313:2,11,16114:1 115:24304:23 315:15emergencies 185:4enhancing 229:8314:13121:6 123:24304:23 315:15emergency 97:17ensure 119:1errors 103:12130:25 158:24186:1 198:8260:13121:13 144:21,23136:18 137:22130:25 158:24186:1 198:8emphasis 176:24145:10 149:21168:15 229:15137:10214:11emphasize 114:14ensured 146:23168:15 229:15137:19,21examples 198:5231:10147:6 186:18230:4evidentiary 91:9exames 151:8,9,13emphasizes 111:10enter 173:4 284:13escorts 117:2 229:9366:9exceed 229:11 <t< th=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
elevate 230:20,21240:2 280:7289:24 290:3,13235:16 263:3,12362:17 363:9elicit 101:1283:17,25Eriksen 110:11events 98:20examinationseliminates 358:5engagement 356:11118:14155:19 158:7212:14elite 114:6 144:22engine 256:4 263:5Eriksen's 116:20everybody 186:10219:1 258:8 265:1212:17 213:5146:14,17,18,19engineering 220:12eroded 151:3evidence 104:23214:12,23146:22 147:5Engineers 314:16error 129:13 179:4110:7,25 113:1,23171:2 195:2167:17 168:5313:7179:5 313:2,11,16114:1 115:24267:11 290:3emergencies 185:4enhancing 229:8314:13121:6 123:24304:23 315:15emergency 97:17ensure 119:1error-free 136:7,15129:25 130:8,9,17example 165:11,16252:13121:13 144:21,23136:18 137:22130:25 158:24186:1 198:8emphasis 176:24145:10 149:21errors 103:12159:11200:14 213:10,22260:13150:4 275:10escort 112:13evidenced 137:10214:11emphasize 111:10enter 173:4 284:13escorts 117:2 29:9366:9examples 198:5examples 198:5example 353:20especially 165:7exacerbates 317:10exace 321:9 348:14339:10				, , ,	
elicit 101:1283:17,25Eriksen 110:11events 98:20examinationseliminates 358:5engagement 356:11118:14155:19 158:7212:14elite 114:6 144:22engine 256:4 263:5Eriksen's 116:20everybody 186:10examine 164:13145:9,20 146:2,6313:8eroded 151:3219:1 258:8 265:1212:17 213:5146:14,17,18,19engineering 220:12erosion 150:15,21evidence 104:23214:12,23146:22 147:5Engineers 314:16error 129:13 179:4110:7,25 113:1,23171:2 195:2167:17 168:5313:7179:5 313:2,11,16114:1 115:24267:11 290:3emergencies 185:4enhancing 229:8314:13121:6 123:24304:23 315:15emergency 97:17ensure 119:1error-free 136:7,15129:25 130:8,9,17example 165:11,16252:13121:13 144:21,23136:18 137:22130:25 158:24186:1 198:8emphasis 176:24150:4 275:10escort 112:13evidenced 137:10214:11emphasize 114:14ensured 146:23168:15 229:15137:19,21examples 198:5231:10147:6 186:18230:4evidentiary 91:9examples 198:5emphasizes 111:10enter 173:4 284:13escorts 117:2 229:9366:9exceed 229:11emphasizing 113:9entered 353:20especially 165:7exacrbates 317:10exceeds 180:12employed 116:16entire 115:21essentially 95:13exact 321:9 348:14339:10			,		
eliminates 358:5engagement 356:11118:14155:19 158:7212:14elite 114:6 144:22angine 256:4 263:5Eriksen's 116:20everybody 186:10examine 164:13145:9,20 146:2,6313:8eroded 151:3219:1 258:8 265:1212:17 213:5146:14,17,18,19engine ering 220:12erosion 150:15,21evidence 104:23214:12,23146:22 147:5engines 263:13eror 129:13 179:4108:13 109:11examined 134:15149:20 150:3angines 263:13179:5 313:2,11,16114:1 115:24267:11 290:3167:17 168:5313:7179:5 313:2,11,16114:1 115:24267:11 290:3emergencies 185:4enhancing 229:8314:13121:6 123:24304:23 315:15emergency 97:17ensure 119:1error free 136:7,15129:25 130:8,9,17example 165:11,16252:13121:13 144:21,23136:18 137:22130:25 158:24186:1 198:8emphasis 176:24145:10 149:21escort 112:13escort 112:13200:14 213:10,22260:13147:6 186:18230:4evidenced 137:10214:11emphasize 114:14147:6 186:18230:4evidentiary 91:9examples 198:5231:10147:6 186:18230:4evidentiary 91:9exceed 229:11emphasizes 111:10enter 173:4 284:13escorts 117:2 229:9366:9exceed 229:11emphasizing 113:9enter d 353:20especially 165:7exac 321:9 348:14339:10	,		,	,	
elite 114:6 144:22 145:9,20 146:2,6 146:14,17,18,19 146:22 147:5engine 256:4 263:5 313:8 engineering 220:12 Engineers 314:16 errata 104:12,16 errata 104:12,16 errata 104:12,16 errata 104:12,16 errata 104:12,16 errata 104:12,16everybody 186:10 219:1 258:8 265:1 219:1 258:8 265:1 219:1 258:8 265:1 214:12,23examine 164:13 219:1 258:8 265:1 214:12,23146:22 147:5 149:20 150:3 167:17 168:5 emergencies 185:4 emergency 97:17 252:13Engineers 263:13 313:7error 129:13 179:4 179:5 313:2,11,16108:13 109:11 100:7,25 113:1,23 114:1 115:24 121:6 123:24examine 134:15 267:11 290:3emergency 97:17 252:13enhancing 229:8 121:13 144:21,23314:13 136:18 137:22 errors 103:12 errors 103:12121:6 123:24 130:25 158:24304:23 315:15 example 165:11,16emphasis 176:24 260:13145:10 149:21 150:4 275:10 enter 173:4 284:13 enter 173:4 284:13 emphasizing 113:9 emphasizing 113:9 emphasizing 113:9147:6 186:18 escorts 117:2 229:9 especially 165:7 essentially 95:13everybody 186:10 example 164:13 219:1 258:8 265:1 110:7,25 113:1,23examine 164:13 212:17 213:5 213:10emphasizing 113:9 emphoyed 116:16entire 115:21essentially 95:13everybody 186:10 exact 321:9 348:14examine 164:13 212:17 213:5examine 15:12essentially 95:13excerbates 317:10 exact 321:9 348:14examine 164:13 212:17 213:5		,			
145:9,20 146:2,6 146:14,17,18,19 146:22 147:5313:8 engineering 220:12 Engineers 314:16 engines 263:13 167:17 168:5eroded 151:3 erosion 150:15,21 errata 104:12,16219:1 258:8 265:1 214:12,23212:17 213:5 214:12,23146:22 147:5Engineers 314:16 engines 263:13 167:17 168:5engines 263:13 313:7error 129:13 179:4 179:5 313:2,11,16108:13 109:11 108:13 109:11examined 134:15 217:25 113:1,23emergencies 185:4 emergency 97:17 252:13enhancing 229:8 ensure 119:1i14:13 error-free 136:7,15121:6 123:24 136:18 137:22304:23 315:15 example 165:11,16252:13121:13 144:21,23 150:4 275:10136:18 137:22 errors 103:12130:25 158:24 159:11186:1 198:8 200:14 213:10,22260:13150:4 275:10 147:6 186:18escort 112:13 230:4escort 112:13 147:6 186:18230:4 escorts 117:2 229:9 366:9examples 198:5 exams 151:8,9,13 exceed 229:11emphasizing 113:9 employed 116:16entred 353:20 entred 353:20escorts 117:2 229:9 especially 165:7 essentially 95:13a39:10		00			
146:14,17,18,19 146:22 147:5engineering 220:12 Engineers 314:16erosion 150:15,21 errata 104:12,16evidence 104:23 108:13 109:11214:12,23 examined 134:15149:20 150:3 167:17 168:5engines 263:13 313:7irrata 104:12,16 error 129:13 179:4i108:13 109:11 110:7,25 113:1,23i214:12,23 examined 134:15emergencies 185:4 emergency 97:17 252:13enhancing 229:8 ensure 119:1i14:13 error-free 136:7,15i121:6 123:24 129:25 130:8,9,17i304:23 315:15 example 165:11,16252:13 emphasis 176:24 260:13i14:10 149:21 150:4 275:10errors 103:12 escort 112:13i30:25 158:24 136:18 137:22i86:1 198:8 200:14 213:10,22emphasize 114:14 231:10ensured 146:23 147:6 186:18 enter 173:4 284:13i68:15 229:15 230:4i37:19,21 escorts 117:2 229:9 366:9examples 198:5 examples 317:10 escorts 117:2 229:9emphasizing 113:9 employed 116:16entred 353:20 entre 115:21escorts 117:2 229:9 essentially 95:13a39:10		8			
146:22 147:5Engineers 314:16errata 104:12,16108:13 109:11examined 134:15149:20 150:3engines 263:13313:7179:5 313:2,11,16110:7,25 113:1,23171:2 195:2167:17 168:5313:7179:5 313:2,11,16114:1 115:24267:11 290:3emergencies 185:4enhancing 229:8314:13121:6 123:24304:23 315:15emergency 97:17ensure 119:1136:18 137:22129:25 130:8,9,17example 165:11,16252:13121:13 144:21,23136:18 137:22130:25 158:24186:1 198:8emphasis 176:24145:10 149:21errors 103:12159:11200:14 213:10,22260:13150:4 275:10escort 112:13159:11200:14 213:10,22emphasize 114:14ensured 146:23168:15 229:15137:19,21examples 198:5emphasizes 111:10enter 173:4 284:13escorts 117:2 229:9366:9examples 198:5emphasizing 113:9entered 353:20especially 165:7exaccrbates 317:10exceed 229:11employed 116:16entire 115:21essentially 95:13339:10					
149:20 150:3 167:17 168:5engines 263:13 313:7error 129:13 179:4 179:5 313:2,11,16110:7,25 113:1,23 14:1 115:24171:2 195:2 267:11 290:3emergencies 185:4 emergency 97:17 252:13enhancing 229:8 ensure 119:1error-free 136:7,15 121:13 144:21,23121:6 123:24 136:18 137:22304:23 315:15 example 165:11,16252:13 emphasis 176:24 260:13121:13 144:21,23 145:10 149:21136:18 137:22 errors 103:12130:25 158:24 159:11186:1 198:8 200:14 213:10,22emphasize 114:14 231:10ensured 146:23 147:6 186:18168:15 229:15 230:4137:19,21 exit 17:2 229:9examples 198:5 exceed 229:11emphasizing 113:9 employed 116:16enter 173:4 284:13 enter 115:21escorts 117:2 229:9 especially 165:7 essentially 95:13exacr 321:9 348:14exceeds 180:12 339:10		8	,		, ,
167:17 168:5 emergencies 185:4 emergency 97:17 252:13313:7 		-	,		
emergencies 185:4 emergency 97:17 252:13enhancing 229:8 ensure 119:1314:13 error-free 136:7,15121:6 123:24 129:25 130:8,9,17304:23 315:15 example 165:11,16252:13121:13 144:21,23136:18 137:22129:25 130:8,9,17example 165:11,16252:13121:13 144:21,23136:18 137:22130:25 158:24186:1 198:8emphasis 176:24145:10 149:21errors 103:12159:11200:14 213:10,22260:13150:4 275:10escort 112:13escort 112:13214:11emphasize 114:14ensured 146:23168:15 229:15137:19,21examples 198:5231:10147:6 186:18230:4evidentiary 91:9examples 198:5emphasizes 111:10enter 173:4 284:13escorts 117:2 229:9366:9exceed 229:11emphasizing 113:9entered 353:20especially 165:7exacerbates 317:10exceeds 180:12employed 116:16entire 115:21essentially 95:13exact 321:9 348:14339:10		0		, , ,	
emergency 97:17 252:13ensure 119:1 121:13 144:21,23error-free 136:7,15 136:18 137:22129:25 130:8,9,17 130:25 158:24example 165:11,16 186:1 198:8emphasis 176:24 260:13145:10 149:21 150:4 275:10i36:18 137:22 errors 103:12i30:25 158:24 159:11i86:1 198:8 200:14 213:10,22emphasize 114:14 231:10i50:4 275:10 147:6 186:18escort 112:13 230:4evidenced 137:10 i37:19,21i14:14 examples 198:5emphasizes 111:10 emphasizing 113:9 employed 116:16intered 353:20 entire 115:21especially 165:7 essentially 95:13exacerbates 317:10 exact 321:9 348:14exceeds 180:12 39:10					
252:13121:13 144:21,23136:18 137:22130:25 158:24186:1 198:8emphasis 176:24145:10 149:21errors 103:12159:11200:14 213:10,22260:13150:4 275:10escort 112:13evidenced 137:10214:11emphasize 114:14168:15 229:15137:19,21examples 198:5231:10147:6 186:18230:4evidentiary 91:9sceed 229:11emphasizes 111:10enter 173:4 284:13escorts 117:2 229:9366:9exceed 229:11emphasizing 113:9entered 353:20especially 165:7exacerbates 317:10exceeds 180:12employed 116:16entire 115:21essentially 95:13348:14339:10	8	0			
emphasis 176:24 260:13145:10 149:21 150:4 275:10errors 103:12 escort 112:13159:11 evidenced 137:10200:14 213:10,22 214:11emphasize 114:14 231:10150:4 275:10 ensured 146:23escort 112:13 168:15 229:15159:11 evidenced 137:10200:14 213:10,22 214:11emphasize 114:14 231:10ensured 146:23 147:6 186:18168:15 229:15 230:4137:19,21 evidentiary 91:9examples 198:5 exams 151:8,9,13emphasizes 111:10 emphasizing 113:9 employed 116:16entered 353:20 entire 115:21especially 165:7 essentially 95:13exacerbates 317:10 exact 321:9 348:14exceeds 180:12 339:10					-
260:13150:4 275:10escort 112:13evidenced 137:10214:11emphasize 114:14ensured 146:23168:15 229:15137:19,21examples 198:5231:10147:6 186:18230:4evidentiary 91:9exams 151:8,9,13emphasizes 111:10enter 173:4 284:13escorts 117:2 229:9366:9exceed 229:11emphasizing 113:9entered 353:20especially 165:7exacerbates 317:10exceeds 180:12employed 116:16entire 115:21essentially 95:13exact 321:9 348:14339:10					
emphasize 114:14 231:10ensured 146:23 147:6 186:18168:15 229:15 230:4137:19,21 evidentiary 91:9examples 198:5 exams 151:8,9,13emphasizes 111:10 emphasizing 113:9 employed 116:16entered 353:20 entire 115:21escorts 117:2 229:9 especially 165:7 essentially 95:13366:9exceed 229:11exacerbates 317:10 exact 321:9 348:14exceeds 180:12 339:10	-				
231:10147:6 186:18230:4evidentiary 91:9exams 151:8,9,13emphasizes 111:10enter 173:4 284:13escorts 117:2 229:9366:9exceed 229:11emphasizing 113:9entered 353:20especially 165:7exacerbates 317:10exceeds 180:12employed 116:16entire 115:21essentially 95:13exact 321:9 348:14339:10					
emphasizes 111:10 emphasizing 113:9 employed 116:16enter 173:4 284:13 entered 353:20 entire 115:21escorts 117:2 229:9 especially 165:7 essentially 95:13366:9 exacerbates 317:10 exacerbates 317:10exceed 229:11 exceeds 180:12 339:10	-			,	-
emphasizing 113:9 entered 353:20 especially 165:7 exacerbates 317:10 exceeds 180:12 employed 116:16 entire 115:21 essentially 95:13 exact 321:9 348:14 339:10				•	
employed 116:16 entire 115:21 essentially 95:13 exact 321:9 348:14 339:10	-				
	- 0				
	- •		•		
	207.21 290.13,10	100.10 210.10	120.0 200.20		
			l		

Page	380

				1 490 000
excellent 116:12	exhibit 96:4,6,8,10	123:2 320:11	360:2 364:2	281:4 284:18
167:5	99:6,9,12,15	325:4	explained 116:12	298:6 299:6 303:8
exception 106:4	101:16,18 102:9	exists 176:4 208:4	219:12 287:12	333:16 361:24
108:13 125:14	102:17,19 104:5	275:10	explains 111:17	factor 114:9 116:17
243:10	106:21 108:14	exit 284:12	158:5	117:1 164:1,10
exceptional 142:22	116:20 119:15,18	expansion 207:10	explanation 278:8	238:14,17,19
143:22 144:7	136:6,24 137:14	expansive 326:10	exposed 155:20	253:4,7 325:18,19
exceptionally	137:25 138:2	expect 132:20	260:20	factors 113:22
121:17	139:3,18 140:4	163:8 194:9 228:2	exposure 202:17	120:19 123:12
exceptions 108:19	142:2 144:12	246:25	313:24	129:22 238:22
excerpt 276:11	147:9 152:4 156:6	expected 126:13	expressed 231:3	272:16 312:17
280:1	156:17 162:25	expense 358:4	extensive 121:5	317:16 320:18
excerpts 102:4,11	176:12 182:1,12	expenses 98:24	201:11 205:9	322:3 325:6 332:3
excess 307:20	188:16 189:14	226:16	extensively 199:7	facts 100:14 123:12
excessive 110:1	190:4 196:14,15	experience 109:8	200:16 205:9	141:2 222:10
349:6	201:13 205:10,14	111:16 112:16	extent 131:16	223:25
excluded 278:10,13	211:25 212:1,9	149:1,8 150:7,14	192:15 206:19	factual 124:14
278:16 279:5	214:7 258:17	151:8,15 154:4,10	237:6 246:21,23	fail 174:11 175:10
exclusion 249:20	264:4 270:22	158:6 163:7 164:9	345:9	failed 173:17 174:4
277:3,20	272:4 273:2	173:20 174:2	extinguisher	174:20,20
exclusively 126:3	285:20,22 306:24	175:9 183:20	213:10,11,12,14	failing 174:23
201:19 264:19	308:8 309:17	185:15 186:6	213:25	failure 95:9,21
283:17 295:12,13	316:22 318:8,15	189:7,8 201:24	extra 183:14,20	129:11 313:8,9,10
excuse 176:13	319:13,15 321:24	202:4 210:9,20,22	184:7	313:15,15
179:12 199:25	327:22 329:23	210:25 216:22	extraordinarily	fair 111:7 122:3
223:4 272:11	332:15,21 333:6	261:7 268:22	113:11 169:2	153:24 183:5
310:5 318:24	333:12 334:4	272:14,18 273:21	extreme 156:13	184:4,6 215:21,24
332:14 333:3	335:16 336:5,23	275:9 296:13	175:20	222:8,13 230:10
334:25 361:11	339:15,23 340:20	297:17 300:4	extremely 117:9	239:15 260:7
excused 134:1	340:24 353:3,7	303:3,14 305:6	Exxon 305:11,18	265:2 283:5 289:1
193:10 231:19	355:21	312:17 360:9	eye 111:3 296:10	291:17 295:14
266:11 289:13	exhibits 94:12,14	experienced 131:20		303:11,13 312:6
304:8 315:10	95:6 98:3 101:6	138:24 233:3,6,7	F	335:10 343:9
363:10	103:5 104:3,7,25	274:1 275:10	F 366:1	347:13
executed 313:7	105:15 106:3,10	334:8 349:5 355:6	face 298:24	fairly 109:7 222:12
executive 111:15	108:12,12,14,15	experiencing	facets 183:25	284:19
152:3 195:18	108:19 120:1	354:19	facilitated 228:14	fairness 122:6
exempt 218:10	129:5,9,13 137:2	expert 103:9	facilities 168:6	faith 360:23,24
exempted 207:11	189:20 331:24	110:23,24 114:3	facility 243:6	fall 113:19 352:11
218:12 277:21	exist 206:16	117:12,14 249:10	fact 142:1 153:22	fallback 188:10
exemption 104:15	existed 323:8	349:25	157:3 161:15	familiar 166:5
exemption 101.15 exempts 276:15	existence 278:25	expire 357:7	166:25 199:1	178:22 182:6
exercise 222:9	314:17	explain 117:23	202:7 207:6	200:7,21,23
223:24 293:9	existing 109:22	118:11 218:24	209:14 219:14	204:21 212:11
exercised 325:24	110:17 115:13	223:20 278:15	261:24 270:18	213:7 214:7 234:5
	110.17 110.10		271:23 272:21	2101, 2111, 20110
	I	l	l	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

				1 490 001
260:24 280:16	Federico 306:2	financials 97:5	first-year 274:17	116:13 127:22
285:2,2 318:4	fee 126:7 182:21	114:12,16	275:19	133:20 139:8
338:15	198:14,16 357:12	find 129:10 165:21	firsthand 111:25	194:5 203:22
familiarity 234:10	feed 134:4 170:17	206:19,24 213:4	211:1	242:11 264:1
277:13,15 309:10	194:22 267:3	264:16 328:17	Fish 197:25	269:18 312:22
familiarize 273:10	feedback 197:22	353:15	fishing 313:3	322:12,15
family 185:7	feel 104:17 122:4	finders 261:23	fit 194:11	follows 109:12
far 101:7 106:5	164:7 221:13	finding 99:22 100:8	fit-for-duty 339:20	134:16 171:3
163:10 200:24	265:2 287:8 297:1	fine 273:8	343:10,13	195:3 267:12
	326:22 348:24		five 99:8 110:16	
225:2,2,3 228:4 259:21 262:24		fingertips 101:21 188:20	113:7 165:22	290:4 304:24 315:16
	360:20,21			
314:17 327:8	fees 99:7,9 126:8,12	finish 256:14	176:16 184:4,7	footnote 135:15
344:24 354:10	131:6,15 198:11	345:16 363:12	186:8 211:19	footnotes 133:3
356:14,16	232:24 257:8	finished 202:21	343:21 349:10,17	318:1
Farm 100:6	feet 239:11 241:12	345:20 348:12	349:23 352:9	footprint 120:20
Farmers 98:12	270:4 276:20	353:12	355:3	forecast 155:16
farther 310:22	fellow 146:12,18	fire 213:5,10,11,12	five-year 357:7	159:18 160:7
fashion 163:15	female 190:21	213:14,24 313:16	fixing 206:22	foregoing 366:8
fast 325:11	fewer 310:2,10	firm 118:14,16	fixtures 240:10	foreign 110:5
father 202:23	fifth 92:19 110:4	firmly 188:22 189:1	flag 109:25 110:5	122:21 138:8
fatigue 110:23	286:6	first 97:3,13 103:18	116:5 138:8 181:2	196:11 201:16,17
184:11,15,18	figure 349:17	105:2 107:15	196:11 201:17,20	201:25 202:9
185:1 313:4	file 102:21 103:4,8	108:22 109:2,13	201:25 202:9	216:18 217:1
317:12 322:20	filed 102:22 104:7,9	111:2 116:3,3	216:18,24 217:1,1	218:6 280:7,19
337:4,6,14,22	104:13 110:18	117:21 122:10	217:1,3 218:6	284:11 294:15,21
341:22 344:23	113:6 181:13	126:10 130:1	294:15,21	296:8 301:21
349:24	281:3	131:9 132:2,16	flagged 122:21	302:12
fatigued 187:12	files 330:4	133:20 134:15	fleet 216:25 217:2	forget 343:22 353:1
188:4	filing 101:9 228:21	145:2 154:23	flip 332:24 334:15	forgot 193:19
fault 205:22	338:17	155:1 156:6,22,25	Florida 352:10	form 183:3
favoritism 281:16	filings 103:23 104:6	166:5 171:2	flows 345:7	forma 226:18
favors 99:25 118:25	filled 249:25	172:22,23 173:4,5	focus 114:24 147:2	formal 361:20
feature 260:11	final 177:10,17	175:11,13 176:7	232:6,7 323:13	362:1
features 238:7	224:17 316:23	186:12,13 195:2	337:21	formalize 328:13
251:24	336:15 352:19	202:11,14 259:10	focused 132:5	formas 227:6
February 129:4	358:16 361:8,8	260:2 262:15	314:25 323:5	formed 290:17
197:2,17 216:10	finalize 176:6	263:7 265:19	344:22	former 275:9
217:20	finally 97:16,16	267:11 273:21	fog 313:14	formerly 98:21
federal 96:18 99:4	100:23 118:13	286:24 290:3	folder 273:2	forms 105:7
119:19 166:14	318:3	298:20,20 304:23	follow 132:7,18,22	formula 122:2,2
169:20 175:11,13	finances 120:18	315:15 319:1	132:25 176:25	123:12
175:17,24 176:4,7	financial 203:24	324:21 325:8	177:13	formulas 121:22
181:3 287:19	206:5 208:13	330:14 345:17	follow-up 148:24	forward 123:23
294:8 295:1 296:8	209:7 218:12,17	346:25 363:7	312:14 361:4	131:22 262:19,20
federally 154:4	341:2	364:17 365:1	following 110:22	262:21 298:19,22
v - ·			6	
	Ι	l	Ι	I

				0
351:3 357:21,25	136:19 250:12,12	241:18 243:11,15	225:2,2,3 238:10	231:25 237:16
360:16	250:13 302:7	244:1,6 306:25	241:14,21 244:17	241:7,14 243:4
found 99:4 121:25	functions 209:24	348:16 356:22	259:14,18 273:16	244:3 247:16
188:18 307:19	fundamentally	generalized 293:7	276:5 278:17	248:11 250:7
foundation 280:9	121:14	generally 173:14	280:2 281:21	252:11 253:10,18
282:22 285:17	funded 109:21	179:18 211:16,18	283:20 284:9	256:10 257:3
292:14 302:15	funding 118:19	212:13 215:5	285:1,10,12 288:5	259:3 260:20
foundational	funds 109:14 131:1	243:9 249:16,16	299:3 301:6	261:21 262:6,10
123:15	further 117:24	325:25	313:11,12 314:13	262:23 264:14,16
four 101:24 110:21	157:8 162:19	generate 109:10	318:15 322:2	269:1,14 281:19
184:4,7 273:11	169:12 192:4,25	genuine 99:25	324:7 335:19	282:23,25 284:24
fourth 92:14	220:15 225:10	Georgia 261:2	336:22 340:10	285:5,25 292:22
109:24 275:23	228:23 231:15	getting 179:15	342:18 343:23	298:22 300:12
310:17	258:11 263:18	197:22 205:15,16	344:24 345:22	313:15 314:4,23
Fox 92:13	266:6 286:16	292:14 312:24	352:10 354:9	317:8 329:17
fraction 112:7	288:12 289:4	314:3,3 353:5	355:2 356:14	335:16 339:11,12
frame 97:25 345:14	302:21 307:18	give 106:8 107:7,13	358:3,25 359:20	347:9 349:19
356:23	311:8 315:3 342:3	165:11 176:14	361:5,20 362:1,16	351:3,3 352:10,12
framework 123:2,5	350:1 355:10	189:17,24 214:3	364:20	354:10,22 357:21
123:9 124:1	361:1 363:3 365:7	227:12 232:8	goal 337:4	360:6,16 362:5
frankly 177:1	futile 360:17	277:22 287:25	goals 337:16	363:19 364:1
free 184:8 185:6	future 100:11,12	344:16 356:22	Goebel 276:14,15	good 108:1,7 120:9
frequency 155:19	102:7 103:25	given 97:24 102:10	goes 116:25 159:8	122:23 136:4
frequent 303:4	104:20 110:14	103:13 106:1,19	181:6 214:16	142:24 144:9
frequently 95:11	126:23 131:16	118:5 209:14,20	247:19 248:6,18	171:21 190:25
303:19	159:14 160:15	232:24 253:24	248:22,23 279:3	196:8,9 220:23
Friday 363:20	352:15 358:9	334:19 337:21	285:20 295:4	232:20 237:24
friend 121:3		362:21	345:10	249:24 267:8,17
front 117:4 140:22	G	gives 357:19	going 97:14 102:1	285:6 289:17
141:12 168:13	G 99:15	giving 183:2 225:10	102:15,16 103:21	306:21,22 316:18
176:13 180:9	Gallanty 202:6	233:20	104:22 106:2	333:7 349:25
187:16 207:13	gallon 112:7	Glad 158:18	107:2,5 115:1	350:9 360:8,22,24
212:4 226:7,9	gallons 270:6	go 97:9 107:4 118:2	119:14,16 120:5	goods 215:17 235:2
246:20 251:11	gate 172:23 173:4,5	132:16,20 145:8	125:1 143:24,25	gotten 135:13
339:24	gates 172:20	145:14 146:5	144:14 146:24	314:6
frontline 177:5	gauge 270:9	148:12 154:22	161:8 164:17	govern 109:13
fuel 270:6	gauging 238:11	157:25 158:13	166:18 169:4,25	governing 322:18
full 236:25 237:2	GC 198:1	160:23 164:20,21	179:20 185:14,24	gradual 131:18
242:21 267:18	gee 252:2	165:24 166:23	186:8 187:19	gradualism 130:7
290:12 351:10	gender 189:8	168:5 170:21	188:2,16 191:8	130:17
fully 241:13 281:8	190:20,23	172:20,23 175:22	193:13,14 194:10	graduated 305:9
fully-funded	general 92:9 98:21	180:2 184:18	194:11,13 196:12	grant 104:15
109:23 358:21	103:11 107:22	190:14 196:17	204:19 214:20	302:16 347:9
359:13 360:11	110:16 111:16	199:16,16 203:5,9	218:14 222:2	granted 95:25
function 111:4	112:2 175:7 216:12 224:1	205:14,15 213:9,9	229:21,23 231:21	granting 96:23
	210:12 224:1			

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

				0
129:3	GRT 125:6 127:3	119:9,12,14 121:4	194:24 218:17	hazardous 204:24
graphic 276:22	127:10 128:7	134:18,21 135:3,6	267:9 290:1	hazards 214:24
GRC 124:11 127:9	239:24 244:15	135:10,13 143:3	304:20 315:13	he'll 193:21 355:2
127:17 128:22	249:4,12,18 258:8	147:17,20,23	325:2 355:21	head 187:3 293:21
129:25 287:6	265:5 269:5,19	151:11 162:22	366:13	heading 158:21
great 140:7 178:3	274:12,17 275:1	163:2,6 165:1	handful 121:1	hear 102:24,25
179:1 182:10	275:15,19 277:2,4	166:22 167:15	handier 253:15,17	105:11,17,20
207:23 298:22	277:20 278:7,9,16	168:25 169:7,12	handle 134:24	107:20 108:5
308:13 321:22	278:24 279:6,8,19	169:19 171:6,8,12	135:16 167:22	125:1 129:25
350:24 351:4,5,24	282:7,17 283:8	171:15 179:12	235:23,24,24,25	170:23 183:1
352:22 353:16,25	286:7 293:16	189:17 190:8	314:5	232:18,19 267:4
354:12,18 355:5	GT 125:3 239:19	192:8,11,25	handled 185:25	351:24 354:14
greater 189:3 239:2	240:7,12 245:3	193:18 194:2	254:1	heard 251:8 269:2
272:12,21 274:4	248:22 249:16	195:9,14,16,20,23	handles 121:18	269:7
313:23	258:8,10 265:2,8	196:1 203:13	254:13	hearing 91:9 103:8
Greek 220:1	269:6,19 271:1,8	214:1 216:6,8	handling 135:11	103:15 106:22
Greenland 186:3	271:11,12,16	220:15 229:1,4,24	242:16,16 254:8	107:12 123:24
grocery 242:1,8	272:22 273:12,15	230:2 231:15,24	254:19 255:7,16	130:25 176:9
gross 122:19 125:3	273:22 274:2,13	256:10 257:17	255:20,22 256:3	193:10 195:6
125:7,13 127:2,25	277:2,8 278:7,11	258:14,16 261:25	292:10 293:3,17	231:20 253:23
128:1,2 129:17	280:8,14,21 282:7	262:1 263:18	293:22	266:11 276:7
239:24 269:3,3	282:17 283:9	304:16 305:2,4	handy 101:19	289:12,14 353:1
275:15 288:9	284:18 286:10,14	306:7,11,14	196:15	363:6,11,16,18
ground 112:20,22	guarantee 314:16	311:11 315:2,19	Hang 158:17	365:17 366:9
299:25 311:6	Guard 116:7 199:8	315:21,25 316:3,6	350:13	heart 97:9 288:19
grounding 138:8	200:15 201:10	316:9 342:6,8,14	hanging 354:24	heavier 241:6,17
138:25 139:11	209:25 211:2,20	342:17 345:2,11	355:1	243:3,15 244:6
140:18	211:23 213:22	345:12 347:13,14	Hansen 98:16	248:6
groundings 142:21	215:5,23 220:12	348:9,18,21 349:2	happen 308:2,23	heavy 241:13,14
143:1,11,15	Guemes 146:4	350:1,14,17	352:14	243:19 244:4
grounds 114:24	guess 324:1 332:24	351:19 354:14	happened 181:19	247:5 333:15
129:22 293:16	guest 307:4	355:13,23 359:6,8	219:17 327:15,16	height 128:25
group 112:24	guide 221:9 243:5	362:14,18 363:3	356:24	184:14 239:6,8
114:13 189:2	Gulf 312:8	363:23 364:14	happening 116:10	251:19
192:16 307:14	gusts 313:13	365:9	happy 179:25	heights 156:13
311:12 362:23	guys 247:4	haglund@hk-la	184:18	159:1
groups 112:16	gyro 313:11	92:6	harbor 305:20	held 295:21
113:4 114:4,10,25		hail 313:13	319:7 320:10	Hello 316:19
191:5,14,22 192:2	$\frac{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H}}$	half 276:24 279:17	321:20 346:3,7	helms 313:4,5,6
346:17,23 352:11	Haglund 92:3,4	305:21 330:17	harbors 164:25	help 117:19,23
grow 116:9	93:3,11,14,17,19	343:17 349:11,16	hard 153:18 184:1	158:18 187:4,5,6
growing 110:1	93:21 94:6,9,11	353:20,24	184:19 283:14	213:3 278:15
115:25 308:2	101:13,20,24	halfway 203:18	301:5 331:1	337:11
312:13	102:10 105:3	hand 134:12	harder 244:3 314:5	helpful 189:25
growth 125:2	106:11,14 107:16	170:17 176:2	Harvard 117:16	190:5 198:6
	107:16,16 108:24			

				ÿ
300:20	honestly 257:23	322:12 330:21	297:1 302:16,22	IC-29 329:24
hereunto 366:12	Honor 101:8,13	348:16,25	303:25 304:6,10	IC-29X 329:23
heritage 136:21	102:3,10 105:12	House 235:2 306:1	304:19 305:1	IC-8T 96:6
hesitate 314:19	105:21 106:12	housed 218:8	306:16 314:19,23	ice 183:19 300:16
hey 329:16	107:21 108:24,25	housing 240:10,17	315:4,9,18 316:11	ICT 265:8
Hi 350:10	119:6 120:4,8	Howard 91:11 95:4	342:4 344:18,21	idea 257:16,21,25
high 117:9 165:5	124:7 132:12	101:11,18,22	345:9 347:3,9	285:6
166:1 238:1	134:22 135:14	102:1,15 103:1	348:13,20,22	identical 114:18
245:25 250:23	162:22 169:14,19	105:10,16,20,24	350:3,6 355:12,14	129:1
251:4,12 310:21	169:19 171:8	106:13,15 107:11	355:18 361:5	identified 189:12
higher 114:23	179:12 192:9	107:19,24 108:4	362:16 363:4,14	identify 129:11
126:12,17 131:6	193:4,5,18 216:6	108:10 119:7,12	364:5,11,16,24	140:8 325:17
191:16	220:20 223:5	119:24 120:7	365:3,7,14	IGT 125:2 127:1
highest 186:19	228:24 229:2,21	124:4 131:24	huge 346:11	128:1,4,5 244:14
308:19 311:1	231:24 232:15	132:14,21 133:13	hull 240:9,17	245:3
highlight 286:2	256:11 258:11	133:23,25 134:3,7	276:24 279:18	II 305:19
322:9	261:25 263:22	134:18 135:9,18	313:24	illustrate 117:19
highly 149:10,13	266:6,18,22	136:1 137:1	hulls 217:8 250:5	119:16 154:19
158:6	267:16 268:10	142:14,17 143:24	human 313:16	illustration 118:1
highly-skilled	274:7,10 275:4	162:20 164:17	314:13	illustrations 117:19
149:5	277:6,17 278:1	166:18 169:4,15	hundred 117:19	118:12 364:3
hindsight 228:1	279:3 281:1	169:25 170:13,21	hundreds 131:5	image 278:14,18
hire 286:12	284:16 285:19	170:23 171:5,17	291:21	282:2 337:12
historic 122:22	286:21 289:8,9	179:18 190:6	hurricane 244:3	imagine 275:1
335:11	290:10 291:9	192:6 193:1,8,12	hypothetical	imagining 363:19
historical 128:17	292:13,16 296:25	193:25 194:7,9,15	204:11 218:5,15	immediate 324:9
256:23 257:6	297:7 302:20	194:19 195:4,11	293:8	immediately 132:7
264:9,12	304:2,3,5 306:18	195:13 196:3		194:5 322:14
historically 333:15	315:2,7,8 342:6	210:12 214:9	<u> </u>	IMO 251:9,9
335:22	345:7 348:9 350:2	216:4 220:17	i.e 128:24 176:25	impact 127:13,18
history 257:2	350:5 355:11,17	223:7 228:25	IC-08 350:12	163:12 223:17
260:25 305:15	361:1,3 362:15	229:23 231:16,19	IC-08T 321:24	224:14 226:1,4
Hodderson 97:1	363:23 364:7,8,10	231:25 232:10,13	339:15 342:19	251:18 287:3
hold 128:3 131:2	364:21 365:11	256:13 258:13	IC-14 339:23	324:10,18 326:2,9
137:2 177:13	hope 357:25 358:1	261:21 263:19,24	341:16	impacted 320:25
235:18 294:11	358:8 360:15	266:9,13,24 267:8	IC-15 258:17	321:1
341:9	hopeful 118:10	267:14 268:7	270:22 292:1	impacting 224:25
holding 115:5,6	Hoppe 202:6	269:14 274:23	IC-17X 137:25	impasse 360:7
131:3	Horizon 265:12	276:2 277:11,15	IC-18X 101:21	impeccable 144:18
holds 125:21,24	horsepower 243:13	277:24 279:9	102:17 106:6	implemented
236:21 237:1,4,5	hot 363:11	281:5,18 282:23	108:14	225:16 343:6
home 185:7 187:18	hour 338:7,23	284:22 285:22	IC-25X 332:15,21	344:5
Homeland 306:4	339:10	286:19 289:5,12	IC-26X 318:8	implementing
homeowners 219:2	hours 165:22	289:16,23 290:7	336:23	321:14 343:15
honest 275:12	211:19 320:7,7	291:7 292:22	IC-27X 331:25	implied 98:17
			IC-28X 331:25	
		1	1	1

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

				3
importance 111:10	110:13 113:3,3	219:8	informed 98:15	intent 100:24
111:23 224:4	162:9 163:19	indemnity 202:2	223:12,13,22	361:15
231:10 329:1,11	196:10 341:8	204:7,17,18,20	informing 225:22	inter-port 346:3,7
331:6 338:1	including 96:15,25	219:3,5	informs 215:16	interchangeable
important 105:9	97:22 98:1,15	independent	infrequent 336:12	125:5
172:14 211:14	104:6 113:24	120:24	infringing 97:3	interconnected
221:25 238:19	122:11 131:11	INDEX-PROCE	ingredients 347:2	337:3
337:5,6,8 346:12	163:3,13 196:11	93:1	initial 120:14	interconnection
346:13	219:23 280:19	indicate 153:17	121:23 124:1	337:16
imposes 205:25	325:9 339:20	indicated 104:8	136:11 359:19	interest 104:17
impossible 112:19	inclusion 109:19	106:4,16 107:11	initiate 228:13	118:11 120:5
impression 159:20	income 113:5,15,16	108:17 133:14	358:19 359:12	215:4,9,14 282:11
360:12,14	113:21 114:10,19	135:19 220:17	Inlet 300:15	interested 253:23
impressions 100:4	incorporate 95:13	231:21 232:13	insignificant 110:8	357:5 360:10
improper 103:23	Incorporated	268:8 350:4 363:7	340:22,23	interesting 184:13
131:4 214:5	290:17	363:14	inspected 217:6	271:20 283:23
improve 191:19	incorrect 129:8	indicating 163:16	inspection 216:15	interfered 129:14
192:22 230:19	153:17	271:18,22	inspections 211:18	international
improvements	incorrectly 134:9	indicative 163:11	211:20	118:16 122:20
198:23 216:14	increase 115:19	indicator 313:11	inspects 116:7	124:25 125:2,2,4
improving 343:3	116:11 118:18	indifference 329:12	instance 183:23	125:9,13 126:8,25
in-board 276:22	127:11,11 129:18	indirect 225:25	284:10 287:4	127:1 128:11,12
inaccurate 222:21	159:25 198:9,11	226:2,4	331:13	130:14 131:13
331:19	198:17,21 322:4	individual 148:17	instances 187:3	204:4 239:20
inappropriate	323:4,19 325:6,20	154:7 296:4 326:4	253:15,16	240:2 244:15
198:10 254:19	326:11 343:19	individuals 113:24	instigated 257:22	245:17 246:3
255:6,12,14,19,21	352:4	114:8 149:3	258:4	248:25 269:5
256:7	increased 118:18	150:10 172:13	instructions 122:16	286:9,25 287:3
incident 139:12	118:22 126:8,9	173:10 174:11	328:7,11,13	288:24 301:15
308:18,24 312:1,3	130:5 155:18	175:10	insufficient 113:1	302:2 307:14
314:25	163:24 317:11	industry 111:17	333:19 336:1	311:12
incidents 121:16	322:24 323:14	115:16 179:4	insurance 98:12	interpreted 111:18
141:15 186:24	336:2 343:4,16	189:11 201:18,21	100:6 139:9	interrupt 314:20
307:20 308:1,14	increases 122:4	216:21 217:10	141:14 201:25	interrupting
309:3,21,23 310:2	131:17 163:18	268:17 354:4,5	202:8 218:18,22	179:13,16,24
310:10,13,16,19	increasing 110:3	362:23	219:3	interruptions
310:23 311:5,7,18	156:13 159:23	inform 108:11	insured 204:17,19	317:12
311:25	164:5 198:18	208:9	insurer 204:16	intersect 230:24
include 99:8 115:19	increasingly 158:6	informal 361:21	integrity 215:15	INTERTANKO
115:20 248:19	incredible 112:21	information 97:7	intended 127:15	112:14
351:5	118:1,2	98:10 113:5	132:19	intervals 110:15
included 98:23	incur 226:10,17	114:21 129:9	intense 158:6	intervention
104:5 161:19	incurred 226:18	182:18,21 209:15	intensity 155:19	124:11,16 287:6
182:15 334:22	229:7	288:5 329:12	159:25 163:19,24	introduce 134:19
includes 96:4 99:23	indemnification	334:23	164:4	171:6 195:15
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				5
267:15 290:8	97:24 109:12	165:6,7 181:7	195:11,13 196:3	113:16
305:2 315:19	110:22 111:1	185:16 186:23	210:12 214:9	justification 95:16
introducing 100:20	120:14 122:8,25	185.10 180.25	216:4 220:17	justified 256:23
181:16	120:14 122:8,25	196:21 202:11,15	223:7 228:25	264:10
introductory	132:6,10 135:21	322:16 329:17	229:23 231:16,19	justifies 103:7
268:15	193:16 202:1,2	338:10 343:1	231:25 232:10,13	0
invite 134:8	224:19 232:24	346:7	256:13 258:13	justifying 275:1
invoice 128:7	285:20 302:17		261:21 263:19,24	K
154:14	337:23 348:11,24	jobs 110:2 118:7 164:11 186:7	266:9,13,24 267:8	keep 98:10 296:10
invoiced 339:1	358:6	187:8 341:23	267:14 268:7	301:5 337:25
invoices 285:14	it,' 183:11	349:19	269:14 274:23	351:15,22 363:11
	italicized 159:9			Kelly 92:4 107:17
invoicing 126:14 275:1 284:23		Johnson 96:15	276:2 277:11,15	Ken 110:11 118:14
	ITC 125:3 131:12	join 107:6	277:24 279:9 280:22 281:5,18	key 110:14 225:5,6
involve 202:7	239:19 240:7,12	joined 107:12	,	238:14,17 343:3
229:20	245:3 248:22	294:2	282:23 284:22	346:1
involved 172:5	249:16 258:8,10	joining 102:23	285:22 286:19	kicks 219:8
174:3 243:14	265:2 269:6,19	106:24 107:2	289:5,12,16,23	kill 346:11
329:10	271:12,16 272:22	joins 95:2	290:7 291:7	kilometers 157:6
involvement	273:12,16,22	joint 357:10	292:22 297:1	157:12 161:5
223:24 362:21	274:2,13 277:2,8	Jordan 96:16 223:7	302:16,22 303:25	kind 137:6 184:14
involves 222:9	278:7,11 280:8,14	319:18	304:6,10,19 305:1	188:10 225:14
involving 137:11	280:21 282:7,17	JR 319:17	306:16 314:19,23	
138:7 152:25	283:9 284:18	JR-06 319:13	315:4,9,18 316:11	228:17 265:20
166:6 167:1 202:9	286:10,14	Judge 91:11 95:4	342:4 344:18,21	337:13
irrespective 240:1	item 125:12 126:22	101:11,18,22	345:9 347:3,9	kinds 206:11
issue 95:20,22	131:10 215:12	102:1,15 103:1	348:13,20,22	KITSAP 366:4
97:20 98:19 99:15	338:18	105:10,16,20,24	350:3,6 355:12,14	Kitselman 91:25
101:2,23 102:16	items 182:22 215:2	106:13,15 107:11	355:18 361:5	366:6,17
105:9 115:4 116:1	215:8 220:13	107:19,24 108:4	362:16 363:4,14	Klapperich 93:9
122:16,17 127:16	362:23	108:10 119:7,12	364:5,11,16,24	132:3,9,16,22
128:23 129:7,16	IV 91:9	119:24 120:7	365:3,7,14	134:4,15,23,25
129:19 132:6,24	Ivan 94:7 96:6	124:4 131:24	judgment 144:23	135:16 136:4,10
132:25 135:15	315:15 327:2	132:14,21 133:13	206:20,23 222:9	137:9,17 140:21
168:25 170:4	328:8	133:23,25 134:3,7	223:24	141:13 142:6,19
179:21 199:20		134:18 135:9,18	July 318:13	144:6 145:24
202:17 203:11	$\frac{\mathbf{J}}{\mathbf{J}}$	136:1 137:1	jump 143:24	146:11 147:1
209:21 228:4	Jacob 361:19,25	142:14,17 143:24	261:21 327:13	150:23 153:13
232:1,7 233:21	January 196:20	162:20 164:17	juncture 128:14	160:2 161:14,22
267:1 284:17	331:16 332:19	166:18 169:4,15	June 225:13	162:24 163:7
301:19 327:19	JJN-02 340:24	169:25 170:13,21	junior 187:4	167:3,16 170:2
343:24	JJN-6T 106:8	170:23 171:5,17	jurisdiction 174:17	194:5 231:22,23
issued 111:6 113:7	108:15	179:18 190:6	219:20	231:24 232:8,18
218:19 267:24	JJN-7 106:9,15	192:6 193:1,8,12	jurisdictional	233:3 238:6
285:14 345:19	108:15	193:25 194:3,7,9	95:11	251:15 254:16
issues 96:17,19	job 117:9 118:3	194:15,19 195:4	jurisdictions	256:19 258:12,18
	120:17 121:14			258:25 262:2
	I	I	1	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

		I	I	
264:1 266:10	352:25 353:11	lane 92:18 108:8	left 237:12 264:2	Levant 166:6
270:19 300:5,23	354:22 355:4	124:8 262:14	271:8 273:9	level 109:16 110:1
Klapperich's 132:4	360:23	language 129:24	316:20 326:3	114:10 115:3
knew 338:5	knowingly 187:11	207:21	legal 98:24 100:4	142:12 145:20
know 101:7 107:6	187:13 188:3	large 164:5 260:15	109:8,13 207:4,5	146:2,9,15,19,22
125:19 133:19	341:23	261:6 274:2	208:10 221:3	147:5 155:3
134:9,11 139:13	knowledge 112:1	279:21 295:17	275:25	168:11 176:4,23
139:13,14,22,24	135:4 144:23	296:14,15 300:16	legally 116:5 207:7	177:25 185:13
139:25 141:10	148:8 151:22	353:24	legislation 113:19	189:3 198:21
143:17 149:2,7,23	153:21 166:13	largely 109:6	319:4	216:23 242:5,20
150:9,13 151:12	171:13 174:2	118:22,22	legislature 225:13	244:23 247:20
151:17,19,23	182:19 185:21	larger 109:25 116:9	318:22	254:7,10 260:8
152:5,12 153:16	188:8 195:24	239:1,5,5 272:17	length 128:24	272:11 273:15
154:21 157:16	220:11 228:19	274:3 275:11	239:5,7,8 246:19	274:15,16 336:8
158:15 164:3,22	230:3 264:18	307:25 312:23	247:9 248:4	337:21 343:19
165:12 169:22	265:7 268:22	313:23 314:6,9	251:19 253:11	349:4
170:5 185:6 186:7	291:2 296:3,22	largest 112:3	282:7 286:2	level-up 272:12
186:8,10,12	306:12 316:7	236:15 259:23	lengthy 167:20	levels 117:9 172:25
189:21 191:2,23	356:18 366:10	260:25	let's 104:2 106:25	179:5 191:16
200:13,24 203:15	known 109:20	lastly 131:16	106:25 107:4,13	234:2 272:13
205:7,8,20 208:8	159:13 217:22	late 97:13 217:14	108:21 120:2	liabilities 204:12
213:2 214:16,19	347:1	law 91:11 96:25	131:25 139:3	205:5 209:8
214:21 222:20	knows 219:1	178:12 202:12,20	154:20 157:22,25	307:20
224:24 227:23		202:21 204:2,9	158:13 170:13,15	liability 112:6
234:9 235:8,12,12		294:13,25 322:21	182:1,23 188:12	131:2 203:20
235:13,22 236:3,7	L 200:14	325:4	194:19 198:16	205:25 206:4,11
236:17 238:1	LA 305:20	Lawrence 96:12	199:12 201:7,13	206:12,16,23
241:9,12 243:2,21	label 333:6	lawyer 186:13,14	204:21 205:10	207:4,12,14 208:1
245:15 246:5,9	labeled 286:7	189:23	208:22,24 211:10	208:2,7,15,18
249:12 250:5,11	339:16	laymen 241:24	238:3 243:24	209:16 218:1,4
250:12,13,25	labeling 333:5	lead 112:1	246:7,11,15	219:1
251:1,9,21 253:20	lack 106:2 117:7	leadership 338:5	249:14 280:3	liable 205:21 206:3
257:13,15,23	137:11 138:12	362:24	289:23 309:16	license 174:20
258:3 264:12,15	141:9 280:9	leading 118:15	319:13 321:23	175:14,17,21
264:22 266:1,4	282:22 285:17	166:16,21 167:13	333:23 336:22	176:2,7,11 181:3
280:15 281:10,12	292:14 302:15	Leahy 100:6	358:13,14	202:22 273:7,11
285:5 287:22	329:1,10 338:1	learn 146:7 363:24	letter 196:19 197:2	273:20 287:20
288:5 291:24	lacking 158:25	363:25	197:17,21,24	294:8,11,19,20
298:3,5 299:8	ladder 270:19	learned 186:22	198:3,5 200:21,24	295:5,6,8,21
303:12 308:24	laden 243:16	leave 96:23 102:21	200:24 216:10,13	305:10 341:9
317:6 327:2,15,16	laid 222:13	103:3 104:20	216:17 217:19,21	licensed 114:5
329:22 331:1,11	Lakes 350:24 351:4	187:22 189:13	217:23	138:21 146:13
334:22 335:4	351:5,24 352:22	238:3	letterhead 197:18	150:11 154:4
336:18 343:14	353:16,25 354:12	leaving 354:23	letters 196:16,18	167:20 168:7,16
350:21 351:13,15	354:18 355:5	led 163:25	199:22 200:7	316:1
	landing 115:2			
	1	1	1	1

				<u></u>
licensees 121:15	255:4 260:18	LLC 108:6 267:22	131:22 137:14	lot 147:2 163:14
licenses 96:18	264:8 272:24	Lloyd's 265:25	141:3 145:17	165:6 166:2 181:5
174:11 175:10	277:22 280:2	266:4	147:9 153:2 154:3	184:17 185:16,16
295:3	292:20 294:6	LLP 92:4,13	157:10 158:20	185:17 187:17,19
licensing 121:15,19	297:2 307:13,18	LOA 357:13	176:21 198:3	191:11 200:10
150:18 151:2	322:2 323:4	load 237:7 241:13	200:7,13,14,25	219:2 234:20
172:10	324:21 325:18	247:5	203:6,18 204:21	235:6,23,24,24,25
licensure 174:16	333:8,13,24 340:3	loaded 125:25	204:21 205:10	236:18,19 237:20
176:3 272:12,13	lines 104:10 106:18	126:1 146:4 237:4	214:12 225:21	237:20 242:2,2
274:16 275:23	108:17 179:10	242:4,9,11 246:9	230:17 259:2	243:3 261:20
light 111:8 133:3	223:10 264:14	247:4,25 248:2,5	273:20 286:6	298:4,5 343:6
222:10 223:24	275:2 276:10	261:14	288:7 297:3	358:5
224:2,5 225:4,20	302:18 341:4	loading 279:12	307:13,18 309:23	Lough 102:21
227:1 231:8	348:23 355:21	local 153:1	311:15 317:3	103:4,12 114:3,15
243:23 244:2	356:2 359:21	located 157:6,11	321:23 324:20	191:15,22 192:2
lighter 243:18	link 182:12,15	290:18	325:8 328:22	194:7 340:18
244:8 245:22	linked 345:4	location 114:8	330:14,17 333:8	Lough's 103:20
246:1 247:7,11,12	list 98:23 99:7	lodge 167:12	333:23 336:6,22	114:14 191:13
247:14,16,19	104:5 106:21	Loftfield 94:1	340:3 341:4 343:8	loved 183:11 187:1
248:4,11 260:14	149:4 173:11,18	232:4 275:3	352:11 354:9	low 121:1 167:8
260:19	174:5 198:22	289:19,24,25	358:4,18	low-traffic 117:11
likewise 105:18	199:20 213:19	290:3,11,13	looked 199:23	lower 247:2,17
121:23 192:21	309:24 310:22	291:12 292:3	200:22 220:3	341:17
336:11	312:17 340:7	293:1 294:14	336:14 347:4	lowered 248:12
limine 97:17	352:6,6	296:17 297:9	looking 102:9	lowest 114:13
limit 169:15 206:10	listed 265:25 271:4	301:9 303:3 304:7	172:16 190:19	153:5
206:12 319:7	339:19 340:16	Loftfield's 251:21	196:23 200:2	Lumber 235:2
limitation 206:4,13	listen 307:11	292:15 302:18	209:4,9 211:9	lunch 132:24
207:14,19,22	listing 340:20	log 326:1,8 339:5	212:23 213:10,12	135:22 170:3
208:2,4	lists 97:4 251:24	logged 328:19	213:13,14 220:12	194:12,20
limitations 207:7	litigated 112:5	335:1 339:6,6,8	221:1 225:17	
208:23 273:3	litigation 100:11,12		276:21 309:18	M
limited 97:25	100:13 102:7	logs 220:12 325:20	327:10 332:5	M 217:4
108:21 130:25	112:13 166:14,25	334:13,16,20,22	336:23,24 339:18	M-O-R-R-E-L-L
179:9 225:9 238:4	little 124:14 128:14	335:4	347:5 353:10	267:20
307:7 336:7	151:25 174:25	long 178:18 228:5	359:18	Madsen 265:13,13
line 96:5,5,6,7,9,11	197:22 237:21	241:12 270:4	looks 132:16	265:20,21
96:11 116:4 117:5	242:4,6,7 251:16	315:25 357:15	270:21	main 250:16,17,18
136:13,13 144:19	264:17 270:23	long-term 159:11	loophole 203:20	250:19,21 271:1
155:14 158:3	273:6 276:21	358:1	207:5 218:24,25	276:17 277:3
161:11 188:19,21	282:6 286:20	longer 257:6 341:8	loose 325:11	278:10
188:22 211:10,11	296:18 307:6,18	longstanding	loses 256:4	maintain 167:5
211:11,13,17	308:23 316:16,17	122:22	losing 263:12	301:5
221:6 227:25	343:21 352:24	longtime 117:15	loss 263:3,7	maintained 116:4
239:1 251:10	358:15	look 123:23 127:18	lost 351:6 355:9	maintaining
				122:22

175:22 181:21 224:12 226:1,5 279:4 284:7 293:6 337:2maritime 105:5 108:5,9 111:17 113:24 114:5,6 113:24 114:5,6200:10 206:3,7,12 206:13,18 210:25 229:10 234:9,24 236:5 238:25114:23,23 mediated 228:3,8 mediation 227:20 manage 337:10,14 337:14124:17 126:6,18 127:20 129:6,17 130:5manage 337:10,14 337:14116:16 145:14 177:6 179:3 189:7 201:3,5,9,10,17 202:8 256:20236:5 238:25 239:5,7 241:11 243:9,21 244:21 243:9,21 244:21 246:9 252:20,20 252:20,25 293:19114:23,23 mediated 228:3,8 mediation 227:20 361:12,18 362:2124:17 126:6,18 127:20 129:6,17 130:5management 185:1 216:16 229:18 322:20 337:7 341:22200:10 206:3,7,12 105:16 229:18114:23,23 268:17 305:6,8,22 294:19 300:5,19114:23,23 294:19 300:5,19124:17 126:6,18 127:20 129:6,17 130:5mediator 360:5 361:12,18 362:2metric 124:25 128:21 239:25128:21 239:25 281:14 288:17metrics 244:17 Metrics 244:17metrics 244:17 Michael 91:11 92:3metrics 244:17 Michael 91:11 92:3metrics 124:25 303:6,20 323:9Michelle 92:13					<u></u>
major 164:19 345:17 193:20 304:11,12 269:8,9 message 107:5 166:10 177:6 marine 198:24 364:22,25 365:3 mets 343:6,16 mets 323:11 326:2 224:6,9 305:24 McNeil'95:8 344:4,6,25 345:15 mets 323:11 majority 236:1 mariners 146:7,17 92:16 mechanics 315:1 121:22 125:6 79:21 mariner 114:7 70:16 mediate 228:3,8 121:22 125:6 715:22 181:21 marine 105:5 200:10 206:3,7,12 114:23,23 124:17 126:6,18 337:2 116:16 145:14 236:5 238:25 mediate 30:5 metric 124:25 manage 337:10,14 177:6 179:3 189:7 239:5,7 241:11 361:12,18 362:2 128:21 239:25 337:14 189:11 199:6 243:9,21 244:21 Medical 117:16 281:14 288:17 manage 337:10,14 177:6 179:3 189:7 239:5,20,20 meeting 172:5 Michelle 92:13 313:12 Marine's 25:6:20 252:20,25 293:19 meeting 547:12 Michelle 92:13 32:20 337:7 268:17 305:6,8,22 294:19 300:5,19 176:11 336:1 96:7 107:16 <th>maintenance 356:7</th> <th>166:7 281:3</th> <th>139:25 141:18</th> <th>239:23 240:12</th> <th>mess 133:3</th>	maintenance 356:7	166:7 281:3	139:25 141:18	239:23 240:12	mess 133:3
Identity 1 marine 198:24 364:22.25 365:3 measures 343:6.16 met 154:22 203:22 260:11 199:3 211:20 McNeil 95:17 344:4.6.25 345:15 mettrs 203:11 326:2 224:6.9 305:24 McNeil 95:17 345:25 mettrs 203:11 making 160:15 146:18 268:19 mean 152:2165:6 median 114:9.15 methodologis 224:12 226:1,5 108:5.9 111:7 206:13.18 210:25 mediation 227:20 130:5 337:2 116:16 145:14 236:5 238:25 mediation 360:5 metric 124:25 337:10 116:16 145:14 236:5 238:25 mediation 360:5 metric 124:25 manage 337:10,14 177:6 179:3 189:7 239:5.7 241:11 metric 124:25 metric 124:25 manage 337:10 189:11 199:6 243:9,21 244:21 Medical 117:16 281:14 288:17 maaging 262:3 master 173:6 324:1 325:10 meet 59:10,01 Michele 92:13 317:18,23 20:6 master 173:6 30:12,18 33:4:4 meets 19:10,92:1 133:13 317:18,23 20:6 master 173:6 30:23 meets 16:12,17 108:12 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
203:22 20:32:22 224:6.9 30:6:1 344:4.6.25 345:25 meters 239:11 326:2 224:6.9 305:24 McNeil'95'S:17 methodologies majority 236:1 mariners 114:7,17 melappe@f0xro measuring 244:18 121:22 12:25:6 279:21 mariners 114:7,17 92:16 median 114:9,15 methodology 175:22 181:21 maritime 105:5 20:01:02:06:3,71.2 114:23.23 127:20 12:22:12:5:6 279:42 284:79:36 113:24 114:5.6 229:10:23:49,24 mediatior 360:5 metric 124:25 337:2 116:16 145:14 236:5 238:25 mediatior 360:5 metric 124:25 337:14 189:11 199:6 243:9,21 244:21 Medical 117:16 281:14 228:17 341:22 20:37:7 268:17 305:6,8,22 294:19 300:5,19 meter 59:9,10,21 Michele 92:13 337:4,4,5 294:21 296:6,9 324:325:10 177:13 63:25 108:21 19:8 313:13 317:18,23 320:6 master 19:22 36:0:23 mets 180:23 1818 313:13	0	marine 198:24	,	,	8
326:2 224:6.9 305:24 McNeil's 95:17 345:25 methodologies majority 236:1 mariner 114:7 methodologies 12:22 12:5:6 making 160:15 146:18 268:19 mean 152:2 165:6 median 114:9,15 methodology 12:22 12:3:1 maritime 105:5 200:10 206:3,7,12 114:23,23 124:17 126:6,18 224:12 226:1.5 108:5.9 111:17 206:13,18 210:25 mediated 228:3.8 methodology 337:2 116:16 145:14 236:5 238:25 mediator 360:5 metric 124:25 337:14 189:11 199:6 243:9,21 244:21 Medical 117:16 281:14 288:17 manage 337:10,14 177:17 67:9:3 189:7 239:5,7 241:11 361:12,18 36:22 Medical 117:16 281:14 288:17 management 185:1 201:3,5,9,10,17 246:9 252:0,20 medicine 177:14,15 metrics 24:17 Michele 91:11 92:3 322:20 337:7 268:17 305:6,8,22 294:19 300:5,19 176:11 336:1 96:7 107:16 managing 262:3 master 173:6 324:1 325:10 351:22 313:13 317:18,23 320:6 master's 295:6 300:23	203:22 260:11	199:3 211:20	McNeil 95:8	344:4,6,25 345:15	meters 239:11
majority 236:1 mariners 114:7 melappe@foxro measuring 244:18 121:22 125:6 279:21 mariners 146:7,17 92:16 mechanics 315:1 244:16 making 160:15 146:18 268:17 200:10 206:3,7,12 114:23,23 124:17 126:6,18 224:12 226:1,5 108:5,9 111:17 206:13,18 210:25 mediated 228:3,8 127:20 129:6,17 37:2 116:16 145:14 236:5 238:25 mediated 228:3,8 127:20 129:6,17 37:14 189:11 199:6 243:9,21 24:21 Mediatel 177:16 281:14 288:17 management 185:1 201:3,5,9,10,17 246:9 252:20,20 mediatior 360:5 metric 124:25 management 185:1 201:3,5,9,10,17 246:9 252:20,20 medicine 117:16,15 metrics 244:17 322:20 337:7 268:17 305:6,8,22 249:19 300:5,19 305:12 microclimates 337:4,4,5 294:21 296:6,9 327:43 331:3 354:4 metrig 347:25 Microel 179:14,17 341:22 master's 29:6 332:13 354:4 meating 260:71 metrig 49:721 mide 179:14,17 341:12 master's 29:6 332:13 23:4	326:2	224:6,9 305:24	McNeil's 95:17	345:25	methodologies
279:21 mariners 146:7,17 92:16 ⁻ mean 152: 2 165:6 mechanics 315:1 244:16 making 160:15 146:18 268:19 mean 152: 2 165:6 median 114:91.53 20:10 206:37,12 114:32.32 methodology 279:24 284:7 293:6 113:24 114:5,6 229:10 234:9,24 mediation 230:5 mediation 230:5 mediation 230:5 mediation 230:5 mediation 230:5 mediation 230:5 metric 124:25 128:21 239:25 337:12 116:16 145:14 236:5 238:25 metric 124:25 metric 124:25 128:21 239:25 128:21 239:25 337:14 189:11 199:6 243:9,21 244:21 Medicien 117:16 metric 124:417 Michael 91:11 92:3 322:20 337:7 268:17 305:6,8,22 294:19 300:5,19 177:1 363:25 Michael 91:11 92:3 337:4,4,5 294:21 296:6,9 327:8 331:3 354:4 meetis 180:23 181:8 middle 199:14 317:12 298:5 305:17,18 354:5 356:15,16 351:22 313:13 middle 199:14,17 320:12 match 190:24 measingles 203:25 204:3 207:9,15 33:13 31:14 317:18,23 320:6 match 190:22 <td>majority 236:1</td> <td>mariner 114:7</td> <td>mdelappe@foxro</td> <td>measuring 244:18</td> <td>0</td>	majority 236:1	mariner 114:7	mdelappe@foxro	measuring 244:18	0
175:22 181:21 maritime 105:5 200:10 206:3,7,12 114:23,23 124:17 126:6,18 224:12 226:1,5 108:5,9 111:17 206:13,18 210:25 mediated 228:3,8 127:20 130:5 37:2 116:16 145:14 236:5 238:25 mediator 27:20 mediator 26:20 25:20,20 mediator 27:20 mediator 27:20 mediator 26:20 25:20,20 mediator 26:20 25:20,20 mediator 26:20 28:14 288:17 mediator 26:20 28:14 288:17 mediator 27:20 metrics 24:4:17 Michael 91:11 92:3 96:71 07:16 35:21 metrics 24:4:17 Michael 91:11 92:3 96:71 07:16 35:12 maritics 25:10 matrices 190:22 29:18 33:13 35:4 meetrigs 24:17 Michael 91:14:19:1 98:12 24:22 26:12 23:22:23:25 middle 179:14,17 24:22:23:22:23:25 middle 179:14,17 24:22:25 13:3:3 108:22 24:12 258:21	v	mariners 146:7,17		8	244:16
224:12 226:1,5 108:5,9 111:17 206:13,18 210:25 mediated 228:3,8 127:20 129:6,17 37:2 113:24 114:5,6 229:10 234:9,24 mediator 360:5 metric 124:25 manage 337:10,14 117:6 179:3 189:7 239:5,7 241:11 361:12,18 362:2 128:21 239:25 337:14 189:11 199:6 243:9,21 244:21 Medical 117:16 281:14 288:17 management 185:1 201:3,5,9,10,17 246:9 252:0,20 mediator 360:5 metrics 244:17 322:20 337:7 268:17 305:6,8,22 294:19 300:5,19 176:11 336:1 96:7 107:16 341:2 Martime's 256:21 303:6,20 323:9 meeting 172:25 Michele 92:13 anaaging 262:3 master 173:6 324:1 325:10 177:1 363:25 108:2 119:8 317:18,23 320:6 master's 295:6 360:23 meeting 8347:25 313:13 317:18,23 320:6 master's 295:6 360:23 meating 260:17 middle 179:14,17 243:17 263:10 match's 190:22 206:11 212:20 295:24 Middle 179:14,17 244:12 257:51 305:16 279:7 298:24 203:21 208:20	making 160:15	146:18 268:19	mean 152:2 165:6	median 114:9,15	methodology
279:4 284:7 293:6 113:24 114:5,6 229:10 234:9,24 mediator 300:5 metric 124:25 337:2 116:16 145:14 236:5 238:25 361:12,18 362:2 128:21 239:25 337:14 189:11 199:6 243:9,21 244:21 Medical 117:16 281:14 288:17 management 185:1 201:3,5,9,10,17 246:9 252:20,20 medizior 300:5,19 Michel 91:11 92:3 322:20 337:7 268:17 305:6,8,22 294:19 300:5,19 176:11 336:1 96:7 107:16 341:22 Martime's 256:21 303:6,20 323:9 meeting 172:25 Michele 92:13 managing 262:3 master 173:6 324:1 325:10 177:1 363:25 108:2 119:8 317:18,23 320:6 master's 295:6 360:23 meeting 37:22 313:13 317:18,23 320:6 master's 295:6 360:23 meating 260:17 mediator 307:9,15 233:22 234:5 299:19 333:21 335:4 meaningless 203:25 204:3 207:9,15 233:22 234:5 233:22 234:5 299:19 333:21 335:4 meaningless 203:25 204:3 207:9,15 233:22 234:5 299:19 333:21 335:4 meat	175:22 181:21	maritime 105:5	200:10 206:3,7,12	114:23,23	124:17 126:6,18
337:2 116:16 145:14 236:5 238:25 mediator 360:5 metric 124:25 337:14 189:11 199:6 239:5,7 241:11 361:12,18 362:2 128:12 239:25 337:14 189:11 199:6 243:9,21 244:21 Medical 117:16. 281:14 288:17 216:16 229:18 200:3,5,9,10,17 246:9 252:20,20 meet 95:9,10,21 Michael 91:11 92:3 337:2 268:17 305:6,8,22 294:19 300:5,19 176:11 336:1 96:7 107:16 341:22 Martime's 256:21 303:62.0 323:9 meeting 172:25 Michael 91:11 92:3 337:4,4,5 294:21 296:6,9 327:8 331:3 354:4 meeting 347:25 microclimates 317:18,23 320:6 master's 295:6 303:13 171:21 177:11,21 Middle 179:14,17 320:12 match 190:24 meaningless 203:25 204:3 207:9,15 235:22 234:5 33:21 335:4 meate's 305:10 310:9 346:4 351:7 239:22 234:5 301:25 314:7 mate's 305:10 310:9 346:4 351:7 299:16,21,21 301:25 314:7 mate's 305:10 310:9 346:4 351:7 299:16,21,21	224:12 226:1,5	108:5,9 111:17	206:13,18 210:25	mediated 228:3,8	127:20 129:6,17
manage 337:10,14 177:6 179:3 189:7 239:5,7 241:11 361:12,18 362:2 128:21 239:25 337:14 189:11 199:6 243:9,21 244:21 Medical 117:16 281:14 288:17 management 185:1 201:3,5,9,10,17 246:9 252:20,20 medicine 117:14,15 metrics 244:17 332:20 337:7 268:17 305:6,8,22 294:19 300:5,19 176:11 336:1 96:7 107:16 341:22 Maritime's 256:21 303:6,20 323:9 meeting 172:25 Michele 92:13 managing 262:3 master 173:6 324:1 325:10 177:1 363:25 108:2 119:8 337:4,4,5 294:21 296:6,9 327:8 331: 3 354:4 meetings 347:25 micoclimates mandatory 317:10 298:5 305:17,18 354:5 356:15,16 351:22 313:13 317:18,23 320:6 master's 295:6 360:23 meets 180:23 181:8 middle 179:14,17 320:12 masters 190:22 meaning 260:17 methers 180:23 181:8 middle 179:14,17 323:11 238:14 match 190:24 meaning 260:17 methers 180:23 181:8 middle 179:14,17 243:12 23:10 match 190:22 206:11 21:22.0	279:4 284:7 293:6	113:24 114:5,6	229:10 234:9,24	mediation 227:20	130:5
337:14 189:11 199:6 243:9,21 244:21 Medical 117:16 281:14 288:17 management 185:1 201:3,5,9,10,17 246:9 252:20,25 meet 171:14,15 metrics 244:17 216:16 229:18 202:8 256:20 252:20,25 293:19 meet 95:9,10,21 Michael 91:11 92:3 322:20 337:7 268:17 305:6,8,22 294:19 300:5,19 176:11 336:1 96:7 107:16 341:22 Maritime's 256:21 303:6,20 323:9 meeting 172:25 Michelle 92:13 managing 262:3 master 173:6 324:1 325:10 177:1 363:25 108:2 119:8 andatory 317:10 298:5 305:17,18 354:5 356:15,16 351:22 313:13 317:18,23 320:6 master 190:22 meaning 260:17 meets 180:23 181:8 middle 179:14,17 243:17 263:10 match 190:24 meaningless 203:25 204:3 207:9,15 233:22 234:5 2524:8,20 255:8,15 305:16 279:7 298:24 203:21 208:20 mile 262:17 299:14 254:11 238:14,16 mate' 305:10 310:9 34:64 351:7 299:16,21,21 301:25 314:7 mate' 305:10 310:9 34:64 351:7 299:16,21,21 301:25 314:7 mate' 305:10	337:2	116:16 145:14	236:5 238:25	mediator 360:5	metric 124:25
management 185:1 201:3,5,9,10,17 246:9 252:20,20 medicine 117:14,15 metrics 244:17 216:16 229:18 202:8 256:20 252:20,25 293:19 meet 95:9,10,21 Michael91:11 92:3 322:20 337:7 268:17 305:68,22 294:19 300:5,19 176:11 336:1 96:7 107:16 341:22 Maritime's 256:21 303:6,20 323:9 meeting 172:25 Michel99:11 92:3 337:4,4,5 294:21 296:6,9 327:8 331:3 354:4 meetings 347:25 microclimates 317:18,23 320:6 master's 295:6 360:23 meets 180:23 181:8 middle 179:14,17 321:12 master's 190:22 meaning 260:17 meber 154:10,11 244:2 258:21 maneuver 186:1 287:19 303:13 171:21 177:11,21 Midnight 124:19 233:12 335:4 means 106:5 211:2 218:9,10 269:25 282:6,17 maneuverability matche 190:22 206:11 21:20 295:24 Mike 169:6 361:19 234:11 238:14,16 mate's 305:10 310:9 346:4 351:7 299:16,21,21 231:2 23:25 305:16 279:7 298:24 203:21 208:20 mile 265:17	manage 337:10,14	177:6 179:3 189:7	239:5,7 241:11	361:12,18 362:2	128:21 239:25
216:16 229:18 322:20 337:7202:8 256:20 268:17 305:6,8,22252:20,25 293:19 294:19 300:5,19meet 95:9,10,21 	337:14	189:11 199:6	243:9,21 244:21	Medical 117:16	281:14 288:17
322:20 337:7 268:17 305:6,8,22 294:19 300:5,19 176:11 336:1 96:7 107:16 341:22 Maritime's 256:21 303:6,20 323:9 meeting 172:25 Michelle 92:13 337:4,4,5 294:21 296:6,9 327:8 331:3 354:4 meetings 347:25 108:2 119:8 anadatory 317:10 298:5 305:17,18 354:5 356:15,16 351:22 313:13 317:18,23 320:6 master 173:6 360:23 meeting 172:25 113:13 maneuver 186:1 287:19 303:13 171:21 177:11,21 Michight 124:19 243:17 263:10 match 190:24 meaningless 203:25 204:3 207:9,15 233:22 234:5 299:19 333:21 335:4 means 106:5 211:2 218:9,10 269:25 28:6,17 maneuverability match 190:24 206:11 21:2:0 295:24 Mike 169:6 361:19 234:11 238:14,16 mate's 305:10 310:9 346:4 351:7 299:16,21,21 301:25 314:7 materials 97:21 meent 119:21 membership 97:4 300:3 301:25 314:7 materials 35:8 324:11 memosp8:3 99:14 milicated 95:21 252:1 253:25 math 335:8 324:11 memosp8:3 99:14 <td>management 185:1</td> <td>201:3,5,9,10,17</td> <td>246:9 252:20,20</td> <td>medicine 117:14,15</td> <td>metrics 244:17</td>	management 185:1	201:3,5,9,10,17	246:9 252:20,20	medicine 117:14,15	metrics 244:17
341:22 Maritime's 256:21 303:6,20 323:9 meeting 172:25 Michelle 92:13 337:4,4,5 294:21 296:6,9 327:8 331:3 354:4 meeting 172:25 108:2 119:8 anadatory 317:10 298:5 305:17,18 354:5 356:15,16 351:22 313:13 320:12 master's 295:6 360:23 meeting 172:25 middle 179:14,17 320:12 master's 190:22 meaning 260:17 member 154:10,11 244:2 258:21 maneuver 186:1 287:19 303:13 171:21 177:11,21 Midnight 124:19 243:17 263:10 match 190:24 meaningless 203:25 204:3 207:9,15 233:22 234:5 299:19 333:21 335:4 meas 106:5 211:2 218:9,10 269:25 282:6,17 maneuverability mate's 305:10 310:9 346:4 351:7 299:16,21,21 301:25 314:7 materials 97:21 meant 119:21 membership 97:4 300:3 maneuvered 241:18 243:11,15 measurable 109:21 99:20 100:8,13,18 million 112:7,8 maneuvered 241:18 243:11,15 measured 128:21 101:6 102:12 115:11 276:19		202:8 256:20	252:20,25 293:19	· · ·	Michael 91:11 92:3
managing 262:3 337:4,4,5 master 173:6 294:21 296:6,9 324:1 325:10 327:8 331:3 354:4 354:5 356:15,16 177:1 363:25 meetings 347:25 351:22 108:2 119:8 microclimates 317:18,23 320:6 320:12 master's 295:6 maneuver 186:1 287:19 303:13 mett 173:1 317:14,17 320:12 master's 295:6 maneuver 186:1 287:19 303:13 171:21 177:11,21 Midnight 124:19 243:17 263:10 match 190:24 meaningless 203:25 204:3 207:9,15 233:22 234:5 299:19 333:21 335:4 means 106:5 211:2 218:9,10 269:25 282:6,17 maneuverability matchs 190:22 206:11 21:2:0 295:24 Mike 169:6 361:19 234:11 238:14,16 mate 305:12,13,14 224:3 235:23 members 144:22 361:25 301:25 314:7 materials 97:21 meat 119:21 membership 97:4 300:3 301:25 314:7 matter 97:9 209:18 324:11 mesurable 109:21 99:20 100:8,13,18 million 112:7,8 252:1 253:25 math 335:8 324:11 101:16 102:12 115:11 276:19 308:20,23,23 maneuverable 98:1,4 164:5 244:21	322:20 337:7	268:17 305:6,8,22	294:19 300:5,19	176:11 336:1	96:7 107:16
337:4,4,5 294:21 296:6,9 327:8 331:3 354:4 meetings 347:25 microclimates 317:18,23 320:6 master's 295:6 360:23 meeting 180:23 181:8 middle 179:14,17 320:12 masters 190:22 meaning 260:17 member 154:10,11 244:2 258:21 320:12 matter's 295:6 303:13 171:21 177:11,21 Midnight 124:19 243:17 263:10 matches 190:22 meaningless 203:25 204:3 207:9,15 233:22 234:5 299:19 333:21 335:4 means 106:5 211:2 218:9,10 269:25 282:6,17 maneuverability matches 190:22 206:11 212:20 295:24 Mike 169:6 361:19 234:11 238:14,16 mate's 305:16 279:7 298:24 203:21 208:20 mile 262:17 299:14 255:23 300:7 mate's 305:10 310:9 346:4 351:7 299:16,21,21 301:25 314:7 matter's 79:9 209:18 measurable 109:21 membership 97:4 300:3 maneuverable 98:1,4 184:20 323:14 177:25 208:16 million 112:7,8 185:25 244:16 294:13 164:5 244:21 memorandum 308:20	341:22	Maritime's 256:21	303:6,20 323:9	meeting 172:25	Michelle 92:13
mandatory 317:10298:5 305:17,18354:5 356:15,16351:22313:13317:18,23 320:6master's 295:6360:23meets 180:23 181:8middle 179:14,17320:12masters 190:22303:13171:21 177:11,21Midnight 124:19243:17 263:10match 190:24meaningless 203:25204:3 207:9,15233:22 234:5299:19333:21 335:4means 106:5211:2 218:9,10269:25 282:6,17maneuverabilitymatchs 190:22206:11 212:20295:24Mike 169:6 361:19234:11 238:14,16mate's 305:10310:9 346:4351:7299:16,21,21301:25 314:7materials 97:21meant 119:21members 144:22361:25301:25 314:7materials 97:21meant 119:21membership 97:4300:3maneuverable98:1,4184:20 323:14177:25 208:16milliated 95:21254:12 262:20,24matter 97:9 209:18measurable 109:2199:20 100:8,13,18milliated 95:21185:25244:1,6 294:13164:5 244:21memorandum308:20,23,23maneuvered241:18 243:11,15measured 128:21101:15,25millions 166:10186:6matters 175:8239:8,10,10memorized 157:15mind 280:3 351:16manusl 305:23maximue 236:22measurement160:25 193:19351:22manuals 305:24matimue 237:7245:4 246:2160:25 193:19351:22163:3,16,22MB 106:8248:18 249:13mentioned 355:3237:5March 97:14,19McCarthy 106:16265:3 288:23,25me	managing 262:3	master 173:6	324:1 325:10	177:1 363:25	108:2 119:8
317:18,23 320:6 320:12master's 295:6 masters 190:22360:23 meaning 260:17meets 180:23 181:8 member 154:10,11middle 179:14,17 244:2 258:2120:12 maneuver 186:1 243:17 263:10 299:19287:19303:13171:21 177:11,21Midnight 124:19 243:22 34:529:19 234:11 238:14,16 255:23 300:7match 190:24 mate's 305:12,13,14meaningless 203:25 206:11 212:20204:3 207:9,15 211:2 218:9,10233:22 234:5 269:25 282:6,17234:11 238:14,16 255:23 300:7match 305:12,13,14 305:16224:3 235:23 279:7 298:24members 144:22 203:21 208:20Mike 169:6 361:19 361:25301:25 314:7 301:25 314:7materials 97:21 mate's 305:10meant 119:21 310:9 346:4membership 97:4 300:3300:3 mile 262:17 299:14 300:3301:25 314:7 254:12 262:20,24 maneuverable 185:25matter 97:9 209:18 244:1,6 294:13measurable 109:21 	· ·	294:21 296:6,9	327:8 331:3 354:4	meetings 347:25	microclimates
320:12masters 190:22meaning 260:17member 154:10,11244:2 258:21maneuver 186:1287:19303:13171:21 177:11,21Midnight 124:19243:17 263:10match 190:24meaningless 203:25204:3 207:9,15233:22 234:5299:19333:21 335:4means 106:5211:2 218:9,10269:25 282:6,17maneuverabilitymate 305:12,13,14224:3 235:23members 144:22361:25254:8,20 255:8,15305:16279:7 298:24203:21 208:20mile 262:17 299:14255:23 300:7mate's 305:10310:9 346:4351:7299:16,21,21301:25 314:7materials 97:21meant 119:21members 144:22300:3maneuverable98:1,4184:20 323:14177:25 208:16mile 265:17252:1 253:25math 335:8324:11memo 98:3 99:14militated 95:21254:12 262:20,24matter 97:9 209:18measurable 109:2199:20 100:8,13,18million 112:7,8185:25297:12 299:17245:797:18 98:5 100:21312:4,5186:6matters 175:8239:8,10,10memorized 157:15millions 166:10186:6matters 175:8239:8,10,10memorized 157:15millions 166:10186:6matters 175:8248:24meature 100:3351:22186:6matters 175:8248:24meature 100:3351:22186:6matters 175:8248:24meature 100:3351:22186:6matters 175:8248:24meature 100:3351:22186:6matters 175:8 <th< td=""><td>-</td><td>298:5 305:17,18</td><td>354:5 356:15,16</td><td> ·</td><td></td></th<>	-	298:5 305:17,18	354:5 356:15,16	·	
maneuver 186:1287:19303:13171:21 177:11,21Midnight 124:19243:17 263:10match 190:24meaningless 203:25204:3 207:9,15233:22 234:5299:19333:21 335:4means 106:5211:2 218:9,10269:25 282:6,17maneuverabilitymatches 190:22206:11 21:2:0295:24Mike 169:6 361:19234:11 238:14,16mate 305:12,13,14224:3 235:23members 144:22361:25254:8,20 255:8,15305:16279:7 298:24203:21 208:20mile 262:17 299:14255:23 300:7mate's 305:10310:9 346:4351:7299:16,21,21301:25 314:7materials 97:21meant 119:21membership 97:4300:3maneuverable98:1,4184:20 323:14177:25 208:16miles 165:17254:12 262:20,24matter 97:9 209:18measurable 109:2199:20 100:8,13,18million 112:7,8254:12 262:20,24matter 97:9 209:18measurable 109:2199:20 100:8,13,18million 112:7,8255:5244:1,6 294:13164:5 244:21memorandum308:20,23,23307:1measure 116:15101:6 102:12115:11 276:19185:6matters 175:8239:8,10,10memorized 157:15mildions 166:10186:6matters 175:8239:8,10,10memorized 157:15mildful 104:21manuals 305:23maximuz 236:22measurement160:25 193:19minimal 236:22163:3,16,22MB 106:8248:18 249:13mention 156:23minimal 236:22163:3,16,22MB 106:8248:18 249:13mentio	·				
243:17 263:10 299:19match 190:24 333:21 335:4meaningless 203:25 means 106:5204:3 207:9,15 211:2 218:9,10233:22 234:5 269:25 282:6,17maneuverability 234:11 238:14,16 254:8,20 255:8,15 305:16matches 190:22 305:16206:11 212:20 224:3 235:23295:24Mike 169:6 361:19 361:25anneuverable 255:23 300:7mate's 305:10310:9 346:4 310:9 346:4351:7 351:7299:16,21,21301:25 314:7 301:25 314:7materials 97:21 mate's 305:10meant 119:21 310:9 346:4membership 97:4 300:3300:3maneuverable 256:598:1,4 98:1,4184:20 323:14 324:11177:25 208:16 99:20 100:8,13,18milles 165:17 millated 95:21maneuverad 256:5244:1,6 294:13 307:1164:5 244:21 245:7 307:1memoradum 308:20,23,23308:20,23,23 312:4,5maneuvering 256:5 186:6297:12 299:17 245:7245:7 245:797:18 98:5 100:21 97:18 98:5 100:21312:4,5manuel 168:5 186:6matters 175:8 max 286:3239:8,10,10 248:18 249:13memoradum 308:20,23,23351:22 312:4,5manuel 38305:23 manuals 305:23 manuals 305:23 manuals 305:24matimize 236:22 MB 106:8248:18 249:13 245:3 288:23,25mention 156:23 mention 156:23 minimal 236:22March 97:14,19McCarthy 106:16265:3 288:23,25mentioned 355:3 merchant 92:12337:5			0	,	
299:19333:21 335:4means 106:5211:2 218:9,10269:25 282:6,17maneuverabilitymatches 190:22206:11 212:20295:24Mike 169:6 361:19234:11 238:14,16mate 305:12,13,14224:3 235:23members 144:22361:25254:8,20 255:8,15305:16279:7 298:24203:21 208:20mile 262:17 299:14255:23 300:7mate's 305:10310:9 346:4351:7299:16,21,21301:25 314:7materials 97:21meant 119:21membership 97:4300:3maneuverable98:1,4184:20 323:14177:25 208:16miles 165:17252:1 253:25matter 97:9 209:18measurable 109:2199:20 100:8,13,18milliated 95:21254:12 262:20,24matter 97:9 209:18measurable 109:2199:20 100:8,13,18million 112:7,8185:25244:1,6 294:13164:5 244:21memorandum308:20,23,23185:25297:12 299:17245:797:18 98:5 100:21312:4,5186:6matters 175:8239:8,10,10memorized 157:15millions 166:10186:6matters 175:8239:8,10,10memorized 157:15mind 280:3 351:16186:6maximize 236:22measurementmention 156:23mindful 104:21map 159:21 161:15maximum 237:7245:4 246:2160:25 193:19minimal 236:22163:3,16,22MB 106:8248:18 249:13mentiond 355:3237:5March 97:14,19McCarthy 106:16265:3 288:23,25merchant 92:12minimally 231:5				,	6
maneuverability 234:11 238:14,16 255:8,15matches 190:22 mate 305:12,13,14 305:16206:11 212:20 224:3 235:23 279:7 298:24 310:9 346:4295:24 members 144:22 303:12 208:20 351:7Mike 169:6 361:19 361:25301:25 314:7 301:25 314:7 301:25 314:7 301:25 314:7 301:25 314:7mate's 305:10 mate's 305:10310:9 346:4 310:9 346:4351:7 membership 97:4299:16,21,21 300:3maneuverable 252:1 253:25 254:12 262:20,2498:1,4 matter 97:9 209:18 241:18 243:11,15mesurable 109:21 measurable 109:21 99:20 100:8,13,18milicated 95:21 militated 95:21 99:20 100:8,13,18maneuvered 185:25244:1,6 294:13 241:18 243:11,15164:5 244:21 245:7memorandum 97:18 98:5 100:21308:20,23,23 312:4,5maneuvering 256:5 186:6297:12 299:17 307:1245:7 248:1297:18 98:5 100:21 101:15,25312:4,5manner 188:7 manuals 305:23 manuals 305:23 manima 159:21 161:15maximize 236:22 measurement maximize 236:22measurement measurement 248:18 249:13 248:18 249:13mention 156:23 mentioned 355:3 237:5minimal 236:22 237:5March 97:14,19McCarthy 106:16265:3 288:23,25merchant 92:12minimally 231:5				,	
234:11 238:14,16 254:8,20 255:8,15mate 305:12,13,14 305:16224:3 235:23 279:7 298:24members 144:22 203:21 208:20361:25 mile 262:17 299:14 299:16,21,21301:25 314:7 301:25 314:7materials 97:21 98:1,4meant 119:21 184:20 323:14membership 97:4 177:25 208:16300:3 miles 165:17maneuverable 255:22,22,2498:1,4184:20 323:14 351:8177:25 208:16 99:20 100:8,13,18miles 165:17 militated 95:21 militated 95:21 militated 95:21maneuvered 185:25241:18 243:11,15 244:16 294:13measurable 109:21 164:5 244:2199:20 100:8,13,18 101:6 102:12million 112:7,8 115:11 276:19maneuvering 256:5 186:6297:12 299:17 307:1245:7 239:8,10,1097:18 98:5 100:21 memorized 157:15 manted 168:5307:1 measured 128:21 239:8,10,10memorized 157:15 millions 166:10 351:22manuals 305:23 map 159:21 161:15maximum 237:7 March 97:14,19245:4 246:2 MB 106:8160:25 193:19 248:18 249:13 265:3 288:23,25mentiond 355:3 237:5				,	,
254:8,20 255:8,15 255:23 300:7 301:25 314:7305:16 mate's 305:10 mate's 305:10279:7 298:24 310:9 346:4 meant 119:21 184:20 323:14203:21 208:20 351:7mile 262:17 299:14 299:16,21,21maneuverable 252:1 253:2598:1,4meant 119:21 184:20 323:14membership 97:4 177:25 208:16300:3maneuverable 254:12 262:20,2498:1,4324:11 measurable 109:21 185:25matter 97:9 209:18 244:1,6 294:13measurable 109:21 164:5 244:2199:20 100:8,13,18 99:20 100:8,13,18million 112:7,8 115:11 276:19maneuvering 256:5 186:6297:12 299:17 307:1245:7 239:8,10,10memorized 157:15 mention 156:23 160:25 193:19312:4,5 millions 166:10maneul 188:7 163:3,16,22maximum 237:7 March 97:14,19McCarthy 106:16248:18 249:13 245:3 288:23,25mentiond 355:3 merchant 92:12minimall 236:22 237:5					
255:23 300:7 301:25 314:7mate's 305:10 materials 97:21310:9 346:4 meant 119:21351:7 membership 97:4299:16,21,21 300:3maneuverable 252:1 253:2598:1,4184:20 323:14177:25 208:16miles 165:17252:1 253:25 254:12 262:20,24math 335:8324:11memo 98:3 99:14militated 95:21maneuvered 185:25241:18 243:11,15measurable 109:2199:20 100:8,13,18militated 95:21185:25244:1,6 294:13164:5 244:21memorandum308:20,23,23maneuvering 256:5297:12 299:17245:797:18 98:5 100:21312:4,5186:6matters 175:8239:8,10,10memorized 157:15millions 166:10manuals 305:23maximize 236:22measurementmention 156:23351:22map 159:21 161:15maximum 237:7245:4 246:2160:25 193:19minimal 236:22163:3,16,22MB 106:8265:3 288:23,25merchant 92:12237:5March 97:14,19McCarthy 106:16265:3 288:23,25merchant 92:12minimally 231:5	,				
301:25 314:7 maneuverable 252:1 253:25 254:12 262:20,24 maneuvered 185:25materials 97:21 98:1,4meant 119:21 184:20 323:14membership 97:4 177:25 208:16 memo 98:3 99:14300:3 miles 165:17 militated 95:21maneuvered 185:25241:18 243:11,15 244:1,6 294:13324:11 measurable 109:21 164:5 244:21memo 98:3 99:14 99:20 100:8,13,18 101:6 102:12militated 95:21 militated 95:21maneuvering 256:5 186:6297:12 299:17 307:1245:7 244:2197:18 98:5 100:21 101:15,25312:4,5 millions 166:10manner 188:7 manuals 305:23 map 159:21 161:15 163:3,16,22maximum 237:7 MB 106:8248:24 248:18 249:13 248:18 249:13mention 156:23 mention 255:3 237:5minimal 236:22 237:5March 97:14,19McCarthy 106:16265:3 288:23,25accord 255:3 merchant 92:12minimally 231:5	, , ,				
maneuverable98:1,4184:20 323:14177:25 208:16miles 165:17252:1 253:25math 335:8324:11memo 98:3 99:14militated 95:21254:12 262:20,24matter 97:9 209:18measurable 109:2199:20 100:8,13,18million 112:7,8maneuvered241:18 243:11,15measure 116:15101:6 102:12115:11 276:19185:25244:1,6 294:13164:5 244:21memorandum308:20,23,23maneuvering 256:5297:12 299:17245:797:18 98:5 100:21312:4,5186:6matters 175:8239:8,10,10memorized 157:15millions 166:10186:6maximize 236:22measurementmention 156:23mind 280:3 351:16manuals 305:23maximize 236:22measurementmention 156:23minimal 236:22163:3,16,22MB 106:8248:18 249:13mentioned 355:3237:5March 97:14,19McCarthy 106:16265:3 288:23,25merchant 92:12minimally 231:5					
252:1 253:25 254:12 262:20,24math 335:8 matter 97:9 209:18 241:18 243:11,15 185:25324:11 measurable 109:21 measure 116:15 164:5 244:21 245:7memo 98:3 99:14 99:20 100:8,13,18 101:6 102:12militated 95:21 million 112:7,8 115:11 276:19 308:20,23,23maneuvering 256:5 manned 168:5 186:6297:12 299:17 307:1164:5 244:21 245:7memorandum 97:18 98:5 100:21308:20,23,23 312:4,5manner 188:7 manuals 305:23 map 159:21 161:15matters 175:8 maximuz 237:7245:4 246:2 248:18 249:13 248:18 249:13mention 156:23 160:25 193:19militated 95:21 million 112:7,8 308:20,23,23March 97:14,19McCarthy 106:16265:3 288:23,25merchant 92:1237:5 minimally 231:5				-	
254:12 262:20,24 maneuvered 185:25matter 97:9 209:18 241:18 243:11,15 244:1,6 294:13measurable 109:21 measure 116:15 164:5 244:2199:20 100:8,13,18 101:6 102:12million 112:7,8 115:11 276:19 308:20,23,23maneuvering 256:5 manned 168:5297:12 299:17 307:1245:7 measured 128:2197:18 98:5 100:21 101:15,25312:4,5 millions 166:10186:6 manner 188:7 manuals 305:23 manuals 305:23matters 175:8 maximize 236:22299:8,10,10 248:24memorized 157:15 mention 156:23mind 280:3 351:16 351:22manuals 305:23 163:3,16,22maximuze 236:22 MB 106:8measurement 245:4 246:2mention 156:23 160:25 193:19minimal 236:22 237:5March 97:14,19McCarthy 106:16265:3 288:23,25merchant 92:12237:5		,			
maneuvered 185:25241:18 243:11,15 244:1,6 294:13measure 116:15 164:5 244:21101:6 102:12115:11 276:19 308:20,23,23maneuvering 256:5297:12 299:17245:7memorandum308:20,23,23maned 168:5307:1measured 128:21101:15,25millions 166:10186:6matters 175:8239:8,10,10memorized 157:15millions 166:10manuals 305:23maximize 236:22measurementmeasurementmap 159:21 161:15maximum 237:7245:4 246:2160:25 193:19minimal 236:22March 97:14,19McCarthy 106:16265:3 288:23,25merchant 92:12minimally 231:5					
185:25 maneuvering 256:5244:1,6 294:13 297:12 299:17164:5 244:21 245:7memorandum308:20,23,23 312:4,5maned 168:5 186:6307:1245:797:18 98:5 100:21312:4,5manner 188:7 manuals 305:23 map 159:21 161:15matters 175:8 maximum 237:7239:8,10,10 248:24memorized 157:15 measurement 245:4 246:2memorized 157:15 mention 156:23mind 280:3 351:16 351:22163:3,16,22MB 106:8 March 97:14,19245:3 288:23,25mention 156:23 248:18 249:13minimal 236:22 237:5	,				,
maneuvering 256:5297:12 299:17245:797:18 98:5 100:21312:4,5manned 168:5307:1measured 128:21101:15,25millions 166:10186:6matters 175:8239:8,10,10memorized 157:15mind 280:3 351:16manuals 305:23maximize 236:22measurement351:22map 159:21 161:15maximum 237:7245:4 246:2160:25 193:19minimal 236:22163:3,16,22MB 106:8248:18 249:13237:5mentioned 355:3237:5March 97:14,19McCarthy 106:16265:3 288:23,25merchant 92:12minimally 231:5		,			
manned 168:5307:1measured 128:21101:15,25millions 166:10186:6matters 175:8239:8,10,10memorized 157:15mind 280:3 351:16manner 188:7max 286:3248:24mental 100:3351:22manuals 305:23maximize 236:22measurementmention 156:23mindful 104:21map 159:21 161:15maximum 237:7245:4 246:2160:25 193:19minimal 236:22163:3,16,22MB 106:8248:18 249:13mentioned 355:3237:5March 97:14,19McCarthy 106:16265:3 288:23,25merchant 92:12minimally 231:5		,			
186:6 manner 188:7 manuals 305:23 163:3,16,22matters 175:8 max 286:3239:8,10,10 248:24memorized 157:15 mental 100:3mind 280:3 351:16 351:22manuals 305:23 map 159:21 161:15 163:3,16,22maximize 236:22 maximum 237:7measurement 245:4 246:2mention 156:23 160:25 193:19mindful 104:21 minimal 236:22MB 106:8 March 97:14,19McCarthy 106:16265:3 288:23,25merchant 92:12237:5	8				,
manner 188:7 manuals 305:23 map 159:21 161:15 163:3,16,22max 286:3 maximum 237:7248:24 measurement 245:4 246:2 248:18 249:13 265:3 288:23,25mental 100:3 mention 156:23 160:25 193:19 mentioned 355:3 merchant 92:12351:22 mindful 104:21 minimal 236:22 237:5				,	
manuals 305:23 map 159:21 161:15 163:3,16,22maximize 236:22 maximum 237:7measurement 245:4 246:2 248:18 249:13mention 156:23 160:25 193:19 mentioned 355:3mindful 104:21 minimal 236:22 237:5March 97:14,19McCarthy 106:16265:3 288:23,25mention 156:23 160:25 193:19mindful 104:21 minimal 236:22 237:5					
map 159:21 161:15 163:3,16,22maximum 237:7 MB 106:8245:4 246:2 248:18 249:13160:25 193:19 mentioned 355:3minimal 236:22 237:5March 97:14,19McCarthy 106:16265:3 288:23,25merchant 92:12minimally 231:5					
163:3,16,22 March 97:14,19MB 106:8 McCarthy 106:16248:18 249:13 265:3 288:23,25mentioned 355:3 merchant 92:12237:5 minimally 231:5					
March 97:14,19 McCarthy 106:16 265:3 288:23,25 merchant 92:12 minimally 231:5	-				
	, ,				
100.20 104.7,9,15 159:0,9,21,22,24 measurements 108:2 114:7 minimum 113:25	,	•			•
	100.20 104:7,9,15	139.0,9,21,22,24	measurements	100.2 114:7	mmmum 115:25
				<u> </u>	

				0
173:6 177:1 178:3	model 111:13	274:20 275:6	multiple 110:13	nature 118:5
178:6,16,25 180:6	120:17 168:5	276:6 278:3,18	115:25 174:15	120:16 168:2
180:12,23 181:9	186:6 223:12,13	279:14,23 280:5	179:5 214:3	218:25 229:14
272:22 319:6	223:22	280:11,22 281:23	251:17 319:7	240:18 243:6
360:5,8	modern 305:14	283:16 285:10,13	320:9 321:20	250:1 258:20
minimums 229:12	modest 122:3	286:4,25 287:24	338:21	263:2 269:20
minor 104:14	modified 322:11	289:12	multistep 172:18	363:19
minute 97:15 107:3	molded 249:17,18	Morrell's 274:24	172:19	navigable 285:3
244:20	moment 102:1	276:11 277:12	mute 223:6,8 297:2	navigate 299:12
minutes 108:22	106:8,25 107:4	motion 95:7,8,24	muted 170:20,22	313:1
192:8 321:7	185:22 195:5	95:25 96:22,23,24	210:13,13 297:4	navigating 182:4
341:12 345:6	212:5 232:8	97:17,23 101:5	mutual 100:6	300:20
348:10	269:24 273:10	102:20 103:3,5,6	181:19 204:4	navigation 224:6
Mischaracterizes	293:24 302:6	102:20 105:5,5,0	360:9 362:4	306:1
269:13	323:3 355:23	108:18 225:8	mutually 228:15	navigational
mishear 323:7	363:6	231:3	359:24 361:9,15	180:21 181:1
misnumbered	moments 102:19	motions 95:13	mutually-accepted	185:18 186:25
103:21	money 218:7	motor 166:6	360:5	216:23
misrepresented	monitoring 363:25	MOU 356:25 357:4	Myra 282:9,16	near 260:14 319:9
174:25	monopoly 120:18	MOU 350.25 357.4 MOUs 357:6	Wiyia 202.9,10	nearly 120:14
missing 326:23	123:20	mouth 157:7	Ν	123:15 183:10
327:17	month 330:4	move 116:6 163:14	N 92:1	184:10 185:9
mission 211:21,21	332:11,17,19	170:7 176:10	name 96:8 134:8	187:1 317:15
mission 211:21,21 missions 211:23	monthly 330:2	193:15,23 209:20	231:22 267:18,18	necessarily 157:14
215:6	334:24 335:5	226:8 238:4	267:20 268:13	179:19 183:20
Mississippi 310:21	months 165:8	262:15 271:6	290:12 351:16	206:22 250:6
311:2	183:8,10,14,16	280:4 299:25	names 265:17	338:5
misstated 334:25	184:3,4,8 345:19	304:17 335:21	narrow 169:2	necessary 100:25
mistake 128:17	moor 243:5,17	movement 262:8	narrowness 314:11	109:16 113:12
mistaken 143:17	moorage 253:2	335:23	Nash 92:8 107:22	119:3 127:2
MITAGS 168:13	Moore 112:19	movements 333:17	351:17	144:21 145:10
Mitch 141:25 142:2	113:11 336:11	336:10,13	nash.callaghan@	149:20 150:3,12
Mitchell 94:4	359:19	moves 161:11	92:11	210:6 296:12
304:15,17,23	mooring 244:2,3	168:9	nation 152:19,21	necessitated 217:6
305:8	252:11	moving 103:16	153:6,9	necessitates 117:8
mix 118:24	morale 354:3	121:2 151:24	nation-leading	227:4
MJT-1T 96:8	morning 108:1,7	227:18 262:9	111:24	necessity 262:14
MM-1T 359:20	120:9 136:4,5	300:1 333:21	national 142:12	need 97:6 100:2,14
Mm-hmm 182:7	171:21 193:22	345:6 352:7	145:13 201:22	101:17 104:20
209:5,10 212:3	232:11 365:5,15	357:25 358:12	227:5,8 230:25	133:7,11,12
230:5,7 271:3,5	Morrell 93:22	MSS-01T 306:24	nationally 109:15	147:23 165:24
273:5,14,17	194:6 232:3 267:2	MSS-01 1 300:24 MSS-03 308:8	112:18 115:2	223:8 226:19
275:21 282:4		MSS-03 508:8 MSS-20X 142:2,15	349:24 351:23	246:5 267:5
275:21 282:4 286:5	267:2,11,17,20 268:13 270:15,25	multi-process	natural 111:11	240:5 207:5 273:10 275:22
Mobius 337:13	,	179:2	136:21 224:4	
wi00ius 557:15	273:4 274:8,10,20	1/9.2	231:11 279:11	278:3 280:3 297:3
			201111 219.111	l

				0
329:12 337:9	nine 341:17	288:13 291:24	obligated 335:21	offshore 157:4,9
345:6 355:23	Ninth 112:11	303:11,13 311:5	obligation 219:18	oftentimes 184:9
361:20 362:1	non-rebuttal 95:25	311:17,19,22,25	287:13	oh 147:11 202:24
364:25	106:19	317:2 325:3	observation 174:12	249:11 255:3
needed 121:16	non-revenue	333:19 334:8	174:21,23	259:14 263:16
187:3	283:22	335:25 339:19	observations 164:3	307:3 340:10,11
needs 178:24	non-Ro-Ro 303:14	340:7,9,16,21	observe 95:15	oil 112:3,3,12,14
181:23 237:6	non-tank 198:9,11	341:5,14,17	97:12 104:13	117:2 138:5 139:1
297:2	273:12	343:10 347:5,16	observed 158:21	139:11 142:20
neglected 266:13	non-TOTE 303:12	348:8 349:12,15	159:14 162:15	143:4 152:16,18
negotiate 357:4,14	non-vessel 132:10	352:4 357:2,2	168:19 169:8	152:20,25 153:5
negotiated 329:14	nondiverse 192:17	numbering 203:10	362:22	177:6 198:10,12
331:9 338:6	nonissue 103:22	numbers 200:1	obtain 322:11	198:24 199:2
negotiations 356:5	nonzero 198:17	236:3,18 282:6	obtaining 295:5	203:23 204:23,23
neither 120:16	noon 193:12	325:12 330:24	obvious 301:18	205:25 201:25,25
157:17 269:11,18	Nope 147:24	335:5 348:1,2	obviously 103:17	218:2,6,13 224:9
Nestucca 112:3	norm 183:17	·	244:1	225:18 248:5
net 113:16,21	normal 288:9,11	0	occasion 185:14	okay 106:14 119:24
114:18 153:7	normally 133:13	oath 232:11 267:25	occasions 303:18	125:10 135:18
249:18 347:24	169:15	object 151:11	occur 95:22 117:6	136:1 137:24
349:13 351:14,15	north 124:19 157:8	168:22 179:13	132:6 194:10	138:3 140:15
351:25 352:2	197:5 233:22	228:17 229:22	219:11 358:8	141:4 143:20
354:12,18 355:6	234:5 270:1	256:10 280:20	occurred 112:4	144:10,17 153:2
never 131:8 152:17	northeasterly	302:14	138:6 166:7	157:3,24 161:25
177:12,22 186:24	163:15	objected 99:1	198:23 308:24	172:5,10,11
187:13 188:3	Northwest 158:5	objection 99:6	319:9 322:10	175:18 176:12,17
201:15 210:2,5,11	162:7 182:11	104:12 105:22	326:4,13 327:6,12	177:16,19 180:15
210:17 268:20	196:19 197:4,6	119:25 143:3	356:23	182:18 183:5
280:3 295:21,24	Notably 110:18	164:15 166:16	occurring 118:7	188:21,25 191:10
362:6	note 100:23 103:20	167:13 190:9	136:25	194:14 196:24
nevertheless 173:18	119:24 132:3	201:2 209:11	ocean 163:8 235:18	197:15 199:12,15
324:18	274:24	214:1,1 229:24	236:2 246:13	199:19 203:12
new 96:2,11 125:10	notebooks 342:10	257:17 269:12,15	oceangoing 216:25	205:13,15 209:2
126:10,16,17	noted 231:2 322:4	269:18 274:7	October 319:9,10	209:22 211:12
130:5 186:10	notes 216:13 348:1	275:24 277:5	odd 257:7	212:10,15,16
229:15 230:4	notice 100:23	279:2 280:9	off-duty 317:15	214:19 217:25
273:3 310:13	noticed 288:8	281:17 282:21	336:3	226:22 231:25
311:1 320:4,5,18	November 125:11	284:15 285:17	off-watch 110:3	232:12,18,20
321:3 357:4	number 101:19	292:13 302:16	117:8 347:25,25	233:3,8,18 234:10
newer 272:16	118:2,20 128:21	314:24 344:19	349:19	234:14 235:1,7,16
newly 168:7	128:22 150:21,25	347:3,10 348:7,19	offer 158:9 174:10	236:6 237:15
nice 360:23	165:23 189:17	354:14	175:10	238:3,21 239:13
night 118:7 320:13	227:12 245:16	objections 105:1,4	office 327:1	240:5,7,14,20,22
322:19	251:24 259:3	105:13,22 106:2	officer 187:4	241:1,6 242:17,23
nighttime 324:17	282:1 286:8	228:8	offices 202:20	244:10,13 245:9
		objectives 109:19		
		•	•	•

	_	_	_	-
245:14 246:14	omitted 328:25	99:22 100:17,18	253:2 304:10	168:23,23 174:17
247:16 248:10	329:5,7	142:19 144:15,20	313:6 316:23,24	178:20 229:22
249:11,24 250:13	on-time 335:23	145:17,18 146:1	316:25 333:22	274:8,19 275:24
251:14 252:18	336:1	146:21 147:4	335:15 336:15	277:5 279:2
253:18 255:5	on-watch 343:2,4,8	148:3 152:6,13,14	339:10 343:7	280:25 281:17
256:16,16 257:2	343:14 348:4	155:23 167:3,16	344:3,4 345:19	282:21 284:15
258:3 260:7,10	once 167:20 261:12	183:3 201:8,9	352:19,20,23	302:14 314:16
265:6,22 266:5	358:2	203:19 204:15	353:2,8 358:16	344:25
267:6,23 268:5	one-off 327:18	209:18 210:8	359:7,11,25 360:3	outstanding 122:9
269:1,22 270:22	ones 173:7 254:2	221:3 222:5	360:18 361:8,8,16	122:17,17
271:15 272:9,16	309:8 321:9	230:13 234:2	364:19,22	overall 226:22
276:10 278:14	331:12,12	258:7 260:16	ordered 122:10	255:17 265:3
279:23 281:14,21	ongoing 167:13	287:25 324:22	228:9 339:7	overarching 96:17
282:2,16 284:9	168:18 317:12	360:14	358:19 359:11,11	overlap 348:24
286:12 287:24	open 169:22 248:20	opinions 100:4,9	orders 113:6	overlook 134:10
288:15,15,23	248:25 249:8	152:18 155:10	114:16	overly 97:8
289:3,15 290:14	250:4,9 276:16	201:3,5	ordinary 261:11	oversteer 313:5
290:21 291:4	278:13,23,24	opportunity 124:3	Oregon 92:5 112:5	owe 155:2
292:1,8 293:6	279:6,7 347:5	131:21 225:10	112:16 163:9	owned 303:7,9,16
294:5,13,19	open-ended 261:22	320:7 341:11	219:23	owner 112:5
295:10,14,19	opening 93:3,4,5,6	opposed 274:13	organization	203:22 204:3,11
298:10,18 300:4	107:8,14 108:21	opposition 127:21	103:11 204:4	206:10,17 218:9
301:24 302:5	108:23 120:2,3,5	127:22	organizational 97:5	218:14 219:6,14
308:10 309:2	120:11 124:6,10	opted 357:3	organizations	220:8 280:18
310:13,19 312:6	openings 278:20,25	options 287:23	362:21	owners 120:23
317:1,8 319:19	279:11,17	oral 328:7,19	orientation 189:10	ownership 202:1
321:13 322:1	operable 213:11	Orca 249:24	oriented 259:16	-
324:4 328:4,15	operate 116:7	253:15 254:20	original 105:7	P
329:18 333:1,9	126:3 128:12	255:8 276:13,16	110:18 135:1	P 92:1,1 202:20
340:1,2,14,25	130:11 264:23	293:11,21	171:9 195:20	P-H-I-L-I-P 267:19
341:13,21 343:23	operated 264:19	order 100:19 111:6	204:25 306:7	P&I 203:20 204:4
345:11,22 347:13	operating 120:20	111:18 122:14	316:3	204:13,19 207:9
348:21 350:22	265:8	125:11 126:21	Originally 124:20	207:15 208:16,20
351:12,17 352:2	operational 238:7	129:3,18,23,23,23	Orleans 310:14	218:10,10 219:4,7
352:19 353:3,9,13	279:10	132:13 133:2	311:2	219:7,15,18
353:23 355:24	operations 142:23	175:16 180:19	OSPR 199:9	307:14 308:4,15
356:1 359:10	196:12 321:15	221:16,21,24,25	ought 274:12 283:6	309:2,12 311:12
362:3,11 363:1,3	operator 204:3	222:4,7,11,18,19	292:18 293:14,15	314:25
364:11,14	Operators 115:17	223:14,16,22,23	outcome 224:18	p.m 193:14 194:11
Okey-doke 201:14	196:22 197:18	224:6,7,8,13,19	outline 159:20,21	194:17,20 289:18
216:3	198:1 201:22	224:19,21,23	161:3,9,18 163:13	289:21,21 365:17
old 126:13 172:24	216:11	225:7,19,24 226:3	238:5 251:16	P.O 92:9
305:9,13,15,16,18	opined 207:4	226:7,7,9 228:10	outperforms	Pacific 92:12 108:2
305:20	opining 100:10	228:12,13,18	192:17	115:7 158:5 162:7
Olympia 92:10	opinion 95:17 98:7	231:1,1,6,12	outside 164:15	216:12 285:14
	-			packed 125:19
	1	1	1	1

				1 490 000
236:21 245:21,24	330:15,17 331:15	109:3 119:10	164:21,22	349:10,17,24
246:15,21	332:25 333:1,4,5	159:10 160:4,18	patterns 159:22	351:7 354:7 355:6
page 93:2,8 94:13	333:7,12,12,23,24	162:5 163:3 183:1	163:14,14	percentage 343:19
96:5,5,6,7,9,10,11	334:16 335:17	224:21 231:12	pause 188:23	344:10
102:17 106:5	336:5 337:12	241:4 281:2 298:7	pause 100.25 pay 109:16 112:18	perform 117:9
102:17 100:5	338:19 339:15,15	298:21 300:16	114:8,24 115:3	164:11 185:10
137:15,15,24	341:1,4 342:20,21	301:4 302:18	126:13 167:8	215:18 336:4
137:13,13,24	342:22 343:23	350:23 361:7	183:24 204:6	performance 136:7
140:4,16 142:3,15	345:3,22 346:18	participants 91:16	206:25 218:7	136:15,18 137:22
140.4,10 142.3,13	350:17,18,20		219:15 283:6,8,9	230:21
144.12,19 143.17 147:14 148:4	, ,	participate 124:3 131:22		
	353:6,7,8 355:21		286:13 311:4,7	performed 110:2
152:4 153:5	359:6,7,21	particular 101:5	pay-as-you-go	185:12 343:11
155:13 157:10,11	pages 91:10 104:10	104:16,18 166:20	109:22	349:13
158:1,3,14,18,20	106:18 108:17	173:9 183:23	paying 154:13	period 138:23
159:8 161:14,15	110:20 117:20	185:13,22 232:7	280:20 282:19	142:21 167:21
162:24,25 163:4	139:8 198:3	239:18 276:2	284:18 288:14	173:16 184:22
176:12,19 177:10	199:16 200:3	302:1,9 352:7	309:13	317:10,18 320:6
177:14,15 182:8	204:10 209:1	particularly 109:25	payment 219:14	320:12 321:21
183:6 188:15,16	213:2 214:3	300:15	payments 115:11	322:5 324:12,14
188:18,22 189:15	219:12 332:24	particulars 112:22	pays 154:7,23,24	357:4,7,9
190:14 196:23	334:6,15 336:24	parties 97:22	155:1 282:16,17	periods 317:23
197:1,7 198:22	337:1,2	103:17 104:4,4,19	PE-1 281:21	356:25
199:6 200:1,25	paid 110:4 114:13	104:22 107:13	PE-1T 278:17	permanent 305:17
203:9,13,16,17,18	131:8 139:10,23	129:7 133:14	PE-8T 276:5	permitted 169:23
205:14,16,18	140:8,9,17 183:14	181:19 193:17	Peña 306:2	272:17 273:11
209:1 211:9,12,17	204:16,17,20	225:15 228:4	pending 175:19	274:1 294:14,16
212:9,16 216:13	220:7,7 258:8,8	281:3 363:7,15,18	176:3	persistent 110:1
221:6,14,14	309:6,8 311:1	parties' 98:18	pension 109:20	115:24 312:13
223:10,21 227:22	pair 264:19	104:6 106:1	115:4,10,21	person 145:3,3
255:4 259:9 264:4	paper 163:23	partly 354:3	118:19 227:20	173:17 190:9
276:5,10 277:22	papers 129:5,9,13	parts 121:2 240:24	people 150:21	296:14 361:15
278:17 280:2,3	paragraph 176:21	party 98:9 100:2,5	182:25 183:2	personal 164:9
281:21 282:3	199:5 281:3 317:3	133:6 206:19,21	184:20 257:5	268:22 296:3
285:11 291:14	317:21 318:1	206:24 228:15	261:11 297:3	313:2 342:11
306:24 307:13	335:17 336:6	pass 173:10,15	percent 110:5	personally 138:15
308:9,9 309:7,16	353:10,11 359:4	174:11,21 180:16	113:4 114:5	192:3 251:7
309:17,18,21	paragraphs 336:14	180:22 181:1	115:14,20,20	persons 205:22
311:13 317:1,2,20	358:18	passage 240:5	116:8,25 117:10	persuasive 261:23
317:25 318:15,21	paralegal 203:2	passed 176:1	117:11 118:6	353:17
319:2,15,25	parallel 262:7	344:10	126:17 180:22,24	pertinent 124:13
321:25 322:7	paraphrase 145:7	passenger 292:6	190:21 280:15	petition 123:10
323:4,19,22	park 242:1,14,20	passing 178:16,25	303:20 324:6	127:6,21 128:8,18
324:21 325:9,15	parking 242:2,2,3,7	180:6	332:8 333:17	129:4 130:24
325:17 327:10	242:8,14	patient 186:11	335:12,24 336:13	petitioned 126:19
328:1,22,22	part 96:1 106:23	pattern 110:17	341:17 343:21	Petrogas 166:6
, , _	•	· ·····		0
	l		Ι	1

				1 4.90 00 1
phase 180:19 181:1	191:22 192:1,22	124:18 126:7,8,12	298:2 301:10,10	142:4,9
181:10	229:8 233:4 238:9	126:16 127:23,23	301:25 302:8	pinnacle 114:6
phases 174:12,21	239:2 241:21	131:6,14 136:8	pilots 91:6 92:2	pitch 313:10
Phil 194:6 276:7	244:7 247:21	137:11,12 138:6,9	107:18 109:3,18	place 106:20 131:9
364:18 365:1	248:15 251:5,18	154:7,24,25	110:6 114:5,25	323:10
Philip 93:22 232:3	252:1 253:1 254:2	157:18 165:4	115:1 116:3,22,23	placed 364:22
267:2,11,19	254:7 255:12	166:8 171:22,23	117:4 120:20	places 296:16
phone 223:8 338:8	256:8 257:4 266:3	172:21 177:4	121:11,13 123:8	plan 109:24 115:14
phonetic]case	268:20 270:17,19	178:4 181:3	136:7,17 140:2	213:5 227:20
219:16	272:17,19,22	186:18 215:21	143:2 144:16	358:15,20,22
photo 182:8 183:6	273:11,15,21,22	221:10 222:15	145:16 146:13,18	359:13,14 360:11
183:7	274:1,17 275:11	224:3,4,12 225:17	146:22,25 148:7	360:19 363:15
phrased 323:12	275:19,20 284:3	226:15 227:5	148:17,22 149:17	planned 364:6,12
physical 212:22,23	284:12,13 287:19	230:12 231:10	150:15 154:4	planning 165:20
214:15,24 215:18	287:21 294:14,16	232:24 239:16,25	167:25 168:7,15	363:23
301:20	294:25,25 295:1,7	253:21 254:21	175:21 186:2	plans 228:14
physically 206:17	295:13 296:7,7,8	255:9,13,25 256:9	190:17 192:16	plant 166:7
pick 193:14 364:17	296:8 298:12	257:8 263:3 272:7	195:19 215:18	played 270:13
picking 316:20	305:21 311:4	274:5,15 280:20	224:5 229:15	playing 325:11
picture 161:9,25	312:17 314:1	281:15 282:16,20	257:10 268:14	please 134:9,11,12
162:9	317:11 322:11,13	283:7 284:5,14,18	272:11,16 273:3	134:19 137:25
piece 212:24	322:18,20,25	285:6,14 286:13	274:1,22 275:8,11	138:2 139:4 140:8
221:25 225:6	323:2,8,9,11,16	288:19 290:20,20	285:15,15 286:13	144:4 147:14
229:18	323:24,25 324:3	291:16,21 293:15	287:8,13,17	149:12 157:25
pierce 219:24	330:19 332:6	293:16 295:2	288:11 291:15,21	158:18,20 160:18
pilot 96:18 109:17	339:7,8 341:6,8	296:13 302:6,11	292:4,19 295:24	166:17 171:6
112:16,24 113:4,5	341:22 343:11,17	307:1 311:5	296:5,11 297:14	182:3 189:14
113:15,22 114:4	346:17 347:20	312:13,18 317:22	297:21 298:4	194:24 195:14
114:10,13 116:5	349:13 352:6,7	329:25 349:9	299:12 300:20	196:14 199:11
117:7,8 118:3,4	354:24 355:9	352:20	301:9 311:1	200:3 203:7,15
122:13 138:9,24	356:7,8 357:12,24	piloted 173:21	315:22,24 317:24	208:24 254:9
140:9,17 144:21	358:4,11 362:21	224:1 250:8	320:6,11 324:7,23	263:23,24 267:8
145:8,9,19 146:2	362:23 363:1	280:14 295:15,17	325:3,12 327:9	267:15,18 270:11
146:3,9 147:5	pilot's 167:25	297:24 298:8	333:14,19 335:25	270:22,24 276:5
148:7 149:9,20	175:17 294:8,11	piloting 120:17	336:3 337:11	281:22 289:25
150:3,12 151:20	295:3,5,21 317:15	130:2 155:20	339:20 340:6,7,16	290:8,11 292:1
154:6,22 155:1,4	347:2	168:11 187:20	340:21 341:2,5,6	297:4,5 304:20
164:7 165:2,16	pilotage 109:1,4,8	233:12 234:11	341:8,14,18,23	305:2 306:23
166:13 167:8,18	109:15,24 110:4	238:11,15,23	343:10,13 344:12	307:12 310:15
168:19 169:8	110:10,14 111:2	239:17,22 254:11	346:8,8,22,24	315:13,19 316:22
172:8 175:11,13	111:10 112:20	258:9 262:18	347:5,15,16,24	317:6 318:8
176:2,7,8,11	113:9,25 115:18	265:24 268:23	349:20,22 351:6	319:13,17 324:20
177:11,21 183:19	115:23 116:11,13	290:18 291:14	352:2,5,9 354:12	327:22 328:1
186:7,9 187:7,9	116:14,15 120:18	294:1,20 296:23	354:22 357:2	329:23 332:13,21
189:2 191:5,14,17	121:9,12 123:6	296:23 297:17,21	Pilots's 136:9,25	334:4 335:15
	1		1	I

355:18 360:2	pointed 252:6	208:11 221:7	105:4,15 106:3	123:25 124:11
361:5 362:16	307:13	274:14 288:20	precise 277:22	129:9 139:6
plenty 296:13	pointing 215:12	315:22 349:18	precisely 243:1	209:14 274:11
plus 113:4	323:17	353:23 354:13,17	271:25	presenting 110:20
PMSA 97:2,13	points 116:1 126:5	positioned 123:9	precision 252:22	131:22
99:14 100:11,14	129:3 130:1 159:4	positions 104:23	precluded 100:19	president 118:14
100:19 101:5,16	159:5 262:20	positive 324:18	precondition	216:11 315:23
105:4,17,18	325:1	possess 146:20	219:15	325:10 326:7,25
107:25 109:5	Polar 265:13,16	150:12	predecessor 115:16	327:1,4 328:7
110:20 113:18	policies 219:1	possesses 144:22	predicated 269:19	332:10,16,18
117:17 119:8	318:22,25 319:2	145:9 149:20	274:17	339:20
120:7 122:11	policy 99:24 100:16	150:3	predicted 164:8	presidents 351:23
135:19,20,24	204:18 218:22	possession 99:15	preemptive 355:9	presume 330:24
137:8 139:5	219:3 321:8 328:5	possible 144:24	preferably 109:23	331:18,25 332:1
147:16 171:18	328:16	145:10 146:22	Prefiled 94:14	presumed 97:5
176:20 177:2	poor 313:5	147:6 149:21	106:10	pretty 174:25 266:3
190:2 192:12,15	poorly 116:4	150:4 160:11	prejudice 104:18	prevailed 109:6
196:4 199:14,17	populations 189:11	313:16 335:22	prejudicial 103:17	256:21
209:3 217:15	port 110:9 154:22	possibly 165:24	premise 215:10	prevention 111:4
306:17 322:6	154:22 165:16,19	185:12 247:18	prep 165:6	111:24 142:4,10
328:23 336:10	165:22 197:4,5	365:3	preparation 164:12	142:11 152:8,16
356:5,11 357:3,5	209:20,24 210:2,7	post 182:24 353:1	165:11,15	153:7,8 189:4
358:3 359:12,23	210:18 211:13	posted 182:11	prepare 134:25	192:22 199:7
360:10 361:19,25	212:13 215:22	potential 102:13	165:19,23 171:9	200:18 201:11
365:13	220:9 243:5 284:1	149:4,17 163:18	195:20 306:7	224:10 225:19
PMSA's 95:7,20,24	294:1 298:11,13	173:11,19 174:5	316:3 346:16	prevents 193:21
98:2 99:6,9,12,15	298:14,18,23	174:14 228:3	prepared 97:18	previous 129:6
106:19 108:18	299:10,20 309:21	312:18 356:5	99:20 100:13,21	196:21 321:7
112:19 115:16	309:23 310:13,17	potentially 165:3	103:12 117:18	333:21 336:1
119:24 176:24	356:8	181:18 187:12	276:14 334:10	353:14
347:22	Port's 197:6	188:4 210:23	348:1	previously 105:8
point 130:19	portion 132:4	243:8	preparedness 153:8	150:20 272:4.6
134:22 159:12	202:5 226:22	Powell 92:18 108:8	preparing 164:11	price 281:16
160:18 162:5	360:3	124:8	276:7	primarily 110:6
193:19 207:16	portions 96:1	power 263:17	presence 212:19	189:12 271:22
214:22 254:25	Portland 92:5	powerful 262:6	present 92:22	272:1 344:22
260:25 263:11	ports 118:23	PPWAs 347:23	114:12 117:18	primary 103:9,9
271:20 274:19,20	296:15 298:17	practice 122:22	118:8,9 123:21	116:4 234:21
277:11 279:4	312:7	133:14 203:3	211:4,5 239:2	235:3,3,8,10,13
283:24 310:15	pose 218:5 264:16	256:23 264:9	274:3	277:1 325:6,17,19
319:1 337:2	posed 179:20 232:6	320:11 326:8,11	presentation	principles 122:6
338:15 340:10	275:3	326:22,24 328:6	130:20 133:2	print 158:16
345:21 347:10	posit 213:3	328:10 336:3	304:11 318:10,12	prior 109:8 111:14
348:15 356:17	position 103:19	practicing 222:24	336:22 364:19	111:16 121:7,25
360:16	128:8,13 195:17	pre-filed 104:3,24	presented 119:9	122:6,8 228:20
	,	· · · · · · · · ·	-	,
	I	I	I	I

				Ũ
231:4 316:21,23	144:20 145:1,1,12	156:10	226:20,24 227:2,4	128:6,13,18 129:5
317:16 320:19	145:16,21,22,22	propeller 263:5,9	227:14 229:6	130:3,12,16 131:1
322:13 325:23	146:5,16,16 149:3	313:10 314:5	230:1,9,22 231:11	131:2,5,9,11
332:22 334:11	149:9,15,18,19	propeller's 314:3	protections 102:6	132:3 136:17
335:10,11 356:10	150:2,6,11 172:6	propellers 263:8	227:10	137:2 138:5,9,16
356:13,20	172:18,19 173:12	proper 96:20 127:2	protest 173:12,16	138:18,24 144:9
priorities 165:5	173:22 174:3,6	221:3 317:16	174:6	144:16 146:24
priority 165:5,7	175:24 178:18	properly 95:5	provide 96:16	148:17 149:2
166:1	179:2 180:11	180:9 186:5	106:21 136:7	150:9 154:5,10,11
private 205:23	207:2 221:9	221:17 300:22	148:9 154:12	154:14 155:2,4
privilege 95:17	227:20 252:10	313:8	178:1 221:2	188:6 190:19
97:24 98:6,9 99:2	360:10	property 205:22	265:24 291:16,21	191:13,17 192:22
99:3,12,14,18	processes 172:10	proponent 109:3	330:8 336:17	192:23 195:17
103:24	produced 129:20	proposal 226:23	provided 95:17	217:15 226:10,17
pro 226:17 227:6	322:6	227:1,7 283:8	99:10 114:22	226:17 227:7,14
probability 97:3	product 98:7 99:23	357:10	128:3 129:5	228:8,13,17,20
probably 194:13	99:24,25 100:17	proposals 96:2	182:21 189:19	229:5,15 231:2,6
215:1 244:5 285:6	100:18 102:6	propose 226:17	190:16 264:2	233:10,13,23
291:24 303:20	256:22	264:15	320:17,21 335:5	235:20 236:1,8
328:13 329:6	productive 123:24	proposed 118:17	provider 123:20	247:9 253:1 257:7
337:8	productivity	118:21 129:10,15	provides 125:12	264:18 265:24
problem 194:1	192:18 339:16	132:4 338:16,22	136:14 222:1	266:15 268:8
242:3 251:3	342:19,19 345:3	362:2	317:23 319:25	280:5 287:8,13
325:11 329:21	professed 309:10	propriety 284:23	330:6	288:1 291:5,8
331:10 338:10,11	profession 114:7	propulsion 217:7	providing 115:14	292:3,19 296:4,22
problematic 166:19	professional 177:24	262:22 263:4,12	123:8 226:15	296:23 297:14
procedures 305:25	191:1	263:14	provision 121:13	318:12,16 321:8
proceed 135:20	professions 113:24	prosecuted 112:15	123:6 127:17	321:14 325:20,24
171:18 179:22	profile 276:22	prospects 360:8	204:2 218:1	327:24 328:5,7,9
196:4 232:14	301:24	protect 100:3	222:14 230:11	328:16 330:4
263:24 268:9	program 115:21	protected 98:7,11	provisions 185:4	331:6 332:10
269:16 291:8	145:8 149:11	protecting 136:21	proxy 239:22 302:8	333:16,24 334:5
297:5 306:17	151:21 167:17	177:5	PSP 96:15 97:6,12	334:23 335:20,20
316:12 350:6	174:10,13,15,22	protection 96:19	97:18 98:22,25	336:7,9 337:2,25
355:18	174:23,24 176:1	111:4,11,19 117:5	99:8,10,13 100:23	338:16,21 339:2
proceeding 100:22	180:17,20 181:10	144:24 145:11	101:1,16 102:15	341:23 343:15
102:14 130:18	181:25 186:8	146:23 147:6	102:16 103:6,16	347:19,20 349:5
135:1 232:23	programs 152:19	148:9 149:21	104:15,19 105:2,3	349:13 352:2
233:11 267:24	152:20 153:9	150:4 152:22	107:15 108:22	353:16 354:19
290:23 304:14	184:25	153:7 177:25	109:7,20 110:2,18	356:3 358:19
306:9	progresses 158:7	202:1 205:2,3	111:5,18,21	359:12,24 360:2
proceedings 103:25	projected 126:11	221:8,18 222:12	114:12 115:2	361:8 365:9
119:19	Projections 156:14	223:17 224:4,6,7	122:10,15,23	PSP's 96:1,22,23
process 109:14	projects 306:5	224:15,25 225:18	123:10 124:24	96:24 97:17,23
122:12,14 128:15	pronouncing	225:25 226:11,14	125:17 127:21	98:21 99:17

102:20 103:3,19	149:9,19,21 150:2	235:3,8,10,13	160:3,5 164:18	231:15 260:12
102.20 103.3,19	150:4 152:23	250:9 291:12,17	166:19,20,24	261:22 263:18,20
110:7,10 111:15	154:21 155:17	292:19 341:1	169:5,18 172:14	263:23 264:14
113:1 114:3	156:19,23 157:17	347:4 359:12	173:23 179:20	266:6,14,16,19,21
115:10 117:9	158:5,25 159:13	purposefully	183:17 184:2,17	268:15 269:13
118:13,17,21,24	159:19 160:6,8,12	154:12	188:2,24 194:4	275:2 286:17
121:5 123:20	160:16,20,25	purposes 278:25	202:19 209:19	288:16 289:4,6,7
124:17,24 127:5,6	161:4,9,12,13,21	288:18 290:18,23	210:8 214:2,5,9	302:21 304:1
128:7 129:10,11	162:2,4,6,8,10,13	341:18 358:20	215:8,11 223:3,16	311:9 312:11,14
129:15 132:2	162:16,16 163:10	363:24	224:14 225:8	315:3,5 342:3
137:19 144:22	163:12,20 167:10	pursuant 113:14	232:6,7 234:1	345:5 347:15
148:15 167:5,14	167:25 177:5,11	280:6	235:8 242:19	350:1 355:11,14
167:14 169:23	177:21 187:8	purview 225:21	254:4,5,9 256:17	355:17 362:12,19
170:15 177:25	189:3 190:17	put 109:7 116:19	257:19 265:23	363:3,17 364:2,6
189:3 194:21	195:19 203:23	117:21 127:21	269:2 274:23	364:12
221:7 225:8	205:5 206:1	162:23 185:18	275:5 276:3	quick 116:1 258:18
226:22 228:12	258:21 262:13	186:24 241:24	277:25,25 278:3,4	270:9 298:11
280:11,18,23	268:14 270:17	301:4 330:12	279:9 281:5,7	302:25 362:14
287:17 335:11,22	284:1,3,12 285:3	350:14	282:24 283:1,15	quickly 155:7
336:1,2,16 337:23	287:20,21 288:11	putting 190:10	284:20,24 285:25	285:11
338:17 341:21	291:15,20 295:24		292:23 297:11	quiet 98:10
353:23,25 354:13	297:20,25 298:2,6	Q	298:11 302:25	quit 168:20 169:9
354:17 356:7	299:11,12 300:19	qualification	307:12 312:21	quite 217:25 256:6
PSP-involved	300:20 303:5,11	125:14 172:19,19	318:3 323:12,13	260:8 278:4 283:1
139:10	303:21 309:24	178:7 179:3 210:7	341:21 345:13	327:19 352:24
PSP-piloted 143:14	310:1,9 315:22,23	qualifications	347:16 354:15,23	quiz 180:24
PSSOA 115:16	320:11 329:25	174:9 181:8	356:9 362:15	quizzes 180:16
public 99:24	340:6,21 341:2	186:19 254:2	questionable	181:22
100:16 110:6	346:21,24 349:23	274:22	103:24	quote 111:9,11
197:3 199:22	pull 102:2 118:19	qualified 172:15,16	questioning 102:13	113:21,22 127:14
205:22 330:7,10	137:16 163:2	172:18,21 173:2,3	260:14 271:21	128:16 176:22,25
publicly 113:5,6	242:2 255:1	173:4	285:23 292:20	184:5 187:15
114:15,21 175:3	270:11,22 273:1	quality 109:17	294:7 311:16	188:17 198:24
published 127:24	276:5 277:21	167:4,9	questions 117:17	203:20,21 204:11
Puget 91:6 92:2	278:17 281:21	quantified 333:25	133:10,15,18,24	204:12,13 223:12
107:18 109:3,17	285:10 292:1	quarter 112:6	134:23 135:21,24	224:15 231:8,11
110:12 112:20	332:1 342:21	295:15 296:20	143:4 144:15	264:2 307:15
115:17 116:3,9	346:20	297:16	148:24 162:19	317:8 320:5 322:8
120:18,19 136:6,9	pulled 353:5	question 98:20	166:17 167:13	333:14 336:6
136:25 137:12	pulling 292:2	117:4 132:11,13	169:12,13 172:8	339:19 351:5
138:6 140:1,9,17	339:25	133:5 139:17	191:24 192:5,25	quote/unquote
142:3,9 143:1	purchased 265:13	140:13 142:6	193:2 196:12	269:23 276:15
144:20 145:8,11	pure 271:17	143:9 144:1,4	216:2 217:12,25	292:18 293:8,17
145:19 146:2,23	purpose 133:8	145:25 147:1	220:9,15 223:2	297:20
147:5,6 148:9	148:22 234:21	150:25 151:1	228:23 229:1	quoted 101:14
		154:9,17 155:18		

				5
230:10 264:7	306:8 313:11	327:11 335:18	reasonableness	225:15
quotes 101:25	316:4,21,23	351:10 352:19	98:19	reconciliation
quoting 102:13	320:19 332:22	358:17	reasonably 98:14	230:15
116:14 211:10	333:21 334:11	reading 152:2	reasons 130:13,15	reconciling 324:25
231:1,9 276:12	335:11,16,23	228:6 249:15	322:20,24 323:14	reconsideration
291:14	336:1 338:22	254:15 322:16	329:8 331:11	228:18
	352:3 353:14	readings 163:11	rebuttal 96:16,21	record 106:23
R	354:25 355:1	reads 255:6	104:11 105:7	107:4 109:2,7
R 92:1 366:1	rate-making	ready 107:6 188:25	110:18 135:1	110:19 113:2
R-U-G-G-I-E-R-O	123:11 223:12,13	335:18	171:10 195:21	119:10,11,17
156:11	223:22 225:22	real 95:16	203:6,11 211:8,17	121:4 130:18
race 190:20 251:15	rate-setting 109:14	Reality 182:5	212:2 220:24	133:9 136:9
racial 189:8	221:9 224:1	realize 329:20	221:2,15,23 222:3	137:19,20 141:22
radar 313:10	rated 126:24	realized 99:14	222:5,17 223:11	142:22,24 143:22
raise 104:25 127:8	ratepayers 121:20	127:14	223:21 227:19,22	144:8,9,18 146:6
127:16 128:23	123:13,17,23	really 124:13	227:25 255:1,4	146:10 148:16
134:12 170:17	338:25	157:20 173:22	306:8 316:4	167:6 170:10,14
194:24 228:8	rates 110:4,8,10	175:5 184:1,13	321:24 339:14	192:14 194:16,19
267:9 289:25	118:17,21 124:18	186:18 225:5,6	346:18 350:11,20	258:19 264:3
304:20 315:13	124:22,25 127:8,9	226:15 235:12	355:20	289:20,23 313:17
raised 130:24 225:8	127:19 128:16	238:1 243:21	recall 182:20 205:2	327:2 328:6 331:2
301:19 347:12	129:6,19 130:5	244:4 253:4 254:4	224:13 231:21,25	331:4,5 356:10
348:11 349:1,3	131:15 153:6	269:1 286:7 293:9	252:8 343:20	365:16
raising 132:11	239:16,25 254:21	296:10,22 297:10	345:13 352:22	recorded 326:5,14
ramifications 176:5	255:9,13,15,25	299:17 300:16	359:10	327:12 329:19,19
range 292:4 344:16	256:9 282:20	314:20 346:12	recalled 132:23	331:3,12
rank 183:21	302:6,10,11 352:3	360:6,10	receive 217:15	recording 103:1
rate 109:1 110:12	ratio 343:1	realm 178:20	320:12 354:6	326:8,10 328:10
110:16 111:2	rationale 115:8	rear 279:1	received 361:25	338:14
113:6 114:16	RCW 111:9 204:22	reason 120:12	receives 155:4	records 141:11
115:14,19 118:18	205:12,18 231:9	122:23 124:12	recission 126:20	257:6
119:18 120:14	318:2 320:23,24	122:23 124:12 128:20 130:20,21	recognition 329:1	recount 348:1
121:7,23,25 122:3	re-ask 293:1	153:10,14,23,25	329:11 338:1	recourse 219:21
122:4,6,9,14	reach 213:2	154:5 206:2 225:3	recognize 148:20	recover 218:14
123:7,16 124:23	reached 116:13	241:11 264:13	199:20 331:6	recoverable 206:9
124:24 126:7	react 313:1 314:12	273:25 275:8,10	348:23	recovered 112:8
127:1,11,11,15	read 97:21 133:2	278:24 279:5	recognized 122:15	recovery 218:21
129:10,15,17	145:2 152:12	295:2 300:8	349:24	recross 169:20
130:4,16 131:16	155:15,25 159:9	349:25 354:21	recognizes 221:16	redirect 93:11,14
131:19 169:21	177:8 180:9 183:7	reasonable 109:20	recommence 194:4	93:17,19,21,24
222:10 224:1,18	188:17,23 189:23	111:7 115:15	recommendations	94:3,6,9,11
226:1,4,12 228:12	191:7,11 208:5	123:5 222:8,14	121:24 122:5,13	134:24 135:11,16
228:21 231:4	221:21 238:25	230:11 239:16	recommended	162:21 163:5
256:22 258:8,9	239:14,14 251:21	281:11 283:5	122:1,3 349:23	166:21 169:14
264:13,18 276:8	276:11 280:1,11	337:24 338:4	recommends	192:7,10 216:5,7
284:17 287:4	270.11 200.1,11	551.24 550.4	recommenus	172.7,10 210.3,7
				l

	•			
228:25 229:3	refused 219:24	178:13 180:15	274:18 277:9	renowned 117:13
258:13,15 261:23	regard 205:21	216:15 251:10	284:16 285:4	117:14
286:19,20,22	232:23	320:1 341:25	reliability 335:12	repeat 144:3
302:23,25 303:1	regarding 103:3	regulator 113:10	reliable 301:6	145:25 147:1
311:10 341:11	112:22 115:4	152:7,15	308:4 332:2	166:24 169:6
342:5,7 348:12	118:16 120:19	regulators 113:7,13	336:17 339:4	209:19 247:22
355:12 362:14,17	121:4 122:13,18	339:3	reliance 161:25	254:9 283:1
reduce 112:6	144:15 149:17	regulatory 110:14	333:15 336:2	repeatedly 98:22
116:16 324:2	152:18 155:8,16	121:8 131:2	relied 129:8 155:23	replete 100:9,18
337:10,15	158:25 159:18	229:11,12 276:13	162:1 336:15,19	reply 96:23,25
reduced 322:19	160:7 163:23	325:3	relitigation 123:14	report 158:4
323:24,25 324:2	164:1 190:16	reimburse 204:19	reluctant 148:21	172:17 182:15
324:16 357:12	197:5 198:15	reject 102:16	rely 121:12 188:9	225:12 307:14,19
reduces 116:17	205:1 209:16	rejected 103:22	relying 100:20	307:23 308:3,6,7
314:7	217:13 218:2	104:8 106:6,7,9	140:22 162:3	309:5,9,16,18
reducing 302:10	230:9 258:19	106:17,18 112:25	remain 127:19	310:5,6,11 311:13
337:3,5 346:10	268:23 274:22	231:2 361:18	232:11	312:12 315:1
redundant 217:7,7	334:8 345:3	362:2	remainder 102:18	319:14,25 321:3
refer 128:1 141:24	regardless 224:18	rejecting 95:4	193:10 231:20	330:1 331:16
269:5 313:18	326:9	rejoin 170:9 193:13	266:11 289:14	reported 91:25
351:3	regime 199:4	193:15 289:18	363:10	309:13 325:25
reference 103:11	229:19	relate 164:14	remains 122:16	326:16 334:23
152:2 158:10	regimen 168:2	related 124:11	remarkable 109:4	reporter 95:2
175:2 217:20	region 216:12	129:11 130:13	remarks 115:6	102:23,25 106:22
219:22	303:5	134:22 135:15	remember 224:21	316:16 366:7
referenced 100:15	registered 122:19	196:13 209:7	226:8 233:15	reporting 314:25
219:13	125:7 127:3 163:8	217:19 220:5,13	remind 232:5,10	338:6 344:23
references 125:1	239:24 269:4	226:10,18 229:8	261:22	reports 307:15
referencing 143:4	275:15	229:15,25 230:4	removed 101:17	330:2 331:22
217:22	registering 160:1	288:6 312:14	175:2 357:25	332:10 334:24
referred 178:13	registry 265:25	344:20 345:7,9	Rendahl 92:23	335:6 340:19
191:12,15	266:2,4 283:18	346:15 347:16	133:21 193:6	represent 121:11
referring 100:20	284:2	348:10 356:7	197:12,15 223:4	139:5 141:25
161:14 173:13	regular 234:9	relates 343:24	266:20 289:11	153:3 198:9 227:7
189:6 201:23	284:19 285:1	346:15	304:2 315:8	253:22 270:18
231:13 240:9	298:1	relationship 98:14	319:16,19 361:3,6	281:2 336:12
309:9 317:22	regularly 113:13	98:17 99:19 167:4	361:12,14 362:6	340:19
333:3,4 334:17	regulate 200:16	relative 239:17	362:11 364:7	representations
355:20 358:16	regulated 199:7	268:23 274:11,25	render 252:3	336:16
361:7	regulates 201:10	275:18 346:21	rendering 278:14	representative
reflect 96:2	regulation 113:14	relatively 104:14	282:5	100:5
reflected 198:20	180:3 217:5	123:12	renders 251:25	represented 191:1
248:17	251:11 322:9	released 131:3,7	renegotiate 357:4	201:15
reflects 189:2	323:23	relevance 284:20	renew 357:7	representing 96:11
refrained 101:8	regulations 112:13	relevant 97:14	renewals 175:21	112:16 201:20

232:22 236:13,15	165:21 257:2,5	219:7 296:9	review 202:12	219:20 220:17
represents 227:7	287:24 288:4,12	responsible 206:19	212:21 213:3,6,16	221:2,14,20 223:1
reputation 111:24	researched 257:3	206:24 296:14	213:16 288:12	223:14,20 224:23
request 97:13	reserve 135:21	responsive 96:4,13	332:10,16 353:11	226:17 227:18,25
98:25 100:25	reserved 224:16	rest 122:25 184:15	reviewed 98:3	228:12,25 231:16
101:14,23 140:5	reset 324:10	184:15 185:1	174:7 276:6,12	231:23 232:9
147:17 176:20,24	resolve 123:1	188:7,8 298:8	323:3	233:4,8,24 234:6
199:17 328:23	resolved 120:14	317:10,16,18,23	reviewing 96:25	234:12,14,15,19
requested 133:7	122:8 224:20	318:17,17 319:6	98:4 100:8	234:21,23,25
199:21 217:13	resolving 225:7	320:6,12,18,21	reviews 174:9	235:1,16,17,18,19
326:7 333:22	resource 229:18	321:4,6,8,21	211:14	235:21 236:6,9,20
Requesting 97:4	resources 136:22	322:11,12 327:8	revisit 288:15	236:22,23 237:1,7
requests 97:2,7,9	185:22 186:4	341:24 342:3	revolving 184:22	237:13,18 238:3
99:7 137:4 139:6	224:11	restate 275:5 278:3	ride 261:12	238:11,13,19,21
140:2 147:16	resourcing 177:4	rested 117:7 330:19	right 101:11,20,22	239:6,11,13
190:2 199:14	respect 123:19	332:6	102:20 103:3	240:11,18,25
209:3 217:13,15	217:12 329:13	restrictions 175:25	104:2 105:10,16	241:3,7,15,18
334:5 364:2	respectfully 130:23	273:8	105:24 108:10	242:5,9,18,24,25
require 164:12	respite 317:12	result 115:9 139:10	123:20 130:21	243:7,14 244:13
178:9,16 180:15	respond 96:3	140:9,17 343:7	131:24 133:22	244:18,21 245:2
180:25 184:17	176:23 300:11	resulted 307:20	134:3,7,12 135:9	245:18 246:17,23
246:5 322:11	responded 334:13	resulting 138:25	135:18,19 137:8	247:14,17,21
required 95:9	Respondents 91:7	139:12 142:20	141:3 142:17	248:12,15,21
116:6 128:3,10	responder 140:1	336:2	145:11 147:11,15	249:9,11,14 250:2
144:23 148:8	responding 145:24	results 109:10	147:21,24 155:24	250:13,15,19,21
174:17 175:16	responds 139:9	130:4 317:11	157:16,20 158:7	250:24 251:13,14
188:7 217:5	response 98:2,25	354:24 355:1	158:11,17 162:20	251:22 252:4,11
218:19 228:13	99:6,9,13,16	resume 289:19	170:13,17 171:17	252:12,15,23
274:16 284:3,4,12	128:8,18 137:15	retained 112:10	175:4 176:9 178:5	253:2 256:16
294:22,24 295:3	138:4 140:14	123:3	178:13,17 179:2	258:1,13 259:9,18
324:9 344:13	148:4 152:8,19,20	retired 257:10	180:7,13,17	259:21 260:3
361:8	153:9 176:20,22	retirees 115:11	181:10,23 182:16	261:10 263:19
requirement 95:12	177:10,18 199:7	retirement 122:13	184:8 186:21	265:17 266:9,24
95:14 103:10	200:18 201:1,11	228:14 358:15,22	187:2 189:4	267:4,4,9,14
119:2 203:24	224:10 225:19	359:14	191:14 192:6	268:7 270:7,20
226:23 228:18,20	252:2 328:4,24	Retrospectively	193:1,8,25 194:6	271:7,13,24 272:2
requirements 173:1	330:23	227:15	194:12,15,24	272:7,19,21
174:15,16 177:1	responses 99:21	return 285:12	195:11,13,14	275:16,20 278:21
178:7 209:7	327:24	311:13	196:3,20 200:8,14	279:19 284:10
229:16 230:4	responsibilities	returning 170:14	200:20 206:2	287:8,14,16,17
requires 113:20	177:24	194:20	207:5,10,11,13	289:3,5,16,19,25
117:2 214:2	responsibility	revenue 103:10	208:4,14,16 209:9	290:7 291:7 292:6
262:16 272:10,22	123:22 203:24	119:2 226:22	210:5,18 211:12	293:13,20 295:2,6
requiring 263:16	206:5 208:13	227:1	211:15,22 212:5	295:22,25 299:2
research 158:25	209:7 218:13,18	revenues 341:19	213:8,12 216:4	301:12 302:22
	I	1	ı I	

303:15,23,25	115:23 116:11	Ruggiero 156:8,22	265:3 305:23	309:20 317:22
304:6,16,19,20	198:12,24 263:2	160:3,19 161:2	306:2,3,5 321:14	319:2 321:3 328:4
305:1 306:16	307:1 312:12	rule 99:23 130:24	337:6,8 341:25	328:25 329:16,18
308:16 315:4,12	risky 130:10 233:11	184:15 275:10,18	sail 260:15 261:6	329:21 330:18,19
315:13,18 316:11	238:8 241:21	318:17,17 319:6,8	283:18 313:12,18	338:10 340:10
317:8 320:2,13	243:18 244:7	320:4,5,9,18	313:19,23	SB 176:14
321:9,15 322:8	247:21 248:14	321:3,14,19	sailed 301:14	SB-01T 188:16
323:22 324:7	251:18 252:2,4	322:21 344:17	305:17 312:7	SB-11T 106:7
330:3,4,7,11,15	254:1,7 255:12	ruled 124:13 129:4	sailing 183:18,21	108:14
330:19 333:11	256:8 293:17	rules 95:13 184:11	184:3 189:7 284:2	SB-14 106:7 108:15
334:2,13,15 335:8	river 114:25,25	184:15,15,18	284:11 301:21	SB-15X 176:12
335:8 337:13	157:7 161:7	185:1 188:7,8	302:11	SB-19X 182:1
339:16,25 340:4,9	310:21 311:2	217:8 249:20	sake 159:9 351:2	SB-9T 96:5
340:12 342:4	Ro-Ro 234:14,21	312:23 317:24	sample 236:15	schedule 118:6
344:8 345:21	235:4,13 237:9,17	320:21,24 321:2,4	Sandy 93:12 171:2	347:19
350:3,23,25 351:2	245:21 246:18	321:6,8,14 322:11	sat 295:8	scheduled 118:9
353:14,19,21	248:2,11,24 249:9	322:17 327:8	satisfied 177:12,22	schedules 348:15
354:25 355:5,10	251:25 252:7,15	341:24 344:11,23	satisfies 203:4	scheduling 193:20
355:18 360:13,25	260:3 261:1,5	ruling 101:4,9	satisfy 206:20	326:2,10
361:13 363:4	271:11,13,17,24	102:10 221:24	saw 127:18,19	school 117:16
364:16,24	282:8,13,14	222:4 224:24	316:16	202:12
right-hand 309:19	283:12	rulings 97:15 105:8	saying 96:8 128:15	Schultz 350:25
333:5,6	Ro-Ros 125:16,21	106:5	134:8 137:18	scientific 155:16
rights 97:4	249:7 261:17	run 123:8 200:10	141:10 162:1	159:17 160:7,14
rise 232:24	292:6	215:25	174:19 175:15	scientist 161:23
Rises 260:18	road 312:23	running 214:21	186:17 206:8	scope 164:15
risk 110:23 116:16	robust 109:2	251:14 253:19	208:6 231:22	168:23 225:9
116:17,24 130:2	rocks 314:17	262:12	233:17 235:7	269:13 274:8
164:10 185:19	role 202:10	runs 261:17	242:11 243:25	275:25 277:5
186:25 198:10	roll 235:14,14	Ryan 103:2 297:3	248:23 249:3	279:2 280:25
199:2 216:17	roll-on/roll-off		255:11,14,21	281:17 282:22
233:12,20 234:2	125:16 234:17	<u>S</u>	256:7 294:17	284:15,21 285:18
238:11,14,22	room 222:11 313:1	S 92:1 97:19 200:17	296:20 297:15	292:15 302:15
239:2,22 242:6,13	roster 341:6,8	safe 121:13 142:22	318:24 324:2	314:21 345:1
242:20 254:10	Rothschild 92:13	186:11	325:2 337:15	347:11
265:3 268:23	roughly 282:18	safely 185:11,12	357:17	score 178:17,19,25
274:5,11 275:18	round 169:16 170:1	safer 185:16,17,24	says 128:19,19	179:1 180:7,12,23
291:13 301:18,24	route 361:20 362:1	301:6	140:7,19 157:11	181:9,22
302:8 307:3,9	362:5	safety 116:15	158:23 162:7	screen 137:6
312:18	row 271:1,1 286:2	143:22 144:7,9	180:4 183:8	162:23 168:13
riskier 243:5	Royer 319:18	153:7 155:3 167:5	200:15 208:1	190:9,10 259:6
275:11	RPR 91:25 366:17	172:9 185:4,18	209:12 212:17	342:15 350:14
riskiness 247:20	rudder 313:9	186:25 191:19	214:23 225:20	screw 263:7
253:21	rudders 313:9	196:10 199:3,3,4	271:1,7,7,9,14	scroll 213:1,20
risks 109:24 110:4	314:2,6	201:4,5,9 211:21	276:12 286:2	214:2 270:23
		215:15 216:16,24		
L				

				1 4.90 1.01
271:9 273:6	140:14 141:5	298:2	seven 351:7	292:11 293:4,19
332:24 334:15	147:16 148:4	segment 217:10	severe 155:19	293:20 295:15
sea 173:1,6 178:6	155:14 157:10	self-critical 99:2	163:13 164:8	296:6,11 297:17
183:9,10,15,16,19	158:23 159:2	send 107:5	165:3	298:8 301:10,11
187:19 305:13	170:16 175:3	senior 118:14	sexual 189:10	302:9,10,11
seal 366:13	176:19 177:14	sense 124:2 246:1	shallower 247:17	313:20,23 328:6
Sean 139:21,22,22	182:10 183:6,12	344:15	share 136:22 190:4	328:10 334:13,16
139:24,25 141:18	190:15 191:2	sent 132:17 190:2	190:7	334:20
193:20 304:11	194:15,22 199:17	sentence 136:14	sharing 136:24	ship's 239:19,21
364:22,25	200:15,20 202:5	177:10,17	137:2 344:21	240:8,15,15,16
Seaport 182:11	203:19 205:12	separate 108:16	sheet 104:12,17	265:3 277:3
196:19	207:21 208:3	175:23 305:25	259:12 288:8	279:18 294:20
seasoned 186:14	209:11 211:10	306:4,5	shell 218:8 219:25	296:9 298:5
273:25 275:8	212:23 213:15,19	September 276:14	220:4 260:17	shipping 92:12
seat 135:8,17	215:25 237:21	334:1	270:20 279:16	108:3 110:7,10,22
363:11	243:24 246:17	series 172:20 330:1	313:19	118:13,17 127:3
Seattle 92:15,20	249:14 250:20	serious 228:3 344:4	shielding 99:25	184:23,24 235:18
154:23 166:14	258:25 259:6,14	seriously 97:20	shift 187:21	236:2 246:13
Seaway 96:12	261:16 270:15,25	servants 110:6	shifting 135:17	280:7 305:11,23
Seaways 282:9,16	273:3,7,9,13,23	serve 97:12 250:9	shifts 319:7 321:20	305:24
sec 350:13	277:24 278:18,20	served 110:5 112:1	346:3 348:16,25	ships 110:12 116:8
second 109:1,4,15	282:2,5,10 286:4	272:4,6	ship 110:4 116:5	116:9 167:22
116:9 122:17	308:9,18,22 309:7	service 111:13	118:20,24 176:10	183:19 220:1
126:11 143:9	309:20 310:13,18	123:9,20 126:7	180:21 185:2	235:17,17,21,23
145:3 154:24	310:21 314:20	131:11 136:8	186:2 203:21	235:25 236:1,18
155:4 156:16	317:4,25 319:1	154:7,12 155:3	204:11 211:14	236:19 239:1
158:17,21 170:1	328:4 330:1,17,22	184:8 265:21	215:15 218:6,7,9	240:1 243:23
173:5 176:14	331:15 332:23	335:11 336:17	218:14 219:14	260:13,15 261:6,9
177:13 185:20	333:13,23 334:6,9	services 123:7	220:4 236:16	261:19 265:24
188:23 199:5	340:22,23 341:5	125:17 126:16	239:18,23 240:13	266:1 269:23,25
258:23 259:21	342:25 343:2	127:5 130:3,12	242:16 243:23	270:10 271:9,15
260:2,3 263:7	344:3,6,19 345:10	222:15 226:15	245:5 249:17,19	271:18,19,22,24
270:25 271:1	346:4 354:24	233:13,23 235:21	250:14 253:9	271:25 272:11,17
276:17 277:3,23	355:1 365:14	236:2,8 247:10	254:19 255:7,16	274:1,2,3,25
278:10 294:6	seeing 123:14 170:3	265:24 267:22	255:17,19,22	275:11 276:14
305:12,13 311:17	207:17 213:6	291:16,21	256:3 258:9 261:1	277:2 278:15
section 207:16	215:13 259:12	set 114:19,20 122:3	261:14 262:9,10	280:6,13,20
221:15	seek 104:20 119:16	123:5,7 177:3	262:10,24 265:20	281:15,24,25
sector 201:16	204:6 211:20	178:16,19 180:6	266:3 270:20	282:19 283:6,9,10
Security 306:5	228:17	224:23 324:14	271:7,13 272:18	283:16 286:8,12
see 95:22 102:11,23	seen 95:11,16	352:3 354:7 356:4	272:21 273:12,16	286:14 291:14
107:1 128:14	100:16 101:7	366:12	273:22 275:19,20	292:3,5,8,17
130:18 133:17	150:14 169:20	setting 106:17 111:2	279:16 280:18	293:2,7,14,15
136:13 138:4 139:20 140:1,7,11	174:3 182:14	settlement 228:3,9	282:9,13,14	294:1 295:16,17 295:18 296:3,4,21
137.20 140:1,/,11	250:5 261:9,11	settlement 228:3,9	283:12,12 284:2	273.10 290:3,4,21

296:22,23 297:13	240:22	sister 270:1	308:21	136:6,9,25 137:12
297:14,18,24	sight 251:10	sit 172:13 178:4,10	slow 243:22 252:12	138:6 140:2,9,17
298:12,19 299:10	significance 97:24	281:10	sluggish 313:10	142:3,9 143:2
299:13 300:10,24	165:3 230:13	sits 173:15	SM-12X 139:3,18	144:20 145:8,11
301:3 307:25	254:6	situation 113:2	SM-15X 95:4	145:19 146:2,23
312:23,24,25	significant 111:16	154:11 219:25	SM-16X 95:5	147:5,6 148:10
314:1,2,6 333:21	117:1 127:11	263:9 301:8 354:1	SM-8T 106:16	149:9,20,22 150:3
335:21 346:7	129:18 138:5	362:4	small 112:7 120:22	150:5 152:23
ships' 275:15	198:23 216:14,22	situations 169:9	121:17 159:24	154:21 155:17
shipyard 285:5,6	254:12 307:15,23	252:14	216:25 269:24	156:19,23 157:17
shock 127:15 130:4	307:25 310:2,10	six 109:12,12 111:1	smaller 245:16	158:5,25 159:13
130:17 131:19	320:22 322:9	183:8,16 245:25	248:12 277:18	159:19 160:6,9,12
284:17	323:23 324:10,19	250:23 343:17,21	314:7	160:16,20,25
shooting 213:13	346:2,9 354:2	349:16	Snedigar 97:1,11	161:4,9,12,13,21
shore 268:16	significantly	sixth 110:12	solely 187:19	162:2,4,6,8,10,13
short 323:11	111:12 159:23	size 128:24 181:5	somebody 173:14	162:16 163:10,12
shortage 323:9	165:18 322:25	206:5 218:20	174:20 175:1	163:20 167:10,25
324:23 327:8	323:15	238:23 239:19,21	223:5	177:5,11,21 187:9
347:15	silty 300:16	240:8,15 247:9	someone's 134:10	189:3 190:17
shoulders 293:21	similar 225:13,14	255:17 270:2,10	somewhat 321:10	195:19 203:23
show 97:2 118:4	242:13,15 321:10	271:12 274:25	321:10,12	205:6 206:1
123:25 130:8,9	321:12,17	281:24 282:5	soon 168:15	258:21 262:13
258:18 270:9	similarly 140:16	288:18 292:9	sorry 106:8,15	268:14 270:17
278:14 279:7	149:17 301:24	293:3 301:20	116:14 129:16	284:1,3,12 285:3
346:21 347:19	simple 121:21	302:7	137:13 144:4	287:20,21 288:11
showed 127:9,10	123:11	sizes 167:22 268:24	154:8 156:25	291:15,20 295:24
showing 103:6	simpler 152:1	282:19 283:6	168:14 170:21	297:20 298:1,2,6
117:25 161:3	simply 98:10	skeptical 140:20	177:15 183:1	299:11,12 300:19
shown 99:5 337:22	103:14 123:3	skill 144:22 185:13	195:4 199:25	300:20 303:5,11
shows 100:2 110:7	220:12 295:6	366:10	222:2 223:13	303:21 309:24
116:22 118:1,21	328:25 333:20	skilled 149:10,13	232:9 233:15	310:1,9 315:22,24
161:9,15 258:23	337:25	skills 145:10 146:7	235:22 245:11	320:11 329:25
282:7 308:14	simulation 168:4	146:20 148:8	247:23 250:12	340:6,21 341:2
311:17 312:12	simulator 168:12	149:20 150:3,12	265:12 282:25	346:22,24 349:23
345:25 346:16	230:3	150:15 186:3	287:10 297:1,4	Sound's 116:3
sic 265:9 276:11	simultaneously	295:4,7	322:16 340:10,11	120:20
sick 187:22	136:20	skimpy 109:7	358:23,25	sounding 212:19
side 136:25 260:17	single 116:14,15	skipped 364:23	sort 264:1	sounds 186:17
268:16 270:19	164:22 218:8	Skipping 276:21	sorts 261:16	188:2 191:10
271:7 279:16	219:25 220:2,4	sleep 117:14,15	sought 112:6	210:12 265:16
299:1 309:19	259:20,24 263:7	320:8	sound 91:6 92:2	357:17
313:19,21 314:10	308:18,24	slide 119:9	107:18 109:3,17	sources 308:4,4
sides 115:25 250:4	single-screw 253:16	slides 119:21 318:9	110:12 112:20	southbound 262:16
250:9 360:18	263:11	318:9 337:22	115:17 116:9	Southwest 92:4
Sideshow 240:21	sir 273:1 306:13	slightly 194:10	120:19 121:14	163:9
	I	I	I	I

space 114:17 202:8	306:24 317:3	stage 356:4	334:16	117:14 126:5
236:22,25 237:6	321:25 336:24	stakeholders	state 96:18 98:16	190:23 216:19
237:11,16,19,20	345:2	122:11 330:7,11	100:6 102:5	221:16 223:11
237:21,21,24	specifying 233:16	stand 182:3 189:16	119:19,22 121:12	224:13 261:1
242:3,8,14 248:18	speed 159:15 213:4	197:8 198:4,13,25	121:17 152:6,7,14	277:19 312:8
249:13,20,25	speeds 243:22	199:1 239:3,15	152:15 153:1	349:22 351:6
276:16 277:20,21	spelled 233:19	240:3 249:22	154:4 169:20	stating 301:18
278:16,23,24	spend 183:8	254:22,24 255:10	171:23 172:25	335:22
279:6,7 307:2	spending 183:10,14	256:25	174:11,14 175:10	station 165:17
spaces 245:8,10,12	spent 183:16	standard 96:19	176:7 178:12	241:25 242:7,12
246:20 248:20	spill 111:23 112:3,4	109:13 111:2,8,12	209:21,24 210:2	242:12,20,24
249:8,17,19	112:7,12 139:1,11	111:20 205:2	210:18 211:13	356:7
276:18 279:5	143:4 152:8,16,18	221:3,9,17,18	212:13 215:22	statistics 112:22
301:12	152:20 153:5,8	222:6,8,12 223:18	218:7 221:6	258:19
span 351:7	177:6 198:10,12	224:1,9,15,25	223:16 224:10	statute 113:14
speak 143:23	199:2,6 200:18	225:19,23,25	225:24 226:3	205:4,25 206:16
146:10 153:20	201:10 203:23	226:11,20,25	228:1 267:18	206:17 207:6,13
173:20 212:6	204:23,23 209:8	227:9,14 229:7	269:22 290:11	207:17 208:3,4,7
216:17 316:16	209:16 218:6,13	230:1,10,15,22	291:12 293:25	208:12,14,15,19
speaker 307:4	224:9 225:18	231:3,5,7 239:20	294:11,25,25	209:9,15 218:4,20
speaking 153:18	spills 138:6 142:20	248:25 288:24,24	295:2,5,7,8,9,21	225:19 318:2,4,23
215:5 216:20	152:25 198:18,24	328:5,9,16	296:7 305:24	318:25 321:13
223:5 240:14	206:1 218:2	standards 96:17	324:22 331:8	statutes 111:9
249:16 358:10	split 185:20	150:18 151:2,3,4	356:3 366:3,7	208:6 224:2 225:5
speaks 136:9 146:6	Spokane 97:1	155:2 216:15,24	state's 111:25	225:20,22 231:8
148:16 222:5	Sports 350:24	217:11 227:3	120:18 174:17	stay 123:4
special 103:15	spreadsheet 288:7	229:12 230:20,21	178:1 341:24	staying 311:12
112:2 341:1	288:10 340:4	Standby 338:18	state-regulated	STCW 184:14
specific 101:23	squall 313:14	standing 133:11	113:25	Steamship 115:17
106:18 154:18	St 96:12	stands 125:3	stated 111:7 121:4	steer 253:9 262:9
158:9 160:20	stable 121:10	241:11	153:3 155:14	262:25
162:12 222:10	stack 125:23	Star 124:19 233:22	264:8 279:4 310:6	steerer 313:6
223:25 225:8	237:10 251:12	234:6 270:1	statement 93:3,4,5	steering 217:7
229:19 236:17	stacked 125:19,20	start 107:8 177:19	93:6 108:23 120:3	262:10
254:19 255:7,19	234:25 236:20	194:4 212:16	120:6,11 124:6,10	stem 299:23
255:22 296:23	250:14,20,23	213:20 268:15	128:6 137:9	step 179:2 219:6,18
311:5 328:11	Staff 92:7 98:25	316:20 345:16,21	138:13 179:17	stepping 179:10
specifically 100:10	105:5,11,13	356:17	192:19 222:17,19	stern 262:15 279:1
102:17 113:20	107:20,23 120:2,5	started 124:24	223:20 298:7	298:20 299:24
158:4 189:15	121:25 122:1,5	138:18 184:13	statements 107:8	Steve 124:8
198:15 203:9	123:13,18 220:17	257:23	107:15 108:21	Steven 92:18 108:8
205:17 207:3	334:5,7 350:4	starting 136:13	163:25 217:22	stipulate 104:24
208:21 209:1,4	365:10	144:19 155:14	336:19,20,21	105:6,14,22
226:6 234:4	Staff's 104:11	184:14 227:25	341:2	stipulated 281:4
240:14 252:6	staffing 336:8	325:18 333:18	states 100:1 113:10	stipulates 105:18
	1	1	1	1

				1 490 100
stipulation 106:1	119:11	substance 204:24	103:8,18 104:8	switch 214:12
281:2	structure 283:13	204:24	106:6	339:13
Stoller 94:4 142:2	357:11	substandard 142:5	support 96:24	sworn 134:15 171:2
193:23 304:15,19	structures 239:21	142:10 167:17	115:15 122:21	195:2 267:11
304:23 305:5,8	240:8,15,16	substantial 100:2	127:7 158:10	290:3 304:23
306:21 307:6	struggling 314:20	100:14 256:24	318:10 344:5	315:15
310:5 311:15	316:17	264:10	supported 113:18	system 109:15,22
315:9	studied 152:20	substantially	suppose 206:21	111:5 177:4
Stoller's 141:25	191:22 192:2	116:25 129:13	supposed 297:13	188:10 214:21
stop 222:2	studies 155:23,25	343:5	Supreme 112:11	224:12 227:5
storage 125:21	156:2 160:14	substantive 104:14	115:7,13	262:22 269:4,6
store 242:1,8	study 116:12 156:6	104:20	sure 123:18 136:16	277:20 279:8
storm 158:24	156:8,16,22,24,25	substitute 215:22	146:13 156:10	324:22 325:2,25
159:12,24 163:19	157:3,23 158:3,10	success 333:21	165:9 182:24	349:9,18
163:24 164:4	158:12,13,16	successfully 206:20	195:12 197:9	Systems 214:13
313:13	159:17,21 160:3,6	225:16	208:25 214:20	-
stormy 165:12	160:19 161:2	sudden 313:14	229:17,19 238:16	T
story 128:19	162:12,16,17	suffered 322:25	244:12 254:14	T 200:11 366:1,1
straightforward	163:4	323:2 324:22	256:6 259:12	table 114:14,21
121:9	stuff 182:20 243:14	sufficiency 224:11	261:10 266:2,3	132:17 190:17
strategies 100:12	247:24 347:6	230:18	277:14 278:4,5	308:9,14,18
102:8	subchapter 217:4	sufficient 111:8	283:1,4,23 285:9	309:23 310:17
Strategy 197:4,6	subcontracted	115:5 129:22	287:10 309:12	311:3,14,24 322:6
streamlined 123:10	290:19	222:9,14 230:11	312:21 313:17	325:8 340:19
strength 109:11	subject 98:5 102:6	230:14,18,19,19	319:11 321:18	341:15 342:9,20
300:2	102:12 105:7	230:20	329:7,7,9 332:17	342:23 343:23
stricken 104:10	210:17 263:15,16	sufficiently 167:21	336:18,20 342:15	344:2 345:3,5,7
strict 205:25	279:17 284:5	suggest 102:4	351:25 352:24	345:10,23,24
207:12 208:2,7,14	287:5 292:16	193:13,14 269:3	354:23 358:13	346:16 357:9,20
218:1,4 348:23	297:12 348:17	suggested 354:6	360:7 361:23	Tabler 97:19,22
stricter 322:17	subjective 181:21	suggestion 259:23	362:10	98:21,22 100:10
strictly 131:11,14	subjects 96:20	269:7	surety 218:18	100:21,24 101:1,2
205:21 206:3	submission 121:5	suggests 130:6	surface 313:21	Tabler's 98:3 99:8
strike 95:7,23,24	309:10	Suite 92:14,19	surge 158:24	99:10 100:9
96:14 106:19	submissions 331:7	summary 99:10	159:12	tables 348:12
striking 95:19 96:1	submit 267:23	317:4 320:1	surgeon 186:11	Tacoma 165:17,18
96:10 103:25	268:2 290:22	Sun 124:19 233:22	surprisingly 105:25	262:16
stringent 187:23	submitted 95:5	234:5 270:1 282:6	surrounded 161:10	tag 213:13,14
strive 146:24	97:21,23 100:23	282:17	surveyed 280:23	tags 213:22
186:21	101:7,9,15 103:19	super 262:8	suspenders 103:2	take 97:20 121:20
strong 99:24	105:7 127:7	superintendent	sustaining 348:18	135:8 150:22
100:16 153:6	155:25 212:1,12	183:22	swear 134:5,12	153:21 163:16
strongest 116:15	213:8 214:8	supervise 296:7,10	170:18,19 194:25	164:10 170:6
strongly 118:25	332:11	supervised 210:5	267:3,9 290:1	173:2 179:20
struck 108:18	Submitting 103:14	supplemental	304:20 315:13	180:8,20 181:7
	0			182:17 183:3
	Ī	Ī	I	1

				-
187:11,13,13	280:19	313:18	110:19 111:17	310:7 315:10
191:8 193:13	target 103:16	terminals 136:20	116:20 117:20,25	316:4 317:5
198:5 211:19	113:21 114:18	terminate 357:6	118:21 119:13,16	319:17 320:17,21
214:11 239:16	tariff 109:21	terminated 168:20	124:10 130:8	321:7,23,24 322:2
255:16 262:21	110:14 115:12,22	357:5	133:9 135:1	323:3 326:13
272:4,11 273:10	118:25 119:1	termination 169:10	136:11,17 140:19	327:10,14 330:23
284:12 289:17	125:10 126:10,22	terms 115:11 118:3	140:21,23 141:10	332:22 334:19
296:11 320:24	127:8,17,23 128:2	125:4 163:18	141:20,21 142:8	335:10 339:14
348:9	128:19 129:11,24	165:5 192:18	144:11 148:21,24	342:24 346:18
taken 91:24 107:9	154:16,24 256:2,3	217:3 260:10,13	150:1 152:3,9,11	347:22 350:11,20
163:17 170:11	258:10 280:6,11	277:19 292:9	153:4,11,12,19	350:23 355:20
188:3 194:17	280:15,16 302:17	293:3 349:19	154:1 155:9,13	359:19 361:7
199:22 200:12	338:16,16 355:25	354:11,18	156:4 158:1 164:1	363:9
241:23 289:21	356:4,5,12 357:10	terribly 163:10	171:10 188:13,15	tethering 229:16
346:7	357:11,19	test 127:9 145:15	188:15 191:12	230:4
takes 128:13	tasks 121:19	148:24 150:22	193:9 195:21	TEU 277:19 281:24
347:23	215:19	226:11 339:19	196:10 203:7,11	text 162:5 183:6,7
talent 230:19	team 109:8 168:15	352:12	205:1 207:5 209:6	thank 101:11
talk 148:12 179:25	326:8	testified 134:16	209:15,23 211:8	105:10,12,16,19
188:12 199:12	TEC 181:14	136:6 148:3	211:17 212:12	105:24,24 107:19
241:1 244:23	tech 190:9	160:24 171:3	214:8 219:22	107:21,24 108:4
269:24 293:24	technical 195:7	195:2 209:21	220:24 221:2,6,15	108:10,24 119:5
298:4 341:11	Telegraph 115:8	264:12 267:11	221:23 222:3,5,18	119:23 120:4,6,8
357:8 358:14	Telephone 115:7	274:9,11 278:8	223:11,21 227:19	124:3,4,7 131:21
talked 209:23	telephonically	290:4 300:23,25	227:22 228:1	131:23,24 132:15
215:2	211:5	304:24 307:1,19	230:16 231:17	133:4 134:2,2,18
talking 146:12	tell 133:12 141:7	315:15 327:5	232:2 233:20	135:9,23 136:1,23
151:4 157:16	212:21 215:12	333:13,24	234:1,4 238:25	137:5 138:15
160:2 197:2	264:4 265:6	testifies 335:20	239:14 249:15	141:23 142:13,16
198:15,17 217:9	319:17 326:15,21	336:9,11	251:22 253:24	142:17 144:2,10
230:25 243:25	326:24	testify 144:19	254:15 255:1,4	145:6 147:8,13,19
244:25 249:5,6	telling 213:21	227:19 232:21	258:7 264:3	148:19 149:25
322:5 323:19	ten 140:8 143:11,18	261:4 269:22	266:10 267:24	151:7,18 154:2
325:7 347:11	143:21 187:25	testifying 110:21	268:3 269:22	155:7 156:21
348:15,25	320:6 322:12	141:2 277:7	274:9,18,21,21,24	162:20 170:2
talks 207:18 223:23	ten-hour 317:18	323:15	276:8,12 277:10	171:5,17 173:8
225:4	319:6 321:21	testimony 95:7,18	277:12,24 280:2	174:8 176:18
tank 354:3	324:9	95:19,23,25 96:1	280:24,24 281:23	177:17 179:23
tanker 112:14	ten-minute 170:6	96:4,12,13,14,16	282:3 284:22	182:1 189:1
146:4 236:17,25	289:18	96:21 101:1	288:5 289:13	190:12,14 192:4
245:22 246:23	tender 134:19	102:21 103:4,8,18	290:22 291:1,13	193:1,6,9,11
265:13,14	135:6 171:7,15	103:21 104:1,3,8	291:15,18 292:15	194:2,14 195:13
tankers 117:3	196:1 268:5 291:5	104:11,12,25	292:17 293:25	196:3,5,18 197:10
235:24 259:21	306:14 316:9	105:4,15 106:3,6	297:12 302:19	197:14,15 200:4,5
260:2 265:17	term 114:18 127:25	106:19 108:18	304:8 306:8,8,23	203:1,4 205:24

		i		<u>_</u>
207:25 210:15	332:5 343:1 358:2	thought 157:8	201:4,6 209:12,17	364:3,16 365:15
212:7,25 216:2,4	362:24 363:18	184:20 249:3	209:20 214:3	ton 263:14
220:16,20 231:16	think 115:5 117:24	269:2,7 271:21	216:1 217:4 238:4	tonight 132:20
231:18 232:15,20	133:16 137:24	307:9	243:22 251:14	tonnage 122:19,20
258:12 261:25	143:18 147:10,15	thousand 291:25	253:19 256:12	122:20 124:22
263:19 266:7,9,12	149:23 151:6	thousands 131:5	283:18,18 285:7	125:3,3,4,7,8,12
266:18,22,24	153:22 154:15	291:22	289:17 305:14	125:13 126:7,24
268:7,10 273:8	162:25 167:19	threat 219:5	307:7 313:1	127:3,24 128:1,4
275:4 278:1	172:14 174:24	three 113:22	314:12 315:24	128:11,16,21
279:14 289:4,9,13	175:14,19 177:23	128:23 159:5	317:12,15,17	129:6,12,17
290:7,10,21 291:4	188:18 192:13,13	211:19 273:15	321:13 324:12,12	130:13 131:13,15
291:7,9 292:24	203:10 204:23	285:1 305:25	324:15,16,17	132:5,10,23
296:17 297:6	205:3 209:16	310:2,10 320:12	330:21 331:2	135:21 170:4
298:10 302:20	210:6,9 213:17	322:18 337:16	332:7 333:17,22	193:16 232:1,23
303:23 304:3,5,7	214:17 221:25	345:6,19 347:23	335:17,21 336:10	239:20,24 244:16
304:9 305:1	222:11,20 223:5,7	352:17	336:24 339:6,7,7	244:18,21,23,24
306:16,18 308:7	225:5,11,17	three-and-out	339:8,9,10 341:22	245:5,16 247:1
309:15 310:9,18	228:11 230:17	318:17 319:8	343:1 344:16	248:19 249:2,6,10
311:8 315:4,9,18	234:20 241:4	321:1,19 324:11	345:14 347:21,21	249:12,18 257:9
318:20 319:11,12	244:19 245:3,12	324:11,14 346:11	351:25 353:16	265:5 267:1 269:3
319:19,20,24	246:5 250:18	three-and-outs	356:22 357:15	269:4 274:15
321:22 332:20	251:9 254:4,6	324:19 346:11	358:8 362:7	275:15 276:13
333:6 340:3,15	256:11 259:9	thruster 252:10,22	timeline 344:6	277:7 280:6,14
342:2,3 350:3,5	264:25 266:5,6	253:8,9,10,11,17	timely 213:15	283:7 286:9 288:9
359:8 361:1,1,2	276:2 278:15	262:3,25 263:12	times 116:18 234:7	288:17,23 302:6
362:11,13 363:4,9	283:5,10,11	300:6,9,10,13,17	234:8 261:19	357:12
363:13 364:10,14	299:15,16 300:23	300:19 301:7	303:11,13 339:5,5	tons 127:25 128:2
365:6,13,14	323:12 327:18	thrusters 252:7	346:1,6	276:16,17,19
Thanks 263:25	329:6,8,10,14,21	262:5 300:10,14	timing 103:13	277:1 278:6
272:5 298:15	330:12 331:1	300:24 301:3,4	104:21 344:15	279:21
theories 100:4,10	337:24 338:4,11	thrusts 314:4	tired 185:3	tool 262:5 300:18
thing 179:16	343:21 344:22	Thule 186:3	title 134:10 189:25	top 109:17 114:20
191:11 193:19	346:22 347:10	tide 298:22	221:15 309:20	125:20,23 179:7
229:10	348:13,15 351:15	tides 313:14	318:9	221:14 237:11,23
things 123:9 135:25	352:1,24,25	tightly 245:24	Titone 96:7	240:10 246:20
158:12 173:1	355:21 360:6,8,17	time 97:25 98:20	today 106:5 120:6	250:15,20 251:4
175:6 181:5	361:22 363:5	99:19 103:2,18	176:4 186:4,21	285:12,12 322:7
183:23 185:24	thinking 247:22,23	110:15 118:3,4	193:21 224:20	325:8,9 330:17
202:12 213:4	247:25	120:5 133:19	304:13 307:8	topic 135:24 151:24
234:20,25 235:14	third 109:19 115:4	144:12 148:6	310:4 363:6 365:8	155:8 164:16
235:14 240:18	116:12 159:14	153:18 159:9	today's 363:25	188:13 285:24
243:6 247:5	176:21 228:15	160:15 164:7	told 213:24 214:6	288:6 307:16
253:22 256:19	258:22 305:10	173:1,6 178:6	tomorrow 186:21	345:7 346:15
257:6 283:13	third-party 359:25	179:9,24 183:24	193:22 194:1	348:14 349:3
300:14 314:13	361:9	184:12,18 185:9	304:13 363:16,21	topics 233:9 314:22
L				

	_		_	
339:13 347:7	296:2,21 297:13	149:24 167:22	transportation	trustworthy 339:4
Topsail 290:16	297:18 298:12,19	trainee 148:6 149:4	91:1,3 126:4,4	try 120:9 194:11
total 126:11 137:10	299:10 300:24	149:4,8 151:9,13	transporting 247:8	229:11 264:16
138:11 141:8	303:7,9,10,16,20	167:20,21 174:10	trapped 188:1	299:8 301:7
215:6 258:23	303:21 365:12	180:23 181:6,8	trapping 179:4	307:11
259:3 276:18	TOTE's 122:21	trainees 109:17	travel 324:12	trying 177:13 186:1
278:10 308:22	124:11,16,18	167:4,9 168:7,20	traveling 298:19	190:14 213:17
311:24 313:14	127:9 128:8,18,25	169:9 173:11,19	299:13,21 300:7	249:10 251:5
330:21 332:6	129:3 130:9,24	174:5 175:20	treat 128:20	254:10 283:2
346:4	131:23 132:17,21	180:16	treated 129:1	298:21 299:23,23
totaling 110:19	132:25 194:6	training 121:14	treatment 256:20	342:24 344:1
totally 168:23	232:1 233:11,21	144:15 145:8,15	264:8	358:24
254:18 255:6,18	234:5 248:2	145:15 146:5,7,21	tremendous 123:17	tug 112:12 117:1
TOTE 92:17 105:5	252:16,17,17	147:4 148:12	127:14	229:9,15 230:4
105:20,21 108:5,6	254:20 255:8	149:11,18,19	trend 159:11	252:23,25 253:2
108:8 124:5,9	256:20 261:6,9	150:2,10,16	trends 159:15	252:12,13 300:9
126:12,19 127:6	262:23 267:1	151:20 167:16,20	trial 119:19	300:12,18,18
127:16 128:7,9,22	282:13 283:8	167:24 168:1,2,4	triangle 337:13	tugboat 201:21
129:2,7,19 130:23	284:1 287:5 288:2	168:5,6,12,15,17	tricky 301:8	216:21 262:6
131:4,8,9,19	288:19 301:19	168:25 171:25	tried 228:22 362:5	tugboats 165:24
132:3,6,7,25	tow 263:16	172:2,3,5,9,9,21	tripling 110:9	216:25 217:5
133:5 134:22	towed 261:15	174:3,10,12,15,21	trips 168:9,10	tugs 301:6
135:14 232:3,13	Towing 306:3	174:23,24 176:1	272:12 303:21	tune 218:19
232:23,24 233:10	TP-190976 358:18	180:13,14,16,20	trouble 324:25	turbo-charged
245:17 247:5,6	TP-220513 91:4	181:10,12,25	truck 235:6 261:15	183:9
252:24,25 256:20	track 144:18	186:7,18 216:16	trucks 234:24	turn 104:2 105:2
256:24 258:7	148:15 184:25	229:7,10,12,14,19	261:12	107:14,15,25
260:5,13,15	237:23 337:25	230:3,20 295:4	true 135:3 141:18	108:22 120:2,7
261:14,17 262:12	tracks 125:24	347:25	143:13 171:12	124:4 131:25
262:19 263:3,9,13	tractor-tailers	trainings 229:25	183:3 195:23	134:4 136:10
264:9,11,18 265:4	272:1	transcript 319:12	200:23 211:16	137:25 138:2
267:22 269:23,25	tractors 237:10	366:8	238:6 240:22	139:3,4 140:4
270:20 271:15,22	trade 112:14 126:4	transit 164:22	242:19 270:4	144:11 147:14
271:25 274:2,12	126:25 128:13	165:16 262:16,17	271:16 272:23	151:25 152:1
274:14,25 275:15	262:14 264:20,23	299:19	280:5 281:11	155:7,13 157:22
275:20 277:2	trades 265:8	transiting 198:19	283:19 291:1,23	157:25 158:14
278:15 280:17,18	traditional 122:24	transition 109:23	294:15 295:16	167:17 170:15
281:24 283:16	traffic 118:24 119:4	118:19 358:21	297:22,23,23	176:12,19 182:1
284:7,17 285:14	258:20 298:3	359:13	301:9,16 306:11	182:23 189:14
286:8,12,13 287:8	trailer 271:18	transits 164:24	316:6 324:24	196:14 197:11
287:12,16 289:19	283:12	283:25	360:1,2,4 366:10	199:11 201:7,13
290:19 291:14	trailers 234:24	translates 276:19	trump 208:6,8	204:8,10 208:22
292:17 293:7,14	235:6 237:10	transport 125:22	trust 301:7 338:9	208:24 211:25
294:1,2 295:11,12	trained 145:19	234:19,22 235:4	trusting 145:22	212:8,16 215:25
295:13,16,18	146:2,14,14,19	235:10 245:1	146:5,16 150:6	227:22 239:18
	I	1	1	I

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					Ŭ
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	253.10 267.2	340.22 341.12	103.23	159.16 160.6	Value 156·13
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-			,	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $,		•	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	· · · · · ·		8		•
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $,	-	0		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $,
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		•		-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		• 1			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		• 1			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $,			2
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			-		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			· ·		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				10	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	twin-screw 256:4	• 1	9	10	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	two 95:19 118:2	130:3,11 235:20	119:10 272:20	upper 163:3 333:4	335:2
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	119:21 120:15	235:23 236:7,10	288:11 349:8,21	333:6	versus 96:18
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	122:8 124:18	292:18 293:8,18	understood 165:10	use 118:25 127:2	130:14 262:19
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	125:5,15 126:2,9	297:20	283:2 315:2 335:3	179:4 244:14	322:6 343:5
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	127:9 128:22,25	typically 233:13,23	underway 313:13	245:3,6 252:23,25	350:25 354:12
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	129:7,19 130:1,2	246:17 247:7,10	underwriting 202:1	262:25 287:13,17	vessel 112:5 125:17
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	130:3,9,10 131:10	248:3 291:16	undeserved 256:24	287:18,22 299:3	128:3 130:11,12
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	131:17 132:17,21		264:10	311:24 313:18	132:5,23 135:21
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	139:8 142:22		unfolded 345:15	314:11 346:1	138:8 139:11
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	154:20 155:23,25		unfortunately	UTC 121:25 228:2	142:23 154:7,13
163:8,17 173:6112:21 114:6333:18336:15 337:23166:6,8,14 170:4181:18 190:21118:16 152:7unincorporated120:25UTC's 225:12181:2,5 185:5,25199:22 204:10172:22 181:2120:25uninterrupted320:8199:8 200:15201:20 209:25231:21 234:3201:20 209:25215:23 216:21,24320:8unique 219:3201:25 202:1247:6 248:2217:1,3,3 219:23202:11 293:4292:11 293:4201:5 97:1,1 98:11259:18 263:5U.S.A 305:11United 100:198:16,18,20 100:1206:1,9,10,17,18276:23 279:15,23ultimate 296:9113:10 117:1498:16,18,20 100:1206:1,9,10,17,18282:19 283:6129:8 130:22216:19 261:1100:6220:5 232:1305:12,21 306:4129:8 130:22216:19 261:1327:23327:24 330:24324:25 327:17un 110:3units 277:19327:24 330:24239:9,10 240:11332:2,5 336:14,19unable 333:20units 277:19327:24 330:24239:9,10 240:11336:19,21 338:7unaimous 115:18157:22 158:2,434entine's 328:2324:22 324:3,15	160:13 161:16,17	U.S 100:1 112:11	122:9 123:14	320:18 334:5,6	154:13,18,23,24
181:18 190:21118:16 152:7unincorporatedUTC's 225:12181:2,5 185:5,25199:22 204:10172:22 181:2120:25120:25193:16 196:10,11220:1 232:3199:8 200:15201:20 209:25320:8uninterrupted320:8241:12 246:24215:23 216:21,24320:8unique 219:3201:5 97:1,1 98:11201:25 202:1259:18 263:5U.S.A 305:11United 100:198:16,18,20 100:1216:14 219:17276:23 279:15,23utimately 112:8129:8 130:22216:19 261:1327:24 330:24234:21 235:4,20305:12,21 306:4129:8 130:22216:19 261:1312:8 349:21valentine 327:23237:9 238:7,9,23324:25 327:17un 110:3units 277:19327:24 330:24239:9,10 240:11332:2, 5 336:14,19unaimous 115:18157:22 158:2,4364:19240:24 241:1,6,17242:23 243:3,15		112:21 114:6	333:18	· · · · · ·	
199:22 204:10172:22 181:2120:25UTILITIES 91:1,3193:16 196:10,11220:1 232:3199:8 200:15201:20 209:25320:8uninterrupted320:8201:25 202:1241:12 246:24215:23 216:21,24320:8unique 219:3201:5 97:1,1 98:11201:25 202:1259:18 263:5U.S.A 305:11United 100:198:16,18,20 100:1216:14 219:17276:23 279:15,23utimately 112:8120:55 190:22209:8 210:17216:14 219:17282:19 283:6utimately 112:8129:8 130:22160:12113:10 117:14100:6236:7,21 237:4,5305:12,21 306:4129:8 130:22160:12312:8 349:21327:24 330:24236:7,21 237:4,5324:25 327:17un 110:3unites 277:19327:24 330:24239:9,10 240:11332:2,5 336:14,19unable 333:20unites 277:19327:24 330:24239:9,10 240:11336:19,21 338:7unanimous 115:18157:22 158:2,4Valentine's 328:2324:223 243:3,15	-	118:16 152:7	unincorporated		
220:1 232:3199:8 200:15uninterrupted201:25 202:1233:21 234:3201:20 209:25320:8320:8203:22 204:3,5241:12 246:24215:23 216:21,24320:8201:25 92:11203:22 204:3,5247:6 248:2217:1,3,3 219:23292:11 293:4292:11 293:4209:8 210:17259:18 263:5U.S.A 305:11United 100:198:16,18,20 100:1216:14 219:17276:23 279:15,23ultimately 112:8113:10 117:1498:16,18,20 100:1205: 232:1282:19 283:6129:8 130:22113:10 117:14200:6220:5 232:1305:12,21 306:4129:8 130:22216:19 261:1312:8 349:21234:21 235:4,20317:15 321:15160:12312:8 349:21units 277:19237:9 238:7,9,23324:25 327:17un 110:3units 277:19327:24 330:24239:9,10 240:11336:19,21 338:7unanimous 115:18157:22 158:2,4328:23242:23 243:3,15		172:22 181:2	_		
233:21 234:3 241:12 246:24 247:6 248:2 259:18 263:5 282:19 283:6 317:15 321:15 317:15 321:15201:20 209:25 215:23 216:21,24 217:1,3,3 219:23320:8 unique 219:3 292:11 293:4 United 100:1 113:10 117:14 126:5 190:22 216:19 261:1 312:8 349:21203:22 204:3,5 206:1,9,10,17,18 209:8 210:17 216:14 219:17 216:14 219:17 220:5 232:1 234:21 235:4,20 236:7,21 237:4,5 236:14,19 336:19,21 338:7203:22 204:3,5 206:1,9,10,17,18 209:8 210:17 216:14 219:17 220:5 232:1203:22 204:3,5 206:1,9,10,17,18 292:11 293:4100:1 98:16,18,20 100:1 100:6203:22 204:3,5 206:1,9,10,17,18 209:8 210:17209:8 210:17 209:8 210:17203:22 204:3,5 206:1,9,10,17,18 209:8 210:17209:8 210:17 209:8 210:17203:22 204:3,5 206:1,9,10,17,18 209:8 210:17209:8 210:17 200:6203:22 204:3,5 206:1,9,10,17,18 209:8 210:17209:8 210:17 220:5 232:1216:19 261:1 312:8 349:21317:15 321:15 324:25 327:17 336:14,19110:3 unable 333:20 unanimous 115:18317:15 321:25 336:14,19110:3 unable 333:20 unanimous 115:18317:15 22 158:2,4216:19 261:1 327:24 330:24324:25 327:17 336:14,19110:3 unable 333:20 unanimous 115:18317:15 22 158:2,4242:23 243:3,15		199:8 200:15		,	
241:12 246:24 247:6 248:2 259:18 263:5 282:19 283:6 305:12,21 306:4 317:15 321:15 324:25 327:17 336:19,21 338:7215:23 216:21,24 217:1,3,3 219:23 US.A 305:11 ultimate 296:9 ultimately 112:8 129:8 130:22 unanimous 115:18unique 219:3 292:11 293:4 United 100:1 113:10 117:14 113:10 117:14 126:5 190:22 216:19 261:1 312:8 349:21V206:1,9,10,17,18 209:8 210:17 216:14 219:17 216:14 219:17 220:5 232:1241:12 246:24 247:6 248:2 259:18 263:5 282:19 283:6 305:12,21 306:4 317:15 321:15US.A 305:11 ultimately 112:8 160:12 un 110:3 unable 333:20 unanimous 115:18United 100:1 113:10 117:14 126:5 190:22 216:19 261:1 312:8 349:21 units 277:19Valentine 327:23 327:24 330:24 327:24 330:24 327:24 330:24 364:19206:1,9,10,17,18 209:8 210:17 216:14 219:17 216:14 219:17 236:7,21 237:4,5 236:7,21 237:4,5		201:20 209:25	-		
247:6 248:2 259:18 263:5 276:23 279:15,23 282:19 283:6 305:12,21 306:4 317:15 321:15 324:25 327:17 336:19,21 338:7217:1,3,3 219:23 292:11 293:4 United 100:1 113:10 117:14 126:5 190:22 216:19 261:1 312:8 349:21v 91:5 97:1,1 98:11 98:16,18,20 100:1 100:6 vague 254:4,5 valedictorian 305:9 236:7,21 237:4,5209:8 210:17 216:14 219:17 216:14 219:17 220:5 232:1247:6 248:2 259:18 263:5 276:23 279:15,23 282:19 283:6 305:12,21 306:4 317:15 321:15 317:15 321:15 324:25 327:17 332:2,5 336:14,19217:1,3,3 219:23 292:11 293:4 United 100:1 113:10 117:14 126:5 190:22 216:19 261:1 312:8 349:21209:8 210:17 216:14 219:17 220:5 232:1 vague 254:4,5 valedictorian 305:9 236:7,21 237:4,5 237:9 238:7,9,23 237:9 238:7,9,23 239:9,10 240:11 240:24 241:1,6,17 240:24 241:1,6,17 240:24 241:1,6,17		215:23 216:21,24		V	· · · · · ·
259:18 263:5 276:23 279:15,23 282:19 283:6U.S.A 305:11 ultimate 296:9 ultimately 112:8 129:8 130:22United 100:1 113:10 117:1498:16,18,20 100:1 100:6216:14 219:17 220:5 232:1305:12,21 306:4 317:15 321:15129:8 130:22 160:12113:10 117:14 126:5 190:22100:6 vague 254:4,5234:21 235:4,20 236:7,21 237:4,5317:15 321:15 324:25 327:17 332:2,5 336:14,19100:1 10:3 unable 333:20117:19 110:3 unable 333:20327:24 330:24 36:19,21 338:7239:9,10 240:11 240:24 241:1,6,17		217:1,3,3 219:23	-	v 91:5 97:1,1 98:11	
276:23279:15,23ultimate 296:9113:10117:14220:5232:1282:19283:6ultimately112:8126:5190:22234:21234:21235:4,20305:12,21306:4129:8130:22216:19261:1240:24237:4,5317:15321:15160:12312:8349:21Valentine327:23237:9238:7,9,23324:25327:17un 110:3units 277:19327:24330:24239:9,10240:11336:19,21338:7unanimous115:18157:22158:2,4Valentine's 328:23242:23243:3,15		U.S.A 305:11		, ,	
210:25 219:110,25ultimately 112:8110:10 11/11vague 254:4,5234:21 235:4,20282:19 283:6129:8 130:22216:19 261:1216:19 261:1234:21 235:4,20305:12,21 306:4129:8 130:22216:19 261:1312:8 349:21236:7,21 237:4,5317:15 321:15160:12312:8 349:21Valentine 327:23237:9 238:7,9,23324:25 327:17un 110:3units 277:19327:24 330:24239:9,10 240:11332:2,5 336:14,19unable 333:20University 156:16364:19240:24 241:1,6,1736:19,21 338:7unanimous 115:18157:22 158:2,4Valentine's 328:23242:23 243:3,15					
202:17203:0129:8130:22129:8130:22216:19261:1valedictorian305:9236:7,21237:4,5317:15321:15160:12312:8349:21valedictorian305:9236:7,21237:9238:7,9,23324:25327:17un110:3units277:19327:24330:24239:9,10240:11336:19,21338:7unanimous115:18157:22158:2,4Valentine's328:23242:23243:3,15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			vague 254:4,5	
303:12,21 300.4160:12210:19 201.1237:23317:15 321:15160:12312:8 349:21312:8 349:21324:25 327:17un 110:3units 277:19327:24 330:24332:2,5 336:14,19unable 333:20University 156:16364:1936:19,21 338:7unanimous 115:18157:22 158:2,4Valentine's 328:23		v		0	,
311:10 521:10un 110:3un 110:3units 277:19327:24 330:24239:9,10 240:11332:2,5 336:14,19unable 333:20units 277:19364:19240:24 241:1,6,17336:19,21 338:7unanimous 115:18157:22 158:2,4Valentine's 328:23242:23 243:3,15					
332:2,5 336:14,19 unable 333:20 University 156:16 364:19 240:24 241:1,6,17 336:19,21 338:7 unanimous 115:18 157:22 158:2,4 Valentine's 328:23 242:23 243:3,15					
S32:2,5 550:11,17 unanimous 115:18 University 150:10 Valentine's 328:23 242:23 243:3,15					
157.22 150.2,1			•		
	550.17,21 550.7		137.22 130.2,4		242.23 243.3,13
			l		

244:2,6,19 245:22	233:21,23 234:3,5	247:7 249:17	walked 220:8	160:6 162:12,17
245:23,23 246:15	233.21,23 234.3,3	288:25	Walk 100:21,24	163:10 171:23
246:18,22,23	241:12 242:24	volumes 198:18	101:1,2	187:25 208:12
247:25 248:2,4,24	243:1 245:17	volumetric 245:4	Walter 97:19	218:2,3 272:6,10
249:7 251:4,6,11	247:6,8,18 248:2	249:13 269:9	want 95:15 97:12	295:21 305:24
251:18,24 252:11	248:11 249:24	288:25	101:4,5 103:20	366:3,7
253:14,14,15,16	250:8 251:25	volumetrically	155:7 166:20	Washington's
253:21 254:7,11	250:0 251:25	245:5	179:12 185:6	109:14,18 112:3
255:13 256:4,8	254:1,21 255:9,23	voluntarily 287:9	188:23 189:12	203:23
258:24 259:3	256:21 257:9	287:14	198:14 215:12	wasn't 169:3
260:10,10 262:17	258:20 259:7	voluntary 284:7	218:5 238:4,5	183:21 226:13,13
262:19 263:11,13	260:9,22 262:12	vote 115:18 344:12	242:1 251:15	226:14,16 297:10
263:14,17 267:1	262:15,23 268:24	357:12	253:20 258:18	326:25 327:19
288:18 292:19	270:1,4 274:11	voted 176:2	260:12 268:14	329:19 355:2
293:8,11,11,12,18	276:22 277:18	voyage 165:19	269:1,6,24 270:9	357:5 361:10,14
294:21,21,24,24	288:1,14 291:15	283:22 284:11	275:14 279:7,15	waste 201:4,5
297:19,20 302:1	291:22 292:5,6	301:22 302:2,12	287:18 297:9	209:12,17
307:1 308:14	293:21,22 294:15	voyage's 110:9	298:10 300:11	wasted 237:6
313:12,15,16,21	296:15 303:5,7,10	voyages 286:24,25	302:5 304:17	watch 117:7
313:22 314:8,9,9	303:12,14,20,22	287:5 301:15	309:4 329:9	water 240:25 241:2
314:10,12,14,15	308:19 312:22	303:4	340:12 342:11	241:3,15 243:4,16
326:2,10 333:17	313:3 324:5	VP 198:2	348:2,22 355:8	244:4 248:7
335:23 336:7,10	vice 118:14 216:11		359:20	260:19 261:6
336:12,13,17	victims 218:13,21	W	wanted 101:12	299:6 300:1,3
339:1,3	video 134:4 170:16	W 92:18 276:14	129:25 130:19	313:20 314:4,11
vessel's 125:8,13	194:22 267:3	WAC 95:9 104:15	134:21 144:6	watercraft 313:2
127:25 185:18	270:9,13,15	126:21 127:24	179:10 180:2	waterline 301:11
186:25 240:23	videoconference	174:18 175:1,2	193:18 197:13	waters 178:1 218:3
241:20 244:24	91:9,16 366:9	176:11 178:14,22	302:24 307:9	300:15
276:16,24 301:20	view 130:20 251:5	180:8 187:14,15	342:25 343:2	waterway 136:22
301:24 302:7	violate 184:11	273:2 318:10	344:3,6 345:23	164:24 299:12,18
vessels 109:25	188:7	320:4,23 322:9	347:17 363:24,25	waterways 144:25
110:5 122:18,21	violation 341:24	324:14	wanting 352:9	145:11 146:23
124:18,21 125:15	virtual 107:12	wagon 242:1,8,12	wants 179:14	152:23 155:20
125:16,18,18	virtue 169:10	242:12,20	287:17	163:20 196:22
126:1,2,9,23,25	265:16	wagons 242:24	War 305:19	197:18 198:1
127:10 128:20,24	visited 358:14	wait 188:18,18,18	washed 169:9	201:22 216:11
128:25 129:1,7,19	visualize 241:25	188:20 195:7	Washington 91:1,3	285:3 300:21
130:2,3,9,10	vitae 306:6	244:20 253:1	92:10,15,20 95:10	313:3
131:11,12,14,17	vital 136:20	355:8 359:1	98:12 110:15	wave 156:13 157:4
137:11 154:20	voice 319:12	waiting 103:7	111:3 112:2,9	159:1,19 160:8,10
198:9,11,19	volatility 118:24	160:3	115:6 117:2 152:6	waves 159:13
201:17,20 210:23	119:3	waitlist 180:13,14	152:15 153:1,5	way 121:10 122:4
216:18,24 217:6	volume 91:9 110:11	waive 120:5	156:17 157:23	134:9 151:3
218:20 233:11,13	240:12 244:19	waived 93:4	158:2,4 159:17	161:11 165:23
		Walk 204:12		
	I	1	I	1

	•			-
187:21 216:18	weighs 100:16	windfall 256:24	315:17,20,23	worked 184:16
222:13,16 246:16	166:1	264:11	316:2,5,8,9	185:8 202:25
270:21 271:12	weight 241:4	winding 203:3	335:20 336:11	210:11,17 295:13
274:8,19 280:25	244:17,21,25	winter 165:8	350:16,18 356:1	348:16,25
286:15 298:25	246:12 247:23,25	wise 127:3	356:13,19,25	workforce 114:6
we'll 103:2 107:6	260:20 269:8,19	wish 104:25 214:4	357:22 358:10,23	192:17,18
132:24 155:13	well-compensated	wishing 98:9	359:2,4,9,15,17	working 118:5
170:9 194:4 195:7	120:23	witness 97:18	359:22 360:4,21	120:23,24 234:10
293:24 356:17	well-managed	100:24 101:1	361:2,11,17	300:22 313:12
we're 123:14	349:9	110:22 117:12	362:10,13 363:13	325:3,12 333:19
135:14 137:24	well-regarded	132:2,2,13 133:6	364:17 366:12	335:25 341:6,8
193:12 194:11,20	117:13	133:16,18,24	witnesses 95:20	347:16,20
197:2 198:15,16	went 155:25 231:8	134:6,8,17,19	96:15 105:5,5,6	workload 112:23
200:2 205:15	305:10 340:11	135:2,5,6,12,20	110:20,21 115:25	113:3 341:15
224:11 225:17	344:12	139:20 144:3	116:11 132:1,7,17	346:15,17,24,25
230:25 231:25	weren't 184:7	147:21,24 151:12	132:21,25 169:16	works 266:3
244:17 249:6	198:18,20 326:15	163:1 164:19	232:3,5 310:25	worksheets 127:7
256:1,1 258:9	327:4 331:4 335:2	166:17 167:14	witnesses' 194:10	127:19
283:12 284:4	west 113:13 114:24	169:6 170:8,15,16	Wn 98:12,17,18	workshop 122:12
285:2 298:21	118:23 157:6,12	170:20,22 171:4,7	Wn.App 100:7	workshops 228:14
299:3,7,23,23	161:5 163:9	171:7,11,14,15	Women 190:23	world 153:9 219:18
323:19,22 326:23	305:24 312:8	179:13,15,16	Wood 95:8,18	296:16 305:19
329:16 332:5	whatsoever 102:11	189:21 190:7	word 180:8 182:17	worldwide 308:19
338:12 340:11	206:2	192:12,15 193:11	191:9 208:8	worried 344:24
345:20 346:5	wheelhouse 251:13	194:6,21,21	230:14 261:10	worth 113:9
347:11 348:15	wheels 243:1 272:3	195:10,12,15,18	326:19,19 361:25	wouldn't 169:23
353:5 357:23	wherefores 258:4	195:22,25 196:1	words 98:16 131:5	173:3 178:9
358:11 360:6	WHEREOF	214:2,3 220:16,18	145:4,7 286:12	180:12 181:9,16
363:19	366:12	222:22 231:18	299:6 322:24	200:11 225:2,2,3
we've 143:9,18	White 306:1	232:9,12 261:4	323:24	237:20 238:13,23
160:18 215:2	Wholeheartedly	263:21 264:6,21	work 98:7,23 99:11	241:9 242:10,17
249:1 285:20	191:20	264:25 265:10,12	99:22,24,25	243:9,11 245:25
287:20 288:13	whys 258:3	265:18,21 266:2,8	100:17,18 102:6	246:25 247:6
323:11	wide 114:16 264:20	266:12,15,25	122:11 129:5,9,13	254:11 274:6
weather 155:19	264:23 265:8	267:1,6,13,15,19	165:2 175:16	276:25 279:20
158:7 159:22	widely 292:9 293:2	267:22 268:1,4,5	187:21 189:7	281:14 282:11,18
161:11 163:13,14	wider 312:24	268:8 279:10	195:9 201:24	284:25 288:21
164:8,13,19,20,21	width 128:24 239:5	281:6,8 282:25	202:4 204:9 237:9	291:20 292:8
165:4,17,22 166:1	239:8 246:19	284:22,25 286:17	267:7 293:11	293:2,6,10 296:2
181:4	247:9 251:19	289:6,15,24 290:6	305:8,10 324:7	299:25 300:5
website 182:11,13	282:8	290:9,13,16,24	344:11 347:19,24	302:10 328:17
330:12	Wildlife 197:25	291:3,5 303:24	354:5,5 362:24	write 240:5 256:19
week 104:4 126:15	wind 159:15 186:4	304:1,9,10,17,25	work-product-pr	written 133:9 180:6
262:13	243:23 260:20	305:3,7 306:10,13	102:12	267:23 290:22
Weeks 219:16	263:15 313:13,19	306:14 315:6,11	workday 347:2	305:23 320:24

				<u> </u>
wrong 314:13	330:12,13 331:9,9	310:6,11 316:2	334:6 359:21	124 93:6
wrote 127:22 141:3	331:10 335:19	321:15 325:23	1,046 307:19	1267 259:11
141:5 177:9 178:2	338:3,10 340:14	326:23 327:17	1,200 114:7	13 114:4,13 338:19
189:5 196:18	341:3,7 345:18	333:16 336:9	1,200-foot 293:20	339:15 342:20,22
197:21	350:16,18 353:22	351:8 353:20,24	1.25 115:20	345:3
WTB-05 104:13	354:9 355:4	Yep 136:12 138:1	1.5 115:14,20	13-hour 319:7
WTB-18X 316:22	358:10,12,23	158:8,19,22 159:3	1.82 307:21	321:20
317:2 335:16	359:2,5,5 360:21	159:7 197:20	1:00 194:4	13,500 154:25
353:3	361:24 364:9	205:15 239:12	1:10 194:13	130 346:5,6,8
	year 111:6 113:18	264:6 266:8	1:15 194:13	1300 314:2
X	115:20 126:10,11	275:21	10 96:5 137:15	136 93:10
X 176:16	127:9 168:8 183:9	yesterday 102:22	183:10 184:3	14 96:11 116:8
	183:10 184:3	yields 245:16	220:1 255:4 281:3	149:1 150:7 212:9
Y	226:12 259:2,4	youngest 305:14,18	333:24 336:24	212:16 333:8,13
yacht 293:20	273:11,15,20,21	Joungest 505.11,10	345:22	1400 329:17
yachts 292:5	275:23 291:22	Z	10,000 154:23	1400 92:17 1420 92:19
yeah 132:21 134:6	300:17 305:13	zero 142:20,25	155:2	142 0 <i>92</i> .19 14X 147:10
138:10 139:19,22	311:15 312:1	zone 163:19	100 113:4 280:15	15 108:22 112:8
144:13 148:5,18	318:24 320:2	zones 118:3,4	291:25 332:8	164:7 176:16
150:8 156:7,9,18	334:1 339:19,20	Zoom 92:2,7,12,17	1001 92:14	211:17 220:1
158:19,22 161:8	343:10,13 345:16	92:22	105 214:12	233:5 264:8
161:17 178:18	348:16 349:1,14		106 94:14	309:16,17 346:18
186:10,16 188:5	year-four 272:22	0	108 93:3	354:7
194:8 197:8,20	years 99:8 110:16	02 162:25	11 98:18 106:17	15,050 276:17
198:14 199:1,19	112:15 113:8	03 122:14	125:11 183:10	1500 329:18
199:24 200:18,19	117:10,11 120:15	04X 285:10	184:3 188:19,22	151 353:10,11
200:20 201:2	121:10 124:20	06 111:6,18 221:16	281:21 282:3	16 96:9 106:11
204:2 205:3,19	128:15 140:8	221:21,24,25	310:22 336:25	278:17 307:18
206:3,3 209:13	143:6,11,19,21	222:4,7,11,18,19	337:12	1600-ton 173:6
211:23,24 212:15	149:1 150:7	223:14,16,22,23	11.5 330:21	163 93:11
215:1 217:3,9	159:23 164:4,7	224:6,7,8,21,23	11:09 170:11	17 211:9 316:2
219:5,16 221:12	167:24 168:19	225:7,20,24 226:3	11:20 170:9,15	340:3 359:21
225:9 234:9	169:8 172:24	231:12	11:21 170:11	17,953 276:16
238:12,18,20	173:5,6 185:15	07 157:2 163:1,2	11:56 194:17	171 93:13
239:7 241:1,4,10	186:8 217:4	08T 280:3 350:12	116 213:21	176 98:12
241:24 244:22	219:24 222:24,25	09 228:12,13,18	12 113:3 117:11	177.65 347:22
245:14 247:13,18	233:5 257:7,8,14	316:24,25 335:15	129:3 276:10	348:4
250:17,22 251:2	258:5 264:19	343:7 344:4	342:21 343:23	18 110:19 117:10
252:9,19 253:13	271:4 272:13	345:19 352:20	346:23	142:15 317:20,25
254:25 256:1	273:7 285:1	359:25	12- 314:2	322:2 323:4
259:14,15,22	287:21 288:14	1	12:40 193:14	355:21,24
265:18 271:17,20	295:10,14 297:25		194:16	18-month 110:17
278:4,13 283:22	305:9,12,13,15,16	1 139:20 182:8	12:41 194:17,20	180.65 347:24
287:18 295:20	305:18,20,21	194:11 198:3	120 93:4,5 324:5	18th 366:13
300:3 303:12,17	307:4,21 310:3,4	218:19 291:14	122 98:17	19 96:6,11 221:6
303:24 329:21	,,-	308:9 311:14		,
	I	I	I	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

				5
324:21 327:20	2015 318:18 321:8	349:5,13,15 354:8	270-degree 168:14	360.915.4521 92:10
355:22	323:2,11 357:9,16	2023 91:13,24	270.05 338:22	362 94:11
191 358:18 359:3,4	2016 271:4,11	104:7 115:1 366:9	28 318:24	363-116-065
192 93:14 176:20	2017 331:24	366:13	286 93:24	318:10
359:5	2018 91:25 172:16	206.223.7718 92:20	29 104:7 305:18	363-116-076
193 358:18	308:15 318:21	206.389.1668 92:15	309:18	178:22
1943 115:7	319:2,10,11	21 96:6 172:24	291 94:2	363-116-081 320:5
196 93:16	320:19 331:24	173:5 225:13	2d 98:12,17,18	322:10
1975 305:9	333:18,25 334:9	280:2 327:20	100:7	363-116-082 273:2
1988 112:4	334:24 335:6	328:22 332:19		363-116-300
199 335:17	336:2 366:17	340:12	3	127:24
1998 294:2 295:10	2019 261:2 317:5	2120 259:19	3 100:7 152:4	36X 197:13
1999 138:5,16	318:6,13,23 319:4	216 93:17	189:15 190:14	37 199:17 200:3
308:15	320:20,25 322:6	217 324:5	223:10,21 228:10	378,411 126:9
	323:6,20 324:3,5	2177 92:4	289:18 307:13	37X 197:13,14
2	324:7,11,23 325:5	21X 334:4	325:18 331:15	38,000 273:22
2 96:10 97:19	326:4,14,22 327:5	22 129:4 144:12	334:16	383 100:1
100:20 129:4	327:6,6,12,15	145:17 197:2,17	3.3 276:19	383,825 126:10
157:10 161:14	328:5,10 330:15	203:9,17 305:13	3:02 289:21	38X 197:17
162:25 163:4	331:16,22 332:23	327:21 340:11	30 307:4	39X 205:11
176:12,19 183:6	334:1,9,24 335:6	351:7 355:6	30,000 278:6	
196:23 197:1,7	340:10 343:5,16	220 93:18	300 125:12 126:22	4
198:3 216:13	2019/'20 109:6	226 336:6	131:10 168:14	4 96:11 136:13
221:6,14,14 276:5	2020 124:23,24	229 93:19	241:12 308:20,22	188:15,16,18,22
276:10 280:2,3	125:11 127:17	22nd 281:3	308:23 312:4	255:4 310:16
334:6 341:5	128:22 166:7	22T 203:8,10,16	302 94:3	318:15
2:49 289:17,21	307:14 326:22	23 211:18 341:1,4	306 94:5	4/19 320:15
20 96:5,7 117:10	327:18 328:5,10	355:22	30th 334:1	4/19/21 320:16
124:20 128:15	2021 97:19 100:21	232 93:20	31 139:4 140:4	40 112:15 136:10
142:3 143:6 153:5	126:19 182:15	24 305:15,16	227:22	400 157:6,12 161:5
186:12 257:7,8,14	196:20 197:2,17	350:20	311 94:6	42 114:5
258:5 276:10	216:10 217:20	24-hour 184:20,22	312 186:7	4200 92:19
288:14 305:9	319:14,25 321:2,5	24th 104:9	316 94:8	44 116:18
307:21 310:3,4,6	322:6,10,10,13,17	25 96:9 102:17	31st 104:13	4400 92:14
310:11,19 325:9	323:1,5,9,10,20	106:5 108:14	32 305:20	449 100:1
327:5 328:1	324:3,4,8,13,13	175:21 205:14	33 305:20	45 98:25 287:21
200 317:3	324:19,23 325:10	209:1 295:10,14	33,000 276:19	46 353:8
200-foot 293:20	325:23 326:6	297:25	277:1 279:21	47 317:21
2001 115:15 276:14	327:13,18 328:7	254 359:21	342 94:9	47250 92:9
2003 127:5 202:25	328:16 339:18	258 93:21	35 155:13 158:1,3	48 212:16 213:20
2005 138:18	340:6,13,14,21	26 110:20 126:19	35,000 288:9	340:10
2006 202:25 357:5	345:17	209:1	35,825 275:16	48.33 340:9,16
2007 202:5 308:20	2022 115:11 259:2	26(b)(4) 99:23	350 94:10	341:16
308:22,25 311:16	259:10,25 271:4	260 346:8	357 98:18	48.6 325:13
312:3	343:5,16 348:3	268 93:23	36 199:16 200:3	480-07-425(1)(a)
2008 138:21 202:5			360 338:18	95:10
	1	I	I	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

Pag	е	41	4

			Ŭ
480-07-460(1)(a)	263:13	358:16 363:16	
104:16	65,000-ton 273:15	365:15	
480-07-875 126:21	65,314 271:16	9:10 91:14 95:2	
49.14 339:21	286:8	9:27 107:9	
49.6 325:12	658 101:24	9:35 107:9	
	664,000 270:6	90 110:5 303:20	
5	67 318:1	90.56 204:22	
5 91:13,24 138:2	680 328:23	205:12	
144:19 308:9	686 98:13	90.56.370 205:18	
311:13 312:5	6T 106:13,14	900-feet-long 314:1	
319:2 321:25	6th 365:1	91-366 91:10	
323:4 324:21		97201 92:5	
330:19 366:9	7	98101 92:20	
5:00 363:5 365:17	7 96:5 106:11	98154 92:15	
50 118:6 175:23	223:10 332:25	98504 92:10	
500 259:19	333:1,4,7,12	99.9 333:17 335:12	
500,000 115:1	70 333:25	335:24 336:10	
503.225.0777 92:5	70,000 273:12		
51 264:4 353:7	712 98:17		
52 340:21	719,255.28 126:15		
52.9 341:6,18	72 158:14,18 334:2		
558 147:17	73 336:5		
56 352:5	741 199:18 217:21		
56.9 126:17	750 217:17		
57 311:21 312:4	762,237 126:12		
574,000 114:11	8		
58 359:7	8 96:5 223:10		
59,445 271:11			
5C-08T 355:21	309:23 319:15,25 8- 314:1		
5th 196:20	80 180:22,23 324:6		
6	800 110:20		
6 96:7 115:11	839 270:4		
147:14 158:3	84 213:5		
211:11 231:1	85 180:24		
306:24 307:13	88 165:17		
325:17 327:10	88-16-103 318:2		
60,000 272:21	88.16.005 111:9		
273:16	231:9		
609 140:5	8T 280:2		
61,849 271:12			
613 100:7	9		
65 317:2 318:1	9 96:9 125:11		
335:17	126:21 129:18,23		
65,000 274:2	129:23 155:14		
65,000-gross	227:25 333:12		