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P R O C E E D I N G S

CHAIR DANNER: Good afternoon, everyone. Today is March 30th, 2023, and this is a public comment hearing of Utilities and Transportation Commission in which we are going to hear comments on Puget Sound Pilots' current rate case.

I'm Dave Danner. I'm Chair of the Commission. I'm joined by my colleagues, Commissioner Ann Rendahl and Commissioner Milt Doumit.

The state legislature gave the Commission authority to regulate the rates charged by the Puget Sound ship pilots in 2018. The pilots filed their first tariff to increase rates with the Commission in 2019. The pilots have now filed another tariff to increase rates.

In this filing, the Pilots are proposing increases that they say are needed to cover operating costs including fuel. And also proposing some automatic adjustment mechanisms that would adjust tariff rates when certain conditions are met without need for a

1 litigated rate case. Post-tariff adjusters would cover
2 situations such as the change in number of licensed
3 pilots, changes in the number of ship movements, and
4 simply a cost of living adjustment.

1 said, given today will be included in the formal record 2 of the case.

> So some ground rules: Please mute your connection unless you're called upon to speak. If you're using Zoom, you can mute by clicking on the microphone at the bottom of your screen and a slash will -- a slash will appear to mute and disappear to unmute. And please remember to re-mute when you're finished speaking.

So if you called in, star six on your phone will mute you, and then again when you're finished -will unmute you, and when you're finished speaking please press star six again to mute.

Please wait to be called upon to comment and don't interrupt other speakers. If you have technical difficulties, there are two things you can do: One, is use the chat feature in Zoom; the other is to call Ryan Smith at 360.664.1165. That's 360.664.1165. The chat feature should only be used to report technical difficulties. We won't be accepting comments that are submitted through the chat feature.

So I don't know how many people plan to speak. If there are a whole lot, we may have to time you, but at this point, I don't believe that'll be necessary.

So I have given the Chair a list of people who

1 have signed in to speak. If you haven't signed in, you
2 can use the "raise your hand" feature, and we will get
3 you signed in so we know who's on the line and who wants
4 to speak. If you're calling in on the phone, you can
5 raise your hand by pressing star nine. So I think
6 that's all I have. Chair Danner?

CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. I want to -- I just want to clarify an expectation before we begin. That if individuals are directly affiliated or employed by either the Puget Sound Pilots or by PMSA or by another party, then normally this is not a public comment that you should be engaged in as you are affiliated with an organization that is represented by counsel and a party to this case. So looking at the sign-in sheet so far I don't see that that has been a problem, but if I do see that, I may ask some questions and ask you to limit your participation.

So with that, why don't we get started? Let me ask anyone who wishes to comment today, if you could turn your video on, please, and raise your right hand, and I can swear you in.
(Jay Ubelhart, Catherine Collins, Savannah Smith, Tory Gering, Scott Sevall, Kierstin Lachtman, and Monique Webber sworn in.)

CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.

1 And so let's get started. We will start with Jay
2 Ubelhart from the Inlandboatman's Union of The Pacific.

1 and dispatchers in Seattle that schedule pilots when
2 requested. The Pilots recently added a fourth

1 Puget Sound's environmental tall ship, and we engage in
2 environmental education and youth workforce development
3 on the water. We -sense of place for young people. And most of the young

1 people who have come aboard have never even been to the
2 Sound, even though they live within miles.

1 programming. And the other side of our company is doing Maritime High School mentorship program as well. And

1 also have plans to create field trip opportunities for
2 Sea Potential youth to visit the pilot's station.

1 this curriculum. And we are starting a ship-based 2 program that we are hoping the pilots are going to be

1 kind of summarize that here, but this is -- you said
2 this is all going in the record; so I assume any written

1 one could break down the compensation to an hourly wage.

But I do believe, on the whole, that a salary survey, if done properly -- I do believe a salary survey, if done properly, could be used to make a comparable hourly wage which could then be used to make an appropriate DNI, if the Commission decided to. So, in this case, I would say that the data set is incomplete, and that it's missing stuff. And I don't believe that a fair rate could be calculated using such a salary survey.

I saw that callbacks were in this, and I would hope, given the Commission's stance in the previous order, that callbacks would be rejected as double recovery, yet again. And then in rate design -- my previous rate design I know I had testified to having

1 the DNI be divided into bridge hours so that you could
2 trace the tariff rate directly to how much work was
3 done, and thus it would -- the tariff would collect the 4 approximate amount of wages that the pilots would be 5 due.

There was a discussion about risk in that larger ships are riskier and all these risk impacts in that previous case. And so the Commission decided to go a different way. I would encourage the Commission to still pursue that type of a rate design. I footnoted, in my public comments submitted, a study done or an analysis done of 477 ship accident reports that found human error is responsible for about 74 percent of all accidents. So while ships being larger and weather are risks, the human component is a real risk in that it needs to be calculated and accounted for in this tariff. And I believe the hourly rate is the appropriate place to put that.

Going forward, I would hope that all parties could work together to create a model similar to either the oil pipeline model or the radioactive waste model and settlement that this Commission has produced in the past where it would not be such a labor intensive process to come up with rates.

And along those same lines -- along the same

1 light, I would encourage this Commission to enforce its 2 WAC's a little bit more strict in regards to the filing 3 standards. This case did not meet the WAC requirements, 4 in my opinion, when it was filed. And it should have 5 been rejected. I find it a little disheartening when a 6 party, who was part of the creation of those WAC's, 7 can't follow them in their following filings. So that's 8 all I've got.

CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much. And this is a summary of the comments that you filed in writing to us; is that right?

MR. SEVALL: Correct. Yes. Yes.
CHAIR DANNER: All right. So --
MR. SEVALL: John Cupp should have a copy of those.

CHAIR DANNER: Okay. And that has the citation
to the study that you were referring to?
MR. SEVALL: Correct.
CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you very much. Appreciate it.

So let's now hear from -- hang on a second. Bear with me for just a moment.

Kierstin Lachtman from the Liberian Shipowners' Council, are you there?

MS. LACHTMAN: Yes, Commissioner. Thank you.

1 Good afternoon, commissioners. Thank you very much for
2 the opportunity to provide comments on the general rate
3 increase proposal by the Puget Sound Pilots.

My name is Kierstin Lachtman, and I am the secretary general of the Liberian Shipowners' Council, otherwise known as LSC. LSC is the National Shipowners' Association for the Liberian Register commercial fleets. As the collective voice of Liberian-flag shipowners, LSC articulates and advocates shipowner positions to government regulators and to relevant stakeholders on maritime policy issues while advancing high standards of quality, safety, and environmental protection.

Liberia is currently the second largest flag state representing over 14 percent of the world's ocean-going fleet with more than 5,000 vessels. The Liberian Shipowners' Council is very proud of the safe, environmentally friendly, and high-quality performance of our shipowners and managers. LSC members who transit the Puget Sounds are customers of the pilots and are therefore among the ratepayers concerned, which is why we are providing comments here today.

LSC understands the general rate increase proposal is largely based on a misrepresentation of the safety, the quality, the environmental performance, and the compliance status of foreign-flag vessels operating

1 in the water of the United States. It is on that basis
2 we oppose the proposal.

1 in our public comment hearings, we do not generally take
2 questions; so we have -- or have any questions for you.
3 So thank you very much.

Let me go back, then, and see if Gordon Baxter from the Maritime Trades Council -- are you on the line?

All right. I am not hearing that he is.
That takes us to the end of our sign-in sheet. John Cupp, are there any other people on the line who wish to speak with us today?

MR. CUPP: No, sir. That's all I have so far.
CHAIR DANNER: All right. So let me ask if there is anyone who has not signed up who wishes to speak to us this afternoon?

All right. I am not hearing any. So if that brings us to the end of our comment hearing today, let me turn -- Commissioners, is there anything -- any issues that you would like to raise today?

COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Chair Danner, can you hear me?

CHAIR DANNER: Yes, Commissioner Doumit. Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: I'm sorry, Chair Danner.
I just wanted to raise something for the record in an abundance of caution. At the beginning of this meeting and just prior actually, I've been contacted by not a

1 party but representative of -- who -- I've known Jay
2 Jennings for years -- 30 years, probably. And we have not spoken about the case whatsoever and I don't intend to. And I've conferred with counsel, Mr. Callahan, in the meantime that just -- I would just raise that for the record today. So if there is anything more that I need to do, I'm happy to do. Well, I just wanted to note that for the record. Thank you.

CHAIR DANNER: Thank you very much. Well, it's a small world and we do know people and run into people. Mr. Jennings did sign in this morning but not wishing to testify. So I thank you for your statement.

Commissioner Rendahl, is there anything you wanted to add?

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No, I have nothing to add. I noticed that Monique Webber just came on camera. I wasn't sure if she wished to make comments.

CHAIR DANNER: Yes. I just saw her do so.
Monique Webber, are you there? Do you wish to speak to us this afternoon?

MS. WEBBER: I would.
CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Go ahead.
MS. WEBBER: I apologize. I've been in and out. It was a little hard to get some free time.

I am Pacific Yacht Management. I have chosen

1 not to be an intervener in this case as we do not have
2 the monetary or time resources to be a full participant
3 in this case as it takes many, many months and many
4 hours. We understand and recognize the job that the
5 Puget Sound Pilots do, and the importance of it, but we
6 would also like to state for the record that the
7 recreational industry took a beating in the last rate 8 case. your comments?

MS. WEBBER: Yes, sir.
CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.
All right. Let me ask again if there is anyone on the line who has not spoken who wishes to make a statement today?

Jay Jennings, I see that your camera is on as

1 well.

2
3
4

11 good day.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19 then.

No comment? All right. Thank you very much.
All right. If there is no one else on the line wishing to comment, that is the end of our hearing today

And so I want to thank the court reporter and everyone else who was in attendance today, and this hearing is adjourned. Again, we will do -- we will start our adjudication on April 6th. So until then, thank you everybody for your participation and have a
(Adjourned at 2:00 P.M.)
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