DRAFT COST OF SERVICE RULES

Chapter 480-07 WAC

WAC 480-07-510(6).

(6) Cost of service studies. The company's initial filing must: (a) Linclude any cost of service studyies that complies with Chapter 480-xxx WAC, the company performed or relied on to prepare its proposals; (b) identify all cost studies conducted in the last five years for any of the company's services; and (c) describe the methodology the company used in all such cost studies. If the cost studies are in the form of a model, the company must provide a copy of, or reasonable access to, the model that will enable the commission to verify and modify the model's inputs and assumptions.



New Chapter

WAC 480-xxx-010 Purpose.

- (1) The purpose of these rules is to establish minimum filing requirements for any cost of service study filed with the commission. These rules are designed to streamline, improve, and promote efficiency in analyzing rate cases, clarity of presentation, and ease of understanding. The minimum filing requirements will allow for comparisons of cost of service studies.
- (2) The cost of service study is one factor among many the commission considers when determining rate spread. The commission may also consider, as appropriate, such factors as fairness, perceptions of equity, economic conditions in the service territory, gradualism, and rate stability.

WAC 480-xxx-020 Applicability.

(1) The rules in this chapter apply to any person or party who files a cost of service study in any proceeding before the commission.



WAC 480-xxx-030 Definitions.

- (1) "Allocation factor" means a mathematical expression of the specific cost relationship among revenue requirement and rate schedules.
- (2) "Cost of service study" means a study that identifies and calculates, using regulatory accounting principles, the extent to which customers on various rate schedules cause costs to a utility. This study correlates a utility's costs and revenues with the service provided to customers in each rate schedule.
- (3) "Load study" means a statistical analysis of interval load data collected from sampled customers to estimate the load profiles of rate schedules over a minimum 12-month period. Load profile estimates of rate schedules shall be hourly (or sub-hourly) for electric, and daily for natural gas. A load forecast or load projection model is not a substitute for a load study. Load studies should be conducted at a minimum every five years.
- (4) "Parity ratio" means a rate schedule's revenue-to-cost ratio divided by the system's revenue-to-cost ratio. This ratio shall only be presented to the commission as either a percentage or a decimal.
- (5) "Revenue-to-cost ratio" means revenue at current rates divided by the revenue requirement. This ratio shall only be presented to the commission as either a percentage or a decimal.
- (6) "Special contract" means a negotiated service agreement between a utility and a customer approved pursuant to WAC 480-80-143.
- (7) "System peak" means the maximum load of the Washington portion of a utility's distribution system within an identified time frame.

WAC 480-xxx-040 Minimum Filing Requirements.

- (1) All cost of service study results must be filed in the following forms, available from the commission: electric cost of service template; and, gas cost of service template. In addition, the following must be provided contemporaneously with all cost of service studies:
- (a) <u>Supporting testimony</u>. All cost of service studies must be filed with supporting testimony and exhibits. If supporting testimony or exhibits reference, discuss, or specifically rely on data, models, calculations, or associated information found only in the supporting work papers, the supporting testimony or exhibit must cite to the work papers.
- (b) <u>Supporting work papers</u>. All supporting models, calculations, data, and associated information must be provided to the parties in a manner that allows for the verification and modification of all of the model's inputs and assumptions. This includes:
- (i) All models must be fully functional, which requires, at a minimum, that cells are linked where possible and all formulas are calculable. Wherever possible, all associated calculations necessary to support the results of the study must be consolidated in the same electronic workbook file.
- (ii) Any macros in a model must be explained in a narrative. The narrative must also identify where each macro is found in the model.
- (iii) Each electronic workbook must have an index identifying each spreadsheet and its relationship to other spreadsheets.
- (2) Companies that provide electric and natural gas service must file a cost of service study for their electric and natural gas operations simultaneously. If a company providing electric and natural gas service files a general rate case for only one of its services, the company must apportion the common costs shared by both services in lieu of filing a cost of service study for the service not included in the general rate case.

WAC 480-xxx-050 Cost of Service Study Inputs.

- (1) The rate schedule usage data for any cost of service study must come from the best available source: advanced metering infrastructure (AMI), advanced meter reading (AMR), or a load study.
 - (a) For utilities with AMI, the use of data from a load study must be explicitly justified.
- (b) For utilities with AMR, data from AMR may be used if granularity of the data is hourly or sub-hourly for electric, or daily for natural gas. For utilities with AMR with the data granularity required by this subsection, the use of data from a load study must be explicitly justified.
- (c) For utilities that do not have AMI or AMR with the data granularity required by subsection (1)(b), a load study must be used. Data from special contracts may be used in a load study.
- (d) Street lighting schedules may be estimated and, if so, the estimation method must be explicitly presented in testimony and exhibits.



WAC 480-xxx-060 Cost of Service Methodology.

- (1) A cost of service study filed with the commission must be calculated using an embedded cost method.
- (a) Electric studies shall use the FERC accounts outlined in Table 1 of subsection (3) to functionalize the cost of service. Costs shall be directly functionalized where information is available. Functionalized costs will be classified and allocated by the methods outlined in Table 2 of subsection (3).
- (b) Natural gas studies shall use the FERC accounts outlined in Table 3 of subsection (3) to functionalize the cost of service. Costs shall be directly functionalized where information is available. Functionalized costs will be classified and allocated by the methods outlined in Table 4 of subsection (3).
- (c) FERC accounts not included in Table 1 or Table 3 of subsection (3) but identified in a cost of service study must be accompanied by a rationale for the functional method chosen in the supporting testimony.
- (d) If an allocation method in Table 2 or Table 4 of subsection (3) requires direct assignment, any similar remaining costs in the account may not be allocated to the classes included in the direct assignment; except in circumstances where that class derives a direct benefit from the non-direct assigned costs. If a particular account contains several cost items, of which only certain items in the FERC account are directly-assigned, the cost items that are not directly-assigned will be allocated as appropriate.
 - (e) The abbreviations for the functionalized costs are:
 - "Gn" is an abbreviation meaning the generation function;
 - "Pr" is an abbreviation meaning the production function;
 - "Tr" is an abbreviation meaning the transmission function;
 - "Dist" is an abbreviation meaning the distribution function;
 - "Cust" is an abbreviation meaning the customer function; and,
 - "Comm" is an abbreviation meaning the common function.
- (2) In addition to filing a cost of service study as required in subsection (1), a party may file a cost of service study based on a system-wide econometric study or a system-wide marginal cost study.
- (3) Tables 1-4 of this subsection outline the functionalization, classification, and allocation methods required by subsection (1).

Table 1 – Electric Cost of Service Approved Functionalization Methodologies

| Functionalization | FERC Account Numbers | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Generation | 151, 253, 310 - 317, 330 - 337, 340 - 348, 500 - 515, 535 - 545.1. $546 - 557$ | | |
| Transmission | 350 – 359.1, 560 – 573 | | |
| Distribution | 252, 360 – 374, 580 – 598 | | |
| Customer | 235, 901 – 905, 907, 908* 909– 910 | | |
| Common | 920 – 935, working capital allowance | | |
| Gn/Tr/Dist/Cust/Comm | 301 – 303, 403, 403.1, 404 – 407 | | |
| Gn/Tr/Dist/General | 105, 107, 108, 111 | | |
| Gn/Tr/Dist/Comm | 154, 165, 281, 282 | | |
| Allocate based on sub-account | 182.3, 254 | | |

^{*}Expenses included in account 908 that are related to conservation must be functionalized as power related.



Table 2 – Electric Cost of Service Approved Classification and Allocation Methodologies

| Functionalized Cost | Classification Method | Allocation Method |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Generation | Scenarios | Scenarios |
| Transmission | Scenarios | Scenarios |
| Distribution | TBD based on the | Direct assignment to large customer classes based on |
| Substation | results from the | load ratio share of substations they are fed from. |
| | scenarios | All other classes use an average of the relative share of |
| | | the summer coincident peak and the relative share of |
| | | the winter coincident peak. |
| Distribution Line | TBD based on the | Secondary customers directly assigned where possible. |
| Transformers | results from the | All remaining costs are allocated using a relative ratio |
| | scenarios | of transformers at current installation costs. |
| Distribution Poles | TBD based on the | Primary system customers are allocated using the same |
| and Wires | results from the | method as distribution substation. |
| | scenarios | Secondary system customers are allocated using the |
| | | same method as distribution line transformers. |
| Service Lines | Customer | Average installed cost for new service lines multiplied |
| | | by customer count relative to average installed cost. |
| Meters | Customer | Average installed cost for new metering multiplied by |
| | | customer count. |
| Customer | Customer | All costs assigned by weighted customer counts. |
| Service/Billing | | |
| Administrative & | Depends on | Property insurance based on allocated plant; pensions |
| General and General | functionalization of | and employee insurance based on salary and wages; |
| Plant | account | FERC fees based on energy; revenue-based fees |
| | | allocated by class relative share of total revenue. |
| | | |
| | | The remainder of administrative & general and general |
| | | plant costs shall be allocated as deemed appropriate. |
| | | An explanation of the allocation method used must be |
| | | included in testimony. |
| Intangible Plant | Depends on | Each type of intangible and amortization in a separate |
| | functionalization of | account, allocated using appropriate factors. A |
| , i | account | materiality threshold of the lower of 0.5% of intangible |
| | | plant or \$750,000 will be applied. |

 $Table\ 3-Natural\ Gas\ Cost\ of\ Service\ Approved\ Functionalization\ Methodologies$

| Functionalization | FERC Account Numbers | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Production | 710, 711-736, 740-742, 800-813, 804.1, 805.1, 808.1, 808.2, 809.1, 809.2, | | |
| Storage | 350-356, 352.1, 352.2, 352.3, 814-826, 830-837, 840-843, 842.1-842.3, 843.1-843.9, | | |
| Transmission | 365.1, 365.2, 366-371, 850-867, 870, | | |
| Distribution | 374-387, 871-881, 885-894 | | |
| Customer | 901-905, 907, 908*, 909-910 | | |
| General | 389-399, 920-929, 930.1, 930.2, 931 | | |
| Pr/Tr/Dist/General/Common | 101.1, 104-108, 111, 114, 115, 117.1-117.4, 165, 182.3, 186, 190, 228.1-228.4, 229, 235, 252, 253, 255, 281-283, 301-303, 403, 403.1, 404-407, 407.1-407.4, 408.1, 409.1, 410.0-411.1, 411.4, 411.6-411.9, 412-414, 421 | | |
| Common | Working capital | | |
| Allocate based on sub-account | 182.3, 254 | | |

^{*}Expenses included in account 908 that are related to conservation must be functionalized as power related.

Table 4 – Natural Gas Cost of Service Approved Classification and Allocation Methodologies

| Functionalized Cost | Classification Method | Allocation Method |
|--|---|--|
| Distribution Mains | Scenarios | Scenarios |
| Transportation Main | Scenarios | Scenarios |
| Distribution Assets | TBD based on the results from the scenarios | Measuring and regulating station equipment is allocated the same as distribution mains [TBD on methodology] except large industrial customers are allocated all average related costs, unlike the distribution main allocator which excludes small pipe. |
| Services | Customer | Allocated to rate schedule based on the class average service installation cost. Large customers are directly assigned based on a special study; for only this allocator, it is up to the utility to determine "large customer." |
| Meters | Customer | Average installed cost for new metering multiplied by customer count. |
| Customer Service/Billing | Customer | All costs assigned by weighted customer counts. |
| Administrative & General and General Plant | Depends on functionalization of account | Property insurance based on allocated plant; pensions and employee insurance based on salary and wages; FERC fees based on energy; revenue-based fees allocated by class relative share of total revenue. The remainder of administrative & general and general plant costs shall be allocated as deemed appropriate. An explanation of the allocation method used must be included in testimony. |
| Intangible Plant | Depends on functionalization of account | Each type of intangible and amortization in a separate account, allocated using appropriate factors. A materiality threshold of the lower of 0.5% of intangible plant or \$750,000 will be applied. |

WAC 480-xxx-070 Exemptions.

- (1) In addition to the requirements of WAC 480-07-110(2)(c), any petition for exemption from this chapter must provide evidence sufficient to demonstrate:
- (a) The proposal significantly improves the accuracy of the cost of service study in comparison with a cost of service study complying with this chapter, including:
- (i) A detailed explanation of how the proposal significantly improves the accuracy of the cost of service study; and,
- (ii) A description of the conditions under which the proposal should be applied, and how the conditions are currently met.
- (b) The proposal represents improvements so significant and compelling that the commission should consider incorporating the proposal into this chapter.
- (2) Under WAC 480-07-500(4), the commission will reject or require revision of any filing presenting a cost of service study that does not fully comply with this chapter unless a commission order has granted an exemption from this chapter.