```
00021
 1
              BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
                   TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
 2.
 3
   In the Matter of the Petition )
                                     Docket No. UT-991991
                                     Volume II
 5
                                     Pages 21-35
   MCI WORLDCOM, INC. and SPRINT
   CORPORATION,
   for an Order Disclaiming
    Jurisdiction, or in the
   Alternative Approving the
    Transfer of Control of Sprint )
   Corporation's Washington
    Operating Subsidiaries to MCI )
   WORLDCOM, INC.
10
11
12
13
                         A hearing in the above matter was
14
   held on May 9, 2000, at 1:45 p.m., at 1300 South
15
   Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington,
16
   before Administrative Law Judge KAREN M. CAILLE.
17
                        The parties were present as
18
   follows:
                        MCI WORLDCOM, INC., via bridge line,
19
   by Brooks E. Harlow, Attorney at Law, 601 Union Street,
20
   Suite 4400, Seattle, Washington 98101.
21
                        SPRINT CORPORATION, via bridge line,
   by Eric S. Heath, Attorney at Law, 330 South Valley View
   Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada 89029.
23
                        SBC COMMUNICATIONS, by Judith A.
    Endejan, Attorney at Law, 601 Union Street, Suite 4100,
24
    Seattle, Washington 98101.
```

```
00022
                        THE COMMISSION, by Jonathan
    Thompson, Assistant Attorney General, 1400 South
    Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington
    98504-0128.
 3
                        PUBLIC COUNSEL, by Robert W.
   CROMWELL, JR., Assistant Attorney General, 900 Fourth
    Avenue, Suite 2000, Seattle, Washington 98164.
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
   Joan E. Kinn, CCR, RPR
25 Court Reporter
```

JUDGE CAILLE: We are here this afternoon for a prehearing conference in Docket UT-991991 in the Matter of the Petition of MCI Worldcom Incorporated and Sprint Corporation for an Order Disclaiming Jurisdiction or in the Alternative Approving the Transfer of Control of Sprint Corporation's Washington Operating Subsidiaries to MCI Worldcom.

This proceeding has been consolidated with two reopened proceedings, Cause Number U-86-79 and Cause Number U-86-101 to determine whether waivers of statutory and regulatory provisions pertaining to securities, transfers of property, and affiliated interests granted previously to Sprint and MCI should be revoked.

Today is May the 9th, 2000, and we are convened in a hearing room at the Commission's offices in Olympia, Washington. My name is Karen Caille, and I am Presiding Administrative Law Judge in this proceeding. With me on the Bench this afternoon is Jennifer Watsek, who is working with me to organize the materials for next week's hearings.

At this time, I'm going to bring you up to date on the timing for the hearings. The hearings begin next week at 9:30 on Tuesday. I would like everyone to be here by 9:00 to take care of any last minute

cross-examination, exhibits, other matters, and to swear the witness in and have him ready, him or her ready, before calling the Commissioners to the Bench. On Wednesdays, the Commission has an open 5 meeting, and the hearings will begin immediately after the open meeting. I would say that you should be on standby at about 10:00, because it could be a very short 7 open meeting. And then we will determine what time hearings will start on Thursday. There is also a public 9 10 meeting on Tuesday, May 16th, which will begin at 6:00. So I would like to start this afternoon by 11 12 taking --13 MR. THOMPSON: Your Honor, this is Jonathan 14 Thompson, I'm told that there is no open meeting this 15 coming Wednesday. 16 JUDGE CAILLE: Oh, is that true? 17 GARGI BHATTACHARYA: There is one tomorrow 18 and then on the 24th and the 30th. 19 JUDGE CAILLE: Okay. Mr. Harlow, I didn't 20 hear what you had to say. 21 MR. HARLOW: I received an agenda for the 22 meeting, although there's not a section set for 23 Wednesday. So no setting, but I did receive an agenda 24 for it.

GARGI BHATTACHARYA: There's one tomorrow,

00025 one on the 24th, and one on the 30th. JUDGE CAILLE: All right, then disregard what I said. We will determine on Tuesday what time the meeting should start on Wednesday or what time the 5 hearing should start on Wednesday. With that correction, I would now like to 7 start taking appearances from all the parties, and how about if we begin on the bridge line with you, 9 Mr. Harlow. 10 MR. HARLOW: Thank you, Your Honor. This is 11 Brooks Harlow on behalf of the Petitioner, MCI Worldcom. 12 JUDGE CAILLE: And who else is on the bridge 13 line? 14 MR. HEATH: Eric Heath for Sprint. 15

JUDGE CAILLE: Mr. Thompson.

MR. THOMPSON: This is Jonathan Thompson for 16 17

the Commission Staff.

MR. CROMWELL: Robert Cromwell for Public 18

19 Counsel.

20 MS. ENDEJAN: Judy Endejan here representing 21 intervener SBC Communications. And I would note for the

record, Your Honor, that yesterday we filed a notice of 22

appearance and association of counsel for Patrick J. 23

24 Pascarella, who is an inside counsel with SBC

25 Communications, and he will also be appearing in this

25

bringing such a motion.

matter and at the hearings. JUDGE CAILLE: Thank you. Let the record reflect there are no other appearances. This prehearing conference was scheduled by 5 letter on April the 19th, 2000. As set out in that notice, the purpose of this conference is to number for 7 identification all exhibits and to attend to other procedural matters. 9 According to the notice, topics for the 10 conference will include the order of witnesses and of 11 cross-examination, the existence of objections to 12 evidence and argument on such objections, and the existence of dispositive motions in response to such 13 14 motions. 15 The parties were to file objections and 16 dispositive motions no later than 1:00 on Monday, May 8. 17 To date, the Commission has not received any objections 18 or dispositive motions. Are any of the parties planning to make objections or file such motions? 19 20 MR. CROMWELL: No, Your Honor. 21 JUDGE CAILLE: All right. MR. THOMPSON: Your Honor, there is still 22 23 some pending discovery matters, and I don't know, unless 24 data responses are quickly forthcoming, I may be

00027 JUDGE CAILLE: All right. 2 MR. THOMPSON: This is Jonathan Thompson again. I was just saying there is an issue concerning some outstanding data requests that Staff propounded, 5 and specifically with relation to Sally McMahon's testimony, that are of concern to us. And I would just 7 say that it's possible that we may need to bring a motion with respect to her testimony, but not at this 9 time. 10 JUDGE CAILLE: All right. 11 MR. CROMWELL: Your Honor, my only comment, 12 which I was clearly hesitant to make at the time, and 13 this is Robert Cromwell for the bridge, we have been 14 getting quite substantial discovery rather late in the process. I got about ten inches, I think, yesterday at 15 the end of the business day. I don't know what else is 16 17 going to walk in my door this week, but that is placing

JUDGE CAILLE: At this point.

a rather severe impact upon my ability to prepare

of a motion at this point.

18

19 20

21

22

23 24 MR. CROMWELL: But I wanted to make you aware that there's certainly some rather substantial late production occurring in this case.

adequately for next week. And unfortunately, it's just

not something I can assess and present to you in terms

MS. ENDEJAN: And, Your Honor, I would also reserve the right to bring some discovery matters to your attention. We have not yet received the responses to the first set of data requests which SBC propounded. I am advised or was advised yesterday that they were to be forthcoming today. They had not arrived by the time I left my office. I'm hopeful that we can use the materials in the responses that we have received, because I realize that we don't want to waste valuable preparation time dealing with discovery battles, but the 11 fact is there still are some open discovery issues 12 today. 13 JUDGE CAILLE: Would anyone on the bridge 14 like to be heard on this matter? 15 MR. HARLOW: Yes, Your Honor. I would simply 16 like to note that we have been working with all the 17 counsel who frankly have surprised me by raising 18 discovery issues in this context, because we have been 19 working with all counsel endeavoring to respond. We 20 have responded in some cases late, as have other parties 21 responded late to our discovery requests, but we have 22 been working through these issues. I'm disappointed 23 they're coming up now. 24

I simply wish to note for the record we have 25 produced thousands upon thousands of pages of documents.

It's a huge, huge task. Frankly I wasn't counsel at the time the schedule was set up in this case, it was routine to have a discovery cut off. And had there been a discovery cut off, we wouldn't have been continuing to deal with discovery at this late date at this point in 5 the hearing. That's something that in hindsight should have been done, but it wasn't. In any event, I believe that the parties are working to resolve these issues 9 with speed. And that I hope what may be posturing here 10 for a late motion to strike, because we're working 11 through this as quickly as we can with a very crushing 12 last minute discovery burdon. 13 JUDGE CAILLE: All right. I understand that 14 there could possibly be some, with the late arrival of a 15 lot of the data requests, responses to data requests, 16 and the amount of material you folks are dealing with, 17 but I would ask you if at all possible to bring any 18 dispute or any such motion to my attention as quickly as 19 possible so that we can get through next week in an 20 orderly fashion without too much time spent on argument. 21 And those are the sort of things that we're building

22 time in in the morning before we begin to take care of.
23 I have received, the parties were asked to
24 provide each other and the Bench a list showing the
25 witnesses in the order they will appear, and I have

received a list of witnesses from MCI, and Staff and SBC just have one witness. I have received a request from Dr. Hausman that he appear on Thursday the 18th, so am I assuming that Dr. Blackmon will go first and Dr. Hausman 5 will go after him? 6 MR. THOMPSON: Yeah, I think that would 7 follow the usual procedure set out in the rules. JUDGE CAILLE: All right. In front of you, 9 you should have an order of witnesses and estimates of 10 cross-examination, and if you could take a moment to 11 fill out that matrix, I have received from MCI time 12 estimates of two hours for both Dr. Hausman and 13 Dr. Blackmon. 14 MS. ENDEJAN: Your Honor, is that two hours 15 total or two hours a piece? 16 JUDGE CAILLE: I believe that's two hours a 17 piece. Is that correct, Mr. Harlow? 18 MR. HARLOW: That's correct, and I know we 19 copied that letter to other counsel. 20 MS. ENDEJAN: Yeah, I'm sure you did, Brooks. 21 I just didn't know if it was total or a piece. 22 MR. HARLOW: It's each. 23 JUDGE CAILLE: And is that just for MCI, or 24 will Sprint be doing cross-examination as well? 25 MR. HEATH: I believe that the, this is Eric

24

25

binder.

Heath on behalf of Sprint, that cross-examination testimony and order of witnesses both that Mr. Harlow filed yesterday is for the joint petitioners, so it's both for Sprint and MCI Worldcom. 5 JUDGE CAILLE: All right. Thank you. 6 When you finished your assignment, will you 7 please bring that forward to Ms. Watsek. 8 MR. CROMWELL: Your honor, I always wish I 9 had a crystal ball at this time. 10 JUDGE CAILLE: Just do your best, Mr. 11 Cromwell, and then if you could advise me of any 12 adjustments. 13 MR. CROMWELL: Sure. JUDGE CAILLE: We will E-mail you a completed 14 copy of this matrix, and I would just advise you if 15 16 there are any changes to please let us know as soon as 17 you can. 18 The notice also provided a date for 19 predistribution of cross exhibits, and that was 20 subsequently extended to noon on Friday, May the 12th. 21 As a reminder, the Bench will need six copies of these 22 exhibits. Please provide them in the order you intend 23 to use them in a packet by witness with a brief

description and punched for insertion in a three-ring

Now I would note that public counsel has sent me a list of the cross exhibits that he is intending to use. Do any other parties have such lists? All right. Maybe could I get an estimate of 5 what we can be expecting as far as cross exhibits maybe beginning with you, Mr. Thompson. MR. THOMPSON: Yeah, it's a little difficult to say at this point because, although I'm prepared to 9 give an estimate, but it may be higher than I need, 10 because it may be possible to stipulate some of these documents into the record I'm hoping. 11 12 But here's what I have. For Mr. Kapka, I 13 would like to reserve 15. For Mr. Porter, I would like 14 to reserve 20. For Ms. McMahon, I would reserve 10. 15 And for Dr. Kelly, I would reserve 40. 16 JUDGE CAILLE: Okay. 17 MR. CROMWELL: Your Honor. 18 JUDGE CAILLE: Yes. MR. CROMWELL: Robert Cromwell for the 19 20 bridge. The list I sent you was my best guess at which 21 witness after conferring with corporate counsel would be responsive to which exhibits. It's my hope that I will only have very few additional cross-examination exhibits 22 23 on Tuesday. However, again, as I mentioned earlier, I'm 24 faced with additional paper coming in this week, and so

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

that will likely result in at least a handful of additional exhibits. And I guess I should also apologize to the Bench for my tardiness, which was solely the result of 5 complications in my office. But I am prepared to distribute copies of the exhibits that I designated in the list that I E-mailed to Ms. Watsek and have the six 7 Bench copies in my trunk today. 9 JUDGE CAILLE: Oh, that's great. 10

MR. CROMWELL: And copies for Staff and Ms. Endejan, and I thought we would see someone here from the companies, but I can get a box to Mr. Harlow at his office later today.

JUDGE CAILLE: All right, thank you. very helpful. Anything that we can start working with would be great.

Mr. Cromwell, do you have any idea about how many -- you know, I'm thinking maybe we can go off the record for this, so let's go off the record for identification of exhibits, and then we will come back on.

(Discussion off the record.)

JUDGE CAILLE: While we have been off the 24 record, we have identified the exhibits that we were 25 able to and have reserved numbers for potential cross

16

17

exhibits. Also we have discussed the order of the witnesses, and I believe did we decide that Dr. Blackmon would go last? Is that what we decided? Oh, we didn't 4 decide.

5 MR. THOMPSON: We didn't decide yet. 6

JUDGE CAILLE: All right. Are we going to

7 defer that decision?

MR. THOMPSON: I'm not sure who it would be 9 up to. We haven't discussed it among the parties at 10 all.

11 MS. ENDEJAN: I think we can probably work it 12 My only concern is that Dr. Hausman is, you know, 13 here on the 18th, and I don't know if he's available the 14 day after if this flops over or not, but I do know he is 15 available on the 18th.

JUDGE CAILLE: All right. We will make sure that he appears on the 18th.

Is there any other business or is there 18 19 anything that I have forgotten to mention that we 20 discussed off the record? Oh, I know what I need to 21 mention.

22 Rather than read these numbers into the 23 record to identify the exhibits, we are preparing a formal exhibit list that will go into the record, and we will be doing that in lieu of reading in all of these

```
00035
    numbers, especially since we don't know what many of
    them are.
 3
               Does anyone else have anything to add with
 4
    regards to what we discussed off the record?
 5
               MR. HARLOW: Yes, Your Honor, there was my
    request to extend the testimony or the cross exhibits
 7
    distribution time from noon until 1:00 this Friday.
               JUDGE CAILLE: All right, thank you. It will
    be extended until 1:00, from noon until 1:00 on Friday
9
    as Mr. Harlow has just described, and that is for
10
11
               That's my understanding.
    everyone.
12
               All right, anything else?
13
               All right, then, we will meet in this room at
14
    9:00 on Tuesday, and we will be adjourned until then.
15
    Thank you.
16
               (Hearing adjourned at 2:40 p.m.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```