

Exhibit No. __ (EJK-5)
Docket UE-132027
Witness: Edward J. Keating

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

**For an Accounting Order Approving the
Allocation of Proceeds of the Sale of
Certain Assets to Public Utility District #1
of Jefferson County**

DOCKET UE-132027

EXHIBIT TO TESTIMONY OF

Edward J. Keating

**STAFF OF
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

Decoupling Impact

March 28, 2014

Impact to Remaining Ratepayers of Lost Jefferson County Contributions to Annual Allowed Delivery Revenue

Line No.	Description (a)	Source (b)	With Jefferson County (c)	Without Jefferson County (d)	Difference (e) = (c) - (d)	Overcollection (f) = (e) * (d4)
1						
2	Test Year Allowed Delivery Revenue*	UE-130137 WP	\$ 372,335,049.89	\$ 364,899,554.61	\$ (7,435,495.28)	
3						
4	Test Year Customers	Quarterly Reports	959,515	944,292	(15,223.50)	
5						
6	Test Year Delivery Revenue Per Customer	(2) / (4)	\$ 388.05	\$ 386.43	\$ (1.62)	
7						
8	K-Factor	PSE's response to Staff Data Request 12, Attachment D	1.03	1.03	-	
9						
10	K-Factor Adjusted Delivery Revenue Per Customer					
11	- Effective May 1, 2013	(6) * (8) ^ 1	\$ 399.69	\$ 398.02	\$ 1.67	\$ 1,573,934.14
12	- Effective January 1, 2014	(6) * (8) ^ 2	\$ 411.68	\$ 409.96	\$ 1.72	\$ 1,621,152.17
13	- Effective January 1, 2015	(6) * (8) ^ 3	\$ 424.03	\$ 422.26	\$ 1.77	\$ 1,669,786.73
14	- Effective January 1, 2016	(6) * (8) ^ 4	\$ 436.75	\$ 434.93	\$ 1.82	\$ 1,719,880.33
15	- Effective January 1, 2017**	(6) * (8) ^ 5	\$ 449.85	\$ 447.97	\$ 1.88	\$ 1,771,476.74
16	Total					\$ 8,356,230.12

* Data in Column (d) was obtained from PSE's response to Staff Data Request 12, Attachment D. See Page 2.

** Only if rates from PSE's next general rate case have not yet gone into effect.

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Docket No. UE-132027
Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s
Petition for an Accounting Order Approving the Allocation of Proceeds of the
Sale of Certain Assets to Public Utility District #1 of Jefferson County

WUTC STAFF INFORMAL DATA REQUEST NO. 012

WUTC STAFF INFORMAL DATA REQUEST NO. 012:

Exhibit No. ____ (JAP-3) shows two scenarios for how the ERF-related revenues and expenses would look without Jefferson County.

A. Please provide both scenarios showing the rate-spread and -design by updating the Company's ERF (Sch. 141) compliance filing.

B. Since the ERF was the foundation for the decoupling mechanism's "revenue per customer," please provide both scenarios showing the decoupling mechanism's "revenue per customer" without Jefferson County by updating the Company's decoupling (Sch. 142) compliance filing.

Please include supporting workpapers.

Response:

A. Attached as Attachment A to Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s ("PSE") Response to WUTC Staff Informal Data Request No. 012, please find an MS Excel file containing the Expedited Rate Filing ("ERF") (Rider Schedule 141) rate-spread and -design workpaper reflecting the estimated effects of the loss of PSE's Jefferson County service area and the revenue deficiency of \$34,120,290 referenced on page one of Exhibit No. ____ (JAP-3).

Attached as Attachment B to PSE's Response to WUTC Staff Informal Data Request No. 012, please find an MS Excel file containing the ERF (Rider Schedule 141) rate-spread and -design workpaper reflecting the estimated effects of the loss of PSE's Jefferson County service area and the revenue deficiency of \$29,752,163 referenced on page two of Exhibit No. ____ (JAP-3).

B. Attached as Attachment C to PSE's Response to WUTC Staff Informal Data Request No. 012, please find an MS Excel file containing the decoupling mechanism's "revenue per customer" (Rider Schedule 142) workpaper reflecting the estimated effects of the loss of PSE's Jefferson County service area and the revenue deficiency of \$34,120,290 referenced on page one of Exhibit No. ____ (JAP-3).

Attached as Attachment D to PSE's Response to WUTC Staff Informal Data Request No. 012, please find an MS Excel file containing the decoupling mechanism's "revenue per customer" (Rider Schedule 142) workpaper reflecting the estimated effects of the loss of PSE's Jefferson County service area and the revenue deficiency of \$29,752,163 referenced on page two of Exhibit No. ____ (JAP-3).

PSE's Response to WUTC Staff Informal Data Request No. 006

Date of Response: November 22, 2013

Person who Prepared the Response: Kelly Xu

Witness Knowledgeable About the Response: Jon A. Piliaris

**COMPANY RESPONSE TO
STAFF DATA REQUEST NO. 12
Attachment D
Original Tab Name" JAP-14"**

**Puget Sound Energy
Decoupling Filing
Development of Annual Allowed Delivery Revenue Per Customer - Electric**

Line No.	Source	Residential	Non-Residential Schedules*
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
1			
2	Test Year Allowed Delivery Revenue	UE-130137 WP	\$ 364,899,555 \$ 242,245,384
3			
4	Test Year Customers	Quarterly Report	944,292 120,575
5			
6	Test Year Volumetric Delivery Revenue Per Customer	(2) / (4)	\$ 386.43 \$ 2,009.09
7			
8	K-Factor		
9	- Effective May 1, 2013	Input	1.030 1.030
10	- Effective January 1, 2014	Input	1.030 1.030
11	- Effective January 1, 2015	Input	1.030 1.030
12	- Effective January 1, 2016	Input	1.030 1.030
13	- Effective January 1, 2017**	Input	1.030 1.030
14			
15	K-Factor Adjusted Volumetric Delivery Revenue Per Customer		
16	- Effective May 1, 2013	(6) x (9)	\$ 398.02 \$ 2,069.36
17	- Effective January 1, 2014	(16) x (10)	\$ 409.96 \$ 2,131.44
18	- Effective January 1, 2015	(17) x (11)	\$ 422.26 \$ 2,195.38
19	- Effective January 1, 2016	(18) x (12)	\$ 434.93 \$ 2,261.24
20	- Effective January 1, 2017**	(19) x (13)	\$ 447.98 \$ 2,329.08
21			
22	Test Year Basic & Minimum Charge Revenue	UE-130137 WP	\$ 91,426,872 \$ 30,732,331
23			
24	Test Year Basic Charge Revenue Per Customer	(22) / (4)	\$ 96.82 \$ 254.88
25			
26	Annual Allowed Volumetric Delivery Revenue Per Customer		
27	- Effective May 1, 2013	(16) - (24)	\$ 301.20 \$ 1,814.48
28	- Effective January 1, 2014	(17) - (24)	\$ 313.14 \$ 1,876.56
29	- Effective January 1, 2015	(18) - (24)	\$ 325.44 \$ 1,940.50
30	- Effective January 1, 2016	(19) - (24)	\$ 338.11 \$ 2,006.36
31	- Effective January 1, 2017**	(20) - (24)	\$ 351.16 \$ 2,074.20
32			
33	* Schedules 24, 25, 26, 26P, 29, 31, 35, 40, 43, 46, 49, as well as related schedules eligible for BPA Res. Exchange.		
34	** Only if rates from PSE's next general rate case have not yet gone into effect.		