EXHIBIT NO. \_\_\_(RG-14T) DOCKET NO. UE-060266/UG-060267 2006 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE WITNESS: ROGER GARRATT

## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

Docket No. UE-060266 Docket No. UG-060267

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,

**Respondent.** 

PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF ROGER GARRATT ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

AUGUST 23, 2006

## PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

## PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF ROGER GARRATT

## CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION
II.	STATUS OF THE WILD HORSE WIND FARM
III.	CONCLUSION

	PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.
	PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF ROGER GARRATT
	I. INTRODUCTION
Q.	Are you the same Roger Garratt who submitted prefiled direct testimony in
	this proceeding on February 15, 2006, on behalf of Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
	("PSE" or "the Company")?
A.	Yes.
Q.	Please summarize the purpose of your rebuttal testimony.
A.	My rebuttal testimony provides updated information regarding the status of
	construction of the Wild Horse wind farm.
	II. STATUS OF THE WILD HORSE WIND FARM
Q.	Has Commission Staff challenged the prudency of the acquisition of the Wild
	Horse wind farm?
A.	No. In the prefiled direct testimony of Mr. James M. Russell, Exhibit
	No. (JMR-1T), Mr. Russell stated the following with regard to the prudency
	of (i) the acquisition of the Wild Horse wind farm; (ii) the 20-year purchased
	power agreement with OrSumas, LLC; (iii) the relicensing of the Baker River

1		Hydroelectric Project; (iv) the 20-year purchased power agreement and related
2		transmission agreement with Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County,
3		Washington; and (v) the acquisition of long term gas pipeline transportation
4		capacity held by Duke Energy Trading and Marketing:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		That evaluation was performed by Mr. Henry McIntosh using the Commission's established standard for reviewing the prudence of power generation asset acquisitions and certain contracts. Mr. McIntosh is no longer employed at the Commission. However, my understanding is that he concluded that the Company's new acquisitions were prudent. Should any other party present evidence that contradicts his conclusion, Staff will evaluate that evidence and respond if appropriate. <sup>1</sup>
13	Q.	Has any other party presented evidence that would contradict Commission
14		Staff's conclusion?
15	A.	No.
16	Q.	What does Commission Staff recommend with respect to the accounting of
17		the acquisition of the Wild Horse wind farm?
18	A.	Mr. Russell recommended that
19 20 21 22 23 24		I recommend that if the Wild Horse Project is not operational by January 1, 2007, PSE should add the fixed costs and rate base associated with that facility to its Power Cost accounting only as of the date that the facility is placed into service. In the interim, PSE should replace the projected Wild Horse Project fixed and variable costs with variable costs associated with obtaining any
		<sup>1</sup> Exhibit No(JMR-1T) at page 31, lines 13-19.
	(Non	ed Rebuttal Testimony Exhibit No(RG-14T) confidential) of Page 2 of 5 r Garratt

1		replacement power. <sup>2</sup>
2	Q.	Is the Wild Horse wind farm on schedule to become operational on or before
3		January 1, 2007?
4	A.	Yes, the Wild Horse wind farm is on schedule to achieve substantial completion
5		and be placed into service by December 31, 2006. Please see Exhibit
6		No. (RG-15HC) for an updated schedule of significant construction
7		milestones for the Wild Horse wind farm.
8	Q.	What is the current status of the construction of the Wild Horse wind farm?
9	A.	As of the end of July 2006, the current status of the construction of the Wild
10		Horse wind farm is as follows:
11		• <b>Road Construction</b> – Ninety percent of the road construction is
12		complete. Final surfacing of roads will occur only after
13		completion of heavy equipment and lifting operations.
14		• <b>Foundations</b> - 84 out of 127 foundations are complete.
15		• <b>Transmission</b> – Both the site substation and transmission line are
16		energized. Test power is currently being exported to the PSE load
17		center via PSE's transmission line to the west. All project
18		transmission and electrical interconnect works, including the
		<sup>2</sup> Exhibit No(JMR-1T) at page 31, line 27 – page 32, line 4.
	Prefil	ed Rebuttal Testimony Exhibit No(RG-14T)

1		connection at the Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County,		
2		Washington, Wanapum Switchyard, are expected to be completed		
3		by the end of August 2006. The project will then be capable of		
4		transmitting all available test power as additional wind turbines are		
5		brought on line.		
6		• <b>Tower Erection</b> –Of the 127 towers, currently 34 have all three		
7		sections (a base, a mid and a top section) erected, and another 20		
8		have both the base and mid section erected.		
9		• <b>Commissioning</b> - Currently 28 turbines are mechanically		
10		complete, and 14 turbines have been commissioned to start		
11		generating test power.		
12	Q.	Is the construction of the Wild Horse wind farm on budget?		
13	А.	Yes. As of the end of July 2006, the Wild Horse wind farm is expected to be on		
14		or under its projected budget of \$383,253,481. Please see Exhibit No(RG-		
15		16HC) for a detailed update to the budget for the Wild Horse wind farm.		
16	Q.	Has the operations and maintenance ("O&M") budget for 2007 been		
17	×.	revised?		
18	А.	No. The O&M budget for 2007 is the same as presented in my prefiled direct		
	Prefil	ed Rebuttal Testimony Exhibit No(RG-14T)		
	(Nonconfidential) of Page 4 of 5 Roger Garratt			
1				

1		testimony. <sup>3</sup>
2		III. CONCLUSION
3	Q.	Does that conclude your prefiled rebuttal testimony?
4	A.	Yes.
	5HC).	<sup>3</sup> See Exhibit No(RG-1HCT) at page 25, lines 18-19; see also Exhibit No(RG-