Exhibit No. JH-1T Dockets UE-160228/UG-160229 Witness: Joanna Huang

## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

AVISTA CORPORATION d/b/a AVISTA UTILITIES,

Respondent.

DOCKETS UE-160228 and UG-160229 (Consolidated)

## **TESTIMONY OF**

**JOANNA HUANG** 

## STAFF OF WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Results of Operations and Revenue Requirements, Pro Forma Policy, Pro Forma Rate Base Adjustments, Restating and Pro Forma Property Tax Pro Forma O & M Offsets

August 17, 2016

Revised 8/24/16 (clean)

1		III. RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND REVENUE REQUIREMENTS
2		
3		A. Staff's Presentations on Revenue Requirements
4		
5	Q.	What is Staff's calculation based upon for Avista's revenue requirement for
6 .		electric operation in the state of Washington?
7	A.	Staff's analysis, based on a modified historical test period with limited pro forma
8		adjustments plus an attrition adjustment, shows an increase of \$25,570,000 to
9		Avista's electric revenue requirement, or an increase of 5.1 percent as shown in
10		Exhibit No. JH-2, page 2, line 7. Staff's revenue requirement calculation is based on
11		Staff witness Mr. Parcell's recommended 7.30 percent overall rate of return.
12		
13	Q.	What is Staff's calculation based upon for Avista's revenue requirement for
14		natural gas operation in the state of Washington for 2017?
15	A.	Staff's analysis, based on a modified historical test period with limited pro forma
16		adjustments plus an attrition adjustment, shows an increase of \$2,143,000 to Avista's
17		revenue requirement, or an increase of 2.4 percent as shown in Exhibit No. JH-3,
18		page 2, line 7. Staff's revenue requirement calculation is based on Staff witness
19		David Parcell's recommended 7.30 percent overall rate of return.
20		
21	Q.	Does Staff recommend that the Commision set rates on the basis of the revenue
22		requirement deficiency after the attrition adjustment?