**David S. Valdez**Vice President
Public Policy & External Affairs



WA0101RA 1800 41st Street P.O. Box 1003 Everett, WA 98201

March 8, 2006

Ms. Carole Washburn, Executive Secretary Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W. Olympia, Washington 98504-7250

Dear Ms. Washburn:

Subject: Revised Verizon Northwest Inc. Residential Late Payment Charge Report – WUTC Docket No. UT-040788

Verizon Northwest Inc. ("Verizon NW") submits its revised Residential Late Payment Charge Report ("LPC Report"). The revised LPC Report includes separate disconnect data for Washington Telephone Assistance Program ("WTAP") customers (see page 3). In addition, Disconnect Data – Combined (Jan 2004 – Dec 2005 – page 3) previously reported on February 15, 2006 was adjusted to correct a report mapping problem which was causing disconnect counts to be overstated.

## **Report Highlights**

### Revenue

• Revenue from assessment of the LPC declined steadily from June 2005 through November 2005, except for a slight increase in December. (Page 2, Col F, Lines 66-72). The average annualized revenue was \*\*\*\*\*\*\* for the first 7 months (June 2005 through December 2005) that the LPC was in effect. This is less than the \*\*\*\*\*\* that Verizon NW projected during the rate case settlement process.

### LPC Charge Levels

• In the June 2005 through December 2005 timeframe, the proportion of residential customers being assessed the LPC to total customers dropped from \*\*\*\*\* to \*\*\*\*\*. (Page 2, Col K, Lines 90-96)

#### Disconnects

• Verizon NW does not disconnect local service for nonpayment of the LPC. Thus, the LPC has no impact on disconnection levels.

# WTAP Customers

• In the June 2005 through December 2005 timeframe, the proportion of residential WTAP customers being assessed the LPC to total WTAP customers dropped from \*\*\*\*\* to \*\*\*\*\*. (Page 2, Col J, Lines 90-96).

## **Report Roadmap**

Verizon NW provides a guide below which references where each data item<sup>1</sup> can be found in the report.

Estimated LPC units (Jan '04 – May '05)						
<ul> <li>Non-WTAP</li> </ul>	Page 1	Col. D	Lns. 1-17			
<ul> <li>WTAP</li> </ul>	Page 1	Col. D	Lns. 25-41			
<ul> <li>Combined</li> </ul>	Page 2	Col. D	Lns. 49-65			
Actual LPC units (Jun '05 – Dec '05)						
• Non-WTAP	Page 1	Col. D	Lns. 18-24			
<ul> <li>WTAP</li> </ul>	Page 1	Col. D	Lns. 42-48			
<ul> <li>Combined</li> </ul>	Page 2	Col. D	Lns. 66-72			
Actual LPC Revenue (Jun '05 – Dec '05)						
• Non-WTAP	Page 1	Col. F	Lns. 18-24			
<ul> <li>WTAP</li> </ul>	Page 1	Col. F	Lns. 42-48			
<ul> <li>Combined</li> </ul>	Page 2	Col. F	Lns. 66-72			
Estimated % of customers assessed LPC (Jan '04 – May '05)						
• Non-WTAP	Page 2	Col. F	Lns. 73-89			
<ul> <li>WTAP</li> </ul>	Page 2	Col. G	Lns. 73-89			
<ul> <li>Combined</li> </ul>	Page 2	Col. H	Lns. 73-89			
Actual % of customers Charged an LPC (Jun '05 – Dec "05)						
• Non-WTAP	Page 2	Col. I	Lns. 90-96			
<ul> <li>WTAP</li> </ul>	Page 2	Col. J	Lns. 90-96			
<ul> <li>Combined</li> </ul>	Page 2	Col. K	Lns. 90-96			
Average Monthly LPC Accounts by Period	See	Middle Boxes or	n Page 2			

<sup>1</sup> Verizon NW agreed to these data items in its June 3, 2005 letter to Ms. Carole Washburn.

Total LPC Revenue (Jun '05 – Dec '05)

See Last Middle Box on Page 2

Disconnects (Jan '04 – Dec '05)						
• Combined	Page 3		Col. C	Lns. 1-24		
<ul> <li>WTAP</li> </ul>	Page 3		Col. F	Lns. 1-24		
	υ					
Average Monthly Disconnects by Period	See Bo	Boxes on Page 3				
Distribution Data 30/60/90 Days						
Non-WTAP	Page 1		Col. G/H/I	Lns. 1-24		
• WTAP	Page 1		Col. G/H/I			
Combined	Page 2		Col. G/H/I			
Comomed	rage 2	•	Col. G/11/1	LIIS. 49-12		
Customer Accounts Charged the \$2.50 Late Fee (per month)						
<ul> <li>Non-WTAP</li> </ul>	Page 4	-	Col. D			
<ul> <li>WTAP</li> </ul>	Page 5		Col. D			
<ul> <li>Combined</li> </ul>	Page 6	)	Col. D			
Total Dollar Amount Applied to the \$2.50 Late Fee (per month)						
Non-WTAP	Page 4	-	Col. F	Lns. 18-24		
• WTAP	Page 5		Col. F	Lns. 42-48		
• Combined	Page 6		Col. F	Lns. 66-72		
Combined	1 age 0	,	Col. 1	Liis. 00-72		
Customer Accounts Charged the 1.5% Late Fee (per month)						
<ul> <li>Non-WTAP</li> </ul>	Page 7		Col. D			
<ul> <li>WTAP</li> </ul>	Page 8	}	Col. D			
<ul> <li>Combined</li> </ul>	Page 9	)	Col. D			
Total Dollar Amount Applied to the 1.5% Late Fee (per month)						
Non-WTAP	Page 7	-	Col. F	Lns. 90-96		
• WTAP	Page 8		Col. F	Lns. 114-120		
• Combined	Page 9		Col. F	Lns. 138-144		
Combined	r age >		Coi. 1	<b>L</b> IIS. 130 111		
Avg. Dollar Amount Applied per Customer – 1.5% Late Fee (per month)						
Non-WTAP	Page 8	;	Col. M	Lns. 90-96		
<ul> <li>WTAP</li> </ul>	Page 9	)	Col. M	Lns. 114-120		
<ul> <li>Combined</li> </ul>	Page 1		Col. M	Lns. 138-144		
Avia Monthly Assounts Changed a \$2.50 I	I DC	Saa 1a	ot Doy on Dogo	0		
Avg. Monthly Accounts Charged a \$2.50 I	LPC	See 18	st Box on Page	9		
Avg. Monthly Accounts Charged a 1.5% LPC		See 2 <sup>nd</sup> Box on Page 9				
Avg. Charge per Account for 1.5% LPC		See 3 <sup>rd</sup> Box on Page 9				

Ms. Carole J. Washburn March 8, 2006 Page 4

The entire report is designated CONFIDENTIAL pursuant to WAC 480-07-160, and the Protective Order issued in this matter. Therefore, it is being provided in a separate, sealed envelope.

If you have any questions, please contact Gregg Diamond at (972) 718.3504.

Very truly yours,

Oll Vers

David S. Valdez

DSV:ged Enclosures

c: Simon ffitch