June 17, 2002

## NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF COMMISSION BENCH REQUEST Supplemental Request No. 1 (June 18, 2002)

## RE: WUTC v. Puget Sound Energy Docket Nos UE-011570/UG-011571

#### TO ALL PARTIES:

Please respond to the following Bench Request as soon as possible, preferably no later than June 18, 2002:

# A. Time-of-Use (supplemental question)

4) What is the rationale for collecting the \$0.16/month cost through an energy charge rather than a flat customer charge?

## F. Line Extension

- 1) Why does the proposed margin allowance for line extensions to serve new residential customers decrease over time (i.e., \$1,478 beginning 12/31/02, falling to \$1,297 on 12/31/03, and \$1,117 on 12/31/04)?
- 2) Assuming this settlement is accepted by the Commission, what line extension allowance will be in effect from the time of that acceptance until 12/31/02?

## G. Power Cost Adjustment

 With respect to the Total Revenue Requirement Table on page 4 of the PCA Settlement, would the formation of RTO West, as proposed, affect the allocation of transmission-related revenues to the base power costs? Is it possible that we could find transmission costs to bring power into PSE's system included in power costs, but wheeling revenues associated with third-party or PSE merchant use of these transmission lines excluded from power costs?

Sincerely,

DENNIS J. MOSS Administrative Law Judge