Docket No. TP-190976 - Vol. III

WUTC v. Puget Sound Pilots

August 12, 2020



COURT REPORTING AND LEGAL VIDEO

206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 <u>www.buellrealtime.com</u> email: <u>info@buellrealtime.com</u>



You created this PDF from an application that is not licensed to print to novaPDF printer (http://www.novapdf.com)

Page 55	Page 57
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON	
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION Commission	1 REMOTE APPEARANCES (Continued) 2 2
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND DOCKET TP-190976	FOR PMSA (via videoconference):
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,	3 MICHELLE DeLAPPE
Complainant,	4 FOSTER GARVEY, P.C.
	1111 Third Avenue, Suite 3000
V.	5 Seattle, Washington 09101
PUGET SOUND PILOTS,	206.816.1403 6 michelle.delappe@foster.com
	7
Respondent.	8 FOR PYM (via videoconference):
	9 MONIQUE WEBBER
	PACIFIC YACHT MANAGEMENT 10 2284 w Commodore Way, Suite 120
VIDEOCONFERENCE EVIDENTIARY HEARING BEFORE	Seattle, Washington 98199
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES	11 monique@pacificyachtmanagement.com
RAYNE PEARSON AND MICHAEL HOWARD Volume III	
Pages 55 - 356	
August 12, 2020	15
8:37 a.m.	16
(All participants appeared via videoconference.)	17 18
	19
	20
	21 22
	23
	24
REPORTED BY: CRYSTAL R. McAULIFFE, RPR, CCR, #2121	25
Page 56	Page 58
1 REMOTE APPEARANCES 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES (via videoconference):	1 INDEX 2 August 12, 2020
3 RAYNE PEARSON MICHAEL HOWARD	3 Witnesses: Page 4 ERIC VON BRANDENFELS
4 Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest	5 Direct By Mr. Fassburg 96
5 Olympia, Washington 98504	Cross by Ms. DeLappe 97 6 Redirect by Mr. Fassburg 143
(360) 664-1160 6 rayne.pearson@utc.wa.gov	Examination by Commissioner Balasbas 150 7 Examination by Chair Danner 156
7 CHAIR/COMMISSIONERS (via videoconference):	Examination by Commissioner Rendahl 162
8 DAVE DANNER	8 M. SAMI KHAWAJA
9 ANN RENDAHL	9 Direct By Mr. Fassburg 168
JAY BALASBAS 10 Utilities and Transportation Commission	10 Cross by Ms. DeLappe 169
1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest 11 Olympia, Washington 98504	Redirect by Mr. Fassburg 191 11 Examination by Commissioner Balasbas 193
12 FOR PUGET SOUND PILOTS (via videoconference):	12 WELDON BURTON 198 13 Direct by Mr. Wiley 198
13	Cross by Ms. DeLappe 199
DAVID WILEY 14 BLAIR FASSBURG	14 Redirect by Mr. Wiley 210 Cross by Mr. Fukano 210
Williams Kastner 15 601 Union Street, Suite 4100	15 Redirect by Mr. Wiley 211 Examination by Commissioner Rendahl 212
Seattle, Washington 98101	16
16 (206) 628-6600 dwiley@williamskastner.com	JESSICA NORRIS
17 bfassburg@williamskastner.com 18	Direct by Mr. Wiley 216 18 Cross by Ms. DeLappe 217
FOR COMMISSION STAFF (via videoconference):	Redirect by Mr. Wiley 230
HARRY FUKANO	19 Cross by Mr. Fukano 231 Redirect by Mr. Wiley 233
20 SALLY BROWN OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	20 Recross by Mr. Fukano 234 Examination by Commissioner Balasbas 235
21 Utilities and Transportation Commission PO Box 40128	21 Examination by Chair Danner 236
22 Olympia, Washington 98504	Redirect by Mr. Wiley 239
harry.fukano@utc.wa.gov 23 sally.brown@utc.wa.gov	23 24
24 25	25

1 (Pages 55 to 58)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

		= 0	
	P	age 59	Page 61
1	INDEX (Continued)		1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)
2 3	Witnesses: Page		2 No. Description 3 GQ-9X Quick Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff
	LINDA STYRK		DRs 86 and 87
4	Direct by Mr. Wiley 242		4 GQ-10X Average American Worker
5	Cross by Ms. DeLappe 242		5
6	Redirect by Mr. Wiley 255 Examination by Commissioner Rendahl 260		GQ-11X PSP Responses to Staff DRs 11, 13; PSP
0	Examination by Commissioner Balasbas 265		6 Response to PMSA DRs 46, 47, 52,53, 336, 344, 362, 543,545, 547
7			7
8 9	JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN Direct by Mr. Fassburg 270		WT-1T Walter Tabler Direct Testimony
	Cross by Ms. DeLappe 270		WT-2 2001 Memorandum of Understanding Among PSP,
10	GEORGE A. QUICK		9 PSSOA, and Polar Tankers
11			10 WT-3 2001 and 2002 Memorandum of Understanding 11 WT-4 Correspondence from the BPC Chairman, Grays
12	Direct by Mr. Wiley 283 Cross by Ms. DeLappe 285		Harbor Pilots, and PSP, January 12, 1992
12	Redirect by Mr. Wiley 295		12 WT 5 Despense to PDC letter Tehrupri 12, 1002
13			WT-5 Response to BPC letter, February 12, 1992
14	IVAN J. CARLSON JR.		WT-6 Correspondence between BPC and Grays Harbor
1.5	Direct by Mr. Fassburg 297		14 Pilots, December 28, 1995 15 WT-7 BPC May 11, 2006, Meeting Minutes
15 16	Cross by Ms. DeLappe 298		16 WT-8 BPC May 23, 2007, Meeting Minutes
17	Proceedings:		17 WT-9 BPC May 8, 2008, Meeting Minutes
18	Opening by Mr. Fassburg 84		18 WTB-1Tr Weldon T. Burton Direct Testimony 19 WTB-2 Curriculum Vitae
19	Opening by Ms. DeLappe 88 Opening by Ms. Webber 91		20 WTB-3 Pro Forma Year 1 Test Period Ended 6/30/19
	Opening by Mr. Fukano 92		21 WTB-4 Pro Forma Year 2 Test Period Ended 6/30/19
20	Bench Request for Dispatch Guidelines 155		22 WTB-5 Pro Forma Year 3 Test Period Ended 6/30/19 23 WTB-6 Training Surcharge/Board of Pilotage
21	Bench Request for Dispatch Guidennes 135		Commissioners
22			24
23 24			WTB-7 Test Period Revenue Reconciliation 7/1/18 25 through 6/30/19
25			
	P	age 60	Page 62
1		age 60	
2	P. EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description	age 60	Page 62 1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 No. Description
	EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description	age 60	1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 No. Description 3 WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1
2 3 4	EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description EVB-1T Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19	age 60	1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 No. Description 3 WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 4 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2
2 3 4 5	EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description EVB-1T Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19 EVB-2 Master Pilot Exchange Card	age 60	1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 No. Description 3 WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 4 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 5 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3
2 3 4	EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description EVB-1T Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19 EVB-2 Master Pilot Exchange Card EVB-3 Puget Sound Map EVB-4 West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale Map	age 60	1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 No. Description 3 WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 4 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description EVB-1T Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19 EVB-2 Master Pilot Exchange Card EVB-3 Puget Sound Map EVB-4 West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale Map EVB-5X PSP Bylaws (November 2018)	age 60	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff
2 3 4 5 6 7	EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description EVB-1T Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19 EVB-2 Master Pilot Exchange Card EVB-3 Puget Sound Map EVB-4 West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale Map	age 60	1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 No. Description 3 WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 4 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 5 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 6 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed 7 WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description EVB-1T Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19 EVB-2 Master Pilot Exchange Card EVB-3 Puget Sound Map EVB-4 West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale Map EVB-5X PSP Bylaws (November 2018) EVB-6X PSP Op Rules (August 2018)	age 60	1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 No. Description 3 WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 4 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 5 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 6 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff 7 WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed 8 Tariff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description EVB-1T Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19 EVB-2 Master Pilot Exchange Card EVB-3 Puget Sound Map EVB-4 West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale Map EVB-5X PSP Bylaws (November 2018) EVB-6X PSP Op Rules (August 2018) EVB-7X Amended Retirement Program of PSP Revised 8/8/06	age 60	1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 No. Description 3 WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 4 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 5 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 6 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff 7 WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed 8 Tariff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description EVB-1T Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19 EVB-2 Master Pilot Exchange Card EVB-3 Puget Sound Map EVB-4 West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale Map EVB-5X PSP Bylaws (November 2018) EVB-6X PSP Op Rules (August 2018) EVB-7X Amended Retirement Program of PSP Revised 8/8/06	age 60	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed Tariff WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description EVB-1T Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19 EVB-2 Master Pilot Exchange Card EVB-3 Puget Sound Map EVB-4 West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale Map EVB-5X PSP Bylaws (November 2018) EVB-6X PSP Op Rules (August 2018) EVB-7X Amended Retirement Program of PSP Revised 8/8/06	age 60	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed Tariff WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff WTB-14T Rebuttal Testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description EVB-1T Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19 EVB-2 Master Pilot Exchange Card EVB-3 Puget Sound Map EVB-4 West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale Map EVB-5X PSP Dy Rules (August 2018) EVB-6X PSP Op Rules (August 2018) EVB-7X Amended Retirement Program of PSP Revised 8/8/06 EVB-8X Ordering a Pilot Puget Sound EVB-9X PSP Op Rules (February 2020) EVB-10X PSP Response to Staff DRs 1,7,8,9,10, 28, 97;	age 60	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed Tariff WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff WTB-14T Rebuttal Testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description EVB-1T Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19 EVB-2 Master Pilot Exchange Card EVB-3 Puget Sound Map EVB-4 West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale Map EVB-5X PSP Bylaws (November 2018) EVB-6X PSP Op Rules (August 2018) EVB-7X Amended Retirement Program of PSP Revised 8/8/06 EVB-8X Ordering a Pilot Puget Sound EVB-9X PSP Op Rules (February 2020) EVB-10X PSP Response to Staff DRs 1,7,8,9,10, 28, 97; PSP Response to PMSA DRs 12, 42, 79, 80, 118,	age 60	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed Tariff WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff WTB-14T Rebuttal Testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description EVB-1T Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19 EVB-2 Master Pilot Exchange Card EVB-3 Puget Sound Map EVB-4 West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale Map EVB-5X PSP Dylaws (November 2018) EVB-6X PSP Op Rules (August 2018) EVB-7X Amended Retirement Program of PSP Revised 8/8/06 EVB-8X Ordering a Pilot Puget Sound EVB-9X PSP Op Rules (February 2020) EVB-10X PSP Response to Staff DRs 1,7,8,9,10, 28, 97; PSP Response to PMSA DRs 12, 42, 79, 80, 118, 179, 185, 186	age 60	1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 No. Description 3 WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 4 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 5 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 6 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed 7 WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed 8 Tariff 9 WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed 10 WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed 11 WTB-14T Rebuttal Testimony 11 WTB-15 6/19/20 PMSA Response to PSP DR 160 12 WTB-16 6/12/20 UTC Response to PSP DR 15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description EVB-1T Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19 EVB-2 Master Pilot Exchange Card EVB-3 Puget Sound Map EVB-4 West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale Map EVB-5X PSP Bylaws (November 2018) EVB-6X PSP Op Rules (August 2018) EVB-7X Amended Retirement Program of PSP Revised 8/8/06 EVB-8X Ordering a Pilot Puget Sound EVB-9X PSP Op Rules (February 2020) EVB-10X PSP Response to Staff DRs 1,7,8,9,10, 28, 97; PSP Response to PMSA DRs 12, 42, 79, 80, 118,	age 60	1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 No. Description 3 WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 4 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 5 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 6 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff 7 WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed Tariff 9 WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff 10 WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff 10 WTB-14T Rebuttal Testimony 11 WTB-15 6/19/20 PMSA Response to PSP DR 160 12 WTB-16 6/12/20 UTC Response to PSP DR 15 13 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description EVB-1T Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19 EVB-2 Master Pilot Exchange Card EVB-3 Puget Sound Map EVB-4 West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale Map EVB-5X PSP Dylaws (November 2018) EVB-6X PSP Op Rules (August 2018) EVB-7X Amended Retirement Program of PSP Revised 8/8/06 EVB-8X Ordering a Pilot Puget Sound EVB-9X PSP Op Rules (February 2020) EVB-10X PSP Response to Staff DRs 1,7,8,9,10, 28, 97; PSP Response to PMSA DRs 12, 42, 79, 80, 118, 179, 185, 186	age 60	1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 No. Description 3 WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 4 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 5 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 6 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff 7 WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed Tariff 9 WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff 10 WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff 10 WTB-14T Rebuttal Testimony 11 WTB-15 6/19/20 PMSA Response to PSP DR 160 12 WTB-16 6/12/20 UTC Response to PSP DR 15 13 WTB-17X PSP Response to Staff DR 58
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	EXHIBIT INDEXNo.DescriptionEVB-1TEric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19EVB-2Master Pilot Exchange CardEVB-3Puget Sound MapEVB-4West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale Map EVB-5XEVB-5XPSP Dy Rules (August 2018)EVB-6XPSP Op Rules (August 2018)EVB-7XAmended Retirement Program of PSP Revised 8/8/06EVB-8XOrdering a Pilot Puget SoundEVB-9XPSP Op Rules (February 2020)EVB-10XPSP Response to Staff DRs 1,7,8,9,10, 28, 97; PSP Response to PMSA DRs 12, 42, 79, 80, 118, 179, 185, 186GQ-1TGeorge Quick Direct TestimonyGQ-2Curriculum Vitae	age 60	1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 No. Description 3 WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 4 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 5 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 6 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff 7 WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed Tariff 9 WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff 10 WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff 10 WTB-14T Rebuttal Testimony 11 WTB-15 6/19/20 PMSA Response to PSP DR 160 12 WTB-16 6/12/20 UTC Response to PSP DR 15 13 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXHIBIT INDEXNo.DescriptionEVB-1TEric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19EVB-2Master Pilot Exchange CardEVB-3Puget Sound MapEVB-4West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale MapEVB-5XPSP Dy Rules (August 2018)EVB-6XPSP Op Rules (August 2018)EVB-7XAmended Retirement Program of PSP Revised 8/8/06EVB-8XOrdering a Pilot Puget SoundEVB-9XPSP Op Rules (February 2020)EVB-10XPSP Response to Staff DRs 1,7,8,9,10, 28, 97; PSP Response to PMSA DRs 12, 42, 79, 80, 118, 179, 185, 186GQ-1TGeorge Quick Direct Testimony	age 60	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed Tariff WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff WTB-14T Rebuttal Testimony WTB-15 6/19/20 PMSA Response to PSP DR 160 WTB-16 6/12/20 UTC Response to PSP DR 15 WTB-17X PSP Response to Staff DR 58 WTB-18X PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Weldon Burton DR 102
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description EVB-1T Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19 EVB-2 Master Pilot Exchange Card EVB-3 Puget Sound Map EVB-4 West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale Map EVB-5X PSP Dylaws (November 2018) EVB-6X PSP Op Rules (August 2018) EVB-7X Amended Retirement Program of PSP Revised 8/8/06 EVB-8X Ordering a Pilot Puget Sound EVB-9X PSP Op Rules (February 2020) EVB-10X PSP Response to Staff DRs 1,7,8,9,10, 28, 97; PSP Response to PMSA DRs 12, 42, 79, 80, 118, 179, 185, 186 GQ-1T George Quick Direct Testimony GQ-2 Curriculum Vitae GQ-3 Article on Aransas-Corpus Christi Pilot Association Pilot Death GQ-4 San Francisco Rate Guidelines from California	age 60	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed Tariff WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff WTB-14T Rebuttal Testimony WTB-15 6/19/20 PMSA Response to PSP DR 160 WTB-16 6/12/20 UTC Response to PSP DR 15 WTB-17X PSP Response to Staff DR 58 WTB-18X PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Weldon Burton DR 102 WTB-19X Invoice and Bills (Pages 1-59)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description EVB-1T Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19 EVB-2 Master Pilot Exchange Card EVB-3 Puget Sound Map EVB-4 West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale Map EVB-5X PSP Bylaws (November 2018) EVB-6X PSP Op Rules (August 2018) EVB-7X Amended Retirement Program of PSP Revised 8/8/06 EVB-8X Ordering a Pilot Puget Sound EVB-9X PSP Op Rules (February 2020) EVB-10X PSP Response to Staff DRs 1,7,8,9,10, 28, 97; PSP Response to PMSA DRs 12, 42, 79, 80, 118, 179, 185, 186 GQ-1T George Quick Direct Testimony GQ-2 Curriculum Vitae GQ-3 Article on Aransas-Corpus Christi Pilot Association Pilot Death	Page 60	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed Tariff WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff WTB-14T Rebuttal Testimony WTB-15 6/19/20 PMSA Response to PSP DR 160 WTB-16 6/12/20 UTC Response to PSP DR 15 WTB-17X PSP Responses to Staff DR 58 WTB-18X PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Weldon Burton DR 102 WTB-19X Invoice and Bills (Pages 1-59) WTB-20X Invoice and Bills (Pages 60-119)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description EVB-1T Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19 EVB-2 Master Pilot Exchange Card EVB-3 Puget Sound Map EVB-4 West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale Map EVB-5X PSP Dylaws (November 2018) EVB-6X PSP Op Rules (August 2018) EVB-7X Amended Retirement Program of PSP Revised 8/8/06 EVB-8X Ordering a Pilot Puget Sound EVB-9X PSP Op Rules (February 2020) EVB-10X PSP Response to Staff DRs 1,7,8,9,10, 28, 97; PSP Response to PMSA DRs 12, 42, 79, 80, 118, 179, 185, 186 GQ-1T George Quick Direct Testimony GQ-2 Curriculum Vitae GQ-3 Article on Aransas-Corpus Christi Pilot Association Pilot Death GQ-4 San Francisco Rate Guidelines from California	Page 60	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed Tariff WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff WTB-14T Rebuttal Testimony WTB-15 6/19/20 PMSA Response to PSP DR 160 WTB-16 6/12/20 UTC Response to PSP DR 15 WTB-17X PSP Responses to Staff DR 58 WTB-18X PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Weldon Burton DR 102 WTB-19X Invoice and Bills (Pages 1-59) WTB-20X Invoice and Bills (Pages 120-179)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	EXHIBIT INDEXNo.DescriptionEVB-1TEric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19EVB-2Master Pilot Exchange CardEVB-3Puget Sound MagEVB-4West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale MapEVB-5XPSP Bylaws (November 2018)EVB-6XPSP Op Rules (August 2018)EVB-7XAmended Retirement Program of PSP Revised 8/8/06EVB-8XOrdering a Pilot Puget SoundEVB-9XPSP Op Rules (February 2020)EVB-10XPSP Response to Staff DRs 1,7,8,9,10, 28, 97; PSP Response to PMSA DRs 12, 42, 79, 80, 118, 179, 185, 186GQ-1TGeorge Quick Direct TestimonyGQ-2Curriculum VitaeGQ-3Article on Aransas-Corpus Christi Pilot Association Pilot DeathGQ-5TRebuttal Testimony	Page 60	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed Tariff WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff WTB-14T Rebuttal Testimony WTB-15 6/19/20 PMSA Response to PSP DR 160 WTB-16 6/12/20 UTC Response to PSP DR 15 WTB-17X PSP Responses to Staff DR 58 WTB-18X PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Weldon Burton DR 102 WTB-19X Invoice and Bills (Pages 1-59) WTB-21X Invoice and Bills (Pages 120-179)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXHIBIT INDEXNo.DescriptionEVB-11Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19EVB-2Master Pilot Exchange CardEVB-3Puget Sound MapEVB-4West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale Map EVB-5XEVB-5XPSP Dy Rules (November 2018)EVB-6XPSP Op Rules (August 2018)EVB-7XAmended Retirement Program of PSP Revised 8/8/06EVB-8XOrdering a Pilot Puget SoundEVB-9XPSP Op Rules (February 2020)EVB-10XPSP Response to Staff DRs 1,7,8,9,10, 28,97; PSP Response to PMSA DRs 12, 42, 79, 80, 118, 179, 185, 186GQ-11George Quick Direct TestimonyGQ-2Curriculum VitaeGQ-3Article on Aransas-Corpus Christi Pilot Association Pilot DeathGQ-4San Francisco Rate Guidelines from California Statute	Page 60	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed Tariff WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff WTB-14T Rebuttal Testimony WTB-15 6/19/20 PMSA Response to PSP DR 160 WTB-16 6/12/20 UTC Response to PSP DR 15 WTB-17X PSP Response to Staff DR 58 WTB-19X Invoice and Bills (Pages 1-59) WTB-21X Invoice and Bills (Pages 120-179) WTB-22X Invoice and Bills (Pages 240-299) WTB-23X Invoice and Bills (Pages 300-359)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXHIBIT INDEXNo.DescriptionEVB-1TEric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19EVB-2Master Pilot Exchange CardEVB-3Puget Sound MapEVB-4West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale MapEVB-5XPSP Bylaws (November 2018)EVB-6KPSP Op Rules (August 2018)EVB-7XAmended Retirement Program of PSP Revised 8/8/06EVB-8XOrdering a Pilot Puget SoundEVB-9XPSP Op Rules (February 2020)EVB-10XPSP Response to Staff DRs 1,7,8,9,10, 28, 97; PSP Response to PMSA DRs 12, 42, 79, 80, 118, 179, 185, 186GQ-1TGeorge Quick Direct TestimonyGQ-2Curriculum VitaeGQ-3Article on Aransas-Corpus Christi Pilot Association Pilot DeathGQ-5TRebuttal TestimonyGQ-6XMemorandum of Understanding, August 8, 2017GQ-7XFederal Register, Vol. 85, No. 69, 4/9/20,	Page 60	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed Tariff WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff WTB-14T Rebuttal Testimony WTB-15 6/19/20 PMSA Response to PSP DR 160 WTB-16 6/12/20 UTC Response to PSP DR 15 WTB-17X PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Weldon Burton DR 102 WTB-19X Invoice and Bills (Pages 1-59) WTB-21X Invoice and Bills (Pages 180-239) WTB-23X Invoice and Bills (Pages 300-359) WTB-25X Invoice and Bills (Pages 360-419)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description EVB-1T Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19 EVB-2 Master Pilot Exchange Card EVB-3 Puget Sound Map EVB-4 West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale Map EVB-5X PSP Bylaws (November 2018) EVB-6X PSP Op Rules (August 2018) EVB-7X Amended Retirement Program of PSP Revised 8/8/06 EVB-8X Ordering a Pilot Puget Sound EVB-9X PSP Op Rules (February 2020) EVB-10X PSP Response to Staff DRs 1,7,8,9,10, 28, 97; PSP Response to PMSA DRs 12, 42, 79, 80, 118, 179, 185, 186 GQ-1T George Quick Direct Testimony GQ-2 Curriculum Vitae GQ-3 Article on Aransas-Corpus Christi Pilot Association Pilot Death GQ-4 San Francisco Rate Guidelines from California Statute GQ-5T Rebuttal Testimony GQ-6X Memorandum of Understanding, August 8, 2017 GQ-7X Federal Register, Vol. 85, No. 69, 4/9/20, Rules and Regulations 	Page 60	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed Tariff WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff WTB-14T Rebuttal Testimony WTB-15 6/19/20 PMSA Response to PSP DR 160 WTB-16 6/12/20 UTC Response to PSP DR 15 WTB-17X PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Weldon Burton DR 102 WTB-19X Invoice and Bills (Pages 1-59) WTB-21X Invoice and Bills (Pages 120-179) WTB-22X Invoice and Bills (Pages 180-239) WTB-23X Invoice and Bills (Pages 300-359) WTB-25X Invoice and Bills (Pages 420-479)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	EXHIBIT INDEXNo.DescriptionEVB-1TEric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19EVB-2Master Pilot Exchange CardEVB-3Puget Sound MapEVB-4West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale MapEVB-5XPSP Bylaws (November 2018)EVB-6KPSP Op Rules (August 2018)EVB-7XAmended Retirement Program of PSP Revised 8/8/06EVB-8XOrdering a Pilot Puget SoundEVB-9XPSP Op Rules (February 2020)EVB-10XPSP Response to Staff DRs 1,7,8,9,10, 28, 97; PSP Response to PMSA DRs 12, 42, 79, 80, 118, 179, 185, 186GQ-1TGeorge Quick Direct TestimonyGQ-2Curriculum VitaeGQ-3Article on Aransas-Corpus Christi Pilot Association Pilot DeathGQ-5TRebuttal TestimonyGQ-6XMemorandum of Understanding, August 8, 2017GQ-7XFederal Register, Vol. 85, No. 69, 4/9/20,	Page 60	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed Tariff WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff WTB-14T Rebuttal Testimony WTB-15 6/19/20 PMSA Response to PSP DR 160 WTB-16 6/12/20 UTC Response to PSP DR 160 WTB-17X PSP Responses to Staff DR 58 WTB-18X PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Weldon Burton DR 102 WTB-20X Invoice and Bills (Pages 1-59) WTB-21X Invoice and Bills (Pages 120-179) WTB-22X Invoice and Bills (Pages 180-239) WTB-23X Invoice and Bills (Pages 300-359) WTB-24X Invoice and Bills (Pages 420-279) WTB-26X Invoice and Bills (Pages 420-479) WTB-27X Invoice and Bills (Pages 420-479) WTB-27X Invoice and Bills (Pages 480-539)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	EXHIBIT INDEX No. Description EVB-1T Eric von Brandenfels Direct Testimony, 11/19/19 EVB-2 Master Pilot Exchange Card EVB-3 Puget Sound Map EVB-4 West Coast State Pilotage Districts Scale Map EVB-5X PSP Dp Rules (August 2018) EVB-6X PSP Op Rules (August 2018) EVB-7X Amended Retirement Program of PSP Revised 8/8/06 EVB-8X Ordering a Pilot Puget Sound EVB-9X PSP Op Rules (February 2020) EVB-10X PSP Response to Staff DRs 1,7,8,9,10, 28, 97; PSP Response to PMSA DRs 12, 42, 79, 80, 118, 179, 185, 186 GQ-11 George Quick Direct Testimony GQ-2 Curriculum Vitae GQ-3 Article on Aransas-Corpus Christi Pilot Association Pilot Death GQ-4 San Francisco Rate Guidelines from California Statute GQ-5T Rebuttal Testimony GQ-6X Memorandum of Understanding, August 8, 2017 GQ-7X Federal Register, Vol. 85, No. 69, 4/9/20, Rules and Regulations GQ-8X PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Quick	Page 60	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description WTB-8 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 1 WTB-9 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 2 WTB-10 Proposed UTC Tariff Year 3 WTB-11 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 1 Proposed Tariff WTB-12 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 2 Proposed Tariff WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff WTB-13 Priceout-Revenue Calculation Year 3 Proposed Tariff WTB-14T Rebuttal Testimony WTB-15 6/19/20 PMSA Response to PSP DR 160 WTB-16 6/12/20 UTC Response to PSP DR 15 WTB-17X PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Weldon Burton DR 102 WTB-19X Invoice and Bills (Pages 1-59) WTB-21X Invoice and Bills (Pages 120-179) WTB-22X Invoice and Bills (Pages 180-239) WTB-23X Invoice and Bills (Pages 300-359) WTB-25X Invoice and Bills (Pages 420-479)

2 (Pages 59 to 62)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Docket No. TP-190976 - Vol. III - 8/12/2020

	_	6.0				-	<u> </u>
	Page	63				Page	65
1 2	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)		1 2	No.	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description		
3	No. Description WTB-29X Invoice and Bills (Pages 600-655)		3	IC-9	BPC Minutes for September 2006; March and		
4 5	WTB-30X Customer Invoices with Transportation Charges WTB-31X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 66, 67, 68, 77		4		April 2006		
6	WTB-32X Lyft Transaction Report February - April 2009		5	IC-10	PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 32, 82, 84, 86, 88, 90, 93, 96, 102, 111, 115, and 124		
7 8	JN-1T Jessica Norris - Direct Testimony JN-2 Puget Sound Pilot's Capitalization Policy,		6	IC-11	PMSA DR 83		
	Page 11		7 8	IC-12 IC-13	BPC Policy Statement BPC July 2019 Minutes		
9	JN-3 Note 10 to the Financial Statements		9	IC-14	Summary of analysis of PSP's job history data for 2018 (Workload Summary)		
10	JN-4 Consolidated Financial Statements for 2017 and		10	IC 15			
11	2018		11	IC-15	NASA and San Jose State University Research Foundation pilot fatigue study for PSP based		
12	JN-5 Statement of Assets, Liabilities, and Pilots' Equity		12		on 2018 data		
13			13	IC-16	PSP DR 176 to PMSA		
14	JN-6T Rebuttal Testimony			IC-17	Declaration of Health		
15	JN-7 Chart of Transportation Expenses		14	IC-18	PSP Coronavirus Risk Management Plan 5/15/20		
12	JN-8Xr Governmental Accounting Standards Series,		15	IC-19	PMSA Tariff Submission 2010		
16 17	March 2000 JN-9X Special Considerations - Audits of Financial		16				
	Statements AU-C Section 800		17	IC-20	Pilot ladder incident 4/16/18		
18	JN-10X PSP Responses to Staff DRs 85, 98-101		18	IC-21	Investigative report overseas Boston 9/8/14		
19				IC-22	Investigative report overseas Boston 9/8/14		
20	JN-11X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 416-438		19	IC-23	West Coast Pilotage Tariff Rate Comparisons		
21	JN-12X PSP Responses to Staff DRs 24, 31; PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 122, 242, 270, 273, 280,		20	IC-24	Staff Response to PSP DR 5		
22	283, 305, 309, 310, 318, 334, 416, 417, 418,		21				
23	419, 420, 421, 436, 437		22	IC-25	Publicly Available State Pilot Association Financial Statements (2018)		
	SD-1T Steven Diess Direct Testimony		23	IC-26	Publicly Available State Pilot Net Income Worksheets (2018)		
24	SD-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan		24	IC-27	On-Duty Assignment and Callback Compilation		
25			25	10-27	and Analysis		
1	Page EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)	64	1		EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)	Page	66
2	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description	64	2	No. IC-28X	Description	Page	66
	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan	64	2 3	No. IC-28X	Description	Page	66
2 3 4 5	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan SK-3T Rebuttal Testimony	64	2 3 4		Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU	Page	66
2 3 4	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan SK-3T Rebuttal Testimony SK-4X Attachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332 SK-5X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs	64	2 3	IC-28X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996	Page	66
2 3 4 5 6 7	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan SK-3T Rebuttal Testimony SK-4X Attachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332	64	2 3 4 5 6	IC-28X IC-29X IC-30X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners Dec. 1987/Jan. 1988 Hearing Meeting Minutes	Page	66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan SK-3T Rebuttal Testimony SK-4X Attachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332 SK-5X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 416-438 SK-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 122, 214, 215, 216,	64	2 3 4 5 6 7	IC-28X IC-29X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners Dec. 1987/Jan. 1988 Hearing Meeting Minutes	Page	66
2 3 4 5 6 7	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan SK-3T Rebuttal Testimony SK-4X Attachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332 SK-5X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 416-438 SK-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 122, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 220, 242, 334, 432, 434, 436, 438	64	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	IC-28X IC-29X IC-30X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners Dec. 1987/Jan. 1988 Hearing Meeting Minutes Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots Final Order No. 10-01, Issued 5/19/10 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners	Page	66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan SK-3T Rebuttal Testimony SK-4X Attachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332 SK-5X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 416-438 SK-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 122, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 220, 242, 334, 432, 434, 436, 438 LS-1T Linda Styrk Direct Testimony LS-2 Curriculum Vitae	64	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	IC-28X IC-29X IC-30X IC-31X IC-32X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners Dec. 1987/Jan. 1988 Hearing Meeting Minutes Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots Final Order No. 10-01, Issued 5/19/10 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners July 18, 2019, Meeting Minutes	Page	66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan SK-3T Rebuttal Testimony SK-4X Attachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332 SK-5X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 416-438 SK-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 122, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 220, 242, 334, 432, 434, 436, 438 LS-1T Linda Styrk Direct Testimony LS-2 Curriculum Vitae LS-3 Note A.d. (pg 26) of PSP's 2018 Financial Statement	64	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	IC-28X IC-29X IC-30X IC-31X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners Dec. 1987/Jan. 1988 Hearing Meeting Minutes Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots Final Order No. 10-01, Issued 5/19/10 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners July 18, 2019, Meeting Minutes PSP Responses to PMSA DR 84 with Attachments Response to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Response DR 75-82 (Financial Comparability)	Page	66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan SK-3T Rebuttal Testimony SK-4X Attachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332 SK-5X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 416-438 SK-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 122, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 220, 242, 334, 432, 434, 436, 438 LS-1T Linda Styrk Direct Testimony LS-2 Curriculum Vitae LS-3 Note A.d. (pg 26) of PSP's 2018 Financial	64	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	IC-28X IC-29X IC-30X IC-31X IC-31X IC-32X IC-33X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners Dec. 1987/Jan. 1988 Hearing Meeting Minutes Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots Final Order No. 10-01, Issued 5/19/10 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners July 18, 2019, Meeting Minutes PSP Responses to PMSA DR 84 with Attachments Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson	Page	66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan SK-3T Rebuttal Testimony SK-4X Attachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332 SK-5X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 416-438 SK-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 122, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 220, 242, 334, 432, 434, 436, 438 LS-1T Linda Styrk Direct Testimony LS-2 Curriculum Vitae LS-3 Note A.d. (pg 26) of PSP's 2018 Financial Statement LS-4X FMC 2012 Study of US Inland Containerized Cargo LS-5X Large Ship Invoices 	64	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	IC-28X IC-29X IC-30X IC-31X IC-32X IC-32X IC-33X IC-34X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners Dec. 1987/Jan. 1988 Hearing Meeting Minutes Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots Final Order No. 10-01, Issued 5/19/10 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners July 18, 2019, Meeting Minutes PSP Responses to PMSA DR 84 with Attachments Response to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Response DR 75-82 (Financial Comparability)	Page	66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan SK-3T Rebuttal Testimony SK-4X Attachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332 SK-5X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 416-438 SK-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 122, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 220, 242, 334, 432, 434, 436, 438 LS-1T Linda Styrk Direct Testimony LS-3 Note A.d. (pg 26) of PSP's 2018 Financial Statement LS-4X FMC 2012 Study of US Inland Containerized Cargo 	64	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	IC-28X IC-29X IC-30X IC-31X IC-32X IC-32X IC-33X IC-34X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners Dec. 1987/Jan. 1988 Hearing Meeting Minutes Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots Final Order No. 10-01, Issued 5/19/10 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners July 18, 2019, Meeting Minutes PSP Responses to PMSA DR 84 with Attachments Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Response to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Response to DRs 83, 84, 92-97 (TAL, MOU, Fatigue) Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75,	Page	66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan SK-3T Rebuttal Testimony SK-4X Attachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332 SK-5X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 416-438 SK-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 122, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 220, 242, 334, 432, 434, 436, 438 LS-1T Linda Styrk Direct Testimony LS-3 Note A.d. (pg 26) of PSP's 2018 Financial Statement LS-4X FMC 2012 Study of US Inland Containerized Cargo LS-5X Large Ship Invoices LS-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 13, 18, 33, 34, 35 39, 66 385 	64	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	IC-28X IC-29X IC-30X IC-31X IC-31X IC-32X IC-32X IC-33X IC-35X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners Dec. 1987/Jan. 1988 Hearing Meeting Minutes Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots Final Order No. 10-01, Issued 5/19/10 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners July 18, 2019, Meeting Minutes PSP Responses to PMSA DR 84 with Attachments Response DR 75-82 (Financial Comparability) Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Response to DRs 83, 84, 92-97 (TAL, MOU, Fatigue) Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75, 77, 81	Page	66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan SK-3T Rebuttal Testimony SK-4X Attachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332 SK-5X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRS 416-438 SK-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 122, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 220, 242, 334, 432, 434, 436, 438 LS-1T Linda Styrk Direct Testimony LS-2 Curriculum Vitae LS-3 Note A.d. (pg 26) of PSP's 2018 Financial Statement LS-4X FMC 2012 Study of US Inland Containerized Cargo LS-5X Large Ship Invoices LS-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 13, 18, 33, 34, 35 39, 66 385 IC-1T Ivan Carlson Direct Testimony 	64	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	IC-28X IC-29X IC-30X IC-31X IC-31X IC-32X IC-32X IC-35X IC-35X IC-36X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners Dec. 1987/Jan. 1988 Hearing Meeting Minutes Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots Final Order No. 10-01, Issued 5/19/10 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners July 18, 2019, Meeting Minutes PSP Responses to PMSA DR 84 with Attachments Response DR 75-82 (Financial Comparability) Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Response to DRs 83, 84, 92-97 (TAL, MOU, Fatigue) Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75, 77, 81	Page	66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan SK-3T Rebuttal Testimony SK-4X Attachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332 SK-5X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 416-438 SK-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 122, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 220, 242, 334, 432, 434, 436, 438 LS-1T Linda Styrk Direct Testimony LS-3 Note A.d. (pg 26) of PSP's 2018 Financial Statement LS-4X FMC 2012 Study of US Inland Containerized Cargo LS-5X Large Ship Invoices LS-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 13, 18, 33, 34, 35 39, 66 385 	64	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	IC-28X IC-29X IC-30X IC-31X IC-31X IC-32X IC-32X IC-35X IC-35X IC-36X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners Dec. 1987/Jan. 1988 Hearing Meeting Minutes Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots Final Order No. 10-01, Issued 5/19/10 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners July 18, 2019, Meeting Minutes PSP Responses to PMSA DR 84 with Attachments Response DR 75-82 (Financial Comparability) Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Response to DRs 83, 84, 92-97 (TAL, MOU, Fatigue) Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75, 77, 81 Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 83, 92, 96	Page	66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan SK-3T Rebuttal Testimony SK-4X Attachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332 SK-5X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRS 416-438 SK-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 122, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 220, 242, 334, 432, 434, 436, 438 LS-1T Linda Styrk Direct Testimony LS-2 Curriculum Vitae LS-3 Note A.d. (pg 26) of PSP's 2018 Financial Statement LS-4X FMC 2012 Study of US Inland Containerized Cargo LS-5X Large Ship Invoices LS-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 13, 18, 33, 34, 35 39, 66 385 IC-1T Ivan Carlson Direct Testimony 	64	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	IC-28X IC-29X IC-30X IC-31X IC-32X IC-32X IC-33X IC-35X IC-35X IC-36X IC-36X IC-37X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners Dec. 1987/Jan. 1988 Hearing Meeting Minutes Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots Final Order No. 10-01, Issued 5/19/10 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners July 18, 2019, Meeting Minutes PSP Responses to PMSA DR 84 with Attachments Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Response DR 75-82 (Financial Comparability) Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Response to DRs 83, 84, 92-97 (TAL, MOU, Fatigue) Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75, 77, 81 Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 83, 92, 96 PSP's Responses to PMSA DRs 482 and 501	Page	66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan SK-3T Rebuttal Testimony SK-4X Attachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332 SK-5X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 416-438 SK-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 122, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 220, 242, 334, 432, 434, 436, 438 LS-1T Linda Styrk Direct Testimony LS-2 Curriculum Vitae LS-3 Note A.d. (pg 26) of PSP's 2018 Financial Statement LS-4X FMC 2012 Study of US Inland Containerized Cargo LS-5X Large Ship Invoices LS-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 13, 18, 33, 34, 35 39, 66 385 IC-1T Ivan Carlson Direct Testimony IC-2 Calculations of Pilots Burning Callback Days 	64	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	IC-28X IC-29X IC-30X IC-31X IC-31X IC-32X IC-32X IC-33X IC-35X IC-35X IC-36X IC-36X IC-37X IC-38X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners Dec. 1987/Jan. 1988 Hearing Meeting Minutes Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots Final Order No. 10-01, Issued 5/19/10 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners July 18, 2019, Meeting Minutes PSP Responses to PMSA DR 84 with Attachments Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Response to DRs 83, 84, 92-97 (TAL, MOU, Fatigue) Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75, 77, 81 Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 83, 92, 96 PSP's Responses to PMSA DRs 482 and 501 Pilot Analysis	Page	66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)No.DescriptionSK-1TM. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct TestimonySK-2Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement PlanSK-3TRebuttal TestimonySK-4XAttachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332SK-5XExcerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 416-438SK-6XPSP Responses to PMSA DRs 122, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 220, 242, 334, 432, 434, 436, 438LS-1TLinda Styrk Direct TestimonyLS-2Curriculum VitaeLS-3Note A.d. (pg 26) of PSP's 2018 Financial StatementLS-5XLarge Ship InvoicesLS-6XPSP Responses to PMSA DRs 13, 18, 33, 34, 35 39, 66 385IC-1TIvan Carlson Direct TestimonyIC-2Calculations of Pilots Burning Callback DaysIC-3Pilot Income SummaryIC-4TrRebuttal Testimony	64	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	IC-28X IC-29X IC-30X IC-31X IC-32X IC-32X IC-33X IC-35X IC-35X IC-36X IC-37X IC-38X IC-39X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners Dec. 1987/Jan. 1988 Hearing Meeting Minutes Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots Final Order No. 10-01, Issued 5/19/10 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners July 18, 2019, Meeting Minutes PSP Responses to PMSA DR 84 with Attachments Response to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Response to DRs 83, 84, 92-97 (TAL, MOU, Fatigue) Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75, 77, 81 Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 83, 92, 96 PSP's Responses to PMSA DRs 482 and 501 Pilot Analysis PSP Workload 2018 and 2019	Page	66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan SK-3T Rebuttal Testimony SK-4X Attachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332 SK-5X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRS 416-438 SK-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 122, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 220, 242, 334, 432, 434, 436, 438 LS-1T Linda Styrk Direct Testimony LS-2 Curriculum Vitae LS-3 Note A.d. (pg 26) of PSP's 2018 Financial Statement LS-4X FMC 2012 Study of US Inland Containerized Cargo LS-5X Large Ship Invoices LS-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 13, 18, 33, 34, 35 39, 66 385 IC-1T Ivan Carlson Direct Testimony IC-2 Calculations of Pilots Burning Callback Days IC-3 Pilot Income Summary IC-4Tr Rebuttal Testimony IC-5 PMSA-s 2006 Tariff Submissions 	64	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	IC-28X IC-29X IC-30X IC-31X IC-32X IC-32X IC-32X IC-33X IC-35X IC-36X IC-36X IC-36X IC-37X IC-38X IC-39X IC-40X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners Dec. 1987/Jan. 1988 Hearing Meeting Minutes Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots Final Order No. 10-01, Issued 5/19/10 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners July 18, 2019, Meeting Minutes PSP Responses to PMSA DR 84 with Attachments Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Response DR 75-82 (Financial Comparability) Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Response to DRs 83, 84, 92-97 (TAL, MOU, Fatigue) Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75, 77, 81 Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 83, 92, 96 PSP's Responses to PMSA DRs 482 and 501 Pilot Analysis PSP Workload 2018 and 2019 PSP Callback Subbing Methodology	Page	66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)No.DescriptionSK-1TM. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct TestimonySK-2Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement PlanSK-3TRebuttal TestimonySK-4XAttachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332SK-5XExcerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 416-438SK-6XPSP Responses to PMSA DRs 122, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 220, 242, 334, 432, 434, 436, 438LS-1TLinda Styrk Direct TestimonyLS-2Curriculum VitaeLS-3Note A.d. (pg 26) of PSP's 2018 Financial StatementLS-5XLarge Ship InvoicesLS-6XPSP Responses to PMSA DRs 13, 18, 33, 34, 35 39, 66 385IC-1TIvan Carlson Direct TestimonyIC-2Calculations of Pilots Burning Callback DaysIC-3Pilot Income SummaryIC-4TrRebuttal Testimony	64	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	IC-28X IC-29X IC-30X IC-31X IC-31X IC-32X IC-32X IC-33X IC-35X IC-35X IC-36X IC-36X IC-37X IC-38X IC-38X IC-39X IC-40X IC-41X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners Dec. 1987/Jan. 1988 Hearing Meeting Minutes Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots Final Order No. 10-01, Issued 5/19/10 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners July 18, 2019, Meeting Minutes PSP Responses to PMSA DR 84 with Attachments Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Response to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Response to DRs 83, 84, 92-97 (TAL, MOU, Fatigue) Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75, 77, 81 Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 83, 92, 96 PSP's Responses to PMSA DRs 482 and 501 Pilot Analysis PSP Workload 2018 and 2019 PSP Callback Subbing Methodology PSP Response to Staff DRs 17, 24, 28, 31; PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 42, 79, 84, 85, 97, 98,	Page	66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan SK-3T Rebuttal Testimony SK-4X Attachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332 SK-5X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 416-438 SK-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 122, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 220, 242, 334, 432, 434, 436, 438 LS-1T Linda Styrk Direct Testimony LS-2 Curriculum Vitae LS-3 Note A.d. (pg 26) of PSP's 2018 Financial Statement LS-4X FMC 2012 Study of US Inland Containerized Cargo LS-5X Large Ship Invoices LS-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 13, 18, 33, 34, 35 39, 66 385 IC-1T Ivan Carlson Direct Testimony IC-2 Calculations of Pilots Burning Callback Days IC-3 Pilot Income Summary IC-4Tr Rebuttal Testimony IC-5 PMSA-s 2006 Tariff Submissions IC-6 Callback Burn Rate Analysis IC-7 Executed Agreed 065 Joint Presentation of PSP 	64	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	IC-28X IC-29X IC-30X IC-31X IC-31X IC-32X IC-32X IC-33X IC-35X IC-35X IC-36X IC-36X IC-37X IC-38X IC-38X IC-39X IC-40X IC-41X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners Dec. 1987/Jan. 1988 Hearing Meeting Minutes Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots Final Order No. 10-01, Issued 5/19/10 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners July 18, 2019, Meeting Minutes PSP Responses to PMSA DR 84 with Attachments Response to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Response to DRs 83, 84, 92-97 (TAL, MOU, Fatigue) Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75, 77, 81 Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 78, 92, 96 PSP's Responses to PMSA DRs 482 and 501 Pilot Analysis PSP Workload 2018 and 2019 PSP Callback Subbing Methodology PSP Response to Staff DRs 17, 24, 28, 31; PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 42, 79, 84, 85, 97, 98, 107, 110, 112, 116, 122, 179, 188, 220, 224, 229, 231, 242, 310, 318, 420, 472, 475, 476,	Page	66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan SK-3T Rebuttal Testimony SK-4X Attachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332 SK-5X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 416-438 SK-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 122, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 220, 242, 334, 432, 434, 436, 438 LS-1T Linda Styrk Direct Testimony LS-2 Curriculum Vitae LS-3 Note A.d. (pg 26) of PSP's 2018 Financial Statement LS-4X FMC 2012 Study of US Inland Containerized Cargo LS-5X Large Ship Invoices LS-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 13, 18, 33, 34, 35 39, 66 385 IC-1T Ivan Carlson Direct Testimony IC-2 Calculations of Pilots Burning Callback Days IC-3 Pilot Income Summary IC-4Tr Rebuttal Testimony IC-5 PMSA-s 2006 Tariff Submissions IC-6 Callback Burn Rate Analysis 	64	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	IC-28X IC-29X IC-30X IC-31X IC-31X IC-32X IC-32X IC-33X IC-35X IC-35X IC-36X IC-36X IC-37X IC-38X IC-38X IC-39X IC-40X IC-41X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners Dec. 1987/Jan. 1988 Hearing Meeting Minutes Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots Final Order No. 10-01, Issued 5/19/10 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners July 18, 2019, Meeting Minutes PSP Responses to PMSA DR 84 with Attachments Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Response to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Response to DRs 83, 84, 92-97 (TAL, MOU, Fatigue) Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75, 77, 81 Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 83, 92, 96 PSP's Responses to PMSA DRs 482 and 501 Pilot Analysis PSP Workload 2018 and 2019 PSP Callback Subbing Methodology PSP Response to Staff DRs 17, 24, 28, 31; PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 42, 79, 84, 85, 97, 98, 107, 110, 112, 116, 122, 179, 188, 220, 224, 229, 231, 242, 310, 318, 420, 472, 475, 476, 479, 481, 491, 496, 497, 498, 499, 502, 506,	Page	66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description SK-1T M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D. Direct Testimony SK-2 Actuarial Analysis of PSP Retirement Plan SK-3T Rebuttal Testimony SK-4X Attachment to PSP Response to PMSA DR 332 SK-5X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 416-438 SK-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 122, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 220, 242, 334, 432, 434, 436, 438 LS-1T Linda Styrk Direct Testimony LS-2 Curriculum Vitae LS-3 Note A.d. (pg 26) of PSP's 2018 Financial Statement LS-4X FMC 2012 Study of US Inland Containerized Cargo LS-5X Large Ship Invoices LS-6X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 13, 18, 33, 34, 35 39, 66 385 IC-1T Ivan Carlson Direct Testimony IC-2 Calculations of Pilots Burning Callback Days IC-3 Pilot Income Summary IC-4Tr Rebuttal Testimony IC-5 PMSA-s 2006 Tariff Submissions IC-6 Callback Burn Rate Analysis IC-7 Executed Agreed 065 Joint Presentation of PSP and PMSA 	64	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IC-28X IC-29X IC-30X IC-31X IC-31X IC-32X IC-32X IC-33X IC-35X IC-35X IC-36X IC-36X IC-37X IC-38X IC-38X IC-39X IC-40X IC-41X	Description Letter Dated 12/1/05 from PSP RE: Notice of Termination of MOU Puget Sound Pilotage District MOU 1996 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners Dec. 1987/Jan. 1988 Hearing Meeting Minutes Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots Final Order No. 10-01, Issued 5/19/10 Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners July 18, 2019, Meeting Minutes PSP Responses to PMSA DR 84 with Attachments Response to Staff DRs 75-103; Carlson Response to DRs 83, 84, 92-97 (TAL, MOU, Fatigue) Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75, 77, 81 Attachments to PSP Responses to Staff DRs 78, 92, 96 PSP's Responses to PMSA DRs 482 and 501 Pilot Analysis PSP Workload 2018 and 2019 PSP Callback Subbing Methodology PSP Response to Staff DRs 17, 24, 28, 31; PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 42, 79, 84, 85, 97, 98, 107, 110, 112, 116, 122, 179, 188, 220, 224, 229, 231, 242, 310, 318, 420, 472, 475, 476,	Page	66

3 (Pages 63 to 66)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 67	Page 69
1 2	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description	1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 No. Description
3	SC-1T Scott Coleman Direct Testimony (18 pages)	3 AMCL-4 Transportation Expense Adjustment
4 5	SC-2 Blank Chartlet of Local Areas and Routes SC-3 Completed Chartlet of Local Areas and Routes	4 AMCL-5 Entertainment and Travel Expense Adjustment 5 AMCL-6 Legal Expense Adjustments
б	SM-1T Stephan Moreno Direct Testimony	5 AMCL-6 Legal Expense Adjustments 6 AMCL-7 Consulting Fee Adjustments
7 8	SM-2T Rebuttal Testimony SM-3 UTC Response to PMSA DR 17	7 AMCL-8 PSP's Sponsorships and Promotions workpaper
9	SM-4 Table of Current and Proposed Charges	8 AMCL-9 PSP's Legal Cost Summary workpaper
10	SM-5X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs	9 AMCL-10 PSP's Response to Staff DR 41 10 AMCL-11 PSP's Response to Staff DR 43
11	335-413	11 AMCL-12 PSP's Regulatory Depreciation Schedule
	SM-6X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs	12 AMCL-13 PSP's Response to Staff DR 50
12 13	416-438 SM-7X PSP Responses to Staff DRs 75-103; Moreno	13AMCL-14PSP's Response to Staff DR 6114AMCL-15XStaff Responses to PMSA DRs 38-40, 49-51,
13	Response to DR 90	53, 54; PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 385, 420,
14		15 421; PSP Response to Staff DR 62
15	SM-8X PSP Roster 2020	16 SS-1T Scott Sevall Direct Testimony 17 SS-2r2 Average Historical Assignments and
	SM-9X PSP 2020 Retiree Information	Distribution Calculation
16	SM-10X PSP Response to Staff DRs 13; PSP Response to	18
17	PMSA DRs 3, 4, 5, 6, 38, 344, 348, 364, 370,	SS-3r Staff Proposed Tariff Rates
18	376, 423, 424, 428, 430	SS-4 Vessel Entry and Transit Report
19	RL-1T Robert Leachman Rebuttal Testimony	SS-5T Supplemental Testimony
20	JJN-1T Jeremy J. Nielsen Rebuttal Testimony	SS-6T Cross-Answering Testimony
21	JJN-2X Excerpts from PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 439-471	SS-7 Tariff Invoice Comparison
22 23	JJN-3X PSP Response to Staff DR 89 JJN-4X PSP Responses to PMSA DRs 442, 444, 445, 454,	SS-8X PSP Response to PMSA DRs 334, 362, 364
24	455, 460, 461, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 470	SS-9X 2006 Virginia Gross Tonnage Order
25		25
	Dage 68	Page 70
1	Page 68	Page 70
1 2	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description	1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)
2 3	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description DPK-1T Danny P. Kermode Direct Testimony	1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 No. Description
2 3 4 5	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description DPK-1T Danny P. Kermode Direct Testimony DPK-2 PSP's Response to Staff DR 55 DPK-3T Cross-Answering Testimony	1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 No. Description 3 SS-10X Oregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-01
2 3 4 5 6	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description DPK-1T Danny P. Kermode Direct Testimony DPK-2 PSP's Response to Staff DR 55 DPK-3T Cross-Answering Testimony DPK-4 PSP Response to Staff DR 54	1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 No. Description 3 SS-10X Oregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-01 4 SS-11X San Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study
2 3 4 5 6 7	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description DPK-1T Danny P. Kermode Direct Testimony DPK-2 PSP's Response to Staff DR 55 DPK-3T Cross-Answering Testimony	1EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)2No.Description3SS-10XOregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-014SS-11XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study5SS-12XCost of Living Comparison
2 3 4 5 6	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description DPK-1T Danny P. Kermode Direct Testimony DPK-2 PSP's Response to Staff DR 55 DPK-3T Cross-Answering Testimony DPK-4 PSP Response to Staff DR 54 DPK-5 Joint Transportation Committee Request for Proposals Dated May 17, 2017	1EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)2No.Description3SS-10XOregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-014SS-11XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study5SS-12XCost of Living Comparison6SS-13XStaff Response to PMSA DR 18
2 3 4 5 6 7	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description DPK-1T Danny P. Kermode Direct Testimony DPK-2 PSP's Response to Staff DR 55 DPK-3T Cross-Answering Testimony DPK-4 PSP Response to Staff DR 54 DPK-5 Joint Transportation Committee Request for Proposals Dated May 17, 2017 DPK-6 Washington State Pilotage Final Report and Recommendations to Joint Transportation	1EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)2No.Description3SS-10XOregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-014SS-11XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study5SS-12XCost of Living Comparison6SS-13XStaff Response to PMSA DR 187SS-14XStaff Response to PMSA DR 19
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description DPK-1T Danny P. Kermode Direct Testimony DPK-2 PSP's Response to Staff DR 55 DPK-3T Cross-Answering Testimony DPK-4 PSP Response to Staff DR 54 DPK-5 Joint Transportation Committee Request for Proposals Dated May 17, 2017 DPK-6 Washington State Pilotage Final Report and	1EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)2No.Description3SS-10XOregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-014SS-11XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study5SS-12XCost of Living Comparison6SS-13XStaff Response to PMSA DR 187SS-14XStaff Response to PMSA DR 198SS-15XStaff Response to PMSA DR 21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description DFK-1T Danny P. Kermode Direct Testimony DFK-2 PSP's Response to Staff DR 55 DFK-3T Cross-Answering Testimony DFK-4 PSP Response to Staff DR 54 DFK-5 Joint Transportation Committee Request for Proposals Dated May 17, 2017 DFK-6 Washington State Pilotage Final Report and Recommendations to Joint Transportation Committee Dated January 18, 2018 DFK-7 Internal Revenue Service Rev. Rul. 54-614,	1EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)2No.Description3SS-10XOregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-014SS-11XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study5SS-12XCost of Living Comparison6SS-13XStaff Response to PMSA DR 187SS-14XStaff Response to PMSA DR 198SS-15XStaff Response to PMSA DR 219SS-16XStaff Response to PMSA DR 22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description DPK-1T Danny P. Kermode Direct Testimony DPK-2 PSP's Response to Staff DR 55 DPK-3T Cross-Answering Testimony DPK-4 PSP Response to Staff DR 54 DPK-5 Joint Transportation Committee Request for Proposals Dated May 17, 2017 DPK-6 Washington State Pilotage Final Report and Recommendations to Joint Transportation Committee Dated January 18, 2018 DPK-7 Internal Revenue Service Rev. Rul. 54-614, 1954-2 CB 271	1EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)2No.Description3SS-10XOregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-014SS-11XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study5SS-12XCost of Living Comparison6SS-13XStaff Response to PMSA DR 187SS-14XStaff Response to PMSA DR 198SS-15XStaff Response to PMSA DR 219SS-16XStaff Response to PMSA DR 2210SS-17XStaff Response to PMSA DR 35
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description DFK-1T Danny P. Kermode Direct Testimony DFK-2 PSP's Response to Staff DR 55 DFK-3T Cross-Answering Testimony DFK-4 PSP Response to Staff DR 54 DFK-5 Joint Transportation Committee Request for Proposals Dated May 17, 2017 DFK-6 Washington State Pilotage Final Report and Recommendations to Joint Transportation Committee Dated January 18, 2018 DFK-7 Internal Revenue Service Rev. Rul. 54-614,	1EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)2No.Description3SS-10XOregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-014SS-11XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study5SS-12XCost of Living Comparison6SS-13XStaff Response to PMSA DR 187SS-14XStaff Response to PMSA DR 198SS-15XStaff Response to PMSA DR 219SS-16XStaff Response to PMSA DR 2210SS-17XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3511SS-18XStaff Response to PMSA DR 37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description DPK-1T Danny P. Kermode Direct Testimony DPK-2 PSP's Response to Staff DR 55 DPK-3T Cross-Answering Testimony DPK-4 PSP Response to Staff DR 54 DPK-5 Joint Transportation Committee Request for Proposals Dated May 17, 2017 DPK-6 Washington State Pilotage Final Report and Recommendations to Joint Transportation Committee Dated January 18, 2018 DPK-7 Internal Revenue Service Rev. Rul. 54-614, 1954-2 CB 271 DPK-8X PSP Response to PMSA 8, 9, 14, 273, 280, 305, 416, 417, 432, 438	1EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)2No.Description3SS-10XOregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-014SS-11XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study5SS-12XCost of Living Comparison6SS-13XStaff Response to PMSA DR 187SS-14XStaff Response to PMSA DR 198SS-15XStaff Response to PMSA DR 219SS-16XStaff Response to PMSA DR 2210SS-17XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3511SS-18XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3712JCR-1Tr2John C. Ramirez Direct Testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description DPK-1T Danny P. Kermode Direct Testimony DPK-2 PSP's Response to Staff DR 55 DPK-3T Cross-Answering Testimony DPK-4 PSP Response to Staff DR 54 DPK-5 Joint Transportation Committee Request for Proposals Dated May 17, 2017 DPK-6 Washington State Pilotage Final Report and Recommendations to Joint Transportation Committee Dated January 18, 2018 DPK-7 Internal Revenue Service Rev. Rul. 54-614, 1954-2 CB 271 DPK-8X PSP Response to PMSA 8, 9, 14, 273, 280, 305, 416, 417, 432, 438 DPK-9X Histogram Analysis of Time for Moves	1EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)2No.Description3SS-10XOregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-014SS-11XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study5SS-12XCost of Living Comparison6SS-13XStaff Response to PMSA DR 187SS-14XStaff Response to PMSA DR 198SS-15XStaff Response to PMSA DR 219SS-16XStaff Response to PMSA DR 2210SS-17XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3511SS-18XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3712JCR-1Tr2John C. Ramirez Direct Testimony13JCR-2rCurriculum Vitae
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description DPK-1T Danny P. Kermode Direct Testimony DPK-2 PSP's Response to Staff DR 55 DPK-3T Cross-Answering Testimony DPK-4 PSP Response to Staff DR 54 DPK-5 Joint Transportation Committee Request for Proposals Dated May 17, 2017 DPK-6 Washington State Pilotage Final Report and Recommendations to Joint Transportation Committee Dated January 18, 2018 DPK-7 Internal Revenue Service Rev. Rul. 54-614, 1954-2 CB 271 DPK-8X PSP Response to PMSA 8, 9, 14, 273, 280, 305, 416, 417, 432, 438	1EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)2No.Description3SS-10XOregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-014SS-11XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study5SS-12XCost of Living Comparison6SS-13XStaff Response to PMSA DR 187SS-14XStaff Response to PMSA DR 198SS-15XStaff Response to PMSA DR 219SS-16XStaff Response to PMSA DR 2210SS-17XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3511SS-18XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3712JCR-1Tr2John C. Ramirez Direct Testimony13JCR-2rCurriculum Vitae14JCR-3rRate of Return Analysis
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description DPK-1T Danny P. Kermode Direct Testimony DPK-2 PSP's Response to Staff DR 55 DPK-3T Cross-Answering Testimony DPK-4 PSP Response to Staff DR 54 DPK-5 Joint Transportation Committee Request for Proposals Dated May 17, 2017 DPK-6 Washington State Pilotage Final Report and Recommendations to Joint Transportation Committee Dated January 18, 2018 DPK-7 Internal Revenue Service Rev. Rul. 54-614, 1954-2 CB 271 DPK-8X PSP Response to PMSA 8, 9, 14, 273, 280, 305, 416, 417, 432, 438 DPK-9X Histogram Analysis of Time for Moves	1EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)2No.Description3SS-10XOregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-014SS-11XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study5SS-12XCost of Living Comparison6SS-13XStaff Response to PMSA DR 187SS-14XStaff Response to PMSA DR 198SS-15XStaff Response to PMSA DR 219SS-16XStaff Response to PMSA DR 2210SS-17XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3511SS-18XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3712JCR-1Tr2John C. Ramirez Direct Testimony13JCR-2rCurriculum Vitae
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description DPK-1T Danny P. Kermode Direct Testimony DPK-2 PSP's Response to Staff DR 55 DPK-3T Cross-Answering Testimony DPK-4 PSP Response to Staff DR 54 DPK-5 Joint Transportation Committee Request for Proposals Dated May 17, 2017 DPK-6 Washington State Pilotage Final Report and Recommendations to Joint Transportation Committee Dated January 18, 2018 DPK-7 Internal Revenue Service Rev. Rul. 54-614, 1954-2 CB 271 DPK-8X PSP Response to PMSA 8, 9, 14, 273, 280, 305, 416, 417, 432, 438 DPK-9X Histogram Analysis of Time for Moves DPK-10X Staff Response to PMSA DR 3	1EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)2No.Description3SS-10XOregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-014SS-11XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study5SS-12XCost of Living Comparison6SS-13XStaff Response to PMSA DR 187SS-14XStaff Response to PMSA DR 198SS-15XStaff Response to PMSA DR 219SS-16XStaff Response to PMSA DR 2210SS-17XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3511SS-18XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3712JCR-1Tr2John C. Ramirez Direct Testimony13JCR-2rCurriculum Vitae14JCR-3rRate of Return Analysis15JCR-4TCross-Answering Testimony16JCR-5Staff Response to DR 517JCR-6Staff Response to DR 6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)No.DescriptionDPK-1TDanny P. Kermode Direct TestimonyDPK-2PSP's Response to Staff DR 55DPK-3TCross-Answering TestimonyDPK-4PSP Response to Staff DR 54DPK-5Joint Transportation Committee Request for Proposals Dated May 17, 2017DPK-6Washington State Pilotage Final Report and Recommendations to Joint Transportation Committee Dated January 18, 2018DPK-7Internal Revenue Service Rev. Rul. 54-614, 1954-2 CB 271DPK-8XPSP Response to PMSA 8, 9, 14, 273, 280, 305, 416, 417, 432, 438DPK-9XHistogram Analysis of Time for MovesDP-10XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3DP-11XStaff Response to PMSA DR 8	1EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)2No.Description3SS-10XOregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-014SS-11XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study5SS-12XCost of Living Comparison6SS-13XStaff Response to PMSA DR 187SS-14XStaff Response to PMSA DR 198SS-15XStaff Response to PMSA DR 219SS-16XStaff Response to PMSA DR 2210SS-17XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3511SS-18XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3712JCR-1Tr2John C. Ramirez Direct Testimony13JCR-2rCurriculum Vitae14JCR-3rRate of Return Analysis15JCR-4TCross-Answering Testimony16JCR-5Staff Response to DR 517JCR-6Staff Response to DR 618JCR-7XPMSA Response to Staff DR 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)No.DescriptionDPK-1TDanny P. Kermode Direct TestimonyDPK-2PSP's Response to Staff DR 55DPK-3TCross-Answering TestimonyDPK-4PSP Response to Staff DR 54DPK-5Joint Transportation Committee Request for Proposals Dated May 17, 2017DPK-6Washington State Pilotage Final Report and Recommendations to Joint Transportation Committee Dated January 18, 2018DPK-7Internal Revenue Service Rev. Rul. 54-614, 1954-2 CB 271DPK-8XPSP Response to PMSA 8, 9, 14, 273, 280, 305, 416, 417, 432, 438DPK-9XHistogram Analysis of Time for MovesDP-10XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3DP-11XStaff Response to PMSA DR 8DP-13XBPC Minutes May 2001 Callbacks and Pension	1EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)2No.Description3SS-10XOregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-014SS-11XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study5SS-12XCost of Living Comparison6SS-13XStaff Response to PMSA DR 187SS-14XStaff Response to PMSA DR 198SS-15XStaff Response to PMSA DR 219SS-16XStaff Response to PMSA DR 2210SS-17XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3511SS-18XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3712JCR-1Tr2John C. Ramirez Direct Testimony13JCR-2rCurriculum Vitae14JCR-3rRate of Return Analysis15JCR-4TCross-Answering Testimony16JCR-5Staff Response to DR 618JCR-7XPMSA Response to Staff DR 219JCR-8XPMSA Response to PSP DR 100
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)No.DescriptionDPK-1TDanny P. Kermode Direct TestimonyDPK-2PSP's Response to Staff DR 55DPK-3TCross-Answering TestimonyDPK-4PSP Response to Staff DR 54DPK-5Joint Transportation Committee Request for Proposals Dated May 17, 2017DPK-6Washington State Pilotage Final Report and Recommendations to Joint Transportation Committee Dated January 18, 2018DPK-7Internal Revenue Service Rev. Rul. 54-614, 1954-2 CB 271DPK-8XPSP Response to PMSA 8, 9, 14, 273, 280, 305, 416, 417, 432, 438DPK-9XHistogram Analysis of Time for MovesDP-10XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3DP-11XStaff Response to PMSA DR 8DP-13XBPC Minutes May 2001 Callbacks and PensionDP-14XBPC Minutes May 2005	1EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)2No.Description3SS-10XOregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-014SS-11XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study5SS-12XCost of Living Comparison6SS-13XStaff Response to PMSA DR 187SS-14XStaff Response to PMSA DR 198SS-15XStaff Response to PMSA DR 219SS-16XStaff Response to PMSA DR 2210SS-17XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3511SS-18XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3712JCR-1Tr2John C. Ramirez Direct Testimony13JCR-2rCurriculum Vitae14JCR-3rRate of Return Analysis15JCR-6Staff Response to DR 517JCR-6Staff Response to DR 618JCR-7XPMSA Response to PSP DR 10020JCR-9XPMSA Response to PSP DR 179
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description DFK-1T Danny P. Kermode Direct Testimony DFK-2 PSP's Response to Staff DR 55 DFK-3T Cross-Answering Testimony DFK-4 PSP Response to Staff DR 54 DPK-5 Joint Transportation Committee Request for Proposals Dated May 17, 2017 DFK-6 Washington State Pilotage Final Report and Recommendations to Joint Transportation Committee Dated January 18, 2018 DFK-7 Internal Revenue Service Rev. Rul. 54-614, 1954-2 CB 271 DFK-8X PSP Response to PMSA 8, 9, 14, 273, 280, 305, 416, 417, 432, 438 DFK-9X Histogram Analysis of Time for Moves DF-10X Staff Response to PMSA DR 3 DF-11X Staff Response to PMSA DR 8 DP-13X BPC Minutes May 2001 Callbacks and Pension DF-14X BPC Minutes May 2005 DF-15X BPC Minutes 5/11/00 DF-16X Member List PMSA DP-17X Feadship's 87m Yacht Lonian Unveiled	1EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)2No.Description3SS-10XOregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-014SS-11XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study5SS-12XCost of Living Comparison6SS-13XStaff Response to PMSA DR 187SS-14XStaff Response to PMSA DR 198SS-15XStaff Response to PMSA DR 219SS-16XStaff Response to PMSA DR 2210SS-17XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3511SS-18XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3712JCR-1Tr2John C. Ramirez Direct Testimony13JCR-2rCurriculum Vitae14JCR-3rRate of Return Analysis15JCR-4TCross-Answering Testimony16JCR-5Staff Response to DR 618JCR-7XPMSA Response to PSP DR 10020JCR-9XPMSA Response to PSP DR 10821JCR-10XPMSA Response to PSP DR 17922JR-1TrJordan Royer Direct Testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description DPK-1T Danny P. Kermode Direct Testimony DPK-2 PSP's Response to Staff DR 55 DPK-3T Cross-Answering Testimony DPK-4 PSP Response to Staff DR 54 DPK-5 Joint Transportation Committee Request for Proposals Dated May 17, 2017 DPK-6 Washington State Pilotage Final Report and Recommendations to Joint Transportation Committee Dated January 18, 2018 DPK-7 Internal Revenue Service Rev. Rul. 54-614, 1954-2 CB 271 DPK-8X PSP Response to PMSA 8, 9, 14, 273, 280, 305, 416, 417, 432, 438 DPK-9X Histogram Analysis of Time for Moves DP-10X Staff Response to PMSA DR 3 DP-11X Staff Response to PMSA DR 8 DP-13X BPC Minutes May 2001 Callbacks and Pension DP-14X BPC Minutes S/11/00 DP-16X Member List PMSA DP-17X Feadship's 87m Yacht Lonian Unveiled SuperYacht Times	1EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)2No.Description3SS-10XOregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-014SS-11XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study5SS-12XCost of Living Comparison6SS-13XStaff Response to PMSA DR 187SS-14XStaff Response to PMSA DR 198SS-15XStaff Response to PMSA DR 219SS-16XStaff Response to PMSA DR 2210SS-17XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3511SS-18XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3712JCR-1Tr2John C. Ramirez Direct Testimony13JCR-2rCurriculum Vitae14JCR-3rRate of Return Analysis15JCR-4TCross-Answering Testimony16JCR-5Staff Response to DR 618JCR-7XPMSA Response to PSP DR 10020JCR-9XPMSA Response to PSP DR 10821JCR-10XPMSA Response to PSP DR 17922JR-1TrJordan Royer Direct Testimony23JR-2rRFPR to BPC, 10/22/20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description DFK-1T Danny P. Kermode Direct Testimony DFK-2 PSP's Response to Staff DR 55 DFK-3T Cross-Answering Testimony DFK-4 PSP Response to Staff DR 54 DPK-5 Joint Transportation Committee Request for Proposals Dated May 17, 2017 DFK-6 Washington State Pilotage Final Report and Recommendations to Joint Transportation Committee Dated January 18, 2018 DFK-7 Internal Revenue Service Rev. Rul. 54-614, 1954-2 CB 271 DFK-8X PSP Response to PMSA 8, 9, 14, 273, 280, 305, 416, 417, 432, 438 DFK-9X Histogram Analysis of Time for Moves DF-10X Staff Response to PMSA DR 3 DF-11X Staff Response to PMSA DR 8 DP-13X BPC Minutes May 2001 Callbacks and Pension DF-14X BPC Minutes May 2005 DF-15X BPC Minutes 5/11/00 DF-16X Member List PMSA DP-17X Feadship's 87m Yacht Lonian Unveiled	1EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)2No.Description3SS-10XOregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-014SS-11XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study5SS-12XCost of Living Comparison6SS-13XStaff Response to PMSA DR 187SS-14XStaff Response to PMSA DR 198SS-15XStaff Response to PMSA DR 219SS-16XStaff Response to PMSA DR 2210SS-17XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3511SS-18XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3712JCR-1Tr2John C. Ramirez Direct Testimony13JCR-2rCurriculum Vitae14JCR-3rRate of Return Analysis15JCR-4TCross-Answering Testimony16JCR-5Staff Response to DR 517JCR-6Staff Response to PSP DR 10020JCR-9XPMSA Response to PSP DR 10821JCR-10XPMSA Response to PSP DR 17922JR-1TrJordan Royer Direct Testimony23JR-2rRFPR to BPC, 10/22/2024JR-3rBPC Pilots and Trainee Lists, 2008-2020
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) No. Description DPK-1T Danny P. Kermode Direct Testimony DPK-2 PSP's Response to Staff DR 55 DPK-3T Cross-Answering Testimony DPK-4 PSP Response to Staff DR 54 DPK-5 Joint Transportation Committee Request for Proposals Dated May 17, 2017 DPK-6 Washington State Pilotage Final Report and Recommendations to Joint Transportation Committee Dated January 18, 2018 DPK-7 Internal Revenue Service Rev. Rul. 54-614, 1954-2 CB 271 DPK-8X PSP Response to PMSA 8, 9, 14, 273, 280, 305, 416, 417, 432, 438 DPK-9X Histogram Analysis of Time for Moves DP-10X Staff Response to PMSA DR 3 DP-11X Staff Response to PMSA DR 8 DP-13X BPC Minutes May 2001 Callbacks and Pension DP-14X BPC Minutes May 2005 DP-15X BPC Minutes 5/11/00 DP-16X Member List PMSA DP-17X Feadship's 87m Yacht Lonian Unveiled SuperYacht Times AMCL-1Tr Ann M. C. LaRue Testimony	1EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)2No.Description3SS-10XOregon Board of Marine Pilots Order 10-014SS-11XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study5SS-12XCost of Living Comparison6SS-13XStaff Response to PMSA DR 187SS-14XStaff Response to PMSA DR 198SS-15XStaff Response to PMSA DR 219SS-16XStaff Response to PMSA DR 2210SS-17XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3511SS-18XStaff Response to PMSA DR 3712JCR-1Tr2John C. Ramirez Direct Testimony13JCR-2rCurriculum Vitae14JCR-3rRate of Return Analysis15JCR-4TCross-Answering Testimony16JCR-5Staff Response to DR 517JCR-6Staff Response to PSP DR 10020JCR-9XPMSA Response to PSP DR 10821JCR-10XPMSA Response to PSP DR 17922JR-1TrJordan Royer Direct Testimony23JR-2rRFPR to BPC, 10/22/20

4 (Pages 67 to 70)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Docket No. TP-190976 - Vol. III - 8/12/2020

		Page 71				Page	73
1		EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)	1		EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)		
2	No.	Description	2	No.	Description		
3	JR-4r	BPC Trainees and Trainees Chart	3	MM-13r MM-14r	Average Revenue Per Assignment (2010-2019) Total and Average Bridge Hours per Vessel		
4 5	JR-5r	BPC Annual Reports, 2008-2018	1 1	101101-141	Move (2018-19 PSP Test Period)		
5	JR-6r JR-7r	PSP Monthly 2015 Earned Revenue Reports BPC Complaints	5				
7	JR-8r	BPC PSP Financial Reports, 2015-2019		MM-15r	Average Revenue Per Bridge Hour (2018-2019		
8	JR-9r	BPC Board Meeting Spreadsheets, 2015-2019	6		PSP Test Period)		
9	JR-10r	PSP Tariff Letters, 2012-2014	7	MM-16r	PSP Net Income per Assignment (2011-2018)		
10	JR-11r	BPC Candidate List, 2008	8	MM-17r	Annual Actual Reported Operating Expenses		
11	JR-12r	BPC Exam-Trainee Waiting List, 2012	9		(2011-2018)		
12	JR-13r	BPC Trainee Candidate Status		MM-18r	Average Operating Expenses per Assignment		
13	JR-14r	BPC Examination Candidates with State	10		(2011-2018)		
		Pilots Licenses	11	MM-19r	Number of Pilots Licensed & Number of		
14					Authorized Licenses (2005-2019)		
1 5	JR-15r	BPC 2018 Examination Statistics	12	MAN 4 00-	Augusta August Applements and Dilat		
15		DDO Martha Anthre David 2017 0010	13	MM-20r	Average Annual Assignments per Pilot		
10	JR-16r	BPC Monthly Activity Reports, 2017-2019	13	MM-21r	(2005-2019) Total Bridge Hours and Average Bridge Hours		
16	ID 17r	PPC July 2010 Masting Minutas	1 11		per Pilot		
17	JR-17r	BPC July 2019 Meeting Minutes	15				
1 /	JR-18r	BPC February 2015 Meeting Minutes		MM-22r	Pilots Completing the Most Annual		
18	JIX-101	BFC Tebruary 2015 Meeting Minutes	16		Assignments (2018)		
10	JR-19r	Incident Report Vessel Data	17	MM-23r	Pilots Completing the Least Annual		
19			1.0		Assignments (2018)		
	JR-20r	JTC Pilotage Final Report 2018	18	NANA 04#	Average Net Income Colculations (2011 2018)		
20		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	19	MM-24r	Average Net Income Calculations (2011-2018)		
	JR-21r	WSF Master Collective Bargaining Agreement	1	MM-25r	BPC Incident Analyses (2005-2018)		
21			20				
	JR-22r	BPC January 2014 Meeting Minutes		MM-26r	PSP Employee Salaries and Benefits History		
22			21		(2005-2018)		
	JR-23r	BPC November 2012 Meeting Minutes	22	MM-27r	Estimated Staffing Expense for 3 Executives		
23		Quere (D. J. etc.	23		in PSP Seattle Office (2019)		
24	JR-24r	Seaport Budgets	23	MM-28r	PSP Attorney Fees Expenses (2005-2019, Plus		
24	JR-25r	COVID-19 Proclamations, Declarations, and	24	101101 201	PSP Proposed Tariff Years)		
25	JIX-231	Orders	25				
		Page 72				Page	74
1		-	1		EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)	Page	74
1	No	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)	1 2	No.	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description	Page	74
2	No. JR-26r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description		No. MM-29r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Joint Transportation Committee	Page	74
	JR-26r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release	2 3		Description	Page	74
2 3		EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description	2	MM-29r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019)		74
2 3 4	JR-26r JR-27r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20	2 3 4		Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo		74
2 3 4 5	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19	2 3	MM-29r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign		74
2 3 4 5 6	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19	2 3 4 5	MM-29r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US		74
2 3 4 5 6	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release,	2 3 4	MM-29r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release,	2 3 4 5 6 7	MM-29r MM-30r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters		74
2 3 4 5 6 7	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r JR-30r JR-31r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007	2 3 4 5 6	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r JR-30r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MM-29r MM-30r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019)		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-1Tr	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-1Tr MM-2r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019)		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-1Tr MM-2r MM-3r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-1Tr MM-2r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019) Total PSP Revenues per Calendar Year	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r MM-33r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Letter to BPC, "Re: Port Competitiveness and the 2017		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-1Tr MM-2r MM-3r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r MM-33r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Letter to BPC,		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-17r MM-2r MM-3r MM-4r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019) Total PSP Revenues per Calendar Year (2005-2019)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r MM-33r MM-34r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Letter to BPC, "Re: Port Competitiveness and the 2017 Puget Sound Tariff" (2016)		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-1Tr MM-2r MM-3r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019) Total PSP Revenues per Calendar Year	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r MM-33r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Letter to BPC, "Re: Port Competitiveness and the 2017 Puget Sound Tariff" (2016) Port of Seattle "Fee Free" advertisement		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JR-26r JR-27r JR-29r JR-30r JR-31r JR-31r JR-32r MM-1Tr MM-2r MM-3r MM-4r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019) Total PSP Revenues per Calendar Year (2005-2019) Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r MM-33r MM-34r MM-35r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Letter to BPC, "Re: Port Competitiveness and the 2017 Puget Sound Tariff" (2016) Port of Seattle "Fee Free" advertisement (2019)		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-17r MM-2r MM-3r MM-4r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019) Total PSP Revenues per Calendar Year (2005-2019) Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Annual Average Vessel Tonnage per Move	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r MM-33r MM-34r MM-35r MM-35r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Letter to BPC, "Re: Port Competitiveness and the 2017 Puget Sound Tariff" (2016) Port of Seattle "Fee Free" advertisement (2019) PSP Expense Categories, As Proposed by PMS		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-1Tr MM-2r MM-3r MM-4r MM-5r MM-5r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019) Total PSP Revenues per Calendar Year (2005-2019) Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Annual Average Vessel Tonnage per Move (2005-2019)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r MM-33r MM-34r MM-35r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Letter to BPC, "Re: Port Competitiveness and the 2017 Puget Sound Tariff" (2016) Port of Seattle "Fee Free" advertisement (2019)		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-1Tr MM-2r MM-3r MM-4r MM-5r MM-5r MM-6r MM-7r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019) Total PSP Revenues per Calendar Year (2005-2019) Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Annual Average Vessel Tonnage per Move (2005-2019) Annual Vessel Calls (1992-2019)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r MM-33r MM-34r MM-35r MM-36r MM-37r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Letter to BPC, "Re: Port Competitiveness and the 2017 Puget Sound Tariff" (2016) Port of Seattle "Fee Free" advertisement (2019) PSP Expense Categories, As Proposed by PMS Trainee Exam Results v. Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019)		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-17r MM-2r MM-2r MM-4r MM-5r MM-5r MM-6r MM-7r MM-8r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019) Total PSP Revenues per Calendar Year (2005-2019) Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Annual Average Vessel Tonnage per Move (2005-2019) Annual Vessel Calls (1992-2019) Alphaliner Weekly Newsletter, 7/9/19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r MM-33r MM-34r MM-35r MM-35r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Letter to BPC, "Re: Port Competitiveness and the 2017 Puget Sound Tariff" (2016) Port of Seattle "Fee Free" advertisement (2019) PSP Expense Categories, As Proposed by PMS Trainee Exam Results v. Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Port of Long Beach Staff Memo #HD-19-196		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-1Tr MM-2r MM-3r MM-4r MM-5r MM-5r MM-6r MM-7r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019) Total PSP Revenues per Calendar Year (2005-2019) Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Annual Average Vessel Tonnage per Move (2005-2019) Annual Average Vessel Tonnage per Move (2005-2019) Annual Vessel Calls (1992-2019) Alphaliner Weekly Newsletter, 7/9/19 Average Revenue per Containership Move	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r MM-33r MM-34r MM-35r MM-35r MM-36r MM-38r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Letter to BPC, "Re: Port Competitiveness and the 2017 Puget Sound Tariff" (2016) Port of Seattle "Fee Free" advertisement (2019) PSP Expense Categories, As Proposed by PMS Trainee Exam Results v. Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Port of Long Beach Staff Memo #HD-19-196 (2019)		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-17r MM-2r MM-2r MM-4r MM-5r MM-5r MM-6r MM-7r MM-8r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019) Total PSP Revenues per Calendar Year (2005-2019) Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Annual Average Vessel Tonnage per Move (2005-2019) Annual Vessel Calls (1992-2019) Alphaliner Weekly Newsletter, 7/9/19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r MM-33r MM-34r MM-35r MM-36r MM-37r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Letter to BPC, "Re: Port Competitiveness and the 2017 Puget Sound Tariff" (2016) Port of Seattle "Fee Free" advertisement (2019) PSP Expense Categories, As Proposed by PMS Trainee Exam Results v. Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Port of Long Beach Staff Memo #HD-19-196 (2019) Total Puget Sound Public Seaport Revenues		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-17r MM-2r MM-2r MM-4r MM-5r MM-5r MM-6r MM-7r MM-8r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019) Total PSP Revenues per Calendar Year (2005-2019) Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Annual Average Vessel Tonnage per Move (2005-2019) Annual Vessel Calls (1992-2019) Alphaliner Weekly Newsletter, 7/9/19 Average Revenue per Containership Move (2017-2019)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r MM-33r MM-34r MM-35r MM-35r MM-36r MM-38r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Letter to BPC, "Re: Port Competitiveness and the 2017 Puget Sound Tariff" (2016) Port of Seattle "Fee Free" advertisement (2019) PSP Expense Categories, As Proposed by PMS Trainee Exam Results v. Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Port of Long Beach Staff Memo #HD-19-196 (2019)		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-1Tr MM-2r MM-3r MM-4r MM-5r MM-5r MM-6r MM-7r MM-8r MM-9r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019) Total PSP Revenues per Calendar Year (2005-2019) Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Annual Average Vessel Tonnage per Move (2005-2019) Annual Average Vessel Tonnage per Move (2005-2019) Annual Vessel Calls (1992-2019) Aphaliner Weekly Newsletter, 7/9/19 Average Revenue per Containership Move (2017-2019) Chart: See the Dramatic Rise in the Size of Cruise	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r MM-33r MM-34r MM-35r MM-35r MM-36r MM-38r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Letter to BPC, "Re: Port Competitiveness and the 2017 Puget Sound Tariff" (2016) Port of Seattle "Fee Free" advertisement (2019) PSP Expense Categories, As Proposed by PMS Trainee Exam Results v. Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Port of Long Beach Staff Memo #HD-19-196 (2019) Total Puget Sound Public Seaport Revenues (2018)		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-1Tr MM-2r MM-3r MM-4r MM-5r MM-5r MM-6r MM-7r MM-8r MM-9r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019) Total PSP Revenues per Calendar Year (2005-2019) Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Annual Average Vessel Tonnage per Move (2005-2019) Annual Vessel Calls (1992-2019) Alphaliner Weekly Newsletter, 7/9/19 Average Revenue per Containership Move (2017-2019) Chart: See the Dramatic Rise in the Size of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r MM-33r MM-35r MM-35r MM-35r MM-38r MM-38r MM-39r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Letter to BPC, "Re: Port Competitiveness and the 2017 Puget Sound Tariff" (2016) Port of Seattle "Fee Free" advertisement (2019) PSP Expense Categories, As Proposed by PMS Trainee Exam Results v. Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Port of Long Beach Staff Memo #HD-19-196 (2019) Total Puget Sound Public Seaport Revenues (2018) Vessels Calling Both Washington US Ports and British Columbia Ports		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-1Tr MM-2r MM-3r MM-4r MM-5r MM-5r MM-6r MM-6r MM-8r MM-9r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019) Total PSP Revenues per Calendar Year (2005-2019) Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Annual Average Vessel Tonnage per Move (2005-2019) Annual Average Vessel Tonnage per Move (2005-2019) Annual Average Vessel Tonnage per Move (2005-2019) Annual Vessel Calls (1992-2019) Alphaliner Weekly Newsletter, 7/9/19 Average Revenue per Containership Move (2017-2019) Chart: See the Dramatic Rise in the Size of Cruise Ships, 4/26/20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r MM-33r MM-35r MM-35r MM-35r MM-38r MM-38r MM-39r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Letter to BPC, "Re: Port Competitiveness and the 2017 Puget Sound Tariff" (2016) Port of Seattle "Fee Free" advertisement (2019) PSP Expense Categories, As Proposed by PMS Trainee Exam Results v. Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Port of Long Beach Staff Memo #HD-19-196 (2019) Total Puget Sound Public Seaport Revenues (2018) Vessels Calling Both Washington US Ports and British Columbia Ports PMSA, Ports of Seattle, Tacoma, PSP to BPC		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-1Tr MM-2r MM-3r MM-4r MM-5r MM-5r MM-6r MM-7r MM-8r MM-9r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019) Total PSP Revenues per Calendar Year (2005-2019) Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Annual Average Vessel Tonnage per Move (2005-2019) Annual Vessel Calls (1992-2019) Alphaliner Weekly Newsletter, 7/9/19 Average Revenue per Containership Move (2017-2019) Chart: See the Dramatic Rise in the Size of Cruise Ships, 4/26/20 Average Revenue per Cruiseship Move	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-31r MM-32r MM-33r MM-35r MM-36r MM-38r MM-38r MM-39r MM-40r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Letter to BPC, "Re: Port Competitiveness and the 2017 Puget Sound Tariff" (2016) Port of Seattle "Fee Free" advertisement (2019) PSP Expense Categories, As Proposed by PMS Trainee Exam Results v. Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Port of Long Beach Staff Memo #HD-19-196 (2019) Total Puget Sound Public Seaport Revenues (2018) Vessels Calling Both Washington US Ports and British Columbia Ports PMSA, Ports of Seattle, Tacoma, PSP to BPC "Joint Request for a CR 102 Filing and		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-17r MM-2r MM-2r MM-4r MM-5r MM-5r MM-6r MM-7r MM-8r MM-9r MM-10r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019) Total PSP Revenues per Calendar Year (2005-2019) Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Annual Average Vessel Tonnage per Move (2005-2019) Annual Vessel Calls (1992-2019) Annual Vessel Calls (1992-2019) Alphaliner Weekly Newsletter, 7/9/19 Average Revenue per Containership Move (2017-2019) Chart: See the Dramatic Rise in the Size of Cruise Ships, 4/26/20 Average Revenue per Cruiseship Move (2017-2019)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r MM-33r MM-34r MM-35r MM-36r MM-36r MM-38r MM-38r MM-39r MM-40r MM-40r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Letter to BPC, "Re: Port Competitiveness and the 2017 Puget Sound Tariff" (2016) Port of Seattle "Fee Free" advertisement (2019) PSP Expense Categories, As Proposed by PMS Trainee Exam Results v. Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Port of Long Beach Staff Memo #HD-19-196 (2019) Total Puget Sound Public Seaport Revenues (2018) Vessels Calling Both Washington US Ports and British Columbia Ports PMSA, Ports of Seattle, Tacoma, PSP to BPC "Joint Request for a CR 102 Filing and Joint Tariff Proposal" (2014)		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-1Tr MM-2r MM-3r MM-4r MM-5r MM-5r MM-6r MM-6r MM-8r MM-9r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019) Total PSP Revenues per Calendar Year (2005-2019) Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Annual Average Vessel Tonnage per Move (2005-2019) Annual Average Vessel Tonnage per Move (2005-2019) Annual Vessel Calls (1992-2019) Alphaliner Weekly Newsletter, 7/9/19 Average Revenue per Containership Move (2017-2019) Chart: See the Dramatic Rise in the Size of Cruise Ships, 4/26/20 Average Revenue per Cruiseship Move (2017-2019)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-31r MM-32r MM-33r MM-34r MM-35r MM-36r MM-36r MM-39r MM-39r MM-40r MM-40r MM-41r MM-42T	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Letter to BPC, "Re: Port Competitiveness and the 2017 Puget Sound Tariff" (2016) Port of Seattle "Fee Free" advertisement (2019) PSP Expense Categories, As Proposed by PMS Trainee Exam Results v. Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Port of Long Beach Staff Memo #HD-19-196 (2019) Total Puget Sound Public Seaport Revenues (2018) Vessels Calling Both Washington US Ports and British Columbia Ports PMSA, Ports of Seattle, Tacoma, PSP to BPC "Joint Request for a CR 102 Filing and Joint Tariff Proposal" (2014) Cross-Answering Testimony		74
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JR-26r JR-27r JR-28r JR-29r JR-30r JR-31r JR-32r MM-17r MM-2r MM-2r MM-4r MM-5r MM-5r MM-6r MM-7r MM-8r MM-9r MM-10r	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description Gov. Inslee Press Release OFM Dir. Schumacher Memo, 5/13/20 Articles Re: COVID-19 U.S. Coast Guard Sibs Re: COVID-19 Northwest Seaport Alliance, Press Release, 4/15/20 BPC Annual Reports, 2005-2007 BPC Comparison of PSP Audited Financials (2009-2017) Michael Moore Direct Testimony Curriculum Vitae Average Revenue per Vessel Move (2005-2019) Total PSP Revenues per Calendar Year (2005-2019) Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Annual Average Vessel Tonnage per Move (2005-2019) Annual Vessel Calls (1992-2019) Annual Vessel Calls (1992-2019) Alphaliner Weekly Newsletter, 7/9/19 Average Revenue per Containership Move (2017-2019) Chart: See the Dramatic Rise in the Size of Cruise Ships, 4/26/20 Average Revenue per Cruiseship Move (2017-2019)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MM-29r MM-30r MM-31r MM-32r MM-33r MM-34r MM-35r MM-36r MM-36r MM-38r MM-38r MM-39r MM-40r MM-40r	Description Joint Transportation Committee Transportation Resource Manual (2019) US Army Corps of Engineers Manuscript Cargo and Trips Data Files, Statistics on Foreign and Domestic Waterborne Commerce Move on US Waters Comparison of Current Trainee Lists Puget Sound and San Francisco Northwest Seaport Alliance Container Volumes (2005-2019) Northwest Seaport Alliance Marketshare Statistics Northwest Seaport Alliance Letter to BPC, "Re: Port Competitiveness and the 2017 Puget Sound Tariff" (2016) Port of Seattle "Fee Free" advertisement (2019) PSP Expense Categories, As Proposed by PMS Trainee Exam Results v. Tariff Rate Changes per Year (2005-2019) Port of Long Beach Staff Memo #HD-19-196 (2019) Total Puget Sound Public Seaport Revenues (2018) Vessels Calling Both Washington US Ports and British Columbia Ports PMSA, Ports of Seattle, Tacoma, PSP to BPC "Joint Request for a CR 102 Filing and Joint Tariff Proposal" (2014)		74

5 (Pages 71 to 74)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

You created this PDF from an application that is not licensed to print to novaPDF printer (http://www.novapdf.com)

Definition Description Description 3 No. Description No. Description 4 MM-42 DESCRIPTION No. Description 4 MM-42 DESCRIPTION No. Description 4 MM-42 DESCRIPTION MM-42 DESCRIPTION 4 MM-42 DESCRIPTION MM-42 <th></th> <th>Page 75</th> <th>Page 77</th>		Page 75	Page 77
2 No. Description by Des	1		
a M0.44 BPC Level, Market Mar			
Image: Second Stress in the Stress		MM-44 BPC Monthly Activity Reports 2019	
5 MM-82 memory BPC Money Manage Status (MM-97 MM-97 MM-97 MM-97 MM-97 MM-97 MM-97 MM-97 MM-98 MM-97 MM-98 MM-97 MM-98 MM-97 MM-98 MM-97 MM-98 MM-97 MM-98 MM-97 MM-98 MM-97 MM-98 MM-97 MM-98 MM-97 MM-98 MM-97 MM-98 MM-97 MM-98 MM-97 MM-98 MM-97 MM-98 MM-97 MM-98 MM-97 MM-98 MM-97 MM-98 MM-97 MM-98 MM-97 MM-9	4		
e MM-42 Set Marks (MM-48) Construct (MM-48) <th>5</th> <th></th> <th></th>	5		
2 MM-97 BPC Matter Minuss 92107 Cres-Answing Testimony PS 201 Set Response DRA DRA Click in Cres-Answing Testimony 7 MM-91X DR 202 Set 205 9 MM-90 Mark 00 Cres-Answing Testimony 7 MM-91X DR 202 Set 205 11 MM-91X DR 202 Set 205 DR 205 12 MM-91X DR 202 13 MM-91X DR 201 14 MM-91X DR 201 15 MM-91X DR 201 16 MM-91X DR 201 17 MM-91X DR 201 18 MM-91X DR 201 19 MM-91X DR 201 10 MM-91X DR 201 11 MM-91X DR 201 12 MM-91X DR 201 13 MM-91X DR 201 14 MM-91X DR 201 15 MM-91X DR 201 16 MM-91X DR 201 17 MM-92X DR 201 18 MM-91X DR 201 <t< td=""><td>E</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	E		
a MM-49 Staff Reporties to PRA CRS Dady in Conservicenting Transmitty DB 200 9 MM-49 DB 200 9 MM-49 DB 200 9 MM-49 DB 200 9 MM-49 DB 200 11 MM-49 DB 200 12 MM-49 DB 200 13 MM-49 DB 200 14 MM-50X EStaff Exponse to PRA CR5 Clad in Sectory 15 MM-50X EStaff Exponse to PRA CR5 Clad in Sectory 16 MM-50X EStaff Exponse to PRA CR5 Clad in Sectory 16 MM-50X Castler Benotistic and Previon 16 MM-50X Castler Benotistic and Previon 16 MM-50X DB 200 17 MM-50X DB 200 18 MM-50X DB 200 19 MM-50X DB 200 10 MM-50X DB 200 11 MM-50X DB 200 12 MM-50X DB 200 13 MM-50X DB 200 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
9 MM-49 Dock PRP Reports DRAD RS Cited in Dock PRP Reports DRAD RS Cited in Dock PRP Reports DRAD RS Cited in Dock PRP Reports DRAD RS Cited in 52720 Teaminy 9 MM-92X MM-93X DR 206 DR 206 MM-93X DR 206 DR 206 MM-93X 13 MM-53X 2006 Vignia Torrage Order 11 14 MM-53X 2006 Vignia Torrage Order 12 15 MM-53X Costeler Recommendations to BPC 14 16 MM-53X Costeler Recommendations to BPC 14 17 MM-63X Costeler Recommendations to BPC 14 18 MM-63X Costeler Recommendations to BPC 14 19 MM-63X RACC Leve Bed Stats 11 10 MM-63X BPC Mundes May 2005 11 11 MM-63X BPC Mundes Stats 110 12 MM-63X BPC Mundes Stats 110 13 MM-63X BPC Mundes Stats 110 14 MM-63X BPC Mundes Stats 110 15 MM-64X BPC Mundes May 2005 12 16 MM-64X BPC Mundes Dec. 67 - Jan. 88 </td <td>8</td> <td>MM-48 Staff Responses to PMSA DRs Cited in</td> <td></td>	8	MM-48 Staff Responses to PMSA DRs Cited in	
Image: Bit Requeres to BMS DBC Click in Description Image: Bit Requeres index in S2720 Requeres to Data Requesion Index in S2720 Requeres Requeres Index in Requesion Requeres Index in Requeres Index in Requeres Index in Requeres Requeres Index in Requeres Requeres Index in Requeres Index Index in Requeres Index in Requeres Index in Requere	9	Cross-Answering Testimony	
12 Mater Material teamony Murray 13 Material Response to Description 14 Material ESSH8 1100, Ch. 416, Lawa 2019 (206 pages) 15 Material ESSH8 1100, Ch. 416, Lawa 2019 (206 pages) 16 Material Creater Date of Stops 17 Material Creater Date of Stops 18 Material Creater Date of Stops 19 Material Creater Date of Stops 19 Material BPC Minutes May 2005 19 Material BPC Minutes May 2005 10 Material BPC Minutes May 2005 11 Material Material 12 Material BPC Minutes May 2005 13 Material Material 14 Material BPC Minutes May 2005 15 MM-61X Material 16 MM-61X Material 17 Material Material 18 Material Material 19 MM-61X Material 10 Material Material 11 Material Material 12 Material BPC Trainfs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 13 Material BPC Minutes Dec.	_		
12 MM-51 Response to bia Requese to bia ReqRequese to bia ReqReqRequese to bia Requese to bia Reque			
Tussimoly MM-94X PSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018) 14 MM-52X ESSHB 1160, Ch. 416, Laws 2019 (205 pages) 12 15 MM-53X 206 Virging Torruge Ode 13 16 MM-55X Casaler Recommendations to BPC 13 17 MM-56X Casaler Recommendations to BPC 14 18 MM-56X Casaler Recommendations to BPC 14 19 MM-56X Casaler Recommendations to BPC 14 10 MM-56X Casaler Recommendations to BPC 14 11 MM-56X Casaler Recommendations to BPC 14 12 MM-56X BPC Mrules May 2005 138 MM-97X 13 MM-56X BPC Mrules May 2005 138 MM-96X PSID Ladder Photos: 14 MM-56X BPC Mrules May 2005 13 MM-96X PSID Match Mr Kapp Reported Application 15 MM-62X BPC Mrules May 2005 14 MM-56X PSP Invoice Mr Kapp Reported Application 16 MM-62X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACS Before 1930 14 MW-74			
11 MM-32X ESS-P1 190, Ch. 4/6, Laws 2019 (2005 pages) 12 15 MM-32X 2006 Virgina Torrage Order 13 16 MM-45X Castler Recommendations to BPC 14 17 MM-45X Castler Recommendations to BPC 14 18 MM-95X Castler Recommendations to BPC 14 19 MM-45X BPC Innues May 2005 16 20 MM-45X BPC Innues May 2005 16 21 MM-45X BPC Innues May 2005 18 22 MM-45X BPC Innues May 2005 19 23 MM-45X BPC Innues May 2005 19 24 MM-45X BPC Innues May 2005 19 25 MM-45X BPC Innues May 2005 21 26 MM-45X BPC Innues May 2005 22 27 MM-45X BPC Innues May 2005 21 28 MM-45X BPC Innues May 2005 21 29 MM-45X BPC Innues May 2005 21 20 MM-45X			
14 MM-45X 200 Wrigin Toronge Order 13 15 MM-56X Casisler Recommendations to BPC 13 MM-96X PMSA Failyue Management Comment Memo 8/1578 16 MM-56X Casisler RPC Failyue Report 14 MM-96X PMSA Failyue Management Comment Memo 8/1578 17 MM-56X Dec Marase May 2005 16 MM-96X 1980 Tariff Hearing 18 MM-58X BPC Mrass May 2005 19 MM-96X 1980 Tariff Hearing 19 MM-58X BPC Mrass May 2005 19 MM-96X 1980 Tariff Hearing 10 MM-58X BPC Mrass May 2005 19 MM-96X PSS Protoce 14 MM-69X WG 33-116-175 20 MW-37 PSS Provice MY Rog 3-12.2019 14 MM-68X Brop Sings Man Bigger Risks 25 MW-48 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #1 10.6.2018 15 MM-68X Fail Protaction 1 No. Description 16 MM-67X PSP Bigger Risks 5 MW-68 Son Piego Pilotage Pilotage Pilotage Pilotage Pilotage Pilotage Pilotage Pilotage	13	MM 52Y ESSUE 1160. Ch. 416 Lawe 2010 (206 pages)	
13 13 14 MM-58X Creates Recommendations b BPC 15 MM-58X Creates Recommendations b BPC 16 MM-58X ARCO Letter Dated 5506 14 16 MM-58X BPC Minutes May 2001 Calibacks and Persion 14 MM-98X PMSA Fatigue Management Comment Memo 8/1/5/18 17 MM-58X BPC Minutes May 2001 Calibacks and Persion 16 MM-98X PMSA Fatigue Management Comment Memo 8/1/5/18 18 MM-57X BPC Minutes Stillo 16 MM-98X PMSA Fatigue Management Comment Memo 8/1/5/18 19 MM-67X BPC Minutes Stillo 17 MM-69X PMSA Fatigue Management Comment Memo 8/1/5/18 10 MM-57X BPC Minutes Stillo 18 MM-61X PSE Invoice MY Big Fish #1 10.6.2018 11 MM-62X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 1 1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 12 No. Description 1 1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 13 MM-62X Description 1 1 10 Description 10 <	14	1010-527 ESSI IB 1100, Cil. 410, Laws 2019 (200 pages)	
Image: Product in the standard of the s	15	MM-53X 2006 Virginia Tonnage Order	
15 MM-55X Catiletin PC Failgue Report 14 8/1/5/18 2/1/5 MM-57X 13/8 MU 17 MM-57X BPC Minutes May 2005 16 MM-98X 19/86 Tariff Hearing 18 MM-57X BPC Minutes May 2005 17 MM-98X 19/86 Tariff Hearing 19 MM-60X BPC Minutes May 2005 19 MM-101X PSSOA Letter to Membership (2004). 10 MM-60X BPC Minutes May 2005 19 MM-101X PSSOA Letter to Membership (2004). 11 MM-60X BPC Minutes May 2005 10 MW-2 Resume Washington Plotage Exemption Application 12 MM-60X MM-60X Bigger Ships Mean Bigger Risks 21 MW-2 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #10.6.2018 13 MM-63X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description 14 MM-63X BPC Charts 1 EXHIBIT INDEX Mathematication of Core Description 15 MM-66X BPC Charts 1 Exemption Application 1 Exemption Application of Core 16 MM-77X DR Splaws (Nov. 2016) <td>12</td> <td>MM-54X Czeisler Recommendations to BPC</td> <td></td>	12	MM-54X Czeisler Recommendations to BPC	
Page 76 MM-63X BPC functes May 2001 Calitar Data 3595 MM-97X Tails MOU MM-65X ARCO Latter Data 3595 16 MM-93X 1386 Tariff Hearing MM-65X BPC Minutes May 2001 Calitar Data 3595 18 MM-10XX Plotter to Membership (2004). MM-65X BPC Minutes May 2005 19 MM-10XX Plotter to Membership (2004). MM-65X BPC Minutes May 2006 10 MM-10XX Plotter to Membership (2004). MM-65X BPC Minutes May 2006 10 MM-10XX Plotter to Membership (2004). MM-65X MM-65X BPC Minutes Dec Schrög a Drag on Ocean Carriers 21 MW-5 PSP Invoice MY Rog 3.12.2019 MM-65X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 1 No. Description PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #1 10.6.2018 MM-65X BPC Tariffs BPC Tariffs 1 No. Description PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 MM-67X PSP Bylews (Nov. 2018) MM-67X PSP Bylews (Nov. 2018) MW-67X PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 MM-67X PSP Bylews (Nov. 2018) MM-67X	16		0 0
MAK-63X BPC Minutes May 2001 Calibades and Pension 16 MM-98X 1986 Tarliff Hearing 19 MM-57X BPC Minutes May 2005 17 MM-90X Ordering Policies Pilot Ladder Photos. 20 MM-58X BPC Minutes May 2005 19 MM-100X PissOA Letter to Membership (2004). 21 MM-63X BPC Minutes May 2005 19 MM-101X PissOA Letter to Membership (2004). 22 MM-63X BPC Minutes May 2005 19 MM-101X PissOA Letter to Membership (2004). 21 MM-63X BPC Minutes May 2005 10 MM-63X BPC Institute May 2005 22 MM-63X BBC Tarlifts and Retirement WACs Before 1980 2 MW-5 PSP Invoice MY King 5.12.2019 23 MM-63X BPC Tarlifts and Retirement WACs Before 1980 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #11 0.6.2018 24 MM-63X BPC Tarlifts MM-63X BPC Tarlifts MM-63X 3 MM-64X BPC Charlts MW-7 Sandard of Care Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver 4 MM-7X <td>17</td> <td>MM-55X Czeisler BPC Fatigue Report</td> <td></td>	17	MM-55X Czeisler BPC Fatigue Report	
13 MM-57X BPC Minutes May 2001 Calthacks and Pension MM-99X Ordering Policies 19 MM-58X BPC Minutes S1100 MM-90X MM-90X PISC Ladder Photos. 19 MM-69X WA 2005 1100 MM-100X PSC Latter to Membership (2004). 10 MM-69X WA 383-116-175 MM-100X PSC Incluse Exemption Application 10 MM-69X Magazing Priving a Drag on Ocean Carriers 22 MW-3 Washington Pilotage Exemption Application 11 MM-69X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 12 MM-63X BPC Tariffs MM-64X BPC Chinutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88 1 MM-65X BPC Tariffs 13 MM-65X BPC Tariffs BPC Tariffs 5 MM-68X BPC Tariffs 12 MM-68X BPC Tariffs 1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 No. Bescription 13 MM-77X BPC Hardes 200 NW-7 Bescription 1 Filotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care	± /	MM-56X ARCO Letter Dated 5/5/95	
1 MM457A BPC Minutes May 2005 18 MM-100X Piot Ladder Photos. 20 MM-68X BPC Minutes 9/100 19 MM-101X PSSOA Letter to Membership (2004). 21 MM-60X WA 2633-116-175 MM-101X PSSOA Letter to Membership (2004). 22 MM-61X Magestips Proving Drag on Ocean Carriers 10 MW-2 Resume 23 mM-62X Bigger Ships Mean Bigger Risks 23 MW-4 PSP Invoice MY Kogo 3.12.2019 24 MM-62X Bescription 23 MW-4 PSP Invoice MY Kogo 3.12.2019 25 MM-62X Bescription 24 MW-4 PSP Invoice MY Kogo 3.12.2019 25 MM-63X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 3 MW-4 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #110.6.2018 3 MM-64X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 3 MW-6 4 MW-7 4 MM-65X Fall Protection 3 MW-6 4 MW-7 5 MM-66X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 3 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver Renewals New Waiver A	18		g
MM-Bax BPC Mnutes May 2005 19 MM-101X PSSDA Letter to Membership (2004). MM-Bax BPC Mnutes S1100 20 MM-11 Monique Webber Direct Testimony MM-6X MM-6XX Magabies Proving a Drag on Ocean Carriers 22 MW-3 Washington Pilotage Exemption Application MM-6X Begrer Ships Mean Bigger Risks 22 MW-4 PSP Invoice MY Kogo 312.2019 MM-6X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) MM-6X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) MM-6X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) MM-6X BPC Tariffs 1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) MM-6X BPC Tariffs 5 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Kogo MW Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 MM-6X BPC Tariffs 5 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Kogo MW Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 MM-6X BPC Tariffs 5 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Kogo MW Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 MM-6X BPC Tariffs 6 MW-7 Pacific Pilotage Authority Vel	19	MM-57X BPC Minutes May 2001 Callbacks and Pension	
22 MK-60X WA C 363-116-175 23 MK-61X Wageships Proving a Drag on Ocean Carriers 22 34 MK-62X Bigger Ships Mean Bigger Risks 22 25 MK-62X Bigger Ships Mean Bigger Risks 23 26 MK-62X Bigger Ships Mean Bigger Risks 24 27 MK-62X Bescription PSP Invoice MY Kogo 3.12.2019 28 MK-62X Bescription PSP Invoice MY Kogo 3.12.2019 29 MK-63X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 MK-64X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 1 No. Description 3 MK-66X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 1 No. Description 4 MK-66X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 1 No. Description 5 MK-66X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 1 No. Description 6 MK-67X PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 WW-7 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 7 MK-68X Sar Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study <t< td=""><td></td><td>MM-58X BPC Minutes May 2005</td><td></td></t<>		MM-58X BPC Minutes May 2005	
21 MM-B3X Dec Minuss 3 1100 21 MM-2 Resume Washington Pilotage Exemption Application 22 MM-61X Megatington Docan Carriers 22 MW-3 PSP Invoice MY Kago 3.12.2019 PSP Invoice MY Kago 3.12.2019 23 MM-62X Bigger Ships Meen Bigger Risks 25 MW-4 PSP Invoice MY Kago 3.12.2019 24 MM-63X Brochinutes 5 n.00 21 MW-5 PSP Invoice MY Kago 3.12.2019 25 MM-64X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 3 MM-64X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MM-65X Fail Protection 3 MW-7 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 5 MM-65X BPC Tariffs 5 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 6 MM-77X BPC Charts MW-7 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care 7 MM-78X DR 142 B Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care 10 MM-74X DR 142 B B 11	20	·	······································
MM-60X WC-383-116-175 22 MW-3 Washington Pilotage Exemption Application PSP Invoice MY Kogo 3.12.2019 MM-61X Megaships Proving a Drag on Ocean Carriers in Downturn WSJ 23 MW-4 PSP Invoice MY Kogo 3.12.2019 MM-62X Bigger Ships Mean Bigger Risks 24 MW-5 PSP Invoice MY Kogo 3.12.2019 Page 76 Page 76 Page 76 Page 78 MM-63X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 1 No. Description MM-64X BPC Tariffs Forking a PC Tariffs 1 No. Description MM-65X Fall Protection 2 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 MM-66X BPC Tariffs 5 MW-6 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 MM-66X BPC Tariffs 5 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage MM-66X BPC Tariffs 6 MW-6 W-7 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver MM-68X San Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study 6 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver MM-7XX DR 142 8 Renewals New Waiver Applications MM-7XX DR 150 11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Usidelines Ple	21	MIN-59X BPC MINUTES 5/11/00	
23 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		MM-60X WAC 363-116-175	
23 MW-62X Description 24 MW-52 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #1 10.6.2018 23 MM-62X Bigger Ships Mean Bigger Risks 24 MW-5 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #1 10.6.2018 24 MM-62X Becription 1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 MM-63X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 3 MW-64X BPC Tariffs 3 MM-64X BPC Tariffs 1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) Description 3 MM-65X Fall Protection 3 MW-7 Dified Port of San Diego Pilotage Exemption Application 6 MM-67X PSP Bytws (Nov. 2018) 7 MW-7 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care 10 MM-71X DR 142 8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Outpeloritations 11 MM-72X DR 158 9 MW-10 12 MM-73X Dr. Flynn Evans Q&A 10 13 MM-74X Marine Incident Costs 10 14 MM-73X DR 150 11 19	22	MM-61X Measching Proving a Drag on Ocean Carriers	
24 25 MM-62X Bigger Risks 25 Page 76 Page 78 1 No. Description 2 MM-63X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 3 MM-64X BPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88 4 MM-65X Fall Protection 5 MM-66X BPC Tariffs 6 MM-6X BPS Plays (Nov. 2018) 7 MM-68X San Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study 8 MM-70X BPC Charts 10 MM-71X DR 158 MW-9 11 MM-72X DR 158 9 12 MM-73X Dr. Flynn Evans 0&A MW-10 13 MM-74X Marie Incident Costs 10 14 MM-75X DP R150 13 17 MM-80X DR 151 14 18 MM-79X DR 150 13 19 MM-70X BPC Minutes May 1995 13 19	23		
Page 76 Page 76 1 No. Description 1 2 MM-63X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 1 Description 3 MM-64X BPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88 1 No. 4 MM-65X Fall Protection 3 MW-67 5 MM-66X BPC Tariffs 4 MW-7 6 MM-67X PSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018) 5 7 MM-68X San Francisco Bar Pitots Fatigue Study 6 8 MM-69X 2006 Tariff 7 9 MM-70X BPC Charts 6 10 MM-71X DR 142 8 11 MM-72X DR 158 9 12 MM-73X Dr. Flynn Evans Q&A 9 13 MM-74X Marine Incident Costs 10 14 MM-72X DR 150 15 15 MM-78X DP. RUILES Feb. 2020 MW-11 16 MM-77X DP. Rolinutes May 1995 13 17 MM-78X DR 150 15 18 MM-77X DR 150 15 19 MM-81X DR 151 16 MM-81X DR 151 16		MM-62X Bigger Ships Mean Bigger Risks	
1 No. Description 1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 MM-63X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 3 MW-63X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 3 MW-64 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MM-66X Fall Protection 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 5 MM-66X BPC Tariffs 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage 6 MM-67X PSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018) 5 MW-8 Standard of Care 7 MM-68X San Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study 6 MW-70X BPC Charts 8 MM-70X BPC Charts 7 MW-8 Renewals New Waiver Application of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver 10 MM-73X Dr. Flynn Evans Q&A 9 MW-101 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines 12 MM-73X Dr. Flynn Evans Q&A 10 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver 14 MM-75X OP-RULES Feb. 2020 11 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver 15 MM-74X DR 150 15 16 MW-11 <td< td=""><td>25</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	25		
1 No. Description 1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued) 2 MM-63X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 3 MW-63X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 1980 3 MW-64 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MM-66X Fall Protection 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 5 MM-66X BPC Tariffs 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage 6 MM-67X PSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018) 5 MW-8 Standard of Care 7 MM-68X San Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study 6 MW-70X BPC Charts 8 MM-70X BPC Charts 7 MW-8 Renewals New Waiver Application of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver 10 MM-73X Dr. Flynn Evans Q&A 9 MW-101 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines 12 MM-73X Dr. Flynn Evans Q&A 10 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver 14 MM-75X OP-RULES Feb. 2020 11 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver 15 MM-74X DR 150 15 16 MW-11 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>			
2MM-63XBPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 19802No.Description3MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 883MW-6PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.20184MM-65XFall Protection4MW-7Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage5MM-66XBPC Tariffs6MW-7Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage6MM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)5MW-8Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver7MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study6MW-9Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver10MM-71XDR 1428Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines11MM-72XDR 1589MW-10Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines12MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&A10MW-11Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines13MM-74XMarine Incident Costs10MW-11Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver14MM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 202011MW-11Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver15MM-76X1996 MOU and Related111216MM-77XBPC Minutes May 19951317MM-81XDR 1531618MM-82XDR 1541920MM-83XDR 1612021MM-84XDR 1632123MM-85XDR 17622242424		Page 76	Page 78
2MM-63XBPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Before 19802No.Description3MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 883MW-6PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.20184MM-65XFall Protection4MW-7Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage5MM-66XBPC Tariffs6MW-7Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage6MM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)5MW-8Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver7MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study6MW-9Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver10MM-71XDR 1428Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines11MM-72XDR 1589MW-10Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines12MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&A10MW-11Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines13MM-74XMarine Incident Costs10MW-11Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver14MM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 202011MW-11Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver15MM-76X1996 MOU and Related111216MM-77XBPC Minutes May 19951317MM-81XDR 1531618MM-82XDR 1541920MM-83XDR 1612021MM-84XDR 1632123MM-85XDR 17622242424	1	No Description	1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)
3MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 883MW-6PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.20184MM-65XFall Protection4MW-7Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage Exemption Application5MM-66XBPC Tariffs5MW-8Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care7MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study6MW-8Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver Renewals New Waiver Applications10MM-71XDR 1428MW-9Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver Renewals New Waiver Applications12MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&A9MW-10Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines Pleasure Craft Waivers13MM-74XMarie Incident Costs10MW-11Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver Applications14MM-77XBPC Minutes May 19951215MM-78XDR 1501519MM-80XDR 1511619MM-80XDR 1531720MM-83XDR 1612021MM-83XDR 1612123MM-85XDR 17622243424			
4 MW-65X Fail Protection 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage Exemption Application 5 MM-66X BPC Tariffs 5 MW-88X San Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study 6 MW-87X Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care 7 MM-68X San Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study 6 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Delotage Waiver Standard of Care 9 MM-70X BPC Charts 7 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Delotage Waiver Application of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver 10 MM-71X DR 142 8 Renewals New Waiver Applications 11 MM-72X DR 158 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines 12 MM-73X Dr. Flynn Evans Q&A 10 MW-71 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver 13 MM-74X Marine Incident Costs 10 MW-71 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver 14 MM-75X OP-RULES Feb. 2020 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver 14 MM-77X BPC Minutes May 1995 13 17 MM-78X DR 150 15 19 MM-80X DR 153 17 20 MM-81X DR 153 17 21 MM		MM-63X BPC Tariffs and Retirement WACs Refore 1090	
5MM-66XBPC TariffsExemption Application6MM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)5MW-8Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care7MM-88XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study6MW-8Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care9MM-70XBPC Charts7MW-9Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver10MM-71XDR 1428Renewals New Waiver Applications11MM-72XDR 1589MW-10Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines Pleasure Craft Waivers12MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&A10Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver Applications13MM-76X1996 MOU and Related11Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver Application Form Pleasure Craft16MM-77XBPC Minutes May 19951217MM-78XDR 1501418MM-79XDR 1531720MM-82XDR 1541921MM-83XDR 1542022MM-85XDR 1612023MM-85XDR 1762224MM-85XDR 17624			2 No. Description
5MM-63XDr 10 full56MM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)5MW-8Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care8MM-69X2006 Tariff7MW-9Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver10MM-71XDR 1428Renewals New Waiver Applications11MM-72XDR 1589MW-10Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines12MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&A10Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines13MM-74XMarine Incident Costs10MW-11Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver14MM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 202011Application Form Pleasure Craft15MM-76X1996 MOU and Related11Application Form Pleasure Craft16MM-79XDR 150151619MM-80XDR 15116MM-81XDR 1531720MM-82XDR 1542021MM-83XDR 1612123MM-85XDR 1642124MM-85XDR 1762224MM-85XDR 17622	3	MM-64X BPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018
6MM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MW-67XPacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care7MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study6MW-8Standard of Care9MM-70XBPC Charts7MW-9Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver10MM-71XDR 1428Renewals New Waiver Applications11MM-72XDR 1589MW-10Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines12MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&A9MW-10Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines14MM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 202010MW-11Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver15MM-76X1996 MOU and Related11Application Form Pleasure Craft16MM-77XBPC Minutes May 1995131417MM-78XDR 1501518MM-79XDR 1531720MM-81XDR 1531721MM-83XDR 1612023MM-85XDR 1632123MM-85XDR 17622242424	3 4	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall Protection	2No.Description3MW-6PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.20184MW-7Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage
7MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study6Standard of Care8MM-69X2006 Tariff7MW-9Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver9MM-70XBPC Charts8Renewals New Waiver Applications10MM-71XDR 1428Renewals New Waiver Applications11MM-72XDR 1589MW-10Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines12MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&A10Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines13MM-74XMarine Incident Costs10MW-11Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver14MM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020MW-11Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver15MM-76X1996 MOU and Related12Application Form Pleasure Craft16MM-77XBPC Minutes May 1995131418MM-79XDR 150141619MM-80XDR 15116172018171821MM-83XDR 1642022MM-83XDR 1632123MM-85XDR 17622242424	3 4 5	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC Tariffs	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage Exemption Application
8 MM-69X 2006 Tariff 7 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver 10 MM-71X DR 142 8 Renewals New Waiver Applications 11 MM-72X DR 158 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver 12 MM-73X Dr. Flynn Evans Q&A 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines 13 MM-74X Marine Incident Costs 10 Pleasure Craft Waivers 14 MM-75X OP-RULES Feb. 2020 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver 15 MM-76X 1996 MOU and Related 11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver 16 MM-77X BPC Minutes May 1995 13 13 18 MM-79X DR 150 15 16 19 MM-80X DR 151 16 17 10 MM-83X DR 154 19 20 22 MM-84X DR 163 21 23 23 MM-85X DR 176 23 24	3 4 5 6	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage 5 Exemption Application
9MM-70XBPC ChartsCompliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver10MM-71XDR 1428Renewals New Waiver Applications11MM-72XDR 1589MW-10Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines12MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&A10Pleasure Craft Waivers13MM-74XMarine Incident Costs10MW-11Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver14MM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020MW-11Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver15MM-76X1996 MOU and Related11Application Form Pleasure Craft16MM-77XBPC Minutes May 1995121317MM-78XDR 150151619MM-80XDR 1511616MM-81XDR 15317182022MM-83XDR 1612021MM-83XDR 1632123MM-85XDR 17623242424	3 4 5 6 7	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue Study	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage 5 Exemption Application 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver
10MM-71XDR 1428Renewals New Waiver Applications11MM-72XDR 1589MW-10Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines Pleasure Craft Waivers12MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&A10MW-11Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver Application Form Pleasure Craft14MM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020MW-11Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver Application Form Pleasure Craft16MM-77XBPC Minutes May 19951217MM-78XDR 1391418MM-79XDR 1501519MM-80XDR 15116MM-81XDR 15317201819MM-83XDR 1642021MM-83XDR 16323MM-85XDR 1762424	3 4 5 6 7 8	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 Tariff	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage 5 Exemption Application 5 MW-8 6 Standard of Care
11MM-72XDR 1589MW-10Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines Pleasure Craft Waivers13MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&A10Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines Pleasure Craft Waivers14MM-74XMarine Incident Costs1014MM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 202011Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver Application Form Pleasure Craft16MM-77XBPC Minutes May 1995121317MM-78XDR 139141518MM-79XDR 1501619MM-80XDR 15116MM-81XDR 1531720181921MM-83XDR 1612022MM-84XDR 1632123MM-85XDR 17623242424	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC Charts	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage 5 Exemption Application 5 MW-8 6 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver 6 Standard of Care 7 MW-9
12MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&APleasure Craft Waivers13MM-74XMarine Incident Costs1014MM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020MW-11Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver Application Form Pleasure Craft15MM-76X1996 MOU and Related11Application Form Pleasure Craft16MM-77XBPC Minutes May 19951217MM-78XDR 1391418MM-79XDR 1501519MM-80XDR 15116MM-81XDR 1531720181921MM-83XDR 1612022MM-84XDR 1632123MM-85XDR 17622242424	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC Charts	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage 5 Exemption Application 5 MW-8 6 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver 6 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver
13 MM-74X Marine Incident Costs 10 14 MM-75X OP-RULES Feb. 2020 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver 15 MM-76X 1996 MOU and Related 11 Application Form Pleasure Craft 16 MM-77X BPC Minutes May 1995 13 17 MM-78X DR 139 14 18 MM-79X DR 150 15 19 MM-80X DR 151 16 MM-81X DR 153 17 20 MM-83X DR 161 20 21 MM-83X DR 161 21 23 MM-85X DR 176 22 24 24 24	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC ChartsMM-71XDR 142MM-72XDR 158	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage Exemption Application 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care 7 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver Renewals New Waiver Applications 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines
14MM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020MW-11Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver Application Form Pleasure Craft15MM-76X1996 MOU and Related11Application Form Pleasure Craft16MM-77XBPC Minutes May 19951317MM-78XDR 1391418MM-79XDR 1501519MM-80XDR 15116MM-81XDR 1531720181721MM-83XDR 1612022MM-84XDR 1632123MM-85XDR 17622242424	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC ChartsMM-71XDR 142MM-72XDR 158	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage Exemption Application 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care 7 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver Renewals New Waiver Applications 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines
15 MM-76X 1996 MOU and Related 11 Application Form Pleasure Craft 16 MM-77X BPC Minutes May 1995 13 17 MM-78X DR 139 14 18 MM-79X DR 150 15 19 MM-80X DR 151 16 MM-81X DR 153 17 20 18 19 21 MM-83X DR 161 20 22 MM-84X DR 163 21 23 MM-85X DR 176 22 24 24 24	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC ChartsMM-71XDR 142MM-72XDR 158MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&A	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver 6 Standard of Care 7 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of 8 Renewals New Waiver Applications 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines 10 10
16 MM-77X BPC Minutes May 1995 12 17 MM-78X DR 139 13 18 MM-79X DR 150 15 19 MM-80X DR 151 16 MM-81X DR 153 17 20 18 17 21 MM-83X DR 161 20 22 MM-84X DR 163 21 23 MM-85X DR 176 22 24 24 24	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC ChartsMM-71XDR 142MM-72XDR 158MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&AMM-74XMarine Incident Costs	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver 6 Standard of Care 7 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of 8 Renewals New Waiver Applications 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines 10 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver
17 MM-78X DR 139 13 18 MM-79X DR 150 14 19 MM-80X DR 151 15 19 MM-81X DR 153 17 20 18 19 21 MM-83X DR 161 20 22 MM-83X DR 163 21 23 MM-85X DR 176 22 24 24 24	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC ChartsMM-71XDR 142MM-72XDR 158MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&AMM-74XMarine Incident CostsMM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver 6 Standard of Care 7 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of 6 Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver 8 Renewals New Waiver Applications 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines 10 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver 11 Application Form Pleasure Craft
18 MM-79X DR 150 14 19 MM-80X DR 151 15 19 MM-81X DR 153 17 20 18 17 20 18 19 21 MM-83X DR 161 20 22 MM-84X DR 163 21 23 MM-85X DR 176 22 24 24 24	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC ChartsMM-71XDR 142MM-72XDR 158MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&AMM-74XMarine Incident CostsMM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020MM-76X1996 MOU and Related	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage Exemption Application 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care 7 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver Renewals New Waiver Applications 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines Pleasure Craft Waivers 10 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver Application Form Pleasure Craft
19 MM-80X DR 151 16 19 MM-81X DR 153 17 20 18 21 MM-83X DR 161 20 22 MM-84X DR 163 21 23 MM-85X DR 176 23 24 24 24	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC ChartsMM-71XDR 142MM-72XDR 158MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&AMM-74XMarine Incident CostsMM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020MM-76X1996 MOU and RelatedMM-77XBPC Minutes May 1995	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage Exemption Application 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care 7 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver Renewals New Waiver Applications 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines Pleasure Craft Waivers 10 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver Application Form Pleasure Craft 12 13 Application Form Pleasure Craft
MM-81X DR 153 17 20 18 MM-82X DR 154 19 21 MM-83X DR 161 20 22 MM-84X DR 163 21 23 MM-85X DR 176 23 24 24 24	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC ChartsMM-71XDR 142MM-72XDR 158MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&AMM-74XMarine Incident CostsMM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020MM-76X1996 MOU and RelatedMM-77XBPC Minutes May 1995MM-78XDR 139	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage Exemption Application 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care 7 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver Renewals New Waiver Applications 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines Pleasure Craft Waivers 10 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver Application Form Pleasure Craft 12 13 14
20 18 MM-82X DR 154 19 21 MM-83X DR 161 20 22 MM-84X DR 163 21 23 MM-85X DR 176 23 24 24 24	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC ChartsMM-71XDR 142MM-72XDR 158MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&AMM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020MM-75XDP RULES Feb. 2020MM-76X1996 MOU and RelatedMM-77XDR 139MM-79XDR 150	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage Exemption Application 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care 7 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver Renewals New Waiver Applications 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines Pleasure Craft Waivers 10 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver Application Form Pleasure Craft 12 13 14 15 15 16
MM-82X DR 154 19 21 MM-83X DR 161 20 22 MM-84X DR 163 21 23 MM-85X DR 176 22 24 24 24	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC ChartsMM-71XDR 142MM-72XDR 158MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&AMM-74XMarine Incident CostsMM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020MM-76X1996 MOU and RelatedMM-77XDR 139MM-78XDR 139MM-79XDR 150MM-80XDR 151	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage Exemption Application 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care 7 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver Renewals New Waiver Applications 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines Pleasure Craft Waivers 10 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver Application Form Pleasure Craft 12 13 14 15 16 16
21 MM-83X DR 161 20 22 MM-84X DR 163 21 23 MM-85X DR 176 22 24 24 24	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC ChartsMM-71XDR 142MM-72XDR 158MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&AMM-74XMarine Incident CostsMM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020MM-76X1996 MOU and RelatedMM-77XDR 139MM-78XDR 139MM-79XDR 150MM-80XDR 151	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage Exemption Application 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care 7 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver Renewals New Waiver Applications 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines Pleasure Craft Waivers 10 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver Application Form Pleasure Craft 12 13 14 15 16 17
22 MM-84X DR 163 21 23 MM-85X DR 176 22 24 24 24	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC ChartsMM-71XDR 142MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&AMM-74XMarine Incident CostsMM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020MM-76X1996 MOU and RelatedMM-77XDR 139MM-78XDR 150MM-80XDR 151MM-81XDR 153	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage Exemption Application 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care 7 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver Renewals New Waiver Applications 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines Pleasure Craft Waivers 10 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver Application Form Pleasure Craft 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 1
22 MM-04X DR 103 22 23 MM-85X DR 176 23 24 23 24	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC ChartsMM-71XDR 142MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&AMM-74XMarine Incident CostsMM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020MM-76X1996 MOU and RelatedMM-77XDR 139MM-78XDR 150MM-80XDR 151MM-81XDR 153	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage Exemption Application 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care 7 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver Renewals New Waiver Applications 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines Pleasure Craft Waivers 10 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver Application Form Pleasure Craft 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19
23 NIN-03A DR 170 23 24 24 24	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC ChartsMM-71XDR 142MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&AMM-74XMarine Incident CostsMM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020MM-76X1996 MOU and RelatedMM-77XBPC Minutes May 1995MM-78XDR 150MM-80XDR 151MM-81XDR 153MM-82XDR 154MM-83XDR 161	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage Exemption Application 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care 6 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver Renewals New Waiver Applications 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines Pleasure Craft Waivers 10 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver Application Form Pleasure Craft 12 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver 11 Application Form Pleasure Craft 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
24 23 24 24	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC ChartsMM-71XDR 142MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&AMM-74XMarine Incident CostsMM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020MM-76X1996 MOU and RelatedMM-77XBPC Minutes May 1995MM-78XDR 150MM-80XDR 151MM-81XDR 153MM-82XDR 154MM-83XDR 161	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver 6 Standard of Care 7 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of 6 Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver 8 Renewals New Waiver Applications 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines 9 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver 10 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver 11 Application Form Pleasure Craft 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 21 21
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC ChartsMM-71XDR 142MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&AMM-74XMarine Incident CostsMM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020MM-76X1996 MOU and RelatedMM-77XBPC Minutes May 1995MM-78XDR 150MM-80XDR 151MM-81XDR 153MM-82XDR 154MM-84XDR 163	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver 6 Standard of Care Standard of Care 7 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of 6 Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver 8 Renewals New Waiver Applications 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines 9 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver 11 Application Form Pleasure Craft 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 20 21 22
25	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC ChartsMM-71XDR 142MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&AMM-74XMarine Incident CostsMM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020MM-76X1996 MOU and RelatedMM-77XBPC Minutes May 1995MM-78XDR 150MM-80XDR 151MM-81XDR 153MM-82XDR 154MM-84XDR 163	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage Exemption Application 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care 6 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver Renewals New Waiver Applications 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines Pleasure Craft Waivers 10 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver Application Form Pleasure Craft 12 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver 11 Application Form Pleasure Craft 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 23
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC ChartsMM-71XDR 142MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&AMM-74XMarine Incident CostsMM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020MM-76X1996 MOU and RelatedMM-77XBPC Minutes May 1995MM-78XDR 150MM-80XDR 151MM-81XDR 153MM-82XDR 154MM-84XDR 163	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage Exemption Application 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care 7 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver Renewals New Waiver Applications 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines Pleasure Craft Waivers 10 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver 11 Application Form Pleasure Craft 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 3 24
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MM-64XBPC Minutes Dec. 87 - Jan. 88MM-65XFall ProtectionMM-66XBPC TariffsMM-67XPSP Bylaws (Nov. 2018)MM-68XSan Francisco Bar Pilots Fatigue StudyMM-69X2006 TariffMM-70XBPC ChartsMM-71XDR 142MM-73XDr. Flynn Evans Q&AMM-74XMarine Incident CostsMM-75XOP-RULES Feb. 2020MM-76X1996 MOU and RelatedMM-77XBPC Minutes May 1995MM-78XDR 150MM-80XDR 151MM-81XDR 153MM-82XDR 154MM-84XDR 163	2 No. Description 3 MW-6 PSP Invoice MY Big Fish #2 10.6.2018 4 MW-7 Unified Port of San Diego Pilotage Exemption Application 5 MW-8 Pacific Pilotage Authority Pilotage Waiver Standard of Care 7 MW-9 Pacific Pilotage Authority Declaration of Compliance Vessel Holders Annual Waiver Renewals New Waiver Applications 9 MW-10 Pacific Pilotage Authority Guidelines Pleasure Craft Waivers 10 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver Application Form Pleasure Craft 12 MW-11 Pacific Pilotage Authority Waiver 11 Application Form Pleasure Craft 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 23 23 24

6 (Pages 75 to 78)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 79		Page 81
1	VIDEOCONFERENCE UTC EVIDENTIARY HEARING	1	for the record that we are referring to cross Exhibit
2	August 12, 2020	2	IC-42X, Exhibit JN-12X, and Exhibit GQ-11X. And you can
3	8:37 a.m.	3	go ahead, Mr. Fassburg.
4	-000-	4	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. So, Your Honor,
5		5	as we identified in the written objection that we filed,
6	JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Let's go ahead	6	in each of those identified exhibits there were included
7	and be on the record. Good morning. Today is Wednesday	7	quite a number of data request responses and objections,
8	August 12, 2020, and the time is approximately 8:37 a.m.	8	and within each of those sets there were specific
9	My name is Rayne Pearson and joining me on	9	requests to which a legal objection was made without a
10	the bench today is Judge Michael Howard. We are	10	response.
11	Administrative Law Judges with the Washington Utilities	11	Now, those legal objections were written by
12	& Transportation Commission, and we will be presiding in	12	me as the attorney for Puget Sound Pilots. The
13	this matter along with the Commissioners who will join	13	information contained therein is an objection and not
14	us in a moment.	14	evidence. And so if there were a discovery dispute
15	We're here today for an evidentiary hearing	15	about whether or not a response should have been given,
16	in docket TP-190976, which is a general rate case filed	16	ordinarily that would be resolved through first a
17	by Puget Sound Pilots that proposes revisions to the	17	discovery conference and then, if necessary, a motion to
18	tariff that sets rates for Marine Pilotage Services in	18	compel.
19	the Puget Sound District. The Commissioners are on the	19	In this case we had a number of discovery
20	line, and they will turn on their cameras once we are	20	conferences with PMSA about its stated requests. And
21	done addressing preliminary matters.	21	although I do not recall whether any of these were the
22	So let's start by taking short appearances	22	subject of a specific discovery conference, no motion to
23	beginning with Puget Sound Pilots.	23	compel was filed.
24	MR. FASSBURG: Good morning. Blair Fassburg	24	And so questioning a witness about the basis
25	and Dave Wiley on behalf of Puget Sound Pilots.	25	of the legal objection is inappropriate. That's not
	Page 80	<u> </u>	5 00
	idje oo		Page 82
1		1	
1 2	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff.	1	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was
1 2 3	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and		evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff.	2	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe.
2 3	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and	2 3	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me.
2 3 4	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA?	2 3 4	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The
2 3 4 5	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA? MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe on behalf of	2 3 4 5	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The purpose of our exhibits is not to discuss the objections
2 3 4 5 6	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA? MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe on behalf of PMSA. JUDGE PEARSON: And Pacific Yacht Management.	2 3 4 5 6	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The purpose of our exhibits is not to discuss the objections or to engage in any discussion about any discovery disputes. There is substantive information that accompanies PSP's response; the objections to each of
2 3 4 5 6 7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA? MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe on behalf of PMSA. JUDGE PEARSON: And Pacific Yacht	2 3 4 5 6 7	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The purpose of our exhibits is not to discuss the objections or to engage in any discussion about any discovery disputes. There is substantive information that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA? MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe on behalf of PMSA. JUDGE PEARSON: And Pacific Yacht Management. MS. WEBBER: Monique Webber on behalf of Pacific Yacht Management.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The purpose of our exhibits is not to discuss the objections or to engage in any discussion about any discovery disputes. There is substantive information that accompanies PSP's response; the objections to each of the data requests. I'd be happy to address that in turn, but for purposes for each of the specific page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA? MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe on behalf of PMSA. JUDGE PEARSON: And Pacific Yacht Management. MS. WEBBER: Monique Webber on behalf of Pacific Yacht Management. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The purpose of our exhibits is not to discuss the objections or to engage in any discussion about any discovery disputes. There is substantive information that accompanies PSP's response; the objections to each of the data requests. I'd be happy to address that in turn, but for purposes for each of the specific page numbers that PSP has raised their objection for. But
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA? MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe on behalf of PMSA. JUDGE PEARSON: And Pacific Yacht Management. MS. WEBBER: Monique Webber on behalf of Pacific Yacht Management. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Next we will address exhibits. PSP provided	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The purpose of our exhibits is not to discuss the objections or to engage in any discussion about any discovery disputes. There is substantive information that accompanies PSP's response; the objections to each of the data requests. I'd be happy to address that in turn, but for purposes for each of the specific page numbers that PSP has raised their objection for. But for purposes of this process and efficiency, I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA? MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe on behalf of PMSA. JUDGE PEARSON: And Pacific Yacht Management. MS. WEBBER: Monique Webber on behalf of Pacific Yacht Management. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Next we will address exhibits. PSP provided in advance a list of exhibits to which it objects, so we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The purpose of our exhibits is not to discuss the objections or to engage in any discussion about any discovery disputes. There is substantive information that accompanies PSP's response; the objections to each of the data requests. I'd be happy to address that in turn, but for purposes for each of the specific page numbers that PSP has raised their objection for. But for purposes of this process and efficiency, I think that it is easy to go ahead and raise the objection if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA? MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe on behalf of PMSA. JUDGE PEARSON: And Pacific Yacht Management. MS. WEBBER: Monique Webber on behalf of Pacific Yacht Management. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Next we will address exhibits. PSP provided in advance a list of exhibits to which it objects, so we will hear arguments on those exhibits.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The purpose of our exhibits is not to discuss the objections or to engage in any discussion about any discovery disputes. There is substantive information that accompanies PSP's response; the objections to each of the data requests. I'd be happy to address that in turn, but for purposes for each of the specific page numbers that PSP has raised their objection for. But for purposes of this process and efficiency, I think that it is easy to go ahead and raise the objection if PSP feels that the line of questioning is going in that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA? MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe on behalf of PMSA. JUDGE PEARSON: And Pacific Yacht Management. MS. WEBBER: Monique Webber on behalf of Pacific Yacht Management. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Next we will address exhibits. PSP provided in advance a list of exhibits to which it objects, so we will hear arguments on those exhibits. Mr. Wiley or Mr. Fassburg, will you be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The purpose of our exhibits is not to discuss the objections or to engage in any discussion about any discovery disputes. There is substantive information that accompanies PSP's response; the objections to each of the data requests. I'd be happy to address that in turn, but for purposes for each of the specific page numbers that PSP has raised their objection for. But for purposes of this process and efficiency, I think that it is easy to go ahead and raise the objection if PSP feels that the line of questioning is going in that direction, which I assure you it will not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA? MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe on behalf of PMSA. JUDGE PEARSON: And Pacific Yacht Management. MS. WEBBER: Monique Webber on behalf of Pacific Yacht Management. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Next we will address exhibits. PSP provided in advance a list of exhibits to which it objects, so we will hear arguments on those exhibits. Mr. Wiley or Mr. Fassburg, will you be giving those arguments?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The purpose of our exhibits is not to discuss the objections or to engage in any discussion about any discovery disputes. There is substantive information that accompanies PSP's response; the objections to each of the data requests. I'd be happy to address that in turn, but for purposes for each of the specific page numbers that PSP has raised their objection for. But for purposes of this process and efficiency, I think that it is easy to go ahead and raise the objection if PSP feels that the line of questioning is going in that direction, which I assure you it will not. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And does staff want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA? MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe on behalf of PMSA. JUDGE PEARSON: And Pacific Yacht Management. MS. WEBBER: Monique Webber on behalf of Pacific Yacht Management. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Next we will address exhibits. PSP provided in advance a list of exhibits to which it objects, so we will hear arguments on those exhibits. Mr. Wiley or Mr. Fassburg, will you be giving those arguments? MR. FASSBURG: I will be giving those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The purpose of our exhibits is not to discuss the objections or to engage in any discussion about any discovery disputes. There is substantive information that accompanies PSP's response; the objections to each of the data requests. I'd be happy to address that in turn, but for purposes for each of the specific page numbers that PSP has raised their objection for. But for purposes of this process and efficiency, I think that it is easy to go ahead and raise the objection if PSP feels that the line of questioning is going in that direction, which I assure you it will not. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And does staff want to weigh in on this?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA? MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe on behalf of PMSA. JUDGE PEARSON: And Pacific Yacht Management. MS. WEBBER: Monique Webber on behalf of Pacific Yacht Management. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Next we will address exhibits. PSP provided in advance a list of exhibits to which it objects, so we will hear arguments on those exhibits. Mr. Wiley or Mr. Fassburg, will you be giving those arguments? MR. FASSBURG: I will be giving those arguments, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The purpose of our exhibits is not to discuss the objections or to engage in any discussion about any discovery disputes. There is substantive information that accompanies PSP's response; the objections to each of the data requests. I'd be happy to address that in turn, but for purposes for each of the specific page numbers that PSP has raised their objection for. But for purposes of this process and efficiency, I think that it is easy to go ahead and raise the objection if PSP feels that the line of questioning is going in that direction, which I assure you it will not. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And does staff want to weigh in on this? MR. FUKANO: Staff takes no position on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA? MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe on behalf of PMSA. JUDGE PEARSON: And Pacific Yacht Management. MS. WEBBER: Monique Webber on behalf of Pacific Yacht Management. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Next we will address exhibits. PSP provided in advance a list of exhibits to which it objects, so we will hear arguments on those exhibits. Mr. Wiley or Mr. Fassburg, will you be giving those arguments? MR. FASSBURG: I will be giving those arguments, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The purpose of our exhibits is not to discuss the objections or to engage in any discussion about any discovery disputes. There is substantive information that accompanies PSP's response; the objections to each of the data requests. I'd be happy to address that in turn, but for purposes for each of the specific page numbers that PSP has raised their objection for. But for purposes of this process and efficiency, I think that it is easy to go ahead and raise the objection if PSP feels that the line of questioning is going in that direction, which I assure you it will not. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And does staff want to weigh in on this? MR. FUKANO: Staff takes no position on the objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA? MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe on behalf of PMSA. JUDGE PEARSON: And Pacific Yacht Management. MS. WEBBER: Monique Webber on behalf of Pacific Yacht Management. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Next we will address exhibits. PSP provided in advance a list of exhibits to which it objects, so we will hear arguments on those exhibits. Mr. Wiley or Mr. Fassburg, will you be giving those arguments? MR. FASSBURG: I will be giving those arguments, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to address them individually or all three, since the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The purpose of our exhibits is not to discuss the objections or to engage in any discussion about any discovery disputes. There is substantive information that accompanies PSP's response; the objections to each of the data requests. I'd be happy to address that in turn, but for purposes for each of the specific page numbers that PSP has raised their objection for. But for purposes of this process and efficiency, I think that it is easy to go ahead and raise the objection if PSP feels that the line of questioning is going in that direction, which I assure you it will not. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And does staff want to weigh in on this? MR. FUKANO: Staff takes no position on the objection. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA? MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe on behalf of PMSA. JUDGE PEARSON: And Pacific Yacht Management. MS. WEBBER: Monique Webber on behalf of Pacific Yacht Management. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Next we will address exhibits. PSP provided in advance a list of exhibits to which it objects, so we will hear arguments on those exhibits. Mr. Wiley or Mr. Fassburg, will you be giving those arguments? MR. FASSBURG: I will be giving those arguments, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to address them individually or all three, since the objection is the same for all three?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The purpose of our exhibits is not to discuss the objections or to engage in any discussion about any discovery disputes. There is substantive information that accompanies PSP's response; the objections to each of the data requests. I'd be happy to address that in turn, but for purposes for each of the specific page numbers that PSP has raised their objection for. But for purposes of this process and efficiency, I think that it is easy to go ahead and raise the objection if PSP feels that the line of questioning is going in that direction, which I assure you it will not. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And does staff want to weigh in on this? MR. FUKANO: Staff takes no position on the objection. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. I'm going to let the exhibits in. I agree
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA? MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe on behalf of PMSA. JUDGE PEARSON: And Pacific Yacht Management. MS. WEBBER: Monique Webber on behalf of Pacific Yacht Management. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Next we will address exhibits. PSP provided in advance a list of exhibits to which it objects, so we will hear arguments on those exhibits. Mr. Wiley or Mr. Fassburg, will you be giving those arguments? MR. FASSBURG: I will be giving those arguments, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to address them individually or all three, since the objection is the same for all three? MR. FASSBURG: I'll be happy to address all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The purpose of our exhibits is not to discuss the objections or to engage in any discussion about any discovery disputes. There is substantive information that accompanies PSP's response; the objections to each of the data requests. I'd be happy to address that in turn, but for purposes for each of the specific page numbers that PSP has raised their objection for. But for purposes of this process and efficiency, I think that it is easy to go ahead and raise the objection if PSP feels that the line of questioning is going in that direction, which I assure you it will not. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And does staff want to weigh in on this? MR. FUKANO: Staff takes no position on the objection. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. I'm going to let the exhibits in. I agree with you, Ms. DeLappe, that we'll see how the line of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA? MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe on behalf of PMSA. JUDGE PEARSON: And Pacific Yacht Management. MS. WEBBER: Monique Webber on behalf of Pacific Yacht Management. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Next we will address exhibits. PSP provided in advance a list of exhibits to which it objects, so we will hear arguments on those exhibits. Mr. Wiley or Mr. Fassburg, will you be giving those arguments? MR. FASSBURG: I will be giving those arguments, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to address them individually or all three, since the objection is the same for all three? MR. FASSBURG: I'll be happy to address all at the same time. They are all on the same basis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The purpose of our exhibits is not to discuss the objections or to engage in any discussion about any discovery disputes. There is substantive information that accompanies PSP's response; the objections to each of the data requests. I'd be happy to address that in turn, but for purposes for each of the specific page numbers that PSP has raised their objection for. But for purposes of this process and efficiency, I think that it is easy to go ahead and raise the objection if PSP feels that the line of questioning is going in that direction, which I assure you it will not. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And does staff want to weigh in on this? MR. FUKANO: Staff takes no position on the objection. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. I'm going to let the exhibits in. I agree with you, Ms. DeLappe, that we'll see how the line of questioning goes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA? MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe on behalf of PMSA. JUDGE PEARSON: And Pacific Yacht Management. MS. WEBBER: Monique Webber on behalf of Pacific Yacht Management. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Next we will address exhibits. PSP provided in advance a list of exhibits to which it objects, so we will hear arguments on those exhibits. Mr. Wiley or Mr. Fassburg, will you be giving those arguments? MR. FASSBURG: I will be giving those arguments, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to address them individually or all three, since the objection is the same for all three? MR. FASSBURG: I'll be happy to address all at the same time. They are all on the same basis. Would you like me to proceed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The purpose of our exhibits is not to discuss the objections or to engage in any discussion about any discovery disputes. There is substantive information that accompanies PSP's response; the objections to each of the data requests. I'd be happy to address that in turn, but for purposes for each of the specific page numbers that PSP has raised their objection for. But for purposes of this process and efficiency, I think that it is easy to go ahead and raise the objection if PSP feels that the line of questioning is going in that direction, which I assure you it will not. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And does staff want to weigh in on this? MR. FUKANO: Staff takes no position on the objection. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. I'm going to let the exhibits in. I agree with you, Ms. DeLappe, that we'll see how the line of questioning goes. Mr. Fassburg, Mr. Wiley, you can raise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And for staff. MR. FUKANO: Good morning. Harry Fukano and Sally Brown here on behalf of Commission Staff. THE COURT: All right. And for PMSA? MS. DeLAPPE: Michelle DeLappe on behalf of PMSA. JUDGE PEARSON: And Pacific Yacht Management. MS. WEBBER: Monique Webber on behalf of Pacific Yacht Management. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Next we will address exhibits. PSP provided in advance a list of exhibits to which it objects, so we will hear arguments on those exhibits. Mr. Wiley or Mr. Fassburg, will you be giving those arguments? MR. FASSBURG: I will be giving those arguments, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to address them individually or all three, since the objection is the same for all three? MR. FASSBURG: I'll be happy to address all at the same time. They are all on the same basis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	evidence. If they had an issue with it, that was something that should have been brought up with me. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe. MS. DeLAPPE: Good morning, Your Honor. The purpose of our exhibits is not to discuss the objections or to engage in any discussion about any discovery disputes. There is substantive information that accompanies PSP's response; the objections to each of the data requests. I'd be happy to address that in turn, but for purposes for each of the specific page numbers that PSP has raised their objection for. But for purposes of this process and efficiency, I think that it is easy to go ahead and raise the objection if PSP feels that the line of questioning is going in that direction, which I assure you it will not. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And does staff want to weigh in on this? MR. FUKANO: Staff takes no position on the objection. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. I'm going to let the exhibits in. I agree with you, Ms. DeLappe, that we'll see how the line of questioning goes.

7 (Pages 79 to 82)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 83	Page {	85
1	questioning is going somewhere that is impermissible.	1 have been set historically and some of the details that	00
2	MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, in the past it	2 would be involved in this proceeding has been quite a	
		· - · ·	
3	has been not uncommon for you to wait on a line of	3 process so far. So, again, thank you all for getting to this point and being here today.	
4	questioning before admitting the exhibit.	4 this point and being here today.	
5	Would that not be appropriate here instead	5 The process of setting rates for pilotage is	
6	of preadmitting the exhibit and then determining	6 probably unique among all industries that this	
7	JUDGE PEARSON: That's fine.	7 Commission has set rates for. And being this this is	
8	MR. FASSBURG: its usefulness based on	8 the first rate-setting process for the the UTC. Owe	
9	our objection?	9 realize that there is an opportunity here. It is a clear a large state	
10	JUDGE PEARSON: That's fine. Yes.	10 clean slate.	
11	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you.	11 At the Board of Pilotage Commissioners,	
12	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will just take	12 rates were set for several decades in what I think the	
13	those up as they are offered.	13 parties have all agreed you could describe as a black	
14	All right. So the parties have otherwise	14 box. Although the individual Commissioners may have ha	ad
15	stipulated to the admission of the remaining exhibits,	15 their rationale for setting rates, the ultimate	
16	and we will provide a copy of the finalized exhibit list	decisions were not announced. There was no order. An	ld
17	to the court reporter so that it can be made part of the	17 so there is not a specific precedent by which rates	
18	record.	18 could be set.	
19	And before we discuss the procedure of the	19 In order to establish what that rate-setting	
20	hearing today and are joined by the Commissioners, are	20 process would be, PSP has set forth its proposal. And	
21	there any motions or requests from any of the parties?	21 ultimately, we think that the proposal PSP has made and	
22	Okay. Hearing nothing. Let's discuss our	22 what staff has made are somewhat similar. I would like	
23	schedule. So after the Commissioners join us, we will	to overview that just a little bit so that we can focus	
24	begin cross-examination by calling witnesses in the	24 on what some of the key issues will be, we believe, in	
25	order agreed to by the parties. I will be presiding	25 this proceeding.	
	Page 84	Page 8	86
1	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow.	1 PSP proposes that in order to establish	86
2	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the	 PSP proposes that in order to establish rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of 	86
2 3	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras.	 PSP proposes that in order to establish rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that 	86
2 3 4	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl,	 PSP proposes that in order to establish rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key 	86
2 3 4 5	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas.	 PSP proposes that in order to establish rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be 	
2 3 4 5 6	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning.	 PSP proposes that in order to establish rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's 	
2 3 4 5 6 7	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Good morning.	 PSP proposes that in order to establish rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's proposal include very similar elements. We propose that 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning.	 PSP proposes that in order to establish rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's proposal include very similar elements. We propose that there is a number of pilots that is funded within the 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: And, Chair Danner, good morning.	PSP proposes that in order to establish rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's proposal include very similar elements. We propose that there is a number of pilots that is funded within the rates. That number is not necessarily one based on the	
2 3 6 7 8 9 10	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: And, Chair Danner, good morning. CHAIR DANNER: Good morning.	 PSP proposes that in order to establish rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's proposal include very similar elements. We propose that there is a number of pilots that is funded within the rates. That number is not necessarily one based on the actual number of licensed pilots. Both Staff and PSP 	
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: And, Chair Danner, good morning. CHAIR DANNER: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: So at this time we'd like to	1PSP proposes that in order to establish2rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of3the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that4we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key5focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be6compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's7proposal include very similar elements. We propose that8there is a number of pilots that is funded within the9rates. That number is not necessarily one based on the10actual number of licensed pilots. Both Staff and PSP11agree this should be a more theoretical construct. And	
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: And, Chair Danner, good morning. CHAIR DANNER: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: So at this time we'd like to hear brief opening statements from each party. Each	1PSP proposes that in order to establish2rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of3the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that4we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key5focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be6compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's7proposal include very similar elements. We propose that8there is a number of pilots that is funded within the9rates. That number is not necessarily one based on the10actual number of licensed pilots. Both Staff and PSP11agree this should be a more theoretical construct. And12it is how that number is determined that is one of the	
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: And, Chair Danner, good morning. CHAIR DANNER: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: So at this time we'd like to hear brief opening statements from each party. Each party will have three to five minutes to give their	1 PSP proposes that in order to establish 2 rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of 3 the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that 4 we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key 5 focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be 6 compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's 7 proposal include very similar elements. We propose that 8 there is a number of pilots that is funded within the 9 rates. That number is not necessarily one based on the 10 actual number of licensed pilots. Both Staff and PSP 11 agree this should be a more theoretical construct. And 12 it is how that number is determined that is one of the 13 key issues in this case. Another element is the amount	
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: And, Chair Danner, good morning. CHAIR DANNER: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: So at this time we'd like to hear brief opening statements from each party. Each party will have three to five minutes to give their opening statement and we will begin with Puget Sound	1PSP proposes that in order to establish2rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of3the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that4we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key5focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be6compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's7proposal include very similar elements. We propose that8there is a number of pilots that is funded within the9rates. That number is not necessarily one based on the10actual number of licensed pilots. Both Staff and PSP11agree this should be a more theoretical construct. And12it is how that number is determined that is one of the13key issues in this case. Another element is the amount14of money by which that number will be multiplied, that	
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: Real Commonseries JUDGE PEARSON: And, Chair Danner, good morning. CHAIR DANNER: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: So at this time we'd like to hear brief opening statements from each party. Each party will have three to five minutes to give their opening statement and we will begin with Puget Sound Pilots.	1 PSP proposes that in order to establish 2 rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of 3 the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that 4 we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key 5 focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be 6 compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's 7 proposal include very similar elements. We propose that 8 there is a number of pilots that is funded within the 9 rates. That number is not necessarily one based on the 10 actual number of licensed pilots. Both Staff and PSP 11 agree this should be a more theoretical construct. And 12 it is how that number is determined that is one of the 13 key issues in this case. Another element is the amount 14 of money by which that number will be multiplied, that 15 being the value of pilot labor.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning. GOMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: And, Chair Danner, good morning. CHAIR DANNER: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: So at this time we'd like to hear brief opening statements from each party. Each party will have three to five minutes to give their opening statement and we will begin with Puget Sound Pilots.	1PSP proposes that in order to establish2rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of3the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that4we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key5focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be6compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's7proposal include very similar elements. We propose that8there is a number of pilots that is funded within the9rates. That number is not necessarily one based on the10actual number of licensed pilots. Both Staff and PSP11agree this should be a more theoretical construct. And12it is how that number is determined that is one of the13key issues in this case. Another element is the amount14of money by which that number will be multiplied, that15being the value of pilot labor.16In this case, PSP proposes that the	t
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: And, Chair Danner, good morning. CHAIR DANNER: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: So at this time we'd like to hear brief opening statements from each party. Each party will have three to five minutes to give their opening statement and we will begin with Puget Sound Pilots. OPENING STATEMENT MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. Good morning,	1PSP proposes that in order to establish2rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of3the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that4we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key5focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be6compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's7proposal include very similar elements. We propose that8there is a number of pilots that is funded within the9rates. That number is not necessarily one based on the10actual number of licensed pilots. Both Staff and PSP11agree this should be a more theoretical construct. And12it is how that number is determined that is one of the13key issues in this case. Another element is the amount14of money by which that number will be multiplied, that15being the value of pilot labor.16In this case, PSP proposes that the17distributable net income amount should be based upon a	t
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: And, Chair Danner, good morning. CHAIR DANNER: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: So at this time we'd like to hear brief opening statements from each party. Each party will have three to five minutes to give their opening statement and we will begin with Puget Sound Pilots. OPENING STATEMENT MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. Good morning, Chair Danner, Commissioner Rendahl, and Commissioner	1PSP proposes that in order to establish2rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of3the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that4we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key5focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be6compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's7proposal include very similar elements. We propose that8there is a number of pilots that is funded within the9rates. That number is not necessarily one based on the10actual number of licensed pilots. Both Staff and PSP11agree this should be a more theoretical construct. And12it is how that number is determined that is one of the13key issues in this case. Another element is the amount14of money by which that number will be multiplied, that15being the value of pilot labor.16In this case, PSP proposes that the17distributable net income amount should be based upon a18comparison to what other pilots make. Staff proposes	t
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: And, Chair Danner, good morning. CHAIR DANNER: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: So at this time we'd like to hear brief opening statements from each party. Each party will have three to five minutes to give their opening statement and we will begin with Puget Sound Pilots. OPENING STATEMENT MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. Good morning, Chair Danner, Commissioner Rendahl, and Commissioner Balasbas. To ALJ Howard as well.	1PSP proposes that in order to establish2rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of3the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that4we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key5focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be6compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's7proposal include very similar elements. We propose that8there is a number of pilots that is funded within the9rates. That number is not necessarily one based on the10actual number of licensed pilots. Both Staff and PSP11agree this should be a more theoretical construct. And12it is how that number is determined that is one of the13key issues in this case. Another element is the amount14of money by which that number will be multiplied, that15being the value of pilot labor.16In this case, PSP proposes that the17distributable net income amount should be based upon a18comparison to what other pilots make. Staff proposes19that be based upon a historic average of what pilots did	t
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: And, Chair Danner, good morning. CHAIR DANNER: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: So at this time we'd like to hear brief opening statements from each party. Each party will have three to five minutes to give their opening statement and we will begin with Puget Sound Pilots. OPENING STATEMENT MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. Good morning, Chair Danner, Commissioner Rendahl, and Commissioner Balasbas. To ALJ Howard as well. On behalf of Puget Sound Pilots, I want to	1PSP proposes that in order to establish2rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of3the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that4we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key5focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be6compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's7proposal include very similar elements. We propose that8there is a number of pilots that is funded within the9rates. That number is not necessarily one based on the10actual number of licensed pilots. Both Staff and PSP11agree this should be a more theoretical construct. And12it is how that number is determined that is one of the13key issues in this case. Another element is the amount14of money by which that number will be multiplied, that15being the value of pilot labor.16In this case, PSP proposes that the17distributable net income amount should be based upon a18comparison to what other pilots make. Staff proposes19that be based upon a historic average of what pilots did20make. And we believe the difference between staff's	t
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: And, Chair Danner, good morning. CHAIR DANNER: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: So at this time we'd like to hear brief opening statements from each party. Each party will have three to five minutes to give their opening statement and we will begin with Puget Sound Pilots. OPENING STATEMENT MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. Good morning, Chair Danner, Commissioner Rendahl, and Commissioner Balasbas. To ALJ Howard as well. On behalf of Puget Sound Pilots, I want to thank you all. I know this has been a long process from	1PSP proposes that in order to establish2rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of3the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that4we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key5focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be6compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's7proposal include very similar elements. We propose that8there is a number of pilots that is funded within the9rates. That number is not necessarily one based on the10actual number of licensed pilots. Both Staff and PSP11agree this should be a more theoretical construct. And12it is how that number is determined that is one of the13key issues in this case. Another element is the amount14of money by which that number will be multiplied, that15being the value of pilot labor.16In this case, PSP proposes that the17distributable net income amount should be based upon a18comparison to what other pilots make. Staff proposes19that be based upon a historic average of what pilots did20make. And we believe the difference between staff's21theory and policy behind why it proposes historic	t
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: And, Chair Danner, good morning. CHAIR DANNER: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: So at this time we'd like to hear brief opening statements from each party. Each party will have three to five minutes to give their opening statement and we will begin with Puget Sound Pilots. OPENING STATEMENT MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. Good morning, Chair Danner, Commissioner Rendahl, and Commissioner Balasbas. To ALJ Howard as well. On behalf of Puget Sound Pilots, I want to thank you all. I know this has been a long process from when the legislature first considered shifting the	1PSP proposes that in order to establish2rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of3the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that4we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key5focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be6compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's7proposal include very similar elements. We propose that8there is a number of pilots that is funded within the9rates. That number is not necessarily one based on the10actual number of licensed pilots. Both Staff and PSP11agree this should be a more theoretical construct. And12it is how that number is determined that is one of the13key issues in this case. Another element is the amount14of money by which that number will be multiplied, that15being the value of pilot labor.16In this case, PSP proposes that the17distributable net income amount should be based upon a18comparison to what other pilots make. Staff proposes19that be based upon a historic average of what pilots did20make. And we believe the difference between staff's21theory and policy behind why it proposes for the number of pilots	t
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: And, Chair Danner, good morning. CHAIR DANNER: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: So at this time we'd like to hear brief opening statements from each party. Each party will have three to five minutes to give their opening statement and we will begin with Puget Sound Pilots. OPENING STATEMENT MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. Good morning, Chair Danner, Commissioner Rendahl, and Commissioner Balasbas. To ALJ Howard as well. On behalf of Puget Sound Pilots, I want to thank you all. I know this has been a long process from when the legislature first considered shifting the rate-setting authority from the Board of Pilotage	1PSP proposes that in order to establish2rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of3the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that4we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key5focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be6compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's7proposal include very similar elements. We propose that8there is a number of pilots that is funded within the9rates. That number is not necessarily one based on the10actual number of licensed pilots. Both Staff and PSP11agree this should be a more theoretical construct. And12it is how that number is determined that is one of the13key issues in this case. Another element is the amount14of money by which that number will be multiplied, that15being the value of pilot labor.16In this case, PSP proposes that the17distributable net income amount should be based upon a20make. And we believe the difference between staff's21theory and policy behind why it proposes for the number of pilots23full-time equivalency and for the DNI a comparator are	t
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSONER BALASBAS: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: And, Chair Danner, good morning. CHAIR DANNER: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: So at this time we'd like to hear brief opening statements from each party. Each party will have three to five minutes to give their opening statement and we will begin with Puget Sound Pilots. OPENING STATEMENT MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. Good morning, Chair Danner, Commissioner Rendahl, and Commissioner Balasbas. To ALJ Howard as well. On behalf of Puget Sound Pilots, I want to thank you all. I know this has been a long process from when the legislature first considered shifting the rate-setting authority from the Board of Pilotage Commissioners over to the UTC. In the process of	1PSP proposes that in order to establish2rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of3the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that4we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key5focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be6compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's7proposal include very similar elements. We propose that8there is a number of pilots that is funded within the9rates. That number is not necessarily one based on the10actual number of licensed pilots. Both Staff and PSP11agree this should be a more theoretical construct. And12it is how that number is determined that is one of the13key issues in this case. Another element is the amount14of money by which that number will be multiplied, that15being the value of pilot labor.16In this case, PSP proposes that the17distributable net income amount should be based upon a20make. And we believe the difference between staff's21theory and policy behind why it proposes for the number of pilots23full-time equivalency and for the DNI a comparator are24really two of the biggest key elements in this case.	t
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	today and Judge Howard will preside tomorrow. All right. So with that, I will ask the Commissioners to please turn on their cameras. Good morning, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Balasbas. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: And, Chair Danner, good morning. CHAIR DANNER: Good morning. JUDGE PEARSON: So at this time we'd like to hear brief opening statements from each party. Each party will have three to five minutes to give their opening statement and we will begin with Puget Sound Pilots. OPENING STATEMENT MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. Good morning, Chair Danner, Commissioner Rendahl, and Commissioner Balasbas. To ALJ Howard as well. On behalf of Puget Sound Pilots, I want to thank you all. I know this has been a long process from when the legislature first considered shifting the rate-setting authority from the Board of Pilotage	1PSP proposes that in order to establish2rates, a rate-setting methodology should include all of3the permitted expenses as outlined in the formula that4we've submitted, plus what I think is really the key5focus of this proceeding, how pilots should be6compensated for their work. Staff's proposal and PSP's7proposal include very similar elements. We propose that8there is a number of pilots that is funded within the9rates. That number is not necessarily one based on the10actual number of licensed pilots. Both Staff and PSP11agree this should be a more theoretical construct. And12it is how that number is determined that is one of the13key issues in this case. Another element is the amount14of money by which that number will be multiplied, that15being the value of pilot labor.16In this case, PSP proposes that the17distributable net income amount should be based upon a20make. And we believe the difference between staff's21theory and policy behind why it proposes for the number of pilots23full-time equivalency and for the DNI a comparator are	t

8 (Pages 83 to 86)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 87		Page 89
1	believe, or key issue in this case, is essentially a	1	methods to make that determination. Part of that is
2	couple of hang-over issues. At the Board of Pilotage	2	devising a sensible rate-making formula similar to the
3	Commissioners, rates were not set using utility rate	3	formulas this Commission uses in electric, natural gas,
4	setting procedures or processes. And as a result, the	4	and water utilities. The formula should provide fair
5	way rates were set over many decades there are	5	compensation for the pilots' labor and a fair return on
6	cumulative liabilities that PSP has built up. How to	6	investment. That resulting total revenue requirement
7	deal with those cumulative liabilities as rates are now	7	should provide transparency for all forms of pilot
8	set in the utilities rate-setting process are are	8	compensation, properly labeled and accounted for as
9	difficult issues and we believe ones that cannot be	9	compensation.
10	resolved in the first filing.	10	Currently, under PSP's accounting, that is
11	And so, for example, how to fund within	11	not the case, and the total revenue requirement should
12	rates PSP's historic callback liability and how to treat	12	not be correlated to the number of pilots given the
13	that in the future going forward are two different	13	variety of factors involved that are beyond the scope of
14	issues that are very much in play here in this case.	14	the Commission's task here, such as PSP's internal
15	And staff takes a very different approach to treating	15	workload and assignment decisions.
16	those issues as to PSP's proposal.	16	In the end, however PSP wishes to manage its
17	We believe, however, that you can't wave a	17	internal affairs is up to PSP within the requirements
18	magic wand and eliminate those liabilities all at once.	18	imposed by law, but rate payers should not have to
19	So we're asking that there be a transition period in	19	suffer for PSP's choices on how it manages its affairs.
20	dealing with those liabilities.	20	The major items involved in that, as Mr. Fassburg
21	PMSA's proposal contrastingly is a little	21	pointed out, are the is the management of callback
22	different than what PSP and staff has proposed. Thank	22	and retirement costs. PMSA agrees with staff that rate
23	you. PMSA has proposed a return-on-rate-base approach	23	payers have already paid once for pilotage services.
24	for a rate-setting methodology in which they propose	24	They should not pay again for PSP's choices on how it
25	pilots who are, in fact, independent contractors should	25	manages pilot availability or compensates former pilots
	Page 88		
			Pade 90
1		1	Page 90
1	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined	1	and employees for past services.
2	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information	2	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those
2 3	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon	2 3	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the
2 3 4	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach	2 3 4	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are
2 3 4 5	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns	2 3 4 5	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services.
2 3 4	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns more than enough already and have requested there be no	2 3 4	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services. For example, the tariff should not cover for
2 3 4 5 6	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns more than enough already and have requested there be no rate increase.	2 3 4 5 6	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services. For example, the tariff should not cover for paying for PSP's decision in recent years to take a
2 3 4 5 6 7	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns more than enough already and have requested there be no rate increase. Again, we believe each of these key	2 3 4 5 6 7	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services. For example, the tariff should not cover for paying for PSP's decision in recent years to take a licensed pilot almost entirely off the water to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns more than enough already and have requested there be no rate increase.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services. For example, the tariff should not cover for paying for PSP's decision in recent years to take a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns more than enough already and have requested there be no rate increase. Again, we believe each of these key features are what the hearing will focus on, and we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services. For example, the tariff should not cover for paying for PSP's decision in recent years to take a licensed pilot almost entirely off the water to do administrative tasks as their vice president.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns more than enough already and have requested there be no rate increase. Again, we believe each of these key features are what the hearing will focus on, and we believe our case will demonstrate PSP's proposal should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services. For example, the tariff should not cover for paying for PSP's decision in recent years to take a licensed pilot almost entirely off the water to do administrative tasks as their vice president. And there are a number of expenses that the
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns more than enough already and have requested there be no rate increase. Again, we believe each of these key features are what the hearing will focus on, and we believe our case will demonstrate PSP's proposal should be adopted. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services. For example, the tariff should not cover for paying for PSP's decision in recent years to take a licensed pilot almost entirely off the water to do administrative tasks as their vice president. And there are a number of expenses that the tariff should not cover or should cover only to a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns more than enough already and have requested there be no rate increase. Again, we believe each of these key features are what the hearing will focus on, and we believe our case will demonstrate PSP's proposal should be adopted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services. For example, the tariff should not cover for paying for PSP's decision in recent years to take a licensed pilot almost entirely off the water to do administrative tasks as their vice president. And there are a number of expenses that the tariff should not cover or should cover only to a limited extent. Even essential costs should be examined
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns more than enough already and have requested there be no rate increase. Again, we believe each of these key features are what the hearing will focus on, and we believe our case will demonstrate PSP's proposal should be adopted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services. For example, the tariff should not cover for paying for PSP's decision in recent years to take a licensed pilot almost entirely off the water to do administrative tasks as their vice president. And there are a number of expenses that the tariff should not cover or should cover only to a limited extent. Even essential costs should be examined carefully to avoid there becoming profit centers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns more than enough already and have requested there be no rate increase. Again, we believe each of these key features are what the hearing will focus on, and we believe our case will demonstrate PSP's proposal should be adopted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe? You're muted, Ms. DeLappe. We can't hear you. MS. DeLAPPE: Am I audible now?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services. For example, the tariff should not cover for paying for PSP's decision in recent years to take a licensed pilot almost entirely off the water to do administrative tasks as their vice president. And there are a number of expenses that the tariff should not cover or should cover only to a limited extent. Even essential costs should be examined carefully to avoid there becoming profit centers directly or indirectly for PSP members. To address
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns more than enough already and have requested there be no rate increase. Again, we believe each of these key features are what the hearing will focus on, and we believe our case will demonstrate PSP's proposal should be adopted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe? You're muted, Ms. DeLappe. We can't hear you. MS. DeLAPPE: Am I audible now? JUDGE PEARSON: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services. For example, the tariff should not cover for paying for PSP's decision in recent years to take a licensed pilot almost entirely off the water to do administrative tasks as their vice president. And there are a number of expenses that the tariff should not cover or should cover only to a limited extent. Even essential costs should be examined carefully to avoid there becoming profit centers directly or indirectly for PSP members. To address that, and to evaluate which expenses are essential, PMSA asks that the commission direct its staff to conduct a performance audit of PSP's financials. PMSA feels
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns more than enough already and have requested there be no rate increase. Again, we believe each of these key features are what the hearing will focus on, and we believe our case will demonstrate PSP's proposal should be adopted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe? You're muted, Ms. DeLappe. We can't hear you. MS. DeLAPPE: Am I audible now? JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. OPENING STATEMENT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services. For example, the tariff should not cover for paying for PSP's decision in recent years to take a licensed pilot almost entirely off the water to do administrative tasks as their vice president. And there are a number of expenses that the tariff should not cover or should cover only to a limited extent. Even essential costs should be examined carefully to avoid there becoming profit centers directly or indirectly for PSP members. To address that, and to evaluate which expenses are essential, PMSA asks that the commission direct its staff to conduct a performance audit of PSP's financials. PMSA feels strongly that PSA PSP has not met its burden of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns more than enough already and have requested there be no rate increase. Again, we believe each of these key features are what the hearing will focus on, and we believe our case will demonstrate PSP's proposal should be adopted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe? You're muted, Ms. DeLappe. We can't hear you. MS. DeLAPPE: Am I audible now? JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. OPENING STATEMENT MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. Good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services. For example, the tariff should not cover for paying for PSP's decision in recent years to take a licensed pilot almost entirely off the water to do administrative tasks as their vice president. And there are a number of expenses that the tariff should not cover or should cover only to a limited extent. Even essential costs should be examined carefully to avoid there becoming profit centers directly or indirectly for PSP members. To address that, and to evaluate which expenses are essential, PMSA asks that the commission direct its staff to conduct a performance audit of PSP's financials. PMSA feels strongly that PSA PSP has not met its burden of proving that the existing tariff is not fair, just,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns more than enough already and have requested there be no rate increase. Again, we believe each of these key features are what the hearing will focus on, and we believe our case will demonstrate PSP's proposal should be adopted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe? You're muted, Ms. DeLappe. We can't hear you. MS. DeLAPPE: Am I audible now? JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. OPENING STATEMENT MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. Good morning, Commissioners and Judges Pearson and Howard.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services. For example, the tariff should not cover for paying for PSP's decision in recent years to take a licensed pilot almost entirely off the water to do administrative tasks as their vice president. And there are a number of expenses that the tariff should not cover or should cover only to a limited extent. Even essential costs should be examined carefully to avoid there becoming profit centers directly or indirectly for PSP members. To address that, and to evaluate which expenses are essential, PMSA asks that the commission direct its staff to conduct a performance audit of PSP's financials. PMSA feels strongly that PSA PSP has not met its burden of proving that the existing tariff is not fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient. However, PMSA does support
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns more than enough already and have requested there be no rate increase. Again, we believe each of these key features are what the hearing will focus on, and we believe our case will demonstrate PSP's proposal should be adopted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe? You're muted, Ms. DeLappe. We can't hear you. MS. DeLAPPE: Am I audible now? JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. OPENING STATEMENT MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. Good morning, Commissioners and Judges Pearson and Howard. For PMSA the threshold question here and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services. For example, the tariff should not cover for paying for PSP's decision in recent years to take a licensed pilot almost entirely off the water to do administrative tasks as their vice president. And there are a number of expenses that the tariff should not cover or should cover only to a limited extent. Even essential costs should be examined carefully to avoid there becoming profit centers directly or indirectly for PSP members. To address that, and to evaluate which expenses are essential, PMSA asks that the commission direct its staff to conduct a performance audit of PSP's financials. PMSA feels strongly that PSA PSP has not met its burden of proving that the existing tariff is not fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient. However, PMSA does support a revenue neutral restructuring of the pilotage tariff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns more than enough already and have requested there be no rate increase. Again, we believe each of these key features are what the hearing will focus on, and we believe our case will demonstrate PSP's proposal should be adopted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe? You're muted, Ms. DeLappe. We can't hear you. MS. DeLAPPE: Am I audible now? JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. OPENING STATEMENT MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. Good morning, Commissioners and Judges Pearson and Howard. For PMSA the threshold question here and I think for everyone, overall, is whether the existing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services. For example, the tariff should not cover for paying for PSP's decision in recent years to take a licensed pilot almost entirely off the water to do administrative tasks as their vice president. And there are a number of expenses that the tariff should not cover or should cover only to a limited extent. Even essential costs should be examined carefully to avoid there becoming profit centers directly or indirectly for PSP members. To address that, and to evaluate which expenses are essential, PMSA asks that the commission direct its staff to conduct a performance audit of PSP's financials. PMSA feels strongly that PSA PSP has not met its burden of proving that the existing tariff is not fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient. However, PMSA does support a revenue neutral restructuring of the pilotage tariff consistent with the principles annunciated by Staff.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns more than enough already and have requested there be no rate increase. Again, we believe each of these key features are what the hearing will focus on, and we believe our case will demonstrate PSP's proposal should be adopted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe? You're muted, Ms. DeLappe. We can't hear you. MS. DeLAPPE: Am I audible now? JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. OPENING STATEMENT MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. Good morning, Commissioners and Judges Pearson and Howard. For PMSA the threshold question here and I think for everyone, overall, is whether the existing tariff is fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services. For example, the tariff should not cover for paying for PSP's decision in recent years to take a licensed pilot almost entirely off the water to do administrative tasks as their vice president. And there are a number of expenses that the tariff should not cover or should cover only to a limited extent. Even essential costs should be examined carefully to avoid there becoming profit centers directly or indirectly for PSP members. To address that, and to evaluate which expenses are essential, PMSA asks that the commission direct its staff to conduct a performance audit of PSP's financials. PMSA feels strongly that PSA PSP has not met its burden of proving that the existing tariff is not fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient. However, PMSA does support a revenue neutral restructuring of the pilotage tariff consistent with the principles annunciated by Staff. PMSA also endorses limited tariff increases to cover the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns more than enough already and have requested there be no rate increase. Again, we believe each of these key features are what the hearing will focus on, and we believe our case will demonstrate PSP's proposal should be adopted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe? You're muted, Ms. DeLappe. We can't hear you. MS. DeLAPPE: Am I audible now? JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. OPENING STATEMENT MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. Good morning, Commissioners and Judges Pearson and Howard. For PMSA the threshold question here and I think for everyone, overall, is whether the existing tariff is fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient. PMSA agrees with Commission Staff that this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services. For example, the tariff should not cover for paying for PSP's decision in recent years to take a licensed pilot almost entirely off the water to do administrative tasks as their vice president. And there are a number of expenses that the tariff should not cover or should cover only to a limited extent. Even essential costs should be examined carefully to avoid there becoming profit centers directly or indirectly for PSP members. To address that, and to evaluate which expenses are essential, PMSA asks that the commission direct its staff to conduct a performance audit of PSP's financials. PMSA feels strongly that PSA PSP has not met its burden of proving that the existing tariff is not fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient. However, PMSA does support a revenue neutral restructuring of the pilotage tariff consistent with the principles annunciated by Staff. PMSA also endorses limited tariff increases to cover the Commission's costs and stipends for pilot trainees.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	be treated like employees whose salary can be determined by looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics information for a variety of maritime employees. And based upon that, they have built a return-on-rate-base approach that would demonstrate in their opinion that PSP earns more than enough already and have requested there be no rate increase. Again, we believe each of these key features are what the hearing will focus on, and we believe our case will demonstrate PSP's proposal should be adopted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Ms. DeLappe? You're muted, Ms. DeLappe. We can't hear you. MS. DeLAPPE: Am I audible now? JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. OPENING STATEMENT MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. Good morning, Commissioners and Judges Pearson and Howard. For PMSA the threshold question here and I think for everyone, overall, is whether the existing tariff is fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and employees for past services. In the same vein, and just like in those other industries, PSP's costs that are covered by the tariff should be limited to only those that are essential to the provision of pilotage services. For example, the tariff should not cover for paying for PSP's decision in recent years to take a licensed pilot almost entirely off the water to do administrative tasks as their vice president. And there are a number of expenses that the tariff should not cover or should cover only to a limited extent. Even essential costs should be examined carefully to avoid there becoming profit centers directly or indirectly for PSP members. To address that, and to evaluate which expenses are essential, PMSA asks that the commission direct its staff to conduct a performance audit of PSP's financials. PMSA feels strongly that PSA PSP has not met its burden of proving that the existing tariff is not fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient. However, PMSA does support a revenue neutral restructuring of the pilotage tariff consistent with the principles annunciated by Staff. PMSA also endorses limited tariff increases to cover the

9 (Pages 87 to 90)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 91		Page 93
1	JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. Please bear with	1	testimony regarding cost of capital.
2	me as I will be muting and unmuting my microphone as	2	Ann LaRue has testified regarding the
3	well.	3	overall revenue requirement model and adjustments to
4	Ms. Webber, would you like to make an	4	expenses, such as depreciation and transportation
5	opening statement?	5	expenses.
6	OPENING STATEMENT	6	Finally, Scott Sevall has filed testimony
7	MS. WEBBER: Yes. Good morning to everyone.	7	regarding Staff's proposed rate design and rate
8	Pacific Yacht Management is proud to be a	8	methodology, in particular, Staff's recommended number
9	very small part of the journey the UTC is taking for	9	of pilots and target pilot compensation.
10	setting the pilotage rate and to offer a recreational	10	To briefly summarize, Staff recommends in
11	vessel point of view to the hearing as PMSA does the	11	part that the Commission not include the unrecorded
12	commercial side.	12	callback liability in rates, require PSP to transition
13	PYM understands and acknowledges the	13	to GAAP accounting and require additional discussion
14	importance of the work performed by PSP to protect the	14	regarding PSP's pension as described by Mr. Kermode,
15	waterways in the Puget Sound. While PYM understands	15	that the Commission accept the revenue requirement model
16	PSP's desire for a rate increase, we feel that the	16	and adjustments proposed by Ms. LaRue, and that the
17	proposed tariff increase places an unfair burden on the	17	Commission fund 52 pilots with a distributable net
18	smallest portion of the vessels they serve.	18	income of \$400,855 per pilot, and adopt Staff's proposed
19	If the tariff is approved as it is proposed	19	rate design as discussed by Mr. Sevall.
20	by PSP, then small freighters and recreational vessels	20	Staff's recommendations in this case are
21	will be subject to a more than 300 percent rate increase	21	guided by two general principles. First, Staff has
22	over the current tariff in the next three years. This	22	applied traditional rate-making principles to its review
23	increase places an unfair burden on these vessels and	23	of PSP's case. Where possible and practicable, Staff
24	will have a negative economic effect on the maritime	24	has attempted to import and apply rate-setting
25	community in the state of Washington and will drive	25	principles from other industries regulated by the
	Page 92		Page 94
1	recreational vessels that are subject to pilotage away	1	Commission to pilot rate setting. In doing so, Staff
2	from our state.	2	has drawn on its years of experience gained over the
3	PYM requests any increase to the current	3	course of various Commission rate-making proceedings.
4	tariff is applied equally to everyone, and we hope that	4	Second, Staff is conscious of the fact that
5	the UTC Commissioners understand the importance of	5	maritime pilotage is regulated by two separate agencies.
6	treating each vessel fairly and that there is no	6	While the legislature has transferred rate-setting
7	revenue-generating way for foreign flag transient	7	authority from marine maritime pilotage to the
8	vessels or yachts to make revenue or generate revenue	8	Commission, the Board of Pilotage Commissioners retains
9	for themselves in the state of Washington and that a	9	authority to regulate the training, licensure, and
10	pilotage tariff is simply an expense they pay to come	10	provision of pilotage service.
11	visit us. So thank you very much.	11	Under this division of authority, each
12	JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you.	12	agency provides complimentary expertise. The Commission
13	Staff?	13	offers expertise in rate setting and the Board offers
14	OPENING STATEMENT	14	expertise regarding pilotage service. Acknowledging the
15	MR. FUKANO: Good morning, Judges and	15	experience and authority of the BPC regarding regulation
16	Commissioners. I am Assistant Attorney General Harry	16	of pilotage service, Staff has endeavored to rely on
17	Fukano, and I and my cocounsel, Senior Assistant	17	historical data regarding pilotage in the Puget Sound
18	Attorney General Sally Brown, are here today on behalf	18	Pilotage District to support its analysis.
19	Commission Staff. Commission Staff has presented its	19	Staff recognizes that the present case is
20	review and recommendations in this case through three	20	unique in several respects. Not only is this the
21	witnesses.	21	inaugural proceeding for maritime pilotage rate setting
22	Danny Kermode has provided testimony	22	at the Commission, but this hearing is also occurring
23	regarding PSP's pension expenses and the unrecorded	23	against the backdrop of a significant public
24	callback liability, made recommendations regarding PSP's	24	health crisis regarding Coronavirus. While the full and
25	accounting practices, and has responded to PMSA's	25	lasting effects of the Coronavirus continue and are
		1	

10 (Pages 91 to 94)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 95	Page 97
1	still being studied and understood, it seems likely that	1 when you're ready.
2	at least some of the projections and assumptions at	2 MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you.
3	issue in this case may be influenced by the effect of	3 CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	the Coronavirus.	4 BY MS. DeLAPPE:
5	Dispute this uncertainty, Staff believes	5 Q. Good morning, Captain von Brandenfels.
6	that the Commission should proceed with its review of	6 A. Good morning.
7	PSP's tariff proposal and determine fair, just,	7 Q. So PSP is the sole pilotage service provider of
8	reasonable, and sufficient rates based on the evidence	 state licensed pilots in the Puget Sound; isn't that
9	in this proceeding. Commission Staff urges the	9 right?
10	Commission to find its recommendations persuasive and to	10 A. That is correct.
11	adopt them in their entirety. Thank you.	11 Q. And all of the individuals licensed to provide
12	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So at	12 pilotage service in the Puget Sound are members of PSP?
13	this point we will begin calling witnesses in the order	13 A. That's correct.
14	indicated on the parties' witness list. And the first	14 Q. All large nonexempt foreign flagged vessels
15	witness is Captain Eric von Brandenfels for PSP.	15 subject to the State of Washington's Pilotage Act are
16	Captain von Brandenfels, if you could please	16 required to hire a state-licensed pilot; right?
17	turn on your camera and I will swear you in.	17 A. That's correct.
18	THE WITNESS: Camera is on.	18 Q. The only way to have a state-licensed pilot
19	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your audio is on	 assigned to your vessel in the Puget Sound is to contact
20	as well. Okay. Please raise your right hand.	20 PSP to arrange for services of a state-licensed pilot;
21	Do you swear that the testimony you offer	21 right?
22	today will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing	22 A. I believe that to be correct.
23	but the truth?	23 Q. The benefits of PSP's monopoly is to ensure
24	THE WITNESS: I swear.	 service to vessels, because otherwise pilots would be
25	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. And,	able to cherry-pick among vessels and not provide
		······································
	Page 96	Page 98
1	Page 96 Mr. Fassburg, will you be bandling cross for this	Page 98
1 2	Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this	1 services to the least lucrative routes; right?
1 2 3	Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness?	 services to the least lucrative routes; right? A. That's among that's one of the many services
2	Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will.	 services to the least lucrative routes; right? A. That's among that's one of the many services that we provide; yes.
2 3	Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness?	 services to the least lucrative routes; right? A. That's among that's one of the many services that we provide; yes. Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's
2 3 4	Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to	 services to the least lucrative routes; right? A. That's among that's one of the many services that we provide; yes. Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots
2 3 4 5	Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to introduce the witness?	 services to the least lucrative routes; right? A. That's among that's one of the many services that we provide; yes. Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots
2 3 4 5 6	Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to introduce the witness?	 services to the least lucrative routes; right? A. That's among that's one of the many services that we provide; yes. Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots cannot cherry-pick their jobs even for the most
2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to introduce the witness? MR. FASSBURG: I would. ERIC VON BRANDENFELS, witness herein, having been	 services to the least lucrative routes; right? A. That's among that's one of the many services that we provide; yes. Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots cannot cherry-pick their jobs even for the most undesirable vessel moves? A. I believe that to be true.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to introduce the witness? MR. FASSBURG: I would.	 services to the least lucrative routes; right? A. That's among that's one of the many services that we provide; yes. Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots cannot cherry-pick their jobs even for the most undesirable vessel moves? A. I believe that to be true.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to introduce the witness? MR. FASSBURG: I would. ERIC VON BRANDENFELS, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath,	 services to the least lucrative routes; right? A. That's among that's one of the many services that we provide; yes. Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots cannot cherry-pick their jobs even for the most undesirable vessel moves? A. I believe that to be true. Q. Yeah. How does PSP ensure that pilots not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to introduce the witness? MR. FASSBURG: I would. ERIC VON BRANDENFELS, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified	 services to the least lucrative routes; right? A. That's among that's one of the many services that we provide; yes. Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots cannot cherry-pick their jobs even for the most undesirable vessel moves? A. I believe that to be true. Q. Yeah. How does PSP ensure that pilots not cherry-pick their jobs?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to introduce the witness? MR. FASSBURG: I would. ERIC VON BRANDENFELS, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified	 services to the least lucrative routes; right? A. That's among that's one of the many services that we provide; yes. Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots cannot cherry-pick their jobs even for the most undesirable vessel moves? A. I believe that to be true. Q. Yeah. How does PSP ensure that pilots not cherry-pick their jobs? A. Through a random rotation that is it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to introduce the witness? MR. FASSBURG: I would. ERIC VON BRANDENFELS, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:	 services to the least lucrative routes; right? A. That's among that's one of the many services that we provide; yes. Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots cannot cherry-pick their jobs even for the most undesirable vessel moves? A. I believe that to be true. Q. Yeah. How does PSP ensure that pilots not cherry-pick their jobs? A. Through a random rotation that is it's last-in/first-out type of rotation on on either side
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to introduce the witness? MR. FASSBURG: I would. ERIC VON BRANDENFELS, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION	 services to the least lucrative routes; right? A. That's among that's one of the many services that we provide; yes. Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots cannot cherry-pick their jobs even for the most undesirable vessel moves? A. I believe that to be true. Q. Yeah. How does PSP ensure that pilots not cherry-pick their jobs? A. Through a random rotation that is it's last-in/first-out type of rotation on on either side of the whether it's at the hub in Seattle or the hub
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to introduce the witness? MR. FASSBURG: I would. ERIC VON BRANDENFELS, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG:	 services to the least lucrative routes; right? A. That's among that's one of the many services that we provide; yes. Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots cannot cherry-pick their jobs even for the most undesirable vessel moves? A. I believe that to be true. Q. Yeah. How does PSP ensure that pilots not cherry-pick their jobs? A. Through a random rotation that is it's last-in/first-out type of rotation on on either side of the whether it's at the hub in Seattle or the hub in Port Angeles. It's last-in/first-out or last out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to introduce the witness? MR. FASSBURG: I would. ERIC VON BRANDENFELS, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain von Brandenfels, will you please state	 services to the least lucrative routes; right? A. That's among that's one of the many services that we provide; yes. Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots cannot cherry-pick their jobs even for the most undesirable vessel moves? A. I believe that to be true. Q. Yeah. How does PSP ensure that pilots not cherry-pick their jobs? A. Through a random rotation that is it's last-in/first-out type of rotation on on either side of the whether it's at the hub in Seattle or the hub in Port Angeles. It's last-in/first-out or last out. Q. So a licensed pilot can only do piloting a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to introduce the witness? MR. FASSBURG: I would. ERIC VON BRANDENFELS, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain von Brandenfels, will you please state your full legal name and business address?	1services to the least lucrative routes; right?2A. That's among that's one of the many services3that we provide; yes.4Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's5monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots6cannot cherry-pick their jobs even for the most7undesirable vessel moves?8A. I believe that to be true.9Q. Yeah. How does PSP ensure that pilots not10cherry-pick their jobs?11A. Through a random rotation that is it's12last-in/first-out type of rotation on on either side13of the whether it's at the hub in Seattle or the hub14in Port Angeles. It's last-in/first-out or last out.15Q. So a licensed pilot can only do piloting a16piloting job in Puget Sound through getting an17assignment through PSP?18A. Yeah, that's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to introduce the witness? MR. FASSBURG: I would. ERIC VON BRANDENFELS, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain von Brandenfels, will you please state your full legal name and business address? A. Eric von Brandenfels. Puget Sound Pilots. Our 	 services to the least lucrative routes; right? A. That's among that's one of the many services that we provide; yes. Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots cannot cherry-pick their jobs even for the most undesirable vessel moves? A. I believe that to be true. Q. Yeah. How does PSP ensure that pilots not cherry-pick their jobs? A. Through a random rotation that is it's last-in/first-out type of rotation on on either side of the whether it's at the hub in Seattle or the hub in Port Angeles. It's last-in/first-out or last out. Q. So a licensed pilot can only do piloting a piloting job in Puget Sound through getting an assignment through PSP? A. Yeah, that's correct. Q. And pilots can't choose their own assignments?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to introduce the witness? MR. FASSBURG: I would. ERIC VON BRANDENFELS, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain von Brandenfels, will you please state your full legal name and business address? A. Eric von Brandenfels. Puget Sound Pilots. Our address is Suite 200 Western Avenue in Seattle. 	1services to the least lucrative routes; right?2A. That's among that's one of the many services3that we provide; yes.4Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's5monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots6cannot cherry-pick their jobs even for the most7undesirable vessel moves?8A. I believe that to be true.9Q. Yeah. How does PSP ensure that pilots not10cherry-pick their jobs?11A. Through a random rotation that is it's12last-in/first-out type of rotation on on either side13of the whether it's at the hub in Seattle or the hub14in Port Angeles. It's last-in/first-out or last out.15Q. So a licensed pilot can only do piloting a16piloting job in Puget Sound through getting an17assignment through PSP?18A. Yeah, that's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to introduce the witness? MR. FASSBURG: I would. ERIC VON BRANDENFELS, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain von Brandenfels, will you please state your full legal name and business address? A. Eric von Brandenfels. Puget Sound Pilots. Our address is Suite 200 Western Avenue in Seattle. Q. Are you adopting your testimony in Exhibit 	1services to the least lucrative routes; right?2A. That's among that's one of the many services3that we provide; yes.4Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's5monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots6cannot cherry-pick their jobs even for the most7undesirable vessel moves?8A. I believe that to be true.9Q. Yeah. How does PSP ensure that pilots not10cherry-pick their jobs?11A. Through a random rotation that is it's12last-in/first-out type of rotation on on either side13of the whether it's at the hub in Seattle or the hub14in Port Angeles. It's last-in/first-out or last out.15Q. So a licensed pilot can only do piloting a16piloting job in Puget Sound through getting an17assignment through PSP?18A. Yeah, that's correct.19Q. And pilots can't choose their own assignments?20A. Pilots cannot choose their own. It's through21random rotation. It's a strict random rotation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to introduce the witness? MR. FASSBURG: I would. ERIC VON BRANDENFELS, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain von Brandenfels, will you please state your full legal name and business address? A. Eric von Brandenfels. Puget Sound Pilots. Our address is Suite 200 Western Avenue in Seattle. Q. Are you adopting your testimony in Exhibit EVB-1T today under oath? A. I am. Q. Thank you, Captain von Brandenfels. We'll 	 services to the least lucrative routes; right? A. That's among that's one of the many services that we provide; yes. Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots cannot cherry-pick their jobs even for the most undesirable vessel moves? A. I believe that to be true. Q. Yeah. How does PSP ensure that pilots not cherry-pick their jobs? A. Through a random rotation that is it's last-in/first-out type of rotation on on either side of the whether it's at the hub in Seattle or the hub in Port Angeles. It's last-in/first-out or last out. Q. So a licensed pilot can only do piloting a piloting job in Puget Sound through getting an assignment through PSP? A. Yeah, that's correct. Q. And pilots can't choose their own assignments? A. Pilots cannot choose their own. It's through random rotation. It's a strict random rotation. Q. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to introduce the witness? MR. FASSBURG: I would. ERIC VON BRANDENFELS, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain von Brandenfels, will you please state your full legal name and business address? A. Eric von Brandenfels. Puget Sound Pilots. Our address is Suite 200 Western Avenue in Seattle. Q. Are you adopting your testimony in Exhibit EVB-1T today under oath? A. I am. Q. Thank you, Captain von Brandenfels. We'll present the witness for cross-examination. 	 services to the least lucrative routes; right? A. That's among that's one of the many services that we provide; yes. Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots cannot cherry-pick their jobs even for the most undesirable vessel moves? A. I believe that to be true. Q. Yeah. How does PSP ensure that pilots not cherry-pick their jobs? A. Through a random rotation that is it's last-in/first-out type of rotation on on either side of the whether it's at the hub in Seattle or the hub in Port Angeles. It's last-in/first-out or last out. Q. So a licensed pilot can only do piloting a piloting job in Puget Sound through getting an assignment through PSP? A. Yeah, that's correct. Q. And pilots can't choose their own assignments? A. Pilots cannot choose their own. It's through random rotation. It's a strict random rotation. Q. Thank you. So no vessels should ever not be provided
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to introduce the witness? MR. FASSBURG: I would. ERIC VON BRANDENFELS, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain von Brandenfels, will you please state your full legal name and business address? A. Eric von Brandenfels. Puget Sound Pilots. Our address is Suite 200 Western Avenue in Seattle. Q. Are you adopting your testimony in Exhibit EVB-1T today under oath? A. I am. Q. Thank you, Captain von Brandenfels. We'll present the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So PMSA has indicated 	1services to the least lucrative routes; right?2A. That's among that's one of the many services3that we provide; yes.4Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's5monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots6cannot cherry-pick their jobs even for the most7undesirable vessel moves?8A. I believe that to be true.9Q. Yeah. How does PSP ensure that pilots not10cherry-pick their jobs?11A. Through a random rotation that is it's12last-in/first-out type of rotation on on either side13of the whether it's at the hub in Seattle or the hub14in Port Angeles. It's last-in/first-out or last out.15Q. So a licensed pilot can only do piloting a16piloting job in Puget Sound through getting an17assignment through PSP?18A. Yeah, that's correct.19Q. And pilots can't choose their own assignments?20A. Pilots cannot choose their own. It's through21random rotation. It's a strict random rotation.22Q. Thank you.23So no vessels should ever not be provided24service; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Mr. Fassburg, will you be handling cross for this witness? MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I will. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And would you like to introduce the witness? MR. FASSBURG: I would. ERIC VON BRANDENFELS, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain von Brandenfels, will you please state your full legal name and business address? A. Eric von Brandenfels. Puget Sound Pilots. Our address is Suite 200 Western Avenue in Seattle. Q. Are you adopting your testimony in Exhibit EVB-1T today under oath? A. I am. Q. Thank you, Captain von Brandenfels. We'll present the witness for cross-examination. 	 services to the least lucrative routes; right? A. That's among that's one of the many services that we provide; yes. Q. All right. So the principal benefit of PSP's monopoly to industry is that PSP ensures that pilots cannot cherry-pick their jobs even for the most undesirable vessel moves? A. I believe that to be true. Q. Yeah. How does PSP ensure that pilots not cherry-pick their jobs? A. Through a random rotation that is it's last-in/first-out type of rotation on on either side of the whether it's at the hub in Seattle or the hub in Port Angeles. It's last-in/first-out or last out. Q. So a licensed pilot can only do piloting a piloting job in Puget Sound through getting an assignment through PSP? A. Yeah, that's correct. Q. And pilots can't choose their own assignments? A. Pilots cannot choose their own. It's through random rotation. It's a strict random rotation. Q. Thank you. So no vessels should ever not be provided

11 (Pages 95 to 98)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 99		Page 101
1	should be able to get a pilot.	1	ultimately responsible for all PSP management issues; is
1 2	Q. So to confirm, the PSP monopoly provides service	1 2	that correct?
3	to every vessel does so to ensure that no vessel can	3	A. It seems that way, yes.
4	ever be denied service because of pilots cherry-picking	4	Q. But you don't set the watch rotation or assign
5	their jobs?	5	pilots to vessels; right?
6	A. That's correct.	6	A. I the dispatch handles the assigning the
7	Q. Would you agree that this is the central bargain	7	pilots to the vessels in strict random rotation.
8	of the compulsory pilotage monopoly of the state of	8	Q. So that's not your job; right?
9	Washington that no vessels can hire a pilot from a	9	A. To the vessels. I don't assign pilots to the
10	pilotage service that is not PSP, but that in turn, PSP	10	vessels; no. That's done by the dispatch.
11	must provide a pilotage service for all vessels without	11	Q. Who at PSP is in charge of the day-to-day? So
12	cherry-picking out those on unpopular or less lucrative	12	you're saying that's the dispatch. The daily assignment
13	routes?	13	of pilots; right?
14	A. One of the suite of things that the pilots	14	A. The shifts, yes.
15	provide; yes.	15	Q. Okay. And who's ultimately responsible for
16	Q. The PSP bylaws contain a noncompete section;	16	making an assignment decision? Is that the PSP
17	correct?	17	President and CEO or a dispatch employee?
18	A. I I believe so.	18	A. That would be that would be me. I would be
19	Q. And, in fact, that's Section 20. If I you	19	the ultimate, you know I would be the arbitrator of
20	want to refresh your memory on that	20	something other than a ship assignment.
21	A. I have it here if you don't mind me looking at	21	Q. How does that final assignment decision occur?
22	it.	22	A. The final ship assignment, or
23	Q. Of course.	23	Q. Correct. The final assignment decision. You
24	MS. DELAPPE: That's Exhibit EVB-5X at pages	24	just said that you're ultimately responsible.
25	22 to 23, if anyone else wants to look.	25	A. It goes into the the dispatch system, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Great. And, additionally, there are liquidated damages where a pilot agrees to forgo his or her equity in PSP and any benefit pension benefits, right, as part of that? A. 1 I believe that's what that says, yeah. Q. Yeah. Section 20.3? A. Okay. Q. So, that's correct. So you're the President and CEO of PSP; right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Should we understand that to mean that you are both the President of the Board of PSP and the Chief Executive ultimately responsible for the day-to-day operations of the organization? A. That's that's correct. Q. All right. You do not list the management of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 opportunity for rest between the assignment, then they move to the board where they can be assigned to a job after their appropriate rest. Q. They move to the board. What's A. It's on it's on a dispatch screen that we see. And they move into that rank in either above or below of someone that's finished assignment, either before them or after them. Q. And and when you say that you're ultimately responsible for making the assignment decision, where does that part come into play? A. If I were to remove a pilot from the board for a different assignment, then that would be where we, you know we would remove that pilot, assign them to a meeting, and then they would check in after that meeting and go back into rotation for moving shifts. If they were if they were in the rotation part of their duty
19	the Executive Director or the relationship between	19	cycle and not on on respite.
20	yourself as CEO and the PSP Executive Director in your	20	Q. Okay. Thank you.
21	testimony.	21	If you could turn to I'm going to ask you to
22	Can you please explain how you manage the	22	turn to Ivan Carlson Captain Carlson's testimony, the
23	Executive Director as President and CEO?	23	original testimony in this case, Exhibit IC-1T. And
24	A. I would say that we collaborate.	24	specifically page 3 in his testimony.
25	Q. Okay. But as President and CEO, you are	25	A. Can you bring that up? Was it loaded in my

12 (Pages 99 to 102)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 103		Page 105
1	cross exhibit list under a number?	1	pilots available to move the ships at the times for
2	Q. No. This is one of the exhibits that PSP filed	2	which the pilots are requested.
3	in November in this case.	3	Do you agree with Captain Carlson's description
4	A. Okay. So it wasn't in the cross-testimony	4	of the dispatch system here?
5	exhibit that you were going to file that I was to be	5	MR. FASSBURG: Objection. This question
6	aware of.	6	exceeds the scope of Captain von Brandenfels initial
7	Q. These all of our as we stated in our cover	7	testimony. The specific discussion of the board on
8	letter with the cross-exhibits, we also reserved the	8	arrival service and how PSP manages that was not in the
9	right to ask witnesses about other exhibits that had	9	testimony of Captain von Brandenfels. That question
10	been filed to avoid duplication.	10	would be better directed to Captain Carlson.
11	A. Okay. Well, we'll work on getting that in front	11	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, do you want to
12	of me now, unless you can screen share it.	12	respond?
13	Q. Thank you.	13	MS. DeLAPPE: I'm only asking whether, as
14	A. Is it page 3?	14	President of PSP, Captain von Brandenfels agrees with
15	Q. Yes, page 3 of that exhibit. Thank you.	15	this description of the dispatch system.
16	CHAIR DANNER: Ms. DeLappe, can you give us	16	JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I'll allow it.
17	that cite again? What Exhibit was it?	17	Mr. Von Brandenfels, it's just a yes-or-no
18	MS. DeLAPPE: Certainly, it's Exhibit IC-1T,	18	question.
19	that's for Ivan Carlson, IC.	19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	JUDGE PEARSON: And what page reference are	20	BY MS. DeLAPPE:
21	you on?	21	Q. Thank you.
22	MS. DeLAPPE: Page 3, and specifically I'm	22	Do you agree with Captain Carlson that running
23	looking at starting at line 16.	23	the PSP pilotage service requires constant proactive
24	BY MS. DELAPPE:	24	management of PSP's assets to ensure we have pilots
25	Q. And I will go ahead and read the answers that	25	available in the places they are needed at the time they
	Page 104		Page 106
_	-		
1	Captain Carlson provided there.	1	are needed?
2	He said that providing board on approval service	2	A. 24/7, 365.
3	is a monumental task that requires constant proactive		
		3	Q. Thank you.
4	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots	4	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately
5	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time	4 5	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which
5 6	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time they are needed. There are a number of components to	4 5 6	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which ensures and monitors pilot availability for assignment?
5 6 7	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time they are needed. There are a number of components to that active management that are required to move vessels	4 5 6 7	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which ensures and monitors pilot availability for assignment? A. Yes, it feels that way.
5 6 7 8	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time they are needed. There are a number of components to that active management that are required to move vessels on time. The most important component of providing	4 5 6 7 8	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which ensures and monitors pilot availability for assignment? A. Yes, it feels that way. Q. Can an on-watch PSP pilot refuse a job when
5 6 7 8 9	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time they are needed. There are a number of components to that active management that are required to move vessels on time. The most important component of providing board on arrival services is service excuse me	4 5 6 7 8 9	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which ensures and monitors pilot availability for assignment? A. Yes, it feels that way. Q. Can an on-watch PSP pilot refuse a job when assigned?
5 6 7 8 9 10	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time they are needed. There are a number of components to that active management that are required to move vessels on time. The most important component of providing board on arrival services is service excuse me is having an adequate number of pilots licensed in the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which ensures and monitors pilot availability for assignment? A. Yes, it feels that way. Q. Can an on-watch PSP pilot refuse a job when assigned? A. Yes, they can.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time they are needed. There are a number of components to that active management that are required to move vessels on time. The most important component of providing board on arrival services is service excuse me is having an adequate number of pilots licensed in the pilotage district so that PSP can dispatch a rested	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which ensures and monitors pilot availability for assignment? A. Yes, it feels that way. Q. Can an on-watch PSP pilot refuse a job when assigned? A. Yes, they can. Q. So an individual cannot choose when they are
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time they are needed. There are a number of components to that active management that are required to move vessels on time. The most important component of providing board on arrival services is service excuse me is having an adequate number of pilots licensed in the pilotage district so that PSP can dispatch a rested pilot when requested by the ship operator. We also	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which ensures and monitors pilot availability for assignment? A. Yes, it feels that way. Q. Can an on-watch PSP pilot refuse a job when assigned? A. Yes, they can. Q. So an individual cannot choose when they are – when they are or are not available, but they can refuse
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time they are needed. There are a number of components to that active management that are required to move vessels on time. The most important component of providing board on arrival services is service excuse me is having an adequate number of pilots licensed in the pilotage district so that PSP can dispatch a rested pilot when requested by the ship operator. We also engage our dispatchers to constantly monitor expected	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which ensures and monitors pilot availability for assignment? A. Yes, it feels that way. Q. Can an on-watch PSP pilot refuse a job when assigned? A. Yes, they can. Q. So an individual cannot choose when they are – when they are or are not available, but they can refuse an assignment.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time they are needed. There are a number of components to that active management that are required to move vessels on time. The most important component of providing board on arrival services is service excuse me is having an adequate number of pilots licensed in the pilotage district so that PSP can dispatch a rested pilot when requested by the ship operator. We also engage our dispatchers to constantly monitor expected jobs and pilot availability in order to ensure we will	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which ensures and monitors pilot availability for assignment? A. Yes, it feels that way. Q. Can an on-watch PSP pilot refuse a job when assigned? A. Yes, they can. Q. So an individual cannot choose when they are when they are or are not available, but they can refuse an assignment. Can you explain that?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time they are needed. There are a number of components to that active management that are required to move vessels on time. The most important component of providing board on arrival services is service excuse me is having an adequate number of pilots licensed in the pilotage district so that PSP can dispatch a rested pilot when requested by the ship operator. We also engage our dispatchers to constantly monitor expected jobs and pilot availability in order to ensure we will have sufficient pilots available at the times for which	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which ensures and monitors pilot availability for assignment? A. Yes, it feels that way. Q. Can an on-watch PSP pilot refuse a job when assigned? A. Yes, they can. Q. So an individual cannot choose when they are when they are or are not available, but they can refuse an assignment. Can you explain that? A. If there's an emergency situation, and they
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time they are needed. There are a number of components to that active management that are required to move vessels on time. The most important component of providing board on arrival services is service excuse me is having an adequate number of pilots licensed in the pilotage district so that PSP can dispatch a rested pilot when requested by the ship operator. We also engage our dispatchers to constantly monitor expected jobs and pilot availability in order to ensure we will have sufficient pilots available at the times for which the shipping agents order a pilot. Keeping ships moving	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which ensures and monitors pilot availability for assignment? A. Yes, it feels that way. Q. Can an on-watch PSP pilot refuse a job when assigned? A. Yes, they can. Q. So an individual cannot choose when they are when they are or are not available, but they can refuse an assignment. Can you explain that? A. If there's an emergency situation, and they can't and they can't make the assignment, they can
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time they are needed. There are a number of components to that active management that are required to move vessels on time. The most important component of providing board on arrival services is service excuse me is having an adequate number of pilots licensed in the pilotage district so that PSP can dispatch a rested pilot when requested by the ship operator. We also engage our dispatchers to constantly monitor expected jobs and pilot availability in order to ensure we will have sufficient pilots available at the times for which the shipping agents order a pilot. Keeping ships moving on time also requires that we reposition pilots to and	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which ensures and monitors pilot availability for assignment? A. Yes, it feels that way. Q. Can an on-watch PSP pilot refuse a job when assigned? A. Yes, they can. Q. So an individual cannot choose when they are when they are or are not available, but they can refuse an assignment. Can you explain that? A. If there's an emergency situation, and they can't and they can't make the assignment, they can refuse the job. They have been allowed to to do that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time they are needed. There are a number of components to that active management that are required to move vessels on time. The most important component of providing board on arrival services is service excuse me is having an adequate number of pilots licensed in the pilotage district so that PSP can dispatch a rested pilot when requested by the ship operator. We also engage our dispatchers to constantly monitor expected jobs and pilot availability in order to ensure we will have sufficient pilots available at the times for which the shipping agents order a pilot. Keeping ships moving on time also requires that we reposition pilots to and from the pilot station in Port Angeles to match demand	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which ensures and monitors pilot availability for assignment? A. Yes, it feels that way. Q. Can an on-watch PSP pilot refuse a job when assigned? A. Yes, they can. Q. So an individual cannot choose when they are when they are or are not available, but they can refuse an assignment. Can you explain that? A. If there's an emergency situation, and they can't and they can't make the assignment, they can refuse the job. They have been allowed to to do that through recent Board of Pilotage Commission adjustment
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time they are needed. There are a number of components to that active management that are required to move vessels on time. The most important component of providing board on arrival services is service excuse me is having an adequate number of pilots licensed in the pilotage district so that PSP can dispatch a rested pilot when requested by the ship operator. We also engage our dispatchers to constantly monitor expected jobs and pilot availability in order to ensure we will have sufficient pilots available at the times for which the shipping agents order a pilot. Keeping ships moving on time also requires that we reposition pilots to and from the pilot station in Port Angeles to match demand wherever it may be.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which ensures and monitors pilot availability for assignment? A. Yes, it feels that way. Q. Can an on-watch PSP pilot refuse a job when assigned? A. Yes, they can. Q. So an individual cannot choose when they are – when they are or are not available, but they can refuse an assignment. Can you explain that? A. If there's an emergency situation, and they can't and they can't make the assignment, they can refuse the job. They have been allowed to to do that through recent Board of Pilotage Commission adjustment that says if, you know, they are fatigued, they can't do
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time they are needed. There are a number of components to that active management that are required to move vessels on time. The most important component of providing board on arrival services is service excuse me is having an adequate number of pilots licensed in the pilotage district so that PSP can dispatch a rested pilot when requested by the ship operator. We also engage our dispatchers to constantly monitor expected jobs and pilot availability in order to ensure we will have sufficient pilots available at the times for which the shipping agents order a pilot. Keeping ships moving on time also requires that we reposition pilots to and from the pilot station in Port Angeles to match demand wherever it may be. And in the continuing again on line 5 of that	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which ensures and monitors pilot availability for assignment? A. Yes, it feels that way. Q. Can an on-watch PSP pilot refuse a job when assigned? A. Yes, they can. Q. So an individual cannot choose when they are – when they are or are not available, but they can refuse an assignment. Can you explain that? A. If there's an emergency situation, and they can't and they can't make the assignment, they can refuse the job. They have been allowed to to do that through recent Board of Pilotage Commission adjustment that says if, you know, they are fatigued, they can't do it.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time they are needed. There are a number of components to that active management that are required to move vessels on time. The most important component of providing board on arrival services is service excuse me is having an adequate number of pilots licensed in the pilotage district so that PSP can dispatch a rested pilot when requested by the ship operator. We also engage our dispatchers to constantly monitor expected jobs and pilot availability in order to ensure we will have sufficient pilots available at the times for which the shipping agents order a pilot. Keeping ships moving on time also requires that we reposition pilots to and from the pilot station in Port Angeles to match demand wherever it may be. And in the continuing again on line 5 of that second page. Although the vessel's schedule determines	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which ensures and monitors pilot availability for assignment? A. Yes, it feels that way. Q. Can an on-watch PSP pilot refuse a job when assigned? A. Yes, they can. Q. So an individual cannot choose when they are when they are or are not available, but they can refuse an assignment. Can you explain that? A. If there's an emergency situation, and they can't and they can't make the assignment, they can refuse the job. They have been allowed to to do that through recent Board of Pilotage Commission adjustment that says if, you know, they are fatigued, they can't do it. Recently, we've had some COVID issues that have
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time they are needed. There are a number of components to that active management that are required to move vessels on time. The most important component of providing board on arrival services is service excuse me is having an adequate number of pilots licensed in the pilotage district so that PSP can dispatch a rested pilot when requested by the ship operator. We also engage our dispatchers to constantly monitor expected jobs and pilot availability in order to ensure we will have sufficient pilots available at the times for which the shipping agents order a pilot. Keeping ships moving on time also requires that we reposition pilots to and from the pilot station in Port Angeles to match demand wherever it may be. And in the continuing again on line 5 of that second page. Although the vessel's schedule determines the timing of vessel movement, PSP relies upon an	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which ensures and monitors pilot availability for assignment? A. Yes, it feels that way. Q. Can an on-watch PSP pilot refuse a job when assigned? A. Yes, they can. Q. So an individual cannot choose when they are when they are or are not available, but they can refuse an assignment. Can you explain that? A. If there's an emergency situation, and they can't and they can't make the assignment, they can refuse the job. They have been allowed to to do that through recent Board of Pilotage Commission adjustment that says if, you know, they are fatigued, they can't do it. Recently, we've had some COVID issues that have had pilots, you know, be able to refuse a job because
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time they are needed. There are a number of components to that active management that are required to move vessels on time. The most important component of providing board on arrival services is service excuse me is having an adequate number of pilots licensed in the pilotage district so that PSP can dispatch a rested pilot when requested by the ship operator. We also engage our dispatchers to constantly monitor expected jobs and pilot availability in order to ensure we will have sufficient pilots available at the times for which the shipping agents order a pilot. Keeping ships moving on time also requires that we reposition pilots to and from the pilot station in Port Angeles to match demand wherever it may be. And in the continuing again on line 5 of that second page. Although the vessel's schedule determines the timing of vessel movement, PSP relies upon an ordering system that gives PSP advanced notice of the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which ensures and monitors pilot availability for assignment? A. Yes, it feels that way. Q. Can an on-watch PSP pilot refuse a job when assigned? A. Yes, they can. Q. So an individual cannot choose when they are when they are or are not available, but they can refuse an assignment. Can you explain that? A. If there's an emergency situation, and they can't and they can't make the assignment, they can refuse the job. They have been allowed to to do that through recent Board of Pilotage Commission adjustment that says if, you know, they are fatigued, they can't do it. Recently, we've had some COVID issues that have had pilots, you know, be able to refuse a job because they have been exposed to the virus and not want to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	management of PSP's assets to ensure that we have pilots available in the places that they are needed at the time they are needed. There are a number of components to that active management that are required to move vessels on time. The most important component of providing board on arrival services is service excuse me is having an adequate number of pilots licensed in the pilotage district so that PSP can dispatch a rested pilot when requested by the ship operator. We also engage our dispatchers to constantly monitor expected jobs and pilot availability in order to ensure we will have sufficient pilots available at the times for which the shipping agents order a pilot. Keeping ships moving on time also requires that we reposition pilots to and from the pilot station in Port Angeles to match demand wherever it may be. And in the continuing again on line 5 of that second page. Although the vessel's schedule determines the timing of vessel movement, PSP relies upon an	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And as CEO of PSP, are you ultimately responsible for the management of this system which ensures and monitors pilot availability for assignment? A. Yes, it feels that way. Q. Can an on-watch PSP pilot refuse a job when assigned? A. Yes, they can. Q. So an individual cannot choose when they are when they are or are not available, but they can refuse an assignment. Can you explain that? A. If there's an emergency situation, and they can't and they can't make the assignment, they can refuse the job. They have been allowed to to do that through recent Board of Pilotage Commission adjustment that says if, you know, they are fatigued, they can't do it. Recently, we've had some COVID issues that have had pilots, you know, be able to refuse a job because

13 (Pages 103 to 106)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 107		Page 109
1	Q. So aside from those exceptions, an individual	1	would be good.
2	cannot choose when or when they are not available	2	BY MS. DeLAPPE:
3	when they are available or not available; right?	3	Q. So if you can look at page 25, there's Rule 23
4	A. Aside from those exceptions can you say that	4	at the bottom of the page.
5	again?	5	A. Okay.
6	Q. So you listed the exceptions: emergency,	6	Q. Yeah. Sorry. One page off. So just to
7	fatigue, and COVID issues. An individual pilot cannot	7	confirm, that allows you to penalize a pilot on-watch
8	choose when they are or are not available with the	8	who refuses to do a job rotation; right?
9	exception of those issues?	9	A. Yes, that's that's without good and just
10	A. They can choose when they are or not available,	10	cause.
11	yes. That's true, they can.	11	Q. Right.
12	Q. They can choose.	12	A. So it is not just it's not just because we
13	A. They can choose, right, by having the out of	13	can.
14	being, you know, an issue that prohibits them from	14	Q. Good. So you wouldn't penalize a fatigued
15	physically being able to show up.	15	pilot, for example, who let you know that they are too
16	Q. And that would be that out would be if	16	fatigued to complete a job safely?
17	there's an emergency or a fatigue or a COVID issue;	17	A. Should any member without good and just cause
18	correct?	18	fail to comply with one or more of these operating
19	A. Yeah. Along those lines, yes.	19	rules, they can be fined.
20	Q. Okay. If it's not one of those, the pilot can't	20	Q. Okay. So I'm understanding that correctly? If
21	choose, cannot choose?	21	a pilot is too fatigued, you would not penalize them for
22	A. Right.	22	not completing the job?
23	Q. Okay. Would you expect a pilot to let you know	23	A. That's correct.
24	if they were so fatigued as to not be able to complete a	24	Q. Would it matter to you in deciding to penalize a
25	job?	25	pilot who's too fatigued to complete a job safely based
	Page 108		Page 110
1	A. Yes.	1	on whether or not the next pilot to take the job was on
2	Q. And would you consider it a duty of the pilot to	2	watch and next on rotation or coming in for from a
3	let you know if they were so fatigued as to not be able	3	callback for a callback? Would that matter to you?
4	to complete a job?	4	A. Well, if the pilot that's number one on the
5	A. Pilots aren't the best judges of their own	5	board that's ready to be assigned to the next job had
6	fatigue. But when they would be able to do so, I would	6	had his adequate rest, then that would be the pilot that
7	expect they would.	7	would take the place of the pilot that was fatigued.
8	Q. Okay. So if you could turn to you might want	8	Q. Uh-huh. So that would not play any role in
9	to maybe we can do this without turning to it. But	9	whether you decide to penalize the pilot who's too
10	the PSP operating rules, they allow you to and that's	10	fatigued?
11	Exhibit EVB-6X, and I'm specifically looking at page 26.	11	A. I can't think of any reason why, no.
12	A. Okay. I got it right here.	12	Q. Okay. Mr. Tabler's testimony in this case says
13	26, in the operating rules?	13	that pilots who are on duty but fatigued can take a day
14	Q. Yes, page 26 of that exhibit.	14	off without financial penalty because of the callback
15	A. Which operating rule number is that that you are	15	system.
16	referring to?	16	So based on your previous answer, would you
17	Q. If you look at page 26, you'll see that there's	17	agree that a fatigued pilot would not be penalized
18	a provision on that page that allows you to penalize a	18	whether there was a callback involved to cover his
19	pilot on-watch who refuses to do a job in rotation. Let	19	rotation or not?
20	me put on my glasses so I can see the exhibit myself.	20	A. In the light of the recent Board of Pilotage
21	JUDGE PEARSON: Just when	21	Commission adjustment to their was it a policy or the
22	MR. FASSBURG: For clarification,	22	RCW? I can't recall. But it was it addressed that
23	Ms. DeLappe, can you give him the page again because	23	and pilots use those callback days when they are
24	he's looking for that and can't find it.	24	fatigued so that they don't have to make that call.
25	THE WITNESS: The operating rule number	25	Q. Right. So your decision about whether there is

14 (Pages 107 to 110)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 111		Page 113
1	a penalty on the pilot who's fatigued is completely	1	MR. FASSBURG: Would you mind repeating that
2	separate from whether the pilot who's top on the list is	2	exhibit one more time?
3	coming in for whether there's a callback involved?	3	MS. DeLAPPE: Yes. Excuse me. EVB-10X, and
4	A. Ultimately, the safety of the vessel and the	4	I thought that this was I'm looking for the response
5	pilot are the first the first priority.	5	to data request 186. It looks like I have maybe got
6	Q. So your penalty decision does not involve	6	that page wrong. Just a minute. 186. Excuse me.
7	whether there's a callback involved to cover that pilot?	7	That's the very last part of this Exhibit 10X.
8	A. No.	8	THE WITNESS: At Exhibit 10.
9	Q. Okay. Would it be responsible for pilots who	9	MR. FASSBURG: This is Walt Tabler's
10	are truly fatigued to condition their notice of fatigue	10	response to request 186.
11	based on whether other pilots are working on-watch or	11	MS. DELAPPE: Correct.
12	off-watch?	12	MR. FASSBURG: I'm going to make the same
13	A. No.	13	objection.
14	Q. And would you expect that pilot to only tell you	14	MS. DeLAPPE: Correct. I just wanted to put
15	where they were fatigued so some other pilot could get a	15	this in front of everyone so that it's clear that we are
16	callback?	16	continuing just the line of questions about the
17	A. That wouldn't be that wouldn't be something I	17	financial penalty and fatigue issues and assignment
18	would expect, no.	18	management issues.
19	Q. Is it your experience that pilots claim to be	19	JUDGE PEARSON: I'm going to allow it. Go
20	fatigued only so some other pilot can get a callback	20	ahead.
21	day?	21	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you.
22	A. No.	22	BY MS. DeLAPPE:
23	Q. No.	23	Q. So, Captain von Brandenfels, would you disagree
24	So would pilots knowingly work fatigued under a	24	with the statement that the pilots might feel compelled
25	fear of penalty?	25	to accept an assignment even when ill or fatigued to
	Page 112		Page 114
1	A. Would pilots knowingly work fatigued? No.	1	avoid application of that penalty unless they knew that
2	Q. Thank you.	2	another pilot was able to cover the job with a callback?
3	So according to Mr. Tabler, because of PSP's	3	A. So can you restate so let me let me
4	operating rules which penalize on-duty pilots for	4	restate your question.
5	refusing an assignment that pilots might feel compelled	5	So you think that I that if a pilot wasn't
6 7	to accept, even when ill or fatigued, to avoid the application of that penalty, unless they knew another	7	didn't feel rested and ready for the assignment, that they would go ahead and do it if they thought another
8	pilot was able to cover a job with a callback, would you	8	pilot wasn't able to cover the job; is that what you
9	say that you disagree with Mr. Tabler on that point?	9	said?
10	MR. FASSBURG: I'm going to go ahead and	10	Q. If another yeah, to cover the job with a
11	object. Captain von Brandenfels did not testify on this	11	callback and if they thought that they would have a
12	subject matter. This is outside the scope of his	12	financial penalty because of that.
13	initial testimony.	13	A. That's a it's hard for me to get inside of
14	MS. DeLAPPE: So I if I may, I'd like to	14	another pilot's mind. I can't answer that. I don't
15	ask	15	have an opinion on that.
16	JUDGE PEARSON: Yes, you may.	16	Q. And, Captain von Brandenfels, earlier you said
17	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I'd like to ask	17	safety of the vessel is and everyone is first;
18	Captain von Brandenfels to go ahead and turn to Exhibit	18	correct?
19	EVB-10X, 31.	19	A. Yeah, but I can't I can't I don't know
20	JUDGE PEARSON: I'm sorry. You gave two	20	there's 50 different pilots. I can't I can't speak
			on behalf of 50 different pilots.
21	numbers.		
21 22	numbers. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you, 10X. page 31.	21 22	
	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. 10X, page 31.	1	Q. Okay. So how would a PSP dispatcher know if a
22	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. 10X, page 31. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.	22	Q. Okay. So how would a PSP dispatcher know if a pilot is fatigued to take a pilotage job?
22 23	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. 10X, page 31.	22 23	Q. Okay. So how would a PSP dispatcher know if a

15 (Pages 111 to 114)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 115		Page 117
1	A. There's no fatigue breathalyzer. There's no	1	we are the only people in it that have live experience
2	fatigue test you can do. The pilot was fatigued.	2	day-to-day moving large vessels on Puget Sound that run
3	There's there's no there's no way you would know.	3	the risk of grounding, oil spill, collision, loss of
4	There's no way another person could know if another	4	life, damage of property. So if we're not at those
5	person that they are not in the room with is fatigued.	5	meetings, that position is not being brought forth to
6	Q. So you really rely on the pilots to self-report	6	that community.
7	to the dispatcher; is that right?	7	Q. So my
8	A. You rely on the pilot to yeah. It's his	8	A. We're not going to meetings where we're planning
9	responsibility that one of the many responsibilities	9	a bake sale or a golf tournament. These are meetings
10	that a pilot has.	10	that are in the in the interest of the public.
11	Q. Great. Thank you.	11	Q. Thank you.
12	And how does a PSP dispatcher know whether an	12	My my only question there is that you're the
13	individual pilot is available for an assignment while on	13	one who ultimately has authority to suspend any meetings
14	watch?	14	and decide which ones are essential except for the BPC
15	A. Well, they don't call them until they've	15	commissioner meetings which are essential, obviously?
16	completed their respite. I mean, the rest cycle of	16	A. I probably would not suspend a meeting with the
17	their assign. You know, they are finished with their	17	UTC as well.
18	assignment. They have done their travel. And then they	18	Q. Thank you. Good.
19	have got their rest, their 10-hour rest. Then they are	19	Is it possible for the president or dispatch to
20	available to be assigned. And after that, they get	20	sometimes not have enough pilots available to cover the
21	called.	21	number of vessels that need a pilot?
22	Q. Right. And you mentioned earlier that you have	22	A. Is it possible?
23	the authority to determine whether on-watch pilots	23	Q. Uh-huh.
24	attend meetings.	24	A. It happens all the time.
25	A. That's correct.	25	Q. How does the president or dispatch know that you
	Page 116		Page 118
1	Q. Does anyone else have that authority?		
		1	will not have enough pilots available in this situation?
2	A. I believe it's invested in me.	$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	will not have enough pilots available in this situation? A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of
2 3	A. I believe it's invested in me.	1 2 3	A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of
		2	A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available
3	A. I believe it's invested in me.Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever	2 3	A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of
3 4	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the 	2 3 4	A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch.
3 4 5	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the day-to-day task of approving when other assignments, 	2 3 4 5	 A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch. Q. What metrics or measurements do you use to
3 4 5 6	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the day-to-day task of approving when other assignments, like meetings, are undertaken by a pilot? 	2 3 4 5 6	 A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch. Q. What metrics or measurements do you use to measure pilot availability and institute a callback
3 4 5 6 7	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the day-to-day task of approving when other assignments, like meetings, are undertaken by a pilot? A. Nope. That's all on the president. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch. Q. What metrics or measurements do you use to measure pilot availability and institute a callback prior to that lack of availability impacting vessel
3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the day-to-day task of approving when other assignments, like meetings, are undertaken by a pilot? A. Nope. That's all on the president. Q. So the president, ultimately, has authority to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch. Q. What metrics or measurements do you use to measure pilot availability and institute a callback prior to that lack of availability impacting vessel movement?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the day-to-day task of approving when other assignments, like meetings, are undertaken by a pilot? A. Nope. That's all on the president. Q. So the president, ultimately, has authority to choose when someone is at a meeting instead of being available to be assigned to a vessel? A. That's correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch. Q. What metrics or measurements do you use to measure pilot availability and institute a callback prior to that lack of availability impacting vessel movement? A. When when there's not a rested pilot, or a pilot in rotation that can move that job, there the screen that shows the pilots in rotation won't fill in.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the day-to-day task of approving when other assignments, like meetings, are undertaken by a pilot? A. Nope. That's all on the president. Q. So the president, ultimately, has authority to choose when someone is at a meeting instead of being available to be assigned to a vessel? A. That's correct. Q. Does the president ultimately have authority to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch. Q. What metrics or measurements do you use to measure pilot availability and institute a callback prior to that lack of availability impacting vessel movement? A. When when there's not a rested pilot, or a pilot in rotation that can move that job, there the screen that shows the pilots in rotation won't fill in. It's a it's a program we have, a dispatching tool.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the day-to-day task of approving when other assignments, like meetings, are undertaken by a pilot? A. Nope. That's all on the president. Q. So the president, ultimately, has authority to choose when someone is at a meeting instead of being available to be assigned to a vessel? A. That's correct. Q. Does the president ultimately have authority to suspend all meetings other than for BP BPC 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch. Q. What metrics or measurements do you use to measure pilot availability and institute a callback prior to that lack of availability impacting vessel movement? A. When when there's not a rested pilot, or a pilot in rotation that can move that job, there the screen that shows the pilots in rotation won't fill in. It's a it's a program we have, a dispatching tool. And so it leaves a gap. And so when there's a gap
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the day-to-day task of approving when other assignments, like meetings, are undertaken by a pilot? A. Nope. That's all on the president. Q. So the president, ultimately, has authority to choose when someone is at a meeting instead of being available to be assigned to a vessel? A. That's correct. Q. Does the president ultimately have authority to suspend all meetings other than for BP BPC Commissioners to make pilots available to be assigned to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch. Q. What metrics or measurements do you use to measure pilot availability and institute a callback prior to that lack of availability impacting vessel movement? A. When when there's not a rested pilot, or a pilot in rotation that can move that job, there the screen that shows the pilots in rotation won't fill in. It's a it's a program we have, a dispatching tool. And so it leaves a gap. And so when there's a gap there, that needs to be filled by an a pilot that's
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the day-to-day task of approving when other assignments, like meetings, are undertaken by a pilot? A. Nope. That's all on the president. Q. So the president, ultimately, has authority to choose when someone is at a meeting instead of being available to be assigned to a vessel? A. That's correct. Q. Does the president ultimately have authority to suspend all meetings other than for BP – BPC Commissioners to make pilots available to be assigned to a vessel? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch. Q. What metrics or measurements do you use to measure pilot availability and institute a callback prior to that lack of availability impacting vessel movement? A. When when there's not a rested pilot, or a pilot in rotation that can move that job, there the screen that shows the pilots in rotation won't fill in. It's a it's a program we have, a dispatching tool. And so it leaves a gap. And so when there's a gap there, that needs to be filled by an a pilot that's on their respite, which means they have done their
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the day-to-day task of approving when other assignments, like meetings, are undertaken by a pilot? A. Nope. That's all on the president. Q. So the president, ultimately, has authority to choose when someone is at a meeting instead of being available to be assigned to a vessel? A. That's correct. Q. Does the president ultimately have authority to suspend all meetings other than for BP BPC Commissioners to make pilots available to be assigned to a vessel? A. We do for internal meetings, and that's done 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch. Q. What metrics or measurements do you use to measure pilot availability and institute a callback prior to that lack of availability impacting vessel movement? A. When when there's not a rested pilot, or a pilot in rotation that can move that job, there the screen that shows the pilots in rotation won't fill in. It's a it's a program we have, a dispatching tool. And so it leaves a gap. And so when there's a gap there, that needs to be filled by an a pilot that's on their respite, which means they have done their their duty time. And now they are on their earned
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the day-to-day task of approving when other assignments, like meetings, are undertaken by a pilot? A. Nope. That's all on the president. Q. So the president, ultimately, has authority to choose when someone is at a meeting instead of being available to be assigned to a vessel? A. That's correct. Q. Does the president ultimately have authority to suspend all meetings other than for BP BPC Commissioners to make pilots available to be assigned to a vessel? A. We do for internal meetings, and that's done regularly. When we see a surge of traffic, we will 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch. Q. What metrics or measurements do you use to measure pilot availability and institute a callback prior to that lack of availability impacting vessel movement? A. When when there's not a rested pilot, or a pilot in rotation that can move that job, there the screen that shows the pilots in rotation won't fill in. It's a it's a program we have, a dispatching tool. And so it leaves a gap. And so when there's a gap there, that needs to be filled by an a pilot that's on their respite, which means they have done their their duty time. And now they are on their earned respite. And then they get called from a list of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the day-to-day task of approving when other assignments, like meetings, are undertaken by a pilot? A. Nope. That's all on the president. Q. So the president, ultimately, has authority to choose when someone is at a meeting instead of being available to be assigned to a vessel? A. That's correct. Q. Does the president ultimately have authority to suspend all meetings other than for BP BPC Commissioners to make pilots available to be assigned to a vessel? A. We do for internal meetings, and that's done regularly. When we see a surge of traffic, we will suspend or reschedule an internal meeting. But our 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch. Q. What metrics or measurements do you use to measure pilot availability and institute a callback prior to that lack of availability impacting vessel movement? A. When when there's not a rested pilot, or a pilot in rotation that can move that job, there the screen that shows the pilots in rotation won't fill in. It's a it's a program we have, a dispatching tool. And so it leaves a gap. And so when there's a gap there, that needs to be filled by an a pilot that's on their respite, which means they have done their their duty time. And now they are on their earned respite. And then they get called from a list of available pilots that are not in rotation for that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the day-to-day task of approving when other assignments, like meetings, are undertaken by a pilot? A. Nope. That's all on the president. Q. So the president, ultimately, has authority to choose when someone is at a meeting instead of being available to be assigned to a vessel? A. That's correct. Q. Does the president ultimately have authority to suspend all meetings other than for BP BPC Commissioners to make pilots available to be assigned to a vessel? A. We do for internal meetings, and that's done regularly. When we see a surge of traffic, we will suspend or reschedule an internal meeting. But our meetings that we've been invited to that have been, you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch. Q. What metrics or measurements do you use to measure pilot availability and institute a callback prior to that lack of availability impacting vessel movement? A. When when there's not a rested pilot, or a pilot in rotation that can move that job, there the screen that shows the pilots in rotation won't fill in. It's a it's a program we have, a dispatching tool. And so it leaves a gap. And so when there's a gap there, that needs to be filled by an a pilot that's on their respite, which means they have done their their duty time. And now they are on their earned respite. And then they get called from a list of available pilots that are not in rotation for that 15-day period. That are those pilots are being
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the day-to-day task of approving when other assignments, like meetings, are undertaken by a pilot? A. Nope. That's all on the president. Q. So the president, ultimately, has authority to choose when someone is at a meeting instead of being available to be assigned to a vessel? A. That's correct. Q. Does the president ultimately have authority to suspend all meetings other than for BP BPC Commissioners to make pilots available to be assigned to a vessel? A. We do for internal meetings, and that's done regularly. When we see a surge of traffic, we will suspend or reschedule an internal meeting. But our meetings that we've been invited to that have been, you know, like you say, Board of Pilotage Commission or area 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch. Q. What metrics or measurements do you use to measure pilot availability and institute a callback prior to that lack of availability impacting vessel movement? A. When when there's not a rested pilot, or a pilot in rotation that can move that job, there the screen that shows the pilots in rotation won't fill in. It's a it's a program we have, a dispatching tool. And so it leaves a gap. And so when there's a gap there, that needs to be filled by an a pilot that's on their respite, which means they have done their their duty time. And now they are on their earned respite. And then they get called from a list of available pilots that are not in rotation for that 15-day period. That are those pilots are being called back from their 13-day respite.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the day-to-day task of approving when other assignments, like meetings, are undertaken by a pilot? A. Nope. That's all on the president. Q. So the president, ultimately, has authority to choose when someone is at a meeting instead of being available to be assigned to a vessel? A. That's correct. Q. Does the president ultimately have authority to suspend all meetings other than for BP BPC Commissioners to make pilots available to be assigned to a vessel? A. We do for internal meetings, and that's done regularly. When we see a surge of traffic, we will suspend or reschedule an internal meeting. But our meetings that we've been invited to that have been, you know, like you say, Board of Pilotage Commission or area maritime security or harbor safety where we've been a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch. Q. What metrics or measurements do you use to measure pilot availability and institute a callback prior to that lack of availability impacting vessel movement? A. When when there's not a rested pilot, or a pilot in rotation that can move that job, there the screen that shows the pilots in rotation won't fill in. It's a it's a program we have, a dispatching tool. And so it leaves a gap. And so when there's a gap there, that needs to be filled by an a pilot that's on their respite, which means they have done their their duty time. And now they are on their earned respite. And then they get called from a list of available pilots that are not in rotation for that 15-day period. That are those pilots are being called back from their 13-day respite. Q. Uh-huh. So it's when the screen from that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the day-to-day task of approving when other assignments, like meetings, are undertaken by a pilot? A. Nope. That's all on the president. Q. So the president, ultimately, has authority to choose when someone is at a meeting instead of being available to be assigned to a vessel? A. That's correct. Q. Does the president ultimately have authority to suspend all meetings other than for BP BPC Commissioners to make pilots available to be assigned to a vessel? A. We do for internal meetings, and that's done regularly. When we see a surge of traffic, we will suspend or reschedule an internal meeting. But our meetings that we've been invited to that have been, you know, like you say, Board of Pilotage Commission or area maritime security or harbor safety where we've been a part of that community in good standing for a long time, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch. Q. What metrics or measurements do you use to measure pilot availability and institute a callback prior to that lack of availability impacting vessel movement? A. When when there's not a rested pilot, or a pilot in rotation that can move that job, there the screen that shows the pilots in rotation won't fill in. It's a it's a program we have, a dispatching tool. And so it leaves a gap. And so when there's a gap there, that needs to be filled by an a pilot that's on their respite, which means they have done their their duty time. And now they are on their earned respite. And then they get called from a list of available pilots that are not in rotation for that 15-day period. That are those pilots are being called back from their 13-day respite. Q. Uh-huh. So it's when the screen from that program shows the gap. That's when you create a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the day-to-day task of approving when other assignments, like meetings, are undertaken by a pilot? A. Nope. That's all on the president. Q. So the president, ultimately, has authority to choose when someone is at a meeting instead of being available to be assigned to a vessel? A. That's correct. Q. Does the president ultimately have authority to suspend all meetings other than for BP BPC Commissioners to make pilots available to be assigned to a vessel? A. We do for internal meetings, and that's done regularly. When we see a surge of traffic, we will suspend or reschedule an internal meeting. But our meetings that we've been invited to that have been, you know, like you say, Board of Pilotage Commission or area maritime security or harbor safety where we've been a part of that community in good standing for a long time, and those are those are meetings that all deal with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch. Q. What metrics or measurements do you use to measure pilot availability and institute a callback prior to that lack of availability impacting vessel movement? A. When when there's not a rested pilot, or a pilot in rotation that can move that job, there the screen that shows the pilots in rotation won't fill in. It's a it's a program we have, a dispatching tool. And so it leaves a gap. And so when there's a gap there, that needs to be filled by an a pilot that's on their respite, which means they have done their their duty time. And now they are on their earned respite. And then they get called from a list of available pilots that are not in rotation for that 15-day period. That are those pilots are being called back from their 13-day respite. Q. Uh-huh. So it's when the screen from that program shows the gap. That's when you create a callback to service a vessel.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the day-to-day task of approving when other assignments, like meetings, are undertaken by a pilot? A. Nope. That's all on the president. Q. So the president, ultimately, has authority to choose when someone is at a meeting instead of being available to be assigned to a vessel? A. That's correct. Q. Does the president ultimately have authority to suspend all meetings other than for BP BPC Commissioners to make pilots available to be assigned to a vessel? A. We do for internal meetings, and that's done regularly. When we see a surge of traffic, we will suspend or reschedule an internal meeting. But our meetings that we've been invited to that have been, you know, like you say, Board of Pilotage Commission or area maritime security or harbor safety where we've been a part of that community in good standing for a long time, and those are those are meetings that all deal with oil spill prevention and security of our country and our 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch. Q. What metrics or measurements do you use to measure pilot availability and institute a callback prior to that lack of availability impacting vessel movement? A. When when there's not a rested pilot, or a pilot in rotation that can move that job, there the screen that shows the pilots in rotation won't fill in. It's a it's a program we have, a dispatching tool. And so it leaves a gap. And so when there's a gap there, that needs to be filled by an a pilot that's on their respite, which means they have done their their duty time. And now they are on their earned respite. And then they get called from a list of available pilots that are not in rotation for that 15-day period. That are those pilots are being called back from their 13-day respite. Q. Uh-huh. So it's when the screen from that program shows the gap. That's when you create a callback to service a vessel. A. That's correct.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I believe it's invested in me. Q. Mm-hmm. Does the PSP President, you, ever assign the authority to a dispatcher to do the day-to-day task of approving when other assignments, like meetings, are undertaken by a pilot? A. Nope. That's all on the president. Q. So the president, ultimately, has authority to choose when someone is at a meeting instead of being available to be assigned to a vessel? A. That's correct. Q. Does the president ultimately have authority to suspend all meetings other than for BP BPC Commissioners to make pilots available to be assigned to a vessel? A. We do for internal meetings, and that's done regularly. When we see a surge of traffic, we will suspend or reschedule an internal meeting. But our meetings that we've been invited to that have been, you know, like you say, Board of Pilotage Commission or area maritime security or harbor safety where we've been a part of that community in good standing for a long time, and those are those are meetings that all deal with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. When the number of jobs exceed the number of pilots that are available, that are rested and available for dispatch. Q. What metrics or measurements do you use to measure pilot availability and institute a callback prior to that lack of availability impacting vessel movement? A. When when there's not a rested pilot, or a pilot in rotation that can move that job, there the screen that shows the pilots in rotation won't fill in. It's a it's a program we have, a dispatching tool. And so it leaves a gap. And so when there's a gap there, that needs to be filled by an a pilot that's on their respite, which means they have done their their duty time. And now they are on their earned respite. And then they get called from a list of available pilots that are not in rotation for that 15-day period. That are those pilots are being called back from their 13-day respite. Q. Uh-huh. So it's when the screen from that program shows the gap. That's when you create a callback to service a vessel.

16 (Pages 115 to 118)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 119	Page 121
1	he is available but is off watch?	1 they need, the job that needs to be fulfilled, or
2	A. Yes.	2 whatever you want to call it
3	Q. So a PSP	3 Q. Okay.
4	A. I wouldn't call them available if they refuse	4 A by the pilot. So it's not it's not
5	the job. If they are available to work, they most	5 necessarily a choice.
6	likely do. But if they are, say, unavailable attending	6 Q. Understood.
7	to a family member or if they are sick or just like	7 And it's just that when they are off watch they
8	anybody else that has a life outside of their career,	8 can refuse the assignment?
9	you know, and the needs that that that are within	9 A. That's correct.
10	that life. I don't know if that's available or not.	10 Q. Okay. And you, as the President and CEO, get to
11	They are not scheduled to work.	11 decide when a pilot is available for a job by putting
12	Q. They don't need to provide a reason for refusing	12 them on watch or off watch; right?
13	the callback, do they?	13 A. That's not correct.
14	A. No.	14 Q. So as President you don't decide whether
15	Q. Thank you.	15 there whether, for example, you are on watch or off
16	So a PSP pilot can actually choose his	16 watch, do you?
17	assignments when off watch, but is only allowed	17 A. Me personally?
18	that's only allowed because the cherry-picking has	18 Q. Correct.
19	occurred at a time when he is not on-watch; right?	19 A. Personally never on watch as a President.
20	MR. FASSBURG: Objection. Did you just say	Q. But you sometimes engage in vessel movements?
21	that they are cherry-picking by their callback? I think	21 You sometimes take an assignment?
22	you are misconstruing what his testimony has said.	A. Yeah. When it's it is allowed in the in
23	MS. DeLAPPE: I can rephrase.	23 the bylaws and in the operating rules I'm allowed to
24	JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you.	24 take a job when I am when I'm current and rested and
25	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	25 ready to go, yeah. In order to manage, you know,
	Page 120	Page 122
1		
1 2	Page 120 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct?	
	Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his	1 delays, I often take assignments.
2	Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct?	 delays, I often take assignments. Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are
2 3	Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct?A. No, that's not right. If there's a job	 delays, I often take assignments. Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are required to perform office meeting work rather than
2 3 4	 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct? A. No, that's not right. If there's a job that's that needs to be filled and that's the option 	 delays, I often take assignments. Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are required to perform office meeting work rather than vessel movements sometimes?
2 3 4 5	 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct? A. No, that's not right. If there's a job that's that needs to be filled and that's the option that they have is to fill the job that can't be taken 	 delays, I often take assignments. Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are required to perform office meeting work rather than vessel movements sometimes? A. It's at my direction, yes.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct? A. No, that's not right. If there's a job that's that needs to be filled and that's the option that they have is to fill the job that can't be taken or or done by a pilot that's rested and in rotation. Q. But your testimony just a moment ago is that if the pilot is off watch and says that he is unavailable, 	 delays, I often take assignments. Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are required to perform office meeting work rather than vessel movements sometimes? A. It's at my direction, yes. Q. Okay. And you actively consult with Captain Carlson on vessel coverage issues when peak demand warrants and the – and Captain Carlson has accepted –
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct? A. No, that's not right. If there's a job that's that needs to be filled and that's the option that they have is to fill the job that can't be taken or or done by a pilot that's rested and in rotation. Q. But your testimony just a moment ago is that if 	 delays, I often take assignments. Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are required to perform office meeting work rather than vessel movements sometimes? A. It's at my direction, yes. Q. Okay. And you actively consult with Captain Carlson on vessel coverage issues when peak demand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct? A. No, that's not right. If there's a job that's that needs to be filled and that's the option that they have is to fill the job that can't be taken or or done by a pilot that's rested and in rotation. Q. But your testimony just a moment ago is that if the pilot is off watch and says that he is unavailable, he does not have to provide any further reason; he can just refuse the assignment. 	 delays, I often take assignments. Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are required to perform office meeting work rather than vessel movements sometimes? A. It's at my direction, yes. Q. Okay. And you actively consult with Captain Carlson on vessel coverage issues when peak demand warrants and the and Captain Carlson has accepted you know, as Vice President has accepted also taking assignments?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct? A. No, that's not right. If there's a job that's that needs to be filled and that's the option that they have is to fill the job that can't be taken or or done by a pilot that's rested and in rotation. Q. But your testimony just a moment ago is that if the pilot is off watch and says that he is unavailable, he does not have to provide any further reason; he can just refuse the assignment. A. That's correct. But that's not the second part 	 delays, I often take assignments. Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are required to perform office meeting work rather than vessel movements sometimes? A. It's at my direction, yes. Q. Okay. And you actively consult with Captain Carlson on vessel coverage issues when peak demand warrants and the and Captain Carlson has accepted you know, as Vice President has accepted also taking assignments? A. That's yeah, only because of the extreme
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct? A. No, that's not right. If there's a job that's that needs to be filled and that's the option that they have is to fill the job that can't be taken or or done by a pilot that's rested and in rotation. Q. But your testimony just a moment ago is that if the pilot is off watch and says that he is unavailable, he does not have to provide any further reason; he can just refuse the assignment. A. That's correct. But that's not the second part of your question. 	 delays, I often take assignments. Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are required to perform office meeting work rather than vessel movements sometimes? A. It's at my direction, yes. Q. Okay. And you actively consult with Captain Carlson on vessel coverage issues when peak demand warrants and the and Captain Carlson has accepted you know, as Vice President has accepted also taking assignments? A. That's yeah, only because of the extreme nature of the pilot shortage in the last few years that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct? A. No, that's not right. If there's a job that's that needs to be filled and that's the option that they have is to fill the job that can't be taken or or done by a pilot that's rested and in rotation. Q. But your testimony just a moment ago is that if the pilot is off watch and says that he is unavailable, he does not have to provide any further reason; he can just refuse the assignment. A. That's correct. But that's not the second part of your question. Q. What's the second part of my question? 	 delays, I often take assignments. Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are required to perform office meeting work rather than vessel movements sometimes? A. It's at my direction, yes. Q. Okay. And you actively consult with Captain Carlson on vessel coverage issues when peak demand warrants and the and Captain Carlson has accepted you know, as Vice President has accepted also taking assignments? A. That's yeah, only because of the extreme nature of the pilot shortage in the last few years that we've had to address this just to avoid delays. We stay
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct? A. No, that's not right. If there's a job that's that needs to be filled and that's the option that they have is to fill the job that can't be taken or or done by a pilot that's rested and in rotation. Q. But your testimony just a moment ago is that if the pilot is off watch and says that he is unavailable, he does not have to provide any further reason; he can just refuse the assignment. A. That's correct. But that's not the second part of your question. Q. What's the second part of my question? A. That they get to pick a job or I think you 	 delays, I often take assignments. Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are required to perform office meeting work rather than vessel movements sometimes? A. It's at my direction, yes. Q. Okay. And you actively consult with Captain Carlson on vessel coverage issues when peak demand warrants and the and Captain Carlson has accepted you know, as Vice President has accepted also taking assignments? A. That's yeah, only because of the extreme nature of the pilot shortage in the last few years that we've had to address this just to avoid delays. We stay in very close communication.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct? A. No, that's not right. If there's a job that's that needs to be filled and that's the option that they have is to fill the job that can't be taken or or done by a pilot that's rested and in rotation. Q. But your testimony just a moment ago is that if the pilot is off watch and says that he is unavailable, he does not have to provide any further reason; he can just refuse the assignment. A. That's correct. But that's not the second part of your question. Q. What's the second part of my question? A. That they get to pick a job or I think you used the word "cherry-pick." 	 delays, I often take assignments. Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are required to perform office meeting work rather than vessel movements sometimes? A. It's at my direction, yes. Q. Okay. And you actively consult with Captain Carlson on vessel coverage issues when peak demand warrants and the and Captain Carlson has accepted you know, as Vice President has accepted also taking assignments? A. That's yeah, only because of the extreme nature of the pilot shortage in the last few years that we've had to address this just to avoid delays. We stay in very close communication. Q. And the Vice President has not missed any vessel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct? A. No, that's not right. If there's a job that's that needs to be filled and that's the option that they have is to fill the job that can't be taken or or done by a pilot that's rested and in rotation. Q. But your testimony just a moment ago is that if the pilot is off watch and says that he is unavailable, he does not have to provide any further reason; he can just refuse the assignment. A. That's correct. But that's not the second part of your question. Q. What's the second part of my question? A. That they get to pick a job or I think you used the word "cherry-pick." Q. The cherry-picking, I guess you referred to 	 delays, I often take assignments. Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are required to perform office meeting work rather than vessel movements sometimes? A. It's at my direction, yes. Q. Okay. And you actively consult with Captain Carlson on vessel coverage issues when peak demand warrants and the and Captain Carlson has accepted you know, as Vice President has accepted also taking assignments? A. That's yeah, only because of the extreme nature of the pilot shortage in the last few years that we've had to address this just to avoid delays. We stay in very close communication. Q. And the Vice President has not missed any vessel assignments for which he was dispatched; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct? A. No, that's not right. If there's a job that's that needs to be filled and that's the option that they have is to fill the job that can't be taken or or done by a pilot that's rested and in rotation. Q. But your testimony just a moment ago is that if the pilot is off watch and says that he is unavailable, he does not have to provide any further reason; he can just refuse the assignment. A. That's correct. But that's not the second part of your question. Q. What's the second part of my question? A. That they get to pick a job or I think you used the word "cherry-pick." Q. The cherry-picking, I guess you referred to cherry-picking in your testimony originally. And we 	 delays, I often take assignments. Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are required to perform office meeting work rather than vessel movements sometimes? A. It's at my direction, yes. Q. Okay. And you actively consult with Captain Carlson on vessel coverage issues when peak demand warrants and the and Captain Carlson has accepted you know, as Vice President has accepted also taking assignments? A. That's yeah, only because of the extreme nature of the pilot shortage in the last few years that we've had to address this just to avoid delays. We stay in very close communication. Q. And the Vice President has not missed any vessel assignments for which he was dispatched; right? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct? A. No, that's not right. If there's a job that's that needs to be filled and that's the option that they have is to fill the job that can't be taken or or done by a pilot that's rested and in rotation. Q. But your testimony just a moment ago is that if the pilot is off watch and says that he is unavailable, he does not have to provide any further reason; he can just refuse the assignment. A. That's correct. But that's not the second part of your question. Q. What's the second part of my question? A. That they get to pick a job or I think you used the word "cherry-pick." Q. The cherry-picking, I guess you referred to cherry-picking in your testimony originally. And we talked about the fact that cherry-picking can't occur as 	 delays, I often take assignments. Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are required to perform office meeting work rather than vessel movements sometimes? A. It's at my direction, yes. Q. Okay. And you actively consult with Captain Carlson on vessel coverage issues when peak demand warrants and the and Captain Carlson has accepted you know, as Vice President has accepted also taking assignments? A. That's yeah, only because of the extreme nature of the pilot shortage in the last few years that we've had to address this just to avoid delays. We stay in very close communication. Q. And the Vice President has not missed any vessel assignments for which he was dispatched; right? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you get to decide as President and CEO
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct? A. No, that's not right. If there's a job that's that needs to be filled and that's the option that they have is to fill the job that can't be taken or or done by a pilot that's rested and in rotation. Q. But your testimony just a moment ago is that if the pilot is off watch and says that he is unavailable, he does not have to provide any further reason; he can just refuse the assignment. A. That's correct. But that's not the second part of your question. Q. What's the second part of my question? A. That they get to pick a job or I think you used the word "cherry-pick." Q. The cherry-picking, I guess you referred to cherry-picking in your testimony originally. And we talked about the fact that cherry-picking can't occur as part of the bargain of the compulsory pilotage. But the 	 delays, I often take assignments. Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are required to perform office meeting work rather than vessel movements sometimes? A. It's at my direction, yes. Q. Okay. And you actively consult with Captain Carlson on vessel coverage issues when peak demand warrants and the and Captain Carlson has accepted you know, as Vice President has accepted also taking assignments? A. That's yeah, only because of the extreme nature of the pilot shortage in the last few years that we've had to address this just to avoid delays. We stay in very close communication. Q. And the Vice President has not missed any vessel assignments for which he was dispatched; right? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you get to decide as President and CEO whether the Vice President is off watch or in performing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct? A. No, that's not right. If there's a job that's that needs to be filled and that's the option that they have is to fill the job that can't be taken or or done by a pilot that's rested and in rotation. Q. But your testimony just a moment ago is that if the pilot is off watch and says that he is unavailable, he does not have to provide any further reason; he can just refuse the assignment. A. That's correct. But that's not the second part of your question. Q. What's the second part of my question? A. That they get to pick a job or I think you used the word "cherry-pick." Q. The cherry-picking, I guess you referred to cherry-picking in your testimony originally. And we talked about the fact that cherry-picking can't occur as part of the bargain of the compulsory pilotage. But the point of this question is that it's a different paradigm 	1delays, I often take assignments.2Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are3required to perform office meeting work rather than4vessel movements sometimes?5A. It's at my direction, yes.6Q. Okay. And you actively consult with Captain7Carlson on vessel coverage issues when peak demand8warrants and the and Captain Carlson has accepted9you know, as Vice President has accepted also taking10assignments?11A. That's yeah, only because of the extreme12nature of the pilot shortage in the last few years that13we've had to address this just to avoid delays. We stay14in very close communication.15Q. And the Vice President has not missed any vessel16assignments for which he was dispatched; right?17A. No.18Q. Okay. Do you get to decide as President and CEO19whether the Vice President is off watch or in performing20administrative tasks?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct? A. No, that's not right. If there's a job that's that needs to be filled and that's the option that they have is to fill the job that can't be taken or or done by a pilot that's rested and in rotation. Q. But your testimony just a moment ago is that if the pilot is off watch and says that he is unavailable, he does not have to provide any further reason; he can just refuse the assignment. A. That's correct. But that's not the second part of your question. Q. What's the second part of my question? A. That they get to pick a job or I think you used the word "cherry-pick." Q. The cherry-picking, I guess you referred to cherry-picking in your testimony originally. And we talked about the fact that cherry-picking can't occur as part of the bargain of the compulsory pilotage. But the point of this question is that it's a different paradigm when the pilot is off watch; correct? 	1delays, I often take assignments.2Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are3required to perform office meeting work rather than4vessel movements sometimes?5A. It's at my direction, yes.6Q. Okay. And you actively consult with Captain7Carlson on vessel coverage issues when peak demand8warrants and the and Captain Carlson has accepted9you know, as Vice President has accepted also taking10assignments?11A. That's yeah, only because of the extreme12nature of the pilot shortage in the last few years that13we've had to address this just to avoid delays. We stay14in very close communication.15Q. And the Vice President has not missed any vessel16assignments for which he was dispatched; right?17A. No.18Q. Okay. Do you get to decide as President and CEO19whether the Vice President is off watch or in performing20administrative tasks?21A. Well, the Board of Directors guide that decision
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct? A. No, that's not right. If there's a job that's that needs to be filled and that's the option that they have is to fill the job that can't be taken or or done by a pilot that's rested and in rotation. Q. But your testimony just a moment ago is that if the pilot is off watch and says that he is unavailable, he does not have to provide any further reason; he can just refuse the assignment. A. That's correct. But that's not the second part of your question. Q. What's the second part of my question? A. That they get to pick a job or I think you used the word "cherry-pick." Q. The cherry-picking, I guess you referred to cherry-picking in your testimony originally. And we talked about the fact that cherry-picking can't occur as part of the bargain of the compulsory pilotage. But the point of this question is that it's a different paradigm when the pilot is off watch; correct? A. I wouldn't agree to that. It's sometimes 	1 delays, I often take assignments. 2 Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are 3 required to perform office meeting work rather than 4 vessel movements sometimes? 5 A. It's at my direction, yes. 6 Q. Okay. And you actively consult with Captain 7 Carlson on vessel coverage issues when peak demand 8 warrants and the and Captain Carlson has accepted 9 you know, as Vice President has accepted also taking 10 assignments? 11 A. That's yeah, only because of the extreme 12 nature of the pilot shortage in the last few years that 13 we've had to address this just to avoid delays. We stay 14 in very close communication. 15 Q. And the Vice President has not missed any vessel 16 assignments for which he was dispatched; right? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Okay. Do you get to decide as President and CEO 19 whether the Vice President is off watch or in performing 20 administrative tasks? 21 A. Well, the Board of Directors guide that decision 22 as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct? A. No, that's not right. If there's a job that's that needs to be filled and that's the option that they have is to fill the job that can't be taken or or done by a pilot that's rested and in rotation. Q. But your testimony just a moment ago is that if the pilot is off watch and says that he is unavailable, he does not have to provide any further reason; he can just refuse the assignment. A. That's correct. But that's not the second part of your question. Q. What's the second part of my question? A. That they get to pick a job or I think you used the word "cherry-pick." Q. The cherry-picking, I guess you referred to cherry-picking in your testimony originally. And we talked about the fact that cherry-picking can't occur as part of the bargain of the compulsory pilotage. But the point of this question is that it's a different paradigm when the pilot is off watch; correct? A. I wouldn't agree to that. It's sometimes it's there's only one job that they can that they 	 delays, I often take assignments. Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are required to perform office meeting work rather than vessel movements sometimes? A. It's at my direction, yes. Q. Okay. And you actively consult with Captain Carlson on vessel coverage issues when peak demand warrants and the and Captain Carlson has accepted you know, as Vice President has accepted also taking assignments? A. That's yeah, only because of the extreme nature of the pilot shortage in the last few years that we've had to address this just to avoid delays. We stay in very close communication. Q. And the Vice President has not missed any vessel assignments for which he was dispatched; right? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you get to decide as President and CEO whether the Vice President is off watch or in performing administrative tasks? A. Well, the Board of Directors guide that decision as well. Q. And you do too, then?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So a PSP pilot can actually choose his assignments when off watch; correct? A. No, that's not right. If there's a job that's that needs to be filled and that's the option that they have is to fill the job that can't be taken or or done by a pilot that's rested and in rotation. Q. But your testimony just a moment ago is that if the pilot is off watch and says that he is unavailable, he does not have to provide any further reason; he can just refuse the assignment. A. That's correct. But that's not the second part of your question. Q. What's the second part of my question? A. That they get to pick a job or I think you used the word "cherry-pick." Q. The cherry-picking, I guess you referred to cherry-picking in your testimony originally. And we talked about the fact that cherry-picking can't occur as part of the bargain of the compulsory pilotage. But the point of this question is that it's a different paradigm when the pilot is off watch; correct? A. I wouldn't agree to that. It's sometimes 	1 delays, I often take assignments. 2 Q. So is it at your direction that other pilots are 3 required to perform office meeting work rather than 4 vessel movements sometimes? 5 A. It's at my direction, yes. 6 Q. Okay. And you actively consult with Captain 7 Carlson on vessel coverage issues when peak demand 8 warrants and the and Captain Carlson has accepted 9 you know, as Vice President has accepted also taking 10 assignments? 11 A. That's yeah, only because of the extreme 12 nature of the pilot shortage in the last few years that 13 we've had to address this just to avoid delays. We stay 14 in very close communication. 15 Q. And the Vice President has not missed any vessel 16 assignments for which he was dispatched; right? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Okay. Do you get to decide as President and CEO 19 whether the Vice President is off watch or in performing 20 administrative tasks? 21 A. Well, the Board of Directors guide that decision 22 as well.

17 (Pages 119 to 122)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 123		Page 125
1	it's a group decision.	1	President. I know and believe me, the workload of
2	Q. Okay. So the Vice President, does he have a	2	the President has mushroomed.
3	regular watch rotation?	3	Q. So when the Vice President is required by you to
4	A. He does.	4	attend a meeting or complete an administrative task, you
5	Q. The job description for the position of Vice	5	would say that is within the scope of this provision in
6	President is extensive; correct?	6	the bylaws? It's only he's acting as President
7	A. It's an extremely extensive job.	7	because you are unavailable.
8	Q. And his job responsibilities have mushroomed;	8	A. I mean, that's one way of looking at it.
9	right? I think that was your word in your testimony.	9	Q. I'm trying to understand your way of looking at
10	A. Yes. I mean, they have always been real you	10	it, Captain von Brandenfels. I'm trying to connect this
11	know, a lot there's always been a lot. When I served	11	to your prior testimony.
12	as Vice President, it was an all-consuming job	12	A. I equate it to like a chief mate on a ship. You
13	supporting a president. And this one is no different.	13	know, there's a shared responsibility to do the work
14	Q. Is that expansion of the job duties at the	14	that's required. You know, the entire bridge team and,
15	direction of the PSP President?	15	and as the chief mate or the vice president, that work
16	A. And the Board.	16	is, you know if the captain has a lot of work, the
17	Q. If you could turn to the bylaws, that's Exhibit	17	chief mate has a lot of work. If the president has a
18	EVB-5X. Hopefully I'll get the page number right this	18	lot of work, the vice president has a lot of work.
19	time, page No. 13, if that's Section 11.2 of the bylaws.	19	Q. So it's either the the mushrooming of
20	A. Okay. I'm there.	20	administrative tasks is either within the scope of this
21	Q. Thank you.	21	provision or it's outside of the scope of this
22	So there it says, "The Vice President shall act	22	provision, and by direction of the Board of Directors as
23	in the place and stead of the President if a vacancy	23	a group; correct?
24	occurs in the Office of the Presidency or in the absence	24	A. Yeah. I mean, it's it's hard for me to
25	of the President for illness or while out of town or	25	comment on that in the way that you are saying it. I
23			comment on that in the way that you are saying it.
	Page 124		Page 126
1	while on authorized leave granted by the Board of	1	don't know. I know that it's vital that he's there and
2	Directors, or when the President is otherwise	2	available.
3	unavailable, the Vice President, while so acting, shall	3	Q. To to the extent his administrative tasks
4	have all the powers of the President. The Vice	4	have mushroomed outside of the scope of this provision,
5	President shall be a member of the Board of Directors."	5	is it any different from when other pilots are required
6	The duties in that section are quite limited,	6	to perform office meeting work rather than vessel
7	aren't they?	7	
			movements?
8	A. They don't look limited to me. There if the	8	A. Different? It's still dealing with the scope of
8 9		8 9	A. Different? It's still dealing with the scope of
	A. They don't look limited to me. There if the Vice President is acting in the place of the President when the President is otherwise unavailable, then he's	1	
9	Vice President is acting in the place of the President	9	A. Different? It's still dealing with the scope of work that's required by non-piloting services that we
9 10	Vice President is acting in the place of the President when the President is otherwise unavailable, then he's	9 10	A. Different? It's still dealing with the scope of work that's required by non-piloting services that we provide.
9 10 11	Vice President is acting in the place of the President when the President is otherwise unavailable, then he's taking on the role of the President and and the role	9 10 11	A. Different? It's still dealing with the scope of work that's required by non-piloting services that we provide.Q. Okay. Do you consider office work or attending
9 10 11 12	Vice President is acting in the place of the President when the President is otherwise unavailable, then he's taking on the role of the President and and the role of the President is extensive.	9 10 11 12	 A. Different? It's still dealing with the scope of work that's required by non-piloting services that we provide. Q. Okay. Do you consider office work or attending meetings or completing administrative tasks to be the
9 10 11 12 13	Vice President is acting in the place of the President when the President is otherwise unavailable, then he's taking on the role of the President and and the role of the President is extensive. Q. And so when you are not available and Captain	9 10 11 12 13	 A. Different? It's still dealing with the scope of work that's required by non-piloting services that we provide. Q. Okay. Do you consider office work or attending meetings or completing administrative tasks to be the same work as actually done by pilots out on the water?
9 10 11 12 13 14	Vice President is acting in the place of the President when the President is otherwise unavailable, then he's taking on the role of the President and and the role of the President is extensive. Q. And so when you are not available and Captain Carlson is acting, he has all the powers, all your powers?	9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Different? It's still dealing with the scope of work that's required by non-piloting services that we provide. Q. Okay. Do you consider office work or attending meetings or completing administrative tasks to be the same work as actually done by pilots out on the water? A. I consider it equally or sometimes more
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Vice President is acting in the place of the President when the President is otherwise unavailable, then he's taking on the role of the President and and the role of the President is extensive. Q. And so when you are not available and Captain Carlson is acting, he has all the powers, all your	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Different? It's still dealing with the scope of work that's required by non-piloting services that we provide. Q. Okay. Do you consider office work or attending meetings or completing administrative tasks to be the same work as actually done by pilots out on the water? A. I consider it equally or sometimes more important.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Vice President is acting in the place of the President when the President is otherwise unavailable, then he's taking on the role of the President and and the role of the President is extensive. Q. And so when you are not available and Captain Carlson is acting, he has all the powers, all your powers? A. As in the bylaws right there before us, yeah. Q. And so that's in limited occasions; isn't it? 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Different? It's still dealing with the scope of work that's required by non-piloting services that we provide. Q. Okay. Do you consider office work or attending meetings or completing administrative tasks to be the same work as actually done by pilots out on the water? A. I consider it equally or sometimes more important. Q. But the very least, when PSP is producing reports to the Board of Pilotage Commissioners regarding
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Vice President is acting in the place of the President when the President is otherwise unavailable, then he's taking on the role of the President and and the role of the President is extensive. Q. And so when you are not available and Captain Carlson is acting, he has all the powers, all your powers? A. As in the bylaws right there before us, yeah. Q. And so that's in limited occasions; isn't it? A. There's well, limited to when I'm 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Different? It's still dealing with the scope of work that's required by non-piloting services that we provide. Q. Okay. Do you consider office work or attending meetings or completing administrative tasks to be the same work as actually done by pilots out on the water? A. I consider it equally or sometimes more important. Q. But the very least, when PSP is producing reports to the Board of Pilotage Commissioners regarding assignments, we're all talking about actually getting on
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Vice President is acting in the place of the President when the President is otherwise unavailable, then he's taking on the role of the President and and the role of the President is extensive. Q. And so when you are not available and Captain Carlson is acting, he has all the powers, all your powers? A. As in the bylaws right there before us, yeah. Q. And so that's in limited occasions; isn't it? A. There's well, limited to when I'm unavailable, which that happens pretty regularly, 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Different? It's still dealing with the scope of work that's required by non-piloting services that we provide. Q. Okay. Do you consider office work or attending meetings or completing administrative tasks to be the same work as actually done by pilots out on the water? A. I consider it equally or sometimes more important. Q. But the very least, when PSP is producing reports to the Board of Pilotage Commissioners regarding assignments, we're all talking about actually getting on board a vessel and providing pilotage service; right?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Vice President is acting in the place of the President when the President is otherwise unavailable, then he's taking on the role of the President and and the role of the President is extensive. Q. And so when you are not available and Captain Carlson is acting, he has all the powers, all your powers? A. As in the bylaws right there before us, yeah. Q. And so that's in limited occasions; isn't it? A. There's well, limited to when I'm unavailable, which that happens pretty regularly, actually. 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Different? It's still dealing with the scope of work that's required by non-piloting services that we provide. Q. Okay. Do you consider office work or attending meetings or completing administrative tasks to be the same work as actually done by pilots out on the water? A. I consider it equally or sometimes more important. Q. But the very least, when PSP is producing reports to the Board of Pilotage Commissioners regarding assignments, we're all talking about actually getting on board a vessel and providing pilotage service; right? A. Would you repeat the question your question.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Vice President is acting in the place of the President when the President is otherwise unavailable, then he's taking on the role of the President and and the role of the President is extensive. Q. And so when you are not available and Captain Carlson is acting, he has all the powers, all your powers? A. As in the bylaws right there before us, yeah. Q. And so that's in limited occasions; isn't it? A. There's well, limited to when I'm unavailable, which that happens pretty regularly, actually. Q. So if the duties have mushroomed outside of 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Different? It's still dealing with the scope of work that's required by non-piloting services that we provide. Q. Okay. Do you consider office work or attending meetings or completing administrative tasks to be the same work as actually done by pilots out on the water? A. I consider it equally or sometimes more important. Q. But the very least, when PSP is producing reports to the Board of Pilotage Commissioners regarding assignments, we're all talking about actually getting on board a vessel and providing pilotage service; right? A. Would you repeat the question your question. Q. So you PSP produces reports to the Board of
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Vice President is acting in the place of the President when the President is otherwise unavailable, then he's taking on the role of the President and and the role of the President is extensive. Q. And so when you are not available and Captain Carlson is acting, he has all the powers, all your powers? A. As in the bylaws right there before us, yeah. Q. And so that's in limited occasions; isn't it? A. There's well, limited to when I'm unavailable, which that happens pretty regularly, actually. Q. So if the duties have mushroomed outside of you wouldn't say they have mushroomed outside the limits 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Different? It's still dealing with the scope of work that's required by non-piloting services that we provide. Q. Okay. Do you consider office work or attending meetings or completing administrative tasks to be the same work as actually done by pilots out on the water? A. I consider it equally or sometimes more important. Q. But the very least, when PSP is producing reports to the Board of Pilotage Commissioners regarding assignments, we're all talking about actually getting on board a vessel and providing pilotage service; right? A. Would you repeat the question your question. Q. So you PSP produces reports to the Board of Pilotage Commissioners; right?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Vice President is acting in the place of the President when the President is otherwise unavailable, then he's taking on the role of the President and and the role of the President is extensive. Q. And so when you are not available and Captain Carlson is acting, he has all the powers, all your powers? A. As in the bylaws right there before us, yeah. Q. And so that's in limited occasions; isn't it? A. There's well, limited to when I'm unavailable, which that happens pretty regularly, actually. Q. So if the duties have mushroomed outside of you wouldn't say they have mushroomed outside the limits of the bylaws at all then it sounds like? 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Different? It's still dealing with the scope of work that's required by non-piloting services that we provide. Q. Okay. Do you consider office work or attending meetings or completing administrative tasks to be the same work as actually done by pilots out on the water? A. I consider it equally or sometimes more important. Q. But the very least, when PSP is producing reports to the Board of Pilotage Commissioners regarding assignments, we're all talking about actually getting on board a vessel and providing pilotage service; right? A. Would you repeat the question your question. Q. So you PSP produces reports to the Board of Pilotage Commissioners; right? A. That's correct.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Vice President is acting in the place of the President when the President is otherwise unavailable, then he's taking on the role of the President and and the role of the President is extensive. Q. And so when you are not available and Captain Carlson is acting, he has all the powers, all your powers? A. As in the bylaws right there before us, yeah. Q. And so that's in limited occasions; isn't it? A. There's well, limited to when I'm unavailable, which that happens pretty regularly, actually. Q. So if the duties have mushroomed outside of you wouldn't say they have mushroomed outside the limits 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Different? It's still dealing with the scope of work that's required by non-piloting services that we provide. Q. Okay. Do you consider office work or attending meetings or completing administrative tasks to be the same work as actually done by pilots out on the water? A. I consider it equally or sometimes more important. Q. But the very least, when PSP is producing reports to the Board of Pilotage Commissioners regarding assignments, we're all talking about actually getting on board a vessel and providing pilotage service; right? A. Would you repeat the question your question. Q. So you PSP produces reports to the Board of Pilotage Commissioners; right?

18 (Pages 123 to 126)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 127	Page 129
1	board a vessel and providing pilotage service; correct?	1 him here in the room, so Captain von Brandenfels can't
2	A. We're not always. Sometimes the	2 refer to that document. I'm not sure how we'll be able
3	assignments that are I mean, sometimes the duties	3 to address guestions about specific documents we don't
4	that are reported to the Board of Pilotage Commission	4 have. He does have his laptop here for some exhibits if
5	include meetings and earned time off and major medical	5 no one objects to him looking. I don't know if he has
6	and other things like that so.	6 that one. He just looked and said he does not have it.
7	Q. And so I'm asking about when you're reporting	7 MS. DeLAPPE: It sounds like that won't be a
8	assignments, just assignments, the meetings wouldn't go	8 problem, since he is very familiar with how these forms
9	into that; right?	9 work. And so I think we've covered what we wanted to on
10	A. They would go into that?	10 that particular one. So thank you. And I'll keep that
11	Q. No. They would not; is that correct?	11 in mind going forward.
12	A. You go into the same report that I give monthly.	12 BY MS. DeLAPPE:
13	Q. All right. Let's let's look at one of those	13 Q. Are you ultimately responsible, Captain
14	monthly activity reports. It's Exhibit JR-16R. And if	14 von Brandenfels, for the accuracy of these reports?
15	you could turn to page 3. And, Captain von Brandenfels,	15 A. I report on them. Apparently, yes.
16	I know that you know what these reports look like, so if	16 Q. All right. You wouldn't knowingly misrepresent
17	you don't want to refer to it on paper, that's that's	17 the information on these reports?
18	fine. But under the activity heading of one of those	18 A. No.
19	monthly activity reports it lists assignments; right?	19 Q. Would you?
20	"Total pilotage assignments."	20 A. No. That would be not something I would do.
21	A. Correct.	21 Q. And PSP wouldn't knowingly misrepresent
22	Q. And then the one that is on page 3 of Exhibit	22 information on these reports either?
23	JR-16 it lists a number of 572 assignments. So in	23 A. No.
24	that below that it lists "total ship moves"; right?	2.4 Q. And would you agree that for purposes of
25	A. (Witness nods head.)	25 reporting the instances identified as pilots out of
	Page 128	Page 130
1	Q. And the to the side it says "cancellations";	
2	right?	1 regular dispatch rotation, which is also, as you know, 2 on this form. You are informing the Board of Pilotage
3	A. (Witness nods head.)	3 Commissioners that a pilot is not available for an
4	Q. So if we add the total ship moves in this one	4 assignment?
5	it's 549 and the cancellations in this one it's	5 A. I believe it even gives the dates.
6	23 then we have the total pilotage assignments, which	6 Q. Uh-huh. Good.
7	here is 572. Is that how this works?	7 And you would not list on this report that a
8	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I'm sorry,	8 pilot was out of rotation when he was actually available
9	Ms. DeLappe. This is 16?	9 to complete an assignment; right?
10	MS. DeLAPPE: Yes, JR-16.	10 A. We list pilots that are out of rotation as
11	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And what page?	11 meetings in that list.
12	MS. DeLAPPE: If you turn to page 3.	12 Q. Uh-huh. Which means that that pilot is not
13	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: All right. My	available to complete an assignment because they are
14	electronic document shows nothing on a report.	14 assigned to a meeting; right?
15	MS. DeLAPPE: So on page 3, there should be	15 A. Right.
16	a Puget Sound Pilotage District activity report.	16 Q. Yeah. And as president and CEO of PSP, it's
17	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Got it. Okay. Thank	17 your responsibility to implement and enforce the PSP
18	you.	18 operating rules; correct?
19	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I'm relieved. So	19 A. Uh-huh. Yes.
20	right there you see the activity, total pilotage	20 Q. So we discussed earlier the penalties and
21	assignments, and that number is equal to cancellations	21 consequences section of the operating rules. I believe
22	plus total ship moves.	22 the penalty well, we discussed that actually in
23	MR. FASSBURG: And I'm sorry. Ms. DeLappe,	23 context of the bylaws. In the operating rules
1	Captain von Brandenfels does not have all of the	A. It was the operating rules.
24		
24 25	witnesses' exhibits for all of the other witnesses with	25 Q. What's that? Okay. Rule 23, the penalty for

19 (Pages 127 to 130)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 131	Page 133
1	failure to comply, should any member without good and	1 A. Yeah. For the most part, yeah.
2	just cause fail to comply with one or more of these	2 Q. Otherwise, you would know about it and be
3	operating rules, the president shall cause to have	3 required to fine them. That's a nod of your head.
4	withheld from that member's distribution for the	4 A. Yes.
5	subsequent month following the infraction the sum of	5 Q. So for the court reporter that's a "yes." Thank
6	\$500 for each and every violation. So that's section	6 you.
7	Rule 23 in the operating rules.	7 All right. At PSP operating rules 1A, so we
8	The president must penalize each pilot \$500 for	8 turn back to Exhibit EVB-6X, and that's on page 2. And
9	every violation of the PSP operating rules; correct?	9 there's right at the front there it says, "Rotation.
10	A. I don't think it says "must." But I I	10 Pilots shall be assigned to vessels in accordance with a
11	I'll have to look.	11 strict rotation system which shall be adhered to";
12	Q. All right. That's again Exhibit EVB	12 correct?
13	let's see 6X, page 25, at the bottom of the page.	13 A. It is that, yes.
14	And it says, "The President shall cause to have withheld	14 Q. Okay. And no PSP pilots have been fined by you
15	from that member's distribution," et cetera.	as President for not following this Rule 1A regarding
16	A. Okay. Yep, I see it.	16 strict rotation?
17	Q. Okay. And you'd agree that then this does say	17 A. Not to my knowledge. I don't recall any.
18	the President must penalize the pilot if they violate	18 Q. Okay. And this rule is similar to the bylaws
19	the operating rules?	19 Section 17 that requires a pilot on who's we can
20	MR. FASSBURG: Objection. She's calling for	20 turn to that. It's Exhibit 5X, EVB-5X at page 19.
21	a legal interpretation of PSP's operating rules.	A. Can you list the bylaw number?
22	MS. DeLAPPE: I'm not. I am asking Captain	22 Q. Certainly. Section 17.
23	von Brandenfels's what you understand the operating	23 A. 17.0?
24	rules that you are then charged with enforcing what they	24 Q. Yes. Misconduct.
25	mean.	25 A. Okay.
	Page 132	Page 134
	5	rage 134
1	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it.	1 Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in
1 2	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you.	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an
	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah.	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is
2 3 4	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE:	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'
2 3 4 5	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct
2 3 4 5 6	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules?	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess
2 3 4 5 6 7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules? A. It's happened. But myself, personally, I	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess7of \$5,000 or an expulsion may be assessed."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules? A. It's happened. But myself, personally, I haven't had to do it since I've been President. But I	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess7of \$5,000 or an expulsion may be assessed."8Would you agree that I read that correctly?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules? A. It's happened. But myself, personally, I haven't had to do it since I've been President. But I know it has happened.	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess7of \$5,000 or an expulsion may be assessed."8Would you agree that I read that correctly?9A. That that's correct. That's in our bylaws.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules? A. It's happened. But myself, personally, I haven't had to do it since I've been President. But I know it has happened. Q. Would you agree that no financial penalties have	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess7of \$5,000 or an expulsion may be assessed."8Would you agree that I read that correctly?9A. That that's correct. That's in our bylaws.10Q. Okay. And there are no times when a pilot took
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules? A. It's happened. But myself, personally, I haven't had to do it since I've been President. But I know it has happened. Q. Would you agree that no financial penalties have been issued since January 1st, 2015?	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess7of \$5,000 or an expulsion may be assessed."8Would you agree that I read that correctly?9A. That that's correct. That's in our bylaws.10Q. Okay. And there are no times when a pilot took11off a scheduled workday without using a callback day?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules? A. It's happened. But myself, personally, I haven't had to do it since I've been President. But I know it has happened. Q. Would you agree that no financial penalties have been issued since January 1st, 2015? A. No. I couldn't say that for sure.	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess7of \$5,000 or an expulsion may be assessed."8Would you agree that I read that correctly?9A. That that's correct. That's in our bylaws.10Q. Okay. And there are no times when a pilot took11off a scheduled workday without using a callback day?12A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules? A. It's happened. But myself, personally, I haven't had to do it since I've been President. But I know it has happened. Q. Would you agree that no financial penalties have been issued since January 1st, 2015? A. No. I couldn't say that for sure. Q. Okay. Let's turn to Exhibit EVB-10X, and I hope	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess7of \$5,000 or an expulsion may be assessed."8Would you agree that I read that correctly?9A. That that's correct. That's in our bylaws.10Q. Okay. And there are no times when a pilot took11off a scheduled workday without using a callback day?12A. That's correct.13Q. As President, you have not assessed against any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules? A. It's happened. But myself, personally, I haven't had to do it since I've been President. But I know it has happened. Q. Would you agree that no financial penalties have been issued since January 1st, 2015? A. No. I couldn't say that for sure. Q. Okay. Let's turn to Exhibit EVB-10X, and I hope I get my page number right. I think it's page 30.	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess7of \$5,000 or an expulsion may be assessed."8Would you agree that I read that correctly?9A. That that's correct. That's in our bylaws.10Q. Okay. And there are no times when a pilot took11off a scheduled workday without using a callback day?12A. That's correct.13Q. As President, you have not assessed against any14pilots a two-day deduction under that bylaw; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules? A. It's happened. But myself, personally, I haven't had to do it since I've been President. But I know it has happened. Q. Would you agree that no financial penalties have been issued since January 1st, 2015? A. No. I couldn't say that for sure. Q. Okay. Let's turn to Exhibit EVB-10X, and I hope I get my page number right. I think it's page 30. A. Is that UTC	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess7of \$5,000 or an expulsion may be assessed."8Would you agree that I read that correctly?9A. That that's correct. That's in our bylaws.10Q. Okay. And there are no times when a pilot took11off a scheduled workday without using a callback day?12A. That's correct.13Q. As President, you have not assessed against any14pilots a two-day deduction under that bylaw; correct?15A. Not as not in my time as President, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules? A. It's happened. But myself, personally, I haven't had to do it since I've been President. But I know it has happened. Q. Would you agree that no financial penalties have been issued since January 1st, 2015? A. No. I couldn't say that for sure. Q. Okay. Let's turn to Exhibit EVB-10X, and I hope I get my page number right. I think it's page 30. A. Is that UTC Q. That's the PSP response to PMSA data request	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess7of \$5,000 or an expulsion may be assessed."8Would you agree that I read that correctly?9A. That that's correct. That's in our bylaws.10Q. Okay. And there are no times when a pilot took11off a scheduled workday without using a callback day?12A. That's correct.13Q. As President, you have not assessed against any14pilots a two-day deduction under that bylaw; correct?15A. Not as not in my time as President, no.16Q. Great.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules? A. It's happened. But myself, personally, I haven't had to do it since I've been President. But I know it has happened. Q. Would you agree that no financial penalties have been issued since January 1st, 2015? A. No. I couldn't say that for sure. Q. Okay. Let's turn to Exhibit EVB-10X, and I hope I get my page number right. I think it's page 30. A. Is that UTC Q. That's the PSP response to PMSA data request number 185. And the last line on the page.	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess7of \$5,000 or an expulsion may be assessed."8Would you agree that I read that correctly?9A. That that's correct. That's in our bylaws.10Q. Okay. And there are no times when a pilot took11off a scheduled workday without using a callback day?12A. That's correct.13Q. As President, you have not assessed against any14pilots a two-day deduction under that bylaw; correct?15A. Not as not in my time as President, no.16Q. Great.17So pilots that are scheduled to be on duty are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules? A. It's happened. But myself, personally, I haven't had to do it since I've been President. But I know it has happened. Q. Would you agree that no financial penalties have been issued since January 1st, 2015? A. No. I couldn't say that for sure. Q. Okay. Let's turn to Exhibit EVB-10X, and I hope I get my page number right. I think it's page 30. A. Is that UTC Q. That's the PSP response to PMSA data request number 185. And the last line on the page. A. That's from Captain Carlson's testimony; is that	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess7of \$5,000 or an expulsion may be assessed."8Would you agree that I read that correctly?9A. That that's correct. That's in our bylaws.10Q. Okay. And there are no times when a pilot took11off a scheduled workday without using a callback day?12A. That's correct.13Q. As President, you have not assessed against any14pilots a two-day deduction under that bylaw; correct?15A. Not as not in my time as President, no.16Q. Great.17So pilots that are scheduled to be on duty are18on duty?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules? A. It's happened. But myself, personally, I haven't had to do it since I've been President. But I know it has happened. Q. Would you agree that no financial penalties have been issued since January 1st, 2015? A. No. I couldn't say that for sure. Q. Okay. Let's turn to Exhibit EVB-10X, and I hope I get my page number right. I think it's page 30. A. Is that UTC Q. That's the PSP response to PMSA data request number 185. And the last line on the page. A. That's from Captain Carlson's testimony; is that right?	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess7of \$5,000 or an expulsion may be assessed."8Would you agree that I read that correctly?9A. That that's correct. That's in our bylaws.10Q. Okay. And there are no times when a pilot took11off a scheduled workday without using a callback day?12A. That's correct.13Q. As President, you have not assessed against any14pilots a two-day deduction under that bylaw; correct?15A. Not as not in my time as President, no.16Q. Great.17So pilots that are scheduled to be on duty are18on duty?19A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules? A. It's happened. But myself, personally, I haven't had to do it since I've been President. But I know it has happened. Q. Would you agree that no financial penalties have been issued since January 1st, 2015? A. No. I couldn't say that for sure. Q. Okay. Let's turn to Exhibit EVB-10X, and I hope I get my page number right. I think it's page 30. A. Is that UTC Q. That's the PSP response to PMSA data request number 185. And the last line on the page. A. That's from Captain Carlson's testimony; is that right? Q. Yes. And if you could look at the last line on	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess7of \$5,000 or an expulsion may be assessed."8Would you agree that I read that correctly?9A. That that's correct. That's in our bylaws.10Q. Okay. And there are no times when a pilot took11off a scheduled workday without using a callback day?12A. That's correct.13Q. As President, you have not assessed against any14pilots a two-day deduction under that bylaw; correct?15A. Not as not in my time as President, no.16Q. Great.17So pilots that are scheduled to be on duty are18on duty?19A. That's correct.20Q. Okay. And in order to be removed from on duty,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules? A. It's happened. But myself, personally, I haven't had to do it since I've been President. But I know it has happened. Q. Would you agree that no financial penalties have been issued since January 1st, 2015? A. No. I couldn't say that for sure. Q. Okay. Let's turn to Exhibit EVB-10X, and I hope I get my page number right. I think it's page 30. A. Is that UTC Q. That's the PSP response to PMSA data request number 185. And the last line on the page. A. That's from Captain Carlson's testimony; is that right? Q. Yes. And if you could look at the last line on the page, Captain Carlson says he's not aware of any	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess7of \$5,000 or an expulsion may be assessed."8Would you agree that I read that correctly?9A. That that's correct. That's in our bylaws.10Q. Okay. And there are no times when a pilot took11off a scheduled workday without using a callback day?12A. That's correct.13Q. As President, you have not assessed against any14pilots a two-day deduction under that bylaw; correct?15A. Not as not in my time as President, no.16Q. Great.17So pilots that are scheduled to be on duty are18on duty?19A. That's correct.20Q. Okay. And in order to be removed from on duty,21they must be unfit for duty as determined by the Board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules? A. It's happened. But myself, personally, I haven't had to do it since I've been President. But I know it has happened. Q. Would you agree that no financial penalties have been issued since January 1st, 2015? A. No. I couldn't say that for sure. Q. Okay. Let's turn to Exhibit EVB-10X, and I hope I get my page number right. I think it's page 30. A. Is that UTC Q. That's the PSP response to PMSA data request number 185. And the last line on the page. A. That's from Captain Carlson's testimony; is that right? Q. Yes. And if you could look at the last line on the page, Captain Carlson says he's not aware of any financial penalties that have been issued since	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess7of \$5,000 or an expulsion may be assessed."8Would you agree that I read that correctly?9A. That that's correct. That's in our bylaws.10Q. Okay. And there are no times when a pilot took11off a scheduled workday without using a callback day?12A. That's correct.13Q. As President, you have not assessed against any14pilots a two-day deduction under that bylaw; correct?15A. Not as not in my time as President, no.16Q. Great.17So pilots that are scheduled to be on duty are18on duty?19A. That's correct.20Q. Okay. And in order to be removed from on duty,21they must be unfit for duty as determined by the Board22of Pilotage Commissioners or they must be using one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules? A. It's happened. But myself, personally, I haven't had to do it since I've been President. But I know it has happened. Q. Would you agree that no financial penalties have been issued since January 1st, 2015? A. No. I couldn't say that for sure. Q. Okay. Let's turn to Exhibit EVB-10X, and I hope I get my page number right. I think it's page 30. A. Is that UTC Q. That's the PSP response to PMSA data request number 185. And the last line on the page. A. That's from Captain Carlson's testimony; is that right? Q. Yes. And if you could look at the last line on the page, Captain Carlson says he's not aware of any financial penalties that have been issued since January 1, 2015. Are you aware of any?	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess7of \$5,000 or an expulsion may be assessed."8Would you agree that I read that correctly?9A. That that's correct. That's in our bylaws.10Q. Okay. And there are no times when a pilot took11off a scheduled workday without using a callback day?12A. That's correct.13Q. As President, you have not assessed against any14pilots a two-day deduction under that bylaw; correct?15A. Not as not in my time as President, no.16Q. Great.17So pilots that are scheduled to be on duty are18on duty?19A. That's correct.20Q. Okay. And in order to be removed from on duty,21they must be unfit for duty as determined by the Board22of Pilotage Commissioners or they must be using one of23their previously earned callback days?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules? A. It's happened. But myself, personally, I haven't had to do it since I've been President. But I know it has happened. Q. Would you agree that no financial penalties have been issued since January 1st, 2015? A. No. I couldn't say that for sure. Q. Okay. Let's turn to Exhibit EVB-10X, and I hope I get my page number right. I think it's page 30. A. Is that UTC Q. That's the PSP response to PMSA data request number 185. And the last line on the page. A. That's from Captain Carlson's testimony; is that right? Q. Yes. And if you could look at the last line on the page, Captain Carlson says he's not aware of any financial penalties that have been issued since January 1, 2015. Are you aware of any? A. I don't I don't recall any, no.	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess7of \$5,000 or an expulsion may be assessed."8Would you agree that I read that correctly?9A. That that's correct. That's in our bylaws.10Q. Okay. And there are no times when a pilot took11off a scheduled workday without using a callback day?12A. That's correct.13Q. As President, you have not assessed against any14pilots a two-day deduction under that bylaw; correct?15A. Not as not in my time as President, no.16Q. Great.17So pilots that are scheduled to be on duty are19A. That's correct.20Q. Okay. And in order to be removed from on duty,21they must be unfit for duty as determined by the Board22of Pilotage Commissioners or they must be using one of23their previously earned callback days?24A. I don't agree with that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I mean, to my knowledge, yeah. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. How often have you had to penalize pilots for violating the operating rules? A. It's happened. But myself, personally, I haven't had to do it since I've been President. But I know it has happened. Q. Would you agree that no financial penalties have been issued since January 1st, 2015? A. No. I couldn't say that for sure. Q. Okay. Let's turn to Exhibit EVB-10X, and I hope I get my page number right. I think it's page 30. A. Is that UTC Q. That's the PSP response to PMSA data request number 185. And the last line on the page. A. That's from Captain Carlson's testimony; is that right? Q. Yes. And if you could look at the last line on the page, Captain Carlson says he's not aware of any financial penalties that have been issued since January 1, 2015. Are you aware of any?	1Q. Okay. And that says a little further down in2that paragraph, "A pilot who is on duty and refuses an3assignment for reasons other than fatigue or safety is4subject to a mandatory deduction of two days'5distribution. Such a refusal is considered misconduct6for which additional penalties may be assessed in excess7of \$5,000 or an expulsion may be assessed."8Would you agree that I read that correctly?9A. That that's correct. That's in our bylaws.10Q. Okay. And there are no times when a pilot took11off a scheduled workday without using a callback day?12A. That's correct.13Q. As President, you have not assessed against any14pilots a two-day deduction under that bylaw; correct?15A. Not as not in my time as President, no.16Q. Great.17So pilots that are scheduled to be on duty are18on duty?19A. That's correct.20Q. Okay. And in order to be removed from on duty,21they must be unfit for duty as determined by the Board22of Pilotage Commissioners or they must be using one of23their previously earned callback days?

20 (Pages 131 to 134)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

 A. It would be I would take them out of rotation for an assignment of either a meeting or to support my role as president. Q. Aren't they on duty at that time? A. Sometimes they are; sometimes they are not. 	 BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. All right. So I would just ask how many pilots
 for an assignment of either a meeting or to support my role as president. Q. Aren't they on duty at that time? A. Sometimes they are; sometimes they are not. 	2 Q. All right. So I would just ask how many pilots
 role as president. Q. Aren't they on duty at that time? A. Sometimes they are; sometimes they are not. 	o i i
 4 Q. Aren't they on duty at that time? 5 A. Sometimes they are; sometimes they are not. 	3 have been fined by you as President for not following
5 A. Sometimes they are; sometimes they are not.	4 these the rules of not performing pilotage on vessels
	5 subject to the Pilotage Act unless duly assigned in
6 Q. So can you describe explain the process for	6 accordance with the operating rules? None; right?
7 how a pilot decides that he wants to take a scheduled	7 A. No, I have not fined a pilot.
8 workday off by using a comp day.	8 Q. Yep. So between the Rule 1A, if pilot shall be
9 A. At the earliest convenience, they notify the	9 assigned to vessels in accordance with a strict rotation
10 dispatcher of their desire to use a callback day.	10 system which shall be adhered to and Rule 19, would you
11 Q. Okay. So once a pilot has given the PSP	please confirm, do you agree that this would prevent
12 dispatcher notice of their choice not to accept an	12 pilots from cherry-picking their vessel assignments?
assignment, they burn a comp day and the pilot is off	13 MR. FASSBURG: Objection. It's vague and
14 duty for that day; right?	14 ambiguous.
15 A. That's correct.	¹⁵ What do you mean by "cherry-picking"?
16 Q. Okay. So this is also described in the	16 MS. DeLAPPE: Cherry-picking is actually a
17 operating rules, which says that a member who has an	17 word that Captain von Brandenfels used where and we
18 accumulated comp day may use one or more of them at the	18 discussed at the beginning of the testimony. I think
19 member's discretion in lieu of a workday provided that	19 Captain von Brandenfels understands what I mean about
20 the pilot gives adequate notice to the dispatcher.	20 cherry-picking among vessels to not provide services for
21 That's what you described; right?	21 certain routes or certain assignments.
22 A. Yes.	MR. FASSBURG: Can you refer him back to his
23 Q. Yeah. So aside from those requirements, you	testimony for your definition of cherry-picking then?
know, there's there are requirements against using a	MS. DeLAPPE: Yes. I believe that's in
comp day on a holiday in the in the operating rules,	EV Exhibit EVB-1T at page 14, line 14. Captain
Page 136	Page 138
1 Rule 10; right?	1 von Brandenfels said, "Without an association to provide
2 A. Uh-huh.	2 organization through centralized dispatch and billing,
3 Q. Aside from that, are there any other	3 it would be problematic for vessels to find a pilot in
4 restrictions on when a pilot decides to use a comp day	4 many of the locations in which pilots are needed because
5 or any other reason that you or the dispatcher have to	5 pilots would otherwise be free to cherry-pick the most
6 approve or disprove disapprove of the use of a comp	6 frequented harbors and ignore those with less or
7 day when notified?	7 infrequent vessel traffic."
8 A. Aside from the holiday, no.	8 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I'm sorry,
9 Q. Okay. And under	9 Ms. DeLappe, that's not my page.
10 JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, I'm just going	10 MS. DeLAPPE: Exhibit EVB-1T at page 14,
11 to ask you. We're now about 12 minutes past your	lines 12 to 16 is what I read.
12 45-minute time estimate.	12 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: All right. I have a
13 Do you know about how much longer?	13 different version.
14 MS. DeLAPPE: I do have a few minutes.	14 THE WITNESS: Was there a question in there
15 Did it I would say, is ten minutes okay?	15 somewhere? Wasn't there a question?
16 JUDGE PEARSON: I guess I'll survey everyone	16 BY MS. DeLAPPE:
17 and see if they need a break now or if we can go for	17 Q. Yes. Let me go back to the question. Thank
18 10 more minutes. I'm getting very close to needing a	18 you.
19 break.	19 So between these operating rules, do you agree
20 MS. DELAPPE: Thank you.	20 that this prevents pilots from cherry-picking their
21 JUDGE PEARSON: Is everyone okay to go for	21 vessel assignments?
22 10 more minutes? You can just nod, Commissioners.	22 A. Yes.
23 You're good. Okay.	23 Q. Thank you.
MS. DELAPPE: Thank you.	24 But these operating rules make tracking pilot
25 JUDGE PEARSON: You can proceed.	availability and quantifying pilot availability and

21 (Pages 135 to 138)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 139	Page 1	.41
1	evaluating pilot availability more manageable; right?	1 A. I see that.	
2	A. That's your opinion. I don't know. I mean I	2 Q. Okay. So would having the Vice President spe	nd
3	can't offer an opinion on that. That's a relative	3 time fulfilling administrative duties be properly	
4	statement.	4 considered an assignment under the bylaws?	
5	Q. Okay. Under what rules in the PSP operating	5 A. I think you're conflating the word "assignment"	
6	rules is the President given authority to deviate from	6 and "assign."	
7	the strict rotation schedule?	7 Q. Can we turn to Exhibit EVB-10X at page 25?	
8	A. I believe it's in the bylaws that allow me to	8 A. Is that	
9	as the executive of the organization, I'm allowed to do	9 Q. Actually, that is a response to a PMSA data	
10	that.	10 request, and it wasn't your response. I'm just checki	ing
11	Q. And there's	11 to see whether you agree with it. So it's No. 79.	5
12	A. Let me look.	12 A. I don't I don't have 79 in my	
13	Q. Given our time constraints, I'm willing to move	13 cross-testimony.	
14	on from that question.	14 Q. It's EVB Exhibit 10X. There we go. Thank you.	
15	A. Well, I'm just getting ready to answer it.	15 A. 10X.	
16	Q. Oh, thank you. Go ahead.	16 MR. FASSBURG: Yours aren't numbered.	
17	A. Okay. In the bylaws, 11.1, the office of the	17 THE WITNESS: So that's Ivan's testimony?	
18	President shall be a full-time position. However, he	18 MR. FASSBURG: It's Ivan's data response.	
19	may wait. On the option office President can	19 THE WITNESS: Here we go.	
20	enter into an agreement. Maybe that's not it.	20 BY MS. DeLAPPE:	
21	Q. Would you say that I will stipulate that	21 Q. All right. And so I just wanted to at the in	
22	there are certain exceptions where the President has	the first paragraph of the response, it says, "For	
23	authority to deviate from the strict rotations schedule,	 purposes of dispatch practices and fatigue mitigatio 	n.
24	if if you agree with me on that, Captain	24 when the Vice President spends time fulfilling	,
25	von Brandenfels.	administrative duties to PSP, PSP correctly consider	rs it
	Page 140	Page 1	42
			- 7 2
1	A. Can I give you an example of what	1 a, quote, 'assignment.'"	-12
1 2			- 7 2
	A. Can I give you an example of what	1 a, quote, 'assignment.'"	. 7 2
2	A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes.	1a, quote, 'assignment.'"2And my only question is that is not an	- 12
2 3	A. Can I give you an example of whatQ. Yes.A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of	1a, quote, 'assignment.'"2And my only question is that is not an3assignment under PSP bylaws; correct?	- 12
2 3 4	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to 	 a, quote, 'assignment.'" And my only question is that is not an assignment under PSP bylaws; correct? A. I think there's a I think an assignment has 	.12
2 3 4 5	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to attend a meeting that's during their duty cycle of on 	 a, quote, 'assignment.'" And my only question is that is not an assignment under PSP bylaws; correct? A. I think there's a I think an assignment has more than one meeting, I guess. 	.12
2 3 4 5 6	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to attend a meeting that's during their duty cycle of on watch, and I believe that I have the power to assign them to that meeting. Q. Uh-huh. Do you agree that for purposes of 	 a, quote, 'assignment.'" And my only question is that is not an assignment under PSP bylaws; correct? A. I think there's a I think an assignment has more than one meeting, I guess. Q. And under the bylaws that's not an assignment? 	- 1 4
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to attend a meeting that's during their duty cycle of on watch, and I believe that I have the power to assign them to that meeting. 	 a, quote, 'assignment.'" And my only question is that is not an assignment under PSP bylaws; correct? A. I think there's a I think an assignment has more than one meeting, I guess. Q. And under the bylaws that's not an assignment? A. That's correct. 	- 7 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to attend a meeting that's during their duty cycle of on watch, and I believe that I have the power to assign them to that meeting. Q. Uh-huh. Do you agree that for purposes of 	 a, quote, 'assignment.'" And my only question is that is not an assignment under PSP bylaws; correct? A. I think there's a I think an assignment has more than one meeting, I guess. Q. And under the bylaws that's not an assignment? A. That's correct. B. So where is it where is it that you see 	- 1 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to attend a meeting that's during their duty cycle of on watch, and I believe that I have the power to assign them to that meeting. Q. Uh-huh. Do you agree that for purposes of dispatch practices and fatigue mitigation, when the Vice 	 a, quote, 'assignment.'" And my only question is that is not an assignment under PSP bylaws; correct? A. I think there's a I think an assignment has more than one meeting, I guess. Q. And under the bylaws that's not an assignment? A. That's correct. Q. So where is it where is it that you see "assignment" defined to include the Vice President's 	- 7 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to attend a meeting that's during their duty cycle of on watch, and I believe that I have the power to assign them to that meeting. Q. Uh-huh. Do you agree that for purposes of dispatch practices and fatigue mitigation, when the Vice President spends time fulfilling administrative duties 	 a, quote, 'assignment.'" And my only question is that is not an assignment under PSP bylaws; correct? A. I think there's a I think an assignment has more than one meeting, I guess. Q. And under the bylaws that's not an assignment? A. That's correct. Q. So where is it where is it that you see "assignment" defined to include the Vice President's office work? 	. 1 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to attend a meeting that's during their duty cycle of on watch, and I believe that I have the power to assign them to that meeting. Q. Uh-huh. Do you agree that for purposes of dispatch practices and fatigue mitigation, when the Vice President spends time fulfilling administrative duties to PSP, PSP correctly considers it an assignment? 	 a, quote, 'assignment.'" And my only question is that is not an assignment under PSP bylaws; correct? A. I think there's a I think an assignment has more than one meeting, I guess. Q. And under the bylaws that's not an assignment? A. That's correct. Q. So where is it where is it that you see "assignment" defined to include the Vice President's office work? A. I guess it would just be in the support of the 	.12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to attend a meeting that's during their duty cycle of on watch, and I believe that I have the power to assign them to that meeting. Q. Uh-huh. Do you agree that for purposes of dispatch practices and fatigue mitigation, when the Vice President spends time fulfilling administrative duties to PSP, PSP correctly considers it an assignment? A. Not consider it an assignment in the Board of 	 a, quote, 'assignment.'" And my only question is that is not an assignment under PSP bylaws; correct? A. I think there's a I think an assignment has more than one meeting, I guess. Q. And under the bylaws that's not an assignment? A. That's correct. Q. So where is it where is it that you see "assignment" defined to include the Vice President's office work? A. I guess it would just be in the support of the president. I'm looking for my in support of the 	. 1 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to attend a meeting that's during their duty cycle of on watch, and I believe that I have the power to assign them to that meeting. Q. Uh-huh. Do you agree that for purposes of dispatch practices and fatigue mitigation, when the Vice President spends time fulfilling administrative duties to PSP, PSP correctly considers it an assignment? A. Not consider it an assignment in the Board of Pilotage Commission policy letter that talks about what 	 a, quote, 'assignment.'" And my only question is that is not an assignment under PSP bylaws; correct? A. I think there's a I think an assignment has more than one meeting, I guess. Q. And under the bylaws that's not an assignment? A. That's correct. Q. So where is it where is it that you see "assignment" defined to include the Vice President's office work? A. I guess it would just be in the support of the president. I'm looking for my in support of the President's duties. 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to attend a meeting that's during their duty cycle of on watch, and I believe that I have the power to assign them to that meeting. Q. Uh-huh. Do you agree that for purposes of dispatch practices and fatigue mitigation, when the Vice President spends time fulfilling administrative duties to PSP, PSP correctly considers it an assignment? A. Not consider it an assignment in the Board of Pilotage Commission policy letter that talks about what an assignment is. 	 a, quote, 'assignment.'" And my only question is that is not an assignment under PSP bylaws; correct? A. I think there's a I think an assignment has more than one meeting, I guess. Q. And under the bylaws that's not an assignment? A. That's correct. Q. So where is it where is it that you see "assignment" defined to include the Vice President's office work? A. I guess it would just be in the support of the president. I'm looking for my in support of the President's duties. Q. Okay. So this isn't one of the explicit 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to attend a meeting that's during their duty cycle of on watch, and I believe that I have the power to assign them to that meeting. Q. Uh-huh. Do you agree that for purposes of dispatch practices and fatigue mitigation, when the Vice President spends time fulfilling administrative duties to PSP, PSP correctly considers it an assignment? A. Not consider it an assignment in the Board of Pilotage Commission policy letter that talks about what an assignment is. Q. Okay. Good. So it's not an assignment under 	 a, quote, 'assignment.'" And my only question is that is not an assignment under PSP bylaws; correct? A. I think there's a I think an assignment has more than one meeting, I guess. Q. And under the bylaws that's not an assignment? A. That's correct. Q. So where is it where is it that you see "assignment" defined to include the Vice President's office work? A. I guess it would just be in the support of the president. I'm looking for my in support of the President's duties. Q. Okay. So this isn't one of the explicit exceptions for not performing an assignment of a vess 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to attend a meeting that's during their duty cycle of on watch, and I believe that I have the power to assign them to that meeting. Q. Uh-huh. Do you agree that for purposes of dispatch practices and fatigue mitigation, when the Vice President spends time fulfilling administrative duties to PSP, PSP correctly considers it an assignment? A. Not consider it an assignment in the Board of Pilotage Commission policy letter that talks about what an assignment is. Q. Okay. Good. So it's not an assignment under the board of under the BPC definition. And under 	1 a, quote, 'assignment.'" 2 And my only question is that is not an 3 assignment under PSP bylaws; correct? 4 A. I think there's a I think an assignment has 5 more than one meeting, I guess. 6 Q. And under the bylaws that's not an assignment? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. So where is it where is it that you see 9 "assignment" defined to include the Vice President's 10 office work? 11 A. I guess it would just be in the support of the 12 president. I'm looking for my in support of the 13 President's duties. 14 Q. Okay. So this isn't one of the explicit 15 exceptions for not performing an assignment of a vess 16 in strict rotation system. 17 How are pilots required to perform office 18 meeting work rather than vessel movements?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to attend a meeting that's during their duty cycle of on watch, and I believe that I have the power to assign them to that meeting. Q. Uh-huh. Do you agree that for purposes of dispatch practices and fatigue mitigation, when the Vice President spends time fulfilling administrative duties to PSP, PSP correctly considers it an assignment? A. Not consider it an assignment in the Board of Pilotage Commission policy letter that talks about what an assignment is. Q. Okay. Good. So it's not an assignment under the board of under the BPC definition. And under your bylaws, is it an is that considered an 	 a, quote, 'assignment.'" And my only question is that is not an assignment under PSP bylaws; correct? A. I think there's a I think an assignment has more than one meeting, I guess. Q. And under the bylaws that's not an assignment? A. That's correct. Q. So where is it where is it that you see "assignment" defined to include the Vice President's office work? A. I guess it would just be in the support of the president. I'm looking for my in support of the President's duties. Q. Okay. So this isn't one of the explicit exceptions for not performing an assignment of a vess in strict rotation system. How are pilots required to perform office 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to attend a meeting that's during their duty cycle of on watch, and I believe that I have the power to assign them to that meeting. Q. Uh-huh. Do you agree that for purposes of dispatch practices and fatigue mitigation, when the Vice President spends time fulfilling administrative duties to PSP, PSP correctly considers it an assignment? A. Not consider it an assignment in the Board of Pilotage Commission policy letter that talks about what an assignment is. Q. Okay. Good. So it's not an assignment under the board of under the BPC definition. And under your bylaws, is it an is that considered an assignment? A. That would be an interpretation that I'm not going to make have an opinion on. 	1 a, quote, 'assignment.'" 2 And my only question is that is not an 3 assignment under PSP bylaws; correct? 4 A. I think there's a I think an assignment has 5 more than one meeting, I guess. 6 Q. And under the bylaws that's not an assignment? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. So where is it where is it that you see 9 "assignment" defined to include the Vice President's 10 office work? 11 A. I guess it would just be in the support of the 12 president. I'm looking for my in support of the 13 President's duties. 14 Q. Okay. So this isn't one of the explicit 15 exceptions for not performing an assignment of a vess 16 in strict rotation system. 17 How are pilots required to perform office 18 meeting work rather than vessel movements?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to attend a meeting that's during their duty cycle of on watch, and I believe that I have the power to assign them to that meeting. Q. Uh-huh. Do you agree that for purposes of dispatch practices and fatigue mitigation, when the Vice President spends time fulfilling administrative duties to PSP, PSP correctly considers it an assignment? A. Not consider it an assignment in the Board of Pilotage Commission policy letter that talks about what an assignment is. Q. Okay. Good. So it's not an assignment under the board of under the BPC definition. And under your bylaws, is it an is that considered an assignment? A. That would be an interpretation that I'm not 	 a, quote, 'assignment.'" And my only question is that is not an assignment under PSP bylaws; correct? A. I think there's a I think an assignment has more than one meeting, I guess. Q. And under the bylaws that's not an assignment? A. That's correct. Q. So where is it where is it that you see "assignment" defined to include the Vice President's office work? A. I guess it would just be in the support of the president. I'm looking for my in support of the President's duties. Q. Okay. So this isn't one of the explicit exceptions for not performing an assignment of a vess in strict rotation system. How are pilots required to perform office meeting work rather than vessel movements? A. Can you restate that? 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to attend a meeting that's during their duty cycle of on watch, and I believe that I have the power to assign them to that meeting. Q. Uh-huh. Do you agree that for purposes of dispatch practices and fatigue mitigation, when the Vice President spends time fulfilling administrative duties to PSP, PSP correctly considers it an assignment? A. Not consider it an assignment in the Board of Pilotage Commission policy letter that talks about what an assignment is. Q. Okay. Good. So it's not an assignment under the board of under the BPC definition. And under your bylaws, is it an is that considered an assignment? A. That would be an interpretation that I'm not going to make have an opinion on. Q. Let's turn to the bylaws. That's Exhibit EVB-5X, page 2. At the bottom of the page on page 2, 	1 a, quote, 'assignment.'" 2 And my only question is that is not an 3 assignment under PSP bylaws; correct? 4 A. I think there's a I think an assignment has 5 more than one meeting, I guess. 6 Q. And under the bylaws that's not an assignment? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. So where is it where is it that you see 9 "assignment" defined to include the Vice President's 10 office work? 11 A. I guess it would just be in the support of the 12 president. I'm looking for my in support of the 13 President's duties. 14 Q. Okay. So this isn't one of the explicit 15 exceptions for not performing an assignment of a vess 16 in strict rotation system. 17 How are pilots required to perform office 18 meeting work rather than vessel movements? 19 A. Can you restate that? 19 A. Can you restate that? 11 I guess at the direction of of my you 12 know, in support of my role as President, as the 22 Executive Director.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to attend a meeting that's during their duty cycle of on watch, and I believe that I have the power to assign them to that meeting. Q. Uh-huh. Do you agree that for purposes of dispatch practices and fatigue mitigation, when the Vice President spends time fulfilling administrative duties to PSP, PSP correctly considers it an assignment? A. Not consider it an assignment in the Board of Pilotage Commission policy letter that talks about what an assignment is. Q. Okay. Good. So it's not an assignment under the board of under the BPC definition. And under your bylaws, is it an is that considered an assignment? A. That would be an interpretation that I'm not going to make have an opinion on. Q. Let's turn to the bylaws. That's Exhibit EVB-5X, page 2. At the bottom of the page on page 2, you see the definition of "assignment." And it says 	1a, quote, 'assignment.'"2And my only question is that is not an3assignment under PSP bylaws; correct?4A. I think there's a I think an assignment has5more than one meeting, I guess.6Q. And under the bylaws that's not an assignment?7A. That's correct.8Q. So where is it where is it that you see9"assignment" defined to include the Vice President's10office work?11A. I guess it would just be in the support of the12president. I'm looking for my in support of the13President's duties.14Q. Okay. So this isn't one of the explicit15exceptions for not performing an assignment of a vess16in strict rotation system.17How are pilots required to perform office18meeting work rather than vessel movements?19A. Can you restate that?20I guess at the direction of of my you21know, in support of my role as President, as the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to attend a meeting that's during their duty cycle of on watch, and I believe that I have the power to assign them to that meeting. Q. Uh-huh. Do you agree that for purposes of dispatch practices and fatigue mitigation, when the Vice President spends time fulfilling administrative duties to PSP, PSP correctly considers it an assignment? A. Not consider it an assignment in the Board of Pilotage Commission policy letter that talks about what an assignment is. Q. Okay. Good. So it's not an assignment under the board of under the BPC definition. And under your bylaws, is it an is that considered an assignment? A. That would be an interpretation that I'm not going to make have an opinion on. Q. Let's turn to the bylaws. That's Exhibit EVB-5X, page 2. At the bottom of the page on page 2, you see the definition of "assignment." And it says there, "Assignment shall mean an assignment to pilot a 	1 a, quote, 'assignment.'" 2 And my only question is that is not an 3 assignment under PSP bylaws; correct? 4 A. I think there's a I think an assignment has 5 more than one meeting, I guess. 6 Q. And under the bylaws that's not an assignment? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. So where is it where is it that you see 9 "assignment" defined to include the Vice President's 10 office work? 11 A. I guess it would just be in the support of the 12 president. I'm looking for my in support of the 13 President's duties. 14 Q. Okay. So this isn't one of the explicit 15 exceptions for not performing an assignment of a vess 16 in strict rotation system. 17 How are pilots required to perform office 18 meeting work rather than vessel movements? 19 A. Can you restate that? 10 I guess at the direction of of my you 21 know, in support of my role as President, as the 22 Executive Director. 23 MS. DeLAPPE: All right. I hav	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Can I give you an example of what Q. Yes. A. So if a pilot commissioner for the Board of Pilotage Commission is in rotation and they want to attend a meeting that's during their duty cycle of on watch, and I believe that I have the power to assign them to that meeting. Q. Uh-huh. Do you agree that for purposes of dispatch practices and fatigue mitigation, when the Vice President spends time fulfilling administrative duties to PSP, PSP correctly considers it an assignment? A. Not consider it an assignment in the Board of Pilotage Commission policy letter that talks about what an assignment is. Q. Okay. Good. So it's not an assignment under the board of under the BPC definition. And under your bylaws, is it an is that considered an assignment? A. That would be an interpretation that I'm not going to make have an opinion on. Q. Let's turn to the bylaws. That's Exhibit EVB-5X, page 2. At the bottom of the page on page 2, you see the definition of "assignment." And it says 	 a, quote, 'assignment.'" And my only question is that is not an assignment under PSP bylaws; correct? A. I think there's a I think an assignment has more than one meeting, I guess. Q. And under the bylaws that's not an assignment? A. That's correct. Q. So where is it where is it that you see "assignment" defined to include the Vice President's office work? A. I guess it would just be in the support of the president. I'm looking for my in support of the President's duties. Q. Okay. So this isn't one of the explicit exceptions for not performing an assignment of a vess in strict rotation system. How are pilots required to perform office meeting work rather than vessel movements? A. Can you restate that? I guess at the direction of of my you know, in support of my role as President, as the Executive Director. MS. DeLAPPE: All right. I have no further 	

22 (Pages 139 to 142)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 143	Page 145
1	take a break before redirect? Or actually, I guess	1 Q. Now, are pilots required to receive continuing
2	there are other people who can ask questions	2 education and training by the Board of Pilotage
3	theoretically. How did you want to handle this?	3 Commissioners?
4	JUDGE PEARSON: We don't have anyone else	4 A. Yes, they are. Every five years they are
5	slated to ask cross of this witness. I would like to	5 required to do so. And then as they move up from being
6	take a break now. When we come back, we can do any	6 a first-year pilot to a five an unlimited pilot, they
7	redirect and take questions from the bench.	7 are required to do a on-shift evaluations and
8	MR. FASSBURG: Okay. Thank you.	8 training.
9	JUDGE PEARSON: So would 11 minutes be	9 Q. Are some of those on-shift training and
10	sufficient if we break now until 10:20?	10 evaluations referred to as "upgrade trips"?
11	MR. FASSBURG: It will suit for PSP.	11 A. Those are upgrade trips, and they are off watch
12	MS. DELAPPE: Yes, thank you.	12 and there is an allowance for some to be done on watch.
13	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then we will be in	13 Q. Would an upgrade trip be defined in PSP's bylaws
14	recess and we will re-adjourn at 10:20. Thank you.	14 as an assignment?
15	(A break was taken from 10:13 a.m. to 10:26 a.m.)	15 A. No, that would not be termed as an assignment.
16	JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Let's be back on	16 Q. If someone goes to the manned-model training in
17	the record following a brief recess. And, Mr. Fassburg,	17 Port Revel, France, which is part of their continuing
18	did you have redirect?	18 education requirements from my understanding, is some of
19	MR. FASSBURG: I do. I have a few questions	19 the can that be on watch?
20	for Captain von Brandenfels.	20 A. The partially on-watch and partially off-watch
21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	21 is when that's done. It tends to shoulder both on and
22	BY MR. FASSBURG:	22 off watch.
23	Q. Captain von Brandenfels, I'd like in this series	23 Q. Is that also not considered an assignment under
24	of questions for you to ignore exceptions where pilots	24 PSP's bylaws?
25	are allowed to refuse an assignment due to fatigue or	A. That is not considered an assignment under the
	Page 144	Page 146
1	COVID or some other emergency or safety-related	1 Board of Pilotage policy or PSP's bylaws.
2	situation and talk about what happens normally.	2 Q. When that happens, when someone goes to Port
3	In this strict rotation system that PSP follows,	3 Revel, France to receive that mandatory training, are
4	what happens when a pilot completes an assignment and	4 they expected to take ship assignments by PSP?
5	has checked in with dispatch on the rotation board?	5 A. No. They are assigned their
6	A. They go to the bottom of the board.	6 Q. Sorry. Go ahead.
7	Q. What does that mean in terms of when they will	7 A. They are assigned to be at training.
8	receive their next assignment?	8 Q. Does PSP view meetings at the Board of Pilotage
9	A. After all the after all the people that are	9 Commissioners to be as important as other obligations
10	above them that checked in before them are assigned to	10 pilots have, like upgrade trips or continuing education?
11	jobs.	11 A. Yes, definitely. That's one of the more
12	Q. Does it just follow sort of like a wheel where	12 difficult assignments that we do.
13	each person who gets a job goes to the bottom of the	13 Q. Okay. And they were currently and always are,
14	list, and the next person up gets the next job and they	14 in fact, two pilots who are Commissioners at the Board
15	have no choice in which job they get?	15 of Pilotage Commissioners; correct?
16	A. That's exactly right.	16 A. That's correct. They are also on the training
17	Q. Okay. Now, with respect to someone who PSP has	17 evaluation committee which they are assigned. Even
18	asked to attend a meeting, are they still on the board	18 though it's not a ship job, they are assigned that duty
19	to receive jobs?	19 as well.
20	A. They are. Once they are checked in from their	20 Q. So just to be clear, when they are performing
21	meeting or training or or whatever is they or day	21 their obligations as Commissioners Board of Pilotage
22	that they took off by comp day and number, that time is	22 Commissioners, those aren't an assignment under PSP's
23	recorded. Then they are they go in as available, you	23 bylaws?
24	know, in the rotation starting at the bottom and moving	A. No, that's correct.
25	their way back up.	Q. Does PSP nonetheless assign them to those

23 (Pages 143 to 146)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 147	Pag	e 149
1	responsibilities so that they come off the board and are	1 excuse themselves from that job by taking a comp	dav
2	not available to move ships?	2 after they have already been assigned?	
3	A. That's correct.	3 A. No, they can't.	
4	Q. When the Vice President is asked to perform	4 Q. So if I understand you, once the dispatcher	
5	administrative work, either filling in for the President	5 assigns a pilot to a job, they must accept that	
6	when the President is unavailable or because the PSP	6 assignment?	
7	Board or President has asked the Vice President to	7 A. That's correct. Unless for that you know, if	
8	fulfill additional administrative responsibilities of	8 something, you know, unforeseen has happened and	they
9	PSP, is that work considered to be important and as	9 just you know, an emergency or whatever.	
10	important as these other reasons pilots might come off	10 Q. Right. Right. Again, ignoring for this	
11	the board while they are on watch?	11 question	
12	A. Equally or more as important in my case.	12 A. Right. Yes.	
13	Q. In fact, as part of this rate case, didn't PMSA	13 Q the emergency situation	
14	ask Captain Carlson 70 data requests just within the	14 A. Yes.	
15	last couple of weeks?	15 Q if there's no good excuse, if that pilot has	
16	A. Just within the last few weeks, yes, he was	been assigned, they don't have a choice, they eithe	er
17	directed 70 data requests out of the 550 that they put	17 accept the job	
18	forward.	18 A. Yes, no choice.	
19	Q. Did PSP expect Captain Carlson to continue	19 Q. If they don't accept the job, will they be	
20	moving ships while responding to those 70 data requests?	20 penalized by PSP?	
21	A. After their line of questioning, I believe they	21 A. Yes.	
22	do.	22 Q. Now, you were being asked questions earlier	
23	Q. Well, I'm asking if PSP is	about the operating rules and whether pilots shoul	
24	THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. Sorry.	24 assessed penalties for not receiving a vessel assig	gnment
25	This is the court reporter. Could you two possibly	25 while on watch. I'd like to revisit that just for a	
1 2	pause and let each other ask and answer the questions. Thank you.	1 moment.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Now, when a pilot is off watch, and they are potentially available for a callback assignment, is that time that they are mandatorily (verbatim) required to be available for callbacks? A. No, they are not mandatorily (verbatim) to be available for callbacks during their respite.	 Does PSP consider it good cause to not responsibility, you don't consider it a violation of your operating rules? A. Definitely not. Q. Okay. Thank you. 	gned to a fine. ther
4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Now, when a pilot is off watch, and they are potentially available for a callback assignment, is that time that they are mandatorily (verbatim) required to be available for callbacks? A. No, they are not mandatorily (verbatim) to be	 vessel assignments when a pilot has been assig attend meetings, training, or upgrade trips? A. That had not be considered due cause for a Q. So if a pilot has been assigned to some o responsibility, you don't consider it a violation your operating rules? A. Definitely not. 	gned to a fine. ther of
4 5 7 8 9 10	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Now, when a pilot is off watch, and they are potentially available for a callback assignment, is that time that they are mandatorily (verbatim) required to be available for callbacks? A. No, they are not mandatorily (verbatim) to be available for callbacks during their respite. Q. Is a pilot respite time that they have earned off and are entitled to not work as a result of the time	 vessel assignments when a pilot has been assignation attend meetings, training, or upgrade trips? A. That had not be considered due cause for a Q. So if a pilot has been assigned to some of responsibility, you don't consider it a violation your operating rules? A. Definitely not. Q. Okay. Thank you. MR. FASSBURG: We have no further que JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. 	gned to a fine. ther of
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Now, when a pilot is off watch, and they are potentially available for a callback assignment, is that time that they are mandatorily (verbatim) required to be available for callbacks? A. No, they are not mandatorily (verbatim) to be available for callbacks during their respite. Q. Is a pilot respite time that they have earned off and are entitled to not work as a result of the time they did work?	 vessel assignments when a pilot has been assignation attend meetings, training, or upgrade trips? A. That had not be considered due cause for a Q. So if a pilot has been assigned to some of responsibility, you don't consider it a violation your operating rules? A. Definitely not. Q. Okay. Thank you. MR. FASSBURG: We have no further que JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Are there any questions from the bench for 	gned to a fine. ther of uestions.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Now, when a pilot is off watch, and they are potentially available for a callback assignment, is that time that they are mandatorily (verbatim) required to be available for callbacks? A. No, they are not mandatorily (verbatim) to be available for callbacks during their respite. Q. Is a pilot respite time that they have earned off and are entitled to not work as a result of the time they did work? A. Yes. As is normal in the maritime industry, for	 vessel assignments when a pilot has been assignation attend meetings, training, or upgrade trips? A. That had not be considered due cause for a Q. So if a pilot has been assigned to some of responsibility, you don't consider it a violation of your operating rules? A. Definitely not. Q. Okay. Thank you. MR. FASSBURG: We have no further que JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Are there any questions from the bench for this witness? Go ahead, Commissioner Balasbar 	gned to a fine. ther of uestions.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Now, when a pilot is off watch, and they are potentially available for a callback assignment, is that time that they are mandatorily (verbatim) required to be available for callbacks? A. No, they are not mandatorily (verbatim) to be available for callbacks during their respite. Q. Is a pilot respite time that they have earned off and are entitled to not work as a result of the time they did work? A. Yes. As is normal in the maritime industry, for every day you work, you receive a day of respite.	 vessel assignments when a pilot has been assigned that the attend meetings, training, or upgrade trips? A. That had not be considered due cause for a Q. So if a pilot has been assigned to some of responsibility, you don't consider it a violation of your operating rules? A. Definitely not. Q. Okay. Thank you. MR. FASSBURG: We have no further que JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Are there any questions from the bench for this witness? Go ahead, Commissioner Balasbar EXAMINATION 	gned to a fine. ther of uestions.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Now, when a pilot is off watch, and they are potentially available for a callback assignment, is that time that they are mandatorily (verbatim) required to be available for callbacks? A. No, they are not mandatorily (verbatim) to be available for callbacks during their respite. Q. Is a pilot respite time that they have earned off and are entitled to not work as a result of the time they did work? A. Yes. As is normal in the maritime industry, for every day you work, you receive a day of respite. Q. Does PSP consider a day on watch to be a day of	 vessel assignments when a pilot has been assig attend meetings, training, or upgrade trips? A. That had not be considered due cause for a Q. So if a pilot has been assigned to some of responsibility, you don't consider it a violation of your operating rules? A. Definitely not. Q. Okay. Thank you. MR. FASSBURG: We have no further qu JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Are there any questions from the bench for this witness? Go ahead, Commissioner Balasbar EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: 	gned to a fine. ther of uestions.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Now, when a pilot is off watch, and they are potentially available for a callback assignment, is that time that they are mandatorily (verbatim) required to be available for callbacks? A. No, they are not mandatorily (verbatim) to be available for callbacks during their respite. Q. Is a pilot respite time that they have earned off and are entitled to not work as a result of the time they did work? A. Yes. As is normal in the maritime industry, for every day you work, you receive a day of respite. Q. Does PSP consider a day on watch to be a day of work whether or not there's a ship assignment available	 vessel assignments when a pilot has been assig attend meetings, training, or upgrade trips? A. That had not be considered due cause for a Q. So if a pilot has been assigned to some of responsibility, you don't consider it a violation of your operating rules? A. Definitely not. Q. Okay. Thank you. MR. FASSBURG: We have no further qu JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Are there any questions from the bench for this witness? Go ahead, Commissioner Balasbar EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Q. Thank you. 	gned to a fine. ther of uestions.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Now, when a pilot is off watch, and they are potentially available for a callback assignment, is that time that they are mandatorily (verbatim) required to be available for callbacks? A. No, they are not mandatorily (verbatim) to be available for callbacks during their respite. Q. Is a pilot respite time that they have earned off and are entitled to not work as a result of the time they did work? A. Yes. As is normal in the maritime industry, for every day you work, you receive a day of respite. Q. Does PSP consider a day on watch to be a day of work whether or not there's a ship assignment available for that pilot on a given day?	 vessel assignments when a pilot has been assig attend meetings, training, or upgrade trips? A. That had not be considered due cause for a Q. So if a pilot has been assigned to some o responsibility, you don't consider it a violation of your operating rules? A. Definitely not. Q. Okay. Thank you. MR. FASSBURG: We have no further qu JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Are there any questions from the bench for this witness? Go ahead, Commissioner Balasbar EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Q. Thank you. Good morning, Captain von Brandenfels. 	gned to a fine. ther of uestions.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Now, when a pilot is off watch, and they are potentially available for a callback assignment, is that time that they are mandatorily (verbatim) required to be available for callbacks? A. No, they are not mandatorily (verbatim) to be available for callbacks during their respite. Q. Is a pilot respite time that they have earned off and are entitled to not work as a result of the time they did work? A. Yes. As is normal in the maritime industry, for every day you work, you receive a day of respite. Q. Does PSP consider a day on watch to be a day of work whether or not there's a ship assignment available for that pilot on a given day? A. They are required to be rested and ready, and we	 vessel assignments when a pilot has been assig attend meetings, training, or upgrade trips? A. That had not be considered due cause for a Q. So if a pilot has been assigned to some o responsibility, you don't consider it a violation of your operating rules? A. Definitely not. Q. Okay. Thank you. MR. FASSBURG: We have no further qu JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Are there any questions from the bench for this witness? Go ahead, Commissioner Balasbar EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Q. Thank you. Good morning, Captain von Brandenfels. A. Good morning. 	gned to a fine. ther of lestions.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Now, when a pilot is off watch, and they are potentially available for a callback assignment, is that time that they are mandatorily (verbatim) required to be available for callbacks? A. No, they are not mandatorily (verbatim) to be available for callbacks during their respite. Q. Is a pilot respite time that they have earned off and are entitled to not work as a result of the time they did work? A. Yes. As is normal in the maritime industry, for every day you work, you receive a day of respite. Q. Does PSP consider a day on watch to be a day of work whether or not there's a ship assignment available for that pilot on a given day? A. They are required to be rested and ready, and we consider that a day on duty.	 vessel assignments when a pilot has been assig attend meetings, training, or upgrade trips? A. That had not be considered due cause for a Q. So if a pilot has been assigned to some of responsibility, you don't consider it a violation of your operating rules? A. Definitely not. Q. Okay. Thank you. MR. FASSBURG: We have no further qu JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Are there any questions from the bench for this witness? Go ahead, Commissioner Balasbar EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Q. Thank you. Good morning, Captain von Brandenfels. A. Good morning. Q. So in in the PSP bylaws and I'm looking 	gned to a fine. ther of lestions. or s.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Now, when a pilot is off watch, and they are potentially available for a callback assignment, is that time that they are mandatorily (verbatim) required to be available for callbacks? A. No, they are not mandatorily (verbatim) to be available for callbacks during their respite. Q. Is a pilot respite time that they have earned off and are entitled to not work as a result of the time they did work? A. Yes. As is normal in the maritime industry, for every day you work, you receive a day of respite. Q. Does PSP consider a day on watch to be a day of work whether or not there's a ship assignment available for that pilot on a given day? A. They are required to be rested and ready, and we consider that a day on duty. Q. Is that considered a day of work for which they 	 vessel assignments when a pilot has been assigned that the end meetings, training, or upgrade trips? A. That had not be considered due cause for a Q. So if a pilot has been assigned to some of responsibility, you don't consider it a violation of your operating rules? A. Definitely not. Q. Okay. Thank you. MR. FASSBURG: We have no further que JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Are there any questions from the bench for this witness? Go ahead, Commissioner Balasbar EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Q. Thank you. Good morning, Captain von Brandenfels. A. Good morning. Q. So in in the PSP bylaws and I'm looki specifically at Section 5.4.3, which is on page 	gned to a fine. ther of uestions. or s.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Now, when a pilot is off watch, and they are potentially available for a callback assignment, is that time that they are mandatorily (verbatim) required to be available for callbacks? A. No, they are not mandatorily (verbatim) to be available for callbacks during their respite. Q. Is a pilot respite time that they have earned off and are entitled to not work as a result of the time they did work? A. Yes. As is normal in the maritime industry, for every day you work, you receive a day of respite. Q. Does PSP consider a day on watch to be a day of work whether or not there's a ship assignment available for that pilot on a given day? A. They are required to be rested and ready, and we consider that a day on duty. Q. Is that considered a day of work for which they earn a day off? 	 vessel assignments when a pilot has been assigned that the end meetings, training, or upgrade trips? A. That had not be considered due cause for a Q. So if a pilot has been assigned to some of responsibility, you don't consider it a violation of your operating rules? A. Definitely not. Q. Okay. Thank you. MR. FASSBURG: We have no further que JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Are there any questions from the bench for this witness? Go ahead, Commissioner Balasbar EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Q. Thank you. Good morning, Captain von Brandenfels. A. Good morning. Q. So in in the PSP bylaws and I'm looki specifically at Section 5.4.3, which is on page 	gned to a fine. ther of uestions. or s.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Now, when a pilot is off watch, and they are potentially available for a callback assignment, is that time that they are mandatorily (verbatim) required to be available for callbacks? A. No, they are not mandatorily (verbatim) to be available for callbacks during their respite. Q. Is a pilot respite time that they have earned off and are entitled to not work as a result of the time they did work? A. Yes. As is normal in the maritime industry, for every day you work, you receive a day of respite. Q. Does PSP consider a day on watch to be a day of work whether or not there's a ship assignment available for that pilot on a given day? A. They are required to be rested and ready, and we consider that a day on duty. Q. Is that considered a day of work for which they earn a day off? A. That's correct. They do. 	 vessel assignments when a pilot has been assigned the attend meetings, training, or upgrade trips? A. That had not be considered due cause for a Q. So if a pilot has been assigned to some of responsibility, you don't consider it a violation of your operating rules? A. Definitely not. Q. Okay. Thank you. MR. FASSBURG: We have no further que JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Are there any questions from the bench for this witness? Go ahead, Commissioner Balasbar EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Q. Thank you. Good morning, Captain von Brandenfels. A. Good morning. Q. So in in the PSP bylaws and I'm looking specifically at Section 5.4.3, which is on page believe it's on page 7 of the bylaws. And I'll give a moment to get there. 	gned to a fine. ther of uestions. or s.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Now, when a pilot is off watch, and they are potentially available for a callback assignment, is that time that they are mandatorily (verbatim) required to be available for callbacks? A. No, they are not mandatorily (verbatim) to be available for callbacks during their respite. Q. Is a pilot respite time that they have earned off and are entitled to not work as a result of the time they did work? A. Yes. As is normal in the maritime industry, for every day you work, you receive a day of respite. Q. Does PSP consider a day on watch to be a day of work whether or not there's a ship assignment available for that pilot on a given day? A. They are required to be rested and ready, and we consider that a day on duty. Q. Is that considered a day of work for which they earn a day off? A. That's correct. They do. Q. When a pilot is on watch and is not unavailable 	 vessel assignments when a pilot has been assigned the attend meetings, training, or upgrade trips? A. That had not be considered due cause for a Q. So if a pilot has been assigned to some of responsibility, you don't consider it a violation of your operating rules? A. Definitely not. Q. Okay. Thank you. MR. FASSBURG: We have no further que JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Are there any questions from the bench for this witness? Go ahead, Commissioner Balasbar EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Q. Thank you. Good morning, Captain von Brandenfels. A. Good morning. Q. So in in the PSP bylaws and I'm looki specifically at Section 5.4.3, which is on page believe it's on page 7 of the bylaws. And I'll giv a moment to get there. A. 5.43. Here we go. 	gned to a fine. ther of uestions. or s.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Now, when a pilot is off watch, and they are potentially available for a callback assignment, is that time that they are mandatorily (verbatim) required to be available for callbacks? A. No, they are not mandatorily (verbatim) to be available for callbacks during their respite. Q. Is a pilot respite time that they have earned off and are entitled to not work as a result of the time they did work? A. Yes. As is normal in the maritime industry, for every day you work, you receive a day of respite. Q. Does PSP consider a day on watch to be a day of work whether or not there's a ship assignment available for that pilot on a given day? A. They are required to be rested and ready, and we consider that a day on duty. Q. Is that considered a day of work for which they earn a day off? A. That's correct. They do. 	 vessel assignments when a pilot has been assigned the attend meetings, training, or upgrade trips? A. That had not be considered due cause for a Q. So if a pilot has been assigned to some of responsibility, you don't consider it a violation of your operating rules? A. Definitely not. Q. Okay. Thank you. MR. FASSBURG: We have no further que JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Are there any questions from the bench for this witness? Go ahead, Commissioner Balasbar EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Q. Thank you. Good morning, Captain von Brandenfels. A. Good morning. Q. So in in the PSP bylaws and I'm looking specifically at Section 5.4.3, which is on page believe it's on page 7 of the bylaws. And I'll give a moment to get there. 	gned to a fine. ther of uestions. or s.

24 (Pages 147 to 150)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 151		Page 153
1	is becomes part of the association, they are given	1	for certain size ships, you know, that are going to be
2	informed of all the provisions of the bylaws, the	2	expected by the pilot, tide conditions going into a dock
3	operating rules, and the dispatch guidelines; is that	3	where you are going to be starboard side too alongside
4	correct?	4	and what the current is there and when you can go in.
5	A. That is correct.	5	Different things like that that are kind of operational
6	Q. So how are the dispatch guidelines different	6	and and deal with so allow the agent to order a
7	from the PSP operating rules?	7	tug, right. Sometimes there are daylight guidelines
8	A. The dispatch guidelines are, in effect, the	8	that set out daylight arrival conditions. If there's
9	operating rules. The dispatch the dispatch	9	not the proper lighting at a berth, like in Bellingham,
10	guidelines actually, no. I'm sorry. The dispatch	10	for instance, we do a daylight arrival for a small coal
11	guidelines are what what a dispatchers I'm sorry.	11	storage up there. So those are those are guideline
12	The dispatch guidelines are what the dispatchers	12	issues around what pilots are expected to to require
13	are likely to require a pilot to do on a job or, you	13	on the job itself. Those are different than the
14	know, the guidelines are something that we do on ship.	14	operating rules.
15	Like, you know, for tug use. Like, let's say you're	15	Q. Okay. All right. Thank you.
16	going into a waterway. The guidelines give you what's	16	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Judge Pearson,
17	generally predicted that the pilot is going to want for	17	pending a check of the current record and the exhibit
18	use as far as tugs, the number of tugs, or that the	18	list, if the dispatch guidelines are not currently in
19	vessel is going to go into that waterway during high	19	the record, I would like to note that as a potential
20	water or an hour before high water. Those are the	20	bench request.
21	guidelines.	21	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
22	Q. So those are those are different and maybe	22	Mr. Fassburg, are you able to confirm
23	perhaps more detailed than the operating rules?	23	whether that's in evidence?
24	A. Yes. Well, I mean, the operating rules are	24	MR. FASSBURG: I don't know if they are off
25	pretty detailed. But the guidelines deal with more ship	25	the top of my head. I'll tell you that the document
1	Page 152	1	Page 154
1 2	work and ship-side assignments. Q. So aside from those, you know, ship-side	1 2	I believe he's referring to are their waterway guidelines as they are generally referred to. Those are
3	assignments, as you referred to, what what else is in	3	on PSP's website. I'm more than happy to produce those
4	the dispatch guidelines?	4	or provide them in response to a bench request if they
5	A. I could go through them here. We have		
		1 5	are not in the record.
0	them there rules	5	are not in the record. JUDGE PEARSON: Okav. Thank vou.
6 7	them there rules Q. I quess I would ask	6 7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
	Q. I guess I would ask	6	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I didn't
7		6 7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
7 8	Q. I guess I would askA. The guidelines are	6 7 8	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I didn't JUDGE PEARSON: One moment.
7 8 9	 Q. I guess I would ask A. The guidelines are Q. I'm sorry. I guess I would ask a question 	6 7 8 9	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I didn't JUDGE PEARSON: One moment. THE WITNESS: They are known as "waterway
7 8 9 10	 Q. I guess I would ask A. The guidelines are Q. I'm sorry. I guess I would ask a question before you do answer, Captain. If do we have the 	6 7 8 9 10	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I didn't JUDGE PEARSON: One moment. THE WITNESS: They are known as "waterway guidelines" not dispatch guidelines. But in effect,
7 8 9 10 11	 Q. I guess I would ask A. The guidelines are Q. I'm sorry. I guess I would ask a question before you do answer, Captain. If do we have the dispatch guidelines in the record? 	6 7 8 9 10 11	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I didn't JUDGE PEARSON: One moment. THE WITNESS: They are known as "waterway guidelines" not dispatch guidelines. But in effect, that's what they do.
7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. I guess I would ask A. The guidelines are Q. I'm sorry. I guess I would ask a question before you do answer, Captain. If do we have the dispatch guidelines in the record? A. I'm sure we do. I'm almost certain we do. I 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I didn't JUDGE PEARSON: One moment. THE WITNESS: They are known as "waterway guidelines" not dispatch guidelines. But in effect, that's what they do. JUDGE PEARSON: We have a gentleman on
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. I guess I would ask A. The guidelines are Q. I'm sorry. I guess I would ask a question before you do answer, Captain. If do we have the dispatch guidelines in the record? A. I'm sure we do. I'm almost certain we do. I mean, there are they deal with parameters around arrival and departure that pilots are generally going to require of of the vessel. You know, like we 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I didn't JUDGE PEARSON: One moment. THE WITNESS: They are known as "waterway guidelines" not dispatch guidelines. But in effect, that's what they do. JUDGE PEARSON: We have a gentleman on the on the line who needs to turn off his camera.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. I guess I would ask A. The guidelines are Q. I'm sorry. I guess I would ask a question before you do answer, Captain. If do we have the dispatch guidelines in the record? A. I'm sure we do. I'm almost certain we do. I mean, there are they deal with parameters around arrival and departure that pilots are generally going to require of of the vessel. You know, like we wouldn't we would not they are kind of an internal 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I didn't JUDGE PEARSON: One moment. THE WITNESS: They are known as "waterway guidelines" not dispatch guidelines. But in effect, that's what they do. JUDGE PEARSON: We have a gentleman on the on the line who needs to turn off his camera. Peter Giese. Mr. Giese, can you hear me? There we go. Okay. Sorry. Go ahead. BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS:
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. I guess I would ask A. The guidelines are Q. I'm sorry. I guess I would ask a question before you do answer, Captain. If do we have the dispatch guidelines in the record? A. I'm sure we do. I'm almost certain we do. I mean, there are they deal with parameters around arrival and departure that pilots are generally going to require of of the vessel. You know, like we wouldn't we would not they are kind of an internal document that we allow the public to see so that they 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I didn't JUDGE PEARSON: One moment. THE WITNESS: They are known as "waterway guidelines" not dispatch guidelines. But in effect, that's what they do. JUDGE PEARSON: We have a gentleman on the on the line who needs to turn off his camera. Peter Giese. Mr. Giese, can you hear me? There we go. Okay. Sorry. Go ahead. BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Q. All right. So, Captain von Brandenfels, so are
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. I guess I would ask A. The guidelines are Q. I'm sorry. I guess I would ask a question before you do answer, Captain. If do we have the dispatch guidelines in the record? A. I'm sure we do. I'm almost certain we do. I mean, there are they deal with parameters around arrival and departure that pilots are generally going to require of of the vessel. You know, like we wouldn't we would not they are kind of an internal document that we allow the public to see so that they understand what pilots are gonna consider when they're 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I didn't JUDGE PEARSON: One moment. THE WITNESS: They are known as "waterway guidelines" not dispatch guidelines. But in effect, that's what they do. JUDGE PEARSON: We have a gentleman on the on the line who needs to turn off his camera. Peter Giese. Mr. Giese, can you hear me? There we go. Okay. Sorry. Go ahead. BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Q. All right. So, Captain von Brandenfels, so are you saying that the waterway guidelines that you just
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. I guess I would ask A. The guidelines are Q. I'm sorry. I guess I would ask a question before you do answer, Captain. If do we have the dispatch guidelines in the record? A. I'm sure we do. I'm almost certain we do. I mean, there are they deal with parameters around arrival and departure that pilots are generally going to require of of the vessel. You know, like we wouldn't we would not they are kind of an internal document that we allow the public to see so that they understand what pilots are gonna consider when they're doing a job. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I didn't JUDGE PEARSON: One moment. THE WITNESS: They are known as "waterway guidelines" not dispatch guidelines. But in effect, that's what they do. JUDGE PEARSON: We have a gentleman on the on the line who needs to turn off his camera. Peter Giese. Mr. Giese, can you hear me? There we go. Okay. Sorry. Go ahead. BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Q. All right. So, Captain von Brandenfels, so are you saying that the waterway guidelines that you just described are are those the dispatch guidelines or is
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. I guess I would ask A. The guidelines are Q. I'm sorry. I guess I would ask a question before you do answer, Captain. If do we have the dispatch guidelines in the record? A. I'm sure we do. I'm almost certain we do. I mean, there are they deal with parameters around arrival and departure that pilots are generally going to require of of the vessel. You know, like we wouldn't we would not they are kind of an internal document that we allow the public to see so that they understand what pilots are gonna consider when they're doing a job. So a lot of them are a lot of the waterway 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I didn't JUDGE PEARSON: One moment. THE WITNESS: They are known as "waterway guidelines" not dispatch guidelines. But in effect, that's what they do. JUDGE PEARSON: We have a gentleman on the on the line who needs to turn off his camera. Peter Giese. Mr. Giese, can you hear me? There we go. Okay. Sorry. Go ahead. BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Q. All right. So, Captain von Brandenfels, so are you saying that the waterway guidelines that you just described are are those the dispatch guidelines or is there a different
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. I guess I would ask A. The guidelines are Q. I'm sorry. I guess I would ask a question before you do answer, Captain. If do we have the dispatch guidelines in the record? A. I'm sure we do. I'm almost certain we do. I mean, there are they deal with parameters around arrival and departure that pilots are generally going to require of of the vessel. You know, like we wouldn't we would not they are kind of an internal document that we allow the public to see so that they understand what pilots are gonna consider when they're doing a job. So a lot of them are a lot of the waterway jobs that we do, we want to make sure that the current 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I didn't JUDGE PEARSON: One moment. THE WITNESS: They are known as "waterway guidelines" not dispatch guidelines. But in effect, that's what they do. JUDGE PEARSON: We have a gentleman on the on the line who needs to turn off his camera. Peter Giese. Mr. Giese, can you hear me? There we go. Okay. Sorry. Go ahead. BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Q. All right. So, Captain von Brandenfels, so are you saying that the waterway guidelines that you just described are are those the dispatch guidelines or is there a different A. That's the water
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. I guess I would ask A. The guidelines are Q. I'm sorry. I guess I would ask a question before you do answer, Captain. If do we have the dispatch guidelines in the record? A. I'm sure we do. I'm almost certain we do. I mean, there are they deal with parameters around arrival and departure that pilots are generally going to require of of the vessel. You know, like we wouldn't we would not they are kind of an internal document that we allow the public to see so that they understand what pilots are gonna consider when they're doing a job. So a lot of them are a lot of the waterway jobs that we do, we want to make sure that the current isn't excessive. So we enter the waterway one to two 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I didn't JUDGE PEARSON: One moment. THE WITNESS: They are known as "waterway guidelines" not dispatch guidelines. But in effect, that's what they do. JUDGE PEARSON: We have a gentleman on the on the line who needs to turn off his camera. Peter Giese. Mr. Giese, can you hear me? There we go. Okay. Sorry. Go ahead. BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Q. All right. So, Captain von Brandenfels, so are you saying that the waterway guidelines that you just described are are those the dispatch guidelines or is there a different A. That's the water Q different set?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. I guess I would ask A. The guidelines are Q. I'm sorry. I guess I would ask a question before you do answer, Captain. If do we have the dispatch guidelines in the record? A. I'm sure we do. I'm almost certain we do. I mean, there are they deal with parameters around arrival and departure that pilots are generally going to require of of the vessel. You know, like we wouldn't we would not they are kind of an internal document that we allow the public to see so that they understand what pilots are gonna consider when they're doing a job. So a lot of them are a lot of the waterway jobs that we do, we want to make sure that the current isn't excessive. So we enter the waterway one to two hours before high water or one hour after low water. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I didn't JUDGE PEARSON: One moment. THE WITNESS: They are known as "waterway guidelines" not dispatch guidelines. But in effect, that's what they do. JUDGE PEARSON: We have a gentleman on the on the line who needs to turn off his camera. Peter Giese. Mr. Giese, can you hear me? There we go. Okay. Sorry. Go ahead. BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Q. All right. So, Captain von Brandenfels, so are you saying that the waterway guidelines that you just described are are those the dispatch guidelines or is there a different A. That's the water Q different set? A. That's the waterway guidelines.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. I guess I would ask A. The guidelines are Q. I'm sorry. I guess I would ask a question before you do answer, Captain. If do we have the dispatch guidelines in the record? A. I'm sure we do. I'm almost certain we do. I mean, there are they deal with parameters around arrival and departure that pilots are generally going to require of of the vessel. You know, like we wouldn't we would not they are kind of an internal document that we allow the public to see so that they understand what pilots are gonna consider when they're doing a job. So a lot of them are a lot of the waterway jobs that we do, we want to make sure that the current isn't excessive. So we enter the waterway one to two hours before high water or one hour after low water. So, you know, those would be in the guidelines. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I didn't JUDGE PEARSON: One moment. THE WITNESS: They are known as "waterway guidelines" not dispatch guidelines. But in effect, that's what they do. JUDGE PEARSON: We have a gentleman on the on the line who needs to turn off his camera. Peter Giese. Mr. Giese, can you hear me? There we go. Okay. Sorry. Go ahead. BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Q. All right. So, Captain von Brandenfels, so are you saying that the waterway guidelines that you just described are are those the dispatch guidelines or is there a different A. That's the water Q different set? A. That's the waterway guidelines. Q. Okay. So I guess I'm asking about not
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. I guess I would ask A. The guidelines are Q. I'm sorry. I guess I would ask a question before you do answer, Captain. If do we have the dispatch guidelines in the record? A. I'm sure we do. I'm almost certain we do. I mean, there are they deal with parameters around arrival and departure that pilots are generally going to require of of the vessel. You know, like we wouldn't we would not they are kind of an internal document that we allow the public to see so that they understand what pilots are gonna consider when they're doing a job. So a lot of them are a lot of the waterway jobs that we do, we want to make sure that the current isn't excessive. So we enter the waterway one to two hours before high water or one hour after low water. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I didn't JUDGE PEARSON: One moment. THE WITNESS: They are known as "waterway guidelines" not dispatch guidelines. But in effect, that's what they do. JUDGE PEARSON: We have a gentleman on the on the line who needs to turn off his camera. Peter Giese. Mr. Giese, can you hear me? There we go. Okay. Sorry. Go ahead. BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Q. All right. So, Captain von Brandenfels, so are you saying that the waterway guidelines that you just described are are those the dispatch guidelines or is there a different A. That's the water Q different set? A. That's the waterway guidelines.

25 (Pages 151 to 154)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

1 guidelines for dispatching a pilot to an assignment. 1 to the Executive Director. And I guess my question is what are - what roles of yours have been delegated to the executive Director. 2 A. Not nocessam?. Not may are referred to probably. A. What were roles of mile? I would say the executive Director. 3 A. So the dispatch - may are referred to probably. A. What were roles of mile? I would say the executive Director. 4 A. Not nocessam?. Now dispatching availability. Scheduling, A. What were roles of mile? I would say the executive Director. 5 things like that. Those are in my testimory - or those are in the record. The would was the majogeneric of the employees work is an advert. It have to lok at some of the direct the ings on mine, but those things along these lines. A lot of mine, but these things along these lines along the the ings on mine, but these things along these lines. A lot of the indirector white The Biddage Commission around reporting and some of the work with the dispatch rights. 7 M. R. FASSBURG. Commissioner Biddages. I have used to a breach request specifically to PSP's dispatch in advert. 7 THE WITNESS: The yre are on our website. 9 THE WITNESS: The ordering roles? 7 THE WITNESS: The ordering roles? 7 THE WITNESS: Right. 7 Columination and the dispatch right. 8 a addirect d		Page 155		Page 157
2 A. That would be the operating rules. 2 what are – what roles of yours have bond delegated to the Security Director? 3 C. So the displatch - so you're saying that the displatch guidelines are – are in the operating rules? A. What were rules of main? I would is any the executive Director? 4 A. Wink are – what roles of yours show bond delegated to the Security Director? A. What were rules of main? I would is any the executive Director? 5 A. Not necessarily. They are reformed to probably, mayout here to know at some of the executive Director? A. What were rules of main? Security Director? 7 Wate the to integer, but I was any it might be to integer, but I was any it might be to integer, but I was any it might be to integer, but I was any it might be to integer, but I was any it might be to integer, but I was any it might be to integer, but I was any it was just about it to integer it was any it was it is what I would like to not a short nequest specifically for PSPs dispatch guidelines. The New to main any it was any ith was any way it was any way it was any was any way it was any wa	1	guidelines for dispatching a pilot to an assignment.	1	to the Executive Director. And I guess my question is
3 C. So the dispatch - so you're saying that the dispatch guidelines are - are in the operating rules? the Executive Director? 4 A. Nat necessarily. They are referred to probably, you know, dispatching, availability, scheduling, things like that. Those are in my testimony - or those are in the record. A. What were roles of mine? I would say the executing of contracts and the neployaes irwarks and the amployaes (more strangement of the amployaes (more strangement of the amployaes into the th			1	
4 dispatch guidelines are - are in the operating rules? A. What were roles of main? Void say the executing fractions with our employees, the management of the employee pension trust, things like hat. These are in my testimony - or these are in the record. 9 are in the record. 5 accuting of contracts and the negatiation with our employees, the management of the employee pension trust, things like hat. These are in marks the security of contracts and the negatiation with our employees, the management of the employee pension trust, things like hat. These are in the record. 9 MR. FASSBURG: Commissioner Balasbas, Ihate to interject, but I – II have to say, it might be to interject, but I – II have to say, it might be to interject, but I – II have to say, it might be to interject, but I – II have to say, it might be to interject, but I – II have to say, it might be to interject, but I – II have to say, it might be to interject, but I – II have to say, it might be to interject, but I – II have to say, it might be to interject, but I – II have to say, it might be to interject, but I – II have to say, it might be to interject, but I – II have to say. This with the Vitex II h	3		3	
5 A. Not necessariy. They are referred to probably, more ball the operating rules are - a deal with membor, you know, dispatching, availability, scheduling things like that. Those are in my testimory - or these are in the record. 5 executing of contracts and the englolese intrust, things like that. A fot of the engloses work is delagated to the Executive Diotextor. 10 MR. FASSBURG: Commissioner Balasbas, Ihate to interject, but I - III have to say, it might be deficial to ask If there are, in fact, current written dispatch guidelines, excausel don't think there are. 16 The Write Secure 100 work with the dispatch give pilots good visibility on predictability of jobs: to there are in the securitie Diotextor actually do the dispatch? 19 THE WRITESS: They are on our website. 10 The WRITESS: They are on our website. 10 THE WRITESS: Sim are on our website. 10 A. No. No, not at all. But there's certain things think, Captain von Brandenis, the waterway guidelines. 21 THE WRITESS: Chay. THE WRITESS: Replay and think it refers to 'dispatch guidelines,' and he wants to make sure that if those exist that we produce them. THE WRITESS: Replay. 1 23 Commissioner Rendahl, did you have a question? 2 Page 158 24 Commissioner Rendahl, did you have a question? 2 Page 158 25 Commissioner Rendahl, did you have a question? 2 A. No, on by me. It's done by the dis	4		4	A. What were roles of mine? I would say the
6 maybe. But the operating rules are deal with member, you know, dispatching, availability, scheduling, things like that. Those are in my testimony or those are in the record. employees, the management of the employees work is delegated to the Executive Director. 9 are in the record. Tid have to look at some of the orther things on mine, but those things along those lines. A for of the relations with the Pilotage Commission, some of the relations with the Pilotage Commission, some of the relations with the Pilotage Commission around of the relations with the Pilotage Commission around give pilots good visibility on predictability of jobs; unce Executive Director actually do the dispatch? 10 The WITNESS: They are on our website. 10 11 The WITNESS: They are on our website. 10 12 The WITNESS: They are on our website. 10 13 THE WITNESS: They are on our website. 10 14 The WITNESS: They are on our website. 11 15 The WITNESS: They are on our website. 12 14 The WITNESS: They are on our website. 12 15 The WITNESS: They are on our website. 12	5	A. Not necessarily. They are referred to probably,	5	-
7 you know, dispatching, availability, scheduling,	6		6	
9 are in the record. 9 10 MR. FASSBURG: Commissioner Balasbas, I hate 10 11 to intarject, but I - III have to say, I might be 10 12 beneficial to ask if there are, in fact, current written 11 13 dispatch sub its is writh the are are, in fact, current written 11 14 coMMISSIONER BALASBAS: I was just about to go there. Thank you, Mr. Fassburg, And that's what I 11 14 would Judge Pearson, that is what I would like to under for a bench request specifically for PSP subjects 11 15 guidelines. 11 12 16 would Judge Pearson, that is what I would like to under for a bench request specifically for PSP subjects 12 12 16 guidelines. 13 0. So does the Executive Director actually do the dispatch rogram - it - it produces reports and she works with that. What kind of reports they produce and even if we fired up that dispatch program - it - it produces reports and she works with that. What kind of reports they produce and even if we fired up that dispatch program - it - it produces reports and she works with that. What kind if reports work with the astandards that plots wanted to see, it's something that we have on or phone. It allows grapt predictability for when were many grap or 24. Just were available, if necessary around the dook that many the dispatch rogram inget set standards the regorthand you in who to grapt refid tability for when were m	7		7	
10 MR. FASSBURG: Commissioner Balasbas, I hate 10 mine, but those things along those lines. A lot of 11 beneficial to ask if there are, in fact, current withen 11 intractic There profing to the Pilotage Commission, some 13 dispatch guidelines, because I don't think there are. 11 intractic There profing to the Pilotage Commission, and undits, thing the dispatch 14 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: I was just about to 14 profit of a bench request specifically for PSPs dispatch 15 guidelines. 15 profit of a bench request specifically for PSPs dispatch 16 mine, but those things along those intex. 16 17 THE WITNESS: They are on our website. 17 18 MR. FASSBURG: Sory. 21 A. No. No, not at all. But there's certain things 18 THE WITNESS: No. The guidelines. 12 19 THE WITNESS: No. The guidelines. 12 20 MR. FASSBURG: The the witness. But I 23 21 THE WITNESS: Now. The bylaws I think it 24 22 THE WITNESS: Chay. 24 23 THE WITNESS: Chay. 25 24 THE WITNESS: Chay. 26 25	8	things like that. Those are in my testimony or those	8	delegated to the Executive Director.
11 to interject. but 1 – I'll have to say, it might be 11 financial recordkeeping and soudts, things of that 12 beneficial to ask if there are, in fact, current written 12 inature. The reporting to the Pilotage Commission around 13 dispatch guidelines, because I don't think there are, 13 inature. The reporting to the Pilotage Commission around 14 reporting, and some of the work with the dispatch 14 16 would Judge Pearson, that is what I voudi like to 14 17 note for a bench request specifically for PSP's dispatch 16 18 guidelines. 17 21 THE WITNESS: They are on our website. 18 22 MR, FASSBURG: Storm of reing policy. 21 23 THE WITNESS: No. The guidelines. 22 24 think, Captain von Branderles, the waterway guidelines 23 25 think, Captain von Branderles, the waterway guidelines 1 26 that well. 25 27 THE WITNESS: No. Repare 156 28 water Asset and bring it up to the standards 29 guidelines. 1 <th>9</th> <td>are in the record.</td> <th>9</th> <td>I'd have to look at some of the other things on</td>	9	are in the record.	9	I'd have to look at some of the other things on
12 beneficial to ask if there are, in fact, current written 13 dispatch guidelines, because I don't think there are. 14 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: I was just about to 15 got here. Thank you, Mr. Fassburg. And that's what I 16 would - Judge Pearson, that is what I would like to 16 would - Judge Pearson, that is what I would like to 17 note for a bench request specifically for PSP's dispatch 18 guidelines. 19 THE WITNESS: The ordening policy. 21 THE WITNESS: No. The ordening policy. 23 THE WITNESS: I'ms orry. 24 MR. FASSBURG: Tm ord the witness. But I 25 think, Captain von Brandenfels, the waterway guidelines. 26 THE WITNESS: Clay. 27 THE WITNESS: Clay. 28 are a different document than what he's asking about. 29 JUDGE PEARSON: I will note a bench request. 30 Gormissioner Rendahl, did you have a 31 Commissioner Rendahl, did you have a 32 Gormissioner Rendahl, did you have a 34 further questions. 35 Danner? 36 Coldin	10	MR. FASSBURG: Commissioner Balasbas, I hate	10	mine, but those things along those lines. A lot of
13 dispatch guidelines, because I don't think there are. 13 of the relations with the Pilotage Commission around 14 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: I was just about to 14 reporting, and some of the work with the dispatch 15 go there. Thank you, Mr. Fassburg, and that's what I 16 give pilots good visibility on predictability of jobs; 17 note for a bench request specifically for PSP's dispatch 16 give pilots good visibility on predictability of jobs; 18 THE WITNESS: They are on our website. 17 Our Executive Director has been managing for the most part that as well. 19 THE WITNESS: No. The guidelines. 17 A. No. No, not at I. But there's certain things 21 THE WITNESS: In northe witness. But I 18 7 A. No. No, not at I. But there's certain things 14 think, Captain von Brandenfels, the waterway guidelines 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. 24 16 THE WITNESS: Nay. 19 Page 156 Page 158 16 THE WITNESS: Right. 24 Page 158 19 17 THE WITNESS: Right. 10 A. No, not by me. It's done by the dispatch is done by dispatch is done by 29 20 17 JUDGE PEARSON: I will not	11	to interject, but I I'll have to say, it might be	11	financial recordkeeping and audits, things of that
14 COMMISSIONER BALASAS: I was just about to 14 reporting, and some of the work with the displatch 15 go there. Thank you, Mr. Fassburg. And that's what I 15 program that we use to maintain a strict rotation and 16 would Judge Pearson, that is what I would like to 15 program that we use to maintain a strict rotation and 17 note for a bench request specifically for PSP's displatch 17 our Executive Director has been managing for the most 18 THE WITNESS: They are on our website. 19 0. So does the Executive Director actually do the 19 THE WITNESS: Nor. The guidelines. 14 reporting, and some of the work with that. 21 THE WITNESS: Nor. The guidelines. 14 reporting. and some of the work with that. 23 THE WITNESS: Nor. The guidelines. 14 14 reporting. and some of the work with that. 23 THE WITNESS: Nor. The guidelines. 14 14 14 14 24 MR. FASSBURG: In not the witness asking about. 14 14 14 14 25 think, Captain von Branderids, the watnes to make 14 14 14 14 14 3 MR. FASSBURG: In the bylaws I think it <th>12</th> <td>beneficial to ask if there are, in fact, current written</td> <th>12</th> <td>nature. The reporting to the Pilotage Commission, some</td>	12	beneficial to ask if there are, in fact, current written	12	nature. The reporting to the Pilotage Commission, some
15 go there. Thank you, Mr. Fassburg. And that's what I 15 program that we use to maintain a strict rotation and give pilots good visibility on predictability of pics; 16 give pilots good visibility on predictability of pics; our Executive Director has been managing for the most part that as well. 19 THE WITNESS: They are on our website. 19 20 MR. FASSBURG: The ordering policy. 21 21 THE WITNESS: No. The guidelines. 21 22 MR. FASSBURG: Sorry. 23 23 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 23 24 MR. FASSBURG: I'm not the witness. But I 24 25 think, Captain von Branderles, the waterway guidelines. 24 24 proformance of that and bring it up to the standards 25 the WITNESS: New. 25 26 Page 156 Page 158 27 are a different document than what he's asking about. 1 performance of that and bring it up to the standards 26 THE WITNESS: New. 28 that who ave asking about. 1 27 THE WITNESS: Right. 3 OK and hand. So she's been basically dealing with 28 uret at will be our first bench	13	dispatch guidelines, because I don't think there are.	13	of the relations with the Pilotage Commission around
16 would Judge Pearson, that is what I would like to 16 give pilots good visibility on predictability of jobs; 17 note for a bench request specifically for PSPs dispatch 17 our Executive Director has been managing for the most 18 guidelines. 17 our Executive Director has been managing for the most 20 MR. FASSBURG: The ordering policy. 20 21 THE WITNESS: No. The guidelines. 20 22 MR. FASSBURG: The ordering policy. 20 23 THE WITNESS: No. The guidelines. 20 24 MR. FASSBURG: I'm not the witness. But I 21 25 think, Captain von Brandenfels, the waterway guidelines 22 26 rea a different document than what he's asking about. 2 27 THE WITNESS: Okay. 1 3 THE WITNESS: Right. 2 6 THE WITNESS: Right. 2 7 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. I have no 1 8 Q. Okay. And so the actual dispatch is done by 9 JUDGE PEARSON: I will note a bench request 1 16 reastift if uose exist that we produce them. 1 16<	14	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: I was just about to	14	reporting, and some of the work with the dispatch
17 note for a bench request specifically for PSP's dispatch guidelines. 17 our Executive Director has been managing for the most part that as well. 19 THE WITNESS: They are on our website. 18 20 MR, FASSBURG: The ordering policy. 20 21 THE WITNESS: No. The guidelines. 21 22 MR, FASSBURG: The ordering policy. 21 23 THE WITNESS: In sorry. 22 24 MR, FASSBURG: The ordering policy. 23 25 think, Captain von Brandenfels, the waterway guidelines 24 26 THE WITNESS: Okay. 25 1 are a different document than what he's asking about. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. 1 3 MR, FASSBURG: In the bylaws I think it 3 4 refers to 'dispatch guidelines," and he wants to make 1 5 sure that if those exist that we produce them. 1 6 THE WITNESS: Right. 1 7 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. I have no 1 8 0 . Okay. And so the actual dispatch is done by 9 JUDGE PEARSON: I will note a bench request 0	15		15	
18 guidelines. 18 part that as well. 19 THE WITNESS: They are on our website. 18 0. So does the Executive Director actually do the dispatch? 21 THE WITNESS: In morty. 24 0. So does the Executive Director actually do the dispatch? 23 THE WITNESS: In sorry. 21 A. No, No, no at all. But there's certain things 24 MR. FASSBURG: The ordering policy. 18 19 25 think, Captain von Brandenfels, the waterway guidelines 22 A. No, No, no at all. But there's certain things 25 think, Captain von Brandenfels, the waterway guidelines 24 A. No, No, no at all. But there's certain things 26 THE WITNESS: Role. 24 Page 156 Page 158 27 are a different document than what he's asking about. 11 performance of that and bring it up to the standards that pilots wated to see, it's something that we have on our phone. It allows great predictability for when we're managing our assignments, you know when to get rest and whathort. So she's been basically dealing with 4 the with the SS. Right. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. I have no 10 7 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No. Commissioner 0. Okay. And ot the actual dispatch is done by you? 11 <td< th=""><th>16</th><td></td><th>16</th><td></td></td<>	16		16	
19 THE WITNESS: They are on our website. 19 Q. So does the Executive Director actually do the dispatch? 21 THE WITNESS: No. The guidelines. 20 dispatch? 22 MR. FASSBURG: The ordering policy. 21 A. No. No, no, no at all. But there's certain things 23 THE WITNESS: No. The guidelines. 21 A. No. No, no, no at all. But there's certain things 24 MR. FASSBURG: The ordering policy. 23 and she works with that. What kind of reports they 24 MR. FASSBURG: In othe witness. But I 24 produce and eveni we fired up that dispatch program 25 think, Captain von Brandenfels, the waterway guidelines 25 right, as she started and she helped manage the 26 THE WITNESS: Roley. 26 that pilots wanted to see, it's something that we have 3 MR. FASSBURG: In the bylaws I think it 7 performance of that and bring it up to the standards 4 refers to "dispatch guidelines," and he wants to make 1 we're managing our assignments, you know - when to get 5 sure that if those exist that we produce them. 1 that pilots wanted to see, it's something that we have 6 THE WITNESS: Roley. 8 Q. Okay. And so the actual dispatch is d	17		1	
20 MR. FASSBURG: The ordering policy. 20 dispatch? 21 THE WITNESS: No. The guidelines. 21 A. No. No, not at all. But there's certain things 23 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 23 and she works with that. What kind of reports they 24 MR. FASSBURG: Sorry. 23 and she works with that. What kind of reports they 24 MR. FASSBURG: I'm not the witness. But I 24 produce and - even if we fired up that dispatch program 25 think, Captain von Brandenfels, the waterway guidelines 27 rege 156 Page 158 Page 156 Page 156 <td< th=""><th>18</th><td>•</td><th>18</th><td>•</td></td<>	18	•	18	•
21 THE WITNESS: No. The guidelines. 21 A. No. No, not at all. But there's certain things 22 MR. FASSBURG: Sorry. 22 23 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 23 24 MR. FASSBURG: I'm not the witness. But I 24 25 think, Captain von Brandenfels, the waterway guidelines 24 26 Page 156 Page 156 27 are a different document than what he's asking about. 1 28 THE WITNESS: No. No. No. on at all. But there's certain things 29 MR. FASSBURG: I'm not the witness. But I 24 20 are a different document than what he's asking about. 1 21 are a different document than what he's asking about. 1 21 THE WITNESS: Right. 2 1 3 MR. FASSBURG: In the bylaws I think it 3 3 4 refers to "dispatch guidelines," and he wants to make 4 1 5 such that if those exist that we produce them. 5 5 7 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. I have no 7 6 7 GUMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. I have no 7 A. No to me. It's done by t	19		19	
22 MR. FASSBURG: Sory. 22 that the dispatch program it it produces reports 23 THE WITNESS: I'm sory. 23 24 MR, FASSBURG: I'm no the witness. But I 23 25 think, Captain von Brandenfels, the waterway guidelines 24 26 Page 156 Page 156 27 THE WITNESS: Okay. 25 3 MR, FASSBURG: I'm the bylaws I think it 1 4 refers to "dispatch guidelines," and he wants to make 1 5 sure that if those exist that we produce them. 1 6 THE WITNESS: Right. 20 7 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. I have no 7 8 further questions. 1 9 JUDGE PEARSON: I will note a bench request 7 10 for any written document that PSP maintains on their 10 11 dispatching practices. That will be our first bench 11 12 request. 0. Okay. And be manage the dispatchers. 13 Commissioner Rendahl, did you have a 11 14 guestion? A. No, not by me. It's done by the dispatchers. 14 <td< th=""><th>20</th><td></td><th>20</th><td>-</td></td<>	20		20	-
23 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 23 and she works with that. What kind of reports they produce and even if we fired up that dispatch program right, as she started and she helped manage the 24 MR. FASSBURG: I'm not the witness. But I think, Captain von Brandenfels, the waterway guidelines 23 and she works with that. What kind of reports they produce and even if we fired up that dispatch program right, as she started and she helped manage the 25 Page 156 Page 158 1 are a different document than what he's asking about. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. 1 3 MR. FASSBURG: In the bylaws I think it refers to "dispatch guidelines," and he wants to make sure that if those exist that we produce them. 1 4 refers to "dispatch guidelines," and he wants to make sure that if those exist that we produce them. 1 6 THE WITNESS: Right. 7 7 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. I have no further questions. 8 10 for any written document that PSP maintains on their request. 1 11 dispatching practices. That will be our first bench request 9 12 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No. Commissioner 1	21	-	21	-
24 MR. FASSBURG: I'm not the witness. But I 24 produce and even if we fired up that dispatch program right, as she started and she helped manage the 25 think, Captain von Brandenfels, the waterway guidelines 24 produce and even if we fired up that dispatch program right, as she started and she helped manage the 26 Page 156 Page 158 1 are a different document than what he's asking about. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. 1 3 MR. FASSBURG: In the bylaws I think it 3 4 refers to "dispatch guidelines," and he wants to make 5 5 sure that if those exist that we produce them. 6 6 THE WITNESS: Right. 6 7 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. I have no 7 6 for any written document that PSP maintains on their 10 11 dispatching practices. That will be our first bench 11 12 request. 0 Okay. And a 13 Commissioner Rendahl, did you have a 13 14 question? 14 A. And the pilots have another hub out in Pot 15 Balabas covered my question. Thank you. 15 Angeles that h	22		1	
25 think, Captain von Brandenfels, the waterway guidelines 25 right, as she started and she helped manage the 21 Page 156 Page 158 23 mR. FASSBURG: In the bylaws I think it 1 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. 2 3 MR. FASSBURG: In the bylaws I think it 2 4 refers to "dispatch guidelines," and he wants to make 3 5 sure that if those exist that we produce them. 3 6 THE WITNESS: Right. 6 7 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. I have no 7 8 further questions. 9 9 JUDGE PEARSON: I will note a bench request 7 10 for any written document that PSP maintains on their 10 11 dispatching practices. That will be our first bench 11 12 request. 12 13 Commissioner Rendahl, did you have a 13 14 question? 14 15 Angeles that have pilots are, you know, assigned not assigned jobs, but are put on ships in that location. 16 Balasbas covered my question. Thank you. 15 17 JUD			1	
Page 156 Page 158 1 are a different document than what he's asking about. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. 1 3 MR. FASSBURG: In the bylaws I think it 2 4 refers to "dispatch guidelines," and he wants to make 2 5 sure that if those exist that we produce them. 4 6 THE WITNESS: Right. 2 7 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. I have no 6 8 further questions. 7 9 JUDGE PEARSON: I will note a bench request 7 10 for any written document that PSP maintains on their 10 12 request. 11 13 Commissioner Rendahl, did you have a 11 14 question? 12 15 GOMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No. Commissioner 15 16 Balashas covered my question. Thank you. 17 17 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Anything else, Chair 17 18 Danner? 18 19 CHAIR DANNER: Yeah, I have a few. 19 19 CHAIR DANNER: Yeaya, Law a question.				
1 are a different document than what he's asking about. 1 performance of that and bring it up to the standards 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. 1 performance of that and bring it up to the standards 3 MR. FASSBURG: In the bylaws I think it 3 on our phone. It allows great predictability for when 4 refers to 'dispatch guidelines,' and he wants to make 5 we're managing our assignments, you know when to get 5 sure that if those exist that we produce them. 6 THE WITNESS: Right. 6 6 THE WITNESS: Right. 6 the dispatch guidelines,'' and he wants to make 5 7 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. I have no 7 been a pretty large task. 6 8 further questions. 7 0 Okay. And so the actual dispatch is done by 9 JUDGE PEARSON: I will note a bench request 10 A. No, not by me. It's done by the dispatchers. 11 dispatching practices. That will be our first bench 11 We have three dispatchers at work that are available, if 12 request. 0 Commissioner 13 Q. Okay. And - 14 question? A. And the pilots have another hub out in Port Angeles	25	think, Captain von Brandenfels, the waterway guidelines	25	right, as she started and she helped manage the
3 MR. FASSBURG: In the bylaws I think it 3 on our phone. It allows great predictability for when 4 refers to "dispatch guidelines," and he wants to make 4 we're managing our assignments, you know when to get 5 sure that if those exist that we produce them. 5 rest and whatnot. So she's been basically dealing with 6 THE WITNESS: Right. 6 the the dispatch program since she started, which has 7 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. I have no 7 been a pretty large task. 8 further questions. 8 Q. Okay. And so the actual dispatch is done by 9 JUDGE PEARSON: I will note a bench request 9 you? 10 for any written document that PSP maintains on their 10 A. No, not by me. It's done by the dispatchers. 11 dispatching practices. That will be our first bench 11 We have three dispatch are available, if 12 request. 12 necessary, around the clock that manage the dispatch. 13 Commissioner Rendahl, did you have a 13 Q. OKay. And - 14 question? 14 A. And the pilots have another hub out in Port 15 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No. Commissioner 1	1	_	1	performance of that and bring it up to the standards
4refers to "dispatch guidelines," and he wants to make4we're managing our assignments, you know when to get5sure that if those exist that we produce them.5rest and whatnot. So she's been basically dealing with6THE WITNESS: Right.6the the dispatch program since she started, which has7COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. I have no7been a pretty large task.8further questions.8Q. Okay. And so the actual dispatch is done by9JUDGE PEARSON: I will note a bench request9you?10for any written document that PSP maintains on their10A. No, not by me. It's done by the dispatchers.11dispatching practices. That will be our first bench11We have three dispatchers at work that are available, if13Commissioner Rendahl, did you have a13Q. Okay. And -14question?14A. And the pilots have another hub out in Port15COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No. Commissioner15Angeles that have pilots are, you know, assigned not16Balasbas covered my question. Thank you.16assigned jobs, but are put on ships in that location.17JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Anything else, Chair17And that's they are there are times that they get18Danner?18off the ships and on the float and depart the station19CHAIR DANNER: Yeah, I have a few.19are recorded by our employees out of the pilot station.20EXAMINATION20So in a way, they are sort of kind of quasi de facto <tr< th=""><th>2</th><td>THE WITNESS: Okay.</td><th>2</th><td>that pilots wanted to see, it's something that we have</td></tr<>	2	THE WITNESS: Okay.	2	that pilots wanted to see, it's something that we have
5sure that if those exist that we produce them.5rest and whatnot. So she's been basically dealing with6THE WITNESS: Right.6the the dispatch program since she started, which has7COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. I have no7been a pretty large task.8further questions.8Q. Okay. And so the actual dispatch is done by9JUDGE PEARSON: I will note a bench request9you?10for any written document that PSP maintains on their10A. No, not by me. It's done by the dispatchers.11dispatching practices. That will be our first bench11We have three dispatchers at work that are available, if12request.12necessary, around the clock that manage the dispatch.13Commissioner Rendahl, did you have a13Q. Okay. And -14question?14A. And the pilots have another hub out in Port15COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No. Commissioner15Angeles that have pilots are, you know, assigned not16Balasbas covered my question. Thank you.16assigned jobs, but are put on ships in that location.17JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Anything else, Chair17And that's they are there are times that they get18Danner?19off the ships and on the float and depart the station19CHAIR DANNER:21So in a way, they are sort of kind of quasi de facto21BY CHAIR DANNER:21dispatchers do.22Q. I know we're going to hear from the Executive2223	3		3	
6THE WITNESS: Right.6the the dispatch program since she started, which has been a pretty large task.7COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. I have no7been a pretty large task.8further questions.8 Q. Okay. And so the actual dispatch is done by you?9JUDGE PEARSON: I will note a bench request99JUDGE preactives. That will be our first bench10A. No, not by me. It's done by the dispatchers.11dispatching practices. That will be our first bench11We have three dispatchers at work that are available, if12request.12necessary, around the clock that manage the dispatch.13Commissioner Rendahl, did you have a13 Q. Okay. And - 14question?14A. And the pilots have another hub out in Port15COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No. Commissioner15Angeles that have pilots are, you know, assigned not16Balasbas covered my question. Thank you.16assigned jobs, but are put on ships in that location.17JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Anything else, Chair17And that's they are there are times that they get18Danner?18off the ships and on the float and depart the station19CHAIR DANNER:20So in a way, they are sort of kind of quasi de facto21BY CHAIR DANNER:21dispatchers in a way. They fulfill a role similar to22Q. I know we're going to hear from the Executive22what the dispatchers do.23Director later, but I just have a question. <t< th=""><th>4</th><td></td><th>4</th><td></td></t<>	4		4	
7COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. I have no7been a pretty large task.8further questions.8Q. Okay. And so the actual dispatch is done by9JUDGE PEARSON: I will note a bench request9you?10for any written document that PSP maintains on their10A. No, not by me. It's done by the dispatchers.11dispatching practices. That will be our first bench11We have three dispatchers at work that are available, if12request.12necessary, around the clock that manage the dispatch.13Commissioner Rendahl, did you have a13Q. Okay. And14question?14A. And the pilots have another hub out in Port15COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No. Commissioner15Angeles that have pilots are, you know, assigned not16Balasbas covered my question. Thank you.16assigned jobs, but are put on ships in that location.17JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Anything else, Chair17And that's there are times that they get18Danner?18off the ships and on the float and depart the station19CHAIR DANNER: Yeah, I have a few.19are recorded by our employees out of the pilot station.20EXAMINATION20So in a way, they are sort of kind of quasi de facto21BY CHAIR DANNER:21dispatchers do.22Q. I know we're going to hear from the Executive22what the dispatchers do.23Director later, but I just have a question.23Q. Okay. And can you tell me who it is that </th <th>5</th> <td></td> <th>5</th> <td></td>	5		5	
8 further questions. 8 Q. Okay. And so the actual dispatch is done by you? 10 for any written document that PSP maintains on their 10 A. No, not by me. It's done by the dispatchers. 11 dispatching practices. That will be our first bench 11 We have three dispatchers at work that are available, if 12 request. 12 necessary, around the clock that manage the dispatch. 13 Commissioner Rendahl, did you have a 13 Q. Okay. And – 14 question? 14 A. And the pilots have another hub out in Port 15 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No. Commissioner 15 Angeles that have pilots are, you know, assigned not 16 Balasbas covered my question. Thank you. 16 assigned jobs, but are put on ships in that location. 17 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Anything else, Chair 17 And that's they are there are times that they get 18 Danner? 18 off the ships and on the float and depart the station 19 CHAIR DANNER: Yeah, I have a few. 19 are recorded by our employees out of the pilot station. 20 EXAMINATION 20 So in a way, they are sort of kind of quasi de facto 21 BY CHAIR DANNER:	6	5	6	
9JUDGE PEARSON: I will note a bench request for any written document that PSP maintains on their9you?10for any written document that PSP maintains on their10A. No, not by me. It's done by the dispatchers.11dispatching practices. That will be our first bench11We have three dispatchers at work that are available, if12request.12necessary, around the clock that manage the dispatch.13Commissioner Rendahl, did you have a13Q. Okay. And14question?14A. And the pilots have another hub out in Port15COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No. Commissioner15Angeles that have pilots are, you know, assigned not16Balasbas covered my question. Thank you.16assigned jobs, but are put on ships in that location.17JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Anything else, Chair17And that's they are there are times that they get18Danner?18off the ships and on the float and depart the station19CHAIR DANNER: Yeah, I have a few.19are recorded by our employees out of the pilot station.20EXAMINATION20So in a way, they are sort of kind of quasi de facto21BY CHAIR DANNER:21dispatchers in a way. They fulfill a role similar to22Q. I know we're going to hear from the Executive22what the dispatchers do.23Director later, but I just have a question.23Q. Okay. And can you tell me who it is that24In the bylaws, paragraph 4.2, it says, Captain,24prepares the activity re			1	1 3 8
10for any written document that PSP maintains on their10A. No, not by me. It's done by the dispatchers.11dispatching practices. That will be our first bench11We have three dispatchers at work that are available, if12request.12necessary, around the clock that manage the dispatch.13Commissioner Rendahl, did you have a13 Q. Okay. And - 14question?14A. And the pilots have another hub out in Port15COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No. Commissioner15Angeles that have pilots are, you know, assigned not16Balasbas covered my question. Thank you.16assigned jobs, but are put on ships in that location.17JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Anything else, Chair17And that's they are there are times that they get18Danner?18off the ships and on the float and depart the station20EXAMINATION20So in a way, they are sort of kind of quasi de facto21BY CHAIR DANNER:21dispatchers in a way. They fulfill a role similar to22Q. I know we're going to hear from the Executive22what the dispatchers do.23Director later, but I just have a question.23Q. Okay. And can you tell me who it is that24In the bylaws, paragraph 4.2, it says, Captain,24prepares the activity reports?	8		8	
11dispatching practices. That will be our first bench11We have three dispatchers at work that are available, if12request.12necessary, around the clock that manage the dispatch.13Commissioner Rendahl, did you have a13 Q. Okay. And 14question?14A. And the pilots have another hub out in Port15COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No. Commissioner15Angeles that have pilots are, you know, assigned not16Balasbas covered my question. Thank you.16assigned jobs, but are put on ships in that location.17JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Anything else, Chair17And that's they are there are times that they get18Danner?18off the ships and on the float and depart the station19CHAIR DANNER: Yeah, I have a few.19are recorded by our employees out of the pilot station.20EXAMINATION20So in a way, they are sort of kind of quasi de facto21BY CHAIR DANNER:21dispatchers in a way. They fulfill a role similar to22Q. I know we're going to hear from the Executive22what the dispatchers do.23Director later, but I just have a question.23Q. Okay. And can you tell me who it is that24In the bylaws, paragraph 4.2, it says, Captain,24prepares the activity reports?				-
12request.12necessary, around the clock that manage the dispatch.13Commissioner Rendahl, did you have a13Q. Okay. And14question?14A. And the pilots have another hub out in Port15COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No. Commissioner15Angeles that have pilots are, you know, assigned not16Balasbas covered my question. Thank you.16assigned jobs, but are put on ships in that location.17JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Anything else, Chair17And that's they are there are times that they get18Danner?18off the ships and on the float and depart the station19CHAIR DANNER: Yeah, I have a few.19are recorded by our employees out of the pilot station.20EXAMINATION20So in a way, they are sort of kind of quasi de facto21BY CHAIR DANNER:21dispatchers in a way. They fulfill a role similar to23Director later, but I just have a question.23Q. Okay. And can you tell me who it is that24In the bylaws, paragraph 4.2, it says, Captain,24prepares the activity reports?		-		
13Commissioner Rendahl, did you have a13 Q. Okay. And 14question?14A. And the pilots have another hub out in Port15COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No. Commissioner15Angeles that have pilots are, you know, assigned not16Balasbas covered my question. Thank you.16assigned jobs, but are put on ships in that location.17JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Anything else, Chair17And that's they are there are times that they get18Danner?18off the ships and on the float and depart the station19CHAIR DANNER: Yeah, I have a few.19are recorded by our employees out of the pilot station.20EXAMINATION20So in a way, they are sort of kind of quasi de facto21BY CHAIR DANNER:21dispatchers in a way. They fulfill a role similar to23Director later, but I just have a question.23Q. Okay. And can you tell me who it is that24In the bylaws, paragraph 4.2, it says, Captain,24prepares the activity reports?				
14question?14A. And the pilots have another hub out in Port15COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No. Commissioner15Angeles that have pilots are, you know, assigned not16Balasbas covered my question. Thank you.16assigned jobs, but are put on ships in that location.17JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Anything else, Chair17And that's they are there are times that they get18Danner?18off the ships and on the float and depart the station19CHAIR DANNER: Yeah, I have a few.19are recorded by our employees out of the pilot station.20EXAMINATION20So in a way, they are sort of kind of quasi de facto21BY CHAIR DANNER:21dispatchers in a way. They fulfill a role similar to22Q. I know we're going to hear from the Executive22what the dispatchers do.23Director later, but I just have a question.23Q. Okay. And can you tell me who it is that24In the bylaws, paragraph 4.2, it says, Captain,24prepares the activity reports?		•	1	
15COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: No. Commissioner15Angeles that have pilots are, you know, assigned not16Balasbas covered my question. Thank you.16assigned jobs, but are put on ships in that location.17JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Anything else, Chair17And that's they are there are times that they get18Danner?18off the ships and on the float and depart the station19CHAIR DANNER: Yeah, I have a few.19are recorded by our employees out of the pilot station.20EXAMINATION20So in a way, they are sort of kind of quasi de facto21BY CHAIR DANNER:21dispatchers in a way. They fulfill a role similar to22Q. I know we're going to hear from the Executive22what the dispatchers do.23Director later, but I just have a question.23Q. Okay. And can you tell me who it is that24In the bylaws, paragraph 4.2, it says, Captain,24Prepares the activity reports?		· · ·	1	-
16Balasbas covered my question. Thank you.16assigned jobs, but are put on ships in that location.17JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Anything else, Chair17And that's they are there are times that they get18Danner?18off the ships and on the float and depart the station19CHAIR DANNER: Yeah, I have a few.19are recorded by our employees out of the pilot station.20EXAMINATION20So in a way, they are sort of kind of quasi de facto21BY CHAIR DANNER:21dispatchers in a way. They fulfill a role similar to22Q. I know we're going to hear from the Executive22what the dispatchers do.23Director later, but I just have a question.23Q. Okay. And can you tell me who it is that24In the bylaws, paragraph 4.2, it says, Captain,24Prepares the activity reports?		•	1	
17JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Anything else, Chair17And that's they are there are times that they get18Danner?18off the ships and on the float and depart the station19CHAIR DANNER: Yeah, I have a few.19are recorded by our employees out of the pilot station.20EXAMINATION20So in a way, they are sort of kind of quasi de facto21BY CHAIR DANNER:21dispatchers in a way. They fulfill a role similar to22Q. I know we're going to hear from the Executive22what the dispatchers do.23Director later, but I just have a question.23Q. Okay. And can you tell me who it is that24In the bylaws, paragraph 4.2, it says, Captain,24prepares the activity reports?			1	
18Danner?18off the ships and on the float and depart the station19CHAIR DANNER: Yeah, I have a few.19are recorded by our employees out of the pilot station.20EXAMINATION20So in a way, they are sort of kind of quasi de facto21BY CHAIR DANNER:21dispatchers in a way. They fulfill a role similar to22Q. I know we're going to hear from the Executive22what the dispatchers do.23Director later, but I just have a question.23Q. Okay. And can you tell me who it is that24In the bylaws, paragraph 4.2, it says, Captain,24prepares the activity reports?			1	
19CHAIR DANNER: Yeah, I have a few.19are recorded by our employees out of the pilot station.20EXAMINATION20So in a way, they are sort of kind of quasi de facto21BY CHAIR DANNER:21dispatchers in a way. They fulfill a role similar to22Q. I know we're going to hear from the Executive22what the dispatchers do.23Director later, but I just have a question.23Q. Okay. And can you tell me who it is that24In the bylaws, paragraph 4.2, it says, Captain,24prepares the activity reports?			1	
20EXAMINATION20So in a way, they are sort of kind of quasi de facto21BY CHAIR DANNER:21dispatchers in a way. They fulfill a role similar to22Q. I know we're going to hear from the Executive22what the dispatchers do.23Director later, but I just have a question.23Q. Okay. And can you tell me who it is that24In the bylaws, paragraph 4.2, it says, Captain,24prepares the activity reports?				
21BY CHAIR DANNER:21dispatchers in a way. They fulfill a role similar to22Q. I know we're going to hear from the Executive22what the dispatchers do.23Director later, but I just have a question.23Q. Okay. And can you tell me who it is that24In the bylaws, paragraph 4.2, it says, Captain,24		•	1	
22Q. I know we're going to hear from the Executive22what the dispatchers do.23Director later, but I just have a question.23Q. Okay. And can you tell me who it is that24In the bylaws, paragraph 4.2, it says, Captain,24prepares the activity reports?			1	
23Director later, but I just have a question.23Q. Okay. And can you tell me who it is that24In the bylaws, paragraph 4.2, it says, Captain,24prepares the activity reports?			1	
24In the bylaws, paragraph 4.2, it says, Captain,24prepares the activity reports?			1	
			1	
	24		1	
		that the Board of Directors may delegate certain powers	25	A. Those are done by our administrative staff in

26 (Pages 155 to 158)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Docket No. TP-190976 - Vol. III - 8/12/2020

1 our office. It would be our our dispatcher in 2 conjunction with our accounts receivable. 3 Q. Okay. I see on that that it has a column called 4 "pilot attendees." And I don't see your name anywhere 5 there. 6 Are you not participating or are you 7 participating in these activities? 8 A. In the rotation? You mean, like, being 9 available for shipments? 10 Q. For example, I mean and I'm looking at March 11 and one of them is Board of Directors and It has six 12 sets of initials: BOU, KAL, KLA, NEW, SCM, THG. 13 A. Yeah, because there was a considerable amount on assignment time. 14 I just assumed it would be because I am on the Board 15 of Directors as the President, I'm and always 16 withally on call. I guess I'm assumed to be at that 17 meetings or virtually anything else to be honest. 18 March 28th, then, it's two name or it's two sets of initials: MAY and SCR. 24 A. I was not in attendance there, but those two 25 pilots were Board of Pilotage Commission reetings or virtually anything else to be honest.	
2conjunction with our accounts receivable.2A. There was a there was a considerable amount3Q. Okay. I see on that that it has a column called3A. There was a there was a considerable amount4"pilot attendees." And I don't see your name anywhere3of effort put into considering whether or not that was a5there.5and they have expedited the6Are you not participating or are you6pilot delivery to those ships and, therefore, cut down7participating in these activities?7on assignment time.8A. In the rotation? You mean, like, being8And because we were faced with a pretty serious9available for shipments?9pilot shortage and have been for a long time, it was10Q. For example, I mean and I'm looking at March1011and one of them is Board of Directors and it has six1112sets of initials: BOU, KAL, KLA, NEW, SCM, THG.1213A. Yeah, because that that would be correct.1314I just assumed it would be because I am on the Board1415of Directors as the President, I'm and always1516virtually on call. I guess I'm assumed to be at that1617meeting as well and, therefore, not needed to report.1718I'm not reported on that as being a Pilotage Commission1819meetings or virtually anything else to be honest.1920Q. Okay. So train-the-trainer instructors on1821March 2	
3Q. Okay. I see on that that it has a column called "pilot attendees." And I don't see your name anywhere there.3of effort put into considering whether or not that was a more feasible way to deliver pilots as they do that now in the Columbia River. And they have expedited the pilot delivery to those ships and, therefore, cut down on assignment time.6Are you not participating or are you participating in these activities?6For example, I mean and I'm looking at March 1178A. In the rotation? You mean, like, being available for shipments?8And because we were faced with a pretty serious pilot shortage and have been for a long time, it was thought that investigating that option for Puget Sound 1110Q. For example, I mean and I'm looking at March 1110For example, I mean and I'm looking at March 111011and one of them is Board of Directors and it has six sets of initials: BOU, KAL, KLA, NEW, SCM, THG. 1211Pilots, because we have a very large region, among the largest in the country, our area of operation is vast.13A. Yeah, because that that would be correct. 1413And it was considered by a group of of our associate of our pilots, of my partners, that that would be a way to mitigate the size of our district and and deliver pilots to those their assignments in a faster fashion than on-ground transportation. So we we gave it considerable thought, and those pilots did a lot of work on their own time Q. Okay.14I just assumed to be at that meeting as well and, therefore, not needed to report. I'm not reported on that as being a Pilotage Commission meetings or virtually anything e	
5there.5in the Columbia River. And they have expedited the6Are you not participating or are you6pilot delivery to those ships and, therefore, cut down7participating in these activities?7on assignment time.8A. In the rotation? You mean, like, being8And because we were faced with a pretty serious9available for shipments?9pilot shortage and have been for a long time, it was10Q. For example, I mean and I'm looking at March10thought that investigating that option for Puget Sound11and one of them is Board of Directors and it has six11Pilots, because we have a very large region, among the12sets of initials: BOU, KAL, KLA, NEW, SCM, THG.12largest in the country, our area of operation is vast.13A. Yeah, because that that would be correct.13And it was considered by a group of of our14I just assumed it would be because I am on the Board14associate of our pilots, of my partners, that that16virtually on call. I guess I'm assumed to be at that16and and deliver pilots to those their assignments17meeting as well and, therefore, not needed to report.17in a faster fashion than on-ground transportation. So18I'm not reported on that as being a Pilotage Commission18we we gave it considerable thought, and those pilots19meetings or virtually anything else to be honest.19did a lot of work on their own time20Q. Okay.21A to sort of position. A	
6Are you not participating or are you6pilot delivery to those ships and, therefore, cut down7participating in these activities?7on assignment time.8A. In the rotation? You mean, like, being8And because we were faced with a pretty serious9available for shipments?9pilot shortage and have been for a long time, it was10Q. For example, I mean and I'm looking at March9pilot shortage and have been for a long time, it was11and one of them is Board of Directors and it has six10thought that investigating that option for Puget Sound11and one of them is Board of Directors and it has six11Pilots, because we have a very large region, among the12sets of initials: BOU, KAL, KLA, NEW, SCM, THG.12largest in the country, our area of operation is vast.13A. Yeah, because that that would be correct.13And it was considered by a group of of our14I just assumed it would be because I am on the Board14associate of our pilots, of my partners, that that15of Directors as the President, I'm and always15would be a way to mitigate the size of our district16virtually on call. I guess I'm assumed to be at that16and and deliver pilots to those their assignments17meetings or virtually anything else to be honest.19did a lot of work on their own time20Q. Okay. So train-the-trainer instructors on21A. '- to sort of position. And I, you know, an21March 28th, then, it's two name	
7participating in these activities?7on assignment time.8A. In the rotation? You mean, like, being8And because we were faced with a pretty serious9available for shipments?9pilot shortage and have been for a long time, it was10Q. For example, I mean and I'm looking at March10thought that investigating that option for Puget Sound11and one of them is Board of Directors and it has six10thought that investigating that option for Puget Sound12sets of initials: BOU, KAL, KLA, NEW, SCM, THG.12largest in the country, our area of operation is vast.13A. Yeah, because that that would be correct.13And it was considered by a group of of our14I just assumed it would be because I am on the Board14associate of our pilots, of my partners, that that15of Directors as the President, I'm and always15would be a way to mitigate the size of our district16virtually on call. I guess I'm assumed to be at that16and and deliver pilots to those their assignments17meeting as well and, therefore, not needed to report.17in a faster fashion than on-ground transportation. So18I'm not reported on that as being a Pilotage Commission18we we gave it considerable thought, and those pilots19meetings or virtually anything else to be honest.12Q. Okay.21March 28th, then, it's two name or it's two sets of21A to sort of position. And I, you know, an22initials: MAY and SCR. <th></th>	
8A. In the rotation? You mean, like, being8And because we were faced with a pretty serious9available for shipments?9pilot shortage and have been for a long time, it was10 Q. For example, I mean and I'm looking at March 10thought that investigating that option for Puget Sound11and one of them is Board of Directors and it has six10Pilots, because we have a very large region, among the12sets of initials: BOU, KAL, KLA, NEW, SCM, THG.12largest in the country, our area of operation is vast.13A. Yeah, because that that would be correct.13And it was considered by a group of of our14I just assumed it would be because I am on the Board14associate of our pilots, of my partners, that that15of Directors as the President, I'm and always15would be a way to mitigate the size of our district16virtually on call. I guess I'm assumed to be at that16and and deliver pilots to those their assignments17meetings as well and, therefore, not needed to report.17in a faster fashion than on-ground transportation. So18I'm not reported on that as being a Pilotage Commission18we we gave it considerable thought, and those pilots19meetings or virtually anything else to be honest.19di d a lot of work on their own time20Q. Okay. So train-the-trainer instructors on20A to sort of position. And I, you know, an21March 28th, then, it's two name or it's two sets of21A to sort of position.	
9available for shipments?9pilot shortage and have been for a long time, it was10 Q. For example, I mean and I'm looking at March 10thought that investigating that option for Puget Sound11and one of them is Board of Directors and it has six10Pilots, because we have a very large region, among the12sets of initials: BOU, KAL, KLA, NEW, SCM, THG.12largest in the country, our area of operation is vast.13A. Yeah, because that that would be correct.13And it was considered by a group of of our14I just assumed it would be because I am on the Board14associate of our pilots, of my partners, that that15of Directors as the President, I'm and always15would be a way to mitigate the size of our district16virtually on call. I guess I'm assumed to be at that16and and deliver pilots to those their assignments17meeting as well and, therefore, not needed to report.17in a faster fashion than on-ground transportation. So18I'm not reported on that as being a Pilotage Commission18we we gave it considerable thought, and those pilots19meetings or virtually anything else to be honest.19did a lot of work on their own time20Q. Okay. So train-the-trainer instructors on20A to sort of position. And I, you know, an21March 28th, then, it's two name or it's two sets of21A to sort of position. And I, you know, an22initials: MAY and SCR.2324A. I was not in attendance there, but	
10Q. For example, I mean and I'm looking at March and one of them is Board of Directors and it has six sets of initials: BOU, KAL, KLA, NEW, SCM, THG.10thought that investigating that option for Puget Sound11and one of them is Board of Directors and it has six sets of initials: BOU, KAL, KLA, NEW, SCM, THG.10thought that investigating that option for Puget Sound13A. Yeah, because that that would be correct.13A. Yeah, because that that would be correct.1314I just assumed it would be because I am on the Board14associate of our pilots, of my partners, that that15of Directors as the President, I'm and always15would be a way to mitigate the size of our district16virtually on call. I guess I'm assumed to be at that16and and deliver pilots to those their assignments17meeting as well and, therefore, not needed to report.17in a faster fashion than on-ground transportation. So18I'm not reported on that as being a Pilotage Commission18we we gave it considerable thought, and those pilots19meetings or virtually anything else to be honest.19did a lot of work on their own time20Q. Okay. So train-the-trainer instructors on20A to sort of position. And I, you know, an21March 28th, then, it's two name or it's two sets of21A to sort of position. And I, you know, an22initials: MAY and SCR.23never it never took off for lack of a better term.24A. I was not in attendance there, but those two24<	
11and one of them is Board of Directors and it has six11Pilots, because we have a very large region, among the largest in the country, our area of operation is vast.12sets of initials: BOU, KAL, KLA, NEW, SCM, THG.12largest in the country, our area of operation is vast.13A. Yeah, because that that would be correct.13And it was considered by a group of of our14I just assumed it would be because I am on the Board14associate of our pilots, of my partners, that that15of Directors as the President, I'm and always15would be a way to mitigate the size of our district16virtually on call. I guess I'm assumed to be at that16and and deliver pilots to those their assignments17meeting as well and, therefore, not needed to report.17in a faster fashion than on-ground transportation. So18I'm not reported on that as being a Pilotage Commission18we we gave it considerable thought, and those pilots19meetings or virtually anything else to be honest.20Q. Okay.21March 28th, then, it's two name or it's two sets of21A to sort of position. And I, you know, an22initials: MAY and SCR.21A. I was not in attendance at those?2224A. I was not in attendance there, but those two24Q. Yeah. I was just wondering about, you know,	
12sets of initials: BOU, KAL, KLA, NEW, SCM, THG.12largest in the country, our area of operation is vast.13A. Yeah, because that that would be correct.13And it was considered by a group of of our14I just assumed it would be because I am on the Board14associate of our pilots, of my partners, that that15of Directors as the President, I'm and always14associate of our pilots, of my partners, that that16virtually on call. I guess I'm assumed to be at that16and and deliver pilots to those their assignments17meeting as well and, therefore, not needed to report.17in a faster fashion than on-ground transportation. So18I'm not reported on that as being a Pilotage Commission18we we gave it considerable thought, and those pilots19meetings or virtually anything else to be honest.19did a lot of work on their own time20Q. Okay. So train-the-trainer instructors on20Q. Okay.21March 28th, then, it's two name or it's two sets of21A to sort of position. And I, you know, an23Were you in attendance at those?2324A. I was not in attendance there, but those two24A. I was not in attendance there, but those two24Q. Yeah. I was just wondering about, you know,	
 A. Yeah, because that that would be correct. I just assumed it would be because I am on the Board of Directors as the President, I'm and always virtually on call. I guess I'm assumed to be at that meeting as well and, therefore, not needed to report. I'm not reported on that as being a Pilotage Commission meetings or virtually anything else to be honest. Q. Okay. So train-the-trainer instructors on March 28th, then, it's two name or it's two sets of initials: MAY and SCR. Were you in attendance at those? A. I was not in attendance there, but those two A. I was not in attendance there, but those two 	9
14I just assumed it would be because I am on the Board14associate of our pilots, of my partners, that that15of Directors as the President, I'm and always15associate of our pilots, of my partners, that that16virtually on call. I guess I'm assumed to be at that16and and deliver pilots to those their assignments17meeting as well and, therefore, not needed to report.17in a faster fashion than on-ground transportation. So18I'm not reported on that as being a Pilotage Commission18we we gave it considerable thought, and those pilots19meetings or virtually anything else to be honest.19did a lot of work on their own time20Q. Okay. So train-the-trainer instructors on20Q. Okay.21March 28th, then, it's two name or it's two sets of21A to sort of position. And I, you know, an23Were you in attendance at those?23idea around doing that service; however, it it24A. I was not in attendance there, but those two24Q. Yeah. I was just wondering about, you know,	
15of Directors as the President, I'm and always15would be a way to mitigate the size of our district16virtually on call. I guess I'm assumed to be at that16and and deliver pilots to those their assignments17meeting as well and, therefore, not needed to report.16in a faster fashion than on-ground transportation. So18I'm not reported on that as being a Pilotage Commission18we we gave it considerable thought, and those pilots19meetings or virtually anything else to be honest.19did a lot of work on their own time20Q. Okay. So train-the-trainer instructors on20Q. Okay.21March 28th, then, it's two name or it's two sets of21A to sort of position. And I, you know, an23Were you in attendance at those?23idea around doing that service; however, it it24A. I was not in attendance there, but those two24Q. Yeah. I was just wondering about, you know,	
 virtually on call. I guess I'm assumed to be at that meeting as well and, therefore, not needed to report. I'm not reported on that as being a Pilotage Commission meetings or virtually anything else to be honest. Q. Okay. So train-the-trainer instructors on March 28th, then, it's two name or it's two sets of initials: MAY and SCR. Were you in attendance at those? A. I was not in attendance there, but those two wittually on call. I guess I'm assumed to be at that and and deliver pilots to those their assignments and and deliver pilots to those their assignments in a faster fashion than on-ground transportation. So we we gave it considerable thought, and those pilots did a lot of work on their own time Q. Okay. March 28th, then, it's two name or it's two sets of initials: MAY and SCR. Were you in attendance at those? A. I was not in attendance there, but those two 	
 meeting as well and, therefore, not needed to report. I'm not reported on that as being a Pilotage Commission meetings or virtually anything else to be honest. Q. Okay. So train-the-trainer instructors on March 28th, then, it's two name or it's two sets of initials: MAY and SCR. Were you in attendance at those? A. I was not in attendance there, but those two in a faster fashion than on-ground transportation. So in a faster fashion than on-ground transportation. So in a faster fashion than on-ground transportation. So we we gave it considerable thought, and those pilots did a lot of work on their own time Q. Okay. A to sort of position. And I, you know, an idea around doing that service; however, it it never it never took off for lack of a better term. Q. Yeah. I was just wondering about, you know, 	
18I'm not reported on that as being a Pilotage Commission meetings or virtually anything else to be honest.18we we gave it considerable thought, and those pilots did a lot of work on their own time20Q. Okay. So train-the-trainer instructors on March 28th, then, it's two name or it's two sets of initials: MAY and SCR.18we we gave it considerable thought, and those pilots did a lot of work on their own time 2021March 28th, then, it's two name or it's two sets of initials: MAY and SCR.21A to sort of position. And I, you know, an idea around doing that service; however, it it never it never took off for lack of a better term.23Were you in attendance at those? 2423Q. Yeah. I was just wondering about, you know,	
19meetings or virtually anything else to be honest.19did a lot of work on their own time20Q. Okay. So train-the-trainer instructors on20Q. Okay.21March 28th, then, it's two name or it's two sets of21A to sort of position. And I, you know, an22initials: MAY and SCR.22idea around doing that service; however, it it23Were you in attendance at those?23never it never took off for lack of a better term.24A. I was not in attendance there, but those two24Q. Yeah. I was just wondering about, you know,	
20Q. Okay. So train-the-trainer instructors on20Q. Okay.21March 28th, then, it's two name or it's two sets of21A to sort of position. And I, you know, an22initials: MAY and SCR.22idea around doing that service; however, it it23Were you in attendance at those?23never it never took off for lack of a better term.24A. I was not in attendance there, but those two24Q. Yeah. I was just wondering about, you know,	
21March 28th, then, it's two name or it's two sets of21A to sort of position. And I, you know, an22initials: MAY and SCR.22idea around doing that service; however, it it23Were you in attendance at those?23never it never took off for lack of a better term.24A. I was not in attendance there, but those two24Q. Yeah. I was just wondering about, you know,	
22initials: MAY and SCR.22idea around doing that service; however, it it23Were you in attendance at those?23never it never took off for lack of a better term.24A. I was not in attendance there, but those two24Q. Yeah. I was just wondering about, you know,	
23Were you in attendance at those?23never it never took off for lack of a better term.24A. I was not in attendance there, but those two24Q. Yeah. I was just wondering about, you know,	
A. I was not in attendance there, but those two 24 Q. Yeah. I was just wondering about, you know,	
25 pilots were Board of Pilotage Commissioners at that time 25 these activities, do people drop off the board? I mea	
	n,
Page 160 Page 160 Page 160	2
1 that were a part of that meeting. 1 there's another one, for example, on October 12th, 2017, 2 Q. Okay. So sometimes when it says "pilot 2 there was a a what looks like a legislative	
2 Q. Okay. So sometimes when it says "pilot 2 there was a a what looks like a legislative 3 attendees," sometimes you're at those meetings and 3 fundraiser. It's a Gail Carlton event. SCS went.	
4 sometimes you are not at those activities? 4 Did SCS drop off the board for that event? Did	
5 A. Yes, that's correct. I would be I'm aware of 5 you attend that event?	
6 those meetings, and I'm making sure that those pilots 6 A. I believe I did attend that event. I believe it	
7 are, I guess, somewhat you know, assigned, for lack 7 was at Pier 70. And because she because that pilot	
8 of a better term, even though it doesn't fit into some 8 was in her district and has a relationship with her, I	
9 of our bylaws and operating rules or whatever. But they 9 think he wanted to attend. I can't remember if he was	
10 are I'm making sure that those pilots are attending 10 on or off duty, though.	
11 those; however, I'm not always attending those. But at 11 Q. But that would be deemed to be a a Puget	
12 the same time, I'm I'm off and at meetings that are 12 Sound Pilotage District activity?	
13 called out in that activity report. 13 A. That was	
14 Q. Okay. So, for example, on March 6th, there was 14 Q. It's listed as such.	
15 a helicopter convention in Dallas. I assume that was 15 A. Yeah.	
16the Heli-Expo. JRD/HAR attended. Were you there?16Q. Yeah. Okay. All right. Thank you. Those are17A. I did not attend that. And I believe that17mv questions. Thank you.	
18that was done yeah. I'm not sure if that was all18A. Thank you.19I'm pretty sure that that was off watch. Those pilots19JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Commissioner	
1919JODGE PEARSON. Airlight. Commissioner20did that on their own.20Rendahl?	
21 Q. So no one no one was dropping to the bottom 21 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thank you.	
21 4. So no one was dropping to the bottom 21 Commission like the bottom 22 of the board for that? 22 EXAMINATION	
23 A. No. 23 BY COMMISSIONER RENDAHL:	
24 Q. All right. And was that was that germane to 24 Q. Captain von Brandenfels, I want to follow up on	
25 what the pilot pilot the Puget Sound pilots are 25 this dispatch program, and you can let me know whether	

27 (Pages 159 to 162)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

1 you are the appropriate winess or whether it would be the Executive Director. von Brandenfels, you are excused at this point. 2 Is this program something that is – that PSP developed on its own, or is this an off-the-shelf program that is used by other plotage organizations for dispatch? So this profile and the-shelf program that was developed by Charles Cee down in New Orleans, and it's used by lasst - at least six or eight other proficatability, sa – it's a very interactive predictability, sa much as they can. It outlines jobs and – and yourch abit or eight other plotage districts. And it's a – it's a very interactive predictability, sa much as they can. It outlines jobs and – and yourch abit or eight interactive phone and tasto files reports. So, yeah, it is off the the shelf. NS. BROWN: Excuse me, Judge Pearson, JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. 2 and – and yourch abit or eight interactive phone and tasto files reports. So, yeah, it is off the the shelf. It is the aname? I'm sorry. What is the name of the program? It is the aname? I'm sorry. What is the remain with their – with their cameras on, because I, or the Paget South Piotage and – and yourch abit to look like the program. Mark dowload on our own, which was successful for a while. But it needed considerable It was not dowload as the testimory in the wash out down and on off-the-shelf program. And so in order for pliots to mich was successful for a while. But it needed considerable It was not dowload on our own, winch was successful for a while. But it needed considerable It was not dowload on our own, winch was successful for a while. But it needed considerable It was not dowload on our own, winch was successful for a while. But it needed considerable		Page 163		Page 165	
2 The Executive Director. 1 3 Is this program something that is an off-the-shelf program that is used by other pilotage organizations for dispatch? 3 Itel WITNESS: Thank you. 7 A. This is an off-the-shelf program that was developed on that is one of the program. 6 5 7 A. This is an off-the-shelf program that is used by other pilotage organizations for dispatch? 7 Khawaja, if you could turn on your camea. 7 A. This is an off-the-shelf program that is used by at least - at least six or eight other pilotage distribution. And it's at it's a very interactive program that jees pilots good predictability, an under at he shelf. 7 M. BROWN: This is Shall yBrown from the program? 12 prodictability, as much as they can. It could liter pilotage organizations for the program? 10 D. And what is the name? I'm sorry. What is the facial expressions and whatmod the pilotage in this ont. 13 0. Okey. And has PS P made any -specific to PSP, made any changes to that program for we mared it to poke program for we developed on or work, which was successful for a while. But it needed considerable 10 CHAIR DANNER: Is apologize for that. 24 program that we daveled considerable 11 JUDGE PEARSON: Chair Danner, do you have 25 successful for any mare at while. But it needed considerable 12 CHAIR DANNER:	1		1	von Brandenfels, vou are excused at this point.	
3 Is this program something that is - that PSP JUDGE PEARSON: Our net witness is Dr. Sami 4 developed on is own, or is this an off-the-shelf Dr. Khawaja, if you could turn on your 6 developed by Charles Coe down in New Orbens, and its MS. BROWN: This is all Stown from 10 developed by Charles Coe down in New Orbens, and its MS. BROWN: This is all Stown from 11 program that gives piloting opd predictability, not great MS. BROWN: This is all Stown from 12 prodictability, as much as they can. It outlines jobs Is to possible for the Cormissioners to 13 and - and your sable on get information from your Ternain with their - with their reareas on, because I, 14 the facial expressions and whatnot of the judges in this 15 the statistic on the program of the program? 16 O. And what is the name? I'm sorry. What is the 17 A. Coe, Co-C. Dispatching. 18 Vessibe and the program? 19 M. Coe, Co-C. Dispatching. 10 Coe, Co-C. Dispatching. 11 Yes. We made a number of charges Look like the 12 A. Yes. We made a number of charges Look like the Yes. We made a number of charges Look l					
4 developed on its own, or is this an off-the-shelf Franking		Is this program something that is that PSP	3	-	
s program that is used by other pilotage organizations for developed by Charles Cee down in New Organs, and its used by at least at least six or gift other pilotage districts. And its a it's a very interactive prodicability, as much as they can. It cullines jobs and and you're abits good predicability, not great prodicability, as much as they can. It cullines jobs and and you're abits ogo of predicability, not great prodicability, as much as they can. It cullines jobs and and you're abits ogo in predicability, not great phone and it also files reports. So, yeah, it is off the shelf. Image: Solution of the program prodicability, as much as they can. It cullines jobs and and you're abits ogo in predicability, not great phone and it also files reports. So, yeah, it is off the shelf. Image: Solution of the program phone and it also files reports. So, yeah, it is off the shelf. Image: Solution of the program phone and it also files reports. So, yeah, it is off the shelf. Image: Solution of the program phone and it also files reports. So, yeah, it is off the shelf. Image: Solution of the program phone and it also files reports. So, yeah, it is off the shelf. Image: Solution of the program phone and it also files reports. So, yeah, it is off the report solution or dispatching of tor the Puge! Solution of the program of the program it to look like the program. And so in order for plates to migrate to the program. And so in order for plates to migrate to the program day the was lest at few custom things that we wanted to ob there was just a few custom things that we wanted to ob there was just a few custom things that we wanted to bolicked? Page 164 Page 164 Page 164 Page 164 Page 164 Page 164 Page 164 Page 164 Pag	4		4		
6 dispatch? camara. 7 A. This is an off-the-shell program that wass developed by Charles Coe down in New Orleans, and it's used by at least six or eight duther pilotage districts. And it's a - it's a vary interactive 7 MS. BROWN: Excuse me, Judge Pearson. JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. 9 used by at least six or eight duther pilotage districts. And it's a - it's a vary interactive 7 MS. BROWN: This is Sally Brown from 11 program that gives pilots good predictability, an guide to get information from your phone and it also files reports. So, yeah, it is off 10 Charl what is the name? I'm sorry. What is the name of the porgram? 11 11 12 18 A. Coe, C-O-E, Dispatching. 20 CHAIR DANNER: Is my camare not on? 19 A. Coe, C-O-E, Dispatching. 21 21 MS. BROWN: It wasn't on during your questioning either, Chairman. 21 A. Coe, C-O-E, Dispatching. 21 21 A. Coe, C-O-E, Dispatching. 21 22 A. Yes. We made a number of charges. Initially, we wanted it to look like the program. And so in order for pilots to migrate to the groupram. And so in order for pilots to migrate to the orger and vark and on to make + une and the we added to no make it more efficient. We continue to to make, you know, minor efficient. We continue	5		5	-	
8 developed by Charles Coe down in New Orleans, and it's used by at least - at least six or eight other pilotage 8 JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. 9 used by at least - at least six or eight other pilotage 10 MS. BROWN: This is Sully Brown from 11 program that gives pilots good predictability, not under so the social events of the Commissioners to and - and you're able to get information from your 11 Is the possible of the Commissioners to remain with their - with their camers on, because I, for one, am interested in witnessing the experience - the facial expressions and whathout of the judges in this case as the testimory is delivered. 16 Q. And what is the name? I'm sory. What is the name of the program? 11 16 JUDGE PEARSON: its on its possible of the commissioners? 18 A. Coe. Co-E. Displatching. JUDGE PEARSON: it wasnot on the program. May and a number of -we made a number of changes. Initially, we wanted it to look like the program. May sol or order Politos? MS. BROWN: It wasnot no during your questioning either, Chairman. 20 A. Yes. We made a number of -we made a number of changes. Initially, we wanted it to look like the program. May sol wared we wanted it to look like the successful for a while. But it needed considerable 20 21 A. Yes. Nothing - nothing would ever interfere adverse sol to in crasse efficiency and reporting pilots. 20 CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I do. JUDGE PEARSON: Chay. And your camera is notblocked?	6		6		
9 used by at least - at least six or eight other plotage 9 MS. BROWN: This is Sally Brown from 10 program that gives plots good predictability, not great 10 Commission Staff. I just have a procedural question. 12 and - and you're able to get information from your 11 12 13 and - and you're able to get information from your 13 14 14 phone and it also files reports. So, yeah, it is off 14 the facial expressions and whathout of the judges in this 16 Q. And what is the name? I'm sorry. What is the name of the program? 16 JUDGE PEARSON: Commissioners? 17 CHAIR DANNER: Is my camera not on? 14 JUDGE PEARSON: Twe sn't on during your questioning either, Chairman. 18 A. Yes. We made a number of we made a number of chair plass. Initially, we wanted it to look like the program. And so in order for pilots to migrate to the program. And so in order for pilots to migrate to the program? 21 CHAIR DANNER: I spologize for that. 24 program. And so in order for pilots to migrate to the program? 23 Succesful for a while. But it needed considerable 24 25 succesful for a while. But it needed considerable 25 JUDGE PEARSON: Chair Danner, do you have	7	A. This is an off-the-shelf program that was	7	MS. BROWN: Excuse me, Judge Pearson.	
10 districts. And this athis a very inferactive 10 Commission Staff. Just have a procedural question. 11 program that gives picks good predictability, not great 11 Is it possible for the Commissioners to 12 and - and you're able to get information from your 11 Is it possible for the Commissioners to 13 and - and you're able to get information from your 11 Is it possible for the Commissioners to 14 program that gives picks. So, yeah, it is off 14 16 10 15 the sheft. 100 Commission Staff. Just have a procedural question. 11 Is it possible for the Commissioners to 16 Q. And what is the name? I'm sory. What is the 10 100 Color PEARSON: Commissioners? 17 A. Coe, Co-E, Dispatching. JUDGE PEARSON: It wasn't on during your 18 JUDGE PEARSON: It wasn't soft and 11 19 O. Okay. And has a number of we made a number of	8	developed by Charles Coe down in New Orleans, and it's	8	JUDGE PEARSON: Yes.	
11 program that gives plots good predictability, not great 11 Is it possible for the Commissioners to 12 predictability, as much as they can. It outlines jobs 12 remain with their with their cameras on, because I, for one, an interested In witnessing the experience the facial expressions and whathout of the judges in this 13 and and you're able to get Information from your phone and it also files reports. So, yeah, it is off 14 Is it possible for an whathout of the judges in this 14 the sheft. 15 the sheft. 16 100CE PEARSON: Commissioners? 16 O. And what is the name? I'm sorry. What is the name of the program? 17 CHAIR DANNER: Is ny camera not on? 14 the solution of a winke. But it needed considerable 18 JUDGE PEARSON: It's not. 12 A. Yeas. In made a number of a solution of a while. But it needed considerable 12 MS. BROWN: This wasn't on during your questioning either, Chairman. 14 amount of support. So we we went to an off-the-sheft 1 your laptop open? 15 do that we've added on to make to make it more deficient. We continue to to make it, you know, minor chaise sour right hand and I will swear you sourch. 3 14 bothere was just a text oraton things your and thear spre	9	used by at least at least six or eight other pilotage	9	MS. BROWN: This is Sally Brown from	
12 predictability, as much as iney can. It outlines jobs 12 remain with their cameras on, because I, and you're able to get information from your 13 and - and you're able to get information from your 13 for one, am interested in with their cameras on, because I, for one, am interested in with their cameras on, because I, for one, am interested in with their cameras on, because I, for one, am interested in with their cameras on, because I, for one, am interested in with their cameras on, because I, for one, am interested in with their cameras on, because I, for one, am interested in with their cameras on, because I, for one, am interested in with their cameras on, because I, for one, am interested in with their cameras on, because I, for one, am interested in with their cameras on, because I, for one, am interested in with their cameras on, because I, for one, am interested in with their cameras on, because I, for one, am interested in with their cameras on, because I, for one, am interested in with their cameras on, because I, for one, am interested in with their cameras on, because I, for one, am interested in with their cameras on, because I, for one, am interested in with their cameras on one of the program fatt we devide on or one, which the second priot on for priots to migrate to the subscience on with their second name, you know, minor for priots to make - to make it more for priots to migrate to the program? 13 14 ability, and - and for just better transparency to all spingtry. So, Dr. Khawaja, if you could sense flociency and reporting ability, and - and for just better transparency. The WITNESS: Am I working okay too? You can hear me? 15 G. So would some of those changes be how and the user sparency. 100GE PEARSON: Yes, tra	10	districts. And it's a it's a very interactive	10	Commission Staff. I just have a procedural question.	
13 and and you're able to get information from your 13 for one, am interesting the experience 14 phone and it also files reports. So, yeah, it is off 14 the facial expressions and whathot of the judges in this 16 Q . And what is the name? I'm sorry. What is the 16 JUDGE PEARSON: Commissioners? 17 A . Coe, C-O-E, Dispatching, 16 JUDGE PEARSON: Commissioners? 19 A . Coe, C-O-E, Dispatching, 18 JUDGE PEARSON: Commissioners? 10 made any changes to that program for dispatching of 18 JUDGE PEARSON: Charact and the pudges for that. 21 A. Yes. We made a number of we made a number of changes. Initially, we wanted it to lock like the 21 22 A. Yes. We made a number of we made a number of changes. Initially, we wanted it to lock like the 22 23 successful for a while. But it needed considerable 24 anything less than transparent and fully exposed. 24 program. And so in order for pilots to migrate to the 25 JUDGE PEARSON: Char. And your camera is not blocked? 26 de that we'w adde on to make - to make, ito migrate to the 3 JUDGE PEARSON: Char. And your camera is not blocked? 26 deficient. We continue to to m	11	program that gives pilots good predictability, not great	11	Is it possible for the Commissioners to	
14 phone and it also files reports. So, yeah, it is off 14 the facial expressions and whatnod of the judges in this 15 0. And what is the name? I'm sorry. What is the 15 case as the testimony is delivered. 17 A. Coe, C-C-E, Dispatching. 16 UDGE PEARSON: Cormissioners? 18 A. Coe, C-C-E, Dispatching. 17 UDGE PEARSON: Cormissioners? 19 0. Okay. And has PSP made any – specific to PSP, 19 UDGE PEARSON: It's not. 19 A. Yes. We made a number of we made a number of A. Yes. We wanted it to look like the 16 20 changes. Initially, we wanted it to look like the 20 Questioning either, Chairman. 21 for the Puget South we wanted ito look like the 21 According to my toolabar here, it's on when it's off and 22 factomages. Initially, we wanted it to look like the 22 According to my toolabar here, it's on when it's off and 23 arrourt of support. So we we went to an off-the-shelf 17 your laptop open? 24 arrourt of support. So we we went to an off-the-shelf 17 UDGE PEARSON: Char. Danker. Yes, I do. 24 as othere was just a few custom things that we wanted to to for pilots to migrate to the 17 UDGE	12		12	remain with their with their cameras on, because I,	
15 the sheft. 15 case as the testimony is delivered. 16 Q. And what is the name? I'm sorry. What is the name of the program? 16 JUDGE PEARSON: Commissioners? 18 A. Coe, C-OE, Dispatching, 16 JUDGE PEARSON: It's not. 19 Q. Okay. And has PSP made any – specific to PSP, 18 JUDGE PEARSON: It's not. 12 A. Yes. We made a number of – we made a number of - tor the Puget Sound Pilots? 18 CHAIR DANNER: I apologize for that. 24 program that we developed on our own, which was successful for a while. But it needed considerable 12 CHAIR DANNER: Source, do you have 25 successful for a while. But it needed considerable 12 Page 164 Page 164 16 amount of support. So we – we went to to an off-the-shelf 1 your laptop open? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I do. 25 program, we wanted it to look like the old one. And so there was just a few custom things that we wanted to to an def-the-shelf 1 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And you camera is not blocked? 26 of that we've added on to make, vou know, minor 6 CHAIR DANNER: Son. No, Nakaya, if you 1 27 chages to it to increase efficiency and reporting 7 ML SEROWN: Thank you wery much. <t< td=""><td>13</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	13				
16 Q. And what is the name? I'm sorry. What is the name of the program? 16 JUDGE PEARSON: Commissioners? 17 A. Coe, C-C-E, Dispatching. 17 17 CHAIR DANNER: Is my camera not on? 18 A. Coe, C-C-E, Dispatching. 18 JUDGE PEARSON: It's not. 19 Q. Okay. And has PSP made any - specific to PSP, made any changes to that program for the Puget Sound Pilots? 18 JUDGE PEARSON: It's not. 20 r. Yes. We made a number ofwe made a number of changes. Initially, we wanted it to look like the for a while. But it needed considerable 19 MS. BROWN: It wasn't on during your questioning either, Chairman. 21 A. Yes. We made a number ofwe made a number of changes. Initially, we wanted it to look like the for a while. But it needed considerable 20 CHAIR DANNER: I apologize for that. 25 successful for a while. But it needed considerable 21 Page 164 Page 166 1 amount of support. So wewe we went to an off-the-shelf program, we wanted it to look like the old one. And as in erw as just a few custom things that wanted to do that we've added on to make to make, iv us wanted to get to thorecase efficiency and reporting ability, and and for just better transparency to all pilots. 10 Q. So would some of those changes be how assignments are made, or is that standard in the program? 3 JUDGE PEARSON: Yes, we can. Thank you. 10 </td <td>14</td> <td></td> <td>14</td> <td></td>	14		14		
17 name of the program? 17 CHAIR DANNER: Is my camera not on? 18 A. Coe, C-O-E, Dispatching, 17 CHAIR DANNER: Is my camera not on? 19 Q. Okay, And has PSP made any – specific to PSP, MS. BROWN: It wasn't on during your 20 made any changes to that program for dispatching of – 18 JUDGE PEARSON: It's not. 21 A. Yes. We made a number of – we made a number of - we made a number of - we made a number of - we made a number of changes. Initially, we wanted it to look like the 20 CHAIR DANNER: I apologize for that. 23 successful for a while. But it needed considerable 21 CHAIR DANNER: I apologize for that. 24 program that we developed on our own, which was 24 anything less than transparent and fully exposed. 25 successful for a while. But it needed considerable 25 JUDGE PEARSON: Chair Danner, do you have Page 164 Page 164 Page 164 Page 164 Surger that we developed on our own, which was Surger that we added on to make - to make it more 6 of that we've added on to make - to make it more 1 Surger that stat standard in the <	15				
18 A. Coe, C-O-E, Dispatching, 18 JUDGE PEARSON: It's not. 19 0. Okay, And has PSP made any - specific to PSP, 19 MK 20 made any changes to that program for dispatching of - 19 MK MK 21 A. Yes. We made an number of we made a number of 20 21 According to my toolbar here, it's on when it's off and 22 A. Yes. We made an number of we made a number of 24 According to my toolbar here, it's on when it's off and 24 program that we developed on our own, which was 24 Sol was not trying to be 25 successful for a while. But it needed considerable 24 JUDGE PEARSON: Chair Danner, do you have Page 164 Page 164 Page 164 Page 164 Page 164 Page 166 3 Ac we wanted it to look like the old one. And so there was agust a few custom things that we wanted to an off-the-shelf 9 9 Page 166 9 9 <td a="" colspanet="" custom="" few="" gust="" td="" that="" things="" wan<="" was="" we=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td>	<td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
19 Q. Okay. And has PSP made any specific to PSP, made any changes to that program for dispatching of to for the Puget Sound Pitots? 19 MS. BROWN: It wasn't on during your questioning either, Chairman. 21 for the Puget Sound Pitots? 21 CHAIR DANNER: 1 apologize for that. 22 A. Yes. We made a number of we made a number of changes. Initially, we wanted it to look like the program that we developed on our own, which was successful for a while. But it needed considerable 22 According to my toolbar here, it's on when it's off and it's off when ransparent and fully exposed. 23 amount of support. So we we went to an off-the-shelf 1 your laptop open? 2 CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I do. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your camera is not blocked? 3 ob that we've added on to make to make to more efficient. We continue to to make, you know, minor changes to it to increase efficiency and reporting a ability, and and for just better transparency to all pilots. 1 Your laptop open? 11 guest taf for custom things that we wanted it do that we've added on to make to make, you know, minor changes to it to increase efficiency and reporting ability, and and for just better transparency to all pilots. 1 JUDGE PEARSON: Yes, we can. Thank you. 12 D. So would some of those changes be how adhere to strict rotation. It's a Guy v. Donald foundational ruling that, you know, we we adhere to strict rotation. And that's that's part of the reason why we w				2	
20 made any changes to that program for dispatching of 20 questioning either, Chairman. 21 A. Yes. We made a number of we made a number of 21 CHAIR DANNER: 1 apologize for that. 22 A. Yes. We made a number of we made a number of 22 according to my toolbar here, it's on when it's off and 23 changes. Initially, we wanted it to look like the 23 anything less that transparent and fully exposed. 24 program that we developed on our own, which was 24 anything less than transparent and fully exposed. 25 successful for a while. But it needed considerable 25 your laptop open? 26 program. And so in order for pilots to migrate to the 1 your laptop open? 2 guestioning to to make, via wow, minor 3 ot that we've added to to make, via wow, minor 2 du that we've added on to make, via wow, minor 6 Glicient. We continue to to make, via wow, minor 3 ability, and and for just better transparency to all 8 JUDGE PEARSON: Chay. JUDGE PEARSON: Chay. 3 A. Yeah, nothing nothing would ever interfere 13 JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. The WITNESS: I'm assuming you can see me. 3 A. Yeah, nothing nothin					
21 for the Puget Sound Pilots? 21 CHAIR DANNER: I apologize for that. 22 A. Yes. We made a number of we made a number of - we made a nun					
22 A. Yes. We made a number of changes. Initially, we wanted it to lock like the program that we developed on our own, which was successful for a while. But it needed considerable 23 According to my toolbar here, it's on when it's off and it's off when it's on. So I was not trying to be anything less than transparent and fully exposed. 24 program that we developed on our own, which was successful for a while. But it needed considerable 24 anything less than transparent and fully exposed. 25 successful for a while. But it needed considerable 24 anything less than transparent and fully exposed. 26 Page 164 Page 166 1 amount of support. So we we went to an off-the-shelf program, we wanted it to look like the old one. And a so there was just a few custom things that we wanted to do that we've added on to make to make it more efficient. We continue to to make it more for gainst better transparency to all plots. 1 your laptop open? 8 ability, and and for just better transparency to all plots. 9 0 O. So would some of those changes be how assignments are made, or is that standard in the program? 10 0 So would some of those changes be how assignments are made, or is that standard in the program? 10 0 So would some of those changes be how assignments are made, or is that standard in the program? 10 10 UDGE PEARSON: Yes. Thank you. 10 14 N Yeah, nothing					
23 changes. Initially, we wanted it to look like the program that we developed on our own, which was successful for a while. But it needed considerable 23 it's off when it's on. So I was not trying to be anything less than transparent and fully exposed. 24 25 JUDGE PEARSON: Chair Danner, do you have Page 164 Page 166 JUDGE PEARSON: Chair Danner, do you have A do so in order for pilots to migrate to the new program, we wanted it to look like the old one. And so thar we've added on to make to make, you know, minor 3 CHAIR DANNER: Can you see me now? CHAIR DANNER: Can you see me now? On do that we've added on to make to make, you know, minor ability, and and for just better transparency to all ability, and and for just better transparency to all pilots. ability and and for just better transparency to all pilots. Danald foundational for goins taindard in the		-			
24 program that we developed on our own, which was 24 anything less than transparent and fully exposed. 25 successful for a while. But it needed considerable 25 JUDGE PEARSON: Chair Danner, do you have Page 164 1 amount of support. So we we went to an off-the-shelf 1 your laptop open? 2 CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I do. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your camera is not blocked? 3 new program, we wanted it to look like the old one. And so that we've added on to make to make, you know, minor 5 CHAIR DANNER: Can you see me now? 4 so there was just a few custom things that we wanted to do that we've added on to make to make, you know, minor 5 CHAIR DANNER: Can you see me now? 6 efficient. We continue to to make, you know, minor 7 MS. BROWN: Thank you very much. 7 billty, and and for just better transparency to all 9 JUDGE PEARSON: Yes, we can. Thank you. 10 Q. So would some of those changes be how 10 JUDGE PEARSON: So, Dr. Khawaja, if you 13 A. Yeah, nothing nothing would ever interfere 11 JUDGE PEARSON: So, Dr. Khawaja, if you 14 with the sacred nature of the rotation. It's a Guy v. 14 THE WITNESS: I'm assuming you man a court case					
25 successful for a while. But it needed considerable 25 JUDGE PEARSON: Chair Danner, do you have Page 164 Page 164 Page 166 1 amount of support. So we we went to an off-the-shelf program. And so in order for pilots to migrate to the 2 3 new program, we wanted it to look like the old one. And 3 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your camera is 4 so there was just a few custom things that we wanted to 5 CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I do. 5 do that we've added on to make to make it more 5 CHAIR DANNER: Can you see me now? 6 efficient. We continue to to make, you know, minor 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. 7 changes to it to increase efficiency and reporting 7 MS. BROWN: Thank you very much. 8 ability, and and for just better transparency to all 9 THE WITNESS: Am I working okay too? You 10 Q. So would some of those changes be how 10 can hear me? 11 assignments are made, or is that standard in the 10 JUDGE PEARSON: So, Dr. Khawaja, if you 12 Donald foundational ruling that, you know, we we 13 THE WITNESS: Im assuming you can see me. 17 the reason why we want that trans					
Page 164Page 1641amount of support. So we we went to an off-the-shelf12program. And so in order for pilots to migrate to the13new program, we wanted it to look like the old one. And34so there was just a few custom things that we wanted to35do that we've added on to make to make it more46efficient. We continue to to make, you know, minor67changes to it to increase efficiency and reporting78ability, and and for just better transparency to all89pilots.910 0. So would some of those changes be how1013A. Yeah, nothing nothing would ever interfere1314with the sacred nature of the rotation. It's a Guy v.15Donald foundational ruling that, you know, we we16adhere to strict rotation. And that's that's part of17the reason why we want that transparency.18 0. Sow when you say "Guy v. Donald," I'm assuming19you mean a court case?10 0. Sow, Thank you. I have no further questions.14insulation to liability from an individual pilot's15actions.16 0. Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions.17 1. Way. Thank you.24 A. Thank you.					
1amount of support. So we we went to an off-the-shelf1your laptop open?2program. And so in order for pilots to migrate to the3new program, we wanted it to look like the old one. And33new program, we wanted it to look like the old one. And3JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your camera is4so there was just a few custom things that we wanted to4JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your camera is5do that we've added on to make to make, you know, minor6JUDGE PEARSON: Yes, we can. Thank you.7changes to it to increase efficiency and reporting7MS. BROWN: Thank you very much.8ability, and and for just better transparency to all9JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.9pilots.9THE WITNESS: Am I working okay too? You10Q. So would some of those changes be how10can hear me?11assignments are made, or is that standard in the11JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. Thank you.12program?12THE WITNESS: Excellent. Thank you so much.13A. Yeah, nothing nothing would ever interfere13JUDGE PEARSON: So, Dr. Khawaja, if you14with the sacred nature of the rotation. It's a Guy v.14could just raise your right hand and I will swear you15Donald foundational ruling that, you know, we we16THE WITNESS: I'm assuming you can see me.17the reason why we want that transparency.17JUDGE PEARSON: I can but you are frozen.18Q. So when you say "Guy v. Donald, I'm assuming20A. Yeah. It'	25	successful for a while. But it needed considerable	25	JUDGE PEARSON: Chair Danner, do you nave	
2program. And so in order for pilots to migrate to the new program, we wanted it to look like the old one. And so there was just a few custom things that we wanted to do that we've added on to make to make it more efficient. We continue to to make, you know, minor changes to it to increase efficiency and reporting ability, and and for just better transparency to all pilots.3JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your camera is not blocked?8ability, and and for just better transparency to all pilots.9JUDGE PEARSON: Yes, we can. Thank you.9pilots.9THE WITNESS: Am I working okay too? You10Q. So would some of those changes be how assignments are made, or is that standard in the program?9THE WITNESS: Am I working okay too? You13A. Yeah, nothing nothing would ever interfere adhere to strict rotation. And that's that's part of the reason why we want that transparency.10Change PEARSON: Yes. Thank you.14with the sacred nature of the rotation. It's a Guy v.14could just raise your right hand and I will swear you in.15Donald foundational ruling that, you know, we we adhere to strict rotation. And that's that's part of the reason why we want that transparency.1718Q. So when you say "Guy v. Donald," I'm assuming you mean a court case?1820A. Yeah, It's foundational for organizational insulation to liability from an individual pilot's actions.2123Q. Okay. Thank you.2424A. Thank you.2424A. Thank you.24		Page 164		Page 166	
2program. And so in order for pilots to migrate to the new program, we wanted it to look like the old one. And so there was just a few custom things that we wanted to do that we've added on to make to make it more efficient. We continue to to make, you know, minor changes to it to increase efficiency and reporting ability, and and for just better transparency to all pilots.3JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your camera is not blocked?8ability, and and for just better transparency to all pilots.9JUDGE PEARSON: Yes, we can. Thank you.9pilots.9THE WITNESS: Am I working okay too? You10Q. So would some of those changes be how assignments are made, or is that standard in the program?9THE WITNESS: Am I working okay too? You13A. Yeah, nothing nothing would ever interfere adhere to strict rotation. And that's that's part of the reason why we want that transparency.10Change PEARSON: Yes. Thank you.14with the sacred nature of the rotation. It's a Guy v.14could just raise your right hand and I will swear you in.15Donald foundational ruling that, you know, we we adhere to strict rotation. And that's that's part of the reason why we want that transparency.1718Q. So when you say "Guy v. Donald," I'm assuming you mean a court case?1820A. Yeah, It's foundational for organizational insulation to liability from an individual pilot's actions.2123Q. Okay. Thank you.2424A. Thank you.2424A. Thank you.24	1	amount of support. So we we went to an off-the-shelf	1	your laptop open?	
4so there was just a few custom things that we wanted to4not blocked?5do that we've added on to make to make it more5CHAIR DANNER: Can you see me now?6efficient. We continue to to make, you know, minor6JUDGE PEARSON: Yes, we can. Thank you.7changes to it to increase efficiency and reporting7MS. BROWN: Thank you very much.8ability, and and for just better transparency to all8JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.9pilots.9THE WITNESS: Am I working okay too? You10Q. So would some of those changes be how10can hear me?11assignments are made, or is that standard in the11JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. Thank you.12program?12THE WITNESS: Excellent. Thank you so much.13A. Yeah, nothing nothing would ever interfere13JUDGE PEARSON: So, Dr. Khawaja, if you14with the sacred nature of the rotation. It's a Guy v.14could just raise your right hand and I will swear you15Donald foundational ruling that, you know, we we15in.16adhere to strict rotation. And that's that's part of16THE WITNESS: I'm assuming you can see me.17the reason why we want that transparency.17JUDGE PEARSON: I can but you are frozen.18Q. So when you say "Guy v. Donald," I'm assuming18Is your hand there we go. Okay.19you mean a court case?19All right. Do you swear that the testimony20A. Yeah. It's foundational for organizational21	2		2	CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I do.	
5do that we've added on to make to make it more5CHAIR DANNER: Can you see me now?6efficient. We continue to to make, you know, minor6JUDGE PEARSON: Yes, we can. Thank you.7changes to it to increase efficiency and reporting7MS. BROWN: Thank you very much.8ability, and and for just better transparency to all8JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.9pilots.9THE WITNESS: Am I working okay too? You10Q. So would some of those changes be how10can hear me?11assignments are made, or is that standard in the11JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. Thank you.12program?12THE WITNESS: Excellent. Thank you so much.13A. Yeah, nothing nothing would ever interfere13JUDGE PEARSON: So, Dr. Khawaja, if you14with the sacred nature of the rotation. It's a Guy v.14could just raise your right hand and I will swear you15Donald foundational ruling that, you know, we we15in.16adhere to strict rotation. And that's that's part of16THE WITNESS: I'm assuming you can see me.17the reason why we want that transparency.17JUDGE PEARSON: I can but you are frozen.18Q. So when you say "Guy v. Donald," I'm assuming18Is your hand there we go. Okay.19you mean a court case?19All right. Do you swear that the testimony20A. Yeah. It's foundational for organizational20Hal you give today will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?21 <td>3</td> <td>new program, we wanted it to look like the old one. And</td> <td>3</td> <td>JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your camera is</td>	3	new program, we wanted it to look like the old one. And	3	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your camera is	
6efficient. We continue to to make, you know, minor changes to it to increase efficiency and reporting ability, and and for just better transparency to all pilots.JUDGE PEARSON: Yes, we can. Thank you.8ability, and and for just better transparency to all pilots.8JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.9pilots.9THE WITNESS: Am I working okay too? You10Q. So would some of those changes be how assignments are made, or is that standard in the program?10Can hear me?11assignments are made, or is that standard in the program?11JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. Thank you.13A. Yeah, nothing nothing would ever interfere bonald foundational ruling that, you know, we we adhere to strict rotation. And that's that's part of the reason why we want that transparency.14UDGE PEARSON: I can but you are frozen.18Q. So when you say "Guy v. Donald," I'm assuming you mean a court case?19All right. Do you swear that the testimony20A. Yeah. It's foundational for organizational insulation to liability from an individual pilot's actions.21THE WITNESS: I do.23Q. Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions. 2423JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you.	4	so there was just a few custom things that we wanted to	4	not blocked?	
7changes to it to increase efficiency and reporting ability, and and for just better transparency to all pilots.7MS. BROWN: Thank you very much. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.9pilots.9THE WITNESS: Am I working okay too? You10Q. So would some of those changes be how assignments are made, or is that standard in the program?10can hear me?11assignments are made, or is that standard in the program?11JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. Thank you.13A. Yeah, nothing nothing would ever interfere twith the sacred nature of the rotation. It's a Guy v.14could just raise your right hand and I will swear you15Donald foundational ruling that, you know, we we adhere to strict rotation. And that's that's part of the reason why we want that transparency.17THE WITNESS: I'm assuming you can see me.17the reason why we want that transparency.17JUDGE PEARSON: I can but you are frozen.18Q. So when you say "Guy v. Donald," I'm assuming you mean a court case?18Is your hand there we go. Okay.20A. Yeah. It's foundational for organizational insulation to liability from an individual pilot's actions.20THE WITNESS: I do.23Q. Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions.23JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you.24A. Thank you.24And, Mr. Fassburg, are you handling cross	5	do that we've added on to make to make it more	5	CHAIR DANNER: Can you see me now?	
8ability, and and for just better transparency to all pilots.8JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.9pilots.9THE WITNESS: Am I working okay too? You10 Q. So would some of those changes be how assignments are made, or is that standard in the program?10can hear me?11JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. Thank you.1212program?1213A. Yeah, nothing nothing would ever interfere13JUDGE PEARSON: So, Dr. Khawaja, if you14with the sacred nature of the rotation. It's a Guy v.14could just raise your right hand and I will swear you15Donald foundational ruling that, you know, we we15in.16adhere to strict rotation. And that's that's part of16THE WITNESS: I'm assuming you can see me.17the reason why we want that transparency.17JUDGE PEARSON: I can but you are frozen.18 Q. So when you say "Guy v. Donald," I'm assuming you mean a court case?18Is your hand there we go. Okay.10A. Yeah. It's foundational for organizational insulation to liability from an individual pilot's actions.21and nothing but the truth, and nothing but the truth, and nothing but the truth, the the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, and nothing but the truth, the would.23 Q. Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions. 23JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you.24A. Thank you.24And, Mr. Fassburg, are you handling cross	б		6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
9pilots.9THE WITNESS: Am I working okay too? You10Q. So would some of those changes be how10can hear me?11assignments are made, or is that standard in the11JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. Thank you.12program?12THE WITNESS: Excellent. Thank you so much.13A. Yeah, nothing nothing would ever interfere13JUDGE PEARSON: So, Dr. Khawaja, if you14with the sacred nature of the rotation. It's a Guy v.14could just raise your right hand and I will swear you15Donald foundational ruling that, you know, we we15in.16adhere to strict rotation. And that's that's part of16THE WITNESS: I'm assuming you can see me.17the reason why we want that transparency.17JUDGE PEARSON: I can but you are frozen.18Q. So when you say "Guy v. Donald," I'm assuming18Is your hand there we go. Okay.19you mean a court case?19All right. Do you swear that the testimony20A. Yeah. It's foundational for organizational20that you give today will be the truth, the whole truth,21insulation to liability from an individual pilot's21and nothing but the truth?22actions.22THE WITNESS: I do.23Q. Okay. Thank you.24And, Mr. Fassburg, are you handling cross	7		7		
10Q. So would some of those changes be how10can hear me?11assignments are made, or is that standard in the11JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. Thank you.12program?12THE WITNESS: Excellent. Thank you so much.13A. Yeah, nothing nothing would ever interfere13JUDGE PEARSON: So, Dr. Khawaja, if you14with the sacred nature of the rotation. It's a Guy v.14could just raise your right hand and I will swear you15Donald foundational ruling that, you know, we we15in.16adhere to strict rotation. And that's that's part of16THE WITNESS: I'm assuming you can see me.17the reason why we want that transparency.17JUDGE PEARSON: I can but you are frozen.18Q. So when you say "Guy v. Donald," I'm assuming18Is your hand there we go. Okay.19you mean a court case?19All right. Do you swear that the testimony20A. Yeah. It's foundational for organizational20that you give today will be the truth, the whole truth,21insulation to liability from an individual pilot's21and nothing but the truth?22actions.22THE WITNESS: I do.23Q. Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions.23JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you.24A. Thank you.24And, Mr. Fassburg, are you handling cross	8	ability, and and for just better transparency to all	8		
11assignments are made, or is that standard in the11JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. Thank you.12program?12THE WITNESS: Excellent. Thank you so much.13A. Yeah, nothing nothing would ever interfere13JUDGE PEARSON: So, Dr. Khawaja, if you14with the sacred nature of the rotation. It's a Guy v.14could just raise your right hand and I will swear you15Donald foundational ruling that, you know, we we15in.16adhere to strict rotation. And that's that's part of16THE WITNESS: I'm assuming you can see me.17the reason why we want that transparency.17JUDGE PEARSON: I can but you are frozen.18Q. So when you say "Guy v. Donald," I'm assuming18Is your hand there we go. Okay.19you mean a court case?19All right. Do you swear that the testimony20A. Yeah. It's foundational for organizational20that you give today will be the truth, the whole truth,21insulation to liability from an individual pilot's21and nothing but the truth?22actions.22THE WITNESS: I do.23Q. Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions.23JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you.24A. Thank you.24And, Mr. Fassburg, are you handling cross	9	pilots.	9	THE WITNESS: Am I working okay too? You	
12program?12THE WITNESS: Excellent. Thank you so much.13A. Yeah, nothing nothing would ever interfere13JUDGE PEARSON: So, Dr. Khawaja, if you14with the sacred nature of the rotation. It's a Guy v.14could just raise your right hand and I will swear you15Donald foundational ruling that, you know, we we15in.16adhere to strict rotation. And that's that's part of16THE WITNESS: I'm assuming you can see me.17the reason why we want that transparency.17JUDGE PEARSON: I can but you are frozen.18Q. So when you say "Guy v. Donald," I'm assuming18Is your hand there we go. Okay.19you mean a court case?19All right. Do you swear that the testimony20A. Yeah. It's foundational for organizational20that you give today will be the truth, the whole truth,21insulation to liability from an individual pilot's21and nothing but the truth?22actions.22THE WITNESS: I do.23Q. Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions.23JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you.24A. Thank you.24And, Mr. Fassburg, are you handling cross		-			
13A. Yeah, nothing nothing would ever interfere13JUDGE PEARSON: So, Dr. Khawaja, if you14with the sacred nature of the rotation. It's a Guy v.14could just raise your right hand and I will swear you15Donald foundational ruling that, you know, we we16THE WITNESS: I'm assuming you can see me.16adhere to strict rotation. And that's that's part of16THE WITNESS: I'm assuming you can see me.17the reason why we want that transparency.17JUDGE PEARSON: I can but you are frozen.18Q. So when you say "Guy v. Donald," I'm assuming18Is your hand there we go. Okay.19you mean a court case?19All right. Do you swear that the testimony20A. Yeah. It's foundational for organizational20that you give today will be the truth, the whole truth,21insulation to liability from an individual pilot's21and nothing but the truth?22C. Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions.23JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you.24A. Thank you.24And, Mr. Fassburg, are you handling cross		-			
14with the sacred nature of the rotation. It's a Guy v.14could just raise your right hand and I will swear you15Donald foundational ruling that, you know, we we15in.16adhere to strict rotation. And that's that's part of16THE WITNESS: I'm assuming you can see me.17the reason why we want that transparency.17JUDGE PEARSON: I can but you are frozen.18Q. So when you say "Guy v. Donald," I'm assuming18Is your hand there we go. Okay.19you mean a court case?19All right. Do you swear that the testimony20A. Yeah. It's foundational for organizational20that you give today will be the truth, the whole truth,21insulation to liability from an individual pilot's21and nothing but the truth?23Q. Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions.23JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you.24A. Thank you.24And, Mr. Fassburg, are you handling cross				•	
 Donald foundational ruling that, you know, we we adhere to strict rotation. And that's that's part of the reason why we want that transparency. Q. So when you say "Guy v. Donald," I'm assuming you mean a court case? A. Yeah. It's foundational for organizational insulation to liability from an individual pilot's actions. Q. Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions. A. Thank you. 					
16adhere to strict rotation. And that's that's part of the reason why we want that transparency.16THE WITNESS: I'm assuming you can see me.17the reason why we want that transparency.17JUDGE PEARSON: I can but you are frozen.18Q. So when you say "Guy v. Donald," I'm assuming you mean a court case?18Is your hand there we go. Okay.19you mean a court case?19All right. Do you swear that the testimony20A. Yeah. It's foundational for organizational insulation to liability from an individual pilot's actions.20that you give today will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?23Q. Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions.23JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you.24A. Thank you.24And, Mr. Fassburg, are you handling cross				, , , , , ,	
17the reason why we want that transparency.17JUDGE PEARSON: I can but you are frozen.18Q. So when you say "Guy v. Donald," I'm assuming17JUDGE PEARSON: I can but you are frozen.19you mean a court case?18Is your hand there we go. Okay.20A. Yeah. It's foundational for organizational19All right. Do you swear that the testimony21insulation to liability from an individual pilot's20that you give today will be the truth, the whole truth,23Q. Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions.23JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you.24A. Thank you.24And, Mr. Fassburg, are you handling cross					
18Q. So when you say "Guy v. Donald," I'm assuming you mean a court case?18Is your hand there we go. Okay.19you mean a court case?19All right. Do you swear that the testimony20A. Yeah. It's foundational for organizational insulation to liability from an individual pilot's actions.20that you give today will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?21actions.22THE WITNESS: I do.23Q. Okay. Thank you.1 have no further questions.23JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you.24A. Thank you.24And, Mr. Fassburg, are you handling cross					
19you mean a court case?19All right. Do you swear that the testimony20A. Yeah. It's foundational for organizational20that you give today will be the truth, the whole truth,21insulation to liability from an individual pilot's21and nothing but the truth?22actions.22THE WITNESS: I do.23Q. Okay. Thank you.1 have no further questions.23JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you.24A. Thank you.24And, Mr. Fassburg, are you handling cross				-	
20A. Yeah. It's foundational for organizational20that you give today will be the truth, the whole truth,21insulation to liability from an individual pilot's21and nothing but the truth?22actions.22THE WITNESS: I do.23Q. Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions.23JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you.24A. Thank you.24And, Mr. Fassburg, are you handling cross					
21insulation to liability from an individual pilot's21and nothing but the truth?22actions.22THE WITNESS: I do.23Q. Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions.23JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you.24A. Thank you.24And, Mr. Fassburg, are you handling cross		-			
22actions.22THE WITNESS: I do.23Q. Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions.23JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you.24A. Thank you.24And, Mr. Fassburg, are you handling cross		-			
23Q. Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions.23JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you.24A. Thank you.24And, Mr. Fassburg, are you handling cross		-		-	
24 A. Thank you. 24 And, Mr. Fassburg, are you handling cross					
		A Thank you	1 24		
	24	•			

28 (Pages 163 to 166)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Docket No. TP-190976 - Vol. III - 8/12/2020

	Dago 167	Dago 169
_	Page 167	Page 169
1	MR. FASSBURG: I will be.	1 JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Ms. DeLappe, you
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Would you like to	2 may proceed.
3	introduce the witness?	3 CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	MR. FASSBURG: Yes. And I'm experiencing an	4 BY MS. DeLAPPE:
5	echo. I'm going to try to figure out what's going on	5 Q. Good morning, Dr. Khawaja. 6 A. Good morning.
7	there. JUDGE PEARSON: I hear that as well.	 A. Good morning. 7 Q. So in your testimony you reviewed various
8	MR. FASSBURG: It may be because we have	 8 scenarios on which of which deal with the global
9	Teams open on two screens here in the conference room.	 9 macroeconomic trends; isn't that correct?
10	Is that better?	10 A. That is accurate; yes.
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.	11 Q. Okay. And your testimony is given on the basis
12	MR. FASSBURG: Okay. Thank you. Sorry	12 of your economic background and expertise with various
13	about that.	13 types of rate making?
14	JUDGE PEARSON: It's happening again.	14 A. That is correct; yes.
15	MR. FASSBURG: It is, and I don't think	15 Q. But just to clarify, the projection of future
16	JUDGE PEARSON: Is the microphone muted on	16 vessel arrivals in Puget Sound does not necessarily
17	the other computer that's in the room with you?	17 implicate your experience with respect to rate making?
18	MR. FASSBURG: I'm actually using that	18 A. That is also correct; yes.
19	microphone and that's probably there we go.	19Q. To get your projections of future vessel
20	JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. So mute one and	arrivals, what were the various data sources that you
21	there you go. That should work.	²¹ used and considered to generate a basis?
22	MR. FASSBURG: Sorry about that. So, yes, I	A. So the data sources vary a little bit by vessel
23	will be sponsoring Dr. Khawaja here.	23 type. Each vessel type has its own econometric model
24	//	and each model could pick any one of various explanatory
25	//	25 variables such as U.S. GPP, China PGP, Japan, diesel
	Dorro 160	Dama 170
	Page 168	Page 170
1	M. SAMI KHAWAJA, witness herein, having been	1 cost, labor cost, a bunch of variables that came from
2	first duly sworn on oath,	
3	succession and an alterative al	2 different sources. But, again, each vessel type had its
	was examined and testified	3 own different model.
4	was examined and testified as follows:	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe
4 5	as follows:	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data
4 5 6	as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data sources to come to your projections?
4 5 6 7	as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG:	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data sources to come to your projections? A. Yes. We used a traditional econometric
4 5 6	as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. So, Dr. Khawaja, will you please state your	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data sources to come to your projections? A. Yes. We used a traditional econometric regression statistical model and the model more or less
4 5 6 7 8	as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG:	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data sources to come to your projections? A. Yes. We used a traditional econometric regression statistical model and the model more or less picked the right set of explanatory variables or the
4 5 7 8 9	as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. So, Dr. Khawaja, will you please state your legal name and business address?	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data sources to come to your projections? A. Yes. We used a traditional econometric regression statistical model and the model more or less picked the right set of explanatory variables or the
4 5 7 8 9 10	as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. So, Dr. Khawaja, will you please state your legal name and business address? A. Yes. My name is M, first initial "M," Sami,	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data sources to come to your projections? A. Yes. We used a traditional econometric regression statistical model and the model more or less picked the right set of explanatory variables or the variables that explain the changes in vessel arrivals.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. So, Dr. Khawaja, will you please state your legal name and business address? A. Yes. My name is M, first initial "M," Sami, S-a-m-i. And I'm going to spell my last name because in	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data sources to come to your projections? A. Yes. We used a traditional econometric regression statistical model and the model more or less picked the right set of explanatory variables or the variables that explain the changes in vessel arrivals. Q. So if I understand correctly, you made sure for
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. So, Dr. Khawaja, will you please state your legal name and business address? A. Yes. My name is M, first initial "M," Sami, S-a-m-i. And I'm going to spell my last name because in the documents in front of you it is spelled three	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data sources to come to your projections? A. Yes. We used a traditional econometric regression statistical model and the model more or less picked the right set of explanatory variables or the variables that explain the changes in vessel arrivals. Q. So if I understand correctly, you made sure for these projections to consult multiple sources,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. So, Dr. Khawaja, will you please state your legal name and business address? A. Yes. My name is M, first initial "M," Sami, S-a-m-i. And I'm going to spell my last name because in the documents in front of you it is spelled three different ways, none of which is accurate. It is Khawaja, K-h-a-w-a-j-a, and it's pronounced "Ka-wa-ja," for those who are going to be cross-examining me to make	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data sources to come to your projections? A. Yes. We used a traditional econometric regression statistical model and the model more or less picked the right set of explanatory variables or the variables that explain the changes in vessel arrivals. Q. So if I understand correctly, you made sure for these projections to consult multiple sources, cross-referenced data, and relied on verifiable and objective third-party trends over many the course of many years; correct?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: 0. So, Dr. Khawaja, will you please state your legal name and business address? A. Yes. My name is M, first initial "M," Sami, S-a-m-i. And I'm going to spell my last name because in the documents in front of you it is spelled three different ways, none of which is accurate. It is Khawaja, K-h-a-w-a-j-a, and it's pronounced "Ka-wa-ja," for those who are going to be cross-examining me to make your lives a bit easier. The "H" is silent and the "J"	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data sources to come to your projections? A. Yes. We used a traditional econometric regression statistical model and the model more or less picked the right set of explanatory variables or the variables that explain the changes in vessel arrivals. Q. So if I understand correctly, you made sure for these projections to consult multiple sources, cross-referenced data, and relied on verifiable and objective third-party trends over many the course of many years; correct? A. That is correct; yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: 0. So, Dr. Khawaja, will you please state your legal name and business address? A. Yes. My name is M, first initial "M," Sami, S-a-m-i. And I'm going to spell my last name because in the documents in front of you it is spelled three different ways, none of which is accurate. It is Khawaja, K-h-a-w-a-j-a, and it's pronounced "Ka-wa-ja," for those who are going to be cross-examining me to make your lives a bit easier. The "H" is silent and the "J" isn't.	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data sources to come to your projections? A. Yes. We used a traditional econometric regression statistical model and the model more or less picked the right set of explanatory variables or the variables that explain the changes in vessel arrivals. Q. So if I understand correctly, you made sure for these projections to consult multiple sources, cross-referenced data, and relied on verifiable and objective third-party trends over many the course of many years; correct? A. That is correct; yes. Q. Okay. Your analysis resulted in a table in your
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: 0. So, Dr. Khawaja, will you please state your legal name and business address? A. Yes. My name is M, first initial "M," Sami, S-a-m-i. And I'm going to spell my last name because in the documents in front of you it is spelled three different ways, none of which is accurate. It is Khawaja, K-h-a-w-a-j-a, and it's pronounced "Ka-wa-ja," for those who are going to be cross-examining me to make your lives a bit easier. The "H" is silent and the "J" isn't. 0. And your business address, please.	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data sources to come to your projections? A. Yes. We used a traditional econometric regression statistical model and the model more or less picked the right set of explanatory variables or the variables that explain the changes in vessel arrivals. Q. So if I understand correctly, you made sure for these projections to consult multiple sources, cross-referenced data, and relied on verifiable and objective third-party trends over many the course of many years; correct? A. That is correct; yes. Q. Okay. Your analysis resulted in a table in your testimony; that's Exhibit SK-1T; starts at page 4. And
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: 0. So, Dr. Khawaja, will you please state your legal name and business address? A. Yes. My name is M, first initial "M," Sami, S-a-m-i. And I'm going to spell my last name because in the documents in front of you it is spelled three different ways, none of which is accurate. It is Khawaja, K-h-a-w-a-j-a, and it's pronounced "Ka-wa-ja," for those who are going to be cross-examining me to make your lives a bit easier. The "H" is silent and the "J" isn't. 0. And your business address, please. A. 720 Southwest Washington, Suite 400, Portland,	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data sources to come to your projections? A. Yes. We used a traditional econometric regression statistical model and the model more or less picked the right set of explanatory variables or the variables that explain the changes in vessel arrivals. Q. So if I understand correctly, you made sure for these projections to consult multiple sources, cross-referenced data, and relied on verifiable and objective third-party trends over many the course of many years; correct? A. That is correct; yes. Q. Okay. Your analysis resulted in a table in your testimony; that's Exhibit SK-1T; starts at page 4. And it's Table 2, "predicted versus actual vessels";
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: 0. So, Dr. Khawaja, will you please state your legal name and business address? A. Yes. My name is M, first initial "M," Sami, S-a-m-i. And I'm going to spell my last name because in the documents in front of you it is spelled three different ways, none of which is accurate. It is Khawaja, K-h-a-w-a-j-a, and it's pronounced "Ka-wa-ja," for those who are going to be cross-examining me to make your lives a bit easier. The "H" is silent and the "J" isn't. 0. And your business address, please. A. 720 Southwest Washington, Suite 400, Portland, Oregon 97201.	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data sources to come to your projections? A. Yes. We used a traditional econometric regression statistical model and the model more or less picked the right set of explanatory variables or the variables that explain the changes in vessel arrivals. Q. So if I understand correctly, you made sure for these projections to consult multiple sources, cross-referenced data, and relied on verifiable and objective third-party trends over many the course of many years; correct? A. That is correct; yes. Q. Okay. Your analysis resulted in a table in your testimony; that's Exhibit SK-1T; starts at page 4. And it's Table 2, "predicted versus actual vessels"; correct?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: 0. So, Dr. Khawaja, will you please state your legal name and business address? A. Yes. My name is M, first initial "M," Sami, S-a-m-i. And I'm going to spell my last name because in the documents in front of you it is spelled three different ways, none of which is accurate. It is Khawaja, K-h-a-w-a-j-a, and it's pronounced "Ka-wa-ja," for those who are going to be cross-examining me to make your lives a bit easier. The "H" is silent and the "J" isn't. 0. And your business address, please. A. 720 Southwest Washington, Suite 400, Portland, Oregon 97201. 0. Dr. Khawaja, are you adopting your pre-filed	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data sources to come to your projections? A. Yes. We used a traditional econometric regression statistical model and the model more or less picked the right set of explanatory variables or the variables that explain the changes in vessel arrivals. Q. So if I understand correctly, you made sure for these projections to consult multiple sources, cross-referenced data, and relied on verifiable and objective third-party trends over many the course of many years; correct? A. That is correct; yes. Q. Okay. Your analysis resulted in a table in your testimony; that's Exhibit SK-1T; starts at page 4. And it's Table 2, "predicted versus actual vessels"; correct? A. Correct. Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: 0. So, Dr. Khawaja, will you please state your legal name and business address? A. Yes. My name is M, first initial "M," Sami, S-a-m-i. And I'm going to spell my last name because in the documents in front of you it is spelled three different ways, none of which is accurate. It is Khawaja, K-h-a-w-a-j-a, and it's pronounced "Ka-wa-ja," for those who are going to be cross-examining me to make your lives a bit easier. The "H" is silent and the "J" isn't. 0. And your business address, please. A. 720 Southwest Washington, Suite 400, Portland, Oregon 97201. 0. Dr. Khawaja, are you adopting your pre-filed initial and rebuttal testimony under oath here today?	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data sources to come to your projections? A. Yes. We used a traditional econometric regression statistical model and the model more or less picked the right set of explanatory variables or the variables that explain the changes in vessel arrivals. Q. So if I understand correctly, you made sure for these projections to consult multiple sources, cross-referenced data, and relied on verifiable and objective third-party trends over many the course of many years; correct? A. That is correct; yes. Q. Okay. Your analysis resulted in a table in your testimony; that's Exhibit SK-1T; starts at page 4. And it's Table 2, "predicted versus actual vessels"; correct? A. Correct. Yes. Q. And then with the actual vessel data provided by
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: 0. So, Dr. Khawaja, will you please state your legal name and business address? A. Yes. My name is M, first initial "M," Sami, S-a-m-i. And I'm going to spell my last name because in the documents in front of you it is spelled three different ways, none of which is accurate. It is Khawaja, K-h-a-w-a-j-a, and it's pronounced "Ka-wa-ja," for those who are going to be cross-examining me to make your lives a bit easier. The "H" is silent and the "J" isn't. 0. And your business address, please. A. 720 Southwest Washington, Suite 400, Portland, Oregon 97201. 0. Dr. Khawaja, are you adopting your pre-filed initial and rebuttal testimony under oath here today? A. Yes.	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data sources to come to your projections? A. Yes. We used a traditional econometric regression statistical model and the model more or less picked the right set of explanatory variables or the variables that explain the changes in vessel arrivals. Q. So if I understand correctly, you made sure for these projections to consult multiple sources, cross-referenced data, and relied on verifiable and objective third-party trends over many the course of many years; correct? A. That is correct; yes. Q. Okay. Your analysis resulted in a table in your testimony; that's Exhibit SK-1T; starts at page 4. And it's Table 2, "predicted versus actual vessels"; correct? A. Correct. Yes. Q. And then with the actual vessel data provided by PSP from the years 2005 to 2018, you were able to verify
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: 0. So, Dr. Khawaja, will you please state your legal name and business address? A. Yes. My name is M, first initial "M," Sami, S-a-m-i. And I'm going to spell my last name because in the documents in front of you it is spelled three different ways, none of which is accurate. It is Khawaja, K-h-a-w-a-j-a, and it's pronounced "Ka-wa-ja," for those who are going to be cross-examining me to make your lives a bit easier. The "H" is silent and the "J" isn't. 0. And your business address, please. A. 720 Southwest Washington, Suite 400, Portland, Oregon 97201. 0. Dr. Khawaja, are you adopting your pre-filed initial and rebuttal testimony under oath here today? A. Yes.	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data sources to come to your projections? A. Yes. We used a traditional econometric regression statistical model and the model more or less picked the right set of explanatory variables or the variables that explain the changes in vessel arrivals. Q. So if I understand correctly, you made sure for these projections to consult multiple sources, cross-referenced data, and relied on verifiable and objective third-party trends over many the course of many years; correct? A. That is correct; yes. Q. Okay. Your analysis resulted in a table in your testimony; that's Exhibit SK-1T; starts at page 4. And it's Table 2, "predicted versus actual vessels"; correct? A. Correct. Yes. Q. And then with the actual vessel data provided by PSP from the years 2005 to 2018, you were able to verify a confidence factor in your projections; right?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: 0. So, Dr. Khawaja, will you please state your legal name and business address? A. Yes. My name is M, first initial "M," Sami, S-a-m-i. And I'm going to spell my last name because in the documents in front of you it is spelled three different ways, none of which is accurate. It is Khawaja, K-h-a-w-a-j-a, and it's pronounced "Ka-wa-ja," for those who are going to be cross-examining me to make your lives a bit easier. The "H" is silent and the "J" isn't. 0. And your business address, please. A. 720 Southwest Washington, Suite 400, Portland, Oregon 97201. 0. Dr. Khawaja, are you adopting your pre-filed initial and rebuttal testimony under oath here today? A. Yes.	 own different model. Q. Uh-huh. And do you also could you describe the methodology you applied, briefly, to these data sources to come to your projections? A. Yes. We used a traditional econometric regression statistical model and the model more or less picked the right set of explanatory variables or the variables that explain the changes in vessel arrivals. Q. So if I understand correctly, you made sure for these projections to consult multiple sources, cross-referenced data, and relied on verifiable and objective third-party trends over many the course of many years; correct? A. That is correct; yes. Q. Okay. Your analysis resulted in a table in your testimony; that's Exhibit SK-1T; starts at page 4. And it's Table 2, "predicted versus actual vessels"; correct? A. Correct. Yes. Q. And then with the actual vessel data provided by PSP from the years 2005 to 2018, you were able to verify

29 (Pages 167 to 170)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 171		Page 173
1	Q. Great. And so that's what you used to complete	1	Q. As a practitioner and as a professor of applied
2	your to finalize your 2020 prediction of vessels;	2	economics, do you believe that these principles of
3	right?	3	review of a diversity of inputs, verification of
4	A. That is accurate; yes.	4	results, and performing multiyear analysis are universal
5	Q. Why is it important for your system's models and	5	for good forecasting?
6	projections to be based on multiple independent sources	6	A. Yes, they are.
7	of data as they are applied?	7	Q. Okay. And for your vessel projections, after
8	A. There's just not one source that contained	8	you completed all of these steps and applications here,
9	everything that we needed.	9	the conclusion you came to was that there would be fewer
10	Q. And why is it important to verify the results of	10	vessel calls in the future in 2020 and diminishing
11	your model against actual historical data?	11	vessel activity based on your estimates; right?
12	A. That is just a typical forecast accuracy method	12	A. That's correct.
13	is to compare how the model would have performed if we'd	13	Q. And you also compared the number of vessels and
14	gone back to 2005 versus what actually happened.	14	the number of assignments; right?
15	Q. Okay. I understand from your CV that you are an	15	A. That is correct; yes.
16	adjunct professor.	16	Q. And your conclusion was that the ratio of
17	A. That is correct; yes.	17	assignments to actual vessel arrivals across multiple
18	Q. And you teach courses in applied economics.	18	vessel times, over various time periods was relatively
19	A. That is correct; yes.	19	stable across the years; right?
20	Q. What do you tell your students about being	20	A. That is correct; yes.
21	thorough in the application of economic theory to	21	Q. So now turning you had a second part of your
22	real-life situations with respect to setting up a model	22	analysis that was reviewing PSP's callback system;
23	and verifying results?	23	right?
24 25	A. So without getting too overly technical, what we	24 25	A. Yes. With caution, but yes.Q. All right. So it was to make recommendations
20	did is one approach is to build the model and compare	25	a. An right. So it was to make recommendations
	Page 172		Page 174
1	it against actual.	1	regarding the number of assignments per pilot; right?
2	A better approach would have been to build the	2	A. Correct. Yes.
3	model using a portion of the data and then test it on an	3	Q. All right. And is it fair to say that PSP hired
4	unused portion of the data. That luxury was not	4	you to develop a model regarding callbacks as the basis
5	available to us due to the limited number of data	5	for your testimony on assignments and setting the number
6	points.	6	of pilots?
7	Q. Uh-huh. Okay. So those are basic principles?	7	A. Allow me to restate just a little bit. I was
8	A. Yes, they are.	8	hired for a very simple task, and that task was to
9	Q. In your cross-answering testimony, and that's	9	determine a forecast for the number of assignments in
10	Exhibit SK-3T, you restate many of these principles	10	2020, and along with that, determine what is the most
11	regarding forecasting. And so I'm going to go ahead and	11	appropriate allocation of assignments per pilot. And
12	turn to that. Exhibit SK-3T, and specifically I'm going	12	based on those two components, I came up with what I
13	to look at page 6.	13	call an appropriate or an optimum number of pilots.
14	A. Okay.	14	Q. Thank you.
15	Q. And lines 18 through 21. You state: I believe	15	A. You're welcome.
16	that the most accurate forecast is one that develops	16	Q. So you simulated a reduction in callbacks by
17	econometric models for each vessel type and forecasts	17	creating hypothetical pilots on shift for that analysis;
18	them individually. I do not believe that the use of	18	right?
19	year-to-year changes will work nearly as well and will	19	A. Correct. I created yep. Sorry. Yes, you
20	basically be inaccurate; i.e., 50 percent of the time	20	are accurate. Yes.
21	too high and 50 percent of the time too low. An	21	Q. Okay. And so is it also accurate to say that in
22	econometric model on the other hand does indeed take	22	addition to doing the macroeconomic analysis of vessel
23	into account economic conditions impacting different	23	arrival projections, you were also hired to develop a simulation on how to reduce callbacks?
		24	simulation on now to reduce callbacks?
23 24 25	vessel types differently." Right? A. That is correct; yes.	25	A. That is accurate; yes.

30 (Pages 171 to 174)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 175		Page 177
1	Q. Okay. And in doing this analysis, you state	1	reduction in callbacks. But then the rest of the data
2	that you reviewed data a data set from 2018 called	2	set was used, meaning going back to 2005, to verify that
3	"Puget Sound Pilot Fatigue Study Report" regarding jobs	3	what we what we got out of the NASA study did indeed
4	and assignment data; right?	4	apply.
5	A. I will take your word for it that's what it is	5	So it wasn't just taken at face value, it was
6	called. I believe that is true, yes.	6	used to determine a metric which was, you know,
7	Q. All right. And I will that that name	7	primarily assignment per pilot and assignment per vessel
8	was came from your testimony, so that that's fine.	8	type, but then we used the entire data set to verify our
9	A. Thank you.	9	finding.
10	Q. How many other data sets did you use for your	10	Q. So from the entirety of the set you were able to
11	model?	11	verify I mean, you didn't have a fatigue study report
12	A. Many. I mean, some data sets on just pure	12	or that
13	vessel arrival to determine the vessel forecast. And a	13	A. No.
14	detailed data set from 2018 to determine the the	14	Q type of data for other years; right?
15	activities of the pilots on a day-to-day basis. And	15	A. No. No. The only thing we're able to verify
16	so so what we really wanted to know more than	16	was that the the assignments per vessel type.
17	anything was the right number of assignments per pilot.	17	Q. Okay.
18	So it primarily relied on 2018, but we used the	18	A. Numbers we had created were accurate.
19	entire data set, meaning 2005 to 2018 to verify what we	19	Q. Not the pilot level information
20	computed based on 2018 data. I hope that doesn't create	20	A. No.
21	confusion.	21	Q correct? Okay.
22	Q. I am a little confused. So can we go back to	22	Did you consider the fact that the data set you
23	your original testimony, Exhibit SK-1T at page 6. And	23	used was specifically created by consultants hired by
24	let's look at line number 19.	24	PSP to be used in conjunction with a request for an
25	A. Yes, I see it.	25	increase in the number of licensed pilots and was not an
	Page 176		Page 178
1		1	
1 2	Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems	1 2	academic study or produced by an independent third
	Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at		academic study or produced by an independent third party?
2	Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of	2	academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the
2 3	Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of our analysis was the data set claimed by NASA for Puget	2 3	academic study or produced by an independent third party?
2 3 4	Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of	2 3 4	academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the characterization of "independent third party." This was
2 3 4 5	Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of our analysis was the data set claimed by NASA for Puget Sound Pilot Fatigue Study Report for assignments."	2 3 4 5	academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the characterization of "independent third party." This was NASA.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of our analysis was the data set claimed by NASA for Puget Sound Pilot Fatigue Study Report for assignments." Do you see that part?	2 3 4 5 6	academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the characterization of "independent third party." This was NASA. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, would you like
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of our analysis was the data set claimed by NASA for Puget Sound Pilot Fatigue Study Report for assignments." Do you see that part? A. do, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the characterization of "independent third party." This was NASA. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, would you like to rephrase the question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of our analysis was the data set claimed by NASA for Puget Sound Pilot Fatigue Study Report for assignments." Do you see that part? A. I do, yes. Q. Yes. So that was the main data set and for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the characterization of "independent third party." This was NASA. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, would you like to rephrase the question? BY MS. DeLAPPE:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of our analysis was the data set claimed by NASA for Puget Sound Pilot Fatigue Study Report for assignments." Do you see that part? A. I do, yes. Q. Yes. So that was the main data set and for this model. And are you saying is your testimony 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the characterization of "independent third party." This was NASA. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, would you like to rephrase the question? BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. In this situation, I and I don't want to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of our analysis was the data set claimed by NASA for Puget Sound Pilot Fatigue Study Report for assignments." Do you see that part? A. I do, yes. Q. Yes. So that was the main data set and for this model. And are you saying is your testimony today that there were additional data sets you used for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the characterization of "independent third party." This was NASA. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, would you like to rephrase the question? BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. In this situation, I and I don't want to have a question that's for Mr. Fassburg, but in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of our analysis was the data set claimed by NASA for Puget Sound Pilot Fatigue Study Report for assignments." Do you see that part? A. I do, yes. Q. Yes. So that was the main data set and for this model. And are you saying is your testimony today that there were additional data sets you used for this model that you didn't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the characterization of "independent third party." This was NASA. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, would you like to rephrase the question? BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. In this situation, I and I don't want to have a question that's for Mr. Fassburg, but in this situation, NASA was commissioned by PSP for this to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of our analysis was the data set claimed by NASA for Puget Sound Pilot Fatigue Study Report for assignments." Do you see that part? A. I do, yes. Q. Yes. So that was the main data set and for this model. And are you saying is your testimony today that there were additional data sets you used for this model that you didn't A. Okay. When you say "this model," I just want to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the characterization of "independent third party." This was NASA. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, would you like to rephrase the question? BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. In this situation, I and I don't want to have a question that's for Mr. Fassburg, but in this situation, NASA was commissioned by PSP for this to create this study. And, Dr. Khawaja, is that your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of our analysis was the data set claimed by NASA for Puget Sound Pilot Fatigue Study Report for assignments." Do you see that part? A. I do, yes. Q. Yes. So that was the main data set and for this model. And are you saying is your testimony today that there were additional data sets you used for this model that you didn't A. Okay. When you say "this model," I just want to be clear. There's a "this model" that created a vessel 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the characterization of "independent third party." This was NASA. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, would you like to rephrase the question? BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. In this situation, I and I don't want to have a question that's for Mr. Fassburg, but in this situation, NASA was commissioned by PSP for this to create this study. And, Dr. Khawaja, is that your understanding?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of our analysis was the data set claimed by NASA for Puget Sound Pilot Fatigue Study Report for assignments." Do you see that part? A. I do, yes. Q. Yes. So that was the main data set and for this model. And are you saying is your testimony today that there were additional data sets you used for this model that you didn't A. Okay. When you say "this model," I just want to be clear. There's a "this model" that created a vessel forecast which does not rely on the NASA study. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the characterization of "independent third party." This was NASA. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, would you like to rephrase the question? BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. In this situation, I and I don't want to have a question that's for Mr. Fassburg, but in this situation, NASA was commissioned by PSP for this to create this study. And, Dr. Khawaja, is that your understanding? A. It was commissioned by PSP, but I also think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of our analysis was the data set claimed by NASA for Puget Sound Pilot Fatigue Study Report for assignments." Do you see that part? A. I do, yes. Q. Yes. So that was the main data set and for this model. And are you saying is your testimony today that there were additional data sets you used for this model that you didn't A. Okay. When you say "this model," I just want to be clear. There's a "this model" that created a vessel forecast which does not rely on the NASA study. Q. Right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the characterization of "independent third party." This was NASA. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, would you like to rephrase the question? BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. In this situation, I and I don't want to have a question that's for Mr. Fassburg, but in this situation, NASA was commissioned by PSP for this to create this study. And, Dr. Khawaja, is that your understanding? A. It was commissioned by PSP, but I also think that it remains an independent party.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of our analysis was the data set claimed by NASA for Puget Sound Pilot Fatigue Study Report for assignments." Do you see that part? A. I do, yes. Q. Yes. So that was the main data set and for this model. And are you saying is your testimony today that there were additional data sets you used for this model that you didn't A. Okay. When you say "this model," I just want to be clear. There's a "this model" that created a vessel forecast which does not rely on the NASA study. Q. Right. A. And there is the model that creates the optimum 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the characterization of "independent third party." This was NASA. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, would you like to rephrase the question? BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. In this situation, I and I don't want to have a question that's for Mr. Fassburg, but in this situation, NASA was commissioned by PSP for this to create this study. And, Dr. Khawaja, is that your understanding? A. It was commissioned by PSP, but I also think that it remains an independent party. Q. And did PSP disclose to you that the data set
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of our analysis was the data set claimed by NASA for Puget Sound Pilot Fatigue Study Report for assignments." Do you see that part? A. I do, yes. Q. Yes. So that was the main data set and for this model. And are you saying is your testimony today that there were additional data sets you used for this model that you didn't A. Okay. When you say "this model," I just want to be clear. There's a "this model" that created a vessel forecast which does not rely on the NASA study. Q. Right. A. And there is the model that creates the optimum number of pilots by determining the number of optimum 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the characterization of "independent third party." This was NASA. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, would you like to rephrase the question? BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. In this situation, I and I don't want to have a question that's for Mr. Fassburg, but in this situation, NASA was commissioned by PSP for this to create this study. And, Dr. Khawaja, is that your understanding? A. It was commissioned by PSP, but I also think that it remains an independent party. Q. And did PSP disclose to you that the data set that they were using it as an advocacy piece in their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of our analysis was the data set claimed by NASA for Puget Sound Pilot Fatigue Study Report for assignments." Do you see that part? A. I do, yes. Q. Yes. So that was the main data set and for this model. And are you saying is your testimony today that there were additional data sets you used for this model that you didn't A. Okay. When you say "this model," I just want to be clear. There's a "this model" that created a vessel forecast which does not rely on the NASA study. Q. Right. A. And there is the model that creates the optimum number of pilots by determining the number of optimum number of assignments rely primarily on the NASA study. Q. Yes. Yes, we're looking at that second model for my questions right now. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the characterization of "independent third party." This was NASA. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, would you like to rephrase the question? BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. In this situation, I and I don't want to have a question that's for Mr. Fassburg, but in this situation, NASA was commissioned by PSP for this to create this study. And, Dr. Khawaja, is that your understanding? A. It was commissioned by PSP, but I also think that it remains an independent party. Q. And did PSP disclose to you that the data set that they were using it as an advocacy piece in their hearing at the Board of Pilotage Commissioners in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of our analysis was the data set claimed by NASA for Puget Sound Pilot Fatigue Study Report for assignments." Do you see that part? A. I do, yes. Q. Yes. So that was the main data set and for this model. And are you saying is your testimony today that there were additional data sets you used for this model that you didn't A. Okay. When you say "this model," I just want to be clear. There's a "this model" that created a vessel forecast which does not rely on the NASA study. Q. Right. A. And there is the model that creates the optimum number of pilots by determining the number of optimum number of assignments rely primarily on the NASA study. Q. Yes. Yes, we're looking at that second model 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the characterization of "independent third party." This was NASA. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, would you like to rephrase the question? BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. In this situation, I and I don't want to have a question that's for Mr. Fassburg, but in this situation, NASA was commissioned by PSP for this to create this study. And, Dr. Khawaja, is that your understanding? A. It was commissioned by PSP, but I also think that it remains an independent party. Q. And did PSP disclose to you that the data set that they were using it as an advocacy piece in their hearing at the Board of Pilotage Commissioners in July 2019?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of our analysis was the data set claimed by NASA for Puget Sound Pilot Fatigue Study Report for assignments." Do you see that part? A. I do, yes. Q. Yes. So that was the main data set and for this model. And are you saying is your testimony today that there were additional data sets you used for this model that you didn't A. Okay. When you say "this model," I just want to be clear. There's a "this model" that created a vessel forecast which does not rely on the NASA study. Q. Right. A. And there is the model that creates the optimum number of pilots by determining the number of optimum number of assignments rely primarily on the NASA study. Q. Yes. Yes, we're looking at that second model for my questions right now. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the characterization of "independent third party." This was NASA. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, would you like to rephrase the question? BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. In this situation, I and I don't want to have a question that's for Mr. Fassburg, but in this situation, NASA was commissioned by PSP for this to create this study. And, Dr. Khawaja, is that your understanding? A. It was commissioned by PSP, but I also think that it remains an independent party. Q. And did PSP disclose to you that the data set that they were using it as an advocacy piece in their hearing at the Board of Pilotage Commissioners in July 2019? A. They did, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of our analysis was the data set claimed by NASA for Puget Sound Pilot Fatigue Study Report for assignments." Do you see that part? A. I do, yes. Q. Yes. So that was the main data set and for this model. And are you saying is your testimony today that there were additional data sets you used for this model that you didn't A. Okay. When you say "this model," I just want to be clear. There's a "this model" that created a vessel forecast which does not rely on the NASA study. Q. Right. A. And there is the model that creates the optimum number of pilots by determining the number of optimum number of assignments rely primarily on the NASA study. Q. Yes. Yes, we're looking at that second model for my questions right now. A. Okay. Very good. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the characterization of "independent third party." This was NASA. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, would you like to rephrase the question? BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. In this situation, I and I don't want to have a question that's for Mr. Fassburg, but in this situation, NASA was commissioned by PSP for this to create this study. And, Dr. Khawaja, is that your understanding? A. It was commissioned by PSP, but I also think that it remains an independent party. Q. And did PSP disclose to you that the data set that they were using it as an advocacy piece in their hearing at the Board of Pilotage Commissioners in July 2019? A. They did, yes. Q. Okay. And when you have data provided to you by an interested party, PSP here, in conjunction with advocacy, would you typically look for an additional
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And perhaps also up above there. It it seems there that you say okay, I think if we look at line 10 up above, that you said, "The primary basis of our analysis was the data set claimed by NASA for Puget Sound Pilot Fatigue Study Report for assignments." Do you see that part? A. I do, yes. Q. Yes. So that was the main data set and for this model. And are you saying is your testimony today that there were additional data sets you used for this model that you didn't A. Okay. When you say "this model," I just want to be clear. There's a "this model" that created a vessel forecast which does not rely on the NASA study. Q. Right. A. And there is the model that creates the optimum number of pilots by determining the number of optimum number of assignments rely primarily on the NASA study. Q. Yes. Yes, we're looking at that second model for my questions right now. A. Okay. Very good. Q. Okay. Great. So that those that was the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 academic study or produced by an independent third party? MR. FASSBURG: Objection. I object to the characterization of "independent third party." This was NASA. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, would you like to rephrase the question? BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. In this situation, I and I don't want to have a question that's for Mr. Fassburg, but in this situation, NASA was commissioned by PSP for this to create this study. And, Dr. Khawaja, is that your understanding? A. It was commissioned by PSP, but I also think that it remains an independent party. Q. And did PSP disclose to you that the data set that they were using it as an advocacy piece in their hearing at the Board of Pilotage Commissioners in July 2019? A. They did, yes. Q. Okay. And when you have data provided to you by an interested party, PSP here, in conjunction with

31 (Pages 175 to 178)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 179	Page 181
1	A. Absolutely. Yes. We found no reason to be	1 A. Yes.
2	skeptical, however.	2 Q. Did you develop your own data sets based on
3	Q. Uh-huh. So was it concerning to you that the	3 multiple sources in your study?
4	data sets you were provided was from only one year of	4 A. Not personally. My analysts did.
5	data instead of from multiple years?	5 Q. Did you develop a multiyear data set in your
6	A. Nope, it wasn't. I mean, maybe it should have	6 study?
7	been, but it wasn't.	7 A. My analysts did, yep. Yes.
8	Q. With respect to the application of the data set	8 Q. So that Apex memo reflects a multiyear data set?
9	regarding pilot assignments into a formula which	9 A. That primarily relies on 2018 to determine the
10	predicts future callback outcomes, you applied a	10 appropriate metrics but relies on other data from other
11	methodology for this assignment; correct?	11 time periods to confirm the findings.
12	A. Correct; yes.	11Image: Interpretent of the periods to commit the initialitys.12Q. Did you review the the data from other
13	Q. Yeah. And in response to PMSA's discovery	13 periods or are you referring to the vessel data?
14	request in this case about the methodology you relied	14 A. I'm referring to the vessel data and the
15	upon, we received a record entitled "PSP callback	15 associated assignments, yes.
16	subbing methodology."	15associated assignments, yes.16Q. Okay. Thank you. Let's see.
17	Are you familiar with that document?	17 So in your opinion, why didn't PSP submit the
18	A. May I just seek clarification? And Mr. Fassburg	18 testimony of the Apex authors of that study to generate
19	can help me. Would this this would be the the	101010101010101019both the data in question and the methodology in this
20	memorandum from my subcontractor Apex Consulting?	
20	Q. Yes, that I can confirm.	20 proceeding? 21 A. Because I was the primary investigator. And I
22	A. Thank you for confirming. Yes. Very familiar	22 have always been the one submitting testimony and not my
23	with that document, yes.	23 analysts.
24	Q. All right. So did you create the callback	24 Q. Okay. So turning to your application of that
25	subbing methodology?	25 model, aside from the issue of who created the
23		
	Page 180	Page 182
1		
1 2	A. I did not, no.	Page 182 1 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on 2 shift model.
	A. I did not, no. Q. Okay.	1 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on
2	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm 	 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on shift model. A. Yes.
2 3	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? 	 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on shift model. A. Yes.
2 3 4	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually 	 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on shift model. A. Yes. Q. And you ran the simulation; right? A. Correct. Yes.
2 3 4 5	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe. 	 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on shift model. A. Yes. Q. And you ran the simulation; right? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. And you ran the model and compared it
2 3 4 5 6	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe. MS. DELAPPE: I can answer that question. 	 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on shift model. A. Yes. Q. And you ran the simulation; right? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. And you ran the model and compared it against historical data prior to coming to a conclusion.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe. MS. DELAPPE: I can answer that question. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. 	 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on shift model. A. Yes. Q. And you ran the simulation; right? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. And you ran the model and compared it against historical data prior to coming to a conclusion. A. We ran the model to determine the impact of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe. MS. DELAPPE: I can answer that question. 	 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on shift model. A. Yes. Q. And you ran the simulation; right? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. And you ran the model and compared it against historical data prior to coming to a conclusion. A. We ran the model to determine the impact of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe. MS. DELAPPE: I can answer that question. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MS. DELAPPE: It's Exhibit IC-41X. So it 	 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on shift model. A. Yes. Q. And you ran the simulation; right? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. And you ran the model and compared it against historical data prior to coming to a conclusion. A. We ran the model to determine the impact of adding individual pilots, a hypothetical pilot to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe. MS. DELAPPE: I can answer that question. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MS. DELAPPE: It's Exhibit IC-41X. So it was provided by another witness in this case, Captain 	 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on shift model. A. Yes. Q. And you ran the simulation; right? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. And you ran the model and compared it against historical data prior to coming to a conclusion. A. We ran the model to determine the impact of adding individual pilots, a hypothetical pilot to the reduction in callbacks, and there was no way to compare it to any other time period.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe. MS. DELAPPE: I can answer that question. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MS. DELAPPE: It's Exhibit IC-41X. So it was provided by another witness in this case, Captain Carlson. So IC-41X. 	 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on shift model. A. Yes. Q. And you ran the simulation; right? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. And you ran the model and compared it against historical data prior to coming to a conclusion. A. We ran the model to determine the impact of adding individual pilots, a hypothetical pilot to the reduction in callbacks, and there was no way to compare it to any other time period.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe. MS. DELAPPE: I can answer that question. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MS. DELAPPE: It's Exhibit IC-41X. So it was provided by another witness in this case, Captain Carlson. So IC-41X. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So it sounds Dr. Khawaja, it sounds 	 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on shift model. A. Yes. Q. And you ran the simulation; right? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. And you ran the model and compared it against historical data prior to coming to a conclusion. A. We ran the model to determine the impact of adding individual pilots, a hypothetical pilot to the reduction in callbacks, and there was no way to compare it to any other time period. Q. Okay. So you ran it without comparing it to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe. MS. DELAPPE: I can answer that question. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MS. DELAPPE: It's Exhibit IC-41X. So it was provided by another witness in this case, Captain Carlson. So IC-41X. BY MS. DELAPPE: 	 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on shift model. A. Yes. Q. And you ran the simulation; right? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. And you ran the model and compared it against historical data prior to coming to a conclusion. A. We ran the model to determine the impact of adding individual pilots, a hypothetical pilot to the reduction in callbacks, and there was no way to compare it to any other time period. Q. Okay. So you ran it without comparing it to historical data?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe. MS. DELAPPE: I can answer that question. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MS. DELAPPE: It's Exhibit IC-41X. So it was provided by another witness in this case, Captain Carlson. So IC-41X. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So it sounds Dr. Khawaja, it sounds like you know the the authors of this memo, the Apex 	 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on shift model. A. Yes. Q. And you ran the simulation; right? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. And you ran the model and compared it against historical data prior to coming to a conclusion. A. We ran the model to determine the impact of adding individual pilots, a hypothetical pilot to the reduction in callbacks, and there was no way to compare it to any other time period. Q. Okay. So you ran it without comparing it to historical data? A. It's not possible.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe. MS. DELAPPE: I can answer that question. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MS. DELAPPE: It's Exhibit IC-41X. So it was provided by another witness in this case, Captain Carlson. So IC-41X. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So it sounds Dr. Khawaja, it sounds like you know the the authors of this memo, the Apex group, and the two individuals named on that memo. 	 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on shift model. A. Yes. Q. And you ran the simulation; right? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. And you ran the model and compared it against historical data prior to coming to a conclusion. A. We ran the model to determine the impact of adding individual pilots, a hypothetical pilot to the reduction in callbacks, and there was no way to compare it to any other time period. Q. Okay. So you ran it without comparing it to historical data? A. It's not possible. Q. And why didn't you apply the same economic rigor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe. MS. DELAPPE: I can answer that question. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MS. DELAPPE: It's Exhibit IC-41X. So it was provided by another witness in this case, Captain Carlson. So IC-41X. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So it sounds Dr. Khawaja, it sounds like you know the the authors of this memo, the Apex group, and the two individuals named on that memo. A. These these were the analysts that were 	 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on shift model. A. Yes. Q. And you ran the simulation; right? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. And you ran the model and compared it against historical data prior to coming to a conclusion. A. We ran the model to determine the impact of adding individual pilots, a hypothetical pilot to the reduction in callbacks, and there was no way to compare it to any other time period. Q. Okay. So you ran it without comparing it to historical data? A. It's not possible. Q. And why didn't you apply the same economic rigor to your callback reduction model as you did to your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe. MS. DELAPPE: I can answer that question. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MS. DELAPPE: It's Exhibit IC-41X. So it was provided by another witness in this case, Captain Carlson. So IC-41X. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So it sounds Dr. Khawaja, it sounds like you know the the authors of this memo, the Apex group, and the two individuals named on that memo. A. These these were the analysts that were working under my supervision, yes. 	 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on shift model. A. Yes. Q. And you ran the simulation; right? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. And you ran the model and compared it against historical data prior to coming to a conclusion. A. We ran the model to determine the impact of adding individual pilots, a hypothetical pilot to the reduction in callbacks, and there was no way to compare it to any other time period. Q. Okay. So you ran it without comparing it to historical data? A. It's not possible. Q. And why didn't you apply the same economic rigor to your callback reduction model as you did to your vessel arrival model?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe. MS. DELAPPE: I can answer that question. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MS. DELAPPE: It's Exhibit IC-41X. So it was provided by another witness in this case, Captain Carlson. So IC-41X. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So it sounds Dr. Khawaja, it sounds like you know the the authors of this memo, the Apex group, and the two individuals named on that memo. A. These these were the analysts that were working under my supervision, yes. Q. Okay. But you did not actually create your own 	 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on shift model. A. Yes. Q. And you ran the simulation; right? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. And you ran the model and compared it against historical data prior to coming to a conclusion. A. We ran the model to determine the impact of adding individual pilots, a hypothetical pilot to the reduction in callbacks, and there was no way to compare it to any other time period. Q. Okay. So you ran it without comparing it to historical data? A. It's not possible. Q. And why didn't you apply the same economic rigor to your callback reduction model as you did to your vessel arrival model? A. Because it's not possible.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe. MS. DELAPPE: I can answer that question. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MS. DELAPPE: It's Exhibit IC-41X. So it was provided by another witness in this case, Captain Carlson. So IC-41X. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So it sounds Dr. Khawaja, it sounds like you know the the authors of this memo, the Apex group, and the two individuals named on that memo. A. These these were the analysts that were working under my supervision, yes. Q. Okay. But you did not actually create your own methodology to present to the Commission regarding the 	 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on shift model. A. Yes. Q. And you ran the simulation; right? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. And you ran the model and compared it against historical data prior to coming to a conclusion. A. We ran the model to determine the impact of adding individual pilots, a hypothetical pilot to the reduction in callbacks, and there was no way to compare it to any other time period. Q. Okay. So you ran it without comparing it to historical data? A. It's not possible. Q. And why didn't you apply the same economic rigor to your callback reduction model as you did to your vessel arrival model? A. Because it's not possible. Q. So you would say for when you're you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe. MS. DELAPPE: I can answer that question. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MS. DELAPPE: It's Exhibit IC-41X. So it was provided by another witness in this case, Captain Carlson. So IC-41X. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So it sounds Dr. Khawaja, it sounds like you know the the authors of this memo, the Apex group, and the two individuals named on that memo. A. These these were the analysts that were working under my supervision, yes. Q. Okay. But you did not actually create your own methodology to present to the Commission regarding the analysis of the callback issue? 	1methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on2shift model.3A. Yes.4Q. And you ran the simulation; right?5A. Correct. Yes.6Q. Okay. And you ran the model and compared it7against historical data prior to coming to a conclusion.8A. We ran the model to determine the impact of9adding individual pilots, a hypothetical pilot to the10reduction in callbacks, and there was no way to compare11it to any other time period.12Q. Okay. So you ran it without comparing it to13historical data?14A. It's not possible.15Q. And why didn't you apply the same economic rigor16to your callback reduction model as you did to your17vessel arrival model?18A. Because it's not possible.19Q. So you would say for when you're you're20teaching your courses in applied economics, you teach
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe. MS. DELAPPE: I can answer that question. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MS. DELAPPE: It's Exhibit IC-41X. So it was provided by another witness in this case, Captain Carlson. So IC-41X. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So it sounds Dr. Khawaja, it sounds like you know the the authors of this memo, the Apex group, and the two individuals named on that memo. A. These these were the analysts that were working under my supervision, yes. Q. Okay. But you did not actually create your own methodology to present to the Commission regarding the analysis of the callback issue? A. I supervised the creation and the determination 	1 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on 2 shift model. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you ran the simulation; right? 5 A. Correct. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. And you ran the model and compared it 7 against historical data prior to coming to a conclusion. 8 A. We ran the model to determine the impact of 9 adding individual pilots, a hypothetical pilot to the 10 reduction in callbacks, and there was no way to compare 11 it to any other time period. 12 Q. Okay. So you ran it without comparing it to 13 historical data? 14 A. It's not possible. 15 Q. And why didn't you apply the same economic rigor 16 to your callback reduction model as you did to your 17 vessel arrival model? 18 A. Because it's not possible. 19 Q. So you would say for when you're you're 20 teaching your courses in applied economics, you teach 21 your students to build a model and compare it to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe. MS. DELAPPE: I can answer that question. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MS. DELAPPE: It's Exhibit IC-41X. So it was provided by another witness in this case, Captain Carlson. So IC-41X. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So it sounds Dr. Khawaja, it sounds like you know the the authors of this memo, the Apex group, and the two individuals named on that memo. A. These these were the analysts that were working under my supervision, yes. Q. Okay. But you did not actually create your own methodology to present to the Commission regarding the analysis of the callback issue? A. I supervised the creation and the determination of the best methodology, but I personally did not do it, 	1 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on 2 shift model. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you ran the simulation; right? 5 A. Correct. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. And you ran the model and compared it 7 against historical data prior to coming to a conclusion. 8 A. We ran the model to determine the impact of 9 adding individual pilots, a hypothetical pilot to the 10 reduction in callbacks, and there was no way to compare 11 it to any other time period. 12 Q. Okay. So you ran it without comparing it to 13 historical data? 14 A. It's not possible. 15 Q. And why didn't you apply the same economic rigor 16 to your callback reduction model as you did to your 17 vessel arrival model? 18 A. Because it's not possible. 19 Q. So you would say for when you're you're 19 Q. So you would say for when you're you're 10 teaching your courses in applied economics, you teach 10 your students to build a model and compare it to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I did not, no. Q. Okay. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. Fassburg, I'm sorry. Is this an exhibit in the record? MS. DELAPPE: I can actually JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe. MS. DELAPPE: I can answer that question. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MS. DELAPPE: It's Exhibit IC-41X. So it was provided by another witness in this case, Captain Carlson. So IC-41X. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So it sounds Dr. Khawaja, it sounds like you know the the authors of this memo, the Apex group, and the two individuals named on that memo. A. These these were the analysts that were working under my supervision, yes. Q. Okay. But you did not actually create your own methodology to present to the Commission regarding the analysis of the callback issue? A. I supervised the creation and the determination of the best methodology, but I personally did not do it, no. 	 methodology, now you have your hypothetical pilots on shift model. A. Yes. Q. And you ran the simulation; right? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. And you ran the model and compared it against historical data prior to coming to a conclusion. A. We ran the model to determine the impact of adding individual pilots, a hypothetical pilot to the reduction in callbacks, and there was no way to compare it to any other time period. Q. Okay. So you ran it without comparing it to historical data? A. It's not possible. Q. And why didn't you apply the same economic rigor to your callback reduction model as you did to your vessel arrival model? A. Because it's not possible. Q. So you would say for when you're you're teaching your courses in applied economics, you teach your students to build a model and compare it to actuals, but in this situation, what would you tell your students?

32 (Pages 179 to 182)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 183		Page 185
1	you you you test it by doing it many, many times.	1	Q. In your cross-answering testimony, which is
2	That's the test. Not by comparing it to other time	2	Exhibit SK-3T, you have a lot to say about the financial
3	periods. It's not possible.	3	aspects of callbacks; right?
4	Q. In your opinion, the expansion of a callback	4	A. Yes.
5	calculation accrual is unpredictable; right?	5	Q. And did you review the 2018 PSP audited
6	A. I'm sorry. The can you say that again? The	6	financials before submitting that testimony?
7	expansion?	7	A. I did not.
8	Q. The expansion of callback calculation accrual is	8	Q. Okay. And did you review the PSP bylaws prior
9	unpredictable; is that your opinion?	9	to submitting that testimony?
10	A. In real life, yes.	10	A. Partially to recognize to understand what
11	Q. Yes. That's where we are. So thank you.	11	certain terms meant.
12	A. I thought you were still in the simulation	12	Q. Okay. In your testimony, you conclude that the
13	model.	13	value of a pilot pilotage services should be based on
14	Q. I can direct you to your testimony. The same	14	a finite unit of work that a pilot performs.
15	page we were looking at before, SK-1 1T, page 6.	15	A. That's correct.
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. So what's the actual unit of work that is finite
17	Q. Line 6. So you said, "Additionally, this trend	17	that the pilot should be compensated for?
18	implicates the need for additional licensed pilots in	18	A. That's actually the center point of my testimony
19	order to avoid the unpredictable expansion of the	19	is what what is that number? So I conclude it's 118
20	callback calculation accrual."	20	assignments.
21	A. Yes.	20	Q. But what's the unit? It's 118 assignments is
21	Q. Okay. If your impression of the callback system	21	the unit?
22	is that it is unpredictable, why would you make a	22	A. That is the unit. That could be there could
23	multiyear projection and recommendation based on a model	23	be some on-duty days with no assignments and there could
24	that involved only a single data set from a single year?	24	
20	that motived only a single data set nom a single year?	25	be other on-duty days that have multiple assignments.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. It's the the data set from a single year was a very good data set. In the sense it included data up to the minute level of what was going on. In my opinion, that was sufficient. In my expert opinion, that was sufficient. Q. But wouldn't you agree that things can vary quite a bit from year to year? A. Absolutely they can. That's why you use a simulation model. And you allow all these variables to vary to their extreme and see what happens. That is the purpose of the simulation model. Q. Did your in your initial testimony regarding callbacks, you focused on a methodology of workload; correct? A. Yes. Q. Did your original testimony mention once the amount of money that a PSP pilot makes or could make? A. No. Q. Okay. Do the vessel projection model or does the vessel projection model or the callback model use PSP revenues or a tariff as a variable or a factor? 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. When you say on-duty days are you saying on-watch? A. On-watch. Thank you for correcting me. That was one of the reasons I reviewed the bylaws, because I got confused by it. It is on-watch, yes. Q. Thank you. So is getting paid for a unit of work and you say the unit of work is 180 days? A. Eighteen. 118 Q. 118 days. A assignments. Q. That's the unit? A. Assignments. Q. Of assignments, whether they have assignments or not is okay. So that's the same as getting paid for your time, getting paid for that unit is the same as getting paid for your time? A. Yes. And your time could be spent doing an assignment, yes.
22 23	A. No. Q. Okay. And did you review the 2018 PSP audited	22	Q. Okay. So you're saying the finite unit of work is a function of time. So, like the pilot gets paid by
23	Q. Okay. And did you review the 2018 PSP audited	23	is a function of time. So, like, the pilot gets paid by
24	financials before your initial testimony in November?	24	the hour, as an example?
25	A. I did not.	25	A. Possibly, yes.

33 (Pages 183 to 186)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 187		Page 189
1	Q. You are not saying it's the function of a task,	1	job.
2	such as the pilot getting paid for an assignment?	2	So that you still agree with your testimony
3	A. I'm I'm saying that the pilot's are expected	3	on that?
4	to do 118 assignments in a year. I don't know what that	4	A. I do.
5	translates to in terms of days on-watch, and I don't	5	Q. Okay. Are you advocating that when a pilot does
6	know what it translates to in terms of hours either.	6	extra work on a callback and he spends more time
7	Q. Thank you for the clarification. Okay.	7	piloting than his fellow pilots that he should get paid
8	MS. DELAPPE: I have just a few more	8	more than his fellow pilots that do not do a callback?
9	minutes, Judge Pearson. I know that I'm a little over	9	A. I believe that the organization as a whole
10	time. May I proceed?	10	should get paid for callbacks. How that money is
11	JUDGE PEARSON: Go ahead.	11	distributed internally, it's not something I can judge.
12	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you.	12	Q. And so you identify that there is no premium
13	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	13	component to the compensation, that the revenue
14	Q. From your next statement in your testimony you	14	requirement methodology should provide additional
15	talk about DNI. DNI is the value of work performed by	15	compensation for callbacks, or there would be no
16	pilots while on the clock. It sounds like you believe	16	incentive for a pilot to come in from their off-duty day
17	that they are getting paid as a function of time, right,	17	and to take a vessel assignment.
18	from that?	18	As an economist, do you believe that a system
19	A. Yes. Okay.	19	where every pilot receives the same distribution of net
20	Q. And if in the PSP financials, you said that	20	revenue from every job, whether the pilot receives
21	you have not reviewed that document?	21	whether a pilot was working or not, is maximizing the
22	A. That's correct.	22	efficiency of the piloting system?
23	Q. Okay.	23	A. This is a little bit outside of what I was asked
24	A. I have not.	24	to do. But my opinion, if people don't get compensated
25	Q. So I'll just skip that.	25	for their time, they are less likely to want to give
	Page 188		Page 190
1	Are you aware that the payment for pilots under	1	their time.
2	the bylaws is for 365 days of service in a calendar	2	Q. Okay. And so you testified about pilots working
3	year?	3	callbacks for free. That was how you term it?
4	A. Limagine that to be the case. That's that	4	A. Yes.
5	they are available 365 days for duty. That's my	5	Q. All right. And Staff recommendation results in
6	interpretation of that.	6	a situation where pilots have earned, as you say,
7	Q. "Duty" meaning	7	\$400,000 in compensation but they had to work numerous
8	A. Meaning that they could be called	8	callbacks for free to get it; right?
9	Q they are on the	9	A. Correct.
10	A. On the clock they could be called for a callback	10	Q. How can someone who is paid whether they are
11	while they are off the clock.	11	working or not be working for free?
12	Q. And you say that DNI should be for duty time not	12	A. The \$400,000, in my opinion, should be for
13	for total time; right?	13	conducting 118 assignments. And if they do more than
14	A. Correct. That is my opinion.	14	118 assignments, they get more. In this case, they did
15	Q. So how do you describe the difference between	15	many more than 118 assignments and they are still
16	duty time and total time?	16	receiving 400,000.
17	A. I believe a pilot should be paid for 118	17	MS. DeLAPPE: I can I can wrap up here.
18	assignments. Whatever the DNI amount is, that is for	18	Thank you.
19	118 assignments. If they do more than 118 assignments	19	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
20		20	Mr. Fassburg, do you have any redirect based
21	Q. So PSP's view regarding their payments is that	21	on Ms. DeLappe's questions?
22	their compensation is based upon duty days during a	22	MR. FASSBURG: I do, but I believe Staff
23	given month not based on the number of assignments	23	announced time for Dr. Khawaja.
24		24	
25	income tied directly to the performance of a callback	25	typically offer the attorneys a chance to do redirect
19 20 21 22 23	 118 assignments. If they do more than 118 assignments during the year, payment is above and beyond DNI. Q. So PSP's view regarding their payments is that their compensation is based upon duty days during a 	19 20 21 22 23 24	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Fassburg, do you have any redirect based on Ms. DeLappe's questions? MR. FASSBURG: 1 do, but I believe Staff announced time for Dr. Khawaja. JUDGE PEARSON: No, they did. 1 just

34 (Pages 187 to 190)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

			D 100
	Page 191		Page 193
1	after each attorney questions if they would like to.	1	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
2	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. No, I'd love to	2	Do we have any questions from the bench for
3	do that.	3	Dr. Khawaja? Commissioner Balasbas?
4	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	4	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank
5	BY MR. FASSBURG:	5	you.
6	Q. I have a couple questions for you, Dr. Khawaja,	6	EXAMINATION
7	just because I think your original testimony explained	7	BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS:
8	something I want to make sure is still clear.	8	Q. All right. Thank you.
9	The work that you did with respect to	9	Good morning, Dr. Khawaja.
10	determining a workload for which pilots would earn a	10	A. Good morning to you.
11	DNI, would when a pilot performs a callback, in your	11	Q. I just wanted to follow up on some of the
12	understanding, is that pilot on watch or off watch?	12	questions that Ms. DeLappe was asking you about your
13	A. It a callback is off watch.	13	testimony.
14	Q. In your recollection, is a pilot on watch	14	So first, your your testimony is that the
15	365 days a year?	15	pilots should perform 118 assignments in a year to
16	A. A pilot is not on watch 365 days a year. A	16	receive to receive a distribution of net income; is
17	pilot had to be in 365 days of on duty. I apologize. I	17	that correct?
18	don't remember the exact term.	18	A. That is correct; yes.
19	Q. Sure.	19	Q. And so if a pilot does not perform 118
20	A. Duty days. They have 365 duty days, but they	20	assignments in a year, are under your is it your
21	are not on watch 365 days a year.	21	testimony that they should not receive a distribution or
22	Q. So I just want everyone to make sure we're clear	22	should receive less of a distribution?
23	on the terminology.	23	A. I never really addressed that issue, but I think
24	In the PSP bylaws, when it defines a "duty day,"	24	it I think it is it's false, right?
25	is it your understanding that that's part of the	25	I mean, if you have a job and you're supposed to
	Page 192		Page 194
1	distribution formula? The 365 duty days, are those part	1	do 2080 hours a year but you do less than that, you get
2	of the distribution formula?	2	paid less than your full-time equivalent.
3	A. I'm sorry. That question is for me?	3	Q. All right. And then just to clarify of the
4	Q. Yes. I'm sorry. I wanted to make sure everyone	4	of the unit that we're talking about here, because in
5			of the unit that we re taiking about here, because in
	understands your testimony.	5	
6	understands your testimony. Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a		some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days.
6 7	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a	5	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118,
	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a pilot is available to work on watch 365 days a year?	5 6	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days. But what your testimony is, is that in your
7	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a pilot is available to work on watch 365 days a year? A. That is not my testimony.	5 6 7	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days. But what your testimony is, is that in your testimony the pilots should perform 118 assignments,
7 8	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a pilot is available to work on watch 365 days a year? A. That is not my testimony. Q. Okay. And when you refer to the 118 assignments	5 6 7 8	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days. But what your testimony is, is that in your testimony the pilots should perform 118 assignments, which is irrespective of the time for each of that
7 8 9	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a pilot is available to work on watch 365 days a year? A. That is not my testimony. Q. Okay. And when you refer to the 118 assignments that a pilot should be required to perform to earn their	5 6 7 8 9	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days. But what your testimony is, is that in your testimony the pilots should perform 118 assignments, which is irrespective of the time for each of that assignment?
7 8 9 10	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a pilot is available to work on watch 365 days a year? A. That is not my testimony. Q. Okay. And when you refer to the 118 assignments that a pilot should be required to perform to earn their DNI, is that the number of assignments that you	5 6 7 8 9 10	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days. But what your testimony is, is that in your testimony the pilots should perform 118 assignments, which is irrespective of the time for each of that assignment? A. That is my testimony; yes, sir.
7 8 9 10 11	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a pilot is available to work on watch 365 days a year? A. That is not my testimony. Q. Okay. And when you refer to the 118 assignments that a pilot should be required to perform to earn their DNI, is that the number of assignments that you calculate an on-duty pilot would perform if callbacks	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days. But what your testimony is, is that in your testimony the pilots should perform 118 assignments, which is irrespective of the time for each of that assignment? A. That is my testimony; yes, sir. Q. Okay. All right. Thank you.
7 8 9 10 11 12	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a pilot is available to work on watch 365 days a year? A. That is not my testimony. Q. Okay. And when you refer to the 118 assignments that a pilot should be required to perform to earn their DNI, is that the number of assignments that you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days. But what your testimony is, is that in your testimony the pilots should perform 118 assignments, which is irrespective of the time for each of that assignment? A. That is my testimony; yes, sir.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a pilot is available to work on watch 365 days a year? A. That is not my testimony. Q. Okay. And when you refer to the 118 assignments that a pilot should be required to perform to earn their DNI, is that the number of assignments that you calculate an on-duty pilot would perform if callbacks were minimized under your analysis?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days. But what your testimony is, is that in your testimony the pilots should perform 118 assignments, which is irrespective of the time for each of that assignment? A. That is my testimony; yes, sir. Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: I, at this point, do not have any further questions.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a pilot is available to work on watch 365 days a year? A. That is not my testimony. Q. Okay. And when you refer to the 118 assignments that a pilot should be required to perform to earn their DNI, is that the number of assignments that you calculate an on-duty pilot would perform if callbacks were minimized under your analysis? A. Correct. Q. So those represent work for when a pilot is on	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days. But what your testimony is, is that in your testimony the pilots should perform 118 assignments, which is irrespective of the time for each of that assignment? A. That is my testimony; yes, sir. Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: I, at this point, do
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a pilot is available to work on watch 365 days a year? A. That is not my testimony. Q. Okay. And when you refer to the 118 assignments that a pilot should be required to perform to earn their DNI, is that the number of assignments that you calculate an on-duty pilot would perform if callbacks were minimized under your analysis? A. Correct.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days. But what your testimony is, is that in your testimony the pilots should perform 118 assignments, which is irrespective of the time for each of that assignment? A. That is my testimony; yes, sir. Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: I, at this point, do not have any further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Commissioner Rendahl
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a pilot is available to work on watch 365 days a year? A. That is not my testimony. Q. Okay. And when you refer to the 118 assignments that a pilot should be required to perform to earn their DNI, is that the number of assignments that you calculate an on-duty pilot would perform if callbacks were minimized under your analysis? A. Correct. Q. So those represent work for when a pilot is on watch not work when they are off watch; is that right? A. Correct.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days. But what your testimony is, is that in your testimony the pilots should perform 118 assignments, which is irrespective of the time for each of that assignment? A. That is my testimony; yes, sir. Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: I, at this point, do not have any further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Commissioner Rendahl or Chair Danner?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a pilot is available to work on watch 365 days a year? A. That is not my testimony. Q. Okay. And when you refer to the 118 assignments that a pilot should be required to perform to earn their DNI, is that the number of assignments that you calculate an on-duty pilot would perform if callbacks were minimized under your analysis? A. Correct. Q. So those represent work for when a pilot is on watch not work when they are off watch; is that right? A. Correct. MR. FASSBURG: I don't think I have anything	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days. But what your testimony is, is that in your testimony the pilots should perform 118 assignments, which is irrespective of the time for each of that assignment? A. That is my testimony; yes, sir. Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: I, at this point, do not have any further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Commissioner Rendahl or Chair Danner? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I have no questions.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a pilot is available to work on watch 365 days a year? A. That is not my testimony. Q. Okay. And when you refer to the 118 assignments that a pilot should be required to perform to earn their DNI, is that the number of assignments that you calculate an on-duty pilot would perform if callbacks were minimized under your analysis? A. Correct. Q. So those represent work for when a pilot is on watch not work when they are off watch; is that right? A. Correct. MR. FASSBURG: I don't think I have anything else right now. Thank you.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days. But what your testimony is, is that in your testimony the pilots should perform 118 assignments, which is irrespective of the time for each of that assignment? A. That is my testimony; yes, sir. Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: I, at this point, do not have any further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Commissioner Rendahl or Chair Danner? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I have no questions. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. And
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a pilot is available to work on watch 365 days a year? A. That is not my testimony. Q. Okay. And when you refer to the 118 assignments that a pilot should be required to perform to earn their DNI, is that the number of assignments that you calculate an on-duty pilot would perform if callbacks were minimized under your analysis? A. Correct. Q. So those represent work for when a pilot is on watch not work when they are off watch; is that right? A. Correct. MR. FASSBURG: I don't think I have anything else right now. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days. But what your testimony is, is that in your testimony the pilots should perform 118 assignments, which is irrespective of the time for each of that assignment? A. That is my testimony; yes, sir. Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: I, at this point, do not have any further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Commissioner Rendahl or Chair Danner? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I have no questions. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. And CHAIR DANNER: Nor do I.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a pilot is available to work on watch 365 days a year? A. That is not my testimony. Q. Okay. And when you refer to the 118 assignments that a pilot should be required to perform to earn their DNI, is that the number of assignments that you calculate an on-duty pilot would perform if callbacks were minimized under your analysis? A. Correct. Q. So those represent work for when a pilot is on watch not work when they are off watch; is that right? A. Correct. MR. FASSBURG: I don't think I have anything else right now. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Fukano or Ms. Brown, do you have	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days. But what your testimony is, is that in your testimony the pilots should perform 118 assignments, which is irrespective of the time for each of that assignment? A. That is my testimony; yes, sir. Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: I, at this point, do not have any further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Commissioner Rendahl or Chair Danner? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I have no questions. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. And CHAIR DANNER: Nor do I. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. THE WITNESS: May I may I just say one
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a pilot is available to work on watch 365 days a year? A. That is not my testimony. Q. Okay. And when you refer to the 118 assignments that a pilot should be required to perform to earn their DNI, is that the number of assignments that you calculate an on-duty pilot would perform if callbacks were minimized under your analysis? A. Correct. Q. So those represent work for when a pilot is on watch not work when they are off watch; is that right? A. Correct. MR. FASSBURG: I don't think I have anything else right now. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days. But what your testimony is, is that in your testimony the pilots should perform 118 assignments, which is irrespective of the time for each of that assignment? A. That is my testimony; yes, sir. Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: I, at this point, do not have any further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Commissioner Rendahl or Chair Danner? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I have no questions. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. And CHAIR DANNER: Nor do I. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a pilot is available to work on watch 365 days a year? A. That is not my testimony. Q. Okay. And when you refer to the 118 assignments that a pilot should be required to perform to earn their DNI, is that the number of assignments that you calculate an on-duty pilot would perform if callbacks were minimized under your analysis? A. Correct. Q. So those represent work for when a pilot is on watch not work when they are off watch; is that right? A. Correct. MR. FASSBURG: I don't think I have anything else right now. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Fukano or Ms. Brown, do you have questions for this witness?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days. But what your testimony is, is that in your testimony the pilots should perform 118 assignments, which is irrespective of the time for each of that assignment? A. That is my testimony; yes, sir. Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: I, at this point, do not have any further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Commissioner Rendahl or Chair Danner? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I have no questions. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. And CHAIR DANNER: Nor do I. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. THE WITNESS: May I may I just say one quick summary, if I may. If I'm allowed. JUDGE PEARSON: Go ahead.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a pilot is available to work on watch 365 days a year? A. That is not my testimony. Q. Okay. And when you refer to the 118 assignments that a pilot should be required to perform to earn their DNI, is that the number of assignments that you calculate an on-duty pilot would perform if callbacks were minimized under your analysis? A. Correct. Q. So those represent work for when a pilot is on watch not work when they are off watch; is that right? A. Correct. MR. FASSBURG: I don't think I have anything else right now. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Fukano or Ms. Brown, do you have questions for this witness? MR. FUKANO: Staff did have a time estimate	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days. But what your testimony is, is that in your testimony the pilots should perform 118 assignments, which is irrespective of the time for each of that assignment? A. That is my testimony; yes, sir. Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: I, at this point, do not have any further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Commissioner Rendahl or Chair Danner? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I have no questions. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. And CHAIR DANNER: Nor do I. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. THE WITNESS: May I may I just say one quick summary, if I may. If I'm allowed.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Just to be clear, you're not testifying that a pilot is available to work on watch 365 days a year? A. That is not my testimony. Q. Okay. And when you refer to the 118 assignments that a pilot should be required to perform to earn their DNI, is that the number of assignments that you calculate an on-duty pilot would perform if callbacks were minimized under your analysis? A. Correct. Q. So those represent work for when a pilot is on watch not work when they are off watch; is that right? A. Correct. MR. FASSBURG: I don't think I have anything else right now. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Fukano or Ms. Brown, do you have questions for this witness? MR. FUKANO: Staff did have a time estimate for this witness, but Staff is currently willing to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	some of your answers to Ms. DeLappe you mentioned 118, it sounded like days. But what your testimony is, is that in your testimony the pilots should perform 118 assignments, which is irrespective of the time for each of that assignment? A. That is my testimony; yes, sir. Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: I, at this point, do not have any further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Commissioner Rendahl or Chair Danner? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I have no questions. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. And CHAIR DANNER: Nor do I. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. THE WITNESS: May I may I just say one quick summary, if I may. If I'm allowed. JUDGE PEARSON: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Without a question. So

35 (Pages 191 to 194)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	De		D
	Page 195		Page 197
1	obvious. This is about revenue requirement obviously.	1	you.
2	And there's an ask and there's an offer. And this is	2	JUDGE PEARSON: All right. All right,
3	really about two things and two things ultimately what	3	Mr. Khawaja or Dr. Khawaja, you are excused.
4	determines everything here, and that is the number of	4	THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
5	assignments per pilot that determines the number of	5	JUDGE PEARSON: And our next witness is
6	pilots and the compensation for the pilots.	6	Weldon Burton for Puget Sound Pilots.
7	My analysis shows that the delta between	7	Mr. Burton, if you could turn on your
8	what is being asked and what is being offered, about	8	camera. Mr. Burton, can you hear me? Do you have your
9	60 percent of that delta is driven entirely by the	9	microphone on?
10	amount of compensation requested versus being offered,	10	THE WITNESS: Yes, I can hear you. And do
11	the 400,000 versus 500,000, and that's outside of my	11	you hear me?
12	testimony.	12	JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. All right. If you
13	What's in my testimony, which is like	13	could please raise your right hand.
14	40 percent of that difference, is due to number of	14	Do you swear under penalty of perjury that
15	assignments per pilot. And what is what I did the	15	the testimony you offer today is the truth, the whole
16 17	the Staff offered 143. I came up with 118. I think we	16 17	truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do.
18	all agree that staffing or building capacity to peak if I was here testifying on behalf of Puget Sound Energy	18	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
18	or aVista or Pacific Corp, I would be arguing that	18	And, Mr. Wiley, are you going to be handling
20	you've got to staff for peak plus just in case you're	20	cross for Mr. Burton?
20	wrong. But we all know that whether it's pilots or a	21	MR. WILEY: Yes, I am, Your Honor.
22	pizza parlor or any other place, staffing for peak does	22	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So go ahead and
23	not make sense. It's inefficient. So Staff are asking	23	introduce the witness.
24	to Staff are asking that we build capacity for	24	MR. WILEY: Yes. Before I do that, can I
25	average	25	just make one comment as it's getting to be the senior
	Page 196		Page 198
1	MR. FUKANO: I beg your pardon. I'd like to	1	hour with me, cross-examining, I just wanted to say that
2	object. Mr. Khawaja is now testifying about Staff's	2	I'm very impressed with the next generation of not only
3	position with no question being offered to him.	3	Commissioners and Judges and practitioners and it's
4	THE WITNESS: I apologize.	4	really gratifying to see a next generation coming up the
5	MS. BROWN: Your Honor, this is Sally Brown	5	ranks in these kind of very specialized cases, so I feel
6	with Commission Staff. I'd like to inquire whether	6	honored to be in your company today.
7	JUDGE PEARSON: Sally, we can't hear you.	7	So let's maybe with the exclusion of some
8	Hold on.	8	other senior up in the right-hand corner, anyways.
9	MS. BROWN: All right.	9	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Watch it.
10	JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Go ahead,	10	
11	Ms. Brown.	11	WELDON BURTON, witness herein, having been
12	MS. BROWN: Okay. This is Sally Brown for	12	first duly sworn on oath, was
13	Commission Staff. I would also like to inquire as to	13	examined and testified as
14	whether or not the opportunity to deliver a lengthy	14	follows:
15	soliloquy or narrative without a question pending is	15	
16	going to be extended to Commission Staff's witnesses as	16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	well.	17	BY MR. WILEY:
18	JUDGE PEARSON: We'll need you	18	Q. Mr. Burton, could you please provide your name
19	Dr. Khawaja, we'll need you to wrap up. And if any of	19	and business address for the record?
20	the other parties have additional questions based on	20	A. Weldon Burton. Business address is 2 South 56th
21	what he just said, I will allow those as well.	21	Place, Suite 201E, Ridgefield, Washington 98642.
22	Does Staff or PMSA have any additional	22	Q. And, Mr. Burton, do you understand that we have
23	questions based on what Dr. Khawaja just relayed?	23	admitted the direct and rebuttal testimony and your
24	MR. FUKANO: Not at this time.	24	accompanying exhibits without objection?
0.5	MC Dal ADDE. No wat at this time - The set	0 -	
25	MS. DeLAPPE: No, not at this time. Thank	25	A. Yes.

36 (Pages 195 to 198)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 199		Page 201
1	MR. WILEY: Okay. I tender the witness,	1	presentation are required and reasonably incurred in the
2	Your Honor.	2	provision of in the provision of services.
3	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.	3	So do you agree with that, Mr. Burton?
4	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you.	4	A. Yes, I do.
5	JUDGE PEARSON: Go ahead. Ms. DeLappe.	5	Q. So please explain then how you
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION	6	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. DeLappe.
7	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	7	MS. DELAPPE: Yes.
8	Q. Hello, Mr. Burton.	8	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Can you tell us which
9	A. Good morning.	9	response to the data request within that exhibit that
10	Q. Would you do you disagree with Captain	10	you are referring to?
11	Moore's statement that only expenses that are essential	11	MS. DeLAPPE: It's page 1, and it's Data
12	to the provision of pilotage services to vessels should	12	Request No. 38.
13	be recovered under the revenue requirement?	13	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thank you.
14	A. Yes, I disagree with that.	14	MS. DELAPPE: Does that get you there?
15	Q. And the Commission Staff has said that they	15	Thanks.
16	evaluate whether an expense is essential or nonessential	16	BY MS. DeLAPPE:
17	to the provision of the pilotage service. You agree	17	Q. So, Mr. Burton, please explain then how you can
18	with that, don't you?	18	disagree with Captain Moore's statement but you agree
19	A. I agree that Staff evaluates expenses and	19	with Staff when they both state that they agree that
20	sometimes removes a part of the expense from the pro	20	only essential services should be captured by the
21	forma, and maybe in 100 percent or less than	21	tariff?
22	100 percent.	22	MR. WILEY: Objection to the form of the
23	Q. Thank you.	23	question. I think she mischaracterized the testimony of
24	Commission Staff has said that a review of	24	Ms. LaRue in the response.
25	expenses as essential versus nonessential determinations	25	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, do you want to
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Page 200		Page 202
1	require them to review expenses to ensure all items	1	respond to that?
2	contained in the regulatory financial presentation are	2	MS. DeLAPPE: Excuse me, so I read what
3	required and reasonably incurred in the provision of	3	Ms. LaRue wrote. And I in that on that page, so
4	services. Do you agree with that?	4	I'm not certain what mischaracterization occurred. I'd
5	A. Could you repeat that question, please? I'm	5	need to have some clarification.
6	sorry.	6	MR. WILEY: I think it was the essential
7	Q. Yes. In fact, if you I could direct you to	7	services the reference.
8	an exhibit. It's do you have exhibit labeled	8	MS. DeLAPPE: So I would direct Mr. Wiley to
9	AMCL-15X?	9	the question, the data request, which asks
10	A. AMCL-16?	10	MR. WILEY: Yes. I don't have it in front
11	Q. 15X.	11	of me. Do you want to read it? I can't find it.
12	A. Just a minute.	12	MS. DeLAPPE: I'd be glad to. It asks: Did
13	Q. Let me know when you are there.	13	UTC Staff evaluate if an expense is essential or
14	MR. WILEY: Is that a cross-exhibit,	14	nonessential to the provision of pilotage service?
15	Ms. DeLappe?	15	And Ms. LaRue's answer is: Yes, period.
16	MS. DeLAPPE: Yes.	16	All regulatory audits review expenses to ensure all
17	THE WITNESS: I'm afraid	17	items contained in the regulatory financial presentation
18	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	18	are required and reasonably incurred in the provision of
19	Q. That's all right, Mr. Burton.	19	services.
20	A. I can't find that exhibit readily.	20	So if I may proceed?
21	Q. That's not a problem. I understand there are a	21	MR. WILEY: Yes.
22	lot of exhibits here.	22	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you.
23	In that exhibit, Ms. LaRue from the Commission	23	BY MS. DeLAPPE:
24	Staff said that all regulatory audits review expenses to	24	Q. So, Mr. Burton, can you please explain, given
25	ensure all items contained in the regulatory financial	25	that information, how you can disagree with Captain

37 (Pages 199 to 202)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 203		Page 205
1	Moore and but agree with Staff when they are saying	1	prefer a plan other than the company plan or perhaps
2	that only essential services should be captured by the	2	offer a payment in lieu of coverage when the employees
3	tariff?	3	may have already some alternative coverage?
4	A. For example, Captain Moore suggests that the	4	A. Most of the companies have union-sponsored plans
5	medical insurance for pilots should not be includable as	5	that do not provide for the exceptions that you're
6	an expense. However, in my experience in 40 years	6	quoting.
7	approximately working with Commission Staff, they have	7	Q. Is it correct that at least one PSP pilot does
8	consistently allowed medical insurance for not only	8	not participate in the PSP plan?
9	owners, but employees.	9	A. That's my understanding.
10	So his suggestion is that it's not an allowable	10	Q. And PSP does not inquire as to how many pilots
11	rate making expense for this proceeding is not correct	11	both active and retired have medical insurance other
12	in my opinion.	12	than the PSP plan?
13	He also suggests that political contributions	13	A. The retired pilots do not qualify or are not
14	are not an allowable expense. And I, in fact, removed	14	included in the PSP plan.
15	political contributions from the pro forma before	15	Q. And PSP doesn't inquire for active pilots about
16	submission to the Commission.	16	medical insurance other than the PSP plan?
17	Q. Thank you for that clarification. Let's take up	17	A. Not to my knowledge.
18	your first point about medical insurance coverage.	18	Q. Does PSP does not inquire as to what other
19	MS. DELAPPE: Judge Pearson	19	medical insurance plans, if any, that the
20	JUDGE PEARSON: Just a moment. Mr. Gilbert,	20	non-subscribing pilots and retired members might have,
21	can you please mute your microphone and turn off your	21	right, that's
22	camera?	22	A. I'm sorry. Can you say that again? You're
23	MS. DeLAPPE: I can proceed, if you'd like.	23	Q. So PSP does not inquire as to what other medical
24	JUDGE PEARSON: There we go. Okay.	24	insurance plans, if any, the non-subscribing pilots and
25	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	25	retired members have?
	Page 204		Page 206
1	Q. All right. So let's take up that first point	1	A. Again, retired members do not qualify or are not
2	that you made, Mr. Burton, regarding medical insurance	2	covered under the the current medical plan offered by
3	coverage. The medical insurance coverage that PSP	3	PSP. And the other pilot I have, I do not know why he
4	provides to pilots is consistent with the Commission	4	is not covered.
5	decisions regarding medical benefits; right?	5	Q. So I'm focused on the PSP's practice of not
6	A. That is my that is correct.	6	inquiring as to the medical insurance status of its
7	Q. And medical benefits are a customary operating	7	members.
8	expense for public service companies; correct?	8	So as you point out, this would be applicable to
9	A. That is correct.	9	its active members. So they don't inquire, but they
10	Q. And they are routinely covered in rates	10	provide the benefit anyway; right?
11	authorized by the Commission; right?	11	A. That is an operating question that management
12	A. Correct.	12	has made the decision of. That is not within the
13	Q. And it's common among privately held public	13	purview of my work in this case.
14	service companies that the owners who work for their	14	Q. Do you know whether that's typical when compared
15	companies receive employee benefits like health	15	with other employers?
16	insurance; right?	16	A. No, I don't.
17	A. That is correct.	17	Q. The benefits provided to employees or to owners
18	Q. And those benefits are not traditionally	18	are a form of compensation to the employee by a public
19	excluded from reasonable operating expenses by the	19	service company that provides them; right?
20	commissions, as you pointed out; right?	20	A. They are a form of non of exempt
21	A. Correct.	21	compensation; that is correct.
22	Q. Is it common among these other privately held	22	Q. Okay. So
23	service companies that they must evaluate whether to	23	A. Exempt from federal income tax, I should say.
24	provide medical benefits to its employees who already	24	Excuse me.
25	have other coverage, such as through a spouse or who may	25	Q. Yes. But you don't believe it should matter

38 (Pages 203 to 206)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 207		Page 209
1	whether the medical benefits are recovered by pilots as	1	he Captain Moore is actually describing a situation
2	members of PSP rather than as employees; right?	2	that incorporates the value of pilot compensation into a
3	A. I don't know how they could recover. I I	3	net income distribution. And
4	don't understand your question completely. The rates	4	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, is that a question
5	are the the rate is designed to cover the cost of	5	or a statement?
6	that medical insurance.	6	MS. DeLAPPE: I the problem is I don't
7	Q. Right. And so whether it's as being provided to	7	know if the witness has it in front of him, and I'm
8	the pilots as PSP members or as PSP employees doesn't	8	feeling a little time-pressure, so I will just point out
9	matter; right?	9	that that's there and the witness is off screen. So I
10	A. PSP employees are covered by collective	10	have nos idea
11	bargaining agreements. That is a separate medical plan	11	THE WITNESS: Yeah. No, I'm
12	as compared to the pilots medical plan.	12	MS. DELAPPE: whether he's going to be
13	Q. Uh-huh. And the pilots are treated as members	13	able to look that up.
14	or owners of PSP, not as employees. But it doesn't	14	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I've got the testimony
15	matter that they are treated how they're treated for	15	here.
16	purposes of medical benefits; right?	16	BY MS. DeLAPPE:
17	A. I don't know the answer to that. It depends on	17	Q. So it's if you are looking at the revised
18	the plan qualifications.	18	testimony, so it's 1TR, it's on page 103, line 24 is
19	Q. So if they're a form benefits are a form of	19	where it starts. And it goes through to line
20	compensation and it I would say it doesn't doesn't	20	A. I do not have the revised testimony.
21	sound like it matters whether they're how they're	21	Q. All right.
22	recovered in terms of the revenue requirement here, why	22	MS. DeLAPPE: So I think that we can we
23	are you opposed to labeling these payments as part of	23	can leave off the questioning there. I can cover the
24	pilot compensation?	24	rest with others.
25	A. That the there is there are some income	25	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
	Page 208		Page 210
1		1	
1	tax issues regarding that qualification.	1	MS. DeLAPPE: Thanks.
2	Q. Are you saying that the taxation of the medical benefits would change if the accounting labels those	2	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Wiley, did you have any
3 4		3	questions or can we move on to Staff?
4 5	benefits as part of pilot compensation?	5	MR. WILEY: Just one question at this point, Your Honor. Subject to me checking the testimony more
6	 A. Potentially. Q. Do you have you received any 	6	
7		7	closely. REDIRECT EXAMINATION
8	A. This is an area that is very complex and almost	8	BY MR. WILEY:
° 9	outside of this proceeding.		
9 10	Q. So was it your understanding that it sounded like you thought that PMSA was proposing to completely	9 10	Q. Mr. Burton, you were asked about PSP's practices as to inquiring about coverage.
11	exclude these benefits from the revenue requirement?	11	Do you have any idea as to whether HIPAA
12	A. It said that's the way Captain Moore described	12	regulations limit the scope of inquiry by employers into
13	it, as I understand it.	13	the employee medical coverage?
14	Q. Do you have Captain Moore's testimony? The	14	A. No, I do not.
14	Exhibit MM-1TR.	14	MR. WILEY: No further questions, Your
16	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, I just want to	16	Honor.
17		17	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Brown or
18	remind you that you are past your ten minutes now.	18	-
	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. This has been	19	Mr. Fukano, do you have questions for this witness?
19 20	taking a little more time than I expected. I think if I		MR. FUKANO: Yes, I have just a few
20	can just ask this one question, I think it's a an	20	questions for Mr. Burton.
21	important clarification.	21	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. You can go ahead.
22	JUDGE PEARSON: Go ahead.	22	
23	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	23	BY MR. FUKANO:
24 25	Q. If if you do have his testimony, and you turn	24	Q. Hello, Mr. Burton. How are you this day?
25	to page 103 of the testimony, I think you'll see that	25	A. Quite well, sir.

39 (Pages 207 to 210)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 211		Page 213
1	Q. I just have a few questions about the two	1	A. Good morning, Commissioner.
2	pilotage boats that are operated by PSP.		Q. So this is primarily just a clarifying question
3	Are you familiar with the two pilot boats that	3	as to who is the appropriate witness for PSP to address
4	are used by Puget Sound Pilots?	4	the question of the what's been called the
5	A. Yes, I am.	5	three-month transportation pilot project or the study.
6	Q. In your opinion, do you have what is the	6	Have what was your involvement in that effort?
7	remaining useful life of the pilot boat, the Puget	7	A. My involvement was to receive a three-page
8	Sound?	8	document that summarized all the costs and used the
9	A. Sir, I'm not a marine surveyor or marine	9	calculated number of one ninety-eight thirty-seven as a
10	engineer, so I have no method of evaluating the	10	reasonable transportation cost.
11	remaining useful life of those vessels.	11	Executive Director Linda Styrk compiled all the
12	Q. And so you have you have no opinion then on	12	information and presented the basically the printout
13	the remaining useful life?	13	or the three-page summary to me for use in my
14	A. No, I don't.	14	preparation for the case.
15	Q. And would that hold the same for the second	15	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. I
16	pilot boat, the Juan de Fuca?	16	don't have any questions for you about the analysis.
17	A. That's correct.	17	Thank you.
18	Q. Thank you. No further questions.	18	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Anything further?
19	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.	19	I'm not seeing any signals from the other
20	Mr. Wiley, did you have any follow-up?	20	two Commissioners. Okay.
21	MR. WILEY: Yes.	21	Thank you, Mr. Burton.
22	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	22	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
23	BY MR. WILEY:	23	JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. And I
24	Q. Mr. Burton, are you familiar with any policy,	24	think this would probably be a good time to take a lunch
25	accounting policy or regulation that establishes a	25	break, because the next witness has an estimated
	51		
	Page 212		Page 214
		1	
1	useful life premise for depreciation purposes?	1	30 minutes of cross.
1 2	useful life premise for depreciation purposes? A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find	1 2	
			30 minutes of cross.
2	A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find	2	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the
2 3	A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or	2 3	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we
2 3 4	A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that	2 3 4	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe
2 3 4 5	A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that are purchased new have a depreciated this is MARAD	2 3 4 5	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe that to be mindful of the cross-examination time
2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that are purchased new have a depreciated this is MARAD policy 46 CFR Section 382.3(b)(2)(i). That says that	2 3 4 5 6	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe that to be mindful of the cross-examination time estimates, because at this point, it looks like we're
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that are purchased new have a depreciated this is MARAD policy 46 CFR Section 382.3(b)(2)(i). That says that vessels purchased new should have a depreciable life of 20 years. Vessels purchased used should have a a depreciable life of 10 years. And these vessels were	2 3 4 5 6 7	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe that to be mindful of the cross-examination time estimates, because at this point, it looks like we're not going to be able to get through all of PSP's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that are purchased new have a depreciated this is MARAD policy 46 CFR Section 382.3(b)(2)(i). That says that vessels purchased new should have a depreciable life of 20 years. Vessels purchased used should have a a depreciable life of 10 years. And these vessels were both purchased new in in 2001 and 1999.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe that to be mindful of the cross-examination time estimates, because at this point, it looks like we're not going to be able to get through all of PSP's witnesses, if we continue at the pace that we've been
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that are purchased new have a depreciated this is MARAD policy 46 CFR Section 382.3(b)(2)(i). That says that vessels purchased new should have a depreciable life of 20 years. Vessels purchased used should have a a depreciable life of 10 years. And these vessels were both purchased new in in 2001 and 1999. Q. And, Mr. Burton, my question also went to the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe that to be mindful of the cross-examination time estimates, because at this point, it looks like we're not going to be able to get through all of PSP's witnesses, if we continue at the pace that we've been going, which would then put us here until very late tomorrow evening, which is definitely not our preference.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that are purchased new have a depreciated this is MARAD policy 46 CFR Section 382.3(b)(2)(i). That says that vessels purchased new should have a depreciable life of 20 years. Vessels purchased used should have a a depreciable life of 10 years. And these vessels were both purchased new in in 2001 and 1999. Q. And, Mr. Burton, my question also went to the useful life theory that Staff has used on its 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe that to be mindful of the cross-examination time estimates, because at this point, it looks like we're not going to be able to get through all of PSP's witnesses, if we continue at the pace that we've been going, which would then put us here until very late tomorrow evening, which is definitely not our preference. MS. DeLAPPE: Duly noted. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that are purchased new have a depreciated this is MARAD policy 46 CFR Section 382.3(b)(2)(i). That says that vessels purchased new should have a depreciable life of 20 years. Vessels purchased used should have a a depreciable life of 10 years. And these vessels were both purchased new in in 2001 and 1999. Q. And, Mr. Burton, my question also went to the useful life theory that Staff has used on its depreciation premise here. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe that to be mindful of the cross-examination time estimates, because at this point, it looks like we're not going to be able to get through all of PSP's witnesses, if we continue at the pace that we've been going, which would then put us here until very late tomorrow evening, which is definitely not our preference. MS. DeLAPPE: Duly noted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: With all that in mind,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that are purchased new have a depreciated this is MARAD policy 46 CFR Section 382.3(b)(2)(i). That says that vessels purchased new should have a depreciable life of 20 years. Vessels purchased used should have a a depreciable life of 10 years. And these vessels were both purchased new in in 2001 and 1999. Q. And, Mr. Burton, my question also went to the useful life theory that Staff has used on its depreciation premise here. Were you aware of a useful life as opposed to a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe that to be mindful of the cross-examination time estimates, because at this point, it looks like we're not going to be able to get through all of PSP's witnesses, if we continue at the pace that we've been going, which would then put us here until very late tomorrow evening, which is definitely not our preference. MS. DeLAPPE: Duly noted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: With all that in mind, Commissioners, how long of a lunch break would you like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that are purchased new have a depreciated this is MARAD policy 46 CFR Section 382.3(b)(2)(i). That says that vessels purchased new should have a depreciable life of 20 years. Vessels purchased used should have a a depreciable life of 10 years. And these vessels were both purchased new in in 2001 and 1999. Q. And, Mr. Burton, my question also went to the useful life theory that Staff has used on its depreciation premise here. Were you aware of a useful life as opposed to a depreciable life metric before Staff's testimony? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe that to be mindful of the cross-examination time estimates, because at this point, it looks like we're not going to be able to get through all of PSP's witnesses, if we continue at the pace that we've been going, which would then put us here until very late tomorrow evening, which is definitely not our preference. MS. DeLAPPE: Duly noted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: With all that in mind, Commissioners, how long of a lunch break would you like to take? Is 45 minutes sufficient or would you like an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that are purchased new have a depreciated this is MARAD policy 46 CFR Section 382.3(b)(2)(i). That says that vessels purchased new should have a depreciable life of 20 years. Vessels purchased used should have a a depreciable life of 10 years. And these vessels were both purchased new in in 2001 and 1999. Q. And, Mr. Burton, my question also went to the useful life theory that Staff has used on its depreciable life metric before Staff's testimony? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe that to be mindful of the cross-examination time estimates, because at this point, it looks like we're not going to be able to get through all of PSP's witnesses, if we continue at the pace that we've been going, which would then put us here until very late tomorrow evening, which is definitely not our preference. MS. DeLAPPE: Duly noted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: With all that in mind, Commissioners, how long of a lunch break would you like to take? Is 45 minutes sufficient or would you like an hour?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that are purchased new have a depreciated this is MARAD policy 46 CFR Section 382.3(b)(2)(i). That says that vessels purchased new should have a depreciable life of 20 years. Vessels purchased used should have a a depreciable life of 10 years. And these vessels were both purchased new in in 2001 and 1999. Q. And, Mr. Burton, my question also went to the useful life theory that Staff has used on its depreciable life metric before Staff's testimony? A. No. MR. WILEY: No further questions, Your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe that to be mindful of the cross-examination time estimates, because at this point, it looks like we're not going to be able to get through all of PSP's witnesses, if we continue at the pace that we've been going, which would then put us here until very late tomorrow evening, which is definitely not our preference. MS. DeLAPPE: Duly noted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: With all that in mind, Commissioners, how long of a lunch break would you like to take? Is 45 minutes sufficient or would you like an hour?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that are purchased new have a depreciated this is MARAD policy 46 CFR Section 382.3(b)(2)(i). That says that vessels purchased new should have a depreciable life of 20 years. Vessels purchased used should have a a depreciable life of 10 years. And these vessels were both purchased new in in 2001 and 1999. Q. And, Mr. Burton, my question also went to the useful life theory that Staff has used on its depreciable life metric before Staff's testimony? A. No. MR. WILEY: No further questions, Your Honor. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe that to be mindful of the cross-examination time estimates, because at this point, it looks like we're not going to be able to get through all of PSP's witnesses, if we continue at the pace that we've been going, which would then put us here until very late tomorrow evening, which is definitely not our preference. MS. DeLAPPE: Duly noted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: With all that in mind, Commissioners, how long of a lunch break would you like to take? Is 45 minutes sufficient or would you like an hour? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 45 minutes is fine. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that are purchased new have a depreciated this is MARAD policy 46 CFR Section 382.3(b)(2)(i). That says that vessels purchased new should have a depreciable life of 20 years. Vessels purchased used should have a a depreciable life of 10 years. And these vessels were both purchased new in in 2001 and 1999. Q. And, Mr. Burton, my question also went to the useful life theory that Staff has used on its depreciable life metric before Staff's testimony? A. No. MR. WILEY: No further questions, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe that to be mindful of the cross-examination time estimates, because at this point, it looks like we're not going to be able to get through all of PSP's witnesses, if we continue at the pace that we've been going, which would then put us here until very late tomorrow evening, which is definitely not our preference. MS. DeLAPPE: Duly noted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: With all that in mind, Commissioners, how long of a lunch break would you like to take? Is 45 minutes sufficient or would you like an hour? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 45 minutes is fine. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: 45 minutes is fine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that are purchased new have a depreciated this is MARAD policy 46 CFR Section 382.3(b)(2)(i). That says that vessels purchased new should have a depreciable life of 20 years. Vessels purchased used should have a a depreciable life of 10 years. And these vessels were both purchased new in in 2001 and 1999. Q. And, Mr. Burton, my question also went to the useful life theory that Staff has used on its depreciation premise here. Were you aware of a useful life as opposed to a depreciable life metric before Staff's testimony? A. No. MR. WILEY: No further questions, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Do we have any questions from the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe that to be mindful of the cross-examination time estimates, because at this point, it looks like we're not going to be able to get through all of PSP's witnesses, if we continue at the pace that we've been going, which would then put us here until very late tomorrow evening, which is definitely not our preference. MS. DeLAPPE: Duly noted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: With all that in mind, Commissioners, how long of a lunch break would you like to take? Is 45 minutes sufficient or would you like an hour? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 45 minutes is fine. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: 45 minutes is fine. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then we will we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that are purchased new have a depreciated this is MARAD policy 46 CFR Section 382.3(b)(2)(i). That says that vessels purchased new should have a depreciable life of 20 years. Vessels purchased used should have a a depreciable life of 10 years. And these vessels were both purchased new in in 2001 and 1999. Q. And, Mr. Burton, my question also went to the useful life theory that Staff has used on its depreciable life metric before Staff's testimony? A. No. MR. WILEY: No further questions, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Do we have any questions from the Commissioners for this witness? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe that to be mindful of the cross-examination time estimates, because at this point, it looks like we're not going to be able to get through all of PSP's witnesses, if we continue at the pace that we've been going, which would then put us here until very late tomorrow evening, which is definitely not our preference. MS. DeLAPPE: Duly noted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: With all that in mind, Commissioners, how long of a lunch break would you like to take? Is 45 minutes sufficient or would you like an hour? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 45 minutes is fine. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: 45 minutes is fine. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then we will we will reconvene at 12:40 and we will be in recess until
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that are purchased new have a depreciated this is MARAD policy 46 CFR Section 382.3(b)(2)(i). That says that vessels purchased new should have a depreciable life of 20 years. Vessels purchased used should have a a depreciable life of 10 years. And these vessels were both purchased new in in 2001 and 1999. Q. And, Mr. Burton, my question also went to the useful life theory that Staff has used on its depreciable life metric before Staff's testimony? A. No. MR. WILEY: No further questions, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Do we have any questions from the Commissioners for this witness? Commissioner Rendahl. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe that to be mindful of the cross-examination time estimates, because at this point, it looks like we're not going to be able to get through all of PSP's witnesses, if we continue at the pace that we've been going, which would then put us here until very late tomorrow evening, which is definitely not our preference. MS. DeLAPPE: Duly noted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: With all that in mind, Commissioners, how long of a lunch break would you like to take? Is 45 minutes sufficient or would you like an hour? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 45 minutes is fine. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: 45 minutes is fine. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then we will we will reconvene at 12:40 and we will be in recess until then.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that are purchased new have a depreciated this is MARAD policy 46 CFR Section 382.3(b)(2)(i). That says that vessels purchased new should have a depreciable life of 20 years. Vessels purchased used should have a a depreciable life of 10 years. And these vessels were both purchased new in in 2001 and 1999. Q. And, Mr. Burton, my question also went to the useful life theory that Staff has used on its depreciation premise here. Were you aware of a useful life as opposed to a depreciable life metric before Staff's testimony? A. No. MR. WILEY: No further questions, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Do we have any questions from the Commissioners for this witness? Commissioner Rendahl. EXAMINATION 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe that to be mindful of the cross-examination time estimates, because at this point, it looks like we're not going to be able to get through all of PSP's witnesses, if we continue at the pace that we've been going, which would then put us here until very late tomorrow evening, which is definitely not our preference. MS. DeLAPPE: Duly noted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: With all that in mind, Commissioners, how long of a lunch break would you like to take? Is 45 minutes sufficient or would you like an hour? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 45 minutes is fine. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: 45 minutes is fine. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then we will we will reconvene at 12:40 and we will be in recess until then. (A luncheon recess was taken from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that are purchased new have a depreciated this is MARAD policy 46 CFR Section 382.3(b)(2)(i). That says that vessels purchased new should have a depreciable life of 20 years. Vessels purchased used should have a a depreciable life of 10 years. And these vessels were both purchased new in in 2001 and 1999. Q. And, Mr. Burton, my question also went to the useful life theory that Staff has used on its depreciable life metric before Staff's testimony? A. No. MR. WILEY: No further questions, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Do we have any questions from the Commissioner Rendahl. EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe that to be mindful of the cross-examination time estimates, because at this point, it looks like we're not going to be able to get through all of PSP's witnesses, if we continue at the pace that we've been going, which would then put us here until very late tomorrow evening, which is definitely not our preference. MS. DeLAPPE: Duly noted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: With all that in mind, Commissioners, how long of a lunch break would you like to take? Is 45 minutes sufficient or would you like an hour? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 45 minutes is fine. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: 45 minutes is fine. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then we will we will reconvene at 12:40 and we will be in recess until then.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes, I am. In my testimony and I will find it I cite a federal regulation published by or it's a MARAD regulation that suggests that vessels that are purchased new have a depreciated this is MARAD policy 46 CFR Section 382.3(b)(2)(i). That says that vessels purchased new should have a depreciable life of 20 years. Vessels purchased used should have a a depreciable life of 10 years. And these vessels were both purchased new in in 2001 and 1999. Q. And, Mr. Burton, my question also went to the useful life theory that Staff has used on its depreciation premise here. Were you aware of a useful life as opposed to a depreciable life metric before Staff's testimony? A. No. MR. WILEY: No further questions, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Do we have any questions from the Commissioners for this witness? Commissioner Rendahl. EXAMINATION 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	30 minutes of cross. I just want to check in with the Commissioners about how long of a break you think we should take. And I also just want to remind Ms. DeLappe that to be mindful of the cross-examination time estimates, because at this point, it looks like we're not going to be able to get through all of PSP's witnesses, if we continue at the pace that we've been going, which would then put us here until very late tomorrow evening, which is definitely not our preference. MS. DeLAPPE: Duly noted. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: With all that in mind, Commissioners, how long of a lunch break would you like to take? Is 45 minutes sufficient or would you like an hour? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: 45 minutes is fine. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: 45 minutes is fine. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then we will we will reconvene at 12:40 and we will be in recess until then. (A luncheon recess was taken from

40 (Pages 211 to 214)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Docket No. TP-190976 - Vol. III - 8/12/2020

	Page 215		Page 217
1	AFTERNOON SESSION	1	and rebuttal, with all exhibits, have been admitted
2	AI TERNOON SEGSION	2	previously. So I now tender the witness to Ms. DeLappe.
3	JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go back on the record.	3	MS. DeLAPPE: Hello, Ms. Norris.
4	I apologize to the court reporter for that. And our	4	THE WITNESS: Hi.
5	next witness is Jessica Norris for Puget Sound Pilots.	5	MS. DELAPPE: I'm sorry, Judge Pearson.
6	So, Ms. Norris, if you could please turn on	6	JUDGE PEARSON: I just wanted to see if
7	your camera.	7	Mr. Burton could hear me and ask him again to please
8	THE WITNESS: Okay. I think I'm on.	8	turn off his camera.
9	JUDGE PEARSON: We just see a dark screen.	9	MR. WILEY: I think his audio is off. It
10	MR. WILEY: There she is.	10	showed, your Honor. So hopefully we'll get this
11	JUDGE PEARSON: There we go. All right.	11	MR. BURTON: Your Honor, I'm trying. I
12	And does Mr. Burton have his camera on? He's taking up	12	thought I had it turned off. I'm sorry.
13	space on my screen for some reason.	13	MS. DeLAPPE: All right.
14	MR. WILEY: Mr. Burton?	14	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. It's okay. Go ahead,
15	JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Well, we'll go	15	Ms. DeLAPPE.
16	ahead	16	CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	MS. BROWN: I think it's just a	17	BY MS. DeLAPPE:
18	MR. WILEY: It's not a the video,	18	Q. I have until one clock with you, Ms. Norris.
19	Mr. Burton.	19	I'm going to try to speed through some questions.
20	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Burton, if you could	20	A. Okay. I'll go fast.
21	turn off your camera when you get a chance. All right.	21	Q. But in lay terms, I'm referring to the 2018 PSP
22	Ms. Norris, if you could please raise your	22	financial statement, which is your Exhibit JN-4.
23	right hand, I will swear you in.	23	A. Okay.
24	Do you swear or affirm the testimony you	24	Q. Is it fair to say that that's a clean financial
25	give today will be the truth, the whole truth, and	25	document that can be relied on as representing the
	Page 216		Page 218
1	nothing but the truth?	1	financial aspect of the pilots' business?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.	2	A. Yes.
3	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	3	Q. Thank you.
4	And Mr. Wiley, I take it that you will be	4	And one of those aspects for you as auditors to
5	handling cross for Ms. Norris?	5	review the PSP revenues to ensure accuracy with the
6	MR. WILEY: I am, Your Honor.	6	tariff and the rates that they are required to charge?
7	THE COURT: All right. You can go ahead and	7	A. Yes.
8	introduce the witness.	8	Q. Did you find any instances in which PSP's
9		9	charges were violated in violation of the rates
10	JESSICA NORRIS, witness herein, having been	10	prescribed by the tariff because they varied with some
11	first duly sworn on oath,	11	aspects of the day-to-day operations of PSP
12	was examined and testified	12	impermissibly?
13	as follows:	13	A. Not in our testing.
14		14	Q. Do any of the PSP revenues under the tariff vary
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION	15	with the number of pilots licensed by the State of
16	BY MR. WILEY:	16	Washington?
17	Q. Hi, Ms. Norris, could you please state your name	17	A. Not to my knowledge.
18	and provide your business address and employer for the	18	Q. And do any of the PSP revenues vary with the
19	record?	19	number of assignments that any individual pilot
20	A. Yes. My name is Jessica Norris, and I'm	20	completes?
21	employed by Shannon & Associates. Our business address	21	A. No.
22	is 1851 Central Place South, Suite 225, Kent, Washington	22	Q. So you've also observed that the total number of
23	98030.	23	jobs worked does not change whether a pilot an
24	Q. Thank you.	24	off-watch pilot works the vessel, or an on-watch pilot
25	Just for your information, your direct testimony	25	works the vessel; is that right?
		1	

41 (Pages 215 to 218)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 219	Page 221
1	A. That is correct.	1 table.
2	Q. Do any of PSP's revenues vary with how many	2 Would it be accurate to say that except for
3	pilots are made available by PSP?	³ those pilots with an asterisk next to their names,
4	A. No. The tariff charges are the same regardless	4 because they were new licensees or retiring their
5	of who or when the ship is moved.	5 license, every pilot on the PSP roster for the full year
6	Q. Great. And do any of their revenues under the	6 in 2018 was credited with 365 days of service?
7	tariff vary based on internal staffing or licensing or	7 A. Yes.
	watch rotation decisions?	
8		3
9	A. No.	9 pilotage revenue." Is it accurate to say that every
10	Q. Does the charge to a ship ever vary based on	10 pilot with 365 days of service received a credit to
11	whether the individual pilot dispatched is on watch or	11 their account of \$675,421 as their share of total
12	off watch?	12 pilotage revenue?
13	A. No.	13 A. Yes.
14	Q. When a ship calls on a port, the pilots are	14 Q. So it's your conclusion as PSP auditor that the
15	obligated by law to charge only those rates set by the	15 PSP bylaws were complied with in 2018 when each pilot on
16	tariff to that vessel; right?	16 the roster for all of 2018 earned their full 675,
17	A. To my knowledge, yes.	17 et cetera, credit of all pilotage fees charged and
18	Q. Yeah. And when the invoice is collected with	18 collected from vessels?
19	proceeds from the ship by PSP, that payment's applied	19 A. Yes. The equal share of pilotage revenue, less
20	pursuant to the PSP bylaws; right?	20 expenses.
21	 To the best of my knowledge, yes. 	21 Q. Yes. Good.
22	Q. Okay. On in that PSP financial statement,	22 Is there any pilotage revenue collected from
23	Jan '04, if you could turn to page 26. You have some	23 vessels in 2018 that is not reflected in that credit per
24	notes to schedule of days of service and distribution of	24 pilot?
25	pilotage revenue and expense on that page?	A. Not that I am aware.
	Page 220	Page 222
1	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, can you tell me	1 Q. Yeah. So it reflects every dollar collected
2	the exhibit number?	2 under the tariff?
3	MS. DeLAPPE: Yes. It's Exhibit JN-4. And	3 A. Every dollar. I would like to correct that.
4	we're looking at page 26.	4 Every dollar billed. There's a difference between
5	JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you.	5 billed and collected.
6	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you.	6 Q. Yes. Generally, the ships all with a few
7	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	7 exceptions, minuscule amount of bad debt, the ships pay
8	Q. All right. Are you there, Ms. Norris?	8 their invoices; correct?
9	A. Yes, I am.	9 A. Yes. However, the payment could be in a
10	Q. Great. In that first section there at the	10 different year than the bill. So this is based on
11	beginning you note that the PSP bylaws provide for	11 invoice, not not collected.
12	distribution of revenues for piloting services in	12 Q. Great.
13	proportion to days of duty and distributions of pooled	13And for every pilot on the roster, does this
14	expenses equally with some exceptions; is that right?	14 mean that they received some portion of every ship call
15	A. Yes.	15 credited to their account?
16	Q. Okay. When establishing pilot days of service,	16 A. In theory, I think that would be accurate.
17	you define that as the total number of days the pilot	17 Q. Okay. So what if a pilot on the roster actually
18	was an active pilot on the pilot roster in that note	18 piloted the vessel in question that generated the
19	section; right?	19 pilotage engagement, does that pilot earn a premium or
20	A. Yes. Also known as days on distribution.	20 any additional money?
21	Q. Great. And if we can turn back to page 23,	21 A. No.
22	that's the beginning of the table that that is the notes	22 Q. Is the one pilot actually on board the vessel
23	to.	23 the one who's doing the work, are they credited the same
24	Looking at Column 1, where you see it's labeled	 share of pilotage revenues as the 49.3 other pilots who
25	"days of service," if you look down it's through this	25 were not on that vessel?

42 (Pages 219 to 222)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 223	Page 22
1	A. Yes.	1 A. With the exception of if somebody is burning
2	Q. Okay. So whether you and whether you measure	2 callback days, they are credited what days a day of
3	the pilotage services by the day or the pilotage	3 service.
4	engagement, every PSP member is paid the same; right?	4 Q. So there is is there something
5	A. Correct.	5 A. They don't sorry.
6	Q. Okay. Is there any vessel move that's done by	6 Q. Go ahead.
7	PSP that generates revenue under the tariff and does not	7 A. They don't get an additional day of service. If
8	generate any credit toward the total pilotage revenue	8 they are burning callback days subsequent to their time
9	for every pilot on the roster?	9 of, you know, being on watch or being an active pilot,
10	A. There are tariff charges, which I think in one	10 you would not be able to distinguish that from here.
11	of my testimonies I discussed that are specifically not	11 They are they are paid as if they were an active
12	included in revenue as pass-through items, and I believe	12 pilot.
13	the training surcharge is one of them. And they're a	13 Q. So you're referring to the burn down of you
14	pass-through to the Board of Pilotage Commission, I	14 know, when a pilot otherwise would have retired?
15	believe. So those are billed by Puget Sound Pilots but	15 A. Yes.
16	are reliabilities of Puget Sound Pilots and not part of	16 Q. So at the end of their career.
17	the pilotage revenue.	17 A. Correct.
18	Q. Okay.	18 Q. Otherwise, they're treated the same for purpose
19	A. They are specifically called out in the tariff.	19 of their distributions.
20	There is a couple instances of that.	20 A. Correct.
21	Q. Well well okay. So it's impossible,	21 Q. All right. And as the PSP auditor reviewing the
22	though, under the PSP bylaws for dollars from a pilotage	financials, would you find that PSP was acting in a
23	job with those exceptions to not ultimately be	23 matter inconsistent with its bylaws if it paid pilots
24	distributed to every pilot on the roster, no matter how	24 differently based on who was off watch and took a
25	the pilotage service is provided?	25 callback?
	Page 224	Page 22
1		
	A. I hat would be accurate to my knowledge.	1 MR WILEY: Your Honor objection to the
2	 A. That would be accurate to my knowledge. Q. All right. And whether you measure them 	1 MR. WILEY: Your Honor, objection to the 2 extent that she's being asked, as Lunderstand the
	Q. All right. And whether you measure them	2 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the
2	Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules
2 3	Q. All right. And whether you measure them	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or
2 3 4	Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or
2 3 4 5	Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one specific vessel; right?	 2 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the 3 question, a legal question about how the operating rules 4 would apply, whether it's you know, correct or 5 incorrect legally.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one specific vessel; right? A. That's correct. 	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or incorrect legally. MS. DELAPPE: I'm asking a question
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one specific vessel; right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. As auditor, you don't that means you 	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or incorrect legally. MS. DELAPPE: I'm asking a question specifically as auditor of PSP, if she would have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one specific vessel; right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. As auditor, you don't that means you don't have to evaluate the watch schedule to for your 	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or incorrect legally. MS. DELAPPE: I'm asking a question specifically as auditor of PSP, if she would have to make any finding that they are violating or acting in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one specific vessel; right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. As auditor, you don't that means you don't have to evaluate the watch schedule to for your work; right? A. No. Q. And you don't have to ask how many assignments a 	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or incorrect legally. MS. DELAPPE: I'm asking a question specifically as auditor of PSP, if she would have to make any finding that they are violating or acting in a matter inconsistent with PSP bylaws if they did that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one specific vessel; right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. As auditor, you don't that means you don't have to evaluate the watch schedule to for your work; right? A. No. Q. And you don't have to ask how many assignments a pilot has completed? 	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or incorrect legally. MS. DELAPPE: I'm asking a question specifically as auditor of PSP, if she would have to make any finding that they are violating or acting in a matter inconsistent with PSP bylaws if they did that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Okay. To my knowledge, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one specific vessel; right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. As auditor, you don't that means you don't have to evaluate the watch schedule to for your work; right? A. No. Q. And you don't have to ask how many assignments a pilot has completed? A. No. That's not part of this statement. 	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or incorrect legally. MS. DELAPPE: I'm asking a question specifically as auditor of PSP, if she would have to make any finding that they are violating or acting in a matter inconsistent with PSP bylaws if they did that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Okay. To my knowledge, the bylaws dictate that the distribution of income is evenly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one specific vessel; right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. As auditor, you don't that means you don't have to evaluate the watch schedule to for your work; right? A. No. Q. And you don't have to ask how many assignments a pilot has completed? A. No. That's not part of this statement. Q. Yeah. 	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or incorrect legally. MS. DELAPPE: I'm asking a question specifically as auditor of PSP, if she would have to make any finding that they are violating or acting in a matter inconsistent with PSP bylaws if they did that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Okay. To my knowledge, the bylaws dictate that the distribution of income is evenly among pilots; and so as part of our audit, we'd look at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one specific vessel; right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. As auditor, you don't that means you don't have to evaluate the watch schedule to for your work; right? A. No. Q. And you don't have to ask how many assignments a pilot has completed? A. No. That's not part of this statement. Q. Yeah. Do you adjust the days of service based on 	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or incorrect legally. MS. DELAPPE: I'm asking a question specifically as auditor of PSP, if she would have to make any finding that they are violating or acting in a matter inconsistent with PSP bylaws if they did that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Okay. To my knowledge, the bylaws dictate that the distribution of income is evenly among pilots; and so as part of our audit, we'd look at that. And so if there was an exception to that, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one specific vessel; right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. As auditor, you don't that means you don't have to evaluate the watch schedule to for your work; right? A. No. Q. And you don't have to ask how many assignments a pilot has completed? A. No. That's not part of this statement. Q. Yeah. Do you adjust the days of service based on whether they performed any callbacks that year or not? 	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or incorrect legally. MS. DELAPPE: I'm asking a question specifically as auditor of PSP, if she would have to make any finding that they are violating or acting in a matter inconsistent with PSP bylaws if they did that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Okay. To my knowledge, the bylaws dictate that the distribution of income is evenly among pilots; and so as part of our audit, we'd look at that. And so if there was an exception to that, it would be some kind of financial exception. But to my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one specific vessel; right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. As auditor, you don't that means you don't have to evaluate the watch schedule to for your work; right? A. No. Q. And you don't have to ask how many assignments a pilot has completed? A. No. That's not part of this statement. Q. Yeah. Do you adjust the days of service based on whether they performed any callbacks that year or not? A. That does not go into the days of service. 	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or incorrect legally. MS. DELAPPE: I'm asking a question specifically as auditor of PSP, if she would have to make any finding that they are violating or acting in a matter inconsistent with PSP bylaws if they did that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Okay. To my knowledge, the bylaws dictate that the distribution of income is evenly among pilots; and so as part of our audit, we'd look at that. And so if there was an exception to that, it would be some kind of financial exception. But to my knowledge, that is not happening.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one specific vessel; right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. As auditor, you don't that means you don't have to evaluate the watch schedule to for your work; right? A. No. Q. And you don't have to ask how many assignments a pilot has completed? A. No. That's not part of this statement. Q. Yeah. Do you adjust the days of service based on whether they performed any callbacks that year or not? A. That does not go into the days of service. Q. Uh-huh. So the only service factor that is 	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or incorrect legally. MS. DELAPPE: I'm asking a question specifically as auditor of PSP, if she would have to make any finding that they are violating or acting in a matter inconsistent with PSP bylaws if they did that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Okay. To my knowledge, the bylaws dictate that the distribution of income is evenly among pilots; and so as part of our audit, we'd look at that. And so if there was an exception to that, it would be some kind of financial exception. But to my knowledge, that is not happening. BY MS. DELAPPE:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one specific vessel; right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. As auditor, you don't that means you don't have to evaluate the watch schedule to for your work; right? A. No. Q. And you don't have to ask how many assignments a pilot has completed? A. No. That's not part of this statement. Q. Yeah. Do you adjust the days of service based on whether they performed any callbacks that year or not? A. That does not go into the days of service. Q. Uh-huh. So the only service factor that is applied under the bylaws to arrive at a credit for 	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or incorrect legally. MS. DELAPPE: I'm asking a question specifically as auditor of PSP, if she would have to make any finding that they are violating or acting in a matter inconsistent with PSP bylaws if they did that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Okay. To my knowledge, the bylaws dictate that the distribution of income is evenly among pilots; and so as part of our audit, we'd look at that. And so if there was an exception to that, it would be some kind of financial exception. But to my knowledge, that is not happening. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So under the bylaws, the requirement to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one specific vessel; right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. As auditor, you don't that means you don't have to evaluate the watch schedule to for your work; right? A. No. Q. And you don't have to ask how many assignments a pilot has completed? A. No. That's not part of this statement. Q. Yeah. Do you adjust the days of service based on whether they performed any callbacks that year or not? A. That does not go into the days of service. Q. Uh-huh. So the only service factor that is applied under the bylaws to arrive at a credit for pilotage revenue is the number of duty days on the PSP 	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or incorrect legally. MS. DELAPPE: I'm asking a question specifically as auditor of PSP, if she would have to make any finding that they are violating or acting in a matter inconsistent with PSP bylaws if they did that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Okay. To my knowledge, the bylaws dictate that the distribution of income is evenly among pilots; and so as part of our audit, we'd look at that. And so if there was an exception to that, it would be some kind of financial exception. But to my knowledge, that is not happening. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So under the bylaws, the requirement to distribute equal shares is the same no matter what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one specific vessel; right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. As auditor, you don't that means you don't have to evaluate the watch schedule to for your work; right? A. No. Q. And you don't have to ask how many assignments a pilot has completed? A. No. That's not part of this statement. Q. Yeah. Do you adjust the days of service based on whether they performed any callbacks that year or not? A. That does not go into the days of service. Q. Uh-huh. So the only service factor that is applied under the bylaws to arrive at a credit for pilotage revenue is the number of duty days on the PSP roster; correct? 	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or incorrect legally. MS. DELAPPE: I'm asking a question specifically as auditor of PSP, if she would have to make any finding that they are violating or acting in a matter inconsistent with PSP bylaws if they did that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Okay. To my knowledge, the bylaws dictate that the distribution of income is evenly among pilots; and so as part of our audit, we'd look at that. And so if there was an exception to that, it would be some kind of financial exception. But to my knowledge, that is not happening. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So under the bylaws, the requirement to distribute equal shares is the same no matter what specific tariff applies to any specific ship; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one specific vessel; right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. As auditor, you don't that means you don't have to evaluate the watch schedule to for your work; right? A. No. Q. And you don't have to ask how many assignments a pilot has completed? A. No. That's not part of this statement. Q. Yeah. Do you adjust the days of service based on whether they performed any callbacks that year or not? A. That does not go into the days of service. Q. Uh-huh. So the only service factor that is applied under the bylaws to arrive at a credit for pilotage revenue is the number of duty days on the PSP roster; correct? A. That is correct. 	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or incorrect legally. MS. DELAPPE: I'm asking a question specifically as auditor of PSP, if she would have to make any finding that they are violating or acting in a matter inconsistent with PSP bylaws if they did that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Okay. To my knowledge, the bylaws dictate that the distribution of income is evenly among pilots; and so as part of our audit, we'd look at that. And so if there was an exception to that, it would be some kind of financial exception. But to my knowledge, that is not happening. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So under the bylaws, the requirement to distribute equal shares is the same no matter what specific tariff applies to any specific ship; right? A. To my knowledge, there's one tariff. I mean,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one specific vessel; right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. As auditor, you don't that means you don't have to evaluate the watch schedule to for your work; right? A. No. Q. And you don't have to ask how many assignments a pilot has completed? A. No. That's not part of this statement. Q. Yeah. Do you adjust the days of service based on whether they performed any callbacks that year or not? A. That does not go into the days of service. Q. Uh-huh. So the only service factor that is applied under the bylaws to arrive at a credit for pilotage revenue is the number of duty days on the PSP roster; correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So and callbacks and comp days are 	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or incorrect legally. MS. DELAPPE: I'm asking a question specifically as auditor of PSP, if she would have to make any finding that they are violating or acting in a matter inconsistent with PSP bylaws if they did that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Okay. To my knowledge, the bylaws dictate that the distribution of income is evenly among pilots; and so as part of our audit, we'd look at that. And so if there was an exception to that, it would be some kind of financial exception. But to my knowledge, that is not happening. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So under the bylaws, the requirement to distribute equal shares is the same no matter what specific tariff applies to any specific ship; right? A. To my knowledge, there's one tariff. I mean, there's different tariff charges. Is that what you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one specific vessel; right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. As auditor, you don't that means you don't have to evaluate the watch schedule to for your work; right? A. No. Q. And you don't have to ask how many assignments a pilot has completed? A. No. That's not part of this statement. Q. Yeah. Do you adjust the days of service based on whether they performed any callbacks that year or not? A. That does not go into the days of service. Q. Uh-huh. So the only service factor that is applied under the bylaws to arrive at a credit for pilotage revenue is the number of duty days on the PSP roster; correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So and callbacks and comp days are completely irrelevant to calculating the credit for 	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or incorrect legally. MS. DELAPPE: I'm asking a question specifically as auditor of PSP, if she would have to make any finding that they are violating or acting in a matter inconsistent with PSP bylaws if they did that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Okay. To my knowledge, the bylaws dictate that the distribution of income is evenly among pilots; and so as part of our audit, we'd look at that. And so if there was an exception to that, it would be some kind of financial exception. But to my knowledge, that is not happening. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So under the bylaws, the requirement to distribute equal shares is the same no matter what specific tariff applies to any specific ship; right? A. To my knowledge, there's one tariff. I mean, there's different tariff charges. Is that what you're asking?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. All right. And whether you measure them those services by the day or by the engagement, no member of PSP has paid more for actually moving any one specific vessel; right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. As auditor, you don't that means you don't have to evaluate the watch schedule to for your work; right? A. No. Q. And you don't have to ask how many assignments a pilot has completed? A. No. That's not part of this statement. Q. Yeah. Do you adjust the days of service based on whether they performed any callbacks that year or not? A. That does not go into the days of service. Q. Uh-huh. So the only service factor that is applied under the bylaws to arrive at a credit for pilotage revenue is the number of duty days on the PSP roster; correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So and callbacks and comp days are 	 extent that she's being asked, as I understand the question, a legal question about how the operating rules would apply, whether it's you know, correct or incorrect legally. MS. DELAPPE: I'm asking a question specifically as auditor of PSP, if she would have to make any finding that they are violating or acting in a matter inconsistent with PSP bylaws if they did that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Okay. To my knowledge, the bylaws dictate that the distribution of income is evenly among pilots; and so as part of our audit, we'd look at that. And so if there was an exception to that, it would be some kind of financial exception. But to my knowledge, that is not happening. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So under the bylaws, the requirement to distribute equal shares is the same no matter what specific tariff applies to any specific ship; right? A. To my knowledge, there's one tariff. I mean, there's different tariff charges. Is that what you're

43 (Pages 223 to 226)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 227		Page 229
1	comes in and pays a \$20,000 invoice or a smaller ship	1 agree	that there is no such thing as overtime?
2	comes in and pays a \$6,000 invoice, the same equal	-	That is just days on distribution. There's no
3	credits for pilots on the roster will be required and		e there to the number of watches or ships moved.
4	applied, so pilots receive the same distribution of	-	And when you've already compensated every pilot
5	compensation under the bylaws?		ame for every job whether they worked the job or
6	A. Yes.		s there any way to calculate an additional way to
7	Q. Thank you.		ensate pilots?
8	And under the bylaws, would you agree that the	8 A.	Not under the tariff that we were auditing
9	distribution is based on duty days during a given month	9 under	. If there was a different arrangement, possibly.
10	not the number of assignments worked by an individual	10 Howe	ver, under the the acting tariff that we were
11	pilot?	11 auditir	ng under and the operation, or the operating rules
12	A. Yes.	12 that I	don't believe was possible.
13	Q. Okay. So have you or your colleagues oh, and	13 Q.	And just one last question. I believe you have
14	I would just also say, I had one related question.	14 not b	een asked you have not been asked to audit the
15	You criticized the Commission Staff and their	15 PSP r	evenue and income levels for the 12 months ending
16	computations regarding callbacks because you feel that		ine 30, 2020; correct?
17	they ignore completely the need to compensate pilots for		That is correct.
18	overtime when they work an off-duty day; right?	18	MS. DeLAPPE: All right. I have no further
19	MR. WILEY: Can you direct her to that	-	ions. Thank you.
20	testimony, please, Ms. DeLappe?	20	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
21	MS. DeLAPPE: Yes.	21	Mr. Wiley, did you want to follow up before
22	BY MS. DELAPPE:		rned to Staff?
23	Q. That is in Exhibit JN-6T at page 4. And on	23	MR. WILEY: Yes. Just a couple questions,
24	page 4 it starts at line 14. And I'll go ahead and read		Honor.
25	the statement.	25 //	
	Page 228		Page 230
1	"But that ignores completely the need to	1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	compensate pilots for overtime when they work an	2 BY N	/R. WILEY:
3	off-duty day to avoid ship delays and the slowdown of		First of all, Ms. Norris, you were asked a lot
4	Maritime trade by moving vessels on demand."		uestions about the operating rules. And I just want
5	A. Can I ask again what page that is of JN-6T?		ake sure the record is clear in terms of the
6	Q. Yeah. It's page 4.	-	stions that were posed to you.
7	A. Okay. Just give me one second to read it.	7	Operating rules regarding duty days are
8	Q. Thank you. I have two minutes.		rent than on-watch days, are they not?
9	A. Okay. So the question in this case is if if		Yes; that is correct.
10	accrual accounting would clean up the problem of		And did you are you aware of the operating
11 12	callback days and my response on line 14 says		s on callback day compensation, specifically .4.2, which is it's the operating rules on
13	Q. You basically say that they need to compensate pilots for overtime; right?		e 16, and it discusses how if if a pilot who
14	A. Yes. I'm saying, just by booking a liability,		s a scheduled day off without using a callback
14	it doesn't fix the problem of pilots currently getting		s - day, it says they lose two days' distribution.
16	equal share of distribution and not being compensated	-	you aware of that?
17	for the time worked and accrual accounting, you know,	•	Yes.
18	doesn't just solve the operational problem. It's just a		Thus, every callback day used would entitle you
19	response to an operational decision, and that could be,		vo days distribution; correct?
20	you know, determined based on the decisions here. But		That is correct.
21	it doesn't fix the operational problem of callback days.	20 A. 21	MR. WILEY: No further questions, Your
22	Q. Okay. And so I just want just one question	22 Hone	
23	on that.	23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
24	When you've already credited payment against a	24	Mr. Fukano or Ms. Brown, do you have
25	pilot's account for 365 days of service, wouldn't you		stions for this witness?
		•	

44 (Pages 227 to 230)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 231	Page	233
1	MR. FUKANO: Yes, a few questions.	1 Q. And as part of your response, you referenced	the
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION	2 tariff and the operating rules; is that correct?	
3	BY MR. FUKANO:	3 A. Yes.	
4	Q. Hello, Ms. Norris. How are you this day?	4 Q. Would the uniformity of distribution also be	
5	A. Great.	5 controlled by PSP's bylaws or is that what you mean	nt
6	Q. Would you please turn to your rebuttal	6 sorry. Single question.	
7	testimony, JN-6T on page 2.	7 A. I would need to check the specific I think	
8	A. Okay. Just give me one minute to get there.	8 when I said operating rules, I unilaterally combined	
9	Okay. Page 2.	9 those. So I would need to check the specific reference	S
10	MR. WILEY: I don't know what that noise is.	10 to where it speaks to that.	
11	BY MR. FUKANO:	11 Q. I see. In that case	
12	Q. Are you there?	12 A. I can check that here. So I was specifically	
13	A. Yes.	13 referring to or more specifically referring to the	
14	Q. On lines 1 to 2 you state that "PSP's financials	14 bylaws Section 16.	
15	are performed on the modified accrual basis."	15 Q. Okay. And so the reference to operating rules	5
16	I just wanted to clarify what you had what	16 was intended to be to the bylaws?	
17	you had intended by the term "performed."	17 A. Yes.	
18	A. Or prepared. I think that may be a typo.	18 Q. Okay.	
19	Prepared.	19 MR. FUKANO: No further questions for this	
20	Q. Okay. And are you familiar you are familiar	20 witness at this time.	
21	with the three-month transportation study filed by PSP	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.	
22	in this case; correct?	22 Mr. Wiley?	
23	A. I am aware of it, yes.	MR. WILEY: Yes, just a couple.	
24	Q. Would you please refer to JN-10.	24 REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
25	A. Okay.	25 BY MR. WILEY:	
	Page 232	Page	234
1	Page 232 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data	Page . 1 Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether	234
1 2			
	Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data	1 Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether	
2	Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100.	1Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether2you had supplied backup documentation in answer to	
2 3	Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay.	 Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether you had supplied backup documentation in answer to Request No. 100. 	
2 3 4	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether 	 Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether you had supplied backup documentation in answer to Request No. 100. Are you aware whether the transportation 	
2 3 4 5	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether the three-month study performed by PSP for 	 Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether you had supplied backup documentation in answer to Request No. 100. Are you aware whether the transportation receipts and work papers had been supplied by other 	
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether the three-month study performed by PSP for transportation charges accounted for the seasonality of 	 Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether you had supplied backup documentation in answer to Request No. 100. Are you aware whether the transportation receipts and work papers had been supplied by other witnesses in previous data request responses? 	
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether the three-month study performed by PSP for transportation charges accounted for the seasonality of pilot work performed throughout the year; is that 	 Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether you had supplied backup documentation in answer to Request No. 100. Are you aware whether the transportation receipts and work papers had been supplied by other witnesses in previous data request responses? A. To my knowledge, yes. 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether the three-month study performed by PSP for transportation charges accounted for the seasonality of pilot work performed throughout the year; is that correct? 	 Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether you had supplied backup documentation in answer to Request No. 100. Are you aware whether the transportation receipts and work papers had been supplied by other witnesses in previous data request responses? A. To my knowledge, yes. MR. WILEY: No further questions. 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether the three-month study performed by PSP for transportation charges accounted for the seasonality of pilot work performed throughout the year; is that correct? A. Yes. 	1Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether2you had supplied backup documentation in answer to3Request No. 100.4Are you aware whether the transportation5receipts and work papers had been supplied by other6witnesses in previous data request responses?7A. To my knowledge, yes.8MR. WILEY: No further questions.9JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.	
2 3 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether the three-month study performed by PSP for transportation charges accounted for the seasonality of pilot work performed throughout the year; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you stated that it did, or it attempted to. 	1Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether2you had supplied backup documentation in answer to3Request No. 100.4Are you aware whether the transportation5receipts and work papers had been supplied by other6witnesses in previous data request responses?7A. To my knowledge, yes.8MR. WILEY: No further questions.9JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.10Did the Commissioners have any questions for	
2 3 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether the three-month study performed by PSP for transportation charges accounted for the seasonality of pilot work performed throughout the year; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you stated that it did, or it attempted to. A. Yes. Looking at it after the fact, it appears 	1Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether2you had supplied backup documentation in answer to3Request No. 100.4Are you aware whether the transportation5receipts and work papers had been supplied by other6witnesses in previous data request responses?7A. To my knowledge, yes.8MR. WILEY: No further questions.9JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.10Did the Commissioners have any questions for11Ms. Norris?	
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether the three-month study performed by PSP for transportation charges accounted for the seasonality of pilot work performed throughout the year; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you stated that it did, or it attempted to. A. Yes. Looking at it after the fact, it appears it picks up a variation of activities going on with 	1Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether2you had supplied backup documentation in answer to3Request No. 100.4Are you aware whether the transportation5receipts and work papers had been supplied by other6witnesses in previous data request responses?7A. To my knowledge, yes.8MR. WILEY: No further questions.9JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.10Did the Commissioners have any questions for11Ms. Norris?12MR. FUKANO: Can Staff have a brief recross?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether the three-month study performed by PSP for transportation charges accounted for the seasonality of pilot work performed throughout the year; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you stated that it did, or it attempted to. A. Yes. Looking at it after the fact, it appears it picks up a variation of activities going on with pilots with the cruise season specifically. 	1Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether2you had supplied backup documentation in answer to3Request No. 100.4Are you aware whether the transportation5receipts and work papers had been supplied by other6witnesses in previous data request responses?7A. To my knowledge, yes.8MR. WILEY: No further questions.9JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.10Did the Commissioners have any questions for11Ms. Norris?12MR. FUKANO: Can Staff have a brief recross?13JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Go ahead.	
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether the three-month study performed by PSP for transportation charges accounted for the seasonality of pilot work performed throughout the year; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you stated that it did, or it attempted to. A. Yes. Looking at it after the fact, it appears it picks up a variation of activities going on with pilots with the cruise season specifically. Q. And did this data request also ask for any 	1Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether2you had supplied backup documentation in answer to3Request No. 100.4Are you aware whether the transportation5receipts and work papers had been supplied by other6witnesses in previous data request responses?7A. To my knowledge, yes.8MR. WILEY: No further questions.9JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.10Did the Commissioners have any questions for11Ms. Norris?12MR. FUKANO: Can Staff have a brief recross?13JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Go ahead.14RECROSS-EXAMINATION	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether the three-month study performed by PSP for transportation charges accounted for the seasonality of pilot work performed throughout the year; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you stated that it did, or it attempted to. A. Yes. Looking at it after the fact, it appears it picks up a variation of activities going on with pilots with the cruise season specifically. Q. And did this data request also ask for any supporting documentation and calculation relied upon for that conclusion? A. Yes. 	1Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether2you had supplied backup documentation in answer to3Request No. 100.4Are you aware whether the transportation5receipts and work papers had been supplied by other6witnesses in previous data request responses?7A. To my knowledge, yes.8MR. WILEY: No further questions.9JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.10Did the Commissioners have any questions for11Ms. Norris?12MR. FUKANO: Can Staff have a brief recross?13JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Go ahead.14RECROSS-EXAMINATION15BY MR. FUKANO:16Q. Ms. Norris, referring again to Staff's Data17Request 100, did the data request specifically ask for	Data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether the three-month study performed by PSP for transportation charges accounted for the seasonality of pilot work performed throughout the year; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you stated that it did, or it attempted to. A. Yes. Looking at it after the fact, it appears it picks up a variation of activities going on with pilots with the cruise season specifically. Q. And did this data request also ask for any supporting documentation and calculation relied upon for that conclusion? A. Yes. Q. And did this data request response provide any 	1 Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether 2 you had supplied backup documentation in answer to 3 Request No. 100. 4 Are you aware whether the transportation 5 receipts and work papers had been supplied by other 6 witnesses in previous data request responses? 7 A. To my knowledge, yes. 8 MR. WILEY: No further questions. 9 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. 10 Did the Commissioners have any questions for 11 Ms. Norris? 12 MR. FUKANO: Can Staff have a brief recross? 13 JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Go ahead. 14 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. FUKANO: 16 Q. Ms. Norris, referring again to Staff's Data 17 Request 100, did the data request specifically ask for 18 documentation supporting the application towards the	Data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether the three-month study performed by PSP for transportation charges accounted for the seasonality of pilot work performed throughout the year; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you stated that it did, or it attempted to. A. Yes. Looking at it after the fact, it appears it picks up a variation of activities going on with pilots with the cruise season specifically. Q. And did this data request also ask for any supporting documentation and calculation relied upon for that conclusion? A. Yes. Q. And did this data request response provide any calculation or supporting documentation or citation? 	1 Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether 2 you had supplied backup documentation in answer to 3 Request No. 100. 4 Are you aware whether the transportation 5 receipts and work papers had been supplied by other 6 witnesses in previous data request responses? 7 A. To my knowledge, yes. 8 MR. WILEY: No further questions. 9 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. 10 Did the Commissioners have any questions for 11 Ms. Norris? 12 MR. FUKANO: Can Staff have a brief recross? 13 JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Go ahead. 14 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. FUKANO: 16 Q. Ms. Norris, referring again to Staff's Data 17 Request 100, did the data request specifically ask for 18 documentation supporting the application towards the 19 seasonality of the pilotage?	Data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether the three-month study performed by PSP for transportation charges accounted for the seasonality of pilot work performed throughout the year; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you stated that it did, or it attempted to. A. Yes. Looking at it after the fact, it appears it picks up a variation of activities going on with pilots with the cruise season specifically. Q. And did this data request also ask for any supporting documentation and calculation relied upon for that conclusion? A. Yes. Q. And did this data request response provide any calculation or supporting documentation or citation? A. No. 1 	1 Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether 2 you had supplied backup documentation in answer to 3 Request No. 100. 4 Are you aware whether the transportation 5 receipts and work papers had been supplied by other 6 witnesses in previous data request responses? 7 A. To my knowledge, yes. 8 MR. WILEY: No further questions. 9 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. 10 Did the Commissioners have any questions for 11 Ms. Norris? 12 MR. FUKANO: Can Staff have a brief recross? 13 JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Go ahead. 14 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. FUKANO: 16 Q. Ms. Norris, referring again to Staff's Data 17 Request 100, did the data request specifically ask for 18 documentation supporting the application towards the 19 seasonality of the pilotage? 20 A. Yes.	Data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether the three-month study performed by PSP for transportation charges accounted for the seasonality of pilot work performed throughout the year; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you stated that it did, or it attempted to. A. Yes. Looking at it after the fact, it appears it picks up a variation of activities going on with pilots with the cruise season specifically. Q. And did this data request also ask for any supporting documentation and calculation relied upon for that conclusion? A. Yes. Q. And did this data request response provide any calculation or supporting documentation or citation? A. No. 1 Q. Thank you. 	1 Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether 2 you had supplied backup documentation in answer to 3 Request No. 100. 4 Are you aware whether the transportation 5 receipts and work papers had been supplied by other 6 witnesses in previous data request responses? 7 A. To my knowledge, yes. 8 MR. WILEY: No further questions. 9 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. 10 Did the Commissioners have any questions for 11 Ms. Norris? 12 MR. FUKANO: Can Staff have a brief recross? 13 JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Go ahead. 14 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. FUKANO: 16 Q. Ms. Norris, referring again to Staff's Data 17 Request 100, did the data request specifically ask for 18 documentation supporting the application towards the 19 seasonality of the pilotage? 20 A. Yes. 21 MR. FUKANO: Thank you. No further	Data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether the three-month study performed by PSP for transportation charges accounted for the seasonality of pilot work performed throughout the year; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you stated that it did, or it attempted to. A. Yes. Looking at it after the fact, it appears it picks up a variation of activities going on with pilots with the cruise season specifically. Q. And did this data request also ask for any supporting documentation and calculation relied upon for that conclusion? A. Yes. Q. And did this data request response provide any calculation or supporting documentation or citation? A. No. 1 Q. Thank you. A moment ago you were asked some questions by 	1 Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether 2 you had supplied backup documentation in answer to 3 Request No. 100. 4 Are you aware whether the transportation 5 receipts and work papers had been supplied by other 6 witnesses in previous data request responses? 7 A. To my knowledge, yes. 8 MR. WILEY: No further questions. 9 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. 10 Did the Commissioners have any questions for 11 Ms. Norris? 12 MR. FUKANO: Can Staff have a brief recross? 13 JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Go ahead. 14 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. FUKANO: 16 Q. Ms. Norris, referring again to Staff's Data 17 Request 100, did the data request specifically ask for 18 documentation supporting the application towards the 19 seasonality of the pilotage? 20 A. Yes. 21 MR. FUKANO: Thank you. No further 22 questions.	Data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether the three-month study performed by PSP for transportation charges accounted for the seasonality of pilot work performed throughout the year; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you stated that it did, or it attempted to. A. Yes. Looking at it after the fact, it appears it picks up a variation of activities going on with pilots with the cruise season specifically. Q. And did this data request also ask for any supporting documentation and calculation relied upon for that conclusion? A. Yes. Q. And did this data request response provide any calculation or supporting documentation or citation? A. No. 1 Q. Thank you. A moment ago you were asked some questions by PMSA regarding the uniformity of distribution of 	1 Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether 2 you had supplied backup documentation in answer to 3 Request No. 100. 4 Are you aware whether the transportation 5 receipts and work papers had been supplied by other 6 witnesses in previous data request responses? 7 A. To my knowledge, yes. 8 MR. WILEY: No further questions. 9 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. 10 Did the Commissioners have any questions for 11 Ms. Norris? 12 MR. FUKANO: Can Staff have a brief recross? 13 JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Go ahead. 14 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. FUKANO: 16 Q. Ms. Norris, referring again to Staff's Data 17 Request 100, did the data request specifically ask for 18 documentation supporting the application towards the 19 seasonality of the pilotage? 20 A. Yes. 21 MR. FUKANO: Thank you. No further 22 guestions. 23 JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Commissioner	Data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether the three-month study performed by PSP for transportation charges accounted for the seasonality of pilot work performed throughout the year; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you stated that it did, or it attempted to. A. Yes. Looking at it after the fact, it appears it picks up a variation of activities going on with pilots with the cruise season specifically. Q. And did this data request also ask for any supporting documentation and calculation relied upon for that conclusion? A. Yes. Q. And did this data request response provide any calculation or supporting documentation or citation? A. No. 1 Q. Thank you. A moment ago you were asked some questions by PMSA regarding the uniformity of distribution of pilotage revenue to the pilots; is that correct? 	1 Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether 2 you had supplied backup documentation in answer to 3 Request No. 100. 4 Are you aware whether the transportation 5 receipts and work papers had been supplied by other 6 witnesses in previous data request responses? 7 A. To my knowledge, yes. 8 MR. WILEY: No further questions. 9 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. 10 Did the Commissioners have any questions for 11 Ms. Norris? 12 MR. FUKANO: Can Staff have a brief recross? 13 JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Go ahead. 14 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. FUKANO: 16 Q. Ms. Norris, referring again to Staff's Data 17 Request 100, did the data request specifically ask for 18 documentation supporting the application towards the 19 seasonality of the pilotage? 20 A. Yes. 21 MR. FUKANO: Thank you. No further 22 questions. 33 JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Commissioner 24 Rendahl, did you have	Data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And to page 4, which should be UTC Staff Data Request 100. A. Okay. Q. And it's true that this request asked whether the three-month study performed by PSP for transportation charges accounted for the seasonality of pilot work performed throughout the year; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you stated that it did, or it attempted to. A. Yes. Looking at it after the fact, it appears it picks up a variation of activities going on with pilots with the cruise season specifically. Q. And did this data request also ask for any supporting documentation and calculation relied upon for that conclusion? A. Yes. Q. And did this data request response provide any calculation or supporting documentation or citation? A. No. 1 Q. Thank you. A moment ago you were asked some questions by PMSA regarding the uniformity of distribution of 	1 Q. Ms. Norris, you were just asked about whether 2 you had supplied backup documentation in answer to 3 Request No. 100. 4 Are you aware whether the transportation 5 receipts and work papers had been supplied by other 6 witnesses in previous data request responses? 7 A. To my knowledge, yes. 8 MR. WILEY: No further questions. 9 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. 10 Did the Commissioners have any questions for 11 Ms. Norris? 12 MR. FUKANO: Can Staff have a brief recross? 13 JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Go ahead. 14 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. FUKANO: 16 Q. Ms. Norris, referring again to Staff's Data 17 Request 100, did the data request specifically ask for 18 documentation supporting the application towards the 19 seasonality of the pilotage? 20 A. Yes. 21 MR. FUKANO: Thank you. No further 22 guestions. 23 JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Commissioner	Data

45 (Pages 231 to 234)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 235		Page 237
1	EXAMINATION	1	the data set that generates the invoices at a hundred
2	BY COMMISSIONER RENDAHL:	2	percent.
3	Q. Ms. Norris, in your rebuttal testimony you	3	Q. Okay. It's not a sample of invoices as selected
4	respond to some questions about the transportation pilot	4	by the pilot or provided by PSP?
5	program. And just to clarify, you were not involved in	5	A. No. And then we further select a sample of
б	preparing that, you were just testifying to the affect	6	invoices as well as provide analytical testing on the
7	in the in the tariff?	7	invoices. So our sample size I was just going to
8	A. Correct. I was not involved in preparing that.	8	pull that up here. Taking me just a minute. We perform
9	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you.	9	a large number of revenue tests, so I'm just getting our
10	That's all I have.	10	work papers up here. It's a little slow as it's in a
11	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Anything else?	11	prior year file.
12	Commissioner Balasbas.	12	Q. So a ballpark would be fine.
13	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Thank you.	13	A. Okay. I'm just about there. I would say we
14	EXAMINATION	14	test, probably, 30-some invoices, 30-plus invoices.
15	BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS:	15	Actual invoices.
16	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Norris.	16	Q. A year?
17	A. Hi.	17	A. Annually, yes.
18	Q. So just to clarify, as auditor, you have access	18	Q. Okay. And how do you determine that sample
19	to all the invoices that PSP generates; correct?	19	size? There's, what, thousands of invoices; right?
20	A. We have access to request any of the invoices	20	A. Right. So we take we have so I talked
21	that they generate. We are not provided with a hundred	21	about testing the back-end system, and then we run a
22	percent of the invoices. We make selections based on	22	some data analytics on the subset of all jobs. And we
23	testing and we request those invoices.	23	pull out things that look like anomalies. So we pull
24	Q. So you do so you effectively conduct, then, a	24	out anything that looks like it might be in an incorrect
25	sample audit of the universe of invoices in a given	25	period. So if the job date I'm just going to say,
	Page 236		Page 238
1	Page 236 year?	1	Page 238 showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test
1 2		1 2	
	year?		showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test
2	year? A. Correct.	2	showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend.
2 3	year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at	2 3	showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a
2 3 4	year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time.	2 3 4	showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a negative invoice. For you know, why that would be.
2 3 4 5	year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I believe that	2 3 4 5	showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a negative invoice. For you know, why that would be. Why there was a credit. We test any invoices that
2 3 4 5 6	year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I believe that is it.	2 3 4 5 6	showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a negative invoice. For you know, why that would be. Why there was a credit. We test any invoices that let's see, we have a whole bunch of tabs here. So it
2 3 4 5 6 7	year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I believe that is it. CHAIR DANNER: I want to follow-up on that. EXAMINATION BY CHAIR DANNER:	2 3 4 5 6 7	showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a negative invoice. For you know, why that would be. Why there was a credit. We test any invoices that let's see, we have a whole bunch of tabs here. So it happened on a weekend. We reconcile the summary of all job movements to the actual revenue per the financial statements, so that we make sure that the revenue on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I believe that is it. CHAIR DANNER: I want to follow-up on that. EXAMINATION BY CHAIR DANNER: Q. With regard to the invoices, how many how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a negative invoice. For you know, why that would be. Why there was a credit. We test any invoices that let's see, we have a whole bunch of tabs here. So it happened on a weekend. We reconcile the summary of all job movements to the actual revenue per the financial statements, so that we make sure that the revenue on the financial statements is actually job ship movements. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I believe that is it. CHAIR DANNER: I want to follow-up on that. EXAMINATION BY CHAIR DANNER: Q. With regard to the invoices, how many how many do you usually request in a year? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a negative invoice. For you know, why that would be. Why there was a credit. We test any invoices that let's see, we have a whole bunch of tabs here. So it happened on a weekend. We reconcile the summary of all job movements to the actual revenue per the financial statements, so that we make sure that the revenue on the financial statements is actually job ship movements. So through all those tests, we test a number of invoices.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I believe that is it. CHAIR DANNER: I want to follow-up on that. EXAMINATION BY CHAIR DANNER: Q. With regard to the invoices, how many how many do you usually request in a year? A. So we do a number of tests on invoices and in a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a negative invoice. For you know, why that would be. Why there was a credit. We test any invoices that let's see, we have a whole bunch of tabs here. So it happened on a weekend. We reconcile the summary of all job movements to the actual revenue per the financial statements, so that we make sure that the revenue on the financial statements is actually job ship movements. So through all those tests, we test a number of invoices. Q. Okay. And so again so how do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I believe that is it. CHAIR DANNER: I want to follow-up on that. EXAMINATION BY CHAIR DANNER: Q. With regard to the invoices, how many how many do you usually request in a year? A. So we do a number of tests on invoices and in a number of ways. So just to talk about the audit process 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a negative invoice. For you know, why that would be. Why there was a credit. We test any invoices that let's see, we have a whole bunch of tabs here. So it happened on a weekend. We reconcile the summary of all job movements to the actual revenue per the financial statements, so that we make sure that the revenue on the financial statements is actually job ship movements. So through all those tests, we test a number of invoices. Q. Okay. And so again so how do you determine the sample size for verification of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I believe that is it. CHAIR DANNER: I want to follow-up on that. EXAMINATION BY CHAIR DANNER: Q. With regard to the invoices, how many how many do you usually request in a year? A. So we do a number of tests on invoices and in a number of ways. So just to talk about the audit process a little bit, one of the things that we test, which I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a negative invoice. For you know, why that would be. Why there was a credit. We test any invoices that let's see, we have a whole bunch of tabs here. So it happened on a weekend. We reconcile the summary of all job movements to the actual revenue per the financial statements, so that we make sure that the revenue on the financial statements is actually job ship movements. So through all those tests, we test a number of invoices. Q. Okay. And so again so how do you determine the sample size for verification of the invoicing?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I believe that is it. CHAIR DANNER: I want to follow-up on that. EXAMINATION BY CHAIR DANNER: Q. With regard to the invoices, how many how many do you usually request in a year? A. So we do a number of tests on invoices and in a number of ways. So just to talk about the audit process a little bit, one of the things that we test, which I believe is probably our more comprehensive test, is we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a negative invoice. For you know, why that would be. Why there was a credit. We test any invoices that let's see, we have a whole bunch of tabs here. So it happened on a weekend. We reconcile the summary of all job movements to the actual revenue per the financial statements, so that we make sure that the revenue on the financial statements is actually job ship movements. So through all those tests, we test a number of invoices. Q. Okay. And so again so how do you determine the sample size for verification of the invoicing? A. So the sample size is because of the anomalies.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I believe that is it. CHAIR DANNER: I want to follow-up on that. EXAMINATION BY CHAIR DANNER: Q. With regard to the invoices, how many how many do you usually request in a year? A. So we do a number of tests on invoices and in a number of ways. So just to talk about the audit process a little bit, one of the things that we test, which I believe is probably our more comprehensive test, is we test the tariff schedule, which is in the back end of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a negative invoice. For you know, why that would be. Why there was a credit. We test any invoices that let's see, we have a whole bunch of tabs here. So it happened on a weekend. We reconcile the summary of all job movements to the actual revenue per the financial statements, so that we make sure that the revenue on the financial statements is actually job ship movements. So through all those tests, we test a number of invoices. Q. Okay. And so again so how do you determine the sample size for verification of the invoicing? A. So the sample size is because of the anomalies. We're testing the actual revenue through our tariff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I believe that is it. CHAIR DANNER: I want to follow-up on that. EXAMINATION BY CHAIR DANNER: Q. With regard to the invoices, how many how many do you usually request in a year? A. So we do a number of tests on invoices and in a number of ways. So just to talk about the audit process a little bit, one of the things that we test, which I believe is probably our more comprehensive test, is we test the tariff schedule, which is in the back end of their system which generates the invoices based on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a negative invoice. For you know, why that would be. Why there was a credit. We test any invoices that let's see, we have a whole bunch of tabs here. So it happened on a weekend. We reconcile the summary of all job movements to the actual revenue per the financial statements, so that we make sure that the revenue on the financial statements is actually job ship movements. So through all those tests, we test a number of invoices. Q. Okay. And so again so how do you determine the sample size for verification of the invoicing? A. So the sample size is because of the anomalies. We're testing the actual revenue through our tariff charge table testing, as well as looking at it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I believe that is it. CHAIR DANNER: I want to follow-up on that. EXAMINATION BY CHAIR DANNER: Q. With regard to the invoices, how many how many do you usually request in a year? A. So we do a number of tests on invoices and in a number of ways. So just to talk about the audit process a little bit, one of the things that we test, which I believe is probably our more comprehensive test, is we test the tariff schedule, which is in the back end of their system which generates the invoices based on the or based on the dispatch, you know, ship length, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a negative invoice. For you know, why that would be. Why there was a credit. We test any invoices that let's see, we have a whole bunch of tabs here. So it happened on a weekend. We reconcile the summary of all job movements to the actual revenue per the financial statements, so that we make sure that the revenue on the financial statements is actually job ship movements. So through all those tests, we test a number of invoices. Q. Okay. And so again so how do you determine the sample size for verification of the invoicing? A. So the sample size is because of the anomalies. We're testing the actual revenue through our tariff charge table testing, as well as looking at it analytically and then testing anything that sticks out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I believe that is it. CHAIR DANNER: I want to follow-up on that. EXAMINATION BY CHAIR DANNER: Q. With regard to the invoices, how many how many do you usually request in a year? A. So we do a number of tests on invoices and in a number of ways. So just to talk about the audit process a little bit, one of the things that we test, which I believe is probably our more comprehensive test, is we test the tariff schedule, which is in the back end of their system which generates the invoices based on the or based on the dispatch, you know, ship length, distance, all that. So we test that back-end report 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a negative invoice. For you know, why that would be. Why there was a credit. We test any invoices that let's see, we have a whole bunch of tabs here. So it happened on a weekend. We reconcile the summary of all job movements to the actual revenue per the financial statements, so that we make sure that the revenue on the financial statements is actually job ship movements. So through all those tests, we test a number of invoices. Q. Okay. And so again so how do you determine the sample size for verification of the invoicing? A. So the sample size is because of the anomalies. We're testing the actual revenue through our tariff charge table testing, as well as looking at it analytically and then testing anything that sticks out as an anomaly based on our data analytics. We have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I believe that is it. CHAIR DANNER: I want to follow-up on that. EXAMINATION BY CHAIR DANNER: Q. With regard to the invoices, how many how many do you usually request in a year? A. So we do a number of tests on invoices and in a number of ways. So just to talk about the audit process a little bit, one of the things that we test, which I believe is probably our more comprehensive test, is we test the tariff schedule, which is in the back end of their system which generates the invoices based on the or based on the dispatch, you know, ship length, distance, all that. So we test that back-end report that we get from their IT to the actual tariffs. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a negative invoice. For you know, why that would be. Why there was a credit. We test any invoices that let's see, we have a whole bunch of tabs here. So it happened on a weekend. We reconcile the summary of all job movements to the actual revenue per the financial statements, so that we make sure that the revenue on the financial statements is actually job ship movements. So through all those tests, we test a number of invoices. Q. Okay. And so - again so - how do you determine the sample size for verification of the invoicing? A. So the sample size is because of the anomalies. We're testing the actual revenue through our tariff charge table testing, as well as looking at it analytically and then testing anything that sticks out as an anomaly based on our data analytics. We have a software that pulls out any of those negative ones or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I believe that is it. CHAIR DANNER: I want to follow-up on that. EXAMINATION BY CHAIR DANNER: Q. With regard to the invoices, how many how many do you usually request in a year? A. So we do a number of tests on invoices and in a number of ways. So just to talk about the audit process a little bit, one of the things that we test, which I believe is probably our more comprehensive test, is we test the tariff schedule, which is in the back end of their system which generates the invoices based on the or based on the dispatch, you know, ship length, distance, all that. So we test that back-end report that we get from their IT to the actual tariffs. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a negative invoice. For you know, why that would be. Why there was a credit. We test any invoices that let's see, we have a whole bunch of tabs here. So it happened on a weekend. We reconcile the summary of all job movements to the actual revenue per the financial statements, so that we make sure that the revenue on the financial statements is actually job ship movements. So through all those tests, we test a number of invoices. Q. Okay. And so again so how do you determine the sample size for verification of the invoicing? A. So the sample size is because of the anomalies. We're testing the actual revenue through our tariff charge table testing, as well as looking at it analytically and then testing anything that sticks out as an anomaly based on our data analytics. We have a software that pulls out any of those negative ones or corrections or ones that look like they would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I believe that is it. CHAIR DANNER: I want to follow-up on that. EXAMINATION BY CHAIR DANNER: Q. With regard to the invoices, how many how many do you usually request in a year? A. So we do a number of tests on invoices and in a number of ways. So just to talk about the audit process a little bit, one of the things that we test, which I believe is probably our more comprehensive test, is we test the tariff schedule, which is in the back end of their system which generates the invoices based on the or based on the dispatch, you know, ship length, distance, all that. So we test that back-end report that we get from their IT to the actual tariffs. So in verifying that, invoices are generated accurately based on the inputs. We test that every 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a negative invoice. For you know, why that would be. Why there was a credit. We test any invoices that let's see, we have a whole bunch of tabs here. So it happened on a weekend. We reconcile the summary of all job movements to the actual revenue per the financial statements, so that we make sure that the revenue on the financial statements is actually job ship movements. So through all those tests, we test a number of invoices. Q. Okay. And so again so how do you determine the sample size for verification of the invoicing? A. So the sample size is because of the anomalies. We're testing the actual revenue through our tariff charge table testing, as well as looking at it analytically and then testing anything that sticks out as an anomaly based on our data analytics. We have a software that pulls out any of those negative ones or corrections or ones that look like they would be variations from the tariff table.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I believe that is it. CHAIR DANNER: I want to follow-up on that. EXAMINATION BY CHAIR DANNER: Q. With regard to the invoices, how many how many do you usually request in a year? A. So we do a number of tests on invoices and in a number of ways. So just to talk about the audit process a little bit, one of the things that we test, which I believe is probably our more comprehensive test, is we test the tariff schedule, which is in the back end of their system which generates the invoices based on the or based on the dispatch, you know, ship length, distance, all that. So we test that back-end report that we get from their IT to the actual tariffs. So in verifying that, invoices are generated accurately based on the inputs. We test that every single line item to the tariff. So that touches every 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a negative invoice. For you know, why that would be. Why there was a credit. We test any invoices that let's see, we have a whole bunch of tabs here. So it happened on a weekend. We reconcile the summary of all job movements to the actual revenue per the financial statements, so that we make sure that the revenue on the financial statements is actually job ship movements. So through all those tests, we test a number of invoices. Q. Okay. And so again so how do you determine the sample size for verification of the invoicing? A. So the sample size is because of the anomalies. We're testing the actual revenue through our tariff charge table testing, as well as looking at it analytically and then testing anything that sticks out as an anomaly based on our data analytics. We have a software that pulls out any of those negative ones or corrections or ones that look like they would be variations from the tariff table. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 year? A. Correct. Q. All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I believe that is it. CHAIR DANNER: I want to follow-up on that. EXAMINATION BY CHAIR DANNER: Q. With regard to the invoices, how many how many do you usually request in a year? A. So we do a number of tests on invoices and in a number of ways. So just to talk about the audit process a little bit, one of the things that we test, which I believe is probably our more comprehensive test, is we test the tariff schedule, which is in the back end of their system which generates the invoices based on the or based on the dispatch, you know, ship length, distance, all that. So we test that back-end report that we get from their IT to the actual tariffs. So in verifying that, invoices are generated accurately based on the inputs. We test that every 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 showed in 2017 but it's showing up in '18, we would test that. We test anything that was generated on a weekend. We test any invoices that had a credit to them, like a negative invoice. For you know, why that would be. Why there was a credit. We test any invoices that let's see, we have a whole bunch of tabs here. So it happened on a weekend. We reconcile the summary of all job movements to the actual revenue per the financial statements, so that we make sure that the revenue on the financial statements is actually job ship movements. So through all those tests, we test a number of invoices. Q. Okay. And so again so how do you determine the sample size for verification of the invoicing? A. So the sample size is because of the anomalies. We're testing the actual revenue through our tariff charge table testing, as well as looking at it analytically and then testing anything that sticks out as an anomaly based on our data analytics. We have a software that pulls out any of those negative ones or corrections or ones that look like they would be variations from the tariff table.

46 (Pages 235 to 238)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 239		Page 241
1	to that if I might?	1	order since he is scheduled to go last today.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Go ahead.	2	MS. DeLAPPE: And for PMSA, that would be
3	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	3	fine.
4	BY MR. WILEY:	4	MR. WILEY: Thank you.
5	Q. Ms. Norris, calling your attention to to	5	MR. FUKANO: Staff has no objection.
6	JN-1T, page 6 and 7, which describes the process you	6	JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Shall we call
7	just alluded to with Chairman Danner. You also talk	7	him next, Mr. Fassburg?
8	about a due diligence directly with customers of PSP to	8	MS. DeLAPPE: I'd actually like him to
9	validate the amounts charged; do you not?	9	interject, I'm ready to go with Ms. Styrk, so I would
10	A. Yes, that is correct. So that was not included	10	prefer to move on with that.
11	in that sample size that I was talking about. So we	11	JUDGE PEARSON: Why don't we do Ms. Styrk
12	send direct we send direct confirmation letters to a	12	and then do Captain Nielsen immediately after that.
13	sample size of customers that have not paid their	13	Will that work?
14	invoices as of year end, so they are outstanding, they	14	MS. DeLAPPE: Yes. Thank you.
15	have been billed but not paid, to verify that they agree	15	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. It looks like
16	with the charge the tariff charges.	16	Ms. Styrk has turned on her camera. Hi, Ms. Styrk.
17	Q. All right. So that's in response on page 9 of	17	THE WITNESS: Hello.
18	your testimony you say we send confirmation to a sample	18	JUDGE PEARSON: If you could raise your
19	array of customers to validate the aggregate amount	19	right hand, I will swear you in.
20	owed, thus that is for unpaid invoices only?	20	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
21	A. Correct.	21	you give today will be the truth, the whole truth, and
22	Q. And so what how many is that?	22	nothing but the truth?
23	A. So that is for 2018. That was 20 20	23	THE WITNESS: I do.
24	invoices or 20 customers so with each customer, that	24	JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you.
25	could include one invoice. It could include 20 invoices	25	And Mr. Fassburg or Mr. Wiley, which one of
	Page 240		Page 242
1	Page 240 or more.	1	you will be introducing this witness?
1 2		2	you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite
	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you.		you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you.
2	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you.	2 3 4	you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been
2 3 4 5	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you.	2 3 4 5	you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was
2 3 4 5 6	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you,	2 3 4 5 6	you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as
2 3 4 5 6 7	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Norris.	2 3 4 5 6 7	you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Norris. THE WITNESS: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Norris. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Norris. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WILEY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Norris. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: And our next witness will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WILEY: Q. Good morn good afternoon, I should say,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Norris. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: And our next witness will be Linda Styrk sorry. Go ahead, Mr. Fassburg.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WILEY: Q. Good morn good afternoon, I should say, Ms. Styrk. Could you please state and spell your last
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Norris. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: And our next witness will be Linda Styrk sorry. Go ahead, Mr. Fassburg. MR. FASSBURG: I was going to interject I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WILEY: Q. Good morn good afternoon, I should say, Ms. Styrk. Could you please state and spell your last name and provide your business address for the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Norris. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: And our next witness will be Linda Styrk sorry. Go ahead, Mr. Fassburg. MR. FASSBURG: I was going to interject I was going to I'm sorry. I've got an echo once again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WILEY: Q. Good morn good afternoon, I should say, Ms. Styrk. Could you please state and spell your last name and provide your business address for the record. A. Yes. Linda Styrk, S-t-y-r-k. And the business
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Norris. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: And our next witness will be Linda Styrk sorry. Go ahead, Mr. Fassburg. MR. FASSBURG: I was going to interject I was going to I'm sorry. I've got an echo once again. Let me see if I can fix that. Sorry for all the audio	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WILEY: Q. Good morn good afternoon, I should say, Ms. Styrk. Could you please state and spell your last name and provide your business address for the record. A. Yes. Linda Styrk, S-t-y-r-k. And the business address is 2003 Western Avenue, Suite 200, Seattle
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Norris. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: And our next witness will be Linda Styrk sorry. Go ahead, Mr. Fassburg. MR. FASSBURG: I was going to interject I was going to I'm sorry. I've got an echo once again. Let me see if I can fix that. Sorry for all the audio technical difficulties today. I don't think that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WILEY: Q. Good morn good afternoon, I should say, Ms. Styrk. Could you please state and spell your last name and provide your business address for the record. A. Yes. Linda Styrk, S-t-y-r-k. And the business address is 2003 Western Avenue, Suite 200, Seattle 98121.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you, MS. Norris. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: And our next witness will be Linda Styrk sorry. Go ahead, Mr. Fassburg. MR. FASSBURG: I was going to interject I was going to I'm sorry. I've got an echo once again. Let me see if I can fix that. Sorry for all the audio technical difficulties today. I don't think that we need to interject this right now.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WILEY: Q. Good morn - good afternoon, I should say, Ms. Styrk. Could you please state and spell your last name and provide your business address for the record. A. Yes. Linda Styrk, S-t-y-r-k. And the business address is 2003 Western Avenue, Suite 200, Seattle 98121. Q. And you may have heard, Ms. Styrk, that your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you, MS. Norris. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: And our next witness will be Linda Styrk sorry. Go ahead, Mr. Fassburg. MR. FASSBURG: I was going to interject I was going to I'm sorry. I've got an echo once again. Let me see if I can fix that. Sorry for all the audio technical difficulties today. I don't think that we need to interject this right now. I did want to bring it up before we go too	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WILEY: Q. Good morn good afternoon, I should say, Ms. Styrk. Could you please state and spell your last name and provide your business address for the record. A. Yes. Linda Styrk, S-t-y-r-k. And the business address is 2003 Western Avenue, Suite 200, Seattle 98121. Q. And you may have heard, Ms. Styrk, that your testimony at LS-1T and your two accompanying exhibits
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you, MS. Norris. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: And our next witness will be Linda Styrk sorry. Go ahead, Mr. Fassburg. MR. FASSBURG: I was going to interject I was going to I'm sorry. I've got an echo once again. Let me see if I can fix that. Sorry for all the audio technical difficulties today. I don't think that we need to interject this right now. I did want to bring it up before we go too much longer that we were notified due to how long we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WILEY: Q. Good morn good afternoon, I should say, Ms. Styrk. Could you please state and spell your last name and provide your business address for the record. A. Yes. Linda Styrk, S-t-y-r-k. And the business address is 2003 Western Avenue, Suite 200, Seattle 98121. Q. And you may have heard, Ms. Styrk, that your testimony at LS-1T and your two accompanying exhibits have been admitted without objection into the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you, MS. Norris. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: And our next witness will be Linda Styrk sorry. Go ahead, Mr. Fassburg. MR. FASSBURG: I was going to interject I was going to I'm sorry. I've got an echo once again. Let me see if I can fix that. Sorry for all the audio technical difficulties today. I don't think that we need to interject this right now. I did want to bring it up before we go too much longer that we were notified due to how long we're running today, Captain Nielson of the Columbia River	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WILEY: Q. Good morn good afternoon, I should say, Ms. Styrk. Could you please state and spell your last name and provide your business address for the record. A. Yes. Linda Styrk, S-t-y-r-k. And the business address is 2003 Western Avenue, Suite 200, Seattle 98121. Q. And you may have heard, Ms. Styrk, that your testimony at LS-1T and your two accompanying exhibits have been admitted without objection into the record. With that qualification, I would tender her to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you, MS. Norris. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: And our next witness will be Linda Styrk sorry. Go ahead, Mr. Fassburg. MR. FASSBURG: I was going to interject I was going to I'm sorry. I've got an echo once again. Let me see if I can fix that. Sorry for all the audio technical difficulties today. I don't think that we need to interject this right now. I did want to bring it up before we go too much longer that we were notified due to how long we're running today, Captain Nielson of the Columbia River Pilots is only available today. So hopefully we can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WILEY: Q. Good morn good afternoon, I should say, Ms. Styrk. Could you please state and spell your last name and provide your business address for the record. A. Yes. Linda Styrk, S-t-y-r-k. And the business address is 2003 Western Avenue, Suite 200, Seattle 98121. Q. And you may have heard, Ms. Styrk, that your testimony at LS-1T and your two accompanying exhibits have been admitted without objection into the record. With that qualification, I would tender her to Ms. DeLappe for cross-exam.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you, MS. Norris. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: And our next witness will be Linda Styrk sorry. Go ahead, Mr. Fassburg. MR. FASSBURG: I was going to interject I was going to I'm sorry. I've got an echo once again. Let me see if I can fix that. Sorry for all the audio technical difficulties today. I don't think that we need to interject this right now. I did want to bring it up before we go too much longer that we were notified due to how long we're running today, Captain Nielson of the Columbia River Pilots is only available today. So hopefully we can keep an eye on the clock and get him on the witness	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WILEY: Q. Good morn - good afternoon, I should say, Ms. Styrk. Could you please state and spell your last name and provide your business address for the record. A. Yes. Linda Styrk, S-t-y-r-k. And the business address is 2003 Western Avenue, Suite 200, Seattle 98121. Q. And you may have heard, Ms. Styrk, that your testimony at LS-1T and your two accompanying exhibits have been admitted without objection into the record. With that qualification, I would tender her to Ms. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you, MS. Norris. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: And our next witness will be Linda Styrk sorry. Go ahead, Mr. Fassburg. MR. FASSBURG: I was going to interject I was going to I'm sorry. I've got an echo once again. Let me see if I can fix that. Sorry for all the audio technical difficulties today. I don't think that we need to interject this right now. I did want to bring it up before we go too much longer that we were notified due to how long we're running today, Captain Nielson of the Columbia River Pilots is only available today. So hopefully we can keep an eye on the clock and get him on the witness stand today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WILEY: Q. Good morn - good afternoon, I should say, Ms. Styrk. Could you please state and spell your last name and provide your business address for the record. A. Yes. Linda Styrk, S-t-y-r-k. And the business address is 2003 Western Avenue, Suite 200, Seattle 98121. Q. And you may have heard, Ms. Styrk, that your testimony at LS-1T and your two accompanying exhibits have been admitted without objection into the record. With that qualification, I would tender her to Ms. DeLappe for cross-exam. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you very much. CROSS-EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or more. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Just curious. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you, MS. Norris. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: And our next witness will be Linda Styrk sorry. Go ahead, Mr. Fassburg. MR. FASSBURG: I was going to interject I was going to I'm sorry. I've got an echo once again. Let me see if I can fix that. Sorry for all the audio technical difficulties today. I don't think that we need to interject this right now. I did want to bring it up before we go too much longer that we were notified due to how long we're running today, Captain Nielson of the Columbia River Pilots is only available today. So hopefully we can keep an eye on the clock and get him on the witness	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 you will be introducing this witness? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I will despite Mr. Fassburg being on the video right now. Thank you. LINDA STYRK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WILEY: Q. Good morn good afternoon, I should say, Ms. Styrk. Could you please state and spell your last name and provide your business address for the record. A. Yes. Linda Styrk, S-t-y-r-k. And the business address is 2003 Western Avenue, Suite 200, Seattle 98121. Q. And you may have heard, Ms. Styrk, that your testimony at LS-1T and your two accompanying exhibits have been admitted without objection into the record. With that qualification, I would tender her to Ms. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much.

47 (Pages 239 to 242)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 243	Page 2	45
1	A. Good afternoon.	1 A. Right. Yes.	
2	Q. You have a quick 15 minutes to spend with me, so	2 Q to 2019.	
3	we're going to run right through it.	A. Okay. Just want to make sure we're on the same	
4	A. Okay.	4 page.	
5	Q. So as the Executive Director of PSP, you are	5 Q. Yes.	
6	directly responsible for all the day-to-day management	6 A. So since 2015, we have had a growth in expense,	
7	and operations, including management of all the expenses	7 substantial, and also a decline in revenue that's fairly	
8	that you've already testified to in this proceeding;	8 substantial. And when you combine that, it's a net	
9	correct?	9 difference of, you know, about 750,000. It's actually	
10	A. Yep. Day to day business operations; correct.	10 more than that annually. So, yes, we've had impacts,	
11	Q. Yes. So regarding the expenses at PSP, I'd like	11 not to mention that when you just look over a five-year	
12	to start by asking about the callback day expenses,	12 span like that, you know, that's just a general summary.	
13	which you have identified as the most material balance	13 But we've had individual pilot boat expenses that were	
14	sheet concern that you have; right?	14 major maintenance due to their age that we've been	
15	A. And I would just restate it to say that it's a	15 unable to recoup and also the Edith Cook, that is our	
16	liability. It's an unrecorded liability. It's not an	16 barge that supports and acts as a dock for our two pilot	
17	expense.	boats out at the pilots station. And we were unable to	
18	Q. Great. Okay. So we had you down in your	18 recoup those major expenses as well.	
19	original file testimony referring to it as an item of	19 Q. So thank you, Ms. Styrk. If you will help me in	
20	operating costs, but you were clarifying that today that	20 getting through in my time period, so short answers	
21	that's not the case?	21 would be great.	
22	A. Yeah, just to be clear for everybody.	22 A. Okay.	
23	Q. Okay. And so that is the most material concern	23 Q. My focus I appreciate the information. But I	
24	that you had about pilot expenses isn't really an	think we're on the same page about the fact that your	•
25	operating expense?	25 expenses have increased. And, in fact, we have PM	ISA
	Page 244	Page 2	46
1	A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not	1 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual	46
2	A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit 	46
2 3	A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you?	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. 	46
2 3 4	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if 	46
2 3 4 5	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else 	
2 3 4 5 6	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. Q. We certainly agree it's not an operating 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else Q. Is there someone in the room who might be at 	
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. Q. We certainly agree it's not an operating expense. So regarding your testimony also you refer to 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else Q. Is there someone in the room who might be at to get that in front of you? 	ble
2 3 4 5 6	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. Q. We certainly agree it's not an operating expense. So regarding your testimony also you refer to a difficulty or that you've been unable to recuperate 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else Q. Is there someone in the room who might be at to get that in front of you? MR. FASSBURG: Unfortunately, I do not have 	ble
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. Q. We certainly agree it's not an operating expense. So regarding your testimony also you refer to a difficulty or that you've been unable to recuperate in rates the growth in expenses; right? 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else Q. Is there someone in the room who might be at to get that in front of you? MR. FASSBURG: Unfortunately, I do not have that with me. 	ble
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. Q. We certainly agree it's not an operating expense. So regarding your testimony also you refer to a difficulty or that you've been unable to recuperate in rates the growth in expenses; right? MR. WILEY: Could you refer her to an actual 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else Q. Is there someone in the room who might be at to get that in front of you? MR. FASSBURG: Unfortunately, I do not have that with me. BY MS. DeLAPPE: 	ble
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. Q. We certainly agree it's not an operating expense. So regarding your testimony also you refer to a difficulty or that you've been unable to recuperate in rates the growth in expense; right? MR. WILEY: Could you refer her to an actual specific	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else Q. Is there someone in the room who might be at to get that in front of you? MR. FASSBURG: Unfortunately, I do not have that with me. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. MM-17 is just the one page it just says total 	ble
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. Q. We certainly agree it's not an operating expense. So regarding your testimony also you refer to a difficulty or that you've been unable to recuperate in rates the growth in expense; right? MR. WILEY: Could you refer her to an actual specific MS. DeLAPPE: I'd be happy to, yes. 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else Q. Is there someone in the room who might be at to get that in front of you? MR. FASSBURG: Unfortunately, I do not have that with me. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. MM-17 is just the one page it just says total annual reported operating expenses. And so, for 	b le
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. Q. We certainly agree it's not an operating expense. So regarding your testimony also you refer to a difficulty or that you've been unable to recuperate in rates the growth in expenses; right? MR. WILEY: Could you refer her to an actual specific MS. DeLAPPE: I'd be happy to, yes. 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else Q. Is there someone in the room who might be at to get that in front of you? MR. FASSBURG: Unfortunately, I do not have that with me. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. MM-17 is just the one page it just says total annual reported operating expenses. And so, for example, I can read to you and I believe these number 	ole ers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. Q. We certainly agree it's not an operating expense. So regarding your testimony also you refer to a difficulty or that you've been unable to recuperate in rates the growth in expenses; right? MR. WILEY: Could you refer her to an actual specific MS. DeLAPPE: I'd be happy to, yes. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. And that is in your testimony LS-1T, page 4. 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else Q. Is there someone in the room who might be at to get that in front of you? MR. FASSBURG: Unfortunately, I do not have that with me. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. MM-17 is just the one page it just says total annual reported operating expenses. And so, for example, I can read to you and I believe these numbration 	ole ers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. Q. We certainly agree it's not an operating expense. So regarding your testimony also you refer to a difficulty or that you've been unable to recuperate in rates the growth in expenses; right? MR. WILEY: Could you refer her to an actual specific MS. DeLAPPE: I'd be happy to, yes. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. And that is in your testimony LS-1T, page 4. And there's a question on that page, page 4 at line 19. 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else Q. Is there someone in the room who might be at to get that in front of you? MR. FASSBURG: Unfortunately, I do not have that with me. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. MM-17 is just the one page it just says total annual reported operating expenses. And so, for example, I can read to you and I believe these numbing the expenses. In 2015, your total annual operating 	ole ers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. Q. We certainly agree it's not an operating expense. So regarding your testimony also you refer to a difficulty or that you've been unable to recuperate in rates the growth in expenses; right? MR. WILEY: Could you refer her to an actual specific MS. DeLAPPE: I'd be happy to, yes. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. And that is in your testimony LS-1T, page 4. And there's a question on that page, page 4 at line 19. The question is: Did PSP have any growth in expenses 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else Q. Is there someone in the room who might be at to get that in front of you? MR. FASSBURG: Unfortunately, I do not have that with me. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. MM-17 is just the one page it just says total annual reported operating expenses. And so, for example, I can read to you and I believe these number the expenses. In 2015, your total annual operating expenses were 12,055,872. 	ole ers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. Q. We certainly agree it's not an operating expense. So regarding your testimony also you refer to a difficulty or that you've been unable to recuperate in rates the growth in expenses; right? MR. WILEY: Could you refer her to an actual specific MS. DeLAPPE: I'd be happy to, yes. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. And that is in your testimony LS-1T, page 4. And there's a question on that page, page 4 at line 19. 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else Q. Is there someone in the room who might be at to get that in front of you? MR. FASSBURG: Unfortunately, I do not have that with me. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. MM-17 is just the one page it just says total annual reported operating expenses. And so, for example, I can read to you and I believe these numbration the expenses. In 2015, your total annual operating expenses were 12,055,872. A. Okay. 	ole ers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. Q. We certainly agree it's not an operating expense. So regarding your testimony also you refer to a difficulty or that you've been unable to recuperate in rates the growth in expenses; right? MR. WILEY: Could you refer her to an actual specific MS. DeLAPPE: I'd be happy to, yes. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. And that is in your testimony LS-1T, page 4. And there's a question on that page, page 4 at line 19. The question is: Did PSP have any growth in expenses during the time that time period that it was unable to recoup in rates? And you said "absolutely." 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else Q. Is there someone in the room who might be at to get that in front of you? MR. FASSBURG: Unfortunately, I do not have that with me. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. MM-17 is just the one page it just says total annual reported operating expenses. And so, for example, I can read to you and I believe these numbing the expenses. In 2015, your total annual operating expenses were 12,055,872. A. Okay. Q. And in 2018, they grew to 12,470,372. So 	ole ers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. Q. We certainly agree it's not an operating expense. So regarding your testimony also you refer to a difficulty or that you've been unable to recuperate in rates the growth in expenses; right? MR. WILEY: Could you refer her to an actual specific MS. DeLAPPE: I'd be happy to, yes. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. And that is in your testimony LS-1T, page 4. And there's a question on that page, page 4 at line 19. The question is: Did PSP have any growth in expenses during the time that time period that it was unable 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else Q. Is there someone in the room who might be at to get that in front of you? MR. FASSBURG: Unfortunately, I do not have that with me. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. MM-17 is just the one page it just says total annual reported operating expenses. And so, for example, I can read to you and I believe these numbing the expenses. In 2015, your total annual operating expenses were 12,055,872. A. Okay. Q. And in 2018, they grew to 12,470,372. So 	ole ers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. Q. We certainly agree it's not an operating expense. So regarding your testimony also you refer to a difficulty or that you've been unable to recuperate in rates the growth in expenses; right? MR. WILEY: Could you refer her to an actual specific MS. DeLAPPE: I'd be happy to, yes. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. And that is in your testimony LS-1T, page 4. And there's a question on that page, page 4 at line 19. The question is: Did PSP have any growth in expenses during the time that time period that it was unable to recoup in rates? And you said "absolutely." A. Yes. And so just to confirm the time period 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else Q. Is there someone in the room who might be at to get that in front of you? MR. FASSBURG: Unfortunately, I do not have that with me. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. MM-17 is just the one page it just says total annual reported operating expenses. And so, for example, I can read to you and I believe these numbing the expenses. In 2015, your total annual operating expenses were 12,055,872. A. Okay. Q. And in 2018, they grew to 12,470,372. So A. Okay. 	ole ers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. Q. We certainly agree it's not an operating expense. So regarding your testimony also you refer to a difficulty or that you've been unable to recuperate in rates the growth in expenses; right? MR. WILEY: Could you refer her to an actual specific MS. DeLAPPE: I'd be happy to, yes. BY MS. DeLAPPE: And that is in your testimony LS-1T, page 4. And there's a question on that page, page 4 at line 19. The question is: Did PSP have any growth in expenses during the time that time period that it was unable to recoup in rates? And you said "absolutely." A. Yes. And so just to confirm the time period that you're asking about, can you please confirm the 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else Q. Is there someone in the room who might be at to get that in front of you? MR. FASSBURG: Unfortunately, I do not have that with me. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. MM-17 is just the one page it just says total annual reported operating expenses. And so, for example, I can read to you and I believe these numbing the expenses. In 2015, your total annual operating expenses were 12,055,872. A. Okay. Q. And in 2018, they grew to 12,470,372. So A. Okay. Q that growth supports what you're saying; 	ole ers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. Q. We certainly agree it's not an operating expense. So regarding your testimony also you refer to a difficulty or that you've been unable to recuperate in rates the growth in expenses; right? MR. WILEY: Could you refer her to an actual specific MS. DeLAPPE: I'd be happy to, yes. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. And that is in your testimony LS-1T, page 4. And there's a question on that page, page 4 at line 19. The question is: Did PSP have any growth in expenses during the time that time period that it was unable to recoup in rates? And you said "absolutely." A. Yes. And so just to confirm the time period that you're asking about, can you please confirm the time period? 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else Q. Is there someone in the room who might be at to get that in front of you? MR. FASSBURG: Unfortunately, I do not have that with me. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. MM-17 is just the one page it just says total annual reported operating expenses. And so, for example, I can read to you and I believe these numbing the expenses. In 2015, your total annual operating expenses were 12,055,872. A. Okay. Q. And in 2018, they grew to 12,470,372. So A. Okay. Q that growth supports what you're saying; right? 	ole ers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. Q. We certainly agree it's not an operating expense. So regarding your testimony also you refer to a difficulty or that you've been unable to recuperate in rates the growth in expenses; right? MR. WILEY: Could you refer her to an actual specific MS. DeLAPPE: I'd be happy to, yes. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. And that is in your testimony LS-1T, page 4. And there's a question on that page, page 4 at line 19. The question is: Did PSP have any growth in expenses during the time that time period that it was unable to recoup in rates? And you said "absolutely." A. Yes. And so just to confirm the time period that you're asking about, can you please confirm the time period? Q. Yes. I believe in and if you look a little 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else Q. Is there someone in the room who might be at to get that in front of you? MR. FASSBURG: Unfortunately, I do not have that with me. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. MM-17 is just the one page it just says total annual reported operating expenses. And so, for example, I can read to you and I believe these numbration the expenses. In 2015, your total annual operating expenses were 12,055,872. A. Okay. Q. And in 2018, they grew to 12,470,372. So A. Okay. Q that growth supports what you're saying; right? A. Yeah. I mean, I was looking over the five-year 	ole ers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. It's an unrecorded liability. But it's not reported as an expense line item with our other operating expenses. Does that clarify for you? Q. I think it does. A. Great. Q. We certainly agree it's not an operating expense. So regarding your testimony also you refer to a difficulty or that you've been unable to recuperate in rates the growth in expenses; right? MR. WILEY: Could you refer her to an actual specific MS. DeLAPPE: I'd be happy to, yes. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. And that is in your testimony LS-1T, page 4. And there's a question on that page, page 4 at line 19. The question is: Did PSP have any growth in expenses during the time that time period that it was unable to recoup in rates? And you said "absolutely." A. Yes. And so just to confirm the time period that you're asking about, can you please confirm the time period? Q. Yes. I believe in and if you look a little higher on that page, it looks to me like you were 	 submitted pre-filed an exhibit of total annual reported operating expenses for PSP. It's Exhibit MM-17. I'm not sure if you have that available. A. I do not have that handy. I don't know if somebody else Q. Is there someone in the room who might be at to get that in front of you? MR. FASSBURG: Unfortunately, I do not have that with me. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. MM-17 is just the one page it just says total annual reported operating expenses. And so, for example, I can read to you and I believe these number might be familiar to you since you've been looking a the expenses. In 2015, your total annual operating expenses were 12,055,872. A. Okay. Q that growth supports what you're saying; right? A. Yeah. I mean, I was looking over the five-year span that you asked about, but if you pick individual 	ole ers

48 (Pages 243 to 246)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 247		Page 249
1	Q. Yes. Yes. It shows it shows a gradual trend	1	that's what we were referring to before
2	on that table. So there's also looking at the	2	Q. And 2019
3	revenues per calendar year, PMSA also submitted a table	3	A so we would see 788,000 net off the revenue
4	that shows that it's Exhibit MM-4, and so it shows	4	with less the expenses.
5	numbers that you'll also be familiar with.	5	Q. So if we're just comparing to 2015, since that
6	A. Yeah. Well, it would be nice to be actually	6	was the beginning of the time when the tariff froze, and
7	looking at what you are looking at too, but I'll listen	7	that was related to your earlier testimony, wouldn't you
8	to what you're saying. So what are you the	8	agree from the numbers that I've talked about was
9	information you are trying to convey and the question to	9	comparing 2018 and 2015, that your increase in operating
10	me is?	10	expenses is less than half your increase in revenue?
11	Q. Yeah. I would just say, all of these exhibits	11	A. I didn't hear you report that operating expenses
12	are available on the UTC's website for the public, so it	12	for those same two years. I only heard the revenue.
13	is I hope that, Mr. Wiley and Mr. Fassburg, if you	13	Q. That was earlier with MM Exhibit MM-17.
14	could have your witnesses have access to the exhibits	14	A. Okay.
15	A. I can actually, sorry to interrupt. But all	15	Q. And we talked yeah.
16	morning I was trying to access through the UTC website	16	A. Well, I mean if the numbers show that, I'm
17	and it was down. And I even chatted with the person,	17	just going to take your word for it, because I didn't
18	and they said just keep trying. So actually, I wasn't	18	jot those two numbers down. But if the math shows one
19	able to access it directly from my computer. So I so	19	went up, one went down, I would concur that's what
20	you might be aware of that for other potential witnesses	20	the math showed.
21	might be struggling with that.	21	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Judge Pearson. Judge
22 23	MR. WILEY: Ms. DeLappe, I have the exhibit	22 23	Pearson, this is Commissioner Rendahl. Maybe to make
23	in front of me. So if you want to question, there it is.	24	this easier, Ms. DeLappe, you can ask some questions subject to check, which she can then go back and find
25	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	25	those exhibits and verify, so we can get through this
23			
	Page 248		Page 250
1	Q. Thank you. It's unfortunate that we are doing	1	more quickly.
2	this in a remote platform. I can't hand you the piece	2	JUDGE PEARSON: Another option I have is I
3	of paper, Ms. Styrk. But I will say revenues in 2015	3	can share my screen. I have the exhibit pulled up.
4	were 32,881,000 rounded. Okay.	4	THE WITNESS: I mean, I think at this point
5	A. Okay.	5	just to be expeditious, I mean, I'm sure if those
б	Q. And in 2018, revenues were 33,997,000 rounded.	6	are the numbers she's reading off the screen, those are
7	So	7	the numbers. I just didn't happen to jot down the
8	A. Okay.	8	expense numbers, so I mean
9	Q we're looking at 32.8 versus 33 point you	9	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And, Ms. DeLappe, we
10	know, 34 rounded.	10	understand what you're getting at, so you can move on.
11	A. And did you have the 2016 and 2017 numbers as	11	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I would be glad
12	well?	12	to.
13	Q. All of that is on the table but I	13	BY MS. DeLAPPE:
13 14	Q. All of that is on the table but I A. Yeah.	13 14	BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. All right. I'd like to turn to the
13 14 15	 Q. All of that is on the table but I A. Yeah. Q. On the same table. 	13 14 15	BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. All right. I'd like to turn to the transportation study that we have in the information
13 14 15 16	 Q. All of that is on the table but I A. Yeah. Q. On the same table. A. Yeah, because I know it kind of goes up and down 	13 14 15 16	BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. All right. I'd like to turn to the transportation study that we have in the information here. Do you agree with Captain Moreno that the
13 14 15 16 17	 Q. All of that is on the table but I A. Yeah. Q. On the same table. A. Yeah, because I know it kind of goes up and down in that range. 32 to 33. So I just yeah, okay. 	13 14 15 16 17	BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. All right. I'd like to turn to the transportation study that we have in the information here. Do you agree with Captain Moreno that the transportation charge has existed in the pilotage tariff
13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. All of that is on the table but I A. Yeah. Q. On the same table. A. Yeah, because I know it kind of goes up and down in that range. 32 to 33. So I just yeah, okay. Q. Yes. And there's 34 is in there for 2016. So 	13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. All right. I'd like to turn to the transportation study that we have in the information here. Do you agree with Captain Moreno that the transportation charge has existed in the pilotage tariff since at least 1964 and has been traditionally based on
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. All of that is on the table but I A. Yeah. Q. On the same table. A. Yeah, because I know it kind of goes up and down in that range. 32 to 33. So I just yeah, okay. Q. Yes. And there's 34 is in there for 2016. So the revenues moved around. But wouldn't you agree that 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. All right. I'd like to turn to the transportation study that we have in the information here. Do you agree with Captain Moreno that the transportation charge has existed in the pilotage tariff since at least 1964 and has been traditionally based on taxi fairs?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. All of that is on the table but I A. Yeah. Q. On the same table. A. Yeah, because I know it kind of goes up and down in that range. 32 to 33. So I just yeah, okay. Q. Yes. And there's 34 is in there for 2016. So the revenues moved around. But wouldn't you agree that there has if you compare 2015 and 2018, there's been 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. All right. I'd like to turn to the transportation study that we have in the information here. Do you agree with Captain Moreno that the transportation charge has existed in the pilotage tariff since at least 1964 and has been traditionally based on taxi fairs? A. Yeah, that is my understanding. It's been in
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. All of that is on the table but I A. Yeah. Q. On the same table. A. Yeah, because I know it kind of goes up and down in that range. 32 to 33. So I just yeah, okay. Q. Yes. And there's 34 is in there for 2016. So the revenues moved around. But wouldn't you agree that there has if you compare 2015 and 2018, there's been an increase in revenue from those numbers I just gave 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. All right. I'd like to turn to the transportation study that we have in the information here. Do you agree with Captain Moreno that the transportation charge has existed in the pilotage tariff since at least 1964 and has been traditionally based on taxi fairs? A. Yeah, that is my understanding. It's been in the tariff for many decades, and it's based on taxi
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. All of that is on the table but I A. Yeah. Q. On the same table. A. Yeah, because I know it kind of goes up and down in that range. 32 to 33. So I just yeah, okay. Q. Yes. And there's 34 is in there for 2016. So the revenues moved around. But wouldn't you agree that there has if you compare 2015 and 2018, there's been an increase in revenue from those numbers I just gave you of more than \$1 million; right? 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. All right. I'd like to turn to the transportation study that we have in the information here. Do you agree with Captain Moreno that the transportation charge has existed in the pilotage tariff since at least 1964 and has been traditionally based on taxi fairs? A. Yeah, that is my understanding. It's been in the tariff for many decades, and it's based on taxi fairs and also I think ferry fairs as well.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. All of that is on the table but I A. Yeah. Q. On the same table. A. Yeah, because I know it kind of goes up and down in that range. 32 to 33. So I just yeah, okay. Q. Yes. And there's 34 is in there for 2016. So the revenues moved around. But wouldn't you agree that there has if you compare 2015 and 2018, there's been an increase in revenue from those numbers I just gave you of more than \$1 million; right? A. From the numbers you gave me, that would be 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. All right. I'd like to turn to the transportation study that we have in the information here. Do you agree with Captain Moreno that the transportation charge has existed in the pilotage tariff since at least 1964 and has been traditionally based on taxi fairs? A. Yeah, that is my understanding. It's been in the tariff for many decades, and it's based on taxi fairs and also I think ferry fairs as well. Q. And that hasn't been a controversial part of the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. All of that is on the table but I A. Yeah. Q. On the same table. A. Yeah, because I know it kind of goes up and down in that range. 32 to 33. So I just yeah, okay. Q. Yes. And there's 34 is in there for 2016. So the revenues moved around. But wouldn't you agree that there has if you compare 2015 and 2018, there's been an increase in revenue from those numbers I just gave you of more than \$1 million; right? A. From the numbers you gave me, that would be slightly more than 1 million. My earlier comments were 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. All right. I'd like to turn to the transportation study that we have in the information here. Do you agree with Captain Moreno that the transportation charge has existed in the pilotage tariff since at least 1964 and has been traditionally based on taxi fairs? A. Yeah, that is my understanding. It's been in the tariff for many decades, and it's based on taxi fairs and also I think ferry fairs as well. Q. And that hasn't been a controversial part of the tariff, has it?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. All of that is on the table but I A. Yeah. Q. On the same table. A. Yeah, because I know it kind of goes up and down in that range. 32 to 33. So I just yeah, okay. Q. Yes. And there's 34 is in there for 2016. So the revenues moved around. But wouldn't you agree that there has if you compare 2015 and 2018, there's been an increase in revenue from those numbers I just gave you of more than \$1 million; right? A. From the numbers you gave me, that would be 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. All right. I'd like to turn to the transportation study that we have in the information here. Do you agree with Captain Moreno that the transportation charge has existed in the pilotage tariff since at least 1964 and has been traditionally based on taxi fairs? A. Yeah, that is my understanding. It's been in the tariff for many decades, and it's based on taxi fairs and also I think ferry fairs as well. Q. And that hasn't been a controversial part of the

49 (Pages 247 to 250)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 251		Page 253
1	Q. Yeah. Is there I'm going to turn now to	1	trial period.
2	exhibit let's this is taking much longer than I	2	Q. And would you agree, however, that every member
3	expected. So if we could turn to page exhibit do	3	of the Green Car Club gets paid twice out of the PSP
4	you have Exhibit WTB-20X? These are invoices. Invoices	4	proposed tariff charge for transportation, once as a
5	that were part of the transportation study.	5	member of PSP when it receives the payment for the
6	A. You know, I I probably have them somewhere.	6	vessel from a vessel and again as a member of the
7	And I'm familiar with invoices, in general, related to	7	Green Car Club when it receives a reimbursement from
8	the transportation study. So I will do my best to	8	PSP?
9	answer your information in general. This I have my	9	A. No, I do not agree with that.
10	cross-exhibits on me that were for my cross here, but I	10	Q. You do not agree with that because you don't
11	didn't, you know, have everything here.	11	know who owns Green Car Club?
12	Q. We realized after filing them, these are better	12	A. No, because I don't believe there's a double
13	directed to you. I'm just going to ask. I have a lot	13	payment occurring.
14	of questions about the invoices. But regarding the	14	Q. And and would you agree that with the
15	Green Car Club, there are invoices for those who have	15	transportation charge, that there is going to be a
16	Exhibits WTB-20X starting at page 73.	16	charge made for pilots commuting from their homes?
17	And I was wondering, the Green Car Club, the	17	A. I think what is different in Puget Sound Pilots
18	invoice address is 7165 Beach Drive Southwest, Seattle,	18	organization, and many pilot organizations, is they go
19	Washington 98136. Is that the home address of Captain	19	from job to job from location to location. It's not a
20	Newman who is a member of PSP?	20	traditional, single base that individuals are operating
21	A. I don't have the ability to affirm that. I	21	from.
22	can't say.	22	So there are many occasions where that
23	Q. Have you ever looked at the Secretary of State	23	transportation is occurring to or from their home base,
24	website for the Green Car Club, LLC?	24	which is frequently either business entity based.
25	A. I have not looked at the Secretary of State	25	And, in addition, there's many occasions that
			•
	Page 252		Page 254
1	website for that entity.	1	transportation is happening between one point to another
2	Q. So you are not aware of the fact that the sole	2	point within the Puget Sound area that they service that
3	governing person for that entity is Alec Newman?	3	is not their home.
4	A. I am aware of an entity called the Green Car	4	Q. Ms. Styrk, would you agree that under the
5	Club. And I am aware that pilots are involved in that.	5	current tariff at WAC 363.116.300 there is not an
6	And it is not an entity under Puget Sound Pilots, the	6	allowance for transportation charge for commuting from a
7	association that I'm, you know, representing here today.	7	home, a pilot's home?
8	Q. So did you know that PSP members are driving	8	A. I would say that the tariff says it's commuting
9	Green Car Club cars themselves during their statutory	9	to the vessel. It doesn't say the origin point. If you
10	rest hours before or after their assignments?	10	want to point that out to me where it speaks to the
11	A. I don't have knowledge of what the pilots are	11	origin, that would be helpful.
12	doing before and after their their assignments	12	Q. So you don't believe that the current tariff is
13	related to their transportation modes.	13	for a charge based on between a business location and
14	Q. And so PSP does not report or in any way	14	the vessel?
15	disclose to state regulators that it is making	15	A. Well, you might recall that I mentioned that the
16	additional payments to PSP members out of tariff	16	pilots business entity is often located at your home
17	revenues that are not for pilotage services?	17	address, so that would be from a business entity in many
18	A. It's we are not I have no awareness that	18	occasions if that's the context you meant.
	Puget Sound Pilots is making direct payments to direct	19	Q. And that's not how the current tariff works,
19		20	however, is it? It's from
19 20	pilots for transportation services.		
20 21	What we were making during the transportation	21	A. The tariff says transportation to these points
20 21 22	What we were making during the transportation trial is entities who were providing transportation	21 22	in Puget Sound. It's it's to the points. It doesn't
20 21 22 23	What we were making during the transportation trial is entities who were providing transportation services for pilots who were submitting those expenses	21 22 23	in Puget Sound. It's it's to the points. It doesn't say originating from it doesn't specify where it's
20 21 22 23 24	What we were making during the transportation trial is entities who were providing transportation services for pilots who were submitting those expenses for providing transportation services for pilots, and we	21 22 23 24	in Puget Sound. It's it's to the points. It doesn't say originating from it doesn't specify where it's originating from. It specifies where it's going to.
20 21 22 23	What we were making during the transportation trial is entities who were providing transportation services for pilots who were submitting those expenses	21 22 23	in Puget Sound. It's it's to the points. It doesn't say originating from it doesn't specify where it's

50 (Pages 251 to 254)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 255		Page 257
1	calculated and that they were based for many of those	1	of examples.
2	points as being from the Seattle PSP office; correct?	2	Q. And are other examples, say the transition from
3	A. Yes. Yeah, you have to pick a point that you're	3	the BPC to the UTC in terms of rate case expenses?
4	going to base your allowances off of and that point was	4	A. Yes. Yes, I would say the rate case expense
5	based on Seattle as the hub.	5	during that span of time, probably incrementally would
6	MS. DeLAPPE: All right. I do have many	6	be in the neighborhood of, you know, 600,000. And if
7	other questions about the tariff invoices, but I am out	7	you're counting this year to upwards of that, and then
8	of time. No further questions. Thank you.	8	naturally, like most businesses, the pension expense has
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	9	continued to grow over the years, and those specific
10	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Wiley, did you have any	10	years especially in excess of \$1 million during that
11	redirect?	11	span of time.
12	MR. WILEY: Yes. A few, Your Honor.	12	Q. In terms of the shift, or the proposed shift, I
13	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	13	should say, to a different basis for transportation
14	BY MR. WILEY:	14	ground transportation, could you just describe for the
15	Q. Ms. Styrk, you were asked about MM-17, which I	15	record why you you instituted that pilot project and
16	understand you don't have in front of you. But you were	16	what the goal was versus the current tariff?
17	asked about the increase in expenses as compared to the	17	A. Yes. I think, you know, the primary goal was we
18	increase in revenues.	18	were under an allowance system. We understood we were
19	Do you understand that in 2015, the expenses	19	moving to the UTC. We understood that the UTC
20	reported on MM-17 were 12,055,000 and in 2018 they were	20	prefers you know, a validation, an expense-based cost
21	12,471,000 or about a 400-plus-thousand increase over	21	of service approach, receipts that document, you know,
22	the time period of the freeze that Ms. DeLappe was	22	the actual expenses incurred. And through that insight,
23	asking you about?	23	we thought it was important for us to implement a
24	A. I mean I'm assuming, yes, that would be a	24	transportation trial where we would shift from an
25	true statement. I apologize for not having that in	25	allowance system to, you know, actually getting invoiced
	D 050		5
1	Page 256	1	Page 258
1	front of me, but I trust what you're conveying.		for those services and having the association pay those
2	Q. That's okay.	2	direct for all the transportation in and around the
3 4	As Commissioner Rendahl said, you can accept that subject to check.	3	sound including repositioning pilots to and from the
5	A. I accept it subject to check.	5	pilot stations. So but we did that. We did that for three
6	Q. And you were describing I believe you were	6	months, and when you look at it in aggregate for the
7	almost interrupted, but you were describing the type of	7	total transportation costs and how they have been
8	attrition and expenses that the pilots have experienced	8	trending over the years for Puget Sound Pilots, relative
9	in the five-year rate freeze period. You referenced	9	to revenues, it's actually fairly stable. We didn't
10	that the Edith Cook issue. I'm not sure that that's	10	see, you know, a radical change in the magnitude of the
11	completely clear in the record.	11	of expense when you look at it at that higher level.
12	You talked about the pilot boat expenses, but	12	But what we also it gave us the opportunity to look
13	you also had a move a relocation, et cetera, did you	13	at transportation costs wholistically. And for the
14	not, in that time period?	14	first time we had an understanding about what the
15	A. Yeah, in 2019, we had we had a relocation,	15	transportation cost was per assignment, which is
16	but some of those expenses also were carried into this	16	roughly, you know just around \$200. I think it's
17	year. But, you know, I just wanted to do some of the	17	198-and-change is the number. But I think it was very
18	major highlights.	18	helpful, and we had a lot of diversity and
19	And, for example, those major maintenances when	19	transportation modes viewed and had the opportunity to
20	you have old assets, marine assets like pilot boats and	20	ride share and other things. And I just think it was
21	pilot barges, they are they are substantial. Just	21	hugely beneficial for the organization. And I hope
22	the barge was 155,000, and that's a 10-year maintenance	22	you'll find that it's beneficial for the UTC as well.
23	cycle. And the Juan de Fuca excuse me the Puget	23	Q. Just two final questions. You alluded to the
24	Sound was nearly 400,000 in 2016; that just we could not	24	the the norm that the charges wound up being.
25	address through tariff revenue. Those are just a couple	25	There's an Exhibit JN-7 in Ms. Norris's

51 (Pages 255 to 258)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 259		Page 261
1	testimony that shows what the percentage of revenue, the	1	every one. There was I think a few credit card ones
2	ground transportation charges were.	2	that we couldn't find the exact every receipt for.
3	Is that an example of how you would say that it	3	Just some miniscule amount that we didn't have receipts.
4	was within the range of historic experience?	4	But yes, I would say yes. You have all the receipts in
5	A. Yes. I think that that exhibit and I had	5	the record.
6	looked at it earlier, is yeah, is a good way to look	6	Q. So can you describe for the Commissioners what
7	at the transportation cost trend.	7	are the various methods of travel that the pilots use
8	Q. And would you say that the transportation	8	for getting to their assignment locations and for
9	you've been criticized about the the duration of the	9	repositioning?
10	study on you decided to implement it towards the end	10	A. So my understanding, the various methods that
11	of the test period.	11	they use are they can use a personal vehicle, and
12	Could you tell us whether you think the months	12	then, you know, taxi, or use their personal vehicle and
13	that you selected with the remaining time available in	13	transport on the ferry. They can take a ride share
14	the test period were representative of seasonality?	14	service. They can take other public transportation. I
15	MS. DELAPPE: Can I object? I think that's	15	think there's just a mix of anyone who's a reliable
16	beyond the scope of recross of yeah. Of redirect.	16	transportation service provider in the Puget Sound area
17	Excuse me. That's beyond the scope of the cross.	17	and will work the erratic schedule that pilots have,
18	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Wiley, can you please	18	they're using a multitude of those. The new one that we
19	direct back to any of the questions that were asked by	19	use in the study was a ride share. I don't know that
20	Ms. DeLappe?	20	pilots were using that as much until we got involved in
21	MR. WILEY: It was a question raised by	21	the study. I don't know. I don't track pilots'
22	I'll hold off until I forgot Staff hasn't crossed	22	individual transportation needs.
23 24	yet, so except Staff doesn't have cross; correct? So that was raised by Mr. Fukano of	24	Q. So you weren't aware of the different types until you started putting the study together?
25	Ms. Norris who wasn't involved in the study. So I	25	A. Correct. So before and after the study, I I
25			A. Correct. So before and after the study, 1 1
	Page 260		Page 262
1	thought it was a pertinent question to ask Ms. Styrk.	1	do not have any knowledge of the individual uses of
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'm going to sustain	2	transportation by individual pilots.
3	the objection because it is beyond the scope of	3	Q. So just to clarify, so in the proposed tariff
4	Ms. Styrk's cross-examination.	4	compared to existing, so you're saying that under the
5	So at at this time, did the Commissioners	5	current tariff is there is some level of expense
б	have any questions for Ms. Styrk?	6	included for transportation charges. Is that is that
7	Commissioner Rendahl, are you raising your	7	what your testimony is?
8	hand? I can't see the full screen.	8	A. So my testimony is, in the tariff, there's a
9	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Sorry. Yes, I am.	9	transportation schedule of allowances for transportation
10	EXAMINATION	10	to a vessel. There's additional transportation that
11	BY COMMISSIONER RENDAHL:	11	pilots are involved in transporting to and from the
12	Q. Afternoon, Ms. Styrk.	12	pilot station for repositioning because the ships don't
13	A. Good afternoon.	13	come in and depart in balance, so we have to get pilots
14	Q. So were you the one responsible for preparing	14	on in balance with where the ship demand is. And
15 16	the this analysis that's been used it's been	15 16	that
17	referred to as a study, as a pilot project. Were you the one responsible for putting this together?	17	Q. Okay.
18	A. I assembled the transportation trial results,	18	 A that ends up in another account called "transportation" in Port Angeles. "Port Angeles
19	the summary that calculated what that cost per	19	transportation." So you will see that expense reported
20	assignment was when you looked at all the transactions	20	there.
21	that were handled during that trial.	21	Q. So how much of this cost currently is being
22	Q. And were all of the invoices and receipts that	22	reimbursed by PSP directly to the pilots for their
23	you used for that in those cross-exhibits that were	23	transportation cost?
24	submitted to Mr. Burton?	24	A. So that's all reported in our financial
25	A. All that we were able to compile were submitted,	25	statements for the the tariff transportation expense.
	• • •		· · ·

52 (Pages 259 to 262)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 263		Page 265
1	It shows what is paid out to pilots for those charges in	1	for it yourself or if someone else was paying for it.
2	total. And then for the repositioning expenses, those	2	Use the option that made the most sense for that
3	are reported in our financials as well under that PA,	3	movement.
4	Port Angeles transportation expense.	4	Q. So there could be some individuals driving?
5	Q. Okay. So so under the new tariff, though,	5	A. Yeah. I think because one of the things you all
6	the assumption is that all transportation charges would	6	should be aware of, there's not always a transportation
7	be included in this amount of 198-and-change?	7	service provider who can accommodate the irregular
8	A. Correct. And so there wouldn't be, you know, a	8	schedule or the irregular combination of points that a
9	separate transportation expense reimbursement, how the	9	pilot needs to transport to and from. So sometimes your
10	tariff is defined today, and there wouldn't be a	10	own vehicle is your only option. And, in fact, we found
11	separate Port Angeles transportation expense like there	11	it very challenging in our trial the first month of our
12	is in our operating expenses today. Instead, there	12	trial with the snowstorm, and so a lot of these new
13	would be a single charge that we would be applied	13	methods we were trying there was a real reliability
14	equally to every invoice, the same flat fee on every	14	concern on the part of pilots, are they going to get to
15	invoice for a vessel assignment. And then that's where	15	their job because this other provider, they didn't feel
16	the we just come in as pilotage revenue, and then	16	as confident about the reliability yet. They were just
17	whatever transportation service was performed would get,	17	getting to know them. So that's yeah, that's all
18	you know, reimbursed at actual expense or mileage	18	part of it. There's a lot of factors that weigh into
19	reimbursement.	19	the pilot's transportation choice.
20	Q. So because, as you just said, this pilot	20	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: All right. Thank
21	this this under the proposed tariff, the	21	you. I have no further questions.
22	transportation charges would be paid by the vessel when	22	JUDGE PEARSON: Commissioner Balasbas.
23	the assignment is is completed. And so that amount	23	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Thank you.
24	is there's no reimbursement directly to a pilot for	24	EXAMINATION
25	that transportation; correct?	25	BY COMMISSIONER BALASBAS:
	Page 264		Page 266
1	A. Correct.	1	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Styrk.
2	Q. Okay. So at this rate, are there any incentives	2	A. Good afternoon.
3	there for pilots to use a personal car that might	3	Q. So just to follow up a little bit on
4	increase their individual fatigue, rather than choosing	4	Commissioner Rendahl's question.
5	a mode that might be more expensive that would then	5	Aside from the ground transportation options you
6	result in essentially increasing and not decreasing	6	mentioned, do pilots take other modes of transportation
7	their their total distributed net income or their	7	such as, you know, air and you mentioned ferries as
8	revenue?	8	well?
9	A. You know, I I can't speak to how individual	9	A. Yeah, I think ferries is part of road
10	pilots might react to that shift. But I I believe	10	transportation for certain combinations of point to
11	during the trial, their reaction to the shift was very	11	point, because it's the most efficient time-wise for
12	positive, especially for their families, because they	12	certain people. And and then I would say, you know,
13	felt like they were more rested using those other	13	occasionally there and this happened in the trial
14	transportation service providers, rather than driving	14	too, is there's air transportation is used when that
15	themselves around or the other options that they were	15	seems to be the most practical and efficient option for
16	using. And a lot of logistics coordination in the past	16	an individual pilot.
17	outside the trial I think was relieved during the trial,	17	Q. So under so under the current system of the
18	so I in my impression is it would have a positive	18	transportation allowance you mentioned, that's and
19	impact not a negative impact in that regard.	19	that is my understanding is that's the current
20	Q. So was the assumption for the trial that pilots	20	practice for PSP is to have pilots submit a
21	not drive themselves but they use a transportation	21	reimbursement request; is that correct? And then they
22	option? Was that what the trial was about?	22	receive that allowance for transportation expenses?
23 24	A. The trial was about using the option that made the most sense for your situation. Don't try to use	23 24	A. Well, I might clarify that today that that charge that's shown in the tariff, it's all automated.
24 25	some option you wouldn't normally use if you were paying	24	That it reads the systems, the dispatch system. It
6.5	some option you wouldn't normally use it you were paying		המנות ובמעט נווס טיטופוווס, נווס טוסאמנטו סיטופווו. ונ

53 (Pages 263 to 266)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 267		Page 269
1			
1	knows what pilot got dispatched for a job and the point	1	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank
2	to which they were dispatched to and then the system	2	you. No further questions.
3	processes on a monthly basis how many transportation	3	JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Chair Danner,
4	charges, tariff transportation charges are linked to	4	did you have anything?
5	that individual pilot. So it just gets you know, no	5	CHAIR DANNER: No, my colleagues' questions
6 7	one's hand-doing reimbursement requests or anything like	7	covered it. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you,
8	that. It's all systematic. And then the same with the repositioning. It	8	Ms. Styrk.
9	reads our system. It knows when pilots are	9	THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you.
10	repositioning, and then it it knows, you know, to	10	JUDGE PEARSON: You are excused. And at
11	process that against that individual pilot who performed	11	this point we are going to take Captain Nielsen.
12	the assignment that's linked to that reposition. So	12	Good afternoon, Captain Nielsen.
13	those things are all systematic.	13	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
14	So that was another thing that was quite unusual	14	JUDGE PEARSON: If you could raise your
15	about the trial. Pilots for the first time, you know,	15	right hand, I will swear you in.
16	unless it was a rare occasion, are submitting	16	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
17	reimbursement requests with receipts and all this other	17	that you give today will be the truth, the whole truth,
18	stuff.	18	and nothing but the truth?
19	Q. So as Executive Director, part of your job is to	19	THE WITNESS: I do.
20	sign off on expenses reimbursement; correct?	20	JUDGE PEARSON: All right. And is this
21	A. If there was an individual expense	21	going to be Mr. Wiley or Mr. Fassburg's witness?
22	reimbursement, myself or the president, we would, you	22	MR. WILEY: I'm it's clear. I'm off.
23	know, review that individual request. But not the	23	JUDGE PEARSON: All right.
24	systematic ones. I'm looking at it, you know, like any	24	MR. FASSBURG: Okay.
25	financial control or you're looking at the monthly	25	
	Page 268		Dama 070
		1	Page 270
1	-	1	Page 270
1 2	reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and	1 2	
	-		JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath,
2	reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something	2	JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been
2 3	reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual.	2 3	JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath,
2 3 4	reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went	2 3 4	JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified
2 3 4 5	reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went to my next question is, is what kind of scrutiny is	2 3 4 5	JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified
2 3 4 5 6	reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went to my next question is, is what kind of scrutiny is applied to some of those expense either for	2 3 4 5 6	JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7	reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went to my next question is, is what kind of scrutiny is applied to some of those expense either for reimbursement requests or those systematic expenses that	2 3 4 5 6 7	JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went to my next question is, is what kind of scrutiny is applied to some of those expense either for reimbursement requests or those systematic expenses that you've mentioned?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went to my next question is, is what kind of scrutiny is applied to some of those expense either for reimbursement requests or those systematic expenses that you've mentioned? A. The systematic expenses, which is the preponderance of the transportation expenses, I feel confident in our system. You know, I've been as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain Nielsen, will you please state your full legal name? A. Jeremy James Nielsen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went to my next question is, is what kind of scrutiny is applied to some of those expense either for reimbursement requests or those systematic expenses that you've mentioned? A. The systematic expenses, which is the preponderance of the transportation expenses, I feel confident in our system. You know, I've been as earlier testimony from Captain von Brandenfels mentioned 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain Nielsen, will you please state your full legal name? A. Jeremy James Nielsen. Q. And your legal I'm sorry. Your business
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went to my next question is, is what kind of scrutiny is applied to some of those expense either for reimbursement requests or those systematic expenses that you've mentioned? A. The systematic expenses, which is the preponderance of the transportation expenses, I feel confident in our system. You know, I've been as earlier testimony from Captain von Brandenfels mentioned that when I started, they were right in the midst of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain Nielsen, will you please state your full legal name? A. Jeremy James Nielsen. Q. And your legal I'm sorry. Your business address, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went to my next question is, is what kind of scrutiny is applied to some of those expense either for reimbursement requests or those systematic expenses that you've mentioned? A. The systematic expenses, which is the preponderance of the transportation expenses, I feel confident in our system. You know, I've been as earlier testimony from Captain von Brandenfels mentioned that when I started, they were right in the midst of introducing these new systems. So I became quite	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain Nielsen, will you please state your full legal name? A. Jeremy James Nielsen. Q. And your legal I'm sorry. Your business address, please. A. 13225 North Lombard, Portland, Oregon 97203.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went to my next question is, is what kind of scrutiny is applied to some of those expense either for reimbursement requests or those systematic expenses that you've mentioned? A. The systematic expenses, which is the preponderance of the transportation expenses, I feel confident in our system. You know, I've been as earlier testimony from Captain von Brandenfels mentioned that when I started, they were right in the midst of introducing these new systems. So I became quite familiar with what was working well and what wasn't. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain Nielsen, will you please state your full legal name? A. Jeremy James Nielsen. Q. And your legal I'm sorry. Your business address, please. A. 13225 North Lombard, Portland, Oregon 97203. Q. Are you adopting your pre-filed testimony under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went to my next question is, is what kind of scrutiny is applied to some of those expense either for reimbursement requests or those systematic expenses that you've mentioned? A. The systematic expenses, which is the preponderance of the transportation expenses, I feel confident in our system. You know, I've been as earlier testimony from Captain von Brandenfels mentioned that when I started, they were right in the midst of introducing these new systems. So I became quite familiar with what was working well and what wasn't. And I have a high level of confidence now that, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain Nielsen, will you please state your full legal name? A. Jeremy James Nielsen. Q. And your legal I'm sorry. Your business address, please. A. 13225 North Lombard, Portland, Oregon 97203. Q. Are you adopting your pre-filed testimony under oath here today?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went to my next question is, is what kind of scrutiny is applied to some of those expense either for reimbursement requests or those systematic expenses that you've mentioned? A. The systematic expenses, which is the preponderance of the transportation expenses, I feel confident in our system. You know, I've been as earlier testimony from Captain von Brandenfels mentioned that when I started, they were right in the midst of introducing these new systems. So I became quite familiar with what was working well and what wasn't. And I have a high level of confidence now that, you know, what it's calculating is calculating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain Nielsen, will you please state your full legal name? A. Jeremy James Nielsen. Q. And your legal I'm sorry. Your business address, please. A. 13225 North Lombard, Portland, Oregon 97203. Q. Are you adopting your pre-filed testimony under oath here today? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went to my next question is, is what kind of scrutiny is applied to some of those expense either for reimbursement requests or those systematic expenses that you've mentioned? A. The systematic expenses, which is the preponderance of the transportation expenses, I feel confident in our system. You know, I've been as earlier testimony from Captain von Brandenfels mentioned that when I started, they were right in the midst of introducing these new systems. So I became quite familiar with what was working well and what wasn't. And I have a high level of confidence now that, you know, what it's calculating correctly. And when we do find discrepancies, they get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain Nielsen, will you please state your full legal name? A. Jeremy James Nielsen. Q. And your legal I'm sorry. Your business address, please. A. 13225 North Lombard, Portland, Oregon 97203. Q. Are you adopting your pre-filed testimony under oath here today? A. Yes. MR. FASSBURG: I will present Captain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went to my next question is, is what kind of scrutiny is applied to some of those expense either for reimbursement requests or those systematic expenses that you've mentioned? A. The systematic expenses, which is the preponderance of the transportation expenses, I feel confident in our system. You know, I've been as earlier testimony from Captain von Brandenfels mentioned that when I started, they were right in the midst of introducing these new systems. So I became quite familiar with what was working well and what wasn't. And I have a high level of confidence now that, you know, what it's calculating is calculating correctly. And when we do find discrepancies, they get addressed immediately. So I feel confident in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain Nielsen, will you please state your full legal name? A. Jeremy James Nielsen. Q. And your legal I'm sorry. Your business address, please. A. 13225 North Lombard, Portland, Oregon 97203. Q. Are you adopting your pre-filed testimony under oath here today? A. Yes. MR. FASSBURG: I will present Captain Nielsen for cross-examination.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went to my next question is, is what kind of scrutiny is applied to some of those expense either for reimbursement requests or those systematic expenses that you've mentioned? A. The systematic expenses, which is the preponderance of the transportation expenses, I feel confident in our system. You know, I've been as earlier testimony from Captain von Brandenfels mentioned that when I started, they were right in the midst of introducing these new systems. So I became quite familiar with what was working well and what wasn't. And I have a high level of confidence now that, you know, what it's calculating is calculating correctly. And when we do find discrepancies, they get addressed immediately. So I feel confident in the payouts. It's a rare occasion where there would be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain Nielsen, will you please state your full legal name? A. Jeremy James Nielsen. Q. And your legal I'm sorry. Your business address, please. A. 13225 North Lombard, Portland, Oregon 97203. Q. Are you adopting your pre-filed testimony under oath here today? A. Yes. MR. FASSBURG: I will present Captain Nielsen for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went to my next question is, is what kind of scrutiny is applied to some of those expense either for reimbursement requests or those systematic expenses that you've mentioned? A. The systematic expenses, which is the preponderance of the transportation expenses, I feel confident in our system. You know, I've been as earlier testimony from Captain von Brandenfels mentioned that when I started, they were right in the midst of introducing these new systems. So I became quite familiar with what was working well and what wasn't. And I have a high level of confidence now that, you know, what it's calculating is calculating correctly. And when we do find discrepancies, they get addressed immediately. So I feel confident in the payouts. It's a rare occasion where there would be a submission that was not calculated by the system, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain Nielsen, will you please state your full legal name? A. Jeremy James Nielsen. Q. And your legal I'm sorry. Your business address, please. A. 13225 North Lombard, Portland, Oregon 97203. Q. Are you adopting your pre-filed testimony under oath here today? A. Yes. MR. FASSBURG: I will present Captain Nielsen for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went to my next question is, is what kind of scrutiny is applied to some of those expense either for reimbursement requests or those systematic expenses that you've mentioned? A. The systematic expenses, which is the preponderance of the transportation expenses, I feel confident in our system. You know, I've been as earlier testimony from Captain von Brandenfels mentioned that when I started, they were right in the midst of introducing these new systems. So I became quite familiar with what was working well and what wasn't. And I have a high level of confidence now that, you know, what it's calculating is calculating correctly. And when we do find discrepancies, they get addressed immediately. So I feel confident in the payouts. It's a rare occasion where there would be a submission that was not calculated by the system, you know, for a pilot. And those that come through are few	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain Nielsen, will you please state your full legal name? A. Jeremy James Nielsen. Q. And your legal I'm sorry. Your business address, please. A. 13225 North Lombard, Portland, Oregon 97203. Q. Are you adopting your pre-filed testimony under oath here today? A. Yes. MR. FASSBURG: I will present Captain Nielsen for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went to my next question is, is what kind of scrutiny is applied to some of those expense either for reimbursement requests or those systematic expenses that you've mentioned? A. The systematic expenses, which is the preponderance of the transportation expenses, I feel confident in our system. You know, I've been as earlier testimony from Captain von Brandenfels mentioned that when I started, they were right in the midst of introducing these new systems. So I became quite familiar with what was working well and what wasn't. And I have a high level of confidence now that, you know, what it's calculating is calculating correctly. And when we do find discrepancies, they get addressed immediately. So I feel confident in the payouts. It's a rare occasion where there would be a submission that was not calculated by the system, you know, for a pilot. And those that come through are few and far between, and they get looked at closely by me 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: 0. Captain Nielsen, will you please state your full legal name? A. Jeremy James Nielsen. 0. And your legal I'm sorry. Your business address, please. A. 13225 North Lombard, Portland, Oregon 97203. 0. Are you adopting your pre-filed testimony under oath here today? A. Yes. MR. FASSBURG: I will present Captain Nielsen for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: 0. Good afternoon, Captain Nielsen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went to my next question is, is what kind of scrutiny is applied to some of those expense either for reimbursement requests or those systematic expenses that you've mentioned? A. The systematic expenses, which is the preponderance of the transportation expenses, I feel confident in our system. You know, I've been as earlier testimony from Captain von Brandenfels mentioned that when I started, they were right in the midst of introducing these new systems. So I became quite familiar with what was working well and what wasn't. And I have a high level of confidence now that, you know, what it's calculating is calculating correctly. And when we do find discrepancies, they get addressed immediately. So I feel confident in the payouts. It's a rare occasion where there would be a submission that was not calculated by the system, you know, for a pilot. And those that come through are few and far between, and they get looked at closely by me personally or, again, the president could potentially be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain Nielsen, will you please state your full legal name? A. Jeremy James Nielsen. Q. And your legal I'm sorry. Your business address, please. A. 13225 North Lombard, Portland, Oregon 97203. Q. Are you adopting your pre-filed testimony under oath here today? A. Yes. MR. FASSBURG: I will present Captain Nielsen for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good afternoon, Captain Nielsen. A. Good afternoon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 reports and numbers looking for trends and anomalies and maybe doing some random selections if you see something unusual. Q. Okay. So okay. So you went kind of went to my next question is, is what kind of scrutiny is applied to some of those expense either for reimbursement requests or those systematic expenses that you've mentioned? A. The systematic expenses, which is the preponderance of the transportation expenses, I feel confident in our system. You know, I've been as earlier testimony from Captain von Brandenfels mentioned that when I started, they were right in the midst of introducing these new systems. So I became quite familiar with what was working well and what wasn't. And I have a high level of confidence now that, you know, what it's calculating is calculating correctly. And when we do find discrepancies, they get addressed immediately. So I feel confident in the payouts. It's a rare occasion where there would be a submission that was not calculated by the system, you know, for a pilot. And those that come through are few and far between, and they get looked at closely by me 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 JEREMY JAMES NIELSEN, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Captain Nielsen, will you please state your full legal name? A. Jeremy James Nielsen. Q. And your legal I'm sorry. Your business address, please. A. 13225 North Lombard, Portland, Oregon 97203. Q. Are you adopting your pre-filed testimony under oath here today? A. Yes. MR. FASSBURG: I will present Captain Nielsen for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good afternoon, Captain Nielsen.

54 (Pages 267 to 270)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 271		Page 273
1	financial statement that had been introduced into	1	additional federal tax cost of putting medical insurance
2	testimony into the exhibits. Do you have that? It's	2	under the pilot benefit section of the of the
3	Exhibit IC-25B, and it's page 9 of that exhibit, the	3	financial statement, is there, as opposed to expenses?
4	page that I'm going to be looking at. Columbia River	4	MR. FASSBURG: Objection. It calls for a
5	Pilots Special Purpose Financial Statement.	5	legal conclusion and a tax accounting question. Captain
6	A. I don't have the page number you described. We	6	Nielsen has not held himself out to be either a legal
7	also cannot get on UTC's website. But I do have a	7	expert or a tax accounting expert.
8	printout, so I can go	8	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you.
9	Q. Wonderful.	9	BY MS. DeLAPPE:
10	A. What year? Was that 2018 or 2019?	10	Q. I just want to know to what you're aware of.
11	Q. Yes. And this is what it looks like. I'll do	11	Is are Columbia River Pilots, are the pilots
12	my own share screen.	12	paying anything extra for having that line item in a
13	A. Is that 2018 or '19?	13	different spot?
14	Q. Yes, 2018. Year ended December 31, 2018.	14	A. I I'm not a tax expert or a legal but I
15	CHAIR DANNER: And, Ms. DeLappe, what is	15	don't believe we're paying anything extra for having
16	the I'm sorry. What is the exhibit number? IC what?	16	that line item in a different spot, no.
17	MS. DeLAPPE: IC-25B as in "Bravo." And I'm	17	Q. Thanks. That's all.
18	looking specifically at page 9. If you're looking in a	18	And so you have for the medical insurance cost
19	PDF, I think that's the right numbering.	19	it's a little over \$1 million; right?
20	CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you.	20	A. Correct.
21	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you.	21	Q. So if we divide that by the number of pilots in
22	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	22	2018, would you agree that the average cost of this
23	Q. So, Captain Nielsen, the Columbia River Pilots	23	benefit per pilot is a little under \$22,000?
24	2018 financial statement does not include pilot benefits	24	A. That is correct.
25	in the expenses section; is that right?	25	Q. And we have sick leave in the same column there
	Page 272		Page 274
1		1	at \$613,678?
1 2	 A. That is correct. Q. Good. And, in fact, you're not allowed to; 		A. Yes.
3	right?	3	Q. And that the value of that benefit per pilot
4	A. That is correct. The format was dictated to us	4	in 2018 was approximately \$13,431?
5	by our board, the Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots.	5	A. I struggle with the word "value," because it
6	Q. And this it also includes payment to	6	it's like I said, in my testimony, it's or my
7	retirees, however, in the expenses; right?	7	rebuttal, it's a cost. For those who didn't use it,
8	A. Yes, it does.	8	there's no value to them.
9	Q. Payments to retirees includes all payments to	9	Q. Oh, I I'm sorry, Captain Nielsen, I thought I
10	prior retirees receiving payouts under the prior plan;	10	was using the word that you preferred and that you
11	right?	11	didn't like the word "cost" for it. But I'm not going
12	A. That's correct.	12	to quibble use our time to quibble over that. I'll
13	Q. But it includes a pension line to fund qualified	13	use whichever word you prefer.
14	401(k) programs for active pilots in the pilots benefit	14	A. Okay.
15	section; correct?	15	Q. All right. All right. So those are my
16	A. That's correct.	16	questions about the financial part. Thank you for
17	Q. So that's not an association expense?	17	indulging me on those.
18	A. No. That that is it's in the tariff, in	18	I'd like to turn now to some discussion of
19	the amount. And we are in a 401(k) plan, so it's it	19	comparing pilotage grounds. And I think it might be
20	not an association expense, I guess, if you will.	20	helpful here if you could open Exhibit JJN-4 4X. So
	Q. Correct. Great. And do you have under that	21	JJN-4X. And specifically, I'm going to be at page 2.
21	•	1	And some of this will also be talking about your
21 22	pilot benefits the next line down is medical insurance;	22	
	pilot benefits the next line down is medical insurance; right?	23	testimony, but I think that will be enough to have in
22 23 24	pilot benefits the next line down is medical insurance; right? A. Yes.	23 24	testimony, but I think that will be enough to have in front of you.
22 23	pilot benefits the next line down is medical insurance; right?	23	testimony, but I think that will be enough to have in

55 (Pages 271 to 274)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 275		Page 277
1	overlap in the types of ships that call on the Columbia	1	difference in the waterways; right?
2	River and the Puget Sound; right?	2	A. Yes, it is.
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. Yeah. And then you've also talked about traffic
4	Q. But you'd agree that because the Columbia River	4	separation schemes, that there's a difference in that
5	Pilots don't track the types of vessels, nor the	5	also; isn't there?
б	specific propulsion or steering types, that you can't	6	A. They are of a different flavor. Both grounds
7	specifically quantify the extent of that overlap; right?	7	have different methods for dealing with traffic of a
8	A. That is correct. We do not count how many car	8	different flavor, but we both have traffic, yes.
9	carriers we have every year. We know but it's not	9	Q. Yes, of course. All right. And so the the
10	something we actively track. We know that there is a	10	main thing that's similar is that the tasks that the
11	multiple type same type of vessel, but actual numbers	11	pilots have to perform is similar; right?
12	I do not have a comparison for.	12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And in your view, the pilotage in the Columbia	13	Q. Okay. And you've also talked about differences
14	River is similar to the pilotage in the Puget Sound?	14	in specific anchorage positions?
15	A. Yes, there are similar aspects of it.	15	A. Yeah. Well, the positions obviously are
16	Q. So I'm looking at the next page of this exhibit;	16	different, but the actual method of anchoring is very
17	you talk a little bit about that. And while there are	17	similar I would say.
18	similar aspects, you note at Exhibit JJN-4X, near the	18	Q. Yes, so the tasks are the same, but they are
19	bottom of the page, that there are certainly differences	19	different. Okay.
20 21	in navigating the local waterways too? A. Yes.	20	And then, I guess, currents you said also vary, though the tasks are the same; right?
21	A. Yes. Q. So let's talk a little bit about those	21 22	A. Correct. Yes.
22	similarities and differences. One of the similarities	23	Q. All right. So do you agree that the differences
24	is, of course, that the profession is the same; right?	24	in currents and tides is actually so there's that
25	A. Correct.	25	dissimilarity, but really what we're saying is the
	Page 276		D 000
	-		Page 278
1	Q. Yeah. And another is the overlap in the way	1	
1 2	Q. Yeah. And another is the overlap in the way pilotage service is provided; right?	1	overlap is in the tasks mainly?
	Q. Yeah. And another is the overlap in the way pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct.		overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in
2	pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct.	2	overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and
2 3	pilotage service is provided; right?	2 3	overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in
2 3 4	pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct. Q. Because both of them are associations, COLRIP	2 3 4	overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and deals with. While I don't anchor a vessel in the Puget
2 3 4 5	 pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct. Q. Because both of them are associations, COLRIP and PSP; right? 	2 3 4 5	overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and deals with. While I don't anchor a vessel in the Puget Sound, they don't anchor a vessel in the Columbia River,
2 3 4 5 6	 pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct. Q. Because both of them are associations, COLRIP and PSP; right? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6	 overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and deals with. While I don't anchor a vessel in the Puget Sound, they don't anchor a vessel in the Columbia River, anchoring is the same. Q. Great. I'm with you. So you've also talked about weather influences
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct. Q. Because both of them are associations, COLRIP and PSP; right? A. Yes. Q. Yeah. And that the vessels, the scenarios, the traffic, weather, water conditions are all considerations that make these two pilotage grounds 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and deals with. While I don't anchor a vessel in the Puget Sound, they don't anchor a vessel in the Columbia River, anchoring is the same. Q. Great. I'm with you. So you've also talked about weather influences being very similar; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct. Q. Because both of them are associations, COLRIP and PSP; right? A. Yes. Q. Yeah. And that the vessels, the scenarios, the traffic, weather, water conditions are all considerations that make these two pilotage grounds similar; right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and deals with. While I don't anchor a vessel in the Puget Sound, they don't anchor a vessel in the Columbia River, anchoring is the same. Q. Great. I'm with you. So you've also talked about weather influences being very similar; right? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct. Q. Because both of them are associations, COLRIP and PSP; right? A. Yes. Q. Yeah. And that the vessels, the scenarios, the traffic, weather, water conditions are all considerations that make these two pilotage grounds similar; right? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and deals with. While I don't anchor a vessel in the Puget Sound, they don't anchor a vessel in the Columbia River, anchoring is the same. Q. Great. I'm with you. So you've also talked about weather influences being very similar; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So that is the the actual similarity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct. Q. Because both of them are associations, COLRIP and PSP; right? A. Yes. Q. Yeah. And that the vessels, the scenarios, the traffic, weather, water conditions are all considerations that make these two pilotage grounds similar; right? A. Yes. Q. So does Columbia River have a lesser average 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and deals with. While I don't anchor a vessel in the Puget Sound, they don't anchor a vessel in the Columbia River, anchoring is the same. Q. Great. I'm with you. So you've also talked about weather influences being very similar; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So that is the the actual similarity in the physical environments in the between the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct. Q. Because both of them are associations, COLRIP and PSP; right? A. Yes. Q. Yeah. And that the vessels, the scenarios, the traffic, weather, water conditions are all considerations that make these two pilotage grounds similar; right? A. Yes. Q. So does Columbia River have a lesser average waterway width and depth? I think you've stated that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and deals with. While I don't anchor a vessel in the Puget Sound, they don't anchor a vessel in the Columbia River, anchoring is the same. Q. Great. I'm with you. So you've also talked about weather influences being very similar; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So that is the the actual similarity in the physical environments in the between the Columbia River and the Puget Sound pilotage grounds;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct. Q. Because both of them are associations, COLRIP and PSP; right? A. Yes. Q. Yeah. And that the vessels, the scenarios, the traffic, weather, water conditions are all considerations that make these two pilotage grounds similar; right? A. Yes. Q. So does Columbia River have a lesser average waterway width and depth? I think you've stated that they they do have a different some differences on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and deals with. While I don't anchor a vessel in the Puget Sound, they don't anchor a vessel in the Columbia River, anchoring is the same. Q. Great. I'm with you. So you've also talked about weather influences being very similar; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So that is the the actual similarity in the physical environments in the between the Columbia River and the Puget Sound pilotage grounds; wouldn't you say?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct. Q. Because both of them are associations, COLRIP and PSP; right? A. Yes. Q. Yeah. And that the vessels, the scenarios, the traffic, weather, water conditions are all considerations that make these two pilotage grounds similar; right? A. Yes. Q. So does Columbia River have a lesser average waterway width and depth? I think you've stated that they they do have a different some differences on that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and deals with. While I don't anchor a vessel in the Puget Sound, they don't anchor a vessel in the Columbia River, anchoring is the same. Q. Great. I'm with you. So you've also talked about weather influences being very similar; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So that is the the actual similarity in the physical environments in the between the Columbia River and the Puget Sound pilotage grounds; wouldn't you say? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct. Q. Because both of them are associations, COLRIP and PSP; right? A. Yes. Q. Yeah. And that the vessels, the scenarios, the traffic, weather, water conditions are all considerations that make these two pilotage grounds similar; right? A. Yes. Q. So does Columbia River have a lesser average waterway width and depth? I think you've stated that they they do have a different some differences on that? A. That's correct. Less depth and less width. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and deals with. While I don't anchor a vessel in the Puget Sound, they don't anchor a vessel in the Columbia River, anchoring is the same. Q. Great. I'm with you. So you've also talked about weather influences being very similar; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So that is the the actual similarity in the physical environments in the between the Columbia River and the Puget Sound pilotage grounds; wouldn't you say? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then would you agree that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct. Q. Because both of them are associations, COLRIP and PSP; right? A. Yes. Q. Yeah. And that the vessels, the scenarios, the traffic, weather, water conditions are all considerations that make these two pilotage grounds similar; right? A. Yes. Q. So does Columbia River have a lesser average waterway width and depth? I think you've stated that they they do have a different some differences on that? A. That's correct. Less depth and less width. Q. Okay. And do you agree that describing the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and deals with. While I don't anchor a vessel in the Puget Sound, they don't anchor a vessel in the Columbia River, anchoring is the same. Q. Great. I'm with you. So you've also talked about weather influences being very similar; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So that is the the actual similarity in the physical environments in the between the Columbia River and the Puget Sound pilotage grounds; wouldn't you say? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then would you agree that you know, of all these common influences that makes the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct. Q. Because both of them are associations, COLRIP and PSP; right? A. Yes. Q. Yeah. And that the vessels, the scenarios, the traffic, weather, water conditions are all considerations that make these two pilotage grounds similar; right? A. Yes. Q. So does Columbia River have a lesser average waterway width and depth? I think you've stated that they they do have a different some differences on that? A. That's correct. Less depth and less width. Q. Okay. And do you agree that describing the differences in the waterways is actually an 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and deals with. While I don't anchor a vessel in the Puget Sound, they don't anchor a vessel in the Columbia River, anchoring is the same. Q. Great. I'm with you. So you've also talked about weather influences being very similar; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So that is the the actual similarity in the physical environments in the between the Columbia River and the Puget Sound pilotage grounds; wouldn't you say? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then would you agree that you know, of all these common influences that makes the grounds similar, what it really boils down to, setting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct. Q. Because both of them are associations, COLRIP and PSP; right? A. Yes. Q. Yeah. And that the vessels, the scenarios, the traffic, weather, water conditions are all considerations that make these two pilotage grounds similar; right? A. Yes. Q. So does Columbia River have a lesser average waterway width and depth? I think you've stated that they they do have a different some differences on that? A. That's correct. Less depth and less width. Q. Okay. And do you agree that describing the differences in the waterways is actually an explanation it says why the waterways are dissimilar, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and deals with. While I don't anchor a vessel in the Puget Sound, they don't anchor a vessel in the Columbia River, anchoring is the same. Q. Great. I'm with you. So you've also talked about weather influences being very similar; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So that is the the actual similarity in the physical environments in the between the Columbia River and the Puget Sound pilotage grounds; wouldn't you say? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then would you agree that you know, of all these common influences that makes the grounds similar, what it really boils down to, setting aside weather, is that the skill sets required of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct. Q. Because both of them are associations, COLRIP and PSP; right? A. Yes. Q. Yeah. And that the vessels, the scenarios, the traffic, weather, water conditions are all considerations that make these two pilotage grounds similar; right? A. Yes. Q. So does Columbia River have a lesser average waterway width and depth? I think you've stated that they they do have a different some differences on that? A. That's correct. Less depth and less width. Q. Okay. And do you agree that describing the differences in the waterways is actually an explanation it says why the waterways are dissimilar; even though the tasks of the pilots are similar? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and deals with. While I don't anchor a vessel in the Puget Sound, they don't anchor a vessel in the Columbia River, anchoring is the same. Q. Great. I'm with you. So you've also talked about weather influences being very similar; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So that is the the actual similarity in the physical environments in the between the Columbia River and the Puget Sound pilotage grounds; wouldn't you say? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then would you agree that you know, of all these common influences that makes the grounds similar, what it really boils down to, setting aside weather, is that the skill sets required of the pilots are similar to address the local conditions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct. Q. Because both of them are associations, COLRIP and PSP; right? A. Yes. Q. Yeah. And that the vessels, the scenarios, the traffic, weather, water conditions are all considerations that make these two pilotage grounds similar; right? A. Yes. Q. So does Columbia River have a lesser average waterway width and depth? I think you've stated that they they do have a different some differences on that? A. That's correct. Less depth and less width. Q. Okay. And do you agree that describing the differences in the waterways is actually an explanation it says why the waterways are dissimilar, even though the tasks of the pilots are similar? A. I'm not I'm not sure I understand your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and deals with. While I don't anchor a vessel in the Puget Sound, they don't anchor a vessel in the Columbia River, anchoring is the same. Q. Great. I'm with you. So you've also talked about weather influences being very similar; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So that is the the actual similarity in the physical environments in the between the Columbia River and the Puget Sound pilotage grounds; wouldn't you say? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then would you agree that you know, of all these common influences that makes the grounds similar, what it really boils down to, setting aside weather, is that the skill sets required of the pilots are similar to address the local conditions? A. I would agree with that. Skill sets are very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct. Q. Because both of them are associations, COLRIP and PSP; right? A. Yes. Q. Yeah. And that the vessels, the scenarios, the traffic, weather, water conditions are all considerations that make these two pilotage grounds similar; right? A. Yes. Q. So does Columbia River have a lesser average waterway width and depth? I think you've stated that they they do have a different some differences on that? A. That's correct. Less depth and less width. Q. Okay. And do you agree that describing the differences in the waterways is actually an explanation it says why the waterways are dissimilar, even though the tasks of the pilots are similar? A. I'm not I'm not sure I understand your question. Can you ask it another way? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and deals with. While I don't anchor a vessel in the Puget Sound, they don't anchor a vessel in the Columbia River, anchoring is the same. Q. Great. I'm with you. So you've also talked about weather influences being very similar; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So that is the the actual similarity in the physical environments in the between the Columbia River and the Puget Sound pilotage grounds; wouldn't you say? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then would you agree that you know, of all these common influences that makes the grounds similar, what it really boils down to, setting aside weather, is that the skill sets required of the pilots are similar to address the local conditions? A. I would agree with that. Skill sets are very similar.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct. Q. Because both of them are associations, COLRIP and PSP; right? A. Yes. Q. Yeah. And that the vessels, the scenarios, the traffic, weather, water conditions are all considerations that make these two pilotage grounds similar; right? A. Yes. Q. So does Columbia River have a lesser average waterway width and depth? I think you've stated that they they do have a different some differences on that? A. That's correct. Less depth and less width. Q. Okay. And do you agree that describing the differences in the waterways is actually an explanation it says why the waterways are dissimilar, even though the tasks of the pilots are similar? A. I'm not I'm not sure I understand your question. Can you ask it another way? Q. Uh-huh. Yes. Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and deals with. While I don't anchor a vessel in the Puget Sound, they don't anchor a vessel in the Columbia River, anchoring is the same. Q. Great. I'm with you. So you've also talked about weather influences being very similar; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So that is the the actual similarity in the physical environments in the between the Columbia River and the Puget Sound pilotage grounds; wouldn't you say? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then would you agree that you know, of all these common influences that makes the grounds similar, what it really boils down to, setting aside weather, is that the skill sets required of the pilots are similar to address the local conditions? A. I would agree with that. Skill sets are very similar.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 pilotage service is provided; right? A. Correct. Q. Because both of them are associations, COLRIP and PSP; right? A. Yes. Q. Yeah. And that the vessels, the scenarios, the traffic, weather, water conditions are all considerations that make these two pilotage grounds similar; right? A. Yes. Q. So does Columbia River have a lesser average waterway width and depth? I think you've stated that they they do have a different some differences on that? A. That's correct. Less depth and less width. Q. Okay. And do you agree that describing the differences in the waterways is actually an explanation it says why the waterways are dissimilar, even though the tasks of the pilots are similar? A. I'm not I'm not sure I understand your question. Can you ask it another way? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 overlap is in the tasks mainly? A. I'm I'm saying the overlap is yes, it's in the tasks, in everything a pilot needs to consider and deals with. While I don't anchor a vessel in the Puget Sound, they don't anchor a vessel in the Columbia River, anchoring is the same. Q. Great. I'm with you. So you've also talked about weather influences being very similar; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So that is the the actual similarity in the physical environments in the between the Columbia River and the Puget Sound pilotage grounds; wouldn't you say? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then would you agree that you know, of all these common influences that makes the grounds similar, what it really boils down to, setting aside weather, is that the skill sets required of the pilots are similar to address the local conditions? A. I would agree with that. Skill sets are very similar.

56 (Pages 275 to 278)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Docket No. TP-190976 - Vol. III - 8/12/2020

	Page 279		Page 281
1	did you have any redirect before we turn to Staff?	1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Stop for a minute.
2	MR. FASSBURG: I do not.	2	THE WITNESS: What?
3	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And Mr. Fukano or	3	Unidentified SPEAKER: They want to know if
4	Ms. Brown?	4	you have access to the realtime reporting, so
5	MR. FUKANO: Staff will waive cross of this	5	THE WITNESS: No. No assets in Portland.
6	witness.	6	Unidentified SPEAKER: Realtime reporting.
7	JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Do we have any	7	THE WITNESS: No do I have any
8	questions from the Commissioners for Captain Nielsen?	8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Access to real
9	Nope. All right.	9	ma'am, could you repeat the question about the realtime
10	Thank you, Captain Nielsen, you are	10	reporting or the realtime recording?
11	dismissed.	11	JUDGE PEARSON: Reporting. So so the
12	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.	12	court reporter had e-mailed a link so that Mr. Quick can
13	THE COURT: So we will return now to our	13	see in realtime on his computer screen the words that
14	regular order of witnesses, which means that Captain	14	we're speaking.
15	Quick is up next. If Captain Quick could turn on his	15	THE WITNESS: Oh, that would be a big help.
16	camera and join us.	16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The court reporter
17	Good afternoon, Captain Quick.	17	has e-mailed a link that you should be able to get
18	THE WITNESS: Hello.	18	either on your computer or on there.
19	JUDGE PEARSON: We can't hear you.	19	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
20	MR. WILEY: Judge Pearson, while he's doing	20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So do you want to
21	that, can you tell us when you want to take the	21	look for the link?
22	mid-afternoon break?	22	THE WITNESS: Yeah. If I can get it. Where
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Let's see. I'm thinking	23	do we get it?
24	potentially I guess I would check in with Mr. Fukano.	24	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Judge Pearson, maybe
25	Will you be doing the cross for Captain Quick or will it	25	we should take our break now?
	Page 280		Page 282
1	be Ms. Brown?	1	MS. DeLAPPE: I was going to suggest the
2	MR. FUKANO: I think it would be me.	2	same.
3	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And do you still	3	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. All right. Why don't
4	estimate 45 minutes?	4	we go ahead and take a break. We'll come back at 2:20,
5	MR. FUKANO: It likely will not be that	5	and hopefully we'll be up and running by that time.
6	long. Although, if we do take a break, I I'm	6	We'll be off the record until 2:20.
7	considering it.	7	(A break was taken from 2:13 p.m. to 2:26 p.m.)
8	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I think probably	8	JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Let's go ahead
9	we'll let PMSA cross-examine Captain Quick and then take	9	and be back on the record.
10	a break after that, because we will have reached almost	10	Captain Quick, if you could raise your right
11	the 90-minute mark at that point and I think that's as	11	hand, I will swear you in.
12	long as anyone can make it.	12	THE WITNESS: Yep.
13	All right. Captain Quick, can you hear me?	13	JUDGE PEARSON: Do you swear or affirm that
14	THE WITNESS: Yes, I can, but I'm hard of	14	the testimony you give today will be the truth, the
15	hearing, and I have a couple of assistants here to help	15	whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
16	me.	16	THE WITNESS: I do.
17	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.	17	JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you. All
18	THE WITNESS: So they will repeat if I don't	18	right. Mr. Wiley, are you going to introduce the
19	understand.	19	witness?
20	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And do you have	20	MR. WILEY: I am, Your Honor. Thank you.
21	access to the realtime reporting so that you can see	21	GEORGE A. QUICK, witness herein, having been
22	what we're saying in writing as we're saying it?	22	first duly sworn on oath, was
23	THE WITNESS: Yes, I understand.	23	examined and testified as
	ILIDOE DEADCONL Okay Mr Wilay Hyay	24	follows:
24	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Wiley, if you	1	
24 25	want to	25	

57 (Pages 279 to 282)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 283	Page	285
1	DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 CROSS-EXAMINATION	
2	BY MR. WILEY:	2 BY MS. DeLAPPE:	
3	Q. Good afternoon, Captain Quick. And thank you	³ Q. I was saying good afternoon, Captain Quick.	
4	very much for your stamina through this process. We	4 A. Yes, I can read what you're saying.	
5	know you're three hours ahead on the East Coast, so we	5 Q. Great. I would like to start our conversation	
6	appreciate it. And if you have difficulties	6 by comparing several numbers that you used in you	r
7	understanding the questioner, please let us know. We're	7 testimony and in your responses to discovery quest	
8	working in realtime, and if everybody could bear with us	8 So to avoid any confusion based on using this platfo	
9	if there are glitches, we apologize in advance.	9 I'm going to address each number set in turn and the	
10	So, Captain Quick, could you please state your	10 ask for your opinion. Okay?	
11	name	11 A. Okay.	
12	JUDGE PEARSON: One moment here. I want to	12 Q. Okay. The first one is in your original	
13	make sure that Commissioner Rendahl is with us.	13 testimony in this proceeding, Exhibit GQ-1T. If you of	can
14	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Can you hear me?	14 open that up please, and turn to page 27.	
15	JUDGE PEARSON: Yes.	15 A. The original. I've got that.	
16	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. I can't make	16 MR. WILEY: Ms. DeLappe.	
17	the camera work right now, but I'm here.	17 THE WITNESS: I have it here.	
18	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And you can hear us	18 BY MS. DeLAPPE:	
19	okay?	19 Q. So page 27.	
20	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I can do that, yes.	A. Page 27. All right. I've got page 27.	
21	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Go ahead,	21 Q. Thank you. And lines 4 and 5 on that page.	
22	Mr. Wiley.	22 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Ms. DeLappe, th	his is
23	BY MR. WILEY:	23 Commissioner Rendahl. If he's using the paper copy, it	
24	Q. Captain, I hope the echo isn't mine, because I	may be page 29 of his copy. That's what mine looks	
25	don't know how to fix it.	25 like.	
	Page 284	Page	286
1	Captain Quick, could you please state your name	1 THE WITNESS: I believe I received that	
2	and provide your business address for the record?	2 information from the Puget Sound Pilots.	
3	Okay. Now his audio is off.	3 BY MS. DeLAPPE:	
4	A. My name is George Arthur Quick. And you want my	4 Q. Okay. Thank you. Yes. I'm on paper actua	illy
5	address?	5 also. So on numbers 4 lines 4 and 5 it says that	
6	Q. Yes. Your business address.	6 average workload in 2018 for a Puget Sound pilot	
7	A. 3400 North Furnace Road, Jarrettsville,	7 2,661 hours for 182 on-duty days for the year; rig	jht?
8	Maryland, ZIP code 21084.	8 A. I believe they gave me time on-duty.	
9	Q. And that's your home address, is it not?	9 Q. Yes. And I imagine "on-duty" there means	on
10	A. It's North Furnace, F-u-r-n-a-c-e, Road. And	10 watch. So there is no citation listed for the data.	
11	that's in Jarrettsville, J-a-r-r-e-t-t-s-v-i-l-l-e, in	11 Do you recall where what the source was?	?
12	Maryland. I don't have to spell Maryland I guess, and	12 A. No, I don't have any citations. Sorry.	
13	the ZIP code is 21084.	13 Q. Okay. So if we divide 2,661 workload hours	s by
14	Q. Thank you, Captain Quick.	14 182 days, would you agree that that's approximate	tely
15	And you may have heard that your testimony GQ-1T	15 14.6 hours of work per day?	
16	and GQ-5T and all supporting exhibits are admitted into	A. Well, I guess your math is right. But I suspec	
17	the record, so I would tender the witness now to	17 that they were probably giving me time onto on w	/atch.
18	Ms. DeLappe.	18 Q. Okay. So	
19	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	19 A. I can validate this or check it out myself. I	
20	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we can't hear	20 just took what the Puget Sound Pilots told me.	
21	you.	21 Q. Understood.	
22	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. Can you hear me	A. That's the best information. I don't have any	
23	now?	23 sources outside of Puget Sound. They are the one	to go
24	JUDGE PEARSON: Yes.	24 to for information on Puget Sound.	
25	MS. DELAPPE: Thank you very much.	25 Q. And in other portions of your testimony, yo	vu've

58 (Pages 283 to 286)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 287		Page 289
1	referred to many pilotage grounds across the country as	1	on task, which means the time you're working when you're
2	being rather opaque and nontransparent or having	2	on watch. So there's quite a big difference.
3	information regarding compensation or workload that is	3	Q. Mm-hmm. And so is it normal that the time on
4	hard to acquire; right?	4	watch is approximately twice the time on task?
5	A. Yes, that's correct.	5	A. No, there's no I'm talking about time on
6	Q. Do you find the Puget Sound to be one of those	6	watch meaning time on duty. On watch. Not on watch on
7	jurisdictions where it's hard to get good data?	7	your shift; that's on assignment.
8	A. No. They have always been very forthcoming in	8	Q. Okay.
9	giving me whatever information I've asked for.	9	A. You've got to clarify the words. I think you
10	Q. I'd like you now to turn to your workload	10	are using "watch" to mean "bridge time." That's not
11	comparison table in your rebuttal testimony, and that's	11	what I'm referring to.
12	Exhibit GQ-5T, specifically page 13. And there's a	12	Q. Yeah, I'm just trying to understand what's
13	table on it.	13	different between the 2,660-hour workload and the
14	A. Okay. I've got my rebuttal testimony.	14	1,324-hour total time on task. I think you've clarified
15	Q. Thank you.	15	that the difference is the first one
16	And are you on page 13?	16	A. The first number, 2,660, I believe they were
17	A. Do you have a copy? Yep okay. I have it.	17	probably giving you the numbers that they were on duty
18	Q. Great. Thank you.	18	or on watch. You know, 24 hours a day for 14 days times
19	So on that table, you see close to Row 9, Line 9	19	180 or 26, I think. Something like that. And and
20	there it says "PSP 2018"?	20	on on time total time on task is the time you
21	A. No. No my copy is different than your copy.	21	leave the dock until you get back to the dock. It's the
22	I've got one that was filed.	22	time that you're working on your shift. It's a broader
23	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So try page 15.	23	indication of workload than just bridge time. So
24	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you, Commissioner	24	we're
25	Rendahl.	25	Q. Thank you.
	Page 288		Page 290
1	THE WITNESS: I might have a draft. But	1	A. So bridge time, which is the actual time aboard
2	okay, I've got Puget Sound Pilots on page 14, Line 3 and		
2	onay, i ve got i uget obalia i lioto oli page 14, Ellio o alla	2	the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time
3	4.	2	-
3 4			the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time
	4.	3	the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or
4	4. BY MS. DeLAPPE:	3 4	the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back.
4 5	4.BY MS. DeLAPPE:Q. Okay. So I'm looking at this table that you	3 4 5	the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back. And then usually, they will add some time to
4 5 6	 4. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So I'm looking at this table that you made. A. Yeah. That's what we're talking about, yeah. Q. Great. Okay. And it says "PSP 2018" and it has 	3 4 5 6	the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back. And then usually, they will add some time to that because your work doesn't begin at the pilot station or end at the pilot station. You have to be there at least 30 minutes early to do a pilot passage
4 5 6 7	 4. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So I'm looking at this table that you made. A. Yeah. That's what we're talking about, yeah. Q. Great. Okay. And it says "PSP 2018" and it has a footnote, footnote 14, that says that you sourced this 	3 4 5 6 7	the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back. And then usually, they will add some time to that because your work doesn't begin at the pilot station or end at the pilot station. You have to be there at least 30 minutes early to do a pilot passage plan and check the any safety notices or changes in
4 5 6 7 8	 4. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So I'm looking at this table that you made. A. Yeah. That's what we're talking about, yeah. Q. Great. Okay. And it says "PSP 2018" and it has 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back. And then usually, they will add some time to that because your work doesn't begin at the pilot station or end at the pilot station. You have to be there at least 30 minutes early to do a pilot passage plan and check the any safety notices or changes in the route when you start and figure out which traffic
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 4. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So I'm looking at this table that you made. A. Yeah. That's what we're talking about, yeah. Q. Great. Okay. And it says "PSP 2018" and it has a footnote, footnote 14, that says that you sourced this information from PSP's 2018 audited financial statement; correct? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back. And then usually, they will add some time to that because your work doesn't begin at the pilot station or end at the pilot station. You have to be there at least 30 minutes early to do a pilot passage plan and check the any safety notices or changes in the route when you start and figure out which traffic you are going to be meeting. And when you finish your
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 4. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So I'm looking at this table that you made. A. Yeah. That's what we're talking about, yeah. Q. Great. Okay. And it says "PSP 2018" and it has a footnote, footnote 14, that says that you sourced this information from PSP's 2018 audited financial statement; correct? A. Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back. And then usually, they will add some time to that because your work doesn't begin at the pilot station or end at the pilot station. You have to be there at least 30 minutes early to do a pilot passage plan and check the any safety notices or changes in the route when you start and figure out which traffic you are going to be meeting. And when you finish your job and get back to the office, probably another
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 4. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So I'm looking at this table that you made. A. Yeah. That's what we're talking about, yeah. Q. Great. Okay. And it says "PSP 2018" and it has a footnote, footnote 14, that says that you sourced this information from PSP's 2018 audited financial statement; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so if you look at that line, across 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back. And then usually, they will add some time to that because your work doesn't begin at the pilot station or end at the pilot station. You have to be there at least 30 minutes early to do a pilot passage plan and check the any safety notices or changes in the route when you start and figure out which traffic you are going to be meeting. And when you finish your job and get back to the office, probably another 20 minutes to a half an hour to fill out your paperwork
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 4. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So I'm looking at this table that you made. A. Yeah. That's what we're talking about, yeah. Q. Great. Okay. And it says "PSP 2018" and it has a footnote, footnote 14, that says that you sourced this information from PSP's 2018 audited financial statement; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so if you look at that line, across the line you have per pilot. You have, I believe, the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back. And then usually, they will add some time to that because your work doesn't begin at the pilot station or end at the pilot station. You have to be there at least 30 minutes early to do a pilot passage plan and check the any safety notices or changes in the route when you start and figure out which traffic you are going to be meeting. And when you finish your job and get back to the office, probably another 20 minutes to a half an hour to fill out your paperwork and your billing information and such.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 4. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So I'm looking at this table that you made. A. Yeah. That's what we're talking about, yeah. Q. Great. Okay. And it says "PSP 2018" and it has a footnote, footnote 14, that says that you sourced this information from PSP's 2018 audited financial statement; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so if you look at that line, across the line you have per pilot. You have, I believe, the number of hours per pilot as 1,324. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back. And then usually, they will add some time to that because your work doesn't begin at the pilot station or end at the pilot station. You have to be there at least 30 minutes early to do a pilot passage plan and check the any safety notices or changes in the route when you start and figure out which traffic you are going to be meeting. And when you finish your job and get back to the office, probably another 20 minutes to a half an hour to fill out your paperwork and your billing information and such. Q. On the page that I was referring to with this
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 4. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So I'm looking at this table that you made. A. Yeah. That's what we're talking about, yeah. Q. Great. Okay. And it says "PSP 2018" and it has a footnote, footnote 14, that says that you sourced this information from PSP's 2018 audited financial statement; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so if you look at that line, across the line you have per pilot. You have, I believe, the number of hours per pilot as 1,324. A. 1,324. Yeah. But that's 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back. And then usually, they will add some time to that because your work doesn't begin at the pilot station or end at the pilot station. You have to be there at least 30 minutes early to do a pilot passage plan and check the any safety notices or changes in the route when you start and figure out which traffic you are going to be meeting. And when you finish your job and get back to the office, probably another 20 minutes to a half an hour to fill out your paperwork and your billing information and such. Q. On the page that I was referring to with this table, you have a number of ports listed here; correct?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 4. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So I'm looking at this table that you made. A. Yeah. That's what we're talking about, yeah. Q. Great. Okay. And it says "PSP 2018" and it has a footnote, footnote 14, that says that you sourced this information from PSP's 2018 audited financial statement; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so if you look at that line, across the line you have per pilot. You have, I believe, the number of hours per pilot as 1,324. A. 1,324. Yeah. But that's Q. And that would be 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back. And then usually, they will add some time to that because your work doesn't begin at the pilot station or end at the pilot station. You have to be there at least 30 minutes early to do a pilot passage plan and check the any safety notices or changes in the route when you start and figure out which traffic you are going to be meeting. And when you finish your job and get back to the office, probably another 20 minutes to a half an hour to fill out your paperwork and your billing information and such. Q. On the page that I was referring to with this table, you have a number of ports listed here; correct? A. That's correct.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 4. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So I'm looking at this table that you made. A. Yeah. That's what we're talking about, yeah. Q. Great. Okay. And it says "PSP 2018" and it has a footnote, footnote 14, that says that you sourced this information from PSP's 2018 audited financial statement; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so if you look at that line, across the line you have per pilot. You have, I believe, the number of hours per pilot as 1,324. A. 1,324. Yeah. But that's Q. And that would be A. Total time on task which is a different 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back. And then usually, they will add some time to that because your work doesn't begin at the pilot station or end at the pilot station. You have to be there at least 30 minutes early to do a pilot passage plan and check the any safety notices or changes in the route when you start and figure out which traffic you are going to be meeting. And when you finish your job and get back to the office, probably another 20 minutes to a half an hour to fill out your paperwork and your billing information and such. Q. On the page that I was referring to with this table, you have a number of ports listed here; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And earlier on the previous page in your
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 4. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So I'm looking at this table that you made. A. Yeah. That's what we're talking about, yeah. Q. Great. Okay. And it says "PSP 2018" and it has a footnote, footnote 14, that says that you sourced this information from PSP's 2018 audited financial statement; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so if you look at that line, across the line you have per pilot. You have, I believe, the number of hours per pilot as 1,324. A. 1,324. Yeah. But that's Q. And that would be A. Total time on task which is a different measurement. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back. And then usually, they will add some time to that because your work doesn't begin at the pilot station or end at the pilot station. You have to be there at least 30 minutes early to do a pilot passage plan and check the any safety notices or changes in the route when you start and figure out which traffic you are going to be meeting. And when you finish your job and get back to the office, probably another 20 minutes to a half an hour to fill out your paperwork and your billing information and such. Q. On the page that I was referring to with this table, you have a number of ports listed here; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And earlier on the previous page in your testimony, page 12, lines 22 to 23, your testimony is
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 4. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So I'm looking at this table that you made. A. Yeah. That's what we're talking about, yeah. Q. Great. Okay. And it says "PSP 2018" and it has a footnote, footnote 14, that says that you sourced this information from PSP's 2018 audited financial statement; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so if you look at that line, across the line you have per pilot. You have, I believe, the number of hours per pilot as 1,324. A. 1,324. Yeah. But that's Q. And that would be A. Total time on task which is a different measurement. Q. Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back. And then usually, they will add some time to that because your work doesn't begin at the pilot station or end at the pilot station. You have to be there at least 30 minutes early to do a pilot passage plan and check the any safety notices or changes in the route when you start and figure out which traffic you are going to be meeting. And when you finish your job and get back to the office, probably another 20 minutes to a half an hour to fill out your paperwork and your billing information and such. Q. On the page that I was referring to with this table, you have a number of ports listed here; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And earlier on the previous page in your testimony, page 12, lines 22 to 23, your testimony is that the workload table sets out factors to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 4. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So I'm looking at this table that you made. A. Yeah. That's what we're talking about, yeah. Q. Great. Okay. And it says "PSP 2018" and it has a footnote, footnote 14, that says that you sourced this information from PSP's 2018 audited financial statement; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so if you look at that line, across the line you have per pilot. You have, I believe, the number of hours per pilot as 1,324. A. 1,324. Yeah. But that's Q. And that would be A. Total time on task which is a different measurement. Q. Yes. Can you explain to us how that is different, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back. And then usually, they will add some time to that because your work doesn't begin at the pilot station or end at the pilot station. You have to be there at least 30 minutes early to do a pilot passage plan and check the any safety notices or changes in the route when you start and figure out which traffic you are going to be meeting. And when you finish your job and get back to the office, probably another 20 minutes to a half an hour to fill out your paperwork and your billing information and such. Q. On the page that I was referring to with this table, you have a number of ports listed here; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And earlier on the previous page in your testimony, page 12, lines 22 to 23, your testimony is that the workload table sets out factors to demonstrate
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 4. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So I'm looking at this table that you made. A. Yeah. That's what we're talking about, yeah. Q. Great. Okay. And it says "PSP 2018" and it has a footnote, footnote 14, that says that you sourced this information from PSP's 2018 audited financial statement; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so if you look at that line, across the line you have per pilot. You have, I believe, the number of hours per pilot as 1,324. A. 1,324. Yeah. But that's Q. And that would be A. Total time on task which is a different measurement. Q. Yes. Can you explain to us how that is different, total time on task for the year per pilot? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back. And then usually, they will add some time to that because your work doesn't begin at the pilot station or end at the pilot station. You have to be there at least 30 minutes early to do a pilot passage plan and check the any safety notices or changes in the route when you start and figure out which traffic you are going to be meeting. And when you finish your job and get back to the office, probably another 20 minutes to a half an hour to fill out your paperwork and your billing information and such. Q. On the page that I was referring to with this table, you have a number of ports listed here; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And earlier on the previous page in your testimony, page 12, lines 22 to 23, your testimony is that the workload table sets out factors to demonstrate A. Yeah.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 4. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So I'm looking at this table that you made. A. Yeah. That's what we're talking about, yeah. Q. Great. Okay. And it says "PSP 2018" and it has a footnote, footnote 14, that says that you sourced this information from PSP's 2018 audited financial statement; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so if you look at that line, across the line you have per pilot. You have, I believe, the number of hours per pilot as 1,324. A. 1,324. Yeah. But that's Q. And that would be A. Total time on task which is a different measurement. Q. Yes. Can you explain to us how that is different, total time on task for the year per pilot? A. Well, the the first one you referred to, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back. And then usually, they will add some time to that because your work doesn't begin at the pilot station or end at the pilot station. You have to be there at least 30 minutes early to do a pilot passage plan and check the any safety notices or changes in the route when you start and figure out which traffic you are going to be meeting. And when you finish your job and get back to the office, probably another 20 minutes to a half an hour to fill out your paperwork and your billing information and such. Q. On the page that I was referring to with this table, you have a number of ports listed here; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And earlier on the previous page in your testimony, page 12, lines 22 to 23, your testimony is that the workload table sets out factors to demonstrate A. Yeah. Q how the workloads and incomes compare for
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 4. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So I'm looking at this table that you made. A. Yeah. That's what we're talking about, yeah. Q. Great. Okay. And it says "PSP 2018" and it has a footnote, footnote 14, that says that you sourced this information from PSP's 2018 audited financial statement; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so if you look at that line, across the line you have per pilot. You have, I believe, the number of hours per pilot as 1,324. A. 1,324. Yeah. But that's Q. And that would be A. Total time on task which is a different measurement. Q. Yes. Can you explain to us how that is different, total time on task for the year per pilot? A. Well, the the first one you referred to, two-thousand-some hours, I think was referring to total 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back. And then usually, they will add some time to that because your work doesn't begin at the pilot station or end at the pilot station. You have to be there at least 30 minutes early to do a pilot passage plan and check the any safety notices or changes in the route when you start and figure out which traffic you are going to be meeting. And when you finish your job and get back to the office, probably another 20 minutes to a half an hour to fill out your paperwork and your billing information and such. Q. On the page that I was referring to with this table, you have a number of ports listed here; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And earlier on the previous page in your testimony, page 12, lines 22 to 23, your testimony is that the workload table sets out factors to demonstrate A. Yeah. Q how the workloads and incomes compare for available pilotage districts.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 4. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Okay. So I'm looking at this table that you made. A. Yeah. That's what we're talking about, yeah. Q. Great. Okay. And it says "PSP 2018" and it has a footnote, footnote 14, that says that you sourced this information from PSP's 2018 audited financial statement; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so if you look at that line, across the line you have per pilot. You have, I believe, the number of hours per pilot as 1,324. A. 1,324. Yeah. But that's Q. And that would be A. Total time on task which is a different measurement. Q. Yes. Can you explain to us how that is different, total time on task for the year per pilot? A. Well, the the first one you referred to, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the ship, and then there's sort of enhanced bridge time or total time on task, which is dock-to-dock or pilot-office-to-pilot-office until you get back. And then usually, they will add some time to that because your work doesn't begin at the pilot station or end at the pilot station. You have to be there at least 30 minutes early to do a pilot passage plan and check the any safety notices or changes in the route when you start and figure out which traffic you are going to be meeting. And when you finish your job and get back to the office, probably another 20 minutes to a half an hour to fill out your paperwork and your billing information and such. Q. On the page that I was referring to with this table, you have a number of ports listed here; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And earlier on the previous page in your testimony, page 12, lines 22 to 23, your testimony is that the workload table sets out factors to demonstrate A. Yeah. Q how the workloads and incomes compare for

59 (Pages 287 to 290)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 291	Page 293
1	Q. Okay.	1 Q. You said that the cruise ships go to Alaska.
2	A. I see where you are pointing now.	2 Isn't that true for the Puget Sound Pilotage District?
3	Q. Thank you.	3 A. I don't think Puget Sound is anywhere near
4	Does that mean that the data from these ports	4 comparable to Key West or or Port Canaveral,
5	were publicly available for consideration?	5 because Puget Sound has a mix of ships. The cruise
6	A. Well, for Florida they were publicly available	6 ships don't drive the traffic here. There are some
7	because we just had a a finishing rate here in Port	7 cruise ships coming here, but they are not the main
8	Everglades where it was on the record. And for for	8 source of revenue and they are not what the whole port
9	Louisiana, it's publicly available, because it's in the	9 is built about. In Key West and Port Canaveral, the
10	file things that were presented to the Louisiana Pilot	10 port is basically a cruise ship port, period. No other
11	Fee Commission on July 1st, I think, or July 2nd just	11 ships come in there except an occasional tanker.
12	recently. I think we were very lucky that we had two	12 Q. But you would agree that this port in Puget
13	recent rate cases that provided the information we	13 Sound also handles high tonnage cruise ships on a
14	wanted.	14 seasonal basis?
15	Q. Are you familiar, Captain Quick, with Captain	15 A. I'm not familiar what "high tonnage Navy
16	Carlson's testimony and exhibits in this proceeding?	16 Exchange cruise ships" are. I've never heard the term
17	A. No, I'm not.	17 before.
18	Q. Okay. He submitted information about several	18 Q. I think. "Navy Exchange" was not an accurate
19	other ports that are not in your table as part of his	19 transcription. Just "high tonnage cruise ships."
20	net income worksheets. They were NOBRA, Lake Charles,	20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Just high tonnage
21	Corpus Christi, and the Columbia River Bar.	21 cruise ships, not Navy Exchange.
22	Do you know why these ports aren't included in	22 MS. DELAPPE: Thank you.
23	your table as well?	23 THE COURT REPORTER: I was trying to correct
24	A. I was just doing a rough sample for the Staff	24 it but couldn't.
25	that said you couldn't do a comparison. And I was	25 BY MS. DELAPPE:
	Page 292	Page 294
1	trying to indicate to them that there are ways to do a	1 Q. I know it's difficult in realtime.
2	comparison and there is more ways than what I did in	2 A. Oh, it's not difficult.
3	this exercise. So I didn't think that any any more	
		3 Q. I mean for the court reporter.
4	was really necessary.	
4 5		
	was really necessary.	4 A. Yeah. You have you have a seasonal trade
5	was really necessary. Q. So it was about which ports are comparable?	 A. Yeah. You have you have a seasonal trade here probably the same as Alaska, I would assume. But
5 6	was really necessary.Q. So it was about which ports are comparable?A. What?	 A. Yeah. You have you have a seasonal trade here probably the same as Alaska, I would assume. But they are not they are not the the the the
5 6 7	was really necessary. Q. So it was about which ports are comparable? A. What? Q. Was it	 A. Yeah. You have you have a seasonal trade here probably the same as Alaska, I would assume. But they are not they are not the the the element or the sector to drive to Portland is not your
5 6 7 8	 was really necessary. Q. So it was about which ports are comparable? A. What? Q. Was it A. Yeah, I put in what I believed were comparable 	 A. Yeah. You have you have a seasonal trade here probably the same as Alaska, I would assume. But they are not they are not the the the element or the sector to drive to Portland is not your primary source of revenue. It's just one of a whole mix of types of ships, where Key West and Port Canaveral, they are almost solely dependent upon the cruise ships,
5 6 7 8 9	 was really necessary. Q. So it was about which ports are comparable? A. What? Q. Was it A. Yeah, I put in what I believed were comparable ports. I don't think Lake Charles is a comparable port. I think, you know, the other ports are fairly comparable, yeah. 	 A. Yeah. You have you have a seasonal trade here probably the same as Alaska, I would assume. But they are not they are not the the the element or the sector to drive to Portland is not your primary source of revenue. It's just one of a whole mix of types of ships, where Key West and Port Canaveral, they are almost solely dependent upon the cruise ships, so it's not a comparable port.
5 6 7 8 9 10	 was really necessary. Q. So it was about which ports are comparable? A. What? Q. Was it A. Yeah, I put in what I believed were comparable ports. I don't think Lake Charles is a comparable port. I think, you know, the other ports are fairly comparable, yeah. Q. Can you look on page 13 of your rebuttal 	 A. Yeah. You have you have a seasonal trade here probably the same as Alaska, I would assume. But they are not they are not the the the element or the sector to drive to Portland is not your primary source of revenue. It's just one of a whole mix of types of ships, where Key West and Port Canaveral, they are almost solely dependent upon the cruise ships, so it's not a comparable port. Q. Though I have additional questions, I realize I
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 was really necessary. Q. So it was about which ports are comparable? A. What? Q. Was it A. Yeah, I put in what I believed were comparable ports. I don't think Lake Charles is a comparable port. I think, you know, the other ports are fairly comparable, yeah. Q. Can you look on page 13 of your rebuttal testimony, footnotes 5 and 8. 	 A. Yeah. You have you have a seasonal trade here probably the same as Alaska, I would assume. But they are not they are not the the the element or the sector to drive to Portland is not your primary source of revenue. It's just one of a whole mix of types of ships, where Key West and Port Canaveral, they are almost solely dependent upon the cruise ships, so it's not a comparable port. Q. Though I have additional questions, I realize I am past my time, so I will close there. No further
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 was really necessary. Q. So it was about which ports are comparable? A. What? Q. Was it A. Yeah, I put in what I believed were comparable ports. I don't think Lake Charles is a comparable port. I think, you know, the other ports are fairly comparable, yeah. Q. Can you look on page 13 of your rebuttal testimony, footnotes 5 and 8. A. Five yeah. 	 A. Yeah. You have you have a seasonal trade here probably the same as Alaska, I would assume. But they are not they are not the the the element or the sector to drive to Portland is not your primary source of revenue. It's just one of a whole mix of types of ships, where Key West and Port Canaveral, they are almost solely dependent upon the cruise ships, so it's not a comparable port. Q. Though I have additional questions, I realize I am past my time, so I will close there. No further questions.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 was really necessary. Q. So it was about which ports are comparable? A. What? Q. Was it A. Yeah, I put in what I believed were comparable ports. I don't think Lake Charles is a comparable port. I think, you know, the other ports are fairly comparable, yeah. Q. Can you look on page 13 of your rebuttal testimony, footnotes 5 and 8. A. Five yeah. Q. And you have in those footnotes that Canaveral 	 A. Yeah. You have you have a seasonal trade here probably the same as Alaska, I would assume. But they are not they are not the the the element or the sector to drive to Portland is not your primary source of revenue. It's just one of a whole mix of types of ships, where Key West and Port Canaveral, they are almost solely dependent upon the cruise ships, so it's not a comparable port. Q. Though I have additional questions, I realize I am past my time, so I will close there. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Wiley, did you have
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 was really necessary. Q. So it was about which ports are comparable? A. What? Q. Was it A. Yeah, I put in what I believed were comparable ports. I don't think Lake Charles is a comparable port. I think, you know, the other ports are fairly comparable, yeah. Q. Can you look on page 13 of your rebuttal testimony, footnotes 5 and 8. A. Five yeah. Q. And you have in those footnotes that Canaveral and Key West are cruise ship ports, and that they are, 	 A. Yeah. You have you have a seasonal trade here probably the same as Alaska, I would assume. But they are not they are not the the the element or the sector to drive to Portland is not your primary source of revenue. It's just one of a whole mix of types of ships, where Key West and Port Canaveral, they are almost solely dependent upon the cruise ships, so it's not a comparable port. Q. Though I have additional questions, I realize I am past my time, so I will close there. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Wiley, did you have something that you wanted to say? Did you have redirect
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 was really necessary. Q. So it was about which ports are comparable? A. What? Q. Was it A. Yeah, I put in what I believed were comparable ports. I don't think Lake Charles is a comparable port. I think, you know, the other ports are fairly comparable, yeah. Q. Can you look on page 13 of your rebuttal testimony, footnotes 5 and 8. A. Five yeah. Q. And you have in those footnotes that Canaveral and Key West are cruise ship ports, and that they are, therefore, not comparable ports. 	 A. Yeah. You have you have a seasonal trade here probably the same as Alaska, I would assume. But they are not they are not the the the element or the sector to drive to Portland is not your primary source of revenue. It's just one of a whole mix of types of ships, where Key West and Port Canaveral, they are almost solely dependent upon the cruise ships, so it's not a comparable port. Q. Though I have additional questions, I realize I am past my time, so I will close there. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Wiley, did you have something that you wanted to say? Did you have redirect before we turned to Staff?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 was really necessary. Q. So it was about which ports are comparable? A. What? Q. Was it A. Yeah, I put in what I believed were comparable ports. I don't think Lake Charles is a comparable port. I think, you know, the other ports are fairly comparable, yeah. Q. Can you look on page 13 of your rebuttal testimony, footnotes 5 and 8. A. Five yeah. Q. And you have in those footnotes that Canaveral and Key West are cruise ship ports, and that they are, therefore, not comparable ports. A. That's correct. All they handle is are 	 A. Yeah. You have you have a seasonal trade here probably the same as Alaska, I would assume. But they are not they are not the the the element or the sector to drive to Portland is not your primary source of revenue. It's just one of a whole mix of types of ships, where Key West and Port Canaveral, they are almost solely dependent upon the cruise ships, so it's not a comparable port. Q. Though I have additional questions, I realize I am past my time, so I will close there. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Wiley, did you have something that you wanted to say? Did you have redirect before we turned to Staff? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, in this case I would
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 was really necessary. Q. So it was about which ports are comparable? A. What? Q. Was it A. Yeah, I put in what I believed were comparable ports. I don't think Lake Charles is a comparable port. I think, you know, the other ports are fairly comparable, yeah. Q. Can you look on page 13 of your rebuttal testimony, footnotes 5 and 8. A. Five yeah. Q. And you have in those footnotes that Canaveral and Key West are cruise ship ports, and that they are, therefore, not comparable ports. A. That's correct. All they handle is are cruise ships and they are very high tonnage and the 	 A. Yeah. You have you have a seasonal trade here probably the same as Alaska, I would assume. But they are not they are not the the the element or the sector to drive to Portland is not your primary source of revenue. It's just one of a whole mix of types of ships, where Key West and Port Canaveral, they are almost solely dependent upon the cruise ships, so it's not a comparable port. Q. Though I have additional questions, I realize I am past my time, so I will close there. No further guestions. JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Wiley, did you have something that you wanted to say? Did you have redirect before we turned to Staff? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, in this case I would like to do it at the end if that's possible.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 was really necessary. Q. So it was about which ports are comparable? A. What? Q. Was it A. Yeah, I put in what I believed were comparable ports. I don't think Lake Charles is a comparable port. I think, you know, the other ports are fairly comparable, yeah. Q. Can you look on page 13 of your rebuttal testimony, footnotes 5 and 8. A. Five yeah. Q. And you have in those footnotes that Canaveral and Key West are cruise ship ports, and that they are, therefore, not comparable ports. A. That's correct. All they handle is are cruise ships and they are very high tonnage and the pilots are very high paid. And the and they are very 	 A. Yeah. You have you have a seasonal trade here probably the same as Alaska, I would assume. But they are not they are not the the the element or the sector to drive to Portland is not your primary source of revenue. It's just one of a whole mix of types of ships, where Key West and Port Canaveral, they are almost solely dependent upon the cruise ships, so it's not a comparable port. Q. Though I have additional questions, I realize I am past my time, so I will close there. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Wiley, did you have something that you wanted to say? Did you have redirect before we turned to Staff? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, in this case I would like to do it at the end if that's possible. JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Sure.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 was really necessary. Q. So it was about which ports are comparable? A. What? Q. Was it A. Yeah, I put in what I believed were comparable ports. I don't think Lake Charles is a comparable port. I think, you know, the other ports are fairly comparable, yeah. Q. Can you look on page 13 of your rebuttal testimony, footnotes 5 and 8. A. Five yeah. Q. And you have in those footnotes that Canaveral and Key West are cruise ship ports. A. That's correct. All they handle is are cruise ships and they are very high tonnage and the pilots are very high paid. And the and they are very seasonal. They only handle cruise ships during the 	 A. Yeah. You have you have a seasonal trade here probably the same as Alaska, I would assume. But they are not they are not the the the element or the sector to drive to Portland is not your primary source of revenue. It's just one of a whole mix of types of ships, where Key West and Port Canaveral, they are almost solely dependent upon the cruise ships, so it's not a comparable port. Q. Though I have additional questions, I realize I am past my time, so I will close there. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Wiley, did you have something that you wanted to say? Did you have redirect before we turned to Staff? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, in this case I would like to do it at the end if that's possible. JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Sure. MR. WILEY: Thank you.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 was really necessary. Q. So it was about which ports are comparable? A. What? Q. Was it A. Yeah, I put in what I believed were comparable ports. I don't think Lake Charles is a comparable port. I think, you know, the other ports are fairly comparable, yeah. Q. Can you look on page 13 of your rebuttal testimony, footnotes 5 and 8. A. Five yeah. Q. And you have in those footnotes that Canaveral and Key West are cruise ship ports. and that they are, therefore, not comparable ports. A. That's correct. All they handle is are cruise ships and they are very high tonnage and the pilots are very high paid. And the and they are very seasonal. They only handle cruise ships during the winter months. And during the summer months, the cruise 	 A. Yeah. You have you have a seasonal trade here probably the same as Alaska, I would assume. But they are not they are not the the the element or the sector to drive to Portland is not your primary source of revenue. It's just one of a whole mix of types of ships, where Key West and Port Canaveral, they are almost solely dependent upon the cruise ships, so it's not a comparable port. Q. Though I have additional questions, I realize I am past my time, so I will close there. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Wiley, did you have something that you wanted to say? Did you have redirect before we turned to Staff? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, in this case I would like to do it at the end if that's possible. JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Sure. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Mr. Fukano.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 was really necessary. Q. So it was about which ports are comparable? A. What? Q. Was it A. Yeah, I put in what I believed were comparable ports. I don't think Lake Charles is a comparable port. I think, you know, the other ports are fairly comparable, yeah. Q. Can you look on page 13 of your rebuttal testimony, footnotes 5 and 8. A. Five yeah. Q. And you have in those footnotes that Canaveral and Key West are cruise ship ports. A. That's correct. All they handle is are cruise ships and they are very high tonnage and the pilots are very high paid. And the and they are very seasonal. They only handle cruise ships during the winter months. And during the summer months, the cruise ships go to Alaska. So it's a very seasonal operation 	 A. Yeah. You have you have a seasonal trade here probably the same as Alaska, I would assume. But they are not they are not the the the element or the sector to drive to Portland is not your primary source of revenue. It's just one of a whole mix of types of ships, where Key West and Port Canaveral, they are almost solely dependent upon the cruise ships, so it's not a comparable port. Q. Though I have additional questions, I realize I am past my time, so I will close there. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Wiley, did you have something that you wanted to say? Did you have redirect before we turned to Staff? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, in this case I would like to do it at the end if that's possible. JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Sure. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Mr. Fukano. MR. FUKANO: Hello. Staff is willing to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 was really necessary. Q. So it was about which ports are comparable? A. What? Q. Was it A. Yeah, I put in what I believed were comparable ports. I don't think Lake Charles is a comparable port. I think, you know, the other ports are fairly comparable, yeah. Q. Can you look on page 13 of your rebuttal testimony, footnotes 5 and 8. A. Five yeah. Q. And you have in those footnotes that Canaveral and Key West are cruise ship ports. and that they are, therefore, not comparable ports. A. That's correct. All they handle is are cruise ships and they are very high tonnage and the pilots are very high paid. And the and they are very seasonal. They only handle cruise ships during the winter months. And during the summer months, the cruise 	 A. Yeah. You have you have a seasonal trade here probably the same as Alaska, I would assume. But they are not they are not the the the element or the sector to drive to Portland is not your primary source of revenue. It's just one of a whole mix of types of ships, where Key West and Port Canaveral, they are almost solely dependent upon the cruise ships, so it's not a comparable port. Q. Though I have additional questions, I realize I am past my time, so I will close there. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Wiley, did you have something that you wanted to say? Did you have redirect before we turned to Staff? MR. WILEY: Your Honor, in this case I would like to do it at the end if that's possible. JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Sure. MR. WILEY: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Mr. Fukano.

60 (Pages 291 to 294)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 295		Page 297
1	ahead.	1	your witness?
2	MR. WILEY: Okay. That was a little faster	2	MR. FASSBURG: Yes, he will.
3	than I thought.	3	JUDGE PEARSON: All right. All right,
4	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	4	Mr. Carlson, Captain Carlson excuse me. If you could
5	BY MR. WILEY:	5	raise your right hand, I will swear you in.
6	Q. Captain Quick, you were asked about the workload	6	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
7	and and in terms of comparing your your chart	7	you give today will be the truth, the whole truth, and
8	on on on your page 13 of your rebuttal testimony	8	nothing but the truth?
9	to IC-14.	9	THE WITNESS: I do.
10	Do you know if there was distinction in the type	10	JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Thank you.
11	of of hours that were being totaled there? I believe	11	Mr. Fassburg, go ahead when you're ready.
12	yours were not time on task, but but workload all	12	IVAN J. CARLSON JR., witness herein, having been
13	workload related, correct?	13	first duly sworn on oath, was
14	A. Well, the time time on tasks for Puget Sound	14	examined and testified as
15	was provided by Ivan Carlson. I didn't develop that	15	follows:
16	information independently.	16	
17	Q. And in your chart there were different	17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	measurements; is that correct?	18	BY MR. FASSBURG:
19	A. What?	19	Q. Will you please state your legal name.
20	Q. In your chart there were different measurements,	20	A. Ivan James Carlson Junior.
21	or are you saying that you used the information that	21	Q. And your business address?
22	Captain Carlson tried to import on time on task from	22	A. 2003 Western Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98121.
23	him, or did you do your own calculations is my question?	23	Q. So your your pre-filed testimony and exhibits
24	A. Well, I asked Captain Carlson for time on task,	24	have been admitted into the record.
25	and it would be time on task. So I don't believe it is	25	Do you adopt your pre-filed testimony under oath
	Page 296		Page 298
1	different measurements. I believe he was giving me what	1	here today?
		1 <u>1</u>	nere today:
2	l asked for.	2	A. I do.
2 3	Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on		-
		2	A. I do.
3	Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on	2 3	A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson
3 4	Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page – my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on –	2 3 4	A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination.
3 4 5	Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on on a per-hour basis	2 3 4 5	 A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you.
3 4 5 6	 Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on on a per-hour basis A. Let me see. 	2 3 4 5 6	 A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION
3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on on a per-hour basis A. Let me see. Q that that PSP was one of the lowest paid 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DELAPPE:
3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on on a per-hour basis A. Let me see. Q that that PSP was one of the lowest paid pilotage groups in your chart; is that correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on on a per-hour basis A. Let me see. Q that that PSP was one of the lowest paid pilotage groups in your chart; is that correct? A. Oh, yeah. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DELAPPE: Q. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson. A. Good afternoon.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on on a per-hour basis A. Let me see. Q that that PSP was one of the lowest paid pilotage groups in your chart; is that correct? A. Oh, yeah. Okay. Q. The answer is "yes," did you say? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson. A. Good afternoon. Q. Just to start with, attending a meeting is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on on a per-hour basis A. Let me see. Q that that PSP was one of the lowest paid pilotage groups in your chart; is that correct? A. Oh, yeah. Okay. Q. The answer is "yes," did you say? A. Yeah, that's correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson. A. Good afternoon. Q. Just to start with, attending a meeting is not can you not hear me?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on on a per-hour basis A. Let me see. Q that that PSP was one of the lowest paid pilotage groups in your chart; is that correct? A. Oh, yeah. Okay. Q. The answer is "yes," did you say? A. Yeah, that's correct. MR. WILEY: Okay. No further questions. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson. A. Good afternoon. Q. Just to start with, attending a meeting is not can you not hear me? A. No, I was just wanting to see the whole screen.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on on a per-hour basis A. Let me see. Q that that PSP was one of the lowest paid pilotage groups in your chart; is that correct? A. Oh, yeah. Okay. Q. The answer is "yes," did you say? A. Yeah, that's correct. MR. WILEY: Okay. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Are there any 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson. A. Good afternoon. Q. Just to start with, attending a meeting is not - can you not hear me? A. No, I was just wanting to see the whole screen. There was a problem with seeing the whole screen. I can
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page - my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on - on a per-hour basis A. Let me see. Q that that PSP was one of the lowest paid pilotage groups in your chart; is that correct? A. Oh, yeah. Okay. Q. The answer is "yes," did you say? A. Yeah, that's correct. MR. WILEY: Okay. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Are there any questions from the Commissioners? Nope. All right. Thank you, Captain Quick. Thank you, you're excused. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson. A. Good afternoon. Q. Just to start with, attending a meeting is not can you not hear me? A. No, I was just wanting to see the whole screen. There was a problem with seeing the whole screen. I can see it now.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page – my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on – on a per-hour basis A. Let me see. Q. – that – that PSP was one of the lowest paid pilotage groups in your chart; is that correct? A. Oh, yeah. Okay. Q. The answer is "yes," did you say? A. Yeah, that's correct. MR. WILEY: Okay. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Are there any questions from the Commissioners? Nope. All right. Thank you, Captain Quick. Thank you, you're excused. THE WITNESS: I'm excused? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson. A. Good afternoon. Q. Just to start with, attending a meeting is not can you not hear me? A. No, I was just wanting to see the whole screen. There was a problem with seeing the whole screen. I can see it now. Q. Great.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page – my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on – on a per-hour basis A. Let me see. Q. – that – that PSP was one of the lowest paid pilotage groups in your chart; is that correct? A. Oh, yeah. Okay. Q. The answer is "yes," did you say? A. Yeah, that's correct. MR. WILEY: Okay. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Are there any questions from the Commissioners? Nope. All right. Thank you, Captain Quick. Thank you, you're excused. THE WITNESS: I'm excused? JUDGE PEARSON: Captain Quick, can you turn 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson. A. Good afternoon. Q. Just to start with, attending a meeting is not can you not hear me? A. No, I was just wanting to see the whole screen. There was a problem with seeing the whole screen. I can see it now. Q. Great. So attending a meeting is not the same as moving a ship; right? A. That is correct. They are different functions.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page - my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on - on a per-hour basis A. Let me see. Q that that PSP was one of the lowest paid pilotage groups in your chart; is that correct? A. Oh, yeah. Okay. Q. The answer is "yes," did you say? A. Yeah, that's correct. MR. WILEY: Okay. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Are there any questions from the Commissioners? Nope. All right. Thank you, Captain Quick. The WITNESS: I'm excused? JUDGE PEARSON: Captain Quick, can you turn off your audio and video? Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson. A. Good afternoon. Q. Just to start with, attending a meeting is not - can you not hear me? A. No, I was just wanting to see the whole screen. There was a problem with seeing the whole screen. I can see it now. Q. Great. So attending a meeting is not the same as moving a ship; right? A. That is correct. They are different functions. Q. And when PSP tracks assignments, it doesn't
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page - my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on - on a per-hour basis A. Let me see. Q that that PSP was one of the lowest paid pilotage groups in your chart; is that correct? A. Oh, yeah. Okay. Q. The answer is "yes," did you say? A. Yeah, that's correct. MR. WILEY: Okay. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Are there any questions from the Commissioners? Nope. All right. Thank you, Captain Quick. Thank you, you're excused. THE WITNESS: I'm excused? JUDGE PEARSON: Captain Quick, can you turn off your audio and video? Thank you. All right. Now I muted myself. Looking for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson. A. Good afternoon. Q. Just to start with, attending a meeting is not can you not hear me? A. No, I was just wanting to see the whole screen. There was a problem with seeing the whole screen. I can see it now. Q. Great. So attending a meeting is not the same as moving a ship; right? A. That is correct. They are different functions.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page - my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on - on a per-hour basis A. Let me see. Q that that PSP was one of the lowest paid pilotage groups in your chart; is that correct? A. Oh, yeah. Okay. Q. The answer is "yes," did you say? A. Yeah, that's correct. MR. WILEY: Okay. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Are there any questions from the Commissioners? Nope. All right. Thank you, Captain Quick. The WITNESS: I'm excused? JUDGE PEARSON: Captain Quick, can you turn off your audio and video? Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson. A. Good afternoon. Q. Just to start with, attending a meeting is not - can you not hear me? A. No, I was just wanting to see the whole screen. There was a problem with seeing the whole screen. I can see it now. Q. Great. So attending a meeting is not the same as moving a ship; right? A. That is correct. They are different functions. Q. And when PSP tracks assignments, it doesn't distinguish between attending a meeting or moving a ship, does it?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page – my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on – on a per-hour basis – A. Let me see. Q. – that – that PSP was one of the lowest paid pilotage groups in your chart; is that correct? A. Oh, yeah. Okay. Q. The answer is "yes," did you say? A. Yeah, that's correct. MR. WILEY: Okay. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Are there any questions from the Commissioners? Nope. All right. Thank you, Captain Quick. Thank you, you're excused. THE WITNESS: I'm excused? JUDGE PEARSON: Captain Quick, can you turn off your audio and video? Thank you. All right. Now I muted myself. Looking for Captain Carlson. If he can turn on his camera. Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson. A. Good afternoon. Q. Just to start with, attending a meeting is not can you not hear me? A. No, I was just wanting to see the whole screen. There was a problem with seeing the whole screen. I can see it now. Q. Great. So attending a meeting is not the same as moving a ship; right? A. That is correct. They are different functions. Q. And when PSP tracks assignments, it doesn't distinguish between attending a meeting or moving a ship, does it? A. No. They're tracked as meetings or they're
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page – my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on – on a per-hour basis – A. Let me see. Q. – that – that PSP was one of the lowest paid pilotage groups in your chart; is that correct? A. Oh, yeah. Okay. Q. The answer is "yes," did you say? A. Yeah, that's correct. MR. WILEY: Okay. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Are there any questions from the Commissioners? Nope. All right. Thank you, Captain Quick. Thank you, you're excused. THE WITNESS: I'm excused? JUDGE PEARSON: Captain Quick, can you turn off your audio and video? Thank you. All right. Now I muted myself. Looking for Captain Carlson. If he can turn on his camera. Thank 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson. A. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson. A. Good afternoon. Q. Just to start with, attending a meeting is not can you not hear me? A. No, I was just wanting to see the whole screen. I can see it now. Q. Great. So attending a meeting is not the same as moving a ship; right? A. That is correct. They are different functions. Q. And when PSP tracks assignments, it doesn't distinguish between attending a meeting or moving a ship, does it? A. No. They're tracked as meetings or they're tracked as a particular ship movement and the details on
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page – my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on – on a per-hour basis – A. Let me see. Q. – that – that PSP was one of the lowest paid pilotage groups in your chart; is that correct? A. Oh, yeah. Okay. Q. The answer is "yes," did you say? A. Yeah, that's correct. MR. WILEY: Okay. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Are there any questions from the Commissioners? Nope. All right. Thank you, Captain Quick. Thank you, you're excused. THE WITNESS: I'm excused? JUDGE PEARSON: Captain Quick, can you turn off your audio and video? Thank you. All right. Now I muted myself. Looking for Captain Carlson. If he can turn on his camera. Thank you. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson. THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson. A. Good afternoon. Q. Just to start with, attending a meeting is not can you not hear me? A. No, I was just wanting to see the whole screen. There was a problem with seeing the whole screen. I can see it now. Q. Great. So attending a meeting is not the same as moving a ship; right? A. That is correct. They are different functions. Q. And when PSP tracks assignments, it doesn't distinguish between attending a meeting or moving a ship, does it? A. No. They're tracked as meetings or they're
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Okay. And you concluded in your chart on page – my page 13 of your rebuttal testimony that on – on a per-hour basis – A. Let me see. Q. – that – that PSP was one of the lowest paid pilotage groups in your chart; is that correct? A. Oh, yeah. Okay. Q. The answer is "yes," did you say? A. Yeah, that's correct. MR. WILEY: Okay. No further questions. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Are there any questions from the Commissioners? Nope. All right. Thank you, Captain Quick. Thank you, you're excused. THE WITNESS: I'm excused? JUDGE PEARSON: Captain Quick, can you turn off your audio and video? Thank you. All right. Now I muted myself. Looking for Captain Carlson. If he can turn on his camera. Thank you. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I do. MR. FASSBURG: I will tender Captain Carlson for cross-examination. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson. A. Good afternoon, Captain Carlson. A. Good afternoon. Q. Just to start with, attending a meeting is not can you not hear me? A. No, I was just wanting to see the whole screen. I can see it now. Q. Great. So attending a meeting is not the same as moving a ship; right? A. That is correct. They are different functions. Q. And when PSP tracks assignments, it doesn't distinguish between attending a meeting or moving a ship, does it? A. No. They're tracked as meetings or they're tracked as a particular ship movement and the details on

61 (Pages 295 to 298)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 299		Page 301
1	Q. So PSP doesn't think that earning tariff	1	it pertains to the strict rotation system; right?
2	revenues for moving a ship is the same thing as earning	2	A. That is correct. I did say that.
3	tariff revenues for attending a meeting, does it?	3	Q. And so isn't that doesn't that conflict with
4	A. We don't earn tariff revenue at this time for	4	what you were saying about PSP and BPC not and the
5	attending a meeting.	5	tariffs not treating meetings as assignments?
6	Q. And the state licensing body, the Board of	6	A. Can you restate that, please? So I understand
7	Pilotage Commissioners, doesn't report to the public	7	the question.
8	that going to a meeting is the same thing as moving a	8	Q. My the first questions that we talked about,
9	ship; right?	9	Captain Carlson, were about the fact that for various
10	A. Washington State Pilot Commission asks the Puget	10	purposes, meetings are not considered assignments;
11	Sound Pilots to report the type and number of meetings	11	right?
12	we have monthly. Those are published on their website.	12	A. Yes. Well, no. Wait a minute. I'm not sure
13	Q. Thank you.	13	that I answered that meetings are not considered
14	And it doesn't mix those with vessel assignments	14	assignments. I'm not sure that was my answer.
15	in its reporting, does it?	15	Q. We don't treat meetings the same as moving a
16	A. They are reported separately.	16	ship; right?
17	Q. Thank you.	17	A. We record them differently. That's the
18	So in you state that the meetings for which a	18	difference.
19	pilot has been dispatched are considered assignments by	19	Q. So
20	PSP as it pertains to the strict rotation system.	20	A. But we do consider them tasks. They are just
21	Doesn't that conflict with what you're saying?	21	recorded differently. In Column A of the spreadsheet it
22	A. I said that in my testimony, or	22	indicates meeting, and then there will be a notation
23	Q. If you could if you could open Exhibit	23	towards the end. But but no, there they are
24	IC-42X.	24	assignments.
25	A. 42X.	25	Q. Meetings are assignments?
	Page 300		Page 302
1	Q. And you can go to page 46. You said this in	1	A. They are assignments. I think your question was
2	response to a discovery request.	2	are they revenue assignments.
3	A. So, it was	3	Q. Okay. So you talk about meetings as non-revenue
4	Q. And the discovery request is No. 507.	4	activities under the BPC policy statement of April 16,
5	MR. FASSBURG: Do you have those here with	5	2015; right?
6	you?	6	A. Correct.
7	THE WITNESS: No, I can't distinguish	7	Q. Okay. So you are not claiming that the BPC
8	between these 42Xs. The cross-exhibits I'm having a	8	policy statement justifies considering meetings as
9	hard time with.	9	assignments, are you?
10	MR. FASSBURG: I'm sorry. Captain Carlson's	10	A. I think they are recognized as non-revenue
11	notebook is just not tabbed very well. So it's going to	11	activities. Although it does say for the purposes they
12	take just a second to find it.	12	won't calculating the target assignment level, they
13			
14	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And which	13	won't be considered assignments. But that is for the
14	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And which Ms. DeLappe, which data request are we looking at?		
15		13	won't be considered assignments. But that is for the
	Ms. DeLappe, which data request are we looking at?	13 14	won't be considered assignments. But that is for the purposes of calculating the target assignment level.Q. You've introduced the BPC statement of policy as
15	Ms. DeLappe, which data request are we looking at? MS. DeLAPPE: This is Data Request No. 507.	13 14 15	won't be considered assignments. But that is for the purposes of calculating the target assignment level.
15 16	Ms. DeLappe, which data request are we looking at? MS. DeLAPPE: This is Data Request No. 507. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thank you.	13 14 15 16	 won't be considered assignments. But that is for the purposes of calculating the target assignment level. Q. You've introduced the BPC statement of policy as one of your exhibits in this case, Exhibit IC-12. Could
15 16 17	Ms. DeLappe, which data request are we looking at? MS. DeLAPPE: This is Data Request No. 507. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thank you. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you.	13 14 15 16 17	 won't be considered assignments. But that is for the purposes of calculating the target assignment level. Q. You've introduced the BPC statement of policy as one of your exhibits in this case, Exhibit IC-12. Could you please turn to that?
15 16 17 18	 Ms. DeLappe, which data request are we looking at? MS. DeLAPPE: This is Data Request No. 507. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thank you. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: It is on page 47 of the 	13 14 15 16 17 18	 won't be considered assignments. But that is for the purposes of calculating the target assignment level. Q. You've introduced the BPC statement of policy as one of your exhibits in this case, Exhibit IC-12. Could you please turn to that? A. Yes. Okay.
15 16 17 18 19	 Ms. DeLappe, which data request are we looking at? MS. DeLAPPE: This is Data Request No. 507. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thank you. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: It is on page 47 of the document. 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 won't be considered assignments. But that is for the purposes of calculating the target assignment level. Q. You've introduced the BPC statement of policy as one of your exhibits in this case, Exhibit IC-12. Could you please turn to that? A. Yes. Okay. Q. Thank you.
15 16 17 18 19 20	Ms. DeLappe, which data request are we looking at? MS. DeLAPPE: This is Data Request No. 507. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thank you. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: It is on page 47 of the document. MS. DeLAPPE: Yes, PDF page 47. Page 46.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 won't be considered assignments. But that is for the purposes of calculating the target assignment level. Q. You've introduced the BPC statement of policy as one of your exhibits in this case, Exhibit IC-12. Could you please turn to that? A. Yes. Okay. Q. Thank you. And in the first page of that exhibit, do you
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Ms. DeLappe, which data request are we looking at? MS. DeLAPPE: This is Data Request No. 507. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thank you. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: It is on page 47 of the document. MS. DeLAPPE: Yes, PDF page 47. Page 46. THE WITNESS: Okay.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 won't be considered assignments. But that is for the purposes of calculating the target assignment level. Q. You've introduced the BPC statement of policy as one of your exhibits in this case, Exhibit IC-12. Could you please turn to that? A. Yes. Okay. Q. Thank you. And in the first page of that exhibit, do you see where it says the definition of assignment?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Ms. DeLappe, which data request are we looking at? MS. DeLAPPE: This is Data Request No. 507. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thank you. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: It is on page 47 of the document. MS. DeLAPPE: Yes, PDF page 47. Page 46. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MS. DeLAPPE:	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 won't be considered assignments. But that is for the purposes of calculating the target assignment level. Q. You've introduced the BPC statement of policy as one of your exhibits in this case, Exhibit IC-12. Could you please turn to that? A. Yes. Okay. Q. Thank you. And in the first page of that exhibit, do you see where it says the definition of assignment? A. I do.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Ms. DeLappe, which data request are we looking at? MS. DeLAPPE: This is Data Request No. 507. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thank you. MS. DELAPPE: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: It is on page 47 of the document. MS. DeLAPPE: Yes, PDF page 47. Page 46. THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Thank you.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 won't be considered assignments. But that is for the purposes of calculating the target assignment level. Q. You've introduced the BPC statement of policy as one of your exhibits in this case, Exhibit IC-12. Could you please turn to that? A. Yes. Okay. Q. Thank you. And in the first page of that exhibit, do you see where it says the definition of assignment? A. I do. Q. And it says it's a billable event relating to

62 (Pages 299 to 302)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 303	Page 305
1	Q. Meetings aren't billable events under the	1 to read that back?
2	current tariff, are they?	2 (Question was read back.)
3	A. They are not billable events; that's correct.	3 THE WITNESS: I would agree that that
4	Q. And they won't they are not billable events	4 assignments for purposes that meetings for purposes
5	under the tariff being proposed by PSP; right?	5 of a TAL are not considered assignments. I think the
6	A. That's correct.	6 Pilot Commission recognizes them as assignments but not
7	Q. And they are not billable events under the	7 for purposes of calculating a target assignment level.
8	tariff recommended by the Commission Staff either;	8 BY MS. DeLAPPE:
9	right?	9 Q. When you say that you think the Commission
10	A. That's correct.	10 you mean the Board of Pilot Commissioners recognizes
11	Q. Okay. So the BPC document policy document	11 them as assignments; is that
12	that you referenced in response to discovery is support	12 A. No.
13	for your claim that meetings should be treated the same	13 Q. Am I understanding you?
14	as an assignment actually only applies to billable	14 A. No, that's not what I said. I said they
15	events relating to pilotage services; right?	15 well, they recognize them as a necessary item for the
16	A. I'm going to need you to restate that, because I	16 entire pilotage system for purposes of of calculating
17	think I heard you say that I'm trying to have them	17 the appropriate number of pilots but not when they
18	recorded as billable events, and that isn't what I'm	18 consider a TAL, the Pilot Commission normally sets a
19	saying.	19 target assignment level. Now, they haven't this time,
20	Q. No. I'm just referring to your response to that	20 but they normally set a target assignment level. When
21	Data Request No. 507 where you said that meetings for	21 measuring how many assignments, vessel assignments are
22	which a pilot has been dispatched are considered	accomplished, they use that against the target
23	assignments by PSP as it pertains to the strict rotation	assignment level. But how they get to the target
24	system. These non-revenue activities are defined in the	24 assignment level is they consider meetings in all the
25	BPC policy statement, but that policy statement has a	25 items listed in the WAC 065. I'm sure you've heard of
	Page 304	Page 306
1	definition for assignment that would not include	1 it or 363-116-065, and they consider meetings when
2	meetings.	2 they set the target assignment.
3	Are we in agreement so far?	3 Q. But we're in agreement that meetings do not
4	A. We are in agreement there. Item 10 in that	4 accrue towards fulfillment of the target assignment
5	policy statement defines a non-revenue activity.	5 level?
6	Q. Good. Yes. Let's turn to that. So that is	6 A. That is correct.
7	page 2 of Exhibit IC-12, and No. 10, non-revenue	7 Q. Okay. And under the PSP bylaws, meetings are
8	activities is defined as a non-billable event in which a	8 not assignments either; right?
9	pilot, other than the President of PSP, is assigned by	9 MR. FASSBURG: Can you refer him to the page
10	PSP to a license upgrade trip or a pilotage-related	10 and exhibit number?
11	activity not involving a ship movement. Right?	11 MS. DELAPPE: Yes. I was hoping he might
12	A. Correct.	12 just know that, but I'd be happy to.
13	Q. Would you agree that this definition confirms	13 THE WITNESS: Yes, please do.
14	that BPC does not equate meeting with assignment?	14 BY MS. DeLAPPE:
15	A. For purposes of calculating the target	15 Q. Exhibit EVB-5X, page 2, Section 3.8.
16	assignment level, I would agree.	16 A. What page? Excuse me. What bylaw number,
17	Q. And would you agree that your statement that	17 please?
18	meetings for which a pilot has been dispatched are	18 Q. Section 3.8. There's a definition of assignment
19	considered assignments by PSP as it pertains to strict	19 that we looked at a little earlier today in the
20	rotation system is not consistent with this policy	20 proceeding. And it says, "Assignment shall mean an
21	statement?	assignment to pilot a vessel." Right?
22	A. Please, I'm sorry. Can you I'm a little bit	22 A. Yes. Correct.
23	nervous. Can you please restate the question?	23 Q. All right. And if we turn just let's see
24	Q. Thank you. No problem.	to Section 3.23. So that's page 4 of that exhibit. You
25	MS. DeLAPPE: Could I ask the court reporter	25have a definition for general membership meeting. Aside
1		

63 (Pages 303 to 306)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 307		Page 309
1	from that definition of a meeting, is there another	1	A. I see. Yeah.
2	definition for meeting that you are aware of, Captain	2	Q. And that's page 26, lines 6 through 10. And I'm
3	Carlson, in the bylaws?	3	getting
4	A. I'm not sure if it addresses board meetings or	4	A. Excuse me just a minute. I need to find my
5	not. It may or may not. I'm not sure.	5	testimony here. And what page did you say?
6	Q. So would you agree actually, both the PSP	6	Q. Page 26.
7	bylaws and the PSP operating rules provide for meeting	7	A. Okay.
8	attendance by pilots for PBC board meetings, PSP	8	Q. All right. So on that page when you talk about
9	directors meetings, PSP committee meetings, and PSP	9	assignment time, you equated the time pilots spend
10	general membership meetings.	10	moving ships with the time spent in meetings by
11	Is that your general understanding? One or	11	providing a direct one-to-one ratio; didn't you?
12	other of the documents. You don't have to know which.	12	A. What do you mean by direct one-to-one ratio?
13	A. No. I'm not sure exactly whether every single	13	Can you
14	meeting that pilots are required to attend is in the	14	Q. Are you are you seeing the place where I'm
15	bylaws or the operating rules. It would be pretty	15	looking at your your testimony about that on page 26
16	difficult to to line item every single meeting that	16	of the numbered pages? I suspect that that's PDF
17	is required for the pilotage system.	17	page 28, for those looking at electronic files.
18	Q. Right. And that's certainly not my focus in our	18	A. I'm looking at 26. It does not include the
19	questions. If we could turn to the operating rules,	19	table. The first question: Have you performed any of
20	Exhibit EVB-6X.	20	your own analysis of total pilot workload?
21	A. Can you tell me the rule number, please.	21	Q. So at lines if you look at lines 6
22	Q. Yes. Rule 15. And so those, looking at the	22	through 10, you talk about your analysis. And you said,
23	exhibit, it's Exhibit 19. Page 19. Excuse me.	23	"I analyzed PSP's job history data for 2018 and 2019 to
24	A. Okay.	24	analyze the annual workload performed by pilots who
25	Q. All right. So in that rule, directors and	25	worked the entire year. My analysis looked at the total
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 committee members who attend internal PSP meetings are explicitly told that they shall not be entitled to additional respite for their meetings; right? A. I can attest to that. Q. Do you agree that that restriction is not a characteristic of doing a vessel assignment under the PSP bylaws and operating rules? A. I can you please say that one more time? Q. For a vessel assignment you get a respite; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So that's a difference? A. You you just to be sure, are you saying respite or a rest period after the assignment? Q. I'm saying respite based on Rule 15, that it refers to shall not be entitled to additional respite. A. Okay. I would agree. Q. Okay. So in your testimony you said that you 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 assignment time pilots spend moving ships, as well as time spent in meetings, repositioning training, and on standby at the pilot station"; correct? A. Okay. Yes. Q. So you're including meetings and training and total assignment time in your analysis? A. I'm including total assignment time for the ship moves and meeting and training and standby at pilot station in my analysis. Q. Yes. And so what I mean by a direct one-to-one ratio with meetings versus moving a vessel, would be that you didn't weigh give additional weight to a pilot moving a ship, time that was spent moving a ship, as compared to a non-revenue activities like a meeting; correct? A. No. Because I consider it to be equally as important. Q. Uh-huh. Even though there's no respite? A. It's the pilotage system that we're paying for
20	completed analysis of total assignment time pilots spend	20	here.
21	moving ships as well as the time spent in meetings,	21	Q. Right.
22	repositioning training and on standby at the pilot	22	A. Yeah.
23	station. And you provided a summary of that analysis.	23	Q. Even though under the bylaws there's no respite
24	If you'd like to turn to it, it's Exhibit IC-4TR.	24	provided for certain meetings; correct?
25	That's your rebuttal testimony.	25	A. No additional no day off provided for

64 (Pages 307 to 310)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 311	Page 313
1	attending no no additional respite.	1 repositioning should be included in an analysis of the
2	Q. Right.	2 primary groupings of pilot work?
3	A. Whereas the Commissioners receive additional	³ A. Certainly, yes.
4	respite.	4 Q. So let's turn actually, on that same page in
5	Q. So in your analysis, isn't it isn't it	5 your rebuttal testimony, how many hours do you show for
6	predicated on the assumption that a meeting is the same	6 total work time per pilot?
7	thing as moving a ship?	7 A. On average.
8	A. It's equally as important, yes.	8 Q. For 2018?
9	Q. And your work analysis is based on total	9 A. And that's an average.
10	assignment time compared to other work periods performed	10 Q. Sure.
11	by pilots, you know, you you talk about that on the	11 A. 2,000 now, 2,603 hours.
12	next page with your tables there, just where you	12 Q. And you show a total pilot station standby time
13	describe the primary groupings of pilot work broken down	13 of 851 hours?
14	into meetings, trainings, repos, and standby time at the	14 A. Correct.
15	pilot station?	15 Q. And total repositioning time was rounded
16	A. Yes.	16 159 hours?
17	Q. Would you take another look at the BPC policy	17 A. Yes.
18	document, Exhibit IC-12, where on the same page, page 2,	18 Q. And total of standby time and repositioning, if
19	Section 10, non-revenue activities.	19 we do a little math in our heads, is a little over a
20 21	A. Uh-uh.	20 thousand hours? 21 A. I quess so, yeah. Yeah.
22	Q. And do you agree that this definition says that a non it is a non-billable event that an activity	3
23	any activity that doesn't involve a ship movement?	 Q. So if you exclude that thousand hours, a little over a thousand hours, your remaining total assignment
24	A. I would agree that it's a non-billable event,	24 meeting and training time total would be almost 1,600
25	but it does add to the numbers of pilots calculation at	25 hours; right?
	Page 312	Page 314
1	the Pilot Commission.	1 A. Yep. If that was excluded, yeah, but it would
2	Q. And in that Section 10(i), it provides a very	2 be an error.
3	specific list of non-revenue activities: education,	3 Q. So for it in terms of what total assignments
4	training, simulation sessions, license, upgrade trips,	4 and BPC recognized non-revenue activities, according to
5	voyage planning sessions, and in that list, later in the	5 the policy document that we read, and the list in there,
6	list, it includes marine safety meetings, PSP business	6 that would be your revised total, wouldn't it?
7 8	and professional meetings; correct? A. Yes.	7 A. It would be, but I wouldn't revise the total 8 like that.
9	Q. But that list does not include standby time or	9 Q. And would you agree that just over 90 percent of
10	repositionings, does it?	10 your almost 1,600 total work period time is on
11	A. It no, it does not.	11 assignments and non-revenue activities?
12	Q. Okay. So that standby time or repositionings,	12 A. I would agree that they are non-revenue
13	they're not work associated with an assignment.	13 activities. I wouldn't agree that they are
13 14	they're not work associated with an assignment. A. The list	
14	A. The list	14 non-assignments.
14 15	A. The list Q. Right?	 14 non- assignments. 15 Q. So, Captain Carlson, you've dedicated a
14 15 16	 A. The list Q. Right? A. The list is not included, but WAC 363-116-065 	 non- assignments. Q. So, Captain Carlson, you've dedicated a significant portion of your pre-file testimony to
14 15 16 17	 A. The list Q. Right? A. The list is not included, but WAC 363-116-065 does. 	 14 non- assignments. 15 Q. So, Captain Carlson, you've dedicated a 16 significant portion of your pre-file testimony to 17 different permutations of workload. So I have a few
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. The list Q. Right? A. The list is not included, but WAC 363-116-065 does. Q. Can you elaborate how that is treated in that WAC, in your view? A. Well, travel and the time associated with the 	 14 non- assignments. 15 Q. So, Captain Carlson, you've dedicated a 16 significant portion of your pre-file testimony to 17 different permutations of workload. So I have a few 18 questions for you on that. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. Switch gears a bit.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. The list Q. Right? A. The list is not included, but WAC 363-116-065 does. Q. Can you elaborate how that is treated in that WAC, in your view? A. Well, travel and the time associated with the with getting to and from the assignment. If you're 	 14 non- assignments. 15 Q. So, Captain Carlson, you've dedicated a 16 significant portion of your pre-file testimony to 17 different permutations of workload. So I have a few 18 questions for you on that. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. Switch gears a bit. 21 In your testimony, you said that you quite
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. The list Q. Right? A. The list is not included, but WAC 363-116-065 does. Q. Can you elaborate how that is treated in that WAC, in your view? A. Well, travel and the time associated with the with getting to and from the assignment. If you're stuck at the pilot station, that's time associated with 	 14 non- assignments. 15 Q. So, Captain Carlson, you've dedicated a 16 significant portion of your pre-file testimony to 17 different permutations of workload. So I have a few 18 questions for you on that. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. Switch gears a bit. 21 In your testimony, you said that you quite 22 you said quite definitively that every single one of our
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. The list Q. Right? A. The list is not included, but WAC 363-116-065 does. Q. Can you elaborate how that is treated in that WAC, in your view? A. Well, travel and the time associated with the with getting to and from the assignment. If you're stuck at the pilot station, that's time associated with getting to or from the pilot station or to or from an 	 14 non- assignments. 15 Q. So, Captain Carlson, you've dedicated a 16 significant portion of your pre-file testimony to 17 different permutations of workload. So I have a few 18 questions for you on that. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. Switch gears a bit. 21 In your testimony, you said that you quite 22 you said quite definitively that every single one of our 23 181 duty days per year is a 24-hour workday; is that
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. The list Q. Right? A. The list is not included, but WAC 363-116-065 does. Q. Can you elaborate how that is treated in that WAC, in your view? A. Well, travel and the time associated with the with getting to and from the assignment. If you're stuck at the pilot station, that's time associated with 	 14 non- assignments. 15 Q. So, Captain Carlson, you've dedicated a 16 significant portion of your pre-file testimony to 17 different permutations of workload. So I have a few 18 questions for you on that. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. Switch gears a bit. 21 In your testimony, you said that you quite 22 you said quite definitively that every single one of our

65 (Pages 311 to 314)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

1 rebutal testimony? 0. Crainly, it's in your rebutal testimony at page 19. And i'm using the numbers of pages at the bottom of each page. 0. Crainly, it's in your rebutal testimony at page 19. And i'm using the numbers of pages at the bottom of each page. 1 0. Crainly, it's in your rebutal testimony at page 19. And i'm using the numbers of pages at the bottom of each page. 1 0. Crainly, it's in your solutial testimony at page 19. And i'm using the numbers of pages at the bottom of each page. 1 0. Crainly, it's in your solutial testimony at page 19. And i'm terms of our - your workload analysis, and so right now. 1 0. Crainly, it's in your solutial testimony at page 19. And you see where you said that at at the solution at the solution of each page. 1 0. Crainly, it's in your solutial testimony at page 19. And you see where you said that at at the solution is at the solution is at the solution is a solution. 1 0. Crainly, it's in your solutial testimony at page 19. And you see where you said that at a pilot testimony you gare with the? 1		Page 315	Page 317
3 page 19. And thm using the numbers of pages at the 5 Dr. Czesier and his rest period of the failupe 5 A. Yesh, So are you using 4TR? analysis. And so hight now, repositions are being analysis. And so hight now, repositions are being 6 Q. Yes, I am In 4TR. 5 And so right now, repositions are being considered on whether they should be counted as 7 A. Okay. And you see where you said that at 5 analysis. And so hight now, repositions are being considered on whether they should be counted as 10 A. Okay. And you see where you said that at 5 analysis. And so hight now, repositions are being considered on whether they should be counted as 10 A. Okay. Are you saying that every member of the analysis. And so hight now, repositions are being considered on whether they should be counted as 12 Puget Sound Piots, barring major medical, is on duy for for - 4,344 hours be any assignments. That are out should analysis, 13 considered on whether they should analysis, Go what is a the mover of hours. 14 A. We say they are owned by the dispatchers, and that is how we look at it. Weito owned by the 14 assignments. at a mover 14 hours. The meanum that a lapito can do, if they are parforming	1	rebuttal testimony?	1 Dr. Tonn has done a really good job of trying to get her
4 bortion of each page. 4 analysis. And so that's what's going on right now. 5 A. Yesh. So are you using 4TR? 5 And so right now, repositions are being 7 A. Okay. So I'm there. 5 And so right now, repositions are being 7 A. Okay. And you see where you said that at 5 5 8 O. Kay. Are you saying that every member of the 5 9 Bootson Flicts, barring major medical, is on duty 6 11 G. Kay. Are you saying that every member of the 5 9 Bootson Flicts, barring major medical, is on duty 6 11 G. So they need to be ready and available for 10 12 G. So they need to be ready and rested for their call 10 12 May the you say that every single one of your 20 13 G. So they need to be ready and rested for their call 11 14 and when you say that every single one of your 21 24 they may bay are parforming 11 25 testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems tot 22 26 And when you say that every single one of your 23 27 And	2	Q. Certainly. It's in your rebuttal testimony at	2 hands around definitions. Rest periods she brought in.
5 A. Yeah. So are you using HTR? 5 A do sight now, repositions are being considered by the Safety Committee on whether they should be counted as assignments. Meetings are being considered on whether they should be counted as assignments. So there's a lot that's full right now. 8 Q. Okay. And you see where you said that at life 2? 6 9 assignments. Meetings are being considered on whether they should be counted as assignments. So there's a lot that's full right now. 0 10 A. Yes. 0 Captain Carlson, it seems that with the ten-hour rest rule in place, the absolute maximum work that a pliot could legalty do while on dury in a 24-hour is a 14-hour assignment; would you agree with that? 11 Captain Carlson, it seems that with the ten-hour rest of whether they are performing maximum work that a pliot could legalty do while on dury in a 24-hour is a 14-hour assignment; would you agree with that? 12 A. We say they are owned by the dispatchers, and this thow wo look ati. We're owned by the dispatchers, and this how we look at an owner totice that may not age in the pilot? 13 C. So they need to be ready and rested for their call time, yes. 10 14 Deve the apolt owner how you say your pulot's on call 24-f, it seems to the sate a 0-hour. 22 14 Deve the apolt owner how yare - a plot does change if the ship goes to Canada. 23 15 The as in the totalling in your - in your testin owner yare - a plot does change if the ship	3	page 19. And I'm using the numbers of pages at the	3 Dr. Czeisler and his rest period or his fatigue
6 Q. Yes, I am in dTR. 6 considered by the Safety Committee on whether they 7 A. Okay, So I'm there. 7 So Way, Yang you saying that every wenther of the 11 Puget Sound Pilots, Aring major medical, is on duty 7 A. Yas. 11 C. Okay, And you see where you said that at 9 assignments. So there's a lot that's fluid right now. 12 Puget Sound Pilots, Aring major medical, is on duty 7 So they need to be ready and available for 13 for four - 4,344 hours per year? A. We say they are owned by the 6 14 A. We say they are owned by the 6 Could pilots, duty in a 24-hour is a 14-hour 16 dispatchers if they need us and we're rested, we need to 7 A. No. 17 A. They need to be ready and rested for their call 1 A. They need to be ready and rested for their call 12 time, yes. Q. Without anary change in the pilot? A. No. They are - they are - a pilot does change 13 imply that a pilot would actually be allowed to work A. What's there to allosagnew with that? 21 M. They need to be ready and rested for their call 2 22 M. They nend to thalis fluid ighth tows? 3	4	bottom of each page.	4 analysis. And so that's what's going on right now.
7 A. Okay, So Im there. 5 should count as assignments. Meetings are being. 8 0. Okay, And you see where you said that at line 2? considered on whether they should be counted as assignments. Meetings are being. 10 A. Yes. 0. Okay, Are you saying that every member of the Puget Sound Pilots, barring major medical, is on duty in a 24-hour is a 14-hour assignment. 11 Captain Carlson, it is eems that with the ten-hour rest at with that a pilot or - 4,344 hours per year? Captain Carlson, it is eems that with the ten-hour rest at with that a pilot or - 4,344 hours per year? 12 A. We say they are owned by the dispatchers, and that is how we look at it. We're owned by the dispatchers, and that is how we look at it. We're owned by the dispatchers if they need to be ready and rested for their call assignments at a moment's notice that many hours per year? C. So they need to be ready and rested for their call time, yes. The maximum that a pilot can do, if they are performing multiple harbor ships, is 1 Ahours. We have many signments that are over 20 hours. 12 M. Meet a pilot would actually be allowed to work at a polot can data. Can dwhen you say that every single one of your test moving you usey your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to a singerment - 12 Imply that a pilot would actually be allowed to work at a filt that bale. Can dwhen you say that every is performed by a pilot in a 24-hour workday, or earlier in your test in a 24-hour workday, or earlier in your test may that weet the totaling in the table. Can thower in a 24-hour workday. <th>5</th> <th>A. Yeah. So are you using 4TR?</th> <th>5 And so right now, repositions are being</th>	5	A. Yeah. So are you using 4TR?	5 And so right now, repositions are being
8 C. Okay. And you see where you said that at line 2? a considered on whether they should be counted as asignments. So there's a lot that's third right now. 10 A. Yes. 0 Okay. Are you saying that every member of the provide Sound Pilots, barring major medical, is on duty for four - 4,344 hours per year? 10 Captain Carlson, it seems that with the ten-hour rest rule in place, the absolute maximum work that a pilot could legally do while on duty in a 24-hour is a 14-hour assignment. Yes moved by the dispatchers if they need us and we're rested, we need to dispatchers if they need us and we're rested, we need to gather any samples ta a moment's notice that many hours per year? 0 A. We have many assignments ta anot do, if they are performing multiple harbor ships, is 13 hours. We have many assignments and on do, if they are performing multiple harbor ships, is 13 hours. We have many assignments and and with a pilot can do, if they are performing multiple harbor ships, is 13 hours. We have many assignments and and they are performing multiple harbor ships, is 13 hours. We have many assignments and and they are performing multiple harbor ships, is 13 hours. We have many assignments and and a they are - a pilot does change if the ship goes to Canada. 1 Page 316 1 Page 316 1 Page 318 1 Q. So ary - 2 2 A. They will not work that's not true; right's was the implication here, it's incorrect. We didn't total the hours 181 times 24. 1 Q. So ary - 2 2 A. The will not stand bridge watch. Most ill the ship goes to canada. 1 Q. So ary	6	Q. Yes, I am in 4TR.	6 considered by the Safety Committee on whether they
9 line 2? 9 assignments. So there's a lot that's fluid right now. 10 A. Yes. Q. And in terms of our - your workload analysis, 11 G. Okay. Are you saying that every member of the Page Sound Pilots, barring major medical, is on duty 11 Gr four - 4,344 hours per year? 11 12 A. We say they are owned by the dispatchers, and 11 14 A. We say they are owned by the dispatchers, and 11 16 dispatchers if they need us and we're rested, we need to 16 17 go. So they need to be ready and available for assignments that are over 14 hours. 18 A. They need to be ready and rested for their call 11 The maximum that a pilot cando, if they are performing 19 assignments at a moment's notice that many hours per 24 Q. Without any change in the pilot? 21 A. They need to be ready and rested for their call 11 Q. Without any change in the pilot? 22 testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to 24 Q. Right. 23 inply that a pilot would actually be allowed to work 24 Q. So any - 24 A. If that was the implication here, it's A. Ochurs hout any changed. <th>7</th> <th>A. Okay. So I'm there.</th> <th>7 should count as assignments. Meetings are being</th>	7	A. Okay. So I'm there.	7 should count as assignments. Meetings are being
10 A. Yes. 10 Can and in terms of our -your workdad analysis, 11 Puget Sound Pilots, barring major medical, is on duty 11 Captain Carlson, it seems that with the ten-hour rest 11 A. We say they are owned by the dispatchers, and 11 could legally do wills on duty in a 24-hour is a 14-hour 15 that is how we look at it. We're owned by the 16 A. No. 16 dispatchers if they need us and we're rested, we need to 16 A. We say they are cowned by the 16 dispatchers at a moment's notice that many hours per year? A. They need to be ready and available for assignments at are over 14 hours. 17 A. They need to be ready and rested for their call time, yes. 17 A. We have many assignments that are over 14 hours. 18 They need to be ready and rested for their call time, yes. 18 The ship goes to Canada. 19 23 A. And when you say that every single one of your 24 A. No. They are - they are - a pilot does change 16 A. Which but the assignment 24 A. If that was the implication here, it's Incorrect. We dicht total the hours 181 times 24. A. Which but the assignment 25 A. If that was the implication here, it's A. And th	8	Q. Okay. And you see where you said that at	8 considered on whether they should be counted as
11 0. Okay. Are you saying that every member of the 11 Captain Carlson, it seems that with the ten-hour rest 12 Puget Sound Pilots, barring major medical, is on duty 11 rule in place, the absolute maximum work that a pilot 14 A. We say they are owned by the dispatchers, and 11 Captain Carlson, it seems that with the ten-hour rest 14 A. We say they are owned by the dispatchers, and 11 Captain Carlson, it seems that with the ten-hour rest 14 A. We say they are owned by the dispatchers, and 11 Captain Carlson, it seems that with the ten-hour rest 16 dispatchers if they need us and we're rested, we need to A. No. Call diagnity do while on duty in a 24-hour sort 14 hours. 18 O. So they need to be ready and available for assignments that are over 20 hours. A. We have many assignments that are over 20 hours. 21 A. They need to be ready and rested for their call assignments that are over 20 hours. A. We have many assignments that are over 20 hours. 22 testimony you say say purp pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to Call display do while on duty if the sing passignment set are over 20 hours. A. Nich + but the assignment - 23 imply that a pilot would actually be allowed to work A. If that was the implication here, it's A. Orduration doesn't changed. <th>9</th> <th>line 2?</th> <th>9 assignments. So there's a lot that's fluid right now.</th>	9	line 2?	9 assignments. So there's a lot that's fluid right now.
12 Puget Sound Pilots, barring major medical, is on duty 12 rule in place, the absolute maximum work that a plot 13 for four – 4,344 hours per year? 13 assignment; would you agree with that? 15 that is how we look at it. We're owned by the 13 assignment; would you agree with that? 16 dispatchers if they need to be ready and available for assignments at are over 14 hours. 17 go. O. So they need to be ready and rested for their call 16 18 They need to be ready and rested for their call 17 A. We have many assignments that are over 20 hours. 23 O. And when you say that every single one of your 24 A. Which - but the assignment 24 duty days is a 24-hour workday, or earlier in your 25 A. Which - but the assignment 24 assignment would actually be allowed to work 2 A. They will not stand a bridge watch. Most 16 That is not the totalling in the table. 1 Q. Without any closent changed. 17 right? A. They will not stand a bridge watch. Most 1 18 testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to A. So any - 24 A. If that was the implication here, it's	10	A. Yes.	10 Q. And in terms of our your workload analysis,
13 for four – 4,344 hours per year? 13 could legally do while on duty in a 24-hour is a 14-hour 14 A. We say they are owned by the dispatchers, and 14 assignment; would you agree with that? 16 dispatchers if they need us and we're rested, we need to 0. A. We have many assignment; would you agree with that? 19 assignment; sould legally do while on duty in a 24-hour is a 14-hour assignment; would you agree with that? 10 Q. What is there to disagree with? A. We have many assignments that are over 10 hours. 11 assignment; would actual to be ready and rested for their call The maximum that a pilot can do. if they are performing 12 A. They need to be ready and rested for their call C. Without any charge in the pilot? 12 A. They need to be ready and rested for their call C. Without any charge in the pilot? 14 A. Me' have many assignments that are over 10 hours. C. Without any charge in the pilot? 15 A. May and we're reason and the stat the course of your C. Without any charge in the pilot? 16 The maximum workday, but that's not true; Tight? 17 A. They need to be ready and rested to work C. Any individual pilot will not work more than 14 A. If that was the implication here, it	11	Q. Okay. Are you saying that every member of the	11 Captain Carlson, it seems that with the ten-hour rest
14 A. We say they are owned by the dispatchers, and 14 assignment; would you agree with that? 15 that is how we look at it. We're owned by the 15 A. No. 17 go. C. So they need to be ready and available for 18 O. So they need to be ready and rested for their call 16 O. What is there to disagree with? 19 assignments at a moment's notice that many hours per year? A. They need to be ready and rested for their call 17 A. We have many assignments that are over 14 hours. 20 assignments at a moment's notice that many hours per year? A. They need to be ready and rested for their call 10 What is there to disagree with? 21 A. They need to be ready and rested for their call 21 A. No. They are a pilot does change 22 A. And when you say that every single one of your 24 A. No. They are a pilot does change 24 duty days is a 24-hour workday, but that's not true; 25 A. Which but the assignment 24 A. If that was the implication here, it's 1 A. So any - 3 G. Correct. And so later in your in your 4 A. Hours in a 24-hour workday, but that's not true; 3 G. Correct. And so later in your in your	12	Puget Sound Pilots, barring major medical, is on duty	12 rule in place, the absolute maximum work that a pilot
15 that is how we look at it. We're owned by the 15 A. No. 16 dispatchers if they need us and we're rested, we need to 16 Q. What is there to disagree with? 18 Q. So they need to be ready and available for assignments at a moment's notice that many hours per year? A. They need to be ready and rested for their call time, yes. 18 A. They need to be ready and rested for their call time, yes. 21 A. They need to be ready and rested for their call time, yes. Q. Without any change in the pilot? 23 Q. And when you say that every single one of your duty days is a 24-hour workday, or call 24-7, it seems to the ship goes to Canada. Q. Right. 24 a. They need to be ready and rested for their call time, yes. Q. And when you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to the ship goes to Canada. Q. Any individual pilot ware a pilot does change 25 testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to the ship goes to Canada. Q. Any individual pilot will not work more than 1 A. If that was the implication here, it's A. How will not work more than 3 right? A. If they was in a 24-hour workday, but that's not true; 4 A. If that was the implication here, it's A. How will not work more than 1 A. So they will not tanda bridge watch. Most Ikely, no, they worn stand a bridge	13	for four 4,344 hours per year?	13 could legally do while on duty in a 24-hour is a 14-hour
16 G. So they need us and we're rested, we need to 16 G. What is there to disagree with? 17 G. So they need to be ready and available for 17 A. We have many assignments that are over 14 hours. 19 assignments at a moment's notice that many hours per year? M. They need to be ready and rested for their call 17 M. We have many assignments that are over 14 hours. 20 year? A. They need to be ready and rested for their call 10 M. We have many assignments that are over 20 hours. 21 A. They need to be ready and rested for their call 10 Without any change in the pilot? 22 A. And when you say that every single one of your 24 Q. Without any change in the pilot? 23 Q. And when you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to 24 Q. Right. 24 D. So any - 24 Q. Right. 25 A. If that was the implication here, it's 10 Q. So any - 3 incorrect. We dich't total the hours 181 times 24. 5 A. They will not work more than 4 A. If that was the implication here, it's 14 A. If that was the implication here, it's 4 A. Cretect. And so later in your - in your 4 A. They will not stand a br	14	A. We say they are owned by the dispatchers, and	14 assignment; would you agree with that?
17 gc. 18 G. So they need to be ready and available for assignments at a moment's notice that many hours per year? 17 A. We have many assignments that are over 14 hours. The maximum thrat a pilot can do, if they are - a pilot does change if the ship goes to Canada. 21 A. They need to be ready and rested for their call time, yes. 0. Without any change in the pilot? 23 Q. And when you say that every single one of your duty days is a 24-hour workday, or earlier in your testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to Q. No. They are - a pilot does change 24 Dege 316 Page 318 1 imply that a pilot would actually be allowed to work 24 hours in a 24-hour workday, but that's not true; right? Q. So any - 2 A. If that was the implication here, it's incorrect. We didn't total the hours 181 times 24. Q. Any individual pilot will not work more than 4 A. If that was the implication here, it's incorrect. And so later in your - in your testimony, you reference mandatory rest rules that have been adopted by ker, right? Q. Correct. And so later in your - in your testimony, you reference mandatory rest rules that have been assignments? Q. Do you think that a PSP pilot would ever knowingly and delberately exceed a 14-hour assignment imit as an individual pilot will pilot will apply thet year end testimony, you reference mandatory rest rules that have been assignments? Q. Do you unant there imean assignments as vessel movements; right? Q. Do you unant anything more than that with	15	that is how we look at it. We're owned by the	15 A. No.
18 Q. So they need to be ready and available for assignments at a moment's notice that many hours per year? 18 The maximum that a pilot can do, if they are performing multiple hatros ships, is 13 hours. We have many assignments that are over 20 hours. 21 A. They need to be ready and rested for their call time, yes. 0 Whou tany change in the pilot? 22 A. And when you say that every single one of your duty days is a 24-hour workday, or earlier in your testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to target the ship goes to Canada. 0. Without any change in the pilot? 24 And when you say that every single one of your duty days is a 24-hour workday, but that's not true; right? 0. Any individual pilot will not work more than 25 imply that a pilot would actually be allowed to work 24 hours in a 24-hour workday, but that's not true; right? 0. Any individual pilot will not work more than 1 Q. So any - A. If that was the implication here, it's incorrect. We didn't total the hours 181 times 24. 14 A. Hours in a 24-hour workday. 2 7 Q. Correct. And so later in your - in your testimony, you reference mandatory rest rules that have be performed by a pilot in a 24-hour set rest for over 20 hours, but they wont stand a bridge watch. 9 Q. boy unkin that a PSP pilot would ever knowingly and deliberately exceed a 14-hour assignme	16	dispatchers if they need us and we're rested, we need to	16 Q. What is there to disagree with?
19 assignments at a moment's notice that many hours per year? 19 multiple harbor ships, is 13 hours. We have many assignments that are over 20 hours. 21 A. They need to be ready and rested for their call time, yes. 0. And when you say that every single one of your testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to testimony you rest would actually be allowed to work testimony. you reference mandatory rest rules that have the implication here, it's incorrect. We didit total the hours 181 times 24. 1 Q. So any - 1 A. If that was the implication here, it's incorrect. We didit total the hours 181 times 24. 1 Q. So any - 7 Q. Correct. And so later in your - in your test service that can be performed by a pilot in a 24-hour period; correct? A. They will not stand a bridge watch. Most to get rest for over 20 hours, but they won't stand a bridge watch. 8 9 De you think that a PSP pilot would ever knowingly and deliberately exceed a 14-hour assignment time as an individual pilot unless perhaps it were necesary due to an emergency or extremely rare circumstance? 9 Q. Do you want to explain why you think that? 10 A. Yes. 1 4 A. The's tifne way the statute's written. And actually if -if you	17	go.	17 A. We have many assignments that are over 14 hours.
20 year? 20 assignments that are over 20 hours. 21 A. They need to be ready and rested for their call 20 assignments that are over 20 hours. 23 A. And when you say that every single one of your duty days is a 24-hour workday, or earlier in your testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to 20 A. No. They are a pilot does change if the ship goes to Canada. 24 A. My individual pilot work are they are a pilot does change if the ship goes to Canada. C. Right. 25 imply that a pilot would actually be allowed to work A. H that was the implication here, it's incorrect. We didn't total the hours 181 times 24. 1 C. So any - 2 A. If that was the implication here, it's incorrect. We didn't total the hours 181 times 24. 3 Chorest And so later in your - in your test not voer 20 hours, but they won't stand a bridge watch. Most ilikely, no, they won't stand a bridge watch. 1 0. Do you think that a PSP pilot would ever knowingly and deliberately exceed a 14-hour assignment ilimit as an individual pilot unless perhaps it were necessary due to an emergency or extremely rare circumstance? 1 A. Yes. 0. Do you want to explain why you think that? A. Yes. 15 A. Yes. 0. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would not knowingly and deliberately exceed a 14-hour assignment is or - houry and you mutipy that by the 14 hours is neas withe statute's written. And actually if - if you have a meeti	18	Q. So they need to be ready and available for	18 The maximum that a pilot can do, if they are performing
A. They need to be ready and rested for their call 21 Q. Without any change in the pilot? 22 Q. And when you say that every single one of your 4 A. No. They are they are a pilot does change 23 Q. And when you say that every single one of your 4 Q. Right. 24 duty days is a 24-hour workday, or earlier in your 24 Q. Right. 25 testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to 24 Q. So any 24 A. If that was the implication here, it's A. Which but the assignment 26 Page 316 Page 318 1 imply that a pilot would actually be allowed to work 1 Q. So any 24 A. If that was the implication here, it's - duration doesn't changed. 3 3 G. Correct. And so later in your in your 14 hours in a 24-hour workday. but that's no true, if's 5 3 G. And those rules restrict the total hours of 5 Service that can be performed by a pilot in a 24-hour 3 Q. And thore I mean assignments as vessel 0 Do you want to explain why you think that? 10 between assignments? 14 A. Yes. 15 15 A. Okay.	19	assignments at a moment's notice that many hours per	19 multiple harbor ships, is 13 hours. We have many
22 time, yes. 22 A. No. They are they are a pilot does change 23 G. And when you say that every single one of your 23 G. And when you say that every single one of your 24 duty days is a 24-hour workday, or earlier in your 23 G. Right. 25 testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to Page 316 Page 316 1 imply that a pilot would actually be allowed to work 24 G. So any 24 A. If that was the implication here, it's A. If that was the implication here, it's G. Correct. And so later in your - in your 4 A. If that was the implication here, it's Ithe totalling in the table. G. Correct. And so later in your - in your 6 That is not the totalling in the table. G. Correct. And so later in your - in your 7 G. Correct. And so later in your - in your Page 316 8 beinge watch. Page 414 9 bean dopted by law; right? A. They will not stand a bridge watch. 10 A. Yes. G. And those rules restrict the total hours of 11 Q. And those rules restrict the total hours of Service that can be performed by a pilot in a 24-hour 12 A. Mdthree I mean assignments?	20	year?	20 assignments that are over 20 hours.
22 time, yes. 22 A. No. They are they are a pilot does change 23 du dy days is a 24-hour workday, or earlier in your 23 24 duty days is a 24-hour workday, or earlier in your 24 25 testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to 24 26 imply that a pilot would actually be allowed to work 24 27 right? A. Which but the assignment 28 right? A. If that was the implication here, it's 3 incorrect. We didn't total the hours 181 times 24. A. If that was the implication here, it's 4 A. If that was the implication here, it's 3 5 incorrect. We didn't total the hours 181 times 24. 4 6 That is not the totalling in the table. 6 7 Q. Correct. And so later in your - in your 7 8 been adopted by law; right? 7 9 been adopted by law; right? 9 10 A. Ard those rules restrict the total hours of 8 11 Q. So any 1 12 A. Add those rules restrict the total hours of 1 13 A. Yes. <	21	A. They need to be ready and rested for their call	21 Q. Without any change in the pilot?
23 Q. And when you say that every single one of your 23 if the ship goes to Canada. 24 duty days is a 24-hour workday, or earlier in your 24 Q. Right. 25 testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to 25 A. Which but the assignment Page 316 Page 318 10 A. That is not the totalling in the table. 6 Correct. And so later in your - in your testimony, you reference mandatory rest rules that have been adopted by law; right? A. Yes. 10 A reference mandatory rest preiod been adopted by law; right? A Yes. Correct. And so later in your - in your 10 A. Yes. 10 A. Okay. 10 Correct. Ye didn	22		A. No. They are they are a pilot does change
24 duty days is a 24-hour workday, or earlier in your 24 Q. Right. 25 duty days is a 24-hour workday, or earlier in your 24 Q. Right. 25 A. Which but the assignment Page 316 Page 316 Page 316 Page 316 Page 316 Page 318 1 A workday, but that's not true; 3 right? A. If that was the implication here, it's incorrect. We didn't total the hours 181 times 24. 5 That is not the totalling in the table. 6 Q. Correct. And so later in your in your 7 e. A. Yes. 0. Co you think that a PSP pilot would ever knowingly and deliberately exceed a 14-hour assignment 8 between assignments? 15 0. Do you want to explain why you think that? 12 A. Yes. 16 A. Yes. 13 A. Okay. 18 A. Yes. 14 A. Okay. 18 0. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would not knowingly and deliberately exceed that. Okay. I think we agree.	23	-	
Page 316 Page 318 1 imply that a pilot would actually be allowed to work 1 Q. So any 2 24 hours in a 24-hour workday, but that's not true; 7 A. If that was the implication here, it's incorrect. We didn't total the hours 181 times 24. 3 Q. Any individual pilot will not work more than 4 A. If that was the implication here, it's 3 Q. Any individual pilot will not work more than 4 A. If that was the implication here, it's 3 Q. Any individual pilot will not work more than 4 A. If that was the implication here, it's 3 Q. Any individual pilot will not work more than 6 That is not the totalling in the table. 4 14 hours in a 24-hour period; correct? 7 A. Correct. And so later in your – in your 7 not get rest for over 20 hours, but they won't stand a 9 been adopted by law; right? 9 Q. Do you think that a PSP pilot would ever 10 A. Yes. 11 11 11 11 a. And thore r lmean assignments as vessel 15 A. Yes. 15 12 A. Okay. 15 A. Do you want to explain why you think that? 16 14	24		
1imply that a pilot would actually be allowed to work1Q. So any224 hours in a 24-hour workday, but that's not true;3Q. Any individual pilot will not work more than4A. If that was the implication here, it's3Q. Any individual pilot will not work more than4A. If that was the implication here, it's3Q. Any individual pilot will not work more than5incorrect. We didn't total the hours 181 times 24.5A. They will not stand a bridge watch. Most6That is not the totalling in the table.6likely, no, they won't stand a bridge watch. They may7Q. Correct. And so later in your - in your7not get rest for over 20 hours, but they won't stand a9been adopted by law; right?9Q. Do you think that a PSP pilot would ever10A. Yes.1Immediate and the performed by a pilot in a 24-hour11Q. And those rules restrict the total hours of11limit as an individual pilot unless perhaps it were12service that can be performed by a pilot in a 24-hour12necessary due to an emergency or extremely rare13period by requiring at least a 10-hour rest period13circumstance?14A. Yes.15Q. Do you want to explain why you think that?15A. Yes.15Q. Do you want to explain why you said would not16M. And there I mean assignments as vessel16A. I'm - I'm sorry. I thought you said would not17movements; right?18Q. Do you umean anything more than that with the <tr< th=""><th>25</th><th>testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to</th><th>25 A. Which but the assignment</th></tr<>	25	testimony you say your pilot's on call 24-7, it seems to	25 A. Which but the assignment
224 hours in a 24-hour workday, but that's not true; right?2A duration doesn't changed.3right?3Q. Any individual pilot will not work more than4A. If that was the implication here, it's414 hours in a 24-hour period; correct?5incorrect. We didn't total the hours 181 times 24.5A. They will not stand a bridge watch. Most6That is not the totalling in the table.6likely, no, they won't stand a bridge watch. They may7Q. Correct. And so later in your - in your7not get rest for over 20 hours, but they won't stand a8testimony, you reference mandatory rest rules that have8bridge watch.9been adopted by law; right?9Q. Do you think that a PSP pilot would ever10A. Yes.10limit as an individual pilot unless perhaps it were12service that can be performed by a pilot in a 24-hour1213period by requiring at least a 10-hour rest period1314between assignments?14A. Yes.15A. Yes.15Q. Do you want to explain why you think that?16Q. And there I mean assignments as vessel16A. I'm I'm sorry. I thought you said would not17movements; right?18Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would18A. Okay.18Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would19Q. Do you mean anything more than that with the19not - okay. That's fine. Would not knowingly and20ten-hour rest period that's required?<		Page 316	Page 318
224 hours in a 24-hour workday, but that's not true; right?2A duration doesn't changed.3right?3Q. Any individual pilot will not work more than4A. If that was the implication here, it's414 hours in a 24-hour period; correct?5incorrect. We didn't total the hours 181 times 24.5A. They will not stand a bridge watch. Most6That is not the totalling in the table.6likely, no, they won't stand a bridge watch. They may7Q. Correct. And so later in your - in your7not get rest for over 20 hours, but they won't stand a8testimony, you reference mandatory rest rules that have8bridge watch.9been adopted by law; right?9Q. Do you think that a PSP pilot would ever10A. Yes.10limit as an individual pilot unless perhaps it were12service that can be performed by a pilot in a 24-hour1213period by requiring at least a 10-hour rest period1314between assignments?14A. Yes.15A. Yes.15Q. Do you want to explain why you think that?16Q. And there I mean assignments as vessel16A. I'm I'm sorry. I thought you said would not17movements; right?18Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would18A. Okay.18Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would19Q. Do you mean anything more than that with the19not - okay. That's fine. Would not knowingly and20ten-hour rest period that's required?<	1	imply that a pilot would actually be allowed to work	1 Q. So any
3right?3Q. Any individual pilot will not work more than4A. If that was the implication here, it's414 hours in a 24-hour period; correct?5incorrect. We didn't total the hours 181 times 24.5A. They will not stand a bridge watch. Most6That is not the totalling in the table.6likely, no, they won't stand a bridge watch. They may7Q. Correct. And so later in your - in your7not get rest for over 20 hours, but they won't stand a8testimony, you reference mandatory rest rules that have9Deen adopted by law, right?90A. Yes.10Knowingly and deliberately exceed a 14-hour assignment11Q. And those rules restrict the total hours of11limit as an individual pilot unless perhaps it were12service that can be performed by a pilot in a 24-hour12necessary due to an emergency or extremely rare13period by requiring at least a 10-hour rest period13circumstance?14between assignments?15A. Yes.015A. Yes.15Q. Do you want to explain why you think that?16Q. And there I mean assignments as vessel16A. I'm - I'm sorry. I thought you said would not17movements; right?17knowingly.18A. Okay.18Q. No. No. That's fine. Would not knowingly and19Q. Do you mean anything more than that with the1920ten-hour rest period that's required?2021A. That's the way the statute's written. And <th>2</th> <th></th> <th>-</th>	2		-
5incorrect. We didn't total the hours 181 times 24.5A. They will not stand a bridge watch. Most6That is not the totalling in the table.6likely, no, they won't stand a bridge watch. They may7Q. Correct. And so later in your in your7not get rest for over 20 hours, but they won't stand a8testimony, you reference mandatory rest rules that have8bridge watch.9been adopted by law; right?9Q. Do you think that a PSP pilot would ever10A. Yes.10knowingly and deliberately exceed a 14-hour assignment11Q. And those rules restrict the total hours of11limit as an individual pilot unless perhaps it were12service that can be performed by a pilot in a 24-hour12necessary due to an emergency or extremely rare13period by requiring at least a 10-hour rest period13circumstance?14between assignments?14A. Yes.15A. Yes.15Q. Do you want to explain why you think that?16Q. And there I mean assignments as vessel16A. I'm - I'm sorry. I thought you said would not17movements; right?17knowingly.18A. Okay.18Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would19Q. Do you mean anything more than that with the19not - okay. That's fine. Would not knowingly and20ten-hour rest period that's required?20Good.21A. That's the way the statute's written. And21Good.22actually if if you	3	-	-
5incorrect. We didn't total the hours 181 times 24.5A. They will not stand a bridge watch. Most6That is not the totalling in the table.6likely, no, they won't stand a bridge watch. They may7Q. Correct. And so later in your in your7not get rest for over 20 hours, but they won't stand a8testimony, you reference mandatory rest rules that have8bridge watch.9been adopted by law; right?9Q. Do you think that a PSP pilot would ever10A. Yes.10knowingly and deliberately exceed a 14-hour assignment11Q. And those rules restrict the total hours of11limit as an individual pilot unless perhaps it were12service that can be performed by a pilot in a 24-hour12necessary due to an emergency or extremely rare13period by requiring at least a 10-hour rest period13circumstance?14between assignments?14A. Yes.15A. Yes.15Q. Do you want to explain why you think that?16Q. And there I mean assignments as vessel16A. I'm - I'm sorry. I thought you said would not17movements; right?17knowingly.18A. Okay.18Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would19Q. Do you mean anything more than that with the19not - okay. That's fine. Would not knowingly and20ten-hour rest period that's required?20Good.21A. That's the way the statute's written. And21Good.22actually if if you	4	-	
6That is not the totalling in the table.6likely, no, they won't stand a bridge watch. They may7Q. Correct. And so later in your - in your7not get rest for over 20 hours, but they won't stand a8testimony, you reference mandatory rest rules that have9been adopted by law; right?9Q. Do you think that a PSP pilot would ever10A. Yes.10knowingly and deliberately exceed a 14-hour assignment11Q. And those rules restrict the total hours of11limit as an individual pilot unless perhaps it were12service that can be performed by a pilot in a 24-hour12necessary due to an emergency or extremely rare13period by requiring at least a 10-hour rest period13circumstance?14between assignments?14A. Yes.15A. Yes.15Q. Do you want to explain why you think that?16Q. And there I mean assignments as vessel16A. I'm I'm sorry. I thought you said would not17movements; right?17knowingly.18A. Okay.18Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would19Q. Do you mean anything more than that with the19not okay. That's fine. Would not knowingly and20ten-hour rest period that's required?20Okay. So then if you're really left with a21A. That's the way the statute's written. And21Okay. So then if you're really left with a22you don't need 10 hours.2314-hour maximum workday and you multiply that by the24But	5		
7Q. Correct. And so later in your - in your7not get rest for over 20 hours, but they won't stand a8testimony, you reference mandatory rest rules that have8bridge watch.9been adopted by law; right?9Q. Do you think that a PSP pilot would ever10A. Yes.10knowingly and deliberately exceed a 14-hour assignment11Q. And those rules restrict the total hours of11limit as an individual pilot unless perhaps it were12service that can be performed by a pilot in a 24-hour12necessary due to an emergency or extremely rare13period by requiring at least a 10-hour rest period13circumstance?14between assignments?14A. Yes.15A. Yes.15Q. Do you want to explain why you think that?16Q. And there I mean assignments as vessel16A. I'm - I'm sorry. I thought you said would not17movements; right?18Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would19Q. Do you mean anything more than that with the19not - okay. That's fine. Would not knowingly and21A. That's the way the statute's written. And21Good.22actually if if you have a meeting over four hours,22Okay. So then if you're really left with a23you don't need 10 hours.2314-hour maximum workday and you multiply that by the24But I will say that the Pilot Commission, this24181 days per year, would you agree that you result -	6	That is not the totalling in the table.	
8testimony, you reference mandatory rest rules that have been adopted by law; right?8bridge watch.9been adopted by law; right?9Q. Do you think that a PSP pilot would ever knowingly and deliberately exceed a 14-hour assignment11Q. And those rules restrict the total hours of11limit as an individual pilot unless perhaps it were necessary due to an emergency or extremely rare12service that can be performed by a pilot in a 24-hour12necessary due to an emergency or extremely rare13period by requiring at least a 10-hour rest period13circumstance?14between assignments?14A. Yes.15A. Yes.15Q. Do you want to explain why you think that?16Q. And there I mean assignments as vessel16A. I'm - I'm sorry. I thought you said would not17movements; right?17knowingly.18A. Okay.18Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would20ten-hour rest period that's required?20deliberately exceed that. Okay. I think we agree.21A. That's the way the statute's written. And21Good.22actually if if you have a meeting over four hours, you don't need 10 hours.2314-hour maximum workday and you multiply that by the24But I will say that the Pilot Commission, this24181 days per year, would you agree that you result -	7	Q. Correct. And so later in your in your	
9been adopted by law; right?9Q. Do you think that a PSP pilot would ever10A. Yes.10knowingly and deliberately exceed a 14-hour assignment11Q. And those rules restrict the total hours of11limit as an individual pilot unless perhaps it were12service that can be performed by a pilot in a 24-hour12necessary due to an emergency or extremely rare13period by requiring at least a 10-hour rest period13circumstance?14between assignments?14A. Yes.15A. Yes.15Q. Do you want to explain why you think that?16Q. And there I mean assignments as vessel16A. I'm I'm sorry. I thought you said would not17movements; right?18Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would19Q. Do you mean anything more than that with the19not okay. That's fine. Would not knowingly and20ten-hour rest period that's required?20deliberately exceed that. Okay. I think we agree.21A. That's the way the statute's written. And21Good.22actually if if you have a meeting over four hours,22Okay. So then if you're really left with a23you don't need 10 hours.2314 hour maximum workday and you multiply that by the24But I will say that the Pilot Commission, this24181 days per year, would you agree that you result	8	testimony, you reference mandatory rest rules that have	8 bridge watch.
11Q. And those rules restrict the total hours of11limit as an individual pilot unless perhaps it were12service that can be performed by a pilot in a 24-hour12necessary due to an emergency or extremely rare13period by requiring at least a 10-hour rest period14A. Yes.14between assignments?14A. Yes.15A. Yes.15Q. Do you want to explain why you think that?16Q. And there I mean assignments as vessel16A. I'm I'm sorry. I thought you said would not17movements; right?17knowingly.18A. Okay.18Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would19Q. Do you mean anything more than that with the19not okay. That's fine. Would not knowingly and20ten-hour rest period that's required?20deliberately exceed that. Okay. I think we agree.21A. That's the way the statute's written. And21Good.22actually if if you have a meeting over four hours,22Okay. So then if you're really left with a23you don't need 10 hours.2314-hour maximum workday and you multiply that by the24But I will say that the Pilot Commission, this24181 days per year, would you agree that you result	9		9 Q. Do you think that a PSP pilot would ever
12service that can be performed by a pilot in a 24-hour12necessary due to an emergency or extremely rare13period by requiring at least a 10-hour rest period13circumstance?14between assignments?14A. Yes.15A. Yes.15 Q. Do you want to explain why you think that? 16 Q. And there I mean assignments as vessel 16A. I'm I'm sorry. I thought you said would not17movements; right?18Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would19 Q. Do you mean anything more than that with the 19not okay. That's fine. Would not knowingly and20ten-hour rest period that's required?20deliberately exceed that. Okay. I think we agree.21A. That's the way the statute's written. And21Good.22actually if if you have a meeting over four hours,22Okay. So then if you're really left with a23you don't need 10 hours.2314-hour maximum workday and you multiply that by the24But I will say that the Pilot Commission, this24181 days per year, would you agree that you result	10	A. Yes.	10 knowingly and deliberately exceed a 14-hour assignment
13period by requiring at least a 10-hour rest period13circumstance?14between assignments?14A. Yes.15A. Yes.15Q. Do you want to explain why you think that?16Q. And there I mean assignments as vessel16A. I'm I'm sorry. I thought you said would not17movements; right?16A. I'm I'm sorry. I thought you said would not18A. Okay.18Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would19Q. Do you mean anything more than that with the19not okay. That's fine. Would not knowingly and20ten-hour rest period that's required?20deliberately exceed that. Okay. I think we agree.21A. That's the way the statute's written. And21Good.22actually if if you have a meeting over four hours,22Okay. So then if you're really left with a23you don't need 10 hours.2314-hour maximum workday and you multiply that by the24But I will say that the Pilot Commission, this24181 days per year, would you agree that you result	11	Q. And those rules restrict the total hours of	11 limit as an individual pilot unless perhaps it were
14between assignments?14A. Yes.15A. Yes.15Q. Do you want to explain why you think that?16Q. And there I mean assignments as vessel16A. I'm I'm sorry. I thought you said would not17movements; right?16A. I'm I'm sorry. I thought you said would not18A. Okay.18Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would19Q. Do you mean anything more than that with the19not okay. That's fine. Would not knowingly and20ten-hour rest period that's required?20deliberately exceed that. Okay. I think we agree.21A. That's the way the statute's written. And21Good.22actually if if you have a meeting over four hours,22Okay. So then if you're really left with a23you don't need 10 hours.2314-hour maximum workday and you multiply that by the24But I will say that the Pilot Commission, this24181 days per year, would you agree that you result	12	service that can be performed by a pilot in a 24-hour	12 necessary due to an emergency or extremely rare
14between assignments?14A. Yes.15A. Yes.15Q. Do you want to explain why you think that?16Q. And there I mean assignments as vessel16A. I'm I'm sorry. I thought you said would not17movements; right?16A. I'm I'm sorry. I thought you said would not18A. Okay.18Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would19Q. Do you mean anything more than that with the19not okay. That's fine. Would not knowingly and20ten-hour rest period that's required?20deliberately exceed that. Okay. I think we agree.21A. That's the way the statute's written. And21Good.22actually if if you have a meeting over four hours,22Okay. So then if you're really left with a23you don't need 10 hours.2314-hour maximum workday and you multiply that by the24But I will say that the Pilot Commission, this24181 days per year, would you agree that you result	13	period by requiring at least a 10-hour rest period	13 circumstance?
15A. Yes.15Q. Do you want to explain why you think that?16Q. And there I mean assignments as vessel16A. I'm I'm sorry. I thought you said would not17movements; right?16A. I'm I'm sorry. I thought you said would not18A. Okay.18Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would19Q. Do you mean anything more than that with the19not okay. That's fine. Would not knowingly and20ten-hour rest period that's required?20deliberately exceed that. Okay. I think we agree.21A. That's the way the statute's written. And21Good.22actually if if you have a meeting over four hours,22Okay. So then if you're really left with a23you don't need 10 hours.2314-hour maximum workday and you multiply that by the24But I will say that the Pilot Commission, this24181 days per year, would you agree that you result	14		14 A. Yes.
16Q. And there I mean assignments as vessel16A. I'm I'm sorry. I thought you said would not17movements; right?17knowingly.18A. Okay.18Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would19Q. Do you mean anything more than that with the191920ten-hour rest period that's required?20deliberately exceed that. Okay. I think we agree.21A. That's the way the statute's written. And21Good.22actually if if you have a meeting over four hours,22Okay. So then if you're really left with a23you don't need 10 hours.2314-hour maximum workday and you multiply that by the24But I will say that the Pilot Commission, this24181 days per year, would you agree that you result	15	-	15 Q. Do you want to explain why you think that?
17movements; right?17knowingly.18A. Okay.18Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would19Q. Do you mean anything more than that with the19not okay. That's fine. Would not knowingly and20ten-hour rest period that's required?20deliberately exceed that. Okay. I think we agree.21A. That's the way the statute's written. And21Good.22actually if if you have a meeting over four hours,22Okay. So then if you're really left with a23you don't need 10 hours.2314-hour maximum workday and you multiply that by the24But I will say that the Pilot Commission, this24181 days per year, would you agree that you result	16	Q. And there I mean assignments as vessel	
18A. Okay.18Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would19Q. Do you mean anything more than that with the19not okay. That's fine. Would not knowingly and20ten-hour rest period that's required?20deliberately exceed that. Okay. I think we agree.21A. That's the way the statute's written. And21Good.22actually if if you have a meeting over four hours,22Okay. So then if you're really left with a23you don't need 10 hours.2314-hour maximum workday and you multiply that by the24But I will say that the Pilot Commission, this24181 days per year, would you agree that you result	17	movements; right?	
19Q. Do you mean anything more than that with the19not okay. That's fine. Would not knowingly and20ten-hour rest period that's required?20deliberately exceed that. Okay. I think we agree.21A. That's the way the statute's written. And21Good.22actually if if you have a meeting over four hours,22Okay. So then if you're really left with a23you don't need 10 hours.2314-hour maximum workday and you multiply that by the24But I will say that the Pilot Commission, this24181 days per year, would you agree that you result	18	-	18 Q. No. No. That's fine. So a PSP pilot would
20ten-hour rest period that's required?20deliberately exceed that. Okay. I think we agree.21A. That's the way the statute's written. And21Good.22actually if if you have a meeting over four hours,22Okay. So then if you're really left with a23you don't need 10 hours.2314-hour maximum workday and you multiply that by the24But I will say that the Pilot Commission, this24181 days per year, would you agree that you result		-	
21A. That's the way the statute's written. And21Good.22actually if if you have a meeting over four hours,22Okay. So then if you're really left with a23you don't need 10 hours.2314-hour maximum workday and you multiply that by the24But I will say that the Pilot Commission, this24181 days per year, would you agree that you result			
22actually if if you have a meeting over four hours,22Okay. So then if you're really left with a23you don't need 10 hours.2314-hour maximum workday and you multiply that by the24But I will say that the Pilot Commission, this24181 days per year, would you agree that you result			
23you don't need 10 hours.2314-hour maximum workday and you multiply that by the24But I will say that the Pilot Commission, this24181 days per year, would you agree that you result			
24But I will say that the Pilot Commission, this24181 days per year, would you agree that you result			
		-	
		-	

66 (Pages 315 to 318)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 319		Page 321
1	A. Can I use my phone to get on my calculator or	1	working.
2	what?	2	Q. And you do also have a remainder of the year,
3	Q. Yes, that's fine with me.	3	outside of the 181 days, that is supposed to be vacation
4	A. I have to turn it on now, but maybe someone else	4	and respite and nonworking; right? You would agree with
5	can cross-check that math.	5	that?
6	Q. That's fine. Here's some easier math. So if	6	A. That's what it's supposed to be, yes.
7	you have 10 hours of rest per day, 181 times, that's	7	Q. Okay. So returning to the your term "duty
8	1,810 hours, rest hours, during those duty days; right?	8	days" in your statement, we've had some issues with the
9	A. Yes.	9	usage of that term. Have you I would say, have you
10	Q. All right. So over the course of a year, at	10	used that term to mean "on watch" in your testimony?
11	181 days of duty time, the maximum time that a pilot	11	A. Maybe I could clear it up. There's there's
12	could actively work is 2,534, which I understand you're	12	some there's some items in our bylaws that maybe
13	trusting my calculation. And a pilot would legally be	13	aren't artfully worded and haven't been artfully worded
14	required to take at least 1,810 rest hours during that	14	in the past. And so pilots sometimes interchange the
15	time?	15	term "duty day" with "distribution day" with with,
16	A. Okay.	16	you know. So in the bylaws, it refers to duty days as
17	Q. So is there anything you disagree with in that?	17	for distribution purposes and also for other purposes as
18	A. No.	18	well. So it's we have 181 days where we need to be
19	Q. Okay. So we also have an average assignment	19	on watch. Maybe we can stick with that right now.
20	time of 9.2 hours; is that right?	20	181 days where we need to be on watch. And we have
21	A. Yes.	21	three hundred and if you are on watch for that entire
22	Q. Okay. And so that would be an average maximum	22	time, you'll end up with 365 distribution days.
23	of piloting 9.2 hours. If we multiply that by the	23	Q. Thank you.
24	181 days and I understand you don't have a calculator	24	And I I would just say, I think you were
25	handy but that would result in a lower number of	25	discussing the part of the bylaws that is at
20			
	Page 320		Page 322
1	hours annually for active piloting; right?	1	Section 3.19, so that is Exhibit EVB-5X, Section 3.19,
2	A. Well, now I'm breaking out my calculator. 181	2	and there's a definition there for "duty day."
3	times 9.2.	3	Captain Carlson, if I understand this correctly,
4	Q. Right.	4	the extensiveness of this definition to include respite,
5	A. 1,665 hours.	5	vacation, et cetera, it means it ends up meaning
6	Q. Yeah. So subtracting that from the full	6	every day that the active pilot is on the roster; right?
7	4,344 hours that we started with, that comes from your	7	A. That's correct.
8	181 times 24 hours. That leaves a significant amount of	8	Q. All right. Thank you.
9	rest and nonworking time, 2,678 hours, during the	9	So on your rebuttal testimony at page 4 so
10	181 days on duty; right?	10	I'm looking at Exhibit IC-4TR, page 4.
11	A. It can you say the question again, please.	11	A. Okay. So you're looking okay. I'm sorry.
12	Q. Yes. So earlier from your testimony we have 181	12	Yeah.
13	duty days, 24 hours a day; right?	13	Q. So page 4, lines 12 and 13. And you say,
14	A. Right.	14	"Captain Moore claims that each duty day is a day that a
15	Q. Multiply those, you have 4,344 hours.	15	pilot is on PSP's roster. That is not accurate."
16	A. Okay.	16	Based on what you have explained to us in your
17	Q. You subtract your two you subtract the the	17	testimony today, would you say that that actually is
18	number that you just calculated, 1,665, and you have	18	accurate under PSP's bylaws?
19	remainder of time that's for rest or nonworking time?	19	A. Well, we were referring or I was referring to
20	A. Okay.	20	his testimony. This may not have been artfully worded
21	Q. During the 181 days.	21	here. But it appeared as though his testimony on pages
22	A. Well, I consider other things working, like	22	50 and 51 were actually stating the pilots needed to
23	repositioning to get out to the pilot station. I	23	move ships, be available at that time, and that was my
24	consider that working. I consider meetings working. So	24	angst with that particular portion of his testimony.
25	I don't like the term non-working when we're actually	25	Q. Because in your own testimony you've used the

67 (Pages 319 to 322)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 323		Page 325
1	term "on duty" to refer when pilots are scheduled to be	1	It may be breakfast with them.
2	on watch; right?	2	Q. And it may be that they receive no assignment
3	A. Yeah. The term has been back and forth.	3	that whole day that they were on-watch and they do get
4	Q. Yes. So I think this clarification is helpful.	4	to have that time. But they
5	Thank you.	5	A. It may be that they have received no assignments
6	And you've used also the words "scheduled to	6	that day because they might be three-and-out or
7	work." For that, do you mean that the days that the	7	whatever, yes.
8	pilots are actually assigned to provide pilotage	8	Q. Right. Okay. So let's take a you you've
9	services?	9	talked about the let's look at two situations.
10	A. Can you cite the reference?	10	In one, a pilot is on watch but there are not
11	Q. Yes, I believe I can. It excuse me, not your	11	enough vessels to go through the on-watch rotation. So
12	testimony but a response to a discovery request that is	12	that pilot stays at home and enjoys an unexpected day
13	in Exhibit IC-42X.	13	off but still gets paid their fair share of revenues
14	A. What DR is that?	14	regardless of whether or not they were scheduled to work
15	Q. Yeah. For me it's on page 42. And that's Data	15	on the vessel that day; right?
16	Request 499. And you say on that page, if you're with	16	And there's the second scenario where a pilot is
17	me, the term "on duty" used in the testimony of	17	off watch, but there are more vessels than pilots
18	witnesses testifying for PSP relates to the days pilots	18	available on the rotation, so the pilot goes to work and
19	are scheduled to work.	19	works on his day off. He still gets either way the
20	A. Okay.	20	pilot gets paid their fair share of revenues regardless
21	Q. So what does "scheduled to work" mean?	21	of whether they were scheduled to work; right?
22	A. They are on duty.	22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Are they are they on watch?	23	Q. So do you agree in both scenarios a pilot's
24	A. They are on watch. They are scheduled to work.	24	getting paid regardless of their scheduled-to-work
25	They are on watch. In rotation. On watch.	25	status on that day?
	Page 324		Page 326
1	Q. It's not that they are actually assigned to	1	A. With them getting paid?
2	provide pilotage services that day?	2	Q. Correct.
3	A. Well, they are on they are on watch.		
5	A. Well, they are on they are on watch.	3	
4			A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make
	 Q. Right. But they can be A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I 	3	A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so
4	Q. Right. But they can be	3 4	A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so you should be. Otherwise, you just couldn't predict
4 5	 Q. Right. But they can be A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I 	3 4 5	A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so
4 5 6	 Q. Right. But they can be A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I mean, it doesn't mean that they are moving a ship that 	3 4 5 6	A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so you should be. Otherwise, you just couldn't predict when you're going to get a phone call 365 days a year. You need to have a schedule.
4 5 6 7	Q. Right. But they can be A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I mean, it doesn't mean that they are moving a ship that hour or that day.	3 4 5 6 7	 A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so you should be. Otherwise, you just couldn't predict when you're going to get a phone call 365 days a year. You need to have a schedule. Q. I'm not sure exactly what question that was in
4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Right. But they can be A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I mean, it doesn't mean that they are moving a ship that hour or that day. Q. Right. 	3 4 5 6 7 8	A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so you should be. Otherwise, you just couldn't predict when you're going to get a phone call 365 days a year. You need to have a schedule.
4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Right. But they can be A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I mean, it doesn't mean that they are moving a ship that hour or that day. Q. Right. A. But they are on watch and available to move 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so you should be. Otherwise, you just couldn't predict when you're going to get a phone call 365 days a year. You need to have a schedule. Q. I'm not sure exactly what question that was in response to, but my question was just that a pilot who's
4 5 7 8 9 10	 Q. Right. But they can be – A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I mean, it doesn't mean that they are moving a ship that hour or that day. Q. Right. A. But they are on watch and available to move ships. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so you should be. Otherwise, you just couldn't predict when you're going to get a phone call 365 days a year. You need to have a schedule. Q. I'm not sure exactly what question that was in response to, but my question was just that a pilot who's a member of PSP receives their distribution regardless of the status for schedule to work and whether they
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Right. But they can be A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I mean, it doesn't mean that they are moving a ship that hour or that day. Q. Right. A. But they are on watch and available to move ships. Q. Thank you for that clarification. So even when a pilot is not actually scheduled 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so you should be. Otherwise, you just couldn't predict when you're going to get a phone call 365 days a year. You need to have a schedule. Q. I'm not sure exactly what question that was in response to, but my question was just that a pilot who's a member of PSP receives their distribution regardless
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Right. But they can be – A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I mean, it doesn't mean that they are moving a ship that hour or that day. Q. Right. A. But they are on watch and available to move ships. Q. Thank you for that clarification. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so you should be. Otherwise, you just couldn't predict when you're going to get a phone call 365 days a year. You need to have a schedule. Q. I'm not sure exactly what question that was in response to, but my question was just that a pilot who's a member of PSP receives their distribution regardless of the status for schedule to work and whether they actually do an assignment that day
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Right. But they can be A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I mean, it doesn't mean that they are moving a ship that hour or that day. Q. Right. A. But they are on watch and available to move ships. Q. Thank you for that clarification. So even when a pilot is not actually scheduled to do anything during that time, you would include that 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so you should be. Otherwise, you just couldn't predict when you're going to get a phone call 365 days a year. You need to have a schedule. Q. I'm not sure exactly what question that was in response to, but my question was just that a pilot who's a member of PSP receives their distribution regardless of the status for schedule to work and whether they actually do an assignment that day A. That's correct.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Right. But they can be A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I mean, it doesn't mean that they are moving a ship that hour or that day. Q. Right. A. But they are on watch and available to move ships. Q. Thank you for that clarification. So even when a pilot is not actually scheduled to do anything during that time, you would include that day in scheduled to work? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so you should be. Otherwise, you just couldn't predict when you're going to get a phone call 365 days a year. You need to have a schedule. Q. I'm not sure exactly what question that was in response to, but my question was just that a pilot who's a member of PSP receives their distribution regardless of the status for schedule to work and whether they actually do an assignment that day A. That's correct. Q is that correct? Okay. Thank you.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Right. But they can be A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I mean, it doesn't mean that they are moving a ship that hour or that day. Q. Right. A. But they are on watch and available to move ships. Q. Thank you for that clarification. So even when a pilot is not actually scheduled to do anything during that time, you would include that day in scheduled to work? A. Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so you should be. Otherwise, you just couldn't predict when you're going to get a phone call 365 days a year. You need to have a schedule. Q. I'm not sure exactly what question that was in response to, but my question was just that a pilot who's a member of PSP receives their distribution regardless of the status for schedule to work and whether they actually do an assignment that day – A. That's correct. Q. – is that correct? Okay. Thank you. So PSP is asking the Commission to adopt a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Right. But they can be A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I mean, it doesn't mean that they are moving a ship that hour or that day. Q. Right. A. But they are on watch and available to move ships. Q. Thank you for that clarification. So even when a pilot is not actually scheduled to do anything during that time, you would include that day in scheduled to work? A. Yes. Q. When a pilot is sitting at home, for example, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so you should be. Otherwise, you just couldn't predict when you're going to get a phone call 365 days a year. You need to have a schedule. Q. I'm not sure exactly what question that was in response to, but my question was just that a pilot who's a member of PSP receives their distribution regardless of the status for schedule to work and whether they actually do an assignment that day – A. That's correct. Q. – is that correct? Okay. Thank you. So PSP is asking the Commission to adopt a tariff based on treating a pilot who's working a vessel
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Right. But they can be A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I mean, it doesn't mean that they are moving a ship that hour or that day. Q. Right. A. But they are on watch and available to move ships. Q. Thank you for that clarification. So even when a pilot is not actually scheduled to do anything during that time, you would include that day in scheduled to work? A. Yes. Q. When a pilot is sitting at home, for example, or spending time with their family, or golfing, as long 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so you should be. Otherwise, you just couldn't predict when you're going to get a phone call 365 days a year. You need to have a schedule. Q. I'm not sure exactly what question that was in response to, but my question was just that a pilot who's a member of PSP receives their distribution regardless of the status for schedule to work and whether they actually do an assignment that day A. That's correct. Q is that correct? Okay. Thank you. So PSP is asking the Commission to adopt a tariff based on treating a pilot who's working a vessel on an off off-watch day, the callback situation,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Right. But they can be A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I mean, it doesn't mean that they are moving a ship that hour or that day. Q. Right. A. But they are on watch and available to move ships. Q. Thank you for that clarification. So even when a pilot is not actually scheduled to do anything during that time, you would include that day in scheduled to work? A. Yes. Q. When a pilot is sitting at home, for example, or spending time with their family, or golfing, as long as they are ready and available to work and they are 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so you should be. Otherwise, you just couldn't predict when you're going to get a phone call 365 days a year. You need to have a schedule. Q. I'm not sure exactly what question that was in response to, but my question was just that a pilot who's a member of PSP receives their distribution regardless of the status for schedule to work and whether they actually do an assignment that day A. That's correct. Q. – is that correct? Okay. Thank you. So PSP is asking the Commission to adopt a tariff based on treating a pilot who's working a vessel on an off off-watch day, the callback situation, differently from a pilot who's not working the vessel on
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Right. But they can be A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I mean, it doesn't mean that they are moving a ship that hour or that day. Q. Right. A. But they are on watch and available to move ships. Q. Thank you for that clarification. So even when a pilot is not actually scheduled to do anything during that time, you would include that day in scheduled to work? A. Yes. Q. When a pilot is sitting at home, for example, or spending time with their family, or golfing, as long as they are ready and available to work, or on duty in your 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so you should be. Otherwise, you just couldn't predict when you're going to get a phone call 365 days a year. You need to have a schedule. Q. I'm not sure exactly what question that was in response to, but my question was just that a pilot who's a member of PSP receives their distribution regardless of the status for schedule to work and whether they actually do an assignment that day A. That's correct. Q is that correct? Okay. Thank you. So PSP is asking the Commission to adopt a tariff based on treating a pilot who's working a vessel on an off off-watch day, the callback situation, differently from a pilot who's not working the vessel on an on-watch day; right?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Right. But they can be – A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I mean, it doesn't mean that they are moving a ship that hour or that day. Q. Right. A. But they are on watch and available to move ships. Q. Thank you for that clarification. So even when a pilot is not actually scheduled to do anything during that time, you would include that day in scheduled to work? A. Yes. Q. When a pilot is sitting at home, for example, or spending time with their family, or golfing, as long as they are ready and available to work, or on duty in your terms? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so you should be. Otherwise, you just couldn't predict when you're going to get a phone call 365 days a year. You need to have a schedule. Q. I'm not sure exactly what question that was in response to, but my question was just that a pilot who's a member of PSP receives their distribution regardless of the status for schedule to work and whether they actually do an assignment that day A. That's correct. Q is that correct? Okay. Thank you. So PSP is asking the Commission to adopt a tariff based on treating a pilot who's working a vessel on an off off-watch day, the callback situation, differently from a pilot who's not working the vessel on an on-watch day; right? A. We're asking the UTC to fund a certain level of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Right. But they can be A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I mean, it doesn't mean that they are moving a ship that hour or that day. Q. Right. A. But they are on watch and available to move ships. Q. Thank you for that clarification. So even when a pilot is not actually scheduled to do anything during that time, you would include that day in scheduled to work? A. Yes. Q. When a pilot is sitting at home, for example, or spending time with their family, or golfing, as long as they are ready and available to work, or on duty in your terms? A. Well, again, I most pilots don't get the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so you should be. Otherwise, you just couldn't predict when you're going to get a phone call 365 days a year. You need to have a schedule. Q. I'm not sure exactly what question that was in response to, but my question was just that a pilot who's a member of PSP receives their distribution regardless of the status for schedule to work and whether they actually do an assignment that day A. That's correct. Q is that correct? Okay. Thank you. So PSP is asking the Commission to adopt a tariff based on treating a pilot who's working a vessel on an off off-watch day, the callback situation, differently from a pilot who's not working the vessel on an on-watch day; right? A. We're asking the UTC to fund a certain level of full-time equivalent pilots, yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Right. But they can be A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I mean, it doesn't mean that they are moving a ship that hour or that day. Q. Right. A. But they are on watch and available to move ships. Q. Thank you for that clarification. So even when a pilot is not actually scheduled to do anything during that time, you would include that day in scheduled to work? A. Yes. Q. When a pilot is sitting at home, for example, or spending time with their family, or golfing, as long as they are ready and available to work, or on duty in your terms? A. Well, again, I most pilots don't get the opportunity to just spend time with their family, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so you should be. Otherwise, you just couldn't predict when you're going to get a phone call 365 days a year. You need to have a schedule. Q. I'm not sure exactly what question that was in response to, but my question was just that a pilot who's a member of PSP receives their distribution regardless of the status for schedule to work and whether they actually do an assignment that day – A. That's correct. Q is that correct? Okay. Thank you. So PSP is asking the Commission to adopt a tariff based on treating a pilot who's working a vessel on an off – off-watch day, the callback situation, differently from a pilot who's not working the vessel on an on-watch day; right? A. We're asking the UTC to fund a certain level of full-time equivalent pilots, yes. Q. And I'm referring to the treatment of callbacks.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Right. But they can be A. And so it doesn't I'm not quite sure I mean, it doesn't mean that they are moving a ship that hour or that day. Q. Right. A. But they are on watch and available to move ships. Q. Thank you for that clarification. So even when a pilot is not actually scheduled to do anything during that time, you would include that day in scheduled to work? A. Yes. Q. When a pilot is sitting at home, for example, or spending time with their family, or golfing, as long as they are ready and available to work, or on duty in your terms? A. Well, again, 1 most pilots don't get the opportunity to just spend time with their family, whether they're at home or not because because of the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. If you're scheduled to work, you cannot make plans for anything else. You need to be available, so you should be. Otherwise, you just couldn't predict when you're going to get a phone call 365 days a year. You need to have a schedule. Q. I'm not sure exactly what question that was in response to, but my question was just that a pilot who's a member of PSP receives their distribution regardless of the status for schedule to work and whether they actually do an assignment that day – A. That's correct. Q is that correct? Okay. Thank you. So PSP is asking the Commission to adopt a tariff based on treating a pilot who's working a vessel on an off – off-watch day, the callback situation, differently from a pilot who's not working the vessel on an on-watch day; right? A. We're asking the UTC to fund a certain level of full-time equivalent pilots, yes. Q. And I'm referring to the treatment of callbacks. A. Are you referring to the already accumulated

68 (Pages 323 to 326)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 327	Page 32
1	A. Well, they are different.	1 Q. In your testimony, you've estimated, as based of
2	Q. So the treatment of a pilot who works on an	2 your calculation of an average assignment time, that
3	off-watch day, that pilot receives their distribution	3 even including time spent on assignments that were
4	from PSP either way; right? They still get paid?	4 ultimately canceled, that gets included in the average
5	A. Right.	5 assignment time of 9.2 hours; correct?
6	Q. And if the treatment of a pilot who's on watch	6 A. Yes. And it would be higher if we didn't factor
7	but doesn't actually do an assignment also gets the same	7 in the cancellations.
8	amount of money that day?	8 Q. Okay. Do you agree with the testimony of
9	A. Yeah. And the decision that the UTC makes will	9 Dr. Khawaja that if the Commission adopts the Staff
10	impact what PSP does moving forward with those callback	10 recommendation, that the Staff methodology puts the
11	days	11 entire burden of callbacks on the pilots?
12	Q. Even	12 A. I really wasn't even paying that much attention
13	A who we call "Class B."	13 to his to his testimony or his hearing?
14	Q. Regardless of what happens with the tariff, PSP	14 Q. That's in his rebuttal testimony in this case,
15	treats these two pilots the same just because they are	15 exhibit
16	on the roster and independently of whether they move	16 A. Well, I'm thinking anyway, I think I can
17	ships; isn't that right?	17 safely agree that if the Staff methodology is adopted,
18	A. Under the system as it is now, yes, that's	18 yes, it does put the burden on the pilots.
19	right.	19 Q. So would you think that the pilots would have to
20	Q. All right. Do you think that a 365-day duty	20 work numerous callbacks for free?
21	schedule is realistic?	A. That's the way I see it, yes.
22	A. Now, again, scheduled for watch, on watch, in	Q. Even though each of the pilots is earning for
23	rotation, or do I mean something different? I mean	23 365 days just for being on the roster in active service
24	what do you mean?	A. Again, what's common nationwide is you work a
25	Q. Ultimately, you do acknowledge, regardless of	day, you get paid for two. So so, yes, I think that
	Page 328	Page 33
	Fage 320	Faye JJ
-	have see the first Without with the same had a worked from	
1	how we define "duty," that pilots are being paid for	1 it would be working for free.
2	on the basis of 365 days of active service; right?	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution
2 3	on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. I I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time?
2 3 4	on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. I I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you.
2 3 4 5	on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. I I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He - Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should
2 3 4 5 6	on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. I I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're compensated with respite. The same as somebody who	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He - Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should be for duty time, not for total time; do you agree with
2 3 4 5 6 7	on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. I I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're compensated with respite. The same as somebody who works five days a week but only eight hours a day;	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He - Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should be for duty time, not for total time; do you agree with that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. I I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're compensated with respite. The same as somebody who works five days a week but only eight hours a day; they're compensated as well.	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should be for duty time, not for total time; do you agree with that? A. Again, what's your your definition of "duty
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. I I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're compensated with respite. The same as somebody who works five days a week but only eight hours a day; they're compensated as well. So so the and the same as most pilots and	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He - Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should be for duty time, not for total time; do you agree with that? A. Again, what's your your definition of "duty time"? 181 days?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. I I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're compensated with respite. The same as somebody who works five days a week but only eight hours a day; they're compensated as well. So so the and the same as most pilots and most districts, if you work a day, you're entitled to	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should be for duty time, not for total time; do you agree with that? A. Again, what's your your definition of "duty time"? 181 days? Q. I think for Dr. Khawaja, I think he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. I I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're compensated with respite. The same as somebody who works five days a week but only eight hours a day; they're compensated as well. So so the and the same as most pilots and most districts, if you work a day, you're entitled to two days' distribution.	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should be for duty time, not for total time; do you agree with that? A. Again, what's your your definition of "duty time"? 181 days? Q. I think for Dr. Khawaja, I think he established in his testimony that it was on-watch time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. I I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're compensated with respite. The same as somebody who works five days a week but only eight hours a day; they're compensated as well. So so the and the same as most pilots and most districts, if you work a day, you're entitled to two days' distribution. Q. Captain Carlson, were you present for our 	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He - Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should be for duty time, not for total time; do you agree with that? A. Again, what's your your definition of "duty time"? 181 days? Q. I think for Dr. Khawaja, I think he established in his testimony that it was on-watch time. A. And do I agree with his testimony that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. I I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're compensated with respite. The same as somebody who works five days a week but only eight hours a day; they're compensated as well. So so the and the same as most pilots and most districts, if you work a day, you're entitled to two days' distribution. Q. Captain Carlson, were you present for our Ms. Norris' testimony today? 	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He - Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should be for duty time, not for total time; do you agree with that? A. Again, what's your your definition of "duty time"? 181 days? Q. I think for Dr. Khawaja, I think he established in his testimony that it was on-watch time. A. And do I agree with his testimony that I don't believe that was his testimony. I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. I I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're compensated with respite. The same as somebody who works five days a week but only eight hours a day; they're compensated as well. So so the and the same as most pilots and most districts, if you work a day, you're entitled to two days' distribution. Q. Captain Carlson, were you present for our Ms. Norris' testimony today? A. I was actually really studying the materials 	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should be for duty time, not for total time; do you agree with that? A. Again, what's your your definition of "duty time"? 181 days? Q. I think for Dr. Khawaja, I think he established in his testimony that it was on-watch time. A. And do I agree with his testimony that I don't believe that was his testimony. I don't know. Q. Do you happen to have his rebuttal testimony?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. I I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're compensated with respite. The same as somebody who works five days a week but only eight hours a day; they're compensated as well. So so the and the same as most pilots and most districts, if you work a day, you're entitled to two days' distribution. Q. Captain Carlson, were you present for our Ms. Norris' testimony today? A. I was actually really studying the materials before. 	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He - Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should be for duty time, not for total time; do you agree with that? A. Again, what's your your definition of "duty time"? 181 days? Q. I think for Dr. Khawaja, I think he established in his testimony that it was on-watch time. A. And do I agree with his testimony that I don't believe that was his testimony. I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. 1 I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're compensated with respite. The same as somebody who works five days a week but only eight hours a day; they're compensated as well. So so the and the same as most pilots and most districts, if you work a day, you're entitled to two days' distribution. Q. Captain Carlson, were you present for our Ms. Norris' testimony today? A. I was actually really studying the materials before. Q. All right. 	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should be for duty time, not for total time; do you agree with that? A. Again, what's your your definition of "duty time"? 181 days? Q. I think for Dr. Khawaja, I think he established in his testimony that it was on-watch time. A. And do I agree with his testimony that I don't believe that was his testimony. I don't know. Q. Do you happen to have his rebuttal testimony? A. I think I I think I do. Hold on just a second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. 1 I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're compensated with respite. The same as somebody who works five days a week but only eight hours a day; they're compensated as well. So so the and the same as most pilots and most districts, if you work a day, you're entitled to two days' distribution. Q. Captain Carlson, were you present for our Ms. Norris' testimony today? A. I was actually really studying the materials before. Q. All right. A. I'm sorry. 	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He - Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should be for duty time, not for total time; do you agree with that? A. Again, what's your your definition of "duty time"? 181 days? Q. I think for Dr. Khawaja, I think he established in his testimony that it was on-watch time. A. And do I agree with his testimony that I don't believe that was his testimony. I don't know. Q. Do you happen to have his rebuttal testimony? A. I think I I think I do. Hold on just a second. Q. It's Exhibit SK-3T.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. 1 I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're compensated with respite. The same as somebody who works five days a week but only eight hours a day; they're compensated as well. So so the and the same as most pilots and most districts, if you work a day, you're entitled to two days' distribution. Q. Captain Carlson, were you present for our Ms. Norris' testimony today? A. I was actually really studying the materials before. Q. All right. 	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He - Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should be for duty time, not for total time; do you agree with that? A. Again, what's your your definition of "duty time"? 181 days? Q. I think for Dr. Khawaja, I think he established in his testimony that it was on-watch time. A. And do I agree with his testimony that I don't believe that was his testimony. I don't know. Q. Do you happen to have his rebuttal testimony? A. I think I I think I do. Hold on just a second. Q. It's Exhibit SK-3T.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. 1 I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're compensated with respite. The same as somebody who works five days a week but only eight hours a day; they're compensated as well. So so the and the same as most pilots and most districts, if you work a day, you're entitled to two days' distribution. Q. Captain Carlson, were you present for our Ms. Norris' testimony today? A. I was actually really studying the materials before. Q. All right. A. I'm sorry. Q. I was hoping we could take a shortcut. In Exhibit JN-04, which is Ms. Norris' exhibit 	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He - Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should be for duty time, not for total time; do you agree with that? A. Again, what's your your definition of "duty time"? 181 days? Q. I think for Dr. Khawaja, I think he established in his testimony that it was on-watch time. A. And do I agree with his testimony that I don't believe that was his testimony. I don't know. Q. Do you happen to have his rebuttal testimony? A. I think I I think I do. Hold on just a second. Q. It's Exhibit SK-3T. A. I do, by golly. So what page?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. 1 I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're compensated with respite. The same as somebody who works five days a week but only eight hours a day; they're compensated as well. So so the and the same as most pilots and most districts, if you work a day, you're entitled to two days' distribution. Q. Captain Carlson, were you present for our Ms. Norris' testimony today? A. I was actually really studying the materials before. Q. All right. A. I'm sorry. Q. I was hoping we could take a shortcut. 	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should be for duty time, not for total time; do you agree with that? A. Again, what's your your definition of "duty time"? 181 days? Q. I think for Dr. Khawaja, I think he established in his testimony that it was on-watch time. A. And do I agree with his testimony that I don't believe that was his testimony. I don't know. Q. Do you happen to have his rebuttal testimony? A. I think I I think I do. Hold on just a second. Q. Ht's Exhibit SK-3T. A. I do, by golly. So what page? Q. Hallelujah. So that's on page 11, and I can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. 1 I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're compensated with respite. The same as somebody who works five days a week but only eight hours a day; they're compensated as well. So so the and the same as most pilots and most districts, if you work a day, you're entitled to two days' distribution. Q. Captain Carlson, were you present for our Ms. Norris' testimony today? A. I was actually really studying the materials before. Q. All right. A. I'm sorry. Q. I was hoping we could take a shortcut. In Exhibit JN-04, which is Ms. Norris' exhibit submitting the A. I don't have it. 	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He - Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should be for duty time, not for total time; do you agree with that? A. Again, what's your your definition of "duty time"? 181 days? Q. I think for Dr. Khawaja, I think he established in his testimony that it was on-watch time. A. And do I agree with his testimony that I don't believe that was his testimony. I don't know. Q. Do you happen to have his rebuttal testimony? A. I think I I think I do. Hold on just a second. Q. Hallelujah. So that's on page 11, and I can specify that the line number is line 20. A. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. 1 I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're compensated with respite. The same as somebody who works five days a week but only eight hours a day; they're compensated as well. So so the and the same as most pilots and most districts, if you work a day, you're entitled to two days' distribution. Q. Captain Carlson, were you present for our Ms. Norris' testimony today? A. I was actually really studying the materials before. Q. All right. A. I'm sorry. Q. I was hoping we could take a shortcut. In Exhibit JN-04, which is Ms. Norris' exhibit submitting the 	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He - Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should be for duty time, not for total time; do you agree with that? A. Again, what's your your definition of "duty time"? 181 days? Q. I think for Dr. Khawaja, I think he established in his testimony that it was on-watch time. A. And do I agree with his testimony that I don't believe that was his testimony. I don't know. Q. Do you happen to have his rebuttal testimony? A. I think I I think I do. Hold on just a second. Q. Hallelujah. So that's on page 11, and I can specify that the line number is line 20. A. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. 1 I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're compensated with respite. The same as somebody who works five days a week but only eight hours a day; they're compensated as well. So so the and the same as most pilots and most districts, if you work a day, you're entitled to two days' distribution. Q. Captain Carlson, were you present for our Ms. Norris' testimony today? A. I was actually really studying the materials before. Q. All right. A. I'm sorry. Q. I was hoping we could take a shortcut. In Exhibit JN-04, which is Ms. Norris' exhibit submitting the A. I don't have it. Q. That's all right. I think everyone else who is 	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should be for duty time, not for total time; do you agree with that? A. Again, what's your your definition of "duty time"? 181 days? Q. I think for Dr. Khawaja, I think he established in his testimony that it was on-watch time. A. And do I agree with his testimony that I don't believe that was his testimony. I don't know. Q. Do you happen to have his rebuttal testimony? A. I think I I think I do. Hold on just a second. Q. Hallelujah. So that's on page 11, and I can specify that the line number is line 20. A. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 on the basis of 365 days of active service; right? A. 1 I don't agree with that. Pilots are paid 365 days, the same as someone else that works week-on/week-off or month-on/month-off. They're compensated with respite. The same as somebody who works five days a week but only eight hours a day; they're compensated as well. So so the and the same as most pilots and most districts, if you work a day, you're entitled to two days' distribution. Q. Captain Carlson, were you present for our Ms. Norris' testimony today? A. I was actually really studying the materials before. Q. All right. A. I'm sorry. Q. I was hoping we could take a shortcut. In Exhibit JN-04, which is Ms. Norris' exhibit submitting the A. I don't have it. Q. That's all right. I think everyone else who is here saw that there are days of service in the summary 	 it would be working for free. Q. And do you agree with Dr. Khawaja's solution that DNI should be for duty time not for total time? A. I didn't quite hear you. Q. He Dr. Khawaja's solution is that DNI should be for duty time, not for total time; do you agree with that? A. Again, what's your your definition of "duty time"? 181 days? Q. I think for Dr. Khawaja, I think he established in his testimony that it was on-watch time. A. And do I agree with his testimony that I don't believe that was his testimony. I don't know. Q. Do you happen to have his rebuttal testimony? A. I think I I think I do. Hold on just a second. Q. Hallelujah. So that's on page 11, and I can specify that the line number is line 20. A. I MR. FASSBURG: Can you repeat your question?

69 (Pages 327 to 330)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 331	Page 3	333
1	Is that okay? I didn't	1 on the back.	
2	MS. DELAPPE: Yes.	2 Q. Does that mean that pilots are going to receive	
3	THE WITNESS: I mean, I didn't think it	3 more compensation for working a callback? The	
4	would be asked to	4 individual pilot who comes in for the callback.	
5	MS. DeLAPPE: I have no objection to that.	5 A. Yeah. These are rules that would have to be	
б	THE WITNESS: Okay. So	6 adopted by our membership. And to change the bylaws is	
7	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just to clarify, so	7 a two-thirds vote. I'm not sure how they'll look at it.	
8	it's 3T page 11.	8 But I think it's appropriate to, if a pilot is required	
9	MS. DeLAPPE: Yes.	9 to come in off watch of which we've had that happen	
10	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Lines?	10 many times in the past years that there should be	
11	MS. DeLAPPE: Line 20.	11 some extra compensation for it, and now there isn't.	
12	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thank you.	12 Q. For that individual pilot. So	
13	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you.	13 A. I didn't say that.	
14	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	14 Q. Oh, okay.	
15	Q. So it says there, DNI should be for on-duty time	15 A. I	
16	not for total time.	16 Q. Just general pooled compensation.	
17	And I can assure you, Captain Carlson, that	17 A. I can't speak for the entire I cannot speak	
18	Dr. Khawaja agreed there that his definition of duty was	18 for the entire membership as it pertains to what we'll	
19	supposed to be watch, on-watch.	19 do moving forward. If the members vote that to pay	
20	A. And I and I believe I can't speak for	20 the individual pilot, if if they vote for that	
21	Dr. Khawaja exactly.	amendment to the operating rules, then great. If they	
22	Q. No, no. That's not	don't, then the pilot is working the callback for free.	
23	A. But I believe that this means not for total	23 Q. And so is there anything intrinsically unfair in	
24	time, in other words, not for coming in off-watch and	24 paying pilots who work more, paying them more and pay	ying
25	moving a ship.	25 less to pilots who work less?	
	Page 332	Daga	224
	1490 552	Page 3	334
1	Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time	1 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or	334
1 2			334
	Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time	1 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or	334
2	Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time?A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony.	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about 	t
2 3	 Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time? A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony. Q. I would just like to know your opinion of of 	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 	t
2 3 4 5 6	Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time?A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony.	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 A. Can you tell me what DR response 	t
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time? A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony. Q. I would just like to know your opinion of of that concept. Let's separate it from Dr. Khawaja right now. 	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 A. Can you tell me what DR response Q. Yes, I'm getting there. And I'm sorry. There's 	t
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time? A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony. Q. I would just like to know your opinion of of that concept. Let's separate it from Dr. Khawaja right now. A. Okay. 	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 A. Can you tell me what DR response Q. Yes, I'm getting there. And I'm sorry. There's a lot of information here. 	t
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time? A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony. Q. I would just like to know your opinion of of that concept. Let's separate it from Dr. Khawaja right now. A. Okay. Q. Do you think that pilots should be compensated 	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 A. Can you tell me what DR response Q. Yes, I'm getting there. And I'm sorry. There's a lot of information here. So I think that's on page 6? 	t
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time? A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony. Q. I would just like to know your opinion of of that concept. Let's separate it from Dr. Khawaja right now. A. Okay. Q. Do you think that pilots should be compensated for the time that they are on watch or scheduled to work 	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 A. Can you tell me what DR response Q. Yes, I'm getting there. And I'm sorry. There's a lot of information here. So I think that's on page 6? A. What DR number? 	t
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time? A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony. Q. I would just like to know your opinion of of that concept. Let's separate it from Dr. Khawaja right now. A. Okay. Q. Do you think that pilots should be compensated for the time that they are on watch or scheduled to work rather than their total time? 	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 A. Can you tell me what DR response Q. Yes, I'm getting there. And I'm sorry. There's a lot of information here. So I think that's on page 6? A. What DR number? Q. IC-42X, page 6. I'm getting there. You're 	t
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time? A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony. Q. I would just like to know your opinion of of that concept. Let's separate it from Dr. Khawaja right now. A. Okay. Q. Do you think that pilots should be compensated for the time that they are on watch or scheduled to work rather than their total time? A. I think they should be compensated for their 	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 A. Can you tell me what DR response Q. Yes, I'm getting there. And I'm sorry. There's a lot of information here. So I think that's on page 6? A. What DR number? Q. IC-42X, page 6. I'm getting there. You're faster than me, Captain Carlson. 	t 42X.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time? A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony. Q. I would just like to know your opinion of of that concept. Let's separate it from Dr. Khawaja right now. A. Okay. Q. Do you think that pilots should be compensated for the time that they are on watch or scheduled to work rather than their total time? A. I think they should be compensated for the time that for the time that they are on watch or scheduled to work rather than their total time? A. I think they should be compensated for their time on-watch and for their respite time. 	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 A. Can you tell me what DR response Q. Yes, I'm getting there. And I'm sorry. There's a lot of information here. So I think that's on page 6? A. What DR number? Q. IC-42X, page 6. I'm getting there. You're faster than me, Captain Carlson. So the DR number is 28. This is UTC Staff Data 	t 42X.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time? A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony. Q. I would just like to know your opinion of of that concept. Let's separate it from Dr. Khawaja right now. A. Okay. Q. Do you think that pilots should be compensated for the time that they are on watch or scheduled to work rather than their total time? A. I think they should be compensated for the ir time on-watch and for their respite time. Q. Uh-huh. Right. So if a pilot works a callback 	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 A. Can you tell me what DR response Q. Yes, I'm getting there. And I'm sorry. There's a lot of information here. So I think that's on page 6? A. What DR number? Q. IC-42X, page 6. I'm getting there. You're faster than me, Captain Carlson. So the DR number is 28. This is UTC Staff Data Request 28. 	t 42X.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time? A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony. Q. I would just like to know your opinion of of that concept. Let's separate it from Dr. Khawaja right now. A. Okay. Q. Do you think that pilots should be compensated for the time that they are on watch or scheduled to work rather than their total time? A. I think they should be compensated for the time on-watch and for their respite time. Q. Uh-huh. Right. So if a pilot works a callback job and gets paid an additional amount for working it, 	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 A. Can you tell me what DR response Q. Yes, I'm getting there. And I'm sorry. There's a lot of information here. So I think that's on page 6? A. What DR number? Q. IC-42X, page 6. I'm getting there. You're faster than me, Captain Carlson. So the DR number is 28. This is UTC Staff Data Request 28. A. Oh, shoot. I don't think I even have that one. 	t 42X.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time? A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony. Q. I would just like to know your opinion of of that concept. Let's separate it from Dr. Khawaja right now. A. Okay. Q. Do you think that pilots should be compensated for the time that they are on watch or scheduled to work rather than their total time? A. I think they should be compensated for the time data they are on watch or scheduled to work rather than their total time? Q. Uh-huh. Right. So if a pilot works a callback job and gets paid an additional amount for working it, would you say that that would be appropriate? 	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 A. Can you tell me what DR response Q. Yes, I'm getting there. And I'm sorry. There's a lot of information here. So I think that's on page 6? A. What DR number? Q. IC-42X, page 6. I'm getting there. You're faster than me, Captain Carlson. So the DR number is 28. This is UTC Staff Data Request 28. A. Oh, shoot. I don't think I even have that one. Q. That's in your in the cross-exam exhibits 	t 42X.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time? A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony. Q. I would just like to know your opinion of of that concept. Let's separate it from Dr. Khawaja right now. A. Okay. Q. Do you think that pilots should be compensated for the time that they are on watch or scheduled to work rather than their total time? A. I think they should be compensated for the time on-watch and for their respite time. Q. Uh-huh. Right. So if a pilot works a callback job and gets paid an additional amount for working it, would you say that that would be appropriate? A. It wouldn't be in keeping with the past practice 	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 A. Can you tell me what DR response Q. Yes, I'm getting there. And I'm sorry. There's a lot of information here. So I think that's on page 6? A. What DR number? Q. IC-42X, page 6. I'm getting there. You're faster than me, Captain Carlson. So the DR number is 28. This is UTC Staff Data Request 28. A. Oh, shoot. I don't think I even have that one. Q. That's in your in the cross-exam exhibits that PMSA submitted for you. It's Exhibit 42X. 	t 42X.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time? A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony. Q. I would just like to know your opinion of of that concept. Let's separate it from Dr. Khawaja right now. A. Okay. Q. Do you think that pilots should be compensated for the time that they are on watch or scheduled to work rather than their total time? A. I think they should be compensated for the time on-watch and for their respite time. Q. Uh-huh. Right. So if a pilot works a callback job and gets paid an additional amount for working it, would you say that that would be appropriate? A. It wouldn't be in keeping with the past practice of the MOUs of the past where those comp days were 	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 A. Can you tell me what DR response Q. Yes, I'm getting there. And I'm sorry. There's a lot of information here. So I think that's on page 6? A. What DR number? Q. IC-42X, page 6. I'm getting there. You're faster than me, Captain Carlson. So the DR number is 28. This is UTC Staff Data Request 28. A. Oh, shoot. I don't think I even have that one. Q. That's in your in the cross-exam exhibits that PMSA submitted for you. It's Exhibit 42X. JUDGE PEARSON: It's on page 3 of 69. 	t 42X.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time? A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony. Q. I would just like to know your opinion of of that concept. Let's separate it from Dr. Khawaja right now. A. Okay. Q. Do you think that pilots should be compensated for the time that they are on watch or scheduled to work rather than their total time? A. I think they should be compensated for the time on-watch and for their respite time. Q. Uh-huh. Right. So if a pilot works a callback job and gets paid an additional amount for working it, would you say that that would be appropriate? A. It wouldn't be in keeping with the past practice of the MOUs of the past where those comp days were funded if a pilot burned a comp day, but it would be in 	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 A. Can you tell me what DR response Q. Yes, I'm getting there. And I'm sorry. There's a lot of information here. So I think that's on page 6? A. What DR number? Q. IC-42X, page 6. I'm getting there. You're faster than me, Captain Carlson. So the DR number is 28. This is UTC Staff Data Request 28. A. Oh, shoot. I don't think I even have that one. Q. That's in your in the cross-exam exhibits that PMSA submitted for you. It's Exhibit 42X. JUDGE PEARSON: It's on page 3 of 69. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Sorry. 	t 42X.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time? A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony. Q. I would just like to know your opinion of of that concept. Let's separate it from Dr. Khawaja right now. A. Okay. Q. Do you think that pilots should be compensated for the time that they are on watch or scheduled to work rather than their total time? A. I think they should be compensated for the time on-watch and for their respite time. Q. Uh-huh. Right. So if a pilot works a callback job and gets paid an additional amount for working it, would you say that that would be appropriate? A. It wouldn't be in keeping with the past practice of the MOUs of the past where those comp days were funded if a pilot burned a comp day, but it would be in keeping with the system we would like to transition to. 	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 A. Can you tell me what DR response Q. Yes, I'm getting there. And I'm sorry. There's a lot of information here. So I think that's on page 6? A. What DR number? Q. IC-42X, page 6. I'm getting there. You're faster than me, Captain Carlson. So the DR number is 28. This is UTC Staff Data Request 28. A. Oh, shoot. I don't think I even have that one. Q. That's in your in the cross-exam exhibits that PMSA submitted for you. It's Exhibit 42X. JUDGE PEARSON: It's on page 3 of 69. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Sorry. BY MS. DELAPPE: 	t 42X.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time? A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony. Q. I would just like to know your opinion of of that concept. Let's separate it from Dr. Khawaja right now. A. Okay. Q. Do you think that pilots should be compensated for the time that they are on watch or scheduled to work rather than their total time? A. I think they should be compensated for the time on-watch and for their respite time. Q. Uh-huh. Right. So if a pilot works a callback job and gets paid an additional amount for working it, would you say that that would be appropriate? A. It wouldn't be in keeping with the past practice of the MOUs of the past where those comp days were funded if a pilot burned a comp day, but it would be in keeping with the system we would like to transition to. Q. So why would it what's the system that you 	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 A. Can you tell me what DR response Q. Yes, I'm getting there. And I'm sorry. There's a lot of information here. So I think that's on page 6? A. What DR number? Q. IC-42X, page 6. I'm getting there. You're faster than me, Captain Carlson. So the DR number is 28. This is UTC Staff Data Request 28. A. Oh, shoot. I don't think I even have that one. Q. That's in your in the cross-exam exhibits that PMSA submitted for you. It's Exhibit 42X. JUDGE PEARSON: It's on page 3 of 69. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Sorry. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. It is on page 3. Thank you. That's where it 	t 42X.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time? A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony. Q. I would just like to know your opinion of of that concept. Let's separate it from Dr. Khawaja right now. A. Okay. Q. Do you think that pilots should be compensated for the time that they are on watch or scheduled to work rather than their total time? A. I think they should be compensated for the time on-watch and for their respite time. Q. Uh-huh. Right. So if a pilot works a callback job and gets paid an additional amount for working it, would you say that that would be appropriate? A. It wouldn't be in keeping with the past practice of the MOUs of the past where those comp days were funded if a pilot burned a comp day, but it would be in keeping with the system we would like to transition to. Q. So why would it what's the system that you would like to transition to? Can you explain that? 	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 A. Can you tell me what DR response Q. Yes, I'm getting there. And I'm sorry. There's a lot of information here. So I think that's on page 6? A. What DR number? Q. IC-42X, page 6. I'm getting there. You're faster than me, Captain Carlson. So the DR number is 28. This is UTC Staff Data Request 28. A. Oh, shoot. I don't think I even have that one. Q. That's in your in the cross-exam exhibits that PMSA submitted for you. It's Exhibit 42X. JUDGE PEARSON: It's on page 3 of 69. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Sorry. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. It is on page 3. Thank you. That's where it 	t 42X.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time? A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony. Q. I would just like to know your opinion of of that concept. Let's separate it from Dr. Khawaja right now. A. Okay. Q. Do you think that pilots should be compensated for the time that they are on watch or scheduled to work rather than their total time? A. I think they should be compensated for the time on-watch and for their respite time. Q. Uh-huh. Right. So if a pilot works a callback job and gets paid an additional amount for working it, would you say that that would be appropriate? A. It wouldn't be in keeping with the past practice of the MOUs of the past where those comp days were funded if a pilot burned a comp day, but it would be in keeping with the system we would like to transition to. Q. So why would it what's the system that you would like to transition to? Can you explain that? A. We would like those callback days funded. 	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 A. Can you tell me what DR response Q. Yes, I'm getting there. And I'm sorry. There's a lot of information here. So I think that's on page 6? A. What DR number? Q. IC-42X, page 6. I'm getting there. You're faster than me, Captain Carlson. So the DR number is 28. This is UTC Staff Data Request 28. A. Oh, shoot. I don't think I even have that one. Q. That's in your in the cross-exam exhibits that PMSA submitted for you. It's Exhibit 42X. JUDGE PEARSON: It's on page 3 of 69. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Sorry. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. It is on page 3. Thank you. That's where it starts. And the part I want to focus on 	t 42X.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So do you think that DNI should be for the time the pilot is on watch or, as you say, scheduled to work and not for total time? A. I think that's misrepresenting this testimony. Q. I would just like to know your opinion of of that concept. Let's separate it from Dr. Khawaja right now. A. Okay. Q. Do you think that pilots should be compensated for the time that they are on watch or scheduled to work rather than their total time? A. I think they should be compensated for the time on-watch and for their respite time. Q. Uh-huh. Right. So if a pilot works a callback job and gets paid an additional amount for working it, would you say that that would be appropriate? A. It wouldn't be in keeping with the past practice of the MOUs of the past where those comp days were funded if a pilot burned a comp day, but it would be in keeping with the system we would like to transition to. Q. So why would it what's the system that you would like to transition to? Can you explain that? 	 A. Not under a system where the pilots were or the pilots burning callback days were funded in the past. Q. Okay. So I have some questions for you about one of your data request responses, so that's in IC-4 A. Can you tell me what DR response Q. Yes, I'm getting there. And I'm sorry. There's a lot of information here. So I think that's on page 6? A. What DR number? Q. IC-42X, page 6. I'm getting there. You're faster than me, Captain Carlson. So the DR number is 28. This is UTC Staff Data Request 28. A. Oh, shoot. I don't think I even have that one. Q. That's in your in the cross-exam exhibits that PMSA submitted for you. It's Exhibit 42X. JUDGE PEARSON: It's on page 3 of 69. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Sorry. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. It is on page 3. Thank you. That's where it 	t 42X.

70 (Pages 331 to 334)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 335	Page 337
1	Q. That's fine with me.	1 "Repos" are repositioning where pilots usually
2	THE WITNESS: I mean, Your Honor, can I open	2 need to move to the pilot station or they need to come
3	my computer?	3 in from the pilot station.
4	JUDGE PEARSON: That's fine. And while you	4 And comp time "CTJ" is how many comp time
5	do that, I'd actually like to take a five-minute break.	5 comp time jobs there were and also how many pilots
б	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you.	6 performed those jobs.
7	JUDGE PEARSON: All right. So we will be in	7 Comp day taken, or "CDT," I'm sorry, is comp day
8	recess for approximately five minutes.	8 taken. So pilots who earn the callback day use the
9	(A break was taken from	9 callback day to take it off. There were two, either,
10	3:52 p.m. to 4:00 p.m.)	10 meetings or training. I'm not sure which one this was.
11	THE COURT: All right. Let's be back on the	11 And two on major medical. And two pilots said do not
12	record. And that, Ms. DeLappe, you can resume.	12 call. And that do not call list, which off-watch pilots
13	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much.	13 who are saying "I'm not available to take a callback day
14	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	14 that day."
15	Q. So on that page that we were looking at,	15 Q. Thank you.
16	regarding the response to UTC Data Request 28, Captain	16Would you agree that there were approximately 50
17	Carlson, you provided four examples of situations in	17 licensed pilots at that time?
18	which PSP had insufficient pilots to meet demand; right?	18 A. I'm not quite sure how many there were at that
19	A. Well, I thought she said excuse me. I'm	19 time, but somewhere 47, 48, maybe 50, I'm not sure.
20	sorry, didn't you say DR 28?	20 Q. Thank you.
21	BY MS. DeLAPPE:	21 So back to this day, there were only 21 pilots
22	Q. UTC. DR 28; correct.	assigned to be on watch. So you had approximately 29
23	A. I'm sorry. I thought it was I'll pull that	23 who were unavailable as being off rotation; right?
24	up. I have it.	24 A. Yes.
25	MS. DeLAPPE: Judge Pearson, I'm worried	Q. And so you'd agree that you are already about
	Page 336	Page 338
1		
	about my amount of time.	1 58 percent down of your pilotage core just due to the
2	about my amount of time. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to	
	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to	2 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume	2 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation
2 3	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to	 2 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation 3 works; right?
2 3 4	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume and let Staff pick up cross for this witness in morning.	 2 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation 3 works; right? 4 A. Yeah.
2 3 4 5	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume and let Staff pick up cross for this witness in morning. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. I	 2 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation 3 works; right? 4 A. Yeah. 5 Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume and let Staff pick up cross for this witness in morning. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. I appreciate it.	 2 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation 3 works; right? 4 A. Yeah. 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. That sounds about right.
2 3 4 5 6 7	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume and let Staff pick up cross for this witness in morning. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. THE WITNESS: Okay. I have DR-28 there.	 2 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation 3 works; right? 4 A. Yeah. 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. That sounds about right. 7 Q. Roughly. I understand. You don't have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume and let Staff pick up cross for this witness in morning. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. THE WITNESS: Okay. I have DR-28 there. UTC DR-28.	 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation works; right? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. That sounds about right. Q. Roughly. I understand. You don't have to calculate it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume and let Staff pick up cross for this witness in morning. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. THE WITNESS: Okay. I have DR-28 there. UTC DR-28. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Wonderful. Thank you very much. If you turn to example three, which is page 6 of that exhibit for those	 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation works; right? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. That sounds about right. Q. Roughly. I understand. You don't have to calculate it. But when you ran through the headings of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume and let Staff pick up cross for this witness in morning. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. THE WITNESS: Okay. I have DR-28 there. UTC DR-28. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Wonderful. Thank you very much. If you turn to	 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation works; right? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. That sounds about right. Q. Roughly. I understand. You don't have to calculate it. But when you ran through the headings of the pilot status, I noticed there was no heading or column
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume and let Staff pick up cross for this witness in morning. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. THE WITNESS: Okay. I have DR-28 there. UTC DR-28. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Wonderful. Thank you very much. If you turn to example three, which is page 6 of that exhibit for those	 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation works; right? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. That sounds about right. Q. Roughly. I understand. You don't have to calculate it. But when you ran through the headings of the pilot status, I noticed there was no heading or column for the number of pilots who were, you know, on vacation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume and let Staff pick up cross for this witness in morning. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. THE WITNESS: Okay. I have DR-28 there. UTC DR-28. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Wonderful. Thank you very much. If you turn to example three, which is page 6 of that exhibit for those who are looking at the exhibit numbers.	 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation works; right? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. That sounds about right. Q. Roughly. I understand. You don't have to calculate it. But when you ran through the headings of the pilot status, I noticed there was no heading or column for the number of pilots who were, you know, on vacation or earned time off this particular day. Why is this omitted from the examples? A. Because all that was really required was to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume and let Staff pick up cross for this witness in morning. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. THE WITNESS: Okay. I have DR-28 there. UTC DR-28. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Wonderful. Thank you very much. If you turn to example three, which is page 6 of that exhibit for those who are looking at the exhibit numbers. You have example 6, August 6, 2018; right? And there's a little table there. A. Yes.	 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation works; right? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. That sounds about right. Q. Roughly. I understand. You don't have to calculate it. But when you ran through the headings of the pilot status, I noticed there was no heading or column for the number of pilots who were, you know, on vacation or earned time off this particular day. Why is this omitted from the examples? A. Because all that was really required was to demonstrate why there was a delay. And I indicated how
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume and let Staff pick up cross for this witness in morning. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. THE WITNESS: Okay. I have DR-28 there. UTC DR-28. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Wonderful. Thank you very much. If you turn to example three, which is page 6 of that exhibit for those who are looking at the exhibit numbers. You have example 6, August 6, 2018; right? And there's a little table there. A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me just quickly what the	 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation works; right? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. That sounds about right. Q. Roughly. I understand. You don't have to calculate it. But when you ran through the headings of the pilot status, I noticed there was no heading or column for the number of pilots who were, you know, on vacation or earned time off this particular day. Why is this omitted from the examples? A. Because all that was really required was to demonstrate why there was a delay. And I indicated how many pilots were on watch in the very first column. So
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume and let Staff pick up cross for this witness in morning. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. THE WITNESS: Okay. I have DR-28 there. UTC DR-28. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Wonderful. Thank you very much. If you turn to example three, which is page 6 of that exhibit for those who are looking at the exhibit numbers. You have example 6, August 6, 2018; right? And there's a little table there. A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me just quickly what the headings on that table mean?	 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation works; right? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. That sounds about right. Q. Roughly. I understand. You don't have to calculate it. But when you ran through the headings of the pilot status, I noticed there was no heading or column for the number of pilots who were, you know, on vacation or earned time off this particular day. Why is this omitted from the examples? A. Because all that was really required was to demonstrate why there was a delay. And I indicated how many pilots were on watch in the very first column. So that's all that was really required. I also didn't list
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume and let Staff pick up cross for this witness in morning. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. THE WITNESS: Okay. I have DR-28 there. UTC DR-28. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Wonderful. Thank you very much. If you turn to example three, which is page 6 of that exhibit for those who are looking at the exhibit numbers. You have example 6, August 6, 2018; right? And there's a little table there. A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me – just quickly what the headings on that table mean? A. "Watch" is the number of pilots that were on	 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation works; right? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. That sounds about right. Q. Roughly. I understand. You don't have to calculate it. But when you ran through the headings of the pilot status, I noticed there was no heading or column for the number of pilots who were, you know, on vacation or earned time off this particular day. Why is this omitted from the examples? A. Because all that was really required was to demonstrate why there was a delay. And I indicated how many pilots was really required. I also didn't list the number of pilots that were just on their regular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume and let Staff pick up cross for this witness in morning. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. THE WITNESS: Okay. I have DR-28 there. UTC DR-28. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Wonderful. Thank you very much. If you turn to example three, which is page 6 of that exhibit for those who are looking at the exhibit numbers. You have example 6, August 6, 2018; right? And there's a little table there. A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me just quickly what the headings on that table mean? A. "Watch" is the number of pilots that were on watch.	 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation works; right? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. That sounds about right. Q. Roughly. I understand. You don't have to calculate it. But when you ran through the headings of the pilot status, I noticed there was no heading or column for the number of pilots who were, you know, on vacation or earned time off this particular day. Why is this omitted from the examples? A. Because all that was really required was to demonstrate why there was a delay. And I indicated how many pilots was really required. I also didn't list the number of pilots that were just on their regular respite either.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume and let Staff pick up cross for this witness in morning. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. THE WITNESS: Okay. I have DR-28 there. UTC DR-28. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Wonderful. Thank you very much. If you turn to example three, which is page 6 of that exhibit for those who are looking at the exhibit numbers. You have example 6, August 6, 2018; right? And there's a little table there. A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me just quickly what the headings on that table mean? A. "Watch" is the number of pilots that were on watch. "Pilot delays" are the number of of delays	 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation works; right? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. That sounds about right. Q. Roughly. I understand. You don't have to calculate it. But when you ran through the headings of the pilot status, I noticed there was no heading or column for the number of pilots who were, you know, on vacation or earned time off this particular day. Why is this omitted from the examples? A. Because all that was really required was to demonstrate why there was a delay. And I indicated how many pilots ware on watch in the very first column. So that's all that was really required. I also didn't list the number of pilots that were just on their regular respite either. Q. Mm-hmm. And why do you I mean, how does PSP
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume and let Staff pick up cross for this witness in morning. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. THE WITNESS: Okay. I have DR-28 there. UTC DR-28. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Wonderful. Thank you very much. If you turn to example three, which is page 6 of that exhibit for those who are looking at the exhibit numbers. You have example 6, August 6, 2018; right? And there's a little table there. A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me – just quickly what the headings on that table mean? A. "Watch" is the number of pilots that were on watch. "Pilot delays" are the number of of delays due to pilots.	 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation works; right? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. That sounds about right. Q. Roughly. I understand. You don't have to calculate it. But when you ran through the headings of the pilot status, I noticed there was no heading or column for the number of pilots who were, you know, on vacation or earned time off this particular day. Why is this omitted from the examples? A. Because all that was really required was to demonstrate why there was a delay. And I indicated how many pilots were on watch in the very first column. So that's all that was really required. I also didn't list the number of pilots that were just on their regular respite either. Q. Mm-hmm. And why do you I mean, how does PSP track those, you know, pilots on vacation or earned time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume and let Staff pick up cross for this witness in morning. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. THE WITNESS: Okay. I have DR-28 there. UTC DR-28. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Wonderful. Thank you very much. If you turn to example three, which is page 6 of that exhibit for those who are looking at the exhibit numbers. You have example 6, August 6, 2018; right? And there's a little table there. A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me just quickly what the headings on that table mean? A. "Watch" is the number of pilots that were on watch. "Pilot delays" are the number of of delays due to pilots. "Customer delays" are the number of delays by	 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation works; right? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. That sounds about right. Q. Roughly. I understand. You don't have to calculate it. But when you ran through the headings of the pilot status, I noticed there was no heading or column for the number of pilots who were, you know, on vacation or earned time off this particular day. Why is this omitted from the examples? A. Because all that was really required was to demonstrate why there was a delay. And I indicated how many pilots were on watch in the very first column. So that's all that was really required. I also didn't list the number of pilots that were just on their regular respite either. Q. Mm-hmm. And why do you I mean, how does PSP track those, you know, pilots on vacation or earned time off?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume and let Staff pick up cross for this witness in morning. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. THE WITNESS: Okay. I have DR-28 there. UTC DR-28. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Wonderful. Thank you very much. If you turn to example three, which is page 6 of that exhibit for those who are looking at the exhibit numbers. You have example 6, August 6, 2018; right? And there's a little table there. A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me just quickly what the headings on that table mean? A. "Watch" is the number of pilots that were on watch. "Pilot delays" are the number of of delays due to pilots. "Customer delays" are the number of delays by vessel.	 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation works; right? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. That sounds about right. Q. Roughly. I understand. You don't have to calculate it. But when you ran through the headings of the pilot status, I noticed there was no heading or column for the number of pilots who were, you know, on vacation or earned time off this particular day. Why is this omitted from the examples? A. Because all that was really required was to demonstrate why there was a delay. And I indicated how many pilots were on watch in the very first column. So that's all that was really required. I also didn't list the number of pilots that were just on their regular respite either. Q. Mm-hmm. And why do you I mean, how does PSP track those, you know, pilots on vacation or earned time off? A. The dispatcher enters "ETO" in column one and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. DeLappe, we're going to let you finish out the day here and then we'll resume and let Staff pick up cross for this witness in morning. MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. THE WITNESS: Okay. I have DR-28 there. UTC DR-28. BY MS. DeLAPPE: Q. Wonderful. Thank you very much. If you turn to example three, which is page 6 of that exhibit for those who are looking at the exhibit numbers. You have example 6, August 6, 2018; right? And there's a little table there. A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me just quickly what the headings on that table mean? A. "Watch" is the number of pilots that were on watch. "Pilot delays" are the number of of delays due to pilots. "Customer delays" are the number of delays by	 rotational schedule; right? That's just how rotation works; right? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. That sounds about right. Q. Roughly. I understand. You don't have to calculate it. But when you ran through the headings of the pilot status, I noticed there was no heading or column for the number of pilots who were, you know, on vacation or earned time off this particular day. Why is this omitted from the examples? A. Because all that was really required was to demonstrate why there was a delay. And I indicated how many pilots were on watch in the very first column. So that's all that was really required. I also didn't list the number of pilots that were just on their regular respite either. Q. Mm-hmm. And why do you I mean, how does PSP track those, you know, pilots on vacation or earned time off?

71 (Pages 335 to 338)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 339		Page 341
1	dispatchers aren't real super consistent. There's three	1	Subtracting 21, major medical, and the 6 comp day folks;
2	of them. One dispatcher may put that ETO for an entire	2	right?
3	seven days in one row and one dispatcher may do it daily	3	A. Yeah, I I mean, it was quite a while ago that
4	for seven rows. Like, that's how it's tracked.	4	I created this. And I'm not sure if I included those
5	Q. So on this	5	two, I guess two on major medical, and so the math would
6	A. When you're on respite, you're just not there.	6	be 21.
7	You're not on the screen unless you've worked the	7	Q. Minus eight?
8	callback day.	8	A. If the pilot is repositioning, though, they are
9	Q. Got it. Thank you.	9	working. They are doing something. And so that's part
10	On this particular day with only 13 vessel	10	of the equation. And whether or not the assignments
11	assignments that was a relatively low demand day; right?	11	were ordered waking up time to reposition the pilot
12	A. Yes.	12	back, it is unclear to me.
13	Q. And on a typical day with 21 pilots on watch you	13	Q. So Captain Carlson, in order for us to get
14	presume 21 pilots would be able to cover 13 vessel	14	through your testimony in time today, I'm really going
15	assignments without complications; right?	15	to ask need to ask you to focus on the specific
16	A. Well, I think you need to look at I think it	16	question that I'm asking. Okay?
17	would benefit the conversation if we looked at the last	17	A. I'll try.
18	sentence of the of that paragraph. It would it	18	Q. So I will try very hard to make sure that
19	would be a little more clear as to what was going on	19	question is clear.
20	that day.	20	You start off with 21 pilots who are on watch;
21	Q. Which sentence are you referring to?	21	right?
22	A. "Combined with the number of assignments	22	A. Yes.
23	commencing late on August 5th and early on August 6th,	23	Q. Subtract two pilots for major medical; right?
24	there were simply no respite pilots available."	24	A. I think. Like I say, I did this report quite
25	So what happens is that if so pilots go to	25	some time ago. I think, probably, you would subtract
	Page 340	-	Page 342
1	work August 5th, and they and I don't have the exact	1	
1 2	work August 5th, and they and I don't have the exact stats here. I created this. So but right now I	1	those two.
	stats here. I created this. So but right now I		those two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on
2		2	those two.
2 3	stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head.	2 3	those two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots
2 3 4	stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot	2 3 4	those two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right?
2 3 4 5	stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot of ships that leave Tacoma between 1500 and 2000. And	2 3 4 5	those two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot of ships that leave Tacoma between 1500 and 2000. And so by the time they get out to the pilot station, they	2 3 4 5 6	those two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right? A. Yes. Q. And does the PSP president or PSP dispatcher
2 3 4 5 6 7	stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot of ships that leave Tacoma between 1500 and 2000. And so by the time they get out to the pilot station, they are not they are they are midnight, 2300s, 0100 on	2 3 4 5 6 7	 those two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right? A. Yes. Q. And does the PSP president or PSP dispatcher ever have the right or ability to say if it's not a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot of ships that leave Tacoma between 1500 and 2000. And so by the time they get out to the pilot station, they are not they are they are midnight, 2300s, 0100 on the 6th. Well, they need to get their ten hours rest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 d. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right? A. Yes. Q. And does the PSP president or PSP dispatcher ever have the right or ability to say if it's not a holiday, to say to the six pilots who are choosing to take comp that day that they can't take one that day? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot of ships that leave Tacoma between 1500 and 2000. And so by the time they get out to the pilot station, they are not they are they are midnight, 2300s, 0100 on the 6th. Well, they need to get their ten hours rest before they're even available. And so that's part of the problem. And then they are out at the pilot station and if the delay and I forget but if the delay was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 chose two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right? A. Yes. Q. And does the PSP president or PSP dispatcher ever have the right or ability to say if it's not a holiday, to say to the six pilots who are choosing to take comp that day that they can't take one that day? A. No. Q. So they can't say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot of ships that leave Tacoma between 1500 and 2000. And so by the time they get out to the pilot station, they are not they are they are midnight, 2300s, 0100 on the 6th. Well, they need to get their ten hours rest before they're even available. And so that's part of the problem. And then they are out at the pilot station and if the delay and I forget but if the delay was on the Seattle side, it's not going to do any good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 chose two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right? A. Yes. Q. And does the PSP president or PSP dispatcher ever have the right or ability to say if it's not a holiday, to say to the six pilots who are choosing to take comp that day that they can't take one that day? A. No. Q. So they can't say A. Any comp days that were taken, were also most
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot of ships that leave Tacoma between 1500 and 2000. And so by the time they get out to the pilot station, they are not they are they are midnight, 2300s, 0100 on the 6th. Well, they need to get their ten hours rest before they're even available. And so that's part of the problem. And then they are out at the pilot station and if the delay and I forget but if the delay was on the Seattle side, it's not going to do any good because the pilots are in Port Angeles. They need their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 those two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right? A. Yes. Q. And does the PSP president or PSP dispatcher ever have the right or ability to say if it's not a holiday, to say to the six pilots who are choosing to take comp that day that they can't take one that day? A. No. Q. So they can't say A. Any comp days that were taken, were also most likely there's only so many train-the-trainer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot of ships that leave Tacoma between 1500 and 2000. And so by the time they get out to the pilot station, they are not they are they are midnight, 2300s, 0100 on the 6th. Well, they need to get their ten hours rest before they're even available. And so that's part of the problem. And then they are out at the pilot station and if the delay and I forget but if the delay was on the Seattle side, it's not going to do any good because the pilots are in Port Angeles. They need their ten hours rest and they can't get back in time to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 those two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right? A. Yes. Q. And does the PSP president or PSP dispatcher ever have the right or ability to say if it's not a holiday, to say to the six pilots who are choosing to take comp that day that they can't take one that day? A. No. Q. So they can't say A. Any comp days that were taken, were also most likely there's only so many train-the-trainer classes. Dr. Tonn wanted us to get through these Pilot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot of ships that leave Tacoma between 1500 and 2000. And so by the time they get out to the pilot station, they are not they are they are midnight, 2300s, 0100 on the 6th. Well, they need to get their ten hours rest before they're even available. And so that's part of the problem. And then they are out at the pilot station and if the delay and I forget but if the delay was on the Seattle side, it's not going to do any good because the pilots are in Port Angeles. They need their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 those two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right? A. Yes. Q. And does the PSP president or PSP dispatcher ever have the right or ability to say if it's not a holiday, to say to the six pilots who are choosing to take comp that day that they can't take one that day? A. No. Q. So they can't say A. Any comp days that were taken, were also most likely there's only so many train-the-trainer classes. Dr. Tonn wanted us to get through these Pilot Commission train-the-trainer classes. And pilots may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot of ships that leave Tacoma between 1500 and 2000. And so by the time they get out to the pilot station, they are not they are they are midnight, 2300s, 0100 on the 6th. Well, they need to get their ten hours rest before they're even available. And so that's part of the problem. And then they are out at the pilot station and if the delay and I forget but if the delay was on the Seattle side, it's not going to do any good because the pilots are in Port Angeles. They need their ten hours rest and they can't get back in time to do that assignment. Q. Captain Carlson, you also noted that there's a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 those two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right? A. Yes. Q. And does the PSP president or PSP dispatcher ever have the right or ability to say if it's not a holiday, to say to the six pilots who are choosing to take comp that day that they can't take one that day? A. No. Q. So they can't say A. Any comp days that were taken, were also most likely there's only so many train-the-trainer classes. Dr. Tonn wanted us to get through these Pilot Commission train-the-trainer classes. And pilots may have taken comp days to attend those train-the-trainer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot of ships that leave Tacoma between 1500 and 2000. And so by the time they get out to the pilot station, they are not they are they are midnight, 2300s, 0100 on the 6th. Well, they need to get their ten hours rest before they're even available. And so that's part of the problem. And then they are out at the pilot station and if the delay and I forget but if the delay was on the Seattle side, it's not going to do any good because the pilots are in Port Angeles. They need their ten hours rest and they can't get back in time to do that assignment. Q. Captain Carlson, you also noted that there's a column there for pilots who are choosing to take comp 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 those two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right? A. Yes. Q. And does the PSP president or PSP dispatcher ever have the right or ability to say if it's not a holiday, to say to the six pilots who are choosing to take comp that day that they can't take one that day? A. No. Q. So they can't say A. Any comp days that were taken, were also most likely there's only so many train-the-trainer classes. Dr. Tonn wanted us to get through these Pilot Commission train-the-trainer classes. And pilots may have taken comp days to attend those train-the-trainer classes. I don't know if they did or didn't, because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot of ships that leave Tacoma between 1500 and 2000. And so by the time they get out to the pilot station, they are not they are they are midnight, 2300s, 0100 on the 6th. Well, they need to get their ten hours rest before they're even available. And so that's part of the problem. And then they are out at the pilot station and if the delay and I forget but if the delay was on the Seattle side, it's not going to do any good because the pilots are in Port Angeles. They need their ten hours rest and they can't get back in time to do that assignment. Q. Captain Carlson, you also noted that there's a column there for pilots who are choosing to take comp days that they earned from completing earlier callback 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 those two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right? A. Yes. Q. And does the PSP president or PSP dispatcher ever have the right or ability to say if it's not a holiday, to say to the six pilots who are choosing to take comp that day that they can't take one that day? A. No. Q. So they can't say A. Any comp days that were taken, were also most likely there's only so many train-the-trainer classes. Dr. Tonn wanted us to get through these Pilot Commission train-the-trainer classes. And pilots may have taken comp days to attend those train-the-trainer classes. I don't know if they did or didn't, because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot of ships that leave Tacoma between 1500 and 2000. And so by the time they get out to the pilot station, they are not they are they are midnight, 2300s, 0100 on the 6th. Well, they need to get their ten hours rest before they're even available. And so that's part of the problem. And then they are out at the pilot station and if the delay and I forget but if the delay was on the Seattle side, it's not going to do any good because the pilots are in Port Angeles. They need their ten hours rest and they can't get back in time to do that assignment. Q. Captain Carlson, you also noted that there's a column there for pilots who are choosing to take comp days that they earned from completing earlier callback jobs on some prior day; right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 those two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right? A. Yes. Q. And does the PSP president or PSP dispatcher ever have the right or ability to say if it's not a holiday, to say to the six pilots who are choosing to take comp that day that they can't take one that day? A. No. Q. So they can't say A. Any comp days that were taken, were also most likely there's only so many train-the-trainer classes. Dr. Tonn wanted us to get through these Pilot Commission train-the-trainer classes. And pilots may have taken comp days to attend those train-the-trainer classes. I don't know if they did or didn't, because I Q. Now, don't you have a separate category there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot of ships that leave Tacoma between 1500 and 2000. And so by the time they get out to the pilot station, they are not they are they are midnight, 2300s, 0100 on the 6th. Well, they need to get their ten hours rest before they're even available. And so that's part of the problem. And then they are out at the pilot station and if the delay and I forget but if the delay was on the Seattle side, it's not going to do any good because the pilots are in Port Angeles. They need their ten hours rest and they can't get back in time to do that assignment. Q. Captain Carlson, you also noted that there's a column there for pilots who are choosing to take comp days that they earned from completing earlier callback jobs on some prior day; right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 those two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right? A. Yes. Q. And does the PSP president or PSP dispatcher ever have the right or ability to say if it's not a holiday, to say to the six pilots who are choosing to take comp that day that they can't take one that day? A. No. Q. So they can't say A. Any comp days that were taken, were also most likely there's only so many train-the-trainer classes. Dr. Tonn wanted us to get through these Pilot Commission train-the-trainer classes. And pilots may have taken comp days to attend those train-the-trainer classes. I don't know if they did or didn't, because I Q. Now, don't you have a separate category there for meetings and training that says "two pilots"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot of ships that leave Tacoma between 1500 and 2000. And so by the time they get out to the pilot station, they are not they are they are midnight, 2300s, 0100 on the 6th. Well, they need to get their ten hours rest before they're even available. And so that's part of the problem. And then they are out at the pilot station and if the delay and I forget but if the delay was on the Seattle side, it's not going to do any good because the pilots are in Port Angeles. They need their ten hours rest and they can't get back in time to do that assignment. Q. Captain Carlson, you also noted that there's a column there for pilots who are choosing to take comp days that they earned from completing earlier callback jobs on some prior day; right? A. Yes. Q. There are six of those here; right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 those two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right? A. Yes. Q. And does the PSP president or PSP dispatcher ever have the right or ability to say if it's not a holiday, to say to the six pilots who are choosing to take comp that day that they can't take one that day? A. No. Q. So they can't say A. Any comp days that were taken, were also most likely there's only so many train-the-trainer classes. Dr. Tonn wanted us to get through these Pilot Commission train-the-trainer classes. And pilots may have taken comp days to attend those train-the-trainer classes. I don't know if they did or didn't, because I Q. Now, don't you have a separate category there for meetings and training that says "two pilots"? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot of ships that leave Tacoma between 1500 and 2000. And so by the time they get out to the pilot station, they are not they are they are midnight, 2300s, 0100 on the 6th. Well, they need to get their ten hours rest before they're even available. And so that's part of the problem. And then they are out at the pilot station and if the delay and I forget but if the delay was on the Seattle side, it's not going to do any good because the pilots are in Port Angeles. They need their ten hours rest and they can't get back in time to do that assignment. Q. Captain Carlson, you also noted that there's a column there for pilots who are choosing to take comp days that they earned from completing earlier callback jobs on some prior day; right? A. Yes. Q. There are six of those here; right? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 those two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right? A. Yes. Q. And does the PSP president or PSP dispatcher ever have the right or ability to say if it's not a holiday, to say to the six pilots who are choosing to take comp that day that they can't take one that day? A. No. Q. So they can't say A. Any comp days that were taken, were also most likely there's only so many train-the-trainer classes. Dr. Tonn wanted us to get through these Pilot Commission train-the-trainer classes. And pilots may have taken comp days to attend those train-the-trainer classes. I don't know if they did or didn't, because 1 Q. Now, don't you have a separate category there for meetings and training that says "two pilots"? A. Yes. Q. And would those pilots be listed there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot of ships that leave Tacoma between 1500 and 2000. And so by the time they get out to the pilot station, they are not they are they are midnight, 2300s, 0100 on the 6th. Well, they need to get their ten hours rest before they're even available. And so that's part of the problem. And then they are out at the pilot station and if the delay and I forget but if the delay was on the Seattle side, it's not going to do any good because the pilots are in Port Angeles. They need their ten hours rest and they can't get back in time to do that assignment. Q. Captain Carlson, you also noted that there's a column there for pilots who are choosing to take comp days that they earned from completing earlier callback jobs on some prior day; right? A. Yes. Q. So that further reduced after major medical and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 those two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right? A. Yes. Q. And does the PSP president or PSP dispatcher ever have the right or ability to say if it's not a holiday, to say to the six pilots who are choosing to take comp that day that they can't take one that day? A. No. Q. So they can't say A. Any comp days that were taken, were also most likely there's only so many train-the-trainer classes. Dr. Tonn wanted us to get through these Pilot Commission train-the-trainer classes. And pilots may have taken comp days to attend those train-the-trainer classes. I don't know if they did or didn't, because l Q. Now, don't you have a separate category there for meetings and training that says "two pilots"? A. Yes. Q. And would those pilots be listed there? A. That no, because if that pilot was unable to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot of ships that leave Tacoma between 1500 and 2000. And so by the time they get out to the pilot station, they are not they are they are midnight, 2300s, 0100 on the 6th. Well, they need to get their ten hours rest before they're even available. And so that's part of the problem. And then they are out at the pilot station and if the delay and I forget but if the delay was on the Seattle side, it's not going to do any good because the pilots are in Port Angeles. They need their ten hours rest and they can't get back in time to do that assignment. Q. Captain Carlson, you also noted that there's a column there for pilots who are choosing to take comp days that they earned from completing earlier callback jobs on some prior day; right? A. Yes. Q. So that further reduced after major medical and the comp days, you're left with 19 pilots to for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 those two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right? A. Yes. Q. And does the PSP president or PSP dispatcher ever have the right or ability to say if it's not a holiday, to say to the six pilots who are choosing to take comp that day that they can't take one that day? A. No. Q. So they can't say A. Any comp days that were taken, were also most likely there's only so many train-the-trainer classes. Dr. Tonn wanted us to get through these Pilot Commission train-the-trainer classes. And pilots may have taken comp days to attend those train-the-trainer classes. I don't know if they did or didn't, because I Q. Now, don't you have a separate category there for meetings and training that says "two pilots"? A. Yes. Q. And would those pilots be listed there? A. That no, because if that pilot was unable to go to a previous train-the-trainer class while they were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 stats here. I created this. So but right now I don't have them off the top of my head. But they may go to work at 1600. There's a lot of ships that leave Tacoma between 1500 and 2000. And so by the time they get out to the pilot station, they are not they are they are midnight, 2300s, 0100 on the 6th. Well, they need to get their ten hours rest before they're even available. And so that's part of the problem. And then they are out at the pilot station and if the delay and I forget but if the delay was on the Seattle side, it's not going to do any good because the pilots are in Port Angeles. They need their ten hours rest and they can't get back in time to do that assignment. Q. Captain Carlson, you also noted that there's a column there for pilots who are choosing to take comp days that they earned from completing earlier callback jobs on some prior day; right? A. Yes. Q. So that further reduced after major medical and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 those two. Q. Okay. And then if you have 6 pilots on taking comp days, you'd be down to 13 available pilots on watch; right? A. Yes. Q. And does the PSP president or PSP dispatcher ever have the right or ability to say if it's not a holiday, to say to the six pilots who are choosing to take comp that day that they can't take one that day? A. No. Q. So they can't say A. Any comp days that were taken, were also most likely there's only so many train-the-trainer classes. Dr. Tonn wanted us to get through these Pilot Commission train-the-trainer classes. And pilots may have taken comp days to attend those train-the-trainer classes. I don't know if they did or didn't, because I Q. Now, don't you have a separate category there for meetings and training that says "two pilots"? A. Yes. Q. And would those pilots be listed there? A. That no, because if that pilot was unable to

72 (Pages 339 to 342)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 343		Page 345
1	through the train-the-trainer classes, they would take a	1	Q. Okay. Otherwise
2	comp day on watch to go to the train-the-trainer class,	2	A. Otherwise otherwise, we have a full
3	which is a Pilot Commission class.	3	compliment of pilots. Yes, we have the oncoming watch
4	Q. So back to the question that I asked earlier,	4	and the off-going watch.
5	Captain Carlson, the PSP president or the PSP dispatcher	5	Q. So subtracting those the major medical, the
6	have no right to tell a pilot who wants to use their	6	president you had on August 7th about 47 pilots on
7	comp day, "No, you can't today. There are too many	7	watch before accounting for meetings and comp days,
8	other people unavailable today"; right?	8	compared to 21 on August 6th; right?
9	A. Except for the for the holidays that are	9	A. I don't know if we had 47 pilots.
10	listed, the eight holidays that are listed	10	Q. About.
11	Q. Right.	11	A. Yeah. We had like I say, we had somewhere
12	A in the comp rules. And also most pilots	12	around that number of pilots. And then we had the
13	don't take a comp day if they know that the we're	13	pilots who were on ET excuse me, on ETO unavailable.
14	going to be shorthanded. That doesn't always happen.	14	Q. So on August 6th, you had the dispatchers had
15	Q. Captain Carlson, I would like you to really	15	to call off off-watch pilots, and two pilots
16	focus on listening to my questions and answering what I	16	performed two callback jobs that day; right?
17	asked. Which is, the PSP president doesn't have a right	17	A. Yes.
18	to say, "No, you can't take a comp day today"; right?	18	Q. So while you had six pilots taking comp days and
19	Unless it's a holiday.	19	not working while on watch, you had two pilots earning
20	A. That's correct. He can	20	comp days by working while off watch; right?
21	Q. Thank you.	21	A. Yes.
22	A but he doesn't have the right.	22	Q. So, in part, wouldn't you agree that the
23	Q. Thank you.	23	callback system is self-perpetuating, whereby taking
24	So out of then we also have two more pilots	24	old taking an old comp day can create the need to
25	reduced from the on-watch pool there for meetings and	25	create a new comp day?
1	Page 344 training; right?	1	Page 346 A. Yes.
2	A. Yes.	2	Q. In fact
3	Q. So that means that out of approximately 50	3	A. Yes.
4	licensed pilots, we have only 11 pilots available to	4	Q. In fact, looking at examples 1, 2, and 4, in
5	move all vessel traffic on the Puget Sound on August 6,	5	this same response to the UTC data request, each of
6	2018?	6	those days also has comp days taken and comp days earned
7	A. That can't be, if we only delay delay one	7	on the same day; would you agree?
8	vessel. We moved 12. I mean, that math says, yeah, 11,	8	A. Yes.
9	so we moved 12.	9	Q. In your description of the August 6th event,
10	Q. So may I let's see. May I you moved all	10	which is right below that table, you explained that one
11	of those vessels because you used the callback system;	11	of the main drivers of the extreme pilot shortage was
12	correct?	12	the need for pilots to obtain rest in advance of
13	A. Yes.	13	meetings on August 7th; right?
14	Q. Okay. But as far as the ones who are on watch	14	A. Yes.
15	without callbacks you had only 11 available?	15	Q. And you also explained that the two pilots on
16 17	A. Correct.	16 17	watch would be attending a BPC training meeting on August 7th in order to ensure compliance so that in
17	Q. Thank you.	18	order to ensure in compliance with rest rules, none were
18 19	I I took the liberty of looking up what day	18	available to take an assignment with a late check-in
20	of the week August 6, 2018, was, and you can take my word for it, it was a Monday.	20	time on August 6th; right?
20	On what day does PSP have its change day?	20	A. Yes. And I believe that those were two pilot
21	A. Tuesday.	21	Commissioners that their attendance was required at
22	Q. And when you are on a change day, do 100 percent	23	those meetings.
23	of the pilots come on to rotation?	24	Q. So are the two pilots listed in the table as
	A. Not the ones that are on ETO.	25	unavailable on August 6th, in the "meetings and
25			

73 (Pages 343 to 346)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 347		Page 349
1	training" column, the same two as who were unavailable	1	meetings.
2	due to the meeting scheduled the next day?	2	Q. And so the pilot for earned each employed
3	A. They may have been the same two pilots that were	3	earned a respite day plus a portion of vacation day for
4	unavailable for an assignment later in the day, but they	4	earned time off for each day that they were on watch
5	may have also been the same two pilots that were not	5	completely independent of whether or not they performed
6	available until noon that day described in the first	6	any vessel assignments, so even if they were assigned to
7	couple of sentences.	7	go to a meeting the next day?
8	So they may have completed an assignment at	8	A. Yes.
9	eight o'clock in the morning, and then they couldn't be	9	Q. So the real and total cost for PSP asking two
10	reassigned at 1800 because of their requirement to be	10	pilots to go to the August 7th meeting may, in some
11	checked in five hours later.	11	situations, mean taking two days off while they were
12	Q. When PSP is giving instructions to its	12	on supposed to be on watch and sacrificing additional
13	dispatchers regarding pilot availability, does it	13	days for respite and earned time off?
14	typically give pilots the day off before a meeting?	14	A. I can't say that happened in this case.
15	That's "yes" or "no."	15	Q. But it's hypothetically possible?
16	A. They they need to be checked in by 2300.	16	A. I would say it's seldom that a pilot has a day
17	Q. So does that mean that the dispatcher	17	off the day before a meeting. That would be I just
18	instructions are to give the pilot the day off before	18	don't see that happening very often at all.
19	the meeting?	19	Q. And going back to your comment about the primary
20	A. The instructions aren't specific that way. The	20	driver of the of the pilotage storage the pilot
21	instructions are, if you can get them an assignment and	21	storage that day.
22	get them checked in by 2300, give them an assignment.	22	Wouldn't you say that having six pilots
23	And we have a provision in and we have a	23	unavailable by taking comp days was a more significant
24	provision that allows us to if they are on the	24	driver than having two pilots off for a meeting?
25	Seattle side, to dispatch pilots outside of rotation for	25	A. The six pilots taking a comp day the day it
1	Page 348 harbor work if they have a meeting the next day so as to	1	Page 350 earned off, you I don't know if I would say I
2	get to utilize them in some way the day before.	2	mean, the way you phrased the question. I pretty much
3	Q. So when you have two pilots, in this example,	3	have to say "yes."
4	attending a BPC meeting on August 7th, why do you say	4	Q. It's okay to say yes. It's okay to agree with
5	that their unavailability on August 6th is required for	5	me.
6	compliance with rest rules?	6	A. Well yeah, I mean, it's the way you phrased
7	A. I didn't say that they were unavailable on	7	the question.
8	August 6th. I said they were unavailable at that time.	8	Q. Captain Carlson, I understand you now have your
9	But we don't know if they didn't complete an assignment	9	laptop open, so I'm hoping that you can now open Exhibit
10	sometime on August 6th in the morning or whatever. It's	10	IC-39X, which is a native Excel spreadsheet. File name
11	just that they couldn't take an assignment that would	11	is "Pilot Analysis."
12	take them past 2300 on August 6th.	12	A. Okay. Let me get to that.
13	Q. So it's not PSP's policy to apply the State's	13	JUDGE PEARSON: And, Ms. DeLappe, we're
14	rest rules to the day before a meeting; right?	14	going to go ahead and end for the day after this
15	A. I believe we have nine hours the day before a	15	question.
16	meeting. I think that's what it was. It might be it	16	MS. DeLAPPE: Yes.
17	might be ten hours. They need to be checked in by it	17	JUDGE PEARSON: Is this your last question?
18	2300 and be ready for a meeting at 0800. So that's nine	18	Or do you still have more?
19	hours.	19	MS. DeLAPPE: Yes, I can I can wrap up.
20	Q. So you're providing the you're following the	20	I have more than one question, but I can make it brief.
21	mandatory rest rules for meetings; right?	21	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
22	A. We're following a procedure that we've had in	22	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. I know we have to
23	our operating rules for a long time, outside of the RCW	23	finish.
24	rules. They don't apply the RCW rules do not apply	24	THE WITNESS: Okay. I have it open.
25	to our internal rules regarding rest periods before	25	BY MS. DeLAPPE:

74 (Pages 347 to 350)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 351	Page 353
1	Q. Okay. Captain Carlson, so you have here on the	1 up.
2	tab "workload and recalls"; is that the tab you have it	2 Q. All right.
3	open to?	3 A. I didn't create this, so I don't know.
4	A. Now, I do.	4 Q. Yes. You provided this to us, so that's why I
5	Q. Okay. And Dr. Khawaja you said that this is	5 thought these were appropriate questions to you. But if
6	the primary basis of analysis that Dr. Khawaja used and	6 you just stay with me.
7	relied on for analyzing pilot callbacks and the number	7 So I I believe that this was about four
8	of pilots; is that right?	8 hours, 40 minutes long; 3:30 to 8:10 p.m. It is a job
9	A. I don't recall saying that.	9 sheet.
10	Q. I'll just refer you to Exhibit IC-42X, page 24.	10 A. Excuse me, but I don't think I don't think I
11	A. Yeah, see, we didn't get these numbers.	11 provided this to you.
12	Q. I realize that. I'll just stay you know, for	12 Q. Yes. Actually, so that is in that response to
13	the record, that is in response to request No Data	13 Data Request 220. Oh, I'm sorry, it's Dr. Khawaja, and
14	Request No. 220. But we can let's not	14 I believe that he was saying that this was the data that
15	A. I have the IC 1-2-3-4-5. Do you know those	15 he received for his analysis on workload.
16	numbers or no?	16 A. Okay.
17	Q. No. Let's not tarry there. I do want to just	17 Q. So is this spreadsheet totally unfamiliar to
18	make sure that we get your input on this spreadsheet.	18 you?
19	In Row 2, if we look across Row 2 of this	19 A. Well, there there are some job headers that
20	spreadsheet. So each of these rows is a job; right?	are they are worded differently than what I'm
21	A. I have 224, is that what you said?	21 familiar with.
22	Q. No, it was 220. But I'm I'm move moving on	22 Q. Okay.
23	from there, Captain Carlson. I only have you for a	23 A. I I mean, like, "job dur" seems like job
24	couple more minutes.	24 duration.
25	A. Okay.	25 Q. Right. That's what I guessed. Right.
	Page 352	Page 354
	5	rage 331
1		
1	Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and	1 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says
2	Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel	1 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says 2 "check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in
2 3	Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right?	1Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says2"check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in3with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on
2 3 4	Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes.	1Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says2"check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in3with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on4the following day at a little after 12:30 in the
2 3	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. 	1Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says2"check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in3with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on4the following day at a little after 12:30 in the5morning, 12:35 in the morning; right?
2 3 4 5	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. 	1Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says2"check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in3with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on4the following day at a little after 12:30 in the5morning, 12:35 in the morning; right?6A. Well, that doesn't
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if we just look at Row 2 on this job, 	 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says "check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on the following day at a little after 12:30 in the morning, 12:35 in the morning; right? A. Well, that doesn't Q 035?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if we just look at Row 2 on this job, and we have here Column R is the job time; right? 	 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says "check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on the following day at a little after 12:30 in the morning, 12:35 in the morning; right? A. Well, that doesn't Q 035? A. Yeah. It doesn't square with completion time,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if we just look at Row 2 on this job, and we have here Column R is the job time; right? A. Yes. 	 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says "check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on the following day at a little after 12:30 in the morning, 12:35 in the morning; right? A. Well, that doesn't Q 035? A. Yeah. It doesn't square with completion time, but yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if we just look at Row 2 on this job, and we have here Column R is the job time; right? A. Yes. Q. And Column W is the completion time? For a job 	 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says "check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on the following day at a little after 12:30 in the morning, 12:35 in the morning; right? A. Well, that doesn't Q 035? A. Yeah. It doesn't square with completion time, but yeah. Q. Right. Exactly. It is much later.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if we just look at Row 2 on this job, and we have here Column R is the job time; right? A. Yes. Q. And Column W is the completion time? For a job that was it all occurred on July 8th, 2018; right? 	 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says "check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on the following day at a little after 12:30 in the morning, 12:35 in the morning; right? A. Well, that doesn't Q 035? A. Yeah. It doesn't square with completion time, but yeah. Q. Right. Exactly. It is much later. So we have the in column we have "duty
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if we just look at Row 2 on this job, and we have here Column R is the job time; right? A. Yes. Q. And Column W is the completion time? For a job that was it all occurred on July 8th, 2018; right? A. Yes. 	 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says "check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on the following day at a little after 12:30 in the morning, 12:35 in the morning; right? A. Well, that doesn't Q 035? A. Yeah. It doesn't square with completion time, but yeah. Q. Right. Exactly. It is much later. So we have the in column we have "duty dur" in Column AC; right? Which I think is the duration
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if we just look at Row 2 on this job, and we have here Column R is the job time; right? A. Yes. Q. And Column W is the completion time? For a job that was it all occurred on July 8th, 2018; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So we have a job time, it says "1530." 	 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says "check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on the following day at a little after 12:30 in the morning, 12:35 in the morning; right? A. Well, that doesn't Q 035? A. Yeah. It doesn't square with completion time, but yeah. Q. Right. Exactly. It is much later. So we have the in column we have "duty dur" in Column AC; right? Which I think is the duration of the duty time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if we just look at Row 2 on this job, and we have here Column R is the job time; right? A. Yes. Q. And Column W is the completion time? For a job that was it all occurred on July 8th, 2018; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So we have a job time, it says "1530." Is that when the job started? 	 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says "check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on the following day at a little after 12:30 in the morning, 12:35 in the morning; right? A. Well, that doesn't Q 035? A. Yeah. It doesn't square with completion time, but yeah. Q. Right. Exactly. It is much later. So we have the in column we have "duty dur" in Column AC; right? Which I think is the duration of the duty time? A. Was this job a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if we just look at Row 2 on this job, and we have here Column R is the job time; right? A. Yes. Q. And Column W is the completion time? For a job that was it all occurred on July 8th, 2018; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So we have a job time, it says "1530." Is that when the job started? A. The call time 	 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says "check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on the following day at a little after 12:30 in the morning, 12:35 in the morning; right? A. Well, that doesn't Q 035? A. Yeah. It doesn't square with completion time, but yeah. Q. Right. Exactly. It is much later. So we have the in column we have "duty dur" in Column AC; right? Which I think is the duration of the duty time? A. Was this job a So you're not familiar with that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if we just look at Row 2 on this job, and we have here Column R is the job time; right? A. Yes. Q. And Column W is the completion time? For a job that was it all occurred on July 8th, 2018; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So we have a job time, it says "1530." Is that when the job started? A. The call time Q. 3:30 p.m. 	 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says "check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on the following day at a little after 12:30 in the morning, 12:35 in the morning; right? A. Well, that doesn't Q 035? A. Yeah. It doesn't square with completion time, but yeah. Q. Right. Exactly. It is much later. So we have the in column we have "duty dur" in Column AC; right? Which I think is the duration of the duty time? A. Was this job a Q. So you're not familiar with that? A. No, I'm not familiar with this. Honestly, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if we just look at Row 2 on this job, and we have here Column R is the job time; right? A. Yes. Q. And Column W is the completion time? For a job that was it all occurred on July 8th, 2018; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So we have a job time, it says "1530." Is that when the job started? A. The call time Q. 3:30 p.m. A. Yeah. No, the yeah, the job started at 1530, 	 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says "check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on the following day at a little after 12:30 in the morning, 12:35 in the morning; right? A. Well, that doesn't Q 035? A. Yeah. It doesn't square with completion time, but yeah. Q. Right. Exactly. It is much later. So we have the in column we have "duty dur" in Column AC; right? Which I think is the duration of the duty time? A. Was this job a Q. So you're not familiar with that? A. No, I'm not familiar with this. Honestly, I'm not familiar with this sheet at all. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if we just look at Row 2 on this job, and we have here Column R is the job time; right? A. Yes. Q. And Column W is the completion time? For a job that was it all occurred on July 8th, 2018; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So we have a job time, it says "1530." Is that when the job started? A. The call time Q. 3:30 p.m. A. Yeah. No, the yeah, the job started at 1530, yes. 	 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says "check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on the following day at a little after 12:30 in the morning, 12:35 in the morning; right? A. Well, that doesn't Q 035? A. Yeah. It doesn't square with completion time, but yeah. Q. Right. Exactly. It is much later. So we have the in column we have "duty dur" in Column AC; right? Which I think is the duration of the duty time? A. Was this job a G. So you're not familiar with that? A. No, I'm not familiar with this. Honestly, I'm not familiar with this sheet at all. So Q. I see.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if we just look at Row 2 on this job, and we have here Column R is the job time; right? A. Yes. Q. And Column W is the completion time? For a job that was it all occurred on July 8th, 2018; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So we have a job time, it says "1530." Is that when the job started? A. The call time Q. 3:30 p.m. A. Yeah. No, the yeah, the job started at 1530, yes. Q. Yeah. So 3:30 p.m. And then, you know, for us 	 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says "check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on the following day at a little after 12:30 in the morning, 12:35 in the morning; right? A. Well, that doesn't Q 035? A. Yeah. It doesn't square with completion time, but yeah. Q. Right. Exactly. It is much later. So we have the in column we have "duty dur" in Column AC; right? Which I think is the duration of the duty time? A. Was this job a G. So you're not familiar with that? A. No, I'm not familiar with this. Honestly, I'm not familiar with this sheet at all. So Q. I see. A. It's not adding up to me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if we just look at Row 2 on this job, and we have here Column R is the job time; right? A. Yes. Q. And Column W is the completion time? For a job that was it all occurred on July 8th, 2018; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So we have a job time, it says "1530." Is that when the job started? A. The call time Q. 3:30 p.m. A. Yeah. No, the yeah, the job started at 1530, yes. Q. Yeah. So 3:30 p.m. And then, you know, for us nonmilitary time folks, it ended at 8:10 p.m.; right? 	 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says "check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on the following day at a little after 12:30 in the morning, 12:35 in the morning; right? A. Well, that doesn't Q 035? A. Yeah. It doesn't square with completion time, but yeah. Q. Right. Exactly. It is much later. So we have the in column we have "duty dur" in Column AC; right? Which I think is the duration of the duty time? A. Was this job a Q. So you're not familiar with that? A. No, I'm not familiar with this. Honestly, I'm not familiar with this sheet at all. So Q. I see. A. It's not adding up to me. Q. So the data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if we just look at Row 2 on this job, and we have here Column R is the job time; right? A. Yes. Q. And Column W is the completion time? For a job that was it all occurred on July 8th, 2018; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So we have a job time, it says "1530." Is that when the job started? A. The call time Q. 3:30 p.m. A. Yeah. No, the yeah, the job started at 1530, yes. Q. Yeah. So 3:30 p.m. And then, you know, for us nonmilitary time folks, it ended at 8:10 p.m.; right? 	 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says "check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on the following day at a little after 12:30 in the morning, 12:35 in the morning; right? A. Well, that doesn't Q 035? A. Yeah. It doesn't square with completion time, but yeah. Q. Right. Exactly. It is much later. So we have the in column we have "duty dur" in Column AC; right? Which I think is the duration of the duty time? A. Was this job a Q. So you're not familiar with that? A. No, I'm not familiar with this. Honestly, I'm not familiar with this sheet at all. So Q. So the data A. I don't understand the headers or okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if we just look at Row 2 on this job, and we have here Column R is the job time; right? A. Yes. Q. And Column W is the completion time? For a job that was it all occurred on July 8th, 2018; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So we have a job time, it says "1530." Is that when the job started? A. The call time Q. 3:30 p.m. A. Yeah. No, the yeah, the job started at 1530, yes. Q. Yeah. So 3:30 p.m. And then, you know, for us nonmilitary time folks, it ended at 8:10 p.m.; right? A. Correct. Q. All right. And so you have a job Column Y, 	1 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says 2 "check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in 3 with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on 4 the following day at a little after 12:30 in the 5 morning, 12:35 in the morning; right? 6 A. Well, that doesn't 7 Q 035? 8 A. Yeah. It doesn't square with completion time, 9 but yeah. 10 Q. Right. Exactly. It is much later. 11 So we have the in column we have "duty 12 dur" in Column AC; right? Which I think is the duration 13 of the duty time? 14 A. Was this job a 15 Q. So you're not familiar with that? 16 A. No, I'm not familiar with this. Honestly, I'm 17 not familiar with this sheet at all. So 18 Q. I see. 19 A. It's not adding up to me. 20 Q. So the data 21 A. I don't understand the headers or okay. 22 JUDGE PEARSON: So, Ms. DeLappe and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if we just look at Row 2 on this job, and we have here Column R is the job time; right? A. Yes. Q. And Column W is the completion time? For a job that was it all occurred on July 8th, 2018; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So we have a job time, it says "1530." Is that when the job started? A. The call time Q. 3:30 p.m. A. Yeah. No, the yeah, the job started at 1530, yes. Q. Yeah. So 3:30 p.m. And then, you know, for us nonmilitary time folks, it ended at 8:10 p.m.; right? A. Correct. Q. All right. And so you have a job Column Y, it says "job dur"; is that job duration? 	1 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says 2 "check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in 3 with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on 4 the following day at a little after 12:30 in the 5 morning, 12:35 in the morning; right? 6 A. Well, that doesn't 7 Q 035? 8 A. Yeah. It doesn't square with completion time, 9 but yeah. 10 Q. Right. Exactly. It is much later. 11 So we have the in column we have "duty 12 dur" in Column AC; right? Which I think is the duration 13 of the duty time? 14 A. Was this job a 15 Q. So you're not familiar with that? 16 A. No, I'm not familiar with this. Honestly, I'm 17 not familiar with this sheet at all. So 18 Q. I see. 19 A. It's not adding up to me. 20 Q. So the data 21 A. I don't understand the headers or okay. 22 JUDGE PEARSON: So, Ms. DeLappe and I 23 Captain Carlson, why don't we give you the evening to </th
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. All right. So on this spreadsheet, workload and recalls, each of these rows is a job; right? A vessel assignment job; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if we just look at Row 2 on this job, and we have here Column R is the job time; right? A. Yes. Q. And Column W is the completion time? For a job that was it all occurred on July 8th, 2018; right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So we have a job time, it says "1530." Is that when the job started? A. The call time Q. 3:30 p.m. A. Yeah. No, the yeah, the job started at 1530, yes. Q. Yeah. So 3:30 p.m. And then, you know, for us nonmilitary time folks, it ended at 8:10 p.m.; right? A. Correct. Q. All right. And so you have a job Column Y, 	1 Okay. And so you also see in Column AA, it says 2 "check-in time." It looks like the pilot checked in 3 with the dispatch to say that the job was completed on 4 the following day at a little after 12:30 in the 5 morning, 12:35 in the morning; right? 6 A. Well, that doesn't 7 Q 035? 8 A. Yeah. It doesn't square with completion time, 9 but yeah. 10 Q. Right. Exactly. It is much later. 11 So we have the in column we have "duty 12 dur" in Column AC; right? Which I think is the duration 13 of the duty time? 14 A. Was this job a 15 Q. So you're not familiar with that? 16 A. No, I'm not familiar with this. Honestly, I'm 17 not familiar with this sheet at all. So 18 Q. I see. 19 A. It's not adding up to me. 20 Q. So the data 21 A. I don't understand the headers or okay. 22 JUDGE PEARSON: So, Ms. DeLappe and I

75 (Pages 351 to 354)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 355
1	MS. DeLAPPE: I'm sorry.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: It's okay. I think it would
3	be better if you had time to look it over and then you
4	can finish your cross in the morning because we have
5	reached a point in the day where we do need to stop for
6 7	today.
8	MS. DeLAPPE: Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: All right.
9	THE WITNESS: We'll see you tomorrow.
10	JUDGE PEARSON: So our plan is to reconvene
11	immediately following the open meeting. It should be
12	you can plan on 9:45 a.m. I think that that's a safe
13	bet. So we will be in recess until tomorrow morning and
14	we will reconvene tomorrow at 9:45 a.m. You will use
15	the same link to join the meeting that you used today.
16	, , , , ,
17	(Hearing adjourned at 4:31 p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 356
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON)
4	COUNTY OF KITSAP)
5 6	COVSTAL D. MOALULIEEE a Continued Count
6 7	I, CRYSTAL R. McAULIFFE, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby
8	certify that the foregoing transcript of the remote UTC
9	Hearing of AUGUST 12, 2020, is true and accurate to the
10	best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
12	and seal this 21st day of August, 2020.
13	
14	Cuptal maubile
15	
16	CRYSTAL R. McAULIFFE, RPR, CCR #2121
17	
18	
19 20	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

76 (Pages 355 to 356)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Α	accounted 89:8	activities 159:7	183:17	333:6
A.d 64:12	232:6	160:4 161:25	address 80:12,20	adopting 96:19
a.m 55:16 79:3,8	accounting 63:15	175:15 232:12	80:22 82:9 90:14	168:21 270:15
143:15,15 214:24	89:10 92:25 93:13	302:4,11 303:24	96:16,18 122:13	adopts 329:9
355:12,14	208:3 211:25	304:8 310:14	129:3 168:9,18	advance 80:13
AA 354:1	228:10,17 273:5,7	311:19 312:3	198:19,20 213:3	283:9 346:12
ability 164:8	345:7	314:4,11,13	216:18,21 242:13	advanced 104:23
251:21 342:7	accounts 159:2	activity 71:15 75:3	242:15 251:18,19	advertisement
356:10	accrual 183:5,8,20	127:14,18,19	254:17 256:25	74:13
able 97:25 99:1	228:10,17 231:15	128:16,20 158:24	270:13 278:20	advocacy 178:17
106:22 107:15,24	accrue 306:4	160:13 162:12	284:2,5,6,9 285:9	178:23
108:3,6 112:8	accumulated	173:11 304:5,11	297:21	advocating 189:5
114:2,8 129:2	135:18 326:23	311:22,23	addressed 110:22	affairs 89:17,19
153:22 163:13	accuracy 129:14	acts 245:16	193:23 268:19	affect 235:6
170:23 177:10,15	171:12 218:5	actual 73:8 86:10	addresses 307:4	affirm 215:24
209:13 214:7	accurate 168:13	158:8 170:19,22	addressing 79:21	241:20 251:21
225:10 246:6	169:10 171:4	171:11 172:1	316:25	269:16 282:13
247:19 260:25	172:16 174:20,21	173:17 185:16	adequate 104:10,25	297:6
281:17 339:14	174:25 177:18	236:20 237:15	110:6 135:20	afraid 200:17
aboard 290:1	221:2,9 222:16	238:8,16 244:10	adhere 164:16	afternoon 215:1
absence 123:24	224:1 236:25	257:22 263:18	adhered 133:11	235:16 242:11,25
absolute 317:12	293:18 322:15,18	275:11 277:16	137:10	243:1 260:12,13
absolutely 179:1	356:9	278:11 290:1	adjourned 355:17	266:1,2 269:12,13
184:8 244:18	accurately 236:22	actuals 182:22	adjunct 171:16	270:23,24 279:17
AC 354:12	acknowledge	Actuarial 63:24	adjust 224:15	283:3 285:3
academic 178:1	327:25	64:4	adjustment 68:24	296:23,24 298:8,9
accept 93:15 112:6	acknowledges	add 128:4 290:5	69:3,4 106:18	age 245:14
113:25 135:12	91:13	311:25 352:25	110:21	agencies 94:5
149:5,17,19 256:3	Acknowledging	added 164:5	adjustments 69:5,6	agency 94:12
256:5	94:14	adding 182:9	93:3,16	agent 153:6
accepted 122:8,9	acquire 287:4	354:19	administrative	agents 104:16
access 235:18,20	act 97:15 123:22	addition 174:22	55:11 56:2 79:11	aggregate 239:19
247:14,16,19	137:5	253:25	90:9 122:20 125:4	258:6
280:21 281:4,8	acting 124:3,9,14	additional 86:25	125:20 126:3,12	ago 120:7 232:22
Accidents 77:12	125:6 225:22	93:13 134:6 147:8	140:10 141:3,25	341:3,25
accommodate	226:8 229:10	176:10 178:23	147:5,8 158:25	agree 82:21 86:11
265:7	actions 164:22	183:18 189:14	admission 83:15	99:7 105:3,22
accompanies 82:8	active 104:7 205:11	196:20,22 222:20	admitted 198:23	110:17 120:22
accompanying	205:15 206:9	225:7 229:6	217:1 242:19	129:24 131:17
198:24 242:18	220:18 225:9,11	252:16 262:10	284:16 297:24	132:10 134:8,24
accomplished	272:14 320:1	273:1 294:12	admitting 83:4	137:11 138:19
305:22	322:6 328:2	308:3,16 310:12	adopt 93:18 95:11	139:24 140:8
account 172:23	329:23	310:25 311:1,3	297:25 326:15	141:11 184:6
221:11 222:15	actively 122:6	332:15 349:12	adopted 88:11	189:2 195:17
	275:10 319:12	additionally 100:3	316:9 329:17	199:17,19 200:4
228:25 262:17	2/3.10 317.12		310.7327.17	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 490 000
201:3,18,19 203:1	air 266:7,14	AMCL-1Tr 68:22	analyzing 351:7	283:9
201.3,18,19 203.1	Alaska 292:23	AMCL-111 08:22 AMCL-2r 68:23	anchor 278:4,5	Apparently 129:15
239:15 244:6	293:1 294:5	AMCL-3 68:24	anchorage 277:14	appearances 56:1
248:19 249:8	Alec 252:3	AMCL-4 69:3	anchoring 277:16	57:1 79:22
250:16 253:2,9,10	ALJ 84:19	AMCL-5 69:4	278:6	appeared 55:18
253:14 254:4	all-consuming	AMCL-6 69:5	Angeles 98:14	322:21
273:22 275:4	123:12	AMCL-7 69:6	104:18 158:15	appears 232:11
276:17 277:23	Alliance 72:7 74:8	AMCL-8 69:7	262:18,18 263:4	applicable 206:8
278:16,21 286:14	74:10,11	AMCL-9 69:8	263:11 340:13	application 75:4
293:12 304:13,16	allocation 174:11	Amended 60:10	angst 322:24	77:22 78:4,11
304:17 305:3	allow 105:16	amendment 333:21	Ann 56:9 68:22	112:7 114:1
307:6 308:5,18	108:10 113:19	American 61:4	93:2	171:21 179:8
311:21,24 314:9	120:24 132:1	amount 86:13,17	announced 85:16	181:24 234:18
314:12,13 317:14	139:8 152:17	161:2 164:1	190:23	applications 78:8
318:20,24 321:4	153:6 174:7 184:9	184:17 188:18	annual 71:4 72:8	173:8
325:23 328:3	194:25 196:21	195:10 222:7	72:16,18,25 73:8	applied 92:4 93:22
329:8,17 330:2,6	226:10	239:19 261:3	73:12,15,17 78:7	170:5 171:7,18
330:12 337:16,25	allowable 203:10	263:7,23 272:19	246:1,12,15	173:1 179:10
345:22 346:7	203:14	320:8 327:8	309:24	182:20 219:19
350:4	allowance 145:12	332:15 336:1	annually 237:17	224:19 227:4
agreed 64:23 83:25	254:6 257:18,25	amounts 239:9	245:10 320:1	263:13 268:6
85:13 331:18	266:18,22	254:25	annunciated 90:22	applies 226:21
agreement 71:20	allowances 255:4	Analyses 73:19	anomalies 237:23	303:14
139:20 240:25	262:9	analysis 63:24 64:4	238:15 268:1	apply 93:24 177:4
304:3,4 306:3	allowed 106:17	64:22 65:9,25	anomaly 238:19	182:15 226:4
agreements 207:11	119:17,18 121:22	66:18 68:13 70:14	answer 110:16	348:13,24,24
agrees 88:24 89:22	121:23 139:9	94:18 170:17	114:14 139:15	appreciate 245:23
100:4 105:14	143:25 194:22	173:4,22 174:17	148:1 152:10	283:6 336:6
ahead 79:6 81:3	203:8 272:2 316:1	174:22 175:1	180:7 202:15	approach 87:15,23
82:13 103:25	allows 108:18 109:7	176:4 180:20	207:17 234:2	88:4 171:25 172:2
112:10,18 113:20	158:3 347:24	192:13 195:7	251:9 296:10	257:21
114:7 134:25	alluded 239:7	213:16 260:15	301:14	appropriate 83:5
139:16 146:6	258:23	308:20,23 309:20	answered 301:13	102:4 163:1
150:14 154:15	alongside 153:3	309:22,25 310:6,9	answering 343:16	174:11,13 181:10
172:11 187:11	Alphaliner 72:19	311:5,9 313:1	answers 103:25	213:3 305:17
194:23 196:10	alternative 205:3	317:4,10 350:11	194:5 245:20	332:16 333:8
197:22 199:5	ambiguous 137:14	351:6 353:15	anybody 119:8	353:5
208:22 210:21	AMCL-10 69:9	analysts 180:16	anyway 206:10	approval 104:2
215:16 216:7	AMCL-11 69:10	181:4,7,23	329:16	approve 136:6
217:14 225:6	AMCL-12 69:11	analytical 237:6	anyways 198:8	approved 91:19
226:11 227:24	AMCL-13 69:12	analytically 238:18	Apex 179:20	approver 268:25
234:13 239:2	AMCL-14 69:13	analytics 237:22	180:14 181:8,18	approving 116:5
240:12 282:4,8	AMCL-15X 69:14	238:19	apologize 165:21	approximately 79:8
283:5,21 295:1	200:9	analyze 309:24	191:17 196:4	203:7 274:4
297:11 350:14	AMCL-16 200:10	analyzed 309:23	215:4 255:25	286:14 289:4
		-		
	I	1	1	1

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				
318:25 335:8	331:4 343:4,17	120:10 121:8,21	161:16 164:11	assumption 263:6
337:16,22 344:3	asking 87:19	130:4,9,13 134:3	173:14,17 174:1,5	264:20 311:6
April 63:6 65:3	105:13 127:7	135:2,13 140:11	174:9,11 175:17	assumptions 95:2
302:4	131:22 147:23	140:12,14,15,18	176:5,18,25	assure 82:15
Aransas-Corpus	154:24 156:1	140:23,24,24	177:16 179:9	331:17
60:17	193:12 195:23,24	141:4,5 142:3,4,6	181:15 185:20,21	asterisk 221:3
arbitrator 101:19	226:6,24 243:12	142:9,15 143:25	185:24,25 186:11	Attachment 64:6
ARCO 75:17	244:20 255:23	144:4,8 145:14,15	186:13,14,14	Attachments 61:3
area 116:20 161:12	326:15,20 341:16	145:23,25 146:22	187:4 188:18,19	66:10,14,16
208:7 254:2	349:9	148:6,17 149:6,24	188:19,23 190:13	attempted 93:24
261:16	asks 90:16 202:9,12	155:1 161:7 175:4	190:14,15 192:9	232:10
Areas 67:4,5	299:10	177:7,7 179:11	190:11,19 192:9	attend 115:24
arguing 195:19	aspect 218:1	186:20,21 187:2	194:8 195:5,15	125:4 140:5
arguments 80:14	aspects 185:3 218:4	189:17 194:10	218:19 224:11	144:18 150:4
80:16,18	218:11 275:15,18	258:15 260:20	227:10 252:10,12	160:17 162:5,6,9
Army 74:4	assembled 260:18	261:8 263:15,23	298:19 299:14,19	307:14 308:1
arrange 97:20	assessed 134:6,7,13	267:12 289:7	300:25 301:5,10	342:16
arrangement 229:9	149:24	302:12,14,21	301:14,24,25	attendance 159:23
array 239:19	assets 63:12 104:4	303:14 304:1,14	302:1,2,9,13	159:24 307:8
arrival 104:9 105:8	105:24 256:20,20	304:16 305:7,19	303:23 304:19	346:22
152:14 153:8,10	281:5	305:20,23,24	305:4,5,6,11,21	attended 160:16
174:23 175:13	assign 101:4,9	306:2,4,18,20,21	305:21 306:8	attendees 159:4
182:17	102:15 115:17	308:6,9,14,20	314:3,11,14	160:3
arrivals 169:16,20	116:4 140:6 141:6	309:9 310:1,6,7	315:19 316:14,16	attending 119:6
170:10 173:17	146:25	311:10 312:13,21	317:7,9,17,20	126:11 160:10,11
arrive 224:19	assigned 97:19	312:24 313:23	325:5 329:3	298:10,16,20
artfully 321:13,13	102:3 106:9 110:5	317:14,25 318:10	339:11,15,22	299:3,5 311:1
322:20	115:20 116:10,14	319:19 325:2	341:10 349:6	346:16 348:4
Arthur 284:4	118:25 130:14	326:12 327:7	assigns 149:5	attention 239:5
Article 60:17	133:10 137:5,9	329:2,5 336:25,25	Assistant 92:16,17	329:12
Articles 72:5	144:10 146:5,7,17	340:15 346:19	assistants 280:15	attest 308:4
aside 107:1,4	146:18 148:25	347:4,8,21,22	associate 161:14	attorney 56:20
135:23 136:3,8	149:2,16 150:3,6	348:9,11 352:3	associated 181:15	73:23 81:12 92:16
152:2 181:25	158:15,16 160:7	assignment.' 142:1	312:13,20,22	92:18 191:1
266:5 278:19	304:9 323:8 324:1	assignments 69:17	Associates 216:21	attorneys 190:25
306:25	337:22 349:6	72:25 73:12,16,17	association 60:18	attrition 256:8
asked 144:18 147:4	assigning 101:6	98:19 116:5	65:22 138:1 151:1	AU-C 63:17
147:7 149:22	assignment 65:24	119:17 120:2	252:7 258:1	audible 88:16
189:23 195:8	73:3,7,9 89:15	122:1,10,16	272:17,20	audio 95:19 217:9
210:9 226:2	98:17 101:12,16	126:18,22,25	associations 276:4	240:15 284:3
229:14,14 230:3	101:20,21,22,23	127:3,8,8,19,20	assume 160:15	296:19
232:4,22 234:1	102:2,8,11,14	127:23 128:6,21	294:5	audit 90:17 226:14
246:23 255:15,17	106:6,13,16,25	137:12,21 138:21	assumed 159:14,16	229:14 235:25
259:19 287:9	112:5 113:17,25	146:4,12 150:3	assuming 164:18	236:13
295:6,24 296:2	114:6 115:13,18	152:1,3 158:4	166:16 255:24	audited 72:9
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	I	I	I	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				8
184:23 185:5	158:11 159:9	236:16 249:24	174:12 175:20	187:16 188:17
288:10	172:5 188:5 192:7	259:19 282:4,9	180:25 181:2	189:9,18 190:22
auditing 229:8,11	219:3 240:21	289:21 290:4,12	183:24 185:13	206:25 223:12,15
auditor 221:14	246:3 247:12	305:1,2 323:3	188:22,23 190:20	229:12,13 236:5
224:7 225:21	259:13 290:24	333:1 335:11	196:20,23 219:7	236:15 244:22
226:7 235:18	291:5,6,9 315:18	337:21 340:14	219:10 222:10	246:13 253:12
auditors 218:4	322:23 324:9,18	341:12 343:4	224:15 225:24	254:12 256:6
audits 63:17 157:11	325:18 326:4	349:19	227:9 228:20	264:10 273:15
200:24 202:16	337:13 339:24	back-end 236:19	235:22 236:17,18	286:1,8 288:14
August 55:15 58:2	340:9 342:3 344:4	237:21	236:22 238:19	289:16 295:11,25
60:9,21 64:25	344:15 346:19	backdrop 94:23	250:18,21 253:24	296:1 323:11
79:2,8 336:13	347:6	background 169:12	254:13 255:1,5	330:13 331:20,23
339:23,23 340:1	Avenue 57:4 96:18	backup 234:2	285:8 308:15	346:21 348:15
344:5,19 345:6,8	242:15 297:22	bad 222:7	311:9 322:16	353:7,14
345:14 346:9,13	average 61:4 69:17	bake 117:9	326:16 329:1	believed 292:8
346:17,20,25	72:13,16,20,23	balance 243:13	basic 172:7	believes 95:5
348:4,5,8,10,12	73:3,4,5,9,12,14	262:13,14	basically 158:5	Bellingham 153:9
349:10 356:9,12	73:18 86:19	Balasbas 56:9 58:6	172:20 213:12	bench 59:20 79:10
authority 78:5,7,9	195:25 273:22	58:11,20 59:6	228:12 293:10	143:7 150:13
78:10 84:23 94:7	276:12,24 286:6	84:5,7,19 150:14	basis 80:23 81:24	153:20 154:4
94:9,11,15 115:23	313:7,9 319:19,22	150:16 153:16	169:11,21 174:4	155:17 156:9,11
116:1,4,8,12	329:2,4	154:16 155:10,14	175:15 176:3	193:2
117:13 139:6,23	averages 86:22	156:7,16 193:3,4	231:15 257:13	beneficial 155:12
authorized 73:11	aVista 195:19	193:7 194:13	267:3 293:14	258:21,22
124:1 204:11	avoid 90:13 103:10	235:12,13,15	296:5 328:2 351:6	benefit 98:4 100:5
authors 180:14	112:6 114:1	265:22,23,25	Beach 74:17 251:18	206:10 272:14
181:18	122:13 183:19	269:1	bear 91:1 283:8	273:2,23 274:3
automated 266:24	228:3 285:8	ballpark 237:12	becoming 90:13	339:17
availability 89:25	aware 103:6 132:21	Bar 70:4 76:7	beg 196:1	benefits 73:20
104:14 106:6	132:23 160:5	291:21	beginning 79:23	97:23 100:5 204:5
118:6,7 138:25,25	188:1 212:14	bargain 99:7	137:18 220:11,22	204:7,15,18,24
139:1 155:7	221:25 230:10,16	120:19	249:6	206:17 207:1,16
347:13	231:23 234:4	bargaining 71:20	behalf 79:25 80:3,5	207:19 208:3,4,11
available 65:21,23	247:20 252:2,4,5	207:11	80:9 84:20 92:18	271:24 272:22
98:25 104:5,15	261:23 265:6	barge 245:16	114:21 195:18	berth 153:9
105:1,25 106:12	273:10 307:2	256:22	believe 85:24 86:20	best 108:5 180:22
107:2,3,3,8,10	awareness 252:18	barges 256:21	87:1,9,17 88:8,10	219:21 251:8
115:13,20 116:10	B	barring 315:12	97:22 98:8 99:18	286:22 356:10
116:14 117:20	B 327:13	base 253:20,23	100:7 116:2 125:1	bet 355:13
118:1,3,3,18		255:4	130:5,21 137:24	better 105:10 160:8
119:1,4,5,10	back 102:17 118:20 133:8 137:22	based 65:11 83:8	139:8 140:6	161:23 164:8
121:11 124:13		86:9,17,19 88:3	147:21 150:22	167:10 172:2
126:2 130:3,8,13	138:17 143:6,16 144:25 171:14	95:8 109:25	154:1 160:17	251:12 355:3
144:23 147:2	175:22 177:2	110:16 111:11	162:6,6 172:15,18	beyond 89:13
148:6,8,10,17	215:3 220:21	171:6 173:11	173:2 175:6	188:20 259:16,17
	213.3 220.21			
L				

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

260:3	161:25 162:4	125:10 127:15	293:9	220:11 221:15
bfassburg@willi	178:18 222:22	128:24 129:1,14	bunch 170:1 238:6	223:22 224:19
56:17	223:14 272:5,5	137:17,19 138:1	burden 90:18 91:17	225:23 226:9,13
big 77:24 78:3	299:6 305:10	139:25 143:20,23	91:23 329:11,18	226:19 227:5,8
116:25 226:25	307:4,8	150:18 154:17	Bureau 88:2	233:5,14,16 306:7
281:15 289:2	boat 211:7,16	155:25 162:24	burn 64:22 135:13	307:3,7,15 308:7
Bigger 75:24,24	245:13 256:12	165:1 268:12	225:13	310:23 321:12,16
biggest 86:24	boats 211:2,3	Brandenfels's	burned 332:19	321:25 322:18
bill 222:10	245:17 256:20	131:23	burning 64:18	333:6
billable 302:23	body 299:6	Bravo 271:17	225:1,8 334:2	
303:1,3,4,7,14,18	boils 278:18	break 136:17,19	Burton 58:12 61:18	C
billed 222:4,5	booking 228:14	143:1,6,10,15	62:15 197:6,7,8	C 68:22 70:12
223:15 239:15	Boston 65:17,18	213:25 214:3,14	197:20 198:11,18	356:1,1
billing 138:2	bottom 109:4	279:22 280:6,10	198:20,22 199:8	С-О-Е 163:18
290:14	131:13 140:22	281:25 282:4,7	200:19 201:3,17	calculate 192:12
Bills 62:16,17,18,19	144:6,13,24	335:5,9	202:24 204:2	229:6 338:8
62:20,21,22,23,24	160:21 275:19	breakfast 325:1	210:9,20,24	calculated 213:9
62:25 63:3	315:4	breaking 320:2	211:24 212:11,25	255:1 260:19
bit 85:23 168:16	BOU 159:12	breathalyzer 115:1	213:21 215:12,14	268:21 320:18
169:22 174:7	box 56:21 85:14	bridge 73:4,5,14,14	215:19,20 217:7	calculating 224:24
184:7 189:23	BP 116:13	125:14 289:10,23	217:11 260:24	268:17,17 302:12
236:14 266:3	BPC 61:11,12,13	290:1,2 318:5,6,8	business 96:16	302:14 304:15
275:17,22 304:22	61:15,16,17 64:25	brief 84:12 143:17	168:9,18 198:19	305:7,16
314:20	65:3,7,8 68:16,17	234:12 350:20	198:20 216:18,21	calculation 62:6,7,9
black 85:13	68:18 70:23,24	briefly 93:10 170:5	218:1 242:13,14	69:17 183:5,8,20
Blair 56:14 79:24	71:3,4,6,7,8,10,11	bring 102:25 158:1	243:10 253:24	232:15,19 311:25
Blank 67:4	71:12,13,14,15,16	240:18	254:13,16,17	319:13 329:2
blocked 166:4	71:17,21,22 72:8	British 74:21	270:12 284:2,6	calculations 64:18
board 66:5,7,8 70:3	72:9 73:19 74:11	broader 289:22	297:21 312:6	73:18 295:23
71:8 84:23 85:11	74:22 75:3,7,15	broken 311:13	businesses 257:8	calculator 319:1,24
87:2 94:8,13	75:16,18,19,20	brought 82:2 117:5	bylaw 133:21	320:2
100:14 102:3,5,13	76:2,3,5,9,16	317:2	134:14 306:16	calendar 72:14
104:2,9 105:7	94:15 116:13	Brown 56:20 80:3	bylaws 60:8 76:6	188:2 247:3
106:18 110:5,20	117:14 140:16	92:18 165:7,9,9	77:11 99:16	California 60:19
116:20 122:21,24	257:3 301:4 302:4	165:19 166:7	121:23 123:17,19	call 110:24 115:15
122:25 123:16	302:7,15 303:11	192:21 196:5,5,9	124:16,23 125:6	119:4 121:2
124:1,5 125:22	303:25 304:14	196:11,12,12	130:23 133:18	159:16 174:13
126:17,19,21	311:17 314:4	210:17 215:17	134:9 139:8,17	222:14 241:6
127:1,4 130:2	346:16 348:4	230:24 279:4	140:17,21 141:4	275:1 315:21,25
134:21 140:3,12	Brandenfels 58:4	280:1	142:3,6 145:13,24	326:6 327:13
140:16 144:5,6,18	60:3 95:15,16	Budgets 71:23	146:1,23 150:20	337:12,12 345:15
145:2 146:1,8,14	96:8,15,17,22	build 171:25 172:2	150:22 151:2	352:15
146:21 147:1,7,11	97:5 105:6,9,14	182:21 195:24	156:3,24 160:9	callback 64:18,22
156:25 159:11,14	105:17 112:11,18	building 195:17	185:8 186:4 188:2	65:24 66:20 87:12
159:25 160:22	113:23 114:16	built 87:6 88:4	191:24 219:20	89:21 92:24 93:12
				110:3,3,14,18,23
	1	1	1	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				8
111:3,7,16,20	241:16 279:16	279:25 280:9,13	354:24	152:12 153:1
112:8 114:2,11	283:17 296:21	282:10 283:3,10	Carlson's 102:22	156:25 157:21
118:6,23 119:13	cameras 79:20 84:3	283:24 284:1,14	105:3 132:18	185:11 202:4
119:21 134:11,23	165:12	285:3 291:15,15	291:16 300:10	266:10,12 310:24
135:10 148:6	Canada 317:23	295:6,22,24	Carlton 162:3	326:20
173:22 179:10,15	Canaveral 292:15	296:15,18,21,23	carried 256:16	certainly 103:18
179:24 180:20	293:4,9 294:9	297:4 298:3,8	carriers 75:22	133:22 244:6
182:16 183:4,8,20	canceled 329:4	300:10 301:9	275:9	275:19 307:18
183:22 184:20	cancellation 336:24	307:2 314:15	cars 252:9	313:3 315:2
188:10,25 189:6,8	336:24	317:11 322:3,14	case 79:16 81:19	Certified 356:6
191:11,13 225:2,8	cancellations 128:1	328:12 331:17	86:13,16,24,25	certify 356:8
225:25 228:11,21	128:5,21 329:7	334:12 335:16	87:1,14 88:10	cetera 131:15
230:11,14,18	Candidate 71:10	340:16 341:13	89:11 92:20 93:20	221:17 256:13
243:12 326:17	71:12	343:5,15 350:8	93:23 94:19 95:3	322:5
327:10 332:14,23	Candidates 71:13	351:1,23 354:23	102:23 103:3	CFR 212:6
333:3,4,22 334:2	capacity 195:17,24	354:24	110:12 147:12,13	Ch 75:13
337:8,9,13 339:8	capital 93:1	captured 201:20	164:19 165:15	Chair 58:7,21 84:8
340:18 344:11	Capitalization 63:8	203:2	179:14 180:10	84:10,18 103:16
345:16,23	Capt 77:10	car 251:15,17,24	188:4 190:14	122:24 156:17,19
callbacks 68:16	captain 95:15,16	252:4,9 253:3,7	195:20 206:13	156:21 165:17,21
75:18 148:8,10	96:15,22 97:5	253:11 264:3	213:14 228:9	165:25 166:2,5
174:4,16,24 177:1	102:22 104:1	275:8	231:22 233:11	194:16,19 214:19
180:25 182:10	105:3,6,9,10,14	card 60:5 261:1	243:21 257:3,4	236:7,9 238:23
184:13 185:3	105:22 112:11,18	Care 78:6	294:18 302:16	240:2 269:3,5
189:10,15 190:3,8	113:23 114:16	career 119:8	329:14 349:14	271:15,20
192:12 224:16,23	122:6,8 124:13	225:16	cases 198:5 291:13	CHAIR/COMM
227:16 326:22,24	125:10,16 127:15	carefully 90:13	Categories 74:15	56:7
326:24 329:11,20	128:24 129:1,13	Cargo 64:14 74:4	category 342:19	Chairman 61:11
344:15 351:7	131:22 132:18,21	Carlson 59:13	cause 109:10,17	165:20 239:7
called 115:21	137:17,19,25	64:17 66:11,12	131:2,3,14 150:2	challenging 265:11
118:17,20 159:3	139:24 143:20,23	102:22 103:19	150:5	chance 190:25
160:13 175:2,6	147:14,19 150:18	104:1 105:10,22	caution 173:24	215:21
188:8,10 213:4	152:10 154:17	122:7,8 124:14	CB 68:11	change 208:3
223:19 252:4	155:25 156:24	132:21 147:14,19	CCR 55:25 356:16	218:23 258:10
262:17	162:24 164:25	180:11 295:15,22	CDT 337:7	317:21,22 333:6
calling 74:20 83:24	180:10 199:10	295:24 296:21,23	center 185:18	344:21,23
95:13 131:20	201:18 202:25	297:4,4,12,20	centers 90:13	changed 318:2
239:5	203:4 208:12,14	298:3,8 301:9	central 99:7 216:22	changes 72:15
calls 72:18 173:10	209:1 240:20	307:3 314:15	centralized 138:2	74:16 163:20,23
219:14 273:4	241:12 250:16	317:11 322:3	CEO 100:11,20,23	164:7,10 170:10
camera 95:17,18	251:19 268:12	328:12 331:17	100:25 101:17	172:19 290:9
154:13 165:6,17	269:11,12 270:9	334:12 335:17	106:4 121:10	characteristic
166:3 197:8	270:18,23 271:23	340:16 341:13	122:18 130:16	308:6
203:22 215:7,12	273:5 274:9 279:8	343:5,15 350:8	certain 120:25	characterization
215:21 217:8	279:10,14,15,17	351:1,23 354:23	137:21,21 139:22	178:4

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				. age eee
charge 101:11	125:15,17	clean 85:10 217:24	340:17 347:1	223:14 227:15
218:6 219:10,15	China 169:25	228:10	352:8,10,22 354:1	291:11 299:10
238:17 239:16	choice 121:5	clear 113:15 146:20	354:11,12	303:8 305:6,9,18
250:17 253:4,15	135:12 144:15	176:13 191:8,22	combination 265:8	312:1 316:24
253:16 254:6,13	149:16,18 265:19	192:6 230:5	combinations	326:15 329:9
263:13 266:24	choices 89:19,24	243:22 256:11	266:10	342:15 343:3
charged 131:24	choose 98:19,20	269:22 321:11	combine 245:8	Commission's
221:17 239:9	106:11 107:2,8,10	339:19 341:19	combined 233:8	89:14 90:24
charges 63:4 67:9	107:12,13,21,21	clock 158:12	339:22	commissioned
218:9 219:4	116:9 119:16	187:16 188:10,11	come 92:10 102:12	178:11,14
223:10 226:23	120:1	217:18 240:22	143:6 147:1,10	commissioner 58:6
232:6 239:16	choosing 264:4	close 122:14 136:18	170:6 189:16	58:7,11,15,20
258:24 259:2	340:17 342:8	287:19 294:13	240:25 262:13	59:6,6 84:4,5,6,7
262:6 263:1,6,22	Christi 60:17	closely 210:6	263:16 268:22	84:18,18 117:15
267:4,4	291:21	268:23	282:4 293:11	128:8,11,13,17
Charles 163:8	circumstance	Club 251:15,17,24	333:9 337:2	138:8,12 140:3
291:20 292:9	318:13	252:5,9 253:3,7	344:24	150:14,16 153:16
chart 63:14 71:3	citation 232:19	253:11	comes 227:1,2	154:16 155:10,14
72:21 295:7,17,20	286:10	coal 153:10	320:7 333:4	156:7,13,15,15
296:3.8	citations 286:12	Coast 60:7 65:19	coming 110:2 111:3	162:19,21,23
Chartlet 67:4,5	cite 103:17 212:3	72:6 283:5	182:7 198:4 293:7	180:3,8 193:3,4,7
Charts 76:9	323:10	cocounsel 92:17	331:24	194:13,15,17
chatted 247:17	cited 75:8,9,12	code 284:8,13	commencing	198:9 201:6,8,13
check 102:16	claim 111:19	Coe 163:8,18	339:23	212:22,24 213:1
153:17 214:2	303:13	Coleman 67:3	comment 77:13	213:15 214:17
233:7,9,12 249:24	claimed 176:4	collaborate 100:24	125:25 197:25	234:23,25 235:2,9
256:4,5 279:24	claiming 302:7	colleagues 227:13	349:19	235:12,13,15
286:19 290:9	claims 322:14	colleagues' 269:5	comments 248:24	249:21,22 256:3
check-in 346:19	clarification 108:22	collected 219:18	Commerce 74:5	260:7,9,11 265:20
354:2	179:18 187:7	221:18,22 222:1,5	commercial 91:12	265:22,23,25
checked 102:1	202:5 203:17	222:11	commission 55:2,3	266:4 269:1
144:5,10,20	208:21 323:4	collective 71:20	56:4,10,18,21	281:24 283:13,14
347:11,16,22	324:11	207:10	79:12 80:3 85:7	283:16,20 285:22
348:17 354:2	clarified 289:14	collision 117:3	88:24 89:3 90:16	285:23 287:23,24
checking 141:10	clarify 169:15	COLRIP 276:4	92:19,19 93:11,15	300:13,16 331:7
182:25 210:5	194:3 231:16	Columbia 74:21	93:17 94:1,3,8,12	331:10,12
cherry-pick 97:25	235:5,18 244:3	161:5 240:20	94:22 95:6,9,10	Commissioners
98:6,10 120:15	262:3 266:23	270:25 271:4,23	106:18 110:21	61:23 66:5,8
138:5	289:9 331:7	273:11 275:1,4,13	116:20 127:4	79:13,19 83:20,23
cherry-picking	clarifying 213:2	276:12 278:5,13	140:4,13 157:12	84:3,24 85:11,14
99:4,12 119:18,21	243:20	291:21	157:13 159:18	87:3 88:20 92:5
120:16,17,18	class 327:13 342:24	column 159:3	165:10 180:19	92:16 94:8 116:14
137:12,15,16,20	343:2,3	220:24 221:8	196:6,13,16	126:17,22 130:3
137:23 138:20	classes 342:14,15	273:25 301:21	199:15,24 200:23	134:22 136:22
chief 100:15 125:12	342:17 343:1	338:10,16,23	203:7,16 204:4,11	145:3 146:9,14,15
	0.2.17 0.10.1	220110,10,20	20207,10 20101,11	1 1010 1 1017,1 1,10
	I	l		

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				5
146:21,22 159:25	182:10,21 248:20	125:4 130:9,13	concern 243:14,23	consider 108:2
165:11,16 178:18	290:23	171:1 348:9	265:14	126:11,14 140:12
198:3 212:21	compared 173:13	completed 67:5	concerning 179:3	148:16,20 150:2,7
213:20 214:3,14	182:6 206:14	115:16 173:8	conclude 185:12,19	152:18 177:22
234:10 260:5	207:12 255:17	224:12 263:23	concluded 296:3	278:3 301:20
261:6 279:8	262:4 310:14	308:20 347:8	conclusion 173:9	305:18,24 306:1
296:14 299:7	311:10 345:8	354:3	173:16 182:7	310:16 320:22,24
305:10 311:3	comparing 182:12	completely 111:1	221:14 232:16	320:24
346:22	183:2 249:5,9	207:4 208:10	273:5	considerable 161:2
commissions	274:19 285:6	224:24 227:17	concur 249:19	161:18 163:25
204:20	295:7	228:1 256:11	condition 111:10	consideration
committee 68:7,9	comparison 69:22	349:5	conditions 153:2,8	291:5
74:3 146:17 307:9	70:5 72:9 74:7	completes 144:4	172:23 276:8	considerations
308:1 317:6	86:18 275:12	218:20	278:20	63:17 276:9
Commodore 57:10	287:11 291:25	completing 73:15	conduct 90:16	considered 84:22
common 204:13,22	292:2	73:17 109:22	235:24	134:5 140:17
278:17 329:24	Comparisons 65:19	126:12 340:18	conducting 190:13	141:4 145:23,25
communication	compel 81:18,23	completion 352:10	conference 81:17	147:9 148:21
122:14	compelled 112:5	354:8	81:22 167:9	150:5 161:13
community 91:25	113:24	complex 208:7	conferences 81:20	169:21 299:19
116:22 117:6	compensate 227:17	compliance 78:7	confidence 170:24	300:25 301:10,13
commuting 253:16	228:2,12 229:7	346:17,18 348:6	268:16	302:13 303:22
254:6,8	compensated 86:6	complications	confident 265:16	304:19 305:5
comp 135:8,13,18	185:17 189:24	339:15	268:11,19	317:6,8
135:25 136:4,6	228:16 229:4	complied 221:15	confirm 99:2 109:7	considering 161:3
144:22 149:1	328:6,8 332:9,12	compliment 345:3	137:11 153:22	280:7 302:8
224:23 332:18,19	compensates 89:25	complimentary	179:21 181:11	considers 140:11
337:4,4,5,7,7	compensation 89:5	94:12	244:19,20	141:25
340:17,24 341:1	89:8,9 93:9	comply 109:18	confirmation	consistent 90:22
342:3,9,12,16	188:22 189:13,15	131:1,2	239:12,18	204:4 304:20
343:2,7,12,13,18	190:7 195:6,10	component 104:8	confirming 179:22	339:1
345:7,18,20,24,25	206:18,21 207:20	189:13	confirms 304:13	consistently 203:8
346:6,6 349:23,25	207:24 208:4	components 104:6	conflating 141:5	Consolidated 63:10
companies 204:8	209:2 227:5	174:12	conflict 299:21	constant 104:3
204:14,15,23	230:11 287:3	comprehensive	301:3	105:23
205:4	333:3,11,16	236:15	confused 175:22	constantly 104:13
company 198:6	Competitiveness	compulsory 99:8	186:5	constraints 139:13
205:1 206:19	74:12	120:19	confusion 175:21	construct 86:11
Comparability	Compilation 65:24	computations	285:8	consult 122:6
66:11	compile 260:25	227:16	conjunction 159:2	170:12
comparable 292:5	compiled 213:11	computed 175:20	177:24 178:22	consultants 177:23
292:8,9,11,17	Complainant 55:4	computer 167:17	connect 125:10	Consulting 69:6
293:4 294:11	Complaints 71:6	247:19 281:13,18	conscious 94:4	179:20
comparator 86:23	complete 107:24	334:25 335:3	consequences	contact 97:19
compare 171:13,25	108:4 109:16,25	concept 332:6	130:21	contain 99:16
		•	•	•

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				Fage 303
contained 81:13	297.21.21	229:16,17 230:9	count 275:8 317:7	175:20 178:12
	287:21,21	,		
171:8 200:2,25	core 338:1	230:19,20 231:22	counted 317:8	179:24 180:18
202:17	corner 198:8	232:8,24 233:2	counting 257:7	345:24,25 353:3
Container 74:8	Coronavirus 65:14	235:8,19 236:2	country 116:24	created 174:19
Containerized	94:24,25 95:4	239:10,21 243:9	161:12 287:1	176:13 177:18,23
64:13	Corp 195:19	243:10 255:2	COUNTY 356:4	181:25 340:2
Containership	Corps 74:4	259:23 261:25	couple 87:2 147:15	341:4
72:20	Corpus 291:21	263:8,25 264:1	191:6 223:20	creates 176:16
context 130:23	correct 97:10,13,17	266:21 267:20	229:23 233:23	creating 174:17
254:18	97:22 98:18 99:6	272:1,4,12,15,16	256:25 280:15	182:25
continue 94:25	99:17 100:10,12	272:21 273:20,24	347:7 351:24	creation 180:21
147:19 164:6	100:17 101:2,23	275:8,25 276:3,16	course 94:3 99:23	credit 221:8,10,17
214:8	107:18 109:23	277:22 287:5	170:14 275:24	221:23 223:8
continued 57:1	113:11,14 114:18	288:11 290:16,17	277:9 319:10	224:19,24 238:3,5
59:1 61:1 62:1	115:25 116:11	292:18 293:23	courses 171:18	261:1
63:1 64:1 65:1	118:24 120:2,11	295:13,18 296:8	182:20	credited 221:6
66:1 67:1 68:1	120:21 121:9,13	296:11 298:18	court 80:4 82:20	222:15,23 225:2
69:1 70:1 71:1	121:18 123:6	301:2 302:6 303:3	83:17 133:5	228:24
72:1 73:1 74:1	125:23 126:23	303:6,10 304:12	147:24,25 164:19	credits 227:3
75:1 77:1 78:1	127:1,11,21	306:6,22 310:3,15	193:1 215:4 216:3	crisis 94:24
257:9	130:18 131:9	310:24 312:7	216:7 279:13	criticized 227:15
continuing 104:20	133:12 134:9,12	313:14 316:7	281:12,16 283:21	259:9
113:16 145:1,17	134:14,19 135:15	318:4 322:7 326:2	293:23 294:3	cross 58:5,10,13,14
146:10	142:3,7 146:15,16	326:13,14 329:5	304:25 335:11	58:18,19 59:5,9
contractors 87:25	146:24 147:3	335:22 343:20	356:6	59:12,15 81:1
contracts 157:5	148:23 149:7	344:12,16 352:21	cover 90:6,11,11,23	96:1,25 103:1
contractingly 87:21	151:4,5 156:7	correcting 186:3	103:7 110:18	143:5 166:24
contributions	159:13 160:5	corrections 238:21	111:7 112:8 114:2	192:25 197:20
203:13,15	169:9,14,18	correctly 109:20	114:8,10 117:20	214:1 216:5
control 267:25	170:15,16,20,21	134:8 140:11	207:5 209:23	251:10 259:17,23
controlled 233:5	170:25 171:17,19	141:25 170:11	339:14	279:5,25 294:24
controversial	170:25 171:17,19	268:18 322:3	coverage 122:7	336:4 355:4
250:23	172.23 173.12,13	correlated 89:12	203:18 204:3,3,25	
convenience 135:9	175.20 174.2,19		205:2,3 210:10,13	cross-answering 68:5 69:21 70:15
	,	Correspondence		
convention 160:15	182:5 184:14	61:11,13	covered 90:3 129:9	74:24 75:8,10
conversation 285:5	185:15 187:22	cost 69:8 70:5 93:1	156:16 204:10	172:9 185:1
339:17	188:14 190:9	170:1,1 207:5	206:2,4 207:10	cross-check 319:5
convey 247:9	192:14,17 193:17	213:10 257:20	269:6	cross-exam 242:21
conveying 256:1	193:18 203:11	258:15 259:7	COVID 106:21	334:16
Cook 245:15	204:6,8,9,12,17	260:19 262:21,23	107:7,17 144:1	cross-examination
256:10	204:21 205:7	273:1,18,22 274:7	COVID-19 71:24	83:24 96:23 97:3
coordination	206:21 211:17	274:11 349:9	72:5,6	168:25 169:3
264:16	219:1 222:3,8	costs 76:13 89:22	CR 74:22	199:6 210:22
copy 83:16 236:24	223:5 224:6,21,22	90:3,12,24 213:8	Craft 78:9,11	214:5 217:16
285:23,24 287:17	225:17,20 226:4	243:20 258:7,13	create 118:22	231:2 242:23
	-	-	-	-

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				ÿ
260:4 270:19,21	239:24 336:22	234:6,16,17 237:1	345:16,24,25	256:23
285:1 298:4,6	customers 239:8,13	237:22 238:19	346:7 347:2,4,6	deal 87:7 116:23
cross-examine	239:19,24	286:10 287:7	347:14,18 348:1,2	151:25 152:13
280:9	cut 161:6	291:4 300:14,15	348:14,15 349:3,3	153:6 155:6 169:8
cross-examining	CV 171:15	303:21 309:23	349:4,7,16,17,21	dealing 87:20 126:8
168:15 198:1	cycle 102:19 115:16	323:15 334:5,13	349:25,25 350:14	158:5 277:7
cross-exhibit	140:5 256:23	335:16 346:5	354:4 355:5	deals 278:4
200:14	Czeisler 75:15,16	351:13 353:13,14	356:12	Death 60:18
cross-exhibits	317:3	354:20	day-to-day 100:16	debt 222:7
103:8 251:10		date 237:25	101:11 116:5	Dec 66:6 76:3
260:23 300:8	D	Dated 66:3 68:7,9	117:2 175:15	decades 85:12 87:5
cross-referenced	daily 101:12 339:3	75:17	218:11 243:6	250:21
170:13	Dallas 160:15	dates 130:5	daylight 153:7,8,10	December 61:14
cross-testimony	damage 117:4	Dave 56:8 79:25	days 64:18 110:23	271:14
103:4 141:13	damages 100:4	DAVID 56:13	134:23 185:24,25	decide 110:9
crossed 259:22	Danner 56:8 58:7	day 110:13 111:21	186:1,8,10 187:5	117:14 121:11,14
cruise 72:22 232:13	58:21 84:8,10,18	134:11 135:8,10	188:2,5,22 191:15	122:18
292:16,19,21,22	103:16 156:18,19	135:13,14,18,25	191:16,17,20,20	decided 259:10
293:1,5,7,10,13	156:21 165:17,21	136:4,7 144:21,22	191:21 192:1,7	decides 135:7 136:4
293:16,19,21	165:25 166:2,5	148:15,15,16,16	194:6 219:24	deciding 109:24
294:10	194:16,19 214:19	148:18,20,21,22	220:13,16,17,20	decision 90:7
Cruiseship 72:23	236:7,9 238:23	149:1 189:16	220:25 221:6,10	101:16,21,23
CRYSTAL 55:25	239:7 240:2 269:3	191:24 210:24	224:15,17,20,23	102:11 110:25
356:6,16	269:5 271:15,20	223:3 224:3 225:2	225:2,2,8 227:9	111:6 122:21
CTJ 337:4	Danny 68:3 92:22	225:7 227:18	228:11,21,25	123:1 206:12
cumulative 87:6,7	dark 215:9	228:3 230:11,14	229:2 230:7,8,15	228:19 327:9
curious 240:2	data 65:9,11 71:18	230:15,18 231:4	230:19 286:7,14	decisions 85:16
current 67:9 74:7	74:5 75:12 81:7	243:10,10,12	289:18 314:23	89:15 204:5 219:8
91:22 92:3 121:24	82:9 94:17 112:24	286:15 289:18	315:24 318:24	228:20
152:21 153:4,17	113:5 132:16	310:25 319:7	319:8,11,24	Declaration 65:13
155:12 206:2	141:9,18 147:14	320:13 321:15,15	320:10,13,21	78:7
254:5,12,19	147:17,20 169:20	322:2,6,14,14	321:3,8,16,18,20	Declarations 71:24
257:16 262:5	169:22 170:5,13	324:2,7,14 325:3	321:22 323:7,18	decline 245:7
266:17,19 303:2	170:22 171:7,11	325:6,12,15,19,25	326:6 327:11	decreasing 264:6
currently 89:10	172:3,4,5 175:2,2	326:12,17,19	328:2,4,7,23,24	dedicated 314:15
146:13 153:18	175:4,10,12,14,19	327:3,8 328:7,10	329:23 330:9	deduction 134:4,14
192:24 228:15	175:20 176:4,8,10	329:25 332:19	332:18,23 334:2	deemed 162:11
262:21	176:23,24 177:1,8 177:14,22 178:16	336:3 337:7,7,8,9	339:3 340:18,24	define 220:17 328:1
currents 277:20,24	177:14,22 178:16	337:13,14,21	342:3,12,16 345:7	defined 142:9
Curriculum 60:16	178:21,24,24 179:4,5,8 181:2,5	338:12 339:8,10	345:18,20 346:6,6	145:13 263:10
61:19 64:11 70:13	179:4,5,8 181:2,5 181:8,10,12,13,14	339:11,13,20	346:6 349:11,13	303:24 304:8
72:12	181:8,10,12,13,14	340:19,25 341:1	349:23	defines 191:24
custom 164:4	181:19 182:7,15	342:9,9 343:2,7	days' 134:4 230:15	304:5
customary 204:7	201:9,11 202:9	343:13,18 344:18	328:11	definitely 146:11
customer 63:4	232:1,14,18 234:2	344:21,21,23	de 158:20 211:16	150:9 214:10
	232.1,17,10 234.2			

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

definition 137:23	229:18 241:2,8,14	338:15	199:25	257:13 261:23
140:16,23 302:21	242:21,22,24	denied 99:4	determine 88:25	273:13,16 276:14
304:1,13 306:18	244:12,13 246:10	depart 158:18	95:7 115:23 174:9	277:6,7,8,16,19
306:25 307:1,2	247:22,25 249:23	262:13	174:10 175:13,14	287:21 288:18,21
311:21 322:2,4	250:9,11,13 255:6	departure 152:14	176:24 177:6	289:13 295:17,20
330:8 331:18	255:22 259:15,20	dependent 294:10	181:9 182:8	296:1 298:18
definitions 317:2	270:20,22 271:15	depends 207:17	237:18 238:13	314:17 327:1,23
definitively 314:22	271:17,21,22	depreciable 212:7,9	determined 86:12	differently 172:24
DeLAPPE 57:3	273:8,9 278:23	212:15	88:1 134:21	225:24 301:17,21
58:5,10,13,18	282:1 284:18,20	depreciated 212:5	228:20	326:18 353:20
59:5,9,12,15,18	284:22,25 285:2	depreciation 68:24	determines 104:21	difficult 87:9
80:5,5 82:3,4,22	285:16,18,22	69:11 93:4 212:1	195:4,5	146:12 294:1,2
88:13,14,16,19	286:3 287:24	212:13	determining 83:6	307:16
96:25 97:2,4	288:4 293:22,25	depth 276:13,16,25	176:17 191:10	difficulties 240:16
99:24 100:2	298:5,7 300:14,15	describe 85:13	develop 174:4,23	283:6
103:16,18,22,24	300:17,20,22	135:6 170:4	181:2,5 295:15	difficulty 244:8
105:11,13,20	304:25 305:8	188:15 257:14	developed 163:4,8	324:24
108:23 109:2	306:11,14 331:2,5	261:6 311:13	163:24	diligence 239:8
112:14,17,22	331:9,11,13,14	described 93:14	develops 172:16	diminishing 173:10
113:3,11,14,21,22	334:20 335:6,12	135:16,21 154:19	deviate 139:6,23	dinner 324:25
119:23,25 128:9	335:13,14,21,25	208:12 271:6	devising 89:2	Dir 72:4
128:10,12,15,19	336:2,5,9 350:13	347:6	dictate 226:13	direct 58:5,9,13,17
128:23 129:7,12	350:16,19,22,25	describes 239:6	dictated 272:4	59:4,9,11,14 60:3
131:22 132:2,4	354:22,24 355:1,7	describing 209:1	Diego 78:4	60:15 61:7,18
136:10,14,20,24	DeLappe's 190:21	256:6,7 276:17	diesel 169:25	63:7,23 64:3,10
137:1,16,24 138:9	delay 338:15	description 60:2	Diess 63:23	64:17 67:3,6 68:3
138:10,16 141:20	340:11,11 344:7,7	61:2 62:2 63:2	difference 86:20	69:16 70:12,22
142:23 143:12	delays 122:1,13	64:2 65:2 66:2	188:15 195:14	72:11 77:20 90:16
169:1,4 178:6,8	228:3 336:20,20	67:2 68:2 69:2	222:4 245:9 277:1	96:13 168:6
180:5,6,7,9,12	336:22,22	70:2 71:2 72:2	277:4 289:2,15	183:14 198:16,23
187:8,12,13	delegate 156:25	73:2 74:2 75:2	301:18 308:12	200:7 202:8
190:17 193:12	delegated 157:2,8	76:1 77:2 78:2	differences 275:19	216:15,25 227:19
194:5 196:25	deliberately 318:10	105:3,15 123:5	275:23 276:14,18	239:12,12 242:9
199:4,5,7 200:15	318:20	276:25 346:9	277:13,23	252:19,19 258:2
200:16,18 201:6,7	deliver 161:4,16	design 93:7,19	different 87:13,15	259:19 270:7
201:11,14,16,25	196:14	designed 207:5	87:22 102:14	283:1 297:17
202:2,8,12,22,23	delivered 165:15	desire 91:16 135:10	114:20,21 120:20	309:11,12 310:10
203:19,23,25	delivery 161:6	despite 242:2	123:13 126:5,8	directed 105:10
208:16,18,23	delta 195:7,9	detailed 151:23,25	138:13 151:6,22	147:17 251:13
209:6,12,16,22	demand 104:18	175:14	152:25 153:5,13	direction 82:15
210:1 214:4,12	122:7 228:4	details 85:1 298:23	154:20,22 156:1	122:2,5 123:15
217:2,3,5,13,15	262:14 335:18	298:24	168:13 170:2,3	125:22 142:20
217:17 220:1,3,6	339:11	determination 89:1	172:23 222:10	directly 90:14
220:7 226:6,18	demonstrate 88:5	180:21	226:23 229:9	188:25 239:8
227:20,21,22	88:10 290:21	determinations	230:8 253:17	243:6 247:19
	1	I	I	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 age 500
262:22 263:24	141:23 144:5	223:24 264:7	232:15,19 234:2	76:21,22,23 77:3
Director 100:19,20	151:3,6,8,9,9,10	distribution 69:17	234:18	77:4,5,6,7,8,9
100:23 142:22	151:12 152:4,11	131:4,15 134:5	documents 129:3	165:3,5 166:13,25
156:23 157:1,3,8	153:18 154:10,19	189:19 192:1,2	168:12 307:12	167:23 168:8,21
157:17,19 163:2	154:25 155:3,4,13	193:16,21,22	doing 94:1 152:19	169:5 178:12
213:11 243:5	155:17 156:4	209:3 219:24	161:1,22 174:22	180:13 190:23
267:19	157:14,20,22,24	220:12,20 226:13	175:1 183:1	191:6 193:3,9
directors 122:21,25	158:6,8,12 162:25	227:4,9 228:16	186:19 222:23	196:19,23 197:3
124:2,5 125:22	163:6 236:18	229:2 230:15,19	248:1 252:12	317:1,3 323:14
156:25 159:11,15	266:25 347:25	232:23 233:4	268:2 279:20,25	329:9 330:2,5,10
307:9,25	354:3	321:15,17,22	291:24 308:6	331:18,21 332:6
disagree 112:9	dispatched 122:16	326:10 327:3	341:9	334:6,10,13
113:23 199:10,14	219:11 267:1,2	328:11	dollar 222:1,3,4	335:20,22 342:14
201:18 202:25	299:19 300:25	distributions	254:25	351:5,6 353:13
317:16 319:17	303:22 304:18	220:13 225:19	dollars 223:22	DR-28 336:7,8
disagreement	dispatcher 114:22	district 66:4 79:19	Domestic 74:5	draft 288:1
134:25	115:7,12 116:4	94:18 104:11	Donald 164:15,18	Drag 75:22
disapprove 136:6	120:25 135:10,12	128:16 161:15	double 253:12	Dramatic 72:21
disclose 178:16	135:20 136:5	162:8,12 293:2	Downturn 75:23	drawn 94:2
252:15	149:4 159:1	districts 60:7	DP-10X 68:14	drive 56:4,10 91:25
discovery 81:14,17	338:23 339:2,3	163:10 290:24	DP-11X 68:15	251:18 264:21
81:19,22 82:6	342:6 343:5	328:10	DP-13X 68:16	293:6 294:7
179:13 285:7	347:17	diversity 173:3	DP-14X 68:17	driven 195:9
300:2,4 303:12	dispatchers 104:13	258:18 292:24	DP-15X 68:18	driver 349:20,24
323:12	104:24 151:11,12	divide 273:21	DP-16X 68:19	drivers 346:11
discrepancies	158:10,11,21,22	286:13	DP-17X 68:20	driving 252:8
268:18	315:14,16 339:1	division 94:11	DPK-1T 68:3	264:14 265:4
discretion 135:19	345:14 347:13	DNI 86:23 187:15	DPK-2 68:4	drop 161:25 162:4
discuss 82:5 83:19	dispatching 118:12	187:15 188:12,18	DPK-3T 68:5	dropping 160:21
83:22	155:1,7 156:11	188:20 191:11	DPK-4 68:6	DRs 60:13,14,24
discussed 93:19	163:18,20	192:11 330:3,5	DPK-5 68:7	61:3,5,6 62:14
	disprove 136:6	331:15 332:1	DPK-6 68:8	63:5,18,19,21,21
223:11	dispute 81:14 95:5	dock 153:2 245:16	DPK-7 68:10	64:7,8,16 65:4
discusses 230:13	disputes 82:7	289:21,21	DPK-8X 68:12	66:11,12,13,14,16
discussing 321:25	dissimilar 276:19	dock-to-dock 290:3	DPK-9X 68:13	66:17,21,22 67:10
discussion 82:6	dissimilarity	docket 55:3 79:16	Dr 60:24 62:11,12	67:11,13,16,17,20
93:13 105:7	277:25	document 128:14	62:13,15 64:6	67:23 69:14,14,23
274:18	distance 236:19	129:2 152:17	65:6,12,20 66:10	75:8,9
dismissed 279:11	distinction 295:10	153:25 156:1,10	66:11 67:8,13,22	due 143:25 150:5
dispatch 59:20	distinguish 225:10	179:17,23 187:21	68:4,6,14,15 69:9	172:5 195:14
101:6,10,12,17,25	298:20 300:7	213:8 217:25	69:10,12,13,15	239:8 240:19
102:6 104:11	distributable 86:17	257:21 300:19	70:6,7,8,9,10,11	245:14 318:12
105:4,15 117:19	93:17	303:11,11 311:18	70:16,17,18,19,20	336:21 338:1
117:25 118:4	distribute 226:20	314:5	70:21 76:10,11,12	347:2
130:1 138:2 140:9	distributed 189:11	documentation	76:17,18,19,19,20	duly 96:9 137:5

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				ÿ
168:2 198:12	320:12 340:18	effort 161:3 213:6	endorses 90:23	equally 92:4 126:14
214:12 216:11	343:4	eight 163:9 328:7	ends 262:17 322:5	147:12 220:14
242:5 270:3	earliest 135:9	341:7 343:10	Energy 195:18	263:14 310:16
282:22 297:13	early 290:8 339:23	347:9	enforce 130:17	311:8
duplication 103:10	earn 148:22 191:10	Eighteen 186:9	enforcing 131:24	equate 125:12
dur 352:23 353:23	192:10 222:19	either 98:12 102:7	engage 82:6 104:13	304:14
354:12	299:4 337:8	102:8 125:19,20	121:20	equated 309:9
duration 259:9	earned 71:5 118:16	129:22 135:2	engagement 222:19	equation 341:10
318:2 352:23	127:5 134:23	147:5 149:16	223:4 224:3	equity 63:12 100:4
353:24 354:12	148:11 190:6	165:20 187:6	engineer 211:10	equivalency 86:23
duties 123:14 124:6	221:16 338:12,21	253:24 268:6	Engineers 74:4	equivalent 194:2
124:21 127:3	340:18 346:6	273:6 281:18	enhanced 290:2	326:21
140:10 141:3,25	349:2,3,4,13	303:8 306:8	enjoys 325:12	Eric 58:4 60:3
142:13	350:1	325:19 326:25	ensure 97:23 98:9	95:15 96:8,17
duty 102:18 108:2	earning 299:1,2	327:4 337:9	99:3 104:4,14	erratic 261:17
110:13 118:16	329:22 345:19	338:19	105:24 200:1,25	error 314:2
134:2,17,18,20,21	earns 88:5	elaborate 312:18	202:16 218:5	especially 257:10
135:4,14 140:5	easier 168:16	electric 89:3	346:17,18	264:12
146:18 148:20	249:23 319:6	electronic 128:14	ensures 98:5 106:6	essential 90:5,12,15
162:10 188:5,7,12	East 283:5	309:17	enter 139:20	117:14,15 199:11
188:16,22 191:17	easy 82:13	element 86:13,25	152:22	199:16,25 201:20
191:20,20,24	echo 167:5 240:14	294:7	enters 338:23	202:6,13 203:2
192:1 220:13	283:24	elements 86:7,24	Entertainment	essentially 87:1
224:20 227:9	econometric	eliminate 87:18	69:4	264:6
230:7 289:6,17	169:23 170:7	emergency 106:15	entire 125:14	ESSHB 75:13
314:23 315:12,24	172:17,22	107:6,17 144:1	175:19 177:8	establish 85:19
317:13 319:8,11	economic 91:24	149:9,13 318:12	305:16 309:25	86:1
320:10,13 321:7	169:12 171:21	employed 216:21	321:21 329:11	established 330:11
321:15,16 322:2	172:23 180:25	349:2	333:17,18 339:2	establishes 211:25
322:14 323:1,17	182:15	employee 73:20	entirely 90:8 195:9	establishing 220:16
323:22 324:19	economics 171:18	101:17 157:6	entirety 95:11	estimate 136:12
327:20 328:1	173:2 182:20	204:15 206:18	177:10	192:23 280:4
330:3,6,8 331:18	economist 189:18	210:13	entities 252:22	estimated 73:22
354:11,13	Edith 245:15	employees 88:1,3	entitle 230:18	213:25 329:1
dwiley@williams	256:10	90:1 157:6 158:19	entitled 148:12	estimates 173:11
56:16	education 145:2,18	203:9 204:24	179:15 308:2,16	214:6
<u> </u>	146:10 312:3	205:2 206:17	328:10	et 131:15 221:17
	effect 91:24 95:3	207:2,8,10,14	entity 252:1,3,4,6	256:13 322:5
E 356:1,1	151:8 154:10	employees' 157:7	253:24 254:16,17	345:13
e-mailed 281:12,17	effectively 235:24	employer 216:18	Entry 69:19	ETO 338:23 339:2
earlier 114:16	effects 94:25	employers 206:15	environments	344:25 345:13
115:22 130:20	efficiency 82:12	210:12	278:12	EV 137:25
149:22 248:24	164:7 189:22	endeavored 94:16	equal 128:21	evaluate 90:15
249:7,13 259:6	efficient 164:6	ended 61:20,21,22	221:19 226:20	199:16 202:13
268:12 290:18	266:11,15	271:14 352:20	227:2 228:16	204:23 224:8
306:19 315:24				

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

You created this PDF from an application that is not licensed to print to novaPDF printer (http://www.novapdf.com)

		_	_	
evaluates 199:19	307:13 326:8	exceptions 106:25	65:1 66:1 67:1	291:16 297:23
evaluating 139:1	331:21 354:10	107:1,4,6 139:22	68:1 69:1 70:1	302:16 334:16
211:10	Exam 74:16	142:15 143:24	71:1 72:1 73:1	exist 156:5
evaluation 146:17	Exam-Trainee	205:5 220:14	74:1 75:1 77:1	existed 250:17
evaluations 145:7	71:11	222:7 223:23	78:1 81:1,2,2 83:4	existing 88:22
145:10	Examination 58:6	Excerpts 63:19	83:6,16 96:19	90:19 262:4
Evans 76:12	58:7,7,11,15,20	64:7 67:10,11,20	99:24 102:23	expansion 123:14
EVB 131:12 141:14	58:21 59:6,6	excess 134:6 257:10	103:1,5,15,17,18	183:4,7,8,19
EVB-10X 60:13	71:13,14 96:13	excessive 152:22	108:11,14,20	expect 107:23
112:19 113:3	143:21 150:15	Exchange 60:5	112:18 113:2,7,8	108:7 111:14,18
132:13 141:7	156:20 162:22	293:16,18,21	123:17 127:14,22	147:19
EVB-1T 60:3 96:20	168:6 191:4 193:6	exclude 208:11	131:12 132:13	expected 104:13
137:25 138:10	198:16 210:7	313:22	133:8,20 137:25	146:4 153:2,12
EVB-2 60:5	211:22 212:23	excluded 204:19	138:10 140:21	187:3 208:19
EVB-3 60:6	216:15 230:1	314:1	141:7,14 153:17	251:3
EVB-4 60:7	233:24 235:1,14	exclusion 198:7	170:18 172:10,12	expedited 161:5
EVB-5X 60:8 99:24	236:8 239:3 242:9	excuse 104:9 113:3	175:23 180:4,9	expeditious 250:5
123:18 133:20	255:13 260:10	113:6 147:24	185:2 200:8,8,20	expense 68:24 69:3
140:22 306:15	265:24 270:7	149:1,15 165:7	200:23 201:9	69:4,5 73:22
322:1	283:1 295:4	202:2 206:24	208:15 217:22	74:15 92:10
EVB-6X 60:9	297:17	256:23 259:17	220:2,3 227:23	199:16,20 202:13
108:11 133:8	examined 90:12	297:4 306:16	246:1,2 247:4,22	203:6,11,14 204:8
307:20	96:10 168:3	307:23 309:4	249:13 250:3	219:25 243:17,25
EVB-7X 60:10	198:13 216:12	323:11 335:19	251:2,3,4 258:25	244:2,7 245:6
EVB-8X 60:11	242:6 270:4	345:13 353:10	259:5 271:3,3,16	250:8 257:4,8
EVB-9X 60:12	282:23 297:14	excused 165:1	274:20 275:16,18	258:11 262:5,19
evening 214:10	example 87:11 90:6	197:3 213:23	285:13 287:12	262:25 263:4,9,11
354:23	109:15 121:15	240:9 269:10	299:23 302:16,20	263:18 267:21
evenly 226:13	140:1 159:10	296:16,17	304:7 306:10,15	268:6 272:17,20
event 162:3,4,5,6	160:14 162:1	Executed 64:23	306:24 307:20,23	expense-based
302:23 304:8	186:24 203:4	executing 157:5	307:23 308:24	257:20
311:22,24 346:9	226:25 246:13	executive 100:15	311:18 322:1,10	expenses 63:14
events 182:25	256:19 259:3	100:19,20,23	323:13 328:19,19	73:8,9,23 86:3
303:1,3,4,7,15,18	324:16 336:11,13	139:9 142:22	329:15 330:17	90:10,15 92:23
Everglades 291:8	348:3	156:22 157:1,3,8	334:17 336:11,12	93:4,5 199:11,19
Evergreen 56:4,10	examples 257:1,2	157:17,19 163:2	350:9 351:10	199:25 200:1,24
everybody 243:22	335:17 338:13	213:11 243:5	exhibits 80:12,13	202:16 204:19
283:8 338:25	346:4	267:19	80:14 81:6 82:5	220:14 221:20
evidence 81:14	exceed 118:2	Executives 73:22	82:21 83:15 103:2	243:7,11,12,24
82:1 95:8 153:23	318:10,20	exempt 206:20,23	103:9 128:25	244:3,9,16 245:13
evidentiary 55:10	exceeds 105:6	Exemption 77:22	129:4 198:24	245:18,25 246:2
79:1,15	Excel 350:10	78:4	200:22 217:1	246:12,15,16
exact 191:18 261:2	Excellent 166:12	exercise 292:3	242:18 247:11,14	249:4,10,11
340:1	exception 107:9	exhibit 60:1 61:1	249:25 251:16	252:23 255:17,19
exactly 144:16	225:1 226:15,16	62:1 63:1 64:1	271:2 284:16	256:8,12,16 257:3

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

		-		`
257:22 263:2,12	F	344:14	175:3 176:5	filing 74:22 87:10
266:22 267:20	F 356:1	fashion 161:17	177:11 264:4	251:12
268:7,9,10 271:25	F-u-r-n-a-c-e	Fassburg 56:14	317:3	fill 118:11 120:5
272:7 273:3	284:10	58:5,6,9,10 59:9	fatigued 106:19	290:13
expensive 264:5	face 177:5	59:14,18 79:24,24	107:24 108:3	filled 118:14 120:4
experience 94:2,15	faced 161:8	80:15,17,22 81:3	109:14,16,21,25	filling 147:5
111:19 117:1	facial 165:14	81:4 82:24 83:2,8	110:7,10,13,17,24	final 66:7 68:8
165:13 169:17	fact 87:25 94:4	83:11 84:17 89:20	111:1,10,15,20,24	71:19 101:21,22
203:6 259:4	99:19 120:18	96:1,3,6,14 105:5	112:1,6 113:25	101:23 258:23
experienced 256:8	146:14 147:13	108:22 112:10	114:23 115:2,5	finalize 171:2
experiencing 167:4	155:12 177:22	113:1,9,12 119:20	Feadship's 68:20	finalized 83:16
expert 184:4 273:7	200:7 203:14	128:23 131:20	fear 111:25	Finally 93:6
273:7,14	232:11 245:24,25	137:13,22 141:16	feasible 161:4	financial 63:9,10
expertise 94:12,13	252:11 245:24,25	141:18 142:25	features 88:9	63:17 64:12 65:22
94:14 169:12	272:2 301:9 346:2	143:8,11,17,19,22	Feb 76:14	66:11 71:7 110:14
explain 100:22	346:4	148:3,4 150:11	February 60:12	113:17 114:12
106:14 134:25	facto 158:20	153:22,24 155:10	61:12 63:6 71:17	132:10,22 157:11
135:6 170:10	factor 170:24	155:15,20,22,24	federal 60:22	185:2 200:2,25
201:5,17 202:24	184:21 224:18	156:3 166:24	206:23 212:3	202:17 217:22,24
288:21 318:15	329:6	167:1,4,8,12,15	273:1	218:1 219:22
332:22	factors 89:13	167:18,22 168:7	fee 69:6 74:13	226:16 238:8,10
explained 191:7	265:18 290:20	168:24 178:3,10	263:14 291:11	262:24 267:25
322:16 346:10,15	fail 109:18 131:2	179:18 180:3	feel 82:25 91:16	271:1,5,24 273:3
explanation 276:19	failure 131:1	190:20,22 191:2,5	112:5 113:24	274:16 288:10
explanatory 169:24	fair 88:23 89:4,5	192:18 240:12,13	114:6 198:5	financials 72:9
170:9	90:19 95:7 174:3	241:7,25 242:3	227:16 265:15	90:17 184:24
explicit 142:14	217:24 325:13,20	246:8 247:13	268:10,19	185:6 187:20
explicitly 308:2	fairly 92:6 245:7	269:24 270:8,18	feeling 209:8	225:22 231:14
exposed 106:23	258:9 292:10	273:4 278:25	feels 82:14 90:17	263:3 328:24
165:24	fairs 250:19,22,22	279:2 296:25	106:7	find 95:10 108:24
expressions 165:14	Fall 76:4	297:2,11,18 298:3	fees 73:23 221:17	138:3 200:20
expulsion 134:7	false 193:24	300:5,10 306:9	fellow 189:7,8	202:11 212:2
extended 196:16	familiar 84:25	330:22	felt 264:13	218:8 225:22
extensive 123:6,7	129:8 179:17,22	Fassburg's 269:21	ferries 266:7,9	249:24 258:22
124:12	211:3,24 231:20	fast 217:20	ferry 250:22 261:13	261:2 268:18
extensiveness 322:4	231:20 246:14	faster 161:17 295:2	fewer 173:9	287:6 300:12
extent 90:12 126:3	247:5 251:7	334:12	figure 167:5 290:10	309:4
226:2 275:7	268:15 291:15	Fatal 77:12	file 103:5 237:11	finding 177:9 226:8
extra 189:6 273:12	293:15 353:21	fatigue 65:11 66:13	243:19 291:10	findings 181:11
273:15 333:11	354:15,16,17	70:4 75:16 76:7	350:10	fine 83:7,10 127:18
extreme 122:11	families 264:12	77:13 107:7,17	filed 79:16 81:5,23	133:3 150:5 175:8
184:10 346:11	family 119:7	108:6 111:10	93:6 103:2,10	214:17,19 237:12
extremely 123:7	324:17,22	113:17 115:1,2	231:21 287:22	241:3 318:18,19
318:12	far 85:3 151:18	134:3 140:9	files 74:5 163:14	319:3,6 335:1,4
eye 178:25 240:22	268:23 304:3	141:23 143:25	309:17	fined 109:19
	200.23 307.3			
	Ι	l	I	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				8
133:14 137:3,7	FMC 64:13	formula 86:3 89:2	210:18,19,23	gained 94:2
finish 290:11 336:3	focus 85:23 86:5	89:4 179:9 192:1	230:24 231:1,3,11	gap 118:13,13,22
350:23 355:4	88:9 245:23	192:2	233:19 234:12,15	GARVEY 57:4
finished 102:8	307:18 334:23	formulas 89:3	234:21 241:5	gas 89:3
115:17	341:15 343:16	forth 85:20 117:5	259:24 279:3,5,24	gears 314:20
finishing 291:7	focused 184:13	323:3	280:2,5 294:22,23	general 56:20 79:16
finite 185:14,16	206:5	forthcoming 287:8	fulfill 147:8 158:21	92:16,18 93:21
186:22	folks 341:1 352:20	forward 87:13	fulfilled 121:1	245:12 251:7,9
fired 157:24	follow 132:25	129:11 147:18	fulfilling 140:10	306:25 307:10,11
first 81:16 84:22	144:12 162:24	327:10 333:19	141:3,24	333:16
85:8 87:10 93:21	193:11 229:21	FOSTER 57:4	fulfillment 306:4	generally 151:17
95:14 96:9 111:5	266:3	found 179:1 265:10	full 94:24 96:16	152:14 154:2
111:5 114:17	follow-up 211:20	Foundation 65:11	221:5,16 260:8	222:6
141:22 156:11	236:7 238:25	foundational	270:9 320:6 345:2	generate 92:8
168:2,10 193:14	following 131:5	164:15,20	full-time 86:23	169:21 181:18
194:25 198:12	133:15 137:3	four 315:13 316:22	139:18 194:2	223:8 235:21
203:18 204:1	143:17 348:20,22	335:17 353:7	326:21	generated 222:18
216:11 220:10	354:4 355:11	Fourth 74:25	fully 165:24	236:21 238:2
230:3 242:5	follows 96:11 144:3	France 145:17	function 186:23	generates 223:7
258:14 265:11	168:4 198:14	146:3	187:1,17	235:19 236:17
267:15 270:3	216:13 242:7	Francisco 60:19	functions 298:18	237:1
282:22 285:12	270:5 282:24	70:4 74:7 76:7	fund 87:11 93:17	generation 198:2,4
288:23 289:15,16	297:15	free 74:13 138:5	272:13 326:20	gentleman 154:12
297:13 301:8	footnote 288:9,9	190:3,8,11 329:20	funded 86:8 332:19	George 59:10 60:15
302:20 309:19	footnotes 292:13,15	330:1 333:22	332:23 334:2	282:21 284:4
338:16 347:6	forecast 171:12	freeze 244:25	fundraiser 162:3	germane 160:24
first-year 145:6	172:16 174:9	255:22 256:9	Furnace 284:7,10	getting 84:25 85:3
Fish 77:24 78:3	175:13 176:14	freighters 91:20	further 120:9 134:1	98:16 103:11
fit 160:8	forecasting 172:11	frequented 138:6	142:23 150:11	126:18,25 136:18
five 84:13 145:4,6	173:5	frequently 253:24	156:8 164:23	139:15 171:24
292:14 328:7	forecasts 172:17	front 103:11	194:14 210:15	186:7,16,17,17
335:8 347:11	foregoing 356:8	113:15 133:9	211:18 212:17	187:2,17 197:25
five-minute 335:5	foreign 74:5 92:7	168:12 202:10	213:18 229:18	228:15 237:9
five-year 245:11	97:14	209:7 246:7	230:21 233:19	245:20 250:10
246:22 256:9	forget 340:11	247:23 255:16	234:8,21 236:3	257:25 261:8
fix 228:15,21	forgo 100:4	256:1 274:24	237:5 255:8	265:17 309:3
240:15 283:25	forgot 259:22	332:25,25	265:21 269:2	312:21,23 324:24
flag 92:7	form 78:11 130:2	froze 249:6	278:23 294:13	325:24 326:1
flagged 97:14	201:22 206:18,20	frozen 166:17	296:12 340:23	334:7,11
flat 263:14	207:19,19	Fuca 211:16 256:23	future 87:13	Giese 154:14,14
flavor 277:6,8	forma 61:20,21,22	Fukano 56:19	169:15,19 173:10	Gilbert 203:20
float 158:18	199:21 203:15	58:14,19,20 59:19	179:10 326:24	give 84:13 103:16
Florida 291:6	format 272:4	80:2,2 82:18		108:23 127:12
fluid 317:9	former 89:25	92:15,17 192:21	$\frac{\mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P} \cos 42}$	140:1 150:22
Flynn 76:12	forms 89:7 129:8	192:23 196:1,24	GAAP 93:13	151:16 157:16
			Gail 162:3	
	•			•

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 age 070
166:20 189:25	239:2 240:12,18	349:19 350:14	GQ-7X 60:22	284:12 286:16
215:25 228:7	241:1,9 249:24	golf 117:9	GQ-8X 60:24	313:21 341:5
231:8 241:21	253:18 271:8	golfing 324:17	GQ-9X 61:3	352:24
269:17 282:14	282:4,8 283:21	golly 330:18	gradual 247:1	guessed 353:25
297:7 310:12	286:23 292:23	gonna 152:18	granted 124:1	guide 122:21
347:14,18,22	293:1 294:25	good 79:7,24 80:2	gratifying 198:4	guided 93:21
354:23	297:11 300:1	82:4 84:4,6,7,8,10	Grays 61:11,13	guideline 153:11
given 81:15 89:12	315:17 325:11	84:17 88:19 91:7	great 100:3 115:11	guidelines 59:20
102:1 135:11	339:25 340:4	92:15 97:5,6	134:16 158:3	60:19 78:9 151:3
139:6,13 148:18	342:24 343:2	109:1,9,14,17	163:11 164:25	151:6,8,10,11,12
159.0,15 148.18	342.24 343.2	116:22 117:18	171:1 176:22	151:14,16,21,25
	,			
188:23 202:24	goal 257:16,17	130:6 131:1	219:6 220:10,21	152:4,8,11,24
227:9 235:25	goes 82:23 101:25	136:23 140:15	222:12 231:5	153:7,18 154:2,10
gives 104:23 130:5	144:13 145:16	149:15 150:2,18	243:18 244:5	154:10,18,19,23
135:20 163:11	146:2 209:19	150:19 157:16	245:21 272:21	154:25 155:1,4,13
giving 80:16,17	248:16 317:23	163:11 169:5,6	278:7 285:5	155:18,21,25
286:17 287:9	325:18	173:5 176:21	287:18 288:8	156:4
289:17 296:1	going 82:14,21 83:1	184:2 193:9,10	298:15 333:21	Guy 164:14,18
347:12	87:13 102:21	199:9 212:25	Green 251:15,17,24	guys 270:25
glad 202:12 250:11	103:5 112:10	213:1,24 221:21	252:4,9 253:3,7	
glasses 108:20	113:12,19 117:8	235:16 242:11,11	253:11	
glitches 283:9	129:11 136:10	242:25 243:1	grew 246:18	H 168:16
global 169:8	140:20 151:16,17	259:6 260:13	Gross 69:24	half 249:10 290:13
go 79:6 81:3 82:13	151:19 152:14	266:1,2 269:12,13	ground 257:14	Hallelujah 330:19
102:17 103:25	153:1,2,3 156:22	270:23,24 272:2	259:2 266:5	hand 95:20 166:14
112:10,18 113:19	167:5,5 168:11,15	279:17 283:3	grounding 117:3	166:18 172:22
114:7 121:25	172:11,12 177:2	285:3 287:7	grounds 274:19	197:13 215:23
127:8,10,12	184:3 196:16	296:23,24 298:8,9	276:9 277:6	241:19 248:2
134:25 136:17,21	197:19 209:12	304:6 317:1	278:13,18 287:1	260:8 269:15
138:17 139:16	214:7,9 217:19	318:21 340:12	group 123:1 125:23	282:11 297:5
141:14,19 144:6	232:12 237:7,25	Gov 72:3 75:5	161:13 180:15	356:11
144:23 146:6	240:13,14 243:3	governing 252:3	groupings 311:13	hand-doing 267:6
150:14,24 151:19	246:24 249:17	Governmental	313:2	handle 143:3
152:5 153:4	251:1,13 253:15	63:15	groups 296:8	292:18,21
154:14,15 155:15	254:24 255:4	GPP 169:25	grow 257:9	handled 260:21
166:18 167:19,21	260:2 265:14	GQ-10X 61:4	growth 244:9,16	handles 101:6
172:11 175:22	269:11,21 271:4	GQ-11X 61:5 81:2	245:6 246:20	293:13
187:11 194:23	274:11,21 282:1	GQ-1T 60:15	Guard 72:6	handling 96:1
196:10 197:22	282:18 285:9	284:15 285:13	guess 120:16	166:24 197:19
199:5 203:24	290:11 299:8	GQ-2 60:16	122:25 136:16	216:5
208:22 210:21	300:11 303:16	GQ-3 60:17	142:5,11,20 143:1	hands 317:2
215:3,11,15 216:7	317:4 326:6 333:2	GQ-4 60:19	152:7,9 154:24	handy 246:4
217:14,20 224:17	334:24 336:2	GQ-5T 60:20	157:1 159:16	319:25
225:6 226:10	339:19 340:12	284:16 287:12	160:7 272:20	hang-over 87:2
227:24 234:13	341:14 343:14	GQ-6X 60:21	277:20 279:24	happen 250:7
	5 11.1 1 5 15.1 1	GQ 0 2 x 00.21		330:14 333:9
		l	I	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

r				0
343:14	197:10,11 217:7	historic 86:19,21	198:1 214:16	IC-17 65:13
happened 132:7,9	249:11 279:19	87:12 259:4	290:13 324:7	IC-18 65:14
149:8 171:14	280:13 283:14,18	historical 69:17	hours 73:4,14,14	IC-19 65:15
238:7 266:13	284:20,22 298:11	94:17 171:11	152:23 187:6	IC-1T 64:17 102:23
349:14	330:4	182:7,13	194:1 252:10	103:18
happening 167:14	heard 242:17	historically 85:1	283:5 286:7,13,15	IC-2 64:18
226:17 254:1	249:12 284:15	history 65:9 73:20	288:15,24 289:18	IC-20 65:16
349:18	293:16 303:17	309:23 338:24	295:11 313:5,11	IC-21 65:17
happens 117:24	305:25	hold 196:8 211:15	313:13,16,20,22	IC-22 65:18
124:19 144:2,4	hearing 55:10 66:6	259:22 330:15	313:23,25 315:13	IC-23 65:19
146:2 182:25	77:16 79:1,15	Holders 78:7	315:19 316:2,5,11	IC-24 65:20
184:10 327:14	83:20,22 88:9	holiday 135:25	316:22,23 317:17	IC-25 65:21
339:25	91:11 94:22	136:8 342:8	317:19,20 318:4,7	IC-25B 271:3,17
happy 80:22 82:9	178:18 280:15	343:19	318:25 319:7,8,8	IC-26 65:23
154:3 244:12	329:13 355:17	holidays 343:9,10	319:14,20,23	IC-27 65:24
306:12	356:9	home 251:19	320:1,5,7,8,9,13	IC-28X 66:3
harbor 61:11,13	held 204:13,22	253:23 254:3,7,7	320:15 324:24	IC-29X 66:4
116:21 317:19	273:6	254:16 284:9	328:7 329:5 340:8	IC-3 64:19
348:1	Heli-Expo 160:16	324:16,23 325:12	340:14 347:11	IC-30X 66:5
harbors 138:6	helicopter 160:15	homes 253:16	348:15,17,19	IC-31X 66:7
hard 114:13 125:24	Hello 199:8 210:24	honest 159:19	353:8	IC-32X 66:8
280:14 287:4,7	217:3 231:4	Honestly 354:16	Howard 55:12 56:3	IC-33X 66:10
300:9 341:18	241:17 279:18	Honor 80:18 81:4	79:10 84:1,19	IC-34X 66:11
Harry 56:19 80:2	294:23	82:4 83:2 196:5	88:20	IC-35X 66:12
92:16	help 179:19 245:19	197:21 199:2	hub 98:13,13	IC-36X 66:14
harry.fukano@u	280:15 281:15	209:4 210:5,16	158:14 255:5	IC-37X 66:16
56:22	helped 157:25	212:18 216:6	hugely 258:21	IC-38X 66:17
hate 155:10	helpful 254:11	217:10,11 226:1	hundred 235:21	IC-39X 66:18
HD-19-196 74:17	258:18 274:20	229:24 230:22	237:1 321:21	350:10
head 127:25 128:3	323:4	238:25 242:2	hypothetical	IC-40X 66:19
133:3 153:25	hereunto 356:11	255:12 282:20	174:17 182:1,9	IC-41X 66:20
340:3	Hi 216:17 217:4	294:18 330:25	hypothetically	180:9,11
headers 353:19	235:17 241:16	335:2	349:15	IC-42X 66:21 81:2
354:21	high 151:19,20	honored 198:6		299:24 323:13
heading 127:18	152:23 172:21	Hook 77:10,12		334:5,11 351:10
338:10	268:16 292:19,20	hope 92:4 132:13	i.e 172:20	IC-4Tr 64:20
headings 336:17	293:13,15,19,20	175:20 247:13	IC 103:19 271:16	308:24 322:10
338:9	higher 244:23	258:21 283:24	351:15	IC-5 64:21
heads 313:19	258:11 329:6	hopefully 123:18	IC-10 65:4	IC-6 64:22
health 65:13 94:24	highlights 256:18	217:10 240:21	IC-11 65:6	IC-7 64:23
204:15	HIPAA 210:11	282:5	IC-12 65:7 302:16	IC-8 64:25
hear 80:14 84:12	hire 97:16 99:9	hoping 306:11	304:7 311:18	IC-9 65:3
88:14 154:14	hired 174:3,8,23	328:18 350:9	IC-13 65:8	idea 161:22 209:10
156:22 166:10	177:23 180:24	hour 73:5 151:20	IC-14 65:9 295:9	210:11
167:7 196:7 197:8	Histogram 68:13	152:23 186:24	IC-15 65:10	identified 81:5,6
			IC-16 65:12	
	-	-	-	-

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 490 010
129:25 243:13	inappropriate	increases 90:23	industry 98:5	instructors 159:20
identify 80:25	81:25	increasing 264:6	106:24 148:14	insufficient 335:18
189:12	inaugural 94:21	incrementally	inefficient 195:23	insulation 164:21
ignore 138:6	incentive 189:16	257:5	influenced 95:3	insurance 203:5,8
143:24 227:17	incentives 264:2	incurred 200:3	influences 278:8,17	203:18 204:2,3,16
ignores 228:1	incident 65:16	201:1 202:18	information 67:15	205:11,16,19,24
ignoring 149:10	71:18 73:19 76:13	257:22	81:13 82:7 88:2	206:6 207:6
III 55:13	includable 203:5	independent 87:25	104:25 129:17,22	272:22 273:1,18
ill 112:6 113:25	include 86:2,7	171:6 178:1,4,15	163:13 177:19	intended 231:17
	93:11 127:5 142:9			
illness 123:25		349:5	202:25 213:12	233:16
imagine 188:4	239:25,25 271:24	independently	216:25 245:23	interactive 163:10
286:9	304:1 309:18	295:16 327:16	247:9 250:15	interchange 321:14
immediately	312:9 322:4	INDEX 58:1 59:1	251:9 286:2,22,24	interest 117:10
241:12 268:19	324:13	60:1 61:1 62:1	287:3,9 288:10	interested 165:13
355:11	included 81:6	63:1 64:1 65:1	290:14 291:13,18	178:22
impact 182:8	184:2 205:14	66:1 67:1 68:1	295:16,21 334:8	interfere 164:13
264:19,19 327:10	223:12 239:10	69:1 70:1 71:1	informed 151:2	interject 155:11
impacting 118:7	262:6 263:7	72:1 73:1 74:1	informing 130:2	240:13,17 241:9
172:23	291:22 312:16	75:1 77:1 78:1	infraction 131:5	internal 68:10
impacts 245:10	313:1 329:4 341:4	indicate 292:1	infrequent 138:7	89:14,17 116:16
impermissible 83:1	includes 272:6,9,13	indicated 95:14	initial 105:6 112:13	116:18 152:16
impermissibly	312:6	96:24 338:15	168:10,22 184:12	219:7 308:1
218:12	including 243:7	indicates 301:22	184:24	348:25
implement 130:17	258:3 310:5,7	indication 289:23	Initially 163:23	internally 189:11
257:23 259:10	329:3	indirectly 90:14	initials 159:12,22	interpretation
implicate 169:17	income 64:19 65:23	individual 85:14	Inland 64:13	131:21 140:19
implicates 183:18	73:7,18 86:17	106:11 107:1,7	input 351:18	188:6
implication 316:4	93:18 188:25	115:13 164:21	inputs 173:3	interrupt 247:15
imply 316:1	193:16 206:23	182:9 188:24	236:22	interrupted 256:7
import 93:24	207:25 209:3	218:19 219:11	inquire 196:6,13	intrinsically 333:23
295:22	226:13 229:15	227:10 245:13	205:10,15,18,23	introduce 96:5
importance 91:14	264:7 291:20	246:23 261:22	206:9	167:3 197:23
92:5	incomes 290:23	262:1,2 264:4,9	inquiring 206:6	216:8 282:18
important 104:8	inconsistent 225:23	266:16 267:5,11	210:10	introduced 271:1
126:15 146:9	226:9	267:21,23 318:3	inquiry 210:12	302:15
147:9,10,12 171:5	incorporates 209:2	318:11 333:4,12	inside 114:13	introducing 242:1
171:10 208:21	incorrect 226:5	333:20	insight 257:22	268:14
257:23 310:17	237:24 316:5	individually 80:20	Inslee 72:3 75:5	invested 116:2
311:8	increase 88:7 91:16	172:18	instance 153:10	investigating
imposed 89:18	91:17,21,23 92:3	individuals 97:11	instances 129:25	161:10
impossible 223:21	164:7 177:25	180:15 253:20	218:8 223:20	Investigative 65:17
impressed 198:2	248:21 249:9,10	265:4	institute 118:6	65:18
impression 183:22	255:17,18,21	indulging 274:17	instituted 257:15	investigator 181:21
264:18	264:4	industries 85:6	instructions 347:12	investment 89:6
inaccurate 172:20	increased 245:25	90:3 93:25	347:18,20,21	invited 116:19
maccurate 172:20	mereaseu 243:23	70.3 73.23	347.10,20,21	mvneu 110.19
	l	l	l	l
been set of the set of				

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

You created this PDF from an application that is not licensed to print to novaPDF printer (http://www.novapdf.com)

				1
invoice 62:16,17,18	122:7 153:12	JJN-4 274:20	353:8,19,23,23	JR-29r 72:6
62:19,20,21,22,23	208:1 321:8	JJN-4X 67:23	354:3,14	JR-2r 70:23
62:24,25 63:3	item 236:23 243:19	274:21 275:18	jobs 98:6,10 99:5	JR-30r 72:7
69:22 77:23,24	244:2 273:12,16	JN-04 328:19	104:14 118:2	JR-31r 72:8
78:3 219:18	304:4 305:15	JN-10 231:24	144:11,19 152:21	JR-32r 72:9
222:11 227:1,2	307:16	JN-10X 63:18	157:16 158:16	JR-3r 70:24
236:24,24 238:4	items 89:20 200:1	JN-11X 63:19	163:12 175:3	JR-4r 71:3
239:25 251:18	200:25 202:17	JN-12X 63:21 81:2	218:23 237:22	JR-5r 71:4
263:14,15	223:12 305:25	JN-1T 63:7 239:6	337:5,6 340:19	JR-6r 71:5
invoiced 257:25	321:12	JN-2 63:8	345:16	JR-7r 71:6
invoices 63:4 64:15	Ivan 59:13 64:17	JN-3 63:9	John 70:12	JR-8r 71:7
222:8 235:19,20	102:22 103:19	JN-4 63:10 217:22	join 79:13 83:23	JR-9r 71:8
235:22,23,25	295:15 297:12,20	220:3	279:16 355:15	JRD/HAR 160:16
236:10,12,17,21	Ivan's 141:17,18	JN-5 63:12	joined 83:20	JTC 71:19
237:1,3,6,7,14,14		JN-6T 63:13	joining 79:9	Juan 211:16 256:23
237:15,19 238:3,5	J	227:23 228:5	Joint 64:23 68:7,9	judge 79:6,10 80:1
238:11 239:14,20	J 59:13 67:19	231:7	74:3,22,23	80:7,11,19,25
239:24,25 251:4,4	168:16 297:12	JN-7 63:14 258:25	Jordan 70:22	82:3,16 83:7,10
251:7,14,15 255:7	J-a-r-r-e-t-t-s-v-i	JN-8Xr 63:15	Jose 65:10	83:12 84:1,8,11
260:22	284:11	JN-9X 63:17	jot 249:18 250:7	88:12,17 90:25
invoicing 238:14	James 59:8 270:2	job 65:9 98:16	journey 91:9	91:1 92:12 95:12
involve 111:6	270:11 297:20	101:8 102:4 106:8	JR 59:13 297:12	95:19,25 96:4,24
311:23	Jan 76:3 219:23	106:17,22 107:25	JR-10r 71:9	103:20 105:11,16
involved 85:2 89:13	January 61:11 68:9	108:4,19 109:8,16	JR-11r 71:10	103:20 103:11,10
89:20 110:18	71:21 132:11,23	109:22,25 110:1,5	JR-12r 71:11	112:23 113:19
111:3,7 183:25	Japan 169:25	112:8 114:2,8,10	JR-12 71:12	119:24 132:1
235:5,8 252:5	Jarrettsville 284:7	114:23 118:10,25	JR-14r 71:13	136:10,16,21,25
259:25 261:20	284:11	119:5 120:3,5,14	JR-15 r 71:14	142:25 143:4,9,13
262:11	JAY 56:9	120:23,25 121:1	JR-16 127:23	143:16 150:12
involvement 213:6	JCR-10X 70:21	120:23,23 121:1 121:11,24 123:5,7	128:10	153:16,21 154:6,8
213:7	JCR-1Tr2 70:12	123:8,12,14	JR-16r 71:15	154:12 155:16
involving 304:11	JCR-2r 70:13	144:13,14,15	127:14	156:9,17 162:19
irregular 265:7,8	JCR-3r 70:14	146:18 149:1,5,17	JR-17r 71:16	164:25 165:3,7,8
irrelevant 224:24	JCR-4T 70:15	149:19 151:13	JR-18r 71:17	165:16,18,25
irrespective 194:9	JCR-5 70:16	152:19 153:13	JR-19r 71:18	166:3,6,8,11,13
issue 82:1 87:1 95:3	JCR-6 70:17	189:1,20 193:25	JR-1Tr 70:22	166:17,23 167:2,7
107:14,17 180:20	JCR-7X 70:18	223:23 229:5,5	JR-20r 71:19	167:14,16,20
181:25 193:23	JCR-8X 70:19	237:25 238:8,10	JR-21r 71:20	169:1 178:6 180:6
256:10	JCR-9X 70:20	253:19,19 265:15	JR-22r 71:21	187:9,11 189:11
issued 66:7 132:11	Jeremy 59:8 67:19	267:1,19 290:12	JR-23r 71:22	190:19,24 192:20
132:22	270:2,11	309:23 317:1	JR-24r 71:23	194:15,18,20,23
issues 85:24 86:13	Jessica 58:16 63:7	332:15 338:24	JR-25 r 71:24	194:13,10,20,23
87:2,9,14,16	215:5 216:10,20	351:20 352:2,3,7	JR-26r 72:3	190:7,10,10 197:2
101:1 106:21	JJN-1T 67:19	352:8,10,13,14,17	JR-20 72:3 JR-27 72:4	197:3,12,10,22
107:7,9 113:17,18	JJN-2X 67:20	352:22,23,23	JR-28r 72:5	203:19,20,24
10,,, 113.17,10	JJN-3X 67:22			200.17,20,21
	I	I	I	l

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				ÿ
208:16,22 209:25	168:14	127:16,16 129:5	318:10,17,19	273:25 289:21
210:2,17,21	Ka-wa-ja 168:14	130:1 132:9 133:2	knowledge 132:3	340:5
211:19 212:19	KAL 159:12	135:24 136:13	133:17 205:17	leaves 118:13 320:8
213:18,23 214:13	Kastner 56:14	139:2 142:21	218:17 219:17,21	left 318:22 340:24
214:18,20 215:3,9	keep 129:10 240:22	144:24 149:7,8,9	224:1 226:12,17	legal 69:5,8 81:9,11
215:11,15,20	247:18 324:24	151:14,15 152:2	226:22 234:7	81:25 96:16
217:5,6,14 220:1	keeping 104:16	152:15,24 153:1	250:25 252:11	131:21 168:9
220:5 226:10	332:17,20	153:24 155:7	262:1 356:10	226:3 270:10,12
229:20 230:23	Kent 216:22	156:22 158:4,15	known 154:9	273:5,6,14 297:19
233:21 234:9,13	Kermode 68:3	160:7 161:21,24	220:20	legally 226:5
234:23 235:11	92:22 93:14	162:25 164:6,15	knows 267:1,9,10	317:13 319:13
236:5 239:2 240:6	key 85:24 86:4,13	175:16 177:6	Kogo 77:23	legislative 162:2
240:9,11,24 241:6	86:24,25 87:1	180:14 187:4,6,9		legislature 84:22
241:11,15,18,24	88:8 292:16 293:4	195:21 200:13		94:6
249:21,21 250:2,9	293:9 294:9	206:3,14 207:3,17	labeled 89:8 200:8	length 236:18
255:10 259:18	Khawaja 58:8 64:3	209:7 225:9,14	220:24 221:8	lengthy 196:14
260:2 265:22	165:4,5 166:13,25	226:4 228:17,20	labeling 207:23	lesser 276:12,24
269:3,7,10,14,20	167:23 168:1,8,14	231:10 236:18	labels 208:3	let's 79:6,22 83:22
269:23 278:25	168:21 169:5	238:4 245:9,12	labor 86:15 88:2	127:13,13 131:13
279:3,7,19,20,23	178:12 180:13	246:4 248:10,16	89:5 170:1	132:13 140:21
280:3,8,17,20,24	190:23 191:6	248:25 251:6,11	lack 118:7 160:7	143:16 151:15
281:11,24 282:3,8	193:3,9 196:2,19	252:7,8 253:11	161:23	175:24 181:16
282:13,17 283:12	196:23 197:3,3	254:25 256:17	ladder 65:16 77:18	198:7 203:17
283:15,18 284:20	329:9 330:10	257:6,17,20,21,25	Lake 291:20 292:9	204:1 238:6 251:2
284:24 294:15,20	331:18,21 332:6	258:10,16 261:12	laptop 129:4 166:1	275:22 279:23
294:22,25 296:13	351:5,6 353:13	261:19,21 263:8	350:9	282:8 304:6
296:18,25 297:3	Khawaja's 330:2,5	263:18 264:9	large 64:15 97:14	306:23 313:4
297:10 300:18	kind 152:16 153:5	265:17 266:7,12	117:2 158:7	325:8,9 332:6
334:18 335:4,7,25	157:23 158:20	267:5,10,15,23,24	161:11 237:9	335:11 344:10
336:2 350:13,17	198:5 226:16	268:11,17,22	largest 161:12	351:14,17
350:21 354:22	248:16 268:4,5	273:10 275:9,10	LaRue 68:22 93:2	letter 61:12 66:3
355:2,8,10	kinds 152:25	278:17 281:3	93:16 200:23	74:11 75:5,17
judges 55:11 56:2	KITSAP 356:4	283:5,7,25 289:18	201:24 202:3 LaRue's 202:15	77:19 103:8
79:11 88:20 92:15	KLA 159:12	291:22 292:10	last-in/first-out	140:13
108:5 165:14	knew 112:7 114:1	294:1 295:10		letters 71:9 239:12
198:3	know 84:21 101:19	306:12 307:12	98:12,14	level 120:24 177:19
July 65:8 66:9	102:15 106:19,22	311:11 321:16	lasting 94:25 late 214:9 339:23	184:3 258:11
71:16 178:19	107:14,23 108:3	330:13 332:5	346:19	262:5 268:16
291:11,11 352:11	109:15 114:19,22	338:11,21,25,25	law 55:11 56:2	302:12,14 304:16
June 229:16	114:24 115:3,4,12	342:17 343:13	79:11 89:18	305:7,19,20,23,24
Junior 297:20	115:17 116:20	345:9 348:9 350:1	219:15 316:9	306:5 326:20
jurisdictions 287:7	117:25 119:9,10	350:22 351:12,15	Laws 75:13	levels 229:15
justifies 302:8	121:25 122:9	352:19,24 353:3	lay 217:21	liabilities 63:12
K	123:11 125:1,13	knowingly 111:24	Leachman 67:18	87:6,7,18,20
K-h-a-w-a-j-a	125:14,16 126:1,1	112:1 129:16,21	leave 124:1 209:23	liability 87:12
12-11-a-w-a-j-a			10010127.1207.23	
L				

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 uge 6/ 6
92:24 93:12	288:2,13,14	lives 168:16	looking 88:2 99:21	LS-5X 64:15
164:21 228:14	307:16 315:9	Living 70:5	103:23 108:11,24	LS-6X 64:16
243:16,16 244:1	330:20,20 331:11	LLC 251:24	113:4 125:8,9	lucky 291:12
liberty 344:18	lines 107:19 138:11	loaded 102:25	129:5 142:12	lucrative 98:1
license 120:24	157:10 172:15	local 67:4,5 275:20	150:20 159:10	99:12
221:5 304:10	231:14 285:21	278:20	176:19 183:15	lunch 213:24
312:4	286:5 290:19	located 254:16	209:17 220:4,24	214:14
licensed 73:11	309:2,21,21	location 158:16	209.17 220.4,24 221:8 232:11	luncheon 214:23
86:10 90:8 97:8	322:13 331:10	253:19,19 254:13	238:17 246:14,22	luxury 172:4
97:11 98:15		locations 138:4	247:2,7,7 248:9	•
	link 281:12,17,21 355:15	261:8	, ,	Lyft 63:6
104:10 177:25			267:24,25 268:1	M
183:18 218:15	linkage 229:3	logistics 264:16	271:4,18,18	M 58:8 64:3 68:22
337:17 344:4	linked 267:4,12	Lombard 270:14	275:16 288:5	77:10 168:1,10,10
licensees 221:4	liquidated 100:3	long 74:17 84:21	296:20 300:14	ma'am 281:9
Licenses 71:13	list 68:19 71:10,11	116:22 161:9	307:22 309:15,17	ma ani 281.9 macroeconomic
73:11	80:13 83:16 95:14	214:3,14 240:19	309:18 322:10,11	
licensing 219:7	100:18 103:1	280:6,12 324:17	335:15 336:12	169:9 174:22 magic 87:18
299:6	111:2 118:17	348:23 353:8	344:18 346:4	0
licensure 94:9	130:7,10,11	longer 136:13	looks 113:5 162:2	magnitude 258:10
lieu 135:19 205:2	133:21 144:14	240:19 251:2	214:6 237:24	main 176:8 277:10
life 117:4 119:8,10	153:18 312:3,5,6	Lonian 68:20	241:15 244:23	293:7 346:11
183:10 211:7,11	312:9,14,16 314:5	look 99:25 108:17	271:11 285:24	maintain 157:15
211:13 212:1,7,9	337:12 338:17	109:3 124:8	354:2	maintains 156:10
212:12,14,15	listed 107:6 162:14	127:13,16 131:11	lose 230:15	maintenance
light 110:20	286:10 290:16	132:20 139:12	loss 117:3	245:14 256:22
lighting 153:9	305:25 342:22	157:9 163:23	lot 123:11,11	maintenances
limit 210:12 318:11	343:10,10 346:24	164:3 172:13	125:16,17,18,18	256:19
limited 90:4,12,23	listen 247:7	175:24 176:2	152:20,20 157:7	major 89:20 127:5
124:6,8,17,18	listening 343:16	178:23 209:13	157:10 161:19	245:14,18 256:18
172:5	lists 70:24 74:7	220:25 226:14	185:2 200:22	256:19 315:12
limits 124:22	127:19,23,24	237:23 238:21	230:3 251:13	337:11 340:23
Linda 59:3 64:10	little 85:23 87:21	244:22 245:11	258:18 264:16	341:1,5,23 345:5
213:11 240:12	134:1 169:22	258:6,11,12 259:6	265:12,18 274:25	making 101:16
242:4,14	174:7 175:22	281:21 288:13	317:9 334:8 340:4	102:11 160:6,10
line 79:20 82:14,22	187:9 189:23	292:12 309:21	Louisiana 291:9,10	169:13,17 203:11
82:25 83:3 103:23	208:19 209:8	311:17 315:15	love 191:2	252:15,19,21
104:20 113:16	236:14 237:10	325:9 333:7	low 152:23 172:21	manage 89:16
132:17,20 137:25	244:22 266:3	339:16 351:19	339:11	100:22 121:25
147:21 154:13	273:19,23 275:17	352:7 354:24	lower 319:25	157:25 158:12
175:24 176:3	275:22 295:2	355:3	lowest 296:7	manageable 139:1
183:17 209:18,19	304:22 306:19	looked 129:6	LS-1T 64:10	management 57:9
227:24 228:11	313:19,19,22	251:23,25 259:6	242:18 244:14	65:14 77:13 80:8
236:23 244:2,15	336:14 339:19	260:20 268:23	LS-2 64:11	80:10 89:21 91:8
272:13,22 273:12	354:4	306:19 309:25	LS-3 64:12	100:18 101:1
273:16 287:19	live 117:1	339:17	LS 5 6 1:12 LS-4X 64:13	104:4,7 105:24
2/3/10/20//17		557.11		106:5 113:18
	I	I	I	l

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

157:6 206:11 243:6,7206:25 207:9,15 223:24 225:23331:23 344:3 meant 185:11 233:5 254:18116:6,13,16,19,23 117:5,8,9,13,15179:20 memory 99:20manages 89:19,25 105:8226:9,20 226:9,20233:5 254:18 233:5 254:18126:12 127:5,8 105:4memton 184:16 245:11managing 157:17 158:4207:21 maximzing 189:21measure 118:6 measurent130:11 146:8 160:3,6,12 298:22245:11 mentioned 115:22mandatorily 148:7 148:9maximum 317:12 317:18 318:23measurement measurement160:3,6,12 298:22 301:5,10,13,15,25194:5 254:15 268:12mandatory 134:4 348:21319:11,22118:5 295:18,20 296:11302:3,8 303:1,13 305:21method 171:12 207:21348:21 348:21McAULIFFE 15:25 356:6,16 115:16 123:10203:5,8,18 204:2 203:5,8,18 204:2307:10 308:1,3,21 309:10 310:2,5,11methodlogy 66:20 86:2 87:24 93:8Manual 74:3 Map 60:6,7 Map 60:6,7125:8,24 127:3 137:15,19 139:2206:2,6 207:1,6 206:2,6 207:1,6312:6,7 317:7 312:6,7 317:7180:22 181:19 182:1 184:13MarkAD 212:4,5140:24 144:7 140:14 144:7207:11,12,16 200:210.12310:24 337:10 320:24 337:10182:1 184:13
243:6,7223:24 225:23meant 185:11117:5,8,9,13,15memory 99:20manages 89:19,25226:9,20233:5 254:18126:12 127:5,8mention 184:16105:8matters 79:21223:2 224:2150:4 159:19mentioned 115:22158:4maximizing 189:21measurement160:3,6,12 298:22194:5 254:15mandatorily 148:7maximum 317:12288:19299:11,18 300:24266:6,7,18 268:8148:9317:18 318:23measurements301:5,10,13,15,25268:12mandatory 134:4319:11,22118:5 295:18,20302:3,8 303:1,13met 90:18146:3 316:8McAULIFFE296:1305:4,24 306:1,3211:10 277:16manned-modelmean 75:24 100:13medical 127:5306:7 307:4,8,9,9method 171:12145:16115:16 123:10203:5,8,18 204:2307:10 308:1,3,2186:2 87:24 93:8Manual 74:3125:8,24 127:3204:3,5,7,24309:10 310:2,5,11170:5 179:11,14Map 60:6,7137:15,19 139:2206:2,6 207:1,6312:6,7 317:7180:22 181:19MARAD 212:4,5140:24 144:7207:11,12,16320:24 337:10182:1 184:13
manages 89:19,25226:9,20233:5 254:18126:12 127:5,8mention 184:16105:8matters 79:21223:2 224:2150:4 159:19mentioned 115:22158:4maximizing 189:21measurement160:3,6,12 298:22194:5 254:15mandatorily 148:7maximum 317:12288:19299:11,18 300:24266:6,7,18 268:8148:9317:18 318:23measurements301:5,10,13,15,25268:12mandatory 134:4319:11,22118:5 295:18,20302:3,8 303:1,13method 171:12348:2155:25 356:6,16measuring 305:21305:4,24 306:1,3211:10 277:16manued-modelmean 75:24 100:13medical 127:5306:7 307:4,8,9,986:2 87:24 93:8145:16115:16 123:10203:5,8,18 204:2307:10 308:1,3,2186:2 87:24 93:8Manual 74:3125:8,24 127:3204:3,5,7,24309:10 310:2,5,11170:5 179:11,14Map 60:6,7137:15,19 139:2206:2,6 207:1,6312:6,7 317:7180:22 181:19MARAD 212:4,5140:24 144:7207:11,12,16320:24 337:10182:1 184:13
105:8matters 79:21measure 118:6130:11 146:8245:11managing 157:17207:21223:2 224:2150:4 159:19mentioned 115:22158:4maximizing 189:21measurement160:3,6,12 298:22194:5 254:15mandatorily 148:7maximum 317:12288:19299:11,18 300:24266:6,7,18 268:8148:9317:18 318:23measurements301:5,10,13,15,25268:12mandatory 134:4319:11,22118:5 295:18,20302:3,8 303:1,13met 90:18146:3 316:8McAULIFFE296:1303:21 304:2,18method 171:12348:2155:25 356:6,16measuring 305:21306:7 307:4,8,9,9methodology 66:20manned-modelmean 75:24 100:13medical 127:5306:7 307:4,8,9,9methodology 66:20Manual 74:3125:8,24 127:3204:3,5,7,24309:10 310:2,5,11170:5 179:11,14Manuscript 74:4131:25 132:3205:11,16,19,23310:24 311:14179:16,25 180:19Map 60:6,7137:15,19 139:2206:2,6 207:1,6312:6,7 317:7180:22 181:19MARAD 212:4,5140:24 144:7207:11,12,16320:24 337:10182:1 184:13
managing 157:17207:21223:2 224:2150:4 159:19mentioned 115:22158:4maximizing 189:21measurement160:3,6,12 298:22194:5 254:15mandatorily 148:7maximum 317:12288:19299:11,18 300:24266:6,7,18 268:8148:9317:18 318:23measurements301:5,10,13,15,25268:12mandatory 134:4319:11,22118:5 295:18,20302:3,8 303:1,13met 90:18146:3 316:8McAULIFFE296:1303:21 304:2,18method 171:12348:2155:25 356:6,16measuring 305:21305:4,24 306:1,3211:10 277:16manned-modelmean 75:24 100:13medical 127:5306:7 307:4,8,9,9methodology 66:20145:16115:16 123:10203:5,8,18 204:2307:10 308:1,3,2186:2 87:24 93:8Manual 74:3125:8,24 127:3204:3,5,7,24309:10 310:2,5,11170:5 179:11,14Map 60:6,7137:15,19 139:2206:2,6 207:1,6312:6,7 317:7180:22 181:19MARAD 212:4,5140:24 144:7207:11,12,16320:24 337:10182:1 184:13
158:4 mandatorily 148:7 148:9maximizing 189:21 maximum 317:12measurement 288:19160:3,6,12 298:22 299:11,18 300:24194:5 254:15 266:6,7,18 268:8148:9 mandatory 134:4317:18 318:23 319:11,22measurements 118:5 295:18,20301:5,10,13,15,25 302:3,8 303:1,13266:6,7,18 268:8 266:1146:3 316:8 348:21McAULIFFE 55:25 356:6,16296:1 measuring 305:21302:3,8 303:1,13 305:4,24 306:1,3method 171:12 211:10 277:16145:16 manned-model 145:16115:16 123:10 115:16 123:10203:5,8,18 204:2 203:5,8,18 204:2307:10 308:1,3,21 309:10 310:2,5,1186:2 87:24 93:8 100:13 10:2,5,11Manuscript 74:4 Map 60:6,7137:15,19 139:2 140:24 144:7207:11,12,16312:6,7 317:7 320:24 337:10182:1 184:13
mandatorily 148:7 148:9maximum 317:12 317:18 318:23288:19 measurements299:11,18 300:24 301:5,10,13,15,25266:6,7,18 268:8 268:12mandatory 134:4 146:3 316:8319:11,22118:5 295:18,20 296:1302:3,8 303:1,13 303:21 304:2,18met 90:18 method 171:12348:21 348:21McAULIFFE 55:25 356:6,16296:1 measuring 305:21303:21 304:2,18 305:4,24 306:1,3method 171:12 211:10 277:16manned-model 145:16mean 75:24 100:13 115:16 123:10medical 127:5 203:5,8,18 204:2306:7 307:4,8,9,9 307:10 308:1,3,21methodology 66:20 86:2 87:24 93:8Manual 74:3 Map 60:6,7 MARAD 212:4,5125:8,24 127:3 140:24 144:7206:2,6 207:1,6 207:11,12,16310:24 311:14 3120:24 337:10180:22 181:19 182:1 184:13
148:9317:18 318:23measurements301:5,10,13,15,25268:12mandatory 134:4319:11,22118:5 295:18,20302:3,8 303:1,13met 90:18146:3 316:8McAULIFFE296:1303:21 304:2,18method 171:12348:2155:25 356:6,16measuring 305:21305:4,24 306:1,3211:10 277:16manned-modelmean 75:24 100:13medical 127:5306:7 307:4,8,9,9methodology 66:20145:16115:16 123:10203:5,8,18 204:2307:10 308:1,3,2186:2 87:24 93:8Manual 74:3125:8,24 127:3204:3,5,7,24309:10 310:2,5,11170:5 179:11,14Manuscript 74:4131:25 132:3205:11,16,19,23310:24 311:14179:16,25 180:19Map 60:6,7137:15,19 139:2206:2,6 207:1,6312:6,7 317:7180:22 181:19MARAD 212:4,5140:24 144:7207:11,12,16320:24 337:10182:1 184:13
mandatory 134:4319:11,22118:5 295:18,20302:3,8 303:1,13met 90:18146:3 316:8McAULIFFE296:1303:21 304:2,18method 171:12348:2155:25 356:6,16measuring 305:21305:4,24 306:1,3211:10 277:16manned-modelmean 75:24 100:13medical 127:5306:7 307:4,8,9,9methodology 66:20145:16115:16 123:10203:5,8,18 204:2307:10 308:1,3,2186:2 87:24 93:8Manual 74:3125:8,24 127:3204:3,5,7,24309:10 310:2,5,11170:5 179:11,14Manuscript 74:4131:25 132:3205:11,16,19,23310:24 311:14179:16,25 180:19Map 60:6,7137:15,19 139:2206:2,6 207:1,6312:6,7 317:7180:22 181:19MARAD 212:4,5140:24 144:7207:11,12,16320:24 337:10182:1 184:13
146:3 316:8 348:21McAULIFFE 55:25 356:6,16296:1 measuring 305:21303:21 304:2,18 305:4,24 306:1,3method 171:12 211:10 277:16manned-model 145:16mean 75:24 100:13 115:16 123:10medical 127:5 203:5,8,18 204:2306:7 307:4,8,9,9 307:10 308:1,3,21methodology 66:20 86:2 87:24 93:8Manual 74:3 Manuscript 74:4125:8,24 127:3 131:25 132:3204:3,5,7,24 205:11,16,19,23309:10 310:2,5,11 310:24 311:14170:5 179:11,14 179:16,25 180:19Map 60:6,7 MARAD 212:4,5140:24 144:7207:11,12,16312:6,7 317:7 320:24 337:10180:22 181:19 182:1 184:13
348:2155:25 356:6,16measuring 305:21305:4,24 306:1,3211:10 277:16manned-modelmean 75:24 100:13medical 127:5306:7 307:4,8,9,9methodology 66:20145:16115:16 123:10203:5,8,18 204:2307:10 308:1,3,2186:2 87:24 93:8Manual 74:3125:8,24 127:3204:3,5,7,24309:10 310:2,5,11170:5 179:11,14Manuscript 74:4131:25 132:3205:11,16,19,23310:24 311:14179:16,25 180:19Map 60:6,7137:15,19 139:2206:2,6 207:1,6312:6,7 317:7180:22 181:19MARAD 212:4,5140:24 144:7207:11,12,16320:24 337:10182:1 184:13
manned-model 145:16mean 75:24 100:13 115:16 123:10medical 127:5 203:5,8,18 204:2306:7 307:4,8,9,9 307:10 308:1,3,21methodology 66:20 86:2 87:24 93:8Manual 74:3125:8,24 127:3 125:8,24 127:3204:3,5,7,24 205:11,16,19,23309:10 310:2,5,11 310:24 311:14170:5 179:11,14 179:16,25 180:19Map 60:6,7137:15,19 139:2 140:24 144:7206:2,6 207:1,6 207:11,12,16312:6,7 317:7 320:24 337:10180:22 181:19 182:1 184:13
145:16115:16 123:10203:5,8,18 204:2307:10 308:1,3,2186:2 87:24 93:8Manual 74:3125:8,24 127:3204:3,5,7,24309:10 310:2,5,11170:5 179:11,14Manuscript 74:4131:25 132:3205:11,16,19,23310:24 311:14179:16,25 180:19Map 60:6,7137:15,19 139:2206:2,6 207:1,6312:6,7 317:7180:22 181:19MARAD 212:4,5140:24 144:7207:11,12,16320:24 337:10182:1 184:13
Manuscript 74:4131:25 132:3205:11,16,19,23310:24 311:14179:16,25 180:19Map 60:6,7137:15,19 139:2206:2,6 207:1,6312:6,7 317:7180:22 181:19MARAD 212:4,5140:24 144:7207:11,12,16320:24 337:10182:1 184:13
Manuscript 74:4131:25 132:3205:11,16,19,23310:24 311:14179:16,25 180:19Map 60:6,7137:15,19 139:2206:2,6 207:1,6312:6,7 317:7180:22 181:19MARAD 212:4,5140:24 144:7207:11,12,16320:24 337:10182:1 184:13
MARAD 212:4,5 140:24 144:7 207:11,12,16 320:24 337:10 182:1 184:13
MARAD 212:4,5 140:24 144:7 207:11,12,16 320:24 337:10 182:1 184:13
March 63:16 65:3 151:24 152:13 208:2 210:13 342:20 343:25 189:14 329:10,17
159:10,21 160:14 159:8,10 161:25 272:22 273:1,18 345:7 346:13,23 methods 89:1 261:7
marine 70:3 76:13 164:19 175:12 315:12 337:11 346:25 348:21 261:10 265:13
79:18 94:7 211:9 177:11 179:6 340:23 341:1,5,23 349:1 277:7
211:9 256:20 193:25 194:25 345:5 Megaships 75:22 metric 177:6
312:6 222:14 226:22 meet 335:18 member 68:19 212:15
maritime 66:7 88:3 246:22 249:16 meeting 61:15,16 109:17 119:7 metrics 118:5
91:24 94:5,7,21 250:4,5,8 255:24 61:17 66:6,9 71:8 122:24 124:5 181:10
116:21 148:14 289:10 291:4 71:16,17,21,22 131:1 135:17 Michael 55:12 56:3
228:4 272:5 294:3 305:10 75:7 102:16,16 150:25 155:6 72:11 79:10
mark 280:11 306:20 309:12 116:9,18 117:16 223:4 224:4 Michelle 57:3 80:5
Marketshare 74:10 310:10 316:16,19 122:3 125:4 126:6 251:20 253:2,5,6 michelle.delappe
Maryland 284:8,12 321:10 323:7,21 130:14 135:2 315:11 326:10 57:6
284:12 324:6,6 327:23,23 140:5,7 142:5,18 member's 131:4,15 microphone 91:2
Master 60:5 71:20 327:24 331:3 144:18,21 159:17 135:19 167:16,19 197:9
match 104:18 332:24 333:2 160:1 290:11 members 90:14 203:21
mate 125:12,15,17 335:2 336:17 298:10,16,20,25 97:12 205:20,25 mid-afternoon
material 243:13,23 338:20 341:3 299:3,5,8 301:22 206:1,7,9 207:2,8 279:22
materials 328:14 344:8 347:17 304:14 306:25 207:13 252:8,16 midnight 340:7
math 249:18,20 349:11 350:2,6 307:1,2,7,14,16 308:1 333:19 midst 268:13
286:16 313:19 352:24,25 353:23 310:8,14 311:6 membership 77:19 migrate 164:2
319:5,6 341:5 meaning 175:19 313:24 316:22 306:25 307:10 mileage 263:18
344:8 177:2 188:7,8 346:16 347:2,14 333:6,18 million 248:22,24
mathematically 289:6 322:5 347:19 348:1,4,14 memo 72:4 74:17 257:10 273:19
352:25 means 118:15 348:16,18 349:7 77:13 180:14,15 mind 99:21 113:1
matter 79:13 130:12 224:7 349:10,17,24 181:8 114:14 129:11
109:24 110:3279:14 286:9355:11,15memorandum214:13
112:12 116:25 289:1 322:5 meetings 115:24 60:21 61:8,10 mindful 214:5

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	1	1	1	
mine 157:4,10	MM-100X 77:18	MM-46 75:5	MM-87X 77:4	Monique 57:9
283:24 285:24	MM-101X 77:19	MM-47 75:7	MM-88X 77:5	77:20 80:9
minimized 192:13	MM-10r 72:21	MM-48 75:8	MM-89X 77:6	monique@pacifi
miniscule 261:3	MM-11r 72:23	MM-49 75:9	MM-8r 72:19	57:11
minor 164:6	MM-12r 72:25	MM-4r 72:14	MM-90X 77:7	monitor 104:13
Minus 341:7	MM-13r 73:3	MM-50 75:11	MM-91X 77:8	monitors 106:6
minuscule 222:7	MM-14r 73:4	MM-51 75:12	MM-92X 77:9	monopoly 97:23
minute 113:6 184:3	MM-15r 73:5	MM-52X 75:13	MM-93X 77:10	98:5 99:2,8
200:12 231:8	MM-16r 73:7	MM-53X 75:14	MM-94X 77:11	month 131:5
237:8 281:1	MM-17 246:3,11	MM-54X 75:15	MM-95X 77:12	188:23 227:9
301:12 309:4	249:13 255:15,20	MM-55X 75:16	MM-96X 77:13	265:11
minutes 61:15,16	MM-17r 73:8	MM-56X 75:17	MM-97X 77:15	month-on/month
61:17 64:25 65:3	MM-18r 73:9	MM-57X 75:18	MM-98X 77:16	328:5
65:8 66:6,9 68:16	MM-19r 73:11	MM-58X 75:19	MM-99X 77:17	monthly 71:5,15
68:17,18 71:16,17	MM-1Tr 72:11	MM-59X 75:20	MM-9r 72:20	75:3 127:12,14,19
71:21,22 75:7,18	208:15	MM-5r 72:15	Mm-hmm 114:25	267:3,25 299:12
75:19,20 76:3,16	MM-20r 73:12	MM-60X 75:21	116:3 289:3	months 229:15
84:13 136:11,14	MM-21r 73:14	MM-61X 75:22	338:20	258:6 259:12
136:15,18,22	MM-22r 73:15	MM-62X 75:24	mode 264:5	292:22,22
143:9 187:9	MM-23r 73:17	MM-63X 76:2	model 93:3,15	monumental 104:3
208:17 214:1,15	MM-24r 73:18	MM-64X 76:3	169:23,24 170:3,8	Moore 72:11 203:1
214:17,19 228:8	MM-25r 73:19	MM-65X 76:4	170:8 171:11,13	203:4 208:12
243:2 280:4 290:8	MM-26r 73:20	MM-66X 76:5	171:22,25 172:3	209:1 322:14
290:13 335:8	MM-27r 73:22	MM-67X 76:6	172:22 174:4	Moore's 199:11
351:24 353:8	MM-28r 73:23	MM-68X 76:7	175:11 176:9,11	201:18 208:14
mischaracterizat	MM-29r 74:3	MM-69X 76:8	176:12,13,16,19	Moreno 67:6,13
202:4	MM-2r 72:12	MM-6r 72:16	176:23 181:25	250:16
mischaracterized	MM-30r 74:4	MM-70X 76:9	182:2,6,8,16,17	morn 242:11
201:23	MM-31r 74:7	MM-71X 76:10	182:21,24 183:13	morning 79:7,24
misconduct 133:24	MM-32r 74:8	MM-72X 76:11	183:24 184:9,11	80:2 82:4 84:4,6,7
134:5	MM-33r 74:10	MM-73X 76:12	184:19,20,20	84:9,10,17 88:20
misconstruing	MM-34r 74:11	MM-74X 76:13	models 171:5	91:7 92:15 97:5,6
119:22	MM-35r 74:13	MM-75X 76:14	172:17	150:18,19 169:5,6
misrepresent	MM-36r 74:15	MM-76X 76:15	modes 252:13	193:9,10 199:9
129:16,21	MM-37r 74:16	MM-77X 76:16	258:19 266:6	212:25 213:1
misrepresenting	MM-38r 74:17	MM-78X 76:17	modified 231:15	247:16 336:4
332:4	MM-39r 74:19	MM-79X 76:18	moment 79:14	347:9 348:10
missed 122:15	MM-3r 72:13	MM-7r 72:18	120:7 150:1,23	354:5,5,25 355:4
mitigate 161:15	MM-4 247:4	MM-80X 76:19	154:8 203:20	355:13
mitigation 140:9	MM-40r 74:20	MM-81X 76:19	232:22 283:12	motion 81:17,22 motions 83:21
141:23 mix 261:15 292:25	MM-41r 74:22 MM-42T 74:24	MM-82X 76:20	moment's 315:19	
		MM-83X 76:21	Monday 344:20	MOU 66:3,4,13
293:5 294:8	MM-43 74:25	MM-84X 76:22	money 86:14	76:15 77:15
299:14 MM 240:13	MM-44 75:3	MM-85X 76:23	184:17 189:10	MOUs 332:18
MM 249:13	MM-45 75:4	MM-86X 77:3	222:20 327:8	move 72:13,16,20
		l		l

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 age 661
72:23 73:4 74:5	Murray 77:10	Navy 293:15,18,21	neutral 90:21	nontransparent
102:3,5,7 104:7	mushroomed 123:8	near 275:18 293:3	never 121:19	287:2
105:1 118:10	124:21,22 125:2	nearly 172:19	161:23,23 193:23	nonworking 320:9
139:13 145:5	126:4	256:24	293:16	320:19 321:4
147:2 210:3 223:6	mushrooming	necessarily 86:9	new 78:8 150:25	noon 347:6
241:10 250:10	125:19	121:5 154:25	159:12 163:8	Nope 116:7 179:6
256:13 322:23	mushrooms 124:25	155:5 169:16	164:3 212:5,7,10	279:9 296:15
324:9 327:16	mute 167:20	necessary 81:17	221:4 261:18	norm 258:24
337:2 344:5	203:21	158:12 292:4	263:5 265:12	normal 148:14
351:22	muted 88:14	305:15 318:12	268:14 345:25	289:3
moved 219:5 229:3	167:16 296:20	need 104:24 117:21	Newman 251:20	normally 144:2
248:19 344:8,9,10	muting 91:2	119:12 121:1	252:3	264:25 305:18,20
movement 104:22	MW-10 78:9	136:17 183:18	Newsletter 72:19	Norris 58:16 63:7
118:8 265:3	MW-11 78:10	196:18,19 202:5	nice 247:6	215:5,6,22 216:5
298:23,24 304:11	MW-1T 77:20	227:17 228:1,12	Nielsen 59:8 67:19	216:10,17,20
311:23	MW-2 77:21	233:7,9 240:17	241:12 269:11,12	217:3,18 220:8
movements 121:20	MW-3 77:22	303:16 309:4	270:2,9,11,19,23	230:3 231:4 234:1
122:4 126:7	MW-4 77:23	315:16,16,18,21	271:23 273:6	234:11,16 235:3
142:18 238:8,10	MW-5 77:24	316:23 321:18,20	274:9 279:8,10	235:16 239:5
316:17	MW-6 78:3	326:4,7 337:2,2	Nielson 240:20	240:7 259:25
moves 68:13 98:7	MW-7 78:4	339:16 340:8,13	nine 348:15,18	Norris' 328:13,19
127:24 128:4,22	MW-8 78:5	341:15 345:24	ninety-eight 213:9	Norris's 258:25
310:8	MW-9 78:7	346:12 347:16	NOBRA 291:20	North 270:14 284:7
moving 102:17		348:17 355:5	nod 133:3 136:22	284:10
104:16 117:2	N	needed 104:5,6	nods 127:25 128:3	Northwest 72:7
144:24 147:20	name 79:9 96:16	105:25 106:1	noise 231:10	74:8,10,11
224:4 228:4	159:4,21 163:16	138:4 159:17	non 206:20 311:22	nos 209:10
257:19 298:16,20	163:17 168:9,10	163:25 171:9	non- 314:14	notation 301:22
299:2,8 301:15	168:11 175:7	322:22	non-billable 304:8	note 63:9 64:12
308:21 309:10	198:18 216:17,20	needing 136:18	311:22,24	153:19 155:17
310:1,11,13,13	242:13 270:10	needs 118:14 119:9	non-piloting 126:9	156:9 220:11,18
311:7 324:6	283:11 284:1,4	120:4 121:1	non-revenue 302:3	275:18
327:10 331:25	297:19 350:10	154:13 261:22	302:10 303:24	notebook 300:11
333:19 351:22	named 180:15	265:9 278:3	304:5,7 310:14	noted 214:12
multiple 170:12	names 221:3	negative 91:24	311:19 312:3	340:16
171:6 173:17	narrative 196:15	238:4,20 264:19	314:4,11,12	notes 219:24
179:5 181:3	NASA 65:10 176:4	negotiation 157:5	non-subscribing	220:22
185:25 275:11	176:14,18 177:3	neighborhood	205:20,24	notice 66:3 104:23
317:19	178:5,11	257:6	non-working	111:10 135:12,20
multiplied 86:14	nationwide 329:24	nervous 304:23	320:25	315:19
multiply 318:23	native 350:10	net 65:23 73:7,18	noncompete 99:16	noticed 338:10
319:23 320:15	natural 89:3	86:17 93:17	nonessential	notices 290:9
multitude 261:18	naturally 257:8	189:19 193:16	199:16,25 202:14	notified 136:7
multiyear 173:4	nature 122:12	209:3 245:8 249:3	nonexempt 97:14	240:19
181:5,8 183:24	157:12 164:14	264:7 291:20	nonmilitary 352:20	notify 135:9
	navigating 275:20			
	-	•	-	•

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				5
Nov 76:6 77:11	250:7,8 268:1	253:22,25 254:18	95:25 96:4,24	211:19 212:19
November 60:8	275:11 285:6	occur 101:21	100:1,9,13,25	213:15,18,20
71:22 103:3	286:5 289:17	120:18	101:15 102:20	214:18,20 215:8
184:24	311:25 315:3	occurred 119:19	103:4,11 107:20	217:14,14,20,23
number 73:11,11	336:12 351:11,16	202:4 352:11	107:23 108:8,12	219:22 220:16
81:7,19 86:8,9,10	numerous 190:7	occurring 94:22	107:23 100:0,12	222:17 223:2,6,18
86:12,14,22 89:12	329:20	253:13,23	111:9 112:23	223:21 224:7,23
90:10 93:8 103:1	527.20	occurs 123:24	114:22 121:3,10	226:10,12,19
104:6,10 108:15	0	Ocean 75:22	122:6,18 123:2,20	227:13 228:7,9,22
108:25 110:4	o'clock 347:9	October 162:1	126:11,24 128:17	229:20 230:23
117:21 118:2,2	o0o- 79:4	off-duty 189:16	130:25 131:16,17	231:8,9,20,25
123:18 127:23	oath 96:9,20 168:2	227:18 228:3	132:1,13 133:14	232:3 233:15,18
128:21 132:14,17	168:22 198:12	off-going 345:4	133:18,25 134:1	233:21 234:9
133:21 144:22	216:11 242:5	off-the-shelf 163:4	134:10,20 135:11	235:9,11 237:3,13
151:18 163:22,22	270:3,16 282:22	163:7 164:1	135:16 136:9,15	237:18 238:12
172:5 173:13,14	297:13,25	off-watch 111:12	136:21,23 139:5	240:24 241:15
174:1.5.9.13	Obit 77:10	145:20 218:24	139:17 140:15	243:4,18,23 245:3
175:17,24 176:17	object 112:11 178:3	326:17 327:3	141:2 142:14	245:22 246:17,19
176:17,18,25	196:2 259:15	331:24 337:12	143:8,13 144:17	248:4,5,8,17
177:25 185:19	objection 80:21	345:15	146:13 150:10,12	249:14 250:9
188:23 192:11	81:5,9,13,25	offer 91:10 95:21	150:25 153:15,21	256:2 260:2
195:4,5,14 213:9	82:11,13,19 83:9	139:3 190:25	154:6,7,15,24	262:16 263:5
218:15,19,22	105:5 113:13	195:2 197:15	156:2,17 158:8,13	264:2 268:4,4
220:2,17 224:20	119:20 131:20	205:2	158:23 159:3,20	269:24 274:14
227:10 229:3	137:13 178:3	offered 83:13 195:8	160:2,14 161:20	276:17 277:13,19
236:12,13 237:9	198:24 201:22	195:10,16 196:3	162:16 163:19	278:11,16 279:3
238:11 258:17	226:1 241:5	206:2	164:23 166:3,8,9	280:3,8,17,20,24
271:6,16 273:21	242:19 260:3	offers 94:13,13	166:18 167:2,12	282:3 283:16,18
285:9 288:15	273:4 331:5	office 56:20 73:22	169:11 170:17	283:19,21 284:3
289:16 290:16	objections 81:7,11	122:3 123:24	171:15 172:7,14	285:10,11,12
299:11 305:17	82:5,8,25	126:6,11 139:17	173:7 174:21	286:4,13,18
306:10,16 307:21	objective 170:14	139:19 142:10,17	175:1 176:2,12,21	287:14,17 288:2,5
319:25 320:18	objects 80:13 129:5	159:1 255:2	176:22 177:17,21	288:8,13 289:8
330:20 334:10,13	obligated 219:15	290:12	178:21 180:2,8,13	291:1,18 295:2
336:18,20,22	obligations 146:9	OFM 72:4	180:18 181:16,24	296:3,9,12 300:21
338:11,18 339:22	146:21	oftentimes 116:25	182:6,12 183:22	302:3,7,18 303:11
345:12 351:7	observed 218:22	oh 139:16 227:13	184:19,23 185:8	306:7 307:24
numbered 141:16	obtain 346:12	274:9 281:15	185:12 186:15,22	308:12,18,19
309:16	obvious 195:1	294:2 296:9	187:7,19,23 189:5	309:7 310:4
numbering 271:19	obviously 117:15	333:14 334:15	190:2,19 192:9,20	312:12 314:19
numbers 82:11	195:1 277:15	353:13	193:1 194:12,15	315:7,8,11 316:18
112:21 177:18	occasion 267:16	oil 116:24 117:3	194:20 196:12	318:19,20,22
246:13 247:5	268:20	okay 80:1,11,19	197:18,22 199:1,3	319:16,19,22
248:11,21,23	occasional 293:11	82:16,20 83:12,22	203:24 206:22	320:16,20 321:7
249:8,16,18 250:6	occasionally 266:13	88:12 95:12,19,20	209:25 210:17,21	322:11,11 323:20
	occasions 124:17			
	1	•	l I	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

[1 490 000
325:8 326:14	OP-RULES 76:14	181:17 183:4,9	origin 254:9,11	91:8 195:19
329:8 331:1,6	opaque 287:2	184:4,4 188:14	original 102:23	page 58:3 59:2 63:8
332:8 333:14	open 166:1 167:9	189:24 190:12	175:23 184:16	82:10 102:24
334:4 336:7 338:5	274:20 285:14	203:12 211:6,12	191:7 243:19	103:14,15,20,22
341:16 342:2	299:23 334:25	285:10 332:5		
			285:12,15	104:21 108:11,14
344:14 345:1	335:2 350:9,9,24	opportunity 85:9	originally 120:17	108:17,18,23
350:4,4,12,21,24	351:3 355:11	102:2 196:14	originating 254:23	109:3,4,6 112:22
351:1,5,25 352:5	opening 59:18,18	258:12,19 324:22	254:24	113:6 123:18,19
352:7,13 353:16	59:19,19 84:12,14	opposed 207:23	Orleans 163:8	127:15,22 128:11
353:22 354:1,21	84:16 88:18 91:5	212:14 273:3	outcomes 179:10	128:12,15 131:13
355:2	91:6 92:14	optimum 174:13	outlined 86:3	131:13 132:14,14
old 164:3 256:20	operated 211:2	176:16,17	outlines 163:12	132:17,21 133:8
345:24,24	operating 73:8,9	option 120:4	outside 112:12	133:20 137:25
Olympia 56:5,11	108:10,13,15,25	139:19 161:10	119:8 124:21,22	138:9,10 140:22
56:22	109:18 112:4	250:2 264:22,23	125:21 126:4	140:22,22 141:7
omitted 338:13	121:23 130:18,21	264:25 265:2,10	189:23 195:11	150:21,22 170:18
on-duty 65:24	130:23,24 131:3,7	266:15	208:8 264:17	172:13 175:23
112:4 185:24,25	131:9,19,21,23	options 264:15	286:23 321:3	183:15,15 201:11
186:1 192:12	132:6 133:7	266:5	347:25 348:23	202:3 208:25
286:7,8,9 331:15	135:17,25 137:6	order 66:7 69:24	outstanding 239:14	209:18 219:23,25
on-ground 161:17	138:19,24 139:5	70:3 75:14 83:25	overall 88:22 93:3	220:4,21 227:23
on-shift 145:7,9	149:23 150:8	85:16,19 86:1	overlap 275:1,7	227:24 228:5,6
on-watch 106:8	151:3,7,9,23,24	95:13 104:14,16	276:1 278:1,2	230:13 231:7,9
108:19 109:7	153:14 155:2,4,6	121:25 134:20	overly 171:24	232:1 239:6,17
111:11 115:23	160:9 204:7,19	153:6 164:2	overseas 65:17,18	244:14,15,15,23
119:19 145:20	206:11 226:3	183:19 241:1	overtime 227:18	245:4,24 246:11
186:2,3,5 187:5	229:11 230:4,7,10	279:14 341:13	228:2,13 229:1	251:3,16 271:3,4
218:24 230:8	230:12 233:2,8,15	346:17,18	overview 85:23	271:6,18 274:21
324:19 325:3,11	243:20,25 244:3,6	ordered 341:11	owed 239:20	275:16,19 285:14
326:19 330:11	246:2,12,15 249:9	ordering 60:11	owned 315:14,15	285:19,20,20,21
331:19 332:13	249:11 253:20	77:17 104:23	owners 203:9	285:24 287:12,16
343:25	263:12 307:7,15	155:20	204:14 206:17	287:23 288:2
once 79:20 87:18	307:19 308:7	Orders 71:25	207:14	290:15,18,19,25
89:23 135:11	333:21 348:23	ordinarily 81:16	owns 253:11	290:13,18,19,23
144:20 149:4	operation 161:12	Oregon 66:7 70:3	0wiis 255.11	296:4,4 300:1,18
184:16 240:14	229:11 292:23	168:20 270:14	Р	300:20,20 302:20
253:4	operational 153:5	272:5	P 68:3	304:7 306:9,15,16
oncoming 345:3	228:18,19,21	organization	P.C 57:4	306:24 307:23
one's 267:6	operations 68:23	100:16 138:2	p.m 214:24 282:7,7	309:2,5,6,8,15,17
	-		335:10,10 352:16	
one-to-one 309:11	100:16 218:11	139:9 189:9	352:19,20 353:8	311:12,18,18
309:12 310:10	243:7,10	253:18 258:21	355:17	313:4 315:3,4
ones 87:9 117:14	operator 104:12	organizational	PA 263:3	322:9,10,13
238:20,21 261:1	opinion 88:5	164:20	pace 214:8	323:15,16 330:18
267:24 344:14,25	114:15 139:2,3	organizations	Pacific 57:9 78:5,7	330:19,23,24,25
Op 60:9,12	140:20 180:25	163:5 253:18	,	331:8 334:9,11,18
			78:9,10 80:7,10	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

334:21 335:15 224:13 226:14 payouts 268:20 220:5 226:10 144:9 161:25 336:11 351:10 233:1 250:23 pays 227:1.2 239:20 230:23 343:8 62:17,18,19,20,21 266:9 267:19 PBC 307:8 234:23 235:11 per-hour 296:5 62:22,23,24,25 274:16 291:19 309:16 309:16 309:16 309:16 99:24 309:16 340:9 341:9 335:12 pst:22 195:20,22 Peak 122:7 195:17 241:11,15,18,24 195:14 199:21,22 paid 89:23 186:7 participants 55:12 pst:38:7,10,12,84:8 269:37,10,14,20 percentage 259:1 186:16,17,18,23 participants 93:8 95:19,25 96:4,24 281:11,24 282:3,8 293:37,10,14,20 255:11,2 23:21,2 23:22 20:0 232:24 338:12 108:21 112:26 12 291:19,21 295:12 292:32 278:25 perform 122:3 266:13 22:20:0 232:24 338:12 108:21 112:26 12 281:11,24 282:38 237:15,19 194:8 266:13 22:15 265:4 particular 93:8 95:19,25 96:4,24 281:11,24 282:12 237:8 277:11 232:24 326:1 339:16 109:20 109:21 116:20					
336:11 351:10 233:1 250:23 272:10 229:20 230:23 189:24 266:12 pages 55:14 62:16 251:5 266:9 267:19 page 227:1,2 233:21 234:9,13 343:8 62:17,18,19,20,21 266:9 267:19 PBC 307:8 234:23 235:11 per-hour 296:5 62:22,23,24,25 274:16 291:19 PDF 77:19 300:20 236:5 239:2 240:6 percent 91:21 73:53 322:21 345:22 195:20.22 249:21,22 250:2,9 235:22 237:2 paid 89:23 186:7 participate 55:18 80:19,25 82:3,16 269:23,7,10,14,20 percentage 259:1 187:21,7 188:17 participate 205:8 83:7,10,12 84:8 269:23,7,19,20,23 126:6 142:17 239:15 253:3 particular 93:8 95:19,25 96:4,24 281:11,24 282:3,8 193:15,19 194:8 239:15 253:3 particular 93:8 95:19,25 96:4,24 281:11,24 282:3,8 193:15,19 194:8 239:15 253:3 particular 93:8 133:10 122:21 113:10 284:24 294:15,20 158:18 8:25 239:15 253:3 particular 93:8 139:10 122:13 13:19 284:12 294:15,20 158:18 182:25 232:42 338:12 </td <td>334:21 335:15</td> <td>224:13 226:14</td> <td>payouts 268:20</td> <td>220:5 226:10</td> <td>144:9 161:25</td>	334:21 335:15	224:13 226:14	payouts 268:20	220:5 226:10	144:9 161:25
pages 55:14 62:16 251:5 265:14.18 pays 227:1.2 233:21 234:9.13 343:8 62:17,18,19,20,21 266:9 267:19 PDC 307:8 234:23 235:11 per-hour 296:5 99:24 309:16 340:9 341:9 pays 127:19 300:20 236:5 239:2 240:6 percent 91:21 913:3 322:21 345:22 partially 145:20,20 pears 05:12 56:3 249:21,22 230:2.9 235:2 237.2 paid 89:23 186:7 participate 205:8 83:7,10,1284:8 269:23,7,10,14,20 percent 91:21 188:7,10 190:10 participate 205:8 83:7,10,1284:8 269:23,7,10,14,20 percent 229:1 235:12 229:20 199:10 298:23 103:20 105:11,16 2821:31,720,24 147:4 192:10,12 236:12 292:20 129:10 298:23 103:20 105:11,16 2821:31,728:12 237:8 25 237:4 328:1.3 particuar 93:8 95:19,25 96:4,24 281:11,24 282:3,8 193:15,19 194:8 237:24 326:1 339:10 112:23 113:19 284:24 294:15,20 158:1 188:25 parter 83:14 21,21 19:24 132:1 297:10 300:18 224:16 231:15,17 235:23 286:4 parties 83:14 22,125			1 0		
62:17,18,19,20.21 266:9 267:19 PBC 307:8 234:23 235:11 per-hour 296:5 62:22,23,24,25 274:16 291:19 PDF 271:19 300:20 240:9,11,24 241:6 172:20,21 195:9 99:24 309:16 340:9,341:9 peak 122:7 195:17 249:21,22 250:2,9 235:22 237:2 315:3 322:21 345:22 195:20,22 249:21,22 250:2,9 235:22 237:2 paid 89:23 186:7 participants 55:18 80:19,25 82:3,16 260:3,7,10,14,20 percentage 259:1 participant 205:8 83:7,10,12 84:8 260:32 278:25 perform 122:3 126:6 142:17 239:15 253:3 participant 93:8 95:19,25 96:4,24 281:11,42 482:3,8 193:15,19 194:8 236:1,22 292:20 129:10 298:23 103:20 105:11,16 282:13,17 283:12 237:8 277:11 296:7 325:13,20 339:10 112:23 113:10 284:12 424:15,20 158:1 188:25 parties 83:14,21,25 119:24 132:1 294:22,25 29:6:13 performad 91:14 235:23 286:4 partics 83:14,21,25 196:10,61,22 26:18,25 297:3 171:13 187:15 paper 127:17 248:3 parties 95:14 142:25 163:7,8		251:5 265:14,18			
62:22,23,24,25 274:16 291:19 PDF 271:19 300:20 236:5 239:2 240:6 percent 91:21 63:3 67:3 75:13 321:25 334:23 309:16 240:9,11,24 241:6 172:20,21 195:9 99:24 300:16 340:9 341:9 peak 122:7 195:17 241:11,15,18,24 195:14 199:21,22 315:3 322:21 345:22 195:20,22 249:21,22 250:2,9 235:22 237:2 partialy 145:20,20 Pearson 55:12 56:3 255:10 259:18 314:9 338:1 186:16,17,18,23 185:10 79:6,9 80:1,71:1 260:23 278:25 perform 122:3 194:2 223:4 224:4 participate 205:8 83:7,10,12 84:8 269:3,7,10,14,20 percentage 259:1 239:15 253:3 particular 93:8 95:19,25 96:4,24 281:11,24 282:3,8 193:15,19 194:8 266:1 22:20:20 129:10 298:23 103:20 105:11,16 282:13,17 283:12 278:37.8 277:11 296:7 325:13,20 322:24 338:12 108:21 112:16,20 283:15,18 284:20 performade 90:17 235:24 326:1 339:10 112:23 113:19 284:24 294:15,20 158:1 188:25 327:4 328:13 parties 95:14 142:25 143:4,9,13		,		· · · · · ·	per-hour 296:5
99:24 309:16340:9 341:9peak 122:7 195:17241:11,15,18,24195:14 199:21,22315:3 322:21345:22195:20,22249:21,22 200:29235:22 237:2186:16,17,18,23nartially 145:20,20Pearson 55:12 56:3255:10 259:18314:9 338:1186:16,17,18,23narticipants 55:1880:19,25 82:3,16269:3,7,10,14,20percentage 259:1189:7,10 190:10participants 05:883:7,10,12 84:8269:23,7,10,14,20percentage 259:1194:2 223:4 224:4participants 93:895:19,25 96:42281:11,22 420:24193:15,19 194:8239:15 253:3particular 93:895:19,25 96:42281:11,22 420:24193:15,19 194:8263:1,22 29:20129:10 298:23103:20 105:11,16282:13,17 283:12237:8 277:11296:7 325:13,20322:24 338:12108:21 112:16,20283:15,18 284:20performace 90:17325:24 326:1339:10112:23 113:19284:24 294:15,03performace 90:17325:24 326:1gartners 16:14142:25 143:4,13297:10 300:18224:16 231:15,17285:23 286:4partners 16:14142:15 16:1233:61:3 33:4,7,2523:57,263:17paperwork 290:13pas-through156:9,17 162:1935:21 354:22317:13 08:19,2437:10178:24,452156:16:18,25109:7,14,21,24337:6 33:16paradin 120:20223:12,14166:3,6,8,11,13110:91124 131:337:6 33:16paradigm 120:20223:12,12156:9,17 166:1935:22,8,10337:6 33:16pardon 196:133:319169:17,23 167:2,7 <td< td=""><td></td><td>274:16 291:19</td><td>PDF 271:19 300:20</td><td>236:5 239:2 240:6</td><td>-</td></td<>		274:16 291:19	PDF 271:19 300:20	236:5 239:2 240:6	-
99:24 309:16340:9 341:9peak 122:7 195:17241:11,15,18,24195:14 199:21,22315:3 322:21345:22195:20,22249:21,22 200:29235:22 237:2186:16,17,18,23nartially 145:20,20Pearson 55:12 56:3255:10 259:18314:9 338:1186:16,17,18,23narticipants 55:1880:19,25 82:3,16269:3,7,10,14,20percentage 259:1189:7,10 190:10participants 05:883:7,10,12 84:8269:23,7,10,14,20percentage 259:1194:2 223:4 224:4participants 93:895:19,25 96:42281:11,22 420:24193:15,19 194:8239:15 253:3particular 93:895:19,25 96:42281:11,22 420:24193:15,19 194:8263:1,22 29:20129:10 298:23103:20 105:11,16282:13,17 283:12237:8 277:11296:7 325:13,20322:24 338:12108:21 112:16,20283:15,18 284:20performace 90:17325:24 326:1339:10112:23 113:19284:24 294:15,03performace 90:17325:24 326:1gartners 16:14142:25 143:4,13297:10 300:18224:16 231:15,17285:23 286:4partners 16:14142:15 16:1233:61:3 33:4,7,2523:57,263:17paperwork 290:13pas-through156:9,17 162:1935:21 354:22317:13 08:19,2437:10178:24,452156:16:18,25109:7,14,21,24337:6 33:16paradin 120:20223:12,14166:3,6,8,11,13110:91124 131:337:6 33:16paradigm 120:20223:12,12156:9,17 166:1935:22,8,10337:6 33:16pardon 196:133:319169:17,23 167:2,7 <td< td=""><td></td><td>321:25 334:23</td><td>309:16</td><td>240:9,11,24 241:6</td><td>-</td></td<>		321:25 334:23	309:16	240:9,11,24 241:6	-
315:3 322:21 345:22 195:20,22 249:21,22 225:10 259:29 235:22 237:2 partially 145:20,20 Pearson 55:12 255:10 255:10 255:12 314:9 338:13 186:16,17,18,23 185:10 79:6,9 80:17,711 260:22 255:10 259:12 344:23 187:2,17 188:10 participate 258:8 83:7,10,12 249:23 278:25 perform 122:3 194:2 223:1,23 232:24 388:12 103:20 105:11 228:13,17 288:12 103:20 105:11,12 289:38,17,20,24 147:4 192:10,12 239:15 253:3 participate 238:12 103:20 105:11,16 282:13,17 283:12 193:15,19 194:8 235:24 326:1 339:10 112:23 113:19 284:24 294:12,25 296:13 297:10 300:18 237:16 237:16 237:16 237:16 237:16 237:17 171:13 187:15 papter 127:17 248:3<	99:24 309:16	340:9 341:9	peak 122:7 195:17		195:14 199:21,22
186:16,17,18,23 185:10 79:6.9 80:1,7,11 260:2 265:22 344:23 187:2,17 188:71 participants 55:18 80:19,25 82:3,16 269:3,7,10,14,20 percentage 259:1 194:2 223:4 224:4 participant 255:8 83:7,10,12 280:3,8,17,20,24 perform 122:3 194:2 223:1 159:7 91:1<92:12	315:3 322:21	345:22	195:20,22	249:21,22 250:2,9	235:22 237:2
187:2,17188:17 189:7,10participants 55:18 participate 205:880:19,25269:3,7,10,14,20 269:3,7,10,12,84:8percentage 259:1 perform 122:3194:2223:4223:12 participate 205:883:7,10,12,84:8269:23,278:25 279:3,7,19,20,23126:6142:17 126:6142:17239:15253:3 participate 205:891:19,25:12 91:19,25:12,596:4,24281:11,24,282:3,8 281:1,124,282:3,8126:6142:17 127:17,281:12 237:8,277:11296:7,325:13,20 325:24,326:1 325:24,326:1 327:4,328:13322:24,338:12 parties 83:14,21,25108:21 112:16,20 122:3113:19284:24,294:15,20 296:17,252,252:96:13 296:18,25,297:3performace 90:17 158:1188:25327:4,328:1,3 parties 95:14 parties 95:14 142:25 143:4,9,13 285:23,286:4 partners 161:14 parte 95:14 parts 95:14 parts 24:12,13 153:16,21 154:6,8 156:9,17 162:19336:2 350:13,17 355:2,8,10 356:21 354:22267:11 309:19,24 317:13 187:15237:10 paragraph 134:2 parsthrough parsathrough 166:17,23 167:2,7 parts 98:18,23 123:18165:16,18,25 109:7,14,21,24 106:17,23 167:2,7 131:18 132:5 133:19 166:17,23 167:2,7 131:18 132:5 146:20 173:4349:5 197:14,21,24 147:21 56:24 197:14,21,25parameters 152:13 paragraph 134:2 parks 99:18,23 183:19 parts 99:18,23 199:24 192:20132:10,22 134:6 149:20199:11 191:11 191:11 191:11 191:11 191:11 191:11 191:11 191:11 191:11 191:11 191:11 191:11 191:11 191:12133:19 166:17,23 167:2,7 131:18 132:5 146:20 173:4 149:20149:20 132:10,22 134:6 149:20191:11 pardor 195:22 parts 64:4	paid 89:23 186:7	partially 145:20,20	Pearson 55:12 56:3	255:10 259:18	314:9 338:1
189:7,10 190:10participate 205:883:7,10,12 84:8269:23 278:25perform 122:3194:2 223:4 224:4participating 159:684:11 88:12,17,20279:3,7,19,20,23126:6 142:17225:11,23 239:13159:791:1 92:12 95:12280:3,81,72,0,24147:4 192:10,12239:15 253:3particular 93:895:19,25 96:4,24281:11,24 282:3,8193:15,19 194:8263:1,22 29:20129:10 298:23103:20 105:11,16283:13,17 283:12237:8 277:11296:7 325:13,20322:24 338:12108:21 112:16,20288:15,18 284:20performace 90:17325:24 326:1339:10112:23 113:19284:24 294:15,0158:11 88:25327:4 328:1,3parties 83:14,21,25119:24 132:1294:22,25 296:13performed 91:14329:25 332:1585:13 196:20136:10,16,21,25297:10 300:18224:16 231:15,17283:23 286:4parties 161:14143:16 150:12334:18 335:4,7,25232:5,7 263:17paper 234:5party 84:12,13153:16,21 154:68336:2 350:13,17267:11 309:19,24237:10178:2,4,15,22154:12 155:16350:21 354:22311:10 316:12paragraph 134:2pass-through165:16,18,25109:7,14,21,24performing 122:19141:22 156:24pave 148:1166:3,6,8,11,13110:9:124 131:8349:5paradion 196:1333:19169:11 78:61 80:6149:20performing 122:19141:22 152:13222:7 258:1167:14,16,20132:10.22132:10.22132:10.22151:1 157:18225:24 252:5,13203:19,20,24 <td>186:16,17,18,23</td> <td>185:10</td> <td>79:6,9 80:1,7,11</td> <td>260:2 265:22</td> <td>344:23</td>	186:16,17,18,23	185:10	79:6,9 80:1,7,11	260:2 265:22	344:23
194:2 223:4 224:4participating 159:684:11 88:12,17,20279:3,7,19,20,23126:6 142:17225:11,23 239:13159:791:1 92:12 95:12280:3,81,72,0,24147:4 192:10,12239:15 253:3particular 93:895:19,25 96:4,24281:11,24 282:3,8193:15,19 194:8263:1,22 292:20322:24 338:12103:20 105:11,16282:13,17 283:12237:8 277:11296:7 325:13,20322:24 338:12108:21 112:16,20283:15,18 284:20performance 90:17325:24 326:1339:10112:23 113:19284:24 294:15,20158:1 188:25327:4 328:1,3parties 83:14,21,25119:24 132:1296:18,25 297:3171:13 187:15paper 127:17 248:3parties' 95:14142:25 143:4,9,13297:10 300:18224:16 231:15,17285:23 286:4partners 161:14143:16 150:12334:13 335:4,7,25232:5,7 263:17papers 234:5party 84:12,13153:16,21 154:68336:2 350:13,17267:11 309:19,24237:10178:2,4,15,22154:12 155:16350:21 354:22311:10 316:12paragraph 134:2passage 290:8165:16,18,25109:7,14,21,24performing 122:19partor 195:22pays 89:24 92:10166:17,23 167:2,7131:18 132:5146:20 173:4partor 195:22paying 90:7 252:5190:24 192:20132:10,22 134:6191:11partor 195:22paying 90:7 252:5190:24 192:20132:10,22 134:661:24 73:4,691:9 93:11 10:06273:12,15 310:19196:7,10,18 197:2penalty 110:1487:19 118:19100:12,18 113:7329:12 3	187:2,17 188:17	participants 55:18	80:19,25 82:3,16	269:3,7,10,14,20	percentage 259:1
225:11,23159:791:192:1292:12280:3,8,17,20,24147:4192:10,12239:15253:3particular 93:895:19,2596:4,24281:11,24282:3,8193:15,19194:8263:1,22292:20129:10298:23103:20105:11,16282:13,72237:8277:11296:7325:13,20322:24338:12108:21112:16,20283:15,18284:24294:15,20performance 90:17325:24328:1,3parties 83:14,21,25119:24132:1294:22,25296:61.3performance 90:17325:25332:1585:13196:20136:10,16,21,25296:18,25297:10300:18224:16paper 127:17248:3parties 161:14143:16150:12334:18336:4,7,25232:5,7263:17papers 234:5party 84:12,13153:16,21154:68336:2350:13,17267:11309:19,24237:10178:2,4,15,22154:12155:16355:24.310337:6345:16paradigm 120:20223:12,14166:17,23.167:2,7131:18349:5137:4142:15paradraph 134:2passage 290:8165:16,18,25109:7,14,21,24performing 122:19141:22156:24339:18349:5pardon 196:1333:19169:1178:6.180:6149:20peralized 110:17317:18partor 155:22pays 89:18,23187:9,11190:19penalized 110:17317:18partor 195:22paying 90:7.25:25194:15,18,20,23149:24<	189:7,10 190:10	participate 205:8	83:7,10,12 84:8	269:23 278:25	perform 122:3
239:15253:3particular 93:895:19,25 96:4,24281:11,24 282:3,8193:15,19 194:8263:1,22 29:20129:10 298:23103:20 105:11,16282:13,17 283:12237:8 277:11296:7 325:13,20322:24 338:12108:21 112:16,20283:15,18 284:20158:1 188:25327:4 328:1,3parties 83:14,21,25119:24 132:1294:22,25 296:13performance 90:17329:25 332:15parties 161:14142:25 143:4,9,13297:10 300:18224:16 231:15,17paper 127:17 248:3parties 161:14143:16 150:12334:18 335:4,7,25235:57 263:17papers 234:5party 84:12,13153:16,21 154:6,8336:2 350:13,17267:11 309:19,24237:10178:2,4,15,22154:12 155:16350:21 354:22311:10 316:12paradigm 120:20223:12,14166:53,7,8penalize 108:18349:5paragraph 134:2passage 290:8165:16,18,25109:7,14,21,24349:5pardior 196:1333:19167:14,16,20133:10110:17317:18park 56:4,10payers 89:18,23187:9,11 190:19149:20performs 185:14park 56:4,10payers 89:18,23197:7,10,18 197:2149:20124:47:34,6park 56:4,10payers 89:18,23197:7,10,18 197:2132:10,22 134:661:24 73:4,6park 56:4,10payers 89:18,23197:7,10,18 197:2132:10,22 134:661:24 73:4,6park 56:4,10payers 89:18,23197:5,12,18,22111:16,25 112:7182:11 202:15116:21 120:11,13333:24199:23,5 201:25113:17 114:1,	194:2 223:4 224:4	participating 159:6	84:11 88:12,17,20	279:3,7,19,20,23	126:6 142:17
263:1,22 292:20129:10 298:23103:20 105:11,16282:13,17 283:12237:8 277:11296:7 325:13,20329:10112:23 113:19284:24 294:15,20158:1 188:25325:4 326:1339:10112:23 113:19294:22,25 296:13performance 90:17325:23 32:15parties 83:14,21,25119:24 132:1294:22,25 296:13performed 91:14329:25 332:15parties 95:14142:25 143:4,9,13296:18,25 297:3171:13 187:15paper 127:17 248:3partners 161:14143:16 150:12334:18 335:4,7,25232:5,7 263:17papers 234:5partners 161:14143:16 150:12336:2 350:13,17267:11 309:19,24237:10178:2,4,15,22154:12 155:16350:21 354:22311:10 316:12paradigm 120:20223:12,14166:56,17,78penalize 108:18349:5paradigm 120:20223:12,14166:16,18,25109:7,14,21,24performing 122:19141:22 156:24pay 89:24 92:10166:17,23 167:2,7131:18 132:5performing 122:19pardon 196:1333:19169:1 178:6 180:6149:20performs 185:14part 95:22paying 90:7 252:25190:24 192:20132:10.22 134:6191:11part 95:24paying 90:7 252:25190:24 192:20132:10.22 134:6191:11part 195:22paying 90:7 252:25199:24 192:20132:10.22 134:6110:17part 195:24paying 90:7 252:25199:24 192:20132:10.22 134:6124:24 72:24part 195:22paying 90:7 252:25199:24 192:20132:10.22 134:6124:124 23:20 <td>225:11,23 239:13</td> <td>159:7</td> <td>91:1 92:12 95:12</td> <td>280:3,8,17,20,24</td> <td>147:4 192:10,12</td>	225:11,23 239:13	159:7	91:1 92:12 95:12	280:3,8,17,20,24	147:4 192:10,12
296:7 325:13,20322:24 338:12108:21 112:16,20283:15,18 284:20performance 90:17325:24 326:1339:10112:23 113:19284:24 294:15,20158:1 188:25327:4 328:1,3parties 83:14,21,25119:24 132:1294:22,25 296:13performed 91:14329:25 332:15parties 85:13 196:20136:10,16,21,25296:18,25 297:3171:13 187:15paper 127:17 248:3partiers 161:14143:16 150:12334:18 335:4,7,25232:5,7 263:17papers 234:5party 84:12,13153:16,21 154:6,8336:2 350:13,17267:11 309:19,24237:10178:2,4,15,22154:12 155:16350:21 354:22311:10 316:12paperwork 290:13passetDrough156:9,17 162:19355:2,8,10337:6 345:16paragraph 134:2passage 290:8165:16,18,25109:7,14,21,24performing 122:19141:22 156:24pay 89:24 92:10166:17,23 167:2,7131:18 132:5146:20 173:4pardion 196:1333:19169:1 178:6 180:6149:20performs 185:14part 85:17 89:1224:25 265:1194:15,18,20,22132:10,22 134:6periof 61:20,21,22part 83:17 89:1239:12 33:24,24197:5,12,18,22111:1,6,25 112:7182:11 202:15160:1 163:1 100:6273:12,15 310:19196:7,10,18 197:2199:3,5 201:25130:12,2 197:1487:19 118:19102:12,18 113:7329:12 33:24,24197:5,12,18,22131:17 114:1,1227:25 244:17,19120:19 133:1payment 188:120203:19,20,24130:22,25 197:14244:21,24 245:20151:1 157:18 <td>239:15 253:3</td> <td>particular 93:8</td> <td>95:19,25 96:4,24</td> <td>281:11,24 282:3,8</td> <td>193:15,19 194:8</td>	239:15 253:3	particular 93:8	95:19,25 96:4,24	281:11,24 282:3,8	193:15,19 194:8
325:24 326:1339:10112:23 113:19284:24 294:15,20158:1 188:25327:4 328:1,3parties 83:14,21,25119:24 132:1294:22,25 296:13performed 91:14329:25 332:15parties' 95:14142:25 143:4,9,13297:10 30:18224:16 231:15,17paper 127:17 248:3partners 161:14143:16 150:12334:18 335:4,7,25232:57 2363:17papers 234:5party 84:12,13153:16,21 154:6,8336:2 350:13,17267:11 309:19,24237:10178:2,4,15,22154:12 155:16350:21 354:22311:10 316:12paperwork 290:13pass-through156:9,17 162:19355:2,8,10337:6 345:16paragraph 134:2passeg 290:8165:16,18,251097.14,21,24performing 122:19parameters 152:13pay 89:24 92:10166:17,23 167:2,7131:18 132:5146:20 173:4pardion 196:1333:19169:1 178:6 180:6149:20performs 185:14park 56:4,10payers 89:18,23187:9,11 190:19132:10.22 134:6191:11partor 195:22paying 90:7 252:25190:24 192:20132:10.22 134:6191:11partor 195:22paying 90:7 252:25190:24 192:20132:10.22 134:6191:11p1:9 33:11 100:6273:12,15 310:19196:7,10,18 197:2111:1,6,25 112:7182:11 202:1516:16:12 120:11,1333:24197:5,12,18,22113:17 114:1,12237:25 244:17.19120:19 133:1payment 188:1,20203:19,20,24130:22,25 197:14244:21,24 245:20145:17 147:13205:2 22:9208:16,22 209:25131:7 114:			· · · · ·	-	
327:4 328:1,3 329:25 332:15parties 83:14,21,25119:24 132:1294:22,25 296:13performed 91:14329:25 332:15 paper 127:17 248:3 285:23 286:485:13 196:20136:10,16,21,25296:18,25 297:3171:13 187:15paper 127:17 248:3 partners 161:14142:25 143:4,9,13297:10 300:18224:16 231:15,17papers 234:5 partes 245:5partners 161:14143:16 150:12334:18 336:2 350:13,17267:11 309:19,24237:10178:2,4,15,22154:12 155:16350:21 354:22311:10 316:12paperwork 290:13 paragraph 134:2pass-through156:9,17 162:19355:2,8,10337:6 345:16paragraph 134:2 141:22 156:24passage 290:8165:16,18,25109:7,14,21,24performing 122:19141:22 156:24 parameters 152:13 pardon 196:1pay 89:24 92:10166:17,23 167:2,7131:18 132:5146:20 173:4parlor 195:12 parlor 195:22 part 83:17 89:1payers 89:18,23187:9,11 190:19penaltize 110:17317:18parlor 195:22 part 83:17 89:1264:25 265:1194:15,18,20,23149:20period 61:20,21,22part 110:06 102:12,18 113:7273:12,15 310:19196:7,10,18 197:2penalty 110:1487:19 118:19102:12,18 113:7 116:21 120:11,333:24199:3,5 201:25113:17 114:1,12237:25 244:17,19120:19 133:1 145:17 147:13205:2 225:9208:16,22 209:25pending 153:17253:1 255:22151:1 157:18 160:1 164:16 173:21 176:6payment's 219:19213:18,23 214:1375:18 92:23 93:14308:14 314:10173:21 176			,	,	-
329:2532:1585:13196:20136:10,16,21,25296:18,25297:3171:13187:15paper 127:17248:3parties' 95:14142:25143:49,13297:10300:18224:16231:15,17285:23286:4partners161:14143:16150:12334:18335:4,7,25232:5,7263:17papers237:10178:2,4,15,22154:12155:16350:21354:22311:10316:12paradign120:20pass.through156:9,17162:19355:2,8,10337:6345:16paragraph134:2passage 290:8165:16,18,25109:7,14,21,24performing122:19141:22156:24pause 148:1166:3,6,8,11,13110:9112:4131:8137:4142:15parameters152:13pay 89:2492:10166:17,23167:14,16,20penalized 110:17317:18partor196:1pays93:2492:10166:17,23132:10,22132:10,22137:4142:15partor195:22paying 90:7252:25190:24192:20132:10,22132:10,22131:17185:14partor195:32paying 90:7252:25190:24192:20132:10,22132:10,22131:18132:2partor153:17254:25264:25265:1194:51,18,20,23149:2461:2473:4,6partor195:22paying 90:7252:25190:24190:25111:10:1487:19118:19102:	325:24 326:1			,	
paper127:17248:3parties'95:14142:25143:4,9,13297:10300:18224:16231:15,17285:23286:4partners161:14143:16150:12334:18335:4,7,25232:5,7263:17papers234:5party84:12,13153:16,21154:6,8336:2350:13,17267:11309:19,24237:10178:2,4,15,22154:12155:16350:21354:22311:10316:12paperwork 290:13pass-through156:9,17162:19355:2,8,10337:6349:5paradigm 120:20223:12,14166:3,6,8,11,13110:9112:4137:4142:15paragraph134:2passage 290:8165:16,18,25109:7,14,21,24performing 122:19parateters152:13pay 89:2492:10166:17,23167:2,7131:18132:5146:20173:4pardon 196:1333:19169:1178:6180:6149:20performs 185:14191:11parlor 195:22paying 90:7252:25190:24192:20132:10,22132:10,22132:10,22132:11parlor 195:22paying 90:7252:25190:24192:2461:2473:4,691:993:11100:6273:12,15310:19196:7,10,18197:2penalty10:1487:19118:19102:12,18113:7329:1233:24199:3,5201:25113:17142:1225:2225:11145:17142:1225:22113:17 <td< td=""><td>,</td><td>L / /</td><td>119:24 132:1</td><td>-</td><td>performed 91:14</td></td<>	,	L / /	119:24 132:1	-	performed 91:14
285:23 286:4partners 161:14143:16 150:12334:18 335:4,7,25232:5,7 263:17papers 234:5party 84:12,13153:16,21 154:6,8336:2 350:13,17267:11 309:19,24237:10178:2,4,15,22154:12 155:16350:21 354:22311:10 316:12paperwork 290:13pass-through156:9,17 162:19355:2,8,10337:6 345:16paradigm 120:20223:12,14164:25 165:3,7,8109:7,14,21,24performing 122:19paragraph 134:2passage 290:8165:16,18,25109:7,14,21,24performing 122:19141:22 156:24pause 148:1166:3,6,8,11,13110:9 112:4 131:8137:4 142:15339:18pay 89:24 92:10166:17,23 167:2,7131:18 132:5146:20 173:4pardon 196:1333:19169:1 178:6 180:6penalized 110:17317:18partor 195:22paying 90:7 25:25190:24 192:20132:10,22 134:6period 61:20,21,22part 83:17 89:1264:25 265:1194:15,18,20,23149:2461:24 73:4,691:9 93:11 100:6273:12,15 310:19196:7,10,18 197:2penalty 110:1487:19 118:19102:12,18 113:7329:12 333:24,24197:5,12,18,22113:17 114:1,12237:25 244:17,19120:19 133:1payment 188:1,20203:19,20,24130:22,25 197:14244:21,24 245:20145:17 147:13205:2 22:9208:16,22 209:25113:17 114:1,12253:1 255:22151:1 157:18228:24 253:5,13210:2,17,21196:15259:14 293:10173:21 176:6payment's 219:19213:18,23 214:1375:18 92:23 93:1				<i>'</i>	
papers 234:5party 84:12,13153:16,21 154:6,8336:2 350:13,17267:11 309:19,24237:10178:2,4,15,22154:12 155:16350:21 354:22311:10 316:12paperwork 290:13pass-through156:9,17 162:19355:2,8,10337:6 345:16paradigm 120:20223:12,14164:25 165:3,7,8penalize 108:18349:5paragraph 134:2passage 290:8165:16,18,25109:7,14,21,24performing 122:19141:22 156:24pause 148:1166:3,6,8,11,13110:9 112:4 131:8137:4 142:15jarameters 152:13222:7 258:1167:14,16,20penalized 110:17317:18pardon 196:1333:19169:1 178:6 180:6149:20performs 185:14partor 195:22paying 90:7 252:25190:24 192:20132:10,22 134:6period 61:20,21,22part 83:17 89:1264:25 265:1194:15,18,20,23149:2461:24 73:4,691:9 93:11 100:6273:12,15 310:19196:7,10,18 197:2130:22,25 197:1487:19 118:19102:12,18 113:7329:12 333:24,24197:5,12,18,22113:17 114:1,12237:25 244:17,19120:19 133:1payment 188:1,20203:19,20,24130:22,25 197:14244:21,24 245:20145:17 147:13228:24 253:5,13210:2,17,21196:15256:9,14 259:11160:1 164:16272:6211:19 212:19pension 68:16259:14 293:10173:21 176:6payment's 219:19213:18,23 214:1375:18 92:23 93:14308:14 314:10191:25 192:1payments 188:21214:18,20 215:3,9100:5 157:6 257:8316		-			· · · · · ·
237:10178:2,4,15,22154:12 155:16350:21 354:22311:10 316:12paperwork 290:13pass-through156:9,17 162:19355:2,8,10337:6 345:16paradigm 120:20223:12,14164:25 165:3,7,8penalize 108:18349:5paragraph 134:2passage 290:8165:16,18,25109:7,14,21,24performing 122:19141:22 156:24pause 148:1166:3,6,8,11,13110:9 112:4 131:8137:4 142:15339:18pay 89:24 92:10166:17,23 167:2,7131:18 132:5146:20 173:4parameters 152:13222:7 258:1167:14,16,20penalized 110:17317:18pardon 196:1333:19169:1 178:6 180:6149:20performs 185:14park 56:4,10payers 89:18,23190:24 192:20132:10,22 134:6period 61:20,21,22part 83:17 89:1264:25 265:1190:24 192:20132:10,22 134:6period 61:20,21,22part 83:17 89:1229:12 333:24,24197:5,12,18,22111:1,6,25 112:7182:11 202:1516:22 120:11,13333:24199:3,5 201:25113:17 114:1,12237:25 244:17,19120:19 133:1payment 188:1,20203:19,20,24130:22,25 197:14244:21,24 245:20151:1 157:18228:24 253:5,13210:2,17,21196:15256:9,14 259:11160:1 164:16272:6211:19 212:19pension 68:16259:14 293:10173:21 176:6payment's 219:19213:18,23 214:1375:18 92:23 93:14308:14 314:10191:25 192:1payments 188:21214:18,20 215:3,9100:5 157:6 257:8316:13,13,20 <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td>		-			,
paperwork 290:13 paradigm 120:20pass-through 223:12,14156:9,17 162:19 164:25 165:3,7,8355:2,8,10 penalize 108:18337:6 345:16 349:5paragraph 134:2 141:22 156:24 339:18passage 290:8 pay 89:24 92:10164:25 165:3,7,8 166:3,6,8,11,13109:7,14,21,24 110:9 112:4 131:8performing 122:19 131:18 132:5parameters 152:13 pardon 196:1222:7 258:1 333:19166:17,23 167:2,7 169:1 178:6 180:6131:18 132:5 146:20 173:4146:20 173:4 191:11parlor 196:1 parlor 195:22 part 83:17 89:1 102:12,18 113:7264:25 265:1 273:12,15 310:19190:24 192:20 196:7,10,18 197:2132:10,22 134:6 199:3,5 201:25period 61:20,21,22 191:11 197:5,12,18,22167:14,16,20 149:20period 61:20,21,22 132:10,22 134:6period 61:20,21,22 191:11102:12,18 113:7 120:19 133:1 145:17 147:13 160:1 164:16 173:21 176:6 145:17 147:13205:2 22:9 22:9208:16,22 209:25 208:16,22 209:25113:17 114:1,12 253:1 255:22255:11 256:9,14 259:11 213:18,23 214:1375:18 92:23 93:14 308:14 314:10191:25 192:1 199:20 207:23payment 188:21 207:23 252:16,19211:19 212:19 215:11,15,20272:13317:3 318:4				· · · ·	,
paradigm 120:20 paragraph 134:2 141:22 156:24 339:18223:12,14 pasage 290:8 pause 148:1164:25 165:3,7,8 165:16,18,25penalize 108:18 109:7,14,21,24 110:9 112:4 131:8349:5 performing 122:19141:22 156:24 339:18pause 148:1 pay 89:24 92:10166:3,6,8,11,13 166:17,23 167:2,7109:7,14,21,24 131:18 132:5performing 122:19 146:20 173:4parameters 152:13 pardon 196:1222:7 258:1 333:19166:17,23 167:2,7 166:17,23 167:2,7131:18 132:5 146:20 173:4146:20 173:4 171:18pardon 196:1 parlor 195:22 part 83:17 89:1264:25 265:1 264:25 265:1190:24 192:20 194:15,18,20,23149:20 132:10,22 134:6period 61:20,21,22 61:24 73:4,691:9 93:11 100:6 102:12,18 113:7 102:12,18 113:729:12 333:24,24 29:12 333:24,24197:5,12,18,22 197:5,12,18,22111:1,6,25 112:7 113:17 114:1,12 237:25 244:17,19 120:19 133:1 120:19 133:1 120:19 133:1 120:19 133:1 145:17 147:13 205:2 222:9 208:16,22 209:25 208:16,22 209:25 208:16,22 209:25 151:1 157:18 228:24 253:5,13 210:2,17,21 213:18,23 214:13 210:2,17,21 213:18,23 214:13 25:18 92:23 93:14 25:14 293:10 25:11 1257:123 25:12 120:11 25:12 120:11 160:1 164:16 272:6 211:19 212:19 213:18,23 214:13 207:23 252:16,19214:18,20 215:3,9 215:11,15,20272:13 272:13316:13,13,20 317:3 318:4					
paragraph134:2passage 290:8165:16,18,25109:7,14,21,24performing 122:19141:22 156:24pause 148:1166:3,6,8,11,13110:9 112:4 131:8137:4 142:15339:18pay 89:24 92:10166:17,23 167:2,7131:18 132:5146:20 173:4parameters 152:13222:7 258:1167:14,16,20penalized 110:17317:18pardon 196:1333:19169:1 178:6 180:6149:20performs 185:14parlor 195:22paying 90:7 252:25190:24 192:20132:10,22 134:6191:11parlor 195:22paying 90:7 252:25190:24 192:20132:10,22 134:6period 61:20,21,22part 83:17 89:1264:25 265:1194:15,18,20,23149:2461:24 73:4,691:9 93:11 100:6273:12,15 310:19196:7,10,18 197:2penalty 110:1487:19 118:19102:12,18 113:7329:12 333:24,24197:5,12,18,22111:1,6,25 112:7182:11 202:15116:22 120:11,13333:24199:3,5 201:25113:17 114:1,12237:25 244:17,19120:19 133:1payment 188:1,20203:19,20,24130:22,25 197:14244:21,24 245:20145:17 147:13205:2 222:9208:16,22 209:25pending 153:17253:1 255:22151:1 157:18228:24 253:5,13210:2,17,21196:15256:9,14 259:11160:1 164:16272:6211:19 212:19pension 68:16259:14 293:10173:21 176:6payment's 219:19213:18,23 214:1375:18 92:23 93:14308:14 314:10191:25 192:1payments 188:21214:18,20 215:3,9100:5 157:6 257:8<			,		
141:22156:24pause 148:1166:3,6,8,11,13110:9112:4131:8137:4142:15339:18pay 89:2492:10166:17,23167:2,7131:18132:5146:20173:4parameters152:13222:7258:1167:14,16,20penalized110:17317:18pardon196:1333:19169:1178:6180:6149:20performs185:14parlor195:22paying90:7252:25190:24192:20132:10,22132:10,22134:6part 83:1789:1264:25265:1194:15,18,20,23149:2461:2473:4,691:993:11100:6273:12,15310:19196:7,10,18197:2penalty101:1487:19118:19102:12,18113:7329:12333:24,24197:5,12,18,22111:1,6,25112:17182:11202:15116:22120:11,13333:24199:3,5201:25113:17114:1,12237:25244:17,19120:19133:1payment188:1,20203:19,20,24130:22,25197:14244:21,24245:20145:17147:13205:2222:9208:16,22209:25pending153:17253:1255:22151:1157:18228:2423:5,13210:2,17,21196:15256:9,14259:11160:1164:16272:6211:19214:18,20215:3,9100:5157:6257:8316:13,13,20191:25192:1payments	. 0	,			
339:18 parameters 152:13 pardon 196:1pay 89:24 92:10 222:7 258:1 333:19166:17,23 167:2,7 167:14,16,20 167:14,16,20131:18 132:5 penalized 110:17146:20 173:4 317:18Park 56:4,10 payers 89:18,23 part 83:17 89:1payers 89:18,23 264:25 265:1169:1 178:6 180:6 187:9,11 190:19149:20 penalties 130:20performs 185:14 191:11part 83:17 89:1 102:12,18 113:7264:25 265:1 273:12,15 310:19190:24 192:20 196:7,10,18 197:2132:10,22 134:6 194:5,18,20,23period 61:20,21,22 61:24 73:4,691:9 93:11 100:6 102:12,18 113:7273:12,15 310:19 329:12 333:24,24196:7,10,18 197:2 199:3,5 201:25penalty 110:14 111:1,6,25 112:787:19 118:19 182:11 202:15116:22 120:11,13 120:19 133:1 145:17 147:13 120:19 133:1 160:1 164:16 173:21 176:6 193yment's 219:19203:19,20,24 213:18,23 214:13 211:19 212:19 213:18,23 214:13130:22,25 197:14 196:15244:21,24 245:20 256:9,14 259:11 256:9,14 259:11 256:9,14 259:11 256:9,14 259:11 256:9,14 259:11 256:9,14 259:11 256:9,14 259:11 256:9,14 259:11 256:9,14 259:10231:18,23 214:13 75:18 92:23 93:14 308:14 314:10 308:14 314:10 308:14 314:10					- 0
parameters152:13222:7258:1167:14,16,20penalized110:17317:18pardon196:1333:19169:1178:6180:6penalized110:17317:18Park56:4,10payers89:18,23187:9,11190:19penalizes130:20performs185:14parlor195:22paying90:7252:25190:24192:20132:10,22134:6191:11parlor100:6273:12,15310:19196:7,10,18197:2penalty110:1487:19188:19102:12,18113:7329:12333:24,24197:5,12,18,22111:1,6,25112:7182:11202:15116:22120:11,13333:24199:3,5201:25113:17142:1,12237:25244:17,19120:19133:1payment188:1,20203:19,20,24130:22,25197:14244:21,24245:20151:1157:18228:24235:5,13210:2,17,21196:15256:9,14259:11160:1164:16272:6211:19213:18,23214:1375:1892:2393:14308:14314:10173:21176:6payment's 219:19213:18,23214:1375:1892:2393:14308:14314:10191:25192:1payments188:21214:18,20215:3,9100:5157:6257:8316:13,13,20199:20207:23252:16,19215:11,15,20272:13317:3318:4		-			
pardon 196:1333:19169:1 178:6 180:6149:20performs 185:14Park 56:4,10payers 89:18,23187:9,11 190:19penalties 130:20191:11parlor 195:22paying 90:7 252:25190:24 192:20132:10,22 134:6191:11part 83:17 89:1264:25 265:1194:15,18,20,23149:24period 61:20,21,2291:9 93:11 100:6273:12,15 310:19196:7,10,18 197:2penalty 110:1487:19 118:19102:12,18 113:7329:12 333:24,24197:5,12,18,22111:1,6,25 112:7182:11 202:15116:22 120:11,13333:24199:3,5 201:25113:17 114:1,12237:25 244:17,19120:19 133:1payment 188:1,20203:19,20,24130:22,25 197:14244:21,24 245:20145:17 147:13205:2 222:9208:16,22 209:25pending 153:17253:1 255:22151:1 157:18228:24 253:5,13210:2,17,21196:15256:9,14 259:11160:1 164:16272:6211:19 212:19pension 68:16259:14 293:10173:21 176:6payment's 219:19213:18,23 214:1375:18 92:23 93:14308:14 314:10191:25 192:1payments 188:21214:18,20 215:3,9100:5 157:6 257:8316:13,13,20199:20 207:23207:23 252:16,19215:11,15,20272:13317:3 318:4		1 0			
Park 56:4,10 parlor 195:22 part 83:17 89:1payers 89:18,23 paying 90:7 252:25187:9,11 190:19 190:24 192:20penalties 130:20 132:10,22 134:6191:11 period 61:20,21,22part 83:17 89:1 91:9 93:11 100:6 102:12,18 113:7264:25 265:1 273:12,15 310:19196:7,10,18 197:2 196:7,10,18 197:2149:24 penalty 110:1461:24 73:4,6 87:19 118:19102:12,18 113:7 120:19 133:1 120:19 133:1 145:17 147:1329:12 333:24,24 205:2 222:9199:3,5 201:25 208:16,22 209:25113:17 114:1,12 130:22,25 197:14237:25 244:17,19 244:21,24 245:20145:17 147:13 160:1 164:16 173:21 176:6 191:25 192:1 191:25 192:1203:19,20,24 213:18,23 214:13196:15 256:9,14 259:11 213:18,23 214:13255:22 255:22173:21 176:6 191:25 192:1 199:20 207:23207:23 252:16,19215:11,15,2075:18 92:23 93:14 215:11,15,20308:14 314:10 317:3 318:4			, ,	-	
parlor 195:22 part 83:17 89:1paying 90:7 252:25 264:25 265:1190:24 192:20 194:15,18,20,23132:10,22 134:6 149:24period 61:20,21,22 61:24 73:4,691:9 93:11 100:6 102:12,18 113:7273:12,15 310:19 329:12 333:24,24196:7,10,18 197:2 196:7,10,18 197:2111:1,6,25 112:7 113:17 114:1,1287:19 118:19 120:125116:22 120:11,13 120:19 133:1 145:17 147:13333:24 205:2 222:9199:3,5 201:25 208:16,22 209:25113:17 114:1,12 130:22,25 197:14237:25 244:17,19 244:21,24 245:20145:17 147:13 160:1 164:16 173:21 176:6 191:25 192:1 191:25 192:1228:24 253:5,13 210:2,17,21210:2,17,21 213:18,23 214:13pending 153:17 196:15256:9,14 259:11 256:9,14 259:11 256:9,14 259:11191:25 192:1 199:20 207:23payments 188:21 207:23 252:16,19214:18,20 215:3,9 215:11,15,20100:5 157:6 257:8 272:13316:13,13,20 317:3 318:4	-				-
part 83:17 89:1264:25 265:1194:15,18,20,23149:2461:24 73:4,691:9 93:11 100:6273:12,15 310:19196:7,10,18 197:2penalty 110:1487:19 118:19102:12,18 113:7329:12 333:24,24197:5,12,18,22111:1,6,25 112:7182:11 202:15116:22 120:11,13333:24199:3,5 201:25113:17 114:1,12237:25 244:17,19120:19 133:1payment 188:1,20203:19,20,24130:22,25 197:14244:21,24 245:20145:17 147:13205:2 222:9208:16,22 209:25pending 153:17253:1 255:22151:1 157:18228:24 253:5,13210:2,17,21196:15256:9,14 259:11160:1 164:16272:6211:19 212:19pension 68:16259:14 293:10173:21 176:6payment's 219:19213:18,23 214:1375:18 92:23 93:14308:14 314:10191:25 192:1payments 188:21214:18,20 215:3,9100:5 157:6 257:8316:13,13,20199:20 207:23207:23 252:16,19215:11,15,20272:13317:3 318:4				-	
91:9 93:11 100:6 102:12,18 113:7 116:22 120:11,13273:12,15 310:19 329:12 333:24,24 333:24196:7,10,18 197:2 197:5,12,18,22 197:5,12,18,22 199:3,5 201:25penalty 110:14 111:1,6,25 112:7 113:17 114:1,12 130:22,25 197:1487:19 118:19 182:11 202:15120:19 133:1 120:19 133:1 145:17 147:13 151:1 157:18 160:1 164:16 173:21 176:6 191:25 192:1 191:25 192:1 199:3,5 201:25196:7,10,18 197:2 197:5,12,18,22 199:3,5 201:25penalty 110:14 111:1,6,25 112:7 113:17 114:1,12 130:22,25 197:1487:19 118:19 182:11 202:15151:1 157:18 160:1 164:16 173:21 176:6 191:25 192:1 199:20 207:23203:19,20,24 207:23 252:16,19203:19,20,24 203:19,20,24130:22,25 197:14 130:22,25 197:14 244:21,24 245:20 256:9,14 259:11 256:9,14 259:11 256:9,14 259:11 256:9,14 259:11160:1 164:16 191:25 192:1 199:20 207:23207:23 252:16,19213:18,23 214:13 214:18,20 215:3,9 215:11,15,2075:18 92:23 93:14 272:13308:14 314:10 316:13,13,20	I ▲			,	- <i>i i i</i>
102:12,18 113:7329:12 333:24,24197:5,12,18,22111:1,6,25 112:7182:11 202:15116:22 120:11,13333:24199:3,5 201:25113:17 114:1,12237:25 244:17,19120:19 133:1payment 188:1,20203:19,20,24130:22,25 197:14244:21,24 245:20145:17 147:13205:2 222:9208:16,22 209:25pending 153:17253:1 255:22151:1 157:18228:24 253:5,13210:2,17,21196:15256:9,14 259:11160:1 164:16272:6211:19 212:19pension 68:16259:14 293:10173:21 176:6payment's 219:19213:18,23 214:1375:18 92:23 93:14308:14 314:10191:25 192:1payments 188:21214:18,20 215:3,9100:5 157:6 257:8316:13,13,20199:20 207:23207:23 252:16,19215:11,15,20272:13317:3 318:4	1 -		, , ,		,
116:22 120:11,13 120:19 133:1333:24 payment 188:1,20 205:2 222:9199:3,5 201:25 203:19,20,24113:17 114:1,12 130:22,25 197:14237:25 244:17,19 244:21,24 245:20145:17 147:13 151:1 157:18205:2 222:9 208:24 253:5,13203:19,20,24 208:16,22 209:25113:17 114:1,12 130:22,25 197:14237:25 244:17,19 244:21,24 245:20160:1 164:16 173:21 176:6 191:25 192:1272:6 payment's 219:19 207:23 252:16,19210:2,17,21 213:18,23 214:13196:15 pension 68:16 75:18 92:23 93:14259:14 259:11 259:14 293:10191:25 192:1 199:20 207:23207:23 252:16,19214:18,20 215:3,9 215:11,15,20100:5 157:6 257:8 272:13316:13,13,20 317:3 318:4		,	, ,	1 0	
120:19 133:1 145:17 147:13payment 188:1,20 205:2 222:9203:19,20,24 208:16,22 209:25130:22,25 197:14 pending 153:17 196:15244:21,24 245:20 253:1 255:22151:1 157:18 160:1 164:16 173:21 176:6 191:25 192:1205:2 222:9 228:24 253:5,13208:16,22 209:25 210:2,17,21130:22,25 197:14 pending 153:17 196:15244:21,24 245:20 253:1 255:22151:1 157:18 160:1 164:16 173:21 176:6 191:25 192:1272:6 payment's 219:19 213:18,23 214:13130:22,25 197:14 pending 153:17 196:15244:21,24 245:20 253:1 255:22160:1 164:16 173:21 176:6 191:25 192:1272:6 payment's 219:19 213:18,23 214:13196:15 75:18 92:23 93:14 100:5 157:6 257:82493:10 308:14 314:10199:20 207:23207:23 252:16,19215:11,15,20272:13316:13,13,20 317:3 318:4	,	,		, ,	
145:17 147:13205:2 222:9208:16,22 209:25pending 153:17253:1 255:22151:1 157:18228:24 253:5,13210:2,17,21196:15256:9,14 259:11160:1 164:16272:6211:19 212:19pension 68:16259:14 293:10173:21 176:6payment's 219:19213:18,23 214:1375:18 92:23 93:14308:14 314:10191:25 192:1payments 188:21214:18,20 215:3,9100:5 157:6 257:8316:13,13,20199:20 207:23207:23 252:16,19215:11,15,20272:13317:3 318:4			,	· · · · · ·	,
151:1157:18228:24253:5,13210:2,17,21196:15256:9,14259:11160:1164:16272:6211:19212:19pension68:16259:14293:10173:21176:6payment's 219:19213:18,23214:1375:1892:2393:14308:14314:10191:25192:1payments188:21214:18,20215:3,9100:5157:6257:8316:13,13,20199:20207:23207:23252:16,19215:11,15,20272:13317:3318:4		- ·	, ,	,	,
160:1 164:16272:6211:19 212:19pension 68:16259:14 293:10173:21 176:6payment's 219:19213:18,23 214:1375:18 92:23 93:14308:14 314:10191:25 192:1payments 188:21214:18,20 215:3,9100:5 157:6 257:8316:13,13,20199:20 207:23207:23 252:16,19215:11,15,20272:13317:3 318:4			,		
173:21 176:6 191:25 192:1 199:20 207:23payment's 219:19 payments 188:21 207:23 252:16,19213:18,23 214:13 214:18,20 215:3,975:18 92:23 93:14 100:5 157:6 257:8 272:13308:14 314:10 316:13,13,20 317:3 318:4					<i>,</i>
191:25 192:1 199:20 207:23payments 188:21 207:23 252:16,19214:18,20 215:3,9 215:11,15,20100:5 157:6 257:8 272:13316:13,13,20 317:3 318:4				-	
199:20 207:23 207:23 252:16,19 215:11,15,20 272:13 317:3 318:4					
		1 0			, ,
200.4 223.10 272.3,3 217.3,0,14 220.1 people 117.1 143.2 periods 175.18		,			
	200.4 223.10	212.7,7	217.3,0,14 220.1	people 117.1 143.2	perious 1/3.10

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

[1 age 666
181:11,13 183:3	98:15,25 99:1,9	218:19,23,24,24	347:18 349:2,16	287:1 290:24
311:10 317:2	100:4 102:13,15	219:11 220:16,17	349:20 350:11	293:2 296:8 299:7
348:25	104:12,14,16,18	220:18,18 221:5	351:7 354:2	302:24 303:15
perjury 197:14	106:6,8,25 107:7	221:10,15,24	pilot's 63:8 114:14	305:16 307:17
permitted 86:3	107:20,23 108:2	222:13,17,19,22	164:21 187:3	310:19 323:8
permutations	108:19 109:7,15	223:9,24 224:12	228:25 254:7	324:2 338:1
314:17	109:21,25 110:1,4	225:9,12,14	265:19 315:25	349:20
person 115:4,5	110:6,7,9,17	227:11 229:4	325:23	pilotage-related
144:13,14 247:17	111:1,2,5,7,14,15	230:13 232:7	pilot-office-to-pil	304:10
252:3	111:20 112:8	235:4 237:4	290:4	piloted 222:18
personal 261:11,12	114:2,5,8,23	243:24 245:13,16	pilotage 60:7 61:23	piloting 98:15,16
264:3	115:2,8,10,13	253:18 256:12,20	65:19 66:4,5,8	189:7,22 220:12
personally 121:17	116:6 117:21	256:21 257:15	68:8 71:19 77:22	319:23 320:1
121:19 132:7	118:6,9,10,14,25	258:4 260:16	78:4,5,5,7,9,10	pilots 55:6 56:12
180:22 181:4	119:16 120:1,6,8	262:12 263:20,24	79:18 84:23,25	61:11,14 64:18
268:24	120:21 121:4,11	265:9 266:16	85:5,11 87:2	66:7 70:3,4,24
persuasive 95:10	122:12 130:3,8,12	267:1,5,11 268:22	89:23 90:5,21	71:13 73:11,15,17
pertains 299:20	131:8,18 133:19	271:24 272:22	91:10 92:1,10	76:7 77:12 79:17
301:1 303:23	134:2,10 135:7,11	273:2,23 274:3	94:5,7,8,10,14,16	79:23,25 81:12
304:19 333:18	135:13,20 136:4	278:3 286:6	94:17,18,21 97:7	84:15,20 86:5,8
pertinent 260:1	137:7,8 138:3,24	288:14,15,22	97:12,15 99:8,10	86:10,18,19,22
Peter 154:14	138:25 139:1	290:6,7,8 291:10	99:11 104:11,24	87:25 89:12,25
pg 64:12	140:3,24 144:4	299:10,19 300:24	105:23 106:18	93:9,17 96:17
PGP 169:25	145:6,6 148:5,11	303:22 304:9,18	110:20 114:23	97:8,24 98:5,9,19
Ph.D 64:3	148:18,24 149:5	305:6,10,18	116:20 120:19	98:20 99:4,14
phone 158:3 163:14	149:15 150:3,6	306:21 308:22	126:17,19,22	101:5,7,9,13
319:1 326:6	151:13,17 153:2	309:20 310:3,8,13	127:1,4,20 128:6	104:4,10,15,17
Photos 77:18	155:1 158:19	311:13,15 312:1	128:16,20 130:2	105:1,2,24 106:22
phrased 350:2,6	159:4 160:2,25,25	312:22,23 313:2,6	134:22 137:4,5	108:5 110:13,23
physical 278:12	161:6,9 162:7	313:12 316:1,12	140:4,13 145:2	111:9,11,19,24
physically 107:15	174:1,11 175:3,17	316:24 317:12,18	146:1,8,15,21	112:1,4,5 113:24
pick 120:14 169:24	176:5,25 177:7,19	317:21,22 318:3,9	157:12,13 159:18	114:20,21 115:6
246:23 255:3	179:9 182:9	318:11,18 319:11	159:25 162:12	115:23 116:14
336:4	184:17 185:13,14	319:13 320:23	163:5,9 178:18	117:20 118:1,3,11
picked 170:9	185:17 186:23	322:6,15 324:12	185:13 199:12,17	118:18,19 122:2
picks 232:12	187:2 188:17,24	324:16 325:10,12	202:14 211:2	126:5,13 129:25
piece 178:17 248:2	188:24 189:5,16	325:16,18,20	219:25 221:9,12	130:10 132:5,25
Pier 162:7	189:19,20,21	326:9,16,18 327:2	221:17,19,22	133:10,14 134:14
pilot 60:5,11,17,18	191:11,12,14,16	327:3,6 332:2,14	222:19,24 223:3,3	134:17 137:2,12
64:19 65:11,16,21	191:17 192:7,10	332:19 333:4,8,12	223:8,14,17,22,25	138:4,5,20 142:17
65:23 66:18 72:25	192:12,15 193:19	333:20,22 336:20	224:20,25 232:24	143:24 145:1
73:12,14 77:10,18	195:5,15 205:7	337:2,3 338:10	234:19 250:17	146:10,14 147:10
86:15 89:7,25	206:3 207:24	340:6,10 341:8,11	252:17 263:16	149:23 152:14,18
90:8,24 93:9,18	208:4 209:2 211:3	342:14,23 343:3,6	274:19 275:13,14	153:12 157:16
94:1 97:16,18,20	211:7,16 213:5	346:11,21 347:13	276:2,9 278:13	158:2,14,15
	l		1	'

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

159:25 160:6,10	328:1,3,9 329:11	168:8,18 197:13	point 85:4 91:11	Portland 168:19
160:19,25 161:4	329:18,19,22	198:18 200:5	95:13 112:9	270:14 281:5
161:11,14,16,18	332:9 333:2,24,25	201:5,17 202:24	120:20 165:1	294:7
163:11,21 164:2,9	334:1,2 335:18	203:21 215:6,22	185:18 194:13	ports 74:20,21,22
174:6,13,17	336:18,21 337:1,5	216:17 217:7	203:18 204:1	290:16 291:4,19
175:15 176:17	337:8,11,12,17,21	227:20 231:6,24	206:8 209:8 210:4	291:22 292:5,9,10
177:25 182:1,9	338:11,16,18,21	242:12 244:20	214:6 248:9 250:4	292:16,17
183:18 187:16	339:13,14,24,25	259:18 270:9,13	254:1,2,9,10	posed 230:6
188:1 189:7,8	340:13,17,24,25	283:7,10 284:1	255:3,4 266:10,11	position 82:18
190:2,6 191:10	341:20,23 342:2,3	285:14 297:19	267:1 269:11	117:5 123:5
193:15 194:8	342:8,15,20,22,25	301:6 302:17	280:11 355:5	139:18 161:21
195:6,6,21 197:6	343:12,24 344:4,4	304:22,23 306:13	pointed 89:21	196:3
203:5 204:4	344:24 345:3,6,9	306:17 307:21	204:20	positions 277:14,15
205:10,13,15,20	345:12,13,15,15	308:8 314:25	pointing 291:2	positive 264:12,18
205:24 207:1,8,12	345:18,19 346:12	320:11	points 172:6 254:21	possible 93:23
207:13 211:4	346:15,24 347:3,5	Pleasure 78:9,11	254:22 255:2	117:19,22 165:11
215:5 218:15	347:14,25 348:3	plus 73:23 86:4	265:8	182:14,18 183:3
219:3,14 221:3	349:10,22,24,25	128:22 195:20	Polar 61:9	229:12 294:19
222:24 223:15,16	351:8	349:3	Policies 77:17	349:15
225:23 226:14	pilots' 63:12 89:5	PMSA 57:2 60:14	policy 63:8 65:7	possibly 147:25
227:3,4,17 228:2	218:1 261:21	61:6 62:11 63:5	86:21 110:21	186:25 229:9
228:13,15 229:7	pizza 195:22	63:19,21 64:6,7,8	140:13 146:1	potential 153:19
232:13,24 240:21	place 110:7 123:23	64:16,24 65:4,6	155:20 211:24,25	247:20
245:17 252:5,6,11	124:9 195:22	65:12,15 66:10,17	212:6 302:4,8,15	potentially 148:6
252:19,20,23,24	198:21 216:22	66:22 67:8,10,11	303:11,25,25	208:5 268:24
253:16,17 254:16	309:14 317:12	67:17,20,23 68:12	304:5,20 311:17	279:24
256:8 258:3,8	places 91:17,23	68:14,15,19 69:14	314:5 348:13	power 140:6
261:7,17,20 262:2	104:5 105:25	69:14,23 70:6,7,8	political 203:13,15	powers 124:4,14,15
262:11,13,22	plan 63:24 64:4	70:9,10,11,18,19	pool 343:25	156:25
263:1 264:3,10,20	65:14 205:1,1,8	70:20,21 74:15,22	pooled 220:13	practicable 93:23
265:14 266:6,20	205:12,14,16	75:5,8,9 77:13	333:16	practical 266:15
267:9,15 271:5,23	206:2 207:11,12	80:4,6 81:20	port 74:12,13,17	practice 206:5
272:5,14,14	207:18 272:10,19	87:23 88:21,24	78:4 98:14 104:18	266:20 332:17
273:11,11,21	290:9 355:10,12	89:22 90:15,17,20	145:17 146:2	practices 92:25
275:5 276:20	planning 117:8	90:23 91:11 96:24	158:14 219:14	140:9 141:23
277:11 278:20	312:5	132:16 141:9	262:18,18 263:4	156:11 210:9
286:2,20 288:2	plans 205:4,19,24	147:13 196:22	263:11 291:7	practitioner 173:1
292:20 299:11	326:4	208:10 232:23	292:9 293:4,8,9	practitioners 198:3
305:17 307:8,14	platform 248:2	241:2 245:25	293:10,10,12	pre-file 314:16
308:20 309:9,24	285:8	247:3 280:9	294:9,11 340:13	pre-filed 168:21
310:1 311:11,25	play 87:14 102:12	334:17	portion 91:18	246:1 270:15
315:12 321:14	110:8	PMSA's 87:21	172:3,4 222:14	297:23,25
322:22 323:1,8,18	please 84:3 91:1	92:25 179:13	314:16 322:24	preadmitting 83:6
324:21 325:17	95:16,20 96:15	PMSA-s 64:21	349:3	precedent 85:17
326:21 327:15	100:22 137:11	PO 56:21	portions 286:25	predicated 311:6

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Γ				
predict 326:5	124:2,3,4,5,9,9,10	principles 88:25	94:3	proportion 220:13
predictability	124:11,12,24	90:22 93:21,22,25	proceeds 219:19	proposal 74:23
157:16 158:3	125:1,2,3,6,15,17	172:7,10 173:2	process 82:12 84:21	85:20,21 86:6,7
163:11,12	125:18 130:16	printout 213:12	84:24 85:3,5,8,20	87:16,21 88:10
predicted 151:17	131:3,8,14,18	271:8	87:8 135:6 236:13	95:7
170:19	132:8 133:15	prior 118:7 125:11	239:6 267:11	Proposals 68:7
prediction 171:2	134:13,15 135:3	182:7 185:8	283:4	propose 86:7 87:24
predicts 179:10	137:3 139:6,18,19	237:11 272:10,10	processes 87:4	proposed 62:3,4,5
prefer 205:1 241:10	139:22 140:10	340:19	267:3	62:6,7,9 67:9
274:13	141:2,24 142:12	priority 111:5	Proclamations	69:18 73:24 74:15
preference 214:11	142:21 147:4,5,6	privately 204:13,22	71:24	87:22,23 91:17,19
preferred 274:10	147:7,7 159:15	pro 61:20,21,22	produce 154:3	93:7,16,18 253:4
prefers 257:20	267:22 268:24	199:20 203:15	156:5 157:24	257:12 262:3
preliminary 79:21	304:9 342:6 343:5	proactive 104:3	produced 178:1	263:21 303:5
premise 212:1,13	343:17 345:6	105:23	produces 126:21	proposes 79:17
premium 189:12	President's 142:9	probably 85:6	157:22	86:1,16,18,21,22
222:19	142:13	117:16 155:5	producing 126:16	proposing 208:10
preparation 213:14	presiding 79:12	167:19 213:24	profession 275:24	propulsion 275:6
prepared 231:18,19	83:25	236:15 237:14	professional 312:7	protect 91:14
prepares 158:24	Press 72:3,7	240:25 251:6	professor 171:16	Protection 76:4
preparing 235:6,8	presume 339:14	257:5 280:8	173:1	proud 91:8
260:14	pretty 120:25	286:17 289:17	profiles 292:25	provide 83:16 89:4
preponderance	124:19 151:25	290:12 294:5	profit 90:13	89:7 97:11,25
268:10	158:7 160:19	341:25	program 60:10	98:3 99:11,15
prescribed 218:10	161:8 307:15	problem 129:8	118:12,22 157:15	119:12 120:9
present 94:19	350:2	200:21 209:6	157:22,24 158:6	126:10 137:20
96:23 168:24	prevent 137:11	228:10,15,18,21	162:25 163:3,5,7	138:1 154:4
180:19,24 270:18	prevention 116:24	298:13 304:24	163:11,17,20,24	189:14 198:18
328:12	prevents 138:20	340:10	164:2,3,12 235:5	204:24 205:5
presentation 64:23	previous 110:16	problematic 138:3	programs 272:14	206:10 216:18
200:2 201:1	234:6 290:18	procedural 165:10	prohibits 107:14	220:11 232:18
202:17	342:24	procedure 83:19	project 213:5	237:6 242:13
presented 92:19	previously 134:23	348:22	257:15 260:16	284:2 307:7 323:8
213:12 291:10	217:2	procedures 87:4	projection 169:15	324:2
preside 84:1	Priceout-Revenue	proceed 80:24 95:6	183:24 184:19,20	provided 80:12
Presidency 123:24	62:6,7,9	96:25 136:25	projections 95:2	92:22 98:23 104:1
president 90:9	primarily 175:18	169:2 187:10	169:19 170:6,12	135:19 170:22
100:11,14,23,25	176:18 177:7	202:20 203:23	170:24 171:6	178:21 179:4
101:17 105:14	181:9 213:2	proceeding 85:2,25	173:7 174:23	180:10 206:17
116:3,7,8,12	primary 176:3	86:5 88:25 94:21	Promotions 69:7	207:7 223:25
117:19,25 121:10	181:21 257:17	95:9 181:20	pronounced 168:14	235:21 237:4
121:14,19 122:9	294:8 311:13	203:11 208:8	proper 153:9	276:2 291:13
122:15,18,19	313:2 349:19	243:8 285:13	properly 89:8	295:15 308:23
123:2,6,12,13,15	351:6	291:16 306:20	141:3	310:24,25 335:17
123:22,23,25	principal 98:4	proceedings 59:17	property 117:4	338:24 353:4,11
L				

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 4.90 000
provider 97:7	98:17 99:2,10,10	246:2 251:20	publicly 65:21,23	put 108:20 113:14
261:16 265:7,15	99:16 100:5,11,14	252:8,14,16 253:3	291:5,6,9	147:17 158:16
providers 264:14	100:20 101:1,11	253:5,8 255:2	published 212:3	161:3 214:9 292:8
provides 94:12	101:16 103:2	262:22 266:20	299:12	329:18 339:2
99:2 204:4 206:19	104:11,22,23	276:5 287:20	Puget 55:6 56:12	puts 329:10
312:2	105:8,14,23 106:4	288:8 296:7	60:6,11 63:8 66:4	putting 121:11
providing 104:2,8	106:8 108:10	298:19 299:1,20	74:7,12,19 79:17	260:17 261:24
126:19 127:1	114:22 115:12	300:25 301:4	79:19,23,25 81:12	273:1
252:22,24 309:11	116:3 118:25	303:5,23 304:9,10	84:14,20 91:15	PYM 57:8 91:13,15
348:20	119:3,16 120:1	304:19 306:7	94:17 96:17 97:8	92:3
proving 75:22	123:15 126:16,21	307:6,7,8,9,9	97:12,19 98:16	
90:19	129:21 130:16,17	308:1,7 312:6	117:2 128:16	Q
provision 90:5	131:9 132:16	318:9,18 323:18	160:25 161:10	Q&A 76:12
94:10 108:18	133:7,14 135:11	326:10,15 327:4	162:11 163:21	qualification 208:1
125:5,21,22 126:4	139:5 140:11,11	327:10,14 328:24	169:16 175:3	242:20
199:12,17 200:3	141:25,25 142:3	335:18 338:20	176:4 195:18	qualifications
201:2,2 202:14,18	143:11 144:3,17	342:6,6 343:5,5	197:6 211:4,7	207:18
347:23,24	146:4,8,25 147:6	343:17 344:21	215:5 223:15,16	qualified 272:13
provisions 151:2	147:9,19,23	347:12 349:9	252:6,19 253:17	qualify 205:13
PSA 90:18	148:16,25 149:20	PSP's 64:12 65:9	254:2,22 256:23	206:1
PSP 60:8,9,10,12	150:2,20 151:7	66:17 68:4 69:7,8	258:8 261:16	quantify 275:7
60:13,14,24 61:3	156:10 163:3,19	69:9,10,11,12,13	275:2,14 278:4,13	quantifying 138:25
61:5,5,8,11 62:11	163:19 170:23	82:8 86:6 87:12	286:2,6,20,23,24	Quarter 74:25
62:12,13,14 63:5	174:3 177:24	87:16 88:10 89:10	287:6 288:2 293:2	quasi 158:20
63:18,19,21,21,24	178:11,14,16,22	89:14,19,24 90:3	293:3,5,12 295:14	question 88:21
64:4,6,7,8,16,23	179:15 180:24	90:7,17 91:16	299:10 315:12	105:5,9,18 114:4
65:4,11,12,14,20	181:17 184:17,21	92:23,24 93:14,23	344:5	117:12 120:12,13
66:3,10,14,16,19	184:23 185:5,8	95:7 97:23 98:4	pull 237:8,23,23	120:20 126:20,20
66:20,21,21 67:10	187:20 191:24	104:4 105:24	335:23	138:14,15,17
67:11,13,14,15,16	204:3 205:7,8,10	112:3 131:21	pulled 250:3	139:14 142:2
67:16,20,22,23	205:12,14,15,16	145:13,24 146:1	pulls 238:20	149:11 152:9
68:6,12 69:14,15	205:18,23 206:3	146:22 154:3	purchased 212:5,7	156:14,16,23
69:23 70:19,20,21	207:2,8,8,10,14	155:17 173:22	212:8,10	157:1 165:10
71:5,7,9 72:9,14	211:2 213:3	188:21 206:5	pure 175:12	178:7,10 180:7
73:4,6,7,20,22,23	217:21 218:5,11	210:9 214:7 218:8	purpose 82:5	181:19 192:3
73:24 74:15,22,25	218:14,18 219:3	219:2 231:14	184:11 271:5	194:24 196:3,15
75:9 76:6 77:11	219:19,20,22	233:5 288:10	purposes 82:10,12	200:5 201:23
77:23,24 78:3	220:11 221:5,14	309:23 322:15,18	129:24 140:8	202:9 206:11
80:12 82:11,14	221:15 223:4,7,22	348:13	141:23 207:16	207:4 208:20
85:20,21 86:1,10	224:4,20 225:21	PSSOA 61:9 77:19	212:1 225:18	209:4 210:4
86:16,22 87:6,22	225:22 226:7,9	public 74:19 94:23	301:10 302:11,14	212:11 213:2,4
88:5 89:16,17	229:15 231:21	117:10 152:17	304:15 305:4,4,7	222:18 226:3,3,6
90:14,18 91:14,20	232:5 235:19	204:8,13 206:18	305:16 321:17,17	227:14 228:9,22
93:12 95:15 97:7	237:4 239:8 243:5	247:12 261:14	pursuant 219:20	229:13 233:6
97:12,20 98:5,9	243:11 244:16	299:7	purview 206:13	234:24 244:15,16
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			-	247:9,23 259:21
		l	I	l

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				8
260:1 266:4 268:5	60:24 61:3 194:22	88:7 89:18,22	241:9 297:11	322:9 329:14
273:5 276:22	243:2 279:15,15	91:10,16,21 93:7	315:18,21 324:18	330:14
281:9 295:23	279:17,25 280:9	93:7,19 94:1,13	348:18	recalculate 236:25
301:7 302:1	280:13 281:12	94:21 147:13	real 123:10 183:10	recall 81:21 110:22
304:23 305:2	282:10,21 283:3	169:13,17 203:11	265:13 281:8	132:24 133:17
309:19 320:11	283:10 284:1,4,14	207:5 256:9 257:3	339:1 349:9	254:15 286:11
326:8,9 330:22	285:3 291:15	257:4 264:2 291:7	real-life 171:22	351:9
341:16,19 343:4	295:6 296:15,18	291:13	realistic 327:21	recalls 351:2 352:2
350:2,7,15,17,20	quickly 250:1	rate-making 89:2	realize 85:9 294:12	receipt 261:2
questioner 283:7	336:16	93:22 94:3	351:12	receipts 234:5
questioning 81:24	quite 81:7 85:2	rate-setting 84:23	realized 251:12	257:21 260:22
82:14,23 83:1,4	124:6 184:7	85:8,19 86:2 87:8	really 86:4,24	261:3,4 267:17
147:21 165:20	210:25 267:14	87:24 93:24 94:6	115:6 175:16	receivable 159:2
209:23	268:14 289:2	rates 69:18 75:4	193:23 195:3	receive 144:8,19
questions 113:16	314:21,22 324:5	79:18 84:25 85:5	198:4 243:24	145:1 146:3
129:3 142:24	330:4 337:18	85:7,12,15,17	277:25 278:18	148:15 150:2
143:2,7,19,24	341:3,24	86:2,9 87:3,5,7,12	292:4 317:1	193:16,16,21,22
148:1 149:22	quote 142:1	93:12 95:8 204:10	318:22 328:14	204:15 213:7
150:11,13 156:8	quoting 205:6	207:4 218:6,9	329:12 338:14,17	227:4 266:22
162:17 164:23		219:15 244:9,18	341:14 342:25	311:3 325:2 333:2
176:20 190:21	R	ratio 173:16 309:11	343:15	received 179:15
191:1,6 192:22	R 55:25 352:8	309:12 310:11	realtime 280:21	208:6 221:10
193:2,12 194:14	356:1,6,16	rationale 85:15	281:4,6,9,10,13	222:14 286:1
194:17 196:20,23	radical 258:10	Rayne 55:12 56:3	283:8 294:1	325:5 353:15
210:3,15,18,20	raise 82:13,24	79:9	reason 110:11	receives 188:24
211:1,18 212:17	95:20 166:14	rayne.pearson@	119:12 120:9	189:19,20 253:5,7
212:20 213:16	197:13 215:22	56:6	136:5 164:17	326:10 327:3
217:19 229:19,23	241:18 269:14	RCW 110:22	179:1 215:13	receiving 149:24
230:4,6,21,25	282:10 297:5	348:23,24	reasonable 88:23	190:16 272:10
231:1 232:22	raised 82:11 259:21	re-adjourn 143:14	90:20 95:8 204:19	recess 143:14,17
233:19 234:8,10	259:24	reached 280:10	213:10	214:21,23 335:8
234:22 235:4	raising 260:7	355:5	reasonably 200:3	355:13
236:3 249:23	Ramirez 70:12	react 264:10	201:1 202:18	recognize 185:10
251:14 255:7,8	ran 182:4,6,8,12	reaction 264:11	reasons 134:3	305:15
258:23 259:19	338:9	read 103:25 134:8	147:10 186:4	recognized 302:10
260:6 265:21	random 98:11,21	138:11 202:2,11	reassigned 347:10	314:4
269:2,5 274:16	98:21 101:7	227:24 228:7	rebuttal 60:20	recognizes 94:19
278:23 279:8	182:25 268:2	246:13 285:4	62:10 63:13 64:5	305:6,10
285:7 294:12,14	range 248:17 259:4	305:1,2 314:5	64:20 67:7,18,19	recollection 191:14
296:12,14 301:8	rank 102:7	readily 200:20	168:22 198:23	recommendation
307:19 314:18	ranks 198:5 rare 267:16 268:20	reading 250:6	217:1 231:6 235:3	183:24 190:5
334:4 343:16	318:12	reads 266:25 267:9	274:7 287:11,14	329:10
353:5 354:25	rate 60:19 64:22	ready 97:1 110:5	292:12 295:8	recommendations
quibble 274:12,12	65:19 70:14 72:15	114:6 121:25	296:4 308:25	68:9 75:15 92:20
quick 59:10 60:15	74:16 79:16 87:3	139:15 148:19	313:5 315:1,2	92:24 93:20 95:10
	/4.10 / 7.10 0/.3			
L				

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 dgc 000
173:25	210:7 211:22	121:8 143:25	253:7 263:9,19,24	214:4
recommended 75:4	230:1 233:24	refuses 108:19	266:21 267:6,17	remote 56:1 57:1
93:8 303:8	239:3 255:11,13	109:8 134:2	267:20,22 268:7	248:2 356:8
recommends 93:10	259:16 279:1	refusing 112:5	related 76:15	remove 102:13,15
reconcile 238:7	294:16 295:4	119:12	227:14 249:7	removed 134:20
Reconciliation	reduce 174:24	regard 236:10	251:7 252:13	203:14
61:24	reduced 340:23	264:19	295:13	removes 199:20
reconvene 214:21	343:25	regarding 92:23,24	relates 323:18	Rendahl 56:9 58:7
355:10,14	reduction 174:16	93:1,2,7,14 94:14	relating 302:23	58:15 59:6 84:4,6
record 79:7 81:1	177:1 182:10,16	94:15,17,24	303:15	84:18 128:8,11,13
83:18 143:17	refer 127:17 129:2	126:17,22 133:15	relations 157:13	128:17 138:8,12
152:11 153:17,19	137:22 192:9	172:11 174:1,4	relationship 100:19	156:13,15 162:20
154:5 155:9	231:24 244:7,10	175:3 179:9	162:8	162:21,23 180:3,8
179:15 180:4	306:9 323:1	180:19 184:12	relative 139:3	194:15,17 198:9
198:19 215:3	351:10	188:21 204:2,5	258:8	201:6,8,13 212:22
216:19 230:5	reference 103:20	208:1 227:16	relatively 173:18	212:24 213:15
242:13,19 256:11	202:7 233:15	230:7 232:23	339:11	214:17 234:24,25
257:15 261:5	316:8 323:10	243:11 244:7	relayed 196:23	235:2,9 249:21,22
282:6,9 284:2,17	referenced 233:1	251:14 287:3	Release 72:3,7	256:3 260:7,9,11
291:8 297:24	256:9 303:12	335:16 347:13	reliabilities 223:16	265:20 281:24
301:17 335:12	references 233:9	348:25	reliability 265:13	283:13,14,16,20
351:13	referred 120:16	regardless 219:4	265:16	285:22,23 287:23
recorded 144:23	145:10 152:3	325:14,20,24	reliable 261:15	287:25 300:13,16
158:19 301:21	154:2 155:5	326:10 327:14,25	relied 170:13	331:7,10,12
303:18	260:16 287:1	region 161:11	175:18 179:14	Rendahl's 266:4
recording 281:10	288:23	Register 60:22	217:25 232:15	Renewals 78:8
recordkeeping	referring 81:1	regression 170:8	351:7	repeat 126:20
157:11	108:16 154:1	regular 123:3 130:1	relies 104:22 181:9	200:5 280:18
recoup 244:18	181:13,14 201:10	279:14 338:18	181:10	281:9 330:22
245:15,18	217:21 225:13	regularly 116:17	relieved 128:19	repeating 113:1
recover 207:3	233:13,13 234:16	124:19	264:17	rephrase 119:23
recovered 199:13	243:19 249:1	regulate 94:9	relocation 256:13	178:7
207:1,22	288:24 289:11	regulated 93:25	256:15	report 63:6 65:17
recreational 91:10	290:15 303:20	94:5	rely 94:16 115:6,8	65:18 68:8 69:19
91:20 92:1	322:19,19 326:22	regulation 94:15	176:14,18	71:18,19 75:16
recross 58:20	326:23 339:21	211:25 212:3,4	remain 165:12	127:12 128:14,16
234:12 259:16	refers 156:4 308:16	regulations 60:23	remainder 320:19	129:15 130:7
RECROSS-EXA	321:16	210:12	321:2	159:17 160:13
234:14	reflected 221:23	regulators 252:15	remaining 83:15	175:3 176:5
recuperate 244:8	reflects 181:8 222:1	regulatory 69:11	211:7,11,13	177:11 236:19
redirect 58:6,10,14	refresh 99:20	88:25 200:2,24,25	259:13 313:23	249:11 252:14
58:15,18,19,21	refusal 134:5	202:16,17	remains 178:15	299:7,11 338:24
59:5,12 143:1,7	refuse 106:8,12,17	reimbursed 262:22	remember 162:9	341:24
143:18,21 190:20	106:22 118:25	263:18	191:18	reported 55:25
190:25 191:4	119:4 120:10	reimbursement	remind 208:17	73:8 127:4 159:18
	•	•	•	•

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 490 001
244:2 246:2,12	202:9 232:2,4,14	Research 65:10	responses 60:24,24	result 87:4 148:12
255:20 262:19,24	232:18 234:3,6,17	reserved 103:8	61:3,5 62:14 63:5	264:6 318:24
263:3 299:16	234:17 235:20,23	resolved 81:16	63:18,19,21,21	319:25
reporter 83:17	236:11 266:21	87:10	64:7,8,16 65:4	resulted 170:17
133:5 147:24,25	267:23 300:2,4,14	Resource 74:3	66:10,11,12,14,16	resulting 89:6
215:4 281:12,16	300:15 303:21	respect 144:17	66:17,22 67:10,11	results 68:23 74:16
293:23 294:3	323:12,16 334:5	169:17 171:22	67:13,20,23 69:14	171:10,23 173:4
304:25 356:7	334:14 335:16	179:8 191:9	69:14 75:8,9,12	190:5 260:18
reporting 126:25	346:5 351:13,14	respects 94:20	81:7 234:6 285:7	318:25
127:7 129:25	353:13	respite 102:19	334:5	resume 77:21
157:12,14 164:7	requested 88:6	115:16 118:15,17	responsibilities	335:12 336:3
280:21 281:4,6,10	104:12 105:2	118:20 148:10,11	115:9 123:8 147:1	354:25
281:11 299:15	195:10	148:15 308:3,9,14	147:8	retains 94:8
reports 71:4,5,7,15	requests 75:12 81:9	308:15,17 310:18	responsibility	retired 205:11,13
72:8 74:25 75:3	81:20 82:9 83:21	310:23 311:1,4	115:9 125:13	205:20,25 206:1
126:17,21,24	92:3 147:14,17,20	321:4 322:4 328:6	130:17 150:7	205.20,25 200.1
120:17,21,24	267:6,17 268:7	332:13 338:19	responsible 100:15	Retiree 67:15
129:14,17,22	require 93:12,13	339:6,24 349:3,13	101:1,15,24	retirees 272:7,9,10
157:22,23 158:24	151:13 152:15	respond 105:12	102:11 106:5	retirement 60:10
163:14 268:1	153:12 200:1	202:1 235:4	111:9 129:13	63:24 64:4 76:2
repos 311:14 337:1	required 97:16	responded 92:25	243:6 260:14,17	89:22
reposition 104:17	104:7 122:3 125:3	Respondent 55:7	rest 102:2,4 110:6	retiring 221:4
267:12 341:11	125:14 126:5,9	responding 147:20	115:16,19,19	return 70:14 89:5
repositioning 258:3	133:3 142:17	response 60:13,14	158:5 177:1	279:13
261:9 262:12	145:1,5,7 148:7	61:6,12 62:11,12	209:24 252:10	return-on-rate-b
263:2 267:8,10	148:19 152:25	62:13 64:6 65:20	308:14 316:8,13	87:23 88:4
308:22 310:2	192:10 200:3	66:11,13,21 67:8	316:20 317:2,3,11	returning 321:7
313:1,15,18	201:1 202:18	67:13,16,16,22	318:7 319:7,8,14	Rev 68:10
320:23 337:1	218:6 227:3	68:4,6,12,14,15	320:9,19 340:8,14	Revel 145:17 146:3
341:8	278:19 307:14,17	69:9,10,12,13,15	346:12,18 348:6	revenue 61:24
repositionings	316:20 319:14	69:23 70:6,7,8,9	348:14,21,25	68:10 71:5 72:13
312:10,12	333:8 338:14,17	70:10,11,16,17,18		72:20,23 73:3,5
repositions 317:5	346:22 348:5	70:19,20,21 81:10	142:19 172:10	89:6,11 90:21
represent 192:15	requirement 89:6	81:15 82:8 113:4	174:7 243:15	92:8,8 93:3,15
representative	89:11 93:3,15	113:10 132:16	301:6 303:16	189:13,20 195:1
259:14	189:14 195:1	141:9,10,18,22	304:23	199:13 207:22
representing	199:13 207:22	154:4 179:13	rested 98:25 104:11	208:11 219:25
217:25 252:7	208:11 226:19	201:9,24 228:11	114:6 118:3,9	221:9,12,19,22
request 59:20 68:7	347:10	228:19 232:18	120:6 121:24	223:7,8,12,17
74:22 81:7 112:24	requirements 89:17	233:1 239:17	148:19 264:13	224:20,25 229:15
113:5,10 132:16	135:23,24 145:18	300:2 303:12,20	315:16,21	232:24 237:9
141:10 153:20	requires 104:3,17	323:12 326:9	restrict 316:11	238:8,9,16 245:7
154:4 155:17	105:23 133:19	334:6 335:16	restriction 308:5	248:21 249:3,10
156:9,12 177:24	requiring 316:13	346:5 351:13	restrictions 136:4	249:12 256:25
179:14 201:9,12	reschedule 116:18	353:12	restructuring 90:21	259:1 263:16
	I	I	I	1

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 aye 392
264:8 293:8 294:8	124:16 126:19,22	243:3,14 244:9	341:21,23 342:4,7	137:9 139:7 140:4
299:4 302:2	127:9,13,19,24	245:1 246:21	343:6,8,11,17,18	142:16 144:3,5,24
revenue-generati	128:2,13,20	248:22 250:14	343:22 344:1	157:15 159:8
92:7	129:16 130:9,14	255:6 265:20	345:8,16,20	164:14,16 219:8
revenues 72:14	130:15 131:12	268:13 269:1,3,7	346:10,13,20	299:20 301:1
74:19 184:21	132:14,19 133:7,9	269:9,15,20,23	348:14,21 351:8	303:23 304:20
218:5,14,18 219:2	135:14,21 136:1	271:19,20,25	351:20 352:1,2,3	323:25 325:11,18
219:6 220:12	137:2,6 138:12	272:3,7,11,23	352:8,11,20,22	327:23 337:23
222:24 247:3	139:1 141:21	273:19 274:15,15	353:2,25,25 354:5	338:2 344:24
248:3,6,19 252:17	142:23 143:16	275:2,7,24 276:2	354:10,12 355:8	347:25
255:18 258:9	144:16 149:10,10	276:5,10 277:1,9	right-hand 198:8	rotational 338:2
299:2,3 325:13,20	149:12 153:7,15	277:11,21,23	rigor 182:15	rotations 139:23
review 92:20 93:22	154:17 156:6	278:9,25 279:7,9	Rise 72:21	rough 291:24
95:6 173:3 178:24	157:25 160:24	280:13 282:3,8,10	risk 65:14 117:3	roughly 258:16
181:12 184:23	162:16,19 166:14	282:17,18 283:17	Risks 75:24	338:7
185:5,8 199:24	166:19,23 169:1	283:21 285:20	River 161:5 240:20	rounded 248:4,6,10
200:1,24 202:16	170:9,24 171:3	286:7,16 287:4	270:25 271:4,23	313:15
218:5 267:23	170:3,24 171:3	294:22,25 296:13	273:11 275:2,4,14	route 290:10
reviewed 169:7	172:24 173:11,14	296:15,20 297:3,3	276:12 278:5,13	routes 67:4,5 98:1
175:2 186:4	173.19,23,25	297:5,10 298:17	291:21	99:13 137:21
187:21	175:7,17 176:15	299:9 301:1,11,16	RL-1T 67:18	routinely 204:10
reviewer 268:25	176:20 177:14	302:5,25 303:5,9	road 266:9 284:7	row 287:19 339:3
reviewing 173:22	179:24 182:4	303:15 304:11	284:10	351:19,19 352:7
225:21	183:5 185:3	306:8,21,23	Robert 67:18	rows 339:4 351:20
revise 314:7	185.5 185.5	307:18,25 308:3	role 110:8 124:11	352:2
revised 60:10	190:5,8 192:16,19	308:10 309:8	124:11 135:3	Royer 70:22
209:17,20 314:6	190.3,8 192.10,19	310:21 311:2	142:21 158:21	RPR 55:25 356:16
revisions 79:17	193.4,8,24 194.5	312:15 313:25	roles 157:2,4	Rul 68:10
revisit 149:25	194.12,18 190.9	314:24 316:3,9,17	room 115:5 116:25	rule 108:15,25
RFPR 70:23	190.10 197.2,2,12	316:25 317:4,5,9	129:1 167:9,17	109:3 130:25
ride 258:20 261:13	204:1,5,11,16,20	317:24 319:8,10	246:6	131:7 133:15,18
261:19	205:21 206:10,19	319:20 320:1,4,10		136:1 137:8,10
			221:5,16 222:13	
Ridgefield 198:21	207:2,7,9,16	320:13,14 321:4 321:19 322:6,8	<i>'</i>	307:21,22,25 308:15 317:12
right 79:6 80:4 82:3,20 83:14	209:21 215:11,15	,	222:17 223:9,24 224:21 227:3	
	215:21,23 216:3,7 217:13 218:25	323:2 324:4,8		rules 60:9,12,23
84:2 95:20 97:9		325:8,15,21	322:6,15 327:16	108:10,13 109:19
97:16,21 98:1,4	219:16,20 220:8	326:19 327:4,5,17	329:23	112:4 121:23
98:24 100:5,11,18	220:14,19 223:4	327:19,20 328:2	rotation 98:11,12	130:18,21,23,24
101:5,8,13 103:9	224:2,5,9 225:21	328:16,22 330:23	98:21,21 101:4,7	131:3,7,9,19,21
105:16 107:3,13	226:21,25 227:18	330:24 332:6,14	102:17,18 108:19	131:24 132:6,25
107:22 108:12	228:13 229:18	335:7,11,18	109:8 110:2,19	133:7 135:17,25
109:8,11 110:25	234:23 236:3,5	336:13 337:23	118:10,11,18	137:4,6 138:19,24
115:7,22 119:19	237:19,20 238:23	338:2,3,6 339:11	120:6 123:3 130:1	139:5,6 149:23
120:3 121:12	239:17 240:6,17	339:15 340:2,19	130:8,10 133:9,11	150:8 151:3,7,9
122:16 123:9,18	241:6,19,24 242:3	340:21,25 341:2	133:16 135:1	151:23,24 152:6
			l	l

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	[:
	152.14 155.2 4 6	50W 328.23	227.21 228.2	242.15 251.18	220.21 244.10
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		• 8		,	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $,	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $, ,	,	
348:24,25 277:25 278:2 347:2 Secretary 251:23 selected 237:3 ruling 164:15 280:22,22 285:3,4 scheduled-to-work 251:25 259:13 243:3 301:4 303:19 schedulig 155:7 99:19 100:8 268:2 243:3 301:4 303:19 schemes 277:4 123:19 124:6 self-perpetuating 240:20 282:5 337:13 351:9 schemes 277:4 130:21 131:6 345:23 says 100:7 106:19 soge 89:13 105:6 212:6 220:10,19 seni 292:17 197:25 St-y-re, 242:14 131:10,14 132:21 210:12 259:16,17 306:15,18,24 senis 29:12,129:3 safe 355:12 135:17 140:23 Scott 67:3 69:16 322:1,1 senible 89:2 sentence 339:18,21 safety 109:16,25 141:22 150:25 93:6 security 116:21,24 sentence 339:18,21 290:9 312:6 317:6 254:8,21 276:19 299:9 215:9,13 108:17,20 116:17 332:6 342:19 safety 109:16,25 133:12 118:11,21 100:1 102:7 separately 29:16 seture 39:18,21 30:15 24:160:2 254:8,21 276:19 299:9 215:9,13 108:17,40 113:					
			· · · · · ·		
run 117:2 237:21 295:21 299:21 325:24 section 63:17 99:16 selections 235:22 243:3 301:4 303:19 scheduling 155:7 99:19 100:8 268:2 240:20 282:5 337:13 351:9 schemes 277:4 133:19.22 150:21 self-perpetuating 353:14 says 100:7 106:19 scope 89:13 105:6 212:6 220:10,19 senio 239:12,12,12,18 sacrificing 349:12 133:9 134:1 260:3 325:24 senio 79:217 97:15 sacrificing 349:12 133:9 134:1 260:3 321:14 senio 79:217 306:15,18,24 senis 184:2 195:23 safety 11:4 114:17 135:27 140:23 SCR 159:22 sector 294:7 sentence 339:18,21 safety 11:4 114:17 230:15 246:11 209:9 215:9,13 108:17,20 116:17 332:6 347:7 safety 11:4 114:14 286:5 287:20 250:3,6 260:8 128:20 131:13.16 separately 299:16 safety 11:9 334:13 332:12 298:12,13 339:7 141:1,11 142:8,24 separately 299:16 safety 11:4 286:5 287:20 250:3,6 260:8 128:20 131:13.16 separately 299:16 sal				•	
243:3 301:4 303:19 scheduling 155:7 99:19 100:8 268:2 240:20 282:5 337:13 351:9 schemas 277:4 123:19 124:6 self-perpetuating 337:13 351:9 337:13 351:9 Schumacher 72:4 130:21 131:6 345:23 S-a-m-i 168:11 110:12 120:8 112:12 125:5.20 233:14 271:25 senior 92:17 197:25 Sacred 164:14 131:10,14 132:21 210:12 259:16,17 306:15,18,24 sense 184:2 195:23 sacref 164:14 131:10,14 132:21 210:12 259:16,17 306:15,18,24 sense 184:2 195:23 safely 109:16,25 33:17 140:23 Scott 67:3 69:16 322:1,1 sentence 339:18,21 329:17 156:24 160:2 SCR 159:22 security 116:21,24 sentence 347:7 safely 109:16,25 33:15 246:11 103:12 118:11,21 100:1 102:7 207:11 263:9,11 200:9 31:2:6 317:6 254:8,21 276:19 299:9 215:9,13 108:17,20 116:17 332:6 342:19 salaries 73:20 306:20 311:21 298:12,13 339:7 14:1:114:28,24 Septamet 97:4 sale 117:9 344:8 352:13,23 scruiny 268:5	0	, , ,			
running 105:22 308:13,15 315:11 schemes 277:4 123:19 124:6 self-perpetuating 240:20 282:5 353:14 Schumacher 72:4 130:21 131:6 345:23 S-m-i 168:11 377:4 Scope 89:13 105:6 121:6 220:10.19 senior 92:17 197:25 S-ty-r-k 242:14 123:22 128:1 125:22 1126:48 272:15 273:2 senior 92:17 197:25 sacref 164:14 133:9 134:1 260:3 311:19 312:2 264:24 265:2 safety 109:16.25 135:17 140:23 Scott 67:3 69:16 322:1,1 sentences 347:7 safety 111:4 114:17 121:2 226:28:11 Scott 67:3 69:16 322:1,1 sentences 347:7 safety 21:6 317:6 254:8,21 276:19 209:9 215:9,13 108:17,20 116:17 332:6 342:19 safety related 288:8,9 302:21,23 271:12 281:13 136:17 140:23 serteme 65:3 salary 88:1 331:15 244:11 209:9 215:9,13 108:17,20 116:17 332:6 342:19 salary 88:1 331:15 244:19 299:16 separately 299:16 separately 299:16 salary 88:1 344:8 352:13,23 screens 167:9 s52					
240:20 282:5 337:13 351:9 333:14 Schumacher 72:4 Scope 89:13 105:6 130:21 131:6 133:19,22 150:21 345:23 self-report 115:6 S-am-i 168:11 110:12 120:8 112:12 125:5,20 212:6 220:10,19 send 239:12,12,18 S-t-y-r-k 242:14 123:22 128:1 122:12 125:5,20 233:14 271:25 send 29:17 197:25 sacrificing 349:12 133:9 134:1 260:3 311:19 312:2 sense 184:2 195:23 safely 109:16.25 141:22 150:25 93:6 securi 294:7 sentence 339:18,21 safety 11:4 114:17 212:6 228:11 screen 102:6 sec 72:21 82:22 sentence 339:18,21 safety 11:4 114:17 212:6 228:11 209:9 215:9,13 100:11 102:7 207:11 263:9,11 safety related 286:5 287:20 250:3,6 260:8 128:20 131:13,16 separately 299:16 salaries 73:20 306:20 311:21 298:12,13 339:7 141:1,11 142:8,24 series 63:15 143:23 saluty 56:20 80:3 354:1 SCC 167:3 SD-17 63:23 181:16 184:10 served 123:11 92:18 165:9 196:5 SC-4 67:4 SD-2 63:24 198:4 208:25 served 123:11			0		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	-	,			
S says 100:7 106:19 scope 89:13 105:6 212:6 220:10,19 send 239:12,12,18 S-t-y-r-k 242:14 123:22 128:1 112:12 125:5,20 233:14 271:25 198:8 sacrefi 164:14 131:10,14 132:21 210:12 259:16,17 306:15,18,24 senior 92:17 197:25 sacrefi 164:14 133:9 134:1 260:3 311:19 312:2 264:24 265:2 safety 109:16,25 141:22 150:25 93:6 security 116:21,24 sense 184:21 95:23 safety 111:4 114:17 215:6 224 160:2 SCR 159:22 security 116:21,24 sentence 339:18,21 safety 111:4 114:17 210:6 228:11 screen 102:6 see 72:21 82:22 separate 94:5 111:2 safety-related 286:5 287:20 250:36 260:8 128:20 131:13,16 separately 299:16 salaries 73:20 306:20 311:21 298:12,13 339:7 141:1,11 142:8,24 September 65:3 salue 17:9 344:8 352:13,23 scruiny 268:5 159:34, 166:5,16 service 68:10 94:10 92:18 165:9 196:5 SC-47:4 SD-2 63:24 198:4 208:25 service 68:10 94:10 92:18 165:9 196:5 Scr 67:5	240:20 282:5				
S-a-m-i Says Stop: Stop: <t< td=""><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td></td><td>,</td><td>L -</td></t<>	<u> </u>			,	L -
S-t-y-r-k 242:14 sacred 164:14 101/2 128:1 125:12 126:4,80 272:15 273:2 198:8 sacrificing 349:12 133:9 134:1 260:3 311:19 312:2 264:24 265:2 safely 109:16,25 135:17 140:23 Scott 67:3 69:16 322:1,1 sensible 89:2 safely 109:16,25 141:22 150:25 93:6 sector 294:7 sentence 339:18,21 126:21 134:3 230:15 246:11 103:12 118:11,21 100:1 102:7 207:11 263:9,11 129:09 312:6 317:6 254:8,21 276:19 209:9 215:9,13 108:17 01:16:17 332:6 342:19 safety-related 286:5 287:20 250:3,6 260:8 128:20 131:13,16 separation 277:4 salares 73:20 306:20 311:21 298:12,13 339:7 141:1,11 142:8,24 September 65:3 salary 88:1 331:15 342:20 screens 167:9 159:3,4 166:5,16 series 63:15 143:23 92:18 165:9 196:5 SC-1T 67:3 SD-1T 63:23 181:16 184:10 served 123:11 92:76:7 325:25 276:7 325:23 sceanario 325:16 74:8,10,11,19 238:6 240:15 99:4,10,11 104:2 sally-brow@utc Sc-2 67:4		•	L .	-	
sarred 164:14 131:10,14 132:21 210:12 259:16,17 306:15,18,24 sense 184:2 195:23 sarrificing 349:12 133:9 134:1 260:3 311:19 312:2 264:24 265:2 safety 109:16,25 141:22 150:25 93:6 security 116:21,24 sense 184:2 195:23 safety 109:16,25 141:22 150:25 93:6 security 116:21,24 sense 184:2 195:23 safety 111:4 114:17 212:6 228:11 screen 102:6 security 116:21,24 sentence 339:18,21 safety 111:4 114:17 212:6 228:11 screen 102:6 see 72:21 82:22 separate 94:5 111:2 209:9 312:6 317:6 254:8,21 276:19 209:9 215:9,13 108:17,20 116:17 332:6 342:19 safety-related 286:5 287:20 250:3,6 260:8 128:20 131:13,16 separately 299:16 salaries 73:20 306:20 311:21 298:12,13 339:7 141:1,11 142:8,24 separately 299:16 sale 117:9 344:8 352:13,23 scrutiny 268:5 159:3,4 166:5,16 served 123:11 92:18 165:9 196:5 SC-1T 67:3 SD-1T 63:23 18:16 184:10 served 123:11 91:41,16 97:7,12 Scca 67:5<		110:12 120:8	· · · · · ·	233:14 271:25	senior 92:17 197:25
sacrificing 349:12 133:9; 134:1 260:2 301:19; 312:1 264:24; 265:2 safe 355:12 135:17; 140:23 Scott 67:3; 69:16 322:1,1 sensible 89:2 safety 109:16,25 141:22; 150:25 93:6 sector 294:7 sentence 339:18,21 safety 111:4; 117 212:6; 228:11 screen 102:6 sec 72:21 sec 72:21 set 77:2 290:9; 312:6; 317:6 254:8,21 250:3,6; 260:8 128:20 100:1; 102:7 207:11; 26:9,11 3alaries 73:20 306:20; 311:21 298:12,13; 339:7 141:1,11; 142:8,24 September 65:3 salaries 73:20 306:20; 311:21 298:12,13; 339:7 141:1,11; 142:8,24 September 65:3 salaries 73:20 306:20; 311:21 298:12,13; 339:7 141:1,11; 142:8,24 September 65:3 salaries 73:20 306:20; 311:21 298:12,13; 339:7 141:1,11; 142:8,24 September 65:3 salaries 73:20 306:20; 311:21 298:12,13; 339:7 141:1,11; 142:8,24 September 65:3 salaries 73:20 306:20; 311:21 298:12,13; 339:7 141:1,11; 142:8,24 Septe 91:18 Sclip	e e		125:21 126:4,8	272:15 273:2	198:8
safe 355:12 135:17 140:23 Scott 67:3 69:16 322:1,1 sensible 89:2 329:17 156:24 160:2 93:6 sector 294:7 sentence 339:18,21 safety 111:4 114:17 212:6 228:11 seren 102:6 sector 294:7 separate 94:5 111:2 166:1 134:3 230:15 246:11 103:12 118:11,21 100:1 102:7 207:11 263:9,11 safety-related 236:5 287:20 250:3,6 260:8 128:20 131:13,16 separate 94:5 111:2 salaries 73:20 306:20 311:21 298:12,13 339:7 141:1,11 142:8,24 September 65:3 salary 88:1 331:15 342:20 screens 167:9 152:17 158:2 series 63:15 143:23 sale 17:9 344:8 352:13,23 scruiny 268:5 159:3,4 175:25 176:6 serve 91:18 Sally brown@utc 5C-3 67:5 seal 356:12 215:9 217:6 94:14,16 97:7,12 sami 58:8 64:3 scenario 325:16 sceario 325:16 season 232:13 249:3 258:10 104:9,24 105:8,23 sami 58:8 64:3 sceario 325:16 season 232:13 249:3 258:10 104:9,24 105:8,23 165:3 168:1,10 sce		131:10,14 132:21	210:12 259:16,17	306:15,18,24	sense 184:2 195:23
safely 109:16,25141:22 150:2593:6sector 294:7sentance 339:18,21329:17156:24 160:2SCR 159:22sector 294:7sentence 339:18,21safety 111:4 114:17212:6 228:11screen 102:6sector 294:7sentence 339:18,21200:9 312:6 317:6254:8,21 276:19200:9 215:9,13100:1 102:7207:11 263:9,11200:9 312:6 317:6254:8,21 276:19200:9 215:9,13108:17,20 116:17332:6 342:19safety-related286:5 287:20250:3,6 260:8128:20 131:13,16separately 299:16144:1288:8,9 302:21,23271:12 281:13136:17 140:23separation 277:4Salares 73:20306:20 311:21298:12,13 339:7141:1,11 142:8,24September 65:3sale 117:9344:8 352:13,23screens 167:9152:17 158:2series 63:15 143:23salt 155:9 196:5SC-1T 67:3SD-1T 63:23181:16 184:10servel 123:1192:18 165:9 196:5SC-2 67:4SD-2 63:24198:4 208:25service 68:10 94:10sclade 60:7scenarios 169:8season 232:13249:3 258:10104:9,24 105:8,23sample 235:25276:7 325:23season 232:13249:3 258:10104:9,24 105:8,23237:3,5,7,18schedule 69:11293:14 294:4268:2 279:23127:1 161:22237:3,5,7,18schedule 69:11293:14 294:4268:2 279:23127:1 161:22238:13,15 291:14323:104:21seasonally 232:6280:21 281:13188:21 199:17239:13,18 291:24139:7,23 219:24234:19 259:14287:19 291:2	Ũ	133:9 134:1	260:3	311:19 312:2	264:24 265:2
329:17156:24 160:2SCR 159:22security 116:21,24sentences 347:7safety 111:4 114:17212:6 228:11230:15 246:11103:12 118:11,21100:1 102:7207:11 263:9,11209:9 312:6 317:6254:8,21 276:19209:9 215:9,13108:17,20 116:17332:6 342:19safety-related286:5 287:20250:3,6 260:8128:20 131:13,16separately 299:16144:1288:8,9 302:21,23271:12 281:13136:17 140:23separately 299:16salaries 73:20306:20 311:21298:12,13 339:7141:1,11 142:8,24separately 299:16salary 88:1331:15 342:20screens 167:9152:17 158:2series 63:15 143:23sale 117:9344:8 352:13,23scrutiny 268:5159:3,4 166:5,16serve 91:1892:18 165:9 196:5SC-1T 67:3SD-1T 63:23181:16 184:10served 123:1196:7,12Sc2 67:4SD-2 63:24198:4 208:25service 68:10 94:10sally.brown@utcSc-3 67:5seal 356:12215:9 217:694:14,16 97:7,1256:23Scale 60:7Seaport 71:23 72:7220:24 233:1197:24 98:24 99:2sami 58:8 64:3scenario 325:1674:8,10,11,19238:6 240:1599:4,10,11 04:2165:3 168:1,10scenarios 169:8season 232:13249:3 258:10104:9,24 105:8,23samiple 235:25276:7 325:23seasonal 292:21,23260:8 262:19118:23 126:19237:3,5,7,18schedule 69:11293:14 294:4268:2 279:23127:1 161:22238:13,18 291:24139:7,23 219:24234:19 259:14		135:17 140:23	Scott 67:3 69:16	322:1,1	sensible 89:2
safety 111:4114:17110:1210:1210:12scenario 3:17.1116:21134:3212:6228:11screen 102:6see 71:218:21.22separate 94:5111:2290:9312:6317:6254:8,21276:19209:9215:9,13100:1102:7207:11263:9,11safety-related286:5287:20250:3,6260:8128:20131:13,16separately 299:16144:1288:8,9302:21,23271:12281:13136:17140:23separately 299:16salary 88:1331:15344:8352:13,23screens 167:9152:17158:2series 63:15143:23sale 117:9344:8352:13,23scrutiny 268:5159:3,4166:5,16series 63:15143:23saly 56:2080:3354:1SCS 162:3,4175:25175:25159:9,11serve 91:1892:18165:9196:5SC-17SD-1763:23181:16184:10serve 123:1192:18165:9196:5Scale 60:7Seaport 71:2372:7220:24233:1197:2498:4292:13168:1,10scenarios 169:8season 232:13249:3258:10104:9,24105:8,23sample 235:25276:7325:23seasonal 292:21,23260:8262:19118:23126:19237:3,5,7,18schedue 69:11293:14294:4268:2279:23127:1161:22238:13,15239:11332:3104:21234:19259:14202		141:22 150:25	93:6	sector 294:7	sentence 339:18,21
116:21 134:3 290:9 312:6 317:6230:15 246:11 254:8,21 276:19 286:5 287:20103:12 118:11,21 209:9 215:9,13100:11 02:7 108:17.20 116:17207:11 263:9,11 332:6 342:19safety-related 144:1286:5 287:20 286:5 287:20250:3,6 260:8 298:12,13 339:7128:20 131:13,16 136:17 140:23separately 299:16 separately 299:16salary 88:1 salary 88:1 311:15 342:20 36:20 80:3306:20 311:21 344:8 352:13,23298:12,13 339:7 		156:24 160:2	SCR 159:22	security 116:21,24	sentences 347:7
290:9 312:6 317:6254:8,21 276:19209:9 215:9,13108:17.20 116:17332:6 342:19safety-related286:5 287:20250:3,6 260:8128:20 131:13,16separately 299:16144:1288:8,9 302:21,23271:12 281:13136:17 140:23separately 299:16salaries 73:20306:20 311:21298:12,13 339:7141:1,11 142:8,24September 65:3salary 88:1331:15 342:20screens 167:9152:17 158:2series 63:15 143:23sale 117:9344:8 352:13,23scrutiny 268:5159:3,4 166:5,16serious 161:8Sally 56:20 80:3354:1SCS 162:3,4175:25 176:6serve 91:1892:18 165:9 196:5SC-1T 67:3SD-1T 63:23181:16 184:10serve 68:10 94:10sally.brown@utc5C-3 67:5seal 356:12215:9 217:694:14,16 97:7,1256:23Scale 60:7seaport 71:23 72:7220:24 233:1197:24 98:24 99:2sample 235:25276:7 325:23seasonal 292:21,23260:8 262:19118:23 126:19237:3,5,7,18schedle 69:11293:14 294:4268:2 279:23127:1 161:22238:13,15 239:1183:23 104:21seasonality 232:6280:21 281:13188:21 99:17239:13,18 291:24139:7,23 219:24234:19 259:14204:23 206:1970:4 74:7 76:7261:17 262:957:10 73:22 74:13302:21 306:23219:24 220:16,2578:4265:8 326:7,1174:22 96:18 98:13309:1 315:8221:610 223:25	•	212:6 228:11	screen 102:6	see 72:21 82:22	separate 94:5 111:2
safety-related286:5 287:20250:3 6 260:8128:20 131:13.16separately 299:16144:1288:8,9 302:21,23271:12 281:13136:17 140:23separately 299:16salaries 73:20306:20 311:21298:12,13 339:7141:1,11 142:8,24September 65:3salary 88:1331:15 342:20screens 167:9152:17 158:2series 63:15 143:23sale 117:9344:8 352:13,23screutiny 268:5159:3,4 166:5,16serve 91:1892:18 165:9 196:5SC-1T 67:3SD-1T 63:23181:16 184:10served 123:1192:18 165:9 196:5SC-2 67:4SD-2 63:24198:4 208:25service 68:10 94:10sally.brown@utcSC-3 67:5seal 356:12215:9 217:694:14,16 97:7,1256:23Scale 60:7seanto 325:1674:8,10,11,19238:6 240:1599:4,10,11 104:2165:3 168:1,10scenario 325:16r4:8,10,11,19238:6 240:1599:4,10,11 104:2237:3,5,7,18schedule 69:11293:14 294:4268:2 279:23127:1 161:22238:13,15 239:1183:23 104:21seasonality 232:6280:21 281:13188:2 199:17239:13,18 291:24139:7,23 219:24234:19 259:14287:19 291:2202:14 204:8,14San 60:19 65:10224:8 236:16Seattle 56:15 57:5296:6 298:12,14204:23 206:1970:4 74:7 76:7261:17 262:957:10 73:22 74:13302:21 306:23219:24 220:16,2578:4265:8 326:7,1174:22 96:18 98:13309:1 315:8221:6,10 223:25		230:15 246:11	103:12 118:11,21	100:1 102:7	207:11 263:9,11
144:1288:8.9 302:21,23271:12 281:13126:17 140:23separation 277:4Salaries 73:20306:20 311:21298:12,13 339:7141:1,11 142:8,24Separation 277:4salary 88:1331:15 342:20screens 167:9152:17 158:2series 63:15 143:23sale 117:9344:8 352:13,23screens 167:9152:17 158:2series 63:15 143:23sally 56:20 80:3354:1SCS 162:3,4175:25 176:6serve 11:892:18 165:9 196:5SC-1T 67:3SD-1T 63:23181:16 184:10served 123:1196:7,12SC-2 67:4SD-2 63:24198:4 208:25service 68:10 94:10sally.brown@utcSC-3 67:5seal 356:12215:9 217:694:14,16 97:7,1256:23Scale 60:7Seenario 325:1674:8,10,11,19238:6 240:1599:4,10,11 104:2165:3 168:1,10scenarios 169:8seasonal 292:21,23260:8 262:19118:23 126:19237:3,5,7,18schedule 69:11293:14 294:4268:2 279:23127:1 161:22238:13,15 239:1183:23 104:21seasonal 192:22:1,23200:12 88:13188:2 199:17239:13,18 291:24139:7,23 219:24234:19 259:14287:19 291:2202:14 204:8,14San 60:19 65:10224:8 236:16Seattle 56:15 57:5296:6 298:12,14204:23 206:1978:4265:8 326:7,1174:22 96:18 98:13309:1 315:8221:6,10 223:25	290:9 312:6 317:6	254:8,21 276:19	209:9 215:9,13	108:17,20 116:17	332:6 342:19
Salaries 73:20306:20 311:21298:12,13 339:7141:1,11 142:8,24September 65:3salary 88:1331:15 342:20screens 167:9152:17 158:2series 63:15 143:23sale 117:9344:8 352:13,23screens 167:9159:3,4 166:5,16series 63:15 143:23Sally 56:20 80:3354:1SCS 162:3,4175:25 176:6serve 91:1892:18 165:9 196:5SC-1T 67:3SD-1T 63:23181:16 184:10serve 123:1192:18 165:9 196:5SC-3 67:5seal 356:12215:9 217:694:14,16 97:7,12sally.brown@utcSC-3 67:5seal 356:12215:9 217:694:14,16 97:7,1256:23Scale 60:7seaport 71:23 72:7220:24 233:1197:24 98:24 99:2sample 235:25276:7 325:23seasonal 292:21,23260:8 262:19118:23 126:19237:3,5,7,18schedule 69:11293:14 294:4268:2 279:23127:1 161:22238:13,15 239:1183:23 104:21seasonally 232:6280:21 281:13188:2 199:17239:13,18 291:24139:7,23 219:24234:19 259:14287:19 291:2202:14 204:8,14San 60:19 65:10224:8 236:16Seattle 56:15 57:5296:6 298:12,14204:23 206:1978:4265:8 326:7,1174:22 96:18 98:13309:1 315:8221:6,10 223:25	e e	286:5 287:20	250:3,6 260:8	128:20 131:13,16	separately 299:16
Salaries 73:20306:20 311:21298:12,13 339:7141:1,11 142:8,24September 65:3salary 88:1331:15 342:20screens 167:9152:17 158:2series 63:15 143:23sale 117:9344:8 352:13,23scrutiny 268:5159:3,4 166:5,16serious 161:8Sally 56:20 80:3354:1SCS 162:3,4175:25 176:6serve 91:1892:18 165:9 196:5SC-1T 67:3SD-1T 63:23181:16 184:10serve 91:1892:18 165:9 196:5SC-3 67:5Seal 356:12215:9 217:694:14,16 97:7,1256:23SC-3 67:5seal 356:12215:9 217:694:14,16 97:7,1256:23Scale 60:7Seaport 71:23 72:7220:24 233:1197:24 98:24 99:2Sami 58:8 64:3scenarios 169:8season 232:13249:3 258:10104:9,24 105:8,23sample 235:25276:7 325:23seasonal 292:21,23260:8 262:19118:23 126:19237:3,5,7,18schedule 69:11293:14 294:4268:2 279:23127:1 161:22238:13,15 239:1183:23 104:21seasonality 232:6280:21 281:13188:2 199:17239:13,18 291:24139:7,23 219:24234:19 259:14287:19 291:2202:14 204:8,14San 60:19 65:10224:8 236:16Seattle 56:15 57:5296:6 298:12,14204:23 206:1978:4265:8 326:7,1174:22 96:18 98:13309:1 315:8211:0 223:25	144:1	288:8,9 302:21,23	271:12 281:13	136:17 140:23	
salary 88:1331:15 342:20screens 167:9152:17 158:2series 63:15 143:23sale 117:9344:8 352:13,23scrutiny 268:5159:3,4 166:5,16serious 161:8Sally 56:20 80:3354:1SCS 162:3,4175:25 176:6serve 91:1892:18 165:9 196:5SC-1T 67:3SD-1T 63:23181:16 184:10served 123:11196:7,12SC-2 67:4SD-2 63:24198:4 208:25service 68:10 94:10sally.brown@utcSC-3 67:5seal 356:12215:9 217:694:14,16 97:7,1256:23Scale 60:7Seaport 71:23 72:7220:24 233:1197:24 98:24 99:2Sami 58:8 64:3scenarios 169:8season 232:13249:3 258:10104:9,24 105:8,23sample 235:25276:7 325:23seasonal 292:21,23260:8 262:19118:23 126:19237:3,5,7,18schedule 69:11293:14 294:4268:2 279:23127:1 161:22238:13,15 239:1183:23 104:21seasonality 232:6280:21 281:13188:2 199:17239:13,18 291:24139:7,23 219:24234:19 259:14287:19 291:2202:14 204:8,14San 60:19 65:10224:8 236:16Seattle 56:15 57:5296:6 298:12,14204:23 206:1978:4265:8 326:7,1174:22 96:18 98:13309:1 315:8221:6,10 223:25	Salaries 73:20		298:12,13 339:7	141:1,11 142:8,24	September 65:3
Sally 56:20 80:3 92:18 165:9 196:5354:1 354:1SCS 162:3,4 SC 1T 67:3 SD-1T 63:23175:25 176:6 181:16 184:10 198:4 208:25serve 91:18 serve 123:11 service 68:10 94:10sally.brown@utc 56:23SC-3 67:5 Scale 60:7Sc-3 67:5 Seaport 71:23 72:7198:4 208:25 215:9 217:694:14,16 97:7,12 94:14,16 97:7,12Sami 58:8 64:3 165:3 168:1,10scenario 325:16 scenarios 169:8 237:3,5,7,18 238:13,15 239:11scenarios 169:8 83:23 104:21season 232:13 293:14 294:4249:3 258:10 268:2 279:23104:9,24 105:8,23 104:9,24 105:8,23sample 235:25 237:3,5,7,18 239:13,18 291:24276:7 325:23 139:7,23 219:24seasonal 292:21,23 234:19 259:14260:8 262:19 280:21 281:13118:23 126:19 127:1 161:22san 60:19 65:10 70:4 74:7 76:7 78:4224:8 236:16 261:17 262:9Seattle 56:15 57:5 57:10 73:22 74:13 74:22 96:18 98:13209:1 315:8202:14 204:8,14 204:23 206:19	salary 88:1	331:15 342:20	screens 167:9		-
Sally 56:20 80:3 92:18 165:9 196:5 196:7,12354:1 SC-1T 67:3 SC-2 67:4SCS 162:3,4 SD-1T 63:23175:25 176:6 181:16 184:10serve 91:18 served 123:11sally.brown@utc 56:23SC-3 67:5 Scale 60:7SD-2 63:24 seal 356:12198:4 208:25 215:9 217:6service 68:10 94:10 94:14,16 97:7,12Sami 58:8 64:3 165:3 168:1,10scenario 325:16 scenarios 169:8 237:3,5,7,18Scenario 325:16 schedule 69:11season 232:13 293:14 294:4249:3 258:10 268:2 279:23104:9,24 105:8,23 104:9,24 105:8,23sample 235:25 237:3,5,7,18 239:13,18 291:24schedule 69:11 139:7,23 219:24293:14 294:4 234:19 259:14268:2 279:23 280:21 281:13188:2 199:17 202:14 204:8,14 204:23 206:19San 60:19 65:10 70:4 74:7 76:7 78:4265:8 326:7,11Schedule 69:11 261:17 262:9Schedule 56:15 57:5 74:22 96:18 98:13290:21 306:23 309:1 315:8	sale 117:9	344:8 352:13,23	scrutiny 268:5	159:3,4 166:5,16	serious 161:8
92:18 165:9 196:5 196:7,12SC-1T 67:3 SC-2 67:4SD-1T 63:23 SD-2 63:24181:16 184:10 198:4 208:25served 123:11 service 68:10 94:10sally.brown@utc 56:23SC-3 67:5 Scale 60:7Scale 60:7 Seaport 71:23 72:7220:24 233:11 220:24 233:1197:24 98:24 99:2 99:4,10,11 104:2Sami 58:8 64:3 165:3 168:1,10scenario 325:16 scenarios 169:8scenario 325:16 season 232:1374:8,10,11,19 238:6 240:15238:6 240:15 99:4,10,11 104:2sample 235:25 237:3,5,7,18 238:13,15 239:11schedule 69:11 83:23 104:21seasonal 292:21,23 293:14 294:4260:8 262:19 280:21 281:13118:23 126:19 188:2 199:17San 60:19 65:10 70:4 74:7 76:7 78:424:8 236:16 265:8 326:7,11Seattle 56:15 57:5 74:22 96:18 98:13296:6 298:12,14 309:1 315:8204:23 206:19 219:24 220:16,25	Sally 56:20 80:3	,		, , ,	
196:7,12 sally.brown@utc 56:23SC-2 67:4 SC-3 67:5SD-2 63:24 seal 356:12198:4 208:25 215:9 217:6service 68:10 94:10 94:14,16 97:7,12 97:24 98:24 99:2Sami 58:8 64:3 165:3 168:1,10scenario 325:16 scenarios 169:8Seaport 71:23 72:7 249:3 258:10200:24 233:11 99:4,10,11 104:2sample 235:25 237:3,5,7,18 239:13,15 239:11 239:13,18 291:24scenario 325:16 199:7,23 219:2474:8,10,11,19 293:14 294:4238:6 240:15 249:3 258:1099:4,10,11 104:2 104:9,24 105:8,23sample 235:25 237:3,5,7,18 239:13,18 291:24276:7 325:23 183:23 104:21seasonal 292:21,23 293:14 294:4260:8 262:19 280:21 281:13118:23 126:19 188:2 199:17san 60:19 65:10 70:4 74:7 76:7 78:4224:8 236:16 261:17 262:9Seattle 56:15 57:5 57:10 73:22 74:13296:6 298:12,14 309:1 315:8202:14 204:8,14 204:23 206:19	92:18 165:9 196:5				
sally.brown@utc 56:23SC-3 67:5seal 356:12215:9 217:694:14,16 97:7,12Sami 58:8 64:3 165:3 168:1,10Scenario 325:16 scenarios 169:8Seaport 71:23 72:7 74:8,10,11,19220:24 233:11 238:6 240:1597:24 98:24 99:2 99:4,10,11 104:2sample 235:25 237:3,5,7,18276:7 325:23 schedule 69:11season 232:13 293:14 294:4249:3 258:10 266:8 262:19104:9,24 105:8,23 104:9,24 105:8,23238:13,15 239:11 239:13,18 291:2483:23 104:21 139:7,23 219:24seasonal 292:21,23 234:19 259:14268:2 279:23 280:21 281:13127:1 161:22 202:14 204:8,14San 60:19 65:10 70:4 74:7 76:7 78:4224:8 236:16 265:8 326:7,11Seattle 56:15 57:5 74:22 96:18 98:13296:6 298:12,14 309:1 315:8204:23 206:19 219:24 220:16,25	196:7,12				
56:23 Sami 58:8 64:3 165:3 168:1,10Scale 60:7 scenario 325:16 scenarios 169:8Seaport 71:23 72:7 238:6 240:15220:24 233:11 97:24 98:24 99:2 99:4,10,11 104:2 99:4,10,11 104:2sample 235:25 237:3,5,7,18 238:13,15 239:11 239:13,18 291:24Scale 60:7 scenarios 169:8 276:7 325:23 schedule 69:11Season 232:13 293:14 294:4249:3 258:10 268:2 279:2397:24 98:24 99:2 99:4,10,11 104:2 104:9,24 105:8,23sample 235:25 237:3,5,7,18 238:13,15 239:11 239:13,18 291:24276:7 325:23 schedule 69:11season 232:13 293:14 294:4260:8 262:19 268:2 279:23118:23 126:19 127:1 161:22sam 60:19 65:10 70:4 74:7 76:7 78:4224:8 236:16 261:17 262:9Seattle 56:15 57:5 57:10 73:22 74:13296:6 298:12,14 302:21 306:23204:23 206:19 219:24 220:16,2578:4265:8 326:7,1174:22 96:18 98:13309:1 315:8221:6,10 223:25	sally.brown@utc				
Sami 58:8 64:3 165:3 168:1,10scenario 325:1674:8,10,11,19238:6 240:1599:4,10,11 104:2sample 235:25 237:3,5,7,18 238:13,15 239:11 239:13,18 291:24276:7 325:23season 232:13249:3 258:10104:9,24 105:8,23schedule 69:11 239:13,18 291:24276:7 325:23seasonal 292:21,23260:8 262:19118:23 126:19239:13,18 291:24 70:4 74:7 76:783:23 104:21 139:7,23 219:24seasonality 232:6280:21 281:13188:2 199:17239:13,18 291:24 70:4 74:7 76:7224:8 236:16 261:17 262:9Seattle 56:15 57:5296:6 298:12,14204:23 206:1970:4 74:7 76:7 78:4265:8 326:7,1174:22 96:18 98:13309:1 315:8219:24 220:16,25	56:23				
165:3 168:1,10 sample 235:25 237:3,5,7,18 238:13,15 239:11 239:13,18 291:24scenarios 169:8 276:7 325:23 schedule 69:11 83:23 104:21 139:7,23 219:24season 232:13 293:14 294:4 293:14 294:4249:3 258:10 260:8 262:19 268:2 279:23104:9,24 105:8,23 118:23 126:19238:13,15 239:11 239:13,18 291:24schedule 69:11 83:23 104:21 139:7,23 219:24seasonality 232:6 234:19 259:14260:2 279:23 280:21 281:13127:1 161:22 188:2 199:17San 60:19 65:10 70:4 74:7 76:7 78:4224:8 236:16 261:17 262:9 265:8 326:7,11Seattle 56:15 57:5 57:10 73:22 74:13296:6 298:12,14 302:21 306:23204:23 206:19 219:24 220:16,25 221:6,10 223:25	Sami 58:8 64:3		-		
sample 235:25 237:3,5,7,18 238:13,15 239:11 239:13,18 291:24276:7 325:23 schedule 69:11 139:7,23 219:24seasonal 292:21,23 293:14 294:4260:8 262:19 268:2 279:23118:23 126:19 127:1 161:22San 60:19 65:10 70:4 74:7 76:7 78:4224:8 236:16 261:17 262:9seattle 56:15 57:5 57:10 73:22 74:13280:21 281:13 287:19 291:2188:2 199:17 202:14 204:8,14San 60:19 65:10 70:4 74:7 76:7 78:4261:17 262:9 265:8 326:7,1157:10 73:22 74:13 74:22 96:18 98:13309:1 315:8219:24 220:16,25 221:6,10 223:25					
237:3,5,7,18 238:13,15 239:11 239:13,18 291:24schedule 69:11 83:23 104:21293:14 294:4 293:14 294:4268:2 279:23 280:21 281:13127:1 161:22 188:2 199:17239:13,18 291:24 70:4 74:7 76:7 78:4139:7,23 219:24 261:17 262:9234:19 259:14 57:10 73:22 74:13287:19 291:2 296:6 298:12,14202:14 204:8,14 204:23 206:19201:17 262:9 78:4261:17 262:9 265:8 326:7,1157:10 73:22 74:13 74:22 96:18 98:13309:1 315:8219:24 220:16,25 221:6,10 223:25					, , ,
238:13,15239:1183:23104:21seasonality232:6280:21281:13188:2199:17239:13,18291:24139:7,23219:24234:19259:14287:19291:2202:14204:8,14San 60:1965:10224:8236:16Seattle56:1557:5296:6298:12,14204:23206:1970:474:776:7261:17262:957:1073:2274:13302:21306:23219:24201:6,2578:4265:8326:7,1174:2296:1898:13309:1315:8221:6,10223:25	-		· · · · ·		
239:13,18291:24139:7,23219:24234:19259:14287:19291:2202:14202:14204:8,14San 60:1965:10224:8236:16Seattle56:1557:5296:6298:12,14204:23206:1970:474:776:7261:17262:957:1073:2274:13302:21306:23219:24220:16,2578:4265:8326:7,1174:2296:1898:13309:1315:8221:6,10223:25					
San 60:19 65:10 224:8 236:16 Seattle 56:15 57:5 296:6 298:12,14 204:23 206:19 70:4 74:7 76:7 261:17 262:9 57:10 73:22 74:13 302:21 306:23 219:24 220:16,25 78:4 265:8 326:7,11 74:22 96:18 98:13 309:1 315:8 221:6,10 223:25			v		
70:4 74:7 76:7 261:17 262:9 57:10 73:22 74:13 302:21 306:23 219:24 220:16,25 78:4 265:8 326:7,11 74:22 96:18 98:13 309:1 315:8 221:6,10 223:25		,			,
78:4 265:8 326:7,11 74:22 96:18 98:13 309:1 315:8 221:6,10 223:25				· · · · ·	
					,
		203.0 320.7,11	17.22 70.10 70.13	507.1 515.0	221.0,10 223.23
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				-
224:15,17,18	Settlement 75:6	158:16,18 161:6	277:10,11,17	SK-5X 64:7
225:3,7 228:25	Sevall 69:16 93:6	222:6,7 229:3	278:9,18,20,22	SK-6X 64:8
254:2 257:21	93:19	262:12 275:1	similarities 275:23	skeptical 178:24
261:14,16 263:17	seven 339:3,4	292:19,21,23	275:23	179:2
264:14 265:7	Shannon 216:21	293:1,5,6,7,11,13	similarity 278:11	skill 278:19,21
276:2 316:12	share 103:12	293:16,19,21	simple 174:8	356:10
328:2,23,24	221:11,19 222:24	294:9,10 308:21	simply 92:10	skip 187:25
329:23	228:16 250:3	309:10 310:1	182:24 339:24	slate 85:10
services 79:18	258:20 261:13,19	317:19 322:23	simulate 176:25	slated 143:5
89:23 90:1,5	271:12 325:13,20	324:10 327:17	simulated 174:16	sleep 324:24
97:20 98:1,2	shared 125:13	340:5	simulation 174:24	slightly 248:24
104:9 126:9	shares 226:20	shoot 334:15	182:4,24 183:12	slow 237:10
137:20 185:13	sheet 243:14 353:9	short 79:22 245:20	184:9,11 312:4	slowdown 228:3
199:12 200:4	354:17	shortage 122:12	single 183:25,25	SM-10X 67:16
201:2,20 202:7,19	shelf 163:15	161:9 346:11	184:1 233:6	SM-1T 67:6
203:2 220:12	shift 174:17 182:2	shortcut 328:18	236:23 253:20	SM-2T 67:7
223:3 224:3	257:12,12,24	shorthanded	263:13 307:13,16	SM-3 67:8
252:17,20,23,24	264:10,11 289:7	343:14	314:22 315:23	SM-4 67:9
252:25 258:1	289:22	shoulder 145:21	sir 194:11 210:25	SM-5X 67:10
302:24 303:15	shifting 84:22	show 107:15	211:9	SM-6X 67:11
323:9 324:2	shifts 101:14	249:16 313:5,12	sitting 324:16	SM-7X 67:13
SESSION 215:1	102:17	showed 217:10	situation 106:15	SM-8X 67:14
sessions 312:4,5	ship 64:15 98:25	238:1 249:20	118:1 144:2	SM-9X 67:15
set 85:1,7,12,18,20	101:20,22 104:12	showing 238:1	149:13 178:9,11	small 91:9,20
87:3,5,8 101:4	125:12 127:24	shown 266:24	182:22 190:6	153:10
153:8 154:22	128:4,22 146:4,18	shows 118:11,22	209:1 264:24	smaller 227:1
170:9 175:2,14,19	148:17 151:14,25	128:14 195:7	326:17	smallest 91:18
176:4,8,23,24	219:5,10,14,19	247:1,1,4,4	situations 171:22	snowstorm 265:12
177:2,8,10,22	222:14 226:21,25	249:18 259:1	325:9 335:17	software 238:20
178:16 179:8	227:1 228:3	263:1	349:11	sole 97:7 252:2
181:5,8 183:25	236:18 238:10	Sibs 72:6	six 159:11 163:9	solely 294:10
184:1,2 219:15	262:14 290:2	sick 119:7 273:25	340:21 342:8	soliloquy 196:15
237:1 285:9	292:16,24,25	side 91:12 98:12	345:18 349:22,25	solution 330:2,5
305:20 306:2	293:10 298:17,21	128:1 153:3	size 72:21 153:1	solve 228:18
356:11	298:23,24 299:2,9	340:12 347:25	161:15 237:7,19	somebody 225:1
sets 79:18 81:8	301:16 304:11	sign 267:20	238:13,15 239:11	246:5 328:6
159:12,21 175:10	310:7,13,13 311:7	signals 213:19	239:13	somewhat 85:22
175:12 176:10	311:23 317:23	significant 94:23	SK-1 183:15	160:7
179:4 181:2	324:6 331:25	314:16 320:8	SK-1T 64:3 170:18	sorry 109:6 112:20
278:19,21 290:20	ship-side 152:1,2	349:23	175:23	128:8,23 138:8
305:18	shipments 159:9	silent 168:16	SK-2 64:4	146:6 147:24
setting 85:5,15 87:4	shipping 104:16	similar 85:22 86:7	SK-3T 64:5 172:10	151:10,11 152:9
91:10 94:1,13,21	ships 72:22 75:24	89:2 133:18	172:12 185:2	154:7,15 155:22
171:22 174:5	104:16 105:1	158:21 275:14,15	330:17	155:23 163:16
278:18	147:2,20 153:1	275:18 276:10,20	SK-4X 64:6	167:12,22 174:19
	1	1	1	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

180:4 183:6 192:3	source 171:8	spell 168:11 242:12	63:18,21 65:20	312:9,12,25
192:4 200:6	178:24,24 286:11	284:12	66:11,12,14,16,21	313:12,18
205:22 217:5,12	293:8 294:8	spelled 168:12	67:13,16,22 68:4	standing 116:22
225:5 233:6	sourced 288:9	spend 141:2 243:2	68:6,14,15 69:9	starboard 153:3
240:12,14,15	sources 169:20,22	308:20 309:9	69:10,12,13,14,15	start 79:22 243:12
247:15 260:9	170:2,6,12 171:6	310:1 324:22	69:18 70:6,7,8,9	285:5 290:10
270:12 271:16	181:3 286:23	spending 324:17	70:10,11,16,17,18	298:10 341:20
274:9 286:12	South 56:4,10	spends 140:10	74:17 75:4,8 80:1	started 157:25
300:10 304:22	198:20 216:22	141:24 189:6	80:3 82:16,18	158:6 261:24
318:16 322:11	Southwest 56:4,10	spent 186:19,20	85:22 86:10,18	268:13 320:7
328:17 334:7,19	168:19 251:18	308:21 309:10	87:15,22 88:24	352:14,17
334:19 335:20,23	space 215:13	310:2,13 329:3	89:22 90:16,22	starting 103:23
337:7 353:13	span 245:12 246:23	spill 116:24 117:3	92:13,19,19 93:10	144:24 251:16
355:1	257:5,11	sponsoring 167:23	93:21,23 94:1,4	starts 170:18
sort 144:12 158:20	speak 114:20 264:9	Sponsorships 69:7	94:16,19 95:5,9	209:19 227:24
161:21 290:2	331:20 333:17,17	spot 273:13,16	158:25 165:10	334:22
sound 55:6 56:12	SPEAKER 281:1,3	spouse 204:25	190:5,22 192:23	state 60:7 65:10,21
60:6,11 63:8 66:4	281:6,8,16,20	spread 106:24	192:24 195:16,20	65:23 68:8 71:13
74:7,12,19 79:17	293:20	spreadsheet 301:21	195:23,24 196:6	91:25 92:2,9
79:19,23,25 81:12	speaking 281:14	350:10 351:18,20	196:13,22 199:15	96:15 97:8,15
84:14,20 91:15	speaks 233:10	352:1 353:17	199:19,24 200:24	99:8 168:8 172:15
94:17 96:17 97:8	254:10	Spreadsheets 71:8	201:19 202:13	175:1 194:25
97:12,19 98:16	Special 63:17 271:5	square 354:8	203:1,7 210:3	201:19 216:17
116:25 117:2	specialized 198:5	ss 356:3	212:12 227:15	218:15 231:14
128:16 160:25	specific 81:8,22	SS-10X 70:3	229:22 232:1	242:12 251:23,25
161:10 162:12	82:10 85:17 105:7	SS-11X 70:4	234:12 241:5	252:15 270:9
163:21 169:16	129:3 163:19	SS-12X 70:5	259:22,23 279:1,5	283:10 284:1
175:3 176:5	224:5 226:21,21	SS-13X 70:6	291:24 294:17,23	297:19 299:6,10
195:18 197:6	233:7,9 244:11	SS-14X 70:7	303:8 329:9,10,17	299:18 356:3,7
207:21 211:4,8	257:9 275:6	SS-15X 70:8	334:13 336:4	State's 348:13
215:5 223:15,16	277:14 312:3	SS-16X 70:9	staff's 86:6,20 93:7	state-licensed
252:6,19 253:17	341:15 347:20	SS-17X 70:10	93:8,18,20 196:2	97:16,18,20
254:2,22 256:24	specifically 102:24	SS-18X 70:11	196:16 212:15	stated 81:20 103:7
258:3,8 261:16	103:22 108:11	SS-1T 69:16	234:16	232:10 276:13
275:2,14 278:5,13	150:21 155:17	SS-2r2 69:17	staffing 73:22	statement 63:12
286:2,6,20,23,24	172:12 177:23	SS-3r 69:18	195:17,22 219:7	64:12 65:7 84:14
287:6 288:2 293:2	223:11,19 226:7	SS-4 69:19	stamina 283:4	84:16 88:18 91:5
293:3,5,13 295:14	230:11 232:13	SS-5T 69:20	stand 240:23 318:5	91:6 92:14 113:24
299:11 315:12	233:12,13 234:17	SS-6T 69:21	318:6,7	139:4 187:14
344:5	271:18 274:21	SS-7 69:22	standard 78:6	199:11 201:18
sounded 194:6	275:7 287:12	SS-8X 69:23	164:11	209:5 217:22
208:9	specifies 254:24	SS-9X 69:24	standards 63:15	219:22 224:13
sounds 124:23	specify 254:23	stable 173:19 258:9	158:1	227:25 255:25
129:7 180:13,13	330:20	staff 56:18 60:13,24	standby 308:22	271:1,5,24 273:3
187:16 338:6	speed 217:19	61:3,5 62:13,14	310:3,8 311:14	276:24 288:10
	•	•	•	•

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 uge 000
302:4,8,15 303:25	144:3 157:15	submitted 86:4	SuperYacht 68:21	215:23,24 241:19
303:25 304:5,17	164:16 299:20	246:1 247:3	Supplemental	241:20 269:15,16
304:21 321:8	301:1 303:23	260:24,25 291:18	69:20 75:11	282:11,13 297:5,6
statements 63:9,10	304:19	334:17	supplied 234:2,5	Sweeney 75:5
63:17 65:22 84:12	strongly 90:18	submitting 181:22	support 90:20	Switch 314:20
238:9,10 262:25	struggle 274:5	185:6,9 252:23	94:18 135:2	sworn 96:9 168:2
stating 322:22	struggling 247:21	267:16 328:20	142:11,12,21	198:12 216:11
station 104:18	stuck 312:22	subsequent 131:5	164:1 303:12	242:5 270:3
158:18,19 245:17	students 171:20	225:8	supporting 123:13	282:22 297:13
262:12 290:7,7		subset 237:22	232:15,19 234:18	system 101:25
,	182:21,23 studied 95:1		284:16	v
308:23 310:3,9		substantial 245:7,8		104:23 105:4,15
311:15 312:22,23	study 64:13 65:11	256:21	supports 245:16	106:5 110:15
313:12 320:23	70:4 76:7 175:3	substantive 82:7	246:20	133:11 137:10
337:2,3 340:6,10	176:5,14,18 177:3	subtract 320:17,17	supposed 193:25	142:16 144:3
stations 258:4	177:11 178:1,12	341:23,25	321:3,6 331:19	173:22 183:22
statistical 170:8	180:25 181:3,6,18	subtracting 320:6	349:12	189:18,22 236:17
Statistics 71:14	213:5 231:21	341:1 345:5	surcharge 223:13	237:21 257:18,25
74:5,10 88:2	232:5 250:15	successful 163:25	Surcharge/Board	266:17,25 267:2,9
stats 340:2	251:5,8 259:10,25	suffer 89:19	61:23	268:11,21 299:20
status 71:12 206:6	260:16 261:19,21	sufficient 88:23	sure 104:25 129:2	301:1 303:24
325:25 326:11	261:24,25	90:20 95:8 104:15	132:12 152:12,21	304:20 305:16
338:10	studying 328:14	143:10 184:4,5	156:5 160:6,10,18	307:17 310:19
Statute 60:19	stuff 267:18	214:15	160:19 170:11	327:18 332:20,21
statute's 316:21	Styrk 59:3 64:10	suggest 282:1	191:8,19,22 192:4	334:1 344:11
statutory 252:9	213:11 240:12	suggestion 203:10	230:5 234:13,25	345:23
stay 122:13 351:12	241:9,11,16,16	suggests 203:4,13	238:9 245:3 246:3	system's 171:5
353:6	242:4,12,14,17,25	212:4	250:5 256:10	systematic 267:7
stays 325:12	245:19 248:3	suit 143:11	276:21 283:13	267:13,24 268:7,9
stead 123:23	254:4 255:15	suite 56:15 57:4,10	294:20,20 301:12	systems 266:25
steering 275:6	260:1,6,12 266:1	96:18 99:14	301:14 305:25	268:14
Stephan 67:6	269:8	168:19 198:21	307:4,5,13 308:13	
steps 173:8	Styrk's 260:4	216:22 242:15	313:10 324:5	T
Steven 63:23	subbing 66:20	sum 131:5	326:8 333:7	T 61:18 356:1,1
stick 321:19	179:16,25	summarize 93:10	337:10,18,19	tab 351:2,2
sticks 238:18	subcontractor	summarized 213:8	341:4,18 351:18	tabbed 300:11
stipends 90:24	179:20	summary 64:19	surge 116:17	table 67:9 170:17
stipulate 139:21	subject 81:22 91:21	65:9,9 69:8	survey 136:16	170:19 220:22
-	92:1 97:15 112:12	194:22 213:13	Ũ	221:1 238:17,22
stipulated 83:15			surveyor 211:9	247:2,3 248:13,15
stop 281:1 355:5	134:4 137:5 210:5	238:7 245:12	suspect 286:16	287:11,13,19
storage 153:11	249:24 256:4,5	260:19 308:23	309:16	288:5 290:16,20
349:20,21	submission 65:15	328:23	suspend 116:13,18	291:19,23 309:19
Street 56:15	203:16 268:21	summer 292:22	117:13,16	,
strict 98:21 101:7	Submissions 64:21	super 339:1	sustain 260:2	316:6 336:14,17
133:11,16 137:9	submit 181:17	supervised 180:21	swear 95:17,21,24	346:10,24
139:7,23 142:16	266:20	supervision 180:17	166:14,19 197:14	Tabler 61:7 112:3,9
				Tabler's 110:12
	•	•	•	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				: «ge ee:
113:9	288:7 289:5	289:14,20 290:3	217:21 230:5	183:14 184:12,16
tables 311:12	talks 140:13	295:12,22,24,25	257:3,12 295:7	184:24 185:1,6,9
tabs 238:6	tanker 293:11	tasks 90:9 122:20	314:3 317:10	185:12,18 187:14
Tacoma 74:22	Tankers 61:9	125:20 126:3,12	324:20	189:2 191:7 192:5
340:5	target 93:9 302:12	276:20 277:10,18	test 61:20,21,22,24	192:8 193:13,14
take 83:12 90:7	302:14 304:15	277:21 278:1,3	73:4,6 115:2	193:21 194:7,8,11
110:1,7,13 114:23	305:7,19,20,22,23	295:14 301:20	172:3 183:1,2	195:12,13 197:15
121:21,24 122:1	306:2,4	tax 206:23 208:1	236:14,15,16,19	198:23 201:23
135:1,7 143:1,6,7	tariff 62:3,4,5,6,8,9	273:1,5,7,14	236:22,25 237:14	208:14,24,25
146:4 172:22	64:21 65:15,19	taxation 208:2	238:1,2,3,5,11	209:14,18,20
175:5 189:17	69:18,22 71:9	taxi 250:19,21	259:11,14	210:5 212:2,15
203:17 204:1	72:15 73:24 74:12	261:12	testified 93:2 96:10	215:24 216:25
213:24 214:4,15	74:16,23 76:8	teach 171:18	168:3 190:2	227:20 231:7
216:4 237:20	77:16 79:18 88:23	182:20	198:13 216:12	235:3 239:18
249:17 261:13,14	90:4,6,11,19,21	teaching 182:20	242:6 243:8 270:4	241:20 242:18
266:6 269:11	90:23 91:17,19,22	team 125:14	274:25 282:23	243:19 244:7,14
279:21 280:6,9	92:4,10 95:7	Teams 167:9	297:14	249:7 259:1 262:7
281:25 282:4	184:21 201:21	technical 171:24	testify 112:11	262:8 268:12
300:12 311:17	203:3 218:6,10,14	240:16	testifying 192:6	269:16 270:15
319:14 325:8	219:4,7,16 222:2	tell 111:14 153:25	195:18 196:2	271:2 274:6,23
328:18 335:5	223:7,10,19	158:23 171:20	235:6 323:18	282:14 284:15
337:9,13 340:17	226:21,22,23	182:22 201:8	testimonies 223:11	285:7,13 286:25
342:9,9 343:1,13	229:8,10 233:2	220:1 259:12	testimony 60:3,15	287:11,14 290:19
343:18 344:19	235:7 236:16,23	279:21 307:21	60:20 61:7,18	290:19 291:16
346:19 348:11,12	238:16,22 239:16	314:25 330:25	62:10 63:7,13,23	292:13 295:8
taken 120:5 143:15	249:6 250:17,21	334:6 336:16	64:3,5,10,17,20	296:4 297:6,23,25
177:5 214:23	250:24 252:16	343:6	67:3,6,7,18,19	299:22 308:19,25
282:7 335:9 337:7	253:4 254:5,8,12	ten 136:15 208:17	68:3,5,22 69:16	309:5,15 313:5
337:8 342:12,16	254:19,21 255:7	340:8,14 348:17	69:20,21 70:12,15	314:16,21 315:1,2
346:6	256:25 257:16	ten-hour 316:20	70:22 72:11 74:24	315:25 316:8
takes 82:18 87:15	262:3,5,8,25	317:11	75:8,10,11,12	320:12 321:10
230:14	263:5,10,21	tender 199:1 217:2	77:20 92:22 93:1	322:9,17,20,21,24
TAL 66:13 305:5	266:24 267:4	242:20 284:17	93:6 95:21 96:19	322:25 323:12,17
305:18	272:18 299:1,3,4	298:3	100:21 102:22,23	328:13 329:1,8,13
talk 144:2 187:15	303:2,5,8 326:16	tends 145:21	102:24 105:7,9	329:14 330:11,12
236:13 239:7	327:14	term 160:8 161:23	110:12 112:13,25	330:13,14 332:4
275:17,22 302:3	tariffs 76:2,5	190:3 191:18	119:22 120:7,17	341:14
309:8,22 311:11	236:20 244:25	231:17 293:16	123:9 125:11	testing 218:13
talked 120:18	301:5	320:25 321:7,9,10	132:18 137:18,23	235:23 237:6,21
237:20 249:8,15	tarry 351:17	321:15 323:1,3,17	141:17 155:8	238:16,17,18
256:12 277:3,13	task 89:14 104:3	termed 145:15	165:15 166:19	tests 236:12 237:9
278:8 301:8 325:9	116:5 125:4	Termination 66:3	168:22 169:7,11	238:11
talking 126:18	148:25 158:7	terminology 191:23	170:18 172:9	thank 80:11 81:4
194:4 239:11	174:8,8 187:1	terms 144:7 185:11	174:5 175:8,23	82:20 83:11 84:17
244:24 274:22	288:18,22 289:1,4	187:5,6 207:22	176:9 181:18,22	84:21 85:3 87:22

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				U
88:11,12,19 90:25	248:1 250:11	think 82:12 85:12	thinking 279:23	160:12 161:7,9,19
91:1 92:11,12	255:8,9 265:20,23	85:21 86:4 88:22	329:16	172:20,21 173:18
95:11,12,25 96:22	269:1,6,7,9	110:11 114:5	third 57:4 178:1,4	181:11 182:11
97:2 98:22 102:20	270:20 271:20,21	119:21 120:14	third-party 170:14	183:2 186:17,18
103:13,15 105:21	273:8 274:16	123:9 129:9	thirty-seven 213:9	186:19,23 187:10
106:3 112:2,17,22	276:23 278:24	131:10 132:14	thorough 171:21	187:17 188:12,13
113:21 115:11	279:10 282:17,20	137:18 141:5	thought 113:4	188:16,16 189:6
117:11,18 119:15	283:3 284:14,19	142:4,4 155:13,25	114:7,11 161:10	189:25 190:1,23
119:24 123:21	284:22,25 285:21	156:3 162:9	161:18 183:12	192:23 194:9
128:17,19 129:10	286:4 287:15,18	167:15 176:2	208:10 217:12	196:24,25 208:19
132:2 133:5	287:24 289:25	178:14 191:7	248:25 257:23	213:24 214:5
136:20,24 138:17	291:3 293:22	192:18 193:23,24	260:1 274:9 295:3	225:8 228:17
138:23 139:16	294:21 296:15,16	195:16 201:23	318:16 335:19,23	233:20 236:4
141:14 142:24	296:19,21 297:10	202:6 208:19,20	353:5	244:17,17,19,21
143:8,12,14 148:2	298:5 299:13,17	208:25 209:22	thousand 313:20	244:24 245:20
148:3 150:10,12	300:16,17,23	213:24 214:3	313:22,23	249:6 255:8,22
150:17 153:15,21	302:19 304:24	215:8,17 217:9	thousands 237:19	256:14 257:5,11
154:6 155:15	321:23 322:8	222:16 223:10	three 80:20,21	258:14 259:13
156:16 162:16,17	323:5 324:11	231:18 233:7	84:13 91:22 92:20	260:5 267:15
162:18,21 164:23	326:14 331:12,13	240:16 244:4	158:11 168:12	274:12 282:5
164:24 165:2	334:21 335:6,13	245:24 250:4,22	258:5 283:5	286:8,17 288:18
166:6,7,11,12,23	336:5,10 337:15	253:17 257:17	321:21 336:11	288:22,25,25
167:12 174:14	337:20 339:9	258:16,17,20	339:1	289:1,3,4,5,6,10
175:9 179:22	343:21,23 344:17	259:5,12,15 261:1	three-and-out	289:14,20,20,20
181:16 183:11	350:22 355:7	261:15 264:17	325:6	289:22,23 290:1,1
186:3,6 187:7,12	Thanks 201:15	265:5 266:9	three-month 213:5	290:2,3,5 294:13
190:18,19 191:2	210:1 273:17	271:19 274:19,23	231:21 232:5	295:12,14,14,22
192:19,20 193:1,4	theoretical 86:11	276:13 280:2,8,11	three-page 213:7	295:24,25 299:4
193:8 194:12	theoretically 143:3	288:24 289:9,14	213:13	300:9 305:19
196:25 197:4,18	theory 86:21	289:19 291:11,12	threshold 88:21	308:8,20,21 309:9
199:3,4,23 201:13	171:21 212:12	292:3,9,10 293:3	tide 153:2	309:9,10 310:1,2
202:22 203:17	222:16	293:18 299:1	tides 277:24	310:6,7,13 311:10
208:18 209:25	THG 159:12	302:1,10 303:17	tied 188:25	311:14 312:9,12
211:18,19 212:19	thing 177:15 229:1	305:5,9 318:9,15	time 68:13 79:8	312:20,22,25
213:15,17,21,22	267:14 277:10	318:20 321:24	80:23 82:25 84:11	313:6,12,15,18,24
214:12 216:3,24	299:2,8 311:7	323:4 327:20	104:5,8,17 105:25	314:10 315:22
218:3 220:5,6	things 99:14 127:6	328:22 329:16,19	113:2 116:22	319:11,11,15,20
227:7 228:8	153:5 155:8 157:7	329:25 330:10,10	117:24 118:16	320:9,19,19
229:19,20 230:23	157:9,10,11,21	330:15,15 331:3	119:19 123:19	321:22 322:23
232:21 233:21	164:4 184:6 195:3	332:1,4,9,12	127:5 134:15	324:13,17,22
234:9,21 235:9,13	195:3 236:14	333:8 334:9,15	135:4 136:12	325:4 329:2,3,5
236:3 238:23	237:23 258:20	339:16,16 341:24	139:13 140:10	330:3,3,6,6,9,11
240:2,3,4,5,6,8,10	265:5 267:13	341:25 348:16	141:3,24 142:24	331:15,16,24
241:4,14,24 242:3	291:10 316:25	353:10,10 354:12	144:22 148:7,11	332:1,3,10,11,13
242:22 245:19	320:22	355:2,12	148:12 159:25	332:13 336:1

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

[
337:4,4,5,17,19	top 111:2 153:25	159:20 342:13,15	254:21 257:13,14	316:2 356:9
338:12,21 340:6	340:3	342:16,24 343:1,2	257:24 258:2,7,13	truly 111:10
340:14 341:11,14	total 72:14,25 73:4	Trainee 70:24	258:15,19 259:2,7	trust 157:6 256:1
341:25 346:20	73:14 74:19 89:6	71:12 74:7,16	259:8 260:18	trusting 319:13
348:8,23 349:4,13	89:11 127:20,24	trainees 71:3,3	261:14,16,22	truth 95:22,22,23
352:8,10,13,15,20	128:4,6,20,22	90:24	262:2,6,9,9,10,18	166:20,20,21
354:2,8,13 355:3	128:4,0,20,22	training 61:23 94:9	262:19,23,25	197:15,16,16
time-pressure	220:17 221:11	144:21 145:2,8,9	263:4,6,9,11,17	215:25,25 216:1
209:8	223:8 246:1,11,15	144.21 145.2,8,9	263:22,25 264:14	241:21,21,22
time-wise 266:11	258:7 263:2 264:7	150:4 223:13	264:21 265:6,19	269:17,17,18
times 68:21 104:15	288:18,22,24,25	308:22 310:2,5,8	266:5,6,10,14,18	282:14,15,15
105:1 134:10	288:18,22,24,25	312:4 313:24	266:22 267:3,4	297:7,7,8
158:17 173:18	290:3 308:20	312:4 515:24 337:10 342:20	268:10	
				try 104:25 167:5
183:1 289:18	309:20,25 310:6,7 311:9 313:6,12,15	344:1 346:16 347:1	transporting 262:11	217:19 264:24
316:5 319:7 320:3				287:23 341:17,18
320:8 333:10	313:18,23,24	trainings 311:14	travel 69:4 115:18	trying 125:9,10 165:23 217:11
timing 104:22	314:3,6,7,10	Transaction 63:6	261:7 312:20	
Timothy 77:10	316:5,11 330:3,6	transactions	treat 87:12 301:15	247:9,16,18
today 79:7,10,15	331:16,23 332:3	260:20	treated 88:1 207:13	265:13 289:12
83:20 84:1 85:4	332:11 349:9	transcript 356:8	207:15,15 225:18	292:1 293:23
92:18 95:22 96:20	totaled 295:11	transcription	303:13 312:18	303:17 317:1
166:20 168:22	totalling 316:6	293:19	treating 87:15 92:6	Tuesday 344:22
176:10 197:15	totally 353:17	transferred 94:6	301:5 326:16	tug 151:15 153:7
198:6 215:25	touches 236:23	transient 92:7	treatment 326:22	tugs 151:18,18
240:16,20,21,23	tournament 117:9	Transit 69:19	327:2,6	152:25
241:1,21 243:20	town 123:25	transition 87:19	treats 327:15	turn 79:20 82:10
252:7 263:10,12	TP-190976 55:3	93:12 257:2	trend 183:17 247:1	84:3 95:17 99:10
266:23 269:17	79:16	332:20,22	259:7	102:21,22 108:8
270:16 282:14	track 261:21 275:5	translates 187:5,6	trending 258:8	112:18 123:17
297:7 298:1	275:10 298:24	transparency 89:7	trends 169:9	127:15 128:12
306:19 322:17	338:21	164:8,17	170:14 268:1	132:13 133:8,20
328:13 341:14	tracked 298:22,23	transparent 165:24		140:21 141:7
343:7,8,18 355:6	298:25 339:4	transport 261:13	257:24 260:18,21	154:13 165:5
355:15	tracking 138:24	265:9	264:11,17,17,20	172:12 197:7
told 286:20 308:2	tracks 298:19	transportation	264:22,23 265:11	203:21 208:24
tomorrow 84:1	trade 228:4 294:4	55:2,3 56:4,10,21	265:12 266:13	215:6,21 217:8
214:10 354:25	traditional 93:22	63:4,14 68:7,9	267:15	219:23 220:21
355:9,13,14	170:7 253:20	69:3 74:3,3 79:12	tried 295:22	231:6 250:14
Tonn 317:1 342:14	traditionally	93:4 161:17 213:5	trip 145:13 304:10	251:1,3 274:18
tonnage 69:24	204:18 250:18	213:10 231:21	trips 74:5 145:10	279:1,15 285:9,14
72:16 75:14	traffic 116:17 138:7	232:6 234:4 235:4	145:11 146:10	287:10 296:18,21
292:19 293:13,15	276:8 277:3,7,8	250:15,17 251:5,8	150:4 312:4	302:17 304:6
293:19,20	290:10 293:6	252:13,20,21,22	true 98:8 107:11	306:23 307:19
tool 118:12	344:5	252:24,25 253:4	175:6 232:4	308:24 313:4
toolbar 165:22	train-the-trainer	253:15,23 254:1,6	255:25 293:2	319:4 336:10
		. ,		
	I	I	I	1

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

r				1 age 400
turned 217:12	typo 231:18	301:6 319:12,24	universe 235:25	132:15 202:13
229:22 241:16		322:3 338:7 350:8	University 65:10	232:1 247:16
294:17	U	354:21	unlimited 145:6	257:3,19,19
turning 108:9	U.S 72:6 169:25	understanding	unmuting 91:2	258:22 326:20
173:21 181:24	Uh-huh 110:8	60:21 61:8,10	unpaid 239:20	327:9 334:13
twice 253:3 289:4	117:23 118:21	109:20 145:18	unpopular 99:12	335:16,22 336:8
two 86:24 87:13	130:6,12,19 136:2	178:13 191:12,25	unpredictable	346:5 356:8
93:21 94:5 112:20	140:8 170:4 172:7	205:9 208:9	183:5,9,19,23	UTC's 247:12
134:4 146:14	179:3 207:13	250:20 258:14	unrecorded 92:23	271:7
147:25 152:22	224:18 276:23	261:10 266:19	93:11 243:16	utilities 55:2,3 56:4
	310:18 332:14	283:7 305:13	244:1	,
159:21,21,24	Uh-uh 311:20			56:10,21 79:11
167:9 174:12	ultimate 85:15	307:11	unused 172:4	87:8 89:4
180:15 195:3,3	101:19	understands 91:13	unusual 267:14	utility 87:3
211:1,3 213:20	ultimately 85:21	91:15 137:19	268:3	utilize 348:2
228:8 230:15,19	100:15 101:1,15	192:5	Unveiled 68:20	V
242:18 245:16	100:15 101:1,15	understood 95:1	upgrade 145:10,11	$\frac{v}{v 55:5 74:16 164:14}$
249:12,18 258:23		121:6 257:18,19	145:13 146:10	
276:9 291:12	106:4 111:4 116:8	286:21	150:4 304:10	164:18
320:17 325:9	116:12 117:13	undertaken 116:6	312:4	vacancy 123:23
327:15 328:11	129:13 195:3	undesirable 98:7	upwards 257:7	vacation 321:3
329:25 337:9,11	223:23 327:25	unexpected 325:12	urges 95:9	322:5 338:11,21
337:11 341:5,5,23	329:4	unfair 91:17,23	usage 321:9	349:3
342:1,20 343:24	unable 244:8,17	333:23	use 104:24 110:23	vague 137:13
345:15,16,19	245:15,17 342:23	unfamiliar 353:17	118:5 135:10,18	validate 239:9,19
346:15,21,24	unavailability	unfit 134:21	136:4,6 151:15,18	286:19
347:1,3,5 348:3	348:5	unforeseen 149:8	157:15 172:18	validation 257:20
349:9,11,24	unavailable 119:6	unfortunate 248:1	175:10 184:8,20	value 86:15 177:5
two-day 134:14	120:8 124:3,10,19	Unfortunately	213:13 261:7,11	185:13 187:15
two-thirds 333:7	125:7 147:6	246:8	261:11,12,19	209:2 274:3,5,8
two-thousand-so	148:24 337:23	Unidentified 281:1	264:3,21,24,25	variable 184:21
288:24	343:8 345:13	281:3,6,8,16,20	265:2 274:7,12,13	variables 169:25
type 98:12 169:23	346:25 347:1,4	293:20	305:22 319:1	170:1,9,10 184:9
169:23 170:2	348:7,8 349:23	Unified 78:4	337:8 343:6	variation 232:12
172:17 177:8,14	uncertainty 95:5	uniformity 232:23	355:14	variations 238:22
177:16 256:7	unclear 341:12	233:4	useful 211:7,11,13	246:24
275:11,11 292:24	uncommon 83:3	unilaterally 233:8	212:1,12,14	varied 218:10
295:10 299:11	understand 92:5	Union 56:15	usefulness 83:8	variety 88:3 89:13
types 169:13	100:13 125:9	union-sponsored	uses 89:3 262:1	various 94:3 169:7
172:24 261:23	131:23 149:4	205:4	usually 236:11	169:12,20,24
275:1,5,6 292:25	152:18 170:11	unique 85:6 94:20	290:5 298:24	173:18 261:7,10
273.1,3,0 292.23 294:9	171:15 185:10	unit 185:14,16,21	337:1 338:25	301:9
typical 171:12	198:22 200:21	185:22,23 186:7,8		vary 169:22 184:6
206:14 339:13	207:4 208:13		UTC 62:3,4,5,12	184:10 218:14,18
	226:2 250:10	186:12,17,22	67:8 75:4 79:1 84:24 85:8 01:0	219:2,7,10 277:20
typically 178:23	255:16,19 276:21	194:4	84:24 85:8 91:9	vast 161:12
190:25 347:14	280:19,23 289:12	universal 173:4	92:5 117:17	vehicle 261:11,12
	200.17,25 207.12			venicie 201.11,12

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

r				1 490 101
265:10	306:21 308:6,9	75:14	106:23 108:8	114:8 138:15
vein 90:2	310:11 316:16	virtually 159:16,19	121:2 127:17	141:10 165:19
verbatim 148:7,9	325:15 326:16,18	virus 106:23	140:4 142:25	177:5 179:6,7
verifiable 170:13	336:23,24,25,25	visibility 157:16	140:4 142:25	247:18 259:25
verification 173:3	339:10,14 344:5,8	visit 92:11	152:21 162:24	268:15 329:12
238:13	349:6 352:2	Vitae 60:16 61:19	164:17 176:12	watch 101:4 110:2
verify 170:23	vessel's 104:21	64:11 70:13 72:12	178:9 189:25	115:14 119:1,17
171:10 175:19	vessels 74:20 75:4	vital 126:1	191:8,22 201:25	120:2,8,21 121:7
177:2,8,11,15	91:18,20,23 92:1	Vol 60:22	202:11 208:16	121:12,12,15,16
239:15 249:25	92:8 97:14,24,25	Volume 55:13	214:2,4 228:22	121:19 122:19
verifying 171:23	98:23 99:9,11	Volumes 74:9	229:21 230:4	123:3 140:6
236:21	101:5,7,9,10	von 58:4 60:3 95:15	236:7 240:18	145:11,12,19,22
version 138:13	104:7 117:2,21	95:16 96:8,15,17	245:3 247:23	147:11 148:5,16
versus 170:19	133:10 137:4,9,20	96:22 97:5 105:6	254:10 273:10	148:24 149:25
171:14 195:10,11	138:3 170:19	105:9,14,17	279:21 280:25	160:19 191:12,12
199:25 248:9	171:2 173:13	112:11,18 113:23	281:3,20 283:12	191:13,14,16,21
257:16 310:11	199:12 211:11	114:16 125:10	284:4 318:15	192:7,16,16 198:9
vessel 69:19 71:18	212:4,7,8,9	127:15 128:24	330:25 334:23	219:8,11,12 224:8
72:13,16,18 73:4	221:18,23 228:4	129:1,14 131:23	351:17	225:9,24 286:10
78:7 91:11 92:6	275:5 276:7	137:17,19 138:1	wanted 113:14	286:17 288:25
97:19 98:7 99:3,3	325:11,17 344:11	139:25 143:20,23	129:9 141:21	289:2,4,6,6,6,10
104:22 111:4	vice 90:9 122:9,15	150:18 154:17	158:2 162:9	289:18 318:5,6,8
114:17 116:10,15	122:19 123:2,5,12	155:25 162:24	163:23 164:3,4	321:10,19,20,21
118:7,23 121:20	123:22 124:3,4,9	165:1 268:12	175:16 192:4	323:2,23,24,25,25
122:4,7,15 126:6	124:25 125:3,15	vote 333:7,19,20	193:11 198:1	324:3,9 325:10,17
126:19 127:1	125:18 140:9	voyage 312:5	217:6 231:16	327:6,22,22
137:12 138:7,21	141:2,24 142:9		256:17 291:14	331:19 332:2,10
140:25 142:15,18	147:4,7	<u> </u>	294:16 342:14,25	333:9 336:18,19
149:24 150:3	video 215:18 242:3	w 57:10 352:10	wanting 298:12	337:22 338:16
151:19 152:15	296:19	WAC 75:21 254:5	wants 99:25 135:7	339:13 341:20
169:16,19,22,23	videoconference	305:25 312:16,19	156:4 343:6	342:4,25 343:2
170:2,10,22	55:10,18 56:2,7	WACs 76:2	warrants 122:8	344:14 345:3,4,7
172:17,24 173:7	56:12,18 57:2,8	wait 83:3 139:19	Washington 55:1,3	345:19,20 346:16
173:10,11,17,18	79:1	301:12	56:5,11,15,22	349:4,12
174:22 175:13,13	view 91:11 146:8	waiting 71:11	57:5,10 66:5,8	watches 229:3
176:13 177:7,16	188:21 275:13	186:20	68:8 74:20 77:22	water 89:4 90:8
181:13,14 182:17	312:19	waive 192:25 279:5	79:11 91:25 92:9	126:13 151:20,20
184:19,20 189:17	viewed 258:19	294:24	99:9 168:19	152:23,23 154:21
218:24,25 219:16	violate 131:18	Waiver 78:5,7,8,10	198:21 216:22	276:8
222:18,22,25	violated 218:9	Waivers 78:9	218:16 251:19	Waterborne 74:5
223:6 224:5 253:6	violating 132:6	waking 341:11	297:22 299:10	Waters 74:6
253:6 254:9,14	226:8	Walt 113:9	356:3,7	waterway 151:16
262:10 263:15,22	violation 131:6,9	Walter 61:7	Washington's	151:19 152:20,22
275:11 278:4,5	150:7 218:9	wand 87:18	97:15	154:1,9,18,23,25
299:14 305:21	Virginia 69:24	want 82:16 84:20	wasn't 103:4 114:5	155:25 276:13,25
	_	99:20 105:11		
	I	I	I	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 490 102
waterways 91:15	129:9 164:5 214:8	width 276:13,16,25	154:7,9 155:19,21	wondering 161:24
275:20 276:18,19	245:10,13,14	Wiley 56:13 58:13	155:23,24 156:2,6	251:17
277:1	321:8 333:9	58:14,15,17,18,19	163:1 165:2,3	word 120:15 123:9
wave 87:17	348:22	58:21 59:4,5,11	166:9,12,16,22	137:17 141:5
way 57:10 87:5	weather 276:8	59:12 79:25 80:15	167:3,11 168:1,24	175:5 249:17
92:7 97:18 101:3	278:8,19	82:24 197:19,21	180:10 192:22,24	274:5,10,11,13
106:7 115:3,4	Webber 57:9 59:19	197:24 198:17	192:25 194:21,24	344:20
125:8,9,25 144:25	77:20 80:9,9 91:4	199:1 200:14	196:4 197:4,5,10	worded 321:13,13
158:20,21 161:4	91:7	201:22 202:6,8,10	197:17,23 198:11	322:20 353:20
161:15 182:10	website 154:3	202:21 209:4	199:1 200:17	words 281:13 289:9
208:12 229:6,6	155:19 247:12,16	210:2,4,8,15	209:7,9,11,14	323:6 331:24
252:14 259:6	251:24 252:1	211:20,21,23	210:18 212:21	work 86:6 91:14
276:1,22 316:21	271:7 299:12	212:17 215:10,14	213:3,22,25 215:5	103:11 111:24
325:19 327:4	Wednesday 79:7	215:18 216:4,6,16	215:8 216:2,8,10	112:1 119:5,11
329:21 347:20	week 328:7 344:19	217:9 226:1	217:2,4 226:12	122:3 125:13,15
348:2 350:2,6	week-on/week-off	227:19 229:21,23	230:25 233:20	125:16,17,18,18
ways 168:13 236:13	328:5	230:2,21 231:10	240:4,8,10,11,22	126:6,9,11,13
292:1,2	weekend 238:2,7	233:22,23,25	241:17,23 242:1,4	129:9 142:10,18
we'll 82:22 96:22	Weekly 72:19	234:8 238:25	250:4 255:9 269:9	147:5,9 148:12,13
103:11 129:2	weeks 147:15,16	239:4 240:5 241:4	269:13,19,21	148:15,17,21
196:18,19 215:3	weigh 82:17 265:18	241:25 242:2,10	270:2 279:6,12,18	152:1 157:7,14
215:15 217:10	310:12	244:10 247:13,22	280:14,18,23	158:11 161:19
280:9 282:4,5,6	weight 310:12	255:10,12,14	281:2,5,7,15,19	167:21 172:19
333:18 336:3	welcome 174:15	259:18,21 269:21	281:22 282:12,16	185:14,16 186:7,8
355:9	279:12	269:22 279:20	282:19,21 284:17	186:22 187:15
we're 79:15 87:19	Weldon 58:12	280:24 282:18,20	284:19 285:17	189:6 190:7 191:9
117:4,8,8 126:18	61:18 62:14 197:6	283:2,22,23	286:1 288:1	192:7,15,16
127:2 136:11	198:11,20	285:16 294:15,18	294:24 296:17,24	204:14 206:13
142:24 156:22	went 162:3 164:1	294:21,25 295:2,5	297:1,9,12 300:7	222:23 224:9
158:4 176:19	212:11 249:19,19	296:12	300:21 305:3	227:18 228:2
177:15 191:22	268:4,4	Williams 56:14	306:13 330:24	232:7 234:5
194:4 214:6 220:4	,	willing 139:13	331:3,6 334:19	237:10 241:13
238:16 240:19	West 60:7 65:19	192:24 294:23	335:2 336:4,7	261:17 283:17
243:3 245:3,24	292:16 293:4,9	winter 292:22	350:24 355:9	286:15 290:6
248:9 249:5	294:9	wishes 89:16	356:11	311:9,10,13
273:15 277:25	Western 96:18	withheld 131:4,14	witnesses 58:3 59:2	312:13 313:2,6
280:22,22 281:14	242:15 297:22	witness 81:24 95:14		314:10 316:1
283:7 288:7	whatnot 158:5	95:15,18,24 96:2	103:9 128:25	317:12 318:3
289:24 306:3	165:14	96:5,8,23,25	196:16 214:8	319:12 323:7,19
310:19 315:15,16	wheel 144:12	100:1 105:19	234:6 247:14,20	323:21,24 324:14
320:25 326:20	WHEREOF	108:25 112:24	279:14 323:18	324:18,19 325:14
336:2 343:13	356:11	113:8 127:25	witnesses' 128:25	325:18,21 326:3
348:22 350:13	whichever 274:13	128:3 132:3	witnessing 165:13	326:11 328:10
we've 86:4 106:21	wholistically	138:14 141:17,19	Wonderful 271:9	329:20,24 332:2
116:19,21 122:13	258:13	143:5 150:14	336:10	332:10 333:24,25
,				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	I	I	I	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 490 100
340:1,4 348:1	228:25 248:19	WTB-26X 62:23	289:12 290:22,25	yes-or-no 105:17
workday 134:11	249:7 263:8,10	WTB-27X 62:24	292:8,11,14 294:4	
135:8,19 314:23	264:25 278:14	WTB-28X 62:25	296:9,11 309:1	Z
315:24 316:2	314:6,7,13 332:17	WTB-29X 63:3	310:22 313:21,21	ZIP 284:8,13
318:23	345:22 349:22	WTB-3 61:20	314:1 315:5 320:6	
worked 188:24	wound 258:24	WTB-30X 63:4	322:12 323:3,15	0
218:23 227:10	wrap 190:17	WTB-31X 63:5	327:9 328:25	0100 340:7
228:17 229:5	196:19 350:19	WTB-32X 63:6	333:5 338:4 341:3	035 354:7
309:25 339:7	writing 280:22	WTB-4 61:21	344:8 345:11	04 219:23
Worker 61:4	written 81:5,11	WTB-5 61:22	350:6 351:11	065 64:23 305:25
working 111:11	155:12 156:10	WTB-6 61:23	352:17,17,19	0800 348:18
166:9 180:17	316:21 330:25	WTB-7 61:24	354:8,9	09101 57:5
189:21 190:2,11	wrong 113:6	WTB-8 62:3	year 61:20,21,22	0we 85:8
190:11 203:7	195:21	WTB-9 62:4	62:3,4,5,6,7,9	1
268:15 283:8	wrote 202:3		72:14,15 74:16	
289:1,22 320:22	WSF 71:20	X	179:4 183:25	1 61:20 62:3,6 77:24 132:23
320:24,24 321:1	WSJ 75:23		184:1,7,7 187:4	77:24 132:23 201:11 220:24
326:16,18 330:1	WT-1T 61:7	$\frac{\mathbf{Y}}{\mathbf{X}_{252,222}}$	188:3,20 191:15	201:11 220:24 231:14 248:22,24
332:15 333:3,22	WT-2 61:8	Y 352:22	191:16,21 192:7	, · · · ·
341:9 345:19,20	WT-3 61:10	Yacht 57:9 68:20	193:15,20 194:1	257:10 273:19 346:4
workload 65:9	WT-4 61:11	80:7,10 91:8	221:5 222:10	1-2-3-4-5 351:15
66:19 89:15	WT-5 61:12	yachts 92:8	224:16 232:7	1-2-3-4-5 551.15 1-59 62:16
124:24,25 125:1	WT-6 61:13	yeah 80:25 98:9,18	236:1,11 237:11	1,324 288:15,16
184:13 191:10	WT-7 61:15	100:1,7,8 107:19	237:16 239:14	1,324 288.13,10 1,324-hour 289:14
286:6,13 287:3,10	WT-8 61:16	109:6 114:10,19	247:3 256:17	1,524-11001 289.14 1,600 313:24
289:13,23 290:20	WT-9 61:17	115:8 121:22,25	257:7 271:10,14	314:10
295:6,12,13	WTB-10 62:5	122:11,24,25	275:9 286:7	1,665 320:5,18
309:20,24 314:17	WTB-11 62:6	124:16 125:24	288:22 309:25	1,7,8,9,10 60:13
317:10 351:2	WTB-12 62:7	130:16 132:3	314:23 315:13,20	1,7,8,9,10 00.15 1,810 319:8,14
352:1 353:15	WTB-13 62:9	133:1,1 135:23	318:24 319:10	10 63:9 112:25
workloads 290:23	WTB-14T 62:10	156:19 159:13	321:2 326:6	113:8 136:1,18,22
workpaper 69:7,8	WTB-15 62:11	160:18 161:24	year-to-year	176:3 212:9 304:4
works 128:7 157:23	WTB-16 62:12	162:15,16 163:14	172:19	304:7 309:2,22
218:24,25 254:19	WTB-17X 62:13	164:13,20 179:13	years 73:24 90:7	311:19 316:23
325:19 327:2	WTB-18X 62:14	209:11,14 219:18	91:22 94:2 122:12	319:7
328:4,7 332:14	WTB-19X 62:16	222:1 224:14	145:4 170:15,23	10-01 66:7 70:3
338:3	WTB-1Tr 61:18	228:6 240:4	173:19 177:14	10-hour 115:19
worksheets 65:23	WTB-2 61:19	243:22 246:22,24	179:5 203:6 212:8	316:13
291:20	WTB-20X 62:17	247:6,11 248:14	212:9 246:24	10-year 256:22
worried 335:25	251:4,16	248:16,17 249:15	249:12 257:9,10	10-year 250.22 10(i) 312:2
wouldn't 109:14	WTB-21X 62:18	250:20 251:1	258:8 333:10	10(1) 512.2 10.6.2018 77:24
111:17,17 119:4	WTB-22X 62:19	255:3 256:15	yep 131:16 137:8	78:3
120:22 124:22	WTB-23X 62:20	259:6,16 265:5,17	174:19 181:7	10/22/20 70:23
127:8 129:16,21	WTB-24X 62:21	266:9 276:1,7	243:10 282:12	10/22/20 70:23 10:13 143:15
152:16 184:6	WTB-25X 62:22	277:3,15 281:19	287:17 314:1	10:13 143:15 10:20 143:10,14
		281:22 288:7,7,16		10,40 17J.10,17
	1	1	1	·

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	I	I	I	1 ago 101
10:26 143:15	12:35 354:5	15X 200:11	1964 250:18	20,000 227:1
100 70:19 199:21	12:40 214:21	16 103:23 128:9	198 58:12,13 77:5	20.3 100:8
199:22 232:2	12:46 214:24	138:11 230:13	198-and-change	200 77:6 96:18
234:3,17 344:23	120 57:10	233:14 302:4	258:17 263:7	242:15 258:16
102 62:15 65:5	120-179 62:18	16.4.4.2 230:12	1980 76:2	2000 63:16 340:5
74:22	122 63:21 64:8	160 62:11	1983 77:15	2001 61:8,10 68:16
103 60:24 208:25	66:22	1600 340:4	1986 77:16	75:18 212:10
209:18	124 65:5	161 76:21	1987/Jan 66:6	2002 61:10
107 66:22	12th 162:1	162 58:7	1988 66:6	2003 242:15 297:22
108 70:20	13 61:5 64:16 67:16	163 76:22	199 58:13	2004 77:19
10X 112:22 113:7	123:19 287:12,16	168 58:9	1992 61:11,12	2005 68:17 75:19
141:14,15	292:12 295:8	169 58:10	1992-2019 72:18	170:23 171:14
11 61:5,15 63:8	296:4 317:19	17 66:21 67:8 68:7	1995 61:14 76:16	175:19 177:2
143:9 330:19	322:13 339:10,14	133:19,22	1996 66:4 76:15	2005-2007 72:8
331:8 344:4,8,15	340:25,25 342:3	17.0 133:23	1999 212:10	2005-2018 73:19,21
11.1 139:17	13-day 118:20	17.6 65:12 76:23	1A 133:7,15 137:8	2005-2019 72:13,14
11.2 123:19	13-4431 274:4	179 60:14 66:22	1st 132:11 291:11	72:15,17 73:11,13
11/19/19 60:4	1300 56:4,10	70:21	1T 183:15	73:23 74:9,16
11:58 214:24	13225 270:14	18 64:16 66:9 67:3	1TR 209:18	2006 61:15 64:21
110 66:22	132 26 276.11	68:9 70:6 172:15		65:3,3 69:24
111 65:5	14 68:12 137:25,25	238:1	2	75:14 76:8
1111 57:4	138:10 227:24	180 77:3 186:8	2 61:21 62:4,7	2007 61:16
112 66:22	228:11 288:2,9	289:19	70:18 78:3 133:8	2008 61:17 71:10
115 65:5	289:18 317:17	180-239 62:19	140:22,22 170:19	2008-2018 71:4
116 66:22	318:4	1800 347:10	198:20 221:8	2008-2020 70:24
1160 75:13	14-hour 317:13	181 314:23 316:5	231:7,9,14 274:21	2009 63:6
118 60:14 185:19	318:10,23	318:24 319:7,11	304:7 306:15	2009-2017 72:10
185:21 186:9,10	14.6 286:15	319:24 320:2,8,10	311:18 315:9	201 77:7
187:4 188:17,19	142 76:10	320:12,21 321:3	346:4 351:19,19	2010 65:15
188:19 190:13,14	143 58:6 195:16	321:18,20 330:9	352:7	2010-2019 72:25
190:15 192:9	15 62:12 243:2	182 286:7,14	2,000 313:11	73:3
193:15,19 194:5,8		185 60:14 132:17	2,534 318:25	2011-2018 73:7,8
195:16	308:15	1851 216:22	319:12	73:10,18
12 55:15 58:2 60:14	15-day 118:19	186 60:14 113:5,6	2,603 313:11	2012 64:13 71:11
61:11,12 79:2,8	150 58:6 76:18	113:10	2,660 289:16	71:22
136:11 138:11	1500 340:5	188 66:22	2,660-hour 289:13	2012-2014 71:9
229:15 290:19,25	151 76:19	19 70:7 133:20	2,661 286:7,13	2014 71:21 74:23
322:13 344:8,9	153 76:19	137:10 175:24	2,678 320:9	2015 71:5,17
356:9	1530 352:13,17	244:15 271:13	2:13 282:7	132:11,23 244:25
12,055,000 255:20	154 76:20	307:23,23 315:3	2:20 282:4,6	245:6 246:15
12,055,872 246:16	155 59:20	340:24	2:26 282:7	248:3,20 249:5,9
12,470,372 246:18	155,000 256:22	191 58:10	20 99:19 212:8	255:19 302:5
12,471,000 255:21	156 58:7	193 58:11	239:23,23,24,25	2015-2019 71:7,8
12/1/05 66:3	158 76:11	1954-2 68:11	290:13 317:20	2016 74:12 248:11
12:30 354:4	159 313:16	196 77:4	318:7 330:20	248:18 256:24
			331:11	210110 200.21
	l		l	l

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

2017 60:21 63:10	2080 194:1	317:13 318:4	30 132:14 214:1	370 67:17
68:7 74:12 162:1	21 70:8 172:15	24/7 106:2	229:16 290:8	376 67:17
238:1 248:11	337:21 339:13,14	240-299 62:20	30-plus 237:14	38 67:17 201:12
2017-2019 71:15	341:1,6,20 345:8	242 59:4,5 63:21	30-some 237:14	38-40 69:14
72:20,24	210 58:14,14	64:9 66:23	300 91:21	382.3(b)(2)(i) 212:6
2018 60:8,9 63:11	21084 284:8,13	25 109:3 131:13	300-359 62:21	385 64:16 69:14
64:12 65:9,11,22	211 58:15	141:7	3000 57:4	39 64:16
65:23 66:19 68:9	212 58:15	255 59:5	305 63:22 68:12	3T 331:8
71:14,19 73:16,17	2121 55:25 356:16	26 64:12 108:11,13	309 63:22	
74:19 76:6 77:11	214 64:8	108:14,17 219:23	31 63:21 66:21	4
170:23 175:2,14	215 64:8	220:4 289:19	112:19,22 271:14	4 67:17 170:18
175:18,19,20	216 58:17 64:8	309:2,6,15,18	310 63:22 66:23	227:23,24 228:6
181:9 184:23	217 58:18 64:9	260 59:6	318 63:22 66:23	232:1 244:14,15
185:5 217:21	218 64:9	265 59:6	32 65:4 248:17	285:21 286:5,5
221:6,15,16,23	21st 356:12	27 285:14,19,20,20	32,881,000 248:4	288:3 306:24
239:23 246:18	22 70:9 99:25	270 59:9,9 63:21	32.8 248:9	322:9,10,13 346:4
248:6,20 249:9	290:19	271 68:11	33 64:16 248:9,17	4,344 315:13 320:7
255:20 271:10,13	22,000 273:23	273 63:21 68:12	33,997,000 248:6	320:15
271:14,14,24	220 64:9 66:22	28 60:13 61:14	332 64:6	4.2 156:24
273:22 274:4	351:14,22 353:13	66:21 309:17	334 63:22 64:9	4/15/20 72:7
286:6 287:20	224 66:22 351:21	334:13,14 335:16	69:23	4/16/18 65:16
288:8,10 309:23	225 216:22	335:20,22	335-413 67:10	4/26/20 72:22
313:8 328:24	2284 57:10	280 63:21 68:12	336 61:6	4/9/20 60:22
336:13 344:6,19	229 66:23	283 59:11 63:22	34 64:16 248:10,18	4:00 335:10
352:11	23 61:16 99:25	285 59:12	3400 284:7	4:31 355:17
2018-19 73:4	109:3 128:6	28th 159:21	344 61:6 67:17	40 195:14 203:6
2018-2019 73:5	130:25 131:7	29 285:24 337:22	348 67:17	353:8
2019 65:8 66:9,19	220:21 290:19	295 59:12	35 64:16 70:10	400 168:19
71:16 73:22 74:3	230 58:18	297 59:14	356 55:14	400-plus-thousand
74:14,18 75:3,13	2300 347:16,22	298 59:15	360 56:5	255:21
178:19 245:2	348:12,18	2nd 291:11	360-419 62:22	400,000 190:7,12
248:25 249:2	2300s 340:7		362 61:6 69:23	190:16 195:11
256:15 271:10	231 58:19 66:23	3	363-116-065 306:1	256:24
309:23	233 58:19	3 61:22 62:5,9	312:16	400,855 93:18
201E 198:21	234 58:20	67:17 68:14 73:22	363-116-175 75:21	401(k) 272:14,19
202 77:8	235 58:20	102:24 103:14,15	363.116.300 254:5	40128 56:21
2020 55:15 58:2	236 58:21	103:22 127:15,22	364 67:17 69:23	41 69:9
60:12 67:14,15	239 58:21	128:12,15 288:2	365 106:2 188:2,5	4100 56:15
76:14 79:2,8	24 63:21 66:21	334:18,21	191:15,16,17,20	416 63:22 68:12
171:2 173:10	209:18 289:18	3.12.2019 77:23	191:21 192:1,7	75:13
174:10 229:16	316:2,5 320:8,13	3.19 322:1,1	221:6,10 228:25	416-438 63:20 64:7
356:9,12	351:10	3.23 306:24	321:22 326:6	67:12
206 56:16 75:13	24-7 315:25	3.8 306:15,18	328:2,4,24 329:23	417 63:22 68:12
77:9	24-hour 314:23	3:30 352:16,19	365-day 327:20	418 63:22
206.816.1403 57:5	315:24 316:2,12	353:8	37 70:11	419 63:22
		3:52 335:10	/ 0.11	42 60:14 66:22
	I	I	l	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

323:15	482 66:17	549 128:5	7/1/18 61:24	9 68:12 239:17
420 63:22 66:23	49-51 69:14	55 55:14 68:4	7/9/19 72:19	271:3,18 287:19
69:14	49.3 222:24	550 147:17	70 147:14,17,20	287:19
420-479 62:23	491 66:23	56th 198:20	162:7	9.2 319:20,23 320:3
421 63:22 69:15	496 66:23	572 127:23 128:7	7165 251:18	329:5
423 67:17	497 66:23	58 62:13 338:1	720 168:19	9/21/17 75:7
424 67:17	498 66:23	5th 339:23 340:1	73 251:16	9/8/14 65:17,18
428 67:17	499 66:23 323:16	5X 133:20	75 66:14	9:45 355:12,14
42X 299:25 334:17	4TR 315:5,6		75-103 60:24 62:14	90 65:5 67:13 314:9
42Xs 300:8	4X 274:20	6	66:11,12 67:13	90-minute 280:11
43 69:10		6 67:17 70:17	75-82 66:11	91 59:19
430 67:17	5	172:13 175:23	750,000 245:9	92 59:19 66:16
432 64:9 68:12	5 65:20 67:17 70:16	183:15,17 239:6	77 63:5 66:15	92-97 66:13
434 64:9	104:20 285:21	309:2,21 334:9,11	788,000 249:3	93 65:5
436 63:22 64:9	286:5 292:13	336:11,13,13	79 60:14 66:22	96 58:5 65:5 66:16
437 63:22	5,000 134:7	341:1 342:2 344:5	141:11,12	97 58:5 60:13 66:22
438 64:9 68:12	5.4.3 150:21	344:19	7th 345:6 346:13	97201 168:20
439-471 67:21	5.43 150:24	6,000 227:2	346:17 348:4	97203 270:14
442 67:23	5/11/00 68:18 75:20	6/12/20 62:12	349:10	98 66:22
444 67:23	5/13/20 72:4	6/19/20 62:11		98-101 63:18
445 67:23	5/15/20 65:14	6/30/19 61:20,21,22	8	98030 216:23
45 214:15,17,19	5/19/10 66:7	61:25	8 60:21 61:17 68:12	98101 56:15
280:4	5/27/20 75:12	60 195:9	68:15 292:13	98121 242:16
45-minute 136:12	5/5/95 75:17	60-119 62:17	8/15/18 77:14	297:22
454 67:23	50 69:12 114:20,21	600-655 63:3	8/8/06 60:10	98136 251:19
455 67:23	172:20,21 322:22	600,000 257:6	8:10 352:20 353:8	98199 57:10
46 61:6 212:6 300:1	337:16,19 344:3	601 56:15	8:37 55:16 79:3,8	98504 56:5,11,22
300:20	500 131:6,8	61 69:13	80 60:14	98642 198:21
460 67:23	500,000 195:11	613,678 274:1	800 63:17	
461 67:23	501 66:17	62 69:15	81 66:15	
464 67:23	502 66:23	628-6600 56:16	82 65:4	
465 67:23	506 66:23	66 63:5 64:16	83 65:6 66:13,16	
466 67:23	507 66:24 300:4,15	664-1160 56:5	84 59:18 65:4 66:10	
467 67:23	303:21	67 63:5	66:13,22	
468 67:23	51 322:22	675 221:16	85 60:22 63:18	
47 61:6 300:18,20	513 66:24	675,421 221:11	66:22	
337:19 345:6,9	52 93:17	68 63:5	851 313:13	
470 67:23	52,53 61:6	69 60:22 334:18	86 61:3 65:4	
472 66:23	525 66:24	6th 160:14 339:23	86-88 60:24	
475 66:23	53 69:14	340:8 345:8,14	87 61:3 76:3	
476 66:23	537 66:24	346:9,20,25 348:5	87m 68:20	
479 66:23	54 68:6 69:14	348:8,10,12	88 59:18 65:4 76:3	
48 337:19	54-614 68:10	6X 131:13	89 67:22	
480-539 62:24	540-599 62:25		8th 352:11	
481 66:23	543,545 61:6	7		
	547 61:6	7 150:22 239:6	9	
	I	I	l	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC