EXH. MM-1T DOCKETS UE-240004/UG-240005 2024 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE WITNESS: MONICA MARTINEZ

# BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

**PUGET SOUND ENERGY,** 

Respondent.

**Docket UE-240004 Docket UG-240005** 

## PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF

**MONICA MARTINEZ** 

ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY

## **PUGET SOUND ENERGY**

# PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF MONICA MARTINEZ

#### **CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	ENERGY EQUITY: NATIONAL AND STATE PERSPECTIVES	5
	A. Energy Equity Developments Across the Nation	5
	B. Review of Research and Assessments	8
	C. Goal and Metric Setting	11
III.	REVIEW OF PSE'S PROGRESS IN INTEGRATING ENERGY EQUITY	12
	A. Utility Expectations Surrounding Implementation of Equity	12
	B. Review and Assessment of PSE's Integration of Equity	14
IV.	FINAL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	25
V.	CONCLUSION	27

## **PUGET SOUND ENERGY**

# PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF MONICA MARTINEZ

#### LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exh. MM-2 Professional Qualifications of Monica Martinez

8

9

11

10

12

13

14

16

15

17

19

18

20

PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF MONICA MARTINEZ

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- Q. Please state your name, business address, and position with Puget Sound Energy.
- A. My name is Monica Martinez, and my business address is 1731 Nottingham Road, Lansing, Michigan 48911. I have been retained as a consultant by Puget Sound Energy ("PSE") to review its approach to energy equity.
- Q. Have you prepared an exhibit describing your education, relevant employment experience, and other professional qualifications?
- A. Yes, I have. It is Exh. MM-2.
- Q. Would you please briefly describe your background?
- A. I am the Principal and Chief Strategy Officer at Ruben Strategy Group LLC. In that role, I provide consulting services related to workforce development, equity justice, low-income customer affordability, and regulatory policy. Prior to that, I served for six years as a Commissioner for the Michigan Public Service Commission ("MPSC"). During that time, I had the pleasure of being responsible for regulatory oversight of my state's natural gas, electricity, telecommunications and broadband, and motor carrier sectors. During that time, I focused on building

Michigan's renewable energy capacity and working on innovative measures to encourage regulated entities to be more customer focused, particularly in ways to address affordability, reduce energy burdens, and assist those with limited resources. During my time on the MPSC and since then as a consultant, I have been engaged in efforts to boost diversity, equity, and justice within the energy sector, particularly as the industry transitions to clean energy.

#### Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide an independent, third-party review and evaluation of PSE's integration of energy equity.

#### Q. What topics are you covering in your testimony?

A. I first provide an overview of national and state perspectives on energy equity, including a discussion of standards and benchmarks. Then, I report on my review of PSE's progress on integrating energy equity including the four tenets of recognition justice, procedural justice, distributional justice, and restorative justice. Finally, I provide recommendations for PSE and the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (the "Commission") to consider as PSE continues its journey of incorporating equity.

# Q. How does your experience as a former Commissioner shape your perspective?

A. As a former Commissioner, I understand the delicate balancing act of meeting customer and utility needs.

Both public service commissions and utilities should be actively seeking to better understand the needs of customers. To better understand customer needs while on the MPSC, I found pilots and other opportunities that encourage customer engagement to be foundational.

In addressing utility needs, regulators face the difficult task of making quantitative and qualitative assessments of a broad spectrum of issues, each with varying degrees of certainty and risk. One of the most important things public service commissions can do in making decisions is provide utilities with clear regulatory direction.

Both of these considerations are important in advancing energy equity so (a) the needs of customers are fully understood and (b) so utilities have clear direction on what they should be doing to meet those needs. The Commission and PSE should keep these considerations in mind as they work together to meet regulatory and statutory objectives relating to equity.

Q. Please provide an overview of your assessment of PSE's progress on energy equity.

A. Even though energy equity is a new requirement in Washington, PSE is making steady progress in incorporating energy equity into its business operations, processes, and culture. This is evident by its hiring of a dedicated equity team, by its changes to its resource acquisition and project selection process that expressly incorporate equity in its portfolio review, and by other operational changes to integrate equity. PSE has also implemented external processes to integrate equity, such as engagements and outreach with customers and stakeholders from traditionally unrepresented communities, and has taken steps to improve supplier diversity. Based on my experience, PSE is ahead of many of its peers across the country in incorporating energy equity.

That said, fully integrating equity will take time and PSE has room to grow. PSE's efforts would be enhanced if it established clear goals for each energy equity tenet referenced above and PSE should continue to look for opportunities to direct benefits to named communities similar to the community solar program and the targeted electrification program. Additionally, PSE should finalize the adoption of the Equity Investment Zones, and create a process to make targeted outreach, where possible, to these areas for new programs.

# 3

5

4

7

6

8

10

9

11

12

13

#### 14

15 16

17

18

#### II. **ENERGY EQUITY: NATIONAL AND STATE PERSPECTIVES**

#### **Energy Equity Developments Across the Nation**

#### Q. What is your understanding of energy equity?

Generally, energy equity "translates into the fair distribution of benefits and A. burdens from energy production and consumption." Energy equity is the recognition that some communities have historically been overburdened or disadvantaged by environmental hazards due to energy production or a lack of access to sustainable energy sources. A variety of early equity and social justice work has led to today's view and outlook on energy equity.

#### Q. Why is energy equity important?

Energy equity is important because the historical tendencies and structures that A. led to inequitable distributions of energy benefits and burdens need to be recognized and addressed through new policies and mechanisms.

#### Q. What has happened on the national front to promote energy equity?

Recent efforts by the federal government are leading the way in advancing energy A. equity. On January 20, 2021, President Biden issued Executive Order 13985 on Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through the Federal Government.<sup>2</sup> This required each federal agency to conduct an equity

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Partnership for Southern Equity, *Just Energy* (2023) found at http://psequity.org/just-energy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Executive Order 13985 (Jan. 20, 2021), found at

https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/01/25/2021-01753/advancing-racial-equity-and-supportfor-underserved-communities-through-the-federal-government.

14

15

16

17

18

14008, which established the Justice40 Initiative that sets the goal that 40 percent of the overall benefits of federal investments for items such as energy efficiency, efficient transportation, and clean energy, flow to disadvantaged communities.<sup>3</sup>

State legislative, executive, and regulatory action have also been considerable.

The Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and Pacific Northwest National Laboratory found that 95 state level government actions were taken between January 2020 and July 2022 on energy equity.<sup>4</sup> State energy equity actions range from recognizing disadvantaged communities, increasing transparency, enhancing intervenor compensation, and identifying equity or environmental justice as a goal.<sup>5</sup>

assessment. Then, on January 27, 2021, President Biden issued Executive Order

## Q. When examining state level efforts, was there anything of note?

A. Significantly, most basic state energy equity goals, objectives, and expectations are still not adequately defined or established. This can be both a blessing and a curse. The blessing is, public agencies, regulated entities, and stakeholders have the opportunity to work together to establish clear goals, objectives, and expectations with a fresh perspective. The curse is, as a former Commissioner, I know firsthand the importance of making sure utilities and customers are aware of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See e.g., https://www.whitehouse.gov/environmentaljustice/justice40/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Berkeley Lab, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory and E9, *Current State of US Energy Equity Regulation and Legislation* (Feb. 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> U.S. Dept. of Energy: Office of Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy, *Energy Equity and Environmental Justice* (2023) found at <a href="https://www.energy.gov/eere/energy-equity-and-environmental-justice">https://www.energy.gov/eere/energy-equity-and-environmental-justice</a>.

6

7

10

11

13

17

clear regulatory requirements and expectations. It is difficult for all parties if expectations are not clear. State public utility commissions should be striving to set clear expectations on equity.

# Q. How does Washington compare with other states on energy equity advancement?

A. Washington is one of the states that has been a first mover in its efforts to advance energy equity. Washington is one of six states that has developed energy equity metrics. In Washington, these considerations include energy burden, community ownership of resources, resiliency, non-energy benefits, and public health. Washington is pursuing energy justice goals while also implementing a variety of other transformational laws like the Clean Energy Transformation Act ("CETA"), which requires electric utilities to meet 100 percent of their retail electric load using non-emitting and renewable resources by January 1, 2045.

Notably, in 2021, Washington added equity as a component the Commission may consider in its public interest standard for evaluating utility investments, and the Commission has addressed equity in some recent rate case orders. As discussed in more detail below, however, as I have observed from other states, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Berkeley Lab, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory and E9, *Current State of US Energy Equity Regulation and Legislation* (Feb. 2023) found at <a href="https://emp.lbl.gov/publications/assessing-current-state-us-energy">https://emp.lbl.gov/publications/assessing-current-state-us-energy</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *Id.* at 43.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See RCW 19.405.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See RCW 80.28.425.

12

Commission could be more direct in its expectations for how utilities should be implementing energy equity.

#### **B.** Review of Research and Assessments

- Q. Can you describe the energy equity frameworks that might be helpful to understand key energy equity concepts?
- A. Yes, there are three frameworks that I find helpful to understanding energy equity.

### University of Michigan Energy Equity Project. 10

The University of Michigan Energy Equity Project framework includes the four principal equity tenets mentioned above: recognition, procedural, distributional, and restorative. These tenets are described below in Figure 1.

Figure 1: The University of Michigan Energy Equity Project tenets.

RECOGNITION	PROCEDURAL	DISTRIBUTIVE	RESTORATIVE
Who is vulnerable, who is privileged, and how?	Who is at the table? What voice and power do they have in influencing planning, decision-making, and implementation?	Who bears the brunt of the burdens? who benefits and how?	How can we rectify past injustices caused by the energy system and prevent future harms?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Energy Equity Project, *Energy Equity Framework: Combining data and qualitative approaches to ensure equity in the energy transition* (2022) found at <a href="https://energyequityproject.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/220174">https://energyequityproject.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/220174</a> EEP Report 8302022.pdf.

In short, recognition is focused on understanding historical burdens, identifying demographic and geographic variables within data, capturing information regarding safety and reliability, and examining rate structures and programming related to affordability. Procedural leans more heavily on qualitative understandings and is focused on allowing a meaningful voice in the development of education, policy, regulation, and program implementation. Distributional focuses on understanding how investments, resources, and programs are benefiting communities and segments of the population versus others. Lastly, restorative is focused on understanding past harms. The University of Michigan Energy Equity Project framework is among the most popular energy equity frameworks in the country.

# The American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy ("ACEEE") Equity in Energy Efficiency.

The ACEEE uses the framework established by the Urban Sustainability Directors Network that defines energy equity using four tenets similar to that utilized by the University of Michigan Energy Equity Project but with slight variation. The four tenets or dimensions are structural, procedural, distributional, and transgenerational. <sup>11</sup> The primary difference, as compared to the University of Michigan framework, is that transgenerational equity focuses on the impact on future generations and putting in place fair practices that will not cause undue harm to future generations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy, additional information available at https://www.aceee.org/topic/energy-equity.

15

16

#### LA100 Strategies.

The National Renewable Energy Laboratory and University of California Los Angeles, in partnership with the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, undertook a study to identify solutions to achieve a 100 percent clean energy future that is "Powered by Equity." This two-year study focused on finding pathways to improve energy equity through strategies for engaging communities, funding equitable investments, expanding clean energy and energy assistance programs, and designing new proposed programs and policies. Like the University of Michigan Energy Equity Project tenets, LA100 included recognition justice, procedural justice, and distributional justice. However, an additional component of the LA100 study was a focus on cross-cutting policy and program strategies which included targets toward small ethnic owned businesses, green jobs and workforce development, and service panel upgrades for electrification, among others. Of note, the LA100 study outlined equity strategies such as implementing a certain tariff or exploring income-based fixed charges. However, some specific goal setting or benchmarks were absent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> National Renewable Energy Laboratory, *LA100 Equity Strategies* (2023) found at <a href="https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy24osti/85960.pdf">https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy24osti/85960.pdf</a>.

#### C. Goal and Metric Setting

- Q. From the various frameworks outlined above is there a clear guidance for specific goal setting?
- A. Establishing goals and/or metrics is recommended in all three of the aforementioned assessments and I encourage the Commission and PSE to set clear goals and expectations for advancing energy equity. However, as many have observed, equity is a journey, not a destination. Change cannot happen overnight. However, setting reasonable goals and metrics can be instrumental in effectuating change incrementally. However, every state, utility, and geographic region may have different goals that may gradually need to be adjusted and evolve over time. The challenges in Michigan may be different than those in Washington. Utilities and stakeholders can help expedite the successes if they work together, share, and have a mutual understanding of the goals. This can be done with the assistance of the Equity Advisory Group ("EAG") or other community engagement actions, as discussed in the Prefiled Direct Testimonies of Troy A. Hutson, Exh. TAH-1T and Carol L. Wallace, Exh. CLW-1T.
- Q. Do you have any recommendations relating to goals or metrics for PSE?
- A. I understand PSE is proposing in this case four equity-related performance metrics: (1) energy burden efficacy, (2) energy assistance delivery depth, (3) certain programmatic spend benefitting highly impacted communities or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> https://www.taftcommunications.com/dei-is-a-journey-not-a-destination/.

vulnerable populations, and (4) percentage of suppliers that are minority-owned, women-owned, or veteran-owned. These metrics are discussed in more detail in the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Troy A. Hutson, Exh. TAH-1T. I have reviewed these metrics and I recommend the Commission adopt them. The number of metrics proposed is sufficient to establish a baseline set of metrics targeted at key indicators for energy burden and other priorities. PSE and the Commission should continue to evaluate these metrics over time to determine whether adjustments should be made. Centering goals and metrics around each of the four University of Michigan Energy Equity Project tenets can be a helpful framework for addressing all aspects of energy equity.

#### III. REVIEW OF PSE'S PROGRESS IN INTEGRATING ENERGY EQUITY

### A. Utility Expectations Surrounding Implementation of Equity

- Q. Where would a utility be expected to be in integrating energy equity given the recency of the law?
- A. Given the progress in other states which have adopted equity policies, an initial review indicates that many of those processes are still emerging, and states are identifying a set of agreed principles and definitions. In Washington, the implementation of equity is moving quickly but is still evolving and based on my review of Commission orders surrounding equity, <sup>14</sup> clear directives still have not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> WUTC v. Cascade Nat. Gas Corp., Docket UG-210755 Order 09 (August 23, 2022) (discussing equity considerations in RCW 80.28.425 (1)) ("2022 Cascade GRC Order"); WUTC v. PSE, Dockets UE-220066 et. al., Order 24/10 (December 22, 2022).

been set.<sup>15</sup> I would anticipate that any utility would still be learning how to evaluate the energy equity tenets and developing a plan to implement them. As expectations become clearer and more defined, utilities should be expected to act accordingly.

However, as explained above, energy equity is a journey. Part of getting to a more equitable future is working together to find solutions that are inclusive and transformative. This may take time, effort, energy, and at times, could be a series of trials and errors (depending on the circumstances). Some practices will become best practices while others will be a learning experience.

## Q. Is there anything else that should be considered for a utility?

A. Yes. While not scientific, a utility will be most successful in integrating equity if it can first establish an internal culture of equity. PSE is already striving to do that as demonstrated by Exh. TAH-9, which are presentations to PSE's Steering Committee of Officers and Board of Directors. This culture adjustment may already be readily established for some within PSE, but for others it may require deeper education and awareness to lead to adoption.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> The Commission has established an equity docket in Docket A-230217 where I understand additional information on equity will be provided.

19

20

21

22

23

24

### B. Review and Assessment of PSE's Integration of Equity

- Q. What is the framework that was utilized for your review of PSE's progress in integrating equity?
- A. I utilized the University of Michigan's Energy Equity Project framework which I understand was adopted by the Commission in a rate case order for Cascade Natural Gas. <sup>16</sup> I used the four tenets of recognition justice, procedural justice, distributional justice, and restorative justice as my guiding principles in evaluating PSE's progress in integrating equity.
- Q. What was the review process that you undertook for your examination of PSE?
- A. I conducted program reviews of several PSE organizations, including:
  - **Customer energy management.** Energy efficiency, demand response, with a focus on residential and small and medium businesses.
  - **Affordability.** Energy assistance programs, arrearages, and disconnections.
  - **Business services.** PSE's account management of top 600 customers.
  - **Distributed energy resources.** Current distributed energy resource programs projects (e.g., community solar, low-income eligible), DEA pilot (with Berkeley National Labs).
  - **Delivery System planning.** Progress on incorporating equity in planning process, the Investment Decision Optimization Tool (iDOT), and stakeholder engagement.
  - **Program engagement.** Engagement with residential and business programs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> WUTC v. Cascade Nat. Gas Corp., Docket UG-210755 Order 09 (August 23, 2022).

In conducting the program reviews, I met with program teams and reviewed materials provided to me by PSE individuals with responsibility for the programs, as well as any relevant publicly available information. As needed, I followed up with additional questions and information requests.

### Q. Did you meet with anyone that was not a PSE employee?

- A. Yes. I had conversations with national experts engaged on some of the studies and work described above, other experts in the energy equity and diversity field, and current and former state regulators. Additionally, I met with several interested parties in Washington, where I used their feedback to help guide and focus my review and analysis.
- Q. Based on the four equity tenets, what is your evaluation of PSE's progress in implementing equity?
- A. Below I discuss my review of PSE based on each of the equity tenets. The figure below provides an overview of some of the programs and how they align with each equity tenet.

2

3

Q.

4 5

6 7

8

9

1. Recognition justice.

## What encompasses recognition justice?

Recognition justice seeks to better understand which communities, geographic A. areas or others have inequitably faced burdens, have been ignored or missing from participation, or have been underserved or misrepresented. This often requires gathering information such as demographics (age, race, ethnicity, income, etc.) and using it to gain a better understanding of impacted communities. It is through this understanding that snapshots of disparities can emerge.

Q. How is PSE advancing recognition justice?

A. PSE is working to identify and engage different communities and impacted communities across its service territory. 17 Based on statutory and regulatory guidance, as explained in more detail in the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Troy A. Hutson, Exh. TAH-1T, PSE is identifying key segments of the population considered highly impacted communities and vulnerable populations, as well as identifying and understanding the level of energy burdened customers. This is an important first step in the recognition justice tenet.

- Q. Is there a specific programmatic or policy example that PSE has engaged in for this tenet?
- A. PSE has developed Geographic Information System (GIS) maps to identify where vulnerable populations, highly impacted communities, and deepest need customers are located in PSE's service area. PSE is already incorporating this information into its utility work and using it to prioritize community engagement events in these areas. In interviews and conversations with different divisions of PSE, because of the maps, employees were better aware of these communities and able to discuss the work that either they or their colleagues were conducting.

PSE is continuing to deepen its relationship and engagement with interested parties. This not only includes its work with the EAG, but also its engagement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See e.g., Hutson, Exh. 1T; Docket UE-210795, Clean Energy Implementation Plan Biennial CEIP Update, (Nov. 1, 2023) found at <a href="https://www.cleanenergyplan.pse.com/ceip-library#BiennialCEIPUpdate">https://www.cleanenergyplan.pse.com/ceip-library#BiennialCEIPUpdate</a>.

with stakeholders in the community. As discussed in the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Troy A. Hutson, Exh. TAH-1T, PSE is working to recognize ways in which it can identify and better understand disadvantaged, vulnerable, or marginalized populations.

#### Q. What would be any recommended areas of improvement?

A. Although PSE has engaged in a series of expanded low-income programs and reforms under RCW 80.28.068, there is still an opportunity to further refine the recognition of populations within certain groups, such as the renter population, senior population, and rural population who may not be able to readily access certain programs and resources. According to the National Energy and Utility Affordability Coalition, about ten percent of the eligible population in Washington received LIHEAP in 2021. Additionally, 736,838 households are identified as being eligible for LIHEAP in Washington and more than 250,000 households are believed to be energy burdened within the state (i.e., those who spend more than six percent of their household incomes on energy bills). A core understanding and readiness to continually dive in further to cross-cutting factors will allow for more effective implementation of the remaining equity tenets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> National Energy & Utility Affordability Coalition, *Maximize LIHEAP Funding In 2024: Washington by the Numbers* found at <a href="https://neuac.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/07/Washington-State-Sheet-FY2024.pdf">https://neuac.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/07/Washington-State-Sheet-FY2024.pdf</a>.

# 2

# Q.

## What encompasses procedural justice?

2. Procedural justice.

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

A.

12

13

14

15 16

17

19

18

Procedural justice considers whether there is a voice for all communities in the A. project design and decision-making process. Procedural justice can be a qualitative rather than clear quantitative consideration. For example, simply inviting a certain individual or community group to the discussion table does not mean that they are able to meaningfully influence, direct, or steer the discussion. In other words, procedural justice considers whether all voices can meaningfully participate in the decision-making process as it relates to energy equity issues.

#### What is some of the work that PSE has done in the procedural justice tenet? Q.

Since 2021, PSE has actively engaged in its EAG to seek and learn from perspectives of various communities. 19 In conversations with PSE team members and program leads, the EAG is important for their work. It is important to note that the themes of trust, credibility, and power are critical components in procedural equity by the University of Michigan's Energy Equity Project. Trust was an important ingredient PSE teams felt they had to accomplish with community stakeholders to be effective. Language and cultural access are items that PSE has been working on and continues to improve through embedding language and cultural access.<sup>20</sup> An example of this includes PSE's multi-language

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Additional information available at https://www.cleanenergyplan.pse.com/#EAG.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> See Prefiled Direct Testimony of Carol L. Wallace, Exh. CLW-1T, for additional information regarding PSE's efforts to increase language access.

website access as well as compatibility for browser conversion, and Spanish materials available at workshops.<sup>21</sup>

- Q. Is there a specific programmatic or policy example that PSE has done well in this area?
- A. PSE's engagement for community solar is an example of a positive practice. PSE and the community solar uptake rate was not going well for targeted communities, PSE engaged in a thoughtful community engagement effort to help design real implementation efforts that would have meaning for the community. This resulted in a better understanding of what would make the program valuable or worthwhile for potential participants. This type of engagement and design is a good example of a process that facilitates effective community feedback and participation. As a result, PSE incorporated community feedback and revised the community solar program, doubling the shares allowable under the program and allowing participants to self-qualify for the program. Both modifications target value and ease of accessibility. For additional information regarding PSE's community solar program, please see the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Troy A. Hutson, Exh. TAH-1T.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Energy Equity Advisory Group, 2022-2023 EAG – Meeting 1 at 30 (June 12, 2023) found at <a href="https://irp.cdn-website.com/dc0dca78/files/uploaded/2023\_0612\_EAGPresentation\_finalupdate.pdf">https://irp.cdn-website.com/dc0dca78/files/uploaded/2023\_0612\_EAGPresentation\_finalupdate.pdf</a>
<sup>23</sup> Id. at 31-33.

#### Q. Do you recommend any areas of improvement?

A. Transparency is important if stakeholders and community members are to participate meaningfully. In conversations with interested parties, transparency was a common theme and should be encouraged within the EAG. PSE should continue to engage more with impacted communities through focus groups and listening sessions (similar to what was done in the second phase of the community solar engagement process), which will be beneficial as programmatic decisions are made. PSE could consider adopting a specific transparency goal or expectation in concert with the EAG and continue to expand the use of focus groups and listening sessions.

#### 3. Distributional justice.

### Q. What encompasses distributional justice?

- A. A key focus of distributional justice is understanding and correcting unfair, disproportionate burdens or benefits upon targeted community groups. Most of these burdens and benefits are discussed in financial, health, or economic terms.
- Q. What is some of the work that PSE has done to advance distributional justice?
- A. One example is PSE has taken a proactive approach to addressing the insufficient availability of energy affordability programs in times of need. During the pandemic when an increasing number of customers were at risk, PSE provided

additional funding on multiple occasions to address the shortfall. <sup>24</sup> Another programmatic example that demonstrates PSE's efforts to incorporate the distributional justice tenet is the Bill Discount Rate program, which targets benefits towards customers who are particularly vulnerable to energy burdens. PSE is working on boosting access to the many low-income programs available for its customers in order to address energy burden. PSE has engaged in efforts to overcome language access barriers and is using the Bill Discount Rate program and the PSE HELP program to reduce a customer's energy burden. <sup>25</sup> For additional information regarding the Bill Discount Rate program, please see the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Carol L. Wallace, Exh. CLW-1T.

In another example, I have reviewed and assessed the Delivery System Planning process, with a particular emphasis on the Investment Decision Optimization Tool (iDOT). Consistent with distributional justice, Delivery System Planning has incorporated mechanisms into its planning processes that specifically weigh equity as a part of the portfolio optimization process. This is discussed further in the Prefiled Direct Testimony of David J. Landers, Exh. DJL-1T. This methodology was developed in concert with guidance from the EAG.

Finally, PSE recently launched its Targeted Electrification Pilot which will transition participating customers to electric space heating and water heating.<sup>26</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Prefiled Direct Testimony of Carol L. Wallace, Exh. CLW-1T.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Prefiled Direct Testimony of John Mannetti, Exh-JM-1T, Prefiled Direct Testimony of Troy A. Hutson, Exh. TAH-1T.

The goal of the pilot is in part to gain a better understanding of program design and needed incentives for customers to embrace future technologies. Customers may receive free in-home electrification assessment so that they have a comprehensive roadmap to electrifying their home. A key component of the pilot is a special program for income-qualified customers.<sup>27</sup> The program may cover the costs for heat pumps and weatherization, including panel upgrades and installation charges. The overall offering is a positive step toward allowing a distributional program design that eliminates the major barrier of upfront costs. These efforts are discussed in more detail in the Prefiled Direct Testimonies of John Mannetti, Exh-JM-1T, and Troy A. Hutson, Exh. TAH-1T.

#### Q. Do you have any recommended areas of improvement?

An area of improvement would be to dive deeper into some of the geographic-A. based disparities that exist, particularly with some of the rural areas within PSE's service territory. It would be useful for PSE to understand what impact, if any, these programs or pilots have on rural customers in need. This may lead to a specifically designed pilot for rural customers in targeted communities.

17

19

5

8 9

10

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

#### 4. Restorative justice.

#### Q. What encompasses restorative justice?

Restorative justice involves understanding and recognizing the impact of past A. inequities, including structural inequities, and taking actions based on those understandings.

#### Q. What do you mean by structural inequities?

A. One example of a structural inequity is discriminatory regulations, laws, or policies. Recognizing that there are structural inequities helps us to better uncover restorative justice solutions. For example, neighborhoods that have suffered from racial segregation are more likely to be impacted by high energy burdens today.<sup>28</sup>

#### Q. What is some of the work that PSE has done to advance restorative justice?

PSE has explored the concept of Equity Investment Zones ("EIZs") whereby there A. can be a concerted effort to prioritize and enhance investments to targeted communities. Two EIZs include military families and Tribes. The plan, as I understand it, is to build an overlapping and cohesive mechanism whereby there is coordinated infusion of not only engagement but real benefits via investments in programming for clean energy and reductions of energy burdens. This is discussed in the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Troy A. Hutson, Exh. TAH-1T.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Southeast Energy Efficiency Alliance and Texas Energy Poverty Research Institute, *Energy* Insecurity in the South (2023), additional information available at https://storymaps.arcgis.com/stories/4377299f586a493984222bfc6ee84e60.

#### Q. Do you recommended any areas of improvement?

As discussed in Troy Hutson's direct testimony, Exh. TAH-1T, PSE has set the goal of delivering at least 30 percent of energy benefits to named communities. This is a good goal, and where PSE can increase the distribution of energy benefits to named communities, it should explore those avenues. Commission guidance on a more progressive approach in this area would be beneficial to all parties involved. The Commission and PSE should consider thinking broadly about forging new mechanisms in the future and the key drivers of high household energy burdens.<sup>29</sup> Another long-term consideration would be to explore alternative approaches that include different partnership models such as Canada's Hydro One with the First Nations.<sup>30</sup>

#### IV. FINAL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- Q. How would you summarize your evaluation of PSE and its incorporation of equity?
- A. PSE is making steady progress on incorporating energy equity into its business frameworks, processes, and culture. This has been evident by its internal actions through its DEI work, operational process enhancements, hiring of a dedicated equity team, and hirings of employees who can assist with language access.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> For additional discussion about energy burden refer to the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Carol L. Wallace, Exh. CLW-1T.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Additional information found at <a href="https://www.hydroone.com/about/regulatory/hydro-one-indigenous-partnerships">https://www.hydroone.com/about/regulatory/hydro-one-indigenous-partnerships</a>.

I am particularly impressed by PSE's internal investments to advance equity. PSE has made specific investments to advance equity internally by creating the position of Director of Energy Equity and a seven-member team who are assisting PSE on advancing energy equity. This upper-level cultural shift to a focus on energy equity has a direct correlation with the cultural shift being shown at the program level. However, one challenge for utilities is to make sure that equity is continually treated on par with other compliance matters. Overall, the culture of equity is growing within PSE in a manner that should build a path towards creating a consistent approach in including equity across all facets of PSE.

- Q. Given the Commission's direction, is PSE making the level of progress you would expect?
- A. Yes. The Commission has been pivotal in its work to set out a guiding mechanism for PSE to adhere to. With added direction and approval of PSE's direction in energy equity the Commission can help provide a consistent regulatory framework from which PSE can work within. The Commission should adopt the specific equity metrics proposed by PSE, and should provide additional specific guidance in this rate case or in the Equity Docket (A-230217) explaining its expectations for improvement.

- Q. What are your recommendations for how PSE can continue to incorporate equity?
- A. In addition to the recommendations identified in each tenet, PSE should continue to look for opportunities to direct benefits to named communities similar to the community solar program and the targeted electrification program. Additionally, PSE should finalize the adoption of the EIZ, and create a process to make targeted outreach, where possible, to these areas for new programs.

#### V. CONCLUSION

- Q. Does that conclude your prefiled direct testimony?
- $0 \parallel A$ . Yes, it does.