W-990146

RECEIVED

	RECORDS MANAGEMENT
□ EXPEDITE	03 AUG -5 AM 8: 06
☐ Hearing is set Date:	STATE OF WASH. UTIL. AND TRANSP. COMMISSION
Time:	COFFEE
Judge/Calendar:	
SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHIN	IGTON FOR THURSTON COUNTY
State of Washington, Utilities and	CASE NO. 03-2-01095-0
Transportation Commission,	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
Plaintiffs,	
V.	
1-800-RECONEX, Inc.,	
Defendants.	
This is a Settlement Agreement (Agreement) entered into by all parties to this proceeding to resolve all issues in the above docket.	
I.	PARTIES
1.1 The parties to this Agreement are plaintiff, State of Washington, Washington	
Utilities and Transportation Commission (C	Commission) and defendant, 1-800-RECONEX,
collectively referred to as "the Parties."	
II. BACKGROUND	
2.1 In July 1999, the Commission initiated a proceeding against 1-800-RECONEX	
alleging 13 claims for relief. See Petition to	Enforce Administrative Order (Petition), Exhibit
C. That proceeding was Commission Docket No. UT-990946. The Parties entered into a	

Stipulation for Settlement and for Entry of an Agreed Final Order (Stipulation). See Petition,

Exhibit D. The Commission approved the Parties' Stipulation. See Petition, Exhibit E, Exhibit F. The Stipulation required 1-800-RECONEX to spend a minimum of \$121,000 on a customer education program approved by Commission Staff. If 1-800-RECONEX failed to spend \$121,000 on customer education programs, the company would be required to pay \$121,000 into the public service revolving fund. The Stipulation also suspended \$45,000 of penalties contingent on compliance with benchmarks set forth in the Stipulation. See Petition, Exhibit D.

- 2.2 The Commission issued a Supplemental Order to Show Cause Why Payments Should Not Be Required in February 2001. *See* Petition, Exhibit G. On September 19, 2001, the Commission issued an Order Enforcing Settlement Agreement (Commission Order) directing 1-800-RECONEX to pay \$121,000 into the public service revolving fund for failure to comply with the Stipulation. The Commission also ordered 1-800-RECONEX to pay the amount suspended, \$45,000, into the public service revolving fund. The total amount, \$166,000 was due on or before the 30th day after entry of the Commission Order. *See* Petition, Exhibit A. 1-800-RECONEX failed to pay the amount due. *See* Petition.
- 2.3 On June 5, 2003, the Commission filed with Thurston County Superior Court a Petition to Enforce Administrative Order (Petition) seeking enforcement of the Commission Order Enforcing Settlement Agreement.

III. AGREEMENT

3.1 The Parties have reached a voluntary agreement on the issues in the above docket without Superior Court hearing or adjudication of any issues of fact or law to avoid the expense, inconvenience, delay, and uncertainty of litigation.

- 3.2 The Parties agree that 1-800-RECONEX will pay \$65,956.00 to the Commission for deposit into the public service revolving fund. Payment will be due within 60 days of execution of this Agreement.
- 3.3 The Parties agree that 1-800-RECONEX will pay \$166,000.00, the full amount sought by the Commission's Petition, if it fails to pay \$65,956.00 within 60 days of execution of this Agreement. The full amount, \$166,000.00, will be due immediately upon failure to pay the agreed \$65,956.00.

IV. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 4.1 The Parties agree that this Settlement Agreement resolves all contested issues between them in this proceeding and Commission Docket No. UT-990946. The Parties have entered into this Agreement voluntarily to avoid further expense, inconvenience, uncertainty, and delay.
- 4.2 Nothing in this Agreement shall limit or bar a party's ability to pursue legal enforcement of the terms of the Agreement in Superior Court. Should legal enforcement be necessary, the party against whom action is taken will be liable for costs to the moving party, if such action is successful.
- 4.3 The Parties recognize that this Agreement represents a compromise of the positions the Parties may otherwise assert in this proceeding. As such, conduct, statements, and documents disclosed during negotiations of this Agreement shall not be admissible as evidence in this or any other proceeding, except in any proceeding to enforce the terms of this Agreement.