Docket Nos. UT-190262, UT-190263, UT-190264, UT-190265 and UT-190266 (Consolidated) - Vol. I

WUTC v. Qwuest Corporation, d/b/a CenturyLink, et al.

May 13, 2019



AND LEGAL VIDEO

206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 www.buellrealtime.com email: info@buellrealtime.com



	Page 1			Page 3	
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON	2	1	Respondent.)	5	
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION					
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND)DOCKET UT-190262 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)(Consolidated)		2			
) Complainant,)		4			
) vs.)		5			
) QWEST CORPORATION, d/b/a)		6			
		7			
Respondent.)*Caption continued*		9			
		10			
PREHEARING CONFERENCE, VOLUME I		11			
Pages 1-32		12 13			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE NELLI DOROSHKIN		14			
May 13, 2019 1:30 p.m.		15			
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest		16			
Olympia, Washington 98504		17			
		18 19			
REPORTED BY: TAYLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3358		20			
Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC		21			
1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840 Seattle, Washington 98101		22			
(206) 287-9066 Seattle (360) 534-9066 Olympia		23 24			
(800) 846-6989 National www.buellrealtime.com		25			
	Page 2			Page 4	
1 WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND)DOCKET UT-190263 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)(Consolidated)		1			
2) Complainant,)		2 3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: NELLI DOROSHKIN		
3) VS.) 4)		4	Utilities and Transportation Commission		
 GENTURYTEL OF COWICHE,) INC. d/b/a CENTURYLINK,) 		5	1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504		
6 Respondent.)		6	(360) 664-1160 nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov		
		7	FOR COMMISSION STAFF:		
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND)DOCKET UT-190264 8 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, (Consolidated)		8	NASH CALLAGHAN		I
9 Complainant,))		9	Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General		
10 vs.)) 11 CENTURYTEL OF WASHINGTON,)		10	PO Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504		
INC. d/b/a CENTURYLINK,)		11	(360) 664-1187 nash.callaghan@utc.wa.gov		
Respondent.)		12 13	FOR CENTURYLINK:		
14 WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND)DOCKET UT-190265 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)(Consolidated) 15		14	LISA ANDERL Associate General Counsel		
Complainant,) 16)		15	1600 - 7th Avenue, Room 1506 Seattle, Washington 98191		I
vs.) 17) CENTURVIEU OF WASHINGTON)		16	(206) 345-1574 lisa.anderl@centurylink.com		I
CENTURYTEL OF WASHINGTON,) 18 INC. d/b/a CENTURYLINK,))		17 18	FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL:		I
19 Respondent.)		19	(Via bridge) LISA GAFKEN		
20 WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND DOCKET UT-190266		20	Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General		
21 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSIÓN,)(Consolidated) 22 Complainant,)		21	800 - 5th Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98104		
23 vs.)		22	(206) 464-6595 lisa.gafken@atg.wa.gov		
24 UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF)		23 24			
THE NORTHWEST d/b/a) 25 CENTURYLINK,)		25			l
		<u> </u>			

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

	Page 5	Page 7
1	A P P E A R A N C E S (Cont.)	1 Then, if necessary, we will address the
2	FOR THE MILITARY DEPARTMENT:	2 following subjects in the order listed: First,
3	(Via bridge)	3 petitions to intervene; second, the need for a
4	DAWN CORTEZ Assistant Attorney General	4 protective order; third, service list; four, filing of
T	Office of the Attorney General	5 service request; and fifth, the issues in the proceeding
5	Transportation and Public	6 and the timeline and process for addressing them,
6	Construction Division PO Box 40113	7 including the implementation plan that will be the
_	Olympia, Washington 98504	8 primary product of this proceeding as was discussed at
7	(360) 586-2436 dawn.cortez@atg.wa.gov	9 the Commission's April 25th open public meeting.
8		10 So as a reminder, the Commission does not
9	FOR TELECOMMUNICATION SYSTEMS, INC.:	11 intend to hold a full evidentiary hearing in this
10	(Via bridge)	12 proceeding. Also, the Commission is willing to provide
11	SUSAN ORNSTEIN Senior Director, Legal & Regulatory	13 a mediator in this proceeding upon request, and that
	Affairs	14 mediator would be another administrative law judge.
12	275 West Street, Suite 200	15 To begin, we will take appearances. So this
13	Annapolis, Maryland 21401 (202) 794-4102	16 proceeding consolidates five dockets involving four
14	susan.ornstein@comtechtel.com	17 subsidiaries of CenturyLink. I will not read through
14 15		18 the names of them them now as they're available in
	* * * * *	19 the dockets, but I understand that there is one
16 17		20 representative for all four subsidiaries?
18		21 MS. ANDERL: This is Lisa Anderl. I'm
19 20		2.2 in-house attorney representing Qwest Corporation d/b/a
21		23 CenturyLink QC and the other four affiliated companies,
22 23		24 CenturyTel of Cowiche, CenturyTel of Inter Island,
24		25 CenturyTel of Washington, and United Telephone Company
25		
	Page 6	Page 8
1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; MAY 13, 2019	1 of the Northwest.
1 2	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; MAY 13, 2019 1:30 P.M.	
		1 of the Northwest.
2	1:30 P.M.	1 of the Northwest. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff?
2 3	1:30 P.M. 00o	1 of the Northwest. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash
2 3 4	1:30 P.M. o0o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record.	1 of the Northwest. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash 4 Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of
2 3 4 5	1:30 P.M. o0o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. Good afternoon. This is a prehearing conference on the	 of the Northwest. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of Commission Staff.
2 3 4 5 6	1:30 P.M. o0o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. Good afternoon. This is a prehearing conference on the proceeding on the discontinuation of private switch	 of the Northwest. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of Commission Staff. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel?
2 3 4 5 6 7	1:30 P.M. 00o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. Good afternoon. This is a prehearing conference on the proceeding on the discontinuation of private switch automatic location identification services by four	 of the Northwest. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of Commission Staff. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Good afternoon. This is Lisa
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1:30 P.M. 00o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. Good afternoon. This is a prehearing conference on the proceeding on the discontinuation of private switch automatic location identification services by four CenturyLink subsidiaries in consolidated Dockets	 of the Northwest. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of Commission Staff. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Good afternoon. This is Lisa Gafken, Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	1:30 P.M. o0o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. Good afternoon. This is a prehearing conference on the proceeding on the discontinuation of private switch automatic location identification services by four CenturyLink subsidiaries in consolidated Dockets UT-190262, UT-190263, UT-190264, UT-1920265, and	1 of the Northwest. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash 4 Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of 5 Commission Staff. 6 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel? 7 MS. GAFKEN: Good afternoon. This is Lisa 8 Gafken, Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf 9 of Public Counsel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1:30 P.M. o0o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. Good afternoon. This is a prehearing conference on the proceeding on the discontinuation of private switch automatic location identification services by four CenturyLink subsidiaries in consolidated Dockets UT-190262, UT-190263, UT-190264, UT-1920265, and UT-190266. My name is Nelli Doroshkin, and I'm the administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities	1 of the Northwest. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash 4 Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of 5 Commission Staff. 6 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel? 7 MS. GAFKEN: Good afternoon. This is Lisa 8 Gafken, Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf 9 of Public Counsel. 10 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And then the Washington 11 Military Department? 12 MS. CORTEZ: Assistant Attorney General,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	1:30 P.M. o0o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. Good afternoon. This is a prehearing conference on the proceeding on the discontinuation of private switch automatic location identification services by four CenturyLink subsidiaries in consolidated Dockets UT-190262, UT-190263, UT-190264, UT-1920265, and UT-190266. My name is Nelli Doroshkin, and I'm the administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. I will be presiding this	1 of the Northwest. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash 4 Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of 5 Commission Staff. 6 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel? 7 MS. GAFKEN: Good afternoon. This is Lisa 8 Gafken, Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf 9 of Public Counsel. 10 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And then the Washington 11 Military Department?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1:30 P.M. o0o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. Good afternoon. This is a prehearing conference on the proceeding on the discontinuation of private switch automatic location identification services by four CenturyLink subsidiaries in consolidated Dockets UT-190262, UT-190263, UT-190264, UT-1920265, and UT-190266. My name is Nelli Doroshkin, and I'm the administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities	1 of the Northwest. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash 4 Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of 5 Commission Staff. 6 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel? 7 MS. GAFKEN: Good afternoon. This is Lisa 8 Gafken, Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf 9 of Public Counsel. 10 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And then the Washington 11 Military Department? 12 MS. CORTEZ: Assistant Attorney General, 13 Dawn Cortez, representing the Military Department. 14 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: TeleCommunication Systems,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1:30 P.M. o0o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. Good afternoon. This is a prehearing conference on the proceeding on the discontinuation of private switch automatic location identification services by four CenturyLink subsidiaries in consolidated Dockets UT-190262, UT-190263, UT-190264, UT-1920265, and UT-190266. My name is Nelli Doroshkin, and I'm the administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. I will be presiding this	1 of the Northwest. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash 4 Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of 5 Commission Staff. 6 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel? 7 MS. GAFKEN: Good afternoon. This is Lisa 8 Gafken, Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf 9 of Public Counsel. 10 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And then the Washington 11 Military Department? 12 MS. CORTEZ: Assistant Attorney General, 13 Dawn Cortez, representing the Military Department.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1:30 P.M. 00o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. Good afternoon. This is a prehearing conference on the proceeding on the discontinuation of private switch automatic location identification services by four CenturyLink subsidiaries in consolidated Dockets UT-190262, UT-190263, UT-190264, UT-1920265, and UT-190266. My name is Nelli Doroshkin, and I'm the administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. I will be presiding this matter along with the Commissioners. In this prehearing conference, we will first address the respondent's May 10th request to withdraw	1 of the Northwest. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash 4 Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of 5 Commission Staff. 6 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel? 7 MS. GAFKEN: Good afternoon. This is Lisa 8 Gafken, Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf 9 of Public Counsel. 10 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And then the Washington 11 Military Department? 12 MS. CORTEZ: Assistant Attorney General, 13 Dawn Cortez, representing the Military Department. 14 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: TeleCommunication Systems,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1:30 P.M. o0o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. Good afternoon. This is a prehearing conference on the proceeding on the discontinuation of private switch automatic location identification services by four CenturyLink subsidiaries in consolidated Dockets UT-190262, UT-190263, UT-190264, UT-1920265, and UT-190266. My name is Nelli Doroshkin, and I'm the administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. I will be presiding this matter along with the Commissioners. In this prehearing conference, we will first	1 of the Northwest. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash 4 Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of 5 Commission Staff. 6 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel? 7 MS. GAFKEN: Good afternoon. This is Lisa 8 Gafken, Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf 9 of Public Counsel. 10 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And then the Washington 11 Military Department? 12 MS. CORTEZ: Assistant Attorney General, 13 Dawn Cortez, representing the Military Department. 14 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: TeleCommunication Systems, 15 Inc.?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1:30 P.M. 00o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. Good afternoon. This is a prehearing conference on the proceeding on the discontinuation of private switch automatic location identification services by four CenturyLink subsidiaries in consolidated Dockets UT-190262, UT-190263, UT-190264, UT-1920265, and UT-190266. My name is Nelli Doroshkin, and I'm the administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. I will be presiding this matter along with the Commissioners. In this prehearing conference, we will first address the respondent's May 10th request to withdraw	1 of the Northwest. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash 4 Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of 5 Commission Staff. 6 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel? 7 MS. GAFKEN: Good afternoon. This is Lisa 8 Gafken, Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf 9 of Public Counsel. 10 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And then the Washington 11 Military Department? 12 MS. CORTEZ: Assistant Attorney General, 13 Dawn Cortez, representing the Military Department. 14 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: TeleCommunication Systems, 15 Inc.? 16 MS. ORNSTEIN: (Inaudible.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1:30 P.M. 00o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. Good afternoon. This is a prehearing conference on the proceeding on the discontinuation of private switch automatic location identification services by four CenturyLink subsidiaries in consolidated Dockets UT-190262, UT-190263, UT-190264, UT-1920265, and UT-190266. My name is Nelli Doroshkin, and I'm the administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. I will be presiding this matter along with the Commissioners. In this prehearing conference, we will first address the respondent's May 10th request to withdraw the tariff filings. Because the Commission has commenced an adjudicative proceeding, these consolidated matters as defined in Washington Administrative Code	1 of the Northwest. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash 4 Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of 5 Commission Staff. 6 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel? 7 MS. GAFKEN: Good afternoon. This is Lisa 8 Gafken, Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf 9 of Public Counsel. 10 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And then the Washington 11 Military Department? 12 MS. CORTEZ: Assistant Attorney General, 13 Dawn Cortez, representing the Military Department. 14 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: TeleCommunication Systems, 15 Inc.? 16 MS. ORNSTEIN: (Inaudible.) 17 THE COURT REPORTER: I couldn't get that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1:30 P.M. 00o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. Good afternoon. This is a prehearing conference on the proceeding on the discontinuation of private switch automatic location identification services by four CenturyLink subsidiaries in consolidated Dockets UT-190262, UT-190263, UT-190264, UT-1920265, and UT-190266. My name is Nelli Doroshkin, and I'm the administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. I will be presiding this matter along with the Commissioners. In this prehearing conference, we will first address the respondent's May 10th request to withdraw the tariff filings. Because the Commission has commenced an adjudicative proceeding, these consolidated	1 of the Northwest. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash 4 Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of 5 Commission Staff. 6 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel? 7 MS. GAFKEN: Good afternoon. This is Lisa 8 Gafken, Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf 9 of Public Counsel. 10 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And then the Washington 11 Military Department? 12 MS. CORTEZ: Assistant Attorney General, 13 Dawn Cortez, representing the Military Department. 14 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: TeleCommunication Systems, 15 Inc.? 16 MS. ORNSTEIN: (Inaudible.) 17 THE COURT REPORTER: I couldn't get that. 18 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: I'm sorry, could you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1:30 P.M. 00o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. Good afternoon. This is a prehearing conference on the proceeding on the discontinuation of private switch automatic location identification services by four CenturyLink subsidiaries in consolidated Dockets UT-190262, UT-190263, UT-190264, UT-1920265, and UT-190266. My name is Nelli Doroshkin, and I'm the administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. I will be presiding this matter along with the Commissioners. In this prehearing conference, we will first address the respondent's May 10th request to withdraw the tariff filings. Because the Commission has commenced an adjudicative proceeding, these consolidated matters as defined in Washington Administrative Code	1 of the Northwest. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash 4 Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of 5 Commission Staff. 6 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel? 7 MS. GAFKEN: Good afternoon. This is Lisa 8 Gafken, Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf 9 of Public Counsel. 10 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And then the Washington 11 Military Department? 12 MS. CORTEZ: Assistant Attorney General, 13 Dawn Cortez, representing the Military Department. 14 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: TeleCommunication Systems, 15 Inc.? 16 MS. ORNSTEIN: (Inaudible.) 17 THE COURT REPORTER: I couldn't get that. 18 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: I'm sorry, could you 19 please speak up?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1:30 P.M. o0o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. Good afternoon. This is a prehearing conference on the proceeding on the discontinuation of private switch automatic location identification services by four CenturyLink subsidiaries in consolidated Dockets UT-190262, UT-190263, UT-190264, UT-1920265, and UT-190266. My name is Nelli Doroshkin, and I'm the administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. I will be presiding this matter along with the Commissioners. In this prehearing conference, we will first address the respondent's May 10th request to withdraw the tariff filings. Because the Commission has commenced an adjudicative proceeding, these consolidated matters as defined in Washington Administrative Code 480-07-305 and treating these requests as motions to	1 of the Northwest. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash 4 Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of 5 Commission Staff. 6 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel? 7 MS. GAFKEN: Good afternoon. This is Lisa 8 Gafken, Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf 9 of Public Counsel. 10 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And then the Washington 11 Military Department? 12 MS. CORTEZ: Assistant Attorney General, 13 Dawn Cortez, representing the Military Department. 14 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: TeleCommunication Systems, 15 Inc.? 16 MS. ORNSTEIN: (Inaudible.) 17 THE COURT REPORTER: I couldn't get that. 18 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: I'm sorry, could you 19 please speak up? 20 MS. ORNSTEIN: Sure, this is is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1:30 P.M. o0o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. Good afternoon. This is a prehearing conference on the proceeding on the discontinuation of private switch automatic location identification services by four CenturyLink subsidiaries in consolidated Dockets UT-190262, UT-190263, UT-190264, UT-1920265, and UT-190266. My name is Nelli Doroshkin, and I'm the administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. I will be presiding this matter along with the Commissioners. In this prehearing conference, we will first address the respondent's May 10th request to withdraw the tariff filings. Because the Commission has commenced an adjudicative proceeding, these consolidated matters as defined in Washington Administrative Code 480-07-305 and treating these requests as motions to withdraw under WAC 480-07-380, Subsection 3. So I will allow everyone present in person or telephonically the opportunity to respond to the	1 of the Northwest. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash 4 Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of 5 Commission Staff. 6 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel? 7 MS. GAFKEN: Good afternoon. This is Lisa 8 Gafken, Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf 9 of Public Counsel. 10 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And then the Washington 11 Military Department? 12 MS. CORTEZ: Assistant Attorney General, 13 Dawn Cortez, representing the Military Department. 14 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: TeleCommunication Systems, 15 Inc.? 16 MS. ORNSTEIN: (Inaudible.) 17 THE COURT REPORTER: I couldn't get that. 18 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: I'm sorry, could you 19 please speak up? 20 MS. ORNSTEIN: Sure, this is is that 21 better?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1:30 P.M. o0o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. Good afternoon. This is a prehearing conference on the proceeding on the discontinuation of private switch automatic location identification services by four CenturyLink subsidiaries in consolidated Dockets UT-190262, UT-190263, UT-190264, UT-1920265, and UT-190266. My name is Nelli Doroshkin, and I'm the administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. I will be presiding this matter along with the Commissioners. In this prehearing conference, we will first address the respondent's May 10th request to withdraw the tariff filings. Because the Commission has commenced an adjudicative proceeding, these consolidated matters as defined in Washington Administrative Code 480-07-305 and treating these requests as motions to withdraw under WAC 480-07-380, Subsection 3. So I will allow everyone present in person	1 of the Northwest. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash 4 Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of 5 Commission Staff. 6 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel? 7 MS. GAFKEN: Good afternoon. This is Lisa 8 Gafken, Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf 9 of Public Counsel. 10 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And then the Washington 11 Military Department? 12 MS. CORTEZ: Assistant Attorney General, 13 Dawn Cortez, representing the Military Department. 14 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: TeleCommunication Systems, 15 Inc.? 16 MS. ORNSTEIN: (Inaudible.) 17 THE COURT REPORTER: I couldn't get that. 18 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: I'm sorry, could you 19 please speak up? 20 MS. ORNSTEIN: Sure, this is is that 21 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1:30 P.M. o0o JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. Good afternoon. This is a prehearing conference on the proceeding on the discontinuation of private switch automatic location identification services by four CenturyLink subsidiaries in consolidated Dockets UT-190262, UT-190263, UT-190264, UT-1920265, and UT-190266. My name is Nelli Doroshkin, and I'm the administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. I will be presiding this matter along with the Commissioners. In this prehearing conference, we will first address the respondent's May 10th request to withdraw the tariff filings. Because the Commission has commenced an adjudicative proceeding, these consolidated matters as defined in Washington Administrative Code 480-07-305 and treating these requests as motions to withdraw under WAC 480-07-380, Subsection 3. So I will allow everyone present in person or telephonically the opportunity to respond to the	1 of the Northwest. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. For Staff? 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nash 4 Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of 5 Commission Staff. 6 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel? 7 MS. GAFKEN: Good afternoon. This is Lisa 8 Gafken, Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf 9 of Public Counsel. 10 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And then the Washington 11 Military Department? 12 MS. CORTEZ: Assistant Attorney General, 13 Dawn Cortez, representing the Military Department. 14 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: TeleCommunication Systems, 15 Inc.? 16 MS. ORNSTEIN: (Inaudible.) 17 THE COURT REPORTER: I couldn't get that. 18 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: I'm sorry, could you 19 please speak up? 20 MS. ORNSTEIN: Sure, this is is that 21 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Yes. 23 MS. ORNSTEIN: Can you hear me better now?

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

	Page 9		Page 11
1	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And is there anyone	1	wanted wanted to withdraw, and then that gives the
2	else on the bridge line or in the room that would like	2	customers, you know, knowledge that we won't be
3	to enter an appearance?	3	withdrawing anytime soon.
4	MR. HELM: Steve Helm at TeleCommunication	4	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does that conclude your
5	Systems.	5	statements for now?
6	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Again, sir, could you	6	MS. ANDERL: Yes, unless you have any
7	please speak up?	7	questions.
8	MS. ORNSTEIN: Steve is available he's	8	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: I'll ask questions at the
9	from TCS to speak if there are any questions. He's	9	end after I hear the responses.
10	not entering an appearance.	10	Does Staff have a response to the motion?
11	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. All right, then.	11	MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. Commission
12	Thank you.	12	Staff is opposed to the request to withdraw at this
13	So this brings us to the respondent's motion	13	time. First, I think it's important to note that the
14	to withdraw. WAC 480-07-380, Subsection 3 governs	14	transition in this case is going to happen eventually.
15	motions to withdraw. And then Subsection B provides	15	It's just a question of whether that transition will
16	that the Commission will grant a motion to withdraw when	16	include all stakeholders in the planning and whether the
17	their request of withdrawal is of the public interest.	17	stakeholders will have oversight of this process.
18	So I will allow Ms. AnderI to supplement the	18	Given how important this service is, I think
19	respondent's motion and explain how it satisfies the	19	that that is important, and so Staff is opposed to
20	public interest standard, then others may respond to the	20	CenturyLink essentially withdrawing and creating its own
21	motion.	21	plan and implementing that plan without the input of the
22	So, Ms. Anderl, if you'll please proceed.	22	other stakeholders.
23	MS. ANDERL: Sure. Thank you, Your Honor.	23	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. Ms. Anderl, I'm
24	We want to withdraw these tariff filings in order to	24	going to go ahead and ask the others present for their
25	have more time to work directly with our customers and	25	responses and then I'll allow you to respond.
	Page 10		Page 12
			Page 12
1		1	
1	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about	1	MS. ANDERL: Thank you.
2	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our	2	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have
2 3	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact	2 3	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw?
2 3 4	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech.	2 3 4	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public
2 3 4 5	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like	2 3 4 5	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated
2 3 4 5 6	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like this was going to kind of artificially constrain the	2 3 4 5 6	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated as motions and and that's fine. I think my main
2 3 4 5 6 7	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like this was going to kind of artificially constrain the the process in the sense of we're going to be spending	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated as motions and and that's fine. I think my main concern is that the transition is is done in an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like this was going to kind of artificially constrain the the process in the sense of we're going to be spending time trying to actually make sure the transition is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated as motions and and that's fine. I think my main concern is that the transition is is done in an orderly fashion and with oversight. And it seems to me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like this was going to kind of artificially constrain the the process in the sense of we're going to be spending time trying to actually make sure the transition is orderly and that everybody has the PS/ALI services when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated as motions and and that's fine. I think my main concern is that the transition is is done in an orderly fashion and with oversight. And it seems to me that in order to have the oversight, we probably need to
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like this was going to kind of artificially constrain the the process in the sense of we're going to be spending time trying to actually make sure the transition is orderly and that everybody has the PS/ALI services when they need them, and also, attending multiple settlement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated as motions and and that's fine. I think my main concern is that the transition is is done in an orderly fashion and with oversight. And it seems to me that in order to have the oversight, we probably need to keep the current dockets.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like this was going to kind of artificially constrain the the process in the sense of we're going to be spending time trying to actually make sure the transition is orderly and that everybody has the PS/ALI services when they need them, and also, attending multiple settlement conferences responding to discovery, et cetera.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated as motions and and that's fine. I think my main concern is that the transition is is done in an orderly fashion and with oversight. And it seems to me that in order to have the oversight, we probably need to keep the current dockets. But from my point of view, I think the
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like this was going to kind of artificially constrain the the process in the sense of we're going to be spending time trying to actually make sure the transition is orderly and that everybody has the PS/ALI services when they need them, and also, attending multiple settlement conferences responding to discovery, et cetera. We don't have any problems with providing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated as motions and and that's fine. I think my main concern is that the transition is is done in an orderly fashion and with oversight. And it seems to me that in order to have the oversight, we probably need to keep the current dockets. But from my point of view, I think the Company needs to be ordered to engage in a certain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like this was going to kind of artificially constrain the the process in the sense of we're going to be spending time trying to actually make sure the transition is orderly and that everybody has the PS/ALI services when they need them, and also, attending multiple settlement conferences responding to discovery, et cetera. We don't have any problems with providing Staff information about, you know, the customer base	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated as motions and and that's fine. I think my main concern is that the transition is is done in an orderly fashion and with oversight. And it seems to me that in order to have the oversight, we probably need to keep the current dockets. But from my point of view, I think the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like this was going to kind of artificially constrain the the process in the sense of we're going to be spending time trying to actually make sure the transition is orderly and that everybody has the PS/ALI services when they need them, and also, attending multiple settlement conferences responding to discovery, et cetera. We don't have any problems with providing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated as motions and and that's fine. I think my main concern is that the transition is is done in an orderly fashion and with oversight. And it seems to me that in order to have the oversight, we probably need to keep the current dockets. But from my point of view, I think the Company needs to be ordered to engage in a certain amount of process, and we can talk about what that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like this was going to kind of artificially constrain the the process in the sense of we're going to be spending time trying to actually make sure the transition is orderly and that everybody has the PS/ALI services when they need them, and also, attending multiple settlement conferences responding to discovery, et cetera. We don't have any problems with providing Staff information about, you know, the customer base and and competitors, but doing it in the formal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated as motions and and that's fine. I think my main concern is that the transition is is done in an orderly fashion and with oversight. And it seems to me that in order to have the oversight, we probably need to keep the current dockets. But from my point of view, I think the Company needs to be ordered to engage in a certain amount of process, and we can talk about what that process looks like, certainly for the filing, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like this was going to kind of artificially constrain the the process in the sense of we're going to be spending time trying to actually make sure the transition is orderly and that everybody has the PS/ALI services when they need them, and also, attending multiple settlement conferences responding to discovery, et cetera. We don't have any problems with providing Staff information about, you know, the customer base and and competitors, but doing it in the formal construct of an administrative hearing we felt was just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated as motions and and that's fine. I think my main concern is that the transition is is done in an orderly fashion and with oversight. And it seems to me that in order to have the oversight, we probably need to keep the current dockets. But from my point of view, I think the Company needs to be ordered to engage in a certain amount of process, and we can talk about what that process looks like, certainly for the filing, for submitting notices to the to the customers and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like this was going to kind of artificially constrain the the process in the sense of we're going to be spending time trying to actually make sure the transition is orderly and that everybody has the PS/ALI services when they need them, and also, attending multiple settlement conferences responding to discovery, et cetera. We don't have any problems with providing Staff information about, you know, the customer base and and competitors, but doing it in the formal construct of an administrative hearing we felt was just going to not be the most friendly structure for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated as motions and and that's fine. I think my main concern is that the transition is is done in an orderly fashion and with oversight. And it seems to me that in order to have the oversight, we probably need to keep the current dockets. But from my point of view, I think the Company needs to be ordered to engage in a certain amount of process, and we can talk about what that process looks like, certainly for the filing, for submitting notices to the to the customers and providing copies of those notices to the parties, filing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like this was going to kind of artificially constrain the the process in the sense of we're going to be spending time trying to actually make sure the transition is orderly and that everybody has the PS/ALI services when they need them, and also, attending multiple settlement conferences responding to discovery, et cetera. We don't have any problems with providing Staff information about, you know, the customer base and and competitors, but doing it in the formal construct of an administrative hearing we felt was just going to not be the most friendly structure for everybody concerned. And we also, it's like I said,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated as motions and and that's fine. I think my main concern is that the transition is is done in an orderly fashion and with oversight. And it seems to me that in order to have the oversight, we probably need to keep the current dockets. But from my point of view, I think the Company needs to be ordered to engage in a certain amount of process, and we can talk about what that process looks like, certainly for the filing, for submitting notices to the to the customers and providing copies of those notices to the parties, filing them in the dockets and and that way the Commission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like this was going to kind of artificially constrain the the process in the sense of we're going to be spending time trying to actually make sure the transition is orderly and that everybody has the PS/ALI services when they need them, and also, attending multiple settlement conferences responding to discovery, et cetera. We don't have any problems with providing Staff information about, you know, the customer base and and competitors, but doing it in the formal construct of an administrative hearing we felt was just going to not be the most friendly structure for everybody concerned. And we also, it's like I said, didn't want to be working under a time deadline like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated as motions and and that's fine. I think my main concern is that the transition is is done in an orderly fashion and with oversight. And it seems to me that in order to have the oversight, we probably need to keep the current dockets. But from my point of view, I think the Company needs to be ordered to engage in a certain amount of process, and we can talk about what that process looks like, certainly for the filing, for submitting notices to the to the customers and providing copies of those notices to the parties, filing them in the dockets and and that way the Commission and stakeholders can monitor the transition of these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like this was going to kind of artificially constrain the the process in the sense of we're going to be spending time trying to actually make sure the transition is orderly and that everybody has the PS/ALI services when they need them, and also, attending multiple settlement conferences responding to discovery, et cetera. We don't have any problems with providing Staff information about, you know, the customer base and and competitors, but doing it in the formal construct of an administrative hearing we felt was just going to not be the most friendly structure for everybody concerned. And we also, it's like I said, didn't want to be working under a time deadline like this. We did intend at some point to either refile or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated as motions and and that's fine. I think my main concern is that the transition is is done in an orderly fashion and with oversight. And it seems to me that in order to have the oversight, we probably need to keep the current dockets. But from my point of view, I think the Company needs to be ordered to engage in a certain amount of process, and we can talk about what that process looks like, certainly for the filing, for submitting notices to the to the customers and providing copies of those notices to the parties, filing them in the dockets and and that way the Commission and stakeholders can monitor the transition of these customers off of the service and to another service. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like this was going to kind of artificially constrain the the process in the sense of we're going to be spending time trying to actually make sure the transition is orderly and that everybody has the PS/ALI services when they need them, and also, attending multiple settlement conferences responding to discovery, et cetera. We don't have any problems with providing Staff information about, you know, the customer base and and competitors, but doing it in the formal construct of an administrative hearing we felt was just going to not be the most friendly structure for everybody concerned. And we also, it's like I said, didn't want to be working under a time deadline like this. We did intend at some point to either refile or possibly petition to have the service declared as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated as motions and and that's fine. I think my main concern is that the transition is is done in an orderly fashion and with oversight. And it seems to me that in order to have the oversight, we probably need to keep the current dockets. But from my point of view, I think the Company needs to be ordered to engage in a certain amount of process, and we can talk about what that process looks like, certainly for the filing, for submitting notices to the to the customers and providing copies of those notices to the parties, filing them in the dockets and and that way the Commission and stakeholders can monitor the transition of these customers off of the service and to another service. So I think the upshot is that we also oppose the request to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like this was going to kind of artificially constrain the the process in the sense of we're going to be spending time trying to actually make sure the transition is orderly and that everybody has the PS/ALI services when they need them, and also, attending multiple settlement conferences responding to discovery, et cetera. We don't have any problems with providing Staff information about, you know, the customer base and and competitors, but doing it in the formal construct of an administrative hearing we felt was just going to not be the most friendly structure for everybody concerned. And we also, it's like I said, didn't want to be working under a time deadline like this. We did intend at some point to either refile or possibly petition to have the service declared as competitive based on the existence of other companies	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated as motions and and that's fine. I think my main concern is that the transition is is done in an orderly fashion and with oversight. And it seems to me that in order to have the oversight, we probably need to keep the current dockets. But from my point of view, I think the Company needs to be ordered to engage in a certain amount of process, and we can talk about what that process looks like, certainly for the filing, for submitting notices to the to the customers and providing copies of those notices to the parties, filing them in the dockets and and that way the Commission and stakeholders can monitor the transition of these customers off of the service and to another service. So I think the upshot is that we also oppose the request to withdraw as well. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then the Washington State Military Department or SECO?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like this was going to kind of artificially constrain the the process in the sense of we're going to be spending time trying to actually make sure the transition is orderly and that everybody has the PS/ALI services when they need them, and also, attending multiple settlement conferences responding to discovery, et cetera. We don't have any problems with providing Staff information about, you know, the customer base and and competitors, but doing it in the formal construct of an administrative hearing we felt was just going to not be the most friendly structure for everybody concerned. And we also, it's like I said, didn't want to be working under a time deadline like this. We did intend at some point to either refile or possibly petition to have the service declared as competitive based on the existence of other companies who provide the service.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated as motions and and that's fine. I think my main concern is that the transition is is done in an orderly fashion and with oversight. And it seems to me that in order to have the oversight, we probably need to keep the current dockets. But from my point of view, I think the Company needs to be ordered to engage in a certain amount of process, and we can talk about what that process looks like, certainly for the filing, for submitting notices to the to the customers and providing copies of those notices to the parties, filing them in the dockets and and that way the Commission and stakeholders can monitor the transition of these customers off of the service and to another service. So I think the upshot is that we also oppose the request to withdraw as well. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	do, honestly, a better customer notice and see about more effectively transitioning our customers off of our services onto competitors' services that interact directly with Comtech. We felt as though working on a timeline like this was going to kind of artificially constrain the the process in the sense of we're going to be spending time trying to actually make sure the transition is orderly and that everybody has the PS/ALI services when they need them, and also, attending multiple settlement conferences responding to discovery, et cetera. We don't have any problems with providing Staff information about, you know, the customer base and and competitors, but doing it in the formal construct of an administrative hearing we felt was just going to not be the most friendly structure for everybody concerned. And we also, it's like I said, didn't want to be working under a time deadline like this. We did intend at some point to either refile or possibly petition to have the service declared as competitive based on the existence of other companies who provide the service.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does Public Counsel have any statements regarding the motion to withdraw? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. So Public Public Counsel understands that the letters are being treated as motions and and that's fine. I think my main concern is that the transition is is done in an orderly fashion and with oversight. And it seems to me that in order to have the oversight, we probably need to keep the current dockets. But from my point of view, I think the Company needs to be ordered to engage in a certain amount of process, and we can talk about what that process looks like, certainly for the filing, for submitting notices to the to the customers and providing copies of those notices to the parties, filing them in the dockets and and that way the Commission and stakeholders can monitor the transition of these customers off of the service and to another service. So I think the upshot is that we also oppose the request to withdraw as well. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then the Washington State Military Department or SECO?

	Page 13		Page 15
-			
1	The Military Department doesn't have any statutory	1	honestly, and then just terminate the tariff and there
2	authority to provide oversight of this private	2	wouldn't be a need for a suspension or any hearings on
3	commercial service, and so the but they are a	3	it. But the human nature is such that sometimes people
4	stakeholder and ultimately information does end up in	4	do only work under deadlines, and so we I think would be
5	the Military Department's ALI database that is used in	5	able to certify to the Commission that all PS/ALI
6	the 911 service.	6	customers have options to transition. But if some had
7	So I don't know if that can be accomplished	7	not transitioned at the time we filed, that would
8 9	in a future filing or if the Commission needs to	8	probably be, then, the impetus for them to actually take
10	continue to provide oversight as this happens, but certainly the Military Department does share the	10	responsibility for finding a new vendor.
11	concerns.	11	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And I just one one minute, before we get back to you, I do have the same
12	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then does	12	question for the Washington State Military Department.
13	TeleCommunication Systems, Inc. have a response?	13	Ms. Cortez, if you're on the line, upon or
14	MS. ORNSTEIN: We don't have any response at	14	prior to refiling, if it were to be a similar filing
15	this time, though we are committed to making sure that	15	care, would you be able to work with the CenturyLink
16	this is a smooth transition as well. So we don't have a	16	companies to provide assurance to the Commission that
17	direct response regarding motions.	17	all affected customers have successfully migrated to a
18	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. Ms. Anderl, I'll	18	new PS/ALI service provider noting the lack of statutory
19	ask you a few questions and then you can respond to the	19	authority for oversight of its service?
20	statements raised.	20	MS. CORTEZ: Well, I think that Military
21	When would Century the CenturyLink	21	Department to some extent is willing to, as I had said,
22	companies plan on refiling the tariffs if they refile	22	coordinate or facilitate or keep a list, but they don't
23	them?	23	have any statutory authority and no authority to take on
24	MS. ANDERL: I think we wanted to be able to	24	the the risk of making sure that private entities in
25	see how quickly customers were able to transition. So	25	particular like Microsoft and the other two that were
	Page 14		Page 16
1	if we had a number of customers already off the service	1	mentioned or really anybody have actually migrated.
2	in, you know, 90 days or so, we might refile at that	2	So I they're they're interested in
3			
		3	
4	time. If customers were experiencing, you know, technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we	3 4	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or
4 5	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though,		
	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we	4	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these
5	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though,	4 5	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these companies have gone from one private commercial vendor
5 6	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though, and we don't have a specific timeline right now. We	4 5 6	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these companies have gone from one private commercial vendor to another. So I don't know exactly what that looks
5 6 7	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though, and we don't have a specific timeline right now. We honestly just wanted to get our ducks in a more orderly	4 5 6 7	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these companies have gone from one private commercial vendor to another. So I don't know exactly what that looks like. We want to help, but we can't take on full
5 6 7 8	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though, and we don't have a specific timeline right now. We honestly just wanted to get our ducks in a more orderly row. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then if you were to refile, would you anticipate that the revisions	4 5 6 7 8	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these companies have gone from one private commercial vendor to another. So I don't know exactly what that looks like. We want to help, but we can't take on full responsibility. It seems that CenturyLink ought to be
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though, and we don't have a specific timeline right now. We honestly just wanted to get our ducks in a more orderly row. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then if you were to refile, would you anticipate that the revisions would take substantially the same form as filed here?	4 5 6 7 8 9	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these companies have gone from one private commercial vendor to another. So I don't know exactly what that looks like. We want to help, but we can't take on full responsibility. It seems that CenturyLink ought to be certifying to the Commission that all of their customers have, in fact, successfully transitioned to a new provider.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though, and we don't have a specific timeline right now. We honestly just wanted to get our ducks in a more orderly row. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then if you were to refile, would you anticipate that the revisions would take substantially the same form as filed here? MS. ANDERL: I believe the end game is, as	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these companies have gone from one private commercial vendor to another. So I don't know exactly what that looks like. We want to help, but we can't take on full responsibility. It seems that CenturyLink ought to be certifying to the Commission that all of their customers have, in fact, successfully transitioned to a new provider. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And, Ms. Anderl, do
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though, and we don't have a specific timeline right now. We honestly just wanted to get our ducks in a more orderly row. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then if you were to refile, would you anticipate that the revisions would take substantially the same form as filed here? MS. ANDERL: I believe the end game is, as the attorney for Staff said, that the transition will	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these companies have gone from one private commercial vendor to another. So I don't know exactly what that looks like. We want to help, but we can't take on full responsibility. It seems that CenturyLink ought to be certifying to the Commission that all of their customers have, in fact, successfully transitioned to a new provider. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And, Ms. Anderl, do you have any final statements to their response?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though, and we don't have a specific timeline right now. We honestly just wanted to get our ducks in a more orderly row. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then if you were to refile, would you anticipate that the revisions would take substantially the same form as filed here? MS. ANDERL: I believe the end game is, as the attorney for Staff said, that the transition will happen eventually, so the the intent is ultimately to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these companies have gone from one private commercial vendor to another. So I don't know exactly what that looks like. We want to help, but we can't take on full responsibility. It seems that CenturyLink ought to be certifying to the Commission that all of their customers have, in fact, successfully transitioned to a new provider. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And, Ms. Anderl, do you have any final statements to their response? MS. ANDERL: Well, I think we believe that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though, and we don't have a specific timeline right now. We honestly just wanted to get our ducks in a more orderly row. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then if you were to refile, would you anticipate that the revisions would take substantially the same form as filed here? MS. ANDERL: I believe the end game is, as the attorney for Staff said, that the transition will happen eventually, so the the intent is ultimately to withdraw this service as a as a commercial offering,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these companies have gone from one private commercial vendor to another. So I don't know exactly what that looks like. We want to help, but we can't take on full responsibility. It seems that CenturyLink ought to be certifying to the Commission that all of their customers have, in fact, successfully transitioned to a new provider. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And, Ms. Anderl, do you have any final statements to their response? MS. ANDERL: Well, I think we believe that we are capable of involving all of the stakeholders and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though, and we don't have a specific timeline right now. We honestly just wanted to get our ducks in a more orderly row. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then if you were to refile, would you anticipate that the revisions would take substantially the same form as filed here? MS. ANDERL: I believe the end game is, as the attorney for Staff said, that the transition will happen eventually, so the the intent is ultimately to withdraw this service as a as a commercial offering, because there are other companies that provide the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these companies have gone from one private commercial vendor to another. So I don't know exactly what that looks like. We want to help, but we can't take on full responsibility. It seems that CenturyLink ought to be certifying to the Commission that all of their customers have, in fact, successfully transitioned to a new provider. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And, Ms. Anderl, do you have any final statements to their response? MS. ANDERL: Well, I think we believe that we are capable of involving all of the stakeholders and managing the process ourselves. We also think that this
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though, and we don't have a specific timeline right now. We honestly just wanted to get our ducks in a more orderly row. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then if you were to refile, would you anticipate that the revisions would take substantially the same form as filed here? MS. ANDERL: I believe the end game is, as the attorney for Staff said, that the transition will happen eventually, so the the intent is ultimately to withdraw this service as a as a commercial offering, because there are other companies that provide the service.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these companies have gone from one private commercial vendor to another. So I don't know exactly what that looks like. We want to help, but we can't take on full responsibility. It seems that CenturyLink ought to be certifying to the Commission that all of their customers have, in fact, successfully transitioned to a new provider. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And, Ms. Anderl, do you have any final statements to their response? MS. ANDERL: Well, I think we believe that we are capable of involving all of the stakeholders and managing the process ourselves. We also think that this is really a service that was tied to our participation
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though, and we don't have a specific timeline right now. We honestly just wanted to get our ducks in a more orderly row. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then if you were to refile, would you anticipate that the revisions would take substantially the same form as filed here? MS. ANDERL: I believe the end game is, as the attorney for Staff said, that the transition will happen eventually, so the the intent is ultimately to withdraw this service as a as a commercial offering, because there are other companies that provide the service. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then would the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these companies have gone from one private commercial vendor to another. So I don't know exactly what that looks like. We want to help, but we can't take on full responsibility. It seems that CenturyLink ought to be certifying to the Commission that all of their customers have, in fact, successfully transitioned to a new provider. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And, Ms. Anderl, do you have any final statements to their response? MS. ANDERL: Well, I think we believe that we are capable of involving all of the stakeholders and managing the process ourselves. We also think that this is really a service that was tied to our participation as a 911 as the 911 vendor in the state. We're no
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though, and we don't have a specific timeline right now. We honestly just wanted to get our ducks in a more orderly row. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then if you were to refile, would you anticipate that the revisions would take substantially the same form as filed here? MS. ANDERL: I believe the end game is, as the attorney for Staff said, that the transition will happen eventually, so the the intent is ultimately to withdraw this service as a as a commercial offering, because there are other companies that provide the service. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then would the companies prior to or upon refiling be able to provide	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these companies have gone from one private commercial vendor to another. So I don't know exactly what that looks like. We want to help, but we can't take on full responsibility. It seems that CenturyLink ought to be certifying to the Commission that all of their customers have, in fact, successfully transitioned to a new provider. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And, Ms. Anderl, do you have any final statements to their response? MS. ANDERL: Well, I think we believe that we are capable of involving all of the stakeholders and managing the process ourselves. We also think that this is really a service that was tied to our participation as a 911 as the 911 vendor in the state. We're no longer the 911 vendor, and it simply I don't
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though, and we don't have a specific timeline right now. We honestly just wanted to get our ducks in a more orderly row. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then if you were to refile, would you anticipate that the revisions would take substantially the same form as filed here? MS. ANDERL: I believe the end game is, as the attorney for Staff said, that the transition will happen eventually, so the the intent is ultimately to withdraw this service as a as a commercial offering, because there are other companies that provide the service. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then would the companies prior to or upon refiling be able to provide assurance to the Commission that all affected customers	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these companies have gone from one private commercial vendor to another. So I don't know exactly what that looks like. We want to help, but we can't take on full responsibility. It seems that CenturyLink ought to be certifying to the Commission that all of their customers have, in fact, successfully transitioned to a new provider. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And, Ms. Anderl, do you have any final statements to their response? MS. ANDERL: Well, I think we believe that we are capable of involving all of the stakeholders and managing the process ourselves. We also think that this is really a service that was tied to our participation as a 911 as the 911 vendor in the state. We're no longer the 911 vendor, and it simply I don't understand why it is not part of the 911 obligation of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though, and we don't have a specific timeline right now. We honestly just wanted to get our ducks in a more orderly row. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then if you were to refile, would you anticipate that the revisions would take substantially the same form as filed here? MS. ANDERL: I believe the end game is, as the attorney for Staff said, that the transition will happen eventually, so the the intent is ultimately to withdraw this service as a as a commercial offering, because there are other companies that provide the service. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then would the companies prior to or upon refiling be able to provide assurance to the Commission that all affected customers have successfully migrated to a new PS/ALI service	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these companies have gone from one private commercial vendor to another. So I don't know exactly what that looks like. We want to help, but we can't take on full responsibility. It seems that CenturyLink ought to be certifying to the Commission that all of their customers have, in fact, successfully transitioned to a new provider. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And, Ms. Anderl, do you have any final statements to their response? MS. ANDERL: Well, I think we believe that we are capable of involving all of the stakeholders and managing the process ourselves. We also think that this is really a service that was tied to our participation as a 911 as the 911 vendor in the state. We're no longer the 911 vendor, and it simply I don't understand why it is not part of the 911 obligation of the new vendor to offer this service directly to end
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though, and we don't have a specific timeline right now. We honestly just wanted to get our ducks in a more orderly row. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then if you were to refile, would you anticipate that the revisions would take substantially the same form as filed here? MS. ANDERL: I believe the end game is, as the attorney for Staff said, that the transition will happen eventually, so the the intent is ultimately to withdraw this service as a as a commercial offering, because there are other companies that provide the service. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then would the companies prior to or upon refiling be able to provide assurance to the Commission that all affected customers have successfully migrated to a new PS/ALI service provider if it is going to be a discontinuation of the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these companies have gone from one private commercial vendor to another. So I don't know exactly what that looks like. We want to help, but we can't take on full responsibility. It seems that CenturyLink ought to be certifying to the Commission that all of their customers have, in fact, successfully transitioned to a new provider. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And, Ms. Anderl, do you have any final statements to their response? MS. ANDERL: Well, I think we believe that we are capable of involving all of the stakeholders and managing the process ourselves. We also think that this is really a service that was tied to our participation as a 911 as the 911 vendor in the state. We're no longer the 911 vendor, and it simply I don't understand why it is not part of the 911 obligation of the new vendor to offer this service directly to end users, but maybe that's one of the reasons why we'll
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though, and we don't have a specific timeline right now. We honestly just wanted to get our ducks in a more orderly row. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then if you were to refile, would you anticipate that the revisions would take substantially the same form as filed here? MS. ANDERL: I believe the end game is, as the attorney for Staff said, that the transition will happen eventually, so the the intent is ultimately to withdraw this service as a as a commercial offering, because there are other companies that provide the service. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then would the companies prior to or upon refiling be able to provide assurance to the Commission that all affected customers have successfully migrated to a new PS/ALI service provider if it is going to be a discontinuation of the service?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these companies have gone from one private commercial vendor to another. So I don't know exactly what that looks like. We want to help, but we can't take on full responsibility. It seems that CenturyLink ought to be certifying to the Commission that all of their customers have, in fact, successfully transitioned to a new provider. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And, Ms. Anderl, do you have any final statements to their response? MS. ANDERL: Well, I think we believe that we are capable of involving all of the stakeholders and managing the process ourselves. We also think that this is really a service that was tied to our participation as a 911 as the 911 vendor in the state. We're no longer the 911 vendor, and it simply I don't understand why it is not part of the 911 obligation of the new vendor to offer this service directly to end users, but maybe that's one of the reasons why we'll have a proceeding in any event.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though, and we don't have a specific timeline right now. We honestly just wanted to get our ducks in a more orderly row. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then if you were to refile, would you anticipate that the revisions would take substantially the same form as filed here? MS. ANDERL: I believe the end game is, as the attorney for Staff said, that the transition will happen eventually, so the the intent is ultimately to withdraw this service as a as a commercial offering, because there are other companies that provide the service. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then would the companies prior to or upon refiling be able to provide assurance to the Commission that all affected customers have successfully migrated to a new PS/ALI service provider if it is going to be a discontinuation of the service? MS. ANDERL: You know, it's our hope that we	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these companies have gone from one private commercial vendor to another. So I don't know exactly what that looks like. We want to help, but we can't take on full responsibility. It seems that CenturyLink ought to be certifying to the Commission that all of their customers have, in fact, successfully transitioned to a new provider. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And, Ms. Anderl, do you have any final statements to their response? MS. ANDERL: Well, I think we believe that we are capable of involving all of the stakeholders and managing the process ourselves. We also think that this is really a service that was tied to our participation as a 911 as the 911 vendor in the state. We're no longer the 911 vendor, and it simply I don't understand why it is not part of the 911 obligation of the new vendor to offer this service directly to end users, but maybe that's one of the reasons why we'll have a proceeding in any event. But this is as I said, this is not a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	technical or practical difficulties in doing that, we will probably wait. That's we don't know, though, and we don't have a specific timeline right now. We honestly just wanted to get our ducks in a more orderly row. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then if you were to refile, would you anticipate that the revisions would take substantially the same form as filed here? MS. ANDERL: I believe the end game is, as the attorney for Staff said, that the transition will happen eventually, so the the intent is ultimately to withdraw this service as a as a commercial offering, because there are other companies that provide the service. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then would the companies prior to or upon refiling be able to provide assurance to the Commission that all affected customers have successfully migrated to a new PS/ALI service provider if it is going to be a discontinuation of the service?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in providing an assistant, but they can't certify or monitor or, you know, in any way make sure that these companies have gone from one private commercial vendor to another. So I don't know exactly what that looks like. We want to help, but we can't take on full responsibility. It seems that CenturyLink ought to be certifying to the Commission that all of their customers have, in fact, successfully transitioned to a new provider. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And, Ms. Anderl, do you have any final statements to their response? MS. ANDERL: Well, I think we believe that we are capable of involving all of the stakeholders and managing the process ourselves. We also think that this is really a service that was tied to our participation as a 911 as the 911 vendor in the state. We're no longer the 911 vendor, and it simply I don't understand why it is not part of the 911 obligation of the new vendor to offer this service directly to end users, but maybe that's one of the reasons why we'll have a proceeding in any event.

	Page 17		Page 19
1	customers while they make an orderly transition after	1	that will be incorporated into the prehearing order if
2	filing the tariff revisions. We did understand that	2	one is issued.
3	some of these customers have bid horizons and technical	3	Regarding a protective order, is there a
4	transitions, obligations that would take longer than the	4	need for a protective order with provisions for highly
5	30 days. So we're certainly willing to to give those	5	confidential information?
6	customers a reasonable amount of time to transition, but	6	MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, there will be I
7	we feel like we can manage that process ourselves.	7	would assume that Staff will want some information on
8	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will take the	8	the customers who subscribe to this service. I think
9	motion for withdrawal under advisement, and we'll	9	that would be information that may be exempt from public
10	proceed with the prehearing conference.	10	disclosure, and whether you would treat that as subject
11	This brings us to petitions for	11	to highly confidential provisions or confidential
12	intervention. Are there any petitions for intervention	12	provisions or merely designated as exempt, there will
13	other than the ones that have been filed? Hearing none,	13	definitely be a need for a protective order if we go
14	we will proceed.	14	forward.
15	I have reviewed the petitions to intervene	15	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay.
16	of Washington State Military Department, E911	16	MR. CALLAGHAN: That's correct, Your Honor.
17	Coordination Office, known as SECO, and	17	And and that's, again, one of the reasons why we felt
18	TeleCommunication Systems, Inc. petition for	18	this process was best pursued through an adjudicative
19	intervention as well. And as of today, no written	19	proceeding.
20	objections to these petitions have been made. So are	20	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And does Staff does
21 22	there any objections that anyone would like to present	21 22	Staff maintain that this will need to be an order with
22	here? MS. ANDERL: For clarification, Your Honor,	22	highly confidential information protection or confidential information protection?
24	the Military Department, is it limited intervention or	24	MR. CALLAGHAN: Confidential, Your Honor.
25	full intervention?	25	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. Then I will enter a
23			
	Page 18		Page 20
1	Page 18 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention.	1	
1 2		1 2	Page 20 protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information.
	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention.		protective order providing for the exchange of
2	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to	2	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information.
2 3	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing	2 3	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me
2 3 4	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing no objections, these petitions to intervene are granted.	2 3 4 5 6	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by the end of the day
2 3 4 5 6 7	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing no objections, these petitions to intervene are granted. Please know that, however, per the prehearing conference	2 3 4 5 6 7	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by the end of the day today. My email address is nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing no objections, these petitions to intervene are granted. Please know that, however, per the prehearing conference notice, the deadline for petitions to intervene is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by the end of the day today. My email address is nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov, and if anyone would like to add names and email
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing no objections, these petitions to intervene are granted. Please know that, however, per the prehearing conference notice, the deadline for petitions to intervene is May 28th, 2019. Any responses may be filed within five	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by the end of the day today. My email address is nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov, and if anyone would like to add names and email addresses of other representatives or support Staff who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing no objections, these petitions to intervene are granted. Please know that, however, per the prehearing conference notice, the deadline for petitions to intervene is May 28th, 2019. Any responses may be filed within five days of the relevant petition, and I will issue a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by the end of the day today. My email address is nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov, and if anyone would like to add names and email addresses of other representatives or support Staff who should receive electronic courtesy copies of all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing no objections, these petitions to intervene are granted. Please know that, however, per the prehearing conference notice, the deadline for petitions to intervene is May 28th, 2019. Any responses may be filed within five days of the relevant petition, and I will issue a subsecond ruling on any petitions filed after the date	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by the end of the day today. My email address is nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov, and if anyone would like to add names and email addresses of other representatives or support Staff who should receive electronic courtesy copies of all documents in this proceeding, please email that to me as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing no objections, these petitions to intervene are granted. Please know that, however, per the prehearing conference notice, the deadline for petitions to intervene is May 28th, 2019. Any responses may be filed within five days of the relevant petition, and I will issue a subsecond ruling on any petitions filed after the date of this prehearing conference.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by the end of the day today. My email address is nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov, and if anyone would like to add names and email addresses of other representatives or support Staff who should receive electronic courtesy copies of all documents in this proceeding, please email that to me as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing no objections, these petitions to intervene are granted. Please know that, however, per the prehearing conference notice, the deadline for petitions to intervene is May 28th, 2019. Any responses may be filed within five days of the relevant petition, and I will issue a subsecond ruling on any petitions filed after the date of this prehearing conference. And regarding the discovery, WAC 480-07-405,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by the end of the day today. My email address is nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov, and if anyone would like to add names and email addresses of other representatives or support Staff who should receive electronic courtesy copies of all documents in this proceeding, please email that to me as well. MS. ANDERL: Just to you, Your Honor, or to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing no objections, these petitions to intervene are granted. Please know that, however, per the prehearing conference notice, the deadline for petitions to intervene is May 28th, 2019. Any responses may be filed within five days of the relevant petition, and I will issue a subsecond ruling on any petitions filed after the date of this prehearing conference. And regarding the discovery, WAC 480-07-405, Section 2 requires parties to serve all data requests	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by the end of the day today. My email address is nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov, and if anyone would like to add names and email addresses of other representatives or support Staff who should receive electronic courtesy copies of all documents in this proceeding, please email that to me as well. MS. ANDERL: Just to you, Your Honor, or to the records center as well?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing no objections, these petitions to intervene are granted. Please know that, however, per the prehearing conference notice, the deadline for petitions to intervene is May 28th, 2019. Any responses may be filed within five days of the relevant petition, and I will issue a subsecond ruling on any petitions filed after the date of this prehearing conference. And regarding the discovery, WAC 480-07-405, Section 2 requires parties to serve all data requests upon all parties in the case. Does any party or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by the end of the day today. My email address is nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov, and if anyone would like to add names and email addresses of other representatives or support Staff who should receive electronic courtesy copies of all documents in this proceeding, please email that to me as well. MS. ANDERL: Just to you, Your Honor, or to the records center as well? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Just to me is fine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing no objections, these petitions to intervene are granted. Please know that, however, per the prehearing conference notice, the deadline for petitions to intervene is May 28th, 2019. Any responses may be filed within five days of the relevant petition, and I will issue a subsecond ruling on any petitions filed after the date of this prehearing conference. And regarding the discovery, WAC 480-07-405, Section 2 requires parties to serve all data requests upon all parties in the case. Does any party or intervenor object to the Commission making the exchange	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by the end of the day today. My email address is nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov, and if anyone would like to add names and email addresses of other representatives or support Staff who should receive electronic courtesy copies of all documents in this proceeding, please email that to me as well. MS. ANDERL: Just to you, Your Honor, or to the records center as well? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Just to me is fine. And then regarding electronic filing and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing no objections, these petitions to intervene are granted. Please know that, however, per the prehearing conference notice, the deadline for petitions to intervene is May 28th, 2019. Any responses may be filed within five days of the relevant petition, and I will issue a subsecond ruling on any petitions filed after the date of this prehearing conference. And regarding the discovery, WAC 480-07-405, Section 2 requires parties to serve all data requests upon all parties in the case. Does any party or intervenor object to the Commission making the exchange of data request responses with all parties a requirement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by the end of the day today. My email address is nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov, and if anyone would like to add names and email addresses of other representatives or support Staff who should receive electronic courtesy copies of all documents in this proceeding, please email that to me as well. MS. ANDERL: Just to you, Your Honor, or to the records center as well? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Just to me is fine. And then regarding electronic filing and electronic service, the Commission requires electronic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing no objections, these petitions to intervene are granted. Please know that, however, per the prehearing conference notice, the deadline for petitions to intervene is May 28th, 2019. Any responses may be filed within five days of the relevant petition, and I will issue a subsecond ruling on any petitions filed after the date of this prehearing conference. And regarding the discovery, WAC 480-07-405, Section 2 requires parties to serve all data requests upon all parties in the case. Does any party or intervenor object to the Commission making the exchange of data request responses with all parties a requirement for discovery in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by the end of the day today. My email address is nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov, and if anyone would like to add names and email addresses of other representatives or support Staff who should receive electronic courtesy copies of all documents in this proceeding, please email that to me as well. MS. ANDERL: Just to you, Your Honor, or to the records center as well? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Just to me is fine. And then regarding electronic filing and electronic service, the Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filing where this would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing no objections, these petitions to intervene are granted. Please know that, however, per the prehearing conference notice, the deadline for petitions to intervene is May 28th, 2019. Any responses may be filed within five days of the relevant petition, and I will issue a subsecond ruling on any petitions filed after the date of this prehearing conference. And regarding the discovery, WAC 480-07-405, Section 2 requires parties to serve all data requests upon all parties in the case. Does any party or intervenor object to the Commission making the exchange of data request responses with all parties a requirement for discovery in this case? MS. ANDERL: CenturyLink has no objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by the end of the day today. My email address is nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov, and if anyone would like to add names and email addresses of other representatives or support Staff who should receive electronic courtesy copies of all documents in this proceeding, please email that to me as well. MS. ANDERL: Just to you, Your Honor, or to the records center as well? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Just to me is fine. And then regarding electronic filing and electronic service, the Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filing where this would primarily apply to the implementation plan to be filed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing no objections, these petitions to intervene are granted. Please know that, however, per the prehearing conference notice, the deadline for petitions to intervene is May 28th, 2019. Any responses may be filed within five days of the relevant petition, and I will issue a subsecond ruling on any petitions filed after the date of this prehearing conference. And regarding the discovery, WAC 480-07-405, Section 2 requires parties to serve all data requests upon all parties in the case. Does any party or intervenor object to the Commission making the exchange of data request responses with all parties a requirement for discovery in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by the end of the day today. My email address is nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov, and if anyone would like to add names and email addresses of other representatives or support Staff who should receive electronic courtesy copies of all documents in this proceeding, please email that to me as well. MS. ANDERL: Just to you, Your Honor, or to the records center as well? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Just to me is fine. And then regarding electronic filing and electronic service, the Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filing where this would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing no objections, these petitions to intervene are granted. Please know that, however, per the prehearing conference notice, the deadline for petitions to intervene is May 28th, 2019. Any responses may be filed within five days of the relevant petition, and I will issue a subsecond ruling on any petitions filed after the date of this prehearing conference. And regarding the discovery, WAC 480-07-405, Section 2 requires parties to serve all data requests upon all parties in the case. Does any party or intervenor object to the Commission making the exchange of data request responses with all parties a requirement for discovery in this case? MS. ANDERL: CenturyLink has no objection. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by the end of the day today. My email address is nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov, and if anyone would like to add names and email addresses of other representatives or support Staff who should receive electronic courtesy copies of all documents in this proceeding, please email that to me as well. MS. ANDERL: Just to you, Your Honor, or to the records center as well? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Just to me is fine. And then regarding electronic filing and electronic service, the Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filing where this would primarily apply to the implementation plan to be filed in this proceeding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing no objections, these petitions to intervene are granted. Please know that, however, per the prehearing conference notice, the deadline for petitions to intervene is May 28th, 2019. Any responses may be filed within five days of the relevant petition, and I will issue a subsecond ruling on any petitions filed after the date of this prehearing conference. And regarding the discovery, WAC 480-07-405, Section 2 requires parties to serve all data requests upon all parties in the case. Does any party or intervenor object to the Commission making the exchange of data request responses with all parties a requirement for discovery in this case? MS. ANDERL: CenturyLink has no objection. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Any objection from Public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by the end of the day today. My email address is nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov, and if anyone would like to add names and email addresses of other representatives or support Staff who should receive electronic courtesy copies of all documents in this proceeding, please email that to me as well. MS. ANDERL: Just to you, Your Honor, or to the records center as well? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Just to me is fine. And then regarding electronic filing and electronic service, the Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filing where this would primarily apply to the implementation plan to be filed in this proceeding. Also, the Commission's rules provide for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing no objections, these petitions to intervene are granted. Please know that, however, per the prehearing conference notice, the deadline for petitions to intervene is May 28th, 2019. Any responses may be filed within five days of the relevant petition, and I will issue a subsecond ruling on any petitions filed after the date of this prehearing conference. And regarding the discovery, WAC 480-07-405, Section 2 requires parties to serve all data requests upon all parties in the case. Does any party or intervenor object to the Commission making the exchange of data request responses with all parties a requirement for discovery in this case? MS. ANDERL: CenturyLink has no objection. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Any objection from Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: No objection from Public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by the end of the day today. My email address is nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov, and if anyone would like to add names and email addresses of other representatives or support Staff who should receive electronic courtesy copies of all documents in this proceeding, please email that to me as well. MS. ANDERL: Just to you, Your Honor, or to the records center as well? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Just to me is fine. And then regarding electronic filing and electronic service, the Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filing where this would primarily apply to the implementation plan to be filed in this proceeding. Also, the Commission's rules provide for electronic service of documents. The Commission will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Full intervention. MS. ANDERL: Okay. We have no objection to either of those petitions. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right, then, hearing no objections, these petitions to intervene are granted. Please know that, however, per the prehearing conference notice, the deadline for petitions to intervene is May 28th, 2019. Any responses may be filed within five days of the relevant petition, and I will issue a subsecond ruling on any petitions filed after the date of this prehearing conference. And regarding the discovery, WAC 480-07-405, Section 2 requires parties to serve all data requests upon all parties in the case. Does any party or intervenor object to the Commission making the exchange of data request responses with all parties a requirement for discovery in this case? MS. ANDERL: CenturyLink has no objection. MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Any objection from Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: No objection from Public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	protective order providing for the exchange of confidential information. Regarding designation of persons for service, if any party has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via email to me as soon as possible, preferably by the end of the day today. My email address is nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov, and if anyone would like to add names and email addresses of other representatives or support Staff who should receive electronic courtesy copies of all documents in this proceeding, please email that to me as well. MS. ANDERL: Just to you, Your Honor, or to the records center as well? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Just to me is fine. And then regarding electronic filing and electronic service, the Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal filing where this would primarily apply to the implementation plan to be filed in this proceeding. Also, the Commission's rules provide for electronic service of documents. The Commission will serve parties electronically and other party and the

		1	
	Page 21		Page 23
1	filings to me.	1	after the recess.
2	And finally, as to the issues and goals,	2	MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	implementation plan, and timeline including the	3	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So we will be off the
4	procedural schedule, I was given a proposed procedural	4	record.
5	schedule before going on the record in this prehearing	5	(Recess taken from 1:52 p.m.
6	conference, which comes from Staff, and I've been told	6	until 2:20 p.m.)
7	that there is not consensus on this proposal. Have the	7	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record.
8	other parties reviewed the proposal?	8	So I also took a look at the Staff's draft procedural
9	MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel has reviewed the	9	schedule during the recess, and the first question I
10	proposal. I think once they the idea of withdrawal	10	have is whether there is agreement on the dates through
11	came up, the parties really didn't get that far in terms	11	October 10th?
12	of discussing the the procedural schedule, but we're	12	MR. CALLAGHAN: So, Your Honor, the parties
13	perfectly happy to to hammer that out.	13	weren't able to come to an agreement on anything except
14	MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, yes, I I got	14	for the first meeting. We'd hoped to work out the rest
15	this schedule last week, but once we determined	15	of the agreement through email, and it seems that, with
16	internally to withdraw, I honestly didn't really pursue	16	the amount of parties that are here and and the
17	examining it very carefully. I but but we're	17	number of dates, that if we would have to get an
18	happy to work through it on or off the record today if	18	agreement on that, we would be here for quite a while.
19	we do go forward with a a proceeding.	19	So we would ask that the first settlement
20	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay.	20	conference or meeting be held on June 7th in the
21	MS. CORTEZ: And this is Dawn Cortez. I did	21	afternoon and that the parties work out through email
22	look at the schedule. The schedule as written is is	22	the rest of the procedural schedule to be concluded at
23	fine for the Military Department. As we move forward or	23	least by the the June 7th first meeting.
24	as there are schedule changes, I would just note that	24	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So that would be
25	the Military Department's mandatory (inaudible) agency	25	this the first settlement conference would be
	Dama 33		Dama 24
	Page 22		Page 24
1	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can	1	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that?
2	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things	2	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor.
2 3	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks.	2 3	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public
2 3 4	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some	2 3 4	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. I I still
2 3 4 5	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we	2 3 4 5	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. I I still caution about calling this thing a settlement
2 3 4 5 6	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the	2 3 4 5 6	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. I I still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a
2 3 4 5 6 7	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the procedural schedule and take a look at it.	2 3 4 5 6 7	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. I I still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a technical workshop.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the procedural schedule and take a look at it. The goal of this proceeding is to have an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. I I still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a technical workshop. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the procedural schedule and take a look at it. The goal of this proceeding is to have an implementation plan filed by the tariff suspension	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. I I still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a technical workshop. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, may I get some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the procedural schedule and take a look at it. The goal of this proceeding is to have an implementation plan filed by the tariff suspension deadline, which would be March 8th of 2020. So once the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. I I still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a technical workshop. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, may I get some clarification from Ms. Gafken?
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the procedural schedule and take a look at it. The goal of this proceeding is to have an implementation plan filed by the tariff suspension deadline, which would be March 8th of 2020. So once the implementation plan is filed, the Commission may then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. I I still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a technical workshop. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, may I get some clarification from Ms. Gafken? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the procedural schedule and take a look at it. The goal of this proceeding is to have an implementation plan filed by the tariff suspension deadline, which would be March 8th of 2020. So once the implementation plan is filed, the Commission may then issue an order approving the plan and dismissing the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. 1 I still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a technical workshop. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, may I get some clarification from Ms. Gafken? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Yes. MS. ANDERL: So I'm wondering, I I I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the procedural schedule and take a look at it. The goal of this proceeding is to have an implementation plan filed by the tariff suspension deadline, which would be March 8th of 2020. So once the implementation plan is filed, the Commission may then issue an order approving the plan and dismissing the complaint. I anticipate that the implementation plan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. 1 1 still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a technical workshop. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, may I get some clarification from Ms. Gafken? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Yes. MS. ANDERL: So I'm wondering, I I I guess I know I understand it's important to name
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the procedural schedule and take a look at it. The goal of this proceeding is to have an implementation plan filed by the tariff suspension deadline, which would be March 8th of 2020. So once the implementation plan is filed, the Commission may then issue an order approving the plan and dismissing the complaint. I anticipate that the implementation plan will be a joint filing among Staff, CenturyLink, SECO,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. 1 1 still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a technical workshop. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, may I get some clarification from Ms. Gafken? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Yes. MS. ANDERL: So I'm wondering, 1 1 1 guess I know 1 understand it's important to name things properly, but I mean, is is there a
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the procedural schedule and take a look at it. The goal of this proceeding is to have an implementation plan filed by the tariff suspension deadline, which would be March 8th of 2020. So once the implementation plan is filed, the Commission may then issue an order approving the plan and dismissing the complaint. I anticipate that the implementation plan will be a joint filing among Staff, CenturyLink, SECO, and Public Counsel with agreement from TeleCommunication	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. I I still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a technical workshop. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, may I get some clarification from Ms. Gafken? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Yes. MS. ANDERL: So I'm wondering, I I I guess I know I understand it's important to name things properly, but I mean, is is there a substantive concern that you have with naming it a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the procedural schedule and take a look at it. The goal of this proceeding is to have an implementation plan filed by the tariff suspension deadline, which would be March 8th of 2020. So once the implementation plan is filed, the Commission may then issue an order approving the plan and dismissing the complaint. I anticipate that the implementation plan will be a joint filing among Staff, CenturyLink, SECO, and Public Counsel with agreement from TeleCommunication Systems, Inc.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. 1 1 still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a technical workshop. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, may I get some clarification from Ms. Gafken? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Yes. MS. ANDERL: So I'm wondering, I I I guess I know I understand it's important to name things properly, but I mean, is is there a substantive concern that you have with naming it a settlement conference versus a technical workshop
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the procedural schedule and take a look at it. The goal of this proceeding is to have an implementation plan filed by the tariff suspension deadline, which would be March 8th of 2020. So once the implementation plan is filed, the Commission may then issue an order approving the plan and dismissing the complaint. I anticipate that the implementation plan will be a joint filing among Staff, CenturyLink, SECO, and Public Counsel with agreement from TeleCommunication Systems, Inc.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. 1 1 still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a technical workshop. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, may I get some clarification from Ms. Gafken? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Yes. MS. ANDERL: So I'm wondering, I I I guess I know I understand it's important to name things properly, but I mean, is is there a substantive concern that you have with naming it a settlement conference versus a technical workshop that that you think is going to kind of make a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the procedural schedule and take a look at it. The goal of this proceeding is to have an implementation plan filed by the tariff suspension deadline, which would be March 8th of 2020. So once the implementation plan is filed, the Commission may then issue an order approving the plan and dismissing the complaint. I anticipate that the implementation plan will be a joint filing among Staff, CenturyLink, SECO, and Public Counsel with agreement from TeleCommunication Systems, Inc. If the parties would like to take a few minutes now to review the proposed procedural schedule	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. 1 1 still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a technical workshop. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, may I get some clarification from Ms. Gafken? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Yes. MS. ANDERL: So I'm wondering, 1 1 1 guess I know I understand it's important to name things properly, but I mean, is is there a substantive concern that you have with naming it a settlement conference versus a technical workshop that that you think is going to kind of make a difference one way or the other?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the procedural schedule and take a look at it. The goal of this proceeding is to have an implementation plan filed by the tariff suspension deadline, which would be March 8th of 2020. So once the implementation plan is filed, the Commission may then issue an order approving the plan and dismissing the complaint. I anticipate that the implementation plan will be a joint filing among Staff, CenturyLink, SECO, and Public Counsel with agreement from TeleCommunication Systems, Inc. If the parties would like to take a few minutes now to review the proposed procedural schedule and discuss that, we can do that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. 1 1 still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a technical workshop. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, may I get some clarification from Ms. Gafken? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Yes. MS. ANDERL: So I'm wondering, 1 1 1 guess I know I understand it's important to name things properly, but I mean, is is there a substantive concern that you have with naming it a settlement conference versus a technical workshop that that you think is going to kind of make a difference one way or the other? MS. GAFKEN: I think it does make a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the procedural schedule and take a look at it. The goal of this proceeding is to have an implementation plan filed by the tariff suspension deadline, which would be March 8th of 2020. So once the implementation plan is filed, the Commission may then issue an order approving the plan and dismissing the complaint. I anticipate that the implementation plan will be a joint filing among Staff, CenturyLink, SECO, and Public Counsel with agreement from TeleCommunication Systems, Inc. If the parties would like to take a few minutes now to review the proposed procedural schedule and discuss that, we can do that. MR. CALLAGHAN: And thank you, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. 1 1 still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a technical workshop. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, may I get some clarification from Ms. Gafken? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Yes. MS. ANDERL: So I'm wondering, I 1 1 guess I know I understand it's important to name things properly, but I mean, is is there a substantive concern that you have with naming it a settlement conference versus a technical workshop that that you think is going to kind of make a difference one way or the other? MS. GAFKEN: I think it does make a difference. If it's a settlement conference, then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the procedural schedule and take a look at it. The goal of this proceeding is to have an implementation plan filed by the tariff suspension deadline, which would be March 8th of 2020. So once the implementation plan is filed, the Commission may then issue an order approving the plan and dismissing the complaint. I anticipate that the implementation plan will be a joint filing among Staff, CenturyLink, SECO, and Public Counsel with agreement from TeleCommunication Systems, Inc. If the parties would like to take a few minutes now to review the proposed procedural schedule and discuss that, we can do that. MR. CALLAGHAN: And thank you, Your Honor. Before we break, I I just wanted to go back to an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. 1 1 still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a technical workshop. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, may I get some clarification from Ms. Gafken? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Yes. MS. ANDERL: So I'm wondering, I 1 I guess I know I understand it's important to name things properly, but I mean, is is there a substantive concern that you have with naming it a settlement conference versus a technical workshop that that you think is going to kind of make a difference one way or the other? MS. GAFKEN: I think it does make a difference. If it's a settlement conference, then there's the idea that we're going to be able to settle
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the procedural schedule and take a look at it. The goal of this proceeding is to have an implementation plan filed by the tariff suspension deadline, which would be March 8th of 2020. So once the implementation plan is filed, the Commission may then issue an order approving the plan and dismissing the complaint. I anticipate that the implementation plan will be a joint filing among Staff, CenturyLink, SECO, and Public Counsel with agreement from TeleCommunication Systems, Inc. If the parties would like to take a few minutes now to review the proposed procedural schedule and discuss that, we can do that. MR. CALLAGHAN: And thank you, Your Honor. Before we break, I I just wanted to go back to an evidentiary matter. Staff requests that the informal 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. 1 1 still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a technical workshop. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, may I get some clarification from Ms. Gafken? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Yes. MS. ANDERL: So I'm wondering, I 1 I guess I know I understand it's important to name things properly, but I mean, is is there a substantive concern that you have with naming it a settlement conference versus a technical workshop that that you think is going to kind of make a difference. If it's a settlement conference, then there's the idea that we're going to be able to settle some issues. I am not convinced at this point that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the procedural schedule and take a look at it. The goal of this proceeding is to have an implementation plan filed by the tariff suspension deadline, which would be March 8th of 2020. So once the implementation plan is filed, the Commission may then issue an order approving the plan and dismissing the complaint. I anticipate that the implementation plan will be a joint filing among Staff, CenturyLink, SECO, and Public Counsel with agreement from TeleCommunication Systems, Inc. If the parties would like to take a few minutes now to review the proposed procedural schedule and discuss that, we can do that. MR. CALLAGHAN: And thank you, Your Honor. Before we break, I I just wanted to go back to an evidentiary matter. Staff requests that the informal DRs that have been sent in this case be added and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. 1 1 still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a technical workshop. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, may I get some clarification from Ms. Gafken? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Yes. MS. ANDERL: So I'm wondering, I I I guess I know I understand it's important to name things properly, but I mean, is is there a substantive concern that you have with naming it a settlement conference versus a technical workshop that that you think is going to kind of make a difference. If it's a settlement conference, then there's the idea that we're going to be able to settle some issues. I am not convinced at this point that that's going to be the case, because as we talked about,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the procedural schedule and take a look at it. The goal of this proceeding is to have an implementation plan filed by the tariff suspension deadline, which would be March 8th of 2020. So once the implementation plan is filed, the Commission may then issue an order approving the plan and dismissing the complaint. I anticipate that the implementation plan will be a joint filing among Staff, CenturyLink, SECO, and Public Counsel with agreement from TeleCommunication Systems, Inc. If the parties would like to take a few minutes now to review the proposed procedural schedule and discuss that, we can do that. MR. CALLAGHAN: And thank you, Your Honor. Before we break, I I just wanted to go back to an evidentiary matter. Staff requests that the informal DRs that have been sent in this case be added and included into the record. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. 1 1 still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a technical workshop. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, may I get some clarification from Ms. Gafken? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Yes. MS. ANDERL: So I'm wondering, I 1 1 guess I know I understand it's important to name things properly, but I mean, is is there a substantive concern that you have with naming it a settlement conference versus a technical workshop that that you think is going to kind of make a difference. If it's a settlement conference, then there's the idea that we're going to be able to settle some issues. I am not convinced at this point that that's going to be the case, because as we talked about, it it's really a scoping meeting where we're talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 meeting. It's not open on Mondays. Obviously, we can work around that, but we would prefer if if things were not set on Mondays if possible. Thanks. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So I will make some remarks about the goals of this proceeding, and then we can take a brief recess so the parties can discuss the procedural schedule and take a look at it. The goal of this proceeding is to have an implementation plan filed by the tariff suspension deadline, which would be March 8th of 2020. So once the implementation plan is filed, the Commission may then issue an order approving the plan and dismissing the complaint. I anticipate that the implementation plan will be a joint filing among Staff, CenturyLink, SECO, and Public Counsel with agreement from TeleCommunication Systems, Inc. If the parties would like to take a few minutes now to review the proposed procedural schedule and discuss that, we can do that. MR. CALLAGHAN: And thank you, Your Honor. Before we break, I I just wanted to go back to an evidentiary matter. Staff requests that the informal DRs that have been sent in this case be added and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	June 7th, 2019, and there is agreement on that? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GAFKEN: This is Lisa Gafken with Public Counsel. There is agreement on the date. 1 1 still caution about calling this thing a settlement conference. It's really more along the line of a technical workshop. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, may I get some clarification from Ms. Gafken? JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Yes. MS. ANDERL: So I'm wondering, I I I guess I know I understand it's important to name things properly, but I mean, is is there a substantive concern that you have with naming it a settlement conference versus a technical workshop that that you think is going to kind of make a difference. If it's a settlement conference, then there's the idea that we're going to be able to settle some issues. I am not convinced at this point that that's going to be the case, because as we talked about,

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

	Page 25	D.	age 27
1			
1	have something from the Company by that point, but I	1 date to be set if that would be something that would	De
2	I don't think we're going to be set up to have a true	2 palatable to everyone here.	
3	settlement conference. And so in that regard, it is	3 MS. CORTEZ: Yes yes, the the Milita	У
4	important to Public Counsel that it be named	 Department agrees. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okav. One more it 	
5	appropriately.		
6 7	MS. ANDERL: Okay. Well, and I guess I feel		
	as though a a settlement conference is more conducive		J
8 9	to the kind of candid exchange of information, and	8 this proceeding? 9 MS. ANDERL: Yes. Your Honor. We were	_
9 10	speaking frankly, in terms of here's what we would do to	 9 MS. ANDERL: Yes, Your Honor. We were 10 talking about that while during the recess, and or 	
11	get this resolved, and if it's not called a settlement		le
12	conference, I wonder if we lose that protection. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So	 of the things that we need to correct is to provide a customer notice that includes the appropriate Com 	niccion
13	MS. GAFKEN: I don't think so. Oh, I'm	13 contact information, which was not in the first notice	
14		14 But we also want to make it a notice that is meaning	
15	sorry. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. Regarding the	15 in terms of describing the process going forward, a	-
16	process of the by the Commission of the April 25th	16 that would mean we'd need to know whether we ha	
17	open public meeting, a full evidentiary hearing is not	17 withdrawn the tariff filings or we're going forward via	
18	necessary, and really the goal of these settlement	 withdrawn the tann hings of were going forward with evidentiary proceeding. 	a
19	conferences or technical conferences would be to draft	19 We do have a draft notice that I have	
20	an implementation plan.	 already expressed that we're willing to circulate this 	
21	I do see the wisdom in calling it a	21 week with among the parties and, you know,	
22	settlement conference for the confidentiality	 22 particularly Public Counsel and Staff to have some 	
23	protections that Ms. Anderl was referring to. And also,	feedback from them on it. But the important thing,	1
24	the parties should be aware that Judge Kopta is	24 guess, would be for us to know when you'll make y	
25	available to facilitate the settlement discussions if	25 decision on the motions to withdraw versus the	oui
20			
	Page 26	Da	
	Fage 20	10	age 28
1			age 28
1 2	necessary.	1 opposition to that motion.	
2	necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you.	 opposition to that motion. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A 	nderl, in
	necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is	 opposition to that motion. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the 	nderl, in
2 3	necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the	 opposition to that motion. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the prehearing conference order will reflect a two-weat 	nderl, in ek
2 3 4	necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the parties changing the Thursday, May 30th date to June 7th	 opposition to that motion. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wei deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that weight and the second secon	nderl, in ek ⁄ould
2 3 4 5	necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the	 opposition to that motion. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wei deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that weight and the second secon	nderl, in ek ⁄ould
2 3 4 5 6	necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the parties changing the Thursday, May 30th date to June 7th and then leaving the remainder of the schedule to be	 opposition to that motion. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wee deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that w be two weeks from the date in the prehearing con order. 	nderl, in ek ⁄ould
2 3 4 5 6 7	necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the parties changing the Thursday, May 30th date to June 7th and then leaving the remainder of the schedule to be determined. I would like to schedule a status	 opposition to that motion. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wei deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that weilt be two weeks from the date in the prehearing con order. MS. ANDERL: Okay. Thanks. We will 	nderl, in ek ⁄ould nference
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the parties changing the Thursday, May 30th date to June 7th and then leaving the remainder of the schedule to be determined. I would like to schedule a status conference after the first technical conference and we	 opposition to that motion. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wei deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that weilt be two weeks from the date in the prehearing con order. MS. ANDERL: Okay. Thanks. We will 	nderl, in ek ⁄ould nference
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the parties changing the Thursday, May 30th date to June 7th and then leaving the remainder of the schedule to be determined. I would like to schedule a status conference after the first technical conference and we can set the date for that later.	 opposition to that motion. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wei deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that weiling be two weeks from the date in the prehearing conference MS. ANDERL: Okay. Thanks. We will circulate a notice, then, this week a with a placeh 	nderl, in ek rould nference older
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the parties changing the Thursday, May 30th date to June 7th and then leaving the remainder of the schedule to be determined. I would like to schedule a status conference after the first technical conference and we can set the date for that later. MS. ANDERL: That makes sense, Your Honor.	 opposition to that motion. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wei deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that weiling be two weeks from the date in the prehearing conference MS. ANDERL: Okay. Thanks. We will circulate a notice, then, this week a with a placeh about what the status of the proceeding is, be it 	nderl, in ek vould nference older k at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the parties changing the Thursday, May 30th date to June 7th and then leaving the remainder of the schedule to be determined. I would like to schedule a status conference after the first technical conference and we can set the date for that later. MS. ANDERL: That makes sense, Your Honor. Thank you.	 opposition to that motion. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wei deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that wei be two weeks from the date in the prehearing conference MS. ANDERL: Okay. Thanks. We will circulate a notice, then, this week a with a placeh about what the status of the proceeding is, be it withdrawn or going forward so the parties can loce 	nderl, in ek rould nference older k at pout it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the parties changing the Thursday, May 30th date to June 7th and then leaving the remainder of the schedule to be determined. I would like to schedule a status conference after the first technical conference and we can set the date for that later. MS. ANDERL: That makes sense, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does anyone else have any	 opposition to that motion. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wei deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that wei be two weeks from the date in the prehearing conference MS. ANDERL: Okay. Thanks. We will circulate a notice, then, this week a with a placeh about what the status of the proceeding is, be it withdrawn or going forward so the parties can loce the rest of the language and see how they feel at 	nderl, in ek rould nference older k at pout it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the parties changing the Thursday, May 30th date to June 7th and then leaving the remainder of the schedule to be determined. I would like to schedule a status conference after the first technical conference and we can set the date for that later. MS. ANDERL: That makes sense, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does anyone else have any responses to that?	 opposition to that motion. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wee deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that w be two weeks from the date in the prehearing con order. MS. ANDERL: Okay. Thanks. We will circulate a notice, then, this week a with a placeh about what the status of the proceeding is, be it withdrawn or going forward so the parties can loc the rest of the language and see how they feel at JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. That's fine 	nderl, in ek rould nference older k at pout it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the parties changing the Thursday, May 30th date to June 7th and then leaving the remainder of the schedule to be determined. I would like to schedule a status conference after the first technical conference and we can set the date for that later. MS. ANDERL: That makes sense, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does anyone else have any responses to that? MS. GAFKEN: That sounds fine to Public	 opposition to that motion. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wee deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that w be two weeks from the date in the prehearing con order. MS. ANDERL: Okay. Thanks. We will circulate a notice, then, this week a with a placeh about what the status of the proceeding is, be it withdrawn or going forward so the parties can loc the rest of the language and see how they feel at JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. That's fine works. 	nderl, in ek vould nference older k at pout it. . That
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the parties changing the Thursday, May 30th date to June 7th and then leaving the remainder of the schedule to be determined. I would like to schedule a status conference after the first technical conference and we can set the date for that later. MS. ANDERL: That makes sense, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does anyone else have any responses to that? MS. GAFKEN: That sounds fine to Public Counsel.	 opposition to that motion. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wee deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that w be two weeks from the date in the prehearing con order. MS. ANDERL: Okay. Thanks. We will circulate a notice, then, this week a with a placeh about what the status of the proceeding is, be it withdrawn or going forward so the parties can loc the rest of the language and see how they feel at JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. That's fine works. And then to Staff's question on informal 	nderl, in ek vould nference older k at pout it. . That
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the parties changing the Thursday, May 30th date to June 7th and then leaving the remainder of the schedule to be determined. I would like to schedule a status conference after the first technical conference and we can set the date for that later. MS. ANDERL: That makes sense, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does anyone else have any responses to that? MS. GAFKEN: That sounds fine to Public Counsel. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. Is the Military	 opposition to that motion. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wee deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that wee be two weeks from the date in the prehearing conference MS. ANDERL: Okay. Thanks. We will circulate a notice, then, this week a with a placeh about what the status of the proceeding is, be it withdrawn or going forward so the parties can loce the rest of the language and see how they feel at JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. That's fine works. And then to Staff's question on informal discovery requests, could you please clarify or re 	nderl, in ek vould nference older k at pout it. . That
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the parties changing the Thursday, May 30th date to June 7th and then leaving the remainder of the schedule to be determined. I would like to schedule a status conference after the first technical conference and we can set the date for that later. MS. ANDERL: That makes sense, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does anyone else have any responses to that? MS. GAFKEN: That sounds fine to Public Counsel. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. Is the Military Department on the line?	 opposition to that motion. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wee deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that wee be two weeks from the date in the prehearing conference MS. ANDERL: Okay. Thanks. We will circulate a notice, then, this week a with a placeh about what the status of the proceeding is, be it withdrawn or going forward so the parties can loce the rest of the language and see how they feel at JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. That's fine works. And then to Staff's question on informal discovery requests, could you please clarify or re that question? 	nderl, in ek rould nference older k at pout it. x. That
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the parties changing the Thursday, May 30th date to June 7th and then leaving the remainder of the schedule to be determined. I would like to schedule a status conference after the first technical conference and we can set the date for that later. MS. ANDERL: That makes sense, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does anyone else have any responses to that? MS. GAFKEN: That sounds fine to Public Counsel. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. Is the Military Department on the line? MS. CORTEZ: Yes, I couldn't couldn't	 opposition to that motion. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wey deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that wey be two weeks from the date in the prehearing conference MS. ANDERL: Okay. Thanks. We will circulate a notice, then, this week a with a placeh about what the status of the proceeding is, be it withdrawn or going forward so the parties can loce the rest of the language and see how they feel at JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. That's fine works. And then to Staff's question on informal discovery requests, could you please clarify or re that question? MR. CALLAGHAN: So during the break, 	nderl, in ek vould nference blder k at bout it. c. That peat l ared.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the parties changing the Thursday, May 30th date to June 7th and then leaving the remainder of the schedule to be determined. I would like to schedule a status conference after the first technical conference and we can set the date for that later. MS. ANDERL: That makes sense, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does anyone else have any responses to that? MS. GAFKEN: That sounds fine to Public Counsel. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. Is the Military Department on the line? MS. CORTEZ: Yes, I couldn't couldn't quite hear the last question.	1opposition to that motion.2JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A3the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the4prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wei5deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that w6be two weeks from the date in the prehearing conference7order.8MS. ANDERL: Okay. Thanks. We will9circulate a notice, then, this week a with a placeh10about what the status of the proceeding is, be it11withdrawn or going forward so the parties can loc12the rest of the language and see how they feel at13JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. That's fine14works.15And then to Staff's question on informal16discovery requests, could you please clarify or re17that question?18MR. CALLAGHAN: So during the break,19believe the parties agreed that those would be sh	nderl, in ek vould nference blder k at bout it. c. That peat l ared.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the parties changing the Thursday, May 30th date to June 7th and then leaving the remainder of the schedule to be determined. I would like to schedule a status conference after the first technical conference and we can set the date for that later. MS. ANDERL: That makes sense, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does anyone else have any responses to that? MS. GAFKEN: That sounds fine to Public Counsel. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. Is the Military Department on the line? MS. CORTEZ: Yes, I couldn't couldn't quite hear the last question. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So my last question was	1opposition to that motion.2JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A3the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the4prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wee5deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that w6be two weeks from the date in the prehearing conference7order.8MS. ANDERL: Okay. Thanks. We will9circulate a notice, then, this week a with a placeh10about what the status of the proceeding is, be it11withdrawn or going forward so the parties can loc12the rest of the language and see how they feel at13JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. That's fine14works.15And then to Staff's question on informal16discovery requests, could you please clarify or re17that question?18MR. CALLAGHAN: So during the break,19believe the parties agreed that those would be sh20These are informal data requests that occurred p	nderl, in ek yould nference older k at yout it. t. That peat l ared. rior to,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the parties changing the Thursday, May 30th date to June 7th and then leaving the remainder of the schedule to be determined. I would like to schedule a status conference after the first technical conference and we can set the date for that later. MS. ANDERL: That makes sense, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does anyone else have any responses to that? MS. GAFKEN: That sounds fine to Public Counsel. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. Is the Military Department on the line? MS. CORTEZ: Yes, I couldn't couldn't quite hear the last question. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So my last question was taking the draft proposed procedural schedule that Staff	1 opposition to that motion. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A 3 the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the 4 prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wee 5 deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that wee 6 be two weeks from the date in the prehearing conference 7 order. 8 MS. ANDERL: Okay. Thanks. We will 9 circulate a notice, then, this week a with a placeh 10 about what the status of the proceeding is, be it 11 withdrawn or going forward so the parties can loce 12 the rest of the language and see how they feel at 13 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. That's fine 14 works. 15 And then to Staff's question on informal 16 discovery requests, could you please clarify or re 17 that question? 18 MR. CALLAGHAN: So during the break, 19 believe the parties agreed that those would be sh 20 These are informal data requests that occurred p 21 I believe, setting the prehearing conference. 22 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So those for the parties? <td>nderl, in ek yould nference older k at yout it. t. That peat l ared. rior to,</td>	nderl, in ek yould nference older k at yout it. t. That peat l ared. rior to,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the parties changing the Thursday, May 30th date to June 7th and then leaving the remainder of the schedule to be determined. I would like to schedule a status conference after the first technical conference and we can set the date for that later. MS. ANDERL: That makes sense, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does anyone else have any responses to that? MS. GAFKEN: That sounds fine to Public Counsel. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. Is the Military Department on the line? MS. CORTEZ: Yes, I couldn't couldn't quite hear the last question. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So my last question was taking the draft proposed procedural schedule that Staff came up with and changing the date of the first	1 opposition to that motion. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A 3 the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the 4 prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wee 5 deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that w 6 be two weeks from the date in the prehearing conference 7 order. 8 MS. ANDERL: Okay. Thanks. We will 9 circulate a notice, then, this week a with a placeh 10 about what the status of the proceeding is, be it 11 withdrawn or going forward so the parties can loce 12 the rest of the language and see how they feel at 13 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. That's fine 14 works. 15 And then to Staff's question on informal 16 discovery requests, could you please clarify or re 17 that question? 18 MR. CALLAGHAN: So during the break, 19 believe the parties agreed that those would be sh 20 These are informal data requests that occurred p 21 I believe, setting the prehearing conference. 22 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So those formal cata requests that occurred p <th>nderl, in ek yould nference older k at yout it. t. That peat l ared. rior to,</th>	nderl, in ek yould nference older k at yout it. t. That peat l ared. rior to,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	necessary. MS. ANDERL: Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So what I would propose is having the draft procedural schedule as agreed by the parties changing the Thursday, May 30th date to June 7th and then leaving the remainder of the schedule to be determined. I would like to schedule a status conference after the first technical conference and we can set the date for that later. MS. ANDERL: That makes sense, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Does anyone else have any responses to that? MS. GAFKEN: That sounds fine to Public Counsel. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. Is the Military Department on the line? MS. CORTEZ: Yes, I couldn't couldn't quite hear the last question. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So my last question was taking the draft proposed procedural schedule that Staff came up with and changing the date of the first settlement conference to June 7th and then eliminating	1 opposition to that motion. 2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So, Ms. A 3 the event that a motion to withdraw is denied, the 4 prehearing conference order will reflect a two-wee 5 deadline for issuing a corrected notice. So that wee 6 be two weeks from the date in the prehearing conference 7 order. 8 MS. ANDERL: Okay. Thanks. We will 9 circulate a notice, then, this week a with a placeh 10 about what the status of the proceeding is, be it 11 withdrawn or going forward so the parties can loce 12 the rest of the language and see how they feel at 13 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. That's fine 14 works. 15 And then to Staff's question on informal 16 discovery requests, could you please clarify or re 17 that question? 18 MR. CALLAGHAN: So during the break, 19 believe the parties agreed that those would be sh 20 These are informal data requests that occurred p 21 I believe, setting the prehearing conference. 22 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So those for the parties? <td>nderl, in ek yould nference older k at yout it. t. That peat l ared. rior to,</td>	nderl, in ek yould nference older k at yout it. t. That peat l ared. rior to,

	Page 29		Page 31
1	MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you.	1	motion to withdraw, Staff would like the withdrawal to
2	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Then that is done.	2	be to include certain conditions which would include
3	So I will read the procedural schedule as	3	some type of requirement that they demonstrate that the
4	currently agreed upon into the record. The proposed	4	customers have successfully transitioned to a new
5	tariff change filing was Monday, April 8th, 2019; a	5	provider of these services and that they've received
6	proposed tariff change suspension is Thursday,	6	proper notice.
7	April 25th, 2019; the prehearing conference is today,	7	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. I will allow
8	Monday, May 13th, 2019. The prehearing conference order	8	CenturyLink to respond to that if you so wish.
9	will be issued shortly in terms of that as TBD, and then	9	MS. ANDERL: We have I have nothing to
10	there will be the first settlement conference held on	10	add. Thank you.
11	June 7th, 2019, followed by a status conference date to	11	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And if there's
12	be determined.	12	nothing else, then I will issue an order shortly on the
13	Is everyone in agreement with that proposed	13	matters discussed in this prehearing conference
14	schedule?	14	including the motion to withdraw. We are adjourned.
15	MS. ANDERL: Yes. CenturyLink, yes.	15	Thank you.
16	MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor.	16	(Adjourned at 2:31 p.m.)
17	MS. GAFKEN: Yes. Yes, this is Lisa Gafken	17	
18	with Public Counsel. Did we also want to build in the	18	
19	date by which CenturyLink would provide information to	19	
20	the parties about its about its plan, transition	20	
21	plan? We had talked about having that about at least	21	
22	the week before, if not a little bit longer.	22	
23	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: A week before what date?	23	
24	MS. GAFKEN: The June 7th date.	24	
25	JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And is that	25	
	Page 30		Page 32
1	Page 30	1	Page 32 CERTIFICATE
1 2		1 2	
	something		
2	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests	2	CERTIFICATE
2 3	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well.	2 3	C E R T I F I C A T E STATE OF WASHINGTON
2 3 4	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my	2 3 4	C E R T I F I C A T E STATE OF WASHINGTON
2 3 4 5	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my people who actually understand what the transition plan	2 3 4 5	C E R T I F I C A T E STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON
2 3 4 5 6	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my people who actually understand what the transition plan is going to look like, but I think we can hit a filing	2 3 4 5 6	C E R T I F I C A T E STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand
2 3 4 5 6 7	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my people who actually understand what the transition plan is going to look like, but I think we can hit a filing target of May 24th, which is two weeks before the	2 3 4 5 6 7	C E R T I F I C A T E STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my people who actually understand what the transition plan is going to look like, but I think we can hit a filing target of May 24th, which is two weeks before the settlement conference.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C E R T I F I C A T E STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my people who actually understand what the transition plan is going to look like, but I think we can hit a filing target of May 24th, which is two weeks before the settlement conference. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So can you commit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C E R T I F I C A T E STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my people who actually understand what the transition plan is going to look like, but I think we can hit a filing target of May 24th, which is two weeks before the settlement conference. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So can you commit to a transition plan information filing by	2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my people who actually understand what the transition plan is going to look like, but I think we can hit a filing target of May 24th, which is two weeks before the settlement conference. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So can you commit to a transition plan information filing by May 24th, 2019, at this time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C E R T I F I C A T E STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my people who actually understand what the transition plan is going to look like, but I think we can hit a filing target of May 24th, which is two weeks before the settlement conference. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So can you commit to a transition plan information filing by May 24th, 2019, at this time? MS. ANDERL: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my people who actually understand what the transition plan is going to look like, but I think we can hit a filing target of May 24th, which is two weeks before the settlement conference. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So can you commit to a transition plan information filing by May 24th, 2019, at this time? MS. ANDERL: Yes. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So we will add that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my people who actually understand what the transition plan is going to look like, but I think we can hit a filing target of May 24th, which is two weeks before the settlement conference. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So can you commit to a transition plan information filing by May 24th, 2019, at this time? MS. ANDERL: Yes. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So we will add that to the procedural schedule as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my people who actually understand what the transition plan is going to look like, but I think we can hit a filing target of May 24th, which is two weeks before the settlement conference. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So can you commit to a transition plan information filing by May 24th, 2019, at this time? MS. ANDERL: Yes. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So we will add that to the procedural schedule as well. And with that, is there anything else that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my people who actually understand what the transition plan is going to look like, but I think we can hit a filing target of May 24th, which is two weeks before the settlement conference. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So can you commit to a transition plan information filing by May 24th, 2019, at this time? MS. ANDERL: Yes. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So we will add that to the procedural schedule as well. And with that, is there anything else that we need to address today?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my people who actually understand what the transition plan is going to look like, but I think we can hit a filing target of May 24th, which is two weeks before the settlement conference. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So can you commit to a transition plan information filing by May 24th, 2019, at this time? MS. ANDERL: Yes. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So we will add that to the procedural schedule as well. And with that, is there anything else that we need to address today? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor, just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my people who actually understand what the transition plan is going to look like, but I think we can hit a filing target of May 24th, which is two weeks before the settlement conference. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So can you commit to a transition plan information filing by May 24th, 2019, at this time? MS. ANDERL: Yes. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So we will add that to the procedural schedule as well. And with that, is there anything else that we need to address today? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor, just briefly. Commission Staff, before this hearing ends,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my people who actually understand what the transition plan is going to look like, but I think we can hit a filing target of May 24th, which is two weeks before the settlement conference. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So can you commit to a transition plan information filing by May 24th, 2019, at this time? MS. ANDERL: Yes. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So we will add that to the procedural schedule as well. And with that, is there anything else that we need to address today? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor, just briefly. Commission Staff, before this hearing ends, would like to emphasize that it's strongly opposed to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my people who actually understand what the transition plan is going to look like, but I think we can hit a filing target of May 24th, which is two weeks before the settlement conference. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So can you commit to a transition plan information filing by May 24th, 2019, at this time? MS. ANDERL: Yes. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So we will add that to the procedural schedule as well. And with that, is there anything else that we need to address today? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor, just briefly. Commission Staff, before this hearing ends, would like to emphasize that it's strongly opposed to the motion to withdraw. I think that throughout this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my people who actually understand what the transition plan is going to look like, but I think we can hit a filing target of May 24th, which is two weeks before the settlement conference. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So can you commit to a transition plan information filing by May 24th, 2019, at this time? MS. ANDERL: Yes. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So we will add that to the procedural schedule as well. And with that, is there anything else that we need to address today? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor, just briefly. Commission Staff, before this hearing ends, would like to emphasize that it's strongly opposed to the motion to withdraw. I think that throughout this hearing, we have had concerns that the public interest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my people who actually understand what the transition plan is going to look like, but I think we can hit a filing target of May 24th, which is two weeks before the settlement conference. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So can you commit to a transition plan information filing by May 24th, 2019, at this time? MS. ANDERL: Yes. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So we will add that to the procedural schedule as well. And with that, is there anything else that we need to address today? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor, just briefly. Commission Staff, before this hearing ends, would like to emphasize that it's strongly opposed to the motion to withdraw. I think that throughout this hearing, we have had concerns that the public interest standard, the the Company has not met its evidentiary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	something MS. CORTEZ: Military Department requests that as well. MS. ANDERL: We are I need to talk to my people who actually understand what the transition plan is going to look like, but I think we can hit a filing target of May 24th, which is two weeks before the settlement conference. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So can you commit to a transition plan information filing by May 24th, 2019, at this time? MS. ANDERL: Yes. JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So we will add that to the procedural schedule as well. And with that, is there anything else that we need to address today? MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor, just briefly. Commission Staff, before this hearing ends, would like to emphasize that it's strongly opposed to the motion to withdraw. I think that throughout this hearing, we have had concerns that the public interest standard, the the Company has not met its evidentiary burden to demonstrate that the withdrawal is in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

				1 490 00
A	20:13 21:14 24:9	believe 14:12 16:14	certain 12:12 31:2	Complainant 1:5
ability 32:9	24:12 25:6,23	28:19,21	certainly 12:14	2:2,9,15,22
e e	26:2,10 27:9 28:2	best 19:18 32:9	13:10 17:5 24:25	complaint 22:13
able 13:24,25 14:19	28:8 29:15 30:4	better 8:21,23 10:1	Certified 32:6	Comtech 10:4
14:25 15:5,15	30:12 31:9	bid 17:3	certify 15:5 16:3	con- 26:25
23:13 24:21	Annapolis 5:12	bit 29:22	32:8	concern 12:7 24:15
accomplished 13:7	anticipate 14:10	Box 4:10 5:6	certifying 16:9	concerned 10:17
accurate 32:9	22:13 27:6	break 22:21 28:18	cetera 10:11	concerns 12:25
add 20:8 30:13	anybody 16:1	bridge 4:18 5:3,10	change 29:5,6	13:11 30:21
31:10	anytime 11:3	9:2	changes 21:24	conclude 11:4
added 22:23	appearance 9:3,10	brief 22:6	changing 26:5,22	concluded 23:22
address 6:16 7:1	appearances 7:15	briefly 30:18	circulate 27:20	conditions 31:2
20:7 30:16		brings 9:13 17:11	28:9	conducive 25:7
addresses 20:9	appearing 8:8	Buell 1:21		
addressing 7:6	apply 20:19	build 29:18	clarification 17:23	conference 1:10 6:5 6:15 17:10 18:7
adjourned 31:14	appropriate 27:12		24:10	6:15 17:10 18:7 18:12 21:6 23:20
31:16	appropriately 25:5	burden 30:23	clarify 28:16 Code 6:19	18:12 21:6 23:20 23:25 24:6,16,20
adjudicative 6:18	approving 22:12	<u> </u>		, ,
19:18	April 7:9 25:16	$\overline{\mathbf{C} 4:1 5:1 32:1,1}$	come 23:13	25:3,7,11,22 26:8
administrative	29:5,7	Callaghan 4:8 8:3,4	comes 21:6	26:8,23,25 28:4,6
1:12 4:2 6:12,19	artificially 10:6	11:11 18:4,20	commenced 6:18	28:21 29:7,8,10
7:14 10:15	assistant 4:9,19 5:4	19:16,24 22:20	commercial 13:3	29:11 30:8 31:13
advisement 17:9	8:4,8,12 16:3	23:2,12 24:2	14:15 16:5	conferences 10:11
Affairs 5:11	Associate 4:14	28:18,24 29:1,16	Commission 1:2,4	25:19,19
affiliated 7:23	assume 19:7	30:17	1:16 2:1,8,14,21	confidential 19:5
afternoon 6:5 8:7	assurance 14:20	called 25:10	4:4,7 6:13,17 7:10	19:11,11,22,23,24
23:21	15:16	calling 24:5 25:21	7:12 8:5 9:16	20:2
agency 21:25	attending 10:10	candid 25:8	11:11 12:17 13:8	confidentiality
agreed 26:4 28:19	attorney 4:9,9,19	capable 16:15	14:20 15:5,16	25:22
29:4	4:20 5:4,4 7:22	Caption 1:9	16:9 18:16 20:17	consensus 21:7
agreement 22:15	8:4,8,12 14:13	care 15:15	20:22 22:11 25:16	consolidated 1:4
23:10,13,15,18	authority 13:2		27:12 30:18,25	2:1,8,14,21 6:8,18
24:1,4 29:13	15:19,23,23	carefully 21:17 case 11:14 18:15,18	Commission's 7:9	consolidates 7:16
agrees 27:4	automatic 6:7	22:23 24:23	20:21	constrain 10:6
ahead 11:24	available 7:18 9:8	caution 24:5	Commissioners	construct 10:15
ALI 13:5	25:25	CCR 1:20 32:13	6:14	Construction 5:5
allow 6:22 9:18	Avenue 1:22 4:15		commit 30:9	Cont 5:1
11:25 31:7	4:20	center 20:14	committed 13:15	contact 27:13
amount 12:13 17:6	aware 25:24	Century 1:8 13:21	companies 7:23	continue 13:9
23:16	B	CenturyLink 2:5	10:21 13:22 14:16	16:25
Anderl 4:14 7:21		2:11,18,25 4:13	14:19 15:16 16:5	continued 1:9
7:21 9:18,22,23	B 9:15 back 15:11 22:21	6:8 7:17,23 11:20 13:21 15:15 16:8	Company 2:24	convinced 24:22
11:6,23 12:1			7:25 12:12 25:1	coordinate 15:22
13:18,24 14:12,24	22:25	18:19 22:14 27:6	30:22	Coordination
16:12,14 17:23	base 10:13	29:15,19 31:8	competitive 10:21	17:17
18:2,19 19:6	based 10:21	CenturyTel 2:4,11	competitors 10:14	copies 12:16 20:10
	behalf 8:4,8	2:17 7:24,24,25	competitors' 10:3	20:25
	1	1	1	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

Corporation 1:7	day 20:6	12:10,17	eventually 11:14	23:9,14,19,23,25
7:22	days 14:2 17:5	documents 20:11	14:14	26:8,22 27:13
correct 19:16 27:11	18:10	20:18,22	Evergreen 1:16 4:4	29:10
corrected 27:7 28:5	deadline 10:18 18:8	doing 10:14 14:4	everybody 10:9,17	five 7:16 18:9
Cortez 5:3 8:12,13	22:10 28:5	Doroshkin 1:12 4:3	evidentiary 7:11	flow 10:25
12:24 15:13,20	deadlines 15:4	6:4,11 8:2,6,10,14	22:22 25:17 27:18	followed 29:11
21:21,21 26:18	decision 27:25	8:18,22 9:1,6,11	30:22	following 7:2
27:3 30:2	declared 10:20	11:4,8,23 12:2,22	exactly 16:6	foregoing 32:8
Counsel 4:14,18	defined 6:19	13:12,18 14:9,18	examining 21:17	form 14:11
8:6,9 12:2,5,25	definitely 19:13	15:10 16:12 17:8	exchange 18:16	formal 10:14 20:18
18:22,24 21:9	demonstrate 30:23	18:1,5,21,25	20:1 25:8	forward 19:14
22:15 24:4 25:4	31:3	19:15,20,25 20:15	exchanged 28:23	21:19,23 27:15,17
26:15 27:6,22	denied 28:3	21:20 22:4,25	exempt 19:9,12	28:11
29:18	Department 5:2	23:3,7,24 24:8,11	existence 10:21	four 6:7 7:4,16,20
COUNTY 32:4	8:11,13 12:23,24	25:12,15 26:3,12	experiencing 14:3	7:23
COURT 8:17	13:1,10 15:12,21	26:16,20 27:5	explain 9:19	Fourth 1:22
courtesy 20:10,25	17:16,24 21:23	28:2,13,22,25	expressed 27:20	frankly 25:9
Cowiche 2:4 7:24	26:17 27:4 30:2	29:2,23,25 30:9	extent 15:21	friendly 10:16
creating 11:20	Department's 13:5	30:13 31:7,11		full 7:11 16:7 17:25
current 6:24 12:10	21:25	draft 23:8 25:19	F	18:1 25:17
currently 29:4	describing 27:15	26:4,21 27:19	F 32:1	future 13:8
customer 10:1,13	designated 19:12	Drive 1:16 4:4	facilitate 15:22	
27:12	20:4	DRs 22:23	25:25	G
customers 9:25	designation 20:3	ducks 14:7	fact 16:10	Gafken 4:19 8:7,8
10:2 11:2 12:15	determined 21:15		far 21:11	12:4 18:23 21:9
12:19 13:25 14:1	26:7,24 29:12	E	fashion 12:8	24:3,3,10,19
14:3,20,25 15:6	difference 24:18,20	E 4:1,1 5:1,1 32:1,1	feedback 27:23	25:13 26:14 29:17
15:17 16:9 17:1,3	difficulties 14:4	E911 17:16	feel 17:7 25:6 28:12	29:17,24
17:6 19:8 27:7	direct 13:17	effectively 10:2,25	felt 10:5,15 19:17	game 14:12
31:4	directly 9:25 10:4	either 10:19 18:3	fifth 7:5	Garlinghouse 1:20
	16:21	electronic 20:10,16	file 14:25	32:6,13
D	Director 5:11	20:17,17,22	filed 14:11 15:7	General 4:9,9,14
d/b/a 1:7 2:5,11,18	disclosure 19:10	electronically 20:23	17:13 18:9,11	4:19,20 5:4,4 8:4
2:24 7:22	discontinuation 6:6	20:24	20:19 22:9,11	8:8,12
data 18:14,17	14:22	eliminating 26:23	filing 7:4 12:14,16	give 17:5
28:20	discovery 10:11	email 20:5,7,8,11	13:8 15:14 17:2	given 11:18 21:4
database 13:5	18:13,18 28:16	23:15,21	20:16,18,18 22:14	gives 11:1
date 18:11 24:4	discuss 22:6,19	emphasize 30:19	29:5 30:6,10	go 11:24 19:13
26:5,9,22 27:1	discussed 7:8 31:13	ends 30:18	filings 6:17 9:24	21:19 22:21
28:6 29:11,19,23	discussing 21:12	engage 12:12	21:1 27:17	goal 22:8 25:18
29:24	discussions 25:25	enter 9:3 19:25	final 16:13	goals 21:2 22:5
dates 23:10,17	dismissing 22:12	entering 9:10	finally 21:2	going 10:6,7,16
Dower 5.2 0.12	Division 5:5	entities 15:24	finding 15:9	11:14,24 14:22
Dawn 5:3 8:13		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	01.5 04.17 01 02
21:21	DOCKET 1:4 2:1,7	essentially 11:20	fine 12:6 20:15	21:5 24:17,21,23
21:21 dawn.cortez@at	DOCKET 1:4 2:1,7 2:14,20	et 10:11	21:23 26:14 28:13	25:2 27:15,17
21:21	DOCKET 1:4 2:1,7	•		

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

	-			
Good 6:5 8:7	implementing	24:22	Let's 6:4 22:25	Microsoft 15:25
governs 9:14	11:21	issuing 28:5	23:7	migrated 14:21
grant 9:16 30:25	important 11:13,18	item 27:5	letters 12:5	15:17 16:1
granted 18:6	11:19 24:13 25:4		limited 17:24	Military 5:2 8:11
guess 24:13 25:6	27:23	J	line 9:2 15:13 24:6	8:13 12:23,24
27:24	in-house 7:22	joint 22:14	26:17	13:1,5,10 15:12
	inaudible 8:16	judge 1:12 4:2 6:4	LINK 1:8	15:20 17:16,24
H	21:25	6:12 7:14 8:2,6,10	Lisa 4:14,19 7:21	21:23,25 26:16
hammer 21:13	inclined 30:25	8:14,18,22 9:1,6	8:7 24:3 29:17	27:3 30:2
happen 11:14	include 11:16 31:2	9:11 11:4,8,23	lisa.anderl@cent	minute 15:11
14:14	31:2	12:2,22 13:12,18	4:16	minutes 22:18
happens 13:9	included 22:24	14:9,18 15:10	lisa.gafken@atg	Monday 29:5,8
happy 21:13,18	includes 27:12	16:12 17:8 18:1,5	4:22	Mondays 22:1,3
hear 8:23 11:9	including 7:7 21:3	18:21,25 19:15,20	list 7:4 15:22	monitor 12:18 16:4
26:19	31:14	19:25 20:15 21:20	listed 7:2	motion 6:24 9:13
hearing 7:11 10:15	incorporated 19:1	22:4,25 23:3,7,24	little 29:22	9:16,19,21 11:10
17:13 18:5 25:17	informal 22:22	24:8,11 25:12,15	LLC 1:21	12:3 17:9 28:1,3
30:18,21	28:15,20	25:24 26:3,12,16	location 6:7	30:20 31:1,14
hearings 15:2	information 10:13	26:20 27:5 28:2	longer 16:19 17:4	motions 6:20 9:15
held 23:20 29:10	13:4 19:5,7,9,22	28:13,22,25 29:2	29:22	12:6 13:17 27:25
Helm 9:4,4	19:23 20:2 24:25	29:23,25 30:9,13	look 21:22 22:7	move 21:23
help 16:7	25:8 27:13 29:19	31:7,11	23:8 28:11 30:6	multiple 10:10
highly 19:4,11,22	30:10	June 23:20,23 24:1	looks 12:14 16:6	
hit 30:6	input 11:21	26:5,23 29:11,24	lose 25:11	N
hold 7:11	intend 7:11 10:19			N 4:1 5:1
honestly 10:1 14:7	intent 14:14	<u> </u>	M	name 6:11 24:13
15:1 21:16	Inter 7:24	keep 12:10 15:22	main 12:6	named 25:4
Honor 8:3 9:23	interact 10:3	kind 10:6 24:17	maintain 19:21	names 7:18 20:8
11:11 17:23 18:4	interest 9:17,20	25:8	making 13:15	naming 24:15
18:20 19:6,16,24	30:21,24	know 10:13 11:2	15:24 18:16	Nash 4:8 8:3
20:13 21:14 22:20	interested 16:2	13:7 14:2,3,5,24	manage 17:7	nash.callaghan@
23:2,12 24:2,9	internally 21:16	16:4,6 18:7 24:13	managing 16:16	4:11
26:10 27:9 29:16	intervene 7:3 17:15	27:16,21,24	mandatory 21:25	National 1:24
30:17	18:6,8	knowledge 11:2	March 22:10	nature 15:3
hope 14:24	intervenor 6:25	32:9	Maryland 5:12	necessary 7:1 25:18
hoped 23:14	18:16	known 17:17	matter 6:14 22:22	26:1
horizons 17:3	intervention 17:12	Kopta 25:24	matters 6:19 31:13	need 7:3 10:10 12:9
human 15:3	17:12,19,24,25		mean 24:14 27:16	15:2 19:4,13,21
	18:1		meaningful 27:14	24:25 27:11,16
<u> </u>	involving 7:16	lack 15:18	mediator 7:13,14	30:4,16
idea 21:10 24:21	16:15	language 28:12	meeting 7:9 22:1	needs 12:12 13:8
identification 6:7	Island 7:24	law 1:12 4:2 6:12	23:14,20,23 24:24	Nelli 1:12 4:3 6:11
impetus 15:8	issue 18:10 22:12	7:14	25:17	nelli.doroshkin@
implementation	31:12	lead 20:4	mentioned 16:1	4:6 20:7
7:7 20:19 21:3	issued 19:2 29:9	leaving 26:6	merely 19:12	new 14:21 15:9,18
22:9,11,13 25:20	issues 7:5 21:2	Legal 5:11	met 30:22	16:10,21 31:4
				<i>.</i>

Northwest 2:24 8:1	opposition 28:1	placeholder 28:9	28:10	26:20 28:15,17
note 11:13 21:24	options 15:6	plan 7:7 11:21,21	process 7:6 10:7	questions 9:9 11:7
notice 10:1 18:8	order 7:2,4 9:24	13:22 20:19 21:3	11:17 12:13,14	11:8 13:19
27:7,12,13,14,19	12:9 19:1,3,4,13	22:9,11,12,13	16:16 17:7 19:18	quickly 13:25
28:5,9 31:6	19:21 20:1 22:12	25:20 29:20,21	25:16 27:15	quite 23:18 26:19
notices 12:15,16	28:4,7 29:8 31:12	30:5,10	product 7:8	Qwest 1:7 7:22
noting 15:18	ordered 12:12	planning 11:16	proper 31:6	
number 14:1 23:17	orderly 10:9 12:8	please 8:19 9:7,22	properly 24:14	<u> </u>
	14:7 17:1	18:7 20:5,11,25	proposal 21:7,8,10	R 4:1 5:1 32:1
0	Ornstein 5:10 8:16	28:16	propose 26:3	raised 13:20
000 6:3	8:20,23,24 9:8	PO 4:10 5:6	proposed 21:4	read 7:17 29:3
object 18:16	13:14	point 10:19 12:11	22:18 26:21 29:4	really 16:1,17
objection 18:2,4,19	ought 16:8	24:22 25:1	29:6,13	21:11,16 24:6,24
18:20,21,23	oversight 11:17	possible 20:6 22:3	protection 19:22,23	25:18
objections 17:20,21	12:8,9 13:2,9	possibly 10:20	25:11	Realtime 1:21
18:6	15:19	practical 14:4	protections 25:23	reason 10:25
obligation 16:20		prefer 22:2	protective 7:4 19:3	reasonable 17:6
obligations 17:4	<u> </u>	preferably 20:6	19:4,13 20:1	reasons 16:22
Obviously 22:1	P 4:1,1 5:1,1	prehearing 1:10	provide 7:12 10:22	19:17
occurred 28:20	p.m 1:15 6:2 23:5,6	6:5,15 17:10 18:7	13:2,9 14:16,19	receive 20:10
October 23:11	31:16	18:12 19:1 21:5	15:16 20:21 27:7	received 31:5
offer 16:21,25	Pages 1:11	28:4,6,21 29:7,8	27:11 29:19	recess 22:6 23:1,5,9
offering 14:15	palatable 27:2	31:13	provider 14:22	27:10
Office 4:9,20 5:4	Park 1:16 4:4	present 6:22 11:24	15:18 16:11 31:5	record 6:4 21:5,18
17:17	part 16:20	17:21	provides 9:15	22:24 23:4,7 29:4
Oh 25:13	participation 16:17	presiding 6:13	providing 10:12	records 20:14
Okay 8:2,24 9:1,11	particular 15:25	primarily 20:19	12:16 16:3 20:1	referring 25:23
11:23 12:22 13:12	particularly 27:22	primary 7:8	provisions 19:4,11	refile 10:19 13:22
13:18 14:9,18	parties 12:16 18:14	prior 14:19 15:14	19:12	14:2,10
16:12 17:8 18:2	18:15,17 20:23,24	28:20	PS/ALI 10:9 14:21	refiling 13:22 14:19
18:25 19:15,25	20:25 21:8,11	private 6:6 13:2	15:5,18	15:14
21:20 22:4 23:24	22:6,17 23:12,16	15:24 16:5	public 4:18 5:5 7:9	reflect 28:4
24:8 25:6,15	23:21 25:24 26:5	probably 12:9 14:5	8:6,9 9:17,20 12:2	regard 25:3
26:16 27:5 28:2,8	27:21 28:11,19,23	15:8	12:4,4,25 18:21	regarding 12:3
28:13,22,25 29:25	29:20	problems 10:12	18:23 19:9 21:9	13:17 18:13 19:3
30:9,13 31:7,11	party 6:25 18:15	procedural 21:4,4	22:15 24:3 25:4	20:3,16 25:15
Olympia 1:17,23	20:4,23	21:12 22:7,18	25:17 26:14 27:6	regardless 6:24
4:5,10 5:6 6:1	people 15:3 30:5	23:8,22 26:4,21	27:22 29:18 30:21	Regulatory 5:11
once 14:25 21:10	perfectly 21:13	29:3 30:14	30:24	relevant 18:10
21:15 22:10	person 6:22	proceed 9:22 17:10	pursue 21:16	remainder 26:6,24
ones 17:13	persons 20:3	17:14	pursued 19:18	remarks 22:5
open 7:9 22:1 25:17	petition 10:20	proceeding 6:6,18	0	reminder 7:10
opportunity 6:23	17:18 18:10	7:5,8,12,13,16		repeat 28:16
oppose 12:20	petitions 7:3 17:11	16:23 19:19 20:11	QC 1:8 7:23	REPORTED 1:20
opposed 11:12,19	17:12,15,20 18:3	20:20 21:19 22:5	question 11:15	Reporter 8:17 32:7
30:19	18:6,8,11	22:8 27:8,18	15:12 23:9 26:19	Reporting 1:21
	1	1	I	1

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

					i uge er
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	representative 7.20	satisfies 9.19	sir 9.6	9.14 15	13:13 17:18 22:15
representatives 21:15,22,22,24 smooth 13:16 7:17,20 7:25 20:9 22:7,18 23:9,22 smooth 19:10:25 substantially 14:11 terpinantial 5:1 sequest 6:16 7:5,13 scoping 24:24 soon 11:3 20:6 substantially 14:21 terminate 15:1 request 6:16 7:5,13 scoping 24:24 sounds 26:14 Stil 1:22 4:20 terminate 15:1 sectel 1:22 2:2 settle 1:22,23 South 1:16 4:4 Suite 1:22 4:20 thank 8:3 9:12, 18:17 4:15,21 Southwest 1:16 5:12 12:11 18:25 22 requests 6:20 18:14 SECO 12:23 17:17 speak 8:19 9:7,9 supplement 9:18 23:2 26:2,11 2 30:2 second 7:3 specific 14:6 sure 8:20 9:23 10:8 Thanks 22:3 2 requires 18:14 25:21 28:12 10:13 11:10,12,19 susonornstein@ 24:14 27:11 20:17 send 20:25 12:25 14:13 19:7 suspension 15:2 11:18 12:6,11 respondent 1:9:26 servie 7:4,5 10:20 staff's 23:8 28:15 switch 6:6 21:10 24:17,1 21:12 1:3 13:1 12:21 1:8 12:61 11:17,22 12:18					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					-
representing 7:22 26:4,6,7,21,24 soon 11:3 20:6 substantive 24:15 terminate 15:1 8:13,24 29:3,14 30:14 sorry 8:18 25:14 successfully 14:21 15:17 16:10 31:4 27:15 29:9 9:17 11:12 12:20 Seattle 1:22,23 South 1:16 4:4 Suite 1:22 4:20 thank 3:9:12, 18:17 4:15,21 South 1:16 4:4 Suite 1:22 4:20 thank 3:9:12, 22:22 28:16,20 22:14 speak 8:19 9:7,9 support 20:9 31:10,15 30:2 second 7:3 spectific 14:6 support 20:9 31:10,15 7equires 18:14 25:21 28:12 10:13 11:10,12,19 susan.ornstein@ 24:14 27:11 20:17 send 20:25 Staff 4:7 8:2,5 susan.ornstein@ 24:14 27:11 20:17 send 20:25 22:21 22:12 10:13 11:10,12,19 susan.ornstein@ 24:14 27:11 20:17 sense 10:7 26:10 21:6 22:14.22 22:9 29:6 13:24 15:4,20 11:25 13:19 31:8 sense 10:7 26:10 21:6 22:14.22 22:9 29:6 13:24 15:4,20 11:21 9 3:3,14;17 16:13 service 7:4,5 10:20 <td< th=""><th>-</th><th></th><th></th><th>-</th><th></th></td<>	-			-	
$\hat{s}:13,24$ $29;3,14$ $30:14$ sorry $8:18$ $25:14$ successfully $14:21$ terms $21:11$ $27:15$ $29:9$ $9:17$ $11:12$ $12:22$ 8 cattle $1:22,23$ 8 ound $26:14$ $15:17$ $16:10$ $31:4$ $27:15$ $29:9$ $9:17$ $11:12$ $22:23$ 8 cattle $1:22,23$ 8 outh $1:16$ $4:15,21$ $8uite$ $12:24:20$ $thank$ $8:3$ $9:12,$ $18:17$ $4:15,21$ $22:14$ 8 peaking $25:9$ $support$ $20:9$ $31:10,15$ $20:23$ $8cond$ $8cond$ $8:19$ $9:7,9$ $support$ $20:9$ $31:10,15$ $30:2$ $8cond$ $7:3$ $speaking$ $25:9$ $support$ $20:23$ $18:14$ $20:17$ $second$ $7:3$ $speaking$ $25:9$ $susan$ $13:15$ $15:24$ $16:14,22:23:24:22$ $31:3$ $secinf$ $11:25$ $8taff$ $7:8:25$ $8usan$ $10:24$ $24:14$ $27:12$ $20:17$ $send$ $20:25$ $22:12$ $21:9$ $22:92:92:6$ $13:24$ $15:12$ $11:18$ $12:61:11$ $20:17$ $send$ $22:23$ $26:21:27:7,22$ $8W$ $4:4$ $16:14.16:19:8$ $8ecp$ $16:14.16:19:8$ $8espondent's$ $6:16$ $8erv$ $8:14$ $20:23$ $30:12$ $11:17:22:12:13$ $17:18$ $22:57:13:30:6;$ $12:19$ $3:3,61:41:1$ $11:17,22:12:14$ $12:19$ $13:3,61:41:11$ $17:18$ $22:6,7,17$ $14:3:15:71:72:13:13:1113:13,14,17:1$			v	•	
request 6:16 7:5,13scoping 24:24sounds 26:14 $15:17 \ 16:10 \ 31:4$ $27:15 \ 29:9$ 9:17 11:12 12:20Seattle 1:22,23South 1:16 4:4Suite 1:22 4:20thank 8:3 9:12,18:174:15,21Southwest 1:165:12Suite 1:22 4:2012:1 18:25 2222:22 28:16,2022:14speak 8:19 97,9supplement 9:1823:2 26:2,11730:2second 7:3speak 8:19 97,9supplement 9:1823:2 26:2,11730:2second 7:3speak 8:19 97,9supplement 9:1823:2 26:2,11731:3sec 10:1 13:25Staff 4:7 8:2,5Susan 5:10 8:24thing 10:24 22:requires 18:1425:21 28:1210:13 11:10,12,19susan.ornstein@24:14 27:1120:17send 20:2512:25 14:13 19:75:13think 10:23 11:respond 6:23 9:20sense 10:7 26:1021:6 22:14,2222:9 29:613:24 15:4,2011:25 13:19 31:8sent 22:2326:21 27:7,22SW 4:416:14,16 19:8Respondent 1:9 2:6service 7:4,5 10:2030:18 31:1switch 6:621:10 24:17,121:10 24:17,1112:19 13:3,6 14:111:17,22 12:1817:18 22:16Thursday 26:5response 11:9015:18,19 16:17,2130:22stacholders 11:1617:18 22:16THURSTON 313:13,14,17 16:13serving 20:2532:7takenolders 11:1617:8 22:67,17714:3 15:7 17:416:8serving 20:25s2:1statu 6:24 26:7,22suit1,2214:6 21:3responsi 11:9:910:9 31:516:18 17:16 32:317:8 22:16					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	· ·	,	•		
18:174:15,21Southwest 1:165:1212:1 18:25 22requests 6:20 18:14SECO 12:23 17:17speak 8:19 9:7,9suplement 9:1823:2 26:2,11 230:222:14speaking 25:9suplement 9:1831:10,15requirement 18:17Section 18:14speaking 10:713:15 15:24 16:4Thanks 22:3 28requires 18:1425:21 28:1210:13 11:10,12,19susan.ornstein@24:14 27:1120:17send 20:2512:25 14:13 19:75:13suspension 15:211:18 12:6,11resolved 25:10Senior 5:1119:20,21 20:9suspension 15:213:24 15:4,20respond 6:23 9:20sense 10:7 26:1021:6 22:14,2222:9 29:613:24 15:4,2011:25 13:19 31:8serv 18:14 20:2330:18 31:1switch 6:621:10 24:17,1responden 1:9 2:6serve 18:14 20:2330:18 31:1switch 6:621:10 24:17,113:13,14,17 16:1315:18,19 16:17,21stakeholder 13:48:25 9:5 13:13third 7:4response 11:9,2519:8 20:4,5,17,2230:22T3:21,1take 7:15 14:11tide 16:17response 11:9,2519:8 20:4,5,17,2230:22T3:21,1take 12:23 15:1215:8,23 16:7 17:410:24 11:13 17:416:18service 6:7 10:3,3stat 12:23 15:1215:8,23 16:7 17:410:24 11:13 17:410:24 11:13 17:4response 11:9,2519:8 20:4,5,17,2230:2state 22:23 29:21take 22:5,7,17take 24:23 29:2116:18serving 20:2532:712:13 30:4timeline 7:6 10:1response 11:9,	-				
requests 6:20 18:14 SECO 12:23 17:17 speak 8:19 9:7,9 supplement 9:18 23:2 26:2,11 4 22:22 28:16,20 22:14 speaking 25:9 support 20:9 31:10,15 30:2 section 18:14 speaking 25:9 support 20:9 31:10,15 requirement 18:17 Section 18:14 speaking 10:7 13:15 15:24 16:4 things 10:24 22 20:17 seen d20:25 12:25 14:13 19:7 Susan.ornstein@ 24:14 27:11 20:17 sense 10:7 26:10 sense 10:7 26:10 21:6 22:14,22 22:9 29:6 13:24 15:4,20 11:25 13:19 31:8 sent 22:23 26:21 27:7,22 SW 4:4 16:14,16 19:8 Respondent 1:9 2:6 serve 18:14 20:23 30:18 31:1 switch 6:6 21:10 24:17,1 2:12,19 3:1 20:24 Staff's 23:8 28:15 Systems 5:9 8:14 25:2,13 30:6,2 respondent 1:9 2:6 service 7:4,5 10:20 stakeholder 13:4 8:25 9:5 13:13 Thurksay 26:5 responds 11:10 14:15,17,21,23 16:15 17:18 22:16 Thursday 26:5 response 11:9 19:8 20:4,5,17,22 30:22 3		,			· · · · ·
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $,			
30:2 second 7:3 specific 14:6 sure 8:20 9:23 10:8 Thanks 22:3 28 requirement 18:17 Section 18:14 specific 14:6 13:15 15:24 16:4 thing 24:5 27:2 31:3 see 10:1 13:25 Staff 4:7 8:2,5 susan.ornstein@ 24:14 27:11 20:17 send 20:25 10:13 11:10,12,19 susan.ornstein@ 24:14 27:11 respond 6:23 9:20 sens 10:7 26:10 21:6 22:14,22 22:9 29:6 13:24 15:4,20 11:25 13:19 31:8 serv 18:14 20:23 30:18 31:1 suspension 15:2 11:18 12:6,11 respondent's 6:16 service 7:4,5 10:20 Staff's 23:8 28:15 switch 6:6 21:10 24:17,1 response 11:0 14:15,17,21,23 16:15 17:18 22:16 Thursday 26:5 response 11:9,25 19:8 20:4,5,17,22 30:22 Tater 7:15 14:11 16:16 17:17:4 16:8 service 6:7 10:3,3 state 12:23 15:12 17:8 22:6,7,17 14:3 15:7 17:4 16:8 serving 20:25 32:7 state 12:23 15:12 17:8 22:6,7,17 14:3 15:7 17:4 16:8 serving 20:25 32:7 stat	-		-	**	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
requirement 18:17 31:3Section 18:14 see 10:1 13:25spending 10:7 Staff 4:7 8:2,513:15 15:24 16:4 Susan 5:10 8:24thing 24:5 27:2 things 10:24 2220:17 resolved 25:10send 20:25 Senior 5:1112:25 14:13 19:7 12:25 14:13 19:75:13 suspension 15:2think 10:23 11: think 10:23 11: suspension 15:2respond 6:23 9:20 11:25 13:19 31:8sent 22:23 serve 18:14 20:2326:21 27:7,22 26:21 27:7,22SW 4:4 switch 6:616:14,16 19:8 22:9 29:6Respondent 1:9 2:6 2:12,19 3:1 2:12,19 3:1 2:12,19 3:120:24 2:24Staff's 23:8 28:15 stakeholder 13:4 30:18 31:1Switch 6:6 8:25 9:5 13:13 30:18 31:121:10 24:17,1 25:2,13 30:6,2responding 10:11 response 11:10 13:13,14,17 16:13 13:13,14,17 16:13 16:18,17 26:1312:19 13:3,6 14:1 14:15,17,21,23 15:18,19 16:17,21 19:8 20:4,5,17,22 30:2213:22 30:22 30:22T 31:10 31:5 32:1716:8 responsibility 15:9 16:8 review 22:18 21:8,9serving 20:25 serving 20:25 32:732:7 statements 11:5 12:3 13:20 16:13 statu 6:24 26:7,25 32:17Take 7:15 14:11 15:8,23 16:7 17:4 17:8 22:6,7,17 take 7:15 14:11 14:3 15:7 17:3 30:11,2416:8 review 21:8 17:227:1 23:19,25 24:5,1628:10 29:11 15:18,2316:17 7:2 taken 23:5 take 6:24 26:7,25 32:1716:18 17:16 32:3 12:3 13:20 16:13 take 24:23 29:21 take 24:22 29:21 <b< th=""><th>,</th><th></th><th>- 0</th><th></th><th>-</th></b<>	,		- 0		-
$31:3$ see $10:1\ 13:25$ $Staff\ 4:7\ 8:2,5$ $Susan\ 5:10\ 8:24$ things $10:24\ 22$ $20:17$ send $20:25$ $12:25\ 14:13\ 19:7$ $susan.ornstein@$ $24:14\ 27:11$ $20:17$ send $20:25$ $12:25\ 14:13\ 19:7$ $susan.ornstein@$ $11:14:15\ 12:13\ 12:13\ 12:15\ 13:19\ 31:8$ $sent\ 22:23$ $26:21\ 27:7,22$ $SW\ 4:4$ $16:14.16\ 19:8$ respondent $1:9\ 2:6$ sent\ 22:23 $26:21\ 27:7,22$ $SW\ 4:4$ $16:14.16\ 19:8$ $11:25\ 13:19\ 31:8$ $11:25\ 21:8\ 22:9\ 29:6$ $13:24\ 15:4,20$ respondent $1:9\ 2:6$ service $7:4,5\ 10:20$ statheholder $13:4$ $8:25\ 9:5\ 13:13$ $11:17\ 7.4\ 7.4\ 7.4\ 7.4\ 7.4\ 7.4\ 7.4\ 7.$					
requires 18:1425:21 28:1210:13 11:10,12,19susan.ornstein@24:14 27:1120:17send 20:2512:25 14:13 19:75:13think 10:23 11:resolved 25:10Senior 5:1119:20,21 20:9suspension 15:211:18 12:6,11respond 6:23 9:20sense 10:7 26:1021:6 22:14,2222:9 29:613:24 15:4,2011:25 13:19 31:8sent 22:2330:18 31:1Switch 6:621:10 24:17,1Respondent 1:9 2:6serv 18:14 20:2330:18 31:1Switch 6:621:10 24:17,12:12,19 3:120:24Staff's 23:8 28:15Systems 5:9 8:1425:2,13 30:6,7respondent's 6:16service 7:4,5 10:20stakeholders 11:1617:18 22:16Thursday 26:5response 11:1014:15,17,21,2316:1517:18 22:16Thursday 26:5response 11:1014:15,17,21,2316:15Take 7:15 14:11time 9:25 10:8,13:13,14,17 16:1315:18,19 16:17,2230:2230:22T3:2:1,1time 9:25 10:8,16:8service 6:7 10:3,3state 12:23 15:1215:8,23 16:7 17:410:24 11:3 116:8serving 20:2532:7take 23:530:11,24timeline 7:6 10:14:3 0:416:8setting 26:25 28:21status 6:24 26:7,25talked 24:23 29:2114:6 21:3reviewed 17:15setting 26:25 28:21status 6:24 26:7,25talking 24:24 27:10today 17:19 20:21:18 12:13 30:417:223:19,25 24:5,1615:18,2315:11 17:2 22:921:18 29:7 30reviewed 17:15setting 26:25 28:21statuory 13:1tariff 6	-				0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			-		0
resolved 25:10Senior 5:1119:20,21 20:9suspension 15:211:18 12:6,11respond 6:23 9:20sense 10:7 26:1021:6 22:14,2222:9 29:613:24 15:4,2011:25 13:19 31:8sent 22:2326:21 27:7,22SW 4:416:14,16 19:8Respondent 1:9 2:6serve 18:14 20:2330:18 31:1switch 6:621:10 24:17,12:12,19 3:120:24Staff's 23:8 28:15Systems 5:9 8:1425:2,13 30:6,2respondent's 6:16service 7:4,5 10:20stakeholder 13:48:25 9:5 13:13third 7:46:24 9:13,1910:22 11:18 12:19stakeholders 11:1617:18 22:16Thursday 26:5response 11:1014:15,17,21,2316:1516:15THURSTON 313:13,14,17 16:1315:18,19 16:17,21standard 9:20T 32:1,1tide 16:17responses 11:9,2519:8 20:4,5,17,2230:22T 32:1,1time 9:25 10:8,18:9,17 26:13services 6:7 10:3,3state 12:23 15:1215:8,23 16:7 17:410:24 11:13 1responsibility 15:910:9 31:516:18 17:16 32:317:8 22:6,7,1714:3 15:7 17:016:8serving 20:2532:7taken 23:530:11,24timeline 7:6 10:review 21:1827:112:3 13:20 16:13talked 24:23 29:2114:6 21:3review 17:15setting 26:25 28:21status 6:24 26:7,25talked 24:23 29:2114:6 21:321:8,9settle 24:2128:10 29:11target 30:721:18 29:7 3021:8,9settle 24:2128:10 29:11tarff 6:17 9:24today 17:19 20:21:8	-				
respond 6:23 9:20 11:25 13:19 31:8sense 10:7 26:10 sent 22:2321:6 22:14,22 26:21 27:7,2222:9 29:6 SW 4:413:24 15:4,20 16:14,16 19:8Respondent 1:9 2:6 2:12,19 3:1serve 18:14 20:23 20:2430:18 31:1 Staff's 23:8 28:15Switch 6:6 Systems 5:9 8:1421:10 24:17,1 25:2,13 30:6,2respondent's 6:16 6:24 9:13,19service 7:4,5 10:20 10:22 11:18 12:19stakeholder 13:4 11:17,22 12:18 16:15switch 6:6 Systems 5:9 8:1421:10 24:17,1 25:2,13 30:6,2responding 10:11 responses 11:012:19 13:3,6 14:1 15:18,19 16:17,21 15:18,19 16:17,2111:17,22 12:18 30:22T T T T 30:22T T T T T 16:18T T T T T 16:1811:17,22 12:18 15:18,19 16:17,21T 16:1511:17,22 12:18 16:15T T T T T 16:18T T T T 10:9 31:510:17,21 30:22T 30:22T 30:22T 12:3 13:20 16:13T 15:8,23 16:7 17:4 17:8 22:6,7,1710:24 11:13 1 14:3 15:7 17:0 14:3 15:7 17:016:8 reviewed 17:15 21:8,927:1 setting 26:25 28:21 21:8,9settle 24:21 28:10 29:11statury 13:1 15:18,2311:12,22:9 21:18 29:7 30 21:18 29:7 3011:14:6 21:3 21:18 29:7 3017:2 19:11 14:6 18:5 18:5 18:525:18,22,25 26:23 29:10 30:8Street 5:12 Street 5:1211:14,15 12:7 T T T T T T T T 29:10 30:8Stree 9:4,8 Street 5:1227:17 29:5,6 T T T T T T T T T 13:16,25 14:111:14,15 12:7 13:16,25 14:1					
11:25 13:19 31:8sent 22:2326:21 27:7,22SW 4:416:14,16 19:8Respondent 1:9 2:6serve 18:14 20:2330:18 31:1switch 6:621:10 24:17,12:12,19 3:120:24Staff's 23:8 28:15switch 6:621:10 24:17,1respondent's 6:16service 7:4,5 10:20stakeholder 13:48:25 9:5 13:13third 7:46:24 9:13,1910:22 11:18 12:19stakeholders 11:1617:18 22:16Thursday 26:5response 11:1014:15,17,21,2316:15TTaice 16:1713:13,14,17 16:1315:18,19 16:17,2130:22T 32:1,1tied 16:17responses 11:9,2519:8 20:4,5,17,2230:22T 32:1,1tied 16:1718:9,17 26:13service 6:7 10:3,3state 12:23 15:1215:8,23 16:7 17:410:24 11:13 116:8serving 20:2532:7take 7:15 14:11time 9:25 10:8,16:8serving 20:2532:7take 24:23 29:21time 16:10 17:2review 22:1827:1statue 6:24 26:7,25talking 24:24 27:10talked 24:23 29:2117:223:19,25 24:5,1615:18,2315:1 17:2 22:921:18 29:7 3017:223:19,25 24:5,1615:18,2315:1 17:2 22:921:18 29:7 3018:525:18,22,25 26:23Street 5:1215:1 17:2 22:9today 17:19 20:18:525:18,22,25 26:23Street 5:1215:1 17:2 22:911:14,15 12:7right 9:11 14:624:20 25:3,7,10Steve 9:4,827:17 29:5,611:14,15 12:718:525:18,22,25 26:23Street 5:12tariffs 13:22 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>-</th> <th>11:18 12:6,11,20</th>				-	11:18 12:6,11,20
Respondent 1:9 2:6serve 18:14 20:2330:18 31:1switch 6:621:10 24:17,12:12,19 3:120:24Staff's 23:8 28:15Systems 5:9 8:1425:2,13 30:6,2respondent's 6:16service 7:4,5 10:20stakeholder 13:48:25 9:5 13:13third 7:46:24 9:13,1910:22 11:18 12:19stakeholders 11:1617:18 22:16Thursday 26:5response 11:1014:15,17,21,2316:15T29:613:13,14,17 16:1315:18,19 16:17,21standard 9:20T 32:1,1tied 16:17responses 11:9,2519:8 20:4,5,17,2230:22T 4ke 7:15 14:11time 9:25 10:8,18:9,17 26:13services 6:7 10:3,3state 12:23 15:1215:8,23 16:7 17:410:24 11:13 116:18serving 20:2532:7taken 23:530:11,24responsibility 15:910:9 31:516:18 17:16 32:317:8 22:6,7,1714:3 15:7 17:016:8serving 20:2532:7taken 23:530:11,24review 22:1827:112:3 13:20 16:13talked 24:23 29:21take 21:3review 21:1827:128:10 29:11talked 24:24 27:1014:6 21:3revisions 14:10settlement 10:10statutory 13:115:1 17:2 22:9today 17:19 20:17:223:19,25 24:5,1615:18,2315:1 17:2 22:9today 17:19 20:18:525:18,22,25 26:23Street 5:12tariffs 13:22talkifs 13:22risk 15:2429:10 30:8strongly 30:19Tayler 1:20 32:6,1311:14,15 12:7			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,
2:12,19 3:120:24Staff's 23:8 28:15Systems 5:9 8:1425:2,13 30:6,7respondent's 6:16service 7:4,5 10:20stakeholder 13:48:25 9:5 13:13third 7:46:24 9:13,1910:22 11:18 12:19stakeholders 11:1617:18 22:16Thursday 26:5response 11:1014:15,17,21,2316:15T29:613:13,14,17 16:1315:18,19 16:17,21standard 9:20T 32:1,1tied 16:17responses 11:9,2519:8 20:4,5,17,2230:22tied 7:15 14:11tied 16:1718:9,17 26:13services 6:7 10:3,3state 12:23 15:1215:8,23 16:7 17:410:24 11:13 116:8serving 20:2532:7taken 23:530:11,24responsibility 15:910:9 31:516:18 17:16 32:317:8 22:6,7,1714:3 15:7 17:416:8serving 20:2532:7taken 23:530:11,24review 22:1827:112:3 13:20 16:13taken 23:530:11,24review 22:1827:112:3 13:20 16:13talked 24:23 29:21talked 24:23 29:2121:8,9settle 24:2128:10 29:11target 30:721:18 29:7 30revisions 14:10settlement 10:10statutory 13:115:1 17:2 22:9today 17:19 20:17:223:19,25 24:5,1615:18,2315:1 17:2 22:9today 17:19 20:18:525:18,22,25 26:23Street 5:12tariffs 13:22tansition 10:818:525:18,22,25 26:23Street 5:12tariffs 13:2213:16,25 14:118:529:10 30:8strongly 30:19Tayler 1:20 32:6,1313:1			,		,
respondent's 6:16 6:24 9:13,19service 7:4,5 10:20 10:22 11:18 12:19stakeholder 13:4 stakeholders 11:168:25 9:5 13:13 17:18 22:16third 7:4 Tursday 26:5responding 10:11 response 11:1012:19 13:3,6 14:1 14:15,17,21,2311:17,22 12:18 16:1517:18 22:16Tursday 26:5 29:6responses 11:9,25 18:9,17 26:1315:18,19 16:17,21 19:8 20:4,5,17,2230:22 30:22T 32:1,1 take 7:15 14:11tied 16:17 time 9:25 10:8, 10:9 31:516:8 responsibility 15:9 16:8services 6:7 10:3,3 10:9 31:5state 12:23 15:12 32:715:8,23 16:7 17:4 take 23:510:24 11:13 1 14:3 15:7 17:616:8 review 22:18 21:8,9setting 26:25 28:21 setting 26:25 28:21 21:8,9settle 24:21 28:10 29:11statutory 13:1 tariff 6:17 9:24 target 30:7taking 24:24 27:10 target 30:7 21:18 29:7 3017:2 17:2 17:2 23:19,25 24:5,1624:20 25:3,7,10 24:11 23:1tariff 6:17 9:24 15:18,23told 21:6 transcript 32:8 15:1 17:2 22:9right 9:11 14:6 18:5 18:525:18,22,25 26:23 29:10 30:8Steve 9:4,8 Street 5:1227:17 29:5,6 tariffs 13:22tariffs 13:22 13:16,25 14:11	-				21:10 24:17,19
6:24 9:13,1910:22 11:18 12:19stakeholders 11:1617:18 22:16Thursday 26:5responding 10:1112:19 13:3,6 14:111:17,22 12:18117:18 22:1629:6response 11:1014:15,17,21,2316:15T14:1529:6responses 11:9,2519:8 20:4,5,17,2230:22T 32:1,1tied 16:17take9,17 26:13services 6:7 10:3,3state 12:23 15:1215:8,23 16:7 17:410:24 11:13 1responsibility 15:910:9 31:516:18 17:16 32:317:8 22:6,7,1714:3 15:7 17:416:8serving 20:2532:7take 23:530:11,24rest 23:14,22 28:12set 22:3 25:2 26:9statements 11:5talked 24:23 29:2114:6 21:3review 22:1827:112:3 13:20 16:13talked 24:23 29:2114:6 21:3revisions 14:10settlement 10:10statutory 13:1tariff 6:17 9:24today 17:19 20:17:223:19,25 24:5,1615:18,2315:1 17:2 22:9today 17:19 20:17:223:19,25 24:5,1615:18,2315:1 17:2 22:9today 17:19 20:revisions 14:10settlement 10:10statutory 13:1tariff 6:17 9:24todd 21:617:223:19,25 24:5,1615:18,2327:17 29:5,6transcript 32:8right 9:11 14:624:20 25:3,7,10Steve 9:4,827:17 29:5,6transcript 32:818:525:18,22,25 26:23Street 5:12tariffs 13:2213:16,25 14:118:529:10 30:8strongly 30:19Tayler 1:20 32:6,1313:16,25 14:1	,			•	25:2,13 30:6,20
responding 10:11 response 11:1012:19 13:3,6 14:1 14:15,17,21,2311:17,22 12:18 16:1529:6 THURSTON 3 tied 16:17 take 7:15 14:1113:13,14,17 16:13 responses 11:9,2515:18,19 16:17,21 19:8 20:4,5,17,22standard 9:20 30:22T 32:1,1 take 7:15 14:11tied 16:17 time 9:25 10:8, 15:8,23 16:7 17:418:9,17 26:13 responsibility 15:910:9 31:5 10:9 31:516:18 17:16 32:3 32:717:8 22:6,7,17 take 7:15 14:1110:24 11:13 1 14:3 15:7 17:416:8 review 22:18 21:8,9setving 20:25 settle 24:2132:7 12:3 13:20 16:13take 24:23 29:21 talking 24:24 27:10 target 30:714:6 21:3 talking 24:24 27:10 target 30:717:2 17:2 23:19,25 24:5,1623:19,25 24:5,16 15:18,2315:1 17:2 22:9 27:17 29:5,6 tariffs 13:2211:14,15 12:7 T3:16,25 14:118:5 18:5 18:525:18,22,25 26:23Street 5:12 strongly 30:1927:17 29:5,6 Tayler 1:20 32:6,1311:14,15 12:7 T3:16,25 14:1	-	, ,	stakeholder 13:4	8:25 9:5 13:13	third 7:4
response 11:1014:15,17,21,2316:15TTHURSTON 313:13,14,17 16:1315:18,19 16:17,21standard 9:20T 32:1,1tied 16:17responses 11:9,2519:8 20:4,5,17,2230:22Take 7:15 14:11tied 16:1718:9,17 26:13services 6:7 10:3,3state 12:23 15:1215:8,23 16:7 17:410:24 11:13 1responsibility 15:910:9 31:516:18 17:16 32:317:8 22:6,7,1714:3 15:7 17:416:8serving 20:2532:7taken 23:530:11,24rest 23:14,22 28:12set 22:3 25:2 26:9statements 11:5talked 24:23 29:2114:6 21:3review 22:1827:112:3 13:20 16:13talked 24:23 29:21talked 24:23 29:2114:6 21:3reviewed 17:15setting 26:25 28:21status 6:24 26:7,25talking 24:24 27:10today 17:19 20:21:8,9settle 24:2128:10 29:11tariff 6:17 9:24today 17:19 20:17:223:19,25 24:5,1615:18,2315:1 17:2 22:9today 17:19 20:18:525:18,22,25 26:23Steve 9:4,827:17 29:5,6transcript 32:8right 9:11 14:624:20 25:3,7,10Steve 9:4,827:17 29:5,6transition 10:818:525:18,22,25 26:23Street 5:12tariffs 13:2211:14,15 12:7risk 15:2429:10 30:8strongly 30:19Tayler 1:20 32:6,1313:16,25 14:1	6:24 9:13,19	10:22 11:18 12:19		17:18 22:16	Thursday 26:5
Tesponse 11.1014.13,17,21,2310.13T 32:1,1T 40KS101313:13,14,17 16:1315:18,19 16:17,21standard 9:20T 32:1,1tied 16:17responses 11:9,2519:8 20:4,5,17,2230:2230:22tied 16:1718:9,17 26:13services 6:7 10:3,3state 12:23 15:1215:8,23 16:7 17:410:24 11:13 116:8serving 20:2532:716:18 17:16 32:317:8 22:6,7,1714:3 15:7 17:416:8serving 20:2532:7taken 23:530:11,24rest 23:14,22 28:12set 22:3 25:2 26:9statements 11:5talk 12:13 30:4timeline 7:6 10:13review 22:1827:112:3 13:20 16:13talked 24:23 29:2114:6 21:3reviewed 17:15setting 26:25 28:21status 6:24 26:7,25talking 24:24 27:1014:6 21:317:223:19,25 24:5,1615:18,2315:1 17:2 22:921:18 29:7 3018:525:18,22,25 26:23Steve 9:4,827:17 29:5,6transcript 32:8right 9:11 14:624:20 25:3,7,10Steve 9:4,827:17 29:5,6transition 10:818:529:10 30:8strongly 30:19Tayler 1:20 32:6,1313:16,25 14:1	responding 10:11	12:19 13:3,6 14:1	11:17,22 12:18		29:6
responses 11:9,2519:8 20:4,5,17,2230:22take 7:15 14:11time 9:25 10:8,18:9,17 26:13services 6:7 10:3,330:22time 9:25 10:8,responsibility 15:910:9 31:516:18 17:16 32:317:8 22:6,7,1716:8serving 20:2532:7taken 23:530:11,24rest 23:14,22 28:12set 22:3 25:2 26:9statements 11:512:3 13:20 16:1314:6 21:3review 22:1827:112:3 13:20 16:13talked 24:23 29:2114:6 21:3review 17:15setting 26:25 28:21status 6:24 26:7,25talking 24:24 27:1014:6 21:317:223:19,25 24:5,1615:18,2315:1 17:2 22:921:18 29:7 30revisions 14:10settlement 10:10statutory 13:115:1 17:2 22:9told 21:618:525:18,22,25 26:23Steve 9:4,827:17 29:5,6transcript 32:8right 9:11 14:624:20 25:3,7,10Steve 9:4,827:17 29:5,6tariffs 13:2218:529:10 30:8strongly 30:19Tayler 1:20 32:6,1313:16,25 14:1	response 11:10	14:15,17,21,23	16:15		THURSTON 32:4
18:9,17 26:13 responsibility 15:9 16:8 review 22:18services 6:7 10:3,3 	13:13,14,17 16:13	15:18,19 16:17,21	standard 9:20	, ·	tied 16:17
responsibility 15:910:9 31:516:18 17:16 32:317:8 22:6,7,1714:3 15:7 17:616:8serving 20:2532:7taken 23:530:11,24rest 23:14,22 28:12set 22:3 25:2 26:9statements 11:5talked 24:23 29:2114:6 21:3review 22:1827:112:3 13:20 16:13talked 24:23 29:2114:6 21:3reviewed 17:15setting 26:25 28:21status 6:24 26:7,25talking 24:24 27:1014:6 21:321:8,9settle 24:2128:10 29:11target 30:721:18 29:7 30revisions 14:10settlement 10:10statutory 13:115:1 17:2 22:921:18 29:7 3017:223:19,25 24:5,1615:18,2327:17 29:5,6told 21:618:525:18,22,25 26:23Street 5:12tariffs 13:22talking 13:22risk 15:2429:10 30:8strongly 30:19Tayler 1:20 32:6,1313:16,25 14:1	responses 11:9,25	19:8 20:4,5,17,22	30:22		time 9:25 10:8,18
16:8serving 20:2532:7taken 23:530:11,24rest 23:14,22 28:12set 22:3 25:2 26:9statements 11:5talked 24:23 29:2130:11,24review 22:1827:112:3 13:20 16:13talked 24:23 29:2114:6 21:3review 217:5setting 26:25 28:21status 6:24 26:7,25talking 24:24 27:1014:6 21:321:8,9settle 24:2128:10 29:11target 30:721:18 29:7 30revisions 14:10settlement 10:10statutory 13:115:1 17:2 22:9told 21:617:223:19,25 24:5,1615:18,2327:17 29:5,6transcript 32:8right 9:11 14:624:20 25:3,7,10Steve 9:4,827:17 29:5,6transition 10:818:525:18,22,25 26:23Street 5:12taiffs 13:2211:14,15 12:7risk 15:2429:10 30:8strongly 30:19Tayler 1:20 32:6,1313:16,25 14:1	18:9,17 26:13	services 6:7 10:3,3	state 12:23 15:12	,	10:24 11:13 13:15
16:8 rest 23:14,22 28:12serving 20:25 set 22:3 25:2 26:932:7 statements 11:5taken 23:5 talk 12:13 30:430:11,24review 22:18 27:127:1statements 11:5 12:3 13:20 16:13talk 12:13 30:4 talked 24:23 29:21timeline 7:6 10:10 14:6 21:3reviewed 17:15 21:8,9setting 26:25 28:21 settle 24:21status 6:24 26:7,25 28:10 29:11talking 24:24 27:10 target 30:7today 17:19 20:20 21:18 29:7 30revisions 14:10 17:2settlement 10:10 23:19,25 24:5,16statutory 13:1 15:18,23today 17:19 20:20 21:18 29:7 30right 9:11 14:6 18:524:20 25:3,7,10 25:18,22,25 26:23Steve 9:4,8 Street 5:1227:17 29:5,6 tariffs 13:22told 21:6 transcript 32:8 11:14,15 12:7risk 15:2429:10 30:8strongly 30:19Tayler 1:20 32:6,1313:16,25 14:1	responsibility 15:9	10:9 31:5	16:18 17:16 32:3		14:3 15:7 17:6
review 22:1827:112:3 13:20 16:13talked 24:23 29:2114:6 21:3reviewed 17:15setting 26:25 28:21status 6:24 26:7,25talking 24:24 27:1014:6 21:321:8,9settle 24:2128:10 29:11talking 24:24 27:10today 17:19 20:21:18revisions 14:10settlement 10:10statutory 13:1tariff 6:17 9:24today 21:18 29:7 3017:223:19,25 24:5,1615:18,2327:17 29:5,6transcript 32:8right 9:11 14:624:20 25:3,7,10Steve 9:4,827:17 29:5,6transition 10:818:525:18,22,25 26:23Street 5:12Tayler 1:20 32:6,1311:14,15 12:7risk 15:2429:10 30:8strongly 30:19Tayler 1:20 32:6,1313:16,25 14:1		serving 20:25	32:7		30:11,24
reviewed 17:15setting 26:25 28:21status 6:24 26:7,25talking 24:24 27:10today 17:19 20:21:1821:8,9settle 24:2128:10 29:11target 30:721:18 29:7 30revisions 14:10settlement 10:10statutory 13:1tariff 6:17 9:24told 21:617:223:19,25 24:5,1615:18,2315:1 17:2 22:9told 21:6right 9:11 14:624:20 25:3,7,10Steve 9:4,827:17 29:5,6transition 10:818:525:18,22,25 26:23Street 5:12tariffs 13:2211:14,15 12:7risk 15:2429:10 30:8strongly 30:19Tayler 1:20 32:6,1313:16,25 14:1	rest 23:14,22 28:12	set 22:3 25:2 26:9	statements 11:5		timeline 7:6 10:5
21:8,9settle 24:2128:10 29:11target 30:721:18 29:7 30revisions 14:10settlement 10:10statutory 13:1tariff 6:17 9:24told 21:617:223:19,25 24:5,1615:18,2315:1 17:2 22:9told 21:6right 9:11 14:624:20 25:3,7,10Steve 9:4,827:17 29:5,6transcript 32:818:525:18,22,25 26:23Street 5:12tariffs 13:2211:14,15 12:7risk 15:2429:10 30:8strongly 30:19Tayler 1:20 32:6,1313:16,25 14:1	review 22:18	27:1	12:3 13:20 16:13	talked 24:23 29:21	14:6 21:3
21:8,9settle 24:2128:10 29:11target 30:721:18 29:7 30revisions 14:10settlement 10:10statutory 13:1tariff 6:17 9:24told 21:617:223:19,25 24:5,1615:18,2315:18,23told 21:6right 9:11 14:624:20 25:3,7,10Steve 9:4,827:17 29:5,6transcript 32:818:525:18,22,25 26:23Street 5:12tariffs 13:2211:14,15 12:7risk 15:2429:10 30:8strongly 30:19Tayler 1:20 32:6,1313:16,25 14:1	reviewed 17:15	setting 26:25 28:21	status 6:24 26:7,25	0	today 17:19 20:7
revisions 14:10 17:2settlement 10:10 23:19,25 24:5,16statutory 13:1 15:18,23tariff 6:17 9:24 15:1 17:2 22:9told 21:6 transcript 32:8 27:17 29:5,6right 9:11 14:6 18:524:20 25:3,7,10 25:18,22,25 26:23Steve 9:4,8 Street 5:1227:17 29:5,6 transition 10:8 13:122told 21:6 transcript 32:8 11:14,15 12:7risk 15:2429:10 30:8strongly 30:19Tayler 1:20 32:6,1313:16,25 14:1	21:8,9	0	28:10 29:11	target 30:7	21:18 29:7 30:16
17:2 right 9:11 14:6 18:523:19,25 24:5,16 24:20 25:3,7,1015:18,23 Steve 9:4,815:1 17:2 22:9 27:17 29:5,6transcript 32:8 transition 10:8 11:14,15 12:7risk 15:2429:10 30:8Street 5:12 strongly 30:19Tayler 1:20 32:6,1311:14,15 12:7 13:16,25 14:1	,	settlement 10:10	statutory 13:1	tariff 6:17 9:24	told 21:6
right 9:11 14:6 18:524:20 25:3,7,10 25:18,22,25 26:23Steve 9:4,8 Street 5:12 strongly 30:1927:17 29:5,6 tariffs 13:22transition 10:8 11:14,15 12:7risk 15:2429:10 30:8Street 5:12 strongly 30:19Tayler 1:20 32:6,1313:16,25 14:1	17:2	23:19,25 24:5,16	•	15:1 17:2 22:9	
18:525:18,22,25 26:23Street 5:12tariffs 13:2211:14,15 12:7risk 15:2429:10 30:8strongly 30:19Tayler 1:20 32:6,1313:16,25 14:1				27:17 29:5,6	-
risk 15:24 29:10 30:8 strongly 30:19 Tayler 1:20 32:6,13 13:16,25 14:1	0		,	tariffs 13:22	11:14,15 12:7,18
				Tayler 1:20 32:6,13	
	room 4:15 9:2	share 13:10	structure 10:16	TBD 29:9	15:6 17:1,6 29:20
row 14:8 shared 28:19 subject 19:10 TCS 9:9 30:5,10				TCS 9:9	,
				technical 14:4 17:3	transitioned 15:7
ruling 18:11 Shorthand 32:6 submitting 12:15 24:7,16 25:19 16:10 31:4			-	24:7,16 25:19	
	B 10.11		0		transitioning 10:2
G Shorty 29.9 51.12 Subscribe 19.0	S	e e			transitions 17:4
	S 4:1 5:1				Transportation 1:2
		simply 10.17	Subscrium 0.21	,	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	l ugo oo				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1.4 16 2.1 8 14 21	16.7 19.7 27.14	27.6	2	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			-		
true 25:2 32:8 trying 10:811:6,17,22 2:1,7 2:11,14,17,20 4:5www.buellrealti 1:25two tises 23:8 two tises 23:811:6,17,22 2:1,7 2:11,14,17,20 4:5www.buellrealti 1:25two tises 23:8 trying 10:8UUU </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
trying 10:8 two 15:25 28:6 30:713.11,1,1,7,20 4:5 4:10,15,21 5:6 6:1 Colspan="2">13.25two 15:25 28:6 30:7U Uitimately 13:4 14:14 understand 7:19 16:20 17:2 24:13 30:512.23 15:12 17:16 Colspan="2">XU understand 7:19 16:20 17:2 24:13 30:512.23 15:12 17:16 Colspan="2">XU understand 7:19 16:20 17:2 24:13 30:512.23 15:12 17:16 Colspan="2">XU understand 7:19 16:20 17:2 24:13 24:21,24 25:213.21 11 Colspan="2">14.464-6595 4:21 480-07-380 6:20 9:14UT:102 Colspan="2">17:5 21:12,17 24:12,124 25:21.32 11:11 24:21,24 25:21.33 11:15 6:2weik 11:15 27:21 27:17,20UT:190262 1:4 6:9 UT:190262 2:14 UT:190266 2:20 6:10UT:190265 2:16 withdraw 6:16,21 9:14,15,16,24V withdraw 6:16,21 9:14,15,16,24V withdraw 6:16,21 9:14,15,16,24V withdraw 6:16,21 9:14,15,16,24V withdraw 6:16,21 9:14,15,16,24V withdraw 6:16,21 9:14,15,16,242019 1:14 6:11 82019 1:14 6:11 66000 4:20 2019 1:14 6:11 2005:122019 1:14 6621112 9:14,15,16,242019 1:14 9:142:1111 20026 2:20 2:1610:15 2:21 9:14,15,16,24 <th colsp<="" td=""><td>6</td><td>8</td><td></td><td></td></th>	<td>6</td> <td>8</td> <td></td> <td></td>	6	8		
two 15:25 28:6 30:7 two-week 28:4 type 31:34:10,15,21 5:6 6:1 Gamma 12:23 15:12 17:16 32:3,7 way 12:17 16:4 24:18 we'le 10:7 16:18,25 17:5 21:12,17 16:20 17:2 24:13 30:5 16:20 17:2 24:13 24:21,24 25:2 27:17,20 week 21:15 27:21 28:9 29:22,23 16:16 23:11 13:114 6:1 13:25 112 13:114 6:1 13:25 112 13:114 13:114 20:25:13 20:112 4:20 20:112 4:20 20:12:10 20:12:11 20:112 4:20 20:12:12 20:12:12 20:12:12 20:12:12 20:12:12 20:12:12 14:15 21:16 27:25 23:13:116 20:12:24 10:18 20:12:24 10:12 20:12:21:12 20:12:21:12 20:12:21:12 20:12:21:12 20:12:21:12 20:12:21:12 20:12:21:12 20:12:21:12 20:12:21:12 20:12:21:12 20:12:21:12 20:12:21:12 20:12:21:12 20:12:21:12 20:12:21:12 20:12:21:12 20:12:22:10 20:12:22:10 20:12:22:10 20:12:22:10 20:12:22:10 20:11:14 6:11:12 20:12:22:10 20:12:22:10 20:11:14 6:11:12 20:12:22:10 20:11:14 6:11:12 20:11:22:23:13:11:16 20:11:22:23:13:11:16 20:11:22:21:12 20:11:22:21:12 20:11:22:21:12 20:11:22:21:12 20:11:22:21:12 20:11:22:21:12 20:11:22:21:12 20:11:22:21:12 20:11:22:21:12 20:11:22:21:12 20:11:22:21:12 20:11:22:21:12 20:11:22:21:12 20:11:22:21:12 20:11:22:21:12 20:11:22:21:12 20:11:22:21:12 20:11:22					
two-week 28:4 type 31:3 $6:12,19,7:25,8:10$ $12:23,15:12,17:16$ $32:3,7$ way 12:17 16:4 $24:18$ X4Uuitimately 13:4 $14:14$ understand 7:19 $16:20,17:2,24:13$ $30:5$ X414:14 understand 7:19 $16:20,17:2,24:13$ $30:5$ weill 16:22,17:9 $24:12,24,25:2$ $27:17,20$ Xunderstands 12:5 unit 12:25 unit 12:25 unsets 16:22 UT-190264 2:14 6:9 UT-190264 2:7 6:9 UT-190264 2:7 6:9 UT-190265 2:14 $UT-190265 2:14$ $UT-190265 2:14$ $UT-190265 2:14$ $UT-190265 2:14UT-190265 2:13UT-190266 2:20U$			1:25	360 1:23 4:5,11 5:7	
Unorder 20.4 $0.12.197.1293.10$ type 31:3 $0.12.197.1293.10$ Uultimately 13:412:23 15:12 17:1614:14understand 7:1916:20 17:2 24:13 $17:5 21:12,17$ 16:20 17:2 24:13 $17:5 21:12,17$ $30:5$ $24:21,24 25:2$ understands 12:5 $27:17,20$ unit 12:25useks 28:6 30:7users 16:22weeks 28:6 30:7UT-190263 2:16 :9willing 7:12 15:21UT-190265 2:14willing 7:12 15:21UT-190265 2:14willing 7:12 15:21UT-190265 2:14wildraw 6:16,21UT-190265 1:4y:14,15,16,24UT-190265 1:4y:14,15,16,24UT-190265 2:14wildraw 0:16,21Withdraw 19:1720:00 5:12000 4:20200 5:12001 1:24 4:2001 1:1220:1301 1:1220:2 5:1301 1:10y:14 6:1 18:916:12 10:1120:2 5:1302 1:1220:16 129:705 1:220:16 129:705 1:220:16 129:705 1:220:16 12			X		
U32:3,7u40128 4:10Uway 12:17 16:42.4 $24:18$ $464-6595 4:21$ understand 7:19we'll 16:22 17:9 90 $460-07-305 6:20$ understand 7:19we'll 16:22 17:9 90 $460-07-305 6:20$ understands 12:517:5 21:12,17 1 $9:14$ understands 12:527:17,20 $1:30$ 1:15 6:2 $9:14$ unit 12:25week 21:5 27:21 $2:55$ $534-9066 1:23$ United 2:24 7:25week 28:6 30:7 $1:30$ 1:16 6:16 23:11 55 users 16:22weren't 23:13 1300 1:16 4:4 $6664-1160 4:5$ UT-190263 2:1 6:9willing 7:12 15:21 $1506 4:15$ $664-1160 4:5$ UT-190265 2:14wish 31:8 $1:40 1:22$ 77 UT-190265 2:14wish 31:8 $1:41 1:12$ $2:20 23:6$ UT-190265 2:14withdraw 6:16,21 $2:20 23:6$ $794-4102 5:13$ UT-190265 2:14withdraw 19:17 $2:00 5:12$ $2:00 5:12$ Withdraw 19:17 $30:11$ $2:02 23:6$ $2:1:12$ Vendor 15:9 16:5 $1:14$ $2:02 2:10$ $2:12 2:10$ $1:120$ withdrawn 27:17 $2:20 22:10$ $2:12 2:10 2:5:13$ $1:120$ wonder 25:11 $2:12 2:2 2:10$ $2:140 1:5:12$ $1:14:5$ $2:1:18 2:2: 23:14$ $2:22 2:10 2:10$ $2:1:14:15$ $2:1:18 2:2: 23:14$ $2:2:10 2:55$ $2:1:14:14:5$ $2:2:12 2:10 2:55$ $2:16 2:9:7$ $1:14:52$ $2:1:12 2:2:12 2:12 2:16 2:253:11:15 12 2:10 2:55 1:22 2:16 2:251:131:14:522:12 1$,			
Uway 12:17 16:4 24:18Z464-6595 4:21 480-07-380 6:21 9:1414:14 understand 7:19 16:20 17:2 24:13 30:5we'll 16:22 17:9 17:5 21:12,170480-07-380 6:21 9:1416:20 17:2 24:13 30:527:17,201:30 1:15 6:29:14understands 12:5 unitel 2:24 7:25 upshot 12:20 users 16:2227:17,201:30 1:15 6:29:14UT-190262 1:4 6:9 UT-190262 2:16 6:2week 21:15 27:21 28:9 29:22,2310th 6:16 23:11 13:124 6:15UT-190262 1:4 6:9 UT-190266 2:20 6:10wets 5:12 wish 31:8 withdraw 6:16,21 9:14,15,16,241325 1:22 1506 4:15664-1160 4:5 664-1187 4:11UT-190266 2:20 6:10withdraw 6:16,21 9:14,15,16,24227V vendor 15:9 16:5 16:1211:12 12:3,21 11:141840 1:227V vendor 15:9 16:5 16:18,19,21 withdrawal 9:17 17:9 21:10 30:23 31:1202 5:13 2000 4:20800 1:24 4:20 846-689 1:24W WAC 6:21 9:14 18:13withdrawn 27:17 28:11 wonder 25:11 21:18 22:2 23:14 21:14 23:21200 2:2:10 202 5:1390 14:2 90 14:2W WAC 6:21 9:14 18:13withdrawn 27:17 23:21202 0:2:10 24 10:189W WAC 6:21 9:14 18:1321:18 22:2 23:14 23:21275 5:12 275 5:1298104 4:21 98104 4:21 9	type 31:3		Y		
ultimately 13:4 14:14 understand 7:19 16:20 17:2 24:13 30:5var $12:17$ 10:4 24:18 we'll 16:22 17:9 understands 12:5 24:21,24 25:2ZHerotoge 3:4,21 480-07-305 6:20 480-07-380 6:21 9:14understand 7:19 16:20 17:2 24:13 30:5if $16:22$ 17:9 understands 12:5 24:12,24 25:2if 360 ,07-380 6:21 9:14understands 12:5 unit 12:25 unit 12:25 unsers 16:22 UT-190262 1:4 6:9 UT-190262 1:4 6:9 UT-190262 2:16 6:9 UT-190262 2:16 6:9 UT-190265 2:14 UT-190265 2	I	,			
14:14 we'll 16:22 17:9 0 480-07-380 6:21 understand 7:19 16:22 17:2 1 480-07-380 6:21 9:14 understands 12:5 17:5 21:12,17 1 30:5 534-9066 1:23 9:14 understands 12:5 27:17,20 week 21:15 27:21 1:30 1:15 6:2 9:14 480-07-300 6:21 9:14 understands 12:5 27:17,20 week 21:15 27:21 1:30 1:15 6:2 9:14 480-07-405 18:13 understands 12:5 27:17,20 week 28:6 30:7 13 1:14 6:1 5 534-9066 1:23 586-2436 5:7 understands 12:5 weeks 28:6 30:7 13 1:14 6:1 1325 1:22 534-9066 1:23 586-2436 5:7 UT-190264 2:7 6:9 willing 7:12 15:21 1300 1:16 4:4 66 664-1160 4:5 664-1160 4:5 UT-190265 2:14 withdraw 6:16,21 21:18 12:22 21:1840 1:22 22:13 31:16 22 24:1 2:5:23 23:13 1:16 Weren 15:9 16:5 16:18,19,21 31:1 30:11 20:11 2:20 20:11 2:20 20:11 2:20 20:11 2:20 20:11 2:20 20:11 2:20 20:11 2:20 20:11 2:20 20:11 2:20 20:11 2:20 20:11 2:20<			Z		
understand 7:19 (iii) 10:10 (ive) 0 9:14 16:20 17:2 24:13 ive're 10:7 16:18,25 1 9:14 480-07-405 18:13 30:5 understands 12:5 27:17,20 1:30 1:15 6:2 534-9066 1:23 understands 12:5 28:9 29:22,23 10th 6:16 23:11 5 534-9066 1:23 upshot 12:20 week 28:6 30:7 13 1:14 6:1 5th 4:20 6 users 16:22 week 28:6 30:7 13 1:14 6:1 5th 4:20 6 UT-190262 1:4 6:9 west 5:12 1325 1:22 56-2436 5:7 5th 4:20 UT-190265 2:14 west 5:12 1325 1:22 56-2436 5:7 5th 4:20 UT-190265 2:14 wisdom 25:21 1600 4:15 7 7 74-4102 5:13 UT-190265 2:14 wisdom 25:21 1600 4:15 7 7 74-4102 5:13 7 UT-190265 6:9 U1:1,12 12:3,21 1840 1:22 200 5:12 200 5:12 200 5:12 2019 1:14 6:1 18:9 30:11 9 90 14:2 9 14:12 4:20 86-66989 1:24 800 1:24 4:20 86-66989 1:24 80 1:24 4:20 86-66989 1:24 16:19,20 90 14:2 90 14:	e e				
16:20 17:5 21:12,17 1 30:5 17:5 21:12,17 1 30:5			0		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
Understands 12:5United 2:24United 2:24 7:25United 2:24 7:25United 2:24 7:25Users 16:22UT-190264 2:7 6:9UT-190264 2:7 6:9UT-190264 2:7 6:9UT-190264 2:7 6:9UT-190265 2:14UT-190265 2:14Withdraw 6:16,212:1,7,14,20 4:3It:1,12 12:3,2116:18,19,21Other colspan="2">It:1,12 12:3,21It:1,12 12:3,21It:1,12 12:3,21It:1,12 12:3,21It:1,12 12:3,21It:1,12 12:3,21It:1,12 12:3,21It:1,12 2:3,21Versus 24:16 27:25Vill withdrawal 9:17It:20 23:6It:1,12VolLUME 1:10versus 24:16 27:25view 12:11VolLUME 1:10view 12:11VolLUME 1:10view 12:11VolLUME 1:10				480-07-405 18:13	
V Vesk 21:15 27:21 1:50 1:15 0:2 534-9066 1:23 1:52 2:3:5 1:52 2:3:5 1:52 2:3:5 1:52 2:3:11 1:52 2:3:11 1:52 2:11 2:9 2:9:2,2;3 1:16 6:1 1:16 6:2 1:15 2:3:11 1:52 2:11 2:9 2:9:2,2;3 1:16 6:1 1:16 6:1 1:16 6:1 1:52 2:11 week 2:8:6 30:7 1:11 1:16 6:1 1:13 0:1:16 6:2 1:16 6:1 1:52 2:11 week 2:1:15 2:7:20 1:16 6:1 1:16 6:1 1:16 6:1 1:52 2:11 week 1:15 2:1 1:16 0:4:15 1:16 0:4:15 1:11 1:11 1:12 1:2:3:1 1:16 0:4:15 1:11		, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		5	
United 2:24 7:25 28:9 29:22,23 10th 6:16 23:11 586-2436 5:7 upshot 12:20 users 16:22 weren't 23:13 10th 6:16 23:11 586-2436 5:7 UT-190262 1:4 6:9 weren't 23:13 1300 1:16 4:4 6 UT-190263 2:1 6:9 willing 7:12 15:21 13th 29:8 664-1160 4:5 UT-190265 2:14 wisdom 25:21 1600 4:15 664-1187 4:11 UT-190266 2:20 wish 31:8 1840 1:22 794-4102 5:13 6:10 withdraw 6:16,21 9:14,15,16,24 2:20 23:6 2:13 13:16 10:1:1 2:3,21 14:15 21:16 27:25 2:20 23:6 2:31 31:16 2:1,7,14,20 4:3 14:15 21:10 30:23 2:11 2:11 2:0 2:11 2:0 Vendor 15:9 16:5 15:11 31:14 200 5:12 2:000 4:20 2:11 2:10 2:5:3 VolUME 1:10 withdrawn 11:3 30:11 30:11 9 90 14:2 vsi 1:6 2:3,10,16,23 withdrawn 27:17 2020 22:10 2:11 13:6 16:18,18 16:19,20 VOLUME 1:10 wonder 25:11 withdrawn 27:17 2:1401 5:12 98101 1:22 98101 1:22 Wac 6:21 9:14 wonder 25:15 4:15 2:1401		,			
upshot 12:20 users 16:22 ueeks 28:6 30:7 ist 1:14 6:1 5th 4:20 UT-190262 1:4 6:9 weeks 28:6 30:7 ist 1:14 6:1 ist 1:14 6:1 ist 1:14 6:1 UT-190263 2:1 6:9 weeks 28:6 30:7 ist 1:14 6:1 ist 1:14 6:1 ist 1:14 6:1 UT-190264 2:7 6:9 willing 7:12 15:21 ist 25 1:22 ist 29:8 ist 1:14 6:1 UT-190265 2:14 wisdom 25:21 ist 4:20 ist 1:14 6:1 ist 1:14 6:1 UT-190265 2:14 wisdom 25:21 ist 1:14 6:1 ist 1:12 ist 1:12 UT-190265 2:14 wish 31:8 ist 1:12 ist 1:12 ist 1:12 ist 1:12 UT-190265 6:9 y:14,15,16,24 ist 1:14 ist 1:14 ist 1:14 ist 1:12 ist 1:12 ist 1:14 ist 1:15 ist 1:14 ist 1:14 ist 1:14 ist 1:15 ist 1					
users 16:22 weren't 23:13 1300 1:16 4:4 UT-190262 1:4 6:9 Weren't 23:13 1300 1:16 4:4 UT-190263 2:1 6:9 Willing 7:12 15:21 13th 29:8 UT-190265 2:14 wisdom 25:21 1600 4:15 UT-190265 2:14 wisdom 25:21 1600 4:15 UT-190265 6:9 9:14,15,16,24 11:1,12 12:3,21 UT-1920265 6:9 9:14,15,16,24 11:1,12 12:3,21 Utilities 1:2,4,16 9:14,15,16,24 11:1,12 12:3,21 14:15 21:16 27:25 28:3 30:20 31:1 218:14 2000 5:12 2000 4:20 29:11,24 Withdrawal 9:17 17:9 21:10 30:23 30:11 11:20 2020 5:13 201 9:1:14 6:1 18:9 11:20 30:11 30:11 Volume 1:10 vs 1:6 2:3,10,16,23 11:20 WAC 6:21 9:14 wonder 25:11 2020 22:10 28:11 work 9:25 15:4,15 21:18 22:2 23:14 287-9066 1:23 98101 1:22 98191 4:15 23:21 287-9066 1:23 5:6		,			
UT-190262 1:4 6:9 UT-190263 2:1 6:9 UT-190264 2:7 6:9 UT-190265 2:14 UT-190265 2:14 UT-190265 2:14 UT-190265 2:14 UT-190265 2:14 UT-190265 6:9 UT-1920265 6	-			5th 4:20	
West 5:12 1325 1:22 664-1160 4:5 UT-190263 2:1 6:9 16:25 17:5 27:20 13th 29:8 UT-190265 2:14 wisdom 25:21 1600 4:15 UT-1920265 6:9 withdraw 6:16,21 9:14,15,16,24 7 11:1,12 12:3,21 2:18:14 2:20 23:6 2:31 31:16 2:1,7,14,20 4:3 11:1,12 12:3,21 2:13 13:16 8 16:18,19,21 31:14 200 5:12 2000 4:20 vendor 15:9 16:5 11:1 31:14 202 5:13 2019 1:14 6:1 18:9 31:1 31:1 202 5:13 2019 1:14 6:1 18:9 24:1 29:5,7,8,11 withdrawn 27:17 2020 22:10 26 1:23 4:16,21 911 13:6 16:18,18 16:19,20 98101 1:22 98104 4:21 98104 4:21 Warc 6:21 9:14 work 9:25 15:4,15 25:17 925:16 29:7 25:16 29:7 98504 1:17 4:5,10 18:13 23:21 287-9066 1:23 5:6 5:6				6	
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{UT-190264} 2:7 6:9 \\ \textbf{UT-190265} 2:14 \\ \textbf{UT-190265} 2:14 \\ \textbf{UT-190265} 2:14 \\ \textbf{UT-190265} 2:14 \\ \textbf{wisdom 25:21} \\ \textbf{wisdom 25:21} \\ \textbf{wish 31:8} \\ \textbf{Withdraw 6:16,21} \\ 9:14,15,16,24 \\ 11:1,12 12:3,21 \\ 2:17,14,20 4:3 \\ 6:12 \\ \hline \textbf{V} \\ \textbf{vendor 15:9} 16:5 \\ 16:18,19,21 \\ \textbf{versus 24:16} 27:25 \\ \textbf{view 12:11} \\ \textbf{VOLUME 1:10} \\ \textbf{vs 1:6} 2:3,10,16,23 \\ \hline \textbf{W} \\ \textbf{WAC 6:21} 9:14 \\ 18:13 \\ \textbf{wait 14:5} \\ \textbf{wait 14:5} \\ \textbf{wait 14:5} \\ \textbf{wait 9:24} 10:18 \\ \hline \textbf{Wat 9:24} $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		0			
UT-190266 2:20 $6:10$ wish $31:8$ 1800 4:137Wish $31:8$ wish $31:8$ 1840 1:22Wish $31:8$ wish $31:8$ 794-4102 5:13Utilities 1:2,4,16 $2:1,7,14,20$ 4:3 $6:12$ 9:14,15,16,24 $11:1,12$ 12:3,21 $14:15$ 21:16 27:25 $28:3$ 30:20 31:1 $31:14$ 1840 1:22V vendor 15:9 16:5 $16:18,19,21$ 14:15 21:16 27:25 $28:3$ 30:20 31:1 $31:14$ 2:20 23:6 $2:31$ 31:16 2000 4:20 2019 1:14 6:1 18:9 $24:1$ 29:5,7,8,11 $30:11$ 8Workum 27:17 vender 25:11 view 12:11Withdrawn 27:17 $28:11$ 2020 22:10 2020 22:10 $28:11$ 9WAC 6:21 9:14 $18:13$ wait 14:5 want 9:24 10:18wonder 25:11 $21:18$ 22:2 23:14 $23:21$ 287-9066 1:23 $287-9066$ 1:239			1506 4:15	004-110/ 4.11	
6:10 UT-1920265 6:9 Utilities 1:2,4,16 2:1,7,14,20 4:3 6:12 V vendor 15:9 16:5 16:18,19,21 versus 24:16 27:25 view 12:11 VOLUME 1:10 vs 1:6 2:3,10,16,23 W WAC 6:21 9:14 18:13 wait 14:5 wait 14:5 wait 14:5 wait 14:5 wait 9:24 10:18 Horo 1:22 100 1:22 100 1:22 218:14 220 23:6 231 31:16 200 4:20 2019 1:14 6:1 18:9 24:1 29:5,7,8,11 30:11 2020 22:10 2020 22:10 2019 1:14 6:1 18:9 24:1 29:5,7,8,11 30:11 2020 22:10 2020 22:10 21401 5:12 21401 5:12 21401 5:12 21401 5:12 21401 5:12 2118 22:2 23:14 16:19,20 98101 1:22 98104 4:21 98104 4:21				7	
withdraw 6:16,21 2 UT-1920265 6:9 9:14,15,16,24 11:1,12 12:3,21 2 18:14 2:1,7,14,20 4:3 14:15 21:16 27:25 6:12 28:3 30:20 31:1 31:14 200 5:12 withdrawal 9:17 2000 4:20 16:18,19,21 24:1 29:5,7,8,11 wersus 24:16 27:25 31:1 withdrawn 11:3 11:20 WolLUME 1:10 withdrawn 27:17 vs 1:6 2:3,10,16,23 28:11 W wonder 25:11 work 9:25 15:4,15 21401 5:12 wit 14:5 21:18 22:2 23:14 24:1 29:5,7,8,11 16:19,20 90 14:2 90 14:2 91 11:3:6 16:18,18 16:19,20 98104 1:22 98104 1:22 98104 4:21 98104 4:21 98104 4:21 98104 4:21 98504 1:17 4:5,10 5:6			1840 1:22		
Utilities 1:2,4,16 11:1,12 12:3,21 14:15 21:16 27:25 2:20 23:6 29:11,24 V 14:15 21:16 27:25 2:31 31:16 200 5:12 2000 4:20 vendor 15:9 16:5 16:18,19,21 17:9 21:10 30:23 2019 1:14 6:1 18:9 8 846-6989 1:24 versus 24:16 27:25 11:20 2020 22:10 2020 22:10 846-6989 1:24 8th 22:10 29:5 vithdrawing 11:3 11:20 2020 22:10 2020 22:10 90 14:2 90 14:2 vs 1:6 2:3,10,16,23 withdrawn 27:17 2020 22:10 21401 5:12 911 13:6 16:18,18 work 9:25 15:4,15 21:18 22:2 23:14 275 5:12 98104 4:21 98191 4:15 wait 14:5 23:21 287-9066 1:23 98191 4:15 98191 4:15		-			
11:1,12 12:3,21 2 18:14 29:11,24 2:1,7,14,20 4:3 14:15 21:16 27:25 2:20 23:6 6:12 28:3 30:20 31:1 31:14 200 5:12 withdrawal 9:17 2000 4:20 2000 4:20 86-6989 1:24 versus 24:16 27:25 31:1 2019 1:14 6:1 18:9 8th 22:10 29:5 view 12:11 withdrawing 11:3 30:11 9 VOLUME 1:10 vs 1:6 2:3,10,16,23 withdrawn 27:17 2020 22:10 911 13:6 16:18,18 wonder 15:9 16.5 11:20 2020 22:10 911 13:6 16:18,18 16:19,20 withdrawn 27:17 2020 22:10 24th 30:7,11 911 1:22 98101 1:22 wonder 25:11 work 9:25 15:4,15 275 5:12 98104 4:21 98104 4:21 wait 14:5 23:21 287-9066 1:23 98104 4:21 98104 4:21 98104 4:21 98104 4:21 98104 4:21 98104 4:21 98104 4:15 23:21 287-9066 1:23 5:6		9:14,15,16,24			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		11:1,12 12:3,21		,	
V 31:14 200 5:12 800 1:24 4:20 vendor 15:9 16:5 17:9 21:10 30:23 2019 1:14 6:1 18:9 846-6989 1:24 16:18,19,21 17:9 21:10 30:23 24:1 29:5,7,8,11 8th 22:10 29:5 versus 24:16 27:25 withdrawing 11:3 30:11 90 14:2 VOLUME 1:10 withdrawn 27:17 2020 22:10 911 13:6 16:18,18 vs 1:6 2:3,10,16,23 withdrawn 27:17 206 1:23 4:16,21 911 13:6 16:18,18 WAC 6:21 9:14 wonder 25:11 21401 5:12 98101 1:22 wait 14:5 21:18 22:2 23:14 275 5:12 98104 4:21 wait 14:5 21:18 22:2 23:14 275 5:12 98504 1:17 4:5,10		14:15 21:16 27:25		27.11,24	
V31:14200 5:12vendor 15:9 16:5withdrawal 9:1717:9 21:10 30:232019 1:14 6:1 18:916:18,19,2131:117:9 21:10 30:2321:1 4 6:1 18:9versus 24:16 27:2531:1withdrawing 11:330:11versus 24:16 27:25withdrawing 11:311:20202 5:13VOLUME 1:1011:20202 5:1390 14:2volume 1:1028:11202 0 22:10911 13:6 16:18,18volume 25:1128:11206 1:23 4:16,2116:19,20wonder 25:11wondering 24:1224th 30:7,1198104 4:21wait 14:521:18 22:2 23:14275 5:1298504 1:17 4:5,10yant 9:24 10:1823:21287-9066 1:235:6	6:12	28:3 30:20 31:1		8	
vendor 15:9 16:5 16:18,19,21withdrawal 9:17 17:9 21:10 30:23 31:12000 4:20 2019 1:14 6:1 18:9 24:1 29:5,7,8,11 30:11846-6989 1:24 8th 22:10 29:5versus 24:16 27:25 view 12:11itterawing 11:3 11:2030:11 202 5:1390 14:2 90 14:2VOLUME 1:10 vs 1:6 2:3,10,16,23withdrawn 27:17 28:112020 22:10 21401 5:1290 14:2 911 13:6 16:18,18 16:19,20W WAC 6:21 9:14 18:13wonder 25:11 21:18 22:2 23:1421401 5:12 24th 30:7,11 275 5:1298101 1:22 98104 4:21 98191 4:15wart 9:24 10:1821:18 22:2 23:14 23:21275 5:12 287-9066 1:2398504 1:17 4:5,10 5:6		31:14			
16:18,19,21 17:9 21:10 30:23 2019 1:14 6:1 18:9 8th 22:10 29:5 versus 24:16 27:25 31:1 30:11 30:11 9 vithdrawing 11:3 11:20 202 5:13 90 14:2 9 volume 1:10 vs 1:6 2:3,10,16,23 28:11 206 1:23 4:16,21 911 13:6 16:18,18 withdrawn 27:17 28:11 206 1:23 4:16,21 911 13:6 16:18,18 wonder 25:11 wonder 25:12 21401 5:12 98101 1:22 work 9:25 15:4,15 21:18 22:2 23:14 275 5:12 98101 4:25 want 9:24 10:18 23:21 287-9066 1:23 5:6		withdrawal 9:17			
10.18,19,21 31:1 24:129:5,7,8,11 versus 24:16 27:25 withdrawing 11:3 30:11 view 12:11 11:20 202 5:13 VOLUME 1:10 withdrawn 27:17 2020 22:10 vs 1:6 2:3,10,16,23 withdrawn 27:17 206 1:23 4:16,21 W 28:11 206 1:23 4:16,21 16:19,20 wonder 25:11 wonder 25:12 24th 30:7,11 98101 1:22 work 9:25 15:4,15 21:18 22:2 23:14 275 5:12 98104 4:21 98191 4:15 23:21 287-9066 1:23 5:6		17:9 21:10 30:23			
view 12:11 withdrawing 11:5 30:11 90 14:2 VOLUME 1:10 withdrawn 27:17 202 5:13 90 14:2 vs 1:6 2:3,10,16,23 withdrawn 27:17 206 1:23 4:16,21 911 13:6 16:18,18 W 28:11 206 1:23 4:16,21 98101 1:22 wonder 25:11 work 9:25 15:4,15 25th 7:9 25:16 29:7 98104 4:21 work 9:25 15:4,15 21:18 22:2 23:14 275 5:12 98504 1:17 4:5,10 yant 9:24 10:18 23:21 287-9066 1:23 5:6		31:1			
view 12:11 11:20 202 5:13 90 14:2 VOLUME 1:10 withdrawn 27:17 2020 22:10 911 13:6 16:18,18 vs 1:6 2:3,10,16,23 28:11 206 1:23 4:16,21 911 13:6 16:18,18 W wonder 25:11 21401 5:12 98101 1:22 work 9:25 15:4,15 21:18 22:2 23:14 275 5:12 98191 4:15 wart 9:24 10:18 23:21 287-9066 1:23 5:6		withdrawing 11:3		9	
VOLUME 1:10 withdrawn 27:17 2020 22:10 911 13:6 16:18,18 vs 1:6 2:3,10,16,23 28:11 206 1:23 4:16,21 911 13:6 16:18,18 W wonder 25:11 206 1:23 4:16,21 98101 1:22 WAC 6:21 9:14 work 9:25 15:4,15 21:18 22:2 23:14 25th 7:9 25:16 29:7 98101 4:21 wait 14:5 21:18 22:2 23:14 275 5:12 98504 1:17 4:5,10 5:6		-	202 5:13	90 14:2	
vs 1:6 2:3,10,16,23 28:11 206 1:23 4:16,21 16:19,20 W wonder 25:11 wondering 24:12 24th 30:7,11 98104 4:21 Wat 14:5 21:18 22:2 23:14 25th 7:9 25:16 29:7 98191 4:15 want 9:24 10:18 23:21 23:21 287-9066 1:23 5:6			2020 22:10		
Wwonder 25:1121401 5:1298101 1:22WAC 6:21 9:14 18:13work 9:25 15:4,15 21:18 22:2 23:1424th 30:7,1198104 4:21wait 14:5 want 9:24 10:1821:18 22:2 23:14 23:21275 5:12 287-9066 1:2398101 1:22 98104 4:21	vs 1:6 2:3,10,16,23		206 1:23 4:16,21	,	
WAC 6:21 9:14 18:13wondering 24:12 work 9:25 15:4,1524th 30:7,11 25th 7:9 25:16 29:798104 4:21 98191 4:15wait 14:5 want 9:24 10:1821:18 22:2 23:14 23:21275 5:12 287-9066 1:2398104 4:21 98191 4:15	XX 7		21401 5:12	,	
wat 9:24 work 9:25 15:4,15 25th 7:9 25:16 29:7 98191 98191 4:15 wait 14:5 21:18 22:2 23:14 275 5:12 98504 1:17 4:5,10 want 9:24 10:18 23:21 287-9066 1:23 5:6			24th 30:7,11		
18:15 21:18 22:2 23:14 275 5:12 98504 1:17 4:5,10 wait 14:5 23:21 287-9066 1:23 5:6		e	25th 7:9 25:16 29:7		
wait 14:5 want 9:24 10:18 23:21 287-9066 1:23 5:6		-	275 5:12		
want 9.74 (1).18			287-9066 1:23		
	want 9:24-10:18		28th 18:9		
		.		<u> </u>	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989