

A G E N D A

June 9, 2005 – 9:30 a.m. – 4:30 p.m.
Commission's Main Hearing Room 206

Refreshments, Registration, and Hand-Outs

Welcome

- Introductions
- Review Information Packet
- Workshop Objectives
- Rules of Conduct/Engagement

Overview/Discussion of Comments on Least Cost Planning Rules

Overview/Discussion of Comments on Bidding Rule

Next Steps

Adjourn

DISCUSSION THEMES

Major Policy Comments Associated with the Integrated Resource Planning (IRP) Rules

1. Should considerations of risk be more explicitly required in utility IRPs?
2. Should the definition of “lowest reasonable cost” include future potential externalities such as an emission cost for carbon dioxide?
3. Should the regulations be neutral in terms of types of resources?
4. How wide a net of resource alternatives should utilities assess in their IRPs?
5. Should the public participation process be changed?
6. Should the IRP include transmission and distribution planning?
7. Should Commission acknowledgement of an IRP be considered in determining the prudence of utility acquisitions?

Major Policy Comments Associated with the Competitive Bidding Rules

1. Should utilities be given the choice of whether to conduct a bidding process?
2. Should “Avoided Cost” be determined using an administrative process rather than the current bidding process?
3. Do the draft rules discourage development of “Qualifying Facilities” and cogeneration facilities?
4. Should potential future environmental costs be included in the assessment of new resources?
5. Should the regulations favor particular types of resources?
6. Should utilities be required to issue an RFP when the IRP indicates that the utility does not need additional resources?