

1 Witness - 08/03/2016

2 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE

3 UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

4 In the Matter of the)

5 Petition of)

6 PUGET SOUND ENERGY.) DOCKET No. UG-151663

7 For (i) Approval of a)

8 Special Contract for)

9 Liquefied Natural Gas)

10 Fuel Service with Totem)

11 Ocean Trailer Express,)

12 Inc., and (ii) a)

13 Declaratory Order)

14 Approving the)

15 Methodology for)

16 Allocation Costs Between)

17 Regulated and)

18 Non-regulated Liquefied)

19 Natural Gas Services)

14 HEARING, VOLUME IV

15 PAGES 130 - 149

16 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DENNIS MOSS

17 Taken at 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest

18

19 Olympia, Washington

20

21

22

23

24 DATE TAKEN: AUGUST 3, 2016

25 REPORTED BY: SARAH BINGHAM, CCR 3388

1 HEARING - 08/03/2016

2 A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued)

3 FOR NORTHWEST INDUSTRIAL GAS USERS:

4 TOMMY BROOKS

5 EDWARD FINKLEA

6 Cable Huston

7 1001 SW Fifth Avenue

8 Suite 2000

9 Portland, OR 97204

10 503-224-3092

11 Tbrooks@cablehuston.com

12 Efinklea@nwigu.org

13

14

15

16

17 FOR INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS OF NORTHWEST UTILITIES:

18 TYLER C. PEPPE

19 Davison Van Cleve, P.C.

20 333 SW Taylor Street

21 Suite 400

22 Portland, OR 97204

23 503-241-7242

24 Tcp@dvclaw.com

25

1 HEARING - 08/03/2016

2 A P P E A R A N C E S

3 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:

4 DENNIS MOSS

5 Washington Utilities and

6 Transportation Commission

7 1300 S Evergreen Park Drive SW

8 Olympia, WA 98504

9 360-664-1164

10 Dmoss@utc.wa.gov

11

12 MEDIATOR:

13 DONALD T. TROTTER

14 2309 Nut Tree Court SE

15 Olympia, WA 98501

16 360-789-0506

17 Dontomtrott@gmail.com

18

19 FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND

20 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION:

21 BRETT SHEARER

22 JEFF ROBERSON

23 Assistant Attorney General

24 4700 S Evergreen Park Drive SW

25 Olympia, WA 98504

360-664-1167

Bshearer@utc.wa.gov

FOR PUGET SOUND ENERGY:

JASON KUZMA

Perkins Coie

10885 NE Fourth Street

Suite 700

Bellevue, WA 98004

425-635-1416

jkuzma@perkinscoie.com

1 HEARING - 08/03/2016

2 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; AUGUST 3, 2016

3 1:30 P.M.

4 -o0o-

5 JUDGE MOSS: Afternoon, everybody. My name

6 is Dennis Moss. I'm an administrative law judge at the

7 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. We

8 are convened this afternoon in the matter styled -- in

9 the matter of petition of Puget Sound Energy for

10 approval of a special contract for Liquefied Natural Gas

11 Fuel Service with Totem, T-o-t-e-m, Ocean Trailer

12 Express, Inc. and a declaratory order approving the

13 methodology for allocating costs between regulated and

14 non-regulated liquefied natural gas services. Our

15 docket number is UG-151663.

16 I want to begin today, before taking

17 appearances, by acknowledging Mr. Trotter's presence and

18 thanking him for being present. I -- I ask that he be

19 invited today. He's been working with you all, I know,

20 as a mediator. And we'll perhaps have a report from him

21 on his view of the status of affairs momentarily.

22 Before we get to that, though, we'll go

23 through the business of taking short form appearances

24 and we'll start with the Company. Mr. Kuzma?

25 MR. KUZMA: I'm Jason Kuzma on behalf of

Puget Sound Energy.

Page 134

HEARING - 08/03/2016

1 JUDGE MOSS: All right. And let's just go
 2 to Mr. Kuzma's right there, with some staff.

3 MR. ROBERSON: Jeff Roberson on behalf of
 4 Commission staff.

5 MR. SHEARER: Brett Shearer on behalf of
 6 Commission staff.

7 MR. BROOKS: Tommy Brooks, Cable Huston, for
 8 the Northwest Industrial Gas Users.

9 JUDGE MOSS: Okay, Mr. Brooks.
 10 And in the back row, have any counsel in the
 11 back row? No, I don't think so.

12 So those of you on the Bridge Line?
 13 Mr. Finklea?

14 MR. FINKLEA: Yes, Ed Finklea for the
 15 Northwest Industrial Gas Users.

16 JUDGE MOSS: And let's see. Mr. Pepple?
 17 MR. PEPPLER: Good afternoon. Tyler Pepple
 18 for the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities.

19 JUDGE MOSS: And for anyone who wasn't here
 20 when I said it before, Ms. Gafken, for the public
 21 counsel, is in another proceeding upstairs. We're down
 22 in room 139 today. And Mr. Shearer has agreed to speak
 23 for her, at least initially. And if we need her, she
 24 can be summoned.

25 So is there anyone else on the telephone who

Page 135

HEARING - 08/03/2016

1 wishes to enter an appearance on behalf of a party? I
 2 wasn't sure if we covered all bases or not, but I think
 3 we actually do have everybody.

4 All right. So we are here for our status
 5 conference, once delayed until today because of a
 6 scheduling problem that I had, frankly. I appreciate
 7 you all being willing to accommodate that. And I think
 8 maybe -- I think I should, unless parties tell me to the
 9 contrary, ask Mr. Trotter if he wants to give me a brief
 10 report on the mediation?

11 MR. TROTTER: I'd be glad to, your Honor.
 12 Should I just go ahead?

13 JUDGE MOSS: Sure. Please do.

14 MR. TROTTER: First, thank you for inviting
 15 me. It's a pleasure to be here. As you know, the
 16 parties agreed to a mediation. I assume they did so
 17 because they thought there was a good chance of a
 18 successful outcome. As you know, confidentiality is a
 19 critical part of mediation, so my comments are going to
 20 be very general. I'm not going to refer to any party in
 21 particular because I need to honor that forever, I
 22 guess.

23 JUDGE MOSS: I understand, and I'm not
 24 asking for a substantive report, just a status.

25 MR. TROTTER: Good. So we've had, I think,

Page 136

HEARING - 08/03/2016

1 four or five what I would consider mediation sessions.
 2 And then I have -- during one of those sessions, I had
 3 spoke to parties one-on-one. And then over the last few
 4 days, I've been speaking to parties one-on-one to try to
 5 gauge the status of things.

6 At the beginning, our first two days in a
 7 row, we were able to clear what I thought was a major
 8 roadblock to a successful mediated outcome.

9 And let me digress for a moment. Everyone
 10 knows that a successful mediated outcome still has to
 11 come to the Commission for approval.

12 JUDGE MOSS: Sure.

13 MR. TROTTER: But my focus is that first
 14 step.

15 JUDGE MOSS: Sure.

16 MR. TROTTER: The parties have retained --
 17 agreed to retain consultants, and have agreed on the
 18 consultants to help with some of the analysis of the
 19 numbers. And their report is going to be due August
 20 19th. And I have no reason to believe it won't be but,
 21 you know, things happen. The consultants were agreed to
 22 by all parties. And I have no role in that process.
 23 They will be making an oral presentation to the parties
 24 on the 26th to answer any and all questions -- of
 25 August -- answer any and all questions about what they

Page 137

HEARING - 08/03/2016

1 have analyzed.

2 So I think that will be cathartic in a number of
 3 ways, but none of us can predict what they will come up
 4 with. So there have been, from time to time, as in any
 5 negotiation or mediation, some conduct or behavior that
 6 may not be as productive as it should be. And I usually
 7 in the one-on-one sessions called people out on this,
 8 and I'll continue to do so, so I don't expect to win a
 9 popularity contest at the end of this process. At the
 10 same time, I know everyone here and I respect everyone
 11 here, and I think things are proceeding as well as can
 12 be expected with a couple of caveats. And let me get
 13 right to those.

14 There are -- there's one significant barrier, I
 15 think, at this point that I can mention because it's not
 16 substantive, and that is time. As I know the Company
 17 has some time restrictions if this project is going to
 18 go forward that we don't want this process to time it
 19 out. But that's going to put pressure on the parties
 20 soon to, you know, really focus and work hard to see if
 21 something can be achieved here in a mediated context.
 22 That's -- that's a -- that's going to be an issue for --
 23 for the parties.

24 The other is because of the report due on the
 25 19th, there has been some reluctance on some parties'

Page 138

HEARING - 08/03/2016

1 behalf to -- to work on other issues in the meantime.
 2 Because the consultants aren't going to address every
 3 issue. So -- so some parties are less than
 4 enthusiastic, I guess is a better way of putting it, in
 5 terms of others in terms of trying to work on those
 6 issues in the meantime.
 7 I have worked with people to try to convince
 8 them to -- that they need to really work hard on this.
 9 And we don't know what the 19th is going to show, but
 10 let's see what we can do in the meantime, otherwise,
 11 there's going to be more pressure. And I think I've
 12 been successful in that, but because that is ongoing as
 13 we speak, I don't -- and I think we'll have a
 14 conversation about that after this status conference is
 15 over. I don't really know how that's going at this --
 16 at this point. But it has my attention and I'll do what
 17 I can to push that forward.
 18 So it's my belief -- and you'll ultimately be
 19 hearing from, I assume, all the parties.
 20 JUDGE MOSS: Yes.
 21 MR. TROTTER: But it's my belief that people
 22 are still dedicated to this effort. And I've given you
 23 the kind of the caveats or the warning signs that I see.
 24 But we have -- I think it's fair to say we have made
 25 substantial progress, but we still have a long way to

Page 139

HEARING - 08/03/2016

1 go.
 2 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, that was a
 3 very informative status report, Mr. Trotter, and I
 4 appreciate it. And I'm glad it didn't -- didn't end
 5 with, "We've reached an impasse." It sounds like the
 6 parties are still making diligent efforts. And of
 7 course, I've been encouraging that throughout this
 8 process, as you all know. And you-all have all
 9 participated, I'm sure, in good faith.
 10 But I do want to hear from you, and if you
 11 have some suggestions for how we go forward in terms of
 12 time and so on and so forth, and I'll have some
 13 thoughts, considering the things Mr. Trotter had to say,
 14 certainly, at the end. And I'm going to have some
 15 things to say to you individually as we go on.
 16 So Mr. Kuzma, for the Company?
 17 MR. KUZMA: From Puget's perspective, we
 18 concur with pretty much everything Mr. Trotter has said.
 19 The one concern we do have is timing. We need this --
 20 this is -- up until recently, this was one of several
 21 items that needed to be resolved. We've gotten to the
 22 point now where the Shoreline permits have been
 23 adjudicated in Puget's favor. Also, you know, the core
 24 of engineers and their -- their processes are
 25 concluding. So this is the very last element of the

Page 140

HEARING - 08/03/2016

1 process and -- and necessary to go forward.
 2 And we're just frankly at a place where we
 3 need to start construction, particularly in water work.
 4 There's a fish window that we need to be out of the
 5 water by mid-February. So we need to really begin
 6 construction in earnest in October to -- to make sure
 7 that we get the work done by that fish window, otherwise
 8 we'll be in a situation in which we will effectively
 9 lose a considerable amount of time and almost put the
 10 project back another year, and then some of the issues
 11 related to that as far as peaking service need and then
 12 also the -- the potential for liquidated damages on the
 13 TOTE contract.
 14 So that's frankly our biggest issue, is
 15 that, you know, we think that the parties have been
 16 working in good faith. We think that we've made some
 17 progress. The delay on behalf of, you know, getting the
 18 consultant up to speed, you know, contributed to that.
 19 But hopefully that can be pushed through relatively
 20 quickly.
 21 So we're hoping that, you know, everybody
 22 would make a good faith effort to try to, you know, see
 23 if we can reach settlement, frankly, by Labor Day, if
 24 it's possible. And that would give the Commission some
 25 time to consider any settlement and, you know, make its

Page 141

HEARING - 08/03/2016

1 determination in sufficient time to allow construction
 2 to begin.
 3 JUDGE MOSS: Okay. What's the date for
 4 Labor Day?
 5 MR. KUZMA: Oh, I -- September 7th I think
 6 or so. You know, if we could -- we were looking at
 7 September 4th as a date that we would all try to -- in
 8 good faith try to work towards. That would -- you know,
 9 hopefully then we could turn around and file it -- if we
 10 reach agreement, we could then turn around and file
 11 something with Company.
 12 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Very good. Staff?
 13 MR. SHEARER: Staff would largely agree with
 14 Mr. Trotter's assessment. We are optimistic about the
 15 mediation process, and we agree we've made material
 16 progress, especially recently. We're not quite as
 17 optimistic of the dates that Mr. Kuzma just mentioned,
 18 of Labor Day, because that would be only a week from the
 19 time the consultants present their report. Our proposal
 20 would be something along the lines of mid to late
 21 September --
 22 JUDGE MOSS: Okay.
 23 MR. SHEARER: -- to have something settled
 24 or to come back to the Commission.
 25 JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And then can you tell me

Page 142

HEARING - 08/03/2016

1 what Ms. Gafken's view of this world is?

2 MR. SHEARER: The same as mine.

3 JUDGE MOSS: Same as yours?

4 MR. SHEARER: Mid to late September

5 preference, to have a little bit of time to review that

6 consultant's report, because we frankly don't know what

7 it's going to look like, how long it's going to be,

8 those sorts of things.

9 JUDGE MOSS: Okay. All right. Mr. Finklea,

10 anything from you? I'm sorry, Mr. -- I'm sorry. Mr.

11 Brooks is in the room so I'm going to go to him first.

12 MR. FINKLEA: Mr. Brooks can handle it.

13 JUDGE MOSS: Yeah, Mr. Brooks is in the

14 room.

15 MR. BROOKS: And would prefer to defer to me

16 anyway.

17 JUDGE MOSS: I'm sorry. I was looking at my

18 notes and I forgot. I always forget to look at Counsel

19 table.

20 MR. FINKLEA: I enjoy being quiet.

21 MR. BROOKS: I don't have any statements

22 that are different from any of the parties. I think,

23 you know, we appreciate that the other parties were

24 willing to go with this kind of consolidated expert

25 approach that, frankly, allowed us to, you know, stay as

Page 143

HEARING - 08/03/2016

1 engaged as we're -- as we are. It's, I think, the

2 discussion of what the -- that outside expert was going

3 to look at was -- was good in crystallizing the issues

4 as well. And so it was just even having that

5 discussion, I think, helped the overall process.

6 When we were sitting before the Commission,

7 you know, I was asked about the time frame, and I

8 expressed some hesitancy even -- you know, there was

9 going to be a status conference in late July, seemed

10 very aggressive. So, you know, we understand there's

11 still this time constraint. We're not surprised by it.

12 This is a -- you know, a big thing to bite off and chew

13 off and try to digest.

14 But so I think, you know, until we get that

15 report from the consultants and it really crystalizes

16 our understanding of things, we're sort of -- I guess

17 we're just waiting for that to happen.

18 JUDGE MOSS: Mm-hm. Okay. Mr. Pepple?

19 MR. PEPPLER: Yeah, I would concur with the

20 other parties. You know, I've been interested in this

21 in particular mainly to the merger commitments. And I

22 think when we joined the proceeding, you know, a lot of

23 it was sort of based on sort of questions about how

24 commitments would apply, and I think the mediation and

25 Mr. Trotter's role has helped a lot to sort of clarify

Page 144

HEARING - 08/03/2016

1 how that would work.

2 I'm not willing to say that we're, you know,

3 at a place of agreement yet, but I think we're closer

4 than we were at the beginning and I certainly, you know,

5 see the possibility for agreement there.

6 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Anybody else want

7 to -- have anything else? Okay. So it sounds to me

8 that what I need to resolve for you today, since you're

9 not in agreement among yourselves, is the date by which

10 this process needs to be concluded. Right? Is that

11 what I need to do?

12 MR. KUZMA: Yes.

13 MR. SHEARER: Yes.

14 JUDGE MOSS: Well, being the kind of guy

15 that I am, I'm going to suggest that we compromise. The

16 4th, by the way, is a Sunday and, of course, the 5th is

17 a holiday. So knowing that PSE's lawyers will be

18 working on Saturday and Sunday and the holidays, and

19 others of course may wish to work as well, although I

20 know that's more of a feature of private practice than

21 it is public service.

22 But -- so I think -- I think we should set

23 the 9th, which is the end of that week, which

24 coincidentally falls on the date the reply briefs are

25 due and the proceeding that kicked us out of 206 today.

Page 145

HEARING - 08/03/2016

1 So that would -- I think that strikes an appropriate

2 compromise.

3 It does push the parties -- there is another

4 matter that I'll address, and Mr. Trotter mentioned,

5 that there was some -- perhaps disagreement is too

6 strong of a word -- about working on issues that are not

7 related to the consultant's work in the interim. And I

8 think that should be done. So, you know, I don't want

9 to inject myself into this process to the point of

10 stating dates or something like that, but what I would

11 like for you all to do, after we're finished here today,

12 is talk with Mr. Trotter and -- and work out some kind

13 of a little schedule, at least on the back of an

14 envelope, and try to get those other issues out of the

15 way before the consultant. And then I assume the

16 consultant's report is going to be sort of a

17 crystallizing factor in terms of any significant hurdle

18 that may need to be overcome or that cannot be overcome.

19 And so we know that by the 9th, then that

20 should allow time. And, you know, I can do my best, of

21 course, to expedite things within the Commission

22 structure once we have something in writing in terms of

23 if there is a negotiated agreement and, of course,

24 supporting material. Perhaps a consultant's report

25 would be something that would be shared with the

Page 146

HEARING - 08/03/2016

1 Commission --

2 MR. KUZMA: Sure.

3 JUDGE MOSS: -- to crystalize our thinking

4 about things as well. Then we can push that along.

5 I have a number of other things on my plate

6 right now but, you know, we've been working with this

7 for a long time and I think it deserves priority. And I

8 will certainly work within the ALD at the Commission to

9 do what I need to do to make sure that this is taken

10 care of with all due dispatch if we get as to the point

11 of something that's -- can be agreed.

12 Now, if we can't, we can't, and that's

13 unfortunate all around, perhaps. But, you know,

14 Mr. Trotter's working hard. So I know you won't win any

15 popularity contests at the end. I've been in your

16 shoes, and I never did either, but I always got paid and

17 that was the important thing. Okay.

18 So any questions, any thoughts anybody wants

19 to share? Pretty well -- is that all we need to do

20 today?

21 All right. Well, thank you all very much.

22 I appreciate --

23 MR. PEPPLER: Judge Moss, this is Tyler

24 Pepple. I think just -- what I think is a fairly minor

25 procedural issue, which is just that I assume you've

Page 147

HEARING - 08/03/2016

1 filed our late -- filed position to intervene in the

2 docket.

3 JUDGE MOSS: Okay.

4 MR PEPPLER: It -- that hasn't been granted

5 yet. I assume that no party objects to it, but I

6 thought that now would be a good time to address it.

7 JUDGE MOSS: Well, there's no objection and

8 your motion's granted. How about that?

9 MR. PEPPLER: Thanks. Appreciate it.

10 JUDGE MOSS: You need it in writing?

11 MR. PEPPLER: Well, I just wanted to make

12 sure that we had party status was all.

13 JUDGE MOSS: Well, I'll make sure that

14 that's officially recognized here in the Commission.

15 And, yeah, I -- we've just been sort of operating on

16 that basis because, by opening up the old merger

17 business, you know, we had to notice all the parties in

18 that case. So in a sense, your party status there just

19 sort of carries over, really. But I do appreciate that

20 you went through the step of a petition to intervene and

21 your right to ask me about it today. Thank you. All

22 right.

23 MR. PEPPLER: Thanks. I appreciate that.

24 JUDGE MOSS: Yes, yes. Okay.

25 Any other matters, procedural or otherwise?

Page 148

HEARING - 08/03/2016

1 All right. Well, again, thank you all very

2 much, and carry on.

3 MR. KUZMA: Thank you.

4 MR. TROTTER: I think we're off the record.

5 JUDGE MOSS: Yeah, let's be off the record.

6 (Hearing concluded at 1:50 P.M.)

7

8 -o0o-

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 149

Witness - 08/03/2016

C E R T I F I C A T E

1

2

3 STATE OF WASHINGTON

4 COUNTY OF KING

5

6 I, Sarah Bingham, a Certified Court Reporter in

7 and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that

8 the foregoing transcript of the HEARING, on

9 August 3, 2016, is true and accurate to the best of my

10 knowledge, skill and ability.

11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand

12 and seal this 15th day of August, 2016.

13

14

15

16 Sarah Bingham, CCR

17

18

19

20 My Commission expires:

21 NOVEMBER 2016

22

23

24

25