

August 6, 2013

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING AND OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

Steven V. King
Executive Director and Secretary
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W.
P.O. Box 47250
Olympia, WA 98504-7250

RE: UE-130043—PacifiCorp's Motion to File Supplemental Testimony Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony & Exhibit

PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power & Light Company submits for filing a Motion to File Supplemental Testimony along with its Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony and Exhibit in the above-referenced proceeding.

Please direct any informal inquiries regarding this filing to Bryce Dalley, Director, Regulatory Affairs & Revenue Requirement, at (503) 813-6389.

Sincerely,

William R. Griffith

William R. Griffith

Vice President, Regulation

Enclosures

cc: Service List UE-130043

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND	
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	,

Complainant,

Docket No. UE-130043

v.

PACIFICORP d/b/a PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT COMPANY,

Respondent.

PACIFICORP'S MOTION TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY

RELIEF REQUESTED

- Under WAC 480-07-375(1)(d) and WAC 480-07-460(1)(b)(ii), PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power & Light Company (PacifiCorp or Company), moves the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) for an order authorizing PacifiCorp to file supplemental testimony. As allowed by WAC 480-07-460(1)(b)(ii), the Company's proposed supplemental testimony, identified as Exhibit No.___(GND-11T), accompanies this motion.
- The Company's supplemental testimony provides to the Commission and the parties an important historical document related to the purpose of the Direct Current (DC) Intertie contract between PacifiCorp and the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA). The Company found this document, a copy of a Letter of Understanding (LOU) between PacifiCorp and BPA executed on May 28, 1993, in its document archives only one day before the rebuttal testimony was due. At that point, PacifiCorp did not have the ability to include it in the discussion of the DC Intertie contract in Mr. Duvall's rebuttal testimony.

Instead, PacifiCorp prepared supplemental testimony as quickly as practicable and prepared this motion requesting leave to make the filing.

- The supplemental testimony will ensure that the Commission has the best available evidence upon which to decide the issues in this case. Because the supplemental testimony "includes substantive changes other than to simply correct errors of fact asserted by a witness"

 PacifiCorp must "seek leave from the presiding officer by written motion . . . to submit testimony."
- When deciding whether to grant a motion to file supplemental testimony, the Commission observed that its "paramount interest is in having a full record with the best available evidence upon which to base its decisions." Thus, when a party "offers supplemental evidence, as here, the Commission balances its interest in having up-to-date information against the needs of the parties to have adequate opportunities for discovery and the development of their own testimony and exhibits."
- Here, the Company's supplemental testimony explains and provides a copy of the LOU.

 As described in the supplemental testimony, the terms of the LOU relate directly to the Company's ability to terminate the DC Intertie contract and provide important context for the Company's decision to enter into the DC Intertie contract. Both Staff and Boise White Paper, LLC (Boise) propose adjustments to the Company's filed case related to the DC

¹ WAC 480-07-460(1)(b)(ii).

² Wash. Utils. & Transp. Comm'n v. Puget Sound Energy, Inc., Docket UE-072300, Order 08 ¶ 10 (May 5, 2008); see also Wash. Utils. & Transp. Comm'n v. Avista Corp., Docket UE-080416, Order 04 (Aug. 8, 2008) (applying the same balancing test); Wash. Utils. & Transp. Comm'n v. Puget Sound Energy, Inc., Docket UE-111048, Order 07 (Jan. 27, 2012) (granting motion to supplement record to include recently identified customer "given the importance of a full and complete record"); Re Verizon Communications Inc. and Frontier Communications Corp., Docket UT-090842, Order 03 (Aug. 18, 2003) ("The supplemental testimony and exhibits filed with Verizon and Frontier's motion promote the Commission's interest in having a full record on which to base its decision.")

Intertie contract.⁴ Therefore, to have a full and complete record and the best evidence upon which the Commission can analyze the proposed adjustments, the Company's motion should be granted.

Moreover, the Company's filing will not prejudice other parties. The Company's supplemental testimony is being filed just two business days after the Company's rebuttal testimony filing. The supplemental testimony addresses only the DC Intertie contract issue and is just a few pages long. The hearing in this case is set to begin on August 26, 2013, and parties will have a sufficient opportunity to conduct discovery and cross-examination related to this supplemental testimony prior to the hearing.

CONCLUSION

PacifiCorp respectfully requests that the Commission grant PacifiCorp's motion to allow the filing of the Company's supplemental testimony and accompanying exhibit, Exhibit No.___(GND-11T) and Exhibit No.___(GND-12). The supplemental testimony ensures that the record in this case is fully developed and does not compromise other parties' ability to conduct discovery or prepare for hearing.

Respectfully submitted this 6th day of August, 2013.

By: Mathemine McDoi

Katherine McDowell

McDowell Rackner & Gibson PC

419 SW 11th Ave., Suite 400

Portland, OR 97205

Telephone: (503) 595-3924 Facsimile: (503) 595-3928

Email: Katherine@mcd-law.com

Sarah K. Wallace, WSBA #30863

Senior Counsel

PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power & Light Company

⁴ See Exhibit No. (DCG-1CT) at pages 20-22; Exhibit No. (MCD-1CT) at page 8.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served this document upon all parties of record in this proceeding by electronic mail.

Washington Utilities &Transportation Commission

Robert D. Cedarbaum Senior Assistant Attorney General 1400 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W. PO Box 40128 Olympia WA 98504-0128 bcedarba@utc.wa.gov

Boise White Paper, L.LC.

Scott Blickenstaff (e-mail)
Don Schoenbeck (e-mail)
Michael Gorman (e-mail)
scottblickenstaff@boiseinc.com
dws@r-c-s-inc.com
mgorman@consultbai.com

Public Counsel

Lisa Gafken
Simon ffitch
Lea Daeschel (e-mail)
Carol Williams (e-mail)
Annya Ritchie (e-mail)
Office of the Attorney General
800 5th Avenue – Suite 2000
Seattle WA 98104-3188

 $\frac{lisa.gafken@atg.wa.gov;}{carolw@atg.wa.gov}; \frac{lead@atg.wa.gov;}{annya.ritchie@atg.wa.gov};$

simonf@atg.wa.gov

PacifiCorp

Bryce Dalley 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 2000 Portland, OR 97232 Bryce.Dalley@pacificorp.com

PacifiCorp

Bill Griffith 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 2000 Portland, OR 97232 Bill.Griffith@pacificorp.com

Boise White Paper, L.L.C.

Melinda Davison Joshua D. Weber Davison Van Cleve, P.C. 333 S.W. Taylor, Suite 400 Portland, OR 97204

mjd@dvclaw.com; jdw@dvclaw.com

Columbia Rural Electric Association

Irion A. Sanger Davison Van Cleve, P.C. 333 S.W. Taylor, Suite 400 Portland, OR 97204 ias@dvclaw.com

PacifiCorp

Katherine McDowell
McDowell Rackner & Gibbon PC
419 SW 11th Avenue, Suite 400
Portland, OR 97245-2605
Katherine@mcd-law.com

PacifiCorp

Sarah Wallace
Senior Counsel
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1800
Portland, OR 97232
sarah.wallace@pacificorp.com

The Energy Project

Brad Purdy Chuck Eberdt Attorney at Law 2019 N. 17th St. Bosie, ID 83702

bmpurdy@hotmail.com; Chuck eberdt@oppco.org

DATED at Portland, OR this 6th day of August 2013.

Carrie Meyer

Supervisor, Regulatory Operations