Exhibit No.___(GND-11T) Docket UE-130043 Witness: Gregory N. Duvall

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PACIFICORP d/b/a Pacific Power & Light Company

Respondent.

Docket UE-130043

PACIFICORP

SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF GREGORY N. DUVALL

1	Q.	Are you the same Gregory N. Duvall that previously provided testimony on
2		behalf of PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power & Light Company (PacifiCorp or
3		Company) in this case?
4	А.	Yes.
5	Q.	What is the purpose of your supplemental testimony?
6	А.	The Company recently discovered additional evidence regarding the history and
7		purpose of the Direct Current (DC) Intertie contract. In response testimony, Staff and
8		Boise White Paper LLC propose disallowing the DC Intertie contract. My
9		supplemental testimony presents the recently discovered evidence and explains its
10		significance in evaluating the adjustments proposed by Staff and Boise.
11	Q.	Please describe the additional evidence provided with your supplemental
12		testimony related to the DC Intertie.
13	A.	Exhibit No(GND-12) is a Letter of Understanding (LOU) that was executed on
14		May 28, 1993. ¹ This LOU documents a settlement agreement between PacifiCorp
15		and the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA). In anticipation of the expansion of
16		the Alternating Current (AC) Intertie to 4,800 megawatts (MW), the settlement
17		resolved outstanding issues between PacifiCorp and BPA about the right to use the
18		AC and DC Interties and the Midpoint-Medford transmission line.
19		As a result of the LOU, PacifiCorp received 400 MW of bidirectional rights
20		on the AC Intertie, priority rights to an additional 125 MW of southbound
21		transmission, four additional delivery points to the AC Intertie, and 200 MW of
22		northbound rights on the DC Intertie. BPA received rights to up to 400 MW of

Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony of Gregory N. Duvall

¹ Although the LOU is marked "confidential," the Company is not asserting that this document is confidential under the protective order in this docket.

1		eastbound transmission on PacifiCorp's Summer Lake-Midpoint line, rights to certain
2		unused PacifiCorp transmission, and the option to take energy under spring and
3		summer exchanges. The LOU states that the DC Intertie contract term will be equal
4		to the term of the AC Intertie agreement, and that the AC Intertie agreement is
5		extended for the life of the facilities it covers. These rights are functionally
6		equivalent to ownership. As described in my rebuttal testimony, the DC Intertie
7		contract was later executed on May 26, 1994; signing the contract was a result of the
8		LOU with BPA.
9	Q.	Why is this additional background important?
10	A.	It is important because under the LOU, BPA and PacifiCorp agreed that the
11		provisions of the LOU are interdependent and not severable. ² In other words, an
12		analysis of the DC Intertie cannot be conducted without addressing all of the other
13		rights and obligations PacifiCorp agreed to in the LOU.
14	Q.	How has the Company used its DC Intertie rights?
15	A.	The DC Intertie was first used to provide deliveries of 200 MW of power from
16		Southern California Edison at the Nevada Oregon Border (NOB) under Amendment 1
17		to the Winter Power Sales Agreement (WPSA). The WPSA was executed on
18		December 14, 1993, and provided up to 422 MW of power to be delivered to PACW.
19		At the time the WPSA was executed, the Company had sufficient transmission rights
20		to import 222 MW of power into PACW. The DC Intertie agreement allowed the
21		Company to import the remaining 200 MW to its system.

Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony of Gregory N. Duvall

² Exhibit No.___(GND-12) at page 7.

1

O. What present benefits do customers receive from the DC Intertie since the 2 WPSA has terminated?

3 A. The DC Intertie remains a valuable transmission asset to the Company and its 4 customers. The contract provides a means to secure capacity and energy from 5 California sources in order to reliably meet retail loads. The transmission rights take 6 advantage of the load diversity between summer-peaking California and the winter-7 peaking Pacific Northwest and represent an integral piece of the transmission network 8 necessary to maintain reliability in PACW. The DC Intertie contract is the only 9 PacifiCorp contract that provides firm import rights from the NOB market, thereby 10 providing unique market diversity to the Company for the benefit of retail customers. 11 **O**. You stated in your rebuttal testimony that the Company cannot resell the rights 12 to the DC Intertie contract. Is that true? 13 A. Yes. The contract is a formula power tariff transmission (FPT) agreement; BPA's 14 business practices only allow for the resale of transmission rights for point-to-point 15 service but not for FPT service. Renegotiating the DC Intertie contract would likely 16 open up all of the issues that were agreed to by BPA and the Company under the 17 LOU because the premise of the LOU was that the multiple parts of the LOU are 18 interdependent and not severable. 19 You also mentioned in your rebuttal testimony that termination of the DC Q. 20 Intertie contract is tied to the AC Intertie agreement with BPA. Is that true? 21 Yes. Consistent with the LOU, the life of the DC Intertie contract is tied to the AC Α. 22

23 the DC Intertie contract is tied to termination of the Company's AC Intertie

Intertie agreement and the life of the underlying facilities. The ability to terminate

Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony of Gregory N. Duvall

1		agreement. If this were to occur, the Company would no longer have the ability to
2		sell wholesale power over the AC Intertie. This outcome would certainly increase
3		NPC.
4	Q.	Does the LOU support the prudence of the DC Intertie contract when executed
5		and support the conclusion that the contract is currently used and useful in
6		Washington?
7	A.	Yes. The LOU illustrates that the DC Intertie is an integral piece of the Company's
8		transmission network in the west control area necessary to meet load and provide
9		access to wholesale power over the DC Intertie, as well as the AC Intertie.
10	Q.	What is your recommendation regarding the DC Intertie contract?
11	A.	I recommend that the Commission consider the LOU and other evidence provided by
12		the Company demonstrating that the DC Intertie is both prudent and used and useful,
13		and allow the costs of the DC Intertie contract in Washington rates.
14	Q.	Does this conclude your supplemental rebuttal testimony?
15	A.	Yes.