Exh. DL-28T Witness: David Lough

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, Complainant,

Docket TP-220513

v.

PUGET SOUND PILOTS,

Respondent.

REVISED AND CORRECTED TESTIMONY OF DAVID LOUGH ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND PILOTS

APRIL 4, 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS	1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	1
Ш.	CONCLUSION	3

Haglund Kelley, LLP 2177 SW Broadway Portland, OR 97201 Tel: (503) 225-0777 / Fax: (503) 225-1257

I. <u>IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS</u>

1			
2	Q. Please identify yourself for the record.		
3	A: My name is David Lough. I am the compensation expert for the Puget Sound Pilots.		
4			
5	II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY		
6	Q: During your preparation for the hearing in this rate case, did you discover the need		
7	to modify and correct your testimony?		
8	A: During the course of my intensive work preparing for potential cross-examination in this		
9	rate case, I discovered the need to modify or correct my testimony in a number of respects.		
10 11			
12	Q: Please describe the changes and corrections that you have made.		
13	A: The changes or corrections described below fall into five categories.		
14	First, I double-checked the two cost-of-living increases that applied to the tariff for the		
15	Crescent River Port Pilots and discovered that the percentages were slightly off. As of January 1,		
16	2022, the actual five-year average of the CPI for the US South was 2.5% and, as of January 1,		
17 18	2023, the actual five year average of the CPI for the US South was 3.88%. I use these corrected		
19	CPI percentages to modify the projected 2023 net income for this pilot group in the attached		
20	tables.		
21	Second, I concluded that the use of a 2.5% annual adjuster for the actual net income		
22	levels reported for four pilot groups in my table based on an assumed level of increase in traffic		
23	was not linked to actual data and therefore should be removed. This resulted in reductions in the		
24	projected 2023 net income for the New Orleans-Baton Rouge Pilots, Lake Charles Pilots,		
25	Associated Branch Pilots and LA Harbor Pilots from the income levels shown on page 10 of my		
26			

	original testimony and on page 4 of my rebuttal testimony. Instead, I simply used these actual
1	income figures for 2023 without any change.
2	Third, I updated the net income figures for the Columbia River Bar Pilots and Columbia
4	River Pilots based upon the testimony and exhibits provided by CRBP administrative pilot Dan
5	Jordan and by Columbia River Pilot President Jeremy Nielsen on March 3, 2023.
6	Fourth, with respect to the Grays Harbor Pilots, I eliminated the 3% cost-of-living
7	adjustment to the \$350,000 base salary because it became effective only after one year of
8 9	licensure. In addition, I used the three year average (2017-2019) rather than the 2019 amount for
10	the combination of incremental duty pay and gainsharing income for the two pilots working
11	during those three years as Grays Harbor pilots. This is because of the high year-to-year
12	volatility in these components of pay and corrects for 2019 being a high-payout year. The
13	adjusted figure was then placed in the updated tables attached to this testimony as Exh. DL-29.
14	Fifth, I corrected the figures used in my table for the LA Harbor Pilots to utilize 2020
15	rather than 2019 income for pilots with the Port Pilot II position, using the average for those
16	pilots in my updated table.
17	Attached are the updated tables from my original and rebuttal testimony showing the
18	effect of the changes and corrections, which reduces the level of median income among the 13
19	
20	pilot groups in the table that is on page 4 of my rebuttal testimony from \$574,087 to \$568,270, a
21	reduction of \$5,817 (1.0%).
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

	Q:	Did you incorporate these changes or corrections into a set of updated tables from				
1	those	those contained in your original and rebuttal testimony?				
2	A:	Yes. The attached tables incorporate these changes or corrections into a set of updated				
4	tables	s. The net change in the median net income figure for the pilot groups analyzed drops from				
5	\$574,	,087 to \$568,270, a downward change of \$5,817.				
6						
7	Q:	With respect to the changes or corrections you have described, is the information				
8	you r	relied on contained in the exhibits to your prior testimony or publicly available?				
9	A:	Yes. Except for the CPI data available publicly for the US South, all of the above				
11	descr	ibed changes were based upon the information contained in the more than 600 pages of				
12	exhib	its in my original and rebuttal testimony plus the updated pilot group income information in				
13	the te	stimony of captains Jordan and Nielsen.				
14		III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>				
15	Q:	Does this conclude your testimony?				
16	A:	Yes.				
17	A.	TCS.				
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
2324						
25						
26						