Docket No. U-170970 - Vol. VII

In the Matter of: Hydro One Limited and Avista Corporation

October 23, 2018



COURT REPORTING AND LEGAL VIDEO

206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 www.buellrealtime.com email: info@buellrealtime.com



Docket No. U-170970 - Vol. VII - 10/23/2018

Page 339	Page 341
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	1 A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued)
In the Matter of:) Docket No. U-170970	FOR COMMISSION STAFF:
) HYDRO ONE LIMITED AND) AVISTA CORPORATION,))	JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI 4 Office of the Attorney General PO Box 40128 5 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504
EVIDENTIARY HEARING, VOLUME VII Pages 339-508 CHAIRMAN DANNER, COMMISIONERS RENDAHL, BALASBAS AND JUDGE MOSS October 23, 2018 9:15 A.M. Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504 REPORTED BY: TAYLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3358 Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC 1325 Fourth Avenue Suite 1840 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 287-9066 Seattle (360) 534-9066 Olympia (800) 846-6989 National www.buellrealtime.com	6 (360) 664-1186 jcameron@utc.wa.gov 7 ALSO PRESENT: 8 SCOTT MORRIS 9 MARK THIES JOHN REED 10 JAMIE SCARLETT THOMAS WOODS 11 PAUL DOBSON CHRIS LOPEZ 12 CHRIS MCGUIRE 13 4 ***** 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25
Page 340	Page 342
1 APPEARANCES 2 COMMISSIONERS: 3 DAVE DANNER ANN E. RENDAHL 4 JAY BALASBAS Utilities and Transportation 5 Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW 6 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 7 8 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: 9 DENNIS MOSS Utilities and Transportation 10 Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW 0/ympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 12 FOR AVISTA: 13 DAVID MEYER 1 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 FOR AVISTA: 13 DAVID MEYER 14 Avista Corporation PO Box 3727 Spokane, Washington 99220 (509) 495-4316 patty.hanson@avistacorp.com 17 FOR HYDRO ONE: 18 ELIZABETH THOMAS K&L Gates LLP 9 9 925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, Washington 98104	1 EXHIBIT INDEX 2 JNT-1T Joint Testimony of Mayo M. Schmidt (Hydro 3 One), Christopher F. Lopez (Hydro One), Scott L. Morris (Avista), Elizabeth M. 4 Andrews (Avista), Christopher S. Hancock 5 J. Randall Woolridge (Public Counsel), 6 (Energy Project), Wendy M. Gerlitz (NWEC, 7 David Hawkins (WNIDCL), and Glen Frieberg 7 WNIDC.) Lough H. Howell (Sierra Club), 7 David Hawkins (WNIDCL), and Glen Frieberg 8 Stipulation (REVISED 5/7/2018) 9 JNT-2 9 JNT-3 9 JNT-4 9 JNT-4 9 JNT-4 9 JNT-5 9 JNT-6 9 JNT-7 9 JNT-8 9 JNT-4 9 JNT-4 9 JNT-4 9 JNT-4 9 JNT-5 9 Steplation 10 Steplation Response to Bench 10 Request No. 9 - Supplemental, prepared 3227/2018 (REVISED 5/7/20

1 (Pages 339 to 342)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Pa	qe 343		Page	345
_		50 515		rage	515
1 2	EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) PDE-3 Rate Credit - Rate Spread/Rate Design		1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) 2 JDS-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony		
2	(Excel)		3 JDS-2 July 11, 2018 Letter Agreement between		
3	PDE-3 (.pdf)		Hydro One and the Province of Ontario		
4			JDS-3 Hydro One Accountability Act, 2018:		
5	Employees Re Protocol for Direct Assignment		5 Schedule 1 6 MMS-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Mayo M.		
c	of Costs Associated with Hydro One's		Schmidt, Director, President, and Chief		
6 7	Acquisition of Avista Corp. PDE-5 Tariff Sheets: Schedule 73 and Schedule 173		7 Executive Officer of both Hydro One Limited and Hydro One Inc. (34 pages)		
8	SLM-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Scott L.		8		
9	Morris, Chairman of the Board, President, and Chief Executive Officer of Avista		MMS-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum		
	Corporation (27 pages)		9 Vitae) 10 MMS-3 Governance Requirements for "Surviving		
10	SLM-2 Bar Graph Comparing Relative Size (Equity		Corporation"		
11 12	Value) of North American IOUs SLM-3 Avista Corporation d/b/a Avista Utilities		11 MMS-4T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed		
12	Organizational Chart		12 Settlement		
13	SLM-4 Map Showing Avista's Electric and Natural		13 MMS-5 Governance Agreement between Hydro One and the Province of Ontario		
14	SLM-4 Map Showing Avista's Electric and Natural Gas Service Areas		14		
15	SLM-5T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement		TDW-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony		
16			TDW-2 Curriculum Vitae		
17	SLM-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony		16 CSH-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed		
	SLM-7 Map Showing International Ownership of U.S.		17 Settlement (REVISED 5/7/2018)		
18 19	Utilities MTT-IT Prefiled Direct Testimony of Mark T. Thies,		18 CSH-2 Attachment A to Hydro One Response to ICNU Data Request No. 30, Moody's		
	Avista Senior Vice President, Chief		19		
20 21	Financial Officer, and Treasurer (33 pages) MTT-2 Avista Corporation 10-K Fiscal Year Ended		CSH-3 Attachment B to Hydro One Response to 20 ICNU Data Request No. 30, S&P		
21	12/31/2016		21 CSH-4 Hydro One Q4 2017 Analyst Call Slides		
22	MTT-3 Avista Corporation 10-Q Quarter Ended		22 CSH-5 Attachment A to Avista Response to UTC		
23	6/30/2017		Staff Data Request No. 8, Moody's		
24	MTT-4 Agreement and Plan of Merger Dated as of 7/19/2017		CSH-6 Attachment B to Avista Response to UTC		
25	1/10/2011		24 Staff Data Request No. 8, S&P 25 CSH-7 Avista Response to NWEC Data Request No. 18		
	Pa	ae 344		Page	346
		ge 344		Page	346
1	Pa: EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.)	ge 344	1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.)	Page	346
1 2		ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement	Page	346
	EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed	Page	346
2 3	EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.)	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement	Page	346
2	EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 5 JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and	Page	346
2 3 4 5	EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 5	Page	346
2 3 4	EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 5 JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and Related Business Experience 7 JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6	EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M. Dobson Biography CFL-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 5 JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and 6 Related Business Experience 7 JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M. Dobson Biography CFL-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 5 JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and 6 Related Business Experience 7 JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions 8 JRW-4 Side-by-Side Comparison: Initial Commitments Filed with Joint Application and Settlement	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6 7	EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M. Dobson Biography CFL-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance for Hydro One Limited (26 pages) (9/14/17) CFL-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 5 JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and Related Business Experience 7 JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions 8 JRW-4 Side-by-Side Comparison: Initial Commitments	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M. Dobson Biography CFL-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance for Hydro One Limited (26 pages) (9/14/17)	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 5 JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and Related Business Experience 7 JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions 8 JRW-4 Side-by-Side Comparison: Initial Commitments Filed with Joint Application and Settlement Commitments dated March 27, 2018 10 JRW-5T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M. Dobson Biography CFL-1 Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance for Hydro One Limited (26 pages) (9/14/17) CFL-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae)	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1P refiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 5 JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and Related Business Experience 7 JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions 8 JRW-4 Side-by-Side Comparison: Initial Commitments Filed with Joint Application and Settlement Commitments dated March 27, 2018	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M. Dobson Biography CFL-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance for Hydro One Limited (26 pages) (9/14/17) CFL-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) CFL-3 Post Closing Corporate Structure	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 5 JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and Related Business Experience 7 JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions 8 JRW-4 Side-by-Side Comparison: Initial Commitments Filed with Joint Application and Settlement Commitments dated March 27, 2018 10 JRW-5T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 11 JRW-6 Timeline of Major Events in Avista-Hydro 12 One Merger	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M. Dobson Biography CFL-1 Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance for Hydro One Limited (26 pages) (9/14/17) CFL-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae)	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 5 JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and Related Business Experience 7 JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions 8 JRW-4 Side-by-Side Comparison: Initial Commitments Filed with Joint Application and Settlement Commitments dated March 27, 2018 10 JRW-5T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 11 JRW-6 Timeline of Major Events in Avista-Hydro 12 One Merger One's Response to Public Counsel's	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M. Dobson Biography CFL-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance for Hydro One Limited (26 pages) (9/14/17) CFL-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) CFL-3 Post Closing Corporate Structure	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 5 JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and Related Business Experience 7 JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions 8 JRW-4 Side-by-Side Comparison: Initial Commitments Filed with Joint Application and Settlement Commitments dated March 27, 2018 10 JRW-5T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 11 JRW-6 Timeline of Major Events in Avista-Hydro One Merger 13 JRW-7 Hydro One's Response to Public Counsel's Data Request 36	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M. Dobson Biography CFL-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance for Hydro One Limited (26 pages) (9/14/17) CFL-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) CFL-3 Post Closing Corporate Structure CFL-4 Hydro One 2016 Annual Report CFL-5T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 5 JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and Related Business Experience 7 JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions 8 JRW-4 Side-by-Side Comparison: Initial Commitments Filed with Joint Application and Settlement Commitments dated March 27, 2018 10 JRW-5T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 11 JRW-6 Timeline of Major Events in Avista-Hydro One Merger 13 JRW-7 Hydro One's Response to Public Counsel's Data Request 36 14 CJD-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M. Dobson Biography CFL-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance for Hydro One Limited (26 pages) (9/14/17) CFL-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) CFL-3 Post Closing Corporate Structure CFL-4 Hydro One 2016 Annual Report CFL-5T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement CFL-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony 	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1P Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 5 JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and Related Business Experience 7 JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions 8 JRW-4 Side-by-Side Comparison: Initial Commitments Filed with Joint Application and Settlement Commitments dated March 27, 2018 10 JRW-5T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 11 JRW-6 Timeline of Major Events in Avista-Hydro One Merger 13 JRW-7 Hydro One's Response to Public Counsel's Data Request 36 14 CJD-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 15 CJD-2 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M. Dobson Biography CFL-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance for Hydro One Limited (26 pages) (9/14/17) CFL-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) CFL-3 Post Closing Corporate Structure CFL-4 Hydro One 2016 Annual Report CFL-5T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement CFL-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony FGFP-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Ferruccio (Ferio) G.F. Pugliese, Executive Vice 	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 5 JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and Related Business Experience 7 JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions 8 JRW-4 Side-by-Side Comparison: Initial Commitments Filed with Joint Application and Settlement Commitments dated March 27, 2018 10 JRW-5T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 11 JRW-6 Timeline of Major Events in Avista-Hydro One Merger 13 JRW-7 Hydro One's Response to Public Counsel's Data Request 36 14 CJD-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 15 CJD-2 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request 30, Section I of Attachment B	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M. Dobson Biography CFL-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance for Hydro One Limited (26 pages) (9/14/17) CFL-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) CFL-3 Post Closing Corporate Structure CFL-4 Hydro One 2016 Annual Report CFL-5T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement CFL-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony FGFP-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Ferruccio (Ferio) G.F. Pugliese, Executive Vice President, Customer Care and Corporate 	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 5 JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and Related Business Experience 7 JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions 8 JRW-4 Side-by-Side Comparison: Initial Commitments Filed with Joint Application and Settlement Commitments dated March 27, 2018 10 JRW-5T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 11 JRW-6 Timeline of Major Events in Avista-Hydro One Merger 13 JRW-7 Hydro One's Response to Public Counsel's Data Request 36 14 CJD-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 16 CJD-2 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request 30, Section I of Attachment B 17 DHH-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M. Dobson Biography CFL-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance for Hydro One Limited (26 pages) (9/14/17) CFL-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) CFL-3 Post Closing Corporate Structure CFL-4 Hydro One 2016 Annual Report CFL-5T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement CFL-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony FGFP-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Ferruccio (Ferio) G.F. Pugliese, Executive Vice 	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 5 JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and Related Business Experience 7 JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions 8 JRW-4 Side-by-Side Comparison: Initial Commitments Filed with Joint Application and Settlement Commitments dated March 27, 2018 10 JRW-5T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 11 JRW-6 Timeline of Major Events in Avista-Hydro One Merger 13 JRW-7 Hydro One's Response to Public Counsel's Data Request 36 14 CJD-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 16 CJD-2 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request 30, Section I of Attachment B 17 DHH-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M. Dobson Biography CFL-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance for Hydro One Limited (26 pages) (9/14/17) CFL-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) CFL-3 Post Closing Corporate Structure CFL-4 Hydro One 2016 Annual Report CFL-5T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement CFL-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony FGFP-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Ferruccio (Ferio) G.F. Pugliese, Executive Vice President, Customer Care and Corporate Affairs at Hydro One Networks Inc. (9 pages) FGFP-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum 	ge 344	 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement JRW-1P Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and Related Business Experience JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions JRW-4 Side-by-Side Comparison: Initial Commitments Filed with Joint Application and Settlement Commitments dated March 27, 2018 JRW-5T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony JRW-6 Timeline of Major Events in Avista-Hydro One Merger JRW-7 Hydro One's Response to Public Counsel's Data Request 36 CJD-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement CJD-2 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request 30, Section I of Attachment B DHH-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement WrG-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M. Dobson Biography CFL-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance for Hydro One Limited (26 pages) (9/14/17) CFL-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) CFL-3 Post Closing Corporate Structure CFL-4 Hydro One 2016 Annual Report CFL-5T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement CFL-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony FGFP-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Ferruccio (Ferio) G.F. Pugliese, Executive Vice President, Customer Care and Corporate Affairs at Hydro One Networks Inc. (9 pages) FGFP-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) 	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 5 JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and Related Business Experience 7 JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions 8 JRW-4 Side-by-Side Comparison: Initial Commitments Filed with Joint Application and Settlement Commitments dated March 27, 2018 10 JRW-5T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 11 JRW-6 Timeline of Major Events in Avista-Hydro One Merger 13 JRW-7 Hydro One's Response to Public Counsel's Data Request 36 14 CJD-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 16 CJD-2 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request 30, Section I of Attachment B 17 DHH-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 19 WMG-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M. Dobson Biography CFL-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance for Hydro One Limited (26 pages) (9/14/17) CFL-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) CFL-3 Post Closing Corporate Structure CFL-4 Hydro One 2016 Annual Report CFL-5T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement CFL-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony FGFP-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Ferruccio (Ferio) G.F. Pugliese, Executive Vice President, Customer Care and Corporate Affairs at Hydro One Networks Inc. (9 pages) FGFP-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum 	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 5 JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and Related Business Experience 7 JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions 8 JRW-4 Side-by-Side Comparison: Initial Commitments Filed with Joint Application and Settlement Commitments dated March 27, 2018 10 JRW-5T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 11 JRW-6 Timeline of Major Events in Avista-Hydro One Merger 13 JRW-7 Hydro One's Response to Public Counsel's Data Request 36 14 CJD-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 16 CJD-2 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request 30, Section I of Attachment B 17 DHH-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 19 WMG-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 10 WMG-2T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 20 WMG-2T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M Dobson Biography CFL-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance for Hydro One Limited (26 pages) (9/14/17) CFL-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) CFL-3 Post Closing Corporate Structure CFL-4 Hydro One 2016 Annual Report CFL-5T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement CFL-6T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Ferruccio (Ferio) G.F. Pugliese, Executive Vice President, Customer Care and Corporate Affairs at Hydro One Networks Inc. (9 pages) FGFP-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) JJR-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony JJR-2 Resume and Testimony Listing JJR-3 Recent Merger Governance-Related Conditions 	ge 344	 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement JRW-1P Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and Related Business Experience JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions JRW-4 Side-by-Side Comparison: Initial Commitments Filed with Joint Application and Settlement Commitments dated March 27, 2018 JRW-5T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony JRW-6 Timeline of Major Events in Avista-Hydro One Merger JRW-7 Hydro One's Response to Public Counsel's Data Request 36 CJD-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement CJD-2 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request 30, Section I of Attachment B DHH-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement WMG-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M. Dobson Biography CFL-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance for Hydro One Limited (26 pages) (9/14/17) CFL-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) CFL-3 Post Closing Corporate Structure CFL-4 Hydro One 2016 Annual Report CFL-5T Prefiled Testimony of Proposed Settlement CFL-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony FGFP-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony FGFP-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) FGFP-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) JJR-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony JJR-3 Recent Merger Governance-Related Conditions JJR-4 Recent Merger Ring-Fencing Conditions 	ge 344	2 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 3 CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement 4 JRW-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 5 JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and Related Business Experience 7 JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions 8 JRW-4 Side-by-Side Comparison: Initial Commitments Filed with Joint Application and Settlement Commitments dated March 27, 2018 10 JRW-5T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 11 JRW-6 Timeline of Major Events in Avista-Hydro One Merger 13 JRW-7 Hydro One's Response to Public Counsel's Data Request 36 14 CJD-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 16 CJD-2 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request 30, Section I of Attachment B 17 DHH-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 19 WMG-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement 10 WMG-2T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 20 WMG-2T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M Dobson Biography CFL-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance for Hydro One Limited (26 pages) (9/14/17) CFL-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) CFL-3 Post Closing Corporate Structure CFL-4 Hydro One 2016 Annual Report CFL-5T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement CFL-6T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Ferruccio (Ferio) G.F. Pugliese, Executive Vice President, Customer Care and Corporate Affairs at Hydro One Networks Inc. (9 pages) FGFP-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) JJR-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony JJR-2 Resume and Testimony Listing JJR-3 Recent Merger Governance-Related Conditions 	ge 344	 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement JRW-1P Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and Related Business Experience JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions JRW-4 Side-by-Side Comparison: Initial Commitments Filed with Joint Application and Settlement Commitments dated March 27, 2018 JRW-5T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony JRW-6 Timeline of Major Events in Avista-Hydro One Merger JRW-7 Hydro One's Response to Public Counsel's Data Request 36 CJD-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement CJD-2 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request 30, Section I of Attachment B DHH-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement WMG-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement WMG-2T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony (Amended) MMH-2T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony 	Page	346
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.) MTT-5 Master List of 55 Commitments MTT-6T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony MTT-7 Golden Share Services and Indemnity Agreement PMD-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony PMD-2 Paul M. Dobson Biography CFL-1T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance for Hydro One Limited (26 pages) (9/14/17) CFL-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) CFL-3 Post Closing Corporate Structure CFL-4 Hydro One 2016 Annual Report CFL-5T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement CFL-6T Prefiled Direct Testimony of Ferruccio (Ferio) G.F. Pugliese, Executive Vice President, Customer Care and Corporate Affairs at Hydro One Networks Inc. (9 pages) FGFP-2 Professional Qualifications (Curriculum Vitae) JJR-1T Prefiled Supplemental Testimony JJR-2 Resume and Testimony Listing JJR-3 Recent Merger Governance-Related Conditions JJR-4 Recent Merger Financial Integrity-Related 	ge 344	 CRM-1T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony CRM-2 List of Updated Terms of the Settlement JRW-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement JRW-2 Educational Background, Research, and Related Business Experience JRW-3 Utility Merger Standards in Other Jurisdictions JRW-4 Side-by-Side Comparison: Initial Commitments Filed with Joint Application and Settlement Commitments dated March 27, 2018 JRW-5T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony JRW-6 Timeline of Major Events in Avista-Hydro One Merger JRW-7 Hydro One's Response to Public Counsel's Data Request 36 CJD-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement CJD-2 Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request 30, Section I of Attachment B DHH-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement WMG-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement (REVISED 4/26/2018) 	Page	346

2 (Pages 343 to 346)

		Page 347	Page 349
1	EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.)		1 EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.)
2	MMH-3 Hydro One Responses to Awec Data Requests 108 and 110		2
3	SMC-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed		DH-13 State of New York Public Service Commission, 3 CASE 13-M-0449 - In the Matter of a Focused
4 5	Settlement SMC-2T Prefiled Supplemental Response Testimony		Operations Audit of the Internal Staffing 4 Levels and the Use of Contractors for
6	SMC-3 H-1 Response to TEP DR-44 Re Funding of		Selected Core Functions at the Major New
7	Commitments		5 York State Gas and Electric Utilities, Order Approving Implementation Plans
8	SMC-4 H-1 Response to TEP DR-40 Re Province's continuing authority to legislate vis-à-vis		6 (December 15, 2017)
9	H-1 and under GA 4.7; and related matters		7 BR-1 Avista Response to Bench Request No. 01 8 BR-2 Avista Response to Bench Request No. 02
10	SMC-5 H-1 Response to TEP DR-42 Re enforceability of Commitments		9 BR-3.1 Public Comments
11	SMC-6 H-1 Response to TEP DR-43 Re enforceability		BR-3.2 10 BR-3.3
12	of Commitments		BR-3.4
13	SMC-7 H-1 Response to TEP DR-38 Re enforceability of Commitments		BR-4 Hydro One Response to Bench Request
14	SMC-8 H-1 Response to TEP DR-39 Re Hypothetical return of H-1 to full Provincial control		12 No. 03 04 13 BR-5HC Hydro One Highly Confidential, Partial
15	SMC-9 H-1 Response to TEP DR-41 Re immunity from		Response to Bench Request No. 04 05
16	civil liability under H-1 Accountability Act		14 B-6 S&P Global Ratings, Ratings Direct, Hydro
17	GF-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed		15 One Ltd. and Subsidiary Downgraded to 'A-'
18	Settlement		16 On Lower Governance Assessment; Ratings 16 Remain on CreditWatch, September 13, 2018
19	DH-1T Prefiled Testimony in Support of Proposed Settlement		17
20	DH-2 Correspondence from Avista to UTC Executive		18 19
21	Director, dated May 31, 2013, including for filing with UTC the Company's "Two-Year Plan		20 21
22	for Managing Select Pipe Replacement in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System" and		22
23	its "Protocol for Managing Select Aldyl A		23 24
24	Pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System"		25
25			
		Page 348	Page 350
1	EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.)	Page 348	Page 350 1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018
2	DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of	Page 348	
	DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area,	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 000
2	DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 000 4 P R O C E E D I N G S
2 3 4 5	DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 000 4 P R O C E E D I N G S 5
2 3 4 5 6	 DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017 DH-4 Avista 2/16/2018 Response to WNIDCL Data Request 005 DH-5 Information Sheet pertaining to the 	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 000 4 P R O C E E D I N G S 5 6 6 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Let's be on the
2 3 4 5 6 7	 DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017 DH-4 Avista 2/16/2018 Response to WNIDCL Data Request 005 DH-5 Information Sheet pertaining to the Electrical Power Systems Construction Association process administered by Hydro 	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 o0o 4 P R O C E E D I N G S 5 5 6 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Let's be on the 7 record. Good morning, everyone. My name is Dennis
2 3 4 5 6	 DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017 DH-4 Avista 2/16/2018 Response to WNIDCL Data Request 005 DH-5 Information Sheet pertaining to the Electrical Power Systems Construction Association process administered by Hydro One's Workforce Acquisition Department DH-6 Washington Department of Labor & Industries 	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 o0o 4 P R O C E E D I N G S 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017 DH-4 Avista 2/16/2018 Response to WNIDCL Data Request 005 DH-5 Information Sheet pertaining to the Electrical Power Systems Construction Association process administered by Hydro One's Workforce Acquisition Department DH-6 Washington Department of Labor & Industries Workers' Compensation Rates for North Star Enterprises Inc. 	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 o0o 4 P R O C E E D I N G S 5 5 6 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Let's be on the 7 record. Good morning, everyone. My name is Dennis 8 Moss. I'm an administrative law judge with the 9 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017 DH-4 Avista 2/16/2018 Response to WNIDCL Data Request 005 DH-5 Information Sheet pertaining to the Electrical Power Systems Construction Association process administered by Hydro One's Workforce Acquisition Department DH-6 Washington Department of Labor & Industries Workers' Compensation Rates for North Star 	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 o0o 4 P R O C E E D I N G S 5 5 6 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Let's be on the 7 record. Good morning, everyone. My name is Dennis 8 Moss. I'm an administrative law judge with the 9 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017 DH-4 Avista 2/16/2018 Response to WNIDCL Data Request 005 DH-5 Information Sheet pertaining to the Electrical Power Systems Construction Association process administered by Hydro One's Workforce Acquisition Department DH-6 Washington Department of Labor & Industries Workers' Compensation Rates for North Star Enterprises Inc. DH-7 Avista Comments filed on June 8, 2012, in UTC Investigation into the Need to Enhance the Safety of Natural Gas Distribution 	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 o0o 4 P R O C E E D I N G S 5 5 6 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Let's be on the 7 record. Good morning, everyone. My name is Dennis 8 Moss. I'm an administrative law judge with the 9 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. 10 I had previously informed all the parties
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017 DH-4 Avista 2/16/2018 Response to WNIDCL Data Request 005 DH-5 Information Sheet pertaining to the Electrical Power Systems Construction Association process administered by Hydro One's Workforce Acquisition Department DH-6 Washington Department of Labor & Industries Workers' Compensation Rates for North Star Enterprises Inc. DH-7 Avista Comments filed on June 8, 2012, in UTC Investigation into the Need to Enhance the Safety of Natural Gas Distribution Systems, Docket UG-120715 	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 o0o 4 P R O C E E D I N G S 5 5 6 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Let's be on the 7 record. Good morning, everyone. My name is Dennis 8 Moss. I'm an administrative law judge with the 9 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. 10 I had previously informed all the parties 11 that we would start with the preliminaries at 9:15 even
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017 DH-4 Avista 2/16/2018 Response to WNIDCL Data Request 005 DH-5 Information Sheet pertaining to the Electrical Power Systems Construction Association process administered by Hydro One's Workforce Acquisition Department DH-6 Washington Department of Labor & Industries Workers' Compensation Rates for North Star Enterprises Inc. DH-7 Avista Comments filed on June 8, 2012, in UTC Investigation into the Need to Enhance the Safety of Natural Gas Distribution Systems, Docket UG-120715 DH-8 Historical resident Labor Force and Employment, seasonally adjusted Index of 	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 000 4 P R O C E E D I N G S 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017 DH-4 Avista 2/16/2018 Response to WNIDCL Data Request 005 DH-5 Information Sheet pertaining to the Electrical Power Systems Construction Association process administered by Hydro One's Workforce Acquisition Department DH-6 Washington Department of Labor & Industries Workers' Compensation Rates for North Star Enterprises Inc. DH-7 Avista Comments filed on June 8, 2012, in UTC Investigation into the Need to Enhance the Safety of Natural Gas Distribution Systems, Docket UG-120715 DH-8 Historical resident Labor Force and Employment, seasonally adjusted Index of Washington state and labor market areas, 1990-2018 	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 000 4 P R O C E E D I N G S 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017 DH-4 Avista 2/16/2018 Response to WNIDCL Data Request 005 DH-5 Information Sheet pertaining to the Electrical Power Systems Construction Association process administered by Hydro One's Workforce Acquisition Department DH-6 Washington Department of Labor & Industries Workers' Compensation Rates for North Star Enterprises Inc. DH-7 Avista Comments filed on June 8, 2012, in UTC Investigation into the Need to Enhance the Safety of Natural Gas Distribution Systems, Docket UG-120715 DH-8 Historical resident Labor Force and Employment, seasonally adjusted Index of Washington state and labor market areas, 	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 00o 4 P R O C E E D I N G S 5 5 6 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Let's be on the 7 record. Good morning, everyone. My name is Dennis 8 Moss. I'm an administrative law judge with the 9 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. 10 I had previously informed all the parties 11 that we would start with the preliminaries at 9:15 even 12 though the hearing was noticed for 9:30, so that's what 13 I'm doing now. I hope everyone's present so I don't 14 have to repeat anything later. 15 I'm going to announce now, but I will have 16 to repeat again at the beginning of the formal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017 DH-4 Avista 2/16/2018 Response to WNIDCL Data Request 005 DH-5 Information Sheet pertaining to the Electrical Power Systems Construction Association process administered by Hydro One's Workforce Acquisition Department DH-6 Washington Department of Labor & Industries Workers' Compensation Rates for North Star Enterprises Inc. DH-7 Avista Comments filed on June 8, 2012, in UTC Investigation into the Need to Enhance the Safety of Natural Gas Distribution Systems, Docket UG-120715 DH-8 Historical resident Labor Force and Employment, seasonally adjusted Index of Washington state and labor market areas, 1990-2018 DH-9 Hydro One Labour Requirements Clause - Form 1 (REVISED June 15, 2011) 	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 00o 4 P R O C E E D I N G S 5 5 6 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Let's be on the 7 record. Good morning, everyone. My name is Dennis 8 Moss. I'm an administrative law judge with the 9 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. 10 I had previously informed all the parties 11 that we would start with the preliminaries at 9:15 even 12 though the hearing was noticed for 9:30, so that's what 13 I'm doing now. I hope everyone's present so I don't 14 have to repeat anything later. 15 I'm going to announce now, but I will have 16 to repeat again at the beginning of the formal 17 proceedings, today's hearing is being live-streamed by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017 DH-4 Avista 2/16/2018 Response to WNIDCL Data Request 005 DH-5 Information Sheet pertaining to the Electrical Power Systems Construction Association process administered by Hydro One's Workforce Acquisition Department DH-6 Washington Department of Labor & Industries Workers' Compensation Rates for North Star Enterprises Inc. DH-7 Avista Comments filed on June 8, 2012, in UTC Investigation into the Need to Enhance the Safety of Natural Gas Distribution Systems, Docket UG-120715 DH-8 Historical resident Labor Force and Employment, seasonally adjusted Index of Washington state and labor market areas, 1990-2018 DH-9 Hydro One Labour Requirements Clause - Form 1 (REVISED June 15, 2011) DH-10 Hydro One Schedules I, II, and III for Labour Requirements Clause - Form 1 	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 000 4 P R O C E E D I N G S 5 5 6 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Let's be on the 7 record. Good morning, everyone. My name is Dennis 8 Moss. I'm an administrative law judge with the 9 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. 10 I had previously informed all the parties 11 that we would start with the preliminaries at 9:15 even 12 though the hearing was noticed for 9:30, so that's what 13 I'm doing now. I hope everyone's present so I don't 14 have to repeat anything later. 15 I'm going to announce now, but I will have 16 to repeat again at the beginning of the formal 17 proceedings, today's hearing is being live-streamed by 18 TVW. There are two web links to access the broadcast.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017 DH-4 Avista 2/16/2018 Response to WNIDCL Data Request 005 DH-5 Information Sheet pertaining to the Electrical Power Systems Construction Association process administered by Hydro One's Workforce Acquisition Department DH-6 Washington Department of Labor & Industries Workers' Compensation Rates for North Star Enterprises Inc. DH-7 Avista Comments filed on June 8, 2012, in UTC Investigation into the Need to Enhance the Safety of Natural Gas Distribution Systems, Docket UG-120715 DH-8 Historical resident Labor Force and Employment, seasonally adjusted Index of Washington state and labor market areas, 1990-2018 DH-9 Hydro One Labour Requirements Clause - Form 1 (REVISED June 15, 2011) DH-10 Hydro One Schedules I, II, and III for Labour Requirements Clause - Form 1 (REVISED June 15, 2011) 	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 000 4 P R O C E E D I N G S 5 5 6 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Let's be on the 7 record. Good morning, everyone. My name is Dennis 8 Moss. I'm an administrative law judge with the 9 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. 10 I had previously informed all the parties 11 that we would start with the preliminaries at 9:15 even 12 though the hearing was noticed for 9:30, so that's what 13 I'm doing now. I hope everyone's present so I don't 14 have to repeat anything later. 15 I'm going to announce now, but I will have 16 to repeat again at the beginning of the formal 17 proceedings, today's hearing is being live-streamed by 18 TVW. There are two web links to access the broadcast. 19 One link is for the morning session and one is for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017 DH-4 Avista 2/16/2018 Response to WNIDCL Data Request 005 DH-5 Information Sheet pertaining to the Electrical Power Systems Construction Association process administered by Hydro One's Workforce Acquisition Department DH-6 Washington Department of Labor & Industries Workers' Compensation Rates for North Star Enterprises Inc. DH-7 Avista Comments filed on June 8, 2012, in UTC Investigation into the Need to Enhance the Safety of Natural Gas Distribution Systems, Docket UG-120715 DH-8 Historical resident Labor Force and Employment, seasonally adjusted Index of Washington state and labor market areas, 1990-2018 DH-9 Hydro One Labour Requirements Clause - Form 1 (REVISED June 15, 2011) DH-10 Hydro One Schedules I, II, and III for Labour Requirements Clause - Form 1 (REVISED June 15, 2011) DH-11 Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. Standard Terms and Conditions for 	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 000 4 P R O C E E D I N G S 5 5 6 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Let's be on the 7 record. Good morning, everyone. My name is Dennis 8 Moss. I'm an administrative law judge with the 9 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. 10 I had previously informed all the parties 11 that we would start with the preliminaries at 9:15 even 12 though the hearing was noticed for 9:30, so that's what 13 I'm doing now. I hope everyone's present so I don't 14 have to repeat anything later. 15 I'm going to announce now, but I will have 16 to repeat again at the beginning of the formal 17 proceedings, today's hearing is being live-streamed by 18 TVW. There are two web links to access the broadcast.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017 DH-4 Avista 2/16/2018 Response to WNIDCL Data Request 005 DH-5 Information Sheet pertaining to the Electrical Power Systems Construction Association process administered by Hydro One's Workforce Acquisition Department DH-6 Washington Department of Labor & Industries Workers' Compensation Rates for North Star Enterprises Inc. DH-7 Avista Comments filed on June 8, 2012, in UTC Investigation into the Need to Enhance the Safety of Natural Gas Distribution Systems, Docket UG-120715 DH-8 Historical resident Labor Force and Employment, seasonally adjusted Index of Washington state and Iabor market areas, 1990-2018 DH-9 Hydro One Labour Requirements Clause - Form 1 (REVISED June 15, 2011) DH-10 Hydro One Schedules I, II, and III for Labour Requirements Clause - Form 1 (REVISED June 15, 2011) DH-11 Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. Standard Terms and Conditions for Construction Contracts, October 15, 2014 	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 000 4 P R O C E E D I N G S 5 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Let's be on the 7 record. Good morning, everyone. My name is Dennis 8 Moss. I'm an administrative law judge with the 9 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. 10 I had previously informed all the parties 11 that we would start with the preliminaries at 9:15 even 12 though the hearing was noticed for 9:30, so that's what 13 I'm doing now. I hope everyone's present so I don't 14 have to repeat anything later. 15 I'm going to announce now, but I will have 16 to repeat again at the beginning of the formal 17 proceedings, today's hearing is being live-streamed by 18 TVW. There are two web links to access the broadcast. 19 One link is for the morning session and one is for the 20 afternoon session. You can visit the Commission's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017 DH-4 Avista 2/16/2018 Response to WNIDCL Data Request 005 DH-5 Information Sheet pertaining to the Electrical Power Systems Construction Association process administered by Hydro One's Workforce Acquisition Department DH-6 Washington Department of Labor & Industries Workers' Compensation Rates for North Star Enterprises Inc. DH-7 Avista Comments filed on June 8, 2012, in UTC Investigation into the Need to Enhance the Safety of Natural Gas Distribution Systems, Docket UG-120715 DH-8 Historical resident Labor Force and Employment, seasonally adjusted Index of Washington state and labor market areas, 1990-2018 DH-9 Hydro One Labour Requirements Clause - Form 1 (REVISED June 15, 2011) DH-10 Hydro One Schedules I, II, and III for Labour Requirements Clause - Form 1 (REVISED June 15, 2011) DH-11 Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. Standard Terms and Conditions for 	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 000 4 P R O C E E D I N G S 5 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Let's be on the 7 record. Good morning, everyone. My name is Dennis 8 Moss. I'm an administrative law judge with the 9 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. 10 I had previously informed all the parties 11 that we would start with the preliminaries at 9:15 even 12 though the hearing was noticed for 9:30, so that's what 13 I'm doing now. I hope everyone's present so I don't 14 have to repeat anything later. 15 I'm going to announce now, but I will have 16 to repeat again at the beginning of the formal 17 proceedings, today's hearing is being live-streamed by 18 TVW. There are two web links to access the broadcast. 19 One link is for the morning session and one is for the 20 afternoon session. You can visit the Commission's 21 Twitter account for the links and more information at, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017 DH-4 Avista 2/16/2018 Response to WNIDCL Data Request 005 DH-5 Information Sheet pertaining to the Electrical Power Systems Construction Association process administered by Hydro One's Workforce Acquisition Department DH-6 Washington Department of Labor & Industries Workers' Compensation Rates for North Star Enterprises Inc. DH-7 Avista Comments filed on June 8, 2012, in UTC Investigation into the Need to Enhance the Safety of Natural Gas Distribution Systems, Docket UG-120715 DH-8 Historical resident Labor Force and Employment, seasonally adjusted Index of Washington State and labor market areas, 1990-2018 DH-9 Hydro One Labour Requirements Clause - Form 1 (REVISED June 15, 2011) DH-10 Hydro One Schedules I, II, and III for Labour Requirements Clause - Form 1 (REVISED June 15, 2011) DH-11 Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. Standard Terms and Conditions for Construction Contracts, October 15, 2014 DH-12 Reinventing Construction: A Route to Higher 	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 000 4 P R O C E E D I N G S 5 5 6 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Let's be on the 7 record. Good morning, everyone. My name is Dennis 8 Moss. I'm an administrative law judge with the 9 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. 10 I had previously informed all the parties 11 that we would start with the preliminaries at 9:15 even 12 though the hearing was noticed for 9:30, so that's what 13 I'm doing now. I hope everyone's present so I don't 14 have to repeat anything later. 15 I'm going to announce now, but I will have 16 to repeat again at the beginning of the formal 17 proceedings, today's hearing is being live-streamed by 18 TVW. There are two web links to access the broadcast. 19 One link is for the morning session and one is for the 20 afternoon session. You can visit the Commission's 21 Twitter account for the links and more information at, I 22 think it is, at symbol, WAUTC. That's <
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 DH-3 Two-Year Plan for Managing Replacement of Select pipe in Avista Utilities' Natural Gas System in its Washington Service Area, June 1, 2017 DH-4 Avista 2/16/2018 Response to WNIDCL Data Request 005 DH-5 Information Sheet pertaining to the Electrical Power Systems Construction Association process administered by Hydro One's Workforce Acquisition Department DH-6 Washington Department of Labor & Industries Workers' Compensation Rates for North Star Enterprises Inc. DH-7 Avista Comments filed on June 8, 2012, in UTC Investigation into the Need to Enhance the Safety of Natural Gas Distribution Systems, Docket UG-120715 DH-8 Historical resident Labor Force and Employment, seasonally adjusted Index of Washington state and labor market areas, 1990-2018 DH-9 Hydro One Labour Requirements Clause - Form 1 (REVISED June 15, 2011) DH-10 Hydro One Schedules I, II, and III for Labour Requirements Clause - Form 1 (REVISED June 15, 2011) DH-11 Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. Standard Terms and Conditions for Construction Contracts, October 15, 2014 DH-12 Reinventing Construction: A Route to Higher Productivity, Executive Summary, 2/2017, 	Page 348	1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 23, 2018 2 9:15 A.M. 3 000 4 P R O C E E D I N G S 5 5 6 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Let's be on the 7 record. Good morning, everyone. My name is Dennis 8 Moss. I'm an administrative law judge with the 9 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. 10 I had previously informed all the parties 11 that we would start with the preliminaries at 9:15 even 12 though the hearing was noticed for 9:30, so that's what 13 I'm doing now. I hope everyone's present so I don't 14 have to repeat anything later. 15 I'm going to announce now, but I will have 16 to repeat again at the beginning of the formal 17 proceedings, today's hearing is being live-streamed by 18 TVW. There are two web links to access the broadcast. 19 One link is for the morning session and one is for the 20 afternoon session. You can visit the Commission's 21 Twitter account for the links and more information at, I 22 think it is, at symbol, WAUTC. That's <

3 (Pages 347 to 350)

Page 351 Page 351 Page 351 Page 351 Page 351 Page 351 1 9:30. 1 no first-hand knowledge of of which you have 2 1 first-hand knowledge. That was a misplaced p	
	age 353
2 Now, let me just make a preliminary 2 first-hand knowledge. That was a misplaced p	
	reposition
3 statement concerning process and then we'll get into 3 there.	
4 things in earnest at 9:30. We are convened this 4 So with that, that's all I have preliminar	
5 morning, October 23rd, 2018, In the Matter of Hydro One 5 Do any of the parties have any process question	ons before
6 Limited and Avista Corporation, Docket U-170970. 6 we begin? Mr. Meyer, anybody else?	
7 Our purpose today is to conduct supplemental 7 MR. MEYER: Well, we did share with	
8 proceedings as previously noticed to receive evidence 8 course, the exhibit list and the late additions ar	
9 concerning certain events that occurred after our 9 revisions to that. You'll make note of that on the second	e
10 settlement hearing on May 22nd, 2018. These events bear 10 record?	
11 on our evaluation of Hydro One's proposed acquisition of 11 JUDGE MOSS: We'll update the exhit	it list
12Avista Corporation under the terms of their agreement12after the proceeding, of course, to indicate the	
13and the settlement stipulation among all the parties to13admission of everything, but also to indicate the	
14 this proceeding. We have received prefiled testimony 14 revisions of various exhibits such as Joint 3 wh	ere we
and exhibits in this phase of the proceeding, and they 15 have a revised it's Appendix 5, isn't it?	
16 will become part of the formal record I am hoping by 16 MR. MEYER: Yep.	
17 stipulation, and the questions today will be 17 JUDGE MOSS: So we have some rev	
18 transcribed. So this will all be part of the record. 18 exhibits that are more recent than ones indicated in the second in the second indicated in the second	ed on the
19 We also received additional public comments 19 exhibit list I distributed the other day.	
20 and will continue to do so through end of the business 20 The questions today I still have a could be could be a could be a could be a could be could be a cou	•
21 day today. These comments will also be made part of the 21 minutes the questions today, some of these	
22 official record. I want to emphasize, we will not take 22 will perhaps refer to something we've seen in t	
 any additional oral comments from members of the public today. All of our time today is reserved for questions press material in particular. I'm reluctant to ma 	
24today. All of our time today is reserved for questions24press material in particular, I'm reluctant to ma25from the Bench to the parties' witnesses, principally25these exhibits unless it's absolutely necessary	
25 from the bench to the parties withesses, principally 25 these exhibits unless it's absolutely necessary	Jecause
Page 352 F	age 354
1 from the Commissioners. I may, however, also ask 1 they're not being referred to or offered for the true	th
2 questions that I consider necessary to ensure a clear 2 of what they assert, but rather to say here's som	
3 and complete record. 3 we saw, here's something we read. Can you ve	-
4 Counsel for the parties will be given 4 not verify it, don't know anything about it. What	
5 opportunities to ask clarifying questions of their 5 the case may be. That's the purpose of those	
6 witnesses, if necessary, in light of any questions from 6 references.	
7 the Bench as is our customary process. These questions, 7 Now, to be honest about it, we've had to	
8 however, I expect to be carefully considered and brief. 8 learn a lot by reading the press because this is a	
9 In the interest of time, I ask the witnesses 9 kind of took us all by surprise, and we've been d	bing
10 to listen carefully to each question asked, answer only 10 our best to educate ourselves to the appropriate	
11 the question asked, and answer crisply and succinctly 11 circumstances.	
12 giving a yes or no answer when it's possible to do so. 12 To the extent we do refer to some	
13 There can be qualifying comments to a yes or no answer, 13 documentary material today and decide I decide	e it
14 but please, if you can, answer yes or no first. It 14 should be made part of the record, we'll do that a	ind
15moves things along.15I'll we can notate your exhibit list accordingly.	ave
15moves things along.15I'll we can notate your exhibit list accordingly.16Again, your attorney will be able to follow16There is one, and I don't disappointed I don't here	
15moves things along.15I'll we can notate your exhibit list accordingly.16Again, your attorney will be able to follow16There is one, and I don't disappointed I don't for the sone, and I don't disappointed I don't for the sone thing I'm17up if necessary to promote a full understanding of any17my notes here apparently. There is one thing I'm	
15moves things along.15I'll we can notate your exhibit list accordingly.16Again, your attorney will be able to follow16There is one, and I don't disappointed I don't f17up if necessary to promote a full understanding of any17my notes here apparently. There is one thing I'n18answer given. If you don't understand a question,18to make an exhibit, and I'll go ahead and mark it	as
15moves things along.15I'll we can notate your exhibit list accordingly.16Again, your attorney will be able to follow16There is one, and I don't disappointed I don't f17up if necessary to promote a full understanding of any17my notes here apparently.There is one thing I'n18answer given. If you don't understand a question,18to make an exhibit, and I'll go ahead and mark it19please indicate that's the case and the inquiring19Bench Exhibit 6 I think it will be. Yes, and and	as
15moves things along.15I'll we can notate your exhibit list accordingly.16Again, your attorney will be able to follow16There is one, and I don't disappointed I don't fr17up if necessary to promote a full understanding of any16There is one, and I don't disappointed I don't fr18answer given. If you don't understand a question,18to make an exhibit, and I'll go ahead and mark it19please indicate that's the case and the inquiring19Bench Exhibit 6 I think it will be. Yes, and and20presiding officer will undertake to clarify the question20me back up half a step.	as let
15moves things along.15I'll we can notate your exhibit list accordingly.16Again, your attorney will be able to follow16There is one, and I don't disappointed I don't fr17up if necessary to promote a full understanding of any16There is one, and I don't disappointed I don't fr18answer given. If you don't understand a question,18to make an exhibit, and I'll go ahead and mark it19please indicate that's the case and the inquiring19Bench Exhibit 6 I think it will be. Yes, and and20presiding officer will undertake to clarify the question20me back up half a step.21for you.21When we when I sent out Bench Requ	as let
15moves things along.15I'll we can notate your exhibit list accordingly.16Again, your attorney will be able to follow16There is one, and I don't disappointed I don't f17up if necessary to promote a full understanding of any16There is one, and I don't disappointed I don't f18answer given. If you don't understand a question,18to make an exhibit, and I'll go ahead and mark it19please indicate that's the case and the inquiring19Bench Exhibit 6 I think it will be. Yes, and and20presiding officer will undertake to clarify the question20me back up half a step.21Finally, please keep in mind, all the22and 5 after the events this summer, they were	as let lest 4
15moves things along.15I'll we can notate your exhibit list accordingly.16Again, your attorney will be able to follow16There is one, and I don't disappointed I don't f17up if necessary to promote a full understanding of any answer given. If you don't understand a question, please indicate that's the case and the inquiring presiding officer will undertake to clarify the question for you.16There is one, and I don't disappointed I don't f20presiding officer will undertake to clarify the question for you.18bench Exhibit 6 I think it will be. Yes, and and me back up half a step.21Finally, please keep in mind, all the witnesses please keep in mind that "I don't know" is a23When we when I sent out Bench Requ and 5 after the events this summer, they were misnumbered. They were numbered 3 and 4, th	as let lest 4 ey should
15moves things along.15I'll we can notate your exhibit list accordingly.16Again, your attorney will be able to follow16There is one, and I don't disappointed I don't f17up if necessary to promote a full understanding of any16There is one, and I don't disappointed I don't f18answer given. If you don't understand a question,18to make an exhibit, and I'll go ahead and mark it19please indicate that's the case and the inquiring19Bench Exhibit 6 I think it will be. Yes, and and20presiding officer will undertake to clarify the question20me back up half a step.21Finally, please keep in mind, all the22and 5 after the events this summer, they were	as let lest 4 ey should

4 (Pages 351 to 354)

1 well start with 6 and 6 will be a report from S&P 1 MR. MEYER: Yeah, 11 think we're good. We're 2 Global Ratings RatingsDirect, dated September 13h, 2018. 4 Mr. Meyer, you may remember, is that the one JUDGE MOSS: All right. Go ahead, Chairman 6 Mr. Meyer, you may remember, is that the one JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you, 11 Bit Me The one that was provided? And good morning, Mr. Morris. You'll recall 12 JUDGE MOSS: So - so I have that in my 13 MR. MEYER: Yan Jinght. Thank you, 14 gibre of base are not coming from bench requests, 17 Since some of these are not locing from bench requests, 18 Implus loging to call them B. It will be an ewd day in 19 He commissioner serial gibre data mathicit. 10 Grading and tassimance and the response. 11 MR. MEYER: Wa tasken from 12 Okay. Following our brief recess, we are back at the record. 12 Okay. Following our brief recess, we are back at the record. 12 Okay. Following our brief recess, we are back at the record raw yor liminary statements from aryons since. 13 MR. MEYER: I think they were previously				
2 Global Ratings RatingsDirect, dated September 13th, 2018. 3 2018. JUGE MOSS: All right. Go ahead, Chairman 4 Mr. Meyer, you may remember, is that the one JUGE MOSS: All right. Go ahead, Chairman 7 MR. MEYER: Yeah, I'm going to have to Is that the one that was provide? 8 Is that the one that was provide? And good morning, Mr. Moris. You'll recall 9 JUDGE MOSS: Soso I have that in my Ithe concerns bake were raised by a concerns that were raised by a concerns that were raised by a concerns bake were solut flydro One's independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's independence and how that inturn would protect Avista's independence and how that inturn would protect Avista's independence and how that intur would protect Avista's independence and how that inturn would protect Avista's independence and how that intur would protect Avista's independence and how that intur would protect Avista's independence and how that intur would		Page 355		Page 357
3 2018. JUDGE MOSS: Al right. Go ahead, Chairman 4 Mr. Meyer, you may remember, is that the one 4 5 you all furnished us through the updated comments? You 4 6 did yie us one. I'm not sure it was this one - 8 Is that the one that was provided? - 9 mr confirming that, yes. - 10 JUDGE MOSS: So -so I have that in my - 11 interference. These were concents that were raised by a 12 make that an exhibit. - 13 MR. MEYER; All right. Thank you. - 14 JUDGE MOSS: That's a reliable source that - 15 everyone in the industry relies on, so I'm on to concerned - 16 since some of these are not coming from bench requestry. - 17 Since some of these are not coming from bench requestry. - 18 I'm just going to call them B. It will be B-6. - 19 the commissioner solut were releved at an our purpose today is to learn that was releved an out our releve and that usinnory to one degree of an anthat usinnory to one degree of an anthat usinnory to one degree of an anthat, here earter that unfolded in July appear to a purpose today is to learn to what extent those assumes remain certain testime. 10 for hight A am to 327 Am.) - 20 UDGE MOSS			1	MR. MEYER: Yeah, I think we're good. We're
4 Mr. Mayer, you may remember, is that the one 4 Danner. My apologies. 5 you all furnished us through the updated comments? You 5 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you, 7 MR. MEYER. Yeah, I'm going to have to a furnished us through the updated comments? You Judge. 8 Is that the one that was provided? a dor carrier hearing on May 26th, my colleagues and I 9 JUDGE MOSS: So - so I have that in my interference. These were concerns that recall at or earlier hear exchains 10 Interference. These were concerns that were raised by a number of customer commens. And in testmory. I think interference. These were concerns that were raised by a number of customer commens. And in testmory. I think independence and how that in tum would protect Avista's independence and how that in tum would protect Avista's independence and how that in tum would protect Avista's independence and how that in tum would protect Avista's independence and how that in tum would protect Avista's independence if this propose to take is to the arean and our purpose today is to learn to what extent hose assurances remain cradible. The every and the relays the following exchange between a dor any protex that undided in July appear to the relays the following exchange between a dor any protex that undided in July appear to the relays the following exchange between a dor any protex that undided in July appear to the relays the following exchange between a dor upprose today is to learn to what extent hose assurances and you the sing mesching in this incroseand a suprotare to the relays astreaments from anyone sing.	2		2	•
5 you all furnished us through the updated comments? You 5 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you, 6 did give us one. I'm not sure it was this one. 7 7 MR, MEYER: Yeah, I'm going to have to 8 8 Is that the one that was provided? 7 9 I'm confirming that, yes. 10 10 JUDGE MOSS: So - so I have that in my 10 11 I'm book of background materials here, and we will 10 12 MR. MEYER: All right. Thank you. 10 13 MR. MEYER: All right. Thank you. 11 14 JUDGE MOSS: That's a reliable source that 12 15 everyone in the industry relies on, so I'm not concerned 13 16 about I' their dimark and a werk and we will 16 17 The use of these are not coming from bench request, 17 18 I'm just oging to call them B. It will be an ew day in 18 19 the commission's exhibil ist numbering system. 18 11 the commission's exhibil ist numbering system. 18 12 Okay. Following our brief recess., we are back at the 20 23 9:2/	3		1	u
6 did give us one. I'm not sure it was this one. 6 Judge. 7 MR. MEYER: Yeah, I'm going in have to 7 8 Is that the one that was provided? 3 9 I'm confirming that, yes. 4 10 JUDGE MOSS: So so I have that in my 10 11 Ittle book of background materials here, and we will 10 11 make that an exhibit. 11 12 JUDGE MOSS: That's a reliable source that 12 13 but its being made an exhibit. So that will be B-6. 13 14 jewn a wide range of assurances about thy thro One's 14 15 since some of these are not coming from bench requests, 17 16 I'm just going to call them B. It will be a new day in 16 17 the record now. 12 18 I'm levents that unfolded in July apper to 16 20 off the record now. 12 21 ('A break was taken from 12 22 ('A break was taken from 12 23 92.4 a.m. to 92.7 a.m.) 12 24 JUDGE MOSS: Let's be back on the record. 14 24 you will an any other requied framatically with 24 bench with Commissioner Spioning me here, Chairman <			1	
7 MR. MEYER: Yeah, I'm going to have to			1	
8 Is that the one that was provided? 8 at cor earlier hearing on May 26th, my colleagues and I 9 JUDGE MOSS: So – so I have that in my 10 interferance. These were concerns that were raised by a 11 Interferance. These were concerns that were raised by a number of customer comments. And in testmony, I think 13 MR. MFYER: All right. Thank you. 13 to the concerns being raised at the hearing, we were 14 JUDGE MOSS: That's a reliable source that 14 to the concerns being raised at the hearing, we were 15 everyone in the industry relies on, so I'm not concerned 15 independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's 16 I'm just going to call them B. It will be a new day in 19 The events that unfolded in July appear to 16 I'm tyst going to call them B. It will be a new day in 19 have undermined that testimory to one degree or another, and our purpose today is to learn to what extent those 21 off the record now. 21 going to auxit. No 927 a.m.) 22 22 JUDGE MOSS: Let's be back on the record. 24 JUDGE MOSS: Let's be back on the record. 25 23 bench with Commissioner And and Commissioner Balasbas. are protousiness and pro market, but you have now proven			1	
9 Im confirming that, yes. 9 expressed concerns about potential for policial interference. These were concerns that were raised by a number of customer concerns. And in testimory, I think make that an exhibit. 10 Itile book of background materials here, and we will make that an exhibit. 10 number of customer concerns that were raised by a number of customer seconcerns that were raised by a number of customer schem schem, that were raised by a number of customer schem schem, that were raised by a number of customer schem schem, that were raised by a number of customer schem schem, that were raised by a number of customer schem schem, that were raised by a number of customer schem schem, that you out present concerns that mere raised by a number of customer schem schem, that you out present concerns being raised at the hearing, we were a distribution out of present concerns being raised at the hearing, we were a distribution out of present concerns being raised an exhibit. So that will be De. 16 If mi just doing to call them B. It will be a new day in the cormission's exhibit list numbering system. 10 20 All right. Okay. All that said, I will go 20 3 9:24 a.m. to 9:27 a.m.) 21 21 Okreak was taken from 22 22 We are going to launch right in this morning. See no 1 are pro business and pro market, but you have now proven 23 9:24 a.m. to 9:27 a.m.) 23 are pro business and pro market, but you have now proven 24 J			1	
10 JUDGE MOSS: So - so I have that in my 10 interference. These were concerns that were raised by a number of customer comments. And in testimony, I think 11 intexe that an exhibit. 11 number of customer comments. And in testimony, I think 13 MR. MEYER: All right. Thank you. 13 to the concerns being readed at the hearing, we were 14 JUDGE MOSS: That's a reliable source that 14 to the concerns being readed at the hearing, we were 15 everyone in the industry relies on, so I'm not concerned 15 independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's 16 I'm just going to call them B, It will be a new day in 18 independence if this proposed transaction is approved. 17 The events that unfolded in July appear to 18 nad our purpose today is to learn to what extent those 20 All right. Okay. All that said, I will go 20 assurances remain credite. For example, we received a 21 off the record now. 21 which he relays the following exchange between a 22 (A break was taken from 22 which he relays the following exchange between a 23 9:24 a.m. to 9:27 a.m.) 24 JUDGE MOSS: Lot's be back on the record. 24 JUDGE MOSS: Lot's be back on			1	
11 Intribut book of background materials here, and we will 11 number of customer comments. And in testimory, I think 12 make that an exhibit. 11 number of customer comments. And in testimory, I think 13 MR, MEYER: All right. Thank you. 12 both in anticipation of those comments and in response 14 JUDGE MOSS: That's a reliable source that 14 given a wide range of assurances about Hydro One's 14 independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's a personed. 16 independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's and our purpose today is to learn to what extent those 14 All right. Chay, All that said, I will be a new day in 18 have undermined that testimony to one degree or another, in which he relays the following exchange between a 21 off the record now. 21 public comments from any enceived a 23 9:24 a.m. to 9:27 a.m.) 22 You said many times, this is for the premier, that you 24 JUDGE MOSS: Let's be back on the record. 24 The question is from the reporter, (as read) 25 Okay. Following our brief recess, we are back at the 25 You said many times, this is for the premier, that you 26 Deanner, Commissioner Braining me here, Chairman 11 are pro business and p			1	
12 make that an exhibit. 12 both in anticipation of those comments and in response. 13 MR. MEYER: All right. Thank you. 13 both in anticipation of those comments and in response. 14 JUDGE MOSS: That's a reliable source that 13 independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's independence if this proposed and the hearing, we were 15 everyone in the industry relies on, so I'm not concerned 15 independence if this proposed and the sapproved. 16 Tim just going to call them B. It will be a new day in the commission's exhibit list numbering system. 16 16 the commission's exhibit list numbering system. 17 have undermined that testimory to one degree or another, and our purpose today is to learn to what actent those assurances remain credble. For example, we received a public comment from a gentleman, Mr. Mark Ellinger, in 21 off the record now. 23 reporter and Ontario's premier, Doug Ford. 24 JUDGE MOSS: Let's be back on the record. 24 The question is from the reporter, (as read) 25 Okay. Following our brief recess, we are back at the 25 You said many times, this is for the premier, that you 26 reporter and Ontario's premier, Doug Ford. 3 a private company. Sust the message you are sending to nany reliming systatements from anyone since </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>				-
13 MR. MEYER: All right. Thank you. 13 to the concerns being raised at the hearing, we were 14 JUDGE MOSS: That's a reliable source that 14 given a wide range of assurances about Hydro One's 15 everyopic in the industry relies on, so Tm not concerned 14 independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's 16 since some of these are not coming from bench requests, 17 The events that unified in July appear to 17 Maright. Chay, All that said, I will go 18 have undermined that testimony to one degree or another, 18 Mr right. Chay, All that said, I will go 17 The events that unified in July appear to 18 Mark Mc Kay, All that said, I will go 17 The events that unified in July appear to 19 add arm to Purpose today is to learn to what extent those assurances remain credible. For example, we received a 21 (A break was taken from 12 public comment from agentileman, Mr. Mark Ellinger, in 24 JUDGE MOSS: Let's be back on the record. 24 The question is from the reporter, (as read) 25 Okay. Following our brief recess, we are back at the 25 You said many times, this is for the premier, that you 26 the sesence. We haval tof witnesses and wev			1	
14 JUDGE MOSS: That's a reliable source that 14 given a wide range of assurances about Hydro One's 15 everyone in the industry relies on, so Ir han to concerned 15 independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's 16 about the being made an exhibit. So that will be B-6. 15 independence if this proposed transaction is approved. 17 I'm just going to call them B. It will be a new day in 16 haw undermined that testimony to one degree or another, 20 All right. Okay. All that said, I will go 16 haw undermined that testimony to one degree or another, 21 off the record now. 21 off the record now. 22 23 9.24 a.m. to 9.27 a.m.) 23 public comment from a gentleman, Mr. Mark Ellinger, in 24 JUDGE MOSS: Lets be back on the record. 24 You said many times, this is for the premier, cas read) 25 Value and the commissioner spining me here, Chairman 14 a private company. Is that the message you are sending 26 reare ging to launch right in this morning. See no 14 a private company. Is that the message you are sending 3 of the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've 6 remier Ford responsibility is to stand up and speak up			1	
15 everyone in the industry relies on, so fm not concerned 15 independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's avista's would protect Avista's independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's independence and how that in turn would protect Avista's independence and how that in turn would protect Avis			1	
16 about it being made an exhibit. So that will be B-6. 16 independence if this proposed transaction is approved. 17 Since some of these are not coming from bench requests, 17 The events that unfolded in July appear to 18 Im just going to call them B. It will be a new day in 18 have undermined that testimony to one degree or another, 20 All right. Okay. All that said, I will go 20 assurances remain credible. For example, we received a 21 off the record now. 21 public comment from a gentleman, Mr. Mark Ellinger, in 22 (A break was taken from 22 which he relays the following exchange between a 23 9.242 a.m. to 9:27 a.m.) 23 reporter and Ontario's premier, Cas read) 24 JUDGE MOSS: Let's be back on the record. 24 25 Okay. Following our brief recess, we are back at the 25 26 Page 356 Page 356 27 Page 150 are pro business and pro market, but you have now proven med for any preliminary statements from aryone since 3 aptract company. Is that the message you are sending to private business? They will be taking a strong-arm approach for the next four years. 3 of the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've got any queastons.			1	
17 Since some of these are not coming from bench requests, I'm just going to call them B. It will be a new day in 17 The events that unfolded in July appear to have undermined that testimony to one degree or another, have undermined that testimony to one degree or another, and our purpose today is to lear to what extent those assurances remain credible. For example, we received a public comment from a gentleman, Mr. Mark Ellinger, in what extent those assurances remain credible. For example, we received a public comment from a gentleman, Mr. Mark Ellinger, in which he relays the following exchange between a reporter and Ontario's premier, Doug Ford. 24 JUDGE MOSS: Let's be back on the record. 24 25 Okay. Following our brief recess, we are back at the 25 26 Page 356 27 Page 356 28 Solets - Filt um the floor over to got a lourch right in this morning. See n we're far along in this proceeding and time is somewhat of the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've got a lot of questions. 1 38 Solets - Filt um the floor over to chairman Danner. Oh, let me - I'm sorry, I forgot. 7 39 We've got some new witnesses in the hearing room who have never appeared before. So I'm going to ask them to rise nev and please raise their new witnesses. 7 10 Mc MEYER: I think they were previously. JUDGE MOSS: Thene wo diffees raise in the vorter wore somoal dises raise their going to ask them to rise nev and please raise their in right thands. 7 10 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
18 I'm just going to call them B. It will be a new day in 18 have undermined that testimony to one degree or another, and our purpose today is to learn to what extent those 20 All right. Okay. All that said, I will go 19 21 off the record now. 21 22 (A break was taken from 22 3 9:24 a.m. to 9:27 a.m.) 23 24 JUDGE MOSS: Let's be back on the record. 24 25 Okay. Following our brief recess, we are back at the 25 26 Page 356 27 Page 356 28 Page 356 29 Page 358 20 rear going to launch right in this morning. See no 20 of the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've 29 of the sesence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've 20 So let's - 'III turn the floor over to 21 UDGE MOSS: These two witnesses were 22 MR.MEYER: I think they were previously. 23 JUDGE MOSS: These two witnesses were 24 JUDGE MOSS: These two witnesses were 25 Okasi a stime the orise now witnesses stoday. I need to swear the 24 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
19 the Commission's exhibit list numbering system. 19 and our purpose today is to learn to what extent those assurances remain credible. For example, we received a public comment from a genteman, Mr. Mark Ellinger, in which he relays the following exchange between a reporter and Ontario's premier, Doug Ford. 21 (A break was taken from weight of the record now. 21 23 9:24 a.m. to 9:27 a.m.) 23 24 JUDGE MOSS: Let's be back on the record. 24 25 Okay. Following our brief recess, we are back at the 23 25 Daner, Commissioner Rendahl, and Commissioner Balasbas. 3 3 we are going to launch right in this morning. See no 3 4 need for any preliminary statements from anyone since 4 6 of the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've 6 7 got a lot of questions. 7 8 So let's - I'll turn the floor over to 8 9 Chairman Danner. Oh, let me - 'I'm sorry, I forgot. 9 10 We've got some new witnesses today. I need to swear the new im sesses today. I need to swear the aring stom have never provand please raise their right hands. 17 12 MR. MEYER: I think they were previously. 12 13 JUDGE MOSS:		.	1	
20 All right. Okay. All that said, I will go 20 assurances remain credible. For example, we received a public comment from a gentleman, Mr. Mark Ellinger, in which he relays the following exchange between a reporter and Ontario's premier, Doug Ford. 21 JUDGE MOSS: Let's be back on the record. 24 25 Okay. Following our brief recess, we are back at the 23 26 Dench with Commissioners joining me here, Chairman 24 27 Dench with Commissioners joining me here, Chairman 1 28 Dench with Commissioners loining me here, Chairman 1 29 are pro business and pro market, but you have now proven 4 ta regoing to launch right in this morning. See no 3 4 of the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've 6 9 Chairman Danner. On, let me ~ I'm sorry. I forgot. 7 9 Chairman Danner. On, let me ~ I'm sorry. I forgot. 9 10 We've got some new witnesses were appeared before. So I'm new witnesses were appeared before. So I'm new witnesses were appeared before. So I'm sign hands. 9 11 mister's responsibility is to stand up ad speak up for the hearing room who have never appeared before. So I'm sign hands. 9 12 MR. MEYER: I think they were previously. 9			1	
21 off the record now. 21 public comment from a gentleman, Mr. Mark Ellinger, in 22 (A break was taken from 22 3 9:24 a.m. to 9:27 a.m.) 22 24 JUDGE MOSS: Let's be back on the record. 24 25 Okay. Following our brief recess, we are back at the 24 26 Dench with Commissioner Rendahl, and Commissioner Balasbas. 24 27 Danner, Commissioner Rendahl, and Commissioner Balasbas. 24 3 We are going to launch right in this moming. See no are pro business and pro market, but you have now proven 4 a private company. Is that the message you are sending to private business? They will be taking a strong-arm 5 we're far along in this proceeding and time is somewhat 5 6 of the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've 7 9 clast - I'll turn the floor over to 9 10 We've got some new witnesses today. I need to swear the 10 11 new witnesses. 11 so considering that many of these assurances 12 JUDGE MOSS: These two witnesses in 14 14 previously sworn; however, we have other witnesses in 14 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
22 (A break was taken from 22 which he relays the following exchange between a 23 9:24 a.m. to 9:27 a.m.) 23 24 JUDGE MOSS: Let's be back on the record. 24 25 Okay. Following our brie recess, we are back at the 25 26 Dench with Commissioners joining me here, Chairman 26 27 Dench with Commissioner Rendahl, and Commissioner Balasbas. 27 3 We are going to launch right in this morning. See no 3 4 ot of quargetininary statements from anyone since 4 5 we're far along in this proceeding and time is somewhat 5 6 of the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've 7 7 got a lot of questions. 7 8 So let's - I'll turn the floor over to 8 9 Chairman Danner. Oh, let me I'm sorry, I forgot. 9 10 We've got some new witnesses today. I need to swear the 10 11 new witnesses. 11 12 MR. MEYER: I think they were previously. 12 13 JUDGE MOSS: These two witnesses in 14 14 previcusly sworn; however, we h			1	-
23 9:24 a.m. to 9:27 a.m.) 23 reporter and Ontario's premier, Doug Ford. 24 JUDGE MOSS: Lef's be back on the record. 24 The question is from the reporter, (as read) 25 Okay. Following our brief recess, we are back at the 25 You said many times, this is for the premier, that you Page 356 Page 356 Page 358 Page 358 Page 356 Pa			1	
24 JUDGE MOSS: Let's be back on the record. 24 The question is from the reporter, (as read) 25 Okay. Following our brief recess, we are back at the 25 The question is from the reporter, (as read) 26 Page 356 Page 356 1 bench with Commissioners joining me here, Chairman 1 are pro business and pro market, but you have now proven that you're willing to interfere quite dramatically with a private company. Is that the message you are sending to private business? They will be taking a strong-arm aproach for the next four years. 6 of the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've got al to f questions. 7 7 got al tof questions. 7 8 So let's I'll turn the floor over to 8 9 Chairman Danner. Oh, let me - I'm sorry, I forgot. 9 10 We've got some new witnesses today. I need to swear the new witnesses. 10 11 new witnesses. 11 12 MR. MEYER: I think they were previously. 12 13 JUDGE MOSS: These two witnesses in going to ask them to rise now and please raise their right hands. 17 14 previously sworn; however, we have other witnesses in JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated. 17 14 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be s			1	
25 Okay. Following our brief recess, we are back at the 25 You said many times, this is for the premier, that you 26 Page 356 Page 356 1 bench with Commissioners joining me here, Chairman 1 are pro business and pro market, but you have now proven 2 Danner, Commissioner Rendahl, and Commissioner Balasbas. 1 are pro business and pro market, but you have now proven 3 We are going to launch right in this morning. See no 3 a private company. Is that the message you are sending 5 we're far along in this proceeding and time is somewhat 5 a private business? They will be taking a strong-arm 6 of the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've 6 Premier Ford responds, (as read) Let me be 7 yed some new witnesses 7 very clear. Hydro One is not a private company. We are 10 We've got some new witnesses today. I need to swear the 10 for the shareholder and our responsibility and the 11 new witnesses. 11 So considering that many of these assurances given to us earlier were grounded in the idea that Hydro 12 MR. MEYER: I think they were previously. 12 Given to us earlier were gounded in the idea that Hydro 13 JUDGE MOSS: These		,	1	
Page 356 Page 358 1 bench with Commissioners joining me here, Chairman are pro business and pro market, but you have now proven 2 Danner, Commissioner Rendahl, and Commissioner Balasbas. are pro business and pro market, but you have now proven 3 We are going to launch right in this morning. See no a private company. Is that the message you are sending 4 need for any preliminary statements from anyone since a private company. Is that the message you are sending 5 we're far along in this proceeding and time is somewhat for the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've 6 of the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've for the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've 7 got a lot of questions. 7 Premier Ford responds, (as read) Let me be 9 Chairman Danner. Oh, let me - I'm sorry, I forgot. 9 minister's responsibility is to stand up and speak up 10 We've got some new witnesses today. I need to swear the new witnesses. 12 given to us earlier were grounded in the idea that Hydro 13 JUDGE MOSS: These two witnessees were 13 One was a private corporation, no more subject to the 14 political whim than any other regulated private utility in North America, this remark by Premier Ford is			1	
1bench with Commissioners joining me here, Chairman1are pro business and pro market, but you have now proven2Danner, Commissioner Rendahl, and Commissioner Balasbas.1a private company. Is that the message you are sending3We are going to launch right in this morning. See noa private company. Is that the message you are sending4need for any preliminary statements from anyone sinceto private business? They will be taking a strong-arm5we're far along in this proceeding and time is somewhat56of the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've67got a lot of questions.78So let's I'll turn the floor over to89Chairman Danner. Oh, let me I'm sorry, I forgot.910We've got some new witnesses today. I need to swear the1011new witnesses.1112MR. MEYER: I think they were previously.1213JUDGE MOSS: These two witnesses were1314previously sworn; however, we have other witnesses in1416going to ask them to rise now and please raise their1516going to ask them to rise now and please raise their1617right hands.17And I apologize for that. We19JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.1919JUDGE MOSS: Okay. So all the counsel that2020All right. And I apologize for that. We2021don't have any new counsel today, do we? Or do we?2122MR. MEYER: I	20	Okay. Following our brief recess, we are back at the	25	Tou said many times, this is for the premier, that you
1bench with Commissioners joining me here, Chairman1are pro business and pro market, but you have now proven2Danner, Commissioner Rendahl, and Commissioner Balasbas.1are pro business and pro market, but you have now proven3We are going to launch right in this morning. See noa private company. Is that the message you are sending4need for any preliminary statements from anyone sincethat you're willing to interfere quite dramatically with5we're far along in this proceeding and time is somewhata private company. Is that the message you are sending6of the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we'vef7got a lot of questions.78So let's I'll turn the floor over to89Chairman Danner. Oh, let me I'm sorry, I forgot.910We've got some new witnesses toaly. I need to swear the1011new witnesses.1112MR. MEYER: I think they were previously.1213JUDGE MOSS: These two witnesses were1314previously sworn; however, we have other witnesses in1416going to ask them to rise now and please raise their1617right hands.1718(Witnesses sworn.)1819JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.1919JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.1919JUDGE MOSS: Okay. So all the counsel that2120All right. And I apologize for that. We2021don't have any new counsel today, d		Page 356		 Page 358
2Danner, Commissioner Rendahl, and Commissioner Balasbas.2that you're willing to interfere quite dramatically with a private company. Is that the message you are sending to private business? They will be taking a strong-arm approach for the next four years.3We are going to launch right in this morning. See no need for any preliminary statements from anyone since we're far along in this proceeding and time is somewhat of the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've got a lot of questions.4to private business? They will be taking a strong-arm approach for the next four years.6of the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've got a lot of questions.7Premier Ford responds, (as read) Let me be very clear. Hydro One is not a private company. We are the largest shareholder and our responsibility and the minister's responsibility is to stand up and speak up for the shareholders and that's what we have done.1Ne. We've got some new witnesses today. I need to swear the new witnesses.10For the shareholders and that's what we have done.12MR. MEYER: I think they were previously.12given to us earlier were grounded in the idea that Hydro13JUDGE MOSS: These two witnesses were going to ask them to rise now and please raise their right hands.17And so we're going to be asking you and the other witnesses questions today, however, because we hope to learn basically the extent to which we can rely19JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.19hope to learn basically the extent to which we can rely20All right. And I apologize for that. We 2020on the earlier testimony and the proceeding meant to convi	1		1	
3We are going to launch right in this morning. See no3a private company. Is that the message you are sending4need for any preliminary statements from anyone since4to private business? They will be taking a strong-arm5we're far along in this proceeding and time is somewhat5approach for the next four years.6of the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've6Premier Ford responds, (as read) Let me be7got a lot of questions.7very clear. Hydro One is not a private company. We are8So let's I'll turn the floor over to8the largest shareholder and our responsibility and the9Chairman Danner. Oh, let me I'm sorry, I forgot.9minister's responsibility is to stand up and speak up10We've got some new witnesses today. I need to swear the10for the shareholders and that's what we have done.11new witnesses.11So considering that many of these assurances12MR. MEYER: I think they were previously.12given to us earlier were grounded in the idea that Hydro13JUDGE MOSS: These two witnesses in14political whim than any other regulated private utility14previously sworn; however, we have other witnesses in15in North America, this remark by Premier Ford is15the hearing room who have never appeared before. So I'm18other witnesses questions today, however, because we19JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.19hope to learn basically the extent to which we can rely20All right. And I apologize f			1	
4need for any preliminary statements from anyone since4to private business? They will be taking a strong-arm5we're far along in this proceeding and time is somewhat5approach for the next four years.6of the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've6Premier Ford responds, (as read) Let me be7got a lot of questions.7very clear. Hydro One is not a private company. We are8So let's I'll turn the floor over to8the largest shareholder and our responsibility and the9Chairman Danner. Oh, let me I'm sorry, I forgot.9for the shareholders and that's what we have done.10We've got some new witnesses today. I need to swear the10for the shareholders and that's what we have done.11new witnesses.11So considering that many of these assurances12MR. MEYER: I think they were previously.12given to us earlier were grounded in the idea that Hydro13JUDGE MOSS: These two witnesses were13One was a private corporation, no more subject to the14previously sworn; however, we have other witnesses in14political whim than any other regulated private utility15the hearing room who have never appeared before. So I'm15in North America, this remark by Premier Ford is concerning.17right hands.17And so we're going to be asking you and the18(Witnesses worn.)18other witnesses questions today, however, because we19JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.19hope to learn basically the			1	
5we're far along in this proceeding and time is somewhat5approach for the next four years.6of the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've6Premier Ford responds, (as read) Let me be7got a lot of questions.7very clear. Hydro One is not a private company. We are8So let's I'll turn the floor over to8the largest shareholder and our responsibility and the9Chairman Danner. Oh, let me I'm sorry, I forgot.9minister's responsibility is to stand up and speak up10We've got some new witnesses today. I need to swear the10for the shareholders and that's what we have done.11new witnesses.11So considering that many of these assurances12MR. MEYER: I think they were previously.12given to us earlier were grounded in the idea that Hydro13JUDGE MOSS: These two witnesses were13One was a private corporation, no more subject to the14previously sworn; however, we have other witnesses in14political whim than any other regulated private utility15the hearing room who have never appeared before. So I'm15in North America, this remark by Premier Ford is16going to ask them to rise now and please raise their16concerning.17right hands.17And so we're going to be asking you and the18(Witnesses sworn.)19hope to learn basically the extent to which we can rely20All right. And I apologize for that. We20on the earlier testimony and the proceeding meant to21<			1	
6of the essence. We have a lot of witnesses and we've6Premier Ford responds, (as read) Let me be7got a lot of questions.7very clear. Hydro One is not a private company. We are8So let's I'll turn the floor over to8the largest shareholder and our responsibility and the9Chairman Danner. Oh, let me I'm sorry, I forgot.9minister's responsibility is to stand up and speak up10We've got some new witnesses today. I need to swear the10for the shareholders and that's what we have done.11new witnesses.11So considering that many of these assurances12MR. MEYER: I think they were previously.12given to us earlier were grounded in the idea that Hydro13JUDGE MOSS: These two witnesses were13One was a private corporation, no more subject to the14previously sworn; however, we have other witnesses in14political whim than any other regulated private utility15the hearing room who have never appeared before. So I'm15in North America, this remark by Premier Ford is16going to ask them to rise now and please raise their16concerning.17right hands.17And so we're going to be asking you and the18(Witnesses sworn.)18other witnesses questions today, however, because we19JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.19hope to learn basically the extent to which we can rely20All right. And I apologize for that. We20on the earlier testimony and the proceeding meant to21			1	
7got a lot of questions.7very clear. Hydro One is not a private company. We are8So let's I'll turn the floor over to8the largest shareholder and our responsibility and the9Chairman Danner. Oh, let me I'm sorry, I forgot.9minister's responsibility is to stand up and speak up10We've got some new witnesses today. I need to swear the10for the shareholders and that's what we have done.11new witnesses.11So considering that many of these assurances12MR. MEYER: I think they were previously.12given to us earlier were grounded in the idea that Hydro13JUDGE MOSS: These two witnesses were13One was a private corporation, no more subject to the14previously sworn; however, we have other witnesses in14political whim than any other regulated private utility15the hearing room who have never appeared before. So I'm15in North America, this remark by Premier Ford is18(Witnesses sworn.)18other witnesses questions today, however, because we19JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.19hope to learn basically the extent to which we can rely20All right. And I apologize for that. We20on the earlier testimony and the proceeding meant to21don't have any new counsel today, do we? Or do we?21convince us of Hydro One's independence. The possible22MR. MEYER: I don't believe we do.22risks of Hydro One's ownership of Avista must be weighed23JUDGE MOSS: Okay. So all the counsel that23<			1	
8So let's I'll turn the floor over to8the largest shareholder and our responsibility and the9Chairman Danner. Oh, let me I'm sorry, I forgot.9minister's responsibility is to stand up and speak up10We've got some new witnesses today. I need to swear the10for the shareholders and that's what we have done.11new witnesses.11So considering that many of these assurances12MR. MEYER: I think they were previously.12given to us earlier were grounded in the idea that Hydro13JUDGE MOSS: These two witnesses were13One was a private corporation, no more subject to the14previously sworn; however, we have other witnesses in14political whim than any other regulated private utility15the hearing room who have never appeared before. So I'm15in North America, this remark by Premier Ford is16going to ask them to rise now and please raise their16concerning.17right hands.17And so we're going to be asking you and the18(Witnesses sworn.)18other witnesses questions today, however, because we19JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.19hope to learn basically the extent to which we can rely20All right. And I apologize for that. We20on the earlier testimony and the proceeding meant to21don't have any new counsel today, do we? Or do we?21convince us of Hydro One's independence. The possible22MR. MEYER: I don't believe we do.22risks of Hydro One's ownership of Avista must be we			1	
9Chairman Danner. Oh, let me I'm sorry, I forgot.9minister's responsibility is to stand up and speak up10We've got some new witnesses today. I need to swear the10for the shareholders and that's what we have done.11new witnesses.11So considering that many of these assurances12MR. MEYER: I think they were previously.12given to us earlier were grounded in the idea that Hydro13JUDGE MOSS: These two witnesses were13One was a private corporation, no more subject to the14previously sworn; however, we have other witnesses in14political whim than any other regulated private utility15the hearing room who have never appeared before. So I'm15in North America, this remark by Premier Ford is16going to ask them to rise now and please raise their16concerning.17right hands.17And so we're going to be asking you and the18(Witnesses sworn.)18other witnesses questions today, however, because we19JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.19hope to learn basically the extent to which we can rely20All right. And I apologize for that. We20on the earlier testimony and the proceeding meant to21don't have any new counsel today, do we? Or do we?21convince us of Hydro One's independence. The possible22MR. MEYER: I don't believe we do.23JUDGE MOSS: Okay. So all the counsel that23			1	
10We've got some new witnesses today. I need to swear the new witnesses.10for the shareholders and that's what we have done.11new witnesses.11So considering that many of these assurances12MR. MEYER: I think they were previously.12given to us earlier were grounded in the idea that Hydro13JUDGE MOSS: These two witnesses were13One was a private corporation, no more subject to the14previously sworn; however, we have other witnesses in14political whim than any other regulated private utility15the hearing room who have never appeared before. So I'm15in North America, this remark by Premier Ford is16going to ask them to rise now and please raise their16concerning.17right hands.17And so we're going to be asking you and the18(Witnesses sworn.)18other witnesses questions today, however, because we19JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.19hope to learn basically the extent to which we can rely20All right. And I apologize for that. We20on the earlier testimony and the proceeding meant to21don't have any new counsel today, do we? Or do we?21convince us of Hydro One's independence. The possible22MR. MEYER: I don't believe we do.23JUDGE MOSS: Okay. So all the counsel that23			1	
11new witnesses.11So considering that many of these assurances12MR. MEYER: I think they were previously.12given to us earlier were grounded in the idea that Hydro13JUDGE MOSS: These two witnesses were13One was a private corporation, no more subject to the14previously sworn; however, we have other witnesses in14political whim than any other regulated private utility15the hearing room who have never appeared before. So I'm15in North America, this remark by Premier Ford is16going to ask them to rise now and please raise their16concerning.17right hands.17And so we're going to be asking you and the18(Witnesses sworn.)18other witnesses questions today, however, because we19JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.19hope to learn basically the extent to which we can rely20All right. And I apologize for that. We20on the earlier testimony and the proceeding meant to21don't have any new counsel today, do we? Or do we?21convince us of Hydro One's independence. The possible22MR. MEYER: I don't believe we do.23against the claimed benefits of this ownership, and we			1	
12MR. MEYER: I think they were previously.12given to us earlier were grounded in the idea that Hydro13JUDGE MOSS: These two witnesses were13One was a private corporation, no more subject to the14previously sworn; however, we have other witnesses in14political whim than any other regulated private utility15the hearing room who have never appeared before. So I'm16concerning.16going to ask them to rise now and please raise their16concerning.17right hands.17And so we're going to be asking you and the18(Witnesses sworn.)18other witnesses questions today, however, because we19JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.19hope to learn basically the extent to which we can rely20All right. And I apologize for that. We20on the earlier testimony and the proceeding meant to21don't have any new counsel today, do we? Or do we?21convince us of Hydro One's independence. The possible23JUDGE MOSS: Okay. So all the counsel that23against the claimed benefits of this ownership, and we			1	
13JUDGE MOSS: These two witnesses were13One was a private corporation, no more subject to the14previously sworn; however, we have other witnesses in14political whim than any other regulated private utility15the hearing room who have never appeared before. So I'm15in North America, this remark by Premier Ford is16going to ask them to rise now and please raise their16concerning.17right hands.17And so we're going to be asking you and the18(Witnesses sworn.)18other witnesses questions today, however, because we19JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.19hope to learn basically the extent to which we can rely20All right. And I apologize for that. We20on the earlier testimony and the proceeding meant to21don't have any new counsel today, do we? Or do we?21convince us of Hydro One's independence. The possible22MR. MEYER: I don't believe we do.23JUDGE MOSS: Okay. So all the counsel that23			1	
14previously sworn; however, we have other witnesses in14political whim than any other regulated private utility15the hearing room who have never appeared before. So I'm15in North America, this remark by Premier Ford is16going to ask them to rise now and please raise their16concerning.17right hands.17And so we're going to be asking you and the18(Witnesses sworn.)18other witnesses questions today, however, because we19JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.19hope to learn basically the extent to which we can rely20All right. And I apologize for that. We20on the earlier testimony and the proceeding meant to21don't have any new counsel today, do we? Or do we?21convince us of Hydro One's independence. The possible22MR. MEYER: I don't believe we do.23JUDGE MOSS: Okay. So all the counsel that23			1	
15the hearing room who have never appeared before. So I'm15in North America, this remark by Premier Ford is16going to ask them to rise now and please raise their16concerning.17right hands.17And so we're going to be asking you and the18(Witnesses sworn.)18other witnesses questions today, however, because we19JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.19hope to learn basically the extent to which we can rely20All right. And I apologize for that. We20on the earlier testimony and the proceeding meant to21don't have any new counsel today, do we? Or do we?21convince us of Hydro One's independence. The possible22MR. MEYER: I don't believe we do.23JUDGE MOSS: Okay. So all the counsel that23			1	
16going to ask them to rise now and please raise their16concerning.17right hands.17And so we're going to be asking you and the18(Witnesses sworn.)18other witnesses questions today, however, because we19JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.19hope to learn basically the extent to which we can rely20All right. And I apologize for that. We20on the earlier testimony and the proceeding meant to21don't have any new counsel today, do we? Or do we?21convince us of Hydro One's independence. The possible22MR. MEYER: I don't believe we do.22risks of Hydro One's ownership of Avista must be weighed23JUDGE MOSS: Okay. So all the counsel that23against the claimed benefits of this ownership, and we			1	
17right hands.17And so we're going to be asking you and the18(Witnesses sworn.)18other witnesses questions today, however, because we19JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.19hope to learn basically the extent to which we can rely20All right. And I apologize for that. We20on the earlier testimony and the proceeding meant to21don't have any new counsel today, do we? Or do we?21convince us of Hydro One's independence. The possible22MR. MEYER: I don't believe we do.22risks of Hydro One's ownership of Avista must be weighed23JUDGE MOSS: Okay. So all the counsel that23against the claimed benefits of this ownership, and we				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18(Witnesses sworn.)18other witnesses questions today, however, because we19JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.19hope to learn basically the extent to which we can rely20All right. And I apologize for that. We20on the earlier testimony and the proceeding meant to21don't have any new counsel today, do we? Or do we?21convince us of Hydro One's independence. The possible22MR. MEYER: I don't believe we do.22risks of Hydro One's ownership of Avista must be weighed23JUDGE MOSS: Okay. So all the counsel that23against the claimed benefits of this ownership, and we			1	-
19JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Please be seated.19hope to learn basically the extent to which we can rely20All right. And I apologize for that. We20on the earlier testimony and the proceeding meant to21don't have any new counsel today, do we? Or do we?21convince us of Hydro One's independence. The possible22MR. MEYER: I don't believe we do.22risks of Hydro One's ownership of Avista must be weighed23JUDGE MOSS: Okay. So all the counsel that23against the claimed benefits of this ownership, and we		-		
20All right. And I apologize for that. We20on the earlier testimony and the proceeding meant to21don't have any new counsel today, do we? Or do we?21convince us of Hydro One's independence. The possible22MR. MEYER: I don't believe we do.22risks of Hydro One's ownership of Avista must be weighed23JUDGE MOSS: Okay. So all the counsel that23against the claimed benefits of this ownership, and we			1	1 3 7 7
21don't have any new counsel today, do we? Or do we?21convince us of Hydro One's independence. The possible22MR. MEYER: I don't believe we do.22risks of Hydro One's ownership of Avista must be weighed23JUDGE MOSS: Okay. So all the counsel that23against the claimed benefits of this ownership, and we		-	1	
22MR. MEYER: I don't believe we do.22risks of Hydro One's ownership of Avista must be weighed23JUDGE MOSS: Okay. So all the counsel that23against the claimed benefits of this ownership, and we	21		1	
23 JUDGE MOSS: Okay. So all the counsel that 23 against the claimed benefits of this ownership, and we	22		1	
	23	JUDGE MOSS: Okay. So all the counsel that	23	
24 previously entered their appearances, we have that in 24 must determine whether at the end of the day, the	24	previously entered their appearances, we have that in	24	must determine whether at the end of the day, the
the transcript from the last hearing? 25 balance results in a net benefit to the customers of	25		25	-

5 (Pages 355 to 358)

	Page 359		Page 361
1	Avista. So	1	did say that the Governance Agreement was intact. It
2	JUDGE MOSS: I'm sorry to interrupt, but I	2	was something that we could rely on and that it would be
3	notice that there are people calling in on the	3	followed.
4	conference bridge line, and I've already heard some	4	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And, again, the
5	conversation in the background. That can get very	5	the inference being that the corporation would be free
6	disruptive. So let me ask that anyone who is listening	6	from government interference?
7	in on the conference bridge line, please put your phone	7	MR. MORRIS: Yes.
8	on mute, and that way we won't get that interference.	8	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So the Commission
9	I'll also repeat the fact that this is being	9	first became aware of the resignation of the Hydro One
10	live-streamed by TVW today, and you can you can hook	10	board and resignation of Mr. Schmidt via press reports
11	up to that by going to Twitter.com/wautc, and there will	11	describing Hydro One's public release on
12	be a separate link for the morning session and the	12	July 11th, 2018, of the letter agreement of that date
13	afternoon session. So thank you. Appreciate your	13	with which we're now familiar. According to The
14	cooperation.	14	Spokesman-Review, in a brief article by Becky Kramer,
15	Go ahead.	15	she said, and I quote, Avista issued a short statement.
16	CHAIRMAN DANNER: So the questions I'm going	16	Saying in part, quote, We are surprised by the news
17	to be asking are basically this is this is going to	17	regarding the retirement of Hydro One's CEO and the
18	be the focus of them. And I don't know if you'd like to	18	agreement to replace its board of directors.
19	make any preliminary remarks before I begin with the	19	I take it, then, that you had no advance
20	questioning, but I have my questions ready.	20	warning that these steps were under consideration; is
21	MR. MORRIS: Go ahead, Mr. Chairman.	21	that correct?
22	CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Well, thank	22	MR. MORRIS: I did not have any advance
23	you. Recalling the discussion during the settlement	23	knowledge, no.
24	discussion or the settlement hearing on May 22, Hydro	24	CHAIRMAN DANNER: So is it also correct that
25	One witness, Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Scarlett, spent a fair	25	before the announcement, there was no discussion between
	Page 360		Page 362
1	amount of time describing to us in detail how	1	Hydro One board members and executives with their
2	essentially important the Governance Agreement between	2	counterparts and Avista concerning the impacts that an
3	Hydro One and the Province of Ontario was to ensuring us	3	announcement such as this might have on the relative
4	that Hydro One would continue to operate as a private	4	to the value of Avista's stock?
5	corporation and one with an independent board and free	5	MR. MORRIS: No, we did not have those
6	from political interference or influence by the	6	discussions.
7	Province. Do you recall those discussions?	7	CHAIRMAN DANNER: And similarly, is it the
8	MR. MORRIS: I do, sir.	8	case that there was no discussion before the
9	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. In negotiating the	9	announcement between Hydro One board members or
10	merger agreement with Hydro One, did you and Mr. Schmidt	10	executives and their counterparts at Avista concerning
11	or any other participants discuss the importance of	11	the impacts the announcement might have relative to the
12	Hydro One being a private corporation free from	12	Commission's ongoing evaluation of this proposed
13	interference from the Province?	13	transaction?
14	MR. MORRIS: Yes, we always had a	14	MR. MORRIS: No.
14			
14 15	conversation about understanding clearly that the	15	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Avista also announced in a
	-	15 16	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Avista also announced in a short statement, the quote, We are monitoring
15	conversation about understanding clearly that the		short statement, the quote, We are monitoring developments at Hydro One and wish Mayo Schmidt, Mayo
15 16	conversation about understanding clearly that the Province was an over a 40 percent owner, that there	16	short statement, the quote, We are monitoring
15 16 17	conversation about understanding clearly that the Province was an over a 40 percent owner, that there is a clear Governance Agreement that would be followed,	16 17	short statement, the quote, We are monitoring developments at Hydro One and wish Mayo Schmidt, Mayo
15 16 17 18	conversation about understanding clearly that the Province was an over a 40 percent owner, that there is a clear Governance Agreement that would be followed, and that, indeed, that them being the largest	16 17 18	short statement, the quote, We are monitoring developments at Hydro One and wish Mayo Schmidt, Mayo Schmidt well.
15 16 17 18 19	conversation about understanding clearly that the Province was an over a 40 percent owner, that there is a clear Governance Agreement that would be followed, and that, indeed, that them being the largest shareholder, that they would be a shareholder that would	16 17 18 19	short statement, the quote, We are monitoring developments at Hydro One and wish Mayo Schmidt, Mayo Schmidt well. Did Hydro One reach out to Avista or did
15 16 17 18 19 20	conversation about understanding clearly that the Province was an over a 40 percent owner, that there is a clear Governance Agreement that would be followed, and that, indeed, that them being the largest shareholder, that they would be a shareholder that would not interfere in the day-to-day operation of the	16 17 18 19 20	short statement, the quote, We are monitoring developments at Hydro One and wish Mayo Schmidt, Mayo Schmidt well. Did Hydro One reach out to Avista or did Avista reach out to Hydro One to establish lines of
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	conversation about understanding clearly that the Province was an over a 40 percent owner, that there is a clear Governance Agreement that would be followed, and that, indeed, that them being the largest shareholder, that they would be a shareholder that would not interfere in the day-to-day operation of the company.	16 17 18 19 20 21	short statement, the quote, We are monitoring developments at Hydro One and wish Mayo Schmidt, Mayo Schmidt well. Did Hydro One reach out to Avista or did Avista reach out to Hydro One to establish lines of communication that would facilitate Avista's ability to
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	conversation about understanding clearly that the Province was an over a 40 percent owner, that there is a clear Governance Agreement that would be followed, and that, indeed, that them being the largest shareholder, that they would be a shareholder that would not interfere in the day-to-day operation of the company. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So you discussed that the	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	short statement, the quote, We are monitoring developments at Hydro One and wish Mayo Schmidt, Mayo Schmidt well. Did Hydro One reach out to Avista or did Avista reach out to Hydro One to establish lines of communication that would facilitate Avista's ability to monitor developments?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	conversation about understanding clearly that the Province was an over a 40 percent owner, that there is a clear Governance Agreement that would be followed, and that, indeed, that them being the largest shareholder, that they would be a shareholder that would not interfere in the day-to-day operation of the company. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So you discussed that the Governance Agreement was a binding contract?	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	short statement, the quote, We are monitoring developments at Hydro One and wish Mayo Schmidt, Mayo Schmidt well. Did Hydro One reach out to Avista or did Avista reach out to Hydro One to establish lines of communication that would facilitate Avista's ability to monitor developments? MR. MORRIS: So really how this happened was

6 (Pages 359 to 362)

	Page 363		Page 365
1	about it, and and and said that they had come to	1	the July 12th price of \$50.36?
2	an agreement that he would retire and that the board	2	MR. MORRIS: Yeah, I yeah, I was going to
3	would resign and that they would then follow the	3	say it was roughly about a 5 percent drop, so Mark's the
4	Governance Agreement as as agreed upon with the	4	math guy, so it's
5	Province. So that was my conversation with Mayo and	5	Mark?
6	CHAIRMAN DANNER: So that just that brief	6	MR. THIES: That sounds right. I'm sure you
7	conversation?	7	have the right numbers.
8	MR. MORRIS: Yes, because Mayo was in the	8	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And, again, what
9	middle of his board meeting and he stepped out, and then	9	was the impact of the announcements on on the various
10	we had a we called and had a conversation late that	10	regulatory proceedings in the three states remaining?
11	evening. I would say probably 8:00 or 9 o'clock at	11	MR. MORRIS: So
12	night, Mayo called me back and then we had a chance to	12	CHAIRMAN DANNER: That being Oregon,
13	really have a, more of a heart-to-heart conversation	13	Washington, and Idaho.
14	about the day's events and what it all meant.	14	MR. MORRIS: I think the response was in
15	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And was did he	15	general similar in all cases. I think rightly so, all
16	leave his position that very day, on July 11?	16	Commissions and staffs wanted to step back and reassess
17	MR. MORRIS: My understanding is that he did	17	what happened and certainly wanted to have an
18	resign that day. Yes	18	opportunity to re-examine the record, ask more
19	(Multiple speakers.)	19	questions, and and be able to engage with all the
20	CHAIRMAN DANNER: that day?	20	parties around what this really meant. And I can say
21	MR. MORRIS: Yes, I want to make sure	21	from Avista's perspective, we were very supportive of
22	that I would let Mr. Scarlett verify that	22	that, and I can tell you that Hydro One was very
23	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. We'll	23	supportive of that. It was it was very appropriate.
24	MR. MORRIS: but understanding	24	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Thank you.
25	CHAIRMAN DANNER: verify that.	25	And what is the current status of your
	Page 364		Page 366
1	MR. MORRIS: that is the case.	1	application in Idaho?
2	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And then your	2	MR. MORRIS: That we are in the process of
3	communication with the company afterwards, who was the	3	going through all of the the the filings, we have
4	main point of contact for you or did you have	4	a hearing set up for the Monday after Thanksgiving, and
5	communication with the company following after his	5	we're in the process of engaging with all parties and
6	MR. MORRIS: With with	6	have made, I would say, very good progress with all
7	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Hydro One.	7	all parties except one late intervening party that
8	MR. MORRIS: Hydro One? Yes, at that	8	that has not settled with us. But in general, I would
9	point in time, my main point of contact was with	9	say that we are in good a good place in Idaho.
10	Mr. Scarlett, but then also that we had had an	10	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And what about
		11	
11	opportunity to meet the new CFO, Paul Dobson. So we had	1	Oregon?
11 12	had conversations with Paul, Pat Meneley. The team in	12	Oregon? MR. MORRIS: We just had a hearing yesterday
			-
12	had conversations with Paul, Pat Meneley. The team in	12	MR. MORRIS: We just had a hearing yesterday
12 13	had conversations with Paul, Pat Meneley. The team in general, we have a great relationship, so we had an	12 13	MR. MORRIS: We just had a hearing yesterday in Oregon, and I would say that, again, most parties are
12 13 14	had conversations with Paul, Pat Meneley. The team in general, we have a great relationship, so we had an opportunity to continue conversations and talk about	12 13 14	MR. MORRIS: We just had a hearing yesterday in Oregon, and I would say that, again, most parties are still supportive of the transaction. CUB has stated
12 13 14 15	had conversations with Paul, Pat Meneley. The team in general, we have a great relationship, so we had an opportunity to continue conversations and talk about events and what what we needed, what was going to	12 13 14 15	MR. MORRIS: We just had a hearing yesterday in Oregon, and I would say that, again, most parties are still supportive of the transaction. CUB has stated publicly that they are no longer in favor of it, but
12 13 14 15 16	had conversations with Paul, Pat Meneley. The team in general, we have a great relationship, so we had an opportunity to continue conversations and talk about events and what what we needed, what was going to happen next.	12 13 14 15 16	MR. MORRIS: We just had a hearing yesterday in Oregon, and I would say that, again, most parties are still supportive of the transaction. CUB has stated publicly that they are no longer in favor of it, but Staff and other intervenors in Oregon have remained
12 13 14 15 16 17	had conversations with Paul, Pat Meneley. The team in general, we have a great relationship, so we had an opportunity to continue conversations and talk about events and what what we needed, what was going to happen next. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. What impact	12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MORRIS: We just had a hearing yesterday in Oregon, and I would say that, again, most parties are still supportive of the transaction. CUB has stated publicly that they are no longer in favor of it, but Staff and other intervenors in Oregon have remained supportive.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	had conversations with Paul, Pat Meneley. The team in general, we have a great relationship, so we had an opportunity to continue conversations and talk about events and what what we needed, what was going to happen next. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. What impact did the announcements on July 11th have on Avista's	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MORRIS: We just had a hearing yesterday in Oregon, and I would say that, again, most parties are still supportive of the transaction. CUB has stated publicly that they are no longer in favor of it, but Staff and other intervenors in Oregon have remained supportive. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Would you agree
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	had conversations with Paul, Pat Meneley. The team in general, we have a great relationship, so we had an opportunity to continue conversations and talk about events and what what we needed, what was going to happen next. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. What impact did the announcements on July 11th have on Avista's stock price?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. MORRIS: We just had a hearing yesterday in Oregon, and I would say that, again, most parties are still supportive of the transaction. CUB has stated publicly that they are no longer in favor of it, but Staff and other intervenors in Oregon have remained supportive. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Would you agree that the events that Hydro One announced to the world on
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	had conversations with Paul, Pat Meneley. The team in general, we have a great relationship, so we had an opportunity to continue conversations and talk about events and what what we needed, what was going to happen next. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. What impact did the announcements on July 11th have on Avista's stock price? MR. MORRIS: I'm you know, I'll let	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. MORRIS: We just had a hearing yesterday in Oregon, and I would say that, again, most parties are still supportive of the transaction. CUB has stated publicly that they are no longer in favor of it, but Staff and other intervenors in Oregon have remained supportive. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Would you agree that the events that Hydro One announced to the world on July 11th, 2018, were not in Avista's best interest?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	had conversations with Paul, Pat Meneley. The team in general, we have a great relationship, so we had an opportunity to continue conversations and talk about events and what what we needed, what was going to happen next. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. What impact did the announcements on July 11th have on Avista's stock price? MR. MORRIS: I'm you know, I'll let I'll let Mark answer that, but I would say that there	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. MORRIS: We just had a hearing yesterday in Oregon, and I would say that, again, most parties are still supportive of the transaction. CUB has stated publicly that they are no longer in favor of it, but Staff and other intervenors in Oregon have remained supportive. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Would you agree that the events that Hydro One announced to the world on July 11th, 2018, were not in Avista's best interest? MR. MORRIS: May I kind of elaborate on that
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	had conversations with Paul, Pat Meneley. The team in general, we have a great relationship, so we had an opportunity to continue conversations and talk about events and what what we needed, what was going to happen next. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. What impact did the announcements on July 11th have on Avista's stock price? MR. MORRIS: I'm you know, I'll let I'll let Mark answer that, but I would say that there was some downward movement, but I don't think it was CHAIRMAN DANNER: Does it would it sound right if I if I said it was about 4.5 percent or	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. MORRIS: We just had a hearing yesterday in Oregon, and I would say that, again, most parties are still supportive of the transaction. CUB has stated publicly that they are no longer in favor of it, but Staff and other intervenors in Oregon have remained supportive. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Would you agree that the events that Hydro One announced to the world on July 11th, 2018, were not in Avista's best interest? MR. MORRIS: May I kind of elaborate on that before I say yes or no on that? So I would I would say this, that I was surprised when obviously when Mayo retired and and what happened. And from a
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	had conversations with Paul, Pat Meneley. The team in general, we have a great relationship, so we had an opportunity to continue conversations and talk about events and what what we needed, what was going to happen next. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. What impact did the announcements on July 11th have on Avista's stock price? MR. MORRIS: I'm you know, I'll let I'll let Mark answer that, but I would say that there was some downward movement, but I don't think it was CHAIRMAN DANNER: Does it would it sound	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MORRIS: We just had a hearing yesterday in Oregon, and I would say that, again, most parties are still supportive of the transaction. CUB has stated publicly that they are no longer in favor of it, but Staff and other intervenors in Oregon have remained supportive. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Would you agree that the events that Hydro One announced to the world on July 11th, 2018, were not in Avista's best interest? MR. MORRIS: May I kind of elaborate on that before I say yes or no on that? So I would I would say this, that I was surprised when obviously when

7 (Pages 363 to 366)

	Page 367		Page 369
1	get to know Mayo, to work with him, and really felt like	1	those players. And as a as you have acknowledged,
2	we you know, a person that's really a great leader.	2	the stock of Avista fell as a result of that, the
3	But also, I think it's really important to	3	regulatory proceedings appeared to be slowed down, and
4	understand that this this this deal was never done	4	my question to you, do you believe that those events
5	on Scott and Mayo. This was done on the idea that this	5	were in Avista's best interest?
6	is something that was going to be in the best interest	6	MR. MORRIS: No.
7	of not just our shareholders, but all of our	7	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And the other
8	stakeholders, and that it was very important that we,	8	question is, do you think that the events of July 11th
9	indeed, put together a deal that was a win for all of	9	were in Hydro One's best interest?
10	our stakeholders, our customers, our communities, our	10	MR. MORRIS: I I I don't want to
11	employees, and our shareholders.	11	spec I I'll let Mr. Woods and and and
12	The spirit of the negotiations of how we've	12	Mr. Scarlett answer that question. I I don't know.
13	come today with all of the commitments were done	13	CHAIRMAN DANNER: But you're aware that
14	collaboratively with when we brought this to you,	14	Hydro One stock fell about 8 percent
15	when we announced it in July of last year, and that we	15	MR. MORRIS: Sure.
16	felt like we wanted to do something that, from a	16	CHAIRMAN DANNER: as a result and they
17	perspective of doing a merger and a deal, that we put	17	were downgraded and they're currently on a credit watch
18	together a Governance Agreement that really was state of	18	negative
19	the art, that was really something that would stand the	19	MR. MORRIS: Yes, absolutely.
20	test of time.	20	CHAIRMAN DANNER: as a result?
21	We know that that CEOs don't have long	21	Since you mentioned your discussions and
22	shelf lives nor do boards, and that this really was	22	your relationship with Mr. Schmidt, I wanted to ask you
23	about the cultures and the value of Avista and Hydro	23	a few questions on that too.
24	One, and that we wanted to build something great. And	24	Of course, executives come and go,
25	we absolutely have done that with the Governance	25	commissioners come and go, people come and go, but the
	Page 368		Page 370
1	Page 368	1	Page 370
1	Agreement	1	events the circumstances of his going were a bit
2	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop	2	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked
2 3	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question	2 3	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good
2 3 4	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get	2 3 4	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was
2 3 4 5	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was	2 3 4 5	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that
2 3 4 5 6	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was CHAIRMAN DANNER: I I was prepared to	2 3 4	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that vision, and I guess I will inquire of others more about
2 3 4 5	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was CHAIRMAN DANNER: I I was prepared to bring him back	2 3 4 5 6	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that vision, and I guess I will inquire of others more about that. But do you believe, do you have a reason to
2 3 4 5 6 7	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was CHAIRMAN DANNER: I I was prepared to bring him back MR. MORRIS: Okay. So I was just trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that vision, and I guess I will inquire of others more about that. But do you believe, do you have a reason to believe, that, if there is a change in the vision of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was CHAIRMAN DANNER: I I was prepared to bring him back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that vision, and I guess I will inquire of others more about that. But do you believe, do you have a reason to believe, that, if there is a change in the vision of the corporation that you're intending to join with, that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was CHAIRMAN DANNER: I I was prepared to bring him back MR. MORRIS: Okay. So I was just trying to under so I just wanted to so so I guess I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that vision, and I guess I will inquire of others more about that. But do you believe, do you have a reason to believe, that, if there is a change in the vision of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was CHAIRMAN DANNER: I I was prepared to bring him back MR. MORRIS: Okay. So I was just trying to under so I just wanted to so so I guess I wanted to say on the one hand, it may be having Mayo not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that vision, and I guess I will inquire of others more about that. But do you believe, do you have a reason to believe, that, if there is a change in the vision of the corporation that you're intending to join with, that you can continue to say that there is that kind of shared
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was CHAIRMAN DANNER: I I was prepared to bring him back MR. MORRIS: Okay. So I was just trying to under so I just wanted to so so I guess I wanted to say on the one hand, it may be having Mayo not be the CEO initially you could say is not in the best	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that vision, and I guess I will inquire of others more about that. But do you believe, do you have a reason to believe, that, if there is a change in the vision of the corporation that you're intending to join with, that you can continue to say that there is that kind of shared vision going forward?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was CHAIRMAN DANNER: I I was prepared to bring him back MR. MORRIS: Okay. So I was just trying to under so I just wanted to so so I guess I wanted to say on the one hand, it may be having Mayo not be the CEO initially you could say is not in the best interest, but the spirit of the deal wasn't built on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that vision, and I guess I will inquire of others more about that. But do you believe, do you have a reason to believe, that, if there is a change in the vision of the corporation that you're intending to join with, that you can continue to say that there is that kind of shared vision going forward? MR. MORRIS: Yes, since July, we've had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was CHAIRMAN DANNER: I I was prepared to bring him back MR. MORRIS: Okay. So I was just trying to under so I just wanted to so so I guess I wanted to say on the one hand, it may be having Mayo not be the CEO initially you could say is not in the best interest, but the spirit of the deal wasn't built on Mayo, I guess is my answer. The spirit of the deal was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that vision, and I guess I will inquire of others more about that. But do you believe, do you have a reason to believe, that, if there is a change in the vision of the corporation that you're intending to join with, that you can continue to say that there is that kind of shared vision going forward? MR. MORRIS: Yes, since July, we've had multiple conversations with the new chair of the board,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was CHAIRMAN DANNER: I I was prepared to bring him back MR. MORRIS: Okay. So I was just trying to under so I just wanted to so so I guess I wanted to say on the one hand, it may be having Mayo not be the CEO initially you could say is not in the best interest, but the spirit of the deal wasn't built on Mayo, I guess is my answer. The spirit of the deal was built on the Governance Agreement, the values of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that vision, and I guess I will inquire of others more about that. But do you believe, do you have a reason to believe, that, if there is a change in the vision of the corporation that you're intending to join with, that you can continue to say that there is that kind of shared vision going forward? MR. MORRIS: Yes, since July, we've had multiple conversations with the new chair of the board, Woods, with the interim CEO, Paul Dobson, with the entire Hydro One leadership team. We've had multiple conversations up and down our organizations with many
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was CHAIRMAN DANNER: I I was prepared to bring him back MR. MORRIS: Okay. So I was just trying to under so I just wanted to so so I guess I wanted to say on the one hand, it may be having Mayo not be the CEO initially you could say is not in the best interest, but the spirit of the deal wasn't built on Mayo, I guess is my answer. The spirit of the deal was built on the Governance Agreement, the values of the company, the benefits that it gives for all of our stakeholders, and we both knew that Mayo and I, we're 60 years old. We're we don't have a ten-year run in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that vision, and I guess I will inquire of others more about that. But do you believe, do you have a reason to believe, that, if there is a change in the vision of the corporation that you're intending to join with, that you can continue to say that there is that kind of shared vision going forward? MR. MORRIS: Yes, since July, we've had multiple conversations with the new chair of the board, Woods, with the interim CEO, Paul Dobson, with the entire Hydro One leadership team. We've had multiple conversations up and down our organizations with many people, Mr. Chairman, and the vision of the company
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was CHAIRMAN DANNER: I I was prepared to bring him back MR. MORRIS: Okay. So I was just trying to under so I just wanted to so so I guess I wanted to say on the one hand, it may be having Mayo not be the CEO initially you could say is not in the best interest, but the spirit of the deal wasn't built on Mayo, I guess is my answer. The spirit of the deal was built on the Governance Agreement, the values of the company, the benefits that it gives for all of our stakeholders, and we both knew that Mayo and I, we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that vision, and I guess I will inquire of others more about that. But do you believe, do you have a reason to believe, that, if there is a change in the vision of the corporation that you're intending to join with, that you can continue to say that there is that kind of shared vision going forward? MR. MORRIS: Yes, since July, we've had multiple conversations with the new chair of the board, Woods, with the interim CEO, Paul Dobson, with the entire Hydro One leadership team. We've had multiple conversations up and down our organizations with many people, Mr. Chairman, and the vision of the company remains the same, to be an outstanding utility company
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was CHAIRMAN DANNER: I I was prepared to bring him back MR. MORRIS: Okay. So I was just trying to under so I just wanted to so so I guess I wanted to say on the one hand, it may be having Mayo not be the CEO initially you could say is not in the best interest, but the spirit of the deal wasn't built on Mayo, I guess is my answer. The spirit of the deal was built on the Governance Agreement, the values of the company, the benefits that it gives for all of our stakeholders, and we both knew that Mayo and I, we're 60 years old. We're we don't have a ten-year run in us on this stuff. We knew one of us was going to leave at some point. So this was never done on whether it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that vision, and I guess I will inquire of others more about that. But do you believe, do you have a reason to believe, that, if there is a change in the vision of the corporation that you're intending to join with, that you can continue to say that there is that kind of shared vision going forward? MR. MORRIS: Yes, since July, we've had multiple conversations with the new chair of the board, Woods, with the interim CEO, Paul Dobson, with the entire Hydro One leadership team. We've had multiple conversations up and down our organizations with many people, Mr. Chairman, and the vision of the company remains the same, to be an outstanding utility company serving its customers. We feel like the the basis of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was CHAIRMAN DANNER: I I was prepared to bring him back MR. MORRIS: Okay. So I was just trying to under so I just wanted to so so I guess I wanted to say on the one hand, it may be having Mayo not be the CEO initially you could say is not in the best interest, but the spirit of the deal wasn't built on Mayo, I guess is my answer. The spirit of the deal was built on the Governance Agreement, the values of the company, the benefits that it gives for all of our stakeholders, and we both knew that Mayo and I, we're 60 years old. We're we don't have a ten-year run in us on this stuff. We knew one of us was going to leave at some point. So this was never done on whether it was good for Scott or Mayo.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that vision, and I guess I will inquire of others more about that. But do you believe, do you have a reason to believe, that, if there is a change in the vision of the corporation that you're intending to join with, that you can continue to say that there is that kind of shared vision going forward? MR. MORRIS: Yes, since July, we've had multiple conversations with the new chair of the board, Woods, with the interim CEO, Paul Dobson, with the entire Hydro One leadership team. We've had multiple conversations up and down our organizations with many people, Mr. Chairman, and the vision of the company remains the same, to be an outstanding utility company serving its customers. We feel like the the basis of best practices of being able to share ideas and the idea
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was CHAIRMAN DANNER: I I was prepared to bring him back MR. MORRIS: Okay. So I was just trying to under so I just wanted to so so I guess I wanted to say on the one hand, it may be having Mayo not be the CEO initially you could say is not in the best interest, but the spirit of the deal wasn't built on Mayo, I guess is my answer. The spirit of the deal was built on the Governance Agreement, the values of the company, the benefits that it gives for all of our stakeholders, and we both knew that Mayo and I, we're 60 years old. We're we don't have a ten-year run in us on this stuff. We knew one of us was going to leave at some point. So this was never done on whether it was good for Scott or Mayo. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Sure. So the question I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that vision, and I guess I will inquire of others more about that. But do you believe, do you have a reason to believe, that, if there is a change in the vision of the corporation that you're intending to join with, that you can continue to say that there is that kind of shared vision going forward? MR. MORRIS: Yes, since July, we've had multiple conversations with the new chair of the board, Woods, with the interim CEO, Paul Dobson, with the entire Hydro One leadership team. We've had multiple conversations up and down our organizations with many people, Mr. Chairman, and the vision of the company remains the same, to be an outstanding utility company serving its customers. We feel like the the basis of best practices of being able to share ideas and the idea of both IT and with supply chain, the cultures and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was CHAIRMAN DANNER: I I was prepared to bring him back MR. MORRIS: Okay. So I was just trying to under so I just wanted to so so I guess I wanted to say on the one hand, it may be having Mayo not be the CEO initially you could say is not in the best interest, but the spirit of the deal wasn't built on Mayo, I guess is my answer. The spirit of the deal was built on the Governance Agreement, the values of the company, the benefits that it gives for all of our stakeholders, and we both knew that Mayo and I, we're 60 years old. We're we don't have a ten-year run in us on this stuff. We knew one of us was going to leave at some point. So this was never done on whether it was good for Scott or Mayo. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Sure. So the question I had, though, was the events on that Hydro One	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that vision, and I guess I will inquire of others more about that. But do you believe, do you have a reason to believe, that, if there is a change in the vision of the corporation that you're intending to join with, that you can continue to say that there is that kind of shared vision going forward? MR. MORRIS: Yes, since July, we've had multiple conversations with the new chair of the board, Woods, with the interim CEO, Paul Dobson, with the entire Hydro One leadership team. We've had multiple conversations up and down our organizations with many people, Mr. Chairman, and the vision of the company remains the same, to be an outstanding utility company serving its customers. We feel like the the basis of best practices of being able to share ideas and the idea of both IT and with supply chain, the cultures and the values of the company are aligned.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was CHAIRMAN DANNER: I I was prepared to bring him back MR. MORRIS: Okay. So I was just trying to under so I just wanted to so so I guess I wanted to say on the one hand, it may be having Mayo not be the CEO initially you could say is not in the best interest, but the spirit of the deal wasn't built on Mayo, I guess is my answer. The spirit of the deal was built on the Governance Agreement, the values of the company, the benefits that it gives for all of our stakeholders, and we both knew that Mayo and I, we're 60 years old. We're we don't have a ten-year run in us on this stuff. We knew one of us was going to leave at some point. So this was never done on whether it was good for Scott or Mayo. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Sure. So the question I had, though, was the events on that Hydro One announced involved a resignation of the entire board of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that vision, and I guess I will inquire of others more about that. But do you believe, do you have a reason to believe, that, if there is a change in the vision of the corporation that you're intending to join with, that you can continue to say that there is that kind of shared vision going forward? MR. MORRIS: Yes, since July, we've had multiple conversations with the new chair of the board, Woods, with the interim CEO, Paul Dobson, with the entire Hydro One leadership team. We've had multiple conversations up and down our organizations with many people, Mr. Chairman, and the vision of the company remains the same, to be an outstanding utility company serving its customers. We feel like the the basis of best practices of being able to share ideas and the idea of both IT and with supply chain, the cultures and the values of the company are aligned. So while Mr. Schmidt was removed, and I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was CHAIRMAN DANNER: I I was prepared to bring him back MR. MORRIS: Okay. So I was just trying to under so I just wanted to so so I guess I wanted to say on the one hand, it may be having Mayo not be the CEO initially you could say is not in the best interest, but the spirit of the deal wasn't built on Mayo, I guess is my answer. The spirit of the deal was built on the Governance Agreement, the values of the company, the benefits that it gives for all of our stakeholders, and we both knew that Mayo and I, we're 60 years old. We're we don't have a ten-year run in us on this stuff. We knew one of us was going to leave at some point. So this was never done on whether it was good for Scott or Mayo. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Sure. So the question I had, though, was the events on that Hydro One announced involved a resignation of the entire board of directors, resignation of the CEO, and the premier of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that vision, and I guess I will inquire of others more about that. But do you believe, do you have a reason to believe, that, if there is a change in the vision of the corporation that you're intending to join with, that you can continue to say that there is that kind of shared vision going forward? MR. MORRIS: Yes, since July, we've had multiple conversations with the new chair of the board, Woods, with the interim CEO, Paul Dobson, with the entire Hydro One leadership team. We've had multiple conversations up and down our organizations with many people, Mr. Chairman, and the vision of the company remains the same, to be an outstanding utility company serving its customers. We feel like the the basis of best practices of being able to share ideas and the idea of both IT and with supply chain, the cultures and the values of the company are aligned. So while Mr. Schmidt was removed, and I'm not I would maybe disagree a little bit with you, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Agreement JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Morris, I'm going to stop you there. You're you're straying from the question so and I'm going to stop you there and ask you to get back to the question which was CHAIRMAN DANNER: I I was prepared to bring him back MR. MORRIS: Okay. So I was just trying to under so I just wanted to so so I guess I wanted to say on the one hand, it may be having Mayo not be the CEO initially you could say is not in the best interest, but the spirit of the deal wasn't built on Mayo, I guess is my answer. The spirit of the deal was built on the Governance Agreement, the values of the company, the benefits that it gives for all of our stakeholders, and we both knew that Mayo and I, we're 60 years old. We're we don't have a ten-year run in us on this stuff. We knew one of us was going to leave at some point. So this was never done on whether it was good for Scott or Mayo. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Sure. So the question I had, though, was the events on that Hydro One announced involved a resignation of the entire board of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	events the circumstances of his going were a bit unusual in that you had in your earlier testimony talked about a relationship of of trust and good communication, appeared to be a shared vision. He was removed, it appears, because people disagreed with that vision, and I guess I will inquire of others more about that. But do you believe, do you have a reason to believe, that, if there is a change in the vision of the corporation that you're intending to join with, that you can continue to say that there is that kind of shared vision going forward? MR. MORRIS: Yes, since July, we've had multiple conversations with the new chair of the board, Woods, with the interim CEO, Paul Dobson, with the entire Hydro One leadership team. We've had multiple conversations up and down our organizations with many people, Mr. Chairman, and the vision of the company remains the same, to be an outstanding utility company serving its customers. We feel like the the basis of best practices of being able to share ideas and the idea of both IT and with supply chain, the cultures and the values of the company are aligned. So while Mr. Schmidt was removed, and I'm

8 (Pages 367 to 370)

	Page 371		Page 373
1	of it was around the perception of high electric rates	1	shareholders. So and I have a few other cites that
2	in Ontario and perhaps, in my opinion, Hydro One taking	2	those are used. On page 10, lines 1 to 3, you say, (as
3	the majority of the blame for those high rates, and	3	read) Nearly 74 million of financial benefits spread
4	Mr. Schmidt as the CEO being the person being held	4	across Washington, Idaho, and Oregon all paid for by
5	accountable for that. But I have never felt like the	5	shareholders. And finally, at page 11, lines 15, 16,
6	vision of the company has changed.	6	you refer to, quote, The shareholder-funded Montana
7	CHAIRMAN DANNER: So basically, it's still	7	community transition fund.
8	the same Broadway play, it's just the cast has changed?	8	In subsequent testimony, and this is SLM-16,
9	MR. MORRIS: Yes.	9	which is another document entirely, you say that Hydro
10	CHAIRMAN DANNER: So let me ask you about	10	One will be in essence in essence be the primary
11	that. Do you have your testimony in Exhibit SLM-5T with	11	shareholder of Avista. And so with respect to this last
12	you?	12	point, is it more accurate to say that Olympus Equity,
13	MR. MORRIS: I do.	13	LLC, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Hydro One,
14	CHAIRMAN DANNER: So on let me give you a	14	will be the only shareholder of Avista owning and
15	second.	15	controlling a hundred percent of Avista's shares?
16	MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry. I had 6T, not 5T.	16	MR. MORRIS: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN DANNER: There's a lot of paper.	17	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And am I correct to
18	MR. MEYER: And, again, you're referencing	18	believe, then, all those references that I just read to
19	his testimony just prior to his last supplemental	19	you where it says "shareholders," that what we're really
20	testimony? Okay.	20	referencing here is Olympus Equity, LLC?
21	CHAIRMAN DANNER: That's correct.	21	MR. MORRIS: Yes.
22	MR. MEYER: So we'll just retrieve that in a	22	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So Olympus Holding,
23	minute. Thank you.	23	LLC's only business function is to own a hundred percent
24	If you have it. Whoever has it handy first.	24	of Avista's shares; is that right?
25	Thank you.	25	MR. MORRIS: Yes.
_			
	Page 372		Page 374
1	MR. MORRIS: I have it, Mr. Chairman.	1	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Is it fair to say that to
2	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So on at page 3,	2	the extent that Olympus Holdings, LLC has any funds, the
3	lines 3 to 5, you say, (as read) As described below; do	3	source of those funds then would be dividends paid up to
4	you see that?	4	Avista from Avista?
5	MR. MORRIS: Okay.	5	MR. MORRIS: Yes. May I
6	CHAIRMAN DANNER: (As read) As described	6	MR. MEYER: Let's may we elaborate
7	below, the proposed settlement will substantially	7	further on that? Maybe Mr
8	increase those rate credits and provide additional	8	MR. MORRIS: Mr. Thies?
9	shareholder funding I'm focused on the term	9	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Judge?
10	"shareholder funding" of a number of customer-related	10	JUDGE MOSS: That's fine if you have some
11	initiatives.	11	different answer.
12	Do you see that?	12	MR. THIES: I do. So the dividends can come
13	MR. MORRIS: Yes, sir.	13	up from Avista, but also, equity can come in from Hydro
14	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Again, on page 8,	14	One. They are the parent of Olympus, LLC, so funds can
15	at line 18 to 24, that term is used again, (as read) An	15	flow both ways. They can flow up and they can flow
16	additional 11.7 million of shareholder funding will be	16	down, so funds could flow in from Hydro One and up from
17	devoted to initiatives such as weatherization, low	17	Avista.
18	income, renewable resources, professional home energy	18	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Thank you.
19	audits, and Ongo repayment software.	19	So focussing on the dividends, though, who
20	Do you see that one too?	20	controls the dividends paid by Avista? Is it the Avista
21	MR. MORRIS: I do, sir.	21	board of directors?
22	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And then on the	22	MR. MORRIS: Yes, with the the agreements
23	next page, page 9, line 5, again, we see the term	23	that we've made, and and there are rules around how
24	shareholder-funded financial commitments. And at lines	24	dividends can be dividended up and down. We have to
25	12, 14, you refer to financial benefits paid for by	25	follow the commitments made.
1		1	

9 (Pages 371 to 374)

	Page 375		Page 377
1	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And so that will	1	United States subsidiary of Hydro One.
2	continue to be true if this proposed transaction is	2	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So it doesn't
3	MR. MORRIS: Yes.	3	change anything relative to your fiduciary duty except
4	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And so however	4	that it now runs exclusively to Hydro One?
5	remote a possibility you may consider it to be, isn't it	5	MR. MORRIS: Yes, we Hydro One is our
6	possible, isn't it possible that at some point of time	6	is our shareholder.
7	from time to time the board of directors may decide not	7	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And will that be
8	to pay any dividends to Olympus?	8	true for every member of the board of directors without
9	MR. MORRIS: I can't I can't what I	9	regard to who nominates them or who votes for them or
10	would say is that the board of directors would, in my	10	who they work for?
11	in my judgment, would follow the spirit of and what's in	11	MR. MORRIS: Yes, the spirit of a board of
12	the governance agreements that we've agreed upon so if,	12	directors would be, again, be the fiduciary for the
13	indeed, that we are within our bounds, we would	13	shareholder; however, we also know that in order to
14	certainly dividend it, if if it was within the bounds	14	provide outstanding shareholder value, you've got to be
15	of the definitions of what we've come up with, and if we	15	absolutely committed to your customers, your employees,
16	had fallen outside of that, and we would follow the	16	and your community. So they are not mutually exclusive.
17	commitments we have made in the governance agreements.	17	When we make business decisions around things, of course
18	CHAIRMAN DANNER: So you would you cannot	18	you're trying to maximize shareholder value, but you
19	conceive of an instance when you would not pay dividends	19	have to do it in the spirit of service, employees,
20	that are needed to provide Olympus with the adequate	20	communities, and if you don't do all of those extremely
21	funds to meet the funding requirements of its	21	well, you're not going to create superior shareholder
22	commitments to pay the rate credits and the low income	22	values. So for me, they are mutually exclusive.
23	weatherization, et cetera?	23	CHAIRMAN DANNER: So what happens in the
24	MR. MORRIS: Yeah, and and that's, again,	24	interest where your shareholder informs you and all
25	as you as I as I mentioned, there are commitments	25	members of the board that it wants the board to take
	Page 376		Page 378
1 2 3 4	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So	1 2 3 4	Page 378 some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes?
2 3	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not	2 3	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes?
2 3 4	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not dividend up.	2 3 4	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of
2 3 4 5	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not	2 3 4 5	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of of the Governance Agreement that we created is that we
2 3 4 5 6	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not dividend up. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Mr. Morris, you're	2 3 4 5 6	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of of the Governance Agreement that we created is that we have a separate board of directors that has been
2 3 4 5 6 7	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not dividend up. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Mr. Morris, you're both the CEO and the chairman of the board of Avista; is	2 3 4 5 6 7	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of of the Governance Agreement that we created is that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not dividend up. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Mr. Morris, you're both the CEO and the chairman of the board of Avista; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of of the Governance Agreement that we created is that we have a separate board of directors that has been delegated the authority to run the company. And that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not dividend up. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Mr. Morris, you're both the CEO and the chairman of the board of Avista; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of of the Governance Agreement that we created is that we have a separate board of directors that has been delegated the authority to run the company. And that indeed, that that the interest of our shareholder
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not dividend up. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Mr. Morris, you're both the CEO and the chairman of the board of Avista; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And as chairman of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of of the Governance Agreement that we created is that we have a separate board of directors that has been delegated the authority to run the company. And that indeed, that that the interest of our shareholder will absolutely be at the top of mind, but also in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not dividend up. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Mr. Morris, you're both the CEO and the chairman of the board of Avista; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And as chairman of the board, is it right that you have a fiduciary duty to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of of the Governance Agreement that we created is that we have a separate board of directors that has been delegated the authority to run the company. And that indeed, that that the interest of our shareholder will absolutely be at the top of mind, but also in the idea that those decisions are made from the Pacific
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not dividend up. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Mr. Morris, you're both the CEO and the chairman of the board of Avista; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And as chairman of the board, is it right that you have a fiduciary duty to act in the best interest of Avista's shareholders?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of of the Governance Agreement that we created is that we have a separate board of directors that has been delegated the authority to run the company. And that indeed, that that the interest of our shareholder will absolutely be at the top of mind, but also in the idea that those decisions are made from the Pacific Northwest with independent directors, looking at it from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not dividend up. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Mr. Morris, you're both the CEO and the chairman of the board of Avista; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And as chairman of the board, is it right that you have a fiduciary duty to act in the best interest of Avista's shareholders? MR. MORRIS: I do. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And don't all directors have a fiduciary duty to serve in the best	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of of the Governance Agreement that we created is that we have a separate board of directors that has been delegated the authority to run the company. And that indeed, that that the interest of our shareholder will absolutely be at the top of mind, but also in the idea that those decisions are made from the Pacific Northwest with independent directors, looking at it from a broad scope of what's best for communities, employees,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not dividend up. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Mr. Morris, you're both the CEO and the chairman of the board of Avista; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And as chairman of the board, is it right that you have a fiduciary duty to act in the best interest of Avista's shareholders? MR. MORRIS: I do. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And don't all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of of the Governance Agreement that we created is that we have a separate board of directors that has been delegated the authority to run the company. And that indeed, that that the interest of our shareholder will absolutely be at the top of mind, but also in the idea that those decisions are made from the Pacific Northwest with independent directors, looking at it from a broad scope of what's best for communities, employees, customers, and our shareholders and understanding that there's a long-term view to how you provide that kind of service and run that kind of business and the unique
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not dividend up. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Mr. Morris, you're both the CEO and the chairman of the board of Avista; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And as chairman of the board, is it right that you have a fiduciary duty to act in the best interest of Avista's shareholders? MR. MORRIS: I do. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And don't all directors have a fiduciary duty to serve in the best	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of of the Governance Agreement that we created is that we have a separate board of directors that has been delegated the authority to run the company. And that indeed, that that the interest of our shareholder will absolutely be at the top of mind, but also in the idea that those decisions are made from the Pacific Northwest with independent directors, looking at it from a broad scope of what's best for communities, employees, customers, and our shareholders and understanding that there's a long-term view to how you provide that kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not dividend up. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Mr. Morris, you're both the CEO and the chairman of the board of Avista; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And as chairman of the board, is it right that you have a fiduciary duty to act in the best interest of Avista's shareholders? MR. MORRIS: I do. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And don't all directors have a fiduciary duty to serve in the best interest of the owners; that is, the shareholders? MR. MORRIS: We do. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So assuming that Hydro	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of of the Governance Agreement that we created is that we have a separate board of directors that has been delegated the authority to run the company. And that indeed, that that the interest of our shareholder will absolutely be at the top of mind, but also in the idea that those decisions are made from the Pacific Northwest with independent directors, looking at it from a broad scope of what's best for communities, employees, customers, and our shareholders and understanding that there's a long-term view to how you provide that kind of service and run that kind of business and the unique responsibility a utility has to all of its stakeholders. So and and, again, it was Hydro One's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not dividend up. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Mr. Morris, you're both the CEO and the chairman of the board of Avista; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And as chairman of the board, is it right that you have a fiduciary duty to act in the best interest of Avista's shareholders? MR. MORRIS: I do. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And don't all directors have a fiduciary duty to serve in the best interest of the owners; that is, the shareholders? MR. MORRIS: We do. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So assuming that Hydro One's proposed acquisition of a hundred percent of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of of the Governance Agreement that we created is that we have a separate board of directors that has been delegated the authority to run the company. And that indeed, that that the interest of our shareholder will absolutely be at the top of mind, but also in the idea that those decisions are made from the Pacific Northwest with independent directors, looking at it from a broad scope of what's best for communities, employees, customers, and our shareholders and understanding that there's a long-term view to how you provide that kind of service and run that kind of business and the unique responsibility a utility has to all of its stakeholders. So and and, again, it was Hydro One's idea to set up the separate board of directors with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not dividend up. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Mr. Morris, you're both the CEO and the chairman of the board of Avista; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And as chairman of the board, is it right that you have a fiduciary duty to act in the best interest of Avista's shareholders? MR. MORRIS: I do. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And don't all directors have a fiduciary duty to serve in the best interest of the owners; that is, the shareholders? MR. MORRIS: We do. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So assuming that Hydro One's proposed acquisition of a hundred percent of Avista's stock is approved, who will be Avista's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of of the Governance Agreement that we created is that we have a separate board of directors that has been delegated the authority to run the company. And that indeed, that that the interest of our shareholder will absolutely be at the top of mind, but also in the idea that those decisions are made from the Pacific Northwest with independent directors, looking at it from a broad scope of what's best for communities, employees, customers, and our shareholders and understanding that there's a long-term view to how you provide that kind of service and run that kind of business and the unique responsibility a utility has to all of its stakeholders. So and and, again, it was Hydro One's idea to set up the separate board of directors with these independent directors in delegating that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not dividend up. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Mr. Morris, you're both the CEO and the chairman of the board of Avista; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And as chairman of the board, is it right that you have a fiduciary duty to act in the best interest of Avista's shareholders? MR. MORRIS: I do. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And don't all directors have a fiduciary duty to serve in the best interest of the owners; that is, the shareholders? MR. MORRIS: We do. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So assuming that Hydro One's proposed acquisition of a hundred percent of Avista's stock is approved, who will be Avista's shareholder?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of of the Governance Agreement that we created is that we have a separate board of directors that has been delegated the authority to run the company. And that indeed, that that the interest of our shareholder will absolutely be at the top of mind, but also in the idea that those decisions are made from the Pacific Northwest with independent directors, looking at it from a broad scope of what's best for communities, employees, customers, and our shareholders and understanding that there's a long-term view to how you provide that kind of service and run that kind of business and the unique responsibility a utility has to all of its stakeholders. So and and, again, it was Hydro One's idea to set up the separate board of directors with these independent directors in delegating that authority. So they're very comfortable with that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not dividend up. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Mr. Morris, you're both the CEO and the chairman of the board of Avista; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And as chairman of the board, is it right that you have a fiduciary duty to act in the best interest of Avista's shareholders? MR. MORRIS: I do. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And don't all directors have a fiduciary duty to serve in the best interest of the owners; that is, the shareholders? MR. MORRIS: We do. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So assuming that Hydro One's proposed acquisition of a hundred percent of Avista's stock is approved, who will be Avista's shareholder? MR. MORRIS: Hydro One.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of of the Governance Agreement that we created is that we have a separate board of directors that has been delegated the authority to run the company. And that indeed, that that the interest of our shareholder will absolutely be at the top of mind, but also in the idea that those decisions are made from the Pacific Northwest with independent directors, looking at it from a broad scope of what's best for communities, employees, customers, and our shareholders and understanding that there's a long-term view to how you provide that kind of service and run that kind of business and the unique responsibility a utility has to all of its stakeholders. So and and, again, it was Hydro One's idea to set up the separate board of directors with these independent directors in delegating that authority. So they're very comfortable with that arrangement. It was it was their idea to set it up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not dividend up. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Mr. Morris, you're both the CEO and the chairman of the board of Avista; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And as chairman of the board, is it right that you have a fiduciary duty to act in the best interest of Avista's shareholders? MR. MORRIS: I do. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And don't all directors have a fiduciary duty to serve in the best interest of the owners; that is, the shareholders? MR. MORRIS: We do. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So assuming that Hydro One's proposed acquisition of a hundred percent of Avista's stock is approved, who will be Avista's shareholder? MR. MORRIS: Hydro One. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Or Olympus? Or or</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of of the Governance Agreement that we created is that we have a separate board of directors that has been delegated the authority to run the company. And that indeed, that that the interest of our shareholder will absolutely be at the top of mind, but also in the idea that those decisions are made from the Pacific Northwest with independent directors, looking at it from a broad scope of what's best for communities, employees, customers, and our shareholders and understanding that there's a long-term view to how you provide that kind of service and run that kind of business and the unique responsibility a utility has to all of its stakeholders. So and and, again, it was Hydro One's idea to set up the separate board of directors with these independent directors in delegating that authority. So they're very comfortable with that arrangement. It was it was their idea to set it up this way, and I was very much supportive of that. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not dividend up. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Mr. Morris, you're both the CEO and the chairman of the board of Avista; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And as chairman of the board, is it right that you have a fiduciary duty to act in the best interest of Avista's shareholders? MR. MORRIS: I do. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And don't all directors have a fiduciary duty to serve in the best interest of the owners; that is, the shareholders? MR. MORRIS: We do. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So assuming that Hydro One's proposed acquisition of a hundred percent of Avista's stock is approved, who will be Avista's shareholder? MR. MORRIS: Hydro One. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Or Olympus? Or or Hydro Hydro One?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of of the Governance Agreement that we created is that we have a separate board of directors that has been delegated the authority to run the company. And that indeed, that that the interest of our shareholder will absolutely be at the top of mind, but also in the idea that those decisions are made from the Pacific Northwest with independent directors, looking at it from a broad scope of what's best for communities, employees, customers, and our shareholders and understanding that there's a long-term view to how you provide that kind of service and run that kind of business and the unique responsibility a utility has to all of its stakeholders. So and and, again, it was Hydro One's idea to set up the separate board of directors with these independent directors in delegating that authority. So they're very comfortable with that arrangement. It was it was their idea to set it up this way, and I was very much supportive of that. So so I suppose you could create scenarios where perhaps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>if we fall below certain levels that we're not allowed to dividend up. So we would follow the commitments agreed upon in the in the Governance Agreement. So if we fell below that level, then no, we would not dividend up. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Mr. Morris, you're both the CEO and the chairman of the board of Avista; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And as chairman of the board, is it right that you have a fiduciary duty to act in the best interest of Avista's shareholders? MR. MORRIS: I do. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And don't all directors have a fiduciary duty to serve in the best interest of the owners; that is, the shareholders? MR. MORRIS: We do. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So assuming that Hydro One's proposed acquisition of a hundred percent of Avista's stock is approved, who will be Avista's shareholder? MR. MORRIS: Hydro One. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Or Olympus? Or or</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	some specific action or refrain from doing something, you think that'll influence your decision on making those decisions, will you act in accordance with your owner's wishes? MR. MORRIS: Well, I think the beauty of of the Governance Agreement that we created is that we have a separate board of directors that has been delegated the authority to run the company. And that indeed, that that the interest of our shareholder will absolutely be at the top of mind, but also in the idea that those decisions are made from the Pacific Northwest with independent directors, looking at it from a broad scope of what's best for communities, employees, customers, and our shareholders and understanding that there's a long-term view to how you provide that kind of service and run that kind of business and the unique responsibility a utility has to all of its stakeholders. So and and, again, it was Hydro One's idea to set up the separate board of directors with these independent directors in delegating that authority. So they're very comfortable with that arrangement. It was it was their idea to set it up this way, and I was very much supportive of that. So

10 (Pages 375 to 378)

		1	
	Page 379		Page 381
1	In a realistic I think realistically,	1	Hydro One's proactive negotiation with us, they have
2	they want to create and have the most shareholder value	2	delegated authority for all compensation issues to the
3	they can, and it's in their best interest that we	3	board of Avista, with the independent board. So they
4	perform at a high level. So so I think it's it's	4	have no say in our compensation structure here in the
5	not I don't see scenarios where they're going to be	5	U.S. That's delegated to our board of five independent
6	telling us to do things that would be destructive long	6	directors from the in the Northwest, Pacific
7	term for a short-term gain because this is a long-term	7	Northwest, who are on that board.
8	business.	8	So I I don't see I don't have concern
9	JUDGE MOSS: So then when you say that,	9	at all about the premier reaching into our business
10	Mr. Morris, do you take into account that the Province's	10	telling us how to run it. We we've effectively,
11	interference in Hydro One's affairs back in the summer	11	through these delegation of authorities and these
12	had a result of reducing the shareholder the Hydro	12	commitments, been able to ringfence not just
13	One main shareholder, the Province, 47 percent owner,	13	financially, but from a governance perspective, the
14	they lost something like \$200 million in share value?	14	independent operation of our company.
15	MR. MORRIS: Yes.	15	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And we'll be
16	JUDGE MOSS: So despite a move like that,	16	looking into that today as well. I thank your for the
17	you're not at all concerned?	17	answers to my questions. That's all the questions I
18	MR. MORRIS: What I would say is they made a	18	have at this time.
19	decision around following the Governance Agreement to	19	Judge?
20	remove the board and the and and and the CEO,	20	I don't know if my colleagues have
21	which did create that that destruction of shareholder	21	questions.
22	value, but at no time has and I'll let Mr. Woods and	22	JUDGE MOSS: Go ahead.
23	Mr. Scarlett talk about this, but the Province has not	23	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Thank you.
24	engaged in any type of conversation with them about how	24	Good morning, Mr. Morris.
25	to run the business after that.	25	MR. MORRIS: Good morning, Commissioner.
	Page 380		Page 382
1	They made a decision on changing out	1	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So you made a
2	leadership, but they have not interfered in the in	2	statement in response to one of Chair Danner's questions
3	in how Hydro One chooses to operate and perform and	3	regarding the exit of Mr. Schmidt from Hydro One, and I
4	serve its shareholders, its customers, its community or	4	think you stated something to the effect that the
5	how they treat their employees. So from that	5	company that Hydro One's values are still intact.
6	perspective, I don't see a risk because that isn't the	6	What what do you say in response to the fact that the
7	intent of how what happened	7	company, meaning Hydro One, effectively ignored the
8	CHAIRMAN DANNER: But isn't it true that	8	procedures in its Governance Agreement to facilitate the
9	since that date, there have been limitations I mean,	9	exit of the board and the CEO? What does that say about
10	this is Ontario legislature under the direction of	10	the values of Hydro One?
11	Mr. Ford, again, putting limitations on executive	11	MR. MORRIS: Well, what I would tell you,
12	compensation. He has certainly made noises both about	12	again, we're talking about the actions, and I'll let
13	reducing rates by 12 percent, which I think would affect	13	Mr. Scarlett and Mr. Woods talk about that, but it was
14	the revenue stream of the company. At the at the	14	the largest shareholder making a decision that they
15	same time not only has he made noises, but he's bragged	15	they wanted to exercise the Governance Agreement on the
16	about being able to keep his promises.	16	board of directors. And the board could have followed
17	MR. MORRIS: Sure.	17	the Governance Agreement this is my understanding.
18	CHAIRMAN DANNER: So is there a potential	18	And, again, I'll let them I'd like to make let
19	that your board of directors would come to you and ask	19	Hydro One elaborate. But they decided that it was not
20	you for help in implementing some of those	20	in the best interest of the company in order to prolong
21	MR. MORRIS: My answer my answer would be	21	and follow it to the letter of the law.
22	no because of the spirit of a few things. The idea	22	So they the board I think from a values
23	around the executive comp, it states clearly that is for	23	perspective and care perspective felt like they would
24	Canada and Canadian subsidiaries. It does not include	24	resign so that we could go ahead and move on and get the
25	U.S. subsidiaries. That's clear in the bill. That on	25	new board in place. My understanding is that they would

11 (Pages 379 to 382)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

r		1	
	Page 383		Page 385
1	have followed the Governance Agreement, that we would be	1	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But these benefits,
2	getting the new board in place literally now as opposed	2	it's not it's coming from Avista primarily if it's
3	to being able to do it in an expedited manner, and that,	3	coming from retained earnings, correct?
4	indeed, that from a values perspective and a cultural	4	MR. MORRIS: Yes.
5	perspective, you know, my Avista is my company is	5	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you.
6	a 129-year-old company and my board certainly has an	6	MR. THIES: Commissioner, may I add some
7	impact on culture and values. I would say that the	7	color
8	hundred and the 1700 employees that create Avista is	8	Or Judge.
9	the culture and the values of the company and that it's	9	to that?
10	our company and that that the actions of something	10	JUDGE MOSS: You you have a question?
11	like that, I don't I wouldn't say is a reflection	11	MR. THIES: Well, I was going to add some
12	of of your of your values. So no, I wouldn't say	12	color to Commissioner Rendahl's question on the just
13	that.	13	coming from retained earnings.
14	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning,	14	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Well, I think
15	Mr. Morris.	15	there'll be some other questions
16	MR. MORRIS: Hi.	16	MR. THIES: Okay. Okay.
17	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Do you recall a line	17	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: later.
18	of questioning with Chair Danner about the shareholder	18	MR. THIES: I'm sorry. Yeah, that's fine.
19	funding	19	I'm sorry.
20	MR. MORRIS: Yes.	20	JUDGE MOSS: Let's perhaps the Commission
21	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: parts of your	21	will ask specific questions, but Mr. Thies, we'll turn
22	testimony in SLM-5T?	22	to you.
23	MR. MORRIS: I do, Commissioner.	23	CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right.
24	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So there was a one	24	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Good morning,
25	of the last portions that he read, the question was, on	25	Mr. Thies.
	Page 384		Page 386
1		1	
1	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe	1	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner.
2	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to	2	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista
2 3	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders	2 3	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017?
2 3 4	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard?	2 3 4	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes.
2 3 4 5	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in	2 3 4 5	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about
2 3 4 5 6	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in front of you?	2 3 4 5 6	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about roughly, not exact dollars, but to the nearest million
2 3 4 5 6 7	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in front of you? MR. MORRIS: I do, I do, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about roughly, not exact dollars, but to the nearest million or so, how much was that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in front of you? MR. MORRIS: I do, I do, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So given the line of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about roughly, not exact dollars, but to the nearest million or so, how much was that? MR. THIES: I I would have to look it up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in front of you? MR. MORRIS: I do, I do, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So given the line of questioning that the shareholder funding can be both	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about roughly, not exact dollars, but to the nearest million or so, how much was that? MR. THIES: I I would have to look it up. I don't know off the top of my head.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in front of you? MR. MORRIS: I do, I do, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So given the line of questioning that the shareholder funding can be both dividending up and potentially contributing capital down	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about roughly, not exact dollars, but to the nearest million or so, how much was that? MR. THIES: I I would have to look it up. I don't know off the top of my head. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: If I said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in front of you? MR. MORRIS: I do, I do, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So given the line of questioning that the shareholder funding can be both dividending up and potentially contributing capital down from Hydro One, but the statements have been in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about roughly, not exact dollars, but to the nearest million or so, how much was that? MR. THIES: I I would have to look it up. I don't know off the top of my head. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: If I said approximately \$116 million, would that sound close to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in front of you? MR. MORRIS: I do, I do, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So given the line of questioning that the shareholder funding can be both dividending up and potentially contributing capital down from Hydro One, but the statements have been in the record in your current testimony and other testimony	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about roughly, not exact dollars, but to the nearest million or so, how much was that? MR. THIES: I I would have to look it up. I don't know off the top of my head. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: If I said approximately \$116 million, would that sound close to MR. THIES: That would sound close, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in front of you? MR. MORRIS: I do, I do, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So given the line of questioning that the shareholder funding can be both dividending up and potentially contributing capital down from Hydro One, but the statements have been in the record in your current testimony and other testimony that that it will be the equity that is retained	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about roughly, not exact dollars, but to the nearest million or so, how much was that? MR. THIES: 1 I would have to look it up. I don't know off the top of my head. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: If I said approximately \$116 million, would that sound close to MR. THIES: That would sound close, yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in front of you? MR. MORRIS: I do, I do, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So given the line of questioning that the shareholder funding can be both dividending up and potentially contributing capital down from Hydro One, but the statements have been in the record in your current testimony and other testimony that that it will be the equity that is retained earnings that will be funding this, these commitments.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about roughly, not exact dollars, but to the nearest million or so, how much was that? MR. THIES: 1 I would have to look it up. I don't know off the top of my head. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: If I said approximately \$116 million, would that sound close to MR. THIES: That would sound close, yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And how much did Avista utilities contribute to that positive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in front of you? MR. MORRIS: I do, I do, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So given the line of questioning that the shareholder funding can be both dividending up and potentially contributing capital down from Hydro One, but the statements have been in the record in your current testimony and other testimony that that it will be the equity that is retained earnings that will be funding this, these commitments. Now, you say that that these are customers, on line	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about roughly, not exact dollars, but to the nearest million or so, how much was that? MR. THIES: 1 I would have to look it up. I don't know off the top of my head. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: If I said approximately \$116 million, would that sound close to MR. THIES: That would sound close, yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And how much did Avista utilities contribute to that positive net income in 2017?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in front of you? MR. MORRIS: I do, I do, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So given the line of questioning that the shareholder funding can be both dividending up and potentially contributing capital down from Hydro One, but the statements have been in the record in your current testimony and other testimony that that it will be the equity that is retained earnings that will be funding this, these commitments. Now, you say that that these are customers, on line 15, you said, (as read) Absent this merger, customers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about roughly, not exact dollars, but to the nearest million or so, how much was that? MR. THIES: 1 I would have to look it up. I don't know off the top of my head. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: If I said approximately \$116 million, would that sound close to MR. THIES: That would sound close, yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And how much did Avista utilities contribute to that positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Again, off the top of my head, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in front of you? MR. MORRIS: I do, I do, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So given the line of questioning that the shareholder funding can be both dividending up and potentially contributing capital down from Hydro One, but the statements have been in the record in your current testimony and other testimony that that it will be the equity that is retained earnings that will be funding this, these commitments. Now, you say that that these are customers, on line 15, you said, (as read) Absent this merger, customers would not have enjoyed these net benefits.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about roughly, not exact dollars, but to the nearest million or so, how much was that? MR. THIES: I I would have to look it up. I don't know off the top of my head. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: If I said approximately \$116 million, would that sound close to MR. THIES: That would sound close, yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And how much did Avista utilities contribute to that positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Again, off the top of my head, I don't I don't know exactly, but they are the lion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in front of you? MR. MORRIS: I do, I do, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So given the line of questioning that the shareholder funding can be both dividending up and potentially contributing capital down from Hydro One, but the statements have been in the record in your current testimony and other testimony that that it will be the equity that is retained earnings that will be funding this, these commitments. Now, you say that that these are customers, on line 15, you said, (as read) Absent this merger, customers would not have enjoyed these net benefits. So if primarily this is coming from retained	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about roughly, not exact dollars, but to the nearest million or so, how much was that? MR. THIES: 1 I would have to look it up. I don't know off the top of my head. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: If I said approximately \$116 million, would that sound close to MR. THIES: That would sound close, yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And how much did Avista utilities contribute to that positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Again, off the top of my head, I don't I don't know exactly, but they are the lion shares. It's generally greater than 90 percent.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in front of you? MR. MORRIS: I do, I do, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So given the line of questioning that the shareholder funding can be both dividending up and potentially contributing capital down from Hydro One, but the statements have been in the record in your current testimony and other testimony that that it will be the equity that is retained earnings that will be funding this, these commitments. Now, you say that that these are customers, on line 15, you said, (as read) Absent this merger, customers would not have enjoyed these net benefits. So if primarily this is coming from retained earnings, where is the net benefit to customers?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about roughly, not exact dollars, but to the nearest million or so, how much was that? MR. THIES: 1 I would have to look it up. I don't know off the top of my head. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: If I said approximately \$116 million, would that sound close to MR. THIES: That would sound close, yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And how much did Avista utilities contribute to that positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Again, off the top of my head, I don't I don't know exactly, but they are the lion shares. It's generally greater than 90 percent. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And am I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in front of you? MR. MORRIS: I do, I do, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So given the line of questioning that the shareholder funding can be both dividending up and potentially contributing capital down from Hydro One, but the statements have been in the record in your current testimony and other testimony that that it will be the equity that is retained earnings that will be funding this, these commitments. Now, you say that that these are customers, on line 15, you said, (as read) Absent this merger, customers would not have enjoyed these net benefits. So if primarily this is coming from retained earnings, where is the net benefit to customers? MR. MORRIS: Well, these these are all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about roughly, not exact dollars, but to the nearest million or so, how much was that? MR. THIES: 1 I would have to look it up. I don't know off the top of my head. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: If I said approximately \$116 million, would that sound close to MR. THIES: That would sound close, yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And how much did Avista utilities contribute to that positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Again, off the top of my head, I don't I don't know exactly, but they are the lion shares. It's generally greater than 90 percent. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And am I correct in assuming, then, that Avista utility
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in front of you? MR. MORRIS: I do, I do, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So given the line of questioning that the shareholder funding can be both dividending up and potentially contributing capital down from Hydro One, but the statements have been in the record in your current testimony and other testimony that that it will be the equity that is retained earnings that will be funding this, these commitments. Now, you say that that these are customers, on line 15, you said, (as read) Absent this merger, customers would not have enjoyed these net benefits. So if primarily this is coming from retained earnings, where is the net benefit to customers? MR. MORRIS: Well, these these are all new programs that would otherwise not be funded if we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about roughly, not exact dollars, but to the nearest million or so, how much was that? MR. THIES: 1 I would have to look it up. I don't know off the top of my head. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: If I said approximately \$116 million, would that sound close to MR. THIES: That would sound close, yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And how much did Avista utilities contribute to that positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Again, off the top of my head, I don't I don't know exactly, but they are the lion shares. It's generally greater than 90 percent. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And am I correct in assuming, then, that Avista utility ratepayers generated that positive net income in 2017?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in front of you? MR. MORRIS: I do, I do, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So given the line of questioning that the shareholder funding can be both dividending up and potentially contributing capital down from Hydro One, but the statements have been in the record in your current testimony and other testimony that that it will be the equity that is retained earnings that will be funding this, these commitments. Now, you say that that these are customers, on line 15, you said, (as read) Absent this merger, customers would not have enjoyed these net benefits. So if primarily this is coming from retained earnings, where is the net benefit to customers? MR. MORRIS: Well, these these are all new programs that would otherwise not be funded if we did not do this this deal. So the benefits come from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about roughly, not exact dollars, but to the nearest million or so, how much was that? MR. THIES: 1 I would have to look it up. I don't know off the top of my head. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: If I said approximately \$116 million, would that sound close to MR. THIES: That would sound close, yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And how much did Avista utilities contribute to that positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Again, off the top of my head, I don't I don't know exactly, but they are the lion shares. It's generally greater than 90 percent. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And am I correct in assuming, then, that Avista utility ratepayers generated that positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes, that is that is our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in front of you? MR. MORRIS: 1 do, 1 do, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So given the line of questioning that the shareholder funding can be both dividending up and potentially contributing capital down from Hydro One, but the statements have been in the record in your current testimony and other testimony that that it will be the equity that is retained earnings that will be funding this, these commitments. Now, you say that that these are customers, on line 15, you said, (as read) Absent this merger, customers would not have enjoyed these net benefits. So if primarily this is coming from retained earnings, where is the net benefit to customers? MR. MORRIS: Well, these these are all new programs that would otherwise not be funded if we did not do this this deal. So the benefits come from the fact that these thas these these benefits	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about roughly, not exact dollars, but to the nearest million or so, how much was that? MR. THIES: 1 I would have to look it up. I don't know off the top of my head. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: If I said approximately \$116 million, would that sound close to MR. THIES: That would sound close, yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And how much did Avista utilities contribute to that positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Again, off the top of my head, I don't I don't know exactly, but they are the lion shares. It's generally greater than 90 percent. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And am I correct in assuming, then, that Avista utility ratepayers generated that positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes, that is that is our revenue stream comes from our customers, delivery of our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	line 12, page 9, (as read) By any measure do you believe that financial benefits of 44.3 million [inaudible] to Washington customers and paid for by shareholders satisfy the net benefit standard? Do you recall that or do you have that in front of you? MR. MORRIS: I do, I do, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So given the line of questioning that the shareholder funding can be both dividending up and potentially contributing capital down from Hydro One, but the statements have been in the record in your current testimony and other testimony that that it will be the equity that is retained earnings that will be funding this, these commitments. Now, you say that that these are customers, on line 15, you said, (as read) Absent this merger, customers would not have enjoyed these net benefits. So if primarily this is coming from retained earnings, where is the net benefit to customers? MR. MORRIS: Well, these these are all new programs that would otherwise not be funded if we did not do this this deal. So the benefits come from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. THIES: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did Avista Corporation have positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: About about roughly, not exact dollars, but to the nearest million or so, how much was that? MR. THIES: 1 I would have to look it up. I don't know off the top of my head. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: If I said approximately \$116 million, would that sound close to MR. THIES: That would sound close, yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And how much did Avista utilities contribute to that positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Again, off the top of my head, I don't I don't know exactly, but they are the lion shares. It's generally greater than 90 percent. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And am I correct in assuming, then, that Avista utility ratepayers generated that positive net income in 2017? MR. THIES: Yes, that is that is our

12 (Pages 383 to 386)

		1	
	Page 387		Page 389
1	Corporation do with the net income in 2017?	1	retained earnings would be the first source of funds to
2	MR. THIES: So largely, we pay approximately	2	fund the various settlement stipulation commitments?
3	it's about a hundred million or 90 to a hundred million	3	MR. THIES: No, it depends on what the
4	dollars. I don't know, again, the exact amount is	4	commitments are. Like the rate credit, yes, that was
5	dividends to shareholders. And then the rest is	5	that will go through Avista, and that is the largest of
6	reinvested in the business as part of our retained	6	all of those commitments. Some of the other fundings, I
7	earnings.	7	don't know that we've specifically said that it has
8	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Okay. And do you	8	the funds should come from Hydro One in a contribution
9	have an approximate guess as to how much of that in 2017	9	and then we could pay it or they would come from
10	was retained earnings by Avista Corporation?	10	retained earnings and then we would seek an equity
11	MR. THIES: Well, the so all of our	11	contribution.
12	earnings go into retained earnings and then dividends	12	I don't know that the specific details of
13	come out of retained earnings.	13	each one of those commitments have been worked out on
14	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Right.	14	the flow of funds directly. Ultimately, it will impact
15	MR. THIES: So a hundred percent goes into	15	Hydro One because it will go through Avista's earnings
16	the retained earnings and then the dividend comes out of	16	or they will fund it directly.
17	retained earnings.	17	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So am I correct,
18	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So I guess my	18	then, in concluding that effectively Avista ratepayers
19	question, then, is after the dividends, roughly give or	19	are the source of the vast majority of Avista's retained
20	take, how much was retained earnings in	20	earnings?
21	(Multiple speakers.)	21	MR. THIES: Yes, as a source of our revenue
22	MR. THIES: You know, 15 to 25 million. I	22	stream because of the delivery of our service and the
23	don't know exact. We can check that. I could get a	23	payment of our rates, yes, they are the direct
24	correct, an exact answer.	24	provider
25	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So did the merger	25	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So am I correct in
	Page 388		Page 390
1	5	1	_
1	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking	1	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers
2	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various	2	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the
2 3	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation?	2 3	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation?
2 3 4	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more	2 3 4	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so,
2 3 4 5	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments?	2 3 4 5	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the
2 3 4 5 6	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service.
2 3 4 5 6 7	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. MR. THIES: So as I understand it, the	2 3 4 5 6 7	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service. We're providing electric service or natural gas service,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. MR. THIES: So as I understand it, the commitments a certain of the commitments will come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service. We're providing electric service or natural gas service, so their funds are coming in for a service they're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. MR. THIES: So as I understand it, the commitments a certain of the commitments will come out of Avista's funds like the rate credit, right? So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service. We're providing electric service or natural gas service, so their funds are coming in for a service they're receiving, and then Avista's retained earnings is really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. MR. THIES: So as I understand it, the commitments a certain of the commitments will come out of Avista's funds like the rate credit, right? So that is a credit back to our customers that will come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service. We're providing electric service or natural gas service, so their funds are coming in for a service they're receiving, and then Avista's retained earnings is really the shareholders' benefits.
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. MR. THIES: So as I understand it, the commitments a certain of the commitments will come out of Avista's funds like the rate credit, right? So that is a credit back to our customers that will come out directly of Avista's dollars, but then we will we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service. We're providing electric service or natural gas service, so their funds are coming in for a service they're receiving, and then Avista's retained earnings is really the shareholders' benefits. So in in the regulatory compact, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. MR. THIES: So as I understand it, the commitments a certain of the commitments will come out of Avista's funds like the rate credit, right? So that is a credit back to our customers that will come out directly of Avista's dollars, but then we will we expect to have and have, you know, worked through,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service. We're providing electric service or natural gas service, so their funds are coming in for a service they're receiving, and then Avista's retained earnings is really the shareholders' benefits. So in in the regulatory compact, you know, the shareholders are allowed to earn a fair
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. MR. THIES: So as I understand it, the commitments a certain of the commitments will come out of Avista's funds like the rate credit, right? So that is a credit back to our customers that will come out directly of Avista's dollars, but then we will we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service. We're providing electric service or natural gas service, so their funds are coming in for a service they're receiving, and then Avista's retained earnings is really the shareholders' benefits. So in in the regulatory compact, you know, the shareholders are allowed to earn a fair return. That return is funded by the customers, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. MR. THIES: So as I understand it, the commitments a certain of the commitments will come out of Avista's funds like the rate credit, right? So that is a credit back to our customers that will come out directly of Avista's dollars, but then we will we expect to have and have, you know, worked through, worked with Hydro One to maintain a prudent balance sheet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service. We're providing electric service or natural gas service, so their funds are coming in for a service they're receiving, and then Avista's retained earnings is really the shareholders' benefits. So in in the regulatory compact, you know, the shareholders are allowed to earn a fair return. That return is funded by the customers, that is that is correct, but it is a return for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. MR. THIES: So as I understand it, the commitments a certain of the commitments will come out of Avista's funds like the rate credit, right? So that is a credit back to our customers that will come out directly of Avista's dollars, but then we will we expect to have and have, you know, worked through, worked with Hydro One to maintain a prudent balance sheet. And so we will you know, we will we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service. We're providing electric service or natural gas service, so their funds are coming in for a service they're receiving, and then Avista's retained earnings is really the shareholders' benefits. So in in the regulatory compact, you know, the shareholders are allowed to earn a fair return. That return is funded by the customers, that is that is correct, but it is a return for the shareholder. So that retained earnings and that and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. MR. THIES: So as I understand it, the commitments a certain of the commitments will come out of Avista's funds like the rate credit, right? So that is a credit back to our customers that will come out directly of Avista's dollars, but then we will we expect to have and have, you know, worked through, worked with Hydro One to maintain a prudent balance sheet. And so we will you know, we will we will dividend some dollars up, but then we expect to get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service. We're providing electric service or natural gas service, so their funds are coming in for a service they're receiving, and then Avista's retained earnings is really the shareholders' benefits. So in in the regulatory compact, you know, the shareholders are allowed to earn a fair return. That return is funded by the customers, that is that is correct, but it is a return for the shareholder. So that retained earnings and that and that earning amount is really the shareholder dollars
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. MR. THIES: So as I understand it, the commitments a certain of the commitments will come out of Avista's funds like the rate credit, right? So that is a credit back to our customers that will come out directly of Avista's dollars, but then we will we expect to have and have, you know, worked through, worked with Hydro One to maintain a prudent balance sheet. And so we will you know, we will we will dividend some dollars up, but then we expect to get an equity contribution from the parent and maintains our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service. We're providing electric service or natural gas service, so their funds are coming in for a service they're receiving, and then Avista's retained earnings is really the shareholders' benefits. So in in the regulatory compact, you know, the shareholders are allowed to earn a fair return. That return is funded by the customers, that is that is correct, but it is a return for the shareholder. So that retained earnings and that and that earning amount is really the shareholder dollars that either gets dividended out or reinvested in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. MR. THIES: So as I understand it, the commitments a certain of the commitments will come out of Avista's funds like the rate credit, right? So that is a credit back to our customers that will come out directly of Avista's dollars, but then we will we expect to have and have, you know, worked through, worked with Hydro One to maintain a prudent balance sheet. And so we will you know, we will we will dividend some dollars up, but then we expect to get an equity contribution from the parent and maintains our prudent balance sheet. So all those factor into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service. We're providing electric service or natural gas service, so their funds are coming in for a service they're receiving, and then Avista's retained earnings is really the shareholders' benefits. So in in the regulatory compact, you know, the shareholders are allowed to earn a fair return. That return is funded by the customers, that is that is correct, but it is a return for the shareholder. So that retained earnings and that and that earning amount is really the shareholder dollars that either gets dividended out or reinvested in the business.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. MR. THIES: So as I understand it, the commitments a certain of the commitments will come out of Avista's funds like the rate credit, right? So that is a credit back to our customers that will come out directly of Avista's dollars, but then we will we expect to have and have, you know, worked through, worked with Hydro One to maintain a prudent balance sheet. And so we will you know, we will we will dividend some dollars up, but then we expect to get an equity contribution from the parent and maintains our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service. We're providing electric service or natural gas service, so their funds are coming in for a service they're receiving, and then Avista's retained earnings is really the shareholders' benefits. So in in the regulatory compact, you know, the shareholders are allowed to earn a fair return. That return is funded by the customers, that is that is correct, but it is a return for the shareholder. So that retained earnings and that and that earning amount is really the shareholder dollars that either gets dividended out or reinvested in the business. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. MR. THIES: So as I understand it, the commitments a certain of the commitments will come out of Avista's funds like the rate credit, right? So that is a credit back to our customers that will come out directly of Avista's dollars, but then we will we expect to have and have, you know, worked through, worked with Hydro One to maintain a prudent balance sheet. And so we will you know, we will we will dividend some dollars up, but then we expect to get an equity contribution from the parent and maintains our prudent balance sheet. So all those factor into ultimately that impacts, you know, Hydro One, that those dollars that come out of the rate credit will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service. We're providing electric service or natural gas service, so their funds are coming in for a service they're receiving, and then Avista's retained earnings is really the shareholders' benefits. So in in the regulatory compact, you know, the shareholders are allowed to earn a fair return. That return is funded by the customers, that is that is correct, but it is a return for the shareholder. So that retained earnings and that and that earning amount is really the shareholder dollars that either gets dividended out or reinvested in the business. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. MR. THIES: So as I understand it, the commitments a certain of the commitments will come out of Avista's funds like the rate credit, right? So that is a credit back to our customers that will come out directly of Avista's dollars, but then we will we expect to have and have, you know, worked through, worked with Hydro One to maintain a prudent balance sheet. And so we will you know, we will we will dividend some dollars up, but then we expect to get an equity contribution from the parent and maintains our prudent balance sheet. So all those factor into ultimately that impacts, you know, Hydro One, that those dollars that come out of the rate credit will be negative to our earnings, yet we need to maintain a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service. We're providing electric service or natural gas service, so their funds are coming in for a service they're receiving, and then Avista's retained earnings is really the shareholders' benefits. So in in the regulatory compact, you know, the shareholders are allowed to earn a fair return. That return is funded by the customers, that is that is correct, but it is a return for the shareholder. So that retained earnings and that and that earning amount is really the shareholder dollars that either gets dividended out or reinvested in the business. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. So I would like to I'd like to pose the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. MR. THIES: So as I understand it, the commitments a certain of the commitments will come out of Avista's funds like the rate credit, right? So that is a credit back to our customers that will come out directly of Avista's dollars, but then we will we expect to have and have, you know, worked through, worked with Hydro One to maintain a prudent balance sheet. Mnd so we will you know, we will we will dividend some dollars up, but then we expect to get an equity contribution from the parent and maintains our prudent balance sheet. So all those factor into ultimately that impacts, you know, Hydro One, that those dollars that come out of the rate credit will be negative to our earnings, yet we need to maintain a prudent balance sheet so that we would then get an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service. We're providing electric service or natural gas service, so their funds are coming in for a service they're receiving, and then Avista's retained earnings is really the shareholders' benefits. So in in the regulatory compact, you know, the shareholders are allowed to earn a fair return. That return is funded by the customers, that is that is correct, but it is a return for the shareholder. So that retained earnings and that and that earning amount is really the shareholder dollars that either gets dividended out or reinvested in the business. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. So I would like to I'd like to pose the same question that Chair Danner did to Mr. Morris about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. MR. THIES: So as I understand it, the commitments a certain of the commitments will come out of Avista's funds like the rate credit, right? So that is a credit back to our customers that will come out directly of Avista's dollars, but then we will we expect to have and have, you know, worked through, worked with Hydro One to maintain a prudent balance sheet. And so we will you know, we will we will dividend some dollars up, but then we expect to get an equity contribution from the parent and maintains our prudent balance sheet. So all those factor into ultimately that impacts, you know, Hydro One, that those dollars that come out of the rate credit will be negative to our earnings, yet we need to maintain a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service. We're providing electric service or natural gas service, so their funds are coming in for a service they're receiving, and then Avista's retained earnings is really the shareholders' benefits. So in in the regulatory compact, you know, the shareholders are allowed to earn a fair return. That return is funded by the customers, that is that is correct, but it is a return for the shareholder. So that retained earnings and that and that earning amount is really the shareholder dollars that either gets dividended out or reinvested in the business. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. So I would like to I'd like to pose the same question that Chair Danner did to Mr. Morris about the events of July 11th. Do you believe that the events
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. MR. THIES: So as I understand it, the commitments a certain of the commitments will come out of Avista's funds like the rate credit, right? So that is a credit back to our customers that will come out directly of Avista's dollars, but then we will we expect to have and have, you know, worked through, worked with Hydro One to maintain a prudent balance sheet. And so we will you know, we will we will dividend some dollars up, but then we expect to get an equity contribution from the parent and maintains our prudent balance sheet. So all those factor into ultimately that impacts, you know, Hydro One, that those dollars that come out of the rate credit will be negative to our earnings, yet we need to maintain a prudent balance sheet so that we would then get an equity contribution to cover that. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So have the have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service. We're providing electric service or natural gas service, so their funds are coming in for a service they're receiving, and then Avista's retained earnings is really the shareholders' benefits. So in in the regulatory compact, you know, the shareholders are allowed to earn a fair return. That return is funded by the customers, that is that is correct, but it is a return for the shareholder. So that retained earnings and that and that earning amount is really the shareholder dollars that either gets dividended out or reinvested in the business. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. So I would like to I'd like to pose the same question that Chair Danner did to Mr. Morris about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	agreement contemplate either Hydro One or Avista seeking more shareholder paid in capital to fund the various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I'm not sure I under more capital to fund the commitments? COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Correct. MR. THIES: So as I understand it, the commitments a certain of the commitments will come out of Avista's funds like the rate credit, right? So that is a credit back to our customers that will come out directly of Avista's dollars, but then we will we expect to have and have, you know, worked through, worked with Hydro One to maintain a prudent balance sheet. Mnd so we will you know, we will we will dividend some dollars up, but then we expect to get an equity contribution from the parent and maintains our prudent balance sheet. So all those factor into ultimately that impacts, you know, Hydro One, that those dollars that come out of the rate credit will be negative to our earnings, yet we need to maintain a prudent balance sheet so that we would then get an equity contribution to cover that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	concluding, then, that effectively Avista ratepayers are actually funding these various commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. THIES: I don't believe so, Commissioner. I believe the the ratepayers, the customers, are are paying Avista for a service. We're providing electric service or natural gas service, so their funds are coming in for a service they're receiving, and then Avista's retained earnings is really the shareholders' benefits. So in in the regulatory compact, you know, the shareholders are allowed to earn a fair return. That return is funded by the customers, that is that is correct, but it is a return for the shareholder. So that retained earnings and that and that earning amount is really the shareholder dollars that either gets dividended out or reinvested in the business. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. So I would like to I'd like to pose the same question that Chair Danner did to Mr. Morris about the events of July 11th. Do you believe that the events that happened on July 11th were in Avista's best

13 (Pages 387 to 390)

	Page 391	Page 393
1	MR. THIES: To Mr. Morris or Mr. Thies?	1 MR. THIES: Again, I don't believe so. I
2	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: To you.	2 believe the the board we have an independent board
3	MR. THIES: To me, okay. I'm sorry. Again,	3 of Avista as as as been described in the testimony
4	as Mr. Morris said, the the you know, we did not	4 with the with the two members from Avista, two
5	believe that that was in our best interest, but for all	5 members from Hydro One and the five independent
6	of the other things that we had with the Governance	6 [inaudible] that will determine the the capital
7	Agreement and the cultures and the work together with	7 expenditures or the program to provide safe, reliable
8	Hydro One, we don't believe it should also stop this.	8 service for the company in conjunction with management
9	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Okay.	9 as well as management historic in our practices as we've
10	I'd like to switch gears now, and do you have your	10 had in front of the Commission as management determines
11	testimony MTT-6T in front of you?	11 what capital expenditures we should make and the board
12	MR. THIES: Yes.	12 approves those.
13	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. If you	13 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right.
14	turn to page 4, I believe beginning on line 21, it	14 MR. THIES: I would expect that to continue.
15	starts with the question in your testimony, (as read)	15 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Okay. All right.
16	Could Hydro One cut Avista's capital budget?	16 So if you could stay with your testimony, MTT-6T, I
17	MR. THIES: I'm sorry, what?	17 believe on page 5, lines 12 through 18, you talk about
18	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: The question starts	18 Stipulated Commitment 35 and Stipulated Commitment 36.
19	with, (as read) Could Hydro One cut Avista's capital	19 MR. THIES: Yes.
20	budget?	20 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Do you
21	MR. THIES: Oh, yes, I see it. Thank you.	agree that this testimony and these specific commitments
22	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And your	22 at least imply that Avista may not be able at some point
23	answer effectively talked about Avista's ability to have	23 in time to obtain and maintain a separate credit rating
24	necessary funds to provide safe and reliable service; is	24 from at least one nationally recognized statistical
25	that a fair characterization of your answer to that	25 rating agency?
	De	Page 394
	Page 392	Page 394
1		
1 2	question?	1 MR. THIES: The the purpose for that
1 2 3	question? MR. THIES: Yes.	1 MR. THIES: The the purpose for that 2 statement is, the rating agencies may not they may
2	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading	 MR. THIES: The the purpose for that statement is, the rating agencies may not they may not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say
2 3	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer	1MR. THIES: The the purpose for that2statement is, the rating agencies may not they may3not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say4whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever
2 3 4	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer the question from from the original one of	 MR. THIES: The the purpose for that statement is, the rating agencies may not they may not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever because companies can come and go. So the intent was we
2 3 4 5	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer	 MR. THIES: The the purpose for that statement is, the rating agencies may not they may not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever because companies can come and go. So the intent was we
2 3 4 5 6	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer the question from from the original one of Avista's Avista's relationship with Hydro One and the	 MR. THIES: The the purpose for that statement is, the rating agencies may not they may not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever because companies can come and go. So the intent was we will continue to be rated by at least one of them. If
2 3 4 5 6 7	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer the question from from the original one of Avista's Avista's relationship with Hydro One and the capital budget. So could Hydro One's board or its CEO	 MR. THIES: The the purpose for that statement is, the rating agencies may not they may not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever because companies can come and go. So the intent was we will continue to be rated by at least one of them. If not, we will have to have a separate one available.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer the question from from the original one of Avista's Avista's relationship with Hydro One and the capital budget. So could Hydro One's board or its CEO or the two working in concert issue a directive that	1MR. THIES: The the purpose for that2statement is, the rating agencies may not they may3not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say4whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever5because companies can come and go. So the intent was we6will continue to be rated by at least one of them. If7not, we will have to have a separate one available.8COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So if you could not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer the question from from the original one of Avista's Avista's relationship with Hydro One and the capital budget. So could Hydro One's board or its CEO or the two working in concert issue a directive that neither Hydro One nor any of its subsidiaries is	1MR. THIES: The the purpose for that2statement is, the rating agencies may not they may3not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say4whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever5because companies can come and go. So the intent was we6will continue to be rated by at least one of them. If7not, we will have to have a separate one available.8COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So if you could not9obtain a rating from a credit agency, where would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer the question from from the original one of Avista's Avista's relationship with Hydro One and the capital budget. So could Hydro One's board or its CEO or the two working in concert issue a directive that neither Hydro One nor any of its subsidiaries is authorized to make capital expenditures for renewable	1 MR. THIES: The the purpose for that 2 statement is, the rating agencies may not they may 3 not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say 4 whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever 5 because companies can come and go. So the intent was we 6 will continue to be rated by at least one of them. If 7 not, we will have to have a separate one available. 8 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So if you could not 9 obtain a rating from a credit agency, where would 10 lenders look to decide whether and under what terms they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer the question from from the original one of Avista's Avista's relationship with Hydro One and the capital budget. So could Hydro One's board or its CEO or the two working in concert issue a directive that neither Hydro One nor any of its subsidiaries is authorized to make capital expenditures for renewable energy projects?	1MR. THIES: The the purpose for that2statement is, the rating agencies may not they may3not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say4whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever5because companies can come and go. So the intent was we6will continue to be rated by at least one of them. If7not, we will have to have a separate one available.8COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So if you could not9obtain a rating from a credit agency, where would10lenders look to decide whether and under what terms they11would lend money to Avista?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer the question from from the original one of Avista's Avista's relationship with Hydro One and the capital budget. So could Hydro One's board or its CEO or the two working in concert issue a directive that neither Hydro One nor any of its subsidiaries is authorized to make capital expenditures for renewable energy projects? MR. THIES: No, I don't believe so. I	1MR. THIES: The the purpose for that2statement is, the rating agencies may not they may3not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say4whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever5because companies can come and go. So the intent was we6will continue to be rated by at least one of them. If7not, we will have to have a separate one available.8COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So if you could not9obtain a rating from a credit agency, where would10lenders look to decide whether and under what terms they11would lend money to Avista?12MR. THIES: So rating agencies provide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer the question from from the original one of Avista's Avista's relationship with Hydro One and the capital budget. So could Hydro One's board or its CEO or the two working in concert issue a directive that neither Hydro One nor any of its subsidiaries is authorized to make capital expenditures for renewable energy projects? MR. THIES: No, I don't believe so. I believe the capital requirements of Avista and and	1MR. THIES: The the purpose for that2statement is, the rating agencies may not they may3not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say4whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever5because companies can come and go. So the intent was we6will continue to be rated by at least one of them. If7not, we will have to have a separate one available.8COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So if you could not9obtain a rating from a credit agency, where would10lenders look to decide whether and under what terms they11would lend money to Avista?12MR. THIES: So rating agencies provide13ratings for public deals, but if we do a private deal,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer the question from from the original one of Avista's Avista's relationship with Hydro One and the capital budget. So could Hydro One's board or its CEO or the two working in concert issue a directive that neither Hydro One nor any of its subsidiaries is authorized to make capital expenditures for renewable energy projects? MR. THIES: No, I don't believe so. I believe the capital requirements of Avista and and would would be based on Avista's board. Avista's board will make the determination as to whether we make capital investments in our system to provide safe and	1MR. THIES: The the purpose for that2statement is, the rating agencies may not they may3not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say4whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever5because companies can come and go. So the intent was we6will continue to be rated by at least one of them. If7not, we will have to have a separate one available.8COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So if you could not9obtain a rating from a credit agency, where would10lenders look to decide whether and under what terms they11would lend money to Avista?12MR. THIES: So rating agencies provide13ratings for public deals, but if we do a private deal,14and we have done some of these, the investors will do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer the question from from the original one of Avista's Avista's relationship with Hydro One and the capital budget. So could Hydro One's board or its CEO or the two working in concert issue a directive that neither Hydro One nor any of its subsidiaries is authorized to make capital expenditures for renewable energy projects? MR. THIES: No, I don't believe so. I believe the capital requirements of Avista and and would would be based on Avista's board. Avista's board will make the determination as to whether we make capital investments in our system to provide safe and reliable service or into renewable energy projects	1MR. THIES: The the purpose for that2statement is, the rating agencies may not they may3not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say4whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever5because companies can come and go. So the intent was we6will continue to be rated by at least one of them. If7not, we will have to have a separate one available.8COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So if you could not9obtain a rating from a credit agency, where would10lenders look to decide whether and under what terms they11would lend money to Avista?12MR. THIES: So rating agencies provide13ratings for public deals, but if we do a private deal,14and we have done some of these, the investors will do15their own evaluation. We will have a rating, but they16will do their own evaluation of what the what the17security or safety of Avista is and determine at what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer the question from from the original one of Avista's Avista's relationship with Hydro One and the capital budget. So could Hydro One's board or its CEO or the two working in concert issue a directive that neither Hydro One nor any of its subsidiaries is authorized to make capital expenditures for renewable energy projects? MR. THIES: No, I don't believe so. I believe the capital requirements of Avista and and would would be based on Avista's board. Avista's board will make the determination as to whether we make capital investments in our system to provide safe and reliable service or into renewable energy projects should they be determined to be necessary and prudent.	1MR. THIES: The the purpose for that2statement is, the rating agencies may not they may3not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say4whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever5because companies can come and go. So the intent was we6will continue to be rated by at least one of them. If7not, we will have to have a separate one available.8COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So if you could not9obtain a rating from a credit agency, where would10lenders look to decide whether and under what terms they11would lend money to Avista?12MR. THIES: So rating agencies provide13ratings for public deals, but if we do a private deal,14and we have done some of these, the investors will do15their own evaluation. We will have a rating, but they16will do their own evaluation of what the what the17security or safety of Avista is and determine at what18rate they would be willing to lend us money at.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer the question from from the original one of Avista's Avista's relationship with Hydro One and the capital budget. So could Hydro One's board or its CEO or the two working in concert issue a directive that neither Hydro One nor any of its subsidiaries is authorized to make capital expenditures for renewable energy projects? MR. THIES: No, I don't believe so. I believe the capital requirements of Avista and and would would be based on Avista's board. Avista's board will make the determination as to whether we make capital investments in our system to provide safe and reliable service or into renewable energy projects should they be determined to be necessary and prudent. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. So could	1MR. THIES: The the purpose for that2statement is, the rating agencies may not they may3not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say4whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever5because companies can come and go. So the intent was we6will continue to be rated by at least one of them. If7not, we will have to have a separate one available.8COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So if you could not9obtain a rating from a credit agency, where would10lenders look to decide whether and under what terms they11would lend money to Avista?12MR. THIES: So rating agencies provide13ratings for public deals, but if we do a private deal,14and we have done some of these, the investors will do15their own evaluation. We will have a rating, but they16will do their own evaluation of what the what the17security or safety of Avista is and determine at what18rate they would be willing to lend us money at.19COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So for a public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer the question from from the original one of Avista's Avista's relationship with Hydro One and the capital budget. So could Hydro One's board or its CEO or the two working in concert issue a directive that neither Hydro One nor any of its subsidiaries is authorized to make capital expenditures for renewable energy projects? MR. THIES: No, I don't believe so. I believe the capital requirements of Avista and and would would be based on Avista's board. Avista's board will make the determination as to whether we make capital investments in our system to provide safe and reliable service or into renewable energy projects should they be determined to be necessary and prudent. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. So could Hydro One's board or CEO or the two working in concert,	1MR. THIES: The the purpose for that2statement is, the rating agencies may not they may3not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say4whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever5because companies can come and go. So the intent was we6will continue to be rated by at least one of them. If7not, we will have to have a separate one available.8COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So if you could not9obtain a rating from a credit agency, where would10lenders look to decide whether and under what terms they11would lend money to Avista?12MR. THIES: So rating agencies provide13ratings for public deals, but if we do a private deal,14and we have done some of these, the investors will do15their own evaluation. We will have a rating, but they16will do their own evaluation of what the what the17security or safety of Avista is and determine at what18rate they would be willing to lend us money at.19COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So for a public20issuance, for example, where would they look?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer the question from from the original one of Avista's Avista's relationship with Hydro One and the capital budget. So could Hydro One's board or its CEO or the two working in concert issue a directive that neither Hydro One nor any of its subsidiaries is authorized to make capital expenditures for renewable energy projects? MR. THIES: No, I don't believe so. I believe the capital requirements of Avista and and would would be based on Avista's board. Avista's board will make the determination as to whether we make capital investments in our system to provide safe and reliable service or into renewable energy projects should they be determined to be necessary and prudent. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. So could Hydro One's board or CEO or the two working in concert, issue a directive suspending infrastructure improvement	1 MR. THIES: The the purpose for that 2 statement is, the rating agencies may not they may 3 not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say 4 whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever 5 because companies can come and go. So the intent was we 6 will continue to be rated by at least one of them. If 7 not, we will have to have a separate one available. 8 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So if you could not 9 obtain a rating from a credit agency, where would 10 lenders look to decide whether and under what terms they 11 would lend money to Avista? 12 MR. THIES: So rating agencies provide 13 ratings for public deals, but if we do a private deal, 14 and we have done some of these, the investors will do 15 their own evaluation. We will have a rating, but they 16 will do their own evaluation of what the what the 17 security or safety of Avista is and determine at what 18 rate they would be willing to lend us money at. 19 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So for a public 20 issuance, for example, where would they look?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer the question from from the original one of Avista's Avista's relationship with Hydro One and the capital budget. So could Hydro One's board or its CEO or the two working in concert issue a directive that neither Hydro One nor any of its subsidiaries is authorized to make capital expenditures for renewable energy projects? MR. THIES: No, I don't believe so. I believe the capital requirements of Avista and and would would be based on Avista's board. Avista's board will make the determination as to whether we make capital investments in our system to provide safe and reliable service or into renewable energy projects should they be determined to be necessary and prudent. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. So could Hydro One's board or CEO or the two working in concert, issue a directive suspending infrastructure improvement activities by Hydro One and its subsidiaries heading a	1MR. THIES: The the purpose for that2statement is, the rating agencies may not they may3not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say4whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever5because companies can come and go. So the intent was we6will continue to be rated by at least one of them. If7not, we will have to have a separate one available.8COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So if you could not9obtain a rating from a credit agency, where would10lenders look to decide whether and under what terms they11would lend money to Avista?12MR. THIES: So rating agencies provide13ratings for public deals, but if we do a private deal,14and we have done some of these, the investors will do15their own evaluation. We will have a rating, but they16will do their own evaluation of what the what the17security or safety of Avista is and determine at what18rate they would be willing to lend us money at.19COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So for a public20issuance, for example, where would they look?21MR. THIES: Rating agencies.22COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: They would.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer the question from from the original one of Avista's Avista's relationship with Hydro One and the capital budget. So could Hydro One's board or its CEO or the two working in concert issue a directive that neither Hydro One nor any of its subsidiaries is authorized to make capital expenditures for renewable energy projects? MR. THIES: No, I don't believe so. I believe the capital requirements of Avista and and would would be based on Avista's board. Avista's board will make the determination as to whether we make capital investments in our system to provide safe and reliable service or into renewable energy projects should they be determined to be necessary and prudent. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. So could Hydro One's board or CEO or the two working in concert, issue a directive suspending infrastructure improvement activities by Hydro One and its subsidiaries heading a determination by the Hydro One board that each planned	1 MR. THIES: The the purpose for that 2 statement is, the rating agencies may not they may 3 not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say 4 whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever 5 because companies can come and go. So the intent was we 6 will continue to be rated by at least one of them. If 7 not, we will have to have a separate one available. 8 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So if you could not 9 obtain a rating from a credit agency, where would 10 lenders look to decide whether and under what terms they 11 would lend money to Avista? 12 MR. THIES: So rating agencies provide 13 ratings for public deals, but if we do a private deal, 14 and we have done some of these, the investors will do 15 their own evaluation. We will have a rating, but they 16 will do their own evaluation of what the what the 17 security or safety of Avista is and determine at what 18 rate they would be willing to lend us money at. 19 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So for a public 10 issuance, for example, where would they look? <
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer the question from from the original one of Avista's Avista's relationship with Hydro One and the capital budget. So could Hydro One's board or its CEO or the two working in concert issue a directive that neither Hydro One nor any of its subsidiaries is authorized to make capital expenditures for renewable energy projects? MR. THIES: No, I don't believe so. I believe the capital requirements of Avista and and would would be based on Avista's board. Avista's board will make the determination as to whether we make capital investments in our system to provide safe and reliable service or into renewable energy projects should they be determined to be necessary and prudent. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. So could Hydro One's board or CEO or the two working in concert, issue a directive suspending infrastructure improvement activities by Hydro One and its subsidiaries heading a determination by the Hydro One board that each planned expenditure is necessary to ensure safe and reliable	1 MR. THIES: The the purpose for that 2 statement is, the rating agencies may not they may 3 not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say 4 whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever 5 because companies can come and go. So the intent was we 6 will continue to be rated by at least one of them. If 7 not, we will have to have a separate one available. 8 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So if you could not 9 obtain a rating from a credit agency, where would 10 lenders look to decide whether and under what terms they 11 would lend money to Avista? 12 MR. THIES: So rating agencies provide 13 ratings for public deals, but if we do a private deal, 14 and we have done some of these, the investors will do 15 their own evaluation. We will have a rating, but they 16 will do their own evaluation of what the what the 17 security or safety of Avista is and determine at what 18 rate they would be willing to lend us money at. 19 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So for a public 10 issuance, for example, where would they look? <
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	question? MR. THIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as I was reading this part of your testimony, you actually didn't answer the question from from the original one of Avista's Avista's relationship with Hydro One and the capital budget. So could Hydro One's board or its CEO or the two working in concert issue a directive that neither Hydro One nor any of its subsidiaries is authorized to make capital expenditures for renewable energy projects? MR. THIES: No, I don't believe so. I believe the capital requirements of Avista and and would would be based on Avista's board. Avista's board will make the determination as to whether we make capital investments in our system to provide safe and reliable service or into renewable energy projects should they be determined to be necessary and prudent. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. So could Hydro One's board or CEO or the two working in concert, issue a directive suspending infrastructure improvement activities by Hydro One and its subsidiaries heading a determination by the Hydro One board that each planned	1 MR. THIES: The the purpose for that 2 statement is, the rating agencies may not they may 3 not be, you know, in existence, and I I can't say 4 whether Moody's or Standard & Poor will be there forever 5 because companies can come and go. So the intent was we 6 will continue to be rated by at least one of them. If 7 not, we will have to have a separate one available. 8 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So if you could not 9 obtain a rating from a credit agency, where would 10 lenders look to decide whether and under what terms they 11 would lend money to Avista? 12 MR. THIES: So rating agencies provide 13 ratings for public deals, but if we do a private deal, 14 and we have done some of these, the investors will do 15 their own evaluation. We will have a rating, but they 16 will do their own evaluation of what the what the 17 security or safety of Avista is and determine at what 18 rate they would be willing to lend us money at. 19 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So for a public 10 issuance, for example, where would they look? <

14 (Pages 391 to 394)

	Page 395		Page 397
1	not if Avista did not have a specific rating agency,	1	it was the detriment, in the long term, it has no
2	then where would those potential debt investors look?	2	effect.
3	MR. THIES: I I don't know the answer to	3	MR. MEYER: Thank you.
4	that. I've never had that happen. Whenever we have	4	JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Appreciate that.
5	done a public deal, we have always had a public or a	5	All right. We can why don't we take our
6	rating from the rating agencies, and we anticipate that	6	morning break while the witnesses retire from the
7	continuing.	7	witness table and have the Hydro One panel come up. And
8	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So would those	8	I'll ask counsel to stay back there at the second row of
9	potential debt investors, then, look to Avista's parent	9	tables because we have a lot of witnesses to be up here.
10	company for creditworthiness?	10	And if you need to speak, you can just signify that by
11	MR. THIES: Typically not, because the way	11	standing up, and I'll recognize you and then you can
12	we have if we were to issue that the way we have	12	come around and use there should be one vacant mic at
13	always issued that, which is we provide first mortgage	13	one end or the other. So we will have the witnesses up
14	bonds, so the security is the first mortgage bonds of	14	here and counsel behind. We'll take ten minutes until
15	the utility assets. And so they would look to those	15	the half hour by the wall clock.
16	assets and evaluate whether in their determination those	16	(A break was taken from
17	assets provided sufficient support for their issuing of	17	10:20 a.m. to 10:33 a.m.)
18	debt, and then they would determine a rate at which they	18	JUDGE MOSS: Let's be back on the record.
19	would be willing to lend us.	19	And, Commissioner Rendahl, were you going to
20	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And where would	20	go next?
21	those investors look to obtain that asset value	21	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I believe so.
22	information?	22	Good morning. I have some questions for
23	MR. THIES: They would look at the value of	23	Mr. Scarlett. I apologize for your laryngitis. Good
24	the company and the value of the assets on the books, so	24	thing you have water.
25	we have a book value and then we also have a value of	25	MR. SCARLETT: That's all right.
	Page 396		
	rage 570		Page 398
1	the company relative to book value.	1	Page 398 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay.
1 2		1 2	
	the company relative to book value.	1	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay.
2	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank	2	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the
2 3	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have.	2 3	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the
2 3 4	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe	2 3 4	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the
2 3 4 5	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe that concludes the Bench's questions for you, Mr. Morris	2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the events after the election in June. You filed testimony
2 3 4 5 6	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe that concludes the Bench's questions for you, Mr. Morris and Mr. Thies. We appreciate your testimony today. Mr. Meyer, did you feel the necessity to follow up in any way?	2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the events after the election in June. You filed testimony JDS-1T; do you have that with you?
2 3 4 5 6 7	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe that concludes the Bench's questions for you, Mr. Morris and Mr. Thies. We appreciate your testimony today. Mr. Meyer, did you feel the necessity to	2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the events after the election in June. You filed testimony JDS-1T; do you have that with you? MR. SCARLETT: I expect so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe that concludes the Bench's questions for you, Mr. Morris and Mr. Thies. We appreciate your testimony today. Mr. Meyer, did you feel the necessity to follow up in any way? MR. MEYER: Ever so briefly. So you were asked, and both of you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the events after the election in June. You filed testimony JDS-1T; do you have that with you? MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Going to read
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe that concludes the Bench's questions for you, Mr. Morris and Mr. Thies. We appreciate your testimony today. Mr. Meyer, did you feel the necessity to follow up in any way? MR. MEYER: Ever so briefly. So you were asked, and both of you were asked, but I will direct this to Mr. Morris.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the events after the election in June. You filed testimony JDS-1T; do you have that with you? MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Going to read through a few items starting on page 5, line 22. MR. SCARLETT: I'm looking for it if you want to read, we can still
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe that concludes the Bench's questions for you, Mr. Morris and Mr. Thies. We appreciate your testimony today. Mr. Meyer, did you feel the necessity to follow up in any way? MR. MEYER: Ever so briefly. So you were asked, and both of you were asked, but I will direct this to Mr. Morris. Were the I'll paraphrase. The question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the events after the election in June. You filed testimony JDS-1T; do you have that with you? MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Going to read through a few items starting on page 5, line 22. MR. SCARLETT: I'm looking for it if you want to read, we can still COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Oh, okay. I'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe that concludes the Bench's questions for you, Mr. Morris and Mr. Thies. We appreciate your testimony today. Mr. Meyer, did you feel the necessity to follow up in any way? MR. MEYER: Ever so briefly. So you were asked, and both of you were asked, but I will direct this to Mr. Morris. Were the I'll paraphrase. The question was something to effect that were the events in July in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the events after the election in June. You filed testimony JDS-1T; do you have that with you? MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Going to read through a few items starting on page 5, line 22. MR. SCARLETT: I'm looking for it if you want to read, we can still COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Oh, okay. I'll begin. So this is, again, JDS-1T so Mr. Scarlett's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe that concludes the Bench's questions for you, Mr. Morris and Mr. Thies. We appreciate your testimony today. Mr. Meyer, did you feel the necessity to follow up in any way? MR. MEYER: Ever so briefly. So you were asked, and both of you were asked, but I will direct this to Mr. Morris. Were the I'll paraphrase. The question was something to effect that were the events in July in the Province of Ontario in the best interest of Avista	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the events after the election in June. You filed testimony JDS-1T; do you have that with you? MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Going to read through a few items starting on page 5, line 22. MR. SCARLETT: I'm looking for it if you want to read, we can still COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Oh, okay. I'll begin. So this is, again, JDS-1T so Mr. Scarlett's testimony filed in the supplemental round starting on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe that concludes the Bench's questions for you, Mr. Morris and Mr. Thies. We appreciate your testimony today. Mr. Meyer, did you feel the necessity to follow up in any way? MR. MEYER: Ever so briefly. So you were asked, and both of you were asked, but I will direct this to Mr. Morris. Were the I'll paraphrase. The question was something to effect that were the events in July in the Province of Ontario in the best interest of Avista and its customers, and I recall your answer was no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the events after the election in June. You filed testimony JDS-1T; do you have that with you? MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Going to read through a few items starting on page 5, line 22. MR. SCARLETT: I'm looking for it if you want to read, we can still COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Oh, okay. I'll begin. So this is, again, JDS-1T so Mr. Scarlett's testimony filed in the supplemental round starting on page 5. And in that testimony, you state that on July
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe that concludes the Bench's questions for you, Mr. Morris and Mr. Thies. We appreciate your testimony today. Mr. Meyer, did you feel the necessity to follow up in any way? MR. MEYER: Ever so briefly. So you were asked, and both of you were asked, but I will direct this to Mr. Morris. Were the I'll paraphrase. The question was something to effect that were the events in July in the Province of Ontario in the best interest of Avista and its customers, and I recall your answer was no. Does that does that in and of itself make this a bad	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the events after the election in June. You filed testimony JDS-1T; do you have that with you? MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Going to read through a few items starting on page 5, line 22. MR. SCARLETT: I'm looking for it if you want to read, we can still COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Oh, okay. I'll begin. So this is, again, JDS-1T so Mr. Scarlett's testimony filed in the supplemental round starting on page 5. And in that testimony, you state that on July 4th, a few days after the new government took a majority
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe that concludes the Bench's questions for you, Mr. Morris and Mr. Thies. We appreciate your testimony today. Mr. Meyer, did you feel the necessity to follow up in any way? MR. MEYER: Ever so briefly. So you were asked, and both of you were asked, but I will direct this to Mr. Morris. Were the I'll paraphrase. The question was something to effect that were the events in July in the Province of Ontario in the best interest of Avista and its customers, and I recall your answer was no. Does that does that in and of itself make this a bad deal for Avista?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the events after the election in June. You filed testimony JDS-1T; do you have that with you? MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Going to read through a few items starting on page 5, line 22. MR. SCARLETT: I'm looking for it if you want to read, we can still COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Oh, okay. I'll begin. So this is, again, JDS-1T so Mr. Scarlett's testimony filed in the supplemental round starting on page 5. And in that testimony, you state that on July 4th, a few days after the new government took a majority of seats in the Ontario legislature, that discussions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe that concludes the Bench's questions for you, Mr. Morris and Mr. Thies. We appreciate your testimony today. Mr. Meyer, did you feel the necessity to follow up in any way? MR. MEYER: Ever so briefly. So you were asked, and both of you were asked, but I will direct this to Mr. Morris. Were the I'll paraphrase. The question was something to effect that were the events in July in the Province of Ontario in the best interest of Avista and its customers, and I recall your answer was no. Does that does that in and of itself make this a bad deal for Avista? MR. MORRIS: Not at all. With the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the events after the election in June. You filed testimony JDS-1T; do you have that with you? MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Going to read through a few items starting on page 5, line 22. MR. SCARLETT: I'm looking for it if you want to read, we can still COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Oh, okay. I'll begin. So this is, again, JDS-1T so Mr. Scarlett's testimony filed in the supplemental round starting on page 5. And in that testimony, you state that on July 4th, a few days after the new government took a majority of seats in the Ontario legislature, that discussions were held between the representatives of the board and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe that concludes the Bench's questions for you, Mr. Morris and Mr. Thies. We appreciate your testimony today. Mr. Meyer, did you feel the necessity to follow up in any way? MR. MEYER: Ever so briefly. So you were asked, and both of you were asked, but I will direct this to Mr. Morris. Were the I'll paraphrase. The question was something to effect that were the events in July in the Province of Ontario in the best interest of Avista and its customers, and I recall your answer was no. Does that does that in and of itself make this a bad deal for Avista? MR. MORRIS: Not at all. With the Governance Agreement that we have in place, the benefits	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the events after the election in June. You filed testimony JDS-1T; do you have that with you? MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Going to read through a few items starting on page 5, line 22. MR. SCARLETT: I'm looking for it if you want to read, we can still COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Oh, okay. I'll begin. So this is, again, JDS-1T so Mr. Scarlett's testimony filed in the supplemental round starting on page 5. And in that testimony, you state that on July 4th, a few days after the new government took a majority of seats in the Ontario legislature, that discussions were held between the representatives of the board and the Ontario premier's office. Discussions between the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe that concludes the Bench's questions for you, Mr. Morris and Mr. Thies. We appreciate your testimony today. Mr. Meyer, did you feel the necessity to follow up in any way? MR. MEYER: Ever so briefly. So you were asked, and both of you were asked, but I will direct this to Mr. Morris. Were the I'll paraphrase. The question was something to effect that were the events in July in the Province of Ontario in the best interest of Avista and its customers, and I recall your answer was no. Does that does that in and of itself make this a bad deal for Avista? MR. MORRIS: Not at all. With the Governance Agreement that we have in place, the benefits that we have for our customers, our communities,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the events after the election in June. You filed testimony JDS-1T; do you have that with you? MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Going to read through a few items starting on page 5, line 22. MR. SCARLETT: I'm looking for it if you want to read, we can still COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Oh, okay. I'll begin. So this is, again, JDS-1T so Mr. Scarlett's testimony filed in the supplemental round starting on page 5. And in that testimony, you state that on July 4th, a few days after the new government took a majority of seats in the Ontario legislature, that discussions were held between the representatives of the board and the Ontario premier's office. Discussions between the premier's office and legal counsel to the board were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe that concludes the Bench's questions for you, Mr. Morris and Mr. Thies. We appreciate your testimony today. Mr. Meyer, did you feel the necessity to follow up in any way? MR. MEYER: Ever so briefly. So you were asked, and both of you were asked, but I will direct this to Mr. Morris. Were the I'll paraphrase. The question was something to effect that were the events in July in the Province of Ontario in the best interest of Avista and its customers, and I recall your answer was no. Does that does that in and of itself make this a bad deal for Avista? MR. MORRIS: Not at all. With the Governance Agreement that we have in place, the benefits that we have for our customers, our communities, employees, and our shareholders. And, again, this deal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the events after the election in June. You filed testimony JDS-1T; do you have that with you? MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Going to read through a few items starting on page 5, line 22. MR. SCARLETT: I'm looking for it if you want to read, we can still COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Oh, okay. I'll begin. So this is, again, JDS-1T so Mr. Scarlett's testimony filed in the supplemental round starting on page 5. And in that testimony, you state that on July 4th, a few days after the new government took a majority of seats in the Ontario legislature, that discussions were held between the representatives of the board and the Ontario premier's office. Discussions between the premier's office and legal counsel to the board were held on July 5th as were discussions among the board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe that concludes the Bench's questions for you, Mr. Morris and Mr. Thies. We appreciate your testimony today. Mr. Meyer, did you feel the necessity to follow up in any way? MR. MEYER: Ever so briefly. So you were asked, and both of you were asked, but I will direct this to Mr. Morris. Were the I'll paraphrase. The question was something to effect that were the events in July in the Province of Ontario in the best interest of Avista and its customers, and I recall your answer was no. Does that does that in and of itself make this a bad deal for Avista? MR. MORRIS: Not at all. With the Governance Agreement that we have in place, the benefits that we have for our customers, our communities, employees, and our shareholders. And, again, this deal was never done on the fact that we have a current CEO or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the events after the election in June. You filed testimony JDS-1T; do you have that with you? MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Going to read through a few items starting on page 5, line 22. MR. SCARLETT: I'm looking for it if you want to read, we can still COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Oh, okay. I'll begin. So this is, again, JDS-1T so Mr. Scarlett's testimony filed in the supplemental round starting on page 5. And in that testimony, you state that on July 4th, a few days after the new government took a majority of seats in the Ontario legislature, that discussions were held between the representatives of the board and the Ontario premier's office. Discussions between the premier's office and legal counsel to the board were held on July 5th as were discussions among the board chair, another board member, and the board's legal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe that concludes the Bench's questions for you, Mr. Morris and Mr. Thies. We appreciate your testimony today. Mr. Meyer, did you feel the necessity to follow up in any way? MR. MEYER: Ever so briefly. So you were asked, and both of you were asked, but I will direct this to Mr. Morris. Were the I'll paraphrase. The question was something to effect that were the events in July in the Province of Ontario in the best interest of Avista and its customers, and I recall your answer was no. Does that does that in and of itself make this a bad deal for Avista? MR. MORRIS: Not at all. With the Governance Agreement that we have in place, the benefits that we have for our customers, our communities, employees, and our shareholders. And, again, this deal was never done on the fact that we have a current CEO or even a current board of directors, but this was done in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the events after the election in June. You filed testimony JDS-1T; do you have that with you? MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Going to read through a few items starting on page 5, line 22. MR. SCARLETT: I'm looking for it if you want to read, we can still COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Oh, okay. I'll begin. So this is, again, JDS-1T so Mr. Scarlett's testimony filed in the supplemental round starting on page 5. And in that testimony, you state that on July 4th, a few days after the new government took a majority of seats in the Ontario legislature, that discussions were held between the representatives of the board and the Ontario premier's office. Discussions between the premier's office and legal counsel to the board were held on July 5th as were discussions among the board chair, another board member, and the board's legal counsel. Do you see that reference in your testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe that concludes the Bench's questions for you, Mr. Morris and Mr. Thies. We appreciate your testimony today. Mr. Meyer, did you feel the necessity to follow up in any way? MR. MEYER: Ever so briefly. So you were asked, and both of you were asked, but I will direct this to Mr. Morris. Were the I'll paraphrase. The question was something to effect that were the events in July in the Province of Ontario in the best interest of Avista and its customers, and I recall your answer was no. Does that does that in and of itself make this a bad deal for Avista? MR. MORRIS: Not at all. With the Governance Agreement that we have in place, the benefits that we have for our customers, our communities, employees, and our shareholders. And, again, this deal was never done on the fact that we have a current CEO or even a current board of directors, but this was done in the vision of a hundred-year idea of how long our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the events after the election in June. You filed testimony JDS-1T; do you have that with you? MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Going to read through a few items starting on page 5, line 22. MR. SCARLETT: I'm looking for it if you want to read, we can still COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Oh, okay. I'll begin. So this is, again, JDS-1T so Mr. Scarlett's testimony filed in the supplemental round starting on page 5. And in that testimony, you state that on July 4th, a few days after the new government took a majority of seats in the Ontario legislature, that discussions were held between the representatives of the board and the Ontario premier's office. Discussions between the premier's office and legal counsel to the board were held on July 5th as were discussions among the board chair, another board member, and the board's legal counsel. Do you see that reference in your testimony starting on page 5?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the company relative to book value. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank you. I believe that's all the questions I have. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I believe that concludes the Bench's questions for you, Mr. Morris and Mr. Thies. We appreciate your testimony today. Mr. Meyer, did you feel the necessity to follow up in any way? MR. MEYER: Ever so briefly. So you were asked, and both of you were asked, but I will direct this to Mr. Morris. Were the I'll paraphrase. The question was something to effect that were the events in July in the Province of Ontario in the best interest of Avista and its customers, and I recall your answer was no. Does that does that in and of itself make this a bad deal for Avista? MR. MORRIS: Not at all. With the Governance Agreement that we have in place, the benefits that we have for our customers, our communities, employees, and our shareholders. And, again, this deal was never done on the fact that we have a current CEO or even a current board of directors, but this was done in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. MR. SCARLETT: We'll be fine. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So keeping with the theme from the first two witnesses, let's talk about the events after the election in June. You filed testimony JDS-1T; do you have that with you? MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Going to read through a few items starting on page 5, line 22. MR. SCARLETT: I'm looking for it if you want to read, we can still COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Oh, okay. I'll begin. So this is, again, JDS-1T so Mr. Scarlett's testimony filed in the supplemental round starting on page 5. And in that testimony, you state that on July 4th, a few days after the new government took a majority of seats in the Ontario legislature, that discussions were held between the representatives of the board and the Ontario premier's office. Discussions between the premier's office and legal counsel to the board were held on July 5th as were discussions among the board chair, another board member, and the board's legal counsel. Do you see that reference in your testimony

15 (Pages 395 to 398)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 399	Page 401
1	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. You also state	1 conversations so and I'll stop, but I can tell you
2	further that discussions during the July 6th through 8th	2 more about the background if you're interested.
3	period were held among representatives of the board, the	3 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Well, I guess I am
4	board's legal counsel, and representatives of the	4 interested. So so when did you have discussions with
5	Ontario's premier's office, correct?	5 Mr. Mercier?
6	MR. SCARLETT: Correct.	6 MR. SCARLETT: Well, off and on through the
7	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So according to your	7 weeks preceding the meetings that happened at the
8	testimony, all of these discussions lead to the July 11	8 beginning of July, remember the election was on June 7th
9	letter agreement being approved by the cabinet and	9 and and we had no communication. We, Hydro One, had
10	entered into between Hydro One represented by Mr. David	10 no communication with the newly elected government at
11	Denison in Ontario and Ontario represented by the	11 that time. We couldn't frankly get time, couldn't get
12	Honorable Greg Rickford, the Minister of Energy,	12 on their agenda. We weren't able to have meetings or
13	correct?	13 discussions with them.
14	MR. SCARLETT: Correct.	14 During that time, I would talk to
15	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So then on	15 Mr. Mercier about acting for the board as independent
16	page 6, starting at line 13, you say that (as read)	16 counsel, and he and he was doing so with Mr. Denison
17	These discussions and ultimately the letter agreement	17 who was the chair at the time. When we were getting
18	were in consideration of newly-elected Premier Ford's	18 down to the beginning of July and we heard that one of
19	campaign promise to get rid of the board and CEO	19 the board members had been able to arrange an initial
20	Schmidt, correct?	20 meeting with representatives of the government, I was
21	MR. SCARLETT: Correct.	21 actually out of town, and I had some conversations with
22	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. And so	2.2 Mr. Mercier about how those discussions might might
23	finally, you testified that, (as read) In light of this,	23 play out, what the different alternatives were.
24	the board of Hydro One determined that it would be in	24 And frankly, it was in one of those
25	the best interest of Hydro One to voluntarily resign to	25 discussions that I suggested that one of the
	$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{A}}$	
1	Page 400	Page 402
1	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a	1 difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the
2	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather	 difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the government's mind might well be the fact that following
2 3	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in	 difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the government's mind might well be the fact that following the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to
2 3 4	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with	 difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the government's mind might well be the fact that following the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to 90 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder
2 3 4 5	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for	 difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the government's mind might well be the fact that following the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to 90 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on,
2 3 4 5 6	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for replacing the board was documented in the letter	 difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the government's mind might well be the fact that following the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to 90 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on, do a circular. All that can take you 60 days.
2 3 4 5 6 7	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for replacing the board was documented in the letter agreement, correct?	 difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the government's mind might well be the fact that following the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to 90 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on, do a circular. All that can take you 60 days. 7 And so in those discussions, I recommended
2 3 4 5 6	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for replacing the board was documented in the letter agreement, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct.	 difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the government's mind might well be the fact that following the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to 90 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on, do a circular. All that can take you 60 days. And so in those discussions, I recommended that since the outcome of a shareholders vote was not in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for replacing the board was documented in the letter agreement, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in so	 difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the government's mind might well be the fact that following the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to 90 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on, do a circular. All that can take you 60 days. And so in those discussions, I recommended that since the outcome of a shareholders vote was not in question when you have a 47 percent shareholder, just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for replacing the board was documented in the letter agreement, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in so doing, you also said that, (as read) the Province and	 difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the government's mind might well be the fact that following the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to 90 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on, do a circular. All that can take you 60 days. And so in those discussions, I recommended that since the outcome of a shareholders vote was not in question when you have a 47 percent shareholder, just mathematically, there's just no way that that 47 percent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for replacing the board was documented in the letter agreement, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in so doing, you also said that, (as read) the Province and Hydro One complied with the spirit and intent of Section	1 difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the 2 government's mind might well be the fact that following 3 the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to 4 90 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder 5 meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on, 6 do a circular. All that can take you 60 days. 7 And so in those discussions, I recommended 8 that since the outcome of a shareholders vote was not in 9 question when you have a 47 percent shareholder, just 10 mathematically, there's just no way that that 47 percent 11 block will not carry the day. I suggested that there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for replacing the board was documented in the letter agreement, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in so doing, you also said that, (as read) the Province and Hydro One complied with the spirit and intent of Section 4.7 of the Government agreement Governance Agreement,	1difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the2government's mind might well be the fact that following3the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to490 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder5meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on,6do a circular. All that can take you 60 days.7And so in those discussions, I recommended8that since the outcome of a shareholders vote was not in9question when you have a 47 percent shareholder, just10mathematically, there's just no way that that 47 percent11block will not carry the day. I suggested that there12would be no substantive purpose served by doing the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for replacing the board was documented in the letter agreement, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in so doing, you also said that, (as read) the Province and Hydro One complied with the spirit and intent of Section 4.7 of the Government agreement Governance Agreement, and in order to serve the best interest of the company,	1 difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the 2 government's mind might well be the fact that following 3 the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to 90 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder 5 meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on, 6 do a circular. All that can take you 60 days. 7 And so in those discussions, I recommended 8 that since the outcome of a shareholders vote was not in 9 question when you have a 47 percent shareholder, just 10 mathematically, there's just no way that that 47 percent 11 block will not carry the day. I suggested that there 12 would be no substantive purpose served by doing the 13 shareholders meeting. And so it would it would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for replacing the board was documented in the letter agreement, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in so doing, you also said that, (as read) the Province and Hydro One complied with the spirit and intent of Section 4.7 of the Government agreement Governance Agreement,	1 difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the 2 government's mind might well be the fact that following 3 the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to 90 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder 5 meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on, 6 do a circular. All that can take you 60 days. 7 And so in those discussions, I recommended 8 that since the outcome of a shareholders vote was not in 9 question when you have a 47 percent shareholder, just 10 mathematically, there's just no way that that 47 percent 11 block will not carry the day. I suggested that there 12 would be no substantive purpose served by doing the 13 shareholders meeting. And so it would it would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for replacing the board was documented in the letter agreement, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in so doing, you also said that, (as read) the Province and Hydro One complied with the spirit and intent of Section 4.7 of the Government agreement Governance Agreement, and in order to serve the best interest of the company, the parties agreed to forego the requirement to hold a	1difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the2government's mind might well be the fact that following3the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to490 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder5meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on,6do a circular. All that can take you 60 days.7And so in those discussions, I recommended8that since the outcome of a shareholders vote was not in9question when you have a 47 percent shareholder, just10mathematically, there's just no way that that 47 percent11block will not carry the day. I suggested that there12would be no substantive purpose served by doing the13shareholders meeting. And so it would it would be14consistent with everything in the Governance Agreement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for replacing the board was documented in the letter agreement, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in so doing, you also said that, (as read) the Province and Hydro One complied with the spirit and intent of Section 4.7 of the Government agreement Governance Agreement, and in order to serve the best interest of the company, the parties agreed to forego the requirement to hold a shareholder's meeting thereby expediting the process and	1difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the2government's mind might well be the fact that following3the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to490 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder5meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on,6do a circular. All that can take you 60 days.7And so in those discussions, I recommended8that since the outcome of a shareholders vote was not in9question when you have a 47 percent shareholder, just10mathematically, there's just no way that that 47 percent11block will not carry the day. I suggested that there12would be no substantive purpose served by doing the13shareholders meeting. And so it would it would be14consistent with everything in the Governance Agreement15if the board was to agree that the shareholders meeting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for replacing the board was documented in the letter agreement, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in so doing, you also said that, (as read) the Province and Hydro One complied with the spirit and intent of Section 4.7 of the Government agreement Governance Agreement, and in order to serve the best interest of the company, the parties agreed to forego the requirement to hold a shareholder's meeting thereby expediting the process and reducing uncertainty, correct?	1difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the2government's mind might well be the fact that following3the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to490 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder5meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on,6do a circular. All that can take you 60 days.7And so in those discussions, I recommended8that since the outcome of a shareholders vote was not in9question when you have a 47 percent shareholder, just10mathematically, there's just no way that that 47 percent11block will not carry the day. I suggested that there12would be no substantive purpose served by doing the13shareholders meeting. And so it would it would be14consistent with everything in the Governance Agreement15if the board was to agree that the shareholders meeting16wouldn't be necessary and we could enter into a shorter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for replacing the board was documented in the letter agreement, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in so doing, you also said that, (as read) the Province and Hydro One complied with the spirit and intent of Section 4.7 of the Government agreement Governance Agreement, and in order to serve the best interest of the company, the parties agreed to forego the requirement to hold a shareholder's meeting thereby expediting the process and reducing uncertainty, correct?	1difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the2government's mind might well be the fact that following3the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to490 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder5meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on,6do a circular. All that can take you 60 days.7And so in those discussions, I recommended8that since the outcome of a shareholders vote was not in9question when you have a 47 percent shareholder, just10mathematically, there's just no way that that 47 percent11block will not carry the day. I suggested that there12would be no substantive purpose served by doing the13shareholders meeting. And so it would it would be14consistent with everything in the Governance Agreement15if the board was to agree that the shareholders meeting16wouldn't be necessary and we could enter into a shorter17time frame, which would have the benefit of reducing a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for replacing the board was documented in the letter agreement, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in so doing, you also said that, (as read) the Province and Hydro One complied with the spirit and intent of Section 4.7 of the Government agreement Governance Agreement, and in order to serve the best interest of the company, the parties agreed to forego the requirement to hold a shareholder's meeting thereby expediting the process and reducing uncertainty, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So were you	1difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the2government's mind might well be the fact that following3the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to490 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder5meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on,6do a circular. All that can take you 60 days.7And so in those discussions, I recommended8that since the outcome of a shareholders vote was not in9question when you have a 47 percent shareholder, just10mathematically, there's just no way that that 47 percent11block will not carry the day. I suggested that there12would be no substantive purpose served by doing the13shareholders meeting. And so it would it would be14consistent with everything in the Governance Agreement15if the board was to agree that the shareholders meeting16wouldn't be necessary and we could enter into a shorter17time frame, which would have the benefit of reducing a18period of uncertainty.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for replacing the board was documented in the letter agreement, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in so doing, you also said that, (as read) the Province and Hydro One complied with the spirit and intent of Section 4.7 of the Government agreement Governance Agreement, and in order to serve the best interest of the company, the parties agreed to forego the requirement to hold a shareholder's meeting thereby expediting the process and reducing uncertainty, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So were you involved in any of these discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I wasn't no board counsel. The board has its own independent counsel, which was a	1difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the2government's mind might well be the fact that following3the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to490 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder5meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on,6do a circular. All that can take you 60 days.7And so in those discussions, I recommended8that since the outcome of a shareholders vote was not in9question when you have a 47 percent shareholder, just10mathematically, there's just no way that that 47 percent11block will not carry the day. I suggested that there12would be no substantive purpose served by doing the13shareholders meeting. And so it would it would be14consistent with everything in the Governance Agreement15if the board was to agree that the shareholders meeting16wouldn't be necessary and we could enter into a shorter17time frame, which would have the benefit of reducing a18period of uncertainty.19So I had that discussion with Mr. Mercier,20and then I had a few other discussions going through the21weekend about what some of the compensation arrangements
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for replacing the board was documented in the letter agreement, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in so doing, you also said that, (as read) the Province and Hydro One complied with the spirit and intent of Section 4.7 of the Government agreement Governance Agreement, and in order to serve the best interest of the company, the parties agreed to forego the requirement to hold a shareholder's meeting thereby expediting the process and reducing uncertainty, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So were you involved in any of these discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I wasn't no board counsel. The board has its own independent counsel, which was a firm that we don't use for our other work so they were	1difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the2government's mind might well be the fact that following3the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to90 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder5meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on,6do a circular. All that can take you 60 days.7And so in those discussions, I recommended8that since the outcome of a shareholders vote was not in9question when you have a 47 percent shareholder, just10mathematically, there's just no way that that 47 percent11block will not carry the day. I suggested that there12would be no substantive purpose served by doing the13shareholders meeting. And so it would it would be14consistent with everything in the Governance Agreement15if the board was to agree that the shareholders meeting16wouldn't be necessary and we could enter into a shorter17time frame, which would have the benefit of reducing a18period of uncertainty.19So I had that discussion with Mr. Mercier,20and then I had a few other discussions going through the21weekend about what some of the compensation arrangements22were for our CEO. But I wasn't involved in direct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for replacing the board was documented in the letter agreement, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in so doing, you also said that, (as read) the Province and Hydro One complied with the spirit and intent of Section 4.7 of the Government agreement Governance Agreement, and in order to serve the best interest of the company, the parties agreed to forego the requirement to hold a shareholder's meeting thereby expediting the process and reducing uncertainty, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So were you involved in any of these discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I wasn't no board counsel. The board has its own independent counsel, which was a firm that we don't use for our other work so they were independent. It was a firm called Davies LLP, and a	1difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the2government's mind might well be the fact that following3the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to490 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder5meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on,6do a circular. All that can take you 60 days.7And so in those discussions, I recommended8that since the outcome of a shareholders vote was not in9question when you have a 47 percent shareholder, just10mathematically, there's just no way that that 47 percent11block will not carry the day. I suggested that there12would be no substantive purpose served by doing the13shareholders meeting. And so it would it would be14consistent with everything in the Governance Agreement15if the board was to agree that the shareholders meeting16wouldn't be necessary and we could enter into a shorter17time frame, which would have the benefit of reducing a18period of uncertainty.19So I had that discussion with Mr. Mercier,20and then I had a few other discussions going through the21weekend about what some of the compensation arrangements22were for our CEO. But I wasn't involved in direct23discussions with the government at all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for replacing the board was documented in the letter agreement, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in so doing, you also said that, (as read) the Province and Hydro One complied with the spirit and intent of Section 4.7 of the Government agreement Governance Agreement, and in order to serve the best interest of the company, the parties agreed to forego the requirement to hold a shareholder's meeting thereby expediting the process and reducing uncertainty, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So were you involved in any of these discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I wasn't no board counsel. The board has its own independent counsel, which was a firm that we don't use for our other work so they were independent. It was a firm called Davies LLP, and a fellow there was a guy called Vince Mercier. But I did	1 difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the 2 government's mind might well be the fact that following 3 the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to 4 90 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder 5 meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on, 6 do a circular. All that can take you 60 days. 7 And so in those discussions, I recommended 8 that since the outcome of a shareholders vote was not in 9 question when you have a 47 percent shareholder, just 10 mathematically, there's just no way that that 47 percent 11 block will not carry the day. I suggested that there 12 would be no substantive purpose served by doing the 13 shareholders meeting. And so it would it would be 14 consistent with everything in the Governance Agreement 15 if the board was to agree that the shareholders meeting 16 wouldh't be necessary and we could enter into a shorter 17 time frame, which would have the benefit of reducing a 18 period of uncertainty. 19 So I had that discussions with Mr. Mercier, 20 and then I had a few other discussion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	facilitate the orderly replacement of the board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. And rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement, we'll pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions. A process for replacing the board was documented in the letter agreement, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in so doing, you also said that, (as read) the Province and Hydro One complied with the spirit and intent of Section 4.7 of the Government agreement Governance Agreement, and in order to serve the best interest of the company, the parties agreed to forego the requirement to hold a shareholder's meeting thereby expediting the process and reducing uncertainty, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So were you involved in any of these discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I wasn't no board counsel. The board has its own independent counsel, which was a firm that we don't use for our other work so they were independent. It was a firm called Davies LLP, and a	1difficulties with the Governance Agreement in the2government's mind might well be the fact that following3the all of procedures in Section 4.7 could take up to490 days, just the time it takes to call the shareholder5meeting, do the search of beneficial holders, and so on,6do a circular. All that can take you 60 days.7And so in those discussions, I recommended8that since the outcome of a shareholders vote was not in9question when you have a 47 percent shareholder, just10mathematically, there's just no way that that 47 percent11block will not carry the day. I suggested that there12would be no substantive purpose served by doing the13shareholders meeting. And so it would it would be14consistent with everything in the Governance Agreement15if the board was to agree that the shareholders meeting16wouldn't be necessary and we could enter into a shorter17time frame, which would have the benefit of reducing a18period of uncertainty.19So I had that discussion with Mr. Mercier,20and then I had a few other discussions going through the21weekend about what some of the compensation arrangements22were for our CEO. But I wasn't involved in direct23discussions with the government at all.

16 (Pages 399 to 402)

	Page 403		Page 405
1	government?	1	board, and I don't know what communication went on
2	MR. SCARLETT: Well, it was Mr. Denison.	2	beyond that. And Mr. Schmidt, by the way, had his own
3	When I mentioned the other board member, we had George	3	external independent legal counsel helping him through
4	Cook who had who had who had a contact who reached	4	these these these discussions that culminated in
5	out to the government to say, you know, we should be	5	the July 11 agreement.
6	having a conversation about this. But I don't think	6	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: At what point did he
7	Mr. Cook was directly involved, although I don't know.	7	realize he needed to retain legal counsel?
8	I wasn't there.	8	MR. SCARLETT: I'm just trying to be as
9	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So you were were	9	precise as I can be. I don't know with precision, but
10	you providing some counsel, then, to Mr. Mercier?	10	it would have been just to give you the background.
11	MR. SCARLETT: I wouldn't I wasn't giving	11	Management has had its own counsel representing all the
12	him counsel, I was giving him some information. That's	12	management on the variety of employment-type matters and
13	all.	13	compensation-type matters going back over a year. And
14	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And so when you said	14	then I would say probably it was very close to the time
15	it was your suggestion it would not be in the best	15	that these discussions were happening. So it may have
16	interest to follow the Governance Agreement given the	16	been just the very week before the discussions started,
17	shareholder percentage, that was your recommendation?	17	but it was it it was sometime in June, I would
18	MR. SCARLETT: Well, I certainly made that	18	say, that he, on someone else's recommendation, hired
19	argument, not being the only person to make it. And I	19	his own separate legal counsel.
20	didn't just to to be precise on the words, I didn't	20	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So you were
21	say it would be in the best interest. I said it would	21	here in the room when Mr. Morris and Mr. Thies were
22	be consistent with the Governance Agreement principles	22	testifying, correct?
23	to follow all the steps.	23	MR. SCARLETT: Yes, I was.
24	And, you know, what the Governance Agreement	24	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And so do you recall
25	is really focused on is limiting the Province's ability	25	the conversation with the discussion with Mr. Morris
	Page 404		Page 406
1	to act as a major shareholder, because without the	1	about when he when Mr. Morris first heard from
2	Governance Agreement, at a normal shareholders meeting,	2	Mr. Schmidt?
3	a 47 percent or a 40 percent shareholder can elect every	3	MR. SCARLETT: Yes.
4	director, not just 40 percent. And I felt it was	4	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that I believe
5	important, I felt it was important that to the extent we	- I	
6		5	his testimony that he stepped out of a board meeting.
0		6	his testimony that he stepped out of a board meeting. MR. SCARLETT: Yes.
7	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance	1	
	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance Agreement, and my view is that is what happened.	6	MR. SCARLETT: Yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So there was a board
7	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance	6 7	MR. SCARLETT: Yes.
7 8	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance Agreement, and my view is that is what happened. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in these	6 7 8	MR. SCARLETT: Yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So there was a board meeting for the purpose of discussing the July 11
7 8 9	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance Agreement, and my view is that is what happened. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in these discussions that you are having with Mr. Mercier, were	6 7 8 9	MR. SCARLETT: Yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So there was a board meeting for the purpose of discussing the July 11 letter?
7 8 9 10	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance Agreement, and my view is that is what happened. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in these discussions that you are having with Mr. Mercier, were you also discussing matters with Mr. Schmidt?	6 7 8 9 10	MR. SCARLETT: Yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So there was a board meeting for the purpose of discussing the July 11 letter? MR. SCARLETT: I believe so. I wasn't in
7 8 9 10 11	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance Agreement, and my view is that is what happened. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in these discussions that you are having with Mr. Mercier, were you also discussing matters with Mr. Schmidt? MR. SCARLETT: I'm just trying to remember,	6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. SCARLETT: Yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So there was a board meeting for the purpose of discussing the July 11 letter? MR. SCARLETT: I believe so. I wasn't in attendance, but it would stand to reason that this was
7 8 9 10 11 12	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance Agreement, and my view is that is what happened. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in these discussions that you are having with Mr. Mercier, were you also discussing matters with Mr. Schmidt? MR. SCARLETT: I'm just trying to remember, because of course I would talk to Mr. Schmidt regularly	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. SCARLETT: Yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So there was a board meeting for the purpose of discussing the July 11 letter? MR. SCARLETT: I believe so. I wasn't in attendance, but it would stand to reason that this was approved by the board, so there would have had to have
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance Agreement, and my view is that is what happened. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in these discussions that you are having with Mr. Mercier, were you also discussing matters with Mr. Schmidt? MR. SCARLETT: I'm just trying to remember, because of course I would talk to Mr. Schmidt regularly about business and so on. I don't I don't recall	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SCARLETT: Yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So there was a board meeting for the purpose of discussing the July 11 letter? MR. SCARLETT: I believe so. I wasn't in attendance, but it would stand to reason that this was approved by the board, so there would have had to have been a meeting.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance Agreement, and my view is that is what happened. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in these discussions that you are having with Mr. Mercier, were you also discussing matters with Mr. Schmidt? MR. SCARLETT: I'm just trying to remember, because of course I would talk to Mr. Schmidt regularly about business and so on. I don't I don't recall having any great detailed discussions with Mayo about	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SCARLETT: Yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So there was a board meeting for the purpose of discussing the July 11 letter? MR. SCARLETT: I believe so. I wasn't in attendance, but it would stand to reason that this was approved by the board, so there would have had to have been a meeting. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Are you aware
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance Agreement, and my view is that is what happened. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in these discussions that you are having with Mr. Mercier, were you also discussing matters with Mr. Schmidt? MR. SCARLETT: I'm just trying to remember, because of course I would talk to Mr. Schmidt regularly about business and so on. I don't I don't recall having any great detailed discussions with Mayo about that procedure that we would follow. I don't recall	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. SCARLETT: Yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So there was a board meeting for the purpose of discussing the July 11 letter? MR. SCARLETT: I believe so. I wasn't in attendance, but it would stand to reason that this was approved by the board, so there would have had to have been a meeting. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Are you aware whether or not there was a vote by the board resulting
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance Agreement, and my view is that is what happened. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in these discussions that you are having with Mr. Mercier, were you also discussing matters with Mr. Schmidt? MR. SCARLETT: I'm just trying to remember, because of course I would talk to Mr. Schmidt regularly about business and so on. I don't I don't recall having any great detailed discussions with Mayo about that procedure that we would follow. I don't recall that.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SCARLETT: Yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So there was a board meeting for the purpose of discussing the July 11 letter? MR. SCARLETT: I believe so. I wasn't in attendance, but it would stand to reason that this was approved by the board, so there would have had to have been a meeting. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Are you aware whether or not there was a vote by the board resulting from the meeting?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance Agreement, and my view is that is what happened. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in these discussions that you are having with Mr. Mercier, were you also discussing matters with Mr. Schmidt? MR. SCARLETT: I'm just trying to remember, because of course I would talk to Mr. Schmidt regularly about business and so on. I don't I don't recall having any great detailed discussions with Mayo about that procedure that we would follow. I don't recall that. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So did you have	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. SCARLETT: Yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So there was a board meeting for the purpose of discussing the July 11 letter? MR. SCARLETT: I believe so. I wasn't in attendance, but it would stand to reason that this was approved by the board, so there would have had to have been a meeting. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Are you aware whether or not there was a vote by the board resulting from the meeting? MR. SCARLETT: Again, I I can I don't
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance Agreement, and my view is that is what happened. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in these discussions that you are having with Mr. Mercier, were you also discussing matters with Mr. Schmidt? MR. SCARLETT: I'm just trying to remember, because of course I would talk to Mr. Schmidt regularly about business and so on. I don't I don't recall having any great detailed discussions with Mayo about that procedure that we would follow. I don't recall that. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So did you have discussions with Mr. Schmidt about whether he should be	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SCARLETT: Yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So there was a board meeting for the purpose of discussing the July 11 letter? MR. SCARLETT: I believe so. I wasn't in attendance, but it would stand to reason that this was approved by the board, so there would have had to have been a meeting. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Are you aware whether or not there was a vote by the board resulting from the meeting? MR. SCARLETT: Again, I I can I don't have direct knowledge. I mean, I can I can make a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance Agreement, and my view is that is what happened. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in these discussions that you are having with Mr. Mercier, were you also discussing matters with Mr. Schmidt? MR. SCARLETT: I'm just trying to remember, because of course I would talk to Mr. Schmidt regularly about business and so on. I don't I don't recall having any great detailed discussions with Mayo about that procedure that we would follow. I don't recall that. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So did you have discussions with Mr. Schmidt about whether he should be retiring as a part of this	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. SCARLETT: Yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So there was a board meeting for the purpose of discussing the July 11 letter? MR. SCARLETT: I believe so. I wasn't in attendance, but it would stand to reason that this was approved by the board, so there would have had to have been a meeting. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Are you aware whether or not there was a vote by the board resulting from the meeting? MR. SCARLETT: Again, I I can I don't have direct knowledge. I mean, I can I can make a pretty informed assumption or presumption that there
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance Agreement, and my view is that is what happened. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in these discussions that you are having with Mr. Mercier, were you also discussing matters with Mr. Schmidt? MR. SCARLETT: I'm just trying to remember, because of course I would talk to Mr. Schmidt regularly about business and so on. I don't I don't recall having any great detailed discussions with Mayo about that procedure that we would follow. I don't recall that. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So did you have discussions with Mr. Schmidt about whether he should be retiring as a part of this MR. SCARLETT: No, I did not talk to him at	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SCARLETT: Yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So there was a board meeting for the purpose of discussing the July 11 letter? MR. SCARLETT: I believe so. I wasn't in attendance, but it would stand to reason that this was approved by the board, so there would have had to have been a meeting. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Are you aware whether or not there was a vote by the board resulting from the meeting? MR. SCARLETT: Again, I I can I don't have direct knowledge. I mean, I can I can make a pretty informed assumption or presumption that there must have been because the agreement was signed by the chair, and he wouldn't have done it without the board's
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance Agreement, and my view is that is what happened. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in these discussions that you are having with Mr. Mercier, were you also discussing matters with Mr. Schmidt? MR. SCARLETT: I'm just trying to remember, because of course I would talk to Mr. Schmidt regularly about business and so on. I don't I don't recall having any great detailed discussions with Mayo about that procedure that we would follow. I don't recall that. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So did you have discussions with Mr. Schmidt about whether he should be retiring as a part of this MR. SCARLETT: No, I did not talk to him at all about about the retirement idea. It it it	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SCARLETT: Yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So there was a board meeting for the purpose of discussing the July 11 letter? MR. SCARLETT: I believe so. I wasn't in attendance, but it would stand to reason that this was approved by the board, so there would have had to have been a meeting. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Are you aware whether or not there was a vote by the board resulting from the meeting? MR. SCARLETT: Again, I I can I don't have direct knowledge. I mean, I can I can make a pretty informed assumption or presumption that there must have been because the agreement was signed by the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance Agreement, and my view is that is what happened. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in these discussions that you are having with Mr. Mercier, were you also discussing matters with Mr. Schmidt? MR. SCARLETT: I'm just trying to remember, because of course I would talk to Mr. Schmidt regularly about business and so on. I don't I don't recall having any great detailed discussions with Mayo about that procedure that we would follow. I don't recall that. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So did you have discussions with Mr. Schmidt about whether he should be retiring as a part of this MR. SCARLETT: No, I did not talk to him at all about about the retirement idea. It it it came up in the documentation.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SCARLETT: Yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So there was a board meeting for the purpose of discussing the July 11 letter? MR. SCARLETT: I believe so. I wasn't in attendance, but it would stand to reason that this was approved by the board, so there would have had to have been a meeting. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Are you aware whether or not there was a vote by the board resulting from the meeting? MR. SCARLETT: Again, I I can I don't have direct knowledge. I mean, I can I can make a pretty informed assumption or presumption that there must have been because the agreement was signed by the chair, and he wouldn't have done it without the board's consent. And all the directors agreed to resign and
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance Agreement, and my view is that is what happened. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in these discussions that you are having with Mr. Mercier, were you also discussing matters with Mr. Schmidt? MR. SCARLETT: I'm just trying to remember, because of course I would talk to Mr. Schmidt regularly about business and so on. I don't I don't recall having any great detailed discussions with Mayo about that procedure that we would follow. I don't recall that. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So did you have discussions with Mr. Schmidt about whether he should be retiring as a part of this MR. SCARLETT: No, I did not talk to him at all about about the retirement idea. It it it came up in the documentation. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So Mr. Mercier was	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SCARLETT: Yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So there was a board meeting for the purpose of discussing the July 11 letter? MR. SCARLETT: I believe so. I wasn't in attendance, but it would stand to reason that this was approved by the board, so there would have had to have been a meeting. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Are you aware whether or not there was a vote by the board resulting from the meeting? MR. SCARLETT: Again, I I can I don't have direct knowledge. I mean, I can I can make a pretty informed assumption or presumption that there must have been because the agreement was signed by the chair, and he wouldn't have done it without the board's consent. And all the directors agreed to resign and agreed to the process where they would resign in a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	could and we keep to the principles in the Governance Agreement, and my view is that is what happened. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in these discussions that you are having with Mr. Mercier, were you also discussing matters with Mr. Schmidt? MR. SCARLETT: I'm just trying to remember, because of course I would talk to Mr. Schmidt regularly about business and so on. I don't I don't recall having any great detailed discussions with Mayo about that procedure that we would follow. I don't recall that. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So did you have discussions with Mr. Schmidt about whether he should be retiring as a part of this MR. SCARLETT: No, I did not talk to him at all about about the retirement idea. It it it came up in the documentation. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So Mr. Mercier was communicating with the members of the board directly?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. SCARLETT: Yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So there was a board meeting for the purpose of discussing the July 11 letter? MR. SCARLETT: I believe so. I wasn't in attendance, but it would stand to reason that this was approved by the board, so there would have had to have been a meeting. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Are you aware whether or not there was a vote by the board resulting from the meeting? MR. SCARLETT: Again, I I can I don't have direct knowledge. I mean, I can I can make a pretty informed assumption or presumption that there must have been because the agreement was signed by the chair, and he wouldn't have done it without the board's consent. And all the directors agreed to resign and agreed to the process where they would resign in a serial manner. Just an administrative task.

17 (Pages 403 to 406)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 407		Page 409
1	right person to offer testimony about this particular	1	weeks, you know, leading up to like right after the
2	topic if you are not the right one?	2	election when we were in the dark, so to speak, about
3	MR. SCARLETT: The specifics about	3	talking to the Province where, you know, we talked about
4	conversations	4	what the alternatives might be and what the right thing
5	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: About the board	5	to do would be in terms of the best interest of the
6	meeting at which	6	company. And certainly nobody talked then about what
7	MR. SCARLETT: It would either either	7	might happen to stock price.
8	have to be the chairman of the board, the ex-chairman,	8	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So when you were
9	or one of the advisors who was present.	9	talking to your directors, when you were evaluating what
10	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And none of them are	10	might happen potentially, there was no discussion of
11	here today, correct?	11	what the impact would be on Hydro One's stock?
12	MR. SCARLETT: Correct.	12	MR. SCARLETT: Not that I recall, no. The
13	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Are you aware whether	13	conversation was more about what's in the best interest
14	there were any board minutes that were filed as a result	14	of the company and what the fiduciary duties are to look
15	of that meeting?	15	out for the best interest of the company, what the
16	MR. SCARLETT: I expect there were. I	16	Governance Agreement would call for and so on.
17	haven't seen them. I mean, we have a we have a	17	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So given your
18	corporate secretary. It's not me, it's someone who	18	involvement in this transaction, were there any
19	reports to me, and she would have kept minutes of those	19	discussions between you and the directors and the
20	meetings.	20	colleagues about the potential impact on this
21	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And do you know	21	transaction and its regulatory review?
22	whether this was a duly noticed board meeting or was	22	MR. SCARLETT: We knew it we knew it
23 24	this an emergency board meeting?	23 24	would I can't honestly recall any direct conversation
24 25	MR. SCARLETT: Oh, I expect it would have been an emergency, but under our bylaws, which is common	24	like that. Remember, this this all happened in a
23	been an emergency, but under our byraws, which is common	25	very abbreviated time, and it was a very hectic few days
	Page 408		Page 410
1	in corporate law, you waive notice by attendance or by	1	as we were sorting through these details and the what
2	just waiving notice.	2	culminated in the July 11 agreement. It was all, of
3	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So would this	3	course, under a cloak of confidentiality. So there
4	decision and the vote have resulted from a formal board	4	weren't a lot of internal discussion about impacts one
5	resolution?	5	way or the other.
6	MR. SCARLETT: I I expect so, yes.	6	Of course, as soon as it occurred and we did
7	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that would be	7	the press release you know then we had our
8			the press release, you know, then we had our
	included in minutes?	8	conversations, it was clear that it was the impact
9	MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. I'm not trying	9	conversations, it was clear that it was the impact would be that it would be troubling to people. My own
9 10	MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. I'm not trying to avoid the question, I just don't know.	9 10	conversations, it was clear that it was the impact would be that it would be troubling to people. My own view was that while the there was clearly a
9 10 11	MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. I'm not trying to avoid the question, I just don't know. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I understand you were	9 10 11	conversations, it was clear that it was the impact would be that it would be troubling to people. My own view was that while the there was clearly a short-term impact, it was basically on Hydro One, and
9 10 11 12	MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. I'm not trying to avoid the question, I just don't know. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I understand you were not present.	9 10 11 12	conversations, it was clear that it was the impact would be that it would be troubling to people. My own view was that while the there was clearly a short-term impact, it was basically on Hydro One, and that we did not believe, and I still don't believe, that
9 10 11 12 13	MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. I'm not trying to avoid the question, I just don't know. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I understand you were not present. MR. SCARLETT: Right.	9 10 11 12 13	conversations, it was clear that it was the impact would be that it would be troubling to people. My own view was that while the there was clearly a short-term impact, it was basically on Hydro One, and that we did not believe, and I still don't believe, that the impact is really on Avista. I think that there's a
9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. I'm not trying to avoid the question, I just don't know. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I understand you were not present. MR. SCARLETT: Right. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So if you were	9 10 11 12 13 14	conversations, it was clear that it was the impact would be that it would be troubling to people. My own view was that while the there was clearly a short-term impact, it was basically on Hydro One, and that we did not believe, and I still don't believe, that the impact is really on Avista. I think that there's a short-term period of uncertainly, but I think that the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. I'm not trying to avoid the question, I just don't know. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I understand you were not present. MR. SCARLETT: Right. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So if you were present, do you imagine that there this is	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	conversations, it was clear that it was the impact would be that it would be troubling to people. My own view was that while the there was clearly a short-term impact, it was basically on Hydro One, and that we did not believe, and I still don't believe, that the impact is really on Avista. I think that there's a short-term period of uncertainly, but I think that the way we've structured our deal, it's sort of neutral to
9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. I'm not trying to avoid the question, I just don't know. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I understand you were not present. MR. SCARLETT: Right. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So if you were present, do you imagine that there this is hypothetical, do you imagine that there would have been	9 10 11 12 13 14	conversations, it was clear that it was the impact would be that it would be troubling to people. My own view was that while the there was clearly a short-term impact, it was basically on Hydro One, and that we did not believe, and I still don't believe, that the impact is really on Avista. I think that there's a short-term period of uncertainly, but I think that the way we've structured our deal, it's sort of neutral to Avista.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. I'm not trying to avoid the question, I just don't know. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I understand you were not present. MR. SCARLETT: Right. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So if you were present, do you imagine that there this is	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	conversations, it was clear that it was the impact would be that it would be troubling to people. My own view was that while the there was clearly a short-term impact, it was basically on Hydro One, and that we did not believe, and I still don't believe, that the impact is really on Avista. I think that there's a short-term period of uncertainly, but I think that the way we've structured our deal, it's sort of neutral to
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. I'm not trying to avoid the question, I just don't know. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I understand you were not present. MR. SCARLETT: Right. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So if you were present, do you imagine that there this is hypothetical, do you imagine that there would have been discussions about what would happen to the value of	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	conversations, it was clear that it was the impact would be that it would be troubling to people. My own view was that while the there was clearly a short-term impact, it was basically on Hydro One, and that we did not believe, and I still don't believe, that the impact is really on Avista. I think that there's a short-term period of uncertainly, but I think that the way we've structured our deal, it's sort of neutral to Avista. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. I'm not trying to avoid the question, I just don't know. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I understand you were not present. MR. SCARLETT: Right. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So if you were present, do you imagine that there this is hypothetical, do you imagine that there would have been discussions about what would happen to the value of Hydro One's stock if the board suddenly resigned en	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	conversations, it was clear that it was the impact would be that it would be troubling to people. My own view was that while the there was clearly a short-term impact, it was basically on Hydro One, and that we did not believe, and I still don't believe, that the impact is really on Avista. I think that there's a short-term period of uncertainly, but I think that the way we've structured our deal, it's sort of neutral to Avista. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your discussions in with the directors, was there any
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. I'm not trying to avoid the question, I just don't know. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I understand you were not present. MR. SCARLETT: Right. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So if you were present, do you imagine that there this is hypothetical, do you imagine that there would have been discussions about what would happen to the value of Hydro One's stock if the board suddenly resigned en masse? Can you imagine what those conversations would have been like? MR. SCARLETT: It's really a guess. I	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	conversations, it was clear that it was the impact would be that it would be troubling to people. My own view was that while the there was clearly a short-term impact, it was basically on Hydro One, and that we did not believe, and I still don't believe, that the impact is really on Avista. I think that there's a short-term period of uncertainly, but I think that the way we've structured our deal, it's sort of neutral to Avista. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your discussions in with the directors, was there any discussion in your discussions with Mr. Mercier, are
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. I'm not trying to avoid the question, I just don't know. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I understand you were not present. MR. SCARLETT: Right. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So if you were present, do you imagine that there this is hypothetical, do you imagine that there would have been discussions about what would happen to the value of Hydro One's stock if the board suddenly resigned en masse? Can you imagine what those conversations would have been like?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	conversations, it was clear that it was the impact would be that it would be troubling to people. My own view was that while the there was clearly a short-term impact, it was basically on Hydro One, and that we did not believe, and I still don't believe, that the impact is really on Avista. I think that there's a short-term period of uncertainly, but I think that the way we've structured our deal, it's sort of neutral to Avista. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your discussions in with the directors, was there any discussion in your discussions with Mr. Mercier, are you aware of any discussions in his from
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. I'm not trying to avoid the question, I just don't know. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I understand you were not present. MR. SCARLETT: Right. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So if you were present, do you imagine that there this is hypothetical, do you imagine that there would have been discussions about what would happen to the value of Hydro One's stock if the board suddenly resigned en masse? Can you imagine what those conversations would have been like? MR. SCARLETT: It's really a guess. I would I would not expect that there would have been those conversations. That's not the sort of thing that	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	conversations, it was clear that it was the impact would be that it would be troubling to people. My own view was that while the there was clearly a short-term impact, it was basically on Hydro One, and that we did not believe, and I still don't believe, that the impact is really on Avista. I think that there's a short-term period of uncertainly, but I think that the way we've structured our deal, it's sort of neutral to Avista. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your discussions in with the directors, was there any discussion in your discussions with Mr. Mercier, are you aware of any discussions in his from conversations with him that there was discussion between Hydro One and provincial representatives about the possibility of the impact this these events might
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SCARLETT: I expect so. I'm not trying to avoid the question, I just don't know. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I understand you were not present. MR. SCARLETT: Right. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So if you were present, do you imagine that there this is hypothetical, do you imagine that there would have been discussions about what would happen to the value of Hydro One's stock if the board suddenly resigned en masse? Can you imagine what those conversations would have been like? MR. SCARLETT: It's really a guess. I would I would not expect that there would have been	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	conversations, it was clear that it was the impact would be that it would be troubling to people. My own view was that while the there was clearly a short-term impact, it was basically on Hydro One, and that we did not believe, and I still don't believe, that the impact is really on Avista. I think that there's a short-term period of uncertainly, but I think that the way we've structured our deal, it's sort of neutral to Avista. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your discussions in with the directors, was there any discussion in your discussions with Mr. Mercier, are you aware of any discussions in his from conversations with him that there was discussion between Hydro One and provincial representatives about the

18 (Pages 407 to 410)

	Dama 411		Demo 412
	Page 411		Page 413
1	than the Province?	1	shareholder that owns a large chunk, that the
2	MR. SCARLETT: I I have not had that	2	practicalities of shareholder meetings are it is a
3	discussion with anyone, nor have I heard of it	3	majority vote, but a majority of the votes that are
4	occurring.	4	represented at the meeting, and you never get a meeting
5	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: All right. Thank	5	with a hundred percent turnout. If you're lucky, you
6	you.	6	get a meeting with 75 percent turnout. Let's use that
7	All right. Let's move on to another set of	7	number. That would mean anybody with 38 percent of the
8	topics. So in your testimony, you had said that just	8	vote, controls the entire vote.
9	today your recommendation was to not follow the	9	JUDGE MOSS: And this sort of information
10	procedures in Section 4.7 of the Governance Agreement	10	would be known to the investment investing public?
11	because it would take 90 days and that it was necessary	11	MR. SCARLETT: Oh, yeah. And anybody who
12	to act in timely manner. Did you you thought that	12	deals with public companies knows this happens and knows
13	this would be in the best interest even though Premier	13	that that's why in I was so confident when I said
14	Ford's government went ahead and pursued legislation	14	that there wouldn't be a question in the outcome of the
15	that had intrusive provisions in it even after the July	15	vote because Province when we did the shareholders
16	11 agreement?	16	meeting, and Province had a 47 percent vote for them not
17	MR. SCARLETT: Well, we took these things	17	to pass. The resolutions would have required 48 percent
18	one step at a time, and I thought then and I think now	18	against, which would have meant you would have in turn
19	that it was in the best interest of everybody that we	19	95 percent, which is just not practically possible.
20	deal with this as expeditiously as possible. And I also	20	Sorry, am I making sense? Am I answering your question?
21	knew that, from what I could read in the paper, that we	21	JUDGE MOSS: Well, yes, I understand what
22	had a government that was intent now on acting and if I	22	you're saying.
23	went back to them and said or someone went back and said	23	Go ahead.
24	you can follow this process and take 90 days, I didn't	24	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Mr. Scarlett, so for those
25	know that they were going to be patient enough to take	25	53 percent who are not the Province, there is I mean,
	Page 412		Page 414
1		1	
1 2	90 days.	1	it could be possibly some of them invested in this
2	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to	2	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance
	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for		it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement
2 3	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of	2 3	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance
2 3 4	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that,	2 3 4	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a
2 3 4 5	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that, in fact, we abide by all of the procedures and all of	2 3 4 5	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a 90-day period. And even though the votes might not have
2 3 4 5 6	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that, in fact, we abide by all of the procedures and all of the requirements in the Governance Agreement except only	2 3 4 5 6	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a 90-day period. And even though the votes might not have been with them, the process might have been with them
2 3 4 5 6 7	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that, in fact, we abide by all of the procedures and all of	2 3 4 5 6 7	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a 90-day period. And even though the votes might not have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that, in fact, we abide by all of the procedures and all of the requirements in the Governance Agreement except only doing away with the need for a shareholders meeting,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a 90-day period. And even though the votes might not have been with them, the process might have been with them and they might have been able to take that opportunity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that, in fact, we abide by all of the procedures and all of the requirements in the Governance Agreement except only doing away with the need for a shareholders meeting, which I believe then and I believe now, would have had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a 90-day period. And even though the votes might not have been with them, the process might have been with them and they might have been able to take that opportunity to try and convince both the Province and their fellow
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that, in fact, we abide by all of the procedures and all of the requirements in the Governance Agreement except only doing away with the need for a shareholders meeting, which I believe then and I believe now, would have had no substantive value.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a 90-day period. And even though the votes might not have been with them, the process might have been with them and they might have been able to take that opportunity to try and convince both the Province and their fellow shareholders of the error of this particular line of
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that, in fact, we abide by all of the procedures and all of the requirements in the Governance Agreement except only doing away with the need for a shareholders meeting, which I believe then and I believe now, would have had no substantive value. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a 90-day period. And even though the votes might not have been with them, the process might have been with them and they might have been able to take that opportunity to try and convince both the Province and their fellow shareholders of the error of this particular line of action; isn't that possible?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that, in fact, we abide by all of the procedures and all of the requirements in the Governance Agreement except only doing away with the need for a shareholders meeting, which I believe then and I believe now, would have had no substantive value. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Let me follow up on that if I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a 90-day period. And even though the votes might not have been with them, the process might have been with them and they might have been able to take that opportunity to try and convince both the Province and their fellow shareholders of the error of this particular line of action; isn't that possible? MR. SCARLETT: Just trying to choose my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that, in fact, we abide by all of the procedures and all of the requirements in the Governance Agreement except only doing away with the need for a shareholders meeting, which I believe then and I believe now, would have had no substantive value. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Let me follow up on that if I may. I'm just curious, and this goes back to your earlier testimony, you said several times that having a 47 percent share of the company gave this minority	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a 90-day period. And even though the votes might not have been with them, the process might have been with them and they might have been able to take that opportunity to try and convince both the Province and their fellow shareholders of the error of this particular line of action; isn't that possible? MR. SCARLETT: Just trying to choose my words. I I think it's possible like many things are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that, in fact, we abide by all of the procedures and all of the requirements in the Governance Agreement except only doing away with the need for a shareholders meeting, which I believe then and I believe now, would have had no substantive value. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Let me follow up on that if I may. I'm just curious, and this goes back to your earlier testimony, you said several times that having a 47 percent share of the company gave this minority shareholder the ability to absolutely determine the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a 90-day period. And even though the votes might not have been with them, the process might have been with them and they might have been able to take that opportunity to try and convince both the Province and their fellow shareholders of the error of this particular line of action; isn't that possible? MR. SCARLETT: Just trying to choose my words. 1 1 think it's possible like many things are possible, but I think it's in the realm of minute.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that, in fact, we abide by all of the procedures and all of the requirements in the Governance Agreement except only doing away with the need for a shareholders meeting, which I believe then and I believe now, would have had no substantive value. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Let me follow up on that if I may. I'm just curious, and this goes back to your earlier testimony, you said several times that having a 47 percent share of the company gave this minority shareholder the ability to absolutely determine the board. I don't understand that. I thought things were	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a 90-day period. And even though the votes might not have been with them, the process might have been with them and they might have been able to take that opportunity to try and convince both the Province and their fellow shareholders of the error of this particular line of action; isn't that possible? MR. SCARLETT: Just trying to choose my words. 1 1 think it's possible like many things are possible, but 1 think it's in the realm of minute. Actually, I don't think it's practically possible in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that, in fact, we abide by all of the procedures and all of the requirements in the Governance Agreement except only doing away with the need for a shareholders meeting, which I believe then and I believe now, would have had no substantive value. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Let me follow up on that if I may. I'm just curious, and this goes back to your earlier testimony, you said several times that having a 47 percent share of the company gave this minority shareholder the ability to absolutely determine the board. I don't understand that. I thought things were by majority vote.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a 90-day period. And even though the votes might not have been with them, the process might have been with them and they might have been able to take that opportunity to try and convince both the Province and their fellow shareholders of the error of this particular line of action; isn't that possible? MR. SCARLETT: Just trying to choose my words. I I think it's possible like many things are possible, but I think it's in the realm of minute. Actually, I don't think it's practically possible in the climate of the day CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so the 90-day process you said you didn't think it that would create
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that, in fact, we abide by all of the procedures and all of the requirements in the Governance Agreement except only doing away with the need for a shareholders meeting, which I believe then and I believe now, would have had no substantive value. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Let me follow up on that if I may. I'm just curious, and this goes back to your earlier testimony, you said several times that having a 47 percent share of the company gave this minority shareholder the ability to absolutely determine the board. I don't understand that. I thought things were by majority vote. MR. SCARLETT: So I didn't say it would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a 90-day period. And even though the votes might not have been with them, the process might have been with them and they might have been able to take that opportunity to try and convince both the Province and their fellow shareholders of the error of this particular line of action; isn't that possible? MR. SCARLETT: Just trying to choose my words. I I think it's possible like many things are possible, but I think it's in the realm of minute. Actually, I don't think it's practically possible in the climate of the day CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so the 90-day process you said you didn't think it that would create uncertainty. What is it you are scared of that would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that, in fact, we abide by all of the procedures and all of the requirements in the Governance Agreement except only doing away with the need for a shareholders meeting, which I believe then and I believe now, would have had no substantive value. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Let me follow up on that if I may. I'm just curious, and this goes back to your earlier testimony, you said several times that having a 47 percent share of the company gave this minority shareholder the ability to absolutely determine the board. I don't understand that. I thought things were by majority vote. MR. SCARLETT: So I didn't say it would affect or allow this shareholder to do it. I said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a 90-day period. And even though the votes might not have been with them, the process might have been with them and they might have been able to take that opportunity to try and convince both the Province and their fellow shareholders of the error of this particular line of action; isn't that possible? MR. SCARLETT: Just trying to choose my words. 1 I think it's possible like many things are possible, but I think it's in the realm of minute. Actually, I don't think it's practically possible in the climate of the day CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so the 90-day process you said you didn't think it that would create uncertainty. What is it you are scared of that would happen during those 90 days?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that, in fact, we abide by all of the procedures and all of the requirements in the Governance Agreement except only doing away with the need for a shareholders meeting, which I believe then and I believe now, would have had no substantive value. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Let me follow up on that if I may. I'm just curious, and this goes back to your earlier testimony, you said several times that having a 47 percent share of the company gave this minority shareholder the ability to absolutely determine the board. I don't understand that. I thought things were by majority vote. MR. SCARLETT: So I didn't say it would affect or allow this shareholder to do it. I said the Governance Agreement prevents the shareholder from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a 90-day period. And even though the votes might not have been with them, the process might have been with them and they might have been able to take that opportunity to try and convince both the Province and their fellow shareholders of the error of this particular line of action; isn't that possible? MR. SCARLETT: Just trying to choose my words. 1 I think it's possible like many things are possible, but I think it's in the realm of minute. Actually, I don't think it's practically possible in the climate of the day CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so the 90-day process you said you didn't think it that would create uncertainty. What is it you are scared of that would happen during those 90 days? MR. SCARLETT: Well, first of all, I didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that, in fact, we abide by all of the procedures and all of the requirements in the Governance Agreement except only doing away with the need for a shareholders meeting, which I believe then and I believe now, would have had no substantive value. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Let me follow up on that if I may. I'm just curious, and this goes back to your earlier testimony, you said several times that having a 47 percent share of the company gave this minority shareholder the ability to absolutely determine the board. I don't understand that. I thought things were by majority vote. MR. SCARLETT: So I didn't say it would affect or allow this shareholder to do it. I said the Governance Agreement prevents the shareholder from doing that, and that is what happened with the change of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a 90-day period. And even though the votes might not have been with them, the process might have been with them and they might have been able to take that opportunity to try and convince both the Province and their fellow shareholders of the error of this particular line of action; isn't that possible? MR. SCARLETT: Just trying to choose my words. 1 I think it's possible like many things are possible, but I think it's in the realm of minute. Actually, I don't think it's practically possible in the climate of the day CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so the 90-day process you said you didn't think it that would create uncertainty. What is it you are scared of that would happen during those 90 days? MR. SCARLETT: Well, first of all, I didn't know a couple of things to be very blunt. I didn't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that, in fact, we abide by all of the procedures and all of the requirements in the Governance Agreement except only doing away with the need for a shareholders meeting, which I believe then and I believe now, would have had no substantive value. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Let me follow up on that if I may. I'm just curious, and this goes back to your earlier testimony, you said several times that having a 47 percent share of the company gave this minority shareholder the ability to absolutely determine the board. I don't understand that. I thought things were by majority vote. MR. SCARLETT: So I didn't say it would affect or allow this shareholder to do it. I said the Governance Agreement prevents the shareholder from doing that, and that is what happened with the change of our board.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a 90-day period. And even though the votes might not have been with them, the process might have been with them and they might have been able to take that opportunity to try and convince both the Province and their fellow shareholders of the error of this particular line of action; isn't that possible? MR. SCARLETT: Just trying to choose my words. 1 I think it's possible like many things are possible, but I think it's in the realm of minute. Actually, I don't think it's practically possible in the climate of the day CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so the 90-day process you said you didn't think it that would create uncertainty. What is it you are scared of that would happen during those 90 days? MR. SCARLETT: Well, first of all, I didn't know a couple of things to be very blunt. I didn't know how the government would react if we told them they had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that, in fact, we abide by all of the procedures and all of the requirements in the Governance Agreement except only doing away with the need for a shareholders meeting, which I believe then and I believe now, would have had no substantive value. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Let me follow up on that if I may. I'm just curious, and this goes back to your earlier testimony, you said several times that having a 47 percent share of the company gave this minority shareholder the ability to absolutely determine the board. I don't understand that. I thought things were board. I don't understand that. I thought things were board. I don't understand that. I thought things were board, I don't understand that. I thought things were board, I don't understand that is what happened with the change of our board. What I'm getting at generally, Judge Moss,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a 90-day period. And even though the votes might not have been with them, the process might have been with them and they might have been able to take that opportunity to try and convince both the Province and their fellow shareholders of the error of this particular line of action; isn't that possible? MR. SCARLETT: Just trying to choose my words. 1 I think it's possible like many things are possible, but I think it's in the realm of minute. Actually, I don't think it's practically possible in the climate of the day CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so the 90-day process you said you didn't think it that would create uncertainty. What is it you are scared of that would happen during those 90 days? MR. SCARLETT: Well, first of all, I didn't know a couple of things to be very blunt. I didn't know how the government would react if we told them they had to wait up to 90 days to actually act on what they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	90 days. So I didn't think it would be attractive to the government. I knew that it would be negative for the company to be going through a 90-day period of uncertainty. And so my strong recommendation was that, in fact, we abide by all of the procedures and all of the requirements in the Governance Agreement except only doing away with the need for a shareholders meeting, which I believe then and I believe now, would have had no substantive value. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Let me follow up on that if I may. I'm just curious, and this goes back to your earlier testimony, you said several times that having a 47 percent share of the company gave this minority shareholder the ability to absolutely determine the board. I don't understand that. I thought things were by majority vote. MR. SCARLETT: So I didn't say it would affect or allow this shareholder to do it. I said the Governance Agreement prevents the shareholder from doing that, and that is what happened with the change of our board.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it could be possibly some of them invested in this company with the comfort and reliance on a Governance Agreement MR. SCARLETT: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: that established a 90-day period. And even though the votes might not have been with them, the process might have been with them and they might have been able to take that opportunity to try and convince both the Province and their fellow shareholders of the error of this particular line of action; isn't that possible? MR. SCARLETT: Just trying to choose my words. 1 I think it's possible like many things are possible, but I think it's in the realm of minute. Actually, I don't think it's practically possible in the climate of the day CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so the 90-day process you said you didn't think it that would create uncertainty. What is it you are scared of that would happen during those 90 days? MR. SCARLETT: Well, first of all, I didn't know a couple of things to be very blunt. I didn't know how the government would react if we told them they had

19 (Pages 411 to 414)

	Page 415		Page 417
1	CHAIRMAN DANNER: And and what in what	1	by Mayo Schmidt because no one wanted to work for a
2	ways could they act against the best interest of the	2	Crown corporation to be blunt.
3	company at that time? When	3	MR. SCARLETT: Yes, I remember that comment.
4	MR. SCARLETT: Well, as	4	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So in looking
5	CHAIRMAN DANNER: you say you didn't know	5	at the Governance Agreement, which I believe is Exhibit
6	how they were going to react, what were their options?	6	JDS-2, right? There is a section providing for waiver,
7	MR. SCARLETT: Well, we said in our	7	correct?
8	testimony in May and I'll say again now, they always had	8	MR. SCARLETT: I'm I'm sure there is.
9	the power to pass legislation. They could have said,	9	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Section 8.11.
10	we're not going to wait 90 days, here's legislation,	10	MR. SCARLETT: Okay.
11	bang. Which I think would have been much worse, because	11	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And find that
12	I thought it was very important that we abide by the	12	agreement
13	requirements and the principles in the Governance	13	CHAIRMAN DANNER: It's MMS-5.
14	Agreement, which which we did.	14	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I'm sorry, MMS-5,
15	I personally don't believe that there was	15	which was an exhibit to Mr. Schmidt's testimony.
16	any real process value in running a shareholders	16	MR. SCARLETT: I know I have it. I just
17	meeting. I don't believe that there was any chance the	17	need to find it. The section I have the section
18	shareholders were going to rise up and convince the	18	you're referring to again is?
19	government not to do what they had publicly said.	19	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Section 8.11. So
20	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Have you talked to	20	that's the waiver provision, which the July 11 letter
21	shareholders, did you do that	21	did invoke, correct?
22	MR. SCARLETT: I didn't personally	22	MR. SCARLETT: Yes.
23	(Multiple speakers.)	23	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So when you
24	MR. SCARLETT: No, I personally did not. I	24	were giving your testimony in May, my understanding, and
25	mean, I think there were discussions, but I can tell you	25	you can correct me, was that the intent of the
	Page 416		Page 418
1	Page 416 that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying	1	Page 418 Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given
1 2		1 2	
	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying		Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given
2	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this	2	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct?
2 3	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were	2 3	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct.
2 3 4	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were discussions, what were those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I believe our the ex-chair, I'm just pausing now for a minute. I know	2 3 4	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But doesn't Section 8.11, then, severely undercut the intent of the Governance Agreement to protect shareholders if the
2 3 4 5	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were discussions, what were those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I believe our the ex-chair, I'm just pausing now for a minute. I know that there were discussions in May around executive	2 3 4 5	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But doesn't Section 8.11, then, severely undercut the intent of the Governance Agreement to protect shareholders if the Province can just waive any provision?
2 3 4 5 6	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were discussions, what were those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I believe our the ex-chair, I'm just pausing now for a minute. I know that there were discussions in May around executive compensation. Actually, I don't believe that there were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But doesn't Section 8.11, then, severely undercut the intent of the Governance Agreement to protect shareholders if the Province can just waive any provision? MR. SCARLETT: No, that's not the effect of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were discussions, what were those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I believe our the ex-chair, I'm just pausing now for a minute. I know that there were discussions in May around executive compensation. Actually, I don't believe that there were discussions about the shareholders meeting concept, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But doesn't Section 8.11, then, severely undercut the intent of the Governance Agreement to protect shareholders if the Province can just waive any provision? MR. SCARLETT: No, that's not the effect of 8.11. 8.11 is a standard term in any contract that says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were discussions, what were those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I believe our the ex-chair, I'm just pausing now for a minute. I know that there were discussions in May around executive compensation. Actually, I don't believe that there were discussions about the shareholders meeting concept, but I do know that I was not aware and I don't believe any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But doesn't Section 8.11, then, severely undercut the intent of the Governance Agreement to protect shareholders if the Province can just waive any provision? MR. SCARLETT: No, that's not the effect of 8.11. 8.11 is a standard term in any contract that says if we're going to waive something, we have to do it in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were discussions, what were those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I believe our the ex-chair, I'm just pausing now for a minute. I know that there were discussions in May around executive compensation. Actually, I don't believe that there were discussions about the shareholders meeting concept, but I do know that I was not aware and I don't believe any shareholders came forward with a, you know, request that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But doesn't Section 8.11, then, severely undercut the intent of the Governance Agreement to protect shareholders if the Province can just waive any provision? MR. SCARLETT: No, that's not the effect of 8.11. 8.11 is a standard term in any contract that says if we're going to waive something, we have to do it in instrument by writing. It doesn't allow one party to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were discussions, what were those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I believe our the ex-chair, I'm just pausing now for a minute. I know that there were discussions in May around executive compensation. Actually, I don't believe that there were discussions about the shareholders meeting concept, but I do know that I was not aware and I don't believe any shareholders came forward with a, you know, request that other action be taken. And certainly I was I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But doesn't Section 8.11, then, severely undercut the intent of the Governance Agreement to protect shareholders if the Province can just waive any provision? MR. SCARLETT: No, that's not the effect of 8.11. 8.11 is a standard term in any contract that says if we're going to waive something, we have to do it in instrument by writing. It doesn't allow one party to waive, it requires both parties. It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were discussions, what were those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I believe our the ex-chair, I'm just pausing now for a minute. I know that there were discussions in May around executive compensation. Actually, I don't believe that there were discussions about the shareholders meeting concept, but I do know that I was not aware and I don't believe any shareholders came forward with a, you know, request that other action be taken. And certainly I was I'm not aware that there has been any kind of critical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But doesn't Section 8.11, then, severely undercut the intent of the Governance Agreement to protect shareholders if the Province can just waive any provision? MR. SCARLETT: No, that's not the effect of 8.11. 8.11 is a standard term in any contract that says if we're going to waive something, we have to do it in instrument by writing. It doesn't allow one party to waive, it requires both parties. It's COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But in this case,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were discussions, what were those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I believe our the ex-chair, I'm just pausing now for a minute. I know that there were discussions in May around executive compensation. Actually, I don't believe that there were discussions about the shareholders meeting concept, but I do know that I was not aware and I don't believe any shareholders came forward with a, you know, request that other action be taken. And certainly I was I'm not aware that there has been any kind of critical commentary from shareholders about why didn't we have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But doesn't Section 8.11, then, severely undercut the intent of the Governance Agreement to protect shareholders if the Province can just waive any provision? MR. SCARLETT: No, that's not the effect of 8.11. 8.11 is a standard term in any contract that says if we're going to waive something, we have to do it in instrument by writing. It doesn't allow one party to waive, it requires both parties. It's COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But in this case, this is an agreement between Hydro One and the Province,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were discussions, what were those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I believe our the ex-chair, I'm just pausing now for a minute. I know that there were discussions in May around executive compensation. Actually, I don't believe that there were discussions about the shareholders meeting concept, but I do know that I was not aware and I don't believe any shareholders came forward with a, you know, request that other action be taken. And certainly I was I'm not aware that there has been any kind of critical commentary from shareholders about why didn't we have a meeting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But doesn't Section 8.11, then, severely undercut the intent of the Governance Agreement to protect shareholders if the Province can just waive any provision? MR. SCARLETT: No, that's not the effect of 8.11. 8.11 is a standard term in any contract that says if we're going to waive something, we have to do it in instrument by writing. It doesn't allow one party to waive, it requires both parties. It's COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But in this case, this is an agreement between Hydro One and the Province, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were discussions, what were those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I believe our the ex-chair, I'm just pausing now for a minute. I know that there were discussions in May around executive compensation. Actually, I don't believe that there were discussions about the shareholders meeting concept, but I do know that I was not aware and I don't believe any shareholders came forward with a, you know, request that other action be taken. And certainly I was I'm not aware that there has been any kind of critical commentary from shareholders about why didn't we have a meeting. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But doesn't Section 8.11, then, severely undercut the intent of the Governance Agreement to protect shareholders if the Province can just waive any provision? MR. SCARLETT: No, that's not the effect of 8.11. 8.11 is a standard term in any contract that says if we're going to waive something, we have to do it in instrument by writing. It doesn't allow one party to waive, it requires both parties. It's COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But in this case, this is an agreement between Hydro One and the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were discussions, what were those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I believe our the ex-chair, I'm just pausing now for a minute. I know that there were discussions in May around executive compensation. Actually, I don't believe that there were discussions about the shareholders meeting concept, but I do know that I was not aware and I don't believe any shareholders came forward with a, you know, request that other action be taken. And certainly I was I'm not aware that there has been any kind of critical commentary from shareholders about why didn't we have a meeting. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Mr. Scarlett,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But doesn't Section 8.11, then, severely undercut the intent of the Governance Agreement to protect shareholders if the Province can just waive any provision? MR. SCARLETT: No, that's not the effect of 8.11. 8.11 is a standard term in any contract that says if we're going to waive something, we have to do it in instrument by writing. It doesn't allow one party to waive, it requires both parties. It's COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But in this case, this is an agreement between Hydro One and the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So the other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were discussions, what were those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I believe our the ex-chair, I'm just pausing now for a minute. I know that there were discussions in May around executive compensation. Actually, I don't believe that there were discussions about the shareholders meeting concept, but I do know that I was not aware and I don't believe any shareholders came forward with a, you know, request that other action be taken. And certainly I was I'm not aware that there has been any kind of critical commentary from shareholders about why didn't we have a meeting. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Mr. Scarlett, I would like to talk about the Governance Agreement. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But doesn't Section 8.11, then, severely undercut the intent of the Governance Agreement to protect shareholders if the Province can just waive any provision? MR. SCARLETT: No, that's not the effect of 8.11. 8.11 is a standard term in any contract that says if we're going to waive something, we have to do it in instrument by writing. It doesn't allow one party to waive, it requires both parties. It's COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But in this case, this is an agreement between Hydro One and the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So the other shareholders have no say in the decision whether there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were discussions, what were those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I believe our the ex-chair, I'm just pausing now for a minute. I know that there were discussions in May around executive compensation. Actually, I don't believe that there were discussions about the shareholders meeting concept, but I do know that I was not aware and I don't believe any shareholders came forward with a, you know, request that other action be taken. And certainly I was I'm not aware that there has been any kind of critical commentary from shareholders about why didn't we have a meeting. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Mr. Scarlett, I would like to talk about the Governance Agreement. So do you recall your testimony here in May during which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But doesn't Section 8.11, then, severely undercut the intent of the Governance Agreement to protect shareholders if the Province can just waive any provision? MR. SCARLETT: No, that's not the effect of 8.11. 8.11 is a standard term in any contract that says if we're going to waive something, we have to do it in instrument by writing. It doesn't allow one party to waive, it requires both parties. It's COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But in this case, this is an agreement between Hydro One and the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So the other shareholders have no say in the decision whether there's going to be a waiver?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were discussions, what were those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I believe our the ex-chair, I'm just pausing now for a minute. I know that there were discussions in May around executive compensation. Actually, I don't believe that there were discussions about the shareholders meeting concept, but I do know that I was not aware and I don't believe any shareholders came forward with a, you know, request that other action be taken. And certainly I was I'm not aware that there has been any kind of critical commentary from shareholders about why didn't we have a meeting. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Mr. Scarlett, I would like to talk about the Governance Agreement. So do you recall your testimony here in May during which you described at length, and I can give you a transcript	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But doesn't Section 8.11, then, severely undercut the intent of the Governance Agreement to protect shareholders if the Province can just waive any provision? MR. SCARLETT: No, that's not the effect of 8.11. 8.11 is a standard term in any contract that says if we're going to waive something, we have to do it in instrument by writing. It doesn't allow one party to waive, it requires both parties. It's COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But in this case, this is an agreement between Hydro One and the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So the other shareholders have no say in the decision whether there's going to be a waiver? MR. SCARLETT: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were discussions, what were those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I believe our the ex-chair, I'm just pausing now for a minute. I know that there were discussions in May around executive compensation. Actually, I don't believe that there were discussions about the shareholders meeting concept, but I do know that I was not aware and I don't believe any shareholders came forward with a, you know, request that other action be taken. And certainly I was I'm not aware that there has been any kind of critical commentary from shareholders about why didn't we have a meeting. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Mr. Scarlett, I would like to talk about the Governance Agreement. So do you recall your testimony here in May during which you described at length, and I can give you a transcript page if you'd like. You described the step-by-step	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But doesn't Section 8.11, then, severely undercut the intent of the Governance Agreement to protect shareholders if the Province can just waive any provision? MR. SCARLETT: No, that's not the effect of 8.11. 8.11 is a standard term in any contract that says if we're going to waive something, we have to do it in instrument by writing. It doesn't allow one party to waive, it requires both parties. It's COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But in this case, this is an agreement between Hydro One and the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So the other shareholders have no say in the decision whether there's going to be a waiver? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And this agreement is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were discussions, what were those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I believe our the ex-chair, I'm just pausing now for a minute. I know that there were discussions in May around executive compensation. Actually, I don't believe that there were discussions about the shareholders meeting concept, but I do know that I was not aware and I don't believe any shareholders came forward with a, you know, request that other action be taken. And certainly I was I'm not aware that there has been any kind of critical commentary from shareholders about why didn't we have a meeting. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Mr. Scarlett, I would like to talk about the Governance Agreement. So do you recall your testimony here in May during which you described at length, and I can give you a transcript page if you'd like. You described the step-by-step requirements of Section 4.7 describing it as, quote,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But doesn't Section 8.11, then, severely undercut the intent of the Governance Agreement to protect shareholders if the Province can just waive any provision? MR. SCARLETT: No, that's not the effect of 8.11. 8.11 is a standard term in any contract that says if we're going to waive something, we have to do it in instrument by writing. It doesn't allow one party to waive, it requires both parties. It's COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But in this case, this is an agreement between Hydro One and the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So the other shareholders have no say in the decision whether there's going to be a waiver? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And this agreement is intended to protect them?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were discussions, what were those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I believe our the ex-chair, I'm just pausing now for a minute. I know that there were discussions in May around executive compensation. Actually, I don't believe that there were discussions about the shareholders meeting concept, but I do know that I was not aware and I don't believe any shareholders came forward with a, you know, request that other action be taken. And certainly I was I'm not aware that there has been any kind of critical commentary from shareholders about why didn't we have a meeting. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Mr. Scarlett, I would like to talk about the Governance Agreement. So do you recall your testimony here in May during which you described at length, and I can give you a transcript page if you'd like. You described the step-by-step requirements of Section 4.7 describing it as, quote, Probably a 90-day process carefully thought through and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But doesn't Section 8.11, then, severely undercut the intent of the Governance Agreement to protect shareholders if the Province can just waive any provision? MR. SCARLETT: No, that's not the effect of 8.11. 8.11 is a standard term in any contract that says if we're going to waive something, we have to do it in instrument by writing. It doesn't allow one party to waive, it requires both parties. It's COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But in this case, this is an agreement between Hydro One and the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So the other shareholders have no say in the decision whether there's going to be a waiver? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And this agreement is intended to protect them? MR. SCARLETT: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that nobody was coming to us that I was aware of saying we have to do something about this CHAIRMAN DANNER: When you say there were discussions, what were those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I believe our the ex-chair, I'm just pausing now for a minute. I know that there were discussions in May around executive compensation. Actually, I don't believe that there were discussions about the shareholders meeting concept, but I do know that I was not aware and I don't believe any shareholders came forward with a, you know, request that other action be taken. And certainly I was I'm not aware that there has been any kind of critical commentary from shareholders about why didn't we have a meeting. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Mr. Scarlett, I would like to talk about the Governance Agreement. So do you recall your testimony here in May during which you described at length, and I can give you a transcript page if you'd like. You described the step-by-step requirements of Section 4.7 describing it as, quote,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Governance Agreement was to protect shareholders given the large percentage ownership of the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But doesn't Section 8.11, then, severely undercut the intent of the Governance Agreement to protect shareholders if the Province can just waive any provision? MR. SCARLETT: No, that's not the effect of 8.11. 8.11 is a standard term in any contract that says if we're going to waive something, we have to do it in instrument by writing. It doesn't allow one party to waive, it requires both parties. It's COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But in this case, this is an agreement between Hydro One and the Province, correct? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So the other shareholders have no say in the decision whether there's going to be a waiver? MR. SCARLETT: Correct. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And this agreement is intended to protect them?

20 (Pages 415 to 418)

	Page 419		Page 421
1	Accountability Act, is there anything that would prevent	1	I think the Governance Agreement worked when it came to
2	the provincial government from pursuing additional	2	dealing with the board of directors.
3	legislation that might have additional intrusive effect?	3	JUDGE MOSS: Even though Section 4.7 in the
4	MR. SCARLETT: No, the only for all	4	Governance Agreement generally was put in place in order
5	practical purposes, no. Under our constitution and	5	to protect the interest of new investors when the
6	charter of rights, they they can't pass legislation	6	company went private, which you said was essential to
7	that affects our charter rights of Canadians, but for	7	the success of the public offering, and those
8	all intents and purposes, that's I don't think relevant	8	shareholders were deprived of any opportunity to have
9	to our determination. They could pass another piece of	9	any say at all; isn't that true?
10	legislation that affects Hydro One, yes, they could.	10	MR. SCARLETT: Again, I I don't accept
11	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So given the	11	that.
12	turn of events in June and July, and since your	12	JUDGE MOSS: All right.
13	testimony in May, and given an understanding of the	13	MR. SCARLETT: They they followed the
14	waiver provision, 8.11, what faith should this	14	procedures, they had an independent committee, ad hoc
15	Commission have that the other terms of the Governance	15	committee, come up with a new slate of directors, which
16 17	Agreement would be upheld in the future if we approve	16	they've done. The only thing that they didn't do was
17 18	this merger? MR. SCARLETT: I'm just sort of trying not	17 18	hold a shareholders meeting that I thought, and I still think, would have been a meaningless use of time and it
19	to overstate. I mean, my own my own view is that	19	would be detrimental to all the shareholders of the
20	first of all, the ability to pass legislation that could	20	company if they had done that. You may have a different
21	affect Hydro One has always been there, and we said that	21	view, but that's my view.
22	in May, and that's a constant. And we also said in May	22	JUDGE MOSS: Okay.
23	every utility is subject to the ability of government	23	CHAIRMAN DANNER: So I'm I'm looking at
24	that reports, you know, has jurisdiction to pass	24	Mr. Schmidt's testimony, which was I believe we talked
25	legislation that could affect it. Nothing different	25	about earlier, when he said in our May hearing, he
	Page 420		Page 422
1	there. The Governance Agreement is a contract.	1	was speculating about the various political parties and
2	Contracts can always be amended by consent of the	2	their positions, and he was saying that all through
3	parties, so that could always happen.	3	that, being that we have a contract with the Province
4	What I would say in looking at the current	4	and they will, in fact, operate as a shareholder, but
5	situation, which I fully appreciate is very unsettling,	5	not as a manager of the business.
б	it happened, and how it happened is unsettling with	6	MR. SCARLETT: Right.
7	people at Hydro One as well. But I would like to	7	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you think that that has
8	emphasize that when you stand back and look at this, the	8	come to pass? Do you still see that they have acted as
9	Governance Agreement, in fact, worked. It did constrain	9	only as a shareholder and not as a manager of the
10	the government's activity. The government did not pass	10	company?
11	legislation removing and putting a whole new board in by	11	MR. SCARLETT: Yes, I do.
12	fiat. It didn't do that. It it complied with	12	CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so you don't see
13 14	the Governance Agreement in all substandard respects when it came to dealing with the board of directors, and	13	publicly demanding the resignation of the CEO and the
14 15	that's, I think, a very powerful statement.	14 15	board of directors as being managerial, you see that as being kind of a shareholder
16	JUDGE MOSS: Well, it didn't have to pass	16	MR. SCARLETT: Shareholders I'm sorry.
17	legislation, did it? You got a compliant board of	17	CHAIRMAN DANNER: No, go ahead.
18	directors that said we'll go along with what you want to	18	MR. SCARLETT: Mr. Chairman, shareholders do
19	do.	19	that in my experience all the time in public companies.
20	MR. SCARLETT: Well, but, Judge Moss, that	20	We're talking about private, but this is a public
21	starts with they had the rights under this agreement	21	company. It's a funny use of the word private. It's
22	that are well known and they abided by them. I don't	22	not government, it's not private, it's public and the
23	think anybody can be critical of the government for	23	public markets. In the public markets, shareholders,
24	exercising rights that were well known, well publicized,	24	active shareholders, which you see all the time in the
0.5	and they followed them in all expectential respects.	25	press in the States, they do this sort of thing all the
25	and they followed them in all substantial respects. So	25	press in the otates, they do this sort of thing all the

21 (Pages 419 to 422)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 423		Page 425
1	time. And they do it with a whole lot less shareholding	1	companies that would be affected by that, for example, a
2	than than 40 percent. And, again, they change	2	company with so many residential customers or a
3	they changed the board entirely consistently with the	3	customer a company with revenues of over a certain
4	rights that they have in the Governance Agreement that	4	amount. There are ways to single out a particular
5	were well known by all investors. And they and they	5	company in legislation that is not necessary bill of
6	demanded a change in the CEO, which, again, active	6	attainder?
7	shareholders do with regularity.	7	MR. SCARLETT: Chair Danner, I'm sure you're
8	CHAIRMAN DANNER: So when your largest	8	right, but I would like to observe that the size and the
9	shareholder, though, is also in charge of the levers of	9	scale of the 12 percent reduction issue is large enough
10	government, and so they're backing up these calls,	10	that it cannot be delivered by doing the kind of narrow
11	unlike a private investor, they actually have means to	11	legislation that you are positing. There just simply
12	carry out something, I mean, limitations on executive	12	isn't enough in Hydro One to achieve that. That would
13	compensation, for example, to pass legislation. Don't	13	have to be industry-wide if you're going to do something
14	you see that as kind of stepping out of the traditional	14	
15	shareholder role for a non-Crown corporation?	15	CHAIRMAN DANNER: I'm sorry, so so can
16	MR. SCARLETT: Well, it's farther than	16	you restate that so I fully understand? So what you're
17	traditional shareholders can go. I do see I think	17	saying is
18	that I can point to many examples of active shareholders	18	MR. SCARLETT: I was responding to your
19	changing boards and changing senior management.	19	comment that somebody could be clever with legislation
20	Certainly in the U.S. and in Canada, we have what's	20	and make it look like it was industry-wide but in fact
21	called say on pay, so shareholders are routinely	21	describe it in a way we're going to only hit one
22	commenting on pay packages, they do it every annual	22	company. And maybe, maybe. I'm just commenting more I
23	meeting. And it's routine for companies to seek the	23	think on a more general point that if the Ford
24	input of their shareholders on pay packages. It	24	government decides to achieve its goal of a 12 percent
25	CHAIRMAN DANNER: But how many shareholders	25	reduction in Hydro rates through legislation, that will
	Page 424		Page 426
1	_	1	
1 2	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that?	1	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that
2	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as	2	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro
	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the		be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's
2 3 4	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says	2 3 4	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical
2 3	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I	2 3	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they
2 3 4 5 6	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I can't I can't deny that.	2 3 4 5 6	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they couldn't achieve their aim if they did the kind of
2 3 4 5	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I can't I can't deny that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So	2 3 4 5	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they couldn't achieve their aim if they did the kind of legislation you're talking about.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I can't I can't deny that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So MR. SCARLETT: But I don't think that's	2 3 4 5 6 7	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they couldn't achieve their aim if they did the kind of legislation you're talking about. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And so if they did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I can't I can't deny that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So MR. SCARLETT: But I don't think that's managing the business.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they couldn't achieve their aim if they did the kind of legislation you're talking about. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And so if they did industry-wide, I would assume that that would affect not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I can't I can't deny that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So MR. SCARLETT: But I don't think that's managing the business. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So Mr. Ford has also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they couldn't achieve their aim if they did the kind of legislation you're talking about. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And so if they did industry-wide, I would assume that that would affect not only your revenues or Hydro One's revenues, but those of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I can't I can't deny that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So MR. SCARLETT: But I don't think that's managing the business. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So Mr. Ford has also called for a 12 percent reduction in rates. Would he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they couldn't achieve their aim if they did the kind of legislation you're talking about. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And so if they did industry-wide, I would assume that that would affect not only your revenues or Hydro One's revenues, but those of the other large utilities as well?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I can't I can't deny that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So MR. SCARLETT: But I don't think that's managing the business. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So Mr. Ford has also called for a 12 percent reduction in rates. Would he have the ability to enact legislation to make that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they couldn't achieve their aim if they did the kind of legislation you're talking about. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And so if they did industry-wide, I would assume that that would affect not only your revenues or Hydro One's revenues, but those of the other large utilities as well? MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I mean, I would suggest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I can't I can't deny that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So MR. SCARLETT: But I don't think that's managing the business. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So Mr. Ford has also called for a 12 percent reduction in rates. Would he have the ability to enact legislation to make that happen if the board of directors were to disagree with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they couldn't achieve their aim if they did the kind of legislation you're talking about. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And so if they did industry-wide, I would assume that that would affect not only your revenues or Hydro One's revenues, but those of the other large utilities as well? MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I mean, I would suggest that this line, I don't want to put it off, but I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I can't I can't deny that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So MR. SCARLETT: But I don't think that's managing the business. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So Mr. Ford has also called for a 12 percent reduction in rates. Would he have the ability to enact legislation to make that happen if the board of directors were to disagree with him on that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they couldn't achieve their aim if they did the kind of legislation you're talking about. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And so if they did industry-wide, I would assume that that would affect not only your revenues or Hydro One's revenues, but those of the other large utilities as well? MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I mean, I would suggest that this line, I don't want to put it off, but I think other members of the panel, my colleagues, Mr. Dobson,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I can't I can't deny that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So MR. SCARLETT: But I don't think that's managing the business. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So Mr. Ford has also called for a 12 percent reduction in rates. Would he have the ability to enact legislation to make that happen if the board of directors were to disagree with him on that? MR. SCARLETT: He has the ability to enact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they couldn't achieve their aim if they did the kind of legislation you're talking about. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And so if they did industry-wide, I would assume that that would affect not only your revenues or Hydro One's revenues, but those of the other large utilities as well? MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I mean, I would suggest that this line, I don't want to put it off, but I think other members of the panel, my colleagues, Mr. Dobson, might be better suited to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I can't I can't deny that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So MR. SCARLETT: But I don't think that's managing the business. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So Mr. Ford has also called for a 12 percent reduction in rates. Would he have the ability to enact legislation to make that happen if the board of directors were to disagree with him on that? MR. SCARLETT: He has the ability to enact legislation that would cut across the entire industry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they couldn't achieve their aim if they did the kind of legislation you're talking about. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And so if they did industry-wide, I would assume that that would affect not only your revenues or Hydro One's revenues, but those of the other large utilities as well? MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I mean, I would suggest that this line, I don't want to put it off, but I think other members of the panel, my colleagues, Mr. Dobson, might be better suited to CHAIRMAN DANNER: Well, yeah, and I I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I can't I can't deny that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So MR. SCARLETT: But I don't think that's managing the business. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So Mr. Ford has also called for a 12 percent reduction in rates. Would he have the ability to enact legislation to make that happen if the board of directors were to disagree with him on that? MR. SCARLETT: He has the ability to enact legislation that would cut across the entire industry. It wouldn't be Hydro One. I mean, if we're other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they couldn't achieve their aim if they did the kind of legislation you're talking about. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And so if they did industry-wide, I would assume that that would affect not only your revenues or Hydro One's revenues, but those of the other large utilities as well? MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I mean, I would suggest that this line, I don't want to put it off, but I think other members of the panel, my colleagues, Mr. Dobson, might be better suited to CHAIRMAN DANNER: Well, yeah, and I I'm really what I'm trying to get to is not to discuss
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I can't I can't deny that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So MR. SCARLETT: But I don't think that's managing the business. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So Mr. Ford has also called for a 12 percent reduction in rates. Would he have the ability to enact legislation to make that happen if the board of directors were to disagree with him on that? MR. SCARLETT: He has the ability to enact legislation that would cut across the entire industry. It wouldn't be Hydro One. I mean, if we're other people on the panel may be better suited to talk more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they couldn't achieve their aim if they did the kind of legislation you're talking about. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And so if they did industry-wide, I would assume that that would affect not only your revenues or Hydro One's revenues, but those of the other large utilities as well? MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I mean, I would suggest that this line, I don't want to put it off, but I think other members of the panel, my colleagues, Mr. Dobson, might be better suited to CHAIRMAN DANNER: Well, yeah, and I I'm really what I'm trying to get to is not to discuss the merits of legislation but to talk about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I can't I can't deny that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So MR. SCARLETT: But I don't think that's managing the business. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So Mr. Ford has also called for a 12 percent reduction in rates. Would he have the ability to enact legislation to make that happen if the board of directors were to disagree with him on that? MR. SCARLETT: He has the ability to enact legislation that would cut across the entire industry. It wouldn't be Hydro One. I mean, if we're other people on the panel may be better suited to talk more about the the promise to reduce rates by 12 percent,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they couldn't achieve their aim if they did the kind of legislation you're talking about. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And so if they did industry-wide, I would assume that that would affect not only your revenues or Hydro One's revenues, but those of the other large utilities as well? MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I mean, I would suggest that this line, I don't want to put it off, but I think other members of the panel, my colleagues, Mr. Dobson, might be better suited to CHAIRMAN DANNER: Well, yeah, and I I'm really what I'm trying to get to is not to discuss the merits of legislation but to talk about the protections of the Governance Agreement and how they can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I can't I can't deny that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So MR. SCARLETT: But I don't think that's managing the business. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So Mr. Ford has also called for a 12 percent reduction in rates. Would he have the ability to enact legislation to make that happen if the board of directors were to disagree with him on that? MR. SCARLETT: He has the ability to enact legislation that would cut across the entire industry. It wouldn't be Hydro One. I mean, if we're other people on the panel may be better suited to talk more about the the promise to reduce rates by 12 percent, but the fact is the fact is that they cannot reduce	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they couldn't achieve their aim if they did the kind of legislation you're talking about. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And so if they did industry-wide, I would assume that that would affect not only your revenues or Hydro One's revenues, but those of the other large utilities as well? MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I mean, I would suggest that this line, I don't want to put it off, but I think other members of the panel, my colleagues, Mr. Dobson, might be better suited to CHAIRMAN DANNER: Well, yeah, and I I'm really what I'm trying to get to is not to discuss the merits of legislation but to talk about the protections of the Governance Agreement and how they can be sidestepped or run over.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I can't I can't deny that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So MR. SCARLETT: But I don't think that's managing the business. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So Mr. Ford has also called for a 12 percent reduction in rates. Would he have the ability to enact legislation to make that happen if the board of directors were to disagree with him on that? MR. SCARLETT: He has the ability to enact legislation that would cut across the entire industry. It wouldn't be Hydro One. I mean, if we're other people on the panel may be better suited to talk more about the the promise to reduce rates by 12 percent, but the fact is the fact is that they cannot reduce rates by 12 percent just by going after Hydro One. If	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they couldn't achieve their aim if they did the kind of legislation you're talking about. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And so if they did industry-wide, I would assume that that would affect not only your revenues or Hydro One's revenues, but those of the other large utilities as well? MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I mean, I would suggest that this line, I don't want to put it off, but I think other members of the panel, my colleagues, Mr. Dobson, might be better suited to CHAIRMAN DANNER: Well, yeah, and I I'm really what I'm trying to get to is not to discuss the merits of legislation but to talk about the protections of the Governance Agreement and how they can be sidestepped or run over. MR. SCARLETT: Well, again, the Governance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I can't I can't deny that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So MR. SCARLETT: But I don't think that's managing the business. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So Mr. Ford has also called for a 12 percent reduction in rates. Would he have the ability to enact legislation to make that happen if the board of directors were to disagree with him on that? MR. SCARLETT: He has the ability to enact legislation that would cut across the entire industry. It wouldn't be Hydro One. I mean, if we're other people on the panel may be better suited to talk more about the the promise to reduce rates by 12 percent, but the fact is the fact is that they cannot reduce rates by 12 percent just by going after Hydro One. If they're going to pass legislation, it will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they couldn't achieve their aim if they did the kind of legislation you're talking about. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And so if they did industry-wide, I would assume that that would affect not only your revenues or Hydro One's revenues, but those of the other large utilities as well? MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I mean, I would suggest that this line, I don't want to put it off, but I think other members of the panel, my colleagues, Mr. Dobson, might be better suited to CHAIRMAN DANNER: Well, yeah, and I I'm really what I'm trying to get to is not to discuss the merits of legislation but to talk about the protections of the Governance Agreement and how they can be sidestepped or run over. MR. SCARLETT: Well, again, the Governance Agreement I think specifically says that there's nothing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I can't I can't deny that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So MR. SCARLETT: But I don't think that's managing the business. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So Mr. Ford has also called for a 12 percent reduction in rates. Would he have the ability to enact legislation to make that happen if the board of directors were to disagree with him on that? MR. SCARLETT: He has the ability to enact legislation that would cut across the entire industry. It wouldn't be Hydro One. I mean, if we're other people on the panel may be better suited to talk more about the the promise to reduce rates by 12 percent, but the fact is the fact is that they cannot reduce rates by 12 percent just by going after Hydro One. If they're going to pass legislation, it will be industry-wide.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they couldn't achieve their aim if they did the kind of legislation you're talking about. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And so if they did industry-wide, I would assume that that would affect not only your revenues or Hydro One's revenues, but those of the other large utilities as well? MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I mean, I would suggest that this line, I don't want to put it off, but I think other members of the panel, my colleagues, Mr. Dobson, might be better suited to CHAIRMAN DANNER: Well, yeah, and I I'm really what I'm trying to get to is not to discuss the merits of legislation but to talk about the protections of the Governance Agreement and how they can be sidestepped or run over. MR. SCARLETT: Well, again, the Governance Agreement I think specifically says that there's nothing in it that prevents the Province from passing law to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have the ability to pass legislation to enact that? MR. SCARLETT: I was just going to say as well, Chairman Danner, that it is correct that the government has this extra stip that passes that says we can pass legislation to limit the pay packets. I can't I can't deny that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So MR. SCARLETT: But I don't think that's managing the business. CHAIRMAN DANNER: So Mr. Ford has also called for a 12 percent reduction in rates. Would he have the ability to enact legislation to make that happen if the board of directors were to disagree with him on that? MR. SCARLETT: He has the ability to enact legislation that would cut across the entire industry. It wouldn't be Hydro One. I mean, if we're other people on the panel may be better suited to talk more about the the promise to reduce rates by 12 percent, but the fact is the fact is that they cannot reduce rates by 12 percent just by going after Hydro One. If they're going to pass legislation, it will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	be industry-wide, because you simply cannot achieve that kind of reduction off the back of a company like Hydro One, which only accounts for a third of people's electrical bills. It'd be 5 percent of electrical bills, and not all of the customers in Ontario. So they couldn't achieve their aim if they did the kind of legislation you're talking about. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And so if they did industry-wide, I would assume that that would affect not only your revenues or Hydro One's revenues, but those of the other large utilities as well? MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I mean, I would suggest that this line, I don't want to put it off, but I think other members of the panel, my colleagues, Mr. Dobson, might be better suited to CHAIRMAN DANNER: Well, yeah, and I I'm really what I'm trying to get to is not to discuss the merits of legislation but to talk about the protections of the Governance Agreement and how they can be sidestepped or run over. MR. SCARLETT: Well, again, the Governance Agreement I think specifically says that there's nothing

22 (Pages 423 to 426)

Docket No. U-170970 - Vol. VII - 10/23/2018

	Page 427		Page 429
1	MR. SCARLETT: And the market knows that.	1	those discussions occur? Were there separate meetings
2	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So I just have	2	with the search committee between the Province and the
3	one more set of questions for you and that's about the	3	search committee and the ad hoc committee and the search
4	appointment of the new directors.	4	committee?
5	MR. SCARLETT: Okay.	5	MR. SCARLETT: There was only one ad hoc
6	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So, again, the	6	committee. I don't know what search committee you're
7	Governance Agreement in Section 4.7.2 talks about the	7	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Well, you mentioned
8	delivery of a removal notice. Again, all of this	8	there was a search I'm sorry, a search firm that
9	process was was sidestepped as you said because of	9	MR. SCARLETT: Oh, they hired they hired
10	the interest of the 90 days and	10	one of the executive search firms to help them find
11	MR. SCARLETT: So only the shareholders	11	director candidates, which is a pretty common thing.
12	meeting was sidestepped.	12	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. And the
13	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So there was a	13	Province was a part of the ad hoc nominating committee?
14	removal notice?	14	MR. SCARLETT: No, no, it's the ad hoc
15	MR. SCARLETT: I believe so, yes. I didn't	15	nominating committee was run by the ex-chair and it
16	see it, but I believe so.	16	comprised representatives of major shareholders as well,
17	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So Mr. Denison	17	but the Province the Province had its own process,
18	would coordinate the establishment of the ad hoc	18	and Mr. Woods can speak to what he knows of the
19	nominating committee?	19	Province's process. And they had their four nominees
20	MR. SCARLETT: Yes, he did that.	20	and the ad hoc nominating committee had used a search
21 22	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay.	21 22	firm to come up with a list of candidates, interviewed
22	MR. SCARLETT: And retained an outside	22	candidates, and then resolved on six of them.
23	search firm to help. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So did the	23	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So once all of the candidates were nominated and, Mr. Woods, you'll
24	under the terms of the Governance Agreement, and this is	25	have an opportunity to explain the process for the
23	under the terms of the Governance Agreement, and this is		have an opportunity to explain the process for the
	Page 428		Page 430
1	Section 4.1.1, the Province gets to pick 40 percent of	1	Province, how were the individual nominees voted on and
2	the nominees and the ad hoc nominating committee selects	2	approved?
3	the remainder, correct?	3	MR. SCARLETT: So in between shareholder
4	MR. SCARLETT: Correct.	4	meetings, you don't do shareholder votes. As I said,
5	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Did the	5	we we did away with that meeting and you simply
6	Province in your knowledge, did the Province and the	6	follow it's a board resolution process where you keep a
7	ad hoc nominating committee operate independently of one	7	quorum on the board at all times so you don't have ten
8	another in selecting their respective nominees?	8	people resign and then because then there's no one to
9	MR. SCARLETT: Yes.	9	put the other ten on. You have three resign, the
10	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And so what was	10	remaining seven of the quorum, they appoint the
11	the since you were not let's just take a step	11	replacements and three more resign and so on until
12	back. Were you involved in the ad hoc process?	12	you've completed the replacement of the board.
13	MR. SCARLETT: No.	13	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So were you present
	COMMISSIONED DENDAULY And as what is the	14	at that board meeting?
14	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And so what is the		
15	basis of your knowledge of what occurred during those	15	MR. SCARLETT: No, I was not. That was I
15 16	basis of your knowledge of what occurred during those discussions?	16	think just done through written resolutions actually.
15 16 17	basis of your knowledge of what occurred during those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I would have conversations	16 17	think just done through written resolutions actually. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And are you aware
15 16 17 18	basis of your knowledge of what occurred during those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I would have conversations with the corporate secretary who was involved just about	16 17 18	think just done through written resolutions actually. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And are you aware from your discussions with the corporate secretary how
15 16 17 18 19	basis of your knowledge of what occurred during those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I would have conversations with the corporate secretary who was involved just about the ongoing process and what I generally knew.	16 17 18 19	think just done through written resolutions actually. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And are you aware from your discussions with the corporate secretary how and by whom the interim chairman of the board was
15 16 17 18 19 20	basis of your knowledge of what occurred during those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I would have conversations with the corporate secretary who was involved just about the ongoing process and what I generally knew. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So the	16 17 18 19 20	think just done through written resolutions actually. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And are you aware from your discussions with the corporate secretary how and by whom the interim chairman of the board was selected, nominated and approved?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	basis of your knowledge of what occurred during those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I would have conversations with the corporate secretary who was involved just about the ongoing process and what I generally knew. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So the Province, then, had no say in selecting any of the	16 17 18 19 20 21	think just done through written resolutions actually. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And are you aware from your discussions with the corporate secretary how and by whom the interim chairman of the board was selected, nominated and approved? MR. SCARLETT: I think Mr. Woods is best
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	basis of your knowledge of what occurred during those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I would have conversations with the corporate secretary who was involved just about the ongoing process and what I generally knew. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So the Province, then, had no say in selecting any of the nominees other than the four provincial nominees?	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	think just done through written resolutions actually. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And are you aware from your discussions with the corporate secretary how and by whom the interim chairman of the board was selected, nominated and approved? MR. SCARLETT: I think Mr. Woods is best able to answer that question.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	basis of your knowledge of what occurred during those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I would have conversations with the corporate secretary who was involved just about the ongoing process and what I generally knew. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So the Province, then, had no say in selecting any of the nominees other than the four provincial nominees? MR. SCARLETT: That's my understanding.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	think just done through written resolutions actually. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And are you aware from your discussions with the corporate secretary how and by whom the interim chairman of the board was selected, nominated and approved? MR. SCARLETT: I think Mr. Woods is best able to answer that question. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Great. So I
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	basis of your knowledge of what occurred during those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I would have conversations with the corporate secretary who was involved just about the ongoing process and what I generally knew. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So the Province, then, had no say in selecting any of the nominees other than the four provincial nominees? MR. SCARLETT: That's my understanding. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	think just done through written resolutions actually. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And are you aware from your discussions with the corporate secretary how and by whom the interim chairman of the board was selected, nominated and approved? MR. SCARLETT: I think Mr. Woods is best able to answer that question. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Great. So I have no other questions for Mr. Scarlett. I don't know
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	basis of your knowledge of what occurred during those discussions? MR. SCARLETT: I would have conversations with the corporate secretary who was involved just about the ongoing process and what I generally knew. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So the Province, then, had no say in selecting any of the nominees other than the four provincial nominees? MR. SCARLETT: That's my understanding.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	think just done through written resolutions actually. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And are you aware from your discussions with the corporate secretary how and by whom the interim chairman of the board was selected, nominated and approved? MR. SCARLETT: I think Mr. Woods is best able to answer that question. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Great. So I

23 (Pages 427 to 430)

	Page 431		Page 433
1	you wanted to ask questions of Mr. Woods on this.	1	those respective groups four and six. So we we at
2	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Well, Mr. Woods, you've	2	that point, saw the nominating committee's list.
3	heard the question that was posed and it was referred to	3	discussed that with the government, we had no challenges
4	you. Tell me the process by which this person was	4	and nor did they. So at that point, we had ten names.
5	appointed.	5	CHAIRMAN DANNER: So you mentioned agreement
6	MR. WOODS: How I was appointed as chair?	6	reached between the Province and ad hoc nominating
7	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, yeah.	7	committee, and that was your testimony as well, your
8	MR. WOODS: Okay. I was asked by the	8	written testimony as well. But looking at the
9	Province around the through the search firm around	9	Governance Agreement, I'm looking at Section 3.21, where
10	July if I can give you one minute of preliminary, I	10	is it provided agreement between the Province and the ad
11	think that would be helpful around the 4th of July,	11	hoc nominating committee is an acceptable means in which
12	would I be interested in serving on the board as the	12	to appoint a new chair?
13	initial nominee.	13	MR. WOODS: No, no, that's a good point. I
14	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So who I'm sorry,	14	didn't get that that far. So the next step, and I
15	who approached you?	15	can be brief on this, there was an agreement between the
16	MR. WOODS: Mr. Bodaway of Odgers Berndtson,	16	Province and the ad hoc nominating committee that I
17	the search firm in Toronto.	17	would be named the interim chair so that when
18	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So at that	18	announcement of the ten names were issued, there would
19	point, there was already a search firm being considered?	19	be some indication as to who would, you know, convene
20	MR. WOODS: Yes, for the Province.	20	the first meeting. So that was agreement between the
21	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Right.	21	Province and Mr. Denison in coordination with the
22	MR. WOODS: For prospective nominees. After	22	investors.
23	some discussions, I accepted that role, and that	23	CHAIRMAN DANNER: And does the Governance
24	appointment was announced I think around the early July,	24	Agreement talk about interim positions?
25	okay? At that point, I assisted Odgers Berndtson in	25	MR. WOODS: I don't know that it does, but
	Page 432		Page 434
1	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and	1	Page 434 if I could give you one another fact. The understanding
1 2	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees	1 2	
	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically		if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the
2	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on.	2 3 4	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did.
2 3	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc	2 3 4 5	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So
2 3 4	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc nominating committee who their six nominees were,	2 3 4 5 6	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So at our first meeting in September, I stepped out of the
2 3 4 5 6 7	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc nominating committee who their six nominees were, because we were only looking for ten in total, we had to	2 3 4 5 6 7	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So at our first meeting in September, I stepped out of the room, there was a process over about an hour of
2 3 4 5 6	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc nominating committee who their six nominees were, because we were only looking for ten in total, we had to be sure we had a good balance on our skills matrix. In	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So at our first meeting in September, I stepped out of the room, there was a process over about an hour of discussion. And actually prior to that, I had questions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc nominating committee who their six nominees were, because we were only looking for ten in total, we had to be sure we had a good balance on our skills matrix. In other words, we didn't I mean, if we had two or three	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So at our first meeting in September, I stepped out of the room, there was a process over about an hour of discussion. And actually prior to that, I had questions for about half an hour from the other nine members. I
2 3 5 7 8 9 10	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc nominating committee who their six nominees were, because we were only looking for ten in total, we had to be sure we had a good balance on our skills matrix. In other words, we didn't I mean, if we had two or three accountants, then by definition, we wouldn't have spots	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So at our first meeting in September, I stepped out of the room, there was a process over about an hour of discussion. And actually prior to that, I had questions for about half an hour from the other nine members. I stepped out and I was voted by that board as the
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc nominating committee who their six nominees were, because we were only looking for ten in total, we had to be sure we had a good balance on our skills matrix. In other words, we didn't I mean, if we had two or three accountants, then by definition, we wouldn't have spots for people with other skills.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So at our first meeting in September, I stepped out of the room, there was a process over about an hour of discussion. And actually prior to that, I had questions for about half an hour from the other nine members. I stepped out and I was voted by that board as the permanent chair and that was announced that day in early
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc nominating committee who their six nominees were, because we were only looking for ten in total, we had to be sure we had a good balance on our skills matrix. In other words, we didn't I mean, if we had two or three accountants, then by definition, we wouldn't have spots for people with other skills. So Mr. Denison, as the going chair, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So at our first meeting in September, I stepped out of the room, there was a process over about an hour of discussion. And actually prior to that, I had questions for about half an hour from the other nine members. I stepped out and I was voted by that board as the permanent chair and that was announced that day in early September.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc nominating committee who their six nominees were, because we were only looking for ten in total, we had to be sure we had a good balance on our skills matrix. In other words, we didn't I mean, if we had two or three accountants, then by definition, we wouldn't have spots for people with other skills. So Mr. Denison, as the going chair, and I had three or four conversations over three or four	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So at our first meeting in September, I stepped out of the room, there was a process over about an hour of discussion. And actually prior to that, I had questions for about half an hour from the other nine members. I stepped out and I was voted by that board as the permanent chair and that was announced that day in early September. CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so that vote
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc nominating committee who their six nominees were, because we were only looking for ten in total, we had to be sure we had a good balance on our skills matrix. In other words, we didn't I mean, if we had two or three accountants, then by definition, we wouldn't have spots for people with other skills. So Mr. Denison, as the going chair, and I had three or four conversations over three or four weeks. Not identifying names at that juncture, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So at our first meeting in September, I stepped out of the room, there was a process over about an hour of discussion. And actually prior to that, I had questions for about half an hour from the other nine members. I stepped out and I was voted by that board as the permanent chair and that was announced that day in early September. CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so that vote constituted special board resolution?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc nominating committee who their six nominees were, because we were only looking for ten in total, we had to be sure we had a good balance on our skills matrix. In other words, we didn't I mean, if we had two or three accountants, then by definition, we wouldn't have spots for people with other skills. So Mr. Denison, as the going chair, and I had three or four conversations over three or four weeks. Not identifying names at that juncture, but simply saying in my case, because we were a little ahead	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So at our first meeting in September, I stepped out of the room, there was a process over about an hour of discussion. And actually prior to that, I had questions for about half an hour from the other nine members. I stepped out and I was voted by that board as the permanent chair and that was announced that day in early September. CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so that vote constituted special board resolution? MR. WOODS: I'm not a lawyer, but I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc nominating committee who their six nominees were, because we were only looking for ten in total, we had to be sure we had a good balance on our skills matrix. In other words, we didn't I mean, if we had two or three accountants, then by definition, we wouldn't have spots for people with other skills. So Mr. Denison, as the going chair, and I had three or four conversations over three or four weeks. Not identifying names at that juncture, but simply saying in my case, because we were a little ahead of the ad hoc nominating committee, but we'd identified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So at our first meeting in September, I stepped out of the room, there was a process over about an hour of discussion. And actually prior to that, I had questions for about half an hour from the other nine members. I stepped out and I was voted by that board as the permanent chair and that was announced that day in early September. CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so that vote constituted special board resolution? MR. WOODS: I'm not a lawyer, but I think that's right, yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc nominating committee who their six nominees were, because we were only looking for ten in total, we had to be sure we had a good balance on our skills matrix. In other words, we didn't I mean, if we had two or three accountants, then by definition, we wouldn't have spots for people with other skills. So Mr. Denison, as the going chair, and I had three or four conversations over three or four weeks. Not identifying names at that juncture, but simply saying in my case, because we were a little ahead of the ad hoc nominating committee, but we'd identified specifically an accountant, a person with First Nations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So at our first meeting in September, I stepped out of the room, there was a process over about an hour of discussion. And actually prior to that, I had questions for about half an hour from the other nine members. I stepped out and I was voted by that board as the permanent chair and that was announced that day in early September. CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so that vote constituted special board resolution? MR. WOODS: I'm not a lawyer, but I think that's right, yeah. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. You also testified
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc nominating committee who their six nominees were, because we were only looking for ten in total, we had to be sure we had a good balance on our skills matrix. In other words, we didn't I mean, if we had two or three accountants, then by definition, we wouldn't have spots for people with other skills. So Mr. Denison, as the going chair, and I had three or four conversations over three or four weeks. Not identifying names at that juncture, but simply saying in my case, because we were a little ahead of the ad hoc nominating committee, but we'd identified specifically an accountant, a person with First Nations experience, and and a lawyer so that they factored	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So at our first meeting in September, I stepped out of the room, there was a process over about an hour of discussion. And actually prior to that, I had questions for about half an hour from the other nine members. I stepped out and I was voted by that board as the permanent chair and that was announced that day in early September. CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so that vote constituted special board resolution? MR. WOODS: I'm not a lawyer, but I think that's right, yeah. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. You also testified the Hydro One board passed resolutions in a sequential
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc nominating committee who their six nominees were, because we were only looking for ten in total, we had to be sure we had a good balance on our skills matrix. In other words, we didn't I mean, if we had two or three accountants, then by definition, we wouldn't have spots for people with other skills. So Mr. Denison, as the going chair, and I had three or four conversations over three or four weeks. Not identifying names at that juncture, but simply saying in my case, because we were a little ahead of the ad hoc nominating committee, but we'd identified specifically an accountant, a person with First Nations experience, and and a lawyer so that they factored that into their thinking as they looked for six people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So at our first meeting in September, I stepped out of the room, there was a process over about an hour of discussion. And actually prior to that, I had questions for about half an hour from the other nine members. I stepped out and I was voted by that board as the permanent chair and that was announced that day in early September. CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so that vote constituted special board resolution? MR. WOODS: I'm not a lawyer, but I think that's right, yeah. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. You also testified the Hydro One board passed resolutions in a sequential fashion to appoint the ten remaining directors. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc nominating committee who their six nominees were, because we were only looking for ten in total, we had to be sure we had a good balance on our skills matrix. In other words, we didn't I mean, if we had two or three accountants, then by definition, we wouldn't have spots for people with other skills. So Mr. Denison, as the going chair, and I had three or four conversations over three or four weeks. Not identifying names at that juncture, but simply saying in my case, because we were a little ahead of the ad hoc nominating committee, but we'd identified specifically an accountant, a person with First Nations experience, and and a lawyer so that they factored that into their thinking as they looked for six people on their side.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So at our first meeting in September, I stepped out of the room, there was a process over about an hour of discussion. And actually prior to that, I had questions for about half an hour from the other nine members. I stepped out and I was voted by that board as the permanent chair and that was announced that day in early September. CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so that vote constituted special board resolution? MR. WOODS: I'm not a lawyer, but I think that's right, yeah. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. You also testified the Hydro One board passed resolutions in a sequential fashion to appoint the ten remaining directors. And this is referring to the board of directors this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc nominating committee who their six nominees were, because we were only looking for ten in total, we had to be sure we had a good balance on our skills matrix. In other words, we didn't I mean, if we had two or three accountants, then by definition, we wouldn't have spots for people with other skills. So Mr. Denison, as the going chair, and I had three or four conversations over three or four weeks. Not identifying names at that juncture, but simply saying in my case, because we were a little ahead of the ad hoc nominating committee, but we'd identified specifically an accountant, a person with First Nations experience, and and a lawyer so that they factored that into their thinking as they looked for six people on their side.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So at our first meeting in September, I stepped out of the room, there was a process over about an hour of discussion. And actually prior to that, I had questions for about half an hour from the other nine members. I stepped out and I was voted by that board as the permanent chair and that was announced that day in early September. CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so that vote constituted special board resolution? MR. WOODS: I'm not a lawyer, but I think that's right, yeah. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. You also testified the Hydro One board passed resolutions in a sequential fashion to appoint the ten remaining directors. And this is referring to the board of directors this is from your testimony TDW-1T, page 12, line 16 and 17,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc nominating committee who their six nominees were, because we were only looking for ten in total, we had to be sure we had a good balance on our skills matrix. In other words, we didn't I mean, if we had two or three accountants, then by definition, we wouldn't have spots for people with other skills. So Mr. Denison, as the going chair, and I had three or four conversations over three or four weeks. Not identifying names at that juncture, but simply saying in my case, because we were a little ahead of the ad hoc nominating committee, but we'd identified specifically an accountant, a person with First Nations experience, and and a lawyer so that they factored that into their thinking as they looked for six people on their side. Fast forward when they identified six people that they felt were appropriate, in consultation with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So at our first meeting in September, I stepped out of the room, there was a process over about an hour of discussion. And actually prior to that, I had questions for about half an hour from the other nine members. I stepped out and I was voted by that board as the permanent chair and that was announced that day in early September. CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so that vote constituted special board resolution? MR. WOODS: I'm not a lawyer, but I think that's right, yeah. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. You also testified the Hydro One board passed resolutions in a sequential fashion to appoint the ten remaining directors. And this is referring to the board of directors this is from your testimony TDW-1T, page 12, line 16 and 17, that's where I just I'm quoting that to you. Are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc nominating committee who their six nominees were, because we were only looking for ten in total, we had to be sure we had a good balance on our skills matrix. In other words, we didn't I mean, if we had two or three accountants, then by definition, we wouldn't have spots for people with other skills. So Mr. Denison, as the going chair, and I had three or four conversations over three or four weeks. Not identifying names at that juncture, but simply saying in my case, because we were a little ahead of the ad hoc nominating committee, but we'd identified specifically an accountant, a person with First Nations experience, and and a lawyer so that they factored that into their thinking as they looked for six people on their side. Fast forward when they identified six people that they felt were appropriate, in consultation with the investors, David and I exchanged lists. Because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So at our first meeting in September, I stepped out of the room, there was a process over about an hour of discussion. And actually prior to that, I had questions for about half an hour from the other nine members. I stepped out and I was voted by that board as the permanent chair and that was announced that day in early September. CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so that vote constituted special board resolution? MR. WOODS: I'm not a lawyer, but I think that's right, yeah. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. You also testified the Hydro One board passed resolutions in a sequential fashion to appoint the ten remaining directors. And this is referring to the board of directors this is from your testimony TDW-1T, page 12, line 16 and 17, that's where I just I'm quoting that to you. Are you referring to the board of directors that resigned on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	identifying and interviewing other candidates, and within about ten days, we had four recommended nominees that I, Odgers Berndtson, and the Province basically settled on. Subject to hearing from the ad hoc nominating committee who their six nominees were, because we were only looking for ten in total, we had to be sure we had a good balance on our skills matrix. In other words, we didn't I mean, if we had two or three accountants, then by definition, we wouldn't have spots for people with other skills. So Mr. Denison, as the going chair, and I had three or four conversations over three or four weeks. Not identifying names at that juncture, but simply saying in my case, because we were a little ahead of the ad hoc nominating committee, but we'd identified specifically an accountant, a person with First Nations experience, and and a lawyer so that they factored that into their thinking as they looked for six people on their side. Fast forward when they identified six people that they felt were appropriate, in consultation with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if I could give you one another fact. The understanding from the beginning was that when the board met, the process would be anyone who is interested in being the permanent chair would identify themselves, and I did. There was no other person who identified themselves. So at our first meeting in September, I stepped out of the room, there was a process over about an hour of discussion. And actually prior to that, I had questions for about half an hour from the other nine members. I stepped out and I was voted by that board as the permanent chair and that was announced that day in early September. CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so that vote constituted special board resolution? MR. WOODS: I'm not a lawyer, but I think that's right, yeah. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. You also testified the Hydro One board passed resolutions in a sequential fashion to appoint the ten remaining directors. And this is referring to the board of directors this is from your testimony TDW-1T, page 12, line 16 and 17, that's where I just I'm quoting that to you. Are you

24 (Pages 431 to 434)

	Page 435		Page 437
1	clarify.	1	MR. SCARLETT: It's really setting out the
2	I think that is consistent with the process	2	rights of the parties as to who selects which directors,
3	that you just described?	3	and the bylaw is what contains procedural matters.
4	MR. SCARLETT: That's correct, and the board	4	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So the Governance
5	didn't resign on July 11. They resigned only when the	5	Agreement does not contain procedural matters?
6	new board came in, in August 14th, 13th.	6	MR. SCARLETT: Well, that's an
7	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So, again, what's	7	overstatement. It has procedures in it for how these
8	the authority for that action of appointing the	8	rights are exercised to come up with the nominees, but
9	replacement directors?	9	when we get down, if we're not doing it in a
10	MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, let me answer that,	10	shareholders meeting, if we're doing it in a board
11	please. That's just flows out of corporate law	11	meeting, in board practice, you go to the bylaw, the
12	practice and our bylaws that so long as it'll say in	12	general corporate bylaw that tells you how the board
13	the bylaws that, you know, a quorum can replace	13	runs its affairs.
14	vacancies. So you maintain a quorum to create	14	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. All right.
15	vacancies. This is a normal enough procedure when on	15	Mr. Woods, would you look at your testimony
16	M & A transactions, for example, when the acquired	16	in TDW-1T, page 3.
17	company's board is removed and there's a new board put	17	MR. WOODS: Okay. I have it.
18	in that you you deal with it through designations and	18	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay, good. Could you
19	filling of those vacancies.	19	look at lines 4 to 13, and I'm going quote it for
20	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So, again, you have	20	others' benefit. (As read) The Ontario Electricity Act
21	an entire article in the Governance Agreement that deals	21	1998 and Hydro One's Articles of Incorporation preclude
22	with the election and appointment of directors. Are	22	any person or company or combination of persons or
23	there references there to the bylaws?	23	companies acting jointly or in concert other than the
24	MR. SCARLETT: I doubt it. I mean, the	24	Province from owning or exercising control or direction
25	bylaws are just the bylaws. They govern they govern	25	over more than 10 percent of any class or series of
	Page 436		Page 438
1	procedure.	1	voting securities including common shares of Hydro One.
2	CHAIRMAN DANNER: So maybe you can explain	2	As such, no one owns more than 10 percent of Hydro One's
3	for me, what does it mean when you say the Hydro One	3	common shares other than the Province.
4	board passed resolutions in a sequential fashion?	-	
		4	All right. So you
5		4 5	All right. So you MR. WOODS: I see that.
5 6	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that?	1	MR. WOODS: I see that.
	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I guess	5	MR. WOODS: I see that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: see that?
б	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I guess MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, it simply means that to	5 6	MR. WOODS: I see that.
6 7	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I guess	5 6 7	MR. WOODS: I see that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: see that? Is the purpose of this 10 percent ownership
6 7 8	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I guess MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, it simply means that to keep a quorum in place at all times, you couldn't have,	5 6 7 8	MR. WOODS: I see that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: see that? Is the purpose of this 10 percent ownership interest limitation for shareholders other than the
6 7 8 9	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I guess MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, it simply means that to keep a quorum in place at all times, you couldn't have, on August the 14th, ten directors resign at once and	5 6 7 8 9	MR. WOODS: I see that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: see that? Is the purpose of this 10 percent ownership interest limitation for shareholders other than the Province, is that for the purpose of ensuring that no
6 7 8 9 10	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I guess MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, it simply means that to keep a quorum in place at all times, you couldn't have, on August the 14th, ten directors resign at once and then you have no board. So you have three resign, seven	5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. WOODS: I see that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: see that? Is the purpose of this 10 percent ownership interest limitation for shareholders other than the Province, is that for the purpose of ensuring that no one other than the Province could potentially have a
6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I guess MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, it simply means that to keep a quorum in place at all times, you couldn't have, on August the 14th, ten directors resign at once and then you have no board. So you have three resign, seven stay in place, and they appoint three new directors.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. WOODS: I see that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: see that? Is the purpose of this 10 percent ownership interest limitation for shareholders other than the Province, is that for the purpose of ensuring that no one other than the Province could potentially have a controlling influence or even a substantial influence on
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I guess MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, it simply means that to keep a quorum in place at all times, you couldn't have, on August the 14th, ten directors resign at once and then you have no board. So you have three resign, seven stay in place, and they appoint three new directors. Then three more resign and the seven that are left	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. WOODS: I see that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: see that? Is the purpose of this 10 percent ownership interest limitation for shareholders other than the Province, is that for the purpose of ensuring that no one other than the Province could potentially have a controlling influence or even a substantial influence on corporate affairs?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I guess MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, it simply means that to keep a quorum in place at all times, you couldn't have, on August the 14th, ten directors resign at once and then you have no board. So you have three resign, seven stay in place, and they appoint three new directors. Then three more resign and the seven that are left appoint three. Now you've got six new and four old.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. WOODS: I see that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: see that? Is the purpose of this 10 percent ownership interest limitation for shareholders other than the Province, is that for the purpose of ensuring that no one other than the Province could potentially have a controlling influence or even a substantial influence on corporate affairs? MR. WOODS: I would assume so. I'm not a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I guess MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, it simply means that to keep a quorum in place at all times, you couldn't have, on August the 14th, ten directors resign at once and then you have no board. So you have three resign, seven stay in place, and they appoint three new directors. Then three more resign and the seven that are left appoint three. Now you've got six new and four old. Then you have the last ones resign and the remaining	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. WOODS: I see that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: see that? Is the purpose of this 10 percent ownership interest limitation for shareholders other than the Province, is that for the purpose of ensuring that no one other than the Province could potentially have a controlling influence or even a substantial influence on corporate affairs? MR. WOODS: I would assume so. I'm not a lawyer, but I assume that was the thinking when this was
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I guess MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, it simply means that to keep a quorum in place at all times, you couldn't have, on August the 14th, ten directors resign at once and then you have no board. So you have three resign, seven stay in place, and they appoint three new directors. Then three more resign and the seven that are left appoint three. Now you've got six new and four old. Then you have the last ones resign and the remaining quorum appoints the remainder. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Again, the question about where is this in the Governance Agreement?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WOODS: I see that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: see that? Is the purpose of this 10 percent ownership interest limitation for shareholders other than the Province, is that for the purpose of ensuring that no one other than the Province could potentially have a controlling influence or even a substantial influence on corporate affairs? MR. WOODS: I would assume so. I'm not a lawyer, but I assume that was the thinking when this was put in place. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And it does have an effect, doesn't it?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I guess MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, it simply means that to keep a quorum in place at all times, you couldn't have, on August the 14th, ten directors resign at once and then you have no board. So you have three resign, seven stay in place, and they appoint three new directors. Then three more resign and the seven that are left appoint three. Now you've got six new and four old. Then you have the last ones resign and the remaining quorum appoints the remainder. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Again, the question about where is this in the Governance Agreement? MR. SCARLETT: It's in the bylaws.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. WOODS: I see that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: see that? Is the purpose of this 10 percent ownership interest limitation for shareholders other than the Province, is that for the purpose of ensuring that no one other than the Province could potentially have a controlling influence or even a substantial influence on corporate affairs? MR. WOODS: I would assume so. I'm not a lawyer, but I assume that was the thinking when this was put in place. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And it does have an effect, doesn't it? MR. WOODS: Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I guess MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, it simply means that to keep a quorum in place at all times, you couldn't have, on August the 14th, ten directors resign at once and then you have no board. So you have three resign, seven stay in place, and they appoint three new directors. Then three more resign and the seven that are left appoint three. Now you've got six new and four old. Then you have the last ones resign and the remaining quorum appoints the remainder. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Again, the question about where is this in the Governance Agreement? MR. SCARLETT: It's in the bylaws. CHAIRMAN DANNER: It's in the bylaws, okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. WOODS: I see that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: see that? Is the purpose of this 10 percent ownership interest limitation for shareholders other than the Province, is that for the purpose of ensuring that no one other than the Province could potentially have a controlling influence or even a substantial influence on corporate affairs? MR. WOODS: I would assume so. I'm not a lawyer, but I assume that was the thinking when this was put in place. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And it does have an effect, doesn't it? MR. WOODS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Do you agree as a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I guess MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, it simply means that to keep a quorum in place at all times, you couldn't have, on August the 14th, ten directors resign at once and then you have no board. So you have three resign, seven stay in place, and they appoint three new directors. Then three more resign and the seven that are left appoint three. Now you've got six new and four old. Then you have the last ones resign and the remaining quorum appoints the remainder. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Again, the question about where is this in the Governance Agreement? MR. SCARLETT: It's in the bylaws.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WOODS: I see that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: see that? Is the purpose of this 10 percent ownership interest limitation for shareholders other than the Province, is that for the purpose of ensuring that no one other than the Province could potentially have a controlling influence or even a substantial influence on corporate affairs? MR. WOODS: I would assume so. I'm not a lawyer, but I assume that was the thinking when this was put in place. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And it does have an effect, doesn't it? MR. WOODS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Do you agree as a general proposition that a board of directors of a large
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I guess MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, it simply means that to keep a quorum in place at all times, you couldn't have, on August the 14th, ten directors resign at once and then you have no board. So you have three resign, seven stay in place, and they appoint three new directors. Then three more resign and the seven that are left appoint three. Now you've got six new and four old. Then you have the last ones resign and the remaining quorum appoints the remainder. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Again, the question about where is this in the Governance Agreement? MR. SCARLETT: It's in the bylaws. CHAIRMAN DANNER: It's in the bylaws, okay. MR. SCARLETT: And it's just corporate procedure.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WOODS: I see that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: see that? Is the purpose of this 10 percent ownership interest limitation for shareholders other than the Province, is that for the purpose of ensuring that no one other than the Province could potentially have a controlling influence or even a substantial influence on corporate affairs? MR. WOODS: I would assume so. I'm not a lawyer, but I assume that was the thinking when this was put in place. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And it does have an effect, doesn't it? MR. WOODS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Do you agree as a general proposition that a board of directors of a large publicly-traded corporation has greater independence to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I guess MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, it simply means that to keep a quorum in place at all times, you couldn't have, on August the 14th, ten directors resign at once and then you have no board. So you have three resign, seven stay in place, and they appoint three new directors. Then three more resign and the seven that are left appoint three. Now you've got six new and four old. Then you have the last ones resign and the remaining quorum appoints the remainder. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Again, the question about where is this in the Governance Agreement? MR. SCARLETT: It's in the bylaws. CHAIRMAN DANNER: It's in the bylaws, okay. MR. SCARLETT: And it's just corporate procedure. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So the Governance	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WOODS: I see that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: see that? Is the purpose of this 10 percent ownership interest limitation for shareholders other than the Province, is that for the purpose of ensuring that no one other than the Province could potentially have a controlling influence or even a substantial influence on corporate affairs? MR. WOODS: I would assume so. I'm not a lawyer, but I assume that was the thinking when this was put in place. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And it does have an effect, doesn't it? MR. WOODS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Do you agree as a general proposition that a board of directors of a large publicly-traded corporation has greater independence to act if no shareholder owns a majority share of the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I guess MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, it simply means that to keep a quorum in place at all times, you couldn't have, on August the 14th, ten directors resign at once and then you have no board. So you have three resign, seven stay in place, and they appoint three new directors. Then three more resign and the seven that are left appoint three. Now you've got six new and four old. Then you have the last ones resign and the remaining quorum appoints the remainder. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Again, the question about where is this in the Governance Agreement? MR. SCARLETT: It's in the bylaws. CHAIRMAN DANNER: It's in the bylaws, okay. MR. SCARLETT: And it's just corporate procedure. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So the Governance Agreement's about the election and appointment of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WOODS: I see that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: see that? Is the purpose of this 10 percent ownership interest limitation for shareholders other than the Province, is that for the purpose of ensuring that no one other than the Province could potentially have a controlling influence or even a substantial influence on corporate affairs? MR. WOODS: I would assume so. I'm not a lawyer, but I assume that was the thinking when this was put in place. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And it does have an effect, doesn't it? MR. WOODS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Do you agree as a general proposition that a board of directors of a large publicly-traded corporation has greater independence to act if no shareholder owns a majority share of the company stock?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I guess MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, it simply means that to keep a quorum in place at all times, you couldn't have, on August the 14th, ten directors resign at once and then you have no board. So you have three resign, seven stay in place, and they appoint three new directors. Then three more resign and the seven that are left appoint three. Now you've got six new and four old. Then you have the last ones resign and the remaining quorum appoints the remainder. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Again, the question about where is this in the Governance Agreement? MR. SCARLETT: It's in the bylaws. CHAIRMAN DANNER: It's in the bylaws, okay. MR. SCARLETT: And it's just corporate procedure. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So the Governance Agreement's about the election and appointment of directors is just intended to be an adjunct to the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. WOODS: I see that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: see that? Is the purpose of this 10 percent ownership interest limitation for shareholders other than the Province, is that for the purpose of ensuring that no one other than the Province could potentially have a controlling influence or even a substantial influence on corporate affairs? MR. WOODS: I would assume so. I'm not a lawyer, but I assume that was the thinking when this was put in place. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And it does have an effect, doesn't it? MR. WOODS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Do you agree as a general proposition that a board of directors of a large publicly-traded corporation has greater independence to act if no shareholder owns a majority share of the company stock? MR. WOODS: Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SCARLETT: May I answer that? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I guess MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, it simply means that to keep a quorum in place at all times, you couldn't have, on August the 14th, ten directors resign at once and then you have no board. So you have three resign, seven stay in place, and they appoint three new directors. Then three more resign and the seven that are left appoint three. Now you've got six new and four old. Then you have the last ones resign and the remaining quorum appoints the remainder. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Again, the question about where is this in the Governance Agreement? MR. SCARLETT: It's in the bylaws. CHAIRMAN DANNER: It's in the bylaws, okay. MR. SCARLETT: And it's just corporate procedure. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So the Governance Agreement's about the election and appointment of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WOODS: I see that. CHAIRMAN DANNER: see that? Is the purpose of this 10 percent ownership interest limitation for shareholders other than the Province, is that for the purpose of ensuring that no one other than the Province could potentially have a controlling influence or even a substantial influence on corporate affairs? MR. WOODS: I would assume so. I'm not a lawyer, but I assume that was the thinking when this was put in place. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And it does have an effect, doesn't it? MR. WOODS: Yes. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Do you agree as a general proposition that a board of directors of a large publicly-traded corporation has greater independence to act if no shareholder owns a majority share of the company stock?

25 (Pages 435 to 438)

	Page 439		Page 441
1	the same with respect to a single shareholder who owns,	1	help with this answer if I might.
2	say, 47 percent of outstanding shares?	2	MR. WOOD: Is that all right?
3	MR. WOODS: Greater independence without any	3	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Well, yeah, I'm trying to
4	controlling shareholder, yes. Subject to being elected	4	get to the I'm just trying to find out the answer.
5	annually by the directors and by the shareholders in any	5	MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'd be happy to give
6	event. So there's an annual check on that, but the	6	you the background that I have on it, which may be
7	answer to your question is yes.	7	helpful.
8	CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Well, thank	8	CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right.
9	you very much.	9	MR. SCARLETT: And and yes, it was a
10	Among the other things that concern me about	10	difficult situation for us. When it and just to set
11	the changes in leadership and Hydro One following the	11	the stage, you know, in Canada, with exceptions that
12	election is a lack of transparency and frankly, lack of	12	don't matter here, there's no requirement to disclose
13	candor by Hydro One in providing information to us by	13	ownership when you're less than 10 percent. The U.S.
14	way of a specific example. Hydro One previously	14	level is 5 percent. Canada has debated that back and
15	reported to have identified its five largest	15	forth, but it's 10 percent. So when people own what
16	shareholders other than the Province in response to our	16	the shareholders own, they have legally the ability to
17	Bench Request No. 2. And it took the extraordinary step	17	keep their identity secret.
18	in response to our request for updated information of	18	When we generally answer questions about who
19	having its counsel inform us among other things that,	19	we believe our big shareholders are, we don't get that
20	quote, Disclosure of the names of these shareholders	20	from the shareholders. They don't confirm it. We get
21	would pose a highly significant risk of harm to Hydro	21	that information from market knowledge. And by market
22	One and the shareholders.	22	knowledge, I mean we have an investor relations group,
23	How do you explain that shortly after our	23	they speak to traders and other people who are active in
24	hearing in May, it was just fine for Hydro One to	24	the market who say, you know, we believe company X has
25	identify its five largest shareholders other than the	25	this kind of a holding or that kind of a holding. So
	Page 440		
	rage HU		Page 442
1		1	
1 2	Province, but when we asked for updated information,	1	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not
2	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us?	2	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual
2 3	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't	2 3	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that
2 3 4	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might	2 3 4	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally.
2 3	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation,	2 3 4 5	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I
2 3 4 5	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation, as I said, with Mr. Denison, the outgoing chair who in	2 3 4	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I recall was specifically about who was on the committee.
2 3 4 5 6	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation, as I said, with Mr. Denison, the outgoing chair who in turn was in conversation with the investors that they	2 3 4 5 6	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I recall was specifically about who was on the committee. And when the committee was formed, the people who
2 3 4 5 6 7	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation, as I said, with Mr. Denison, the outgoing chair who in turn was in conversation with the investors that they the company and he determined were the likely to be	2 3 4 5 6 7	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I recall was specifically about who was on the committee. And when the committee was formed, the people who participated did so under the assurance of complete
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation, as I said, with Mr. Denison, the outgoing chair who in turn was in conversation with the investors that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I recall was specifically about who was on the committee. And when the committee was formed, the people who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation, as I said, with Mr. Denison, the outgoing chair who in turn was in conversation with the investors that they the company and he determined were the likely to be the five largest shareholders were that there was likely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I recall was specifically about who was on the committee. And when the committee was formed, the people who participated did so under the assurance of complete confidentiality for reasons that I presume included they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation, as I said, with Mr. Denison, the outgoing chair who in turn was in conversation with the investors that they the company and he determined were the likely to be the five largest shareholders were that there was likely to be some concern amongst those shareholders if they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I recall was specifically about who was on the committee. And when the committee was formed, the people who participated did so under the assurance of complete confidentiality for reasons that I presume included they don't want people to know that they participated in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation, as I said, with Mr. Denison, the outgoing chair who in turn was in conversation with the investors that they the company and he determined were the likely to be the five largest shareholders were that there was likely to be some concern amongst those shareholders if they were identified. I mean, they were three of them in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I recall was specifically about who was on the committee. And when the committee was formed, the people who participated did so under the assurance of complete confidentiality for reasons that I presume included they don't want people to know that they participated in the committee for fear that they might get dragged into some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation, as I said, with Mr. Denison, the outgoing chair who in turn was in conversation with the investors that they the company and he determined were the likely to be the five largest shareholders were that there was likely to be some concern amongst those shareholders if they were identified. I mean, they were three of them in the end as you may know were prepared to participate,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I recall was specifically about who was on the committee. And when the committee was formed, the people who participated did so under the assurance of complete confidentiality for reasons that I presume included they don't want people to know that they participated in the committee for fear that they might get dragged into some disputes in the future, they didn't want to be harassed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation, as I said, with Mr. Denison, the outgoing chair who in turn was in conversation with the investors that they the company and he determined were the likely to be the five largest shareholders were that there was likely to be some concern amongst those shareholders if they were identified. I mean, they were three of them in the end as you may know were prepared to participate, but if they were identified, it would cause them an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I recall was specifically about who was on the committee. And when the committee was formed, the people who participated did so under the assurance of complete confidentiality for reasons that I presume included they don't want people to know that they participated in the committee for fear that they might get dragged into some disputes in the future, they didn't want to be harassed by people calling them and bothering them in course of
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation, as I said, with Mr. Denison, the outgoing chair who in turn was in conversation with the investors that they the company and he determined were the likely to be the five largest shareholders were that there was likely to be some concern amongst those shareholders if they were identified. I mean, they were three of them in the end as you may know were prepared to participate, but if they were identified, it would cause them an additional time and burden and responsibility I think to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I recall was specifically about who was on the committee. And when the committee was formed, the people who participated did so under the assurance of complete confidentiality for reasons that I presume included they don't want people to know that they participated in the committee for fear that they might get dragged into some disputes in the future, they didn't want to be harassed by people calling them and bothering them in course of that process. Maybe they wanted to keep the size of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation, as I said, with Mr. Denison, the outgoing chair who in turn was in conversation with the investors that they the company and he determined were the likely to be the five largest shareholders were that there was likely to be some concern amongst those shareholders if they were identified. I mean, they were three of them in the end as you may know were prepared to participate, but if they were identified, it would cause them an additional time and burden and responsibility I think to respond to inquiry, et cetera. So so three of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I recall was specifically about who was on the committee. And when the committee was formed, the people who participated did so under the assurance of complete confidentiality for reasons that I presume included they don't want people to know that they participated in the committee for fear that they might get dragged into some disputes in the future, they didn't want to be harassed by people calling them and bothering them in course of that process. Maybe they wanted to keep the size of their holdings a secret, but they had a variety of reasons that were sensitive to them, and at least in one case, they refused to even sit on the committee because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation, as I said, with Mr. Denison, the outgoing chair who in turn was in conversation with the investors that they the company and he determined were the likely to be the five largest shareholders were that there was likely to be some concern amongst those shareholders if they were identified. I mean, they were three of them in the end as you may know were prepared to participate, but if they were identified, it would cause them an additional time and burden and responsibility I think to respond to inquiry, et cetera. So so three of the five CHAIRMAN DANNER: Inquiry from the press for example or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I recall was specifically about who was on the committee. And when the committee was formed, the people who participated did so under the assurance of complete confidentiality for reasons that I presume included they don't want people to know that they participated in the committee for fear that they might get dragged into some disputes in the future, they didn't want to be harassed by people calling them and bothering them in course of that process. Maybe they wanted to keep the size of their holdings a secret, but they had a variety of reasons that were sensitive to them, and at least in one case, they refused to even sit on the committee because they didn't want to be involved in the process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation, as I said, with Mr. Denison, the outgoing chair who in turn was in conversation with the investors that they the company and he determined were the likely to be the five largest shareholders were that there was likely to be some concern amongst those shareholders if they were identified. I mean, they were three of them in the end as you may know were prepared to participate, but if they were identified, it would cause them an additional time and burden and responsibility I think to respond to inquiry, et cetera. So so three of the five CHAIRMAN DANNER: Inquiry from the press for example or MR. WOODS: Perhaps from other other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I recall was specifically about who was on the committee. And when the committee was formed, the people who participated did so under the assurance of complete confidentiality for reasons that I presume included they don't want people to know that they participated in the committee for fear that they might get dragged into some disputes in the future, they didn't want to be harassed by people calling them and bothering them in course of that process. Maybe they wanted to keep the size of their holdings a secret, but they had a variety of reasons that were sensitive to them, and at least in one case, they refused to even sit on the committee because they didn't want to be involved in the process. And so when we were asked to confirm the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation, as I said, with Mr. Denison, the outgoing chair who in turn was in conversation with the investors that they the company and he determined were the likely to be the five largest shareholders were that there was likely to be some concern amongst those shareholders if they were identified. I mean, they were three of them in the end as you may know were prepared to participate, but if they were identified, it would cause them an additional time and burden and responsibility I think to respond to inquiry, et cetera. So so three of the five CHAIRMAN DANNER: Inquiry from the press for example or MR. WOODS: Perhaps from other other shareholders perhaps. I'm drawing inferences here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I recall was specifically about who was on the committee. And when the committee was formed, the people who participated did so under the assurance of complete confidentiality for reasons that I presume included they don't want people to know that they participated in the committee for fear that they might get dragged into some disputes in the future, they didn't want to be harassed by people calling them and bothering them in course of that process. Maybe they wanted to keep the size of their holdings a secret, but they had a variety of reasons that were sensitive to them, and at least in one case, they refused to even sit on the committee because they didn't want to be involved in the process. And so when we were asked to confirm the committee members, we actually went through quite a bit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation, as I said, with Mr. Denison, the outgoing chair who in turn was in conversation with the investors that they the company and he determined were the likely to be the five largest shareholders were that there was likely to be some concern amongst those shareholders if they were identified. I mean, they were three of them in the end as you may know were prepared to participate, but if they were identified, it would cause them an additional time and burden and responsibility I think to respond to inquiry, et cetera. So so three of the five MR. WOODS: Perhaps from other other shareholders perhaps. I'm drawing inferences here. Three of five were prepared to assist and, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I recall was specifically about who was on the committee. And when the committee was formed, the people who participated did so under the assurance of complete confidentiality for reasons that I presume included they don't want people to know that they participated in the committee for fear that they might get dragged into some disputes in the future, they didn't want to be harassed by people calling them and bothering them in course of that process. Maybe they wanted to keep the size of their holdings a secret, but they had a variety of reasons that were sensitive to them, and at least in one case, they refused to even sit on the committee because they didn't want to be involved in the process. And so when we were asked to confirm the committee members, we actually went through quite a bit of effort trying to get a waiver of the confidential
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation, as I said, with Mr. Denison, the outgoing chair who in turn was in conversation with the investors that they the company and he determined were the likely to be the five largest shareholders were that there was likely to be some concern amongst those shareholders if they were identified. I mean, they were three of them in the end as you may know were prepared to participate, but if they were identified, it would cause them an additional time and burden and responsibility I think to respond to inquiry, et cetera. So so three of the five MR. WOODS: Perhaps from other other shareholders perhaps. I'm drawing inferences here. Three of five were prepared to assist and, you know, participate. A fourth I don't know whether this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I recall was specifically about who was on the committee. And when the committee was formed, the people who participated did so under the assurance of complete confidentiality for reasons that I presume included they don't want people to know that they participated in the committee for fear that they might get dragged into some disputes in the future, they didn't want to be harassed by people calling them and bothering them in course of that process. Maybe they wanted to keep the size of their holdings a secret, but they had a variety of reasons that were sensitive to them, and at least in one case, they refused to even sit on the committee because they didn't want to be involved in the process. And so when we were asked to confirm the committee members, we actually went through quite a bit of effort trying to get a waiver of the confidential obligations that we had. They weren't our it wasn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation, as I said, with Mr. Denison, the outgoing chair who in turn was in conversation with the investors that they the company and he determined were the likely to be the five largest shareholders were that there was likely to be some concern amongst those shareholders if they were identified. I mean, they were three of them in the end as you may know were prepared to participate, but if they were identified, it would cause them an additional time and burden and responsibility I think to respond to inquiry, et cetera. So so three of the five MR. WOODS: Perhaps from other other shareholders perhaps. I'm drawing inferences here. Three of five were prepared to assist and, you know, participate. A fourth I don't know whether this is confidential.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I recall was specifically about who was on the committee. And when the committee was formed, the people who participated did so under the assurance of complete confidentiality for reasons that I presume included they don't want people to know that they participated in the committee for fear that they might get dragged into some disputes in the future, they didn't want to be harassed by people calling them and bothering them in course of that process. Maybe they wanted to keep the size of their holdings a secret, but they had a variety of reasons that were sensitive to them, and at least in one case, they refused to even sit on the committee because they didn't want to be involved in the process. And so when we were asked to confirm the committee members, we actually went through quite a bit of effort trying to get a waiver of the confidential obligations that we had. They weren't our it wasn't our confidentiality to waive, it was the confidentiality
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation, as I said, with Mr. Denison, the outgoing chair who in turn was in conversation with the investors that they the company and he determined were the likely to be the five largest shareholders were that there was likely to be some concern amongst those shareholders if they were identified. I mean, they were three of them in the end as you may know were prepared to participate, but if they were identified, it would cause them an additional time and burden and responsibility I think to respond to inquiry, et cetera. So so three of the five MR. WOODS: Perhaps from other other shareholders perhaps. I'm drawing inferences here. Three of five were prepared to assist and, you know, participate. A fourth I don't know whether this is confidential.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I recall was specifically about who was on the committee. And when the committee was formed, the people who participated did so under the assurance of complete confidentiality for reasons that I presume included they don't want people to know that they participated in the committee for fear that they might get dragged into some disputes in the future, they didn't want to be harassed by people calling them and bothering them in course of that process. Maybe they wanted to keep the size of their holdings a secret, but they had a variety of reasons that were sensitive to them, and at least in one case, they refused to even sit on the committee because they didn't want to be involved in the process. And so when we were asked to confirm the committee members, we actually went through quite a bit of effort trying to get a waiver of the confidential obligations that we had. They weren't our it wasn't our confidentiality to waive, it was the confidentiality of the of the participant. And we got it waived for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Province, but when we asked for updated information, this became a problem? Can you explain that to us? MR. WOODS: Well, I would I wasn't involved at the time. What I can tell you that might shed some light is that because I was in conversation, as I said, with Mr. Denison, the outgoing chair who in turn was in conversation with the investors that they the company and he determined were the likely to be the five largest shareholders were that there was likely to be some concern amongst those shareholders if they were identified. I mean, they were three of them in the end as you may know were prepared to participate, but if they were identified, it would cause them an additional time and burden and responsibility I think to respond to inquiry, et cetera. So so three of the five MR. WOODS: Perhaps from other other shareholders perhaps. I'm drawing inferences here. Three of five were prepared to assist and, you know, participate. A fourth I don't know whether this is confidential.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it's pretty good information, but it's not it's not reported anywhere, it's not verified by the individual investors. So that's how we could give information that we can find in the market generally. When the question that we were asked as I recall was specifically about who was on the committee. And when the committee was formed, the people who participated did so under the assurance of complete confidentiality for reasons that I presume included they don't want people to know that they participated in the committee for fear that they might get dragged into some disputes in the future, they didn't want to be harassed by people calling them and bothering them in course of that process. Maybe they wanted to keep the size of their holdings a secret, but they had a variety of reasons that were sensitive to them, and at least in one case, they refused to even sit on the committee because they didn't want to be involved in the process. And so when we were asked to confirm the committee members, we actually went through quite a bit of effort trying to get a waiver of the confidential obligations that we had. They weren't our it wasn't our confidentiality to waive, it was the confidentiality

26 (Pages 439 to 442)

	Page 443		Page 445
1		1	
1 2	And frankly, we also got subject to a Freedom of	1 2	today's world, people don't hold their shares in
2 3	Information action in Washington that we spent a lot of	3	registered name. They hold them through a depository.
4	time and money fighting to try to protect the confidential information.	4	So if you look at our shareholder list, we probably have one shareholder. And it's not the Province of Ontario,
4 5	From our perspective, keeping the process	5	it's probably Canada's depository for securities.
6	and the and the participants confidential as they	6	So you have to send out search cards to the
7	demanded is important because, you know, we may have a	7	people who participate in that depository who are
8	4.7 process again in the future. We need people who	8	financial institutions, and they in turn will do a
9	will participate and not say to us, well, I'm not going	9	search and tell you who the beneficial holders are. And
10	to participate because last time this is what happened.	10	under our system, you can be a nobo, a nonobjecting
11	You know, you got disclosed and we got dragged into	11	beneficial owner, or an obo, an objecting beneficial
12	into disputes and so on. So we felt that both from the	12	owner. And if you're an objecting beneficial own an
13	shareholder's point of view and from Hydro One's point	13	objecting beneficial owner, we don't get to know who you
14	of view, we had to do our utmost to maintain the	14	are. If you're nonobjecting, then we get to know who
15	integrity of the confidentiality that the ad hoc	15	you are. So you go through that search process and then
16	committee was operating under. I hope that helps with	16	you can mail out your materials. That's how you know
17	your answer.	17	who can come to your shareholders meeting.
18	CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you.	18	JUDGE MOSS: So basically shareholders can
19	Mr. Woods, you have a long history in this	19	participate anonymously?
20	business, and I know you've been on other boards. Have	20	MR. SCARLETT: Yes.
21	you found that the 10 percent figure that we have here	21	JUDGE MOSS: Okay. Thank you.
22	in the United States has acted as an impediment to	22	CHAIRMAN DANNER: I just have a few more
23	attracting qualified people to serve on boards?	23	questions here.
24	MR. WOODS: No.	24	So, Mr. Woods, according to Marieke Walsh,
25	CHAIRMAN DANNER: And would you your view	25	and she's writing for ipolitics.ca on July 6th, 2018,
	Page 444		Page 446
1	Page 444 be that well, I'll that's fine.	1	Page 446 and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first
1 2		1 2	
	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said	1	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the
2	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the	2	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation.
2 3	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to	2 3	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment?
2 3 4	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the	2 3 4	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation.
2 3 4 5	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the Province because of these disclosure requirements. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment?
2 3 4 5 6	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the Province because of these disclosure requirements. I'm just I have a hard time with that. You have to know	2 3 4 5 6	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment? MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What impacts on recruitment or retention do you see from provincial
2 3 4 5 6 7	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the Province because of these disclosure requirements. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment? MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What impacts on recruitment or retention do you see from provincial involvement in setting executive seminars?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the Province because of these disclosure requirements. I'm just I have a hard time with that. You have to know who your shareholders are and how many shares they hold in order to have a shareholder meeting and count their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment? MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What impacts on recruitment or retention do you see from provincial involvement in setting executive seminars? MR. WOODS: Very limited. I can elaborate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the Province because of these disclosure requirements. I'm just I have a hard time with that. You have to know who your shareholders are and how many shares they hold in order to have a shareholder meeting and count their votes, don't you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment? MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What impacts on recruitment or retention do you see from provincial involvement in setting executive seminars? MR. WOODS: Very limited. I can elaborate if you'd like.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the Province because of these disclosure requirements. I'm just I have a hard time with that. You have to know who your shareholders are and how many shares they hold in order to have a shareholder meeting and count their votes, don't you? MR. SCARLETT: So I may have misspoken a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment? MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What impacts on recruitment or retention do you see from provincial involvement in setting executive seminars? MR. WOODS: Very limited. I can elaborate if you'd like. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I'd appreciate that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the Province because of these disclosure requirements. I'm just I have a hard time with that. You have to know who your shareholders are and how many shares they hold in order to have a shareholder meeting and count their votes, don't you? MR. SCARLETT: So I may have misspoken a little bit when I said it was difficult. I didn't mean	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment? MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What impacts on recruitment or retention do you see from provincial involvement in setting executive seminars? MR. WOODS: Very limited. I can elaborate if you'd like. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I'd appreciate that. MR. WOODS: I mean, as you know, a Bill
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the Province because of these disclosure requirements. I'm just I have a hard time with that. You have to know who your shareholders are and how many shares they hold in order to have a shareholder meeting and count their votes, don't you? MR. SCARLETT: So I may have misspoken a little bit when I said it was difficult. I didn't mean difficult to know generally. It's difficult to know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment? MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What impacts on recruitment or retention do you see from provincial involvement in setting executive seminars? MR. WOODS: Very limited. I can elaborate if you'd like. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I'd appreciate that. MR. WOODS: I mean, as you know, a Bill 2, the Hydro Accountable Act specifies that Hydro One
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the Province because of these disclosure requirements. I'm just I have a hard time with that. You have to know who your shareholders are and how many shares they hold in order to have a shareholder meeting and count their votes, don't you? MR. SCARLETT: So I may have misspoken a little bit when I said it was difficult. I didn't mean difficult to know generally. It's difficult to know with precision. That was the only point I was making.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment? MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What impacts on recruitment or retention do you see from provincial involvement in setting executive seminars? MR. WOODS: Very limited. I can elaborate if you'd like. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I'd appreciate that. MR. WOODS: I mean, as you know, a Bill 2, the Hydro Accountable Act specifies that Hydro One has to consult with the five largest shareholders to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the Province because of these disclosure requirements. I'm just I have a hard time with that. You have to know who your shareholders are and how many shares they hold in order to have a shareholder meeting and count their votes, don't you? MR. SCARLETT: So I may have misspoken a little bit when I said it was difficult. I didn't mean difficult to know generally. It's difficult to know with precision. That was the only point I was making. We do have a pretty good idea who our shareholders are,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment? MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What impacts on recruitment or retention do you see from provincial involvement in setting executive seminars? MR. WOODS: Very limited. I can elaborate if you'd like. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I'd appreciate that. MR. WOODS: I mean, as you know, a Bill 2, the Hydro Accountable Act specifies that Hydro One has to consult with the five largest shareholders to the extent they're prepared to discuss as well as with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the Province because of these disclosure requirements. I'm just I have a hard time with that. You have to know who your shareholders are and how many shares they hold in order to have a shareholder meeting and count their votes, don't you? MR. SCARLETT: So I may have misspoken a little bit when I said it was difficult. I didn't mean difficult to know generally. It's difficult to know with precision. That was the only point I was making. We do have a pretty good idea who our shareholders are, and that's why we're able to say that when it wasn't the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment? MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What impacts on recruitment or retention do you see from provincial involvement in setting executive seminars? MR. WOODS: Very limited. I can elaborate if you'd like. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I'd appreciate that. MR. WOODS: I mean, as you know, a Bill 2, the Hydro Accountable Act specifies that Hydro One has to consult with the five largest shareholders to the extent they're prepared to discuss as well as with the government, come up with a compensation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the Province because of these disclosure requirements. I'm just I have a hard time with that. You have to know who your shareholders are and how many shares they hold in order to have a shareholder meeting and count their votes, don't you? MR. SCARLETT: So I may have misspoken a little bit when I said it was difficult. I didn't mean difficult to know generally. It's difficult to know with precision. That was the only point I was making. We do have a pretty good idea who our shareholders are, and that's why we're able to say that when it wasn't the confidential question about who was on the committee. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment? MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What impacts on recruitment or retention do you see from provincial involvement in setting executive seminars? MR. WOODS: Very limited. I can elaborate if you'd like. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I'd appreciate that. MR. WOODS: I mean, as you know, a Bill 2, the Hydro Accountable Act specifies that Hydro One has to consult with the five largest shareholders to the extent they're prepared to discuss as well as with the government, come up with a compensation CHAIRMAN DANNER: The five largest private
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the Province because of these disclosure requirements. I'm just I have a hard time with that. You have to know who your shareholders are and how many shares they hold in order to have a shareholder meeting and count their votes, don't you? MR. SCARLETT: So I may have misspoken a little bit when I said it was difficult. I didn't mean difficult to know generally. It's difficult to know with precision. That was the only point I was making. We do have a pretty good idea who our shareholders are, and that's why we're able to say that when it wasn't the confidential question about who was on the committee. I know they're closely aligned, but they're a bit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment? MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What impacts on recruitment or retention do you see from provincial involvement in setting executive seminars? MR. WOODS: Very limited. I can elaborate if you'd like. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I'd appreciate that. MR. WOODS: I mean, as you know, a Bill 2, the Hydro Accountable Act specifies that Hydro One has to consult with the five largest shareholders to the extent they're prepared to discuss as well as with the government, come up with a compensation CHAIRMAN DANNER: The five largest private shareholders?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the Province because of these disclosure requirements. I'm just I have a hard time with that. You have to know who your shareholders are and how many shares they hold in order to have a shareholder meeting and count their votes, don't you? MR. SCARLETT: So I may have misspoken a little bit when I said it was difficult. I didn't mean difficult to know generally. It's difficult to know with precision. That was the only point I was making. We do have a pretty good idea who our shareholders are, and that's why we're able to say that when it wasn't the confidential question about who was on the committee. I know they're closely aligned, but they're a bit different.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment? MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What impacts on recruitment or retention do you see from provincial involvement in setting executive seminars? MR. WOODS: Very limited. I can elaborate if you'd like. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I'd appreciate that. MR. WOODS: I mean, as you know, a Bill 2, the Hydro Accountable Act specifies that Hydro One has to consult with the five largest shareholders to the extent they're prepared to discuss as well as with the government, come up with a compensation CHAIRMAN DANNER: The five largest private shareholders? MR. WOODS: Other than the government,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the Province because of these disclosure requirements. I'm just I have a hard time with that. You have to know who your shareholders are and how many shares they hold in order to have a shareholder meeting and count their votes, don't you? MR. SCARLETT: So I may have misspoken a little bit when I said it was difficult. I didn't mean difficult to know generally. It's difficult to know with precision. That was the only point I was making. We do have a pretty good idea who our shareholders are, and that's why we're able to say that when it wasn't the confidential question about who was on the committee. I know they're closely aligned, but they're a bit different. In terms of shareholders meeting, though,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment? MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What impacts on recruitment or retention do you see from provincial involvement in setting executive seminars? MR. WOODS: Very limited. I can elaborate if you'd like. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I'd appreciate that. MR. WOODS: I mean, as you know, a Bill 2, the Hydro Accountable Act specifies that Hydro One has to consult with the five largest shareholders to the extent they're prepared to discuss as well as with the government, come up with a compensation CHAIRMAN DANNER: The five largest private shareholders? MR. WOODS: Other than the government, that's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the Province because of these disclosure requirements. I'm just I have a hard time with that. You have to know who your shareholders are and how many shares they hold in order to have a shareholder meeting and count their votes, don't you? MR. SCARLETT: So I may have misspoken a little bit when I said it was difficult. I didn't mean difficult to know generally. It's difficult to know with precision. That was the only point I was making. We do have a pretty good idea who our shareholders are, and that's why we're able to say that when it wasn't the confidential question about who was on the committee. I know they're closely aligned, but they're a bit different. In terms of shareholders meeting, though, it's quite there's a whole different process	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment? MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What impacts on recruitment or retention do you see from provincial involvement in setting executive seminars? MR. WOODS: Very limited. I can elaborate if you'd like. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I'd appreciate that. MR. WOODS: I mean, as you know, a Bill 2, the Hydro Accountable Act specifies that Hydro One has to consult with the five largest shareholders to the extent they're prepared to discuss as well as with the government, come up with a compensation CHAIRMAN DANNER: The five largest private shareholders? MR. WOODS: Other than the government, that's correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Other than the government,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the Province because of these disclosure requirements. I'm just I have a hard time with that. You have to know who your shareholders are and how many shares they hold in order to have a shareholder meeting and count their votes, don't you? MR. SCARLETT: So I may have misspoken a little bit when I said it was difficult. I didn't mean difficult to know generally. It's difficult to know with precision. That was the only point I was making. We do have a pretty good idea who our shareholders are, and that's why we're able to say that when it wasn't the confidential question about who was on the committee. I know they're closely aligned, but they're a bit different. In terms of shareholders meeting, though, it's quite there's a whole different process involved. This is why it takes you might say, why	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment? MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What impacts on recruitment or retention do you see from provincial involvement in setting executive seminars? MR. WOODS: Very limited. I can elaborate if you'd like. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I'd appreciate that. MR. WOODS: I mean, as you know, a Bill 2, the Hydro Accountable Act specifies that Hydro One has to consult with the five largest shareholders to the extent they're prepared to discuss as well as with the government, come up with a compensation CHAIRMAN DANNER: The five largest private shareholders? MR. WOODS: Other than the government, that's correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Other than the government, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the Province because of these disclosure requirements. I'm just I have a hard time with that. You have to know who your shareholders are and how many shares they hold in order to have a shareholder meeting and count their votes, don't you? MR. SCARLETT: So I may have misspoken a little bit when I said it was difficult. I didn't mean difficult to know generally. It's difficult to know with precision. That was the only point I was making. We do have a pretty good idea who our shareholders are, and that's why we're able to say that when it wasn't the confidential question about who was on the committee. I know they're closely aligned, but they're a bit different. In terms of shareholders meeting, though, it's quite there's a whole different process involved. This is why it takes you might say, why does it take 60 days to hold a shareholders meeting? We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment? MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What impacts on recruitment or retention do you see from provincial involvement in setting executive seminars? MR. WOODS: Very limited. I can elaborate if you'd like. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I'd appreciate that. MR. WOODS: I mean, as you know, a Bill 2, the Hydro Accountable Act specifies that Hydro One has to consult with the five largest shareholders to the extent they're prepared to discuss as well as with the government, come up with a compensation CHAIRMAN DANNER: The five largest private shareholders? MR. WOODS: Other than the government, that's correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Other than the government, yes. MR. WOODS: To the extent they're willing to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	be that well, I'll that's fine. JUDGE MOSS: Let me interject here to satisfy a point of curiosity, Mr. Scarlett. You said just now and we earlier received information to the effect that it was it's a difficult undertaking to identify the five largest shareholders other than the Province because of these disclosure requirements. I'm just I have a hard time with that. You have to know who your shareholders are and how many shares they hold in order to have a shareholder meeting and count their votes, don't you? MR. SCARLETT: So I may have misspoken a little bit when I said it was difficult. I didn't mean difficult to know generally. It's difficult to know with precision. That was the only point I was making. We do have a pretty good idea who our shareholders are, and that's why we're able to say that when it wasn't the confidential question about who was on the committee. I know they're closely aligned, but they're a bit different. In terms of shareholders meeting, though, it's quite there's a whole different process involved. This is why it takes you might say, why	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and I'm quoting from this article. (As read) The first piece of legislation from Doug Ford's government kneecaps the independence of Hydro One by giving the provincial cabinet a veto over executive compensation. Do you agree with this assessment? MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What impacts on recruitment or retention do you see from provincial involvement in setting executive seminars? MR. WOODS: Very limited. I can elaborate if you'd like. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I'd appreciate that. MR. WOODS: I mean, as you know, a Bill 2, the Hydro Accountable Act specifies that Hydro One has to consult with the five largest shareholders to the extent they're prepared to discuss as well as with the government, come up with a compensation CHAIRMAN DANNER: The five largest private shareholders? MR. WOODS: Other than the government, that's correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Other than the government, yes.

27 (Pages 443 to 446)

	Page 447		Page 449
1	then come up with the compensation framework that we	1	business world, on how many occasions have you witnessed
2	require approval of the in effect the government, the	2	a case where a major corporation faced the sudden
3	cabinet board. We are in the early stages of putting	3	resignation of the entire board of directors and its
4	that information together and then we'll soon embark on	4	CEO?
5	that consultation process. And we believe we will be	5	MR. WOODS: Yeah, I don't know that I've
6	able to come up with a framework that will be	6	directly witnessed it. I know it has happened, but this
7	satisfactory to the government and will not limit in any	7	was a first time I have seen it directly.
8	material way our ability to attract a chief executive	8	CHAIRMAN DANNER: So you're but this is
9	officer and that search is now underway.	9	not something you can't imagine circumstances or you
10	CHAIRMAN DANNER: And do you expect that the	10	would have seen this at the VOA board of directors or
11	salary of the incoming CEO will be considerably less	11	the outward investment management corporation or some of
12	than the current CEO?	12	the Province, St. Joseph's, St. Michael's healthcare or
13	MR. WOODS: I don't know that it will be	13	any of these other places that you have worked?
14	considerably less. I think the probability of it being	14	MR. WOODS: That's correct. Have not seen
15	less is very high.	15	something like this. By the way, just to be clear,
16	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Significantly less?	16	because it's the second time you referred to that, those
17	MR. WOODS: I don't know. I mean	17	were boards that I served on, not worked.
18	CHAIRMAN DANNER: I mean, we talking a \$5	18	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah
19	million man or a \$4 million man?	19	(Multiple speakers.)
20	MR. WOODS: We're not talking about a \$5	20	JUDGE MOSS: Do not talk at the same time,
21	million person, no. Possibly 4 million, possibly 3,	21	please.
22	possibly 2. We haven't gotten down to that level of	22	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, Judge.
23	detail.	23	So do you believe that the sudden
24	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah. Do you believe	24	resignation of the board of directors and CEO of Hydro
25	that it's my understanding that, at least from some	25	One was in the best interest of the corporation?
	Page 448		Page 450
1		1	Page 450 MR.WOODS: No.
1 2	Page 448 of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right	1 2	
	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by		MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the
2	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right	2	MR. WOODS: No.
2 3	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run	2 3	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was
2 3 4	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding?	2 3 4	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of
2 3 4 5	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding? MR. WOODS: Probably middle of the pack.	2 3 4 5	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of Avista?
2 3 4 5 6	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding? MR. WOODS: Probably middle of the pack. It's quite a wide range. I've reviewed some of the	2 3 4 5 6	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of Avista? MR. WOODS: No, because it presents
2 3 4 5 6 7	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding? MR. WOODS: Probably middle of the pack. It's quite a wide range. I've reviewed some of the comparable companies, and there's a quite a wide range,	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of Avista? MR. WOODS: No, because it presents uncertainties. Could I if I could just follow up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding? MR. WOODS: Probably middle of the pack. It's quite a wide range. I've reviewed some of the comparable companies, and there's a quite a wide range, but it would not have been out of the the range of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of Avista? MR. WOODS: No, because it presents uncertainties. Could I if I could just follow up. Technically, the sudden resignation was caused by events
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding? MR. WOODS: Probably middle of the pack. It's quite a wide range. I've reviewed some of the comparable companies, and there's a quite a wide range, but it would not have been out of the the range of reasonableness, that's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of Avista? MR. WOODS: No, because it presents uncertainties. Could I if I could just follow up. Technically, the sudden resignation was caused by events that were certainly not in the best interest of Hydro
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding? MR. WOODS: Probably middle of the pack. It's quite a wide range. I've reviewed some of the comparable companies, and there's a quite a wide range, but it would not have been out of the the range of reasonableness, that's correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And do you see any problems with a framework in Hydro One being significantly different than a framework in Avista?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of Avista? MR. WOODS: No, because it presents uncertainties. Could I if I could just follow up. Technically, the sudden resignation was caused by events that were certainly not in the best interest of Hydro One. The process by which that took place, as Mr. Scarlett discussed, I think was the best process. But no, certainly the events leading up to that and the
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding? MR. WOODS: Probably middle of the pack. It's quite a wide range. I've reviewed some of the comparable companies, and there's a quite a wide range, but it would not have been out of the the range of reasonableness, that's correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And do you see any problems with a framework in Hydro One being significantly different than a framework in Avista? MR. WOODS: I think the two are quite	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of Avista? MR. WOODS: No, because it presents uncertainties. Could I if I could just follow up. Technically, the sudden resignation was caused by events that were certainly not in the best interest of Hydro One. The process by which that took place, as Mr. Scarlett discussed, I think was the best process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding? MR. WOODS: Probably middle of the pack. It's quite a wide range. I've reviewed some of the comparable companies, and there's a quite a wide range, but it would not have been out of the the range of reasonableness, that's correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And do you see any problems with a framework in Hydro One being significantly different than a framework in Avista? MR. WOODS: I think the two are quite separate, I think considerably different. I don't know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of Avista? MR. WOODS: No, because it presents uncertainties. Could I if I could just follow up. Technically, the sudden resignation was caused by events that were certainly not in the best interest of Hydro One. The process by which that took place, as Mr. Scarlett discussed, I think was the best process. But no, certainly the events leading up to that and the ultimate result were not in the best interest of the company.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding? MR. WOODS: Probably middle of the pack. It's quite a wide range. I've reviewed some of the comparable companies, and there's a quite a wide range, but it would not have been out of the the range of reasonableness, that's correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And do you see any problems with a framework in Hydro One being significantly different than a framework in Avista? MR. WOODS: I think the two are quite separate, I think considerably different. I don't know that there will be problems. I think the two will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of Avista? MR. WOODS: No, because it presents uncertainties. Could I if I could just follow up. Technically, the sudden resignation was caused by events that were certainly not in the best interest of Hydro One. The process by which that took place, as Mr. Scarlett discussed, I think was the best process. But no, certainly the events leading up to that and the ultimate result were not in the best interest of the company. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. All right. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding? MR. WOODS: Probably middle of the pack. It's quite a wide range. I've reviewed some of the comparable companies, and there's a quite a wide range, but it would not have been out of the the range of reasonableness, that's correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And do you see any problems with a framework in Hydro One being significantly different than a framework in Avista? MR. WOODS: I think the two are quite separate, I think considerably different. I don't know that there will be problems. I think the two will be produced separately. As you know, the board of Avista	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of Avista? MR. WOODS: No, because it presents uncertainties. Could I if I could just follow up. Technically, the sudden resignation was caused by events that were certainly not in the best interest of Hydro One. The process by which that took place, as Mr. Scarlett discussed, I think was the best process. But no, certainly the events leading up to that and the ultimate result were not in the best interest of the company. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. All right. I think that's all I have, Judge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding? MR. WOODS: Probably middle of the pack. It's quite a wide range. I've reviewed some of the comparable companies, and there's a quite a wide range, but it would not have been out of the the range of reasonableness, that's correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And do you see any problems with a framework in Hydro One being significantly different than a framework in Avista? MR. WOODS: I think the two are quite separate, I think considerably different. I don't know that there will be problems. I think the two will be produced separately. As you know, the board of Avista has rights on compensation. They'll engage in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of Avista? MR. WOODS: No, because it presents uncertainties. Could I if I could just follow up. Technically, the sudden resignation was caused by events that were certainly not in the best interest of Hydro One. The process by which that took place, as Mr. Scarlett discussed, I think was the best process. But no, certainly the events leading up to that and the ultimate result were not in the best interest of the company. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. All right. I think that's all I have, Judge. Thank you very much, Mr. Woods.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding? MR. WOODS: Probably middle of the pack. It's quite a wide range. I've reviewed some of the comparable companies, and there's a quite a wide range, but it would not have been out of the the range of reasonableness, that's correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And do you see any problems with a framework in Hydro One being significantly different than a framework in Avista? MR. WOODS: I think the two are quite separate, I think considerably different. I don't know that there will be problems. I think the two will be produced separately. As you know, the board of Avista has rights on compensation. They'll engage in a process, we'll have two of our executives as part of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of Avista? MR. WOODS: No, because it presents uncertainties. Could I if I could just follow up. Technically, the sudden resignation was caused by events that were certainly not in the best interest of Hydro One. The process by which that took place, as Mr. Scarlett discussed, I think was the best process. But no, certainly the events leading up to that and the ultimate result were not in the best interest of the company. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. All right. I think that's all I have, Judge. Thank you very much, Mr. Woods. JUDGE MOSS: Recognizing that we must take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding? MR. WOODS: Probably middle of the pack. It's quite a wide range. I've reviewed some of the comparable companies, and there's a quite a wide range, but it would not have been out of the the range of reasonableness, that's correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And do you see any problems with a framework in Hydro One being significantly different than a framework in Avista? MR. WOODS: I think the two are quite separate, I think considerably different. I don't know that there will be problems. I think the two will be produced separately. As you know, the board of Avista has rights on compensation. They'll engage in a process, we'll have two of our executives as part of the nine-person board. Process for Hydro One will be just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of Avista? MR. WOODS: No, because it presents uncertainties. Could I if I could just follow up. Technically, the sudden resignation was caused by events that were certainly not in the best interest of Hydro One. The process by which that took place, as Mr. Scarlett discussed, I think was the best process. But no, certainly the events leading up to that and the ultimate result were not in the best interest of the company. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. All right. I think that's all I have, Judge. Thank you very much, Mr. Woods. JUDGE MOSS: Recognizing that we must take what we read in the press with a certain degree of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding? MR. WOODS: Probably middle of the pack. It's quite a wide range. I've reviewed some of the comparable companies, and there's a quite a wide range, but it would not have been out of the the range of reasonableness, that's correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And do you see any problems with a framework in Hydro One being significantly different than a framework in Avista? MR. WOODS: I think the two are quite separate, I think considerably different. I don't know that there will be problems. I think the two will be produced separately. As you know, the board of Avista has rights on compensation. They'll engage in a process, we'll have two of our executives as part of the nine-person board. Process for Hydro One will be just as I described.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of Avista? MR. WOODS: No, because it presents uncertainties. Could I if I could just follow up. Technically, the sudden resignation was caused by events that were certainly not in the best interest of Hydro One. The process by which that took place, as Mr. Scarlett discussed, I think was the best process. But no, certainly the events leading up to that and the ultimate result were not in the best interest of the company. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. All right. I think that's all I have, Judge. Thank you very much, Mr. Woods. JUDGE MOSS: Recognizing that we must take what we read in the press with a certain degree of skepticism, I want to ask you about an article that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding? MR. WOODS: Probably middle of the pack. It's quite a wide range. I've reviewed some of the comparable companies, and there's a quite a wide range, but it would not have been out of the the range of reasonableness, that's correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And do you see any problems with a framework in Hydro One being significantly different than a framework in Avista? MR. WOODS: I think the two are quite separate, I think considerably different. I don't know that there will be problems. I think the two will be produced separately. As you know, the board of Avista has rights on compensation. They'll engage in a process, we'll have two of our executives as part of the nine-person board. Process for Hydro One will be just as I described. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Thank you. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of Avista? MR. WOODS: No, because it presents uncertainties. Could I if I could just follow up. Technically, the sudden resignation was caused by events that were certainly not in the best interest of Hydro One. The process by which that took place, as Mr. Scarlett discussed, I think was the best process. But no, certainly the events leading up to that and the ultimate result were not in the best interest of the company. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. All right. I think that's all I have, Judge. Thank you very much, Mr. Woods. JUDGE MOSS: Recognizing that we must take what we read in the press with a certain degree of skepticism, I want to ask you about an article that I looked at. It was written by somebody named Rob
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding? MR. WOODS: Probably middle of the pack. It's quite a wide range. I've reviewed some of the comparable companies, and there's a quite a wide range, but it would not have been out of the the range of reasonableness, that's correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And do you see any problems with a framework in Hydro One being significantly different than a framework in Avista? MR. WOODS: I think the two are quite separate, I think considerably different. I don't know that there will be problems. I think the two will be produced separately. As you know, the board of Avista has rights on compensation. They'll engage in a process, we'll have two of our executives as part of the nine-person board. Process for Hydro One will be just as I described. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Thank you. I mentioned before, and I know that you have an extensive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of Avista? MR. WOODS: No, because it presents uncertainties. Could I if I could just follow up. Technically, the sudden resignation was caused by events that were certainly not in the best interest of Hydro One. The process by which that took place, as Mr. Scarlett discussed, I think was the best process. But no, certainly the events leading up to that and the ultimate result were not in the best interest of the company. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. All right. I think that's all I have, Judge. JUDGE MOSS: Recognizing that we must take what we read in the press with a certain degree of skepticism, I want to ask you about an article that I looked at. It was written by somebody named Rob Ferguson, Queen's Park Bureau of the Star in Canada.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding? MR. WOODS: Probably middle of the pack. It's quite a wide range. I've reviewed some of the comparable companies, and there's a quite a wide range, but it would not have been out of the the range of reasonableness, that's correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And do you see any problems with a framework in Hydro One being significantly different than a framework in Avista? MR. WOODS: I think the two are quite separate, I think considerably different. I don't know that there will be problems. I think the two will be produced separately. As you know, the board of Avista has rights on compensation. They'll engage in a process, we'll have two of our executives as part of the nine-person board. Process for Hydro One will be just as I described. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Thank you. I mentioned before, and I know that you have an extensive background in business, I I you know, you worked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of Avista? MR. WOODS: No, because it presents uncertainties. Could I if I could just follow up. Technically, the sudden resignation was caused by events that were certainly not in the best interest of Hydro One. The process by which that took place, as Mr. Scarlett discussed, I think was the best process. But no, certainly the events leading up to that and the ultimate result were not in the best interest of the company. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. All right. I think that's all I have, Judge. JUDGE MOSS: Recognizing that we must take what we read in the press with a certain degree of skepticism, I want to ask you about an article that I looked at. It was written by somebody named Rob Ferguson, Queen's Park Bureau of the Star in Canada. And the caption or the title of the article of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding? MR. WOODS: Probably middle of the pack. It's quite a wide range. I've reviewed some of the comparable companies, and there's a quite a wide range, but it would not have been out of the the range of reasonableness, that's correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And do you see any problems with a framework in Hydro One being significantly different than a framework in Avista? MR. WOODS: I think the two are quite separate, I think considerably different. I don't know that there will be problems. I think the two will be produced separately. As you know, the board of Avista has rights on compensation. They'll engage in a process, we'll have two of our executives as part of the nine-person board. Process for Hydro One will be just as I described. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Thank you. I mentioned before, and I know that you have an extensive background in business, I I you know, you worked for corporations on on both sides of the border. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of Avista? MR. WOODS: No, because it presents uncertainties. Could I if I could just follow up. Technically, the sudden resignation was caused by events that were certainly not in the best interest of Hydro One. The process by which that took place, as Mr. Scarlett discussed, I think was the best process. But no, certainly the events leading up to that and the ultimate result were not in the best interest of the company. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. All right. I think that's all I have, Judge. Thank you very much, Mr. Woods. JUDGE MOSS: Recognizing that we must take what we read in the press with a certain degree of skepticism, I want to ask you about an article that I looked at. It was written by somebody named Rob Ferguson, Queen's Park Bureau of the Star in Canada. And the caption or the title of the article of Mr. Ferguson was "Hydro One given six months to trim
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of the press, that the \$6 million figure was deemed by executive compensation consultants to be somewhere right in the middle of the pack for privately run and best run utilities; is that your understanding? MR. WOODS: Probably middle of the pack. It's quite a wide range. I've reviewed some of the comparable companies, and there's a quite a wide range, but it would not have been out of the the range of reasonableness, that's correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And do you see any problems with a framework in Hydro One being significantly different than a framework in Avista? MR. WOODS: I think the two are quite separate, I think considerably different. I don't know that there will be problems. I think the two will be produced separately. As you know, the board of Avista has rights on compensation. They'll engage in a process, we'll have two of our executives as part of the nine-person board. Process for Hydro One will be just as I described. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Thank you. I mentioned before, and I know that you have an extensive background in business, I I you know, you worked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WOODS: No. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Do you believe that the sudden resignation of the board of directors and CEO was in the best interest of the proposed acquisitions of Avista? MR. WOODS: No, because it presents uncertainties. Could I if I could just follow up. Technically, the sudden resignation was caused by events that were certainly not in the best interest of Hydro One. The process by which that took place, as Mr. Scarlett discussed, I think was the best process. But no, certainly the events leading up to that and the ultimate result were not in the best interest of the company. CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. All right. I think that's all I have, Judge. JUDGE MOSS: Recognizing that we must take what we read in the press with a certain degree of skepticism, I want to ask you about an article that I looked at. It was written by somebody named Rob Ferguson, Queen's Park Bureau of the Star in Canada. And the caption or the title of the article of

28 (Pages 447 to 450)

	Page 451		Page 453
1	the board at Hydro One is set to clean house and cut pay	1	you see that?
2	in the executive suite following the July ouster of CEO	2	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
3	Mayo Schmidt, says Premier Doug Ford."	3	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Could
4	Has he ever said that to you?	4	you tell us where in your earlier testimony in this
5	MR. WOODS: No.	5	proceeding you explain clearly that the rate credits
6	JUDGE MOSS: Okay. Do you understand that	6	will be paid directly by Avista?
7	you have a six-month time frame here or some other time	7	MR. LOPEZ: Sorry, you mean earlier like in
8	frame in which this is all supposed to be set in motion?	8	
° 9	MR. WOODS: Yeah, the Bill 2, the Hydro	9	a preceding statement?
10		10	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: In this proceeding. Have you testified earlier in this proceeding that these
	Accountability Act refers to six months to come up with	11	
11 12	a compensation framework and, in fact, it's five months	12	rate credits will be paid directly by Avista? MR. LOPEZ: I think so. I need to look for
13	because that has to be posted, but to receive approval by the government, that's in respect of the	13	the exact reference.
		14	MS. THOMAS: We will look for references.
14	compensation, that's correct.		
15	JUDGE MOSS: So we've got August, September, October, we're nearly three months into that process.	15 16	We don't have them on hand.
16	MR. WOODS: Yes, our goal is to have that	1	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Are
17 18	-	17 18	you well, while you're looking, are you aware of any
19	done by the end of the calendar year. JUDGE MOSS: And then it's only at that	19	other Hydro One or Avista witnesses offering such
20	point in time that you'll actually be able to seriously	20	testimony? MR. LOPEZ: I'm not directly aware. I
20	go out and recruit for a new CEO; is that right?	20	believe I have offered the testimony, so we will find
22	MR. WOODS: No, and that it's it's an	21	that in terms of how it would be funded over time.
23	important point. Because we are actively in discussions	23	
23		23	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So is it your view that these rate credits can be paid by Avista without
24 25	with CEOs, we know we are going to have to come up with some guidance on that. So we will have to. We've not	24	independent determination by the Avista board of
20	Some guidance on that. So we will have to. We ve hot	20	independent determination by the Avista board of
	Page 452		Page 454
1	yet done that, had those preliminary discussions with	1	directors that the funds are available to be paid as
2	the government in advance of finalizing the entire	2	dividends and can be paid subject to the constraints on
3	framework.	3	dividend payments in the settlement?
4	JUDGE MOSS: Okay. Thank you very much. I	4	MR. LOPEZ: Can you repeat the question?
5	appreciate that clarification.	5	I'm just trying to follow.
6	MR. WOODS: Yep. Thank you.	6	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So in your view,
7	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Good	7	do is it your view that the rate credits can be paid
8	morning, Mr. Lopez. My questions will be mostly	8	by Avista without an independent determination by the
9	directed at you. So I'd like to follow up a little bit	9	Avista board?
10	on the questions that I asked Mr. Thies earlier this	10	MR. LOPEZ: The Avista board we we
11	morning regarding Avista's retained earnings. And do	11	couldn't direct the Avista to pay it out of funds from
12	you have your testimony in front of you, CFL-16, page	12	Avista. So the way I understand it here is the rate
13		13	credit is a requirement from Avista to pay to to
14	MR. LOPEZ: I do.	14	ratepayers. Ultimately, it will result in less funds
15	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. In this	15	being available to Hydro One as a shareholder. I think
16	testimony, you talk about the rate credit provided in	16	Mr. Thies testified this morning that it could come from
17	Stipulated Commitment No. 19, and that credit will flow	17	an equity injection or it come from retained earnings,
18	through to Avista customers in the bills?	18	which would have otherwise been available to the
19	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.	19	shareholders. Ultimately, both of them reduce funds
20	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And in that	20	available to Hydro One shareholders in the future, and
21	testimony a little bit later, you also refer to make	21	that's the intent.
22	a statement that although no funds will flow from Hydro	22	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So if Avista
23	One to Avista, Hydro One will bear the burden of these	23	if so is it also true, then, that if Avista has
24	rate credits as they will reduce the earnings.	24	retained earnings that would otherwise be available to
25	Potentially they were both Hydro One as dividends; do	25	Hydro One, that those retained earnings would be used by

29 (Pages 451 to 454)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

			Doco 457
	Page 455		Page 457
1	Avista to directly fund the other stipulated commitments	1	MR. LOPEZ: And just to follow up, it's
2	requiring a monetary contribution other than the rate	2	Commitment 75 where we talked about the source of funds
3	credit?	3	available to pay for those commitments.
4	MR. LOPEZ: That would be the case for both	4	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So my understanding
5	forms of funding. So, again, I'll I'll just	5	of Commitment 75 is that it is not specific in terms of
6	reiterate. It could be paid by an equity injection by	6	the source of funds. It just is a commitment that Hydro
7	Hydro One to Avista and Avista would then make the	7	One will ensure that there is funding available for the
8	payment to the the parties that are entitled to those	8	commitments; is that correct?
9	payments. And that would be an injection of equity,	9	MR. LOPEZ: Let me just read it. It talks
10	more funds, more cash available at Avista or	10	about to the extent to the extent Avista has retained
11	alternatively, it could be taken out of retained	11	earnings that are available for payment of dividends
12	earnings that would otherwise be available to Avista	12	particularly [inaudible] specific with ringfencing, such
13	share to Hydro One shareholders who don't pay the	13	retained earnings may be used. So we specifically
14	dividend.	14	called out they would be used for that purpose.
15	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So if Avista if	15	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Okay. Thank you.
16	Avista has sufficient retained earnings to make the	16	So I'd like to turn now in your testimony in
17	payments for these commitments, would it would you	17	CFL-16, page 2 of line 7 through 18, and your
18	then expect Hydro One to make an equity injection to pay	18	supplemental testimony, I'm reading a partial quote
19	for those?	19	here, (as read) confirms that Hydro One stands by the
20	MR. LOPEZ: I think it would depend on the	20	commitments in the settlement agreement. Do you see
21	circumstance at the time, and, again, in discussion with	21	that?
22	the Avista board, the Avista board will have to stick by	22	MR. LOPEZ: Please point me to the line.
23	the commitments and ensure this other commitment in here	23	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: It's confirm I'm
24	that talk about maintaining a common equity ratio of 44	24	sorry, it's page line I'm sorry, line 7 through 18,
25	percent, so have to stick by that. So I would expect	25	there you state during in that block of lines there
	Page 456		Page 458
_	_		
1	the Avista board to say no, we have to maintain the	1	that confirms that Hydro One stands by; do you see that?
2	strong balance sheet to be able to do that, therefore we	2	MR. LOPEZ: Yes, I do.
3	need an equity injection, whether that be for these	3	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: At the time you made
4	commitments or for further capital in the future.	4	this statement in your testimony on September 6th, 2018,
5	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as a	5	had the new board of directors been voted and confirmed
6	hypothetical, if the Avista board does make a	6	by resolution?
7	determination that sufficient retained earnings exist to	7	MR. LOPEZ: I can't recall a specific date.
8 9	pay the stipulated pay for the stipulated	9	MR. SCARLETT: The new board may I?
10	commitments, would Hydro One make an equity injection?	10	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Yes. MR. SCARLETT: The new board was installed
11	MR. LOPEZ: Again, I think it would depend	11	
12	on the circumstances at the time. Is do we pay	12	by resolution on August the 13th or 14th.
13	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So the answer to my	13	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. And have you provided a copy of the board's resolution to that
14	question, Mr. Lopez, is yes or no. Would if the Avista board determined that there is sufficient	14	effect?
15	retained earnings	15	MR. LOPEZ: I have not.
16	MR. LOPEZ: It would be an option available	16	MR. SCARLETT: I don't know.
17	to Avista to pay it out of retained earnings. If it	17	MS. THOMAS: If I may, Commissioner, the
18	wasn't there, we would do an equity injection and help	18	substance of re the substance of the resolution is
19	them with that.	19	in a response to a data request that has been included
20	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: But if the retained	20	in evidence as Exhibit JRW-7 from Public Counsel.
20	earnings are there, then you don't see the need for an	20	JUDGE MOSS: And that's dated
22	equity injection?	22	September 19th, 2018; is it not?
23	MR. LOPEZ: No.	23	MS. THOMAS: Yes, sir.
24	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank	24	JUDGE MOSS: Thank you.
	you.	25	CHAIRMAN DANNER: I'm sorry, Ms. Thomas,
25			

30 (Pages 455 to 458)

Docket No. U-170970 - Vol. VII - 10/23/2018

	Page 459		Page 461
1	what was the what was the number?	1	out where exactly in Bill 2, Schedule 1 that this
2	MS. THOMAS: I'm sorry, the date is	2	exception is stated?
3	October the date on the well, the date on the	3	MR. LOPEZ: Will do that momentarily. Okay.
4	exhibit is October 4.	4	I'm looking at Exhibit JDS-3.
5	CHAIRMAN DANNER: What was the number of the	5	MR. SCARLETT: The definition of subsidiary.
6	exhibit?	6	MR. LOPEZ: And the definition is page
7	MS. THOMAS: Exhibit JRW-7.	7	well, it says page 1 of 3 at the bottom, and the first
8	CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you.	8	section under definitions one, two, three, four, the
9	JUDGE MOSS: And I think the question was	9	fifth definition says, (as read) Subsidiary. Has the
10	whether the board had made its resolution to confirm its	10	same meaning as in the Business Corporations Act, but
11	commitment to the to the settlement stipulation,	11	does not include subsidiary incorporated in a
12	whether that had been done at the time of Mr. Lopez's	12	jurisdiction outside Canada.
13	testimony on September 6th. And I'm pointing out that	13	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank
14	the resolution itself I believe is dated September 19th,	14	you. So what is there to stop the provincial government
15	so the answer to that question is?	15	from amending this definition section to remove the
16	MR. LOPEZ: Is no, if that's the case, my	16	exclusion for subsidiaries outside of Canada?
17	interpretation. My understanding when I made this	17	MR. LOPEZ: I think Jamie Scarlett would be
18	statement is that the contract to and the commitment to	18	best able to answer that question.
19	stand by the stipulated commitments is one between the	19	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So, Mr. Scarlett,
20	company and Avista. And at that point, the prior board	20	what is is there anything that would stop the
21	had had had passed a resolution that said we would	21	provincial government from amending this definition?
22	stand by these commitments.	22	MR. SCARLETT: I don't believe so. They
23	JUDGE MOSS: The prior board.	23	could amend the definition, but it would be applied to
24	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Prior board	24	Hydro One. I mean, the Province of Ontario doesn't have
25	resolution, not the new board resolution?	25	jurisdiction in the state of Washington, so I don't
	Page 460		Page 462
1	MR. LOPEZ: Yeah, so specifically the new	1	think that they would have jurisdiction to pass
2	board, no, but why I could make this statement is that	2	legislation that tells Avista what to do. So they would
3	the company, the company I represent, the company I work	3	have to come up with some concoction that would apply to
4	for, is obligated to stand by these commitments.	4	Hydro One.
5	JUDGE MOSS: And so a related question, if	5	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: But you don't see
6	you know, has the provincial government stepped up and	6	anything that could stop the Province from trying?
7	said in any formal fashion that it stands by this	7	MR. SCARLETT: No. They could try. I have
8	agreement, that it supports this agreement?	8	a hard time thinking about how it could be effective in
9	MR. LOPEZ: I I'm not aware of any	9	any particular way.
10	statements.	10	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So what, if
11	MR. WOODS: I can confirm that they have	11 12	anything, could bar the provincial government from
12	not.	13	possessing legislation that would effectively alter,
13 14	JUDGE MOSS: They have not. Thank you. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Thank you. All	14	amend, or eliminate any of the commitments Hydro One is making in this settlement stipulation before us?
15	-	15	MR. SCARLETT: Those are commitments that
16	right. So now, Mr. Lopez, I want to turn to the	16	are made under the laws of the various states. We've
17	Hydro One Accountability Act that took effect and in	17	attorned to the jurisdiction of the states and to the
18	part it deals with the compensation framework for	18	venue of the states. So those are enforceable against
19	directors, the CEO, and executives of Hydro One and its	19	Hydro One, and I don't think the Province of Ontario can
20	subsidiaries. And you testify in CFL-16 on page 4,	20	pass legislation that says they're not enforceable.
21	lines 11 through 18 that there is an exception for	21	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So, Mr. Lopez, I
22	subsidiaries incorporated in jurisdictions outside of	22	want to talk about what how ratings agencies have
23	Canada.	23	reacted to the Province's removal of the previous board
24	MR. LOPEZ: Correct.	24	of directors and the CEO and the new legislation
25	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So could you point	25	affecting Hydro One. Are you aware of rating agencies

31 (Pages 459 to 462)

	Page 463		Page 465
1	commenting on the recent developments at the company?	1	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: But in the
2	MR. LOPEZ: I am.	2	likelihood that the industry would not be restructured,
3	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And do you agree	3	would this be materially credit negative for Hydro One?
4	with the statements made by, for example, Moody's in	4	MR. LOPEZ: Again, you're asking me to
5	their statement? Do you agree with Moody's statement	5	speculate as to how they would exactly achieve the 12
6	that's Hydro One's board and CEO were forced out?	6	percent reduction. During the election, the government,
7	MR. LOPEZ: The board resigned and the CEO	7	Rob Ford, indicated that he would use the Hydro One
8	retired, so I I can't comment on their state of mind	8	dividends, which would have no impact on Hydro One's
9	in their decision-making.	9	cash flow. But the dividend the government receives to
10	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: How would you how	10	reduce rates for ratepayers in Ontario, that would have
11	would you characterize it?	11	no impact on Hydro One whatsoever.
12	MR. LOPEZ: I believe they made a decision	12	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Are you ware of S&P
13	in the best interest of the company and ultimately in	13	Global Ratings research comment on Hydro One's credit?
14	the best interest of this transaction going forward.	14	MR. LOPEZ: I am.
15	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So you believe the	15	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Do you so does
16	resignation of the board and the CEO were in the best	16	this assessment from S&P Global Ratings concern you as
17	interest of the company and for this transaction?	17	an officer of Hydro One?
18	MR. LOPEZ: I think based on the	18	MR. LOPEZ: It does.
19	circumstances that were in place at the time, yes, faced	19	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: How so?
20	with the the alternative.	20	MR. LOPEZ: They're making they've made
21	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So do you agree,	21	the inference that and their rating that you're
22	then, that Ontario's willingness to force out the	22	talking about came out on September 13th. So let me
23	current board clearly demonstrates that Hydro One is not	23	give you a little bit of history there because there
24	immune to direct political influence?	24	were two two ratings actions that S&P took. They
25	MR. LOPEZ: That's correct.	25	took one when the transaction was announced back in July
	Dago 161		Dago 166
	Page 464		Page 466
1	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble	1	of last year. And what they did at that point was
2	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One?	2	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we
2 3	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some	2 3	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit
2 3 4	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that	2 3 4	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the
2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to	2 3 4 5	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade
2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I	2 3 4 5 6	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they
2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I think we're doing in this case in terms of the	2 3 4 5 6 7	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they considered specifically the impact of Bill 2. And Bill
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I think we're doing in this case in terms of the ringfencing provisions and so on. And we need to do the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they considered specifically the impact of Bill 2. And Bill 2 was namely around the compensation framework.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I think we're doing in this case in terms of the ringfencing provisions and so on. And we need to do the same thing in Canada for our existing customers and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they considered specifically the impact of Bill 2. And Bill 2 was namely around the compensation framework. And their view on that one was that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I think we're doing in this case in terms of the ringfencing provisions and so on. And we need to do the same thing in Canada for our existing customers and stakeholders there as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they considered specifically the impact of Bill 2. And Bill 2 was namely around the compensation framework. And their view on that one was that it that was a direct intervention into, in their words, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I think we're doing in this case in terms of the ringfencing provisions and so on. And we need to do the same thing in Canada for our existing customers and stakeholders there as well. MR. BALASBAS: So do you agree that if the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they considered specifically the impact of Bill 2. And Bill 2 was namely around the compensation framework. And their view on that one was that it that was a direct intervention into, in their words, the management and governance structure of Hydro One. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I think we're doing in this case in terms of the ringfencing provisions and so on. And we need to do the same thing in Canada for our existing customers and stakeholders there as well. MR. BALASBAS: So do you agree that if the Province follows through on its promise to reduce	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they considered specifically the impact of Bill 2. And Bill 2 was namely around the compensation framework. And their view on that one was that it that was a direct intervention into, in their words, the management and governance structure of Hydro One. And they downgraded Hydro One one notch at that point, left
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I think we're doing in this case in terms of the ringfencing provisions and so on. And we need to do the same thing in Canada for our existing customers and stakeholders there as well. MR. BALASBAS: So do you agree that if the Province follows through on its promise to reduce customer rates by 12 percent and that reduction reduces	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they considered specifically the impact of Bill 2. And Bill 2 was namely around the compensation framework. And their view on that one was that it that was a direct intervention into, in their words, the management and governance structure of Hydro One. And they downgraded Hydro One one notch at that point, left us on credit watch negative in relation to the initial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I think we're doing in this case in terms of the ringfencing provisions and so on. And we need to do the same thing in Canada for our existing customers and stakeholders there as well. MR. BALASBAS: So do you agree that if the Province follows through on its promise to reduce customer rates by 12 percent and that reduction reduces Hydro One's revenue and cash flow, that this would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they considered specifically the impact of Bill 2. And Bill 2 was namely around the compensation framework. And their view on that one was that it that was a direct intervention into, in their words, the management and governance structure of Hydro One. And they downgraded Hydro One one notch at that point, left us on credit watch negative in relation to the initial assessment, but they downgraded us one notch as a result
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I think we're doing in this case in terms of the ringfencing provisions and so on. And we need to do the same thing in Canada for our existing customers and stakeholders there as well. MR. BALASBAS: So do you agree that if the Province follows through on its promise to reduce customer rates by 12 percent and that reduction reduces Hydro One's revenue and cash flow, that this would be materially credit negative to Hydro One?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they considered specifically the impact of Bill 2. And Bill 2 was namely around the compensation framework. And their view on that one was that it that was a direct intervention into, in their words, the management and governance structure of Hydro One. And they downgraded Hydro One one notch at that point, left us on credit watch negative in relation to the initial assessment, but they downgraded us one notch as a result of their review, which suggested that the governance and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I think we're doing in this case in terms of the ringfencing provisions and so on. And we need to do the same thing in Canada for our existing customers and stakeholders there as well. MR. BALASBAS: So do you agree that if the Province follows through on its promise to reduce customer rates by 12 percent and that reduction reduces Hydro One's revenue and cash flow, that this would be materially credit negative to Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it will the report	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they considered specifically the impact of Bill 2. And Bill 2 was namely around the compensation framework. And their view on that one was that it that was a direct intervention into, in their words, the management and governance structure of Hydro One. And they downgraded Hydro One one notch at that point, left us on credit watch negative in relation to the initial assessment, but they downgraded us one notch as a result of their review, which suggested that the governance and management has gone from satisfactory to failed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I think we're doing in this case in terms of the ringfencing provisions and so on. And we need to do the same thing in Canada for our existing customers and stakeholders there as well. MR. BALASBAS: So do you agree that if the Province follows through on its promise to reduce customer rates by 12 percent and that reduction reduces Hydro One's revenue and cash flow, that this would be materially credit negative to Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it will the report you're referring to with Moody that talks if they shift	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they considered specifically the impact of Bill 2. And Bill 2 was namely around the compensation framework. And their view on that one was that it that was a direct intervention into, in their words, the management and governance structure of Hydro One. And they downgraded Hydro One one notch at that point, left us on credit watch negative in relation to the initial assessment, but they downgraded us one notch as a result of their review, which suggested that the governance and management has gone from satisfactory to failed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I think we're doing in this case in terms of the ringfencing provisions and so on. And we need to do the same thing in Canada for our existing customers and stakeholders there as well. MR. BALASBAS: So do you agree that if the Province follows through on its promise to reduce customer rates by 12 percent and that reduction reduces Hydro One's revenue and cash flow, that this would be materially credit negative to Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it will the report you're referring to with Moody that talks if they shift the cost of doing that directly to a shareholder. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they considered specifically the impact of Bill 2. And Bill 2 was namely around the compensation framework. And their view on that one was that it that was a direct intervention into, in their words, the management and governance structure of Hydro One. And they downgraded Hydro One one notch at that point, left us on credit watch negative in relation to the initial assessment, but they downgraded us one notch as a result of their review, which suggested that the governance and management has gone from satisfactory to failed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as a hypothetical, if the Province takes any further
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I think we're doing in this case in terms of the ringfencing provisions and so on. And we need to do the same thing in Canada for our existing customers and stakeholders there as well. MR. BALASBAS: So do you agree that if the Province follows through on its promise to reduce customer rates by 12 percent and that reduction reduces Hydro One's revenue and cash flow, that this would be materially credit negative to Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it will the report you're referring to with Moody that talks if they shift the cost of doing that directly to a shareholder. And so if they did that, that would be true. There would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they considered specifically the impact of Bill 2. And Bill 2 was namely around the compensation framework. And their view on that one was that it that was a direct intervention into, in their words, the management and governance structure of Hydro One. And they downgraded Hydro One one notch at that point, left us on credit watch negative in relation to the initial assessment, but they downgraded us one notch as a result of their review, which suggested that the governance and management has gone from satisfactory to failed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as a hypothetical, if the Province takes any further legislative action that impacts Hydro One, would you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I think we're doing in this case in terms of the ringfencing provisions and so on. And we need to do the same thing in Canada for our existing customers and stakeholders there as well. MR. BALASBAS: So do you agree that if the Province follows through on its promise to reduce customer rates by 12 percent and that reduction reduces Hydro One's revenue and cash flow, that this would be materially credit negative to Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it will the report you're referring to with Moody that talks if they shift the cost of doing that directly to a shareholder. And so if they did that, that would be true. There would be less cash available to the shareholder to support	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they considered specifically the impact of Bill 2. And Bill 2 was namely around the compensation framework. And their view on that one was that it that was a direct intervention into, in their words, the management and governance structure of Hydro One. And they downgraded Hydro One one notch at that point, left us on credit watch negative in relation to the initial assessment, but they downgraded us one notch as a result of their review, which suggested that the governance and management has gone from satisfactory to failed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as a hypothetical, if the Province takes any further legislative action that impacts Hydro One, would you expect these ratings one or more of these rating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I think we're doing in this case in terms of the ringfencing provisions and so on. And we need to do the same thing in Canada for our existing customers and stakeholders there as well. MR. BALASBAS: So do you agree that if the Province follows through on its promise to reduce customer rates by 12 percent and that reduction reduces Hydro One's revenue and cash flow, that this would be materially credit negative to Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it will the report you're referring to with Moody that talks if they shift the cost of doing that directly to a shareholder. And so if they did that, that would be true. There would be less cash available to the shareholder to support repayments of debt and interest payments. If it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they considered specifically the impact of Bill 2. And Bill 2 was namely around the compensation framework. And their view on that one was that it that was a direct intervention into, in their words, the management and governance structure of Hydro One. And they downgraded Hydro One one notch at that point, left us on credit watch negative in relation to the initial assessment, but they downgraded us one notch as a result of their review, which suggested that the governance and management has gone from satisfactory to failed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as a hypothetical, if the Province takes any further legislative action that impacts Hydro One, would you expect these ratings one or more of these rating agencies to further downgrade Hydro One's credit?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I think we're doing in this case in terms of the ringfencing provisions and so on. And we need to do the same thing in Canada for our existing customers and stakeholders there as well. MR. BALASBAS: So do you agree that if the Province follows through on its promise to reduce customer rates by 12 percent and that reduction reduces Hydro One's revenue and cash flow, that this would be materially credit negative to Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it will the report you're referring to with Moody that talks if they shift the cost of doing that directly to a shareholder. And so if they did that, that would be true. There would be less cash available to the shareholder to support repayments of debt and interest payments. If it was done in a constructive manner through restructuring the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they considered specifically the impact of Bill 2. And Bill 2 was namely around the compensation framework. And their view on that one was that it that was a direct intervention into, in their words, the management and governance structure of Hydro One. And they downgraded Hydro One one notch at that point, left us on credit watch negative in relation to the initial assessment, but they downgraded us one notch as a result of their review, which suggested that the governance and management has gone from satisfactory to failed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as a hypothetical, if the Province takes any further legislative action that impacts Hydro One, would you expect these ratings one or more of these rating agencies to further downgrade Hydro One's credit? MR. LOPEZ: Again, I'm speculating. 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I think we're doing in this case in terms of the ringfencing provisions and so on. And we need to do the same thing in Canada for our existing customers and stakeholders there as well. MR. BALASBAS: So do you agree that if the Province follows through on its promise to reduce customer rates by 12 percent and that reduction reduces Hydro One's revenue and cash flow, that this would be materially credit negative to Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it will the report you're referring to with Moody that talks if they shift the cost of doing that directly to a shareholder. And so if they did that, that would be true. There would be less cash available to the shareholder to support repayments of debt and interest payments. If it was done in a constructive manner through restructuring the industry and looking for ways to reduce costs over the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they considered specifically the impact of Bill 2. And Bill 2 was namely around the compensation framework. And their view on that one was that it that was a direct intervention into, in their words, the management and governance structure of Hydro One. And they downgraded Hydro One one notch at that point, left us on credit watch negative in relation to the initial assessment, but they downgraded us one notch as a result of their review, which suggested that the governance and management has gone from satisfactory to failed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as a hypothetical, if the Province takes any further legislative action that impacts Hydro One, would you expect these ratings one or more of these rating agencies to further downgrade Hydro One's credit? MR. LOPEZ: Again, I'm speculating. I believe S&P has taken the action that they've taken and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I think we're doing in this case in terms of the ringfencing provisions and so on. And we need to do the same thing in Canada for our existing customers and stakeholders there as well. MR. BALASBAS: So do you agree that if the Province follows through on its promise to reduce customer rates by 12 percent and that reduction reduces Hydro One's revenue and cash flow, that this would be materially credit negative to Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it will the report you're referring to with Moody that talks if they shift the cost of doing that directly to a shareholder. And so if they did that, that would be true. There would be less cash available to the shareholder to support repayments of debt and interest payments. If it was done in a constructive manner through restructuring the industry and looking for ways to reduce costs over the long-term, then it may not be, have an impact on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they considered specifically the impact of Bill 2. And Bill 2 was namely around the compensation framework. And their view on that one was that it that was a direct intervention into, in their words, the management and governance structure of Hydro One. And they downgraded Hydro One one notch at that point, left us on credit watch negative in relation to the initial assessment, but they downgraded us one notch as a result of their review, which suggested that the governance and management has gone from satisfactory to failed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as a hypothetical, if the Province takes any further legislative action that impacts Hydro One, would you expect these ratings one or more of these rating agencies to further downgrade Hydro One's credit? MR. LOPEZ: Again, I'm speculating. I believe S&P has taken the action that they've taken and that reflects the government's ability to do that and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So does this trouble you as an officer of Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it creates some circumstances that we must be mindful of and ensure that we we plan and prepare in the best way possible to meet the best interest of all stakeholders, which I think we're doing in this case in terms of the ringfencing provisions and so on. And we need to do the same thing in Canada for our existing customers and stakeholders there as well. MR. BALASBAS: So do you agree that if the Province follows through on its promise to reduce customer rates by 12 percent and that reduction reduces Hydro One's revenue and cash flow, that this would be materially credit negative to Hydro One? MR. LOPEZ: I think it will the report you're referring to with Moody that talks if they shift the cost of doing that directly to a shareholder. And so if they did that, that would be true. There would be less cash available to the shareholder to support repayments of debt and interest payments. If it was done in a constructive manner through restructuring the industry and looking for ways to reduce costs over the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of last year. And what they did at that point was say they said when you borrow money to buy Avista, we will put you on put you on credit negative, credit watch negative, to say that when you do increase the debt, there will be a downgrade, a likely downgrade action. And then come September 13, they said they considered specifically the impact of Bill 2. And Bill 2 was namely around the compensation framework. And their view on that one was that it that was a direct intervention into, in their words, the management and governance structure of Hydro One. And they downgraded Hydro One one notch at that point, left us on credit watch negative in relation to the initial assessment, but they downgraded us one notch as a result of their review, which suggested that the governance and management has gone from satisfactory to failed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So as a hypothetical, if the Province takes any further legislative action that impacts Hydro One, would you expect these ratings one or more of these rating agencies to further downgrade Hydro One's credit? MR. LOPEZ: Again, I'm speculating. I believe S&P has taken the action that they've taken and

32 (Pages 463 to 466)

	Page 467	Page 469
1	personally wouldn't expect them to do that. I think,	1 shareholder funds.
2	you know, what you could see here, is that potentially	2 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And from what's
3	they could reevaluate if the government took no further	3 so from what sources of shareholder funds?
4	action, say, for a period of time. So I think that's	4 MR. LOPEZ: It will either be from equity
4 5	that's the the the intent there.	
6	One thing I would point to is that although	
7	they downgraded Hydro One or Hydro One Limited, they did	7 Olympus, or it could be from retained earnings which
8	not downgrade positive effect of Hydro One acquiring	8 means that there will be a lower dividend paid or less
9	Avista. Hydro One or Avista was when Hydro One announced the acquisition, was upgraded to credit watch	9 amounts dividends paid upwards by that time.
10		10 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Thank you. I don't
11	positive and they still maintain that. So regardless of	11 believe I have any additional questions. 12 CHAIRMAN DANNER: So I just have just one
12	the downgrade to Hydro One, as it stands today, Avista	
13	would be benefit hop up from a one notch upgrade upon	· ·
14	the close of the deal. And that ultimately means lower	14 You mentioned Rob Ford, you meant Doug Ford?
15	costs, lower interest costs.	15 MR. LOPEZ: Sorry, yeah. We had it's
16	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So would you agree	16 been going on for a while, and I did not meet Doug Ford
17	that the provincial government has achieved some of its	17 or Rob Ford personally so
18	political goals in respect to Hydro One, would you agree	18 CHAIRMAN DANNER: But I also just wanted to
19	that its actions caused a precipitous drop in the value	19 note, when you talked about July being bad for the
20	of Hydro One stock?	20 utility industry generally, but Hydro One's stock price
21	MR. LOPEZ: I don't know what the	21 went down 4.5 percent in the 24 hours after the
22	government's ultimate actions are, but they made some	22 announcement of the change on July 11th. So would you
23 24	statements during the election and I believe they've achieved those. I believe there was an impact on the	23attribute that drop to the to the events of the 11th?24MR. LOPEZ: You could. The the challenge
24	stock price over July, and I think I have that in my	25 with that is as as we all know, that it's very unwise
23	Stock price over only, and runnik mave that in my	
	Page 468	Page 470
1		
1 2	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6	1 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk
1 2 3	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July.	 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk fashion. So I think what you could it's better to
2	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went	 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk fashion. So I think what you could it's better to look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.5
2 3	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated	 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk fashion. So I think what you could it's better to look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.5 percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro
2 3 4	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went	 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk fashion. So I think what you could it's better to look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.5 percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So
2 3 4 5	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated utilities, across North America. So a part of that was	 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk fashion. So I think what you could it's better to look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.5 percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So
2 3 4 5 6	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated utilities, across North America. So a part of that was due to other reasons, but the government, you know	 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk fashion. So I think what you could it's better to look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.5 percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So it's a tough tough thing to say is a reaction to an
2 3 4 5 6 7	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated utilities, across North America. So a part of that was due to other reasons, but the government, you know you could infer from that that there was an impact from	 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk fashion. So I think what you could it's better to look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.5 percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So it's a tough tough thing to say is a reaction to an event and that is the ultimate impact on the stock
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated utilities, across North America. So a part of that was due to other reasons, but the government, you know you could infer from that that there was an impact from that decision. There could be other things that	 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk fashion. So I think what you could it's better to look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.5 percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So it's a tough tough thing to say is a reaction to an event and that is the ultimate impact on the stock price. It needs to be looked at over time.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated utilities, across North America. So a part of that was due to other reasons, but the government, you know you could infer from that that there was an impact from that decision. There could be other things that accounted for July's movement.	 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk fashion. So I think what you could it's better to look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.5 percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So it's a tough tough thing to say is a reaction to an event and that is the ultimate impact on the stock price. It needs to be looked at over time. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So you would
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated utilities, across North America. So a part of that was due to other reasons, but the government, you know you could infer from that that there was an impact from that decision. There could be other things that accounted for July's movement. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So would you so what is your assessment, then, overall of S&P Global Ratings and Moody's statements about Hydro One's	 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk fashion. So I think what you could it's better to look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.5 percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So it's a tough tough thing to say is a reaction to an event and that is the ultimate impact on the stock price. It needs to be looked at over time. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So you would question the causation of this drop in stock?
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated utilities, across North America. So a part of that was due to other reasons, but the government, you know you could infer from that that there was an impact from that decision. There could be other things that accounted for July's movement. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So would you so what is your assessment, then, overall of S&P Global Ratings and Moody's statements about Hydro One's creditworthiness? Do you think that they got they	 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk fashion. So I think what you could it's better to look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.5 percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So it's a tough tough thing to say is a reaction to an event and that is the ultimate impact on the stock price. It needs to be looked at over time. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So you would question the causation of this drop in stock? MR. LOPEZ: I I I don't question the causation. What I suggest there is that there was a reaction on the day, there was 4.5 percent. I think in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated utilities, across North America. So a part of that was due to other reasons, but the government, you know you could infer from that that there was an impact from that decision. There could be other things that accounted for July's movement. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So would you so what is your assessment, then, overall of S&P Global Ratings and Moody's statements about Hydro One's	 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk fashion. So I think what you could it's better to look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.5 percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So it's a tough tough thing to say is a reaction to an event and that is the ultimate impact on the stock price. It needs to be looked at over time. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So you would question the causation of this drop in stock? MR. LOPEZ: I I I don't question the causation. What I suggest there is that there was a reaction on the day, there was 4.5 percent. I think in the cold light of day when people have a chance to look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated utilities, across North America. So a part of that was due to other reasons, but the government, you know you could infer from that that there was an impact from that decision. There could be other things that accounted for July's movement. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So would you so what is your assessment, then, overall of S&P Global Ratings and Moody's statements about Hydro One's creditworthiness? Do you think that they got they got this about right? MR. LOPEZ: I think they've made a statement	 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk fashion. So I think what you could it's better to look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.5 percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So it's a tough tough thing to say is a reaction to an event and that is the ultimate impact on the stock price. It needs to be looked at over time. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So you would question the causation of this drop in stock? MR. LOPEZ: 1 1 1 don't question the causation. What I suggest there is that there was a reaction on the day, there was 4.5 percent. I think in the cold light of day when people have a chance to look at what it really means long-term, you take into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated utilities, across North America. So a part of that was due to other reasons, but the government, you know you could infer from that that there was an impact from that decision. There could be other things that accounted for July's movement. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So would you so what is your assessment, then, overall of S&P Global Ratings and Moody's statements about Hydro One's creditworthiness? Do you think that they got they got this about right? MR. LOPEZ: I think they've made a statement in the best interest of debtholders is the answer, and	 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk fashion. So I think what you could it's better to look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.5 percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So it's a tough tough thing to say is a reaction to an event and that is the ultimate impact on the stock price. It needs to be looked at over time. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So you would question the causation of this drop in stock? MR. LOPEZ: 1 1 1 don't question the causation. What I suggest there is that there was a reaction on the day, there was 4.5 percent. I think in the cold light of day when people have a chance to look at what it really means long-term, you take into account, you know, some debtholders' views and so on,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated utilities, across North America. So a part of that was due to other reasons, but the government, you know you could infer from that that there was an impact from that decision. There could be other things that accounted for July's movement. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So would you so what is your assessment, then, overall of S&P Global Ratings and Moody's statements about Hydro One's creditworthiness? Do you think that they got they got this about right? MR. LOPEZ: I think they've made a statement in the best interest of debtholders is the answer, and they've made their intentions fairly clear that, you	 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk fashion. So I think what you could it's better to look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.5 percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So it's a tough tough thing to say is a reaction to an event and that is the ultimate impact on the stock price. It needs to be looked at over time. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So you would question the causation of this drop in stock? MR. LOPEZ: I I I don't question the causation. What I suggest there is that there was a reaction on the day, there was 4.5 percent. I think in the cold light of day when people have a chance to look at what it really means long-term, you take into account, you know, some debtholders' views and so on, that ultimate difference between Hydro One and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated utilities, across North America. So a part of that was due to other reasons, but the government, you know you could infer from that that there was an impact from that decision. There could be other things that accounted for July's movement. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So would you so what is your assessment, then, overall of S&P Global Ratings and Moody's statements about Hydro One's creditworthiness? Do you think that they got they got this about right? MR. LOPEZ: I think they've made a statement in the best interest of debtholders is the answer, and they've made their intentions fairly clear that, you know, these actions are not favorable for debtholders.	 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk fashion. So I think what you could it's better to look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.5 percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So it's a tough tough thing to say is a reaction to an event and that is the ultimate impact on the stock price. It needs to be looked at over time. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So you would question the causation of this drop in stock? MR. LOPEZ: I I I don't question the causation. What I suggest there is that there was a reaction on the day, there was 4.5 percent. I think in the cold light of day when people have a chance to look at what it really means long-term, you take into account, you know, some debtholders' views and so on, that ultimate difference between Hydro One and the market over the month of July was 2 percent.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated utilities, across North America. So a part of that was due to other reasons, but the government, you know you could infer from that that there was an impact from that decision. There could be other things that accounted for July's movement. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So would you so what is your assessment, then, overall of S&P Global Ratings and Moody's statements about Hydro One's creditworthiness? Do you think that they got they got this about right? MR. LOPEZ: I think they've made a statement in the best interest of debtholders is the answer, and they've made their intentions fairly clear that, you know, these actions are not favorable for debtholders. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Now, returning to	 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk fashion. So I think what you could it's better to look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.5 percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So it's a tough tough thing to say is a reaction to an event and that is the ultimate impact on the stock price. It needs to be looked at over time. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So you would question the causation of this drop in stock? MR. LOPEZ: 1 1 1 don't question the causation. What I suggest there is that there was a reaction on the day, there was 4.5 percent. I think in the cold light of day when people have a chance to look at what it really means long-term, you take into account, you know, some debtholders' views and so on, that ultimate difference between Hydro One and the market over the month of July was 2 percent. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated utilities, across North America. So a part of that was due to other reasons, but the government, you know you could infer from that that there was an impact from that decision. There could be other things that accounted for July's movement. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So would you so what is your assessment, then, overall of S&P Global Ratings and Moody's statements about Hydro One's creditworthiness? Do you think that they got they got this about right? MR. LOPEZ: I think they've made a statement in the best interest of debtholders is the answer, and they've made their intentions fairly clear that, you know, these actions are not favorable for debtholders. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Now, returning to funding of the stipulating commitments, what actual	1 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk 2 fashion. So I think what you could it's better to 3 look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.5 4 percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro 5 One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So 6 it's a tough tough thing to say is a reaction to an 7 event and that is the ultimate impact on the stock 8 price. It needs to be looked at over time. 9 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So you would 10 question the causation of this drop in stock? 11 MR. LOPEZ: I I I don't question 12 the causation. What I suggest there is that there was a 13 reaction on the day, there was 4.5 percent. I think in 14 the cold light of day when people have a chance to look 15 at what it really means long-term, you take into 16 account, you know, some debtholders' views and so on, 17 that ultimate difference between Hydro One and the 18 market over the month of July was 2 percent. 19 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you. 20 JUDGE MOSS: Why don't we take our noon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated utilities, across North America. So a part of that was due to other reasons, but the government, you know you could infer from that that there was an impact from that decision. There could be other things that accounted for July's movement. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So would you so what is your assessment, then, overall of S&P Global Ratings and Moody's statements about Hydro One's creditworthiness? Do you think that they got they got this about right? MR. LOPEZ: I think they've made a statement in the best interest of debtholders is the answer, and they've made their intentions fairly clear that, you know, these actions are not favorable for debtholders. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Now, returning to funding of the stipulating commitments, what actual consideration does Hydro One or is Hydro One putting	1to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk2fashion. So I think what you could it's better to3look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.54percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro5One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So6it's a tough tough thing to say is a reaction to an7event and that is the ultimate impact on the stock8price. It needs to be looked at over time.9CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So you would10question the causation of this drop in stock?11MR. LOPEZ: I I I don't question12the causation. What I suggest there is that there was a13reaction on the day, there was 4.5 percent. I think in14the cold light of day when people have a chance to look15at what it really means long-term, you take into16account, you know, some debtholders' views and so on,17that ultimate difference between Hydro One and the18market over the month of July was 2 percent.19CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you.20JUDGE MOSS: Why don't we take our noon21recess, and we will take an hour for hunch, so come back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated utilities, across North America. So a part of that was due to other reasons, but the government, you know you could infer from that that there was an impact from that decision. There could be other things that accounted for July's movement. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So would you so what is your assessment, then, overall of S&P Global Ratings and Moody's statements about Hydro One's creditworthiness? Do you think that they got they got this about right? MR. LOPEZ: I think they've made a statement in the best interest of debtholders is the answer, and they've made their intentions fairly clear that, you know, these actions are not favorable for debtholders. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Now, returning to funding of the stipulating commitments, what actual consideration does Hydro One or is Hydro One putting in to fund the stipulated commitments in the settlement	1 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk 2 fashion. So I think what you could it's better to 3 look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.5 4 percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro 5 One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So 6 it's a tough tough thing to say is a reaction to an 7 event and that is the ultimate impact on the stock 8 price. It needs to be looked at over time. 9 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So you would 10 question the causation of this drop in stock? 11 MR. LOPEZ: 1 1 1 don't question 12 the causation. What I suggest there is that there was a 13 reaction on the day, there was 4.5 percent. I think in 14 the cold light of day when people have a chance to look 15 at what it really means long-term, you take into 16 account, you know, some debtholders' views and so on, 17 that ultimate difference between Hydro One and the 18 market over the month of July was 2 percent. 19 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you. 20 JUDGE MOSS: Why don't we take our noon 21 <td< td=""></td<>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated utilities, across North America. So a part of that was due to other reasons, but the government, you know you could infer from that that there was an impact from that decision. There could be other things that accounted for July's movement. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So would you so what is your assessment, then, overall of S&P Global Ratings and Moody's statements about Hydro One's creditworthiness? Do you think that they got they got this about right? MR. LOPEZ: I think they've made a statement in the best interest of debtholders is the answer, and they've made their intentions fairly clear that, you know, these actions are not favorable for debtholders. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Now, returning to funding of the stipulating commitments, what actual consideration does Hydro One or is Hydro One putting in to fund the stipulated commitments in the settlement stipulation?	1to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk2fashion. So I think what you could it's better to3look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.54percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro5One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So6it's a tough tough thing to say is a reaction to an7event and that is the ultimate impact on the stock8price. It needs to be looked at over time.9CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So you would10question the causation of this drop in stock?11MR. LOPEZ: 1 1 1 don't question12the causation. What I suggest there is that there was a13reaction on the day, there was 4.5 percent. I think in14the cold light of day when people have a chance to look15at what it really means long-term, you take into16account, you know, some debtholders' views and so on,17that ultimate difference between Hydro One and the18market over the month of July was 2 percent.19JUDGE MOSS: Why don't we take our noon20recess, and we will take an hour for hunch, so come back21here about 1:00 or a few minutes after and we'll pick up23there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated utilities, across North America. So a part of that was due to other reasons, but the government, you know you could infer from that that there was an impact from that decision. There could be other things that accounted for July's movement. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So would you so what is your assessment, then, overall of S&P Global Ratings and Moody's statements about Hydro One's creditworthiness? Do you think that they got they got this about right? MR. LOPEZ: I think they've made a statement in the best interest of debtholders is the answer, and they've made their intentions fairly clear that, you know, these actions are not favorable for debtholders. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Now, returning to funding of the stipulating commitments, what actual consideration does Hydro One or is Hydro One putting in to fund the stipulated commitments in the settlement stipulation? MR. LOPEZ: Hydro One is making a commitment	1 to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk 2 fashion. So I think what you could it's better to 3 look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.5 4 percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro 5 One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So 6 it's a tough tough thing to say is a reaction to an 7 event and that is the ultimate impact on the stock 8 price. It needs to be looked at over time. 9 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So you would 10 question the causation of this drop in stock? 11 MR. LOPEZ: 111 don't question 12 the causation. What I suggest there is that there was a 13 reaction on the day, there was 4.5 percent. I think in 14 the cold light of day when people have a chance to look 15 at what it really means long-term, you take into 16 account, you know, some debtholders' views and so on, 17 that ultimate difference between Hydro One and the 18 market over the month of July was 2 percent. 19 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you. 20 JUDGE MOSS: Why don't we take our noon 21 re
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	testimony. This is not new. The stock went down 6 percent in the month of July. I would point out that the industry went down 3.8 percent in the month of July, regulated utilities, across North America. So a part of that was due to other reasons, but the government, you know you could infer from that that there was an impact from that decision. There could be other things that accounted for July's movement. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So would you so what is your assessment, then, overall of S&P Global Ratings and Moody's statements about Hydro One's creditworthiness? Do you think that they got they got this about right? MR. LOPEZ: I think they've made a statement in the best interest of debtholders is the answer, and they've made their intentions fairly clear that, you know, these actions are not favorable for debtholders. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Now, returning to funding of the stipulating commitments, what actual consideration does Hydro One or is Hydro One putting in to fund the stipulated commitments in the settlement stipulation?	1to make decisions on stockholding as in a knee jerk2fashion. So I think what you could it's better to3look it over a longer period of time. So you saw 4.54percent in one day, but by the end of the month, Hydro5One was lower than other utilities by 2 percent. So6it's a tough tough thing to say is a reaction to an7event and that is the ultimate impact on the stock8price. It needs to be looked at over time.9CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So you would10question the causation of this drop in stock?11MR. LOPEZ: 1 1 1 don't question12the causation. What I suggest there is that there was a13reaction on the day, there was 4.5 percent. I think in14the cold light of day when people have a chance to look15at what it really means long-term, you take into16account, you know, some debtholders' views and so on,17that ultimate difference between Hydro One and the18market over the month of July was 2 percent.19JUDGE MOSS: Why don't we take our noon20recess, and we will take an hour for hunch, so come back21here about 1:00 or a few minutes after and we'll pick up23there.

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 471		Page 473
1	agree with the transition still, they were excused.	1	agreement?
2	Ms. Gerlitz on behalf of NWEC and all filed a brief	2	MR. DOBSON: Never had that conversation,
3	one-page testimony with the same effect, if there's no		no.
4	questions for her or anticipate none, we'd like to have	4	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Okay. So what would
5	her excused if that's possible.		be your personal opinion would you believe this to be
6	JUDGE MOSS: She can be excused.		the case?
7	MR. GOLTZ: Okay. Thank you very much.	7	MR. DOBSON: I'm sorry, could you re
8	MS. THOMAS: Your Honor, on a particular	8	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: What is your you
9	matter, I believe Mr. Woods needs to leave no later		answered you did not have conversation with counsel on
10	than		this question, but I'm asking, what is your personal
11	Oh, you're okay?		opinion?
12	MR. WOODS: 2:30.	12	MR. DOBSON: My personal opinion sorry,
13	MS. THOMAS: So we just wanted to make sure.	13	I'm still unsure of what you're asking me.
14	JUDGE MOSS: I think we'll have time.	14	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Well, your personal
15	MS. THOMAS: Thank you.	15	opinion of whether you believe the legislative grant of
16	JUDGE MOSS: I think in terms of the third		immunity from civil liability would prevent Avista from
17	panel, which is the intervenor witnesses, in light of		enforcing the penalties under the termination of the
18	what Mr. Goltz was just raising with me, we will excuse		agreement.
19	everyone who wishes to be excused other than	19	MR. DOBSON: Yeah, I'm not I'm sorry, I'm
20	Mr. McGuire. We'll have some questions for him, but the	20	not a lawyer or legal or well-versed in such matters,
21	other intervenor witnesses we will not have questions	21	and I've never had a discussion about that with legal
22	for. Okay. We're in recess.	22	counsel either in terms of the company or external, so I
23	(Lunch break taken from.	23	really don't have an opinion.
24	12:05 p.m. until 1:07 p.m.)	24	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. So
25	JUDGE MOSS: Let's be back on the record.	25	turning to the Hydro One Accountability Act, Bill 2,
	Page 472		Page 474
1	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief	1	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the
1 2	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up	1 2	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of
	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the	2 3	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director
2 3 4	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and	2 3 4	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive
2 3 4 5	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you,	2 3 4 5	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation
2 3 4 5 6	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you, Commissioners, to proceed.	2 3 4 5 6	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation restrictions imposed by the Province?
2 3 4 5 6 7	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you, Commissioners, to proceed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Good	2 3 4 5 6 7	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation restrictions imposed by the Province? MR. DOBSON: At the moment, I do not,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you, Commissioners, to proceed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Dobson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation restrictions imposed by the Province? MR. DOBSON: At the moment, I do not, because I don't believe I believe that the changes to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you, Commissioners, to proceed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Dobson. MR. DOBSON: Good afternoon.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation restrictions imposed by the Province? MR. DOBSON: At the moment, I do not, because I don't believe I believe that the changes to executive compensation are going to affect first and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you, Commissioners, to proceed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Dobson. MR. DOBSON: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Have you discussed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation restrictions imposed by the Province? MR. DOBSON: At the moment, I do not, because I don't believe I believe that the changes to executive compensation are going to affect first and foremost the CEO, and whoever that person turns out to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you, Commissioners, to proceed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Dobson. MR. DOBSON: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Have you discussed with counsel that if Hydro One somehow indicated that it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation restrictions imposed by the Province? MR. DOBSON: At the moment, I do not, because I don't believe I believe that the changes to executive compensation are going to affect first and foremost the CEO, and whoever that person turns out to be will agree on the terms and conditions including
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you, Commissioners, to proceed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Dobson. MR. DOBSON: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Have you discussed with counsel that if Hydro One somehow indicated that it does not remain committed to the transaction, would this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation restrictions imposed by the Province? MR. DOBSON: At the moment, I do not, because I don't believe I believe that the changes to executive compensation are going to affect first and foremost the CEO, and whoever that person turns out to be will agree on the terms and conditions including compensation that are offered. The extent to which the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you, Commissioners, to proceed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Dobson. MR. DOBSON: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Have you discussed with counsel that if Hydro One somehow indicated that it does not remain committed to the transaction, would this potentially open Hydro One to the up to \$103 million	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation restrictions imposed by the Province? MR. DOBSON: At the moment, I do not, because I don't believe I believe that the changes to executive compensation are going to affect first and foremost the CEO, and whoever that person turns out to be will agree on the terms and conditions including compensation that are offered. The extent to which the compensation extends down beyond the CEO into other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you, Commissioners, to proceed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Dobson. MR. DOBSON: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Have you discussed with counsel that if Hydro One somehow indicated that it does not remain committed to the transaction, would this potentially open Hydro One to the up to \$103 million penalty under Section 7.3 of the merger agreement or at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation restrictions imposed by the Province? MR. DOBSON: At the moment, I do not, because I don't believe I believe that the changes to executive compensation are going to affect first and foremost the CEO, and whoever that person turns out to be will agree on the terms and conditions including compensation that are offered. The extent to which the compensation extends down beyond the CEO into other parts of the organization still remains to be remains
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you, Commissioners, to proceed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Dobson. MR. DOBSON: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Have you discussed with counsel that if Hydro One somehow indicated that it does not remain committed to the transaction, would this potentially open Hydro One to the up to \$103 million penalty under Section 7.3 of the merger agreement or at least support a claim for this fee by Avista?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation restrictions imposed by the Province? MR. DOBSON: At the moment, I do not, because I don't believe I believe that the changes to executive compensation are going to affect first and foremost the CEO, and whoever that person turns out to be will agree on the terms and conditions including compensation that are offered. The extent to which the compensation extends down beyond the CEO into other parts of the organization still remains to be remains to be seen. And my own personal opinion is that that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you, Commissioners, to proceed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Dobson. MR. DOBSON: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Have you discussed with counsel that if Hydro One somehow indicated that it does not remain committed to the transaction, would this potentially open Hydro One to the up to \$103 million penalty under Section 7.3 of the merger agreement or at least support a claim for this fee by Avista? MR. DOBSON: I have never had a conversation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation restrictions imposed by the Province? MR. DOBSON: At the moment, I do not, because I don't believe I believe that the changes to executive compensation are going to affect first and foremost the CEO, and whoever that person turns out to be will agree on the terms and conditions including compensation that are offered. The extent to which the compensation extends down beyond the CEO into other parts of the organization still remains to be remains to be seen. And my own personal opinion is that that will may impact the the executive leadership team
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you, Commissioners, to proceed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Dobson. MR. DOBSON: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Have you discussed with counsel that if Hydro One somehow indicated that it does not remain committed to the transaction, would this potentially open Hydro One to the up to \$103 million penalty under Section 7.3 of the merger agreement or at least support a claim for this fee by Avista? MR. DOBSON: I have never had a conversation with counsel about not being committed to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation restrictions imposed by the Province? MR. DOBSON: At the moment, I do not, because I don't believe I believe that the changes to executive compensation are going to affect first and foremost the CEO, and whoever that person turns out to be will agree on the terms and conditions including compensation that are offered. The extent to which the compensation extends down beyond the CEO into other parts of the organization still remains to be remains to be seen. And my own personal opinion is that that will may impact the the executive leadership team to some degree. Not to the same degree as the CEO what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you, Commissioners, to proceed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Dobson. MR. DOBSON: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Have you discussed with counsel that if Hydro One somehow indicated that it does not remain committed to the transaction, would this potentially open Hydro One to the up to \$103 million penalty under Section 7.3 of the merger agreement or at least support a claim for this fee by Avista? MR. DOBSON: I have never had a conversation with counsel about not being committed to the transaction, although we have discussed if the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation restrictions imposed by the Province? MR. DOBSON: At the moment, I do not, because I don't believe I believe that the changes to executive compensation are going to affect first and foremost the CEO, and whoever that person turns out to be will agree on the terms and conditions including compensation that are offered. The extent to which the compensation extends down beyond the CEO into other parts of the organization still remains to be remains to be seen. And my own personal opinion is that that will may impact the the executive leadership team to some degree. Not to the same degree as the CEO what the current compensation is. But I have no basis to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you, Commissioners, to proceed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Dobson. MR. DOBSON: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Have you discussed with counsel that if Hydro One somehow indicated that it does not remain committed to the transaction, would this potentially open Hydro One to the up to \$103 million penalty under Section 7.3 of the merger agreement or at least support a claim for this fee by Avista? MR. DOBSON: I have never had a conversation with counsel about not being committed to the transaction, although we have discussed if the transaction for a variety of reasons did not go through,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation restrictions imposed by the Province? MR. DOBSON: At the moment, I do not, because I don't believe I believe that the changes to executive compensation are going to affect first and foremost the CEO, and whoever that person turns out to be will agree on the terms and conditions including compensation that are offered. The extent to which the compensation extends down beyond the CEO into other parts of the organization still remains to be remains to be seen. And my own personal opinion is that that will may impact the the executive leadership team to some degree. Not to the same degree as the CEO what the current compensation is. But I have no basis to believe that's going to impact retention at this moment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you, Commissioners, to proceed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Dobson. MR. DOBSON: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Have you discussed with counsel that if Hydro One somehow indicated that it does not remain committed to the transaction, would this potentially open Hydro One to the up to \$103 million penalty under Section 7.3 of the merger agreement or at least support a claim for this fee by Avista? MR. DOBSON: I have never had a conversation with counsel about not being committed to the transaction, although we have discussed if the transaction for a variety of reasons did not go through, what our financial exposure would be including that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation restrictions imposed by the Province? MR. DOBSON: At the moment, I do not, because I don't believe I believe that the changes to executive compensation are going to affect first and foremost the CEO, and whoever that person turns out to be will agree on the terms and conditions including compensation that are offered. The extent to which the compensation extends down beyond the CEO into other parts of the organization still remains to be remains to be seen. And my own personal opinion is that that will may impact the the executive leadership team to some degree. Not to the same degree as the CEO what the current compensation is. But I have no basis to believe that's going to impact retention at this moment. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: What do you think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you, Commissioners, to proceed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Dobson. MR. DOBSON: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Have you discussed with counsel that if Hydro One somehow indicated that it does not remain committed to the transaction, would this potentially open Hydro One to the up to \$103 million penalty under Section 7.3 of the merger agreement or at least support a claim for this fee by Avista? MR. DOBSON: I have never had a conversation with counsel about not being committed to the transaction, although we have discussed if the transaction, although we have discussed if the transaction for a variety of reasons did not go through, what our financial exposure would be including that the break fee.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation restrictions imposed by the Province? MR. DOBSON: At the moment, I do not, because I don't believe I believe that the changes to executive compensation are going to affect first and foremost the CEO, and whoever that person turns out to be will agree on the terms and conditions including compensation that are offered. The extent to which the compensation extends down beyond the CEO into other parts of the organization still remains to be remains to be seen. And my own personal opinion is that that will may impact the the executive leadership team to some degree. Not to the same degree as the CEO what the current compensation is. But I have no basis to believe that's going to impact retention at this moment. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: What do you think the Province has in mind in terms of compensation levels
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you, Commissioners, to proceed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Dobson. MR. DOBSON: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Have you discussed with counsel that if Hydro One somehow indicated that it does not remain committed to the transaction, would this potentially open Hydro One to the up to \$103 million penalty under Section 7.3 of the merger agreement or at least support a claim for this fee by Avista? MR. DOBSON: I have never had a conversation with counsel about not being committed to the transaction, although we have discussed if the transaction, although we have discussed if the transaction for a variety of reasons did not go through, what our financial exposure would be including that the break fee. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Okay. And have you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation restrictions imposed by the Province? MR. DOBSON: At the moment, I do not, because I don't believe I believe that the changes to executive compensation are going to affect first and foremost the CEO, and whoever that person turns out to be will agree on the terms and conditions including compensation that are offered. The extent to which the compensation extends down beyond the CEO into other parts of the organization still remains to be remains to be seen. And my own personal opinion is that that will may impact the the executive leadership team to some degree. Not to the same degree as the CEO what the current compensation is. But I have no basis to believe that's going to impact retention at this moment. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: What do you think the Province has in mind in terms of compensation levels for directors or executives at Hydro One?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you, Commissioners, to proceed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Dobson. MR. DOBSON: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Have you discussed with counsel that if Hydro One somehow indicated that it does not remain committed to the transaction, would this potentially open Hydro One to the up to \$103 million penalty under Section 7.3 of the merger agreement or at least support a claim for this fee by Avista? MR. DOBSON: I have never had a conversation with counsel about not being committed to the transaction, although we have discussed if the transaction for a variety of reasons did not go through, what our financial exposure would be including that the break fee. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Okay. And have you discussed with counsel whether the legislative grant of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation restrictions imposed by the Province? MR. DOBSON: At the moment, I do not, because I don't believe I believe that the changes to executive compensation are going to affect first and foremost the CEO, and whoever that person turns out to be will agree on the terms and conditions including compensation that are offered. The extent to which the compensation extends down beyond the CEO into other parts of the organization still remains to be remains to be seen. And my own personal opinion is that that will may impact the the executive leadership team to some degree. Not to the same degree as the CEO what the current compensation is. But I have no basis to believe that's going to impact retention at this moment. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: What do you think the Province has in mind in terms of compensation levels for directors or executives at Hydro One? MR. DOBSON: The only I could only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	As I understand it, Ms. Thomas will have some brief redirect, so that's why we have the full panel still up here with us. And I believe the questions that the Bench has remaining will go to Mr. Dobson and Mr. Scarlett perhaps. So I will leave it to you, Commissioners, to proceed. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Dobson. MR. DOBSON: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Have you discussed with counsel that if Hydro One somehow indicated that it does not remain committed to the transaction, would this potentially open Hydro One to the up to \$103 million penalty under Section 7.3 of the merger agreement or at least support a claim for this fee by Avista? MR. DOBSON: I have never had a conversation with counsel about not being committed to the transaction, although we have discussed if the transaction, although we have discussed if the transaction for a variety of reasons did not go through, what our financial exposure would be including that the break fee. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Okay. And have you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	which we have discussed over the course of the day, the Act provides for ongoing provincial oversight of approval for executive compensation and director compensation. Do you share concerns over executive recruitment and retention due to these compensation restrictions imposed by the Province? MR. DOBSON: At the moment, I do not, because I don't believe I believe that the changes to executive compensation are going to affect first and foremost the CEO, and whoever that person turns out to be will agree on the terms and conditions including compensation that are offered. The extent to which the compensation extends down beyond the CEO into other parts of the organization still remains to be remains to be seen. And my own personal opinion is that that will may impact the the executive leadership team to some degree. Not to the same degree as the CEO what the current compensation is. But I have no basis to believe that's going to impact retention at this moment. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: What do you think the Province has in mind in terms of compensation levels for directors or executives at Hydro One?

34 (Pages 471 to 474)

	Page 475		Page 477
1	made you know, that made most news during the	1	impact the earnings of the company and their
2	campaign and was stated by the politicians at the time	2	proportionate share of those earnings.
3	that that was their aim. As I just stated previously, I	3	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Does it concern you
4	don't believe that their real aim is to go much beyond	4	that the Province has shown a willingness to dictate
5	the CEO, but that still remains to be seen. And I'm	5	whether specific costs incurred by Hydro One can or
6	only speculating on all that.	6	cannot be included in rates?
7	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Okay. And what	7	MR. DOBSON: I think it is it is unusual
8	compensation framework for Hydro One's CEO and board	8	to some degree, and I think there is still some
9	members do you envision?	9	interpretation in the legislation as to what exactly
10	MR. DOBSON: I envision it to be, you know,	10	they mean is going to be excluded. Because legislation
11	market competitive. They will look at certainly peers	11	in the in the in the the statement made in the
12	across North America to ensure that we can attract the	12	legislation is not quite clear when it talks about Hydro
13	right talent and be able to compensate that person	13	One Limited, which is the holding company, and the
14	through combination of base short-term incentives and	14	executives, you know, excluding salaries from that.
15	long-term incentives to make sure that that person's	15	So technically, there are only I think three
16	compensation is aligned with the strategic goals of the	16	or four executives that are not that are part of
17	company.	17	that, and the others are attributed to other other
18	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So turning to the	18	subsidiaries. And so we are want that discussion
19	part of the legislation that prohibits recovery of	19	with government about the interpretation of what exactly
20	executive salary in Hydro One rates, if not from rates,	20	is to be excluded.
21	then where will the money come to pay executives at	21	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So turning to the
22	Hydro One?	22	provincial government's promise of reducing Hydro One
23	MR. DOBSON: It'll be paid through the	23	rates by 12 percent, does it concern you that the
24	shareholder.	24	Province might require such a rate reduction for which
25	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So will the	25	this amount does not take into account traditional
	Page 476		Page 478
1	Province, then, as a 47, roughly, over 40 percent	1	regulatory ratemaking principles?
2	shareholder be kicking in 40 percent of the cost of that	2	MR. DOBSON: I think it I know that the
3	compensation?	3	government is looking at various options as to how they
4	MR. DOBSON: When you say "kicking in," I	4	may get to the 12 percent, but remains to be seen as to
5	mean by sort of you know, by nature of their	5	what the mechanism they would go about doing that. And
6	shareholding, they would as a shareholder, they would	6	so they could still utilize ratemaking mechanisms to do
7	participate in that as much as any other shareholder.	7	that in combination with other other sorts of tools
8	If you're asking sorry, if you're asking about kick	8	at their disposal.
9	in like additional compen or capital being added, I	9	On the 12 percent, as we've mentioned
			of the 12 percent, as we ve mentioned
10	don't believe that that is going to happen.	10	earlier, you know, our proportionate share of the total
10 11	don't believe that that is going to happen. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: But it is your	11	earlier, you know, our proportionate share of the total cost of energy, delivered electricity delivered in
10 11 12	don't believe that that is going to happen. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: But it is your belief, though, that because the Province, as a 40-plus	11 12	earlier, you know, our proportionate share of the total cost of energy, delivered electricity delivered in Ontario is relatively small. Relatively small
10 11 12 13	don't believe that that is going to happen. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: But it is your belief, though, that because the Province, as a 40-plus percent shareholder of the company, would be responsible	11 12 13	earlier, you know, our proportionate share of the total cost of energy, delivered electricity delivered in Ontario is relatively small. Relatively small compared it's mostly by generation and it's mostly
10 11 12 13 14	don't believe that that is going to happen. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: But it is your belief, though, that because the Province, as a 40-plus percent shareholder of the company, would be responsible for roughly that amount of the executive salaries?	11 12 13 14	earlier, you know, our proportionate share of the total cost of energy, delivered electricity delivered in Ontario is relatively small. Relatively small compared it's mostly by generation and it's mostly about this thing called a global adjustment. And so we
10 11 12 13 14 15	don't believe that that is going to happen. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: But it is your belief, though, that because the Province, as a 40-plus percent shareholder of the company, would be responsible for roughly that amount of the executive salaries? MR. DOBSON: Yes.	11 12 13 14 15	earlier, you know, our proportionate share of the total cost of energy, delivered electricity delivered in Ontario is relatively small. Relatively small compared it's mostly by generation and it's mostly about this thing called a global adjustment. And so we expect that most of the reduction or impact, where it's
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	don't believe that that is going to happen. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: But it is your belief, though, that because the Province, as a 40-plus percent shareholder of the company, would be responsible for roughly that amount of the executive salaries? MR. DOBSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And what would be	11 12 13 14 15 16	earlier, you know, our proportionate share of the total cost of energy, delivered electricity delivered in Ontario is relatively small. Relatively small compared it's mostly by generation and it's mostly about this thing called a global adjustment. And so we expect that most of the reduction or impact, where it's going to come from has to come from generation and the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	don't believe that that is going to happen. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: But it is your belief, though, that because the Province, as a 40-plus percent shareholder of the company, would be responsible for roughly that amount of the executive salaries? MR. DOBSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And what would be the source of the Province's funds for that	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	earlier, you know, our proportionate share of the total cost of energy, delivered electricity delivered in Ontario is relatively small. Relatively small compared it's mostly by generation and it's mostly about this thing called a global adjustment. And so we expect that most of the reduction or impact, where it's going to come from has to come from generation and the global adjustment. There still may be some impact to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	don't believe that that is going to happen. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: But it is your belief, though, that because the Province, as a 40-plus percent shareholder of the company, would be responsible for roughly that amount of the executive salaries? MR. DOBSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And what would be the source of the Province's funds for that MR. DOBSON: Well, they	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	earlier, you know, our proportionate share of the total cost of energy, delivered electricity delivered in Ontario is relatively small. Relatively small compared it's mostly by generation and it's mostly about this thing called a global adjustment. And so we expect that most of the reduction or impact, where it's going to come from has to come from generation and the global adjustment. There still may be some impact to Hydro One, but it remains to be seen what that what
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	don't believe that that is going to happen. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: But it is your belief, though, that because the Province, as a 40-plus percent shareholder of the company, would be responsible for roughly that amount of the executive salaries? MR. DOBSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And what would be the source of the Province's funds for that MR. DOBSON: Well, they COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: responsibility?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	earlier, you know, our proportionate share of the total cost of energy, delivered electricity delivered in Ontario is relatively small. Relatively small compared it's mostly by generation and it's mostly about this thing called a global adjustment. And so we expect that most of the reduction or impact, where it's going to come from has to come from generation and the global adjustment. There still may be some impact to Hydro One, but it remains to be seen what that what that impact would be.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	don't believe that that is going to happen. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: But it is your belief, though, that because the Province, as a 40-plus percent shareholder of the company, would be responsible for roughly that amount of the executive salaries? MR. DOBSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And what would be the source of the Province's funds for that MR. DOBSON: Well, they COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: responsibility? MR. DOBSON: I'm sorry. They would be	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	earlier, you know, our proportionate share of the total cost of energy, delivered electricity delivered in Ontario is relatively small. Relatively small compared it's mostly by generation and it's mostly about this thing called a global adjustment. And so we expect that most of the reduction or impact, where it's going to come from has to come from generation and the global adjustment. There still may be some impact to Hydro One, but it remains to be seen what that what that impact would be. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So assuming that the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	don't believe that that is going to happen. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: But it is your belief, though, that because the Province, as a 40-plus percent shareholder of the company, would be responsible for roughly that amount of the executive salaries? MR. DOBSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And what would be the source of the Province's funds for that MR. DOBSON: Well, they COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: responsibility? MR. DOBSON: I'm sorry. They would be you know, they would share in the earnings of the	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	earlier, you know, our proportionate share of the total cost of energy, delivered electricity delivered in Ontario is relatively small. Relatively small compared it's mostly by generation and it's mostly about this thing called a global adjustment. And so we expect that most of the reduction or impact, where it's going to come from has to come from generation and the global adjustment. There still may be some impact to Hydro One, but it remains to be seen what that what that impact would be. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So assuming that the merger is approved and consummated, will Hydro One be
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	don't believe that that is going to happen. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: But it is your belief, though, that because the Province, as a 40-plus percent shareholder of the company, would be responsible for roughly that amount of the executive salaries? MR. DOBSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And what would be the source of the Province's funds for that MR. DOBSON: Well, they COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: responsibility? MR. DOBSON: I'm sorry. They would be you know, they would share in the earnings of the company proportionate to their shareholding. And so to	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	earlier, you know, our proportionate share of the total cost of energy, delivered electricity delivered in Ontario is relatively small. Relatively small compared it's mostly by generation and it's mostly about this thing called a global adjustment. And so we expect that most of the reduction or impact, where it's going to come from has to come from generation and the global adjustment. There still may be some impact to Hydro One, but it remains to be seen what that what that impact would be. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So assuming that the merger is approved and consummated, will Hydro One be able to use dividends from Avista to support its
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	don't believe that that is going to happen. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: But it is your belief, though, that because the Province, as a 40-plus percent shareholder of the company, would be responsible for roughly that amount of the executive salaries? MR. DOBSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And what would be the source of the Province's funds for that MR. DOBSON: Well, they COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: responsibility? MR. DOBSON: I'm sorry. They would be you know, they would share in the earnings of the company proportionate to their shareholding. And so to the extent that that executive compensation was excluded	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	earlier, you know, our proportionate share of the total cost of energy, delivered electricity delivered in Ontario is relatively small. Relatively small compared it's mostly by generation and it's mostly about this thing called a global adjustment. And so we expect that most of the reduction or impact, where it's going to come from has to come from generation and the global adjustment. There still may be some impact to Hydro One, but it remains to be seen what that what that impact would be. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So assuming that the merger is approved and consummated, will Hydro One be able to use dividends from Avista to support its operating costs?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	don't believe that that is going to happen. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: But it is your belief, though, that because the Province, as a 40-plus percent shareholder of the company, would be responsible for roughly that amount of the executive salaries? MR. DOBSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And what would be the source of the Province's funds for that MR. DOBSON: Well, they COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: responsibility? MR. DOBSON: I'm sorry. They would be you know, they would share in the earnings of the company proportionate to their shareholding. And so to the extent that that executive compensation was excluded from rates and be borne by the shareholder, in other	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	earlier, you know, our proportionate share of the total cost of energy, delivered electricity delivered in Ontario is relatively small. Relatively small compared it's mostly by generation and it's mostly about this thing called a global adjustment. And so we expect that most of the reduction or impact, where it's going to come from has to come from generation and the global adjustment. There still may be some impact to Hydro One, but it remains to be seen what that what that impact would be. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So assuming that the merger is approved and consummated, will Hydro One be able to use dividends from Avista to support its operating costs? MR. DOBSON: Yes, they will be able to.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	don't believe that that is going to happen. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: But it is your belief, though, that because the Province, as a 40-plus percent shareholder of the company, would be responsible for roughly that amount of the executive salaries? MR. DOBSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And what would be the source of the Province's funds for that MR. DOBSON: Well, they COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: responsibility? MR. DOBSON: I'm sorry. They would be you know, they would share in the earnings of the company proportionate to their shareholding. And so to the extent that that executive compensation was excluded	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	earlier, you know, our proportionate share of the total cost of energy, delivered electricity delivered in Ontario is relatively small. Relatively small compared it's mostly by generation and it's mostly about this thing called a global adjustment. And so we expect that most of the reduction or impact, where it's going to come from has to come from generation and the global adjustment. There still may be some impact to Hydro One, but it remains to be seen what that what that impact would be. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So assuming that the merger is approved and consummated, will Hydro One be able to use dividends from Avista to support its operating costs?

35 (Pages 475 to 478)

	Page 479	Page 481
1	from Avista be able to support Hydro One's capital	1 that, no.
2	needs?	2 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did anyone on the
3	MR. DOBSON: Yes. I'm sorry, if I could	3 board or did Mr. Schmidt discuss with you whether the
4	clarify. Not in its entirety, but could contribute to	4 board's resignation and/or his retirement should cause
5	it.	5 you to have any concerns relative to your employment at
6	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So Mr. Scarlett	6 Hydro One as an officer?
7	testified that the board of directors determined it	7 MR. DOBSON: I don't recall a conversation
8	would be in the best interest of Hydro One for the	8 like that.
9	entire board to resign and that Mr. Schmidt determined	9 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And did any member
10	it would be in the best interest of Hydro One for him to	10 of the board or did Mr. Schmidt ask for your opinions
11	retire.	11 concerning the potential consequences of the board's
12	So preceding the decision to approach the	12 resignation or his retirement on the value of Avista's
13	premier's office with this offer to resign, did the	13 stock?
14	board consult with you, Mr. Lopez or the company's	14 MR. DOBSON: No, they did not.
15	consult with you, the company's CFO, concerning the	15 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And were you asked
16	likely consequences for the value of company stock if	16 to consult with Avista on the question I just asked you?
17	these events occurred?	17 MR. DOBSON: No, I was not.
18	MR. DOBSON: They did not.	18 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: All right. Thank
19	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Do you know why they	19 you. I believe that's all I have.
20	did not elect to consult with you?	20 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Mr. Scarlett, I have
21	MR. DOBSON: I I couldn't answer why	21 just a few more questions for you.
22	they did not consult with me. I think there was a	22 MR. SCARLETT: Delighted.
23	there was a sense amongst amongst the management team	23 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And these have to do
24	that if this did go through or something like this did	24 with the new Commitment 82. Do you have that commitment
25	go through, the campaign promises that would be some	25 in front of you? I believe it's part of Exhibit JNT-3,
	Page 480	Page 482
1	Page 480 impact, but the degree to which that impact would be	Page 482 1 it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking
1 2		
	impact, but the degree to which that impact would be	1 it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking
2	impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who	 it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking at.
2 3	impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an	 it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking at. MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this
2 3 4	impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an informed opinion?	 it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking at. MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this conversation with you about it, this is intended to
2 3 4 5	impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an	 it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking at. MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this conversation with you about it, this is intended to present assurances to the Commission that we will have
2 3 4 5 6	impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an informed opinion?	 it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking at. MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this conversation with you about it, this is intended to
2 3 4 5 6 7	impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an informed opinion? MR. DOBSON: I'm not aware of the board discussions. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So to your	 it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking at. MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this conversation with you about it, this is intended to present assurances to the Commission that we will have recourse should any governmental entity in Canada take an action that affects Avista's operations or prevents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an informed opinion? MR. DOBSON: I'm not aware of the board discussions. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So to your knowledge, they either did not consider this question at	 it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking at. MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this conversation with you about it, this is intended to present assurances to the Commission that we will have recourse should any governmental entity in Canada take an action that affects Avista's operations or prevents compliance with the commitments, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an informed opinion? MR. DOBSON: I'm not aware of the board discussions. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So to your knowledge, they either did not consider this question at all or simply relied on their own judgment without	1it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking2at.3MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this.4COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I5read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this6conversation with you about it, this is intended to7present assurances to the Commission that we will have8recourse should any governmental entity in Canada take9an action that affects Avista's operations or prevents10compliance with the commitments, correct?11MR. SCARLETT: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an informed opinion? MR. DOBSON: I'm not aware of the board discussions. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So to your knowledge, they either did not consider this question at all or simply relied on their own judgment without seeking any advice from knowledgeable sources?	1it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking2at.3MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this.4COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I5read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this6conversation with you about it, this is intended to7present assurances to the Commission that we will have8recourse should any governmental entity in Canada take9an action that affects Avista's operations or prevents10compliance with the commitments, correct?11MR. SCARLETT: Correct.12COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And it provides any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an informed opinion? MR. DOBSON: I'm not aware of the board discussions. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So to your knowledge, they either did not consider this question at all or simply relied on their own judgment without seeking any advice from knowledgeable sources? MR. DOBSON: Again, I don't know if they 	1it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking2at.3MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this.4COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I5read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this6conversation with you about it, this is intended to7present assurances to the Commission that we will have8recourse should any governmental entity in Canada take9an action that affects Avista's operations or prevents10compliance with the commitments, correct?11MR. SCARLETT: Correct.12COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And it provides any13party that any party can seek to hold a proceeding,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an informed opinion? MR. DOBSON: I'm not aware of the board discussions. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So to your knowledge, they either did not consider this question at all or simply relied on their own judgment without seeking any advice from knowledgeable sources? MR. DOBSON: Again, I don't know if they consulted with any other sources. 	1it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking2at.3MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this.4COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I5read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this6conversation with you about it, this is intended to7present assurances to the Commission that we will have8recourse should any governmental entity in Canada take9an action that affects Avista's operations or prevents10compliance with the commitments, correct?11MR. SCARLETT: Correct.12COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And it provides any13party that any party can seek to hold a proceeding,14to ask the Commission to hold a proceeding to rehear our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an informed opinion? MR. DOBSON: I'm not aware of the board discussions. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So to your knowledge, they either did not consider this question at all or simply relied on their own judgment without seeking any advice from knowledgeable sources? MR. DOBSON: Again, I don't know if they consulted with any other sources. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So did the board or 	1it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking2at.3MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this.4COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I5read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this6conversation with you about it, this is intended to7present assurances to the Commission that we will have8recourse should any governmental entity in Canada take9an action that affects Avista's operations or prevents10compliance with the commitments, correct?11MR. SCARLETT: Correct.12COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And it provides any13party that any party can seek to hold a proceeding,14to ask the Commission to hold a proceeding to rehear our15decision were we to approve the merger, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an informed opinion? MR. DOBSON: I'm not aware of the board discussions. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So to your knowledge, they either did not consider this question at all or simply relied on their own judgment without seeking any advice from knowledgeable sources? MR. DOBSON: Again, I don't know if they consulted with any other sources. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So did the board or any of its members consult with you concerning the 	1it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking2at.3MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this.4COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I5read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this6conversation with you about it, this is intended to7present assurances to the Commission that we will have8recourse should any governmental entity in Canada take9an action that affects Avista's operations or prevents10compliance with the commitments, correct?11MR. SCARLETT: Correct.12COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And it provides any13party that any party can seek to hold a proceeding,14to ask the Commission to hold a proceeding to rehear our15decision were we to approve the merger, correct?16MR. SCARLETT: That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an informed opinion? MR. DOBSON: I'm not aware of the board discussions. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So to your knowledge, they either did not consider this question at all or simply relied on their own judgment without seeking any advice from knowledgeable sources? MR. DOBSON: Again, I don't know if they consulted with any other sources. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So did the board or any of its members consult with you concerning the likely response of the ratings analyst community on 	1it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking2at.3MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this.4COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I5read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this6conversation with you about it, this is intended to7present assurances to the Commission that we will have8recourse should any governmental entity in Canada take9an action that affects Avista's operations or prevents10compliance with the commitments, correct?11MR. SCARLETT: Correct.12COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And it provides any13party that any party can seek to hold a proceeding,14to ask the Commission to hold a proceeding to rehear our15decision were we to approve the merger, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an informed opinion? MR. DOBSON: I'm not aware of the board discussions. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So to your knowledge, they either did not consider this question at all or simply relied on their own judgment without seeking any advice from knowledgeable sources? MR. DOBSON: Again, I don't know if they consulted with any other sources. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So did the board or any of its members consult with you concerning the likely response of the ratings analyst community on these events? 	1it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking2at.3MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this.4COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I5read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this6conversation with you about it, this is intended to7present assurances to the Commission that we will have8recourse should any governmental entity in Canada take9an action that affects Avista's operations or prevents10compliance with the commitments, correct?11MR. SCARLETT: Correct.12COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And it provides any13party that any party can seek to hold a proceeding,14to ask the Commission to hold a proceeding to rehear our15decision were we to approve the merger, correct?16MR. SCARLETT: That's correct.17COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But it does not allow18the Commission to seek rehearing on its own motion, does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an informed opinion? MR. DOBSON: I'm not aware of the board discussions. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So to your knowledge, they either did not consider this question at all or simply relied on their own judgment without seeking any advice from knowledgeable sources? MR. DOBSON: Again, I don't know if they consulted with any other sources. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So did the board or any of its members consult with you concerning the likely response of the ratings analyst community on these events? MR. DOBSON: No, they did not. 	1 it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking 2 at. 3 MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this. 4 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I 5 read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this 6 conversation with you about it, this is intended to 7 present assurances to the Commission that we will have 8 recourse should any governmental entity in Canada take 9 an action that affects Avista's operations or prevents 10 compliance with the commitments, correct? 11 MR. SCARLETT: Correct. 12 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And it provides any 13 party that any party can seek to hold a proceeding, 14 to ask the Commission to hold a proceeding to rehear our 15 decision were we to approve the merger, correct? 16 MR. SCARLETT: That's correct. 17 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But it does not allow 18 the Commission to seek rehearing on its own motion, does 19 it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an informed opinion? MR. DOBSON: I'm not aware of the board discussions. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So to your knowledge, they either did not consider this question at all or simply relied on their own judgment without seeking any advice from knowledgeable sources? MR. DOBSON: Again, I don't know if they consulted with any other sources. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So did the board or any of its members consult with you concerning the likely response of the ratings analyst community on these events? MR. DOBSON: No, they did not. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And did you at any 	1 it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking 2 at. 3 MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this. 4 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I 5 read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this 6 conversation with you about it, this is intended to 7 present assurances to the Commission that we will have 8 recourse should any governmental entity in Canada take 9 an action that affects Avista's operations or prevents 10 compliance with the commitments, correct? 11 MR. SCARLETT: Correct. 12 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And it provides any 13 party that any party can seek to hold a proceeding, 14 to ask the Commission to hold a proceeding to rehear our 15 decision were we to approve the merger, correct? 16 MR. SCARLETT: That's correct. 17 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But it does not allow 18 the Commission to seek rehearing on its own motion, does 19 it? 20 MR. SCARLETT: Let me just take a closer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an informed opinion? MR. DOBSON: I'm not aware of the board discussions. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So to your knowledge, they either did not consider this question at all or simply relied on their own judgment without seeking any advice from knowledgeable sources? MR. DOBSON: Again, I don't know if they consulted with any other sources. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So did the board or any of its members consult with you concerning the likely response of the ratings analyst community on these events? MR. DOBSON: No, they did not. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And did you at any time advise one or more members of the board the 	1 it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking 2 at. 3 MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this. 4 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I 5 read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this 6 conversation with you about it, this is intended to 7 present assurances to the Commission that we will have 8 recourse should any governmental entity in Canada take 9 an action that affects Avista's operations or prevents 10 compliance with the commitments, correct? 11 MR. SCARLETT: Correct. 12 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And it provides any 13 party that any party can seek to hold a proceeding, 14 to ask the Commission to hold a proceeding to rehear our 15 MR. SCARLETT: That's correct. 17 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But it does not allow 18 the Commission to seek rehearing on its own motion, does 19 it? 20 MR. SCARLETT: Let me just take a closer 21 read, but I I was my understanding that excuse
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an informed opinion? MR. DOBSON: I'm not aware of the board discussions. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So to your knowledge, they either did not consider this question at all or simply relied on their own judgment without seeking any advice from knowledgeable sources? MR. DOBSON: Again, I don't know if they consulted with any other sources. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So did the board or any of its members consult with you concerning the likely response of the ratings analyst community on these events? MR. DOBSON: No, they did not. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And did you at any time advise one or more members of the board the resignation of the entire board was more likely than not 	1 it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking 2 at. 3 MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this. 4 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I 5 read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this 6 conversation with you about it, this is intended to 7 present assurances to the Commission that we will have 8 recourse should any governmental entity in Canada take 9 an action that affects Avista's operations or prevents 10 compliance with the commitments, correct? 11 MR. SCARLETT: Correct. 12 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And it provides any 13 party that any party can seek to hold a proceeding, 14 to ask the Commission to hold a proceeding to rehear our 15 decision were we to approve the merger, correct? 16 MR. SCARLETT: That's correct. 17 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But it does not allow 18 the Commission to seek rehearing on its own motion, does 19 it? 20 MR. SCARLETT: Let me just take a closer 21 read, but I I was my understanding that excuse 22 me, w
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an informed opinion? MR. DOBSON: I'm not aware of the board discussions. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So to your knowledge, they either did not consider this question at all or simply relied on their own judgment without seeking any advice from knowledgeable sources? MR. DOBSON: Again, I don't know if they consulted with any other sources. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So did the board or any of its members consult with you concerning the likely response of the ratings analyst community on these events? MR. DOBSON: No, they did not. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And did you at any time advise one or more members of the board the resignation of the entire board was more likely than not to have adverse consequences on the company's share 	1 it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking 2 at. 3 MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this. 4 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I 5 read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this 6 conversation with you about it, this is intended to 7 present assurances to the Commission that we will have 8 recourse should any governmental entity in Canada take 9 an action that affects Avista's operations or prevents 10 compliance with the commitments, correct? 11 MR. SCARLETT: Correct. 12 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And it provides any 13 party that any party can seek to hold a proceeding, 14 to ask the Commission to hold a proceeding to rehear our 15 decision were we to approve the merger, correct? 16 MR. SCARLETT: That's correct. 17 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But it does not allow 18 the Commission to seek rehearing on its own motion, does 19 it? 20 MR. SCARLETT: Let me just take a closer 21 read, but I I was my understanding that excuse 22 me, w
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an informed opinion? MR. DOBSON: I'm not aware of the board discussions. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So to your knowledge, they either did not consider this question at all or simply relied on their own judgment without seeking any advice from knowledgeable sources? MR. DOBSON: Again, I don't know if they consulted with any other sources. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So did the board or any of its members consult with you concerning the likely response of the ratings analyst community on these events? MR. DOBSON: No, they did not. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And did you at any time advise one or more members of the board the resignation of the entire board was more likely than not to have adverse consequences on the company's share value or its ratings? 	1 it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking 2 at. 3 MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this. 4 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I 5 read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this 6 conversation with you about it, this is intended to 7 present assurances to the Commission that we will have 8 recourse should any governmental entity in Canada take 9 an action that affects Avista's operations or prevents 10 compliance with the commitments, correct? 11 MR. SCARLETT: Correct. 12 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And it provides any 13 party that any party can seek to hold a proceeding, 14 to ask the Commission to hold a proceeding to rehear our 15 decision were we to approve the merger, correct? 16 MR. SCARLETT: That's correct. 17 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But it does not allow 18 the Commission to seek rehearing on its own motion, does 19 it? 20 MR. SCARLETT: Let me just take a closer 21 read, but I I was my understanding that excuse 22 me, w
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 impact, but the degree to which that impact would be just pure speculation. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Did the board, to your knowledge, raise this question with anyone else who might be in a position to offer board members an informed opinion? MR. DOBSON: I'm not aware of the board discussions. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So to your knowledge, they either did not consider this question at all or simply relied on their own judgment without seeking any advice from knowledgeable sources? MR. DOBSON: Again, I don't know if they consulted with any other sources. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So did the board or any of its members consult with you concerning the likely response of the ratings analyst community on these events? MR. DOBSON: No, they did not. COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And did you at any time advise one or more members of the board the resignation of the entire board was more likely than not to have adverse consequences on the company's share 	1 it's the most recent redline version that I'm looking 2 at. 3 MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, I'm familiar with this. 4 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Good. So as I 5 read Commitment 82, and I just want to have this 6 conversation with you about it, this is intended to 7 present assurances to the Commission that we will have 8 recourse should any governmental entity in Canada take 9 an action that affects Avista's operations or prevents 10 compliance with the commitments, correct? 11 MR. SCARLETT: Correct. 12 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And it provides any 13 party that any party can seek to hold a proceeding, 14 to ask the Commission to hold a proceeding to rehear our 15 decision were we to approve the merger, correct? 16 MR. SCARLETT: That's correct. 17 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But it does not allow 18 the Commission to seek rehearing on its own motion, does 19 it? 20 MR. SCARLETT: Let me just take a closer 21 read, but I I was my understanding that excuse 22 me, w

36 (Pages 479 to 482)

Docket No. U-170970 - Vol. VII - 10/23/2018

Page 483	Page 485
1 MR. SCARLETT: Is my understanding. 1 that this this commitment, though,	
2 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So given that the 2 burden to the Commission to figure of	
3 risks that we discussed today in this hearing and the 3 MR. SCARLETT: Not neces	
4 questions about the risks that we've asked, can you 4 puts a burden on us to deal with the	•
5 explain what the benefit of this commitment is to Avista 5 back to the Commission. I think that	
6 ratepayers? 6 matter, the burden is always going to	be on us to work
7 MR. SCARLETT: Well, I think there's a 7 with the interested parties, including	staff of the
8 couple of things. First, the commitment also has an 8 Commission, to address the issues a	and come forward with
9 obligation on our part to monitor and advise when things 9 proposals and ideas that would rectif	y the situation,
10 might be changing so that there's more notice, more 10 would protect ratepayers in Washing	
11 advance notice, thereby allowing the parties excuse 11 COMMISSIONER RENDAH	L: All right. And then
12 me to consider what the right course of action would 12 so how would this commitment squa	-
13be and to react, you know, promptly. I think that's in13provision that the Province approved	
14their I think that's in the best interest of14Hydro Accountability Act? If the Prov	
15 ratepayers. And I also think that confirming that the 15 action that has an impact, there's no	recourse against
16 parties can bring this forward, the interested parties 16 the Province, is there?	
17who represent the variety of the ratepayers and17MR. SCARLETT: Bill 2 is re	
18 empowering them to bring the matters back for a 18 with what is in Bill 2. The immunity in	
19 rehearing I think is also additive to ratepayer 19 actions taken under Bill 2, which are	-
20 protection. 20 compensation at Hydro One. I don't	
21 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So but how how can 21 relevance to what happens down at A	
22 this Commission or the Commission effectively unring a 22 COMMISSIONER RENDAH	L: All right. Thank
23bell here? If there's a risk of harm to the company and23you.24its ratepayers, is rehearing and remod rehearing and24CHAIRMAN DANNER: So,	Mr. Scarlott so
25 potentially modifying the decision a real feasible 25 looking at this Commitment 82, let's a	
Page 484	Page 486
1 outcome for ratepayers? 1 premier asks for and the legislature a	approves a bill
2 MR. SCARLETT: It's a very difficult 2 that directs the industry to take a 12	
3 question to answer without a specific in mind. You 3 decrease.	•
4 know, sort of can I think of situations where there 4 MR. SCARLETT: Right.	
5 might be a benefit at a rehearing and the resulting 5 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Wou	Ild you interpret that
6 tuning or refinement of some of the commitments? Yes, I 6 as being something well, that's becau	use we have a
7 can probably think of something, although it doesn't pop 7 Governance Agreement or a ringfend	ing provision in place
8 into my mind right now. 8 that that would not trigger Commitme	
9 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So if something 9 MR. SCARLETT: I would ha	
10drastic or if the if the Province or a governmental10colleagues about whether we though	
11 entity in Canada were to take an action that would have 11 impact on Avista. I don't really know	
12 a drastic impact on the company and potentially the 12 significant that impact would be on H	-
13 ratepayers, obviously a process takes time, how does 13 suppose if we felt that it was going to	
14 this protect ratepayers from that potential impact? 14 material financial impact that we wou	
15 MR. SCARLETT: Well, I think in the 16 situation that you're posing, where something is drastic 16 clearly it would have that kind of an ir	
16situation that you're posing, where something is drastic16clearly it would have that kind of an ir17and it does have a drastic impact, so if we take that as17CHAIRMAN DANNER: But	-
$\pm i$ and it does have a diastic impact, so if we take that as $\pm i$ Chair with DANNER. Dut	
18 the starting point this kind of a clause really beins 1 18 could meet your obligations, then you	
18 the starting point, this kind of a clause really helps I 18 could meet your obligations, then you 19 think get things moving faster because you wouldn't want 19 triggered?	
19think get things moving faster because you wouldn't want19triggered?	would say it's not
19think get things moving faster because you wouldn't want19triggered?20to react to it. You'd want look at it and do something20MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, if w	would say it's not
19think get things moving faster because you wouldn't want19triggered?20to react to it. You'd want look at it and do something20MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, if w21about it. So this clause is additive in terms of21to go back to the words.	i would say it's not e went just want
19think get things moving faster because you wouldn't want19triggered?20to react to it. You'd want look at it and do something20MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, if w21about it. So this clause is additive in terms of21to go back to the words.22getting the process moving faster, having more parties22CHAIRMAN DANNER: Sure	u would say it's not e went just want e.
19think get things moving faster because you wouldn't want19triggered?20to react to it. You'd want look at it and do something20MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, if w21about it. So this clause is additive in terms of21to go back to the words.22getting the process moving faster, having more parties22CHAIRMAN DANNER: Sure	a would say it's not e went just want e. pe careful with
19think get things moving faster because you wouldn't want19triggered?20to react to it. You'd want look at it and do something20MR. SCARLETT: Yeah, if w21about it. So this clause is additive in terms of21to go back to the words.22getting the process moving faster, having more parties22CHAIRMAN DANNER: Sure23involved in the process, having an obligation on our23MR. SCARLETT: I want to b	e would say it's not e went just want e. pe careful with n all the parties,

37 (Pages 483 to 486)

	Page 487		Page 489
1	opening, legislation rule policy directive that affects	1	know.
2	Avista's operations because of its corporate	2	CHAIRMAN DANNER: It must have been written
3	relationship with Hydro One or affects our compliance	3	and proposed with some hypotheticals in mind and some
4	with any commitments in this stipulation.	4	hypotheticals discussed. And I'm just wondering because
5	So if we, you know, fairly concluded,	5	I'm trying to figure out the process here.
6	reasonably concluded, and we wouldn't do this in an	6	MR. SCARLETT: Right. Well, this wasn't
7	offhand way. We'd deliberate very seriously, and I'd	7	actually proposed by the applicant. It was proposed as
8	expect we would err on the side of caution because we	8	part of our discussions with Staff and the intervenors,
9	want a good relationship. We don't want a bad	9	and and we added you know, and as these things go,
10	relationship. But if we took a look and we said	10	we fiddled the language to make it satisfactory to
11	whatever this change is, it's not going to have a big	11	everybody, and we I think it may have been the
12	financial impact on us, we can manage it quite readily.	12	applicant's suggestion, maybe not about the giving the
13	We can meet all of our commitments. We would we	13	notice of new developments, but the but the initial
14	would expect we would discuss it with Avista because	14	idea of this didn't come from us. So I didn't have
15	we'll be doing a lot of things in partnership with	15	any
16	Avista.	16	CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right.
17	But yes, on these words, if we came to the	17	MR. SCARLETT: fact pattern in mind, no.
18	conclusion it wasn't going to affect Avista's operations	18	We did make other changes, by the way, that contemplated
19	nor was it going to detract from our ability to meet all	19	potential fact patterns, but that's all in the testimony
20	of our commitments, then on this language, we would not	20	S0
21	have an obligation to come back to you. I mean, we	21	JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, this witness
22	might anyway, but we wouldn't have an obligation.	22	panel, then, I think the Commission has completed its
23	CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Just sort of	23	questions.
24	thinking of something that I mean, that's an example	24	Ms. Thomas, you had some brief redirect?
25	because that's something that's been talked about,	25	MS. THOMAS: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
	- 100		
	Page 488	1	Page 490
1	Page 488	1	Page 490
1	something that's more significant even, and you felt	1	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there
2	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're	2	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez
2 3	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen	2 3	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the
2 3 4	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the	2 3 4	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a
2 3 4 5	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do	2 3 4 5	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made
2 3 4 5 6	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do something that the company can't do, or would we order	2 3 4	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made in light of the fact that the resolution affirming the
2 3 4 5	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do something that the company can't do, or would we order the company to spin Avista back, make its independence	2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made in light of the fact that the resolution affirming the commitment transaction wasn't adopted until September
2 3 4 5 6 7	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do something that the company can't do, or would we order the company to spin Avista back, make its independence known to a third party? What what are you	2 3 4 5 6	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made in light of the fact that the resolution affirming the commitment transaction wasn't adopted until September 19th. As the acting chief executive officer, did you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do something that the company can't do, or would we order the company to spin Avista back, make its independence known to a third party? What what are you envisioning how this works in practice, because I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made in light of the fact that the resolution affirming the commitment transaction wasn't adopted until September
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do something that the company can't do, or would we order the company to spin Avista back, make its independence known to a third party? What what are you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made in light of the fact that the resolution affirming the commitment transaction wasn't adopted until September 19th. As the acting chief executive officer, did you sit in on an earnings call on August 14th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do something that the company can't do, or would we order the company to spin Avista back, make its independence known to a third party? What what are you envisioning how this works in practice, because I'm trying I'm trying to figure a scenario about how this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made in light of the fact that the resolution affirming the commitment transaction wasn't adopted until September 19th. As the acting chief executive officer, did you sit in on an earnings call on August 14th? MR. DOBSON: 1 did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do something that the company can't do, or would we order the company to spin Avista back, make its independence known to a third party? What what are you envisioning how this works in practice, because I'm trying I'm trying to figure a scenario about how this would all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made in light of the fact that the resolution affirming the commitment transaction wasn't adopted until September 19th. As the acting chief executive officer, did you sit in on an earnings call on August 14th? MR. DOBSON: I did. MS. THOMAS: And do you recall what, if any,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do something that the company can't do, or would we order the company to spin Avista back, make its independence known to a third party? What what are you envisioning how this works in practice, because I'm trying I'm trying to figure a scenario about how this would all MR. SCARLETT: Well, I'm a not an expert in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made in light of the fact that the resolution affirming the commitment transaction wasn't adopted until September 19th. As the acting chief executive officer, did you sit in on an earnings call on August 14th? MR. DOBSON: I did. MS. THOMAS: And do you recall what, if any, discussion there was regarding Hydro One's commitment to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do something that the company can't do, or would we order the company to spin Avista back, make its independence known to a third party? What what are you envisioning how this works in practice, because I'm trying I'm trying to figure a scenario about how this would all MR. SCARLETT: Well, I'm a not an expert in the full range of your powers and authorities of which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made in light of the fact that the resolution affirming the commitment transaction wasn't adopted until September 19th. As the acting chief executive officer, did you sit in on an earnings call on August 14th? MR. DOBSON: I did. MS. THOMAS: And do you recall what, if any, discussion there was regarding Hydro One's commitment to the merger during that call?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do something that the company can't do, or would we order the company to spin Avista back, make its independence known to a third party? What what are you envisioning how this works in practice, because I'm trying I'm trying to figure a scenario about how this would all MR. SCARLETT: Well, I'm a not an expert in the full range of your powers and authorities of which you can and can't work. So I'm belaboring under that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made in light of the fact that the resolution affirming the commitment transaction wasn't adopted until September 19th. As the acting chief executive officer, did you sit in on an earnings call on August 14th? MR. DOBSON: I did. MS. THOMAS: And do you recall what, if any, discussion there was regarding Hydro One's commitment to the merger during that call? MR. DOBSON: I do recall that commitment to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do something that the company can't do, or would we order the company to spin Avista back, make its independence known to a third party? What what are you envisioning how this works in practice, because I'm trying I'm trying to figure a scenario about how this would all MR. SCARLETT: Well, I'm a not an expert in the full range of your powers and authorities of which you can and can't work. So I'm belaboring under that difficulty. And also, as I said earlier just a minute ago, it's difficult to, you know, with a complete hypothetical, give a definitive answer. What do I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made in light of the fact that the resolution affirming the commitment transaction wasn't adopted until September 19th. As the acting chief executive officer, did you sit in on an earnings call on August 14th? MR. DOBSON: I did. MS. THOMAS: And do you recall what, if any, discussion there was regarding Hydro One's commitment to the merger during that call? MR. DOBSON: I do recall that commitment to the merger with Avista was was confirmed by myself on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do something that the company can't do, or would we order the company to spin Avista back, make its independence known to a third party? What what are you envisioning how this works in practice, because I'm trying I'm trying to figure a scenario about how this would all MR. SCARLETT: Well, I'm a not an expert in the full range of your powers and authorities of which you can and can't work. So I'm belaboring under that difficulty. And also, as I said earlier just a minute ago, it's difficult to, you know, with a complete hypothetical, give a definitive answer. What do I think would happen here? I think because we're all, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made in light of the fact that the resolution affirming the commitment transaction wasn't adopted until September 19th. As the acting chief executive officer, did you sit in on an earnings call on August 14th? MR. DOBSON: I did. MS. THOMAS: And do you recall what, if any, discussion there was regarding Hydro One's commitment to the merger during that call? MR. DOBSON: I do recall that commitment to the merger with Avista was was confirmed by myself on at least two or three times during that call. MS. THOMAS: Thank you, Mr. Dobson. Mr. Scarlett, a redirect for you. In
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do something that the company can't do, or would we order the company to spin Avista back, make its independence known to a third party? What what are you envisioning how this works in practice, because I'm trying I'm trying to figure a scenario about how this would all MR. SCARLETT: Well, I'm a not an expert in the full range of your powers and authorities of which you can and can't work. So I'm belaboring under that difficulty. And also, as I said earlier just a minute ago, it's difficult to, you know, with a complete hypothetical, give a definitive answer. What do I think would happen here? I think because we're all, you know, reasonable, rational people, we'd see this situation had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made in light of the fact that the resolution affirming the commitment transaction wasn't adopted until September 19th. As the acting chief executive officer, did you sit in on an earnings call on August 14th? MR. DOBSON: I did. MS. THOMAS: And do you recall what, if any, discussion there was regarding Hydro One's commitment to the merger during that call? MR. DOBSON: I do recall that commitment to the merger with Avista was was confirmed by myself on at least two or three times during that call. MS. THOMAS: Thank you, Mr. Dobson. Mr. Scarlett, a redirect for you. In discussion of the Governance Agreement, do you recall
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do something that the company can't do, or would we order the company to spin Avista back, make its independence known to a third party? What what are you envisioning how this works in practice, because I'm trying I'm trying to figure a scenario about how this would all MR. SCARLETT: Well, I'm a not an expert in the full range of your powers and authorities of which you can and can't work. So I'm belaboring under that difficulty. And also, as I said earlier just a minute ago, it's difficult to, you know, with a complete hypothetical, give a definitive answer. What do I think would happen here? I think because we're all, you know, reasonable, rational people, we'd see this situation had occurred, we would say what's the effect going to be,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made in light of the fact that the resolution affirming the commitment transaction wasn't adopted until September 19th. As the acting chief executive officer, did you sit in on an earnings call on August 14th? MR. DOBSON: I did. MS. THOMAS: And do you recall what, if any, discussion there was regarding Hydro One's commitment to the merger during that call? MR. DOBSON: I do recall that commitment to the merger with Avista was was confirmed by myself on at least two or three times during that call. MS. THOMAS: Thank you, Mr. Dobson. Mr. Scarlett, a redirect for you. In discussion of the Governance Agreement, do you recall questions regarding the application of the bylaws in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do something that the company can't do, or would we order the company to spin Avista back, make its independence known to a third party? What what are you envisioning how this works in practice, because I'm trying I'm trying to figure a scenario about how this would all MR. SCARLETT: Well, I'm a not an expert in the full range of your powers and authorities of which you can and can't work. So I'm belaboring under that difficulty. And also, as I said earlier just a minute ago, it's difficult to, you know, with a complete hypothetical, give a definitive answer. What do I think would happen here? I think because we're all, you know, reasonable, rational people, we'd see this situation had occurred, we would say what's the effect going to be, we're going to come in here and explain the effect.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made in light of the fact that the resolution affirming the commitment transaction wasn't adopted until September 19th. As the acting chief executive officer, did you sit in on an earnings call on August 14th? MR. DOBSON: 1 did. MS. THOMAS: And do you recall what, if any, discussion there was regarding Hydro One's commitment to the merger during that call? MR. DOBSON: 1 do recall that commitment to the merger with Avista was was confirmed by myself on at least two or three times during that call. MS. THOMAS: Thank you, Mr. Dobson. Mr. Scarlett, a redirect for you. In discussion of the Governance Agreement, do you recall questions regarding the application of the bylaws in terms of how the new board was constituted?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do something that the company can't do, or would we order the company to spin Avista back, make its independence known to a third party? What what are you envisioning how this works in practice, because I'm trying I'm trying to figure a scenario about how this would all MR. SCARLETT: Well, I'm a not an expert in the full range of your powers and authorities of which you can and can't work. So I'm belaboring under that difficulty. And also, as I said earlier just a minute ago, it's difficult to, you know, with a complete hypothetical, give a definitive answer. What do I think would happen here? I think because we're all, you know, reasonable, rational people, we'd see this situation had occurred, we would say what's the effect going to be, we're going to come in here and explain the effect. We're not just going to come in and drop it on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made in light of the fact that the resolution affirming the commitment transaction wasn't adopted until September 19th. As the acting chief executive officer, did you sit in on an earnings call on August 14th? MR. DOBSON: I did. MS. THOMAS: And do you recall what, if any, discussion there was regarding Hydro One's commitment to the merger during that call? MR. DOBSON: I do recall that commitment to the merger with Avista was was confirmed by myself on at least two or three times during that call. MS. THOMAS: Thank you, Mr. Dobson. Mr. Scarlett, a redirect for you. In discussion of the Governance Agreement, do you recall questions regarding the application of the bylaws in terms of how the new board was constituted? MR. SCARLETT: Yes, I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do something that the company can't do, or would we order the company to spin Avista back, make its independence known to a third party? What what are you envisioning how this works in practice, because I'm trying I'm trying to figure a scenario about how this would all MR. SCARLETT: Well, I'm a not an expert in the full range of your powers and authorities of which you can and can't work. So I'm belaboring under that difficulty. And also, as I said earlier just a minute ago, it's difficult to, you know, with a complete hypothetical, give a definitive answer. What do I think would happen here? I think because we're all, you know, reasonable, rational people, we'd see this situation had occurred, we would say what's the effect going to be, we're going to come in here and explain the effect. We're not just going to come in and drop it on the table. You know, we're going to come in and say we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made in light of the fact that the resolution affirming the commitment transaction wasn't adopted until September 19th. As the acting chief executive officer, did you sit in on an earnings call on August 14th? MR. DOBSON: 1 did. MS. THOMAS: And do you recall what, if any, discussion there was regarding Hydro One's commitment to the merger during that call? MR. DOBSON: 1 do recall that commitment to the merger with Avista was was confirmed by myself on at least two or three times during that call. MS. THOMAS: Thank you, Mr. Dobson. Mr. Scarlett, a redirect for you. In discussion of the Governance Agreement, do you recall questions regarding the application of the bylaws in terms of how the new board was constituted? MR. SCARLETT: Yes, 1 do. MS. THOMAS: And do you recall being asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do something that the company can't do, or would we order the company to spin Avista back, make its independence known to a third party? What what are you envisioning how this works in practice, because I'm trying I'm trying to figure a scenario about how this would all MR. SCARLETT: Well, I'm a not an expert in the full range of your powers and authorities of which you can and can't work. So I'm belaboring under that difficulty. And also, as I said earlier just a minute ago, it's difficult to, you know, with a complete hypothetical, give a definitive answer. What do I think would happen here? I think because we're all, you know, reasonable, rational people, we'd see this situation had occurred, we would say what's the effect going to be, we're going to come in here and explain the effect. We're not just going to come in and drop it on the table. You know, we're going to come in and say we think it causes this issue, and we think we can fix it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made in light of the fact that the resolution affirming the commitment transaction wasn't adopted until September 19th. As the acting chief executive officer, did you sit in on an earnings call on August 14th? MR. DOBSON: 1 did. MS. THOMAS: And do you recall what, if any, discussion there was regarding Hydro One's commitment to the merger during that call? MR. DOBSON: 1 do recall that commitment to the merger with Avista was was confirmed by myself on at least two or three times during that call. MS. THOMAS: Thank you, Mr. Dobson. Mr. Scarlett, a redirect for you. In discussion of the Governance Agreement, do you recall questions regarding the application of the bylaws in terms of how the new board was constituted? MR. SCARLETT: Yes, I do. MS. THOMAS: And do you recall being asked whether the Governance Agreement referred to the bylaws?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	something that's more significant even, and you felt that you couldn't meet your commitments, basically we're going to have a proceeding here, we're going to reopen the record in this proceeding, what what is the potential outcome? Is it that we would order you to do something that the company can't do, or would we order the company to spin Avista back, make its independence known to a third party? What what are you envisioning how this works in practice, because I'm trying I'm trying to figure a scenario about how this would all MR. SCARLETT: Well, I'm a not an expert in the full range of your powers and authorities of which you can and can't work. So I'm belaboring under that difficulty. And also, as I said earlier just a minute ago, it's difficult to, you know, with a complete hypothetical, give a definitive answer. What do I think would happen here? I think because we're all, you know, reasonable, rational people, we'd see this situation had occurred, we would say what's the effect going to be, we're going to come in here and explain the effect. We're not just going to come in and drop it on the table. You know, we're going to come in and say we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Dobson, in an earlier question, there was a there was actually a question to Mr. Lopez regarding his September 6th testimony that stated the continuing Hydro One commitment to the transaction and a question about how that September 6th statement was made in light of the fact that the resolution affirming the commitment transaction wasn't adopted until September 19th. As the acting chief executive officer, did you sit in on an earnings call on August 14th? MR. DOBSON: 1 did. MS. THOMAS: And do you recall what, if any, discussion there was regarding Hydro One's commitment to the merger during that call? MR. DOBSON: 1 do recall that commitment to the merger with Avista was was confirmed by myself on at least two or three times during that call. MS. THOMAS: Thank you, Mr. Dobson. Mr. Scarlett, a redirect for you. In discussion of the Governance Agreement, do you recall questions regarding the application of the bylaws in terms of how the new board was constituted? MR. SCARLETT: Yes, 1 do. MS. THOMAS: And do you recall being asked

38 (Pages 487 to 490)

Docket No. U-170970 - Vol. VII - 10/23/2018

	Page 491		Page 493
1	MS. THOMAS: And did you have a chance to	1	MS. THOMAS: Mr. Woods, another question for
2	look at the bylaws during our break?	2	you. Oh, sorry. This is actually I'm sorry. Just a
3	MR. SCARLETT: Yes, I did.	3	moment. On the spot here. That's it for you,
4	MS. THOMAS: Were you able to determine	4	Mr. Woods. Thank you.
5	whether the Governance Agreement refers to the bylaws?	5	Mr. Lopez, you were asked about rating
6	MR. SCARLETT: Yes. Can I just explain	6	agency comments, you were asked about questions
7	briefly?	7	Moody's report that the recent events were credit
8	MS. THOMAS: Yes.	8	negative; do you remember answering those questions?
9	MR. SCARLETT: I was asked if the bylaws	9	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
10	were referred to in the Governance Agreement, and I said	10	MS. THOMAS: At that point, did Moody's
11	I didn't think so. That was incorrect. They are	11	downgrade Hydro One?
12	referred to in Section 2.11, and it the section I can	12	MR. LOPEZ: No.
13	briefly summarize two things. It says that if the	13	MS. THOMAS: Did it take any other action?
14	bylaws in the Governance Agreement are inconsistent, we	14	MR. LOPEZ: No.
15	have an obligation to amend the bylaw to the extent it's	15	MS. THOMAS: You also testified about a
16	permissible to do so to make them consistent. And it	16	comment from Standard & Poor's, and you mentioned that
17	also says that if the Governance Agreement has items	17	Standard & Poor's issued two reports, the first of which
18	that are additive to the bylaws, that we'll comply with	18	came out July 2017; do I have that right?
19	the bylaws and the Governance Agreement. So it's really	19	MR. LOPEZ: Correct.
20	a harmonizing obligation.	20	MS. THOMAS: And at that point in time, what
21	MS. THOMAS: Mr. Woods, I have a couple of	21	was Standard & Poor's prediction of the impact on
22	questions for you. Do you recall testifying about how	22	Avista's rating of the proposed transaction with Hydro
23	you were asked to serve on the new board and how the new	23	One?
24	board was constituted? Do you recall mentioning that	24	MR. LOPEZ: It upgraded the outlook for
25	there was a search firm who contacted you?	25	Avista to credit watch positive, which meant that
	Page 492		Page 494
1			
1	MR. WOODS: Yes.	1	there's a 50 percent likelihood of being upgraded at the
2	MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search	1 2	there's a 50 percent likelihood of being upgraded at the time the transaction closed.
2	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search	2	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is.
2 3	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members?	2 3	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today?
2 3 4	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify	2 3 4	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is.
2 3 4 5	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify MR. WOODS: Yes, a different search firm.	2 3 4 5	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. MS. THOMAS: And that concludes my recross. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. I
2 3 4 5 6	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify	2 3 4 5 6	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. MS. THOMAS: And that concludes my recross. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. I appreciate all of you panelists being here today and
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify MR. WOODS: Yes, a different search firm.	2 3 4 5 6 7	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. MS. THOMAS: And that concludes my recross. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. I appreciate all of you panelists being here today and testifying in response to the questions from the Bench,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify MR. WOODS: Yes, a different search firm. MS. THOMAS: Okay. And you mentioned, of course, that you accepted the proposal to serve on the board. On what basis did you accept that offer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. MS. THOMAS: And that concludes my recross. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. I appreciate all of you panelists being here today and testifying in response to the questions from the Bench, and you all may take your seats in the back, and we'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify MR. WOODS: Yes, a different search firm. MS. THOMAS: Okay. And you mentioned, of course, that you accepted the proposal to serve on the board. On what basis did you accept that offer? MR. WOODS: Well, among the bases were that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. MS. THOMAS: And that concludes my recross. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. I appreciate all of you panelists being here today and testifying in response to the questions from the Bench, and you all may take your seats in the back, and we'll have Mr. McGuire come up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify MR. WOODS: Yes, a different search firm. MS. THOMAS: Okay. And you mentioned, of course, that you accepted the proposal to serve on the board. On what basis did you accept that offer? MR. WOODS: Well, among the bases were that this is a very important company in Ontario, and I felt	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. MS. THOMAS: And that concludes my recross. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. I appreciate all of you panelists being here today and testifying in response to the questions from the Bench, and you all may take your seats in the back, and we'll have Mr. McGuire come up. I certainly hope you don't need all that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify MR. WOODS: Yes, a different search firm. MS. THOMAS: Okay. And you mentioned, of course, that you accepted the proposal to serve on the board. On what basis did you accept that offer? MR. WOODS: Well, among the bases were that this is a very important company in Ontario, and I felt that it was an important role that I could assist in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. MS. THOMAS: And that concludes my recross. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. I appreciate all of you panelists being here today and testifying in response to the questions from the Bench, and you all may take your seats in the back, and we'll have Mr. McGuire come up. I certainly hope you don't need all that material, Mr. McGuire. I appreciate you coming
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify MR. WOODS: Yes, a different search firm. MS. THOMAS: Okay. And you mentioned, of course, that you accepted the proposal to serve on the board. On what basis did you accept that offer? MR. WOODS: Well, among the bases were that this is a very important company in Ontario, and I felt that it was an important role that I could assist in contributing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. MS. THOMAS: And that concludes my recross. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. I appreciate all of you panelists being here today and testifying in response to the questions from the Bench, and you all may take your seats in the back, and we'll have Mr. McGuire come up. I certainly hope you don't need all that material, Mr. McGuire. I appreciate you coming prepared, though. You've been sworn before in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify MR. WOODS: Yes, a different search firm. MS. THOMAS: Okay. And you mentioned, of course, that you accepted the proposal to serve on the board. On what basis did you accept that offer? MR. WOODS: Well, among the bases were that this is a very important company in Ontario, and I felt that it was an important role that I could assist in contributing. But second, it was also based on discussions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. MS. THOMAS: And that concludes my recross. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. I appreciate all of you panelists being here today and testifying in response to the questions from the Bench, and you all may take your seats in the back, and we'll have Mr. McGuire come up. I certainly hope you don't need all that material, Mr. McGuire. I appreciate you coming prepared, though. You've been sworn before in this proceeding, so you're still under oath.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify MR. WOODS: Yes, a different search firm. MS. THOMAS: Okay. And you mentioned, of course, that you accepted the proposal to serve on the board. On what basis did you accept that offer? MR. WOODS: Well, among the bases were that this is a very important company in Ontario, and I felt that it was an important role that I could assist in contributing. But second, it was also based on discussions I had with members of government following which I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. MS. THOMAS: And that concludes my recross. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. I appreciate all of you panelists being here today and testifying in response to the questions from the Bench, and you all may take your seats in the back, and we'll have Mr. McGuire come up. I certainly hope you don't need all that material, Mr. McGuire. I appreciate you coming prepared, though. You've been sworn before in this proceeding, so you're still under oath. MS. SUETAKE: Judge Moss, before we start
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify MR. WOODS: Yes, a different search firm. MS. THOMAS: Okay. And you mentioned, of course, that you accepted the proposal to serve on the board. On what basis did you accept that offer? MR. WOODS: Well, among the bases were that this is a very important company in Ontario, and I felt that it was an important role that I could assist in contributing. But second, it was also based on discussions I had with members of government following which I concluded that the board would be able to act	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. MS. THOMAS: And that concludes my recross. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. I appreciate all of you panelists being here today and testifying in response to the questions from the Bench, and you all may take your seats in the back, and we'll have Mr. McGuire come up. I certainly hope you don't need all that material, Mr. McGuire. I appreciate you coming prepared, though. You've been sworn before in this proceeding, so you're still under oath. MS. SUETAKE: Judge Moss, before we start the questioning of Mr. McGuire
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify MR. WOODS: Yes, a different search firm. MS. THOMAS: Okay. And you mentioned, of course, that you accepted the proposal to serve on the board. On what basis did you accept that offer? MR. WOODS: Well, among the bases were that this is a very important company in Ontario, and I felt that it was an important role that I could assist in contributing. But second, it was also based on discussions I had with members of government following which I concluded that the board would be able to act independently and that was important to me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. MS. THOMAS: And that concludes my recross. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. I appreciate all of you panelists being here today and testifying in response to the questions from the Bench, and you all may take your seats in the back, and we'll have Mr. McGuire come up. I certainly hope you don't need all that material, Mr. McGuire. I appreciate you coming prepared, though. You've been sworn before in this proceeding, so you're still under oath. MS. SUETAKE: Judge Moss, before we start the questioning of Mr. McGuire This is Nina Suetake from Public Counsel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify MR. WOODS: Yes, a different search firm. MS. THOMAS: Okay. And you mentioned, of course, that you accepted the proposal to serve on the board. On what basis did you accept that offer? MR. WOODS: Well, among the bases were that this is a very important company in Ontario, and I felt that it was an important role that I could assist in contributing. But second, it was also based on discussions I had with members of government following which I concluded that the board would be able to act independently and that was important to me. MS. THOMAS: Do you know whether your fellow	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. MS. THOMAS: And that concludes my recross. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. I appreciate all of you panelists being here today and testifying in response to the questions from the Bench, and you all may take your seats in the back, and we'll have Mr. McGuire come up. I certainly hope you don't need all that material, Mr. McGuire. I appreciate you coming prepared, though. You've been sworn before in this proceeding, so you're still under oath. MS. SUETAKE: Judge Moss, before we start the questioning of Mr. McGuire This is Nina Suetake from Public Counsel. I had a quick procedural question. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify MR. WOODS: Yes, a different search firm. MS. THOMAS: Okay. And you mentioned, of course, that you accepted the proposal to serve on the board. On what basis did you accept that offer? MR. WOODS: Well, among the bases were that this is a very important company in Ontario, and I felt that it was an important role that I could assist in contributing. But second, it was also based on discussions I had with members of government following which I concluded that the board would be able to act independently and that was important to me. MS. THOMAS: Do you know whether your fellow new board members evaluated the ability to act	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. MS. THOMAS: And that concludes my recross. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. I appreciate all of you panelists being here today and testifying in response to the questions from the Bench, and you all may take your seats in the back, and we'll have Mr. McGuire come up. I certainly hope you don't need all that material, Mr. McGuire. I appreciate you coming prepared, though. You've been sworn before in this proceeding, so you're still under oath. MS. SUETAKE: Judge Moss, before we start the questioning of Mr. McGuire This is Nina Suetake from Public Counsel. I had a quick procedural question. You had mentioned earlier that there was potentially more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify MR. WOODS: Yes, a different search firm. MS. THOMAS: Okay. And you mentioned, of course, that you accepted the proposal to serve on the board. On what basis did you accept that offer? MR. WOODS: Well, among the bases were that this is a very important company in Ontario, and I felt that it was an important role that I could assist in contributing. But second, it was also based on discussions I had with members of government following which I concluded that the board would be able to act independently and that was important to me. MS. THOMAS: Do you know whether your fellow new board members evaluated the ability to act independently as well?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. MS. THOMAS: And that concludes my recross. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. I appreciate all of you panelists being here today and testifying in response to the questions from the Bench, and you all may take your seats in the back, and we'll have Mr. McGuire come up. I certainly hope you don't need all that material, Mr. McGuire. I appreciate you coming prepared, though. You've been sworn before in this proceeding, so you're still under oath. MS. SUETAKE: Judge Moss, before we start the questioning of Mr. McGuire This is Nina Suetake from Public Counsel. I had a quick procedural question. You had mentioned earlier that there was potentially more public comments earlier at the beginning of the hearing,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify MR. WOODS: Yes, a different search firm. MS. THOMAS: Okay. And you mentioned, of course, that you accepted the proposal to serve on the board. On what basis did you accept that offer? MR. WOODS: Well, among the bases were that this is a very important company in Ontario, and I felt that it was an important role that I could assist in contributing. But second, it was also based on discussions I had with members of government following which I concluded that the board would be able to act independently and that was important to me. MS. THOMAS: Do you know whether your fellow new board members evaluated the ability to act independently as well? MR. WOODS: Yes, I don't know that I spoke	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. MS. THOMAS: And that concludes my recross. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. I appreciate all of you panelists being here today and testifying in response to the questions from the Bench, and you all may take your seats in the back, and we'll have Mr. McGuire come up. I certainly hope you don't need all that material, Mr. McGuire. I appreciate you coming prepared, though. You've been sworn before in this proceeding, so you're still under oath. MS. SUETAKE: Judge Moss, before we start the questioning of Mr. McGuire This is Nina Suetake from Public Counsel. I had a quick procedural question. You had mentioned earlier that there was potentially more public comments earlier at the beginning of the hearing, and we were wondering if we needed to amend or resubmit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify MR. WOODS: Yes, a different search firm. MS. THOMAS: Okay. And you mentioned, of course, that you accepted the proposal to serve on the board. On what basis did you accept that offer? MR. WOODS: Well, among the bases were that this is a very important company in Ontario, and I felt that it was an important role that I could assist in contributing. But second, it was also based on discussions I had with members of government following which I concluded that the board would be able to act independently and that was important to me. MS. THOMAS: Do you know whether your fellow new board members evaluated the ability to act independently as well? MR. WOODS: Yes, I don't know that I spoke with every single one of the other nine, but I know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. MS. THOMAS: And that concludes my recross. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. I appreciate all of you panelists being here today and testifying in response to the questions from the Bench, and you all may take your seats in the back, and we'll have Mr. McGuire come up. I certainly hope you don't need all that material, Mr. McGuire. I appreciate you coming prepared, though. You've been sworn before in this proceeding, so you're still under oath. MS. SUETAKE: Judge Moss, before we start the questioning of Mr. McGuire This is Nina Suetake from Public Counsel. I had a quick procedural question. You had mentioned earlier that there was potentially more public comments earlier at the beginning of the hearing, and we were wondering if we needed to amend or resubmit the public hearing or public comment exhibits?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify MR. WOODS: Yes, a different search firm. MS. THOMAS: Okay. And you mentioned, of course, that you accepted the proposal to serve on the board. On what basis did you accept that offer? MR. WOODS: Well, among the bases were that this is a very important company in Ontario, and I felt that it was an important role that I could assist in contributing. But second, it was also based on discussions I had with members of government following which I concluded that the board would be able to act independently and that was important to me. MS. THOMAS: Do you know whether your fellow new board members evaluated the ability to act independently as well? MR. WOODS: Yes, I don't know that I spoke with every single one of the other nine, but I know several of us did talk about that and they had similar	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. MS. THOMAS: And that concludes my recross. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. I appreciate all of you panelists being here today and testifying in response to the questions from the Bench, and you all may take your seats in the back, and we'll have Mr. McGuire come up. I certainly hope you don't need all that material, Mr. McGuire. I appreciate you coming prepared, though. You've been sworn before in this proceeding, so you're still under oath. MS. SUETAKE: Judge Moss, before we start the questioning of Mr. McGuire This is Nina Suetake from Public Counsel. I had a quick procedural question. You had mentioned earlier that there was potentially more public comments earlier at the beginning of the hearing, and we were wondering if we needed to amend or resubmit the public hearing or public comment exhibits? JUDGE MOSS: I think Mr. Roberts will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. THOMAS: Did the Province hire a search firm to help it identify board members? MR. WOODS: Yes. MS. THOMAS: Did the ad hoc committee also hire a search firm to help them identify MR. WOODS: Yes, a different search firm. MS. THOMAS: Okay. And you mentioned, of course, that you accepted the proposal to serve on the board. On what basis did you accept that offer? MR. WOODS: Well, among the bases were that this is a very important company in Ontario, and I felt that it was an important role that I could assist in contributing. But second, it was also based on discussions I had with members of government following which I concluded that the board would be able to act independently and that was important to me. MS. THOMAS: Do you know whether your fellow new board members evaluated the ability to act independently as well? MR. WOODS: Yes, I don't know that I spoke with every single one of the other nine, but I know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	time the transaction closed. MS. THOMAS: And is that still true today? MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. MS. THOMAS: And that concludes my recross. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. I appreciate all of you panelists being here today and testifying in response to the questions from the Bench, and you all may take your seats in the back, and we'll have Mr. McGuire come up. I certainly hope you don't need all that material, Mr. McGuire. I appreciate you coming prepared, though. You've been sworn before in this proceeding, so you're still under oath. MS. SUETAKE: Judge Moss, before we start the questioning of Mr. McGuire This is Nina Suetake from Public Counsel. I had a quick procedural question. You had mentioned earlier that there was potentially more public comments earlier at the beginning of the hearing, and we were wondering if we needed to amend or resubmit the public hearing or public comment exhibits?

39 (Pages 491 to 494)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 495		Page 497
1	comment exhibit to reflect the materials that have been	1	The the majority of our review was focused on
2	received in this phase of the proceeding.	2	ensuring that Avista remains protected from any
3	MS. SUETAKE: Okay.	3	intervention from from the government in Ontario.
4	JUDGE MOSS: I've been working with him on	4	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So if the Governance
5	that and of course the comment will close today, close	5	Agreement pertains to Hydro One but Hydro One would
6	of business today and so should be able to accomplish	6	become the primary shareholder of Avista if this merger
7	that in fairly short order. We've got four volumes	7	would come to fruition, does that concern you, the
8	already. I don't know if this will be a fifth volume or	8	provisions of the Governance Agreement now that you
9	what, but you all will work it out I'm sure.	9	understand the waiver provision?
10	MS. SUETAKE: Okay. Thank you very much.	10	MR. MCGUIRE: No, it does not concern me.
11	We will be in touch with him.	11	Again, I my review was focused on assuring that, you
12	JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much.	12	know, Avista was protected from any from any actions
13	Mr. McGuire, I believe you're ready.	13	of of any malicious actions or bad actors associated
14	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good afternoon,	14	with the parent company. Before we even learned that
15	Mr. McGuire.	15	the Province of Ontario had intended to interfere with
16	MR. MCGUIRE: Good afternoon.	16	the with Hydro One, we entertained the possibility
17	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thanks for being	17	that someone may be a bad actor and how do we protect
18	here. You've sat through the entire hearing I assume?	18	Avista from the potential that something bad upstream
19	MR. MCGUIRE: Yes.	19	may happen.
20	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So you have	20	And with those thoughts in mind, we
21	listened to the questions about the Governance Agreement	21	negotiated what we felt to be strong ringfencing
22	and Section 4.7, and without going through the	22	provisions and strong financial protections and strong
23	requirements of that section with you, first, do you	23	language in the governance documents that essentially
24	you've read that section and you've read the Governance	24	provided for a diverse board of directors that seem to
25	Agreement?	25	be able to carry out the board of director function
	Page 496		Page 498
1	Page 496 MR. MCGUIRE: I have.	1	Page 498 without interference from the Province of Ontario.
1 2	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the	1 2	
	MR. MCGUIRE: I have.	1	without interference from the Province of Ontario.
2 3 4	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision,	2 3 4	without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the
2 3 4 5	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of	2 3 4 5	without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province
2 3 4 5 6	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's	2 3 4 5 6	without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's corporate affairs?	2 3 4 5 6 7	without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members and that a single shareholder cannot enact an agenda
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's corporate affairs? MR. MCGUIRE: I agree, yes, that there is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members and that a single shareholder cannot enact an agenda with a minority of board votes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's corporate affairs? MR. MCGUIRE: I agree, yes, that there is a risk of that type of interference.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members and that a single shareholder cannot enact an agenda with a minority of board votes. Do you recall that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's corporate affairs? MR. MCGUIRE: I agree, yes, that there is a risk of that type of interference. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that it remains	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members and that a single shareholder cannot enact an agenda with a minority of board votes. Do you recall that? MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's corporate affairs? MR. MCGUIRE: I agree, yes, that there is a risk of that type of interference. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that it remains significantly greater than the testimony we heard from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members and that a single shareholder cannot enact an agenda with a minority of board votes. Do you recall that? MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, I do. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So after the recent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's corporate affairs? MR. MCGUIRE: I agree, yes, that there is a risk of that type of interference. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that it remains significantly greater than the testimony we heard from Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Scarlett on May 22nd, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members and that a single shareholder cannot enact an agenda with a minority of board votes. Do you recall that? MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, I do. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So after the recent resignation of the entire Hydro One board, do you still
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's corporate affairs? MR. MCGUIRE: I agree, yes, that there is a risk of that type of interference. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that it remains significantly greater than the testimony we heard from Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Scarlett on May 22nd, correct? MR. MCGUIRE: I I don't know that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members and that a single shareholder cannot enact an agenda with a minority of board votes. Do you recall that? MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, I do. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So after the recent resignation of the entire Hydro One board, do you still believe that the authority to force the resignation of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's corporate affairs? MR. MCGUIRE: I agree, yes, that there is a risk of that type of interference. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that it remains significantly greater than the testimony we heard from Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Scarlett on May 22nd, correct? MR. MCGUIRE: I I don't know that the risk is greater. I would say that the perceived risk is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members and that a single shareholder cannot enact an agenda with a minority of board votes. Do you recall that? MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, I do. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So after the recent resignation of the entire Hydro One board, do you still believe that the authority to force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board is not effective control over
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's corporate affairs? MR. MCGUIRE: I agree, yes, that there is a risk of that type of interference. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that it remains significantly greater than the testimony we heard from Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Scarlett on May 22nd, correct? MR. MCGUIRE: I I don't know that the risk is greater. I would say that the perceived risk is certainly greater, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members and that a single shareholder cannot enact an agenda with a minority of board votes. Do you recall that? MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, I do. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So after the recent resignation of the entire Hydro One board, do you still believe that the authority to force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board is not effective control over majority if not the entire Hydro One board?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's corporate affairs? MR. MCGUIRE: I agree, yes, that there is a risk of that type of interference. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that it remains significantly greater than the testimony we heard from Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Scarlett on May 22nd, correct? MR. MCGUIRE: I I don't know that the risk is greater. I would say that the perceived risk is certainly greater, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. In your review	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members and that a single shareholder cannot enact an agenda with a minority of board votes. Do you recall that? MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, I do. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So after the recent resignation of the entire Hydro One board, do you still believe that the authority to force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board? MR. MCGUIRE: I wrote this testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's corporate affairs? MR. MCGUIRE: I agree, yes, that there is a risk of that type of interference. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that it remains significantly greater than the testimony we heard from Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Scarlett on May 22nd, correct? MR. MCGUIRE: I I don't know that the risk is greater. I would say that the perceived risk is certainly greater, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. In your review of the Governance Agreement in this proceeding, did you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members and that a single shareholder cannot enact an agenda with a minority of board votes. Do you recall that? MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, I do. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So after the recent resignation of the entire Hydro One board, do you still believe that the authority to force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board is not effective control over majority if not the entire Hydro One board? MR. MCGUIRE: I wrote this testimony subsequent to the actions in Ontario, so I do believe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's corporate affairs? MR. MCGUIRE: I agree, yes, that there is a risk of that type of interference. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that it remains significantly greater than the testimony we heard from Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Scarlett on May 22nd, correct? MR. MCGUIRE: I I don't know that the risk is greater. I would say that the perceived risk is certainly greater, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. In your review of the Governance Agreement in this proceeding, did you consider the effect of the waiver provision, Section	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members and that a single shareholder cannot enact an agenda with a minority of board votes. Do you recall that? MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, I do. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So after the recent resignation of the entire Hydro One board, do you still believe that the authority to force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board? MR. MCGUIRE: I wrote this testimony subsequent to the actions in Ontario, so I do believe what I wrote to be true, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's corporate affairs? MR. MCGUIRE: I agree, yes, that there is a risk of that type of interference. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that it remains significantly greater than the testimony we heard from Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Scarlett on May 22nd, correct? MR. MCGUIRE: I I don't know that the risk is greater. I would say that the perceived risk is certainly greater, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. In your review of the Governance Agreement in this proceeding, did you consider the effect of the waiver provision, Section 8.11, in evaluating Staff's position in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members and that a single shareholder cannot enact an agenda with a minority of board votes. Do you recall that? MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, I do. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So after the recent resignation of the entire Hydro One board, do you still believe that the authority to force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board? MR. MCGUIRE: I wrote this testimony subsequent to the actions in Ontario, so I do believe what I wrote to be true, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So why do you think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's corporate affairs? MR. MCGUIRE: I agree, yes, that there is a risk of that type of interference. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that it remains significantly greater than the testimony we heard from Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Scarlett on May 22nd, correct? MR. MCGUIRE: I I don't know that the risk is greater. I would say that the perceived risk is certainly greater, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. In your review of the Governance Agreement in this proceeding, did you consider the effect of the waiver provision, Section 8.11, in evaluating Staff's position in this case? MR. MCGUIRE: No, I did not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members and that a single shareholder cannot enact an agenda with a minority of board votes. Do you recall that? MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, I do. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So after the recent resignation of the entire Hydro One board, do you still believe that the authority to force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board is not effective control over majority if not the entire Hydro One board? MR. MCGUIRE: I wrote this testimony subsequent to the actions in Ontario, so I do believe what I wrote to be true, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So why do you think the new board would be less influenced by the Province's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's corporate affairs? MR. MCGUIRE: I agree, yes, that there is a risk of that type of interference. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that it remains significantly greater than the testimony we heard from Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Scarlett on May 22nd, correct? MR. MCGUIRE: I I don't know that the risk is greater. I would say that the perceived risk is certainly greater, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. In your review of the Governance Agreement in this proceeding, did you consider the effect of the waiver provision, Section 8.11, in evaluating Staff's position in this case? MR. MCGUIRE: No, I did not. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Does it concern you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members and that a single shareholder cannot enact an agenda with a minority of board votes. Do you recall that? MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, I do. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So after the recent resignation of the entire Hydro One board, do you still believe that the authority to force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board? MR. MCGUIRE: I wrote this testimony subsequent to the actions in Ontario, so I do believe what I wrote to be true, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So why do you think the new board would be less influenced by the Province's wishes than the prior board?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's corporate affairs? MR. MCGUIRE: I agree, yes, that there is a risk of that type of interference. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that it remains significantly greater than the testimony we heard from Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Scarlett on May 22nd, correct? MR. MCGUIRE: I I don't know that the risk is greater. I would say that the perceived risk is certainly greater, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. In your review of the Governance Agreement in this proceeding, did you consider the effect of the waiver provision, Section 8.11, in evaluating Staff's position in this case? MR. MCGUIRE: No, I did not. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Does it concern you after listening to the discussion today?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members and that a single shareholder cannot enact an agenda with a minority of board votes. Do you recall that? MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, I do. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So after the recent resignation of the entire Hydro One board, do you still believe that the authority to force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board? MR. MCGUIRE: I wrote this testimony subsequent to the actions in Ontario, so I do believe what I wrote to be true, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So why do you think the new board would be less influenced by the Province's wishes than the prior board?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's corporate affairs? MR. MCGUIRE: I agree, yes, that there is a risk of that type of interference. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that it remains significantly greater than the testimony we heard from Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Scarlett on May 22nd, correct? MR. MCGUIRE: I I don't know that the risk is greater. I would say that the perceived risk is certainly greater, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. In your review of the Governance Agreement in this proceeding, did you consider the effect of the waiver provision, Section 8.11, in evaluating Staff's position in this case? MR. MCGUIRE: No, I did not. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Does it concern you after listening to the discussion today? MR. MCGUIRE: Not particularly, no. Staff's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members and that a single shareholder cannot enact an agenda with a minority of board votes. Do you recall that? MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, I do. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So after the recent resignation of the entire Hydro One board, do you still believe that the authority to force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board? MR. MCGUIRE: I wrote this testimony subsequent to the actions in Ontario, so I do believe what I wrote to be true, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So why do you think the new board would be less influenced by the Province's wishes than the prior board?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's corporate affairs? MR. MCGUIRE: I agree, yes, that there is a risk of that type of interference. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that it remains significantly greater than the testimony we heard from Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Scarlett on May 22nd, correct? MR. MCGUIRE: I I don't know that the risk is greater. I would say that the perceived risk is certainly greater, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. In your review of the Governance Agreement in this proceeding, did you consider the effect of the waiver provision, Section 8.11, in evaluating Staff's position in this case? MR. MCGUIRE: No, I did not. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Does it concern you after listening to the discussion today? MR. MCGUIRE: Not particularly, no. Staff's review was more focused on the Governance Agreement with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members and that a single shareholder cannot enact an agenda with a minority of board votes. Do you recall that? MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, I do. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So after the recent resignation of the entire Hydro One board, do you still believe that the authority to force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board? MR. MCGUIRE: I wrote this testimony subsequent to the actions in Ontario, so I do believe what I wrote to be true, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So why do you think the new board would be less influenced by the Province's wishes than the prior board? MR. MCGUIRE: I don't believe they would be less influenced, and I didn't testify to that. What I was attempting to say is that the Province of Ontario
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MCGUIRE: I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Given the events that we've talked about today and the terms of the Governance Agreement including the waiver provision, don't you agree that it shows that there's a risk of political intervention by the Province in Hydro One's corporate affairs? MR. MCGUIRE: I agree, yes, that there is a risk of that type of interference. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that it remains significantly greater than the testimony we heard from Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Scarlett on May 22nd, correct? MR. MCGUIRE: I I don't know that the risk is greater. I would say that the perceived risk is certainly greater, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. In your review of the Governance Agreement in this proceeding, did you consider the effect of the waiver provision, Section 8.11, in evaluating Staff's position in this case? MR. MCGUIRE: No, I did not. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Does it concern you after listening to the discussion today? MR. MCGUIRE: Not particularly, no. Staff's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 without interference from the Province of Ontario. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your testimony, and that's the CRM-1T on page 6, line 17 to 19, you said that (as read) Although the Province may force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board, the Province may only nominate 40 percent of the new board members and that a single shareholder cannot enact an agenda with a minority of board votes. Do you recall that? MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, I do. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So after the recent resignation of the entire Hydro One board, do you still believe that the authority to force the resignation of the entire Hydro One board? MR. MCGUIRE: I wrote this testimony subsequent to the actions in Ontario, so I do believe what I wrote to be true, yes. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So why do you think the new board would be less influenced by the Province's wishes than the prior board?

40 (Pages 495 to 498)

	Page 499		Page 501
1		1	
2	Province can force resignation or can oust the entire board and that is that has been known throughout this	2	given the risks we've discussed today, what benefit does this commitment provide to Avista's ratepayers?
3	proceeding that they had that capability. And what	3	MR. MCGUIRE: Well, this benefit or this
4	happened isn't outside of what we understood to be the	4	commitment benefits the ratepayers by giving parties and
5	capability of the Province of Ontario.	5	the Commission recourse again in the event that
6	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So I have a	6	something detrimental happens. Something that the
7	few questions about the Commitment 82.	7	Commission or other parties perceive as being
8	MR. MCGUIRE: Okay.	8	detrimental to Avista or its ratepayers, those parties
9	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Similar to the	9	and the Commission can use this commitment to reopen the
10	questions I asked Mr. Scarlett, was it Staff's intent in	10	record and redecide this issue.
11	agreeing to this commitment that it would present	11	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But then effectively,
12	assurances to the Commission that the Commission would	12	how can we unring a bell? So once a transaction is
13	have recourse if any government entity, governmental	13	concluded, if there's risk of harm to the company and
14	entity in Canada, took a position or an action that	14	its ratepayers, is rehearing and modifying the decision
15	affected Avista's operations or prevented compliance	15	really a feasible outcome? What's the remedy?
16	with the commitments?	16	MR. MCGUIRE: That I don't know. I don't
17	MR. MCGUIRE: Yes.	17	know the answer to that, and that's straining into legal
18	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay.	18	territory that I just don't I just don't know the
19	MR. MCGUIRE: Would you like me to	19	answer to that. So I don't know.
20	elaborate?	20	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. I
21	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Go ahead.	21	have no other questions.
22	MR. MCGUIRE: Yeah, so when we negotiated	22	CHAIRMAN DANNER: So, Mr. McGuire, my
23	the settlement, we did our best to negotiate, again,	23	question to you, then, is Commitment 82 just bells and
24	strong ringfencing provisions and strong financial	24	suspenders, are you satisfied with 1 through 81 as being
25	provisions, things that would protect Avista and its	25	sufficient?
1	Page 500 ratepayers from something unforeseen and unfortunate.	1	Page 502 MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, I was satisfied with
2	But after the recent actions in Ontario, we thought it	2	those commitments as being sufficient, but I do
3	would be useful to the Commission to negotiate another	3	appreciate that this new commitment exists and that the
4	commitment that would allow the Commission to have	4	applicants have agreed to this commitment essentially
5	recourse against some other unforeseeable event.	5	allowing the Commission to to reevaluate the issues
6	So in my mind, this commitment is a	6	in this case.
7	protection for the Commission in the event that anything	7	CHAIRMAN DANNER: So was there a fact
8	else comes to pass, that the Commission or any of the	8	pattern in your head that this Commitment 82 was
9	intervening parties here deem to be detrimental to	9	addressing and did you have a scenario that you were
10	Avista or its ratepayers.	10	trying to address?
11	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So do you agree with	11	MR. MCGUIRE: No, I did not have a scenario
12	Mr. Scarlett's statement that the Commission has the	12	I was trying to address. This commitment was
13	authority now to if we were to approve the merger, to	13	predominantly negotiated to and for my perspective,
14 15	reopen on our own motion MR. MCGUIRE: Yes.	14 15	to provide the Commission and other parties with some clear recourse in the event something unfortunate
16	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: despite the	16	happens. That's I didn't have anything specific in
17	commitments?	17	mind. As I mentioned a moment ago, this exists
18	MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, I believe that to be	18	partially because we can't think of everything that
	true, and I think language in the commitment itself	19	could happen, and this commitment exists because we
19		20	believe that it does provide something additional that
19 20	reaffirms that position. The very last sentence of		
20	reaffirms that position. The very last sentence of Commitment 82 says that, (as read) Nothing in this		· · · ·
20 21	Commitment 82 says that, (as read) Nothing in this	21	possibly wasn't clear, maybe it wasn't clear whether or
20	Commitment 82 says that, (as read) Nothing in this commitment is intended to restrict or to limit the	21 22	possibly wasn't clear, maybe it wasn't clear whether or not the other parties could petition to reopen this
20 21 22	Commitment 82 says that, (as read) Nothing in this	21	possibly wasn't clear, maybe it wasn't clear whether or
20 21 22 23	Commitment 82 says that, (as read) Nothing in this commitment is intended to restrict or to limit the authority of the Commission.	21 22 23	possibly wasn't clear, maybe it wasn't clear whether or not the other parties could petition to reopen this record and have the Commission redecide certain issues

41 (Pages 499 to 502)

	Page 503		Page 505
-			
1	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: So, Mr. McGuire,	1	JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Meyer, I think you just
2	when this commitment was being contemplated and you	2	love to write briefs.
3	stated a few times here of either redeciding or	3	MR. MEYER: Yeah.
4	reopening the record in this case, did you think about	4	JUDGE MOSS: You always ask for briefs.
5	the possibility that in that event, the Commission would	5	MR. MEYER: And I always ask for more pages,
6	rescind its approval of this transaction?	6	which I never get.
7	MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, I thought of that.	7	JUDGE MOSS: Yes, you always want 65 pages.
8	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: And so this	8	MR. MEYER: That's right. So we understand
9	commitment was designed with that possibility in mind?	9	each other on that.
10	MR. MCGUIRE: It was designed very broadly,	10	JUDGE MOSS: Yes, we do.
11	yes, for a reason.	11	MR. MEYER: But in this in this case in
12	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Thank you.	12 13	all seriousness, a number of issues have been raised,
13	JUDGE MOSS: I know you're not a lawyer,		but we're not sure we understand precisely the concern.
14	Mr. McGuire, so my question may be falling on the wrong	14	We can surmise what the concerns might be through the
15	person here, but what practical significance would it be	15	questioning. We just want to make sure that through
16 17	if we said, oh, we're rescinding our approval of a	16 17	this extended process we've addressed head-on what your
18	transaction that's already been consummated? Doesn't have any practical effect, does it?	18	issues are.
10	MR. MCGUIRE: Can I pass that on to my	19	So to that end, it would benefit applicants
20		20	if we would be given the chance to submit a rather short and to the point brief. On what? That's where we could
20	attorney?	20	use the assistance perhaps of Your Honor, if you could,
22	JUDGE MOSS: I think we can just let it drop, how about that?	21	so we don't act as ships passing in the night, if you
23	MR. MCGUIRE: Okay.	23	can help identify issues that you would prefer to see
24	JUDGE MOSS: All right. No further	24	some briefing on.
25	questions?	24	JUDGE MOSS: Well, we have discussed the
23			
	Page 504		Page 506
1	Page 504 All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate	1	Page 506 issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided
1 2		1 2	
	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate		issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided
2	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this	2	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this
2 3	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can	2 3	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of
2 3 4	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose.	2 3 4	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete
2 3 4 5	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose. MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you.	2 3 4 5	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete record. So I don't really unless they tell me that
2 3 4 5 6	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose. MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: I assume you have no redirect, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no redirect,	2 3 4 5 6	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete record. So I don't really unless they tell me that they're changing their mind up here, which I see head shaking in the negative, I don't think we'll have briefs, Mr. Meyer.
2 3 4 5 6 7	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose. MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: I assume you have no redirect, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski?	2 3 4 5 6 7	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete record. So I don't really unless they tell me that they're changing their mind up here, which I see head shaking in the negative, I don't think we'll have briefs, Mr. Meyer. MR. MEYER: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose. MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: I assume you have no redirect, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no redirect,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete record. So I don't really unless they tell me that they're changing their mind up here, which I see head shaking in the negative, I don't think we'll have briefs, Mr. Meyer. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Appreciate it.
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose. MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: I assume you have no redirect, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no redirect, thank you. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete record. So I don't really unless they tell me that they're changing their mind up here, which I see head shaking in the negative, I don't think we'll have briefs, Mr. Meyer. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Appreciate it. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Hey, not so fast.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose. MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: I assume you have no redirect, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no redirect, thank you. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much. Well, that, then, I believe brings us to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete record. So I don't really unless they tell me that they're changing their mind up here, which I see head shaking in the negative, I don't think we'll have briefs, Mr. Meyer. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Appreciate it. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Hey, not so fast. JUDGE MOSS: Not so fast?
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose. MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: I assume you have no redirect, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no redirect, thank you. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much. Well, that, then, I believe brings us to the conclusion of this phase. I've been calling it phase	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete record. So I don't really unless they tell me that they're changing their mind up here, which I see head shaking in the negative, I don't think we'll have briefs, Mr. Meyer. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Appreciate it. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Hey, not so fast. JUDGE MOSS: Not so fast? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Mr. Meyer, were you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose. MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: I assume you have no redirect, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no redirect, thank you. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much. Well, that, then, I believe brings us to the conclusion of this phase. I've been calling it phase two in my notes, but I haven't announced that publicly,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete record. So I don't really unless they tell me that they're changing their mind up here, which I see head shaking in the negative, I don't think we'll have briefs, Mr. Meyer. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Appreciate it. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Hey, not so fast. JUDGE MOSS: Not so fast? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Mr. Meyer, were you envisioning all the parties submitting separate briefs?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose. MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: I assume you have no redirect, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no redirect, thank you. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much. Well, that, then, I believe brings us to the conclusion of this phase. I've been calling it phase two in my notes, but I haven't announced that publicly, so there's nothing formal about it. But we have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete record. So I don't really unless they tell me that they're changing their mind up here, which I see head shaking in the negative, I don't think we'll have briefs, Mr. Meyer. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Appreciate it. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Hey, not so fast. JUDGE MOSS: Not so fast? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Mr. Meyer, were you envisioning all the parties submitting separate briefs? MR. MEYER: I was no, quite frankly, I
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose. MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: I assume you have no redirect, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no redirect, thank you. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much. Well, that, then, I believe brings us to the conclusion of this phase. I've been calling it phase two in my notes, but I haven't announced that publicly, so there's nothing formal about it. But we have the record in a state where we can move forward with our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete record. So I don't really unless they tell me that they're changing their mind up here, which I see head shaking in the negative, I don't think we'll have briefs, Mr. Meyer. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Appreciate it. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Hey, not so fast. JUDGE MOSS: Not so fast? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Mr. Meyer, were you envisioning all the parties submitting separate briefs? MR. MEYER: I was no, quite frankly, I was envisioning the two applicants, perhaps within
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose. MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: I assume you have no redirect, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no redirect, thank you. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much. Well, that, then, I believe brings us to the conclusion of this phase. I've been calling it phase two in my notes, but I haven't announced that publicly, so there's nothing formal about it. But we have the record in a state where we can move forward with our deliberations. The Commissioners can deliberate on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete record. So I don't really unless they tell me that they're changing their mind up here, which I see head shaking in the negative, I don't think we'll have briefs, Mr. Meyer. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Appreciate it. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Hey, not so fast. JUDGE MOSS: Not so fast? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Mr. Meyer, were you envisioning all the parties submitting separate briefs? MR. MEYER: I was no, quite frankly, I was envisioning the two applicants, perhaps within like we haven't discussed this, perhaps within ten
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose. MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: I assume you have no redirect, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no redirect, thank you. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much. Well, that, then, I believe brings us to the conclusion of this phase. I've been calling it phase two in my notes, but I haven't announced that publicly, so there's nothing formal about it. But we have the record in a state where we can move forward with our deliberations. The Commissioners can deliberate on this, and we will then enter an order in due course.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete record. So I don't really unless they tell me that they're changing their mind up here, which I see head shaking in the negative, I don't think we'll have briefs, Mr. Meyer. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Appreciate it. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Hey, not so fast. JUDGE MOSS: Not so fast? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Mr. Meyer, were you envisioning all the parties submitting separate briefs? MR. MEYER: I was no, quite frankly, I was envisioning the two applicants, perhaps within like we haven't discussed this, perhaps within ten days submitting a relatively short brief, maybe 20, 30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose. MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: I assume you have no redirect, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no redirect, thank you. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much. Well, that, then, I believe brings us to the conclusion of this phase. I've been calling it phase two in my notes, but I haven't announced that publicly, so there's nothing formal about it. But we have the record in a state where we can move forward with our deliberations. The Commissioners can deliberate on this, and we will then enter an order in due course. Anything further we need to talk about?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete record. So I don't really unless they tell me that they're changing their mind up here, which I see head shaking in the negative, I don't think we'll have briefs, Mr. Meyer. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Appreciate it. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Hey, not so fast. JUDGE MOSS: Not so fast? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Mr. Meyer, were you envisioning all the parties submitting separate briefs? MR. MEYER: I was no, quite frankly, I was envisioning the two applicants, perhaps within like we haven't discussed this, perhaps within ten days submitting a relatively short brief, maybe 20, 30 pages at the most. Just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose. MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: I assume you have no redirect, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no redirect, thank you. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much. Well, that, then, I believe brings us to the conclusion of this phase. I've been calling it phase two in my notes, but I haven't announced that publicly, so there's nothing formal about it. But we have the record in a state where we can move forward with our deliberations. The Commissioners can deliberate on this, and we will then enter an order in due course. Anything further we need to talk about? MR. MEYER: There is, Your Honor. After	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete record. So I don't really unless they tell me that they're changing their mind up here, which I see head shaking in the negative, I don't think we'll have briefs, Mr. Meyer. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Appreciate it. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Hey, not so fast. JUDGE MOSS: Not so fast? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Mr. Meyer, were you envisioning all the parties submitting separate briefs? MR. MEYER: I was no, quite frankly, I was envisioning the two applicants, perhaps within like we haven't discussed this, perhaps within ten days submitting a relatively short brief, maybe 20, 30 pages at the most. Just You want more?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose. MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: I assume you have no redirect, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no redirect, thank you. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much. Well, that, then, I believe brings us to the conclusion of this phase. I've been calling it phase two in my notes, but I haven't announced that publicly, so there's nothing formal about it. But we have the record in a state where we can move forward with our deliberations. The Commissioners can deliberate on this, and we will then enter an order in due course. Anything further we need to talk about? MR. MEYER: There is, Your Honor. After after conferring with our colleagues at Hydro One and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete record. So I don't really unless they tell me that they're changing their mind up here, which I see head shaking in the negative, I don't think we'll have briefs, Mr. Meyer. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Appreciate it. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Hey, not so fast. JUDGE MOSS: Not so fast? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Mr. Meyer, were you envisioning all the parties submitting separate briefs? MR. MEYER: I was no, quite frankly, I was envisioning the two applicants, perhaps within like we haven't discussed this, perhaps within ten days submitting a relatively short brief, maybe 20, 30 pages at the most. Just You want more? MS. THOMAS: No. I think we can do it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose. MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: I assume you have no redirect, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no redirect, thank you. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much. Well, that, then, I believe brings us to the conclusion of this phase. I've been calling it phase two in my notes, but I haven't announced that publicly, so there's nothing formal about it. But we have the record in a state where we can move forward with our deliberations. The Commissioners can deliberate on this, and we will then enter an order in due course. Anything further we need to talk about? MR. MEYER: There is, Your Honor. After after conferring with our colleagues at Hydro One and still being mindful of the schedule and the December	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete record. So I don't really unless they tell me that they're changing their mind up here, which I see head shaking in the negative, I don't think we'll have briefs, Mr. Meyer. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Appreciate it. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Hey, not so fast. JUDGE MOSS: Not so fast? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Mr. Meyer, were you envisioning all the parties submitting separate briefs? MR. MEYER: I was no, quite frankly, I was envisioning the two applicants, perhaps within like we haven't discussed this, perhaps within ten days submitting a relatively short brief, maybe 20, 30 pages at the most. Just You want more? MS. THOMAS: No. I think we can do it faster and shorter. I think we have a couple of issues
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose. MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: I assume you have no redirect, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no redirect, thank you. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much. Well, that, then, I believe brings us to the conclusion of this phase. I've been calling it phase two in my notes, but I haven't announced that publicly, so there's nothing formal about it. But we have the record in a state where we can move forward with our deliberations. The Commissioners can deliberate on this, and we will then enter an order in due course. Anything further we need to talk about? MR. MEYER: There is, Your Honor. After after conferring with our colleagues at Hydro One and still being mindful of the schedule and the December 14th date and what has to happen between now and then,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete record. So I don't really unless they tell me that they're changing their mind up here, which I see head shaking in the negative, I don't think we'll have briefs, Mr. Meyer. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Appreciate it. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Hey, not so fast. JUDGE MOSS: Not so fast? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Mr. Meyer, were you envisioning all the parties submitting separate briefs? MR. MEYER: I was no, quite frankly, I was envisioning the two applicants, perhaps within like we haven't discussed this, perhaps within ten days submitting a relatively short brief, maybe 20, 30 pages at the most. Just You want more? MS. THOMAS: No. I think we can do it faster and shorter. I think we have a couple of issues that we wanted to make sure that our answers were very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose. MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: I assume you have no redirect, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no redirect, thank you. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much. Well, that, then, I believe brings us to the conclusion of this phase. I've been calling it phase two in my notes, but I haven't announced that publicly, so there's nothing formal about it. But we have the record in a state where we can move forward with our deliberations. The Commissioners can deliberate on this, and we will then enter an order in due course. Anything further we need to talk about? MR. MEYER: There is, Your Honor. After after conferring with our colleagues at Hydro One and still being mindful of the schedule and the December 14th date and what has to happen between now and then, applicants would like the opportunity in short order to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete record. So I don't really unless they tell me that they're changing their mind up here, which I see head shaking in the negative, I don't think we'll have briefs, Mr. Meyer. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Appreciate it. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Hey, not so fast. JUDGE MOSS: Not so fast? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Mr. Meyer, were you envisioning all the parties submitting separate briefs? MR. MEYER: I was no, quite frankly, I was envisioning the two applicants, perhaps within like we haven't discussed this, perhaps within ten days submitting a relatively short brief, maybe 20, 30 pages at the most. Just You want more? MS. THOMAS: No. I think we can do it faster and shorter. I think we have a couple of issues that we wanted to make sure that our answers were very crisp and clear on answers that were in the nature of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	All right. Mr. McGuire, we do appreciate you coming forward and giving your testimony this afternoon. I think we're finished with you and you can retire to the back of the room if you choose. MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: I assume you have no redirect, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no redirect, thank you. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much. Well, that, then, I believe brings us to the conclusion of this phase. I've been calling it phase two in my notes, but I haven't announced that publicly, so there's nothing formal about it. But we have the record in a state where we can move forward with our deliberations. The Commissioners can deliberate on this, and we will then enter an order in due course. Anything further we need to talk about? MR. MEYER: There is, Your Honor. After after conferring with our colleagues at Hydro One and still being mindful of the schedule and the December 14th date and what has to happen between now and then,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	issue of briefing, and the Commissioners have decided among themselves they see no need for briefs in this case at this juncture. We've had quite a bit of process, and we feel like we have a pretty complete record. So I don't really unless they tell me that they're changing their mind up here, which I see head shaking in the negative, I don't think we'll have briefs, Mr. Meyer. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: Appreciate it. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Hey, not so fast. JUDGE MOSS: Not so fast? CHAIRMAN DANNER: Mr. Meyer, were you envisioning all the parties submitting separate briefs? MR. MEYER: I was no, quite frankly, I was envisioning the two applicants, perhaps within like we haven't discussed this, perhaps within ten days submitting a relatively short brief, maybe 20, 30 pages at the most. Just You want more? MS. THOMAS: No. I think we can do it faster and shorter. I think we have a couple of issues that we wanted to make sure that our answers were very

42 (Pages 503 to 506)

	Page 507
1	opportunity to do.
2	CHAIRMAN DANNER: I think we
3	JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, we'll be in
4	recess for a few minutes while we confer on this issue.
5	(Pause in the proceedings.)
6	JUDGE MOSS: Let's be back on the record.
7	So, again, while we appreciate your concerns
8	and your invitation to outline a short brief for you, I
9	think we're going to demur. The Commission does not
10	feel the need for briefs. We've had a lot of process,
11	we've heard pretty much every argument that could be
12	possibly be made about this transaction. And so we're
13	prepared to go forward with a decision at this point.
14	All right.
15	MR. MEYER: Thank you very much.
16	MS. THOMAS: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	JUDGE MOSS: Anything else? All right. I
18	think we can I think with that, we'll just go ahead
19	and close the record. Appreciate everybody being here
20	today. I think the hearing was very useful to the
21	Commission. I think it gave us what we needed, and
22	we'll move forward from here. We have the December 14th
23	deadline in mind. All right. Thank you. We're off the
24	record.
25	(Adjourned at 1:55 p.m.)
	Page 508
1	
- 1	
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
2 3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
2 3 4	
2 3 4 5	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON
2 3 4 5 6	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand
2 3 4 5 6 7	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

43 (Pages 507 to 508)

				1 490 000
	419:1 451:10	467:19,22 468:18	adverse 480:23	382:8,15,17 383:1
<u>A</u>	460:17 473:25	485:19 497:12,13	advice 480:12	388:1 391:7
A-' 349:15	485:14	498:17 500:2	advice 480:12 advise 480:21	396:19 399:9,17
a.m 339:13 350:2	accountable 371:5	active 422:24 423:6	483:9	400:4,7,12,12
355:23,23 397:17	446:14	423:18 441:23	advisors 407:9	400.4,7,12,12 402:1,14 403:16
397:17	accountant 432:17	actively 451:23	affairs 344:17	402.1,14 403.10
abbreviated 409:25	accountants 432:17	activities 392:22	379:11 437:13	405:22,24 404.2,7 405:5 406:20
abide 412:6 415:12				
abided 420:22	accounted 468:9	activity 420:10	438:12 496:7	409:16 410:2
ability 362:21	accounts 426:3	actor 497:17	affect 380:13	411:10,16 412:7
391:23 403:25	accurate 373:12	actors 497:13	412:20 419:21,25	412:21 414:3
412:16 419:20,23	508:9	actual 468:20	426:9 474:9	415:14 416:18
424:1,12,15	achieve 425:12,24	ad 421:14 427:18	487:18	417:5,12 418:1,6
441:16 447:8	426:1,6 465:5	428:2,7,12 429:3	affirming 490:6	418:14,21 419:16
466:24 487:19	achieved 467:17,24	429:5,13,14,20	afternoon 350:20	420:1,9,13,21
492:20 508:9	acknowledged	432:5,16 433:6,10	359:13 472:8,9	421:1,4 423:4
able 352:16 365:19	369:1	433:16 443:15	495:14,16 504:3	426:19,22 427:7
370:20 380:16	acquired 435:16	492:5	agencies 394:2,12	427:25 432:24
381:12 383:3	acquiring 467:8	add 385:6,11	394:21 395:6	433:5,9,10,15,20
393:22 401:12,19	acquisition 343:6	added 476:9 489:9	462:22,25 466:21	433:24 435:21
414:8 430:22	348:8 351:11	additional 351:19	agency 353:23	436:17 437:5
444:17 447:6	376:19 467:10	351:23 372:8,16	393:25 394:9	457:20 460:8,8
451:20 456:2	acquisitions 450:4	419:2,3 440:14	395:1 493:6	472:14 473:1,18
461:18 475:13	act 345:4 347:16	469:11 476:9	agenda 401:12	486:7 490:19,24
478:22,24 479:1	376:12 378:3	502:20	498:7	491:5,10,14,17,19
486:14 491:4	404:1 411:12	additions 353:8	ago 488:16 502:17	495:21,25 496:4
492:17 495:6	414:24 415:2	additive 483:19	agree 366:18	496:17,24 497:5,8
497:25	419:1 437:20	484:21 491:18	393:21 402:15	Agreement's
Absent 384:16	438:22 446:14	address 485:8	438:19 446:5	436:23
absolutely 353:25	451:10 460:17	502:10,12	463:3,5,21 464:11	agreements 374:22
367:25 369:19	461:10 473:25	addressed 505:16	467:16,18 471:1	375:12,17
377:15 378:10	474:2 485:14	addressing 502:9	474:11 484:25	ahead 354:18 357:3
412:16	492:17,20 505:22	adequate 375:20	496:5,8 500:11	359:15,21 381:22
accept 421:10	acted 422:8 443:22	Adjourned 507:25	agreed 363:4	382:24 411:14
492:10	acting 401:15	adjunct 436:24	375:12 376:3	413:23 422:17
acceptable 352:24	411:22 437:23	adjusted 348:14	400:14 406:22,23	432:15 499:21
433:11	490:8	adjustment 478:14	502:4	507:18
accepted 431:23	action 378:1 410:25	478:17	agreeing 499:11	aim 426:6 475:3,4
492:9	414:11 416:12	administered 348:7	agreement 342:11	Aldyl 347:23
access 350:18	435:8 443:2 466:6	administrative	343:24 344:5	aligned 370:22
accomplish 495:6	466:19,23 467:4	340:8 350:8	345:3,13 351:12	444:19 475:16
account 350:21	482:9 483:12	406:24	360:2,10,17,23	Allocation 342:23
379:10 470:16	484:11 485:15	admission 353:13	361:1,12,18 363:2	342:24
477:25	493:13 499:14	adopted 490:7	363:4 367:18	allow 412:20
Accountability	actions 382:12	advance 361:19,22	368:1,14 376:3	418:11 482:17
345:4 347:16	383:10 465:24	452:2 483:11	378:6 379:19	500:4
JTJ.T JT/.10			-	
	I	l	I	l

	1	I	1	
allowed 376:1	annually 439:5	application 346:9	507:11	assurance 442:8
390:12	anonymously	366:1 426:24	arrange 401:19	assurances 357:14
allowing 483:11	445:19	490:20	arrangement	357:20 358:11
502:5	answer 352:10,11	applied 461:23	378:22	482:7 499:12
alter 462:12	352:12,13,14,18	apply 462:3	arrangements	assuring 497:11
alternative 463:20	352:24,25 364:21	appoint 430:10	402:21	Attachment 345:18
alternatively	368:13 369:12	433:12 434:19	art 367:19	345:19,22,23
455:11	374:11 380:21,21	436:11,13	article 361:14	346:16
alternatives 401:23	387:24 391:23,25	appointed 431:5,6	435:21 446:1	attainder 425:6
409:4	392:4 395:3	appointing 435:8	450:20,23	attempting 498:24
amend 461:23	396:15 430:22	appointment 427:4	Articles 437:21	attendance 406:11
462:13 491:15	435:10 436:5	431:24 435:22	asked 352:10,11	408:1
494:22	438:25 439:7	436:23	396:10,11 431:8	attorned 462:17
amended 346:21	441:1,4,18 443:17	appoints 436:15	440:1 442:5,19	attorney 341:4
420:2	456:12 459:15	appreciate 359:13	452:10 481:15,16	352:16 503:20
amending 461:15	461:18 468:16	396:6 397:4 420:5	483:4 490:23	attract 447:8
461:21	479:21 484:3	446:12 452:5	491:9,23 493:5,6	475:12
America 358:15	488:17 501:17,19	494:8,13 502:3	499:10 500:25	attracting 443:23
468:5 475:12	answered 473:9	504:1 506:10	asking 358:17	attractive 412:2
American 343:11	answering 413:20	507:7,19	359:17 465:4	attribute 469:23
amount 360:1	493:8	apprised 484:24	473:10,13 476:8,8	attributed 477:17
387:4 390:16	answers 381:17	approach 358:5	asks 486:1	Audit 349:3
425:4 476:14	506:23,24	479:12	assemble 416:25	audits 372:19
477:25	anticipate 395:6	approached 431:15	assert 354:2	August 435:6 436:9
amounts 469:9	471:4	appropriate 354:10	assessment 349:15	451:15 458:11
analysis 394:24	anticipation 357:12	365:23 432:22	446:5 465:16	490:9
analyst 345:21	anybody 353:6	approval 447:2	466:14 468:11	authorities 381:11
480:17	413:7,11 420:23	451:12 474:3	asset 395:21	488:13
and/or 481:4	anyway 487:22	503:6,16	assets 395:15,16,17	authority 347:8
Andrews 342:4	apologies 357:4	approve 419:16	395:24	378:8,21 381:2
ANN 340:3	apologize 356:20	482:15 500:13	Assignment 343:5	435:8 482:23
announce 350:15	397:23	approved 357:16	assist 440:21	498:13 500:13,23
announced 362:15	apparently 354:17	376:20 399:9	492:13	authorized 392:10
362:24 366:19	appear 357:17	406:12 430:2,20	assistance 505:21	available 394:7
367:15 368:23	appearances	478:21 485:13	assisted 431:25	454:1,15,18,20,24
431:24 434:11	356:24	approves 393:12	associated 343:5	455:10,12 456:16
465:25 467:10	appeared 356:15	486:1	497:13	457:3,7,11 464:20
504:14	369:3 370:4	Approving 349:5	Association 348:7	Avenue 339:21
announcement	appears 370:5	approximate 387:9	assume 426:9	340:19
361:25 362:3,9,11	Appendix 353:15	approximately	438:13,14 495:18	Avista 339:5
433:18 469:22	applicant 489:7	386:11 387:2	504:6	340:12,14 342:3,4
announcements	applicant's 489:12	Area 348:3	assumed 388:25	342:16,19,20,22
364:18 365:9	applicants 502:4	areas 343:14	assuming 376:18	343:6,9,12,12,19
annual 344:12	504:24 505:18	348:14	386:20 478:20	343:21,22 345:22
423:22 439:6	506:16	argument 403:19	assumption 406:19	345:23,25 347:20
	1	1	1	'

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				Ű
347:22,23 348:3,5	391:23 392:6,6,14	Balasbas 339:9	371:7 410:11	354:19,21 355:17
348:11 349:7,8	392:14 395:9	340:4 356:2	432:3 445:18	356:1 439:17
351:6,12 358:22	452:11 481:12	381:23 382:1	488:2	472:4 494:9
359:1 361:15	482:9 487:2,18	385:24 386:2,5,10	basis 370:19 400:2	Bench's 396:5
362:2,10,15,19,20	493:22 499:15	386:13,19,25	428:15 474:18	beneficial 402:5
367:23 369:2	501:2	387:8,14,18,25	492:10	445:9,11,11,12,13
373:11,14 374:4,4	Avista-Hydro	388:6,24 389:17	bear 351:10 452:23	benefit 358:25
374:13,17,20,20	346:11	389:25 390:19	beauty 378:5	384:4,19 402:17
376:7 381:3 383:5	avoid 408:10	391:2,9,13,18,22	Becky 361:14	437:20 467:13
383:8 385:2 386:2	aware 361:9 369:13	392:3.19 393:13	beginning 350:16	483:5 484:5 501:1
386:14,20,25	406:14 407:13	393:15,20 394:8	391:14 401:8,18	501:3 505:18
387:10 388:1	410:20 416:1,10	394:19,22,25	434:2 494:21	benefits 358:23
389:5,18 390:1,6	416:13 430:17	395:8,20 396:2	behalf 471:2	368:15 372:25
392:13 393:3,4,22	453:17,20 460:9	452:7,15,20 453:3	belaboring 488:14	373:3 384:2,17,22
394:11,17 395:1	462:25 480:7	453:9,16,23 454:6	belief 476:12	384:23,25 385:1
396:14,17 410:13	Awec 342:5 347:2	454:22 455:15	believe 356:22	390:10 396:19
410:16 448:12,16		456:5,12,20,24	369:4 370:7,8	501:4
450:5 452:18,23	B	457:4,15,23 458:3	373:18 384:1	Berndtson 431:16
453:6,11,18,24,25	B 345:19,23 346:16	458:9,12 459:24	390:4,5,23 391:5	431:25 432:3
454:8,9,10,11,12	355:18	460:14,25 461:13	391:8,14 392:12	best 354:10 366:20
454:13,22,23	B-6 349:14 355:16	461:19 462:5,10	392:13 393:1,2,17	367:6 368:11
455:1,7,7,10,12	back 354:20 355:24	462:21 463:3,10	396:3,4 397:21	369:5,9 370:20
455:15,16,22,22	355:25 363:12	463:15,21 464:1	406:4,10 410:12	376:12,15 378:13
456:1,6,14,17	365:16 368:5,7	464:11 465:1,12	410:12 412:9,9	379:3 382:20
457:10 459:20	379:11 388:10	465:15,19 466:17	415:15,17 416:5,8	390:24 391:5
462:2 466:2 467:9	397:8,18 405:13	467:16 468:10,19	416:10 417:5	396:14 399:25
467:9,12 472:15	411:23,23 412:13	469:2,10 472:7,10	421:24 427:15,16	400:13 403:15,21
472:25 473:16	420:8 426:2	472:22 473:4,8,14	441:19,24 447:5	409:5,13,15
478:22 479:1	428:12 441:14	473:24 474:20	447:24 449:23	411:13,19 415:2
481:16 483:5	465:25 470:21	475:7,18,25	450:2 453:21	430:21 448:3
485:21 486:11,15	471:25 483:18	476:11,16,19	459:14 461:22	449:25 450:4,9,11
487:14,16 488:7	485:5 486:21	477:3,21 478:20	463:12,15 466:23	450:13 461:18
490:15 493:25	487:21 488:7	478:25 479:6,19	467:23,24 469:11	463:13,14,16
496:25 497:2,6,12	494:10 504:4	480:3,9,15,20	471:9 472:3 473:5	464:5,6 468:16
497:18 499:25	507:6	481:2,9,15,18	473:15 474:8,8,19	479:8,10 483:14
500:10 501:8	background 346:5	503:1,8,12	475:4 476:10	499:23 508:9
Avista's 343:13	355:11 359:5	bang 415:11	481:19,25 495:13	better 352:24
346:16 357:15	401:2 405:10	bar 343:10 462:11	498:13,17,22	424:18 426:15
362:4,21 364:18	441:6 448:23	base 475:14	500:18 502:20	470:2
365:21 366:20	backing 423:10	based 392:14	504:12	beyond 405:2
369:5 373:15,24	bad 396:16 469:19	448:25 463:18	bell 483:23 501:12	474:13 475:4
376:12,20,20	487:9 497:13,17	492:15	bells 501:23	big 441:19 487:11
388:9,11,25	497:18	bases 492:11	bench 342:16 349:7	bill 380:25 425:5
389:15,19 390:9	balance 358:25	basically 358:19	349:8,11,13	446:13 451:9
390:24 391:16,19	388:13,18,22	359:17 368:25	351:25 352:7	461:1 466:7,7
	432:8 456:2			

472:24 473:25	438:20 447:3	break 355:22 397:6	491:15	393:6,11 456:4
485:13,17,18,18	448:16,19 449:3	397:16 471:23	bylaws 407:25	476:9 479:1
485:19 486:1	449:10,24 450:3	472:21 491:2	435:12,13,23,25	caption 450:23
bills 426:4,5 452:18	451:1 453:25	bridge 359:4,7	435:25 436:18,19	Card 342:20
binding 360:23	454:9,10 455:22	brief 352:8 355:25	436:25 490:20,24	cards 445:6
Biography 344:7	455:22 456:1,6,14	361:14 363:6	491:2,5,9,14,18	care 344:17 382:23
bit 370:1,24 442:20	458:5,8,10 459:10	433:15 471:2	491:19	careful 486:23
444:13,19 452:9	459:20,23,24,25	472:1 489:24		carefully 352:8,10
452:21 465:23	460:2 462:23	504:25 505:20	C	416:23 486:24
506:3	463:6,7,16,23	506:18 507:8	C 340:1 341:1	carry 402:11
blame 371:3	475:8 479:7,9,14	briefing 505:24	350:4 508:1,1	423:12 497:25
block 402:11	480:3,5,7,15,21	506:1	cabinet 399:9 446:4	case 349:3 352:19
457:25	480:22 481:3,10	briefly 396:9 491:7	447:3	354:5 362:8 364:1
blunt 414:22 417:2	490:21 491:23,24	491:13	calendar 451:18	378:25 418:13
board 343:8 360:5	492:3,10,17,20	briefs 505:2,4	call 345:21 355:18	432:15 442:17
361:10,18 362:1,9	497:24,25 498:5,6	506:2,8,14 507:10	362:25 402:4	449:2 455:4
362:25 363:2,9	498:8,12,14,15,20	bring 368:7 483:16	409:16 490:9,13	459:16 464:7
368:23 370:13	498:21,25 499:2	483:18	490:16	473:6 496:19
374:21 375:7,10	board's 398:22	brings 504:12	called 363:10,12	502:6 503:4
376:7,11 377:8,11	399:4 406:21	broad 378:13	400:23,24 423:21	505:11 506:3
377:25,25 378:7	458:13 481:4,11	486:25	424:11 457:14	cases 365:15
378:19 379:20	boards 367:22	broadcast 350:18	478:14	cash 455:10 464:14
380:19 381:3,3,5	423:19 443:20,23	broadly 503:10	calling 359:3	464:20 465:9
381:7 382:9,16,16	449:17	Broadway 371:8	442:13 504:13	cast 371:8
382:22,25 383:2,6	Bodaway 431:16	brought 367:14	calls 423:10	causation 470:10
392:7,14,15,20,23	bonds 395:14,14	budget 391:16,20	Cameron-Rulko	470:12
393:2,2,11 396:23	book 355:11 395:25	392:7	341:3 504:7,8	cause 440:13 481:4
398:18,20,21,22	396:1	Buell 339:21	campaign 399:19	caused 450:8
399:3,19,24 400:1	books 395:24	build 367:24	475:2 479:25	467:19
400:6,20,21	border 448:24	built 368:12,14	Canada 380:24	causes 488:24
401:15,19 402:15	borne 476:24	burden 440:14	423:20 441:11,14	caution 487:8
402:25 403:3	borrow 466:2	452:23 485:2,4,6	450:22 460:23	CCR 339:20
404:24 405:1	bothering 442:13	Bureau 450:22	461:12,16 464:9	508:13
406:5,7,12,15	bottom 461:7	business 346:6	482:8 484:11	CEO 361:17
407:5,8,14,22,23	bounds 375:13,14	351:20 358:1,4	499:14	368:11,24 370:14
408:4,18,24	Box 340:14 341:4	373:23 377:17	Canada's 445:5	371:4 376:7
412:17,23 420:11	BR-1 349:7	378:16 379:8,25	Canadian 380:24	379:20 382:9
420:14,17 421:2	BR-2 349:8	381:9 387:6	Canadians 419:7	392:7,20 396:22
422:14 423:3	BR-3.1 349:9	390:18 404:13	candidates 429:11	399:19 402:22
424:13 430:6,7,12	BR-3.2 349:9	422:5 424:9	429:21,22,24	422:13 423:6
430:14,19 431:12	BR-3.3 349:10	443:20 448:23	432:1	447:11,12 449:4
434:2,10,14,18,20	BR-3.4 349:10	449:1 461:10	candor 439:13	449:24 450:3
434:23 435:4,6,17	BR-4 349:11	495:6	capability 499:3,5	451:2,21 460:19
435:17 436:4,10	BR-5HC 349:13	buy 466:2	capital 384:10	462:24 463:6,7,16
437:10,11,12	bragged 380:15	bylaw 437:3,11,12	388:2,5 391:16,19	474:10,13,17,25
			392:7,10,13,16	
	1	1	1	

Page 513

				1 age 515
475:5,8	366:10,18 368:6	491:1 505:19	clarification 452:5	374:12,13 375:15
CEOs 367:21	368:21 369:7,13	change 370:8 377:3	clarify 352:20	380:19 384:22
451:24	369:16,20 370:17	412:22 423:2,6	435:1 479:4	387:13 388:8,10
certain 351:9 376:1	371:7,10,14,17,21	469:22 487:11	clarifying 352:5	388:20 389:8,9
388:8 425:3	372:1,2,6,14,22	changed 371:6,8	class 437:25	394:5 397:7,12
450:19 498:25	373:17,22 374:1,9	423:3	clause 348:16,18	421:15 422:8
502:23	374:18 375:1,4,18	changes 439:11	484:18,21	429:21 437:8
certainly 365:17	376:6,7,10,10,14	474:8 489:18	clean 451:1	445:17 446:17
375:14 380:12	376:18,23 377:2,7	changing 380:1	clear 352:2 358:7	447:1,6 451:10,24
383:6 403:18	377:23 380:8,18	423:19,19 483:10	360:17 380:25	454:16,17 462:3
409:6 416:12	381:15 385:23	423.19,19 483.10 506:6	410:8 449:15	466:6 470:21
409.0410.12 423:20 450:9,12	404:25 407:8	characteristics	468:17 477:12	475:21 478:16,16
,		424:25		,
475:11 494:12	413:24 414:5,17		502:15,21,21 506:24	485:4,8 487:21
496:15 Certified 508:6	415:1,5,20 416:3	characterization		488:21,22,23
	416:16 417:13	391:25	clearly 360:15	489:14 494:11
certify 508:8	421:23 422:7,12	characterize	380:23 410:10	497:7
cetera 375:23	422:17,18 423:8	463:11	453:5 463:23	comes 386:23
440:15	423:25 424:3,7,10	charge 423:9	486:16	387:16 500:8
CFL-16 452:12	424:24 425:15	Chart 343:12	clever 425:19	comfort 414:2
457:17 460:20	426:8,16,25	charter 419:6,7	climate 414:16	492:25
CFL-1T 344:8	430:19 431:2,7	check 387:23 439:6	cloak 410:3	comfortable 378:21
CFL-2 344:10	433:5,23 434:13	chief 343:9,19	clock 397:15	coming 355:17
CFL-3 344:11	434:17 435:7,20	345:6 447:8 490:8	close 386:11,12	384:18 385:2,3,13
CFL-4 344:12	436:2,6,16,19,22	choose 414:12	405:14 467:14	390:8 416:1
CFL-5T 344:13	437:4,14,18 438:6	504:4	495:5,5 507:19	476:25 494:13
CFL-6T 344:15	438:16,19,25	chooses 380:3	closed 494:2	504:2
CFO 364:11 479:15	439:8 440:17	CHRIS 341:11,12	closely 444:19	comment 357:21
chain 370:21	441:3,8 443:18,25	Christie 342:19	closer 482:20	417:3 425:19
chair 370:13 382:2	445:22 446:7,12	Christopher 342:3	Closing 344:11	463:8 465:13
383:18 390:22	446:18,22 447:10	342:4 344:8	Club 342:6	493:16 494:23
398:22 401:17	447:16,18,24	chunk 413:1	cold 470:14	495:1,5
406:21 425:7	448:10,21 449:8	circular 402:6	collaboratively	commentary
430:25 431:6	449:18,22 450:2	circumstance	367:14	416:14
432:12 433:12,17	450:15 458:25	455:21	colleagues 357:8	commenting
434:4,11 440:6	459:5,8 469:12,18	circumstances	381:20 409:20	423:22 425:22
450:25	470:9,19 485:24	354:11 370:1	426:14 430:25	463:1
chairman 339:9	486:5,17,22	449:9 456:11	486:10 504:21	comments 348:11
343:8 356:1,9	487:23 489:2,16	463:19 464:4	collection 386:24	349:9 351:19,21
357:3,5 359:16,21	501:22 502:7,25	cites 373:1	Collins 342:5	351:23 352:13
359:22 360:9,22	506:11,13 507:2	civil 347:16 472:24	color 385:7,12	355:5 357:11,12
360:25 361:4,8,24	challenge 432:25	473:16	combination	493:6 494:21
362:7,15 363:6,15	469:24	CJD-1T 346:14	437:22 475:14	COMMISIONE
363:20,23,25	challenges 433:3	CJD-2 346:16	478:7	339:9
364:2,7,17,23	chance 363:12	claim 472:15	come 363:1 367:13	Commission 339:2
365:8,12,24	415:17 470:14	claimed 358:23	369:24,25,25	339:14 340:5,10
	1	1	1	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

ų				
341:2 349:2 350:9	419:11 427:2,6,13	commitment	429:11 438:1,3	497:14 501:13
361:8 385:20	427:17,21,24	393:18,18 452:17	455:24	company's 347:21
393:10 419:15	428:5,10,14,20,24	455:23 457:2,5,6	communicating	396:25 435:17
482:7,14,18,23	429:7,12,23	459:11,18 468:24	404:24	479:14,15 480:23
483:22,22 485:2,5	430:13,17,23	481:24,24 482:5	communication	comparable 448:7
485:8 489:22	431:14,18,21	482:23 483:5,8	362:21 364:3,5	compared 478:13
499:12,12 500:3,4	452:7,15,20 453:3	485:1,12,25 486:8	370:4 401:9,10	Comparing 343:10
500:7,8,12,23	453:9,16,23 454:6	490:4,7,12,14	405:1	Comparison 346:8
501:5,7,9 502:5	454:22 455:15	499:7,11 500:4,6	communities	compen- 476:9
502:14,23 503:5	456:5,12,20,24	500:19,21,22	367:10 377:20	compensate 475:13
507:9,21	457:4,15,23 458:3	501:2,4,9,23	378:13 396:20	compensation
Commission's	458:9,12,17	502:3,4,8,12,19	community 373:7	348:9 380:12
350:20 355:19	459:24 460:14,25	503:2,9	377:16 380:4	381:2,4 402:21
362:12	461:13,19 462:5	commitments	480:17	416:8 423:13
Commissioner	462:10,21 463:3	342:12,14 344:2	comp 380:23	446:4,17 447:1
356:2,2 381:23,25	463:10,15,21	346:8,9 347:6,10	comp 380.23 compact 390:11	448:2,17 451:11
382:1 383:14,17	464:1 465:1,12,15	347:11,13 367:13	compact 390.11 companies 388:25	451:14 460:18
383:21,23,24	465:19 466:17	372:24 374:25	394:5 413:12	466:8 474:3,4,5,9
	467:16 468:10,19		422:19 423:23	
384:8 385:1,5,6		375:17,22,25 376:2 381:12		474:12,13,18,21 474:25 475:8,16
385:12,14,17,24	469:2,10 472:7,10		425:1 437:23	,
386:1,2,5,10,13	472:22 473:4,8,14	384:14 388:3,5,8	448:7	476:3,23 485:20
386:19,25 387:8	473:24 474:20	388:8 389:2,4,6	company 348:19	compensation-type
387:14,18,25	475:7,18,25	389:13 390:2	358:3,7 360:21	405:13
388:6,24 389:17	476:11,16,19	393:21 455:1,17	364:3,5 368:15	competitive 475:11
389:25 390:5,19	477:3,21 478:20	455:23 456:4,9	370:17,18,22,25	complete 352:3
391:2,9,13,18,22	478:25 479:6,19	457:3,8,20 459:19	371:6 378:8	442:8 488:16
392:3,19 393:13	480:3,9,15,20	459:22 460:4	380:14 381:14	506:4
393:15,20 394:8	481:2,9,15,18,20	462:13,15 468:20	382:5,7,20 383:5	completed 430:12
394:19,22,25	481:23 482:4,12	468:22,25 482:10	383:6,9,10 393:8	489:22
395:8,20 396:2	482:17,25 483:2	484:6 487:4,13,20	395:10,24 396:1	compliance 482:10
397:19,21 398:1,3	483:21 484:9,25	488:2 499:16	400:13 409:6,14	487:3 499:15
398:8,12 399:1,7	485:11,22 495:14	500:17 502:2	409:15 412:4,15	compliant 420:17
399:15,22 400:9	495:17,20 496:2	committed 377:15	412:25 414:2	complied 400:11
400:18 401:3	496:10,16,21	472:12,17	415:3 421:6,20	420:12
402:24 403:9,14	497:4 498:2,11,19	committee 421:14	422:10,21 425:2,3	comply 491:18
404:8,17,23 405:6	499:6,9,18,21	421:15 427:19	425:5,22 426:2	comprised 429:16
405:20,24 406:4,7	500:11,16,24	428:2,7 429:2,3,3	437:22 438:23	conceive 375:19
406:14,25 407:5	501:11,20 503:1,8	429:4,6,6,13,15	440:8 441:24	concept 416:9
407:10,13,21	503:12	429:20 432:6,16	450:14 459:20	concern 381:8
408:3,7,11,14	commissioners	433:7,11,16 442:6	460:3,3,3 463:1	439:10 440:10
409:8,17 410:17	340:2 352:1 356:1	442:7,11,17,20	463:13,17 473:22	465:16 477:3,23
411:5 412:11	369:25 472:6	443:16 444:18	475:17 476:13,22	496:21 497:7,10
416:17 417:4,9,11	504:17 506:1	492:5	477:1,13 479:16	505:13
417:14,19,23	Commissions	committee's 433:2	483:23 484:12	concerned 355:15
418:4,13,17,21,24	365:16	common 407:25	488:6,7 492:12	379:17
			1	

				1 age 515
concerning 351:3,9	conjunction 393:8	contact 364:4,9	364:12,14 370:13	418:2,3,15,16,20
358:16 362:2,10	consent 406:22	403:4	370:16 400:25	418:23 424:3
479:15 480:16	420:2	contacted 491:25	401:1,21 402:25	428:3,4 435:4
481:11		contacting 494:25	407:4 408:19,23	446:21 448:9
concerns 357:9,10	consequences 479:16 480:23	contain 437:5	410:8,21 428:17	449:14 451:14
357:13 474:4	481:11	contains 437:3	428:25 432:13	457:8 460:24
481:5 505:14	consider 352:2		convince 358:21	463:25 482:10,11
		contemplate 388:1		,
507:7	375:5 480:10	contemplated	414:9 415:18	482:15,16 493:19
concert 392:8,20	483:12 496:18	489:18 503:2	Cook 403:4,7	496:12
437:23	considerably	continue 351:20	cooperation 359:14	Correspondence
concluded 487:5,6	447:11,14 448:14	360:4 364:14	coordinate 427:18	347:20
492:17 501:13	consideration	370:10 375:2	coordination	cost 464:18 476:2
concludes 396:5	361:20 399:18	393:14 394:6	433:21	476:25 478:11
494:5	468:21	Continued 341:1	copy 458:13	costs 343:5 464:23
concluding 389:18	considered 352:8	continuing 347:8	Core 349:4	467:15,15 477:5
390:1	431:19 466:7	395:7 490:4	Corey 342:4	478:23
conclusion 487:18	considering 358:11	contract 360:23	Corp 343:6	counsel 342:4,5
504:13	consistent 402:14	418:9 420:1 422:3	corporate 344:11	346:16 352:4
concoction 462:3	403:22 435:2	459:18	344:17 407:18	356:21,23 397:8
conditions 344:22	491:16	Contractors 349:4	408:1 428:18,25	397:14 398:20,23
344:23,24 348:20	consistently 423:3	Contracts 348:20	430:18 435:11	399:4 400:20,21
474:11	Consolidated	420:2	436:20 437:12	401:16 403:10,12
conduct 351:7	348:19	contribute 386:14	438:12 487:2	405:3,7,11,19
confer 507:4	constant 419:22	479:4	496:7	439:19 458:20
conference 359:4,7	constituted 434:14	contributing	corporation 339:5	472:11,17,23
conferring 504:21	490:21 491:24	384:10 492:14	340:14 342:16	473:9,22 494:18
confident 413:13	constitution 419:5	contribution	343:9,12,21,22	Counsel's 346:13
confidential 349:13	constrain 420:9	388:17,23 389:8	345:10 351:6,12	494:25
440:23,24 443:4,6	constraints 454:2	389:11 455:2	358:13 360:5,12	count 444:10
444:18	Construction 348:7	control 347:14	361:5 370:9 386:3	counterparts 362:2
confidential-	348:20,21	437:24 498:14	387:1,10 417:2	362:10
442:21	constructive	controlling 373:15	423:15 438:21	COUNTY 508:4
confidentiality	464:22	438:11 439:4	449:2,11,25	couple 353:20
410:3 442:9,23,23	consult 446:15	controls 374:20	corporations	414:22 470:24
443:15	479:14,15,20,22	413:8	448:24 461:10	483:8 491:21
confirm 441:20	480:16 481:16	convene 433:19	correct 361:21,24	506:22
442:19 459:10	consultants 448:2	convened 351:4	371:21 373:17	course 353:8,12
460:11	consultation	conversation 359:5	376:8 385:3	369:24 377:17
confirm- 457:23	432:22 447:5	360:15 363:5,7,10	386:20 387:24	404:12 410:3,6
confirmed 458:5	consulted 480:14	363:13 379:24	388:6 389:17,25	442:13 474:1
490:15	consummated	403:6 405:25	390:14 399:5,6,13	483:12 492:9
confirming 355:9	478:21 503:17	409:13,23 440:5,7	399:14,20,21	495:5 504:18
483:15	Cont 343:1 344:1	472:16 473:2,9	400:7,8,16,17	cover 388:23
confirms 457:19	345:1 346:1 347:1	481:7 482:6	405:22 407:11,12	create 377:21
458:1	348:1 349:1	conversations	414:4 417:7,21,25	378:24 379:2,21
	I	I	I	Ι

383:8 414:18	curious 412:13	377:2,7,23 380:8	399:10 432:23	380:1 382:14
435:14	current 365:25	380:18 381:15	Davies 400:23	408:4 418:18
created 378:6	384:12 396:22,23	383:18 385:23	day 351:21 353:19	463:12 468:8
creates 464:3	420:4 447:12	390:22 413:24	355:18 358:24	479:12 482:15
Credentials 342:9	463:23 474:18	414:5,17 415:1,5	362:24 363:16,18	483:25 501:14
credible 357:20	currently 369:17	415:20 416:3,16	363:20 402:11	507:13
credit 343:2 368:25	Curriculum 344:10	417:13 421:23	414:16 434:11	decision-making
369:17 388:9,10	344:18 345:8,15	422:7,12,17 423:8	470:4,13,14 474:1	463:9
388:20 389:4	customary 352:7	423:25 424:3,7,10	day's 363:14	decisions 377:17
393:23 394:9	customer 342:19	424:24 425:7,15	day-to-day 360:20	378:3,11 470:1
452:16,17 454:13	344:17 357:11	426:8,16,25	days 398:16 402:4	decrease 486:3
455:3 464:15	425:3 464:13	430:25 431:2,7	402:6 409:25	deem 500:9
465:3,13 466:3,3	customer-related	433:5,23 434:13	411:11,24 412:1	deemed 448:1
466:13,21 467:10	372:10	434:17 435:7,20	414:20,24 415:10	definition 432:10
493:7.25	customers 358:25	436:2,6,16,19,22	427:10 432:2	461:5,6,9,15,21
credits 372:8	367:10 370:19	437:4,14,18 438:6	444:24 506:18	461:23
375:22 452:24	377:15 378:14	437.4,14,18 438.0	deadline 507:23	definitions 375:15
453:5,11,24 454:7	380:4 384:3,15,16	439:8 440:17	deal 367:4,9,17	461:8
CreditWatch	384:19,25 386:23	441:3,8 443:18,25	368:12,13 384:22	definitive 488:17
349:16	388:10 390:6,13	445:22 446:7,12	384:24 394:13	degree 357:18
creditworthiness	396:15,20 425:2	446:18,22 447:10	395:5 396:17,21	450:19 474:17,17
395:10 468:13	426:5 452:18	447:16,18,24	410:15 411:20	477:8 480:1
crisp 506:24	464:9	448:10,21 449:8	416:24 435:18	delegated 378:8
crisply 352:11	cut 391:16,19	449:18,22 450:2	467:14 485:4	381:2,5
critical 416:13	424:16 451:1	450:15 458:25	dealing 420:14	delegating 378:20
420:23	424.10 431.1	459:5,8 469:12,18	421:2 485:17,19	delegating 378.20 delegation 381:11
CRM-1T 346:2	D	470:9,19 485:24	deals 394:13	deliberate 487:7
498:3	D 342:21 350:4	486:5,17,22	413:12 435:21	504:17
CRM-2 346:3	d/b/a 343:12	480.3,17,22 487:23 489:2,16	460:18	deliberations
Crown 417:2	Dahl 342:4	501:22 502:7,25	debated 441:14	504:17
CSH-1T 345:16	Danner 339:9	506:11,13 507:2	debt 395:2,9,18	Delighted 481:22
CSH-11 345:10 CSH-2 345:18	340:3 356:2,9	Danner's 382:2	464:21 466:5	delivered 425:10
CSH-2 345:18 CSH-3 345:19	357:4,5 359:16,22	dark 409:2	debtholders 464:25	478:11,11
CSH-4 345:21	360:9,22 361:4,8	data 345:18,20,22	468:16,18	delivery 386:23
CSH-5 345:22	361:24 362:7,15	345:24,25 346:13	debtholders'	389:22 427:8
CSH-6 345:23	363:6,15,20,23,25	346:16 347:2	470:16	demanded 423:6
CSH-0 345:25	364:2,7,17,23	348:5 458:19	December 349:6	443:7
CUB 366:14	365:8,12,24	date 361:12 380:9	504:22 507:22	demanding 422:13
culminated 405:4	366:10,18 368:6	458:7 459:2,3,3	decide 354:13,13	demonstrates
410:2	368:21 369:7,13	438.7439.2,3,3 504:23	375:7 394:10	463:23
cultural 383:4	369:16,20 371:7	dated 343:24 346:9	decided 382:19	demur 507:9
culture 383:7,9	371:10,14,17,21	347:21 355:2	506:1	Denison 399:11
cultures 367:23	372:2,6,14,22	458:21 459:14	decides 425:24	401:16 403:2
370:21 391:7	373:17,22 374:1,9	DAVE 340:3	decision 368:25	401.10 403.2 427:17 432:12
curiosity 444:3	374:18 375:1,4,18	David 340:13 342:7	378:2 379:19	433:21 440:6
Currosity 444.3	376:6,10,14,18,23	Daviu 540.15 542.7	510.2 517.17	+55.21 440.0
	,,,,,,,,,		l	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				<u> </u>
Dennis 340:9 350:7	developments	404:24 449:6,7	506:17	dividends 374:3,12
deny 424:6	362:17,22 463:1	453:6,11,20 455:1	discussing 404:10	374:19,20,24
Department 342:22	489:13	464:18 469:5	406:8	375:8,19 387:5,12
348:8,9	devoted 372:17	director 342:22	discussion 359:23	387:19 452:25
depend 455:20	DH-10 348:17	345:6 347:21	359:24 361:25	454:2 457:11
456:10	DH-11 348:19	404:4 429:11	362:8 402:19	465:8 469:9
depends 389:3	DH-12 348:21	474:3 497:25	405:25 408:25	478:22,25
depository 445:2,5	DH-13 349:2	directors 361:18	409:10 410:4,19	Dobson 341:11
445:7	DH-1T 347:19	368:24 374:21	410:21 411:3	344:7 364:11
deprived 421:8	DH-2 347:20	375:7,10 376:15	434:8 455:21	370:14 426:14
derivative 410:24	DH-3 348:2	377:8,12 378:7,12	473:21 477:18	472:4,8,9,16
describe 425:21	DH-4 348:5	378:19,20 380:19	490:12,19 496:22	473:2,7,12,19
described 372:3,6	DH-5 348:6	381:6 382:16	506:25	474:7,23 475:10
393:3 416:20,21	DH-6 348:9	396:23 406:22	discussions 360:7	475:23 476:4,15
435:3 448:20	DH-7 348:11	408:25 409:9,19	362:6 369:21	476:18,20 477:7
describing 360:1	DH-8 348:13	410:18 420:14,18	398:17,19,21	478:2,24 479:3,18
361:11 416:22	DH-9 348:16	421:2,15 422:14	399:2,8,17 400:19	479:21 480:7,13
Design 343:2	DHH-1T 346:17	424:13 427:4	401:4,13,22,25	480:19,25 481:7
designations	dialogue 446:25	434:19,20,23	402:7,20,23 404:9	481:14,17 490:1
435:18	dictate 477:4	435:9,22 436:9,11	404:14,18 405:4	490:10,14,17
designed 503:9,10	difference 470:17	436:24 437:2	405:15,16 408:17	docket 339:4
despite 379:16	different 374:11	438:20 439:5	409:19 410:18,19	348:12 351:6
500:16	401:23 419:25	449:3,10,24 450:3	410:20 415:25	502:24
destruction 379:21	421:20 444:20,22	454:1 458:5	416:4,4,7,9	document 373:9
destructive 379:6	448:12,14 492:7	460:19 462:24	428:16 429:1	documentary
detail 360:1 447:23	difficult 441:10	474:22 479:7	430:18 431:23	354:13
detailed 404:14	444:5,13,14,14	497:24	451:23 452:1	documentation
details 389:12	484:2 488:16	directs 486:2	480:8 489:8	404:22
410:1	difficulties 402:1	disagree 370:24	492:15	documented 400:6
determination	difficulty 488:15	424:13	disposal 478:8	documents 497:23
392:15,23 395:16	direct 342:18,21	disagreed 370:5	disputes 442:12	doing 350:13 354:9
419:9 453:25	343:5,8,19 344:8	disappointed	443:12	367:17 378:1
454:8 456:7	344:16 345:6	354:16	disruptive 359:6	400:10 401:16
determine 358:24	349:14 389:23	disclose 441:12	distributed 353:19	402:12 412:8,22
393:6 394:17	396:11 402:22	disclosed 443:11	Distribution	425:10 437:9,10
395:18 412:16	406:18 409:23	disclosure 439:20	348:12	464:7,18 478:5
491:4	454:11 463:24	444:7	diverse 497:24	487:15
determined 392:18	466:10	discuss 360:11	dividend 375:14	dollars 386:6 387:4
399:24 440:8	directed 452:9	426:17 446:16	376:2,5 387:16	388:11,16,20
456:14 479:7,9	direction 380:10	481:3 487:14	388:16 454:3	390:16
determines 393:10	437:24	discussed 360:22	455:14 465:9	doubt 435:24
detract 487:19	directive 392:8,21	433:3 450:11	469:8	Doug 357:23 446:2
detriment 397:1	487:1	472:10,18,23	dividended 374:24	451:3 469:14,16
detrimental 421:19	directly 388:11	474:1 483:3 489:4	390:17	Dough 342:6
500:9 501:6,8	389:14,16 403:7	501:1 505:25	dividending 384:10	downgrade 466:5,5
	•	•	•	•

466:21 467:8,12	earnings 384:14,19	467:23	446:25 448:17	373:10,10
493:11	385:3,13 387:7,10	electric 342:23	engaged 379:24	essential 416:24
downgraded	387:12,12,13,16	343:13 349:5	engaging 366:5	421:6
349:15 369:17	387:17,20 388:21	371:1 390:7	Enhance 348:11	essentially 360:2
466:12,14 467:7	389:1,10,15,20	electrical 348:7	enjoyed 366:25	497:23 502:4
downward 364:22	390:9,15 452:11	426:4,4	384:17	establish 362:20
DR-38 347:12	452:24 454:17,24	electricity 437:20	ensure 352:2	established 414:5
DR-39 347:14	454:25 455:12,16	478:11	392:24 455:23	establishment
DR-40 347:7	456:7,15,17,21	eliminate 462:13	457:7 464:4	427:18
DR-41 347:15	457:11,13 469:7	Elizabeth 340:18	475:12	et 375:23 440:15
DR-42 347:9	476:21 477:1,2	342:3	ensuring 360:3	evaluate 395:16
DR-43 347:11	490:9	Ellinger 357:21	438:9 497:2	evaluated 492:20
DR-44 347:6	Edison 348:19	else's 405:18	enter 402:16	evaluating 409:9
dragged 442:11	educate 354:10	EMA-1T 342:15	504:18	496:19
443:11	Educational 346:5	EMA-2 342:16	entered 356:24	evaluation 351:11
dramatically 358:2	effect 382:4 396:13	embark 447:4	399:10	362:12 394:15,16
drastic 484:10,12	397:2 418:8 419:3	emergency 407:23	Enterprises 348:10	evening 363:11
484:16,17	438:17 444:5	407:25	entertained 497:16	event 439:6 470:7
drawing 440:20	447:2 458:14	emphasize 351:22	entire 368:23	500:5.7 501:5
Drive 339:14 340:5	460:17 467:8	420:8	370:15 413:8	502:15 503:5
340:10 341:5	471:3 488:20,21	employees 343:5	424:16 435:21	events 346:11
drop 365:3 467:19	496:18 503:18	367:11 377:15,19	449:3 452:2 479:9	351:9,10 354:22
469:23 470:10	effective 462:8	378:13 380:5	480:22 495:18	357:17 363:14
488:22 503:22	498:14	383:8 396:21	498:5,12,14,15	364:15 366:19
due 468:6 474:5	effectively 381:10	employment	499:1	368:22 369:4,8
504:18	382:7 389:18	348:14 481:5	entirely 373:9	370:1 390:23,23
duly 407:22	390:1 391:23	employment-type	423:3	396:13 398:5
duties 409:14	462:12 483:22	405:12	entirety 479:4	410:23 419:12
duty 376:11,15	501:11	empowering	entitled 455:8	450:8,12 469:23
377:3	effort 442:21	483:18	entity 482:8 484:11	479:17 480:18
	Ehrbar 342:22	en 408:18	499:13,14	493:7 496:3
E	either 388:1 390:17	enact 424:1,12,15	envision 475:9,10	Evergreen 339:14
E 340:1,1,3 341:1,1	407:7,7 469:4	498:7	envisioning 488:9	340:5,10 341:5
350:4,4 508:1,1	473:22 480:10	ended 342:24	506:14,16	everybody 411:19
earlier 357:8	503:3	343:21,22	equity 343:10	489:11 507:19
358:12,20 370:2	elaborate 366:21	energy 342:6	373:12,20 374:13	everyone's 350:13
412:14 421:25	374:6 382:19	372:18 392:11,17	384:13 388:17,23	evidence 351:8
444:4 452:10	446:10 499:20	399:12 478:11	389:10 454:17	458:20
453:4,7,10 478:10	elect 404:3 479:20	enforceability	455:6,9,18,24	EVIDENTIARY
488:15 490:1	elected 401:10	347:9,11,12	456:3,9,18,22	339:7
494:20,21	439:4	enforceable 462:18	469:4	ex-chair 416:6
early 431:24 434:11	election 398:5	462:20	err 487:8	429:15
447:3	401:8 409:2	enforcing 472:25	Errata 342:14	ex-chairman 407:8
earn 390:12	435:22 436:23	473:17	error 414:10	exact 386:6 387:4
earnest 351:4	439:12 465:6	engage 365:19	essence 356:6	387:23,24 453:13
earning 390:16	100.10			507.25,21 155.15
	l		I	l

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 496 616
exactly 386:17	347:1 348:1 349:1	extent 354:12	faster 484:19,22	344:24 372:24,25
461:1 465:5 477:9	353:8,11,19	357:19 358:19	506:22	373:3 384:2
477:19	354:15,18,19,25	374:2 404:5	favor 366:15	390:25 445:8
example 357:20	355:12,16,19	446:16,24 457:10	favorable 468:18	472:20 486:14
394:20 423:13	371:11 417:5,15	457:10 474:12	fear 442:11	487:12 497:22
425:1 435:16	458:20 459:4,6,7	476:23 491:15	feasible 483:25	499:24
439:14 440:18	461:4 481:25	external 405:3	501:15	financially 381:13
463:4 487:24	495:1	473:22	Federal 342:22	find 417:11,17
examples 423:18	exhibits 351:15	extra 424:4	fee 472:15,21	429:10 441:4
Excel 342:24 343:2	353:14,18,25	extraordinary	feel 370:19 396:7	442:4 453:21
exception 460:21	494:23	439:17	506:4 507:10	fine 374:10 385:18
461:2	exist 456:7	extremely 377:20	fell 369:2,14 376:4	398:2 439:24
exceptions 441:11	existence 394:3		fellow 400:24 414:9	444:1
exchange 357:22	396:25	F	492:19	finished 504:3
exchanged 432:23	existing 464:9	F 342:3 344:8	felt 367:1,16 371:5	firm 400:22,23
excluded 476:23	exists 502:3,17,19	508:1	382:23 404:4,5	427:23 429:8,21
477:10,20	exit 382:3,9	faced 449:2 463:19	432:22 443:12	431:9,17,19
excluding 477:14	expect 352:8	facilitate 362:21	486:13,17 488:1	491:25 492:3.6.7
exclusion 461:16	388:12,16 393:14	382:8 400:1	492:12 497:21	firms 429:10
exclusive 377:16,22	398:7 407:16.24	fact 359:9 382:6	Ferguson 450:22	first 352:14 361:9
exclusively 377:4	408:6,9,22 447:10	384:23 396:22	450:24	371:24 389:1
excuse 471:18	455:18,25 466:20	402:2 412:6 420:9	Ferio 344:16	395:13,14 398:4
482:21 483:11	467:1 478:15	422:4 424:20,20	Ferruccio 344:16	406:1 414:21
excused 471:1,5,6	487:8,14	425:20 434:1	FGFP-1T 344:16	419:20 432:17
471:19	expedited 383:3	451:11 489:17,19	FGFP-2 344:18	433:20 434:6
executive 343:9	400:2	490:6 502:7	fiat 420:12	446:1 449:7 461:7
344:16 345:7	expediting 400:15	factor 342:23	fiddled 489:10	474:9 483:8
347:20 348:22	expeditiously	388:18	fiduciary 376:11,15	493:17 495:23
380:11,23 416:7	411:20	factored 432:18	377:3,12 409:14	first-hand 353:1,2
423:12 429:10	expenditure 392:24	failed 466:16	fifth 461:9 495:8	Fiscal 343:21
446:4,9 447:8	expenditures	fair 359:25 374:1	fighting 443:3	five 381:5 393:5
448:2 450:25	392:10 393:7,11	390:12 391:25	figure 443:21 448:1	439:15,25 440:9
451:2 474:3,4,9	experience 346:6	fairly 468:17 487:5	485:2 488:10	440:16,21 444:6
474:16 475:20	422:19 432:18	495:7	489:5	446:15,18 451:11
476:14,23 490:8	448:25	faith 419:14	filed 346:9 348:11	fix 488:24
executives 362:1,10	expert 488:12	fall 376:1	398:5,14 407:14	floor 356:8
369:24 448:18	explain 429:25	fallen 375:16	470:25 471:2	flow 374:15,15,15
460:19 474:22	436:2 439:23	falling 503:14	filing 347:21	374:16 389:14
475:21 477:14,16	440:2 453:5 483:5	familiar 361:13	filings 366:3	452:17,22 464:14
exercise 382:15	488:21 491:6	482:3	filling 435:19	465:9
exercised 437:8	exposure 472:20	far 356:5 433:14	finalizing 452:2	flows 435:11
exercising 420:24	expressed 357:9	farther 423:16	finally 352:22	focus 359:18
437:24	extended 505:16	fashion 434:19	373:5 399:23	focused 349:3
exhibit 342:1 343:1	extends 474:13	436:4 460:7 470:2	Finance 344:8	372:9 403:25
344:1 345:1 346:1	extensive 448:22	fast 432:21 506:11	financial 343:20	496:24 497:1,11
		506:12		
	l	l		Ι

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	_			
focussing 374:19	463:14 483:16	fundings 389:6	Gerlitz 342:6 471:2	goes 387:15 412:13
follow 352:16 363:3	485:8 504:2,16	funds 374:2,3,14,16	getting 383:2	going 350:15
374:25 375:11,16	507:13,22	375:21 388:9	401:17 412:24	354:17 355:7,18
376:2 382:21	found 443:21	389:1,8,14 390:8	484:22	356:3,16 358:17
396:8 403:16,23	four 342:23 358:5	391:24 452:22	GF-1T 347:17	359:11,16,17
404:15 411:9,24	428:22 429:19	454:1,11,14,19	give 352:24 355:6	364:15 365:2
412:12 430:6	432:2,13,13 433:1	455:10 457:2,6	371:14 387:19	366:3 367:6 368:2
450:7 452:9 454:5	436:13 461:8	469:1,3 476:17	405:10 416:20	368:4,18 370:1,11
457:1	477:16 495:7	funny 422:21	431:10 434:1	377:21 379:5
follow-up 469:13	fourth 339:21	furnished 355:5	441:5 442:3	385:11 397:19
followed 360:17	340:19 440:22	further 374:7 399:2	465:23 488:17	398:8 402:20
361:3 382:16	frame 402:17 451:7	456:4 466:18,21	given 352:4,18	405:13 411:25
383:1 420:25	451:8	467:3 503:24	357:14 358:12	412:4 415:6,10,18
421:13	framework 447:1,6	504:19	384:8 403:16	418:10,19 424:2
following 355:25	448:11,12 451:11	future 419:16	409:17 418:1,24	424:21,22 425:13
357:22 364:5	452:3 460:18	442:12 443:8	419:11,13 450:24	425:21 432:12
379:19 402:2	466:8 475:8	454:20 456:4	483:2 496:2 501:1	437:19 443:9
439:11 451:2	frankly 401:11,24		505:19	451:24 463:14
492:16	439:12 443:1	G	gives 368:15	469:16 474:9,19
follows 464:12	506:15	G 350:4	giving 352:12	476:10 477:10
force 348:13 463:22	free 360:5,12 361:5	G.F 344:16	403:11,12 417:24	478:16 484:24
498:4,13 499:1	Freedom 443:1	GA 347:8	446:3 489:12	485:6 486:13
forced 463:6	Frieberg 342:7	gain 379:7	501:4 504:2	487:11,18,19
Ford 357:23 358:6	front 384:6 391:11	Garlinghouse	Glen 342:7	488:3,3,20,21,22
358:15 380:11	393:10 452:12	339:20 508:6,13	global 348:22	488:23 495:22
400:3 424:10	481:25	gas 342:24,24	349:14 355:2	507:9
425:23 451:3	fruition 497:7	343:14 347:22,23	465:13,16 468:11	Golden 344:4
465:7 469:14,14	full 347:14 352:17	348:3,12 349:5	478:14,17	Goltz 470:24 471:7
469:16,17	472:2 488:13	390:7	go 354:18 355:20	471:18
Ford's 399:18	fully 420:5 425:16	Gates 340:18	357:3 359:15,21	good 350:7 357:1,2
411:14 446:2	function 373:23	gears 391:10	369:24,25,25	357:7 366:6,9,9
forego 400:14	497:25	general 341:4	381:22 382:24	368:20 370:3
foregoing 508:8	Functions 349:4	364:13 365:15	387:12 389:5,15	381:24,25 383:14
foremost 474:10	fund 373:7 388:2,5	366:8 425:23	394:5 397:20	385:24 386:1
forever 394:4	389:2,16 455:1	426:24 437:12	413:23 420:18	397:22,23 432:8
forgot 356:9	468:22	438:20	422:17 423:17	433:13 437:18
Form 348:16,18	funded 384:21	generally 386:18	437:11 445:15	442:1 444:16
formal 350:16	390:13 453:22	412:24 421:4	451:21 472:4,19	452:7 472:7,9
351:16 408:4	468:25	428:19 441:18	475:4 478:5	482:4 487:9
460:7 504:15	funding 347:6	442:4 444:14	479:24,25 486:21	495:14,16
formed 442:7	372:9,10,16	469:20	489:9 499:21	gotten 447:22
forms 455:5	375:21 383:19	generated 386:21	507:13,18	govern 435:25,25
forth 441:15	384:9,14 390:2	generation 478:13	goal 425:24 451:17	governance 345:10
forward 370:11	455:5 457:7	478:16	goals 467:18	345:13 349:15
416:11 432:21	468:20	gentleman 357:21	475:16	360:2,17,23 361:1
		George 403:3		
	I	I	I	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

363:4 367:18,25	402:2 420:10	365:17 366:24	505:23	hope 350:13 358:19
368:14 375:12,17	466:24 467:22	380:7 390:24	helpful 431:11	443:16 494:12
376:3 378:6	477:22	401:7 404:7	441:7	hoping 350:24
379:19 381:13	governmental	409:24 412:22	helping 405:3	351:16
382:8,15,17 383:1	482:8 484:10	420:6,6 443:10	helps 443:16	hour 397:15 434:7
391:6 396:19	499:13	449:6 499:4	484:18	434:9 470:21
400:4,12 402:1,14	grant 472:23	happening 405:15	Hey 506:11	hours 469:21
403:16,22,24	473:15	happens 377:23	Hi 383:16	house 451:1
404:2,6 409:16	Graph 343:10	413:12 485:21	high 371:1,3 379:4	Howell 342:6
411:10 412:7,21	great 364:13 367:2	501:6 502:16	447:15	hunch 470:21
414:2 415:13	367:24 404:14	happy 441:5	Higher 348:21	hundred 373:15,23
416:18 417:5	430:23	harassed 442:12	highly 349:13	376:19 383:8
418:1,6 419:15	greater 386:18	hard 442:25 444:8	439:21	387:3,3,15 413:5
420:1,9,13 421:1	438:21 439:3	462:8	hire 492:2,6	hundred-year
421:4 423:4	496:11,14,15	harm 439:21	hired 405:18 429:9	396:24
426:19,21 427:7	Greg 399:12	483:23 501:13	429:9	Hydro 339:5
427:25 432:24	grounded 358:12	harmonizing	historic 393:9	340:17 342:2,3
433:9,23 435:21	group 441:22	491:20	Historical 348:13	343:5 344:9,12,17
436:17,22 437:4	groups 433:1	Hawkins 342:7	history 443:19	345:3,4,7,7,13,18
466:11,15 486:7	guess 368:9,13	head 386:9,16	465:23	345:19,21 346:13
490:19,24 491:5	370:6 387:9,18	502:8 506:6	hit 425:21	347:2 348:7,16,17
491:10,14,17,19	401:3 408:21	head-on 505:16	hoc 421:14 427:18	349:11,13,14
495:21,24 496:4	436:6 448:25	heading 392:22	428:2,7,12 429:3	351:5,11 357:14
496:17,24 497:4,8	guidance 451:25	healthcare 449:12	429:5,13,14,20	358:7,12,21,22
497:23	guy 365:4 400:24	hear 408:24	432:5,16 433:6,11	359:24 360:3,4,10
Governance-Rel		heard 359:4 401:18	433:16 443:15	360:12 361:9,11
344:22	<u> </u>	406:1 411:3 431:3	492:5	361:17 362:1,9,17
government 361:6	H 342:6	496:11 507:11	hold 400:14 421:17	362:19,20 364:7,8
398:16 400:12	H-1 347:6,7,8,9,11	hearing 339:7	444:9,24 445:1,2	365:22 366:19
401:10,20 402:23	347:12,14,14,15	350:12,17 351:10	482:13,14	367:23 368:22
403:1,5 411:14,22	347:16	356:15,25 357:8	holders 402:5 445:9	369:9,14 370:15
412:3 414:23	half 354:20 397:15	357:13 359:24	holding 373:22	371:2 373:9,13
415:19 419:2,23	434:9	366:4,12 421:25	441:25,25 477:13	374:13,16 376:18
420:10,23 422:22	Hancock 342:4	432:5 439:24	holdings 374:2	376:22,24,24,25
423:10 424:4	hand 368:10	483:3 494:21,23	442:15	377:1,4,5 378:18
425:24 433:3	453:15	495:18 507:20	home 372:18	379:11,12 380:3
446:2,17,20,22,25	hands 356:17	heart-to-heart	honest 354:7	381:1 382:3,5,7
447:2,7 451:13	handy 371:24	363:13	honestly 409:23	382:10,19 384:11
452:2 460:6	happen 364:16	hectic 409:25	Honor 470:24	388:1,13,19 389:8
461:14,21 462:11	384:24 395:4	held 371:4 398:18	471:8 489:25	389:15 391:8,16
465:6,9 467:3,17	408:17 409:7,10	398:21 399:3	494:6 504:20	391:19 392:6,7,9
468:6 477:19	414:20 420:3	Hellman 342:5	505:21 507:16	392:20,22,23
478:3 492:16	424:13 476:10	help 380:20 427:23	Honorable 399:12	393:5 397:7
497:3 499:13	488:18 497:19	429:10 441:1	hook 359:10	399:10,24,25
government's	502:19 504:23	456:18 492:3,6	hop 467:13	400:11 401:9
	happened 362:23			
	1	•	1	

408:18 409:11	ICNU 345:18,20	impediment 443:22	446:3 488:7	444:4 447:4
410:11,22 418:14	Idaho 365:13 366:1	Implementation	independent 360:5	informed 350:10
418:25 419:10,21	366:9 373:4	349:5	378:12,20 381:3,5	406:19 480:6
420:7 424:17,21	idea 358:12 367:5	implementing	381:14 393:2,5	informs 377:24
425:12,25 426:2	370:20 378:11,19	380:20	400:21,23 401:15	infrastructure
426:10 434:18	378:22 380:22	imply 393:22	405:3 421:14	392:21
436:3 437:21	396:24 404:21	importance 360:11	453:25 454:8	initial 346:8 401:19
438:1,2 439:11,13	444:16 489:14	important 360:2	independently	431:13 466:13
439:14,21,24	ideas 370:20 485:9	367:3,8 404:5,5	428:7 492:18,21	489:13
443:13 446:3,14	identified 432:16	415:12 443:7	Index 342:1 343:1	initially 368:11
446:14 448:11,19	432:21 434:5	451:23 492:12,13	344:1 345:1 346:1	initiatives 372:11
449:24 450:9,24	439:15 440:11,13	492:18	347:1 348:1,14	372:17
451:1,9 452:22,23	identify 424:25	imposed 474:6	349:1	injection 454:17
452:25 453:18	434:4 439:25	improvement	indicate 352:19	455:6,9,18 456:3
454:15,20,25	444:6 492:3,6	392:21	353:12,13	456:9,18,22
455:7,13,18 456:9	505:23	inaudible 384:2	indicated 353:18	input 423:24
457:6,19 458:1	identifying 432:1	393:6 457:12	465:7 472:11	488:25
460:17,19 461:24	432:14	incentives 475:14	indication 433:19	inquire 370:6
462:4,13,19,25	identity 441:17	475:15	indirect 373:13	inquiring 352:19
463:6,23 464:2,14	ignored 382:7	include 380:24	individual 430:1	inquiry 440:15,17
464:15 465:3,7,8	II 348:17	461:11	442:2	installed 458:10
465:11,13,17	III 348:17	included 408:8	Industries 348:9	instance 375:19
466:11,12,19,21	imagine 408:15,16	442:9 458:19	industry 355:15	Institute 348:22
467:7,7,8,9,9,12	408:19 449:9	472:24 477:6	424:16 464:23	institutions 445:8
467:18,20 468:12	immune 463:24	including 347:21	465:2 468:3	instrument 418:11
468:21,21,24	immunity 347:15	438:1 472:20	469:20 486:2	intact 361:1 382:5
469:5,20 470:4,17	472:24 473:16	474:11 485:7	industry-wide	integrity 443:15
472:11,13 473:25	485:18	496:4	424:23 425:13,20	Integrity-Related
474:22 475:8,20	immunity's 485:12	income 372:18	426:1.9	344:24
475:22 477:5,12	impact 364:17	375:22 386:3,15	infer 468:7	intended 418:22
477:22 478:18,21	365:9 383:7	386:21 387:1	inference 361:5	436:24 482:6
479:1,8,10 481:6	389:14 409:11,20		465:21	497:15 500:22
485:14,20 486:12	410:8,11,13,23	inconsistent 491:14	inferences 440:20	intending 370:9
487:3 490:4,12	464:24 465:8,11	incorporated	influence 360:6	intent 380:7 394:5
493:11,22 496:6	466:7 467:24	460:22 461:11	378:2 438:11,11	400:11 411:22
496:25 497:5,5,16	468:7 470:7	Incorporation	463:24	417:25 418:5
498:5,12,14,15	474:16,19 477:1	437:21	influenced 498:20	454:21 467:5
504:21	478:15,17,19	incorrect 491:11	498:23	499:10
hypothetical	480:1,1 484:12,14	increase 372:8	inform 439:19	intentions 468:17
347:14 408:16	484:17 485:15	466:4	information 348:6	intents 419:8
456:6 466:18	486:11,12,14,16	incurred 477:5	350:21,24 395:22	interest 352:9
488:17	487:12 493:21	Indemnity 344:4	403:12 413:9	366:20 367:6
hypotheticals 489:3	impacts 362:2,11	independence	439:13,18 440:1	368:12 369:5,9
489:4	388:19 410:4	357:15,16 358:21	440:24 441:21	376:12,16 377:24
	446:7 466:19	438:21 439:3	442:1,3 443:2,4	378:9 379:3
<u> </u>				
			I	l

				0
382:20 390:25	intrusive 400:5	Jamie 341:10	385:20 396:4	461:12,25 462:1
391:5 396:14	411:15 419:3	461:17	397:4,18 412:12	462:17
399:25 400:13	invested 414:1	JAY 340:4	412:24 413:9,21	jurisdictions 346:7
403:16,21 409:5	Investigation	jcameron@utc.w	420:16,20 421:3	460:22
409:13,15 411:13	348:11	341:6	421:12,22 444:2	
411:19 415:2	investing 413:10	JDS-1T 345:2	445:18,21 449:20	K
421:5 427:10	investment 413:10	398:6.13	449:22 450:16,18	K&L 340:18
438:8 449:25	449:11	JDS-2 345:3 417:6	451:6,15,19 452:4	keep 352:22,23
450:4,9,13 463:13	investments 392:16	JDS-3 345:4 461:4	458:21,24 459:9	380:16 404:6
463:14,17 464:6	investor 423:11	JENNIFER 341:3	459:23 460:5,13	430:6 436:8
464:21 467:15	441:22	jerk 470:1	470:20 471:6,14	441:17 442:14
468:16 479:8,10	investors 394:14	JJR-1T 344:20	471:16,25 489:21	484:24
483:14	395:2,9,21 421:5	JJR-2 344:21	494:7,16,24 495:4	keeping 398:3
interested 401:2,4	423:5 432:23	JJR-3 344:22	495:12 503:13,21	443:5
431:12 434:3	433:22 440:7	JJR-4 344:23	503:24 504:6,10	kept 407:19
483:16 485:7	442:3	JJR-5 344:24	505:1,4,7,10,25	Kevin 342:18
interfere 358:2	invitation 507:8	JNT-1T 342:2	506:10,12 507:3,6	kick 476:8
360:20 497:15	invoke 417:21	JNT-2 342:9	507:17	kicking 476:2,4
interfered 380:2	involved 368:23	JNT-3 342:11	judgment 375:11	kind 354:9 366:21
interference 357:10	400:19 402:22	481:25	480:11	370:10 378:15,16
359:8 360:6,13	403:7 428:12,18	JNT-4 342:12	July 345:3 357:17	416:13 422:15
361:6 379:11	440:4 442:18	JNT-5 342:14	361:12 363:16	423:14 425:10
496:9 498:1	444:23 484:23	JOHN 341:9	364:18,25 365:1	426:2,6 441:25,25
interim 370:14	involvement	join 370:9	366:20 367:15	484:18 486:16
430:19 433:17,24	409:18 446:9	joining 356:1	369:8 370:12	KJC-1T 342:18
interject 444:2	involves 474:25	Joint 342:2 346:9	390:23,24 396:13	KJC-2 342:20
internal 349:3	IOUs 343:11	353:14	398:15,21 399:2,8	knee 470:1
410:4	ipolitics.ca 445:25	jointly 437:23	401:8,18 405:5	kneecaps 446:3
International	issuance 394:20	Joseph's 449:12	406:8 410:2	knew 368:16,18
343:17	issue 392:8,21	JRW-1T 346:4	411:15 417:20	409:22,22 411:21
interpret 486:5	395:12 425:9	JRW-2 346:5	419:12 431:10,11	412:3 428:19
interpretation	488:24 501:10	JRW-3 346:7	431:24 434:24	know 352:23 354:4
459:17 477:9,19	506:1 507:4	JRW-4 346:8	435:5 445:25	359:18 360:25
interrupt 359:2	issued 361:15	JRW-5T 346:10	451:2 465:25	364:20 367:1,2,21
intervening 366:7	395:13 433:18	JRW-6 346:11	467:25 468:2,4	369:12 377:13
500:9	493:17	JRW-7 346:13	469:19,22 470:18	381:20 383:5
intervenor 471:17	issues 381:2 485:8	458:20 459:7	493:18	386:9,17 387:4,22
471:21	502:5,23 505:12	judge 339:10 340:8	July's 468:9	387:23 388:12,15
intervenors 366:16	505:17,23 506:22	350:6.8 353:11.17	juncture 432:14	388:19 389:7,12
489:8	issuing 395:17	355:10,14,24	506:3	390:12 391:4
intervention	It'd 426:4	356:13,19,23	June 348:4,11,16	394:3 395:3 403:5
466:10 496:6	it'll 435:12 475:23	357:3,6 359:2	348:18 398:5	403:7,24 405:1,9
497:3	items 398:9 491:17	368:2 374:9,10	401:8 405:17	407:21 408:10,25
interviewed 429:21		379:9,16 381:19	419:12	409:1,3 410:7
interviewing 432:1	J	381:22 385:8,10	jurisdiction 419:24	411:25 414:22,22
	J 342:4,5,18	, í	Ť	415:5 416:6,10,11
	I	I	1	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

417:16 419:24	500:19	418:25 419:3,6,10	380:11 423:12	396:24 397:1
429:6 430:24,25	large 413:1 418:2	419:20,25 420:11	limited 339:5 344:9	435:12 443:19
433:19,25 435:13	425:9 426:11	420:17 423:13	345:7 351:6	long-term 378:15
440:12,21,22	438:20	424:1,5,12,16,22	446:10 467:7	379:7 464:24
441:11,24 442:10	largely 387:2	424:24 425:5,11	477:13	470:15 475:15
443:7,11,20 444:8	largest 358:8	425:19,25 426:7	limiting 403:25	longer 366:15
444:14,14,19,25	360:18 382:14	426:18 446:2	line 359:4,7 372:15	470:3
445:13,14,16	389:5 423:8	462:2,12,20,24	372:23 383:17	look 386:8 394:10
446:13 447:13,17	439:15,25 440:9	475:19 477:9,10	384:1,8,15 391:14	394:20 395:2,9,15
448:14,16,22,23	444:6 446:15,18	477:12 487:1	398:9 399:16	395:21,23 409:14
449:5,6 451:24	laryngitis 397:23	legislative 466:19	414:10 426:13	420:8 425:20
458:16 460:6	late 353:8 363:10	472:23 473:15	434:21 457:17,22	437:15,19 445:3
467:2,21 468:6,18	366:7	legislature 380:10	457:24,24 498:3	453:12,14 470:3
469:25 470:16	latest 353:13	398:17 486:1	lines 362:20 372:3	470:14 475:11
475:1,10 476:5,21	launch 356:3	lend 394:11,18	372:24 373:2,5	484:20 487:10
477:14 478:2,10	law 340:8 350:8	395:19	393:17 437:19	491:2
479:19 480:13	382:21 408:1	lenders 394:10	457:25 460:21	looked 432:19
483:13 484:4	426:23 435:11	length 416:20	link 350:19 359:12	450:21 470:8
486:11 487:5	laws 462:16	let's 350:6 355:24	links 350:18,21	looking 378:12
488:16,18,23	lawyer 432:18	356:8 374:6	lion 386:17	381:16 398:10
489:1,9 492:19,22	434:15 438:14	385:20 397:18	list 342:12 344:2	417:4 420:4
492:23 495:8	473:20 503:13	398:4 411:7 413:6	346:3 353:8,11,19	421:23 432:7
496:13 497:12	lead 399:8 450:25	428:11 471:25	354:15,25 355:19	433:8,9 453:17
501:16,17,18,19	leader 367:2	485:25 507:6	429:21 433:2	461:4 464:23
503:13	leadership 370:15	letter 345:3 361:12	445:3	478:3 482:1
knowledge 353:1,2	380:2 439:11	382:21 399:9,17	listen 352:10	485:25
361:23 406:18	474:16	400:6 406:9	listened 495:21	Lopez 341:11 342:3
428:6,15 441:21	leading 400:25	417:20	listening 359:6	344:8 452:8,14,19
441:22 480:4,10	409:1 450:12	letters 470:25	496:22	453:2,7,12,20
508:9	learn 354:8 357:19	level 376:4 379:4	Listing 344:21	454:4,10 455:4,20
knowledgeable	358:19	441:14 447:22	lists 432:23	456:10,13,16,23
480:12	learned 497:14	levels 349:4 376:1	literally 383:2	457:1,9,22 458:2
known 413:10	leave 363:16	474:21 492:25	little 355:11 370:24	458:7,15 459:16
420:22,24 423:5	368:18 471:9	levers 423:9	432:15 444:13	460:1,9,16,24
488:8 499:2	472:5	liability 347:16	452:9,21 465:23	461:3,6,17 462:21
knows 413:12,12	led 416:25	472:24 473:16	live-streamed	463:2,7,12,18,25
427:1 429:18	left 436:12 466:12	light 352:6 399:23	350:17 359:10	464:3,16 465:4,14
Kramer 361:14	legal 398:20,22	440:5 470:14	lives 367:22	465:18,20 466:22
	399:4 405:3,7,19	471:17 490:6	liz.thomas@klga	467:21 468:15,24
L	473:20,21 501:17	likelihood 465:2	340:20	469:4,15,24
L 342:3 343:8	506:25	494:1	LLC 339:21 373:13	470:11 479:14
labor 348:9,13,14	legally 441:16	limit 424:5 447:7	373:20 374:2,14	490:2 493:5,9,12
Labour 348:16,18	legislate 347:8	500:22	LLC's 373:23	493:14,19,24
lack 439:12,12	legislation 400:4	limitation 438:8	LLP 340:18 400:23	494:4
language 487:20	411:14 415:9,10	limitations 380:9	long 367:21 379:6	Lopez's 459:12
489:10 497:23				
	1	1	1	·

				0
lost 379:14	466:11,16 479:23	Mayo 342:2 345:6	464:6 469:16	merger 343:24
lot 354:8 356:6,7	manager 422:5,9	362:17,17,24,24	486:18 487:13,19	344:22,23,24
371:17 397:9	managerial 422:14	363:5,8,12 366:24	488:2	346:7,12 360:10
410:4 423:1 443:2	managing 347:22	367:1,5 368:10,13	meeting 362:25	367:17 384:16
487:15 507:10	347:23 348:2	368:16,20 404:14	363:9 400:15	387:25 419:17
love 505:2	424:9	417:1 451:3	401:20 402:5,13	472:14,25 478:21
low 372:17 375:22	manner 383:3	McGuire 341:12	402:15 404:2	482:15 490:13,15
lower 349:15	400:2 406:24	471:20 494:11,13	406:5,8,13,16	497:6 500:13
467:14,15 469:8	411:12 464:22	494:17 495:13,15	407:6,15,22,23	merits 426:18
470:5	Map 343:13,17	495:16,19 496:1,8	412:8 413:4,4,6	message 358:3
lucky 413:5	Marc 342:5	496:13,20,23	413:16 415:17	met 434:2
Lunch 471:23	March 346:9	497:10 498:10,16	416:9,15 421:17	Meyer 340:13
	Marieke 445:24	498:22 499:8,17	423:23 427:12	353:6,7,16 355:4
M	mark 341:9 343:19	499:19.22 500:15	430:5,14 433:20	355:7,13 356:12
M 342:2,3,5,5,6	354:18 357:21	500:18 501:3,16	434:6 437:10,11	356:22 357:1
344:7 345:6	364:21 365:5	501:22 502:1,11	444:10,21,24	371:18,22 374:6
435:16	Mark's 365:3	503:1,7,10,14,19	445:17	396:7,9 397:3
mail 445:16	market 348:14	503:23 504:1,5	meetings 401:7,12	504:20 505:1,3,5
main 364:4,9	358:1 427:1	McKinsey 348:22	407:20 408:24	505:8,11 506:8,9
379:13	441:21,21,24	mean 380:9 406:18	413:2 429:1 430:4	506:13,15 507:15
maintain 388:13,21	442:4 470:18	407:17 413:7,25	member 377:8	mic 397:12
393:23 435:14	475:11	415:25 419:19	398:22 402:25	Michael's 449:12
443:14 456:1	markets 422:23,23	423:12 424:17	403:3 481:9	middle 363:9 448:3
467:11	masse 408:19	426:12 432:9	members 351:23	448:5
maintaining	Master 342:12	435:24 436:3	362:1,9 377:25	million 372:16
455:24	344:2	440:11,25 441:22	393:4,5 401:19	373:3 379:14
maintains 388:17	material 353:24	444:13 446:13	404:24 426:14	384:2 386:6,11
major 346:11 349:4	354:13 447:8	447:17,18 453:7	434:9 442:20	387:3,3,22 447:19
404:1 429:16	486:14 494:13	461:24 476:5	475:9 480:5,16,21	447:19,21,21
449:2	materially 464:15	477:10 487:21,24	492:3,16,20 498:6	448:1 472:13
majority 371:3	465:3	meaning 382:7	Memorandum	mind 352:22,23
389:19 398:16	materials 355:11	461:10	343:4	378:10 402:2
412:18 413:3,3	445:16 495:1	meaningless 421:18	Meneley 364:12	463:8 474:21,24
438:22 497:1	math 365:4	means 423:11	mentioned 369:21	484:3,8 489:3,17
498:15	mathematically	433:11 436:7	375:25 403:3	497:20 500:6
making 378:2	402:10	467:14 469:8	429:7 433:5	502:17 503:9
382:14 413:20	matrix 432:8	470:15	448:22 469:14	506:6 507:23
444:15 462:14	matter 339:4 349:3	meant 358:20	478:9 492:8	mindful 464:4
465:20 468:24	351:5 441:12	363:14 365:20	493:16 494:20	504:22
malicious 497:13	471:9 485:4,6	413:18 469:14	502:17	Minister 399:12
man 447:19,19	matters 347:8	493:25	mentioning 491:24	minister's 358:9
manage 487:12	404:10 405:12,13	measure 384:1	Mercier 400:24,25	minority 412:15
management 393:8	437:3,5 473:20	mechanism 478:5	401:5,15,22	498:8
393:9,10 405:11	483:18	mechanisms 478:6	402:19 403:10	minute 371:23
405:12 416:25	maximize 377:18	meet 364:11 375:21	404:9,23 410:19	414:14 416:6
423:19 449:11				
	I	l	I	I

431:10 488:15	386:1 397:6,22	459:23 460:5,13	348:12 390:7	neutral 410:15
minutes 353:21	452:8,11 454:16	470:20 471:6,14	nature 476:5	never 350:24
397:14 407:14,19	469:6	471:16,25 489:21	506:24	356:15 367:4
408:8 470:22	Morris 341:8 342:3	494:7,16,24 495:4	near 396:25	368:19 371:5
507:4	343:8 357:7	495:12 503:13,21	nearest 386:6	395:4 396:22
misnumbered	359:21 360:8,14	503:24 504:6,10	nearly 373:3	413:4 472:16
354:23	360:24 361:7,22	505:1,4,7,10,25	451:16	473:2,21 505:6
misplaced 353:2	362:5,14,23 363:8	506:10,12 507:3,6	necessarily 485:3	new 348:19 349:2,4
misspoken 444:12	363:17,21,24	507:17	necessary 352:2,6	354:25 355:18
MMH-1T 346:22	364:1,6,8,20	motion 451:8	352:17 353:25	356:10,11,21
MMH-2T 346:23	365:2,11,14 366:2	482:18 500:14	391:24 392:18,24	364:11 370:13
MMH-3 347:2	366:12,21 368:2,8	move 379:16	402:16 411:11	382:25 383:2
MMS-1T 345:6	369:6,10,15,19	382:24 411:7	402.10 411.11	384:21 398:16
	370:12 371:9,13	504:16 507:22		
MMS-2 345:8	,		necessity 396:7	420:11 421:5,15
MMS-3 345:10	371:16 372:1,5,13	movement 364:22	need 348:11 356:4	427:4 433:12
MMS-4T 345:11	372:21 373:16,21	468:9	356:10 388:21	435:6,17 436:11
MMS-5 345:13	373:25 374:5,8,22	moves 352:15	397:10 412:8	436:13 450:25
417:13,14	375:3,9,24 376:6	moving 484:19,22	417:17 443:8	451:21 458:5,8,10
modifying 483:25	376:9,13,17,22,25	MTT-2 343:21	453:12 456:3,21	459:25 460:1
501:14	377:5,11 378:5	MTT-3 343:22	464:8 482:22	462:24 468:1
moment 474:7,19	379:10,15,18	MTT-4 343:24	494:12 504:19	481:24 489:13
493:3 502:17	380:17,21 381:24	MTT-5 344:2	506:2 507:10	490:21 491:23,23
momentarily 461:3	381:25 382:11	MTT-6T 344:3	needed 364:15	492:20 498:6,20
Monday 366:4	383:15,16,20,23	391:11 393:16	375:20 405:7	502:3
monetary 455:2	384:7,20 385:4	MTT-7 344:4	494:22 507:21	newly 401:10
money 394:11,18	390:22 391:1,4	MTT-IT 343:19	needs 470:8 471:9	newly-elected
443:3 466:2	396:5,11,18	multiple 363:19	479:2	399:18
475:21	405:21,25 406:1	370:13,15 387:21	negative 369:18	news 361:16 475:1
monitor 362:22	mortgage 395:13	415:23 449:19	388:21 412:3	night 363:12
483:9	395:14	mute 359:8	464:15 465:3	505:22
monitoring 362:16	Moss 339:10 340:9	mutually 377:16,22	466:3,4,13 493:8	Nina 494:18
Montana 373:6	350:6,8 353:11,17	N	506:7	nine 434:9 492:23
month 468:2,4	355:10,14,24	$\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{N}^{2} \mathbf{A}^{2} $	negotiate 499:23	nine-person 448:19
470:4,18	356:13,19,23	N 340:1 341:1	500:3	nobo 445:10
months 342:24	357:3 359:2 368:2	350:4	negotiated 497:21	noises 380:12,15
450:24 451:10,11	374:10 379:9,16	name 350:7 445:2	499:22 502:13	nominate 498:6
451:16	381:22 385:10,20	named 433:17	negotiating 360:9	nominated 429:24
Moody 464:17	396:4 397:4,18	450:21	negotiation 381:1	430:20
Moody's 345:18,22	412:12,24 413:9	names 432:14	negotiations	nominates 377:9
394:4 463:4,5	413:21 420:16,20	433:4,18 439:20	367:12	nominating 427:19
468:12 493:7,10	421:3,12,22 444:2	narrow 425:10	neither 392:9	428:2,7 429:13,15
morning 350:7,19	445:18,21 449:20	National 339:24	net 358:25 384:4,17	429:20 432:6,16
351:5 356:3 357:7	450:18 451:6,15	nationally 393:24	384:19 386:3,15	433:2,6,11,16
359:12 381:24,25	451:19 452:4	Nations 432:17	386:21 387:1	nominee 431:13
383:14 385:24	458:21,24 459:9	natural 343:13	Networks 344:17	nominees 428:2,8
		347:22,23 348:3		
	1	1	1	1

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	1	1	1	
428:22,22 429:19	obligated 460:4	503:16	Olympus 373:12,20	operation 360:20
430:1 431:22	obligation 483:9	okay 355:20,25	373:22 374:2,14	381:14
432:2,6 437:8	484:23 487:21,22	356:23 360:9	375:8,20 376:23	operations 349:3
non-Crown 423:15	491:15,20	361:4,8 363:15,23	376:25 469:7	482:9 487:2,18
nonobjecting	obligations 442:22	364:2 365:8,24	once 429:23 436:9	499:15
445:10,14	486:15,18	366:10,18 368:8	501:12	opinion 371:2
noon 470:20	obo 445:11	369:7 371:20	One's 343:5 346:13	473:5,11,12,15,23
normal 404:2	observe 425:8	372:2,5,14,22	348:8 351:11	474:15 480:6
435:15	obtain 393:23	373:17,22 374:18	357:14 358:21,22	opinions 481:10
normally 408:24	394:9 395:21	375:1,4 376:6,10	361:11,17 369:9	opportunities
North 342:24	obviously 366:23	376:14 377:2,7	376:19 378:18	352:5
343:11 348:9	484:13	381:15 385:5,16	379:11 381:1	opportunity 364:11
358:15 468:5	occasions 449:1	385:16 387:8	382:5 392:7,20	364:14 365:18
475:12	occur 429:1	391:3,9 393:15	408:18 409:11	366:25 414:8
Northwest 378:12	occurred 351:9	398:1,8,12 399:1	426:10 437:21	421:8 429:25
381:6,7	410:6 428:15	399:15,22 400:9	438:2 443:13	504:24 507:1
Norwood 343:4	479:17 488:20	400:18 402:24	463:6 464:14	opposed 383:2
notate 354:15	occurring 411:4	404:8 405:20	465:8,13 466:21	option 456:16
notch 466:12,14	October 339:12	406:14 412:11	468:12 469:20	options 415:6 478:3
467:13	348:20 350:1	416:17 417:4,10	475:8 479:1	oral 351:23
note 353:9 469:19	351:5 451:16	417:23 418:24	490:12 496:6	order 349:5 377:13
notes 354:17	459:3,4	419:11 421:22	one-page 471:3	382:20 400:13
504:14	Odgers 431:16,25	426:8 427:2,5,6	ones 353:18 436:14	421:4 444:10
notice 359:3 408:1	432:3	427:13,17,21,24	Ongo 372:19	488:5,6 495:7
408:2 427:8,14	offer 407:1 479:13	428:5,20 429:12	ongoing 362:12	504:18,24
483:10,11 489:13	480:5 492:10	429:23 430:23	428:19 474:2	orderly 400:1
noticed 350:12	offered 354:1	431:8,18,25	Ontario 345:3,13	Oregon 365:12
351:8 407:22	453:21 474:12	434:17 435:7,20	360:3 368:25	366:11,13,16
NRDC 342:6	offering 421:7	436:19,22 437:4	371:2 380:10	373:4
number 357:11	453:18	437:14,17,18	396:14 398:17,19	organization
372:10 413:7	offhand 486:11	438:16,19 445:21	399:11,11 426:5	474:14
459:1,5 505:12	487:7	448:10,21 451:6	437:20 445:4	Organizational
numbered 354:23	office 341:4 398:19	452:4 457:15	461:24 462:19	343:12
354:24	398:20 399:5	461:3 470:9 471:7	465:10 478:12	organizations
numbering 355:19	479:13 494:25	471:11,22 472:22	492:12 497:3,15	370:16
numbers 365:7	officer 343:9,20	473:4 475:7 482:4	498:1,17,24 499:5	original 392:5
NWEC 342:6	345:7 352:20	482:25 492:8	500:2	others' 437:20
345:25 471:2	447:9 464:2	495:3,10,20 496:2	Ontario's 357:23	oust 499:1
0	465:17 481:6	496:16 499:6,8,9	399:5 463:22	ouster 451:2
	490:8	499:18 501:20	open 472:13	outcome 402:8
O 350:4	official 351:22	502:25 503:23	opening 487:1	413:14 484:1
o'clock 363:11	oh 356:9 391:21	old 368:17 436:13	operate 360:4	488:5 501:15
000 350:3	398:12 407:24	Olympia 339:15,23	380:3 422:4 428:7	outgoing 440:6
oath 494:15	413:11 429:9	340:6,11 341:5	operating 443:16	outline 507:8
objecting 445:11	471:11 493:2	350:1	478:23	outlook 493:24
445:12,13				

	1	1	1	
outside 375:16	345:7 505:5,7	parties 350:10	451:1 454:11,13	464:13 465:6
427:22 460:22	506:19	351:13 352:4	455:13,18 456:8,8	468:2,4 469:21
461:12,16 499:4	paid 372:25 373:4	353:5 365:20	456:11,17 457:3	470:4,5,13,18
outstanding 370:18	374:3,20 384:3,25	366:5,7,13 400:14	475:21	476:1,2,13 477:23
377:14 439:2	388:2 453:6,11,24	418:12 420:3	paying 390:6	478:4,9 486:2
outward 449:11	454:1,2,7 455:6	422:1 437:2 455:8	payment 389:23	494:1 498:6
overall 468:11	469:8,9 475:23	470:25 483:11,16	455:8 457:11	percentage 403:17
oversight 474:2	panel 397:7 424:18	483:16 484:22	payments 454:3	418:2
overstate 419:19	426:14 471:17	485:7 486:24	455:9,17 464:21	perception 371:1
overstatement	472:2 489:22	500:9 501:4,7,8	PDE-1T 342:21	perfectly 352:24
437:7	panelists 494:8	502:14,22 506:14	PDE-2 342:23,24	perform 379:4
own- 445:12	paper 371:17	parties' 351:25	PDE-3 343:2,3	380:3
owner 360:16	411:21	partnership 487:15	PDE-4 343:4	period 399:3
379:13 445:11,12	paraphrase 396:12	parts 383:21	PDE-5 343:7	402:18 410:14
445:13	parent 374:14	474:14	pdf 342:25 343:3	412:4 414:6 467:4
owner's 378:4	388:17 395:9	party 366:7 418:11	peers 475:11	470:3
owners 376:16	497:14	482:13,13 488:8	penalties 473:17	permanent 434:4
ownership 343:17	Park 339:14 340:5	pass 413:17 415:9	penalty 472:14	434:11
358:22,23 418:2	340:10 341:5	419:6,9,20,24	people 359:3	permissible 491:16
438:7 441:13	450:22	420:10,16 422:8	369:25 370:5,17	person 367:2 371:4
owning 373:14	part 351:16,18,21	423:13 424:1,5,22	410:9 420:7	403:19 407:1
437:24	354:14 361:16	462:1,20 500:8	424:18 430:8	431:4 432:17
owns 413:1 438:2	387:6 392:4	503:19	432:11,19,21	434:5 437:22
438:22 439:1	404:19 429:13	passed 424:25	441:15,23 442:7	447:21 474:10
	448:18 460:18	434:18 436:4	442:10,13 443:8	475:13 503:15
$\frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{P}}$	468:5 475:19	459:21	443:23 445:1,7	person's 475:15
P 340:1,1 341:1,1	477:16 481:25	passes 424:4	470:14 488:19	personal 366:25
350:4	483:9 484:24	passing 426:23	people's 426:3	473:5,10,12,14
p.m 471:24,24	489:8	505:22	perceive 501:7	474:15
507:25	partial 349:13	Pat 364:12	perceived 496:14	personally 415:15
Pacific 378:11	457:18	patient 411:25	percent 360:16	415:22,24 467:1
381:6	partially 502:18	Patrick 342:21	364:24,25 365:3	469:17
pack 448:3,5	participant 442:24	pattern 489:17	369:14 373:15,23	persons 437:22
packages 423:22,24	participants 360:11	502:8	376:19 379:13	perspective 365:21
packets 424:5	443:6	patterns 489:19	380:13 386:18	366:25 367:17
page 372:2,14,23	participate 440:12	patty.hanson@a	387:15 402:9,10	380:6 381:13
372:23 373:2,5	440:22 443:9,10	340:16	404:3,3,4 412:15	382:23,23 383:4,5
384:1 391:14	445:7,19 476:7	Paul 341:11 344:7	413:5,6,7,16,17	443:5 502:13
393:17 398:9,15	participated 442:8	364:11,12 370:14	413:19,25 423:2	pertaining 348:6
398:24 399:16	442:10	Pause 507:5	424:11,19,21	pertains 497:5
416:21 434:21	particular 353:24	pausing 416:6	425:9,24 426:4	petition 502:22
437:16 452:12	407:1 414:10	pay 375:8,19,22	428:1 437:25	phase 351:15 495:2
457:17,24 460:20	425:4 462:9 471:8	387:2 389:9	438:2,7 439:2	504:13,13
461:6,7 498:3	particularly 457:12	423:21,22,24	441:13,14,15	phone 359:7
pages 339:8 342:22	496:23	424:5 450:25	443:21 455:25	pick 428:1 470:22
343:9,20 344:9,17				
1	1	1	•	1

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 age 525
piece 419:9 446:2	pop 484:7	practicalities 413:2	prepared 342:17	principles 403:22
pipe 347:22,23	portions 383:25	practically 413:19	368:6 440:12,21	404:6 415:13
348:3	pose 390:21 439:21	414:15	446:16 494:14	478:1
place 366:9 382:25	posed 431:3	practice 435:12	507:13	prior 371:19 434:8
383:2 396:19	posing 484:16	437:11 488:9	preposition 353:2	459:20,23,24
421:4 436:8,11	positing 425:11	practices 370:20	present 341:7	498:21
438:15 450:10	position 363:16	393:9	350:13 407:9	private 358:3,4,7
463:19 486:7	480:5 496:19	preceding 401:7	408:12,15 430:13	358:13,14 360:4
places 449:13	499:14 500:20	453:8 479:12	482:7 499:11	360:12 394:13
plan 343:24 347:21	positions 422:2	precipitate 410:24	presents 450:6	421:6 422:20,21
348:2 464:5	433:24	precipitous 467:19	President 342:19	422:22 423:11
planned 392:23	positive 386:3,14	precise 403:20	343:8,19 344:8,17	446:18
Plans 349:5	386:21 467:8,11	405:9	345:6	privately 448:3
	493:25			
play 371:8 401:23		precisely 505:13	presiding 352:20	pro 358:1,1
players 369:1	possessing 462:12	precision 405:9	press 353:22,24	proactive 381:1
please 352:14,19,22	possibility 375:5	444:15	354:8 361:10	probability 447:14
352:23 356:16,19	410:23 497:16	preclude 437:21	410:7 422:25	probably 363:11
359:7 435:11	503:5,9	prediction 493:21	440:17 448:1	405:14 416:23
449:21 457:22	possible 352:12	predominantly	450:19	445:3,5 448:5
PMD-1T 344:6	358:21 375:6,6	502:13	presume 442:9	484:7
PMD-2 344:7	411:20 413:19	prefer 505:23	presumption	problem 440:2
PO 340:14 341:4	414:11,13,14,15	prefiled 342:15,18	406:19	problems 448:11
point 364:4,9,9	464:5 471:5	342:21 343:8,15	pretty 406:19	448:15
368:19 373:12	possibly 414:1	343:16,19 344:3,6	429:11 442:1	procedural 437:3,5
375:6 393:22	447:21,21,22	344:8,13,15,16,20	444:16 506:4	494:19
405:6 423:18	502:21 507:12	345:2,6,11,14,16	507:11	procedure 404:15
425:23 431:19,25	Post 344:11	346:2,4,10,14,17	prevent 419:1	435:15 436:1,21
433:2,4,13 443:13	posted 451:12	346:19,20,22,23	472:25 473:16	procedures 382:8
443:13 444:3,15	potential 357:9	347:3,5,17,19	prevented 499:15	400:3 402:3
451:20,23 457:22	380:18 395:2,9	351:14	prevents 412:21	411:10 412:6
459:20 460:25	409:20 481:11	preliminaries	426:23 482:9	421:14 437:7
466:1,12 467:6	484:14 488:5	350:11	previous 462:23	proceed 472:6
468:3 484:18	489:19 497:18	preliminary 351:2	previously 350:10	proceeding 351:14
493:10,20 505:20	potentially 384:10	353:4 356:4	351:8 356:12,14	351:15 353:12
507:13	400:5 409:10	359:19 431:10	356:24 408:25	356:5 358:20
pointing 459:13	438:10 452:25	452:1	439:14 475:3	453:5,9,10 482:13
policy 487:1	467:2 472:13	premier 357:23,25	price 364:19,25	482:14 488:3,4
political 357:9	483:25 484:12	358:6,15 368:24	365:1 409:7	494:15 495:2
358:14 360:6	494:20	381:9 399:18	467:25 469:20	496:17 499:3
422:1 463:24	power 348:7 415:9	400:3 411:13	470:8	proceedings 350:17
467:18 496:6	powerful 420:15	451:3 486:1	primarily 384:18	351:8 365:10
politicians 475:2	powers 488:13	premier's 398:19	385:2	369:3 507:5
Poor 394:4	498:25	398:20 399:5	primary 373:10	process 348:7 351:3
Poor's 493:16,17	practical 419:5	479:13	497:6	352:7 353:5 366:2
493:21	485:5 503:15,18	prepare 464:5	principally 351:25	366:5 400:5,15
+73.21	+05.5 505.15,10	prepare 404.3		500.5 400.5,15
			l	l

406:23 411:24	362:12 372:7	430:1 431:9,20	publicly 366:15	444:18 454:4
414:7,17 415:16	375:2 376:19	432:3 433:6,10,16	415:19 422:13	456:13 459:9,15
416:23 427:9	450:4 489:3,7,7	433:21 437:24	504:14	460:5 461:18
428:12,19 429:17	493:22	438:3,9,10 439:16	publicly-traded	470:10,11 473:10
429:19,25 430:6	proposition 438:20	440:1 444:7 445:4	438:21	480:4,10 481:16
431:4 434:3,7	prospective 431:22	449:12 461:24	Pugliese 344:16	484:3 490:1,2,5
435:2 442:14,18	prospective 131122 protect 357:15	462:6,19 464:12	pure 480:2	493:1 494:19
443:5,8 444:22,25	418:1,6,22 421:5	466:18 474:6.21	purpose 351:7	500:25 501:23
445:15 447:5	443:3 484:14	474:24 476:1,12	354:5 357:19	503:14
448:18,19 450:10	485:10 497:17	477:4,24 484:10	394:1 402:12	questioning 359:20
450:11 451:16	499:25	485:13,14,16	406:8 438:7,9	383:18 384:9
484:13,22,23	protected 497:2,12	492:2 496:6	457:14	494:17 505:15
489:5 505:16	protection 483:20	497:15 498:1,4,5	purposes 419:5,8	questions 351:17
506:4 507:10	500:7	498:24 499:1,5	pursue 400:4	351:24 352:2,5,6
produced 448:16	protections 426:19	Province's 347:7	pursued 411:14	352:7 353:5,20,21
Productivity	497:22	379:10 403:25	pursuing 419:2	353:21 356:7
348:22	Protocol 343:5	429:19 462:23	put 359:7 367:9,17	358:18 359:16,20
professional 342:9	347:23	476:17 498:20	421:4 426:13	365:19 369:23
344:10,18 345:8	proven 358:1	provincial 347:14	430:9 435:17	381:17,17,21
372:18	provide 372:8	410:22 419:2	438:15 466:3,3	382:2 385:15,21
program 393:7	375:20 377:14	428:22 446:4,8	puts 485:4	396:3,5 397:22
programs 384:21	378:15 391:24	460:6 461:14,21	putting 380:11	427:3 430:24
progress 366:6	392:16 393:7	462:11 467:17	420:11 447:3	431:1 434:8
prohibits 475:19	394:12 395:13	474:2 477:22	468:21	441:18 445:23
Project 342:6	501:2 502:14,20	provision 417:20		452:8,10 469:11
projects 392:11,17	provided 355:8	418:7 419:14	Q	471:4,20,21 472:3
prolong 382:20	395:17 433:10	485:13 486:7	Q4 345:21	481:21 483:4
promise 399:19	452:16 458:13	496:4,18 497:9	qualifications	489:23 490:20
424:19 464:12	497:24	provisions 400:5	344:10,18 345:8	491:22 493:6,8
477:22	provider 389:24	411:15 464:8	432:25	494:9 495:21
promises 380:16	provides 474:2	497:8,22 499:24	qualified 443:23	499:7,10 501:21
479:25	482:12	499:25	qualifying 352:13	503:25
promote 352:17	providing 390:7	prudent 388:13,18	Quality 342:20	quick 494:19
promptly 483:13	403:10 417:6	388:22 392:18	Quarter 343:22	quite 358:2 442:20
proportionate	439:13	public 342:4,5	Queen's 450:22	444:22 448:6,7,13
476:22 477:2	Province 345:3,13	346:13,16 349:2,9	question 352:10,11	477:12 487:12
478:10	360:3,7,13,16	351:19,23 357:21	352:18,20 357:24	506:3,15
proposal 492:9	363:5 379:13,23	361:11 394:13,19	368:3,5,21 369:4	quorum 430:7,10
proposals 485:9	396:14 400:10	395:5,5 412:25	369:8,12 383:25	435:13,14 436:8
proposed 342:15	409:3 411:1	413:10,12 416:25	385:10,12 387:19	436:15
343:15 344:13	413:15,16,25	421:7 422:19,20	390:22 391:15,18	quote 361:15,16
345:11,16 346:4	414:9 418:2,7,14	422:22,23,23	392:1,5 396:12	362:16 373:6
346:14,17,19,22	422:3 426:23	458:20 494:18,21	402:9 408:10	416:22,25 437:19
347:3,17,19	428:1,6,6,21	494:23,23,25,25	413:14,20 430:22	439:20 457:18
351:11 357:16	429:2,13,17,17	publicized 420:24	431:3 436:16	quoting 434:22
			439:7 442:5	
	1	1	1	1

446:1	468:12 480:17,24	437:1 470:15	record 350:7	453:13
++0.1	RatingsDirect	473:23 484:18	351:16,18,22	references 354:6
R	355:2	485:17 486:11	352:3 353:10	373:18 435:23
R 340:1 341:1	ratio 455:24	491:19 501:15	354:14 355:21,24	453:14
350:4 508:1	rational 488:19	506:5	365:18 384:12	referencing 371:18
raise 356:16 480:4	re- 458:18 473:7	realm 414:14	397:18 471:25	373:20
raised 357:10,13	re-examine 365:18	Realtime 339:21	488:4 501:10	referred 354:1
505:12	reach 362:19,20	reason 370:7	502:23 503:4	431:3 449:16
raising 471:18	reached 403:4	406:11 503:11	504:16 506:5	490:24 491:10,12
Randall 342:5	433:6	reasonable 488:19	507:6,19,24	referring 417:18
range 357:14 448:6	reaching 381:9	reasonableness	recourse 482:8	434:20,23 464:17
448:7,8 488:13	react 414:23 415:6	448:9	485:15 499:13	refers 451:10 491:5
rate 343:2,2 372:8	483:13 484:20	reasonably 487:6	500:5 501:5	refinement 484:6
375:22 388:9,20	reacted 462:23	reasons 442:9,16	502:15	reflect 495:1
389:4 394:18	reaction 470:6,13	468:6 472:19	recovery 475:19	reflection 383:11
395:18 452:16,24	read 354:3 357:24	reassess 365:16	recross 494:5	reflects 466:24
453:5,11,24 454:7	358:6 372:3,6,15	recall 357:7 360:7	recruit 451:21	refrain 378:1
454:12 455:2	373:3,18 383:25	383:17 384:5	recruitment 446:8	refused 442:17
477:24 486:2	384:1,16 391:15	396:15 404:13,15	474:5	regard 377:9
rated 394:6	391:19 398:8,11	405:24 409:12,23	rectify 485:9	regarding 361:17
ratemaking 478:1,6	399:16,23 400:10	405.24 409.12,25	redecide 501:10	382:3 452:11
ratepayer 483:19	411:21 437:20	458:7 480:25	502:23	490:3,12,20
ratepayers 386:21	446:1 450:19	481:7 490:11,14	redeciding 503:3	regardless 467:11
389:18 390:1,5	457:9,19 461:9	490:19,23 491:22	redirect 472:2	registered 445:2
454:14 465:10	482:5,21 495:24	490.19,25 491.22 491:24 498:9	489:24 490:18	regularity 423:7
483:6,15,17,24	482.3,21 493.24 495:24 498:4			regularly 404:12
484:1,13,14	493:24 498:4 500:21	Recalling 359:23 receive 351:8	504:6,8 redline 482:1	U V
485:10 500:1,10	readily 487:12	451:12		regulated 358:14 468:4
501:2,4,8,14	v		reduce 424:19,20 452:24 454:19	
rates 342:22 348:9	reading 354:8 392:3 457:18	received 351:14,19 357:20 444:4		Regulation 342:22
371:1,3 380:13			464:12,23 465:10	regulatory 365:10
386:24 389:23	ready 359:20	495:2	reduces 464:13	369:3 390:11
424:11,19,21	495:13	receives 465:9	reducing 379:12	409:21 478:1
425:25 464:13	reaffirms 500:20	receiving 390:9	380:13 400:16	rehear 482:14
465:10 475:20,20	real 415:16 475:4	recess 355:25	402:17 477:22	rehearing 482:18
476:24 477:6,23	483:25	470:21 471:22	reduction 424:11	483:19,24,24
rating 393:23,25	realistic 379:1	507:4	425:9,25 426:2	484:5 501:14
394:2,9,12,15,21	realistically 379:1	recognize 397:11	464:13 465:6	Reinventing
395:1,6,6 462:25	realize 405:7	recognized 393:24	477:24 478:15	348:21
465:21 466:20	really 362:23	Recognizing	REED 341:9	reinvested 387:6
403.21 400.20	363:13 365:20	450:18	reevaluate 467:3	390:17
495:5,22 ratings 349:14,14	366:25 367:1,2,3	recommendation	502:5	reiterate 455:6
349:15 353:23	367:18,19,22	403:17 405:18	refer 353:22 354:12	related 346:6 347:8
	373:19 390:9,16	411:9 412:5	372:25 373:6	460:5
355:2 394:13	403:25 408:21	recommended	452:21	relation 466:13
462:22 465:13,16	410:13 426:17	402:7 432:2	reference 398:23	relations 441:22
465:24 466:20				

	_	_	_	_
relationship 364:13	461:15	500:14 501:9	requests 347:2	436:4
369:22 370:3	removed 370:5,23	502:22	355:17	resolved 429:22
392:6 487:3,9,10	435:17	reopening 503:4	require 447:2	resources 372:18
relative 343:10	removing 420:11	repayment 372:19	477:24	respect 373:11
362:3,11 377:3	Rendahl 339:9	repayments 464:21	required 413:17	439:1 451:13
396:1 481:5	340:3 356:2	repeat 350:14,16	requirement	467:18 496:25,25
relatively 478:12	383:14,17,21,24	350:25 359:9	400:14 441:12	498:25
478:12 506:18	384:8 385:1,5,14	454:4	454:13	respective 428:8
relays 357:22	385:17 397:19,21	replace 361:18	requirements	433:1
release 361:11	398:1,3,8,12	435:13	345:10 348:16,18	respects 420:13,25
410:7	399:1,7,15,22	replacement	375:21 392:13	respond 440:15
relevance 485:21	400:9,18 401:3	347:22 348:2	412:7 415:13	responding 425:18
relevant 419:8	402:24 403:9,14	400:1 430:12	416:22 444:7	responds 358:6
reliable 355:14	404:8,17,23 405:6	435:9	495:23	response 342:16
391:24 392:17,24	405:20,24 406:4,7	replacements	requires 418:12	345:18,19,22,23
393:7	406:14,25 407:5	430:11	requiring 455:2	345:25 346:2,10
reliance 414:2	407:10,13,21	replacing 400:6	rescind 503:6	346:13,16,20,23
relied 480:11	408:3,7,11,14	report 342:20	rescinding 503:16	347:5,6,7,9,11,12
relies 355:15	409:8,17 410:17	344:12 355:1	research 346:5	347:14,15 348:5
reluctant 353:24	411:5 412:11	464:16 493:7	465:13	349:7,8,11,13
rely 358:19 361:2	416:17 417:4,9,11	reported 339:20	reserved 351:24	357:12 365:14
remain 349:16	417:14,19,23	439:15 442:2	resident 348:13	382:2,6 439:16,18
357:20 472:12	418:4,13,17,21,24	reporter 357:23,24	residential 425:2	458:19 480:17
remainder 428:3	419:11 427:2,6,13	508:7	resign 363:3,18	494:9
436:15	427:17,21,24	Reporting 339:21	382:24 399:25	Responses 347:2
remained 366:16	428:5,10,14,20,24	reports 361:10	406:22,23 430:8,9	responsibility
remaining 365:10	429:7,12,23	407:19 419:24	430:11 435:5	358:8,9 378:17
430:10 434:19	430:13,17,23	493:17	436:9,10,12,14	440:14 476:19
436:14 472:4	431:14,18,21	represent 460:3	479:9,13	responsible 476:13
remains 370:18	481:20,23 482:4	483:17	resignation 361:9	rest 387:5
474:14,14 475:5	482:12,17,25	representatives	361:10 368:23,24	restate 425:16
478:4,18 496:10	483:2,21 484:9,25	398:18 399:3,4	422:13 449:3,24	restrict 500:22
497:2	485:11,22 495:14	401:20 410:22	450:3,8 463:16	restrictions 474:6
remark 358:15	495:17,20 496:2	429:16	480:22 481:4,12	restructured 465:2
remarks 359:19	496:10,16,21	represented 399:10	498:5,12,13 499:1	restructuring
remedy 501:15	497:4 498:2,11,19	399:11 413:4	resigned 408:18	464:22
remember 355:4	499:6,9,18,21	representing	434:23 435:5	resubmit 494:22
401:8 404:11	500:11,16,24	405:11	463:7	result 369:2,16,20
409:24 417:3	501:11,20	request 342:17	resolution 408:5	379:12 407:14
493:8	Rendahl's 385:12	345:18,20,22,24	430:6 434:14	450:13 454:14
remod- 483:24	renewable 372:18	345:25 346:13,16	458:6,11,13,18	466:14
remote 375:5	392:10,17	348:5 349:7,8,11	459:10,14,21,25	resulted 408:4
removal 427:8,14	renumbered	349:13 354:21	459:25 490:6	resulting 406:15
462:23	354:24	416:11 439:17,18	resolutions 413:17	484:5
remove 379:20	reopen 488:3	458:19	430:16 434:18	results 358:25

				. «90 000
Resume 344:21	359:22 364:17,24	496:14 501:13	466:16 489:10	427:15,20,22
retain 405:7	365:6,7 373:24	risks 358:22 483:3	satisfied 501:24	428:4,9,13,17,23
retained 384:13,18	376:11 385:23	483:4 501:1	502:1	429:5,9,14 430:3
385:3,13 387:6,10	386:13,19 387:14	RNW 342:6	satisfy 384:4 444:3	430:15,21,24
387:12,13,16,17	388:9 390:19	Rob 450:21 465:7	saw 354:3 433:2	434:25 435:4,10
387:20 389:1,10	391:9,13,22	469:14,17	470:3	435:24 436:5,7,18
389:19 390:9,15	392:19 393:13,15	Roberts 494:24	saying 361:16	436:20 437:1,6
427:22 452:11	393:20 396:2,4	role 423:15 431:23	413:22 416:1	440:25 441:5,9
454:17,24,25	397:5,25 407:1,2	492:13	422:2 425:17	444:3,12 445:20
455:11,16 456:7	408:13 409:1,4	room 356:15	432:15 470:25	450:11 458:8,10
456:15,17,20	411:5,7 416:16	405:21 434:7	says 373:19 418:9	458:16 461:5,17
457:10,13 469:7	417:6 421:12	504:4	424:4 426:22	461:19,22 462:7
retention 446:8	422:6 425:8	roughly 365:3	451:3 461:7,9	462:15 472:5
474:5,19	426:25 431:21	386:6 387:19	462:20 491:13,17	479:6 481:20,22
retire 363:2 397:6	432:24 434:16	476:1,14	500:21	482:3,11,16,20
479:11 504:4	437:14 438:4	round 398:14	scale 425:9	483:1,7 484:2,15
retired 366:24	439:8 441:2,8	Route 348:21	scared 414:19	485:3,17,24 486:4
463:8	443:18 448:2	routine 423:23	Scarlett 341:10	486:9,20,23
retirement 361:17	450:15,15 451:21	routinely 423:21	359:25 363:22	488:12 489:6,17
362:24 404:21	452:7,15 453:3,16	row 397:8	364:10 369:12	490:18,22,25
481:4,12	456:24 458:12	rule 487:1	379:23 382:13	491:3,6,9 496:12
retiring 404:19	460:15 461:13	rules 374:23	397:23,25 398:2,7	499:10 500:25
retrieve 371:22	468:14 472:7	run 368:17 378:8	398:10,25 399:6	Scarlett's 398:13
return 347:14	473:24 475:13	378:16 379:25	399:14,21 400:8	500:12
390:13,13,14	481:18 483:12	381:10 426:20	400:17,20 401:6	scenario 488:10
returning 468:19	484:8 485:11,22	429:15 448:3,3	403:2,11,18	502:9,11
revenue 380:14	486:4 487:23	running 415:16	404:11,20,25	scenarios 378:24
386:23 389:21	489:6,16,21	runs 377:4 437:13	405:8,23 406:3,6	379:5
464:14	493:18 494:7		406:10,17 407:3,7	schedule 343:7,7
revenues 386:24	503:24 504:1,10	$\frac{\mathbf{S}}{\mathbf{S}}$	407:12,16,24	345:5 461:1
425:3 426:10,10	505:8 507:3,14,17	S 340:1 341:1 342:4	408:6,9,13,21	504:22
review 409:21	507:23	350:4	409:12,22 411:2	Schedules 348:17
466:15 496:16,24	rightly 365:15	S&P 345:20,24	411:17 412:19	Schmidt 342:2
497:1,11	rights 419:6,7	349:14 355:1	413:11,24 414:4	345:6 359:25
reviewed 448:6	420:21,24 423:4	465:12,16,24	414:12,21 415:4,7	360:10 361:10
revised 342:8,11,13	437:2,8 448:17	466:23 468:11	415:22,24 416:5	362:17,18 369:22
342:15,17 345:17	Ring-Fencing	safe 391:24 392:16	416:17 417:3,8,10	370:23 371:4
346:22,24 348:16	344:23	392:24 393:7	417:16,22 418:3,8	382:3 399:20
348:18 353:15,17	ringfence 381:12	safety 348:12 394:17	418:16,20,23	404:10,12,18
revisions 353:9,14	ringfencing 457:12	salaries 476:14	419:4,18 420:20	405:2 406:2 417:1
Rickford 399:12	464:8 486:7	477:14	421:10,13 422:6	451:3 479:9 481:3
rid 399:19	497:21 499:24	salary 447:11	422:11,16,18	481:10 496:12
right 350:6 355:13	rise 356:16 415:18	475:20	423:16 424:2,8,15	Schmidt's 417:15
355:20 356:3,17	risk 380:6 439:21	sat 495:18	425:7,18 426:12	421:24
356:20 357:3,5	483:23 496:5,9,14	satisfactory 447:7	426:21 427:1,5,11	scope 378:13
	l		l	

Page 5	34
--------	----

Scott 341:8 342:3	458:1 462:5 467:2	seriousness 505:12	shared 370:4,10	440:10,20 441:16
343:8 367:5	488:19 505:23	serve 376:15 380:4	shareholder 358:8	441:19,20 444:6,9
368:20	506:2,6	400:13 443:23	360:19,19 372:9	444:16,21,24
search 402:5	seek 389:10 423:23	491:23 492:9	372:10,16 373:11	445:17,18 446:15
427:23 429:2,3,3	482:13,18	served 402:12	373:14 376:21	446:19 454:19,20
429:6,8,8,10,20	seeking 388:1	449:17	377:6,13,14,18,21	455:13
431:9,17,19	480:12	service 342:20	377:24 378:9	shareholders'
444:25 445:6,9,15	seen 353:22 407:17	343:14 348:3	379:2,12,13,21	390:10
447:9 491:25	449:7,10,14	349:2 377:19	382:14 383:18	shareholding 423:1
492:2,6,7	474:15 475:5	378:16 386:24	384:9 388:2	476:6,22
seasonally 348:14	478:4,18	389:22 390:6,7,7	390:15,16 402:4,9	shares 373:15,24
seated 356:19	Select 347:22,23	390:8 391:24	403:17 404:1,3	386:18 438:1,3
seats 398:17 494:10	348:3	392:17,25 393:8	410:24 412:16,20	439:2 444:9 445:1
Seattle 339:22,23	selected 349:4	Services 344:4	412:21 413:1,2	Shawn 342:5
340:19	430:20	serving 370:19	422:4,9,15 423:9	shed 440:5
second 371:15	selecting 428:8,21	431:12	423:15 430:3,4	sheet 348:6 388:14
397:8 449:16	selects 428:2 437:2	session 350:19,20	438:22 439:1,4	388:18,22 456:2
492:15	selling 416:24	359:12,13	444:10 445:3,4	Sheets 343:7
secondly 414:25	seminars 446:9	set 366:4 378:19,22	454:15 464:18,20	shelf 367:22
secret 441:17	send 445:6	411:7 427:3	469:1,3 475:24	shift 464:17
442:15	sending 358:3	441:10 451:1,8	476:2,6,7,13,24	shifts 485:1
secretary 407:18	senior 343:19 344:8	setting 437:1 446:9	497:6 498:7	ships 505:22
428:18,25 430:18	423:19	settled 366:8 432:4	shareholder's	short 361:15
section 346:16	sense 413:20	settlement 342:7,9	400:15 443:13	362:16 495:7
400:11 402:3	479:23	342:11,14,15	shareholder-fun	504:24 505:19
411:10 416:22	sensitive 442:16	343:15 344:14	372:24 373:6	506:18 507:8
417:6,9,17,17,19	sent 354:21	345:12,17 346:3,4	shareholders	short-term 379:7
418:4 421:3 427:7	sentence 500:20	346:9,15,18,19,22	358:10 367:7,11	410:11,14 475:14
428:1 433:9 461:8	separate 359:12	347:4,18,19	373:1,5,19 376:12	shorter 402:16
461:15 472:14,25	378:7,19 393:23	351:10,13 359:23	376:16 378:14	506:22
491:12,12 495:22	394:7 405:19	359:24 372:7	380:4 384:3 387:5	Shorthand 508:6
495:23,24 496:18	429:1 448:14	388:3 389:2 390:3	390:12 396:21	shortly 439:23
securities 438:1	506:14	454:3 457:20	402:8,13,15 404:2	Showing 343:13,17
445:5	separately 448:16	459:11 462:14	410:25 412:8	shown 477:4
security 394:17	September 349:16	468:22 499:23	413:15 414:10	shows 496:5
395:14	355:2 434:6,12	seven 430:10	415:16,18,21	side 432:20,25
see 356:3 372:4,12	451:15 458:4,22	436:10,12	416:9,11,14 418:1	487:8
372:20,23 379:5	459:13,14 465:22	severely 418:5	418:6,18 421:8,17	Side-by-Side 346:8
380:6 381:8	466:6 490:3,5,7	shaking 506:7	421:19 422:16,18	sides 448:24
391:21 398:23	sequential 400:2	share 344:4 353:7	422:23,24 423:7	sidestepped 426:20
422:8,12,14,24	434:18 436:4	370:20 379:14	423:17,18,21,24	427:9,12
423:14,17 427:16	serial 406:24	412:15 438:22	423:25 427:11	Sierra 342:6
438:5,6 446:8	series 437:25	455:13 474:4	429:16 437:10	signed 406:20
448:10 453:1	seriously 451:20	476:21 477:2	438:8 439:5,16,20	significance 503:15
456:21 457:20	487:7	478:10 480:23	439:22,25 440:9	significant 439:21
	•	•	•	•

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

[0
486:12 488:1	SMC-2T 347:5	speakers 363:19	staffs 365:16	384:11 460:10
significantly	SMC-21 347:5 SMC-3 347:6	387:21 415:23	stage 441:11	463:4 467:23
447:16 448:12	SMC-4 347:7	449:19	stages 447:3	468:12
496:11	SMC-5 347:9	spec- 369:11	stakeholders 367:8	states 365:10 377:1
signify 397:10	SMC-6 347:11	special 434:14	367:10 368:16	380:23 422:25
similar 365:15	SMC-7 347:12	specific 378:1	378:17 464:6,10	443:22 462:16,17
492:24 499:9	SMC-8 347:14	385:21 389:12	stand 358:9 367:19	462:18
similarly 362:7	SMC-9 347:15	393:21 395:12	406:11 420:8	statistical 393:24
simply 425:11	software 372:19	439:14 457:5,12	459:19,22 460:4	status 365:25
426:1 430:5	Solutions 342:19	458:7 477:5 484:3	standard 348:20	stay 393:16 397:8
432:15 436:7	somebody 425:19	502:16	384:4 394:4 418:9	436:11
480:11	450:21	specifically 389:7	493:16,17,21	step 354:20 365:16
single 425:4 439:1	somewhat 356:5	426:22 432:17	Standards 346:7	411:18 428:11
492:23 498:7	soon 410:6 447:4	442:6 457:13	standing 397:11	433:14 439:17
sir 360:8 372:13,21	sorry 356:9 359:2	460:1 466:7	stands 457:19	step-by-step
458:23	371:16 385:18,19	specifics 407:3	458:1 460:7	416:21
sit 442:17 490:9	391:3,17 413:20	specifies 446:14	467:12	stepped 362:25
situation 420:5	417:14 422:16	speculate 352:25	Star 348:9 450:22	363:9 406:5 434:6
441:10 484:16	425:15 429:8	465:5 474:24	start 350:11 355:1	434:10 460:6
485:9 488:19	431:14 453:7	speculating 422:1	494:16	stepping 423:14
situations 484:4	457:24,24 458:25	466:22 475:6	started 405:16	steps 361:20 403:23
six 429:22 432:6,19	459:2 469:15	speculation 480:2	starting 398:9,14	stick 455:22,25
432:21 433:1	473:7,12,19 476:8	spent 359:25 443:2	398:24 399:16	stip 424:4
436:13 450:24	476:20 479:3	spin 488:7	484:18	stipulated 393:18
451:10	493:2,2	spirit 367:12	starts 391:15,18	393:18 452:17
six-month 451:7	sort 408:23 410:15	368:12,13 375:11	420:21	455:1 456:8,8
size 343:10 425:8	413:9 419:18	377:11,19 380:22	state 342:22 348:14	459:19 468:22,25
442:14	422:25 476:5	400:11	349:2,5 367:18	stipulating 468:20
skepticism 450:20	484:4 487:23	Spokane 340:15	398:15 399:1	stipulation 342:8
skill 508:9	sorting 410:1	spoke 492:22	457:25 461:25	342:10,11 351:13
skills 432:8,11	sorts 478:7	Spokesman-Revi	463:8 504:16	351:17 388:3
slate 421:15	sound 364:23	361:14	508:3,7	389:2 390:3
Slides 345:21	386:11,12	spot 493:3	stated 366:14 382:4	459:11 462:14
SLM-16 373:8	sounds 365:6	spots 432:10	461:2 475:2,3	468:23 487:4
SLM-1T 343:8	source 355:14	spread 373:3	490:3 503:3	stock 362:4 364:19
SLM-2 343:10	374:3 389:1,19,21	Spread/Rate 343:2	statement 351:3	369:2,14 376:20
SLM-3 343:12	457:2,6 476:17	square 485:12	361:15 362:16	408:18 409:7,11
SLM-4 343:13	sources 469:3	St 449:12,12	382:2 394:2	438:23 467:20,25
SLM-5T 343:15	480:12,14	staff 341:2 342:4	420:15 452:22	468:1 469:20
371:11 383:22	South 339:14 340:5	345:22,24 366:16	453:8 458:4	470:7,10 479:16
SLM-6T 343:16	340:10 341:5	485:7 488:25	459:18 460:2	481:13
SLM-7 343:17	Southwest 339:14	489:8	463:5,5 468:15	stockholding 470:1
slowed 369:3	speak 358:9 397:10	Staff's 496:19,23	477:11 490:5	stop 368:2,4 391:8
small 478:12,12	409:2 429:18	499:10	500:12	401:1 461:14,20
SMC-1T 347:3	441:23	Staffing 349:3	statements 356:4	462:6
	I	1	1	I

straight 476:25	Suetake 494:16,18	369:15 380:17	talent 475:13	ten-year 368:17
straining 501:17	495:3,10	388:4 417:8 425:7	talk 364:14 379:23	TEP 347:6,7,9,11
strategic 475:16	sufficient 395:17	432:8 471:13	382:13 393:17	347:12,14,15
straying 368:3	455:16 456:7,14	475:15 486:22	398:4 401:14	term 372:9,15,23
stream 380:14	501:25 502:2	495:9 505:13,15	404:12,20 416:18	379:7 396:25
386:23 389:22	suggest 426:12	506:23	424:18 426:18	397:1 418:9
strong 412:5 456:2	470:12	surmise 505:14	433:24 449:20	termination 473:17
497:21,22,22	suggested 401:25	surprise 354:9	452:16 455:24	terms 346:3 348:20
499:24,24	402:11 466:15	surprised 361:16	462:22 486:9	351:12 353:23
strong-arm 358:4	suggestion 403:15	366:23	492:24 504:19	360:25 394:10
structure 344:11	489:12	Surviving 345:10	talked 370:2	409:5 419:15
381:4 466:11	suite 339:22 340:19	suspenders 501:24	391:23 409:3,6	427:25 444:21
structured 410:15	451:2	suspending 392:21	415:20 421:24	453:22 457:5
416:24	suited 424:18	SW 340:5,10 341:5	457:2 469:19	464:7 471:16
stuff 368:18	426:15	swear 356:10	487:25 496:3	473:22 474:11,21
subject 358:13	summarize 491:13	switch 391:10	talking 382:12	484:21 490:21
419:23 432:5	Summary 348:22	sworn 356:14,18	409:3,9 418:25	496:3
439:4 443:1 454:2	summer 354:22	494:14	422:20 426:7	territory 501:18
submit 504:25	379:11	symbol 350:22	447:18,20 465:22	test 367:20
505:19	superior 377:21	system 347:22,24	talks 427:7 457:9	testified 399:23
submitting 506:14	supplemental	348:3 355:19	464:17 477:12	434:17 453:10
506:18	342:17 343:16	392:16 445:10	486:25	454:16 479:7
subsequent 373:8	344:3,6,15,20	Systems 348:7,12	Tariff 343:7	493:15
498:17	345:2,14 346:2,10	-	task 406:24	testify 460:20
subsidiaries 380:24	346:20,23 347:5	T	Tayler 339:20	498:23
380:25 392:9,22	351:7 371:19	T 343:19 508:1,1	508:6,13	testifying 342:9
460:20,22 461:16	398:14 457:18	table 397:7 488:23	TDW-1T 345:14	405:22 491:22
477:18	supply 370:21	tables 397:9	434:21 437:16	494:9
subsidiary 349:15	support 342:7,9,15	take 351:22 361:19	TDW-2 345:15	testimony 342:2,15
373:13 377:1	343:15 344:13	377:25 379:10	team 364:12 370:15	342:18,21 343:8
461:5,9,11 469:5	345:11,16 346:4	387:20 397:5,14	416:25 474:16	343:15,16,19
substance 458:18	346:14,17,19,22	402:3,6 411:11,24	479:23	344:3,6,8,13,15
458:18	347:3,17,19	411:25 414:8	technically 450:8	344:16,20,21
substandard	395:17 464:20	428:11 444:24	477:15	345:2,6,11,14,16
420:13	472:15 478:22	450:18 470:15,20	tell 365:22 382:11	346:2,4,10,14,17
substantial 420:25	479:1 486:15	470:21 477:25	401:1 415:25	346:19,20,22,23
438:11	supportive 365:21	482:8,20 484:11	431:4 440:4 445:9	347:3,5,17,19
substantially 372:7	365:23 366:14,17	484:17 486:2	453:4 506:5	351:14 357:11,18
substantive 402:12	378:23	493:13 494:10	telling 379:6	358:20 370:2
412:10	supports 460:8	taken 355:22	381:10	371:11,19,20
success 421:7	suppose 378:24	397:16 416:12	tells 437:12 462:2	373:8 383:22
succinctly 352:11	486:13	455:11 466:23,23	ten 397:14 430:7,9	384:12,12 391:11
sudden 449:2,23	supposed 451:8	471:23 485:19	432:2,7 433:4,18	391:15 392:4
450:3,8	sure 355:6 363:21	takes 402:4 444:23	434:19 436:9	393:3,16,21 396:6
suddenly 408:18	365:6 368:21	466:18 484:13	506:17	398:5,14,15,23
-		485:14		
	I	I	I	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

399:8 406:5 407:1	385:25 386:1,4,8	435:2 440:14	486:10,24 500:2	396:6 407:11
411:8 412:14	386:12,16,22	447:14 448:13,14	503:7	411:9 466:25
415:8 416:19	387:2,11,15,22	448:15 450:11,16	thoughts 497:20	467:12 483:3
417:15,24 419:13	388:4,7 389:3,21	453:12 454:15	three 365:10 430:9	494:3,8 495:5,6
421:24 433:7,8	390:4 391:1,1,3	455:20 456:10	430:11 432:9,13	496:3,22 501:1
434:21 437:15	391:12,17,21	459:9 461:17	432:13 436:10,11	507:20
452:12,16,21	392:2,12 393:1,14	462:1,19 463:18	436:12,13 440:11	today's 350:17
452:12,10,21	393:19 394:1,12	464:3,7,16 467:1	440:15,21 451:16	445:1
457:16,18 458:4	393.19 394.1,12	467:4,25 468:13	461:8 477:15	told 414:23
459:13 468:1	,	467:4,25 468:15 468:15	401.8 477.15	
	395:11,23 396:6			tools 478:7
471:3 489:19	405:21 452:10	470:2,13 471:14	THURSTON 508:4	top 378:10 386:9
490:3 496:11	454:16	471:16 474:20,24	time 351:24 352:9	386:16
498:2,16 504:2	thing 354:17	477:7,8,15 478:2	356:5 360:1 364:9	topic 407:2
thank 355:13	397:24 408:23	479:22 483:7,13	367:20 375:6,7,7	topics 411:8
356:19 357:5	409:4 421:16	483:14,15,19	379:22 380:15	Toronto 431:17
359:13,22 365:24	422:25 429:11	484:4,7,15,19	381:18 393:23	total 432:7 478:10
371:23,25 374:18	464:9 467:6 470:6	485:5,20 488:17	401:11,11,14,17	touch 495:11
381:16,23 385:5	478:14	488:18,24,24	402:4,17 405:14	tough 470:6,6
390:19 391:21	things 351:4 352:15	489:11,22 491:11	409:25 411:18	town 401:21
396:2 397:3,4	377:17 379:6	494:24 498:19	415:3 421:18	traders 441:23
411:5 412:11	380:22 391:6	500:19 502:18	422:19,24 423:1	traditional 423:14
416:16 426:25	411:17 412:17	503:4,21 504:3	440:4,14 443:3,10	423:17 477:25
439:8 443:18	414:13,22 439:10	505:1 506:7,21,22	444:8 449:7,16,20	transaction 357:16
445:21 448:21	439:19 468:8	507:2,9,18,18,20	451:7,7,20 453:22	362:13 366:14
449:22 450:17	483:8,9 484:19	507:21	455:21 456:11	375:2 409:18,21
452:4,6 456:24	487:15 489:9	thinking 432:19	458:3 459:12	463:14,17 465:25
457:15 458:24	491:13 499:25	438:14 462:8	462:8 463:19	472:12,18,19
459:8 460:13,14	think 350:22	487:24	467:4 469:9 470:3	490:4,7 493:22
461:13 469:10	354:19 356:12	third 426:3 471:16	470:8 471:14	494:2 501:12
470:19 471:7,15	357:1,11 364:22	488:8	475:2 480:21	503:6,17 507:12
481:18 482:25	365:14,15 367:3	Thomas 340:18	484:13 493:20	transactions
485:22 489:25	369:8 370:25	341:10 453:14	494:2	435:16
490:17 493:4	378:2,5 379:1,4	458:17,23,25	Timeline 346:11	transcribed 351:18
494:6,7 495:10,12	380:13 382:4,22	459:2,7 471:8,13	timely 411:12	transcript 356:25
501:20 503:12	385:14 403:6	471:15 472:1	times 357:25	416:20 508:8
504:5,9,10 506:9	410:13,14 411:18	489:24,25 490:11	412:14 430:7	transition 373:7
507:15,16,23	412:2 414:13,14	490:17,23 491:1,4	436:8 490:16	471:1
Thanks 495:17	414:15,18 415:11	491:8,21 492:2,5	503:3	transparency
500:24	415:25 419:8	492:8,19 493:1,10	title 450:23	439:12
Thanksgiving	420:15,23 421:1	493:13,15,20	today 351:7,17,21	Transportation
366:4	421:18 422:7	494:3,5 506:21	351:24,24 353:20	339:2,14 340:4,9
theme 398:4	423:17 424:8	507:16	353:24,24 353:20	350:9
Thies 341:9 343:19	425:23 426:13,22	thought 411:12,18	356:10,21 357:19	Treasurer 343:20
365:6 374:8,12	430:16,21 431:11	412:17 415:12	358:18 359:10	treat 380:5
385:6,11,16,18,21	431:24 434:15,25	416:23 421:17	367:13 381:16	tried 442:25
505.0,11,10,10,21	+31.24 434.13,23	410.23 421.17	307.13 301.10	uicu 442.23
	l			

trigger 400:3 486:8 504:14 506:16 undertake 352:20 348:3 trigger 486:19 Two-Year 347:21 additional and the second sec	
triggered 486:19 Two-Year 347:21 undertaking 444:5 utility 346:7 trouble 464:1 type 379:24 486:25 underway 447:9 370:18 378 trouble 464:1 type 379:24 486:25 underway 447:9 370:18 378 trouble 464:1 type 379:24 486:25 underway 447:9 370:18 378 trouble 464:1 type 379:24 486:25 unforeseeable 419:23 469 stass 237:28 Typically 395:11 unforeseen 500:1 utmost 443:1 494:3 498:18 51:6 unforeseen 500:1 utmost 443:1 trust 370:3 U.S 343:17 380:25 underway 47:9 367:23 377 trying 368:8 377:18 UG-120715 348:12 unigue 378:16 utmost 433:17 404:11 405:8 467:22 470:7,17 unsetting 420:5,6 379:2,14,22 443:24 uncertainty 400:16 unsusal 370:2 395:21,397 448:10,10 489:5 uncertainty 400:16 402:18 412:5 update 346:3 values 368:14 400:10,12 uncertainty 400:16 494:25 481:12 update 346:3 values 368:17 402:18 412:5 understand 352:18 understand 352:18 update 346:3 values 368:17	358:14 VII 339:7
trim 450:24 348:2 underway 447:9 370:18 378 trouble 464:1 type 379:24 486:25 underway 447:9 370:18 378 troubling 410:9 496:9 underway 447:9 370:18 378 troubling 410:9 496:9 underway 447:9 380:8 20 395 true 375:2 377:8 Typically 395:11 500:5 utlize 478:6 454:23 464:19 U U unforeseen 500:1 utmost 443:1 454:23 464:19 U U 500:5 utmost 443:1 454:23 464:19 U U 502:15 Vacancies 43: trust 370:3 US 343:17 380:25 371:18 435:15,19 trying 368:8 377:18 utimate 450:13 467:22 470:7,17 unsert 473:13 395:21,32,14 404:11 405:8 467:12 470:7,17 unsert 473:13 395:22,326 477:7 408:17 412 419:18 426:17 389:14 399:17 443:12 unsettling 420:5,6 397:2,14,22 488:0,10 489:5 uncertainty 400:16 uncertainty 400:16 unders 388:7 494:25 415:16 467 441:13 unders 38:7 unders 388:7 493:24 494:1 432:12 357	
trouble 464:1 type 379:24 486:25 unfolded 357:17 386:20 395 troubling 410:9 496:9 unforeseeable 419:23 469 true 375:2 377:8 Typically 395:11 unforeseeable 419:23 469 454:23 464:19 U U unforeseeable 419:23 469 454:23 464:19 U U unforeseeable 419:23 469 500:19 508:8 351:6 unfortunate 500:1 unfortunate 500:1 unfortunate 500:1 trust 370:3 U.S 343:17 380:25 unifed 377:1 435:15,19 433:15 trut 354:1 381:5 423:20 unifed 377:1 435:15,19 unifed 377:1 435:15,19 try 41:9 443:3 441:13 uffinate 450:13 467:22 470:7,17 unsuring 483:22 value 343:11 404:11 405:8 467:24 470:7,17 uncertainly 400:16 unsure 473:13 395:21,32,32 419:18 426:17 389:14 399:17 477:7 408:17 422 477:7 408:17 422 441:3,4 442:21 454:4,19 463:13 469:25 415:16 467 494:25 415:16 467 400:10 489:5 uncertainly 400:16 unggrade 467:10 walues 368:12 370:22 3	vince 100.21
troubling 410:9 496:9 unforesceable 419:23 469 380:8 421:9 J 500:5 unforesceable 419:23 469 454:23 464:19 J J unforesceable 500:1 untoresceable untoresceable 419:23 469 494:3 498:18 351:6 U unforesceable 500:1 untoresceable untoresceable untoresceable 419:23 469 500:19 508:8 351:6 U.S 343:17 380:25 351:6 unique 378:16 vacancies 43: trust 370:3 U.S 343:17 380:25 a81:5 423:20 443:22 vacancies 43: 462:7 UG-120715 348:12 untime 450:13 443:22 value 343:11 404:14 405:8 467:22 470:7,17 unsure 473:13 395:21,23,2 419:18 426:17 389:14 399:17 unsure 473:13 395:21,23,2 419:18 426:17 454:14,19 463:13 uncertainty 410:14 494:25 415:16 467 419:18 419:12 uncertainty 400:16 494:25 415:16 467 413:18 419:12 understand 352:18 377:4 388:7 385:4 40:1 440:1 433:17,442:15 472 457:16 460:16 understand 352:18 <td>:15 vis-à-vis 347:8</td>	:15 vis-à-vis 347:8
true 375:2 377:8 380:8 421:9 454:23 464:19 494:3 498:18 500:19 508:8 trust 370:3 trust 370:2 trust 368:8 trust 377:18 trust 370:3 trust 370:2 trust 368:8 trust 377:18 trust 370:3 trust 370:2 trust 368:8 trust 377:15 trust 368:8 trust 370:12 trust 368:8 trust 370:12 trust 368:8 trust 370:12 trust 368:8 trust 370:12 trust 368:12 trust 370:2 trust 370:2	
380:8 421:9 U unforeseen 500:1 utmost 443:1 454:23 464:19 U 500:15 500:15 V 494:3 498:18 U-170970 339:4 502:15 unique 378:16 Vacancies 433 trust 370:3 U.S 343:17 380:25 unique 378:16 Vacancies 433 trust 370:3 U.S 343:17 380:25 unique 378:16 Vacancies 433 try 414:9 443:3 441:13 uning 483:22 value 343:11 462:7 UG-120715 348:12 uning 483:22 value 343:11 404:11 405:8 467:22 470:7,17 unsettling 420:5,6 379:2,14,22 419:18 426:17 389:14 399:17 477:7 408:17 412 411:3 442:21 454:14,19 463:13 uncertainty 400:16 unsize 459:25 415:16 467 488:10,10 489:5 uncertainty 400:16 355:5 439:18 370:22 377 413:18 419:12 understand 352:18 understand 352:18 upgrade 467:13 383:7,9,12 413:18 419:12 understand 352:18 upgrade 467:10 variety 405:1 442:15 472 413:18 419:12 understand 352:18 upgrade 467:13 383:7,9,12 390:2 422:1 413:21 425	370:17,25 371:6
454:23 464:19 494:3 498:18U U U-170970 339:4unfortunate 500:1 502:15 V 500:19 508:8351:6 ULS 343:17 380:25unique 378:16 United 377:1Vacancies 433 435:15,19trust 370:3U.S 343:17 380:25 381:5 423:20united 377:1 443:22Value 343:11 350:12try 414:9 443:3441:13 utimate 450:13 404:11 405:8467:22 470:7,17 using 483:22value 343:11 395:21,23,2 unsettling 420:5,6 unsettling 420:5,6379:2,14,22 395:21,23,2408:9 414:12 419:18 426:17ultimately 388:19 399:17unsure 473:13 477:7395:21,23,2 408:17 412 unsteal 370:2419:18 426:17 441:3,4 442:21389:14 399:17 454:14,19 463:13 450:10,12477:7 uncertainty 400:16 488:10,10 489:5467:14 uncertainty 400:16 494:25415:16 467 477:7turing 484:6 40:1 413:18 419:12uncertainty 400:16 undermined undermined355:5 439:18 400:12370:22 377 408:17413:18 419:12 413:18 419:12understand 352:18 understand 352:18 475:18 477:21understand 352:18 upgrade 467:10 493:24 494:1values 368:14 442:15 472 440:1413:18 419:12 413:18 413:12understand 352:18 357:18understand 352:18 451:6 454:12upgrade 467:10 483:17variety 405:11 442:15 472 440:1413:18 419:12 4451:6 454:12understand 352:18 450:13upsteam 497:18 420:14variety 353:13 420:14413:17 440:7 445:8 440:7 445:8 450:16451:6 454:12 450:13400:22 413:6 465:7 478:22462:16 478 422:16 472:14414:19:17 4408:14 12:	*
494:3 498:18 500:19 508:8U-170970 339:4 351:6502:15 unique 378:16V vacancies 43: 455:15,19trust 370:3 truth 354:1 462:7U.S 343:17 380:25 381:5 423:20unique 378:16 443:22vacancies 43: 455:15,19trying 368:8 377:18 404:11 405:8 404:11 405:8 404:11 405:8 404:11 405:8 404:11 405:8 404:11 405:8 404:11 405:8 404:11 405:8 404:11 405:8 404:11 405:8 405:9 414:12UG-120715 348:12 unimg 483:22 tuning 483:22 unsettling 420:5,6 unset 432:13 370:22 395:21,233,77:77 unsettling 420:5,6 unsue 473:13 unsue 473:14 440:1 442:5 440:1 355:5 439:18 475:16 467:14 uncertainty 400:16 488:10,10 489:5 soc:10,12 uncertainty 400:16 488:10,10 489:5 soc:10,12 uncertainty 400:16 488:10,10 489:5 402:18 412:5 understand 352:18 475:18 477:21 understand 352:18 475:18 477:21 understand 352:18 475:18 477:21 understand 352:18 understand 352:18 understand 352:18 understand 352:18 unsta 441:12:17 understand 352:18 unsta 440:1 upstream 497:18 upstream 497:18 upstream 497:18 upstream 497:18 upstream 497:12 465:7 478:22 venue 462:18 465:7 478:22 venue 462:18 465:7 478:22 verifed 442:1 verifed 442:18 verifed 442:18 verifed 442:18 verifed 442:18 verifed 442:18 verifed 442:19 understanding understanding understanding understanding understanding understanding understanding understanding understanding understanding understanding <br< td=""><td>visit 350:20</td></br<>	visit 350:20
500:19 508:8 351:6 U.S 343:17 380:25 Uinique 378:16 vacancies 433 trust 370:3 U.S 343:17 380:25 United 377:1 435:15,19 truth 354:1 381:5 423:20 443:22 vacancies 433 462:7 UG-120715 348:12 501:12 367:23 377 trying 368:8 377:18 ultimate 450:13 unsure 473:13 395:21,23,2 409:9 414:12 ultimately 388:19 unsure 473:13 395:21,23,2 413:18 426:17 389:14 399:17 477:7 408:17 412 414:13,4 442:21 454:14,19 463:13 uncertainly 410:14 494:25 415:16 467 488:10,10 489:5 uncertainly 410:14 update 353:11 479:16 480 440:7 445:8 undercut 418:5 under 368:9 388:4 upgrade 467:13 383:7,9,12 440:7 445:8 understand 352:18 upgrade 467:10 variety 405:1 475:16 460:16 understand 352:18 upgrade 467:10 variety 353:19 475:18 477:21 understand 352:18 upgrade 467:10 variety 353:39 475:18 477:21 understand 352:18 upgrade 467:13 upstes 39:19 upstes 349:4 397:12 390:2 422:1 <tr< td=""><td>Visit 330.20 Vitae 344:10,19</td></tr<>	Visit 330.20 Vitae 344:10,19
Image 516.16Image 516.164351.15,19trust 370:3U.S 343:17 380:25United 377:1435:15,19truth 354:1381:5 423:20443:22vacant 397:11try 414:9 443:3441:13unring 483:22value 343:11462:7UG-120715 348:12501:12367:23 377trying 368:8 377:18ultimate 450:13unsettling 420:5,6379:2,14,22408:9 414:12ultimately 388:19unsure 473:13395:21,23,2408:9 414:12ultimately 388:19unsure 473:13395:21,23,2419:18 426:17389:14 399:17477:7408:17 412441:3,4 442:21454:14,19 463:13uncertainly 410:14494:25488:10,10 489:5uncertainly 410:14494:25481:12502:10,12uncertainly 400:16355:5 439:18370:22 377turn 356:8 357:15402:18 412:5440:1382:5,10,22413:18 419:12understand 352:18upgrade 467:13383:7,9,12413:18 419:12understand 352:18upgrade 467:10variety 405:11475:18 477:21understand 352:18upstream 497:18various 353:1475:18 477:21understand 352:18upstream 497:18various 353:19159:10451:6 454:12400:22 413:6462:16 478159:10451:6 454:12400:22 413:6462:16 478159:10451:6 454:12400:22 413:6462:16 478159:10451:6 454:12400:35 507:20verife 442:2159:10451:6 454:12465:17vasi 389:19159:10	5:14 345:9,15
attach 51:1:381:5 423:20attach 31:1:vacant 397:1:truth 354:1381:5 423:20443:22vacant 397:1:try 414:9 443:3441:13unring 483:22value 343:11462:7UG-120715 348:12501:12367:23 377trying 368:8 377:18ultimate 450:13unsettling 420:5,6379:2,14,22404:11 405:8467:22 470:7,17unsure 473:13395:21,23,2408:9 414:12ultimately 388:19unsure 473:13395:21,23,2419:18 426:17389:14 399:17477:7408:17 412441:3,4 442:21454:14,19 463:13unwise 469:25415:16 467454:5 462:6467:14update 335:11479:16 480488:10,10 489:5uncertainty 410:14494:25481:12502:10,12uncertainty 400:16355:5 439:18370:22 377413:18 419:12understand 352:18upgrade 467:13383:7,9,12413:18 419:12understand 352:18upgrade 467:10variety 405:11475:18 477:21understand 352:18upstream 497:18various 353:1475:18 477:21understand 352:18upstream 497:18various 353:19475:18 477:21understand 352:18upstream 497:12390:2 422:117W 350:18413:21 425:16421:18 422:21vast 389:19359:10451:6 454:12465:7 478:22venue 462:1850:23 359:11352:17 360:15347:20,21 348:11version 482:117witter.son/watte363:17,24 378:14utilities 339:2,14veto 446:4	VOA 449:10
Initial of the section441:13unring 483:22value 343:11462:7UG-120715 348:12501:12367:23 377408:9 414:12ultimate 450:13unsettling 420:5,6379:2,14,22408:9 414:12ultimately 388:19unsettling 420:5,6395:21,23,2408:9 414:12ultimately 388:19unsettling 420:5,6395:21,23,2419:18 426:17389:14 399:17477:7408:17 412441:3,4 442:21454:14,19 463:13unwise 469:25415:16 467454:5 462:6467:14update 353:11479:16 480488:10,10 489:5uncertainty 410:14494:25481:12502:10,12uncertainty 400:16355:5 439:18370:22 377turn 356:8 357:15402:18 412:5updated 346:3values 368:14400:7 445:8undercut 418:5upgrade 467:13382:5,10,22385:21 391:14414:19upgrade 467:10variety 405:1400:7 445:8undercut 418:5upgrade 467:10variety 405:1400:7 445:8understand 352:18upgrade 467:10variety 405:1475:18 477:21understand 352:18upstream 497:18various 353:17turning 473:25357:18upstream 497:12390:2 422:1359:10451:6 454:12465:7 478:22venue 462:16 478twelve 342:24472:1 497:9 505:8501:9 505:21verified 442:50:23 359:11352:17 360:15347:20,21 348:11version 482:11two 350:18 356:13363:17,24 378:14utilities 339:2,14vet 0446:4	
ababaaa	volume 337.7 175.0
trying 368:8 377:18ultimate 450:13unsettling 420:5,6379:2,14,22404:11 405:8467:22 470:7,17unsettling 420:5,6395:21,23,2408:9 414:12ultimately 388:19unsure 473:13395:21,23,2419:18 426:17389:14 399:17477.7408:17 412441:3,4 442:21454:14,19 463:13unsue 473:13395:25 396454:5 462:6467:14update 353:11479:16 480488:10,10 489:5uncertainty 410:14494:25481:12502:10,12uncertainties 450:7update 346:3values 368:14502:10,12uncertainty 400:16355:5 439:18370:22 377turn 356:8 357:15402:18 412:5440:1382:5,10,22385:21 391:14414:19upgrade 467:13383:7,9,12413:18 419:12under- 368:9 388:4upgrade 467:10variety 405:1440:7 445:8undercut 418:5493:24 494:1442:15 472457:16 460:16underminedupheld 419:16483:17turning 473:25357:18understand 352:18365:9 388:2475:18 477:21understand 352:18upwards 469:9365:9 388:2159:10451:6 454:12465:7 478:22venue 462:16 47817WW 350:18413:21 425:16421:18 422:21vast 389:19359:10451:6 454:12465:7 478:22venue 462:1816ue value 342:24472:1 497:9 505:8501:9 505:21verified 442:1817witter.com/waute352:17 360:15347:20,21 348:11version 482:11350:23 359:11352:17 360:15 <td>volumes 195.7</td>	volumes 195.7
404:11 405:8467:22 470:7,17unsure 473:13395:21,23,2408:9 414:12ultimately 388:19unsure 473:13395:21,23,2419:18 426:17389:14 399:17477.7408:17 412441:3,4 442:21454:14,19 463:13unwise 469:25415:16 467454:5 462:6467:14update 353:11479:16 480488:10,10 489:5uncertainty 410:14494:25481:12502:10,12uncertaintes 450:7update 346:3values 368:14502:10,12uncertainty 400:16355:5 439:18370:22 377413:18 419:12under- 368:9 388:4upgrade 467:13383:7,9,12413:18 419:12under- 368:9 388:4upgrade 467:10variety 405:1440:7 445:8undercut 418:5493:24 494:1442:15 472457:16 460:16underminedupheld 419:16483:17turnout 413:5,6367:4 388:7use 349:4 397:12390:2 422:1475:18 477:21understand 352:18upwards 469:9365:9 388:2turnout 413:5,6367:4 388:7use 349:4 397:12390:2 422:1turns 474:10408:11 412:17400:22 413:6462:16 478TVW 350:18413:21 425:16421:18 422:21vast 389:19359:10451:6 454:12465:7 478:22venue 462:18twelve 342:24472:1 497:9 505:8501:9 505:21verifed 442:1Twitter 350:21,2455:13understanding347:20,21 348:11version 482:11two 350:18 356:13363:17,24 378:14utilities 339:2,14veto 446:4	volunturity 577.25
408:19ultimately 388:19unusual 370:2395:25 396419:18 426:17389:14 399:17477:7408:17 412441:3,4 442:21454:14,19 463:13unwise 469:25415:16 467454:5 462:6467:14update 353:11479:16 480488:10,10 489:5uncertainly 410:14494:25481:12502:10,12uncertainty 400:16355:5 439:18370:22 377turn 356:8 357:15402:18 412:5440:1382:5,10,22385:21 391:14414:19upgrade 467:13383:7,9,12413:18 419:12under- 368:9 388:4upgrade 467:13383:7,9,12440:7 445:8underut 418:5493:24 494:1442:15 472457:16 460:16underminedupkeld 419:16483:17turnout 413:5,6367:4 388:7use 349:4 397:12390:2 422:1475:18 477:21understand 352:18upwards 469:9365:9 388:2turnout 413:5,6367:4 388:7use 349:4 397:12390:2 422:1turns 474:10408:11 412:17400:22 413:6462:16 478TVW 350:18413:21 425:16421:18 422:21vast 389:19359:10451:6 454:12465:7 478:22venue 462:18twelve 342:24472:1 497:9 505:8501:9 505:21verified 442:3Twitter 350:21,24505:13347:20,21 348:11veriou 482:1two 350:18 356:13363:17,24 378:14utilities 339:2,14veto 446:4400:12 345:22,23363:22,25363:22,25400:12 345:21456:15447:20,21 348:11414:19445:1	1000 102:0 100:15
419:18 426:17389:14 399:17477:7408:17 412441:3,4 442:21454:14,19 463:13477:7408:17 412454:5 462:6467:14unwise 469:25415:16 467488:10,10 489:5uncertainty 410:14494:25481:12502:10,12uncertainty 400:16494:25481:12turn 356:8 357:15402:18 412:5440:1382:5,10,22385:21 391:14414:19under 368:9 388:4undercut 418:5402:18 412:5440:7 445:8undercut 418:5understand 352:18387:7,9,12457:16 460:16understand 352:18understand 352:18use 349:4 397:12475:18 477:21understand 352:18use 349:4 397:12390:2 422:1475:18 477:21408:11 412:17400:22 413:6462:16 478turnout 413:5,6367:4 388:7use 349:4 397:12390:2 422:1451:6 454:12451:6 454:12465:7 478:22varies 359:19359:10451:6 454:12465:7 478:22varie 462:18twelve 342:24472:1 497:9 505:8501:9 505:21verified 442:3Twitter 350:21,24505:13understanding363:22,25350:23 359:11352:17 360:15347:20,21 348:11version 482:11two 350:18 356:13363:17,24 378:14utilities 339:2,14veto 446:4	100.1 112.10
441:3,4 442:21454:14,19 463:13unwise 469:25415:16 467454:5 462:6467:14unwise 450:7update 353:11479:16 480488:10,10 489:5uncertainly 410:14494:25481:12502:10,12uncertainties 450:7update 346:3370:22 377turn 356:8 357:15402:18 412:5440:1382:5,10,22385:21 391:14414:19upgrade 467:13383:7,9,12413:18 419:12under- 368:9 388:4upgrade 467:10442:15 472440:7 445:8undercut 418:5upgrade 467:10442:15 472457:16 460:16underminedupstream 497:18365:9 388:2475:18 477:21understand 352:18upwards 469:9365:9 388:2475:18 477:21understand 352:18upstream 497:18390:2 422:1400:22 413:6462:16 478462:16 478462:16 478TVW 350:18413:21 425:16421:18 422:21vast 389:19359:10451:6 454:12465:7 478:22verifed 442:1505:13understanding352:17 360:15347:20,21 348:11verifed 442:150:23 359:11363:17,24 378:14utilities 339:2,14veriou 446:4	115.5,0,0,15,10
454:5 462:6467:14under 105:25488:10,10 489:5uncertainly 410:14494:25479:16 480502:10,12uncertainties 450:7updated 346:3370:22 377turn 356:8 357:15402:18 412:5440:1382:5,10,22385:21 391:14414:19upgrade 467:13383:7,9,12413:18 419:12under- 368:9 388:4upgrade 467:10422:15 472440:7 445:8undercut 418:5upgrade 467:10422:15 472457:16 460:16understand 352:18upgrade 469:9365:9 388:2475:18 477:21understand 352:18upgrade 469:9365:9 388:2475:18 477:21understand 352:18upgrade 469:9365:9 388:2413:21 425:16413:21 425:16421:18 422:21462:16 478451:6 454:12472:1 497:9 505:8501:9 505:21verified 442:1850:13understanding352:17 360:15347:20,21 348:11verified 442:1250:23 359:11365:17,24 378:14363:17,24 378:14verified 442:14100:22 13 369:13363:17,24 378:14363:22,25verified 442:14350:18 356:13363:17,24 378:14347:20,21 348:11verion 482:11350:18 356:13363:17,24 378:14utilities 339:2,14veto 446:4	-1
488:10,10 489:5 502:10,12uncertainly 410:14 uncertainty 400:16update 336:14 494:25481:12 values 368:14 355:5 439:18turn 356:8 357:15 385:21 391:14 410:7 445:8 440:7 445:8under- 368:9 388:4 under- 368:9 388:4 under- 368:9 388:4 under 418:5 under 355:18upgrade 467:13 	voica 150.1 151.10
100110,10uncertainties 450:7undertainties 450:7undertainty 400:16updated 346:3values 368:14100110,12uncertainty 400:16355:5 439:18370:22 377100110,12402:18 412:5440:1382:5,10,22100110,12414:19under- 368:9 388:4ungrade 467:13383:7,9,12111111under- 368:9 388:4undercut 418:5undercut 418:5undercut 418:5111111understand 352:18475:18 477:21understand 352:18upstream 497:18various 353:1111111367:4 388:7use 349:4 397:12390:2 422:1365:9 388:211111111111111111111111111111111111	150.5
Jobin 10, 12uncertainty 400:16uncertainty 400:16355:5 439:18370:22 377turn 356:8 357:15402:18 412:5440:1382:5,10,22385:21 391:14414:19upgrade 467:13383:7,9,12413:18 419:12under- 368:9 388:4undercut 418:5upgraded 467:10variety 405:1440:7 445:8undercut 418:5undermined493:24 494:1442:15 472457:16 460:16underminedupheld 419:16483:17475:18 477:21understand 352:18upstream 497:18various 353:1475:18 477:21understand 352:18upstream 497:18365:9 388:2400:22 413:6402:16 454:12400:22 413:6462:16 4787VW 350:18413:21 425:16421:18 422:21vast 389:19359:10451:6 454:12465:7 478:22venue 462:1850:19 505:21understanding501:9 505:21verified 442:17witter.com/wautc352:17 360:15347:20,21 348:11version 482:1350:18 356:13363:17,24 378:14utilities 339:2,14veto 446:4	votes 377:9 413:3
turn 356:8 357:15 385:21 391:14 413:18 419:12 402:18 412:5 414:19 413:18 419:12 440:7 445:8 457:16 460:16 turning 473:25 475:18 477:21 turnout 413:5,6 475:18 477:21 turnout 413:5,6 357:18 turnout 413:5,6 turns 474:10402:18 412:5 414:19 understand 352:18 367:4 388:7 408:11 412:17 408:11 412:17 408:11 412:17 408:11 412:17 400:22 413:6 413:21 425:16 451:6 454:12 455:18 457:12 turnout 413:5,6 turns 474:10382:5,10,22 383:7,9,12 variety 405:1 440:1 upgraded 467:10 493:24 494:1 upheld 419:16 upstream 497:18 use 349:4 397:12 400:22 413:6 462:16 478 462:16 478 421:18 422:21 vast 389:19 venue 462:18 verified 442:1 verified 442:1 so5:13 understanding 350:23 359:11 two 350:18 356:13402:18 412:5 402:12 4378:14 363:17,24 378:14 turnout 413:5,6 451:6 454:12twelve 342:24 Twitter.com/wautc 350:23 359:11 two 350:18 356:13402:17 360:15 363:17,24 378:14 363:17,24 378:14300:2 422:1 400:22 413:6 421:18 422:21 465:7 478:22 363:22,25verify 354:3,4 363:22,25	111.0 150.1
and it is sold of the sector of the secto	111.11 190.0
413:18 419:12 440:7 445:8 457:16 460:16 under- 368:9 388:4 undercut 418:5 undermined 357:18 upgraded 467:10 493:24 494:1 upheld 419:16 upstream 497:18 upstream 497:12 upstream	^{2 383:4} voting 438:1
440:7 445:8undercut 418:5493:24 494:1442:15 472457:16 460:16undermined357:18upheld 419:16483:17turning 473:25357:18understand 352:18upwards 469:9365:9 388:2475:18 477:21understand 352:18upwards 469:9365:9 388:2turnout 413:5,6367:4 388:7use 349:4 397:12390:2 422:1turns 474:10408:11 412:17400:22 413:6462:16 478TVW 350:18413:21 425:16421:18 422:21vast 389:19359:10451:6 454:12465:7 478:22vast 389:19twelve 342:24472:1 497:9 505:8501:9 505:21verified 442:2Twitter 350:21,24505:13understanding352:17 360:15347:20,21 348:11version 482:1350:23 359:11363:17,24 378:14utilities 339:2,14veto 446:4veto 446:4	12 W
457:16 460:16underminedupheld 419:16483:17457:18 477:21357:18understand 352:18upwards 469:9365:9 388:2475:18 477:21367:4 388:7use 349:4 397:12390:2 422:1turnout 413:5,6367:4 388:7use 349:4 397:12390:2 422:1turns 474:10408:11 412:17400:22 413:6462:16 478TVW 350:18413:21 425:16421:18 422:21462:16 478359:10451:6 454:12465:7 478:22vast 389:19twelve 342:24472:1 497:9 505:8501:9 505:21verified 442:2Twitter 350:21,24505:13understanding352:17 360:15347:20,21 348:11350:23 359:11352:17 360:15347:20,21 348:11veto 446:4two 350:18 356:13363:17,24 378:14utilities 339:2,14veto 446:4	
turning 473:25357:18upstream 497:18various 353:1475:18 477:21understand 352:18upwards 469:9365:9 388:2turnout 413:5,6367:4 388:7use 349:4 397:12390:2 422:1turns 474:10408:11 412:17400:22 413:6462:16 478TVW 350:18413:21 425:16421:18 422:21vast 389:19359:10451:6 454:12465:7 478:22venue 462:18twelve 342:24472:1 497:9 505:8501:9 505:21verified 442:2Twitter 350:21,24505:13understanding352:17 360:15347:20,21 348:1150:23 359:11363:17,24 378:14utilities 339:2,14veto 446:4	
understand 352:18upstream 4,97,110365:9 388:2475:18 477:21understand 352:18upwards 469:9365:9 388:2turnout 413:5,6367:4 388:7use 349:4 397:12390:2 422:1turns 474:10408:11 412:17400:22 413:6462:16 478TVW 350:18413:21 425:16421:18 422:21462:16 478359:10451:6 454:12465:7 478:22venue 462:18twelve 342:24472:1 497:9 505:8501:9 505:21verified 442:2Twitter 350:21,24505:13understanding363:22,25350:23 359:11352:17 360:15347:20,21 348:11version 482:1two 350:18 356:13363:17,24 378:14utilities 339:2,14veto 446:4	415:10
turnout 413:5,6 turns 474:10367:4 388:7 408:11 412:17use 349:4 397:12 400:22 413:6390:2 422:1 462:16 478TVW 350:18 359:10413:21 425:16 451:6 454:12421:18 422:21 465:7 478:22vast 389:19 venue 462:18twelve 342:24 Twitter 350:21,24472:1 497:9 505:8 505:13501:9 505:21 understanding 352:17 360:15verify 354:3,2 347:20,21 348:11two 350:18 356:13363:17,24 378:14 363:17,24 378:14utilities 339:2,14veto 446:4	
turns 474:10408:11 412:17400:22 413:6462:16 478TVW 350:18413:21 425:16421:18 422:21vast 389:19359:10451:6 454:12465:7 478:22venue 462:18twelve 342:24472:1 497:9 505:8501:9 505:21verified 442:2Twitter 350:21,24505:13understanding352:17 360:15347:20,21 348:11version 482:150:23 359:11363:17,24 378:14363:17,24 378:14utilities 339:2,14veto 446:4	,
TVW 350:18413:21 425:16421:18 422:21vast 389:19359:10451:6 454:12465:7 478:22venue 462:18twelve 342:24472:1 497:9 505:8501:9 505:21verified 442:2Twitter 350:21,24505:13understanding352:17 360:15347:20,21 348:11version 482:1100:22 413:0359:10359:10413:21 425:16421:18 422:21vast 389:19110:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:	
1 vw 550.10451:6 454:12465:7 478:22venue 462:18359:10451:6 454:12465:7 478:22verified 442:2twelve 342:24472:1 497:9 505:8501:9 505:21verified 442:2Twitter 350:21,24505:13understandingUTC 345:22,23363:22,25350:23 359:11352:17 360:15347:20,21 348:11version 482:11two 350:18 356:13363:17,24 378:14utilities 339:2,14veto 446:4	,
twelve 342:24472:1 497:9 505:8501:9 505:21verified 442:2Twitter 350:21,24505:13understanding501:9 505:21verified 442:2Twitter.com/wautc350:23 359:11352:17 360:15347:20,21 348:11verified 442:2two 350:18 356:13363:17,24 378:14utilities 339:2,14veto 446:4	418:19 419:14
Twitter 350:21,24505:13useful 500:3 507:20verify 354:3,4Twitter.com/wautcunderstanding352:17 360:15347:20,21 348:11363:22,25350:23 359:11363:17,24 378:14utilities 339:2,14veto 446:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Twitter.com/wautc 350:23 359:11understanding 352:17 360:15UTC 345:22,23 347:20,21 348:11363:22,25 version 482:1two 350:18 356:13363:17,24 378:14utilities 339:2,14veto 446:4	
350:23 359:11 352:17 360:15 347:20,21 348:11 version 482:1 two 350:18 356:13 363:17,24 378:14 utilities 339:2,14 veto 446:4	e
two 350:18 356:13 363:17,24 378:14 utilities 339:2,14 veto 446:4	wall 397:15
392:8.20 393:4.4 382:17,25 417:24 340:4.9 342:19 Vice 342:19 3	want 351:22 363:21
398:4 432:9 419:13 428:23 343:12,18 349:5 344:8,16	398:11 420:18
448:13,15,18 434:1 447:25 350:9 386:14 view 378:15 4	101.7 1 106.12 110.10 10
461:8 465:24,24 448:4 457:4 426:11 448:4 410:10 419	,
469:13 490:16 459:17 482:21 468:5 470:5 421:21,21 4	442:18 450:20
491:13 493:17 483:1 Utilities' 347:22,23 443:14,25 4	442:18450:20443:13460:16462:22
understood 499:4 454:6,7 466	442:18450:20443:13460:16463:23477:18

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 age 553
486:23 487:9,9	354:14,25 355:1	Wendy 342:6	437:17 438:5,13	433:8 450:21
505:7,15 506:20	363:23 371:22	went 405:1 411:14	438:18,24 439:3	489:2
wanted 365:16,17	381:15 385:21	411:23,23 421:6	440:3,19 443:19	wrong 503:14
367:16,24 368:9	397:14 398:2	442:20 468:1,3	443:24 445:24	wrote 498:16,18
368:10 369:22	400:4 420:18	469:6,21 486:20	446:6,10,13,20,24	www.buellrealti
382:15 414:25	447:4 448:18	weren't 401:12	447:13,17,20	339:25
417:1 431:1	470:22 471:14,20	410:4 442:22	448:5,13 449:5,14	
442:14 469:18	487:15 491:18	whatsoever 465:11	450:1.6.17 451:5	Χ
471:13 506:23	494:10 506:7	whim 358:14	451:9,17,22 452:6	X 441:24
wants 377:25	507:3,18,22	wholly-owned	460:11 471:9,12	
ware 465:12	we're 356:5 357:1,1	373:13	491:21 492:1,4,7	Y
warning 361:20	358:17 361:13	wide 357:14 448:6	492:11,22 493:1,4	yeah 355:7 357:1
Washington 339:1	366:5 368:16,17	448:7	Woolridge 342:5	365:2,2 375:24
339:14,15,22	373:19 376:1	willing 358:2	word 422:21	385:18 413:11
340:6,11,15,19	382:12 390:7	394:18 395:19	words 403:20	426:12,16 431:7,7
341:5 342:12	415:10 418:10	446:24	414:13 432:9	434:16 435:10
348:3,9,14 350:1	422:20 424:17	willingness 463:22	466:10 476:25	436:6,7 441:3,5
350:9 365:13	425:21 437:9,10	477:4	486:21,24 487:17	446:12 447:24
373:4 384:3 443:2	444:17 447:20	win 367:9	work 367:1 377:10	449:5,18 451:9
461:25 485:10	451:16 464:7	wish 362:17	391:7 400:22	460:1 469:15
508:3,7	471:22 488:2,3,18	wishes 378:4	417:1 460:3 485:6	473:19 482:3
wasn't 368:12	488:21,22,23	471:19 498:21	488:14 495:9	486:20 499:22
400:20 402:22	503:16 504:3	witness 359:25	worked 388:12,13	505:3
403:8,11 406:10	505:13 507:9,12	397:7 489:21	389:13 420:9	year 343:21 367:15
440:3 442:22	507:23	witnessed 449:1,6	421:1 448:23	405:13 451:18
444:17 456:18	we've 353:22 354:7	witnesses 342:9	449:13,17	466:1
487:18 489:6	354:9 356:6,10	351:25 352:6,9,23	Workers' 348:9	years 358:5 368:17
490:7 502:21,21	367:12 370:12,15	356:6,10,11,13,14	Workforce 348:8	448:25
watch 369:17 466:4	374:23 375:12,15	356:18 358:18	working 392:8,20	Yep 353:16 452:6
466:13 467:10	381:10 389:7	397:6,9,13 398:4	495:4	yesterday 366:12
493:25	393:9 410:15	453:18 471:17,21	works 350:25 488:9	York 348:19 349:2
water 397:24	451:15,25 462:16	WMG-1T 346:19	world 366:19 445:1	349:5
WAUTC 350:22	478:9 483:4 495:7	WMG-2T 346:20	449:1	
way 359:8 378:23	496:3 501:1	WNIDCL 342:7,7	worse 415:11	Z
395:11,12 396:8	505:16 506:3	348:5	wouldn't 360:24	0
402:10 405:2	507:10,11	wondering 489:4	383:11,12 402:16	
410:5,15 425:14	weatherization	494:22	403:11 406:21	005 348:5
425:21 439:14	372:17 375:23	WOOD 441:2	413:14 424:17	01 349:7
447:8 449:15	web 350:18	Woods 341:10	432:10 467:1	02 349:8
454:12 462:9	week 405:16	369:11 370:14	482:22 484:19	03 349:12
464:5 487:7	weekend 402:21	379:22 382:13	486:14 487:6,22	04 349:12,13
488:25 489:18	weeks 401:7 409:1	429:18,24 430:21	write 505:2	05 349:13
ways 374:15 415:2	432:14	431:1,2,6,8,16,20	writing 418:11	1
425:4 464:23	weighed 358:22	431:22 433:13,25	445:25	1 342:14 345:5
we'll 351:3 353:11	well-versed 473:20	431:22 433:13,23	written 430:16	1 342.14 343.3 348:4,16,18 373:2
we ii 551.5 555.11	wen-veiseu 4/3.20	+54.15,25 457.15	WIIIUCH 430.10	340.4,10,10 373.2

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

461:1,7 501:24	1325 339:21	349:6 386:3,15,21	4 354:21,23,24	6
1:00 470:22	13th 355:2 435:6	387:1,9 493:18	391:14 437:19	6 354:19 355:1,1
1:07 471:24	458:11 465:22	2018 339:12 345:3	447:19,21 459:4	399:16 448:1
1:55 507:25	14 372:25	345:4 346:9	460:20	468:1 498:3
10 373:2 437:25	1400 341:5	349:16 350:1	4.1.1 428:1	6/30/2017 343:23
438:2,7 441:13,15	14th 435:6 436:9	351:5,10 355:3	4.5 364:24,25	60 368:17 402:6
443:21	458:11 490:9	361:12 366:20	469:21 470:3,13	444:24
10-K 343:21	504:23 507:22	434:24 445:25	4.7 347:8 400:12	623-7580 340:20
10-Q 343:22	15 348:16,18,20	458:4,22	402:3 411:10	65 505:7
10/19/2018 342:11	349:6 373:5	206 339:23 340:20	416:22 421:3	664-1160 340:6,11
10/23/2018 346:24	384:16 387:22	21 391:14	443:8 495:22	664-1186 341:6
10:20 397:17	16 342:22 373:5	22 359:24 398:9	4.7.2 427:7	6T 371:16
10:33 397:17	434:21	22nd 351:10 496:12	4/26/2018 346:22	6th 399:2 445:25
103 472:13	17 434:21 498:3	23 339:12 350:1	40 360:16 404:3,4	458:4 459:13
108 347:2	1700 383:8	23rd 351:5	423:2 428:1 476:1	490:3,5
11 345:3 363:16	173 343:7	24 372:15 469:21	476:2 498:6	,
373:5 399:8 405:5	18 345:25 372:15	25 387:22	40-plus 476:12	7
406:8 410:2	393:17 457:17,24	26 344:9	40128 341:4	7 457:17,24
411:16 417:20	460:21	26th 357:8	43 342:14	7.3 472:14,25
435:5 452:13	1840 339:22	27 343:9 346:9	44 455:24	7/19/2017 343:24
460:21	19 452:17 498:3	287-9066 339:23	44.3 384:2	73 343:7
11.7 372:16	1990-2018 348:15	2900 340:19	47 379:13 402:9,10	74 373:3
110 347:2	1998 437:21	2	404:3 412:15	75 413:6 457:2,5
116 386:11	19th 458:22 459:14	$\frac{3}{20521405400}$	413:16 439:2	7th 401:8
11th 361:12 364:18	490:8	3 353:14 354:23	476:1	8
364:25 366:20	2	372:2,3 373:2	48 413:17	
369:8 390:23,24	$\frac{2}{2439:17446:14}$	437:16 447:21	495-4316 340:15	8 345:22,24 348:11
434:24 469:22,23	2439:17 446:14 447:22 451:9	461:7	4th 398:16 431:11	369:14 372:14 9 11 417:0 10 418:5
12 372:25 380:13	447:22 451:9 457:17 461:1	3.21 433:9 3.8 468:4	5	8.11 417:9,19 418:5
384:1 393:17	466:7,8 470:5,18	3/27/2018 342:17	5 353:15 354:22,24	418:9,9 419:14
424:11,19,21	472:24 473:25	30 345:18,20	365:3 372:3,23	496:19 8:00 363:11
425:9,24 434:21	485:13,17,18,18	346:16 506:18	393:17 398:9,15	800 339:24
464:13 465:5	485:19	31 347:21	398:24 426:4	81 501:24
477:23 478:4,9	2.11 491:12	33 343:20	441:14 447:18,20	81 301.24 82 481:24 482:5
486:2	2/16/2018 348:5	3358 339:20 508:13	5/7/2018 342:8,13	485:25 486:8
12/31/2016 342:24	2/2017 348:22	339-508 339:8	342:15,17 345:17	499:7 500:21
343:21	2:30 471:12	339-308 339.8 34 345:7	50 494:1	501:23 502:8
12:05 471:24	20 506:18	35 393:18	50.36 365:1	846-6989 339:24
129-year-old 383:6	200 379:14	36 346:13 393:18	509 340:15	8th 399:2
12th 365:1 13 349:16 399:16	200 377.14 2011 348:16,18	360 339:23 340:6	507 540.15 52.75 364:25	
437:19 466:6	2011 348:10,10 2012 348:11	340:11 341:6	53 413:25	9
13-M-0449 349:3	2012 343.11 2013 347:21	3727 340:14	534-9066 339:23	9 342:17 344:17
13-M-0449 349:3 1300 339:14 340:5	2013 347:21 2014 348:20	38 413:7	55 344:2	363:11 372:23
340:10	2016 342:20 344:12		5T 371:16	384:1
340.10	2017 345:21 348:4	4	5th 398:21	9/14/17 344:9

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

9/7/2017 343:4		
9:15 339:13 350:2		
350:11		
9:24 355:23		
9:27 355:23		
9:30 350:12 351:1,4		
90 386:18 387:3		
402:4 411:11,24		
412:1 414:20,24		
415:10 427:10		
90-day 412:4 414:6		
414:17 416:23		
925 340:19		
95 413:19		
98101 339:22		
98104 340:19		
98504 339:15 340:6		
340:11 341:5		
99220 340:15		
······································		
1		