1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES A	AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
2 3 4	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND) TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,) Complainant,	DOCKET NO. UT-941134 VOLUME 1
	vs.	PAGES 1 - 6
5 6	SMJ COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,) Respondent.)	
7		
8	A pre-hearing conferer	nce in the above
9	matter was held on November 3, 19	994, at 3:32 p.m. at
10	1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest before	
11	Administrative Law Judge ELMER CANFIELD.	
12	The parties were prese	ent as follows:
13 14	SMJ COMMUNICATIONS INC., by BROOKS E. HARLOW, Attorney at Law, 4400 Two Union Square, 601 Union Street, Seattle, Washington 98101-2352.	
15	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION	
16	COMMISSION STAFF, by ANN RENDAHL, General, 1400 South Evergreen Par	
17	Olympia, Washington 98504.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	Cheryl Macdonald	
25	Court Reporter	

1 PROCEEDINGS

- 2 JUDGE CANFIELD: This pre-hearing
- 3 conference will please come to order. We're convened
- 4 in the matter of Washington Utilities and
- 5 Transportation Commission, complainant, vs. SMJ
- 6 Communications, Inc. Respondent, docket No.
- 7 UT-941134. This matter is being heard by Elmer
- 8 Canfield, administrative law judge with the Office of
- 9 Administrative Hearings at Olympia, Washington on
- 10 November 3, 1994. The case is assigned to senior
- 11 administrative law judge Alice L. Haenle who has asked
- 12 me to fill in for her at today's session due to a
- 13 schedule conflict.
- I would like to take appearances at the
- 15 outset. We're going to be taking appearances,
- 16 interventions and dealing with some preliminary-type
- 17 matters, and I would like to ask for the appearances
- 18 beginning with the respondent, please.
- 19 MR. HARLOW: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
- 20 My name is Brooks Harlow. I'm with the law firm of
- 21 Miller, Nash, Wiener, Hager and Carlsen. My address
- 22 is 601 Union Street, Suite 4400, Seattle, Washington
- 23 98101-2352. My fax number is 206-622-7485. I'm
- 24 appearing on behalf of the respondent SMJ
- 25 Communications.

- 1 JUDGE CANFIELD: Thank you. Next.
- 2 MS. RENDAHL: Ann Rendahl, assistant
- 3 attorney general, representing the Commission staff in
- 4 this matter, and my address is 1400 South Evergreen
- 5 Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington 98504-0128.
- 6 JUDGE CANFIELD: Thank you. Are there any
- 7 other appearances to be made at this time?
- 8 Let the record reflect there are none. And
- 9 I will note that there's no petitions to intervene
- 10 pending as well. And I will further note that public
- 11 counsel has notified the Office of Administrative
- 12 Hearings that they do not plan to participate in this
- 13 proceeding. Should that change they can notify us
- 14 otherwise, but as it stands now they indicated they
- 15 would not be appearing and participating.
- 16 And just before going on the record the
- 17 parties did indicate that they are working on a
- 18 settlement and maybe I can have one of the parties
- 19 outline the situation briefly for the record, as far
- 20 as what is being requested at this juncture.
- 21 MS. RENDAHL: As the parties are entering
- 22 into settlement discussions, it's requested that the
- 23 pre-hearing schedule for today be postponed until the
- 24 June 5 and 6 hearing dates currently set forth in the
- 25 notice of hearing. During that time period between

00004

- 1 today and June it's hopeful that a settlement will be
- 2 reached and the complaint will be withdrawn. However,
- 3 we would like to retain those hearing dates in case
- 4 the settlement does not occur.
- 5 JUDGE CANFIELD: So between now and then
- 6 what's anticipated to take place?
- 7 MS. RENDAHL: It's anticipated that the
- 8 company will make several filings with the Commission,
- 9 to make a tariff filing and a competitive
- 10 classification filing and those, both the tariff
- 11 filing and competitive classification filing, will be
- 12 presented before the Commission during that time
- 13 period.
- JUDGE ANDERL: Mr. Harlow, any comments on
- 15 that as it's been outlined by Ms. Rendahl?
- 16 MR. HARLOW: No. We join in the request in
- 17 continuing the pre-hearing conference until June 5,
- 18 1995 for the reasons Ms. Rendahl stated.
- 19 JUDGE CANFIELD: And as far as some idea of
- 20 a time that this may take place, is it anticipated
- 21 that these filings are going to be made and in place
- 22 prior to that June 5 and 6 date?
- 23 MR. HARLOW: It's anticipated they will be
- 24 filed and approved prior to that date.
- 25 JUDGE CANFIELD: And assuming filing and a

00005

- 1 Commission approval, Ms. Rendahl, you indicate, then,
- 2 that it's anticipated that the complaint would be
- 3 withdrawn.
- 4 MS. RENDAHL: That's correct. If both
- 5 filings are made and the Commission grants both, the
- 6 staff would withdraw its complaint in this matter.
- 7 JUDGE CANFIELD: And just out of
- 8 cautiousness it's being requested that the schedule
- 9 dates June 5 and 6, 1995 be retained for the time
- 10 being.
- MS. RENDAHL: Correct, and that the
- 12 pre-hearing conference be continued until that time.
- JUDGE CANFIELD: I will grant the request
- 14 to continue the pre-hearing conference to June 5 and
- 15 6, 1995. Those are the dates that were announced in
- 16 the complaint and notice of hearing that was issued in
- 17 this matter on September 7, 1994, and as outlined by
- 18 the parties, those matters are going to be discussed
- 19 further and filings are going to be made and request
- 20 for Commission approval of those matters will be made.
- 21 And is it anticipated that the -- that
- 22 there would be a letter or an order on the pre-hearing
- 23 conference or just a postponement or continuance-type
- 24 letter? I'm filling in for Alice Haenle on this so I
- 25 would like to know what the parties anticipate.

- 1 MS. RENDAHL: I believe just a continuance
- 2 letter is sufficient. If the Commission approves both
- 3 filings the staff would then submit copies of orders
- 4 by the Commission in both filings and submit those to
- 5 the ALJ, the administrative law judge, seeking
- 6 withdrawal of the complaint.
- 7 JUDGE CANFIELD: Would you concur with
- 8 that, Mr. Harlow?
- 9 MR. HARLOW: Yes.
- 10 Anything further to discuss then? I've
- 11 granted the request to continue the matter to the June
- 12 5/6, 1995 dates. The parties have outlined what they
- 13 propose doing between now and then. Anything further,
- 14 Ms. Rendahl?
- MS. RENDAHL: No, Your Honor.
- JUDGE CANFIELD: Anything further, Mr.
- 17 Harlow?
- MR. HARLOW: No, Your Honor.
- 19 JUDGE CANFIELD: Okay. With that, then, I
- 20 will adjourn today's session and the matter will be
- 21 continued, and I will get out a short letter to that
- 22 effect within the next several days. This session is
- 23 adjourned.
- 24 (Hearing adjourned at 3:41 p.m.)

25