1		
2		
3 4		
5		
6	BEFC	RE THE
7	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	
8	FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS NORTHWEST, LLC D/B/A ZIPLY FIBER,	DOCKET UT-200751
9	Complainant,	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT
11	KALAMA TELEPHONE COMPANY	
12	KALAMA TELEFHONE COMPANY	
13	Respondent.	
14	COMES NOW, Kalama Telephone Company ("Kalama"), by and through its attorney,	
15	Richard A. Finnigan, and answers and counter claims against Frontier Communications Northwest,	
16	LLC d/b/a Ziply Fiber ("Ziply") as follows:	
17	1. As to the first paragraph of the Formal Complaint, it is simply an introduction and no answer	
18	is required.	
19	2. To paragraph 2 of the Formal Complaint, to the extent it contains allegations concerning	
20	Kalama's conduct, Kalama denies it has done anything unlawful.	
21	3. Kalama has no knowledge as to whether Ziply holds a certificate and therefore denies the	
22	same. Kalama also notes that Ziply's Woodland exchange adjoins Kalama's service	
23 24		
25		
26	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT - 1	Law Office of Richard A. Finnigan 2112 Black Lake Blvd. SW Olympia, WA 98512 (360) 956-7001

territory, but the rest of Ziply's service territory does not. Kalama admits the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 3.

- 4. Kalama denies that it holds a certificate. Kalama admits the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the Formal Complaint.
- As to paragraph 5, Kalama denies that the Commission has jurisdiction over many aspects of the Formal Complaint.
- 6. Kalama admits that the Commission has approved the incumbent local exchange service territory for Kalama. Kalama denies that there is any requirement to define a service territory for operations that are not incumbent local exchange service operations.
- 7. Kalama admits that its service territory map is contained in its tariff for its incumbent local exchange service operations. Kalama denies any implication of anything beyond that.
- Kalama is without sufficient knowledge to identify the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the Formal Complaint and therefore denies the same.
- 9. As to paragraph 9, Kalama denies that it is serving at least ten customers with telecommunications service in the Ziply Woodland exchange. Kalama admits that it is serving six customers with telecommunications service in the Woodland exchange. Kalama is providing broadband only service to several customers in the Woodland exchange.
- 10. As to paragraph 10 to the Formal Complaint, Kalama denies the same.
 - 11. As to the allegations in paragraph 11 of the Formal Complaint, Kalama denies the same. Kalama admits that they verbally accepted one additional order for service, but that service was for broadband only and was not telecommunications.

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT - 2

Law Office of Richard A. Finnigan 2112 Black Lake Blvd. SW Olympia, WA 98512 (360) 956-7001

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

- 12. Kalama admits to paragraph 12 of the Formal Complaint.
- 13. Kalama admits that it does not have a certificate to provide competitive service outside of its ILEC service territory but alleges that no such certificate is required.
- 14. As to paragraph 14 of the Formal Complaint, Kalama admits the same.
- 15. As to paragraph 15 of the Formal Complaint, Kalama denies the allegation contained therein.
- 16. As to paragraph 16 of the Formal Complaint, Kalama denies the allegation contained therein.
- 17. As to paragraph 17 of the Formal Complaint, Kalama denies the allegation contained therein.
- 18. As to paragraph 18 of the Formal Complaint, Kalama denies the allegation contained therein.
- 19. As to paragraph 19 of the Formal Complaint, Kalama denies the allegation contained therein.
- 20. As to paragraph 20 of the Formal Complaint, Kalama denies the allegation contained therein.
- 21. As to paragraph 21 of the Formal Complaint, Kalama denies the allegation contained therein.
- 22. As to paragraph 22 of the Formal Complaint, Kalama denies the allegation contained therein.

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT - 3

Law Office of Richard A. Finnigan 2112 Black Lake Blvd. SW Olympia, WA 98512 (360) 956-7001 23. As to paragraph 23 of the Formal Complaint, Kalama denies the allegation contained therein.

24. As to paragraph 24 of the Formal Complaint, the regulations cited speak for themselves.

- 25. As to paragraph 25 of the Formal Complaint, Kalama admits the allegation contained therein.
- 26. As to paragraph 26 of the Formal Complaint, Kalama denies the allegation contained therein.

27. As to paragraph 27 of the Formal Complaint, the statute cited will speak for itself.

28. As to Ziply's request for relief, Kalama asks that the Commission deny any and all requests for relief. Kalama also points out that the Commission has no jurisdiction to determine whether or not tortious interference with a business expectancy has occurred. Kalama also denies that RCW 80.04.220 applies in this situation.

COUNTERCLAIM

Based on an investigation conducted by Kalama, Kalama alleges as follows:

 On information and belief, Kalama alleges that Ziply does not have any facilities in place that could provide service to the customers that Kalama is serving in the Woodland exchange.

2. Ziply has constructed telecommunications facilities to at least thirty-one locations within the Kalama exchange. Ziply is providing telecommunications service to at least some of those locations.

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT - 4

Law Office of Richard A. Finnigan 2112 Black Lake Blvd. SW Olympia, WA 98512 (360) 956-7001

certificate to act as price list that ny violation of any territory, the		
ny violation of any territory, the		
ny violation of any territory, the		
territory, the		
territory, the		
regulations and		
Commission should find that Ziply has violated the very same rules, regulations and statutes.		
mine quatement		
erving customers		
ssed against Ziply		
built facilities.		
RICHARD A. FINNIGAN		
fice of		
2		