PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2012)

PROPOSED RULE MAKI	NG (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making	
Agency: Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission		
 Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>14-05-08</u> Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1). 	9; or ; or ; or Continuance of WSR	
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject)	I	
WAC 480-75-250, Civil penalty for violation of chapter 81.88 F	RCW, Docket PL-140104.	
Hearing location(s): Commission's Hearing Room 206 Second Floor, Richard Hemstad Building 1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. S.W. Olympia, WA 98504-7250	Submit written comments to:Name:Washington Utilities and Transportation CommissionAddress:P. O. Box 47250 Olympia, WA 98504-7250e-mailrecords@utc.wa.gov records@utc.wa.govDocket PL-140104 in your communication.fax (360) 586-1150by June 9, 2014	
Date: July 2, 2014 Time: 10:30 a.m.	Assistance for persons with disabilities:	
Date of intended adoption: <u>July 2, 2014</u> (Note: This is NOT the effective date)	Contact <u>Debbie Aguilar</u> by <u>June 18, 2014</u> TTY (360) <u>586-8203</u> or (360) <u>664-1132</u>	
 pipeline safety laws and regulations from \$100,000 to \$200,0 series of violations from \$1,000,000 to \$2,000,000. The cha and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), 44 increased maximum administrative civil penalties in conform Job Creation Act of 2011 (Pub. L. 112-90). Reasons supporting proposal: The rules of the State agency must provide for the enforcem sanctions that are at a minimum, as stringent as those set ou State agency participation in the federal pipeline safety progristandard applicable to intrastate pipelines under its jurisdiction. 	ent of pipeline safety standards by injunctive and monetary at the federal level under the Code of Federal Regulations.	
Statutory authority for adoption:	Statute being implemented:	
RCW 80.01.040(4), RCW 81.04.160, and RCW 81.88.040	N/A	
Is rule necessary because of a:	CODE REVISER USE ONLY	
Federal Law?YesNoFederal Court Decision?YesNoState Court Decision?YesNoIf yes, CITATION:YesNo	OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED	
DATE May 7, 2014	DATE: May 07, 2014 TIME: 8:43 AM	
NAME (type or print) Steven V. King	WSR 14-10-082	
SIGNATURE		

-And Statemark	62-2	\mathcal{V}	$ \rightarrow $
TITLE			

Executive Director and Secretary

(COMPLETE REVERSE SIDE)

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:				
None				
Name of prop	onent: (person or organization) V	Vashington Utilities and Transportation Commission	 ☐ Private ☐ Public ☑ Governmental 	
Name of agen	cy personnel responsible for:			
	Name	Office Location	Phone	
Drafting	David D. Lykken	1300 S. Evergreen Pk Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1219	
	Steven V. King	1300 S. Evergreen Pk Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1115	
Enforcement	Steven V. King	1300 S. Evergreen Pk Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1115	
		ement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW or has r section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?	a school district	
🗌 Yes. At	tach copy of small business econ	omic impact statement or school district fiscal impact state	ement.	
A copy of the statement may be obtained by contacting: Name: Address:				
phone () fax () e-mail				
🖾 No. Exp	plain why no statement was prepa	ared.		
The proposed	rule will not result in or impose m	ore than minor costs. Because there will not be more tha	n minor increases in	
costs resulting	from the proposed rule change, a	an SBEIS is not required under RCW 19.85.030(1).		
Is a cost-bene	efit analysis required under RC	W 34.05.328?		
N	A preliminary cost-benefit analysis lame: ddress:	a may be obtained by contacting:		
fa	hone () ax () -mail			
\boxtimes No: Please explain: The Commission is not an agency to which RCW 34.05.328 applies. The proposed rule is not a significant legislative rule of the sort referenced in RCW 34.05.328(5).				