

TE-131705

## Assignment Report Motor Carrier Safety

Upload? ☐ Yes ☒ No - Reason For Not Upload	ing: New Entrant Vehicle Inspection
1. Investigator(s): Ray Gardner	2. Assignment No.: 113152
3. Current Date:8/8/13 4	. Date of Activity: -8/7/13
5. Carrier Name: _Bhupinder Singh Brar	
6. Permit: New Entrant 7. New Entrant	date of authority:
8. MOTCAR No.: 9	. Carrier is: Intrastate Only Interstate Only
10. Industry Code:	Intra and Interstate
11. USDOT No.: 1661722	12. MC No.:
<ul> <li>13. Destination Check</li> <li>Copy of the Destination Check Safety Plan is a Number of Buses/Motor Coaches inspected: 7-15</li> <li>Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 I</li> </ul>	passenger 16+ passenger evel 2 Level 3 Level 5
<ul> <li>Any special emphasis placed on the destination che</li> <li>Describe Special Emphasis</li> <li>What might we do differently to increase our succession</li> </ul>	
14. Safety Complaint  Attach a copy of the Individual Safety Complaint  What activity did staff complete for this safety	mplaint:
<ul> <li>15. New Entrant – Charter, Auto Transportation</li> <li>Is this carrier referred by FMCSA, operating intra</li> <li>Is this carrier based in another state, requesting into Is this carrier based in Washington, requesting into Did staff complete the following:         <ul> <li>Inspect all vehicles between three and nine mon Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1</li> <li>Conduct a SI/SA between three and nine months</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	and interstate:

Is this carrier referred by FMCSA, operating intra and interstate:
■ Is this carrier based in Washington, requesting intrastate authority:
<ul> <li>Did staff complete the following: <ul> <li>Inspect all vehicles between three and eighteen months?</li> <li>Yes □ No</li> <li>Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Level 5</li> <li>Conduct a SI/SA between three and eighteen months? □ Yes □ No □ SI □ SA</li> <li>Conduct technical assistance within three months? □ Yes □ No</li> </ul> </li> <li>17. □ CSA Investigation <ul> <li>Full Investigation</li> <li>Focused Investigation</li> </ul> </li> <li>Basic is for: □ Passenger Carrier □ HHG Carrier □ Solid Waste Carrier</li> <li>Basic Threshold Percentile is;</li> <li>Unsafe Driving%</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>♦ Inspect all vehicles between three and eighteen months?</li></ul>
<ul> <li>♦ Inspect all vehicles between three and eighteen months?</li></ul>
Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Level 5  ◆ Conduct a SI/SA between three and eighteen months? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ SI ☐ SA  ◆ Conduct technical assistance within three months? ☐ Yes ☐ No  17. ☐ CSA Investigation ☐ Full Investigation ☐ Focused Investigation ☐ Basic is for: ☐ Passenger Carrier ☐ HHG Carrier ☐ Solid Waste Carrier  Basic Threshold Percentile is; ☐ Unsafe Driving%
<ul> <li>♦ Conduct a SI/SA between three and eighteen months?  Yes  No  SI  SA</li> <li>♦ Conduct technical assistance within three months?  Yes  No</li> </ul> 17. □ CSA Investigation Full Investigation Focused Investigation Basic is for: □ Passenger Carrier □ HHG Carrier □ Solid Waste Carrier Basic Threshold Percentile is; Unsafe Driving%
◆ Conduct technical assistance within three months?
17. CSA Investigation  Full Investigation  Focused Investigation  Basic is for: Passenger Carrier HHG Carrier Solid Waste Carrier  Basic Threshold Percentile is;  Unsafe Driving%
Full Investigation Focused Investigation Basic is for: Passenger Carrier HHG Carrier Solid Waste Carrier Basic Threshold Percentile is; Unsafe Driving%
Full Investigation Focused Investigation Basic is for: Passenger Carrier HHG Carrier Solid Waste Carrier Basic Threshold Percentile is; Unsafe Driving%
Full Investigation Focused Investigation Basic is for: Passenger Carrier HHG Carrier Solid Waste Carrier Basic Threshold Percentile is; Unsafe Driving%
Focused Investigation  Basic is for: Passenger Carrier HHG Carrier Solid Waste Carrier  Basic Threshold Percentile is;  Unsafe Driving%
Basic is for: Passenger Carrier HHG Carrier Solid Waste Carrier  Basic Threshold Percentile is; Unsafe Driving%
Basic Threshold Percentile is;  Unsafe Driving%
Unsafe Driving%
Crash %
Driver Fitness %
Drug/Alcohol %
Vehicle Maintenance %
10 Tu Maddural Cofety Dlan Only
18. Individual Safety Plan Only:
What activity did staff complete for this safety complaint?
Attach a copy of the Individual Carrier Safety Plan.
Safety Investigation
Technical assistance
Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Level 5
Unannounced terminal visit
Other (please explain):
19. Safety Investigation:
Safety Audit:
■ SI Rating: ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ Conditional
■ SA Rating: Pass
Number of vehicles operated:
Recordable accidents for prior year:
Accident Ratio:
<ul><li>Number of drivers operated:</li><li>Total miles for prior year:</li></ul>
,

Revised 9-16-2011 2

20. Part B Violations:

Part	Violations	Part	Violations	Part	Violations
382/40		383		387	
390		391		392	
395		396		397	

	MC	MB 1-15	MB 16+	SB 1-8	SB 9-15	SB 16+	VAN 1-8	VAN 9-15	TRK	TT	TRA
Inspections			2								
Defective Vehicles		-	0								
OOS Vehicles			0								
Level			5								

	MC	MB 1-15	MB 16+	SB 1-8	SB 9-15	SB 16+	VAN 1-8	VAN 9-15	TRK	TT	TRA
Brakes									Ţ,		
Steering	ļ										
Lights											
Tires, wheels, rims											
Horn											
Windshield and Wipers											
Mirrors											
Emergency Equip, Exits											
Coupling Devices											
Frame											
Suspension											
Exhaust											
Other		<u> </u>									

23. Driver Inspection Violations:

25. Diver inspection violations.							
Medical Card	Medical Waiver	Hours of Service	Drivers License				
Comment:							

Revised 9-16-2011 3

24. Relevant Carrier History: This a new entrant application for Intra-State Charter Excursion	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
·	
25. Findings:	
The carrier has two vehicles they will be using for this operation a 2005 International 34 passenger bus. Both of the carrier's bus Five criteria. No defects were found on either of the carriers bus 2007 Chevrolet received CVSA decal # 18735177, and the 2005 18735178.	ses were inspected using the CVSA Leve ses and were issued CVSA decals. The
26. Recommended Action:  No further action.	
Notify the company in writing of the findings by prove vehicle inspection report, safety audit or other simila.  Require the company to submit a compliance plan in respective to the company to submit a compliance plan in respective to the company to submit a compliance plan in respective to the company to submit a compliance plan in respective to the company to submit a compliance plan in respective to the company to submit a compliance plan in respective to the company to submit a compliance plan in respective to the company to submit a compliance plan in respective to the company to submit a compliance plan in respective to the company to submit a compliance plan in respective to the company to submit a compliance plan in respective to the company to submit a compliance plan in respective to the company to submit a compliance plan in respective to the company to submit a compliance plan in respective to the company to submit a compliance plan in respective to the company to submit a compliance plan in respective to the company to submit a compliance plan in respective to the company to submit a compliance plan in respective to the company to	or document. esponse to the 15-day letter requirement.
Revisit to recheck a specific issue (Date:	
Issue a complaint.  Stop company operations.	
27. Is this carrier considered a high risk carrier as a result o	f this activity?
Carrier accident ratio is higher than aggregate ratio.  Carrier had an out-of-service ratio 25% or higher at	the last vehicle inspection
Carrier had a defect ratio 75% or higher at the last v	
<ul> <li>Carrier received more than one conditional or unsati more than one of the last four safety investigations (or le</li> <li>Other (please explain):</li> </ul>	ess than four if four are not completed).
28. Additional Comments: This carrier was provided with techn operations. The technical assistance covered all parts of CFR T State RCWs and WACs. I would recommend that this carrier be	ical assistance that covered their itle 49 and all applicable Washington

Revised 9-16-2011 4

Investigator's Signature: Kay Saval	
Initial Review By:	Date:
Reviewer's Recommendation:	·
Final Review By: Fratt	Date: 8/8/13
Reviewer's Recommendation:	,
Agree with remulation close & Cile. It ok to issue authority.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
close = tile	
Je ok to Issue authority.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
OFFICE USE	ONLY
Date Closed:	By: Mc Dotton
Company Name: Dupindel Single	Sar
Assignment #:	
Assignment #: Staff Assigned:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·