

Assignment Report Motor Carrier Safety

Upload? ☐ Yes ☒ No - Reason for Not Uplo	
1. Investigator(s): Rick Smith	2. Assignment No.:
3. Current Date:5/31/2013	
5. Carrier Name: PAPADIMUS, NICHOLAS	DBA ORION NW
6. Permit: Pending 7. New Entrant da	ate of authority:
8. MOTCAR No.: 7285	9. Carrier is: Intrastate Only Interstate Only
10. Industry Code: 232	Intra and Interstate
11. USDOT No.: 2402790	12. MC No.: <u>000000</u>
 13. Destination Check Copy of the Destination Check Safety Plan in Number of Buses/Motor Coaches inspected: 7-1 Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Any special emphasis placed on the destination Describe Special Emphasis What might we do differently to increase our states. 	15 passenger 16+ passenger Level 2 Level 3 Level 5 check Yes No
14. Safety Complaint	
 ☐ Attach a copy of the Individual Safety Comp What activity did staff complete for this safety of Compliance review ☐ Technical assistance ☐ Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 ☐ Unannounced terminal visit ☐ Other (please explain): 	complaint:
15. New Entrant – Charter, Auto Transportati	on
 Is this carrier referred by FMCSA, operating int Is this carrier based in another state, requesting in Is this carrier based in Washington, requesting in 	ra and interstate: Yes No intrastate authority: Yes No

A-1

16. New Entrant–HHG
■ Is this carrier referred by FMCSA, operating intra and interstate:
■ Is this carrier based in another state, requesting intrastate authority: ☐ Yes ☐ No
■ Is this carrier based in Washington, requesting intrastate authority: ☐ Yes ☐ No
Did staff complete the following:
◆ Inspect all vehicles between three and eighteen months?
Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 5
◆ Conduct a SI/SA between three and eighteen months? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ SI ☐ SA
◆ Conduct technical assistance within three months?
17. CSA Investigation
Full Investigation
Focused Investigation
Basic is for: Passenger Carrier HHG Carrier Solid Waste Carrier
Basic Threshold Percentile is;
Unsafe Driving %
Fatigued Driving (HOS)%
Crash%
Driver Fitness%
Drug/Alcohol%
Vehicle Maintenance%
18. Individual Safety Plan Only:
What activity did staff complete for this safety complaint?
Attach a copy of the Individual Carrier Safety Plan.
Safety Investigation
Technical assistance
Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Level 5
Unannounced terminal visit
Other (please explain):
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
19. Safety Investigation:
Safety Audit:
■ SI Rating: Satisfactory Unsatisfactory Conditional
SA Rating: Pass Fail
Number of drivers argented:
Number of drivers operated:
Total miles for prior year:
Recordable accidents for prior year:
Accident Ratio:n/a

Revised 9-16-2011 2

20. Part B Violations:

Part	Violations	Part	Violations	Part	Violations
382/40	į	383		387	
390		391		392	
395		396		397	
r.					

	MC	MB 1-15	MB 16+	SB 1-8	SB 9-15	SB 16+	VAN 1-8	VAN 9-15	TRK	ТТ	TRA
Inspections		1				,		1			
Defective Vehicles		0	·					0			
OOS Vehicles		0						0			
Level		5						5			

22. Vehicle Inspection Violations:

	MC	MB 1-15	MB 16+	SB 1-8	SB 9-15	SB 16+	VAN 1-8	VAN 9-15	TRK	TT	TRA
Brakes											
Steering											
Lights		<u> </u>				,					
Tires, wheels, rims											
Horn											
Windshield and Wipers											
Mirrors											
Emergency Equip, Exits				,							
Coupling Devices							,				
Frame											
Suspension											
Exhaust											
Other	ļ —										

23. **Driver Inspection Violations:**

Medical Card	Medical Waiver	Hours of Service	Drivers License	
Comment:				

Revised 9-16-2011 3

25. Findings: One 14 passenger Van and one 15 passenger bus for this carrier was fully inspected CVS level-5 with one initial violation discovered on the van of no drive line protection, but this was immediately corrected. Neither of the two vehicles had yet provided any passenger transportation servic on a public road prior to this inspection so the violation was not documented in an ASPEN report for upload to the WSP, especially since there had not yet been a violation of RCW or CFR regulatory law.
After the correction was made then an inspection document was generated for both vehicles and these inspection documents will be forwarded to the WSP for data entry reporting. A safety presentation was made to the company owners William Prigmore and Dalila Prigmore. A UTC safety manual was provide for study and future reference.
26. Recommended Action: No further action. Notify the company in writing of the findings by providing a copy of the safety investigation, vehicle inspection report, safety audit or other similar document. Require the company to submit a compliance plan in response to the 15-day letter requirement. Recheck − Safety Investigation (Date:) Revisit to recheck a specific issue (Date:) Send the company a compliance letter. Require a response: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Issue administrative penalties in the amount of \$ ☐ Issue a complaint. ☐ Stop company operations.
27. Is this carrier considered a high risk carrier as a result of this activity? Carrier accident ratio is higher than aggregate ratio. Carrier had an out-of-service ratio 25% or higher at the last vehicle inspection. Carrier had a defect ratio 75% or higher at the last vehicle inspection. Carrier received more than one conditional or unsatisfactory safety investigation rating in more than one of the last four safety investigations (or less than four if four are not completed). Other (please explain):
28. Additional Comments: Carrier is well managed with well maintained vehicles to provide the passenger services as being requested. I recommend authority be issued.
Investigator's Signature: Richard Smith Initial Review By: Date: 31/13
Reviewer's Recommendation: Agre with ruce Ocities
OK-10 155We-

	17/3
Final Review By:	Date:
Reviewer's Recommendation:	
OFFICE	USE ONLY
Date Closed:	By: Me Datson
Company Name: Orion NW	
Assignment #: 12123	
Staff Assigned: 5m;th	



- Corrected Driveline Protection Strap-