Western Region Unit Information

Inspector or State Office: Kuang Chu/UTC				SMART Activity #				
Unit ID: 285		Unit Name:	Trans Mountain Pipeline (Puget Sound) LLC					
Operator ID:	ID: 19585 Or		Operator Name:	Kinder Morgan Canada Inc.				
Jnit Bounda	ries			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Description:				Device:		Latitude:	Lo	ngitude:
15.3 miles of	20" crude oil lii	ne from the C	anada-US border to			I,		
Laurel; 11.6 n	niles of 16" line	e between Lau	rel Station and					
Ferndale Scra	per Trap Statio	on; 27.6 miles	of 20" line between					
Laurel Station	n and Burlington	n Scraper Trap	Station; 9 miles of					
16" line betw	een Burlington	Scraper Statio	on and Anacortes					
Meter Station	n.							
re-Inspection	on				,			
along the chi field inspection	me and drainag on.		for this unit. The issu tank were included in					
along the chir field inspection	me and drainagon. ormation	ge around the	tank were included ii	n the PIM for proba	ble violations.	These items w	vill be ver	ified during th
along the chir field inspection	me and drainagon. ormation	ge around the		n the PIM for proba	ble violations.	These items w	vill be ver	ified during th
along the chi field inspection	me and drainagon. ormation	ge around the	tank were included in	n the PIM for proba	ble violations.	These items w	vill be ver	ified during th
along the chi field inspection	me and drainagon.	nave occurred	in this unit, what has	the PIM for proba	to prevent re	These items w	vill be ver	ified during th
along the ching field inspection aseline Info	me and drainagon.	nave occurred	in this unit, what has	the PIM for proba the operator done ural Change	to prevent re	. These items we currence? (sele	vill be ver	ified during th
along the chingled inspection aseline Info 1) If accident	me and drainagon.	nave occurred oment	in this unit, what has	s the PIM for proba the operator done ural Change anal Training	to prevent re	. These items we currence? (sele	vill be ver	ified during th
along the chilifield inspection asseline Info 1) If accident December 2) Will these	me and drainagon. prmation ts or incidents h Added Equip Removed Equip escribe: actions adequa	nave occurred oment uipment ately mitigate	in this unit, what has Proced Addition	s the OPM for proba the operator done ural Change anal Training	to prevent re	currence? (sele Engineering Ba Other	vill be ver	ified during th
along the chingield inspection aseline Info 1) If accident De 2) Will these	me and drainagen. prmation ts or incidents h Added Equip Removed Equip escribe: actions adequates	nave occurred pment guipment ately mitigate	in this unit, what has in this unit, what has Addition threats? Yes ed in the incidents w	s the operator done ural Change onal Training No ere addressed throu	to prevent re	currence? (sele Engineering Ba Other	vill be ver	ified during th
aseline Info 1) If accident De 2) Will these Pl 3) Have any	me and drainagen. prmation ts or incidents h Added Equip Removed Equip escribe: actions adequate ease Explain: abnormal even	nave occurred oment juipment Gaps identifits occurred in	in this unit, what has Proced Addition threats? Yes ed in the incidents w this unit? Yes	s the operator done ural Change and Training No ere addressed through	to prevent re	currence? (sele Engineering Ba Other dural change.	ect all the	at apply)
along the chinglield inspection aseline Info 1) If accident De 2) Will these Pl 3) Have any	me and drainagen. prmation ts or incidents h Added Equip Removed Equip escribe: actions adequates	nave occurred oment quipment Gaps identifits occurred in or's	in this unit, what has in this unit, what has Addition threats? Yes ed in the incidents w	s the operator done ural Change onal Training No ere addressed through the operator operator of the operator of the operator ope	to prevent re	currence? (sele Engineering Ba Other dural change.	ect all the	at apply)
along the chinfield inspection aseline Info 1) If accident 2) Will these Pl 3) Have any Re	me and drainagen. Drmation Its or incidents has a secribe: actions adequates actions ac	nave occurred oment quipment Gaps identifits occurred in or's	in this unit, what has in this unit, what has Proced Addition threats? Yes ed in the incidents w this unit? Yes	s the operator done ural Change onal Training No ere addressed through the operator operator of the operator of the operator ope	to prevent re	currence? (sele Engineering Ba Other dural change.	ect all the	at apply)
along the chinfield inspection aseline Info 1) If accident 2) Will these Pl 3) Have any Re 4) Commodit	me and drainagen. prmation ts or incidents h Added Equip Removed Equip escribe: actions adequates ease Explain: abnormal event escribe Operators esponse:	nave occurred oment quipment Gaps identifits occurred in or's	in this unit, what has in this unit, what has Proced Addition threats? Yes ed in the incidents w this unit? Yes The relief event at Anamount of relief volume	s the operator done ural Change onal Training No ere addressed through the operator operator of the operator of the operator ope	to prevent re	currence? (sele Engineering Ba Other dural change.	ect all the	at apply)
along the chingield inspection field in field i	me and drainage on. prmation ts or incidents h Added Equip Removed Equip escribe: actions adequate escribe Explain: abnormal event escribe Operator esponse: ty Transported:	nave occurred oment quipment Gaps identifits occurred in or's	in this unit, what has in this unit, what has Proced Addition threats? Yes ed in the incidents whits unit? Yes The relief event at Anamount of relief volumes	the PIM for probation the PIM for probations of the operator done ural Change onal Training No ere addressed through the operator of the oper	to prevent re	currence? (sele Engineering Ba Other dural change.	ect all the	at apply)
along the chinfield inspection field field inspection field field inspection field field inspection field field field inspection field field field inspection field field field field inspection field	me and drainage on. Defination Its or incidents has a continuous and Equipated actions adequate actions adequate actions adequate actions adequate actions actions adequate actions act	nave occurred oment juipment Gaps identifits occurred in or's	in this unit, what has in this unit, what has Proced Addition threats? Yes ed in the incidents whis unit? Yes The relief event at And amount of relief volumes	the PIM for probation the PIM for probations of the operator done ural Change onal Training No ere addressed through the operators. The operators. Gas 1:	to prevent re	currence? (sele Engineering Ba Other dural change.	ect all the arriers Ad	at apply)
along the ching field inspection field field inspection field fiel	me and drainage on. Drmation Its or incidents has or incidents has or incidents has adequate ease Explain: abnormal event escribe Operators on the companion of the companion	nave occurred oment quipment Gaps identifits occurred in or's Crude Oil On (yyyy): 19	in this unit, what has Proced Addition Addition Addition Threats?	the PIM for probation the PIM for probations of the operator done ural Change and Training No ere addressed through the operators. The operators. Gas 1:	to prevent re	currence? (sele Engineering Ba Other dural change.	ect all the arriers Ad	at apply)

<u> </u>	seamless.		
9) Coating Type: Coal Tar Enamel		A character to the char	
10) Overall Coating Quality: P	oor (Fai	ir (Good	Coating Improvement Efforts: (Yes
Describe:			
11) Potential for AC Interference?	(Yes	C No	Has operator tested for stray current?
12) Parallel Construction/Crossing?			olain: AC interference study is currently underway.
13a) [Gas Only] Is there a monitoring			No
Method:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Frequency:			
13b) [Liquid Only] Are there Dead L	egs? (Yes	€ No	
		egs are present wit	hin the Puget Sound system. They have been inspected periodical
14) [Liquid Only] Number of cycles:		per (Da	
Pressure range (psig):	0-840		
15) Has equipment been deleted/a	dded that char	nged the hydraulic	profile of this line? Yes (• No
Explain: Nothin	g has been add	led to the Puget So	ound system since the last UTC inspection in 2009.
16) Level of automation:	ual Control (Local/SCADA (Remote/SCADA
17) Total unit mileage: 64	······································		
18) HCA-Affecting Mileage (% of tot	tal mileage):		
High Population Area (%)):	6.05 miles (9%)	
Other Population Area (%	%):	7.10 miles (11%)	·
Drinking Water USA (%):		30.11 miles (47%)	
Ecological Resource USA	(%):	19.34 miles (30%)	
Ecological Resource USA Commercially Navigable		_	
Commercially Navigable	Waterway (%):	0.12 mile (0.2%)	
Commercially Navigable 19) Indicate the year of the most re	Waterway (%):	0.12 mile (0.2%) and summarize resu	ults, including digs:
Commercially Navigable 19) Indicate the year of the most re Tool Type	Waterway (%):	0.12 mile (0.2%) and summarize resu	ults, including digs: Results Summary
Commercially Navigable 19) Indicate the year of the most re Tool Type Magnetic Flux Leakage	Waterway (%):	0.12 mile (0.2%) Ind summarize result Year 2007	Alts, including digs: Results Summary Minor dents and corrosion, all re-coated without additional repa
Commercially Navigable 19) Indicate the year of the most re Tool Type	Waterway (%):	0.12 mile (0.2%) and summarize resu	ults, including digs: Results Summary
Commercially Navigable 19) Indicate the year of the most re Tool Type Magnetic Flux Leakage	Waterway (%):	0.12 mile (0.2%) Ind summarize result Year 2007	Alts, including digs: Results Summary Minor dents and corrosion, all re-coated without additional repa
Commercially Navigable 19) Indicate the year of the most re Tool Type Magnetic Flux Leakage Geometry	Waterway (%):	0.12 mile (0.2%) Ind summarize result Year 2007	Alts, including digs: Results Summary Minor dents and corrosion, all re-coated without additional repa
Commercially Navigable 19) Indicate the year of the most re Tool Type Magnetic Flux Leakage Geometry ost-Inspection Information	Waterway (%): ecent tool run a e	0.12 mile (0.2%) Ind summarize result Year 2007 2004	Results Summary Minor dents and corrosion, all re-coated without additional repa Minor dents, all re-coated without additional repair.
Commercially Navigable 19) Indicate the year of the most re Tool Type Magnetic Flux Leakage Geometry ost-Inspection Information 20) Using your engineering judgement	Waterway (%): ecent tool run a e	0.12 mile (0.2%) Ind summarize result Year 2007 2004 ow well is the man	Results Summary Minor dents and corrosion, all re-coated without additional repa Minor dents, all re-coated without additional repair. ager addressing this unit's threats:
Commercially Navigable 19) Indicate the year of the most re Tool Type Magnetic Flux Leakage Geometry ost-Inspection Information 20) Using your engineering judgement Corrosion Specific:	ecent tool run a e ent, describe h	0.12 mile (0.2%) Ind summarize result Year 2007 2004 ow well is the man (Fair	Results Summary Minor dents and corrosion, all re-coated without additional repa Minor dents, all re-coated without additional repair. ager addressing this unit's threats:
Commercially Navigable 19) Indicate the year of the most re Tool Type Magnetic Flux Leakage Geometry Dist-Inspection Information 20) Using your engineering judgement Corrosion Specific: Equipment Specific:	ecent tool run a e ent, describe h	0.12 mile (0.2%) Ind summarize result Year 2007 2004 ow well is the man Fair Fair	Results Summary Minor dents and corrosion, all re-coated without additional repair. Minor dents, all re-coated without additional repair. ager addressing this unit's threats: Good Good
Commercially Navigable 19) Indicate the year of the most re Tool Type Magnetic Flux Leakage Geometry Dist-Inspection Information 20) Using your engineering judgement Corrosion Specific: Equipment Specific: Excavation Specific:	ent, describe he Poor Poor	0.12 mile (0.2%) Ind summarize result Year 2007 2004 ow well is the man Fair Fair Fair	Results Summary Minor dents and corrosion, all re-coated without additional repair. Minor dents, all re-coated without additional repair. ager addressing this unit's threats: Good Good Good
Commercially Navigable 19) Indicate the year of the most re Tool Type Magnetic Flux Leakage Geometry Distribution 20) Using your engineering judgement Corrosion Specific: Equipment Specific: Excavation Specific: Human Error Specific:	ent, describe he Poor Poor Poor	o.12 mile (0.2%) Ind summarize result Year 2007 2004 ow well is the man Fair Fair Fair Fair Fair	Results Summary Minor dents and corrosion, all re-coated without additional repa Minor dents, all re-coated without additional repair. ager addressing this unit's threats: Good Good Good Good Good
Commercially Navigable 19) Indicate the year of the most re Tool Type Magnetic Flux Leakage Geometry ost-Inspection Information 20) Using your engineering judgemed Corrosion Specific: Equipment Specific: Excavation Specific: Human Error Specific: Material/Weld Specific:	ent, describe he Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor	0.12 mile (0.2%) Ind summarize result Year 2007 2004 Owwell is the man Fair Fair Fair Fair Fair Fair Fair	Results Summary Minor dents and corrosion, all re-coated without additional repa Minor dents, all re-coated without additional repair. ager addressing this unit's threats: Good Good Good Good Good Good Good
Commercially Navigable 19) Indicate the year of the most re Tool Type Magnetic Flux Leakage Geometry ost-Inspection Information 20) Using your engineering judgement Corrosion Specific: Equipment Specific: Excavation Specific: Human Error Specific:	ent, describe he Poor Poor Poor	O.12 mile (0.2%) Ind summarize result Year 2007 2004 Ow Well is the man Fair Fair Fair Fair Fair Fair Fair Fair Fair	Results Summary Minor dents and corrosion, all re-coated without additional repa Minor dents, all re-coated without additional repair. ager addressing this unit's threats: Good Good Good Good Good

Assessments:

submerged arc weld (SAW) pipe. There has been concerns about the integrity of the long seam weld. This segment of the pipeline will be inspected by running an ILI in 2012. It is highly recommended to rup