Law Office of Richard A. Finnigan

Richard A. Finnigan (360) 956-7001 Brita A. Long (360) 956-7211 2405 Evergreen Park Drive SW Suite B-1 Olympia, Washington 98502 Fax (360) 753-6862 Kathy McCrary, Paralegal (360) 753-7012 Lisa Skelley, Legal Asst. (360) 753-4679

August 24, 2004

Marilyn Showalter, Chairwoman Richard Hemstad, Commissioner Patrick Oshie, Commissioner Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Re: Rainier View Water Company, Inc. – Dockets UW-041270 and UW-041384

Dear Chairwoman Showalter, Commissioner Hemstad and Commissioner Oshie:

This letter is being delivered to you for your consideration at the open meeting of August 25, 2004. I apologize for the late delivery. However, an event occurred today that puts additional emphasis on these filings.

First, Rainier View respectfully requests that the two filings be considered independently, each on its own merits. The first filing is UW-041270 and adds an Irrigation Service as a customer class. As noted by Commission Staff, this filing includes a volume restriction during summer months. This is designed to address capacity issues, although it certainly can have some affect on overall consumption.

The Irrigation Service tariff will give Rainier View an additional tool to address use of water for irrigation purposes where it is unconnected to domestic consumption. These cases are usually watering of homeowner association common grounds, play fields, etc. There have been no complaints from the customer base concerning this tariff change. In addition, there are no

Marilyn Showalter, Chairwoman Richard Hemstad, Commissioner Patrick Oshie, Commissioner August 24, 2004 Page 2

outstanding data requests. This filing is ripe for approval and Rainier View respectfully requests that the filing be approved.

The second filing is under UW-041384. This filing puts into effect a conservation rate in the months of May through September. Commission Staff has submitted a data request to the Company, which the Company has had for less than two weeks. The Company expects to be able to respond, in full, by the end of this week. It is Rainier View's request that this matter be brought back before the Commission at its first meeting in September.

Now to the recent event. The Department of Health has put Rainier View on notice that the Company is in danger of being re-evaluated as to the level of service that it can provide. Attached is the letter from the Department of Health dated August 20, 2004, which was received by the Company on August 24, 2004. Although it may not be obvious at first reading, what this letter does is to tell Rainier View that the capacity it has purchased from the City of Tacoma may be found to be needed for existing customers and not be allowed to serve additional customers. This would place Rainier View in the position of not being able to honor its contracts with developers that funded the purchase of capacity from the City of Tacoma, which in turn means that Rainier View would not be able to honor its contract with the City of Tacoma, which in turn could mean that Rainier View would be out of compliance for even existing customers.

The pressure complaints referenced in the Department of Health letter relate to an event over a short timeframe duration, where record temperatures and the Company losing one of its primary pumps due to an equipment malfunction combined to create the "perfect storm" which caused low pressure in a few areas for a short duration. Although Rainier View does not believe that the incident in question should be cause to change the design criteria for Rainier View's water systems, the Department of Health apparently feels otherwise.

The Department of Health puts a premium on conservation efforts. Rainier View believes that the conservation tariff it has proposed can produce changes in customer behavior. The reason that Rainier View chose the 3,000 cubic foot threshold is that a review of customer usage demonstrates that the customers using more than 3,000 cubic feet in a month constitute 24% of the customer base, but use 59% of the water consumed. Further, the months of May through

Marilyn Showalter, Chairwoman Richard Hemstad, Commissioner Patrick Oshie, Commissioner August 24, 2004 Page 3

September were targeted because historical usage shows that these are the critical water usage months. Please see the attached table.

It is critical that this matter be brought back before the Commission at the earliest opportunity so that the Department of Health is assured the Company is doing all it can to address system design issues.

Thank you very much for your consideration of these items.

RICHARD A. FINNIGAN

RAF/km Enclosures

cc: Doug Fisher Vanny Kermode



STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

20435 72nd Ave. S., Suite 200, K17-12+ Kent, Washington 98032 -2358

August 20, 2004

BOB BLACKMAN SOUTHWOOD WATER SYSTEM P O BOX 44427 TACOMA WA 98444

Subject: Southwood/Sound Water System (ID# 82844)

Pierce County Capacity Review

Dear Mr. Blackman:

As you know, we have received some low pressure complaints from customers on the Southwood/Sound Water System, particularly in the Silver Creek development. These complaints indicate that the system may not be operating in accordance with the approved design. We have discussed the fact that residents within the Silver Creek development typically use more water than the maximum day demand value used in the approved system design. As I'm sure you are aware, the water system must either work with the customers to reduce the usage, through conservation or other measures, to the approved design level, or increase the design criteria.

To better determine whether or not the system is operating in accordance with the approved design an update of the current system capacity and connection status is needed. The 2000 Water System Plan approval letter, dated September 13, 2002, included a "Summary of Existing and Potential Future ERUs" table that was meant to help you track and summarize capacity allocations. Please send me a completed copy of the table by September 18, 2004 so we can begin to evaluate the current system status. Another copy of the table is enclosed for your convenience.

Based on the Water System Plan amendment most recently approved on June 4, 2003, the system would have the capacity to serve 13,306 ERUs upon completion of the Tacoma intertie project. However, we will not be increasing the number of approved connections until we can better understand the current connection and capacity status of the system. The table mentioned above may be able to accomplish this.

We also recently met with a few of the customers in the Silver Creek development, at their request, to discuss their complaints. After the meeting it seemed apparent to me that there may be some provisions in their CC&Rs that will make it very difficult to bring customer usage closer to the current design criteria. You may want to review your customer/developer agreement between Rainier View and the developer. If there are any specific provisions limiting water usage per connection to a certain value (i.e. the design value) in your customer agreement, you may have additional leverage to reinforce conservation practices.

O

Southwood/Sound Water System August 20, 2004 Page 2

Rainier View's recent request for approval of a conservation rate structure from the Utilities and Transportation Commission is definitely a step in the right direction. I would appreciate it if you would continue to keep me informed as to the status of this request.

If you have any questions or concerns, please give me a call at (253) 395-6762.

Sincerely,

Jolyn Leslie, PE Regional Engineer

NW Drinking Water Operations

Enclosure

cc: Tacoma Pierce County Health Department John Ryding – DOH

2
0
Ó
4

# OF USAGE U	776,602,024	316	1,374,146,986	٠	-
# OF	DECEMBER		52,749,708	13,302	DECEMBER
# OF USAGE USAGE USAGE MONTH CUST GALLONS GALLONS 170	NOVEMBER		68,689,888	13,237	NOVEMBER
MONTH CUST GALLONS	OCTOBER		74,654,888	13,206	OCTOBER
# OF USAGE USAGE USAGE MONTH CUST GALLONS (GALLO 170 FEBRUARY 13,318 102,199,240 199 MARCH 13,460 88,644,732 194 APRIL 13,578 123,959,308 546 JUNE 13,763 256,959,692 651 JULY 13,763 256,959,692 456 AUGUST 0 100 METERED USAGE USA	SEPTEMBER		122,995,884	13,298	SEPTEMBER
# OF USAGE USAGE USAGE MONTH CUST GALLONS (GALLO 170 FEBRUARY 13,318 102,199,240 FEBRUARY 13,362 74,393,088 199 MARCH 13,460 88,644,732 194 APRIL 13,578 123,959,308 1389 MAY 13,606 134,611,576 546 JUNE 13,763 256,959,692 651 JULY 6 13,763 256,959,692	AUGUST		181,234,715	13,250	AUGUST
# OF USAGE U	JULY		265,288,747	13,151	JULY
# OF USAGE U	13,763		222,253,240	13,127	JUNE
# OF USAGE U	13,606		151,011,476	12,953	MAY
# OF USAGE U	13,578		75,461,980	12,525	APRIL
# OF USAGE USAGE USAGE MONTH CUST GALLONS (GALLON 170) ## OF USAGE USAG	13,460		73,102,040	12,257	MARCH
# OF DAIL) METERED USAGE USAGE MONTH CUST GALLONS (GALLO 13,318 102,199,240	13,362	170	64,609,996	12,290	FEBRUARY
# OF METERED USAGE MONTH CUST GALLONS	13,318		74,844,132	12,178	JANUARY
USAGE	CUST	(GALLONS)	USAGE GALLONS (GALLONS)	CUST	MONTH
	METERED	USAGE		METERED	
	# OF	DAILY		# OF	
GE AVERAGE		AVERAGE			