1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	BEFORE THE	
9	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	
10	In the Matter of the Petition of	
11	PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.	Docket No. UE-011170
12	for an Order Authorizing Deferral of Certain Electric Energy Supply Costs. PETITION TO INTERVENE OF MICROCHIP TECHNOLOGY, INCORPORATED	
13		
14		
15	1. Name and address of petitioner:	
16	Microchip Technology, Incorporated ("Mic	rochip") is a Delaware corporation that is
17	qualified to do business, and is doing business in the State of Washington. Microchip's addresses is	
18	2355 West Chandler Blvd., Chandler, AZ 85224-6131.	
19	2. Name and address of attorney represent	ting petitioner:
20	Harvard D. Spigal	
21	Harvard P. Spigal Preston Gates & Ellis LLP	
22	222 SW Columbia, Suite 1400 Portland, OR 97201-6131	
23	Business: (503) 228-3200 Fax: (503) 248-9085	
24	3. Identity of petitioner:	
25	Microchip develops and manufactures specialized semiconductor products used for a wide	
26	variety of embedded control applications. Microchin is headquartered in Chandler. Arizona, and ha	

variety of embedded control applications. Microchip is headquartered in Chandler, Arizona, and has

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2526

operations near Bangkok, Thailand. Microchip employs approximately 2,950 people worldwide and has sales offices throughout Asia, Europe, Japan and the Americas. Microchip acquired a semiconductor manufacturing plant in Puyallup, Washington, in July 2000. Microchip plans to commence production in Puyallup in December 2002. Microchip is a customer of Puget Sound Energy, Inc. ("PSE"). When in full production, Microchip's Puyallup facility will operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week, and will take approximately 12 MW of electricity under Schedule 49.

4. Petitioner's participation in other WUTC cases:

design facilities in Mountain View, California, and Bangalore, India; semiconductor fabrication

facilities in Tempe and Chandler, Arizona, and Puyallup, Washington; and assembly and test

Microchip has not participated in prior Commission proceedings.

5. Petitioner's interest in this proceeding:

PSE sells power to Microchip. When production commences, PSE will sell power to Microchip under Schedule 49.

6. Issues To Be Raised:

PSE filed a Petition for an Order Authorizing Deferral of Certain Electric Energy Supply

Costs and Approving a Tariff Rider for Recovery of Certain Electric Energy Supply Costs.

Microchip believes that the Commission should not approve the proposed Order Authorizing

Deferral of Certain Electric Energy Supply Costs and, therefore, the Commission should not approve the Tariff Rider for Recovery of Certain Electric Supply Costs.

7. Testimony and Witnesses:

Microchip has not decided at this time whether to submit written testimony or exhibits or call witnesses, but reserves the right to do so. Microchip intends to cross-examine the witnesses called by other parties and to submit written argument.

1	8. The undersigned submits this Petition to Intervene and requests authorization to
2	participate in this proceeding.
3	
4	DATED this 31 st day of September, 2001.
5	PRESTON GATES & ELLIS LLP
6	
7	
8	By Harvard P. Spigal, wsbA # 03533
9	Of Attorneys for Petitioner Microchip Technology, Incorporated
10	
11	
12	STATE OF OREGON)) ss.
13	COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH)
14	I, Harvard P. Spigal, affirm that this Petition is true and complete to the best of my
15 16	knowledge and belief.
17	knowledge and benef.
18	
19	Harvard P. Spigal
20	Of Attorneys for Petitioner
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

26