

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2012) (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission				
Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>13-04-122</u> Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1).	2; or			
<b>Title of rule and other identifying information:</b> (Describe Subject) This rulemaking proposes amending rules and adopting rules in WAC 480-123, universal service, to implement legislation establishing a state universal communications service program. Docket UT-131239.				
Hearing location(s):  Commission Hearing Room 206 Second Floor, Richard Hemstad Building 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W. Olympia, WA 98504-7250  Date: May 15, 2014 Time: 1:30 PM	Submit written comments to:  Name: Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission  Address: 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W.  PO Box 47250 Olympia, WA 98504-7250  e-mail records@utc.wa.gov. Please include: "Docket UT-131239" in your comments.  fax (360) 586-8203 by (date) May 5, 2014			
Date of intended adoption: May 15, 2014 (Note: This is <b>NOT</b> the <b>effective</b> date)	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact  Debbie Aguilar by May 1, 2014  TTY (360) 586-8203 or (360) 664-1132			
The proposal would implement conditions for Commission oversigh not limited to, criteria for determining eligibility and information req Advisory Board. Adoption of the rules would provide transitional st telecommunications carriers whose customers, absent state funding, disruption to local telephone service. The Commission plans no cha 120-399 and other rules may be modified or eliminated pursuant to a Reasons supporting proposal: See above.	uirements, determining funding levels, and operation of an ate funding over a five year period to small eligible may be subject to significant local rate increases or potential nges to existing rules although some rules, including WAC 480-			
<b>Statutory authority for adoption:</b> RCW 80.01.040(4), RCW 80.36.630, RCW 80.36.650, RCW 80.36.660, RCW 80.36.670, RCW 80.36.680, RCW 80.36.690, and RCW 80.36.700	Statute being implemented: N/A			
Is rule necessary because of a:  Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION:  Yes No Yes No Yes No	OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED  DATE: April 02, 2014			
April 2, 2014  NAME (type or print) Steven V. King  SIGNATURE	TIME: 9:25 AM  WSR 14-08-094			
TITLE Executive Director and Secretary				

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:				
None				
Name of pr	oponent: (person or organization	) Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission	☐ Private ☐ Public ☑ Governmental	
Name of ag	ency personnel responsible fo		-	
5 (1)	Name	Office Location	Phone	
	Brian Thomas	1300 S. Evergreen Pk. Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 359-1049	
	onSteven V. King	1300 S. Evergreen Pk. Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1115	
	Steven V. King	1300 S. Evergreen Pk. Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1115	
Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW or has a school district fiscal impact statement been prepared under section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?				
☐ Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement or school district fiscal impact statement.				
A copy of the statement may be obtained by contacting:  Name: Address:				
	phone ( ) fax ( ) e-mail			
☑ No. Explain why no statement was prepared.				
The proposed rules will not result in or impose more than minor costs. Because there will not be more than minor increase in costs resulting from the proposed rule changes, a SBEIS is not required under RCW 19.85.030(1).				
Is a cost-be	enefit analysis required under R	RCW 34.05.328?		
☐ Yes	A preliminary cost-benefit analy Name: Address:	sis may be obtained by contacting:		
	phone ( ) fax ( ) e-mail			
⊠ No:	Please explain:			
	sion is not an agency to which RCW RCW 34.05.328(5).	34.05.328 applies. The proposed rules are not significant legislat	ive rules of the sort	