

## ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON

103 E Holly Street #310 • Bellingham WA 98225 • Phone (360) 676-2037 • Fax (360) 676-2049

July 12, 2005

Ms. Carol Washburn WA Utilities and Transportation Commission P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Re:

Whatcom Community College v. Qwest Communications

Docket No. UT-050770

Dear Ms. Washburn:

Enclosed for filing is an original and 19 copies of Complainant's Reply in the above-referenced matter. Please call us with any questions.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

WENDY BOHLKE Senior Counsel

**Enclosures** 

: Douglas Owens/enclosure

In the Matter of the Complaint of:

Complainant

Respondent

Whatcom Community College,

Owest Corporation.

4

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

22

21

2324

25

26

No. UT-050770

REPLY TO ANSWER AND MOTION TO STRIKE, AND MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT

No. 01-030//

Comes now Whatcom Community College (WCC), represented by Rob McKenna, Attorney General for the State of Washington, and Wendy Bohlke, Senior Counsel, and submits its Reply to Respondent's Answer, Affirmative Defenses and Motion to Strike submitted June 20, 2005. WCC hereby replies to Qwest's Answer as follows:

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

1.2 In reply to Qwest's statement in its Answer in paragraphs 1.2 and 1.3, that Qwest Corporation has a service area that includes complainant Whatcom Community College, not the entity designated as Qwest Communication by WCC, WCC hereby Moves to Amend its Complaint and asserts that Quest Corporation should be the designated Respondent, and notes that Qwest's name has changed from time to time over the past 20 years, making it a challenge for WCC and likely other consumers to know who to contact in the event of question or dispute. As Qwest noted in its paragraph 1.3, its predecessor companies were named U.S. West Communications, Inc. and Pacific Northwest Bell Telephone Company. WCC asserts that Quest Corporation and its predecessors should be held accountable.

In reply to Qwest's Answer in its paragraph 3.2, WCC asserts that since it received no service on the lines that Qwest or its predecessors billed WCC for, Qwest's and its predecessors' charges were excessive and exorbitant since they were levied for Off Premises Extension (OPX) circuit services that did not exist, were not functional, and not capable of carrying voice communications.

- 4.3 In response to Qwest's reply to paragraph 4.3 of the complaint, Qwest has moved to strike the paragraph and its assertions. WCC objects to the motion to strike and seeks permission to put forward its evidence at a full hearing that Qwest has previously agreed to make refund for charges gained for services not provided to other consumers, based on records other than those available from Qwest; this will demonstrate that the circumstances giving rise to WCC's complaint here in is not an isolated incident.
- 4.5 In response to Qwest's motion to strike in connection with its offer to compromise, WCC asserts that if the evidence in the other dispute is relevant to this one, that evidence should be allowed to be considered. In moving to strike, Qwest seems to be trying to move the focus to courtesy refund to gloss over the confusing billing information.
- 4.6 In response to Qwest's motion to strike paragraph 4.6, WCC asserts that paragraph 6 sets out the factual assertion that charges were levied for no services provided, which is excessive and exorbitant. The example given to illustrate the assertion is simply an example.

  WCC asserts that, given the factual disputes asserted by Qwest, this matter should be set for a hearing before the full Utilities and Transportation Commission.
- 5.2 WCC asserts that its motion to amend its complaint to name Qwest Corporation as respondent and that its requested relief should be granted.

predecessors assessed for over two years prior to May 18, 2005; but it asserts that the relief requested is not barred, since the relief requested is not for recovery of asserted overcharges, but for reimbursement for monies paid where no services were provided at all, which WCC asserts is excessive and exorbitant.  Dated this 12 day of July, 2005.  Respectfully submitted,  ROB MCKENNA Attorney General of Washington  By WENDYK, BOHLKE Senior Counsel WSBA # 8085	1	6.1 WCC acknowledges that the relief it seeks relates to charges that Qwest and its
requested is not barred, since the relief requested is not for recovery of asserted overcharges, but for reimbursement for monies paid where no services were provided at all, which WCC asserts is excessive and exorbitant.  Dated this	2	predecessors assessed for over two years prior to May 18, 2005; but it asserts that the relief
for reimbursement for monies paid where no services were provided at all, which WCC asserts is excessive and exorbitant.  Dated this 12 day of July, 2005.  Respectfully submitted,  ROB MCKENNA Attorney General of Washington  WENDYN, BOHLKE Senior Congsel WSBA # 8085	3	requested is not barred, since the relief requested is not for recovery of asserted overcharges, but
cxcessive and exorbitant.  Dated this 12 day of July, 2005.  Respectfully submitted,  ROB MCKENNA Attorney General of Washington  By WENDYK, BOHLKE Senior Compsel WSBA # 8085	4	
Dated this 12 day of July, 2005.  Respectfully submitted,  ROB MCKENNA Attorney General of Washington  By WENDYK, BOHLKE Senior Congsel WSBA # 8085  WSBA # 8085	5	for reimbursement for monies paid where no services were provided at all, which WCC asserts is
Respectfully submitted,  ROB MCKENNA Attorney General of Washington  By WENDYK, BOHLKE Senior Counsel WSBA # 8085  Respectfully submitted,  ROB MCKENNA Attorney General of Washington  By WENDYK, BOHLKE Senior Counsel WSBA # 8085	6	excessive and exorbitant.
ROB MCKENNA Attorney General of Washington  By WENDYK, BOHLKE Senior Counsel WSBA # 8085  15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	7	Dated this day of July, 2005.
ROB MCKENNA Attorney General of Washington  By WENDYK, BOHLKE Senior Cotusel WSBA # 8085  WSBA # 8085	8	Respectfully submitted,
ROB MCKENNA Attorney General of Washington  By WENDYK, BOHLKE Senior Counsel WSBA # 8085  15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	9	
Attorney General of Washington  By WENDYK, BOHLKE Senior Counsel WSBA # 8085  By WENDYK, BOHLKE Senior Counsel WSBA # 8085	10	ROB MCKENNA
By WENDYK, BOHLKE Senior Counsel WSBA # 8085  16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	11	
WENDYK, BOHLKE Senior Counsel WSBA # 8085  15  16  17  18  19  20  21  22  23	12	1, /2
Senior Counsel WSBA # 8085  15  16  17  18  19  20  21  22  23	13	
15   16   17   18   19   20   21   22   23	14	Senior Counsel
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	15	WBDIT II 0003
18 19 20 21 22 23	16	
19 20 21 22 23	17	
20 21 22 23	18	
21 22 23	19	
22 23	20	
23	21	
	22	
24	23	
II	24	
25	25	
26		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	PROOF OF SERVICE
6	I certify that I served a copy of this document on all parties or their counsel of record on
7	the date below as follows:
8	US Mail Postage Prepaid
9	Legal Messenger to
10	State Campus Delivery
11	Hand delivered by
12	I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that the
13	foregoing is true and correct.
14	DATED this 12 <sup>th</sup> day of July, 2005, at Bellingham, WA.
15 16	Virginia J. Smith
17	VIRGINIA J. SM(TH
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	