0310 1 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION 2 COMMISSION 3 WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND ) 4 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, ) ) 5 Complainant, ) DOCKET NO. UE-090134 ) UG-090135 б vs. ) UG-060518 ) 7 AVISTA CORPORATION d/b/a ) Volume VII Pages 310 - 358 AVISTA UTILITIES, ) 8 ) Respondent. ) 9 ) 10 11 A prehearing conference in the above matter 12 was held on September 30, 2009, at 5:30 p.m., at the City 13 of Spokane Council Chambers, 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, Washington, before Administrative Law Judge 14 15 ADAM TOREM. 16 17 The parties were present as follows: 18 AVISTA CORPORATION, by DAVID J. MEYER, Vice President and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and 19 Governmental Affairs, East 1411 Mission Avenue, Spokane, Washington 99220-3727; telephone, (509) 495-4316. 20 WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION 21 COMMISSION, by GREGORY J. TRAUTMAN, Assistant Attorney General, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Post Office Box 40128, Olympia, Washington 98504; telephone, 22 (360) 664-1187. 23 24 Raymond H. Groth, CSR 25 Court Reporter

PUBLIC COUNSEL, by SIMON J. ffITCH, Assistant Attorney General, 800 5th Avenue, Suite 2000, Seattle, Washington 98104; telephone (206) 389-2055. COMMISSIONERS: Chairman Jeff Goltz, Patrick б J. Oshie, Philip B. Jones AVISTA REPRESENTATIVES: Dennis Vermillion, President; Kelly Norwood, Vice-president State and Federal Regulation 

0312

1 PROCEEDINGS MR. GOLTZ: Good evening. I'll call this 2 3 meeting of the Washington Utilities Transportation 4 Commission to order. My name is Jeff Goltz, I'm Chairman of the Commission. With me, to my left, is Pat Oshie, 5 б Commissioner, and with me to the right is Phil Jones, who 7 is a Spokane native, and also a Commissioner. Also up 8 here is Adam Torem, who is Administrative Law Judge. 9 Judge Torem will be running this hearing this evening, 10 and I'll turn it over to him in just a minute. 11 I did want to say just a couple of 12 things. First of all, I wanted to thank the City of 13 Spokane for making available to us their council chambers. And, also, most of all, thanks to all of you 14 15 who have decided to make time in your day to come out and 16 give statements at this public hearing. 17 These public hearings are a very 18 important part of the rate hearing process. Next week in 19 Olympia, for a number of days, we will have a more technical adjudicative hearing with lawyers presenting 20 21 evidence, and witnesses being sworn and giving testimony. And after that we will go into deliberations and make 22 23 decisions on this rate case. But these public hearings 24 are a very important part of the process, and always bring a different and new, sometimes unique perspective 25

1 to the issues that we're dealing with.

This afternoon, earlier this afternoon we 2 3 had a hearing, another hearing out here in the Spokane 4 Valley where we heard from a number of citizens who gave 5 their presentations, made their comments, gave their testimony, and gave their perspectives on how they think б 7 we should resolve this case, and, also, maybe just the 8 approach that we should -- or how we should look at the 9 issues that are going to be before us. At this time I'd 10 like to turn it over to Judge Torem, who will introduce 11 to you the process for this evening, introduce some other 12 participants in the hearing process, and then commence 13 hearing your statements. So, thanks again for coming 14 JUDGE TOREM: Thank you, Chairman Goltz. 15 Let me identify the case, again, for the record. This is 16 the Avista rate case, it has three different docket 17 numbers. The first is UE-090134, that's the electric 18 rate case. The second docket number is UG-090135, that's the natural gas case. And, also, consolidated since 19 spring of this year with these rate cases has been the 20 21 matter regarding Avista's decoupling mechanism, it has its own Docket Number UG-060518. Again, for the record, 22 we're convened on Wednesday evening, September 30th, 2009 23 24 at the City Hall in Spokane, and it's now a little after 25 5:30.

1 As the Chairman said, my role this evening is to facilitate the Commissioner's hearing your 2 3 public comments. Their role is simply to listen tonight, 4 it's not an interactive question and answer from the 5 bench, unfortunately, but, as you can see, your comments б are going to become part of the record, we have a court 7 reporter here taking down your comments. I'm probably 8 speaking just about at the rate that's a bit too fast, so 9 if you can speak slowly and annunciate, it will help the 10 court reporter get everything down and make it part of 11 our record.

12 Back in January Avista requested an 13 increase to its electric and natural gas rates, that was on January 23rd when they filed proposed revisions to 14 15 their tariffs. The electric tariff is WNU28, and the gas 16 tariff is WNU29. As happens in these cases, to keep the 17 rates from going into effect, the Commission ordered in 18 February that the tariffs be suspended, and that we hold this hearing, not only these public comment hearings 19 today, but also some evidentiary hearings, that being 20 21 next Tuesday afternoon in Olympia, and what the Commission does is determine if the request for the rate 22 increases would create fair, just, reasonable and 23 sufficient rates. That's what our statutory obligation 24 is under Washington law. 25

1 Now, the original requests, if you reviewed it, from January, was Avista seeking \$69.8 2 3 million more in electric rates, a net increase of 8.6 4 percent, and \$4.9 million more, or a 2.4 percent increase 5 to natural gas rates. Since that time Avista and the б other parties to the case have negotiated a partial 7 settlement that they're going to present for the 8 Commissioners to review, and, perhaps, approve, they've 9 reduced the request to down from the 69.8 to \$38.6 10 million in electric rates, and down from \$4.9 million on 11 gas to \$3.14 million. 12 Since that was filed, the partial 13 settlement on September 4th, Avista has made some additional adjustments, and the latest numbers I have is 14 15 that the rate increase is seeking thirty-seven and a 16 half million dollars, a nine and a half percent, or a net 17 3 percent increase in electric rates. So, again, they're 18 seeking a net of the 3 percent, instead of the original 8.6 percent increase on electric. The natural gas number 19 is 2.85 million, approximately a one and a half percent 20 increase in gas rates. Those numbers may yet change 21 again, but at hearing next week on Tuesday afternoon the 22 23 parties will present their proposed settlement, and the 24 following couple of days, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday,

25 the disputed issues will be before the Commissioners, and

just because the parties have settled, don't feel like it's a done deal. The parties have their settlement, but the Commissioners are the ultimate decision-making authority.

5 Now, the Commission's hearing process, 6 obviously, is a long one. We started with the filing in 7 January, the ultimate date to have a decision out is mid 8 December. So, we expect that sometime in early to mid 9 December you'll have the Commission's decision. Now, all 10 the evidence has been submitted to the Commission in a 11 written format, and tonight your public comments help the 12 Commission see, not only what the company is asking for, 13 what the other parties to the case are asking, but what the impact on you might be of any rate increase, and 14 15 that's equally important.

16 This afternoon we heard some poignant 17 comments, and some different points of view, and I hope 18 you'll be willing to share, frankly, in the minutes provided tonight, your views and how this impacts 19 yourselves, your companies, and your homes. So, tonight, 20 21 give the Commissioners the information they can have to determine if the proposed rate increase not only keeps 22 23 the company going, but what it does to the people here in 24 Spokane to whether it's in the public interest.

25 The other parties to the case have some

1 representatives here tonight. I'm going to ask the 2 Counsel that are here in the room to make their formal 3 appearances so you can see who they are. They're going 4 to state their name on the record, here, and tell you who 5 they represent. As you follow along, not only will you б meet the representatives from Avista, but also two 7 different Assistant Attorneys General that have two 8 distinct purposes in the case for the Commission. And 9 I'll check again if our other intervening parties have 10 representatives tonight, but I don't expect that they do. 11 Let me start by calling on David Meyer, 12 from Avista, to identify himself, and the other people 13 here from the company tonight. 14 MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm

15 David Meyer, for the record, and I'm appearing on behalf 16 of Avista. We welcome you as our customers. And I'd 17 like to just introduce, if I might, two individuals, 18 Mr. Dennis Vermillion, if you'll stand up, he's the President of the company, and then Kelly Norwood, who is 19 Vice-president of the Department of Rates and 20 21 Regulations. We also have half-a-dozen other people who are here. I won't introduce them, but during a break or 22 23 at the end of the hearing, if you have questions of any sort, we're here to answer them. Thank you for 24 25 attending.

1 JUDGE TOREM: Let me call on Mr. ffitch, from the Public Counsel Office, to introduce himself. 2 3 MR. ffITCH: Thank you, Your Honor, and 4 Commissioners. I'm Simon ffitch, I'm an Assistant 5 Attorney General, and I'm appearing on behalf of the б Public Counsel Office as a representative of the 7 customers of Avista. Our office is established under 8 statute to appear in commission cases, and represent the 9 interests of customers and regulated facilities. We have 10 provided an informational handout, which is available on 11 the front table in the lobby. Thank you, Your Honor. 12 JUDGE TOREM: All right. And, 13 Mr. Trautman. MR. TRAUTMAN: Thank you, Your Honor, and 14 15 Commissioners. I'm Greg Trautman, Assistant Attorney 16 General, I represent the Commission Staff, which is 17 another advocacy party entirely separate from the 18 Commission, and we also, along with the other parties to the case, are reviewing and making recommendations on the 19 company's request for a rate increase. 20 21 JUDGE TOREM: Thank you, gentlemen. The other parties that are participating for their own 22 interests in this case are the industrial customers, The 23 24 Northwest Utilities, they are interested in electric

25 rates; The Northwest Industrial Gas Users, obviously,

they're on the natural gas side of the case. Another entity called The Energy Project, they focus on low income or fixed income customers, and their issues. And then The Northwest Energy Coalition, focusing on renewable resources and conservation issues. Are any of their attorneys or other representatives here in Spokane tonight? Okay.

8 So, you have before you tonight for 9 questions, perhaps, depending on when we get done, the 10 Avista Company members will certainly be able to stay. 11 If we're done between 7:00 and 7:15 we'll have a few 12 moments for flight arrangements for the folks that are 13 heading back to Seattle on the plane tonight.

14 Members of the public, tonight really is 15 your opportunity to tell people in person what you think 16 about the proposed settlement, if you know anything about 17 that, the proposed rate increase, as it now stands for 18 the decoupling pilot project, which you should have seen on your bills the last two, two and a half years. If you 19 have questions, as you came in you signed in on a sheet, 20 21 if you wanted to comment, and with our public information folks, they also have some handouts outside, and the 22 23 parties that are represented in our consumer information 24 staff, those are the folks you can ask questions to tonight and get information. 25

1 And I do want to announce that if we do run past 7:30 tonight, and I don't think we will, given 2 3 the number of folks here, I may have to excuse some of 4 the Commissioners to make sure they catch their flight. 5 I'll certainly be here until we're done, as will б Commissioner Jones. And, if that's necessary, we'll 7 review transcripts later of any comments that they missed 8 in person. So, just in case we run long, I don't want 9 anybody to think folks are being rude or bored, it's a 10 question of cost savings and getting people back rather 11 than overnight. 12 Now, tonight I don't think we'll have 13 that issue, but I'm going to give people a pretty generous allocation of three minutes, and they know it 14

from earlier this afternoon I've been judicious in letting people run on when they need to, but I'll have some signs up here that give you an idea of how long you've got left, and I'll give them to you at one minute, 30 seconds, something like that. If you see red cardboard go up, it's the universal stop. So, at that point you'll wrap up, please.

Those of you that have spoken in public before do know, though, that three minutes is a lot of time to present your thoughts. If you've got a written script that you're reading from, sometimes that's easier,

1 but if you want to hand in a copy of the written script 2 as a written comment, we'd love to have that, as well. 3 Those of you that don't want to comment tonight, or maybe 4 haven't yet sent in a comment, we had earlier in our 5 press release said that tomorrow, October 1st, would be б the deadline for written comments. We've extended that 7 to next Friday, October the 9th. So, if you're going to 8 submit a written comment, so long as it's postmarked 9 October the 9th, we'll be able to accept it and make it 10 part of the record. You certainly can send in an 11 electronic comment up until close of business on the 9th, 12 as well. And I'll give you the address to mail those 13 comments, and the address for our web site for electronic comments at the close of tonight's hearing. 14 15 All right. I'm going to ask if there's 16 anything else, Mr. Meyer, that you want to add to the 17 summary of the numbers of what I gave earlier? 18 MR. MEYER: No. I think that summarizes, nicely. Thank you, Your Honor. 19 20 JUDGE TOREM: All right. Then, we're 21 ready to take the public comments. And those of you that signed up on the sheet, and whether you put a "yes" or a 22 23 "maybe," if you're going to comment I'm going to ask you 24 to take the oath of witness. So, I'm going to give that to you, and we'll all stand and raise your right hand, 25

0322

1 and I'll swear in the group that's here.

2 Do each of you solemnly swear or affirm 3 that the testimony you will provide to the Commission 4 this evening will be the truth? 5 PARTICIPANTS: Yes. б JUDGE TOREM: Thank you, all. I'll call 7 the first three, and then give you kind of an idea who is 8 coming next. Margaret Herzog will be our first 9 commenter, and I'll ask you to come to the microphone, 10 here, Ms. Herzog, and then Nima Michael, and, perhaps, 11 Patricia Torngren, if she wishes to -- she's got a 12 question mark, here. 13 When you give your name, if you'll spell it for the court reporter, first and last, and tell us --14 15 if you want us to put your address, that's fine, if you 16 just want to tell us what city or region of the city 17 you live in, that would be helpful, and if you're a 18 customer of Avista, that kind of preliminary information, and then I'll start your three minutes. Go ahead, Ms. 19 20 Herzog. MS. HERZOG: I'm Margaret Herzog, 21 H-e-r-z-o-g, I live in Spokane. I've been an Avista 22 23 customer all my life, so, that's a while. I'm taking 24 some information from the flyer that came in the bill 25 that -- the last bill that we received, and I notice the

numbers are not quite exactly the same as what was
printed in my most recent information, so please excuse
that. But my information, based on that, said Avista
claims to need 19.4 percent rate hikes, 16.7 for
electric -- I'm talking residential -- 2.7 for gas. This
fact is listed in the flyer that accompanied our last
bill.

8 Let's acknowledge some other pertinent 9 facts regarding Avista customers who live in this area, 10 facts not mentioned in the Avista flyer. According to 11 the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the unemployment rate for 12 our area, Spokane County, is 8.5, and that does not take 13 into account those who are part-time employed, or those who have given up the search for employment. From the 14 15 Census Department for the year 2008 Spokane County has a 16 per capita income of \$19,233 per year. The persons 17 living below poverty level are 13.1 percent of that 18 population. Persons over the age of 65 are 12.8 percent of Spokane County's population. Persons under the age --19 or dependents under the age of 5 is 6.5 percent. These 20 21 statistics are just numbers, unless they're held up against the requests of Avista. 22 23 First, Avista is requesting an 11.8

24 return on equity. This at a time when most of the 25 retired customers cited above, who saw their hard-earned

1 retirement funds such as IRAs slashed in half by the 2 economic downturn. And we were also notified recently in 3 the next three years there will be no COLA increase on 4 Social Security. Yet, in May of this year, the Spokesman 5 Review reported that in the past 18 months Avista had б raised its dividend three times with more increases on 7 the way. My question, being, are Avista investors immune 8 from the reality of the U.S. economic situation? And, 9 isn't it true, that when investors buy stock they 10 generate money for capital projects? Yet, Avista is 11 asking the ratepayer to foot the bill of \$3.1 million to 12 replace aging infrastructure. And that's generating 13 plants, power lines, poles, substations, right out of 14 here.

15 Incidentally, Avista customers were asked 16 for exactly the same thing last year. And at that time 17 my objection, then, as now, is that any well-run business 18 should have maintenance and operation as a line item on their business ledgers. This is a normal part of running 19 a company, and yet Avista, a monopoly in the City of 20 21 Spokane, expects their customers to assume this maintenance item. Furthermore, Avista wants to transfer 22 contract obligations from the corporation to its 23 24 customers for the purchase of electricity from the Lancaster Power Plant in Idaho. Again, isn't this just 25

1 part of doing business if you're a power company? 2 Avista says that it wants its customers 3 to ante up \$1.5 million to compensate the Coeur d'Alene 4 Tribe. Is it legal to, retroactively, charge the Avista 5 customer for Avista's contract errors? Isn't this б retroactive rate-making. 7 Another point. There's a request for 8 \$1.6 million to clean up a Montana coal plant in 9 compliance with the Mercury Emission Laws. Why are 10 Washington customers being held responsible for past 11 and/or present Montana problems? Hasn't EPA required 12 scrubbers for these emissions all along? They certainly 13 require the waste and energy plant here in Spokane to filter or scrub their emissions. 14 15 Avista is asking \$3.8 million to 16 relicense the Spokane River's hydroelectric facilities. 17 Surely they realize that this licensing was coming to. I 18 know I've read if for two years. Avista should have planned for this expense just as every homeowner has to 19 plan for yearly property tax or regular auto licensing. 20 21 According to Avista's annual report, the DMS, Demand Site Management, was the first of its kind in 22 the U.S. This decoupling surcharge gave Avista -- and I 23 24 found differing numbers, here, I'm just taking one that I 25 researched -- \$1.5 million. The Avista customers only

1 got 250,000 for using less energy. As the newspaper 2 said, quote, "Where's the incentive for customers to be 3 economical if the surcharge is greater than what they're 4 spending? How is this surcharge doing anything but 5 adding to Avista's coiffeurs at the expense of all their 6 customers?"

7 Per their bill flyer, which I mentioned,
8 Avista is asking for a natural gas increase of 2.4
9 percent. The utilities in June locked into the gas
10 prices that were 75 percent lower than the previous
11 November. With that kind of set stockpiled price, why is
12 Avista asking for an increase in natural gas prices.

13 Avista's First Quarter earnings were up 23 percent. No doubt this was due to the severe weather 14 15 and the power usage. But this increase seems to be 16 reflected only in the shareholders' dividends and the 17 CEO's salary. In March of 2008, the year before, the CEO 18 earned 1. -- I mean, 1,196,000, etcetera, while a year later in March of 2009 the CEO earned \$2.2 million. 19 Certainly, the primary aim of Avista should be to provide 20 21 the most economical power for customers, but their primary goal of the company seems to be the CEO, and the 22 shareholder profit. 23

In January of 2009 Avista touted its
donation of \$500,000 to Project Share. This is certainly

1 a worthy cause. But wait, in the last UTC request Avista requested increased rates, quote, "For energy assistance 2 3 programs." This, no bless, or bleach contribution was 4 the ratepayer's money coming out of their monthly bills. 5 Ratepayers are also asked on every bill to contribute to б energy assistance. This appears to be double jeopardy. 7 So, let's review again. 32.4 percent, 8 almost one-third of the citizens of Spokane County are 9 either living below poverty level, are over the age of 10 65, and probably living on Social Security with very 11 diminished retirement funds, or they are minors dependent 12 on others. Again, the per capita income for this county 13 is \$19,233. 14 JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Herzog, I'm going to 15 ask you to wrap up. I got a note that Ms. Michael is 16 yielding to you her time, but still we're over twice. 17 MS. HERZOG: I'm just finishing. Thank 18 you. All I think anyone is asking Avista to do is to live in the real world with the current economic 19 realities of this area, and practice the same budget 20 21 management that's required of their customers. Thank you for listening to my concerns. 22 23 JUDGE TOREM: Thank you. Ms. Michael, 24 did I interpret your wave correctly? 25 ATTENDEE: (Nods head affirmatively.)

1 JUDGE TOREM: All right. Thank you. The next speaker is Patricia Torngren. Did you want to 2 3 speak, ma'am? While she's on her way down, I just want 4 to remind folks -- and Mr. Roland Torngren would be 5 next -- if you hear someone that you agreed with tonight, you may want to refer back to that speaker and indicate б 7 that you agreed or disagreed with what they said, but 8 there's not a need to repeat other speakers, so that will 9 make things a little bit more efficient as we go. 10 MR. MICHAEL: I'm sorry, I think it's a 11 misunderstanding, my name is Nima Michael. 12 JUDGE TOREM: Oh, I'm sorry. 13 MR. MICHAEL: It's alright. Did you think that was somebody else? 14 15 JUDGE TOREM: The way she waved, and it 16 was on there. I'll have you come up next, sir. 17 MR. MICHAEL: It's okay, if there's no 18 time, but I'm available. 19 JUDGE TOREM: No. There is. It was a 20 different person yielding their time, then. I'll find 21 out. But you'll be next, then, sir. 22 MR. MICHAEL: Okay. 23 JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Torngren, if you can 24 state and spell your last name. 25 MS. TORNGREN: Patricia Torngren,

1 T-o-r-n-g-r-e-n, I live on 17th and Bernard. I'll be very brief, just to kind of focus on one of her points. 2 3 My husband and I are both retired, we live on Social 4 Security, and that's it, we have no retirement benefits. So, we have to budget very, very tightly, and any rate 5 increase at this point is going to put us in serious б 7 jeopardy. And I really can't say much more than that, 8 but there's an awful lot of us in the same boat. Thank 9 you.

JUDGE TOREM: Thank you, Ms. Torngren, for taking the time to come down. Mr. Michael, I'm sorry, I misidentified you, why don't you come up and give your comments now.

14 MR. MICHAEL: Your Honor, Commissioners, 15 good afternoon. My name is Nima Michael, N-i-m-a, 16 Michael, M-i-c-h-a-e-l. Gentlemen, I run an engineering 17 architectural company in Spokane, and I've been here for 18 quite some time. On my off time I try to -- I'm involved in several organizations that are trying to help the 19 local community do business locally. As you guys from 20 the west side know, it's pretty hard to keep money inside 21 the Eastern Washington area. We have had some success or 22 23 failures, we're trying to help the small businesses, and 24 minority companies stay and thrive in Spokane.

25 I have had many, many dealings with

Avista Corporation. My view is probably going to be a 1 2 little bit different than what other people are going to 3 discuss with you. I'm all for profit. We are a for 4 profit organization, and -- but I believe that if you're 5 going to be for profit you also have to have some kind of social conscience. That either makes me a Democrat with б 7 a fiscal responsibility, or a Republican with a social 8 conscience, I'm not sure which, but perhaps somewhere in 9 the middle.

10 Over the years I have met and talked to a 11 number of presidents of Avista, and vice-presidents, so 12 forth, and so on. It seems like whenever the community 13 is asking for Avista to help with local businesses they do just enough to have a presence, and to just meet the 14 15 basic, basic needs, and then just back off. I won't 16 mention any names, but, fortunately, there was an Avista 17 president some time ago, a few years ago that was 18 actually pretty honest with me. He looked me straight in the eye, and said, "You see, I do not see that I have any 19 responsibility to spend any money locally." I believe 20 21 they do. And I believe they have failed at that, especially involving local businesses. 22 23 Now, if everything was going well, 24 perhaps, we would not all be sitting here talking at this

25 time, but right now, not just my organization, but many

1 of the engineers and architects here in Spokane are 2 hurting. A lot of my comrades have gone bankrupt. We 3 have been working on reduced wages, not reduced hours, 4 but reduced wages. We have been trying to help the 5 community in any which way we can come together. I just б feel that, with the exception of, perhaps, Paine Hamblen, 7 I cannot really sit here and tell you what businesses in 8 Spokane have thrived under help from Avista. 9 There was -- I have to say this before I 10 close. There was a president that Avista had at a 11 certain time that they brought from another state, and I 12 will tell you, that gentleman proved to be most local 13 conscious about helping business locally. Sadly, that gentleman did not last very long. 14

15 I won't take much more of your time, but 16 this just from my own past experience working in small 17 businesses and trying to do something locally with the 18 community. Gentlemen, this city is hurting. It is hurting. And it is time for a company like Avista, right 19 now, if anything, to drop their rates to help the 20 21 community come together and survive these economic times. To ask for a raise at this stage, I find that very 22 23 unconscionable, frankly. Thinking that this is okay is 24 no more different than thinking that what Wall Street has done to the United States of America is okay. Or, the 25

tobacco companies. This is a time that Avista should 1 2 step up to the plate and say, "We want to be part of this 3 community, and do what we can to help people in need, 4 and, perhaps, bring our profits down, and, perhaps, bring 5 down some of the projects we would like to see happen in б the future, at least for now, until things get better." 7 Thank you very much for your time. 8 JUDGE TOREM: Thank you, sir. Roland 9 Torngren, did you want to add anything? 10 MR. TORNGREN: The only thing that I want 11 to --12 JUDGE TOREM: You'll need to come 13 forward, if you're going to testify, sir. After Mr. Torngren it will be Larry Stuckart, representing 14 15 SNAP. And then Carol Taylor, if she wishes. 16 Mr. Torngren, if you could state and spell your name. 17 MR. TORNGREN: Roland Torngren, 18 T-o-r-n-g-r-e-n. Fellow citizens, can I call you that? I concur with the first speaker that we had. That was 19 just about my same idea about the situation, so I'm going 20 21 to make it very short. Thank you. 22 MR. TOREM: Thank you, sir. Larry 23 Stuckart? 24 MR. STUCKART: Good evening. Thank you 25 for coming to Spokane for the hearing. I'm the Executive

Director of SNAP, that's legally known as Spokane
 Neighborhood Action Partners.

3 SNAP has provided help for poor people 4 paying their heating bills and energy bills for over 35 5 years. There's several energy programs differentiated by sources of funding and particular demographic groups. б 7 Last year in particular we were funded by different 8 combinations of the federal LIHEAP block grant, tariffs on the Avista customers, donations from the customers of 9 10 Inland Power, Modern Electric and Avista Energy, 11 donations from Avista Utilities, some mandated by the 12 Commission, and other additional donations freely given 13 to Project Share by the shareholders of Avista, not the customers, and, finally, United Way. 14

15 Our customers usually do not know where 16 Snap obtained the resources used to assist them. For 17 instance, our regular Energy Assistance Program has 18 funding from the Avista tariff, we call it LIRAP, and also from the federal LIHEAP block grant. Avista 19 customers could be receiving help from one fund or the 20 21 other, but not both. The programs are identical for us to administer, the only variation is who is eligible. 22 23 Both programs vary the amount of assistance provided by 24 the income of the household and the yearly heating costs. 25 Operating these programs together lessens our

1 administrative costs and lowers our potential for errors. 2 We have a potential population of 3 eligible low income households that is growing, the 4 number we've been using, but we've been using it for the 5 past four years, is over 34,000 households. I think the б over is getting bigger and bigger, and different budget 7 cuts were not seen, things come out of OFM, as we'd like 8 numbers pertaining to population. 9 Our actual service numbers for the 10 2008-2009, that's the heating seasons listed as of today, 11 was the last day of that heating season, that's the same 12 as the federal LIHEAP block grant, as of today, which was 13 13,137 households. In the 2007-2008 we helped 9,179 households between the two programs. This increase is 14 15 due to a large increase in the federal LIHEAP funds that 16 became available. This came at an unplanned, last-minute 17 Senate-House Conference Committee allocation of federal 18 stimulus dollars to the LIHEAP program. We didn't know 19 this was coming. It was the last minute, it was probably two weeks a year ago in two weeks. We did not become 20 21 aware of these funds, we needed a place for additional staff. We received an increase in the tariff funding, 22 also, because of actions with this Commission. We 23 24 received the tariff funding increase in January 2009, yet 25 you will see that we served many more people and assisted

them with additional help without the appropriate
 planning we would have liked to have done.

Our Direct Service spending for 2007-08 heating season combined LIHEAP and LIRAP was \$4,646,000, and the average client payment was 505. During this last year with the combined expenses were 7,611,000, that's a tremendous increase. The average household payment was \$579.

9 We have not received a contract yet for 10 this coming heating season, which starts tomorrow. We 11 have submitted a contract with the Department of Commerce 12 for the federal LIHEAP program, but the contract we have 13 signed is for the same amount of dollars as 2007 and 2008, not the amount we received last year. A 14 15 significant reduction. Regardless, we will start the 16 program, limited to payments to Avista accounts. We have 17 increased staffing, we've been interviewing right down 18 the hall interviewing the workers, we can -- we have four additional interviewing people working. Last year we 19 received federal funding on time. This year the federal 20 21 funding is late, as of tomorrow. The LIHEAP contract amounts will not be finalized until the Senate and the 22 23 House and President agree on a final funding level. 24 We're starting the program only because 25 the Avista LIRAP program has sufficient carryover for us

to start the program. We need the two-plus months operating revenues, and this can supply it. We can only help Avista customers, to start with, this year. It's going to be difficult, but we can start, and we will spend more money this year.

6 So, where does this leave us? We support 7 additional funding for low income, as the settlement 8 calls for. We must, to the extent possible, minimize the 9 neglect effects on low income. We oppose increases in 10 the base rate, whether in the regular or the -- either 11 one of the cases. This is a -- Base rate increases are 12 not equitable for low end users.

13 I appreciate the opportunity to speak to you. We are willing to make any adjustments in our 14 15 program, and we're working with Avista to make those 16 adjustments, and we're in favor of anything low income. 17 MR. GOLTZ: Judge Torem, can I just ask 18 one question, if I may. I may not have gotten all the 19 numbers, but you said for the -- I think it was two years ago, it was abut \$4.6 million that distributed, with an 20 21 average of 505. And that number went, dramatically, up. Was part of that due to federal stimulus funding? Did I 22 23 hear that correctly?

24 MR. STUCKART: Right. I have a chart 25 here that I've got four copies of it that I can hand out.

1 MR. GOLTZ: I'd like to see that. My question is, is that, to your knowledge, is that a 2 3 federal stimulus sort of bubble of money? In other 4 words, this is a one-time money? Or, is it possible it's going to continue? Or, is just one time, and that's it? 5 б MR. STUCKART: The President's budget did 7 not include the amount that was last funded last year. 8 The House and Senate versions of the bill have been at 9 the same level. It will go to conference again, and they 10 have to receive approval. It's a toss-up of coin. The 11 budget that we get until that one is signed, if we get a 12 continuing resolution, is based on the President's 13 budget. So, we will not, even if they passed a continuing resolution, we will not receive sufficient 14 15 funds to operate the program at the size of last year. I 16 would like to say that the Senate and the House will 17 prevail, but that's politics. 18 MR. GOLTZ: And could we get copies of 19 that, and then make extra copies later on. Make that an 20 exhibit in the case. 21 JUDGE TOREM: Mr. Stuckart, I'd encourage you to give both your written comments and the chart to 22 23 submit it as a written comment. That will be fantastic.

24 Thank you. Next on the list that had a question mark,

25 Carol Taylor. Did you wish to speak? Following

1 Ms. Taylor, it appears that there's a number of folks who 2 wrote a question mark, the next one would be Jody 3 Heitzman, and then Bob Apple had indicated "No," but I'll 4 ask you if you wanted to change your mind. Ms. Taylor? 5 MS. TAYLOR: So, I'm supposed to state б where I live? 7 JUDGE TOREM: Yes. State your name, and 8 spell it, and tell us where you live, and how long you've 9 been an Avista customer. 10 MS. TAYLOR: My name is Carol Taylor, I 11 live at 4214 East 28th Avenue in Spokane. I'm an Eastern 12 Washington employee, I'm a professor in computer science, 13 and I agree a lot with the first customer -- excuse me -the first person who was speaking, plus the gentleman who 14 15 had the engineering firm. And I just want to add a 16 couple other things that, you know, maybe not the 17 There was a lot of numbers talked about, but obvious. 18 what I did is I went to the web and printed off the profitability of Avista, so what -- just reading it said, 19 basically, it was in 2008 Avista contributed net income 20 of about 45.3 million for six months, in 2009, basically, 21 they earned more, about 56 million, and they said this 22 23 was primarily the result of increased gross margin --24 operating revenues less resource costs -- from the implementation of new retail rates in Washington and 25

Idaho. And they go on to say that the increases were
 determined to be reasonable and fair.

3 So, this isn't a time period where we had 4 a financial meltdown in 2008, a huge number of people 5 have lost their jobs. At my university -- you're all б Washington people, and reside over there on the west -they cut education 25 percent. People have lost their 7 8 jobs all over WSU, University of Washington, and Eastern Washington University, my university, we're not even 9 10 through with the final round of cuts. We had already a 11 whole bunch of cuts, and people are gone that are no 12 longer there. We're going to have another round of cuts 13 in January, and maybe another round of cuts in May. We haven't absolutely all the costs. So, in addition to all 14 15 the job losses that have happened to date, there's going 16 to be a whole bunch more. These are people with no 17 opportunity to have other jobs in this huge downturn of a 18 market.

So, again, I agree with all the people that have talked so far, the fact that they want a rate increase in this terrible time when we're all cutting costs and tightening our belts is unconscionable. I just think that, you know, if I had an option, if there was some other option to go with besides Avista, I would take it. Right now I'm searching for a way to do solar at my house, because I just don't want to be -- you know, my salary right now is fixed, I'm not getting any increases, I won't see any increases for probably the next five to ten years, if things keep going, so I want to figure a way to get off being obligated to Avista, to a company that doesn't didn't seem to have any conscience for the people. Thanks.

8 JUDGE TOREM: Thank you, Ms. Taylor, for 9 coming out tonight. Jody Heitzman? I don't see Jody 10 Heitzman. So, Bob Apple?

11 MR. APPLE: My name is Bob Apple, and 12 it's A-p-p-l-e, just as it sounds. I want to thank the 13 Commission tonight for allowing us to speak here in 14 Spokane directly to you, because it's more important for 15 me to be able to do that. And I say that, because I'm 16 also a small elected official in my community, on the 17 Spokane City Council.

18 And, basically, what I want to talk to 19 you about, some of the information I have as a 20 Councilman, and in representing my constituents. I 21 received a paper, or a notice document this summer from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development that 22 23 indicated that one out of two people in Spokane have 24 received some sort of assistance in the last calendar 25 year from either state, federal or local sources, but

with federal funding involved. That's pretty indicative
 of what Spokane is, and is known as a poverty pocket in
 the state of Washington.

4 Also, you know, to get a good idea of how 5 our local economy is faring, the City of Spokane also has б a number of utilities, and is a single source supplier, 7 garbage and water, with regards to those services our 8 water service is substantially down this summer, in spite 9 of the fact we had a very hot summer. Also, our garbage 10 collection is down substantially, as well, and that's 11 very comparative to sales of products in stores. So, 12 we're seeing a marked revenue reduction in that.

13 Not only that, sales tax -- and that's where most communities in the state reference their 14 15 economic plight these days, I suppose, in the bad 16 terms -- we're only down about 7 percent. But, you know, 17 across the state it's double digit, most of the people 18 being subsistent, here, you still have to buy the basics to get by, so it's not probably as bad, but we're feeling 19 the very strong pinch. It is more than we anticipated, 20 21 it will go down as a Council, and as a City Government, but the point is, is that we have to deal with these 22 shortfalls, too. 23

And like a private business, sometimes the private businesses, as well, are going to have to

1 deal with it. Many of them aren't in Spokane. Our 2 number of business licenses is dropping, as well, and if 3 we look at the numbers of commercial and residential 4 rental units, there are a very large supply available, 5 because there's a lot of vacancy. I would give you б specific numbers, but the general view, as what I'm 7 trying to give you is that, you know, business in Spokane 8 is tight. Small business is hurting. The only wage 9 increases I think you're going to see are minimum wage 10 requirement increases, if they apply this coming year. 11 You know that fixed wages, even from the federal 12 government and assistance programs, are not going to 13 occur, including, I believe, our military. So, we have an air base here in the region, a lot of the people live 14 15 in Spokane.

16 So, we have, you know, tight constraints, 17 and I want to make that very clear. I think that in the 18 past, if you look at the energy consumption, I don't know whether Avista is seeing a downturn, but what I do 19 believe is very clear, is that the cost of raw energy is 20 21 dropping. Looking at the barrel price, I saw where it was last year, two, if not three times what it is today, 22 23 and it's \$66 as of yesterday, from what I saw. So, I 24 just don't know that we can afford to beat up the 25 community anymore, and as a local resident, and as a

1 citizen representing the public, I just don't see how I can see raising city rates. So, this is a very difficult 2 3 question I hope that you will deal with -- well, I know 4 you'll have to give strong deliberation to the remarks. 5 Thank you. б MR. GOLTZ: Thank you. 7 JUDGE TOREM: Thank you, Councilman 8 Apple. And I wanted to let you know, too, you mentioned 9 the minimum wage increase. We sat this afternoon and 10 noted that the Department of Labor and Industries did not 11 raise the minimum wage for Washington --12 MR. APPLE: Right. 13 JUDGE TOREM: -- on January 2010. 14 MR. APPLE: I suspected, but, thank 15 you. 16 JUDGE TOREM: Today was the official 17 announcement. 18 MR. JONES: Mr. Apple, you mentioned 19 sales tax collections for the City were down 7 percent. 20 What sort of belt-tightening, or cost-cutting furloughs, 21 or what have you been doing? Have you been forced to layoff people here in the City? 22 23 MR. APPLE: We may, but what we're 24 looking at right now is we're trying to work with the 25 labor unions, we're trying to work with the

1 administrative personnel to try to see where we can make, 2 you know, cuts without really having to get rid of 3 people. That's the last resort in my mind, but if we can 4 get concessions, that's what we're looking at. We do 5 have a reserve account. We have committed, if the labor б well work with us, we'll use some of the reserves. 7 JUDGE TOREM: The next speaker signed up 8 is Peggy, I believe it's Boothe, with a question mark. 9 All right. She's coming down. And Loraine Larson, and 10 Nick Beamer, from the Aging and Long-term Care Services.

11 So, Ms. Boothe.

12 MS. BOOTHE: Good evening. My name is 13 Peggy Boothe, B-o-o-t-h-e, I'm a Spokane resident, I've been here all my life. An Avista customer, Washington 14 15 Water Power customer. Same thing, I've lived here and 16 worked here all my life, I have to wear two sweatshirts 17 at night, keep my heat at 62, even my dogs have to wear 18 sweaters. We're cold. We can't afford the gas. My mother is 92. She, fortunately, can live by herself, but 19 she has to sit there and be cold. There is no reason why 20 21 we should sit home and be cold. I work full-time. My mother has a fairly good retirement. 22

What does a person do in a job that requires \$2,000,000? I cannot imagine what that person does. And to get a million-dollar raise? Hoky smokes, I

1 haven't had a raise in two years, and that was fifty 2 cents an hour. During these times we all have to buckle 3 down. We all have to give up a little bit. I think 4 Avista needs to start looking at that. Look at everybody 5 as a whole, not just their little company. It's a б whole -- It's the whole area. We that are working 7 full-time, we shouldn't have to sit in the cold, sit in 8 the dark, wear two or three sweatshirts to keep warm. My 9 parents shouldn't have to be doing that, and neither 10 should my neighbors. It's tough times. And to want us 11 to pay more for production costs, and for raises for the 12 CEOs in these companies, it's wrong. It is just wrong. 13 It's just wrong. 14 And that's what I have to say. That's my 15 story, and I'm sticking to it. Thank you. 16 JUDGE TOREM: Thank you, Ms. Boothe. 17 Loraine Larson? All right. Nick, from Aging and 18 Long-term Care. Sorry, sir, I just can't make out the 19 last name. 20 MR. BEAMER: I'll help you with that. My 21 name is Nick Beamer, and I'm the Executive Director for Aging and Long-term Care of Eastern Washington. I'm here 22 tonight to speak on behalf of the Planning and Management 23 24 Council of Aging and Long-term Care. We are the area 25 agency on aging that covers Spokane, Ferry, Stevens and

1 Pend Oreille Counties to the north, and Whitman County to the south. The Council is composed of 32 volunteers 2 3 which come from throughout all of those counties. 4 As you might suspect, council members are 5 very concerned about the impact that electrical and gas rates will have on home heating, cooling and lighting б 7 costs for the low income seniors, and also for persons 8 with disabilities who are residents of Spokane County in 9 these other areas, especially the areas that, of course, 10 are covered by Avista. 11 You've heard some background, and I want 12 to add to some facts and figures about the folks that I 13 and our Council would serve in this area. Approximately, 22 percent of the owner-occupied homes without mortgages, 14 15 which are usually senior citizens, report a household 16 income of less than \$25,000. You have heard, I would 17 imagine, from the Social Security Administration that 18 they do not think that there will be any cost of living increase for 2010, or planned for 2011. You've already 19 heard about the unemployment statistics for our area. 20 21 From the recent State bi-annual budget that was adopted just recently by the legislature, 22 23 seniors and others in need of long-term care who would 24 like to live at home suffered reductions. There will be a reduction in average hours of in-home care from about 25

1 three to four percent. That varies, of course, by individual. Those are the individuals that are eligible 2 3 for Medicaid. They, also, would otherwise be in a 4 nursing home, if they were not eligible, if they were 5 having to go that way for their care. But in our state б we've been able to offer options. Those options get 7 harder and harder when rates go up for things like 8 electricity and gas to stay at home. There's also been 9 some elimination for transportation funding for folks 10 that used to be able to avail themselves to adults they 11 help.

12 I believe that the electricity and 13 natural gas rates can result in a decline in both health, safety and other things that low income seniors face, 14 15 because in the wintertime folks are more susceptible to 16 colds, flu and pneumonia, especially when they cannot 17 heat their homes to the degree they need to. Some will 18 make up for increased heating costs by taking less medications, and sometimes by taking less food than they 19 20 need.

For these reasons the Council has taken the following positions regarding this rate case. We agree that Avista should be granted a small increase in electrical rates, and that in line with the UTC staff recommendation would be where we would end up. We also

1 think that Avista should be commended for moving quickly 2 to file a separate request with the UTC for a reduction 3 in the natural gas rates in order to pass along 4 reductions in the purchase price of the natural gas. And 5 then no increase in gas rates are justified in the б general rate case. We also feel that decoupling should 7 not continue. We also feel that funding to help low 8 income individuals to meet increased costs due to any 9 approved rate increase be included in the rate case 10 settlement.

Agency staff have been working in conjunction with other community agencies and Avista to address the impact of rate increases on vulnerable and at-risk seniors. We commend Avista for their rates -pardon me -- for their interest in this area, and their efforts to mitigate the impact of rate increases.

17 One thing the Commission could do, it 18 always seems like this rate case comes up in November and December, and you say you're going to make your decision 19 in December, that is the peak of the heating season. 20 Ιt 21 goes into January, of course, in this area, February, March, sometimes April, and I would recommend that you 22 delay implementation of the rate increase until July. 23 24 That concludes my testimony, unless you have questions. 25 JUDGE TOREM: Thank you, Mr. Beamer.

1 Brenda or Troy Dilley, one of you had made an indication 2 that you might want to speak. Troy? All right. Come on 3 down, sir. 4 MR. DILLEY: I, originally, resided in 5 the Spokane Valley, but I missed the earlier one, that's б why I'm here. 7 JUDGE TOREM: All right. Can you state 8 and spell your name for the court reporter. 9 MR. DILLEY: Spell my name? 10 JUDGE TOREM: Yes, please. 11 MR. DILLEY: T-r-o-y, D-i-l-l-e-y. 12 JUDGE TOREM: Thank you, sir. 13 MR. DILLEY: I know a lot of people who is on Avista, really good friends, and they have lost 14 15 their jobs, they have children, they get very little 16 unemployment insurance, and -- which is about ready to 17 run out. I think -- I don't know how many times I've 18 (inaudible) it's been a lot. But I think it's time for 19 Avista to live up to the facts that this country, state, whatever you want to call it, is in a recession. I know 20 21 it's very hard for people to find work. For example, I'll give you one example. Somebody on Craig's List was 22 23 putting an ad to help somebody move, help them move, and 24 I asked my friend, "How many" -- I asked him, "How many 25 responses did he get?" He got 80 responses in less than

an hour. That's unreal. I mean -- I know you guys are
 paying pretty good wages for that one day, but, still,
 that's a lot.

4 And another instance, I've seen this guy 5 on the internet, he was requesting a stranger, asking if б somebody would loan him or give him charity, give him 7 some money so he could pay his Avista bill. And that's 8 getting really, you know, down to earth. So, I think it's time for Avista to live up, and maybe stop -- I 9 10 don't know -- realize what's going on in this country, 11 instead of always wanting money, money, money, money. 12 You know, I don't know what -- we're not their customer, 13 but I don't know what Inland Power, whatever they charge, because, of course, we don't have their service. But all 14 15 I know is every year it seems like Avista wants another 16 increase, like they make it a yearly thing. And I could 17 be wrong, but that's what it feels like.

18 I don't know if you guys remember -- one more last thing -- that was in the winter of last year, 19 or when it was cold, when this lady set up a protest in 20 front of Avista headquarters. Me and my wife were there, 21 because we weren't -- I mean, I was actually not doing it 22 for me, I was doing it for all the people who have kids. 23 24 I wasn't doing it for us, I was doing it for all the people who are suffering, you know. So, that's what I 25

0351

1 was -- that's why I was there. And, thank you very much. JUDGE TOREM: Thank you, Mr. Dilley. 2 3 Karen Eberts, and Eugene Eberts? 4 MR. EBERTS: I'm going to let my wife speak first, and then I'll follow. 5 б JUDGE TOREM: Thank you, sir. 7 MS. EBERTS: Hello. Can you hear me 8 alright? My name is Karen Eberts, spelled, E-b-e-r-t-s, 9 I am not employed anymore. I used to work at Eastern 10 State Hospital, but I took a disability from there after 11 working there for 15 years. 12 I came last year to the meeting over at 13 the Spokane House, I think it was called. While I was there I had picked up a few of these things that I also 14 15 picked one again this year. One is Avista's Energy Star 16 Program. A great program. My husband and I have put new 17 siding on our house, we've put new windows on our house. 18 We use the little curly lights, I can't remember what 19 they're called. We ended up putting more filler in the attic. All these things were done to promote energy 20 21 saving. Then, tonight I was looking through a couple of papers that I was trying to get together for this 22 23 meeting, and I found that it said that people that make 24 the effort to decrease their rates, in the end, have to 25 pay more. Because when you decrease it, you actually end

up paying more by saving. So, I guess I won't do that anymore.

3 Another thing that I was looking 4 through -- I have articles, here -- "Avista Ecology 5 Settled River Appeals." I remember that last year. 6 There was a gentleman that came to the meeting, and he 7 spoke at great length about how Avista was going to have 8 to pay for dam charges for -- I guess for use. I'm not 9 sure how that works. What I didn't understand, and when 10 I asked at that time was, why didn't they plan ahead? 11 When I have to buy something -- because I do live on a 12 fixed income, and when I have to buy something I have to 13 plan for it. I cannot depend on someone else to take 14 care of my bills.

Another thing was, like my friend 15 16 commented, "Avista CEO, 2.2 million." Boy, that must be 17 nice. I don't think I'll ever see 2.2 million, unless I 18 win the lottery, which is highly unlikely. He also got salary incentives, stock and retirement. I'd like to 19 tell you that what I get for retirement from Eastern 20 21 State Hospital is \$178.28, and I worked there for 15 years. Big deal. 22

23 "Avista Faces Rate Appeal" -- excuse
24 me -- "Faces Face Appeal. Attorney General targets
25 increases have started January 1st." Well, I'm glad to

1 see the Attorney Generals are here. I think it's about 2 time that they started doing some pushing, because I 3 think Avista is not our friend. If I could have Inland 4 Power and Light, I sure would. I've talked to my husband 5 about putting solar panels on our house. I've talked to him about putting one of those little wind contraptions б 7 in my back yard, except I think it probably would be 8 probably -- we probably live in the wrong neighborhood to 9 put one of those up. 10 It says, "Agencies Object to Avista 11 Hikes." Well, so do I. I have a fixed income, guys. I 12 got to live with it. You all have very nice suits on, 13 the Attorney Generals do, and the Avista guys do. This is my daily clothing. I wear blue jeans from Wal-Mart, 14 15 and T-shirts, because that's what I can afford. I can't 16 afford fancy suits. 17 It says, here, my last article is, 18 "Avista is Cutting Natural Gas Rates." But then I got to reading this thing, here, that Avista put out -- or, 19 excuse me, I think the Attorney General put out -- and it 20 21 says that, "Avista is now requesting an increase of 38.6 million for electric, and 3.14 million for gas 22 customers." What happened to the rate cut? Where did it 23 24 go? I'm a little confused. I would like some answers, 25 gentlemen. Thank you very much.

JUDGE TOREM: Thank you, Ms. Eberts.
 Eugene Eberts.

3 MR. EBERTS: My name is Eugene Eberts, 4 that's spelled E-b-e-r-t-s. And I've been a resident of 5 Spokane for 15 and a half years. I live at 3810 West Wiley. Prior to that time I lived up in Suncrest, which б 7 is in lower Stevens County. We were serviced by Inland 8 Power and Light, which I believe is a co-op. 9 Unfortunately, I can't get Inland Power and Light. If I 10 could, I would. And looking at those two companies, I 11 wonder if we could have competition in this city with 12 Avista with regards to our utilities, if Avista would be 13 pursuing a rate increase. That's pretty much what I have to say, gentlemen. And I thank you for coming. 14 15 JUDGE TOREM: Thank you, Mr. Eberts. Is 16 there anyone else present that didn't sign in that did 17 want to give testimony tonight? All right. Not seeing 18 any hands, for those of you that wanted answers on these substitive questions that are before the Commission, 19 tonight, unfortunately, I can't have you ask the 20 Commissioners, they are still waiting, and have to, just 21 like any Judge, or a jury, they can't talk to folks 22 23 outside of the courtroom, if you will. At least not 24 about the case. If you want to ask them about the

25 weather, or their flight over, what they had for lunch

1 today, they'll let you know. But they can't talk to you about the case itself. The folks that can talk to you 2 3 and give you some answers are Mr. Trautman and 4 Mr. ffitch, two different Attorneys General that have vast knowledge about the case, and can tell you about 5 б their organization's positions on the proposed rate 7 increase and the decoupling issues. And, also, 8 Mr. Meyer, Mr. Norwood can answer questions about the 9 company, and why it's going forward on what it is -- why 10 they're seeking a rate increase even at this time. 11 They were given the same opportunities 12 this afternoon in the Spokane Valley, and I know they had 13 a lot of folks walk up. I encourage you to engage these folks while you have them in front of you tonight, 14 15 particularly about the question about the, "Where is the 16 rate increase?" Where is the rate decrease?" That's one 17 that they can try to explain to you. There's some 18 differences there, and I won't go into them now, but I encourage you to take the time tonight while you're here. 19 20 Now, for those of you that were giving 21 your testimony, that's now part of the record. Those of you that didn't comment to the Commissioners tonight can 22 still send in written comment up until next Friday, 23 24 October the 9th. Let me give you the addresses to send in your written comments, if they're going to be 25

1 postmarked by October the 9th they can be accepted. Send 2 them to the Utilities and Transportation Commission, or 3 UTC, the P.O. Box is 47250. It's Olympia, Washington, 4 and the zip code is 98504. 5 If you want to look on the web site and 6 submit your comments electronically, it's www.utc.wa.gov, 7 and there is a link to put up a public comment. So long 8 as you indicate it's about the Avista rate case, or 9 Avista decoupling, it should get to the right portion of 10 the Commission. If you want to be more specific, again, 11 you can write down any of these three docket numbers, 12 090134, 090135, those are the rate case dockets, and for 13 decoupling it's 060518. 14 Commissioners, any comments for those 15 assembled tonight? 16 MR. GOLTZ: No. Just, once again, thank you all for coming and providing some, what I thought 17 18 were some very, very thoughtful comments, and very useful 19 to us. Thank you. 20 JUDGE TOREM: Again, I want to echo the 21 thanks and say it's good to see folks that are willing to come out both in the Valley this afternoon, and, sir, for 22 you coming all the way out from the Valley to catch us in 23 24 downtown Spokane tonight, particularly, we had a number 25 of people that traveled from far and wide for both of our

1	hearings today. Please know that your comments are taken
2	seriously. We will consider them in our deliberations in
3	the months ahead, and I hope you'll find that those
4	feelings are recognized in the opinion that's written and
5	published sometime in December. Thank you, again, all.
6	And thank you, again, to the City of Spokane, and this
7	afternoon for the Spokane Valley for letting us make use
8	of your Chambers. This meeting is adjourned tonight at
9	6:35. Thank you.
10	
11	(6:35 p.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF WASHINGTON )
2	) ss. County of Spokane )
3	
4	I, Raymond H. Groth, do hereby certify that
5	at the time and place heretofore mentioned in the caption
6	of the foregoing matter, I was a Certified Shorthand
7	Reporter and Notary Public for Washington; that at said
8	time and place I reported in stenotype all testimony
9	adduced and proceedings had in the foregoing matter; that
10	thereafter my notes were reduced to typewriting and that
11	the foregoing transcript consisting of 48 typewritten
12	pages is a true and correct transcript of all such
13	testimony adduced and proceedings had and of the whole
14	thereof.
15	I further certify that I am herewith securely
16	sealing the said original deposition transcript and
17	promptly delivering the same to Judge Adam Torem.
18	Witness my hand at Spokane, Washington, on
19	this day of October, 2009.
20	
21	
22	
23	Raymond H. Groth
24	Certified Shorthand Reporter CSR No. 2824
25	Notary Public for Washington My commission expires: 8-19-10