EXHIBIT NO. ___(JAP-22T)
DOCKET NO. UE-111048/UG-111049
2011 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE
WITNESS: JON A. PILIARIS

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,

Respondent.

Docket No. UE-111048 Docket No. UG-111049

PREFILED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF JON A. PILIARIS ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

NOVEMBER 9, 2011

PREFILED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF JON A. PILIARIS

CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
**		
11.	DESCRIPTION OF UPDATE TO FOURTH EXHIBIT TO THE PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JON A. PILIARIS	2
	TREFFEED DIRECT TESTIMONT OF JOINTAIN HER IRES	
Ш	CONCLUSION	4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

PREFILED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF JON A. PILIARIS

I. INTRODUCTION

- Q. Are you the same Jon A. Piliaris who provided prefiled direct testimony in this proceeding on behalf of Puget Sound Energy, Inc. ("PSE")?
- A. Yes.
- Q. What topics are you covering in your prefiled supplemental direct testimony?
- A. My prefiled supplemental direct testimony describes how PSE has updated the Fourth Exhibit to the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Jon A. Piliaris, Exhibit No. ___(JAP-5), to reflect 1) the proposed inclusion of one additional customer on Schedule 40 that was not included in PSE's initial rate filing and 2) the updated revenue requirement presented in the Prefiled Supplemental Direct Testimony of John H. Story, Exhibit No.___(JHS-11T), which was filed on September 1, 2011.

II. DESCRIPTION OF UPDATE TO FOURTH EXHIBIT TO THE PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JON A. PILIARIS

- Q. Why is PSE updating the Fourth Exhibit to the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Jon A. Piliaris at this time?
- A. Since the time of PSE's initial filing in this proceeding, PSE has discovered that one additional customer has met the qualification standards for service under its electric rate Schedule 40. This rate schedule is mandatory for all customers that qualify, and customers can only be added or removed from this schedule during a general rate case. Therefore, PSE determined that it was obligated to supplement its initial filing to reflect the qualification of this additional customer.
- Q. Why wasn't this customer included the Schedule 40 calculations at the time of PSE's initial rate filing?
- A. Unfortunately, several meter locations serving this customer were not coded to the correct distribution feeder in PSE's customer information system. As a result, this customer was not identified in the screening process used to locate customers eligible for service under PSE's electric rate Schedule 40 prior to the initial rate filing.
- Q. Why is PSE also updating Exhibit No. ___(JAP-5) to reflect the updated electric revenue requirement in the Supplemental Direct Testimony of John H. Story, Exhibit No. ___(JHS-11T)?

A.	Since PSE determined that it was already obligated to file supplemental testimony
	to reflect the newly-identified customer that is eligible for service under electric
	rate Schedule 40, this supplement presented an opportunity to also reflect the
	updated electric revenue requirement.

- Q. Has PSE provided a new exhibit showing the proposed rates that reflect moving this new customer to Schedule 40 and the updated electric revenue requirement filed on September 1, 2011?
- A. Yes. Exhibit No. ___(JAP-23) shows the rates resulting from moving the newly-identified customer to electric rate Schedule 40 and the updated electric revenue requirement filed on September 1, 2011. The associated workpapers are being submitted contemporaneously with this filing. There is a very minimal increase in the revenue deficiency as a result of moving the customer to electric rate Schedule 40—approximately \$70,000. No adjustments are warranted or have been made to the electric cost of service.
- Q. Is PSE also filing revised tariff sheets to reflect the new rates proposed in Exhibit No.__(JAP-23)?
- A. No. PSE will update the tariff sheets based on the Commission's final order in this docket.

- Q. Does that conclude your prefiled supplemental direct testimony?
- 3 A. Yes, it does.