```
1
      BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
 2
                           COMMISSION
 3
    In re Application TG-081576
    of FREEDOM 2000, LLC
                                  ) DOCKET NO. TG-081576
                                   ) Volume II
    For a Certificate of Public ) Pages 11 - 19
    Convenience and Necessity
     to Operate Motor Vehicles in )
     Furnishing Solid Waste
                                   )
     Collection Service.
 8
               A status conference in the above matter was
 9
    held on July 6, 2009, at 1:29 p.m., at 1300 South
10
     Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington,
11
    before Administrative Law Judge MARGUERITE E.
12
     FRIEDLANDER.
13
               The parties were present as follows:
14
               FREEDOM 2000, LLC, by DAVID GELLATLY (via
15
    bridge line), Owner/manager, Post Office Box 1314,
     Point Roberts, Washington 98281; telephone, (360)
16
     945-1410.
17
               WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
     COMMISSION, by JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI, Assistant
18
     Attorney General, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive
     Southwest, Post Office Box 40128, Olympia, Washington
19
     98504; telephone, (360) 664-1225.
20
               WASHINGTON REFUSE AND RECYCLING ASSOCIATION,
     by BRAD LOVAAS, Executive Director, 4160 Sixth Avenue
21
     Southeast, Suite 205, Lacey, Washington 98503;
     telephone, (360) 943-8859.
22
23
24
    Kathryn T. Wilson, CCR
25
    Court Reporter
```

21

22

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm Marguerite
3	Friedlander, the administrative law judge presiding
4	over this proceeding. We are here before the
5	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission this
6	afternoon, Monday, July 6th, 2009, for a status
7	conference in Docket TG-081576, the Application of
8	Freedom 2000, LLC, for a certificate of public
9	convenience and necessity to operate as a certificated
10	hauler of curbside recycling within Point Roberts,
11	Washington.
12	I would note that the Commission has also
13	received an amendment to Freedom's original application
14	that now requests additional authority to operate as a
15	certificated hauler of solid waste.
16	The Commission convened a prehearing
17	conference in this matter on December 8th, 2008, at
18	which time the parties then requested that the
19	Commission postpone setting a procedural schedule due
20	to the complaints against Points Recycling and Refuse,

23 Since then, the Commission has entered an 24 order in the Points Recycling matter granting the 25 request of Points Recycling to voluntarily relinquish

pending before the Commission at that time.

the certificated hauler in Point Roberts, that were

- 1 its certificate. So the purpose of the status
- 2 conference this afternoon is to take appearances and
- 3 address any procedural issues that the parties wish to
- 4 raise, including but not limited to the Points'
- 5 protest.
- 6 Before we proceed any further, let's take
- 7 abbreviated appearances. Just let me know who you are
- 8 appearing on behalf of, and we will begin with Freedom
- 9 2000.
- 10 MR. GELLATLY: David Gellatly on behalf of
- 11 Freedom 2000.
- 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Appearing on behalf of
- 13 Staff?
- 14 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Jennifer
- 15 Cameron-Rulkowski, assistant attorney general.
- 16 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Appearing on behalf of
- 17 the Washington Refuse and Recycling Association.
- MR. LOVAAS: Brad Lovaas for WRRA.
- 19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Appearing on behalf of
- 20 Points? They are still listed as a protestant, and let
- 21 the record reflect that no one has appeared on behalf
- 22 of Points. Is there anyone else on the conference
- 23 bridge or here in the hearing room who would like to
- 24 put an appearance on the record?
- 25 Hearing nothing, the items we need to discuss

- 1 today include Points' protest, which was originally
- 2 filed back when the application was filed. The
- 3 Commission rules provide that pursuant to
- 4 WAC 480-70-106 that an existing certificate holder may
- 5 file a protest to an application for a solid waste
- 6 certificate in its own service territory.
- 7 Points filed a protest at a time when the
- 8 company, Points Recycling, was still certificated in
- 9 Point Roberts to haul solid waste and recycling.
- 10 Points is no longer certificated with the Commission,
- 11 and as a result, I would entertain a motion to dismiss
- 12 their protest.
- MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor,
- 14 Commission staff moves to dismiss the protest of Points
- 15 because they no longer hold authority in the Point
- 16 Roberts area and therefore no longer have standing
- 17 under the Commission rule you just cited.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Do the parties have
- 19 anything that they would wish to discuss regarding this
- 20 matter? I would like to hear from Freedom 2000 first.
- 21 MR. GELLATLY: My intention was going to be
- 22 the same as what Staff put forward on three different
- 23 points. One, they no longer a G-certificate Two; the
- owner and manager no longer reside in Point Roberts,
- 25 and three, Points Recycling has no desire to provide

- 1 the services which Freedom has applied to provide.
- 2 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Does WRRA
- 3 wish to make any kind of comment on the protest?
- 4 MR. LOVAAS: Not at this point, no.
- 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I will go ahead and issue
- 6 an initial order following this status conference
- 7 dismissing the protest filed by Points in this docket.
- 8 It is an initial order, so the parties have 20 days to
- 9 seek review by the Commission. I would also indicate
- 10 my inclination to suspend the adjudicative proceeding
- in this matter, which doesn't close the docket. It
- 12 just suspends the proceeding before me as an ALJ
- 13 because we do not have a protestant anymore, or we will
- 14 not. So is there anything else that the parties would
- 15 wish to raise at this time?
- 16 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, Your Honor. As
- 17 you noticed there is an intervenor in this proceeding,
- 18 WRRA, and under the rule that you cited, which is
- 19 WAC 480-70-106, subsection 2, covers protests and
- 20 addresses a solid waste collection association and
- 21 further states that the association may file protest on
- 22 behalf of existing certificate holders specifying the
- 23 names of the persons or companies in whose interest the
- 24 protest is filed, and then that same rule also
- 25 addresses interventions, and at this time, I believe

- 1 that WRRA is an intervenor.
- 2 However, there is no WRRA member serving in
- 3 the Point Roberts area at this time and no application
- 4 for that territory has been received by a WRRA member,
- 5 and so I question whether WRRA is, indeed, interested
- 6 in continuing to intervene or protest in this
- 7 proceeding.
- 8 I would ask Your Honor to perhaps include in
- 9 the Order a request to WRRA to confirm or clarify its
- 10 interest in intervention in this proceeding, and I'm
- 11 aware that Mr. Lovaas is present, but I'm also aware
- 12 that the attorney, Jim Sells, for WRRA was unable to be
- 13 here at this time, so therefore, I'm suggesting that it
- 14 could be handled later.
- 15 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. Lovaas,
- 16 did you want to address that, or would you prefer to
- 17 leave to it Mr. Sells to handle?
- 18 MR. LOVAAS: Well, I'll go ahead and relay it
- 19 as we've discussed it. For the record, Brad Lovaas.
- 20 I'm the executive director of the WRRA. Obviously, we
- 21 intervened because the matter has changed
- 22 substantially. At this point, whether we are
- 23 intervenor or just interested party, unless one of our
- 24 members does go ahead and make application, we don't
- 25 have an interest in going forward. If you want to

- 1 leave it to get further clarification from Mr. Sells,
- 2 but I think that's the bottom line.
- 3 We intervened under a set of different
- 4 circumstances. The circumstances have changed. We no
- 5 longer have a member. If one of our members does make
- 6 application, we will probably become involved again
- 7 under that.
- 8 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Staff, does that satisfy
- 9 your concern, or in the Order, would you like the
- 10 Commission to ask for a more definitive statement from
- 11 Mr. Sells?
- 12 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, we would
- 13 like the record to be quite clear as to WRRA's
- 14 intentions, and therefore, to have it in writing would
- 15 probably be best at this point.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's perfectly fine.
- 17 The Commission will include that in an order directing
- 18 that the WRRA indicates to the Commission its intention
- 19 as far as its intervention goes within this docket and
- 20 whether it intends to file a protest.
- 21 Given Mr. Lovaas's comments, I would imagine
- 22 that that answer is going to be no, but it certainly
- 23 doesn't hurt to have it in the record.
- MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, could we
- 25 go off the record for a moment?

- 1 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Sure.
- 2 (Discussion off the record.)
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: When we went off the
- 4 record, we were discussing the intervention filed by
- 5 WRRA. While off the record, Mr. Lovaas clarified that
- 6 he has no problem withdrawing the intervention filed by
- 7 WRRA. Is that correct, Mr. Lovaas?
- 8 MR. LOVAAS: That's correct.
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Unless someone has an
- 10 issue to raise, I see no reason not to go ahead and
- 11 dismiss the intervention. As indicated by Mr. Lovaas,
- 12 should a WRRA member apply for certificated authority,
- 13 they are more than welcome to file a petition for
- 14 intervention in that docket. Did Staff have anything
- 15 else to add?
- 16 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, Staff is
- 17 simply interested in resolving this docket as quickly
- 18 as possible, and we will be exploring with
- 19 Mr. Gellatly, the remaining party in this proceeding,
- 20 about how to resolve it as quickly as possible.
- 22 I hope I'm pronouncing your name correctly.
- MR. GELLATLY: Yes, you are.
- 24 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I would indicate that as
- 25 I stated previously on the record, with the dismissal

- of the lone protestant and now the dismissal of the intervenor, this is a nonadjudicatory matter in that you won't be appearing before me. This is something
- 4 that Staff is investigating and reviewing on its own
- 5 and will bring before the Commission. I want to make
- 6 sure you are aware of that.
- 7 MR. GELLATLY: Yes. Thank you very much,
- 8 Judge.
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Do any of the two
- 10 remaining parties have anything else they would like to
- 11 bring up at this time?
- MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: No, Your Honor.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Did you have anything
- 14 else, Mr. Gellatly.
- MR. GELLATLY: No, Your Honor.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Hearing nothing, this
- 17 status conference is adjourned.
- 18 (Status conference adjourned at 1:46 p.m.)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25