

Request/Response Form

Return to Header:**Request Status:**

Filed

State:

Washington

Docket Number:

UT-063013

Docket Description:

In the Matter of the Complaint of McLeod v Qwest

Intervenor:

McLeodUSA

McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.

Set No: 02**No. of Subparts:**

5

Request No:

014

Intervenor Witness:

Haas, William

Coordinator Due Date: 05/26/2006**Interrogatory Due Date:** 05/31/2006**Date Filed:**

05/31/2006

**Supplemental
Response:**

No

Coordinator:

Rowley, Kathaleen

InfoSpecialist:

Payrie, Kimberlie

Respondent:Gallagher, Ryan
Houston, Neil**Witness:****Attorney:**

Anderl, Lisa

Additional Approvers:**Issues:****Request:**

Please indicate how many carriers have availed themselves of Qwest's Power Reduction offering and Power Restoration offering in Washington and for how many collocations each carrier availed themselves of this offering.

a. Please indicate how many of these carriers actually resized power distribution cables actively serving existing collocation cages.

b. Please indicate the non-recurring charges that were associated with each of these instances, indicating whether resizing power distribution cables was included in the applicable charges.

c. For subpart a, indicate the net change in amperage related to this resizing.

d. Please explain whether Qwest reduced the amount of DC power plant capacity following these

carriers resizing their power distribution arrangements. If so, provide any Qwest job numbers associated with this modification, all back up

e. documentation related to this modification, and provide the net change in DC power plant capacity (in amps) that took place.

Response(s):

a. In Washington 8 CLECs have availed themselves of Qwest's Power Reduction offering encompassing a total of 80 collocation sites. Nine sites required cable resizing. There were no requests for power restorations.

b. For the nine sites that required cable resizing:

(1). \$760.92

(2). \$640.00

(3). \$1280.00

(4). \$1024.00

(5). \$6400.00

(6). \$3200.00

(7). \$6400.00

(8). \$1280.00

(9). \$1920.00

c. (1). -80 amps

(2). -80 amps

(3). 2 feeds, each reduced -40 amps

(4). 80 amps

(5). 2 feeds, each reduced 200 amps

(6). -120 amps

(7). -200 amps

(8). 2 feeds, each reduced 130 amps

(9). 2 feeds, each reduced 70 amps

d. Qwest does not reduce the amount of power plant capacity directly related

to carriers resizing their power distribution arrangements.

Qwest does monitor the actual growth and projected growth and is currently going through a process for utilizing excess capacity in those locations in which the load did not increase as originally anticipated, but not directly related to the reduction of power from a carrier.

e. See response to (d.) above.

Respondent: Ryan Gallagher and Neil Houston

Confidential Attachments:

Non-Confidential Attachments:

Total	1
Approvers:	
Approved:	0
Declined:	0

Confidential Attachment Title:

Non-Confidential Attachment Title:

AFR Document Title & Location:

Coordinator Comments:

Client Summary: