

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
UTILITIES & TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

AVISTA CORPORATION D/B/A/ AVISTA UTILITIES

Respondent.

DOCKETS UE-220053, UG-220054, and UE-210854 (Consolidated)

**COREY J. DAHL
ON BEHALF OF THE
WASHINGTON STATE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PUBLIC COUNSEL UNIT**

EXHIBIT CJD-3

Avista's Response to TEP Data Request No. 3

July 29, 2022

**AVISTA CORP.
RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

JURISDICTION:	WASHINGTON	DATE PREPARED:	04/01/2022
CASE NO.:	UE-220053 & UG-220054	WITNESS:	Shawn Bonfield
REQUESTER:	The Energy Project	RESPONDER:	Shawn Bonfield
TYPE:	Data Request	DEPT:	Regulatory Affairs
REQUEST NO.:	TEP – 003	TELEPHONE:	(509) 495-2782
		EMAIL:	shawn.bonfield@avistacorp.com

SUBJECT: Low income and CETA Labor and Advertising Expense

REQUEST:

Reference: Direct Testimony of Shawn Bonfield, Exh. SJB-1T at 10-12, 25-26, 32.

Please identify any and all new categories and types of expenses that Avista has not previously recovered under Schedules 92 and 192 and that Avista would be permitted to recover through Schedules 92 and 192 under its Proposal.

RESPONSE:

As discussed in great detail in Exh. SJB-1T beginning at 25, Avista's Proposal would permit it to recover through Schedule 92 and 192 all LIRAP-related costs, including but not limited to, funds for Direct Service, Conservation Education (ConEd), program administration and support costs for both the Agencies and Avista (including labor), as well as outreach and education (inclusive of program promotion and marketing). New categories and types of expenses that Avista has not previously recovered under Schedule 92 and 192 include Avista program administration and support costs (including labor), as well as outreach and education (inclusive of program promotion and marketing). Program administration would include all expenses related to LIRAP for management, implementation, and reporting.