

October 21, 2022

Via Web Portal

Ms. Amanda Maxwell Executive Director and Secretary Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission 621 Woodland Square Loop SE Lacey, Washington 98503

Re: Docket No.: UT-181051

Confidential Response Testimony of CenturyLink Communications, LLC

Dear Ms. Maxwell:

Attached are the Confidential/Redacted Response Testimony of Jeanne W. Stockman. CenturyLink witness Stacy J. Hartman has left the company. Her response testimony (formerly EXH SJH-1TC) is being adopted by Jeanne Stockman. Revised copies of the testimony are attached as EXH JWS-1TC and EXH JWS-1TR.

Also attached is new EXH JWS-16 which summarizes Ms. Stockman's background. To preserve the pagination of the original Response Testimony, we have opted to include that information in EXH JWS-16. Please note that EXH SJH-2 through SJH-15 have not changed and are not being re-filed.

CenturyLink's Exhibit List is also included in this filing submission.

Sincerely,

Adam L. Sherr

Assistant General Counsel

ALS/jga

Enclosure(s) cc: Service List

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

DOCKET UT-181051

v.

CENTURYLINK COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,

Respondent.

Complainant,

RESPONSE TESTIMONY

OF

STACY J. HARTMAN

JEANNE W. STOCKMAN

ON BEHALF OF

CENTURYLINK COMMUNICATIONS, LLC

March 31, 2022

Rev. October 21, 2022

Docket UT-181051

Response Testimony of Stacy J. Hartman Jeanne W. Stockman

Exhibit SJHJWS-1TC

March 31, 2022 (rev. October 21, 2022)

Issue	Staff Position	Public Counsel Position
Comtech's failure to ensure adequate diversity for SS7 links	Staff silent	Public Counsel acknowledges failed design, but refuses to take a position on whether Staff should have pursued enforcement against Comtech
Foreseeability of Infinera network outage (Dec 2018)	Staff argues CLC should have foreseen	Public Counsel silent

1

2

III. TRANSITION OF 911 SERVICES IN WASHINGTON

- 3 Q. WHEN THE OUTAGE OCCURRED IN DECEMBER 2018, THE STATE OF
- 4 WASHINGTON WAS TRANSITIONING FROM CENTURYLINK TO COMTECH
- 5 AS THE STATE 911 SERVICE PROVIDER. CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE
- **6** TRANSITION?
- 7 A. Yes. CenturyLink (and its predecessors) had been the State's 911 service provider for
- 8 many years. The most recent agreement between CenturyLink and WMD, the state agency
- 9 responsible for managing emergency calling services in Washington, dates back to 2009.
- In February 2016, WMD accepted proposals under Request for Proposal ("RFP") RFP-16-
- GS-011 for the role of state 911 service provider. CenturyLink bid, but the contract was
- awarded to Comtech, effective June 24, 2016.

Docket UT-181051

Response Testimony of Stacy J. Hartman Jeanne W. Stockman

Exhibit SJHJWS-1TC

LAIIIOII DJIIJ W D-11C

March 31, 2022 (rev. October 21, 2022)

1 Q. WERE YOU INVOLVED WITH THE TRANSITION OF 911 SERVICES FROM

2 CENTURYLINK TO COMTECH?

- 3 A. I was not, but both CLC witnesses Val Lobdell and Carl Klein were directly involved.
- Both will discuss the transition, and Ms. Lobdell in particular will refute Mr. Rosen's
- 5 inaccurate assertions that CenturyLink dictated the transition network design and
- 6 Comtech's network design.

7 Q. WAS THE STATE 911 SYSTEM FLASH CUT OVER TO COMTECH IN 2016?

- 8 A. No, it was not. As Ms. Lobdell describes in her Response Testimony, ³⁰ CenturyLink's
- 9 recommendation and strong preference was for CenturyLink, once Comtech's 911 system
- was ready for launch, to transfer responsibility and allow Comtech to provide end to end
- 11 911 service to all PSAPs from day one. WMD had evaluated Comtech's competence and
- 12 credentials to provide 911 service and had selected Comtech as the state's new provider.
- From CenturyLink's perspective, it was unduly complicated and created unnecessary risk
- for CenturyLink to remain in the middle of call flows destined for Comtech PSAPs. WMD
- and Comtech rejected this proposal, and instead a three-phase transition plan was adopted.

16 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE THREE PHASES.

- 17 A. Mr. Klein summarizes the three phases of the Washington transition in his Response
- 18 Testimony (see Klein Response, at pages 5-6). The December 2018 outage occurred
- during Phase 1. At that time, all 911 calls in Washington were first routed on the
- 20 CenturyLink 911 network and either routed from the Intrado selective router to the
- 21 CenturyLink PSAP or (in the case of Comtech PSAP calls) from the Intrado selective

.

See Lobdell Response, at 3-5.

Docket UT-181051

Response Testimony of Stacy J. HartmanJeanne W. Stockman

Exhibit SJHJWS-1TC

March 31, 2022 (rev. October 21, 2022)

1		router to the Intrado/CenturyLink gateway, over an inter-tandem trunk ("111"), and to the
2		Comtech gateway for handling to the Comtech PSAP. The Phase I call flow is succinctly
3		summarized in Mr. Klein's testimony at pages 6 (Figure 1) and 10 (Figure 2), as well as
4		Exhibits CDK-3 and CDK-4.
5	Q.	HOW DID CENTURYLINK'S CONTRACTUAL RELATIONSHIP WITH WMD
6		CHANGE ONCE WMD SELECTED COMTECH AS THE NEW 911 SERVICE
7		PROVIDER?
8	A.	In July 2017, the company and WMD executed Amendment M to the 2009 contract under
9		which the company had operated as the state's 911 service provider. Section 11.1.a of
10		Amendment M sets out the relative responsibilities of Comtech and CenturyLink during
11		Phase 1 of the transition. It states:
12		"Prior to this cutover, Contractor [CenturyLink] shall route calls over ESInet I to
13		the appropriate PSAP and, as such, during this time, Contractor is a Covered 911
14		Service Provider as defined in 47 C.F.R. §12.4(a)(i)(A) ('Covered 911 Service
15		Provider') for all PSAPs in the State. Upon the Department's cut over of one or
16		more PSAPs to ESInet II ('Migrated PSAPs'), the Department's successor
17		provider [Comtech] shall be a Covered 911 Service Provider for such Migrated
18		PSAPs and shall be solely responsible for routing calls from the Demarcation
19		Point between ESInet I and ESInet II to such Migrated PSAPs. During the PSAP
20		Migration, Contractor remains responsible for routing calls to PSAPs that have
21		not migrated to ESInet II ('Unmigrated PSAPs'), and for routing calls intended
22		for Migrated PSAPs to the Demarcation Point at ESInet II, at which point the

Docket UT-181051

Response Testimony of Stacy J. HartmanJeanne W. Stockman

Exhibit SJHJWS-1TC

March 31, 2022 (rev. October 21, 2022)

successor provider assumes responsibility for delivering such calls to Migrated
PSAPs and is therefore the Covered 911 Service Provider."31

Q. IS "DEMARCATION POINT" DEFINED BY THE CONTRACT OR BY THE

STAKEHOLDERS?

3

4

5 A. For reasons unknown to me, the contract itself does not appear to define "Demarcation Point." However, in November 2016 Comtech (during the course of leading the transition 6 design and planning) identified the demarcation point,³² and that point is reflected on 7 CLC's call flow diagram.³³ CLC witness Steven Turner's testimony describes Comtech's 8 process of pinpointing of the demarcation, and explains how it logically represents the 9 10 point at which the functional responsibility has been handed over to Comtech for a 911 call destined for a Comtech PSAP. 34 As Mr. Turner discusses, for Washington 911 calls that 11 were first routed via the CenturyLink 911 network for delivery to a Comtech PSAP, the 12 demarcation point on the signaling network was the point where the CenturyLink/Intrado 13 STP sent an initial address message ("IAM") to the Comtech STP. After that IAM 14 message was sent, Comtech's STP would ping the Comtech gateway to determine what 15 channel was available on Comtech's ITT connecting the two ESInets so that the voice call 16 could be completed. The SS7 links carrying the message between the Comtech STP and 17 the Comtech gateway are part of the Comtech 911 network, not the CenturyLink 911 18 network. Those are the network components that failed during the network event. 19

³¹ See Exhibit SJH-9C (italics added).

³² See Response Testimony of Steven E. Turner (Exh SET-1TC) ("Turner Response"), at 44, Exhibit SET-5C.

³³ See Exhibit CDK-3.

Turner Response, at 44.