UE-100749/PacifiCorp November 30, 2010 ICNU Data Request 28.6

Exh.	No.	
------	-----	--

Witness: Bruce N. Williams

Page 1 of 1

## **ICNU Data Request 28.6**

## The following questions relate to the rebuttal testimony of Bruce N. Williams dated November 2010:

Referring to page 19 of Mr. Williams' rebuttal testimony, he states that Mr. Gorman mistakenly excludes the gross amount of acquisition adjustment and ignores the accumulated amortization related to the two plants totaling nearly \$100 million. With respect to this testimony:

- a. Please confirm or deny that the gross plant in-service for the two plants referenced by Mr. Williams is included in PacifiCorp's cost of service in this case.
- b. Please confirm or deny that the accumulated depreciation related to the two plants is included in PacifiCorp's cost of service in this case.
- c. Please confirm or deny that the amount of the acquisition adjustment recorded as an asset in addition to the amount of the two plants' plant inservice recorded on PacifiCorp's balance sheet is included in PacifiCorp's cost of service in this case.
- d. Please confirm or deny that Mr. Gorman made capital structure adjustments based on the acquisition adjustment asset, but not the plant inservice related to the subject two plants referenced by Mr. Williams.

## **Response to ICNU Data Request 28.6**

- a. The gross plant in-service for the two plants referenced by Company witness, Bruce N. Williams, is not included in the Company's Washington cost of service study presented in Docket No. UE-100749 because the two plants are not in the west control area.
- b. The accumulated depreciation related to the two plants is not included in the Company's Washington cost of service study because they are not in the west control area.
- c. The acquisition adjustment recorded on the balance sheet is not included in the Company's Washington cost of service study because they are not in the west control area.
- d. It is Mr. Williams' testimony that Mr. Gorman made capital structure adjustments based on the gross amount of plant acquisition adjustments without reduction for the nearly \$100 million of related accumulated amortization for plant acquisition adjustments.

PREPARER: C. Craig Paice / Bruce N. Williams

SPONSOR: C. Craig Paice / Bruce N. Williams