

OLYMPIC PIPE LINE COMPANY

April 14th, 2003

630-434-6518

Ms. Carole J. Washburn
Office of the Secretary
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW
Olympia, Washington 98504-7250

**Re: Olympic Pipe Line Company W.U.T.C. Quarterly Report per
Docket No. TO-011472, 20th Supplemental Order**

Dear Ms. Washburn:

As specified in the subject Order, attached is the information covering Olympic Pipe Line Company's status and level of pressure restrictions imposed by regulators; actual average operating pressure achieved by operating by month; total throughput, including the three months prior to the month of the report, with actual data; and, the status of Bayview.

Should you have any questions regarding this material, please contact the undersigned at the above telephone number, or by e-mail at jonesmd2@bp.com.

Sincerely,



Mitch Jones
Director-Pipeline Tariff & Regulatory Affairs
for BP Pipelines (North America) Inc., Operator of
Olympic Pipe Line

Attachments

RECEIVED
RECORDS MANAGEMENT
03 APR 15 AM 11:23
STATE OF WASH.
UTIL. AND TRANSP.
COMMISSION

Attachment 1-Restating of the Reporting Requirements

(Page 94 of WUTC Docket No. TO-011472, 20th Supplemental Order, 9/27/02)

XIII. Reporting Requirements

“It is essential that Olympic keep the Commission informed of important economic events. Until relieved of the obligation by Commission order or by letter from the Commission’s Executive Secretary, Olympic must report on January 15, 2003, and no later than the fifteenth day of each successive Calendar quarter upon the following matters:

1. Status and level of any pressure restrictions imposed by regulators, and the actual average maximum operating pressure achieved by operating by month.
2. Total throughput, including the three months prior to the month of the report, with actual data.
3. Status of Bayview: Whether it is being used as a batching facility and, if so, the additional throughput gained through its use, by month.

In addition, the company must report to the Executive secretary of the Commission, under this docket number, no later than the close of the second business day following the event, any of the following that occur and the date on which they occur.

1. Removal, imposition, reduction, or increase of pressure restrictions by regulatory authority or independent company action.
2. First operations at full pressure for any portions of the system now subject to an 80% pressure restriction.
3. The beginning of unrestricted systemwide operations at full pressure.
4. Placement of Bayview into operation for its intended purposes.
5. Change in equity ratio.”

Attachment 2—Response to Reporting Requirements

Pursuant to the WUTC Docket No. TO-011472, 20th Supplemental Order, 9/27/02, Olympic Pipeline Company hereby submits the requested information to the WUTC.

1. "...status and level of any pressure restrictions imposed by regulators, and the actual average maximum operating pressure achieved by operating by month."

As the pressure varies along the pipeline with the maximum at the pump stations, Olympic is now reporting the average discharge pressure of the pump stations. Note, the pressure readings are only collected at specific points in time and the average is based on these readings. The averaging methodology used in the first quarter report was slightly different. A restatement of the average pressures collected for October, November, and December-2002 is attached below.

a). Status and level of pressure restrictions: --No change from 80% restriction imposed by Federal regulators.

b). Actual Average Operating Pressure: (psig)

January, 2003	655	October, 2002	675
February, 2003	646	November, 2002	660
March, 2003	602	December, 2002	649

2. "...Total throughput, including the three months prior to the month of the report, with actual data."

Deliveries	Jan., 2003	Feb., 2003	Mar., 2003
Total:	8,957,958	7,546,672	8,181,063

3. "Status of Bayview: Whether it is being used as a batching facility and, if so, the additional throughput gained through its use, by month." Although Bayview has not been placed in service, a study has been appropriated to determine the optimal use for this facility and the modifications/costs required to implement changes that will allow for its recommissioning.

Used as Batching Facility?

January, 2003	Yes _____	No <u>X</u> _____
February, 2003	Yes _____	No <u>X</u> _____
March, 2003	Yes _____	No <u>X</u> _____

If yes, additional throughput gained by Month:

January, 2003	<u>0</u> _____	bbls
February, 2003	<u>0</u> _____	bbls
March, 2003	<u>0</u> _____	bbls