

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2012) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

1889 168	Do NOT use for expedited rule making
Agency: Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission	
Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>13-20-127</u> Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1).	; or Supplemental Notice to WSR
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject) Minimum Quantities of Conservation and Renewable Energy as Requ	
On November 7, 2006, Washington voters approved Initiative Measur requires large utilities to acquire all cost-effective energy conservation electricity load with renewable resources beginning in 2012. The rule must follow to demonstrate compliance with these statutory requirement regulations also retain penalty provisions, as well as update reporting Docket UE-131723.	n beginning in 2010 and to serve a minimum portion of their es proposed here update the procedures investor owned utilities ents (or with alternative compliance mechanisms). These
Hearing location(s): Commission Hearing Room 206 Second Floor, Richard Hemstad Building 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, WA 98504-7250	Submit written comments to: Name: Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Address: 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W. PO Box 47250 Olympia, WA 98504-7250 e-mail records@utc.wa.gov. Please, include: "Docket UE-131723" in your comments. fax (360)586-1150 by (date) October 6, 2014
Date: November 5, 2014 Time: 1:30 PM	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact
Date of intended adoption: November 5, 2014 (Note: This is NOT the effective date)	Debbie Aguilar by October 22, 2014 TTY (360) 586-8203 or (360) 664-1132
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including an	
to ensure the proper implementation and enforcement of this chapter Commission initiated an inquiry to determine whether revised regulaticonservation requirements were properly implemented and enforced appromote energy independence in the state and the Pacific Northwest provide economic benefits for Washington counties and farmers; creatraining apprentice workers in the renewable energy field; protect cleateder in clean energy technologies. The Commission's preproposal inquiry revealed that revised rules wo further the implementation of the Act. The proposed rules update the incorporate and clarify policies and best practices which have been exthese rules in 2007. The proposed regulations also provide additional mandate and fulfill their obligation to acquire renewable resources. Reasons supporting proposal: See above	cons were needed to ensure that the renewable resource and and whether revised rules would further the objectives of the Act; region; stabilize electricity prices for Washington residents; ate high-quality jobs in Washington; provide opportunities for an air and water; and position Washington state as a national and be beneficial and in the public interest because they would rules to provide consistency with statutory changes as well as stablished by the commission since the initial adoption of the
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 80.01.040, RCW	Statute being implemented: 2014 c 45 § 1 and 2014 c 26 § 1,
80.04.160, and RCW 19.285.080(1) and (4)	2013 c 158 § 1; 2013 c 158 § 2; 2013 c 99 § 1; 2013 c 61 § 1
Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Yes No	CODE REVISER USE ONLY
Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION: Tes No Yes No Yes No	OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED
DATE September 3, 2014	DATE: September 03, 2014 TIME: 9:52 AM
NAME (type or print)	
Steven V. King	WSR 14-18-084
SIGNATURE	
TITLE Executive Director and Secretary	

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:			
None			
Name of proponent: (person or organization)	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission	☐ Private ☐ Public ☐ Governmental	
Name of agency personnel responsible for:			
Name	Office Location	Phone	
Drafting David Nightingale	1300 S. Evergreen Pk Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1154	
ImplementationSteven V. King	1300 S. Evergreen Pk Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1115	
Enforcement Steven V. King	1300 S. Evergreen Pk Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1115	
Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW or has a school district fiscal impact statement been prepared under section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?			
Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement or school district fiscal impact statement.			
A copy of the statement may be obtan Name:	arried by contacting.		
Address:			
phone ()			
fax ()			
e-mail			
☑ No. Explain why no statement was prepared.			
The proposed rules require investor-owned utilities, none of which qualify as a small business, to acquire certain minimum			
amounts of renewable resources and all cost-effective, reliable and available conservation. Because the proposed rules will not increase costs to small businesses, an SBEIS is not required under RCW 19.85.030 (1).			
Tiot increase costs to small businesses, an SBETS is not required under NOW 19.03.030 (1).			
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCV	N 34.05.328?		
☐ Yes A preliminary cost-benefit analysis	may be obtained by contacting:		
Name:	may be estamed by contacting.		
Address:			
phone ()			
fax ()			
e-mail			
No: Please explain:			
The Commission is not an agency to which RCW 34.05.328 applies. The proposed rules are not significant legislative rules of the sort referenced in RCW 34.05.328 (5).			