BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION,

Petitioner,

DOCKETS TR-100127, TR-100128, TR-100129, and TR-100131 *(Consolidated)*

V.

CENTRAL PUGET SOUND REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY; and CITY OF LAKEWOOD,

Respondents.

SUPPLEMENTAL WRITTEN DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

Kevin M. Jeffers, P.E.

STAFF OF WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION State Rail and Marine Office

May 5, 2010

3

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I submit this supplemental testimony in support of the Washington State Department of Transportation's petitions in the dockets referenced above.

Q. Please state your name and business address.

A. My name is Kevin M. Jeffers, P.E., and my business address is 310 Maple Park Drive Southeast, P.O. Box 47407, Olympia, Washington 98504-7407. My business email address is iefferk@wsdot.wa.gov.

Q. Since you filed your direct testimony on April 16, 2010, has any new information come to light that would cause you to change your testimony?

A. Yes. As stated in my earlier testimony, pursuant to a grant application submitted in October 2009 by Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) to the United States Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), FRA announced last February that it would be granting High-Speed Intercity Passenger Rail funds to WSDOT. *See* Written Direct Testimony of Kevin M. Jeffers, P.E. filed April 16, 2010 (Jeffers Testimony) at 12:1-11. On March 10, 2010, WSDOT requested that a portion of the grant be allocated to the Point Defiance Bypass Project.

On April 20, 2010, FRA verbally notified WSDOT that with the granting of FRA funds, FRA would require WSDOT to follow FRA's National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) protocol. FRA confirmed this in writing on May 4, 2010. At the same time, FRA stated that, under FRA's Environmental Procedures implementing NEPA, the appropriate project-level environmental documentation is an environmental assessment (EA) rather than a categorical exclusion (CE). FRA will be the lead federal agency in the preparation of the environmental assessment to evaluate the effects of the proposed action in order for the project to be eligible to receive High-Speed Intercity Passenger Rail funds.

26

²⁵

¹ Although both the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Rail Authority are federal agencies, they have developed similar, but separate approaches to implementing NEPA protocol.

All of this prompts me to supplement my response to the question: "Has the environmental process been completed?" in my Direct Testimony submitted on April 16, 2010. Jeffers Testimony at 16:9-12. I can state the following: pursuant to FHWA's Environmental Procedures implementing NEPA, a Documented Categorical Exclusion was issued by the FHWA on May 5, 2008, and that the State Environmental Protection Act (SEPA) was completed 14 days after WSDOT issued the Determination of Non-Significance on August 1, 2008, according to WAC 197-11-340. Additional NEPA documentation, in the form of an environmental assessment, is being undertaken under the direction of the FRA, thus that Have there been any other developments that would cause you to supplement or No. To the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of this supplement, my I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the DATED this 5^{TH} day of May 2010, at OLYMPIA, Washington.

WSDOT will be initiating the preparation of the documents to support the EA in the

near future. The environmental assessment by FRA will not supplant the Documented

1

2